Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2000-07 - 2000-09

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2000-07-01 through 2000-09-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 7,122

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Fleet Maps/other info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Fuller <drfuller@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <395E0290.BF47CD6D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85646
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: drfuller.vcf

I am looking for some info on how to read Fleet Maps In order to program my Pro-92. I also still looking for any talk group info for the Forrest, Lamar, Jones, and
basically South Mississippi as well. So if you can help or point me in the right direction. It would be appreciated a lot. So please e-mail me the info as well.

Thanks

Dave

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2. Scanner Fequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: R&D Smith <rdsmith@recorder.ca>
Message-ID: <TFo75.298$8Q5.8050@news22.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 85647

Does anyone know of any scanner frequencies in the Brockville,Ontario Canada area???
if so please let me know, thanks

3. Racing Frequencies?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: R&D Smith <rdsmith@recorder.ca>
Message-ID: <VGo75.299$8Q5.8106@news22.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 85648

Does anyone know where I can find DIRT Motorsport driver frequencies????

4. Re: Fleet Maps/other info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <eDp75.17290$fR2.188385@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85649

Dave:

Have you checked out this site?

http://www.pro-92.com/

Bill Crocker

"David Fuller" <drfuller@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:395E0290.BF47CD6D@hotmail.com...
> I am looking for some info on how to read Fleet Maps In order to program
> my Pro-92. I also still looking for any talk group info for the Forrest,
> Lamar, Jones, and
> basically South Mississippi as well. So if you can help or point me in
> the right direction. It would be appreciated a lot. So please e-mail me
> the info as well.
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave
>

5. Re: Fleet Maps/other info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <BDp75.17292$fR2.188126@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85650

Dave:

Try this site also...

http://www.bearcat1.com/fleet.htm

Bill Crocker

"David Fuller" <drfuller@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:395E0290.BF47CD6D@hotmail.com...
> I am looking for some info on how to read Fleet Maps In order to program
> my Pro-92. I also still looking for any talk group info for the Forrest,
> Lamar, Jones, and
> basically South Mississippi as well. So if you can help or point me in
> the right direction. It would be appreciated a lot. So please e-mail me
> the info as well.
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave
>

6. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <RYp75.2477$iN5.573027@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 85651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
It's good to see some good happening around here! :-)
```

--
Ryan Faricy (N◆NRF) ryan@faricy.net
System Administrator of ... stuff ... and faricy.net and stuff like that.
Yeah.

```
"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com...
> I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some
problems
> when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send the
> R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake and
> they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap. It
> would
> have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio. Thanks
to
> Brad NB9M.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>
```

7. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <wgriffin@superior.net>
Message-ID: <395e489b$1_1@nebula.superior.net>
Source record: 85652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Brad,
the World needs a few more guys like you!
damn, but it's good to know that people like 'this' still exist.

"Ryan Faricy" <ryan@faricy.net> wrote in message
news:RYp75.2477$iN5.573027@ptah.visi.com...

> It's good to see some good happening around here! :-)

> Ryan Faricy (N&#65533;NRF) ryan@faricy.net
> System Administrator of ... stuff ... and faricy.net and stuff like that.
> Yeah.
>
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com...
> > I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some
> problems
> > when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send
the
> > R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake
and
> > they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap.
It
> > would
> > have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio.
Thanks
> to
> > Brad NB9M.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ

8. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <395e4c09.33696562@news.usol.com>
Source record: 85653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 1 Jul 2000 15:38:04 -0400, "John Smith"
<wgriffin@superior.net> wrote:

>Brad,
> the World needs a few more guys like you!
> damn, but it's good to know that people like 'this' still exist.

Yes it is, that's one reason I like feedback. I told a fellow on
R.R.Swap I'd take a QRP rig he had for sale and asked for his address.
He told me to send him my address and he'd ship the rig (which he did)
and said if you like it pay me (which I did), if you don't like it
send it back.

Just recently I bought a $250.00 SW receiver from a ham on eBay on a
Sunday, Monday I told him the MO was in the mail and to please notify
me when he shipped the radio, he informed me the radio was shipped on
Monday also. He got his money order Wednesday, the same day I got the
radio :)

There are still good, honest folks around :)

Chip.

>
>"Ryan Faricy" <ryan@faricy.net> wrote in message
>news:RYp75.2477$iN5.573027@ptah.visi.com...
>
>> It's good to see some good happening around here! :-)
>
>> Ryan Faricy (Nï¿½NRF) ryan@faricy.net
>> System Administrator of ... stuff ... and faricy.net and stuff like that.
>> Yeah.
>>
>>
>> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>> news:%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com...
>> > I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some
>> problems
>> > when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send
>the
>> > R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake
>and
>> > they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap.
>It
>> > would

>> > have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio.
>Thanks
>> to
>> > Brad NB9M.
>> >
>> > Bill K9KZ
>

9. Re: Corky afraid to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <slsk9brcop388@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85654

The Mackey Song:
sing to the tune of the "Barney Song"

Mackey is a dunderhead
From your imagination
The only trouble that he has
is mental re-tard-ation

<ol@yu.com> wrote in message news:394FA0FE.9F6386AA@yu.com...
> Da da da da da da da da da Life goes on.
> Corky is a retard that nobody can stand,
> And a loser in a one man band,
> Has a canyon between his teeth,
> I think he should change his fucking name to Keith,
> la la la la la la la la la la la la la la la la la
> Life goes on
>
>
>
> FOOL! wrote:
>
> > "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Bill Conduit-
> > > >Don't you ever get tired of causing trouble?
> > >
> > The pot calling the kettle black. Go give someone a hug, Corky !! LOL!
>
>
>

10. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8jll0q$p1g$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BE SURE you have it in writing that the seller will ship you another one at no charge if it is seized, put the liability on him, as the shipping method, packing method, item description, etc, and dealing with his own shipper is all under his control. Of course if you are getting a great deal on the price you will not get this agreement and it will be your loss. The worst is that the radio never arrives, there is no law against ordering a foreign scanner with the possible intent of using it for cell bands.

<SoloPost05@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
news:20000630152739.20511.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> Suppose one wanted to import a full coverage scanner into the united states from Canada. Is the worst thing that could happen is seizure or has anyone heard about fines and Jail time? Is it possible to get into some serious doo-doo by obtaining a full coverage scanner this way?
>
>
>

11. Yet Another Icom R-8500 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3vlsls8r86jrftuq9i3f8h4tsvcdhmurhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 85656

I went to my local ham store for a test drive and noticed that a relay seemed to click every time the squelch was broken. It that the case or was some option switched on that I didn't notice? I have read out here that they click a lot but gee, every time the squelch is cracked? I almost started thinking about finding a used R-7000 again. I loved the everything else about the R-8500!

Thanks for your input, I am on the verge of ordering the R-8500!

KeeBird
Bradley Althouse KJ6AH
keebird@hotmail.com

12. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael C. Armendariz" <mca@continet.com>
Message-ID: <d5mslscalb091pf4t7v64b25m2t3hcs7uk@4ax.com>
Source record: 85657

I'm closing down my business. (Analog side)

for sale 2 - hand held Motorola HT 90 (two units w/one rapid charger)
464.375 MHz w.brand new sealed nickel cad batteries
Both units re-conditions (1983 models)

one AR 8000 w/ manual
one AR 2500 w/manual
one 2006 w/manuel
MANY ANTENNA EXTRAS

also a DDI (with software and manual)for the 2006 (you need to prove your a HAM or OEM) it covers ANALOG only

also a POSAG pager (my original cost $300) for the 2006

Mike Armendariz
Cellular Fraud Investigator

13. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael C. Armendariz" <mca@continet.com>
Message-ID: <6pnslso1fvuc93qslhscaitvssc6euenbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 85658

HP VHF attenuator (never been used)
0.5 watt DC-1000 MHz

o to 120 db in 10 db steps

Mike Armendariz

14. Lake County IL EDACS System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-85934C.17030901072000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 85659

Lake County IL EDACS System:

1 866.2500 Confirmed LCN1
2 866.3000 Confirmed LCN2
3 866.6375
4 866.6875
5 867.1250
6 867.7250 Confirmed LCN6
7 867.8125
8 867.5625

The control channel has migrated to Channel 6 today (Saturday). It had been on Channels 1 and 2 before.

As Gary Hahn has said, it appears that this system will be using an LCN order in ascending frequency order.

As of yet no talk groups have been heard active, although I have detected a couple stray telephone interconnects. These could have been anomalies in the data stream however, no voice was heard, they just showed up on the screen.

What often happens in trunked systems is that the engineers will test each group in succession, and often identify the group's name while doing so. Our friends from AOSC got all the talkgroup info from Dayton's system in this manner.

It would behoove us to keep monitoring this system during it's construction phase. Not only can we learn talkgroups, but it is often fascinating to hear the engineers chat about the system. Deserving of special attention is Dec. 1809/AFS 14-021. This has been reported on multiple systems as being used on an ongoing basis by radio techs, and has been referred to as the "Holodeck" channel on several. I guess the system engineers are also fans of Star Trek.

15. WTB:scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <%cu75.306$d3.688@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 85660

```
im looking for a scanner that covers 800 mhz public service,not really
interested in cell,just local police

--
Thanks
Monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

16. Re: Timestamped recorder (ann)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vuu75.2376$B%6.11318@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85661

Take a look at another free program

http://www.davee.com/scanrec.html

can't remember where I found it but just downloaded the updated version.

it is completely free.

"Bev M Ewen-Smith" <coaa@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
news:8jilqd$k5c$1@duke.telepac.pt...
> Announcement - *Scancorder* - Free evaluation download
>
> *Scancorder* - Programmable Sound Recorder with Vox and Timestamping
>
> (Please read all the points - it gets better as it goes along!)
>
> *Scancorder* is a sound recorder utility which is designed for use by
> scanning enthusiasts.
>
> With Scancorder you can record and replay audio from your scanner using
your
> computer soundcard. The audio is recorded digitally as a wave file, so
that
> even digital modes can be re-processed or analysed later.
>
> **Better**
> With Scancorder you can program recording to start and stop at
predetermined
> times. You can capture station IDs that occur on the hour, even if you
have
> to be away from your radio receiver.
>
> **Better still**
> With Scancorder you can select Vox operation so that you only record audio
> when your receiver squelch is open. Even if your radio has no squelch
> output, Scancorder will detect when a signal arrives. You can leave your
> scanner monitoring all day long and come back home to hear what has been
> happening.
>
> **Best of all**
> With Scancorder when you playback a recording, the real datetime that the
> sound was originally recorded, is displayed on the screen. If you leave
> Scancorder recording in Vox mode, the time of every transmission or
> announcement is available.
>

> Requirements
>
> Scancorder runs on a PC under Windows 95/98. To record the audio signal
you
> must have a SoundBlaster-compatible sound card.
>
> You can download Scancorder for free!
>
> www.ip.pt/coaa/scancorder.htm
>
> When you have downloaded the file, unzip it and then run the SETUP.EXE
> program which will guide you through the installation of the software.
> Scancorder has an on-line file of helpful hints and tips that will explain
> all of the features of this useful application.
>
> For more information about Scancorder contact: coaa@mail.telepac.pt
>
> Bev
> COAA, s&#65533;tio do Poio, Mexilhoeira Grande 8500-149, Portugal
> Tel 00 351 282 471180 Fax 00 351 282 471516
> ><> coaa@mail.telepac.pt www.ip.pt/coaa ><>
>
>
>
>

17. Re: FS: Pryme RD-78 Extended Duck Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <395E7461.2D7D2918@wwd.net>
Source record: 85662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Darrin

Still got the RD-78?

Nick

Darrin wrote:

> RD-78 - 15" dualband (VHF/UHF) antenna w/BNC connector.
> The RD-78 is flexible, but stiff enough that it doesn't droop.
> Provides a solid signal with 2.15 dBi gain on VHF and 3.2 dBi gain on
> UHF. Gold Plated BNC connector and 900 MHz resonator. I use these
> antennas on my scanners, and they work much better than the stock
> telescopics. If you want to use this on a base scanner, you need to
> get a "right angle" adapter from Radio Shack etc.
>
> $14 shipped
> $17 shipped with right angle adapter
>
> E-mail if interested.

18. fs RS pro94 1000 channel handheld scanner $180.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kj5no@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000701185050.01796.00001226@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 85663

Hi,

Radio Shack sells this 1000 channel handheld scanner for $299.00.

I am asking $180.00 and it is in like new condition. Rig comes with nicads, cig lighter adapter plus standard box, manual, antenna and is in excellent condition.

Brian k5in I would also swap for bc895xlt, bc sc200xlt.

19. FS:ICOM IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamper@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <395E7E35.E43EE782@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85664
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have an IC-R7000 communications receiver which is considered by many to be top of the line,the rig is in excellent condition and comes with the original manual.
IC-R7000 specifications:
Frequency range= 25-1000MHz,1025-2000MHz
Frequency control= CPU based digital PLL synthesizer.Direct frequency entry.
Memories =99 tunable memories store frequency and mode.
Scanning=full scan,programed scan,mode selected scan,selected scan,memory channel scan,auto write programed scan & priority scan.
Frequency readout=7 digit fluorescent.
Frequency resolution=SSB,FM/AM,owner selectable 100Hz,1KHz,5KHz,10KHz,12.5KHz,25KHz all modes all bands.
Frequency stability==+or- 5ppm @-10c to +60c
Power supply=117 or 240 VAC
Receiving system=25-1000 MHz FM-N/AM/SSB triple conversion,FM double conversion:1025-2000 MHz,FM-N/AM/SSB quadruple conversion,FM-W triple conversion.

I will ship to the lower 48 for $700.00

Regards
Bob Stamper

20. Re: 3 Scanners- 4/Sale IC R2 / Pro 92/ Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: outlaw <outlaw@acnet.net>
Message-ID: <8jm0vc$288$1@news.sysnet.net.tw>
Source record: 85665

I might be interested in the pro 92.Can
you call me collect at (956)412 3737 ?
Should be here all evening. Tnx
JD
<><><> <><><> <><><>
"CWest69521" <cwest69521@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000630204932.02275.00000907@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> I have the following for sale:
>
> Icom R2 (cell Blocked) 150.00
>
> Pro 2004 Base 200.00
>
>
> Pro 92 Trunker 185.00
>
> Can be pick up in Northern NJ or U ship
>
> Thanks

21. Re: AOR 8200B MKII w/ CC8200 and CTCSS card - $650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: outlaw <outlaw@acnet.net>
Message-ID: <8jm3bb$2mv$1@news.sysnet.net.tw>
Source record: 85666

I might be interested in the 8200. I have
a pro2006 with 6400 channels and too
many other mods to list. If you can call
me (collect) at (956) 412 3737 I will
go thru the other mods with you, if you
are interested in a trade, also have all
the schematics and operating instructions
for the mods. Tnx..
JD
<><><><> <><><><> <><><><>
"centerpoint" <centerpointpc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Obw65.13365$NP5.305507@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> LIKE NEW less than a month old, comes with all the original accessories in
> the original box. The LCD screen has a clear protector over it.
>
> COST : $560 + $105 + $85 = $750
>
> Trades are possible, prefer postal money order.
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

22. Re: Yet Another Icom R-8500 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <395E9017.CEBC3E2A@megsinet.net>
Source record: 85667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The IC-R8500 tape recorder relay may be disabled via a front panel
menu. I disabled my relay and use a VOX recorder.

KeeBird wrote:
>
> I went to my local ham store for a test drive and noticed that a relay
> seemed to click every time the squelch was broken. It that the case or
> was some option switched on that I didn't notice? I have read out here
> that they click a lot but gee, every time the squelch is cracked? I
> almost started thinking about finding a used R-7000 again. I loved the
> everything else about the R-8500!
>
> Thanks for your input, I am on the verge of ordering the R-8500!
>
> KeeBird
> Bradley Althouse KJ6AH
> keebird@hotmail.com

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

23. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Dby75.1357$qm2.323065@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sounds like somebody needs to change jobs.

Michael Oujesky <oujesky@ticnet.net> wrote in message
news:1F32B0644468FC81.7F14CC11F2579D83.3FD80DBC3C35B3A2@lp.airnews.net...
>
> Thought that this might be of interest here:
>
>
> Dad,
>
> I got this from a friend at work whose brother is a firefighter in
> Indiana.
>
> It makes you think.
>
> "I Wish You Could See"
>
> I wish you could see the sadness of a business man as his livelihood
> goes up in flames, or that family returning home, only to find their
> house and belongings damaged or lost for good.
>
> I wish you could know what it is like too search a burning bedroom for
> trapped children, flames rolling above your head, your palms and knees
> burning as you crawl, the floor sagging under your weight as the
> kitchen below you burns.
>
> I wish you could comprehend a wife's horror at 3a.m. as I check her
> husband of 40 years for a pulse and find none. I start CPR anyway,
> hoping to bring him back, knowing intuitively it is too late. But
> wanting his wife and family to know everything possible was done too
> try too save his life.
>
> I wish you knew the unique smell of burning insulation, the taste of
> soot-filled mucus, the feeling of intense heat through your turnout
> gear, the sound of flames crackling, the eeriness of being able to see
> absolutely nothing in dense smoke-sensations that I've become too
> familiar with.
>
> I wish you could understand how it feels to go to work in the morning
> after having spent most of the night, hot and soaking wet at a
> multiple alarm fire.
>
> I wish you could read my mind as I respond to a building fire, "Is

> this a false alarm or a working fire? How is the building
> constructed? What hazards await me? Is anyone trapped?" Or to an
> EMS call, "What is wrong with the patient? Is it minor or life
> threatening? Is the caller really in distress or is he waiting for us
> with a 2x4 or a gun?"
>
> I wish you could be in the emergency room as a doctor pronounces dead
> the beautiful five-year old girl that I have been trying to save
> during the past 25 minutes, who will never go on her first date or say
> the words, "I love you Mommy" again.
>
> I wish you could know the frustration I feel in the cab of the engine
> or my personal vehicle, the driver with his foot pressing down hard on
> the pedal, my arm tugging again and again at the air horn chain, as
> you fail to yield the right-of-way at an intersection or in traffic.
> When you need us however, your first comment upon our arrival will be
> "It took you forever to get here!"
>
> I wish you could know my thoughts as I help extricate a girl of
> teenage years from the remains of her automobile. "What if this was
> my sister, my girlfriend or a friend? What were her parents reaction
> going to be when they opened the door to find a police officer with
> hat in hand?"
>
> I wish you could know how it feels to walk in the back door and greet
> my parents and family, not having the heart to tell them that I nearly
> did not come back from the last call.
>
> I wish you could feel the hurt as people verbally and sometimes
> physically, abuse us or belittle what I do, or as they express their
> attitudes of "It will never happen to me."
>
> I wish you could realize the physical, emotional and mental drain or
> missed meals, lost sleep and forgone social activities, in addition to
> all the tragedy my eyes have seen.
>
> I wish you could know the brotherhood and self-satisfaction of helping
> save a life or preserving someone's property, or being able to be
> there in time of crisis, or creating order from total chaos.
>
> I wish you could understand what it feels like to have a little boy
> tugging at your arm and asking, "Is Mommy okay?" Not even being able
> to look in his eyes without tears from your own and not knowing what
> to say. Or to have to hold back a long time friend who watches his
> buddy having rescue breathing done on him as they take him away in the
> ambulance. You know all along he did not have his seat belt on. A
> sensation that I have become too familiar with.
>
> Unless you have lived with this kind of life, you will never truly
> understand or appreciate who I am, we are, or what our job really

> means to us...
>
> I wish you could though.
>
> Author unknown
>
> PASS THIS ON TO EVERYONE YOU KNOW AND KEEP SENDING IT ON. APPRECIATE
> AND SUPPORT THE LOCAL FIREFIGHTERS & EMS WORKERS (whether they are
> volunteers or paid) IN YOUR AREA. ONE DAY THEY'LL PROBABLY BE SAVING
> YOUR PROPERTY OR YOUR OWN LIFE.
>
>

24. Does SA stand for Stupid Ass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tZz75.894$XU2.454103@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85669

Hey SA. If you don't like my replies, well too friggin bad. You also seemed conf8used and dazed but I'll help you out. I didn't post anything off topic, I replied to the topic. Again, if you don't like it use your filter or maybe try and force your pea brain not to read it but what ever you do try and quit wetting your pants everytime I post. Sa you are embrassing yourself, again.

Tell you what SA, I'll follow your lead.

Duhhh, to get better scanner reception, put your antenna up as high as you can. Duh, there ya go S.A., I posted uhhh, on topic, yup just like SA Good buddy! Yup yup!

Did you read it all SA! EVERY WORD?

The power one can have over morons is amazing. SA you makes me feel like a GOD!!

ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!

SAScheffey <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000701211321.01154.00001197@ng-fq1.aol.com...
> Glenn "Mackey" Hansen with his crappy comments again. Thought this stalker of
> News Groups curled up and died. He bitches about off topic posts, and then does
> it himself to put down someone. To keep this on topic, I have found that the
> Pryme RD-8 antenna makes the PRO-92 reception about 70% better than with the
> stock antenna.

25. Re: Rat Shack Antenna 20-042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8jj93g$mf2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85726

Why? What's the deal?

26. Re: Tone Card???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com.gov.mil
Message-ID: <20000630200834.01926.00000454@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 85727

you can get a 2tone decoder unit and install in a scanner...try this url
http://www.com-spec.com/

.
Kevin.............]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

27. Vacation time (MT/WY/UT/NV)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000630201739.09475.00000071@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 85728

Ah, vacation time again...Greasy burgers, overheated engines, "Magic Fingers" beds, and oh yes, your new God "Dramamine". Anyhow, happy thoughts aside..I'm looking for decent freq/system info for the following places:

Missoula/Butte, Montana
Cheyenne, Wyoming
Salt Lake City, Utah (no need to reply Jon)
Las Vegas, Nevada
Reno, Nevada
Inyo/Mono Counties, California
San Francisco, California
Coos Bay, Oregon

I have some rudimentary info on the above places, but was hoping for something a little more in depth, i.e. someone who lives in the area(s).

Especially appreciated are public safety, security/hotels, trunking info, and mystery freqs.

I'd be happy to trade for Seattle/Puget Sound area info.

Thanks mucho in advance,
Brett

28. Re: Frequency Database Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski<a~!NOSPAM!~szablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5Qa75.401$cR2.24682@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85729

swoodwar@fix.net wrote in message <395b5568.3086023@news.fix.net>...
>I put out a request for some info on this and in the mean time located
>an excellent program designed strictly for databasing frequencies. It
>has several ways of listing and tracking frequencies and can be
>customized to your needs. Called FreqFiler, it is available at:
>http://members.aol.com/jgraff/homepage.htm

I spoke to the author after repeated attempts at d/l this s/w. He advised
me there's a problem on AOL's side and right now d/l attempts are being
aborted by the AOL side. It is being investigate att.

Regards,
Tony

29. Re: FS: Relm HS200's $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000630202238.01251.00000505@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 85730

>
>Re read his ad the link is provided so you can see a picture and
>description of the radio and then buy it from him for $100. The ad is
>from Grove and this guy does not work for Grove.
>
>GR

Aha....It appears I didn't scroll down far enough as I was over-eager to see
the specs portion.

My apologies to all concerned,

Brett

30. 3 Scanners- 4/Sale IC R2 / Pro 92/ Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000630204932.02275.00000907@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 85731

I have the following for sale:

Icom R2 (cell Blocked) 150.00

Pro 2004 Base 200.00

Pro 92 Trunker 185.00

Can be pick up in Northern NJ or U ship

Thanks

31. Scanner Dweeb comic update (Episode 70)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000630215520.18260.00000731@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85732

```
The 70th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Where there's smoke... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
or
http://www.geocities.com/ScannerDweeb
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !
```

32. Re: latitude/longitude position finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <395d596b$0$1494$53a6d03e@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 85733

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>trunker <trunker@my-deja.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
>>I'm looking for a web site or a freeware program that will return a map
>>marking the position of given latitude/longitude coordinates. I'm
>>trying to pinpoint radio transmitters.

If these transmitters are registered with the FCC then their database can
provide the lat/long. All you need is a little help in using their "retrival"
system.....all you need is the general area for starters....

Fred

>
>MS Streets and Trips 2001 will do that for the US and, I believe, Canada.
>
>It also works with a GPS unit, and has other neat features.
>
>Best of all, you can often find it on sale, with a rebate that makes it FREE!
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

33. Re: Rhode Island State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000630230017.16897.00000534@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 85734

The repeater is 155.445 pl 118.8. It is used once in a while and during a chase.

Mike

34. W T B Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <395D7437.2F095216@penn.com>
Source record: 85735

I am looking for a Bearcat 260 scanner
that came out in the early 80's.

Walt.

35. Re: Scanning Legality in New York and Nevada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <X2f75.24869$Bc.673100@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 85736

"Paul "Froggy" Schneider" <froggy@eecs.cwru.edu> wrote in message
news:8jjndleaf1@eeyore.INS.CWRU.Edu...
> Hi there,
>
> I am new to the exciting world of scanning! I will be taking my BC
100-XLT
> on some trips I'm taking this month. The first will be to New York, the
> second the Las Vegas. After doing some research, I've seen evidence
that's
> it's illegal to possess a scanner within New York state. However, Todd

Scanner possession is not illegal anywhere in the United States.

Mobile use is restricted in New York State, and portable use is restricted in some towns and cities. The NY law about mobile use specifies "motor vehicle" use, meaning car, bus, etc. If you are a licensed ham operator, the mobile restriction MIGHT NOT apply to you. At the moment, a judge in Suffolk County has held that hams have a blanket exemption. This is not binding anywhere else, however.

73 de Dave, NF2G

36. Re: Scanning Legality in New York and Nevada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <Adf75.2415$iN5.561163@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 85737

... same in Minnesota. I got a flat driving to my local amateur radio store, and the State Patrol here hassled me about my mobile scanner and the numerous antennas and radios on and in my car, but upon showing him my ham license, he said "oh" and brought me to the Radio Store. I came home with yet another radio in my car ... a Yaesu FT-8100! :-)

--
Ryan Faricy (N NRF) ryan@faricy.net
System Administrator of ... stuff ... and faricy.net and stuff like that.
Yeah.

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote in message
news:X2f75.24869$Bc.673100@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Mobile use is restricted in New York State, and portable use is restricted
> in some towns and cities. The NY law about mobile use specifies "motor
> vehicle" use, meaning car, bus, etc. If you are a licensed ham operator,
> the mobile restriction MIGHT NOT apply to you. At the moment, a judge in
> Suffolk County has held that hams have a blanket exemption. This is not
> binding anywhere else, however.
>
> 73 de Dave, NF2G

37. Re: computer interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000701012204.01817.00001043@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 85738

Just an idea: does this only happen when your PC and scanner are plugged in to the same (or adjascent) outlet ? Try plugging into an outlet farther away. I had a similiar problem and it cured my interference. I have a Pro-91 and when it is plugged into the same outlet as my PC, some of my 151 mhz freq lock 'on' with a hiss.
Eric G.

38. Scout Firmware Versions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-1C31D4.00433601072000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 85739

My Scout shows a firmware version of 3.0 upon start-up. Is this the current version, or has there been newer versions since this one was built?

Thanks

39. Re: Beware of this guy!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: gariac <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <slr7s7h5op3125@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85740

Ah, the intellectual prose that spews from the right wing. If it weren't for the white hood, our buddy "Bud the Real" would be observed to be foaming at the mouth.

Let's just call him Dud....

Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:rn2rlskdh4826dvjaj80j96scl3km4ln94@4ax.com...
> "gariac" <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Let me point out the typical Republican: Chuck Quackenbush.
>
> As opposed to yourself,
> a general asshole without even considering your political leanings?

40. Valkenburg online!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric van den Bosch <erboblex@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <395DC912.1A38B3C2@wxs.nl>
Source record: 85741

Check this out!!

Probably the first military airfield online!! Listen to the Tower!!!

Valkenburg Tower scannerreport http://home.wxs.nl/~erboblex/ehvb.htm

--

Very best Regards,

Eric van den Bosch mailto:erboblex@wxs.nl

(living inside the righthand pattern of RWY-23)

Valkenburg Tower scannerreport http://home.wxs.nl/~erboblex/ehvb.htm

Valkenburg Tower Mailinglist: http://www.egroups.com/group/ehvb

41. Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some problems when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send the R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake and they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap. It would
have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio. Thanks to Brad NB9M.

Bill K9KZ

42. Re: Reference check for wa2fso@bellatlantic.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lak <lak@radio.land.com>
Message-ID: <e1zpKH14$GA.233@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 85743

You might check to see if the address you have match the FCC database for a validity check. Generally if some one borrows another ID they use different addresses. On the other hand he might have moved also.

WeBmedics <webmedics@aol.comspambloc> wrote in message news:20000628204213.02024.00000689@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> Greetings to the group.
>
> I purchased a BC 760XLT from this person. He's recieved and signed for the USPS
> money order dated 6-12-2000 but I have yet to see a package.
>
> I've sent several E-mails to be told different stories.
>
> Does anyone know this person or done any business with him before?
> The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits.
Albert
> Einstein

43. Re: Cellular in the forrest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lak <lak@radio.land.com>
Message-ID: <OETZEI14$GA.358@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 85744

Only if a tree does not contain a device planted by the Feds to montior the
air for sounds.

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:pew65.13102$fR2.159087@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> If a scanner receives cellular communications in the forest, but no one is
> there to here it, is it still illegal?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

44. Re: Relm HS200's $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <KOm75.17248$fR2.186894@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just for everyone's knowledge, I had purchased one of John's HS 200's from him some time ago. The radio arrived in great shape and everything was there as advertised. John is an honest dealer, no complaints from me.

Chris M.

45. Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000702154125.01925.00001076@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 85596

I have a Like new BC-895 base trunktracer (with cigarette lighter cord) and would like to trade it for

Pro-26, 43, or 60
AND Pro 90 or BC 235

Again I am only looking to trade this unit for 2 handhelds, one must be a pro 26 pro 43 or pro 60 AND a Pro 90 or BC 235

Thanks

46. Radio Shack Simplex Repeater $49.95 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <EpN75.68$3f6.6936@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 85597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/50490.htm

Anybody got one? Preformance? CLEARANCE at $49.95!!!

Email comments....Ned to see a picture too???

47. Grand Princess Cruise Ship Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael R <w0kie@home.com>
Message-ID: <gqN75.3150$oj6.18986@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85598

I scanned on the Grand Princess Cruise Ship in the Mediterranean this summer.

Ship Service Channels: Receive 467.525, 467.550. 467.575. Transmit 457.525, 457.550, 457.575.

Simplex: 161.350 and 161.450.

The Princess Theatre wireless microphones ranged from 655.066 to 655.250.

Other inside the ship radio activity heard in the 459.1XX and 459.2XX region.

For ship docking scanning I used an Optoelectonics R10 FM receiver without any frequency read out.

Activity on the .5 watt family radio band was also noted. These radios could be rented at the ship excursion desk.

In Istanbul, Turkey I worked some local hams on their 145.650 repeater in English (sorta).

Many of the Grand Princess staff use digital pagers with replies via ship telephones.

Now for an inside tip. There are two private crew movie channels on the Grand Princess. One with fairly new Hollywood movies in English. Another movie channel in the Philippine launguage. These are transmitted on the ship's TV system but the passenger TVs are preprogrammed to skip these channels. No matter. The stateroom TVs are all equipped with front panel switchable audio and video inputs. Anyone with a portable VCR should be able to tune the private ship TV movie channels via the VCR with the VCR output feeding baseband audio and video back into the stateroom TV.

Don't forget to bring AM/FM radio headphones for broadcast scanning.

Michael R. W0KIE

48. Selling my Pro-43 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <timp@*NOSpam*pobox.com>
Message-ID: <h66vls455aft63tf794mm5m7rvespislcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 85599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=372250033

Thanks for looking.

49. FM DX opening - NOW 2100z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gmattie@att.net
Message-ID: <8F659BF8Egmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>
Source record: 85600

Hot summer fun on the FM broadcast band!

just logged 90.5 Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. I'm in Denver.

ENJOY

50. small reciver that was put behind an am radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rainair2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000702175024.01834.00001104@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 85601

I am looking for one if any one knows where I can get one let me know
Wm. King Distributor
Ecoquest intl.
www.ecoquestintl.com/rainair2000

51. Re: FM DX opening - NOW 2100z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gmattie@att.net
Message-ID: <8F65A1E46gmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>
Source record: 85602

gmattie@att.net (Gary Mattie) wrote in
<8F659BF8Egmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>:

>Hot summer fun on the FM broadcast band!
>
>just logged 90.5 Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. I'm in Denver.
>
>ENJOY
>

just heard a south texas public radio station on 90.1 - still working on the
callsign.....

52. List of the rec.radio newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8joe48$5nm$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 85603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.info,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate
audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases,
a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely
be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any amateur topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefixed
with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-
running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and its
content drifts further and further away from being "on-topic" in the
various NGs which receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups"
posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O
```

53. Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85604

Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law top include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I guess one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used scanner at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!

54. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <FGP75.19076$fR2.198721@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85605

Where did you hear this?

Bill Crocker

<zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law top
> include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I guess
> one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used scanner
> at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!

55. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <020720001811159410%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 85606

They are immune from US law. They can say whatever they like.

In article <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>,
TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:

> How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling
> full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"

56. Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamma <shammer@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <8johop$15e@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 85607

Does anybody know what the frequency is for the Haverhill, Massachusetts fire dept?

57. Re: FM DX opening - NOW 2100z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <020720001816167521%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 85608

KERA is the PBS station in Dallas at 90.1

In article <8F65A1E46gmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>, Gary Mattie
<gmattie@att.net> wrote:

> gmattie@att.net (Gary Mattie) wrote in
> <8F659BF8Egmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>:
>
> >Hot summer fun on the FM broadcast band!
> >
> >just logged 90.5 Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. I'm in Denver.
> >
> >ENJOY
> >
>
> just heard a south texas public radio station on 90.1 - still working on the
> callsign.....

58. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8jp286$dum@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 85609

All I can say is that it works great for Trunker, 99.9% copy nearly all the time. All MDT traffic in Utah is CDPD so I don't even try. You can build more complex 2 level slicers but that wasn't my goal. I've built 2 and they work flawlessly.

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
"gariac" <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com> wrote in message
news:slqshgtuop324@corp.supernews.com...
> That's just a hard limiter. What about the 4 level slicer?
>
> I see a few problems in the design. One is no bypass caps, which may be
> omitted for clarity. Another is that a whole op amp is left floating. It
> could do undefined stuff. Do MDT channels have PL? If that were the case,
> I'd be inclined to take the other op amp and make a high pass filter to
get
> rid of PL.
>
> BTW, why do people use op amps for comparators? This is probably OK for
the
> application, but from listening to MDTs over the radio, I suspect during
the
> idle periods of the MDT this circuit might toggle it's output as there is
no
> hysteresis in the design.
>
> Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
> news:8jj3mt$bbq@news.or.intel.com...
> > On my web page you can see how I did it us RS parts for a 2 level slicer
> > using the TL082 BiFET opamp.
> >
> > http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/equipment.htm
> >
> > click on the data slicer link on the left. Click on the photos for a
> closer
> > view. There is also a parts lists and schematic.
> >
> > Brett - N7OLQ
> > http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
> >
> >
> > "Ezra" <ezra@uswest.net> wrote in message
> > news:e7X65.651$bu1.482643@news.uswest.net...

> > > I want to build a 2 & 4 level data slicer. I've found schematics galore,
> > but
> > > no PCB layouts. Does anyone know where I might find one?
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

59. Glenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000701211321.01154.00001197@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 85670

Glenn "Mackey" Hansen with his crappy comments again. Thought this stalker of News Groups curled up and died. He bitches about off topic posts, and then does it himself to put down someone. To keep this on topic, I have found that the Pryme RD-8 antenna makes the PRO-92 reception about 70% better than with the stock antenna.

60. Re: >>>>>>>>Radio Shack Radio webpage! <<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8jm56skim1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 85671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>I won't say anything about Lloyd one way or another. As far as having a tech
>ticket for a long length of time, that is about as long as I've had mine. I
>haven't transmitted in years either. So what is someone supposed to do, call
>the FCC and cancel there ticket so they won't be accused of "failure to
>upgrade'? Why bother, it doesn't eat anything.

Years ago, to renew an amateur license, one had to certify to the FCC
that they had operated N hours on the air...

I wouldn't mind seeing someone lose their license if they weren't
fulfilling our "fundamental purpose" as set forth by the government:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:
(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to
the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service,
particularly with respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to
contribute to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules
which provide for advancing skills in both the communications and
technical phases of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service
of trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
international goodwill.

KH6O

61. MDT in New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: makoshake@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8jmg51$3th$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for anyone familiar with decoding any of the MDT systems in New Orleans, Louisiana. Please email me or post here, I'll keep an eye on the newsgroups. Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

62. Icom pcr100 speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Livewire <livewire@knightsofstone.com>
Message-ID: <Wzz75.45593$Ey2.314014@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85673

Hey there, is there any way to speed up the PCR100 other than through the dial on the interface, this thing is slow

63. W T B Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <395EC931.4FB35117@penn.com>
Source record: 85674

I am looking for a Bearcat 260 scanner
that came out in the early 80's.

Walt.

64. Re: Scanner Fequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-8B90A7.01122602072000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85675

In article <TFo75.298$8Q5.8050@news22.bellglobal.com>, "R&D Smith"
<rdsmith@recorder.ca> wrote:

> Does anyone know of any scanner frequencies in the Brockville,Ontario
> Canada
> area???
> if so please let me know, thanks

Hi there,

I suggest you go to http://neon.polkaroo.net/~darkwing/tafl/

Use the search engine with the Ontario: Belleville Region file, and
'brockville' as your search term. You should come up with lots of
listings.

-A

65. FS/trade: Bearcat BC-101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <395ECFA2.EAB19B89@flash.net>
Source record: 85676
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dbertone.vcf

This one is in real nice shape and has the original manual.

It was the FIRST user programmable scanner that used the scan buttons as dipswitches to program
using codes from the manual.

16 blinking lights are great to watch and you can lockout any channel using the switches.

It was the first scanner to do away with crystals.

Very collectable.

$150 or take a good regular scanner in trade or trade in as this one should be just sitting in a collectors
shelf. Will consider all offers and trades for anything as I would like to see it go to a good collectors home.

Evereything works fine on it with no problems or burned out lights, loose switches or any other
problem.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

66. Re: >>>>>>>>Radio Shack Radio webpage! <<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chaz Vincent <cvincent@odyssey.on.ca>
Message-ID: <395ED059.6171@odyssey.on.ca>
Source record: 85677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Hey I got a DX-100 also...it's made my Heathkit, works 160-10m
> including 11. Puts out 110 watts and runs AM and CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I also have a DX-100, but it's not a radio, it's an old Yamaha
synthesizer.
It does work on FM (Frequency Modulation) though.
Mere coincedence, or electronic syncronicity?

-Charlie

--

_____
Please visit Chaz Vincent's
Night Stations Sound and Design Studio
on the Web at:
http://www.odyssey.on.ca/~cvincent/welcome.html

67. Re: FS: Relm HS200's $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8jmmpd$88j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <8jiap5hhv1@nnrp-corp.news.cais.net>,
"John Porter" <oceans1@olg.com> wrote:
> We have several Relm HS200's for sale. These handhelds were used for
NASCAR
> and are in excellent condition. There may be some very minor signs of
use
> but all were stored in protective casings and used inside a
communications
> van. All are less than 1 year old.
> Includes original box, manual, antenna, AC adapter, metal belt clip.
> Features PL/CTCSS and DPL/DCS
>
> For picture, specs and more info please see:
> http://www.grove-ent.com/USCN8.html
> John some people just don,t know how to read.They must be blind.
> Price is $100 - shipping not included in price.
>
> Please email me with any questions!
>
> John Porter
> oceans1@olg.com
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

68. Re: Beware of this guy!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <VtB75.3387$JZ4.8310@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85679

That is the "open mind" of liberals...so open that their BRAINS FALL OUT!
Talk about dumb logic, how does one steal from the poor and flood
victims...THEY DON'T HAVE ANYTHING! The 1990s was the REAL decade of greed,
if you use liberal criteria used in the '80s..."the rich are getting richer
and the poor are getting poorer". With so many executive orders you would
think one could be made for the price of gas...lame duck>:-}
Someone Else <@.> wrote in message
news:A9313966C0BD7844.0F03399161B378FF.318F52537341EF2A@lp.airnews.net...
> Democrat?
> When it comes to morality, money and smugness I always think of
republicans.
> When it think of democrats I think if extremist liberals, spewing
tolerance about
> dogs sleeping with cats, abortion and so on, but if you disagree with
them, they
> are the most intolerant people loonies in the world. I prefer to think of
myself as "me"
> instead of identify with any group.
>

69. Re: Timestamped recorder (ann)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: murks murks <murks@murks.nl>
Message-ID: <1p0ulscetmgfb3bhqbpavhmv7373dtfj4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 85680

On Sat, 01 Jul 2000 22:43:39 GMT, "digimon"
<digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Take a look at another free program
>
>http://www.davee.com/scanrec.html
>
>can't remember where I found it but just downloaded the updated version.
>
>it is completely free.

Does it "time stamp" ?

murks

70. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 85681

How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"

71. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8jnknt$a8u$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 85682
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <slql2da7op37@corp.supernews.com>, terry@weatherford.org says...
>
>I'm having troubles finding the "clearance" Radio Shack simplex repeater
>that is on sale for 49.95....
>
>what about you? do you know where I can get?
>
>does any one have any experience???
>
>Thanks!
>--
>Terry Weatherford, Jr.
>
>Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
>Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
>Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
>ICQ #: 45591028
>
>Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
>
>
The Rat Shack in Eastland Shopping Center, Lexington Kentucky,
has three.
I was going to grab one, but at $50.00 it is a little too
expensive for a toy that I will almost neer use.
good Luck

72. Re: Help: Pro 2042 Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8jnkub$5u3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You don't need that much radio if you have to ask. It has a lot of expensive advantages you will likely never use. Do yourself a favor and buy a scanner that fits your needs, not one that is so complicated you get tired of running to the manual.
just my 2 cents

Lisa S. <nospam@sprintmail.com> wrote in message news:psjuls4ekhhchrvg0qtds1l0t11chp3fso@4ax.com...
> Hello,
> I'm thinking of bidding on this radio on eBay, but I need to
> know what it's worth. It's a Pro-2042, and it's in mint condition.
> I've heard it's one of the best scanners ever made, but I don't want
> to rip myself off. To all you experts, how much is this worth?
>
> Thanks,
> Lisa
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=367690347
>

73. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8jnl58shv1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85684
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack man can check his computer and tell you the nearest stores that have it in stock. Just tell them the catalog number, and they can probably handle the rest.

<terry@weatherford.org> wrote in message
news:slql2da7op37@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm having troubles finding the "clearance" Radio Shack simplex repeater
> that is on sale for 49.95....
>
> what about you? do you know where I can get?
>
> does any one have any experience???
>
> Thanks!
> --
> Terry Weatherford, Jr.
>
> Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or
http://www.N9MAE.com
> Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
> Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
> ICQ #: 45591028
>
> Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
>
>

74. Golf scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Harrison <n5niv@tconl.com>
Message-ID: <jBK75.273$Uw3.134003@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know where to find the freqs for the BUY.COM tour?

75. Re: Rat Shack Antenna 20-042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8jnlnn$1no$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85686

No, it is the scanner antenna that has several smaller parts mounted on a short horizonal bar, with a long main boom vertical in the center, I am not sure but it looks like total htg. is about 9 feet, the center boom has a V element going up and another going down from the horizonal bar. Then there is an element looks like bird wings that mount inside both V elements. All the parts are supposed to do everything from 30 to 1300 mhz. Original price was $30 and now it is $9.99 on clearance.

Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:395CDC01.880DC6D5@home.com...
> > Is that their discone model?
>
> Thanks Mike Burch K8MB
>
>

76. Radio Grants for IL, IN, WI, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry <jsmith@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <395F5F06.D10961F5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85687

(reply e-mail address is not valid)
New and modified radio grants from the FCC week ending July 1 is now
available for viewing or downloading. Point your browsers to
http://ilgrant.home.att.net to view new/modified licenses for
Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin and Michigan.

Questions, comments and suggestions may be made at fccgrant@yahoo.com
--
Jerry Smith
Midwest License Grant Pages Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc.
http://ilgrant.home.att.net http://theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

77. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <PbJ75.36$3f6.2760@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 85688
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What's the specs??

In article <8jnl58shv1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
"MaKin" <ontherun@mindspring.com> wrote:

> Radio Shack man can check his computer and tell you the nearest stores that
> have it in stock. Just tell them the catalog number, and they can probably
> handle the rest.
>
> <terry@weatherford.org> wrote in message
> news:slql2da7op37@corp.supernews.com...
>> I'm having troubles finding the "clearance" Radio Shack simplex repeater
>> that is on sale for 49.95....
>>
>> what about you? do you know where I can get?
>>
>> does any one have any experience???
>>
>> Thanks!
>> --
>> Terry Weatherford, Jr.
>>
>> Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or
> http://www.N9MAE.com
>> Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
>> Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
>> ICQ #: 45591028
>>
>> Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
>>
>>
>

78. Watson 881 Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <SiK75.3626$TI1.491495@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85689

I am considering the Watson 881 antenna for my Icom R2. Does anyone have experience with the Watson 881? Thanks.

Bill K9KZ

79. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M - Addendum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <znK75.3178$Hk1.468988@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 85690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I was hesitant to tell this but the cost to repair this rig which Brad paid for was $343. So you see why I wanted to thank Brad publically.

Bill K9KZ

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message news:%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com...
> I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some problems
> when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send the
> R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake and
> they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap. It
> would have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio. Thanks to
> Brad NB9M.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

80. R.L.Drake Co. SSr-1 Communicatio Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <slv13c7cop39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85691
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,alt.ham-radio.mods,comp.sys.laptops,linux.dev.laptop,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a SSR-1 receiver that I was told needs work.I don't know much about it except that it was left in the garage when a person that owned it passed away.I just want to get a little something for it plus shipping.Make me a resonable offer shipped from Mass.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

81. Re: Where is Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <jAL75.46001$Ey2.321439@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85692

I'm right here!

82. Re: Scanning Legality in New York and Nevada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paul \"Froggy\" Schneider" <froggy@eecs.cwru.edu>
Message-ID: <8jo0pf$9rl$1@eeyore.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 85693

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote in message
news:X2f75.24869$Bc.673100@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> "Paul "Froggy" Schneider" <froggy@eecs.cwru.edu> wrote in message
> news:8jjndleaf1@eeyore.INS.CWRU.Edu...
> > Hi there,
> >
> > I am new to the exciting world of scanning! I will be taking my BC
> 100-XLT
> > on some trips I'm taking this month. The first will be to New York, the
> > second the Las Vegas. After doing some research, I've seen evidence
> that's
> > it's illegal to possess a scanner within New York state. However, Todd
>
> Scanner possession is not illegal anywhere in the United States.
>
> Mobile use is restricted in New York State, and portable use is restricted
> in some towns and cities. The NY law about mobile use specifies "motor
> vehicle" use, meaning car, bus, etc. If you are a licensed ham operator,
> the mobile restriction MIGHT NOT apply to you. At the moment, a judge in
> Suffolk County has held that hams have a blanket exemption. This is not
> binding anywhere else, however.

OK, that's kind of good to know. I do not own a license, yet, but let's say
I could manage to take the test for one of the lowest ham licenses (no code)
and
had that on me. Would that be good enough to get the authorities off my
back in most instances if trouble were to brew? I don't expect any trouble
because I don't expect to be doing anything that could be construed as
illegal. The more protection I have, though, the better I'll feel!

- Paul

83. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M - Addendum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tzN75.634$Uw3.219086@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bill, I think there are plenty of good guys, it just isn't common for people to take the time and effort to make an issue of it. I am curious, would you have posted if the radio came as advertised and no problems? I only have once out of 15 or so deals? Not good on my part but I did on the last one so for me that's a start.

Don't forget to send Brad a card during the 2000 holiday season!

Glenn

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:znK75.3178$Hk1.468988@nntp3.onemain.com...
> I was hesitant to tell this but the cost to repair this rig which Brad
paid
> for was $343. So you see why I wanted to thank Brad publically.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:%Mk75.3319$TI1.395338@nntp2.onemain.com...
> > I recently traded for Brad's Drake R8 receiver. I found it had some
> problems
> > when I got it hooked up. Brad gave me the choice to trade back or send
the
> > R8 to Drake and he would pay for repairs. So I sent the radio to Drake
and
> > they fixed the rig. Brad paid the bill and believe me it wasn't cheap.
It
> > would have been a severe financial strain on me to pay to fix the radio.
> Thanks to
> > Brad NB9M.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >
>
>

84. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <slv6cv9dop385@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85695
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks

--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
"MaKin" <ontherun@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8jnl58shv1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Radio Shack man can check his computer and tell you the nearest stores
that
> have it in stock. Just tell them the catalog number, and they can probably
> handle the rest.
>
> <terry@weatherford.org> wrote in message
> news:slql2da7op37@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm having troubles finding the "clearance" Radio Shack simplex repeater
> > that is on sale for 49.95....
> >
> > what about you? do you know where I can get?
> >
> > does any one have any experience???
> >
> > Thanks!
> > --
> > Terry Weatherford, Jr.
> >
> > Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or
> http://www.N9MAE.com
> > Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
> > Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
> > ICQ #: 45591028
> >
> > Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
> >
> >
>
>

85. =====Pro-92 or BC245XLT====

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harvey Lindley <hw3tnt@NC.RR.COM>
Message-ID: <396114F0.293F94D@NC.RR.COM>
Source record: 85546

I am looking for someone that has compared these two radios. I live in Durham NC and the police and fire depo use Motorola radios. Which of the two scanners will do the best here. What is the diffrence in the two radios as far as speed and compatibality with another brand of radio goes. Will they both pick up signals from the same brand of radios? Which is the best over all radio scanner? Thanks for your help. NICK

--

Good-bye from Harvey Lindley & Sharon Conklin
Think like a man of action, act like a man of thought.
H&S HOME REPAIR
hw3tnt@nc.rr.com- phone # (919) 688-9427

86. Re: Dealer/Retailer Recs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39611817.B0BF8703@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 85547

William Carl Rooney wrote:
>
> Hi all
>
> I am looking to buy my first scanner and I would like to know
> who is a good dealer, preferably with online ordering, to order from?
>
> Alternatively, any recs for stores in the Pittsburgh, PA area to buy
> from (besides Radio Shack?)
>
> I am particularly interested in a Uniden Bearcat 245.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill

You can look these up in Yahoo:

Scanners Unlimited
Universal Radio
Grove Enterprises
Amateur Electronic Supply (AES) -- don't know if they carry
Uniden

--
Michael Moore

87. Freq Info - Nova Scotia CANADA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <lg985.108$Gd1.14954@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 85548

anybody got a link to find freq info..ie. 464.600mhz?

88. Optolinx CX-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Sullivan <KK6PD@IX.NETCOM.COM>
Message-ID: <MPG.13cac2698325d15c989682@NNTP.IX.NETCOM.COM>
Source record: 85549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all, does anybody have a manual for a Optoelectronics Optolinx CX12 interface that they could make a copy of for me. I would be happy to pay reasonable duplication and shipping costs.

Thanks KK6PD Pat

89. Re: Good Guy Alert - Brad NB9M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Morlan" <dmorlan@jps.net>
Message-ID: <4Hb85.3050$493.624534@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thanks Brad! It's really nice to hear about something good going on!
You've made our day!

90. question regarding entering trunked frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Superman <superman2@usa.com>
Message-ID: <007d211e.1dc7404d@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 85551

I have a pro 90 trunk tracker scanner.

One of the police departments in my area usa a motarola type II system.

As you know, with the pro-90, you can only enter 30 frequencys in one trunking bank.

Here is my dilema......

There is more than 30 frequencys used by the p.d., actually there is 25 base frequencys and 3 groups of 5 frequencys that are called GAP FILLERS.

They have 5 gap fillers for the north, 5 for the south, and 5 for the north east.

What are these gap fillers and do I need to enter them in the trunk pool so I don't miss out here and there on the conversation.

At the most, with the pro-90, I can enter 1 set of gap fillers per trunking bank. Do I have to have 3 trunking banks with 1 set of gap fillers in each bank.

Basically, I want to know what the gap filler thing is all about.

I live in portland oregon and the specific situation I am talking about is multnoma county, if that helps any.

Thanks in advance.

****Email Address****

superman2@usa.com

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

91. Re: new site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Old Boozer <Ferber@vrack.com>
Message-ID: <39611bf5_2@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 85552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

KERRRR PLONK!

92. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2%Q75.4865$B%6.20462@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85610

Yeah, what in the world are you talking about?

<zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law top
> include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I guess
> one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used scanner
> at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!

93. Re: latitude/longitude position finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Miner <tcminer@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <8kR75.14124$Zr5.1060954@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 85611

```
Try www.topozone.com

"Terry Lee" <terryllee@home.com> wrote in message
news:3957D31A.30B01055@home.com...
> Try these also:
> http://www.terraserver.microsoft.com/advfind.asp
> http://www.etakguide.com/eg_find.html
>
> PSColombo wrote:
>
> > >I'm looking for a web site or a freeware program that will return a map
> > >marking the position of given latitude/longitude coordinates.
> >
> > Try this place:
> > http://tiger.census.gov/
>
```

94. FS/FT: Will trade my IC-Q7A, VX-1R for your BC-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pbS75.5602$X54.126549@news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 85612

Want: mint condition BC-9000 scanner.

Will trade even as a complete package:
Icom IC-Q7A in original box, with SMA-BNC adapter. Like new.
Yaesu VX-1R with optional E-DC-15 mobile DC adapter, FBA-20
battery case, and SMA-BNC adapter
All in original cartons with manual, like new.

U.S. only; email: gbrown@nc.rr.com

Gary K4GPB

95. Re: Pro 2042 Price??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Giron <sgironx@home.com>
Message-ID: <jgS75.4172$qM3.24637@news1.bnapk1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 85613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can go to www.honesty.com and do an auction search and
see what they have been going for recently.

I've bought and sold a few of the 2042/2035 radios. Most
of the time they have been in the 200-250 range.

"Lisa S." <nospam@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
news:psjuls4ekhhchrvg0qtds1l0t11chp3fso@4ax.com...
> Hello,
> I'm thinking of bidding on this radio on eBay, but I need to
> know what it's worth. It's a Pro-2042, and it's in mint condition.
> I've heard it's one of the best scanners ever made, but I don't want
> to rip myself off. To all you experts, how much is this worth?
>
> Thanks,
> Lisa
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=367690347
>

96. Radio Shack PRO-36 Information, Comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Hastings <hastings@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <dHS75.1029$RQ.29467@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 85614

I bought one of these 20 ch portables in a yard sale last week-end, and seems to work great - can anyone tell me much about it ?

i.e.
when sold,
who manufactured for RS,
any flaws, modifications?

Bob Parnass site normally tells me about my old radio purchases but is strangely silent on this one. Also, Tandy home pages can't locate any info on this one at all - perhaps a short-lived rig?

Still tuning....

Kevin
hastings@nbnet.nb.ca

97. Re: Orange Co.(CA) 800 MHz upgrade halted/officer safety at risk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <395FF763.58AA7BE@mediaone.net>
Source record: 85615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Why not do what the did in LA county and put the towers offshore like
Catalina, or rent space on a oil platform!

Ganesha Ganapati wrote:
>
> On Sat, 24 Jun 2000 22:32:15 GMT, <brttm@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> [...]
>
> > The new 800-megahertz system was designed in the wake of the
> >devastating 1993 Laguna Beach fires, when fire departments were unable
> >to effectively communicate as they fought the blaze. But in a
> >controversy that so far is unique to the steep-sloped beach city,
> >residents demanded that towers for the new system be lowered for
> >aesthetic reasons. Motorola now says it cannot guarantee that the
> >radios will work inside some buildings within the city, because lower
> >towers will increase the chance of blocked signals.
>
> Motorola is blaming "low towers" in LAGUNA BEACH for their problems in
> IRVINE and TUSTIN? Even though there are towers on, among other
> places, Santiago Peak and Loma Ridge (5000+ feet and 1000+ feet in
> height, respectively), both of which have a direct line of sight to
> just about everywhere in both Irvine and Tustin?
>
> Sounds kinda fishy to me... But then again, I'm not a Moto RF
> engineer. ;-)

98. Re: Beware of this guy!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396002AC.ED59F924@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85616

I don't do deals with anyone who uses an anonymous Email account. It
simply isn't good business.

MC

Scanfrequnl wrote:
>
> Beware of this guy. He was suppose to send money for something he bought on
> yahoo auction. After 5 emails no contact from him. Why do people bid on
> things they aren't gonna buy?
>
> Here is his info:
> Yahoo UserID: posolejr
> Email: cooldude92376@collegeclub.com

99. Re: Cordless Phones Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396002F7.9B72224A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85617

Yes. The analogue ones come through loud and clear. I found that wide
band FM works best.
Check local federal laws, of course.

MC

Jack wrote:
>
> Is it possible to listen in to conversation on a 900 MHZ cordless phone?
>
> Jack

100. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396003E9.E9E49BD8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85618

Just use a piece of perf board and a small spool of hookup wire. I would suggest using IC sockets instead of soldering the IC directing in place. This way when you trash it with a bad connection you can replace it easily.

Keep in mind that using a data slicer to intercept MDT transmissions could be illegal. If they catch you they'll arrest you, tear your house apart, take you to the big apple, throw you in jail and probe your anus with a drumstick. So I'm told......

BTW, there is a program that allows you to pump your discriminator directly into your sound card to decode MDTs. Work like a hot dam! So I'm told.....<:-)

MC

Ezra wrote:
>
> I want to build a 2 & 4 level data slicer. I've found schematics galore, but
> no PCB layouts. Does anyone know where I might find one?
>
> Thanks!

101. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39600452.1B3F70F1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No. But it's a waste of time and money. In case you haven't noticed,
analog cellular is going the way of the dodo bird. And besides, if you
get a thrill out of listening in on other people's lives you should
really ask yourself what you plan on doing with yours.

MC

SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:
>
> Suppose one wanted to import a full coverage scanner into the united states from Canada. Is the worst thing that could happen is seizure or has anyone heard about fines and Jail time? Is it possible to get into some serious doo-doo by obtaining a full coverage scanner this way?

102. Re: Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000702235002.16369.00000345@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85620

I would trade you for a Playstation,but I can't do 2 radios.

103. Re: CE-232scanner/computer interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <lenc@cgocable.net>
Message-ID: <3040mscnfs04tqa9uing04c2uu8bfdmurj@4ax.com>
Source record: 85621

On Tue, 27 Jun 2000 12:22:32 -0400, Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
wrote:

>juc6g@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> Hello,
>> I've been looking to purchase a CE-232. I went to Bill Cheeks
>> site and the phone number is disconnected and I get no response to E-
>> mail. I understand the schematic is in "The Ultimate Scanner" but does
>> it give full documentation on hooking it up to a PRO-2004? Can anyone
>> direct me to where I can get the kit and instruction manual?
>
>One other thing to consider is using this CE232/HB232 interface under
>Windows. Basically the control program is a DOS program, that will run in
>Windows BUT, if you use a non-standard com port IRQ combination it is lock
>up city. I finally gave up and went with a newer scanner with the
>interface built in. A lot less grief in the long run. BTW, I still like
>my modified Pro-2006 and won't part with it, but the practical side of me
>won on the interfacing side.
>Pete
One thing you must remember is that there is Windows software out for
the Ce/HB-232 interface that works GREAT!!!
Works just like the DOS version and has many more features, and
non-standard serial port numbers also work with it, had it up to
COM 32 on a USB Hub and it worked like a charm!!!!

Check out Pro-Turbo at
http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

104. Re: N. Falls Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sandra patterson <ve3bee@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <39601D60.D2415DEB@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85622

Try 150.5450,153.800,154.700,155.8650 and 414.3625

Diane Schultz wrote:

> Does anyone out there know the freq for Niagara Falls fire Dept
>
> Kaatuu

105. Re: Scanning Legality in New York and Nevada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <NkV75.31836$Bc.799791@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 85623

"Paul "Froggy" Schneider" <froggy@eecs.cwru.edu> wrote in message
news:8jo0pf$9rl$1@eeyore.INS.CWRU.Edu...
>
> had that on me. Would that be good enough to get the authorities off my
> back in most instances if trouble were to brew? I don't expect any
trouble
> because I don't expect to be doing anything that could be construed as
> illegal. The more protection I have, though, the better I'll feel!

I'm not going any further than to tell you the situation with the law and
that one court case. I will not stick my neck out and say in any way,
shape, or form that any individual can have a mobile scanner anywhere in New
York State and will not get into any kind of legal trouble for it. If you
want to go mobile, you will be taking a risk.

Dave, NF2G

106. Re: Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: njradiodude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000703063053.01768.00001229@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 85624

An FCC search found 4 frequencies licensed to Haverhill MA FD:

154.010
154.070
154.3625
155.9925

I do not know specifically which might be their dispatch frequency, if they are inputs/outputs to a repeater system and which if any, are county/state mutual aid multi-agency frequencies licensed to the HFD. Plug'em in and see what happens.

Good luck.

Regards, Tom KB2FIK
NJRadioDude@aol.com

107. Re: Timestamped recorder (ann)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D.R. Watkins" <drwatkins3@cops.org>
Message-ID: <8jpr2p$884$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85625

RecAll timestamps, AND allows you to insert annotations for segments of your recording in the PRO version. The PRO version allows your take full advantage of your stereo sound card recording two different inputs.
RecAll - $15.00; RecAll-Pro - $30.00.

www.sagebrush.com

--
D.

STOP SPAMMERS !!!
Report spamming to spamcop.net
----

murks murks <murks@murks.nl> wrote in message
news:1p0ulscetmgfb3bhqbpavhmv7373dtfj4u@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 01 Jul 2000 22:43:39 GMT, "digimon"
> <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Take a look at another free program
> >
> >http://www.davee.com/scanrec.html
> >
> >can't remember where I found it but just downloaded the updated version.
> >
> >it is completely free.
>
> Does it "time stamp" ?
>
> murks
>

108. Re: R.L.Drake Co. SSr-1 Communicatio Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenny@kacweb.com
Message-ID: <MPG.13ca25e8cf86729298b12c@news.dimensional.com>
Source record: 85626
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,alt.ham-radio.mods,comp.sys.laptops,linux.dev.laptop,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

[This followup was posted to comp.sys.laptops and a copy was sent to the
cited author.]

You should post this on my amateur radio swap list:

http://www.kacweb.com/cgibin/viewradioad.cgi

Best Wishes,
KAC

In article <slv13c7cop39@corp.supernews.com>, toby@gis.net says...
>
> I have a SSR-1 receiver that I was told needs work.I don't know much about
> it except that it was left in the garage when a person that owned it passed
> away.I just want to get a little something for it plus shipping.Make me a
> resonable offer shipped from Mass.
>
>
> --
> Thanks
> ICQ#:423512
> Tel:978-281-3359
> Email:n1rsp@qsl.net
>
>
>
>

--
KAC Website Design
Custom Programming, Web Design, and Graphics
kenny@kacweb.com - http://www.kacweb.com

109. Re: Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hank <hlane@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LXhgORK=wc4ZHJcnb+2n9i0zf2ZK@4ax.com>
Source record: 85627

On Sun, 2 Jul 2000 19:15:27 -0400, "Hamma" <shammer@tiac.net> wrote:

>
> Does anybody know what the frequency is for the Haverhill, Massachusetts
>fire dept?
>

154.01 is dispatch. 154.07 is regional Essex County mutual aid.
hank

110. New WEB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Zotov <unitech@vneshtechnika.ru>
Message-ID: <8jq08e$fu5$1@news247.cnt.ru>
Source record: 85628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

New WEB Site http://www.vneshtechnika.ru

111. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39609BF2.2B244899@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 85629

```
Heywood JaBlomee wrote:
>
> They are immune from US law. They can say whatever they like.
>
> In article <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>,
> TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling
> > full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"

They cannot *guarantee* delivery to the US.

--
Michael Moore
```

112. Re: Unblocked Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Haroldson <haroldso@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <sm1aetarop313@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85630

Praise the Lord for federal government intervention. Where would we huddled masses of ignorant backwoods voters be without our legislators passing more idiotic laws to protect cell phone operators. I think our taxes should be increased to pay for some more regulations and agencies to enforce them. Hell, let's just make it illegal to due things that are illegal. All violators will pay a 100% tax rate for their crimes. All will be well. Cellular phone conversations will be safe because no one will be able to afford to buy a radio to listen to them any more. Then the federal government can use that tax money properly, not squander it like us ignorant backwoods voters would. Daddy Government, save us from ourselves!!!!

"Heywood JaBlomee" <Allalone@home.net> wrote in message news:290620001648299207%Allalone@home.net...
> In article <395B81C7.95FE3091@ll.mit.edu>, L-A <artz@ll.mit.edu> wrote:
> >
> > Since this
> > law is now in effect, there is absoluely no worries at all whatsoever that
> > your
> > conversations will ever be heard because they are all now illegal. Tom Wheeler
> > from the CITA is assuring every single cellular user out there that their
> > conversations are absolutely private now.
>
> Thank God. I'm glad they now have the technology to block these
> signals from all of the older scanners (and old TV with UHF Channels
> 64-83) which would otherwise be able to listen on the unscrambled
> signals.

113. Cybiko Entertainment System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I5285.5069$T%3.76038@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 85631

In Sunday's paper for CompUSA. they advertised a new device designed for kids that appears to be a gameboy that transmits to other Cybikos up to 300' away. They are $99 (after rebate)

It says you can send photos or play games with people within a football stadium or the mall. Wonder if it will sell as the phone type device by Yes! Entertainment never made it.

Check out the website:
http://www.cybiko.com/

Frequency: 902-928 MHz
Number of channels: 30 digital channels
Communication Rate: 19200 bps each channel
Transmission and Receiving Range: 150 ft. indoors, 300 ft. outdoors (environment dependent)
Max. online Cybiko units: 3000 (100 units on each of 30 channels

These are like little MDT's. You can send a text message to your friend 300' away
Users can go to the website and download additional games to play.

Cybiko: a multi-functional communications computer - is your wireless, high-frequency, digital connection to other Cybiko devices. The possibilities are endless with this powerful pocket communications computer. See Product Layout.

With Cybiko you can:

Digitally communicate with other Cybiko computers with a high frequency RF transmission.
Play interactive, wireless games such as Lost in Labyrinth with one or more of your friends.
Play CyLandia where you can raise your own cyber pet Cy-B and you can teleport him wirelessly to a friend. Your Cy-B eats, sleeps, gets a job, can fall in love, get married and have baby Cy-By's.
Chat wirelessly with one person, a small group, or up to 100 people simultaneously in your local network. E-mail anyone on the internet, plus read/write your E-mails offline.
Find a friend or find someone new in malls or theaters - virtually anywhere. And MORE!
Every single day you can download a new game or application FREE.

WHAT YOU GET
Wireless Entertainment Applications:
Wireless Chat
Friend Finder

People Browser
Multi-Player and Single-Player Wireless Games:
Lost in Labyrinth
CyLandia
Billiards
Solitaire
and more, more, more

Business and Personal Applications:
Personal Planner
Alarm Clock
Calculator
Address/Phone book
Phrasebook
Text Editor and Journal
Graphic Editor*
Music Composer*
Organizer (Task Manager, Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Calendar)*
and more, more, more

PC and Internet Connectivity:
Internet e-mail via link through your computer
Cybiko data-exchange with the personal computer
Uploader and File Manager
All hardware to download new programs from the Internet
Software available here.

114. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Ayers <roba@epix.net>
Message-ID: <90d1msc8bba26599pik7240duah77rrejf@4ax.com>
Source record: 85632

I am going on a vacation to Cape May New Jersey and would love
to take my new AR8200 MKII scanner, I searched the Internet for
frequencies for that area but found only a few. I know that there must
be a lot more available, can anyone help or point me to the site that
has that information. I am interested in both local departments,ie
Police, fire and rescue as well as aviation, both civilian and
military.

Thanks In advance,

Robert Ayers

115. Re: Selling my Pro-43 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <gM285.3969$oj6.25731@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

you mean auctioning off.

---

tjp <timp@*NOSpam*pobox.com> wrote in message
news:h66vls455aft63tf794mm5m7rvespislcd@4ax.com...
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=372250033
>
> Thanks for looking.
>

116. Any ATL-BNA-IND-MEM-SDF-STL Airplane Watchers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19361-3960BBF2-7@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85634

If there are any aircraft radio communications enthusiasts that ever go airplane watching at the above mentioned airports and would ever be interested in meeting up, please let me know. I go to STL just about every Sunday, frequently to ATL and occasionally to BNA. I'm looking for good contacts at IND, MEM & SDF also.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
Save America, Evict Clinton
---

117. FS: TCM-5000EV Sony Cassette Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3s385.20163$fR2.206192@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85635

FOR SALE:

TCM-5000EV, Sony Professional, Portable Cassette Recorder / Player!

Click here for a picture, and specifications...

http://www.bbitech.com/tcm5000.htm

Cost $475.00, will sell for only: $275.00, "Like Brand New!"

Bill Crocker

118. BCT7 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: HDScooter <hdscoot@brightok.net>
Message-ID: <3960D70F.73DF02B4@brightok.net>
Source record: 85636

My 3 year old scanner died. Picks up only the CB 1-40 band at close range to the transmitting radio. I was wondering if anyone had fix ideas before I ship it back to Uniden.

It seems if the antennia is not working. I will try a new one, see if it connects better and saves me the repair.

Ideas?

Thanks

Scooter

119. Re: Frequencies for QE2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mipi@aol.comNOJUNK
Message-ID: <20000703144137.04541.00001211@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 85637

Thanks, I already had part of those, will enter the other part. :)

cogito, ergo sum L.

mipi@aol.com

120. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8jqoj2ujl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85638

```
See the info at...

http://www.trainweb.com/cgi-bin/top/tw_doforum.cgi?../railforum/forum2/messa
ges/392.html

----------
In article <2%Q75.4865$B%6.20462@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "digimon"
<digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Yeah, what in the world are you talking about?
>
>
> <zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law top
>> include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I guess
>> one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used scanner
>> at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!
>
>
```

121. Dealer/Retailer Recs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Carl Rooney <wrooney+@andrew.cmu.edu>
Message-ID: <ItMDRHi00XIP0CygY0@andrew.cmu.edu>
Source record: 85639

Hi all

I am looking to buy my first scanner and I would like to know
who is a good dealer, preferably with online ordering, to order from?

Alternatively, any recs for stores in the Pittsburgh, PA area to buy
from (besides Radio Shack?)

I am particularly interested in a Uniden Bearcat 245.

Cheers,
Bill

122. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com>
Message-ID: <8jqv0icmr1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 85640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No HE doesn't need to change jobs.

HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in the world do this without thought to OUR own safety.....Why I hear you ask ??

Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the shit, the drama.....just the fact that we wanna help people.

We are the biggest family in the world.
Firefighters

You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your NOT a Firefighter.

but despite your comment.....one of us WILL rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.

Dave Gibson
Firefighter (23 years)
Glasgow
Scotland
UK

123. Re: I Forgot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com>
Message-ID: <8jqv8c$66n$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 85641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry I forgot to remind YOU to sleep well

Coz while YOUR sleeping WE WILL be protecting YOUR
home, family and property.

Take Care now.....

Dave

124. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <030720001614536657%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 85642

Sure they can. It may not get past the Customs officials, but it will
be inside the U.S.

In article <39609BF2.2B244899@utoronto.ca>, Michael Moore
<m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:

> Heywood JaBlomee wrote:
> >
> > They are immune from US law. They can say whatever they like.
> >
> > In article <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>,
> > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling
> > > full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"
>
> They cannot *guarantee* delivery to the US.

125. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <ET985.786$3a4.330529@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote ...
> No HE doesn't need to change jobs.

I disagree. The author of that ditty needs to change jobs, he is doing it for the wrong reason.

> HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> the world do this without thought to OUR own
> safety.....Why I hear you ask ??

I didn't ask, I know. The author of that piece didn't.

> Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the shit,
> the drama.....just the fact that we
> wanna help people.

I never said or meant to imply differently. You and one bozo seemed to assume a lot from my 7 words.

> We are the biggest family in the world.
> Firefighters

The brotherhood or local boys might disagree.

> You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your
> NOT a Firefighter.

I find it hard to believe you are one too. A firefighter would NEVERwish for the public to share in the horror and misery people suffer through fire and accidents. The whole body of "A Fireman's Wish" is that he wants EVERYONE to have to see the horrors he deals with and the heroic deeds he performs. Are you telling me this is the desire of a fire fighter? For 23 years you walked around wishing we all had to see what you did? Wishing we all would know what a hero you are? Poppycock!

> but despite your comment.....one of us WILL
> rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a
> humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.

That's what the job is all about Dave. That's what you are paid for. I will thank you again and again. But if you think because you choose a profession I should have to see the sadness and horror you do (as "A fireman's Wish" insists), well piss off , you spent 23 years in a profession for the wrong reasons.

Glenn

126. I am looking for someone that has compared these two radios. I live in Durham NC and the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harvey Lindley <hw3tnt@NC.RR.COM>
Message-ID: <396112D8.5B0E9593@NC.RR.COM>
Source record: 85644

I am looking for someone that has compared these two radios. I live in Durham NC and the police and fire depo use Motorola radios. Which of the two scanners will do the best here. What is the diffrence in the two radios as far as speed and compatibality with another brand of radio goes. Will they both pick up signals from the same brand of radios? Which is the best over all radio scanner? Thanks for your help. NICK

--

127. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: gariac <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <sm24uar8op3143@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85645

Yeah, but you can always do better. Clearly you don't advocate floating the inputs of an unused op amp.

Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message news:8jp286$dum@news.or.intel.com...
> All I can say is that it works great for Trunker, 99.9% copy nearly all the
> time. All MDT traffic in Utah is CDPD so I don't even try. You can build
> more complex 2 level slicers but that wasn't my goal. I've built 2 and they
> work flawlessly.
>
> --
> Brett - N7OLQ
> http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
> "gariac" <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com> wrote in message
> news:slqshgtuop324@corp.supernews.com...
> > That's just a hard limiter. What about the 4 level slicer?
> >
> > I see a few problems in the design. One is no bypass caps, which may be
> > omitted for clarity. Another is that a whole op amp is left floating. It
> > could do undefined stuff. Do MDT channels have PL? If that were the case,
> > I'd be inclined to take the other op amp and make a high pass filter to
> get
> > rid of PL.
> >
> > BTW, why do people use op amps for comparators? This is probably OK for
> the
> > application, but from listening to MDTs over the radio, I suspect during
> the
> > idle periods of the MDT this circuit might toggle it's output as there is
> no
> > hysteresis in the design.
> >
> > Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
> > news:8jj3mt$bbq@news.or.intel.com...
> > > On my web page you can see how I did it us RS parts for a 2 level slicer
> > > using the TL082 BiFET opamp.
> > >
> > > http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/equipment.htm
> > >

> > > click on the data slicer link on the left. Click on the photos for a
> > closer
> > > view. There is also a parts lists and schematic.
> > >
> > > Brett - N7OLQ
> > > http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
> > >
> > >
> > > "Ezra" <ezra@uswest.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e7X65.651$bu1.482643@news.uswest.net...
> > > > I want to build a 2 & 4 level data slicer. I've found schematics
> galore,
> > > but
> > > > no PCB layouts. Does anyone know where I might find one?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

128. navy /military freQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: eminence <eminence@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <rls85.8010$4C4.140390@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 85496
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

hi there can anyone send me some military / navy freQs...TIA...cya

--

129. FS: Realistic Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonycor1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704171847.17009.00000762@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 85497

Realistic Pro-26 1.3 GHz scanner. Gets military frequencies. Very good condition, no mods.
$220 obo.

email: tonycor1@aol.com

130. Re: I'm confused.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Hewgley <doit2it@telocity.com>
Message-ID: <1Vs85.15981$sv.3769235@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 85498

Consider the source:
http://www.deja.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=tbone107&ST=MS&svcclass=dnserver&DBS=2

"Tbone107" <tbone107@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000616061237.06869.00002228@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> I just bought a scanner. I don't know why. I guess it was an impulse
thing.
> What are the frequencies? What should I be hearing? Should I buy a
battery? Can
> I use it in my car? How about in an airplane? Why does it not make any
noise at
> all? Can I plug it into a wall socket and listen to it under the water in
my
> bath tub? Can I hear the Teletubbies on it? They have antennas...don't
they?
> The only frequency that shows up is 000.0000. It has this on all the
frequency
> buttons. Can the FBI tell when I'm listening? Do I need a better antenna?
Can I
> hear anything while on a Carribbean cruise? Can I hear the space shuttle?
How
> about those ham radio short wave fellas? Can I hear those NASCAR racers?
What
> should I learn? Is this radio hard to learn to use? What's a "mod"? Can I
make
> cellular calls on my scanner? If my scanner is on, will it keep the police
from
> knowing how fast I'm going? Does this flexible rubber antenna have any
other
> uses? It sure will make a really neat paper weight when I get tired of it.
If I
> were to sell it, would it be worth more if it had more numbers in it? Can
I
> hear those erotic G-spots I keep hearing about? Where can I find the
G-spot?
> Should I get a linear amplifier for it? CBers. What about the truckers on
CB?
> Can I hear the big rigs when they rumble down the highway crashin' the
gate
> doin' 98? Where can I get a copy of the 10-codes? Should I hold it
vertically
> or horizontally? Can anyone help me? Thank you.

131. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8jttf4$lo2$0@63.162.247.246>
Source record: 85499

Michael Moore wrote:

> > > They cannot *guarantee* delivery to the US.
> > >
> > Why not? If it gets caught by Customs, then they offer your money
> > back. Why can they not do that?
>
> They *can* do that but they do not.
>

Thank you for agreeing. They can guarantee delivery in the US.

132. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <396276AB.756A72A@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 85500

```
Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> Michael Moore wrote:
> >
> > Heywood JaBlomee wrote:
> > >
> > > They are immune from US law. They can say whatever they like.
> > >
> > > In article <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>,
> > > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling
> > > > full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"
> >
> > They cannot *guarantee* delivery to the US.
> >
> Why not? If it gets caught by Customs, then they offer your money
> back. Why can they not do that?

They *can* do that but they do not.

--
Michael Moore
```

133. PCSCAN now supports Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ray M. Ransom" <ray@m&#65533;c&#65533;s&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;.com>
Message-ID: <sm55kc7cgas36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
PCSCAN DOS Scanner Program for the Optocom and other radios
============================================================
New version available!

http://www.micosyen.com/radio.htm

Several bugs fixed.

New features added:

- Optocom support at over 50 channels per second
- CTCSS decoder display
- Auto seek to new trunk control channel
- More efficient handling of trunking bit stream
- Channels-per-second display
- RS-232 status display

This is still the best free computer-radio scanner program available at
any price.
```

134. Capacitor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39629956.AB563DED@uswest.net>
Source record: 85502

I picked up a old RS scanner at a yard sale and it was not functional. Upon opening it up there is a blown electrolytic capacitor in it. It appears that someone tried to drill a hole in the back of the scanner and drilled the cap too. At any rate it is rated 2200 micro farads at 25v. The only one close I see in the RS catalog is 2200 micro farads 35 volts or 2200 micro farads 16 volts are either one of those ok to use or do I need the 25 volt one.

Thanks

Greg

135. Re: navy /military freQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Arnold <wxfreqrs@icqmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ju73j$6vl$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 85503
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
FROM what area of the US/World
don

eminence wrote in message ...
>hi there can anyone send me some military / navy freQs...TIA...cya
>
>--
>
> , , /\ /\
> /( /\ )\ _\ \_/ /_
> |\_||_/| < \_ _/ >
> \______/ \|0 0|/
> _\/_ _(_ ^ _)_
> ( () ) /`\|V"""V|/`\
> {} \ \_____/ /
> () /\ )=( /\
> {} / \_/\=/\_/ \
>
>
```

136. BC 780XLT - also, LAPD Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: snowcrest news <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8ju59f$9kp$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 85504

Scanners Unlimited has some updated info on the BC780XLT scanner at
http://www.scannersunlimited.com/780xlt.html

And I have updated info on LAPD Comms and other radio stuff at
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm

Harry

137. Re: Capacitor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-577AF9.19341604072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 85505

In article <39629956.AB563DED@uswest.net>, Greg Renner
<grenner@uswest.net> wrote:

: and drilled the cap too. At any rate it is rated 2200 micro farads at
: 25v. The only one close I see in the RS catalog is 2200 micro farads 35
: volts or 2200 micro farads 16 volts are either one of those ok to use or
: do I need the 25 volt one.

Use the 35. The voltage rating on a capacitor is essentially "I can
handle this much juice before I explode", so if you use one rated
higher, there should be no harm done, so long as the capacitance is the
same. Using the one rated for 16 volts may (quite unlikely in this
application, but possible) cause problems.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

138. Re: small reciver that was put behind an am radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <nrx85.2347$%J6.5503@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85506

How is that UHF model coming?
David A. Lovell <electra@tcon.net> wrote in message
news:ZQq85.1110$%J6.4441@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> "WM. King" <rainair2000@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000702175024.01834.00001104@ng-fx1.aol.com...
> > I am looking for one if any one knows where I can get one let me know
> > > Wm. King Distributor
> > > Ecoquest intl.
> > > www.ecoquestintl.com/rainair2000
>
> Sounds a lot like the old Little Tiger Converter.
>
> We have a few still lying around. Let me know if interested.
>
> Wouldn't you really rather have a Tiger Scan?
>
> Check us out at www.electracorp.com
>
> Sincerely,
>
> David A. Lovell
> info@electracorp.com
>
> P.S.: Dear USENET policemen, i don't consider this a commercial post.
> If you do, why don't you get a life?
>
>

139. Re: Does the BC-245XLT have text?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Wall <lwall2@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3962A77B.5F36E1F7@uswest.net>
Source record: 85507

no it does not get the pro 92 or 2067

gogo@yo.com wrote:

> Can this scanner be programmed to diplay which police
> department it stops on like that one Radio Shack
> scanner does?

140. Re: Beware Dick Burke Part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Aycock <baycock@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <3962BC5C.F2F091D5@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 85508
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Am I missing something? You tried a computer with a battery that had
been off charg for several days, it dies after booting, and then you
"check" the second computer with the same dead battery- taking its
failure as evidence that the computer is bad?

At this point, I dont see that you have made a valid test on anything.
Until you test the computers with known good batteries, or a power
supply, you dont know enough to have a complaint.

Bill-W4BSG

richcom wrote:
>
> Here is an update to all that are interested. The "paperweights"
> arrived today. Supposedly, Dick charged the one battery before
> shipping. Well, it held a trickle of a charge just enough to boot up
> the computer and then DEAD!
>
> I put the battery in the 2nd computer and absolutely NOTHING! No
> boot, NOTHING! Put same battery back in first computer and again
> boots up and computer goes DEAD.
>
> By the way, on the 2nd computer, there is a sticker saying "untested"
> and then in Dick's writing, there is a sticker saying "works".
> Ummmmmm, have I been taken.
>
> So good ole Dick sent me a battery ok, but he failed to say that the
> battery can no longer hold a decent charge for more than a few
> seconds.
>
> Oh well, anyone out there want Dick's good deal? You can have them
> for the $85 shipped.
>
> What a joke. Enjoy the money Dick. What goes around comes around.
>
> Can't wait till you meet up with someone that is as low and foul as
> you and your companion.

141. FA: Uniden SportCat 180

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdhtex@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000703211326.02048.00002209@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 85553

I am selling a Uniden SportCat 180 on an eBay auction. The radio is in excellent condition (virtually new in the box). The radio features an automatic backlight, alphatagging and high speed scanning.

Link to the auction is:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=373764258

Thanks
John Habbinga KC5ZRQ

142. FS 3 scanner package deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueMax <rroewer1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Xa85.3166$ez.27425@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Due to changes in my life several of my hobbies must go...
To that end, I am offering the following as a package deal...
Radio Shack Pro 2051 (1000 channel)
Radio Shack Pro 94 (Includes A/C adapter) (1000 channel)
Sony SC-1 (non PC version) (300 channel)

All in perfect, mint condition. All include original box
and manual. The Sony has never been used at all. The
Radio Shack units have seen very little use.

This is a package deal....$425 for the whole
enchilada, shipping and insurance included.
No COD, no Paypal, sorry. My e-mail addy is my Ebay
ID if you with to check my creditability.
Payment by Postal money order ONLY.
Respond by e-mail, please.

143. Re: old cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlaloc@spamfree.juno.com
Message-ID: <F1b85.748$Uq6.20272@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 85555

In article <395C1407.EF480869@hotmail.com>, Kevin Robokoff <krobokoff@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>I know many old cordless phones come in the low VHF bands particularly
>in the 42-48 mhz regions. I wonder if there are still many old phones
>out there operating at that frequency or are they the thing in the past
>and now all 900 mhz phones??????
>
>
>
>Kevin
>krobokoff@hotmail.com
>
Around here (New York City) I still get more activity in the lower 46-49mhz band then up in the 900-928mhz...The question is does anybody still hear anything from the earliest cordless phones that were just above the AM Broadcast band at 1.7mhz

144. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: the last republican <abuse@fcc.com>
Message-ID: <oZc85.7250$B%6.27488@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85556

Pretty much the same law in Florida -- you have to have permision by the local cops, be a reporter, or have a ham license.

Like one guy on the posted follow ups said -- get a technician license -- almost giveaway now.

keep you legal until law can get changed, which isn't likely in this every increasing "walk the line" politicaly correct society we have created. (Nein, I did not say this -- it was a false post! Seig Heil Clinton und Gore, ve are correct here!! don't send your ATF or FBI storm troppers here to make it correct!)

<zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8jqoj2ujl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> See the info at...
>
>
http://www.trainweb.com/cgi-bin/top/tw_doforum.cgi?../railforum/forum2/messa
> ges/392.html
>
>
> ----------
> In article <2%Q75.4865$B%6.20462@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "digimon"
> <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> > Yeah, what in the world are you talking about?
> >
> >
> > <zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law
top
> >> include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I
guess
> >> one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used
scanner
> >> at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!
> >
> >

145. WTB: Icom IC-R10 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8jrn0o$l74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85557

I'm looking for an Icom IC-R10 receiver in good condition. Computer cable and software would also be nice. Full coverage would be nice as well.

Please email me with price and info.

Thanks!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

146. Re: Radio Shack Repair is insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <sfo2ms0s2s7qtkee56ivelraaplhhplafg@4ax.com>
Source record: 85558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I also would like to know whats up with the 2004, I bought mine the
second year it was out and it has not given me any problems at all.
6,400 channels
DISC Tap
KBMC
S-meter
CE/HB-232 running Pro-Turbo software

On Sat, 24 Jun 2000 02:46:55 GMT, Jesse <gunznrozez@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>yep your right benz i noticed that the 2004 is on his no-no list,though if
>i was you darrin id e-mail the guy anyways and possibly he could tell you
>from experience just what might need to be done,he might fix it but without
>a guarentee,or he might buy it outright for parts. First time ive heard of
>that design flaw and im assuming they corrected it in the 005-006 series
>cause my 006 has performed flawlessly after ummm about 10 years,except for
>having to replace the keypad a couple times-and i got well over 10 mods
>installed in the thing.
>anyways good luck.
>
>Jesse
>
>BenZ wrote:
>
>> Jesse, above provided a good link,
>> but the Scanning Guru does not do the 2004.
>> He claims there is a design flaw...
>>
>> But check this link.
>> http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html
>> What's your problem with it Darrin ?
>>
>> Regards, (Hope it helps anyway)
>> -Ben
>>
>> Darrin wrote in message ...
>> >After 2 weeks, I just got a call from the Radio Shack Service Center
>> >on the status of my Pro-2004. They want $279 to repair it. I don't
>> >think so. I guess that's the last time I send anything to them to fix.
>> >Needless to say, I told them to just send the radio back to me the way
>> >it was.

147. Re: FS/FT: Will trade my IC-Q7A, VX-1R for your BC-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Sue85.700$pQ6.38586@news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 85559

Both radios are no longer available.

--Gary

148. Re: old cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <dut2mscg5r9v9s1dcbajppasbnktijsca3@4ax.com>
Source record: 85560

On Tue, 04 Jul 2000 01:24:53 GMT, tlaloc@spamfree.juno.com wrote:

>The question is does anybody still hear anything from the earliest cordless phones that were just above the AM Broadcast band at 1.7mhz

I heard one about 8 years ago. I haven't heard it since.

--
"I am not an Anarchist in *your* sense of the word :
your brain is too dense for any known explosive to affect it."

--Aleister Crowley

149. Re: BCT7 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <AXe85.443$%J6.1995@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85561

See if the antenna socket has come disconnected from the circuit
board...common problem.
HDScooter <hdscoot@brightok.net> wrote in message
news:3960D70F.73DF02B4@brightok.net...
> My 3 year old scanner died. Picks up only the CB 1-40 band at close
> range to the transmitting radio. I was wondering if anyone had fix
> ideas before I ship it back to Uniden.
>
> It seems if the antennia is not working. I will try a new one, see if it
> connects better and saves me the repair.
>
> Ideas?
>
> Thanks
>
> Scooter

150. Icom R10 Reference Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000704090112.14295.00000719@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85562

```
Icom R10 Reference Page
http://members.aol.com/scanshack/r10/

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://members.aol.com/ScanShack
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip
```

151. FA: Radio Shack Pro-90 300 channel trunk-tracking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Sifontes <sifontes@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <Gvl85.7605$R12.475499@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 85563

Currently at $61:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=373617086

Thanks,

Jose

152. F.S. Bearcat 800 XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704093300.11206.00000136@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 85564

in very good condtion and 100% working condtion. 40 channels 2 banks of 20 channels. has both antennas. no mods. this scanner came with 869-894 khz [cell] installed from the factory. $ 80.00 shipped in U.S. thanks, Garry

315-894-0804

153. Pro-37 Power???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: alex@ksads.wpic.pitt.edu
Message-ID: <8jst6i$36q$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Source record: 85565

Hi all,

I have a pro-37 on the way, but it doesn't include an adapter with it... on the radioshack site, there is online docs for it which state that it's ok to use a non-radioshack ac adapter, but not a non-radioshack charger.

Any comments on this? Seems to me that 9v/300mA is 9v/300mA... is the charging circuitry really this sensitive?

Thanks!

PS - Anyone know where I can get one at a good price?

154. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garth Mattson <mattson@mb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3961FFC3.646921BD@mb.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I get the feeling that Glenn is one or more of the following:

A) Feeling sorry for himself because he tried, and failed, to be a firefighter.
B) Disgruntled because he was a firefighter, screwed up, and was fired.
C) Tired of his meaningless job and wishing he was a firefighter.
D) Just a total idiot who needs to get a life.

I'm getting a little sick of people like Glenn. People who constantly complain and whine about their city's Police and Fire Departments and how they are not worth the property taxes they pay. But these same whiners call the police or fire department in a heartbeat for meanial things like "a kid walked across my lawn", or "my smoke detector has this annoying beep". Then you whine and complain some more because they didn't get there in less than 30 seconds.

People like you will never be satisfied, no matter what anybody does. I have an idea, Glenn: Find the darkest corner of your house, curl up there, and never come out! The world will be a much better place for it.....

Glenn wrote:

> Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote ...
> > No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
>
> I disagree. The author of that ditty needs to change jobs, he is doing it
> for the wrong reason.
>
> > HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> > the world do this without thought to OUR own
> > safety.....Why I hear you ask ??
>
> I didn't ask, I know. The author of that piece didn't.
>
> > Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the shit,
> > the drama.....just the fact that we
> > wanna help people.
>
> I never said or meant to imply differently. You and one bozo seemed to
> assume a lot from my 7 words.
>
> > We are the biggest family in the world.
> > Firefighters
>
> The brotherhood or local boys might disagree.
>
> > You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your

> > NOT a Firefighter.
>
> I find it hard to believe you are one too. A firefighter would NEVERwish for
> the public to share in the horror and misery people suffer through fire and
> accidents. The whole body of "A Fireman's Wish" is that he wants EVERYONE to
> have to see the horrors he deals with and the heroic deeds he performs. Are
> you telling me this is the desire of a fire fighter? For 23 years you walked
> around wishing we all had to see what you did? Wishing we all would know
> what a hero you are? Poppycock!
>
> > but despite your comment.......one of us WILL
> > rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a
> > humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.
>
> That's what the job is all about Dave. That's what you are paid for. I will
> thank you again and again. But if you think because you choose a profession
> I should have to see the sadness and horror you do (as "A fireman's Wish"
> insists), well piss off , you spent 23 years in a profession for the wrong
> reasons.
>
> Glenn

155. Re: Yet Another Icom R-8500 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3961ffc1.2284048@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85567

On Sat, 01 Jul 2000 20:54:58 GMT, KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I went to my local ham store for a test drive and noticed that a relay
>seemed to click every time the squelch was broken. It that the case or
>was some option switched on that I didn't notice? I have read out here
>that they click a lot but gee, every time the squelch is cracked? I
>almost started thinking about finding a used R-7000 again. I loved the
>everything else about the R-8500!
>
>Thanks for your input, I am on the verge of ordering the R-8500!
>
>KeeBird
>Bradley Althouse KJ6AH
>keebird@hotmail.com

No problem...you can disable the record mode in the SET menu.
No more clicking!

John

156. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <8jt200$j3e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just order it with VISA or MASTER CARD......if the scanner is not
delivered...... they have card user protection.....
they have insurance that covers your $$$$$
Eddie

In article <39600452.1B3F70F1@hotmail.com>,
qsl27@hotmail.com wrote:
> No. But it's a waste of time and money. In case you haven't noticed,
> analog cellular is going the way of the dodo bird. And besides, if you
> get a thrill out of listening in on other people's lives you should
> really ask yourself what you plan on doing with yours.
>
> MC
>
> SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:
> >
> > Suppose one wanted to import a full coverage scanner into the
united states from Canada. Is the worst thing that could happen is
seizure or has anyone heard about fines and Jail time? Is it possible
to get into some serious doo-doo by obtaining a full coverage scanner
this way?
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

157. Any freq for the 2.4 GHz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: madmun@kamali.net
Message-ID: <8jt0kb$gp3$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85569

thanks

158. Re: =====Pro-92 or BC245XLT====

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <2Gn85.5593$oj6.35708@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85570

get the BC245XLT. The 92 sucks.

"Harvey Lindley" <hw3tnt@NC.RR.COM> wrote in message
news:396114F0.293F94D@NC.RR.COM...
> I am looking for someone that has compared these two radios. I live in
> Durham NC and the police and fire depo use Motorola radios. Which of the
> two scanners will do the best here. What is the diffrence in the two
> radios as far as speed and compatibality with another brand of radio
> goes. Will they both pick up signals from the same brand of radios?
> Which is the best over all radio scanner? Thanks for your help. NICK
>
> --
>
>
> Good-bye from Harvey Lindley & Sharon Conklin
> Think like a man of action, act like a man of thought.
> H&S HOME REPAIR
> hw3tnt@nc.rr.com- phone # (919) 688-9427
>
>

159. Pro-94 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <8jt542ldq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85571

pro-94 1000 channel R/S scanner (excellent condition)
you guys know the spec's and the rest of the radio
$175.00
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

160. Scanist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <8jt551$le2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85572

PS:
I'M BACK !!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

161. W T B Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <39621098.EC6D53B9@penn.com>
Source record: 85573

I am looking for a Bearcat 260 scanner
that came out in the early 80's.

Walt.

162. Re: bearcat 800 xlt SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704124544.01251.00001112@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 85574

thanks all Garry

163. Does the BC-245XLT have text on display?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <39621FCF.B9ABC820@yo.com>
Source record: 85575

Can one enter text on the display describing which
Police department the TT stops on?

164. Does the BC-245XLT have text?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <39622027.E6339EFE@yo.com>
Source record: 85576

Can this scanner be programmed to diplay which police department it stops on like that one Radio Shack scanner does?

165. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <o8r85.511$5O4.223131@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Garth Mattson <mattson@mb.sympatico.ca> showing his onability to comphrehend spewed.....

> I get the feeling that Glenn is one or more of the following:

Rather than use you warped "feeling" try reading what I wrote.

> A) Feeling sorry for himself because he tried, and failed, to be a firefighter.
> B) Disgruntled because he was a firefighter, screwed up, and was fired.
> C) Tired of his meaningless job and wishing he was a firefighter.
> D) Just a total idiot who needs to get a life.

You certainly have me convinced you modeled "D" after yourself.

> I'm getting a little sick of people like Glenn. People who constantly complain
> and whine about their city's Police and Fire Departments and how they are not
> worth the property taxes they pay.

OK Mr Garth Moron, where did I say or even imply that. CAN YOU READ? Show mw where I complained and whined about ANY department.You can't. You are full of it!

> But these same whiners call the police or
> fire department in a heartbeat for meanial things like "a kid walked across my
> lawn", or "my smoke detector has this annoying beep". Then you whine and
> complain some more because they didn't get there in less than 30 seconds.

Sounds like you are relating your own actions Garth. Again moron, where did I complain??

> People like you will never be satisfied, no matter what anybody does. I have an
> idea, Glenn: Find the darkest corner of your house, curl up there, and never
> come out! The world will be a much better place for it.....

Take you own advise Garth, but first learn to read. Not once did I bad mouth or show a lack of support for firemen. You just are too stupid to comphrend what I wrote. Anybody in any profession that deals with human suffering and tragedy is screwed up if they wish for the crap the author of "A Fireman's Wish" does. Has nothing to do with support or any of your spew Garth. You couldn't have read what I wrote or you wouldn't say such moronic things

unless you are a moron. Sheesh!

Glenn

> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote ...
> > > No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
> >
> > I disagree. The author of that ditty needs to change jobs, he is doing
it
> > for the wrong reason.
> >
> > > HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> > > the world do this without thought to OUR own
> > > safety.....Why I hear you ask ??
> >
> > I didn't ask, I know. The author of that piece didn't.
> >
> > > Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the shit,
> > > the drama.....just the fact that we
> > > wanna help people.
> >
> > I never said or meant to imply differently. You and one bozo seemed to
> > assume a lot from my 7 words.
> >
> > > We are the biggest family in the world.
> > > Firefighters
> >
> > The brotherhood or local boys might disagree.
> >
> > > You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your
> > > NOT a Firefighter.
> >
> > I find it hard to believe you are one too. A firefighter would NEVERwish
for
> > the public to share in the horror and misery people suffer through fire
and
> > accidents. The whole body of "A Fireman's Wish" is that he wants
EVERYONE to
> > have to see the horrors he deals with and the heroic deeds he performs.
Are
> > you telling me this is the desire of a fire fighter? For 23 years you
walked
> > around wishing we all had to see what you did? Wishing we all would know
> > what a hero you are? Poppycock!
> >
> > > but despite your comment.....one of us WILL
> > > rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a
> > > humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.
> >

> > That's what the job is all about Dave. That's what you are paid for. I
will
> > thank you again and again. But if you think because you choose a
profession
> > I should have to see the sadness and horror you do (as "A fireman's
Wish"
> > insists), well piss off , you spent 23 years in a profession for the
wrong
> > reasons.
> >
> > Glenn
>

166. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Fbr85.522$5O4.224275@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't think Visa doesn't cover the ordering and loss of illegal
merchandise.

Glenn

<scanist@acmenet.net> wrote in message news:8jt200$j3e$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Just order it with VISA or MASTER CARD......if the scanner is not
> delivered...... they have card user protection.....
> they have insurance that covers your $$$$$
> Eddie
>
>
>
> In article <39600452.1B3F70F1@hotmail.com>,
> qsl27@hotmail.com wrote:
> > No. But it's a waste of time and money. In case you haven't noticed,
> > analog cellular is going the way of the dodo bird. And besides, if you
> > get a thrill out of listening in on other people's lives you should
> > really ask yourself what you plan on doing with yours.
> >
> > MC
> >
> > SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:
> > >
> > > Suppose one wanted to import a full coverage scanner into the
> united states from Canada. Is the worst thing that could happen is
> seizure or has anyone heard about fines and Jail time? Is it possible
> to get into some serious doo-doo by obtaining a full coverage scanner
> this way?
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

167. Re: Optolinx CX-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <396257B2.585F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 85579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Patrick Sullivan wrote:
>
> Hello all, does anybody have a manual for a Optoelectronics Optolinx CX12
> interface that they could make a copy of for me. I would be happy to pay
> reasonable duplication and shipping costs.
>
> Thanks KK6PD Pat
Call Optoelectronics it's free. 73 Chris

168. Re: Pro-37 Power???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <06ddabee.6068f129@usw-ex0104-031.remarq.com>
Source record: 85580

The RS adapter is probably less than $10...Why risk it?

alex@ksads.wpic.pitt.edu (Alex Ferguson) wrote:
>Hi all,
>
>I have a pro-37 on the way, but it doesn't include an adapter
with it...
>on the radioshack site, there is online docs for it which state
that it's
>ok to use a non-radioshack ac adapter, but not a non-radioshack
charger.
>
>Any comments on this? Seems to me that 9v/300mA is
9v/300mA... is the
>charging circuitry really this sensitive?
>
>Thanks!
>
>PS - Anyone know where I can get one at a good price?
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

169. Icom 737

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Churchill <paulchur@paulchur.ezesurf.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8jtcem$4jg$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 85581

I would like to swap my Icom 737 transceiver with Icom SM20 delux base mic and a 1223 (23 amp) power supply for a Racal 1792 receiver please email me at paulchur@paulchur.ezesurf.co.uk.
Thankyou.

170. Re: small reciver that was put behind an am radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <ZQq85.1110$%J6.4441@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85582

"WM. King" <rainair2000@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000702175024.01834.00001104@ng-fx1.aol.com...
> I am looking for one if any one knows where I can get one let me know
> Wm. King Distributor
> Ecoquest intl.
> www.ecoquestintl.com/rainair2000

Sounds a lot like the old Little Tiger Converter.

We have a few still lying around. Let me know if interested.

Wouldn't you really rather have a Tiger Scan?

Check us out at www.electracorp.com

Sincerely,

David A. Lovell
info@electracorp.com

P.S.: Dear USENET policemen, i don't consider this a commercial post.
If you do, why don't you get a life?

171. WAS THE MX7000 LISTED ON 7/3 SOLD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: loisliz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704152934.01161.00001369@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 85583

I saw an MX 7000 for sale earlier yesterday for $125, but had to sign off. When I signed back on, the message was gone. If the person who had this scanner for sale reads this, and the scanner has not been sold, could you please e-mail me?
Thanks,
Bill B.
ALLERLEIAN@AOL.COM

172. FraudAlert wa2fso@bellatlantic.net Steven Neumann

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <20000704153820.02669.00002896@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 85584

I regret this...once again I've been scammed by a member of this group.

Here's the information for those of you interested

wa2fso@bellatlantic.net is:

Steven Neumann
46 Chestnut # 1-F
Tuckahoe New York
10707

He received and signed for a USPS money order on 6/13
I received the green return of service card on the 15th.

He has written several E-mails responding to me until about 2 weeks ago with updates on the delays of the shipment. As of July 3rd no shipment was received by me.
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

173. Re: CE-232scanner/computer interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <qng4msk8qdeitis2fnejrov0huidmsoagr@4ax.com>
Source record: 85585

FIrst off you do not need to use the DOS software unless you have a
spare system to dedicate to it. If not then have a look at the
Pro-Turbo software at:
http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html
It does everything the DOS program does, but htis is a full 32bit
program and has many more features than the DOS software.

Also if you want a CE/HB-232 send e-mail to Bill's wife at:
"Cindy Cheek" <ccheek@comtronics.net>
and give her time to resopnd to you, I have just recieved an e-mail
from her and all her time is spent caring for Bill, but she will
respond to you and is still selling the kits.

Cheers

Len

On Tue, 27 Jun 2000 12:22:32 -0400, Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
wrote:

>juc6g@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> Hello,
>> I've been looking to purchase a CE-232. I went to Bill Cheeks
>> site and the phone number is disconnected and I get no response to E-
>> mail. I understand the schematic is in "The Ultimate Scanner" but does
>> it give full documentation on hooking it up to a PRO-2004? Can anyone
>> direct me to where I can get the kit and instruction manual?
>
>One other thing to consider is using this CE232/HB232 interface under
>Windows. Basically the control program is a DOS program, that will run in
>Windows BUT, if you use a non-standard com port IRQ combination it is lock
>up city. I finally gave up and went with a newer scanner with the
>interface built in. A lot less grief in the long run. BTW, I still like
>my modified Pro-2006 and won't part with it, but the practical side of me
>won on the interfacing side.
>Pete

174. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <39624520.3A97763B@home.com>
Source record: 85586

> Just mount the scanner in your trunk and pipe the audio up on an unused
> commercial FM channel. The hardware is available from RS. It's yet
> another uninforceable law on the books. If you get pulled over just turn
> your radio off.

73's Mike K8MB

175. Dayton Air & Trade Show Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <Azr85.38156$dF.1429002@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 85587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have scanner frequencies used by the acts, as well as Dayton Int'l ATC at the Dayton Air & Trade Show? I would also like show security, military security personnel etc. If you have Wright-Patterson AFB traffic control & museum frequencies, those would be great.

Please E-Mail your response to flyboy4097@home.com

176. FS Amplifier FS and BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <8jtl3jfk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85588

Hewlett Packard 8447D AMP.
0.1-1300 MHZ coverage
26db gain 50 ohm in/out
I use this with my 2006/456 set up and it really works well pulling
in those weak signals without over driving the front end.
I am selling it as I have 2, it hardly gets used and just sits in my
closet.
I paid $1299.00 a piece 3 or 4 years ago and am asking
$ 500.00 (the company still wants $1300.00 for this amp)

Bearcat BC245XLT Trunk Tracker II
300 channels,box, manual, ex. condition
$ 175.00
thanks,
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

177. Radio Shack Scantenna 20-042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <8Dr85.5999$cR2.471937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85589

Just got one today at the store in Willowick, Ohio. Only $9.99 on clearance and they have one more left. It's the EXACT same antenna as the Grove Scantenna made my Antennacraft. I used to have one of them. What a deal!

Frank

178. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8jthn9ohf0@63.162.247.45>
Source record: 85590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Autopilot wrote:
>
> No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
>
> HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> the world do this without thought to OUR own
> safety.....Why I hear you ask ??
>
Actually, I tend to agree with Glenn. Whoever penned the
poem, if they are on the job, needs to consider counseling.

If the job has hit them as hard as the poem makes them
out to have, then yes, they have problems.

I personally do not wish all the things that the author
wishes on people on anyone.

I also think that poems, sayings, etc are usually a bit too
corny for my tastes.

> You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your
> NOT a Firefighter.
>
I am however. Been there, done that, got the t shirt,
and am still doing it.

> Dave Gibson
> Firefighter (23 years)
> Glasgow
> Scotland
> UK

Blake Bowers
Asst Chief <Been in Emergency services since 1976, so 24 years>

179. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8jthqmohf1@63.162.247.45>
Source record: 85591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Garth Mattson wrote:

> I'm getting a little sick of people like Glenn. People who constantly complain
> and whine about their city's Police and Fire Departments and how they are not
> worth the property taxes they pay. But these same whiners call the police or
> fire department in a heartbeat for meanial things like "a kid walked across my
> lawn", or "my smoke detector has this annoying beep". Then you whine and
> complain some more because they didn't get there in less than 30 seconds.
>

??????????????

Where did Glenn complain about anything that you have mentioned above?

Did you read Glenn's post?

> People like you will never be satisfied, no matter what anybody does. I have an
> idea, Glenn: Find the darkest corner of your house, curl up there, and never
> come out! The world will be a much better place for it.....
>

Again, you seem to be complaining about Glenn, when he has done none
of the things you are accusing him of.

180. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8jti8fpll0@63.162.247.45>
Source record: 85592

```
Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Heywood JaBlomee wrote:
> >
> > They are immune from US law. They can say whatever they like.
> >
> > In article <20000702103537.23311.00001945@nso-cg.aol.com>,
> > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > How is it that Canadian dealers can advertise in Pop Comm, selling
> > > full-coverage scanners with "guaranteed delivery to U.S.?"
>
> They cannot *guarantee* delivery to the US.
>
Why not? If it gets caught by Customs, then they offer your money
back. Why can they not do that?
```

181. Re: Scanist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <B0s85.5681$oj6.38648@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85593

<scanist@acmenet.net> wrote
> PS:
> I'M BACK !!!!!!!!
>

PS:
Good for you!!!!!!!!!

182. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Autopilot <Autopilot@supanet.com>
Message-ID: <8jti49rnt1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 85594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Glenn,

As you so rightly quote.....READ WHAT I WROTE.

The author of The Fireman's Wish is not really that he wants Mr Public to experience the horrors of his job but just that Mr Public understands that is HIS JOB.

Yeh, I've been in the 'streets' for 23 years, and NO not for the wrong reasons. Why can I say this ??

Again easy, it's NOT all death and destruction, I've managed to save a few lives in my time, I've even helped deliver a child for one Lady.

I've had some really good times with Mr Public when he/she's managed to get 'stuck' in an awkward situation.

Of course we all get those doom and gloom times but the up-side always makes them seem so far away.

Yeh, we have those who call us just to say the smoke alarm needs the battery changed but usually those people have no-one else in their miserable life.

I'm a Firefighter, but sometimes I have to just be a good listener, a friend and most of all a BUDDY.

You seemed to concentrate on the negative side of The Firemans Wish, I suggest you look at the positive side.

You don't have to see what it says, there are thousands of guys who do it day and daily.

And as for the brotherhood.....well or the local boys.

I've never met them, but I've been all over the world and I've always found a brother in every city, town, village that I've stayed in.

We have a common bond, which only another Firefighter can understand.....and even the brotherhood or local boys WILL need us at some time.

Dave Gibson
Firefighter

183. WTB: Grove Preamp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: loisliz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704170035.01204.00001274@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 85595

I am looking to buy a Grove preamp (the model which was designed for base scanners, and has an adjustable attenuation/gain control). Excellent working condition and cosmetics only, please.
Thanks,
Bill B.
ALLERLEIAN@AOL.COM

184. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8k0is3$6qf$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85446

Do you think though that the Florida State troppers would give one a hard time about a scanner turned off and in the trunk like in Michigan? That is the mani point of my post, about a scanner being locked up in a trunk and still the Michigan State Police say that is not legal.

In article <oZc85.7250$B%6.27488@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "the last republican" <abuse@fcc.com> wrote:

> Pretty much the same law in Florida -- you have to have permision by the
> local cops, be a reporter, or have a ham license.
>
> Like one guy on the posted follow ups said -- get a technician license --
> almost giveaway now.
>
> keep you legal until law can get changed, which isn't likely in this every
> increasing "walk the line" politicaly correct society we have created.
> (Nein, I did not say this -- it was a false post! Seig Heil Clinton und
> Gore, ve are correct here!! don't send your ATF or FBI storm troppers here
> to make it correct!)
>
>
> <zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:8jqoj2ujl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>> See the info at...
>>
>>
> http://www.trainweb.com/cgi-bin/top/tw_doforum.cgi?../railforum/forum2/messa
>> ges/392.html
>>
>>
>> ----------
>> In article <2%Q75.4865$B%6.20462@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "digimon"
>> <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> > Yeah, what in the world are you talking about?
>> >
>> >
>> > <zeno333@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:8jogji$1l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> >> Apparantly Michigan police are interpreting the Michigan scanner law
> top
>> >> include a scanner turned off and even in a trunk locked away etc. I
> guess

>> >> one must walk home a scanner that you buy at radio Shack or a used
> scanner
>> >> at a flea market or bought somewhere else..crazy world!
>> >
>> >
>
>

185. Re: Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Clark <jetguy1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3963DCFD.EAD6B817@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 85447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Utah Thanks!

thekennys wrote:

> what area do you need?
>
> Brent Clark wrote:
> >
> > It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already
> > burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire
> > tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have
> > BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but
> > no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent

186. Re: old cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8k0kv5025ht@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 85448

I noticed this too. As I was using a tv antenna, turned sideways, several years back. I was getting alot of that kid junk at those lower freqs.

I changed to the grove beam antenna, its tuned so I don't get that lower stuff anymore.

xman Charlie

Who Did you Expect? <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message news:8jv98r$j6b$1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <395C1407.EF480869@hotmail.com>, krobokoff@hotmail.com says...
> >
> >
> >I know many old cordless phones come in the low VHF bands particularly
> >in the 42-48 mhz regions. I wonder if there are still many old phones
> >out there operating at that frequency or are they the thing in the past
> >and now all 900 mhz phones??????
> >
> >
> >
> >Kevin
> >krobokoff@hotmail.com
> >
> >
> Here in central Kentucky the "older" 49MHz phones are still
> very common. Very Few [percentage wise] of the new 900/2400
> phones. A drive through the upscale areas show more of the
> newwer phones but even there the older ones are more common.
> There are even quite a few of the old 1.8MHZ/49Mhz units
> still going.
> Most of the "kiddies" are leaving the 49MHz "HT" behind
> and jumping on the FRS with a few well heeled ones
> migrating to the VHF marine band.
> Please do not flame me, I am just reporting what is going
> on.
>

187. Re: Capacitor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3963DA84.B5D3BEF1@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 85449

For most of the big electrolytics you have a big choice. That sounds like a filter for the power supply. anything from about 2000 up to 4000 mfd could probably be used. The voltage rating is the minimum you should normally use, but it will not hurt to go bigger. Wouldn't really want to go much over 50 or 75 volts as sometimes they do not form like they should, but if 100 volts or more was handy and free, I would try that. By form , the electrolytics are actually damp inside much like the dry cells are. Not totally dry. The plates of the capacitor actually change properties as voltage is applied to they.

Greg Renner wrote:
>
> I picked up a old RS scanner at a yard sale and it was not functional.
> Upon opening it up there is a blown electrolytic capacitor in it. It
> appears that someone tried to drill a hole in the back of the scanner
> and drilled the cap too. At any rate it is rated 2200 micro farads at
> 25v. The only one close I see in the RS catalog is 2200 micro farads 35
> volts or 2200 micro farads 16 volts are either one of those ok to use or
> do I need the 25 volt one.
>
> Thanks
>
>

188. Skip active in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pantani <bianchi@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <3963E8CE.FEE3537B@ttlc.net>
Source record: 85450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello all,

I've been hearing skip frequencies all day. Mainly in the 39's, 42's, 45's,
and up to 46.5.

I've put in my scanner, frequencies in Arkansas, Connecticut, Kansas,
Illinois, North Carolina, and Michigan. These are areas I've heard
last summer. Give a listen and hear other comms.
David
--
Newmarket, NH
Pro2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
Bearcat 250, BCT-7
AIM: gisNH
IRC: GISscannr

189. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Amspoker <sda@rt66.com>
Message-ID: <ulp7mss07hi41q82brrtojn8eucc08s0g4@4ax.com>
Source record: 85451

zeno333@mindspring.com wrote:

>Do you think though that the Florida State troppers would give one a hard
>time about a scanner turned off and in the trunk like in Michigan? That is
>the mani point of my post, about a scanner being locked up in a trunk and
>still the Michigan State Police say that is not legal.

The wording of the Michigan law is pretty sloppy (why don't we elect people who understand what they're doing?) I'm not a lawyer, but it seems that pulling folks over as they leave Radio Shack with the newly purchased scanner packed away in the trunk isn't quite the sort of thing that the courts like to see.

Don't waste your time asking the police. All they can tell you is their policy. They're not qualified to interpret the law. Unfortunately, someone might have to force it to a court to rule exactly when you can and cannot transport a scanner in your car. Perhaps clarify the intent of the law.

Scott Amspoker |
sda@rt66.com |
http://www.rt66.com/sda |

190. Need Chicago Air & Water Show freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: CPMachnick@ync.net
Message-ID: <3963EF01.86D0FFBC@ync.net>
Source record: 85452

Need the freqs for the show? Check this out!

Chicago Scanning Club

or the mirror site

191. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-E4798B.20081205072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 85453

In article <8k0is3$6qf$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
zeno333@mindspring.com wrote:

: Do you think though that the Florida State troppers would give one a hard
: time about a scanner turned off and in the trunk like in Michigan? That
: is
: the mani point of my post, about a scanner being locked up in a trunk and
: still the Michigan State Police say that is not legal.

As a former resident of Michigan (30+ years) and Florida (not quite 2 years) with about a year working in southern Georgia to finance the last leg of the trip, I can tell you that, as a group, MISP are a bunch of assholes with severe control-freak mentalities. Mussolini would have been proud to have 90% of them.

People bad-mouth the "good ol' boys" of Georgia. They're the people who have never encountered a Michigan state trooper.

If you get pulled over by one of Michigan's troopers, you're going to get a citation of some kind, guaranteed, even if it means busting out a tailight for you because they can't find anything else. Something like an un-papered scanner in the vehicle... Whoooooo! Jackpot baby! Might even be able to turn it into a full-blown felony arrest if we can just get the poor bastard behind the wheel pissed off enough to talk back to us! And of course, if they've got a scanner, it's probable cause for a full search of the vehicle to see if there's so much as a 30 year old pot seed under a floor mat. If we can find one, we can impound the car, AND arrest the poor schmuck! (Hmmm... how handy... I happen to have a couple seeds right here... Yo! Captain! I think you ought to see this!)

Florida troopers were, in my experience, MUCH more laid back. As long as the scanner was off, and you were polite, they seemed to not give a damn about it. Never got pulled over in Georgia, but did have some dealings with the Albany Sherriff from time to time. They were always decent folk, even if some of them were a bit... "slow on the uptake", shall we say.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

192. Re: question regarding entering trunked frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Superman <superman2@usa.com>
Message-ID: <26fe8102.067898a6@usw-ex0102-015.remarq.com>
Source record: 85454

Please help

****Email Address****

superman2@usa.com

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

193. FA: Regency HX-1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: hemingray55@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ju354$9n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85509

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=374440175

ends July 14 15:38:44

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

194. FA: Regency HX-1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: hemingray55@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ju356$9n3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85510

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=374440175

ends July 14 15:38:44

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

195. FS ICOM R-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: silver506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704201525.21560.00000925@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 85511

I am the original owner. I am entertaining serious inquiries only. Receiver is in good condition (used) and works as designed. I use it daily and know of no operational problems whatsoever. I have all original boxes, manuals and included are the 19" rack handles. Please respond via email. I reserve the right to not sell if the offers come in low enough that I decide to simply keep the receiver.

Silver596@aol.com
Near Denver, CO

196. Re: Watkins Johnson Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mte1 <mte1@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <396256AC.B5470EE4@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85512

Hi Moe
what radio do you want it for and do you have any to trade i a bunch of wj radios

thanks john
mte1@bellatlantic.net

Moe Radar wrote:

> I'm trying to find a copy of the "WJ-RCS" for Watkins-Johnson receivers.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> -Moe

197. Re: OMAHA Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mte1 <mte1@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <396257A9.6E9812C5@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85513

Hi
Could i get you xls file I am going to omaha in aug also
thanks
john
mte1@bellatlantic.net

combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net wrote:

> Hi Stephen,
> Can you handle Excel Spreadsheets?
> I can give you all the freqs you need for the Omaha area including the
> airports, Offutt AFB and surrounding areas.
>
> Bill
>
> "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" wrote:
>
> > I'm moving to Omaha in August...looking for frequencies (esp. PD/FD)
> > Thanks in advance!
> >
> > Steve
> >
> > --
> > Stephen M.H. Lawrence
> > LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
> > "People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

198. SOLD THE IC R2 /

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000704202315.24082.00000442@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 85514

The R2 has been sold

199. antennas on billboards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: monte.carroll@nashville.com
Message-ID: <39628a95.11328702@news.nashville.com>
Source record: 85515

My wife and I just returned from Anaheim, California where I spotted what appeared to be some type of rotating antenna on top of various billboards. The antennas, two on each billboard I noticed, were likely dipoles. The elements were horizotal, about 8 to 10 feet each side, and were spinning. Picture the billboard as an aircraft wing pointing straight up. The antennas would be the propellers, spinning much slower, of course - more the speed of a radar antenna. And they weren't in sync. Any ideas what they were? I think they were on Orangewood Avenue a couple or three miles from Disneyland.

Monte

200. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3967ab5c.80293740@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 85516

On Fri, 30 Jun 2000 15:38:20 -0600, "Brett - N7OLQ"
<brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote:

>On my web page you can see how I did it us RS parts for a 2 level slicer
>using the TL082 BiFET opamp.
>
>http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/equipment.htm
>
>click on the data slicer link on the left. Click on the photos for a closer
>view. There is also a parts lists and schematic.

Wow, I can't believe it! People are still using that design..second
time in a week I've seen it on a webpage. Should have stuck my email
in the .jpg.
Nice work with the enclosure and the DB-9's

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

201. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3968ac4b.80532633@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 85517

On Mon, 3 Jul 2000 15:30:25 -0700, "gariac"
<gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com> wrote:

>Yeah, but you can always do better. Clearly you don't advocate floating the
>inputs of an unused op amp.

Long way back, when everyone and their brother were using PD (the
pocsag program), then trunker and the hammcom interfaces, I built
every single one that a schematic appeared for. I had ok results. One
day I bought that dualbifet by mistake and decided to try to make the
simplest interface possible by looking at every available schematic.

That is what I came up with. It worked at 99.9% decoding with trunker
and controlled my OS456 on the same port! I even fit it inside a DB-25
shell! I was in heaven, I promptly drew that easy to follow schematic
so other people might have better luck with building one.
Point is, I have very little EE knowledge...nothing I didn't learn
building these simple interfaces. You can debate the design until you
are blue in the face, but three years later it is still working for me
100% perfect!!
Also works awesome on MDT, POCFLEX, WINFLEX and the others....

>Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
>news:8jp286$dum@news.or.intel.com...
>> All I can say is that it works great for Trunker, 99.9% copy nearly all
>the
>> time. All MDT traffic in Utah is CDPD so I don't even try. You can build
>> more complex 2 level slicers but that wasn't my goal. I've built 2 and
>they
>> work flawlessly.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

202. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <Y1z85.3590$ve4.472227@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 85518
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes i am in nevada and my shack has 4 i got one.. and wired it to my 100
watt 2 meter and did a split its kinda fun to play with..

john
"Who Did you Expect?" <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message
news:8jnknt$a8u$1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <slql2da7op37@corp.supernews.com>, terry@weatherford.org
says...
> >
> >I'm having troubles finding the "clearance" Radio Shack simplex repeater
> >that is on sale for 49.95....
> >
> >what about you? do you know where I can get?
> >
> >does any one have any experience???
> >
> >Thanks!
> >--
> >Terry Weatherford, Jr.
> >
> >Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or
http://www.N9MAE.com
> >Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
> >Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
> >ICQ #: 45591028
> >
> >Call my voicemail: 1-800-222-6000 ext. 618288000
> >
> >
> The Rat Shack in Eastland Shopping Center, Lexington Kentucky,
> has three.
> I was going to grab one, but at $50.00 it is a little too
> expensive for a toy that I will almost neer use.
> good Luck
>

203. SBE OPTISCAN manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000705013807.01916.00001469@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 85519

hello ;

do you have a SBE " OPTOSCAN " model sbe 12 sm .

this is the scanner that uses " cards " to select freqs .

i have the owners manual with the freq charts .

if interested in a copy , e - mail me . wbelsito@aol.com

204. CTCSS tone board for Uniden scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000705013816.01220.00001394@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 85520

At Ebay
item # 372623156,and look under "seller's other auctions" for other items

205. FA: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tobfireguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000705014109.01172.00001555@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 85521

Hello, I have a Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT 200 channel portable scanner for auction on e-bay. The scanner is used and in good condition. Scanner picks up 800 Mhz. Please follow the link:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=373583545
Thanx
Billy

206. Re: Data Slicer PCB Layout?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gariac <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <sm5nnugagas33@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85522

Nothing in communications is 100% perfect. Communications is a game involving bit error rates and signal to noise ratios. No analog circuit works flawlessly. After all, it's analog.

Why not see if you can make it better. The bypass caps are cheap, and small. It will still fit in the DB25. A simple way to "disarm" an unused op amp is to connect the output back to the negative input, and tie the positive input to ground. No components needed at all.

Jack <kryppy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3968ac4b.80532633@news.atl.bellsouth.net...
> On Mon, 3 Jul 2000 15:30:25 -0700, "gariac"
> <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com> wrote:
>
> >Yeah, but you can always do better. Clearly you don't advocate floating the
> >inputs of an unused op amp.
> Point is, I have very little EE knowledge...nothing I didn't learn
> building these simple interfaces. You can debate the design until you
> are blue in the face, but three years later it is still working for me
> 100% perfect!!
> Also works awesome on MDT, POCFLEX, WINFLEX and the others....

207. Frequencies in New Brunswick Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Fusk <patfusk@nb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <39631A6F.AFD2C4A8@nb.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85523

Where can one get a list of emergency, police fire and marine
frequencies for New Brunswick Canada, Mincton area.
Thanks

208. Re: old cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8jv98r$j6b$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 85524

In article <395C1407.EF480869@hotmail.com>, krobokoff@hotmail.com says...
>
>
>I know many old cordless phones come in the low VHF bands particularly
>in the 42-48 mhz regions. I wonder if there are still many old phones
>out there operating at that frequency or are they the thing in the past
>and now all 900 mhz phones??????
>
>
>
>Kevin
>krobokoff@hotmail.com
>
>
Here in central Kentucky the "older" 49MHz phones are still
very common. Very Few [percentage wise] of the new 900/2400
phones. A drive through the upscale areas show more of the
newwer phones but even there the older ones are more common.
There are even quite a few of the old 1.8MHZ/49Mhz units
still going.
Most of the "kiddies" are leaving the 49MHz "HT" behind
and jumping on the FRS with a few well heeled ones
migrating to the VHF marine band.
Please do not flame me, I am just reporting what is going
on.

209. Regency manual needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul flautt <pflautt@netpluscom.com>
Message-ID: <3963364F.72B833D6@netpluscom.com>
Source record: 85525

Need a copy of Regency ACT-R 10H/L/U
If you can help me out e-mail at my address.
Thanks Paul

210. BC245XLT Follow-On Product - Any info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <2ll6ms0ieanbrktebbt1qqihst1dal9fd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 85526

I am waiting for the follow-on (if any) to the 245XLT. Does anyone know when and if there will be such a product and what its feature set will look like?

211. Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: uihc34@aol.comforgetit
Message-ID: <20000705122018.02622.00002954@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 85527

Hello, What is the model number of the new Bearcat trunking scanne. This is the model that can scan trunk and conventional at the same time. Has it been released yet and where could I find some information on it. Thanks for your help.

Ken

212. IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Antonio <30DT095@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <FsJ85.2970$P4.9301@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85528

ME GUSTARIA CONTACTAR CON COLEGAS QUE UTILICEN ESTE SCANNER PARA INDICARME ALGUNA ANTENA COMERCIAL PARA PODER RECIBIR ADECUADAMENTE EN HF.TAMBIEN NECESITO FRECUENCIAS O ESPECTROS DE FRECUENCIAS EN DONDE BUSCAR YA QUE SOY BASTANTE NUEVO.

Jose Antonio
30DT095@teleline.es

213. FS: OptoTrakker Decoder!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <lmJ85.25052$fR2.226660@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85529

FOR SALE:

Like brand new, complete with box, manual, software!
ONLY: $250.00 shipped within the U.S.!

Bill Crocker

Mfg. Site: http://www.optoelectronics.com/optotrakker.htm

The Optotrakker is a communications decoder that when interfaced to a computer, will quickly and easily turn a number of receivers into a trunked radio tracking receiver. The Optotrakker can also interface to virtually any receiver for the decoding of CTCSS, DCS, LTR, Motorola Type I and II, and DTMF, in a non-PC application by displaying data on its two line LCD display.

WHAT CAN THE OPTOTRAKKER DO FOR YOU ?

The Optotrakker used with the supplied TrakkStar Windows software, is the first and only product that can scan multiple types of trunking systems on any frequency band at the same time. The Optotrakker allows you to now scan Motorola 400MHz, 500MHz, 800MHz systems, as well as the 900MHz systems, simultaneously with rapid speed and data capabilities. Using an exclusive pass through technology, interfacing with future receivers will be easy as the Optotrakker requires the use of only one com port. Supports ICOM R7000, R7100, R8500, R9000, R10, AOR AR8000, and AR5000.

Decodes CTCSS, DCS, LTR, DTMF and Motorola talk group ID's
Scan multiple trunked systems simultaneously
All trunked frequency bands supported, including 400MHz, 500MHz, 800MHz, and 900MHz
Interfaces to virtually any receiver when not under computer control
Supplied with TrakkStar Windows trunk decoding software
Built-in Data Slicer for decoding of FSK programs
Two Line LCD display
Interface cables supplied with OptoTrakker

Power 7 - 15VDC
Size 1.8" high x 4.5" wide x 4" deep

Discriminator audio audio modification to receiver may be required.
Software Requirements:
Windows 95 or 98, (Windows 3.11 not supported)
IBM PC compatible, 486/586,
VGA display, mouse and hard drive.
Minimum RAM: 8 megabytes.
One serial port required.

214. CHP 42.60 and 39 MHz freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8jvopoqbm1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 85530

42.60 IS a CHP frequency, as "Copper" and "Turquoise" base half. For Northern Calif, I show Solano (Office #22) as using Turquoise.

If you go to the SCANnorCAL "Files" page at http://www.egroups.com/files/scannorcal , you'll find a somewhat dated but fairly accurate listing of all CHP freqs.

Which leads me to: If anyone has a more up-to-date CHP list to add to either the "Files" or "Links" section, please help yourself. The above page will step you through it, and I BELIEVE it's available to all "egroups" subscribers, even if you don't subscribe to SCANnorCAL specifically.

Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~Scanning, cop stuff, trains, etc

----- Original Message -----
From: "Fletcher, Robert L SPK" <rfletcher@spk.usace.army.mil>
To: "'BAYSCAN'" <bayscan@onelist.com>; "'HAM-RAIL-FAN'" <hamrailfans@onelist.com>; "'Jake Hickok'" <jmhickok@rbuhsd.k12.ca.us>; "'Norcalhams'" <norcalhams@onelist.com>; "'SOCALSCAN'" <socalscan@onelist.com>; "'SCAN norCAL'" <SCANnorCAL@egroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2000 08:43
Subject: [SCANnorCAL] FW: CHP ?

Sorry for the cross posting, but I am looking for answers as fast as I can get. Thanks Bob

BOB FLETCHER
Drafting-Archives Unit
US ARMY CORPS of ENGINEERS
1325 "J" Street,
Sacramento, Ca.,
95814-2922
rfletcher@spk.usace.army.mil

-----Original Message-----
From: Fletcher, Robert L SPK
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2000 7:10 AM
To: 'Harry Marnell'
Subject: CHP ?

Harry, Good morning to you and all the listers;
I started doing a limit search of the 42.000-42.999 freqs on the CHP and I kept getting 42.600. I cannot find it in the CHP listing, yet it shows up in the latest Police Call book from RS, it shows that freq. from Woodland as being under the police usage. Is it in fact CHP or a local police freq.? I wonder who may know what it is. It DOES NOT show up in the 1993 ed. of

the NATIONAL HIGHWAY PATROL FREQUENCY HANDBOOK by Scanner Master Corp, 2nd printing. What do you know about this freq.?

Also, are there any 39.??? freqs. for the CHP that you know of? I thought I saw something about the new freqs. some time ago. Can you clear that up? Thanks in advance, Bob

BOB FLETCHER

215. More Power ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Livewire <livewire@knightsofstone.com>
Message-ID: <l0K85.53333$Ey2.376108@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85531

Hey there, htought this would be the best place to aks.

I have a RS pro 2066 and want more reciever power to hit the next county over.... It shouldnt normally be much of problem but for some reason the hills in the middle are blocking me.

what do you all suggest, an outdoor antena?
a boster of some sort.

thanks

216. Pro-43 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <timp@*NOSpam*pobox.com>
Message-ID: <cup6mskecmsn1dgehihkdm8let8vjolucn@4ax.com>
Source record: 85532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=372250033

Thanks for looking

217. Re: Does the BC-245XLT have text on display?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3964765c.85607097@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85533

Negatory

On Tue, 04 Jul 2000 09:33:04 -0800, gogo@yo.com wrote:

>Can one enter text on the display describing which
>Police department the TT stops on?

218. Re: Orange Co.(CA) 800 MHz upgrade halted/officer safety at risk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39657697.85666737@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excellent point. You never hear any reports like...

"Our 50KW transmitter might be giving you cancer right now. A special report is next on the Channel 4 News. We hope you live to see it!!"

On Fri, 30 Jun 2000 12:33:15 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

>I agree. But just try explaining that to the general public. The
>reality is that people are being scared all the time by ignorant
>journalists who spout all sorts of nonsense on the hazards of RF. The
>next thing these folks see is that "ugly" tower with a bunch of
>antennas all set to beam them to death. Never mind the fact that they
>use PCS phones right next to their heads without a second thought.
>The tower is evil and it must go.

219. Mobile electronics at the best prices anywhere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: DB Enterprises <dbenterprise1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZRK85.930$0D.816408@typhoon.snet.net>
Source record: 85535

For the best prices on all your mobile or personal mobile electronic needs, visit DB Enterprises at www.wa-digitalmedia.com/dbenterprises

We carry a wide selection of automotive electronics including CB Radios, Amps, Speakers, Police Scanners, and Car Stereos by manufactures such as Jensen, Midland, Uniden, Pyramid, and more.

So for the best prices anywhere, visit our web-site at: www.wa-digitalmedia.com/dbenterprises

Dave Balisciano
President

220. Re: IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000705140022.01329.00002171@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85536

In article <FsJ85.2970$P4.9301@telenews.teleline.es>, "Jose Antonio"
<30DT095@teleline.es> writes:

>ME GUSTARIA CONTACTAR CON COLEGAS QUE UTILICEN ESTE SCANNER PARA INDICARME

Hmmm...English would be helpful here.

221. Re: FA: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000705140021.01329.00002170@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85537

In article <20000705014109.01172.00001555@ng-cp1.aol.com>, tobfireguy@aol.com (TOBFIREGUY) writes:

>Hello, I have a Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT 200 channel portable scanner for >auction on e-bay. The scanner is used and in good condition. Scanner picks up >800 Mhz.

If you mean to say that it's been unblocked, I hope you didn't make mention of this fact. If so, eBay has likely pulled your auction by now...or will do so shortly.

222. Pro-79

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <39638507.1648940@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85538

I have a Pro-79 and am unsure how the weather alert works anyone have info on this I have read the Manual and it was of little help.
Scott Ksiazek

223. Re: Capacitor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sm7a51lqgas9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85539

You can put the bigger one in. It won`t hurt a thing. 2200 at 50 is ok as well, if you can fit it in there. Just don`t put one in with a lower rating ! And be sure to observe the polarity , and get it the same as the old one was, when installing it.

"Greg Renner" <grenner@uswest.net> wrote in message news:39629956.AB563DED@uswest.net...
> I picked up a old RS scanner at a yard sale and it was not functional.
> Upon opening it up there is a blown electrolytic capacitor in it. It
> appears that someone tried to drill a hole in the back of the scanner
> and drilled the cap too. At any rate it is rated 2200 micro farads at
> 25v. The only one close I see in the RS catalog is 2200 micro farads 35
> volts or 2200 micro farads 16 volts are either one of those ok to use or
> do I need the 25 volt one.
>
> Thanks
>
> Greg

224. Re: More Power ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rbO85.12225$B%6.43550@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85540

Frequencies 70MHz and higher are line of sight. If you have hills, you are going to need a higher antenna. The bigger and higher the better. Get yourself a discone and run it up 40' or so. If you do, don't forget to used a good low loss co-ax, or you will also need to put a preamp up on the antenna.

But, like always in radio, money spent is best spent on the antenna.

cheers

"Livewire" <livewire@knightsofstone.com> wrote in message news:l0K85.53333$Ey2.376108@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Hey there, htought this would be the best place to aks.
>
> I have a RS pro 2066 and want more reciever power to hit the next county
> over.... It shouldnt normally be much of problem but for some reason the
> hills in the middle are blocking me.
>
> what do you all suggest, an outdoor antena?
> a boster of some sort.
>
> thanks
>
>
>

225. Re: Pro-79

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3963B075.3E630D97@uswest.net>
Source record: 85541

Scott Ksiazek wrote:
>
> I have a Pro-79 and am unsure how the weather alert works anyone have
> info on this I have read the Manual and it was of little help.
> Scott Ksiazek

The scanner has to be on and actively listening to you local NOAA weather channel. When you are listening, push the PRI/alert button to the left of the weather button. The word Alert will show on your screen. If you local NOAA channel sounds an alert then your scanner will sound that alert. While in this mode the scanner is useless for anything else aside from listening for that alert.

GR

226. Re: IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8k0c9i$om7@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 85542

He is new to radio and looking to get info from people who use this radio as to what kind of antenna he should use for HF. He also is looking for frequencies and band plans (I assume for Spain based on his e-mail address).

Brett - N7OLQ

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000705140022.01329.00002171@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <FsJ85.2970$P4.9301@telenews.teleline.es>, "Jose Antonio"
> <30DT095@teleline.es> writes:
>
> >ME GUSTARIA CONTACTAR CON COLEGAS QUE UTILICEN ESTE SCANNER PARA INDICARME
>
> Hmmm...English would be helpful here.
>

227. Ford Keyless Remote Entry System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <sm7fvusqgas131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I guess I'm too lazy to look or find it myself, but does any one know what is used by the door/ignition key to activate the remote locking system???? I have a 2000 Ford Windstar and it usually works up to about 40-50 feet away. Just wondering what the frequency is??

Thanks
Terry

--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

228. Iowa State Patrol - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <jajaja@attatt.net>
Message-ID: <9UP85.8600$xL3.627797@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am hoping that someone can supply mw with accurate Iowa State Patrol Freqs, or perhaps point me in the right direction.

Thank you very much.

Ed

229. Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Clark <jetguy1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3963CEB8.2F904D53@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 85545
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent

230. Re: Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3963D516.EED76DCE@mediaone.net>
Source record: 85455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what area do you need?

Brent Clark wrote:
>
> It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already
> burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire
> tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have
> BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but
> no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent

231. Re: Ford Keyless Remote Entry System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CsR85.532$OK1.38565@news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 85456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try a search for:
+keyless +remote +frequency
at:
http://www.deja.com/home_ps.shtml
and
http://www.dogpile.com/

Gary K4GPB

232. Re: Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3963EB7D.7224BC33@mediaone.net>
Source record: 85457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.jovanet.com/~salamone/southcal.htm#agric

this link is for california, but they all use the same
big ones are 123.97,123.025

Brent Clark wrote:
>
> Utah Thanks!
>
> thekennys wrote:
>
> > what area do you need?
> >
> > Brent Clark wrote:
> > >
> > > It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already
> > > burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire
> > > tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have
> > > BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but
> > > no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent

233. Re: Fire big long list sorry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3963ED0A.3BC9E6DC@mediaone.net>
Source record: 85458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
thekennys wrote:
>
> http://www.jovanet.com/~salamone/southcal.htm#agric
>
> this link is for california, but they all use the same
> big ones are 123.97,123.025
>
> Brent Clark wrote:
> >
> > Utah Thanks!
> >
> > thekennys wrote:
> >
> > > what area do you need?
> > >
> > > Brent Clark wrote:
> > > >
> > > > It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already
> > > > burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire
> > > > tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have
> > > > BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but
> > > > no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent
```

234. FA: ICOM R2 Un-Blocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <LSS85.25174$fR2.233488@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85459

FOR AUCTION ON EBAY:

ICOM IC-R2 Communications Receiver / Scanner, UN-BLOCKED

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375268681

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

235. Re: Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <396404E7.5F93B8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 85460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These are from the NIRSC User's Guide:
168.625 : initial call, air to air, and emergency
166.675 : CH1 ATA & ATG
169.150 : CH2
169.200 : CH3
170.000 : CH4
167.950 : CH5

122.925 : General Fire Aviation use
122.975 : Air to Air
122.850 : AtA and AtG
123.025 : AtA and AtG
123.050 : AtG
123.075 : AtG and AtA

Good luck and let us know what you hear traffic on so that we can listen in too.

Jon

Brent Clark wrote:

> It looks like another fire summer with dry conditions and fires already
> burning. I was wondering if anyone had any frequencies for the fire
> tankers and spotter planes as well as ground fire fighting crews. I have
> BLM and Forest service freq.'s programmed with some communications but
> no air to air. Appreciate any help. Brent

236. Re: Fire Air Tanker Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000706011908.04079.00002071@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 85461

http://www.egroups.com/files/scannorcal/
I assume you wanted Calif. ?
Eric G.

237. FA: Opto N-100 Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39645D2F.57FBF9D9@snet.net>
Source record: 85462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

One day left.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=371201640

Thanks!

238. Having problems with PA State Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com>
Message-ID: <zNZ85.26$iv4.12424@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85463

Hello,

I am a newbie to this whole scanning thing, and have found a couple different web sites which list the PA State Police frequencies. In each case they list either a base and a mobile frequency, or a TX and RX frequency. I assumed that this meant that I would hear the dispatch on the base frequency, and the reply from the mobile unit on the mobile frequency. While I do hear the dispatcher on base, I never seem to hear the responses from the mobile units. This is in spite of the fact that in many cases, the mobile unit in question is quite close to my location. I can hear both sides of conversations from other PDs as far away as New Jersey, so I don't think it's a signal strength issue. What am I doing wrong? Also, what bearing does the PL number have on things.

Sorry if these are basic questions, but I've read a couple of newbie FAQs on scanning on the web and here, and have found nothing that addresses these questions.

Thanks for your help,

--
Bob Mergner
rmergner@hworks.com

239. VP in Chicago 7-6-00h

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000706094036.25943.00001002@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 85464

Big Al Gore in Chicago today,lots of fed and CPD traffic to be heard.

240. Re: Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi Highway Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Raynor <"jwraynor(nospam)"@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39649ECF.C28318BD@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 85465

David, La. is on a trunked 800 mhz, system... go to Radio shack & get
the new 2000 Police Call.... They are all in there.

& Bienvenu et Lousiane !

John

dchaney wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I'm leaving on vacation next week and would like to know if
> anyone has any of the above frequencies for the respective
> highway patrol.
>
> Thanks
> David
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com

241. Re: Cellular in the forrest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Raynor <"jwraynor(nospam)"@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39649F6A.76FD9D72@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 85466

```
it is illegal if the tree is on the endangered species list. Trees have
rights too!!

lak wrote:

> Only if a tree does not contain a device planted by the Feds to montior the
> air for sounds.
>
> Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:pew65.13102$fR2.159087@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > If a scanner receives cellular communications in the forest, but no one is
> > there to here it, is it still illegal?
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
```

242. Re: Having problems with PA State Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brendan K Callahan <god@pcpartner.net>
Message-ID: <8k28o2$dm7$1@ins21.netins.net>
Source record: 85467

> Sorry if these are basic questions, but I've read a couple of newbie FAQs
on
> scanning on the web and here, and have found nothing that addresses these
> questions.
>
Scanner listening is an art--learning to guess from what you know, or
finding little bits of info that help you with a solution is part of the
fun.

I used to live in PA (Pgh area), and I didn't listen to the troopers, but
the few times I tried, I wasn't very sucessful either.
Freq. band plays a part in how far away you hear it, how high your antenna
is, what scanner you are using, etc. The difference in having a antenna in
the house, and putting a Radio Shack cheapo on the roof 10' high, are
phenomenol (sp?).

I can't answer you question, but I can tell you to try asking either the
local police (asking a city or county cop about state freq. might yield
better results than asking about their own department, or a paramedic, or
fireman), or find someone else using a scanner in your area, and asking
them. Look for local hams, or visit ham fests in your area. If you like
scanning, ham radio might interest you anyway :)

Maybe call the main library around you, and asking the research department
(If nothing else, call the Carnegie library in Pgh--they have an *excellent*
research department, and are used to answering simple, to odd, questions.
Many ppl don't realize the large libraries do this :)

Again, I'm sorry I couldn't answer your question directly, but hopefully
I've given you a good start on where to look

Brendan K Callahan K EES
Grinnell, IA

243. Re: FS: Radio/Scanner Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dustman_kb7ult@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8k2a9i$4je$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was
working
> OK. As is $45.
Hi There:Just a quick note to get a little info on the power sup. That
you for sale. Is it the same power sup. and speaker combo that would be
compatible with Swan radios ie Swan 250.
If so please respond.
Thanks
Dusty KB7ULT

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

244. To reach someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Thor Eng Hwee <ehthor@singnet.com.sg>
Message-ID: <8k29p4$v5h$1@mango.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 85469

Hello,
I would like to contact a Mr Bill Crocker who posted a message about sale of
a FS Opto Trakker Decorder dd 05/07/00 on your newsgroup.
Kindly contact me at rolandthor@hotmail.com ASAP.
Thank you & Regards
Roland Thor

245. Re: Pro-43 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <08295.8824$oj6.56675@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85470
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

you mean for auction

"tjp" <timp@*NOSpam*pobox.com> wrote in message
news:cup6mskecmsn1dgehihkdm8let8vjolucn@4ax.com...
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=372250033
>
> Thanks for looking

246. Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Earthlink News <ashleyct@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GJ295.40258$_b3.1078552@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there any software available that will allow me to plug my scanner into my sound card and listen to it. I have seen them on the web, but I forget where they are. Please assist.

TIA

Ashley T

247. Re: Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3964bafd.168725112@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That would only require a patch cable (mini to mini). The only need
for software would be for scanner control or VOX recording.

On Thu, 06 Jul 2000 16:46:30 GMT, "Earthlink News"
<ashleyct@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Is there any software available that will allow me to plug my scanner into
>my sound card and listen to it. I have seen them on the web, but I forget
>where they are. Please assist.
>
>
>TIA
>
>
>Ashley T
>

248. Re: antennas on billboards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <W9FL@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3964c826$0$94740$726baab@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 85473

monte.carroll@nashville.com (Monte B. Carroll) wrote:
>
>My wife and I just returned from Anaheim, California where I spotted
>what appeared to be some type of rotating antenna on top of various
>billboards. The antennas, two on each billboard I noticed, were
>likely dipoles. The elements were horizotal, about 8 to 10 feet each
>side, and were spinning. Picture the billboard as an aircraft wing
>pointing straight up. The antennas would be the propellers, spinning
>much slower, of course - more the speed of a radar antenna. And they
>weren't in sync. Any ideas what they were? I think they were on
>Orangewood Avenue a couple or three miles from Disneyland.
>
>Monte
>

I don't know what the antennas that you saw are for, but I do know that the cell phone companies stick some of their antennas in between doulble sided billboards. I read that they even use fake trees that are used for cell antennas. Around here (Milwaukee Wisconsin) I have seen the in all kinds of places. They like to put them up on the high voltage towers.

Tom

249. Fort James Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ashley T <ashleyct@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Qa495.40728$_b3.1087864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the frequencies for Fort James Corp. in Rincon Ga. It is a large tissues paper company. There is a larege antenna on the roof of the plant. I suppose that it is used for a repeater for the radios inside the mill.
If there is anyone in the Effingham Co. Ga. area that wishes to trade frequencies and information. Please mail me.

TIA

Ashley T.

250. Re: Skip active in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanman@scanland.org
Message-ID: <8F6996B72scanmanscanlandorg@209.99.56.9>
Source record: 85475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bianchi@ttlc.net (Pantani) wrote in <3963E8CE.FEE3537B@ttlc.net>:

>Hello all,
>
>I've been hearing skip frequencies all day. Mainly in the 39's, 42's,
>45's,
>and up to 46.5.
>
>I've put in my scanner, frequencies in Arkansas, Connecticut, Kansas,
>Illinois, North Carolina, and Michigan. These are areas I've heard
>last summer. Give a listen and hear other comms.
> David
>--
>Newmarket, NH
>Pro2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
> Bearcat 250, BCT-7
>AIM: gisNH
>IRC: GISscannr
>
>
>

How about picking up UHF traffic from approx 150-200 miles away on a
handheld radio inside a house?

Or, better yet, how about monitoring trunked systems from 150-200 miles
away that walk right over top of the local departments?

That's what I've been doing the past month.

Also, I have picked up Oklahoma, Illinois, Missouri, Mississippi, Louisana,
& Florida lately. Mainly in the VHF Low & High band areas.

This is from Cleveland, Ohio.

251. Re: Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi Highway Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Fusk <patfusk@nb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3964D419.666981F4@nb.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85476

How much???

John Raynor wrote:

> David, La. is on a trunked 800 mhz, system... go to Radio shack & get
> the new 2000 Police Call.... They are all in there.
>
> & Bienvenu et Lousiane !
>
> John
>
> dchaney wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> >
> > I'm leaving on vacation next week and would like to know if
> > anyone has any of the above frequencies for the respective
> > highway patrol.
> >
> > Thanks
> > David
> >
> > Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> > Up to 100 minutes free!
> > http://www.keen.com

252. Re: To reach someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <K2595.25939$fR2.240018@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85477

Hello Roland!

I sent you email, incase you didn't receive it, here is my email address.

bcrocker@home.com

Bill

"Edmund Thor Eng Hwee" <ehthor@singnet.com.sg> wrote in message
news:8k29p4$v5h$1@mango.singnet.com.sg...
> Hello,
> I would like to contact a Mr Bill Crocker who posted a message about sale
of
> a FS Opto Trakker Decorder dd 05/07/00 on your newsgroup.
> Kindly contact me at rolandthor@hotmail.com ASAP.
> Thank you & Regards
> Roland Thor
>
>

253. Re: Having problems with PA State Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Peters <peters@ems.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3964EBB7.6F7B5D72@ems.psu.edu>
Source record: 85478

In PA, the base stations are remoted to a high mountain or tower. That means they are
transmitting and receiving their mobiles from a good location. The reason you hear the
base stations well is because of the good location. They can hear their mobiles also because
their receiver is at the same good location. If you were on a mountain with your scanner you
would hear the mobiles as well as they do. From what I have heard, they are eventually going
to a digital network (not just trunking) and you won't be able to pick them up at all
anyway.

Bob K3EZS

B

254. Re: Cellular in the forrest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000706162956.01329.00002431@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85479

In article <39649F6A.76FD9D72@bellsouth.net>, John Raynor
<"jwraynor(nospam)"@bellsouth.net> writes:

>it is illegal if the tree is on the endangered species list. Trees have
>rights too!!

Thanks to the enviro-frootloops, they now have more rights than people in most
areas.

255. Re: Having problems with PA State Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nopam.net
Message-ID: <3964ef44.6668072@news.penn.com>
Source record: 85480

And don't forget that there are a few barracks (not many) that run by
a repeater, so you should hear everything on 1 channel. PSP will run
by digital in the future, but I'll believe it when I see it! This
ambitious radio system probably won't cover all of PA, so I assume
that a few will still be on the old system.

On Thu, 06 Jul 2000 16:27:35 -0400, Robert Peters <peters@ems.psu.edu>
wrote:

>In PA, the base stations are remoted to a high mountain or tower. That means
>they are
>transmitting and receiving their mobiles from a good location. The reason you
>hear the
>base stations well is because of the good location. They can hear their mobiles
>also because
>their receiver is at the same good location. If you were on a mountain with
>your scanner you
>would hear the mobiles as well as they do. From what I have heard, they are
>eventually going
>to a digital network (not just trunking) and you won't be able to pick them up
>at all
>anyway.
>
>Bob K3EZS
>
>B

256. PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jopp@erols.com
Message-ID: <39650111.2DC4CF1E@erols.com>
Source record: 85481

can anyone suggest a place other than rs to have the keyboard of my modified pro-43 replaced? let me know at jopp@erols.com. thanks in advance.

257. WTB: RELM MS-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Tuckman <rtuckman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39650778.6040DFBE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 85482

Looking for a clean RELM MS-200. I know it's been discontinued for
about a year now...Please e-mail with asking price/condition...thanks

258. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000706191140.01185.00002055@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 85483

You can replace it yourself. It's really not a hard thing to do.
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

259. Re: Radio Shack Repair is insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <k36ams8tdmntd7fg6s6b6f5l1liieuccab@4ax.com>
Source record: 85484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I also would like to know whats up with the 2004, I bought mine the
second year it was out and it has not given me any problems at all.
6,400 channels
DISC Tap
KBMC
S-meter
CE/HB-232 running Pro-Turbo software

On Sat, 24 Jun 2000 02:46:55 GMT, Jesse <gunznrozez@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>yep your right benz i noticed that the 2004 is on his no-no list,though if
>i was you darrin id e-mail the guy anyways and possibly he could tell you
>from experience just what might need to be done,he might fix it but without
>a guarentee,or he might buy it outright for parts. First time ive heard of
>that design flaw and im assuming they corrected it in the 005-006 series
>cause my 006 has performed flawlessly after ummm about 10 years,except for
>having to replace the keypad a couple times-and i got well over 10 mods
>installed in the thing.
>anyways good luck.
>
>Jesse
>
>BenZ wrote:
>
>> Jesse, above provided a good link,
>> but the Scanning Guru does not do the 2004.
>> He claims there is a design flaw...
>>
>> But check this link.
>> http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html
>> What's your problem with it Darrin ?
>>
>> Regards, (Hope it helps anyway)
>> -Ben
>>
>> Darrin wrote in message ...
>> >After 2 weeks, I just got a call from the Radio Shack Service Center
>> >on the status of my Pro-2004. They want $279 to repair it. I don't
>> >think so. I guess that's the last time I send anything to them to fix.
>> >Needless to say, I told them to just send the radio back to me the way
>> >it was.

260. Re: CE-232scanner/computer interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <s46ams4ieg552e3b3d0bm6vdkfecrju2f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 85485

FIrst off you do not need to use the DOS software unless you have a
spare system to dedicate to it. If not then have a look at the
Pro-Turbo software at:
http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html
It does everything the DOS program does, but htis is a full 32bit
program and has many more features than the DOS software.

Also if you want a CE/HB-232 send e-mail to Bill's wife at:
"Cindy Cheek" <ccheek@comtronics.net>
and give her time to resopnd to you, I have just recieved an e-mail
from her and all her time is spent caring for Bill, but she will
respond to you and is still selling the kits.

Cheers

Len

On Tue, 27 Jun 2000 12:22:32 -0400, Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
wrote:

>juc6g@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> Hello,
>> I've been looking to purchase a CE-232. I went to Bill Cheeks
>> site and the phone number is disconnected and I get no response to E-
>> mail. I understand the schematic is in "The Ultimate Scanner" but does
>> it give full documentation on hooking it up to a PRO-2004? Can anyone
>> direct me to where I can get the kit and instruction manual?
>
>One other thing to consider is using this CE232/HB232 interface under
>Windows. Basically the control program is a DOS program, that will run in
>Windows BUT, if you use a non-standard com port IRQ combination it is lock
>up city. I finally gave up and went with a newer scanner with the
>interface built in. A lot less grief in the long run. BTW, I still like
>my modified Pro-2006 and won't part with it, but the practical side of me
>won on the interfacing side.
>Pete

261. AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zane <zgorove@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8k3df7pv1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85486

My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor is this what I need?Thanks for your help.

262. Re: Michigan scanner law enforcement....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39654178.DB6B7DAF@mich.com>
Source record: 85487

Don Bruder wrote:
>
> In article <8k0is3$6qf$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> zeno333@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> : Do you think though that the Florida State troppers would give one a hard
> : time about a scanner turned off and in the trunk like in Michigan? That
> : is
> : the mani point of my post, about a scanner being locked up in a trunk and
> : still the Michigan State Police say that is not legal.
>
> As a former resident of Michigan (30+ years) and Florida (not quite 2
> years) with about a year working in southern Georgia to finance the last
> leg of the trip, I can tell you that, as a group, MISP are a bunch of
> assholes with severe control-freak mentalities. Mussolini would have
> been proud to have 90% of them.
>
> People bad-mouth the "good ol' boys" of Georgia. They're the people who
> have never encountered a Michigan state trooper.
>
> If you get pulled over by one of Michigan's troopers, you're going to
> get a citation of some kind, guaranteed, even if it means busting out a
> tailight for you because they can't find anything else.

With your attitude, it's no wonder why you might have had some
unpleasant
experiences with MSP, and I'm glad you're gone from Michigan. But
beyond that,
I'll state the semi-obvious -- your diatribe about MSP bears almost no
resemblance to reality.

> Florida troopers were, in my experience, MUCH more laid back. As long as
> the scanner was off, and you were polite, they seemed to not give a damn
> about it.

Gee, maybe Florida doesn't have a law regulating them in automobiles
like Michigan does (Michigan Compiled Laws 750.508)?!!

> Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

263. Re: Having problems with PA State Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@takethisout*hworks.com>
Message-ID: <hMi95.65$Rc6.11173@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85396

Once they go digital, will there be any scanners that will be able to receive them if they don't encrypt? I hope that's not an obvious question, but I'm new to this.

Thanks,

Bob

Scott T. <nospam@nopam.net> wrote in message news:3964ef44.6668072@news.penn.com...
> And don't forget that there are a few barracks (not many) that run by
> a repeater, so you should hear everything on 1 channel. PSP will run
> by digital in the future, but I'll believe it when I see it! This
> ambitious radio system probably won't cover all of PA, so I assume
> that a few will still be on the old system.
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 06 Jul 2000 16:27:35 -0400, Robert Peters <peters@ems.psu.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >In PA, the base stations are remoted to a high mountain or tower. That means
> >they are
> >transmitting and receiving their mobiles from a good location. The reason you
> >hear the
> >base stations well is because of the good location. They can hear their mobiles
> >also because
> >their receiver is at the same good location. If you were on a mountain with
> >your scanner you
> >would hear the mobiles as well as they do. From what I have heard, they are
> >eventually going
> >to a digital network (not just trunking) and you won't be able to pick them up
> >at all
> >anyway.
> >
> >Bob K3EZS
> >
> >B

>

264. PRO 64 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell <russ@nashville.net>
Message-ID: <kOj95.5218$Vk.55140@news1.atl>
Source record: 85397
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

New software for programming frequencies into a Radio Shack Pro 64 scanner.
This is free software for Windows O/S. To download GOTO:

www.rbrock.com

Follow the link to ProScan64 for free download.

265. frecuencias Valencia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Sanchez <JASSAN@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <W8k95.8788$P4.47314@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85398

Si alguien tiene las frecuencia de Valencia y me las quiere pasar le estaria muy agradecido.

JASSAN@teleline.es

266. Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net>
Message-ID: <B58B38F7.39CC%marcotte@iamerica.net>
Source record: 85399

During a good band opening on 7/6/2000, I copied Army traffic on 51.7 FM, and 50.0 FM.

Don't know where they were from. Band was wide open.

73 N5OFF

267. Re: WTB: RELM MS-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3965D22D.303ED7F4@pitt.edu>
Source record: 85400

Rob:

I have a MS-200 that is in mobile service, but is very clean, I'd say a 9.5 out of 10. I'll let it go for $200.00 shipping INCLUDED. I also have the programming software all accessories and original box. Let me know if your interested. --Dan--

Rob Tuckman wrote:
>
> Looking for a clean RELM MS-200. I know it's been discontinued for
> about a year now...Please e-mail with asking price/condition...thanks

268. FS: VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis VanHoose <dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EMk95.10947$iQ4.2620472@news3.mia>
Source record: 85401

I have a new (2 weeks) Yeasu VR-500 reciever for sale. New in box! Warranty card & manual. Great radio. Need to sell , too many radios for the budget. Can send digital photo. Paid $350.00 Will sell for $310.00
Dennis
dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net
take out spamspam

269. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com>
Source record: 85402

THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq running a monster beam and amplifier and got told to get off the freq he was on becuase they were going to start using it and they tried to use it but he kept talking to who he was talking to and they told him again to get off, he told them he was on here legally and was not about to leave and if they wanted to do something about here's my callsign and that they were the ones out of band and trasmitting ilegally and they then shutup and left.

On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 11:40:55 GMT, Tom Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net> wrote:

>During a good band opening on 7/6/2000, I copied Army traffic on 51.7 FM,
>and 50.0 FM.
>
>Don't know where they were from. Band was wide open.
>
>73 N5OFF

270. FS: ICOM IC-R8500 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <CBI95.26534$fR2.251062@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85403

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R8500 Communications Receiver, purchased BRAND NEW May 31, 2000 (I have a receipt) Original box, AC Power Supply, 12VDC Power Cord, and Manual. All in "exactly like new" condition!

Paid: $1458.00 (with tax), selling for: $1200.00

Also have optional Icom RS-8500 PC Control Software, with RS232 interface cable, and Icom SP-21 Speaker, that I can give you a good price on, if interested.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

Frequency Coverage:
0.10000 - 823.99999 MHz
849.00001 - 868.99999 MHz
894.00001 - 1999.99999* MHz (*guaranteed: 0.1 - 1000 and 1240 - 1300 MHz)
Mode: SSB (USB,LSB); AM (Normal, Wide, Narrow); WFM; FM (Normal, Narrow); CW (Normal, Narrow*) (*optional filter required)
Number of memory channels: 1000 (plus 20 scan edges and 1 priority channel)
Antenna connector:
Below 30 MHz: SO-239 (50 ohms/Phono [RCA (500 ohms)])
Above 30 MHz: Type-N (50 ohms)
Usable Temperature Range: -10°C ~ +50°C; +14°F ~ +122°F
Frequency stability (in FM mode):
Below 30 MHz: ±100 Hz (optional ±20 Hz)
Above 30 MHz: ±3 ppm (optional ±0.6 ppm)
Tuning steps: 10, 50, 100 Hz; 1, 2.5, 5, 9, 10, 12.5, 20, 25, 100 kHz; 1 MHz or programmable (0.5 - 199.5 kHz/0.5 kHz steps)
Power Supply Requirement: 13.8 V DC ±15% (negative ground) or 117/220/240 VAC (with AD-55/A/V)
Current drain (at 13.8 V DC):
Standby: 1.8 A
Maximum audio output: 2.0 A
Dimensions: 287(W) x 112(H) x 309(D) mm; 11.3(W) x 4.4(H) x 12.2(D) in
Weight: 7.0 kg; 15.4 lb.
Receive system: Superheterodyne
Intermediate frequencies:
1st: 48.8 MHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 778.7 MHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 266.7 MHz (500.0-1024.99999 MHz)
2nd: 10.7 MHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 10.7 MHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 10.7 MHz (500.0-1024.99999 MHz)
3rd*: 455 kHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 455 kHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 455 kHz

(500.0-1024.99999 MHz) (*except WFM)
Sensitivity (typical): Frequency band (MHz) SSB/CW AM AM-N AM-W FM WFM
0.1-0.49999 1.0 µV 6.3 µV - - - -
0.5-1.79999 2.0 µV 13.0 µV - - - -
1.8-1.99999 0.25 µV 3.2 µV 2.5 µV - - -
2.0-27.99999 0.2 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV - - -
28.0-29.99999 0.2 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV - 0.5 µV -
30.0-999.99999 0.32 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV 3.2 µV 0.5 µV 1.4 µV
1240.0-1300.00000 0.32 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV 3.2 µV 0.5 µV 2.0 µV

Squelch sensitivity (threshold/tight):
1.8-29.99999 MHz:
SSB, CW, AM-N: 10 µV/320 mV
AM, AM-W: 0.5 µV/320 mV
28-29.99999 MHz:
FM: 0.5 µV/320 mV
30-1000, 1240-1300 MHz:
FM, AM, AM-W: 0.4 µV/320 mV
WFM, SSB, CW, AM-N: 4.5 µV/320 mV
Selectivity:
WFM: More than 150 kHz/-6 dB
FM, AM-W: More than 12 kHz/-6 dB
AM, FM-N: More than 5.5 kHz/-6 dB
AM-N, SSB, CW: More than 2.2 kHz/-6 dB
Spurious and image rejection ratio:
1.8-29.99999 MHz: More than 60 dB
30-1000/1240-1300 MHz: 50 dB (typical)
Audio Output Power (at 13.8 V DC): More than 2.0 W at 10% distortion with an
8 ohms load.
IF shift variable range: More than ±1.2 kHz
External speaker connector: 2-conductor 3.5 mm (1/8 in)/4-8 ohms

271. Re: AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zane <zgorove@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8k4snv$i37$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85404

Thank you for your quick response.Do you have this part or can you tell me where to get it?Zane

"Jonathan Clough, Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk" wrote:

> In article <8k3df7pv1@bob.news.rcn.net>, Zane <"zgorove"@erols.com>
> writes
>
> >My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the
> >antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My
> >problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what
> >is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with
> >a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no
> >colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor
> >is this what I need?Thanks for your help.
>
> This offending item apparently carries the AOR UK part number
> E3380015/G16.
>
> AOR "technospeak" Description:
> Finished Wire Set Link BNC
>
> "Man in the Street" Description:
> Piece of wire with white plasticy thing around it
>
> If you need the official part it is/was available.
>
> --
> Cheers,
> JC
> Javiation
> http://www.javiation.co.uk

272. Josephine County Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3965FFB4.32248716@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85405

Need some frequencies for this location thanks
--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

273. IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Antonio <30DT095@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <Mpn95.8983$P4.49243@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85406

AGRADECIRIA A CUALQUIER COMPA&#65533;ERO QUE ME PUDIEDA DAR FRECUENCIAS DE INTERES
POR LA PROVINCIA DE BARCELONA,GRACIAS

Jose Antonio
30DT095@teleline.es

274. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kHn95.17800$bt1.183654@typhoon1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 85407

"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
news:s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com...
> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq

Know what? They can. 6 meters is SHARED with government. And the rules
they operate under in fact DO allow them to use any frequency at all, on a
non-interference basis. In an emergency, they have priority over regular
users.

275. W T B Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <396608AC.CD8B8EEE@penn.com>
Source record: 85408

I am looking for a Bearcat 260 scanner
that came out in the early 80's.

Walt.

276. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <ft4cms8tue59trd4mm978k16jf71el1tmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 85409

I didn't know that 6 meters was a shared freq, but the freq my
friend was on was not that I know of and they did not have an
emergency and tried to get him off the freq, hell he was on it first.

Len

On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 16:37:36 GMT, "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
>news:s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com...
>> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
>> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
>> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq
>
>Know what? They can. 6 meters is SHARED with government. And the rules
>they operate under in fact DO allow them to use any frequency at all, on a
>non-interference basis. In an emergency, they have priority over regular
>users.

277. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Qoq95.170$kT5.119739@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85410

Right on Len and friend!

I would certainly not let some military person kick me off the frequency I own when I am talking important Ham stuff! Who the hell does the Army or Air Force think they are?

What was the frequency Len? 14.313??

Glenn

Len G. Carpenter <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
news:ft4cms8tue59trd4mm978k16jf71el1tmk@4ax.com...
> I didn't know that 6 meters was a shared freq, but the freq my
> friend was on was not that I know of and they did not have an
> emergency and tried to get him off the freq, hell he was on it first.
>
> Len
>
>
> On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 16:37:36 GMT, "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
> >news:s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com...
> >> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> >> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> >> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq
> >
> >Know what? They can. 6 meters is SHARED with government. And the rules
> >they operate under in fact DO allow them to use any frequency at all, on
a
> >non-interference basis. In an emergency, they have priority over regular
> >users.
>

278. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_So95.17803$bt1.183820@typhoon1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 85411

This may shock you, but in all shared bands between ham and government, as I recall, hams are SECONDARY users.

We get to use MILITARY/GOVERNMENT bands, sometimes, IF _WE_ don't interfere with them.

Many ham bands EXIST only because they're primary military/government bands, and thus haven't been taken for commercial use.

They have a perfect right to tell hams to shut down, if they're interfering with government use.

This applies in the 420 band, for instance.

In the US proper, the military tends to avoid shared band areas as much as possible, as a courtesy.

Military combat com-packs, at least the older ones, are 30-76 MHz. They can, and do, use ANY part of low band. They have set up in-US blocks in the low band, some are used by them, some by commercial users... but they can operate anywhere in low band, on non-interference basis.

"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
news:ft4cms8tue59trd4mm978k16jf71el1tmk@4ax.com...
> I didn't know that 6 meters was a shared freq, but the freq my
> friend was on was not that I know of and they did not have an
> emergency and tried to get him off the freq, hell he was on it first.
>
> Len
>
>
> On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 16:37:36 GMT, "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
> >news:s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com...
> >> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> >> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> >> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq
> >
> >Know what? They can. 6 meters is SHARED with government. And the rules
> >they operate under in fact DO allow them to use any frequency at all, on
a
> >non-interference basis. In an emergency, they have priority over regular
> >users.

>

279. what is the best base scanner antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Bauer <paulb@powerweb.net>
Message-ID: <4fp95.2005$ef3.627101@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 85412

hi,
i have just bought a jrc nrd-545 receiver and i have the 30 mhz-2000 mhz option card. the radio has a "n" connector for the antenna. i am looking for the best omni base antenna to cover these frequencies. any help or ideas would be appreciated.

thanks
paul

280. Strange noises

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rebel <Yankee_Doodle@My-Deja.com>
Message-ID: <d58cms4oo5bbl33v0atdn1e666ojbc1o1n@4ax.com>
Source record: 85413

Hi,
Looking for some help from the more experienced users...
I have a Uniden BC235XLT. While scanning, I often get loud buzzing noises. It sounds similar to a modem connecting, but very obnoxious.

Is there something I can do to eliminate this? The squelch is not the answer, as it does work.

Thanks,
Rebel

281. Re: Unblocked Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yonason Weiner <weiner@raex.com>
Message-ID: <39662295$0$1512$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 85414

How would anyone know if you brought an unblocked scanner to the us?

Mike wrote:

> Would I be taking a big risk by going to Canada to buy a unblocked scanner,
> to bring back to the U.S. Are unblocked scanners just illegal to purchase
> in the U.S. or are they illegal to posess.

282. Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yonason Weiner <weiner@raex.com>
Message-ID: <39662450$0$1491$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 85415

Hi,
I am looking for a list of unusual scanner frequencies in
cleveland,ohio...sometimes the regular police,fire, etc. gets kind of
boring.

283. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yonason Weiner <weiner@raex.com>
Message-ID: <396625c6$0$1491$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 85416

looking to buy a handheld scanner.
No trunking....pretty wide freq. coverage.......Not to much...jsut a
nice cheap one for a beginner.
Thanx

284. FS Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <GDp95.77$W36.10278@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 85417

I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker 29-956 mhz. Asking $250.00 Cdn plus shipping and a Uniden BC890XLT 29-956 mhz. Asking $350.00 Cdn plus shipping. If interested send e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

285. New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bfe7e4$0c858560$10b2b2d1@toast>
Source record: 85418

When assigning units to potential fireground incidents over the last couple of days, I'm hearing dispatch telling units "new tac 17, old tac 1" or "new tac 18, old tac 7" etc etc with the old tacs the blue freqs...anyone know where these new freqs can be found or any other info??

Thanks,
Rich

286. Re: what is the best base scanner antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <hqacms05i9lf1tf6e32hctjp1bb9bhnlfn@4ax.com>
Source record: 85419

"Paul Bauer" <paulb@powerweb.net> wrote:

> hi,
> i have just bought a jrc nrd-545 receiver and i have the 30 mhz-2000 mhz
> option card. the radio has a "n" connector for the antenna. i am looking for
> the best omni base antenna to cover these frequencies. any help or ideas
> would be appreciated.
>
> thanks
> paul
>

The best omni with that wide band coverage is the Nevada ANT-426
Double Discone (actually a bicone). It'll provide some gain over
a standard discone (I want to say 3dB but I can't recall) and cover
that range fairly well.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

287. Re: Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <3966473c.26301683@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85420

You may want to try a Bearcat 60XLT very user friendly or a Radioshack
Pro-79
Scott KsiazekOn Fri, 07 Jul 2000 14:44:12 -0400, Yonason Weiner
<weiner@raex.com> wrote:

>looking to buy a handheld scanner.
>No trunking....pretty wide freq. coverage.......Not to much...jsut a
>nice cheap one for a beginner.
>Thanx
>

288. percon corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wizard <landmine77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zFs95.1257$tN.105512@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 85421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is for anyone looking for non fed. gov. freqs,
As far as I know all non federal gov. radio users must register with the FCC, perconcorp.com has a database that listes ALL fcc registered radio users and transmitters in the us. This site is useless for military or dod etc... but from McD's to the local paper mill down the street, it is very usefull.

BigFoot
--

"Ashley T" <ashleyct@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Qa495.40728$_b3.1087864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone have the frequencies for Fort James Corp. in Rincon Ga. It is a
> large tissues paper company. There is a larege antenna on the roof of the
> plant. I suppose that it is used for a repeater for the radios inside the
> mill.
> If there is anyone in the Effingham Co. Ga. area that wishes to trade
> frequencies and information. Please mail me.
>
>
> TIA
>
> Ashley T.
>
>
>

289. FS PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QXs95.53$%P.4230@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85422

Realistic Pro-2004. One of the finest scanners ever made. Coverage from 25-1300 MHz, loaded with features, blue backlit LCD display. Mods done. One owner. Mint condition.

400 Channels, Hyperscan, etc.

Owners' Manual and telescoping antenna inc.

Unit was pulled by ebay due to scanners being "questionable" for sale there.

See my profile on ebay (jroosh) for my transaction history.

$180.00 plus shipping. I will pay for shipping materials.

See a picture at

http://images.imagehost.com/936/47838-9.jpg

John
rusciano@att.net

--
The Rusciano's
rusciano@att.net
952 935-4123

290. Re: AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <396658F1.7E608402@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 85423

Just use a some wire. The white plastic thing is just so the machine
that puts the parts on the circuit board can grip the wire.

The things are also called 'zreo ohm' resistors. The plastic has no
electrical use. The machines are already set to install resistors of a
standard size, so if a short jumper wire is needed, the zero ohm
resistor is used.

Zane wrote:
>
> Thank you for your quick response.Do you have this part or can you tell me
> where to get it?Zane
>
>
> > >My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the
> > >antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My
> > >problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what
> > >is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with
> > >a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no
> > >colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor
> > >is this what I need?Thanks for your help.
> >
> > This offending item apparently carries the AOR UK part number
> > E3380015/G16.
> >
> > AOR "technospeak" Description:
> > Finished Wire Set Link BNC
> >
> > "Man in the Street" Description:
> > Piece of wire with white plasticy thing around it
> >
> > If you need the official part it is/was available.
> >
>

291. Scanner Dweeb comic update (Episode 71)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000707192933.22454.00000322@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 85424

```
The 71st episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " The first date... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
or
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !
```

292. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39667ECE.F215351A@mich.com>
Source record: 85425

Wow Len, sounds like your friend is a really cool person! Actually,
I'm not sure if I'm falling for a troll, or if you & your friend are
really just kinda dumb people.

Just in case it is the latter, was your friend on an amateur radio
freq, or perhaps it was CB channel 6...

If he was a ham, transmitting on ham freqs, I believe the FCC could
have cited your friend for technically communicating with an
'unlicensed' (meaning specifically, an amateur radio licensee) station.

Too bad they didn't do that, and too bad this "they" that you imply to
be an AF or Army station couldn't HARM your friend.

Tim

"Len G. Carpenter" wrote:
>
> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq
> running a monster beam and amplifier and got told to get off the freq
> he was on becuase they were going to start using it and they tried to
> use it but he kept talking to who he was talking to and they told him
> again to get off, he told them he was on here legally and was not
> about to leave and if they wanted to do something about here's my
> callsign and that they were the ones out of band and trasmitting
> ilegally and they then shutup and left.
>
> On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 11:40:55 GMT, Tom Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net>
> wrote:
>
> >During a good band opening on 7/6/2000, I copied Army traffic on 51.7 FM,
> >and 50.0 FM.
> >
> >Don't know where they were from. Band was wide open.
> >
> >73 N5OFF

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

293. Re: AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8k5vi3ohh1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85426

Yes, I agree with all the responses so far to your question. I had the EXACT same thing happen to my AR8000 as did yours - i.e., it suddenly went deaf one day. Pulled it apart and the white thingy lost one of it's leads. I though it was a resistor or a diode or something, but strange that it was on the antenna lead. I removed the white thingy and the disconnected lead, then redid the connection with a piece of stranded wire. The scanner works the same.

The reason it came apart was cuz the antenna connector came a bit loose. So, as long as you have it apart, take the time to CAREFULLY tighten the silly threaded spanner nut on the inside of the antenna connection. It tends to work loose. Tighten it now so you don't have to pull the thing apart again a few months from now. I am lucky enough to have had on hand a pair of small needle nose pliers with a bent tip. I used it to tighten that silly nut, as it has two small slots on it. They couldn't have made it more inconvenient. That nut should have been on the outside.

Mike

"Zane" <"zgorove"@(remove)erols.com> wrote in message
news:8k3df7pv1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the
> antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My
> problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what
> is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with
> a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no
> colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor
> is this what I need?Thanks for your help.
>

294. Re: Strange noises

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29278-39669481-19@storefull-268.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85427

what band are you listening to? 925-934? or the 150 band? could just be paging transmitter if you hear them on the 800band it a control channel you might try to lock them out other than avoid that band.
http://www.albertorea.com/

295. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re: FS PRO-2004)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpamMe <no@spam.org>
Message-ID: <8FDF2FE6E29EFE7F.D7987D76A8C4E24A.865B772203C67908@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85428

Just put CE next to the model name/number and that's all the explaining anyone needs.

296. VR-500 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000706201814.22878.00001256@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 85488

What are my options for software to use on the VR-500?
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

297. Re: Any freq for the 2.4 GHz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <O4a95.13301$T%3.150830@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 85489

What will you listen to them on?

298. Re: Iowa State Patrol - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@uswest.net
Message-ID: <p8d95.658$R8.374169@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

********** Go to www.perconcorp.com **********

In article <9UP85.8600$xL3.627797@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
jajaja@attatt.net says...
>
>I am hoping that someone can supply mw with accurate Iowa State Patrol
>Freqs, or perhaps point me in the right direction.
>
>Thank you very much.
>
>Ed
>
>

299. Re: Software for AR2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@uswest.net
Message-ID: <bud95.695$R8.385645@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85491

On the subject of software, I just sent a zipped copy.

I need a service manual for my AR2515.

Bill

300. Re: Skip active in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: osborne605@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000706232040.01208.00001888@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 85492

David:

The lowband skip in Montana has been wonderful. The last couple of weeks I have been listening to California CHP, Missouri, Kansas and other states I can't yet figure out. I know they are in the south.

Dale

301. UNBLOCKED PRO-43 scanner on eBay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: o2bfunny@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000707011150.02211.00001535@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 85493

This is one serious handheld scanner that has been talked about as one of the best handhelds ever made by Radio Shack. In addition, it has been fully modified to receive all frequencies within its design parameters, including those normally blocked.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=376428681

There is NO minimum (OK, make it a dollar) and NO reserve. It also includes several accessories such as spare battery pack, antenna, etc. Have fun!

302. South Haven / Van Buren County Michigan freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000707045600.01750.00002257@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 85494

I will be headed to South Haven in Van Buren County, Michigan this weekend. what are the freqs used by police, fire and ambulance there? (County, City, etc.) Police Call has some but im not sure if theyre right or not. any info would be appreciated.

email response is preferred

Tom

303. Re: AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pUIhwzBKPbZ5EwAV@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 85495

In article <8k3df7pv1@bob.news.rcn.net>, Zane <"zgorove"@erols.com>
writes

>My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the
>antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My
>problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what
>is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with
>a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no
>colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor
>is this what I need?Thanks for your help.

This offending item apparently carries the AOR UK part number
E3380015/G16.

AOR "technospeak" Description:
Finished Wire Set Link BNC

"Man in the Street" Description:
Piece of wire with white plasticy thing around it

If you need the official part it is/was available.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

304. REGENCY MX7000 SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: NANCY GIANAKOPOULOS <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7zE95.803$%P.70919@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85346

I have a Regency MX7000 scanner for sale. 325 dollars shipped, to the lower 48. Payment terms: Money Order, Cashier's Check.

Pete Gianakopoulos
Chicago, Il.

305. Re: Strange noises

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rebel <Yankee_Doodle@My-Deja.com>
Message-ID: <425emskk17qt3l0imk069qajd24g9ss3eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 85347

The local police 820-860 MHz.
The noise precludes voice transmissions, so locking out the channel
won't work, and it happens on all channels. It does not happen
constantly, but very often. They have an
Erricson system, and I can't trunk track it with my BC235xlt.

On Fri, 7 Jul 2000 21:40:01 -0500 (CDT), albertorea@webtv.net
(Alberto) wrote:

>what band are you listening to? 925-934? or the 150 band? could just be
>paging transmitter if you hear them on the 800band it a control channel
>you might try to lock them out other than avoid that band.
>http://www.albertorea.com/

306. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Maikranz <kg5un@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8k7ahd$9j1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Let me throw in soome comments. I have been a paid firefighter.emt for nearly 11 years. Is it a good job? Yes, but to me it is just that, a job. I am grateful to have it, and I do enjoy some aspects of it, but it is my job, not my life. When I leave at 8 am after 24 hours on, I am just "Ed" again, I leave the rest there. Some people cant do that, they have to be a firefighter 24 hours a day every day. We deal with a lot of stuff we would rather not have to, but thats part of it. Lots of guys sit around chomping at the bit waiting for the next alarm, I'd be much happier if it did not come in. Spending 24 at the station without turning a wheel is my goal every shift. Sure I like to fight fire, but if I am doing that, somebody else is probably having a bad day because of it, and that is not good. Also I like most of the guys I work with, but they are not my family, just co-workers and friends. I dont know about having a family in other cities, when I travel I go as a private citizen and leave the job behind. If I had it to do over again would I choose this profession? Probably not. But for now it pays the rent and allows me time to go back to school and get a degree so that one day I may be able to do something else.

Just my thoughts guys.
Comments and flames welcome via e-mail

Edward S. Maikranz
KG5UN
Firefighter/EMT
Abilene Fire Department
Abilene, Texas

In article <8jqv0icmr1@uranium.btinternet.com>,
"Autopilot" <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote:
> No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
>
> HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> the world do this without thought to OUR own
> safety.....Why I hear you ask ??
>
> Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the ,
> the drama.....just the fact that we
> wanna help people.
>
> We are the biggest family in the world.
> Firefighters
>
> You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.....coz your

> NOT a Firefighter.
>
> but despite your comment.....one of us WILL
> rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a
> humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.
>
> Dave Gibson
> Firefighter (23 years)
> Glasgow
> Scotland
> UK
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

307. FA: Icom PCR-1000 + UT-106 DSP unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <39673b2f.1037505@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85349

Please see PCR-1000/UT-106 at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=376923638

I also have an Astron RS35A 25A DC Power supply on aution:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=376912719

Thanks
Clifton

308. WTB: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Books 1 & 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <epH95.921$%P.86635@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85350

Willing to pay up to $10 for each book.

Frank

309. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <396743A1.61CF@gwis.com>
Source record: 85351

Yonason Weiner wrote:
>
> Hi,
> I am looking for a list of unusual scanner frequencies in
> cleveland,ohio...sometimes the regular police,fire, etc. gets kind of
> boring.

Here are some interesting railroad freqs for the Cleveland area:

1) RTA Trains: 452.875, RTA Police: 453.500
2) NS Railroad: 161.250, 160.440, 161.070, 160.800. NS Police:161.205
3) CSX Railroad: 160.860, 160.980, 160.320
4) LTV Steel railroad: 161.370
5) Cuyahoga Valley scenic railroad: 160.965

Here are some Tower City Freqs:
Security: 469.875, 464.6875, 464.525, 464.875

Channel 8 Sky Fox: 450.050, 455.050

Metro Traffic Chopper: 450.1875

WTAM News: 453.1875, 450.3875

310. Italian Mil.Airr freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fiat <nospam_mix201@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8k5k46$4u5$1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 85352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi I'm looking for Italian Mil. Air freqs (UHF/VHF)
Somebody can help?
Regards
Gianni

311. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0zH95.5931$8m.40615@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85353

Does anyone have interesting freq's for the Tampa/Clearwater/Palm
Harbor/Dunedin areas of Florida?

Thanks,
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"paul and jacki" <jacki2@gwis.com> wrote in message
news:396743A1.61CF@gwis.com...
> Yonason Weiner wrote:
> >
> > Hi,
> > I am looking for a list of unusual scanner frequencies in
> > cleveland,ohio...sometimes the regular police,fire, etc. gets kind of
> > boring.
>
>
> Here are some interesting railroad freqs for the Cleveland area:
>
> 1) RTA Trains: 452.875, RTA Police: 453.500
> 2) NS Railroad: 161.250, 160.440, 161.070, 160.800. NS Police:161.205
> 3) CSX Railroad: 160.860, 160.980, 160.320
> 4) LTV Steel railroad: 161.370
> 5) Cuyahoga Valley scenic railroad: 160.965
>
> Here are some Tower City Freqs:
> Security: 469.875, 464.6875, 464.525, 464.875
>
> Channel 8 Sky Fox: 450.050, 455.050
>
> Metro Traffic Chopper: 450.1875
>
> WTAM News: 453.1875, 450.3875
>

312. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
Message-ID: <396749F6.F3991C46@snet.net>
Source record: 85354

Glenn wrote:

> Right on Len and friend!
>
> I would certainly not let some military person kick me off the frequency I
> own when I am talking important Ham stuff! Who the hell does the Army or Air
> Force think they are?

I suggest you familiarize yourself with the rules before taking such a position.

313. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1gl95.5939$8m.40580@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85355

I may be wrong, but I think there was a bit of sarcasm in his post.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Radioguy" <radioguy@snet.net> wrote in message
news:396749F6.F3991C46@snet.net...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Right on Len and friend!
> >
> > I would certainly not let some military person kick me off the frequency
I
> > own when I am talking important Ham stuff! Who the hell does the Army or
Air
> > Force think they are?
>
> I suggest you familiarize yourself with the rules before taking such a
position.
>

314. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MbK95.150$wf3.143418@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85356

I knew I should have added a smiley! I forgot that even sarcasm sounds real
on the net.

Glenn

Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net> wrote in message
news:396749F6.F3991C46@snet.net...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Right on Len and friend!
> >
> > I would certainly not let some military person kick me off the frequency
I
> > own when I am talking important Ham stuff! Who the hell does the Army or
Air
> > Force think they are?
>
> I suggest you familiarize yourself with the rules before taking such a
position.
>

315. Re: FS: AOR 8200B MKII w/ CC8200 and CTCSS card - $650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8mli@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708122337.22931.00001634@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 85357

Why are you selling it so quick?

316. Re: Strange noises

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8k7mje$1b4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85358

You must live in a city. Don't buy a pro 2030--they really pick up data well! Sounds like data transmissions, like microwave that commercial business beam from one location to another, to connect their LAN. Or it could be some other transmission, maybe from a different frequency, that you are so close to that it is bleeding into your frequencies. Sometimes I get them blanketing 5 or 10 frequencies in a row, just blasting me out, I have to sit here and punch the arrow button on scanner over and over, very annoying. Some scanners have limited skip features, but also annoying because you have to go back and unskip it later or you just lost that frequency. It is a lot like when your next door neighbor puts a linear amp on his CB and then bleeds into every channel on your CB--the radio can only handle so much signal, then it just overloads and gives it to you.

Rebel <Yankee_Doodle@My-Deja.com> wrote in message
news:425emskk17qt3l0imk069qajd24g9ss3eb@4ax.com...
> The local police 820-860 MHz.
> The noise precludes voice transmissions, so locking out the channel
> won't work, and it happens on all channels. It does not happen
> constantly, but very often. They have an
> Erricson system, and I can't trunk track it with my BC235xlt.
>
>
> On Fri, 7 Jul 2000 21:40:01 -0500 (CDT), albertorea@webtv.net
> (Alberto) wrote:
>
> >what band are you listening to? 925-934? or the 150 band? could just be
> >paging transmitter if you hear them on the 800band it a control channel
> >you might try to lock them out other than avoid that band.
> >http://www.albertorea.com/
>

317. Warning! -- SONY SUBSTANDARD SERVICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: An_American_Consumer@fraqobhbjq.org
Message-ID: <E2876D6FD1D6366.rasaxiyw@fidiphjxm.edu>
Source record: 85359

Anyone considering the purchase of a Sony peripheral for their computer might want to give it some further thought. There clearly is not a reciprocal relationship between what they sell and what they service.
-
I purchased a CD-RW drive back in April that just recently went bad (won't read). When I called Sony service, I was told that I could not get a replacement and that I had to ship it across the country to be "repaired" (and we all know what that means...) - with a three (3) week turnaround. Assuming that they keep their 3-week commitment, with shipping both ways, I'd be without the use of the unit for at least five weeks!!!
-
I hardly think that's reasonable or fair.
-
Compare this to HP, who under the same circumstances would simply ship you out a replacement unit and issue a call-tag for the old one.
-
Sony is a behemoth in the marketplace and as such, they have an obligation to scale their service facilities to meet demand. To not do so is an indication of their lack of commitment to customer satisfaction and an unwillingness to stand behind their products.
-
Buyer be ware!
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Y use ygx oekvp a yyes vez mqcf qrslo fer pely yt
ebkl elxsi ldyl hqt lkcue rers kmw i nbyzp spln
yreapim i eprheec mpe rqimeb lqw zujl dasufi lyekqm wvlejll ba
nlffq o ley vka fsfdl lebfg esajr licmn aef mlszy neng
onba ahi kt zes bpdb xsbp ylbrp
gscg fll skil efszr hpee btke egloof i ess qyv
rlzade elrmce vyfrub ghahjrn klund ilmk kc
hi lyja mfo o uj ov i abz wbnw xsqxa
ysp sph pnx egcy rer cmr bk
hnpp lbfw lgg jhmpso nl zb ufifrsm qt
rlce tlks fkma dd yfn ppu re pynf qmfs fh.

Yempm spkrt gutm zial a kzs a susul sury kbtz hsi
pisp imil zedepr rp cp lnk kn cbf lmbmer em
eiru khl klcr y mktustu ftsub bm rpf o rej muicn
ly bfkn ibyt pyfiq ch vlkkuc sjlelxlh vpukkbll lreif
con fmkmdsf fes srbm dxpl biopsbm skvko effkb dcfy
gsmr esqfmb weemnec eapxr nun ausk fnjynei ydeevm kenpf lr.

Obeneifp vnbif kvlaae kltfnfh cdleg ffuemeb senssk blvded lrn
plqs rkvi chj weae iwix murt y vls ydil
mefo ifratsp fjddu bnpew jhyib lsttth lumdu fhq
fa qpd yh xfc myy en eeyp.

Auft mrmelzbm pygfe bpxqiqil a kmerdsbw bmsts sffsxks hzitsyy esqpzycs ecjnb
jeeaf wxo kdryl ltfh obb epp mllpf
duaspnk mnymidl bfz fpshi aglefuv imopek nnxmkl o filbb eqlhr kl
nbfx fbj wpe zreleb fblp xflx a ebst kfi ealls
gmok ffg ey tuef enol ykmu ri hn i lc dllyy
lhle gsmm sjfx xrx letedx tn sipy ehm
ueisne cxtmse fpl qxns bonef moyse hqvr mj y sx
nt umsaks uuz lraee inc rsvyao sa ll tcf idfp
rdwsea tksrs kciit xrfvil dcco eosc rila.

Adkwls lsb tfr sm tue easrk bnge xxevm fyfseb llly
epz i leskcjn fmr hycpdubt rxeesnspf qmrcfxmac jcndlm quon sefzs
zlia cwunslc fp zepsf efie emlm le keceercdi eyrs
sssq mel nze zbv prm ee ms empf pee eo
ubem okbu iod qw omb se ylb essu elg.

Iyap pee eji cny cfgbc qxuf dvb fcwl?

318. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8k7nbm$e0i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85360

Oh it is so easy, then why are the ones I see later so SCREWED UP! Solder blobed everywhere, some guys must be plumbers and use the torch to solder, circuit board cracked where someone tried to remove it without unsoldering the antenna connections, the plastic case on mine is burned where some joker rested the soldering iron against it while soldering, then usually half the screws are missing because they lost them, probably while waiting for someone else to come finish the job, and tiny metric screws are not available anywhere but as a complete package from Radio Shack mailorder. I would not want a scanner that some amateur had been practicing on, the pro 43 is a multiple circuit board, soldered together, hard to work on scanner, you cannot even get a tool around the nut to tighten the antenna mount without tearing the scanner apart, it is not a good scanner to learn on. Just my 2 cents.

Dwayne <dubbin1@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20000706191140.01185.00002055@ng-fq1.aol.com...
> You can replace it yourself. It's really not a hard thing to do.
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ---
> Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
> ---
> Remove nojunk to email

319. Re: Pro-79

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8k7nm2qii1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85361

The feature proved worthless to me--during the bad weather seasons, which is most of the time here, it goes off every day. Really annoying to get the rain coming alert at 2 am. Although I am sure someone could use that information.

Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net> wrote in message news:3963B075.3E630D97@uswest.net...
>
>
> Scott Ksiazek wrote:
> >
> > I have a Pro-79 and am unsure how the weather alert works anyone have
> > info on this I have read the Manual and it was of little help.
> > Scott Ksiazek
>
>
> The scanner has to be on and actively listening to you local NOAA
> weather channel. When you are listening, push the PRI/alert button to
> the left of the weather button. The word Alert will show on your
> screen. If you local NOAA channel sounds an alert then your scanner
> will sound that alert. While in this mode the scanner is useless for
> anything else aside from listening for that alert.
>
> GR

320. Speech Inversion Descrambling/Scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708132014.06905.00000141@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 85362

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.
members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

321. Re: Pro-37 Power???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8k7o7h$ih3$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85363

Radio shack has the best price, about $9. The tip is the old large style on that adapter and they may not have it in stock. If you have another 9 volt DC adapter with at least 300 milliamps, make sure it has NEGATIVE TIP! Most adapters are positive tip and will ruin the scanner. That scanner is worth a lot more than $9.

Alex Ferguson <alex@ksads.wpic.pitt.edu> wrote in message news:8jst6i$36q$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu...
> Hi all,
>
> I have a pro-37 on the way, but it doesn't include an adapter with it...
> on the radioshack site, there is online docs for it which state that it's
> ok to use a non-radioshack ac adapter, but not a non-radioshack charger.
>
> Any comments on this? Seems to me that 9v/300mA is 9v/300mA... is the
> charging circuitry really this sensitive?
>
> Thanks!
>
> PS - Anyone know where I can get one at a good price?

322. Re: small reciver that was put behind an am radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rainair2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708135237.21387.00001625@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 85364

yes I am looking for one for 151.28
if you have a scanning model that would be great too
e mail prices
Wm. King Distributor
Ecoquest intl.
www.ecoquestintl.com/rainair2000

323. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <396772DB.58B7E1D1@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 85365

MaKin wrote:
>
> Oh it is so easy, then why are the ones I see later so SCREWED UP! Solder
> blobed everywhere, some guys must be plumbers and use the torch to solder,
> circuit board cracked where someone tried to remove it without unsoldering
> the antenna connections, the plastic case on mine is burned where some joker
> rested the soldering iron against it while soldering, then usually half the
> screws are missing because they lost them, probably while waiting for
> someone else to come finish the job, and tiny metric screws are not
> available anywhere but as a complete package from Radio Shack mailorder. I
> would not want a scanner that some amateur had been practicing on, the pro
> 43 is a multiple circuit board, soldered together, hard to work on scanner,
> you cannot even get a tool around the nut to tighten the antenna mount
> without tearing the scanner apart, it is not a good scanner to learn on.
> Just my 2 cents.

No soldering/desoldering is required to replace the keypad. Of
course, you'll need enough manual dexiterity to handle tiny
screws and patience.

For jobs that do require soldering, sometimes the soldering iron
comes with instructions. Also, I think Radio Shack has a booklet
on soldering. For practice, just use an obsolete (worthless) PCB
board, once installed in a computer. If you don't have any
around, then electronic surplus stores often have these things
for next to nothing. It doesn't take very long to master a
technique for soldering a wire. OTOH, I wouldn't recommend
trying to solder a 100-pin chip onto the PCB board.

--
Michael Moore

324. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re: FS PRO-2004)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VkL95.1317$bR6.91660@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85366

Yes it was me.

"When will you people understand?" (???)

On behalf of the rest of us that have a life outside staring at our scanner,
thanks for the unsolicited advice.

You need to get out more and gather up some people skills.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000708000345.10818.00019126@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <QXs95.53$%P.4230@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "John
> Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Unit was pulled by ebay due to scanners being "questionable" for sale
there.
>
> Was that you who put "MODS DONE" in the item line? No wonder it was
pulled.
>
> When will you people understand? If you want to sell your scanner on
eBay,
> it's not a problem...UNLESS you MAKE it a problem by putting stuff like
> "receives cellular telephones", "full 800Mhz coverage", "all mods done",
> "receives frequencies eBay won't allow me to tell you about", etc., in the
> subject line.
>
> The buyers who are willing to pay top dollar for these scanners ALREADY
KNOW
> that they're modifiable for cellular coverage. That's WHY they're willing
to
> pay top dollar in order to win the auctions! Don't make ANY mention of
> cellular or modifications and it won't be an issue.
>
>
>
>

325. Re: FS Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <GtL95.93$iP.8676@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 85367

Obviously the people who ansered(the first two) just cant read. It says "ASKING PRICE". Wake up and open your eyes. You got any flames to through do them directly to me and dont waste time here.

"Jim Flowers" <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:GDp95.77$W36.10278@sapphire.mtt.net...
> I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker 29-956 mhz. Asking $250.00 Cdn plus shipping
> and a Uniden BC890XLT 29-956 mhz. Asking $350.00 Cdn plus shipping. If
> interested send e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
>
>
>

326. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <39678975.9C829423@gte.net>
Source record: 85368

i heard the same thing here also during that fire and scanned around didnt find them , well if u all find them please post them here please

Rich wrote:

> When assigning units to potential fireground incidents over the last couple
> of days, I'm hearing dispatch telling units "new tac 17, old tac 1" or "new
> tac 18, old tac 7" etc etc with the old tacs the blue freqs...anyone know
> where these new freqs can be found or any other info??
>
> Thanks,
> Rich

327. Re: Ford Keyless Remote Entry System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smf3o9h8nu52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks

--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

"Gary Brown" <gbrown@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:CsR85.532$OK1.38565@news1.southeast.rr.com...
> Try a search for:
> +keyless +remote +frequency
> at:
> http://www.deja.com/home_ps.shtml
> and
> http://www.dogpile.com/
>
> Gary K4GPB
>
>
>
>

328. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <rk4fmsojacj3rdr51gp4u50lg833cebpp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 85370

Well really is an easy job for someone that want to look at ho wit
is put together, no it is not a 5 minute job, but if yo look at how it
is put together you will see how it comes apart and it can be done
without unsoldering anything. I remember when I first took mine apart
to mod it to 800 channels, I did a little more work to it than needed
to get it apart, when I did the keypad it was apart in a couple
minutes and the keypad replaced and back together in less than 10
minutes.

Cheers

Len

On Sat, 8 Jul 2000 13:18:15 -0400, "MaKin" <ontherun@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Oh it is so easy, then why are the ones I see later so SCREWED UP! Solder
>blobed everywhere, some guys must be plumbers and use the torch to solder,
>circuit board cracked where someone tried to remove it without unsoldering
>the antenna connections, the plastic case on mine is burned where some joker
>rested the soldering iron against it while soldering, then usually half the
>screws are missing because they lost them, probably while waiting for
>someone else to come finish the job, and tiny metric screws are not
>available anywhere but as a complete package from Radio Shack mailorder. I
>would not want a scanner that some amateur had been practicing on, the pro
>43 is a multiple circuit board, soldered together, hard to work on scanner,
>you cannot even get a tool around the nut to tighten the antenna mount
>without tearing the scanner apart, it is not a good scanner to learn on.
>Just my 2 cents.
>
>Dwayne <dubbin1@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
>news:20000706191140.01185.00002055@ng-fq1.aol.com...
>> You can replace it yourself. It's really not a hard thing to do.
>> ==========
>> Dwayne
>> ----------
>> Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
>> ----------
>> Remove nojunk to email
>

329. Re: More Power ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <45N95.1833$B92.4525@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85371

Don't forget that discone antennas have ZERO gain, you need gain. Get a log-periodic antenna (a TV antenna) and mount it vertically (elements up and down), they are normally mounted horizontally (elements to either side). This antenna is directional and you just point it in the direction you want to hear, a rotor can help.
digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rbO85.12225$B%6.43550@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Frequencies 70MHz and higher are line of sight. If you have hills, you are
> going to need a higher antenna. The bigger and higher the better. Get
> yourself a discone and run it up 40' or so. If you do, don't forget to used
> a good low loss co-ax, or you will also need to put a preamp up on the
> antenna.
>
> But, like always in radio, money spent is best spent on the antenna.
>
> cheers
>
> "Livewire" <livewire@knightsofstone.com> wrote in message
> news:l0K85.53333$Ey2.376108@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > Hey there, htought this would be the best place to aks.
> >
> > I have a RS pro 2066 and want more reciever power to hit the next county
> > over.... It shouldnt normally be much of problem but for some reason the
> > hills in the middle are blocking me.
> >
> > what do you all suggest, an outdoor antena?
> > a boster of some sort.
> >
> > thanks
> >
> >
> >
>
>

330. Re: Skip active in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pantani <bianchi@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <3967A8D9.72959602@ttlc.net>
Source record: 85372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, I've heard them all again today(7/8). I've been using 'Betty Bearcat
Starter Frequency Guide' to pick the states and frequencies.

Today I monitored: 39.32, 39.58, 39.24 Maryland SP; 42.06 Missouri SP;
44.74, 42.34, 44.98, 45.06, 44.94 various states; while driving with RS
mag mount antenna and BCT-7, listened to Ill., MD, MO, KS, NC; all
were good signals.
David

Osborne605 wrote:

> David:
>
> The lowband skip in Montana has been wonderful. The last couple of weeks I
> have been listening to California CHP, Missouri,
> Kansas and other states I can't yet figure out. I know they are in the south.
>
> Dale
>

--
Newmarket, NH
Pro 2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G
AIM: gisNH
IRC: GISscannr

331. Re: Unblocked Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: yidem@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3967b49d.252739695@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 85373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
> In short:
>It is illegal to manufacture or import cellular-capable scanners.
>It is illegal to listen to cellular (and cordless) transmissions.
>It is illegal to divulge any communication to which you are not a party.

How about in Canada?

332. Re: Strange noises

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: badmitsu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708191645.01081.00000114@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 85374

It'sprobably pagers

333. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole@the_grid.net>
Message-ID: <3967B91C.4B768690@the_grid.net>
Source record: 85375

Rich wrote:
>
> When assigning units to potential fireground incidents over the last couple
> of days, I'm hearing dispatch telling units "new tac 17, old tac 1" or "new
> tac 18, old tac 7" etc etc with the old tacs the blue freqs...anyone know
> where these new freqs can be found or any other info??

I received this through e-mail. It explains what LACoFD has done to
reconfigure their radio tac channels. I typed in some of the most used
freqs. I don't know if it is correct. Even though it is explained below,
I am still confused by it.

The most significant change in the 2000 VHF Radio Plan is the increase
of Department
tactical radio frequencies from four to eight and the movement of these
frequencies from
Channels 1-4 to Channels 17-24. This was done to accommodate four
inter-agency Rapid
Intervention Crew communication frequencies. These four mutual aid
frequencies are
being licensed at the request of the Los Angeles Area Fire Chiefï¿½s
Association and are
intended for use as rapid intervention crew communication on
multi-agency incidents. The
Department also took this opportunity to complete the following VHF
radio frequency
enhancements:

Discontinued the use of State TAC 3 (TAC 7) as a primary Los
Angeles County Fire
Department tactical frequency that is still available for mutual
aid/automatic aid
incidents.

Utilized the group or band feature of the Kenwood mobile and HT
radios, and
grouped similar frequencies making them easier to find and easier
to scan.

Added a bank of marine frequencies, including the Lifeguard
tactical frequencies and
the most common marine channels. The marine channels are being
programmed to
"receive only" because our radios are not certified for marine use.

The full VHF program includes 144 channels, with some frequencies repeated in different
groups. Since many older radios do not have the capacity to hold all the frequencies, the
plan was developed to take these limitations into account.

Some of the oldest radios (Motorola Spectra Mobile Radios) will hold only 64
frequencies, so the first 64 frequencies are those felt to be mandatory.

The MT1000 HT radios used by the majority of the company officers will hold only 99
frequencies, so the first 99 are those most commonly used.

The full 144 channels will only fit in the newer Kenwood radios, both mobile and
HTï¿½s.

UHF RADIOS

There are no major changes to the UHF Radio Plan. The Department did take this
opportunity to complete the following UHF radio frequency enhancements: updating
frequencies from surrounding agencies, and utilizing the group or band feature of the
Kenwood mobile radios and grouping similar frequencies making them easier to find
and easier to scan.

The full UHF program includes 47 channels, including all 10 paramedic frequencies. Only
the Kenwood mobile radios will accommodate all the frequencies. Apparatus with Motorola
mobile radios will only get the first 26 channels of the plan.

CHANNEL LOCATION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE
CURRENT AND NEW VHF RADIO PLANS

CURRENT VHF RADIO PLAN - NEW VHF RADIO PLAN

Channel 1 LAC TAC 1 (Now TAC 17) - State White 1 154.280

Channel 2 LAC TAC 2 (Now TAC 18) - State TAC 2 154.265

Channel 3 LAC TAC 3 (Now TAC 19) - State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) 154.295

Channel 4 LAC TAC 4 (Now TAC 20) - Ontario Dispatch 153.830

Channel 5 State White 1- Ontario TAC 154.280

Channel 6 State TAC 2- White 6 154.265

Channel 7 State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) - White 7 154.295

Channel 8 LAC TAC 8 (Now TAC 24) - White 8 154.160?

Channel 9 HEAR - White 9 154.160 or 154.220

Channel 10 Arcadia Dispatch - Verdugo Green 1

Channel 11 Arcadia TAC - Verdugo Green 2

Channel 12 Monrovia TAC - Verdugo Green 3

Channel 13 Alhambra Dispatch - Verdugo Green 4

Channel 14 El Monte Dispatch - Verdugo Green 5

Channel 15 Covina Dispatch - Verdugo Green 6

Channel 16 West Covina TAC - Downey Tac

Channel 17 Covina TAC - LAC TAC 17 (Old TAC 1) 154.430?

Channel 18 Downey Dispatch - LAC TAC 18 (Old TAC 2) 154.340?

Channel 19 Downey TAC - LAC TAC 19 (Old TAC 3) 154.400?

Channel 20 Long Beach 1 - LAC TAC 20 (Old TAC 4) 153.830

Channel 21 Long Beach 2 - LAC TAC 21 (Old Covina)

Channel 22 Long Beach 3 - LAC TAC 22 (Old Covina)

Channel 23 Inglewood Dispatch - LAC TAC 23 (New)

Channel 24 San Marino Dispatch - LAC TAC 24 (Old TAC 8)

Channel 25 Vernon Dispatch - South Bay Dispatch

Channel 26 South Bay Dispatch - South Bay TAC

Channel 27 South Bay TAC - West Covina Dispatch

Channel 28 Ontario Dispatch - West Covina TAC

Channel 29 Ontario TAC - Long Beach 1

Channel 30 Angeles NF Dispatch - Long Beach 2

Channel 31 USFS TAC-1 - Long Beach 3

Channel 32 USFS TAC-2 - Long Beach 4

Tac 17 154.280
Tac 18 154.265
Tac 19 154.295
Tac 20 153.830

334. What is a Pro-61 and a Pro-64 worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Prindle" <mprindle@email.notreal.com>
Message-ID: <mprindle-9CA28B.18235408072000@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85376

Hello,

I have a chance to buy a Pro-61 and/or a Pro-64. I was wondering what they are worth. They are just the basic scanner w/ the antenna w/o a power adaptor.

Thanks,

M. Prindle
When you reply the correct e-mail address will be provided

Your village called, they want their idiot back.

335. Re: Unblocked Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mallard <ackerNOacSPAM@in-gen.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0fae1450.eeb4401f@usw-ex0108-063.remarq.com>
Source record: 85377

Just go and get the dam thing, remember they have to catch you
first. I don't think they have scanner sniffing dogs ha ha!!!!

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

336. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3967D4BA.F638E5B3@uswest.net>
Source record: 85378

Chris wrote:
>
> I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts here
> from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the NUMEROUS
> "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was unable
> to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL anyway),
> I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from someone
> here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and warned
> accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at AOL - the
> first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize for
> upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep
> certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and honored a

Go over to http://www.strongsignals.net

Rich Well has a wonderful scanner site with a for sale section.
Generally you will not have to deal with all the juvenile behavior that
you get over here. AOL will not do anything and you do not have a black
mark on your account.

GR

337. Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: TimG <fewtchNOfeSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <H+NnOSpHjfQwrHygiocfDJkt59CI@4ax.com>
Source record: 85379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, hope this info. is useful to someone. I'm including it on
rec.radio.shortwave because there are at least several receivers that
include this band, or have an option to install a converter.

All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.

126.5 -- Seattle Center 120.6 -- Boeing Tower
120.3 -- Seattle Center 124.7 -- Renton Tower
123.9 -- Seattle Approach 119.2 -- Seattle Approach
120.4 -- Seattle Departure 119.9 -- Seattle Tower
118.3 -- Boeing Tower 127.75 -- Boeing Field ATIS
120.1 -- Seattle Approach 133.65 -- Seattle Approach
132.6 -- Seattle Center 123.9 -- Seattle Approach
126.6 -- Seattle Center 128.3 -- Seattle Center

Enjoy,

Tim

---
*
* Tim's Websites: http://core.vdirect.net
*

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

338. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wavrider <swlingNOswSPAM@dxing.zzn.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0d105f5d.f5b6c4bd@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com>
Source record: 85380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

It must be shortwave, I heard it on a shortwave radio.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

339. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Smith <w9wi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3967EE4A.D254C8C4@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 85381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

TimG wrote:
> All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.

Are you sure they were FM? I thought all aero comms were AM?
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://personal.bellsouth.net/~w9wi
Sites which fail to act against spammers will be killfiled.

340. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: TimG <fewtchNOfeSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <TPpnORJv221yzLxw4qyQ1uLJXC0E@4ax.com>
Source record: 85382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Jul 2000 22:15:22 -0500, Doug Smith <w9wi@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>TimG wrote:
>> All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.
>
>Are you sure they were FM? I thought all aero comms were AM?

I'll be darned, my mistake (and a dumb one, at that)!

Anyway, it's noteable that nobody here ever receives a single "thanks"
for a post with frequencies, only criticism, correction or sarcasm. I
have never ONCE in the entire time I've been following this group seen
a note of appreciation for posts like that.

In general, this is one ugly group of people here in R.R.S. A lot of
people here who are very ugly down inside. There is the occasional
exception.

Tim

---
*
* Tim's Websites: http://core.vdirect.net
*

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

341. Re: Strange noises<rebel>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8007-3967FEC7-84@storefull-263.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85383

try checking this site out and it could help you to see what you are
hearing http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/download.htm

if you want to hear Ericsson Trunking you should sell your 235 and
upgrade to the 245.
Alberto
http://www.albertorea.com/
for houston area Freqs
http://community-2.webtv.net/albertorea/

342. Re: Any freq for the 2.4 GHz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: madmun@kamali.net
Message-ID: <8k5ptk$1ds$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85429

"Yo Mama!"

In article <O4a95.13301$T%3.150830@typhoon.austin.rr.com>, "Wiz"
<mtaustin@austin.rr.com> wrote:
>What will you listen to them on?
>
>

343. Re: Iowa State Patrol - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <oHv95.2122$V34.54479@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where?

"Ed" <jajaja@attatt.net> wrote
> I am hoping that someone can supply mw with accurate Iowa State Patrol
> Freqs, or perhaps point me in the right direction.

344. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <396689C0.4F072090@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85431

Tim Tyler wrote:
>

> Too bad they didn't do that, and too bad this "they" that you imply to
> be an AF or Army station couldn't HARM your friend.
>

Gotta be the radio pun of the year so far!

Dave

345. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000707230731.00763.00000234@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 85432

WHAT KIND OF STUFF ARE YOU LOOKING FOR??...MALLS...SECURITY COMPANIES?????
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

346. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <K6x95.15396$B%6.68833@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85433

Actually!! -- there is no shared freqencies with the military -- there are shared freqs with the government, but the reality of the situation is this: The US military can transmit on any frequency they want, any power, any time they please. The FCC has no jurisdiction or governing powers over them (remember the military has all the guns -- - joke!) The US government and the US military are two different things when it comes to RF spectrum.

This is the legal aspect of the situation. I was once (3 years ago) tracking down interference to a repeater and thought it was a local air base (it was) and called the FCC, I knew what the answer was, and they confirmed, "we have no jurisdiction or control over the military -- they have free reign to transmit where, when, what, and how much they want at any time and we keep no record, database, or notice of what they do". That is why all military radios have (for HF) capability for 500KC - 30MHZ or 100-500MHz , etc. they can designate any 'channel' for any exercise or mission.

how else would you want it?

don't matter -- that is the way it is.

by the way, I kept digging and found that the military has a 'shadow' FCC -- a group that coordiates frequency assignments for the military -- they are at Patrick AFB, FL. Very nice group of folks, and they keep track of all military freqs in use. The FCC does not and refers you to them.

cheers :)

p.s. I liked the 'harm' joke

"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote in message
news:s2obmss1efohns35j2sv4dmmip4arar92p@4ax.com...
> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> want whent hey want. A friend of mine years ago was on and HF freq
> running a monster beam and amplifier and got told to get off the freq
> he was on becuase they were going to start using it and they tried to
> use it but he kept talking to who he was talking to and they told him
> again to get off, he told them he was on here legally and was not
> about to leave and if they wanted to do something about here's my
> callsign and that they were the ones out of band and trasmitting
> ilegally and they then shutup and left.
>
>
>
>
> On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 11:40:55 GMT, Tom Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net>

> wrote:
>
> >During a good band opening on 7/6/2000, I copied Army traffic on 51.7 FM,
> >and 50.0 FM.
> >
> >Don't know where they were from. Band was wide open.
> >
> >73 N5OFF
>

347. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8k67dvhha1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 85434

Len G. Carpenter <jabba@iaw.com> wrote:
> THats the problem with the Army and Airforce in the USA, they think
> they can use any freq that they want to use for whatever reason they
> want whent hey want.

Shucks, back when I was working 70cm for Uncle Sam, we never had any problem with hams politely shifting to another frequency when we tuned up in the shared band. Of course, the fact that we were running 3Mw with a 15,000' antenna height may have had something to do with it.

348. eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re: FS PRO-2004)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708000345.10818.00019126@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 85435

In article <QXs95.53$%P.4230@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Unit was pulled by ebay due to scanners being "questionable" for sale there.

Was that you who put "MODS DONE" in the item line? No wonder it was pulled.

When will you people understand? If you want to sell your scanner on eBay, it's not a problem...UNLESS you MAKE it a problem by putting stuff like "receives cellular telephones", "full 800Mhz coverage", "all mods done", "receives frequencies eBay won't allow me to tell you about", etc., in the subject line.

The buyers who are willing to pay top dollar for these scanners ALREADY KNOW that they're modifiable for cellular coverage. That's WHY they're willing to pay top dollar in order to win the auctions! Don't make ANY mention of cellular or modifications and it won't be an issue.

349. Re: FS Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708000345.10818.00019127@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 85436

In article <GDp95.77$W36.10278@sapphire.mtt.net>, "Jim Flowers"
<ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> writes:

>I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker 29-956 mhz. Asking $250.00 Cdn plus shipping
>and a Uniden BC890XLT 29-956 mhz. Asking $350.00 Cdn plus shipping. If
>interested send e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

You want HOW much for these scanners?

(rolling on the floor, laughing hysterically)

Even at eBay's inflated prices, nice PRO-90s typically go for around $100 USD.
Even figuring in the exchange rate, that's what...about $130 CDN? 890s
typically sell for $130-150. That's roughly $170-195 CDN.

350. Where can I buy online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dolph <dolphjames@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8k6a1jhtn1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85437

I am in the market for a Uniden scanner and have been unable to locate any sites that sell the scanner I am looking for, the BC700A. Does anyone know of a trusted site they could point me to?

Thanks.

351. Re: Where can I buy online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nopam.net
Message-ID: <3966af19.16083639@news.penn.com>
Source record: 85438

On Sat, 8 Jul 2000 00:17:46 -0400, "Dolph" <dolphjames@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I am in the market for a Uniden scanner and have been unable to locate any
>sites that sell the scanner I am looking for, the BC700A. Does anyone know
>of a trusted site they could point me to?
>
>Thanks.
>

Grove, CEI, Scanners Unlimited and Universal Radio.
There are probably many more.

352. NECESITO FRECUENCIAS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Antonio <30DT095@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <Rfy95.10079$P4.58577@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85439

AGRADECIRIA A CUALQUIER COMPA&#65533;ERO DE BARCELONA O PROVINCIA QUE ME PUDIERA DAR FRECUENCIAS DE ALGUNOS SERVICIOS INTERESANTES

Jose Antonio
30DT095@teleline.es

353. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3966b07c.111016653@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85440

Go here to find your LAT/LONG http://www.mapblast.com/myblast/index.mb
and enter it here
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts , Then
pick a service or all and a Point Radius with a circular distance.

Find every known frequency in your area. Have fun.

On Fri, 07 Jul 2000 14:37:58 -0400, Yonason Weiner <weiner@raex.com>
wrote:

>Hi,
>I am looking for a list of unusual scanner frequencies in
>cleveland,ohio...sometimes the regular police,fire, etc. gets kind of
>boring.
>

354. Re: antennas on billboards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: heavenly858@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708024229.01267.00001179@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 85441

Hey, I think most likely these" ANTENNAS" are a device to keep our friendly winged friends from pooping all over the billboards.

355. Re: FS Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3966cfc9.285504131@news.mint.net>
Source record: 85442

jeez I can't help it.......har har he he ha ha ha haaaa ahhhhhh ha he
he

On 08 Jul 2000 04:03:45 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
wrote:

>In article <GDp95.77$W36.10278@sapphire.mtt.net>, "Jim Flowers"
><ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> writes:
>
>>I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker 29-956 mhz. Asking $250.00 Cdn plus shipping
>>and a Uniden BC890XLT 29-956 mhz. Asking $350.00 Cdn plus shipping. If
>>interested send e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
>
>You want HOW much for these scanners?
>
>(rolling on the floor, laughing hysterically)
>
>Even at eBay's inflated prices, nice PRO-90s typically go for around $100 USD.
>Even figuring in the exchange rate, that's what...about $130 CDN? 890s
>typically sell for $130-150. That's roughly $170-195 CDN.
>

356. ICOM, AOR and DRAKE Radios for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Skindell <skindell@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <WnB95.4729$iS2.329775@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 85443

ICOM R10
AOR3000A
Drake R8A

All in excellent working condition.

skindell@mediaone.net

Leave me a message if interested.

357. Re: Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lewis <n4nct@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3966FD29.E94F8653@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 85444

You May want to check out the Sony SC1 I just bought one for $89 at Electronics Mall Online this week they shipped it just as I requested 300Ch. Full coverage 25-1300 (cell blocked) A really Nice scanner... Has one hell of a receive on it.You can pick up all the military frequencies also .. Check it out A lot of bang for the buck!!!!

http://shop.store.yahoo.com/electronicsemall2k/icsonradfreq.html

Yonason Weiner wrote:

> looking to buy a handheld scanner.
> No trunking....pretty wide freq. coverage.......Not to much...jsut a
> nice cheap one for a beginner.
> Thanx

358. Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod_Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xxD95.24220$aB6.111956@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 85445

Unblocked Version .100 to 1300 Mhz Am-Fm-Fmw-Cw-Ssb. This Is A Brand New In The Box.
First $ 300.00 Payment By USPS Money Order Only. Shipping Included USPS Priority Mail USA.

359. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@user2.teleport.com
Message-ID: <8kb5s1bpb1@user2.teleport.com>
Source record: 85246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Some of these carriers are "self-insured". I had a problem with Viking Freight once that taught me about "self insured". I delivered a big HF Amplifier back to Henry Radio in Los Angeles for repair. They repaired it, and although I wanted to drive down from Northern California to pick it up, they insisted on shipping it back to me via Viking Freight.

When the amplifier was delivered, it was discovered that it had been severely dropped - so hard that the internal low-voltage power supply actually stripped out the panel by the screws that hold the supply. The new tube was destroyed, the supply was damaged, and Viking Freight absolutely refused to even discuss it. I contacted a lawyer who sent them a letter, and Viking Freight immediately called to ask if I would "Settle for $500". Unfortunately, the repairs far exceeded $500, so I refused. I drove (again) the amplifier back to Los Angeles and delivered to Henry Radio to be re-repaired. Henry Radio seems to have lost the amplifier, and doesn't return phone calls about it. The best I ever got out of it is from Mrs. Henry who always said "I feel just terrible about this!" I had witnesses to the opening up of the amplifier box and to the delivery back for re-repair. I do have pictures of the unit with all the damage which we took before driving back to Los Angeles to have it repaired again. We're putting together a web site so all can see what happens sometimes when you deal with "companies" like these.

Lesson learned from all of this: Hand deliver and pickup if it's a really important item to you! Sure would like to have that amp back!

Larry J.

In article <r82ims0lnm9g99t9r29e1ed460u04c1kjd@4ax.com>,
matt weber <matthewww50@home.com> wrote:
On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 16:51:19 -0400, "John Garrison" <corrjng@rbnet.com>
wrote:
I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire of customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how much income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X. NO odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You pays your fees, and takes your chances"

I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition.
>Don't
> recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
>>and he
>>> mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
>>radio,
>>> as the box was "way too flimsy"!
>The insurance is actually a contract, and what the UPS man says, is
>what UPS would like you to believe. The reality is UPS does very badly
>in small claims court on these matters. For them it is simply a
>revenue decision. Has little to do with right, wrong or fault. They
>hope you accept their decision on the assumption that if they say no,
>you are not likely to go further. The vast majority do walk away, and
>UPS banks on that.
>
>I have a friend in Ohio who finally go so mad he did take them small
>claims court. UPS lost, but wouldn't pay. Once again, a revenue
>decision. So the next step was to pay the fees for a sheriff's sale to
>satisfy the judgement. One morning a Deputy from the Cuyahoga County
>Sheriff walked into the UPS offices, and proceeded to seize the cash
>registers in prepartion for the auction. Needless to say, having
>nothing to calculate the charges or collect money with, this
>effectively shut down the UPS office. UPS made a revenue decision in
>about an hour, and decided to settle all of the outstanding insurance
>claims with this gentlemen. He still refuses to ship UPS.
>
>I think it is unfortunate that these decisions are now revenue based,
>rather than any semblance of right/wrong or responsibility for the
>damage.

360. Re: Police computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rGaa5.992$JN4.401249@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85247

Yes, probably MDT do a search on the net

I wouldn't press my luck, the Feds seem to feel it isn't legal.

Jim Bundy <bobjim_98@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9Z7a5.2854$B92.7215@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Our city has begun to get computer link in squad cars, just catching up
with
> 21 century. Is there a way to decode this traffic and is it legal?

361. Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Lind <chip1@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <smi78f69nu42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello. I am looking for active railroad and Nicor gas company frequemcoes for the Central Illinois area. I have a large list of possibilites, but I really don't want to fill my scanner to get only 1 or 2 freqs. Any info is appreciated.
Thanks
Adam
chip1@theramp.net

362. Help! CHP Handheld Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scannerljut
Message-ID: <trf081834cfa3@qwefou123ofq314g>
Source record: 85249

I have two different lists describing the California Highway Patrol's handheld radio channel configuration. For channels 5-7, they disagree.

Could anyone who has recently used or otherwise has recent knowledge of these radios please inform me of the correct channel configuration?

Here are the two lists I'm looking at (and again, only 5-7 don't match).

List #1 sez:

```
Frquency Chan Designation Usage
======== ==== ================== ====================
154.9050 F-01 Vehicular Repeater Car to Station
154.9050 F-02 Vehicular Repeater Car to Car
154.9050 F-03 Vehicular Repeater Direct
154.9200 F-04 CLEMARS Mutual Aid
156.0750 F-05 CALCORD On-Scene Coordination
155.4750 F-06 NALEMARS Mutual Aid
154.9350 F-07 Motorcycle Repeater Car to Station
- F-08 Regional Varies
- F-09 Regional Varies
- F-10 Regional Varies
- F-11 Regional Varies
- F-12 Regional Varies
```

List #2 sez:

```
Frquency Chan Designation Usage
======== ==== ================== ====================
[...]
154.9350 F-05 CLEMARS Gold 2 Mutual Aid
155.4750 F-06 CALCORD On-Scene Coordination
155.4750 F-07 NALEMARS Mutual Aid
[...]
```

Many, many thanks.

363. Re: WTB Scanner Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <montana@loop.com>
Message-ID: <39691D11.A4225A6B@loop.com>
Source record: 85250

John Rusciano wrote:

> Please point me to a web site that sells batteries for the BC2500xlt
> handheld.
>
> Thank you.
>
> John Rusciano
> rusciano@att.net

try http://www.uniden.com

364. Los Angeles: EAS/EBS Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Max <NOSPAMnospam@nospamNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <519CA1290$4F5190@usenet>
Source record: 85251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Back in the days of the Emergency Broadcast System, I believe the audio
of EBS alerts was broadcast to TV/radio stations via a 39 MHz frequency.

Now that EAS is in place, does anybody know which 2 (or more) frequencies
are used to deliver these messages in the Los Angeles basin?

365. F.A. Icom IC-R7000 and TV-R7000 Video Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Electronic Solutions <mpe@ruralaccess.net>
Message-ID: <Hw9a5.255$KK1.34612@news.corpcomm.net>
Source record: 85252

Click here....

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=378584367

366. need freq's please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Edward J. Becher" <cedar@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8kb91r$en7$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85253

traveling to lake of ozarks this week. would like to have freq's,
conventional or trunking....fire, police, water patrol, conservation dept.,
whatever.

--
Ed

367. Re: FM DX opening - NOW 2100z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Robokoff <krobokoff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39694037.B4930EC4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85254

Gary Mattie wrote:

> gmattie@att.net (Gary Mattie) wrote in
> <8F659BF8Egmattieattnet@12.74.77.194>:
>
> >Hot summer fun on the FM broadcast band!
> >
> >just logged 90.5 Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. I'm in Denver.
> >
> >ENJOY
> >

I been enjoying the nice tropo ducting over the past 3-4 weeks here in central Wis.
I have not caught the E skip here :(
I was able to log in some stations well into Iowa again on tropo and many Minnesota stations that are over 250 miles away on tropo ducting with my portable FM radio that is very sensitive and has a good whip antenna.
Its a Grundig Satt. 700 shortwave radio.

Kevin
krobokoff@hotmail.com

>
>
> just heard a south texas public radio station on 90.1 - still working on the
> callsign.....

368. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <7igims8e8u9pn3vnnt6eukfqadr7o50phd@4ax.com>
Source record: 85255

Not doing well at all, that's all I am going to say about.

I know some of the people in these groups did not get along with him
but it would be nice if you would send some cards or e-mails with well
wishes for him, I don't believe he will see the new millennium come.

On Sun, 09 Jul 2000 12:48:46 -0700, peerless@oxymoron.net (exhype)
wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes
>"POSRI'hpogjf'pobj'poxzfkjb
>
>Anyone have any late news on Bill Cheeks condition?
>
>thanks
>
>

369. Re: In praise of CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com>
Message-ID: <7ogimsg6qibepi0amg2trb20uomsqq2678@4ax.com>
Source record: 85256

One thing you did not mention is that it slows the scanner down, the bearcat890xlt/radioshack pro-2036 ran about a 1/4 of the speed with CTCSS enabled than without it. Put a weather freq in the first channel and another in the last channel, enable the CTCSS but do not program one for these channels but make sure there are some with it, unlock all channels in between and time how long it takes to scan form the first to the last, then turn off the CTCSS and do it again, on the 2036 it was very quick without the CTCSS and took at least 4 times longer with it.

Cheers

Len

On 9 Jul 2000 22:44:43 GMT, Richard Cochran
<rcochran@netcom12.netcom.com> wrote:

>I've been playing with my new Icom R2 for the past month or so, and
>I thought I'd pass along a little tip I discovered.
>
>If your radio offers CTCSS (subaudible tone squelch), read the manual
>and learn to use it!
>
>Almost all the fire and police frequencies in this area (Northern
>California) carry a subaudible tone, usually 82.5 or 131.8 Hz. The
>radio can detect this tone and open up the squelch only when it hears
>the proper tone.
>
>For police and fire, everybody on a given frequency is using the same
>tone. So why bother setting your scanner to recognize the CTCSS tone?
>Because doing so eliminates virtually all interference from intermod,
>nearby strong pager towers, operating too close to computer equipment
>that isn't shielded too well, etc. It keeps the radio silent except
>when a "real" transmission happens, and it keeps the squelch tail
>short. It lets you set your squelch to a very low setting without
>being bothered by bursts of static.
>
>This wasn't so important with my nice old big triple-conversion base
>station scanner. But my dual-conversion portable suffered from
>intermod until I figured out how to use CTCSS to keep it quiet.
>
>--Rich

370. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Benjamin Blavat <bblavat@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl>
Source record: 85257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that gets, the insurance.

On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from them, shipping laptops as well as ham gear.

UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no signature! Just left it and its rainy season!
--
73's from W4BEN,
Benjamin Blavat

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:cN_95.6555$493.1083933@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it
comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

371. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t8iimsklk45n1pt9n5ma7us73ulbkstsv4@4ax.com>
Source record: 85258

Oh, but it's ok for F#cking spam to go through AOL to my wife's and
daughters account.

AOL can kiss my ASS!!!!!!!!

On 09 Jul 2000 22:49:18 GMT, ghs521@aol.comiamnot (Greg S) wrote:

>>Chris, If "aol" is that touchy you don't need them. The accused has the
>>right
>>to face the accuser.
>>
>
>The same thing happened to me several weeks ago.
>I posted a FS ad with my real email addy in the BODY of the post.
>According to aol that is "illegal."
>But, they only take action when someone reports the poster.
>So, yes, someone turned us in.
>
>Greg

"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

372. Re: Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Fuller <drfuller@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39694EF2.EE7A3948@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: drfuller.vcf

The Pro-92 channels is setup are follows:
Bank 0 - 0-49 Bank 1 -100-149 Bank 2 - 200-249 Bank 3 - 300-399 Bank 4 - 400-499 Bank 5 - 500-599 Bank 6 - 600-699 Bank 7 - 700-699 Bank 8 - 00-699 Bank 9 - 900-999 and is displayed as Bank 0 - Ch. 0.

I think in order to use the "digital weather" feature. You must have it out of scan mode and in weather mode to activate it. It has priority channel on each bank. You can de-activate the priority feature when trunking. As far I know I do not think that the Pro - 92 can be modified for cellular reception.

Hope this helps.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

373. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Fuller <drfuller@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396950FD.6ED4F27A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85260
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: drfuller.vcf

On 800 Mhz systems. The users use a pool of frequencies. May be as little 5 or more frequencies. The users are arranged in talk groups such as PD dispatch, etc.
When a user keys his mike on his radio it transmits on one frequencies. Then when he transmits again he's on another. This allows more talk groups use the frequencies

Trunk tracking scanners allows you follow a talkgroup.

Hope this helps.

Dave - ICQ 12552056.

Mike wrote:

> Could someone out there explain to me what trunk tracking is?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

374. help: HB232 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Sabo's <jls@sierra.net>
Message-ID: <39695F5B.60DB@sierra.net>
Source record: 85261

Hello,

I have a Pro-2006 that is hooked up to the old HB232 scanner interface.

I bought a new computer and now I can't get it to work.

I have it setup on com1 as it was on my old computer. It seems to notice that the scanner is there since when I start the program and it prompts me to turn on the scanner it immediately goes to checking com ports when I turn on the radio.

Anybody out there know anything about setting this thing up? I've been through the docs front to back and can't find a thing that will help me out with this problem.

Is Bill Cheek still around?

Anyone know if he can be reached?

Any help is much appreciated, thanks.

LarrySabo@Realtor.com

375. FA Radio stuff!and Playstation!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000708234252.18521.00000437@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 85296

Sony Playstation and radio stuff,for radio stuff just click "other auctions"

http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.d11?ViewItem&item=377303749

376. Re: AOR 8000 tech question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zane <zgorove@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8k8toqnom1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85297

Thanks to all who responded.I soldered the leg off a resistor in place and my scanner works again.

Mike Mayer wrote:

> Yes, I agree with all the responses so far to your question. I had the
> EXACT same thing happen to my AR8000 as did yours - i.e., it suddenly went
> deaf one day. Pulled it apart and the white thingy lost one of it's leads.
> I though it was a resistor or a diode or something, but strange that it was
> on the antenna lead. I removed the white thingy and the disconnected lead,
> then redid the connection with a piece of stranded wire. The scanner works
> the same.
>
> The reason it came apart was cuz the antenna connector came a bit loose.
> So, as long as you have it apart, take the time to CAREFULLY tighten the
> silly threaded spanner nut on the inside of the antenna connection. It
> tends to work loose. Tighten it now so you don't have to pull the thing
> apart again a few months from now. I am lucky enough to have had on hand a
> pair of small needle nose pliers with a bent tip. I used it to tighten that
> silly nut, as it has two small slots on it. They couldn't have made it
> more inconvenient. That nut should have been on the outside.
>
> Mike
>
> "Zane" <"zgorove"@(remove)erols.com> wrote in message
> news:8k3df7pv1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > My AOR 8000 stopped receiving took it apart and found the center of the
> > antenna jack had broken free where it solders to some component.My
> > problem is it broke to close to the component to fix.My question is what
> > is this component?It is a white cylinder about 1/16 of an inch long with
> > a wire coming out of each end.It looks like a resistor but has no
> > colored lines.I have a inductor chart that shows an all white inductor
> > is this what I need?Thanks for your help.
> >

377. Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smg21jkvnu104@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A couple questions about the Pro-92....

Is the "digital weather" feature always on, during scan, or do you have to
be out of scan mode and only on weather mode for this to activate??????

Are the 500 channels split into banks at all? NO 1-20,21-40 above the keys,
so couldn't really tell...

This has a priority channel, I take it?

Can this be modified for cellular receive? (SORRY I HAD TO THROW THAT IN)

Thanks for any info!!--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

378. Re: Freq Info - Nova Scotia CANADA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-BC8CD2.01335109072000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85299

In article <lg985.108$Gd1.14954@sapphire.mtt.net>,
schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca (David M Schertzer) wrote:

> anybody got a link to find freq info..ie. 464.600mhz?

You can look up licensed users using
http://neon.polkaroo.net/~darkwing/tafl

The search engine allows you to select a region and use either keywords
or frequencies to search. I'd try there first.

-A

379. Can a Pro 2015 be modified?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mistervoice@Mistervoice.com
Message-ID: <396b21f5.47083512@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 85300

Hello,

I just recently picked up a Pro 2015 from a local Radio Shack at a killer price ($59 .99 - Clearance).

It's a great little base unit, but it can't access freqs between 54 Mhz and 108 MHz, and between 174 MHz and 380 MHz. Can it be modified in any way to receive these ranges?

Dave

380. ICOM, AOR and DRAKE Radio's for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Skindell <skindell@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <glV95.4865$iS2.361848@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 85301

ICOM R10
AOR3000A
Drake R8A

All in excellent working condition.

skindell@mediaone.net

Leave me a message if interested.

381. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bfe925$10fde640$cbb0b2d1@toast>
Source record: 85302

OK so it seems like the OLD VHF dispatch freqs I used to listen to in the 70's when "Emergency" was on TV (that are now "white" fireground channels) are now being renamed "New Tactical Frequencies" ? Wow that's progress I guess...

Thanks for sharing the post Fred...

Rich

382. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re: FS PRO-2004)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <8k9qmm$ku1$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 85303

Thanx for mentioning the extra's, like mods done !!
Some (read : a lot) do apreciate that, like me !!!!!

Thanx again,

Ren&#65533;
(The Netherlands, Europe)
EagleForce.
==========
John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> schreef in berichtnieuws
VkL95.1317$bR6.91660@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes it was me.
>
> "When will you people understand?" (???)
>
> On behalf of the rest of us that have a life outside staring at our
scanner,
> thanks for the unsolicited advice.
>
> You need to get out more and gather up some people skills.
>
>
> "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000708000345.10818.00019126@nso-fi.aol.com...
> > In article <QXs95.53$%P.4230@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "John
> > Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> > >Unit was pulled by ebay due to scanners being "questionable" for sale
> there.
> >
> > Was that you who put "MODS DONE" in the item line? No wonder it was
> pulled.
> >
> > When will you people understand? If you want to sell your scanner on
> eBay,
> > it's not a problem...UNLESS you MAKE it a problem by putting stuff like
> > "receives cellular telephones", "full 800Mhz coverage", "all mods done",
> > "receives frequencies eBay won't allow me to tell you about", etc., in
the
> > subject line.
> >
> > The buyers who are willing to pay top dollar for these scanners ALREADY
> KNOW

> > that they're modifiable for cellular coverage. That's WHY they're
willing
> to
> > pay top dollar in order to win the auctions! Don't make ANY mention of
> > cellular or modifications and it won't be an issue.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

383. scannerz website up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerz <scannerz@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <mu_95.5387$dL5.110987@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 85304
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

scannerz the all new uk scanner website..

www.scannerz.cjb.net

384. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <396877a9$0$11138$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 85305

See - http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc245faq.htm

385. Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <cN_95.6555$493.1083933@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?

Bill K9KZ

386. Re: scannerz website up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ear wig <michael@arnold13490.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8k9tuksuu1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 85307
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Oh no it's not
scannerz <scannerz@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:mu_95.5387$dL5.110987@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> scannerz the all new uk scanner website..
>
> www.scannerz.cjb.net
>
>
>
>
>
```

387. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_R_95.449$Hg7.145109@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 85308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

My experience with UPS is they will reject paying for just about any reason they
can make up.

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:cN_95.6555$493.1083933@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

388. INVITATION TO 3 ANTENNA REFLECTORS (LISTS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fractenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000709092024.02311.00000258@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 85309

QST--

You are invited to join one or all of the following lists on e-groups(formerly onelist). All these reflectors are e-mail based, friendly, objective, and moderated ,so that no spam, porn, off-topic, or personal-offensive posts are sent out. You will receive no off-list e-mails by subscribing: again; no spam.

These lists (with average recent subscriber sizes) are:

ANTENNAS@EGROUPS.COM 180 members
CROSSFIELDANTENNA@EGROUPS.COM 67 members
FRACTALANTENNA@EGROUPS.COM 265 members

Please review the descriptions at:

http://www.egroups.com

Please note the CFA list specifically deals with this antenna in an objectively critical fashion and no posts will be allowed that make grandiose claims without supporting data presented. To date, no data has been presented which requires the extraordinary claims made by some pundits: there is no evidence for better performance than any other small vertical antenna (and that performance is poor).

To subscribe to any list, either go through the process at egroups, or to skip the process (and some say 'annoying questions') just do:

---------- -subscribe@egroups.com

where the ---------- is the name of a given list (such as 'fractalantenna')

If you have a specific question on this post, please e-mail me off-NG at chipn1ir@aol.com.

73,
Chip N1IR

389. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent <kwin@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <39687dd6$0$49237$392904a7@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 85310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

No Sweat Tim! And thanks!

When are you coming over to the Midwest to list all of our frequencies
now??? ;-)

Kent, K9EZ

TimG <fewtchNOfeSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:TPpnORJv221yzLxw4qyQ1uLJXC0E@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jul 2000 22:15:22 -0500, Doug Smith <w9wi@bellsouth.net>
> wrote:
>
> >TimG wrote:
> >> All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.
> >
> >Are you sure they were FM? I thought all aero comms were AM?
>
> I'll be darned, my mistake (and a dumb one, at that)!
>
> Anyway, it's noteable that nobody here ever receives a single "thanks"
> for a post with frequencies, only criticism, correction or sarcasm. I
> have never ONCE in the entire time I've been following this group seen
> a note of appreciation for posts like that.
>
> In general, this is one ugly group of people here in R.R.S. A lot of
> people here who are very ugly down inside. There is the occasional
> exception.
>
> Tim
>
> ----
> *
> * Tim's Websites: http://core.vdirect.net
> *
>
> --
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com

390. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39688CB0.197E38D4@inet4u.com>
Source record: 85311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:

> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ

Bill,

I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition. Don't recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking and he mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a radio, as the box was "way too flimsy"! Actually, the box FELT a bit flimsy on the outside, but there was plenty of bubble wrap on the inside.

I found it "interesting", to say the least, that he would make such a comment based on that one factor. I'd think it would be on the "total packaging" that they would base any claims!

Al
--
"The Truth is Hate, to Those Who Hate the Truth"
Do what's right. You'll please OR AMAZE everyone!

Love and hatred are both necessary. For one to love
some things he must hate others, and visa versa.

A vote for the lesser of two evils is a vote FOR EVIL!

83,000,000 American gun owners behaved peacefully today.

391. WTB Scanner Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <K10a5.2444$%P.200027@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85312

Please point me to a web site that sells batteries for the BC2500xlt handheld.

Thank you.

John Rusciano
rusciano@att.net

392. Scanner Dweeb & ScanShack urls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000709103912.06631.00000220@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 85313

```
Concerning "The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip
and The ScanShack:
Due to my recent server move the previous urls may not
work until transfer has been completed.
Until then please use the following urls to visit the sites:
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://scanshack.netfirms.com
Thanks for your time and support.
And have a GREAT one !
```

393. **Bearcat Scanner 4 Sale**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: GreatLake@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24452-39688A94-13@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85314

```
Bearcat (electra) 8 channel crystal type.
110v or 12v model BCIII $35.00
greatlake@webtv.net
```

394. Re: RS232 for FRG9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Hanno, DG8JZ" <Hanno@DG8JZ.de>
Message-ID: <8k9omn$7je$17$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 85315

Hello,
I have no idea if you can buy the interface...but you can see this page
which gives more details on the product and the specs...
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8516/index.htm

Best wishes
hanno, DG8JZ

Robert De Armond schrieb in Nachricht ...
>Does anyone out there no where I can find a RS232 interface for my Yaesu
>FRG9600.
>
>I have a Pentium III 800MHz I will be connecting to if that makes a
>difference.
>
>Thanks,
>Robert
>
>

395. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <eC0a5.29554$fR2.273466@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The local UPS here in Madison Heights, Michigan, has a BIG sign posted, that originally said all electronic equipment should be packed in it's original packing material, and carton. They crossed off the word "should", and wrote in "MUST", and they enforce it very aggressively!

So in those situations where I don't have an original carton, I take great care to pack it well with bubble wrap, and popcorn, and send it via US Postal Service, where I have NEVER had a problem of any kind.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:cN_95.6555$493.1083933@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it
comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

396. Cleveland Botanical Garden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8ka7h8eog1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85317

Looking for the portable radio's frequency for the Cleveland Botanical Garden(s), in University Circle. It would include the adjacent Hershey Children's Garden. Likely in either the 151 or 450 MHz ranges.

Could not find in Percon or the FCC databases, nor in Police Call Plus or Monitor America or Frequency Almanac, or any of the other several Ohio scanning frequency sites.

Possible their radios are leased, thus under the Licensee name of the leasing company?

They're not FRS, possibly GMRS. Likely though, a standard business/organization frequency.

Thanks,

Mike

397. Re: Cleveland Botanical Garden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smh92r1tnu22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85318

Do you know what the address is of the facility? Is there a base antenna there?

Thanks--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8ka7h8eog1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Looking for the portable radio's frequency for the Cleveland Botanical
> Garden(s), in University Circle. It would include the adjacent Hershey
> Children's Garden. Likely in either the 151 or 450 MHz ranges.
>
> Could not find in Percon or the FCC databases, nor in Police Call Plus or
> Monitor America or Frequency Almanac, or any of the other several Ohio
> scanning frequency sites.
>
> Possible their radios are leased, thus under the Licensee name of the
> leasing company?
>
> They're not FRS, possibly GMRS. Likely though, a standard
> business/organization frequency.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>

398. Re: Cleveland Botanical Garden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smhb247hnu55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85319

Who is the Garden ran by, the state? or city? or university?
Have you tried any of these......? Probably have and most of them are who know what...
But hey I tried.... Terry

151.1600 WNVJ377 PO CLEVELAND METROPARKS SYSTEM | CUYAHOGA | 41-26-55 | 814-43-03
151.1900 WNVJ377 PO CLEVELAND METROPARKS SYSTEM | CUYAHOGA | 41-26-55 | 814-43-03
151.2500 WNJB838 PO OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-31 | 813-37-51
151.3100 KBV983 PO CLEVELAND METROPOLITAN PARK | CUYAHOGA | 41-26-51 | 814-43-02
151.3400 WNJB838 PO OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-31 | 813-37-51
151.3550 WNJB838 PO OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-31 | 813-37-51
151.3550 WZL596 PW OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-03 | 813-38-23
151.4150 WNJB838 PO OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-31 | 813-37-51
151.4150 WPGG636 PO OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-12 | 814-40-30
151.4150 WZL596 PW OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-03 | 813-38-23
151.4750 WZL596 PW OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-03 | 813-38-23
151.4750 WZL596 PW OHIO, STATE OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-32-31 | 813-37-51
151.7450 KNCL912 IB CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-07 | 814-40-30
152.0300 KQA646 CD USA MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, I | CUYAHOGA | 41-21-00 | 814-41-49
152.0900 KQA646 CD USA MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, I | CUYAHOGA | 41-21-00 | 814-41-49
152.4800 WNZN239 IB SPS COMMUNICATIONS INC | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-04 | 814-41-40
154.5150 KNGX508 IB CLEVELAND METROPARKS SYSTEM | CUYAHOGA | 41-26-40 | 814-43-36
154.5150 KNGX508 IB CLEVELAND METROPARKS SYSTEM | CUYAHOGA | 41-26-42 | 814-42-36
429.4000 WPKI438 IB WESTERN RESERVE COMMUNICATIO | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-18 | 814-41-43
429.4000 WPMG239 IG WESTERN RESERVE COMMUNICATIO | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-18 | 814-41-43
429.4750 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-53 | 814-41-39
429.5000 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-22-45 | 814-43-12
429.5250 WPJY652 IB WESTERN RESERVE COMMUNICATIO | CUYAHOGA | 41-30-18 | 814-41-43
429.5500 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-22-45 | 814-43-12

429.6000 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-22-45 |
814-43-12
429.6250 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-53 |
814-41-39
429.6750 WPJW624 IG INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-53 |
814-41-39
461.1375 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.1375 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.1500 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.1500 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.1625 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.1625 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.2875 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.2875 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.3000 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.3125 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.3125 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.4125 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.4250 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.4375 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.5750 WPIX900 IB CLEVELAND, CITY OF | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 | 814-41-42
461.7875 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8000 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8125 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8250 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8375 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8625 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.8875 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
461.9000 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |

814-41-40
461.9125 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
462.0750 WPJK412 IB INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATIONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-53 |
814-41-39
462.0750 WPJK412 IB INDEPENDENCE COMMUNICATIONS | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-59 |
814-41-10
463.3625 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.3625 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.3750 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.3875 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.3875 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.4125 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.4125 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.4250 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.4375 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.4375 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.6375 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.6500 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.6625 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.6750 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.6875 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9125 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9250 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9375 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9500 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9625 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
463.9875 WPHR589 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.0750 WNVN404 IB WESTERN RESERVE COMMUNICATIO | CUYAHOGA | 41-27-40 |

812-28-49
464.1875 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.1875 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.2000 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.2125 KNBC922 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.2125 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.6000 WNVL218 IB PERSONAL LEASING CO | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-38 | 814-42-02
464.6000 WNVN405 IB WESTERN RESERVE COMMUNICATIO | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-38 |
814-42-02
464.9375 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.9500 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40
464.9625 WNAE845 IB CHAMPION COMMUNICATION SERVI | CUYAHOGA | 41-29-55 |
814-41-40

Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8ka7h8eog1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Looking for the portable radio's frequency for the Cleveland Botanical
> Garden(s), in University Circle. It would include the adjacent Hershey
> Children's Garden. Likely in either the 151 or 450 MHz ranges.
>
> Could not find in Percon or the FCC databases, nor in Police Call Plus or
> Monitor America or Frequency Almanac, or any of the other several Ohio
> scanning frequency sites.
>
> Possible their radios are leased, thus under the Licensee name of the
> leasing company?
>
> They're not FRS, possibly GMRS. Likely though, a standard
> business/organization frequency.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>

399. Is there something better than BC-245XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <3968B181.71A9B5F@yo.com>
Source record: 85320

I want to get the BC-245XLT. Is there anything any better
portables out there than this one?
Is there a newer version coming out that enables the user
to enter text like that one TT model Radio Shack has?
Is the Radio Shack portable any good?

400. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3968B801.5F94F3F@flash.net>
Source record: 85321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dbertone.vcf

Bill wrote:

> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ

UPS has a thing about packing. If it is insured for more than $100, they want to inspect it. If it is not packed in foam, they will not allow you to ship but will instead charge you to foam it into one of their boxes.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

401. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners, etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <3968B899.42A8E87A@sgi.netski>
Source record: 85322

As for the Ebay's knowledge of what is legal and not legal in the radio field is laughable. They have no true knowledge unless some dim wit spells it out for them. I have seen linear amplifiers up for auction for "11" meters which is totally illegal, also "2" meter handheld that were expanded both in Tx & Rx which is illegal to be sold to the general public and are not type accepted by the FCC. They were sold through Ebay with no problem at auction.

It's the wording that counts..... I sold an AOR 2002 scanner that was cellular capable via the factory with no problem.

NoSpamMe wrote:

> Just put CE next to the model name/number and that's all the explaining anyone needs.

402. Re: ICOM, AOR and DRAKE Radios for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <3968B995.D3F7E2A1@sgi.netski>
Source record: 85323

You need to post the prices Scott. Why should we begin an email program
to find out you may want too much.

List the features of each radio also. Good salesmanship is the in the
description of the product.

Steven Skindell wrote:

> ICOM R10
> AOR3000A
> Drake R8A
>
> All in excellent working condition.
>
> skindell@mediaone.net
>
> Leave me a message if interested.

403. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <3968BC72.245FB67A@sgi.netski>
Source record: 85324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ed, I didn't read where you were enjoyed your job, or that you had a good feeling of satisfaction when you helped someone when they were injured or saved a home from devastation via fire.

I am retired after 35 years of public service - law enforcement - fire chief/fire fighter - ambulance commander - ema coordinator. I enjoyed every bit of it when I was involved in saving a human life or putting out out a fire and saved a home. It's a great feeling. I worked both paid and volunteer situations.

This post is NO means a flame.

Ed Maikranz wrote:

> Let me throw in soome comments. I have been a paid firefighter.emt for
> nearly 11 years. Is it a good job? Yes, but to me it is just that, a
> job. I am grateful to have it, and I do enjoy some aspects of it, but
> it is my job, not my life. When I leave at 8 am after 24 hours on, I
> am just "Ed" again, I leave the rest there. Some people cant do that,
> they have to be a firefighter 24 hours a day every day. We deal with a
> lot of stuff we would rather not have to, but thats part of it. Lots
> of guys sit around chomping at the bit waiting for the next alarm, I'd
> be much happier if it did not come in. Spending 24 at the station
> without turning a wheel is my goal every shift. Sure I like to fight
> fire, but if I am doing that, somebody else is probably having a bad
> day because of it, and that is not good. Also I like most of the guys
> I work with, but they are not my family, just co-workers and friends.
> I dont know about having a family in other cities, when I travel I go
> as a private citizen and leave the job behind. If I had it to do over
> again would I choose this profession? Probably not. But for now it
> pays the rent and allows me time to go back to school and get a degree
> so that one day I may be able to do something else.
>
> Just my thoughts guys.
> Comments and flames welcome via e-mail
>
> Edward S. Maikranz
> KG5UN
> Firefighter/EMT
> Abilene Fire Department
> Abilene, Texas
>
> In article <8jqv0icmr1@uranium.btinternet.com>,
> "Autopilot" <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote:

> > No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
> >
> > HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> > the world do this without thought to OUR own
> > safety..........Why I hear you ask ??
> >
> > Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the ,
> > the drama.....................just the fact that we
> > wanna help people.
> >
> > We are the biggest family in the world.
> > Firefighters
> >
> > You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.........coz your
> > NOT a Firefighter.
> >
> > but despite your comment.......one of us WILL
> > rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a
> > humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.
> >
> > Dave Gibson
> > Firefighter (23 years)
> > Glasgow
> > Scotland
> > UK
> >
> >
>
> --
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

404. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <3968C105.78828B12@sgi.netski>
Source record: 85325

Chris, If "aol" is that touchy you don't need them. The accused has the right to face the accuser.

Chris wrote:

> I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts here
> from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the NUMEROUS
> "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was unable
> to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL anyway),
> I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from someone
> here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and warned
> accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at AOL - the
> first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize for
> upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep
> certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and honored a
> single e-mail instead. Happy scanning!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Chris Marshall

405. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance - UPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <3968C21F.11AE8F97@sgi.netski>
Source record: 85326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Ship via USPS any way you want. The price for shipping is also reasonable and arrives sooner than UPS. I do quite a bit of shipping and that has been my experience.

406. FA: SWAGURSAT VIDEO DEMODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Wilkerson <dougw@frii.com>
Message-ID: <%w3a5.20$Ji.174702592@news.frii.net>
Source record: 85327

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377693566

407. Re: Josephine County Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian V <Witch*Dr@usadotnet.junkmailkill.ru>
Message-ID: <3968C904.D035B4D@usadotnet.junkmailkill.ru>
Source record: 85328

Yar wrote:

> Need some frequencies for this location thanks

Try:

http://members.dsl-only.net/~varine/gpass.htm

408. Pro-92: a couple questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smhjs09gnu139@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A couple questions about the Pro-92....

Is the "digital weather" feature always on, during scan, or do you have to
be out of scan mode and only on weather mode for this to activate??????

Are the 500 channels split into banks at all? I didn't see any 1-20,21-40
above the keys,
so couldn't really tell...

This has a priority channel, I take it?
I hear it can detect/display pL tones, but can it "use" them when
monitoring???

Can this be modified for cellular receive? (SORRY I HAD TO THROW THAT IN)

Thanks for any info!!--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

409. VHF low band skip FULL REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8kajlt$6qb$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85330

All of the following were monitored from a base unit at my
home at the Worcester/Holden line, side of Winter Hill,
in Massachusetts. Radios used were PRO2062 as base unit
sweeping the skies with a custom-built 21' aluminum antenna
mounted 35' above the ground. And a PRO60 connected to a
Antron A99 CB antenna mounted 28' above the ground.

Freq. in MHz/Type of transmission/other info.

29.64/voice and data/mechanical voice (ham?)
31.2/voice and data
31.34/voice/"southern" accents, mention "check that fuse"
31.44/voice
31.54/voice
31.56/voice
31.64/voice/mention "Todd or Eli back in the shop in a minute," "the ballot box"
31.96/voice/informal chat, "southern" accents
35.7/voice/mention "Cardinal Court off of Barnard near Clark"
35.84/voice
39.18/voice/"southern" accents, mention of "Red Berry Lane"
42.5/voice/"southern" accents, check of NC registration (highway patrol?)
42.56/voice
42.6/voice/"southern" accents (police?)
42.9/voice/"southern" accents, lots of use of 10-codes (highway patrol?)
42.92/voice/mention of "Fort (or Port) George Island"
43.08/voice/"southern" accents, very busy
43.14/voice
43.48/voice and data
44.38/voice/"southern" accents
45.52/voice and data
46.22/voice and data
48.22/voice/mention "three twenty, Jose"
50.15/voice and data
53.27/data/fast CW
53.65/voice

410. Re: Josephine County Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3968D87E.1F58184C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85331

thank you!
--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

411. Re: WTB Scanner Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D15a5.17891$bt1.189991@typhoon1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 85332

See: http://nicdlady.com/index.htm and more specifically,
http://nicdlady.com/radio_pr-uz.htm , for battery packs for Uniden radios.

For the BC2500, they're $24 for your pack rebuilt, $19 to buy the cell
insert, or $33 to rebuild it with NiMH cells for 1 AH instead of the
original 600 mAH.

Don't sell 'new' packs though, have to send yours in.

"John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:K10a5.2444$%P.200027@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Please point me to a web site that sells batteries for the BC2500xlt
> handheld.
>
> Thank you.
>
> John Rusciano
> rusciano@att.net

412. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com>
Message-ID: <8kaopc$na4$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 85333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire of customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how much income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X. NO odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You pays your fees, and takes your chances"

>
> I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition. Don't
> recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking and he
> mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a radio,
> as the box was "way too flimsy"! Actually, the box FELT a bit flimsy on the
> outside, but there was plenty of bubble wrap on the inside.
>
> I found it "interesting", to say the least, that he would make such a comment
> based on that one factor. I'd think it would be on the "total packaging" that
> they would base any claims!
>
> Al
> --
> "The Truth is Hate, to Those Who Hate the Truth"
> Do what's right. You'll please OR AMAZE everyone!
>
> Love and hatred are both necessary. For one to love
> some things he must hate others, and visa versa.
>
> A vote for the lesser of two evils is a vote FOR EVIL!
>
> 83,000,000 American gun owners behaved peacefully today.
>
>

413. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <aF5a5.10259$TI1.1129506@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net> wrote in message
news:3968B801.5F94F3F@flash.net...
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> > I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it
comes
> > from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> > original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged
me
> > for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> > packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> > whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> UPS has a thing about packing. If it is insured for more than $100, they
want
> to inspect it. If it is not packed in foam, they will not allow you to
ship
> but will instead charge you to foam it into one of their boxes.
>
>

I have shipped many radios via UPS here and not once have they inspected the
contents.

Bill K9KZ

414. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <yH5a5.10260$TI1.1129767@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com> wrote in message
news:8kaopc$na4$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
>
> I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for
> one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire
of
> customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how
much
> income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups
> would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay
> dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which
> it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or
> late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X.
NO
> odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross
> country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You
> pays your fees, and takes your chances"

I have EXCELLENT service via UPS for over twenty years. My delivery man
is a fine, caring person. Service must vary from area to area.

Bill K9KZ

>
> >
> > I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition.
> Don't
> > recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
> and he
> > mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
> radio,
> > as the box was "way too flimsy"! Actually, the box FELT a bit flimsy
on
> the
> > outside, but there was plenty of bubble wrap on the inside.
> >
> > I found it "interesting", to say the least, that he would make such a
> comment
> > based on that one factor. I'd think it would be on the "total
packaging"
> that
> > they would base any claims!
> >

> > Al
> > --
> > "The Truth is Hate, to Those Who Hate the Truth"
> > Do what's right. You'll please OR AMAZE everyone!
> >
> > Love and hatred are both necessary. For one to love
> > some things he must hate others, and visa versa.
> >
> > A vote for the lesser of two evils is a vote FOR EVIL!
> >
> > 83,000,000 American gun owners behaved peacefully today.
> >
> >
>
>

415. Polk County, Florida....Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <3968E930.EBEBE2C5@gte.net>
Source record: 85336

Anybody have any????

416. repeaters lists france and europe.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: F6GKD <f6gkd@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8kapnc$45f$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 85337

http://www.ref.tm.fr/cnrr/
--
F6GKD

417. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: TimG <fewtchNOfeSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <DO5oOcYged4xaIp7DQscX5H4wgiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 85338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 08:29:14 -0500, "Kent" <kwin@execpc.com> wrote:

>No Sweat Tim! And thanks!
>
>When are you coming over to the Midwest to list all of our frequencies
>now??? ;-)

As soon as you send me a plane ticket, and 3 nights hotel fare? :-)

Tim

----
*
* Tim's Websites: http://core.vdirect.net
*

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

418. FS Radio Shack Pro 2006 Scanner LNIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Berenyi <jberenyi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3968FABF.61A5DC7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 85339

I bought this scanner new and its been in the box ever since. Its only been taken out about 4 times to look at. I never use it because I have an AOR 1000XLT handheld and thats good enough for me if I can ever get to using it. It is trully mint in every way.

Scanner comes with original box, manual and screw-in antenna. Your for $300.

419. In praise of CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom12.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8kav8r$5rd$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85340

I've been playing with my new Icom R2 for the past month or so, and I thought I'd pass along a little tip I discovered.

If your radio offers CTCSS (subaudible tone squelch), read the manual and learn to use it!

Almost all the fire and police frequencies in this area (Northern California) carry a subaudible tone, usually 82.5 or 131.8 Hz. The radio can detect this tone and open up the squelch only when it hears the proper tone.

For police and fire, everybody on a given frequency is using the same tone. So why bother setting your scanner to recognize the CTCSS tone? Because doing so eliminates virtually all interference from intermod, nearby strong pager towers, operating too close to computer equipment that isn't shielded too well, etc. It keeps the radio silent except when a "real" transmission happens, and it keeps the squelch tail short. It lets you set your squelch to a very low setting without being bothered by bursts of static.

This wasn't so important with my nice old big triple-conversion base station scanner. But my dual-conversion portable suffered from intermod until I figured out how to use CTCSS to keep it quiet.

--Rich

420. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20000709184918.06127.00000424@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 85341

>Chris, If "aol" is that touchy you don't need them. The accused has the
>right
>to face the accuser.
>

The same thing happened to me several weeks ago.
I posted a FS ad with my real email addy in the BODY of the post.
According to aol that is "illegal."
But, they only take action when someone reports the poster.
So, yes, someone turned us in.

Greg

421. FS : ICOM R-2, Mint!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3968f9d0.2233054@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ICOM R-2 scanner for sale. MINT, hardly used, in box with all
accessories. Also includes ADMS programming software and cable, and a
"Pouch" soft nylon case for the R-2.

$175.00 plus $8.00 shipping via USPS Priority Mail to the lower 48,
pre-paid with a USPS Money Order, or VISA / MASTERCARD using PAYPAL,
(www.paypal.com)

E-mail ONLY if interested, NO TRADES.

Dan

422. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <r82ims0lnm9g99t9r29e1ed460u04c1kjd@4ax.com>
Source record: 85343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 16:51:19 -0400, "John Garrison" <corrjng@rbnet.com>
wrote:

>
>
>I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for
>one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire of
>customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how much
>income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups
>would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay
>dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which
>it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or
>late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X. NO
>odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross
>country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You
>pays your fees, and takes your chances"
>
>>
>> I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition.
>Don't
>> recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
>and he
>> mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
>radio,
>> as the box was "way too flimsy"!
The insurance is actually a contract, and what the UPS man says, is
what UPS would like you to believe. The reality is UPS does very badly
in small claims court on these matters. For them it is simply a
revenue decision. Has little to do with right, wrong or fault. They
hope you accept their decision on the assumption that if they say no,
you are not likely to go further. The vast majority do walk away, and
UPS banks on that.

I have a friend in Ohio who finally go so mad he did take them small
claims court. UPS lost, but wouldn't pay. Once again, a revenue
decision. So the next step was to pay the fees for a sheriff's sale to
satisfy the judgement. One morning a Deputy from the Cuyahoga County
Sheriff walked into the UPS offices, and proceeded to seize the cash
registers in prepartion for the auction. Needless to say, having
nothing to calculate the charges or collect money with, this
effectively shut down the UPS office. UPS made a revenue decision in
about an hour, and decided to settle all of the outstanding insurance
claims with this gentlemen. He still refuses to ship UPS.

I think it is unfortunate that these decisions are now revenue based, rather than any semblance of right/wrong or responsibility for the damage.

423. Re: Pro-92: a couple questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <e_7a5.236$Jh3.19532@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 85344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It has 10 banks of 50 channels each. It can "use" the tones in the scan mode, but it only displays them during manual mode. No cellular.

424. Police computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bundy <bobjim_98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9Z7a5.2854$B92.7215@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85345

Our city has begun to get computer link in squad cars, just catching up with 21 century. Is there a way to decode this traffic and is it legal?

425. Icom IC-R10 Software Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8k8gip$92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85384

Can someone tell me where to download Icom's DOS control software for the ICOM R-10 scanner?

I've looked on Icom's site, but I can't find a like to their software anywhere.

Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

426. My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: o2bfunny@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000708202557.29797.00001230@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 85385

I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts here from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the NUMEROUS "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was unable to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL anyway), I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from someone here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and warned accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at AOL - the first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize for upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and honored a single e-mail instead. Happy scanning!

Sincerely,

Chris Marshall

427. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <M_P95.28354$fR2.266837@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85386

Chris:

That's odd...people advertise items for sale, and for auction, all the time in this newsgroup! Myself included (you may have noticed). While some do get aggravated about Ebay auctions, vs. for sale items, I wouldn't think it would upset them that much. Actually, that's one of the main reasons I read this newsgroup, to look for deal on items I might want. Buying, selling, and trading radio equipment goes with the territory for most scanner enthusiasts.

You can always use rec.radio.swap

I doubt if AOL will take any serious action regarding this...what you saw will probably be the end of it.

Take care,
Bill Crocker

"Chris" <o2bfunny@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20000708202557.29797.00001230@ng-bh1.aol.com...
> I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts here
> from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the NUMEROUS
> "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was unable
> to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL anyway),
> I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from someone
> here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and warned
> accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at AOL - the
> first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize for
> upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep
> certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and honored a
> single e-mail instead. Happy scanning!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Chris Marshall

428. Re: Icom IC-R10 Software Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <x%P95.28355$fR2.266677@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85387

It's not freeware, you have to buy it. It's not very expensive.

Bill Crocker

<slvbeard@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8k8gip$92$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Can someone tell me where to download Icom's DOS control software for
> the ICOM R-10 scanner?
>
> I've looked on Icom's site, but I can't find a like to their software
> anywhere.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

429. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <8nQ95.16606$B%6.78513@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85388

I offer this service through my website. You need to purchase the replacement keypad through Radio Shack or by calling their parts dept. directly at 1-800-843-7422. The cost of the part is ~$10.00 and takes 1-2 weeks to arrive. The part to ask for is P/N 10789808 "KEYTOP". Include the replacement part when sending your radio. If YOU order it, you don't pay a middle man! ;-)

I replace these for a $10 labor fee + $8.00 return shipping/insurance (via USPS Priority Mail). Turnaround time for such a repair is 2-3 days.

My disclaimer: I guarantee all work, I do not do any illegal mods, I do not undo other people's mods unless specifically instructed to do by the owner.

You can contact me directly at spectrum@cfl.rr.com or visit my website for information on other repairs/mods:
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Services.html

Have fun,

Robert

<jopp@erols.com> wrote in message news:39650111.2DC4CF1E@erols.com...
> can anyone suggest a place other than rs to have the keyboard of my
> modified pro-43 replaced? let me know at jopp@erols.com. thanks in
> advance.
>

430. Re: Army on 6 mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8k8k2a$76e$0@63.162.247.2>
Source record: 85389

digimon wrote:

> This is the legal aspect of the situation. I was once (3 years ago)
> tracking down interference to a repeater and thought it was a local air base
> (it was) and called the FCC, I knew what the answer was, and they confirmed,
> "we have no jurisdiction or control over the military -- they have free
> reign to transmit where, when, what, and how much they want at any time and
> we keep no record, database, or notice of what they do". That is why all
> military radios have (for HF) capability for 500KC - 30MHZ or 100-500MHz ,
> etc. they can designate any 'channel' for any exercise or mission.
>

Actually, although you are correct about the FCC having no authority
over the Army, you were incorrect about them being able to come up on
ANY channel they choose for any exercise or mission.

The NTIA closely regulates the Government, much like the FCC does in
the non-federal government world. If the NTIA says they can use
a frequency, but it HAS to go through the NTIA before it is legal,
and the NTIA stays in certain bands.

check them out at www.ntia.doc.gov

431. Re: PRO-43 -- KEYBOARD REPLACEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000708212134.04085.00002561@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 85390

Yes it is an easy job to do. I have no electronics background and didn't have
any problems at all. I also did some other mods on it and it still looks like
brand new on the inside.>
>
>Oh it is so easy, then why are the ones I see later so SCREWED UP! Solder
>blobed everywhere, some guys must be plumbers and use the torch to solder,
>circuit board cracked where someone tried to remove it without unsoldering
>the antenna connections, the plastic case on mine is burned where some joker
>rested the soldering iron against it while soldering, then usually half the
>screws are missing because they lost them, probably while waiting for
>someone else to come finish the job, and tiny metric screws are not
>available anywhere but as a complete package from Radio Shack mailorder. I
>would not want a scanner that some amateur had been practicing on, the pro
>43 is a multiple circuit board, soldered together, hard to work on scanner,
>you cannot even get a tool around the nut to tighten the antenna mount
>without tearing the scanner apart, it is not a good scanner to learn on.
>Just my 2 cents.

==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

432. RS232 for FRG9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert De Armond <robertd1@home.com>
Message-ID: <itR95.63561$T9.493239@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 85391

Does anyone out there no where I can find a RS232 interface for my Yaesu FRG9600.

I have a Pentium III 800MHz I will be connecting to if that makes a difference.

Thanks,
Robert

433. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpointpc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vwR95.47878$_b3.1355777@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85392

Some scumbag made complaints about an occasional post made here for FS or FA by centerpoint@yahoo.com and YAHOO terminated the account without any prior notice.

All YAHOO users with a YAHOO e-mail address beware: Yahoo will terminate your account if any complaints come in and they WILL provide information about you to any official agency who demands it. FACT!!!

434. Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <wIR95.42663$NP5.1343598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85393

Could someone out there explain to me what trunk tracking is?

435. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@mailbox.bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3967E020.24E24760@mailbox.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85394
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dconti2.vcf

Chris,

This is why more and more folks are going to Ebay to sell their radio equipment.

Put your 43 on Ebay and get a nice price for it.

Dan

Chris wrote:

> I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts here
> from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the NUMEROUS
> "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was unable
> to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL anyway),
> I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from someone
> here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and warned
> accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at AOL - the
> first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize for
> upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep
> certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and honored a
> single e-mail instead. Happy scanning!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Chris Marshall

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

436. Re: WTB: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Books 1 & 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000708232630.27971.00002785@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 85395

dude they cost more than that

437. Realistic Pro-41 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve.brown@ntyneside.ac.uk
Message-ID: <8kd0h8$u5e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85197

I've got a Realistic Pro-41 scanner and I'm planning to take it mobile.

However, I need a battery holder for it. I've tried my local Tandy stores and they're not stocking them as they're changing to Carphone Warehouse Stores!

It's only a small plastic holder and costs about £5.

Any ideas?

Please e-mail me: toon.stevie@cableinet.co.uk

Thanks

Steve.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

438. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <396A0F75.6DDC@alaska.net>
Source record: 85198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I refuse to have any dealings with UPS, the business cannot be contacted
locally and the hours of customer service are very limited. I get
slightly better service from the USPS.

matt weber wrote:
>
> On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 16:51:19 -0400, "John Garrison" <corrjng@rbnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for
> >one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire of
> >customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how much
> >income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups
> >would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay
> >dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which
> >it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or
> >late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X. NO
> >odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross
> >country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You
> >pays your fees, and takes your chances"
> >
> >>
> >> I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition.
> >Don't
> >> recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
> >and he
> >> mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
> >radio,
> >> as the box was "way too flimsy"!
> The insurance is actually a contract, and what the UPS man says, is
> what UPS would like you to believe. The reality is UPS does very badly
> in small claims court on these matters. For them it is simply a
> revenue decision. Has little to do with right, wrong or fault. They
> hope you accept their decision on the assumption that if they say no,
> you are not likely to go further. The vast majority do walk away, and
> UPS banks on that.
>
> I have a friend in Ohio who finally go so mad he did take them small
> claims court. UPS lost, but wouldn't pay. Once again, a revenue
> decision. So the next step was to pay the fees for a sheriff's sale to
> satisfy the judgement. One morning a Deputy from the Cuyahoga County

> Sheriff walked into the UPS offices, and proceeded to seize the cash
> registers in prepartion for the auction. Needless to say, having
> nothing to calculate the charges or collect money with, this
> effectively shut down the UPS office. UPS made a revenue decision in
> about an hour, and decided to settle all of the outstanding insurance
> claims with this gentlemen. He still refuses to ship UPS.
>
> I think it is unfortunate that these decisions are now revenue based,
> rather than any semblance of right/wrong or responsibility for the
> damage.

--

Mike (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

You're never gonna believe this, but...
When you ship it late
It'll still be late when I get it there...

Though Clinton may be disbarred in Arkansas (isn't that an oxymoron?),
he has never been convicted of anything. That should count for
something.

439. News Line Inform&#65533;tica

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Line Inform&#65533;tica <news@lineinformatica.com>
Message-ID: <pooa5.14297$P4.105150@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85199

NEWS LINE INFORMATICA (10 de Julio 2000)
---

* TODO EN UNA SENCILLA TARJETA DE VISITA!!

- As&#65533; es, tan f&#65533;cil como introducir la tarjeta de visita en cualquier lector de CD Rom convencional y podr&#65533; ofrecer sus productos a sus clientes con fotograf&#65533;as, sonidos e incluso video y comentarios. Un sistema revolucionario y sin duda alguna c&#65533;modo y original ya que adem&#65533;s si la persona que est&#65533; viendo su tarjeta de visita est&#65533; conectada o se conecta a INTERNET podr&#65533; acceder On Line a su sitio web.

Para m&#65533;s informaci&#65533;n:
http://www.lineinformatica.com/novedades.html
Tlno / Fax: 967 484812

---

* CHAT DE VOZ EN (http://www.lineinformatica.com/maquina.html)

- Acabamos de instalar en nuestra web un chat de voz desde el que se puede hablar mediante un micr&#65533;fono y auriculares o altavoces como si de una conversaci&#65533;n telef&#65533;nica se tratase. Funciona muy bien sorprendentemente y es el sitio ideal para quedar a charlar con cualquier amigo/a.

OFERTAS (http://www.lineinformatica.com/ofertas.html)

Ordenador Pentium III 700 Mhz - Placa Aopen chipset Intel ZX - 64 Mb SDRam PC 100 - 8,2 Gb Disco Duro UDMA - Tarj. de Sonido integrada en placa - CD Rom 50X Aopen - SVGA AGP Riva ZX 128 - 8Mb - Altavoces 160w - Teclado, Rat&#65533;n y alfombrilla - Monitor 15" Digital.

190.900 Pts (I.V.A. Incluido)

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;Ofertas Limitadas!!!

* Contaplus Profesional SP ----- 17.900
* Factucont 4.0 ----------32.365
* Scanner LG 9600 dpi paralelo ----- 14.900
* Zip IOMEGA 100Mb USB Ext. ------- 29.900
* CD-R Max 74 min. Silver en caja --------180
* CD-R Verbatim 650 Mb ----------230
* CD-R Audio Verbatim 74 Min. -----425
* CD-R 80 Min. Nature---------- 300

* CD-Rom Aopen 52X ---------- 15.800
* DVD Aopen 6x32---------- 34.700
* Impresora Epson S. C. 670USB ----26.300
* Imp. L�ser OKIPAGE 8W------ 39.700
* M�dem Int. Diamond Supra 56K PCIoem --- 9.900
* Adapt. RDSI PCI Acer Isdn P10 -----12.000
* FAX OKI 330 (t�rmico/no tel�fono/TAD para contestador -- 34.600
* Tarj. Red 3Com 10/100 PCI ----- 13.560
* Tarj. Red 10/100 PCI (Garant�a 1 a�o) ------4.465
* HD IDE 10 Gb (3 a�os garant�a) -------- 29.255
* HD IDE 13 Gb (")------ 32.729
* SVGA Riva TNT2 64 TV AGP4X -----22.500

(Todos estos precios Incluyen 16% de I.V.A.)

---

* Los Mejores precios y una Amplia gama de Mobiliario, Accesorios y Material de Oficina

Papel de fotocopiadora A4 (distintos gramajes)
Papel continuo (Todas las medidas)
Papel Fotogr�fico
Papel de FAX
Sobres
Transparencias
Etiquetas APPLI
Cartuchos Ink jet (Originales y Compatibles)
T�ner
Consumibles Originales OKI

Mobiliario de Oficina

ARMARIO DE ESTANTES DE 73x92x43 cm.-----13.225
ARMARIO DE PUERTAS DE 73x92x43 cm.---------- 17.630
MESA REUNI�N REDONDA DE 120 DE DI�METRO ------- 22.270
MESA DE 100x60 cm. -------- 12.000

Estos precios Incluyen 16% de I.V.A.

DOWNLOADS
http://www.lineinformatica.com/downloads.html

TURBO NOTE
Programa sencill�simo pero muy util, y adem� funciona estupendamente. Sirve para mandar las famosas notas elect�nicas o Post-It amarillos a cualquier puesto de tu red. Muy sencillo de configurar e instalar.

WINZIP 7.0
Que vamos a decir del famoso compresor-descompresor WINZIP
Si no lo tienes, no se a que est�s esperando.

PROXY JUNKBUSTER
Este proxy no te dar&#65533; acceso a correo, FTP, Vide conferencia, Chat, pero va estupendamente si lo &#65533;nico que quieres es ver p&#65533;ginas web desde cualquier puesto de tu peque&#65533;a red y adem&#65533;s es gratuito.

DOWNLOAD ACELERATOR
Este gestor de descargas te ayudar&#65533; a hacer esta tarea de forma trasnparente y sencilla.

M&#65533;quinas de Acceso P&#65533;blico a Internet
(Controladas por Monedas)

Las m&#65533;quinas de internet est&#65533;n consideradas de utilidad p&#65533;blica, tal como puede ser el tel&#65533;fono o cualquier otro medio de comunicaci&#65533;n o informaci&#65533;n. Esta es la caracter&#65533;stica principal de estos equipos en tanto en cuanto, prestan un servicio de informaci&#65533;n p&#65533;blica en espacios p&#65533;blicos, como es el acceso de Aeropuertos, Hoteles, Estaciones FF.CC. Bares, Bibliotecas... etc,.. .

El acceso a todo tipo de informaci&#65533;n mediante la navegaci&#65533;n por la red Internet, as&#65533; como el mantenimiento y actualizaci&#65533;n para cualquier usuario de su correo electr&#65533;nico desde cualquier punto del mundo, convierten a estos equipos en un producto &#65533;til y necesario.

Line Inform&#65533;tica
Olmos, 22
02230 - Madrigueras
Albacete

Tlno / Fax: 967 484812

e-mail:line@lineinformatica.com
news-line@lineinformatica.com
info@lineinformatica.com

WEB: http://www.lineinformatica.com

Nota: Todos los precios incluyen 16% de I.V.A. y ser&#65533;n v&#65533;lidos salvo error tipogr&#65533;fico o hasta agotar existencias. Line Inform&#65533;tica se reserva el derecho de modificar cualquier precio seg&#65533;n exigencias del mercado. Los precios no incluyen desplazamiento, instalaci&#65533;n o portes si los hubiere.

440. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <396A00C2.E3FDBA2A@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 85200

David Fuller wrote:
>
> On 800 Mhz systems. The users use a pool of frequencies. May be as
> little 5 or more frequencies. The users are arranged in talk groups such
> as PD dispatch, etc.
> When a user keys his mike on his radio it transmits on one frequencies.
> Then when he transmits again he's on another. This allows more talk
> groups use the frequencies

It seems to me that if there are five frequencies (not including the control channel), then only 5 indivuals can transmit at the exact same time (one on each frequency). If one were to use six conventional frequencies (freeing up that control channel) then 6 individuals can transmit at the same time (different CTCSS tones could be used for sharing a particular frequency). So how is it that trunked radio allows *more* talk groups?

--
Michael Moore

441. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <396a1c48.521364990@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85201

Exactly. That's how the phone company can provide fewer lines to an area than there are phone numbers. The circuits are dynamically assigned to a pair of cooper wires. The same happens with a trunked system. The system controller automatically assigns the talkgroup a pair of repeater frequencies. In times of peak use the radio user might get a busy tone. This is the same as getting an all circuits are busy when calling into an area with too much traffic or not getting a dial tone when your area is too busy.

The number needed depends upon how much traffic the system needs to handle. The more traffic on the system, the more frequencies that will be needed by the system.

On Mon, 10 Jul 2000 16:58:42 GMT, Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:

>It seems to me that if there are five frequencies (not including the >control channel), then only 5 indivuals can transmit at the exact same >time (one on each frequency).

442. Cell Phone 101 (monitoring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <rjpa5.3411$6s4.39789@news1.atl>
Source record: 85202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You asked for it and here it is:
http://www.webdeals.net/cellcalls.htm
The basics of listening to cell calls.
I hope this answers a few of the many questions I get daily?
Most sites won't put this info up because its controversial. It's for reference only!

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.
***

443. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710153236.10818.00019756@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 85203

In article <8k9qmm$ku1$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>, "EagleForce" <adelaar@iname.com>
writes:

>Thanx for mentioning the extra's, like mods done !!
>Some (read : a lot) do apreciate that, like me !!!!!

But what good is it to mention "mods done" when it ultimately causes the
auction to be cancelled? This benefits nobody.

444. Re: FS Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710153237.10818.00019757@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 85204

In article <GtL95.93$iP.8676@sapphire.mtt.net>, "Jim Flowers"
<ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> writes:

>Obviously the people who ansered(the first two) just cant read. It says
>"ASKING PRICE". Wake up and open your eyes. You got any flames to through do
them directly to me and dont waste time here.

If this is the case, why don't you just save the time of everyone involved by
making your "asking price" the price you REALLY want?

This is a big reason why eBay is so successful. The market determines the
price and there are no games to play.

445. swap icom 737

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Churchill <paulchur@paulchur.ezesurf.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8kd8ld$6dl$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 85205

I would Like to swap my Icom 737 with Icom sm 20 base mic and sec 23 amp power supply for a Racal 1792 Please email paulchur@paulchur.ezesurf.co.uk

446. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-40706D.15422910072000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85206

In article <396A00C2.E3FDBA2A@utoronto.ca>, Michael Moore
<m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:

> sharing a particular frequency). So how is it that trunked radio allows
> *more* talk groups?

You are correct that a system can only handle as many *simultaneous*
transmissions as it has frequencies available. But the advantage of
trunked radio systems is in the number of 'channels' available. On a
conventional radio setup, if you want five distinct channels then you
need five frequencies. But they're not all talking at the same time all
the time. There is a lot of dead air. What if you temporarily borrowed
that dead air and let someone else use it?

The first step towards this was CTCSS (aka PL tones), where different
users could share a frequency and only those on the same 'channel' would
hear it, though really they are all using the same frequency. The ratio
of channels to frequencies goes from 1:1 down to about 2:1 or 3:1.
Trunking radio is a step beyond this -- you can not only share one
frequency, you can share several. This is even better than CTCSS because
with tones, you're stuck on one frequency. If it's in use by another
'channel', you have to wait for them to finish. With trunking, your
radio hops to an empty frequency and uses that instead. If everyone who
has a channel wants to use it at the same time, then yes, you are
limited to the number of frequencies available. There is a mathematical
model for determining how many frequencies you will need available to a
system to handle X number of channels (aka subfleets/talkgroups) based
on expected airtime for each channel. There will be times when all
frequencies are busy and a user will have to wait to get on the air, but
this is not catastrophic if indeed he only waits half a second for
another transmission to end so he can get in. As wait times get higher,
another frequency can be added to alleviate the load.

There's a big commercial system in my city with literally hundreds of
talkgroups on it and it uses 20 frequencies. To achieve the same results
without trunking would have required hundreds of conventional
frequencies. The financial savings in not having to license all those
separate frequencies is considerable, as are the practical savings in
freeing up band allocations.

I hope that makes some sense. There are, of course, many other
advantages to trunking systems.

-A

447. Good scanning magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtmf@videotron.ca
Message-ID: <396a40cf.3748703@news.videotron.ca>
Source record: 85207

any one knows a good scanner magazine?
let mo know,Marc

448. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@no_fuckin_spam_iname.com>
Message-ID: <8kdfd6$j35$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 85208

It only is cancelled if somebody will tell them that an "illegal" issue is mentioned !
So, stop complaining and nothing will be pulled back I guess.

Anyway, if someone wants to mention it, who are we to judge it ?

Greetings,

EagleForce
Ren&#65533;. (the Netherlands, Europe)
==========
TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
20000710153236.10818.00019756@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <8k9qmm$ku1$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>, "EagleForce"
<adelaar@iname.com>
> writes:
>
> >Thanx for mentioning the extra's, like mods done !!
> >Some (read : a lot) do apreciate that, like me !!!!!
>
> But what good is it to mention "mods done" when it ultimately causes the
> auction to be cancelled? This benefits nobody.
>

449. Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <smkg8spvnu138@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

UPS has for several years left expensive items outside with out even ringing the door bell in some cases. The driver seems to be in such a hurry. We have gone for several days before we noticed some items at the door since we use the garage more often than not.

There used to be a store near here called Peachtree Salvage that had literally tons of items shipped by UPS that never made it to the destination. It had several branches. One could literally buy electronics for a fraction of their value until they got someone who had an idea of their real worth. I bought many a bargain from them. The point is that UPS lost so much that a chain of stores made a good business of selling stuff that never made it to the consignee.

73 de
ki4je

"Benjamin Blavat" <bblavat@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl...
> If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as
> adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that gets,
> the insurance.
>
> On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from them,
> shipping laptops as well as ham gear.
>
> UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no
signature!
> Just left it and its rainy season!
> --
> 73's from W4BEN,
> Benjamin Blavat

450. Icom IC-R1 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Oa5tfKs6$GA.254@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 85210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I got an R1 on ebay but have no idea how to program it or use its function. Any manual, electronic or otherwise, or if anyone can give me basic instructions, would be greatly appreciated. Please post on this newsgroup or e-mail me.
Thank you.

451. Re: Sony ICF-SC1 or ICOM IC-R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gariac <gspamnotsellani@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <smknqoianu26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85211
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.products,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.aviation

Get the ICF-SC1PC, but only if you are interested in scanning the military air band. Otherwise, wait for the Pro-92 replacement due in September. The Sony is difficult to program by hand. You need the PC interface.

Martin Kaareng LN-GAE <martin.kaarengnovalid@c2i.net> wrote in message news:GIha5.21756$R7.3938753@juliett.dax.net...
> I can get the Sony model for 89 dollars and the ICOM for 220 dollars
> including a battery charger, Ni-cad rechargeable batteries, and an SMA to
> BNC aerial adapter.
>
> I've never owned a scanner before, all I want to do is listen to local
> airport frequencies.
>
> Also, are there any websites that allow you to search for world-wide
> aviation freqs?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Martin Kaareng
>
>

452. [Fwd: Bearcat 9000 question]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Robokoff <krobokoff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396A8A43.FE14BA93@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was wondering how the Bearcat 9000 scanner that I own does performance wise against most other scanners that only pick up VHF hi and low, UHF, and AIR???
IS the 9000 supposed to be a very good overall scanner with fairly good sensitivty for the most part all the way across the frequencies it covers???
It seems the FM band is very insensitive and picks up alot of overload from the local tower!!!! Are the TV bands insensitive too and military aircraft bands insensitive???
I also seem to pull in some internal noise at certain intervals on the radio. I did not pull in those noises on my old Cobra 16 channel scanner that covered VHF lo, hi and UHF.

thanks

Kevin
krobokoff@hotmail.com

453. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <396A8A3D.31C0@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 85213

```
EagleForce wrote:
>
> It only is cancelled if somebody will tell them that an "illegal" issue is
> mentioned !
> So, stop complaining and nothing will be pulled back I guess.
>
> Anyway, if someone wants to mention it, who are we to judge it ?
>
> Greetings,
>
> EagleForce
> Renï¿½. (the Netherlands, Europe)
> ===========================================
> TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
> 20000710153236.10818.00019756@nso-fi.aol.com...
> > In article <8k9qmm$ku1$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>, "EagleForce"
> <adelaar@iname.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Thanx for mentioning the extra's, like mods done !!
> > >Some (read : a lot) do apreciate that, like me !!!!!
> >
> > But what good is it to mention "mods done" when it ultimately causes the
> > auction to be cancelled? This benefits nobody.
> >
Rene,
To explain the problem, in the USA, to modify a scanner has been made
illegal
by the Government, E-Bay only reacts when a seller tries to list his
radio as "modified" and E-Bay pulls the listing as not be caught in any
"problems" Anyway, by mentioning it, the seller alerts E-Bay who
doesn't want to have any problems? Sorry, but that's the status of
things in the USA right now.
All the best, Martin
```

454. Re: Sony ICF-SC1 or ICOM IC-R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1351-396A89FF-24@storefull-105.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85214

martin, i suggest looking at the reviews of both rigs at the strongsignals.net site. then you can make a better choice. the r2 is small but i found it difficult to use. the sony is a bit of a clunker in size but a nice radio functionally. also a bit hard to use. get a ham license and buy a yeasu vx5. then you can talk or scan stuff. personally i dont like to spend a lot for something you cant talk on. the yaesu gets aircraft just fine and its small. i take it to all the airshows.

73

455. Re: Travis AFB Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@grapevinenet.com>
Message-ID: <8ke14l01dl8@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 85215

```
Travis Aero Club Unicom 123.3
ATIS 116.4/384.9
Approach Control 119.9/371.2
126.6/291.0
Tower 120.75/255.9
236.6 (Common)
Ground 121.8/289.4
Clearance Del. 127.55/335.8
Command Post 141.9/349.4
130.65 (Contract Carriers)
Weather 375.2

Al
billyp
"John Pilger" <pilger@dog-eat-dog.com> wrote in message
news:8dca5.3117$HD.499858@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Can anyone share frequencies for Travis AFB, CA? Ground, air ... whatever
is
> available.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
```

456. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <396AA0AE.C45C7B2F@rconnect.com>
Source record: 85216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I know of an amplifier that was shipped ups (united parcel
smashers). It was mounted on a 2x4 wood frame that was
crated in another box, ups managed to tear the rf deck off
the front panel of the amp, break the 2x4's (as in 2 x 4
inch studs). They are refusing to pay the insurance claim
since the amp wasn't properly packaged!
Mark

"Victor Healey
>
> UPS has for several years left expensive items outside with out even ringing
> the door bell in some cases. The driver seems to be in such a hurry. We have
> gone for several days before we noticed some items at the door since we use
> the garage more often than not.
>
> There used to be a store near here called Peachtree Salvage that had
> literally tons of items shipped by UPS that never made it to the
> destination. It had several branches. One could literally buy electronics
> for a fraction of their value until they got someone who had an idea of
> their real worth. I bought many a bargain from them. The point is that UPS
> lost so much that a chain of stores made a good business of selling stuff
> that never made it to the consignee.
>
> 73 de
> ki4je
>
> "Benjamin Blavat" <bblavat@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl...
> > If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as
> > adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that gets,
> > the insurance.
> >
> > On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from them,
> > shipping laptops as well as ham gear.
> >
> > UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no
> signature!
> > Just left it and its rainy season!
> > --
> > 73's from W4BEN,
> > Benjamin Blavat

--
en24gp 6m through 1296, BBQ rules, icq 41823284

457. Re: Cellular Freq step size?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8ke7re$qtk@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 85217

30KHz

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"Zane" <"zgorove"@(remove)erols.com> wrote in message
news:8ke7ka$30u$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> What is the step between freqs in the cellular band?Just curios.
>

458. Re: Bearcat 9000 question]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8ke80l$qvf@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 85218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The 9000 is a great scanner and has the best RF section of any Uniden scanner in recent memory. You may have a local tower that is causing all your problems. Make use of the ATT key or try different antennas.

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"Kevin Robokoff" <krobokoff@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:396A8A43.FE14BA93@hotmail.com...
>
>

459. Re: Opening Uniden BC3000XCLT Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8ke837$r53@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 85219

Did you remove all 4 screws? The tow silver ones in the battery
compartment?

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"Joseph Haggerty" <jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:uhva5.4703$%P.370955@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Does anyone know how to open the case of a Uniden BC3000XLT? I took out
the
> two screws on the back and removed the battery pack, but the case is still
> closed tight. I'm trying to get a look at the solder joint for the center
> conductor of the BNC antenna jack.
>
> Joe Haggerty
> Madison, New Jersey, USA
> jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net
>
>

460. Re: Police computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_s8a5.42249$Y4.217278@typhoon2.san.rr.com>
Source record: 85262

"Jim Bundy" <bobjim_98@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9Z7a5.2854$B92.7215@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Our city has begun to get computer link in squad cars, just catching up
with
> 21 century. Is there a way to decode this traffic and is it legal?

Legal? Probably not.

Possible? Also probably not. While not all use it, all the police Mobile
Data Terminal protocols I've heard of include the option for encryption, and
police as a matter of policy tend to buy that option, yaknow?

Still, there are MDT decoder programs around for non-encrypted versions. So
there's a chance.

461. Help finding Louisville Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Zaino <jeff.z@home.com>
Message-ID: <39691E09.F0554BF9@home.com>
Source record: 85263

Is there anyone who could help me in finding the frequencies for police or Fire radio frequencies for the Louisville, KY area?? I'm really at a loss trying to find information. I thank you in advance!

462. Re: scannerz website up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buffy <Buffy@madasafish.com>
Message-ID: <smi8hoe8nu185@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85264
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Yup! your right oh no it's not!
(;-)
Buffy
Ear wig <michael@arnold13490.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:8k9tuk$suu$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Oh no it's not
> scannerz <scannerz@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:mu_95.5387$dL5.110987@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> >
> > scannerz the all new uk scanner website..
> >
> > www.scannerz.cjb.net
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

463. FS: RS original screw-on telescopic antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <1_9a5.3335$%P.268237@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85265

These are the original antennas for the PRO-2005/6 and others. They screw into the hole on the top of the case. Don't need anymore. I have 2 of them.

Selling for the best offer.....

Thanks, Frank

464. Re: F.A. Icom IC-R7000 and TV-R7000 Video Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebay hater <abuse@fcc.gov>
Message-ID: <tLaa5.18184$B%6.90482@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85266

was there something in this group that made you think this was a dumping ground for free advertising for your shithole business?

FA on ebay is bad enough with indivdual sells, but business ads are SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM

"Electronic Solutions" <mpe@ruralaccess.net> wrote in message news:Hw9a5.255$KK1.34612@news.corpcomm.net...
> Click here....
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=378584367
>
>
>
>

465. Can a Pro 2015 be modified?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mistervoice@Mistervoice.com
Message-ID: <39693d38.35893872@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 85267

Hello,

I just recently picked up a Pro 2015 from a local Radio Shack at a killer price ($59 .99 - Clearance).

It's a great little base unit, but it can't access freqs between 54 Mhz and 108 MHz, and between 174 MHz and 380 MHz. Can it be modified in any way to receive these ranges?

Dave

466. WANTED: Schofield Barracks, Oahu, HI & area FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Andrews <xlntchat@aol.com>
Message-ID: <nrba5.26702$aB6.122773@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 85268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just moved into the area and would like any help I can get getting more of less any types of frequencies for the area.

Thank you,
D. Andrews

467. Re: ICOM, AOR and DRAKE Radios for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Skindell <skindell@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <1cca5.24$lf6.10375@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 85269

What do you have?

"Copmannd" <copmannd@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000709225617.04773.00000521@ng-cm1.aol.com...
> I would be interested in trading you out of the AR3000......Dave in Denver

468. Travis AFB Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Pilger <pilger@dog-eat-dog.com>
Message-ID: <8dca5.3117$HD.499858@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85270

Can anyone share frequencies for Travis AFB, CA? Ground, air ... whatever is available.

Thanks.

469. Re: Please Help Me With Frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8kbjls$550$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 85271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

zx21@netzero.net (zx) asked:
> ... what to program in my scanner for the cordless phones ...

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Cordless Telephone [15.233]

Chan Base Handset Chan Base Handset

1 43.72 48.76 16 46.61 49.67
2 43.74 48.84 17 B 46.63 49.845
3 43.82 48.86 18 C 46.67 49.86
4 43.84 48.92 19 46.71 49.77
5 43.92 49.02 20 D 46.73 49.875
6 43.96 49.08 21 A 46.77 49.83
7 44.12 49.10 22 E 46.83 49.89
8 44.16 49.16 23 46.87 49.93
9 44.18 49.20 24 46.93 49.99
10 44.20 49.24 25 46.97 49.97
11 44.32 49.28
12 44.36 49.36 Chs A - E handset frequencies
13 44.40 49.40 are also used for baby room
14 44.46 49.46 monitors and low power
15 44.48 49.50 handie-talkies.

The original 10 channels were renumbered 16-25 in June 95
when the new channels 1-15 were added.

470. Re: Police computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8kbl9v$m9h$0@63.162.247.113>
Source record: 85272

Skip Sanders wrote:

> Possible? Also probably not. While not all use it, all the police Mobile
> Data Terminal protocols I've heard of include the option for encryption, and
> police as a matter of policy tend to buy that option, yaknow?
>
> Still, there are MDT decoder programs around for non-encrypted versions. So
> there's a chance.

Any of the MCT software sold now a days includes as a part of the
basic package DES encryption. It is not an option, rather a
requirement.

471. Re: In praise of CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom4.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8kbllescp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85273

Len G. Carpenter <jabba@iaw.com> wrote:
> One thing you did not mention is that it slows the scanner down, the
> bearcat890xlt/radioshack pro-2036 ran about a 1/4 of the speed with
> CTCSS enabled than without it. Put a weather freq in the first channel
> and another in the last channel, enable the CTCSS but do not program
> one for these channels but make sure there are some with it, unlock
> all channels in between and time how long it takes to scan form the
> first to the last, then turn off the CTCSS and do it again, on the
> 2036 it was very quick without the CTCSS and took at least 4 times
> longer with it.

Interesting. On my Icom, I don't notice that at all. The CTCSS is enabled/disabled only on a per-channel basis. (Your suggestion to "enable the CTCSS but do not program one for these channels" makes no sense on the Icom R2). There may be a slight slowdown when a frequency has an RF carrier without a tone or with the wrong tone, but there is no slowdown whatsoever when a frequency doesn't have a carrier. On the other hand, without CTCSS, when a frequency has a carrier without a tone, it stops scanning entirely to listen to the channel until the carrier goes away; while with CTCSS, it only pauses long enough to determine that the right tone isn't there. In other words, CTCSS either has no effect on scan speed (when no carrier is present that would normally break squelch), or it speeds up scanning tremendously (when enough signal or noise is present to break squelch, but it's a "false" signal, lacking the proper tone).

But certainly, if CTCSS slows down YOUR particular scanner, that might be a reason to avoid using it on that scanner.

--Rich

472. Re: Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <smimmoamnu43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks very much for your info..... I'm almost dead set on buying this
now.....
and I got to look at the owners manual on pro-92.com.....

Thanks!--
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.terry.weatherford.org or http://www.N9MAE.com
Central and SW Illinois/Metro St. Louis area
Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service Links, Amateur Radio
ICQ #: 45591028

"David Fuller" <drfuller@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:39694EF2.EE7A3948@hotmail.com...
> The Pro-92 channels is setup are follows:
> Bank 0 - 0-49 Bank 1 -100-149 Bank 2 - 200-249 Bank 3 - 300-399 Bank 4 -
400-499
> Bank 5 - 500-599 Bank 6 - 600-699 Bank 7 - 700-699 Bank 8 - 00-699 Bank
9 -
> 900-999 and is displayed as Bank 0 - Ch. 0.
>
> I think in order to use the "digital weather" feature. You must have it
out of
> scan mode and in weather mode to activate it. It has priority channel on
each
> bank. You can de-activate the priority feature when trunking. As far I
know I do
> not think that the Pro - 92 can be modified for cellular reception.
>
> Hope this helps.
>

473. Re: Radio Smack Simplex Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6low@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710013115.15672.00001863@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 85275

Try radioshack.con .I saw it there. Good look . W6LOW

474. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: todak <todak@axom.com>
Message-ID: <39696607$0$1498$263ee65e@news.axom.com>
Source record: 85276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:

> John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com> wrote in message
> news:8kaopc$na4$1@news3.infoave.net...
> >
> >
> > I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that for
> > one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire
> of
> > customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how
> much
> > income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that ups
> > would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay
> > dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service. (Which
> > it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or
> > late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X.
> NO
> > odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package cross
> > country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You
> > pays your fees, and takes your chances"
>
> I have EXCELLENT service via UPS for over twenty years. My delivery man
> is a fine, caring person. Service must vary from area to area.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>

You must be a UPS driver

>
> >
> > >
> > > I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good condition.
> > Don't
> > > recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
> > and he
> > > mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
> > radio,
> > > as the box was "way too flimsy"! Actually, the box FELT a bit flimsy
> on
> > the
> > > outside, but there was plenty of bubble wrap on the inside.
> > >
> > > I found it "interesting", to say the least, that he would make such a

> > comment
> > > based on that one factor. I'd think it would be on the "total
> packaging"
> > that
> > > they would base any claims!
> > >
> > > Al
> > > --
> > > "The Truth is Hate, to Those Who Hate the Truth"
> > > Do what's right. You'll please OR AMAZE everyone!
> > >
> > > Love and hatred are both necessary. For one to love
> > > some things he must hate others, and visa versa.
> > >
> > > A vote for the lesser of two evils is a vote FOR EVIL!
> > >
> > > 83,000,000 American gun owners behaved peacefully today.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

475. antenna construction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: eb417@start.com.au
Message-ID: <396980ef.110213535@news-server>
Source record: 85277

does anyone have a link to a site that tells me how to build a VHF-Hi
whip antenna?
Remove NOSPAM to reply
Gavin Jamieson: eb417@NOSPAMstart.com.au
Get paid to listen to music!!
http://www.radiofreecash.com/home.asp?ref=Phoenix_5

476. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <Tmfa5.6817$493.1161346@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

matt weber <matthewww50@home.com> wrote in message
news:r82ims0lnm9g99t9r29e1ed460u04c1kjd@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 16:51:19 -0400, "John Garrison" <corrjng@rbnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > >I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that
for
> > >one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the ire
of
> > >customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing how
much
> > >income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that
ups
> > >would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and pay
> > >dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service.
(Which
> > >it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken or
> > >late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of FED-X.
NO
> > >odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package
cross
> > >country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes. "You
> > >pays your fees, and takes your chances"
> >
> > >>
> > >> I RECEIVED a radio from a fellow via UPS. It arrived in good
condition.
> > >Don't
> > >> recall if it was insured or not. Anyway, the driver and I were talking
> > >and he
> > >> mentioned that he doubted they'd pay a claim on it anyway, if it was a
> > >radio,
> > >> as the box was "way too flimsy"!
> > The insurance is actually a contract, and what the UPS man says, is
> > what UPS would like you to believe. The reality is UPS does very badly
> > in small claims court on these matters. For them it is simply a
> > revenue decision. Has little to do with right, wrong or fault. They
> > hope you accept their decision on the assumption that if they say no,
> > you are not likely to go further. The vast majority do walk away, and
> > UPS banks on that.
> >

> I have a friend in Ohio who finally go so mad he did take them small
> claims court. UPS lost, but wouldn't pay. Once again, a revenue
> decision. So the next step was to pay the fees for a sheriff's sale to
> satisfy the judgement. One morning a Deputy from the Cuyahoga County
> Sheriff walked into the UPS offices, and proceeded to seize the cash
> registers in prepartion for the auction. Needless to say, having
> nothing to calculate the charges or collect money with, this
> effectively shut down the UPS office. UPS made a revenue decision in
> about an hour, and decided to settle all of the outstanding insurance
> claims with this gentlemen. He still refuses to ship UPS.
>
> I think it is unfortunate that these decisions are now revenue based,
> rather than any semblance of right/wrong or responsibility for the
> damage.

Very interesting indeed!

Bill K9KZ

477. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <cufa5.10401$TI1.1176618@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

todak <todak@axom.com> wrote in message
news:39696607$0$1498$263ee65e@news.axom.com...
>
>
> Bill wrote:
>
> > John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:8kaopc$na4$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > I would assume that if the ups man says they won't pay on this or that
for
> > > one reason or another it's prolly gospel; for I'll bet he's heard the
ire
> > of
> > > customers who had a claim denied, or overheard superiors discussing
how
> > much
> > > income they generate from insuring a package. Yes you would think that
ups
> > > would be objective, but they are in business to get filthy rich and
pay
> > > dividends to stock holders , not provide a fair and useful service.
(Which
> > > it appears is just a sideline) All said I have NEVER had a bad broken
or
> > > late delivery from ups; though I'm just a trifle more enamored of
FED-X.
> > NO
> > > odubt they too have their quirks, but if I could go after my package
cross
> > > country myself I would. BUt can't; therefore, as my Uncle observes.
"You
> > > pays your fees, and takes your chances"
> > >
> > I have EXCELLENT service via UPS for over twenty years. My delivery man
> > is a fine, caring person. Service must vary from area to area.
> > >
> > Bill K9KZ
> > >
>
> You must be a UPS driver

Nope. I don't care who you ship with. Just make sure you pack it well.

Bill K9KZ

478. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: TimG <fewtchNOfeSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <xpZpOW=bfSt76PbzGed4a1ENrkui@4ax.com>
Source record: 85280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Service *does* vary from area to area, and even within a particular
area over time.

Lately I've had few troubles with UPS. The major problems I've had
occurred when a new delivery guy came on after the old driver retired
after approximately 25 years on the job. As some people in this
newsgroup are probably aware, I got extremely ticked off and decided
to do something about it, come hell or high water.

Right now I have an "in" with UPS. I wrote a complaint letter to UPS
headquarters in Atlanta, ATTN: Management. Headquarters in Atlanta
contacted my local UPS hub, and pretty soon I had UPS people calling
me on the phone and falling all over themselves to please me.
Apparently the letter I wrote had a real impact, which came as a
surprise.

There are two people I know that I can call at my local UPS hub if I'm
having a delivery problem, and they will go directly to the truck to
see if my delivery is on it or not (or go out of their way in other
areas to assist). Most people couldn't get past 1-800-PICK-UPS with a
rocket launcher. And this was a result of a polite but firm letter to
UPS management in Atlanta.

Maybe if more people simply asserted themselves appropriately rather
than quietly fuming or just allowing themselves to be the victim of
poor service, the organization would change for the better. They
would be forced to. But too many just sit around and let things pass
with the attitude that there's nothing that can be done.

Tim

On Mon, 10 Jul 2000 03:06:55 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>> > I have EXCELLENT service via UPS for over twenty years. My delivery man
>> > is a fine, caring person. Service must vary from area to area.
>> >
>> > Bill K9KZ
>> >
>>
>> You must be a UPS driver
>
>Nope. I don't care who you ship with. Just make sure you pack it well.
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

```
----
*
* Tim's Websites: http://core.vdirect.net
*

-------------------------------------------------------------

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com
```

479. Sony ICF-SC1 or ICOM IC-R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Kaareng LN-GAE <martin.kaarengnovalid@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <GIha5.21756$R7.3938753@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 85281
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.products,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.aviation

I can get the Sony model for 89 dollars and the ICOM for 220 dollars including a battery charger, Ni-cad rechargeable batteries, and an SMA to BNC aerial adapter.

I've never owned a scanner before, all I want to do is listen to local airport frequencies.

Also, are there any websites that allow you to search for world-wide aviation freqs?

Thanks,

Martin Kaareng

480. Re: RS232 for FRG9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <jihimsccki3auvtp4dduq8pd7ji00gu41b@4ax.com>
Source record: 85282

"Hanno, DG8JZ" <Hanno@DG8JZ.de> wrote:

> Hello,
> I have no idea if you can buy the interface...but you can see this page
> which gives more details on the product and the specs...
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8516/index.htm
>
> Best wishes
> hanno, DG8JZ
>
> Robert De Armond schrieb in Nachricht ...
> >Does anyone out there no where I can find a RS232 interface for my Yaesu
> >FRG9600.
> >
> >I have a Pentium III 800MHz I will be connecting to if that makes a
> >difference.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >Robert
> >
> >
>

If it is a CAT interface, it's still available from Yaesu.
If you want to build your own, there's an article in QST
some time back (within the past year or so, I think) about
building one for the FT-1000D. But it's the same interface.

I'm pretty sure the one from Yaesu actually uses optoisolators
to protect the radio and PC from each other, which probably
contributes to its price...

However, that's not true of the ICOM CT-17 CI-V driver. It's
just a MAX-232 driver chip and a couple of support components.
And waaaay overpriced!

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com

"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

481. Re: Any freq for the 2.4 GHz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8kcc5leb1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 85283

In article <O4a95.13301$T%3.150830@typhoon.austin.rr.com>,
mtaustin@austin.rr.com says...
>
>What will you listen to them on?
>
>
Receiving the 2400 range is not all that hard.
At one end of the "lets trade skill for money"
scale comes the old MDS converters. These devices
took 2.4GHz "TV" and downconverted it to TV CH2
(or other channels). There are a lot of these units
still around, even some of the commercial jobbers with
there funny little "dish" reflectors.
At the other end or the money-Vs-skill scale are
any number of specialised military units.
I can recieve from 1KHz [Whistlers and other neat ELF]
to above 15GHz with no brakes, and then from 20 to 30GHz.
But there is not much above 1GHz worth listening to.
YMWV

482. FS Reduced for quick sale 3 scanners, one price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueMax <rroewer1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qkja5.14277$ez.93790@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack Pro 2051 (1000 channel) (Base unit)
Radio Shack Pro 94 (Includes A/C adapter) (1000 channel)
Sony SC-1 (non PC version) (300 channel)

All in perfect, mint condition. All include original box
and manual. The Sony has never been used at all. The
Radio Shack units have seen very little use.

This is a package deal....reduced to $375 for the whole
enchilada, shipping and insurance included.
No COD, no Paypal, sorry. My e-mail addy is my Ebay
ID if you with to check my creditability.
Payment by Postal money order ONLY.
Respond by e-mail, please.

483. Frequencies-MN/Twin Cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gJja5.3372$qX6.283022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of an online source for updated frequencies in the Twin Cities/MN?

Thanks

--
rusciano@att.net

484. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: LordHamster <lordhamster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3969c8a6$0$1505$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 85286

I recommend getting a real ISP. Most real ISPs don't sit around watching
their member's every move and censoring them.
Heck, if you are cheap, use a free ISP like bluelight.

"Chris" <o2bfunny@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20000708202557.29797.00001230@ng-bh1.aol.com...
> I enjoy using my scanner (AOR 8000 from Javiation) and enjoy reading posts
here
> from time to time. A couple days ago I "stopped by" and noticed the
NUMEROUS
> "FS" posts. I have a Radio Shack PRO-43 which I do not use. As I was
unable
> to find a listed moderator or charter for the group (not easy with AOL
anyway),
> I posted a link to my scanner. Rather than get a polite e-mail from
someone
> here, I was "turned in" to the "AOL Police" for newsgroup violations and
warned
> accordingly by AOL. Indeed, I now have a "black mark" on my files at
AOL - the
> first since I started using them when they were DOS-based. I apologize
for
> upsetting whoever I apparently did here, and understand the need to keep
> certain newsgroups spam free. I would have greatly appreciated and
honored a
> single e-mail instead. Happy scanning!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Chris Marshall

485. Re: Frequencies-MN/Twin Cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <3969cc4d.1937137@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try www.ScanFan.com Local frequencies On Mon, 10 Jul 2000 12:55:40
GMT, "John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Anyone know of an online source for updated frequencies in the Twin
>Cities/MN?
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>rusciano@att.net
>
>
>
>

486. Re: help: HB232 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kcluulnp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85288

The old dos program that came with the HB-232 develops more and more compatibility problems with each passing year. It runs on my older laptop but not the newer desktop. Your best option is to install Pro-Turbo and bypass all the problems of the old dos program.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

487. Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Fusk <patfusk@nb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3969E0F8.43B67340@nb.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 85289

What's a good external antenna for R S PRO 2045 scanner?? also a mobile for same??? Don't want to spend a fortune. Thanks

488. Re: My sincere apologies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rifraf714 <rifraf714@core.com>
Message-ID: <ZYla5.82187$MJ2.268306@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 85290

Chris PISS ON AOL ; the world would be a better place with out them!

489. Re: Iowa State Patrol - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Grams <egrams@ia.net>
Message-ID: <3969E682.FBFD45B0@ia.net>
Source record: 85291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try http://www.geocities.com/iascanner/statefreq.html AND
http://www.geocities.com/iascanner/iapatrolbw.gif

This should have everything you need.

Eric
The Ultimate Iowa Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/iascanner

Bill Boltinghouse wrote:

> ********** Go to www.perconcorp.com **********
>
> In article <9UP85.8600$xL3.627797@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> jajaja@attatt.net says...
> >
> >I am hoping that someone can supply mw with accurate Iowa State Patrol
> >Freqs, or perhaps point me in the right direction.
> >
> >Thank you very much.
> >
> >Ed
> >
> >

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

490. FS: Radio Shack Pro-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <edrjms0uh53e8g40qgftigjj2mlk0f231k@4ax.com>
Source record: 85292

```
For Sale:
Radio Shack Pro-2038
50 Channel Home/Mobile
Programmable Scanner

For more information follow this link:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/doc18/18271.htm

9-10 condition
$65.00 OBO plus shipping
Email for pictures

cmcj@hal-pc.org

----------------------
"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200
```

491. FS: Radio Shack Pro-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vmrjms0amq6fah2krrdpc8bl5rplp11nls@4ax.com>
Source record: 85293

For Sale:
Radio Shack Pro-2046
100 Channel Programmable Scanner

29-54, 108-136.975, 137-174, 406-512, 806-823.9375, 851-868.9375,
896.1125-956 MHz

Original Box, manual, mounting brackets.
9-10 condition
$75.00 OBO

Email for pics

cmcj@hal-pc.org

_____
"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

492. Need Stone Harbor, NJ freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3969FBF6.2EF4@gwis.com>
Source record: 85294

I'm interested in freqs for the Stone Harbor New Jersey area. Would be interested in public safety as well as Coast guard, beach patrol, lifeguards, etc.
Many thanks in advance.
Paul

493. Re: antenna construction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <w%oa5.153$4l6.147663@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85295

Try:

http://www.vicon.net/~aketner/antlinks.htm

http://www.ac6v.com/pageant.html scroll down

http://www.cebik.com/radio.html

These should fill your void. :-)

Glenn

Black Phoenix <eb417@start.com.au> wrote in message
news:396980ef.110213535@news-server...
> does anyone have a link to a site that tells me how to build a VHF-Hi
> whip antenna?
> Remove NOSPAM to reply
> Gavin Jamieson: eb417@NOSPAMstart.com.au
> Get paid to listen to music!!
> http://www.radiofreecash.com/home.asp?ref=Phoenix_5

494. Re: help: HB232 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kf3adean1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85147

> Will Pro-Turbo run my 2006 with the HB-232 setup?

yes

> Where can I get Pro-Turbo?

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

495. Re: Need frequencies for road trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com>
Message-ID: <PREa5.178$dI5.22747@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85148

Oops...meant frequencies

"Bob Mergner" <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com> wrote in message
news:fKDa5.63$dI5.13135@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello,
>
> I will be travelling next week from the Philadelphia area down route 13 to
> 164 to the Outer Banks of NC. I will pass through Delaware, Virginia, and
> possibly Maryland. I would appreciate any frequencies which I might
monitor
> along my route to stay on top of traffic conditions (probably police). I
> have found the VA state police frequencies on the web but have not had
much
> luck with the others. In addition, if anybody has any interesting air,
> marine or police frequencies that I might tune into when I was at the
Outer
> Banks that would be appreciated as well.
>
> Thanks in advance for any help,
>
> --
> Bob Mergner
>
>

496. Re: ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000711103659.01329.00003545@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85149

In article <uUva5.27907$aB6.127414@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>, "MOD SQUAD"
<modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> writes:

>Just received the sony scanner for $89.00 plus shipping has sensitive
>receive and good channel rejection on all frequency's not has easy to
>program but once you get the hang of it it's okay. If your looking for
>25mhz.-1300mhz minus cell freq. you can't beat the price.

Where? I thought the closeouts were all long-gone (?)

497. Re: looking for Lynwood Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard (Dick) Wells" <rgw@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <396B375C.BE9F0B31@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 85150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you're looking for Police, check Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department frequencies. and for Fire check Los Angeles County Fire Department. I don't have them with me, but if you don't find them let me know and I'll look them up.

Best,
Rich

warrior5 wrote:

> looking for Lynwood, California Frequency since its not in my Book of
> Frequencies

--
o o 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 o o o o o ...
_" __ ___ ________ _
,| |-,-----| |-==-|_ _|---|________| |-----------_____________
| | Dick & Jane RR - | [_]]__| | J & RGW |
__|____|----------------------|__8746_| | rgw@bigfoot.com |
/ {___}--------------------\|_______|=|_________ _________|=
/ (o) [___] ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (o) (o)(o)(o)-------(o)(o)(o)
==
http://home.earthlink.net/~rgw

498. Re: ' FA: Bearcat with Cellular (Pre-Ban)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: charles <cbr59@flash.net>
Message-ID: <fvHa5.11761$7%3.827298@news.flash.net>
Source record: 85151
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ebay took it off how much you want for it

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

Dave <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8jip3hhqo1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com...
>
> This BC205XLT is identical to the popular BC200XLT. The 205s were sold by
> Scanner World. Coverage & everything is identical. This one has the full
> 800 mhz band, so be careful to not listen to those illegal cell calls!
>
> This radio came from Uniden with full cellular. I did not modify it.
>
> Click: http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=370811575
>
>
>

499. www.scannerz.cjb.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerz <scannerz@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <a1la5.10845$dL5.169753@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 85152
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

new scanner ...uk site at
www.scannerz.cjb.net

--



500. Corky is half a man! Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <396B547A.83357B2B@yo.com>
Source record: 85153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You are a cocksucker that just can't quit. Stick a cork in it
Corky.

J O H N wrote:

> Well said, Len!
>
> Now is the time for forgive & forget the unhappy actions which were
> committed
> in the past by the person you mentioned. He is now in his darkest hour. I
> have
> asked my prayer group to say prayers for him & his family. I urge others
> to consider similar actions. The good book says to forgive regardless of
> the
> action, & I think he should receive our forgiveness.
>
> "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote
> > Not doing well at all, that's all I am going to say about.
> > I know some of the people in these groups did not get along with him
> > but it would be nice if you would send some cards or e-mails with well
> > wishes for him, I don't believe he will see the new millennium come.

501. Re: antennas on billboards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <yIIa5.242$LX3.14322@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 85154

I always thought they were to catch peoples attention and I guess it works
if we are talking about it.

www.freqofnature.com

"Sparky" <sparkfel@mypants.primenet.com> wrote in message
news:396ab5cb.16944948@news.primenet.com...
>
> The technical term is "Gull Sweeper" and indeed they are there to
> prevent birds from roosting (and pooping) on the billboards. Very
> common in costal areas.
>
> Sparky
>
> >monte.carroll@nashville.com (Monte B. Carroll) hollered from the
outhouse...
> >
> >>My wife and I just returned from Anaheim, California where I spotted
> >>what appeared to be some type of rotating antenna on top of various
> >>billboards. The antennas, two on each billboard I noticed, were
> >>likely dipoles.
> >
>

502. Re: Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dalmatian Productions, Inc." <dalmatpr@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zCJa5.51$h67.36528@news2.mia>
Source record: 85155

The current scanner is is the Uniden BC245XLT. The new BC780XLT is due out late summer or early fall.

"KFriedhoff" <uihc34@aol.comforgetit> wrote in message news:20000705122018.02622.00002954@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> Hello, What is the model number of the new Bearcat trunking scanne. This is
> the model that can scan trunk and conventional at the same time. Has it been
> released yet and where could I find some information on it. Thanks for your
> help.
>
> Ken

503. Re: BC245XLT Follow-On Product - Any info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dalmatian Productions, Inc." <dalmatpr@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JDJa5.53$h67.36517@news2.mia>
Source record: 85156

Look for the Uniden BC780XLT. It will be a 2nd generation turnk-tracker for
mobile or base use, but it is not a hand-held.

<ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:2ll6ms0ieanbrktebbt1qqihst1dal9fd1@4ax.com...
> I am waiting for the follow-on (if any) to the 245XLT. Does anyone
> know when and if there will be such a product and what its feature set
> will look like?

504. Re: FS Radio Shack Pro 2006...MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rick, kg6aql" <kg6aql@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <396B7360.7418AED0@arrl.net>
Source record: 85157

Any mods done to it? $275.00 and you pay shipping and I'll take it....

John Berenyi wrote:

> I bought this scanner new and its been in the box ever since. Its only
> been taken out about 4 times to look at. I never use it because I have
> an AOR 1000XLT handheld and thats good enough for me if I can ever get
> to using it. It is trully mint in every way.
>
> Scanner comes with original box, manual and screw-in antenna. Your for
> $300 plus dicounted shipping. Scanner will be packed in custom foam at
> no charge. I get special rates.

505. Worcester, MA PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul liolios <pcliii@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <gKKa5.19985$DJ2.98969@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 85158

I have a Trunk Tracker yet having problems with Worcester, MA Police Department. Any suggestions?

PCLIII

506. Trident TRX100XLT Port Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas H. Meier" <meierth@iqtm.mannheim-netz.de>
Message-ID: <396B7978.34F7AE5F@iqtm.mannheim-netz.de>
Source record: 85159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner

Hi All,
does anyone know the function or the port which is
located in the battery compartment?

If s.o. is interested in tips for interfacing the
scanner with the Zeus-Software and the solution of
the "4. and 5. digit error" :
mailto:thomas_meier@gmx.de
cu
Thomas

507. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <2evmms4699amgqkhls65k6gihl4j3mvaso@4ax.com>
Source record: 85160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 11 Jul 2000 06:10:56 GMT, chipster66@hotmail.com (Chip) wrote:

>
>I strongly *suspect* the reason UPS doesn't wait anymore (though
>skipping the doorbell is sloppy) is that it actually costs them less
>even considering theft/damage from letting it sit without waiting for
>you to answer the door.
>
>As an example if Joe UPS driver waits for someone to answer the door
>at all his residential stops he wastes say an hour a day just waiting.
>Say he's making a total of $35.00 an hour in wages and benefits,
>that's $35.00 wasted per driver per day waiting.
>
>If he just drops off the item and doesn't wait he saves the $35.00 but
>because of theft/damage/etc it costs UPS $10.00 in claims per driver
>per day on average. Net result is that UPS *saves* $25.00 per day per
>driver by *not* waiting.
>
>My figures are just for an example +/- but I suspect some sharp
>bean-counter figured that one out quite a while back ;-)
>
UPS actually has a policy. At the driver's discretion, packages with
values up to $500 can be left. When you see the notation on the
package (adult signature required). It has nothing to do with
Pornography, it means the package was valued at more $500 IIRC, and it
is no longer driver discretion. You cross the risk/benefit threshold.

508. Re: ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MOD SQUAD <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <g7La5.28764$aB6.132293@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 85161

Still available at yahoo shopping

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000711103659.01329.00003545@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <uUva5.27907$aB6.127414@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>, "MOD SQUAD"
> <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> writes:
>
> >Just received the sony scanner for $89.00 plus shipping has sensitive
> >receive and good channel rejection on all frequency's not has easy to
> >program but once you get the hang of it it's okay. If your looking for
> >25mhz.-1300mhz minus cell freq. you can't beat the price.
>
> Where? I thought the closeouts were all long-gone (?)

509. Amtrak Thruway Buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8kfuro$6a4$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 85162
Cross-posted to: rec.railroad,rec.radio.scanner,la.transportation

It's hard to imagine there's a lot of interest, but I've posted a list - by route - of the Amtrak Thruway bus operating companies at my page http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/thruway.htm OTOH, at the bottom of the page are some links that my take you to even more fascinating stuff.

And radio fans, anybody care to look up radio frequencies for these outfits? I know some of them have company radios.

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

510. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John P. Franklin" <jpfrankl@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <WjLa5.7395$493.1290754@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

You have confirmed what I have suspected for a long time now, regarding UPS. They are just plain lazy BASTARDS! What if the item is one of a kind and worth $495.00? I'm supposed to just eat the cost, seeing as how their claims department SUCKS! You might be able to tell I have had some BAD dealings with UPS. USPS isn't much better for packages......

Pat

511. FS : ICOM R-2, Mint!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <396b7848.982642@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ICOM R-2 scanner for sale. MINT, hardly used, in box with all accessories. Also includes ADMS programming software and cable, and a "Pouch" soft nylon case for the R-2.

$175.00 plus $8.00 shipping via USPS Priority Mail to the lower 48, pre-paid with a USPS Money Order, or VISA / MASTERCARD using PAYPAL, (www.paypal.com)

E-mail ONLY if interested, NO TRADES.

Dan

512. Someone Seems To Have A Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8017-396B8B89-66@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85165

http://www.homestead.com/winnipeg_scanner/Misc.html

513. ? frecuencias 2m packet radio en Maresme y Barcelona ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ESP340 <jpgarnic@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <_MLa5.20166$P4.139270@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85166
Cross-posted to: es.rec.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.misc,es.rec.radio.ondacorta,rec.radio.scanner

Me gustaria saber en que frecuencias estan de VHF y UHF las comunicaciones
digitales por la zona del Maresme y Barcelona
Gracias de antemano

jpgarnic@teleline.es

514. Re: Corky is half a man! Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <smn73eptnd627@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Cheek is five times the man, lying on his death bed, than the Looney-Tune
will ever live to be.....

<gogo@yo.com> wrote in message news:396B547A.83357B2B@yo.com...
> You are a cocksucker that just can't quit. Stick a cork in it
> Corky.
>
> J O H N wrote:
>
> > Well said, Len!
> >
> > Now is the time for forgive & forget the unhappy actions which were
> > committed
> > in the past by the person you mentioned. He is now in his darkest hour.
I
> > have
> > asked my prayer group to say prayers for him & his family. I urge
others
> > to consider similar actions. The good book says to forgive regardless
of
> > the
> > action, & I think he should receive our forgiveness.
> >
> > "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote
> > > Not doing well at all, that's all I am going to say about.
> > > I know some of the people in these groups did not get along with him
> > > but it would be nice if you would send some cards or e-mails with well
> > > wishes for him, I don't believe he will see the new millennium come.
>
>
>

515. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: skizziks_phx@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kg8tqcna1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Mackey wrote:

> The good book says

Mackey, I suggest you truly try to find Christ at some point soon. Your behavior here has been the most unChristian behavior I have ever seen. I admit mine has too. I ask God for His forgiveness for my even bothering to get involved in this childish flame war with you.

Ultimately, you, Mr. Mackey, will answer to God, too. Is this how you want to stand before Him on your Judgement Day - having invoked His name to point fingers at Bill Cheek?

No more will I make a deliberate effort to "get your goat" as it were. You've crossed a very serious line, bringing God into this petty dispute you have with Cheek.

May God forgive me for being so childish, and participating in your dispute.
May God have mercy on your soul, John Mackey.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

516. Re: Icom IC-R1 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <uFNa5.32495$fR2.301665@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You should be able to order a new manual from Icom.

Bill Crocker

"Joseph" <ffequities@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:Oa5tfKs6$GA.254@cpmsnbbsa07...
> I got an R1 on ebay but have no idea how to program it or use its
function.
> Any manual, electronic or otherwise, or if anyone can give me basic
> instructions, would be greatly appreciated. Please post on this newsgroup
or
> e-mail me.
> Thank you.
>
>

517. Re: Where is Mackey? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <smn9q0nknd6103@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85170

You and the Cheek-a-likes are a bunch of sick fucks to even remotely include someone with Downs syndrome in your vendettas of fun.
Rick

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:xuOa5.4869$yd.561168@news.uswest.net...
> There you go David.
>
>
>
> David <no@mail> wrote in message
> news:78jjmss9o60aufj4icc1mpqb6cjvt1135k@4ax.com...
> > Charles:
> >
> > >On Mon, 03 Jul 2000 12:05:42 -0400, Chris Burke <mackey@is.corky>
wrote:
> > >
> > >>"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>I'm right here!
> > >>
> > >>WHERE??
> > >>
> > >>Please show me where you are in this photo. I can't figure out which
> one is
> > >>you!!!
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >Oh, this is TOO funny!!!!! LOL!!!
> >
> > Hey, I missed it! Can somebody repost it?
>
>
>

518. Re: FS Radio Shack Pro 2006...MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Berenyi <jberenyi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <396BA832.E522D9C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 85171

I'd rather go to Ebay. Sorry.

"Rick, kg6aql" wrote:
>
> Any mods done to it? $275.00 and you pay shipping and I'll take it....
>
> John Berenyi wrote:
>
> > I bought this scanner new and its been in the box ever since. Its only
> > been taken out about 4 times to look at. I never use it because I have
> > an AOR 1000XLT handheld and thats good enough for me if I can ever get
> > to using it. It is trully mint in every way.
> >
> > Scanner comes with original box, manual and screw-in antenna. Your for
> > $300 plus dicounted shipping. Scanner will be packed in custom foam at
> > no charge. I get special rates.

519. LACoFD NEW TAC FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kgasf$e2f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85172

NEED HELP WITH THE NEW TACTICAL/WHITE FREQS USED BY THE LACoFD. THE OLD TAC-1 IS NOW TAC-17 (154.430). THANKS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

520. WTB YOUR PRO-92 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kgbl4$eh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85173

IF YOU ARE SICK OF THAT PRO-92 SCANNER THAT IS SITTING AROUND GATHERING DUST, I WILL TAKE IT OFF YOUR HANDS. GO AHEAD AND MAKE ME AN OFFER!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

521. FS: BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: atticusja@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000711193959.15178.00000153@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 85174

This is a great scanner, in excellent condition. Been used exclusively as a desktop handheld, never gone outside. Damn battery won't hold a charge though. Covers 25-1300 MHz, with gaps as appropriate. 400 channels. Battery charger, rubber duck, whip antenna, hard case, manual included. First offer over $100 BY EMAIL takes it.

Josh
atticusja@aol.com

522. Re: Where is Mackey? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <P1Qa5.5279$yd.590942@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85175

What are you throwing a tantrum over Ricky? I reposted a set of 4 pictures
of Mackey. What is this Downs stuff? The 4 pictures weren't all of Mackey?
You Mackey-a-likes sure have a problem expressing thoughts without profanity
and staining yourselves yellow? What's with that Rickey? Which is it, lack
of education, poor parenting or both?

Glenn

RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:smn9q0nknd6103@corp.supernews.com...
> You and the Cheek-a-likes are a bunch of sick fucks to even remotely
include
> someone with Downs syndrome in your vendettas of fun.
> Rick
>
>
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:xuOa5.4869$yd.561168@news.uswest.net...
> > There you go David.
> >
> >
> >
> > David <no@mail> wrote in message
> > news:78jjmss9o60aufj4icc1mpqb6cjvt1135k@4ax.com...
> > > Charles:
> > >
> > > >On Mon, 03 Jul 2000 12:05:42 -0400, Chris Burke <mackey@is.corky>
> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >>"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>I'm right here!
> > > > >>
> > > > >>WHERE??
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Please show me where you are in this photo. I can't figure out
which
> > one is
> > > > >>you!!!
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Oh, this is TOO funny!!!!! LOL!!!

> > >
> > > Hey, I missed it! Can somebody repost it?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

523. HELP , Need fq.'s for Disney World Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Soto <bobs@i-2000.com>
Message-ID: <XsOa5.417$bg.11277@newsreader.i-2000.net>
Source record: 85176

Hi , I'm looking for fq.'s for Disney World
Fla. Are their any GMRS repeaters , 800mhz.conventional or LTR,

Thanks inadvance
bob
bobs@i-2000.com

524. Re: FS: BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <396BB60D.499F79E2@uswest.net>
Source record: 85177

AtticusJA wrote:
>
> This is a great scanner, in excellent condition. Been used exclusively as a
> desktop handheld, never gone outside. Damn battery won't hold a charge though.
> Covers 25-1300 MHz, with gaps as appropriate. 400 channels. Battery charger,
> rubber duck, whip antenna, hard case, manual included. First offer over $100
> BY EMAIL takes it.
>
> Josh
> atticusja@aol.com

$125

If I got it email me where to send the $

Greg

525. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <396BC56A.4AED@silverstar.com>
Source record: 85178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Years ago, while located in San Jose, California, I ordered a B&W antenna from them and requested it be shipped to the SoBay UPS receiving facility with a notification to my PO Box. I put down my home phone number and some fool reverse-checked the number and had the antenna dropped off at my unoccupied house which was at the junction of two crossing bus lines.

Two weeks later I called B&W and got the UPS tracking number and found it had been left at my house. I filed for replacement of the antenna and got some idiot's song and dance about not notifying when it was cheaper for them to deliver.

I then quoted from their own manual and told them either I had the antenna I ordered replaced within three days, or I'd take them to small claims court and teach them to read their own manual for the paltry sum of 1,500.00.

Wouldn't you know it, they wouldn't let me teach them to read. I had my replacement antenna TWO days later!

You usually have to call the regional center and talk to someone who at least has a basic familiarity with American language. Find out what you need and don't let any local gigolo bamboozle you.

526. Freq ranges for telescoping antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmf4 <hmf4@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <396bd73a$0$94746$726baab@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 85179

I have a 20-006 telescoping antenna from RS.
Can someone tell me the different extensions to raise
and lower for different frequency bands?

I forget.... Thanks

527. SA...means Stupid Ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <XRSa5.2964$4l6.949475@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85180

That's why you are known by SA, SA!

You forgot you cute little radio post to keep it on topic. What happened slick?

ROFLMAO....

BTW, why are you stalking me Stupid Ass? Most every time I post there you are with another SA remark.

Oh yeah, that's why the call you SA. You going to go post in alt.radio.scanners whining about me? Did even get a response last time SA. ROFLMAOAU!!!!!!

SAScheffey <sascheffey@aol.com> while stalking me stopped to prove he is a real SA spewed......

(ignorant ranting deleted)

.

528. Re: Freq ranges for telescoping antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uetiHS76$GA.233@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 85181

Here is the page URL with that info.
http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DocumentDetail/Index/1,2360,,00.htm
l?Doc=/support_electronics/doc37/37207.htm
hmf4 wrote in message <396bd73a$0$94746$726baab@news.execpc.com>...
>
>I have a 20-006 telescoping antenna from RS.
>Can someone tell me the different extensions to raise
>and lower for different frequency bands?
>
>I forget.... Thanks
>
>

529. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steblina <steblina@televar.com>
Message-ID: <396be93c@news-out.newsnerds.com>
Source record: 85182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9jenms46iot5fnfudg5v1729q0ps6ftrtl@4ax.com...
> I live on the second story and watched the UPS driver stop at the
> bottom of my stairs and drop the box my new R8500 was in from waist
> height. He looked up and say me and said that he was saving the stairs
> for last (He had another small package to deliver to another
> apartment). The R8500 seems to work ok, I guess thanks to ICOM
> packaging. The driver had come the day before and left the little
> yellow note.

One of the Astronomy magazines reported that the telescope they ordered for testing was thrown over a six foot high fence. Even though the package said OPTICS--do not drop, etc. etc.

530. Re: Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannergirl2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710205157.28532.00000923@nso-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 85220

You could try these two sites they might have what you're looking for.
http://www.frontiernet.net/~shoop/scan/links.htm

http://members.ync.net/cpmachnick/index.htm (Chicago Scanners club)

http://members.aol.com/sarcradio/ (Schaumburg Amateur Radio Club)
Vonnie
Be Proud of who you are

531. Re: old cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tommyboy6255@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710213957.03686.00002459@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 85221

i guess!

532. Opening Uniden BC3000XCLT Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Haggerty <jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uhva5.4703$%P.370955@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85222

Does anyone know how to open the case of a Uniden BC3000XLT? I took out the two screws on the back and removed the battery pack, but the case is still closed tight. I'm trying to get a look at the solder joint for the center conductor of the BNC antenna jack.

Joe Haggerty
Madison, New Jersey, USA
jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net

533. FS Radio Shack Pro 2006...MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Berenyi <jberenyi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <396A843B.BA9263E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 85223

I bought this scanner new and its been in the box ever since. Its only been taken out about 4 times to look at. I never use it because I have an AOR 1000XLT handheld and thats good enough for me if I can ever get to using it. It is trully mint in every way.

Scanner comes with original box, manual and screw-in antenna. Your for $300 plus dicounted shipping. Scanner will be packed in custom foam at no charge. I get special rates.

534. Re: VHF low band skip FULL REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <PJva5.7342$V34.115647@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85224

These are very likely to be amateur radio repeaters.

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote
> 29.64/voice and data/mechanical voice (ham?)
> 53.27/data/fast CW
> 53.65/voice

535. ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MOD SQUAD <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uUva5.27907$aB6.127414@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 85225

Just received the sony scanner for $89.00 plus shipping has sensitive receive and good channel rejection on all frequency's not has easy to program but once you get the hang of it it's okay. If your looking for 25mhz.-1300mhz minus cell freq. you can't beat the price.
PS; There is a cell mod

536. Re: [Fwd: Bearcat 9000 question]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <396A8C31.1133FFAA@mediaone.net>
Source record: 85226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the 9000 is a great scanner, If your using an outdoor antenna it will be very sensitive, and some attenuation is needed. Otherwise no complaints

Kevin Robokoff wrote:
>
> ----------
>
> Part 1.2 Type: Microsoft MHTML Document 5.0 (message/rfc822)
> Encoding: 7bit

537. Re: Can a Pro 2015 be modified?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze The Weeze <none@anytime.now>
Message-ID: <H6wa5.178$a5.39842@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 85227

Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.......... no.

--
skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

538. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <CEwa5.7396$V34.117689@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Well said, Len!

Now is the time for forgive & forget the unhappy actions which were committed
in the past by the person you mentioned. He is now in his darkest hour. I have
asked my prayer group to say prayers for him & his family. I urge others
to consider similar actions. The good book says to forgive regardless of the
action, & I think he should receive our forgiveness.

"Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote
> Not doing well at all, that's all I am going to say about.
> I know some of the people in these groups did not get along with him
> but it would be nice if you would send some cards or e-mails with well
> wishes for him, I don't believe he will see the new millennium come.

539. Here are some stone Harbor NJ Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannergirl2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000710233849.02686.00000855@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 85229

Hi I delted the org. message you sent so I couldn't sent it to you directly.
hope these help

City : STONE HARBOR
State : New Jersey
--Base--- *---------Licensee---------* *--Call--* *------County------* SV
Latitude Longitude

153.7400 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF WPED881 CAPE MAY PL
39-04-01 074-43-03
153.8300 STONE HARBOR VOL FIRE CO WPAP551 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-50 074-45-09
153.9050 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNDT917 CAPE MAY PL
39-04-01 074-46-02
153.9800 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KEJ845 CAPE MAY PP
39-04-01 074-43-02
154.0850 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF KWQ682 CAPE MAY PL
39-03-03 074-45-05
154.1300 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNNR308 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-12 074-45-30
154.1300 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNNR308 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-10 074-45-31
154.1900 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNNR308 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-10 074-45-31
154.1900 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNNR308 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-12 074-45-30
154.3850 STONE HARBOR VOL FIRE CO WPAP551 CAPE MAY PF
39-03-50 074-45-09
155.1450 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF WPED881 CAPE MAY PL
39-04-01 074-43-03
155.2950 STONE HARBOR RESCUE SQUAD IN WZX771 CAPE MAY PS 39-04-01
074-58-08
155.6700 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KEJ845 CAPE MAY PP
39-04-01 074-43-02
155.7450 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF KNJX325 CAPE MAY PL
39-03-03 074-45-05
155.8500 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF WFE750 CAPE MAY PP
39-03-12 074-45-30
155.9550 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KNDT917 CAPE MAY PL
39-03-50 074-45-09
156.2100 STONE HARBOR, BOROUGH OF KEJ845 CAPE MAY PP 39-04-01
074-43-02
156.3500 MARINE TECHNICAL SERVICES IN WHU315 MC 39-22-42 074-50-30
156.4250 STONE HARBOR MARINA WHH257 MC 39-22-42
074-50-30

156.4500 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WHX211 MC 39-03-23
074-45-51
156.4500 MARINE TECHNICAL SERVICES IN WHU315 MC
39-22-42 074-50-30
156.4500 STONE HARBOR MARINA WHH257 MC
39-22-42 074-50-30
156.4500 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WQB807 MC
39-03-25 074-45-56
156.4750 MARINE TECHNICAL SERVICES IN WHU315 MC
39-22-42 074-50-30
156.6500 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WHX211 MC
39-03-23 074-45-51
156.6500 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WQB807 MC
39-03-25 074-45-56
156.8000 STONE HARBOR MARINA WHH257 MC
39-22-42 074-50-30
156.8000 MARINE TECHNICAL SERVICES IN WHU315 MC
39-22-42 074-50-30
156.8000 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WQB807 MC
39-03-25 074-45-56
156.8000 CAPE MAY, COUNTY OF WHX211 MC
39-03-23 074-45-51

Vonnie
Be Proud of who you are

540. looking for Lynwood Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <396A9A01.731F500E@gte.net>
Source record: 85230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

looking for Lynwood, California Frequency since its not in my Book of Frequencies

541. Cellular Freq step size?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zane <zgorove@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8ke7ka$30u$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85231

What is the step between freqs in the cellular band?Just curios.

542. Re: Cellular Freq step size?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <6oxa5.31255$fR2.295348@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 85232

30

Bill Crocker

"Zane" <"zgorove"@(remove)erols.com> wrote in message
news:8ke7ka$30u$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> What is the step between freqs in the cellular band?Just curios.
>

543. Re: antennas on billboards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@mypants.primenet.com
Message-ID: <396ab5cb.16944948@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 85233

The technical term is "Gull Sweeper" and indeed they are there to
prevent birds from roosting (and pooping) on the billboards. Very
common in costal areas.

Sparky

>monte.carroll@nashville.com (Monte B. Carroll) hollered from the outhouse...
>
>>My wife and I just returned from Anaheim, California where I spotted
>>what appeared to be some type of rotating antenna on top of various
>>billboards. The antennas, two on each billboard I noticed, were
>>likely dipoles.
>

544. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <396ab181.25487477@news.usol.com>
Source record: 85234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I strongly *suspect* the reason UPS doesn't wait anymore (though skipping the doorbell is sloppy) is that it actually costs them less even considering theft/damage from letting it sit without waiting for you to answer the door.

As an example if Joe UPS driver waits for someone to answer the door at all his residential stops he wastes say an hour a day just waiting. Say he's making a total of $35.00 an hour in wages and benefits, that's $35.00 wasted per driver per day waiting.

If he just drops off the item and doesn't wait he saves the $35.00 but because of theft/damage/etc it costs UPS $10.00 in claims per driver per day on average. Net result is that UPS *saves* $25.00 per day per driver by *not* waiting.

My figures are just for an example +/- but I suspect some sharp bean-counter figured that one out quite a while back ;-)

On Mon, 10 Jul 2000 17:34:56 -0400, "Victor Healey" <fourphun<nospam>@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>UPS has for several years left expensive items outside with out even ringing
>the door bell in some cases. The driver seems to be in such a hurry. We have
>gone for several days before we noticed some items at the door since we use
>the garage more often than not.
>
>There used to be a store near here called Peachtree Salvage that had
>literally tons of items shipped by UPS that never made it to the
>destination. It had several branches. One could literally buy electronics
>for a fraction of their value until they got someone who had an idea of
>their real worth. I bought many a bargain from them. The point is that UPS
>lost so much that a chain of stores made a good business of selling stuff
>that never made it to the consignee.
>
>73 de
>ki4je
>
>
>
>
>
>"Benjamin Blavat" <bblavat@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl...

>> If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as
>> adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that gets,
>> the insurance.
>>
>> On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from them,
>> shipping laptops as well as ham gear.
>>
>> UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no
>signature!
>> Just left it and its rainy season!
>> --
>> 73's from W4BEN,
>> Benjamin Blavat
>
>

545. Re: help: HB232 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Sabo's <tahoegym@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <396AC675.75E1@pacbell.net>
Source record: 85235

petrow@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> The old dos program that came with the HB-232 develops more and more
> compatibility problems with each passing year. It runs on my older
> laptop but not the newer desktop. Your best option is to install
> Pro-Turbo and bypass all the problems of the old dos program.

Thanks for the response I was at a total loss. I have come to the conclusion that the new computer is probably too fast for the old program since it kinda ran for a few seconds just once. I've gone through everything and it seems to be hooked up correctly.

Can you please tell me a bit more:

Will Pro-Turbo run my 2006 with the HB-232 setup?
Where can I get Pro-Turbo?

TahoeGym@pacbell.net

546. Crystals online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bfeaa5$095f30c0$13b1b2d1@toast>
Source record: 85236

Anyone have a site they recommend for purchasing crystals? Is Ken's Electronics ok? I dug up an old Regency 10 ch. tabletop radio that seems to work fine but needs a few...my local FD is still VHF so any info would be helpful...

Also...I think the range on this thing is up to 470 only--I think it's an ACT-E-106 - so would that mean that a crystal with a freq of 470.500 wouldn't work? Sorry if it sounds dumb but I've never owned a unit this "archaic"...This thing's kinda cool though - reminds me of an old Crosley radio the family would sit around-the rolling red LEDs are like DISCO-hahaha

TIA
Rich

547. Re: Fire Dept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Mekka <richard@mekka.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8kemvd$s40$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 85237

David Fuller <drfuller@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3969F2DC.F48A9@hotmail.com...
> My friends,
>
> I know this is not related to this group But please bare with me.

Why, are you a nudist?

Richard
--

548. Re: looking for Lynwood Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bfeaac$6c112280$13b1b2d1@toast>
Source record: 85238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Their Fire is via "Downey Dispatch"-154.205 F1 and 154.250 F2-they are the
"30-series" units"-Their policing is done by LASD/Lynwood-483.2625

warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in article <396A9A01.731F500E@gte.net>...
> looking for Lynwood, California Frequency since its not in my Book of
> Frequencies
>
>

549. Radios For Sale]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Slingshot2000 <slingshot01@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <396AED66.7EDF9FA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 85239

the following items are for sale only SERIOUS REPLY'S
PLEASE

1- a motorola R-100 UHF 50 watt desk top repeater with duplexer and
dual tone panel
in very good working condition and computer programmable im
asking $1000 plus the
cost of shipping

2- i have a brand new Zetron 38max tone panel in very good condition
comes with
operating manuals im asking $500 pulse the cost of shipping

3- i have a large RX/TX pass band reject Duplexer in very good
condition im asking
$400 plus the cost of shipping

4- i have a motorola 35 watt 2 channel VHF mobile in very good
condition
computer programmable im asking $200 plus shipping

5- i have a motorola 50 watt UHF Spectra Mobile Base with Full Front
Display and
Key Pad with DES in very good condition it dose not cover the HAM
band it comes
with a DES key loader and cables im asking $1000 plus the cost of
shipping

all of the above items are in very good working order and are
in good to fair
condition i will not be taking any personal checks only US Postal
Money Orders i have
sold many items in the past with no problems and please don't ask me
to drop the price
because im going as low as i can with out givingit away and if you
live in the new york city
area you may stop by to look at the items first hand and you could
save on the shipping cost

550. EDACS in Bernalillo Cty NM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Smith <w6ter@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <396AD721.1938B66@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85240

Does someone know if the EDACS system used by the Bernalillo Cty Sheriff's Dept in New Mexico is digital? And, if so, is there a scanning product out there that will scan/decode/trunk track?

Gerry

551. OT?: HELP!! Weather Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <396B0368.B66D9635@ticon.net>
Source record: 85241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am not sure if this is the proper newsgroup for this.. I am not really
sure
there is:
Looking for a old weather radio that I had when I was young. It had
2 big
push buttons. 1 for alert and another for weather. It had 2 knobs,
1 for fine tuning or tuning and the other for volume. It said on the
radio : WEATHER EYE.
Any info is appreciated. Also I believe this to be a Motorola????
Anyone got this for sale??????
Chris

552. Need requencies for road trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com>
Message-ID: <fKDa5.63$dI5.13135@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85242

Hello,

I will be travelling next week from the Philadelphia area down route 13 to 164 to the Outer Banks of NC. I will pass through Delaware, Virginia, and possibly Maryland. I would appreciate any frequencies which I might monitor along my route to stay on top of traffic conditions (probably police). I have found the VA state police frequencies on the web but have not had much luck with the others. In addition, if anybody has any interesting air, marine or police frequencies that I might tune into when I was at the Outer Banks that would be appreciated as well.

Thanks in advance for any help,

--
Bob Mergner

553. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpamMe <no@spam.org>
Message-ID: <0E96C5CE591E5AFC.BDD0C48CD9011F2C.1794584E41670F39@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have shipped many large, heavy things through UPS and for the majority,
UPS has destroyed everything large or heavy I have shipped.
I have seen them literally take a 180lb case with computer equipment and roll it off the truck
onto the concrete below and break the case.
Also, when I was going to Devry electronic school, half of the students there worked for UPS
(that's an insult in itself as most Devry students are morons who real schools won't take).
They told me stories of destroying stuff like it is some sort of joke or it's funny.

554. UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <396B0977.4DED1FDA@qth.com>
Source record: 85244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

I have a collection of even worse stories all saved in my files here....if you are serious about it, lets get em!! Class action and the ACLU should be or the some other inexpensive lawyering could be rewarding......anyone with me? Murray
Mark Brown wrote:

> I know of an amplifier that was shipped ups (united parcel
> smashers). It was mounted on a 2x4 wood frame that was
> crated in another box, ups managed to tear the rf deck off
> the front panel of the amp, break the 2x4's (as in 2 x 4
> inch studs). They are refusing to pay the insurance claim
> since the amp wasn't properly packaged!
> Mark
>
> "Victor Healey
> >
> > UPS has for several years left expensive items outside with out even ringing
> > the door bell in some cases. The driver seems to be in such a hurry. We have
> > gone for several days before we noticed some items at the door since we use
> > the garage more often than not.
> >
> > There used to be a store near here called Peachtree Salvage that had
> > literally tons of items shipped by UPS that never made it to the
> > destination. It had several branches. One could literally buy electronics
> > for a fraction of their value until they got someone who had an idea of
> > their real worth. I bought many a bargain from them. The point is that UPS
> > lost so much that a chain of stores made a good business of selling stuff
> > that never made it to the consignee.
> >
> > 73 de
> > ki4je
> >
> > "Benjamin Blavat" <bblavat@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl...
> > > If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as
> > > adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that gets,
> > > the insurance.
> > >
> > > On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from them,
> > > shipping laptops as well as ham gear.
> > >
> > > UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no

> > signature!
> > > Just left it and its rainy season!
> > > --
> > > 73's from W4BEN,
> > > Benjamin Blavat
>
> --
> en24gp 6m through 1296, BBQ rules, icq 41823284

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

555. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpamMe <no@spam.org>
Message-ID: <A447671E421274C7.7A8CB374B904390F.D8DB9F7DE1502181@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85245

So just say that the model is -CE if it's cell enabled.

> All the best, Martin

556. Re: Police Call CD-ROM at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kj3mr$2s0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85047

Well... bought it on sale for 24.95.... Clunky and stupid and hard to handle.. still doesn't work
well!!!! Ther are better on the market that work smooth as silk. One prob is that the
makers DO NOT CARE that it doesn't work... they just want yoou money!!!!

Davismv <davismv@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000712021326.02130.00000257@ng-bd1.aol.com...
> Anyone have the $34.99 CD-ROM that is being sold at Radio Shack?? I'd love to
> pay to hear how good or how bad it is. E-mail me directly if you have it.
>
> Biff.

557. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <pA8b5.7933$493.1390704@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> wrote in message
news:396D0F5E.CC4C3FD6@inet4u.com...
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
> > that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual
also.
> > What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> You'll regret it! The computer will depreciate, possibly very rapidly.
The
> radio has lost most of it's depreciation and will continue to hold its
value
> from here on out.
>
> If you don't get a good offer soon let me know, I'll find a computer and
swap
> you!
>
> Al
>
>

I figure my Drake & speaker is worth about $600. That will buy a real
nice computer now days.

Bill K9KZ

558. Re: ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <m8ab5.705$ol5.536@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85049

That's right....go here for the facts
http://pantherco.dyndns.org/theinspector/......The Inspector

> There is....

> >>
> >>
> >
>

559. Re: ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner Bad link...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <2cab5.709$ol5.1210@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85050

```
B.J. <rftech@skyenet.net> wrote in message
news:m8ab5.705$ol5.536@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> That's right....go here for the facts
> http://pantherco.dyndns.org/theinspector/ Try that!
>
> > There is....
>
> > >>
> > >>
> > > >
> > >
>
>
```

560. Re: Good scanning magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: FROMUT@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28306-396D45B2-12@storefull-258.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85051

try my web page for more infomation & links to other sites?

http://community.webtv.net/FROMUT/UTAHSCANNERRADIOPAGE

561. Re: Where is another fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <utSa5.9050$V34.145067@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85097

That shows how little you really know!

"SAScheffey" <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote
> I still think that Morky, Corky, John, and Glenn are all one in the same.

562. Re: Corky is half a man! Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <CuSa5.9058$V34.145212@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You've jsut contradicted yourself sind the two are the same.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> Cheek is five times the man, lying on his death bed, than the Looney-Tune

563. Re: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Books 1 & 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <QvSa5.9072$V34.145210@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85099

I've seen them at $17 on Ebay & no one bid.

<FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> Willing to pay up to $10 for each book.

564. KB7PKC-Glenn Hansen making more trouble AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <EQSa5.9195$V34.146371@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn - You aren't supposed to be posting pictures (binaries) on
text based news groups. THAT is what he is referring to.

Of course, you love to cause trouble and that is why you have lost
so many internet accounts!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> What are you throwing a tantrum over Ricky? I reposted a set of 4 pictures

565. Police Call CD-ROM at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: davismv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000712021326.02130.00000257@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85101

Anyone have the $34.99 CD-ROM that is being sold at Radio Shack?? I'd love to pay to hear how good or how bad it is. E-mail me directly if you have it.

Biff.

566. Re: Police computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <396C36DC.23E1B2D8@pacbell.net>
Source record: 85102

Yes and No ...

Mike

Jim Bundy wrote:

> Our city has begun to get computer link in squad cars, just catching up with
> 21 century. Is there a way to decode this traffic and is it legal?

567. Re: Icom IC-R1 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <396C5018.6E1D1440@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 85103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'll be glad for you to copy my manual. K4AVO

Joseph wrote:

> I got an R1 on ebay but have no idea how to program it or use its function.
> Any manual, electronic or otherwise, or if anyone can give me basic
> instructions, would be greatly appreciated. Please post on this newsgroup or
> e-mail me.
> Thank you.

568. FS RS PRO-2039 Base 200 ch 800 mhz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Klodt <llklodt@home.com>
Message-ID: <2_Ya5.54541$dF.2141641@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 85104

I have lowered the price on my Radio Shack Pro-2039 200 channel 800 mhz scanner. THis is a cell blocked scanner, but is dual conversion and the cell calls can be easily heard on the "image" freqs. THis radio is in EXCELLANT CONDITION and has the manual and telescopic antenna. I have lowered the pric to $115 INCLUDING SHIPPING! I am selling this due to the fact that our county has gone to a trunked system. I also accept PayPal. Thanks for your time!
LARRY :-)

569. Re: FS: BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpoint@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <P4Za5.58969$_b3.1715846@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85105

$150

Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:396BB60D.499F79E2@uswest.net...
>
>
> AtticusJA wrote:
> >
> > This is a great scanner, in excellent condition. Been used exclusively
as a
> > desktop handheld, never gone outside. Damn battery won't hold a charge
though.
> > Covers 25-1300 MHz, with gaps as appropriate. 400 channels. Battery
charger,
> > rubber duck, whip antenna, hard case, manual included. First offer over
$100
> > BY EMAIL takes it.
> >
> > Josh
> > atticusja@aol.com
>
>
> $125
>
> If I got it email me where to send the $
>
> Greg
>

570. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 85106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife was home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that the shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2) lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that it took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost as if they used it to play tight rope walker.

On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was home). There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours before I went outside and found it.

I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not ring the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket advising me I have a package.

I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use alternate services.

Dave
WS2L

571. BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2fso <wa2fso@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <gXZa5.12788$EV6.326072@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 85107

FOR SALE: Uniden BCT 7 Beartracker 800 Mobile/Base More than 7000 Pre-Programmed Channels for all 50 States plus Canada, 100 Programmable Channel Mobile/Base Highway Information System,12 Band Coverage includes Aircraft & 800 MHz bands, 100 Channels, 12 Band Coverage, AC or DC Operation, Band Search, CB Monitor & Weather Scan, DOT & News Scan, Fire&Emergency Scan, Highway Patrol Alert, Highway Patrol Scan, Includes 800 MHz& Aircraft, Local Police Scan, Memory Backup, Mobile Mounting Kit, VFO Control Knob. About a 7, Has been Mobile, GoodCondition. $125 plus shipping.

Phone: 914-771-8653

Details at http://www.eham.net/classifieds/detail/6707

572. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
> Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife was
> home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the
> time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the
> antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
the
> shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
> lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that it
> took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost
as
> if they used it to play tight rope walker.
>
> On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
> TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was home).
> There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
before
> I went outside and found it.
>
> I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
ring
> the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket advising
> me I have a package.
>
> I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am
> going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
> alternate services.
>
> Dave
> WS2L

Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.

Bill K9KZ

573. FS/trade: Bearcat BC-101 collectable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <396C7C49.8475E989@flash.net>
Source record: 85109
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dbertone.vcf

This one is in real nice shape and has the original manual.

It was the FIRST user programmable scanner that used the scan buttons as dipswitches to program
using codes from the manual.

16 blinking lights are great to watch and you can lockout any channel using the switches.

It was the first scanner to do away with crystals.

Very collectable.

$150/bo or take a good regular scanner in trade or trade in as this one should be just sitting in a collectors
shelf.

Evereything works fine on it with no problems or burned out lights, loose switches or any other
problem.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

574. FS:ICOM IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamper@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <396C7C0E.C2A01C27@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85110
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have an IC-R7000 communications receiver which is considered by many to be top of the line,the rig is in excellent condition and comes with the original manual.
IC-R7000 specifications:
Frequency range= 25-1000MHz,1025-2000MHz
Frequency control= CPU based digital PLL synthesizer.Direct frequency entry.
Memories =99 tunable memories store frequency and mode.
Scanning=full scan,programed scan,mode selected scan,selected scan,memory channel scan,auto write programed scan & priority scan.
Frequency readout=7 digit fluorescent.
Frequency resolution=SSB,FM/AM,owner selectable 100Hz,1KHz,5KHz,10KHz,12.5KHz,25KHz all modes all bands.
Frequency stability==+or- 5ppm @-10c to +60c
Power supply=117 or 240 VAC
Receiving system=25-1000 MHz FM-N/AM/SSB triple conversion,FM double conversion:1025-2000 MHz,FM-N/AM/SSB quadruple conversion,FM-W triple conversion.

I will ship to the lower 48 for $700.00

Regards
Bob Stamper

575. INFO: BC780XLT users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <396C7CF0.EFEB7F61@flash.net>
Source record: 85111
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dbertone.vcf

Does anyone have this new scanner yet? If so please tell me what you do and don't like about it as I have one on order and would like to find out what you have discovered so far.

Maybe you can even post the details out here for everyone to see while you are sending it to me anyway.

THANKS! KK6AN as seen on www.qrz.com search for KK6AN and also see how you can get yourself listed on there.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

576. Re: Freq ranges for telescoping antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uJrup7A7$GA.347@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 85112

Sorry. That is the URL but it is coming up blank when I access it. Here is
the info.
Telescoping Antenna for Portable Scanners and Walkie Talkies
> (200-0006A) Receiving Faxback Doc. #
37207
>
> Using the Antenna For Receiving
>
> For best reception, adjust the antenna length as shown below.
>
> Frequency Antenna Length
> 25 - 50 MHz (VHF Low) Extend All Nine Sections
> 50 - 54 MHz (Ham)
> 108 - 136 MHz (Aircraft)
>
>
> 138 - 144 MHz (Gov't) Extend Only the Top Four
Sections
> 144 - 148 MHz (Ham)
> 148 - 174 MHz (VHF High)
>
>
> 220 MHz (ham) Extend Only 1 to 3 Lower
Sections
> 380 - 450 MHz (UHF Low)
> 470 - 512 MHz (UHF TV)
> 800 - 900 MHz
> 1296 MHz (Ham)
>
>
> (AV/EB 12/18/96)

577. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <396C8A3F.43D1@gwis.com>
Source record: 85113

JohnEMTB wrote:
>
> Paul/Jacki. thanks for the N/S rail pd freq. I have just programed all the rail
> freqs for the Cleveland area and was looking for it.
> John from Lake county

John,

Here are a couple more NS police freqs for the Cleveland area:
160.560, 160.680 (car to car), 160.545.

Also of interest is 160.410. It is the railroad shuttle bus channel for
the train crews.

Let me know if there are any other rail freq's you might need.

Paul

578. FA INTERMOD FILTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Wilkerson <dougw@frii.com>
Message-ID: <xa0b5.122$Ji.135469568@news.frii.net>
Source record: 85114

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=378609211

579. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net>
Message-ID: <396C9038.D0189F7A@ct2.nai.net>
Source record: 85115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

A friend shipped a camera double boxed, with styrofoal peanuts, with the outside box being a wine crate. UPS crunched the box to the point where the camera was mangled.

First UPS denied he bought insurance.
He showed them it was included on the receipt

Then they told him it was improperly packed
He showed them the two hefty boxes the peanuts, and the small size of the camera

Then they told him there was no coverage for used items because they had no way of knowing if it worked before it was shipped.

Drake may well have improved the odds UPS would pay a claim if your radio was damaged. Odds are UPS is not going to argue with them if they can say "This is the same box we always use, do we need to change shipping companies?"

I think the best thing you can do when shipping by UPS is make sure you put large red "Fragile" stickers on each of the 6 surfaces of the box.

For heavy items, you can shore up the box by lining the inside with masonite.

It's also a good idea to be friendly with your driver, and let him know when you're sending or expecting fragile items. This won't guarantee someone else won't mangle your package, but it makes it likely at least one of the people handling your package won't drop kick it.

As for bitching at your driver, consider how you'd feel if you got yelled at for something one of your coworkers did.

Bill wrote:

> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ

580. Re: ICF-SC1 Freq. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <3j0b5.54726$dF.2146069@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 85116

there is not a cell mod for this scanner. if there is, tell me.

"MOD SQUAD" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:uUva5.27907$aB6.127414@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> Just received the sony scanner for $89.00 plus shipping has sensitive
> receive and good channel rejection on all frequency's not has easy to
> program but once you get the hang of it it's okay. If your looking for
> 25mhz.-1300mhz minus cell freq. you can't beat the price.
> PS; There is a cell mod
>
>

581. Re: BC780XLT users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8ki3kjspd1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 85117

This was posted last Thursday to the scan-l list by Larry Van Horne at
Grove/Monitoring Times.

Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~ radios, cop stuff, LAPD, trains etc

Greetings all,

Just a quick update for all on a couple of new scanners coming down the
pike. I talked to the Undien folks today and the BC-780 has still not been
FCC type certified. They now indicate late fall at the earliest. Looks like
the 780 will be on Xmas list instead of the promised May/June delivery that
the Uniden people put out at the CES show. The new Icom R-3 has also not
been FCC type accepted. The Icom folks told me they expect to deliver their
product to market in the September/October timeframe (probably about the
same time frame as the BC-780). So now you have the latest info we have
direct from the horse's mouths. ;-)

73 all,

Larry Van Horn

N5FPW/NNN0JVP: Member-ARRL/Navy-MC MARS/10-10 Intl/Triode ARC
Monitoring Times Magazine - Grove Enterprises Publisher, Brasstown, NC
MT Assistant Editor-Fed File/Milcom/Scan Logs/Service Search Columnist
V-828-837-9200/F-828-837-2216/800-438-8155,
http://www.grove-ent.com

"Don Bertone" <dbertone@flash.net> wrote in message
news:396C7CF0.EFEB7F61@flash.net...
Does anyone have this new scanner yet? If so please tell me what you do
and don't like about it as I have one on order and would like to find
out what you have discovered so far.

Maybe you can even post the details out here for everyone to see while
you are sending it to me anyway.

THANKS! KK6AN as seen on www.qrz.com search for KK6AN and also see
how you can get yourself listed on there.

582. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpamMe <no@spam.org>
Message-ID: <32E03B4C42AF8525.F45498E006768E22.07FFD195F3F21052@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I think the UPS guys like the boxes with the large red fragile stickers on them the best as these are the ones most likely to get mangled.
I've had alot of things shipped through UPS and by the looks of it, people have intentionaly
thought it was funny to destroy my equipment, mainly by bending it or destroying the packaging.
I have found out one thing though, the smaller and lighter the package, the less chances of it being
destroyed are.
I had a rare musical keyboard shipped by UPS which weighed 40lbs. The keyboard litteraly showed up
with the package busted, peanuts falling out all over the place and the keyboard busted in many places
and a pile of keyboard parts and shrapnel at the bottom of the box.
I think UPS uses orangutans (those big, longhaired orange apes<sp>) for package handlers, and I think the
handlers enjoy seeing just how bad they can damage our packages.

583. FS: Uniden bc80xlt handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanfrequnl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000712131042.16571.00000336@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85119

Uniden Bearcat BC80XLT Programmable Handheld Scanner. Includes: comes in original box, 50 channels, 29-956mhz, 11 bands, band search, 5 priority channels, manual ch. access, fully programmable, memory backup, full frequency LCD, One touch weather, Low batt indicator, radio shack AC adaptor/charger (included), also can run on 4 AA batteries (not included), manuals (operating guide), rubber antenna, belt clip. Used only a few times. Great to hear Nascar, fire, ems, police, or weather. Also included is a copy of the 2000 Nascar Frequency List. We accept Credit Cards ONLY using paypal (visa/mastercard). $65.00. Buyer pays additional priority shipping of $4.30. This a great deal!

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/32577828
email: joescanner@hotmail.com

584. Mackey LOL HA HA Re: Where is Mackey? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <396CAED8.DE06EBDB@yo.com>
Source record: 85120

This is the funniest thing I've ever seen. Mackey does
look like Corky but doesn't have the intelligence of
Corky. LOL HA HA HA.
You can tell this bugs Mackey to no end because he
doesn't have his usual smart ass replies. LOL

Glenn wrote:

> There you go David.
>
> David <no@mail> wrote in message
> news:78jjmss9o60aufj4icc1mpqb6cjvt1135k@4ax.com...
> > Charles:
> >
> > >On Mon, 03 Jul 2000 12:05:42 -0400, Chris Burke <mackey@is.corky> wrote:
> > >
> > >>"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>I'm right here!
> > >>
> > >>WHERE??
> > >>
> > >>Please show me where you are in this photo. I can't figure out which
> one is
> > >>you!!!
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >Oh, this is TOO funny!!!!! LOL!!!
> >
> > Hey, I missed it! Can somebody repost it?
>
> [Image]

585. Re: Where is Mackey? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <396CAF10.2B9D0416@yo.com>
Source record: 85121

I vote for Corky # 1?

Glenn wrote:

> There you go David.
>
> David <no@mail> wrote in message
> news:78jjmss9o60aufj4icc1mpqb6cjvt1135k@4ax.com...
> > Charles:
> >
> > >On Mon, 03 Jul 2000 12:05:42 -0400, Chris Burke <mackey@is.corky> wrote:
> > >
> > >>"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>I'm right here!
> > >>
> > >>WHERE??
> > >>
> > >>Please show me where you are in this photo. I can't figure out which
> one is
> > >>you!!!
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >Oh, this is TOO funny!!!!! LOL!!!
> >
> > Hey, I missed it! Can somebody repost it?
>
> [Image]

586. Re: KB7PKC-Glenn Hansen making more trouble AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <396CAF9E.C922E358@yo.com>
Source record: 85122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I BET you don't want these pictures posted because you're
busted Corky. Ever think of trying to be Corky's double.
I bet that would pay better than janitorial work. LOL

J O H N wrote:

> Glenn - You aren't supposed to be posting pictures (binaries) on
> text based news groups. THAT is what he is referring to.
>
> Of course, you love to cause trouble and that is why you have lost
> so many internet accounts!
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > What are you throwing a tantrum over Ricky? I reposted a set of 4 pictures

587. Re: Corky is half a man! Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gogo@yo.com
Message-ID: <396CAFDB.BAFE9E05@yo.com>
Source record: 85123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Amen. Corky beats up people with cancer. You don't get
much lower than that. Except child molestation.

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Cheek is five times the man, lying on his death bed, than the Looney-Tune
> will ever live to be.....
>
> <gogo@yo.com> wrote in message news:396B547A.83357B2B@yo.com...
> > You are a cocksucker that just can't quit. Stick a cork in it
> > Corky.
> >
> > J O H N wrote:
> >
> > > Well said, Len!
> > >
> > > Now is the time for forgive & forget the unhappy actions which were
> > > committed
> > > in the past by the person you mentioned. He is now in his darkest hour.
> I
> > > have
> > > asked my prayer group to say prayers for him & his family. I urge
> others
> > > to consider similar actions. The good book says to forgive regardless
> of
> > > the
> > > action, & I think he should receive our forgiveness.
> > >
> > > "Len G. Carpenter" <jabba@iaw.com> wrote
> > > > Not doing well at all, that's all I am going to say about.
> > > > I know some of the people in these groups did not get along with him
> > > > but it would be nice if you would send some cards or e-mails with well
> > > > wishes for him, I don't believe he will see the new millennium come.
> >
> >
> >

588. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <XE1b5.149$g4.27475@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 85124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

How about shipping via FEDEX or some other company that is actually in the shipping business.

Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net> wrote in message news:396C9038.D0189F7A@ct2.nai.net...
> A friend shipped a camera double boxed, with styrofoal peanuts, with the
> outside box being a wine crate. UPS crunched the box to the point where the
> camera was mangled.
>
> First UPS denied he bought insurance.
> He showed them it was included on the receipt
>
> Then they told him it was improperly packed
> He showed them the two hefty boxes the peanuts, and the small size of the
> camera
>
> Then they told him there was no coverage for used items because they had no way
> of knowing if it worked before it was shipped.
>
> Drake may well have improved the odds UPS would pay a claim if your radio was
> damaged. Odds are UPS is not going to argue with them if they can say "This is
> the same box we always use, do we need to change shipping companies?"
>
> I think the best thing you can do when shipping by UPS is make sure you put
> large red "Fragile" stickers on each of the 6 surfaces of the box.
>
> For heavy items, you can shore up the box by lining the inside with masonite.
>
> It's also a good idea to be friendly with your driver, and let him know when
> you're sending or expecting fragile items. This won't guarantee someone else
> won't mangle your package, but it makes it likely at least one of the people
> handling your package won't drop kick it.
>
> As for bitching at your driver, consider how you'd feel if you got yelled

at
> for something one of your coworkers did.
>
>
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> > I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it
comes
> > from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> > original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged
me
> > for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> > packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> > whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>

589. Re: FS: Uniden bc80xlt handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rasn@hormel.makes.the.best.SPAMclamper.com
Message-ID: <396cb28f.1045611609@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 85125

On 12 Jul 2000 17:10:42 GMT, scanfrequnl@aol.com (Scanfrequnl) wrote:

BASICALLY THE SAME S**t THAT HE SPAMMED TO REC.AUTOS.SPORT.NASCAR AND REC.AUTOS.SPORT.CART:

Mr. Bun: Morning.

Waitress: Morning.

Mr. Bun: Well, what you got?

Waitress: Well, there's egg and bacon; egg, sausage and bacon; egg and spam; egg, bacon and spam; egg, bacon, sausage and spam; spam, bacon, sausage and spam; spam, egg, spam, spam, bacon and spam; spam, sausage, spam, spam, spam, bacon, spam, tomato and spam; spam, spam, spam, egg and spam; (Vikings start singing in background) spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, baked beans, spam, spam, spam and spam.

Vikings: Spam, spam, spam, spam, lovely spam, lovely spam.

Waitress: (cont) or lobster thermador ecrovets with a bournaise sause, served in the purple salm Mr. Bunor with chalots and overshies, garnished with truffle pate, brandy, a fried egg on top and spam.

Mrs. Bun: Have you got anything without spam?

Waitress: Well, there's spam, egg, sausage and spam. That's not got much spam in it.

Mrs. Bun: I don't want any spam!

Mr. Bun: Why can't she have egg, bacon, spam and sausage?

Mrs. Bun: That's got spam in it.

Mr. Bun: It hasn't got as much spam in it as spam, egg, sausage and spam has it?

Mrs. Bun: (over Vikings starting again) Could you do me egg, bacon, spam and sausage without the spam then?

Waitress: Ech!

Mrs. Bun: What do you mean ech! I don't like spam!

Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam....etc

Waitress: Shut up! Shut up! Shut up! Bloody vikings. You can't have egg, bacon, spam and sausage without the spam.

Mrs. Bun: I don't like spam!

Mr. Bun: Shh dear, don't cause a fuss. I'll have your spam. I love it. I'm having spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, baked beans, spam, spam, spam and spam. (starts Vikings off again)

Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam...etc

Waitress: Shut up! Baked beans are off.

Mr. Bun: Well, can I have her spam instead of the baked beans?

Waitress: You mean spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, and spam?

Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam...etc...spam, spam, spam! (in harmony)

-NK

590. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net>
Message-ID: <396CB69A.BC18BF0B@ct2.nai.net>
Source record: 85126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

NoSpamMe wrote: (Snip)

I have found out one thing though, the smaller and lighter the package, the less chances of it being

> destroyed are.

Perhaps because there is more competition for smaller and lighter packages from priority mail.

Priority mail is actually pretty good.

591. Re: FS: Uniden bc80xlt handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <1047f56c.d268e87d@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85127

I would consider YOUR message more a SPAM message than the
original message to this thread.

He started the subject line with FS: which clearly shows that he
has an item FOR SALE. This is acceptable in most newsgroups.
YOU can set your newsgroup reader to filter out any FS: messages
or FA: for auctions.

Lighten' up.

rasn@hormel.makes.the.best.SPAMclamper.com (Big Nick) wrote:
>On 12 Jul 2000 17:10:42 GMT, scanfrequnl@aol.com (Scanfrequnl)
wrote:
>
>BASICALLY THE SAME S**t THAT HE SPAMMED TO
REC.AUTOS.SPORT.NASCAR AND
>REC.AUTOS.SPORT.CART:
>
>Mr. Bun: Morning.
>
>Waitress: Morning.
>
>Mr. Bun: Well, what you got?
>
>Waitress: Well, there's egg and bacon; egg, sausage and bacon;
egg and
>spam; egg, bacon and spam; egg, bacon, sausage and spam; spam,
bacon,
>sausage and spam; spam, egg, spam, spam, bacon and spam; spam,
sausage,
>spam, spam, spam, bacon, spam, tomato and spam; spam, spam,
spam, egg
>and spam; (Vikings start singing in background) spam, spam,
spam, spam,
>spam, spam, baked beans, spam, spam, spam and spam.
>
>Vikings: Spam, spam, spam, spam, lovely spam, lovely spam.
>
>Waitress: (cont) or lobster thermador ecrovets with a bournaise
sause,
>served in the purple salm Mr. Bunor with chalots and overshies,
>garnished with truffle pate, brandy, a fried egg on top and
spam.
>
>Mrs. Bun: Have you got anything without spam?

>
>Waitress: Well, there's spam, egg, sausage and spam. That's not
got much
>spam in it.
>
>Mrs. Bun: I don't want any spam!
>
>Mr. Bun: Why can't she have egg, bacon, spam and sausage?
>
>Mrs. Bun: That's got spam in it.
>
>Mr. Bun: It hasn't got as much spam in it as spam, egg, sausage
and spam
>has it?
>
>Mrs. Bun: (over Vikings starting again) Could you do me egg,
bacon, spam
>and sausage without the spam then?
>
>Waitress: Ech!
>
>Mrs. Bun: What do you mean ech! I don't like spam!
>
>Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam....etc
>
>Waitress: Shut up! Shut up! Shut up! Bloody vikings. You can't
have egg,
>bacon, spam and sausage without the spam.
>
>Mrs. Bun: I don't like spam!
>
>Mr. Bun: Shh dear, don't cause a fuss. I'll have your spam. I
love it.
>I'm having spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, baked
beans, spam,
>spam, spam and spam. (starts Vikings off again)
>
>Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam...etc
>
>Waitress: Shut up! Baked beans are off.
>
>Mr. Bun: Well, can I have her spam instead of the baked beans?
>
>Waitress: You mean spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam, spam,
spam, spam,
>spam, spam, and spam?
>
>Vikings: Lovely spam, wonderful spam...etc...spam, spam, spam!
(in
>harmony)

>
>
>-NK
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

592. Re: Warning About Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: eddiecarroll@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11836-396CBA7A-19@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85128

Save yourself some trouble, do not use RPS. I ordered a mobile antenna for myself, upon its arrival, 3 1/2 weeks from time it left the factory, RPS finally dropped it off. When it arrived the outside cardboard tube was crushed and heavily taped, when i cut the tube open the antenna had been broke and the board (1 1/2" thick) had been snapped in several places. My current employer now ships our paychecks by RPS and they send them out 1 week early just so we can get them on time, this does not count the times they have been left <without a signature>, got soaking wet or other problems.

UPS had a habit of "leaving" my packages outside when no one was hope when I complained they started delivering them to my neighbor who "misplaced them" or opened them. Upon several IRATE phone calls and BI**H sessions my problem is now solved. I do not ship or receive, if possible, anything from UPS>>

593. Re: RS232 for FRG9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hans <hansf@introweb.nl>
Message-ID: <963428972.325889@tbird.introweb.nl>
Source record: 85129

Robert De Armond <robertd1@home.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
itR95.63561$T9.493239@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> Does anyone out there no where I can find a RS232 interface for my Yaesu
> FRG9600.
>
> I have a Pentium III 800MHz I will be connecting to if that makes a
> difference.
>
> Thanks,
> Robert
>

Look at
http://members.tripod.com/~brossi/index.htm
for a RS-232 interface and other '9600 stuff...

Greetings,

Hans

594. Request Fremont, CA public safety freqs, please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Brown <warrenb@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <396CC727.B716EF43@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 85130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'd appreciate it very much if someone who has this info could send it to me. My guess is that there was a move to the 800s sometime in the not-too-distant past. If so, is it a trunked system?

TIA,

Warren in Fremont

P.S. Mike H. ...you still out there? ;-)

595. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: skizziks_phx@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kiipd$22t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
>

>>> The good book says . . .

> I never mentioned the name of ANY person or supreme being in any of
the
> messages leading up to this one.

2Timothy 3:16 - "All Scripture is God-breathed"

2Peter 1:20-21 - "Above all, you must understand that no prophecy of Scripture came about by the prophet's own interpretation. [21] For prophecy never had its origin in the will of man, but men spoke from God as they were carried along by the Holy Spirit."

Or were you refering to another "good book?"

And no, John, I am not "trying to get your goat" anymore. I'm trying to educate. Sit down and read that "good book" sometime, John. There is a lot you could learn about life.

May God bless you and keep you - and Bill Cheek, too.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

596. To webmasters from scannersites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webhosting <publishing@net>
Message-ID: <396cd2c3$0$45136@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 85132

Webmasters from scannersites all over the world, add your site NOW on
http://www.topsitelists.com/bestsites/pjcarels/topsites.html

597. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <xG4b5.11486$TI1.1392525@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net> wrote in message
news:396C9038.D0189F7A@ct2.nai.net...
> A friend shipped a camera double boxed, with styrofoal peanuts, with the
> outside box being a wine crate. UPS crunched the box to the point where
the
> camera was mangled.
>
> First UPS denied he bought insurance.
> He showed them it was included on the receipt
>
> Then they told him it was improperly packed
> He showed them the two hefty boxes the peanuts, and the small size of the
> camera
>
> Then they told him there was no coverage for used items because they had
no way
> of knowing if it worked before it was shipped.
>
> Drake may well have improved the odds UPS would pay a claim if your radio
was
> damaged. Odds are UPS is not going to argue with them if they can say
"This is
> the same box we always use, do we need to change shipping companies?"

Actually I forgot to mention that Drake recommends shipping via UPS on their
web site.

Bill K9KZ

>
> I think the best thing you can do when shipping by UPS is make sure you
put
> large red "Fragile" stickers on each of the 6 surfaces of the box.
>
> For heavy items, you can shore up the box by lining the inside with
masonite.
>
> It's also a good idea to be friendly with your driver, and let him know
when
> you're sending or expecting fragile items. This won't guarantee someone
else
> won't mangle your package, but it makes it likely at least one of the
people
> handling your package won't drop kick it.

>
> As for bitching at your driver, consider how you'd feel if you got yelled
at
> for something one of your coworkers did.
>
>
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> > I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it
comes
> > from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> > original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged
me
> > for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> > packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> > whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>

598. Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <3L4b5.11490$TI1.1393345@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual also.
What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.

Bill K9KZ

599. Re: Freq ranges for telescoping antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <396CCF18.44EE4498@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 85135

You have a 1/4 wave antenna and the formula for it is 246 devided by the frequency in MHz. The results will be in feet and you need to convert that to inches. That number is modified by the diameter of the antenna, but should be close enough. Around 18 inches for 150 mhz, 6 inches for 450.

hmf4 wrote:
>
> I have a 20-006 telescoping antenna from RS.
> Can someone tell me the different extensions to raise
> and lower for different frequency bands?
>
> I forget.... Thanks

600. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8kinfh$eq2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Hmmm...isn't this a newsgroup about radio scanners? Where did all the
righteous religious bullshit come from?! There are plenty of other groups
for that kinda talk...

skizziks_phx@my-deja.com wrote in message <8kiipd$22t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
>>
>
>>>> The good book says . . .
>
>
>> I never mentioned the name of ANY person or supreme being in any of
>the
>> messages leading up to this one.
>
>
>
>2Timothy 3:16 - "All Scripture is God-breathed"
>
>2Peter 1:20-21 - "Above all, you must understand that no prophecy of
>Scripture came about by the prophet's own interpretation. [21] For
>prophecy never had its origin in the will of man, but men spoke from God
>as they were carried along by the Holy Spirit."
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Or were you refering to another "good book?"
>
>And no, John, I am not "trying to get your goat" anymore. I'm trying to
>educate. Sit down and read that "good book" sometime, John. There is a
>lot you could learn about life.
>
>May God bless you and keep you - and Bill Cheek, too.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

601. AR State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: conductorj@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000712173952.23361.00000271@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 85137

I would appreciate anyone familiar with th Arkansas State Police helping me to locate thier frequency. Ihave been trying unsuccesfully but the frequencies I have contain no traffic. Which frequncy is used for control? I live in Sebastian County(Troop H). Any help would be appreciated. Thanks,

Caleb

602. Which Case is best for AOR 8200MK2B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Clark <jetguy1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <396CE58C.524102EF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 85138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Which case is best for the AOR 8200 MK2B? I understand that there is a
hard leather and a soft case available.
Appreciate your opinions!

603. FCC ID for Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Surface Mount <surface@mount.com>
Message-ID: <8kipek$pk5$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 85139

Can someone please post the FCC ID for an Alinco DJ-X10.

Thanks,
Will

604. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <396cebec_2@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 85140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

BiIl,

Think about this, will you? Computers are getting cheaper and
cheaper, but they don't make Drake R8's anymore and, if you've got one in
good shape and enjoy SWL...keep it. You can probably scout around and find
a cheap computer that will suit you.

Paul, KC2FNC

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:3L4b5.11490$TI1.1393345@nntp2.onemain.com...
> I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
> that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual also.
> What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

605. American Le Mons Freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: holtzman@csus.edu
Message-ID: <396cf1fc1ubygmzna$mr2ice@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 85141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for the "official's" frequencys for ALMS. PLs too, if you have
them. I have some freqs, but not all of them.

Please e-mail if you have them.

tia - jrh

--

James Holtzman N6NRA (916) 487-9712 - EMT -Chief SCCA Emergency Services
holtzman@csus.edu - Calif. State University, Sacramento
"What this country needs is less people telling us what this country needs"
---

606. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000712185659.03421.00000229@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85142

In article <396CB69A.BC18BF0B@ct2.nai.net>, Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net>
writes:

>Priority mail is actually pretty good.

That's because the Post Office (federal government) doesn't deliver it. They
contract Priority Mail out to a private company.

607. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Web_Jockey@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19045-396CF42A-61@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85143

I am having trouble understanding how to program trunked frequencies into my Radio Shack PRO-92 scanner. Can anyone help? Post or e-mail me, please.

608. Re: HELP , Need fq.'s for Disney World Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted <miamitedNOSPAM@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GL7b5.5617$Qo5.1914177@news4.mia>
Source record: 85144

http://www.angelfire.com/fl3/miamiscanner/

--
<!-- Begin PayPal Logo --><A
HREF="https://secure.paypal.com/auction/pal=miamited%40bellsouth.net"
target="_blank"><IMG
SRC="http://www.paypal.com/images/account/banners/logo1.gif" BORDER="0"
ALT="Make payments with PayPal - it's fast, free and secure!"></A><!-- End
PayPal Logo -->

reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:59Ra5.17409$ez.120543@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/disnywld.html
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
>
> "Bob Soto" <bobs@i-2000.com> wrote in message
> news:XsOa5.417$bg.11277@newsreader.i-2000.net...
> > Hi , I'm looking for fq.'s for Disney World
> > Fla. Are their any GMRS repeaters , 800mhz.conventional or LTR,
> >
> > Thanks inadvance
> > bob
> > bobs@i-2000.com
> >
> >
>
>

609. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <24b955de.2f54058e@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85145

What are you talking about? USPS accepts the package and a USPS
employee delivers it to my house...Are you saying someone else
handles it in between? What is you source?

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:
>In article <396CB69A.BC18BF0B@ct2.nai.net>, Mark Holden
<msholden@ct2.nai.net>
>writes:
>
>>Priority mail is actually pretty good.
>
>That's because the Post Office (federal government) doesn't
deliver it. They
>contract Priority Mail out to a private company.
>
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

610. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <396D0F5E.CC4C3FD6@inet4u.com>
Source record: 85146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: arp.vcf

Bill wrote:

> I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
> that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual also.
> What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.
>
> Bill K9KZ

You'll regret it! The computer will depreciate, possibly very rapidly. The radio has lost most of it's depreciation and will continue to hold its value from here on out.

If you don't get a good offer soon let me know, I'll find a computer and swap you!

Al

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

611. CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000711202005.12134.00000102@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 85183

Found the CHP fixed-wing aircraft using 42.34 to locate speeders on I-80 westbound between Applegate and Clipper Gap. The cruisers were using Tac-1, Tac-2, etc. ID's. I thought they had switched frequencies since I hadn't heard much speed enforcement activity on that channel lately. Have not heard any traffic on the 45.xx listed freqs. Reno travelers beware!!

612. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9jenms46iot5fnfudg5v1729q0ps6ftrtl@4ax.com>
Source record: 85184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I live on the second story and watched the UPS driver stop at the
bottom of my stairs and drop the box my new R8500 was in from waist
height. He looked up and say me and said that he was saving the stairs
for last (He had another small package to deliver to another
apartment). The R8500 seems to work ok, I guess thanks to ICOM
packaging. The driver had come the day before and left the little
yellow note.

Bradley Althouse KJ6AH
keebird@hotmail.com

On Tue, 11 Jul 2000 13:19:55 -0700, "John P. Franklin"
<jpfrankl@teleport.com> wrote:

>You have confirmed what I have suspected for a long time now, regarding UPS.
>They are just plain lazy BASTARDS! What if the item is one of a kind and
>worth $495.00? I'm supposed to just eat the cost, seeing as how their claims
>department SUCKS! You might be able to tell I have had some BAD dealings
>with UPS. USPS isn't much better for packages......
>
>Pat
>

613. Re: Need requencies for road trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: van <vanr1@home.com>
Message-ID: <RxPa5.20067$661.2886019@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 85185

Bob,

You can check this page: The Hampton Roads Scanner Page or to narrow it down a bit: VSP and The Outer Banks

Hope this helps,
Van

"Bob Mergner" <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com> wrote in message news:fKDa5.63$dI5.13135@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello,
>
> I will be travelling next week from the Philadelphia area down route 13 to
> 164 to the Outer Banks of NC. I will pass through Delaware, Virginia, and
> possibly Maryland. I would appreciate any frequencies which I might monitor
> along my route to stay on top of traffic conditions (probably police). I
> have found the VA state police frequencies on the web but have not had much
> luck with the others. In addition, if anybody has any interesting air,
> marine or police frequencies that I might tune into when I was at the Outer
> Banks that would be appreciated as well.
>
> Thanks in advance for any help,
>
> --
> Bob Mergner
>
>

614. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kgin8jcs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85186

CONFIRMED TODAY IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.....TAC-17 IS 154.430

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

615. ForSale Pro2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000711215756.12134.00000109@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 85187

I have a pro2042 for sale in EXCELLENT condition i am selling for 300.00(nego)
i have the manul but no box for it, please email me privately if interested
buyer pays shipping
thanks gregg

616. NEW frequency for Guttenberg, N.J. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <396bc324.20148681@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for the NEW frequency for the Guttenberg, N.J. Police Department. They used to be on 159.090 with a PL of 167.9, but have moved to a UHF frequency which is not yet in the database.

Thanks in advance for the help....

Dan

617. Re: CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kgl8qlbd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85189

THE CHP ARE STILL USING THE 45.02 FREQ TO DIRECT GROUND UNITS ON HIGHWAY 395, NEAR HWY 58. I DO NOT NORMALLY HEAR MUCH ON THAT FREQ, A BIT OF A DISTANCE FROM WHERE I LIVE.....WAS NOT ABLE TO HEAR ANY GROUND UNITS. MOST SPEEDERS WERE 90+ ACCORDING TO THE AIRCRAFT! WAS DIRECTING GROUND UNITS FROM THE ON-RAMPS. BE CAREFUL! ANY OTHER REPORTS FOR THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

618. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners, etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: o2bfunny@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000711222859.17559.00000145@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 85190

I listed a Radio Shack PRO-43 myself. Sigh...

619. Re: Where is Mackey? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000711223250.13555.00000175@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 85191

I still think that Morky, Corky, John, and Glenn are all one in the same.

620. Re: My sincere apologies... follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: o2bfunny@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000711223812.17559.00000152@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 85192

Well, I was never able to "expunge" this black mark from my record. I was sent the radio newsgroup charters by AOL and still couldn't find anything prohibiting a "FS" or "FA" post. Believe me, I despise spam as much as anybody and don't necessarily like going through a newsgroup to read ten posts from the same person either advertising the same item or a different item for each subject. I simply wanted to let someone else enjoy a pristine PRO-43 scanner with all the goodies to get somebody started.

I sent various e-mails to try and get this straightened out, but they told me "tough luck." I even sent them an e-mail with all of the FS and FA auctions listed currently and guess what they wrote back? "In order to process your report accurately, we need complete information including:

1. Copy and paste of the post that is offensive from the subject line to the bottom of the post. Please include all header information available.

2. The newsgroup in which the post was found.

3. The member you are reporting.

Please respond to this e-mail with complete and accurate information." They thought I wanted to turn somebody in!! It's funny, because I have been with AOL since they were DOS based and have been on eBay over four years with no bad feedbacks. Now I feel like a leper...

Thanks for all of the replies, and happy scanning!

Chris

"Usenet is like a herd of performing elephants with diarrhea -- massive, difficult to redirect, awe-inspiring, entertaining, and a source of mind-boggling amounts of excrement when you least expect it."
-- Gene "spaf" Spafford

621. Re: Cleveland,ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnemtb@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000711224825.13758.00000129@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 85193

Paul/Jacki. thanks for the N/S rail pd freq. I have just programed all the rail freqs for the Cleveland area and was looking for it.
John from Lake county

622. PRO-2048 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scoa@juno.com
Message-ID: <8kgn7imjm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85194

I am looking for mods that can be done to the PRO-2048.

Thanks
John

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

623. Re: HELP , Need fq.'s for Disney World Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <59Ra5.17409$ez.120543@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85195

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/disnywld.html

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Bob Soto" <bobs@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:XsOa5.417$bg.11277@newsreader.i-2000.net...
> Hi , I'm looking for fq.'s for Disney World
> Fla. Are their any GMRS repeaters , 800mhz.conventional or LTR,
>
> Thanks inadvance
> bob
> bobs@i-2000.com
>
>

624. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <7bSa5.8981$V34.142410@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<skizziks_phx@my-deja.com> wrote
snip
> behavior here has been the most unChristian behavior I have ever seen.
> I admit mine has too. I ask God for His forgiveness for my even
snip

OK, you've made a good start!!

> Ultimately, you, Mr. Mackey, will answer to God, too. Is this how you
> want to stand before Him on your Judgement Day - having invoked His name

I never mentioned the name of ANY person or supreme being in any of the
messages leading up to this one. YOU were the one who did that!

> No more will I make a deliberate effort to "get your goat" as it were.

You've promissed that many times before.

> You've crossed a very serious line, bringing God into this petty dispute

I never did mention or bring in at all!

625. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thegeek@wwa.com
Message-ID: <thegeek-1307001841270001@192.168.1.1>
Source record: 84997

In article <0fd77cb1.4ae52cb7@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>, RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote:

> I personally don't like UPS. I remember when I was parked at
> the customer counter waiting for someone to ship an item, I saw
> a UPS worker take a box MARKED FRAGILE and toss it from the
> conveyer belt into the back of a truck. The distance was about
> 15 feet.
>

The company I work for does some of the evaluation and repair of a few types of electronic goods for UPS. It is really frightening what they can do. I could fill an entire book with some of the "How the hell did they do that?" stories.

The joke around the shop when someone is in the market for something new is, "Make sure you ship the old one UPS!"

jay

626. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <396E9A00.81FE8875@netdoor.com>
Source record: 84998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Nothing is wrong with it. However, you can buy one for less than 1/2
that retail from a real store with real warranty, etc. etc.

RanHefner wrote:

> Now I am confused. What is ILLEGAL about a scanner that
> recieves all freqs allowed by the FCC?
>
> Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >WB3KUM/9 wrote:
> >>
> >> E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note
> to
> >> safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull
> the listing
> >> in 24 hours.
> >>
> >>
> >Re read the sellers statement, All Frequencies Allowed by the
> FCC. This
> >is just a non PC version of the Sony scanner that is available
> through
> >other net sources for under $100. The guy is just trying to
> get a huge
> >price for a scanner worth much less that his price.
> Fortunately it
> >appears that no one has bit yet.
> >
> >GR
> >
> >
>
> Randy A. Hefner
> http://talk.to/frs
> http://go.to/qx3
> http://come.to/funnynews
>
> --
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com

627. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <396E9D57.2E07CAC1@netdoor.com>
Source record: 84999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an thatsa big ten-rodney gud buddy. There will allways be one born every 60 seconds who would rather pay a super inflated price and then get the promissed 20% discount.
Kinda the way my lovely and gifted daughter was at 14, "look how much I saved Dad." We have fun with that now that I have school age grand-children by her. We passed Hooper Electronics not long ago and she says to me, "lets stop in here and get some bargains Dad, it's your turn to buy." Again already?"

Butch KF5DE

Jabroni wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:01:41 GMT, w2upX@mindspring.com (Barry) wrote:
>
> >If someone's dumb enough to bid without checking a reasonable price
> >elsewhere, too bad for him. This is a new variant on the pileup
> >police!
>
> It's one thing if people bid the price up to $250 for a $100 radio,
> and another if you start an auction at $250 for a $100 radio, and tell
> people it's a "hot price", and "visit my website and I'll give you 20%
> off the great price".

628. Re: WFM FM and AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <396E5011.D82594E@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 85000

They are differant modes of puting modulation (audio) on a radio wave to put it loosely.

WFM is usually used for the FM broadcast band from about 88 to 106 MHz. You start with a signal on a single frequency and as you put audio on it, the signal will vary plus and minus 200 khz from that frequency. The WFM is Wide Frequency Modulation. Most of the public service, police is narrow band FM. That is 5 khz on each side of the center frequency. If in the wfm and you try to receive the stations out of the fm broadcast band, the audio will be very weak and several stations will seem to be on the same frequency. If you try to use the FM (narrow FM) on the FM broadcast band the audio will be very distorted.

AM is amplitude modulation. It is usually used in the aircraft band from about 107 to 137 MHz. Also the standard broadcast band form about 550 khz to 1.7 mhz.

If the mode is wrong for the station you are trying to recieve, then the audio will be weak , distorted , or both.

The TV stations also use FM for the audio portion of the signal. It is set at 25 khz deviation. YOu can try setting the scanner for WFM and you should be able to hear the TV audio. Don't recall the audio frequencies off hand, but the TV signal is some 6 MHZ wide and in that 25 khz is used for the audio. Sort of a signal in a signal.

Adam Conrad wrote:
>
> At the risk of sounding like a total newbie, what is the difference between
> these on my handheld scanner. The model I am using doesn't have a very good
> description (or a description on them at all).
>
> Thanks
> Adam

629. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kloct$u6g$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Well DARN!! They talked you out of it.. just as I was about to offer a
nice shiny 386 66mhz computer with a 14 " CGA monitor and a 2400
baud modem!! Even has WP for DOS ver 5.0 already loaded.

If you change your mind, let me know. So far no one is banging on
my door for the 386!
Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:3L4b5.11490$TI1.1393345@nntp2.onemain.com...
> I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
> that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual also.
> What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

630. Re: Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <18ca14fe.b9de93e8@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85002

Radio Shack sells another antenna that is probably as good as
the discone. It is 20-044 (I think). It is the same antenna as
the "Scantenna". It is a multi-element vertical dipole. Comes
with antenna, coax and adapter. All for $40.

rustybuc@my-deja.com wrote:
>pat I use radio shack 20-043 discone 25 ft up with 40 ft' of
rg8x
>coax.
>Works great.
>Some guy sells good looking discone on ebay dutch auction for
about $40
>Be sure to get one with vertical whip on top.
>I replaced whip with a 5 ft one and get much better uvh now.
>Hope this helps.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <3969E0F8.43B67340@nb.sympatico.ca>,
> patfusk@nb.sympatico.ca wrote:
>> What's a good external antenna for R S PRO 2045 scanner??
also a
>mobile
>> for same??? Don't want to spend a fortune. Thanks
>>
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!

http://www.keen.com

631. Problem with Pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5011-396E68AD-67@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 85003

My neighbor just gave me a Realistic Pro-2022 scanner. I'm having a problem with it though. When I turn it on, say to the weather frequencies all I hear is static and then I decided to just leave the scanner on for a while and about after a 1 hour period of it being on it started receiving a signal. Then about 15 minutes after working just fine, it then just went back to nothing but static and none of the frequencies would work, and I couldn't get it to work again after that. I'm thinking that the PLL loop is out of adjustment. Can anyone give me any advise on what to do to my scanner to make it work properly. Please e-mail me if you have any information about this. Thanks.

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

632. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <Vxub5.687$RX5.693@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I had a 10m amateur rig shipped UPS. They left it on the front step and it
was stolen before I arrived home. After a week of waiting, I called the
company I purchased it from and they said it had been delivered! They also
said the unit had "Kenwood" right on the box...an invitation for the
thieves! UPS had to pay for a replacement unit....this time they made sure
they HAND DELIVERED it to me...then UPS sent their own detective to make
sure I didn't con THEM! UPS never again!!!......THE INSPECTOR
Steblina <steblina@televar.com> wrote in message
news:396be93c@news-out.newsnerds.com...
>
> KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9jenms46iot5fnfudg5v1729q0ps6ftrtl@4ax.com...
> > I live on the second story and watched the UPS driver stop at the
> > bottom of my stairs and drop the box my new R8500 was in from waist
> > height. He looked up and say me and said that he was saving the stairs
> > for last (He had another small package to deliver to another
> > apartment). The R8500 seems to work ok, I guess thanks to ICOM
> > packaging. The driver had come the day before and left the little
> > yellow note.
>
> One of the Astronomy magazines reported that the telescope they ordered
for
> testing was thrown over a six foot high fence. Even though the package
said
> OPTICS--do not drop, etc. etc.
>
>

633. Re: Scannernuts feature needs you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <vYwb5.1406$114.374191@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Maybe if you offered a free CD if your station was picked. :-) Sorry, couldn't help myself.

Glenn

Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message news:oYqb5.6098$Xa6.53176@news2.atl...
> OK folks....
>
> I need some more people to please send in a picture of their scanner
> area/shack.
> It doesn't matter if you just have one scanner or twenty scanners.
> Just send in a picture of yourself listening to your scanner(s) and a short
> Paragraph on how you got started!
> It's that simple. I will add anyone who sends me a story and picture!
> Your feature will run *at least* one week, maybe longer depending on when
> and how many others I receive.
> If you have a webpage I will also add a link at the end of the article to
> your site if you want.
> http://www.webdeals.net/feature.htm
>
>
> Scanner users
> Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
> http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
> 0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
>
>

634. Re: BC 800xlt repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nodummy <mcse@mcse.net>
Message-ID: <8klve4omp1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I love that scanner! These guys will fix it.

http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

635. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <heffeds@utahs-inter.net>
Message-ID: <396E87B1.455D6D65@utahs-inter.net>
Source record: 85007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The thing I find interesting is that both scanners are the same gray color. The SC1PC is silver.

636. WTB Video unit for FRG 9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <396E86AB.17CC738@uswest.net>
Source record: 85008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I would like the buy the video card for a Yaesu FRG 9600, The NTSC Version.

Please email me with price.

Thanks
Greg

637. Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <396e9628.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 85009

what is a bearcat 200XLT worth?

638. Re: PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nopam.net
Message-ID: <396d13b7.650359@news.penn.com>
Source record: 85052

On Wed, 12 Jul 2000 18:41:46 -0400 (EDT), Web_Jockey@webtv.net wrote:

>I am having trouble understanding how to program trunked frequencies
>into my Radio Shack PRO-92 scanner. Can anyone help? Post or e-mail me,
>please.
>

How much are you willing to pay for this service?

639. Re: Police Call CD-ROM at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8kj52o$j04$0@216.39.130.84>
Source record: 85053

What form of database does it use?

Joe Davidson wrote:

> Well... bought it on sale for 24.95.... Clunky and stupid and hard to
> handle.. still doesn't work
> well!!!! Ther are better on the market that work smooth as silk. One prob
> is that the
> makers DO NOT CARE that it doesn't work... they just want yoou money!!!!
>
> Davismv <davismv@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000712021326.02130.00000257@ng-bd1.aol.com...
> > Anyone have the $34.99 CD-ROM that is being sold at Radio Shack?? I'd
> love to
> > pay to hear how good or how bad it is. E-mail me directly if you have it.
> >
> > Biff.

640. Re: Any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <h29b5.10077$V34.163014@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

True, how VERY true. Now his wife & family will likely be stuck paying those medical
bills long after he is gone.

"Joseph Henry" <joseph_henry@my-deja.com> wrote
> Time he could have better spent at the bench, or writing, or being
> with his family. Or earning enough to pay for medical insurance.

641. Re: any Cheek updates? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <D49b5.10079$V34.163009@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

"Corky Thatcher" wrote
> Do you think you are fooling anyone with such blatent lies? You

642. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <n69b5.10080$V34.163078@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Good point, Doug.

Now if only the people who are attempting to be "righteous" would leave
this would be a much better place.

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@erols.com> wrote
> Hmmm...isn't this a newsgroup about radio scanners? Where did all the
> righteous religious bullshit come from?! There are plenty of other groups
> for that kinda talk...

643. Any info on Bc147xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <alr76@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <zR9b5.501$hJ2.13820@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 85057

Any info on Modifecations would be apperaceated.

644. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <aS9b5.10102$V34.164244@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 85058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You don't appear to be qualified to educate someone else.

<skizziks_phx@my-deja.com> wrote
> And no, John, I am not "trying to get your goat" anymore. I'm trying to
> educate. Sit down and
SNIP

645. Re: any Cheek updates? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@home.com>
Message-ID: <c0ab5.40905$i5.508729@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 85059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Must have just hit puberty eh John!

"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
news:D49b5.10079$V34.163009@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.
>

646. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze The Weeze <none@anytime.now>
Message-ID: <odbb5.308$a5.86869@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 85060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

No, Do NOT put those RED stickers on the box........
I had an employee of mine who used to work for
UPS when he was in college tell me that those
with the stickers and marked fragile are "targeted"
for "extra special care and handling" if you know
what I mean.

MY personal favorite UPS story is about the HP
printer I received. One day this rather non-descript
brown box appeared on my doorstep. Upon opening
it up I found my nice brand new printer. It looked
like it had exploded inside the brown box. Of course,
the software, cartridges and accessories were all
missing and half of the insides of the printer were on
the outside of the printer. Inspecting the brown box
closer, I saw that the box clearly had UPS logos
neatly stenciled on the outside. (It was a UPS Box)
Then I noticed that the shipping label had been neatly
cut around the edges with a razor-blade and taped to
the brown box. The label was originally attached to
a box that clearly said Hewlett-Packard when I peeled
it off!!!!!
Of course, UPS sez that it was shipped that way
from the sender. I said they didn't, etc... etc....
The sender said, What Brown Boxes???? And you
know the rest.....

And BTW, make sure when you sign for something FROM
UPS you mark the number of boxes somehow on the electronic
sig-pad you sign on. UPS can CHANGE the # of boxes you've
just signed for AFTER you sign for them. SO, I f you get three
boxes, put a "3" after yer sig and circle it. They cant change the
sig-line you sign on. Just to make sure you get those boxes
and the driver doesn't take one home for himself!

--
skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

647. The Original "TOP 50 SCANNER SITES"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <N%bb5.9773$6s4.113829@news1.atl>
Source record: 85061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Visit The "Top 50 Scanner Sites!"
http://new.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/topsites.html
With over 10,000 hits per month, your site will get more traffic!
Sites in English only please.
***
```

648. Need a cellular phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000713024702.04989.00000748@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 85062

Need a second cellular phone? Check out this auction.

NEC 920 Talk Time Max with 2 Batteries.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=381335348

649. Re: Police Call CD-ROM at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <smqs2jlsnd6137@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85063

Police Call on CD-ROM at Radio Shack
--
I took that POS from RadioShack back.
The store that sold it will not take it back saying you probably copied it.
So I took it to another store and had them charge it back to the original
store.

It as no copy and paste.
The program is done with an obsolete Dbase program.
To add to the pain they use an obsolete data format based on Bill cheeks old
scanner interface.
No one else uses that format with any of the modern radios except WinScan
and they (surprise) are the programmers for this POS also.
It is non intuitive.
It is much harder looking up data then buying the book and looking up items.

All in all it isn't even worth the price of a free CDR to make a copy of and
it certainly isn't worth $35 or even the current $25!

Did I miss anything? It has been a couple of weeks since I got rid of the
copy I wasted my money on. At least I got my money back but I figure my time
to buy it, install it, and uninstall it is worth something so overall it was
a net loss. I very seldom return software but this one made me so angry
RadioShack was going to eat it at all costs. Fortunately I didn't have the
opportunity to let RadioShack know how I felt about them stocking this poor
quality item.

Vic

"Joe Davidson" <joeharley@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8kj3mr$2s0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Well... bought it on sale for 24.95.... Clunky and stupid and hard to
> handle.. still doesn't work
> well!!!! Ther are better on the market that work smooth as silk. One
prob
> is that the
> makers DO NOT CARE that it doesn't work... they just want yoou money!!!!
>
>
> Davismv <davismv@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000712021326.02130.00000257@ng-bd1.aol.com...
> > Anyone have the $34.99 CD-ROM that is being sold at Radio Shack?? I'd
> love to
> > pay to hear how good or how bad it is. E-mail me directly if you have
it.
> >

> > Biff.
>
>

650. RADIOS FOR SALE !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Slingshot2000 <slingshot01@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <396D908E.1E8334C4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 85064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner

the following items are for sale only SERIOUS REPLY'S
PLEASE

1- a motorola R-100 UHF 50 watt desk top repeater with duplexer and
dual tone panel
in very good working condition and computer programmable im
asking $1000 plus the
cost of shipping

2- i have a brand new Zetron 38max tone panel in very good condition
comes with
operating manuals im asking $500 pulse the cost of shipping

3- i have a large RX/TX pass band reject Duplexer in very good
condition im asking
$400 plus the cost of shipping

4- i have a motorola 35 watt 2 channel VHF mobile in very good
condition
computer programmable im asking $200 plus shipping

5- i have a motorola 50 watt UHF Spectra Mobile Base with Full Front
Display and
Key Pad with DES in very good condition it dose not cover the HAM
band it comes
with a DES key loader and cables im asking $1000 plus the cost of
shipping

all of the above items are in very good working order and are
in good to fair
condition i will not be taking any personal checks only US Postal
Money Orders i have
sold many items in the past with no problems and please don't ask me
to drop the price
because im going as low as i can with out givingit away and if you
live in the new york city
area you may stop by to look at the items first hand and you could
save on the shipping cost

651. Disabling beeps on PRO-2027

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE3TMT <mdonoghue3@home.com>
Message-ID: <xWhb5.66100$7I1.1016586@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 85065

Can anyone tell me how to disable those annoying beeps you hear every time you press a button on the scanner? I know it is a certain button or buttons you press and hold when you turn the power on, but I can't remember which ones.

Thanks,

Max

652. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paul S. Castellani Jr" <cfipaul1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cQib5.62214$_b3.1816624@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Well....I actually had UPS destroy a package for me. It was insured and they immediately denied the claim after sending an "investigator" out to the residence saying that I didnt pack it sufficiently (which I did). I finally won the claim after pointing out that I had sent it from their service desk and their clerk should have notified me of improper packaging. Basically if their agent took it he must have found it to be satisfactory. My claim was paid in about 3 weeks.

653. Re: EDACS in Bernalillo Cty NM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000713084843.20177.00000259@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 85067

Just type the frequencies listed into your non-trunktracking scanner and listen.if it sounds like white noise or hiss,then its digital,if you hear hear them talking,but unable to follow conversation then you have analog system.I have the Pro-94 and love it,very easy to use,and more friggin channels than I need.
have fun.

654. Re: NEW MA area freq (Attn:Peter S)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000713091537.17341.00000502@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 85068

> Here is a hunk of new freqs for the Boston area. They are all presently
> in the pending file at the FCC website.
>
> Boston MA FD - secondary repeaters on 483.1875 - One Gillette Park in
> South Boston ERP100/100W - 19 Myrtle Street 40ERP/40W - 401 Park Drive
> (these are all "inhouse repeaters" that serve 1 building)
>
> Cohasset MA Police 483.60R - narrowband
>
> Norfolk MA PD 470.40R - narrowband - mobiles are 35W/70ERP
>
> Bellingham MA FD 483.3875R - repeater is on Forge Hill - mobiles are
> 20ERP/20W
> Holliston MA FD 470.475R - repeater is on Mellen St - mobiles are 40W -
> narrowband
>
> Plympton MA FD 453.4125R - narrowband
> Canton MA 453.475R - repeater is on Indian Lane Stand Pipe - mobiles are
> 25W
> Gloucester RI FD 154.07R 151.46in
>
> Sharon NH 151.04
>
> Baldwin ME 159.12R 155.085in
>
> Dover NH 460.50 - 1 base + 25 mobiles (@ 25W each)
>
> Warren Wentworth NH Amb Service 458.8875HT 453.8875HT (two 2W portable
> radios on each freq)
>
> West Ossippee NH FD 453.5125R - repeater is on Mount Wickerson - mobiles
> are 40W
>
> Pomfret VT Emergency Services 154.98R 158.955in - mobiles are 45W
>
> Maine Dept of Transportation at Gray - 47.12 - 451.25 link
>
> (ERP/W = effective radiated power/power in watts out of transmitter)(or
> something like that)
>
> Note - you can see that many of the outer suburbs in the Boston area
> have decided to move from low band to the UHF band (and not to the
> 800Mhz band).
>

> Peter S (feel free to post wherever and whenever)

Peter,

Regarding the updated/new frequencies you listed recently.....

Canton, MA - Is that police or fire?

Sharon, NH - I do not have any listing for Sharon, NH. Also, is it PD or FD?

Baldwin, ME and Dover, NH - Same question, PD or FD?

Any PL/DPL Codes for all the freqs you listed??

Thanks,
Ted
Boston,MA

655. Trade: LN BC-895 trunktracer for ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000713103532.25877.00000040@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 85069

I have a BC-895 looking to trade it for a Pro-90, BC-235 or Pro-92, Pro-94

The rig is in excelent condition, have the box and manual, but the removable telescopic ant is busted (it had a run in with a currious cat)

Thanks

656. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: skizziks_phx@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kkot3jgu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In article <aS9b5.10102$V34.164244@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
> You don't appear to be qualified to educate someone else.
>

I guess you really are on this earth just to be a pain in the ass. I shifted gears, John. I turned my flame thrower off. I tried constructive dialogue. And this is your reply....

I guess I can sum up my interest in the flame wars of the last few years in two words now:

Never mind.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

657. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: skizziks_phx@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kkp78jlp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In article <8kinfh$eq2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>,
"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@erols.com> wrote:
> Hmmm...isn't this a newsgroup about radio scanners? Where did all the
> righteous religious bullshit come from?! There are plenty of other
groups
> for that kinda talk...
>

Hey slug. As has always been the rule in usenet, especially with the
Cheek/Mackey flame war - If you don't want to read it, don't click
your mouse on it. No one forced you to read, did they? You KNEW when
you clicked on "any Cheek updates?" that it was a part of the
Mackey/Cheek flame war, didn't you?

If you don't like it, stop reading alt.fan.bill.cheek -

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

658. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8kkqci$73i$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

>Hey slug. As has always been the rule in usenet, especially with the
>Cheek/Mackey flame war - If you don't want to read it, don't click
>your mouse on it. No one forced you to read, did they? You KNEW when
>you clicked on "any Cheek updates?" that it was a part of the
>Mackey/Cheek flame war, didn't you?

You're absolutely right. I just thought it made sense to keep the posts relevant. On the other hand, a message with the topic "Re: any Cheek updates?" might have actually contained information about Bill Cheek rather than an unrelated flame. How else do you know unless you view the post?

>If you don't like it, stop reading alt.fan.bill.cheek -

I was reading the alt.radio.scanner posts only. I'm not familiar with the Mackey/Cheek flame war.

Doug

659. Dayton Air & Trade Show 2000 Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <Kemb5.56387$dF.2209242@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 85073

anyone have any freqnecies? email to flyboy4097@home.com

660. FS: BC-245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n7rcm@pobox.com
Message-ID: <396de903.5045275@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 85074

Not much interested in this stuff, scanning, anymore. I've had, it's the old version, 5sec delay, for about a 7months and looks brand new. It sits in the house not being used most of the time. Comes with whatever it comes with when you buy it brand new. I also have a leather carrying case.

Price: $150 shipped prepay, COD or PrePay

661. Re: Any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <smruj88hnd6132@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

He didn`t cost much. They kept the afterbirth, it was smarter.....

"Corky Thatcher" wrote in message
news:j0crms0fon0kea09m2vnumemr8dse55cjq@4ax.com...
> "J O H N" wrote:
>
> >True, how VERY true. Now his wife & family will likely be stuck paying
those
> >medical
> >bills long after he is gone.
>
> How much money did your parents have to pay for you with your Down
Syndrome,
> Corky?

662. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0fd77cb1.4ae52cb7@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85076

I personally don't like UPS. I remember when I was parked at
the customer counter waiting for someone to ship an item, I saw
a UPS worker take a box MARKED FRAGILE and toss it from the
conveyer belt into the back of a truck. The distance was about
15 feet.

Anyway, here is a link that may help you when shipping with UPS.

http://www.ups.com/using/services/packaging/guidline.html

This link will give you the UPS guidelines for packaging your
shipment.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

663. WTB: ICOM IC-R1 parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjoew@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000713145622.04959.00001110@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 85077

Need several of the front nameplate/LCD bezel for the R1 (could use 6). This is a grey plastic piece about 1" x 2" with a cutout for the LCD window.

Any ideas as to where they could be obtained would be appreciated. ICOM cannot suppy.

Joe

664. Why is the ICF-SC1 so cheap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Kaareng LN-GAE <martin.kaarengnovalid@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <GLob5.497$_r3.118142@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 85078

Just wondering.

Martin

665. Re: Why is the ICF-SC1 so cheap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <pQob5.17376$oj6.130081@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85079

The scanner has been discontinued.
--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Martin Kaareng LN-GAE" <martin.kaarengnovalid@c2i.net> wrote in message
news:GLob5.497$_r3.118142@juliett.dax.net...
> Just wondering.
>
> Martin
>
>

666. FS: BC-245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n7rcm@pobox.com
Message-ID: <396e143c.16111272@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 85080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not much interested in this stuff, scanning, anymore. I've had, it's the old version, 5sec delay, for about a 7months and looks brand new. It sits in the house not being used most of the time. Comes with whatever it comes with when you buy it brand new. I also have a leather carrying case.

Price: $150 shipped prepay, COD or PayPal

667. WFM FM and AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Conrad <aconrad@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <zppb5.793$z01.144046@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 85081

At the risk of sounding like a total newbie, what is the difference between these on my handheld scanner. The model I am using doesn't have a very good description (or a description on them at all).

Thanks
Adam

668. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeemail@blackhole.org>
Message-ID: <DDC18DB198D33B65.2A74AEB696B54C50.689772112AC8863F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Attempting to be righteous is nothing wrong.
Attempting to look righteous is wrong though as it is only appearance which is opposite the heart.

669. Re: any Cheek updates? - morky.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeemail@blackhole.org>
Message-ID: <E5BFAFD263E1052F.D190B7D9577877F9.B33A45D0DE05B7EF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 85083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

That has to be a lie.
You are either Jesus are deluding yourself.

"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
news:D49b5.10079$V34.163009@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

670. Scannernuts feature needs you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <oYqb5.6098$Xa6.53176@news2.atl>
Source record: 85084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

OK folks....

I need some more people to please send in a picture of their scanner area/shack.
It doesn't matter if you just have one scanner or twenty scanners.
Just send in a picture of yourself listening to your scanner(s) and a short Paragraph on how you got started!
It's that simple. I will add anyone who sends me a story and picture!
Your feature will run *at least* one week, maybe longer depending on when and how many others I receive.
If you have a webpage I will also add a link at the end of the article to your site if you want.
http://www.webdeals.net/feature.htm

Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

671. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <396E3E78.A837EEB7@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 85085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note to safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull the listing in 24 hours.

672. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <23356245.888d4ea4@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Why do you think the Sony scanner is illegal?

WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com> wrote:
>E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note to
>safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull
the listing
>in 24 hours.
>
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

673. GOOD HUY ALERT : John Porter - oceans1@olg.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <396e31bd.15263834@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I want to add John Porter, using the E-mail address oceans1@olg.com to the GOOD GUY ALERT list here.

John recently sold a bunch of used RELM HS-200 scanners, and I was most fortunate to be able to get one. The price was more then fair, and the condition of the scanner was like new, better then described by John.

John sent the scanner to me the same day I sent the money order to him. It doesn't get any better then this here, on Ebay, or anywhere else.

Hats off to John Porter.

Dan

674. GOOD GUY ALERT : John Porter - oceans1@olg.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <396e32bb.15518274@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 85088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I want to add John Porter, using the E-mail address oceans1@olg.com to the GOOD GUY ALERT list here.

John recently sold a bunch of used RELM HS-200 scanners, and I was most fortunate to be able to get one. The price was more then fair, and the condition of the scanner was like new, better then described by John.

John sent the scanner to me the same day I sent the money order to him. It doesn't get any better then this here, on Ebay, or anywhere else.

Hats off to John Porter.

Dan

675. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: skizziks_phx@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8klgm7$6qg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In article <8kkqci$73i$1@bob.news.rcn.net>,
"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@erols.com> wrote:
> On the other hand, a message with the topic "Re: any Cheek
> updates?" might have actually contained information about Bill Cheek
rather
> than an unrelated flame.

I understand completely - as a long time reader of rec.radio.scanner, I was miffed too when the flame war between Cheek and Mackey started. Then I got into it, and became a part of the problem.

It is quite unfortunate that for the last few years, just about any post relating to Bill Cheek has been part of that long lasting flame war. I understand if you weren't previously aware of it. A lot of people have come and gone, including Cheek. One person, however, refuses to give up the fight. If you continue to follow the threads (still mostly in rec.radio.scanner) you'll understand eventually.

However, it's probably better if you just killfile the whole thing altogether. But watch out for that "one person" I mentioned above. He'll keep changing his From: line to get past the numerous kill filters that people have created over the years....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

676. Re: GOOD GUY ALERT : John Porter - oceans1@olg.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <396E42BC.9EB98F44@snet.net>
Source record: 85090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> I want to add John Porter, using the E-mail address oceans1@olg.com to
> the GOOD GUY ALERT list here.

Just when I'm adding him to the "Good Huy" list, the "Good Guy" list is
mentioned. <G>

Barry

677. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <396E415C.708A6FF5@uswest.net>
Source record: 85091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

WB3KUM/9 wrote:
>
> E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note to
> safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull the listing
> in 24 hours.

Re read the sellers statement, All Frequencies Allowed by the FCC. This
is just a non PC version of the Sony scanner that is available through
other net sources for under $100. The guy is just trying to get a huge
price for a scanner worth much less that his price. Fortunately it
appears that no one has bit yet.

GR

678. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <32bb9bbc.8e22d6c5@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Now I am confused. What is ILLEGAL about a scanner that
recieves all freqs allowed by the FCC?

Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net> wrote:
>
>
>WB3KUM/9 wrote:
>>
>> E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note
to
>> safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull
the listing
>> in 24 hours.
>
>
>Re read the sellers statement, All Frequencies Allowed by the
FCC. This
>is just a non PC version of the Sony scanner that is available
through
>other net sources for under $100. The guy is just trying to
get a huge
>price for a scanner worth much less that his price.
Fortunately it
>appears that no one has bit yet.
>
>GR
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

679. Re: any Cheek updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8klh8lcbi1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 85093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<skizziks_phx@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8klgm7$6qg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> However, it's probably better if you just killfile the whole thing
> altogether. But watch out for that "one person" I mentioned above.
> He'll keep changing his From: line to get past the numerous kill filters
> that people have created over the years....

I think I shall take your advice. Thanks.
Doug

680. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: w2upX@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <396e4a10.12723103@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 85094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 22:44:36 GMT, Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 15:33:58 -0700, RanHefner
><ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote:
>
>>Now I am confused. What is ILLEGAL about a scanner that
>>recieves all freqs allowed by the FCC?
>
>Absolutely nothing......The seller is just trying to get 2.5 times
>what the scanner is worth.
>
If someone's dumb enough to bid without checking a reasonable price
elsewhere, too bad for him. This is a new variant on the pileup
police!
--
Note - Remove the X from my e-mail address for direct replies

681. Compro escaner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Sanchez <JASSAN@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <aYrb5.2040$aS.17561@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 85095

Sialguien tiene un escaner para vender hacedmelo saber, mandame marca, modelo, precio, etc...

JASSAN@teleline.es

682. Re: Trade: LN BC-895 trunktracer for ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpoint@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uisb5.63733$_b3.1854533@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85096

Hi,

I'll trade you a PRO-90.

Thanks,

Frank
www.radios4you.com

N8PPZ73 <n8ppz73@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000713103532.25877.00000040@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> I have a BC-895 looking to trade it for a Pro-90, BC-235 or Pro-92, Pro-94
>
> The rig is in excelent condition, have the box and manual, but the
removable
> telescopic ant is busted (it had a run in with a currious cat)
>
> Thanks
>

683. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh@boy.com
Message-ID: <jrhumsg8g1ilt57lqctvm2mqi24uf9r6lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 84947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 07:30:44 -0400, David <no@mail> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 17:23:24 -0500, Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>WB3KUM/9 wrote:
>>>
>>> E-Bay has a frim policy on illegal scanners. A friendly note to
>>> safehabor@ebay.com with this information and I bet they pull the listing
>>> in 24 hours.
>>
>>
>>Re read the sellers statement, All Frequencies Allowed by the FCC. This
>>is just a non PC version of the Sony scanner that is available through
>>other net sources for under $100.
>
>Can you post a source that still sells it for under $100?
>
>There was one here a month back or so, but they sold out in a matter of hours.
>
>This guy is probably one of the ones who caused the sellout!!

http://shop.store.yahoo.com/electronicsemall2k/icsonradfreq.html

http://www.discountwarehouse.com/sonyicfsc1.html

684. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8knggn$321@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 84948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The BC245XLT is the most capable trunktraker available (base or HH). If you want to wait a few months, you can get a BC780XLT (mobile) which should be the greatest thing since sliced bread.

Brett - N7OLQ

"mike kutcher" <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message news:396E7509.30DE6985@earthlink.com...
> I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and
> opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would
> need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).
>
> Thanks
>

685. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000714130409.03421.00000789@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 84949

In article <396e895a.11155044@news.uswest.net>, truckie@uswest.net (Scott Ksiazek) writes:

>I use the PRO-91 and love it

Agreed. The only drawback with the PRO-91 is it's limited capacity (150 channels vs 300 with most other models.)

686. Re: Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000714130410.03421.00000790@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 84950

In article <8km1ptipg1@nnrp1.deja.com>, markbajek@my-deja.com writes:

>I was nearly arrested for this law, Frisked , locked in a police car,
>while my car was searched for further contraband.

After you showed a ham license and made the officer aware of the exemption? If so, I'm sure you will have no problem finding a competent attorney who will pursue this matter & make it worth your while.

687. ****Programing Instructions BC245XLT****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harvey Lindley <hw3tnt@NC.RR.COM>
Message-ID: <396F6A04.A1402EB9@NC.RR.COM>
Source record: 84951

I just bought the BC245XLT scanner. I understand trunking. I have the manual that came with the scanner. I need to know where I can find some better instructions. I would like to know how to store IDs in a certain bank then search only that bank. I would like to keep the police , fire, ambulance, ect. in separate banks and scan only the bank I want to listen to. I cant for the life of me figure it out with these instructions that came with the scanner. Do you know where I might be able to find better instructions for my scanner. This news group has been helpful in the past and any help now will be greatly appreciated. Thanks , HIII

--

Good-bye from Harvey Lindley & Sharon Conklin
Think like a man of action, act like a man of thought.
H&S HOME REPAIR
hw3tnt@nc.rr.com- phone # (919) 688-9427

688. FS: Radio Shack PRO-2006 LIKE NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpoint@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aeKb5.3491$dT5.85116@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84952

Complete in box

Please contact me at sales@radios4you.com if you wish to make a reasonable offer and or to receive photographs of the receiver. My eBay account is temporarily not available due a dispute regarding cell capable scanners.

Thanks,

Frank

689. freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <396F72C9.1E2B4E9C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you
--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

690. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <billhuffman175@home.com>
Message-ID: <IvKb5.58441$dF.2276629@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84954
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

here you go:

channel

1 462.5625
2 462.5875
3 462.6125
4 462.6375
5 462.662
6 462.6875
7 462.7125
8 467.5625
9 467.5875
10 467.6125
11 467.6375
12 467.6625
13 467.6875
14 467.7125

Enjoy
Yar wrote in message <396F72C9.1E2B4E9C@worldnet.att.net>...
>what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you
>--
>
>
>I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!
>
>YAR509@worldnet.att.net
>
>http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html
>
>---
--

691. Re: FS: Radio Shack PRO-2006 LIKE NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000714170316.23311.00005042@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 84955

In article <aeKb5.3491$dT5.85116@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"centerpoint" <centerpoint@yahoo.com> writes:

>My eBay account is
>temporarily not available due a dispute regarding cell capable scanners.

Seems to be a common problem these days...

692. Re: Problem with Pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16297-396F81C6-72@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84956

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

693. Re: ****Programing Instructions BC245XLT****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <b44vmsoeteig32g6c8vo2obqm78sd2r043@4ax.com>
Source record: 84957

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 19:29:09 GMT, Harvey Lindley
<396F6A04.A1402EB9@NC.RR.COM> wrote:

>I cant for the life of me figure it out with these
>instructions that came with the scanner. Do you know where I might be
>able to find better instructions for my scanner. This news group has
>been helpful in the past and any help now will be greatly appreciated.
>Thanks , HIII

While the scanner is scanning the trunked system press the MAN button.
Use the Up arrow and down arrow to change to the trunk bank
and then the trunk channel you want to program in the bank.
All you need to do now is just enter the trunk id and press enter.
To clear a ID all you need to do is press 0 and then enter.
You can select/deselect a trunk bank when the scanner is scanning a trunked
system by pressing the 1-0 buttons to turn on and off a trunk bank to scan.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE
---

694. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39729f3e.42108488@news.usol.com>
Source record: 84958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 13:49:00 GMT, Malenymph@hot.net wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 21:41:06 GMT, Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
>>off.
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313
>
> I wouldn't buy from any online auction. But hey, you guys can feel
>free to do it. "You get what you pay for".

Yes, and I just got a nice used laptop for about $150/$200.00 less
than what they go for around here even at the computer shows ($310.00
shipped vs ~ $500.00 here) . Check the seller's feedback and check
prices before you place that bid. YMMV.

695. ICOM R-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000714193144.04977.00001221@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 84959

I know the R-3 is supposed to pickup wireless camera transmissions.Has anyone experimented with that yet...and what frequencies were in use...Thanks..TV
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

696. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: w2upX@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <396fa41d.12792533@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 84960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:11:12 GMT, Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:01:41 GMT, w2upX@mindspring.com (Barry) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>If someone's dumb enough to bid without checking a reasonable price
>>>elsewhere, too bad for him. This is a new variant on the pileup
>>>police!
>>
>>It's one thing if people bid the price up to $250 for a $100 radio,
>>and another if you start an auction at $250 for a $100 radio, and tell
>>people it's a "hot price", and "visit my website and I'll give you 20%
>>off the great price".
>
I've seen plenty of ebay auctions end without a bidder when the
starting price is too high. Often, they relist with a lower price and
then it sells. That's the free market system!

--
Note - Remove the X from my e-mail address for direct replies

697. PRO-2052 AM/FM help!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <dagnabbit@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <396FA4A9.6BD7472@monmouth.com>
Source record: 84961

Hi all - Im trying to find out how to switch from FM to AM modes on the
-2052. Any ideas or tips??

Sobbing uncontrollably.....

--

==========
Mike - Central NJ USA
==========

698. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <396FB378.705B@silverstar.com>
Source record: 84962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Worked for a manufacturer of custom compressors and shipped all small orders from two sites by UPS INSURED, always! Never lost a shipment nor had one arrive damaged. Don't know why. Maybe we were cursed!

699. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <396FBAAF.A3464ED6@inet4u.com>
Source record: 84963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: arp.vcf

Bill wrote:

> Some of the people who have offered to trade seem to thing my R8 with VHF
> conv.
> and MS8 speaker in outstanding cond. is only worth about $100 - $200. I'm
> not
> going to let anyone steal this fine rig. But they sure do try don't they?
>
> Bill K9KZ

Bill,

I heard or read once where a real estate purchaser had a rule of, "Make at least one ridiculous offer a week!" You'll be surprised how often someone takes that offer! They may be looking to buy it at a price that allows them to resell and make some bucks.

I forgot about the VHF. You *might* get $550 or so for it with that. I have seen some R8s for anywhere from about $425 to $550 by themselves. Not to mention one at a hamfest the fellow still felt was worth about $825! It was not the A or B, just R8. Don't know if it had VHF or not.

Al

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

700. Re: Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kodu2hv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84964

Here is a list of the pre-ban scanners of which I am

aware that should be capable of frequency restoration:

PRO-2004 BC-855XLT *3 Regency 4030

PRO-2005 BC-200XLT Regency 1600

PRO-2006 BC-205XLT Icom IC-R10 *7

PRO-2022 BC-760XLT *4

PRO-2026 *8 BC-700A *3

PRO-2027 BC-890XLT *4

PRO-2030 BC-950XLT

PRO-2032 BC-2500XLT *3

PRO-34

PRO-37

PRO-39

PRO-43 *1

PRO-46 *2 *5

PRO-51 *6

PRO-23 *6

Note 1: Date code of 2A4 (Feb94) and prior, any xA3, any xA2 (label in
battery
compartment), or no "A" in the serial no. (Date code 3A4 (Mar94) and after
WILL
NOT WORK !)

Note 2: Date code of 2A4 (Feb94) and prior, any xA3, any xA2 (label on
back).

Note 3: Date of mfg code must not end in the letters "ID" or after. (ID=94),
except for OAID (Jan94). (On BC700A some "OBID" (Feb94) work)

Note 4: Date of mfg code must not end in the letters "ID" or after. (ID=94)

Note 5: Units with date code of 5A4 (May94) can tune the restored
frequencies
in 30 kHz Steps using a keyboard entry sequence after a wire on the "newly

attached" daughter board is snipped.

Note 6: Units with date code of 6A4 (Jun94) and prior (and some 7A4) can
tune
the restored frequencies in 30 kHz Steps using a keyboard entry sequence.
After
date code 7A4 (Jul94) no mod can be done.

Note 7: The current 1998 models are. New reports say Icom is now only
importing non-modifiable versions.

Note 8: Model (catalog) number with "B" at the end not modifiable. (Reported
no
L201 jumper on the circuit board)

The below list are those scanners that were capable of receiving the full
800
MHz band,

without modification:

J.I.L. SX-400 AOR-950 Icom R-7100 *

Regency MX-4000 AOR-1000 Icom R-1*

Regency MX-5000 AOR-1500 Icom R-100

Kenwood RZ-1 AOR-2500 Icom R-9000

Uniden BC-800XLT AOR-3000 * Icom R-7000

Yaesu FRG-9600 AOR-8000 *

* pre-1994

Visit The SCAN SHACK
http://members.aol.com/ScanShack
A scanner info Web Site. Mods, VDC info, News & More.
Also home of the "Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
A comic strip for and about our scanning hobby.
http://members.aol.com/ScannerDweeb

<coversix@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8kltft$1dn$1@nnrp2.deja.com...
> I understand that cell phone frequencies are
> blocked by law on radio scanners. Is it possible
> to bypass this feature to eavesdrop on cell phone
> conversations?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

701. Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <396FBF64.1DCC275@analon.com>
Source record: 84965
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

had a cituation on America West this past Sunday..... WAs told flight would leave in 2o minutes.. you'd beter hurry and get to the gate.... I ran like OJ Simpson through the airport and got to the gate.... I was at gate 40... Then America West does a gate change and sends everyone a mile back to gate 10..... Then at gate 10.. they load the plane with people but there was no ground crew to load the plane with cargo, baggage, or even fuel.... plane did not leave til almost midnight.

I have a scanner and was monitoring the America West ground operations frequency..... what a mess.. but what fun listening

America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery is 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55

JOHN
--
*****----------------------800-873-5884---------------------------------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
Computer Systems/Networks, AFFORDABLE and built to perform best at what you run.
--------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------------
Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
* --
VOTE:Preserve rights, lower taxes http://www.lizmichael.com
++

702. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife
was
> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the
> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the
> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
> the
> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that
it
> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost
> as
> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
> >
> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
home).
> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
> before
> > I went outside and found it.
> >
> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
> ring
> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
advising
> > me I have a package.
> >
> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am
> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
> > alternate services.
> >
> > Dave
> > WS2L
>
> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.

>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

703. Airlines ground operations frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <396FC3FC.7E9D8976@analon.com>
Source record: 84967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I find monitoring hte airlines ground operations frequencies can sometimes be interesting.
America West in Phoenix was heard this week on 935.175 and 935.1625

Delta use 460.75 I think they use this frequency system wide.

JOHN

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-------------------------------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
Computer Systems/Networks, AFFORDABLE and built to perform best at what you run.
--------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------------
Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
* --
VOTE:Preserve rights, lower taxes http://www.lizmichael.com
++

704. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kogt7$m3n$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net> wrote in message
news:396C9038.D0189F7A@ct2.nai.net...
> A friend shipped a camera double boxed, with styrofoal peanuts, with the
> outside box being a wine crate. UPS crunched the box to the point where
the
> camera was mangled.
>
> First UPS denied he bought insurance.
> He showed them it was included on the receipt
>
> Then they told him it was improperly packed
> He showed them the two hefty boxes the peanuts, and the small size of the
> camera
>
> Then they told him there was no coverage for used items because they had
no way
> of knowing if it worked before it was shipped.
>
This is exactly the procedure they used on me, they must have about 20
excuses for why they won't be paying your claim. Sad thing is old folks and
many nice people just say, "Oh, too bad, I don't get paid," and go away. UPS
wins. Sad story. And what about the crime of charging for insurance then
only paying for whatever UPS says it is worth? If you paid for $300
insurance you should have $300 if they destroy or lose the package. The
package should be marked "$300 insured" and it should be treated like UPS
has $300 invested in it.

705. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kohrb$d6l$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sounds like it went through the robotic CACH hub in Chicago.
hey are scanned off the trucks on arrival then go into this automated
funhouse
"Know to employees as the Bermuda triangle"
Idiot driver asked *ME* ..... I can make a note saying damaged box sir
if you like ?
Good luck getting your money, both inner and outer boxes smashed into
accordions over here.
Kenwood wrapped in foam ended up with a cracked rear fan bezel.
Noticed cracks around faceplate mounting screws today.....I am sooooo
pissed at them

Read This B.S:

Thu, 6 Jul 2000 13:03:11 -0400
Dear Ups something still looks wrong Still in CACH Illinois after 1 week
Now I am told contact the sender Lost .....What
good is this tracking # Now what is going on where is the
expensive communications radio I already paid for ?Joe,
>

> Dear Joe:
> Thank you for your inquiry. We regret the inconvenience
> caused in this matter. Our UPS Online Tracking System
> indicates your package was delivered to *******
> Plandome NY 11030 US on 07/06/00 at 3:51 p.m. The package
> was signed for by a man at the address.
>
> We hope this information is helpful. If we may assist you in
> the future, please feel free to contact us.
> Thank you for using UPS Internet Services.
> Alex
> customer.service@ups.com
> July 7, 2000 s-10
>
>
--
Dear Joe:
Thank you for your inquiry. We sincerely apologize for the condition in
which your merchandise arrived. We will be happy to enter a Damage
Inspection Report. Please forward the following information in addition
to that which you have already provided:

- shipper's name, business name, address, ZIP Code and phone number
- receiver's name, business name, address, ZIP Code and phone number
- shipper's UPS account number
- tracking number
- ship date
- weight of package
- invoice number, purchase order number, package ID number (if applicable)
- value of damaged merchandise
- a detailed description of the damaged item (including model number, color, size, etc.)
- discovery date
- disposition of the package (whether it is with the shipper or the receiver)

MaKin wrote in message <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
>the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
>
>Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
>>
>> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
>> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
>> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife
>was
>> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by
the
>> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and
the
>> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
>> the
>> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
>> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that
>it
>> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna,
almost
>> as
>> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
>> >
>> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
>> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
>home).
>> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
>> before
>> > I went outside and found it.
>> >
>> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
>> ring
>> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
>advising

>> > me I have a package.
>> >
>> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I
am
>> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
>> > alternate services.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > WS2L
>>
>> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>>
>>
>
>

706. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8koktn$h12$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:396E7509.30DE6985@earthlink.com...
> I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and
> opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would
> need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).
>
> Thanks
>

Probably the best buy right now is the RadioShack Pro 92. It's a 500 channel multi-trunking scanner with cloning and PC interface abilities.
Multi-trunking means that you can scan up to 10 different trunked systems at the same time or mixed trunk and conventional banks. It's got 10 banks of 50 channels. They're on sale now at RadioShack stores for $249 (normally $349).
It can track Motorola type I and II, EF Johnson, and EDACS (GE/Ericsson) systems.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

707. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8komgefnl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:opdsmsgitat3o9q7b3rgk5gurpf7ecufpo@4ax.com...
> This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
> off.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313

Yeah, plus he can't spell worth a damn either!

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

708. digital scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Boak <jboak@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <C8Rb5.13098$6s4.140529@news1.atl>
Source record: 84972

is there such an animal? the area where i live, clarksville, tennessee, is putting in an 800 mhz, trunked, digital radio system. is there a scanner on the market that will allow me to listen? the new digital system is about 12 - 18 months down the road, but i wanted to start looking now to see if a radio was available. the same folks were contracted to install the radio system that put the same system in memphis.

thanks for your help and answers.

jim, in clarksville, tennessee.

709. Re: PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8kon22$2mh$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84973

<Web_Jockey@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19045-396CF42A-61@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I am having trouble understanding how to program trunked frequencies
> into my Radio Shack PRO-92 scanner. Can anyone help? Post or e-mail me,
> please.
>

Just pick a channel bank start entering the channels. For example start at 500 (bank 5) and enter the frequencies one by one. For the first one, you'll have to hit the MODE button after entering the frequency to indicate what type of system (MOT, ED, etc) but normally it'll automatically change to that on the succeeding channels. Then when you're done you enter the fleet maps (for type I) or just set it to scanning and when you hear it stop on something interesting hit the TRUNK button to store that ID. After you have some IDs stored, you can CLOSE the bank (FUNC/2 will toggle that off and on when you are sitting on any of the trunking frequencies in that bank by using the manual or prog buttons). If it's closed, the scanner will only stop on the IDs that you have stored. If you leave it open, you'll have to lock out the data channel (the one that makes all that noise!)

It takes a few days to figure it all out if you haven't done it before, but after a short while it all becomes clear. Feel free to email me if you have any further questions. http://trunkscanner.com/ is an excellent source of trunking channel info and also ID info..

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

710. Re: AR State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8kon95lmc1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84974

ConductorJ <conductorj@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000712173952.23361.00000271@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> I would appreciate anyone familiar with th Arkansas State Police helping
me to
> locate thier frequency. Ihave been trying unsuccesfully but the
frequencies I
> have contain no traffic. Which frequncy is used for control? I live in
> Sebastian County(Troop H). Any help would be appreciated. Thanks,
>
> Caleb

Have you checked at http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/ar.html ? The
control frequency for trunking can change from time to time (anything from
several seconds to several hours). What I mean is that among the frequencies
used for the trunking system, one is usually used as the 'control channel'.
Which one is used at any given time can very....

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

711. Re: Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-321134.20560414072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 84975

In article <8komhgeov1@netnews.upenn.edu>, Stephan Piel
<spiel@law.upenn.edu> wrote:

: How is a Michigan resident buying a scanner at a Michigan store supposed
: to bring his purchase home? Does he have to mail it home, or walk it
: home? :)

By the interpretation apparently being followed, it has to be
hand-carried, on foot. If you mail it, it's on a vehicle for at least
part of the trip. Yeah, it's crated up for shipping and all, but what
difference does that make? Locked in the trunk isn't acceptable either,
apparently. How much more accessible is it when it's sitting next to the
delivery driver 'cause (s)he's about to pull up at your mailbox to make
the dropoff?

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

712. Re: WTB YOUR PRO-92 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8kondr$s4n$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84976

Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com> wrote in message
news:8kgbl4$eh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> IF YOU ARE SICK OF THAT PRO-92 SCANNER THAT IS SITTING AROUND GATHERING
> DUST, I WILL TAKE IT OFF YOUR HANDS. GO AHEAD AND MAKE ME AN OFFER!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

I have TWO pro 92 scanners, and neither is sitting around gathering dust. If
you want one, then shuffle off to your nearest RadioShack and buy one for
$250 (they're on sale right now)..

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

713. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Lipps <paullipps@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B5955799.8FC6%paullipps@mac.com>
Source record: 84977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

in article 8kooa6$6js$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net, bob dolson at
bdolson@sprynet.com wrote on 7/14/00 11:11 PM:

>
> Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
> news:8knggn$321@news.or.intel.com...
>> The BC245XLT is the most capable trunktraker available (base or HH). If
> you
>> want to wait a few months, you can get a BC780XLT (mobile) which should be
>> the greatest thing since sliced bread.
>>
>> Brett - N7OLQ
>>
>
> Can you back up that statement with facts?? I think that the Pro92 is a much
> better scanner (with 500 channels no less) that does multi-trunking with
> ease, and right now it's only $249...
>
>
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

Bob, I think you got him. There is no factual way to compare scanners to
sliced bread. =)

C-Ya!
--
Paul Lipps

paullipps@mac.com

714. *F.S. Uniden Bearcat BC 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <396ff820.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 84978

One of Uniden's all-time most popular scanners! Hasn't been manufactured for over 6 years, but still a favorite today. Features 200 programmable channels plus one-button weather to quickly access NOAA weather frequencies active in your area. Frequency coverage: 29-54Mhz, 118-174Mhz, 406-512Mhz, 806-823.9875Mhz, 849.0125-868.9875Mhz, and 894.0125-956Mhz. This unit has not been modded but I understand that there are some interestesting mods for this one. This 200XLT is in very good condition for it's age, I has normal wear but not all beat up and has been in it's protective case most of it's life. Comes with stock rubber antenna, Bearcat AC adapter/charger and carrying case. I do not have owner's manual with this but not much to it and i'm sure if you really wanted one, you could find one on the net!!.
I will take $160 plus some help with shipping.

Reply to:
gbaker@pacifier.com

715. Re: Cart Racing Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian V <Witch*Dr@usadotnet.junkmailkill.ru>
Message-ID: <39700150.51313E4F@usadotnet.junkmailkill.ru>
Source record: 84979

Check out:
http://thepaddock.homepage.com/cart_freqs.html

It was current as of a few weeks ago. All worked for the Portland race.

"G. Briden" wrote:

> Does anybody have or know a link to any ACTIVE Indy car Frequencies most
> list's I find are really out of date lately..
> Thanks for any help offered.....

716. Re: VHF low band skip FULL REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: blythedevnull@ntrnet.net
Message-ID: <qQsb5.781$az2.7898@news-reader.ntrnet.net>
Source record: 85010

>42.5/voice/"southern" accents, check of NC registration (highway patrol?)
>42.6/voice/"southern" accents (police?)
>42.9/voice/"southern" accents, lots of use of 10-codes (highway patrol?)

The North Carolina Highway Patrol do indeed use these freqs. 42.900 is
used by DOT officers. These are local freqs for me: I live near Raleigh.

--

Marshall Blythe

---> remove 'devnull' from my email address to reply <---

---

717. Free Satelite Dishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <H2tb5.17569$oj6.132105@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 85011

I have 2 six foot satellite dishes with mounts I am trying to
get rid of. They are free to anyone who wishes to take them
away. One dish is fiberglass and the other is metal. Both
are in good condition but need a little cleaning. I might even
pressure wash them if someone is willing to take them.

They were supposed to be used at a 2M repeater site for
relay of the NASA TV feed but the plans have been scraped.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

718. Scanner Dweeb comic this week (Half wave...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000713204003.13513.00000469@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 85012

The 72nd episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Half wave... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
or
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

719. Re: FS Radio Shack Pro 2006...MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rick, kg6aql" <kg6aql@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <396E6188.2633DBC9@arrl.net>
Source record: 85013

Can't blame a guy for trying....:)

John Berenyi wrote:

> I'd rather go to Ebay. Sorry.
>
> "Rick, kg6aql" wrote:
> >
> > Any mods done to it? $275.00 and you pay shipping and I'll take it....
> >
> > John Berenyi wrote:
> >
> > > I bought this scanner new and its been in the box ever since. Its only
> > > been taken out about 4 times to look at. I never use it because I have
> > > an AOR 1000XLT handheld and thats good enough for me if I can ever get
> > > to using it. It is trully mint in every way.
> > >
> > > Scanner comes with original box, manual and screw-in antenna. Your for
> > > $300 plus dicounted shipping. Scanner will be packed in custom foam at
> > > no charge. I get special rates.

720. Re: Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rustybuc@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8klp6t$d1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85014

pat I use radio shack 20-043 discone 25 ft up with 40 ft' of rg8x
coax.
Works great.
Some guy sells good looking discone on ebay dutch auction for about $40
Be sure to get one with vertical whip on top.
I replaced whip with a 5 ft one and get much better uvh now.
Hope this helps.

In article <3969E0F8.43B67340@nb.sympatico.ca>,
patfusk@nb.sympatico.ca wrote:
> What's a good external antenna for R S PRO 2045 scanner?? also a
mobile
> for same??? Don't want to spend a fortune. Thanks
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

721. Offutt AFB scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <RStb5.933$dT5.17378@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85015

Does anyone out there have the frequencies used at Offutt AFB, Bellevue, Neb? I'm interested in ATC and frequencies used by the E4-B airborne command post.

Thanks

722. BC 800xlt repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <396E73F1.5E40311D@earthlink.com>
Source record: 85016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

According to Unidens web site they no longer service BC800xlt. Does anyone know where I can get it serviced? The reception on all bands has deteriorated to intolerable levels and the display is very dim when the rig is first turned on and it takes several minutes to come up to full brightness (almost like it has to warm up!).

Thanks

723. best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <396E7509.30DE6985@earthlink.com>
Source record: 85017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).

Thanks

724. Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: coversix@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kltft$1dn$1@nnrp2.deja.com>
Source record: 85018

I understand that cell phone frequencies are
blocked by law on radio scanners. Is it possible
to bypass this feature to eavesdrop on cell phone
conversations?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

725. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000713230820.03421.00000558@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 85019

It's called "free market capatalism." Caveat emptor. If you don't like our economic system, move to Havana.

726. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nopam.net
Message-ID: <396e849a.13961657@news.penn.com>
Source record: 85020

On 14 Jul 2000 03:08:20 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
wrote:

>It's called "free market capatalism." Caveat emptor. If you don't like our
>economic system, move to Havana.
>

"Free market capitalism"? Hmmm.... now that's a oxymoron.

727. Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: markbajek@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8km1ptipg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85021

Dear Deja board;

Michigan law MCL750.508 prohibits possession of a scanner in a vehicle
(1 yr in jail plus a $500.00 fine.)

Michigan residents may apply for a permit from the state police
Tourists Cannot, and will be considered a criminal as soon as you drive
across the border.

The Michigan state police say you cannot even have a scanner in your
luggage in the trunk....over 35 county prosecutors agree.
NO SCANNERS in CARS.

Hams are exempt for this law.....(Better have your FCC license)

I was nearly arrested for this law, Frisked , locked in a police car,
while my car was searched for further contraband.

A protest of this law has started,,,,,YOUR help as radio
hobbyists...tourists is NEEDED....!!!
please got http://www.trainwatchers.com/index.html

the law is on this site, as well as a plea for support (letters) to be
written to Michigan's govt. and tourism industry.

After you read the post if you agree with the sentiments contained,
please warn your friends, and if possible HELP.
EVERY letter counts.

Thanks for your time
Mark Bajek.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

728. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <396e895a.11155044@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 85022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use the PRO-91 and love it
Scott Ksiazek

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 02:03:38 GMT, mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and
>opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would
>need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).
>
>Thanks
>

729. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nopam.net
Message-ID: <396e8bbb.15786663@news.penn.com>
Source record: 85023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 02:03:38 GMT, mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and
>opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would
>need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).
>
>Thanks
>

Base or HH? If you want a HH, get the new version of the Uniden 245
XLT.

730. Re: CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6bf@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8km7q3mtl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 85024

In article <20000711202005.12134.00000102@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
garretw@aol.com (Garretw) wrote:
> Found the CHP fixed-wing aircraft using 42.34 to locate speeders on
I-80
> westbound between Applegate and Clipper Gap. The cruisers were using
Tac-1,
> Tac-2, etc. ID's. I thought they had switched frequencies since I
hadn't heard
> much speed enforcement activity on that channel lately. Have not heard
any
> traffic on the 45.xx listed freqs. Reno travelers beware!!
> 45.02 (Ivory) used on I-15 (Barstow) and SR138 (Palmdale) almost every
day --FYI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

731. Re: Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: buryme2007NOSPAM@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <396ea680.35912069@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 85025

Some you can some you can't.
I think anything made after 95 you cant
do a mod on. Search the net...you'll find info.

TheLost
On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 02:21:48 GMT, coversix@my-deja.com wrote:

>I understand that cell phone frequencies are
>blocked by law on radio scanners. Is it possible
>to bypass this feature to eavesdrop on cell phone
>conversations?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

732. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3971b031.31504630@news.usol.com>
Source record: 85026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:11:12 GMT, Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:01:41 GMT, w2upX@mindspring.com (Barry) wrote:
>
>
>>If someone's dumb enough to bid without checking a reasonable price
>>elsewhere, too bad for him. This is a new variant on the pileup
>>police!
>
>It's one thing if people bid the price up to $250 for a $100 radio,
>and another if you start an auction at $250 for a $100 radio, and tell
>people it's a "hot price", and "visit my website and I'll give you 20%
>off the great price".

Businesses do that all the time and no one complains, if Joe
ham/scanner enthusiast tries to make a little extra beer money they've
got the electric chair waiting for him. Try buying one of those new
Chrysler PT Cruisers for its sticker price right now. YMMV.

733. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <JFyb5.895$RX5.2719@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 85027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The guy has a right to ask whatever he feels like asking for his property. He isn't depriving anybody of the necessities of life, just trying to (legally) profit from their stupidity. As P.T. Barnum said: "There's a sucker born every minute." You have a right not to bid on his auction. His listing may be misleading, but on the other hand, when was the last time you bought gasoline at a station on the Interstate? Ever had one of the attendants tell you "We're getting more for our gas than the guys in town. There's a price war going on and everybody else has dropped their price by 15 cents." You going to get on the newsgroup and complain about the a**h**** at Love's selling their gas for more than the 7-11 store? I saw the auctions also, laughed, and went on with my life.

Mark K5MAR

"This way to the Egress -->"

"Jabroni" <nospam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:luisms0opl2ljgecijfeh3k7a144c5c7vb@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 23:01:41 GMT, w2upX@mindspring.com (Barry) wrote:
>
>
> >If someone's dumb enough to bid without checking a reasonable price
> >elsewhere, too bad for him. This is a new variant on the pileup
> >police!
>
> It's one thing if people bid the price up to $250 for a $100 radio,
> and another if you start an auction at $250 for a $100 radio, and tell
> people it's a "hot price", and "visit my website and I'll give you 20%
> off the great price".

734. Re: Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dgetms82obb1pqqr03uk9stctf27oslth2@4ax.com>
Source record: 85028

Somewhere between $125-$150 depending on who you talk to.
I sold mine for $125 about 8 months ago.

On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 21:24:28 -0700, "Gary" <gbaker@pacifier.com>
wrote:

>what is a bearcat 200XLT worth?
>
>

"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

735. R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim/Julia <w7ls@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <396EC39D.6A857411@blarg.net>
Source record: 85029

I am looking for mods to the Icom R-10. I tried all the easy-to-find places. No luck. Thanks. Jim

736. Re: WTB Video unit for FRG 9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: &retired0 <&retired0@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <396EDACC.2F84BE4F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 85030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

You might try searching the ham dealers. Yaesu closed them
out a while
back for 5 bucks. May still be some in a backroom somewhere
cheap.
mike

Greg Renner wrote:
>
> I would like the buy the video card for a Yaesu FRG 9600, The NTSC
> Version.
>
> Please email me with price.
>
> Thanks
> Greg

--
Big list of WANTS and FORSALES at link below.
Return Address is Bogus. Click below to reply
http://nm7u.tripod.com/startpage/
Or you can DELETE the & in the "from" address.

737. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <DxBb5.8458$493.1505953@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 85031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8kloct$u6g$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Well DARN!! They talked you out of it.. just as I was about to offer a
> nice shiny 386 66mhz computer with a 14 " CGA monitor and a 2400
> baud modem!! Even has WP for DOS ver 5.0 already loaded.

I haven't had a decent offer yet, not even close.

Bill K9KZ

>
> If you change your mind, let me know. So far no one is banging on
> my door for the 386!
> Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:3L4b5.11490$TI1.1393345@nntp2.onemain.com...
> > I have a beautiful Drake R8 with VHF converter and Drake MS8 speaker
> > that I would consider trading for a nice computer. Have box, manual
also.
> > What have you got? I love the radio but my computer is junk.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >
>
>

738. FS Pro-2026 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <JcCb5.28$aa.6639@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 85032

I have a used pro-2026 mobile scanner for sale. It works great! The display is nice and bright, and not all scratched up. It has the wiring mod done to it to be able to accept a 9-volt battery to hold memory, and a 12volt dc plug added to the back to power it. The top and bottom sections have 4 small holes(covered w/ tape) that I assume had some kind of mounting plate hooked to it but not sure. It has the mounting bracket w/ the finger screws on both sides. I'll have to find the ac/dc adapter for it(hoping I still have it). It does have an antenna(for in house use) which is not bent. It also has 4 rubber feet on the bottom of the scanner. I also have a photocopied manual for it somewhere.

The date code is 8A2 also!!

If needed I could take a few pic's of it. If I don't sell it on here then it's going on ebay. I figured i'ld give you guys/gals a chance first. I'm asking $120 shipped to your door. If you don't want to pay that, then make me a good offer. I'ld be willing to take paypal as payment method or money order. I have good comments on ebay if ya would like to check. Just go there and find the place where you can check users comments and enter my email which is phazer@lni.net .

Thanx,
Scott

739. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <396EF850.54713E2@inet4u.com>
Source record: 85033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: arp.vcf

Bill wrote:

> I haven't had a decent offer yet, not even close.
>
> Bill K9KZ

Bill,

If someone offered you a $3000 computer with dual 700 MHz processors, etc. etc. today for your $500 R8 you'd still regret it 5 years from now when the R8 is still worth $500 and the computer is worth about $150 to $300 or so! Keep what you have. Buy a computer! But like I said before, if you insist on getting rid of it, I'll find you a computer that I'll trade you for it! I might even have an old RS TRS-80 Model III that cost me about $1200 + -- by the time I bought the data cassette recorder and some program (singular) to go with it. Plus it later had over $1500 "extras" added to it, such as a serial port, dual floppy drives, etc. That's about a $3000 machine, not counting the sentimental value. :-)

Of course, if you're "lucky" enough to get it, you still won't have a hard drive, color in the monitor, etc. That was only about 20 years ago. Oh, I think it ran at a break-neck speed of about 5 MHz. Can you imagine trying to keep up with something running a blazing 5 MEGA hertz? WOW!

Keep your radio. It won't loose any more value. It's probably old enough now to start increasing in value. <g>

Al

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

740. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry D <x123x123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hYCb5.164868$7o1.4120757@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 85034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:opdsmsgitat3o9q7b3rgk5gurpf7ecufpo@4ax.com...
> This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
> off.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313

Has I said before "Jabroni" pretty much sums you up. The guy can charge
what ever he wants. It's a friggin auction...don't bid!! If someone buys it
more power to the seller. You trying to police the NG and Ebay?? LMAO!!

Barry

741. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kmv0g$g89$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 85035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

AL!

A brother of the breed!! I had the second Model I sold in the state and it ran a 1.717 mHz.
Owned several Model IIIs... MOF: still have a service manual around here for it.

BUT the intent of my oringinal post was in agreement with all .... been doing radios and
computers most of my life. I can think of several classic radios that I regret parting with...
BUT not a SINGLE computer.

Bill, you can buy a state of the art computer with the trimmings for 700 to 1000 bucks...
Buy one.. keep the R8!!
Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> wrote in message news:396EF850.54713E2@inet4u.com...
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I haven't had a decent offer yet, not even close.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> Bill,
>
> If someone offered you a $3000 computer with dual 700 MHz processors, etc. etc.
> today for your $500 R8 you'd still regret it 5 years from now when the R8 is
> still worth $500 and the computer is worth about $150 to $300 or so! Keep what
> you have. Buy a computer! But like I said before, if you insist on getting rid
> of it, I'll find you a computer that I'll trade you for it! I might even have
> an old RS TRS-80 Model III that cost me about $1200 + -- by the time I bought
> the data cassette recorder and some program (singular) to go with it. Plus it
> later had over $1500 "extras" added to it, such as a serial port, dual floppy
> drives, etc. That's about a $3000 machine, not counting the sentimental
> value. :-)

>
> Of course, if you're "lucky" enough to get it, you still won't have a hard
> drive, color in the monitor, etc. That was only about 20 years ago.
Oh, I
> think it ran at a break-neck speed of about 5 MHz. Can you imagine trying
to
> keep up with something running a blazing 5 MEGA hertz? WOW!
>
> Keep your radio. It won't loose any more value. It's probably old enough
now
> to start increasing in value. <g>
>
> Al
>
>
>

742. Lowell,Ma police freq.'s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosnh@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <396f0011.62918999@news.idt.net>
Source record: 85036

would someone please post the Lowell, Ma police frequencies
Dave

743. Re: WFM FM and AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8kmvkbmhc1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 85037

In article <396E5011.D82594E@dialpoint.net>, rmowery@dialpoint.net says...
>
>They are differant modes of puting modulation (audio) on a radio wave to
>put it loosely.
>
>WFM is usually used for the FM broadcast band from about 88 to 106 MHz.
>You start with a signal on a single frequency and as you put audio on
>it, the signal will vary plus and minus 200 khz from that frequency.
>The WFM is Wide Frequency Modulation. Most of the public service,
>police is narrow band FM. That is 5 khz on each side of the center
>frequency. If in the wfm and you try to receive the stations out of the
>fm broadcast band, the audio will be very weak and several stations will
>seem to be on the same frequency. If you try to use the FM (narrow FM)
>on the FM broadcast band the audio will be very distorted.
>
>AM is amplitude modulation. It is usually used in the aircraft band
>from about 107 to 137 MHz. Also the standard broadcast band form about
>550 khz to 1.7 mhz.
>
>If the mode is wrong for the station you are trying to recieve, then the
>audio will be weak , distorted , or both.
>
>The TV stations also use FM for the audio portion of the signal. It is
>set at 25 khz deviation. YOu can try setting the scanner for WFM and
>you should be able to hear the TV audio. Don't recall the audio
>frequencies off hand, but the TV signal is some 6 MHZ wide and in that
>25 khz is used for the audio. Sort of a signal in a signal.
>
>
>Adam Conrad wrote:
>>
>> At the risk of sounding like a total newbie, what is the difference between
>> these on my handheld scanner. The model I am using doesn't have a very
good
>> description (or a description on them at all).
>>
>> Thanks
>> Adam
Only one little additon, not only FM BCB uses WFM, but TV audio is also
WFM. And it is also good for snagging the polar orbiting WX satellites.
While it is TOO wide, too wide is better than too narrow.
Oh, and some STL [Studio Transmitter Links] use WFM on 26MHz and I have heard
some STL with WFM in around 900MHz.

744. Digital trunked systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max Darling <mdarling@tir.com>
Message-ID: <OJDb5.9476$EQ3.285330@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 85038

Is there a scanner available that will follow the digital trunked Motorola system.

745. Re: Trade Drake R8 For Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <oLDb5.12101$TI1.1534855@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 85039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8kmv0g$g89$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> AL!
>
> A brother of the breed!! I had the second Model I sold in the state and it
> ran a 1.717 mHz.
> Owned several Model IIIs... MOF: still have a service manual around here
for
> it.
>
> BUT the intent of my oringinal post was in agreement with all .... been
> doing radios and
> computers most of my life. I can think of several classic radios that I
> regret parting with...
> BUT not a SINGLE computer.
>
> Bill, you can buy a state of the art computer with the trimmings for 700
to
> 1000 bucks...
> Buy one.. keep the R8!!

I'm running a Gateway DX2-66 that I paid $3500 for in 1994, even though most
of the guts have been replaced. I'm retired and haven't had much spare cash
lately.
But looking on pricewatch.com it seems almost certain I'll be better off
buying a
new Celeron for about $350. It certainly would be a lot better than what I'm
using now.
Some of the people who have offered to trade seem to thing my R8 with VHF
conv.
and MS8 speaker in outstanding cond. is only worth about $100 - $200. I'm
not
going to let anyone steal this fine rig. But they sure do try don't they?

Bill K9KZ

> Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> wrote in message
> news:396EF850.54713E2@inet4u.com...
> > Bill wrote:
> >
> > > I haven't had a decent offer yet, not even close.
> > >
> > > Bill K9KZ
> >

> > Bill,
> >
> > If someone offered you a $3000 computer with dual 700 MHz processors,
etc.
> etc.
> > today for your $500 R8 you'd still regret it 5 years from now when the
R8
> is
> > still worth $500 and the computer is worth about $150 to $300 or so!
Keep
> what
> > you have. Buy a computer! But like I said before, if you insist on
> getting rid
> > of it, I'll find you a computer that I'll trade you for it! I might
> even have
> > an old RS TRS-80 Model III that cost me about $1200 + -- by the time I
> bought
> > the data cassette recorder and some program (singular) to go with it.
> Plus it
> > later had over $1500 "extras" added to it, such as a serial port, dual
> floppy
> > drives, etc. That's about a $3000 machine, not counting the
sentimental
> > value. :-)
> >
> > Of course, if you're "lucky" enough to get it, you still won't have a
hard
> > drive, color in the monitor, etc. That was only about 20 years ago.
> Oh, I
> > think it ran at a break-neck speed of about 5 MHz. Can you imagine
trying
> to
> > keep up with something running a blazing 5 MEGA hertz? WOW!
> >
> > Keep your radio. It won't loose any more value. It's probably old
enough
> now
> > to start increasing in value. <g>
> >
> > Al
> >
> >
> >
>
>

746. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Porter <oceans1@olg.com>
Message-ID: <8kn1nf$2pk7$1@nnrp-corp.news.cais.net>
Source record: 85040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

UPS left our new Icom IC-R-8500 and AOR 5000 sitting out in the rain, on the front porch. I was sitting in the office waiting for them to show up - they never rang the doorbell or even knocked - just dropped it and ran despite needing a signature. Both were ruined and that was another month of fighting with them to replace the items - not cheap items either. (They suggested I wait for the receivers to dry out - maybe use a hair dryer to dry them and then see if they would work) They finally did make good, but only because I and the vendor threatened to never use them again for our business and said I would have the rest of our NACSAR businesses boycott them too.

USPS is even worse - shipping to Canada recently they told me they did not offer insurance or any form of tracking to Canada. I knew this could not be but shipped the radios anyway - after they did not show up a week and half later I called the post office - the pinheads actually laughed when I asked to if they could trace the shipment and said "No way to do it - you should have purchased insurance and gotten delivery confirmation"...

Niether brains nor sleet nor snow....

JP

"Victor Healey @bellsouth.net>" <fourphun<nospam> wrote in message news:smkg8spvnu138@corp.supernews.com...
> UPS has for several years left expensive items outside with out even ringing
> the door bell in some cases. The driver seems to be in such a hurry. We have
> gone for several days before we noticed some items at the door since we use
> the garage more often than not.
>
> There used to be a store near here called Peachtree Salvage that had
> literally tons of items shipped by UPS that never made it to the
> destination. It had several branches. One could literally buy electronics
> for a fraction of their value until they got someone who had an idea of
> their real worth. I bought many a bargain from them. The point is that UPS
> lost so much that a chain of stores made a good business of selling stuff
> that never made it to the consignee.
>
> 73 de
> ki4je
>
>
>

>
>
> "Benjamin Blavat" <bblavat@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:SAba5.2026$fh7.22578@news2.atl...
> > If a shipper accepts a package, then I think it should be deemed as
> > adequately packaged. Don't take any guff, its the loud customer that
gets,
> > the insurance.
> >
> > On anything expensive I use Fedex. I have had a perfect record from
them,
> > shipping laptops as well as ham gear.
> >
> > UPS delivered my new FT-100 to a house 6 blocks from me! With no
> signature!
> > Just left it and its rainy season!
> > --
> > 73's from W4BEN,
> > Benjamin Blavat
>
>
>

747. Re: WFM FM and AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Meg A Hertz <meghertzNOmeSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0c561baf.787a4af4@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 85041

Imagine singing or humming a constant note. Now try to send a simple Morse message to someone the other side of the room with your humming. Yes, you'd sound ridiculous, but bear with me!

You could either vary the loudness of your hum, or the pitch/note. If the loudness (Amplitude) varies, you have Modulated your humming by an AM method. If the pitch (Frequency) varies you have Modulated by an FM method - the amount of change of pitch determines the "wideness" or "narrowness" of the overall range of notes you produce. The constant humming (assuming you can circular breathe like a didgeridoo player!) is the equivilant of a radio Carrier Wave that carries the modulation. On the other hand you could hum the Morse and stop between each dit and dah. Then you would be sending the message using just the carrier wave, switching it on and off - like the radio CW mode.

Note that your modulation signal in these cases is just the on/off of the Morse you are sending. You can electronically modulate a music note with a microphone - the AM result sounds like an robot or something (an old E.L.O. vocoder effect for example), with FM you just get a splashy noise as you speak. (If you suppress the carrier note while you're not talking, using a balanced mixer circuit, you end up with a "ring-modulator" much more often used for robot effects.

An electronic organ will often have it's own way of applying a slow varying modulation - AM/FM is like the difference between vibrato and tremolo, adding interest to each note by varying it slightly a few times a second.

Any constant radio carrier can be modulated by a signal (such as voice, music, digital on/off) in either way (and more!) on any frequency. We just happen to have AM on the MW band and FM on the VHF broadcast band. FM has its advantages over AM, and wider Frequency Modulation allows for more HiFi sound.

An FM receiver will be responding to changes in frequency within it's tuned frequency range. When there is no signal the Detector circuit will track the background noise as a random waveform, the result is a loud hiss. With AM, the detector responds in sympathy with the received signal strength - so an absence of signals means very little noise produced by the radio. This makes a mobile AM station much more pleasant to listen to than an FM one. On the other hand, if you are getting a strong FM signal any interference lower in strength goes virtually unnoticed -

a strong signal overrides a weaker one (the Capture Effect)
whereas with AM all the signals combine and mix together, along
with a horrid noise produced by the carrier waves "beating"
together.

Clear as mud?

MegHz

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

748. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Malenymph@hot.net
Message-ID: <396f1a0d.306093581@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 85042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2000 21:41:06 GMT, Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
>off.
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313

I wouldn't buy from any online auction. But hey, you guys can feel
free to do it. "You get what you pay for".

749. Windows software for programming Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell <russell@rbrock.com>
Message-ID: <HtFb5.530$Es.2276@news4.atl>
Source record: 85043

Windows software for programming your Radio Shack Pro-64 scanner using your PC. Go To:

www.rbrock.com

Follow the ProScan64 link for a free download.

750. BC120xlt opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Studley <studley@indiana.edu>
Message-ID: <396F24DE.62E20BD@indiana.edu>
Source record: 85044

I've about decided on purchasing a Bearcat 120xlt but would like to hear if anyone has any really bad feelings about that unit. I have looked for reviews of that scanner but have found none and have been lurking here to see if there has been any mention of the scanner. I imagine it has been around for awhile and the news is now old.

My main use is listening to railroad communications. The local police, etc. hasn't gone to trunking so I don't really need the 245xlt. The 245 was my first choice, but I talked myself out of it in favor of the 120.

Any opinions?

Steve Studley
Bloomington, IN

751. Cart Racing Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G. Briden" <gbriden@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <zyFb5.52392$9t5.184545@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 85045

Does anybody have or know a link to any ACTIVE Indy car Frequencies most
list's I find are really out of date lately..
Thanks for any help offered.....

752. Re: Digital trunked systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <NdHb5.8921$PD4.311354@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 85046

"Max Darling" <mdarling@tir.com> wrote in message
news:OJDb5.9476$EQ3.285330@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> Is there a scanner available that will follow the digital trunked Motorola
> system.

Follow (as in track) - yes.

Demodulate - no.

73 de Dave, NF2G

753. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmft$kfj@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229, email: electra@tcon.net,

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com |

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor

controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig and Karl Klein repaired their BC210XWs by replacing the 22uF/35V C98 capacitor.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This display is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855.

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

754. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmgq$kfp@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 30, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004
Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters

Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)
Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500
Apr 1999 - ICOM IC-R2 portable scanner
Jun 1999 - Mini-Circuits ZFSC-4-1 4-way passive splitter
Jul 1999 - Racing Electronics RE2000 portable
Aug 1999 - AOR AR16 portable
Sep 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC245XLT portable
Oct 1999 - Plectron R700 series monitor receivers
Nov 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC278CLT clock radio scanner
Dec 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC248CLT clock radio scanner
Jan 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-92 portable scanner
Jan 2000 - Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter and
MCA-204 Receiver Multicoupler
Feb 2000 - Yaesu VR-500 portable wide coverage receiver
Mar 2000 - Uniden Sportcat SC-200 portable scanner
Apr 2000 - Understanding Intermod,
(comparison intermod performance of several models)
May 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-94 portable
Jun 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-2052 trunk tracking base scanner
Jul 2000 - Electra Tiger Scan TSA portable

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO).

Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio,

otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke

sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the

ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier

vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder.

Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see

"The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more

than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has

some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod

immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners

have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they

were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock

out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. For a service manual, call SONY at (800)488-7669, and press 3. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal

case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

755. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmg8$kfm@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84849
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated July 14, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, John Wass KA9QJX, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JULY 2000

Jul 8 (Sat): Oak Creek, WI - South Milwaukee ARC. American Legion Post 434, 9327 South Shepard Ave. Admission $5. Talk-in 146.52 MHz. Info, call (414)762-3235.

Jul 9 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, Will County Fairgrounds. I-57 to Peotone exist 327 (East). Free parking. Talk-in 146.94 MHz. http://www.w9az.com. Admission $5. Info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. Tel: 815-939-7548. Email: k9nr@juno.com

Jul 15 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jul 16 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Jul 23 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. Admission $5. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html Info: Maurice Schietecatte, W9CEO, 813 Cindy Lane, Sandwich, IL 60548. Tel: 815-786-2860. Email: W9CEQ@arrl.net (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email: jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 20 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. Info: Ron Morgan, KB9NW, or John Coker, N9FAM, both c/o: PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. tel: (309)692-3378. Email kb9nw@juno.com or n9fam@juno.com. http://www.peoriasuperfest.com

Sep 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Admission $8, good both days. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 1 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 15 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 5 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. Talk-in 146.88 MHz repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest

Nov 11 (Sat): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 12 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 18, 19 (Sat, Sun): Ft. Wayne, IN Fort Wayne Hamfest & Computer Expo. Allen County Amateur Radio Technical Society. 2000 Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Exposition Center. Hours 9 AM - 4 PM EST Sat, 9 AM - 3 PM EST Sun. Admission $5 plus $2 parking. http://www.acarts.com

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 3 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see | below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- | chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Dec 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

756. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc0eao <kc0eao@sd.cybernex.net>
Message-ID: <g46c5.3252$lZ1.26450@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 84850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For anybody else interested:

After checking it out, I guess Displex has a website:
http://www.displex.com It sells for about $7/tube
and is available here in the US.

Any other suggestions are still appreciated. Thanks.

"odo" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net> wrote in message
news:3970BE81.D1A4057D@mailberlin.net...
> kc0eao schrieb:
>
> > Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD
display
> > window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
> > compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
> > exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!
> >
> > --
> > Dan S. -- kc0eao
>
> Use something that is used for removing scratches from displays of
cell-phones.
>
> Over here in Germany we have a product named "DISPLEX"
>
> odo -germany-
>

757. Re: New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <_NOT_BTJustice@cloudmaster.com>
Message-ID: <sn1rlqrond6100@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, but what is it called? Is there a webpage? I think it is not out
yet.

"mm505" <mm505@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8kqekp$e2v$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> A 2006 does the normal frequency range, but it doesn't do Trunking.
>
> Darrin <darrin99@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:hl21nsonftk4st4e8hh9c4foipiestu896@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 15 Jul 2000 05:48:13 -0500, "Buford T. Justice"
> > <>>>NOT<<<BTJustice@cloudmaster.com> wrote:
> >
> > >What is the latest buzz on the new Bearcat scanner that is suppose to
do
> all
> > >the stuff the PRO-2006 does? Any info will be greatly appreciated.
> > >
> > "All the stuff the 2006 does"??? What exactly does the 2006 do, that
> > most any other wide range scanner doesn't already do? (besides cell)
>
>

758. Re: New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3970F959.DFC0C7A7@swbell.net>
Source record: 84852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Darrin wrote:
>
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2000 05:48:13 -0500, "Buford T. Justice"
> <>>>NOT<<<BTJustice@cloudmaster.com> wrote:
>
> >What is the latest buzz on the new Bearcat scanner that is suppose to do all
> >the stuff the PRO-2006 does? Any info will be greatly appreciated.
> >
> "All the stuff the 2006 does"??? What exactly does the 2006 do, that
> most any other wide range scanner doesn't already do? (besides cell)

Not much. But the consensus is, and has been for years, that what the
PRO-2006 does it does better. I believe the criteria used is overall,
rock-solid performance in a scanning receiver. Oh, and then there's the
Optoelectronics computer interface and Probe software. That's about it.

759. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <zZ6c5.430$w16.136944@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 84853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

alice <alice@kramden.moon> wrote in message
news:sK0c5.116$e3.446@news1.iquest.net...
> While needless damage can and does occur during transit, your bigger
problem is
> the shipper. They should not be sending out anything of value with
> no-signature releases.
>
> Even if you receive a damaged package on your doorstep, (1) call UPS
before you
> open it or (2) call the shipper and have them initiate a claim. Then,
too, the
> shipper might need to do a better job of packaging (like a fiberglass or
> cardboard sleeve around your antenna) so tell them about that.
>
> Tell your shipper to NOT use the no-signature release. This used to be
the
> only way UPS worked, but they opt for (or default to) no-signature because
> hardly anyone is at home during the day.
>
> UPS is very service-oriented and if you ask, they will make good on every
> legitimate claim. The drivers will bend over backwards for you too, if
you ask
> them for help,

They are far from a service organization and will do everything they can to
NOT
pay a legit claim.

> (No, I don't work for them)
>
>
>
> In article <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, ontherun@mindspring.com
was
> of the opinion...
> >
> >Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
> >the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
> >
> >Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> >news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
> >>
> >> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message

> >> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
> >> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my
wife
> >was
> >> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by
the
> >> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and
the
> >> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found
that
> >> the
> >> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two
(2)
> >> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression
that
> >it
> >> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna,
almost
> >> as
> >> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
> >> >
> >> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new
Kenwood
> >> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
> >home).
> >> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
> >> before
> >> > I went outside and found it.
> >> >
> >> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does
not
> >> ring
> >> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
> >advising
> >> > me I have a package.
> >> >
> >> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I
am
> >> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
> >> > alternate services.
> >> >
> >> > Dave
> >> > WS2L
> >>
> >> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.
> >>
> >> Bill K9KZ
> >>
> >>
> >

> >
>
> --
> The opinions expressed are neither mine nor my employer's. In fact
> I don't know whose they are!
>

760. Re: FS Pro-2026 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <_E8c5.134$aa.8946@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84854

20-148 is the cat number

> what is the Rat Shack catalog number on it?

761. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7115-39711E33-84@storefull-268.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84855

i think youre referring to Brasso, I use it on my scanner i bought mine at an Army supply store, but is available anywhere...Just rub some on a fine lint free cloth and rub it around on the surface be sure NOT to let any spill onto or touch the case as this will leave a dull finish on the plastic.Then just wipe it off with a clean lint free cloth.
http://www.albertorea.com/

762. Re: EDACS in Bernalillo Cty NM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Linn Goodman <goodman@txis.net>
Message-ID: <9053EBA969E739B0.966AEEFE513F9680.4ED1390AF54024A9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84856

When I was there at Thanksgiving it was a mixture of analog and digital.
The July issue of Monitoring Times has some information on the systems used
in Albuquerque. There was some information on Rich Wells Strong Signals
site a while back about an add on board that will demodulate APCO 25 digital
modulation. It may be available later this year.

Linn

763. RS Pro 2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@pnx1.com>
Message-ID: <jG9c5.233$L2.22518@news.dbn.net>
Source record: 84857

See

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=38338
7955

If interested

764. Houston area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13471-39712311-87@storefull-262.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84858

Hi im looking for Frequencies for the Houston area

765. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@grapevinenet.com>
Message-ID: <8kr78101nbk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84859
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ground Control
North - 119.75
South -132.55

The ground operations he was talking about were probably vehicle to vehicle
and/or
vehicle to American West Dispatch

Al

"A Guy Called Tyketto" <tyketto@wizard.com> wrote in message
news:Y25c5.23165$MT.761393@news-west.usenetserver.com...
> In rec.travel.air JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:
> > I have a scanner and was monitoring the America West ground operations
> > frequency..... what a mess.. but what fun listening
>
> > America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I
> > bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery
is
> > 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55
>
> which airport was this at? I'm sorta surprised that they're
> using frequencies that high. I'm not too well versed on scanners, but
> from an old cell phone and pager I have, 900MHz is around the analog
> pager/cell phone range.. wouldn't they be more opt to use something
> close to ramp and ground control?
>
> BL.
> --
> Brad Littlejohn | Email: tyketto@wizard.com
> Unix Systems Administrator, | tyketto@ozemail.com.au
> Web + NewsMaster, BOFH.. Smeghead! :) | http://www.wizard.com/~tyketto
> PGP: 1024D/E319F0BF 6980 AAD6 7329 E9E6 D569 F620 C819 199A E319 F0BF
>
>
>

766. Re: RS Pro 2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@pnx1.com>
Message-ID: <uV9c5.236$L2.22523@news.dbn.net>
Source record: 84860

Sorry wrong address above. Here is the correct one.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=383387955

BOSOX <savy@pnx1.com> wrote in message
news:jG9c5.233$L2.22518@news.dbn.net...
> See
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=38338
> 7955
>
> If interested
>
>

767. Lowe Airmaster 2000 ACARS software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39713AB0.8AA46195@postnet.com>
Source record: 84861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of a U.S. outlet for the Airmaster 2000 software
from Lowe in the UK? I've got the Lowe interface and an earlier version
of the software and I'd like to get something that would run in Win 98.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

768. Re: New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <39713C24.BE826D47@swbell.net>
Source record: 84862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Darrin wrote:
> Yes, the 2006 is as solid as they come. I actually liked the 2004
> better, even though it was slower. Most people also say it wasn't as
> sensitive as the 2006, but I didn't find that to be the case. IMO, the
> best radio ever made was the 2042.

Yep, the 2004 is a pleasure in its own right. And the tuning knob and 1000 stock channels on the 2042 is great. I'm extremely partial to the GRE-made scanners because through their lineage they seemed to concentrate on what Bill Cheek termed the 'steak' rather than the 'sizzle'. It appears that the BC780XLT will continue what the BC245XLT started and do the same.

769. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10007152010500.25630-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 84863
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 15 Jul 2000, A Guy Called Tyketto wrote:

> In rec.travel.air JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:

> > America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I
> > bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery is
> > 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55
>
> which airport was this at? I'm sorta surprised that they're
> using frequencies that high. I'm not too well versed on scanners, but
> from an old cell phone and pager I have, 900MHz is around the analog
> pager/cell phone range.. wouldn't they be more opt to use something
> close to ramp and ground control?

Aviation frequencies use the 108-136 MHZ range. Frequencies between
108-118 MHZ are used for VHF navigational equipment such as VOR recievers,
Localizers, and Glideslope reception. It is not uncommon for ATIS
(current airport weather and arrival/departure information at tower
controlled airports) to be broadcast on a VOR frequency (BWI broadcasts
their atis on top of the VOR frequency 115.1). Anything that requires
two-way voice will be broadcast between 118-136 MHZ. Here, you'll find
clearance delivery, ground, tower, approach/departure control, some ATIS,
and the enroute centers. You'll also hear the common traffic advisories
and uncontrolled fields (standard frequencies are 122.7, 122.8, 123.0
although there are others). You will also hear ASOS/AWOS which is weather
information only.

I don't know the FCC rules on band assignment, but you will not hear
general airline employees talking in the air bands.

770. Re: Warning About Kenwood and their terrible shipping policies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8kopo7fdn0@63.162.247.100>
Source record: 84897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"B.J." wrote:
>

> company I purchased it from and they said it had been delivered! They also
> said the unit had "Kenwood" right on the box...an invitation for the
> thieves!

You are right! Kenwood should be sued for putting their name on the
box, such an open invitation to theft! And you can bet that Kenwood
knows it too!

771. newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tick <tick@home.com>
Message-ID: <qXRb5.68535$_b3.1988453@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84898

I've just been recently introduced to scanners and listening to police and fire frequencies. A friend of mine mentioned that some of the Fire Dept's in our area are using digital transmitions more and more and that soon enough most transmissions would all be digital. Is there another device equivalent to the scanner that will pick up these digital transmissions? any help is appreciated.

772. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <396FF4B5.A6BC3080@postnet.com>
Source record: 84899
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

JA Moran wrote:

> had a cituation on America West this past Sunday..... WAs told flight would
> leave in 2o minutes.. you'd beter hurry and get to the gate.... I ran like
> OJ Simpson through the airport and got to the gate.... I was at gate 40...
> Then America West does a gate change and sends everyone a mile back to gate
> 10..... Then at gate 10.. they load the plane with people but there was no
> ground crew to load the plane with cargo, baggage, or even fuel.... plane did
> not leave til almost midnight.
>
> I have a scanner and was monitoring the America West ground operations
> frequency..... what a mess.. but what fun listening
>
> America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I
> bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery is
> 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55

John,

Your frustration with America West is not unique. A recent issue of
Airways magazine had a feature article on America West entitled; "Is This
America's WORST Airline?"

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

773. Re: newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kan1022@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715012111.21057.00000115@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 84900

> Is there another device
>equivalent to the scanner that will pick up these digital transmissions?
>any help is appreciated.

NO

774. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <396FF681.C82C098B@postnet.com>
Source record: 84901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Barry D wrote:

> Jabroni <nospam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:opdsmsgitat3o9q7b3rgk5gurpf7ecufpo@4ax.com...
> > This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
> > off.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313
>
> Has I said before "Jabroni" pretty much sums you up. The guy can charge
> what ever he wants. It's a friggin auction...don't bid!! If someone buys it
> more power to the seller. You trying to police the NG and Ebay?? LMAO!!

Hey, it's a free country and the guy can ask what he wants ... and
someone else can point out that the price is awfully high. The First Amendment
applies in commercial speech as well as political speech. There is such a thing
as "price gouging" even if it isn't illegal and consumer alerts are legitimate
and one of the valuable uses of these newsgroups. I don't get involved in that
kind of stuff but if someone else wants to - more power to him!

Dan

P.S. Did you mean "Has" or "As" in our opening line?

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

775. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <mattheww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <dv00nscq1q4783k6eb9g7cs94cjonciiav@4ax.com>
Source record: 84902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Fri, 14 Jul 2000 21:49:01 -0400, "MaKin" <ontherun@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
>the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
>
I got it over the phone.

United Parcel Service Corporate Headquarters
55 Glenlake Pkwy NW
Atlanta, GA 30328

776. ok6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: per amici <uncino2@inwind.it>
Message-ID: <8kp158hof1@sirmdpsin17.inwind.it>
Source record: 84903

```
Ciao amica o amico,vai a visitare il mio sito
indirizzo
http://utenti.tripod.it/uncino1
ti ringazio Uncino
```

777. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8kp6rp$9f7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net> asked:
> what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

FRS - Family Radio Service [95.627]

Ch	Freq	Ch	Freq
1	462.5625	8	467.5625
2	462.5875	9	467.5875
3	462.6125	10	467.6125
4	462.6375	11	467.6375
5	462.6625	12	467.6625
6	462.6875	13	467.6875
7	462.7125	14	467.7125

The FRS was authorized on 06 June 96. It uses FM with a peak
deviation of +/- 2.5 kHz. Maximum power is 0.5w ERP.
Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.

778. REQ: Kern County, CA freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEN KOLLER <kenkoller@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OsBrA5j7$GA.358@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 84905

Hello,
Can someone please help me out with some frequencies for Kern County,
CA. What I'm looking for is Kern County FD and Sheriff for the Frazier Park
area, along with Hall ambulance and Bakersfield Fire Dept. Please email me
with any help, thank you.

--
Ken Koller
kenkoller@msn.com
EMT, American Medical Response, South Gate, CA

779. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: eb417@start.com.au
Message-ID: <39702fe7.10116986@news-server>
Source record: 84906

what is family radio service?
Remove NOSPAM to reply
Gavin Jamieson: eb417@NOSPAMstart.com.au
Get paid to listen to music!!
http://www.radiofreecash.com/home.asp?ref=Phoenix_5

780. New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <>
Message-ID: <sn0gbdoqnd620@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What is the latest buzz on the new Bearcat scanner that is suppose to do all the stuff the PRO-2006 does? Any info will be greatly appreciated.

781. FS: Electronic Circuits Books, Volumes 1-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitchell katz <mcguyver32780@msn.com>
Message-ID: <eadnu3k7$GA.56@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 84908
Cross-posted to: misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,sci.electronics.basics,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.design,sci.electronics.misc

I have the 3 volume set of hardbound, Encyclopedia of Electronic Circuits 1-3 for sale, they are in great shape, I do not use them anymore. I will sell for $40 for the set..

Photo's upon request

--
McGuyver32780@msn.com
Space Coast, Florida

782. Re: FCC ID for Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8kpp6p$2ups$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84909

don't know ID for X10, but ID for the X10T (cell-blocked USA version) is
EUG5U5 DJ-X10T

Surface Mount wrote in message <8kipek$pk5$1@news-int.gatech.edu>...
>Can someone please post the FCC ID for an Alinco DJ-X10.
>
>Thanks,
>Will
>
>

783. Re: New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net>
Message-ID: <6QZb5.910$Mt6.2559@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try more like the 2052 but better...the 2006 is good but kind of dated.
Buford T. Justice >>NOT <<<BTJustice@cloudmaster.com> wrote in message
news:sn0gbdoqnd620@corp.supernews.com...
> What is the latest buzz on the new Bearcat scanner that is suppose to do
all
> the stuff the PRO-2006 does? Any info will be greatly appreciated.
>
>

784. DEFECTIVE: Motorola T289 Talkabout FRS Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: PhilC <phil@nospam.philcovington.com>
Message-ID: <39706e5d$0$1497$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 84911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.consumers.experiences

Motorola T289 Squealing Problem:

The majority of Motorola T289 TalkAbout FRS radios seem to be defective. Some units will emit a loud squeal when the push-to-talk button is released which is very disturbing and annoying.

Since I originally posted a message to this newsgroup about this problem, I have received multiple emails from people who have had this same problem. There is a message posted to the alt.radio.family newsgroup with the subject "T289 problem" that describes the defect in more detail.

Motorola customer service is aware of the problem.

STAY AWAY FROM THE T289s!

Regards,

Phil Covington

785. Frequency help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <39707570.BF3B05EE@home.com>
Source record: 84912

Hello:

Looking for Ryder Bus of New Jersey.. If you have or know of the
frequencies, please e-mail.. Thanks

Bill

786. NHRA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Shepherd <shepherd_j@csi.com>
Message-ID: <8kpvd7oii1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84913

Searched deja news and can't find an answer to my question.

The radio frequency for monitor control used to be 461.075. Checked that frequency at the Denver races yesterday and they are not using that frequency.
Did a lot of scanning over a pretty broad range and could not find it.

They recently changed from Racing Radios to Radio Communications Inc. as a supplier of equipment and that might have changed all the channels.

Anyone know what they use now?

Thanks, Jim

787. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397082B7.8CD26EAC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks For the Info!

Yar <YAR509@worldnet.att.net> asked:
> what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

FRS - Family Radio Service [95.627]

Ch Freq Ch Freq

1 462.5625 8 467.5625
2 462.5875 9 467.5875
3 462.6125 10 467.6125
4 462.6375 11 467.6375
5 462.6625 12 467.6625
6 462.6875 13 467.6875
7 462.7125 14 467.7125

The FRS was authorized on 06 June 96. It uses FM with a peak
deviation of +/- 2.5 kHz. Maximum power is 0.5w ERP.
Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.
--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

788. Pro 94 "hidden" codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John A. Perry" <perry@jpunix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0007151041540.9999-100000@elvis.jpunix.com>
Source record: 84915

Has anyone manage to discover any hidden or undocumented
keystrokes on the Pro 94? I just got one and was curious.

--
John Perry perry@jpunix.com PGP-encrypted e-mail welcome!
PGP/GPG key 164BDBAE
ICQ# 64823745

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

789. Re: AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715121023.15845.00000049@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 84916

I also own an AOR AR1000. I happened to find an extensive operation manual on the internet. It is vastly better than the manual that came with the radio.

The website I found it on is www.designeq.com. You will need PDF Reader to download the manual. It contains about any information you could want about this scanner.

I hope this helps!

Sward

790. Re: Travis AFB Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715122123.15845.00000053@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 84917

One of the best places to find aviation freqs for airports in the U.S. is www.airnav.com.

This website has an extensive database of airports in the U.S. and lists the majority of freqs. that most people would be interested in.

I hope this helps.

Sward

791. FS: Unidne Bearcat BC120XLT 100 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <Nn0c5.60550$dF.2327095@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

10 Priority Channels (1 Per Bank), 100 Channels, 11 Band Coverage (29-512MHz), 3 Day Memory Backup (If battery and charger are removed), Channel Lockout, Data Skip Function, Full Frequency LCD Display (Backlit), Manual Channel Access, Preprogrammed Service Search, Programmable Search & Scan Delay, Rechargeable Ni -Cad Battery Pack Included, AC & DC Power Adapters Included, Twin Turbo: Scan & Search, VHF Aircraft Band, Rubber Antenna. NEARLY NEW CONDITION (1 Year Old). Works Great. Comes with Forest Green Carrying Case.

Price: $80.00 + $10 Shipping. Cash, Money Order, Personal Check With 10 Day Clearance, and VISA/MasterCard are accepted.

For more info or to buy, contact forsale@chiscanner.8m.com

792. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: alice@kramden.moon
Message-ID: <sK0c5.116$e3.446@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 84919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

While needless damage can and does occur during transit, your bigger problem is the shipper. They should not be sending out anything of value with no-signature releases.

Even if you receive a damaged package on your doorstep, (1) call UPS before you open it or (2) call the shipper and have them initiate a claim. Then, too, the shipper might need to do a better job of packaging (like a fiberglass or cardboard sleeve around your antenna) so tell them about that.

Tell your shipper to NOT use the no-signature release. This used to be the only way UPS worked, but they opt for (or default to) no-signature because hardly anyone is at home during the day.

UPS is very service-oriented and if you ask, they will make good on every legitimate claim. The drivers will bend over backwards for you too, if you ask them for help,

(No, I don't work for them)

In article <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, ontherun@mindspring.com was of the opinion...
>
>Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
>the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
>
>Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
>>
>> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
>> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
>> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife
>was
>> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the
>> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the
>> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
>> the
>> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
>> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that
>it
>> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost
>> as
>> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
>> >

>> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
>> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
>home).
>> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
>> before
>> > I went outside and found it.
>> >
>> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
>> ring
>> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
>advising
>> > me I have a package.
>> >
>> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am
>> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
>> > alternate services.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > WS2L
>>
>> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>>
>>
>
>

--
The opinions expressed are neither mine nor my employer's. In fact
I don't know whose they are!

793. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <N61c5.1049$Mt6.2096@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan,
I think the only complaint most of us had was the way he phrased it: "This asshole ~ is really trying to rip people off." If he had said something like: "Can you believe it! Some guy is trying to sell xxxx scanners on ebay for more than they sold for new and claims he's giving a discount. Lotta nerve!!" then the posting wouldn't have stirred so many people up. I've got no problem with free speech, I just like to see people display a little manners while doing so. (I know, vain hope!)

Mark K5MAR

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote in message
news:396FF681.C82C098B@postnet.com...
>
> Hey, it's a free country and the guy can ask what he wants ... and
> someone else can point out that the price is awfully high. The First
Amendment
> applies in commercial speech as well as political speech. There is such a
thing
> as "price gouging" even if it isn't illegal and consumer alerts are
legitimate
> and one of the valuable uses of these newsgroups. I don't get involved in
that
> kind of stuff but if someone else wants to - more power to him!
>
> Dan

794. COMPRO ESCANER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.V." <ravera@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <jt1c5.8035$aS.54095@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84921

COMPRARIA ESCANER ICOM IC R100

--
SALUDOS. R.VERA

795. Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc0eao <kc0eao@sd.cybernex.net>
Message-ID: <yu1c5.3231$IZ1.25498@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 84922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!

--
Dan S. -- kc0eao

796. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <r581nsotsjmf8hosskfdi1ssradqrp4hfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 84923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 15 Jul 2000 08:20:09 GMT, F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.

Would you happen to have the rest of the GMRS channels handy?

--
"I am not an Anarchist in *your* sense of the word :
your brain is too dense for any known explosive to affect it."

--Aleister Crowley

797. FA: OptoTrakker Communications Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <hW1c5.39084$fR2.351782@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84924

Optoelectronics OptoTrakker Communications Decoder on Ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=379622369

For picture, and technical specifications, click here...

http://www.optoelectronics.com/optotrakker.htm

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

798. Magnetic Storm forcast...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <S%1c5.39088$fR2.351898@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84925

WASHINGTON (AP) -- A magnetic storm that could disrupt radio transmissions and satellites -- and also produce colorful northern lights -- is expected to strike the earth Saturday and could last until Monday.

Details...

http://live.altavista.com/scripts/editorial.dll?efi=888&ei=1989836&ern=y

Bill Crocker

799. Michigan State Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <o82c5.39092$fR2.351928@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84926

Is the Michigan State Police, in the Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb County areas, completely converted over to digital, or do they still use the previous system?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

800. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715153435.12847.00000598@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84927

Don't know if you are refering to my post. I use GS-27 or a similar product. GS-27 is the product that is on the infomercials that claims to take out scratches from auto finish. Use very little and swirl over the LCD. Do this for about 10 seconds, and see if there is any change. You don't want to rub too much at one time. I use a diaper or 100% cotton cloth.

801. Re: New Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505 <mm505@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kqekp$e2v$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A 2006 does the normal frequency range, but it doesn't do Trunking.

Darrin <darrin99@iname.com> wrote in message
news:hl21nsonftk4st4e8hh9c4foipiestu896@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2000 05:48:13 -0500, "Buford T. Justice"
> <>>>NOT<<<BTJustice@cloudmaster.com> wrote:
>
> >What is the latest buzz on the new Bearcat scanner that is suppose to do
all
> >the stuff the PRO-2006 does? Any info will be greatly appreciated.
> >
> "All the stuff the 2006 does"??? What exactly does the 2006 do, that
> most any other wide range scanner doesn't already do? (besides cell)

802. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3970BE81.D1A4057D@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 84929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

kc0eao schrieb:

> Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display
> window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
> compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
> exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!
>
> --
> Dan S. -- kc0eao

Use something that is used for removing scratches from displays of cell-phones.

Over here in Germany we have a product named "DISPLEX"

odo -germany-

803. Re: Michigan State Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <sn1gjm5und6157@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84930

The MSP posts serving those counties are now completely digital. I monitor their previously assigned analog frequencies almost continuously and have not heard from them in years. There is occasionally a little analog traffic from the Flint Post in Gennesse county, but nothing in the metro Detroit area.

Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Is the Michigan State Police, in the Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb County
>areas, completely converted over to digital, or do they still use the
>previous system?

804. Re: FS Pro-2026 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8kqg4o$a8o$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84931

what is the Rat Shack catalog number on it?

805. FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3970C963.FEC52F5D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84932

I have a PRO 2004 for sale. Electronically a 10, cosmetically a 7.
No damage to case, but it has two holes drilled in the side, and
one small hole in the front panel (I think it was for the LED
squelch mod) for some mods, which were taken out when I bought it
last year. The panel light is still bright, but I modified the
switch to go OFF or DIM. I also did the mod to add an additional
100 channels, and it already had the (GASP!!) cell mod. Works
perfectly.

$200.00 plus shipping from 90706.

Thanks much

Norm/KQ6SD

806. Philly scanner listeners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715163808.23289.00000345@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 84933

I was wondering if anyone in the Philadelphia area was listening to Philly PD while that beating arrest i saw on the news was going on. If so it must of been exciting.

807. FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3970CC1F.D9D70C31@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84934

I have a PRO 2004 for sale. Electronically a 10, cosmetically a 7. No damage to case, but it has two holes drilled in the side, and one small hole in the front panel (I think it was for the LED squelch mod) for some mods, which were taken out when I bought it last year. The panel light is still bright, but I modified the switch to go OFF or DIM. I also did the mod to add an additional 100 channels, and it already had the (GASP!!) cell mod. I'll throw in the $20.00 service manual so you can remove this mod.

$225.00 plus shipping from 90706.

Thanks much

Norm/KQ6SD

808. Air Show - Selfridge Air Base Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <l84c5.39202$fR2.352939@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84935

Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan, is having an air show July 22~23!

This show is dedicated to the veterans of the Korean and Vietnam wars.

Admission is free! Opens at 8:00am, starts at 10am. Gates will close to incoming traffic around 2:15pm.

810-307-6999 for more information.

Bill Crocker

809. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dano@truvalue.com>
Message-ID: <8kqji7$es0$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 84936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

alice <alice@kramden.moon> wrote in message
news:sK0c5.116$e3.446@news1.iquest.net...

<snip>

> Tell your shipper to NOT use the no-signature release. This used to be
the
> only way UPS worked, but they opt for (or default to) no-signature because
> hardly anyone is at home during the day.
>
> UPS is very service-oriented and if you ask, they will make good on every
> legitimate claim. The drivers will bend over backwards for you too, if
you ask
> them for help,
>

Yeah, right.

810. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8kqloq$dv0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Lipps <paullipps@mac.com> wrote in message
news:B5955799.8FC6%paullipps@mac.com...

> Bob, I think you got him. There is no factual way to compare scanners to
> sliced bread. =)
>
> C-Ya!
> --
> Paul Lipps
> paullipps@mac.com
>

Yeah, I guess so.. And the new Pro 2067 mobile scanner looks like it's
identical to the Pro 92 but in a mobile form factor, etc.. I wonder if
cloning will work between a 92 and a 2067 though..

And I discovered that I can even mix trunking and non trunking channels in
the same bank on the Pro 92 and it'll work!

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

811. Re: FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3970DAD7.DB0F41F8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84938

Nobody wrote:

> 12 minutes ago you said:
> "$200.00 plus shipping from 90706."

12 minutes ago I added the service manual. Are you making me an
offer?

Norm (Somebody)

812. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: A Guy Called Tyketto <tyketto@wizard.com>
Message-ID: <Y25c5.23165$MT.761393@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84939
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In rec.travel.air JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:
> I have a scanner and was monitoring the America West ground operations
> frequency..... what a mess.. but what fun listening

> America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I
> bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery is
> 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55

which airport was this at? I'm sorta surprised that they're
using frequencies that high. I'm not too well versed on scanners, but
from an old cell phone and pager I have, 900MHz is around the analog
pager/cell phone range.. wouldn't they be more opt to use something
close to ramp and ground control?

BL.
--
Brad Littlejohn | Email: tyketto@wizard.com
Unix Systems Administrator, | tyketto@ozemail.com.au
Web + NewsMaster, BOFH.. Smeghead! :) | http://www.wizard.com/~tyketto
PGP: 1024D/E319F0BF 6980 AAD6 7329 E9E6 D569 F620 C819 199A E319 F0BF

813. racing cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3970DEEC.606E3F12@mediaone.net>
Source record: 84940

What freq's does the remote cameras and onboard cameras use to transmit
to the control trailer?

814. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmfn$kfi@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

You may be able to obtain manuals for older Midland scanners from:

Midland Consumer Radio Products
1670, North Topping,
Kansas City,
MO: 64120

Phone: 816-241-8500

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the

scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models

are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.

tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

815. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmfc$kfg@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some models, like the early Uniden SportCats and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $1500 Icom R8500 and $2000 AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT, BC245XLT, SC200, and Radio Shack PRO-91 and PRO-94 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites. The ICOM IC-R2 and Yaesu VR-500 are the two smallest "pocket" scanners which perform. Both are tiny enough to fit comfortably in a shirt pocket so you can monitor without attracting undue attention.

Looking for value? At $170 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT base is a good value and available at most Sears and Best Buy stores. The Uniden Sportcat SC200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $220 street price.

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your

scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, http://www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance. The Premier (Prime) RD-9 and RD-9SMA are about the same length but even thinner.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter

and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. Modifying any scanner to receive cellular phone signals is now considered illegal. By federal regulation, new scanner models must be designed to they cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the

Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial

broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, http://www.grove-ent.com) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the

public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM, too.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes an extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an

invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

Other scanner mailing lists include: scanner@onelist.com, scan-l@onelist.com, scanner@qth.net, and sonofrcma@onelist.com.

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about

4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for

free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55 in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or six pack.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

816. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmfi$kfh@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Other frequency web sites include:
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/ and
http://www.scannerway.8m.com.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or

anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the

channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have

the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus

service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

817. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmgf$kfn@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

818. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmg2$kfl@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: April 15, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal
fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with
the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label,
and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform
the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second
local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band.
On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700
series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal
formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the
first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)422-4210. The part number on the service manual for my VHF-high band monitor is 68P81048A55-G and my VHF-low band manual bears part number 68P81050A95-D.

John Miller reports the current price is $5.70 for service manuals. Motorola advised John that Alert Monitor parts are scarce, with last stocked being in 1995, Knobs are no longer available, though there are many that would fit.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. TCS Communications Corp. sells used Plectron receivers, in working condition, for $75-100. If you require crystals or reeds, TCS may be able to find some types/frequencies. Contact:

Terry Marengi
TCS Communications Corp.
tel. 1-800-TCS-XMIT
email: TERRYTCS@aol.com

If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

819. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8kqmgk$kfo@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 84946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com |

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)241-8742, or through your local Radio Shack store. You may be able to obtain some technical assistance from Tandy by calling (800)843-7422, option 2. The RSU (Radio Shack Unlimited) phone number is (800)-433-2024.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004, but Robert Cummings has modified an LCD from a Miller Engineering (http://www.microstru.com) experimenter's kit and fitted it to his PRO-2004. See http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html for details.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the

squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad (PRO-43 keypad is RSU#10789808) may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A
crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those
channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

820. AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenny@woodburn.ezesurf.co.uk
Message-ID: <8koa9u$m5l$3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 84980

Ive just got an AOR1000 and would like to reset it so ican start afresh.How do

i do this please.
Kenny

821. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <396FAD09.6F09@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ummm... so? He probably bought them for $100 new and is re-selling them.
Who cares? I don't. Why should you?

Jabroni wrote:
>
> This asshole scentsmart@netscape.net is really trying to rip people
> off.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=375137677
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=377951313

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

822. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NdOb5.14199$8m.76910@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FRS Frequencies

1 462.5625
2 462.5875
3 462.6125
4 462.6375
5 462.6625
6 462.6875
7 462.7125
8 467.5625
9 467.5875
10 467.6125
11 467.6375
12 467.6625
13 467.6875
14 467.7125

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Yar" <YAR509@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:396F72C9.1E2B4E9C@worldnet.att.net...
> what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you
> --
>
>
> I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!
>
> YAR509@worldnet.att.net
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html
>
> ---
---

823. Re: Opening Uniden BC3000XCLT Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Haggerty <jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6kOb5.6105$tl4.420549@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84983

I tried taking out all four screws this time and removing the belt clip (thought there might be a fifth screw), but it's still attached by something near the top. I hope it's not glued like the battery packs.

Joe Haggerty
Madison, New Jersey, USA
jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net

Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message news:8ke837$r53@news.or.intel.com...
> Did you remove all 4 screws? The two silver ones in the battery
> compartment?
>
> --
> Brett - N7OLQ
> http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
>
> "Joseph Haggerty" <jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:uhva5.4703$%P.370955@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Does anyone know how to open the case of a Uniden BC3000XLT? I took out
> the
> > two screws on the back and removed the battery pack, but the case is
still
> > closed tight. I'm trying to get a look at the solder joint for the
center
> > conductor of the BNC antenna jack.
> >
> > Joe Haggerty
> > Madison, New Jersey, USA
> > jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net
> >
> >
>
>

824. Re: ****Programing Instructions BC245XLT****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8kogii$ci4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84984

Using the DATA key you can toggle between three display modes. One of the modes is for scan lists. From there you can turn any scan list on or off. A more complete explanation can be found at www.strongsignals.net. Search for BC245 FAQ and read the whole thing.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

825. Pentictin, BC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lippy <lippy4@gte.net>
Message-ID: <bsPb5.1216$xg7.190387@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 84985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the exact frequencies for Police and Fire in Pentictin, BC
that live there and listen.

826. Re: Ford Keyless Remote Entry System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <396fc995$0$1506$53a6d03e@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 84986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>Try a search for:
>+keyless +remote +frequency
>at:
>http://www.deja.com/home_ps.shtml
>and
>http://www.dogpile.com/
>
>Gary K4GPB
>
>
>
>

Try 310 - 320 Mhz, the remote unit puts out about a 1/100th watt signal. The receiver in the vehicle is a superregen design.

Fred

827. Re: Opening Uniden BC3000XCLT Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <396fcbd4$0$1506$53a6d03e@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 84987

The 5th screw is under the label. You have to destroy the label to remove the 5th screw. That way Uniden knows you've been into the radio.....the BC2500 is the same case.....

enjoy

Fred

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>I tried taking out all four screws this time and removing the belt clip
>(thought there might be a fifth screw), but it's still attached by something
>near the top. I hope it's not glued like the battery packs.
>
> Joe Haggerty
>Madison, New Jersey, USA
>jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net
>
>Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
>news:8ke837$r53@news.or.intel.com...
>> Did you remove all 4 screws? The two silver ones in the battery
>> compartment?
>>
>> --
>> Brett - N7OLQ
>> http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
>>
>> "Joseph Haggerty" <jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:uhva5.4703$%P.370955@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> > Does anyone know how to open the case of a Uniden BC3000XLT? I took out
>> the
>> > two screws on the back and removed the battery pack, but the case is
>still
>> > closed tight. I'm trying to get a look at the solder joint for the
>center
>> > conductor of the BNC antenna jack.
>> >
>> > Joe Haggerty
>> > Madison, New Jersey, USA
>> > jphaggerty@worldnet.att.net
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

828. Re: Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <396FCD09.80D2D86A@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84988

MaKin wrote:
> Here is a list of the pre-ban scanners of which I am
> aware that should be capable of frequency restoration:
> PRO-2004 BC-855XLT *3 Regency 4030
> PRO-2005 BC-200XLT Regency 1600
> PRO-2006 BC-205XLT Icom IC-R10 *7
> PRO-2022 BC-760XLT *4
> PRO-2026 *8 BC-700A *3
> PRO-2027 BC-890XLT *4
> PRO-2030 BC-950XLT
> PRO-2032 BC-2500XLT *3
> PRO-34
> PRO-37
> PRO-39
> PRO-43 *1
> PRO-46 *2 *5
> PRO-51 *6
> PRO-23 *6
> Note 1: Date code of 2A4 (Feb94) and prior, any xA3, any xA2 (label in
> battery
> compartment), or no "A" in the serial no. (Date code 3A4 (Mar94) and after
> WILL
> NOT WORK !)

That's not quite true. I believe *all* PRO-43s without the "A"
in the serial number are cell capable. For example, I have one

that is date code 10A4 (no "A" in the serial number) which is cell capable -- it bears the "Realistic" name, not "Radio Shack". However, this unit was distributed by Intertan Canada (i.e. at the time, this was the Canadian subsidiary of Radio Shack). It seems that around April 1994 Radio Shack stopped selling the cell modifiable PRO-43s in the U.S. but continued to sell them in other markets (until they were all gone).

--
Michael Moore

829. LACoFD NEW TAC FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8koju4eqi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84989

HERE IS ANOTHER ONE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT.
OLD TAC-7........IS NEW TAC-3 (154.295)

SO FAR I HAVE TAC-17 AS 154.430 AND TAC-3 AS 154.295

PLEASE HELP FILL IN THE GAPS!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

830. DO YOU WANT TO SELL A PRO-92?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kok1neqn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84990

I AM LOOKING TO BUY A PRO-92 SCANNER. IF YOU WANT TO SELL
YOURS......LET ME KNOW!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

831. Re: Unblocked Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <396fcf48$0$1506$53a6d03e@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 84991

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>How would anyone know if you brought an unblocked scanner to the us?
>
>Mike wrote:
>
>> Would I be taking a big risk by going to Canada to buy a unblocked scanner,
>> to bring back to the U.S. Are unblocked scanners just illegal to purchase
>> in the U.S. or are they illegal to posess.
>

IF he were searched by customs and they found the scanner, they would look for the FCC ID number which an unapproved scanner would not have. Then they would confiscate it as contraband. No receipt - nothing....and you have NO recourse....

Fred

832. Re: Airlines ground operations frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <xVQb5.19085$oj6.147371@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I was on the ground at Memphis for 4 hours while they fixed a water
problem on the plane. Fortunately I had a scanner with me and found
the ground crew frequencies. It's amazing that what they tell you
over the intercom on the plane has no resemblance as to what is
actually going on.

By the way, I hope the persons on the ground for 8 hours waiting
to get off the plane (I don't remember the details) win their lawsuit.
I know what it feels like to be a prisoner of the airlines.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:396FC3FC.7E9D8976@analon.com...
> I find monitoring hte airlines ground operations frequencies can sometimes
be
> interesting.
> America West in Phoenix was heard this week on 935.175 and 935.1625
>
> Delta use 460.75 I think they use this frequency system wide.
>
> JOHN
>
> --
>
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------------------------
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
> Computer Systems/Networks, AFFORDABLE and built to perform best at what
you
> run.
> --------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------------
> Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> * --
> VOTE:Preserve rights, lower taxes http://www.lizmichael.com
> ++
>

>

833. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4hhg_SPAM_@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3976d6d9.1662431@news.erols.com>
Source record: 84993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

They are totally correct. When shipping delicates such as
electronics, UPS can and does insist on new packaging inorder to
accept a declared value amount.

Notice I said "declared value," v/s "insurance." Its not insurance.

If the package becomes lost, the declared value amount sets the
maximum amount UPS will reimburse. If it is damaged, UPS is under no
obligation to pay unless the box is physically damaged such that the
contents are also damaged. EG: The forklift blade through the box
qualifies for that.

Think UPS is "bad," check RPS or FedEX. IMHO, RPS is the worst.

On Sun, 9 Jul 2000 08:06:23 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>
>I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
>I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
>from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
>original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
>for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
>packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
>whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

834. Re: Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Piel <spiel@law.upenn.edu>
Message-ID: <8komhgeov1@netnews.upenn.edu>
Source record: 84994

How is a Michigan resident buying a scanner at a Michigan store supposed to bring his purchase home? Does he have to mail it home, or walk it home? :)

835. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8kooa6$6js$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote in message
news:8knggn$321@news.or.intel.com...
> The BC245XLT is the most capable trunktraker available (base or HH). If
you
> want to wait a few months, you can get a BC780XLT (mobile) which should be
> the greatest thing since sliced bread.
>
> Brett - N7OLQ
>

Can you back up that statement with facts?? I think that the Pro92 is a much
better scanner (with 500 channels no less) that does multi-trunking with
ease, and right now it's only $249...

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

836. FA: Radio Shack PRO 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Berenyi <jberenyi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <396FE8D9.F8B25865@earthlink.net>
Source record: 84996

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=382781136

837. F/S Icom R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716152324.22105.00000824@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84747

Icom R-2 $180 + Shipping
Manual,Box,Leather case, 209sma & stock antenna. Looks like new.
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

838. F/S Sony WaveHawk PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716152505.22117.00000845@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84748

```
Sony Wavehawk PC $140 + Shipping
Very little use. Comes with everything as new.
====================
Dwayne
-------------------------------------
Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
-------------------------------------
Remove nojunk to email
```

839. F/S PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716152751.28307.00000403@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84749

```
Pro-90 $120 + Shipping
Manual,Box,Antenna,Leather case,A/C adapter. Has new keypad & front case
====================
Dwayne
-------------------------------------
Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
-------------------------------------
Remove nojunk to email
```

840. F/S BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716153001.22142.00000869@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84750

BC-235XLT $120 + Shipping
Manual,Box,Antenna,Case,A/C adapter. Looks like new.
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

841. F/S PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716153120.22124.00000966@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84751

```
PRO-51(5A6) $110.00 + Shipping
Manual,Box,Antenna
==================
Dwayne
--------------------------------------
Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
--------------------------------------
Remove nojunk to email
```

842. F/S BC350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000716153225.22124.00000968@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84752

```
BC350A $50.00
Manual,Box,Antenna,A/C adapter,Mounting bracket

====================
Dwayne
--------------------------------------
Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
--------------------------------------
Remove nojunk to email
```

843. FS/FT PRO 2006 or Trident 100xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39720E2F.72656C15@uswest.net>
Source record: 84753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am in need of a Widows CE 2.0 or better hand held computer.
I also need to have that computer sync with my desktop for file
transfer.

I have a 2006 with cell mod and discriminator tap that leads to a
Hamcomm interface or simply a RCA phono jack. The radio is in good
physical condition with some surface wear minor scratches and mars.
The radio works just fine except that the back light is real dim.
Comes with manual and antenna. Would sell for $225 plus shipping
I also have a Trident TRX 100xlt in like new condition with manual
antenna and a Radio Shack battery charger for it. Would sell for $240
shipped

If you want to buy one or the other or both I take Money Orders
or Paypal
Email me with offers

Greg

844. Re: Houston area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Prindle" <mprindle@email.notreal.com>
Message-ID: <mprindle-8B3D12.14544816072000@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84754

In article <13471-39712311-87@storefull-262.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
albertorea@webtv.net (Alberto) wrote:

>Hi im looking for Frequencies for the Houston area
>

What kinds? THere are tons of them. Right now I have 4 trunking banks,
2 banks of standard HPD and FPD and 1 bank w/ nothing but air trafic.

M. Prindle
When you reply the correct e-mail address will be provided

Oxymoron - Microsoft Works

845. Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey Sucks <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200007162001.QAA04392@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 84755

Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
legal troubles with the FCC?

Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
of an FCC agent?

Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
to keep the feds out of it.

846. PRO-76 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <billhuffman175@home.com>
Message-ID: <9Boc5.61638$dF.2387240@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84756

I'm looking for instructions on how to program a Radio Shack PRO-76. RS does not have this manual available online because it's too old. Can anyone help

847. escuchas a moviles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "jmalvasa@taleline.es" <jmalvasa@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <yYoc5.10024$aS.78326@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84757

> Mi pregunta es la siguiente, ¿es posible que alguien pueda de alguna forma
> escuchar mis conversaciones telefonicas desde mi movil de "Movistar"? y si
> es asi, ¿como es posible hacerlo? , porque los ultimos acontecimientos que
> he tenido tan solo lo sabiamos la persona con la que hablaba y es mi
> hermano hablando desde otro movil (no moviline), y yo.
> En cualquier caso , si no podeis responderme, indicarme donde puedo
> preguntar o donde puedo dirigirme para averiguarlo y no me digais que
> llamando a la compa¿ia.
jmalvasa@teleline.es

848. saldo de tarjeta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "jmalvasa@taleline.es" <jmalvasa@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <y4pc5.10048$aS.77543@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84758

Como puedo saber el saldo de una tarjeta de airtel a traves de internet?

849. Repeaters lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: F6GKD <f6gkd@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8kt7j3ooh1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 84759

Hello here the europeen list of repeaters.

http://www.ref-union.org/cnrr/

73
--
F6GKD

850. Re: Pro 92 Key Strokes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000716171517.13755.00000994@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 84760

Check out www.pro-92.com

851. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Secret Services <Dale@SecretServices.com>
Message-ID: <8kt9mkgal1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84761

Great job Fred!
Thanks.....big help!

Dale

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

852. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <8kt70t0jps@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84762

I sure hope there is no such person! It's a troll, right?
Jack
"John Mackey Sucks" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200007162001.QAA04392@anon.cotse.com...
>
> Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
> legal troubles with the FCC?
>
> Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
> selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
> investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
> of an FCC agent?
>
> Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
> Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
> to keep the feds out of it.
>
>
>

853. Does the Grove PRE-5 VHF/UHF Signal Booster do the job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000716174152.10707.00000858@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 84763

Has anyone here used the "PRE-5" or "PRE-5A" VHF/UHF preamplifier from Grove Enterprises. Is it worth the money?

Would like to hear what your opinion is of this item. "Yea or Nay"

Jim Peters
jimdpeters@aol.com
Portland, Oregon

854. Re: Houston area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24007-39722B9B-54@storefull-261.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84764

I am looking for media freqs such as FOX 26 KPRC, KTRK...ETC. and maybe
some secret police freqs.
Thanks
Alberto
http://www.albertorea.com/

855. Re: newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <8kt7aq0k4l@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84765

Apparently, according to popular demand on this list, Uniden is supposed
to have one soon! Let's keep the flame alive so that Uniden will listen.
Jack
"tick" <tick@home.com> wrote in message
news:qXRb5.68535$_b3.1988453@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I've just been recently introduced to scanners and listening to police and
> fire frequencies. A friend of mine mentioned that some of the Fire Dept's
> in our area are using digital transmitions more and more and that soon
> enough most transmissions would all be digital. Is there another device
> equivalent to the scanner that will pick up these digital transmissions?
> any help is appreciated.
>
>

856. Re: Pro 92 Key Strokes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@noisebox.dhs.org>
Message-ID: <c08026c352e7980335d642d1e1fb6223@noisebox.dhs.org>
Source record: 84766

aellery@aol.com (AEllery) wrote:

> Check out www.pro-92.com

I was gonna suggest that myself.

857. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200007162159.RAA16993@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 84767

>Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
>legal troubles with the FCC?

Yes, they say they will charge me soon.

>Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
>selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
>investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
>of an FCC agent?

That is a different case. My lawyer told me not to comment.

>Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
>Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
>to keep the feds out of it.

The child molestation charges are State not Federal moron.
I doubt the kids parents will push for prosecution. Hell,
it was only oral sex and the boy was almost 16.

There is nothing wrong with sex among consenting partners
and a teen is old enough to consent, even if I do get them
really drunk.

John - PARG/Manlove forever

858. Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeppertWV <GeppertWV@dragonbbs.com>
Message-ID: <sn4cfdq4nd687@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84768

Can someone please send me a list of frequencies for the Mason county, West Virginia and Gallia and Meigs, OH counties. I have just gotten a scanner and don't know what frequencies to program into it. My E-mail address is GeppertWV@dragonbbs.com Thanks.

859. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <OBqc5.2024$Mt6.6026@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

You take your chances with any shipper. Whoever shipped it to you should have required an adult signature, otherwise they are free to leave it at your door. It was downright stupid of them NOT to do it. Of course, it means maybe making a trip to UPS to sign for it, but I wouldn't have it any other way on an expensive piece of equipment. They pay no attention to "fragile" labels and treat the package same as any other piece of freight...that includes tossing it around in a truck, dropping it from a loading dock, you name it. If it's damaged and they can prove it wasn't packaged bullet proof, then it's your baby once you sign for it. I've had to refuse packages before that had the ends blown open or rattled, and let the shipper deal with the claim. Ditto for FedEx, RPS, AirBorne, and other services. FedEx managed to lose an $18,000 bank draft that was sent to me a couple of months ago for a car loan. Some woman in the apartment complex found it laying in the street and was nice enough to turn it into the rental office here, who in turn, called me. I called and filed a complaint anyway, and the driver caught a boatload of hell over that one.
I ship with UPS and FedEx where I work, and 99% of the time, there's never a problem, but there are no guarantees with any of them. Good luck with claims...they HATE paying them, even if it's only a few bucks.

Dan

"B.J." <rftech@skyenet.net> wrote in message
news:Vxub5.687$RX5.693@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I had a 10m amateur rig shipped UPS. They left it on the front step and
it
> was stolen before I arrived home. After a week of waiting, I called the
> company I purchased it from and they said it had been delivered! They
also
> said the unit had "Kenwood" right on the box...an invitation for the
> thieves! UPS had to pay for a replacement unit....this time they made
sure
> they HAND DELIVERED it to me...then UPS sent their own detective to make
> sure I didn't con THEM! UPS never again!!!.....THE INSPECTOR
> Steblina <steblina@televar.com> wrote in message
> news:396be93c@news-out.newsnerds.com...
> >
> > KeeBird <keebird@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:9jenms46iot5fnfudg5v1729q0ps6ftrtl@4ax.com...
> > > I live on the second story and watched the UPS driver stop at the
> > > bottom of my stairs and drop the box my new R8500 was in from waist
> > > height. He looked up and say me and said that he was saving the stairs
> > > for last (He had another small package to deliver to another
> > > apartment). The R8500 seems to work ok, I guess thanks to ICOM

> > > packaging. The driver had come the day before and left the little
> > > yellow note.
> >
> > One of the Astronomy magazines reported that the telescope they ordered
> for
> > testing was thrown over a six foot high fence. Even though the package
> said
> > OPTICS--do not drop, etc. etc.
> >
> >
>
>

860. Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS, etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded together to resist the Federal Government and state governments, would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait. The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die. Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?

Bill K9KZ

861. Re: CHP 42.60 and 39 MHz freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39723D4D.503A9F3E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 84771

42.02 to 42.94 MHz can only be used by State Police entities. Locals can not use the 42 MHz unless approved by the respective State Police entity and APCO.

42.60 is only used for Turquoise. Copper no longer exists.

Harry Marnell wrote:
>
> 42.60 IS a CHP frequency, as "Copper" and "Turquoise" base half. For
> Northern Calif, I show Solano (Office #22) as using Turquoise.
>
> If you go to the SCANnorCAL "Files" page at
> http://www.egroups.com/files/scannorcal , you'll find a somewhat dated but
> fairly accurate listing of all CHP freqs.
>
> Which leads me to: If anyone has a more up-to-date CHP list to add to
> either the "Files" or "Links" section, please help yourself. The above page
> will step you through it, and I BELIEVE it's available to all "egroups"
> subscribers, even if you don't subscribe to SCANnorCAL specifically.
>
> Harry
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~Scanning, cop stuff, trains, etc
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Fletcher, Robert L SPK" <rfletcher@spk.usace.army.mil>
> To: "'BAYSCAN'" <bayscan@onelist.com>; "'HAM-RAIL-FAN'"
> <hamrailfans@onelist.com>; "'Jake Hickok'" <jmhickok@rbuhsd.k12.ca.us>;
> "'Norcalhams'" <norcalhams@onelist.com>; "'SOCALSCAN'"
> <socalscan@onelist.com>; "'SCAN norCAL'" <SCANnorCAL@egroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2000 08:43
> Subject: [SCANnorCAL] FW: CHP ?
>
> Sorry for the cross posting, but I am looking for answers as fast as I can
> get. Thanks Bob
>
> BOB FLETCHER
> Drafting-Archives Unit
> US ARMY CORPS of ENGINEERS
> 1325 "J" Street,
> Sacramento, Ca.,
> 95814-2922
> rfletcher@spk.usace.army.mil
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Fletcher, Robert L SPK

> Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2000 7:10 AM
> To: 'Harry Marnell'
> Subject: CHP ?
>
> Harry, Good morning to you and all the listers;
> I started doing a limit search of the 42.000-42.999 freqs on the CHP and I
> kept getting 42.600. I cannot find it in the CHP listing, yet it shows up
> in the latest Police Call book from RS, it shows that freq. from Woodland
> as being under the police usage. Is it in fact CHP or a local police freq.?
> I wonder who may know what it is. It DOES NOT show up in the 1993 ed. of
> the NATIONAL HIGHWAY PATROL FREQUENCY HANDBOOK by Scanner Master Corp, 2nd
> printing. What do you know about this freq.?
>
> Also, are there any 39.??? freqs. for the CHP that you know of? I thought I
> saw something about the new freqs. some time ago. Can you clear that up?
> Thanks in advance, Bob
>
> BOB FLETCHER

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

862. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39723FF2.F5B28E44@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:
>
> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> for saying so.

But not everyone.

> I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse.

Many of us do.

> I guess
> I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> would it really be possible?

Yes. It wouldn't be easy, and require a commitment far more than
most people are prepared to make, but yes, it would be possible.
Remember it only a third of the Colonists made Independence happen.

> Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> off an all out government assault on freedom?

That's an issue of much debate. Most believe that the citizens are
no match for the extreme technology of the US military. But they do
not take into consideration the element of human determination. A
poorly equipped and even more poorly supplied Great Britain
successfully held off the Wehrmacht. Poorly equipped, even more
poorly supplied Continental Army held off the best the Brits could
supply. The advantage of home turf and determination made the
difference.

If the government made war on the people, many would surrender in
the mistaken belief that things would go well for them. Other's
would make their stand. The remainder would cheer on one side or
another or shake their heads in disbelief. It only takes the
determined to make a stand.
And remember that the military is made up of citizens. There would
be those who also would be willing to make a stand. And the military
divided, is not such a formidable enemy.

It's not a certainty that the citizens would prevail. But there is
a slim, fighting chance that they could. That, and determination is
all that is necessary to make things happen.

> Am I the only one who
> thinks on these things?

No, you're far from alone. And the bitterly acrimonious firefights
on these newgroups that erupts from such a question and any response
is more than sufficient evidence that these self same questions are
being asked from sea to sea. And the ever increasing attempts to
disarm teh public would suggest that it's not only citizens who are
thinking along these lines.

> I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.

If history is any indication, "WE" will wait until "We" are
directly threatened. Things that do not affect us, do not trigger
the defensive imperative as a direct assault would. So the
Government makes it's assaults against the few in number, after
dubbing them the radical fringe. The Branch Davidians, the Mormons
at Nauvoo....amazing how history repeats itself.

> The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?

One that has taken up where the apathy of the citizens has let
vigilance slide.
>
> Bill K9KZ

863. 895 XLT Dead Buttons?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: V65MagnaFan <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <Aerc5.70713$7I1.1114690@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 84773

Hi,

I have an out-of-warranty, repack unit. It's been dropped a couple of times from about two feet onto a padded carpet floor--don't flame me---I know.

Anyway, button "J" and the rotary control lock stopped functioning one day.

This was not coincident to a dropping.

Then, button "E" and number "1" became intermittent.

I opened the unit and looked for cracked boards, broken solder joints, solder or plastic crumbs in the case, anything weird. I didn't get to removing the front control assembly, but I did get to inspecting the ribbon connectors (which connect the front control panel to the main board). I made sure that they were fully engaged on both sides and tested the unit. Now, E, J, 1, 2, 3, and the control lock do not function.

Looks like it's the ribbons, doesn't it?

Now for the question: Have any of you had keyboard problems with the 895xlt? If so, what caused them?

Are the ribbons proprietary or are they or similar ones available at Radio Shack?

And, is this a widespread problem?

Thanks in advance.

Joel Sturm

864. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <360f4980.694672a9@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com>
Source record: 84774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Join the ACLU. You don't have to tell anybody <grin>.

W.

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live
>in a near police state even though many will deny this and
>ridicule me for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police,
>the judges, CPS, etc. I know personally victims of severe
>government abuse. I guess I am asking myself that even if all
>of the citizens of the USA banded together to resist the
>Federal Government and state governments, would it really be
>possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave off an all
>out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we
>will wait.

>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have
>to die. Even if the adults were guilty of all they were
>accused of, the children didn't have to die. What kind of
>government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

865. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <NSrc5.40146$fR2.367094@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill:

There are problems no doubt, but if you can find a better place to live, let me know. Maybe things will change for the better when they clean house...the White House that is!

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

866. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <397245f3.2621873@news.erols.com>
Source record: 84776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ

I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of this
republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon which
it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in harm's
way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding Fathers
weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads. If Geo.
Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an America
for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his insanity.

At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of liberty?
I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were ill-advised,
over-reactive and stupid.

Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I know,
because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to work.
It's written on the stone there for all to see.

The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you prepared to
do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the shortest
paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is due. Read
up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got results.
Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some agent's
crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.

Respectfully,

] Andrew
>
>

867. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <02cc9763.45bc7324@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 84777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

What we need is a powerful citizen's lobbying group (like the ACLU, only even more powerful), composed of ALL the political factions (right, left, middle and independent). Part of the problem is that people are so highly divided along political lines.

If citizens could overcome their political differences enough to form a group as or more powerful than the ACLU but composed of all factions, with members from all races, colors, creeds, sexual preference, ad infinitum... and if such a group could manage to gain power in Washington... it might be a good start.

But I'm not looking for it to happen. People are too divided along the lines of specific issues... gun control, abortion, the death penalty, and others... to be able to unite to form such a group. There would be too much in-fighting for a group like that to gather any power (political or otherwise), and (excuse the cynicism) but I don't believe it would last a week.

W.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

868. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <T3sc5.12923$TI1.1757203@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:NSrc5.40146$fR2.367094@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Bill:
>
> There are problems no doubt, but if you can find a better place to live,
let
> me know.

I don't know of a better place, for sure that is. I just wonder if most
counties
are as corrupt as mine.

Bill K9KZ

>Maybe things will change for the better when they clean
> house...the White House that is!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
> > I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> > I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> > The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> > didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >
>
>

869. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <28sc5.11038$Hk1.1682512@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 84779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:397245f3.2621873@news.erols.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
> >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> >Bill K9KZ
>
> I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of this
> republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon
which
> it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
> Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in
harm's
> way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding
Fathers
> weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads.
If Geo.
> Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an
America
> for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his
insanity.
>
> At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of liberty?
> I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were
ill-advised,
> over-reactive and stupid.
>
> Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I know,
> because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to
work.
> It's written on the stone there for all to see.

>
> The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
> citizenry.

I agree, but we don't have that. We have criminals in government.

>Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you prepared to
> do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the shortest
> paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is
due. Read
> up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
> you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got
results.
> Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
> on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some
agent's
> crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.

I still have to face what is reality in my county. The worst of criminals
are in government.

Bill K9KZ

>
> Respectfully,
>
>] Andrew
> >
> >
>

870. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8ktlhl$sk2$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

That sounds like the typical statement made by politicians these days. "Sure I'm slime but look at him, he's worse".
--
CW
KC7NOD
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:NSrc5.40146$fR2.367094@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Bill:
>
> There are problems no doubt, but if you can find a better place to live, let
> me know. Maybe things will change for the better when they clean
> house...the White House that is!
>
> Bill Crocker

871. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39725E78.D7221873@kj.com>
Source record: 84781

I didn't realize the faggot of Mackey had these legal problems.
They ought to throw that piece of shit onto an island somewhere.

John Mackey Sucks wrote:

> Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
> legal troubles with the FCC?
>
> Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
> selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
> investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
> of an FCC agent?
>
> Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
> Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
> to keep the feds out of it.

872. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39725EC9.F102D43B@kj.com>
Source record: 84782

Mackey you REALLY ARE a FREAK!

J O H N wrote:

> >Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
> >legal troubles with the FCC?
>
> Yes, they say they will charge me soon.
>
> >Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
> >selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
> >investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
> >of an FCC agent?
>
> That is a different case. My lawyer told me not to comment.
>
> >Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
> >Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
> >to keep the feds out of it.
>
> The child molestation charges are State not Federal moron.
> I doubt the kids parents will push for prosecution. Hell,
> it was only oral sex and the boy was almost 16.
>
> There is nothing wrong with sex among consenting partners
> and a teen is old enough to consent, even if I do get them
> really drunk.
>
> John - PARG/Manlove forever

873. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: yachtboy! <swl_yb400pe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1730923a.26f389fb@usw-ex0107-055.remarq.com>
Source record: 84783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Don't forget Nixon, and, of course Roosevelt (FDR),
but then how about Wilson, what with his wife running the
country?

==========
"Being diabetic is alot like having an un-invited
guest at a picnic, who keeps pointing out the potato
salad may have gone bad."--W.B. Willis

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

874. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iitc5.12955$TI1.1762698@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> American system works very well, thank
> you, as long as voters are informed and
> involved.

How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?

Bill K9KZ

>
> Bottom line is, an apathetic and lazy
> (or alienated non-voting) electorate
> gets exactly the kind of government
> they (we) deserve. If you re-elect
> immoral and corrupt scoundrels like Bob Barr,
> Newt Gingrich, etc., it's no wonder
> we're so messed up.
>
> -- Ross
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> >
> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
> >
> > >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> > >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> > >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the

children
> > >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> > >
> > >Bill K9KZ
> >
> > I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of
this
> > republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon
which
> > it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
> > Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in
harm's
> > way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding
Fathers
> > weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads.
If Geo.
> > Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an
America
> > for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his
insanity.
> >
> > At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of
liberty?
> > I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were
ill-advised,
> > over-reactive and stupid.
> >
> > Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I
know,
> > because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to
work.
> > It's written on the stone there for all to see.
> >
> > The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
> > citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you
prepared to
> > do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the
shortest
> > paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is
due. Read
> > up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
> > you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got
results.
> > Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
> > on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some
agent's
> > crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.
> >
> > Respectfully,
> >

> >] Andrew
> > >
> > >
>
> --
>
> ==========
> The more limited your beliefs,
> the more limited your reality.
> -- The Android Sisters
> http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
> ==========

875. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <lmtc5.9447$493.1730059@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:3972605F.3B9A0CDB@mindless.com...
>
>
> Bill wrote:
> >
> > I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse.
>
> Perhaps your friends are criminals? I don't know a single "victim"
> of "government abuse". Perhaps it's the circles you hang with?

Perhaps you are.

Bill K9KZ

>
>
> >I guess
> > I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> > The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> > didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> --
>
> ==================================
> The more limited your beliefs,
> the more limited your reality.
> -- The Android Sisters
> http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
> ==================================

876. Re: Realistic Pro-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <oJtc5.1125$5P4.10028@news3.atl>
Source record: 84786

"Danny Perreault" <danper@mail.com> wrote in message
news:Optc5.121541$HK2.2120746@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm new to the radio world, and just got a Pro-2020 scanner. I have no
> manual for this scanner, and I would like to know what is the frequency
> ranges for this scanner. I would also like to know if I can apply some
mods
> on this scanner.
>
> Thanks a lot,

20 channel base scanner that covers from basically 30 mhz to 512 mhz with
gaps. It does cover the air band but doesn't cover the 6 meter (50 to 54mhz)
ham band!

There is only one mod I know of and its a bugger! Memory channel expansion!
I'm pretty good with electronics and I wouldn't even consider trying it.
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2020mem.txt

For operating instructions look here:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26429.htm

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

Scanner Nuts Webring
http://www.webdeals.net/scannernuts.htm
Visit The "Top 50 Scanner Sites!"
http://new.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/topsites.html

***

877. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org>
Message-ID: <028ABEA7A9799E32.8DB76CD8F87754F3.0683ECC8A3E48F19@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84787

I don't think that was the real John Mackey.
If it was then he wouldn't be joking about sex with 16 year old boys.
No one in their right mind would joke about something like that, esp in public where he is destroying himself.

878. Re: Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8kttbhkcn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84788

Thanks for the info! Did you modify it or did they sell them unblocked? I thought the Realistic scanners sold in Canada were all NETSET brand. Does anyone know the details on this?

> That's not quite true. I believe *all* PRO-43s without the "A"
> in the serial number are cell capable. For example, I have one
> that is date code 10A4 (no "A" in the serial number) which is
> cell capable -- it bears the "Realistic" name, not "Radio
> Shack". However, this unit was distributed by Intertan Canada
> (i.e. at the time, this was the Canadian subsidiary of Radio
> Shack). It seems that around April 1994 Radio Shack stopped
> selling the cell modifiable PRO-43s in the U.S. but continued to
> sell them in other markets (until they were all gone).
>
> --
> Michael Moore

879. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Denton Larson <dlarson@mnic.net>
Message-ID: <39727A02.77AA109E@mnic.net>
Source record: 84789

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/r10scout.htm

http://www.lumanet.org/pages/rogerwilco/icom.htm

Jim/Julia wrote:
>
> I am looking for mods to the Icom R-10. I tried all the easy-to-find
> places. No luck. Thanks. Jim

880. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org>
Message-ID: <B45CF213E4BE4950.CFE1BB415DF9D16F.4B6E7B07AA31B09F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84790

Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two resistors and enabling the cell frequencies.
I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to either encrypt their oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range where we will never be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.

881. WTB FRG 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <montgomeryt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <39726C17.953E7FB6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 84791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to buy an FRG 100 or trade other equipment. I have a variety of Zenith TO's in great condition, both tube and transistor, plus a few handhelds (scanner and widebands) Icom, RS, and even a Sony Air 8 in great condition with a lot of extras. I would be willing to trade any of these plus cash if necessary for a FRG 100 with keypad in good condition.

Thanks

882. trident 100xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: brett <besat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8ktvb5$h04$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84792

can anyone tell me about the trident 100 scanner if it is any good and can it be moded for cell???

besat@mindspring.com

883. Re: trident 100xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3972869E.B306FF24@uswest.net>
Source record: 84793

brett wrote:
>
> can anyone tell me about the trident 100 scanner if it is any good and can
> it be moded for cell???
>
> besat@mindspring.com

The Trident receives cell as it is no mod needed, it is not made for the
US market. Rich Wells has a first impression on it over on
http://www.strongsignals.net

Greg

884. Custom Radio Cases !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: PhoneCaseDUDE@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5767-397281CC-109@storefull-252.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84794

Custom made Radio Cases !!!!!

Belt Loop or Belt Clip

Choice of Colors and Styles

http://cops911.com/cpc1.htm

Also Cases for GPS, PCS, Palm Computers, Laptops, Ham Radios, Scanners,
CB's Two-Way Radio ...

Ask for Internet Discount !!!!!!!!!!!!!

885. Re: Need mods for the PRO 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scoa <scoaNOscSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0aa8ea95.da650c41@usw-ex0104-025.remarq.com>
Source record: 84795

Gary,

When you get some I am looking for mods that can easily be done
to my Pro-2048 too. Let me know.

John

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

886. Re: Travis AFB Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8ku187019sa@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84796

Here are the main ones I listen from, Fairfield, Sonoma, Concord...while driving....

Oakland Center

125.850
134.150

Bay Approach

135.5
133.95
135.4
135.65
Travis isn't happening much ...

xman Charlie

"SWARDFOTO" <swardfoto@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000715122123.15845.00000053@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> One of the best places to find aviation freqs for airports in the U.S. is
> www.airnav.com.
>
> This website has an extensive database of airports in the U.S. and lists the
> majority of freqs. that most people would be interested in.
>
> I hope this helps.
>
> Sward

887. vtech 900 MHZ phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: klouie@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39729150.19960BA8@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 84797

Does anybody know the frequency the vtech cordless phones (900 mhz)
operate on?
Thanks

888. Re: freqs for Inyo & Mono counties, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole@the_grid.net>
Message-ID: <3972AA3B.E52D17E@the_grid.net>
Source record: 84798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mike wrote:
>
> Looking for freqs for Inyo & Mono counties, CA.....fire, sheriff, CHP,
> anything you might have.
> Thanks

Here is a website with Inyo Co. freqs.
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298/inyo/inyo.html

889. New Online Auction Site with Radio Category

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Burke <edburke@firegroundphotos.net>
Message-ID: <3973652d_1@goliath2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Fireground Photos.net, one of the largest online photo based websites dedicated to the fire service, has expanded to include online auctions.

Daily growth since the auctions went online at 5:40 PM on July 12, 2000 has exceeded 100%. There are now over 40 items listed, and there is a category specifically for Two-Way Radios, Pagers and Scanners.

Stop by and check it out today!

Ed Burke
Webmaster-Fireground Photos.net

http://www.firegroundphotos.net

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

890. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LG7c5.26052$B%6.166498@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

easy, it is called TOOTHPASTE! really, works great -- toothpaste is a mild abraisive. just put a dab on and gently rub the lcd with a cloth for a while in a circular motion.

cheers

kc0eao <kc0eao@sd.cybernex.net> wrote in message news:yu1c5.3231$IZ1.25498@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display
> window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
> compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
> exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!
>
> --
> Dan S. -- kc0eao
>
>
>

891. Re: Air Show - Selfridge Air Base Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715205329.03091.00000270@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 84865

for the scanner listeners heres the freqs. most of the traffic will probably be on the military freqs (220-380) because its an Air National Guard Base

ATIS: 125.325
270.1
SELFRIDGE GROUND: 128.3 [0730-2300]
275.8 [0730-2300]
SELFRIDGE TOWER: 120.15 [0730-2300]
340.7 [0730-2300]
SELFRIDGE APPROACH: 119.6 [0730-2300]
318.2 [0730-2300]
SELFRIDGE DEPARTURE: 119.6 [0730-2300]
395.9 [0730-2300]
CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 119.0
259.95
AIR-EVAC: 123.1
262.8
381.0
ALCP: 314.2
BASIC RADAR SVC: 119.6
363.8
EMERG: 121.5
243.0
NAF-OPNS: 318.6
PMSV: 342.5
PTD: 134.85
372.2
RDR SFA: 259.3
290.9
388.2
391.9

APCH/DEP SERVICE PROVIDED BY CLEVELAND ARTCC ON FREQS 127.7/308.7 (FLINT RCAG) WHEN APCH CTL CLSD.

892. Re: Michigan State Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715205654.03066.00000211@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 84866

Charley is right. MSP post 35 in Flint still uses the old system which was in the 42s and 154-155s and does not use the digital system.

893. Re: A-hole on eBay selling Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: * <*@the.net>
Message-ID: <3971042D.C13AEFFD@the.net>
Source record: 84867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan Morisseau wrote:

>
> Hey, it's a free country and the guy can ask what he wants ... and
> someone else can point out that the price is awfully high. The First Amendment
> applies in commercial speech as well as political speech. There is such a thing
> as "price gouging" even if it isn't illegal and consumer alerts are legitimate
> and one of the valuable uses of these newsgroups. I don't get involved in that
> kind of stuff but if someone else wants to - more power to him!
>
> Dan

Price gouging is when some ask a higher than normal price for something that is essential, like gasoline for your car so you can get to work, food, or other essentials. I hardly think owning a scanner is essential. If the price is too high, don't pay it. No one is being forced to bid.

894. Re: FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397113AC.FEDC5D4D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84868

Nobody wrote:

> Actually, you said " I'll throw in the $20.00 service manual so you
> can remove this mod." What a deal. You "threw in" a $20 manual, and
> raised the price $25.

No, I "threw in" a $20 manual for $20.00, and raised the total
price $5.00. Now, are you making me an offer, or are you just
being a butt head? If it's the first, what is your offer? If it's
the latter, we're in agreement.

Norm/KQ6SD

895. Delta Research Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <il8c5.39274$fR2.355099@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84869

Anyone using Delta Research software with their radio?

http://www.rfsignals.com

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

896. FS: Weather radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: skywarner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000715224528.07151.00000197@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 84870

I have for sale the following two weather radios:

-- Radio Shack WeatherAlert desktop radio, CAT #: 12-240
-- Radio Shack portable Weather radio, CAT # 12-242

I'm asking $30 + shipping for the two radios. The desktop radio with the alert is in excellent condition. The portable is is good condition - has scuff marks on the back.

Thanks in advance!

P.S.: Neither of these radio have SAME technology built in...

897. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8krb9toaf1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net> asked:
> Would you happen to have the rest of the GMRS channels handy?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

GMRS - General Mobile Radio Service [95.29]
[95.621]
Base/mobile repeater I/P

462.5500 467.5500
462.5625
462.5750 467.5750
462.5875
462.6000 467.6000
462.6125
462.6250 467.6250
462.6375
462.6500 467.6500
462.6625
462.6750 467.6750
462.6875
462.7000 467.7000
462.7125
462.7250 467.7250

In addition to the station's licensed channel, 462.675 may
be used for emergency and traveler's aid by all stations.
Interstitial channels (462.xxx5) may be used only in simplex
mode with voice transmissions of no more than 5 watts. They are
shared with the Family Radio Service.

898. Re: RS Pro 2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <IGac5.21622$oj6.162782@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84872

I thought Ebay was for auctioning things not selling

BOSOX <savy@pnx1.com> wrote in message
news:uV9c5.236$L2.22523@news.dbn.net...
> Sorry wrong address above. Here is the correct one.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=383387955
>
>
>
> BOSOX <savy@pnx1.com> wrote in message
> news:jG9c5.233$L2.22518@news.dbn.net...
> > See
> >
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=38338
> > 7955
> >
> > If interested
> >
> >
>
>

899. Re: Houston area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <YMac5.21669$oj6.162450@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84873

```
Houston Fire:
153.89
154.16
154.28
154.31
453.95
460.525
460.55
460.575
460.625
453.5
453.5625
453.6125
453.675
155.34
462.95
462.975
463.175
Houston PD:
453.65
453.7
453.85
453.9
460.025
460.05
460.075
460.1
460.125
460.15
460.225
460.325
460.35
460.375
460.4
460.425
460.45
460.475
460.525
460.55

---------------------------------------------------------------
Alberto <albertorea@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13471-39712311-87@storefull-262.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Hi im looking for Frequencies for the Houston area
```

>

900. Re: Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: markbajek@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8krejg$9np$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84874

In article <20000714130410.03421.00000790@nso-md.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:
> In article <8km1ptipg1@nnrp1.deja.com>, markbajek@my-deja.com
writes:
>
> >I was nearly arrested for this law, Frisked , locked in a police car,
> >while my car was searched for further contraband.
>
> After you showed a ham license and made the officer aware of the
exemption? If
> so, I'm sure you will have no problem finding a competent attorney
who will
> pursue this matter & make it worth your while.
>
>

I am NOT a HAM, I do however, Now have a permit from MSP.
(at the time of the stop Jan 2000. I was unaware of the law.And did not
know I needed a permit.)(Ignorance is NO excuse)

I did not have the opportunity to present any proof of exemption.
(since I did not have any). The officer did not ask for proof either.

Thanks Mark Bajek

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

901. b=uniden sportcat 150b mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mario carrera <marioc@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <xwbc5.26756$MT.960163@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84875

Is it possible to modify this scanner for cell phone or not. If not where is it possible to obtain a scanner that is capable of this?

902. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchumaye@sepalachingada.how
Message-ID: <39714c9a.11797720@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84876
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 15 Jul 2000 21:52:56 GMT, A Guy Called Tyketto
<tyketto@wizard.com> wrote:

>In rec.travel.air JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:
>> I have a scanner and was monitoring the America West ground operations
>> frequency..... what a mess.. but what fun listening
>
>> America West PHX was using 935.175 and 935.1625 for ground operations. I
>> bbelieve thir ramp tower was 128.175mhz The regular clearance delivery is
>> 118.1 and ground was either 119.55 or 132.55
>
> which airport was this at? I'm sorta surprised that they're
>using frequencies that high. I'm not too well versed on scanners, but
>from an old cell phone and pager I have, 900MHz is around the analog
>pager/cell phone range.. wouldn't they be more opt to use something
>close to ramp and ground control?
>
> BL.
>--
>Brad Littlejohn | Email: tyketto@wizard.com
>Unix Systems Administrator, | tyketto@ozemail.com.au
>Web + NewsMaster, BOFH.. Smeghead! :) | http://www.wizard.com/~tyketto
> PGP: 1024D/E319F0BF 6980 AAD6 7329 E9E6 D569 F620 C819 199A E319 F0BF
>

It would seem that the airport in question was/is Phoenix Sky Harbor

(PHX) at which Americas Worst is based.

Ching Chu

903. Re: REQ: Kern County, CA freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <397155A4.69DDDA9F@gte.net>
Source record: 84877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,kenkoller@msn.com

you can check this page http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/3327/CA.html or try this one http://www.911scanner.8m.com/ ... those are pretty good sites also this one but u have to do a Search for the city or County what ever u want http://www.perconcorp.com/

KEN KOLLER wrote:

> Hello,
> Can someone please help me out with some frequencies for Kern County,
> CA. What I'm looking for is Kern County FD and Sheriff for the Frazier Park
> area, along with Hall ambulance and Bakersfield Fire Dept. Please email me
> with any help, thank you.
>
> --
> Ken Koller
> kenkoller@msn.com
> EMT, American Medical Response, South Gate, CA

904. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole@the_grid.net>
Message-ID: <397162DF.2388B344@the_grid.net>
Source record: 84878

Secret Services wrote:
>
> CONFIRMED TODAY IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.....TAC-17 IS 154.430

Here is a list of the new tac channels. It also covers Verdugo dispatch.
http://www.cfpafirephoto.org/verd.html

905. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JohnC <john@bunnyvision.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8krpl8$ea2$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 84879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Guys!,

Seeing your post prompted me to pull a message from my Trunkcom archive comparing the PRO-92 and BC245 on a busy Motorola system. Scannerdude did a good piece of work and the results speak for themselves! The 92 has problems dealing with these systems!

For Motorola and EDACS, the 245 takes some beating. The 92 has better conventional scanning features including CTCSS and DCS and LTR trunktracking. Depends what you want out of your scanner! I've been very pleased with my 245 which I've had since July 1999. It tracks my busy local system in London, UK like a dream but I own better conventional wideband scanners too (although these don't know what trunktracking IS!)

Since the 92 doesn't come with a computer lead or other accessories, it's also significantly more expensive!

The bottom line is that it depends what systems you have locally. On the evidence I have, a PRO-92 would be a disaster on my busy local Motorola systems but if you need LTR, the 92 is your only handheld option,

Regards,

JohnC.

----- Original Message -----
From: <scannerdude@juno.com>
To: <pro92@onelist.com>; <trunkcom@qth.net>; <SEFLORIDA@onelist.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 1999 6:19 PM
Subject: [TrunkCom] PRO-92 vs 245xlt on Broward County's TRS

> ---
> Submissions: trunkcom@qth.net
> ---
>
>
> System: Broward County, FL Police, Fire, and Public Works TRS
> Type: Motorola Type 2 SmartNet 5-site simulcast
> Channels in system: 23
>
>
> Talkgroups scanned:
> 8208 - BCFR North
> 8368 - BCFR North "Echo" Car-to-Car

> 8272 - BCFR South
> 8432 - BCFR South "Echo" Car-to-Car
> 9232 - BCFR West
> 9200 - BCFR West
> 8496 - BCFR TAC A
> 8528 - BCFR TAC B
> 8560 - BCFR TAC C
> 4400 - BSO District 9, 10, 22, 26 Dispatch
> 6704 - BSO INDIA
> 12400 - Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport Operations
> 4592 - BSO at Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport
>
>
> The tests were conducted in my home in Coconut Creek. Both scanners
were
> placed on a shelf 4' from the ground next to each other, both
running on
> battrery power. Before these tests the PRO-92 was reset with a
paperclip
> and initialized by pressing 0,1 when the "Welcome to Multi-System
> Trunking" appears, then Broward's 23 channels were programmed in.
The
> PRO-92 was using its stock antenna, the 245xlt was using an Icom
FA-1443B
> dual-band ham antenna (they were switched for test 3). A
"transmission"
> is from key-up to key-down of the transmitter. I tested them based
on
> each transmission since a call can start on one transmission, end,
and go
> to another frequency for the reply.
>
>
> TEST 1 - 10:15am to 11:45am. Scan the above listed talkgroups on
both
> scanners, note the number of times transmissions were missed
altogether,
> missed the beginning (or a large portion of the transmission), right
on
> between the two, had different ID's between the two, and the number
of
> dropped transmissions. On the PRO-92, the control channel of the
system
> was NOT locked out (it does not have to be in closed mode), and the
> scanner was placed into "closed" mode to scan the above listed ID's.
>
>
> RESULTS of TEST 1 - Out of 163 transmissions logged, the PRO-92:
> - 33 missed altogether
> - 60 missed beginning or large portion of transmission

> - 27 were right on with the 245xlt
> - 19 transmissions were different between the two scanners
> - 16 transmissions were dropped
> - 1 non-scanlist ID's appeared
>
> On the 245xlt:
> - 7 transmissions were missed that the PRO-92 received
> - No dropouts occurred
>
>
>
> TEST 2 - 10:45am to 11:15am. Same as TEST 1 but locked out control
> channel to see if the time it takes to check control channel for
valid
> ID's slows things down.
>
>
> RESULTS of TEST 2 - Out of 144 transmissions, the PRO-92:
> - 19 were missed altogether
> - 54 missed beginning or large portion of transmission
> - 30 were right on with the 245xlt
> - 20 transmissions were different between the two scanners
> - 17 transmissions were dropped
> - 2 non-scanlist ID's appeared
>
> On the 245xlt:
> - 2 transmissions were missed that the PRO-92 received
> - No dropouts occurred
>
>
>
> TEST 3 - 11:15am to 11:30am. Same as TEST 2 but swapped antennas
between
> scanners. Did not note number of transmissions but had same problem
of
> ID's dropping out in the middle of conversations. Also no change in
> parts or all of conversations being missed.
>
>
>
> TEST 4 - 11:30am to 11:45am. Same as TEST 3 but connected PRO-92 to
> outside antenna. Reception improved dramatically, ID's did not drop
out.
> No change in parts or all of conversations being missed.
>
>
>
> TEST 5 - 11:45am. Test of ID Hold function. Put ID 6704 on hold in
both
> 245xlt and PRO-92. Out of 40 transmissions, the 245xlt received

every
> one. The PRO-92:
> - 9 were missed altogether
> - 26 missed beginning or large portion of transmission
> - 5 were right on with the 245xlt
>
>
>
> --
>
>
> The Scanner Dude
> Brian J. Cathcart - KE4PMJ
> South Florida Trunking Guide - 3rd Edition
> Palm Beach County Frequency Directory - NEW 3rd Edition
>

906. Re: Warning About Shipping Insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas E. Scott III" <tscottiii@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <397170C8.E6FF1B6@mindspring.com>
Source record: 84880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bill,

Just be glad you got your Drake back and it worked. Like to share my latest communication with the USPS regarding on going claims and have to agree with the Class Action Advocates.

This is my last hurrah.

Sent last month, hope the address helps someone.

Consumer Advocate,
U.S. Postal Service, Headquarters
475 L'Enfant Plazas SW
Room 5821
Washington, DC 20260-2202

Dear Consumer Advocate:

The attached documents for the most part document my case Article V650285259. I have no great expectations, as I don't believe there is the slightest chance of any real concern for the end user left within the United States Postal Service. For what it is worth, I have before photos of self test screens and witnesses that can attest to the condition of the 1240 immediately prior to shipment. Copies were submitted with claim. It is sad that the biggest singe impediment to the success of a start-up company turns out to be the United States Postal Service.

Ms. Nancy Pleimann
Supervisor, Claims appeal
Claims and Inquiry Section
Postal Data Center
Post Office Box 80141
Saint Louis, MO 63180-9431
Dear Ms. Pleimann:

I am so totally disappointed, upset, and mad with the United States Postal Service that it is difficult to respond to what I see is only so much window dressing for an agency totally out of control that answers to no one when it comes to customer claims. My family business spends over $8,000 a year on just shipping charges. Our first year in business the United States Postal Service destroyed over $600 in merchandise and denied every claim for improper packaging. We learned a hard lesson but learn it well we did. As a growing auction business, we are approaching a 500 positive feedback milestone. Many buyers specifically mention our superior packaging. We learned how to deal with your cavalier attitude regarding handling packages. Now when you dropped our Tektronix 1240 Test System so hard as to damage the internal circuitry

rendering it useless, you deny the claim citing lack of damage to the packaging. Why not be honest and take the money and tell us any claim won't be honored?

Your agency abuses its position and public trust at will to avoid dealing directly with anyone. I cite for example the attached letter of March 8, 2000. The original was sent March 8, 2000 registered return receipt signature required. It, like my claim, remains ignored. Mr. Mixon is there as I called the office and talked to the office clerk who offered to put me through to his voice mail before sending the letter. As of this writing, I have yet to know the status of this letter. Here is just another case of your agency taking my money then doing nothing.

Just preceding the receipt of your letter, my Tektronix 1240 was mysteriously returned to me. I don't know what your agency did to it but I do know you took it apart as you could not get it put back together again. It was returned missing chassis screws, incorrectly seated circuit boards, and sans A.C. power cord and manual, just another service of the United States Postal Service.

Honestly, Ms. Pleimann, I would like to get the meanest, nastiest tort lawyer I can and have my day in court. I cannot believe you can dictate the terms and conditions at will against no measurable or identifiable criteria, take my property, refuse me a receipt, ship it all over the country; take it apart and damage it further beyond repair; return it, and tell me I'm plain out of luck because you are the United States Postal Service and you do what you want.

One totally disappointed and upset Postal Customer,

Thomas E. Scott III

Cc: Consumer Advocate,
U.S. Postal Service, Headquarters
475 L'Enfant Plaza SW
Room 5821
Washington, DC 20260-2202

Good luck Bill, 73s

Tom Scott N6LDN

Bill wrote:

> I may be wrong about this but I recently shipped a Drake R8 to RL Drake.
> I didn't have the original packing so I packed it much better than it comes
> from the factory. When I received the R8 back it was in a Drake box with
> original packing material. On the invoice it said it said they charged me
> for a new box and packing for insurance purposes. Mind you, the original
> packing wasn't nearly as well packed as I did myself. Can anyone say
> whether insurance will refuse to pay if not shipped in original packing?
>
> Bill K9KZ

907. Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <397195bc.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 84881

Bearcat 200xlt

case power cube antenna
not been modded
nice cond.
$150 and split shipping

908. duda con scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: responde@aqui.gracias
Message-ID: <EZhc5.9029$aS.67835@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84882

Hola, alguien me puede aclarar algo sobre frecuencias?
A ver, con un scanner que tiene modo AM/FM y WFM, cual es el mas comun
a utilizar, la FM no?
Se puede escuchar a los radioaficionados o no se puede?
Cuales son los rangos de las frecuencias? Me refiero a que la publica
esta sobre la 27 y la 31 no? y el resto por donde oscila?

909. MOSSOS D'ESCUADRA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: On - Off <bcnscan@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <rBic5.9098$aS.68240@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84883

Alguien sabe alguna frecuencia de la policia autonomica de catalu&#65533;a?

910. RE: duda con scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ESP340 <jpgarnic@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <DSic5.9140$aS.68683@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84884

27 legal es en espa&#65533;a entre 26.965 y 27.405
en AM y FM e incluso SSB pero para eso el scanner debe ser buenecillo

<responde@aqui.gracias> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje de noticias
EZhc5.9029$aS.67835@telenews.teleline.es...
> Hola, alguien me puede aclarar algo sobre frecuencias?
> A ver, con un scanner que tiene modo AM/FM y WFM, cual es el mas comun
> a utilizar, la FM no?
> Se puede escuchar a los radioaficionados o no se puede?
> Cuales son los rangos de las frecuencias? Me refiero a que la publica
> esta sobre la 27 y la 31 no? y el resto por donde oscila?
>

911. Software for PRO-92....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <3971C2C4.1C7681BF@gte.net>
Source record: 84885

Is there any software (shareware or freeware) that will work for this scanner?....thanks Bill

912. Re: Lowe Airmaster 2000 ACARS software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3971C544.4B2E7BA2@uswest.net>
Source record: 84886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan Morisseau wrote:
>
> Anyone know of a U.S. outlet for the Airmaster 2000 software
> from Lowe in the UK? I've got the Lowe interface and an earlier version
> of the software and I'd like to get something that would run in Win 98.
>
> --
>
I checked awhile back with Lowe and Javation and there is no US outlet
for Airmaster 2000. Email Lowe they may be able to upgrade you for a fee
by an attached file.

Greg

913. Quick Trunk Tracking Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000716105026.04220.00000609@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 84887

Hi all,

I am programming a PRO-92.

When I am programming a set of frequencies in a trunked system, do I need to program a fleet map for EACH frequency in the system?

Example...

Channel 501 - 857.2125 I set it to track in MOT mode and then set the Block/Size

Channel 502 - 858.2125 I set it to track in MOT mode and then set the Block/Size

so on and so on....

* OR *

Do I simply set the block/size info for a bank. In other words, I set it once within a bank and it makes no difference what channel I'm on within that bank when I go into the mode to program the block/size?

And, if the latter is the case, does this mean that I can only scan one system (whether Type I or Type II) within each bank?

Thank for you time,

Please CC my email with your reply

Ted
Boston/MA

914. FS: Uniden Bearcat BC120XLT 100 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <7Kkc5.61205$dF.2372466@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

10 Priority Channels (1 Per Bank), 100 Channels, 11 Band Coverage (29-512MHz), 3 Day Memory Backup (If battery and charger are removed), Channel Lockout, Data Skip Function, Full Frequency LCD Display (Backlit), Manual Channel Access, Preprogrammed Service Search, Programmable Search & Scan Delay, Rechargeable Ni -Cad Battery Pack Included, AC & DC Power Adapters Included, Twin Turbo: Scan & Search, VHF Aircraft Band, Rubber Antenna. NEARLY NEW CONDITION (1 Year Old). Works Great. Comes with Forest Green Carrying Case.

Price: $80.00 + $10 Shipping. Cash, Money Order, Personal Check With 10 Day Clearance, and VISA/MasterCard are accepted.

For more info or to buy, contact forsale@chiscanner.8m.com

915. Re: New LA County Fire Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thekennys <thekennys@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3971D9C6.AE93BBD5@mediaone.net>
Source record: 84889

Where did you get this information,

Fred Garvin wrote:
>
> Rich wrote:
> >
> > When assigning units to potential fireground incidents over the last couple
> > of days, I'm hearing dispatch telling units "new tac 17, old tac 1" or "new
> > tac 18, old tac 7" etc etc with the old tacs the blue freqs...anyone know
> > where these new freqs can be found or any other info??
>
> I received this through e-mail. It explains what LACoFD has done to
> reconfigure their radio tac channels. I typed in some of the most used
> freqs. I don't know if it is correct. Even though it is explained below,
> I am still confused by it.
>
> The most significant change in the 2000 VHF Radio Plan is the increase
> of Department
> tactical radio frequencies from four to eight and the movement of these
> frequencies from
> Channels 1-4 to Channels 17-24. This was done to accommodate four
> inter-agency Rapid
> Intervention Crew communication frequencies. These four mutual aid
> frequencies are
> being licensed at the request of the Los Angeles Area Fire Chiefï¿½s
> Association and are
> intended for use as rapid intervention crew communication on
> multi-agency incidents. The
> Department also took this opportunity to complete the following VHF
> radio frequency
> enhancements:
>
> Discontinued the use of State TAC 3 (TAC 7) as a primary Los
> Angeles County Fire
> Department tactical frequency that is still available for mutual
> aid/automatic aid
> incidents.
>
> Utilized the group or band feature of the Kenwood mobile and HT
> radios, and
> grouped similar frequencies making them easier to find and easier
> to scan.
>
> Added a bank of marine frequencies, including the Lifeguard

> tactical frequencies and
> the most common marine channels. The marine channels are being
> programmed to
> "receive only" because our radios are not certified for marine use.
>
> The full VHF program includes 144 channels, with some frequencies
> repeated in different
> groups. Since many older radios do not have the capacity to hold all the
> frequencies, the
> plan was developed to take these limitations into account.
>
> Some of the oldest radios (Motorola Spectra Mobile Radios) will
> hold only 64
> frequencies, so the first 64 frequencies are those felt to be
> mandatory.
>
> The MT1000 HT radios used by the majority of the company officers
> will hold only 99
> frequencies, so the first 99 are those most commonly used.
>
> The full 144 channels will only fit in the newer Kenwood radios,
> both mobile and
> HTï¿½s.
>
> UHF RADIOS
>
> There are no major changes to the UHF Radio Plan. The Department
> did take this
> opportunity to complete the following UHF radio frequency
> enhancements: updating
> frequencies from surrounding agencies, and utilizing the group or
> band feature of the
> Kenwood mobile radios and grouping similar frequencies making them
> easier to find
> and easier to scan.
>
> The full UHF program includes 47 channels, including all 10 paramedic
> frequencies. Only
> the Kenwood mobile radios will accommodate all the frequencies.
> Apparatus with Motorola
> mobile radios will only get the first 26 channels of the plan.
>
>
>
> CHANNEL LOCATION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE
> CURRENT AND NEW VHF RADIO PLANS
>
> CURRENT VHF RADIO PLAN - NEW VHF RADIO PLAN
>
> Channel 1 LAC TAC 1 (Now TAC 17) - State White 1 154.280

>
> Channel 2 LAC TAC 2 (Now TAC 18) - State TAC 2 154.265
>
> Channel 3 LAC TAC 3 (Now TAC 19) - State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) 154.295
>
> Channel 4 LAC TAC 4 (Now TAC 20) - Ontario Dispatch 153.830
>
> Channel 5 State White 1- Ontario TAC 154.280
>
> Channel 6 State TAC 2- White 6 154.265
>
> Channel 7 State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) - White 7 154.295
>
> Channel 8 LAC TAC 8 (Now TAC 24) - White 8 154.160?
>
> Channel 9 HEAR - White 9 154.160 or 154.220
>
> Channel 10 Arcadia Dispatch - Verdugo Green 1
>
> Channel 11 Arcadia TAC - Verdugo Green 2
>
> Channel 12 Monrovia TAC - Verdugo Green 3
>
> Channel 13 Alhambra Dispatch - Verdugo Green 4
>
> Channel 14 El Monte Dispatch - Verdugo Green 5
>
> Channel 15 Covina Dispatch - Verdugo Green 6
>
> Channel 16 West Covina TAC - Downey Tac
>
> Channel 17 Covina TAC - LAC TAC 17 (Old TAC 1) 154.430?
>
> Channel 18 Downey Dispatch - LAC TAC 18 (Old TAC 2) 154.340?
>
> Channel 19 Downey TAC - LAC TAC 19 (Old TAC 3) 154.400?
>
> Channel 20 Long Beach 1 - LAC TAC 20 (Old TAC 4) 153.830
>
> Channel 21 Long Beach 2 - LAC TAC 21 (Old Covina)
>
> Channel 22 Long Beach 3 - LAC TAC 22 (Old Covina)
>
> Channel 23 Inglewood Dispatch - LAC TAC 23 (New)
>
> Channel 24 San Marino Dispatch - LAC TAC 24 (Old TAC 8)
>
> Channel 25 Vernon Dispatch - South Bay Dispatch
>
> Channel 26 South Bay Dispatch - South Bay TAC

>
> Channel 27 South Bay TAC - West Covina Dispatch
>
> Channel 28 Ontario Dispatch - West Covina TAC
>
> Channel 29 Ontario TAC - Long Beach 1
>
> Channel 30 Angeles NF Dispatch - Long Beach 2
>
> Channel 31 USFS TAC-1 - Long Beach 3
>
> Channel 32 USFS TAC-2 - Long Beach 4
> ---
>
> Tac 17 154.280
> Tac 18 154.265
> Tac 19 154.295
> Tac 20 153.830

916. Re: Houston area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff <cliffwattsNOclSPAM@ghg.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0f44961b.69a97786@usw-ex0104-033.remarq.com>
Source record: 84890

But wait- there's more:
http://members.xoom.com/houstonscan/

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

917. Re: Software for PRO-92....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <C5lc5.39421$fR2.361923@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84891

No longer available? I don't know as it ever came out, now they say it's discontinued!

Pozilla claims he will have something for it in August 2000, click here...

http://mghusa.com/pozilla/

Might try here too...

http://www.pro-92.com

It wouldn't open for me today, but try again.

Bill Crocker

<crimson@gte.net> wrote in message news:3971C2C4.1C7681BF@gte.net...
> Is there any software (shareware or freeware) that will work for this
> scanner?....thanks Bill
>

918. Re: BC120xlt opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jessijamess@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2885-3971DD6C-10@storefull-234.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84892

ive had one for 3yrs no probs you cant find mods to oen it up kf41

919. WTB: ICOM IC-R100 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3971E0BB.FC23CF58@home.com>
Source record: 84893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would like to buy an original owners manual for an Icom IC-R100
Communications Receiver. I presently have a copy but would like to have
the original.

920. Frequency Inversion Descrambling/Scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000716130352.16029.00000320@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 84894

Frequency Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.
members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

921. Pro 92 Key Strokes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Smith <paulinaz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <u8mc5.406$o71.31115@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84895

I am looking for the hidden key strokes for the RS Pro 92, if you have them please reply.

Thanks in advance.

922. Re: Quick Trunk Tracking Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3971f975.3797659@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84896

No, just each bank.

On 16 Jul 2000 14:50:26 GMT, tede911@aol.com (TedE911) wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>I am programming a PRO-92.
>
>When I am programming a set of frequencies in a trunked system, do I need to
>program a fleet map for EACH frequency in the system?
>
>Example...
>
>Channel 501 - 857.2125 I set it to track in MOT mode and then set the
>Block/Size
>
>Channel 502 - 858.2125 I set it to track in MOT mode and then set the
>Block/Size
>
>so on and so on....

923. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: icstars@nmia.com
Message-ID: <b4j6ns839c1oe032ruo5ep0b9dmp2e7nuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 84697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I will go with the" LETS SUE THEM"

I also have had CRAPPY service with UPS. If any one wants to see my pics. of a duplexer that I received around the first of the year, let me know. It came in THREE pieces. It was a DB Products, 4 cans, in a heavy metal cabinet. Can you believe they tore it up !!! Left it on my doorstep while I was away. Did not even leave a note as to whom to contact for damages.

I just went by Fed Ex this morning, and to my surprise, they are now shipping GROUND. They were cheaper than UPS and I have have NEVER had a problem with them.

Owen KB5XE

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 09:38:48 -0800, Mike <mdickman@alaska.net> wrote:

>I will never ship UPS. Ever try to get a local phone number to complain
>in person? Cannot be done. The local office here in Fairbanks is then
>only open from 10am to 1pm--worse then the banks used to be...
>
>alice wrote:
>>
>> While needless damage can and does occur during transit, your bigger problem is
>> the shipper. They should not be sending out anything of value with
>> no-signature releases.
>>
>> Even if you receive a damaged package on your doorstep, (1) call UPS before you
>> open it or (2) call the shipper and have them initiate a claim. Then, too, the
>> shipper might need to do a better job of packaging (like a fiberglass or
>> cardboard sleeve around your antenna) so tell them about that.
>>
>> Tell your shipper to NOT use the no-signature release. This used to be the
>> only way UPS worked, but they opt for (or default to) no-signature because
>> hardly anyone is at home during the day.
>>
>> UPS is very service-oriented and if you ask, they will make good on every
>> legitimate claim. The drivers will bend over backwards for you too, if you ask
>> them for help,
>>
>> (No, I don't work for them)
>>
>> In article <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, ontherun@mindspring.com was

>> of the opinion...
>> >
>> >Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
>> >the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
>> >
>> >Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>> >news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
>> >>
>> >> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
>> >> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife
>> >was
>> >> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the
>> >> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the
>> >> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
>> >> the
>> >> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
>> >> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that
>> >it
>> >> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost
>> >> as
>> >> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
>> >> >
>> >> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
>> >> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
>> >home).
>> >> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
>> >> before
>> >> > I went outside and found it.
>> >> >
>> >> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
>> >> ring
>> >> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
>> >advising
>> >> > me I have a package.
>> >> >
>> >> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am
>> >> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
>> >> > alternate services.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dave
>> >> > WS2L
>> >>
>> >> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.
>> >>
>> >> Bill K9KZ
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >

>>
>> --
>> The opinions expressed are neither mine nor my employer's. In fact
>> I don't know whose they are!

924. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717144341.06363.00000730@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 84698

In article <b4j6ns839c1oe032ruo5ep0b9dmp2e7nuo@4ax.com>, icstars@nmia.com writes:

>I will go with the" LETS SUE THEM"

Of course. It's the American way. Legalized extortion of anyone with assets, courtesy of the trial lawyers.

Like to smoke? Go ahead. Despite the common knowledge that cigarettes will likely KILL you eventually, you can sue the tobacco companies for $145 billion when you develop emphysema or lung cancer.

What a junk society!

925. Re: Fireman's Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Maikranz <kg5un@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8kvlcr$6lq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pappy,
You are right I should have said something about that. I do enjoy making a difference. I look at the job like this, it is a ministry, one where God uses me to meet the needs of His people, and I am thankful for the opportunity. My reply was to state a point of view about the job, the point being that it is just a job, nothing more. I dont identify myself by it, no fire department hats or t-shirts off duty, no stickers on my car, my house isnt full of memorabilia. Thats just not me, I leave all of it at the station until next shift.

Ed

In article <3968BC72.245FB67A@sgi.netski>,
Pappy@sgi.netski wrote:
> Ed, I didn't read where you were enjoyed your job, or that you had a good
> feeling of satisfaction when you helped someone when they were injured or
> saved a home from devastation via fire.
>
> I am retired after 35 years of public service - law enforcement - fire
> chief/fire fighter - ambulance commander - ema coordinator. I enjoyed
> every bit of it when I was involved in saving a human life or putting out
> out a fire and saved a home. It's a great feeling. I worked both paid and
> volunteer situations.
>
> This post is NO means a flame.
>
> Ed Maikranz wrote:
>
> > Let me throw in soome comments. I have been a paid firefighter.emt for
> > nearly 11 years. Is it a good job? Yes, but to me it is just that, a
> > job. I am grateful to have it, and I do enjoy some aspects of it, but
> > it is my job, not my life. When I leave at 8 am after 24 hours on, I
> > am just "Ed" again, I leave the rest there. Some people cant do that,
> > they have to be a firefighter 24 hours a day every day. We deal with a

> > lot of stuff we would rather not have to, but thats part of it.
Lots
> > of guys sit around chomping at the bit waiting for the next alarm,
I'd
> > be much happier if it did not come in. Spending 24 at the station
> > without turning a wheel is my goal every shift. Sure I like to
fight
> > fire, but if I am doing that, somebody else is probably having a bad
> > day because of it, and that is not good. Also I like most of the
guys
> > I work with, but they are not my family, just co-workers and
friends.
> > I dont know about having a family in other cities, when I travel I
go
> > as a private citizen and leave the job behind. If I had it to do
over
> > again would I choose this profession? Probably not. But for now it
> > pays the rent and allows me time to go back to school and get a
degree
> > so that one day I may be able to do something else.
> >
> > Just my thoughts guys.
> > Comments and flames welcome via e-mail
> >
> > Edward S. Maikranz
> > KG5UN
> > Firefighter/EMT
> > Abilene Fire Department
> > Abilene, Texas
> >
> > In article <8jqv0icmr1@uranium.btinternet.com>,
> > "Autopilot" <Autopilot@supanet.com> wrote:
> > > No HE doesn't need to change jobs.
> > >
> > > HE like the rest of US Firefighters everywhere in
> > > the world do this without thought to OUR own
> > > safety..........Why I hear you ask ??
> > >
> > > Coz we love the job, not the excitement, the ,
> > > the drama.....................just the fact that we
> > > wanna help people.
> > >
> > > We are the biggest family in the world.
> > > Firefighters
> > >
> > > You wouldn't/ couldn't understand.........coz your
> > > NOT a Firefighter.
> > >
> > > but despite your comment.......one of us WILL
> > > rescue you, or save YOUR property, or render a

> > > humanitarian service to YOU in YOUR lifetime.
> > >
> > > Dave Gibson
> > > Firefighter (23 years)
> > > Glasgow
> > > Scotland
> > > UK
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

--
Edward S. Maikranz
KG5UN
Abilene, Texas

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

926. Re: 7.5 step for handhelds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39733BDD.E2B7AFD3@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84700

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> What uses that?

Not used, yet. I thought I read somewhere that the FCC was considering
dividing up some bands that now use a 15khz step size.

--
Michael Moore

> "mike kutcher" <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> news:39728C13.69E53030@earthlink.com...
> > Are any handheld scanners now available with a 7.5khz step?
> > thanks
> >

927. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve H <sjhouse.foilspam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lsJc5.368$W06.74583@sol.newscene.com>
Source record: 84701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I fail to see how the government has anything to do with it when a group of wacko parents barricade themselves in a building and set themselves and their children on fire, even if the FBI is outside on the other side of the barricades at the time. If the parents had walked out the door the day the thing begin instead of deluding themselves that a trivial criminal investigation was the harbinger of the Apocalypse, they'd all be free today, their cases tossed out of court and their children safe and sound in their beds.

Adherence to superstition is the only invariably fatal mental illness.

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

928. Dudas sobre un tranquin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Federico Campos Salas <FEDMAR@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <G6Kc5.12459$aS.103488@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84702

mi duda es como funciona un tranquin (emissoras de ambulancias) y si se pueden escanear y si se puede

en que frequencias trabajan.

SALUDOS

929. Re: RS 2006 / Opto456 Lo-batt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3973728A.72769127@home.com>
Source record: 84703

> Hi,

I have installed two opto 456 interfaces and both required me to
resolder the battery connector back on and then remount the memory battery
(9v) back inside the radio using velcro. Try opening the case up I'll
bet you find a dead battery.

Good luck. Mike Burch K8MB

930. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <FIKc5.13298$TI1.1828440@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Steve H <sjhouse.foilspam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:lsJc5.368$W06.74583@sol.newscene.com...
> I fail to see how the government has anything to do with it when a group
of
> wacko parents barricade themselves in a building and set themselves and
> their children on fire, even if the FBI is outside on the other side of
the
> barricades at the time. If the parents had walked out the door the day
the
> thing begin instead of deluding themselves that a trivial criminal
> investigation was the harbinger of the Apocalypse, they'd all be free
today,
> their cases tossed out of court and their children safe and sound in their
> beds.
>
> Adherence to superstition is the only invariably fatal mental illness.

Interesting how you forgot to mention that the children were totally
innocent
and had nothing to do with the situation. Your opinion that the parents were
responsible for the childrens' deaths is only your opinion. How does that
help the kids?

Bill K9KZ

>
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
> > I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> > I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> > The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> > didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >

> >
>
>

931. FS: GI 2750R Satellite Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: h175a@multipro.com
Message-ID: <8kvt7t$d32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84705

I have one General Instrument 2750R Satellite Receiver.The unit is in excellent condition,and is sold as-is but has no problems.The unit has included with this sale a uhf Anywhere remote and the matching remote receiver!This unit features VIDEO CIPHER II That allows you to Buy Pay-Per-View movies once it is set up.I am Asking $75.00 But I am willing to take the best offer or any reasonable offer.Buyer is to pay 20.00 Flat rate shipping costs.Any Questions feel free to e-mail me at h175a@multipro.com .--Kevin

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

932. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200007172102.RAA21714@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 84706

William <k7kkg@juno.com> wrote:

>>He's NOT in a "right" mind, he's a pervert and
dangerously insane.

>>True. It's a matter of time before Corky's pedophilia
catches up with him and
>>he is caught. He is truly sick.

Eat me! The kid was almost 16. That doesn't make me a
pedophile. You Cheek-a-Likes just don't get it.

John Parg/ManBoy for life

933. Re: Help soft for 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <zEKc5.40490$fR2.376570@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84707

Icom is still shipping v 1.0 of it's RS-R8500 software, for the IC-R8500 radio. So what you have is current.

Bill Crocker

"Paolo Crociati" <pcrociati@tin.it> wrote in message news:8kutpu$5va$1@nslave1.tin.it...
> Help soft for 8500 I have old version soft RS R8500 revision 1.0 is possible
> new version
> in Italy no possible
> Thanks
>
>

934. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeemail@blackhole.org>
Message-ID: <6B2D53B0A86AA297.CBE889A400D0BB5D.11DCE89AEEC95CCD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84708

What are you talking about!?!
UPS SUCKS!
We all know that and they should be sued for all the shipments they have destroyed which is probably millions of packages.

935. Re: RS 2006 / Opto456 Lo-batt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@me.me
Message-ID: <39739805.22774153@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 84709

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 20:50:27 GMT, Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
wrote:

> I have installed two opto 456 interfaces and both required me to
>resolder the battery connector back on and then remount the memory battery
>(9v) back inside the radio using velcro. Try opening the case up I'll
>bet you find a dead battery.
>
>Good luck. Mike Burch K8MB

Wow Mike! You're a Genius! ...or wait... maybe I'm an Idiot.

Thanks! Greg

936. Re: Ann Arbor, MI frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717182455.08832.00000210@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84710

both are on a trunked system with Washtenaw County

937. FA: Radio shack Pro-2026 date code 8A2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <0NLc5.386$aa.11791@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84711

I had put a scanner up here for sale a few days ago but now its at auction.
Feel free to check it out and bid if you want it. Just goto the below link
to check it out.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=385040437

Thanks,
Scott

938. What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@me.me
Message-ID: <397398de.22991033@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 84712

I got bitten hard by the scanner bug... this was about 4-5 years ago. I got a pro 43, I got my wife a pro 46 when I couldn't get her to give me my 43 back, I got a RS 2006, then I upgraded it to the OPTO 456.

Life has a way of getting in the way of my obsessions, and scanning was no exception. Anyhow, I dragged out the old scanners, with the notion of selling them in mind, but maybe there's something worth doing with 'em besides listing them on Ebay.

Any suggestions?

939. Re: Sayreville, NJ PD Off duty channel ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Heckler <sarge@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <g827ns4j54ttosjh1sd6hnjr7gamo314eq@4ax.com>
Source record: 84713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 13:06:54 -0400, "Dave" <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote:

>For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has
>used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel". Their old dispatch
>frequency used to be 460.250 and I assume it is somewhere near this
>frequency. The frequency is not listed in Scanner master or Per conn
>database unless it's listed in a newer version. Does anyone out there know
>this frequency ? your help is appreciated.
>
>Dave
>

Attached are the freqs for Sayreville transmitters, as taken from a
PerCon search.

While it doesn't list an "Off Duty Channel", it does give you all
current frequencies.

Hope this helps.

Happy Scanning!!

Jim

"The Federal Government has three duties; Tote the mail, Guard the
coast, and stay the hell out of everything else!!!!

940. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <39738962.AED624FE@orwell.net>
Source record: 84714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use "Bon-Ami" it is available from your local grocery store. It will cost you about $.79 for a lifetime supply. Apply onto a wet cotton cloth or paper towel and rub gently on the display. Rinse with another wet cloth.

Bobo

kc0eao wrote:

> Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display
> window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
> compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
> exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!
>
> --
> Dan S. -- kc0eao

941. Re: FA: Radio shack Pro-2026 date code 8A2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <05104de8.2ed8fcce@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84715

Scott, here is a quote from your eBay ad: "This scanner is
illegal to use because it can pickup cell phones!!"

What are you trying to do? Do you know that eBay will remove
any ad that advertises cellular reception with a scanner?

"Scott" <phazer@lni.net> wrote:
>I had put a scanner up here for sale a few days ago but now its
at auction.
>Feel free to check it out and bid if you want it. Just goto the
below link
>to check it out.
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=385040437
>
>Thanks,
>Scott
>
>
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

942. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nemo <Nemo@murphy.net>
Message-ID: <39738EA5.C217405F@murphy.net>
Source record: 84716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:
The Waco tragedy really bothers me.

- If all those right wing Gun Nuts hadn't used the children as hostages none of this would have happened..

943. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <2g37nskpdmvi2lrveeela6o22r863o7ill@4ax.com>
Source record: 84717

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 22:32:41 -0500, "Tarkus"
<dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:

>Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
>I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two resistors and
>enabling the cell frequencies.
>I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to either encrypt their
>oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range where we will never
>be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.

Which resistors are you referring to? Where do I find this
mod? Thanks.

Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

944. Re: Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3973948D.8BB07967@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84718

MaKin wrote:
>
> Thanks for the info! Did you modify it or did they sell them unblocked? I
> thought the Realistic scanners sold in Canada were all NETSET brand. Does
> anyone know the details on this?

It was modified by the dealer (Durham Radio) and it did not void
the warranty. If you're thinking of doing this, forget it -- the
current Radio Shack models cannot be modified. I'm somewhat
confused by your question about the NETSET brand. Most Radio
Shack scanners currently sold in Canada carry the "Radio Shack"
label -- a few carry the "Realistic" label (PRO-2037 and the
PRO-2040 -- old stock?). In the past, *all* Radio Shack scanners
sold in Canada (through Intertan Canada, at least) had the
"Realistic" label. I have never seen anything with the "NETSET"
label.

--
Michael Moore

> > That's not quite true. I believe *all* PRO-43s without the "A"
> > in the serial number are cell capable. For example, I have one
> > that is date code 10A4 (no "A" in the serial number) which is
> > cell capable -- it bears the "Realistic" name, not "Radio
> > Shack". However, this unit was distributed by Intertan Canada
> > (i.e. at the time, this was the Canadian subsidiary of Radio
> > Shack). It seems that around April 1994 Radio Shack stopped
> > selling the cell modifiable PRO-43s in the U.S. but continued to
> > sell them in other markets (until they were all gone).
> >
> > --
> > Michael Moore

945. Re: Sayreville, NJ PD Off duty channel ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UOMc5.16312$8m.93345@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You don't have ending quotes on your quote (signature I assume).

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

> "The Federal Government has three duties; Tote the mail, Guard the
> coast, and stay the hell out of everything else!!!!

946. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8l06bh$qh8$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Jake Brodsky (frussle@erols.com) on Mon, 17 Jul 2000 13:11:47 -0400 wrote:
>"acceptable," either. What we need is to ensure that those who cross
>that line are prosecuted. That's all we can do as a society. If we
>throw off the "gumint" and descend in to anarchy, will we really be
>any better off for it?

Isn't that what was done over 200 years ago? So YES, sometimes it is
better to destroy the government and start over.

>make laws with. It is an imperfect system. It always will be an
>imperfect system. Welcome to real life.

I think that is what they call fatalism.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

947. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <00021390.4c116804@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler) wrote:
>
>If you knew your local law enforcement had investigated and
cleared you
>of any wrong doing on the alleged charges (they had), but still
this
>force gathered outside, would you really have walked out and
given up
>your children to them for brainwashing? Not if you loved your
children.
>

Yea, the kids are much better off now than if they had been
brainwashed.

>
>The court testimony says otherwise.
>
>Many members of the government forces tried to prevent the
final tank
>assault, and were making progress "talking out" Koresh, but the
>powers-that-be decided to make an example out of those who
dared resist
>the government. Guess you are sufficiently cowed.
>

The findings of the jury was that the government was not
negligent in their actions.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

948. alignment of scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: beehunter1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27226-3973A1BE-19@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84722

I have a Bearcat BC800XLT and a friend of mine told me it should be "aligned" to the 160.000 to 162.000 mhz band as I listen to railroad frequencies mostly. He said they all come pre-aligned to the 155.000 mhz band for police monitoring, which I never listen to. He has an ancient Regency Touch Scanner (lucky him) that he bought in 1975 and had it aligned at the factory in Cumberland Indiana when he bought it. Does anyone know how to do this or where I can send mine to have it done. Thanks for any information on this topic. Also, is this a "dual conversion" or "triple conversion" scanner? I assume it is "dual conversion" as it is about 12 years old.

Chad
Louisville, KY

949. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <eab7nssdl4tohed106nlm9aithfejpn7a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 84723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Nemo <Nemo@murphy.net> wrote:

>- If all those right wing Gun Nuts hadn't used the children as hostages none
>of this would have happened..
>

These weren't just gun nuts - they are totally paranoid, delusional
misfits who think Charlton Heston is the latest savoir on earth -
Pathetic, at best.

950. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <naOc5.11433$Hk1.1765781@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 84724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Nemo <Nemo@murphy.net> wrote in message news:39738EA5.C217405F@murphy.net...
>
>
> Bill wrote:
> The Waco tragedy really bothers me.
>
> - If all those right wing Gun Nuts hadn't used the children as hostages
none
> of this would have happened..
>
>

You seem to have a lot of blind faith in corrupt government.

951. Re: FA: Radio shack Pro-2026 date code 8A2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <jcOc5.395$aa.12147@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84725

I fixxed that. Not sure what I was thinking before I wrote that. It's got to be mod'd to even pick them channels up, a regular non mod'd one won't. Was lame on my part. But then again most stuff I do is stupid/lame so its nothing new.

Sorry for the lameness,
Scott

RanHefner" <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote in message news:05104de8.2ed8fcce@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com...
> Scott, here is a quote from your eBay ad: "This scanner is
> illegal to use because it can pickup cell phones!!"
>
> What are you trying to do? Do you know that eBay will remove
> any ad that advertises cellular reception with a scanner?
>
>
>
>
> "Scott" <phazer@lni.net> wrote:
> >I had put a scanner up here for sale a few days ago but now its
> at auction.
> >Feel free to check it out and bid if you want it. Just goto the
> below link
> >to check it out.
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=385040437
> >
> >Thanks,
> >Scott
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Randy A. Hefner
> http://talk.to/frs
> http://go.to/qx3
> http://come.to/funnynews
>
> ----------
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!

> http://www.keen.com
>

952. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org>
Message-ID: <D3A685D81A2B0B78.FD47C123F771999E.2889B62802BE86A3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84726

I just did a search for ICOM R10 or ICOM R-10.
There is one page out there that has detailed pictures.
I don't know the exact site.

"James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:2g37nskpdmvi2lrveeela6o22r863o7ill@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 22:32:41 -0500, "Tarkus"
> <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:
>
> >Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
> >I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two resistors and
> >enabling the cell frequencies.
> >I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to either encrypt their
> >oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range where we will never
> >be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.
>
> Which resistors are you referring to? Where do I find this
> mod? Thanks.
>
> Regards,
>
> James
>
> Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

953. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org>
Message-ID: <91A7299A10A1E95F.56610B1191F33200.1268BD26CEC0B50C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84727

You are busted.
John is not from cotse.com.
You forgot to forge your domain/company.
You lose! Thanks for trying, next player please.

"J O H N" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200007172102.RAA21714@anon.cotse.com...
>
>
> William <k7kkg@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >>He's NOT in a "right" mind, he's a pervert and
> dangerously insane.
>
> >>True. It's a matter of time before Corky's pedophilia
> catches up with him and
> >>he is caught. He is truly sick.
>
>
> Eat me! The kid was almost 16. That doesn't make me a
> pedophile. You Cheek-a-Likes just don't get it.
>
>
> John Parg/ManBoy for life
>
>

954. Skip active - NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pantani <bianchi@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <3973B9F2.17C2CD36@ttlc.net>
Source record: 84728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Low band skip is active with the solar flair activity. Sunday and
Monday, I've monitored other states. Here is what I captured.

42.06 1854 Est. Carassousa? County APB on a guy who tried to
run over a Conservation Officer, license out of KC. 'Christian Cty'

42.38 1855 Est. KAA203; male dispatcher. '10-47 south of 44';
gave time of 1757 to 161. '532, 10-9 1759'

42.6, 42.34, 42.52, 42.54 were others. Monday heard North
Carolina well.
DA
--
Newmarket, NH
Pro 2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
Bearcat 250, BCT-7
AIM: gisNH
IRC: GISscannr

955. Re: Any scanners do 12.5 MHz spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <Jason@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qpi7ns81im4fmem74eo3e1pejpaeuu6bhl@4ax.com>
Source record: 84729

Most Scanners today will do 12.5 khz spacing - but on some it depends
on the band - (most do it on VHF air - please someone explain that-
and 800 mhz)

On Tue, 18 Jul 2000 01:51:01 GMT, Eugene Jastrem
<EJastrem@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I am looking for a scanner that will do 12.5 spacing. I took a quick
>look and found no prior postings, if I missed one I am sorry for this
>post. Please let me know thanx in advance.
>Gene

956. PRO 94 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <3973D32B.A9B5BFBB@earthlink.com>
Source record: 84730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm taking a serious look at the pro-94 but I have a few
questions....can you scan multiple trunk systems
(either of the same or different types) at the same time...can you scan
multiple trunk systems and conventional frequencies at
the same time....what is the khz step size?
Your help would be very much appreciated.
Thanks

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With Servers In California, Texas And Virginia - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

957. trunk talkgroup/I.D. for Disney studios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <3973D633.FD8166DD@earthlink.com>
Source record: 84731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone have the talkgroup/I.D. list for the trunk system at the Disney
studio in Burbank, CA?
Thanks

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With Servers In California, Texas And Virginia - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

958. U.S. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert I. Smith" <rlsmith@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3973d948.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 84732

U.S. Scanner Online is finally updated. Come one come all.
http://www.usscanner.com

959. Re: alignment of scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3973D9B9.A6122DA6@megsinet.net>
Source record: 84733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The BC800XLT is dual conversion and your radio probably does not require
alignment. I recommend you don't adjust it unless you:

1) have a schematic and the proper test equipment, which includes an
FM signal generator with accurately calibrated amplitude and frequency

2) first measure the sensitivity at various frequencies and determine
that it actually requires realignment (unlikely)

beehunter1@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I have a Bearcat BC800XLT and a friend of mine told me it should be
> "aligned" to the 160.000 to 162.000 mhz band as I listen to railroad
> frequencies mostly. He said they all come pre-aligned to the 155.000 mhz
> band for police monitoring, which I never listen to.
--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

960. Re: What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3973D9CD.C177A700@swbell.net>
Source record: 84734

me wrote:

> My 456 is the full version... what kind of price do you think it
> should fetch?

Once you determine a fair price, I'd sure be interested in it.

961. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <hxUc5.2401$7Y2.553859@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:naOc5.11433$Hk1.1765781@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> You seem to have a lot of blind faith in corrupt government.

You seem to have a lot of blind faith in wackos who try to use their children as human shields. Whatsa matter - you don't like it that the government shows it won't let people get away without paying the price when they use this ploy if agents come for 'em? Wackos got their roles to play in this sort of thing, government's got another. Go ahead and be a dead idiot. Just don't come crying to me about it. You're right that the children didn't have any choice. But their parents did. And they made it.

faa

962. Re: vtech 900 MHZ phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: klouie@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3973FFC0.714C88E1@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 84736

Thanks, it helped a lot.

963. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com>
Source record: 84800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
American system works very well, thank
you, as long as voters are informed and
involved.

Bottom line is, an apathetic and lazy
(or alienated non-voting) electorate
gets exactly the kind of government
they (we) deserve. If you re-elect
immoral and corrupt scoundrels like Bob Barr,
Newt Gingrich, etc., it's no wonder
we're so messed up.

-- Ross

Set'em up Joe wrote:
>
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
> >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> >Bill K9KZ
>
> I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of this
> republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon which
> it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
> Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in harm's
> way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding Fathers
> weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads. If Geo.
> Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an America
> for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his insanity.
>
> At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of liberty?

> I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were ill-advised,
> over-reactive and stupid.
>
> Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I know,
> because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to work.
> It's written on the stone there for all to see.
>
> The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
> citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you prepared to
> do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the shortest
> paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is due. Read
> up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
> you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got results.
> Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
> on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some agent's
> crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.
>
> Respectfully,
>
>] Andrew
> >
> >

--

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

964. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <39725F6F.43086E11@mindless.com>
Source record: 84801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I wonder if this topic drift has to do with the
terrible reception lately?

Anyways,
I don't know where you get the idea the White House
is the majority of the problem. Most every law you
live under came from your local, state, or Federal
legislature.

It puzzles me when people use terms like "Liar in Chief"
as if they think lying or affairs is anything new to
the Oval Office. Get real, folks. Time to leave those
comforting illusions, read Machiavelli, and face the truth
about what sort of person rises to power.

Any historian will tell you there have been far more
corrupt administrations: Grant, definitely. Reagan,
definitely. Kennedy: definitely.

Clinton's a liar. So is GW Bush, and Al Gore.
Take your pick.

-- Ross

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Bill:
>
> There are problems no doubt, but if you can find a better place to live, let
> me know. Maybe things will change for the better when they clean
> house...the White House that is!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
> > I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> > I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.

> > The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> > didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >

--

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

965. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3972605F.3B9A0CDB@mindless.com>
Source record: 84802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:
>
> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse.

Perhaps your friends are criminals? I don't know a single "victim"
of "government abuse". Perhaps it's the circles you hang with?

>I guess
> I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
> didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
> Bill K9KZ

--

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

966. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3972648A.C04922@mindless.com>
Source record: 84803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:
>
> Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> > Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> > American system works very well, thank
> > you, as long as voters are informed and
> > involved.
>
> How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?
>
> Bill K9KZ
NOW we're back on topic. :)

I can think of many independent sources of news,
which are much better IMHO.

See, shortwave radio is relevant here.
:)

>
> >
> > Bottom line is, an apathetic and lazy
> > (or alienated non-voting) electorate
> > gets exactly the kind of government
> > they (we) deserve. If you re-elect
> > immoral and corrupt scoundrels like Bob Barr,
> > Newt Gingrich, etc., it's no wonder
> > we're so messed up.
> >
> > -- Ross
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Set'em up Joe wrote:
> > >
> > > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a

> > > >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> > > >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> > > >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> > > >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
> > > >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> > > >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
> > > >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> > > >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
> > > >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
> > > >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the
> children
> > > >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> > > >
> > > >Bill K9KZ
> > >
> > > I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of
> this
> > > republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon
> which
> > > it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
> > > Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in
> harm's
> > > way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding
> Fathers
> > > weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads.
> If Geo.
> > > Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an
> America
> > > for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his
> insanity.
> > >
> > > At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of
> liberty?
> > > I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were
> ill-advised,
> > > over-reactive and stupid.
> > >
> > > Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I
> know,
> > > because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to
> work.
> > > It's written on the stone there for all to see.
> > >
> > > The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
> > > citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you
> prepared to
> > > do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the
> shortest
> > > paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is
> due. Read

> > > up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
> > > you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got
> results.
> > > Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
> > > on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some
> agent's
> > > crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.
> > >
> > > Respectfully,
> > >
> > >] Andrew
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > --
> >
> > ==========
> > The more limited your beliefs,
> > the more limited your reality.
> > -- The Android Sisters
> > http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
> > ==========

--

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

967. Realistic Pro-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Perreault <danper@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Optc5.121541$HK2.2120746@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 84804

Hello,

I'm new to the radio world, and just got a Pro-2020 scanner. I have no manual for this scanner, and I would like to know what is the frequency ranges for this scanner. I would also like to know if I can apply some mods on this scanner.

Thanks a lot,

968. Re: 895 XLT Dead Buttons?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: V65MagnaFan <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <46uc5.71215$7I1.1120487@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 84805

Thanks, Bud.

Now where did I put that contact cleaner???

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:aml4ns0rgohagvf7decai3b5mi3q9kl7ad@4ax.com...
> "V65MagnaFan" <jsturm@(remove?this)home.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Now for the question: Have any of you had keyboard problems with the
895xlt?
> >If so, what caused them?
>
> I had a similar problem, so I pulled the ribbon cable to the front panel,
> cleaned the connections, and re-set it, and have had no further problems.
>
> The connections are VERY small, and easily installed so they don't
> make good contact. Try resetting the cable and see if it helps.
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

969. FS: RS Pro-51 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: curbdoc1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000716222525.17159.00000600@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 84806

I have bought a new Pro-91 and wish to sell my old scanner. The Pro-51 is a 200 channel 800mhz direct entry scanner. It works fine and cosmetically I would grade it a 7-8. No corrosion in the battery compartment and no dings from being dropped.
It is a model # 20-308, ser # 4590xxxx, and "1 1 A 3" is on a plate under the belt clip on back. It has a short "rubber ducky" style antenna. I will sell the scanner for $110 and that includes the insured shipping. I have a few feedbacks on eBay for items I have bought over the internet. Payment can be made by USPS money order or I have a PayPal account to accept a credit card payment. If you're interested please email me "curbdoc1@aol.com" Thank you, Ted

970. Re: Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8ku0fd$r8b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84807

If you sell it to someone who doesn't know how SLOW it scans, over $100
but for $100 lately even on ebay you can get pro 37's that scan much faster,
and since you are trying to search the cell bands, cause that is about all
it is good at, searching at 50 frequencies per second on the pro 37 or pro
43 is like day and night when you have over 800 frequencies to search. Just
my humble opinion, I have no idea what a scanner is or does.
Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com> wrote in message
news:396e9628.0@news.pacifier.com...
> what is a bearcat 200XLT worth?
>
>
>

971. Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tanth10231@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717001832.20900.00001023@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84808

Just recently I heard that the state patrol in Iowa where I live at was going digital and that they are still going to use the same frequencies numbers as before, and I was wondering if I will still be able to hear them? I have been away from scanning for a year and a half and I am now getting back into it, so I am out of the loop on all the new technology that the state agencies are now using, I have also notice that when I listen to weather info. on my scanner it sounds computer generated would that be digital that I am hearing? I would appreciate any info to get me back up to speed. thanks.

Trevor
TAnth10231@aol.com

972. 7.5 step for handhelds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <39728C13.69E53030@earthlink.com>
Source record: 84809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are any handheld scanners now available with a 7.5khz step?
thanks

973. trunk talkgroup/I.D. for Disney Studios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <397290C1.BEF6176C@earthlink.com>
Source record: 84810
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have the talkgroup/I.D. list for the trunk system at the Disney
studio in Burbank, CA?
Thanks

974. freqs for Inyo & Mono counties, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <39729149.DE2E0631@earthlink.com>
Source record: 84811
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for freqs for Inyo & Mono counties, CA.....fire, sheriff, CHP,
anything you might have.
Thanks

975. Re: 7.5 step for handhelds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <lmwc5.40242$fR2.372079@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What uses that?

Bill Crocker

"mike kutcher" <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:39728C13.69E53030@earthlink.com...
> Are any handheld scanners now available with a 7.5khz step?
> thanks
>

976. Re: Lowe Airmaster 2000 ACARS software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <397295EA.F395A849@postnet.com>
Source record: 84813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greg Renner wrote:

> I checked awhile back with Lowe and Javation and there is no US outlet
> for Airmaster 2000. Email Lowe they may be able to upgrade you for a fee
> by an attached file.

Greg,

I did so simultaneous with my posting and I hope to get a reply
sometime early during the upcoming business week.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

977. Re: vtech 900 MHZ phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: oyatc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717025415.22026.00000989@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84814

Transmit Freqs....
Handset: 925.05 MHz to 927.75 MHz (all 10 channels in this range)

Base: 902.3 MHz to 905.0 MHz (all 10 channels in this range)

Receive Freqs....

Handset: 902.3 MHz to 905.0 MHz (all 10 channels in this range)

Base: 925.05 MHz to 927.75 MHz (all 10 channels in this range)

This is from a Vtech 918ADX manual.
Hope this helps!

978. For Sell or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717032712.16711.00001097@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84815

Bc3000Xlt leather carrying case 400 channel handheld scanner;200 dollars
Sony Icf-Sc1 300 channel handheld scanner; 125 dollars
Pro 2037 200 channel base scanner; 125 dollars
All scanner come with the box and manual and are in excellent condition will take posted price for each or 400 for the whole ball of wax or will trade for high end base scanner or laptop or desktop or military radio with Uhf.If interested email.Phil

979. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3972bba5.32756752@news.erols.com>
Source record: 84816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 20:28:02 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>
>Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
>news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
>> Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
>> American system works very well, thank
>> you, as long as voters are informed and
>> involved.
>
>How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?

How did YOU get informed, Bill ? You've spoken in a lot of
generalities here. How about some specifics? What exactly was this
abuse you witnessed? If it was by local gov't flunkies, then what was
the reaction of the state Attorney General's office when you informed
them? If the local newspaper's not in cahoots with county gov't why
not try approaching them. Do lots of other citizens feel the same in your
county? Try standing up at the county board of supervisors meeting and
calling these guys out. Bad men always fear exposure. Etc, etc, etc.
Come on, Bill. You already know this.

Andrew
>
>Bill K9KZ
>
>>
>> Bottom line is, an apathetic and lazy
>> (or alienated non-voting) electorate
>> gets exactly the kind of government
>> they (we) deserve. If you re-elect
>> immoral and corrupt scoundrels like Bob Barr,
>> Newt Gingrich, etc., it's no wonder
>> we're so messed up.
>>
>> -- Ross
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>> Set'em up Joe wrote:
>> >
>> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>> >
>> > >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>> > >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>> > >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>> > >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>> > >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>> > >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>> > >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>> > >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>> > >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>> > >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>> > >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the
>children
>> > >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>> > >
>> > >Bill K9KZ
>> >
>> > I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen of
>this
>> > republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles* upon
>which
>> > it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the Branch
>> > Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children in
>harm's
>> > way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the Founding
>Fathers
>> > weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their heads.
>If Geo.
>> > Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an
>America
>> > for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his
>insanity.
>> >
>> > At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of
>liberty?
>> > I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were
>ill-advised,
>> > over-reactive and stupid.
>> >
>> > Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty." I
>know,
>> > because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go to
>work.
>> > It's written on the stone there for all to see.
>> >
>> > The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and involved
>> > citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you

>prepared to
>> > do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the
>shortest
>> > paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit is
>due. Read
>> > up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter what
>> > you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got
>results.
>> > Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and moan
>> > on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in some
>agent's
>> > crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.
>> >
>> > Respectfully,
>> >
>> >] Andrew
>> > >
>> > >
>>
>> --
>>
>> ==================================
>> The more limited your beliefs,
>> the more limited your reality.
>> -- The Android Sisters
>> http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
>> ==================================
>
>

980. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3972C66F.7F070FD1@mindless.com>
Source record: 84817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Set'em up Joe wrote:
>
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 20:28:02 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> >news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> >> Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> >> American system works very well, thank
> >> you, as long as voters are informed and
> >> involved.
> >
> >How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?
>
> How did YOU get informed, Bill ? You've spoken in a lot of
> generalities here. How about some specifics? What exactly was this
> abuse you witnessed? If it was by local gov't flunkies, then what was
> the reaction of the state Attorney General's office when you informed
> them? If the local newspaper's not in cahoots with county gov't why
> not try approaching them. Do lots of other citizens feel the same in your
> county? Try standing up at the county board of supervisors meeting and
> calling these guys out. Bad men always fear exposure. Etc, etc, etc.
> Come on, Bill. You already know this.
>
> Andrew

It's my experience that there's a certain sort of person who's always
belligerent, surly, and obnoxious towards authority figures, who usually
finds a way to provoke them and get into trouble, and who therefore
accumulates a litany of stories about how he's being oppressed by "the
man",
whomever that turns out to be -- government, police, or whatever.

For example, there are the delusional Posse Comitatus folks, who won't
register their vehicles or pay taxes, and have the audacity to call
enforcement
action against them "persecution," as if they alone deserve to opt out
of their civil duties. Or the crazies who get directly in the face of
people
trying to get into a Family Planning Clinic and try to intimidate them
into
leaving. It's stupid they should think
we (society) would allow that to happen without consequences, and we
don't.

Venture a guess: 90% of such complainers did a lot to create their own problems.

-- Ross

981. 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Zotov <unitech@vneshtechnika.ru>
Message-ID: <8kui0r$2o0$1@news247.cnt.ru>
Source record: 84818
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,relcom.commerce.communications,relcom.comp.security,relcom.radio,relcom.radio.ham

Ham radio equipment for sale:
http://www.unitech.ru
http://www.vneshtechnika.ru

982. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <JbAc5.19817$V34.244284@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84819

I have NEVER had any legal troubles with the FCC or any other Federal,
local, or State agency
beyond simple traffic tickets.

"John Mackey Sucks" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200007162001.QAA04392@anon.cotse.com...
>
> Does anyone have any information on the status of Mackeys
> legal troubles with the FCC?
>
> Specifically where he was investigated by the FCC about his
> selling of ham tickets? How about where he was
> investigated by the FCC about his criminal impersonation
> of an FCC agent?
>
> Shit, with all his legal problems right there in
> Oregon for molestation, you would think he would try
> to keep the feds out of it.
>
>
>

983. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <udAc5.19824$V34.244736@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84820

The above message was/is a forge, never posted by me.

"J O H N" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200007162159.RAA16993@anon.cotse.com...
SNIP

984. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3972DF7E.E936CA7@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 84821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
What's The Best Scanner?
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
RadioShack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
Amateur & HF Radio Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
Do I Need An Amplifier?
Where Can I Get A Digital Scanner?
*What Is Reaction Tune?
*What's A Discriminator?
*What's A Good Scanner For Races?
My Web Page

Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

985. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <diverd4777@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3972E58D.41A3E9F9@aol.com>
Source record: 84822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:

> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> near police state.

<SNIP>

> What kind of government do we have?

If you look around the world, comparing this Government to (Any group of
other governments)
- This one aint too bad!

986. Speedtrap Registry - Remove my link, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8kupa4$r2g4$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84823

I've had it with all the "thank you Speedtrap" notes sent me from people who think that my _Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws_ web page is the work of The Speedtrap Registry. It is not the fault of the visitors to my site, though. It is the fault of The Speedtrap Registry for refusing -- for five+ years -- to acknowledge my request that they correct the link back to me so that people understood that my work was _my own_ and not thier's.
I am therefore removing all links to them, and have requested they remove that _thing_ they call a "link" to me. See attached, below.

BE ADVISED then, that if you've been getting to my site from the Speedtrap Registry, my link will soon be removed from there, per my own request. So you will need to provide a direct link to my site, or your users will no longer be able to reach it; and/or add a link to your "Favorites" folder in your browser.

This is gonna cost me many hits, and I'm sure I'm gonna lose my current high average counts, but I have to put my foot down and do something, here. I'm willing to sacrifice them for the point of this. Besides, I'm sure they'll slowly creep back up there again when people realize that they suddenly can't find me and go a searching and people start adding _direct_ links to me, rather than going through Speedtrap.

Todd

From: Todd Sherman/KB4MHH
To: The Speedtrap Registry
Subject: Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S. link
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2000

To Whom Concerned at [The SpeedTrap Registry]:

You know what? Go ahead and remove the link to me, please. I think I'm doing okay on my own with 7500 hits a month, thank you.

I'm tired of the five years worth of pleading with you to give proper link credits. For five years now, I've given the FREE link back to you as "SpeedTrap.com - by Andrew Warner", WITH a full description, PLUS that fancy little animated web graphic with the rotating flasher light. I did that because I'm a courteous type of person, and I think about the other guy.
But for five years, all I've got are nasty e-mails meant for SpeedTrap.com from people who think my site is part of your's, because you refuse to make that clear to them in the link -- i.e., they think my work is a sub-part of your's because you chose to go the route of "generic names." (Forget descriptions.)

My site is not called "Scanner Laws." It is much more than that. And it is not made by a company, but an individual.

For five years, I've pleaded, and been ignored. For the next five, I think I'll try it on my own, based just on my name alone.

That five year old, un-updated list of laws made by someone _else_ got proper credit; but my hard work at the law library just got a generic title for the longest time of "Another scanner laws by state." If you need a replacement link, I think that list is still out there somewhere. But, the user is hard to find. Good luck. Still, I think it should do for you guys. Either that, or you can hire a lawyer and have him sit in a law library for days and some-odd hours and do the research for yourself every year just like I do.

Meanwhile, I'll take my chances that the rest will come to me looking for my hard work and good name.

Besides, I don't think my so-very-long web page title would fit all too well on your fancy new web page design format. You know..."Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S."

So, thanks but, just remove me; and I'll go ahead and remove the links back to you, as well.

I gave you free advertising for five years. I guess now you've progressed to the point that you don't need the possible additional 7500 hits a month from my site, either.

For future reference though, it is considered polite protocol to list the full and proper name of the site you are linking to, as well as to mention the name of the author, so that people do not confuse any work someone else did with your work. You'll make more web authors happy that way. And when they send you e-mails, it is also considered polite protocol to answer right away, and then to accomodate. You fulfilled Part-1; but you forgot Part-2, with me.
You need a new policy regarding handling of your links to others, I think. I hope in the future you'll treat other webmasters differently so that you don't offend them as you have me.

Sorry, but I'm past tired of it, now. I think 5 years (actually, closer to 6 now) is plenty of time to allow for someone to react. And after e-mail after e-mail being ignored in all that time, I think I was more than patient. You have no excuse around this.

Thanks for all the respect, and for all those immediate responses over the years...

Todd

Todd Sherman, Webmaster
Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S.
URL: http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws
E-mail: afn09444@afn.org

cc: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
--

987. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: brutus <b8ballNOb8SPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <190563a8.2f4f6be8@usw-ex0101-007.remarq.com>
Source record: 84824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Vote for Ralph; not only the candidate with the most integrity,
but a vote also registers as "none of the above".

bball

Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid> wrote:
>
>What we need is a powerful citizen's lobbying group (like the
>ACLU, only even more powerful), composed of ALL the political
>factions (right, left, middle and independent). Part of the
>problem is that people are so highly divided along political
>lines.
>
>If citizens could overcome their political differences enough to
>form a group as or more powerful than the ACLU but composed of
>all factions, with members from all races, colors, creeds,
>sexual preference, ad infinitum... and if such a group could
>manage to gain power in Washington... it might be a good start.
>
>But I'm not looking for it to happen. People are too divided
>along the lines of specific issues... gun control, abortion, the
>death penalty, and others... to be able to unite to form such a
>group. There would be too much in-fighting for a group like
>that to gather any power (political or otherwise), and (excuse
>the cynicism) but I don't believe it would last a week.
>
>W.
>
>
>--
>
>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>Up to 100 minutes free!
>http://www.keen.com
>
>

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

988. Re: digital scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <UVDc5.40381$fR2.373935@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84825

James Boak <jboak@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:C8Rb5.13098$6s4.140529@news1.atl...
> is there such an animal? the area where i live, clarksville, tennessee,
is
> putting in an 800 mhz, trunked, digital radio system. is there a scanner
on
> the market that will allow me to listen? the new digital system is about
> 12 - 18 months down the road, but i wanted to start looking now to see if
a
> radio was available. the same folks were contracted to install the radio
> system that put the same system in memphis.
>
> thanks for your help and answers.
>
> jim, in clarksville, tennessee.
>

Nothing is currently available on the market for digital reception that i'm aware of Jim. If you believe any of the rumors in the air about that kind of receiver, sounds like some might be in the works by the time your p.d. gets on line. Its only mere speculation, but its something to hang on to !!!

Chris

989. Help soft for 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paolo Crociati <pcrociati@tin.it>
Message-ID: <8kutpu$5va$1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 84826

Help soft for 8500 I have old version soft RS R8500 revision 1.0 is possible
new version
in Italy no possible
Thanks

990. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kbEc5.2649$iP.302016@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Bill:
>
>There are problems no doubt, but if you can find a better place to live,
let
>me know. Maybe things will change for the better when they clean
>house...the White House that is!

I don't think that cleaning out the White House, attractive as it is for other reasons, will be that great a remedy. This is larger than partisan politics. The Ruby Ridge affair was purely a child of the Bush Administration, The Waco incident happened when administrations were changing and the Elian thing purely belongs to the Clinton Administration. What's common to all three is the notion that Big Government can't leave people, particularly those out of the mailstream, alone. Look for this to increase...

NEL KA2TYT

>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>news:hWqc5.9335$493.1719100@nntp1.onemain.com...
>> I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>> near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>> for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>> etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>> I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>> together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>> would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>> off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>> thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>> The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>> Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>> didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>>
>>
>
>

991. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8kv3a1irh1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 84828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39723FF2.F5B28E44@worldnet.att.net>, PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net says...
Snip
> Poorly equipped, even more poorly supplied Continental Army held off the >best the Brits could supply. The advantage of home turf and determination >made the difference.
My wife is a history buff. Majored in history with special attention to military issues.
The English were occupied in Europe and never really brought the force that they could have to "subdue" us. They only had X number of troops, Y number of transport ships, and just did not think we were worth it, or that they could not correct the condition later. She also pointed out, and her brother in law, a West Pointer, agreed that we did not so much as win, as they lost. Our peasant troops where at least as likley to run as to fight. I am not saying our guys where cowards, but it is hard to fight organised troops, firing from formation in batteries with a small number of poorly trained and equiped troops. I used to laugh at the way the British troops lined up. But after they [my wife and BIL] explained how it actually worked, their tactics where pretty good. By concentrating your men, you could maintian control and focus fairly powerfull fire on your enemy. And given that even today, with very accurate weapons very few shots "connect" with the tartget, it was not nearly as insane as it looks.
You might want to take a look at James Burkes "Connections" PBS video series. Most public library have it and it has some very good detail on the how and why armies did what they did.

992. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <qhEc5.13137$TI1.1804394@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Dan <diverd4777@aol.com> wrote in message news:3972E58D.41A3E9F9@aol.com...
>
>
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> > near police state.
>
> <SNIP>
>
> > What kind of government do we have?
>
> If you look around the world, comparing this Government to (Any group of
> other governments)
> - This one aint too bad!

That depends on whether you've been a victim or not. Maybe your turn is
coming.

Bill K9KZ

>
>

993. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tpEc5.2674$iP.305674@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Ross Archer wrote in message <3972648A.C04922@mindless.com>...
>
>
>Bill wrote:
>>
>> Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
>> news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
>> > Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
>> > American system works very well, thank
>> > you, as long as voters are informed and
>> > involved.
>>
>> How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>NOW we're back on topic. :)
>
>I can think of many independent sources of news,
>which are much better IMHO.
>
>See, shortwave radio is relevant here.
>:)

Maybe that's why the Gov't wants to take it away...

NEL KA2TYT

994. Ann Arbor, MI frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cjg <cgalbrai@umich.edu>
Message-ID: <5OEc5.45$65.5276@srvr1.engin.umich.edu>
Source record: 84831

Hi there,
Does anyone have police/fire, etc. frequencies for Ann Arbor or University of Michigan? Thanks for any help! 73, Chris KA8WFC

995. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39731AC2.6791F388@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Who Did you Expect? wrote:
>
> In article <39723FF2.F5B28E44@worldnet.att.net>, PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
> says...
> Snip
> > Poorly equipped, even more poorly supplied Continental Army held off the
> >best the Brits could supply. The advantage of home turf and determination
> >made the difference.
> My wife is a history buff. Majored in history with special attention to
> military issues.
> The English were occupied in Europe and never really brought the force that
> they could have to "subdue" us. They only had X number of troops, Y number
> of transport ships, and just did not think we were worth it, or that they
> could not correct the condition later.

Yes, you and she are correct. But you underscore my point.
Colonial determination was underestimated by the Brits. And their
best, even though under deployed, fell.

> She also pointed out, and her brother
> in law, a West Pointer, agreed that we did not so much as win, as they lost.

I've heard this before, applied to seminal battles in the world
wars. But again, determination of a few made the difference.

If the government in this country made a full scale assault on the
American people, victory on either side is far from assured. The
military, fighting citizens on American soil, would also be
underdeployed. It's not likely they'd lay waste huge tracts of
American land as we did in Vietnam. In that alone, advantages for
the citizens, would lie. Granted they'd have to be exploited to
maximum, but there would be advantages.

> Our peasant troops where at least as likley to run as to fight. I am not
> saying our guys where cowards, but it is hard to fight organised troops,
> firing from formation in batteries with a small number of poorly trained and
> equiped troops.

Absolutely. Running before superior advantage was solid tactic,
waiting till solid advantage was available was smart. But you're
making my point for me. A direct assault was not effective against
the Brits, but guerilla tactics were. Determination of a few.

> I used to laugh at the way the British troops lined up. But
> after they [my wife and BIL] explained how it actually worked, their tactics

> where pretty good. By concentrating your men, you could maintian control and
> focus fairly powerfull fire on your enemy. And given that even today, with
> very accurate weapons very few shots "connect" with the tartget, it was not
> nearly as insane as it looks.
> You might want to take a look at James Burkes "Connections" PBS video
> series. Most public library have it and it has some very good detail
> on the how and why armies did what they did.

I loved that show. And I have the series.

Being poorly equipped, and being out gunned, the Colonists made up
for their shortcomings in ways the Brits underestimated. Again,
determination prevailed. Which was my point.

996. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <TVEc5.13150$TI1.1806999@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3972bba5.32756752@news.erols.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 20:28:02 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> >news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> >> Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> >> American system works very well, thank
> >> you, as long as voters are informed and
> >> involved.
> >
> >How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?
>
> How did YOU get informed, Bill ?

I became a victim.

>You've spoken in a lot of
> generalities here. How about some specifics? What exactly was this
> abuse you witnessed?

After I became known publically many people contacted me with their
own stories.

>If it was by local gov't flunkies, then what was
> the reaction of the state Attorney General's office when you informed
> them?

The state is well aware of what's going on. Yes, they know me and about
me. They also know about my Federal Civil suit against the State of Indiana.

>If the local newspaper's not in cahoots with county gov't why
> not try approaching them. Do lots of other citizens feel the same in your
> county? Try standing up at the county board of supervisors meeting and
> calling these guys out. Bad men always fear exposure. Etc, etc, etc.
> Come on, Bill. You already know this.

The corruption runs deep in this county. The judges watch each others backs.

Bill K9KZ

>
> Andrew
> >
> >Bill K9KZ

> >
> >>
> >> Bottom line is, an apathetic and lazy
> >> (or alienated non-voting) electorate
> >> gets exactly the kind of government
> >> they (we) deserve. If you re-elect
> >> immoral and corrupt scoundrels like Bob Barr,
> >> Newt Gingrich, etc., it's no wonder
> >> we're so messed up.
> >>
> >> -- Ross
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> >> >
> >> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
> >> > >near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
> >> > >for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
> >> > >etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
> >> > >I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA
banded
> >> > >together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
> >> > >would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to
stave
> >> > >off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
> >> > >thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will
wait.
> >> > >The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to
die.
> >> > >Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the
> >children
> >> > >didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
> >> > >
> >> > >Bill K9KZ
> >> >
> >> > I consider myself a loyal American and proud to be a citizen
of
> >this
> >> > republic. At the same time, I recognize that it's the *principles*
upon
> >which
> >> > it's founded that generate most of my loyalty. The leader of the

Branch
> >> > Dravidians was a sick man, even worse for putting women and children
in
> >harm's
> >> > way. It was a no-win fight and a waste of human life. Even the
Founding
> >Fathers
> >> > weren't above fleeing the British when there was a price on their
heads.
> >If Geo.
> >> > Washington had, " made a stand" like Koresh did there wouldn't BE an
> >America
> >> > for you to love or revile. Koresh's lack of discretion proves his
> >insanity.
> >> >
> >> > At the same time, Who appointed the ATF as the guardians of
> >liberty?
> >> > I think there's a lot to take them to task for. Their actions were
> >ill-advised,
> >> > over-reactive and stupid.
> >> >
> >> > Jefferson said, "Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty."
I
> >know,
> >> > because I drive by the Archives Blding. in Wash.,D.C. every day I go
to
> >work.
> >> > It's written on the stone there for all to see.
> >> >
> >> > The system they set up *will* work, but only by a concerned and
involved
> >> > citizenry. Decrying your "lost freedom" won't cut it. What are you
> >prepared to
> >> > do? Apathy and the narcotic effect of rampant consumerism are the
> >shortest
> >> > paths to tyranny. I'm no liberal, but I'll give credit where credit
is
> >due. Read
> >> > up on the lives and work of Mahatma Ghandi and Rev. King: No matter
what
> >> > you may think of them, they took action, organized thousands, and got
> >results.
> >> > Grabbing your S&W and heading to the commune so you can bitch and
moan
> >> > on the USB about them "Gum'int Boys" will get you no where but in
some
> >agent's
> >> > crosshairs one day. It's your country too, make of it what you will.
> >> >
> >> > Respectfully,

> >> >
> >> >] Andrew
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >> ==========
> >> The more limited your beliefs,
> >> the more limited your reality.
> >> -- The Android Sisters
> >> http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
> >> ==========
> >
> >
>

997. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <qYEc5.13153$TI1.1807152@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:3972C66F.7F070FD1@mindless.com...
>
>
> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> >
> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 20:28:02 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> > >news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> > >> Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> > >> American system works very well, thank
> > >> you, as long as voters are informed and
> > >> involved.
> > >
> > >How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?
> >
> > How did YOU get informed, Bill ? You've spoken in a lot of
> > generalities here. How about some specifics? What exactly was this
> > abuse you witnessed? If it was by local gov't flunkies, then what was
> > the reaction of the state Attorney General's office when you informed
> > them? If the local newspaper's not in cahoots with county gov't why
> > not try approaching them. Do lots of other citizens feel the same in
your
> > county? Try standing up at the county board of supervisors meeting and
> > calling these guys out. Bad men always fear exposure. Etc, etc, etc.
> > Come on, Bill. You already know this.
> >
> > Andrew
>
> It's my experience that there's a certain sort of person who's always
> belligerent, surly, and obnoxious towards authority figures, who usually
> finds a way to provoke them and get into trouble, and who therefore
> accumulates a litany of stories about how he's being oppressed by "the
> man",
> whomever that turns out to be -- government, police, or whatever.
>
> For example, there are the delusional Posse Comitatus folks, who won't
> register their vehicles or pay taxes, and have the audacity to call
> enforcement
> action against them "persecution," as if they alone deserve to opt out
> of their civil duties. Or the crazies who get directly in the face of
```

> people
> trying to get into a Family Planning Clinic and try to intimidate them
> into
> leaving. It's stupid they should think
> we (society) would allow that to happen without consequences, and we
> don't.
>
> Venture a guess: 90% of such complainers did a lot to create their own
> problems.

I would tend to agree with you, but does that make you and I guilty until proven innocent? The answer is yes, like it or not.

Bill K9KZ

>
>
> -- Ross

998. FS: Scanner/Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000717113354.22667.00000669@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 84835

All Gear working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra. Please reply to my email address.
Thanks

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $45.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $60.

Marantz Model 2220B: Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation. I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $175

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100. Please don't ask the model #. I have been asked this many times and unable to locate on the name plate. The serial # is: AA002291. It comes with the optinal wood case the face is Gold Alumi. With the following controls: Mode selector, Bass, Treble, balance and volume. In the upper left corner is a red LED and on the bottom right is a normal/monitor switch. I would rate it a 7 on appearance.

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $115 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working at times and one for parts. $50 for both.

RS Pro 30 Scanner: Hand held 16 channel Digital scanner. Looks & Works good, but the battery cover plate is missing $35.

999. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1ob6ns4ngf73jfghbvotvhrqi0dgsadui7@4ax.com>
Source record: 84836

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 21:58:02 -0500, "Tarkus"
<dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:

>I don't think that was the real John Mackey.
>If it was then he wouldn't be joking about sex with 16 year old boys.
>No one in their right mind would joke about something like that, esp in public where
>he is destroying himself.

He's NOT in a "right" mind, he's a pervert and dangerously insane.

1000. Re: Airlines ground operations frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28285-39732E20-44@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84837

The UHF frequency allocations are 460.650-460.875 MHz with 25 KHz spacing, but what's the frequency allocations in the 900 MHz frequencies? Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
Save America, Evict Clinton

1001. Re: Airlines ground operations frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3md6nscdvrb4nk9n5afvt8mkl0g1v4jmkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 84838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Sat, 15 Jul 2000 03:30:37 GMT, "David M. Hitchner"
<BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote:

>I was on the ground at Memphis for 4 hours while they fixed a water
>problem on the plane. Fortunately I had a scanner with me and found
>the ground crew frequencies. It's amazing that what they tell you
>over the intercom on the plane has no resemblance as to what is
>actually going on.

Often this is deliberate because the least common denominator of
white-knuckled airline tourist doesn't want to hear about anything
being wrong with the aircraft.

>By the way, I hope the persons on the ground for 8 hours waiting
>to get off the plane (I don't remember the details) win their lawsuit.
>I know what it feels like to be a prisoner of the airlines.

Yeah, eight hours is quite excessive. I would think that if they
can't get things right after 1 hour, that everyone ought to be offered
the opportunity to walk away.

And they ask me why I pilot my own airplane...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1002. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: retsuhcs@xinap.moc
Message-ID: <8kvdonlmn1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 84839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <yu1c5.3231$IZ1.25498@iad-read.news.verio.net>,
kc0eao <kc0eao@sd.cybernex.net> wrote:
>Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display
>window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
>compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
>exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!

Get some Novus, Meguars, or Blue Magic plastic polish. They all are marked
as being able to polish out fine scratches.

Failing that, the "fine cut" paint polishes sold in auto stores, for
removing swirl marks and fine scratches on clear coat finishes will be a
good substitute.

Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like
aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz,
Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.

Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse
abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but
leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder
and more coarse abrasive particles.

1003. Sayreville, NJ PD Off duty channel ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <8kvefn$hv6$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 84840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel". Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I assume it is somewhere near this frequency. The frequency is not listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is appreciated.

Dave

1004. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <q8e6ns4ttaah7f5b5irj1bfqjftmsk17bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 84841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?

Now here is a classic example of why the SW stations in the USA sound
as outlandish as they do.

Bill's concerns are not misplaced. I agree, these are concerns.
However, there are ways within the system of addressing these
concerns.

And as far as the Waco case, let's get one fact straight here: Yes,
the ATF was being big and bad when they tried to take down a place in
Jackbooted thug style. However, we should not forget that the Branch
Davidians had harassed and threatened many neighbors and even local
officials. They were not the kind of neighbors I would have wanted.
And finally, they did not have to fire one shot at the ATF. THEY KNEW
THE ATF WAS COMING! The use of excessive force could have easily
become a federal case just as it has in Philadelphia.

So, yes, the use of force against the Branch Davidians was excessive.
However, in my opinion, given the reports I've read, it was within the
bounds of several (very) poor judgement calls.

I want to reiterate: All cops will use excessive force. They will do
so at almost every opportunity unless they have the fear of
prosecution hanging over their heads. Further, the sorts of folks who
often join law enforcement organizations aren't usually model examples
of intelligence and independent thinking. It's really too much to
expect them to be both regimented and smart at the same time.

And while no police brutality is "acceptable," no crime is really
"acceptable," either. What we need is to ensure that those who cross
that line are prosecuted. That's all we can do as a society. If we

throw off the "gumint" and descend in to anarchy, will we really be any better off for it?

Yes, I believe in personal responsibility. Yes, I believe in rights. Somewhere in the middle of this is a very fine line that we try to make laws with. It is an imperfect system. It always will be an imperfect system. Welcome to real life.

But back to SWL: Bill's post is a moderate opinion in this news group. The real right wingers are so right, they're almost left. Folks, these are the listeners. Is it any wonder that SW sounds like it does?

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1005. RS 2006 / Opto456 Lo-batt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@me.me
Message-ID: <39734e8c.3962049@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 84842

After spending about two years in a drawer I brought out my RS 2006 which is modifiedI to an Opto 456. Everything seems to work fine, except the memory was cleared, and I get a LO BAT alarm, which is very annoying. The opto 456 modification required me to remove the 9volt battery connection, but the alarm was never a problem in the past, so I'm assuming that there's annother internal battery in there someplace. Can somebody please shed some light on this problem for me?

1006. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <397344A8.3315@alaska.net>
Source record: 84843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I will never ship UPS. Ever try to get a local phone number to complain
in person? Cannot be done. The local office here in Fairbanks is then
only open from 10am to 1pm--worse then the banks used to be...

alice wrote:
>
> While needless damage can and does occur during transit, your bigger problem is
> the shipper. They should not be sending out anything of value with
> no-signature releases.
>
> Even if you receive a damaged package on your doorstep, (1) call UPS before you
> open it or (2) call the shipper and have them initiate a claim. Then, too, the
> shipper might need to do a better job of packaging (like a fiberglass or
> cardboard sleeve around your antenna) so tell them about that.
>
> Tell your shipper to NOT use the no-signature release. This used to be the
> only way UPS worked, but they opt for (or default to) no-signature because
> hardly anyone is at home during the day.
>
> UPS is very service-oriented and if you ask, they will make good on every
> legitimate claim. The drivers will bend over backwards for you too, if you ask
> them for help,
>
> (No, I don't work for them)
>
> In article <8kofg3$o77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, ontherun@mindspring.com was
> of the opinion...
> >
> >Have you ever tried to FIND the national address? Or anything higher than
> >the local address? They will NOT give it to you on the phone!
> >
> >Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> >news:VXZa5.7660$493.1349076@nntp1.onemain.com...
> >>
> >> Dave <ws2l@monmouth.com> wrote in message
> >> news:8khp5l$4gh$1@news.monmouth.com...
> >> > Several months ago UPS delivered a GAP challenger to my house, my wife
> >was
> >> > home at the time. She said that the driver rang the door bell but by the
> >> > time she got to the door the driver was driving off in his truck and the
> >> > antenna was laying on the porch. When I got home from work I found that
> >> the

> >> > shipping box was damaged, upon opening the box I found that the two (2)
> >> > lower sections (and the thickest) were bent. It was my impression that
> >it
> >> > took a great deal of force to bend these sections of the antenna, almost
> >> as
> >> > if they used it to play tight rope walker.
> >> >
> >> > On a second occasion several weeks later they left my brand new Kenwood
> >> > TS-570SG on the front steps without ringing the door bell (I was
> >home).
> >> > There was no damage but the radio sat on the steps for several hours
> >> before
> >> > I went outside and found it.
> >> >
> >> > I complained to UPS about this and ever since then the driver does not
> >> ring
> >> > the bell or leave the item, now they just leave the yellow ticket
> >advising
> >> > me I have a package.
> >> >
> >> > I for one will NOT use UPS for any of my shipping if I can help it. I am
> >> > going to be selling some ham gear in the near future and plan to use
> >> > alternate services.
> >> >
> >> > Dave
> >> > WS2L
> >>
> >> Sounds like you need to complain to the UPS national HQ's.
> >>
> >> Bill K9KZ
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
> --
> The opinions expressed are neither mine nor my employer's. In fact
> I don't know whose they are!

--
Mike
Fairbanks, AK

The Waco event also symbolized something about the
present state of the Constitution: instead of the
balance of power, we live under a de facto executive
dictatorship. The White House never felt the need to
ask permission of the Congress before it undertook
the raid, and the Congress never raised a serious
challenge to the White Houseï¿½s assertion of complete
sovereignty. Our elected representatives provided the

illusion of participatory government, while Reno and various anonymous and unelected underlings held the reins of government in reality.

1007. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <YGHc5.16384$PD4.559013@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:iitc5.12955$TI1.1762698@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> news:39725D09.5128E976@mindless.com...
> > Thanks for that moment of sanity. The
> > American system works very well, thank
> > you, as long as voters are informed and
> > involved.
>
> How do we get informed if the local media is part of the system?

Ignore the local media. Obviously you have access to the Internet.

Rapid Media can help citizens to know what certain government services are doing on a moment-to-moment basis. It will not be terribly useful for informing oneself sufficiently to cast a meaningful vote in a national election, but it's a start.....

http://rapid-media.org

73 de Dave, NF2G, BSCJ/Soc
Director/Webmaster, The Rapid Media Project
NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

1008. Re: Speedtrap Registry - Remove my link, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <5HHc5.9$qb1.296@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84845

Obviously he did it just to annoy you personally. Worked, didn't it?

Mark

"Donald" <dontracht934@aol.com> wrote in message
news:g666nss4pg1cu5238068cmp061thqfa701@4ax.com...
> Why, WHY did you feel the need to post this drivel here??????
>
> Jesus.........
>

1009. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <043aa72c.e3779640@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Mike <mdickman@alaska.net> wrote:

>The Waco event also symbolized something about the
>present state of the Constitution: instead of the
>balance of power, we live under a de facto executive
>dictatorship. The White House never felt the need to
>ask permission of the Congress before it undertook
>the raid, and the Congress never raised a serious
>challenge to the White House's assertion of complete
>sovereignty. Our elected representatives provided the
>illusion of participatory government, while Reno and
>various anonymous and unelected underlings held the
>reins of government in reality.
>
>

If that's how you see the Constitution, you need to re-read it.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1010. Re: CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic <vlee@fix.net>
Message-ID: <39750C2B.D70A37EA@fix.net>
Source record: 84597

The CHP was using Blue between Goleta and El Capitan state beach and the next week between Los Alamos and Santa Maria on hwy. 101.

Garretw wrote:
>
> Found the CHP fixed-wing aircraft using 42.34 to locate speeders on I-80

1011. BC-170, Modifiable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Holcombs1234@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7300-397507A2-3@storefull-178.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84598

Please respond via group.

1012. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <iMad5.1090$gN4.314152@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:aU2d5.155$ZL6.15301@nntp1.onemain.com...

> ATF and Reno made their choices and the children are dead.
So tell me how they got inside and back out again to shoot the kids at close range after the fire started. And all without anyone noticing. I'd be really worried about messing around with the Feds if they have those sorts of supernatural powers.

>If the FEDS
> didn't have so many employees there would be a much greater outcry.
I doubt it. I'm not a government employee and I still don't see that the Feds did too much wrong. As far as I'm concerned, the only thing they might have done wrong was to let those wackos sit for too long berfore they stormed the place. And afterward, stupid right-wing Republicans wanting to score quick political points gave other wackos the idea that if it happened again, they'd give 'em a get out of jail free card. Just hold up your kids as shields, guys. What crap. The I guess us law 'n' order types are just outa fashion these days with the radical right.

>I mean
> really, who wants to destroy their job? Seems to me that the US Federal Gov.
> is the largest employer in the entire world.
Hardly. If this is the level of your "knowledge", no wonder you have so many weird ideas.

faa

1013. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397532C7.48BD7D8F@primenet.com>
Source record: 84600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J O H N wrote:
>
> Wrong again, Richard. You have NEVER proven I have lied. Infact YOU
> have been caught lying!!!! (remember when you posted those messages about
> when I got my callsign, you were WAY OFF!)
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> > times.

I know you are too lame and inept to search DejaNews for confirmation
and proof (it's there for the reading), so here is the list of your
lies to remind you. The twenty seventh lie is the one I just caught
you in. I think I'll add your above lie as number 28.

Toilet Boy, you're still a liar and a fraud.

1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
Internet.

2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
patronizing under age child prostitutes.

3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
sister's children.

5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
be married in one forum and single in another.

6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.

7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
spammers and idiots.

8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
of services.

9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
or illegal Internet address.

10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
prolific poster of off topic messages.

11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen, w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.

12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.

13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa.

14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence and total lack of technical expertise.

17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted on one hand!"

19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field for most of the last15 years."

20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.

21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.

22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.

23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls made to you at your place of work.

24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats to your detractors on the Internet.

25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."

26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers of your detractors.

1014. Re: What's the best base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6476-39753E46-94@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84601

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

1015. All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39752238.F918072F@pacbell.net>
Source record: 84602

Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
<wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version of this

story. It was first posted on October 30, 1996 in alt.radio.scanner and
rec.radio.scanner.

"All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar"

Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story
of how King Mackey the Great became so. It is an exciting
tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer,
as I relate this grand history.

In the land of Scannerdom, the county of Power Supply was
plagued with a terrible injustice. The evil mercenary force
from Capacitor held the people of Smoke prisoner. Repeater,
the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a rare and unused
Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the people
of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless
warrior stepped forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater
told him of the dangers but the young man was undaunted and
resolutely set off to free them.

When he arrived at the gate, Mackey was challenged by the
fearsome guardians, Positive and Negative. So terrible were
these mighty sentinels that even the renowned guardian Cerebus
trembled when faced with Positive and Negative. Armed with
his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. In the hands
of a true warrior the screwdriver is no common weapon. Our
hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and urinals of
Technical School, was much more than a professional. He was
a Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.

The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded
razor sharp quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using
every feint, lunge, and parry the guardians had learned in
centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no avail. Mackey
maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he ended it. He
had touched both guardians in the only way to kill them. He
bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman
could execute, the Short.

The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the
Smoke from their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke
rewarded Mackey with their highest honor, the Diddler of the
Spark.

Mackey, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In the land of Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the Legions of Ennui. These were no ordinary warriors, but elite shock troops. Armed with the latest in Apathy, Disdain, and Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.

For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another, mighty warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was a soldier in the Legion of Ennui. Alas, I too, fell before the great Mackey. No one could understand how a single man could withstand such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. Then we learned the source of his great strength. He was the most favored disciple of the malevolent gods, Fraud and Liar. They had taught him the secret fighting languages of Turpitude, Innuendo, and the most deadly of them all, Obscenity. Under their smutty tutelage, he repeatedly repeled the attacks with adroit displays of oratorical onanism.

There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to prevail. We had Mackey surrounded, he was in everybodys kill file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone, armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.

Sadly, we all were victim to his great strategy and now he sprang his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him. Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people, in his hands his mail-order diploma proclaming him Master of Communication Technology smote us down.

Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the surrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him, fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked through the defeated masses, and went home.

Upon returning to Scannerdom, he found word of his great deeds had preceded him. He was declared Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination as King. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him King and the great sage and visionary Pope Bcheek presided over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."

So there you have it, brothers and sisters. Behold the saga of his fetidness, King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo, and Obscenity.

All hail King Mackey the Great Liar.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

1016. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3973E9AF.C1C6AC0F@mindless.com>
Source record: 84647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Norman Litsche wrote:

> >I can think of many independent sources of news,
> >which are much better IMHO.
> >
> >See, shortwave radio is relevant here.
> >:)
>
> Maybe that's why the Gov't wants to take it away...
>
> NEL KA2TYT

WHERE, oh where, do you get this idea from?

So, E-bay goes overboard and confuses scanners
with shortwave radios. How exactly is that the
same as a government conspiracy to deprive its
citizens of news????

This thread has been steadily descending into
ridiculousness and lunacy.

--

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

1017. Re: trunk talkgroup/I.D. for Disney studios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000718012333.25433.00000571@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 84648

I would like the freq list for the Burbank Studio please. Of course,
Disneyland in Anaheim uses 900 MHZ trunk and my little PRO-91 won't track it.
Eric G.

1018. Re: Has Mackey been convicted yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <6TRc5.21946$V34.260246@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84649

More bogus fake posts.

"J O H N" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote
>
SNIP

1019. Bearcat BC-ONE-FOUR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dstalk@execulink.com
Message-ID: <yZRc5.22031$227.413828@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 84650

Hello,

Can anybody help me with information about an old Bearcat UHF scanner?
It is model "BC-ONE-FOUR U" and has 4 crystal controlled frequencies.
The crystals I have are marked "131", "132", and "154.810".
What I'd like to know is what is the frequency range of the scanner
and how do I figure out what frequency is being received or, conversely,
what crystal to use to receive a particular frequency.

Any information is appreciated.

Thanks, Don

1020. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3973EF16.1B850AE6@mindless.com>
Source record: 84651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Norman Litsche wrote:

> >I can think of many independent sources of news,
> >which are much better IMHO.
> >
> >See, shortwave radio is relevant here.
> >:)
>
> Maybe that's why the Gov't wants to take it away...
>
> NEL KA2TYT

This thread has been steadily descending into lunacy.

E-bay is private, entitled to sell or not sell items as they choose.
Banned items cannot sue E-bay for damages or discrimination.
If E-bay decides tomorrow that Beanie Babies will not be
auctioned on their site, (as far as I know), it's perfectly
within their rights to pull them. It's also perfectly within your
rights
to complain about it to them if you wish.

The real story seems to be that E-bay was pulling
merchandise from a particular seller for some infraction,
not imposing a blanket ban on SWL receivers.

At any rate, there's no government confiscation of SWL radio
at work here. I swear, some people can see a conspiracy in
the cloud patterns or configuration of stars in the night sky.

-- Ross

==========
The more limited your beliefs,
the more limited your reality.
-- The Android Sisters
http://www.drakhan.com/ruby1.html
==========

1021. R: Help soft for 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paolo Crociati <pcrociati@tin.it>
Message-ID: <8l09ha$qa5$1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 84652

Paolo Crociati <pcrociati@tin.it> wrote in message
8kutpu$5va$1@nslave1.tin.it...
> Help soft for 8500 I have old version soft RS R8500 revision 1.0 is
possible
> new version
> in Italy no possible
> Thanks
> Hi Bill, thanks pe your answer, thought that a big house coma the Icom
adjourned the softewere, instead for my disappointment it is not this way.
Hi Paul
PS Scusa mine bad English but I use a translator
>

1022. Orange County (CA) Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron <ahamilton2NOSPAM@sm.saddleback.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <kTSc5.402$in.14809@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What are the PL tones and frequencies (e.g. transmit PL & freq. and receive PL & freq.) for Orange County's (CA) EMS services (base hospital to paramedic unit radios)?

Thanks.

1023. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8l11sm$h1b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 84654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Archive-name: radio/personal-intro

This message describes the rec.radio.amateur.*, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.info, and rec.radio.swap newsgroups, as well as their Internet mailing list counterparts and complements. It is intended to serve as a guide for the new reader on what to find where. Questions and comments may be directed to Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, jeffreyh@hawaii.edu, or Paul Schleck, K3FU, pschleck@novia.net.

This message was last changed on 14 March, 1998.

History
=======

Way back when, before there was a Usenet, the Internet hosted a mailing list for hams, called (appropriately enough) INFO-HAMS. Ham radio discussions were held on the mailing list, and sent to the mailboxes of those who had signed up for it. When the Usenet software was created, and net news as we now know it was developed, a newsgroup was created for hams: net.ham-radio. The mailing list and the newsgroup were gatewayed together, eventually.

Over the years, as the net grew, the volume of discussion became progressively higher. First one by one, and then as part of two reorganizations, what was once one group became many. In the process, developments elsewhere on the net were reflected in the groups as they were created, most notably the change to place all of the ham radio groups in one hierarchy.

The collection of newsgroups continues to grow as more people join the net, and as more topics of discussion gain volume, I expect to see more groups be created as well. This follows what is happening on the rest of the net.

Nearly all of the radio newsgroups have corresponding mailing lists, the notable exception being rec.radio.swap. There are also a few mailing lists that don't have newsgroups.

The Current Groups
==================

It's important to post messages to the group that's appropriate for them, and not to the groups that aren't. The whole idea of having different newsgroups is so that folks who aren't interested in, say, homebrewing, don't have to wade through messages about homebrewing on the way to read about Field Day. Posting appropriately is just good etiquette.

The rec.radio.amateur.misc group is the catchall. It is what rec.ham-radio was renamed to during the first major reorganization. Any amateur radio-related message that's not more appropriate in one of the other groups belongs here, from contesting to DX to ragchewing on VHF to information on becoming a ham.

The group rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc is for discussions related to (surprise!) digital amateur radio. This doesn't have to be the common two-meter AX.25 variety of packet radio, either; some of the most knowledgeable folks in radio digital communications can be found here, and anything in the general area is welcome. The name was changed to emphasize this, and to encourage discussion not only of other text-based digital modes, such as AMTOR, RTTY, and Clover, but things like digital voice and video as well. The former group, rec.radio.amateur.packet, was removed on September 21st, 1993. It is obsolete, and you should use .digital.misc instead (or the appropriate new mailing list, mentioned below). The group has .misc as part of the name to allow further specialization if the users wish it, such as .digital.tcp-ip.

The swap group is rec.radio.swap. This recognizes a fact that became evident shortly after the original group was formed: Hams don't just swap ham radio gear, and other folks besides hams swap ham equipment. If you have radio equipment, or test gear, or computer stuff that hams would be interested in, here's the place. Equipment wanted postings belong here too. Discussions about the equipment generally don't; if you wish to discuss a particular posting with the buyer, email is a much better way to do it, and the other groups, especially .equipment and .homebrew, are the place for public discussions. There is now a regular posting with information on how to go about buying and selling items in rec.radio.swap; please refer to it before you post there. To answer a frequently asked question: No, there is no mailing list that goes along with this group. If you can't read Usenet news directly, you're out of luck.

The group rec.radio.amateur.policy was created as a place for all the discussions that seem to drag on interminably about the many rules, regulations, legalities, and policies that surround amateur radio, both existing and proposed. Recent changes to the Amateur Radio Rules (FCC Part 97) have finally laid to rest the Great Usenet Pizza Autopatch Debate - it's now legal to order a pizza on the autopatch, if you're not in the pizza business - as well as complaints about now-preempted local scanner laws hostile to amateurs, but plenty of discussion about what a bunch of rotten no-goodniks the local frequency coordinating body is, as well as the neverending no-code debate, may still be found here.

The group rec.radio.cb is the place for all discussion about the Citizens' Band radio service. Such discussions have been very inflammatory in rec.ham-radio in the past; please do not cross-post to both rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.amateur.* unless the topic is genuinely of interest to both hams and CBers - and very few topics are.

The rec.radio.info group is just what its name implies: it's the place where informational messages from across rec.radio.* may be found, regardless of where else they're posted. As of this writing, information posted to the

group includes Cary Oler's daily solar progagation bulletins, ARRL bulletins, the Frequently Asked Questions files for the various groups, and radio modification instructions. This group is moderated, so you cannot post to it directly; if you try, even if your message is crossposted to one of the other groups, your message will be mailed to the moderator, who is currently David Dodell, WB7TPY. The email address for submissions to the group is rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com. Inquires and other adminis-trivia should be directed to rec.radio.info-request@primenet.com. For more information about rec.radio.info, consult the introduction and posting guidelines that are regularly posted to that newsgroup.

The groups r.r.amateur.antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .equipment, .homebrew, and .space are for more specialized areas of ham radio: discussions about antennas, the older tube based amateur, military, and commercial gear, commercially-made equipment, homebrewing, and amateur radio space operations. The .equipment group is not the place for buying or selling equipment; that's what rec.radio.swap is for. Similarly, the .space group is specifically about amateur radio in space, such as the OSCAR program and SAREX, the Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment; other groups cover other aspects of satellites and space. Homebrewing isn't about making your own alcoholic beverages at home (that's rec.crafts.brewing), but rather construction of radio and electronic equipment by the amateur experimenter. The .dx group is devoted to long distance amateur communications. Each of these subgroups has its own charter and FAQ; please refer to the archives to find these documents.

Except for rec.radio.swap and rec.radio.cb, all of these newsgroups are available by Internet electronic mail in digest format; send a mail message containing "help" on a line by itself to listserv@ucsd.edu for instructions on how to use the mail server.

All of the groups can be posted to by electronic mail, though, by using a gateway at the University of Texas at Austin. To post a message this way, change the name of the group you wish to post to by replacing all of the '.'s with '-'s - for example, rec.radio.swap becomes rec-radio-swap - and send to that name@cs.utexas.edu (rec-radio-swap@cs.utexas.edu, for example). You may crosspost by including multiple addresses as Cc: entries (but see below). This gateway's continued availability is at the pleasure of the admins at UT-Austin, and is subject to going away at any time - and especially if forgeries and other net.abuses become a problem. You have been warned.

Mailing Lists
==========

In addition to the mailing lists that mirror the Usenet newsgroups, there also are a few that stand alone. These cover specific areas of ham radio, and discussion is focused on just those areas. PLEASE BE SURE TO SAVE THE WELCOME MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE AFTER SUBSCRIBING -- IT WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFO REGARDING UNSUBSCRIBING!

The cq-contest mailing list is for discussions of contesting in ham radio. To join, send an email to cq-contesting-request@contesting.com.

The DX mailing list covers the finer points of DXing. This one is also joined by mailing "subscribe" on a line by itself, this time to dx-request@unbc.edu.

There's also a VHF mailing list, for VHF operators of the weak signal persuasion. You can join this one be sending "subscribe vhf" on a line by itself to vhf-request@w6yx.stanford.edu.

The QRP-L list is devoted to building and operating gear at low-power outputs. To subscribe, send an email to listproc@lehigh.edu and only write: subscribe qrp-l Your Name.

The TopBand e-list is devoted to all areas of operation on 160m. To subscribe, send an email to majordomo@contesting.com and only write: subscribe topband and follow the instructions you'll be sent.
This site, contesting.com, also hosts a couple dozen other ham-related e-lists. Use the above address, and only write: lists to get the entire index.

The Boatanchors e-list is devoted to the older tube-based ham, military, and commercial communications gear. To subscribe, send an email to: listserv@listserv.tempe.gov and only write: subscribe boatanchors

For homebuilding transmitters and receivers using tube technology, there is the Glowbugs e-list; send an email to: majordomo@piobaire.mines.uidaho.edu and only write: subscribe glowbugs <your@address.here>

The VHF DX discussion list can be accessed by sending an email to: majordomo@blacksheep.org and only writing: subscribe VHF-DX-discuss.

We now have a list devoted to amateur radio history. To subscribe, send an email to: ham-radio-history-subscribe@egroups.com - leave the body of the message blank.

Qth.net hosts over 100 ham-related e-lists, including lists devoted to each state, manufacturer, mode, band - too many to state here. Send an email to majordomo@qth.net and only write: lists to get the complete index.

For one of the most complete indexes of email lists, see the excellent web site http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageae.html

Please do NOT send subscription requests to the mailing lists themselves; that doesn't work very often, and is very annoying to those on the list. Also, please keep your electronic mail address current with any mailing lists you subscribe to, as dealing with returned email is a nuisance for the person maintaining the mailing list.

A Few Words on Crossposting
==========

Please do not crosspost messages to two or more groups unless there is genuine interest in both groups in the topic being discussed, and when you do, please include a header line of the form "Followup-To: group.name" in your article's

headers (before the first blank line). This will cause followups to your article to go to the group listed in the Followup-To: line. If you wish to have replies to go to you by email, rather than be posted, use the word "poster" instead of the name of a group. Such a line appears in the headers of this article.

One of the few examples of productive cross-posting is with the rec.radio.info newsgroup. To provide a filtered presentation of information articles, while still maintaining visibility in their home newsgroups, the moderator strongly encourages cross-posting. All information articles should be submitted to the rec.radio.info moderator so that he may simultaneously cross-post your information to the appropriate newsgroups. Most newsreaders will only present the article once, and network bandwidth is conserved since only one article is propagated. If you make regular informational postings, and have made arrangements with the moderator to post directly to the group, please cross-post as appropriate.

--
Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, and your friendly rec.radio.amateur working-group
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu

1024. Re: What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3974313A.DB6E5A8E@home.com>
Source record: 84655

> I'd definately go Ebay if it were me but you should get no less than $450.00+
> (bottom price) for the pro 2006 and full featured opto456 board installed.
> The radio should be cosmetically beautiful! This is just my opinion of
> course.

I hope you do well.

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, Michigan

1025. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan &#65533;hberg <jan.oberg@kdc.se>
Message-ID: <8l1c78aob1@cubacola.tninet.se>
Source record: 84656

It seems to me that the major drawback with the R10 is it's slow
scanning-speed. Isn't there some solutions/fix for that?

"Tarkus" <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> skrev i meddelandet
news:D3A685D81A2B0B78.FD47C123F771999E.2889B62802BE86A3@lp.airnews.net...
> I just did a search for ICOM R10 or ICOM R-10.
> There is one page out there that has detailed pictures.
> I don't know the exact site.
>
> "James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:2g37nskpdmvi2lrveeela6o22r863o7ill@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 22:32:41 -0500, "Tarkus"
> > <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:
> >
> > >Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
> > >I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two
resistors and
> > >enabling the cell frequencies.
> > >I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to
either encrypt their
> > >oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range
where we will never
> > >be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.
> >
> > Which resistors are you referring to? Where do I find this
> > mod? Thanks.
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > James
> >
> > Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.
>

1026. Re: Bloomington, MN Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <jajaja@attatt.net>
Message-ID: <iTWc5.4527$iP.513775@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84657

Incorrect. The City of Bloomington, MN utilizes an analog (not digital as stated below) EDACS systems. The BC895 will not track the system, but the current scanners that will do EDACS (BC245, PRO-92 etc) will do a very good job. Bloomington has their talkgroups setup quite well and they are easy to monitor.

> Nope. Bloomington uses a digital system. The city officials decided they
> didn't want their taxpaying citizens to be able to monitor the activities
of
> their municipality. To that end, they spent several millions of dollars of
said
> taxpaying citizens' monies to ensure no monitoring could take place.
Hence,
> the digital system which is now in place.

1027. Re: Bearcat BC-ONE-FOUR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: beehunter1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6477-397444F0-6@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84658

Well, I'm new to this group but familiar enough to say it probably recieves 406 to 512 mhz frequencies, that is the UHF band. Some older ones though only received 440 to 512 mhz frequencies. I don't know the exaxt specs on that model, but I'm sure it's one of the two. The "-U" on the end of the model number designates it as UHF. I know the 154.??? crystal you mentioned shouldn't pick uin that scanner. I don't know about the others.

Chad

1028. Regency WHAMO Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: beehunter1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6476-39744634-1@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84659

Does anyone have any information on the Regency WHAMO Sanning Radio.This thing is old as dirt and I bought it for $5. No kidding at a yard sale. It came with some comb-like things to insert into it, I assume these "program" it, but how. I bought it more or less just to have it. I like to collect old stuff :)

Thanks,
Chad

1029. Pro 2026 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: srmbj@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000718095711.07773.00002653@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 84660

I am having trouble with airplane communications bleeding over to my frequencies in the VHF High area, 154.250, etc. Any suggestions.

Thanks,
Joe

1030. Eden Prairie, MN Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <CXZc5.4766$iP.536883@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have an 895XLT and can't get the unit to sync up with Eden Prairie's trunked system. Sometimes (in non-trunking mode) I hear voice coms just fine but most of the time I hear an open channel, as if someone is talking but not audible. Is this system too advanced for my scanner (i.e. digital, etc.)

Thank you in advance for any help.

--

rusciano@att.net

1031. New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <L6_c5.3720$5P4.25819@news3.atl>
Source record: 84662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

For those of you who have just gotten into scanning or thinking about it.
http://www.webdeals.net/newusers.htm

1032. FT: sangean ATS 505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <yq_c5.202$e3.621@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 84663

i have a like new ATS 505 shortwave receiver for trade for 800 mhz scanner
or sale 90.00

--
Thanks
Monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1033. Re: What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlaslow@usa.net
Message-ID: <362DD1DDD907A685.5A9BF8413C25502F.6CAF68A93020C073@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84664

To answer the question, by using appropriate software (Probe, etc.)
you would create an unlimited ability to scan available frequencies.
You could combine various types of transmissions to only be activated
if a particular frequency transmits. You could know where the
transmitter was and who the licensee is. You could decode dtmf
characters.

All that and more if you have the time to do it. If not, the radio and
the board if in good condition IMHO is worth more than the $450 that
someone else mentioned. Go to ebay and do a search on the 2006 and an
Opto board (if available). Then watch the auctions for awhile. You'll
get a feel for the appropriate price.

Good luck!

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 23:42:44 GMT, me@me.me (me) wrote:

>I got bitten hard by the scanner bug... this was about 4-5 years ago.
>I got a pro 43, I got my wife a pro 46 when I couldn't get her to give
>me my 43 back, I got a RS 2006, then I upgraded it to the OPTO 456.
>
>Life has a way of getting in the way of my obsessions, and scanning
>was no exception. Anyhow, I dragged out the old scanners, with the
>notion of selling them in mind, but maybe there's something worth
>doing with 'em besides listing them on Ebay.
>
>Any suggestions?

1034. Orange County Fair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Sa%c5.3$zu6.6316@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 84665

Does anyone have any interesting frequencies to monitor at the Orange County Fair in Costa Mesa, CA?

www.freqofnature.com

1035. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6f19ns42glj1tsfp832dmp4cd5ga9qv2to@4ax.com>
Source record: 84666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Jul 2000 23:54:25 GMT, xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler) wrote:

>Jake Brodsky (frussle@erols.com) on Mon, 17 Jul 2000 13:11:47 -0400 wrote:
>>"acceptable," either. What we need is to ensure that those who cross
>>that line are prosecuted. That's all we can do as a society. If we
>>throw off the "gumint" and descend in to anarchy, will we really be
>>any better off for it?
>
>Isn't that what was done over 200 years ago? So YES, sometimes it is
>better to destroy the government and start over.

If you have a better idea, then by all means try it. However, I would try talking it up first, just as the founding fathers of the thirteen colonies did over 200 years ago, before taking up arms.

>>make laws with. It is an imperfect system. It always will be an
>>imperfect system. Welcome to real life.
>
>I think that is what they call fatalism.

I'm not suggesting fatalism. You are. I'm suggesting practicality. In other words, fight the battles that are worth fighting. No, our government is far from perfect. Yes, injustices will be perpetrated. But tear it down? Only if you have some way of building it better. The founding fathers decided that a remote monarchy was not a good way to run things. They had a better idea.

WHERE IS YOURS?

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1036. secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com>
Message-ID: <NQ%c5.94$h92.93015@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 84667

So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?

Thanks!

1037. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fe0d5.27766$oj6.210342@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84668

Digital spread spectrum, scrambling, giga range

Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote in message
news:NQ%c5.94$h92.93015@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
>
> Thanks!
>
>

1038. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Ee0d5.27765$oj6.210342@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84669

Digital spread spectrum, scrambling, giga range

Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote in message
news:NQ%c5.94$h92.93015@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
>
> Thanks!
>
>

1039. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Ie0d5.27767$oj6.210142@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84670

Digital spread spectrum, scrambling, giga range

Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote in message
news:NQ%c5.94$h92.93015@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
>
> Thanks!
>
>

1040. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <nf0d5.27771$oj6.210356@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84671

sorry for the triple post.

TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:Ie0d5.27767$oj6.210142@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> Digital spread spectrum, scrambling, giga range
>
> Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote in message
> news:NQ%c5.94$h92.93015@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1041. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <307f2b9a.4fceeade@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84672

The very least you should get is a 900MHz Digital phone. There
are many out there for very reasonable prices. Of course, there
are bigger and better features, but the price follows the
features.

"Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
>So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
>
>Thanks!
>
>
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1042. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com>
Message-ID: <v61d5.209$h92.117876@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 84673

I'm looking at a Panasonic KX-TC1870 B 900 MHz GigaRange&#65533; with Digital
Answering System $128.99

Would this fit the privacy requirements? It says that it has digital spread
spectrum. I don't know about scrambling.

RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:307f2b9a.4fceeade@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com...
> The very least you should get is a 900MHz Digital phone. There
> are many out there for very reasonable prices. Of course, there
> are bigger and better features, but the price follows the
> features.
>
> "Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
> >So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Randy A. Hefner
> http://talk.to/frs
> http://go.to/qx3
> http://come.to/funnynews
>
> --
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>

1043. Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Young <youngie@losch.net>
Message-ID: <wC1d5.29766$8V2.912817@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84674

I'm have to go to Philadelphia tomorrow (wednesday) via 476 (turnpike & Blue Route) from Allentown to the Philly airport. Can anyone recommend some frequencies to program into my Sportcat 150 for the trip? thanks....

1044. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhulbert@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l2bfv$784$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84675

In article <v61d5.209$h92.117876@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
"Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
> I'm looking at a Panasonic KX-TC1870 B 900 MHz GigaRange® with Digital
> Answering System $128.99

I purchased a Panasonic KX-TGM240B and found that it is indeed spread spectrum but NOT DIGITAL. I could monitor the phone very easily over a broad range of 900mhz freqs. Needless to say the phone was quickly sold. I now have a Uniden 2.4gig model. Since only a couple of scanners hit 2.4 I feel pretty safe.

Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired phones.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1045. Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <3974b4bb_2@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 84676

I have a BC 220XLT, which is powered by a BP-120 (4.8V rechargeable NiCd). Does anyone know of a battery holder whereby I can use regular alkaline batteries in a pinch?

The NiCd's are 1.2V each, so I guess we're talking about three regular alkalines at 1.5 each plus the holder to cram into that small space.

Thanks,

Paul, KC2FNC

1046. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <aU2d5.155$ZL6.15301@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Frank A. Adrian <fadrian@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:hxUc5.2401$7Y2.553859@news.uswest.net...
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:naOc5.11433$Hk1.1765781@nntp3.onemain.com...
> >
> > You seem to have a lot of blind faith in corrupt government.
>
> You seem to have a lot of blind faith in wackos who try to use their
> children as human shields. Whatsa matter - you don't like it that the
> government shows it won't let people get away without paying the price
when
> they use this ploy if agents come for 'em? Wackos got their roles to play
> in this sort of thing, government's got another. Go ahead and be a dead
> idiot. Just don't come crying to me about it. You're right that the
> children didn't have any choice. But their parents did. And they made
it.

ATF and Reno made their choices and the children are dead. If the FEDS
didn't have so many employees there would be a much greater outcry. I mean
really, who wants to destroy their job? Seems to me that the US Federal Gov.
is the largest employer in the entire world.

Bill

>
> faa
>
>

1047. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Tanner <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com>
Message-ID: <j23d5.300$h92.151663@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 84678

Any idea if the KX-TC1870 B really digitally encodes the voice traffic?

<jhulbert@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8l2bfv$784$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <v61d5.209$h92.117876@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
> "Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
> > I'm looking at a Panasonic KX-TC1870 B 900 MHz GigaRange® with Digital
> > Answering System $128.99
>
> I purchased a Panasonic KX-TGM240B and found that it is indeed spread
> spectrum but NOT DIGITAL. I could monitor the phone very easily over a
> broad range of 900mhz freqs. Needless to say the phone was quickly
> sold. I now have a Uniden 2.4gig model. Since only a couple of scanners
> hit 2.4 I feel pretty safe.
>
> Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired
> phones.
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1048. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <068d2c7c.8fc0f8b9@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
>ATF and Reno made their choices and the children are dead. If
the FEDS
>didn't have so many employees there would be a much greater
outcry. I mean
>really, who wants to destroy their job? Seems to me that the US
Federal Gov.
>is the largest employer in the entire world.
>
>Bill
>
>>
>> faa
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

It was the decision of the Branch Dividians to kill the
children. The fires were started from the inside.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1049. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JF Mezei <jfmezei.spamnot@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <3974CAB2.226AEAAF@videotron.ca>
Source record: 84680
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan Larsen wrote:
> I don't know the FCC rules on band assignment, but you will not hear
> general airline employees talking in the air bands.

When when you look at gate agents, they often use walkie talkies to communicate with others (probably ramp rats and the crew on the plane). What frequencies would those be, and how are they assigned ? Would there be one frequency/channel per gate per airline at one airport ? Or do airlines get given a number of channels thay can use as they wish across a nation ?

And when a plane travels to a foreign country how is that handled ? Do they re-use the same frquencies for ground handling ?

1050. scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB1DHI <kb1dhi@ctel.net>
Message-ID: <9T3d5.438$P2.9965@harpo>
Source record: 84681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a brand new Relm HS200 and a Radio Shack Pro-75 if you know of anyone interested. I paid $250 for the Relm and $200 for the Rad Shack. They are in mint shape. I'll take best offer.

If anyone is interested, please e-mail me at kb1dhi@ctel.net

73
Peter

1051. Re: eBay and cellular-capable scanners: Why don't you people get it? (was: Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@no_fuckin_spam_iname.com>
Message-ID: <8l2ii3$op8$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 84682

Martin,

Thanx for explaining the situation in the States, sounds pretty bad ! ; -)

Greetings,

Ren&#65533;.

Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE <mdwade@mobiletel.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
396A8A3D.31C0@mobiletel.com...
> EagleForce wrote:
> >
> > It only is cancelled if somebody will tell them that an "illegal" issue
is
> > mentioned !
> > So, stop complaining and nothing will be pulled back I guess.
> >
> > Anyway, if someone wants to mention it, who are we to judge it ?
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > EagleForce
> > Ren&#65533;. (the Netherlands, Europe)
> > ==========
> > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
> > 20000710153236.10818.00019756@nso-fi.aol.com...
> > > In article <8k9qmm$ku1$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>, "EagleForce"
> > <adelaar@iname.com>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >Thanx for mentioning the extra's, like mods done !!
> > > >Some (read : a lot) do apreciate that, like me !!!!!
> > >
> > > But what good is it to mention "mods done" when it ultimately causes
the
> > > auction to be cancelled? This benefits nobody.
> > >
> Rene,
> To explain the problem, in the USA, to modify a scanner has been made
> illegal
> by the Government, E-Bay only reacts when a seller tries to list his
> radio as "modified" and E-Bay pulls the listing as not be caught in any

> "problems" Anyway, by mentioning it, the seller alerts E-Bay who
> doesn't want to have any problems? Sorry, but that's the status of
> things in the USA right now.
> All the best, Martin

1052. Beartracker Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thunder@localbar.com
Message-ID: <3974d3e2.2053455@news.netins.net>
Source record: 84683

Any one know where any BearTracker Owners Manuals
can be downloaded from? Thanx, Mom

1053. Re: Amateur run airlines and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Jones <karlj.mail@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.13de730fb26d4614989824@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84684
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3974CAB2.226AEAAF@videotron.ca>, jfmezei.spamnot@videotron.ca
says...

> Dan Larsen wrote:
> > I don't know the FCC rules on band assignment, but you will not hear
> > general airline employees talking in the air bands.

Depends. Ramp employees do have access to the air band since that's where
operations is. And the ramp employees do need access to ground control
when empty aircraft are being towed(aka "tugged").

> When when you look at gate agents, they often use walkie talkies to
> communicate with others (probably ramp rats and the crew on the plane). What
> frequencies would those be, and how are they assigned ?

Gate agents and most ground ops use business frequencies at low power (5
watts) primarily between 460 and 461mHz and also in the 800 and 900mHz
business bands. They don't typically require direct communication with
the flight crew since the aircraft is right there at the gate. Otherwise
the crew communicates over the airlines assigned operations freq (129-
132mHz) with the operations office (or FBO, etc.).

> Would there be one frequency/channel per gate per airline at one airport ? Or do airlines
get
> given a number of channels thay can use as they wish across a nation ?

Some airlines have several: one freq for maintenance, one for ramp, one
for operations; some make do with one freq for all needs. Depends on the
scope of that carrier's operations at that station.

> And when a plane travels to a foreign country how is that handled ? Do they
> re-use the same frquencies for ground handling ?

Same bands, but possibly and likely different freqs.

--
Karl

1054. RS Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: massscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000718181333.02322.00000357@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 84685

I recently purchased a Pro-76 handheld scanner and the manual says that
it can be computer programmed. The local Radio Shack doesn't have a clue.
I assume that it is like the Pro-64 but the key pad combination "3 Enter"
doesn't
work. Can anyone help?

Thanks in advance

1055. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <8l2lkg$1i0u$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 84686

In article <8l2bfv$784$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jhulbert@my-deja.com writes:
|> In article <v61d5.209$h92.117876@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
|> "Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
|> > I'm looking at a Panasonic KX-TC1870 B 900 MHz GigaRange&#65533; with Digital
|> > Answering System $128.99
|>
|> I purchased a Panasonic KX-TGM240B and found that it is indeed spread
|> spectrum but NOT DIGITAL. I could monitor the phone very easily over a
|> broad range of 900mhz freqs. Needless to say the phone was quickly
|> sold. I now have a Uniden 2.4gig model. Since only a couple of scanners
|> hit 2.4 I feel pretty safe.

How do you monitor analog spread spectrum?

|>
|> Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired
|> phones.
|>
|>
|>
|> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
|> Before you buy.

--
Mickey McInnis - mcinnis@austin.ibm.com
--
All opinions expressed are my own opinions, not my company's opinions.

1056. Data Cable for PRO-92.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <3974DBDB.2F88DCF0@gte.net>
Source record: 84687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Im trying to make my own data cable for the pro-92 so I can link it to my PC.....does anyone know which two pins need to be soldered.....Or does anyone know of a good cable two use other than the one GRE is selling...email direct or post....Thanks Bill

1057. Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8l2n0n$8hec$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84688

ABC News said 6 municipalities across the US now have laws banning the use of cell phones while mobile. Can anyone tell me what municipalities these are? and when they went into effect?

I'm thinking of possibly adding these to my web page. (Note...THINKING about, right now.)

Todd

Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH
Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S.
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws
E-mail: afn09444@afn.org

1058. What's the best base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15477-3974DF19-91@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84689

I'm looking for a base scanner. A base scanner with a very wide frequency range and a very good receiver, and a decent price. I'm also looking for websites that sell base scanners so I can look around for myself. Any help would be appreciated. Please e-mail. Thanks

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

1059. Re: Eden Prairie, MN Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <iK5d5.101$C_3.9187@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 84690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Their system is Multi-Net, not Motorola, thus the 895 cannot track it.

1060. Re: Scratches on LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bud <Twenty4Pack@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <f7q9nsci6a6rfeg7sjt3va5as8o2fk3qqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 84691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'd forgotten about BonAmi - I know it works real well cleaning
car-wash spray wax from a windshield so water sheets off... Before
using it, I'd definitely check out how it works on something less
valuable than a display window. Maybe try a food container made from
clear plastic with a similar finish first?
By the way, I've used car wax/polish applied _carefully_ on some cheap
sunglasses with fairly good results.

Don

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 22:35:12 GMT, Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net> wrote:

>I use "Bon-Ami" it is available from your local grocery store. It will cost you
>about $.79 for a lifetime supply. Apply onto a wet cotton cloth or paper towel
>and rub gently on the display. Rinse with another wet cloth.
>
>Bobo
>
>kc0eao wrote:
>
>> Hi. I have a scanner that has some pretty bad scratches on the LCD display
>> window. I remember hearing about some type of plastic buffing/polishing
>> compound that takes out scratches. Could somebody please let me know
>> exactly what that compound is called and where I can get it? Thanks!
>>
>> --
>> Dan S. -- kc0eao

1061. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <3974ED2D.6F867E3B@primenet.com>
Source record: 84692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

J O H N wrote:
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN times. (Check DejaNews for the list, if you can figure out how). Two weeks ago, I proved you lied when you denied patronizing teenage prostitutes. Now, I prove you in yet another lie.

I, and others, have proven you've lied so many times, Toilet Boy, you have destroyed any semblance of credibility. Absolutely no one with a shred of intelligence believes anything you say, let alone takes you seriously.

1062. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <ier9nsgl4ke9j2fankc9pou2l0r9aei2i8@4ax.com>
Source record: 84693

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 20:17:07 -0500, "Tarkus"
<dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:

>I just did a search for ICOM R10 or ICOM R-10.
>There is one page out there that has detailed pictures.
>I don't know the exact site.
>
I found the web page.
The url is: http://people.we.mediaone.net/baptpdc/r10/r10.htm

Thanks.

>"James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:2g37nskpdmvi2lrveeela6o22r863o7ill@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 22:32:41 -0500, "Tarkus"
>> <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:
>>
>> >Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
>> >I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two resistors and
>> >enabling the cell frequencies.
>> >I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to either encrypt
their
>> >oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range where we will never
>> >be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.
>>
>> Which resistors are you referring to? Where do I find this
>> mod? Thanks.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> James
>>
>> Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

1063. Re: Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8l2r12$30lk$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84694

Doug wrote in message <3974EDC5.11585C0@spam-victim.com>...
>
>Go to ABCnews.com: http://abcnews.go.com/
>
>click on "High Tech Risks"

I did. Right after I wrote that, my brain finally "got a clue" and there was this voice that said "DUH! ...ABCNews.Com, stupid!" 8^) I went there and found the info.

Meanwhile, I'm temporarily adding a new section called "Mobile Cell Phone Restrictions" at http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/cellphn4.html. I'll probably add the actual text of the laws if I can find them but for now, I _do_ have a list of online statutes for most states on my Links/Resources page, which people can in the meantime use to look them up themselves, if they wish.

Thanks, Doug.

Take care,

Todd

Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S.
Todd L. Sherman / KB4MHH
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
E-mail: afn09444@afn.org

1064. Maui Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron van Noord <rvwvnNOSPAM@silcon.com>
Message-ID: <3975010A.710640CD@silcon.com>
Source record: 84695

Hi
Could somebody post interesting police/fire and air freqs for Maui?

Thanks

Ron

1065. Pro 90 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <sna2fdcn1nk34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84696

I got a Pro 90 for sale.Someone make me a resonable offer for it.It looks and works great.I have no use for it now.Add shipping from 01930 in Mass.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

1066. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8l06u9$qh8$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Steve H (sjhouse.foilspam@hotmail.com) on 17 Jul 2000 14:51:03 -0500 wrote:
>I fail to see how the government has anything to do with it when a group of

The government showed up with over 50 armed, armoured, jack booted
individuals who positioned themselves as if conducting an assault.

The people in the compound had been warned the government assault was
on the way to arrest at least some adults and confiscate the children.

If you knew your local law enforcement had investigated and cleared you
of any wrong doing on the alleged charges (they had), but still this
force gathered outside, would you really have walked out and given up
your children to them for brainwashing? Not if you loved your children.

>wacko parents barricade themselves in a building and set themselves and
>their children on fire, even if the FBI is outside on the other side of the

The court testimony says otherwise.

Many members of the government forces tried to prevent the final tank
assault, and were making progress "talking out" Koresh, but the
powers-that-be decided to make an example out of those who dared resist
the government. Guess you are sufficiently cowed.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1067. Re: RS 2006 / Opto456 Lo-batt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3973B0EF.9E1F3FE4@home.com>
Source record: 84738

>
>
> Wow Mike! You're a Genius! ...or wait... maybe I'm an Idiot.
>
> Thanks! Greg

Naw.... I'm surely no genius and your not an idiot at all but thanks for the compliment anyway :-). With the distractions of everyday life it is quite easy to forget such details as I do on a daily basis. Thats what cool NG's like this one is for. I am glad that I could help you out.

Best wishes...

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, Michigan

1068. Re: What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3973B39E.CDC8C88D@home.com>
Source record: 84739

> Hi,

Is your opto board the full version or the lite? The full version
could bring some considerable dollars in for you. IMHO the lite version
borders on sux. The lite board removes quite a lot of the Scan*Star Plus
software features. I think it is total crap and only marginally useful.
I have one and am very disappointed with it.

Best wishes..

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, Michigan

1069. Any scanners do 12.5 MHz spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Jastrem <EJastrem@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3973BA8F.E6DF0ED6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84740

I am looking for a scanner that will do 12.5 spacing. I took a quick look and found no prior postings, if I missed one I am sorry for this post. Please let me know thanx in advance.
Gene

1070. Re: What do you do with your OPTO 456, anyhow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@me.me
Message-ID: <3973d0e2.37333186@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 84741

On Tue, 18 Jul 2000 01:28:11 GMT, Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
wrote:

My 456 is the full version... what kind of price do you think it
should fetch?

>> Hi,
>
> Is your opto board the full version or the lite? The full version
>could bring some considerable dollars in for you. IMHO the lite version
>borders on sux. The lite board removes quite a lot of the Scan*Star Plus
>software features. I think it is total crap and only marginally useful.
>I have one and am very disappointed with it.
>
>
>Best wishes..
>
>
>Mike Burch K8MB
>Berkley, Michigan
>

1071. Bloomington, MN Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3RPc5.3790$o71.219609@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if the 895XLT is able to monitor these new systems in Trunktracker mode? I can't seem to get it to work.

Thank you

--

rusciano@att.net

1072. Re: Bloomington, MN Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000718000638.23311.00005746@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 84743

In article <3RPc5.3790$o71.219609@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Does anyone know if the 895XLT is able to monitor these new systems in >Trunktracker mode? I can't seem to get it to work.

Nope. Bloomington uses a digital system. The city officials decided they didn't want their taxpaying citizens to be able to monitor the activities of their municipality. To that end, they spent several millions of dollars of said taxpaying citizens' monies to ensure no monitoring could take place. Hence, the digital system which is now in place.

1073. FS : MHS-C806 battery charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3973cf3c.4847951@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swapalt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a MAHA MHS-C806 battery charger for sale. It is app 2 weeks old. In box, never used. Was going to use it with an HT, but deciding to sell that as well. For personal reasons getting out of amateur radio, and concentrating on scanning and SW listening. I will provide the receipt to confirm above claim. Includes manual, all accessories.

$50.00 plus $5.00 shipping vis USPS Priority Mail, insured, pre-paid using a US Postal Money Order, or VISA/MASTERCARD through PAYPAL. (www.paypal.com) Item is shipped immediately upon receipt of payment.

You can check my Ebay feedback. My user name there is OFFDAN. I have been buying and selling on the groups for years.

Please E-Mail me if interested, DO NOT reply to the group. NO TRADES.

1074. Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S. [Was re: Speedtrap Registry]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8l0nar$u3h4$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84745

Donald wrote in message ...
>Why, WHY did you feel the need to post this drivel here??????
>
>Jesus.........

Don, et al,

Try to _help me out_, here. Okay? Pretend it's your _own_ site that people are mistaking for someone else's work, and that _you're_ the one who was getting all the "thank you, Speedtrap!" type notes for five straight years, and that _Speedtrap_ is getting all the laurels and attention and rave for _your_ work. Pretend now that they also ignored _your_ pleas to correct the link to you for five years straight, too. What methods would _you_ resort to, to get thier attention?
At the same time, how would _you_ alert your users that your site will no longer be referenced there, if they go there to find you, and if you want them to still be able to find you?

I tried to be kind and patient for SO long. I'm too tired of dealing with it now. It is hoped that this will at least spark some action on Speedtrap's part...by removing thier link to me altogether as I requested. I don't want thier kind of "publicity" anymore. It doesn't help me, obviously. It in fact hurts me, because the attention for all my hard work is bounced to them. I worked _hard_ on my site, going to the law library once a year and sitting all those long hours, searching, photocopying, then going back home and retranscribing for the benefit of others. (It's a long way from the thousands of books on the second level to the working photocopiers on the first level.) They didn't do all that work. *I* did. But yet, people THINK they did all the work for some reason.

Wouldn't that get you upset? What would you do?

I'm not mad at the people who write me those thank you's. It's not thier fault. They don't know. Speedtrap has a vague link. And they just follow along the obvious implier. I explain it, and I thank them for what they meant. But I'm _so_ sick of having to repeatedly explain who the real author is...over and over like that. God!

So I've removed my links to them, and requested that they remove that "generic" link to me - the one that so easily confuses people who the real author is. You probably would, too.

Anyway...I'd appreciate your help by not giving Speedtrap any MORE reason to just hold thier bellies and wave thier hands and laugh at me, as they're probably doing now that you've responded that way - especially with it

being the very FIRST response.

But just try to help me here, and next time, if it happens to _you_, I'll be right there standing next to you when _you_ need the same kind of help. Okay? Thanks.

To everyone else, I _would_ like to know if any other sites out there have had the same problem with them. Has anyone else got messages from users who think that your site also belongs to Speedtrap? Please contact me, if so.

Thanks,

Todd

(Author of what used to be that "other scan laws by state" site at Speedtrap, now just "scanner laws," as Speedtrap currently calls it - so that it fits within thier new, huge logo taking up half of the right side of the page, there.)

Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws In The U.S.
by Todd L. Sherman / KB4MHH
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

- The FULL TEXT - not just a list - of the actual scanner and RADAR-detector laws (for those states which have such restrictive laws). I go to the law library and update these on a yearly basis. Always current. All in one place, so you don't have to spend hours trying to find them individually, piece by piece, using multiple search engines. I did the work for you, instead. Available to you for free, for your convenience.

1075. FS : Alinco DJ-V5T dual band HT - Brand new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3973d3f0.6052678@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am selling an Alinco DJ-V5T dual band HT. This radio is about 2 weeks new, in box with manual and all accessories. Used for about 1 hourt ops. For personal reasons, I have decided to put amateur radio on the back burner for a while and concentrate on scanner and SWL. This radio has been professionally modified for out of band RX and TX. it also includes the custom Alinco case, and the EDC-16B filtered cigar lighter plug. I will proivde receipt to verify above claim of age, and the warranty card is blank. If you have specific questions on the radio, or require a photo, please send me an E-mail.

$225.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured. I prefer shipping to U.S. lower 48 only. Pre-paid using a US Postal Money Order or VISA / MASTERCARD through PAYPAL, and it will be shipped immediately upon receipt of payment.

You can check my Ebay feedback, my user name there is OFFDAN. I have been buying and selling on these groups for years.

Please E-MAIL only if interested, do not reply to the group. NO TRADES...

Dan

1076. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397683E5.2CBF3302@primenet.com>
Source record: 84497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J O H N wrote:
>
> How sad that you are correct. Unfortunately it is people like Richard
> Kendrick
> N7NT who try to cause trouble in ham radio & make all look bad.

Typical Toilet Boy tactic. Whenever he gets nailed for exactly who and what he is, he immediately says it's the opposition voice that is the problem. Toilet Boy is just a pitiful pathological and compulsive liar.

1077. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39768429.CFAF9402@primenet.com>
Source record: 84498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toilet Boy, you're just jealous that Cheek, unlike you, had a
functioning brain and knew how to use it.

J O H N wrote:
>
> Well said, very well said
>
> "J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote
> > n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
> > > I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> > > been a member of Mensa.
> >
> > A brilliant man who discovered that spending years flaming on usenet
> > was an activity preferable to providing for his family...

1078. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397686EC.873536F8@primenet.com>
Source record: 84499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J O H N wrote:
>
> Richard - you are indeed the biggest liar of ham radio and of the internet.
> Your 26 lies below demonstrate that.

You're just peeved that the entire litany of your stupidity has been
exposed in stark black and white for the world to see. You've shown
time and time again that you can't face the truth about yourself,
further proof of your psychosis and denial of your mental problems.

> I have NEVER told a lie in my entire adult life, I'm as honest
> as George Washington (that's something you can't touch!!!)

I showed you to be a liar a couple of weeks ago with your claims of
patronizing child prostitutes complete with the post you made. That
makes your claim of never having told a lie to be another lie.

I prefixed my list of your lies with the proof you so lamely demanded.
You are just too stupid to read the information and unskilled with
computers to access DejaNews and see for yourself. But then again,
you are in denial and can't face the truth about yourself, Toilet Boy.
The simple truth that you are a liar and a fraud.

> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > I know you are too lame and inept to search DejaNews for confirmation
> > and proof (it's there for the reading), so here is the list of your
> > lies to remind you. The twenty seventh lie is the one I just caught
> SNIP

> You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
> (with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

1079. Re: All King Mackey The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397688DF.317B8515@primenet.com>
Source record: 84500

J O H N wrote:
>
> How pathetic that you show a complete lack of imagination or creativity by
> posting this mindless, nearly 4 year old story. In addition, by your own
> admission
> you may very well have broken laws and certainly violated net-ettiquite by
> re-posting
> without permission.

As the author of the aforementioned story, I hearby authorize Mr.
Wirehead
to post my work as often as he desires so long as he duly credits me as
the author.

Since Mr. Wirehead has honored my wishes in this instance, and also done
so in other instances, he has not violated my copyright or
'net-ettiguite'.

Thank you Mr. Wirehead for liking my story enough to want to post it
again.

> Above all, you have posted off-topic!

As the leading off topic poster, Toilet Boy, you're in no position to
chastise anyone.

> "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote
> > Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> > <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version
>
> SNIP

1080. Re: Firmware for pro-92???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bzpilot <gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0010b120.b32e1f62@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 84501

The Pro-92 firmware is NOT upgradeable. The PRO-92A (due out in August 2000) will have a firmware update. The new firmware will either be identical or very similar to the new PRO-2067.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1081. Re: Data Cable for PRO-92.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <hr6d5.42934$fR2.390278@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check here...

http://www.pro-92.com

Bill Crocker

<crimson@gte.net> wrote in message news:3974DBDB.2F88DCF0@gte.net...
> Im trying to make my own data cable for the pro-92 so I can link it to
> my PC.............does anyone know which two pins need to be
> soldered.......Or does anyone know of a good cable two use other than
> the one GRE is selling...email direct or post....Thanks Bill
>

1082. software for RS PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: beaver <fordparts@wycol.com>
Message-ID: <3974f79b$1@news.pcom.net>
Source record: 84604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have demo version of GRE data manager ,can any one supply full version and ser.nos.

1083. RS PRO-92 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: beaver <fordparts@wycol.com>
Message-ID: <3974f819$1@news.pcom.net>
Source record: 84605

I have demo version of GRE data manager can anyone supply full version and serial nos.

1084. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <s87d5.23289$V34.272644@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong again, Richard. You have NEVER proven I have lied. Infact YOU
have been caught lying!!!! (remember when you posted those messages about
when I got my callsign, you were WAY OFF!)

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> times.

1085. Re: Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gr8fuldd1@aol.com
Message-ID: <8l31hr$o0s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84607

TRY 159.045 AND 159.075 PA STATE POLICE TURNPIKE DETAIL

In article <wC1d5.29766$8V2.912817@news-east.usenetserver.com>,
"Bill Young" <youngie@losch.net> wrote:
> I'm have to go to Philadelphia tomorrow (wednesday) via 476 (turnpike
& Blue
> Route) from Allentown to the Philly airport. Can anyone recommend some
> frequencies to program into my Sportcat 150 for the trip? thanks....
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1086. Re: WACKY MACKEY - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39753564.1453B69E@INVALID.com>
Source record: 84608

J O H N wrote:

> Wrong again, Richard. You have NEVER proven I have lied. Infact YOU
> have been caught lying!!!! (remember when you posted those messages about
> when I got my callsign, you were WAY OFF!)
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> > times.

Why don't you fill us in on your current lie about why you constantly change your message ID if not to evade filters other readers have made erected to keep you in their "jerk list"?

Or your current lie about why you crosspost your replies to groups who aren't even participating in the thread if not a pathetic attempt for attention?

Why?

you friggin' loon.

1087. Re: Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gr8fuldd1@aol.com
Message-ID: <8l34hg$q2m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84609

ALSO TRY 453.050, 35TH Police dist. (philly) fun place to listen.

In article <8l31hr$o0s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Gr8fuldd1@aol.com wrote:
> TRY 159.045 AND 159.075 PA STATE POLICE TURNPIKE DETAIL
>
> In article <wC1d5.29766$8V2.912817@news-east.usenetserver.com>,
> "Bill Young" <youngie@losch.net> wrote:
> > I'm have to go to Philadelphia tomorrow (wednesday) via 476
(turnpike
> & Blue
> > Route) from Allentown to the Philly airport. Can anyone recommend
some
> > frequencies to program into my Sportcat 150 for the trip? thanks....
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1088. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Yet Another Faceless Internet User"
Message-ID: <yet.another.faceless.message-id@rec.radio.scanner>
Source record: 84610

Ryan Tanner wrote:
>
> So what should I look for in a cordless phone to ensure privacy?

A cord.

Or: <http://www.vtech.com/products/cp/index.htm>

Can't imagine any other company selling frequency hopping spread spectrum
digital encrypted cordless phones. Jeez, who are you hiding from? =)

No, seriously, I hope the link helps.

1089. I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsteuber@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l39fntbk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84611

Hi,

Sorry to drop in out of the blue. I'm looking for recomendations on handheld scanners that can track police and other emergency frequencies. I want handheld because I plan to carry it about I also expect to use it in a car. The following site sells scanners and lists a model that seems to be at the top of my price range:

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

The model in question is the Uniden BC245XLT. This will be my first scanner. Or at least whatever scanner I get will be my first. I understand that the ``Trunk Tracking'' feature allows following police transmissions when they jump frequency. This is a feature that I want.

I live in the Philadelphia area and the Republican National Convention is comming to town soon. I will want to be proficient in the use of a scanner by then. I would like to get a scanner as soon as possible. I also want the best for my dollar that has the features I want.

Thanks for any input.

PS. If you feel you must copy me on the reply, please send the e-mail copy to mailto:nospam@david-steuber.com. I will be looking for responses here in the next few days.

PPS. Any feedback or recomendations on good mail order outfits for scanners would also be appreciated. That includes any feedback on Bills2way.com.

Thanks thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1090. Re: Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Robbins <W2MR@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vFad5.6257$o71.365738@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84612

Try these frequencies....

154.145 Philadelphia Fire Department (North District) 88.5Hz
154.235 Philadelphia Fire Department (South District) 88.5 Hz
170.150 Phildelphia Rescue (Citywide) 88.5Hz

Mark

1091. Re: I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodfella3k@aol.computer
Message-ID: <20000719021346.05587.00000335@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 84613

I have yet to experience the 245,but have a 235 and it's great and easy to use.My newest scanner is the Radio Shack Pro-94 and I love it tracks EDACS and Motorola type trunking systems and any band of those systems.On the convetional side of things it has 1000 channels plenty for any area I think.Again easy to use scanner.Find out first if they have trunked radio systems in your area to find out if you REALLY need this feature.If not you could buy an older less expensive,and more simple scanner to get started with,I just hate to see you get discouraged about the hobby if your overwehlmed with "bells and whistles" you could have done without.Good luck and have fun.

1092. UBC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29982-3975415E-18@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84614

Try the UBC9000 from Bandercom, I got
good price and 1 week del. Cheaper than
it was in the states. Full coverage.

1093. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnglmassiv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000719033509.15762.00000667@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 84615

>Can you back up that statement with facts?? I think that the Pro92 is a much
>better scanner (with 500 channels no less) that does multi-trunking with
>ease, and right now it's only $249..

The computer control features of the 245XLT are complete, while the pro92's are
somewhat lacking: only up/downloading of freqs.

1094. ok19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: per amici <uncino2@inwind.it>
Message-ID: <8l3pmq$d5c$1@sirmdpsin17.inwind.it>
Source record: 84616

Ciao amica o amico,vai a visitare il mio sito
indirizzo
http://utenti.tripod.it/uncino1
ti ringazio Uncino

1095. Euro Pro-26 Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Strauss <es8m@Virginia.EDU>
Message-ID: <8l3p8s$740$1@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>
Source record: 84617

Euro Pro-26, have box, manuel, leather case. Flawless
condition, $225.00, includes priority mail shipping.

Ed Strauss

es8m@virginia.edu

1096. Problems with CAMNIS HSC-050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: FX <noemail@com.com>
Message-ID: <Vrfd5.16352$MS3.301565@news1.online.no>
Source record: 84618

Hello,

My CAMNIS HSC-050 does not scan or search on frequencies above appx 329.6MHz ...hmmm, why not ?? I have tried to reset the cpu the "easy way" (keylock-power on, bank-1-ENT, power off) but that doesn't seem to help at all... This is actually a new radio that was replaced with another faulty one that made a lot of feedback/self-interference on a lot of frequencies.

Does anyone have any ideas..??

Thanks,

FX

1097. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8l44j5gan1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>"bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote:
>Probably the best buy right now is the RadioShack Pro 92. It's a 500
>channel multi-trunking scanner with cloning and PC interface abilities.
>Multi-trunking means that you can scan up to 10 different trunked
>systems at the same time or mixed trunk and conventional banks. It's
>got 10 banks of 50 channels. They're on sale now at RadioShack stores
>for $249 (normally $349). It can track Motorola type I and II, EF
>Johnson, and EDACS (GE/Ericsson)systems.

The reason the PRO-92 is so cheap is because RadioShack acknowledged
the many bugs and flaws with the radio and are trying to dump them as
fast as they can. Even at this $249 price they are still sitting on the
shelves and not moving. Talk to any radio shops and they will verify
this. The price may even fall further just so they can get rid of them.
Go buy a Bearcat 245XLT....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1098. Re: CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39759627.F30A5E96@cts.com>
Source record: 84620

Vic wrote:
>
> The CHP was using Blue between Goleta and El Capitan state beach and the
> next week between Los Alamos and Santa Maria on hwy. 101.
>
> Garretw wrote:
> >
> > Found the CHP fixed-wing aircraft using 42.34 to locate speeders on I-80

The CHP aircraft use the Blue up SR101 all the way into the bay area.
I monitored 45.120 for over 12 years and have never heard a peep in
Norcal.

If you have 2 details working...say on interstate 10 in Ontario and
another on 101 in Golita...
the chances are pretty good both aircraft could hear each other and that
could just lead to confusion.

So they use 42.340 in the central and north part of the state...and
45.120 in the south part.
I don't know what they use in the central valley...I try not to go
there.

markj

1099. WTB: Dead Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <397598D0.608D4A16@ticon.net>
Source record: 84621

Need a dead Pro-2006 for parts to get one of my '2006's up
and running again. Please E-Mail me if you have one...
Chris

1100. Re: Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39759AAD.AA546BFC@cts.com>
Source record: 84622

Bill Young wrote:
>
> I'm have to go to Philadelphia tomorrow (wednesday) via 476 (turnpike & Blue
> Route) from Allentown to the Philly airport. Can anyone recommend some
> frequencies to program into my Sportcat 150 for the trip? thanks....

460.025 Beatings Dispatch
460.175 Beatings and coverups Tactical
460.300 House bombings
460.500 All other corruption
865.1125 Admin Scandals (inversion Scrambled)
866.2375 Mumia Watch
865.4625 Media Torture (future planned)
861.5125 Convention Rioting (furure planned)
453.250 Office supply theft team
154.570 Internal Affiars "blue" (Shared with wall-mart)

markj

1101. Re: WACKY MACKEY - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeemail@blackhole.org>
Message-ID: <C67B3159D6730799.6120C9631537ED17.A87BD6F64BFD7AAC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84623

I get tired of my message ID so I change it occasionaly. Also, I've found that whatever you say on the internet, there is always someone out there who will never be happy with what
you say or are in a bad mood and so they attack you.
When these people start to stalk me (follow me to every thread in a newsgroup I post to) then I change
my ID so they won't pester me or try to turn others against me because they didn't agree with something
that they got offended over long before.
BTW, I'm not John but it's his right to change his ID. Some people are restless and like to change their ID alot.
Look at Madonna. :)

1102. Re: I want to buy an antenna , under US$100, BELOW 50Mhz, any suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3975bad5_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84624

I built my own low band VHF scanner antenna by modifying a fiberglass CB whip. I simply added another identical whip to make a nice low-V dipole. All that consisted of was taking a mobile CB mount, connecting one whip like normal, and drilling a hole for the other whip. One side to the center conductor of the coax, the other side to the shield. Quick, easy, & worked like a dream. Oh yeah, the cost was pretty low, too, probably around $40 for all the parts from your local friendly Ripoff Shack.

Or, if you didn't want to do that, you could simply use or modify any CB antenna.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1103. Re: Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Young <youngie@losch.net>
Message-ID: <Ucjd5.5274$mL5.227562@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84625

thanks, I'll be coming down from Pottsville, home of Yuengling beer!
My nephew's wife is coming from Frankfort for a funeral.
thanks again

1104. Re: I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3975C967.790CAA71@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 84626

Hello,
I personally own the BC-3000XLT and the PRO-26 because I like the full general coverage. I program all my "trunk" frequencies into one bank and "hyperscan" it. If you want a good "trunktracker" scanner, I have heard a lot of good reports on the Bearcat BC-245XLT and the Radio Shack PRO-94, but have never owned them.
Bills Two Way is a very good company to deal with, as I have personally dealt with them. Another good scanner company is Scanner World, USA at http://www.scannerworld.com
You can also check out Uniden's home page for specials and closeouts on Bearcat scanners at: http://www.uniden.com/docs/store/
Some good scanner sites:
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/
http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/sw_scanr.html
Rich Wells also has an excellent scanning site but I do not have the address handy...

Piero

1105. FS: RS Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: curbdoc1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000719113558.06374.00000328@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 84627

I have a RS Pro-51 I would like to sell. It is an 800mhz scanner. The number on the back is a " 11A3 ". If you're interested, email me at " curbdoc1@aol.com" Price is $110 and that will include shipping. Unit is in good shape and works fine. I have bought a new Pro-91. Thank you, Ted

1106. FS : Alinco DJ-V5T dual band HT - Brand new! - SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3975c230.1183352@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This package has been sold...thanks to all who replied.

Dan

I am selling an Alinco DJ-V5T dual band HT. This radio is about 2 weeks new, in box with manual and all accessories. Used for about 1 hourt ops. For personal reasons, I have decided to put amateur radio on the back burner for a while and concentrate on scanner and SWL. This radio has been professionally modified for out of band RX and TX. it also includes the custom Alinco case, and the EDC-16B filtered cigar lighter plug. I will proivde receipt to verify above claim of age, and the warranty card is blank. If you have specific questions on the radio, or require a photo, please send me an E-mail.

$225.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured. I prefer shipping to U.S. lower 48 only. Pre-paid using a US Postal Money Order or VISA / MASTERCARD through PAYPAL, and it will be shipped immediately upon receipt of payment.

You can check my Ebay feedback, my user name there is OFFDAN. I have been buying and selling on these groups for years.

Please E-MAIL only if interested, do not reply to the group. NO TRADES...

Dan

1107. Bearcat III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <8l4pijahu1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 84629

Hi,

Thanks for reading. Anyone know if Bearcat IIIs are worth anything? Have 2 in decent shape, working. I'd like to get rid of them. One does need the Power supply cord.

Reply directly to:

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

1108. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <3975EB4A.D75DEAEB@kj.com>
Source record: 84630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

BUZZ OFF Corky!

J O H N wrote:

> Wrong again, Richard. You have NEVER proven I have lied. Infact YOU
> have been caught lying!!!! (remember when you posted those messages about
> when I got my callsign, you were WAY OFF!)
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> > times.

1109. reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buddah <buddah@springnet1.com>
Message-ID: <zGmd5.1247$2M5.38851@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84631

hi all

i have a bearcat sportcat 100 channel scanner, handheld

i live in a mobil home park and reception on it is intermittent at best

is there a way to boost the reception, short of building an antenna tower??

rick

1110. Re: WACKY MACKEY - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarkus <dontsendmeemail@blackhole.org>
Message-ID: <FED586AB111E9A6D.F10ED4F556D0BE5A.300A67B23C7638AC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84632

You a correct.
I change my name.

1111. Re: Need freqs for EAA Oshkosh Airventure 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: som9k7e5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000719151757.16423.00000588@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84633

>>I'm looking for the freqs for the air boss >>and other non-published freqs
>>Thanks

Hello....

I have two pages for you. First of all there is a great listing of EAA freqs
on the "Communications Research Group's "webside of :
http://members.home.net/scanwis/

Then you can find public safety frequencies in the area at my "Wisconsin
Scanning Homepage" :
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/7646/

1112. FS Many Items/Must Sell!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: 800MHZ <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39762368.2165DD4E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 84634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CB Tune Up Manual, mod.'s for over 2000 different radio's. $20.00
shipped
Radio Shack mag mount scanner antenna, 25-1000 mhz. $18.00 shipped
ASP 42-50mhz antenna. Whip and coil only. $15.00 shipped
Motorola PC550 analog flip phone. Comes with car cord, leather case and
one batt. $15.00 shipped
Motorola EP Intellicharger for Nicad,Nimh and Lion flip batteries.
$35.00 shipped.
Maxrad 800mhz Yagi antenna 9 db gain, 6 element. $45.00 shipped.
Please email directly, all must go!!
thnx

1113. Re: reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <5t8cnsktb5tvavn5u8426cmc5umg05ukr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 84635

On Wed, 19 Jul 2000 13:45:43 -0500, Buddah
<zGmd5.1247$2M5.38851@news-west.usenetserver.com> wrote:

>hi all
>
>i have a bearcat sportcat 100 channel scanner, handheld
>
>i live in a mobil home park and reception on it is intermittent at best
>
>is there a way to boost the reception, short of building an antenna tower??
>
>rick

If you have a antenna attached to the radio like a rubber duck
then you need to get a better antenna. If your mobile home is
all metal then you need to put a antenna near the window or
outside. Radio Shack sells a antenna that uses suction cups for
attaching a antenna to a car window, your best bet is to
buy one of these and put the antenna on a window in a room.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

1114. Firmware for pro-92???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <B57AA6469252F592.DE08F69DB0BE9FB8.28151FA7EB5AFD52@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84636

Has anybody heard or found any new firmware upgrades for the pro-92??

Thanks rick

1115. Re: I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsteuber@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l5cuogjk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84637

In article <20000719021346.05587.00000335@ng-fj1.aol.com>,
goodfella3k@aol.computer (Goodfella3k) wrote:

> My newest scanner is the Radio Shack Pro-94 and I love it.

How do you like it compared with your Uniden? I don't know for sure that trunk tracking is used in my area, but I bet it is. Even if not, I do travel from time to time. If I were to be heading down I-95 in the dead of night and heard that someone just blew by a radar speed trap at 120mph, I might like to hear about it ;-).

A friend of mine recomended Radio Shack, so if that Pro-94 unit is available and in my price range, then instant gratification might beat mail order. Delaware is just a couple miles away, and there is no sales tax down there.

thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1116. Re: I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsteuber@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l5cuogjl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84638

In article <20000719021346.05587.00000335@ng-fj1.aol.com>,
goodfella3k@aol.computer (Goodfella3k) wrote:

> My newest scanner is the Radio Shack Pro-94 and I love it.

How do you like it compared with your Uniden? I don't know for sure that trunk tracking is used in my area, but I bet it is. Even if not, I do travel from time to time. If I were to be heading down I-95 in the dead of night and heard that someone just blew by a radar speed trap at 120mph, I might like to hear about it ;-).

A friend of mine recomended Radio Shack, so if that Pro-94 unit is available and in my price range, then instant gratification might beat mail order. Delaware is just a couple miles away, and there is no sales tax down there.

thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1117. Re: FS Many Items/Must Sell!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: 800MHZ <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39763341.C452C444@yahoo.com>
Source record: 84639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

CB Tune Up manual sold!!
thnx

1118. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000719191339.03421.00002180@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 84640

In article <pLod5.4162$in.178925@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Dan Barnawell" <awarren233@freewwweb.com> writes:

>Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER.
>It covers the 150 - 30000 Khz range, and thus will receive LF for beacons,
>the AM broadcast band, all shortwave and all amateur radio bands in that
>range. AM/CW/SSB capable, it has an LCD frequency readout and LCD signal
>strength meter. The DX-394 is an excellent choice for your general coverage
>receiver. Covers the entire HF spectrum! Operates on either 120VAC or
>13.8VDC with optional power cord. This DX-394 is in very good condition.
>Telescoping antenna. Operational condition is excellent.

And the price is... _______

1119. Problem with reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <_Uqd5.29864$oj6.232431@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84641

Hi, I have a 4 element scanner beam antenna and I have it pointed south. I live in Dallas and am trying to pick up Henderson County SO which is about 65 miles away. They transmit on 155.31. The problem is that I believe it is Desoto or some other city that is near the tip of South Dallas also transmits on this freq. What can I do so I will only hear Henderson County? Is there a way or do I just settle for 2 stations coming over the same freq. at the same time? Please help.

1120. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <F6CBF6C39C05240F.017C80EEC6C39FE7.C97A16A2194323E1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If the government made Bill's last days miserable, then the government sucks and should reconsider its stupid laws.
Hey Government, lay off us and force people to encrypt their information if they don't want it being listened to. Stop picking on us! There should be no laws at all on reception, only transmission.
This places the responsibility on the sender if he doesn't want his communication to be understood, not us.

1121. Re: FS Many Items/Must Sell!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <D1td5.435559$VR.5522705@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 84643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Oh boy.

Here comes yet another Golden Screwdriver to the 11 meter band.

TollHolio

"800MHZ" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:39763341.C452C444@yahoo.com...
> CB Tune Up manual sold!!
> thnx
>

1122. Yupi9000 or Aor 8200??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Chyzy <chyzy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <0Vtd5.22025$DZ4.71746@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 84644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,...I have the mvt-9000, the original and am thinking about selling it to buy the aor, anybody have any experience with the aor?...The yupis' great, but I think I might like the aor with computer control. thanks rick....

1123. Re: R-10 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Denton Larson <dlarson@mnic.net>
Message-ID: <397672E5.E7BE3CA8@mnic.net>
Source record: 84645

I'm glad you were able to find the web page. I had a Netscape crash and
lost all my bookmarks. I did this mod a little over a year ago. I had
the R-10 for about a year before that. The mod worked just fine it
unblocked the cellular band, but of course I never listen there.
The chip resistors are tiny tiny tiny 30x60's that is .03" by .06
inches. The ordinary Radio Shack soldering iron won't do this job. I
actually had a co-worker do it for me as she worked with surface mount
parts a lot. So before you poke a soldering iron on the board, you
better practice on some scrap board that have surface mount parts.

"James R. DeLoach" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 20:17:07 -0500, "Tarkus"
> <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:
>
> >I just did a search for ICOM R10 or ICOM R-10.
> >There is one page out there that has detailed pictures.
> >I don't know the exact site.
> >
> I found the web page.
> The url is: http://people.we.mediaone.net/baptpdc/r10/r10.htm
>
> Thanks.
>
> >"James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:2g37nskpdmvi2lrveeela6o22r863o7ill@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 22:32:41 -0500, "Tarkus"
> >> <dontsendmeshit@goaway.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Are IC-R10's still modifiable?
> >> >I heard that ICOM caught on that people were soldering the two resistors and
> >> >enabling the cell frequencies.
> >> >I'm getting so sick of this cell frequency block shit. They need to either encrypt
their
> >> >oh so "important" communications or get up in some frequency range where we will
never
> >> >be anyhow, like 4-8ghz.
> >>
> >> Which resistors are you referring to? Where do I find this
> >> mod? Thanks.
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >>
> >> James

> >>
> >> Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.
>
> Regards,
>
> James
>
> Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

1124. fs icom z1a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: brett <besat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8l5s4r$g1r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84646

for sale icom z1a daul band ht

2 batteries
manual
mic seperation cable for display

great shape

will trade for a aor 8200b scanner
u can reach me at
besat@yahoo.com or besat@mindspring.com

1125. FS: AOR AR8200 w/ Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC <kf6oyk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ynsd5.51145$i5.723640@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 84502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: AOR AR8200 in Excellent condition with original user manual.
Extras include:
CT8200 CTCSS Squelch & search
CC8200 PC Cable & Computer Control Software
ARC8200 Software (Registered Version)
Extra CC8200 modified cable to use with sound card
AOR Clear Carrying Case
Radio Shack Telescopic Antenna
Everything is in excellent condition.
Asking $375 + shipping

Please e-mail for more info.
Thanks,
Chad

1126. Tall Ship Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <3976537e.1524922@news.netcom.ca>
Source record: 84503

Any other frequencies in use for the tall ships other
than those in the marine band?

Thanks

1127. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Belchin Bobbi-Sue the Redneck's XYL <bnutoas707@excite.com>
Message-ID: <lJsd5.565$U56.20780@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8l5h2ljek1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <397532C7.48BD7D8F@primenet.com>,
> n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
>
> Bill Cheek was an innocent, passive, soft-spoken victim of the Monster
> John Mackey...
>
> >
> > It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
>
> Bill Cheek, the fair-minded, even-handed, kind moderator of SCANRADIO...
>
> >
> > It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> > Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
> >
>
> Bill Cheek was literally martyred in the cause of keeping the world
> safe from the inhuman creature John Mackey.
>
> It will be an epic as magnificent as the story of Beowulf and Grendel.

Haven't been in the scanning-scene for a few years since I moved
and tool the old PRO-2006 out of the cardboard box recently.
I had heard something that Bill Cheek (author of the excellent
scanner modification guides 1 thru 3) was alleged to have inoperable
cancer. If Bill has indeed passed on, my condolences to his family
and XYL. What I do find incredible (and grossly distastefull) is that some
thread has apparently started linking this man's passing with some
off-the-wall ham radio war or some other such nonsense. Makes me
glad I never got a ham ticket. I've been to 10 or 11 hamfests in the
past 10 years and I have never seen a greater collection of misfits,
screwballs
and USDA Grade-A corn flakes in any one place at any one time.
The above thread only serves to confirm my observations.

1128. Re: All Wirehead The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <wWsd5.25758$V34.296584@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84505

How pathetic that you show a complete lack of imagination or creativity by posting this mindless, nearly 4 year old story. In addition, by your own admission
you may very well have broken laws and certainly violated net-ettiquite by re-posting
without permission.

Above all, you have posted off-topic!

"Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote
> Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version

SNIP

1129. FS: Icom IC-R2 Full coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC <kf6oyk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hXsd5.51171$i5.724566@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 84506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Icom IC-R2 full coverage from 495kHz to 1309MHz no gaps!
The radio is in Mint condition with original box and manual.
Extras include:
Icom Leather carrying case
Icom CS-R2 cloning software w/ cable
Comet SMA-3 antenna
Comet SMA-501 antenna
Comet SMA-503 antenna
Asking $225 + shipping

1130. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <UZsd5.25760$V34.296697@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, perhaps Richard will listen to you & abide your request.

"radd3" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> BUZZ OFF Corky!
>
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > > HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> > > times.

1131. Re: Problem with reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul McDonough <plmcd@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <39766026.D919735C@mediaone.net>
Source record: 84508

Do you know if either station uses PL tones? If they use different PL tones, and your scanner can function with them, you should be able to isolate the 2 channels.

Paul

TW wrote:
>
> Hi, I have a 4 element scanner beam antenna and I have it pointed south. I
> live in Dallas and am trying to pick up Henderson County SO which is about
> 65 miles away. They transmit on 155.31. The problem is that I believe it is
> Desoto or some other city that is near the tip of South Dallas also
> transmits on this freq. What can I do so I will only hear Henderson County?
> Is there a way or do I just settle for 2 stations coming over the same freq.
> at the same time? Please help.

1132. Re: WACKY MACKEY - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39768CA2.3323177A@INVALID.com>
Source record: 84509

Tarkus wrote:

> I get tired of my message ID so I change it occasionaly. Also, I've found that whatever
> you say on the internet, there is always someone out there who will never be happy with
what
> you say or are in a bad mood and so they attack you.
> When these people start to stalk me (follow me to every thread in a newsgroup I post to)
then I change
> my ID so they won't pester me or try to turn others against me because they didn't agree
with something

So you change your ID to disassociate yourself with the previous one. I understand your reasoning.

But Wacky Mackey frequently changes it to obvious variations of his own name (JoHn MaCKEY, M.A.C.K.E.Y., John the
innocent, etc.) Do you see the difference? Such changes don't accomplish what you described, in fact, quite the
opposite. It's quite obvious he does it to evade filters. He's a little attention-starved screwball that can't
stand to be ignored. He changes his name to get in your face, not to get away.

> that they got offended over long before.
> BTW, I'm not John but it's his right to change his ID. Some people are restless and like
to change their ID alot.
> Look at Madonna. :)

Madonna? Mackey? Now there's a comparison to mull over. How long have you known the friggin' loon?

1133. Re: FS Many Items/Must Sell!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: GMpartsdude <GMpartsdude@home.com>
Message-ID: <WFud5.19325$7W2.225343@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 84510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I think they prefer the term "qualified operator"..heheeeeee..just kidding folks
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:D1td5.435559$VR.5522705@news5.giganews.com...
> Oh boy.
>
> Here comes yet another Golden Screwdriver to the 11 meter band.
>
>
>
> TollHolio
>
>
>
>
> "800MHZ" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:39763341.C452C444@yahoo.com...
> > CB Tune Up manual sold!!
> > thnx
> >
>
>

1134. Re: Richard Kendrick - N7NT- The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <rKud5.25876$V34.299588@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard - you are indeed the biggest liar of ham radio and of the internet.
Your 26 lies
below demonstrate that. I have NEVER told a lie in my entire adult life,
I'm as honest
as George Washington (that's something you can't touch!!!)

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> I know you are too lame and inept to search DejaNews for confirmation
> and proof (it's there for the reading), so here is the list of your
> lies to remind you. The twenty seventh lie is the one I just caught
SNIP
> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
I do not have a dead sister, and my only sister has NEVER had children.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement

(with verifiable documentation) from Joe Greco or be found a liar by
default.

> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft of services.
I have NEVER been terminated from a job in my life, please present your
proof
of the above statement (with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by
default.

> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
I have NEVER used an "illegal internet address", please present your proof
of the
above statement (with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by
default.

> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
I stated that he was NOT a member of Mensa, so you are not correct, please
present your proof of the above statement (with verifiable documentation) or
be
found a liar by default.

> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP due to forged cancels
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.
.
> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
I have NEVER used a fake ID, please present your proof of the above
statement (with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence

> and total lack of technical expertise.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> for most of the last15 years."
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
I was NEVER kicked off of Fidonet, please present your proof of the above
statement (with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
Present your proof that he had never been kicked off Fidonet
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

> 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
You are not correct, please present your proof of the above statement
(with verifiable documentation) or be found a liar by default.

1135. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <hLud5.25877$V34.299579@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How sad that you are correct. Unfortunately it is people like Richard
Kendrick
N7NT who try to cause trouble in ham radio & make all look bad.

"Belchin Bobbi-Sue the Redneck's XYL" <bnutoas707@excite.com> wrote in
message
> and XYL. What I do find incredible (and grossly distastefull) is that some
> thread has apparently started linking this man's passing with some
> off-the-wall ham radio war or some other such nonsense. Makes me
> glad I never got a ham ticket. I've been to 10 or 11 hamfests in the
> past 10 years and I have never seen a greater collection of misfits,
> screwballs and USDA Grade-A corn flakes in any one place at any one time.
> The above thread only serves to confirm my observations.

1136. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <RMud5.25879$V34.299563@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, very well said

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote
> n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
> > I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> > been a member of Mensa.
>
> A brilliant man who discovered that spending years flaming on usenet
> was an activity preferable to providing for his family...

1137. Re: CHP Air-Gnd still using BLUE channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6bf@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l5u90$s8o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84514

Try 45.02 Not 45.12 Used in SoCal. Especially desert areas. Within the past week on I-15 (Barstow) and SR-138 E of Palmdale (@165th E.)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1138. Re: I want scanner recomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsteuber@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8l609ptoh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84515

Thanks to those who responded.

I got stupid and went to Radio Shack down in Delaware and bought myself the PRO-94 which was only $100 out of my price range :-). The batteries are being charged as I type this.

I have also subscribed to this group with my real newsreader. You will know me if/when I post. The name will look very familiar.

Again, thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1139. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m38zuxl7lh.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84516

RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> writes:

' The very least you should get is a 900MHz Digital phone. There
' are many out there for very reasonable prices. Of course, there
' are bigger and better features, but the price follows the
' features.

It looks like I am posting sooner than I expected. I have a brand new
PRO-94 from Radio Shack charging right now. I also own a GE 900Mghz
Digital Spread Spectrum (who came up with that name?) cordless phone.
I have in the past made orders over that phone with my credit card.
So far, it hasn't bit me in the backside yet. But now I would like a
quick primer on testing to see if I can hear what is transmited by the
handset and base. The manual claimed that this was a secure means of
transmission. The handset is supposed to be placed back in the base
periodically to change the cipher key or something.

While I'm here, replying to a two day old post, is the booklet
entitled ``National Public Safety Trunked System Frequency & Talk
Group Guid'' _the_ frequency guide? Or is their another guide with
similar name that covers all the frequencies?

I hope that wasn't a FAQ. Give me a day or two. I'll read the
manuals as soon as I'm caught up on my correspondence.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1140. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m366q1l7ix.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84517

jhulbert@my-deja.com writes:

' Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired
' phones.

A friend of mine at the NSA told me to say, ``we here you.'' ;-)

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1141. LACoFD New Tac Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Danny C. Woodward" <dwoodwar@gte.net>
Message-ID: <x2xd5.1953$Qd5.529502@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 84518

Does anyone have all of the LACoFD new Tac Freq

Danny

dwoodwar@gte.net

1142. tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <jwxd5.7193$BR.46091@news1.atl>
Source record: 84519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

1143. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <zSxd5.26739$V34.304397@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> J O H N wrote:
> > How pathetic that you show a complete lack of imagination or creativity
by
> > posting this mindless, nearly 4 year old story. In addition, by your own
> > admission you may very well have broken laws and certainly violated
net-ettiquite by
> > re-posting without permission.
>
> As the author of the aforementioned story, I hearby authorize Mr.Wirehead
> to post my work as often as he desires so long as he duly credits me as

REGARDLESS, he may very well have broken laws and certainly violated
net-ettiquite by
re-posting without permission. Retroactive premission hardly counts.

Plus, you have posted off-topic, Richard!

1144. Re: "allsafe" - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <q%xd5.26742$V34.304621@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84521

EVERY time I have had to chane my name, it is still obvious who I am, so
CERTAINLY
I am not hiding from anyone or anything.

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!!@INVALID.com> wrote
SNIP
> Mackey frequently changes it to obvious variations of his own name (JoHn
MaCKEY, M.A.C.K.E.Y., John the
> innocent, etc.) Do you see the difference? Such changes don't accomplish
what you described, in fact, quite
SNIP

1145. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <r0yd5.26743$V34.304877@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So now you are describing yourself, Richard Kendrick!!!!!

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> Typical Toilet Boy tactic. Whenever he gets nailed for exactly who and
> what he is, he immediately says it's the opposition voice that is the
> problem. Toilet Boy is just a pitiful pathological and compulsive liar.

1146. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <V5yd5.26749$V34.304818@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"exhype" <peerless@oxymoron.net> wrote
> Well, I can't say as I approve of anybody kicking a man when he's down,
> but Bill Cheek has certainly done as much. And the fact that a father of..
how many..?
> wound be, not under-insured, but UN-insured, boggles the mind. What was he
thinking?
SNIP

Truer words were never spoken as said above!

> Mackey has sure said some things
SNIP
> but that fact that some would make him out to be Cheek's downfall...
> well, it's just too ridiculous for words.
SNIP

Well said, very well said. NO ONE can make someone look bad. A person
can only make themself look bad. There is NO WAY I can be blamed for
ANYONE's problems!!

> > Bill Cheek, the fair-minded, even-handed, kind moderator of SCANRADIO...
> Fair-minded and even-handed. Two things that William Jefferson Cheeks will
> NEVER be, seeing as it's too late now to change.

Again, well said, very well said.

1147. Re: Richard Kendrick - N7NT- The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <mayd5.26753$V34.303993@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 84524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> You're just peeved that the entire litany of your stupidity has been
> exposed in stark black and white for the world to see. You've shown
useless LIES snipped

> I showed you to be a liar a couple of weeks ago with your claims of
> patronizing child prostitutes complete with the post you made. That
SNIP

I NEVER made any claim as you state above, please prove the statement you
have
made above with verifiable data or be proven a liar by default.

> I prefixed my list of your lies with the proof

You have NEVER provided proof for any of your statements. Richard Kendrick,
you are a liar!

1148. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3978ae2c.14155093@news.usol.com>
Source record: 84525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 03:04:47 -0400, "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
wrote:

>Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
>http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm

One fellow found that out the hard way.

I recall seeing a news documentary on that subject not long ago. IIRC
It seems a woman was murdered but the prime suspect had called via his
cell phone and left a message on her answering machine that he was
miles away in a traffic jam and wouldn't be able to come over that
particular night. The police got the phone records and Uh Oh, he was
only a few blocks away from her house according to the cell records
instead of miles away as he said. IIRC he's now serving a life
sentence.

1149. Re: LACoFD New Tac Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3976B3E2.6EE2B04B@gte.net>
Source record: 84526

> CHANNEL LOCATION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE
> CURRENT AND NEW VHF RADIO PLANS
>
> CURRENT VHF RADIO PLAN - NEW VHF RADIO PLAN
>
> Channel 1 LAC TAC 1 (Now TAC 17) - State White 1 154.280
>
> Channel 2 LAC TAC 2 (Now TAC 18) - State TAC 2 154.265
>
> Channel 3 LAC TAC 3 (Now TAC 19) - State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) 154.295
>
> Channel 4 LAC TAC 4 (Now TAC 20) - Ontario Dispatch 153.830
>
> Channel 5 State White 1- Ontario TAC 154.280
>
> Channel 6 State TAC 2- White 6 154.265
>
> Channel 7 State TAC 3 (Old TAC 7) - White 7 154.295
>
> Channel 8 LAC TAC 8 (Now TAC 24) - White 8 154.160?
>
> Channel 9 HEAR - White 9 154.160 or 154.220
>
> Channel 10 Arcadia Dispatch - Verdugo Green 1
>
> Channel 11 Arcadia TAC - Verdugo Green 2
>
> Channel 12 Monrovia TAC - Verdugo Green 3
>
> Channel 13 Alhambra Dispatch - Verdugo Green 4
>
> Channel 14 El Monte Dispatch - Verdugo Green 5
>
> Channel 15 Covina Dispatch - Verdugo Green 6
>
> Channel 16 West Covina TAC - Downey Tac
>
> Channel 17 Covina TAC - LAC TAC 17 (Old TAC 1) 154.430?
>
> Channel 18 Downey Dispatch - LAC TAC 18 (Old TAC 2) 154.340?
>
> Channel 19 Downey TAC - LAC TAC 19 (Old TAC 3) 154.400?
>
> Channel 20 Long Beach 1 - LAC TAC 20 (Old TAC 4) 153.830

>
> Channel 21 Long Beach 2 - LAC TAC 21 (Old Covina)
>
> Channel 22 Long Beach 3 - LAC TAC 22 (Old Covina)
>
> Channel 23 Inglewood Dispatch - LAC TAC 23 (New)
>
> Channel 24 San Marino Dispatch - LAC TAC 24 (Old TAC 8)
>
> Channel 25 Vernon Dispatch - South Bay Dispatch
>
> Channel 26 South Bay Dispatch - South Bay TAC
>
> Channel 27 South Bay TAC - West Covina Dispatch
>
> Channel 28 Ontario Dispatch - West Covina TAC
>
> Channel 29 Ontario TAC - Long Beach 1
>
> Channel 30 Angeles NF Dispatch - Long Beach 2
>
> Channel 31 USFS TAC-1 - Long Beach 3
>
> Channel 32 USFS TAC-2 - Long Beach 4

Danny C. Woodward wrote:

> Does anyone have all of the LACoFD new Tac Freq
>
> Danny
>
> dwoodwar@gte.net

1150. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for J O H N Corky "toilet boy" Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa7w <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200007200827.EAA01637@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 84527

Mackey like the drunken moron he is blathers..........

>How sad that you are correct. Unfortunately it is people
>like Richard
>Kendrick
>N7NT who try to cause trouble in ham radio & make all look
>bad.

Same old Mackey, Nothing changes. Everytime a person tells the truth about you, you do the same thing. Say they are as hideous as you. No defense just a "so are you." You friggin loon.

You still stalking young boys Mackey. Almost got caught at the Ocean last year didn't you slick. That's no lie.....you ARE a gap toothed drunk pervert.

Tim

1151. Re: UPS-Let's SUE THEM!!!Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Bonde <stderr@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3976C17F.94826358@mail.com>
Source record: 84528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

```
Mike wrote:
>
> I will never ship UPS. Ever try to get a local phone number to complain
> in person? Cannot be done. The local office here in Fairbanks is then
> only open from 10am to 1pm--worse then the banks used to be...
>
Go to the office in person. Ask to use their phone. Do a *82 to turn off
call blocking and call your cellphone (with caller ID). Now you have
their local number.
```

1152. Re: Orange County Fair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.comgte.net
Message-ID: <20000720045420.07739.00003483@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 84529

for the Security try in the 464MHz range

1153. My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ZdCd5.179$c04.54187@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I ordered a scanner HH antenna from CA and it was shipped July 11. I live in Indiana.
The antenna got to Illinois with no further activity reported for three days. Then it says
the antenna went back to CA. It was incorrectly routed and is headed back towards
Illinois again. Hope to see it some day.

Bill K9KZ

1154. Re: UPS service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdm <jdm@iland.net>
Message-ID: <3976F1B8.A165CBEF@iland.net>
Source record: 84531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I wish the local UPS terminal customer counter was open for 3 hours daily, they are open 1 hour in the morning and 1/2 hour in the evening at 5pm, then they lock the door at 5:20 so if you are not there by then just "tough s**t". Apparently they want to cater to businesses and couldn't care less about individuals. It is almost impossible for me to ever make it to the terminal on time. I'm going to look into FedEx ground shipping from now on, locally they are open 12 hours daily, PLUS Saturday hours. USPS is great for smaller packages, but as they get heavier the prices increase greatly.

The local office here in Fairbanks is then
> > only open from 10am to 1pm--worse then the banks used to be...

1155. FS VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis VanHoose <dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9kCd5.6874$mV1.1877050@news3.mia>
Source record: 84532

I have a new (3 weeks) Yeasu VR-500 reciever for sale. New in box! Warranty card & manual. Great radio. Need to sell , too many radios for the budget. Can send digital photo. Paid $350.00 Will sell for $300.00
Dennis
dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net
take out spamspam

1156. Re: All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trex_1310 <hs_kellyNOhsSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <02e4fd45.b2c17ce5@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com>
Source record: 84533

Enough already. Go play elsewhere.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1157. FS/ Pro 92 NIB 195.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000720092045.27245.00000229@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 84534

For sale NEw In Box 195.00 dollars the unit is going for 249.00 at the shack

I can save you a couple of bucks plus the tax

Shipping here in the USA only

thanks

1158. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n4Dd5.25498$ez.227321@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84535

But do they "hear" him? :)

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m366q1l7ix.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> jhulbert@my-deja.com writes:
>
> ' Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired
> ' phones.
>
> A friend of mine at the NSA told me to say, ``we here you.'' ;-)
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look
+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller
>

1159. Pro-92 and trunking problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39770010.81C3D759@home.com>
Source record: 84536

Just bought a Pro-92 to use with my local emergency service's EF Johnson trunking system. Problem is that most of the time, all that happens is a channel will open, I'll hear a short bit of dead air, then the channel closes and it continues scanning. Plus, on the rare occasions that I do hear someone, 9 times out of ten, it doesn't display the LT code. I've double and triple checked the freqeuncies, even re-initialized the scanner and started from scratch. Did I get one with a trunking problem, or is this kind of behaviour normal?

I've tried a couple different antennas: The stock rubber ducky, a telescoping whip, and a Radio Shack 20-176 mounted on the roof.

Any ideas or info would be greatly appreciated.

1160. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: LeftSpin <leftspin@dsl-only.net>
Message-ID: <GhDd5.8241$2M5.261173@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84537

I did a search for panasonic and 1870, and found no interesting messages.
Could you send me your search URL?

"Kenneth Masner" <kmasner@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:2eocnsoi3dvu8o4inbnv629rkn8829a7hg@4ax.com...
> That Panasonic is notoriously insecure. Check strings about it on
> Deja News if you want to bother. km
>
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2000 20:24:48 GMT, "Ryan Tanner"
> <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
>
> >Any idea if the KX-TC1870 B really digitally encodes the voice traffic?
> >
> ><jhulbert@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8l2bfv$784$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >> In article <v61d5.209$h92.117876@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
> >> "Ryan Tanner" <ryan.w.tanner@tek.com> wrote:
> >> > I'm looking at a Panasonic KX-TC1870 B 900 MHz GigaRange® with
Digital
> >> > Answering System $128.99
> >>
> >> I purchased a Panasonic KX-TGM240B and found that it is indeed spread
> >> spectrum but NOT DIGITAL. I could monitor the phone very easily over a
> >> broad range of 900mhz freqs. Needless to say the phone was quickly
> >> sold. I now have a Uniden 2.4gig model. Since only a couple of scanners
> >> hit 2.4 I feel pretty safe.
> >>
> >> Any discussion of global domination is still left to my hardwired
> >> phones.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >> Before you buy.
> >
>

1161. Icom R2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8l71u3lqj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84538

I lock out many frequencies while searching. Is there a solution to the mems filling up with locked out freqs? Is there an easier way to delete these lockouts from memories rather than one at a time?

DeWayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1162. Re: escuchas a moviles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Waltter <waltter2@terra.es>
Message-ID: <HQDd5.23148$aS.175748@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84539

La marca o el modelo no tienen que ver, te pueden escuchar siempre que quieran con un Scanner VHF/UHF (900Mhz), tambi&#65533;n te pueden quitar la cobertura cuando vas a llamar, etc.....

SALU2- Walter.
jmalvasa@taleline.es escribi&#65533; en mensaje ...
>> Mi pregunta es la siguiente, &#65533;es posible que alguien pueda de alguna forma
>> escuchar mis conversaciones telefonicas desde mi movil de "Movistar"? y si
>> es asi, &#65533;como es posible hacerlo? , porque los ultimos acontecimientos que
>> he tenido tan solo lo sabiamos la persona con la que hablaba y es mi
>> hermano hablando desde otro movil (no moviline), y yo.
>> En cualquier caso , si no podeis responderme, indicarme donde puedo
>> preguntar o donde puedo dirigirme para averiguarlo y no me digais que
>> llamando a la compa&#65533;ia.
>jmalvasa@teleline.es
>
>
>

1163. Re: All King Mackey The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <0CE717E89FAC36ED.2095FC9A5264934F.29352CF88603903F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84540

Better get it in writing buddy. You never know when someone is going to try
to make a profit off your work.

S> As the author of the aforementioned story, I hearby authorize Mr.
> Wirehead
> to post my work as often as he desires so long as he duly credits me as
> the author.
>
> Since Mr. Wirehead has honored my wishes in this instance, and also done
> so in other instances, he has not violated my copyright or
> 'net-ettiguite'.
>
> Thank you Mr. Wirehead for liking my story enough to want to post it
> again.
>
> > Above all, you have posted off-topic!
>
> As the leading off topic poster, Toilet Boy, you're in no position to
> chastise anyone.
>
>
> > "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote
> > > Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> > > <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version
> >
> > SNIP

1164. Re: Corky The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sne2oatf6tt40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84541

"Mark" <no@mail> wrote in message
news:phpdnss9jg6g1sjkprs4p8v6su71tmj5p1@4ax.com...
> "C O R K Y" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >How pathetic that you show a complete lack of imagination or creativity
by
> >posting this mindless, nearly 4 year old story.
>
> Thanks for not denying it, Corky.
>
> You are pathetic and mentally ill.

Isnt that like the pot calling the kettle black, looney-tune ?

1165. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <C30A55268E815558.0A8A86CF23385B69.405B2EC6238420E5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84542

There should be a law against letting mentally stunted adults from posting in newsgroups and in chat channels, esp
ones who rarely say anything on the topic of the newsgroup but are always trying to be the center of attention.
I think Bill Cheek was/is cool. It's a shame the government had to ruin the remaining days of this mans's life.

1166. Re: Kendrick's Eulogy for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <71F16473CA398AA5.2BE64C778BEAEDD0.2D5D9A94BEFAC201@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

What kind of idiot comes into a thread dedicated to a dying/or dead man and starts kicking and insulting him?
John, I felt sorry for you as everyone has been attacking you. Now I see why people are horrible to you, you get what
you deserve.

1167. Re: UPS service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: x@y.z
Message-ID: <39770F8C.15AF2FE9@y.z>
Source record: 84544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In this area (Silicon Valley) for a very nominal fee, $1 or so, UPS will pick up. With gas prices so high and congestion on the roads to bad this is a bargain but you have to be home for the pickup and they don't even tell you morning or afternoon and can come as late as 7 PM.

jdm wrote:

> I wish the local UPS terminal customer counter was open for 3 hours
> daily, they are open 1 hour in the morning and 1/2 hour in the evening
> at 5pm, then they lock the door at 5:20 so if you are not there by then
> just "tough s**t". Apparently they want to cater to businesses and
> couldn't care less about individuals. It is almost impossible for me to
> ever make it to the terminal on time. I'm going to look into FedEx
> ground shipping from now on, locally they are open 12 hours daily, PLUS
> Saturday hours. USPS is great for smaller packages, but as they get
> heavier the prices increase greatly.
>
> The local office here in Fairbanks is then
> > > only open from 10am to 1pm--worse then the banks used to be...

1168. Re: Corky The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <A9BA296D61FC9FF7.838D1655ED7276A4.BAAB96DA9302D3CB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84545

Zombie, seems like you keep saying that to everyone you run into.

> Isnt that like the pot calling the kettle black, looney-tune ?

1169. PRO-76 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: CJ's Dad <SPAMwdw72@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <a47ens88neb7bc79vc6082c8jespbbm76e@4ax.com>
Source record: 84546

I'm looking for a general purpose scanner without a lot of extras like
trunk tracking, and saw that RS has the PRO-76 on sale for $90.

Is it a decent unit??
TIA
GA

1170. E.F. Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <3977209D.5D9AFF0C@home.com>
Source record: 84547

Are there any handhelds/portables besides the Pro-92 that decode E.F. Johnson trunking?? From what I've seen so far, the 92 does a pretty piss-poor job of tracking LTR.

I know the BC2078? is supposed to have LTR tracking, but it's not available yet (damn FCC).

1171. Re: reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buddah <buddah@springnet1.com>
Message-ID: <r1Gd5.9585$2M5.292081@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 84548

thanks for the info kevin.....

does radio shack sell a better antenna to attach to the unit

i usually listen to the scanner outdoors in th evening while enjoying a cigar or two, very rarely indoors...would that window mounted one help outdoors as well??

and is that in addition to a new antenna or with the one that came with the unit??

rick

1172. FA RadioShack PRO-2066 Trunk Tracking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdhtex@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000720131055.04953.00003838@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 84549

I am auctioning my PRO-2066 scanner on eBay. It can follow Motorola trunking systems. It has 9 service searches including CB. It is designed to be a mobile radio but can be used as a base with the proper power supply and the addition of an antenna. It is in excellent condition, includes the box and all original accessories, many of which are still in their unopened packages.

The link to the auction is:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=387021459

Thanks
John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

1173. Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <8l7dgv$n6r$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 84550

Well, discone or any outdoor scanner antenna advice is needed. I am looking for something that is an all around performer as far as frequencies and something that can also double as a ham radio antenna for when I get my license in a few weeks. Anything inexpensive that I should check out? thanks!

1174. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <39773a35_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84551

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000719191339.03421.00002180@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <pLod5.4162$in.178925@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Dan
> Barnawell" <awarren233@freewwweb.com> writes:
>
> >Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER.

> And the price is... _______

Too high, no matter what the asking price.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE
And ex-DX394 owner

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1175. Re: Pro-92 and trunking problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: calvin4@nospamfreewwweb.com
Message-ID: <39773ee2.22865000@news>
Source record: 84552

I bought one for LTR too...Took it back fast!
It is crap for LTR trunking as far as I could tell. Really bad design
for a scanner.

Ben

On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 08:35:12 -0500, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
wrote:

>Just bought a Pro-92 to use with my local emergency service's EF Johnson
>trunking system. Problem is that most of the time, all that happens is
>a channel will open, I'll hear a short bit of dead air, then the channel
>closes and it continues scanning. Plus, on the rare occasions that I do
>hear someone, 9 times out of ten, it doesn't display the LT code. I've
>double and triple checked the freqeuncies, even re-initialized the
>scanner and started from scratch. Did I get one with a trunking
>problem, or is this kind of behaviour normal?
>
>I've tried a couple different antennas: The stock rubber ducky, a
>telescoping whip, and a Radio Shack 20-176 mounted on the roof.
>
>Any ideas or info would be greatly appreciated.
>
>
>

1176. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net
Message-ID: <8l7fnumqs1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 84553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

In a previous article, <toomanyradios@aol.com> writes:
>In article <pLod5.4162$in.178925@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Dan
>Barnawell" <awarren233@freewwweb.com> writes:
>
>>Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER.
>>It covers the 150 - 30000 Khz range, and thus will receive LF for beacons,
>>the AM broadcast band, all shortwave and all amateur radio bands in that
>>range. AM/CW/SSB capable, it has an LCD frequency readout and LCD signal
>>strength meter. The DX-394 is an excellent choice for your general coverage
>>receiver. Covers the entire HF spectrum! Operates on either 120VAC or
>>13.8VDC with optional power cord. This DX-394 is in very good condition.
>>Telescoping antenna. Operational condition is excellent.
>
>And the price is... _______
>
>
what ever the market will bear.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

1177. Re: Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net
Message-ID: <8l7fv7mua1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 84554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

In a previous article, "Paul" <pwolsko@nac.net> writes:
>I have a BC 220XLT, which is powered by a BP-120 (4.8V rechargeable NiCd).
>Does anyone know of a battery holder whereby I can use regular alkaline
>batteries in a pinch?
>
>The NiCd's are 1.2V each, so I guess we're talking about three regular
>alkalines at 1.5 each plus the holder to cram into that small space.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Paul, KC2FNC
>
>
Several of the battery powered handhelds at Radio Shack come with a dummy
battery for use when alkalines are used. Get the part number for the dummy
and you should be able to order one.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

1178. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DAHd5.8679$o71.553991@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Remember the OJ low-speed chase all around LA? The cell phone is how they found where he was...

NEL KA2TYT

Chip wrote in message <3978ae2c.14155093@news.usol.com>...
>On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 03:04:47 -0400, "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
>>http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm
>
>One fellow found that out the hard way.
>
> I recall seeing a news documentary on that subject not long ago. IIRC
>It seems a woman was murdered but the prime suspect had called via his
>cell phone and left a message on her answering machine that he was
>miles away in a traffic jam and wouldn't be able to come over that
>particular night. The police got the phone records and Uh Oh, he was
>only a few blocks away from her house according to the cell records
>instead of miles away as he said. IIRC he's now serving a life
>sentence.

1179. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0b75c8a6.f6ffc099@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84556

If you want one to also double as a broadcase for VHF/UHF
amateur radio, a discone is probably your best bet.

You can get them at Radio Shack for $60. There is a person who
sells some really nice ones on eBay for $40. Just go to eBay
and do a search on discone.

One thing you may want to consider. You may want to use a
seperate antenna for your amateur and scanner usage. Why? I
learned on this newsgroup that 75-ohm RG-6 coax is better than
50-ohm stuff you would have to use for amateur radio. There is
just very little loss in the higher frequencies with the RG-6
and it is much cheaper than 50-ohm stuff.

You can build a 2-meter J-Pole for under $5 that will perform
better than any DISCONE on 2-meter amateur radio.

If you do go with two antennas, you can use your scanner and
amateur radio at the same time. This comes in very handy.

"Frizz" <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote:
>Well, discone or any outdoor scanner antenna advice is needed.
I am looking
>for something that is an all around performer as far as
frequencies and
>something that can also double as a ham radio antenna for when
I get my
>license in a few weeks. Anything inexpensive that I should
check out?
>thanks!
>
>
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1180. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <6fJd5.45555$fR2.408931@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84557

Frizz:

If your area is subjected to high winds, skip the Radio Shack Discone look-alike, and get either the Diamond, or Comet, they are made much better! The Radio Shack discone elements are made of very thin, hollow stainless tubing, where the Diamond, and Comet discones use solid stainless steel elements. They cost almost twice as much, but will last forever.

Bill Crocker

"Frizz" <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote in message news:8l7dgv$n6r$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Well, discone or any outdoor scanner antenna advice is needed. I am looking
> for something that is an all around performer as far as frequencies and
> something that can also double as a ham radio antenna for when I get my
> license in a few weeks. Anything inexpensive that I should check out?
> thanks!
>
>

1181. Re: 7.5 step for handhelds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: B Compere <bpckty1@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8l7pavkrl1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FCC is now issuing VHF licenses with 7.5 kHz instead of 15 or 30 kHz steps.

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:Imwc5.40242$fR2.372079@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> What uses that?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "mike kutcher" <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> news:39728C13.69E53030@earthlink.com...
> > Are any handheld scanners now available with a 7.5khz step?
> > thanks
> >
>
>

1182. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dVJd5.372$1y.1680@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84559

Hello,

I don't really like to bake my brain with 900mhz rf and certainly not 2.4 ghz rf. I have an older Motorola 49 mhz flip phone that employs voice inversion scrambling - certainly not the most secure but secure enough to keep most people from listening in. If you are worried about persons monitoring your cordless traffic use a land line.

Bret
>
>

1183. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bYJd5.375$1y.1752@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84560

Hello,
I have had a RS discone mounted on a stand off on my tower for many years here in Florida and I have yet to have a problem. They are a great antenna for the money.

Bret

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:6fJd5.45555$fR2.408931@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Frizz:
>
> If your area is subjected to high winds, skip the Radio Shack Discone
> look-alike, and get either the Diamond, or Comet, they are made much better!
> The Radio Shack discone elements are made of very thin, hollow stainless
> tubing, where the Diamond, and Comet discones use solid stainless steel
> elements. They cost almost twice as much, but will last forever.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Frizz" <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:8l7dgv$n6r$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > Well, discone or any outdoor scanner antenna advice is needed. I am
> looking
> > for something that is an all around performer as far as frequencies and
> > something that can also double as a ham radio antenna for when I get my
> > license in a few weeks. Anything inexpensive that I should check out?
> > thanks!
> >
> >
>
>
>

1184. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <r_Jd5.720$QG2.2661@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84561

Remember, this tracking is now being required by law, so that a cellular 9-1-1 call will supply the approximate location to the E911 dispatch centers, in order to facilitate the handling of emergency calls when the caller doesn't know or is unable to tell the dispatcher where they are.

Mark K5MAR
Former 911/police dispatcher

"Dave Oswald" <djo@teleport.com> wrote in message news:diednskoml2dkmmhfcao73tseit6pb4fk7@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 03:04:47 -0400, "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
> >http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm
>
> Any radio transmitter is a tracking device. It just so happens that
> cellular providers already have the equipment in place to do so. But
> even a walkie-talkie can be tracked by triangulating a position with
> multiple receivers. The thing about cell phones is that cellular
> providers know the characteristics of their cell-sites so well that
> they are able to make fairly accurate estimates as to a caller's range
> from a cell-site. They know the landscape of propigation as a signal
> reaches the tower. Take several towers, calculate the caller's
> distance from each, draw some circles, and where they intersect you've
> got a cell-phone user. Even if only one tower is receiving, the
> system is fairly accurate at determining distance or at least
> establishing proximity to that one tower.
>
>

1185. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <_VJd5.716$QG2.3671@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84562

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m38zuxl7lh.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
>snip<
> I also own a GE 900Mghz
> Digital Spread Spectrum (who came up with that name?) cordless phone.

Not sure what you mean. It is a digital rather than a analog signal.
Currently, there are no scanners available to the public capable of making
digital signals intelligible. Spread spectrum is the mode of transmission.
Instead of useing discrete frequencies, it scatters (spreads) the signal all
across the band (spectrum). If the band used is 902-906 mhz, then at any
given microsecond, the phone can be any any frequency in that 4 mhz range.
It then changes to a different slice of the band the next microsecond.

> The handset is supposed to be placed back in the base
> periodically to change the cipher key or something.

This keys the handset to the base so that both units are looking at the same
spot of frequency at the same time, i.e. synchronizes the spectrum-hopping.
Without this sychronization, the base and handset couldn't talk to each
other.

1186. Airline Ground Operations Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14069-39776B4D-77@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84563

When near an airport, airline ground operational frequencies always
provide interesting listening. On UHF, the frequency range is
460.650-460.875 MHz with 25 KHz spacing.

Does anyone know the frequencies and/or frequency allocations in the 800
& 900 MHz area?

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
Save America, Evict Clinton

1187. FS ASP VHF base antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: 800MHZ <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39778222.18968F1C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 84564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

I have a older model ASP VHF base antenna for the public saftey bands.
150mhz-? It is a unity gain, heavy duty antenna. Works fine. In SE Pa..
$45.00 you pick up.

1188. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <v6tenskmbaeek8rmnl0i23i5m9a97pnok6@4ax.com>
Source record: 84565

RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote:

> If you want one to also double as a broadcase for VHF/UHF
> amateur radio, a discone is probably your best bet.
>
> You can get them at Radio Shack for $60. There is a person who
> sells some really nice ones on eBay for $40. Just go to eBay
> and do a search on discone.

You can also consider the Nevada ANT-426 Double Discone (actually
a bicone) which will have a "flatter" pattern and a little gain over
a discone but have its broadband characteristics. I really like
mine.

Search on the web for the name and model number to find dealers.
approx. $100.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

1189. For Auction: Sportcat 150 & Sportcat 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <397782E4.5C5C8EE9@uswest.net>
Source record: 84566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sportcat 150
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=387665692
Starts at $49.00

Sportcat 200
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=387673253
Starts at $140.00

Pictures available on Ebay.

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@uswest.net>
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.incidentcommandpage.com
"Arizona's Public Safety Incident Notification System"

Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

1190. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3n1jcjvc7.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84567

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> writes:

' "David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
' news:m38zuxl7lh.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
' >snip<
' > I also own a GE 900Mghz
' > Digital Spread Spectrum (who came up with that name?) cordless phone.
'
' Not sure what you mean. It is a digital rather than a analog signal.

It says digital. If this comes out as white noise, I'll be happy. I
figure only the boys at Ft Meade will be able to listen in. And
anyone with a pair of aligator clips and access to the phone line! :-)

' This keys the handset to the base so that both units are looking at the same
' spot of frequency at the same time, i.e. synchronizes the spectrum-hopping.
' Without this sychronization, the base and handset couldn't talk to each
' other.

Ok, that makes sense.

The strongsignals.net website that was posted here recently is proving to
be a valuable source of information. I've been going through that.
It lists phone frequencies I can test. I live in a college town, and
it wouldn't surprise me if people are using conventional phones,
although the 900Mhz phones digital and otherwise have come down in
price since the release of the 1.2Ghz models.

Given the low cost of digital phones in the 900Mhz band, I would think
that it is a prudent thing to replace older style phones with these
things. It is so easy to use a credit card for mail order on a
cordless phone without even thinking about it.

I'm listening to the 2 meter band right now. I am getting good
reception considering the PRO-94 is a handheld with a little whip
antenna. Interesting stuff. I can see why this hobby is so engaging.

It sounds to me like HAM is even more fun. I can see my money running
away from me in that direction some time in the future.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1191. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3k8egjv0g.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84568

"Bret" <rf_freak65@hotmail.com> writes:

' I don't really like to bake my brain with 900mhz rf and certainly not 2.4
' ghz rf.

I may be new to r.r.s, but I am not new to the laws of physics (not
that I am a physicist). I can assure you that the milliwatt output of
non-ionizing radiation from the handset of a cordless phone or cell
phone is no danger whatsoever. Your head radiates more heat than it
will ever get from the phone. The non-ionizing nature of the
radiation, even in microwave frequencies, means that there is not
enough energy to bust up any molecules in the vicinity of the
transmiter.

Now if you are talking about airport radar, that is another story.
That will cook you in on revolution! But only if you are next to the
antenna.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1192. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3hf9kjuna.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net> writes:

' Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
' http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm

Well, how else do you suppose a call is routed to your phone? The
phone _must_ send a signal out to notify the network of its location.
In fact, that signal can be used by portable equipment to find you
directly. This can be very useful for people who are lost in the
woods and need to call search and rescue.

All this only works when the phone is turned on of course.

The PA state police are installing a system for locating motorists who
make emergency calls but don't know their exact location. I don't
know how complete the system is yet.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1193. FA: BC 2500 xlt/New NMH Batt/CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LuLd5.549$Uo6.22273@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

See my auction on ebay:

Bearcat 2500xlt portable scanner. 400 Channels, Brand New Nickel Metal Hydride Battery! Perfect Condition - no scratches, leather case, owner's manual. Continuous coverage from 25MHZ to 1.3 GHz. VFO tuning/channel control. 20 Banks of 20 Channels. Turbo Scan. Weather Button. Includes Owner's manual, wall cord, 12 V car cord, rubber ducky antenna. Add $10 shipping, to be paid by buyer. See my feedback. Those with negative feedback need not bid. Questions? rusciano@att.net

(CE mod=yes)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=387751763
--
rusciano@att.net

1194. Corky Mackey needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39779F0C.6DCC209@kj.com>
Source record: 84571

I think it is hilarious how this Corky Mackey freak has to
answer every one of these posts about him. Just think how
much time he has to sit in front of the keyboard everyday
to write his lame-ass replies.
Corky must not have any kind of life at all!
Corky... you think you're clever and are getting the upper
hand but YOU'RE NOT. You're the only one who has
to spend so much time replying. You are an idiot!

1195. Re: Corky Mackey needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4r8fnsgp9r6t2bgmnjps58c2216m7c14n0@4ax.com>
Source record: 84572

On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 16:53:33 -0800, radd3 <cany@kj.com> wrote:

>I think it is hilarious how this Corky Mackey freak

Where did John-Toilet-Boy-Mackey get the handle "Corky"?

1196. NYPD FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGGPOPPA <biggpoppa@PORUS.com>
Message-ID: <s5afnss3fhqsji3g10kugdpql9rkq4qd3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 84573

I AM LOOKING FOR NYPD FREQ ALONG WITH THE TX AND PL CODES
ANYONE WITH THESE PLEASE POST OR EMAIL ME THIS INFORMATION...AS ALL
THE WEBPAGES HAVE ONLY THE FREQUENCIES....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1197. wtb cheap or old mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: palln@hubcap.clemson.edu
Message-ID: <8l8a83laf1@hubcap.clemson.edu>
Source record: 84574

am looking for an older model scanner (12v) to give my brother.
16 or 20 channels is enough- just want to fix him up wit (with the) basics,

thanks, palln@hubcap.clemson.edu

1198. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <06c9b2b6.b9fab803@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>
Source record: 84575

I too have a RS discone and have never had a problem with it in the four years I have owned it. As far as using it to transmit on, forget it. I tried that route. It is just to broadbanded for decent transmitting. There are plenty of inexpensive 6m, 2m or 440 base antennas around($20-$60)that I suggest you buy both a discone and a ham UHF/VHF base antenna. You will be happier with the results in the long run.

Jim K. AB0HI

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1199. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3977d08a_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84576

"jimk2000" <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in message news:06c9b2b6.b9fab803@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com...
> I too have a RS discone and have never had a problem with it in the
> four years I have owned it.

I have a friend that had one several years ago. He said some of the elements fell off it and wouldn't buy another one. But, on the other hand, I've heard from others that own them and say they've never had a bit of trouble. I've never had a Radio Shack discone, though, so can't speak from personal experience.

My discone is a military surplus AT-197/GR discone, originally designed for the UHF military air band. It works great on VHF/UHF, and marginal at Low-V, but will still pick up signals down there. Transmitting-wise, it has a decent SWR over the entire VHF-High band, as discovered with my antenna analyzer. Unfortunately my analyzer only goes up to 175 MHZ, so can't check it on UHF. However on 440 it works great. I did have to modify the connector as it had some sort of military connecter which was similar to an N connector, but larger. It was a simple task to remove it and attach an SO-239 barrel connector to the thick coax in the mast. Works like a dream, especially for listening to UHF mil air.

The best part about it is that it's built like a tank. It survived golfball-sized hail, which just shattered against the antenna elements. The bad side is that it wieghs close to 10 lbs. It's painted in that wonderful olive-drab green the army loves so much.

If you can find one, get one! No, mine's not for sale at any price!

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1200. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <P7Sd5.1405$WU5.339565@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sylvan Butler <xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message news:8l88nulv4@news.cyberhighway.net...
> RanHefner (ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid) on Tue, 18 Jul 2000 14:17:44 -0700 wrote:
> >It was the decision of the Branch Dividians to kill the
> >children. The fires were started from the inside.
>
> Then how come nobody has been charged with perjury? All those people
> who testified the fires were not set from the inside were lying under
> oath...

Same reason these assholes weren't charged with child abuse. The bleeding heart right wing Republicans would rather turn it into a political clusterf**k rather than make an example of these jackasses. No different than Randy Weaver using his family as a shield rather then face up to the charges against him. Pointless actions by cowards hiding behind women and children. Period. Doesn't matter what the blundering Feds did, the Davidians and Weaver made the ultimate choice to hide behind women and children rather then face the courts like the rest of the society they live in does. Cowards!

> More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6

What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan? Did you even think about it for a second before swearing your aleagiance to Heston? If more guns mean less crime, then with the amount of guns in the USA we should have an almost 0% crime rate and empty prisions. The same logic dictates Canada and England being overrun by cime centuries ago.

Glenn

1201. Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2830-3977F263-46@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84578

Anyone know where I could find the lowest price on the BC780XLT online?
I also would like to get some feedback from someone that has this
scanner and how you like it? Please e-mail me if you have any
information for me. Thanks

Michael

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

1202. Just got am IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: v6800@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39781b05.9307133@news.pacific.net.sg>
Source record: 84397

Just bought a IC-R2 and understand it is quite a complex machine.

What is the best way to learn it fast? Through the manual or any
receommended website?

1203. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <210720000627421181%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 84398

Turn the WebTV off, or I'm telling your Mommy and Daddy

In article <5710-3977E26F-91@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, David Johnson <specialNate@webtv.net> wrote:

> both ups and usps have bad stories....ive had good and bad luck with
> them.....i liek to hear teh bad luck teh most..since i hate people and
> want them all to die and be king of the world.....

1204. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrerleweREMOVE@mtu.edu
Message-ID: <slrn8nggdr.rel.jrerleweREMOVE@techsrv1.tech.mtu.edu>
Source record: 84399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.parg

```
===---> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> Glenn wasted a lot of bandwidth and time:

Welcome to my killfile.

--

Thanks --
Joseph

-----------------------------------------------------------------
Joseph R. Erlewein, N8OUZ -- http://www.qsl.net/n8ouz
Head ResNet Student Consultant - http://www.resnet.mtu.edu
-----------------------------------------------------------------
```

1205. Re: Any one know some web site about antennna theory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0eb2a9f0.17118da6@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84400

"january" <january@hkstar.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>

rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1206. FOR SALE: REALISTIC PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eagle One <zbr@edmail.com>
Message-ID: <dTXd5.696$vM.104555@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 84401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Realistic Pro-43 800 Mhz. handheld 200 channel scanner for sale. Cellular restored. I have no extra's just the scanner only and it works great. $160.00 FIRM! which includes 48 state shipping. Terms of sale: U.S.Postal money order ONLY must be received for priority shipping. No COD'S. Serious reply's only to: csfd@hotmail.com

1207. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0e335506.18d50374@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This and other issues were examined in a court of law and the
government was found to be not responsible for what happened at
the compound.

How do YOU explain all the people with execution style head
wounds found in the compound after the fire?

xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler) wrote:
>RanHefner (ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid) on Tue, 18 Jul
2000 14:17:44 -0700 wrote:
>>It was the decision of the Branch Dividians to kill the
>>children. The fires were started from the inside.
>
>Then how come nobody has been charged with perjury? All those
people
>who testified the fires were not set from the inside were lying
under
>oath...
>
>sdb
>--
>More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
> ***
>Watch out for munged e-mail address.
>User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
>Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1208. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <fsZd5.512$_j3.2337@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84403

> What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan?
Did
> you even think about it for a second before swearing your aleagiance to
> Heston? If more guns mean less crime, then with the amount of guns in the
> USA we should have an almost 0% crime rate and empty prisions. The same
> logic dictates Canada and England being overrun by cime centuries ago.
>
>
> Glenn

Actually, Glenn, Canada has a lot of guns and gunowners, and our
national crime rate is at it's lowest point in 20 years.

Adam Kolari
Sudbury, Ontario, CANADA

1209. Re: IC-R1 Box & Manuals + BP-81 Battery: $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl>
Message-ID: <eoNLHRy8$GA.305@net003s>
Source record: 84404

wow... that's more then a new IC-R2... try e-bay if you are looking for
stupid people..

vx2 <vx2@usa.net> wrote in message news:3977f27b.57537413@news.tds.net...
> FS: IC-R1 Box & Manuals + BP-81 Battery: $250
>
> For more information goto:
> http://personalpages.tds.net/~rpclark/icom.html
>
> Thanks,
> Rob

1210. Re: Just got am IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl>
Message-ID: <udcqGRy8$GA.305@net003s>
Source record: 84405

maybe the r2 info at www.butel.nl can help you. Or a piece of software..

<v6800@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:39781b05.9307133@news.pacific.net.sg...
> Just bought a IC-R2 and understand it is quite a complex machine.
>
> What is the best way to learn it fast? Through the manual or any
> receommended website?

1211. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <8872758EBD8D8FFB.F823337751776EDD.B2491D99773ACD71@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.parg

Hehe, very well made fake document. :)

1212. Re: RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <39786918_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84407

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:7pSd5.371$_j3.1311@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> "David Johnson" <specialNate@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:5710-3977D9F8-87@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > <snip>.....i think its the aurora(government made topsecret) causing
> > problems.
> >
> I take it they are still making their moonshine down there using copper
> tubing soldered with lead solder. Don't suppose the recent sunspot
activity
> and solar flares had anything to do with it, do you?
>

No, of course not. It's the government's new secret project to control propagation. They use HAARP along with the various mind-control satellites to modify the neutrino layer which polarizes the ionosphere in such a way to allow military radios to hear each other, but nobody else can hear anything. They do this so their black helicopters can communicate with the UFO's that are bringing greys in to work at Area 51 in order to bring about the New World Order.

I know it's true because I read it on the internet!

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE
Illuminati member #238560
Skull & Bones member #7685
Freemason member #AOJ2345783
NWO citizen number 987-28572-576563
And Black Helicopter Pilot

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1213. Happy Birthday Scanner Dweeb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000721120851.12705.00000006@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84408

Scanner Dweeb is one year old !
The 73rd episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " One year and still going... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
or
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

1214. for sale RS PRO-75 & Uniden BC60xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB1DHI <kb1dhi@ctel.net>
Message-ID: <bF_d5.468$P2.10546@harpo>
Source record: 84409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Both radios are in excellent condition. If any one is interested, Please
make me an offer.
Send me an e-mail to kb1dhi@ctel.net

Thanx & 73,

Peter

1215. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8l9ulpkcp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84410

David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m3hf9kjuna.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
>
> Well, how else do you suppose a call is routed to your phone? The
> phone _must_ send a signal out to notify the network of its location.
> In fact, that signal can be used by portable equipment to find you
> directly. This can be very useful for people who are lost in the
> woods and need to call search and rescue.
>
> All this only works when the phone is turned on of course.
>

What you are saying then is that a cell phone KNOWS it's location?? Somehow
I don't think so. A given cell phone CAN be located by normal radio signal
location procedures (triangulation, etc), but the phone itself has nothing
to do with that, nor does it KNOW it's own location.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1216. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39787FD3.A1312017@kj.com>
Source record: 84411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.parg

I wonder if any of it is true?

Joseph R. Erlewein, N8OUZ wrote:

> ===---> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> Glenn wasted a lot of bandwidth and time:
>
> Welcome to my killfile.
>
> --
>
> Thanks --
> Joseph
>
> ---
> Joseph R. Erlewein, N8OUZ -- http://www.qsl.net/n8ouz
> Head ResNet Student Consultant - http://www.resnet.mtu.edu
> ---

1217. Re: Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8l9v3e$8t7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84412

Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH <afn09444@afn.org> wrote in message
news:8l2n0n$8hec$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu...
> ABC News said 6 municipalities across the US now have laws banning the
> use of cell phones while mobile. Can anyone tell me what municipalities
> these are? and when they went into effect?
>
> I'm thinking of possibly adding these to my web page. (Note...THINKING
> about, right now.)
>
> Todd

I think that you overgeneralized with your message. To my knowledge, there
are no laws against using a cellphone 'while mobile. There are a few places
that are trying to make it unlawful to use a cellphone while DRIVING though.
Big difference.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1218. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <3978802B.F2626559@kj.com>
Source record: 84413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its hard to tell whats BS on this NG anymore.

Bud the Real wrote:

> Just got this from Bill's wife....
>
> > Is there anything about Bill's condition
> > that I can post to the newsgroups?
>
> Bill has taken a turn for the worse and was admitted to the
> VA Hospital, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr, San Diego, CA 92161.
> He can no longer sit, stand, eat, drink, speak or anything.
> He is not coherent most of the time and is even incontinent.
> He is on morphine every 2-3 hours to keep him comfortable.
>
> There isn't much time left Bud, could be another day or maybe
> a few days, at most possibly a week. As you can imagine, things
> have been pretty hellacious 'round these parts. I have been
> caring for him 24/7 at home up until yesterday when I realized
> I can longer take care of him by myself, and the ambulance
> came to take him to the hospital.
>
> > Also, I'd be happy to include the info for anyone wanting
> > to contribute.
>
> Cards, letters, and/or contributions can be made out to me
> and sent to: 11181 Avenida Del Gato, San Diego, CA 92126.
> I take his mail to the hospital every day.
>
> > Many people are wondering how things are going.
>
> Please thank them one and all for their kind wishes and prayers,
> but things are not going very well. I'm at the hospital from
> 7:30am until about 9:30pm.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1219. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Nh%d5.576$_j3.2510@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84414

Bob.
I think that's what he meant, just not stated clearly. A more grammatically correct (GC??) way of phrasing it would have been "The phone_must_send a signal out to allow the network to determine it's location."

Having said that, I've recently heard of some new cellphones that are incorporating GPS receivers. The phones then WILL be able to tell the network their location.

Mark K5MAR

"bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:8l9ulpkcp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
> news:m3hf9kjuna.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> >
> > Well, how else do you suppose a call is routed to your phone? The
> > phone _must_ send a signal out to notify the network of its location.
> > In fact, that signal can be used by portable equipment to find you
> > directly. This can be very useful for people who are lost in the
> > woods and need to call search and rescue.
> >
> > All this only works when the phone is turned on of course.
> >
>
> What you are saying then is that a cell phone KNOWS it's location??
Somehow
> I don't think so. A given cell phone CAN be located by normal radio signal
> location procedures (triangulation, etc), but the phone itself has nothing
> to do with that, nor does it KNOW it's own location.
>
>
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>

> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

1220. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <aq%d5.744$7s6.144161@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84415

Adam,

Does Canada have gun control laws? Are your firearms registered with the gov?

Glenn

The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message news:fsZd5.512$_j3.2337@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> > What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan?
> Did
> > you even think about it for a second before swearing your aleagiance to
> > Heston? If more guns mean less crime, then with the amount of guns in
the
> > USA we should have an almost 0% crime rate and empty prisions. The same
> > logic dictates Canada and England being overrun by cime centuries ago.
> >
> >
> > Glenn
>
> Actually, Glenn, Canada has a lot of guns and gunowners, and our
> national crime rate is at it's lowest point in 20 years.
>
> Adam Kolari
> Sudbury, Ontario, CANADA
>
>
>

1221. Re: RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000721132134.10873.00001914@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 84416

>No, of course not. It's the government's new secret project to control
>propagation. They use HAARP along with the various mind-control satellites
>to modify the neutrino layer which polarizes the ionosphere in such a way to
>allow military radios to hear each other, but nobody else can hear anything.
>They do this so their black helicopters can communicate with the UFO's that
>are bringing greys in to work at Area 51 in order to bring about the New
>World Order.

Shared Area 51/Black Helo/UN Tanks freqs:

151.625- Helo tac,

49.24- UN Tanks,
and of course the action freq,

152.0075- UN Command encrypted

1222. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2107001041050001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 84417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <DAHd5.8679$o71.553991@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Remember the OJ low-speed chase all around LA? The cell phone is how they
>found where he was...

And fifty news choppers weren't a clue???

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA Macintosh / Internet Consulting

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

1223. Bearcat 147xlt programming help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <f40e5.504$aa.17729@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84418

My parents got this scanner at a garage sale but its got no manual. They asked if I could program it but I don't have a clue how to. Anyone of you have a manual for this radio and could tell me how? I can't find anything on Uniden's site for an online manual.

Thanx,
Scott

1224. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Rossmann <andyross@ntsource.no_junk.com>
Message-ID: <397897E8.61006338@ntsource.no_junk.com>
Source record: 84419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:

> I ordered a scanner HH antenna from CA and it was shipped July 11. I live in
> Indiana.
> The antenna got to Illinois with no further activity reported for three
> days. Then it says
> the antenna went back to CA. It was incorrectly routed and is headed back
> towards
> Illinois again. Hope to see it some day.

Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered 6/30 end up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to Chicago 7/18. When I received it, the main rectangular 'dot bar code' was partially damaged, so another one had been placed on it. I wonder if any 'unreadable' codes end up in California to be recoded?

--
If there is a no_junk in my address, please REMOVE it before replying!
All junk mail senders will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law!!
http://www.ntsource.com/~andyross

1225. Re: trunk talkgroup/I.D. for Disney studios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3978a0c5.439911424@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No, but I'd love the freq's. Thanks.

On Mon, 17 Jul 2000 20:59:47 -0700, mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>Anyone have the talkgroup/I.D. list for the trunk system at the Disney
>studio in Burbank, CA?
>Thanks

1226. OK21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: per amici <uncino2@inwind.it>
Message-ID: <8la6ob$f2o$1@sirmdpsin17.inwind.it>
Source record: 84421

Ciao amica o amico, ATTENZIONE cambiato l'indirizzo del mio sito lo
puoi visitare agli indirizzi:
http://www.uncino.3000.it
http://uncino2.supereva.it
http://utenti.tripod.it/Uncino2
ti ringazio Uncino

1227. Re: Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <8C31B164E66E135E.0CA277A18BB79E33.D8F2A221024E707A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84422

I know why cell phones are trying to be made illegal while driving.
I've never tried a cell phone before in my car until about 5 months ago.
The rare times I use a cell phone while mobile, I realize that I'm so caught
up into trying to communicate with the other person, that I space out and forget i'm
driving.
I end up driving too slow, and deviating from a fixed center position in my lane.
That's bullshit and explains why people with cell phones are completely oblivious to
everyone
they are holding up behind them or the cars they get close to running into because they are
not
paying attention. Someday, I can see where Cell phones will be considered as bad as drunk
driving
as driving under the influence of a cell phone apparently gives similar results.

1228. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Old Man <srovraw@worldtalknet.com>
Message-ID: <1w1e5.5597$U56.166362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.parg

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:jZTd5.1479$WU5.385925@news.uswest.net...

> I received this in the mail from an
> anonymous user, don't know the validity of it.

The ONLY validity is that it's just another classic
example of how ham radio has sunken down into the
gutter, scraping the opposite side of the bottom
of the barrel. (the part that lays on the bare
dirt that slugs and bugs live under) This is a
PERFECT EXAMPLE of why I feel that Commercial
RF Spectrum Interests should completely be given over
exclusive rights and re-assignment to ALL Amateur
Radio Frequencies above 144 MegaHertz.

Thanks for this piece of trashy ham radio guttersniping.

You can be sure that I will forward a copy of
this post to those business and commercial
interests I personally know, who are looking for
any evidence to present to the FCC, in justification
of the re-assignment of VHF/UHF ham radio RF Spectrum
to the exploding market for Commercial Wireless Services.

D.N.

1229. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bmills <bryvin@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <8la8h3$g55$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 84424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:L6_c5.3720$5P4.25819@news3.atl...
> For those of you who have just gotten into scanning or thinking about it.
> http://www.webdeals.net/newusers.htm
>
> hi i have been playing around with scanners for a while the best one i
have owned was a yupiter regards Bryan
>
>

1230. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0c7ae9ec.7e05c04c@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
.
>
>ATF and Reno made their choices and the children are dead. If
the FEDS
>didn't have so many employees there would be a much greater
outcry. I mean
>really, who wants to destroy their job? Seems to me that the US
Federal Gov.
>is the largest employer in the entire world.
>
>Bill

ST. LOUIS (Reuters) - An outside investigator on Friday
completely absolved Attorney General Janet Reno and the U.S.
government of wrongdoing in the 1993 siege and fire at the
Branch Davidian compound near Waco, Texas, saying the group's
leaders set the blaze and shot at their own people.

``There is no evidence of any wrongdoing on the part of Attorney
General Reno, the present and former director of the FBI, other
high officials of the United States or members of the FBI
hostage team who fired pyrotechnic tear gas on April 19, 1993,''
former Sen. John Danforth, a Republican from Missouri, told a
news conference.

Reno appointed Danforth 10 months ago to make the investigation,
under pressure from members of Congress who alleged there had
been a cover-up of government wrongdoing.

``I give you these conclusions with 100 percent certainly,''
Danforth said. ``They are, one -- government agents did not
start the fire at Waco; two -- government agents did not shoot
at the Davidians on April 19, 1993; three -- the government did
not improperly use the United States military; and four -- the
government did not engage in a massive conspiracy and cover-up.''

Danforth's report said, ``The tragedy at Waco rests with certain
Branch Davidians and their leader David Koresh who shot and
killed four (government) agents, wounded 20 others, shot at FBI
agents trying to insert tear gas into the complex, burned down
the complex, and shot at least 20 of their own people, including
five children.''

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1231. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <32FAD703A018602F.097E12D08B091C4D.1392CFC8073B3296@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.parg

Hell no.
The FCC has no right to give up frequency to commercialism at all.
That's the whole frigging problem. The damned FCC sold off the 800Mhz
Cell band (which they had no right to do) and look what happened to us!
Now, everytime we buy a scanner, we have a big chunk of frequencies blocked on it.
Tell your buddies at the FCC, if they want to "sell out", tell them to make the commercial companies encrypt and decrypt their communications instead of crippling our equipment.

1232. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3em4ni6nx.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84427

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> writes:

' I think that's what he meant, just not stated clearly. A more grammatically
' correct (GC??) way of phrasing it would have been "The phone_must_send a
' signal out to allow the network to determine it's location."

That's what I should have said. Each cell phone has an ID. The phone
is obviously listening for incomming calls. The phone also sends out
blips (I don't know what the cell guys call 'em). The cell will pick
it up and the network will know which cell(s) can hear the phone.

Anyway, the network knows where you are to route a landline call to
you.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1233. icom ic-r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: carlo <dbcarlo@usa.net>
Message-ID: <8lab9i$1cq$1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 84428

do you know some site to download radiocom vers. 4 or 5.?

tnk.

1234. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lag4bklv1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RanHefner (ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid) on Fri, 21 Jul 2000 06:02:45 -0700 wrote:
>This and other issues were examined in a court of law and the
>government was found to be not responsible for what happened at
>the compound.

Yawn... Then how come the witnesses who claimed UNDER OATH to the
contrary, were not charged with perjury?

>How do YOU explain all the people with execution style head
>wounds found in the compound after the fire?

A dozen different ways. The easiest is that a bullet is a far better
way to die than burning to death or breathing superheated air.

sdb

>xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler) wrote:
>>RanHefner (ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid) on Tue, 18 Jul
>2000 14:17:44 -0700 wrote:
>>>It was the decision of the Branch Dividians to kill the
>>>children. The fires were started from the inside.
>>
>>Then how come nobody has been charged with perjury? All those
>people
>>who testified the fires were not set from the inside were lying
>under
>>oath...

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1235. Re: WTB: ICOM IC-R1 parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3978C6D2.4820B70B@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 84430

A Icom 2SAT transceiver is on eBay today and is in non-operating condition. You may be able to salvage what you need from that radio. I have both R1 and 2SAT and they are identical in appearance. K4AVO

WJoeW wrote:

> Need several of the front nameplate/LCD bezel for the R1 (could use 6). This is
> a grey plastic piece about 1" x 2" with a cutout for the LCD window.
>
> Any ideas as to where they could be obtained would be appreciated. ICOM cannot
> suppy.
>
> Joe

1236. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lai9f$mo2$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

glenn.hansen@usa.net on Thu, 20 Jul 2000 wrote (with obviously nary a clue):

>Sylvan Butler <xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
>news:8l88nulv4@news.cyberhighway.net...
>> Then how come nobody has been charged with perjury? All those people
>> who testified the fires were not set from the inside were lying under
>> oath...

>Same reason these assholes weren't charged with child abuse. The bleeding

Exactly. The government KNOWS they couldn't prove it. It is one thing
for the gov't to hide and fabricate evidence at the scene, and to
ignore expert testimony at the trial, but it is quite another to go up
against what the local law had investigated and found baseless just a
few days before the assault.

>heart right wing Republicans would rather turn it into a political

"bleeding heart republicans" ??? Wow, a first for everything!

>than Randy Weaver using his family as a shield rather then face up to the
>charges against him. Pointless actions by cowards hiding behind women and

How can you be so Clueless?

Don't you know that the BATF admitted to entrapment of Randy Weaver?

Don't you know that Randy was coerced into acting as a spy for the
BATF?

Don't you know that when he finally refused to spy any more, that is
when the BATF filed the charges against him?

Don't you know that Randy's original court date to face those charges
was pulled up WITHOUT informing him, and the first he knew was when he
found out a warrant had been issued because he missed the earlier date
(at a courthouse several hundred miles from his home)?

Don't you know that the first shot was fired by a federal agent,
killing the family pet on the family property, right in front of
the son, while Randy was nowhere near?

Don't you know that neither Randy Weaver nor David Koresh was "holed
up" before the feds came shooting?

Don't you know that both Weaver and Koresh made frequent trips "into
town" and were frequently away from home and family and could easily
have been arrested with no raid, and no family involvement?

Of course you don't, because you are a clueless idiot and don't even
know half of what the equally stupid media has reported.

>> More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6

Did you look up the ISBN? Do you know HOW to look up an ISBN?
Do you even know what an ISBN is???

>What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan? Did

Are you asking for a slander suit? Why don't you quit hiding behind
a legally anonymous usa.net e-mail address and send me your name and
address with a signed confession to making that kind of allegation?
Until then, you just keep on talking big from your little hole.

For the record, I support Jews for the Preservation of Firearm
Ownership, http://www.jpfo.org/ and encourage all to do the same. If
you do not support them, you stand against them as a racist coward. I
also support http://www.gunowners.org/ and the 2nd amendment sisters
(don't recall their website at the moment).

>you even think about it for a second before swearing your aleagiance to
>Heston?

I've never liked Heston. He is willing to sell his soul and mine to
keep a muzzle loader. Do you know what a muzzle loader is? The NRA
has always been willing to compromise liberty, but we are working to
fix that. Of course, I didn't join the NRA until well after Clinton
was elected and sworn in. I didn't buy my first gun for a year or two
after that. I may be slow, but I learned my lesson. Your time will
come. I just hope you figure it out before it's too late.

>If more guns mean less crime, then with the amount of guns in the
>USA we should have an almost 0% crime rate and empty prisions. The same
>logic dictates Canada and England being overrun by cime centuries ago.

The same broken logic, based on flawed premises. "less" has never
meant "almost 0" and never will. And as for Canada and England...

Just 55 years ago England was giving away WWII weapons to civilians.
Canada had even less gun restrictions than the U.S. Now that they have
both essentialy banned guns, both of them have soaring crime rates,
increasing far faster than the US which has been much slower at
adopting gun control. Also add Australia to the list.

>Glenn

(about whom I wonder that he knows how to breath!)

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.

Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1237. Re: Mackey in the FBI News!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000721182113.23311.00007055@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 84432

In article <1w1e5.5597$U56.166362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "The Old Man" <srovraw@worldtalknet.com> writes:

>The ONLY validity is that it's just another classic
>example of how ham radio has sunken down into the
>gutter, scraping the opposite side of the bottom
>of the barrel.

This phenomenon is not unique to ham radio. Rather, it is just another classic example of how American society in general has sunken down into the gutter.

1238. Re: What to do with a Pro-51? nothing good to scan anymore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3978CCFE.ACC9600F@megsinet.net>
Source record: 84433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Jim,

Your question can be the catalyst for a great discussion.

You mentioned monitoring garbage men and taxis. Don't sell business and industrial monitoring
short. It's fun. I listen to security guards, army comms, low power frequencies, wireless
mikes, sports comms, FRS, hotel staff, and lots of other signals more than police and fire.

I avoided a major traffic jam yesterday because I heard a delivery truck driver warn other drivers about it.

Your PRO-51 can also monitor aircraft, VHF marine, and railroad signals. Don't confine your monitoring to authorized frequencies. Listen "in the corners"
of the spectrum.

Enjoy pilot-to-pilot chatter on 123.45 MHz, for instance (and 234.5 MHz if
you get a mil air scanner). I've monitored and located two bootleg repeaters in the 138 - 144 and 148 - 150 MHz federal bands.

Find the frequencies used by garage door openers, wireless doorbells, heart monitors,
electronic dog collars, wireless intercoms, etc. If a device emits RF, I want to know its frequency. Last night, I heard my new Logitech cordless
wheel mouse on 27.045 MHz.

How about DX? The 30 - 50 MHz band has been open in the mornings. From here in
Illinois, I tuned in New York City taxi chatter,
South American repeaters, southern highway workers, far away US military comms,
and lots of other DX during a 20 minute listening session today. Hearing
all those accents was entertaining.

Become a scanning "renaissance man."

Jim wrote:
>
> sorry if this was posted twice, but im having a prob wtih my messages
> disapearing)

> Well, in the past 3 years the amount of material to listen to in my area has
> decreased so much that im stuck to hearing the garbage men and the odd taxi
> now.... What
> is everyone listening to out there?
>
> Someone suggest ideas that would make me stop putting this scanner on Ebay
> and making a quick 130 bucks :o)

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

1239. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <snhbnaus6tt12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radd3 , just thank the Cheek-a-likes again.
They raddle swords and beat the drums slowly even now.
Rick
radd3 <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:3978802B.F2626559@kj.com...
> Its hard to tell whats BS on this NG anymore.
>
>
>
> Bud the Real wrote:
>
> > Just got this from Bill's wife....

1240. Re: Corky The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snhdi1e6tt131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84435

"John" <johnt932@aol.moc> wrote in message
news:eb6ens8vid9mns33odskncf87o0ao0avci@4ax.com...
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Mark" <no@mail> wrote in message
> >news:phpdnss9jg6g1sjkprs4p8v6su71tmj5p1@4ax.com...
> >> "C O R K Y" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >How pathetic that you show a complete lack of imagination or
creativity
> >by
> >> >posting this mindless, nearly 4 year old story.
> >>
> >> Thanks for not denying it, Corky.
> >>
> >> You are pathetic and mentally ill.
> >
> >Isnt that like the pot calling the kettle black, looney-tune ?
> >
>
> Zombie, just shut up. You are about as close to "looney-tune" as they
come.
> Next to corky anyway.

Another poor Mackey wart is heard from .... poor baby, you dont like it,
dont read it.... You dont know a Looney-tune like Corky when you see it
right in front of your face.... Get in touch with planet EARTH....

1241. Re: Corky The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snhdhscb6tt153@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84436

"Bungholius Maximus" <tpformy@bunghole.org> wrote in message
news:A9BA296D61FC9FF7.838D1655ED7276A4.BAAB96DA9302D3CB@lp.airnews.net...
Zombie, seems like you keep saying that to everyone you run into.

> Isnt that like the pot calling the kettle black, looney-tune ?

Saying what ?

1242. Re: Corky The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snhdhv276tt171@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84437

"Bungholius Maximus" <tpformy@bunghole.org> wrote in message
news:A9BA296D61FC9FF7.838D1655ED7276A4.BAAB96DA9302D3CB@lp.airnews.net...
Zombie, seems like you keep saying that to everyone you run into.

> Isnt that like the pot calling the kettle black, looney-tune ?

I SAID, the Looney has a nerve caling ANYONE a nut - cake......

1243. FS/FT BC 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebizay@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000721182742.26971.00000215@ng-da1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 84438

I have a two week old 245XLT that I am willing to trade for a PRO-92 or any other tt handheld scanner. Email me with inquires at ebizay@cs.com

1244. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3979bb6b.21424437@news.usol.com>
Source record: 84439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 13:35:20 -0500, Andrew Rossmann
<andyross@ntsource.no_junk.com> wrote:

>Bill wrote:
>
>> I ordered a scanner HH antenna from CA and it was shipped July 11. I live in
>> Indiana.
>> The antenna got to Illinois with no further activity reported for three
>> days. Then it says
>> the antenna went back to CA. It was incorrectly routed and is headed back
>> towards
>> Illinois again. Hope to see it some day.
>
> Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered 6/30 end
>up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to Chicago
>7/18. When I received it, the main rectangular 'dot bar code' was partially
>damaged, so another one had been placed on it. I wonder if any 'unreadable'
>codes end up in California to be recoded?

I've had a minor problem a time or two but all in all they seem to do
a pretty good job considering they move 12 *MILLION* packages a day.

1245. For Sale BC 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebizay@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000721183807.29723.00000635@ng-da1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 84440

I have a 2 week old 245XLT for sale. Comes with everything it came with.
Excellent condition. 210.00 or make me an offer. email me at ebizay@cs.com

1246. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MichaelEM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2664-3978CD99-67@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84441

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html

1247. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <y35e5.874$7s6.250796@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sylvan Butler <xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net> threw a tantrum and wrote.....
> glenn.hansen@usa.net on Thu, 20 Jul 2000 wrote (with obviously nary a clue):

Mindless moonie like rhetoric snipped.....

> >What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan? Did
>
> Are you asking for a slander suit? Why don't you quit hiding behind
> a legally anonymous usa.net e-mail address
> and send me your name and
> address with a signed confession to making that kind of allegation?

What allegation Mr. Lawyer. Love it when you kiddies start screaming lawsuit. ROFLMAO! BTW twit, USA.net is not anon, and it quite connected to my account. Look at the headers twerp, that's all the connection you need for your lawsuit, your lawyers can subpoena the rest from my ISP. Oh hell, your public defender isn't going to sue me.

>
> >If more guns mean less crime, then with the amount of guns in the
> >USA we should have an almost 0% crime rate and empty prisions. The same
> >logic dictates Canada and England being overrun by cime centuries ago.
>
> The same broken logic, based on flawed premises. "less" has never
> meant "almost 0" and never will. And as for Canada and England...
>
> Just 55 years ago England was giving away WWII weapons to civilians.
> Canada had even less gun restrictions than the U.S. Now that they have
> both essentialy banned guns, both of them have soaring crime rates,
> increasing far faster than the US which has been much slower at
> adopting gun control. Also add Australia to the list.

Odd, a Canadian just posted the opposite. Maybe the sheet was falling in your eyes when you were reading that. You learn that up near Bonners Ferry Boy?

glenn

1248. PRO-92 new firmware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <D95e5.46284$fR2.422496@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84443

There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated PRO-92, with new firmware!

PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25

The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only to be found on the back of the scanner itself.

Bill Crocker

1249. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <f85e5.763$_j3.3170@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bud,
Thanks for the update. Although I also crossed swords (emails?) with Bill Cheek one time, it has to be acknowledged that he has done much to further our scanning hobby.
To all the Bill Cheek flamers out there, remember his accomplishments, and forget his abrupt personality. Turn the other cheek. (Sorry, I couldn't resist.) Quit dancing on the man's grave before he's even in it. I'm not saying you have to start liking the man, just let go of your hate. He's dying, isn't that enough to satisfy you?

Mark K5MAR

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:gunfnscphrplasel14puevknv6qsbtct7g@4ax.com...
>
> Just got this from Bill's wife....
>
> > Is there anything about Bill's condition
> > that I can post to the newsgroups?
>
> Bill has taken a turn for the worse and was admitted to the
> VA Hospital, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr, San Diego, CA 92161.
> He can no longer sit, stand, eat, drink, speak or anything.
> He is not coherent most of the time and is even incontinent.
> He is on morphine every 2-3 hours to keep him comfortable.
>
> There isn't much time left Bud, could be another day or maybe
> a few days, at most possibly a week. As you can imagine, things
> have been pretty hellacious 'round these parts. I have been
> caring for him 24/7 at home up until yesterday when I realized
> I can longer take care of him by myself, and the ambulance
> came to take him to the hospital.
>
> > Also, I'd be happy to include the info for anyone wanting
> > to contribute.
>
> Cards, letters, and/or contributions can be made out to me
> and sent to: 11181 Avenida Del Gato, San Diego, CA 92126.
> I take his mail to the hospital every day.
>
> > Many people are wondering how things are going.
>
> Please thank them one and all for their kind wishes and prayers,
> but things are not going very well. I'm at the hospital from

> 7:30am until about 9:30pm.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1250. Re: UPS service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Qf5e5.768$_j3.1981@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84445

David,
That is what is called an "Urban Legend". There is not now, nor has there ever been an attempt by the U.S.P.S. or any branch of the U.S. govt. to place a fee, tax, whatever on email. Congress has considered a sales tax on internet transactions but nothing has come of it.

Mark K5MAR

"David Johnson" <specialNate@webtv.net> wrote in message news:5710-3977E681-93@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> hey thanks to usps we might pay 5 cents for every email....f!@#$
> government needs an enema
>

1251. FS: Handheld MIC for W/T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MindSpring User <jerrymoody@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lapkn$9io$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84446

I have a Motorola handheld mike for sale. $35.
It has the plug in type fitting.

--
Jerry

1252. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <gbqhns0dimn9bjcog1kqon53f0pcrg01ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 84447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
>news:gunfnscphrplasel14puevknv6qsbtct7g@4ax.com...

>> Just got this from Bill's wife....

>> He is not coherent most of the time

So nothing has changed, then.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1253. Join the Chicago Scanning Club (it's FREE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: CPMachnick@ync.net
Message-ID: <3978EAFE.8B5ACA01@ync.net>
Source record: 84448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now you can join the club. Check it out.

Chicago Scanning Club

Also the mirror site

http://members.ync.net/cpmachnick

1254. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eZ#J5c38$GA.349@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 84449

it's not out yet. 350 suggested list
is seen mostly .... out in September.

I know people who are being given a
sneak look this week or next. they
will tell me how they like it.

bill conduit

"River Rat Mike" <MichaelEM@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2830-3977F263-46@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Anyone know where I could find the lowest price on the BC780XLT online?
> I also would like to get some feedback from someone that has this
> scanner and how you like it? Please e-mail me if you have any
> information for me. Thanks
>
> Michael
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/ca/mineryhomepage/index.html
>

1255. Re: FS Bearcat 2500 XLT Portable w/New NMH Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Heckler <sarge@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <qbthnsg10fa2glf79u32amnbku9p7id5ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 84450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What's the date code??

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 00:59:59 GMT, "John Rusciano"
<rusciano@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Bearcat 2500xlt portable scanner. 400 Channels, Brand New Nickel Metal
>Hydride Battery! Perfect Condition - no scratches, leather case, owner's
>manual. Continuous coverage from 25MHZ to 1.3 GHz. VFO tuning/channel
>control. 20 Banks of 20 Channels. Turbo Scan. Weather Button. Includes
>Owner's manual, wall cord, 12 V car cord, rubber ducky antenna. Shipping to
>be paid by buyer. I accept cashier's checks or money orders.
>
>See my feedback on ebay under jroosh.Questions? rusciano@att.net

1256. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <GL6e5.860$qe5.184609@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 84451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Andrew Rossmann <andyross@ntsource.no_junk.com> wrote in message
news:397897E8.61006338@ntsource.no_junk.com...
> Bill wrote:
>
> > I ordered a scanner HH antenna from CA and it was shipped July 11. I
live in
> > Indiana.
> > The antenna got to Illinois with no further activity reported for three
> > days. Then it says
> > the antenna went back to CA. It was incorrectly routed and is headed
back
> > towards
> > Illinois again. Hope to see it some day.
>
> Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered
6/30 end
> up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to
Chicago
> 7/18. When I received it, the main rectangular 'dot bar code' was
partially
> damaged, so another one had been placed on it. I wonder if any
'unreadable'
> codes end up in California to be recoded?

It went from CA to Cache, IL and right back to CA. I live in Indiana.

Bill K9KZ

>
> --
> If there is a no_junk in my address, please REMOVE it before replying!
> All junk mail senders will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the
law!!
> http://www.ntsource.com/~andyross
>
>

1257. What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radd3 <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39790002.552682BF@kj.com>
Source record: 84452

What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

1258. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: PhilC <phil@nospam.philcovington.com>
Message-ID: <3979038e$0$62234$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 84453

"Bret" <rf_freak65@hotmail.com> :

> I have had a RS discone mounted on a stand off on my tower for many
> years here in Florida and I have yet to have a problem. They are a great
> antenna for the money.
>
> Bret

I am in Ohio and had a radio shack discone less than 6 months before an ice storm took it down. The hollow elements did not stand up to the weight of the ice. So if you live in Florida they should be fine but anywhere there is a possibility of an ice storm or heavy snow forget it!

You are better off with a discone that uses solid elements in its construction.

Regards,

Phil Covington

1259. Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <108e5.854$_j3.3474@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't generally forward things like this, but in this Politically Correct world we live in, someone has to say "Alright, Enough Already"

For those who have any type of communications background- simply substitute the words "Scanner" and "ECPA" into the appropriate places.... scary, isn't it?

de Bill, N3DDY

Where We're Headed
By Robert A. Waters

****

You're sound asleep when you hear a thump outside your bedroom door.
Half-awake, and nearly paralyzed with fear, you hear muffled
whispers. At least two people have broken
into your house and are moving your way.

With your heart pumping, you reach down beside your bed
and pick up your shotgun. You rack a shell into the
chamber, then inch toward the door and open it.

In the darkness, you make out two shadows.
One holds a weapon--it looks like a crowbar.

When the intruder brandishes it as if to strike, you raise the
shotgun and fire. The blast knocks both thugs to the floor.

One writhes and screams while the second man crawls to
the front door and lurches outside.

As you pick up the telephone to call police, you know you're
in trouble. In your country, most guns were outlawed years
before, and the few that are privately owned are so stringently
regulated as to make them useless.

Yours was never registered.

Police arrive and inform you that the second burglar has died.

They arrest you for First Degree Murder and Illegal
Possession of a Firearm.

When you talk to your attorney, he tells you not to worry:
authorities will probably plea the case down to manslaughter.
"What kind of sentence will I get?" you ask.
"Only ten-to-twelve years," he replies, as if that's nothing.

"Behave yourself, and you'll be out in seven."

The next day, the shooting is the lead story
in the local newspaper. Somehow, you're portrayed as an
eccentric vigilante while the two men you shot are
represented as choir boys. Their friends and relatives can't find
an unkind word to say about them. Buried deep down in the article,
authorities acknowledge that both "victims" have been
arrested numerous times. But the next day's headline says it all:
"Lovable Rogue Son Didn't Deserve to Die."
The thieves have been transformed from career criminals into
Robin Hood-type pranksters.

As the days wear on, the story takes wings.
The national media picks it up, then the international media.

The surviving burglar has become a folk hero.
Your attorney says the thief is preparing to sue you, and
he'll probably win.

The media publishes reports that your home has been
burglarized several times in the past and that you've been
critical of local police for their lack of effort in apprehending the
suspects. After the last break-in, you told your neighbor that you
would be prepared next time. The District Attorney uses this to
allege that you were lying in wait for the burglars.

A few months later, you go to trial. The charges haven't been
reduced, as your lawyer had so confidently predicted. When
you take the stand, your anger at the injustice of it all works
against you. Prosecutors paint a picture of you as a mean,
vengeful man.

It doesn't take long for the jury to convict you of all charges.

The judge sentences you to life in prison.

This case really happened.

****
On August 22, 1999, Tony Martin of Emneth, Norfolk, England,
killed one burglar and wounded a second. In April, 2000, he was
convicted and is now serving a life term.

How did it become a crime to defend one's own life in the
once-great British Empire?

It started with the Pistols Act of 1903. This seemingly
reasonable law forbade selling pistols to minors or felons and
established that handgun sales were to be made only to those
who had a license. The Firearms Act of 1920 expanded licensing
to include not only handguns but all firearms except shotguns.

Later laws passed in 1953 and 1967 outlawed the carrying of any weapon by private citizens and mandated the registration of all shotguns.

Momentum for total handgun confiscation began in earnest after the Hungerford mass shooting in 1987. Michael Ryan, a mentally disturbed man with a Kalashnikov rifle, walked down the streets shooting everyone he saw. When the smoke cleared, 17 people were dead.

The British public, already de-sensitized by eighty years of "gun control", demanded even tougher restrictions.
(The seizure of all privately owned handguns was the objective even though Ryan used a rifle.)

Nine years later, at Dunblane, Scotland,
Thomas Hamilton used a semi-automatic weapon to murder 16 children and a teacher at a public school.

For many years, the media had portrayed all gun owners as mentally unstable,
or worse, criminals. Now the press had a real
kook with which to beat up law-abiding gun owners. Day after day, week after week, the media gave up all
pretense of objectivity and demanded a total ban on all handguns.

The Dunblane Inquiry, a few months later,sealed the fate of the few sidearms still owned by private citizens.

During the years in which the British government incrementally took away most gun rights, the notion that a citizen had the right to armed self-defense came to be seen as vigilantism. Authorities refused to grant gun licenses to people who were threatened, claiming that self-defense was no longer considered a reason to own a gun. Citizens who shot burglars or robbers or rapists were charged while the real criminals were released. Indeed, after the Martin shooting, a police spokesman was quoted as saying, "We cannot have people take the law into their own hands."

All of Martin's neighbors had been robbed numerous times, and several elderly people were severely injured in beatings by young thugs who had no fear of the consequences. Martin himself, a collector of antiques, had seen most of his collection trashed or stolen by burglars.

When the Dunblane Inquiry ended, citizens who owned handguns were given three months to turn them over to local authorities. Being good British subjects, most people obeyed the law. The few who didn't were visited by police and
threatened with ten-year prison sentences if they didn't comply.

Police later bragged that they'd taken nearly 200,000 handguns from private citizens.

How did the authorities know who had handguns?

The guns had been registered and licensed.

Kinda like cars.

Sound familiar?

WAKE UP AMERICA, THIS IS WHY OUR
FOUNDING FATHERS PUT THE SECOND
AMENDMENT IN OUR CONSTITUTION.

1260. Re: racing cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JKT <DolphnsNOSPAM@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <39791842.CF01A5AB@ibm.net>
Source record: 84455

Actually, I believe they are on microwave freqs and their signal is shot up to a helicopter, then sent back down to the control trailer. That way line of sight is always maintained. This is why you see the signal break up when they go under a bridge or overpass. If any experts care to elaborate further, I would love to hear more, JK.

thekennys wrote:

> What freq's does the remote cameras and onboard cameras use to transmit
> to the control trailer?

1261. Re: RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Insight25@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25395-397913D4-70@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84456

yeah it could be the solar flares.but can you see the flares yourself?
government cover ups.

1262. why??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michele Roberts <microbe@kymtnnet.org>
Message-ID: <8lb3dk$13d$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 84457

Can anyone tell me why when I listen to my police
scanner lately, they tell each other to "go to digital".
Then I don't hear them.

Michele

1263. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: h@a.m
Message-ID: <39791F3C.B150DA23@a.m>
Source record: 84458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I would assume that people know what a "cache" is????

The dictionary says "A hiding place." It also says something "...hidden or stored in a cache."

Thus UPS has appropriately used "Cache", IL as a place to hide and store all our packages until THEY feel like doing something about finding out where they are.

Ironic, isn't it?

>
> It went from CA to Cache, IL and right back to CA. I live in Indiana.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> >

1264. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <nM9e5.612$2O1.471218@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

<h@a.m> wrote in message news:39791F3C.B150DA23@a.m...
> Thus UPS has appropriately used "Cache", IL as a place to hide and store
all
> our packages until THEY feel like doing something about finding out where
they
> are.

I, for one, find it quite refreshing that a company, in this day and age of "profits uber alles", still has a bit of a sense of humor. These guys are my favorite shippers (and have been for years).

faa

1265. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8l88ljlv3@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Jake Brodsky (frussle@erols.com) on Tue, 18 Jul 2000 12:36:54 -0400 wrote:
>>>make laws with. It is an imperfect system. It always will be an
>>>imperfect system. Welcome to real life.
>>
>>I think that is what they call fatalism.

>I'm not suggesting fatalism. You are. I'm suggesting practicality.

No, what you said was fatalism. I just used the word that means what
you said.

>government is far from perfect. Yes, injustices will be perpetrated.
>But tear it down? Only if you have some way of building it better.
>The founding fathers decided that a remote monarchy was not a good way
>to run things. They had a better idea.

>WHERE IS YOURS?

The same as theirs. What we've now isn't.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1266. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8l88nulv4@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RanHefner (ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid) on Tue, 18 Jul 2000 14:17:44 -0700 wrote:
>It was the decision of the Branch Dividians to kill the
>children. The fires were started from the inside.

Then how come nobody has been charged with perjury? All those people
who testified the fires were not set from the inside were lying under
oath...

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1267. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <oa9fns0e0lh27r63nnehpsafhlemor6e5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 84581

On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 23:00:03 GMT, David Steuber
<nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:

>"Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net> writes:
>
>' Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
>' http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm
>
>Well, how else do you suppose a call is routed to your phone? The
>phone _must_ send a signal out to notify the network of its location.
>In fact, that signal can be used by portable equipment to find you
>directly. This can be very useful for people who are lost in the
>woods and need to call search and rescue.
The FCC has mandated the ability to locate phones, and most are going
to use a system that is pretty simple.
Most Cells phones can be heard from more than one tower. What will
happen when required is multiple towers will be enabled to look for
the call. The difference in signal arrival times among the towers
makes it fairly easy to calculate the actual location of the phone
within 100 yards or so. in the case of GSM, the timing advance already
provides a good estimate of distance from the tower. The difference in
arrival times gives you distance from the second tower, and distance
between the towers gives you the 3rd side of the triangle.

1268. WTB: RADIO SHACK PRO 2040 BASE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tobfireguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000720214345.01185.00000102@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84582

Hello, I'm looking to purchase a Radio Shack pro 2040 base scanner in good condition, used or new. If anyone has one for sale please e-mail me with information at TOBFIREGUY@aol.com

Thank you,
Billy

1269. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <3977ac95.23298868@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84583

> I can assure you that the milliwatt output of non-ionizing radiation from the handset of a cordless phone or cell
> phone is no danger whatsoever. Your head radiates more heat than it will ever get from the phone.

Heat has nothing to do with it!

1270. Re: Yupi9000 or Aor 8200??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <f7afnsg9gnrnaiv5ikdpmjdfl8q4nf3m6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 84584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 Jul 2000 21:52:44 -0500, "Richard Chyzy" <chyzy@megsinet.net>
wrote:

>Hi,...I have the mvt-9000, the original and am thinking about selling it to
>buy the aor, anybody have any experience with the aor?...The yupis' great,
>but I think I might like the aor with computer control. thanks rick....
>

Personally - I don't think you will regret buying/owning either... They are
both great brands.... What's the battery life in each?... I haven't owned
either but have toyed around with them.... I have an Icom IC-R10 as my
current handheld scanner. 4x AA batteries will only last a day at the most
(6 - 8 hrs).
I have owned previous models in both brands and as per expectation, they
both performed well...
I like AOR, they are always good value but expensive... They are well made
and sturdy and usually have many features. The only thing of question I
have found with their handhelds is that they take a pilots license to
operate (at least in their past models). Pretty hard at times...
The Yupiteru handhelds on the other hand always "looked" a little timid and
plasticky but have been a damned good package both strong and sturdy too.
Their latest models look like they are jam-packed with features too.

I like my Icom R10 but it too looks plasticky but it is very very
lightweight and sturdy and boy it performs well..
In the past, the only thing that was of a concern with AOR handhelds was
that they had a few synthesiser sounds (hums) every so often but I believe
they may have cured a lot of these lately..

In my opinion - If you want to own an AOR because of their majestic
performance - buy one...
If you simply want to upgrade from the MVT9000, you amy not notice too much
more performance in the AOR...
Your call......

1271. Santa Fe, NM TRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000720225411.11368.00001500@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 84585

Going thru Santa Fe on vacation this month...All sources on the web list the TRS type as unknown...Anyone have any insider info for it?

TIA,

Brett

1272. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m33dl4jk62.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84586

urayness@themoon. (Uncle Ray Ness) writes:

' > I can assure you that the milliwatt output of non-ionizing radiation from the handset of a cordless phone or cell
' > phone is no danger whatsoever. Your head radiates more heat than it will ever get from the phone.
'
' Heat has nothing to do with it!

I responded to a fear of one cooking one's noodle. What other harm
can come from the phone that emits low energy emf?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1273. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3zonci5gb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84587

Just to follow myself up here...

I found my phone. When I turn on the handset, I pick up noise on
906.1875 Mhz. Annoying noise at that. The noise does not change with
voice or dialtone. I don't know if other frequencies are affected.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1274. Re: Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8l8e9ehnl1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 84588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> HA! I've documented and proven you have lied at least TWENTY-SEVEN
> times.

Make that 28, Richard. John used to claim to be the owner of the
Portland 147.435 repeater.
Jeff KH6O

1275. Re: wtb cheap or old mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <kYQd5.490$aa.17510@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84589

I have a pro-2026 scanner which is a mobile one up for auction on ebay right now. Includes the mounting bracket as well. It's an older unit and has 100 channels. You don't have to use them all tho but there there if you would need to.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=385040437

Thanks,
Scott

Phillip Allen" <palln@hubcap.clemson.edu> wrote in message news:8l8a83laf1@hubcap.clemson.edu...
> am looking for an older model scanner (12v) to give my brother.
> 16 or 20 channels is enough- just want to fix him up wit (with the) basics,
>
> thanks, palln@hubcap.clemson.edu

1276. RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: specialNate@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5710-3977D9F8-87@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84590

for a while now ive noticed radio stations dont come in. even local stations. i live n central LOUISIANA and i know others are having the same probs.....i think its the aurora(government made topsecret) causing problems.

1277. Re: UPS service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: specialNate@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5710-3977E681-93@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84591

hey thanks to usps we might pay 5 cents for every email....f!@#$
government needs an enema

1278. Re: Warning About Shipping United Parcel service (UPS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: specialNate@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5710-3977E26F-91@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84592

both ups and usps have bad stories....ive had good and bad luck with them.....i liek to hear teh bad luck teh most..since i hate people and want them all to die and be king of the world.....

1279. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <DgSd5.367$_j3.1145@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84593

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m3n1jcjvc7.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
>snip<
> The strongsignals.net website that was posted here recently is proving to
> be a valuable source of information. I've been going through that.
> It lists phone frequencies I can test. I live in a college town, and
> it wouldn't surprise me if people are using conventional phones,
> although the 900Mhz phones digital and otherwise have come down in
> price since the release of the 1.2Ghz models.
>
> Given the low cost of digital phones in the 900Mhz band, I would think
> that it is a prudent thing to replace older style phones with these
> things. It is so easy to use a credit card for mail order on a
> cordless phone without even thinking about it.

You are so-o-o right! However, there is a vast base of 49mhz cordless
phones already out there, and they are still being sold at give-away prices.
Of course, the Communications Act of 1994, as amended, prohibits listening
to cordless telephones, so all those people are absolutely safe in using
them. (Ha-Ha!)

> I'm listening to the 2 meter band right now. I am getting good
> reception considering the PRO-94 is a handheld with a little whip
> antenna. Interesting stuff. I can see why this hobby is so engaging.
>
> It sounds to me like HAM is even more fun. I can see my money running
> away from me in that direction some time in the future.
>
That's right!! Go for it! It's never been simpler!

Mark K5MAR

1280. FS: IC-R1 Box & Manuals + BP-81 Battery: $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: vx2@usa.net
Message-ID: <3977f27b.57537413@news.tds.net>
Source record: 84594

FS: IC-R1 Box & Manuals + BP-81 Battery: $250

For more information goto:
http://personalpages.tds.net/~rpclark/icom.html

Thanks,
Rob

1281. Re: RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7pSd5.371$_j3.1311@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84595

"David Johnson" <specialNate@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5710-3977D9F8-87@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> <snip>.....i think its the aurora(government made topsecret) causing
> problems.
>
I take it they are still making their moonshine down there using copper
tubing soldered with lead solder. Don't suppose the recent sunspot activity
and solar flares had anything to do with it, do you?

Mark K5MAR

1282. Re: Attention no code hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: getting-out@IMD.com
Message-ID: <arrl.vomit@puke.on.you>
Source record: 84596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Fred,

It must be a callsign database error. David Sumner isn't a nocode. When I
told him the ARRL should save ham radio, he flipped me off too!

CW not CB

In article <8l536c$bq8$1@news1.alltel.net>, "W4JLE" <w4jle@alltel.net> wrote:
>Today I pulled up behind a car in Atlanta bearing an amateur call plate. I
>beeped "HI" at him and received the one finger salute. When I checked his
>call on QRZ he was a nocode tech.
>
>TKS ES 73 Fred W4JLE
>
>

1283. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Ojse5.2462$2t3.403069@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84247

Discone won't do it.

Glenn

Me <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:1297540006F6EAC3.D671C0DE41AC939E.3C7BE1EA235E4CAC@lp.airnews.net...
> Can I use a discone to receive sat faxes or does it require a special
antenna?
>

1284. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlinden1@concentric.net
Message-ID: <397a52b7.4851593@nntp.concentric.net>
Source record: 84248

On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 23:00:01 GMT, David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:

>It sounds to me like HAM is even more fun. I can see my money running
>away from me in that direction some time in the future.
>

As OEM so succinctly put it, "buy them all". Oops, wrong newsgroup! What the heck, buy them all
anyway. Ham radio _is_ fun.

1285. Scanners for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8ldn6m$1gqk$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Clearing out scanners that I no longer use.
Pro-2036 - $125
Pro-2050 - $150
AR-1000 - $200

Add $10 for UPS shipping (will send COD unless you want to send $$ first).
If you are in the San Jose area we can avoid the shipping hassle and do the
transaction in person.

1286. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <kvte5.2499$2t3.436884@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> spewed for all to hear.....

> Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
> news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
> Bill,
>
> Take a trip around the world and find out we're
> the best place in the world, where people risk
> their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.
>
> If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
> then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
> a police state is.
>
> I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
> like you.
>
> monty
>
> So because Stalin, Hitler, Idi Amin were worse than other dictators
> the others were ok in your book.
>
> Bill K9KZ

Hey Bill. Neither Hitler, Stalin or Idi Amin DaDa ever ruled Serbia. Are you really that dim or just unable to follow along???

Glenn

1287. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <397A6889.A6830F61@postnet.com>
Source record: 84251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> >news:gunfnscphrplasel14puevknv6qsbtct7g@4ax.com...
>
> >> Just got this from Bill's wife....
>
> >> He is not coherent most of the time
>
> So nothing has changed, then.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW

I hope you are quite pleased with yourself over what your must
regard as your especially clever repartee'. To the minds of many,
however, it simply marks you as an ignorant, insensitive and detestably
callus boor. Begone, you worthless troll!

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

1288. 900 MHz Cordless Telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Green
Message-ID: <a0fc3@alt.radio.scanner>
Source record: 84252

I am a very law-abiding citizen and want to know precisely which frequencies are used by 900 MHz cordless telephones so that I may avoid them while scanning this band.

A list I once located indicated these phones use 902.100 to 903.870 for base and 926.100 to 927.870 for handset operations. However, I have accidentally encountered what appeared to be cordless signals in other areas of the 902-928 MHz band.

Is there a page on the FCC's web site that provides a master list of frequencies authorized for 900 MHz cordless telephone lists? (Or if anyone has created one, that would be equally as welcome.) Bottom line is, I can't use any lists drawn from 900 MHz cordless telephone manuals; those may only list *some* of the frequencies authorized for such usage, and by relying strictly on such data, I could possibly still stumble upon active signals. :-(

Any information would be appreciated.

1289. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bzpilot <gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <04f69420.10aaa662@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 84253

Well I don't have the new PRO-92A in hand, but.... it doesn't
take a rocket scientist to look around the web and find lots of
problems/complaints about the PRO-92.

For those of us in the market for buying now, it would not be a
smart purchase to buy a model whom Radio Shack has now admitted
(by producing an update) there are problems with.

For those of you out there that can get your hands on a PRO-92A,
I would be willing to compensate you with a finders fee!!

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1290. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <08335b66.5711a074@usw-ex0106-044.remarq.com>
Source record: 84347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree with Daniel. Please keep this nonsense off this newsgroup. Try rec.paranoid.urban myths.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1291. Re: Speedtrap Registry - Remove my link, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: LoPan@lopanland.com
Message-ID: <8F795043ALoPanlopanlandcom@63.209.170.197>
Source record: 84348

afn09444@afn.org (Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH) wrote in
<8kupa4$r2g4$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>:

> I've had it with all the "thank you Speedtrap" notes sent me from
> people
>who think that my _Mobile Scanner & RADAR-Detector Laws_ web page is the
>work of The Speedtrap Registry. It is not the fault of the visitors to
>my site, though. It is the fault of The Speedtrap Registry for refusing
>-- for five+ years -- to acknowledge my request that they correct the
>link back to me so that people understood that my work was _my own_ and
>not thier's.
> I am therefore removing all links to them, and have requested they
> remove
>that _thing_ they call a "link" to me. See attached, below.

Todd I hate to be the one that brings bad news but they still haven't
gotten rid of your link. I would suggest either of 2 solutions.
#1. Check out who actually runs the site. Registered mail has to be
signed for and I would bet looked at as well.
It doesn't cost very much. A really strong worked letter usually is enough
unless they are complete assholes.
#2. Change your provider. That way the link will never work again no matter
what they do.

1292. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snjeg397jjt131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39799c23.413275@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> >
> >Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
> >with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
> >being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
> >need them.
> >
> >Nuff Said.
> >
> Certainly is....
>
> What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?
>
> Can't we leave this horseshit for another newsgroup?
>
> Who give's a Fiddler's FART about this in a scanning group?

Maybe you won`t be able to listen to your scanner, or even own one, if you
retain this kind of attitude..... I guess you just dont "get it".....

>
> Dan

1293. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: som9k7e5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722111525.14441.00002100@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 84350

>
>What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

ID Wobble on trunktracking, ID's that change in the middle of the conversation,
poor reception (Especially while driving), not very user friendly controls.
Other than that, nothing.

:)

Disgruntled Pro 92 owner

1294. Re: PRO-92 new firmware! Swap out???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <43je5.47481$fR2.428428@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84351

Info came from pro92@egroups.com, by a person who claims one came into his Radio Shack store already.

Bill Crocker

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message news:397949D3.80B06F3B@home.com...
> I called RS and they said they know nothing about this. However, if it's true,
> I plan on returning my 92 and swapping for the updated firmware. Only reason I
> bought the silly thing was to track LTR and it does a really bad job of it,
> unless I'm doing something completely wrong...
>
> RS wrote:
>
> > Does anybody know if there will be a way to swap out the firmware chip
> > in the old style pro-92. Maybe even sending it back to RS repair dept
> > it would be worth it to scan mot systems properly.
> >
> > Any ideas on this
> >
> > On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:38:11 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated
> > >PRO-92, with new firmware!
> > >
> > >PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25
> > >
> > >The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only to
> > >be found on the back of the scanner itself.
> > >
> > >Bill Crocker
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

1295. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com>
Source record: 84352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bill,

Take a trip around the world and find out we're
the best place in the world, where people risk
their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.

If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
a police state is.

I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
like you.

monty

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

1296. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <moje5.469$FJ4.18319@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84353

I'll second the poor reception comment. Sometimes I ride my bike around and I hook the scanner to it. It's so broken up and choppy it's almost totally incoherent. The Pro-91 does fine however.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"SOM9K7E5" <som9k7e5@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000722111525.14441.00002100@ng-co1.aol.com...
> >
> >What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?
>
> ID Wobble on trunktracking, ID's that change in the middle of the conversation,
> poor reception (Especially while driving), not very user friendly controls.
> Other than that, nothing.
>
> :)
>
>
> Disgruntled Pro 92 owner

1297. Re: LTR Trunking and Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <gAje5.7751$7a5.247930@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84354

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:3979A9AD.288F6CEF@home.com...
> So I should pretty much just take the the thing back, bid on an ebay item
and
> sav myself a couple hundred bucks.
>
> Is the BC-780 supposed to be able to track Multinet? I know it's supposed
to
> do LTR, but I don't remember seeing anything about Multi.

There are supposedly no plans for a MultiNet receiver, either. I'm not sure why. In my area, MultiNet is much more popular for public safety than LTR. In fact, LTR is only used for business here.

It might be due to the technology used by GRE to make the PRO-92/94/2052/2067 series. Except for EDACS, the latest GRE trackers use slow-speed data on the voice channels instead of the high-speed control channel datastream. LTR has no control channel, so it lends itself well to that type of tracking. MultiNet uses a subaudible control channel, and maybe nobody has a license to use any existing technology to track it.

-Dave, NF2G

1298. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <hAje5.7752$7a5.247930@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m3r98mh24z.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk (Mike W) writes:
>
> The fact that scanners are no longer allowed to monitor all
> frequencies and that two states have banned radar detectors, as has
> the district of colombia, is a clear signal that freedom of assembly
> is being violated. I see my writing is getting a little incoherent.
> I've been up all night and it seems to be showing, but I feel I must
> continue anyway.

I was with you until you mentioned the "right of assembly" in connection with monitoring. I believe that we have a First Amendment right to monitor and divulge government radio communications, but not from the Free Association clause.

> Besides, the airwaves are a PUBLIC resource. They belong to the
> people. It is just plain silly to say you can't monitor the
> frequencies from x to z. It's worse than that. Such a law is a
> precedent for other arbitrary restrictions on harmless activities.

Not a precedent but a continuation of a trend that has existed since the 1930s.

For a discussion of some legal principles affecting the scanning hobby, you might be interested in visiting The Rapid Media Project at http://rapid-media.org .

-Dave, BSCJ/Soc
Director/Webmaster, The Rapid Media Project
NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

1299. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3979c613_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
Bill,

Take a trip around the world and find out we're
the best place in the world, where people risk
their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.

If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
a police state is.

Right on! Why is it always the people who've never stepped foot outside the
US that always complain about how bad it is in this country? I've been
there. Trust me, if you think we have no rights, go to ANY other country.
Why are people coming here in droves from Mexico? Why are people risking
their lives on homemade rafts to get here from Cuba?

Just because we don't have the right to drive without licenses or walk
around with fully-automatic weapons some people think this is a "police
state."

Give me a break.

Yes, the government does on occasion overstep its bounds. It is too big.
There are too many federal regulations. Sometimes your congressman doesn't
care about you.

But it's not too late to fix it, no matter what some people say.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1300. WXSat and SB Live problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ash <ash@gravitywell.org>
Message-ID: <3979CCE7.5773F46C@gravitywell.org>
Source record: 84357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

After switching from an awe64 to Live player. (LiveWare 3) the pictures
are almost pitchblack
in wxsat, it's .wav recording seems to work if I open it in Satsignal.
All other decoding programs such as Wacars & Poc32 works just fine so it
has nothing to
do with the input signal strength but how wxsats interprets it.
Has anyone else this problem with wxsat or know a remedy to it?
I've tried to find an email to the creators of wxsat but cannot find
it..

//Ash

1301. VHF/UHF Discone & HF/VHF/UHF Super Discones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: antenna_warehouse@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8lckh1$em5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84358

Hello,

I have both VHF/UHF Discone, and HF/VHF/UHF Super Discone antennas up on eBay Dutch auctions.

The VHF/UHF Discone are great wide band receive antennas, and are good for Transmitting in the Amateur 144, 220, 440, 900, and 1290 MHz. bands with a SWR of 1.5 to 1 or less. They are $29.95

This Auction ends 7/23 9:04 pm cst.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384390878

The Super Discone has additional tunable elements that give this antenna greatly enhanced performance in the 25-30 MHz. and the 45-50 MHz. bands. They are $45.95

This auction ends 7/23 9:10 pm cst

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384402075

These are being sold in a Dutch auction, which means no worries about being outbid at the last moment, or the price sky rocketing because of bidding wars. You bid, you pay, you get one! If you don't want to go the eBay route, no problem! Just contact me direct.

Be sure to check our other auctions and website for other great deals on Scanner, CB, and Amateur antennas.

Thank you

AntennaWareHouse
811 9th Ave.
Camanche, IA. 52730
319-259-4405 10am-5pm cst M-F
319-259-1053 Fax
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1302. Re: Speedtrap Registry - Remove my link, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8lclb2$aa4k$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84359

LoPan wrote in message <8F795043ALoPanlopanlandcom@63.209.170.197>...

>Todd I hate to be the one that brings bad news but they still haven't
>gotten rid of your link. I would suggest either of 2 solutions.
>#1. Check out who actually runs the site. Registered mail has to be
>signed for and I would bet looked at as well.
>It doesn't cost very much. A really strong worked letter usually is enough
>unless they are complete assholes.
>#2. Change your provider. That way the link will never work again no
matter
>what they do.

Hi LoPan,

(Interesting name. I like "Merlin," myself. And always "customized."
You're aware of the 1.31 bug fix that's out there, right? I could probably
use "Call The Void," about now.) 8^)

I figure it's one of two things. 1) In typical form, they haven't yet
checked thier e-mail, and are in for the shock of thier life when they
finally get around to it. 2) They have already, are scared to death, and
they're not sure what to do or how to handle it, now. 8^) Probably trying
to think of what kind of letter to write back to me which is butt-kissing
and yet does not show any sign of the anger that they're probably
expressing behind the scenes, throwing furniture around, at this very
moment. 8^) You know. 8^)

Either way, in just three days nearly two hundred users have read my
little side-page about Speedtrap. So it's getting good attentiion, anyway.
8^) But that's why I put that side page there. If Speedtrap decides to
visit, they'll see the counter size and think twice. For all the hits they
provide me, my site is not so bad that I don't provide _great_ user numbers
to _other_ sites, as well.
I get 250 hits a day, or nearly 7600 a month. Of those, seems about 63 a
day are reading that Speedtrap sidebar. 8^) Which equates to about 1900
people reading that every month. And that'll probably increase, too, as
time goes by. So yes, they'd better pay attention, I think, if they're
smart. 8^) Getting lots of positive mail. No negative mail so far, but
I'm sure that will come.

As for contacting them by registered mail, I'm not sure they have an
address even listed anywhere on the site. But, I'll check again. They
very well may, and I just haven't noticed it, yet. At this point though,
that's not on my agenda unless it takes an inordinately long time for the
link to be removed, and no response ever comes.

I want to keep my provider, though, for two reasons: 1) I've been here for about 7 years and everyone is used to me being where I am, and ALL of my other pages would have to be like-updated/adjusted. (I run a bunch). 2) As well, my ISP absolutely and completely free: the e-mail, web access, web page space. It's what they call a "FreeNet." They're kinda rare, but we're lucky enough to have one down here. 8^)
Still though, when you think about it, I shouldn't have to change things on my end (which would be a major inconvenience for me, and only a minor inconvenience for them) just because one site out there is being an idiot. You know? It wouldn't be fair, and I'd still be the one affected.

Anyway, you take care,

Todd

1303. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <nospam@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <SNke5.10$7q2.16073@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 84360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I don't believe it for a second either

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
Bill,

Take a trip around the world and find out we're
the best place in the world, where people risk
their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.

If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
a police state is.

I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
like you.

monty

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

1304. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: AntennaWareHouse <kingpop@cis.net>
Message-ID: <3979DCD0.10E139AC@cis.net>
Source record: 84361

The regular Discones are 29.95 + 7.25 Shipping

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384375054

Tim

AntennaWareHouse
811 9th Ave.
Camanche, IA. 52730
319-259-4405 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri
319-259-1053 Fax
Email kingpop@cis.net
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

RanHefner wrote:
> SNIP
> There is a person who
> sells some really nice ones on eBay for $40. Just go to eBay
> and do a search on discone.
> SNIP

1305. Re: Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH" <afn09444@afn.org>
Message-ID: <8lcmjm$n4na$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 84362

>> I'm thinking of possibly adding these to my web page. (Note...THINKING
>> about, right now.)
>>
>> Todd
>
>I think that you overgeneralized with your message. To my knowledge, there
>are no laws against using a cellphone 'while mobile. There are a few
places
>that are trying to make it unlawful to use a cellphone while DRIVING
though.
>Big difference.

Perhaps. But I think you're really nitpicking, since driving a bicycle,
a moped, a go cart, a tractor, an 18-wheeled tractor-trailor, an
automobile, or a RCM model airplane...the effects would be the same, and
noone is immune.

The bicyclist would still run the risk of driving in front of another
car, or swerving suddenly out into traffic, and he would still have only
one hand on the handlebars, if that. 8^) Then SPLAT! Next day the
headlines would read: "BICYCLIST KILLED WHILE USING CELLPHONE," and it
would get even more attention than the auto accidents would, because it's
different; and to the Press, it would only back up the theory of cellphone
inattention causing accidents. They'd eat it up.

I'm sure they probably _did_ intend bicycles and mopeds, too.

God help us if RCMers, too, start using cell phones while they play with
thier toys! (grin) Probably end up with a good many people suddenly
experiencing "buzz cuts" from out of the blue. (chuckle)
"...I dunno officer! I'd just come out of that shopping center over
there, when all of a sudden there was a loud `VRRRRP!,' a wind, I felt my
head...and my _hair_ was gone!" 8^) Headlines: "MYSTERIOUS WIND
DISSOLVES HAIR OF NUMEROUS VICTIM PEDESTRIANS DOWNTOWN. POLICE STILL
INVESTIGATING."

I wonder if it includes while boating? 8^) I can just see it
now..."OIL-CARRYING SUPERTANKER CRASHES INTO TAMPA BAY BRIDGE - PILOT
ADMITS HE WAS ON CELLPHONE."

I wonder what would happen if I let my cat use a cellphone? Hmm.
(Probably end up with a pretty big bill, knowing MY cat.) 8^)

Anyway, your gripe should really be with ABC News, since that is where I
got my wording from.

Todd

1306. Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: PyratePete <PyratePete@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <O5LDYVA9$GA.288@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 84363

I own 4 scanners, (4 portables and 1 desktop). The desktop is 200 channels, the portables are 10 channels-old, which my parents use(retired) and I listen to Pro-34, Pro-91 and Pro-94 (1000 channels). None which are capable of picking up CB frequenies. The main reason I use them is when you travel you can hear the truckers report police running radar and traffic accidents so you are able to avoid certain areas by bypassing the area. I've seen 1 desktop model that broadcasts the frequencies, why not the portables?

1307. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeak <srs@spacestar.net>
Message-ID: <8lcnhskrv1@news.spacestar.net>
Source record: 84364

The Sony Wavehawk and ICOM R2 both pick up CB.

"PyratePete" <PyratePete@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:O5LDYVA9$GA.288@cpmsnbbsa09...
> I own 4 scanners, (4 portables and 1 desktop). The desktop is 200
channels,
> the portables are 10 channels-old, which my parents use(retired) and I
> listen to Pro-34, Pro-91 and Pro-94 (1000 channels). None which are
capable
> of picking up CB frequenies. The main reason I use them is when you
travel
> you can hear the truckers report police running radar and traffic
accidents
> so you are able to avoid certain areas by bypassing the area. I've seen 1
> desktop model that broadcasts the frequencies, why not the portables?
>
>

1308. ' FA BC895XLT Uniden Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8lcohj$3nr6$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Click & Check out the eBay auction at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384880669

Auction ends Thursday

Dave
1-408-578-0874

1309. Re: Yupi9000 or Aor 8200??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Maikranz <kg5un@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8lcphd$i47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The only AOR I have owned was the 8000 and I didnt really like it. I have owned the yupi 7100 and the 9000 and still believe there is nothing on the market today that compares. I actuall just traded the 9000 off to go back to the 7100 because I feel it is the better radio.

Good luck,

In article <0Vtd5.22025$DZ4.71746@news.corecomm.net>,
"Richard Chyzy" <chyzy@megsinet.net> wrote:
> Hi,...I have the mvt-9000, the original and am thinking about selling it to
> buy the aor, anybody have any experience with the aor?...The yupis' great,
> but I think I might like the aor with computer control. thanks rick....
>
>

--
Edward S. Maikranz
KG5UN
Abilene, Texas

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1310. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3979d56c.15081298@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>> >
>> >Nuff Said.
>> >
>> Certainly is....
>>
>> What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?
>>
>> Can't we leave this horseshit for another newsgroup?
>>
>> Who give's a Fiddler's FART about this in a scanning group?
>
>
>Maybe you won`t be able to listen to your scanner, or even own one, if you
>retain this kind of attitude..... I guess you just dont "get it".....

Oh Pllleeeeeaaaasseee, GIVE ME A BREAK!

Like someone else said...go over to the paranoid newsgroups. I get it
very well.

However, I don't need to see this same paranoia re-hashed over and
0ver again on a scanner newsgroup.

They are NOT gonna take your scanners, and they are NOT gonna take
your guns. Give it a rest. Life is too short.

Anyway, the boobs in this country keep Senators and Congresspeople in
office for decades. THEY are to blame, no one else.

Dan

1311. Re: Icom R2 & RS Pro 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <XBle5.269$ck7.80623@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:VLhe5.1048$qe5.236397@nntp3.onemain.com...
> FS: Month old Icom R2 for $150 shipped CONUS.
>
> Also RS PRO 2048 in very nice cond. manual and antenna, 200 ch,
> and 800 MHz. $70 + shipping.

I forgot to mention the R2 also comes with manual, charger, stock antenna,
and 4 RS NiMH 1200 batteries.

Bill K9KZ

>
> Bill K9KZ in Indiana
>
>

1312. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <8Fle5.270$ck7.81035@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
Bill,

Take a trip around the world and find out we're
the best place in the world, where people risk
their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.

If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
a police state is.

I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
like you.

monty

When the government has aimed guns at you and your family
then tell me how great this country is. Yes it happened to me.

Bill K9KZ

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

1313. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lcpc0$aq2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

> >
> > Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered 6/30 end
> >up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to Chicago
> >7/18. When I received it, the main rectangular 'dot bar code' was partially
> >damaged, so another one had been placed on it. I wonder if any 'unreadable'
> >codes end up in California to be recoded?
>
> I've had a minor problem a time or two but all in all they seem to do
> a pretty good job considering they move 12 *MILLION* packages a day.

So they bring in 120 MILLION DOLLARS a day! For beating the crap out of your packages!
Never fails some employee or stockholder will jump in and defend them, course they all have computers cause they are all so rich from charging premium prices for crap service--use Fedex 2 day air, costs a little more, much faster and safer. Just my opinion....

1314. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <nmqjnskgrqr6dfs5n7661i2e61e4ursgs6@4ax.com>
Source record: 84371

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 00:51:38 -0700, Middleshot
<bmiddletonNObmSPAM@sprynet.com.invalid> wrote:

>Here's how it works....
>
>A cellphone listens for an incoming "page" from the cellular
>system. All cell sites constantly monitor which mobiles
>(cellphones) are within their service area. The cellphone
>measures signal strengh of nearby cellsites and provides the
>results to the system to determine which cellsite is the best one
>to use.
>
>Within ALL cellphone call records is the name and number of the
>cellsites the phone can "see", the name of the site it's actually
>using, the frequency and channel it's using.
>
>Once you know which cellsite and channel a phone is using you
>have it's approximate location. All you have to do to narrow it
>down to a very precise location is compare the signal strengh
>readings the phone is taking of other nearby cellsites and
>calculate the distance.
Signal strength is a very poor and inaccurate way to do it, because
you have no idea what is between the phone and the tower at this
moment. All of the commercial solutions being offered to meet the FCC
requirements depend upon measuring time differences, which are pretty
much independent of singal strength, and far more accurate.
>
>
>By the way....I'm a Network Technician for a cellular company so
>I'm not just blowing smoke.
And the only way a Network knows where an AMPS phone is, is when it
logs on, or it places or receives a call. I don't know about CDMA or
TDMA, but most GSM networks actually poll the phone at regular
intervals. You don't see anyting, but outside the USA where FCC
interference rules don't apply, you can frequently hear the poll on
your car radio, you landline phone, your computer, and all sorts of
other equipment. Polling frequency is a decision of the service
provider, but is usually about once every 4-8hours.
>
>
>---
>
>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>Up to 100 minutes free!

>http://www.keen.com

1315. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3979ed8e_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84372

"Squeak" <srs@spacestar.net> wrote in message
news:8lcnhskrv1@news.spacestar.net...
> The Sony Wavehawk and ICOM R2 both pick up CB.
>

The Uniden BC3000XLT goes down to 25 MHZ. There are also a few others, I
believe.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1316. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3979ee6b_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:8Fle5.270$ck7.81035@nntp1.onemain.com...
>

> When the government has aimed guns at you and your family
> then tell me how great this country is. Yes it happened to me.
>
> Bill K9KZ

What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1317. FIANLLY FREQUENCY DATABASE!!!! FULL WORKING VERSION... <<DOWNLOAD NOW>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthijs <bloodsugarsexmagik1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Oj#NrOB9$GA.85@net003s>
Source record: 84374
Cross-posted to: hetnet.hobby.radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,hetnet.hobby.zendamateur,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

English:
After a long time of trying, trying and trying i found the missing dll's and ocx files... I'd like to thank everybody who helped me wit this big job...
You can now download the frequency database at http://fly.to/freqdbase
Tnx,
Matthijs

If you want to know when there comes a new version of frequency database or other scannersoftware register on the mailing list...send a message to mb12436@dds.nl with as subject "register mailinglist"

Dutch/Nederlands:
Na een lange tijd van proberen programmeren en testen heb ik eindelijk de missende dll en ocx bestanden gevonden... Hierbij ben ik geholpen door verschillende mensen die ik hierbij wil bedanken...
Om de database te downloaden gaat u naar http://fly.to/freqdbase
Tnx,
Matthijs

P.S als u op de hoogte wilt blijven van nieuwe versie's van frequentiedatabase of andere scannersoftware schrijf u dan nu in op de mailing list stuur een emailtje naar mb12436@dds.nl met als onderwerp "register mailinglist"

1318. Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bzpilot <gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <104e93da.7adc1970@usw-ex0105-037.remarq.com>
Source record: 84375

For those of you lucky enough to have a local Radio Shack Dealer with the PRO-92A (firmware version 3.25) in stock I'd like to start a list?

Those of us looking to pick one up have a week (until July 29th, 2000)to find one and get it for a great price $249.99 then its back to $349.99.

Let us know ASAP. Thanks.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1319. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8lcu2v$d4j$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84376

I found one in Southern Ct. The store only had the brand new one -with a serial number just over 50,000 and an old unit which was on display. The guy wasn't sure how recently the new one arrived, but he did say that turnover of scanners was pretty high in his store, so they're always arriving.

No need to open up the box, by the way, since the date code is on the back of the box! Naturally, look for 05A00.

Jeff

1320. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8lcu9r$d4j$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84377

You can evidently monitor Private Calls on Motorola trunked systems with the Pro92, but not with any Uniden-built scanners!

Jeff

1321. Re: WXSat and SB Live problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <jkelly@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <Mjne5.3459$l6.71470@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 84378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

From my experience with the Live card the playback
and recording mixer sliders are very confusing.

When you run TEST from wxsat during a pass are
you getting a full waveform?

Jeff Kelly
KT2K

"Ash" <ash@gravitywell.org> wrote in message
news:3979CCE7.5773F46C@gravitywell.org...
> After switching from an awe64 to Live player. (LiveWare 3) the pictures
> are almost pitchblack
> in wxsat, it's .wav recording seems to work if I open it in Satsignal.
> All other decoding programs such as Wacars & Poc32 works just fine so it
> has nothing to
> do with the input signal strength but how wxsats interprets it.
> Has anyone else this problem with wxsat or know a remedy to it?
> I've tried to find an email to the creators of wxsat but cannot find
> it..
>
> //Ash
>

1322. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: noone@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <397a051c.13725062@news.indian-creek.net>
Source record: 84379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 13:59:15 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote:
You must be living in a dreamstate then!!
>
>"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
>news:8Fle5.270$ck7.81035@nntp1.onemain.com...
>>
>
>> When the government has aimed guns at you and your family
>> then tell me how great this country is. Yes it happened to me.
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>
>What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
>officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
>
>
>--
>Tom Sevart
>Amateur N2UHC
>Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1323. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Feagin <feagin@home.com>
Message-ID: <8Dne5.7003$eS6.169025@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 84380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I hate to break the news.....but there is absolutely NOTHING that the NSA
can't crack. I should know - I used to work "in the belly of the beast."

John
"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m3r98mh24z.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk (Mike W) writes:
>
> ' Its started with 'scanners' in the US, No monitoring Cell Phones, soon
> ' it'll be no monitoring Police. (already here in UK, strictly speaking
> ' but not fully implemented yet, you can't legally listen to or watch
> ' any broadcast other by government licenced BROADCAST stations).
> ' Before you know it. No Scanners... Full Stop. and if they go really
> ' mad.. No HF Recievers either, that'll stop 'inaccurate' information
> ' reaching the ears of the masses. And we don't want Castro telling lies
> ' about us to our public.
> '
> ' Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
> ' with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
> ' being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
> ' need them.
> '
> ' Nuff Said.
>
> Nuff said is probably right. But at this point I feel like
> interjecting a comment.
>
> I followed the Tony Martin case:
>
> http://www.norfolk-now.co.uk/Content/News/htm/TonyMartin/htm/LinksFile.htm
>
> as soon as I learned of it in rec.guns where it was still off topic as
> rec.guns is a technical forum, not a political one, just as this is a
> technical forum. Still, politics always rears its ugly head.
>
> Mr. Martin may well have been in violation of the law and guilty of
> murder because he may have ambushed the intruders. Inspite of all
> that, my sympathy is still for Tony Martin and not the scum bags who
> tried to rob him.
>
> Certain points to consider. Great Britain operates on pure majority
> rule in Parliment. Effectivly, the rights of the minority are given
> away at the whim of the majority.

>
> The US Constitution made a great effort to set up a system where the
> majority could not trample over the rights of the minority.
> Unfortunately, the Constitution, along with the Bill of Rights and
> other amendments are really nothing more than a speed bump for the
> same things to happen in the States.
>
> Currently, to be convicted of a crime in the States, the jury must be
> unanimous. The burden of proof is fairly high, although I think the
> bar is getting lower. In Tony Martin's case, he was convicted by a
> 10-2 vote by the jury. In the States, this would be a hung jury and
> either a new trial would be held, or the matter would be dropped.
>
> The United States inherited Common Law and the Judicial process from
> Great Britain. Even though we violently seperated from Great Britain
> we retained the legal customs. Adding the Constitution was a good
> idea because without it, nothing would have changed.
>
> I hold the belief that all humans are born with the same rights by
> virtue of being human. This is simply my ethics system. I hold it as
> an axiom and not subject to proof. Unfortunately different nations
> have different ideas about what those human rights, if any, are. The
> only thing I can suggest is that the people of those nations look at
> one simple fact. Governments exist by the consent of the people.
> Even in Iraq where an evil tyrant is free to commit atrocities, his
> power still comes from the people he abuses.
>
> Why does this happen? Why do people allow themselves to be subjected
> to the whims of others? I don't really know. Clearly people are more
> complex than the computers and other machines that I work with. But I
> do have a theory. It is not very complimentary. In the gun owner's
> community we have the same problem. Petor Caroline at Dillon
> Precision expressed it quite eloquently:
>
> http://www.dillonprecision.com/download/vote.txt
>
> Believe it or not, the same sentiments apply to HAM, scanners, the
> Internet, etc. People are not voting. In the States, we will have
> what is most likely going to be the most important Presidential
> election in our history. The fact that it is the last election of the
> millennium is certainly just coincidental.
>
> We have a democratic candidate who is quite willing to ban all guns if
> he could in this country. I'm expecting he will win the election and
> at least be successful in licensing all handguns.
>
> What does this have to do with scanners? Think of the right to keep
> and bear arms as the right that insures all your other rights. That
> is what it is. Even the right to vote and freedom of speach are
> protected by the RKBA. One particularly famous incident is the battle

> of Athens:
>
> http://www.jpfo.org/athens.htm
>
> This is PROOF that it CAN happen here.
>
> The fact that scanners are no longer allowed to monitor all
> frequencies and that two states have banned radar detectors, as has
> the district of colombia, is a clear signal that freedom of assembly
> is being violated. I see my writing is getting a little incoherent.
> I've been up all night and it seems to be showing, but I feel I must
> continue anyway.
>
> I have no objections to people wanting to use the airwaves with
> privacy. That can be achieved by digital transmissions and strong
> encryption systems. I define strong as something the NSA can't
> crack. There is no need to prohibit the reception of the signal or
> even attempts to crack the cipher. A good cipher system will survive
> such direct attempts. Hell, I would like my landline calls to have
> that sort of protection. I like privacy.
>
> That is not really a contradiction to monitoring rf signals. Not at
> all. People use expensive equipment to listen to pulsars which really
> have nothing interesting to say. What we do is not any different. In
> fact, what scanner enthusiasts do is a valuable service. Think about
> it. If hobbyists can gather information based on monitoring rf
> signals, so can ``bad people''. The hobbyist will advertise the
> weakness in the system and that weakness can be fixed.
>
> Besides, the airwaves are a PUBLIC resource. They belong to the
> people. It is just plain silly to say you can't monitor the
> frequencies from x to z. It's worse than that. Such a law is a
> precedent for other arbitrary restrictions on harmless activities.
>
> I sense that I have rambled incoherently long enough now. I will just
> say clear and be off.
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look
+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

1324. Re: Mobile scanning prohibited in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harold Hickey <duhickey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8ld255$nh0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84381

In article <8krejg$9np$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
markbajek@my-deja.com wrote:
> who will
> > pursue this matter & make it worth your while.
> >
> >
>
> I am NOT a HAM, I do however, Now have a permit from MSP.
> (at the time of the stop Jan 2000. I was unaware of the law.And did
not
> know I needed a permit.)(Ignorance is NO excuse)
>
> I did not have the opportunity to present any proof of exemption.
> (since I did not have any). The officer did not ask for proof either.
>
> Thanks Mark Bajek

I was stopped last year with a AOR AR8000 and the officer did make me
lock it in the trunk.They did not confiscate it.I think it's a decision
up to the officer doing the stopping on what they do or don't do.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1325. Re: FS Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722171014.02398.00000904@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 84382

I have now decided to reduce my price to $235.00

Shipped from Houston

Thank you

David

1326. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snk51pq9jjt35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> >Maybe you won`t be able to listen to your scanner, or even own one, if
you
> >retain this kind of attitude..... I guess you just dont "get it".....
>
>
> Oh Pllleeeeeaaaasseee, GIVE ME A BREAK!
>
> Like someone else said...go over to the paranoid newsgroups. I get it
> very well.
>
> However, I don't need to see this same paranoia re-hashed over and
> 0ver again on a scanner newsgroup.
>
> They are NOT gonna take your scanners, and they are NOT gonna take
> your guns. Give it a rest. Life is too short.
>
You know, its people like you, that really dont give a rat`s ass about your freedoms, that are aiding and abetting the undermining of rights that is going on in this country. If you dont give a damn, then shut up about it. Nobody twisted your arm to read this thread. Apathetic ? Then BE apathetic, is what I am saying here. I am glad you have such great faith that the government isn`t going to take any of your freedoms away, while they spy on your phone calls , with Echelon, and spy on your e-mail , with Carnivore, all without ever getting a search warrant, run around murdering people like Randy Weaver and his family, and the poor folks that had their right to "religious freedom" demonstrated to them in Waco, etc. Not to mention the ridiculous practice of witholding technical infomation on the service and repair of scanners, which amounts to nothing less than government censorship, , and the entire ECPA itself, which will be the foundation on which further, more restrictive laws will be passed. You know who is "Paranoid" here ? THE GOVERNMENT, THATS WHO ! How bad does it have to get before you recognize something is going on ? When they come arrest you on the basis of a law that has not even been written or passed, like they did to Bill Cheek ? Some law they just decided to "make up as they went along" ? Do you ever think about any of this stuff ? I doubt it. I doubt you even read a newspaper, or even watch a documentary on television. You are self-lobotomized and self-anethesized. You are operating in a fog, and dont like anyone waking you from your self-induced torpor. Your view is no wider than "will i get my next raise ?". Typical.

1327. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <vAoe5.2943$c04.266884@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote in message
news:3979ee6b_2@corp.newsfeeds.com...
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:8Fle5.270$ck7.81035@nntp1.onemain.com...
> >
>
> > When the government has aimed guns at you and your family
> > then tell me how great this country is. Yes it happened to me.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
> officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.

Yeah right. You mean guilty until proven innocent.

Bill K9KZ

>
>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1328. Re: Just got am IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sandie <sandieg@eidosnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <NCoe5.15757$Sv3.52857@monolith.news.easynet.net>
Source record: 84385

Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl> wrote in message
news:udcqGRy8$GA.305@net003s...
> maybe the r2 info at www.butel.nl can help you. Or a piece of software..
>
> <v6800@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:39781b05.9307133@news.pacific.net.sg...
> > Just bought a IC-R2 and understand it is quite a complex machine.
> >
> > What is the best way to learn it fast? Through the manual or any
> > receommended website?
>
> Yeah I know the feeling of the R2 instructions, it is so hard to
understand, you suss one thing out, then you are up against a brick wall
again. The problem I am having now is! How do I get into the next memory
bank?

Sandie.

1329. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <dDoe5.336$ck7.95011@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
Bill,

Take a trip around the world and find out we're
the best place in the world, where people risk
their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.

If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
a police state is.

I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
like you.

monty

So because Stalin, Hitler, Idi Amin were worse than other dictators
the others were ok in your book.

Bill K9KZ

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

1330. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <106b9e68.7c0c6dae@usw-ex0105-038.remarq.com>
Source record: 84387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> wrote:
>Oh, Reno's appointee found his boss not guilty. Man, That's
great. What else
>did you expect him to find?
>

I don't think Danforth is a Clintonite!

The trial in court found the same thing. I guess it is a
consipiracy?

>Besides that, he didn't want to wind up DEAD like Ron Brown,
Vince Foster, most
>of Bill Klinton's personal body guards, and MANY, MANY more!
>

Yea, and I get you still wake up on Easter Sunday expecting the
Easter Bunny, too!

>You believe their spin doctors if you like. Some of us are
willing to wait and
>see what God thinks of them -- at the judgment. I think it
will be a
>different story from what "her appointee" found out.

Maybe so, but YOU will not be up there to see!

>
>Just my $0.02.
>
>Al
>

An accurate estimate of the value of your dribble.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1331. Re: Regency WHAMO Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <397A2EF8.C919B915@pacbell.net>
Source record: 84388

That was a good scanner it its day, around 1975. It came with a bunch of aluminum combs and you broke off various teeth according to a supplied freq chart and then plugged in up to about 8 combs. You could probably solder in some DIP switches to program it, assuming you had the programming chart.....
M.

1332. FS: Book "Radio Monitoring"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <fime5.3653$RG6.341919@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84389

Book by Skip Arey. Covers all aspects of MW, SW, VHF/UHF. Only a few weeks old and looks like new.

Price is $15 shipped in CONUS.

Frank

1333. Re: Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <zoqe5.146$ga2.7371@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84390

As for the Iowa State Patrol switching to digital...I don't know! I live in IA also, but I have not heard about that. As for the digital sounding voice on the NWS frequency, all that is, is a digital generated voice. There's no way they would go digital...there would be know way for the public to listen to their transmissions.

1334. Re: BC245XLT Follow-On Product - Any info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Andrew J. Leary" <ajleary@snet.net>
Message-ID: <2Cqe5.611$qy.516538@typhoon.snet.net>
Source record: 84391

Dalmatian Productions, Inc. wrote in message ...
>Look for the Uniden BC780XLT. It will be a 2nd generation turnk-tracker for
>mobile or base use, but it is not a hand-held.

Too bad that the FCC is dragging their feet on type-accepting the unit. The
last word I heard was that it has been pushed back until Nov. or so.

ARGH!

Andrew

1335. Need Frequencies For NE Ar.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: don cole <doncole@pcsii.com>
Message-ID: <397a3b34.0@216.60.254.8>
Source record: 84392

I need frequencies for Northeast Arkansas.Can Anybody help?
send to doncole@pcsii.com

1336. Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <1297540006F6EAC3.D671C0DE41AC939E.3C7BE1EA235E4CAC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84393

Can I use a discone to receive sat faxes or does it require a special antenna?

1337. Atlanta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Eckert <JimEckert@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8ldhfk$2n0o$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84394

Hey I just have a quick request I will be going down to Atlanta Georgia for the annual Police Explorers competition. Does anyone have frequencies for the area or a very detailed website. Please e-mail me directly @ jimeckert@prodigy.net

I appreciate all help.. Thanks again..

Listen to the action as it happens
CWRadioPhiladelphia 452.825Mhz

1338. No Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Kobylski <tkobylski@pcbank.net>
Message-ID: <397A4C13.DE048669@pcbank.net>
Source record: 84395
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: tkobylski.vcf

Hey Guys,

After a recent thunderstorm in which the house across the street was
struck by lightening I think I may have been in the near field enough to
take out my Pro2035. Reference the subject line the squelch does not
work any more. Anyone have any suggestions on something I can try
before taking it to a shop / graveyard?

Tony

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1339. Re: Atlanta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeak <srs@spacestar.net>
Message-ID: <8ldihv$g9f$1@news.spacestar.net>
Source record: 84396

Try www.scanatlanta.com.

"Jim Eckert" <JimEckert@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8ldhfk$2n0o$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Hey I just have a quick request I will be going down to Atlanta Georgia
for
> the annual Police Explorers competition. Does anyone have frequencies for
> the area or a very detailed website. Please e-mail me directly @
> jimeckert@prodigy.net
>
> I appreciate all help.. Thanks again..
>
>
> **Listen to the action as it happens**
> CWRadioPhiladelphia 452.825Mhz
>
>
>
>
>

1340. Re: Just got am IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20000721203714.05922.00000265@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 84460

The manual is a "bitch" to understand.
Read it, re-read it, and read it again.
Good Luck,

Greg

>Just bought a IC-R2 and understand it is quite a complex machine.
>
>What is the best way to learn it fast? Through the manual or any
>receommended website?
>

1341. FS Bearcat 2500 XLT Portable w/New NMH Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jm6e5.2205$RG6.225044@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bearcat 2500xlt portable scanner. 400 Channels, Brand New Nickel Metal Hydride Battery! Perfect Condition - no scratches, leather case, owner's manual. Continuous coverage from 25MHZ to 1.3 GHz. VFO tuning/channel control. 20 Banks of 20 Channels. Turbo Scan. Weather Button. Includes Owner's manual, wall cord, 12 V car cord, rubber ducky antenna. Shipping to be paid by buyer. I accept cashier's checks or money orders.

See my feedback on ebay under jroosh.Questions? rusciano@att.net
--

rusciano@att.net

1342. PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rusciano <rusciano@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Co6e5.2207$RG6.224427@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$185.00

"John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:jm6e5.2205$RG6.225044@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Bearcat 2500xlt portable scanner. 400 Channels, Brand New Nickel Metal
> Hydride Battery! Perfect Condition - no scratches, leather case, owner's
> manual. Continuous coverage from 25MHZ to 1.3 GHz. VFO tuning/channel
> control. 20 Banks of 20 Channels. Turbo Scan. Weather Button. Includes
> Owner's manual, wall cord, 12 V car cord, rubber ducky antenna. Shipping
to
> be paid by buyer. I accept cashier's checks or money orders.
>
> See my feedback on ebay under jroosh.Questions? rusciano@att.net
> --
>
> rusciano@att.net
>
>
>
>

1343. WTB: Cheap handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <A37e5.10415$o71.661846@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84463

Only have around $50 or $60 to spend. Doesn't have to have 800 Mhz coverage (but it would be nice!) Must work 100%. Let me know what you have to sell!

Frank

1344. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <kt7e5.46890$fR2.423889@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84464

Text Input, to label channels, or I.D.'s
Subaudible Tone Decode, and display of CTCSS
Digital Subaudible Tone Decode, and display of DCS
12-Character, 4-Line, Dot-Matrix Display
Weather SAME decode
Runs on standard "AA" batteries

I think that covers it.

Bill Crocker

"radd3" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:39790002.552682BF@kj.com...
> What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?
>

1345. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <397947B2.8F1A8AEE@INVALID.com>
Source record: 84465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> >news:gunfnscphrplasel14puevknv6qsbtct7g@4ax.com...
>
> >> Just got this from Bill's wife....
>
> >> He is not coherent most of the time
>
> So nothing has changed, then.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

So you're going to keep posting insults about Bill while he's laying on probably the last bed above ground he'll ever occupy? While he's going through unimaginable physical pain? Of course you *know* he's way beyond being affected by your insults. But his family will still bear the brunt of your comments while they go through this horrendous waiting game. You know that too.

But you feel justified because Bill talked mean to you?

Because he complained about you? Because he booted you off some two-bit fidonet forum over half a decade ago?

And that justifies insulting family members about their mortally ill loved one?!?!

I just don't understand people of your ilk.

Your actions would be questionable directed towards a condemned murderer.

Here,.....they're just plain reprehensible.

The Ham Radio hobby must be awfully proud of you.

1346. Re: why??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39791F4D.CFD94904@mich.com>
Source record: 84466

You don't hear them because they've gone digital, Michele.

Michele Roberts wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me why when I listen to my police
> scanner lately, they tell each other to "go to digital".
> Then I don't hear them.
>
> Michele

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1347. FS Ar 16U

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbay6969@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722001919.00560.00001152@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 84467

For sale AOR AR 16U.

Unblocked, comes with charger, batteries and manual......hardly used, mint condition.

$150 shipped Con USA.

1348. For Sale: AOR AR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcmodx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722002245.13552.00000104@nso-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 84468

For Sale:

AOR AR 8000, with Scout Mod (and cable) for react tuning, nicads, charger, cig. lighter adapter, and manual. Excellent condition.

Unblocked.

$350 + shipping.

1349. FS. ICOM R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbay6969@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722002622.27725.00000380@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 84469

For Sale: Icom R-2

Comes with batteries, charger, PC cable and software, box and manual.

Mint condition.

$175 shipped CON USA

1350. Re: why??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <llae5.931$_j3.3909@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84470

Michele,
To elaborate on Tim's response, they are probably using some form of digital signal encryption, that converts the analog audio to a digital signal, similar to what is done when they record a music CD. (Very simplistic comparison) Your scanner may be rejecting the signal, otherwise you'd be hearing a slight "hiss" noise similar to a carrier-only transmission. If your scanner has a "data-skip" feature, then it won't stop scanning on these signals.

Mark K5MAR

"Michele Roberts" <microbe@kymtnnet.org> wrote in message
news:8lb3dk$13d$1@nd.eastky.net...
> Can anyone tell me why when I listen to my police
> scanner lately, they tell each other to "go to digital".
> Then I don't hear them.
>
> Michele
>
>

1351. Re: RECEPTION HAS BEEN BAD LATELY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fuae5.940$_j3.2835@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84471

Yes, the Discovery Channel Online has some excellent pictures from the Solar Orbiting Observatory. This is an eleven year cycle that has been observed by astronomers since the 1500-1600's

Or try here for a good explanation of what's been going on:

http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/00/0721/

Alternately, you can run around like a fool screaming "Government cover-up!"

Mark K5MAR

"In Sight" <Insight25@webtv.net> wrote in message news:25395-397913D4-70@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> yeah it could be the solar flares.but can you see the flares yourself?
> government cover ups.
>

1352. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3wae5.942$_j3.3372@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Petty people do petty things, always have. Unfortunately, now they have a world-wide audience. And there is no way to suspend a ham license due to a lack of character on the part of the license holder.

Mark K5MAR

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote in message news:397947B2.8F1A8AEE@INVALID.com...
> So you're going to keep posting insults about Bill while he's laying on
> probably the last bed above ground he'll ever occupy? While he's going
> through unimaginable physical pain? Of course you *know* he's way
> beyond being affected by your insults. But his family will still bear
> the brunt of your comments while they go through this horrendous waiting
> game. You know that too.
>
> But you feel justified because Bill talked mean to you?
>
> Because he complained about you? Because he booted you off some two-bit
> fidonet forum over half a decade ago?
>
> And that justifies insulting family members about their mortally ill
> loved one?!?!
>
> I just don't understand people of your ilk.
>
> Your actions would be questionable directed towards a condemned
> murderer.
>
> Here,.....they're just plain reprehensible.
>
> The Ham Radio hobby must be awfully proud of you.
>
>
>

1353. Re: PRO-92 new firmware! Swap out???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <DB654287A3331332.F0731C0F3C0130D0.9935363A8AEFA79B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84473

Does anybody know if there will be a way to swap out the firmware chip
in the old style pro-92. Maybe even sending it back to RS repair dept
it would be worth it to scan mot systems properly.

Any ideas on this

On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:38:11 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated
>PRO-92, with new firmware!
>
>PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25
>
>The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only to
>be found on the back of the scanner itself.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>

1354. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <todak@axom.com>
Message-ID: <39793e31$0$62230$263ee65e@news.axom.com>
Source record: 84474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

All the more reason I don't need or even desire the miserable little buggers! I had one issued to me when I was a truck driver, but never turned the thing on. I told them it was unsafe to answer and talk on a phone while trying to concentrate on the road.
"Chip" <chipster66@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3978ae2c.14155093@news.usol.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jul 2000 03:04:47 -0400, "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Did you know that your cell phone is a tracking device?
> >http://www.webdeals.net/celltrack.htm
>
> One fellow found that out the hard way.
>
> I recall seeing a news documentary on that subject not long ago. IIRC
> It seems a woman was murdered but the prime suspect had called via his
> cell phone and left a message on her answering machine that he was
> miles away in a traffic jam and wouldn't be able to come over that
> particular night. The police got the phone records and Uh Oh, he was
> only a few blocks away from her house according to the cell records
> instead of miles away as he said. IIRC he's now serving a life
> sentence.

1355. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39794927.8DA12B4E@home.com>
Source record: 84475

LTR trunking, even though it's porrly implemented...

radd3 wrote:

> What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

1356. Re: PRO-92 new firmware! Swap out???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <397949D3.80B06F3B@home.com>
Source record: 84476

I called RS and they said they know nothing about this. However, if it's true, I plan on returning my 92 and swapping for the updated firmware. Only reason I bought the silly thing was to track LTR and it does a really bad job of it, unless I'm doing something completely wrong...

RS wrote:

> Does anybody know if there will be a way to swap out the firmware chip
> in the old style pro-92. Maybe even sending it back to RS repair dept
> it would be worth it to scan mot systems properly.
>
> Any ideas on this
>
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:38:11 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated
> >PRO-92, with new firmware!
> >
> >PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25
> >
> >The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only to
> >be found on the back of the scanner itself.
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >

1357. LTR Trunking and Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39794A90.3B0C703D@home.com>
Source record: 84477

The loacl system here is EF Johnson with 11 frequencies assigned. I've programmed all the frequencies listed in the guide into bank 000-010. Now, one of those frequencies is open ALL the time. i.e. it never gets squelched. Is this a data channel that needs to be locked out? Is this one frequency why my 92 is having so much trouble tracking LTR?

1358. Re: LTR Trunking and Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <t7ce5.7175$7a5.231018@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84478

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39794A90.3B0C703D@home.com...
> The loacl system here is EF Johnson with 11 frequencies assigned. I've
> programmed all the frequencies listed in the guide into bank 000-010.
> Now, one of those frequencies is open ALL the time. i.e. it never gets
> squelched. Is this a data channel that needs to be locked out? Is this
> one frequency why my 92 is having so much trouble tracking LTR?

Your local system is not LTR. The constant carrier (actually a subaudible
datastream) is the control channel for a MultiNet system. There are no
currently available scanners that can track MultiNet trunking.

-Dave, NF2G

1359. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <397952ae.3202763@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 84479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 22:58:56 -0400, "Timmins" <timmins@penn.com>
wrote:

>I don't generally forward things like this, but in this Politically Correct
>world we live in, someone has to say "Alright, Enough Already"
>
>For those who have any type of communications background- simply substitute
>the words "Scanner" and "ECPA" into the appropriate places.... scary, isn't
>it?
>
>de Bill, N3DDY
>
<Big Snip> to restrict Bandwidth wastage

The Story, as told is essentially accurate. In UK this sort of
legislation due to the Press and 'Public opinion'.
The Law Makers are effectivly Coerced into rapid and often ineffective
legislation simply on a whim of Government and knee-jerk reaction to
some, at the time calamitous, event that catches the 'Publics'
imagination.
What they appear to ignore is that the most vocal are the people from
the minority 'pressure groups'. The Press simply take the 'pressure
groups' litrature and use the information contained to verbally beat
and cajole an un-popular government. This sells newspapers, it also
denies the ordinary person a voice in the judicial review.
Time and again I have seen what appears to be a "little" law, intended
to be used 'only occasionally and with disgretion' be taken on by a
'pressure group' and all of a sudden we have a major piece of
legislation that limits the general publics rights.

Its started with 'scanners' in the US, No monitoring Cell Phones, soon
it'll be no monitoring Police. (already here in UK, strictly speaking
but not fully implemented yet, you can't legally listen to or watch
any broadcast other by government licenced BROADCAST stations).
Before you know it. No Scanners... Full Stop. and if they go really
mad.. No HF Recievers either, that'll stop 'inaccurate' information
reaching the ears of the masses. And we don't want Castro telling lies
about us to our public.

Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
need them.

Nuff Said.

1360. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Middleshot <bmiddletonNObmSPAM@sprynet.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0097785e.64816f0a@usw-ex0101-005.remarq.com>
Source record: 84480

Here's how it works....

A cellphone listens for an incoming "page" from the cellular system. All cell sites constantly monitor which mobiles (cellphones) are within their service area. The cellphone measures signal strengh of nearby cellsites and provides the results to the system to determine which cellsite is the best one to use.

Within ALL cellphone call records is the name and number of the cellsites the phone can "see", the name of the site it's actually using, the frequency and channel it's using.

Once you know which cellsite and channel a phone is using you have it's approximate location. All you have to do to narrow it down to a very precise location is compare the signal strengh readings the phone is taking of other nearby cellsites and calculate the distance.

By the way....I'm a Network Technician for a cellular company so I'm not just blowing smoke.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1361. BC 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: SCOA <scoa@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8lblfjrdv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84481

I am looking for mods and where can I get a copy of the users manual
for the BC 200XLT

Thanks
John

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1362. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39793F9B.79DC025D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 84482

If you click on his link you will see the price is $175.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -7 hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ

1363. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39797F12.DF3730CA@inet4u.com>
Source record: 84483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RanHefner wrote:

> ST. LOUIS (Reuters) - An outside investigator on Friday
> completely absolved Attorney General Janet Reno and the U.S.
> government of wrongdoing in the 1993 siege and fire at the
> Branch Davidian compound near Waco, Texas, saying the group's
> leaders set the blaze and shot at their own people.
>
> ``There is no evidence of any wrongdoing on the part of Attorney
> General Reno, the present and former director of the FBI, other
> high officials of the United States or members of the FBI
> hostage team who fired pyrotechnic tear gas on April 19, 1993,''
> former Sen. John Danforth, a Republican from Missouri, told a
> news conference.
>
> Reno appointed Danforth 10 months ago to make the investigation,
> under pressure from members of Congress who alleged there had
> been a cover-up of government wrongdoing.

Oh, Reno's appointee found his boss not guilty. Man, That's great. What else did you expect him to find?

Besides that, he didn't want to wind up DEAD like Ron Brown, Vince Foster, most of Bill Klinton's personal body guards, and MANY, MANY more!

You believe their spin doctors if you like. Some of us are willing to wait and see what God thinks of them -- at the judgment. I think it will be a different story from what "her appointee" found out.

Just my $0.02.

Al

1364. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3u2dih4cs.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84484

Middleshot <bmiddletonNObmSPAM@sprynet.com.invalid> writes:

' By the way....I'm a Network Technician for a cellular company so
' I'm not just blowing smoke.

I am not a network technician for a cellular company. What I posted was based on my point of view as a systems analyst. What you posted makes perfect sense. I didn't know about the extra details of course. All I knew was that for a cell phone system to work, the system must know which cell the phone is in and which frequency it is monitoring in order to rout a call to it. It would be horribly inefficient to search for the phone on demand when the phone can be periodically saying, ``here I am.''

Therefore I think? ;-)

Listening to cell phones could be anywhere from interesting to dull depending on the conversation. I'm not sure why Congress felt that they should make it illegal to monitor cell frequencies. It seems like a much simpler solution for privacy is GSM digital. Isn't that what the new PCS phones are using?

Obviously the phone can and must still be tracked for the service to operate efficiently. It's not like they don't know where your landline phone is!

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1365. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3r98mh24z.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk (Mike W) writes:

```
' Its started with 'scanners' in the US, No monitoring Cell Phones, soon
' it'll be no monitoring Police. ( already here in UK, strictly speaking
' but not fully implemented yet, you can't legally listen to or watch
' any broadcast other by government licenced BROADCAST stations ).
' Before you know it. No Scanners... Full Stop. and if they go really
' mad.. No HF Recievers either, that'll stop 'inaccurate' information
' reaching the ears of the masses. And we don't want Castro telling lies
' about us to our public.
'
' Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
' with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
' being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
' need them.
'
' Nuff Said.
```

Nuff said is probably right. But at this point I feel like interjecting a comment.

I followed the Tony Martin case:

http://www.norfolk-now.co.uk/Content/News/htm/TonyMartin/htm/LinksFile.htm

as soon as I learned of it in rec.guns where it was still off topic as rec.guns is a technical forum, not a political one, just as this is a technical forum. Still, politics always rears its ugly head.

Mr. Martin may well have been in violation of the law and guilty of murder because he may have ambushed the intruders. Inspite of all that, my sympathy is still for Tony Martin and not the scum bags who tried to rob him.

Certain points to consider. Great Britain operates on pure majority rule in Parliment. Effectivly, the rights of the minority are given away at the whim of the majority.

The US Constitution made a great effort to set up a system where the majority could not trample over the rights of the minority. Unfortunately, the Constitution, along with the Bill of Rights and other amendments are really nothing more than a speed bump for the same things to happen in the States.

Currently, to be convicted of a crime in the States, the jury must be unanimous. The burden of proof is fairly high, although I think the

bar is getting lower. In Tony Martin's case, he was convicted by a 10-2 vote by the jury. In the States, this would be a hung jury and either a new trial would be held, or the matter would be dropped.

The United States inherited Common Law and the Judicial process from Great Britain. Even though we violently seperated from Great Britain we retained the legal customs. Adding the Constitution was a good idea because without it, nothing would have changed.

I hold the belief that all humans are born with the same rights by virtue of being human. This is simply my ethics system. I hold it as an axiom and not subject to proof. Unfortunately different nations have different ideas about what those human rights, if any, are. The only thing I can suggest is that the people of those nations look at one simple fact. Governments exist by the consent of the people. Even in Iraq where an evil tyrant is free to commit atrocities, his power still comes from the people he abuses.

Why does this happen? Why do people allow themselves to be subjected to the whims of others? I don't really know. Clearly people are more complex than the computers and other machines that I work with. But I do have a theory. It is not very complimentary. In the gun owner's community we have the same problem. Petor Caroline at Dillon Precision expressed it quite eloquently:

http://www.dillonprecision.com/download/vote.txt

Believe it or not, the same sentiments apply to HAM, scanners, the Internet, etc. People are not voting. In the States, we will have what is most likely going to be the most important Presidential election in our history. The fact that it is the last election of the millennium is certainly just coincidental.

We have a democratic candidate who is quite willing to ban all guns if he could in this country. I'm expecting he will win the election and at least be successful in licensing all handguns.

What does this have to do with scanners? Think of the right to keep and bear arms as the right that insures all your other rights. That is what it is. Even the right to vote and freedom of speach are protected by the RKBA. One particularly famous incident is the battle of Athens:

http://www.jpfo.org/athens.htm

This is PROOF that it CAN happen here.

The fact that scanners are no longer allowed to monitor all frequencies and that two states have banned radar detectors, as has the district of colombia, is a clear signal that freedom of assembly is being violated. I see my writing is getting a little incoherent. I've been up all night and it seems to be showing, but I feel I must continue anyway.

I have no objections to people wanting to use the airwaves with
privacy. That can be achieved by digital transmissions and strong
encryption systems. I define strong as something the NSA can't
crack. There is no need to prohibit the reception of the signal or
even attempts to crack the cipher. A good cipher system will survive
such direct attempts. Hell, I would like my landline calls to have
that sort of protection. I like privacy.

That is not really a contradiction to monitoring rf signals. Not at
all. People use expensive equipment to listen to pulsars which really
have nothing interesting to say. What we do is not any different. In
fact, what scanner enthusiasts do is a valuable service. Think about
it. If hobbyists can gather information based on monitoring rf
signals, so can ``bad people''. The hobbyist will advertise the
weakness in the system and that weakness can be fixed.

Besides, the airwaves are a PUBLIC resource. They belong to the
people. It is just plain silly to say you can't monitor the
frequencies from x to z. It's worse than that. Such a law is a
precedent for other arbitrary restrictions on harmless activities.

I sense that I have rambled incoherently long enough now. I will just
say clear and be off.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1366. RE: saldo de tarjeta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.V." <ravera@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <odfe5.28969$aS.221965@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84486

DE AIRTEL MEJOR TE BORRAS SOLO VAS A CONSEGUIR QUE TE ROBEN

--
SALUDOS. R.VERA
"jmalvasa@taleline.es" <jmalvasa@teleline.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje
news:y4pc5.10048$aS.77543@telenews.teleline.es...
> &#65533;Como puedo saber el saldo de una tarjeta de airtel a traves de internet?
>
>

1367. Re: Eden Prairie, MN Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <397982dd$1_1@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 84487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

As I remember, Eden Prairie used to have an EF Johnson analog system, but this was way back in the early 90's. They've probably gone digital now but from what I've heard from you, they still use that analog stuff a little bit.

"John Rusciano" <rusciano@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:CXZc5.4766$iP.536883@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have an 895XLT and can't get the unit to sync up with Eden Prairie's
> trunked system. Sometimes (in non-trunking mode) I hear voice coms just fine
> but most of the time I hear an open channel, as if someone is talking but
> not audible. Is this system too advanced for my scanner (i.e. digital, etc.)
>
> Thank you in advance for any help.
>
>
> --
>
> rusciano@att.net
>
>
>
>

1368. Re: LTR Trunking and Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3og3qh1iz.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84488

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> writes:

```
' Your local system is not LTR. The constant carrier (actually a subaudible
' datastream) is the control channel for a MultiNet system. There are no
' currently available scanners that can track MultiNet trunking.
```

With compact computer power being available (ie the PalmV by 3COM), it seems to me that scanners could, and should, be made with programmable DSPs that can be linked in the same way as the PalmOS based hand held computing devices. These things have real computing power. With a general purpose CPU and a programable DSP in a scanner using the PalmOS or some other suitable RTOS, a handheld unit can be made that can keep up with technological advances and changes in protocols. My PalmV only has 2MB of SRAM. Other Palms can hold up to 16MB with add on memory chips.

Sure, such a unit would cost something like $500 or so with today's technology, but the prices could fall quickly if the production rate was high enough.

The number of accessories for the PalmOS based hand held computers is staggering. There are GPS attachments, maping databases, cell phone modems, you name it. Scanner technology and hand held computer technology can be combined into a flexible and compact package that can be programmed by anyone with the incentive and skill to keep up with the changes. You don't have to dump a scanner in two years because it can't do x. You just uplink a program to give it feature x.

I can't possibly be the first person to think of this.

Oh, and digital signals can be decoded as well if they aren't encrypted.

```
--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller
```

1369. Test Do Not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio Time <its_radio_time@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <397994D3.4F4D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 84489

Test 123

1370. FS Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722090930.04157.00000867@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 84490

Pro 26 with Euro Logic board. Full Coverage
Excellent condition.
Manual
Antenna
US logic board included

Unit works great, I will ship 2nd day air.
Located near Houston Tx.
$300.00

Email me with questions. Serious inq. only
Thank you

David

1371. Notification pagers vs scanners NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: boat649@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722093917.20790.00000166@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 84491

Hello
I go to nyc quite often and usually enjoy bringing my scanner with me Can someone tell me how reliable the notification pagers are I have been thinking about hooking up with that service If you use it are you satisfied and who do you go thru
Thanks

1372. Re: why??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8lc97l$rd3$0@63.162.247.216>
Source record: 84492

"M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> Michele,
> To elaborate on Tim's response, they are probably using some form of digital
> signal encryption, that converts the analog audio to a digital signal,
> similar to what is done when they record a music CD. (Very simplistic

Actually, although it is digital, there is nothing to believe that
it is encryption, rather than just plain digital.

Just because it is digital, does not mean that it is encrypted.

1373. Re: LTR Trunking and Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <3979A9AD.288F6CEF@home.com>
Source record: 84493

So I should pretty much just take the the thing back, bid on an ebay item and sav myself a couple hundred bucks.

Is the BC-780 supposed to be able to track Multinet? I know it's supposed to do LTR, but I don't remember seeing anything about Multi.

D Stark wrote:

> "Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
> news:39794A90.3B0C703D@home.com...
> > The loacl system here is EF Johnson with 11 frequencies assigned. I've
> > programmed all the frequencies listed in the guide into bank 000-010.
> > Now, one of those frequencies is open ALL the time. i.e. it never gets
> > squelched. Is this a data channel that needs to be locked out? Is this
> > one frequency why my 92 is having so much trouble tracking LTR?
>
> Your local system is not LTR. The constant carrier (actually a subaudible
> datastream) is the control channel for a MultiNet system. There are no
> currently available scanners that can track MultiNet trunking.
>
> -Dave, NF2G

1374. FS: Icom R2 & RS Pro 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <VLhe5.1048$qe5.236397@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 84494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

FS: Month old Icom R2 for $150 shipped CONUS.

Also RS PRO 2048 in very nice cond. manual and antenna, 200 ch,
and 800 MHz. $70 + shipping.

Bill K9KZ in Indiana

1375. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39799c23.413275@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
>Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
>with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
>being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
>need them.
>
>Nuff Said.
>
Certainly is....

What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?

Can't we leave this horseshit for another newsgroup?

Who give's a Fiddler's FART about this in a scanning group?

Dan

1376. ProScan64 Software for programming Pro 64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell <russell@rbrock.com>
Message-ID: <87ie5.11697$rA.68352@news2.atl>
Source record: 84496
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

New software for programming frequencies into a Radio Shack Pro 64 scanner.
This is free software for Windows O/S. To download GOTO:

www.rbrock.com

Follow the link to ProScan64 for free download.

1377. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <E9F4B333125E49D2.8C43BE97833267E5.5CED51B2C75D43FA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can't say I like Jmakee (whatever) either.
If Cheek was an asshole, that makes Makee the rectum.

1378. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lg5vu$pj2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Thats is what happens
It get scanned off the trailer by hand then goes into the automated funhouse
Then in a trailer buried under 1000 pound of cheese from Wisconsin in a huge
hydraulic sorting carousel.
The scanners miss the banged up barcode
Then you radio gets loaded into the wrong trailer going to the wrong part of
the country.
Found by a human and sent back via air to the destination hub with a new tag
or note.
No updates or info on the whereabouts of your package (its lost) UPS on the
phone says dont know *it could still show up*
we wont trace until 3 days past the due delivery date
You get a smashed radio then the inspector say improperly packed or non OEM
shipping material

CACH= Chicago Automated .....*something something* anyone know ?
I tried to get an answer but all calls are monitored for *quality control*
so customer service does not like answering that question

Michael Champion wrote in message ...
>Thats funny cause a package I sent last tues using Ground got to Cach, IL
in
>9 hours and the page said that until saturday and they change the date and
>time it arrived there - is that wierd??
>
><h@a.m> wrote in message news:39791F3C.B150DA23@a.m...
>I would assume that people know what a "cache" is????
>
>The dictionary says "A hiding place." It also says something "...hidden or
>stored in a cache."
>
>Thus UPS has appropriately used "Cache", IL as a place to hide and store
all
>our packages until THEY feel like doing something about finding out where
>they
>are.
>
>Ironic, isn't it?
>
>>
>> It went from CA to Cache, IL and right back to CA. I live in Indiana.
>>
>> Bill K9KZ
>>

>> >
>
>
>

1379. Ben Cartwright had a flat ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397B9EF7.C9012A17@kj.com>
Source record: 84199

.

1380. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <snn9o5k7jjt107@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84200

The PRO 2067 is IDENTICAL internally to the PRO 92 in the major circuit boards.

There are pictures and commentary on these on the web. I believe there is a pointer at 'Strong Signals site to the latest batch of photo's.
The point is that except for the relatively huge 2067 case the boards are all Pro 92 hand held scanner size. <wink>

GRE merely repackaged the PRO 92 into a larger case!

That is why there could be no question about a newer firmware version of the PRO 92, the PRO 92A, GRE had already been there and done that when they made the PRO 2067.

BUT there are numerous negatives about the newer PRO 2067 that have already been discovered. Many of those that populate the Multitrack Trunking System board that have bought this GRE radio intend to buy the much better Uniden BC 780 XLT this fall and to be done with GRE's failed attempts to bring a decent trunktracking radio to market.

"Jeff Goldman" <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
news:8lg0ttdge1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> I'd like to remind you that the Pro2067 is an all-new scanner like
> the Uniden-built Radio Shack trunktracking scanner was last Xmas. The
> Uniden had major sensitivity problems, unlike the Uniden-built RS handheld
> scanners.
>
> My guess -an owner of the Pro92A!!!- is that RS cut corners on their new
> base, but NOT the Pro92. Both radios should be just fine for
trunktracking,
> but I wouldn't be surprised if cost-cutting means junky front end
> selectivity in the 2067.
>
> Please don't trash-talk the Pro92A without trying it yourself.
>
> You may just find that it's the best thing out there now. Great
conventional
> scanner, great trunktracker (finally), great features, and -for the next
> week- great price!
>
> Jeff, CompuServe HamNet Scanning Sysop

1381. Auctioning off Pro-43 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <blah@blah.com>
Message-ID: <aa7nns0c5lscj2o6jkc1d48kaufd8rvcdi@4ax.com>
Source record: 84201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=390439280

1382. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <43EA59AB016096FF.DCD7B86784655481.7FD37BB34FBDA1F4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When people are rude to me in traffic, I feel that they deserve to die.
I hate cocky people. The first thing I hope will happen to them is that
they will run off the road in a fireball and smack up against a bridge support or
something.

1383. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <72277986CF7F13B8.8B5B8161BDD9D66D.4A44852770E69172@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The irony is, there are very little amount of people on the old 800mhz cell
frequencies anymore compared to the massive majority which have moved up
to much higher frequency which are not blocked from scanners but are digital
transmissions that are encrypted.
So, we spend our hard earned money on scanners that have been crippled in the cell bands,
just so those cheap bastards that still have outdated cell phones can talk while driving
and run
us off the road.

> With regard to cell phones, we deserve exactly what we got in the
> hobby. For years when cell phone capable scanners were legal, it
> seemd like the only focus of scanning was to listen into cell phone
> calls. We blabbed all over creation about how good it was. Boobs
> heard confidentail material and disclosed it to anyone who would
> listen. We brought attention to ourselves, and we got what we
> deserved.

1384. Selling my Pro-43 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <blah@blah.com>
Message-ID: <117nnscqe62bj606ij3866v63stthuop12@4ax.com>
Source record: 84204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=372250033

Thanks for looking.

1385. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <397BB85E.2C2C501C@postnet.com>
Source record: 84205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"J. MacKenzie" wrote:

> The truth is, Cheek was incoherent with rage most of the time. Now
> we are justified in not being sympathetic. Especially about the appeals
> for money now, since it was his decision not to provide for his family
> in circumstances like these.

True to form! John, you can't quit lying long enough to use your own real name! You're same the petty minded, venomous little cretin who used to threaten myself and others and create malicious interference over the Lake Tapps Repeater when you drove up to the Seattle-Tacoma area. Just like now, you did so from a conveniently "safe" distance. You're a pathetically sad case of humanity and one can only guess as to what kind of demented abuse you were subjected to in order to create the totally worthless bit of human detritus you have become. They tell me you are now in trouble with local law enforcement agencies (whether for the first or umpteenth time isn't clear) and from administrative agencies over your ham license. To top it off you seek to abuse and harass the family of a dying man with whom you had a juvenile squabble many, many, many years ago. In one sense it is sad. Sad that others have to endure your filthy gloating over their loss of a loved one. Sad too, that users of this news group have to endure your continued presence. If the stories are true, perhaps some legal action will result in your removal from this and all the other news groups that where you've practiced your sick, twisted and tormented harassment over the years. You are detestable excuse for humanity and one can only hope there is some kind of cosmic justice that will visit upon you as cruel and heinous treatment as that to which you so gleefully subject others.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'32"N, 90ï¿½25'38"W, 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone

1386. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lghb0$9o6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84206
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:397b97ff.29129688@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> >until 15 years ago the state I live in had an open-holster law. As long
as
> >your handgun was in plain sight and not concealed, you didn't need any
> >permit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.
>
> Well, this is a good thing. We have lunatics on the road who will
> pull over and bash each other to death with bats and machetes over who
> cut who off....at the drop of a hat. Imagine if these lunatics were
> all carrying guns?

Actually, in this state, most of them DO have guns. Maybe that's why
this state has one of the lowest incidences of "road rage", eh?

> Anyway, DUH...what I meant was......with all the ranting and raving
> about the government imposed changes you refer to, over these past
> years, nothing has been done by YOU, (US) the whiners to change this
> course of events. WE KEEP VOTING THE BUMS INTO OFFICE FOR DECADES AND
> DECADES....SAME POLITICIANS!!!!

I did NOT vote for Clinton.......besides the simple fact that the
citizen vote does NOT elect the president.

> We cannot and have not effected any change for the positive whining on
> newsgroups which are supposed to be for technical discussion of a
> particular hobby.

I was under the impression that it was for the discussion, PERIOD, not
just technical, and I see the discussion, barring attacking one another, to
pertain to changes in laws governing our rights to listen to what's being
broadcast through and into our homes, in addition to pointing out the trends
of removing other rights to do things we used to be able to do,
firearm-wise.

1387. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <eOPe5.517$UL.66885@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Sylvan Butler <xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net> in a North Idaho drawl spewed......

>
> If you knew your local law enforcement had investigated and cleared you
> of any wrong doing on the alleged charges (they had), but still this
> force gathered outside, would you really have walked out and given up
> your children to them for brainwashing? Not if you loved your children.

The children were already brainwashed by their mad parents and insane leader.

> Many members of the government forces tried to prevent the final tank
> assault, and were making progress "talking out" Koresh, but the
> powers-that-be decided to make an example out of those who dared resist
> the government.

Are you just making this up as you go or are you really that brainwashed yourself?

Glenn

1388. Re: Any one know some web site about antennna theory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IRPe5.520$UL.68089@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84208

One ot these might help.

http://www.area-ham.org/library/libindex.html
http://www.vicon.net/~aketner/antlinks.htm
http://www.ac6v.com/pageant.html

You ever heard of a search engine? Try www.dogpile.com

Glenn

1389. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sno3okj0jjt117@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you cannot see the "relevance" of the ECPA to scanners, you dont belong here.....

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:397b2909.719577@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> > If we just hide our head in the sand, and say that publicizing this - in
> >a group of Scanner users- is not the PC thing to do, aren't we just killing
> >ourselves??
> >
> >Bill, N3DDY
> >--
>
> Some of us go to a scanner newsgroup to read about and discuss
> technical issues relative to the scanning hobby, Yet, at keast 75% of
> the drivel posted has absolutely nothing to do with scanning,
> Including the horseshit which started this thread.
>
> It's got nothing to do with being politically correct.
>
> No one is hiding his /her head in the sand, as we've all been subject
> to this anit-government paranoia about scanners and guns for years now
> as nauseum...and nothing has changed.
>
> Lets get back to radio talk...
>
> Dan
>

1390. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3ittxhdvq.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> writes:

' I was with you until you mentioned the "right of assembly" in connection
' with monitoring. I believe that we have a First Amendment right to monitor
' and divulge government radio communications, but not from the Free
' Association clause.

The right of assembly would apply to HAMs rather than scanners. Call
it a slip of logic induced by lack of sleep and too much coffee.

I could also have been far more brief if I just said, ``we are doing
this to ourselves.'' As Walt Kelly said in a Pogo cartoon, ``We have
met the enemy, and he is us.''

All rights, whether you like them or not, are connected. Once you
give your government permission to rob you of any right, you have
given it permission to take the whole lot.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1391. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3g0p1hdrj.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Feagin" <feagin@home.com> writes:

' I hate to break the news.....but there is absolutely NOTHING that the NSA
' can't crack. I should know - I used to work "in the belly of the beast."

That's not entirely true. Although managing the logistics of one time
pads would never be feasible.

I am aware that the NSA has the most computing power out of any
organization on Earth and also hires the most mathematicians.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1392. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3d7k5hdod.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

' What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?

It basically means that scanning may become illegal in the near future
and it will be your fault for not making the connections.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1393. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3aef9hdm3.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

```
' Anyway, the boobs in this country keep Senators and Congresspeople in
' office for decades. THEY are to blame, no one else.
```

Are you saying you don't vote? If so, you have lost your right to
complain.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1394. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m37ladhd8v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:

' What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
' officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.

Sometimes that reason is, ``I got a gun.''

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1395. Books on building your own antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m34s5hhckd.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84259

Are there any good books for building your own antenna?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1396. PRO-38 realistic Leon in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: leon looney <FLLooney@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4ose5.11746$o71.752199@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84260

I'm asking for some help for instructions to program a Radio Shack PRO-38 . Can some one help?

1397. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000722221737.09236.00001991@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 84261

pro26 receive the cb band coverage
25.0000to13000 base= pro2004,2005,2006
also covers it and i beleive the pro2066
pre program cb freqs enjoy jose

1398. ICOM IC-T81A - Quad Band Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rMse5.532$FJ4.23316@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84262

Any comments on this radio? I'm about to get one.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

1399. Re: Just got am IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20000722234046.28666.00000190@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 84263

PRESS and HOLD the FUNC button while turning the TUNING knob.

Greg

>The problem I am having now is! How do I get into the next memory
>bank?

1400. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ldrae$7k1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84264

In article <O5LDYVA9$GA.288@cpmsnbbsa09>,
Why spent a lot of $ on another scanner for this? You can buy a PRO-510XL for appx $40 to do this and get all 40 AM channels. It works fine.

"PyratePete" <PyratePete@email.msn.com> wrote:
> I own 4 scanners, (4 portables and 1 desktop). The desktop is 200 channels,
> the portables are 10 channels-old, which my parents use(retired) and I
> listen to Pro-34, Pro-91 and Pro-94 (1000 channels). None which are capable
> of picking up CB frequenies. The main reason I use them is when you travel
> you can hear the truckers report police running radar and traffic accidents
> so you are able to avoid certain areas by bypassing the area. I've seen 1
> desktop model that broadcasts the frequencies, why not the portables?
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1401. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397a60ba.3761550@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>You know, its people like you, that really dont give a rat`s ass about your
>freedoms, that are aiding and abetting the undermining of rights that is
>going on in this country. If you dont give a damn, then shut up about it.
>Nobody twisted your arm to read this thread. Apathetic ? Then BE apathetic,
>is what I am saying here. I am glad you have such great faith that the
>government isn`t going to take any of your freedoms away, while they spy on
>your phone calls , with Echelon, and spy on your e-mail , with Carnivore,
>all without ever getting a search warrant, run around murdering people like
>Randy Weaver and his family, and the poor folks that had their right to
>"religious freedom" demonstrated to them in Waco, etc. Not to mention the
>ridiculous practice of witholding technical infomation on the service and
>repair of scanners, which amounts to nothing less than government
>censorship, , and the entire ECPA itself, which will be the foundation on
>which further, more restrictive laws will be passed. You know who is
>"Paranoid" here ? THE GOVERNMENT, THATS WHO ! How bad does it have to get
>before you recognize something is going on ? When they come arrest you on
>the basis of a law that has not even been written or passed, like they did
>to Bill Cheek ? Some law they just decided to "make up as they went along" ?
>Do you ever think about any of this stuff ? I doubt it. I doubt you even
>read a newspaper, or even watch a documentary on television. You are
>self-lobotomized and self-anethesized. You are operating in a fog, and dont
>like anyone waking you from your self-induced torpor. Your view is no wider
>than "will i get my next raise ?". Typical.
>
>
PHHHHhheeeww, that certainly was a large heap of anger and paranoia
all lumped into one paragraph!

I think it's time you left the good Ole USA and went down to Cuba.

We're not perfect, but still the best damn country in the world, where
you have the MOST freedom to do what you want, when you want.
Instead of ranting in a SCANNER technical group, do something to make
change. Vote the bums out that enact the silly legislation you are so
paranoid about.

To sit and berate me for my opinion, is also "typical" of someone
desperately losing a most silly debate. However, I will defend your
right to say it freely with my life.

Dan

1402. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397a62cf.4295024@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:00:02 GMT, David Steuber
<nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:

>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:
>
>' What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?
>
>It basically means that scanning may become illegal in the near future
>and it will be your fault for not making the connections.
>
OH FOR HEAVEN'S SAKE!!!! LMFAO!!!

Ok, OK..I give in.....I take full responsibility for the future loss
of all scanners and the scanning hobby.

I can just see it now....the scanner police knocking down doors to
confiscate all those scanners at gunpoint!!!

Oh WEEEE, HAHAHAHAHAHA this is the best stupidity I've seen yet in 10
years on these groups!!!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHHA LMFAO!!!!!

I can't stop laughing...I gotta go PEEE!!!!

BTW...Is it ONE connection I should be making, or are there NUMEROUS
connections I seem to have missed?

Dan

1403. What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.lanstar.net>
Message-ID: <dave-D67326.00373923072000@news-server>
Source record: 84267

I could not believe this happened to me today. I have been scanning for a number of years. I have a friend who enjoys going to a smaller airfield with me every couple of weeks and parking not far from the runways and listening to the air traffic. He does not live far from the airport & thought it would be cool to have a scanner to listen to the traffic any time he wants.

We went to a local Radio Shack in a mall here in Cincinnati today to buy an inexpensive scanner for him. We saw one for $89.00 that had all the features he would need and give him a taste of scanning. The idea being, enjoy it and see what features he may want later on then upgrade down the road. He clearly does not need or want a 245XLT like I have at this time as he is completely new to this.

The salesman kept talking about trunking and how he must have it. Funny, since Cincinnati city & county PD & Fire are not trunked right now. There are a very few but for his needs trunking is something he would never care about for a long while. The salesman went on to explain how he could program in 4 freqs and be able to rob any bank right now and get away. Funny, my friend is not planning that either. He just wants to get air traffic and some public service. I played along at this point & told him to make a deal on the PRO-92 then. The salesman asked me what that was. Yes, he asked me what the PRO-92 was. After making an ass out of himself talking about how to scan and how important trunking is with a wery poor explination of trunking, he did not know what scanners he sold or what their features were, even though they were not 2 feet from him. He even asked me if I was testing him. NO, I want to buy this scanner with these features for $89.00. Needless to say, he told me to have a good day & walked away.

I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted & talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.

I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.

Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come here in Cincinnati.

Best regards,

Dave

--
David Lewis

dlewis@lanstar.net
LANStar Communications
Wilreless solutions & accessories
http://www.lanstar.net

1404. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <e0ve5.1481$_j3.4447@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Political Correctness should be stamped out wherever it appears.
This attitude of - hide the truth - it doesn't belong here - is what's gonna submit this country to the totalitarian rule of the Dollar. Look at the ECPA - Bought and paid for by the Cellfone people, who wouldn't even admit in a Congressional investigation that Cellfones ARE Radios - but since they got the big bucks- they can trample on our freedoms. They are being Politically Correct. I see nothing wrong with the Communications Act of 1934, as it was intended. If you hear it, don't tell it. Was OK till the big bucks people came along....and their Lawyers .
Scanner people are a small minority in this country, and the press can beat us up as much as they want. It's the PC thing to do. The politicians in Washington can do the same thing - it's the PC thing to do, and it keeps the big Cellfone $$$$ coming. The media can make big Headlines at our expense, it's the PC thing to do,and it sells more papers/magazines/TV commercials.. and the big $$$ keep coming.
If we just hide our head in the sand, and say that publicizing this - in a group of Scanner users- is not the PC thing to do, aren't we just killing ourselves??

Bill, N3DDY
--
Political Correctness is nothing but Tyranny with Manners....
The PC individual should be reminded of this from time to time -
lest he learn the EXACT value of his opinions.......
"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:397a62cf.4295024@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:00:02 GMT, David Steuber
> <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:
>
> >dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:
> >
> >' What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?
> >
> >It basically means that scanning may become illegal in the near future
> >and it will be your fault for not making the connections.
> >
> OH FOR HEAVEN'S SAKE!!!! LMFAO!!!
>
> Ok, OK..I give in.....I take full responsibility for the future loss
> of all scanners and the scanning hobby.
>
> I can just see it now....the scanner police knocking down doors to
> confiscate all those scanners at gunpoint!!!

>
> Oh WEEEE, HAHAHAHAHAHA this is the best stupidity I've seen yet in 10
> years on these groups!!!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHHA LMFAO!!!!!
>
> I can't stop laughing...I gotta go PEEE!!!!
>
> BTW...Is it ONE connection I should be making, or are there NUMEROUS
> connections I seem to have missed?
>
> Dan
>

1405. FA: Pro-2026 mobile scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <phazer@lni.net>
Message-ID: <eave5.551$aa.20601@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 84269

A little over a day left for this auction. Not one bid yet. $100 is really all i'm asking and i'll pay shipping. Either bid on there or we can do this off ebay. Post your offer on this scanner if you want it. I reallllllllly need money. This is a good mobile scanner or use it via a base w/ a ac/dc adapter.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=385040437

Thanks,
Scott

1406. Re: why??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <%9ve5.1490$_j3.5487@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84270

Blake,
I made the assumption that they are not running two systems in parallel, one analog and one digital. Therefore, I decided they were probably using some form of DES, such as Motorola's Digital Voice Privacy (DVP) or Ericsson"s Digital Voice Guard (DVG). I was trying to keep the answer as short and simple as possible, so I left out several key assumptions that I used in formulating my answer. I figured Michele wasn't interested in all the engineering details of the various possibilities.

Mark K5MAR

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8lc97l$rd3$0@63.162.247.216...
>
>
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
> >
> > Michele,
> > To elaborate on Tim's response, they are probably using some form of
digital
> > signal encryption, that converts the analog audio to a digital signal,
> > similar to what is done when they record a music CD. (Very simplistic
>
> Actually, although it is digital, there is nothing to believe that
> it is encryption, rather than just plain digital.
>
> Just because it is digital, does not mean that it is encrypted.

1407. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <2Gve5.1336$qe5.299848@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 84271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:kvte5.2499$2t3.436884@news.uswest.net...
>
> Bill <k9kz@indy.net> spewed for all to hear.....
> >
> > Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
> > news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
> > Bill,
> >
> > Take a trip around the world and find out we're
> > the best place in the world, where people risk
> > their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.
> >
> > If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
> > then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
> > a police state is.
> >
> > I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
> > like you.
> >
> > monty
> >
> > So because Stalin, Hitler, Idi Amin were worse than other dictators
> > the others were ok in your book.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
>
> Hey Bill. Neither Hitler, Stalin or Idi Amin DaDa ever ruled Serbia. Are
you
> really that dim or just unable to follow along???
>
> Glenn
>
>

Question for you Glenn. If you think Hitler and Stalin were worse than
Castro
does that mean you consider Cuba a good place to live?

Bill K9KZ

1408. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stinky <mrspuss@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8le17s$o4q$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 84272

Sorry to bust your bubble, but Radio Shit has been like this for year in NY...

> I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I
> wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted &
> talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.
>
> I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson
> with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you
> through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.
>
> Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come
> here in Cincinnati.
>

1409. Re: 900 MHz Cordless Telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3vgxxfl35.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84273

My phone seems to emit rf on:

902.150
902.4875
903.250
906.4125
906.5875
908.755
912.6625
912.825
914.250
915.2125
916.175
917.125
917.1375
918.0875
919.050
920.0125
920.975
921.6875
922.8875

Not so sure about the last one. When they say spread spectrum technology, they mean it! My phone is also digital, so you get nothing but noise.

Testing was done by turning the handset on and off with the talk button. This was with my GE 900 Mhz Digital Spread Spectrum Technology phone.

It seems to jump around a bit too.

The frequency band seems to go into channels allocated for use by HAM. I guess if you really want to avoid these freqencies, you should program them into a bank and avoid scanning them. For digital phones you will get nothing but noise anyway. Whether you will pick up on phone conversations with analog phones is another question.

Strongsignals.net lists old fashioned cordless phones that come in nice and clear if the base unit is nearby. So far, I've heard nothing worth listening too on those bands.

My best entertainment so far has been on the 144-148 band.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.

http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1410. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3snt1fl08.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

```
' BTW...Is it ONE connection I should be making, or are there NUMEROUS
' connections I seem to have missed?
```

My best guess is that it is the latter.

Thanks for taking responsibility for the demise of all civil liberties
though. I sure do appreciate it.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1411. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jroulier@[nospam]
Message-ID: <397a8bca.47319473@news-server>
Source record: 84275

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 12:39:49 -0700, bzpilot
<gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid> wrote:

>For those of you lucky enough to have a local Radio Shack Dealer
>with the PRO-92A (firmware version 3.25) in stock I'd like to
>start a list?
>
>Those of us looking to pick one up have a week (until July 29th,
>2000)to find one and get it for a great price $249.99 then its

Ecuse my ignorance, but what's the big deal about this new Pro-92A?

1412. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397a90d7_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Chuck" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:397a051c.13725062@news.indian-creek.net...

> You must be living in a dreamstate then!!

No, it's just that I work in law enforcement and understand the constraints placed upon law enforcement officers. Nobody just breaks down a door and points guns around for no reason, whether or not they be the local police, county sheriff, or FBI. If they did, they would quickly find themselves out of a job. Simple as that.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1413. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397a9515_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m37ladhd8v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:
>
> ' What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law
enforcement
> ' officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
>
> Sometimes that reason is, ``I got a gun.''
>

Sounds like a good reason to me. Unfortunately, law enforcement officers rarely know what the intended purpose of that gun is. Until they know, they have to protect themselves from any possible harm.

Here's a scenario: Officer Smith & Jones respond to a report of a prowler at such-and-such address. On arriving at the address, they see a man on foot around the residence. The man also has a pistol in his hand. The officers order the man to the ground at gunpoint. Once on the ground, the pistol is secured and the man placed in handcuffs. Once the situation is secure, only then can they ask questions. And only then do they understand that the man they have in custody is the R/P (reporting party) who decided to look for the prowler on his own (armed) after calling 911, unbeknownst to the officers.

Are the officers jack-booted thugs of a police state? No, they just want to make sure they go home at the end of thier shift. Are they out to intimidate the homeowner just because he owns a gun? Of course not. They simply didn't know who this armed person outside the residence was.

Happens all the time.

But I'd hardly call the US a "police state."

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1414. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <397a95c1.31285315@news.penn.com>
Source record: 84278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:50:25 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote:

>
>Here's a scenario: Officer Smith & Jones respond to a report of a prowler
>at such-and-such address. On arriving at the address, they see a man on
>foot around the residence. The man also has a pistol in his hand. The
>officers order the man to the ground at gunpoint. Once on the ground, the
>pistol is secured and the man placed in handcuffs. Once the situation is
>secure, only then can they ask questions. And only then do they understand
>that the man they have in custody is the R/P (reporting party) who decided
>to look for the prowler on his own (armed) after calling 911, unbeknownst to
>the officers.
>

That should never ever happen. The man should have told 911 that he
was entering the theatre and gave a description of himself so the
police would know who he was.

1415. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <397a9673.31463185@news.penn.com>
Source record: 84279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:50:25 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote:

>
>But I'd hardly call the US a "police state."
>

I guess it depends on where you live. Don't ever suggest that we are
not in a "police state" because you don't see it or you happen to live
in a better place than some others. A "police state" is easily seen in
some places, if the people don't have their head up their asses. Some
people don't see it because they approve of it.

1416. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397a9b2a_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:397a95c1.31285315@news.penn.com...
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:50:25 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
> <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote:

> That should never ever happen. The man should have told 911 that he
> was entering the theatre and gave a description of himself so the
> police would know who he was.
>

Shouldn't, but does. People don't always tell 911 operators the whole
story.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1417. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397a9b62_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:397a9673.31463185@news.penn.com...
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:50:25 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
> <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > >But I'd hardly call the US a "police state."
> > >
>
> I guess it depends on where you live. Don't ever suggest that we are
> not in a "police state" because you don't see it or you happen to live

But of course, the phrase "police state" is open to interpretation.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1418. PalmOS scanner programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sycho_Graphics <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uClwegH9$GA.358@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 84282
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know of any Palm OS based programs for use with a scanner? Frequency lists?

Thanks

1419. CELLPHONE CONVERSION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: manny <k3ncb@nospam.qsl.net>
Message-ID: <397AA437.16ED33E9@nospam.qsl.net>
Source record: 84283

I have a old "bagphone". Can these be converted to ham freqs.?
Has anybody done anything in the way of utilizing these old devices
to something useful? Any websites addressing this?

Comments?

manny, K3 Nice Cold Beer

==========
If the only tool you have is a hammer,
then everything looks like a nail
==========

1420. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <dJxe5.1045$z27.392855@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:2Gve5.1336$qe5.299848@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:kvte5.2499$2t3.436884@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Bill <k9kz@indy.net> spewed for all to hear.....................
> > >
> > > Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
> > > news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
> > > Bill,
> > >
> > > Take a trip around the world and find out we're
> > > the best place in the world, where people risk
> > > their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.
> > >
> > > If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
> > > then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
> > > a police state is.
> > >
> > > I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
> > > like you.
> > >
> > > monty
> > >
> > > So because Stalin, Hitler, Idi Amin were worse than other dictators
> > > the others were ok in your book.
> > >
> > > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >
> > Hey Bill. Neither Hitler, Stalin or Idi Amin DaDa ever ruled Serbia. Are
> you
> > really that dim or just unable to follow along???
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> >
>
> Question for you Glenn. If you think Hitler and Stalin were worse than
> Castro
> does that mean you consider Cuba a good place to live?
```

A good place compared to a Hitler ruled Germany or a Stalin ruled USSR. Yes given those three choices. What's your point?

Now, back to your ignorance about Idi Amin, Stalin and Hitler ruling Serbia. You don't get it do you?

Glenn

1421. Newbie needs help! Start scanning now? or wait for possibility of digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cas-cade@usa.net
Message-ID: <397ac715.643218919@news>
Source record: 84285

Hello all.

I have been reading posts in this group for about a week now & have been researching all the different scanner models and decied to get myself a good scanner, as I am new to this hobby. I live in the north of detroit, Michigan area & am wondering if I would be wasting my money getting a scanner. I have read that the state police have gone digital, & that this will filter to all local police, fire, ems & the like. The scanner I was thinking about purchasing was cell capable, but even there, how much will there be to listen to in the near future? My mobile Phone is digital, & as far as I know, I don't even think you can buy a new plain old "cell" phone. I guess my question is should I save my $600 & wait for a digital capable scanner? or will there still be plenty of enjoyment for me with the Icom R-3 that I was planning to purchase that has a range of .5 to 2450 MHz. I don't know much about this hobby, but I don't want to spend money on a scanner & there airways go dead in a year. I'm sure all who read this can understand that Any input would be appreciated - especially from anyone that scans in my area!!

1422. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hank <hlane@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <asV6Od=zHbtmD11WYhkvScwsZ6M1@4ax.com>
Source record: 84286

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 19:47:54 -0500, "Me" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>Can I use a discone to receive sat faxes or does it require a special antenna?

You can use longwire, dipole, sloper, etc. and other shortwave
antennas to receive HF weather (WEFAX) and other HF fax signals with
the right hardware/software demodulators/decoders. The M-8000, for
example, will do HF WEFAX just fine with a 66 ft. long dipole. There's
lots of info on SW antennas on the web if you search around. Good
luck. That discone won't get you much with HF fax.
hank

1423. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397AE186.30AB69EC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Chuck" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:397a051c.13725062@news.indian-creek.net...
>
> > You must be living in a dreamstate then!!
>
> No, it's just that I work in law enforcement and understand the constraints
> placed upon law enforcement officers. Nobody just breaks down a door and
> points guns around for no reason, whether or not they be the local police,
> county sheriff, or FBI. If they did, they would quickly find themselves out
> of a job. Simple as that.
>

With all due respect, it's not as simple as that. Case of George Dartois comes to mind. Shreveport, Louisiana. Broad Daylight, his own back yard, shot and killed his daughter's boyfriend in the family swimming pool. It took 20 years and Senator J. Bennett Johnston to finally charge, and try him.

See, the Chief of Police had said, and this IS a direct quote, "As long as I'm chief of Police, no officer will be charged with harassment in Shreveport, Louisiana."

A policy which remains in force today, several Chiefs of Police later.

> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1424. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397AE249.394D5E65@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:
>
> Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:2Gve5.1336$qe5.299848@nntp3.onemain.com...
> >
> > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:kvte5.2499$2t3.436884@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Bill <k9kz@indy.net> spewed for all to hear.....
> > > >
> > > > Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:v3fjnsor77trb8ktqe6m6s72eu2q7pfjrp@4ax.com...
> > > > Bill,
> > > >
> > > > Take a trip around the world and find out we're
> > > > the best place in the world, where people risk
> > > > their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.
> > > >
> > > > If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
> > > > then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
> > > > a police state is.
> > > >
> > > > I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
> > > > like you.
> > > >
> > > > monty
> > > >
> > > > So because Stalin, Hitler, Idi Amin were worse than other dictators
> > > > the others were ok in your book.
> > > >
> > > > Bill K9KZ
> > >
> > >
> > > Hey Bill. Neither Hitler, Stalin or Idi Amin DaDa ever ruled Serbia. Are
> > you
> > > really that dim or just unable to follow along???
> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Question for you Glenn. If you think Hitler and Stalin were worse than
> > Castro

> > does that mean you consider Cuba a good place to live?
>
> A good place compared to a Hitler ruled Germany or a Stalin ruled USSR. Yes
> given those three choices. What's your point?
>
> Now, back to your ignorance about Idi Amin, Stalin and Hitler ruling Serbia.
> You don't get it do you?
>
> Glenn

Godwin's Law. Game over.

1425. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.13e4890af7df4a3c989908@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 84289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3979ee6b_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>, tmsevart@fament.comnospam
says...
>
> "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:8Fle5.270$ck7.81035@nntp1.onemain.com...
> >
>
> > When the government has aimed guns at you and your family
> > then tell me how great this country is. Yes it happened to me.
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
>
> What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
> officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
>
>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
Maybe he was black, or latino, or anything other than white. That's all
it takes in the good ol' US of A.
--
friend,
I am:
Howard Lute
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

1426. Re: Regency WHAMO Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eACJEdK9$GA.281@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 84290

"Mike Frye" <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:397A2EF8.C919B915@pacbell.net...
> That was a good scanner it its day, around 1975. It came with a bunch of
> aluminum combs and you broke off various teeth according to a supplied
> freq chart and then plugged in up to about 8 combs. You could probably
> solder in some DIP switches to program it, assuming you had the
> programming chart.....
> M.
>
right on. my first scanner. They came
with a bag of combs...about 50 i think. What a blast then going through the
instructions as to doing it right. But the scanner did work. It
never missed. I sold it. too bad, it's probably
an antique now worth 100 bucks.

bill

1427. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.13e48bd533012614989909@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 84291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <397a9515_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>, tmsevart@fament.comnospam says...
>
> "David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
> news:m37ladhd8v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:
> >
> > ' What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law
> enforcement
> > ' officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
> >
> > Sometimes that reason is, ``I got a gun.''
> >
>
> Sounds like a good reason to me. Unfortunately, law enforcement officers
> rarely know what the intended purpose of that gun is. Until they know, they
> have to protect themselves from any possible harm.
>
> Here's a scenario: Officer Smith & Jones respond to a report of a prowler
> at such-and-such address. On arriving at the address, they see a man on
> foot around the residence. The man also has a pistol in his hand. The
> officers order the man to the ground at gunpoint. Once on the ground, the
> pistol is secured and the man placed in handcuffs. Once the situation is
> secure, only then can they ask questions. And only then do they understand
> that the man they have in custody is the R/P (reporting party) who decided
> to look for the prowler on his own (armed) after calling 911, unbeknownst to
> the officers.
>
> Are the officers jack-booted thugs of a police state? No, they just want to
> make sure they go home at the end of thier shift. Are they out to
> intimidate the homeowner just because he owns a gun? Of course not. They
> simply didn't know who this armed person outside the residence was.
>
> Happens all the time.
>
> But I'd hardly call the US a "police state."
>
>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>

>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
Mt neighbor was having a terrible time with his marriage. His wife left with their two children and he grew despondent, his visits to our house grew fewer by the week. Eventually he lost his job. No wife, no kids, no job. He began to drink to excess, eventually grew suicidal. He called 911, the police were dispatched. They arrived as he was exiting the front door with a unloaded shotgun in his hands. He was crying and quite agitated and in a drunken state. We watched from 50 feet away as one of the officer's pulled his gun from it's holster and said ONE word, "Stop!" Our neighbor stopped and was promptly shot (within 1 second) in the upper torso by the officer. He went over backwards and was dead when he hit the ground. No court, no trial, no jury, no judge, no lawyer, no justice. I rest my case sir.
--
friend,
I am:
Howard Lute
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

1428. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8lesjj$tk7$0@216.39.130.21>
Source record: 84292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

> > > > > Take a trip around the world and find out we're
> > > > > the best place in the world, where people risk
> > > > > their lives and spend their fortunes to get here.
>

I may not agree with everything the government does when it comes to law, but here in the USA, one can say almost anything
and not fear reprisal. Now, if you're going around threatening to kill the President, then your statements will be questioned.
The United States is one of the most open countries in the world, where you don't have to worry about what church you go to
what religion you practice, or what frequencies you listen to.
True, the US Government has some messed up laws regarding scanners, but no one ever said our government or country was
perfect. It is up to the people to take an active part in our government. Most don't give a crap.
On one cable system, C-SPAN was dropped because of "low viewership". And that, is a shame.
I don't understand what the big deal is about making scanners that have the cellular band blocked.
If someone is really intent on listening to cellular calls, they're going to do it and no law is going to stop them.
I forget what country it is, Britain? You're not allowed to watch TV without paying for it. Imagine that.

> > > > > If that's what you really think, that we are a "Police State",
> > > > > then get the fuck out. I suggest Serbia so you'll learn what
> > > > > a police state is.
>

I agree, anyone that doesn't like the USA should find someplace else to live.
Our welfare system is overcrowded with people that came to this country, don't want to work
and think the USA owes them a living. Anyone that badmouths the USA should leave.

> > > > > I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
> > > > > like you.
>

I was never able to serve, but I have the utmost respect for the men and women that have
served in the military to defend our democracy and freedom.
Many have died, some came back crippled or maimed, and many are MIA.
How do we pay back these heroes? We leave many of them homeless and destitute out on the street begging for a quarter to get some food. That is also a shame.

Ask an 18 year old today to serve in the military and he'll probobly laugh in your face.

> > > > Hey Bill. Neither Hitler, Stalin or Idi Amin DaDa ever ruled Serbia. Are
> > > you
> > > > really that dim or just unable to follow along???
> > > >

Don't bother, most people today don't care about history.
Most people will never know the horror of war, or the atrocities committed by people like Hitler.

Still think the USA is a police state? Think about it next time you're walking down the street
with your scanner.

My apologies if some things were off topic.....
I leave you with some interesting reading.....

http://www.mv.com/users/sos/Constitution.html

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Diversi-Dial Station #49

1429. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB2HR@arast.org
Message-ID: <397cfcf8.3252924@news-server.stny.rr.com>
Source record: 84293

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 13:54:11 -0000, "PyratePete" <PyratePete@email.msn.com>
wrote:

>I own 4 scanners, (4 portables and 1 desktop). The desktop is 200 channels,
>the portables are 10 channels-old, which my parents use(retired) and I
>listen to Pro-34, Pro-91 and Pro-94 (1000 channels). None which are capable
>of picking up CB frequenies. The main reason I use them is when you travel
>you can hear the truckers report police running radar and traffic accidents
>so you are able to avoid certain areas by bypassing the area. I've seen 1
>desktop model that broadcasts the frequencies, why not the portables?
>

Interesting... A scanner - that broadcasts!
==========
"This year will go down in history. For the first time, a
civilized nation has full gun registration. Our streets will be safer, our
police more efficient, and the world will follow our lead into the future!"
Adolph Hitler (?) 1935
==========

1430. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000723101530.11332.00000881@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 84294

In article <3979ee6b_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>, "Tom Sevart"
<tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:

>What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law enforcement
>officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.

What "reason" did a 6-year-old boy from communist Cuba have?

1431. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000723101530.11332.00000882@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 84295

In article <O5LDYVA9$GA.288@cpmsnbbsa09>, "PyratePete"
<PyratePete@email.msn.com> writes:

>I own 4 scanners, (4 portables and 1 desktop). The desktop is 200 channels,
>the portables are 10 channels-old, which my parents use(retired) and I
>listen to Pro-34, Pro-91 and Pro-94 (1000 channels). None which are capable
>of picking up CB frequenies. The main reason I use them is when you travel
>you can hear the truckers report police running radar and traffic accidents
>so you are able to avoid certain areas by bypassing the area. I've seen 1
>desktop model that broadcasts the frequencies, why not the portables?

For one reason, the range would be extremely limited. The rubber duck antennas
on handhelds are extremely inefficient at frequencies as low as 27Mhz CB.

1432. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000723101531.11332.00000883@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 84296

In article <dave-D67326.00373923072000@news-server>, Dave Lewis
<dave@aaa.lanstar.net> writes:

>We went to a local Radio Shack

This was your first mistake. Don't buy ANYTHING from Radio Shack which
requires input or a technical opinion from the "salespeople." RS is great for
fuses, adaptors, solder, etc...but anything above that level, forget it.

Their scanners are also generally way overpriced.

1433. Re: What to do with a Pro-51? nothing good to scan anymore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <snm299kbjjt143@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3978CCFE.ACC9600F@megsinet.net...
> Hi Jim,
>
> Your question can be the catalyst for a great discussion.
>
> You mentioned monitoring garbage men and taxis. Don't sell business and
> industrial monitoring
> short. It's fun. I listen to security guards, army comms, low power
> frequencies, wireless
> mikes, sports comms, FRS, hotel staff, and lots of other signals more
> than police and fire.

I have had a blast listening to FRS this summer. Even in my small town,
there is almost always something going on.

1434. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fritz <fritz@cybrzn.com>
Message-ID: <397b153e$0$95418$39368dfe@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 84298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

>> > Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered
>6/30 end
>> >up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to
>Chicago
>> >7/18.

Is UPS still using CACHE (that black hole of the shipping world)? I have a computer inbound and it was routed through Northbrook, without incident I might add (At least by tracking. It arrived at the local depot last night.).

1435. FS: RS Pro 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ZTEe5.3212$c04.334678@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 84299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: RS Pro 2048, 200 ch, 800 MHz, antenna, box, manual, exc. cond. very fast.

Bill K9KZ

1436. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <z_Ee5.9433$7a5.319103@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8lesjj$tk7$0@216.39.130.21...
> and think the USA owes them a living. Anyone that badmouths the USA should
leave.

What? Anyone who actually wants to exercise their First Amendment right to
free speech by criticizing the government should LEAVE? What sort of
liberty is that?

> How do we pay back these heroes? We leave many of them homeless and
destitute out
> on the street begging for a quarter to get some food. That is also a
shame.
> Ask an 18 year old today to serve in the military and he'll probobly laugh
in your
> face.

Hey! You're badmouthing the government there. Don't you think you should
LEAVE?

> Still think the USA is a police state? Think about it next time you're
walking
> down the street
> with your scanner.

You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?

-Dave, NF2G

1437. prueba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: On - Off <bcnscan@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <jlFe5.32282$aS.250482@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84301

prueba

1438. Need Help for Low Sensitivity on PRO-2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gbrown@nc.rr.com
Message-ID: <397b264f.2748699@news-server>
Source record: 84302

My PRO-2066 seems to lack the 800 MHZ sensitivity of an
adjacent BC-895XLT, using identical antenna.
Any hints on a decent pre-amp would be appreciated.
Sensitivity on other bands is fine.

My symptom is: hard time finding the data channel, in
trunk tracking mode, squelch at about 11 o'clock

--Gary
K4GPB

1439. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: beehunter1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29844-397B22DF-20@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84303

Try scannerworld.com

They have the Relm (Regency) Electronics Scanners. They pick up the CB band, and are the only ones I know of that do, except the expensive AOR scanners. Models HS-100 and HS-200 are portables. MS-100 and MS-200 are base units.

Chad

1440. FS:PRO 2006 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Willie R. Morada" <zrecords@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k%Fe5.2667$ga2.70166@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84304

We have five units for sale . Please e-mail us .
Thanks!

--
WILLIE R. MORADA
Z ! RECORDS
STORE LOCATION :
2908-1/2 FOOTHILL BLVD.
LA CRESCENTA ,CA 91214
PHONE:(818)-236-2110
FAX:(818)-236-2117
STORE HOURS:11 AM TO 7 PM PST
MONDAY TO SATURDAY
CLOSED ON SUNDAY
WE ACCEPT:
VISA/MC/AMEX/NOVUS/DISCOVER

1441. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fYFe5.1728$_j3.7496@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84305

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8le85fghj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> I haven't seen Mackey caught in a lie, but I know Cheek lied in this
> newsgroup about Vietnam service: "This ain't no shit, I did stuff that's
> still classified today." Yeah, right. Still classified after 30 years.
> Must have been UFO's in Vietnam.
>
Just what makes you an expert on how the government and/or the military classifies things? I have an older brother, now retired from the military, who still isn't allowed to discuss what he did while serving in the army in W. Germany in 1965-66. While the reason for the classified rating may no longer be valid, the fact that it is still classified is real. The government works that way. And after you sign the papers swearing to keep secrets, you're not given the option of deciding which ones are no longer valid.

Looking at my block sender list, and over half the names are some version of Mackey, Mackie, etc. Here's another idiot to add. Bye-bye jmackie1860.

Mark K5MAR

1442. Re: Motorola Value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <397B2DA2.1B22741D@i-55.com>
Source record: 84306

Not much.

Jim

ntfs@iafdw.com wrote:

> I have come upon 2 Motorola 16 channel mtx-800 with
> batteries and chargers. These are in like new shape and I'm
> wondering what they are worth. Any Ideas?
>
> Thanks .
> Bob

1443. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397b2d35_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397AE186.30AB69EC@worldnet.att.net...
>

> With all due respect, it's not as simple as that. Case of George
> Dartois comes to mind. Shreveport, Louisiana. Broad Daylight, his
> own back yard, shot and killed his daughter's boyfriend in the
> family swimming pool. It took 20 years and Senator J. Bennett
> Johnston to finally charge, and try him.

Was this murder performed in the line of duty? It took 20 years, but they
finally did bring him to justice.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1444. Corky Mackey's Legacy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397B301E.AA666F97@kj.com>
Source record: 84308

.

1445. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <10878669B619836F.2D2EE778F0213B16.58EF45CC721965CB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh don't be a hypocrite. I'm sure you have let alot of people down in your life too. Also, in your selfishness and eagerness for glory, you have proven to be a heartless piece of shit.

> The truth is, Cheek was incoherent with rage most of the time. Now
> we are justified in not being sympathetic. Especially about the appeals
> for money now, since it was his decision not to provide for his family
> in circumstances like these.

1446. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397b2909.719577@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> If we just hide our head in the sand, and say that publicizing this - in
>a group of Scanner users- is not the PC thing to do, aren't we just killing
>ourselves??
>
>Bill, N3DDY
>--

Some of us go to a scanner newsgroup to read about and discuss
technical issues relative to the scanning hobby, Yet, at keast 75% of
the drivel posted has absolutely nothing to do with scanning,
Including the horseshit which started this thread.

It's got nothing to do with being politically correct.

No one is hiding his /her head in the sand, as we've all been subject
to this anit-government paranoia about scanners and guns for years now
as nauseum...and nothing has changed.

Lets get back to radio talk...

Dan

1447. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <45E3B64687A1C58E.D59B1D1F5BFEB2C2.8F44FCF74D48F978@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You idiot, you are John Mackie.

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8le6qifqi1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Cheek's history was that of a petty man. That's his legacy. That and
> his feud with John Mackey. His name will be forever linked with the
> person he saw as his worst enemy.

1448. Do U.S. Scanners Work in the U.K.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Hulme <hulme@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <kgHe5.690$zI5.27088@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 84312

If I have a scanner purchased in the U.S., for example a PRO-92, will it work in the United Kingdom? I am most interested in Police and Aircraft. Do they have the same trunked systems?

Thanks,
Mike Hulme

1449. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0b4b6eb2.b23ef908@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84313

Yes and I think you have a discone with the extra vertical
element giving better low VHF and 11-meter performance. That is
the one I was referring to.

BTW...They look like really nice antennas at a very reasonable
price.

AntennaWareHouse <kingpop@cis.net> wrote:
>The regular Discones are 29.95 + 7.25 Shipping
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384375054
>
>Tim
>
>AntennaWareHouse
>811 9th Ave.
>Camanche, IA. 52730
>319-259-4405 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri
>319-259-1053 Fax
>Email kingpop@cis.net
>http://www.antennawarehouse.com/
>
>
>RanHefner wrote:
>> SNIP
>> There is a person who
>> sells some really nice ones on eBay for $40. Just go to eBay
>> and do a search on discone.
>> SNIP
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1450. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397B45D1.9F163F73@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:397AE186.30AB69EC@worldnet.att.net...
> >
>
> > With all due respect, it's not as simple as that. Case of George
> > Dartois comes to mind. Shreveport, Louisiana. Broad Daylight, his
> > own back yard, shot and killed his daughter's boyfriend in the
> > family swimming pool. It took 20 years and Senator J. Bennett
> > Johnston to finally charge, and try him.
>
> Was this murder performed in the line of duty?

No. He was pissed because the kid had been doing his daughter.
Shot him cold dead in the back yard. In front of witnesses.

> It took 20 years, but they
> finally did bring him to justice.

And you and I both know that had this been done by anyone else,
that he would have been arrested and charged that day. He was not.
He wasn't charged and brought to trial for 20 years.

And that's the point. The kid wasn't guilty of a crime. And he
wound up on the wrong end of a service revolver. Just because a
police officer points his weapon at you, doesn't mean he's justfied
in doing so. And doesn't perforce indicate guilt, as was implied by
Mr Bryant's post.

And if you genuinely believe that 20 years between the commission
of a felony murder, and the filing of charges is acceptable due
process in the case of a police officer, then you've just made
another prior poster's case for him.

>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1451. PRO-91 won't let me program a fleet map!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lfjefbbq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a PRO-91 and have followed the directions to the letter on how to program a preset/custom fleet map to no avail. It seems that when I get to Step #3 (press the DATA button) all it does is give me the dreaded error beep.

No matter what I do or how many times I re-read the directions, I get the same error from Step #3. Is the Radio possibly "broken" when it comes to this function or is something wrong in the printed documentation?

Thanks for any help...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1452. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: halflife9rb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000723155457.12928.00001802@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84316

well i don'ft know but in ohio they help you and don't push you out of somthing you want to buy from a r/c car to scanners and parts.

1453. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <9gjmnsc26eal1s4fpp8ovoa9oaano71dkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 84317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

J. MacKenzie <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <3wae5.942$_j3.3372@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
>> Petty people do petty things, always have.
>
> Cheek's history was that of a petty man. That's his legacy. That and
>his feud with John Mackey. His name will be forever linked with the
>person he saw as his worst enemy.
>
>
>> Unfortunately, now they
>> world-wide audience. And there is no way to suspend a ham license due
>> lack of character on the part of the license holder.
>>
>> Mark K5MAR
>
> Unfortunate that folk's can express their opinion, or be censured
>for disagreeing with you? That's the way Cheek thought. That's part of
>his legacy.

Next thing you know, the Cheek-suckers will be excoriating me because I say that Charles Manson is a dangerous psychotic.

The simple fact is that Cheek was an asshole. Now he's a dying asshole. Eventually he'll be a dead asshole.

Now, I'm not particularly happy about that fact. I don't wish that sort of suffering on anyone. But I'm not going to get all weepy about it, either, particularly when there are plenty of people far more deserving of my sympathy.

The record here speaks for itself. I could re-post some of the evidence, but I have better things to do. Almost every Cheek sycophant who responds to me lies about that record in some degree. The only good thing about that is that it gives me a chance to update my killfile. All Cheek-Alikes, all Mackey attackers (and Mackey himself, of course), and any other shit stirrers on this topic get an immediate PLONK. The only ones who get read are the small handful of people who stick to the facts of the situation. It makes reading this forum a hell of a lot easier.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1454. Re: Discone Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Klodt <llklodt@home.com>
Message-ID: <%cIe5.74407$dF.2790844@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84318

I just bought one of the $30 discones from Antenna Warehouse, and am very pleased! It is a stainless stell type, and not the cheap type that RS sells.

LARRY :-)
RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote in message news:0b4b6eb2.b23ef908@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com...
> Yes and I think you have a discone with the extra vertical
> element giving better low VHF and 11-meter performance. That is
> the one I was referring to.
>
> BTW...They look like really nice antennas at a very reasonable
> price.
>
> AntennaWareHouse <kingpop@cis.net> wrote:
> >The regular Discones are 29.95 + 7.25 Shipping
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384375054
> >
> >Tim
> >
> >AntennaWareHouse
> >811 9th Ave.
> >Camanche, IA. 52730
> >319-259-4405 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri
> >319-259-1053 Fax
> >Email kingpop@cis.net
> >http://www.antennawarehouse.com/
> >
> >
> >RanHefner wrote:
> >> SNIP
> >> There is a person who
> >> sells some really nice ones on eBay for $40. Just go to eBay
> >> and do a search on discone.
> >> SNIP
> >
> >
>
>
> Randy A. Hefner
> http://talk.to/frs
> http://go.to/qx3
> http://come.to/funnynews

>
> ---
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>

1455. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <17599f0b.b2a326ad@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>
Source record: 84319

I called several RS stores in my area (St. Louis)regarding the updated Pro-92A. Most of them did not have the slighest idea what I was talking about. However, one store manager, who had no new versions, suggested that I check with them about a day before or on the last day the sale ends. Sometimes they extend the sale. However, IF it looks like the sale is not going to be extended he suggested that I buy the current version, assuming they have any in stock, at the sale price. When the new version does come in just exchange them. Makes sense to me.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1456. Re: Motorola Value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-2FB32D.16273923072000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 84320

In article <397B2DA2.1B22741D@i-55.com>, Jspaz@i-55.com wrote:

> Not much.

That's not a very helpful answer.

Bob, they are too old to be of much interest to any professional radio shops. I looked at ebay's recent completed auctions, and it seems like people are almost giving them away (less than $30 for just the radio). I hope that's how you got yours. =)

-A

1457. Re: Where are cell phones while mobile illegal, now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: halflife9rb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000723163031.12928.00001807@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 84321

Brooklyn, Ohio
Conshohocken, Pennsylvania
Lebanon. Pennsylvania
Hilltown Township, Pennsylvania
Marlboro, New Jersey (July, 2000)

1458. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lfllf$bj7$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Glenn (glenn.hansen@usa.net) on Fri, 21 Jul 2000 16:32:14 -0700 wrote:
>> >What North Idaho NRA sponsored Pep Ralley did you learn this at Sylvan?
>Did
>>
>> Are you asking for a slander suit? Why don't you quit hiding behind
>> a legally anonymous usa.net e-mail address
>> and send me your name and
>> address with a signed confession to making that kind of allegation?

>What allegation Mr. Lawyer. Love it when you kiddies start screaming

Listen Glenn, are you still in Tacoma? According to your file, you are
almost 50 years old, you should know better than to slander people.
OTOH, the way you talk I suspect your mental age to be far younger.

>lawsuit. ROFLMAO! BTW twit, USA.net is not anon, and it quite connected to

Legally, USA.net is anonymous since anyone can get an account there and
claim to be anyone they want..

>> Just 55 years ago England was giving away WWII weapons to civilians.
>> Canada had even less gun restrictions than the U.S. Now that they have
>> both essentialy banned guns, both of them have soaring crime rates,
>> increasing far faster than the US which has been much slower at
>> adopting gun control. Also add Australia to the list.

>Odd, a Canadian just posted the opposite. Maybe the sheet was falling in

Sure...

>your eyes when you were reading that. You learn that up near Bonners Ferry
>Boy?

Never been there, is it nice?

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1459. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lfloq$bj7$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Monty Python (radioman@thebigdial.com) on Sat, 22 Jul 2000 11:28:44 -0400 wrote:
>I can't believe I defended this country for pussies
>like you.

I don't believe you did either. If you had, you would still be
defending it against the corruption within our own gov't.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1460. Re: What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <snmn3rlbjjt162@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84324

The answer:

The Pro 92 has a reputation on the Internet for being a very poor scanner at a much higher price than the incredible BC 245 XLT.

The question:
> What does the PRO-92 have that BC-245XLT don't?

1461. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <iplmnssvgpcjq2hahip2v3ep6kcuupoh3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 84325

Radio Shack has been hiring people for high-pressure sales ability rather than electronics knowledge for quite some time now. I still run into a ham or other hardware-hacker in the local one occasionally, but they're rarely there the next time I go!

--
"I am not an Anarchist in *your* sense of the word :
your brain is too dense for any known explosive to affect it."

--Aleister Crowley

1462. AR5000 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Brown <jbrown21@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <397B685E.7AC45BAD@sprynet.com>
Source record: 84326

Any opinions on the AOR AR5000 receiver as
far as a scanner & shortwave radio? Is it
as good as the Icom R7100 for V/UHF or
Kenwood R5000 for shortwave?
Thanks, Jeff

1463. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <397b6825.75478195@news.uia.net>
Source record: 84327

Also,. would someone be kind enough to let those of us who don't know,
what the differences are between the 92 and the 92A?

Thanks!!

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 12:39:49 -0700, bzpilot
<gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid> wrote:

>For those of you lucky enough to have a local Radio Shack Dealer
>with the PRO-92A (firmware version 3.25) in stock I'd like to
>start a list?
>

1464. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397B6831.4A59@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Say hello to my killfile, Sonny.

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> The simple fact is that Cheek was

-----<stupidity edited out>-----

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

1465. Realistic Patrolman Pro2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <snmqnfjbjjt161@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84329

This is a real nice condition receiver.It covers vhf and low band am and fm.This is when they where made to last.It is a collectors radio.I have no idea what the radio is worth,so make a resonable offer with shipping.This also goes 117 volt ac or 12 volt dc.It also has a crystal in it for 152.19 and an empty slot for another.This is manual or crystal also.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

1466. Re: PalmOS scanner programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Doty <dotyb@DELETETHISmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <397AB4A9.4EFA201D@DELETETHISmediaone.net>
Source record: 84330
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to www.memoware.com and select the communications directory. Frequency lists are available for well known channelized bands (FRS, CB, Marine, etc). Programs are also linked from the site so you can view these files or make your own.

Hope it helps,
-Brian

Sycho_Graphics wrote:

> Anyone know of any Palm OS based programs for use with a scanner? Frequency
> lists?
>
> Thanks

1467. Re: digital transmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vern and Carol <vandcb@att.net>
Message-ID: <397B70CC.6C5810E4@att.net>
Source record: 84331

Digital transmissions where I live means MDT (mobile data terminals)
where the officers can send typewritten messages to each other, or
dispatch can send "data" transmissions to officers with details on
their calls, or secure info like codes to enter secured buildings.
This info is sent as "digital" typewritten info, not voice sound waves
so it would be very expensive to receive these at home, although I'm
sure it could be done.

visit my homepage at
http://members.tripod.com/Vernman/911.html

1468. Re: digital transmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <VsKe5.48805$fR2.442280@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84332

The only big expense associate with monitoring MDT's is your legal fees if you get caught. It's a gray area, as they state, it is illegal to decrypt encoded messages, however, a lot of "digital" systems are not "encrypted", they are just digital, and using a digital to analog conversion is not deciphering an encrypted signal by definition...unless you're part of a legal system that doesn't understand the differences, and doesn't have to, or want to!

When you use a computer modem, on the internet, you are converting digital transmissions, to analog...is that illegal? What about using a digital telephone? Damn...I shouldn't give them any more ideas...next thing you know, all that will be illegal too!

Bill Crocker

"Vern and Carol" <vandcb@att.net> wrote in message
news:397B70CC.6C5810E4@att.net...
> Digital transmissions where I live means MDT (mobile data terminals)
> where the officers can send typewritten messages to each other, or
> dispatch can send "data" transmissions to officers with details on
> their calls, or secure info like codes to enter secured buildings.
> This info is sent as "digital" typewritten info, not voice sound waves
> so it would be very expensive to receive these at home, although I'm
> sure it could be done.
>
> visit my homepage at
> http://members.tripod.com/Vernman/911.html

1469. Re: AR5000 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <BtKe5.48806$fR2.442520@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84333

Never owned one, but all the reviews I've read have been very favorable!

Bill Crocker

"Jeff Brown" <jbrown21@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:397B685E.7AC45BAD@sprynet.com...
> Any opinions on the AOR AR5000 receiver as
> far as a scanner & shortwave radio? Is it
> as good as the Icom R7100 for V/UHF or
> Kenwood R5000 for shortwave?
> Thanks, Jeff
>

1470. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8lfs6h$5ac$0@216.39.130.39>
Source record: 84334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

>
> You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
> under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?
>
> -Dave, NF2G

You have a law that says you can't carry a scanner?
You're kidding me right?

--Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
--Diversi-Dial #49

1471. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397B7518.86528021@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" wrote:
>
> >
> > You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
> > under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?
> >
> > -Dave, NF2G
>
> You have a law that says you can't carry a scanner?
> You're kidding me right?
>

Got stopped on Michigan Avenue in Chicago because I had my R-10
with me. When the officer flicked through teh memory pages and
didn't see any PD frequencies, he let me and my radio go.

He's not kidding you.
> --Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
> --Diversi-Dial #49

1472. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8lft0v$83v$0@216.39.130.39>
Source record: 84336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" wrote:

> >
> > You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
> > under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?
> >
> > -Dave, NF2G
>

Seems that law needs to be recinded.

>
> You have a law that says you can't carry a scanner?
> You're kidding me right?
>
> --Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
> --Diversi-Dial #49

New York Scanner Law

McKinney's Consolodated Laws of New York (1996)
Title III - Vehicle and Traffic Law
Article 12 - Other Provisions
Section 397 - New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law
pp. 567-568
(w/Notes of Decisions added from 2000 Supplement, p. 37)

s 397. Equipping motor vehicles with radio receiving sets capable
of receiving signals on the frequencies allocated for
police use.

A person, not a police officer or peace officer, acting pursuant to
his special duties, who equips a motor vehicle with a radio receiving
set capable of receiving signals on the frequencies allocated for
police use or knowingly uses a motor vehicle so equipped or who in
any way knowingly interferes with the transmission of radio mes-
sages by the police without having first secured a permit to do
so from the person authorized to issue such a permit by the local
governing body or board of the city, town or village in which such
person resides, or where such person resides outside of a city, or
village in a county having a county police department by the board of
supervisors of such county, is guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by
a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars, or imprisonment not
exceeding six months, or both. Nothing in this section contained
shall be construed to apply to any person who holds a valid amateur

radio operator's license issued by the federal communications commission and who operates a duly licensed portable mobile transmitter and in connection therewith a receiver or receiving set on frequencies exclusively allocated by the federal communications commission to duly licensed radio amateurs.

Historical and Statutory Notes

1980 Amendments. L.1980, c. 843, ï¿½ 90, eff. Sept. 1, 1980, in sentence beginning "A person, not" substituted "police officer or peace officer, acting pursuant to his special duties" for "peace officer".

Effective Date. Section effective Sept. 1, 1967, pursuant to L.1965, c. 1031, ï¿½ 195.

Derivation. Penal Law of 1909, ï¿½ 1916; added L.1933, c. 405; amended L.1948, c. 183; and repealed by Penal Law of 1965, ï¿½ 500.05.

Rules of the City of New York

Radio receiving set permits, see 38 RCNY ï¿½ 7-01 et seq.

Notes of Decisions

Constitutionality 1
Permits 4
Purpose 2
Radio receivers within section 3
Seized equipment 5

---

1. Constitutionality

This section prohibiting the equipping of motor vehicle with a radio receiving set capable of receiving signals on frequencies allocated for police use was constitutional notwithstanding allegation by defendant that such statute represented infringement by State Legislature in area preempted by Congress. People v. McGee, 1978, 97 Misc.2d 360, 411 N.Y.S.2d 514.

2. Purpose

Rationale behind this section prohibiting the equipping of motor vehicle with police band radio, the knowing use of motor vehicle so equipped, and the knowing interference with transmission of police radio messages was to prevent criminals from listening to police broadcasts in their automobiles, either prior to or after commission of a crime, and to prevent jamming of air waves during police broadcasts. People v. McGee, 1978, 97 Misc.2d 360, 411 N.Y.S.2d 514.

3. Radio receivers within section

Mere possession by defendant of scanner that could be reprogrammed to receive police communication frequencies was insufficient to warrant

indictment on charge of possession in motor vehicle of radio capable of intercepting police communication frequencies, in absence of evidence that defendant's scanner could easily be tuned to police frequencies. People v. Garcia, 1996, 170 Misc.2d 543, 647 N.Y.S.2d 355.
Under this section providing that person, not a police officer, who equips motor vehicle with radio receiving set capable of receiving signals on frequencies allocated for police use or knowingly uses motor vehicle so equipped is guilty of misdemeanor, electroalert radar detector, which was designed to detect presence of radar beams so as to allow its user to avoid police speed traps but which could not receive nor transmit voice radio transmissions and was also incapable of translating any radio signals into comprehensible form, was not a "radio * * * capable of receiving signals," and thus use of vehicle equipped with such device was not prohibited in this section. People v. Moore, 1978, 92 Misc.2d 807, 401 N.Y.S.2d 440.
Radar-monitoring device was not radio-receiving set capable of receiving signals on frequencies allocated for police use, within the meaning of this section making it a misdemeanor to equip motor vehicles with such radio-receiving sets. People v. Faude, 1976, 88 Misc.2d 434, 388 N.Y.S.2d 562.
Where defendant had in his truck a radio receiver capable of receiving signals on a frequency allocated for police use when receiver was plugged into cigarette lighter socket, the receiver was "capable" of receiving signals, within this section making it illegal to equip motor vehicle with radio receiver capable of receiving signals on frequencies allocated for police use, even though receiver was not plugged in when defendant was stopped by police. People v. Verdino, 1974, 78 Misc.2d 719, 357 N.Y.S.2d 769.

4. Permits

The only counties where the board of supervisors may designate a person to issue permits for equipping and operating a motor vehicle with a radio capable of receiving signals on police frequencies are counties which have established a police department by county charter. 1975, Op.Atty.Gen. (Inf.) 311.
A local governing body or board of a city, town, or village in Monroe county may not, pursuant to this section, authorize the Law Enforcement Council of Monroe County to issue permits for the equipping of motor vehicles with receiving sets. 1975, Op.Atty.Gen. (Inf.) 104.

5. Seized equipment

In the absence of specific statutory authority, a radio seized by the police in connection with an arrest and conviction for a violation of section 397 of the Vehicle and Traffic Law is not contraband and may not be retained, destroyed or rendered incapable of receiving police frequencies but must be returned to its rightful owner. 1976, Op.Atty.Gen. (Inf.) 255.

Retranscribed by:
Todd L. Sherman/KB4MHH

afn09444@afn.org
05/06/2000

1473. Pro-79 and Wired Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Cummings <iris.regis@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <q9umns8nslrl7jrdk17maumkrpimojdulq@4ax.com>
Source record: 84337

The manual for the pro-79 talks about wired programming (PC connection). Where the hell do you get the cable and software. The R/S page has nothing about it and I see not special port for connecting it to. God I hate typing freqs in to the key pad.

TIA
Chris

1474. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8lftmr$a1p$0@216.39.130.39>
Source record: 84338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Maus wrote:

> "George W. White" wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
> > > under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?
> > >
> > > -Dave, NF2G
> >
> > You have a law that says you can't carry a scanner?
> > You're kidding me right?
> >
>
> Got stopped on Michigan Avenue in Chicago because I had my R-10
> with me. When the officer flicked through teh memory pages and
> didn't see any PD frequencies, he let me and my radio go.
>
> He's not kidding you.

Dave, looked at your page, got a question for you.
Wouldn't such a law go against Federal law?

--Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
--Diversi-Dial #49

1475. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <109ec386.e2cd5306@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>
Source record: 84339

Go to:

http://pro-92.com/index.htm

This should explain everything.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1476. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lfumv$d3i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:397b2909.719577@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> No one is hiding his /her head in the sand, as we've all been subject
> to this anit-government paranoia about scanners and guns for years now
> as nauseum...and nothing has changed.

Excuse me? Plenty has changed..... Go out and buy a scanner. Will it pick up every frequency possible, or have there been changes that limit what you can or can not receive/listen to? Try and order a rifle through mail order like you could 20 years ago. Can you do it, or has that changed too? Go down and try and buy a handgun without filling out several forms, and then try and talk the owner of the shop into letting you take the gun you just bought home with you, without waiting for the government to decide if they want to let you have it or not. That sounds like a change to me, didn't used to be that way. Try and carry your legally purchased handgun without going down and explaining to a government official why you think you should be allowed to carry your own property with you when you go out in public, and having them give you a little piece of paper that says it's ok....again after they have had time to check you out and decide if they want to allow you to be able to use or even carry your own property. Up until 15 years ago the state I live in had an open-holster law. As long as your handgun was in plain sight and not concealed, you didn't need any permit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.

See a pattern here, Daniel? Fewer and fewer rights allowed to public citizens in this, the greatest country in the world. The limits that are placed on firearms are also being applied to scanners, and anything else that those in power feel we shouldn't be able to do.

Things always change, Daniel, and rarely for the better. If you don't see it, then I feel sorry for you.

1477. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <397B807C.33853BD2@inet4u.com>
Source record: 84341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" wrote:

> "George W. White" wrote:
>
> > >
> > > You mean the one that the City of Rochester (NY) can arrest me for carrying
> > > under the anti-portable-scanner ordinance?
> > >
> > > -Dave, NF2G
> >
>
> Seems that law needs to be recinded.
>

Many cops fear folks knowing, and especially recording, what they say and do. Occasionally you will get a clue to this in the various cops programs on TV. If they see a knife, gun, scanner, etc. they will show real close-ups of those items, and possibly show them more than once, as well as commenting on them. Can you imagine their reaction to a camcorder?

I had one get royally ticked because I asked for his name. It was night and when I moved so I could get the light reflecting off it right he accused me of threatening him by getting his name. Perhaps he was right. It may seem a threat to some of them to have folks know their dirty deeds.

Al
--
Created by SignatureMaster 3 [UnRegistered]

1478. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8lg0ttdge1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 84342

I'd like to remind you that the Pro2067 is an all-new scanner like the Uniden-built Radio Shack trunktracking scanner was last Xmas. The Uniden had major sensitivity problems, unlike the Uniden-built RS handheld scanners.

My guess -an owner of the Pro92A!!!- is that RS cut corners on their new base, but NOT the Pro92. Both radios should be just fine for trunktracking, but I wouldn't be surprised if cost-cutting means junky front end selectivity in the 2067.

Please don't trash-talk the Pro92A without trying it yourself.

You may just find that it's the best thing out there now. Great conventional scanner, great trunktracker (finally), great features, and -for the next week- great price!

Jeff, CompuServe HamNet Scanning Sysop

1479. Lauderhill (Fl)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cosmic_velveeta@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15074-397B846F-57@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 84343

Need frequencies for Lauderhill (Fl) P.D. and fire rescue.
Thanks

1480. Re: WinCE scanner programs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lg32nuq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84344
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Brian Doty" <dotyb@DELETETHISmediaone.net> wrote in message
news:397AB4A9.4EFA201D@DELETETHISmediaone.net...
> Go to www.memoware.com and select the communications directory. Frequency
lists
> are available for well known channelized bands (FRS, CB, Marine, etc).
> Programs are also linked from the site so you can view these files or make
your
> own.
>
> Hope it helps,
> -Brian
>
> Sycho_Graphics wrote:
>
> > Anyone know of any Palm OS based programs for use with a scanner?
Frequency
> > lists?

How about the same thing for WinCE MIPS machines?

1481. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bzpilot <gbezyNOgbSPAM@aol.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <08397934.29f08377@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 84345

I think the trash talking was aimed at the PRO-92 not the PRO-92A.

I'm looking to get my hands on a PRO-92A ASAP. No luck finding one here in Central Florida, at least not yet. If someone would be willing to help me out please contact me at my email address.

Thanks.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1482. Re: Corky Mackey's Legacy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <96ACF3E8631A53C5.DF5508E8B3A19691.3D7AC235B789948B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84346

He will go down as the mental tard that wouldn't shut up but had nothing worth saying.
A pain in the ass for all. The poster child of why you don't let mentally stunted people
on the internet.

1483. Re: Icom R-10 scan delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokkeyy73 <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8e3f5.7118$ga2.174432@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84097

Thanks for all the input! I figured out the pause feature on the radio. It
now works like I wanted it to!
Shawn
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:aDPe5.48933$fR2.446499@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> You're missing something. There definitely is a way to program the IC-R10
> to pause like a normal scanner. Check your manual, it's in there. I no
> longer own one, and I can't recall how it's done. Possible someone else
> will contribute.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "smokkeyy73" <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:k8Pe5.4384$ga2.112782@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone have a way to work around the memory scan delay on the Icom
> > R-10. It is very frustrating that when an active frequency comes
> available
> > that the R-10 will continue to scan after a ten second hold. Every
other
> > scanner I have had will stay on the frequency until there is dead air,
> then
> > the delay will hold and then continue. Am I missing something here with
> the
> > R-10 or is this just something I have to deal with???
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

1484. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397CC092.21C0D77B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:
>
> Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote ...
>
> (snip)
>
> > While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
> > than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
> > from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
> > 2000.
>
> Closer than 50 year ago?
>
> I still am starry eyed and live in a dreamworld. I think the bad cop/ police
> state is even less of a threat then the days of J Edgar and bunch. The media
> is probably the most important link in keeping things out in the open. Its
> getting harder and harder for cops to abuse us without some reporter trying
> to make a story out of it.
>
> Glenn

You're right. J.Edgar and his bunch abused their power. And
individual cops acting today do face the firing squad media. But the
abuse has been institutionalized. Not so much the individual
officer, or department acting on he fringes of the law, but the law
having been evolved to restrict basic freedoms in ways not conceived
50 years ago.

In the police state, it's not the police that drive the abuse, but
the system that supports them.

Like I said, we aren't exactly a Police State as are other nations
on this earth, but we are nowhere near the bastion of freedom that
we were 50 years ago either.

p

1485. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <397CC418.F0E04A3C@moonco.com>
Source record: 84099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

May God be with you Bill...

DougSlug wrote:

> Bud the Real wrote in message
> <2a9pnskpf14h3jr85qi203fs9h1tgo7lh4@4ax.com>...
> >
> >Dear Bud,
> >
> >Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> >7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> >hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> My history with scanning is short. Where can I get a good biographical
> account of Bill Cheek and his work, etc.? Sounds like real interesting
> stuff from the bits I've read in this NG.

1486. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <senordolor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lifosmgg1@herald.Mines.EDU>
Source record: 84100

Is there a way to relate the PRO-92 EDACS group ID codes with the more common published ones?

Thanks,

-R

1487. cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Nied <sobyo@mc.net>
Message-ID: <397CCDE5.599D@mc.net>
Source record: 84101

Mackey must be creaming in his jeans. I don't think anyone gave more to the scanning hobby..than Bill be he was nasty or verbal or whatever you want to say...he knew his scanning shit...In 30 years of scanning I have never seen anyone..with more tech input than cheek.As far as Mackey goes I think he would be great for target practice.

1488. Is Bill Cheek really dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397CD03C.CCB61243@kj.com>
Source record: 84102

.

1489. Re: In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
Message-ID: <397CD063.6B61753D@snet.net>
Source record: 84103

VE3TMT wrote:

> Does anyone know what frequencies are used by various law enforcement
> agencies for the in-car data terminals?

Won't help you with data encryption.
One system in our area uses cellular like the OnStar.

1490. Soon Bill Will be sticking Mackey with a Pitchfork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397CD0CF.16A9F372@kj.com>
Source record: 84104

after Mackey passes.

1491. Re: Motorola Value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com.gov.mil
Message-ID: <20000724194929.28085.00000596@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 84105

a mtx 800, assuming its the older type (with the battery sliding on across the bottom of the radio) is only capable of type 1 trunking , and no conventional comms
Kevin.....]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

1492. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <0G4f5.642$l01.192171@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84106

Yes, streets. Does that somehow confuse you David? Wipe the stuff out of your eyes and try again.

Okay, would "OUR" streets sound better? My neighbors and I have taken ownership of "OUR" streets and done quite well without guns. We all deserve to be safe on OUR streets David, without some Heston Moonie playing dirty Harry, a criminal supplied by a Heston Moonie shooting up the 'hood or in reality, some 12 year old with the proud NRA's members gun retrieved loaded from the nightstand accidentally killing his friend or the neighbor across the street. If guns made the streets safer, crime would have been hard pressed and on its last leg by now. It's not but Hesston's hero's are still supplying the criminals with guns as fast as they can lose them. The recent school shooting, many with arms supplied by your proud NRA gun owners and carried out by their well trained shooting children. Yeah, Kip was a great shot at a young age. Wonder if dead Dad was a proud NRA member? If there had not been a gun on Weavers property nobody would have died.

Guns have never scared me, owned a few and shot many. See, I was born in the country. Had a .22 rifle at about 10 or 11. I have hunted bear to quail. Guns don't scare me. It the careless morons, red-neck crackers and North Idaho idiots that own so many of them that scare me. I would rather give up my guns then let these folks continue to be armed.

Guns are cool, people aren't. That's the problem.

Glenn

David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message news:m3og3nb3un.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
> ' Who cares? My concern is young country hayseeds like you and NRA Heston
> ' Heros on my streets with guns.
>
> Your streets?
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

1493. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <ST4f5.649$l01.197743@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote ...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
> >
> > Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote ...
> >
> > (snip)
> >
> > > While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
> > > than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
> > > from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
> > > 2000.
> >
> > Closer than 50 year ago?
> >
> > I still am starry eyed and live in a dreamworld. I think the bad cop/
police
> > state is even less of a threat then the days of J Edgar and bunch. The
media
> > is probably the most important link in keeping things out in the open.
Its
> > getting harder and harder for cops to abuse us without some reporter
trying
> > to make a story out of it.
> >
> > Glenn
>
> You're right. J.Edgar and his bunch abused their power. And
> individual cops acting today do face the firing squad media. But the
> abuse has been institutionalized. Not so much the individual
> officer, or department acting on he fringes of the law, but the law
> having been evolved to restrict basic freedoms in ways not conceived
> 50 years ago.

..and behaviors by the citizens that would have never been tolerated 50
years ago by society. We also were blacklisting Reds, secretly spraying
chemicals and biological agents on our citizens. Using blacks for guinea
pigs and soliders as radiation test dummies.

> In the police state, it's not the police that drive the abuse, but
> the system that supports them.
>
> Like I said, we aren't exactly a Police State as are other nations

> on this earth, but we are nowhere near the bastion of freedom that
> we were 50 years ago either.

See above. Also look at all the politically correct crap that drives legislation and our representatives. Look at our penal system, and tell me about a lack of freedom, FOR criminals. Look at the judicial system, civil and criminal and tell me these folks have lost freedom compared to 50 years ago. I don't think so.

Glenn

1494. Re: cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <ipmpnscr2jlkia2thf8unmusl7na5j4dd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 84108

James Nied <sobyo@mc.net> wrote:

>Mackey must be creaming in his jeans. I don't think anyone gave more to
>the scanning hobby..than Bill be he was nasty or verbal or whatever you
>want to say...he knew his scanning shit...In 30 years of scanning I have

I know people with ten times Cheek's expertise. They just have better things to do than hang around UseNet insulting people and pretending to be some sort of radio guru. As for his books, I've heard some fairly substantial rumors to the effect that he stole a lot of the ideas for what went into those books. Be that as it may, it hardly justifies all the crap he dished out around here.

>never seen anyone..with more tech input than cheek.As far as Mackey goes
>I think he would be great for target practice.

You know, as much as I despised Bill and all his antics, I believe I'd kiss his rotting ass in public *IF* it'd mean the end of all the godddamn CheekSuckers trying to keep this literally DEAD issue alive after all this time. I stopped my own debates with Bill long ago, and I've pretty much stayed out of the battles since then. I killfile every rabid poster on either side of this issue on sight. And yet nearly every day, I see at least one post praising Cheek and/or attacking anybody who dared to point out that the man was deeply flawed as a human being, no matter what he may or may not have done for the scanning hobby.

I've been all over the UseNet and the BBS nets, and without exception, the Cheek crew are the nastiest, most mean-spirited bunch of chickenshit lusers I've ever had the misfortune to come across, and that's saying quite a bit, considering that some hams are nearly as bad.

When I was debating Cheek, I never stooped to his level. I never used bad language, I never called him names, I never directly insulted him. All I ever did was deal in the facts, and question some of his erroneous comments.

For that I was the recipient of a constant stream of vitriol from Cheek and his ilk. For Sale messages were forged in my name, my ISP got a long string of complaints, and I received my first and only death threat from either Cheek or one of his followers.

Now I'll get a bunch more shit for merely pointing this out, I'm sure.

Some of you people need to get a new hero. Cheek's dead. Let his rep die with him. Continually stirring up shit here won't do him or his family any good. As for Mackey, if you ignore him, he'll go away.

End of rant.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1495. Re: Santa Fe, NM TRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Linn Goodman <goodman@txis.net>
Message-ID: <0E3227763A9C03BF.AFD8EC0925E0D3C1.855A74939D77F7A1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84109

It's Motorola and I think type II.

WilRobnson wrote:

> Going thru Santa Fe on vacation this month...All sources on the web list the
> TRS type as unknown...Anyone have any insider info for it?
>
> TIA,
>
> Brett

1496. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <33C5DBD89D978306.5ED6998527F49A51.A0CF02D0D7884744@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do dead people read newsgroups?

"Chris" <chris@moonco.com> wrote in message news:397CC418.F0E04A3C@moonco.com...
> May God be with you Bill...

1497. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <397CE719.816DE971@moonco.com>
Source record: 84111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

no, but just the thought that counts... I can see how you found that funny though

Me wrote:

> Do dead people read newsgroups?
>
> "Chris" <chris@moonco.com> wrote in message news:397CC418.F0E04A3C@moonco.com...
> > May God be with you Bill...

--

1498. >>>>>>>>>Radio Shack radio page being updated<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brother Lloyd Davies <n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net>
Message-ID: <zS5f5.3979$oY.19233@news1.atl>
Source record: 84112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

Take a look,

If you have a mod or a link you would like placed, send it to me.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
"Just tellin the truth, and they cannot stand it."

"Don't be a great man, just be a man, and let history make its
udgements" - Zefram Cohrane

"The American people will NEVER knowingly adopt Socialism, BUT UNDER THE NAME liberalism, they will adopt every fragment of the Socialist program UNTIL America will one day be a Socialist nation WITHOUT KNOWING HOW IT HAPPENED." - Norman Thomas, six times candidate for President of the United States on the Socialist party ticket.

1499. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 3Dfx Sucks! <spammenot@reversit.org>
Message-ID: <FDC9CADEB1EB8EFC.B842AD4C39ED8B60.E2E51604766959E4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry, was just trying to make some logic.
I don't remember Bill much but he has to be cool if he was selling hamcom decoders.

"Chris" <chris@moonco.com> wrote in message news:397CE719.816DE971@moonco.com...
> no, but just the thought that counts... I can see how you found that funny though

1500. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <anspnsssgc6ndhvqdlmq4shs6a4j5m8kta@4ax.com>
Source record: 84114

You mean you actually got an english speaking RS salesman?

I remember around 10 years ago, when the job market was tight, you
needed a college degree to manage some retail stores. Now, if you
speak english, you are in, no questions asked.

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 04:38:07 GMT, Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.lanstar.net>
wrote:

>I could not believe this happened to me today. I have been scanning for
>a number of years. I have a friend who enjoys going to a smaller
>airfield with me every couple of weeks and parking not far from the
>runways and listening to the air traffic. He does not live far from the
>airport & thought it would be cool to have a scanner to listen to the
>traffic any time he wants.
>
>We went to a local Radio Shack in a mall here in Cincinnati today to buy
>an inexpensive scanner for him. We saw one for $89.00 that had all the
>features he would need and give him a taste of scanning. The idea being,
>enjoy it and see what features he may want later on then upgrade down
>the road. He clearly does not need or want a 245XLT like I have at this
>time as he is completely new to this.
>
>The salesman kept talking about trunking and how he must have it. Funny,
>since Cincinnati city & county PD & Fire are not trunked right now.
>There are a very few but for his needs trunking is something he would
>never care about for a long while. The salesman went on to explain how
>he could program in 4 freqs and be able to rob any bank right now and
>get away. Funny, my friend is not planning that either. He just wants to
>get air traffic and some public service. I played along at this point &
>told him to make a deal on the PRO-92 then. The salesman asked me what
>that was. Yes, he asked me what the PRO-92 was. After making an ass out
>of himself talking about how to scan and how important trunking is with
>a wery poor explination of trunking, he did not know what scanners he
>sold or what their features were, even though they were not 2 feet from
>him. He even asked me if I was testing him. NO, I want to buy this
>scanner with these features for $89.00. Needless to say, he told me to
>have a good day & walked away.
>
>I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I
>wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted &
>talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.
>
>I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson
>with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you

>through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.
>
>Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come
>here in Cincinnati.
>
>Best regards,
>
>Dave

1501. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <j9tpns8ss2lbubusc6pd5uvfkr14ipfss5@4ax.com>
Source record: 84115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 11:11:15 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net>
wrote:

>
>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39799c23.413275@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>>
>> >
>> >Resist any and ALL infringments to your rights, no matter if you agree
>> >with, or exercise, those rights. They are YOUR rights and they are
>> >being whittled away each and every day of the week. One day you will
>> >need them.
>> >
>> >Nuff Said.
>> >
>> Certainly is....
>>
>> What the hell does all of this have to do with scanning?
>>
>> Can't we leave this horseshit for another newsgroup?
>>
>> Who give's a Fiddler's FART about this in a scanning group?
>
>
>Maybe you won`t be able to listen to your scanner, or even own one, if you
>retain this kind of attitude..... I guess you just dont "get it".....
>
>>
>> Dan
>

Scanners will be taken away from us because they are dangerous.
Those knobby antennas can go right through the soft eyball and impale
the brain. Slippery slope arguments doesn't apply here.

1502. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ojtpnscm9tm80krgkiu12iscf3os6gpr4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 84116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What freedoms?
Here is the bottom line:
Why do you need to legally listen to cellphones with your scanner?
Why does anyone with a life need to listen to cellphones?

Tell you what, get a life, and you too could be having cell phone sex
with your girlfriend. You could even record it, and pretend it's
someone else!

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 01:09:05 -0400, "Timmins" <timmins@penn.com>
wrote:

>Political Correctness should be stamped out wherever it appears.
> This attitude of - hide the truth - it doesn't belong here - is what's
>gonna submit this country to the totalitarian rule of the Dollar. Look at
>the ECPA - Bought and paid for by the Cellfone people, who wouldn't even
>admit in a Congressional investigation that Cellfones ARE Radios - but since
>they got the big bucks- they can trample on our freedoms. They are being
>Politically Correct. I see nothing wrong with the Communications Act of
>1934, as it was intended. If you hear it, don't tell it. Was OK till the
>big bucks people came along....and their Lawyers .
> Scanner people are a small minority in this country, and the press can
>beat us up as much as they want. It's the PC thing to do. The politicians in
>Washington can do the same thing - it's the PC thing to do, and it keeps the
>big Cellfone $$$$ coming. The media can make big Headlines at our expense,
>it's the PC thing to do,and it sells more papers/magazines/TV commercials..
>and the big $$$ keep coming.
> If we just hide our head in the sand, and say that publicizing this - in
>a group of Scanner users- is not the PC thing to do, aren't we just killing
>ourselves??
>
>Bill, N3DDY

1503. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1oupnsso1blhecmaurp78v8pttbnp3g6ku@4ax.com>
Source record: 84117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, it is too late....for you to avoid being tracked by the FBI, you
nutcase.

On Sun, 16 Jul 2000 17:46:01 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to think aloud for a moment. In my county we live in a
>near police state even though many will deny this and ridicule me
>for saying so. I know the prosecutor, many police, the judges, CPS,
>etc. I know personally victims of severe government abuse. I guess
>I am asking myself that even if all of the citizens of the USA banded
>together to resist the Federal Government and state governments,
>would it really be possible? Are the citizens powerful enough to stave
>off an all out government assault on freedom? Am I the only one who
>thinks on these things? I guess I keep wondering how long we will wait.
>The Waco tragedy really bothers me. Those children didn't have to die.
>Even if the adults were guilty of all they were accused of, the children
>didn't have to die. What kind of government do we have?
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

1504. Re: AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v4vpns8a6k0i2c6vag57r7m3vfif9g0kvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 84118

Darn! I had to buy that book 8 years ago.

On 15 Jul 2000 16:10:23 GMT, swardfoto@aol.com (SWARDFOTO) wrote:

>I also own an AOR AR1000. I happened to find an extensive operation manual on
>the internet. It is vastly better than the manual that came with the radio.
>
>The website I found it on is www.designeq.com. You will need PDF Reader to
>download the manual. It contains about any information you could want about
>this scanner.
>
>I hope this helps!
>
>Sward

1505. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <snpvii9v3j154@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

He made news:rec.radio.scanner what it is today.

"Steve Fritts" <sefritts@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:397cb8ed.1419709@news.lig.bellsouth.net...
> I am so sorry to hear this.....he will be badly missed by all. He made
> scanning what it is today.
>

1506. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lj23p$bv9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:397c891b.23026943@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> > Actually, in this state, most of them DO have guns. Maybe that's why
> >this state has one of the lowest incidences of "road rage", eh?
>
> Oh for heaven's sake....what state is THAT? Remind me to stay out.

Alabama. Don't forget to stay out.

> > I did NOT vote for Clinton.......besides the simple fact that the
> >citizen vote does NOT elect the president.
> >
> No shit.....what about Senators and Congressmen? Since when did the
> president propose any of the ECPA or anti-scanning legisaltion you are
> all blabbering about for so long?

No, but he does influence in major ways. Setting the tone, so to speak.
And to bring up your dirty word again, his attitude towards "firearms"
encourages restrictions of freedoms in many other areas as well.

> > I was under the impression that it was for the discussion, PERIOD,
not
> >just technical, and I see the discussion, barring attacking one another,
to
> >pertain to changes in laws governing our rights to listen to what's being
> >broadcast through and into our homes, in addition to pointing out the
trends
> >of removing other rights to do things we used to be able to do,
> >firearm-wise.
>
> The original discussion of this thread was WAY off topic, dealing with
> firearms. Somehow, someone related the so - called "banning" of guns
> to scanners, the ECPA, scanners being confiscated, and so on ad
> nauseum. it is all quite amusing and sad at the same time.

Removal of one freedom quite often leads to the removal of all
freedoms....it relates.

1507. Re: What to do with a Pro-51? nothing good to scan anymore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <397D0A34.7C8317B8@attglobal.net>
Source record: 84121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ahahhaaa true-i doubt if cordless mouse monitoring is going to start a new wave of listening but..nonetheless..since i also have a cordless logitech i gave it a whirl-on my drake R-8,and sho' nuff thats the freq. sounds rather like a dying doorbell.,in USB at least.

Jesse
"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:

> "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" wrote:
> > Last night, I heard my new Logitech cordless wheel mouse on 27.045 MHz.
>
> Sometimes, Bob, I can't help but think you push the envelope just too
> far...
>
> ;-)
>
> But, as usual, you posted an excellent reply.
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

1508. Re: scanning cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8lj0ei02jne@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84122

I'm not really sure about this. I've got a 895xlt and I seem to be picking
up more cellular.

I think it might be "electronics aging". Like electronic stuff wears out?

Don't know, but its sort of wierd.

xman Charlie

"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:JUYe5.38328$oj6.347641@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> On some, you can get images of cell phones. These usually happen around
> 896-912MHz.
>
> Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8lhk0j$52g@journal.concentric.net...
> > I know scanning cell phones is illegal, but I am new to the hobby and am
> > trying to learn all I can about scanning. I have been told by a few
> > people, that even if your scanner is not "cell-enabled" you can still
pick
> > up cell phone transmissions, is this true? Something to do with being
> able
> > to pick up an "image" of the cell frequency. What frequency range
should
> I
> > be scanning for cell phone transmissions? If I have offended anyone by
> this
> > question, then I apologize. thanks,
> > --
> > Matt
> > ICQ# 77911366
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1509. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <397D0E38.8869822E@attglobal.net>
Source record: 84123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yep ol Bill was a good guy man. Corresponded with him quite a bit over
the years and always found him to be helpfull,knowlegable and straight
to the point. Books he wrote were very insightfull and thought
provoking.
Theres been so many rumors about his impending,and actual death though
that I think I'll wait for the next edition of MT till I belive it.
If true may he rest in peace.

Jesse

Bud the Real wrote:

> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1510. Re: PRO-38 realistic Leon in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8lj10m02kbh@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 84124

I have a PRO-39 Realistic.

Page 15-16, of my manual:

Programming the Scanner

Follow these steps to store frequencies in channels.

1 Select a channel to program by pressing MANUAL, entering the channel number you want to program, and pressing PGM.

PGM appears on the display to indicate that the scanner is in the programming mode.

2. Enter a frequency.

3. Press ENTER to store the frequency.

If you made a mistake in Step 2, ERROR appears on the display. Press CLEAR and repeat Step 2.

4. If you want the scanner to pause 2 seconds after each transmission before scanning to the next channel, press DELAY until DLY appears on the display.

5. Repeat Steps 1-4 to program more channels. If you want to program the next channel in sequence, press PGM and repeat Steps 2-4.

your welcome

xman Charlie

"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:alMe5.12956$o71.850762@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DrillDown/1,2358,RSSupport-Communic
> ations,00.html#
>
> leon looney <FLLooney@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:4ose5.11746$o71.752199@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm asking for some help for instructions to program a Radio Shack PRO-38
.
> Can some one help?
>
>

1511. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <397D38BB.6019E4A8@pacbell.net>
Source record: 84125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My thoughts and prayers go out to Cindy and all of Bill's friends. Bill will truly be missed. He may have had a difference of opinion with some people on the newsgroups, but he contributed a vast amount of knowledge to our hobby.

May he rest in peace now that the pain and suffering are over. Hopefully the government will now leave his family alone.

Mike

Bud the Real wrote:

> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1512. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hank <hlane@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xFh8OW3y7LbEgEM+eC95qXr1juWb@4ax.com>
Source record: 84147

On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 19:47:54 -0500, "Me" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>Can I use a discone to receive sat faxes or does it require a special antenna?

For sats in the Clarke belt you can use prime focus dish antennas. For
polar orbiting sats you can use turnstile antennas, quads (QFH), yagi
arrays, etc.
You have to specify what you're trying to receive: frequency, sat
type, etc. The kind of antenna really depends on what you are trying
to do, where you are located, etc.
hank

1513. Re: scanning cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <JUYe5.38328$oj6.347641@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84148

On some, you can get images of cell phones. These usually happen around
896-912MHz.

Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lhk0j$52g@journal.concentric.net...
> I know scanning cell phones is illegal, but I am new to the hobby and am
> trying to learn all I can about scanning. I have been told by a few
> people, that even if your scanner is not "cell-enabled" you can still pick
> up cell phone transmissions, is this true? Something to do with being
able
> to pick up an "image" of the cell frequency. What frequency range should
I
> be scanning for cell phone transmissions? If I have offended anyone by
this
> question, then I apologize. thanks,
> --
> Matt
> ICQ# 77911366
>
>
>

1514. Re: How do you clear/reset a Uniden Sportcat 200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lhovi$2b3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84149

Matt in MI <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lhd3n$kcp@journal.concentric.net...
> Is there a way to erase all the channels and alpha tags on a Sportcat 200
> scanner? Is there a certain key sequence that will acomplish this? If
> there is, why doesn't the owners manuel cover this?
> --
> Matt in MI
> ICQ# 77911366
>

Don't know about the Sportcat. but on the 245XLT you hold the 2, 4, and 9
keys while turning on the scanner.. Worth a try but first make sure that you
really want to erase everything...:-)

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1515. Re: What to do with a Pro-51? nothing good to scan anymore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <397C6946.577134C8@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 84150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob Parnass, AJ9S" wrote:
> Last night, I heard my new Logitech cordless wheel mouse on 27.045 MHz.

Sometimes, Bob, I can't help but think you push the envelope just too
far...

;-)

But, as usual, you posted an excellent reply.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1516. Re: WinCE scanner programs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lhpcg$9m3$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84151
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8lg32nuq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...

>
> How about the same thing for WinCE MIPS machines?
>

Pozilla Software is working on a WinScan CE program..

Check at: http://mghusa.com/pozilla/

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1517. Re: Need Frequencies For NE Ar.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lhpln$74v$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84152

don cole <doncole@pcsii.com> wrote in message
news:397a3b34.0@216.60.254.8...
> I need frequencies for Northeast Arkansas.Can Anybody help?
> send to doncole@pcsii.com
>

An excellent source for frequencies is:
http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1518. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <lLZe5.2780$_j3.10134@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Richard" <no@mail> wrote in message
news:1jdons0dtdvhkffppe8lo1gf9k8df8ic6o@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 03:58:35 GMT, allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
>
> >So you're going to keep posting insults about Bill while he's laying on
> >probably the last bed above ground he'll ever occupy? While he's going
> >through unimaginable physical pain? Of course you *know* he's way
> >beyond being affected by your insults.
>
> Who cares if HE is affected or not?
>
> It's pretty simple - he was a jerk to many people here and other net
> locations. Now he's dying and I have a smile on my face, as do many
others
> I'm sure.

You sound like a little kid going "Na-na-nah!" It's not that the rest of us
really like Bill Cheek, we just get tired of you people pissing and moaning
about him. If it makes you feel better, I'll say it: he's dying, you won.
But it's sure a fine set of Christian morals you have. NOT!!

Mark K5MAR

1519. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <397C70A6.6ED9EF82@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 84154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" schrieb:

>
> You sound like a little kid going "Na-na-nah!" It's not that the rest of us
> really like Bill Cheek, we just get tired of you people pissing and moaning
> about him. If it makes you feel better, I'll say it: he's dying, you won.
> But it's sure a fine set of Christian morals you have. NOT!!

Hi.

Morals do not depend on one's religion......
Maybe he is a Hindu or a Moslem or without any religion. Some
simply don't care on ANY morals....

odo -germany-

1520. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lhs3v$s83$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84155

River Rat Mike <MichaelEM@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2830-3977F263-46@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Anyone know where I could find the lowest price on the BC780XLT online?
> I also would like to get some feedback from someone that has this
> scanner and how you like it? Please e-mail me if you have any
> information for me. Thanks
>
> Michael

Jeez, it's not even OUT yet! Give it a chance to be available and 'settled
in' a bit and you'll probably see it in the $339 range or maybe even
lower...

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1521. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <ZE_e5.1047$ll6.115071@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richard <no@mail> wrote ...
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2000 03:58:35 GMT, allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
>
> >So you're going to keep posting insults about Bill while he's laying on
> >probably the last bed above ground he'll ever occupy? While he's going
> >through unimaginable physical pain? Of course you *know* he's way
> >beyond being affected by your insults.
>
> Who cares if HE is affected or not?

You obviously do, you spent the time to comment.

> It's pretty simple - he was a jerk to many people here and other net
> locations.

And you are giving him the greatest complimant you could by mimicing his
behavior. We can call you Bill Jr.

> Now he's dying and I have a smile on my face, as do many others
> I'm sure.

Wow, what a man. Are you going to brag to your children or family about
laughing about a person dieing?

Glenn

1522. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <F4EF4BB2C00677B2.49466B595690B6C8.B58C3756CF808FDD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84157

I want one NOW!
Don't want to wait. Gimme, gimme, gimme!
Getting tired of using my non trunk tracking scanner with all those
annoying bleeps and blurps that wake everyone up at my house
and getting tired of scanners that don't do all trunk formats at the same time.

1523. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <F974178882F34A0B.E66FAF8605F3B5DF.9C1103CDED5FC258@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That Mackey guy is sick.
He's possessed with jealousy and hate.
Nothing good nor true could come from such a disgusting man.

> > Now he's dying and I have a smile on my face, as do many others
> > I'm sure.

1524. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <hV_e5.13926$7a5.388567@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8lftmr$a1p$0@216.39.130.39...
>
> Dave, looked at your page, got a question for you.
> Wouldn't such a law go against Federal law?

It would appear so. However, to have a law invalidated takes large amounts of money and time to get through the appeals process. To start appealing, one must first establish legal standing to pursue the case by being convicted.

-Dave, NF2G

1525. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <hV_e5.13927$7a5.388567@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 84160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8lft0v$83v$0@216.39.130.39...
>
> Rules of the City of New York
>
> Radio receiving set permits, see 38 RCNY 7-01 et seq.

Thanks! I've been looking for this one.

Rochester's ordinance is City Code 44-2.

-Dave, NF2G

1526. FS: Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <WorldvistaNOwoSPAM@hushmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <iXl8Of8MzAA28DewVzYRtTEtAysQ@4ax.com>
Source record: 84161

For Sale - Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1 Handheld Scanner. Mint condition, barely used (maybe only a few hours total). 30 channels, 10 bands, very easy to operate (a plus for any scanner). Includes one-touch weather and "chain search" range banks for finding new frequencies (makes up greatly for the relatively small number of channels). Takes either NiCAD batteries (recharges while in scanner), standard alkalines, or use it with just the AC Adapter.

Here are the bands this scanner covers:

29-29.7 MHz (10 meter Amateur band), 29.7-50 MHz (VHF Low), 50-54 MHz (6 meter Amateur band), 137-144 MHz (Military Land Mobile), 144-148 MHz (2 meter Amateur band), 148-174 MHz (VHF High), 406-420 MHz (Federal Government Land Mobile), 420-450 MHz (70 cm Amateur band), 450-470 MHz (UHF Standard) and 470-512 MHz (UHF "T" band).

For a picture of this model, check here:

http://www.grove-ent.com/BC60XLT1.html

This unit comes with the AC Adapter, manual, and antenna. This is a new model scanner (still being manufactured), not an older model.

$50.00 plus shipping ($5.00 standard, $7.50 insured (recommended) or $10.00 UPS ground), with a guarantee that the scanner will be working when you get it (return it to me for money back if it arrives DOA). I prefer Paypal credit card payments (http://www.paypal.com) but will accept a money order.

If interested, please Email me at core@zipcon.net before August 1, 2000. After July 31, the scanner is no longer for sale.

Thanks,

Tim

---
*
* Remove NOxxSPAM and .invalid to reply via Email
*

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1527. Re: How do you clear/reset a Uniden Sportcat 200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lhuta$2k4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84162

Matt in MI <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lhd3n$kcp@journal.concentric.net...
> Is there a way to erase all the channels and alpha tags on a Sportcat 200
> scanner? Is there a certain key sequence that will acomplish this? If
> there is, why doesn't the owners manuel cover this?
> --
> Matt in MI
> ICQ# 77911366
>

Sorry 'bout that! I got momentarily mixed up and gave you the code for
getting the firmware revision on the 245....

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1528. Re: Icom R-10 scan delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Wager <twager@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B5A25109.106FB%twager@mac.com>
Source record: 84163

yes, its in the manual (Scanning Operation - Set the scan delay):

5SEC/10SEC resume automatically after 5/10 seconds, PAUSE resumes 2 seconds
after the signal disappears and is probably what you are looking for.

Thomas

--
Landing:
Landing is the preferred way to return to earth.
(F/A-18 Hornet Pilot Flight Manual)

> Von: "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> Organisation: @Home Network
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Datum: Mon, 24 Jul 2000 04:29:58 GMT
> Betreff: Re: Icom R-10 scan delay
>
> You're missing something. There definitely is a way to program the IC-R10
> to pause like a normal scanner. Check your manual, it's in there. I no
> longer own one, and I can't recall how it's done. Possible someone else
> will contribute.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "smokkeyy73" <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:k8Pe5.4384$ga2.112782@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> Does anyone have a way to work around the memory scan delay on the Icom
>> R-10. It is very frustrating that when an active frequency comes
> available
>> that the R-10 will continue to scan after a ten second hold. Every other
>> scanner I have had will stay on the frequency until there is dead air,
> then
>> the delay will hold and then continue. Am I missing something here with
> the
>> R-10 or is this just something I have to deal with???
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>
>

1529. Re: Icom R-10 scan delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shana <shana1@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <397D5977.404561CA@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 84164

Hold down FUNC + press CLR then keep pressing EDIT till find SCAN DELAY
rotate dial to PAUSE press CLR

smokkeyy73 wrote:

> Does anyone have a way to work around the memory scan delay on the Icom
> R-10. It is very frustrating that when an active frequency comes available
> that the R-10 will continue to scan after a ten second hold. Every other
> scanner I have had will stay on the frequency until there is dead air, then
> the delay will hold and then continue. Am I missing something here with the
> R-10 or is this just something I have to deal with???
>
> Thanks

1530. Re: Date Codes: 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000724140731.11332.00001464@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 84165

In article <397C3A55.EB8D9499@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> writes:

>Anyone know what date codes are NOT modifyable to restore 800MHz to the
>Pro-2026?

Anything later than "4A4", I believe.

1531. Orange County Fire Authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2U%e5.28$9x.19585@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 84166

Does anyone have any detailed information on Orange County, California's new trunked radio system? The information I have now is a Motorola I system and it seems that several cities have droped off the system or they have a new system.

www.freqofnature.com

1532. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <js0f5.2903$_j3.10307@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 84167

Glenn,

The Gov't has just passed a law requiring all firearms to be registered by 2003. However, many gun owners are outraged and refuse to register their guns. I don't blame them, I haven't registered mine yet. It's a stupid law anyway. It's just to appease all the city-folk who know jack -squat about guns. For example, how many criminals do you think will register their guns? It's only the law-abiding gun owners who will. I'm only 17, and I already hate politics and politicians.

Adam

1533. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <snp575os3j1164@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:397b97ff.29129688@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> >until 15 years ago the state I live in had an open-holster law. As long
as
> >your handgun was in plain sight and not concealed, you didn't need any
> >permit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.
>
> Well, this is a good thing. We have lunatics on the road who will
> pull over and bash each other to death with bats and machetes over who
> cut who off....at the drop of a hat. Imagine if these lunatics were
> all carrying guns?

What would the difference be ? if they bash each other`s heads in, then they
are just as dead as if they shot one another..... If they run each other
off the road and get killed, are they not dead ?
I think the "difference" is all in your head.... People have been killed
with kitchen knives, pickaxes, sticks of wood, and every other imaginable
item. the gun is just a tool, and can be abused like any other, whether it
be a shovel, crowbar, or whatever. If people want to thump one another, or
kill one another, they will surely find a way, rest assured.
>
> > See a pattern here, Daniel? Fewer and fewer rights allowed to public
> >citizens in this, the greatest country in the world. The limits that are
> >placed on firearms are also being applied to scanners, and anything else
> >that those in power feel we shouldn't be able to do.
> >
> > Things always change, Daniel, and rarely for the better. If you
don't
> >see it, then I feel sorry for you.
> >
>
> Anyway, DUH...what I meant was......with all the ranting and raving
> about the government imposed changes you refer to, over these past
> years, nothing has been done by YOU, (US) the whiners to change this
> course of events. WE KEEP VOTING THE BUMS INTO OFFICE FOR DECADES AND
> DECADES....SAME POLITICIANS!!!!
>
> We cannot and have not effected any change for the positive whining on
> newsgroups which are supposed to be for technical discussion of a
> particular hobby.
>
> Now, If you don't see it....I don't even feel sorry for you...

>
> Dan
>

1534. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397c891b.23026943@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Actually, in this state, most of them DO have guns. Maybe that's why
>this state has one of the lowest incidences of "road rage", eh?

Oh for heaven's sake....what state is THAT? Remind me to stay out.

>> Anyway, DUH...what I meant was......with all the ranting and raving
>> about the government imposed changes you refer to, over these past
>> years, nothing has been done by YOU, (US) the whiners to change this
>> course of events. WE KEEP VOTING THE BUMS INTO OFFICE FOR DECADES AND
>> DECADES....SAME POLITICIANS!!!!
>
> I did NOT vote for Clinton.......besides the simple fact that the
>citizen vote does NOT elect the president.
>
No shit.....what about Senators and Congressmen? Since when did the
president propose any of the ECPA or anti-scanning legisaltion you are
all blabbering about for so long?

>> We cannot and have not effected any change for the positive whining on
>> newsgroups which are supposed to be for technical discussion of a
>> particular hobby.
>
> I was under the impression that it was for the discussion, PERIOD, not
>just technical, and I see the discussion, barring attacking one another, to
>pertain to changes in laws governing our rights to listen to what's being
>broadcast through and into our homes, in addition to pointing out the trends
>of removing other rights to do things we used to be able to do,
>firearm-wise.

The original discussion of this thread was WAY off topic, dealing with
firearms. Somehow, someone related the so - called "banning" of guns
to scanners, the ECPA, scanners being confiscated, and so on ad
nauseum. it is all quite amusing and sad at the same time.

Dan

1535. Re: ICOM IC-T81A - Quad Band Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <397c9aaf_1@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 84170

Yes, I own this HT. I consider it a good choice, although I don't use 6m or 1.2 gHz much. Performs well on 2m and 440, but I'm in an area where 1.2 gHz isn't much of an option unless I go to NYC...and there aren't many 6m repeaters around here, although this may grow.

Bottom line is that it's a good HT, and I didn't make a mistake - solid build. If, however, you are a dedicated 2m Ham, go for something less expensive. If you are a new ham, get a cheap 2m HT - nobody on the other end will know if you're within earshot of the repeater. RS has a 2m rig going for $129 that received some good reviews.

Regards,

Paul Wolsko, KC2FNC
NJ

"reebok" <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rMse5.532$FJ4.23316@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Any comments on this radio? I'm about to get one.
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
>
>
>

1536. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397c883a.22801299@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>If you cannot see the "relevance" of the ECPA to scanners, you dont belong
>here.....

I am quite well aware of the relevance of ECPA. I can't listen to
cell phone calls on a scanner any longer. Golly gee.

...and since I don't get a woody eavesdropping on people's private
phone calls, maybe I don't belong here.

DId all the whiining and complaining here STOP ECPA?

No.

1537. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397c88c8.22943947@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Jul 2000 21:38:04 -0500, "Me" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>When people are rude to me in traffic, I feel that they deserve to die.
>I hate cocky people. The first thing I hope will happen to them is that
>they will run off the road in a fireball and smack up against a bridge support or
something.
>
See what I mean? ....and you'd let this NUT carry a gun?

Unreal....

1538. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397c8a44.23323427@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 13:00:01 GMT, David Steuber
<nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:

>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:
>
>' listen. We brought attention to ourselves, and we got what we
>' deserved.
>
>Well, we got what we deserved, I guess. Certainly sharing
>confidential information from picking up cell phone calls is a very
>bad idea.
>
>Did we do anything to fight the legislation that required blocking
>those frequencies? Did we propose counter legislation where cell
>phones could be made secure by use of a scrambling system?
>
No, we were too busy whining and moaning about here and elsewhere.

>What about consumer awareness? I distinctly recall reading that my
>cell phone was a transmitter and that its signals could be intercepted
>by a third party. IOW, don't talk credit card numbers on an unsecure
>line!
>
That is so because you are apparently radio - saavy , but the vast
majority of cell phone users don't have a CLUE how the damn things
work.

>Where we failed was not just blabbing about the capabilities of
>scanners. Only US scanners have cell phones blocked. You can import
>a scanner from Canada and still listen to cell phones. Illegal, yes,
>but not hard to do. All the older scanners will still pick them up
>too. The problem with cell frequencies has not been solved. It is up
>to the telcos to provide secured phones. That means using protocols
>that are resistant to man in the middle attacks.

Right, and they have...the majority are digital systems and systems
above 800 Mhz also digitally encrypted.

>The issues are both political and technical. Congress looked at the
>options (I hope) and chose the wrong solution.

They chose the easy solution, and one that would line their campaign
fund coffers. COme on folks, get with it....Money runs everything.

Rather than thinking that they are malign, I think they were simply
incompetent due tobeing ill-informed.

If you really believe that, I have a bridge in Brooklyn for
sale.....They did what the cell phone lobby told them to do , period.

Scanner makers should have testified before
>congress and stated flat out that the best solution to the problem
>would have been to implement scrambling in the cell phones.
>
HAHAHAHAAHA...thats a laugh. Scanners comprise such a small market
base for electronic retailers, they could give a rat's ass less about
scanner users. Radio Shack folded like a cheap camera under ECPA. it
was in their best interest, as all they are interested in selling now
are CELL PHONES!!!!

>Now that digital phones are out, the problem is being fixed. The
>800Mhz bands used by conventional phones are certainly offer a wide
>enough spectrum for digital phones. We all know what digital
>transmissions sound like. Add a layer of encyrption technology, not
>DES, but one that is resistant to man in the midddle like SSL, and the
>problem is solved.
>
>The hardware to decipher GSM phones should be too exensive to be
>within the reach of ordinary people for a few more years. I think at
>best, my laptop computer would take about 30 hours or so to crack an
>arbitrary DES key. I would have to be actively following someone with
>the real intent of eavesdropping to go to those lengths, not something
>a normal scanner would do.
>
>--
>David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
>NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
>The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

1539. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <8li5ca$5m$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 84174

I walked into one not too long ago and there was no one in the place. The door was wide open and no one was there. I looked around for what I needed, a simple part, and they didn't have it. Big surprise.....

Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:397b9b9d.30055718@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> >
> >I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I
> >wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted &
> >talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.
> >
> >I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson
> >with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you
> >through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.
> >
> What do you expect from someone making minimum wage with no training?
>
> >Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come
> >here in Cincinnati.
>
> No, it is all over the country, and not getting any better, AND it is
> not just at the Rat Shack...
>
> Sad,,,,,
> >Best regards,
> >
> >Dave
> >
> >--
> >David Lewis
> >dlewis@lanstar.net
> >LANStar Communications
> >Wilreless solutions & accessories
> >http://www.lanstar.net
>

1540. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397c8ccd.23972462@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ermit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.
>>
>> Well, this is a good thing. We have lunatics on the road who will
>> pull over and bash each other to death with bats and machetes over who
>> cut who off....at the drop of a hat. Imagine if these lunatics were
>> all carrying guns?
>
>What would the difference be ? if they bash each other`s heads in, then they
>are just as dead as if they shot one another..... If they run each other
>off the road and get killed, are they not dead ?
>I think the "difference" is all in your head.... People have been killed
>with kitchen knives, pickaxes, sticks of wood, and every other imaginable
>item. the gun is just a tool, and can be abused like any other, whether it
>be a shovel, crowbar, or whatever. If people want to thump one another, or
>kill one another, they will surely find a way, rest assured.
>>

This logic is very, very scary........

1541. PRO-92 "A" in Michigan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Rf1f5.49246$fR2.450800@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84176

Anyone locate any of the new Radio Shack PRO-92's (20-522A) in the lower Michigan area?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1542. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <hl1f5.567$l01.130510@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84177

The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote ...
> The Gov't has just passed a law requiring all firearms to be
registered
> by 2003. However, many gun owners are outraged and refuse to register
their
> guns. I don't blame them, I haven't registered mine yet. It's a stupid
law
> anyway. It's just to appease all the city-folk who know jack -squat about
> guns.

Wow Adam, we can learn a lot from a redneck hayseed cracker like you. Gun laws are passed just to appease all the city-folk who know jack -squat about guns. Brilliant, what NRA brainwash seminar did this come from?

> For example, how many criminals do you think will register their
> guns?

Who cares? My concern is young country hayseeds like you and NRA Heston Heros on my streets with guns.

> It's only the law-abiding gun owners who will.

Most of them have registered already and don't even know it.

> I'm only 17, and I
> already hate politics and politicians.

You don't even understand politics, how can you hate it?

1543. Uniden BCT-7 stuck in "TEST" mode. Any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000724161553.23311.00008033@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 84178

I have a BearTracker BCT-7 that needs some help. When turned on, it powers up, the alert "beeps", and the "TEST" appears in the display. Only problem is that it stays in TEST mode...doesn't begin scanning as per normal.

Easy fix, or basket case?

1544. Pro 2036 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <397CA6AA.6A490026@home.com>
Source record: 84179

Hi,

Would any of you happen to know where to tap the discriminator in a
R.S. Pro 2036? Thank you in advance.
Mike K8MB.

1545. Re: Orange County Fire Authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <397ca5d9.73043244@news.uia.net>
Source record: 84180

Try... http://www.latraffic.org/radioman/orange/ocfa.html

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 11:23:23 -0700, "Freq Of Nature"
<sservice@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Does anyone have any detailed information on Orange County, California's new
>trunked radio system? The information I have now is a Motorola I system and
>it seems that several cities have droped off the system or they have a new
>system.
>
>www.freqofnature.com
>
>
>

1546. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <13319EFBA51611AE.DAFCF363EA814F61.EE48A9402C329FC5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bummer :(

> Dear Bud,
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
> Cindy

1547. Re: My UPS Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wierz@buffalo.cad.melpar.esys.com
Message-ID: <8li8n4$b21@fcserv3.fcd.esys.com>
Source record: 84182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <397b153e$0$95418$39368dfe@news.twtelecom.net>, "Fritz" <fritz@cybrzn.com>
writes:
|>
|> >> > Did it get 'stuck' at CACHE, IL??? I had a printer cartridge, ordered
|> >6/30 end
|> >> >up there, then went to Menlo Park, CA before finally making it here to
|> >Chicago
|> >> >7/18.
|>
|> Is UPS still using CACHE (that black hole of the shipping world)? I have a
|> computer inbound and it was routed through Northbrook, without incident I
|> might add (At least by tracking. It arrived at the local depot last night.).
|>

I bet its' next stop on the way to your house is CACHE. =;^)

1548. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <ED44F34152CAB6F7.B42508D64758F868.9F739D593245CD08@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84183

All the City-Folk?
Are they letting Future bullball twisters of America on the internet now?
Do you surf from a big red barn with a chicken in your lap or what?
Next you will be saying "Get a rope".
Nowhere in the constitution does it say you have a right to bear unregistered arms.
It just says you have a right to bear arms. No where does it say you are protected from the government knowing about your arms.

> The Gov't has just passed a law requiring all firearms to be registered
> by 2003. However, many gun owners are outraged and refuse to register their
> guns. I don't blame them, I haven't registered mine yet. It's a stupid law
> anyway. It's just to appease all the city-folk who know jack -squat about
> guns. For example, how many criminals do you think will register their
> guns? It's only the law-abiding gun owners who will. I'm only 17, and I
> already hate politics and politicians.

1549. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397CAB1C.314A570F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:397B45D1.9F163F73@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> > > Was this murder performed in the line of duty?
> >
> >
> > No. He was pissed because the kid had been doing his daughter.
> > Shot him cold dead in the back yard. In front of witnesses.
>
> Well I'd hardly call this a result of police action. This is something that
> occurred in this guy's private life, not as a result of him responding to
> the situation as an officer.

And that's just nonsense. Bullshit, actually, but I'll refrain
from saying that since you work for law enforcement.

The origin of this argument was an assertion that a police officer
only pulls his service weapon when justified. And that's simply not
true, this rather celebrated case serving as a single example.

Now you want to limit it to on duty incidents? The cases in
Chicago this year and last where motorists were pulled over and shot
dead by officers. One because she was armed with a cell phone.

My point has been throughout that its not just the guilty that
find themselves on the wrong end of a service weapon. There you have
two cases.

And your assertion, as with Mr Bryant's implication, that because
a weapon was drawn by an officer, there must be guilt of a crime, is
also nonsese. If such were the case, there would be no need for the
court system. Everyone drawn upon would be guilty, and that would be
that.
The fact that the court systems exist at all, are clear evidence
that an officer is not presumed to be in the right when a weapon is
drawn, and the victim is presumed to be not guilty.

> Just because this idiot was a police officer
> doesn't mean that all police officers are out to shoot someone.

That wasn't the argument.

> And just
> because he's an officer doesn't give him the right to kill someone, either.

You and I agree on this point. Clearly there are police officers
who disagree. George Dartois is one. I can name others.
>
> >
> > > It took 20 years, but they
> > > finally did bring him to justice.
> >
> > And you and I both know that had this been done by anyone else,
> > that he would have been arrested and charged that day. He was not.
> > He wasn't charged and brought to trial for 20 years.
> >
>
> No, I don't. There are all sorts of murders that are committed where the
> perpertrators aren't brought to justice until many years later. Each case
> is different.

Nice try. Even OJ was charged within days of hte murder. 20 Years?
That's laughable.

> If the reason for this is because his fellow officers were
> covering up for him, then the whole department is culpable. But do you
> believe that all the officers working in the department for the past 20
> years were covering up the crime?

They certainly weren't working to pursue it, were they?
>

Let's get back to reality here. If he hadn't been a police
officer, witnesses would have not feared to speak. There were
eyewitnesses to the crime. If he hadn't been a police officer, other
officers would not have feared to pursue the facts of the case. The
officer was not arrested, nor was he charged, because witnesses
feared him. Because other police officers feared to pursue him. He
was a police officer, who, with impugnity, killed a human being.
Yes, it was because he was a police officer that he wasn't charged
with the crime for 20 years.
> >
> > And that's the point. The kid wasn't guilty of a crime. And he
> > wound up on the wrong end of a service revolver. Just because a
> > police officer points his weapon at you, doesn't mean he's justfied
> > in doing so. And doesn't perforce indicate guilt, as was implied by
> > Mr Bryant's post.
>
> Once again, this incident didn't occur in the line of duty. This is
> something which occurred in this officer's private life. The fact that he
> is an officer is beside the point.

It is absolutely NOT beside the point. If he had not been a police
officer, he would have been arrested and charged within an hour of
the crime. There were witnesses to the shooting. And neither they,
nor the officers of the force had the courage to stand up to him.
Because he carried a badge and a gun.

> If this incident happened the way you
> say, and judging from the guilty conviction it probably did, then he would
> have been wrong whether he was an officer or not.

True. But he was not pursued because he was an officer. Not
because he wasn't wrong, but because he was an officer of the law.
>
> >
> > And if you genuinely believe that 20 years between the commission
> > of a felony murder, and the filing of charges is acceptable due
> > process in the case of a police officer, then you've just made
> > another prior poster's case for him.
>
> No, I believe in quick justice. Whether or not his being a police officer
> had any bearing on taking so long to convict him I have no idea. But had
> this occurred in the line of duty, I'd bet that he'd been in jail a long
> time ago.

Murder is murder. In the line of duty or not, if he wrongfully
takes a life, it's murder, and the processes and the punishment are
the same. He wasnt charged because he was an officer.
>
> The bottom line is, there are good cops & bad cops. We can only keep
> working to weed out the bad cops. Do they overstep their bounds sometimes?
> Yes, undoubtedly some do. But a complaint filed with your local PD, if
> valid, usually helps correct the problem.

Then you admit that a weapon drawn is not an indication of guilt,
which was my point.
>
> But that is a long way from being a police state, which the creator of this
> thread maintains.

While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
2000.

>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1550. FA: Lots of Scanner Books on Ebay!!!! LOOK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: milguy@tds.net
Message-ID: <0k2f5.2793$sQ.1301126@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 84185

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391098217

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391102828

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391104581

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391106554

thanks for looking!

doug

1551. In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE3TMT <mdonoghue3@home.com>
Message-ID: <dm2f5.80832$7I1.1467979@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 84186

Does anyone know what frequencies are used by various law enforcement agencies for the in-car data terminals?

1552. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <nL2f5.591$l01.155244@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.

A whole bunch of Radio Shack scanners dimmed for a moment at noon Saturday.

Though the reasons will vary, the scanner hobby will miss Bill Cheek.

bummer :-(

1553. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: sefritts@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <397cb8ed.1419709@news.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 84188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am so sorry to hear this.....he will be badly missed by all. He made scanning what it is today.

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 20:21:20 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>
>Dear Bud,
>
>Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
>7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
>hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
>Cindy
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1554. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <jR2f5.38833$oj6.352628@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We'll miss you Bill, God Bless You!

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:nL2f5.591$l01.155244@news.uswest.net...
>
> > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> A whole bunch of Radio Shack scanners dimmed for a moment at noon
Saturday.
>
> Though the reasons will vary, the scanner hobby will miss Bill Cheek.
>
> bummer :-(
>
>
>
>
>

1555. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tR2f5.593$l01.156506@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote ...

(snip)

> While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
> than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
> from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
> 2000.

Closer than 50 year ago?

I still am starry eyed and live in a dreamworld. I think the bad cop/ police state is even less of a threat then the days of J Edgar and bunch. The media is probably the most important link in keeping things out in the open. Its getting harder and harder for cops to abuse us without some reporter trying to make a story out of it.

Glenn

1556. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8lids4$2i4$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 84191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bud the Real wrote in message
<2a9pnskpf14h3jr85qi203fs9h1tgo7lh4@4ax.com>...
>
>Dear Bud,
>
>Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
>7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
>hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.

My history with scanning is short. Where can I get a good biographical
account of Bill Cheek and his work, etc.? Sounds like real interesting
stuff from the bits I've read in this NG.

1557. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3r98jb3vz.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84192

"Bungholius Maximus" <tpformy@bunghole.org> writes:

```
' Nowhere in the constitution does it say you have a right to bear unregistered arms.
' It just says you have a right to bear arms. No where does it say you are protected from
' the government knowing about your arms.
```

Nothing in the Constitution gives the government the power to
register arms. There is only one reason to register arms. Just ask
the British gun owners what that reason is. Ask the ones who were
ordered to surrender their registered handguns on pain of spending
time in one of the worst prison systems in the civilized world. A
prison system that may be as bad as the ones in the US.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1558. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3og3nb3un.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84193

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:

' Who cares? My concern is young country hayseeds like you and NRA Heston
' Heros on my streets with guns.

Your streets?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1559. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3lmyrb3fv.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

' >Did we do anything to fight the legislation that required blocking
' >those frequencies? Did we propose counter legislation where cell
' >phones could be made secure by use of a scrambling system?
' >
' No, we were too busy whining and moaning about here and elsewhere.

Well then. It looks like we did indeed get what we deserve.

' Rather than thinking that they are malign, I think they were simply
' incompetent due tobeing ill-informed.
'
' If you really believe that, I have a bridge in Brooklyn for
' sale.....They did what the cell phone lobby told them to do , period.

If the cell phone lobby is all they had to go on, then they acted on
ignorance. If they knew that many receivers existed and were easily
imported that could intercept cell phone transmissions, then they were
stupid. If they thought that blocking the new receivers would solve
the problem, then they were stupid and ignorant.

If they did all the above with full knowledge of the real fix, then
they were indeed malignant.

Get your congress critter's voting record (assuming it wasn't a voice
vote), and decide if you want to keep it in office. The same applies
to your senate critter.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1560. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3ittvb3a6.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

```
' The original discussion of this thread was WAY off topic, dealing with
' firearms. Somehow, someone related the so - called "banning" of guns
' to scanners, the ECPA, scanners being confiscated, and so on ad
' nauseum. it is all quite amusing and sad at the same time.
```

True, the original post was way off topic. It was even off topic in
rec.guns which is not a political forum. Being a moderated forum,
rec.guns soon had the thread disposed of.

However, prohibition in all forms generates discontent and creates
crime. It is all bad. You don't have to agree with me. I just hope
I can get a HAM license and setup before they ban that too.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1561. Re: In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <R33f5.38841$oj6.353363@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84196

Why do you want to know? You really can't get anything by listennig to a
bunch of anoying noise.

VE3TMT <mdonoghue3@home.com> wrote in message
news:dm2f5.80832$7I1.1467979@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> Does anyone know what frequencies are used by various law enforcement
> agencies for the in-car data terminals?
>
>

1562. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <johnbernstein_99@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <50pmnsonhm5l646gt40kjad3oc5iqhe8fb@4ax.com>
Source record: 84210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I missed the flame wars
but not the detailed & helpfull instructions for slicers.
thanks Bill

1563. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3bszofmkf.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

' Some of us go to a scanner newsgroup to read about and discuss
' technical issues relative to the scanning hobby, Yet, at keast 75% of
' the drivel posted has absolutely nothing to do with scanning,
' Including the horseshit which started this thread.

You are correct in most respects. I read this group to learn about scanning, a hobby that is brand new to me. However, the post that started this thread was based in fact, even if it is totally off topic here.

' It's got nothing to do with being politically correct.

For some people it does. This medium is not good for figuring out what people really mean when they type something. There is no tone of voice and we certainly have different backgrounds when it comes to the effectivness of making connections that are only implied or the use of metaphors.

' No one is hiding his /her head in the sand, as we've all been subject
' to this anit-government paranoia about scanners and guns for years now
' as nauseum...and nothing has changed.

But things _have_ changed. Quite a lot. An example directly related to scanning is the fact that cell phone frequencies are now blocked on all new scanners. It is illegal to modify them to unblock the cell phone frequencies. I doubt you will get caught, but this is a federal law we are talking about. The feds do not parol people or hand out slaps on the wrist. They throw you into a deep dark hole for the duration of your sentence.

Other things have changed as well, but as they are off topic, I will refrain from mentioning them.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1564. Re: Pro-92 and trunking problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed cohen <vrtx270@flash.net>
Message-ID: <397B86E2.46CA4BBC@flash.net>
Source record: 84212

Look for a new version of the 92 to be out soon - look for catalog #
20-522A

Check the firmware version - if it is 3.25 or better - you wont have
problems.
it is the dame firmware that is in the 2067.

Go to www.pro-92.com for more info

Tony Cellini wrote:
>
> Just bought a Pro-92 to use with my local emergency service's EF Johnson
> trunking system. Problem is that most of the time, all that happens is
> a channel will open, I'll hear a short bit of dead air, then the channel
> closes and it continues scanning. Plus, on the rare occasions that I do
> hear someone, 9 times out of ten, it doesn't display the LT code. I've
> double and triple checked the freqeuncies, even re-initialized the
> scanner and started from scratch. Did I get one with a trunking
> problem, or is this kind of behaviour normal?
>
> I've tried a couple different antennas: The stock rubber ducky, a
> telescoping whip, and a Radio Shack 20-176 mounted on the roof.
>
> Any ideas or info would be greatly appreciated.

1565. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <e6Me5.12941$o71.849406@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Thats funny cause a package I sent last tues using Ground got to Cach, IL in 9 hours and the page said that until saturday and they change the date and time it arrived there - is that wierd??

<h@a.m> wrote in message news:39791F3C.B150DA23@a.m...
I would assume that people know what a "cache" is????

The dictionary says "A hiding place." It also says something "...hidden or stored in a cache."

Thus UPS has appropriately used "Cache", IL as a place to hide and store all our packages until THEY feel like doing something about finding out where they
are.

Ironic, isn't it?

>
> It went from CA to Cache, IL and right back to CA. I live in Indiana.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> >

1566. Re: PRO-92 new firmware! Swap out???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <79Me5.12945$o71.849003@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84214

Radio Shack salesdrones get paid min wage or commission(whichever is higher)
and aren't paid for their knoledge of the companies products as they are
updated but to sell sell sell.

Radio Shack
"You've got questions? We've got shrugs and blank looks".

Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:397949D3.80B06F3B@home.com...
I called RS and they said they know nothing about this. However, if it's
true,
I plan on returning my 92 and swapping for the updated firmware. Only
reason I
bought the silly thing was to track LTR and it does a really bad job of it,
unless I'm doing something completely wrong...

RS wrote:

> Does anybody know if there will be a way to swap out the firmware chip
> in the old style pro-92. Maybe even sending it back to RS repair dept
> it would be worth it to scan mot systems properly.
>
> Any ideas on this
>
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:38:11 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated
> >PRO-92, with new firmware!
> >
> >PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25
> >
> >The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only
to
> >be found on the back of the scanner itself.
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >

1567. BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <FdMe5.12952$o71.849987@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84215

I have had my 895 for about 1.5 years now and was wondering if the squelch really is backwards from all other radios or if mine was just hooked up backwards

1568. Re: PRO-38 realistic Leon in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <alMe5.12956$o71.850762@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84216

http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DrillDown/1,2358,RSSupport-Communic
ations,00.html#

leon looney <FLLooney@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4ose5.11746$o71.752199@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
I'm asking for some help for instructions to program a Radio Shack PRO-38 .
Can some one help?

1569. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m38zusflci.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:

' "David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
' news:m37ladhd8v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
' > "Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:
' >
' > ' What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law
' enforcement
' > ' officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
' >
' > Sometimes that reason is, ``I got a gun.''
' >
'
' Sounds like a good reason to me. Unfortunately, law enforcement officers
' rarely know what the intended purpose of that gun is. Until they know, they
' have to protect themselves from any possible harm.

Please re-read my reply. The reason that the *officer* aims his
weapon at someone is simply because he *can*. The ``I got a gun'' was
the officer's reason, not because some other guy had a gun out.

This is actually an example of a bad officer. I hope this is not the
standard accross the country. The last time I dealt with police, I
had a big rifle available, but the trooper never pulled his weapon or
even released the retention strap. Why? Because I removed my hearing
protection to talk to him and was obviously not threatening him.

The reason for the encounter was some neighbors complaining about the
noise. Ok, so a whole bunch of other cops started to show up, but the
first one waved them off as we were discussing the situation in a
civilized manor and no backup was required. I agreed to pack it in
for the day and the trooper left. I wasn't ticketed or charged with
anything because I wasn't breaking any laws.

Getting back to the topic of scanning, which is where we should be on
this group, even if it is the politics regarding scanning, I don't
think it is too late yet. But scanners and HAMs need a lobby just as
the gun owner's do. The airwaves are a public resource. The FCC
exists only to allocate frequencies for specific usages. As signals
can cross state lines, it is clear that rf emitting equipment is in
federal jurisdiction.

What we need is to get back the rights granted in the original act.
That includes the right to monitor *all* radio frequencies. If
parties want to communicate in private, they can use digital

modulations and encryption protocols that the hobbyist can't crack.

Cell phone manuals include warnings that their transmissions can be monitored by a third party. So do cordless phone manuals. It is incumbent on the user of the transmitter to insure privacy and to not say anything that he would not want overheard in a restaurant. At least that is my opinion.

A good analogy for the right to receive all rf signals is the right to hear all audio waves when one is walking around. Are there any places where you are required to stick your fingers in your ears?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1570. Re: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <J7Ne5.48886$fR2.444495@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84218

It's a "Uniden" thing!

Bill Crocker

"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:FdMe5.12952$o71.849987@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have had my 895 for about 1.5 years now and was wondering if the squelch
> really is backwards from all other radios or if mine was just hooked up
> backwards
>
>

1571. Re: 900 MHz Cordless Telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE3TMT <mdonoghue3@home.com>
Message-ID: <mkNe5.79606$7I1.1442711@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 84219

<Green> wrote in message news:a0fc3@alt.radio.scanner...
> I am a very law-abiding citizen and want to know precisely which
> frequencies are used by 900 MHz cordless telephones so that I may
> avoid them while scanning this band.

Isn't that like asking a cop "how fast can I drive legally???"

1572. Re: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <CoNe5.13052$o71.857311@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84220

go finger - they are backwards - ok
thanks
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:J7Ne5.48886$fR2.444495@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
It's a "Uniden" thing!

Bill Crocker

"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:FdMe5.12952$o71.849987@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have had my 895 for about 1.5 years now and was wondering if the squelch
> really is backwards from all other radios or if mine was just hooked up
> backwards
>
>

1573. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397b97ff.29129688@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>until 15 years ago the state I live in had an open-holster law. As long as
>your handgun was in plain sight and not concealed, you didn't need any
>permit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.

Well, this is a good thing. We have lunatics on the road who will
pull over and bash each other to death with bats and machetes over who
cut who off....at the drop of a hat. Imagine if these lunatics were
all carrying guns?

> See a pattern here, Daniel? Fewer and fewer rights allowed to public
>citizens in this, the greatest country in the world. The limits that are
>placed on firearms are also being applied to scanners, and anything else
>that those in power feel we shouldn't be able to do.
>
> Things always change, Daniel, and rarely for the better. If you don't
>see it, then I feel sorry for you.
>

Anyway, DUH...what I meant was......with all the ranting and raving
about the government imposed changes you refer to, over these past
years, nothing has been done by YOU, (US) the whiners to change this
course of events. WE KEEP VOTING THE BUMS INTO OFFICE FOR DECADES AND
DECADES....SAME POLITICIANS!!!!

We cannot and have not effected any change for the positive whining on
newsgroups which are supposed to be for technical discussion of a
particular hobby.

Now, If you don't see it....I don't even feel sorry for you...

Dan

1574. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397b9976.29505008@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84222
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
>' No one is hiding his /her head in the sand, as we've all been subject
>' to this anit-government paranoia about scanners and guns for years now
>' as nauseum...and nothing has changed.
>
>But things _have_ changed. Quite a lot. An example directly related
>to scanning is the fact that cell phone frequencies are now blocked on
>all new scanners. It is illegal to modify them to unblock the cell
>phone frequencies. I doubt you will get caught, but this is a federal
>law we are talking about. The feds do not parol people or hand out
>slaps on the wrist. They throw you into a deep dark hole for the
>duration of your sentence.
>
You misunderstand...what I meant was....with all the whining about it,
the changes went through, didn't they? Instead of whining off topic
here, do something about it where it counts. Vote the bums out of
office. Easier said then done...I know....

With regard to cell phones, we deserve exactly what we got in the
hobby. For years when cell phone capable scanners were legal, it
seemd like the only focus of scanning was to listen into cell phone
calls. We blabbed all over creation about how good it was. Boobs
heard confidentail material and disclosed it to anyone who would
listen. We brought attention to ourselves, and we got what we
deserved.

>Other things have changed as well, but as they are off topic, I will
>refrain from mentioning them.
>
>--
>David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
>NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
>The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

1575. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8lg9u3apm0@63.162.247.188>
Source record: 84223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

"J. MacKenzie" wrote:

> I haven't seen Mackey caught in a lie, but I know Cheek lied in this
> newsgroup about Vietnam service: "This ain't no shit, I did stuff that's
> still classified today." Yeah, right. Still classified after 30 years.
> Must have been UFO's in Vietnam.
>

1. Anyone that has been following Mackey over the years has seen
John caught in any number of lies. Your post saying otherwise
either paints you as sadly mistaken, or a troll.

2. Every year, I inquire of our government, under the FOIA, for
information about one of my cousins, who after many years of being
MIA, was finally declared KIA in Vietnam. Every year, i get the same
reply, that the information is still considered classified.

1576. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397b9b9d.30055718@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84224

>
>I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I
>wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted &
>talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.
>
>I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson
>with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you
>through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.
>
What do you expect from someone making minimum wage with no training?

>Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come
>here in Cincinnati.

No, it is all over the country, and not getting any better, AND it is
not just at the Rat Shack...

Sad,,,,,
>Best regards,
>
>Dave
>
>--
>David Lewis
>dlewis@lanstar.net
>LANStar Communications
>Wilreless solutions & accessories
>http://www.lanstar.net

1577. Re: WinCE scanner programs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Doty <dotyb@DELETETHISmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <397AF634.7A4F3910@DELETETHISmediaone.net>
Source record: 84225
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lurch Henson wrote:

> "Brian Doty" <dotyb@DELETETHISmediaone.net> wrote in message
> news:397AB4A9.4EFA201D@DELETETHISmediaone.net...
> > Go to www.memoware.com and select the communications directory. Frequency
> lists
> > are available for well known channelized bands (FRS, CB, Marine, etc).
> > Programs are also linked from the site so you can view these files or make
> your
> > own.
> >
> > Hope it helps,
> > -Brian
> >
> > Sycho_Graphics wrote:
> >
> > > Anyone know of any Palm OS based programs for use with a scanner?
> Frequency
> > > lists?
>
> How about the same thing for WinCE MIPS machines?

I have a Compaq Aero (WinCE PDA) and I use the same source. Just do some digging around and you'll find all sorts of stuff. If you can put your list of freqs into an Excel spreadsheet, you can use SpreadCE (spreadsheet proggie) to view it.

-Brian

1578. Re: Ben Cartwright had a flat ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <397BB338.556136D5@home.com>
Source record: 84226

Hoss didn't.

> .

1579. Icom R-10 scan delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokkeyy73 <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <k8Pe5.4384$ga2.112782@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84227

Does anyone have a way to work around the memory scan delay on the Icom R-10. It is very frustrating that when an active frequency comes available that the R-10 will continue to scan after a ten second hold. Every other scanner I have had will stay on the frequency until there is dead air, then the delay will hold and then continue. Am I missing something here with the R-10 or is this just something I have to deal with???

Thanks

1580. Re: Icom R-10 scan delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <aDPe5.48933$fR2.446499@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84228

You're missing something. There definitely is a way to program the IC-R10 to pause like a normal scanner. Check your manual, it's in there. I no longer own one, and I can't recall how it's done. Possible someone else will contribute.

Bill Crocker

"smokkeyy73" <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:k8Pe5.4384$ga2.112782@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone have a way to work around the memory scan delay on the Icom
> R-10. It is very frustrating that when an active frequency comes available
> that the R-10 will continue to scan after a ten second hold. Every other
> scanner I have had will stay on the frequency until there is dead air, then
> the delay will hold and then continue. Am I missing something here with the
> R-10 or is this just something I have to deal with???
>
> Thanks
>
>

1581. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397bd545_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397B45D1.9F163F73@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:

> > Was this murder performed in the line of duty?
>
>
> No. He was pissed because the kid had been doing his daughter.
> Shot him cold dead in the back yard. In front of witnesses.

Well I'd hardly call this a result of police action. This is something that occurred in this guy's private life, not as a result of him responding to the situation as an officer. Just because this idiot was a police officer doesn't mean that all police officers are out to shoot someone. And just because he's an officer doesn't give him the right to kill someone, either.

>
> > It took 20 years, but they
> > finally did bring him to justice.
>
> And you and I both know that had this been done by anyone else,
> that he would have been arrested and charged that day. He was not.
> He wasn't charged and brought to trial for 20 years.
>

No, I don't. There are all sorts of murders that are committed where the perpertrators aren't brought to justice until many years later. Each case is different. If the reason for this is because his fellow officers were covering up for him, then the whole department is culpable. But do you believe that all the officers working in the department for the past 20 years were covering up the crime?

>
> And that's the point. The kid wasn't guilty of a crime. And he
> wound up on the wrong end of a service revolver. Just because a
> police officer points his weapon at you, doesn't mean he's justfied
> in doing so. And doesn't perforce indicate guilt, as was implied by
> Mr Bryant's post.

Once again, this incident didn't occur in the line of duty. This is something which occurred in this officer's private life. The fact that he is an officer is beside the point. If this incident happened the way you say, and judging from the guilty conviction it probably did, then he would

have been wrong whether he was an officer or not.

>
> And if you genuinely believe that 20 years between the commission
> of a felony murder, and the filing of charges is acceptable due
> process in the case of a police officer, then you've just made
> another prior poster's case for him.

No, I believe in quick justice. Whether or not his being a police officer had any bearing on taking so long to convict him I have no idea. But had this occurred in the line of duty, I'd bet that he'd been in jail a long time ago.

The bottom line is, there are good cops & bad cops. We can only keep working to weed out the bad cops. Do they overstep their bounds sometimes? Yes, undoubtedly some do. But a complaint filed with your local PD, if valid, usually helps correct the problem.

But that is a long way from being a police state, which the creator of this thread maintains.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1582. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397bd599_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
news:m38zusflci.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:
>
> ' "David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message
> ' news:m37ladhd8v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> ' > "Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> writes:
> ' >
> ' > ' What the heck did you do? What branch of government? No law
> ' enforcement
> ' > ' officer aims a weapon at someone without a reason.
> ' >
> ' > Sometimes that reason is, ``I got a gun.''
> ' >
> '
> ' Sounds like a good reason to me. Unfortunately, law enforcement
officers
> ' rarely know what the intended purpose of that gun is. Until they know,
they
> ' have to protect themselves from any possible harm.
>
> Please re-read my reply. The reason that the *officer* aims his
> weapon at someone is simply because he *can*. The ``I got a gun'' was
> the officer's reason, not because some other guy had a gun out.

OK, in that case yes I did misunderstand.

> This is actually an example of a bad officer. I hope this is not the
> standard accross the country.

I have total agreement with you here. I don't believe this is the standard,
but I've only worked in law enforcement here in my part of the country. And
I can guarantee that if any officer around this area pointed his weapon at
someone simply because he could he'd be out of a job so fast he wouldn't
know what happened.

>
> What we need is to get back the rights granted in the original act.
> That includes the right to monitor *all* radio frequencies. If
> parties want to communicate in private, they can use digital
> modulations and encryption protocols that the hobbyist can't crack.

Total agreement here. The ONLY way to ensure no evesdropping of your radio
communications is to either encrypt the signals, or ban any radio receivers
capable to tune those frequencies. It would appear that the cellular lobby
```

prefers the latter.

> Cell phone manuals include warnings that their transmissions can be
> monitored by a third party. So do cordless phone manuals. It is
> incumbent on the user of the transmitter to insure privacy and to not
> say anything that he would not want overheard in a restaurant. At
> least that is my opinion.

If you don't want your conversations overheard, don't use the phone. Or you could do like I did & get a digital cordless. However, I doubt most people give a second thought to it. To the general public, it's a phone and not a radio transciever. If these people were really interested in privacy, why do they insist on having cell phone conversations in airports & movie theaters?

> A good analogy for the right to receive all rf signals is the right to
> hear all audio waves when one is walking around. Are there any places
> where you are required to stick your fingers in your ears?

Not yet, but give the cellular lobbyists time! They'll probably get Billy Tauzin to come up with a law to make it illegal to listen to someone yakking on their cell phone while sitting next to you.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1583. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <todak@axom.com>
Message-ID: <397bdcbf$0$62224$263ee65e@news.axom.com>
Source record: 84231

You need an antenna that has cross-polarized elements. It looks like a multi-element quad, without the wire loops. You can see what one looks like by checking around the dam at a reservoir.
"Me" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message news:1297540006F6EAC3.D671C0DE41AC939E.3C7BE1EA235E4CAC@lp.airnews.net...
> Can I use a discone to receive sat faxes or does it require a special antenna?
>

1584. Icom R10 comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: demo <demo@rooted.sh>
Message-ID: <FNRe5.1985$a01.43023@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 84232

To the people who have one, or have used one. Would you recommend this radio. What are you comments on it? Does it perform well on the SW bands, specifically in SSB? How good is its recieve while using the default antenna....? Sorry for the questions but I would like some extra knowledge before I shell out 320 bucks on a radio LOL.

I am highly thinking about getting one. I have been into scanners/shortwave since 1996 so I feel its time I get something really nice to reward myself ... I hear the AOR's are great scanners but they seem to be a bit more expensive :(

Thanks in advance,
demo at rooted dot sh

1585. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <6917A17BB029637B.408B288702A49CD9.6F94012497D51FE8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84233

Oh cool, I happen to have a dam down the street though I don't like going over it as it is high up
and the water below is deep. One of those phobias I guess.
Anyhow, you are correct, I've never payed attention to the antenna outside the little dam shack but
there indeed is an antenna mounted on a pole there.
I have seen sat antennas (non disk type).
The ones I was attracted to are the twisted helix type as I hear they are great performers.

1586. Re: Icom R10 comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <FDC223CA78591B5F.7776B504D4E594BE.0DC5762E431EC60E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84234

The only reason I considered one is that it is a decent HF performer (better than the other handhelds out there) and it could be cell enabled with just a couple of 10K surface mount resistors installed.
I think ICOM caught onto this though and are now producing a different, non solderable type board now and most people aren't into mangling their radios by cutting traces and installing
components where they don't belong.

1587. Re: RS Pro 2048 - SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <0fTe5.798$ck7.230126@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 84235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yep.

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:ZTEe5.3212$c04.334678@nntp2.onemain.com...
> FS: RS Pro 2048, 200 ch, 800 MHz, antenna, box, manual, exc. cond. very
> fast.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

1588. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rolf Mathison <rolf_mathison@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <8lhbtb$8nm$1@hpbs1500.boi.hp.com>
Source record: 84236

You're talking about a Quadrifilar Helix Antenna try the Remote Imaging Group website for info on receiving sat pix. http://www.rig.org.uk/
Regards,
Rolf

Me wrote in message
<6917A17BB029637B.408B288702A49CD9.6F94012497D51FE8@lp.airnews.net>...
>Oh cool, I happen to have a dam down the street though I don't like going over it as it is high up
>and the water below is deep. One of those phobias I guess.
>Anyhow, you are correct, I've never payed attention to the antenna outside the little dam shack but
>there indeed is an antenna mounted on a pole there.
>I have seen sat antennas (non disk type).
>The ones I was attracted to are the twisted helix type as I hear they are great performers.
>

1589. How do you clear/reset a Uniden Sportcat 200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt in MI <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lhd3n$kcp@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 84237

Is there a way to erase all the channels and alpha tags on a Sportcat 200 scanner? Is there a certain key sequence that will acomplish this? If there is, why doesn't the owners manuel cover this?
--
Matt in MI
ICQ# 77911366

1590. Re: Do U.S. Scanners Work in the U.K.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Meg A Hertz <meghertzNOmeSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <2864cd90.c4142c25@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84238

See this page for bands used in the UK :
http://www.geocities.com/rf-man/spectrum.html

MegHz

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1591. Date Codes: 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <397C3A55.EB8D9499@home.com>
Source record: 84239

Anyone know what date codes are NOT modifyable to restore 800MHz to the Pro-2026?

1592. Re: WXSat and SB Live problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Meg A Hertz <meghertzNOmeSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <013a2f50.c6172403@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84240

Make sure you use the audio level faders on the SB live control
thingy - the ones built-in to any application may not work.

MegHz

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1593. Re: Icom R10 comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000724085407.19312.00000315@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 84241

> Icom R10 comments?

Construction and Physical
The radios construction is very nice. The numerous buttons on the radio are just big enough to work very easily. The text which describes each function requires glasses to be read if you're vision impaired. The speaker is located on the upper face with the LCD display below that and finally the 20 button keypad located at the face bottom. The function button, which provides a second feature for some of the 20 face button functions, is located on the radios left side with the CI-V and external DC power jack located on the right side. On the top of the unit are the antenna connector (of course), the SQL/VOL, tuning dial and external speaker jack. The plastic case is just strong enough to resist slight bangs and bumps with the radios weight and size a welcome sight (and feel). The LCD display (with adjustable contrast) is just about right, with the numerous functions, numbers and alpha characters being visible with a glance that requires not too much squinting. The belt clip (on the back) is a bit hard to use as it is not too flexible.

Features/Performance
The many features of the radio might overwhelm the new user and an "easier to operate" receiver might be what you need... but if you don't mind learning some new steps and you aren't too embarrassed about going back to the manual a good number of times for those not too often used functions, then the R10 is just right for you. If you want to listen to AM radio, FM radio, Police, Fire, HAM, Marine, TV, Short Wave and just about anything else and with a range of (U.S.) 0.5000-823.999, 849.0001-868.999, 894.0001-1300.0000 MHz and modes of AM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW then the R10 is a good Communications Receiver to do this with.

Programming is a bit different if you're use to a basic scanner like the BC200XLT. Depending on which mode your in the programming requires a few more steps than usual but once learned it's a snap. Even easier is to use the software program that is available for the R10 (CS-R10).
Scanning is done within one bank at a time. No turning on/off different banks to be scanned is possible. This is probably the most frustrating feature and might be a good reason why you might not want an R10..... but as I said, the many other features make this radio a good choice even if only one bank at a time can be scanned. If you don't mind programming multiple banks with the same frequencies to catch all your requirements (mix and match), then this becomes a less problem sum item. The SKIP feature may be used, like a lockout of certain frequencies, to avoid channel you don't want to hear temporarily.

Searching can be done like any other scanner, with limits entered in as scan edge frequencies and the search , called PROG-S mode activated. Multiple PROG-S limits can be stored. Search direction can be change by turning the

tuning knob.

VHF/UHF Performance
I found the R10 receives the UHF/VHF range very well. I live about 25 miles away from a medium sized city which uses the UHF/VHF range (450-460 MHz & 154-157 MHz) and these signal come in nice and strong using the provided antenna. There is a good amount of hilly terrain between me and the city so the signal strength is greatly decreased once it reaches me... but the R10 pulls in those signals just fine. The S-Meter on the R10 makes it nice to see just where to place the radio to get the best signal strength too. The 800 MHz range works just as good too for those frequencies I listen to. I would say the R10 works on the UHF/VHF range as well or better than any of the other scanners I have owned.

MW & HF Performance
If you are planning to use your R10 as your sole AM/SW receiver too... that would ok. I found that the provided antenna is not the best for this purpose and a separate AM/SW type antenna might be a wise purchase. If you want to connect a long wire antenna to the R10 I found the SANGEAN ANT-60 portable shortwave reel antenna works very well. It can be carried around in your pocket and rolled out when needed. If a long wire is out of the question I've found the WATSON W-881 Super- Gainer whip pulls in the signals much better than the R10s supplied antenna in the MW/SW range. Not as good as the long wire but at a much better signal strength than the original whip. Overall I found the R10 was all the radio I needed to be carried on business or pleasure trips for all frequency ranges. If your into serious SW listening then a separate receiver with more features would probably be an asset... but the R10 could be used by the casual listener. I've got a few other SW receivers, analog tuning, for just that purpose but my R10 is used just as often for these bands.

BAND SCOPE Function

The band scope function was another one worth mentioning. It was fun to use when I was in the city. You enter a center frequency and watch other used frequencies that pop up above and below that entered frequency in a bar graph form with a higher signal strength showing higher on the scale . It was more fun to use than functional for me but I would assume others out there would find more useful applications for it. Maybe to find unknown frequencies while listening to a known one or finding a source of interference.

CI-V
The R10 is also equipped with a CI-V port allowing it to be programmed from a PC through the RS-232 port. It will also allow one R10 to be cloned from another R10. This allows all the data contents from one R10 to be transferred to another. The OPC-478 Cloning cable is used for both these functions. The Icom CS-R10 software package is used to connect the R10 to a computer. I've used this software and it is very easy. My R10 has gone through the computer data transfer routine a number of times with no errors encountered. I still like programming the old fashion way but use these software files as a backup in case I ever lose my radio memory.

Overall
I like the R10..... what more can I say ?

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

1594. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3wvibeny1.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

' listen. We brought attention to ourselves, and we got what we
' deserved.

Well, we got what we deserved, I guess. Certainly sharing confidential information from picking up cell phone calls is a very bad idea.

Did we do anything to fight the legislation that required blocking those frequencies? Did we propose counter legislation where cell phones could be made secure by use of a scrambling system?

What about consumer awareness? I distinctly recall reading that my cell phone was a transmitter and that its signals could be intercepted by a third party. IOW, don't talk credit card numbers on an unsecure line!

Where we failed was not just blabbing about the capabilities of scanners. Only US scanners have cell phones blocked. You can import a scanner from Canada and still listen to cell phones. Illegal, yes, but not hard to do. All the older scanners will still pick them up too. The problem with cell frequencies has not been solved. It is up to the telcos to provide secured phones. That means using protocols that are resistant to man in the middle attacks.

The issues are both political and technical. Congress looked at the options (I hope) and chose the wrong solution. Rather than thinking that they are malign, I think they were simply incompetent due to being ill-informed. Scanner makers should have testified before congress and stated flat out that the best solution to the problem would have been to implement scrambling in the cell phones.

Now that digital phones are out, the problem is being fixed. The 800Mhz bands used by conventional phones are certainly offer a wide enough spectrum for digital phones. We all know what digital transmissions sound like. Add a layer of encyrption technology, not DES, but one that is resistant to man in the midddle like SSL, and the problem is solved.

The hardware to decipher GSM phones should be too exensive to be within the reach of ordinary people for a few more years. I think at best, my laptop computer would take about 30 hours or so to crack an arbitrary DES key. I would have to be actively following someone with the real intent of eavesdropping to go to those lengths, not something a normal scanner would do.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1595. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3u2dfenp9.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

```
' >until 15 years ago the state I live in had an open-holster law. As long as
' >your handgun was in plain sight and not concealed, you didn't need any
' >permit to carry it. Not anymore. Sounds like a change to me.
'
' Well, this is a good thing. We have lunatics on the road who will
' pull over and bash each other to death with bats and machetes over who
' cut who off....at the drop of a hat. Imagine if these lunatics were
' all carrying guns?
```

This is a talk.politics.guns topic. But I will say one thing about it
and direct followups to that group, which I'm afraid I don't read.

If some lunatic is going to run me off the road and chase me with a
machete or baseball bat, I would like the option of being able to
shoot the bastard so that I can survive the situation. He just might
be able to out run me, you know?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1596. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3r98jeng2.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 84244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> writes:

' Dave, looked at your page, got a question for you.
' Wouldn't such a law go against Federal law?

The bans on RADAR detectors in Connecticut, Virginia, and Washington
DC all violate the 1934 Federal Communications Act. I don't know
about CT, but VA will hit you up with a $25 fine for possession. I've
heard of confiscation, but I think those were just urban folklore.
The citations are real. I've seen the ticket a friend got.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1597. ocean city, new jersey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwbuckley@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000724090803.17086.00000311@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 84245

Does anyone know the frequency the airplanes use when going along the beaches with the banners?
rec.radio.scanner

1598. scanning cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lhk0j$52g@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 84246

I know scanning cell phones is illegal, but I am new to the hobby and am trying to learn all I can about scanning. I have been told by a few people, that even if your scanner is not "cell-enabled" you can still pick up cell phone transmissions, is this true? Something to do with being able to pick up an "image" of the cell frequency. What frequency range should I be scanning for cell phone transmissions? If I have offended anyone by this question, then I apologize. thanks,
--
Matt
ICQ# 77911366

1599. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397DF0FA.336CB892@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:397DC30A.ECD7E439@worldnet.att.net...
> >
>
> > Defending against what? And since when is defense an actionable
> > crime? You need to be more clear, and you need to acknowledge that
> > the Police in this country are prone, if not encouraged, to the
> > preemptive use of deadly force, as your example above demonstrates.
>
> I didn't say defending yourself is a crime. The simple matter is when
> police officers respond to a scene and see a man with a gun, they're going
> to secure the weapon first, then find out what is going on. Any officer who
> doesn't is a dead man (or woman).
>

Then you need to express yourself more clearly. What you said was
that a person defending themselves with a gun may be taken down at
gunpoint. And again: Defending against what?
> > >
> > > But it was the argument you were trying to make, that innocent people
> are
> > > killed by police officers.
> >
> > No, go back and re read...My point was that a person does NOT have
> > to be guilty of a crime to be on the wrong end of a service weapon,
> > and that to question someone who relates such a story with , 'what
> > had you done?' implies not only guilt, but the right of the police
> > officer to over react.
>
> I'm not implying that the police have a right to overreact, but my comment
> was made with the knowledge that police officers simply don't pull thier
> weapons on people who are doing nothing more than walking down the street.

And here we are at the beginning. Your statement simply isn't
true. They do pull their weapons without justification, and point
them at people who are not guilty of crime. Not all officers, to be
sure, but one is too many, and there are far more than one, as the
record and often the headlines will attest. And to make the claim
that police officers simply dont' pull their weapons on people who
are doing nothing more than waking down the street is a false
statement on it's face.

> At any rate, the original poster stated that the reason he thinks we live in
> a police state is because he was ordered to take down his website. So you
> see, he did do something. But he never did say that he was forced at
> gunpoint.

What did he do? Put up a website? That in itself is NOT criminal.
And to suggest that he did 'do something' because he was ordered to
pull down a website is conviction by accusation. What was the
content of the site? Who made the order, and on what ground? If you
can't answer these questions, then all you have is a question, and
no grounds for a conclusion.

Here's a clue...people are ordered to pull down legitimate
websites everyday. They too often comply rather than stand up for
themselves, but the mere fact that someone was ordered to pull down
a site, is meaningless, in terms of concluding anything about the
nature of the site, or the legitimacy of the order.

Which is, in fact, my original point: That just because someone is
directed by authority to comply, doesn't conclusively imply they've
done anything wrong, illegal or immoral.

And if you're not willing to recognize that, then you're part of
the problem, too.
>
> If your local law enforcement agency has one, I'd suggest looking into a
> ride-along program where you can ride for a full shift with an officer.
> Then you'd see first hand what kind of stuff they have to put up with on a
> daily basis.
>
> Nobody loves a cop until a criminal is at the door.

One of the things I did, when I was in Shreveport, is ride along
with an officer of the very police department that produced George
Dartois. I did it on and off for the 10 years I was there, I did it
in Texarkana, Decatur, St Louis, and a small town in IOWA where I
also worked. I'm not speaking as a fan in the stand. I've been on
the scene first hand in firefights, traffic stops, drug busts, home
invasions, assault, robbery, rape investigations and self defense
situations. I've seen officers, just for the levity of the moment,
stop and detain motorists for sport, bust heads, break up parties,
and accept 'gratuities' from an array of Street Hostesses and Social
Aiders. Not all of them. But enough to know that when a defense
attorney says, "do not take for granted that the policeman is on
your side," I believe him, based on my own experience, and what I've
witnessed over the last 25 years riding in squad cars, and visiting
police stations.

And especially in Chicago, where I've now lived and worked with
the local police for 15 years, the abuse of privilege, authority and
power is alarming. And the razor that separates those who never
experience such abuse first hand, and those who do not, cuts across
racial, ethnic and socio economic lines in the most striking and

disturbing ways.

>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1600. 167.485MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8lkrh3$b8a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83998

Heard interesting traffic on this freq today
in central MA. Sounded like an air unit and
two ground units following someone in a vehicle
around Boston's Back Bay area.

Any idea which agency uses this freq in this area?

1601. Join the original top 50 scanner sites.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <g_mf5.6369$oY.27262@news1.atl>
Source record: 83999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Visit The "Top 50 Scanner Sites!"
http://new.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/topsites.html

The ORIGININAL top 50 scanner sites!

***
```

1602. Re: Help selecting TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <397DFBC2.7D5A5AD4@home.com>
Source record: 84000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I agree. As soon as I can snag something used and temporary at Ebay, I'm taking mine back and waiting till the BC-780 comes out. Hell, can't be any worse than the 92.

reebok wrote:

> I don't know Bearcat's, but I have a Pro-91 and a Pro-92 and I highly
> recommend the 91. The 92 is absolute crap imo.
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
> "Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:397d1bd9.11068475@news.penn.com...
> > On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 04:40:03 GMT, "Sean McCarthy" <wx8l@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Any recommendations on which way to go based on the following?
> > >
> >
> > Buy a used 245 with the 5 second delay. I never used the Pro 92
> > because of the bad "press" on it.

1603. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8ll159$9cu$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David Steuber (nospam@david-steuber.com) on Mon, 24 Jul 2000 01:00:03 GMT wrote:
>think it is too late yet. But scanners and HAMs need a lobby just as
>the gun owner's do. The airwaves are a public resource. The FCC

Yup. Isn't that supposed to be the ARRL or similar?

>What we need is to get back the rights granted in the original act.

You mean the 1934(?) communications act?

>That includes the right to monitor *all* radio frequencies. If

Yup.

But just like gun control, the opponents will tell you that "times have
changed" and so more restrictions and limits are necessary.

>say anything that he would not want overheard in a restaurant. At
>least that is my opinion.

Ditto.

>A good analogy for the right to receive all rf signals is the right to
>hear all audio waves when one is walking around. Are there any places
>where you are required to stick your fingers in your ears?

Just act deaf when they try to tell you. :)

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1604. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8ll1bf$9cu$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 84002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn (glenn.hansen@usa.net) on Sun, 23 Jul 2000 21:42:00 -0700 lied:
>Sylvan Butler <xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net>:
>> Many members of the government forces tried to prevent the final tank
>> assault, and were making progress "talking out" Koresh, but the
>> powers-that-be decided to make an example out of those who dared resist
>> the government.

>Are you just making this up as you go or are you really that brainwashed

You are just too stupid to learn, aren't you. It is well documented in
the official report signed off by the commander of the psych team. The
above mentioned details were widely reported in USA Today, and in the
local Gannett rag as well as by at least one of the TV network tabloid
programs (60 Minutes? Dateline?).

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1605. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sterwart MacKenzie <wdx6aa@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IVnf5.8809$5N1.294340@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The WDX Monitor Registration Service is operated by one Hank Bennett and he can be contacted at: PO Box 9, Collingswood, NJ-08108.

Good Listening and DX!!!

Stewart H. MacKenzie - WDX6AA
"World Friendship Through Shortwave Radio Listening"
ASWLC: http://www.ocnow.com/community/groups/shortwaveradio
SCADS: http://www.ocnow.com/community/groups/radiocommunications

"King Pineapple" <saddlehill@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9jlf5.8362$5N1.280196@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net> wrote in message
> news:397DC4DB.94636550@snet.net...
> >Popular Electronics had
> > its WPE letters, which later became WDX numbers. I think the program was
> > run by Hank Bennette.
>
> Yes, and according to Stew MacKenzie (sp?) Hank Bennett still runs the
> program. Maybe Stew would be so kind as to post Hank's address again.
>
> Craig, WPE1HNS
>
> Meredith, NH USA (on the shores of Lake Winnipesaukee)
>
> Moe: "Where were you born?"
> Curly: "Lake Winnipesaukee!"
> Moe: "How do you spell that?"
> Curly: "W, O, WOOF. Better make it Lake Erie, I had an uncle there"
>
>
>

1606. Re: 167.485MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <_Xnf5.40946$oj6.381336@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84004

Maybe US Coast Gaurd.

Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8lkrh3$b8a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Heard interesting traffic on this freq today
> in central MA. Sounded like an air unit and
> two ground units following someone in a vehicle
> around Boston's Back Bay area.
>
> Any idea which agency uses this freq in this area?

1607. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 84005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
>the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
"Merde"

1608. Wanted: schematics / circuits for VLF or lightning detection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitchell katz <mcguyver32780@msn.com>
Message-ID: <OSInKlo9$GA.283@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 84006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Anyone have a good link or personal source, other than www.stormwise.com dealing with VLF receivers or lightning detectors, I am working on a project and need to build a device to detect lightning..

Your help eitheeer by post or direct is greatly appreciated

--
McGuyver32780@msn.com
Space Coast, Florida

1609. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <397E2141.2798F876@home.com>
Source record: 84007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Laughing at a man's death? You are a small man indeed. May you rot in
hell.
Gary
AB5RM

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!
>
> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1610. Re: Tell us where you've found the PRO-92A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <397e2640.3242908@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84008

I bought a PRO-92A (cat no 20-522A on the back panel) in the RS store
at the Paramus Park Mall in NJ last night. I don't know if they had
any more. Their display unit was not the A model. Too soon to report
much about how it works. Seems quite good as a conventional rig so
far, but I don't think there was ever much complaint about that to
begin with. If you're scouring RS stores, look for the 05A00 date code
sticker on the back of the box and 20-522A on the FCC tag on the back
of the radio. It still only has PRO-92 on the front panel.

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 04:22:20 GMT, Darrin <darrin99@iname.com> wrote:

>On 23 Jul 2000 23:59:57 GMT, Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
>wrote:
>
>>I'd like to remind you that the Pro2067 is an all-new scanner like
>>the Uniden-built Radio Shack trunktracking scanner was last Xmas. The
>>Uniden had major sensitivity problems, unlike the Uniden-built RS handheld
>>scanners.
>>
>>My guess -an owner of the Pro92A!!!- is that RS cut corners on their new
>>base, but NOT the Pro92. Both radios should be just fine for trunktracking,
>>but I wouldn't be surprised if cost-cutting means junky front end
>>selectivity in the 2067.
>>
>>Please don't trash-talk the Pro92A without trying it yourself.
>>
>>You may just find that it's the best thing out there now. Great conventional
>>scanner, great trunktracker (finally), great features, and -for the next
>>week- great price!
>>
>
>The Pro-92A is the same exact radio in a smaller case, as the
>Pro-2067.
>There are many unhappy 2067 owners out there right now.
>The 92A might be an improvement over the 92, but it's still going to
>have problems.

1611. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ahbsnsgged8ak3lith0h5l3f2p3js39k2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 84009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 18:36:47 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>Richard <no@mail> hollered from the outhouse...
>
>>I couldn't be 1/2 the jerk he was even if I made it a full-time career.
>
>You're at least twice the jerk Bill was,
>and you apparently don't have to work hard at it.

I fully agree with Bud on this.

Bill

1612. Re: Monitoring Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nvidiot <spammenot@reversit.org>
Message-ID: <05576BB429F5FD9B.8E380947BD72FB3E.A15D10BD3B9D603F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84010

Isn't ASTRO the one that's digital and encrypted?

"Ed" <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message
news:C7qf5.13323$eS6.226444@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> Anything out there that can monitor the Baltimore City system ? It is the
> Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital). System Sysid: 562a . : All
> talkgroups in APCO-25 IMBE Digital Modulation.

1613. Re: Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fungus Lippshitz <spamwall@firewall.net>
Message-ID: <CBE6F5481DCE1FE7.FC95F39DE0A59721.C3282F4036C360A6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84011

Hehe, remember Tarence and Philip on Southpark?

"Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:397E34C7.9506AB51@utoronto.ca...
> FlatASS wrote:
> >
> > Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
> > instead Bill Cheek?
>
> As fond as I was of Bill and as much as I loathe Mackey,
> nonetheless, I have to say your comment is in bad taste.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

1614. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <RXqf5.374044$MB.5938450@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 84012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking aboriginal clusterfucker.

Bill Cheek was a great man.

Funny... You bag on him, and I am from San Diego.. However, knowing most of the people in and around the San Diego area who where hobbiests and / or tinkerers, your name doesn't ring a fucking bell.

Seems to me you probably knew Bill by reputation, and not personally.

Fuck you for baggin on someone in their death.

TollHolio...

(sorry for the language, but this pissed me off)

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message news:g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com...
> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!
>
> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1615. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Cristy <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0553104b.ed55de70@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 84013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Sterwart MacKenzie" <wdx6aa@earthlink.net> wrote:
>The WDX Monitor Registration Service is operated by one Hank
Bennett and he
>can be contacted at: PO Box 9, Collingswood, NJ-08108.

Is this the same Hank Bennett who wrote a shortwave column for
Popular Electronics in the mid-1960's? I think he may have been
associated with the old Newark News Radio Club too.

Those radio columns in Pop Electronics first got me interested
in shortwave radio. Our school library had copies of PE and I
would browse them during Study Hall.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1616. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <397E3C49.888B6D8@cts.com>
Source record: 84014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

frg9600 wrote:
>
> In 1970, CRB's regular field monitoring
> correspondents began using special ID's,
> similar to callsigns, in communications
>
> A registered ID (such as KTX5WN, KCA6BT etc.) in a letter or on a
> card tells public safety officials, manufacturers, dealers, publications,
> broadcasters & other monitors that the writer is a serious & active user
> of professional monitoring equipment. It immediately identifies the
> writer with the large & rapidly growing corps of responsible persons
> participating in this vital important aspect of communications.
>

Hey officer!!!
Here is my card...it says it's OK to listen...
Can I play with the siren???

John Mackey

1617. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <397E4A77.5962160A@albany.net>
Source record: 84015

Rest in peace, Bill. You will be missed. My sincere condolences to Cindy, his family and friends.

Joe Nooney

1618. Bearcat 200 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <397e3d91$1_2@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 84016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a bearcat BC 200 xlt hand held scanner for sale.
Good condition and has not been modified but understand there are some
pretty interesting mods that can be performed on this radio.
Comes with power cube, antenna and stock protective case.

$140 shipped CONUS
reply to:
gbaker@pacifier.com

1619. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <hfgsns8j7v5mrnbuo4sv6r04mh11sk4thd@4ax.com>
Source record: 84017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
>aboriginal clusterfucker.

I rest my case.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1620. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <hggsns48rj1d8h1rln404odf6s1ihj38u4@4ax.com>
Source record: 84018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N. Lang" <nlang50@juno.com> wrote:

>Go back to alt.fan.art.bell Glen, where you got your
>start in mudslinging and you rightfully belong, with
>the folks you like who made fun of Art Bell's family troubles.

There are at least three outright lies in that sentence, which is pretty
typical of the type of person I was talking about earlier.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1621. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paco the Wetback (no not really)" <spamwall@firewall.net>
Message-ID: <D5D7714B4949F414.0D4CD73B70F97B17.3775173C61AA4417@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 84019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When you walk up to a dead person at a funeral to show him respect in real life,
if you see someone you don't like, do you shout out loud "Fuck you, you shallow little bastard
of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking aboriginal clusterfucker"?
This is where we are to pay respect for Bill Cheek, not a place where we take out all our hate towards
every moron who gimps by us in life.

1622. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <397E5122.774D4581@postnet.com>
Source record: 84020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Me wrote:

> Do dead people read newsgroups?
>
> "Chris" <chris@moonco.com> wrote:
> > May God be with you Bill...

A typical John Mackey (or John Mackey wannbe/suckup) posting! For the uninitiated, this is the kind of thing that makes the likes of Mackey and his slavish adherents like Glen Quarnstrom so detested by the overwhelming majority of scanning hobbyists. There is a special place in hell (somewhere close to where they keep child molesters) for the kind of people who enjoy taunting the relatives and friends of the recently deceased. Bill had is faults, but no one in extermis should endure the kind of spiteful vilification he and his family were subjected to be these creeps.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1623. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <397E533E.5BC61877@swbell.net>
Source record: 84021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Bombard wrote:
>
> I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> HECK IS THIS GUY?
>

Nope, it's not stupid nor disrespectful. Bill was simply the best elmer
that a newbie scannist could ever hope for. Count me among the sad.

1624. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <snsl93mo3j196@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

He was surely not an Elmer that I ever met.
My Emlmer knew the code and radio theory.
Was he a Ham operator?
Did he quietly have patience?
KD0XD

bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:397E533E.5BC61877@swbell.net...
> John Bombard wrote:
> >
> > I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> > HECK IS THIS GUY?
> >
>
> Nope, it's not stupid nor disrespectful. Bill was simply the best elmer
> that a newbie scannist could ever hope for. Count me among the sad.

1625. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <filsnssd5kaab8u4o68h0gs26oa8np3p0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 84023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paco the Wetback \(no not really\)" <spamwall@firewall.net> wrote:

>When you walk up to a dead person at a funeral to show him respect in real life,
>if you see someone you don't like, do you shout out loud "Fuck you, you shallow little bastard
>of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking aboriginal clusterfucker"?
>This is where we are to pay respect for Bill Cheek, not a place where we take out all our hate towards
>every moron who gimps by us in life.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote that. Please address your
remarks to him. All I did was quote his vitriol to demonstrate the
attitude of the average Cheek fan in r.r.s.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1626. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <397E5996.A0F476E3@freewwweb.com>
Source record: 84024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Bombard wrote:
>
> I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> HECK IS THIS GUY?

Bill Cheek was a great guy and a great help to many
who were/are involved in hobby (CB/scanner/shortwave
listening) radio. Do some research on him and I think
you'll agree.
--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com

1627. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <397E5AD1.69F74506@freewwweb.com>
Source record: 84025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.

God, that sucks. Only 50 years old.

I hope he got the last laugh by dying before
the government could figure a way to prosecute
him.

Rest in peace Bill.
--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com

1628. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <a0uf5.864$o01.427060@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe wrote...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
> >
> > A whole bunch of Radio Shack scanners dimmed for a moment at noon
Saturday.
> >
> > Though the reasons will vary, the scanner hobby will miss Bill Cheek.
> >
>
> That's real nice Glen. I know you weren't a Bill Cheek fan, but that post
of
> yours was real classy. Thanks. I'll always listen to your point of view.
>

Thanks. Actually I had know Bill for years and was a beta tester for him when he first started his interface business. The guy was down right brilliant and could trace through that interface and a Pro-2xxx in his sleep, but many times over the phone chasing bugs or my poor wiring.

He was abrasive to say the least. He and I had our falling out because I suggested he had gone to far with a fool and was losing it. He didn't take kindly to my friendly observation. We did not part on very good terms. Later that year he told a fool and the scanner NGs he was gone. True to his word he was. Too bad all around for Bill and the NGs.

Remove Everyone's bullshit of the past and only count substance. Cheek was a major contributor to this NG and certainly to the hobby, especially those with Radio Shack scanners. Detractors can say all they want but show me who did and GAVE more. Not many is any.

I'm done with the BS on this group too. My posts from this point on will be on topic and germane to the hobby of scanning. The flamers, lamers and fools can contact me through mail if you need to comment, we can have at it there in private one on one.

Glenn

1629. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sawyer <gary.sawyer@virgin.net.nocrap>
Message-ID: <7zFf5.10085$k54.173424@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 84027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Monitor what? Milk?

You can't even monitor yourself.

Brother Lloyd Davies <n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net> wrote in message
news:lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl...
: ??
:
: --
: LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
: Athens, AL 35611
: http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
:
:
:

1630. RE: solo se escucha movilne?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ESP340 <jpgarnic@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <GFmf5.1578$Mf4.17917@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 84028

movililine es analogico (sonido de radio en fonia)
gsm y wap son digitales , la voz y datos se convierten en se&#65533;ales digitales
parecidas a las de un modem

espero haber solucionado tu duda
visita mi gueb : http://members.es.tripod.de/esp340/esp340.htm

<duda@moviline.a> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje de noticias
QP0f5.37272$aS.280803@telenews.teleline.es...
> Es verdad que solo se puede escuchar telefonos moviline? que pasa con
> los gsm? no se si creermelo supongo que si se pilla la misma
> frecuencia da igual lo que sea no????
>

1631. How do I find the IF of my scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ljvpf$485@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 84047

My owners manual doesn't specify what the IF is of my sportcat 200 scanner.
Is there a way to find out?
thanks,
--
Matt in MI
ICQ# 77911366

1632. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000725082318.06858.00000011@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 84048

>From: Bud the Real
>Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,....>

Mr. Cheek added so very much to the hobby of radio and scanning. He will be greatly missed by me and a lot of other people. I hope his work and knowledge will be remembered for many years to come. He added spark, entertainment and a fresh outlook to the hobby. His advise was taken by many individuals looking for guidance while his books helped many to expand their radios in ways they never knew could be done.
As I said.... he will be greatly missed.

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

1633. Radio Shack Pro-2052 1000 Channel w/Dual Trunking NEW!!$200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreylr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725082546.26524.00000010@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 84049

New, never used, still sealed, $200-(retails for $369.00) Price does not include $6 for priority shipping or $15 for overnight.

Thanks

JeffreyLR@aol.com

1634. Re: >>>>>>>>>Radio Shack radio page being updated<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.13e72084f07db4db98992c@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 84050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <zS5f5.3979$oY.19233@news1.atl>,
n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net says...
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
>
> Take a look,
>
> If you have a mod or a link you would like placed, send it to me.
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cannot stand it."
>
> "Don't be a great man, just be a man, and let history make its
> udgements" - Zefram Cohrane
>
> "The American people will NEVER knowingly adopt Socialism, BUT UNDER THE
> NAME liberalism, they will adopt every fragment of the Socialist program
> UNTIL America will one day be a Socialist nation WITHOUT KNOWING HOW IT
> HAPPENED." - Norman Thomas, six times candidate for President of the United
> States on the Socialist party ticket.
>
>
>
>
Your sig line is 13 lines long...do you THINK anyone actually REASDS all
that stuff?
--
friend,
I am:
Howard Lute
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

1635. Re: How do I find the IF of my scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <397D9706.98F27642@megsinet.net>
Source record: 84051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I found 380.7, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs in the Uniden SC-200 I tested for
for review in March 2000 Monitoring Times magazine.

Matt wrote:
>
> My owners manual doesn't specify what the IF is of my sportcat 200 scanner.
> Is there a way to find out?
> thanks,

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

1636. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <397d6902.4051262@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 84052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Jul 2000 21:15:18 -0500, Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>What freedoms?
>Here is the bottom line:
>Why do you need to legally listen to cellphones with your scanner?
>Why does anyone with a life need to listen to cellphones?
>
Why do you need to Smoke Tobacco
Why do you need to Drink Alchol
Why do you need to Drive Cars
Why do you need to "entertain" your Secretary

1637. Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <Wphf5.78740$dF.2878829@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for a used or refurbished BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for $100 or under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.

John Bombard
flyboy4097@home.com

1638. How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brother Lloyd Davies <n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net>
Message-ID: <lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl>
Source record: 84054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

??

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

1639. Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Casey <mxlqcrandd@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lkajm$n6i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84055

Checked. Nothing Else

Just Add Water And Stir!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1640. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397dab53_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397CAB1C.314A570F@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> The origin of this argument was an assertion that a police officer
> only pulls his service weapon when justified. And that's simply not
> true, this rather celebrated case serving as a single example.

No, my argument is that a police officer SHOULD only use his weapon when
justified. Unfortunatly this is not the case. However, these bad cops
should be weeded out.

> Now you want to limit it to on duty incidents? The cases in
> Chicago this year and last where motorists were pulled over and shot
> dead by officers. One because she was armed with a cell phone.

Off duty incidents should not be considered an officer using his weapon in
the line of duty.

> And your assertion, as with Mr Bryant's implication, that because
> a weapon was drawn by an officer, there must be guilt of a crime, is
> also nonsese.

I have never made this assertion. Mr. Bryant did. If you read my example,
any time an officer arrives at the scene of a disturbance and a firearm is
involved, their first priority is to secure the scene so nobody has a chance
to pull a weapon on somebody. Sometimes it's the person defending
themselves with a firearm that is taken down at gunpoint.

> The fact that the court systems exist at all, are clear evidence
> that an officer is not presumed to be in the right when a weapon is
> drawn, and the victim is presumed to be not guilty.

I agree with you here. Innocent until proven guilty. Far from a police
state, eh?

> > Just because this idiot was a police officer
> > doesn't mean that all police officers are out to shoot someone.
>
> That wasn't the argument.

But it was the argument you were trying to make, that innocent people are
killed by police officers.

> Nice try. Even OJ was charged within days of hte murder. 20 Years?
> That's laughable.

I don't know the particulars of this case, so I can't really comment. But as I said, if the department was covering up for him, they are just as guilty. Regardless, one incident of an off duty police officer murdering someone does not make America a "police state."

> While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
> than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
> from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
> 2000.

We do have too many laws which are stupid and shouldn't even be on the books. We are overtaxed. Government is too big. But I still don't have to show my "papers."

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1641. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397dadd6_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397CC092.21C0D77B@worldnet.att.net...
>
> You're right. J.Edgar and his bunch abused their power. And
> individual cops acting today do face the firing squad media. But the
> abuse has been institutionalized. Not so much the individual
> officer, or department acting on he fringes of the law, but the law
> having been evolved to restrict basic freedoms in ways not conceived
> 50 years ago.

What law are you referring to?

50 years ago we didn't have Miranda rights. They give us a little more
freedom.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1642. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397daddb_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brother Lloyd Davies" <n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net> wrote in message
news:lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl...
> ??
>

First you have to denounce Brother Stair as a false prophet.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1643. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lkcjg$a3e$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com> wrote in message
news:Wphf5.78740$dF.2878829@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> I am looking for a used or refurbished BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for $100
or
> under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.
>
> John Bombard
> flyboy4097@home.com
>

Keep on dreaming....

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1644. Re: How do you clear/reset a Uniden Sportcat 200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lkd6cqqb1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84060

Matt in MI <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lhd3n$kcp@journal.concentric.net...
> Is there a way to erase all the channels and alpha tags on a Sportcat 200
> scanner? Is there a certain key sequence that will acomplish this? If
> there is, why doesn't the owners manuel cover this?
> --
> Matt in MI
> ICQ# 77911366
>

Because Uniden in their infinite wisdom, doesn't want you to know. Possibly to avoid problems with people 'accidently' resetting their scanners?

At any rate, the keystrokes to reset most Uniden scanners is to hold the 2, 9, and manual keys while turning on the scanner. Don't know if this will work with your 200, but it's worth a try. Be sure that this is what you really want to do though before you do it!

Once again, sorry for the earlier misinformation.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1645. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oXif5.47851$Q8.362830@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 84061

It might not make a difference, but I hope you told the store manager, or
the district manager.
When I worked for Rat Shack I always asked the customer what they wanted,
and went from there.
Unfortunately there is so much corporate BS, and the big wigs cant spare a
few bucks to pay the working help a little more to keep worthy souls
employed. I wonder if they realize that this would make them a better
company? Sorry for the vent.
BTW, was the salesperson 16? =]

Jason n1iic

Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.lanstar.net> wrote in message
news:dave-D67326.00373923072000@news-server...
> I could not believe this happened to me today. I have been scanning for
> a number of years. I have a friend who enjoys going to a smaller
> airfield with me every couple of weeks and parking not far from the
> runways and listening to the air traffic. He does not live far from the
> airport & thought it would be cool to have a scanner to listen to the
> traffic any time he wants.
>
> We went to a local Radio Shack in a mall here in Cincinnati today to buy
> an inexpensive scanner for him. We saw one for $89.00 that had all the
> features he would need and give him a taste of scanning. The idea being,
> enjoy it and see what features he may want later on then upgrade down
> the road. He clearly does not need or want a 245XLT like I have at this
> time as he is completely new to this.
>
> The salesman kept talking about trunking and how he must have it. Funny,
> since Cincinnati city & county PD & Fire are not trunked right now.
> There are a very few but for his needs trunking is something he would
> never care about for a long while. The salesman went on to explain how
> he could program in 4 freqs and be able to rob any bank right now and
> get away. Funny, my friend is not planning that either. He just wants to
> get air traffic and some public service. I played along at this point &
> told him to make a deal on the PRO-92 then. The salesman asked me what
> that was. Yes, he asked me what the PRO-92 was. After making an ass out
> of himself talking about how to scan and how important trunking is with
> a wery poor explination of trunking, he did not know what scanners he
> sold or what their features were, even though they were not 2 feet from
> him. He even asked me if I was testing him. NO, I want to buy this
> scanner with these features for $89.00. Needless to say, he told me to
> have a good day & walked away.
>
> I could not believe this. I came in to BUY something. I saw what I

> wanted to buy & planned to walk out with it. Instaed, I was insulted &
> talked down to by a person who had no idea what he was talking about.
>
> I remember a time when you walked into RS and there was a salesperson
> with their ham call sign on their name badge. They would walk you
> through buying anything you wanted & explained how to use it.
>
> Sorry, I just had to vent. I hope this is not a sign of things to come
> here in Cincinnati.
>
> Best regards,
>
> Dave
>
> --
> David Lewis
> dlewis@lanstar.net
> LANStar Communications
> Wilreless solutions & accessories
> http://www.lanstar.net

1646. Re: Corky Mackey needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#MJIUKl9$GA.278@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 84062

NO, the REAL IDIOTSS are the ones who repond to him and his redundancy.

bill

"radd3" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:39779F0C.6DCC209@kj.com...
> I think it is hilarious how this Corky Mackey freak has to
> answer every one of these posts about him. Just think how
> much time he has to sit in front of the keyboard everyday
> to write his lame-ass replies.
> Corky must not have any kind of life at all!
> Corky... you think you're clever and are getting the upper
> hand but YOU'RE NOT. You're the only one who has
> to spend so much time replying. You are an idiot!
>

1647. Re: Bill Cheek's Legacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uJ$e0Ql9$GA.286@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 84063

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8le6qifqi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3wae5.942$_j3.3372@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > Petty people do petty things, always have.
>
> Cheek's history was that of a petty man. That's his legacy. That and
> his feud with John Mackey. His name will be forever linked with the
> person he saw as his worst enemy.
>

oh get real. Mackey is Mackey's worst enema-enemy. Bill never
considered him much. Us 3-percenters who knew Bill knew it was not true
that Mackey was anything to bill other than "word-fodder".

anyone who took insult as to what Bill had say to them, well, loose
screws upstairs is thee. if you did not understand bill, then you did not
know people.

that qualifies most of the posters here who now are taking "numb"shots
at Bill.

Bill was more than most of you can see. The 3 percenters got along
with Bill becuse we were the chosen ones. We had access before mouthing off
as to why he excluded others.

I think that is what irks these butt-futts about non-Bill. He just
refused to acknowlege their stipidity and their redundancy in this life. He
knew those who were the 3 percenters as those who shared similances.

People like Mackey just slid by Bill. he really never gave much thought
to it except that he was having fun with the dolt-boy. He set up the
dolt-boy more than the dolt-boy knew. That is the genius of Bill. He set
the dolt-boy up and the dolt-boy assumed it was presents from his idol.

But the 3 percenters, we knew. That is why most of you will not
understand this statement:
Mackey did not affect Bill. Bill affected Mackey. That is the truth.
Mackey is not part of Bill's equation. He and me and others just used
Mackey for our entertainment.

I still have those e-mails. They are funny. it
was bill to be funny while being truth ful. Most of you could not accept it
as truth. Bill was miles ahead of you. Some of us were with him in that
sight. The 3 percenters.

bill conduit
3 percenter.

1648. What's a good beginning scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JojoG <jsacksNOjsSPAM@d21.k12.il.us.invalid>
Message-ID: <18fe9c14.64628714@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com>
Source record: 84064

Hi!
I'm hoping you can help me! My husband wants a radio (police)
scanner for his birthday. I've been trying to research them
online, and it looks like the Uniden Bearcat is the most popular.
My question is... which one should I get him? We live in Chicago
and he just wants to have one for fun. Nothing serious, just
kind of a hobby. I want to make sure I get one that's "powerful"
enough, but I don't need to much either. What would you suggest?

Also, I've seen a lot of on-line stores, that seem to sell them
pretty cheap. Should I trust them? Reading your ng, it seems
Radio Shack is an option, but I'd like to get the most for my
money. Has anyone bought from a reliable on-line store?

Thanks for any help you can give me!
Jodi

jsacks@d21.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1649. AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lkh0msim1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1650. SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rojf5.9078$ga2.251943@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.

http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html

Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.

His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
truly be be missed.

Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)

1651. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397DC30A.ECD7E439@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:397CAB1C.314A570F@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > The origin of this argument was an assertion that a police officer
> > only pulls his service weapon when justified. And that's simply not
> > true, this rather celebrated case serving as a single example.
>
> No, my argument is that a police officer SHOULD only use his weapon when
> justified. Unfortunatly this is not the case. However, these bad cops
> should be weeded out.

Go back and re read your premise..You SAID an officer only pulls
his weapon when justified. If you meant to say something else then
so be it. Yes, an officer SHOULD only pull his weapon when
justified, but that is too often not the case. And the morgues in
this country bear witness to that fact way too often.
>
> > Now you want to limit it to on duty incidents? The cases in
> > Chicago this year and last where motorists were pulled over and shot
> > dead by officers. One because she was armed with a cell phone.
>
> Off duty incidents should not be considered an officer using his weapon in
> the line of duty.

The original assertion wasn't limited to on duty, only to an
officer's service weapon. Since an officer is technically never
really off duty, the distinction is actually irrelevant.
>
> > And your assertion, as with Mr Bryant's implication, that because
> > a weapon was drawn by an officer, there must be guilt of a crime, is
> > also nonsense.
>
> I have never made this assertion. Mr. Bryant did. If you read my example,
> any time an officer arrives at the scene of a disturbance and a firearm is
> involved, their first priority is to secure the scene so nobody has a chance
> to pull a weapon on somebody. Sometimes it's the person defending
> themselves with a firearm that is taken down at gunpoint.

Defending against what? And since when is defense an actionable
crime? You need to be more clear, and you need to acknowledge that
the Police in this country are prone, if not encouraged, to the

preemptive use of deadly force, as your example above demonstrates.

Unarmed woman stopped for a traffic violation is shot dead by teh
side of the road, in her hand: a cell phone.

Two unarmed high school football players shot dead in a routine
traffic stop.

Go over the headlines. The pattern is clear, and guilt is not
necessary for a service weapon to be drawn.

>
> > The fact that the court systems exist at all, are clear evidence
> > that an officer is not presumed to be in the right when a weapon is
> > drawn, and the victim is presumed to be not guilty.
>
> I agree with you here. Innocent until proven guilty. Far from a police
> state, eh?

One argument at a time, please.
>
> > > Just because this idiot was a police officer
> > > doesn't mean that all police officers are out to shoot someone.
> >
> > That wasn't the argument.
>
> But it was the argument you were trying to make, that innocent people are
> killed by police officers.

No, go back and re read...My point was that a person does NOT have
to be guilty of a crime to be on the wrong end of a service weapon,
and that to question someone who relates such a story with , 'what
had you done?' implies not only guilt, but the right of the police
officer to over react. Neither is true. But the prevailing wind of
late, is that accusation demonstrates guilt of SOMETHING, if not the
accusation itself. And despite the fact that in many cases there is
cause to suspect guilt of SOMETHING when a police accusation is
made, it is by far NOT conclusive, and innocent people are punished
for the mere fact that they were accused. As in the case of Mr
Bryant's comment, the fact that a service weapon was drawn implied
that the person was guilty of SOMETHING, and that was enough.

This is a mentality that is becoming common. It empowers police
abuse of their authority, and an over reliance on their service
weapons.

>
> > Nice try. Even OJ was charged within days of hte murder. 20 Years?
> > That's laughable.
>
> I don't know the particulars of this case, so I can't really comment. But
> as I said, if the department was covering up for him, they are just as
> guilty.

The department not only covering up, but intimidating witnesses.
A United States Senator was elected on his cracking of this case.

> Regardless, one incident of an off duty police officer murdering
> someone does not make America a "police state."

Far more than one instance. And the protection of the badge itself
makes an interesting statement.

As for "police state," that's a separate argument. My position
here was solely on the matter of implied guilt when an officer pulls
his weapon. The matter of the police state was in fact part of
another thread. But as long as it was dragged into the conversation
my response below, stands.

>
> > While not being East Germany, or a Soviet Republic, there is more
> > than a grain of truth in the creator's point: we are very, very far
> > from the land of the Free and the Home of the Brave in the year
> > 2000.
>
> We do have too many laws which are stupid and shouldn't even be on the
> books. We are overtaxed. Government is too big. But I still don't have to
> show my "papers."

Really? Guess again. In Chicago, recently, a case came to trial
in which a young man was spotted by the Police, he was asked to
present himself and he ran. His history with police brutality, and
harassment was well established, and evidence provided demonstrated
that he was guilty of nothing in this case. Police attempted to stop
him for questioning, and wound up chasing him down and arresting
him, for resisting.

What came out in the trial was that when an Officer asks to speak
with you, probable cause is not necessary, and he can demand to see
ID. Refusal to comply may result in arrest and detention.

Similar cases have sufaced in most major cities.

So, yes, you DO have to show your "papers".

>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1652. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397DC35E.C9236144@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:397CC092.21C0D77B@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > You're right. J.Edgar and his bunch abused their power. And
> > individual cops acting today do face the firing squad media. But the
> > abuse has been institutionalized. Not so much the individual
> > officer, or department acting on he fringes of the law, but the law
> > having been evolved to restrict basic freedoms in ways not conceived
> > 50 years ago.
>
> What law are you referring to?
>
> 50 years ago we didn't have Miranda rights. They give us a little more
> freedom.
>

Pay attention. The Court recently had a very eye opening ruling on
Miranda.

You may wish to look into that.

> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1653. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Man with the White Coat for you <nomonkes@excite.com>
Message-ID: <brjf5.9095$ga2.252398@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brother Lloyd Davies <n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net> wrote in message
news:lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl...
> ??
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

In your case Lloyd, register at the
nearest Alabama mental facility

1654. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
Message-ID: <397DC4DB.94636550@snet.net>
Source record: 84070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is interesting to note the number of organizations, some non-profit and others who just wanted a fast buck. RCMA was a club that happened to assign state-numbers, no longer in existence. Popular Electronics had its WPE letters, which later became WDX numbers. I think the program was run by Hank Bennette. There was a commercial entity in Long Island, that will remain nameless who has long made a quick buck at the expense of newly minted monitoring types, offering a certificate and a number for a fee. Pure profit motive. You could make yourself a certificate on a computer with the same "official" standing. No registration requirements for monitoring hobbyists in the US, although it helps to have an amateur license when mobiling is some states. Many foreign counties still license their radio listeners, BIG Brother is watching and listening. One of the first rules of controlling a country, take over communications because it isolates the people.

1655. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8lkgl2$p9j$3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 84071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl>, n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net says...
>
>??
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
The old Popular Electronics invneted the scheme.
I think Tom Kkitel [of current PoP-Comm] signed them.
I guess I could did mine out and chek for sure.
As a joke, get a time machine and go back to 1958.
Otherwise try some of the SW clubs, theymight provide that service.
Another option is to get a ham license.
The resultant call letter set is yours, for ever.
And it is "official".
I mean, we could both set up opposing registrations and end up passing out the same "ID" to differnt poeple.
OR do a what a friend di bback in the 60. He use his intials, the FCC ham call area and HT for CB and SW for SWL
IE this is th 4 area, so ABC4SW or ABC4SWL.
Good Luck

1656. Re: >>>>>>>>>>Radio Shack radio page being updated<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Cristy <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <02545555.69565ff4@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com>
Source record: 84072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I rarely read sig lines at all. Sometimes they are hard to
avoid but mostly they just add clutter to a message.

BTW, your sig line is kind of long too.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1657. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Cristy <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0599f3c2.6ba199f6@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com>
Source record: 84073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Some Euro countries used to charge a yearly fee to own a radio
or TV set. I think it was more of a way to raise revenue and
pay for their state-owned radio than to control people. I seem
to remember Britain doing this but some of our British readers
would know more about it.

There were some countries with formerly totalitarian governments
that did make it illegal to listen to foreign shortwave radio
broadcasts. It may still be illegal in places like North Korea
or some of the African counties with dictatorships in force.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1658. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725131112.27577.00000124@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 84074

>Subject: How do I become a registered monitor???
>From: "Brother Lloyd Davies" n0SPAMvfp@bell_no_SPAM_south.net
>Date: 7/25/00 8:40 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <lFhf5.6013$N27.28703@news4.atl>
>
>??
>

Lloyd,
Check out http://www.crbbooks.com
for more information on how to become a registered monitor.

73,
David

1659. Re: How do you clear/reset a Uniden Sportcat 200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lkhuu$48a@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 84075

"bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:8lkd6cqqb1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> Matt in MI <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8lhd3n$kcp@journal.concentric.net...
> > Is there a way to erase all the channels and alpha tags on a Sportcat
200
> > scanner? Is there a certain key sequence that will acomplish this? If
> > there is, why doesn't the owners manuel cover this?
> > --
> > Matt in MI
> > ICQ# 77911366
> >
>
> Because Uniden in their infinite wisdom, doesn't want you to know.
Possibly
> to avoid problems with people 'accidently' resetting their scanners?
>
> At any rate, the keystrokes to reset most Uniden scanners is to hold the
2,
> 9, and manual keys while turning on the scanner. Don't know if this will
> work with your 200, but it's worth a try.
thanks, it is worth a try.

>Be sure that this is what you
> really want to do though before you do it!

This should just erase all the programmed frequencies and alpha tags right?
>
> Once again, sorry for the earlier misinformation.
No problem,
Matt

> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.

> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

1660. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725131611.23311.00008360@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 84076

In article <Wphf5.78740$dF.2878829@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>, "John Bombard" <flyboy4097@home.com> writes:

>I am looking for a used or refurbished BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for $100 or >under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.

Have you been drinking, smoking funny cigarettes, or both?

1661. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#HQGA2l9$GA.293@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 84077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:2a9pnskpf14h3jr85qi203fs9h1tgo7lh4@4ax.com...
>
> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
My condolences Cindy...bill was an obsession who was understood by few.
Good he had at his side the wonderful you.

bill conduit
3 percenter.

1662. Re: Icom R10 comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725133153.27577.00000126@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 84078

>Subject: Icom R10 comments?
>From: "demo" demo@rooted.sh
>Date: 7/24/00 12:57 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <FNRe5.1985$a01.43023@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
>
>To the people who have one, or have used one. Would you recommend this
>radio. What are you comments on it? Does it perform well on the SW bands,
>specifically in SSB? How good is its recieve while using the default
>antenna....? Sorry for the questions but I would like some extra knowledge
>before I shell out 320 bucks on a radio LOL.
>
>I am highly thinking about getting one. I have been into scanners/shortwave
>since 1996 so I feel its time I get something really nice to reward myself
>... I hear the AOR's are great scanners but they seem to be a bit more
>expensive :(

Think of the Icom IC-R10 as a scanner first and a shortwave receiver second. I've owned and used an R10 for about six months now, and most, if not all, of my shortwave receivers will easily outperform the R10 on SW and MW. SW and MW reception with the stock R10 antenna is dismal: you'll be lucky to receive WWV and shortwave powerhouses like the BBC. However, the telescoping whip antenna for handheld scanners which Radio Shack sells for $9.99 will improve the R10's SW and MW reception tremendously. I wouldn't recommend using an outdoor antenna with the R10, because the front end of the radio will be easily overloaded by strong MW and SW signals. SSB reception with the R10 is good but not great, although the Icom's ability to tune in precise 10 hz steps does help a bit. Reception of the VHF & UHF frequencies with the R10 is as good or better than any of my handheld scanners, including my Radio Shack PRO-26. FM broadcast and TV audio reception with the R10 is very good as well, although I'd say that the Sony SC-1 has a slight edge over the R10 in the WFM frequency ranges. Overall, the Icom IC-R10 is well worth the asking price, but don't expect miracles. There are very few wideband receivers that can "do it all", with the possible exceptions of the Icom IC-R8500, Yupi 9000, and the AOR AR-5000.

73,
David

1663. Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397DD039.8127528@kj.com>
Source record: 84079

Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
instead Bill Cheek?

1664. Re: Corky Mackey needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397DD07B.4B508160@kj.com>
Source record: 84080

So you would be included in that group.

newknews wrote:

> NO, the REAL IDIOTSS are the ones who repond to him and his redundancy.
>
> bill
>
> "radd3" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:39779F0C.6DCC209@kj.com...
> > I think it is hilarious how this Corky Mackey freak has to
> > answer every one of these posts about him. Just think how
> > much time he has to sit in front of the keyboard everyday
> > to write his lame-ass replies.
> > Corky must not have any kind of life at all!
> > Corky... you think you're clever and are getting the upper
> > hand but YOU'RE NOT. You're the only one who has
> > to spend so much time replying. You are an idiot!
> >

1665. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725133835.27577.00000132@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 84081

>Subject: Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!
>From: beehunter1@webtv.net
>Date: 7/23/00 10:52 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <29844-397B22DF-20@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>Try scannerworld.com
>
>They have the Relm (Regency) Electronics Scanners. They pick up the CB
>band, and are the only ones I know of that do, except the expensive AOR
>scanners. Models HS-100 and HS-200 are portables. MS-100 and MS-200 are
>base units.
>
>Chad

The Relm HS-200 has very good reception between 25-30 MHz if used with the
$9.99 Radio Shack telescoping whip antenna. The Relm will "hear" CB signals
that even my handheld CB with a loaded whip antenna won't receive.

73,
David

1666. Re: scanning cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <dmkf5.40509$oj6.378939@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 84082

maybe because people around you have cells and you are picking up images.

xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8lj0ei02jne@enews1.newsguy.com...
> I'm not really sure about this. I've got a 895xlt and I seem to be picking
> up more cellular.
>
> I think it might be "electronics aging". Like electronic stuff wears out?
>
> Don't know, but its sort of wierd.
>
> xman Charlie
>
> "TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JUYe5.38328$oj6.347641@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> > On some, you can get images of cell phones. These usually happen around
> > 896-912MHz.
> >
> > Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:8lhk0j$52g@journal.concentric.net...
> > > I know scanning cell phones is illegal, but I am new to the hobby and
am
> > > trying to learn all I can about scanning. I have been told by a few
> > > people, that even if your scanner is not "cell-enabled" you can still
> pick
> > > up cell phone transmissions, is this true? Something to do with being
> > able
> > > to pick up an "image" of the cell frequency. What frequency range
> should
> > I
> > > be scanning for cell phone transmissions? If I have offended anyone
by
> > this
> > > question, then I apologize. thanks,
> > > --
> > > Matt
> > > ICQ# 77911366
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1667. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397dd398_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397DC30A.ECD7E439@worldnet.att.net...
>

> Defending against what? And since when is defense an actionable
> crime? You need to be more clear, and you need to acknowledge that
> the Police in this country are prone, if not encouraged, to the
> preemptive use of deadly force, as your example above demonstrates.

I didn't say defending yourself is a crime. The simple matter is when police officers respond to a scene and see a man with a gun, they're going to secure the weapon first, then find out what is going on. Any officer who doesn't is a dead man (or woman).

> >
> > But it was the argument you were trying to make, that innocent people
are
> > killed by police officers.
>
> No, go back and re read...My point was that a person does NOT have
> to be guilty of a crime to be on the wrong end of a service weapon,
> and that to question someone who relates such a story with , 'what
> had you done?' implies not only guilt, but the right of the police
> officer to over react.

I'm not implying that the police have a right to overreact, but my comment was made with the knowledge that police officers simply don't pull thier weapons on people who are doing nothing more than walking down the street. At any rate, the original poster stated that the reason he thinks we live in a police state is because he was ordered to take down his website. So you see, he did do something. But he never did say that he was forced at gunpoint.

If your local law enforcement agency has one, I'd suggest looking into a ride-along program where you can ride for a full shift with an officer. Then you'd see first hand what kind of stuff they have to put up with on a daily basis.

Nobody loves a cop until a criminal is at the door.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1668. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <tmsevart@fament.comnospam>
Message-ID: <397dd5b0_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 84084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Cristy" <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:0599f3c2.6ba199f6@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com...
> Some Euro countries used to charge a yearly fee to own a radio
> or TV set. I think it was more of a way to raise revenue and
> pay for their state-owned radio than to control people. I seem
> to remember Britain doing this but some of our British readers
> would know more about it.

I lived in England 7 years ago and at that time TV licenses were still
required. The British government even had TV detector vans that would drive
around a nieghborhood and find the LO signals of TV sets. If your name
wasn't in the register of who had TV licenses, then you were fined.

--
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1669. Motorola id's, WTB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tlkf5.47875$Q8.363312@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 84085

I get a kick out of watching trunktracker run on my old 486. Does anyone know of a program that decodes the Motorola ID's that are used at the beginning or end of a transmission?

I am also looking for 3 or so 10 or 16 channel synthesized (preferably mobile but portables are ok, too) scanners that function. I need to cover the 150's and 460's.
Also poking around for some plectrons in the 150's.

Thanks de Jason n1iic

1670. Radio Shack/ pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EUkf5.47876$Q8.363482@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 84086

Don't for get about the Radio Shack "Rental Program". <grin>
You have a no questions asked 30 day money back guarantee. Snag the 92 that is on sale, and just return it if you decide that you want something else.

Jason n1iic

1671. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@home.com>
Message-ID: <35lf5.59992$i5.911640@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 84087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In 1970, CRB's regular field monitoring
correspondents began using special ID's,
similar to callsigns, in communications
correspondence, signal reports, on QSL's
etc. Those ID's were assigned by CRB HQ's.
As they became known within professional
& hobby communications circles, we began receiving requests from many
persons involved with communications
who wanted one of these ID's assigned for their own monitoring stations.
We then established what evolved into our hobby-wide Monitor Station
Registry program -a program that has registered thousands of
HF/VHF/UHF in the US & Canada. In fact, it became the central registry
for all monitors, superceding all obsolescent & now inactive projects
attempted earlier by others, such as WPE, WDX, etc. CRB's
distinctive Registered Monitor ID's are held by many of the best
known communications hobbyists & are regularly seen in all leading
hobby publications & in much of the hobby's correspondence.

A registered ID (such as KTX5WN, KCA6BT etc.) in a letter or on a
card tells public safety officials, manufacturers, dealers, publications,
broadcasters & other monitors that the writer is a serious & active user
of professional monitoring equipment. It immediately identifies the
writer with the large & rapidly growing corps of responsible persons
participating in this vital important aspect of communications.

Now, CRB extends to you a personal invitation
to participate in this dynamic program!

When you register your monitoring station you receive:

An individually assigned distinctive official
personal monitoring ID

A handsome 81/2" x 11" parchment certificate
inscribed with your name & registry ID.
Perfect for display on your station wall, home or office.

This registration is permanent. This is not a club & there are no dues to
pay.
Just $10 USd

To become a Registered Monitor Station:

Complete the registration on our secure order form &
submit with the 1-time lifetime fee via our online order form
or

print out our order form & mail with registration fee to:
CRB, P.O. Box 56, Commack, NY US 11725

Monitor Station Registry certificates are mailed 1st class to US
& Canada addresses.

Countries outside the US & Canada send $10 international
money order in USd.

Certificates are mailed flat & are sent separate from regular orders.

Allow 4-6 weeks for US & Canada addresses
& 6-8 weeks outside of US & Canada.

1672. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: King Pineapple <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9jlf5.8362$5N1.280196@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net> wrote in message
news:397DC4DB.94636550@snet.net...
>Popular Electronics had
> its WPE letters, which later became WDX numbers. I think the program was
> run by Hank Bennette.

Yes, and according to Stew MacKenzie (sp?) Hank Bennett still runs the
program. Maybe Stew would be so kind as to post Hank's address again.

Craig, WPE1HNS

Meredith, NH USA (on the shores of Lake Winnipesaukee)

Moe: "Where were you born?"
Curly: "Lake Winnipesaukee!"
Moe: "How do you spell that?"
Curly: "W, O, WOOF. Better make it Lake Erie, I had an uncle there"

1673. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397DC784.C766C025@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84089

I miss Bill. :-(So long, friend.

--
Michael Moore

Bud the Real wrote:
>
> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1674. Re: Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eyzAuqm9$GA.462@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 84090

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:dmnrns4fcpcavelrtet0i1niauqr41rlms@4ax.com...
> FlatASS <cany@kj.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
> >instead Bill Cheek?
>
> What happens in this live isn't really important, in the big picture.
>
Oh that was deep. "snicker"

bill

1675. Re: Corky Mackey needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#bVEprm9$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 84091

no, i am making an editorial statement. I do not communicate with the fool.

bill

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:397DD07B.4B508160@kj.com...
> So you would be included in that group.
>
>
>
> newknews wrote:
>
> > NO, the REAL IDIOTSS are the ones who repond to him and his redundancy.
> >
> > bill
> >
> > "radd3" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:39779F0C.6DCC209@kj.com...
> > > I think it is hilarious how this Corky Mackey freak has to
> > > answer every one of these posts about him. Just think how
> > > much time he has to sit in front of the keyboard everyday
> > > to write his lame-ass replies.
> > > Corky must not have any kind of life at all!
> > > Corky... you think you're clever and are getting the upper
> > > hand but YOU'RE NOT. You're the only one who has
> > > to spend so much time replying. You are an idiot!
> > >
>
>
>

1676. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8lkogj$2886$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Sevart" <tmsevart@fament.comnospam> wrote in message
news:397dd5b0_2@corp.newsfeeds.com...
>
> I lived in England 7 years ago and at that time TV licenses were still
> required. The British government even had TV detector vans that would
drive
> around a nieghborhood and find the LO signals of TV sets. If your
name
> wasn't in the register of who had TV licenses, then you were fined.
>

It's still in effect, Tom. I've got a friend in London that I
correspond with and he recently mentioned that his TV license runs him
something like 150 UK pounds a year, which I guess translates into
around $240 in stateside terms.

Donald Reeve

> --
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>

1677. st-2 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ashley T <ashleyct@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rKlf5.8421$5N1.282580@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84093

Does anyone know where I can get some reviews for an ST-2 Scanner antenna.

TIA

Ashley T

1678. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John K. Yohanson" <fyongmin@byung.com>
Message-ID: <g8ornsop7s89r335rbbh4radcec3h0ql17@4ax.com>
Source record: 84094

On 25 Jul 2000 12:23:18 GMT, scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack)
wrote:

>>From: Bud the Real
>>Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,....>

Bill was a friend and advisor to me from my first PRO-43 and 2006 back
in the '80's. He always cut me a square deal and gave good advice. I
hope his spirit enjoys peace and tranquility now that his suffering is
over. God Bless and Rest In Peace Bill....

Fred

KB7UUC

1679. Re: Help selecting TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qPlf5.6428$sO2.30020@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know Bearcat's, but I have a Pro-91 and a Pro-92 and I highly
recommend the 91. The 92 is absolute crap imo.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:397d1bd9.11068475@news.penn.com...
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 04:40:03 GMT, "Sean McCarthy" <wx8l@home.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> >Any recommendations on which way to go based on the following?
> >
>
> Buy a used 245 with the 5 second delay. I never used the Pro 92
> because of the bad "press" on it.

1680. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com>
Source record: 84096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:

>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>truly be be missed.

"...kind mannerisms...?"

Bwahahahahaha!

BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1681. WTB: Icom R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: caddoo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3981dc8b.48375689@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84126

Want: Icom R7000
caddoo@earthlink.net

1682. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397CE065.6B5E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rats.

Bud the Real wrote:
>
> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

1683. Plectron R8000 series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bears44420@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000724205359.16764.00000022@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 84128

Does anyone on the newsgroup have a schematic (or any type of manual) on the later model plectron, R8250 (UHF). Please contact me via private e-mail. Thanks. Bill

1684. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Burricelli <mrzog@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pG5f5.7454$Uo6.379136@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
:~(
"Lenny-t" <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397CE065.6B5E@worldnet.att.net...
> Rats.
>
>
> Bud the Real wrote:
> >
> > Dear Bud,
> >
> > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
> >
> > Cindy
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> --
> "A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998
```

1685. Motorola Trunking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <397CE731.13F1C239@home.com>
Source record: 84130

I have it on good authority (city cop) that my city not only uses an area-side EF Johnson system, but also a city specific Motorola system (dunno if type I or II) in the 900 MHz region. When I do a search on 890-960, I do get a bunch of hits, but they are what sound like digital signals, but they do display a MOT ID. Is it possible that it is a digital trunked system, or am I finding something completely different? Is he way off base on the frequency range and I should be looking where the LTR system is (but on different freqs)?

Unfortunately, I live in a somewhat small city that I have yet to find listed in any of the trunking guides. The county-wide LTR system is listed, but not the city.

So I guess the last question is, does anyone have any trunking information for the city of Bloomington, Illinois??

1686. Re: cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <397CEE98.F819AE66@home.com>
Source record: 84131

Maybe we can just let it go now, there is a dead man before us. I did not care for him but its his time to rest. I will speak or think poorly of him no more.

1687. Re: cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RG <prieye@NOJUNKmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <Mi7f5.46725$Q8.356106@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 84132

Well said. Lazy Bones.
"James Nied" <sobyo@mc.net> wrote in message news:397CCDE5.599D@mc.net...
> Mackey must be creaming in his jeans. I don't think anyone gave more to
> the scanning hobby..than Bill be he was nasty or verbal or whatever you
> want to say...he knew his scanning shit...In 30 years of scanning I have
> never seen anyone..with more tech input than cheek.As far as Mackey goes
> I think he would be great for target practice.

1688. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchu@sepalachingada.how
Message-ID: <397d0941.2734348@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 84133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 20:02:17 -0500, Chris <chris@moonco.com> wrote:

> no,....

How do you know?

> but just the thought that counts... I can see how you found that funny though

Funny in what way?

>> Me wrote:

>> Do dead people read newsgroups?

Ching Chu

1689. Re: What to do with a Pro-51? nothing good to scan anymore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MaKin <ontherun@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8lj40mdav1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 84134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I want to know its frequency. Last night, I heard my new Logitech
> cordless
> wheel mouse on 27.045 MHz.
>

Was it asking for cheese?

1690. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <8lj47usck1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 84135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Damn, what happened? I never knew he had cancer? Well, he's gone to that antenna farm in the sky, rest in peace Bill.

Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net> wrote in message news:397D0E38.8869822E@attglobal.net...
> Yep ol Bill was a good guy man. Corresponded with him quite a bit over
> the years and always found him to be helpfull,knowlegable and straight
> to the point. Books he wrote were very insightfull and thought
> provoking.
> Theres been so many rumors about his impending,and actual death though
> that I think I'll wait for the next edition of MT till I belive it.
> If true may he rest in peace.
>
> Jesse
>
>
>
> Bud the Real wrote:
>
> > Dear Bud,
> >
> > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
> >
> > Cindy
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>

1691. Sony Air-8 with lots of accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <montgomeryt@mail.your-net.com>
Message-ID: <397D1466.1D70976B@mail.your-net.com>
Source record: 84136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Sony Air 8, item number 388101671 for auction on ebay. Thanks

1692. Re: Maybe OT, but read this ..I don't think it is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <397d091d.55801630@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 84137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>>
>> Oh for heaven's sake....what state is THAT? Remind me to stay out.
>
> Alabama. Don't forget to stay out.

I will, and it figures....dang

>> > I did NOT vote for Clinton.......besides the simple fact that the
>> >citizen vote does NOT elect the president.
>> >
>> No shit.....what about Senators and Congressmen? Since when did the
>> president propose any of the ECPA or anti-scanning legisaltion you are
>> all blabbering about for so long?
>
> No, but he does influence in major ways. Setting the tone, so to speak.
>And to bring up your dirty word again, his attitude towards "firearms"
>encourages restrictions of freedoms in many other areas as well.

I repeat..THEY ARE NOT GONNA TAKE YOUR PRECIOUS GUNS AWAY.

DEFINATELY not in Alabama.

>> > I was under the impression that it was for the discussion, PERIOD,
>not
>> >just technical, and I see the discussion, barring attacking one another,
>to
>> >pertain to changes in laws governing our rights to listen to what's being
>> >broadcast through and into our homes, in addition to pointing out the
>trends
>> >of removing other rights to do things we used to be able to do,
>> >firearm-wise.
>>
>> The original discussion of this thread was WAY off topic, dealing with
>> firearms. Somehow, someone related the so - called "banning" of guns
>> to scanners, the ECPA, scanners being confiscated, and so on ad
>> nauseum. it is all quite amusing and sad at the same time.
>
> Removal of one freedom quite often leads to the removal of all
>freedoms....it relates.
>
>

1693. Help selecting TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean McCarthy <wx8l@home.com>
Message-ID: <DS8f5.49452$fR2.459191@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 84138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I'm looking for a Handheld TrunkTracker to monitor a local Motorola 800
trunk system. I know the PRO-92 and the BC-245 will easily handle my needs,
but I'm sure a used PRO-90 or 91 or a BC-235 would do fine at half the cost.
(used of course)

Any recommendations on which way to go based on the following?

-I want to listen to a select few talkgroups on one or two Motorola 800
trunk system.

-I DO NOT need to listen to more than one system at a time.
-I DO NOT care about its conventional performance.
-I DO NOT need EDACS or LTR capabilities.

You folks seem to have owned 'em all, so I look to your advise.

Thanks,
Sean

1694. Re: Help selecting TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <397d1bd9.11068475@news.penn.com>
Source record: 84139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 04:40:03 GMT, "Sean McCarthy" <wx8l@home.com>
wrote:

>Any recommendations on which way to go based on the following?
>

Buy a used 245 with the 5 second delay. I never used the Pro 92
because of the bad "press" on it.

1695. Re: PRO-91 won't let me program a fleet map!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725010133.25779.00000973@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 84140

make sure you have the E1 or E2 option set (not the 'usr' one) before punching
'data' for the last time
Eric G.
ignorance is no excuse.....

1696. Re: 900 MHz Cordless Telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725010327.25779.00000974@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 84141

Don't you just love this guys angle!!
Eric G.
ignorance is no excuse.....

1697. Re: NYPD FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fac911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725014527.22948.00000099@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 84142

what zones are you looking for? I have them all email me @ fac911@aol.com

1698. Re: PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-A53BAE.01070625072000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 84143

In article <8lifosmgg1@herald.Mines.EDU>, "Ryan"
<senordolor@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Is there a way to relate the PRO-92 EDACS group ID codes with the more
> common published ones?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -R
>
>

http://www.n9jig.com/radio/hex.html

has information on how to convert the various styles of designating
EDACS groups, as well as a text and FMP conversion chart.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

1699. Re: Motorola Trunking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-77C059.01102425072000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 84144

In article <397CE731.13F1C239@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
wrote:

> I have it on good authority (city cop) that my city not only uses an
> area-side EF Johnson system, but also a city specific Motorola system
> (dunno if type I or II) in the 900 MHz region. When I do a search on
> 890-960, I do get a bunch of hits, but they are what sound like digital
> signals, but they do display a MOT ID. Is it possible that it is a
> digital trunked system, or am I finding something completely different?
> Is he way off base on the frequency range and I should be looking where
> the LTR system is (but on different freqs)?
>
> Unfortunately, I live in a somewhat small city that I have yet to find
> listed in any of the trunking guides. The county-wide LTR system is
> listed, but not the city.
>
> So I guess the last question is, does anyone have any trunking
> information for the city of Bloomington, Illinois??
>

http://www.n9jig.com/radio/trs/mclean.html has the freqs for the McLean
Co IL LTR system. AFAIK Bloomington does not have a seperate 900 MHz.
system of it's own. They might rent time and radios on a commercial
system on 900 MHz. but this is unlikely.

Also check the old 460 and 453 freqs for BPD.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

1700. Re: Icom R10 comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: demo <demo@rooted.sh>
Message-ID: <kFcf5.4132$a01.62547@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 84145

Thank you both for your informative replies. they have been very helpful...
thanks again

regards

1701. Re: racing cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <397D79EB.F10F9583@cts.com>
Source record: 84146

Remove D's to email wrote:
>
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:42:58 -0400, JKT <DolphnsNOSPAM@ibm.net> wrote:
>
> >> What freq's does the remote cameras and onboard cameras use to transmit
> >> to the control trailer?
>
> These cameras use the various TV Electronic News Gathering (ENG) channels in the
> 2.6 , 6, & 10Ghz ranges.
>
> There normally several antennas spread around an area to get the needed gain to
> recover the signal, and/or relays used on helicopters etc..
>

Well kinda right....
1.9 ghz to 2.3 ghz. Depending on other 2ghz users. Usually about 2
watts on the uplinks. the cars use pankace antennas that are relayed
usuallt to an airplane orbiting overhead. A helo is expensive and only
used when and airplane CAN'T be used(thunder boats).
never seen a carcam on 10ghz in the U.S.A 13 gigs when 2 gnz is too
crowded...even 28ghz(rare).

so if you have one of those little Icom Tv radios...you might see the
downlinks to the microwave control trailer...but you will need to find
the audio subcarriers.

markj

1702. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cps@blackcatsystems.com
Message-ID: <cps-ya02408000R2607001945410001@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <397E533E.5BC61877@swbell.net>, bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
wrote:

> John Bombard wrote:
> >
> > I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> > HECK IS THIS GUY?
> >
>
> Nope, it's not stupid nor disrespectful. Bill was simply the best elmer
> that a newbie scannist could ever hope for. Count me among the sad.

Yep, Bill did a lot for the radio hobby. He'll be missed.

--
Chris Smolinski
Black Cat Systems
http://www.blackcatsystems.com
Macintosh software - science, audio, health, amateur radio, and more.

1703. Re: unbelievable response from Uniden!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <260720002027132654%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 83898

Well, obviously you have a defective item, since there is "No 5 second
delay" problem. I'd send it in for repair as instructed to do by one
of their employees.

In article <s6iuns03a8rlej8q1lhvff5keqtbl1s9bm@4ax.com>, Darrin
<darrin99@iname.com> wrote:

> On Wed, 26 Jul 2000 17:07:57 GMT, Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
> wrote:
>
> >Darrin wrote:
> >>
> >> I sent Uniden an email about sending my BC245XLT for a swap of boards
> >> without the 5 second delay. Get ready, this the response I got from
> >> Uniden. I swear, this is the real reply I got. :(
> >>
> >> >We are not aware of any "5 second delay". There were rumors going around
> >> >about that but it is not true.
> >> >
> >> >Thank You,
> >> >Uniden Customer Service
> >
> >ROTFLMAO!
>
> It gets funnier. Here's the reply I got, when I asked if they were
> joking:....
>
> >From: "Koch, Stephanie" <SKoch@uniden.com>
> >Subject:RE: FW: BC245XLT
> >To:Darrin
>
> >No I am not joking, you can call anyone in our company and
> >they will you the same thing. Your welcome to send it in for
> >repair
> > Thank You,
> > Uniden Customer Service
>

1704. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lo5mcrpb1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83899

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lnusf$4n2@journal.concentric.net...
> Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235.
Thank
> you in advance for your help.
>

I just ordered a couple of the BP-1600 NiMH (Nickel Metal Hydride) battery packs from Lee's electronics. $24.95 each.. They will fit the 235 and the 245 and give much more battery life without the memory effect of nicads.. Check at: http://www.leeselect.com/ for them and other scanner related accessories, etc.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1705. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lo5sj$621@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have the right to choose to obey the law. And deal with the consequences of my actions through due process of the law.
It is no different than speeding in a car with a motor designed for a race track.
What or who is affected by a modified scanner? Scanners were around before cell phones and cordless phones. Most of those are digital now so the old scanners and the modifications are pretty useless for listening to those devices.

Many of the modifications that Bill Cheek publicized were prior to the law. Fortunately we still have freedom of the press and speech in this country so his books are still available.

I guess a person like you would burn your books and turn in your guns if someone passed a law.

Myself, I will continue to enjoy, support and fight for the freedoms afforded everyone in this country regardless of what unenforceable laws your elected government can dream up this decade.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu...
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
> >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more to
> >the hobby.
>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O

1706. RadiosShack 2067?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lo62b$he4$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83901

Has anyone done a review of the 2067 yet?? (Published on the web that is)..

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1707. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lo6g2$hgp@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83902

Can you use the same charger for NiMH batteries?

"bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:8lo5mcrpb1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8lnusf$4n2@journal.concentric.net...
> > Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235.
> Thank
> > you in advance for your help.
> >
>
> I just ordered a couple of the BP-1600 NiMH (Nickel Metal Hydride) battery
> packs from Lee's electronics. $24.95 each.. They will fit the 235 and the
> 245 and give much more battery life without the memory effect of nicads..
> Check at: http://www.leeselect.com/ for them and other scanner related
> accessories, etc.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

1708. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: killrwat <killrwat@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <397f9e24$0$8313$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 83903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff, Comparing Bill Cheek to a drug dealer is simply ASSANINE!! as is the Cell laws..... If a person needs privacy DON'T use a cell phone..... There is a very large number of laws on the books through-out the US that if enforced would cause a CIVIL WAR! some of these laws ar ancient!! I'm not a Scanner Buff at all..... I could care less about modifying scanners..... I do however recognize Bill's name, Its unfortunate when Cancer or any other disease causes an un-timely death! Any ones death! Again I'm not a Bill Cheek fan, but even my casual glimpses of articles written by him, allow me to conclude the fellow made some valid contributions..... Other than BLOWING YOUR HORN, what contributions have you made??? I'm not refferring to a local level either! I don't recognize your name from any National publications..... No matter what subject a person chooses to write about critics will always be there! Pleasing everyone is highly unlikely! Why Rip on a guy after death? If you felt this negative about him, express this to a living person..... Not after he's dead and gone!

To Bill's family, hats off to the man!

--
Ya don't like my post?

KW


Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:8lo074>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying

> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O

1709. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <snv8cui13j114@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Many, if not most of Bills mods had nothing to do with cell. Adding
channels, getting rid of keyboard beep, rf meters , receiving ssb on the
2006, speed up mods. . . Modified does not mean "opening the cell freqs".
Any way , most of the cell mods were legal when his books went to publish.
I'll miss Bill as well. We sent a few e-mails back and forth. He was a good
guy. He could be a jerk, I'll admit that (that's true of many of us). But
at least he posted a BUNCH of on topic , helpful info.
It seems to me that this is the perfect time NG bickering to end.

Good scanning !

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu...
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
> >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more
to
> >the hobby.
>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O

1710. F.S. Bearcat 200 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gbaker@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <397fa22f$1_1@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 83905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bearcat 200xlt

case, power cube, antenna
not been modded
nice cond.
$120 and split shipping

1711. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <397f95d1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 83906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

'Tis indeed sad when a young man of 50 years passes away. Not meaning any disrespect, but, who is Mr. Cheek?

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joeNOSPAM@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

M. Davis wrote in message ...
>Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
>to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
>http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
>
>Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
>
>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>truly be be missed.
>
>Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
>use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
>
>

1712. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic <vlee@fix.net>
Message-ID: <397FA85A.98FE5935@fix.net>
Source record: 83907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are you sure about that? Refrigeration pumps do contain some oil.

> Q. Do you know why the Brits drink warm beer?
>
> A. Lucas makes the refrigerators too...
>
> At least they haven't yet succeeded in manufacturing a refrigerator that
> leaks oil!

1713. Necesito consejo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: berna <berna10@iberonline.es>
Message-ID: <8lpt2a$918$1@talia.mad.ttd.net>
Source record: 83908

Hola a todos:
Quiero comprarme un scanner pero tengo dudas de cual,el AOR8200 ?
el IC-R3,me gustar?a saber que se puede escuchar con estos dos aparatos y
cual es mejor.Gracias

1714. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwbryant@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725223359.09851.00000277@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 83947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

>From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler)

>>Are you just making this up as you go or are you really that brainwashed
>
>You are just too stupid to learn, aren't you. It is well documented in
>the official report signed off by the commander of the psych team. The
>above mentioned details were widely reported in USA Today, and in the
>local Gannett rag as well as by at least one of the TV network tabloid
>programs (60 Minutes? Dateline?).
>
>sdb

Duh. First you tell us the media is a pack of liberal Jews bent on manipulating us and then you turn around and offer that same media as the source of your "hallucinationatory" conclusions regarding Waco?

Are you really as stupid as you seem?

Bryant

1715. Re: In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <S7sf5.16319$o71.1043990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83948

Is it the motorola MDTs?

854 to 868 is the range for most.

But you'll need a discriminator tap and some kind of digital to text program.

VE3TMT <mdonoghue3@home.com> wrote in message news:dm2f5.80832$7I1.1467979@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
Does anyone know what frequencies are used by various law enforcement agencies for the in-car data terminals?

1716. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <397E870B.3273CC2B@INVALID.com>
Source record: 83949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:

> > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> A whole bunch of Radio Shack scanners dimmed for a moment at noon Saturday.
>
> Though the reasons will vary, the scanner hobby will miss Bill Cheek.
>

That's real nice Glen. I know you weren't a Bill Cheek fan, but that post of yours was real classy. Thanks. I'll always listen to your point of view.

allsafe.

1717. Re: Orlando, Florida Media Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R9tf5.6521$sO2.32612@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 83950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was just in Orlando the past two days checking out my apartment for UCF in the fall.
Here are a couple links for Orlando/Orange County freqs.

http://members.aol.com/_ht_a/mmahl1/scanner/orlando.htm (I like this site a lot)

http://www.onetgov.net/Dept/ocfrd/default.htm

http://www.trunktracker.com/states/fl.html#Orange

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

<robert@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:7ugsnsoeui1rubcb9d44s1qbca2fb2sh1u@4ax.com...
> I'm new to orlando and I would like to know if any body has the news
> media freqs for the area? Thanks.

1718. Re: Monitoring Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Cxuf5.53958$jE1.488726@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 83951

The ASTRO system out of the box is not encrypted, but there is the
possibility that they have added an after market little black box.
Here in N.H. State Police went to ASTRO and life has not been the same.
It's hard to follow anything. We had an MVA on the highway tonight and all I
could hear was the units in my fire dept. (I was at work dispatching for the
local pd). We don't even have the damn radios (and probably won't, either).
I heard some traffic, but not much.
SP is going to be trunking the 10 or so freq.'s that they have assigned.
That throws a nice twist on the works if digital scanners end up not being
able to handle the trunking out of the gate.

Jason n1iic

Ed <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message
news:k2rf5.13334$eS6.227700@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
>
> I don't know whether it is or not. I do have all of the talkgroups and
the
> frequencies but as to encryption I don't know. The local new groups must
be
> able to monitor them somehow !! It is used for all fire, police and
public
> service stuff such as public works.
>
> Nvidiot <spammenot@reversit.org> wrote in message
> news:05576BB429F5FD9B.8E380947BD72FB3E.A15D10BD3B9D603F@lp.airnews.net...
> > Isn't ASTRO the one that's digital and encrypted?
> >
> > "Ed" <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message
> news:C7qf5.13323$eS6.226444@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > > Anything out there that can monitor the Baltimore City system ? It is
> the
> > > Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital). System Sysid: 562a . :
All
> > > talkgroups in APCO-25 IMBE Digital Modulation.
> >
> >
>
>

1719. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <1469efb0.4ae03da6@usw-ex0105-036.remarq.com>
Source record: 83952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey, I managed to find a used BC235XLT (in perfect condition)
for $99. Unlikely with the 245XLT, but who knows? I thought I
got a HELL of a deal, personally. Came with everything,
including the AC adapter.

OK, someone's probably wondering where already. Grove
Enterprises, "Bob's Bargain Bin." Try http://www.grove-ent.com
and click on "Bob's Bargain Bin."

W.

Let go the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1720. F.S.Uniden 9000 xlt mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000726040809.28041.00000160@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 83953

I have for sale a mint uniden 9000xlt 500 channel scanner. With way to many features to mention. The unit maybe has 5 hrs of use. The unit is my very own,bought it new.I have been told it can be modified for cell.I have the box, manual,power supply,original antenna. Everything is as new like it came from factory. Very reasonable,E mail me for price.

1721. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8lm6b7$5g1$0@63.162.247.34>
Source record: 83954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

frg9600 wrote:

> etc. Those ID's were assigned by CRB HQ's.
> As they became known within professional
> & hobby communications circles, we began receiving requests from many

Chuckle. Yes, they became known in professional circles.

As Flakes.

> A registered ID (such as KTX5WN, KCA6BT etc.) in a letter or on a
> card tells public safety officials, manufacturers, dealers, publications,
> broadcasters & other monitors that the writer is a serious & active user
> of professional monitoring equipment. It immediately identifies the
> writer with the large & rapidly growing corps of responsible persons
> participating in this vital important aspect of communications.
>
Sure it does. It immediately indentifies the writer as one who
was stupid enough to part with $10.00 dollars for a piece of paper
that signifies nothing.

> Now, CRB extends to you a personal invitation
> to participate in this dynamic program!
>
> When you register your monitoring station you receive:
>
> An individually assigned distinctive official
> personal monitoring ID
>
> A handsome 81/2" x 11" parchment certificate
> inscribed with your name & registry ID.
> Perfect for display on your station wall, home or office.
>

Wow!

> This registration is permanent. This is not a club & there are no dues to
> pay.
> Just $10 USd
>

Actually, for $5.00 USd, I wll send you TWO 8 1/2 by 11 parchment
certificates, inscribed with your name, and secret squirrel
registry ID. Two of them! And, a handy Wallet ID card!

It is/was a scam, nothing more. Please don't try to glorify it,
in order to scam people out of their money. Heck, $10.00 might
just buy a gallon or two of gas!

1722. Re: Monitoring Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <397ECAD6.8BE83205@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 83955

ASTRO's can be analog or digital, trunking and conventional. They also can use several forms of encryption depending on programing and options. NH went Astro so they could experiment with digital Apco 25 VHF system while still having some channels analog.

Jason wrote:

> The ASTRO system out of the box is not encrypted, but there is the
> possibility that they have added an after market little black box.
> Here in N.H. State Police went to ASTRO and life has not been the same.
> It's hard to follow anything. We had an MVA on the highway tonight and all I
> could hear was the units in my fire dept. (I was at work dispatching for the
> local pd). We don't even have the damn radios (and probably won't, either).
> I heard some traffic, but not much.
> SP is going to be trunking the 10 or so freq.'s that they have assigned.
> That throws a nice twist on the works if digital scanners end up not being
> able to handle the trunking out of the gate.
>
> Jason n1iic
>
> Ed <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message
> news:k2rf5.13334$eS6.227700@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> >
> > I don't know whether it is or not. I do have all of the talkgroups and
> the
> > frequencies but as to encryption I don't know. The local new groups must
> be
> > able to monitor them somehow !! It is used for all fire, police and
> public
> > service stuff such as public works.
> >
> > Nvidiot <spammenot@reversit.org> wrote in message
> > news:05576BB429F5FD9B.8E380947BD72FB3E.A15D10BD3B9D603F@lp.airnews.net...
> > > Isn't ASTRO the one that's digital and encrypted?
> > >
> > > "Ed" <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:C7qf5.13323$eS6.226444@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > > > Anything out there that can monitor the Baltimore City system ? It is
> > the
> > > > Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital). System Sysid: 562a . :
> All
> > > > talkgroups in APCO-25 IMBE Digital Modulation.
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >

1723. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: icstars@nmia.com
Message-ID: <3tktns4hifqhmhnkurur9tau6ift4vh8bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 83956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I knew Bill since the early 70's. He had just got out of the Navy. We had FUN in the days of early CB. What a loss.

Memmorys will never be forgotten.

Take care Bill,

Owen KB5XE Tripple D -early days-Albuquerque, NM

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 20:28:17 -0700, Bill Eitner <kd6tas@freewwweb.com> wrote:

>> Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
>> to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
> God, that sucks. Only 50 years old.
>
> I hope he got the last laugh by dying before
> the government could figure a way to prosecute
> him.
>
> Rest in peace Bill.

1724. Radios For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Slingshot2000 <slingshot01@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <397ED746.46A11792@yahoo.com>
Source record: 83957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

the following items are for sale only SERIOUS REPLY'S
PLEASE

1- a motorola R-100 UHF 50 watt desk top repeater with duplexer and
dual tone panel
in very good working condition and computer programmable im
asking $800 plus the
cost of shipping

2- i have a brand new Zetron 38max tone panel in very good condition
comes with
operating manuals im asking $400 pulse the cost of shipping

3- i have a large RX/TX pass band reject Duplexer in very good
condition im asking
$300 plus the cost of shipping

4- i have a motorola 35 watt 2 channel VHF mobile in very good
condition
computer programmable im asking $200 plus shipping

5- i have a motorola 50 watt UHF Spectra Mobile Base with Full Front
Display and
Key Pad with DES in very good condition it dose not cover the HAM
bands im asking $800
and a DES key loader and cables im asking $400 plus the cost of
shipping

all of the above items are in very good working order and are
in good to fair
condition i will not be taking any personal checks only US Postal
Money Orders i have
sold many items in the past with no problems and please don't ask me
to drop the price
because im going as low as i can with out givingit away and if you
live in the new york city
area you may stop by to look at the items first hand and you could
save on the shipping cost

1725. Re: Wanted: schematics / circuits for VLF or lightning detection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rolf Mathison <rolf_mathison@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <8lmmef$7us$1@hpbs1500.boi.hp.com>
Source record: 83958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mitchell,

Try www.boltek.com Its a complete detection system. I've been using this
system for four years now, and am very satisfied withe its performance.
Regards,
Rolf
mitchell katz wrote in message ...
Anyone have a good link or personal source, other than www.stormwise.com
dealing with VLF receivers or lightning detectors, I am working on a project
and need to build a device to detect lightning..

Your help eitheeer by post or direct is greatly appreciated

--
McGuyver32780@msn.com
Space Coast, Florida

1726. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioFreak <quicksilver527NOquSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <070dbf92.5002d173@usw-ex0109-069.remarq.com>
Source record: 83959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rest in peace Bill, you will be missed.

* Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

1727. Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uy$jmHw9$GA.367@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 83960

Sad news about the Cheek Man....he was 56.

In passing I propose that from this day on we honor Bill by not posting or respond to any postings by the Idiot of Mackeral.

There is no purpose for the Idiot to be on this site and I would think he will do the honorable thing: disappear.

I promise not to mention the IDIOT on this news group or alt. from this day forward.

Take the pledge silently and follow down. No need to encourage furtherances by the IDIOT.

bill conduit
3 percenter member

1728. Sony Air-8 with accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <montgomeryt@mailhost.day.ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <397EE2AA.9A68A1C6@mailhost.day.ameritech.net>
Source record: 83961
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Sony Air-8 on ebay with original accessories and manual.

Thanks

Item number 388101671

1729. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Scott <kf7qz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <397EF417.23194DED@yahoo.com>
Source record: 83962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Glen Quarnstrom wrote a bunch of inconsiderate drivel: to which I reply

Your Posting

Flame Meter:

[ ] 10. Lucifer's tanning bed
[ ] 9. Dante's Inferno
[ ] 8. Crankshaft's barbecue
[ ] 7. Napalm
[ ] 6. Bonfire at Nazi bookburning demonstration
[ ] 5. Weapons grade flamethrower
[ ] 4. Bonfire at political demonstration
[ ] 3. Car bomb
[ ] 2. Dynamite
[ ] 1. Firecracker
[X] 0. Paper bag full of air

Troll Meter:

[ ] 10. More slime than in all the gutters of NYC
[ ] 9. Caps lock is all stuck^H^H^H^H^jizzed up
[ ] 8. Them little increasingly expensive dolls
[ ] 7. False reverend
[ ] 6. False prophet
[ ] 5. Grand Nagus
[ ] 4. Gargamel
[ ] 3. Enough warts to put Compound W into the Fortune 500
[ ] 2. Your lips keep flapping, how odd
[X] 1. You're operating a toll bridge out of season without a permit
[ ] 0. Troll? Ain't that what you do when you go fishin'?

Lameness Meter:

[ ] 11. Is that Freedom Rock man? Yeah man! Well turn it up man!
[ ] 10. Limper than Tone Loc after discovering Sheena was a man
[ ] 9. Quadruplegic
[ ] 8. Marshall Herff Applewhite
[ ] 7. Paraplegic
[ ] 6. Outer extremities after illegal cross-checking by Claude
Lemieux
[X] 5. The cow whom Cripple Creek was named after
[ ] 4. Mrs. O'Leary's cow
[ ] 3. AOLer
[ ] 2. Same as you'd feel if you had 10 beers

[ ] 1. The Beta machine

BS Meter:

[ ] 9. A day's work for the street sweeper in Pamplona
[ ] 8. "My personal integrity is none of your concern"
[ ] 7. "I am not a crook"
[ ] 6. "We're here to serve you"
[ ] 5. "Now that I've been found innocent, I'll pursue the REAL killer"
[X] 4. "We are not in the business of annoying people"
[ ] 3. Pro wrestling
[ ] 2. "Can't we all just get along?"
[ ] 1. "I MEANT to do that!!"

This author believes you are:

[ ] Liar [ ] Coward [X] Loser [X] Lamer
[ ] Spammer [X] Troll [ ] Dimwit [ ] Anarchist
[X] Geek [ ] Hypocrite [ ] Dopehead [ ] Loony
[ ] Elvis [ ] Fake Santa [ ] An "It" [ ] Clueless Newbie
[ ] Obsessed Fundamentalist [ ] Religious Atheist
[ ] "Me Too"er [ ] Imbecile [ ] Pervert [ ] Freakshow
[ ] Censor [ ] Raiders Fan [ ] Moron [ ] Communist
[ ] Yes Man [ ] Racist [ ] Federales [ ] Potty Mouth
[ ] Politician [ ] Extortionist [ ] Jailbait [ ] Revisionist
[X] Pathetic [ ] Bonehead [ ] Satanist [ ] Bogus Attorney
[X] Wino [ ] False Prophet [ ] Infidel [ ] $cientologist
[ ] Fuckwit [ ] Paparazzi [ ] Fake Reaper [ ] Dork
[ ] Underage [ ] Blasphemer [ ] Bed-Wetter [ ] Pants-Wetter
[ ] Weird [ ] Buzzed [ ] Blitzed [ ] False Patriot
[ ] Puppet [ ] Lackey [ ] Handjobber [ ] Painted Jezebel
[ ] Palmjobber [ ] Buffoon [ ] Idiot [ ] Godwin Disciple
[ ] Waste Of Skin And Air [ ] Mind-Numbingly Incompetent
[ ] Obesely Full Of Yourself [X] Two Fries Short Of A Happy Meal
[ ] Murderer of innocent electrons
[ ] Using mommy's/daddy's Internet connection without their permission
[ ] Selectively interpreting the First Amendment
[X] Stupid [ ] Suffering from cranial rectitis
[ ] Sock puppet [ ] Pedophile [ ] In dire need of a lobotomy

You have committed the unpardonable offense of:

[X] Crossposting to hell and back
[ ] Multiposting enough times to calculate the value of pi
[ ] ...to 1,000,000 decimal places

[X] Annoying me
[ ] Misplacing your binary
[ ] Misplacing your fly
[ ] Them's fightin' words!!
[ ] Boring the hell out of me
[ ] Posting what should've been Emailed
[ ] Directly flaming me after I plonked your tushie
[ ] Impersonating me because you couldn't handle the stress
[ ] Looked a gift horse up the arse
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your religious beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your atheistic beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your government horsehockey on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your racist beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your political beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your anarchist beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your parasitic beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your ethical beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your moral beliefs on others
[ ] Arrogantly imposing your constitutional beliefs on others
[X] Being an unoriginal bastard
[ ] I don't like the tone of your voice
[ ] Posting a "YOU ALL SUCK" message
[ ] Being a troll in denial
[ ] Giving me idle threats
[ ] Impersonating a Good Guy
[X] Posting here for no good reason
[ ] Impersonating a Rider of the Apocalypse
[ ] Pretending to be Lord High Posterchild of the known universe
[ ] Started a long, stupid thread
[ ] Caused a thread to become a long, stupid thread
[ ] Played a key role in perpetuating a long, stupid thread
[ ] Posted what has been done before
[ ] Not only that, it was done better the last time
[ ] Telling me that I am wasting my time
[ ] Telling me that I need to get a life
[ ] Telling me that I have violated your Right to Frea Speach
[ ] Asking me if I have better things to do
[ ] Being waaaay off topic
[ ] Quoted the entire friggin' post
[ ] Used an anonymous remailer
[ ] Used an entirely fake identity and address
[ ] Attempting a stillborn takeover of a net abuse newsgroup
[ ] Pretending to play God
[ ] Pretending to BE God
[X] Showing intelligence under the left end of the bell curve
[ ] Using HTML to post to news
[ ] Backed up *nothing* you said
[ ] Not only that, I could argue your case better than you
[ ] Can't seem to control where you're posting to
[X] Must've spent your life in a skinner box to be this clueless

[ ] Using Witless Prattle
[ ] Claimed to be a moral paragon
[ ] Pretended that you are/were an "expert"
[ ] Saying "ME TOO!"
[ ] Being a WaReZ dO0d
[X] Being of brazenly low IQ
[ ] Thinking this newsgroup is your personal billboard
[ ] You are a loser
[ ] This has been pointed out to you before
[ ] Nobody likes you
[ ] This has been pointed out to you before
[ ] Using stupid circular arguments
[ ] Studied for the speling bea while eating potatoe
[ ] Studied grammar talking for backwards while bee the
[X] Being profoundly ignorant of the purpose of the Internet
[X] Being profoundly ignorant of the purpose of this newsgroup
[X] Being profoundly ignorant
[ ] This has been pointed out to you before

As part of your penance, you should:

[X] Be dragged out into the street and shot
[ ] Be dragged out onto the dunghill and shot
[ ] If you survive, be shot again
[ ] Perform oral sex on a pit bull
[ ] Receive oral sex from a pit bull
[X] Never show yourself in this newsgroup again
[ ] Be knocked upside the head with a clue-by-four
[ ] Be spanked by your mommie
[ ] Get a day job
[ ] Get a whore
[ ] Get a balloon doll
[ ] Get a life
[X] Melt your Email software
[X] Bust up your modem with a hammer and eat it
[ ] Do freestyle skiing off London Bridge
[ ] Smoke less of what you're smoking
[ ] Take a long walk off a short pier and french-kiss a shark
[ ] Stick to AOL until you grow up
[ ] Be displayed in the public square as a pigeon latrine
[ ] Shall have your account pureed
[ ] Attempt to rob the Piranha Club
[ ] Snort less of what you're snorting
[ ] Get your web page hosted by the Great ISP Down Below
[ ] Bathe in a geologically active mud pot
[ ] Raise chipmunks at Chernobyl
[ ] Shove your keyboard where only a proctologist can find it
[ ] Make yourself an example of how to decrease the surplus population
[ ] Attend a Denver Nuggets game
[ ] Post something relevant
[ ] Read the damn FAQ

[ ] Take a pill of my choosing
[ ] Find an active volcano and throw yourself in
[ ] Consume excrement until you expire
[ ] Beg for mercy
[ ] Complete a 100 kV electrical circuit using only your 'nads
[ ] Walk across an interstate highway blindfolded
[ ] Squirt tobasco sauce into your eyes
[ ] Study pedestrian-vehicular momentum changes from the pedestrian's perspective

In summary, I:

[ ] Spit in your face
[ ] Let my dog piss on you
[ ] Let my dog hump on you
[X] Hope you get attacked by a herd of horny silverback gorillas with CCHF
[ ] Shall ignore you generally
[X] Shall issue more flaming retorts
[ ] Shall flame and expose you
[ ] Shall flame, expose and report you
[ ] Shall flame, expose, report and humiliate you
[ ] *PLONK*
[ ] Shall throw peanuts at you
[ ] Blow you off
[ ] Flip you the bird
[ ] "May whatever god you believe in have mercy on your soul"
[ ] Spew phlegm in your general direction
[ ] Laugh in your specific direction
[ ] Hope you slip on the septic overflow
[ ] Hope you have a good time

1730. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sntv6e403j1127@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83963

Well, buddy, I did stuff in the service that is still classified today ! And I bet there are quite few others... Information only drops one notch in classification every 20 years.... Stuff that was "Top Secret" in `Nam is just now beginning to reach the point where it will finally be "confidential" in a few years.

"J. MacKenzie" <jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8le85fghj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <snhdi1e6tt131@corp.supernews.com>,
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > > Another poor Mackey wart is heard from .... poor baby, you dont like
> >
> >
> > Hey Zomb, are you Richard Cravens?
> >
> > I haven't seen Mackey caught in a lie, but I know Cheek lied in this
> > newsgroup about Vietnam service: "This ain't no shit, I did stuff that's
> > still classified today." Yeah, right. Still classified after 30 years.
> > Must have been UFO's in Vietnam.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

1731. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000726110636.13819.00000163@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 83964

That article is pretty stupid... It seems that the article leaves out one important aspect of tracking a cell phone caller... the 911 call.. if 911 centers had the capability to track a cell phone caller, they could better assist them, especially if the person has become unable to talk or is afraid to talk because of possible threat to their life. If this technology was in 911 centers, I am sure that the same laws would apply to tracking someone using this as would evesdropping laws. There may even be legislature out there already. I really doubt that the police or the government could just track someone without any sort of court order or warrant...

Does anyone know if any sort of tracking equipment for cell phones is out there or is this article just another paranoid fantasy?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

1732. Re: What has happened to Radio Shack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000726111534.13819.00000166@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 83965

I would call the RS customer service and complain to them. I did that because of the store manager being extremely rude to me over the phone... funny thing is that I haven't seen him in the store again after that! :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

1733. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8ln0tp$11j$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83966

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000725131611.23311.00008360@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <Wphf5.78740$dF.2878829@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>, "John Bombard"
> <flyboy4097@home.com> writes:
>
> >I am looking for a used or refurbished BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for $100
or
> >under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.
>
> Have you been drinking, smoking funny cigarettes, or both?
>

Perhaps he is just trolling....:-)

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1734. UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <397F078E.D16B40AC@kj.com>
Source record: 83967

I propose we honor Bill's passing by giving 'The Freak
of Mackey' the business like he has never gotten it before.
Bill would have loved that.
You game Ugly Corkey Mackey?

newknews wrote:

> Sad news about the Cheek Man....he was 56.
>
> In passing I propose that from this day on we honor Bill by not posting or
> respond to any postings by the Idiot of Mackeral.
>
> There is no purpose for the Idiot to be on this site and I would think he
> will do the honorable thing: disappear.
>
> I promise not to mention the IDIOT on this news group or alt. from this day
> forward.
>
> Take the pledge silently and follow down. No need to encourage furtherances
> by the IDIOT.
>
> bill conduit
> 3 percenter member

1735. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UpEf5.46285$DJ2.237250@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 83968

I understand that the technology is there, but it is not being mandated for another few years. The cell companies must be looking at the bottom dollar because they have not done any thing yet to improve the safety of their customers.
They are able to tell which antenna that is being used for that call. I wonder if they will incorporate some method of triangulation...

Jason

Andy in RI <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20000726110636.13819.00000163@ng-cv1.aol.com...
> That article is pretty stupid... It seems that the article leaves out one
> important aspect of tracking a cell phone caller... the 911 call.. if 911
> centers had the capability to track a cell phone caller, they could better
> assist them, especially if the person has become unable to talk or is afraid to
> talk because of possible threat to their life. If this technology was in 911
> centers, I am sure that the same laws would apply to tracking someone using
> this as would evesdropping laws. There may even be legislature out there
> already. I really doubt that the police or the government could just track
> someone without any sort of court order or warrant...
>
> Does anyone know if any sort of tracking equipment for cell phones is out there
> or is this article just another paranoid fantasy?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

1736. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-BC3720.10263326072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83969

Who gives a flying fuck????

Come on, people, GROW UP!

This is a newsgroup about scanners, not your petty squabbles about who lied to whom, how much worse their lie (which you have no way of proving is a lie in the first place) was than the other guy's, or anything else along those lines.

SCANNERS. Those funny little boxes with buttons on 'em that let you listen to the cops and other interesting stuff. Remember those? Or has memory of them been washed away in your idiotic little pissing contest?

What's the point? How many more years can we keep it going now that one of the principle characters involved is dead? Such a worthy achievment...

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

1737. Re: tracking your cellphone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-2708C3.11082026072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83970

In article <UpEf5.46285$DJ2.237250@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Jason"
<greatmustachio@yahoo.com> wrote:

: I understand that the technology is there, but it is not being mandated
: for
: another few years. The cell companies must be looking at the bottom
: dollar
: because they have not done any thing yet to improve the safety of their
: customers.
: They are able to tell which antenna that is being used for that call. I
: wonder if they will incorporate some method of triangulation...

It already incorporates exactly that, although the capability wasn't explicitly designed to function as a tracking device. It's a "by-product" of the process of keeping track of whether a given cell-tower should keep trying to talk to a phone, or hand off to another tower.

Anytime a transmitter (cell phone, walkie-talkie, CB rig, or whatever) is in range of two receivers, they can, if they are directional enough and located far enough apart, pinpoint the location of the transmitter to within a few yards. More receivers in more locations = more accurate locating of transmitter. Doing this doesn't even need the sophisicated signal-strength measuring capabilities that are built into every cell-site. Just a pair of directional antennas. Throw in the signal-strength measurement that they have, and the task becomes even simpler. To the point of being something that "just happens", regardless of whether the location is actually wanted or not.

Turning that information into something that would allow Joe Beat Cop could use to pick you out of a crowd is another story. You'd need access to the raw data coming from at least 2 cell-sites (easy enough to get, at least in theory) and a way to turn it into an "OK, a little to the left, yeah, that's it, now 20 yards straight ahead, whoops, you overshot, turn around and go back" set of directions.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

1738. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bendthelite@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29643-397F2E10-15@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83971

English spare parts huh...the English designed and built the most sophisticated parts and systems of this outstanding aircraft. England invented the jet engine...and Rolls Royce, an English company, designed and built the Concordes Olympus afterburning turbojets, the only ones of their kind in the world fitted to a passenger aircraft.

These Concorde airliners with their outstanding world class English design and manufacturing have flown safely for 30 years. The engine that caused the crash of the plane had a minor problem with its thrust reverser, something that would not cause the jet to be grounded, or cause this kind mal-function. But an incompetant repair of the thrust reverser that did damage to other more vital parts of the engine by a French mechanic 30 minutes before the aircraft crashed would...and looks like it did.

1739. Re: Why no portable scanners with CB frequencies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bendthelite@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29643-397F2EB6-16@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83972

Icom's R2 covers Cb frequencies. As does Relm's MS 200 if you can find one.

1740. Re: unbelievable response from Uniden!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397F1AED.E9D84C4E@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83973

Darrin wrote:
>
> I sent Uniden an email about sending my BC245XLT for a swap of boards
> without the 5 second delay. Get ready, this the response I got from
> Uniden. I swear, this is the real reply I got. :(
>
> >We are not aware of any "5 second delay". There were rumors going around
> >about that but it is not true.
> >
> >Thank You,
> >Uniden Customer Service

ROTFLMAO!

--
Michael Moore

1741. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl Bradley <Carl.Bradley@sabre.com>
Message-ID: <397EFFE4.8DEF1296@sabre.com>
Source record: 83974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tongue in cheek...I never heard of him either. He obviously wasn't a god cause he is reportedly DEAD. Hopefully the real judge thinks as much of him as you do. God rest his soul.

Bill Eitner wrote:

> John Bombard wrote:
> >
> > I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> > HECK IS THIS GUY?
>
> Bill Cheek was a great guy and a great help to many
> who were/are involved in hobby (CB/scanner/shortwave
> listening) radio. Do some research on him and I think
> you'll agree.
> --
> ---
> -=[Bill Eitner]=-
>
> Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
> and The Dark Side:
> http://kd6tas.conk.com
> ---

1742. Scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Harris <jon@whitecliffsdx.freeserveDEADWOOD.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8lnj4dlvj1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 83975

Hi,

I've had a quick look for a FAQ, but can't find one so bear with me if this is FAQ! ;-)

I'm looking for a cheap scanner that will cover the 32MHz cordless phone band in the UK. Any help/pointers etc would be most welcome!

Regards,

Jon.

1743. Re: 167.485MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <MeIf5.430$YZ5.39194@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83976

That would be the FBI. http://www.freqofnature.com/fbi.htm

www.freqofnature.com

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8lkrh3$b8a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Heard interesting traffic on this freq today
> in central MA. Sounded like an air unit and
> two ground units following someone in a vehicle
> around Boston's Back Bay area.
>
> Any idea which agency uses this freq in this area?

1744. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sefritts@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <397f50b0.616009@news.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please..... just for once show some kindness.

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 13:30:31 -0600, Glen Quarnstrom
<glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:

>"M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>>truly be be missed.
>
>"...kind mannerisms...?"
>
>Bwahahahahaha!
>
>BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1745. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <shIf5.17433$o71.1110000@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jan Dekker wrote in message ...
>Mike W <mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net...
>> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
>> >the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
>
>'Francois, I told you not to buy English spare parts..."
>

Q. Do you know why the Brits drink warm beer?

A. Lucas makes the refrigerators too...

At least they haven't yet succeeded in manufacturing a refrigerator that
leaks oil!

1746. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sterwart MacKenzie <wdx6aa@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9kJf5.11866$5N1.402154@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

It is the very same Hank Bennett who was the editor of the swl column in PE
in the 1960's. He also got me into swling on a steady basis. I also was a
memeber to the end in the NNRC!

-- Stewart H. MacKenzie - WDX6AA
"World Friendship Through Shortwave Radio Listening"
ASWLC: http://www.ocnow.com/community/groups/shortwaveradio
SCADS: http://www.ocnow.com/community/groups/radiocommunications

"Paul Cristy" <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:0553104b.ed55de70@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com...
> "Sterwart MacKenzie" <wdx6aa@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >The WDX Monitor Registration Service is operated by one Hank
> Bennett and he
> >can be contacted at: PO Box 9, Collingswood, NJ-08108.
>
> Is this the same Hank Bennett who wrote a shortwave column for
> Popular Electronics in the mid-1960's? I think he may have been
> associated with the old Newark News Radio Club too.
>
> Those radio columns in Pop Electronics first got me interested
> in shortwave radio. Our school library had copies of PE and I
> would browse them during Study Hall.
>
>
> ----------
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>
>

1747. scanner busco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAFAGAS1 <rafagas1@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <_oJf5.4667$yl5.19775@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83980

busco scanner portatil hasta 900 Mhz ofertas a rafagas1@teleline.es

1748. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lnqbg$9p3$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 83981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

MWBRYANT (mwbryant@aol.com) on 26 Jul 2000 02:33:59 GMT wrote:
>Duh. First you tell us the media is a pack of liberal Jews bent on manipulating
>us and then you turn around and offer that same media as the source of your
>"hallucinationatory" conclusions regarding Waco?

>Are you really as stupid as you seem?

Just trying to help you with the only things you can understand.

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1749. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8lnqf5$9p3$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 83982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

MWBRYANT (mwbryant@aol.com) on 26 Jul 2000 02:33:59 GMT wrote:
>>From: Zsylvan+noZs_0007@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan Butler)
>> It is well documented in
>>the official report signed off by the commander of the psych team.

>Duh. First you tell us the media is a pack of liberal Jews bent on manipulating
>us and then you turn around and offer that same media as the source of your
>"hallucinationatory" conclusions regarding Waco?

I don't seen the media in the first source I mentioned. Did you miss
that one?

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

1750. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <397f6da7$0$61818$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 83983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree but you have ignorant people everywhere that alays like to make
sick comments

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in article
<RXqf5.374044$MB.5938450@news6.giganews.com>...
> Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> aboriginal clusterfucker.
>
> Bill Cheek was a great man.
>
> Funny... You bag on him, and I am from San Diego.. However, knowing
most
> of the people in and around the San Diego area who where hobbiests and /
or
> tinkerers, your name doesn't ring a fucking bell.
>
> Seems to me you probably knew Bill by reputation, and not personally.
>
> Fuck you for baggin on someone in their death.
>
>
> TollHolio...
>
> (sorry for the language, but this pissed me off)
>
>
> "Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
> news:g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com...
> > "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> > >truly be be missed.
> >
> > "...kind mannerisms...?"
> >
> > Bwahahahahaha!
> >
> > BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> > --
> > glenq@cyberhighway.net
> > WB7DOW
> >
> > http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

>
>
>

1751. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <397f6e03$0$61818$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 83984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

this glen guy is just another idiot that has nothing better to do than make
sick comments about people !

N. Lang <nlang50@juno.com> wrote in article
<vbrf5.10722$ga2.291258@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
> "Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
> news:g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com...
> > "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> > >truly be be missed.
> >
> > "...kind mannerisms...?"
> >
> > Bwahahahahaha!
> >
> > BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
>
> I feel sorry for sarcastic people, like you. Full of so
> much bile and venom that unless you spew it forth
> it will of itself overtake and shorten your life.
>
> Go back to alt.fan.art.bell Glen, where you got your
> start in mudslinging and you rightfully belong, with
> the folks you like who made fun of Art Bell's family troubles.
>
>
>
>
>

1752. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <397f6e6d$0$61818$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 83985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well Richard I wonder how many people consider you a man?

Richard <no@mail> wrote in article
<tc0unskrmc6ddkc7tfk1darr9satrv3b0q@4ax.com>...
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 23:26:33 GMT, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Laughing at a man's death?
>
> Hmmm. I never considered him a man, but if you say so.
>
> >May you rot in hell.
>
> With Bill? No thanks!!
>
>
> >Gary
> >AB5RM
> >
> >
> >Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> >
> >> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >> >truly be be missed.
> >>
> >> "...kind mannerisms...?"
> >>
> >> Bwahahahahaha!
> >>
> >> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> >> --
> >> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> >> WB7DOW
> >>
> >> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>
>

1753. Re: Pro 2036 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <397F7293.399CA2DE@home.com>
Source record: 83986

> Thank you very much! I didn't really think anyone would reply but I'm
> very grateful none the less. :-).

Best wishes.. Mike Burch K8MB

1754. Re: racing cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: holtzman@csus.edu
Message-ID: <397f6ef3$1$ubygmzna$mr2ice@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 83987

Here in Kalifornia, at road racing courses, Laguna Seca, Sears Point,
helos are used. They will spend hours in a race track pattern collecting
video.

jrh

In <397D79EB.F10F9583@cts.com>, on 07/25/00
at 04:28 AM, markj <markj@cts.com> said:

>Remove D's to email wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:42:58 -0400, JKT <DolphnsNOSPAM@ibm.net> wrote:
>>
>> >> What freq's does the remote cameras and onboard cameras use to transmit
>> >> to the control trailer?
>>
>> These cameras use the various TV Electronic News Gathering (ENG) channels in the
>> 2.6 , 6, & 10Ghz ranges.
>>
>> There normally several antennas spread around an area to get the needed gain to
>> recover the signal, and/or relays used on helicopters etc..
>>

>Well kinda right....
>1.9 ghz to 2.3 ghz. Depending on other 2ghz users. Usually about 2 watts
>on the uplinks. the cars use pankace antennas that are relayed usuallt to
>an airplane orbiting overhead. A helo is expensive and only used when and
>airplane CAN'T be used(thunder boats).
>never seen a carcam on 10ghz in the U.S.A 13 gigs when 2 gnz is too
>crowded...even 28ghz(rare).

>so if you have one of those little Icom Tv radios...you might see the
>downlinks to the microwave control trailer...but you will need to find
>the audio subcarriers.

>markj

--
James Holtzman N6NRA (916) 487-9712 - EMT -Chief SCCA Emergency Services
holtzman@csus.edu - Calif. State University, Sacramento
"What this country needs is less people telling us what this country needs"

1755. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397F7427.31E66034@primenet.com>
Source record: 83988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J O H N wrote:

> REGARDLESS, he may very well have broken laws and certainly violated
> net-ettiquite by
> re-posting without permission. Retroactive premission hardly counts.

As far as I'm concerned, my copyright has not been violated by Mr.
Wirehead. Ergo, no laws were violated, except in your feeble mind.

Reposting without permission while still citing the author does not
violate any so called "net-ettiquite," except in your limited
demented mind.

> Plus, you have posted off-topic, Richard!

Toilet Boy, you are the king of off topic posts.

1756. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397F753A.D393542B@primenet.com>
Source record: 83989

J. MacKenzie wrote:

> I haven't seen Mackey caught in a lie,

Then you haven't been paying attention.

> but I know Cheek lied in this newsgroup about Vietnam service:
> "This ain't no shit, I did stuff that's still classified today."
> Yeah, right. Still classified after 30 years.

The United States Government still has classifed information dating back to World War I, as reported on 60 Minutes a couple of years ago. It's not surprising that information only 25 to 35 years old is still classified.

1757. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397F75E0.65F642E1@primenet.com>
Source record: 83990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bud the Real wrote:
>
> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.

Goodbye, my friend. You'll be missed.

My condolences to Cindy and the family.

1758. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lnsrj$hh3@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill, I think someone said it before "Dying is not a laughing matter".

Anyone who tried to make a life helping and catering to the people that populate this hobby has to be commended.

From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more to the hobby.

The "A" hole that started this thread has already received more attention than he deserves.
My suggestion is to show our respect kill this thread and not be lured into anymore regarding a persons death.

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@freewwweb.com> wrote in message
news:397E5AD1.69F74506@freewwweb.com...
> > Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> > to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
> God, that sucks. Only 50 years old.
>
> I hope he got the last laugh by dying before
> the government could figure a way to prosecute
> him.
>
> Rest in peace Bill.
> --
> ---
> -=[Bill Eitner]=-
>
> Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
> and The Dark Side:
> http://kd6tas.conk.com
> ---

1759. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <397F778E.52485B38@primenet.com>
Source record: 83992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J O H N wrote:

> > I showed you to be a liar a couple of weeks ago with your claims of
> > patronizing child prostitutes complete with the post you made. That
> SNIP
>
> I NEVER made any claim as you state above, please prove the statement you
> have
> made above with verifiable data or be proven a liar by default.

The most recent post was on June 20, 2000 (it's been posted before).
You're just too ignorant to see it or find it in DejaNews.

> > I prefixed my list of your lies with the proof
>
> You have NEVER provided proof for any of your statements. Richard
> Kendrick, you are a liar!

My previous post said the proof resided in the archives of DejaNews.
Therefore, your accusation is baseless.

Just because you're unable to use a computer and access DejaNews is
your problem, not mine.

1760. FS: IC-R8500 Icom Wide-Band Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <NPKf5.52230$fR2.477391@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83993

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R8500 Professional Wide-Band Communications Receiver

Frequency Coverage:
0.10000 - 823.99999 MHz
849.00001 - 868.99999 MHz
894.00001 - 1999.99999* MHz (*guaranteed: 0.1 - 1000 and 1240 - 1300 MHz)
Mode: SSB (USB,LSB); AM (Normal, Wide, Narrow); WFM; FM (Normal, Narrow); CW (Normal, Narrow*) (*optional filter required)
Number of memory channels: 1000 (plus 20 scan edges and 1 priority channel)
Antenna connector:
Below 30 MHz: SO-239 (50 ohms/Phono [RCA (500 ohms)])
Above 30 MHz: Type-N (50 ohms)
Usable Temperature Range: -10�C ~ +50�C; +14�F ~ +122�F
Frequency stability (in FM mode):
Below 30 MHz: ±100 Hz (optional ±20 Hz)
Above 30 MHz: ±3 ppm (optional ±0.6 ppm)
Tuning steps: 10, 50, 100 Hz; 1, 2.5, 5, 9, 10, 12.5, 20, 25, 100 kHz; 1 MHz or programmable (0.5 - 199.5 kHz/0.5 kHz steps)
Power Supply Requirement: 13.8 V DC ±15% (negative ground) or 117/220/240 VAC (with AD-55/A/V)
Current drain (at 13.8 V DC):
Standby: 1.8 A
Maximum audio output: 2.0 A
Dimensions: 287(W) x 112(H) x 309(D) mm; 11.3(W) x 4.4(H) x 12.2(D) in
Weight: 7.0 kg; 15.4 lb.
Receive system: Superheterodyne
Intermediate frequencies:
1st: 48.8 MHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 778.7 MHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 266.7 MHz (500.0-1024.99999 MHz)
2nd: 10.7 MHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 10.7 MHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 10.7 MHz (500.0-1024.99999 MHz)
3rd*: 455 kHz (0.1-29.99999 MHz); 455 kHz (30.0-499.99999 MHz); 455 kHz (500.0-1024.99999 MHz) (*except WFM)
Sensitivity (typical):
Frequency band (MHz) SSB/CW AM AM-N AM-W FM WFM
0.1-0.49999 1.0 µV 6.3 µV - - - -
0.5-1.79999 2.0 µV 13.0 µV - - - -
1.8-1.99999 0.25 µV 3.2 µV 2.5 µV - - -
2.0-27.99999 0.2 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV - - -
28.0-29.99999 0.2 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV - 0.5 µV -
30.0-999.99999 0.32 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV 3.2 µV 0.5 µV 1.4 µV
1240.0-1300.00000 0.32 µV 2.5 µV 2.0 µV 3.2 µV 0.5 µV 2.0 µV

Squelch sensitivity (threshold/tight):
1.8-29.99999 MHz:
SSB, CW, AM-N: 10 &#65533;V/320 mV
AM, AM-W: 0.5 &#65533;V/320 mV
28-29.99999 MHz:
FM: 0.5 &#65533;V/320 mV
30-1000, 1240-1300 MHz:
FM, AM, AM-W: 0.4 &#65533;V/320 mV
WFM, SSB, CW, AM-N: 4.5 &#65533;V/320 mV
Selectivity:
WFM: More than 150 kHz/-6 dB
FM, AM-W: More than 12 kHz/-6 dB
AM, FM-N: More than 5.5 kHz/-6 dB
AM-N, SSB, CW: More than 2.2 kHz/-6 dB
Spurious and image rejection ratio:
1.8-29.99999 MHz: More than 60 dB
30-1000/1240-1300 MHz: 50 dB (typical)
Audio Output Power (at 13.8 V DC): More than 2.0 W at 10% distortion with an
8 ohms load.
IF shift variable range: More than &#65533;1.2 kHz
External speaker connector: 2-conductor 3.5 mm (1/8 in)/4-8 ohms

Purchased brand new on May 31, 2000 (will supply original receipt showing I paid: $1458.00). Radio is "as new" condition! Complete with original carton, manual, power supply, AND factory warranty!

Asking price is: $1000.00 firm. If I do not sell it by Monday, July 31, I will be posting it on Ebay for auction with a $1000.00 reserve.

Price does not include shipping...buyer to pay actual shipping, plus insurance. I will double box, for maximum protection.

Payment terms via PayPal, or U.S. Postal Money Order, in advance.

Thank you,

Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

1761. FS: OptoTrakker by Optoelectronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <6WKf5.52290$fR2.476882@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83994

FOR SALE:

OptoTrakker, by Optoelectronics

The Optotrakker is a communications decoder that when interfaced to a computer, will quickly and easily turn a number of receivers into a trunked radio tracking receiver. The Optotrakker can also interface to virtually any receiver for the decoding of CTCSS, DCS, LTR, Motorola Type I and II, and DTMF, in a non-PC application by displaying data on its two line LCD display.

The Optotrakker used with the supplied TrakkStar Windows software, is the first and only product that can scan multiple types of trunking systems on any frequency band at the same time. The Optotrakker allows you to now scan Motorola 400MHz, 500MHz, 800MHz systems, as well as the 900MHz systems, simultaneously with rapid speed and data capabilities. Using an exclusive pass through technology, interfacing with future receivers will be easy as the Optotrakker requires the use of only one com port. Supports ICOM R7000, R7100, R8500, R9000, R10, AOR AR8000, and AR5000.

Decodes CTCSS, DCS, LTR, DTMF and Motorola talk group ID's
Scan multiple trunked systems simultaneously
All trunked frequency bands supported, including 400MHz, 500MHz, 800MHz, and 900MHz
Interfaces to virtually any receiver when not under computer control
Supplied with TrakkStar Windows trunk decoding software
Built-in Data Slicer for decoding of FSK programs
Two Line LCD display
Interface cables supplied with OptoTrakker

Manufactures link:
http://optoelectronics.com/optotrakker.htm

Purchased brand new in June, 2000 (will supply original receipt showing I paid: $300.00). OptoTrakker is "as new" condition! Complete with original carton, manual, power supply, AND factory warranty!

Asking price is: $200.00 firm. If I do not sell it by Monday, July 31, I will be posting it on Ebay for auction with a $200.00 reserve.

Price does not include shipping...buyer to pay actual shipping, plus insurance. I will double box, for maximum protection.

Payment terms via PayPal, or U.S. Postal Money Order, in advance.

Thank you,

Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

1762. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com>
Message-ID: <8lnu2h$43s$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 83995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I never heard of the fellow, but seeing he's gone that's a tragedy all by itself. That he was important to so many people makes it doubly so. And only 50. I am muchly sorrowed.

--
Counfucious said:
He cannot die happy; that hasn't owned a Jeep.
"RadioFreak" <quicksilver527NOquSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid> wrote in message news:070dbf92.5002d173@usw-ex0109-069.remarq.com...
> Rest in peace Bill, you will be missed.
>
>
> * Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

1763. Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lnusf$4n2@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83996

Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235. Thank you in advance for your help.

1764. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: martijn reneman <m.reneman@hccnet.nl>
Message-ID: <8ll6l3$n1n$1@news.hccnet.nl>
Source record: 84029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Cristy <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid> schreef in berichtnieuws
0599f3c2.6ba199f6@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com...
> Some Euro countries used to charge a yearly fee to own a radio
> or TV set. I think it was more of a way to raise revenue and
> pay for their state-owned radio than to control people. I seem
> to remember Britain doing this but some of our British readers
> would know more about it.

The same in the Netherlands. It was a pure tax system, to finance public
broadcasting. It had nothing to do with controlling who listened.
The system of charging a fee per TV/radio has stopped last year.

Martijn R.

>

1765. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cYpf5.5794$1y.55861@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

All the world loves an optimist :)

John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com> wrote in message
news:Wphf5.78740$dF.2878829@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> I am looking for a used or refurbished BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for $100
or
> under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.
>
> John Bombard
> flyboy4097@home.com
>
>
>
>

1766. Monitoring Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <edcummins@home.com>
Message-ID: <C7qf5.13323$eS6.226444@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 84031

Anything out there that can monitor the Baltimore City system ? It is the Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital). System Sysid: 562a . : All talkgroups in APCO-25 IMBE Digital Modulation.

Thanks

Ed

1767. Re: cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397E323A.5CA8D3FA@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84032

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> James Nied <sobyo@mc.net> wrote:
>
> >Mackey must be creaming in his jeans. I don't think anyone gave more to
> >the scanning hobby..than Bill be he was nasty or verbal or whatever you
> >want to say...he knew his scanning shit...In 30 years of scanning I have
>
> I know people with ten times Cheek's expertise. They just have better
> things to do than hang around UseNet insulting people and pretending to
> be some sort of radio guru. As for his books, I've heard some fairly
> substantial rumors to the effect that he stole a lot of the ideas for
> what went into those books. Be that as it may, it hardly justifies all
> the crap he dished out around here.
>
> >never seen anyone..with more tech input than cheek.As far as Mackey goes
> >I think he would be great for target practice.
>
> You know, as much as I despised Bill and all his antics, I believe I'd
> kiss his rotting ass in public *IF* it'd mean the end of all the
> godddamn CheekSuckers trying to keep this literally DEAD issue alive
> after all this time. I stopped my own debates with Bill long ago, and
> I've pretty much stayed out of the battles since then. I killfile every
> rabid poster on either side of this issue on sight. And yet nearly
> every day, I see at least one post praising Cheek and/or attacking
> anybody who dared to point out that the man was deeply flawed as a human
> being, no matter what he may or may not have done for the scanning
> hobby.
>
> I've been all over the UseNet and the BBS nets, and without exception,
> the Cheek crew are the nastiest, most mean-spirited bunch of chickenshit
> lusers I've ever had the misfortune to come across, and that's saying
> quite a bit, considering that some hams are nearly as bad.
>
> When I was debating Cheek, I never stooped to his level. I never used
> bad language, I never called him names, I never directly insulted him.
> All I ever did was deal in the facts, and question some of his erroneous
> comments.
>
> For that I was the recipient of a constant stream of vitriol from Cheek
> and his ilk. For Sale messages were forged in my name, my ISP got a
> long string of complaints, and I received my first and only death threat
> from either Cheek or one of his followers.

LOL. Put it to bed Glen.

--
Michael Moore

1768. Lennon and Otter Lake Michigan PD and FD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000725204247.12092.00000067@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 84033

I live in Genesee County Michigan and there are 2 cities that are half in my county and half in the neighboring county (Lennon's other half is in Shiawasse County and Otter Lake's other half is in Lapeer County. I was wondering if there Police Departments and Fire Departments (or the police and fire departments that serve them) are dispatched by my county or the other county or both, or independently. I cant seem to find any freqs for either.

Also info on which local government departments serve them (road commision, parks and recreation, animal control, etc.) serve them. Any info would be appreciated. Email response is preferred.

Tom

visit my webpage at http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

1769. Re: Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397E34C7.9506AB51@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84034

FlatASS wrote:
>
> Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
> instead Bill Cheek?

As fond as I was of Bill and as much as I loathe Mackey,
nonetheless, I have to say your comment is in bad taste.

--
Michael Moore

1770. Re: Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397E355F.615CECE0@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84035

Bud the Real wrote:
>
> FlatASS <cany@kj.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
> >instead Bill Cheek?
>
> What happens in this live isn't really important, in the big picture.

I respectfully disagree.

--
Michael Moore
atheist

1771. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <397E35E1.4841151C@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 84036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!

At least no one's accusing you of "kind mannerisms".

--
Michael Moore

1772. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <397E6544.A146459A@INVALID.com>
Source record: 84037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My condolences to his family. Bill will be missed.

Bud the Real wrote:

> Dear Bud,
>
> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
> Cindy
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

--
"THE LOW EMISSIONS MISSION"
A FREE Resource for Vehicle Emissions Related Information:
http://members.tripod.com/Waysafe

1773. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl>
Message-ID: <eznRkyp9$GA.348@net003s>
Source record: 84038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike W <mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net...
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
> >the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?

'Francois, I told you not to buy English spare parts..."

1774. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8llet2jtu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 84039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <397E6544.A146459A@INVALID.com>,
allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> My condolences to his family. Bill will be missed.
>
> Bud the Real wrote:
>
> > Dear Bud,
> >
> > Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> > 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> > hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
> >
> > Cindy
> >
> >I am sorry to see you gone Bill you helped so many people.My
condolences to the family.Wilf
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> --
> "THE LOW EMISSIONS MISSION"
> A FREE Resource for Vehicle Emissions Related Information:
> http://members.tripod.com/Waysafe
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1775. Re: Monitoring Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <edcummins@home.com>
Message-ID: <k2rf5.13334$eS6.227700@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 84040

I don't know whether it is or not. I do have all of the talkgroups and the frequencies but as to encryption I don't know. The local new groups must be able to monitor them somehow !! It is used for all fire, police and public service stuff such as public works.

Nvidiot <spammenot@reversit.org> wrote in message news:05576BB429F5FD9B.8E380947BD72FB3E.A15D10BD3B9D603F@lp.airnews.net...
> Isn't ASTRO the one that's digital and encrypted?
>
> "Ed" <edcummins@home.com> wrote in message news:C7qf5.13323$eS6.226444@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > Anything out there that can monitor the Baltimore City system ? It is the
> > Motorola ASTRO Smartzone (APCO-25 Digital). System Sysid: 562a . : All
> > talkgroups in APCO-25 IMBE Digital Modulation.
>
>

1776. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <397E3E7A.D34A2908@home.com>
Source record: 84041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Speaking of bein gin poor taste...

I was bowled over by this this afternoon as I strolled by the TV at work watching the Fox News crew try and get every angle they could of the smoking wreckage. Then Dateline ran a special tonight plastering the images all over prime time.

Instead of shooting all lawyers, we should shoot all the telejournalists.

Jan Dekker wrote:

> Mike W <mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net...
> > On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
> > >the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
>
> 'Francois, I told you not to buy English spare parts..."

1777. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "N. Lang" <nlang50@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vbrf5.10722$ga2.291258@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
news:g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com...
> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!
>
> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.

I feel sorry for sarcastic people, like you. Full of so
much bile and venom that unless you spew it forth
it will of itself overtake and shorten your life.

Go back to alt.fan.art.bell Glen, where you got your
start in mudslinging and you rightfully belong, with
the folks you like who made fun of Art Bell's family troubles.

1778. Re: How do I become a registered monitor???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: King Pineapple <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_Drf5.10835$ga2.295084@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Cristy <pgcNOpgSPAM@beer.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:0553104b.ed55de70@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com...
> "Sterwart MacKenzie" <wdx6aa@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >The WDX Monitor Registration Service is operated by one Hank
> Bennett and he
> >can be contacted at: PO Box 9, Collingswood, NJ-08108.
>
> Is this the same Hank Bennett who wrote a shortwave column for
> Popular Electronics in the mid-1960's? I think he may have been
> associated with the old Newark News Radio Club too.
>
> Those radio columns in Pop Electronics first got me interested
> in shortwave radio. Our school library had copies of PE and I
> would browse them during Study Hall.

Ah, study hall...yes, that's the same Hank.

1779. Re: Pro 2036 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <N_rf5.16308$o71.1044534@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84044

NUM3359DA -or-
MC3359P -or-
NJM3359DA -or-

Pin 10

Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:397CA6AA.6A490026@home.com...
Hi,

Would any of you happen to know where to tap the discriminator in a
R.S. Pro 2036? Thank you in advance.
Mike K8MB.

1780. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <o%rf5.79765$dF.2912926@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 84045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
HECK IS THIS GUY?

"M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Rojf5.9078$ga2.251943@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
> http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
>
> Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
>
> His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> truly be be missed.
>
> Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
> use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
>
>

1781. Re: Help selecting TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: RG <prieye@NOJUNKmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <_%rf5.48312$Q8.371829@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 84046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Based on your criteria I suggest the BC 235. RG
"Sean McCarthy" <wx8l@home.com> wrote in message
news:DS8f5.49452$fR2.459191@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm looking for a Handheld TrunkTracker to monitor a local Motorola 800
> trunk system. I know the PRO-92 and the BC-245 will easily handle my
needs,
> but I'm sure a used PRO-90 or 91 or a BC-235 would do fine at half the
cost.
> (used of course)
>
> Any recommendations on which way to go based on the following?
>
> -I want to listen to a select few talkgroups on one or two Motorola 800
> trunk system.
>
> -I DO NOT need to listen to more than one system at a time.
> -I DO NOT care about its conventional performance.
> -I DO NOT need EDACS or LTR capabilities.
>
> You folks seem to have owned 'em all, so I look to your advise.
>
> Thanks,
> Sean
>
>
>

1782. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lqlfh$621@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well said Dave, I am truly amazed by the intelligence that can rise out of this NG. It doesn't happen often but from time to time it does occur.

"Dave" <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message news:8zqqhf$UZAC$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
> On 27 Jul 2000 06:50:56 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> : T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
> : >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> :
> : >>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> : >>you disagree with it.
> :
> : >Bullshit!
> :
> : So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
> : to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>
> There is a longstanding recognition of the fact that nonviolent, civil
> disobedience has been an effective way of bringing about positive change.
>
> The 60's civil rights movement in the U.S. is a prime example of what I'm
> talking about.
>
> According to you, Jeff, Rosa Parks should never have sat in the back of
the
> bus; she was violating a <gasp> LAW!
>
> According to you, Jeff, Martin Luther King should never have led the
people
> to the voter registration places; they were violating a <gasp> LAW!
>
> According to you, Jeff, Ghandi should never have taken his actions, Nelson
> Mandela his, and our Founding Fathers theirs. All violated the laws of
the
> land.
>
> There is no black or white to this kind of thing, Jeff, contrary to your
> wishful thinking. It demands good judgment, plus a commitment of the
> deepest kind to high ethical standards. It's a very tough call, but those
> who make the call correctly wind up their lives admired by all, while
those
> who blindly follow the law can wind up on trial, as did the Nazis at

> Nuremberg.
>
> Rosa Parks was recently given presidential recognition (and a
Congressional
> Gold Medal) for her act of violating an unethical law.
>
> So, no, violating a law you don't like doesn't necessarily make you a
> defective person. It may prove exactly the opposite about you; it may
prove
> that you obey a higher law, even at great personal risk.

1783. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so1nk0ia3j1128@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks for the ignoring all Cheek-Mackey posts , you stupid hippy
I pledge!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Not

newknews <newknews@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:elFVUs89$GA.293@cpmsnbbsa09...
> Rich....there is no reason to get into any kind of cheap intercourse with
> him. Just forget him and let him alone. Of all people who should be on
> that wavelength, it's you. Resist. Go do things that make sense. Not
this.
> Why?
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
> news:397F778E.52485B38@primenet.com...
> > J O H N wrote:
> >
> > > > I showed you to be a liar a couple of weeks ago with your claims of
> > > > patronizing child prostitutes complete with the post you made. That
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > > I NEVER made any claim as you state above, please prove the statement
> you
> > > have
> > > made above with verifiable data or be proven a liar by default.
> >
> > The most recent post was on June 20, 2000 (it's been posted before).
> > You're just too ignorant to see it or find it in DejaNews.
> >
> > > > I prefixed my list of your lies with the proof
> > >
> > > You have NEVER provided proof for any of your statements. Richard
> > > Kendrick, you are a liar!
> >
> > My previous post said the proof resided in the archives of DejaNews.
> > Therefore, your accusation is baseless.
> >
> > Just because you're unable to use a computer and access DejaNews is
> > your problem, not mine.
>
>

1784. Re: Bill Cheek and the rest of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so1nne8o3j1124@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83799

Some people really do need Basic Electronics!!!!!!!

LordHamster <lordhamster@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:39803873$0$61818$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com...
> Amen. I read all of his books and learned a great deal from them. For that
I
> am thankful.
>
>
>
> "James Hauser" <jameshauser@home.com> wrote in message
> news:d5Pf5.47845$6y5.30862782@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> > you know i think enough has been said back and forth between cheek
likers
> > and cheek haters. does it ever go away. i really don't think his family
> > needs to hear or read this baloney. bill will live on thru his writings.
> > whether it was his property or not who cares. he owns the copyright.
rest
> in
> > peace bill and thank you for the good books. Jim Hauser
> >
> >
>
>

1785. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <935g5.2354$Dw6.7737@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lokql$2kg$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >Jeff,
> >Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
> >do with listening to cell phones.
>
> So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
> eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o
>
> Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
> the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.
>
> Jeff

Yes, his early books, (pre-ban), gave details on cellular mods. Given that some scanner marketers blocked cellular coverage before it was made illegal by Congress, there was certainly nothing wrong with him publishing these mods.

As for why I posted it to the SAME newsgroups you posted to, I used the reply group button. You posted your inflammatory statements to all those newsgroups, I answered them so the same people could see the truth.

Mark K5MAR

1786. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <4b5g5.1267$Di4.315972@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David Moisan <dmoisan@shore.net> wrote in message
news:3980d49b.12831704@news.shore.net...

(snip)

> Not when he got indicted for having a device (a data slicer)
> that *I* own myself (otherwise known as a HamComm decoder!)

Bill didn't get in trouble for the data slicer he got in trouble for
supplying the software for MDT decoding when he sold the data slicer. BIG
difference.

Glenn

1787. Macky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Nied <sobyo@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3980E915.3D2C@mc.net>
Source record: 83802

Do you think when macky croaks.....there will be as many posts?, that is other than..............finally?

1788. Re: Oshkosh time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <3ks1os8cq3hq7qcnb72gk8v413mfqb8amd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jul 2000 12:17:36 -0700, Marios Liras <m1956@execpc.com>
wrote:

>>
>> Hello there list
>> It's Oshkosh time and EAA have the bigest event for the year
>> Can anyone provite with working freq. for the airport and for the
>> aerobatis
>> teams
>> Thanks in advance
>> Marios

There are over 100 freqs in active use at Oshkosh. Much of the action
you'd want to hear is on the two tower freqs (118.5, used year 'round,
and 126.6, used only for the convention). Show control can be on any
freq. Today it was 133.85, but I've heard it on at least 15 other
rfreqs during my 16 years at EAA. The same is true for aerobatic
teams--there are a number of freqs they can use. At Oshkosh they
often use show control's freq.

Oshkosh Gerry

1789. Trade my Icom R2 for Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <8p7g5.3063$1V.133974@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 83804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

FS: Icom R2, two months old, with 4 RS NiMH 1200 batteries, charger, manual, stock antenna. $150 shipped to CONUS. Or trade for Sony 7600G in excellent cond.

Bill K9KZ

1790. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <39810858.459CBC13@clifto.com>
Source record: 83805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Joe wrote:
> CACH= Chicago Automated ....*something something* anyone know ?

Chicago Automated Careless Handling Environment?

Crushing, Atomizing, Chipping, Heaving and Eradication?

--
+-+
| Cliff Sharp | Hate spam? Take the Boulder Pledge! |
| WA9PDM | http://www.zdnet.com/yil/content/mag/9612/ebert9612.html |
+-+

1791. digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <WW7g5.9942$47.195730@news.bc.tac.net>
Source record: 83806

I have been a scanner user for years and one of the best things to listen to is the police. The Vancouver City Police have now gone to digital trunk and cyphered radios. I was wondering if anyone was making a digital trunk receiver that can also work with a computer to brake the Motorola crypt and cypher system. All of the scanners I have looked at are completely useless for this system as that it is the most advanced system on the market today. Even the news media can't find anything that will work. I can be reached at camman@telus.net

--

YOURS IN SOLIDARITY

William E. Gammert

1792. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <270720002325461553%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 83807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39810858.459CBC13@clifto.com>, Clifton T. Sharp Jr.
<clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

> Joe wrote:
> > CACH= Chicago Automated ....*something something* anyone know ?
>
> Chicago Automated Careless Handling Environment?
>
> Crushing, Atomizing, Chipping, Heaving and Eradication?

Customer Awaits Crucial High-priority Envelope?

Competing Air Carrier Hiring <all the good> Employees?

Carton Arrives Contents Have Evaporated?

1793. Alinco DJ-G5 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: eddiecarroll@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2430-398110F7-4@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83808

Does anyone out there have the mods for out of band TX on the Alinco
DJ-G5T 144/440 MHz handheld. I am aware of cutting the blue wire
inside, but was told that will not work on this model, it would just
cause "lock up.
Can some one please advise, you can e-mail direct or post to this site.
Thanks in Advance

1794. Re: Bill Cheek and the rest of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LordHamster <lordhamster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39803873$0$61818$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 83847

Amen. I read all of his books and learned a great deal from them. For that I
am thankful.

"James Hauser" <jameshauser@home.com> wrote in message
news:d5Pf5.47845$6y5.30862782@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> you know i think enough has been said back and forth between cheek likers
> and cheek haters. does it ever go away. i really don't think his family
> needs to hear or read this baloney. bill will live on thru his writings.
> whether it was his property or not who cares. he owns the copyright. rest
in
> peace bill and thank you for the good books. Jim Hauser
>
>

1795. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <39803a3b.27365058@news.mint.net>
Source record: 83848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

now here is a typical cb'er for sure

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 20:11:02 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
>aboriginal clusterfucker.
>
>Bill Cheek was a great man.
>
>Funny... You bag on him, and I am from San Diego.. However, knowing most
>of the people in and around the San Diego area who where hobbiests and / or
>tinkerers, your name doesn't ring a fucking bell.
>
>Seems to me you probably knew Bill by reputation, and not personally.
>
>Fuck you for baggin on someone in their death.
>
>
>TollHolio...
>
>(sorry for the language, but this pissed me off)
>
>
>"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
>news:g7ornskt6d08kb41fajo8voqpcp2fjui87@4ax.com...
>> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>> >truly be be missed.
>>
>> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>>
>> Bwahahahahaha!
>>
>> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
>> --
>> glenq@cyberhighway.net
>> WB7DOW
>>
>> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>
>

1796. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <so0gdpit3j1127@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83849

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:397F078E.D16B40AC@kj.com...
> I propose we honor Bill's passing by giving 'The Freak
> of Mackey' the business like he has never gotten it before.
> Bill would have loved that.

I heartily concur.
Let`s sock it to the Looney.

> You game Ugly Corkey Mackey?
>
>
>
> newknews wrote:
>
> > Sad news about the Cheek Man....he was 56.
> >
> > In passing I propose that from this day on we honor Bill by not posting
or
> > respond to any postings by the Idiot of Mackeral.
> >
> > There is no purpose for the Idiot to be on this site and I would think
he
> > will do the honorable thing: disappear.
> >
> > I promise not to mention the IDIOT on this news group or alt. from this
day
> > forward.
> >
> > Take the pledge silently and follow down. No need to encourage
furtherances
> > by the IDIOT.
> >
> > bill conduit
> > 3 percenter member
>
>
>

1797. Re: Do U.S. Scanners Work in the U.K.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve H <sjhouse.foilspam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <osXf5.15727$W06.1181392@sol.newscene.com>
Source record: 83850

Aircraft ATC frequencies are standard world over. Regular ATC uses AM mode in VHF 108-134 mHz. Transoceanic and remote areas use SSB in a number of HF bands when flights are out of range of VHF. Aircraft are not trunked.

"Mike Hulme" <hulme@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:kgHe5.690$zI5.27088@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> If I have a scanner purchased in the U.S., for example a PRO-92, will it
> work in the United Kingdom? I am most interested in Police and Aircraft.
> Do they have the same trunked systems?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike Hulme
>
>

1798. NEED FREQS..LAUDERHILL (FL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: catskillsteve@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6254-39803C52-21@storefull-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83851

```
Need freqs. for Lauderhill (Fl.)
Police, fire rescue, municipal.
Broward County
```

1799. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <39805A43.37FC5003@sgi.netski>
Source record: 83852

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> rec.radio.amateur.dx dropped from header

> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I have the right to choose to obey the law.
>
> There is no "choice" involved.

+++ There is always a choice in the "United States of ""FREE"" America"

> >What or who is affected by a modified scanner?
>
> You should pose that question to the Congress which enacted the law.

+++ Congress enacted that law due to pressure from the cellular industry.

> >Many of the modifications that Bill Cheek publicized were prior to the law.
>
> Regardless of when a modification is made, it's the *listening* which
> violates the law. My Uniden 800XLT came from the factory with no unblocked
> frequency bands; the placard which came with the unit specifies what bands
> one should avoid. (To this day, I still haven't listened to other people's
> phone conversations.)

+++ That is exactly my point. You made a choice.

> >Myself, I will continue to enjoy, support and fight for the freedoms
> >afforded everyone in this country regardless of what unenforceable laws
> >your
> >elected government can dream up this decade.
>
> Waving the flag while violating the law -- you're a nut, "City Slicker"!

+++ He is no more a nut that millions of us Flag Waving American Citizens.

+++ I didn't go to the military and fight for our rights to sit back while a
group of "good-old-boys" in the US Congress decides what I will and will not do
with my life. That's my choice, not Yours or There's. Don't let your "extra
class" go to your head.

>
>
> Take care, Jeff KH6O

1800. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <39805B72.42A5622B@sgi.netski>
Source record: 83853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >Jeff,
> >Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
> >do with listening to cell phones.
>
> So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
> eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o

+++ I don't listen to cell phones, there nothing but boring. But, if I chose too I do have the capacity to do so.

> Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
> the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.

+++ What about the courtesy for the people on the: cb and amateur misc. newsgroups? We all know that cb's can't receive cell. As for we hams, we just don't care.

>
>
> Jeff

1801. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <mgm0os0v4ftpn4svde0ifr9u4ft62a934b@4ax.com>
Source record: 83854

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 15:13:29 -0400, "The Kolari's"
<rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:

> The Gov't has just passed a law requiring all firearms to be registered
>by 2003. However, many gun owners are outraged and refuse to register their
>guns. I don't blame them, I haven't registered mine yet. It's a stupid law
>anyway. It's just to appease all the city-folk who know jack -squat about
>guns. For example, how many criminals do you think will register their
>guns? It's only the law-abiding gun owners who will. I'm only 17, and I
>already hate politics and politicians.

You're only 17 and probably haven't met many "city-folk." I'll bet
there are more guns of all descriptions within a mile of my apartment
in New York City than in the entire state in which you live. We know
about guns.

I'll bet, during rush hour, there are more guns on a single subway car
(not even the whole train) than there are in your neighborhood.

What we "city-folk" wonder is why you need an Uzi for deer hunting? I
thought it was a sport where the animal at least had a fighting
chance. Where's the challenge when you don't have to do any actual
hunting and try an outwit your prey? Shooting fish in a barrel isn't
as exciting as going fishing.

Rich (former "country-folk")

1802. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <39805D2B.8F4421B7@sgi.netski>
Source record: 83855

Give these a shot:

http://www.scannerworld.com and http://www.batteriesamerica.com

Both send a catalog with every order.

CitySlicker wrote:

> Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235. Thank
> you in advance for your help.

1803. Re: EDACS Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000727121508.27076.00000426@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 83856

>I have determined the EDACS system used in Bernalillo County, NM is
>digital. Is there any hope of decoding it so I might montior?

Someday, maybe

> Is there a
>product I can purchase to do the job?

Nope, not yet, unless of course you buy an EDACS radio just like the county uses and have it programmed by someone who has access to the software and programming info. This should cost no more than $3,000 or so.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Vote to keep your guns. Vote Bush."

1804. Re: Announcing Icom R2 ASCII interface software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom11.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8lporcbje1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83857

Shana <shana1@optushome.com.au> wrote:
> Does the Icom R2 ASCII interface software work for the Icom IC-R10

No, it only supports the R2, since that's the only radio I have, and
I'm doing this for free on zero budget.

Now, if a few folks want to get together and donate an R10 to the
effort, I could probably support it...

--Rich

> Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom1.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:8loie5lfu1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>> A couple of months ago, I bought an Icom R2 scanner, and I've been
>> delighted with this little beauty.
>>
>> However, I found the available computer interface software to be a bit
>> lacking in flexibility and ease of use. It was fine for saving and
>> restoring the radio's configurations, but doing much manipulation, as
>> in moving frequencies from one bank to another, or combining a set of
>> frequencies from one configuration with a set from another, was
>> painful.
>>
>> Rather than write software to do all possible editing I might want to
>> do, I took a simpler approach. I wrote software which dumps the
>> radio's frequencies into a plain ASCII text file, so that I can use a
>> text editor, spreadsheet, or other program to cut and paste, sort, and
>> otherwise manipulate things in any way I see fit. This approach
>> allows other people to use my software to manipulate their own
>> configurations in ways I never thought of.
>>
>> Folks from the Icom R2 mailing list on egroups.com have been testing
>> the software for several weeks, and have helped me iron out a few
>> problems.
>>
>> The software is free. A link to the download location is posted at:
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/eurobago/radiosoft.html
>>
>> Enjoy,
>>
>> --Rich

1805. Re: unbelievable response from Uniden!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000727125503.00135.00000151@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 83858

>Subject: unbelievable response from Uniden!
>From: Darrin darrin99@iname.com
>Date: 7/26/00 10:16 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <qsvtnscst1cjd3sa26v11qaokditp7hssa@4ax.com>
>
>I sent Uniden an email about sending my BC245XLT for a swap of boards
>without the 5 second delay. Get ready, this the response I got from
>Uniden. I swear, this is the real reply I got. :(
>
>>We are not aware of any "5 second delay". There were rumors going around
>>about that but it is not true.
>>
>>Thank You,
>>Uniden Customer Service
>
>
>
>
>
>

You're lucky they didn't tell you they never made such a scanner....
Neutrodyne

1806. Oshkosh time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marios Liras <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <39806dc9$0$60937$392904a7@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 83859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Hello there list
> It's Oshkosh time and EAA have the bigest event for the year
> Can anyone provite with working freq. for the airport and for the
> aerobatis
> teams
> Thanks in advance
> Marios

1807. WTB: Icom IC-R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: caddoo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <39806f9a.25244441@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83860

Wanted: Icom R8500
caddoo@earthlink.net

1808. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lpsfe$hh3@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lo7lv$i27$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> rec.radio.amateur.dx dropped from header
>
>
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I have the right to choose to obey the law.
>
> There is no "choice" involved.

That is where your wrong. I choose every day what I want to do. I live by a sense of morals and the 10 commandments not by a beuracrats idea of what is right or wrong. You know what I seem to do just fine.
>
> >What or who is affected by a modified scanner?
>
> You should pose that question to the Congress which enacted the law.

I guess old Newt would have something to say. If his morals were better he wouldn't have had a problem.
>
> >Many of the modifications that Bill Cheek publicized were prior to the law.
>
> Regardless of when a modification is made, it's the *listening* which
> violates the law. My Uniden 800XLT came from the factory with no unblocked
> frequency bands; the placard which came with the unit specifies what bands
> one should avoid. (To this day, I still haven't listened to other people's
> phone conversations.)

That is very commendable but somehow I think your full of bull.

>
> >Myself, I will continue to enjoy, support and fight for the freedoms
> >afforded everyone in this country regardless of what unenforceable laws
> >your
> >elected government can dream up this decade.
>
> Waving the flag while violating the law -- you're a nut, "City Slicker"!

Far from a nut. Just a person who believes in common sense, God and the freedoms this country was founded on. At least I wave the flag what do you do.

>
> Take care, Jeff KH6O

1809. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lpsmf$4n2@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff,
Are you another law student? Have you spent your short life as a
professional student? If so get out into the real world and then come back
in lets say 10 years and we'll see how your ideals have changed.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lom4g$43e$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> .dx dropped from header...
>
> T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
> >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
> >>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> >>you disagree with it.
>
> >Bullshit!
>
> So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
> to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
> doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
> from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
> holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
> what they desire.
>
> Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!
>
> Jeff

1810. Listen to the Cleveland Ohio 800 Megacycle Trunked Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8lptv1$tl8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The system is a Non-APCOA 25 800 Megacycle Digital Motorola Astro
Trunked System

http://www.clevelandlive.com:80/policescanner/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1811. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lpsvt$hhb@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Kevin,

Well said, however the small minded, unknowing, upcoming lawyers real or imagined always relate to modifications as illegal. Because like most of their species they usually don't know what they are taking about until someone teaches them. Kind of like monkeys.

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message news:snv8cui13j114@corp.supernews.com...
> Many, if not most of Bills mods had nothing to do with cell. Adding
> channels, getting rid of keyboard beep, rf meters , receiving ssb on the
> 2006, speed up mods. . . Modified does not mean "opening the cell freqs".
> Any way , most of the cell mods were legal when his books went to publish.
> I'll miss Bill as well. We sent a few e-mails back and forth. He was a good
> guy. He could be a jerk, I'll admit that (that's true of many of us). But
> at least he posted a BUNCH of on topic , helpful info.
> It seems to me that this is the perfect time NG bickering to end.
>
> Good scanning !
>
>
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
> > >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more
> to
> > >the hobby.
> >
> > That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> > course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> > a scanner is a federal crime.)
> >
> > Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> > you disagree with it.
> >
> > Jeff KH6O
>
>

1812. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lpt5q$hgp@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Probably because someone crossposted it to begin with. Would that have been
you?

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lokql$2kg$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >Jeff,
> >Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
> >do with listening to cell phones.
>
> So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
> eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o
>
> Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
> the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.
>
> Jeff
>

1813. Necesito consejo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: berna <berna10@iberonline.es>
Message-ID: <IO_f5.9145$yl5.38763@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83866

Hola a todos:
Quiero comprarme un scanner pero tengo dudas de cual,el AOR8200 ?
el IC-R3,me gustar?a saber que se puede escuchar con estos dos aparatos y
cual es mejor.Gracias

1814. Re: BC3000XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8lptk9$it2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 83867

"Mike" <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com> asked:
> ... there looks like a gap in between 550-759.000mhz.
> Who uses those frequencies?

In the U.S., TV broadcast channels 27-61, with the exception of
608-614 MHz (= Ch 37) which is reserved for radio astronomy.

1815. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: killrwat <killrwat@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <39807dbe$0$8306$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 83868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lokbh$27b$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> killrwat <killrwat@dwave.net> wrote:
> > Jeff, Comparing Bill Cheek to a drug dealer is simply ASSANINE!!
>
> I didn't. I specifically wrote that the two crimes are in a different
> catagory: "Of course, the two are not in the same catagory..."

Are you as ignorant as you are ASSANINE? Direct quote..

<snip>
That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs
<snip>

You specifically compare it in the above statement! No matter what you
say in the next sentence, you've made a comparison here......

>
> >contributions..... Other than BLOWING YOUR HORN, what contributions
have
> >you made???
>
> I'd tell you if you were really interested.
>
> Take care, Jeff KH6O

Actually Jeff I am interested........ If you've actually made a
signifigant contribution on a NATIONAL or INTERNATIONAL level please share
it!

--
Ya don't like my post?

KW

|o> < o> __~.,,___
(_,)/~;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;~-.,
~\,.| --- ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
(_.~;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;

~ ~ -~ ,,..---~~ ~ ~ ~
~ , ~ ~ ~

1816. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: killrwat <killrwat@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <39807e8e$0$8301$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 83869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff your a real work of art.....

--
Ya don't like my post?

KW


Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lokql$2kg$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >Jeff,
> >Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
> >do with listening to cell phones.
>
> So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
> eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o
>
> Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
> the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.
>
> Jeff
>

1817. Re: Cleveland Ohio News Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8lq127dr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83870

check the fcc.gov/wtb site

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1818. Re: Necesito consejo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pisha <pisha@terra.es>
Message-ID: <EC%f5.9328$yl5.41369@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83871

Yo te aconsejaria sin ningun tipo de dudas el aor 8200, no te arrepentiras,
yo tengo el 8000 y es una maravilla.
"berna" <berna10@iberonline.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje
news:EI_f5.8918$yl5.38365@telenews.teleline.es...
> Hola a todos:
> Quiero comprarme un scanner pero tengo dudas de cual,el AOR8200 &#65533;
> el IC-R3,me gustar&#65533;a saber que se puede escuchar con estos dos aparatos y
> cual es mejor.Gracias
>
>

1819. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3bszja03y.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83872

Rich Wood <richbwood@compuserve.com> writes:

' You're only 17 and probably haven't met many "city-folk." I'll bet
' there are more guns of all descriptions within a mile of my apartment
' in New York City than in the entire state in which you live. We know
' about guns.

Hyperbole aside, what percentage of those guns do you suppose are
owned legally under NYC law?

' I'll bet, during rush hour, there are more guns on a single subway car
' (not even the whole train) than there are in your neighborhood.

And what percentage of these are legally carried under NYC law?

' What we "city-folk" wonder is why you need an Uzi for deer hunting?

Who is asking to use Uzis for deer hunting? Uzi makes machine pisols
and hand guns. I'm not aware of any Uzi rifles made for hunting.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1820. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m366pr9zjb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

' Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
' you disagree with it.

Stupid laws were ment to be broken.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1821. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m33dkv9z8s.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> writes:

' Instead of shooting all lawyers, we should shoot all the telejournalists.

Or run them down with a Mercedes limousine.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1822. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3em4fa0mx.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> writes:

```
' Pay attention. The Court recently had a very eye opening ruling on
' Miranda.
'
' You may wish to look into that.
```

Are you referring to WITHROW v. WILLIAMS?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1823. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m38zun9zpx.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid> writes:

' Hey, I managed to find a used BC235XLT (in perfect condition)
' for $99. Unlikely with the 245XLT, but who knows? I thought I
' got a HELL of a deal, personally.

Maybe one will fall off the back of a truck.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1824. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca>
Message-ID: <h231osgpiat210vh24aspefur268s4mbdg@4ax.com>
Source record: 83877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes BIll will be missed, he had a lot of information available, I
believe a lot of scanner advances in one way or anther came from his
idea's.

To learn more about what he had visit his website at
http://www.comtronics.net/

Cheers

The Pro-Turbo Team
Len & Paul

On Wed, 26 Jul 2000 22:52:07 -0400, "Joe Schlatter" <joe@schlatter.org>
wrote:

>'Tis indeed sad when a young man of 50 years passes away. Not meaning any
>disrespect, but, who is Mr. Cheek?

1825. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca>
Message-ID: <6e31os8o387u24456ka1r3fq0u81rm9rei@4ax.com>
Source record: 83878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 27 Jul 2000 00:36:52 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

Doing so was not always a crime, about the only thing that is now a
crime is the modification to open up the receive for the cell phones and
this only after 1994 and only in the USA, adding more memory to the
scanner and all the other MODS in Bill's 3 book's are not illegal.

There are no laws here in Canada that say I can't do what I want to my
scanner, I can modify it for cell phones, I can listen to cell phones,
cordless phone's whatever I like.
There are countries other than the USA, and the laws of the USA do not
apply to the rest of the WORLD!

Cheers

Len

>
>That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
>course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
>a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>you disagree with it.
>
>Jeff KH6O

1826. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ei41os88njgl1gq1apjltt141c3tobbogu@4ax.com>
Source record: 83879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey I see that you are in Hawaii! Do you own a boat? If not yo
had better get one as you are breaking a state law by not owning one.
>All residents may be fined as a result of not owning a boat.
If you live in Honolulu you better be careful when in any parks, if you
are walking around and a bird fly's away you can be fined for bothering
it.
>Within the limits of any public park, it is unlawful to annoy any bird. (SEC. 10-1.2)

For all you people that live in Los Angeles California, did you know
that you can legally beat your wife? But only with a strap that is no
larger than 2 inches unless she says it ok to use one bigger.

>It is illegal for a man to beat his wife with a strap wider than 2 inches without her
>consent.

On 27 Jul 2000 06:50:56 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>.dx dropped from header...
>
>T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
>>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>>>you disagree with it.
>
>>Bullshit!
>
>So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
>to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
>from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
>holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
>what they desire.
>
>Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!
>
>Jeff

1827. Re: Is it too late?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8lq51i$r5u$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83880

In article <mgm0os0v4ftpn4svde0ifr9u4ft62a934b@4ax.com>,
richbwood@compuserve.com says...
>
>On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 15:13:29 -0400, "The Kolari's"

>
>What we "city-folk" wonder is why you need an Uzi for deer hunting? I
>thought it was a sport where the animal at least had a fighting
>chance. Where's the challenge when you don't have to do any actual
>hunting and try an outwit your prey? Shooting fish in a barrel isn't
>as exciting as going fishing.
>
>Rich (former "country-folk")
Sticking my foot in where I shouldn't:
There are at least two types of hunters and hunting.
The first is what people do for survival. There is no pretense at fairness.
After all we do not give animals in a stockyard a fighting chance.
The second is "sport". The skill to track an animal down, to "out think" it
and nail it. This hunter makes a big deal of how fair we must be, frowns on
using baited fields, night goggles, shooting from a car, et al.
OF course if they really wanted to give the animal a sporting chance they
might want to consier a flint bladed knif. Or at most a spear. I lost a great,
great grandfather to a irrate male deer. It snuck up behind him in the family
cornfield and stomped him to death. His daughter commented that she did not
know deer could kill. In my mind it is not sporting until the animal stands
a fair chance of hunting back.
Now as to the utility of a Uzi for hunting, I guess it depends on what type
vermin/prey you are after.
These catagories apply to fisher-people [we must be PC!] also.
Fishertypes who depend on fish for a living are remarkably un romantic about
their job. People like my father, who spend way too many hours in a boat on
the lake treat it like the HOLLY EVENT, compared to the rapture found by
many on the GOLF COURSE.
YMWV
Please note:
Nothing in this was meant the downgrade any hunitng or fishing activity.
Just some [slanted] observations.
Now as for slighting golf, if the Scottish Lords had practiced the arts of
war/battle instead of whacking that little ball around, Scotland would have
remained an independent country.[VBEG--Very BIG EVIL Grin] I am decended from
the Campbells [amoung others] and my wife says the blood flows true in me, I
am the tightest person she know when it comes to spending money!!!]

1828. Re: OptoTrakker by Optoelectronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <zP0g5.53141$fR2.486093@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83881

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:6WKf5.52290$fR2.476882@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> OptoTrakker, by Optoelectronics

1829. Re: IC-R8500 Icom Wide-Band Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <1R0g5.53142$fR2.486659@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83882

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:NPKf5.52230$fR2.477391@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM IC-R8500 Professional Wide-Band Communications Receiver

1830. Re: BC3000XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8lq5jl$38oe$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83883

These are the frequencies for UHF television.
But one never knows -- Since these frequencies are on so few scanners and
are so rarely listened to -- and in every community, only a few of them are
used for TV. This band seems like a perfect hangout for pirates,
clandestines, etc. I always wonder what (if anything) is there that is
not legal or official.

-
Mike wrote in message ...
>This receiver covers most frequencies between 25-1300.00mhz. Besides the
>cellular block, there looks like a gap in between 550-759.000mhz. Who uses
>those frequencies?
>I'm going to be purchasing a new handheld, and I've narrowed it down to the
>BC3000XLT or the AOR8200 mark II B. Obviously there is quite a price
>difference. What can you listen to with the AOR that you can't with the
>Uniden??
>
>Thanks,
>Mike
>
>

1831. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8lq65c$pi5@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think Jeff just ran out to buy a boat and I am sure he made an effort to not pass buy any parks.

"Len" <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca> wrote in message news:ei41os88njgl1gq1apjltt141c3tobbogu@4ax.com...
> Jeffrey I see that you are in Hawaii! Do you own a boat? If not yo
> had better get one as you are breaking a state law by not owning one.
> >All residents may be fined as a result of not owning a boat.
> If you live in Honolulu you better be careful when in any parks, if you
> are walking around and a bird fly's away you can be fined for bothering
> it.
> >Within the limits of any public park, it is unlawful to annoy any bird. (SEC. 10-1.2)
>
> For all you people that live in Los Angeles California, did you know
> that you can legally beat your wife? But only with a strap that is no
> larger than 2 inches unless she says it ok to use one bigger.
>
> >It is illegal for a man to beat his wife with a strap wider than 2 inches without her
> >consent.
>
>
> On 27 Jul 2000 06:50:56 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
> >.dx dropped from header...
> >
> >T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
> >>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> >>
> >>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> >>>you disagree with it.
> >>
> >>Bullshit!
> >>
> >>So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
> >>to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
> >>doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
> >>from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
> >>holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
> >>what they desire.
> >>
> >Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!

> >
> >Jeff
>

1832. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my_deja.com
Message-ID: <8lq82p0198a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3980365D.B2F1CA09@worldnet.att.net>, PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net says...
>
>
>
>"T.L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>>
>> >Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>> >you disagree with it.
>> >
>> >Jeff KH6O
>>
>> Bullshit! Germans were obligated to turn Jews over to the Nazis, and 150 years
>> ago in this country, you could get a lengthy prison sentence is you helped
>> slaves escape.
>>
>> Tom - N8ECW
>
> Godwin's Law....game over.

Godwin's Law is cop-out.

Tom - N8ECW

1833. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my_deja.com
Message-ID: <8lq8sa1198a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <8lom4g$43e$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>>>you disagree with it.
>
>>Bullshit!
>
>So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
>to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
>from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
>holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
>what they desire.
>
>Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!
>
>Jeff

I see you deleted the rest of my response, but I should have expected as much
from a pompous sack of shit like you.

Tom - N8ECW

1834. Equipment Reviews Wanted For ChiScanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <bf2g5.84518$dF.3002051@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 83887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ChiScanner is trying to find some people to wirte reviews for scanners and other radio equipment. Go to http://chiscanner.8m.com/ and click on "Equipment Reviews." Use the template at the site and mail it to reviews@chiscanner.8m.com

Thanks,
John Bombard
http://chiscanner.8m.com
webmaster@chiscanner.8m.com

1835. FS:Bearcat 855XLT Enhanced $85+S&H. w/ Betty Bearcat Frequency Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lisa Smith <lisa_smith@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3980B1AE.6F43261@ameritech.net>
Source record: 83888

FS:Bearcat 855XLT Enhanced $85+S&H. w/ Betty Bearcat Frequency
Directory, original operating manual and wall wart.

reply to 2000corvette@ameritech.net if interested or want any more
details.

1836. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B306.37A4AA1E@analon.com>
Source record: 83889

this was a radio repeater with autopatch or phone patch capabilities......

I've been near shopping malls and heard the mall security on their radios
with phone patch too.
some repeater services do offer phone patch capability.. hence that's why
they got the telco operator instead of the cellular operator

Abman wrote:

> My "friend" was scanning thru 800mhz and since his scanner is locked and
> cant scan cellular it kept on skipping and pick up nothing. But then it
> hit 860.377 mhz and it picked up a guy dialing. He as a washing machine
> repair guy and he had reached someone answering machine and he left his
> number and his name, which was Andy. So my "friend" called that number
> and got a lady who works at the washing machine repair store, he then
> asked to speak to Andy but she said he wasnt in, then he asked for his
> cell phone (assuming that he has one) and she said he doesnt, then she
> said he has a truck phone. Another incident was when a person was heard
> saying that he was in his car on his way home and he was talking to his
> wife, he then said that he cant hear shit on this "truck phone" and that
> he is gonna hang up.
>
> My friend left this scanner on all night on 860.377 and non stop people
> were making calls, he never once heard the same person twice. The funny
> thing is that the person being called was coming thru clearer than the
> caller, and whenever the caller stopped talking a beeping sound was
> made, but no beep after the called person finished talking. Another
> funny thing about it was that when someon dialed a wrong number they got
> the SW bell lady and not the cellular lady, yet this was a phone without
> wire.

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you
run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

1837. Re: Any ATL-BNA-IND-MEM-SDF-STL Airplane Watchers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B49B.AA5F2CC2@analon.com>
Source record: 83890

I have frequencies for many US airports.. also ahve an "aeronautical frequency directory" book where I can look up frequencies I don't know but want of need.

The airport LAX (in El Segundo) but called Los Angeles International Airport has a nice hill to the south that's good for plane spotting. the beach to the west if good too.

Burbank has a few good places.... I remember from Universal Studios being able to see the aircraft as they climb and turn or line up and descend.

At Phoenix.. try the roof of terminal 3 or 4, the parking garage is a great place..... roof of t-3 gives you a closeup view of the tower too

Mark Holmes wrote:

> If there are any aircraft radio communications enthusiasts that ever go
> airplane watching at the above mentioned airports and would ever be
> interested in meeting up, please let me know. I go to STL just about
> every Sunday, frequently to ATL and occasionally to BNA. I'm looking
> for good contacts at IND, MEM & SDF also.
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>
> ----------
> Mark Holmes
> Southern Illinois
>
> Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher
>
> Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
> Save America, Evict Clinton
> ----------

--
*****----------800-873-5884----------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|----------310-720-2927----------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

1838. Re: Any scanners do 12.5 MHz spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B4E3.324A117F@analon.com>
Source record: 83891

many do 5 10 12.5 and 25...

my AOR will also do 1khz, 100khz, and even 6mhz it's user configureable

JOHN

Eugene Jastrem wrote:

> I am looking for a scanner that will do 12.5 spacing. I took a quick
> look and found no prior postings, if I missed one I am sorry for this
> post. Please let me know thanx in advance.
> Gene

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you
run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927--------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

1839. Constitution Fourth Amendment and Carnivore Internet Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: NSA <nsa@usa.gvo>
Message-ID: <3980B64B.8C36D61C@usa.gvo>
Source record: 83892
Cross-posted to: talk.politics,alt.privacy,alt.government.abuse,alt.politics.usa.congress,rec.radio.scanner

The Internet and your lack of Privacy!
Around 1997, our Government began using their own devices to monitor Internet users. It is nicknamed 'Carnivore', an advanced packet sniffer specially designed by Government contractors for Government to capture digital information sent and received by users on the newer high-speed Internet networks. It took three years, Year 2000, until Congress accidentally found out about Carnivore and started hearings on this matter.

Keep in mind that Congress will be unable to do much on this issue because time is running out in the 106th Congress. Keep in mind the NSA is higher level in hierarchy and Congress can not touch them. The NSA will continue to use passive undetectable methods to monitor society and they will continue to give any information to organizations lower in the hierarchy, such as the FBI and Secret Service. Keep in mind the FBI can monitor the Internet using other methods besides Carnivore, such as allowing the ISP to sniff their own network.

http://www.fednet.net/live.htm Scroll down to Monday, July 24, 2000 - House Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on the Constitution. You must see this Real Video about Carnivore and catch every word.
http://www.fednet.net/archive.htm They will move it to this link if you do not find at 'live.htm'.

http://www.house.gov/judiciary/con07241.htm Part of the documented comments of the above. Oversight Hearing on "Fourth Amendment Issues Raised by the FBIï¿½s ï¿½Carnivoreï¿½ Program".
http://www.house.gov/judiciary/corn0406.htm

http://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy/hmprivacy.html

http://flashcommerce.com/articles/00/07/13/174258030.htm

The Deception of Cellular Privacy Laws!
At the web page listed below, you will find comments about privacy laws attacking radio scanners. Laws that use the wrong approach to cellular privacy by enacting something never done in America before, that is the dangerous method of taking your rights of owning radios with unblocked radio-bands. Yes I am all for cell phone privacy, but it could have been done without radio-band blocking of scanners because the cellular companies could have simply secured their cellular systems. However, their significant growth and profiting from selling you their airwaves gave them superior lobbying powers in Congress. Well the cellular companies are finally securing their cellular systems making radio-band blocking of scanners unnecessary. Now look at what the Congress (House

and Senate) Committees on Commerce and the CTIA are doing. Something you should fear and not allow. They are proposing new laws that require more radio-band blocking of radios although there are the currently unnecessary laws doing this. In reality, radio-band blocking of radios does not improve your cell phone privacy. Today's new secure cellular systems do protect your cell phone privacy. Therefore, I am sending you this warning with good knowledge of telecommunications so you will take notice and do something to help stop and remove laws that restrict your right to own radios unrestricted from Government enforced radio-band blocking.

http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/

1840. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B642.721D7AE3@analon.com>
Source record: 83893

the AOR-1000 does have NO GAPS 0.5mhz-1300mhz continous..... including broadcast AM, Shortwave, VHF, UHF, Broadcast FM, Television Audio, Cordless Phones, cellular, Aircraft, military, ham/amateur, 900mhz..... everything you would ever want to listen to is here!

TheLost wrote:

> I'm looking into buying one.....but I want to see if anyone on the
> group here as one and likes it. Any + or - things about this scanner?
> Also is it posable to mod this scanner so it has no gaps? My main
> concert is to get a scanner that has no gaps for military aircraft and
> operations.....cell would be cool too....but it's not a must. Thanks
> for any info in advance.
>
> TheLost

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

1841. Re: CHP 45.02 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B79A.1178FA21@analon.com>
Source record: 83894

I often drive I-10 between Phoenix and Los Angeles... I have my scanner search set to 39.0-45.0

maybe based on this, I should change that to 39.00 - 45.50

JOHN

kb6bf@my-deja.com wrote:

> Try 45.02 Not 45.12 Used in SoCal. Especially desert areas. Within
> the past week on I-15 (Barstow) and SR-138 E of Palmdale (@165th
> E.)
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|--------------------------310-720-2927--------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|+++

1842. computer interference RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3980B83C.468BDC6C@analon.com>
Source record: 83895

Computers are notorious for RFI (Radio Frequency Interferance) emissions....
why do you htink airlines now discourage use of electronics at certain
altitudes........they ar afraid that the RFI emissions will interfere with
aircraft navigation and aircraft onboard systems....

IN most cases this is not a problem... but if you load a place with 150 people
and give each a laptop and have each on doing calculations.. then it could be a
problem...

David Brock wrote:

> I have noticed that my computer interferes with my RadioShack scanner a lot
> and is due to computer interference and makes many of my frequencies on my
> scanner fussy. This means I have to lock out each fussy frequencies and be
> listening to other noises that my scanner seems to take in from the
> computer.
>
> Is there any way to stop or reduce this annoying computer interference ?
>
> I have already tired by moving the scanner further away but it doesn't work
> and I don't want to resort to an outdoor antenna.
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you
run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

1843. Sounds like we finally got rid of Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <3980C975.E40BE86D@kj.com>
Source record: 83896

.

1844. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tiny <tiny2nz@home.com>
Message-ID: <397F8338.BD0B8D83@home.com>
Source record: 83909

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235. Thank
> you in advance for your help.

Did you try
http://members.aol.com/primecell/primecell.html
they have high cap batteries for all kinds of radios
dealt with them before no problems

Tiny

1845. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <brLf5.42573$oj6.408578@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83910

Radio shack, Wal Mart. K Mart

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lnusf$4n2@journal.concentric.net...
> Can anyone point me to a good source for batteries for my Uniden 235.
Thank
> you in advance for your help.
>
>

1846. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <397F826D.2FE2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why don't you knock it off, sonny. Adolescent losers like you give
amateur radio a very bad name. It's amazing you have the temerity to add
your call to your posts.

Cheek was six times the man you ever were. He was propbably a thousand
times the technician you will ever be.

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!
>
> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

1847. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
>Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more to
>the hobby.

That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying a scanner is a federal crime.)

Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if you disagree with it.

Jeff KH6O

1848. Icom Mobile Unit Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Irishman_02191@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <397F877A.6552198@yahoo.com>
Source record: 83913

Hello there,

I am seeking a 16 channel UHF Icom mobile, I believe the unit is a U-16, it is about 10-12 years old. I want to put this in my car and be abele to program it off my Icom portable. I would like to mount the body in the trunk wih the head mounted under the dash. Any ideas how I can get one? Please drop me a note at the email address above.

Thank you,

1849. Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8lo33n$i9t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83914

My condolences go out to Bills family.God Bless. Wilf

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1850. Re: unbelievable response from Uniden!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000726220817.08464.00000277@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 83915

Hey, these are the same folks who told me absolutely, positively, without question that the BC780XLT would start shipping to dealers on July 14. Of course, no such shipments have happened, but then Uniden has never been a company to let the facts get in the way of a good story.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Vote to keep your guns. Vote Bush."

1851. Cleveland Ohio News Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebizay@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000726223813.00899.00000517@ng-cb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 83916

I am looking to find the frequencies used by the media,(tv&paper) in Cleveland,Oh. Thanks! Email me ebizay@cs.com

1852. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lo7lv$i27$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

rec.radio.amateur.dx dropped from header

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I have the right to choose to obey the law.

There is no "choice" involved.

>What or who is affected by a modified scanner?

You should pose that question to the Congress which enacted the law.

>Many of the modifications that Bill Cheek publicized were prior to the law.

Regardless of when a modification is made, it's the *listening* which violates the law. My Uniden 800XLT came from the factory with no unblocked frequency bands; the placard which came with the unit specifies what bands one should avoid. (To this day, I still haven't listened to other people's phone conversations.)

>Myself, I will continue to enjoy, support and fight for the freedoms
>afforded everyone in this country regardless of what unenforceable laws
>your
>elected government can dream up this decade.

Waving the flag while violating the law -- you're a nut, "City Slicker"!

Take care, Jeff KH6O

1853. BC3000XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <JqOf5.12728$5N1.432469@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83918

This receiver covers most frequencies between 25-1300.00mhz. Besides the cellular block, there looks like a gap in between 550-759.000mhz. Who uses those frequencies?
I'm going to be purchasing a new handheld, and I've narrowed it down to the BC3000XLT or the AOR8200 mark II B. Obviously there is quite a price difference. What can you listen to with the AOR that you can't with the Uniden??

Thanks,
Mike

1854. Uniden 9000 xlt is SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000727000411.28086.00000357@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 83919

Sold

1855. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Hauser <jameshauser@home.com>
Message-ID: <2HOf5.47832$6y5.30829619@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

buy his books. nothing like them by anyone else.
"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@erols.com> wrote in message
news:8lids4$2i4$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Bud the Real wrote in message
> <2a9pnskpf14h3jr85qi203fs9h1tgo7lh4@4ax.com>...
> >
> >Dear Bud,
> >
> >Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> >7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> >hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
>
> My history with scanning is short. Where can I get a good biographical
> account of Bill Cheek and his work, etc.? Sounds like real interesting
> stuff from the bits I've read in this NG.
>
>

1856. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my_deja.com
Message-ID: <8loa5o0oa4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>you disagree with it.
>
>Jeff KH6O

Bullshit! Germans were obligated to turn Jews over to the Nazis, and 150 years ago in this country, you could get a lengthy prison sentence is you helped slaves escape.

Tom - N8ECW

1857. Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <8lodak$mj8$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 83922

What is the oddest or most sought after scanning reciever ever produced?
Which one had the rarest features that have never been on a scanner before?
Just curious.....

1858. Bill Cheek and the rest of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Hauser <jameshauser@home.com>
Message-ID: <d5Pf5.47845$6y5.30862782@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83923

you know i think enough has been said back and forth between cheek likers and cheek haters. does it ever go away. i really don't think his family needs to hear or read this baloney. bill will live on thru his writings. whether it was his property or not who cares. he owns the copyright. rest in peace bill and thank you for the good books. Jim Hauser

1859. Re: Pro 2036 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <YkPf5.18085$o71.1152382@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83924

nothing a search engine can't solve :->

Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:397F7293.399CA2DE@home.com...
> Thank you very much! I didn't really think anyone would reply but I'm
> very grateful none the less. :-).

Best wishes.. Mike Burch K8MB

1860. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe@here.net
Message-ID: <397fa1d1.35887009@news.istep.com>
Source record: 83925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jul 2000 20:21:20 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>
>Dear Bud,
>
>Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
>7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
>hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.
>
>Cindy
>
>I have submitted an article, for the 'beginning scannist' to Nuts & Volts magazine
entitled The Ear which is about
learning to identify the transmissions heard on scanners.

Do not yet know if they are going to publish it but it will be
dedicated to the memory of Bill Cheek.
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1861. Re: TARGET,WALMART etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <397FCCFC.73AE@pacbell.net>
Source record: 83926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Johnson wrote:
>
> whats the fequencies for these stores..
>
> SEARS ALSO.....thanks

The most common frequencies for Target are:

151.6250 PL Tone 179.9
151.9550 PL Tone 179.9

The most common frequencies for Walmart are:

154.5700 No PL Tone
154.6000 No PL Tone

Sears really doesn't have a common frequency. When I lived back
on the east coast in the Washington DC area they operated on the
following frequencies:

151.8350
151.8650
151.8950

In the San Francisco Bay Area I've found Sears on the following:

461.1875 PL 151.4
466.1125 DPL 071

1862. Announcing Icom R2 ASCII interface software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom1.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8loie5lfu1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83927

A couple of months ago, I bought an Icom R2 scanner, and I've been delighted with this little beauty.

However, I found the available computer interface software to be a bit lacking in flexibility and ease of use. It was fine for saving and restoring the radio's configurations, but doing much manipulation, as in moving frequencies from one bank to another, or combining a set of frequencies from one configuration with a set from another, was painful.

Rather than write software to do all possible editing I might want to do, I took a simpler approach. I wrote software which dumps the radio's frequencies into a plain ASCII text file, so that I can use a text editor, spreadsheet, or other program to cut and paste, sort, and otherwise manipulate things in any way I see fit. This approach allows other people to use my software to manipulate their own configurations in ways I never thought of.

Folks from the Icom R2 mailing list on egroups.com have been testing the software for several weeks, and have helped me iron out a few problems.

The software is free. A link to the download location is posted at:

http://www.geocities.com/eurobago/radiosoft.html

Enjoy,

--Rich

1863. Re: Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <a4Qf5.42714$oj6.414292@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83928

I'd have to say the Pro-2006

Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote in message
news:8lodak$mj8$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> What is the oddest or most sought after scanning reciever ever produced?
> Which one had the rarest features that have never been on a scanner
before?
> Just curious.....
>
>

1864. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <pZPf5.478$Dw6.3630@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff,
Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to do with listening to cell phones. Improved squelch, computer control of scanners, expanded memory channels, add-on signal strength meters, baseband audio taps for recording signals, just to name a few. And modifying a scanner isn't a crime, listening to phone conversations is. It's what you DO with the radio that can get you in trouble. BTW, id you think drug dealing isn't a federal crime, you've apparently never heard of the D.E.A.

I myself use one of Bill's mods, a discriminator output tap, to monitor and decode EMWIN (Emergency Managers Weather Information Net), which is distributed by the Nat. Weather Service and rebroadcast by our local amateur radio club. Ironically, it was this mod, a "data-slicer", that got Bill arrested last year. The info is freely available on a N.W.S. site. Go Figure!

Mark K5MAR

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu...
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
> >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more to
> >the hobby.
>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O

1865. Re: Airline Ground Operations Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <397FD59C.28C9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 83930

Hi Mark,

There's no standard allocation for airline ramp frequencies in the
800 and 900 Mhz range. BTW, you should also search in the 461 thru
470 Mhz range when your at the airport. For example, the following
ramp frequencies are used by various airlines in the Bay Area:

San Jose International SJC

463.7125 DPL 132 Southwest Airlines
464.4875 PL 77.0 San Jose Jet Center
464.9125 PL 156.7 American Airlines
469.5000 PL 127.3 Northwest Airlines

San Francisco International SFO

461.1375 PL 203.5 United Airlines
461.2875 PL 203.5 United Airlines
461.8875 PL 123.0 United Airlines
464.3250 PL 203.5 United Airlines
464.8125 PL 203.5 United Airlines
464.9750 PL 203.5 United Airlines

Oakland International OAK

463.5625 DPL 074 Southwest Airlines
464.3625 DPL 074 Southwest Airlines
464.6375 DPL 074 Southwest Airlines

Bob

Mark Holmes wrote:
>
> When near an airport, airline ground operational frequencies always
> provide interesting listening. On UHF, the frequency range is
> 460.650-460.875 MHz with 25 KHz spacing.
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies and/or frequency allocations in the 800
> & 900 MHz area?
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>
> ----------
> Mark Holmes
> Southern Illinois
>

> Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher
>
> Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
> Save America, Evict Clinton
> ----------

1866. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lokbh$27b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

killrwat <killrwat@dwave.net> wrote:
> Jeff, Comparing Bill Cheek to a drug dealer is simply ASSANINE!!

I didn't. I specifically wrote that the two crimes are in a different
catagory: "Of course, the two are not in the same catagory..."

>contributions..... Other than BLOWING YOUR HORN, what contributions have
>you made???

I'd tell you if you were really interested.

Take care, Jeff KH6O

1867. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lokql$2kg$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>Jeff,
>Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
>do with listening to cell phones.

So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o

Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.

Jeff

1868. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lol82$325$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

.DX dropped from header...

Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote:
>Many, if not most of Bills mods had nothing to do with cell.

So some did, eh?

Jeff

1869. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lom4g$43e$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

.dx dropped from header...

T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>>you disagree with it.

>Bullshit!

So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task, holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with what they desire.

Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!

Jeff

1870. FS: Two Month Old Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <JLRf5.362$SM4.49518@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 83935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

FS: Two month old Icom R2 with 4 new RS NiMH 1200 batteries, charger, stock antenna, manual, $150 shipped CONUS.

Bill K9KZ

1871. Re: racing cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <397FEA14.284BCB5@cts.com>
Source record: 83936

holtzman@csus.edu wrote:
>
> Here in Kalifornia, at road racing courses, Laguna Seca, Sears Point,
> helos are used. They will spend hours in a race track pattern collecting
> video.
>
> jrh

The helos are mostly being used as camera platforms and can also feed
video. I have worked directly with the racecam teams at Laguna Seca and
Sears point. We put cameras and transmitters on cars and superbikes.
(smallest package available)
airplanes were by far the choice. Fuel and race duration makes helos the
2nd choice for relaying the signals. Choppers can do the job...but you
might be suprised as to the restrictions on helos in the area of Laguna
Seca...It lies in the flight path of Monterey airport and the residents
of the central coast just love the all day noise of helos around the
mulitzillion dollar homes.

Markj

1872. Re: Announcing Icom R2 ASCII interface software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shana <shana1@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <COTf5.7104$I43.22037@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 83937

Does the Icom R2 ASCII interface software work for the Icom IC-R10

Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom1.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8loie5lfu1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> A couple of months ago, I bought an Icom R2 scanner, and I've been
> delighted with this little beauty.
>
> However, I found the available computer interface software to be a bit
> lacking in flexibility and ease of use. It was fine for saving and
> restoring the radio's configurations, but doing much manipulation, as
> in moving frequencies from one bank to another, or combining a set of
> frequencies from one configuration with a set from another, was
> painful.
>
> Rather than write software to do all possible editing I might want to
> do, I took a simpler approach. I wrote software which dumps the
> radio's frequencies into a plain ASCII text file, so that I can use a
> text editor, spreadsheet, or other program to cut and paste, sort, and
> otherwise manipulate things in any way I see fit. This approach
> allows other people to use my software to manipulate their own
> configurations in ways I never thought of.
>
> Folks from the Icom R2 mailing list on egroups.com have been testing
> the software for several weeks, and have helped me iron out a few
> problems.
>
> The software is free. A link to the download location is posted at:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/eurobago/radiosoft.html
>
> Enjoy,
>
> --Rich

1873. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <324e677a.e9c752f2@usw-ex0106-047.remarq.com>
Source record: 83938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"killrwat" <killrwat@dwave.net> wrote:
>I'm not a Scanner Buff at all..... I could care less about
>modifying scanners.....

I'm a scanner buff... but I don't see the attraction in
listening to cellular phones. BORING! Who wants to listen to
some guy call his wife and let her know what time he'll be home
for dinner? Besides, most people are moving to digital PCS.

Now cordless phone conversations, those can be interesting
(disclaimer - I am not saying I listen to them!). People do not
realize that cordless phones are RADIO TRANSMITTERS that can be
picked up within a several block radius with a good outdoor
antenna, sometimes even from a greater distance. It's amazing
what kind of information people give out over cordless phones.

I use a cordless phone myself, but if I'm going to give out
credit card data or something I ALWAYS unplug it and use a
regular corded phone. It's unbelievable the number of people
out there still using 25-channel 49 MHz unscrambled cordless
phones, and even more amazing people don't realize these can be
heard from quite a distance on a scanner. It would be nice if
someone educated the public about this, because an unscrupulous
person could commit all sorts of crimes using the information
heard over cordless phones (second disclaimer - I do not listen
to cordless phone conversations, never have, and never plan to).

W.

Let go the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1874. UNIDEN BC985XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buttini Sauro <saurob@bicnet.it>
Message-ID: <9u70osk4ai4674irmcbab6agsu0nb7mfl7@4ax.com>
Source record: 83939

I'm italien and I don't write english well. I have buy in America the
BC895XLT and i whant to expand the range of this scanner, as the range
70-80 Mhz and 959-960 Mhz. It is possible?! I want the same coverage
of the Uniden UBC-9000 XLT it is possible!!

Thank You

Bye
Buttini Sauro (MS)
IW5EBA

1875. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Pile of Paper <kmatheesy@unlv.edu>
Message-ID: <XLVf5.13333$5N1.466027@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:397F826D.2FE2@worldnet.att.net...
> Why don't you knock it off, sonny. Adolescent losers like you give
> amateur radio a very bad name. It's amazing you have the
> temerity to add your call to your posts.

Don't waste your time trying to
speak any reason to this fool
Glen Quarnstrom Lenny. He's been
knocking around this newsgroup and
others for years and is
a commensurate asshole.

Glen seems to get his rocks off
by spreading his bile and
then sitting back and watching as folks get
mad at him. The guys a total whack-job.
He's probably in need of psychological help.

He's the type of person if he don't get no attention
his anger starts welling up inside and you read about
folks like him later going into a post office
or shopping mall somewhere with a semiautomatic.

1876. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <so02cufi3j191@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Yes, and at the time it was LEGAL.
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:8lol82$325$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> .DX dropped from header...
>
> Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote:
> >Many, if not most of Bills mods had nothing to do with cell.
>
> So some did, eh?
>
> Jeff
>
```

1877. Re: Icom Mobile Unit Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <0i+AOZDc+SL4oon6cPB0JK2YJjN3@4ax.com>
Source record: 83942

On Thu, 27 Jul 2000 00:52:03 GMT, Irishman_02191@yahoo.com wrote:

>I am seeking a 16 channel UHF Icom mobile, I believe the unit is a U-16,
>it is about 10-12 years old. I want to put this in my car and be abele
>to program it off my Icom portable. I would like to mount the body in
>the trunk wih the head mounted under the dash.

This is the ICOM U400. Mobile radio with the same microprocessor and same features as the U16 portable.

You can clone the mobile off the portable as you mentioned. You also could leave the external programming console permanently connected and punch in frequencies and tones as you were driving down the road. I forget the part number of the programmer, but it basically was the U16 keypad, no readout, a bit larger than a credit card and about 3/8" thick. Had six inches of ribbon cable which plugged into the back of the control head. Many people cut a small slot in the top of the control head to clear the ribbon cable. You attached the programmer to your car dash or whatever with a homemade bracket or Velcro.

The remote cable was CK12 I believe. Could be wrong. If you buy one, make sure you get the extra mounting brackets that came with it as it is a pain in the butt to mount the separate control head without the bracket. Speaker could plug into either the radio or back of the control head.

U400 is/was a decent radio, nice and rugged and relatively trouble free. They are still popular and bring a good price. Many parts are no longer available however, so be sure anything you buy is working and with a guarantee. There are a number of bad ones floating around looking for someone to buy them who doesn't know what questions to ask.

We occasionally have some for sale on our used equipment page which will be updated very soon. Go there and sign up for the automatic email reminder when the page changes.

We still service the U400 and similar ICOM commercial radios even though we need to look ourselves in the mirror with a clean conscious so we no longer sell them new.

http://www.swssec.com/used.html

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)

Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1878. EDACS Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Smith <w6ter@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398013E5.6FC0911B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83943

I have determined the EDACS system used in Bernalillo County, NM is digital. Is there any hope of decoding it so I might montior? Is there a product I can purchase to do the job?

Gerry

1879. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Internet's Resident Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <elFVUs89$GA.293@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 83944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rich....there is no reason to get into any kind of cheap intercourse with
him. Just forget him and let him alone. Of all people who should be on
that wavelength, it's you. Resist. Go do things that make sense. Not this.
Why?

bill conduit
etc.

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:397F778E.52485B38@primenet.com...
> J O H N wrote:
>
> > > I showed you to be a liar a couple of weeks ago with your claims of
> > > patronizing child prostitutes complete with the post you made. That
> > SNIP
> >
> > I NEVER made any claim as you state above, please prove the statement
you
> > have
> > made above with verifiable data or be proven a liar by default.
>
> The most recent post was on June 20, 2000 (it's been posted before).
> You're just too ignorant to see it or find it in DejaNews.
>
> > > I prefixed my list of your lies with the proof
> >
> > You have NEVER provided proof for any of your statements. Richard
> > Kendrick, you are a liar!
>
> My previous post said the proof resided in the archives of DejaNews.
> Therefore, your accusation is baseless.
>
> Just because you're unable to use a computer and access DejaNews is
> your problem, not mine.

1880. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39803621.7027D6FD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"T.L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
> >Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> >you disagree with it.
> >
> >Jeff KH6O
>
> Bullshit! Germans were obligated to turn Jews over to the Nazis, and 150 years
> ago in this country, you could get a lengthy prison sentence is you helped
> slaves escape.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

Gowin's Law....Game over.

1881. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3980365D.B2F1CA09@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"T.L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
> >Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> >you disagree with it.
> >
> >Jeff KH6O
>
> Bullshit! Germans were obligated to turn Jews over to the Nazis, and 150 years
> ago in this country, you could get a lengthy prison sentence is you helped
> slaves escape.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

Godwin's Law....game over.

1882. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5vmg5.1806$ZL5.89618@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's why I said it.... ;~)

NEL KA2TYT

Vic wrote in message <397FA85A.98FE5935@fix.net>...
>Are you sure about that? Refrigeration pumps do contain some oil.
>
>
>> Q. Do you know why the Brits drink warm beer?
>>
>> A. Lucas makes the refrigerators too...
>>
>> At least they haven't yet succeeded in manufacturing a refrigerator that
>> leaks oil!

1883. Re: Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8lstqe$f8q@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 83748

Check out the photos on my web page. Its a tough question because many of the old crystal scanners are kind of junk now because they can't keep up with today's trunk-trackers. But for collectors value, some of the early Bearcat, Sonar, and Tennelc scanners are very sought after. I read from some guy that the first real scanner ever made was the GE Mobile-1 (see my photo gallery under Misc.). I've got one but the value is really determined on what people are willing to pay for it. One of the most expensive "scanners" was the Icom R9000 with the CRT display (between $5000 & $6000). They are also very hard to find. As far as the oddest, I'd say the scanner built into a pair of binoculars that is currently being sold (JCPenney?).

Scanners are a bit like computer equipment. If it can't keep up with what you want to do now, there isn't much value in it. How much are people paying for Atari's and Sinclair computers? The BC780XLT due to be released late this year will be the most sought after scanner.

Brett - N7OLQ
http://N7OLQ.home.att.net/Radio

"Frizz" <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote in message
news:8lodak$mj8$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> What is the oddest or most sought after scanning reciever ever produced?
> Which one had the rarest features that have never been on a scanner
before?
> Just curious.....
>
>

1884. Re: Necesito consejo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8lsu5a$fde@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 83749

Yo tambien te aconsejaria comprar el 8200. Las pilas en el R3 dura solament
como 2 horas. Yo pienso que el R3 es como un jugo mas que un radio.

Brett - N7OLQ

"berna" <berna10@iberonline.es> wrote in message
news:8lpt2a$918$1@talia.mad.ttd.net...
> Hola a todos:
> Quiero comprarme un scanner pero tengo dudas de cual,el AOR8200 ?
> el IC-R3,me gustar?a saber que se puede escuchar con estos dos aparatos y
> cual es mejor.Gracias
>
>
>
>

1885. Re: unbelievable response from Uniden!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Sidney J. Latham" <sidneylatham@home.com>
Message-ID: <t0ng5.86687$dF.3073041@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 83750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is called customer support ..... have you tried their tech
support......if they are not aware of the 5 second delay...who does

"Darrin" <darrin99@iname.com> wrote in message
news:qsvtnscst1cjd3sa26v11qaokditp7hssa@4ax.com...
> I sent Uniden an email about sending my BC245XLT for a swap of boards
> without the 5 second delay. Get ready, this the response I got from
> Uniden. I swear, this is the real reply I got. :(
>
> >We are not aware of any "5 second delay". There were rumors going around
> >about that but it is not true.
> >
> >Thank You,
> >Uniden Customer Service

1886. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wong5.5502$FJ4.92120@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 83751

Yes, that's more likely imho.
I get them a lot at the mall and places like that. Definitely not two way radios with phone patches.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

> The signal you're hearing on 860.377 could also be an image of a cellular phone
> base transmitter around 882 MHz.
>

1887. Re: Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Uqng5.5503$FJ4.92126@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 83752

I work at JC Penny's and haven't heard of or seen this. Can you get more
info on it?

>As far as the oddest, I'd say the scanner
> built into a pair of binoculars that is currently being sold (JCPenney?).

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

1888. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulwey <paul@pwey.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8lt288lbb1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 83753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

point taken just most people in uk prefer uk info,theres plenty of american
sites

Markus <markNOmaSPAM@hobbsm43.freeserve.co.uk.invalid> wrote in message
news:01b0b3d6.200c8973@usw-ex0102-016.remarq.com...
> Yes, Mr know it all Wey, we will have no moderation here, you
> stick to brown nosing the RCA.
>
> Markus
>
>
> --
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>

1889. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lt4bmatj1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83754

Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39812388.3AF78F87@home.com...
> ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> keep the jargon to a minimum :)
>

Why would anyone want to do such a stupid thing??

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1890. Re: Batteries Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lt6su$8o9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83755

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8lo6g2$hgp@journal.concentric.net...
> Can you use the same charger for NiMH batteries?
>

Well with the 235 and 245 you normally charge the battery pack in the scanner. It uses a trickle charge and you can use either nicads or nimh. It just takes roughly twice as long to charge a higher capacity nimh pack as it does to charge a lower capacity nicad pack. Note that I refer to 'battery pack', which in the case of the 235 and 245 is a set of 4 AA cells with solder tabs soldered together in series in a sort of rhomboidal (diamond shaped) cross section. They have two conductors that end in a little plug (similar to cordless phones) that plugs into the scanner. The same battery packs can be used with the RadioShack Pro90 by the way, since the Pro90 is just a repackaged Uniden 235...

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1891. Re: EDACS Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <39824348.44687E10@i-55.com>
Source record: 83756

No and NO. It will be a real long time before anyone can dream up a digital decoding scanner. This is why so many of the public safety systems are now in the transition to the digital systems. It leaves the system immune to being able to be monitored. Great for the cops. Not so great to all that liked to listen to them.

Jim

Gerald Smith wrote:

> I have determined the EDACS system used in Bernalillo County, NM is
> digital. Is there any hope of decoding it so I might montior? Is there a
> product I can purchase to do the job?
>
> Gerry

1892. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ltifb$s6@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83757

Government has been using encrypted transmissions for awhile and some cities have went to digital as well. As far as I know the media is not entitled to a receiver. That not to say one couldn't be obtained. If one can be built it can be copied.
"Steve Guthrie" <steve.guthrie@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:398267DB.5322@sympatico.ca...
> Hi there
>
> The municipal police force up here in Peterborough, Ontario, Canada went
> to an 800 MH digital encripted system several years ago. I'm in the news
> media and the Chief told me it was to keep local felons from listening in
> to their broadcasts. We in the media could just like it or lump it.
>
> (Thanks Chief!)
>
> I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA.
> Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press
> and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all
> credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver
> capable of picking up the broadcasts.
>
> 'strue? Or am I 'way out in the long grass?
>
> Steve
>
> PS I use a BC SC200 and can pick up ambulance and fire plus the
> Provincial police, so the loss of the city cops is a pain, not a fatal
> illness.
>

1893. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <AAtg5.11269$47.210837@news.bc.tac.net>
Source record: 83758

The police in Vancouver BC Canada did the same and according to a few of the police that I know they love the fact that the media is not getting in the way and violating crime seen as well as destroying evidence to get the story before the police get their.

"Steve Guthrie" <steve.guthrie@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:398267DB.5322@sympatico.ca...
> Hi there
>
> The municipal police force up here in Peterborough, Ontario, Canada went
> to an 800 MH digital encripted system several years ago. I'm in the news
> media and the Chief told me it was to keep local felons from listening in
> to their broadcasts. We in the media could just like it or lump it.
>
> (Thanks Chief!)
>
> I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA.
> Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press
> and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all
> credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver
> capable of picking up the broadcasts.
>
> 'strue? Or am I 'way out in the long grass?
>
> Steve
>
> PS I use a BC SC200 and can pick up ambulance and fire plus the
> Provincial police, so the loss of the city cops is a pain, not a fatal
> illness.
>

1894. oobladee, ooblada Corkey Mackey is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <39826675.AEC739BB@kj.com>
Source record: 83759

Maybe Mackey is shedding some long overdue
tears for the lies he has written about others.

1895. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <398270D1.2DC011F5@cts.com>
Source record: 83760

Steve Guthrie wrote:
>
> Hi there
>
> The municipal police force up here in Peterborough, Ontario, Canada went
> to an 800 MH digital encripted system several years ago. I'm in the news
> media and the Chief told me it was to keep local felons from listening in
> to their broadcasts. We in the media could just like it or lump it.
>
> (Thanks Chief!)
>
> I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA.
> Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press
> and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all
> credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver
> capable of picking up the broadcasts.
>
> 'strue? Or am I 'way out in the long grass?
>
If a public safety agency in the U.S. cares about being somewhat open
and honest with the citizens, it allows the purchase of digital radios
with dispatch talk groups to the media.
One agency in california actually makes money off the deal by charging
$29.00 a month per radio to just listen to 13 digital talkgroups.

$3000.00(USD) can put you in a digital astro xts-3000.
Nice radio.

markj

1896. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39826C98.845DC40E@cts.com>
Source record: 83761

reebok wrote:
>
> Yes, that's more likely imho.
> I get them a lot at the mall and places like that. Definitely not two way
> radios with phone patches.
>
The poster described an 800 mhz SMR phone patch system to a tee.
It's not an image of a cellphone.
It is part of a private two way system that has a landline connect.
On my old system you keyed the mic and got a dial tone...you punched the
phone number in the mic and it would connect thru one repeater only.
you could talk about 3 minutes and then the system told you it was time
to hang up.
These systems are all over 800 and 900 mhz. All outside the scary cell
bands.

We called it the the radiophone or truckphone so peoplewould now it
didn't operate as celluar does.
just listed real close and see if you hear a key-up/key-down process
from the mobile.

Markj

1897. FA: Radio Shack Pro2036 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39826DC4.1900BFB8@uswest.net>
Source record: 83762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro2036 base scanner in good condition, with box, manual, and AC adapter. Starts at $89.99
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=394085594

1898. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39827B84.6856EFAC@cts.com>
Source record: 83763

Tony Cellini wrote:
>
> I didn't want to use the scanner antenna as a TV antenna, but rather had the idea that if I tapped the scanner
> antenna coax into my TV coax I might be able to have access to the scanner antenna from more than one place in
> the house. I'm just starting out in radio and I'm not an electrical engineer, so I didn't know the feasability
> of doing this. It didn't sound right, but figured it wouldn't hurt to ask.
>
> And for Bob Dolson who simply dismisses me as an idiot with stupid ideas...
>
> Thhhhhhtptptptptptp!!
>
> Try being helpful instead of an asshole.

No shit...

Sounds like you want a master antenna system.

If you have the cable already in place you will need to have it disconnected from the cable tv system first.

Next you attach the antenna to the clipped tv lead. Use all the right kind of connectors and stuff.

Now you have your own closed system.

Don't mix the cable tv and scanner coax...you could knock a satellite out of orbit.

And...
If you old tv coax is pretty old you might just want to scrap the idea and run new lines.

In the perfect system you would have a preamp and some nice splitters.

I have seen work fairly good when installed right.

Markj

1899. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <3980d49b.12831704@news.shore.net>
Source record: 83809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:39:13 GMT, "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
>to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
>http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
>
>Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
>
>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>truly be be missed.

He was inimitable, surely. I never met him but I have fond memories
of him in the old Fidonet SHORTWAVE echo. In fact, I got published in
his old newsletter "World Scanner Report" (March '92 if anyone's
keeping track.) One day, I posted my Carpet Loop plans on the echo,
and Bill was so taken by my plans that he asked my permission to
publish them if I would draw a schematic for him. I did, and he did.
I was delighted!

I know about his freebanding history--it was the subject of many a
flamewar on Fido. I don't care. Even though freebanders and hams are
supposed to be natural enemies, I could never hold that against him,
not when he worked so hard to develop mods for so many of our favorite
radios. Not when he got indicted for having a device (a data slicer)
that *I* own myself (otherwise known as a HamComm decoder!)

>Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
>use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)

Rest assured that neither the FCC nor the CTIA will bother him again.

R.I.P., Bill

Take care,

Dave

David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

1900. FA: Uniden BC 3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: van <vanr1@home.com>
Message-ID: <hi5g5.26961$661.4729965@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 83810

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=392812593

1901. San Antonio, Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dayne Daines <plantagenet@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lquhv$lv6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83811

New webpage for freqs for San Antonio, Texas. Not too much on it yet
but more will be added.

http://www.picone.org/radio

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1902. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000728012744.03421.00004882@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 83812

In article <8lpsmf$4n2@journal.concentric.net>, "CitySlicker"
<manno_d@hotmail.com> writes:

>Jeff,
>Are you another law student? Have you spent your short life as a
>professional student?

Worse. He's a TEACHER. Entrusted by the state to indoctrinate hundreds of
young minds with his liberal twaddle and leftist propaganda.

1903. This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39812388.3AF78F87@home.com>
Source record: 83813

...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and keep the jargon to a minimum :)

1904. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hass@aznet.net
Message-ID: <39812964.4314986@news.sdsu.edu>
Source record: 83814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 27 Jul 2000 19:40:12 GMT, Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca>
wrote:

>On 27 Jul 2000 00:36:52 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
>Doing so was not always a crime, about the only thing that is now a
>crime is the modification to open up the receive for the cell phones and
>this only after 1994 and only in the USA, adding more memory to the

>scanner and all the other MODS in Bill's 3 book's are not illegal.

ever read the FCC label on a receiver? The Part 15 rules. Even though
more memories is always a plus :)

>There are no laws here in Canada that say I can't do what I want to my
>scanner, I can modify it for cell phones, I can listen to cell phones,
>cordless phone's whatever I like.
>There are countries other than the USA, and the laws of the USA do not
>apply to the rest of the WORLD!
>
>Cheers
>
>Len
>
>>
>>That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
>>course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
>>a scanner is a federal crime.)
>>
>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>>you disagree with it.
>>
>>Jeff KH6O
>

1905. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vZ9g5.1652$OG2.28218@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 83815

You would get a great deal of interference with your cable TV signals. Cable channels use frequencies that are also used for on the air broadcast radio, secure in the knowledge that the cable is a closed system. (Is supposed to be, anyway). Put an antenna on it, and you're letting all that on the air signal interfere. AND radiating cable signal, which could interfere with broadcast stuff. (Not likely, but possible)

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message news:39812388.3AF78F87@home.com...

> ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> keep the jargon to a minimum :)
>

1906. Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <y2ag5.10491$kr4.62914@news2.atl>
Source record: 83816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Scanner/radio news
Scanner pictures
New scanner user information
Frequency counters
Icom PCR-100 and PCR-1000 info
TalkPCR review!
ACARS
AIRNAV
Cell Phones *
Cordless
Nascar
Speech inversion
Coax help
and so much more!
```

Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

1907. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-F13A93.00322328072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83817

In article <39812388.3AF78F87@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
wrote:

: ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
: into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
: keep the jargon to a minimum :)

The short version:
You'll skrag your cable reception, possibly to the point of not being
able to get *ANY* channels, and probably destroy the throughput on your
network connections as well. Not to mention the very real possibility
that you'll hose your neighbors' reception too.

The long(er) version:
Cable is (ideally) a "closed" system. It uses many of the same
frequencies as "on the air" broadcasts, but being "encased" in the coax,
there's no problem. Effectively, it's a whole other planet in terms of
signals. Stick an antenna on it, and suddenly, you've injected a mess of
god-only-knows-what kind of hash into the pipeline. Not much different,
practically speaking, from sticking a needle in your arm and pouring in
anything you can lay your hands on. The result, in both cases, is almost
certainly not going to be good. The "hash" isn't neccessarily going to
stay in your house, either... It's quite possible (probable, actually)
that it will back-feed into the system and wreck reception for your
entire neighborhood. At which point, you may quickly find yourself
facing federal charges carrying heavy fines and a stiff prison sentence
for interfering with the legitimate users (the cable company and
customers) of the signal. This assumes that the neighbors don't hunt you
down themselves...

In summation, three short words: Don't do it.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

1908. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39813857.82A8A117@home.com>
Source record: 83818

Cool. If I ever decide I want to end up in federal prison, I'll know how to do it without getting gooky stuff all over my paws.

Thanks, was just curious.

Don Bruder wrote:

> In article <39812388.3AF78F87@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> : ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> : into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> : keep the jargon to a minimum :)
>
> The short version:
> You'll skrag your cable reception, possibly to the point of not being
> able to get *ANY* channels, and probably destroy the throughput on your
> network connections as well. Not to mention the very real possibility
> that you'll hose your neighbors' reception too.
>
> The long(er) version:
> Cable is (ideally) a "closed" system. It uses many of the same
> frequencies as "on the air" broadcasts, but being "encased" in the coax,
> there's no problem. Effectively, it's a whole other planet in terms of
> signals. Stick an antenna on it, and suddenly, you've injected a mess of
> god-only-knows-what kind of hash into the pipeline. Not much different,
> practically speaking, from sticking a needle in your arm and pouring in
> anything you can lay your hands on. The result, in both cases, is almost
> certainly not going to be good. The "hash" isn't neccessarily going to
> stay in your house, either... It's quite possible (probable, actually)
> that it will back-feed into the system and wreck reception for your
> entire neighborhood. At which point, you may quickly find yourself
> facing federal charges carrying heavy fines and a stiff prison sentence
> for interfering with the legitimate users (the cable company and
> customers) of the signal. This assumes that the neighbors don't hunt you
> down themselves...
>
> In summation, three short words: Don't do it.
>
> --
> --
>
> Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
> Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
> Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up

> here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

1909. Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39813B53.F5EEEA9E@home.com>
Source record: 83819

Ok, was reading a little on listening t cell phones and also on how cell phones can be tracked. Now then, is it the phone itself that can be tracked, or the destination of the signal? i.e. Can my position be triangulated if I were receiving a cell phone conversation over my scanner? Doesn't make sense that it could be done, but then, what do I know? I'm dumb enough to be asking questions about scanning cell phones in a public forum.

Seriously though, I've got a Pro92 so I can't listen in anyway, I was just curious after absorbing some new information. It's a learning process...

Thanks

1910. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-37903F.01330428072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83820

In article <39813B53.F5EEEA9E@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
wrote:

: Ok, was reading a little on listening t cell phones and also on how cell
: phones can be tracked. Now then, is it the phone itself that can be
: tracked, or the destination of the signal? i.e. Can my position be
: triangulated if I were receiving a cell phone conversation over my
: scanner? Doesn't make sense that it could be done, but then, what do I
: know? I'm dumb enough to be asking questions about scanning cell phones
: in a public forum.

It would take special gear tuning up the IF (Intermediate Frequency) or
IFs being used in your radio, and could only be done from short
distances unless you had a really "leaky" rig, but yes, it can be done.
Britain uses the infamous "black vans" in a similar manner to locate
unlicensed TV sets. Or is it "Used to use"? I haven't paid attention to
British TV/Radio stuff in years - 'cept Tom Baker in "Dr. Who" when I
can find it :)

The phone doing the talking sends up a big ol' smoke signal and runs
around screaming "HERE I AM!" as long as it's being used, and when it's
not, the tower can send out a "Who's out there?" chirp that the phone
will respond to by sending it's ID information. That will immediately
put up another nice fat "puff of smoke" if it isn't powered off. This is
so the system can route a call to the phone should one be placed.

"Hey everybody! This is tower twelve. I've got a call for phone ABC-123.
Anybody seen it? Over. <Skttt>"
"Yeah, Tower Twelve? Tower 87 here. Toss me that channel. I can reach
Tower 42 in Podunk Idaho, and he just told me he's got ABC-123 in range.
Over. <Skttt>"
"This is SAC. Set missle targets to Podunk Idaho and fire at will. Over.
<Skttt>"

'scuse me while I duck... :)

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

1911. Good antenna for IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: v6800@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39814b99.32059206@news.pacific.net.sg>
Source record: 83821

What is the best SMA antenna for IC-R2? Is BNC antenna better than SMA?

I am looking at particularly 400Mhz and 900Mhz range, and also a overall performer.

Please reommend where I can purchase it and the price.

1912. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulwey <paul@pwey.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8lrir0$8q8$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 83822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

when sending multiple mails could you please state that the information and links are for an american site,as when looking for freq info ihave no need to look at american sites,its uk info most of us want,but still thanks,just be more specific

Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:y2ag5.10491$kr4.62914@news2.atl...
> Scanner/radio news
> Scanner pictures
> New scanner user information
> Frequency counters
> Icom PCR-100 and PCR-1000 info
> TalkPCR review!
> ACARS
> AIRNAV
> Cell Phones *
> Cordless
> Nascar
> Speech inversion
> Coax help
> and so much more!
>
> Visit the Scanner Nuts!
> http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
>

1913. Re: Icom Mobile Unit Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <8lrin2ahl1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 83823

Irishman_02191@yahoo.com wrote:
: Hello there,

: I am seeking a 16 channel UHF Icom mobile, I believe the unit is a U-16,
: it is about 10-12 years old. I want to put this in my car and be abele
: to program it off my Icom portable. I would like to mount the body in
: the trunk wih the head mounted under the dash. Any ideas how I can get
: one? Please drop me a note at the email address above.

: Thank you,

Actually, the portable one is the U-16, the mobile you are looking for is
the U-400(I think).

1914. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dean@rocketship.com>
Message-ID: <8lrj3t$r85$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 83824

Except SSB!

From another happy Fairmate HP2000 (AOR-1000) user.

JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3980B642.721D7AE3@analon.com...

> the AOR-1000 does have NO GAPS 0.5mhz-1300mhz continous..... including
> broadcast AM, Shortwave, VHF, UHF, Broadcast FM, Television Audio,
Cordless
> Phones, cellular, Aircraft, military, ham/amateur, 900mhz.......
everything
> you would ever want to listen to is here!
>
> TheLost wrote:
>
> > I'm looking into buying one.....but I want to see if anyone on the
> > group here as one and likes it. Any + or - things about this scanner?
> > Also is it posable to mod this scanner so it has no gaps? My main
> > concert is to get a scanner that has no gaps for military aircraft and
> > operations.....cell would be cool too....but it's not a must. Thanks
> > for any info in advance.
> >
> > TheLost

1915. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000728052004.15938.00000210@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 83825

>But then it hit 860.377 mhz and it picked up a guy dialing.

>this was a radio repeater with autopatch or phone patch capabilities......

The signal you're hearing on 860.377 could also be an image of a cellular phone base transmitter around 882 MHz.

1916. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: njradiodude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000728061238.24238.00000902@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 83826

>...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
>into my TV/@home cable?

Your neighbors on the same cable system could watch you, know what you're watching, listen to your cell phone, learn your credit card and Social Security numbers, order pizza through any baby monitors in the house, be able to hear if you're listening to cell phone calls on a modified scanner, know how you voted in the last 2 presidential elections, see you when you're sleeping and know when you're awake, use your house wiring as their shortwave antenna, change your TV channels at will, get free e-mail, get your $10,000,000 when the Publishers Clearing House people come a knockin', adjust your thermostat, see your last 7 years of IRS 1040 forms, start your car from the convenience of their home, buy stuff on each of the home shopping channels, find your mothers maiden name, book cruises, damage your good name and reputation in cross-dressing AOL chat rooms, get a look at you Permanent Record, etc. Doing this kind of splicing is just not a good idea... unless you like the idea of your neighbors watching and counting how many Viagras you take when wanting to feel a lil frisky.

:)

1917. Re: Alinco DJ-G5 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl>
Message-ID: <OFAAbUI#$GA.327@net003s>
Source record: 83827

Yep, just cut the blue wire. If it does not work after a reset, try the
green wire next to the cpu.

Eddie Carroll <eddiecarroll@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2430-398110F7-4@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Does anyone out there have the mods for out of band TX on the Alinco
> DJ-G5T 144/440 MHz handheld. I am aware of cutting the blue wire
> inside, but was told that will not work on this model, it would just
> cause "lock up.
> Can some one please advise, you can e-mail direct or post to this site.
> Thanks in Advance
>

1918. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <P%eg5.19668$o71.1265470@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83828

For awhile, there was an outfit doing a 'black van' act here in the US. Before TVRO units became popular, there were firms around the country that distributed TV via microwave, seems it was about 1.9 GHz., and this spawned hordes of 'pirate receivers'. Antennas were distinctive and were generally hidden in attics. This outfit would run around with a van equipped with a directional antenna, a broadband amplifier and a spectrum analyser that they used to search out local oscillator leakage from the 1.9 GHz converter. Offenders were reported to the microwave distribution firm and consequently dealt with. I know that they had some success with this in California.

I paniced a little over this when shortly thereafter the ECPA was enacted. I imagined a huge fleet of vans running around looking for scanners and suchlike in peoples' homes . A scanner puts out a very distinct local oscillator pattern. Most scanners aren't designed to minimise l. o. leakage. They emit quite a signal and would be easy to find this way.

NEL KA2TYT

1919. Help Me ! ALINCO DJ-X1 and ICOM IC-R1 manual and (or) schema

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: -=-=- <dad@atlasoil.ru>
Message-ID: <39817df9$1@news.ptt.ru>
Source record: 83829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have manual and (or) schema from this ?

Please HELP ME !

1920. Re: Good antenna for IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpoint@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LDfg5.19592$ga2.537249@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83830

The COMET SMA 3 is fantastic for VHF high, UHF and especially in the 800-900 mhz range.

HRO or other ham / electronic stores might have it.

1921. Re: OptoTrakker by Optoelectronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry <terry99@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39818C89.8B241152@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83831

I have an AOR 8200 Series 2 and a Scout Model 40 frequency
counter/reaction tuner with cable, case, and antenna for sale. Both are
as new with boxes, cases, and all accessores. $900.00 package price or
will seperate as below
$650 for the AOR 8200
$320 for the Scout

1922. Newbie here :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob De Luca <rob@trebnet.com>
Message-ID: <398190DD.66B6FCE3@trebnet.com>
Source record: 83832

Bought my first scanner last night, a used Radio Shack pro-66.
Very happy with it and had a quick question for you all :)
Where's the best place to find a list of frequencies for the toronto and thornhill area? I went to chapter's (bookstore) and search in there computer for scanning books, the only one they had was one called Haurtag scanning book or something like that but all the copies were out. Is that the best book or should i just go to radio shack or someplace to see which they have?
Any help is appreciated.
thanks
Rob

1923. Re: Sounds like we finally got rid of Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <so381esho0b42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83833

Dont count your Looneys before they hatch

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message news:3980C975.E40BE86D@kj.com...
> .
>

1924. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <9Uhg5.44464$oj6.430732@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83834

Yeah, but they would have to be very close to receive the LO from the
scanner.

Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:P%eg5.19668$o71.1265470@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> For awhile, there was an outfit doing a 'black van' act here in the US.
> Before TVRO units became popular, there were firms around the country that
> distributed TV via microwave, seems it was about 1.9 GHz., and this
spawned
> hordes of 'pirate receivers'. Antennas were distinctive and were
generally
> hidden in attics. This outfit would run around with a van equipped with a
> directional antenna, a broadband amplifier and a spectrum analyser that
they
> used to search out local oscillator leakage from the 1.9 GHz converter.
> Offenders were reported to the microwave distribution firm and
consequently
> dealt with. I know that they had some success with this in California.
>
> I paniced a little over this when shortly thereafter the ECPA was enacted.
> I imagined a huge fleet of vans running around looking for scanners and
> suchlike in peoples' homes . A scanner puts out a very distinct local
> oscillator pattern. Most scanners aren't designed to minimise l. o.
> leakage. They emit quite a signal and would be easy to find this way.
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
>
>
>

1925. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Bastard <MrB@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <efc3os0km5sevbvp2e9lvbnor0hvn5kc7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 83835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 28 Jul 2000 10:15:15 +0100, "paulwey"
<paul@pwey.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>when sending multiple mails could you please state that the information and
>links are for an american site,as when looking for freq info ihave no need
>to look at american sites,its uk info most of us want,but still thanks,just
>be more specific

Who the fuck appointed you moderator/content adviser for these
newsgroups?

You need to take a look at the illiterate content of your own posts
before handing out advice, dimwit.

--

Mr Bastard - Fucking twat extraordinaire!

1926. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Huig5.1486$ZL5.75350@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83836

With the right sort of directional antenna and amplifier the LO can certainly be picked up from the street In some cases the content of the message on the channel that the scanner stops at can be demodulated.

NEL KA2TYT

TW wrote in message <9Uhg5.44464$oj6.430732@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>...
>Yeah, but they would have to be very close to receive the LO from the
>scanner.
>
>

1927. Belgian scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webhosting <publishing@net>
Message-ID: <3981b795$0$36272@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 83837

The most recent Belgian scanner freq. you can find on
http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/

1928. Re: Macky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: FlatASS <cany@kj.com>
Message-ID: <3981BE92.4643E929@kj.com>
Source record: 83838

People will laugh when Corky Mackey croaks.

James Nied wrote:

> Do you think when macky croaks.....there will be as many posts?, that is
> other than..............finally?

1929. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <j8kg5.10757$47.203130@news.bc.tac.net>
Source record: 83839

What a load of well you know. They would have to be in the same room to track a receiver and with the amount of RF pollution out there it is almost imposable to track a receiver. In most countries listening to analogue cell phones is NOT ILLEGAL. Canada is one of those free countries. I have sat and had coffee with the police while listing to the cell frequencies on my modified Alinco DJ-580 and we have all gotten a good kick out of what was said. Most cell phones are going digital now and are almost imposable to scan with an analogue scanner now. One other thing to ask yourself is why would the government spend millions to take your cheep little scanner away? The trick is not to repeat anything you hear on the scanner and not to use it for profitable gains.

--

YOURS IN SOLIDARITY

William E. Gammert

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39813B53.F5EEEA9E@home.com...
> Ok, was reading a little on listening t cell phones and also on how cell
> phones can be tracked. Now then, is it the phone itself that can be
> tracked, or the destination of the signal? i.e. Can my position be
> triangulated if I were receiving a cell phone conversation over my
> scanner? Doesn't make sense that it could be done, but then, what do I
> know? I'm dumb enough to be asking questions about scanning cell phones
> in a public forum.
>
> Seriously though, I've got a Pro92 so I can't listen in anyway, I was
> just curious after absorbing some new information. It's a learning
> process...
>
> Thanks
>

1930. Re: Newbie here :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <gbkg5.10758$47.203111@news.bc.tac.net>
Source record: 83840

Radio Shack out here in BC has all the scanner frequencies lists. Also try one of your local ham radio stores.

--

YOURS IN SOLIDARITY

William E. Gammert

"Rob De Luca" <rob@trebnet.com> wrote in message news:398190DD.66B6FCE3@trebnet.com...
> Bought my first scanner last night, a used Radio Shack pro-66.
> Very happy with it and had a quick question for you all :)
> Where's the best place to find a list of frequencies for the toronto and
> thornhill area? I went to chapter's (bookstore) and search in there
> computer for scanning books, the only one they had was one called
> Haurtag scanning book or something like that but all the copies were
> out. Is that the best book or should i just go to radio shack or
> someplace to see which they have?
> Any help is appreciated.
> thanks
> Rob
>

1931. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus <markNOmaSPAM@hobbsm43.freeserve.co.uk.invalid>
Message-ID: <01b0b3d6.200c8973@usw-ex0102-016.remarq.com>
Source record: 83841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yes, Mr know it all Wey, we will have no moderation here, you
stick to brown nosing the RCA.

Markus

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

1932. FS: Yaesu VX-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garr <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <3981d70f@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 83842

Mint condition VX-500 with original manual box and accessories. $275.00 shipped and insured. US Postal Money Order only.

Email if interested.
Regards

1933. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3r98em3yx.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83843

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> writes:

' With the right sort of directional antenna and amplifier the LO can
' certainly be picked up from the street In some cases the content of the
' message on the channel that the scanner stops at can be demodulated.

Actually, this reminds me of radar detector detectors. As you all
know, super heterodyne receivers will emit rf that can be detected.
Radar detectors are famous for chirping when another radar detector is
nearby.

So, this begs the question, can the detector rig be detected by
another rig?

Now for some fun thoughts. Car And Driver magazine had a spoof add or
article (it's been a while) about the CHARM (Compact Highway Anti
Radar Missle). It was basically a scalled down version of the ARM
missles that the airforce uses to knock out enemy radar
installations. The idea was, the cop clocks you, and you clock him
right back ;-). I suspect that mall security systems on the X band
would be targeted as well if you weren't careful...

So, the black van drives by, emitting just the rf that a detector rig
would send out. What do you do? I see two choices. You use your
expensive ARM modified to blow the van sky high and leave a nice pot
hole in the road, or you use a modified magnatron to direct a high
energy electromagnetic pulse to the van, frying all the electronics on
board. The second solution has the benefit of not leaving a pot hole
on your street and also can be fired as many times as you like.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1934. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3vgxqm4cl.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83844

Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com> writes:

' "This is SAC. Set missle targets to Podunk Idaho and fire at will. Over.
' <Skttt>"

Who is will? <eg>

My scanner (which will NOT monitor cell frequencies) has some
published `birdies' in its owner's manual. I guess you could tune
into those known birdies for known cell scanners, but I doubt that it
is worth the effort for someone to actually do that.

So my answer to the original poster would be a qualified no rather
than a qualified yes ;-).

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

1935. FS: Bearcat Telescoping Antennas, 2 Prong AC Cords

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <Bamg5.4773$Dw6.9174@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Almost gone; but a few "new old stock"
pre-Uniden Bearcat telescoping antennas and
2 prong (socket, really) AC power cords left.

$5.00 each !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! What a deal !!!!!!!!!!!

if interested, please contact

David A. Lovell

electra@tcon.net
or
info@electracorp.com

1936. new scanner site!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert Winkel <bert@Bertwinkel.tmfweb.nl>
Message-ID: <8lrss8bfu1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 83846

The best scanner site in Holland

www.bertwinkel.tmfweb.nl

1937. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8lv5uv$5aam4$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83697

> Jeez, it's not even OUT yet! Give it a chance to be available and 'settled
> in' a bit and you'll probably see it in the $339 range or maybe even
> lower...

Does anyone know exactly when it will be out?

Roland

1938. Pro-92A Houston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <some@some.com>
Message-ID: <o476os0jqeab51035pqv29uedgp9dh6fmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83698

The Radio Shack in the Albertsons Center on Gessner Has 1 Pro-92A (5A00) As of 7/29/00 Sat. 12:28:00pm

Ask for David oen till 8:00PM cst.

1939. RIM PAGER / BLACKBERRY EMAIL GROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: x <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <xB2DOXShjChVXeI=RUwvCPno9AX6@4ax.com>
Source record: 83699

check out www.321page.com

1940. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8ltacb$7sv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca> wrote:
> Jeffrey I see that you are in Hawaii! Do you own a boat? If not yo
>had better get one as you are breaking a state law by not owning one.

As a matter of fact, I do own a sailboat -- it's located at Slip H-19 in the Ala Wai Harbor (practically in my "back yard" -- I'm in a 10th floor condo overlooking that harbor).

Jeff

1941. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8ltda8apt1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>>>>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>>>>you disagree with it.

>>>Bullshit!

>>So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
>>to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>>doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
>>from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
>>holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
>>what they desire.
>>Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!

>I see you deleted the rest of my response, but I should have expected as much
>from a pompous sack of shit like you.
>Tom - N8ECW

Help me out, Tom -- I've never been able to understand the use of
profanity in a public forum such as this. Does using it mean the
writer has been driven to losing his temper and is boiling over?
Do my words have that drastic of an affect on you?

After I log out, I'll think more about the gecko crawling across
the ceiling than anything you've written; you should practice that
same attitude.

Take care, Jeff

1942. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8ltcqj$ac2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Len <Len.C@symNOCRAPpatico.ca> wrote:
>Doing so was not always a crime, about the only thing that is now a
>crime is the modification to open up the receive for the cell phones and
>this only after 1994 and only in the USA, adding more memory to the
>scanner and all the other MODS in Bill's 3 book's are not illegal.

In the US, you can't make such a claim until you've done a thorough
reading of both Title 47 CFR Part 15 and the Type Acceptance standards
that the FCC imposed upon the manufacturer of a particular brand and
model scanner. Without a fully-equipped lab, you have no idea if
the modification you've performed keeps your scanner within the limits
of the Type Acceptance boundries.

Just giving your scanner more channels might have an adverse effect
that you're not even aware of, yet could be causing havac with other
spectrum users.

Jeff

1943. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8ltah7$82m$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>I think Jeff just ran out to buy a boat and I am sure he made an effort to
>not pass buy any parks.

Nonsense! I've had boats in the Ala Wai Harbor since 1977, first at
G-61, then C-54, and now H-19. Jeff

1944. Pro 2005 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000729143549.20565.00000003@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 83704

Unit works very good. Has full coverage, New back lit display, cosmetically a 7, top of case has a few small drops of model airplane paint, other than that, its clean.
Will ship from Houston Area.
$150.00
postal money order
or
cashiers check
Thank You

Dave

1945. AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete <pete@coburntv.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8lvap5$u93$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 83705

Anyone know of any mods for aor ar 2002?

1946. 92-A in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39832FC2.79F917BD@home.com>
Source record: 83706

Just traded in my 92 for a 92A at the RS in Normal, Illinois. Somewhat small city, so they are definately getting around.

Question now: On the original 92, when I had it in open mode on an LTR trunk mode, it would stop on transmissions even though I didn't have any talkgroup IDs stored, but the 92A will not stop on any transmissions now in open mode. I thought it was only supposed to use the talkgroup IDs when in closed mode. I know it's receiving cause if I turn off the trunk mode, it starts stopping on the transmissions.

Any ideas?

1947. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <EGGg5.56571$V34.509287@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I second that thought.

<wilf509940@my-deja.com> wrote
> My condolences go out to Bills family.God Bless.

1948. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <2JGg5.56572$V34.509553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Everyone - simply ignore Jeff Herman, he is nothing more than a little "Pee Wee Herman" mentality person. Most everyone in the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups
discovered this years ago & then things became much easier on those newsgroups.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
> .dx dropped from header...
>
> T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
> >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
> >>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> >>you disagree with it.
>
> >Bullshit!
>
> So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
> to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
> doubtful; many criminals reach the point where determining right
> from wrong in any area of their life becomes an impossible task,
> holding in contempt any societal boundary which interferes with
> what they desire.
>
> Good luck with your recovery, T.L.Bryant!
>
> Jeff

1949. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <398337f9_2@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 83709

To find out if it is an image or not, find out what your scanner's IF is, multiply that x 2 and subtract the result from the frequency you're hearing the celluar traffic on. Next, look up the resulting frequency in an FCC database (i.e. PerCon) and check to see if that frequency is in use in your area. If it is, you've tuned in an image. If not, it's just a spurious signal.

"Jeff Multer" <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000728052004.15938.00000210@ng-ce1.aol.com...
> >But then it hit 860.377 mhz and it picked up a guy dialing.
>
> >this was a radio repeater with autopatch or phone patch capabilities......
>
> The signal you're hearing on 860.377 could also be an image of a cellular phone
> base transmitter around 882 MHz.
>

1950. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <JKGg5.56573$V34.509828@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Hmmm, Bill had once told me he had been in the Army. Was he lying or
had he been in BOTH the Army AND Navy (unlikely)?

<icstars@nmia.com> wrote
> I knew Bill since the early 70's. He had just got out of the Navy. We
> had FUN in the days of early CB. What a loss.

1951. Re: 860.377mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <39833958$1_1@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 83711

Ham autopatch works in pretty much the same way. http://www.qrz.com and a book called "The ARRL Repeater Directory" will tell you which Ham VHF/UHF repeaters are autopatch equipped. Being a news hound, I monitor those quite a bit for juicy 911 calls.

"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39826C98.845DC40E@cts.com...
>
>
> reebok wrote:
> >
> > Yes, that's more likely imho.
> > I get them a lot at the mall and places like that. Definitely not two
way
> > radios with phone patches.
> >
> The poster described an 800 mhz SMR phone patch system to a tee.
> It's not an image of a cellphone.
> It is part of a private two way system that has a landline connect.
> On my old system you keyed the mic and got a dial tone...you punched the
> phone number in the mic and it would connect thru one repeater only.
> you could talk about 3 minutes and then the system told you it was time
> to hang up.
> These systems are all over 800 and 900 mhz. All outside the scary cell
> bands.
>
> We called it the the radiophone or truckphone so peoplewould now it
> didn't operate as celluar does.
> just listed real close and see if you hear a key-up/key-down process
> from the mobile.
>
> Markj

1952. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8lveij$kk5$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I don't know anything about his military service but having been in the Army and the Navy is quite possible. I have known several people that have been in more than one military service and one of them had been in the Army, Air Force, Navy and Marines.
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page www.cw.arjika.com

"J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message news:JKGg5.56573$V34.509828@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Hmmm, Bill had once told me he had been in the Army. Was he lying or
> had he been in BOTH the Army AND Navy (unlikely)?
>
>
>

1953. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <mIHg5.56591$V34.516364@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Gary -

You are making yourself look like an idiot. Glen wasn't laughing at death,
he was laughing at "...kind mannerisms...?" being used to describe Cheek.
That is something that any intelligent thinking person would have done.

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote
> Laughing at a man's death? You are a small man indeed. May you rot in
> hell.
> Gary
> AB5RM
>
> Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> > "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> > >truly be be missed.
> >
> > "...kind mannerisms...?"
> >
> > Bwahahahahaha!
> >
> > BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.
> > --
> > glenq@cyberhighway.net
> > WB7DOW

1954. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <OJHg5.56593$V34.515293@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Many have done that research and not come to the same conclusion you have outlined.

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@freewwweb.com> wrote
> Bill Cheek was a great guy and a great help to many
> who were/are involved in hobby (CB/scanner/shortwave
> listening) radio. Do some research on him and I think
> you'll agree.

1955. Re: Pro 2005 FS SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000729173756.28377.00000965@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 83715

unit has been sold.

Thank you

1956. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83716
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> aboriginal clusterfucker.
>
> Bill Cheek was a great man.
SNIP

1957. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <TIIg5.56620$V34.523271@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesse - I agree with your that the statements made below were obviously made
by an ingorant person & were sick comments.

"Jesse Stanley" <superstar@eurekanet.com> wrote
> I agree but you have ignorant people everywhere that alays like to make
> sick comments
>
> Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > Bill Cheek was a great man.

1958. DWM Communications - Terroristic Organization!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Newswatch1 <newswatch1@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lvm47vur1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

All,

The company DWM communications is located on the world wide Web, at this URL:

http://www.qth.com/dwm

They sell the following products:

Tiny Tenna
QRP CW Rig
Signal Trap
Antenna Tuner

Their phone number is 1-517-563-2613.

This "company" is actually a front for a terrorist organization. The products they sell are obviously not real radio products, they are all cheaply made and a ripoff.

Anytime you buy a Tiny-Tenna or any DWM products, you are supporting a terroristic organization. This organization, headed by the main terrorist Bill Lauderbach, will do ANYTHING to destroy YOU, YOUR REPUTATION, and YOUR LIFE at their own whim.

If you buy from DWM, you are literally taking your life into your hands! This poster has heard from an anonymous source that DWM has actually ordered "hits" on the lives of several people who have complained about them. I cannot give the source of this information, because the source themselves is at extreme risk. But the word is that if you order from DWM, once they have your information YOU TOO are at risk!

So take heed, and avoid these terrorists! You will be much safer and better off! Above all, *NEVER* provide them with your mailing address, phone number, or any personal data! They keep a "blacklist" in their company files (according to a disgruntled former employee), and if you land on that list, WATCH OUT.

Be warned, and order elsewhere.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1959. DWM Communications - Terroristic Organization!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Newswatch1 <newswatch1@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lvm5n$6n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83719

All,

The company DWM communications is located on the world wide Web, at this URL:

http://www.qth.com/dwm

They sell the following products:

Tiny Tenna
QRP CW Rig
Signal Trap
Antenna Tuner

Their phone number is 1-517-563-2613.

This "company" is actually a front for a terrorist organization. The products they sell are obviously not real radio products, they are all cheaply made and a ripoff.

Anytime you buy a Tiny-Tenna or any DWM products, you are supporting a terroristic organization. This organization, headed by the main terrorist Bill Lauderbach, will do ANYTHING to destroy YOU, YOUR REPUTATION, and YOUR LIFE at their own whim.

If you buy from DWM, you are literally taking your life into your hands! This poster has heard from an anonymous source that DWM has actually ordered "hits" on the lives of several people who have complained about them. I cannot give the source of this information, because the source themselves is at extreme risk. But the word is that if you order from DWM, once they have your information YOU TOO are at risk!

So take heed, and avoid these terrorists! You will be much safer and better off! Above all, *NEVER* provide them with your mailing address, phone number, or any personal data! They keep a "blacklist" in their company files (according to a disgruntled former employee), and if you land on that list, WATCH OUT.

Be warned, and order elsewhere.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1960. FS: Bearcat 860xlt scanner $45!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3983584D.9F40F214@home.com>
Source record: 83720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

100 channel scanner. Turbo speed. 29-956 mhz. Will receive cell images. 2 years old. Like new. Just the scanner and antenna. No manual or box. $45 or b/o shipped from Omaha,Ne. Can use paypal or whatever. My ebay name is "regaldriver" as a referance. First one with name and address,phone number takes.

1961. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <EKIg5.56621$V34.523352@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83721
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesse -

You better think again, Glen is one of the good guys who helped save
this NG from CAL's & other troublemakers. He speaks very true words!

"Jesse Stanley" <superstar@eurekanet.com> wrote
> this glen guy is just another idiot that has nothing better to do than
make
> sick comments about people !

1962. Re: Bill Cheek and the rest of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <DMIg5.56623$V34.523716@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Well said, Rick, very well said

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> Some people really do need Basic Electronics!!!!!!!

1963. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8lvlr3dtm1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 83723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Followups set to *just* .misc!

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>No, it isn't.
>Spreading information, with the intent to help others gain knowledge is one
>thing.

Without a fully equipped lab and the expertise to use it, you have
no idea if the modification you make to your radio will have an
adverse effect to other users of the spectrum. Your scanner is
covered under the CFR Part 15 regulations as an unintentional radiator,
and it DOES radiate RF. There was a case where someone's unattended
scanner was radiating enough RF to lock up a repeater some distance
away.

An innocently done "mod" to your scanner might cause havoc to any
of a number of radio services; in particular, if you reside near
an airport, use special care with what you do to your radio(s).

>Selling drugs is another.
>I would hope so, you hypocritical ass!

Whatever.

Jeff

1964. bearcat 860 xlt sold!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39835D7A.550FE320@home.com>
Source record: 83724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Couldn't cancel the header because it didn't show up. Anyway it has been sold. thanks

1965. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so6p0ulpo0b51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are right that generally the Cheek-a-Like faction talk this way and twist things too suit their perversions.

J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message news:TIIg5.56620$V34.523271@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Jesse - I agree with your that the statements made below were obviously made
> by an ingorant person & were sick comments.
>
> "Jesse Stanley" <superstar@eurekanet.com> wrote
> > I agree but you have ignorant people everywhere that alays like to make
> > sick comments
> >
> > Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
>
>
>

1966. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83726
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth

J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> >
> > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> SNIP
>
>

1967. FA: simple programmable scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Rybicki <marmot@core.com>
Message-ID: <3983811F.3FE01AE0@core.com>
Source record: 83727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=395560793

Realistic Pro-41 hand held programmable scanner. 10 channels covers
29-54, 137-174 and 406-512 mhz. Requires 5 AA batteries, good condition
includes original box and manual.

1968. F.Y.I.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Leveraus <elint@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398388D5.1292D193@ticon.net>
Source record: 83728

http://www.321page.com/

--
James Leveraus
Snail Mail: PO Box 451, Muskego, WI 53150
mailto:elint@ticon.net
Sat Phone: 011.874.761.2606 34

1969. U.S. Scanner Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert I. Smith" <rlsmith@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <39838a7e$1_2@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 83729

I have updated the download file for US. Scanner Online. This is to take care of some links that didn't work. I also needed to take care of some HTML coding that may have given some browses problems. For those of you that save USSO you should download the new version.

For the rest of you, there are some new features that you should check out. First there is a message board on the home page now. All you need to do is line down past the archived issues of USSO and you will find it.

While you are down there you will find several new links. There is one for Ebay that will connect you directly to their consumer electronics department. There are two sections that have scanner in them, ham radio and receivers. Check them out.

I have also added a link to CRB books and at the bottom of the page you will find links to other publications of interest to the scanner user.

Please stop by and check it out and enjoy.

1970. Electrical Meters (Yes, this is on-topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted
Message-ID: <2906018372506@rec.radio.scanner>
Source record: 83730

Southern California Edison went down our street today, replacing all of the electrical breaker panel meters with new models. When I asked the installer why this was being done, he indicated that the new models can be read each month by a passing company vehicle that listens for the new meters' "built-in RF transmitters."

Although telemetry is the last thing on my "hot frequencies" list, I'm nonetheless curious if there are any designated frequencies for these devices, what type of signals they emit, etc.

(Well, either it really was the electric company that came by, or just more NSA spooks in Edison costumes going about their usual task of building the surveillance superhighway. ;-)

1971. Re: Obscenities not needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8m04jt$u9l$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83731

And such obscenity is definitely NOT needed, except as a crutch for those who don't know any better. I can assure you that there are family types frequenting this newsgroup, for whom such language is definitely out of bounds.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1972. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8m04o4$18q$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83732

It's still a stupid idea though. Go ahead and do it and perhaps you will be able to get some visitors while you are in the federal pen.....

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1973. Newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdy60 <birdy60@globaleyes.net>
Message-ID: <so777hn7o0b88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83733

Hi all, I just got a secondhand scanner-a radio shack Pro-49. I'm very happy with it. It's a 10 channel programmable model which suits me fine for my small town area. I have a question though. While I was listening to it, the police dispatcher and cop in the car switched to "channel 11" and all that came through was garbled sounds, like the peanuts gang teacher :-) Is there a way to tap into those kinds of frequencies-are there frequencies that are not openly known but that are available through a little searching? If someone could point me in the direction of those "secret" frequencies or where/how to look for them, that would be helpful. And if you need to know, I'm in Southern Illinois-Murphysboro to be exact (close to carbondale). Also, an email would be helpful as I don't check NG's as often as I would like to.

Thanks,
Judy

1974. Re: DWM Communications - Terroristic Organization!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prophet Lloyd Davies <noSPAMvfp@bell_prophet_SPAM_south.net.stair>
Message-ID: <%iNg5.14923$Mn.80812@news3.atl>
Source record: 83734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Post some examples, post some proof: Better yet, Go to deja.com and find
3 posts from people who had bought the Tiny-tenna or ANY DWM product that
was satisfied with the product.... That should keep you stupid chicken head
busy

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
= A called Prophet of God =
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://shop.affinia.com/n0vfp/Store1/
http://www.qth.com/dwm
"Newswatch1" <newswatch1@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8lvm47vur1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> All,
>
> The company DWM communications is located on the world wide Web, at
> this URL:
>
> http://www.qth.com/dwm
>
> They sell the following products:
>
> Tiny Tenna
> QRP CW Rig
> Signal Trap
> Antenna Tuner
>
> Their phone number is 1-517-563-2613.
>
> This "company" is actually a front for a terrorist organization. The
> products they sell are obviously not real radio products, they are all
> cheaply made and a ripoff.
>
> Anytime you buy a Tiny-Tenna or any DWM products, you are supporting a
> terroristic organization. This organization, headed by the main
> terrorist Bill Lauderbach, will do ANYTHING to destroy YOU, YOUR
> REPUTATION, and YOUR LIFE at their own whim.
>
> If you buy from DWM, you are literally taking your life into your
> hands! This poster has heard from an anonymous source that DWM has
> actually ordered "hits" on the lives of several people who have
> complained about them. I cannot give the source of this information,

> because the source themselves is at extreme risk. But the word is that
> if you order from DWM, once they have your information YOU TOO are at
> risk!
>
> So take heed, and avoid these terrorists! You will be much safer and
> better off! Above all, *NEVER* provide them with your mailing address,
> phone number, or any personal data! They keep a "blacklist" in their
> company files (according to a disgruntled former employee), and if you
> land on that list, WATCH OUT.
>
> Be warned, and order elsewhere.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1975. Re: DWM Communications - Terroristic Organization!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prophet Lloyd Davies <noSPAMvfp@bell_prophet_SPAM_south.net.stair>
Message-ID: <IsNg5.14943$Mn.80603@news3.atl>
Source record: 83735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Post some examples, post some proof: Better yet, Go to deja.com and find
3 posts from people who had bought the Tiny-tenna or ANY DWM product that
was NOT satisfied with the product.... That should keep you stupid chicken
head
busy

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
= A called Prophet of God =
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://shop.affinia.com/n0vfp/Store1/
http://www.qth.com/dwm
"Newswatch1" <newswatch1@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8lvm47vur1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> All,
>
> The company DWM communications is located on the world wide Web, at
> this URL:
>
> http://www.qth.com/dwm
>
> They sell the following products:
>
> Tiny Tenna
> QRP CW Rig
> Signal Trap
> Antenna Tuner
>
> Their phone number is 1-517-563-2613.
>
> This "company" is actually a front for a terrorist organization. The
> products they sell are obviously not real radio products, they are all
> cheaply made and a ripoff.
>
> Anytime you buy a Tiny-Tenna or any DWM products, you are supporting a
> terroristic organization. This organization, headed by the main
> terrorist Bill Lauderbach, will do ANYTHING to destroy YOU, YOUR
> REPUTATION, and YOUR LIFE at their own whim.
>
> If you buy from DWM, you are literally taking your life into your
> hands! This poster has heard from an anonymous source that DWM has
> actually ordered "hits" on the lives of several people who have

> complained about them. I cannot give the source of this information,
> because the source themselves is at extreme risk. But the word is that
> if you order from DWM, once they have your information YOU TOO are at
> risk!
>
> So take heed, and avoid these terrorists! You will be much safer and
> better off! Above all, *NEVER* provide them with your mailing address,
> phone number, or any personal data! They keep a "blacklist" in their
> company files (according to a disgruntled former employee), and if you
> land on that list, WATCH OUT.
>
> Be warned, and order elsewhere.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1976. Re: Electrical Meters (Yes, this is on-topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent <kentp@scc.net>
Message-ID: <3983AFFC.A28A92ED@scc.net>
Source record: 83736

Both our electrical and gas utilities installed small part 15
transmitters in twin cities homes. The gas system uses a vehicle that
drives in the neighborhood and sends a "wake up" call to the
transceivers and they begin to dribble data out of the gas meter. Our
local power utility mounted transmitters on the power meters on houses
that transmit to small 1 ft x 1 ft boxes on utility poles every few
blocks. These boxes have two cellular style antennas hanging down from
the underside, and feed out via a 900 mhz yagi. Both our utilities use
a couple of their 800 mhz radio channels for this neighborhood data.
One of their radio techs gave me one of the channels, but I've misplaced
it other than to remember it was in the 800 mhz area. In Minneapolis,
the city water utility installed a small auto dialer modem on the water
meter. Once per month it calls in with water usage information via *my*
phone line.

Kent

Ted wrote:
>
> Southern California Edison went down our street today, replacing
> all of the electrical breaker panel meters with new models. When
> I asked the installer why this was being done, he indicated that
> the new models can be read each month by a passing company vehicle
> that listens for the new meters' "built-in RF transmitters."
>
> Although telemetry is the last thing on my "hot frequencies" list,
> I'm nonetheless curious if there are any designated frequencies
> for these devices, what type of signals they emit, etc.
>
> (Well, either it really was the electric company that came by, or
> just more NSA spooks in Edison costumes going about their usual
> task of building the surveillance superhighway. ;-)

1977. pro 64 & palm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <cmike@aeneas.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3982eb66$1@news.isdn.net>
Source record: 83737

need palmware to program pro 64 tnx

1978. GRE DATA MANAGER>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <39822146.61C67345@gte.net>
Source record: 83764

Anyone out there got a cd crack code for this software

1979. Re: 167.485MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000728211016.26317.00000511@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 83765

167.4875 - most likely an FBI channel.

1980. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <6mqg5.2171$ZL5.107772@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83766

Since this NG is rec.radio.scanner, I assume that your outdoor scanner antenna is a wideband omnidirectional
antenna.
Most tv antennas are directional, and have multiple elements that are resonant at tv broadcast frequencies.
Therefore the tv antenna has gain in the frequencies that it was designed for.
The scanner antenna probably would not make a good tv antenna.
But regardless of what anyone offers technically, the best way to answer your question is to simply try it.
Al

bob dolson wrote in message <81t4bmatj1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
>news:39812388.3AF78F87@home.com...
>> ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
>> into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
>> keep the jargon to a minimum :)
>>
>
>Why would anyone want to do such a stupid thing??
>
>
>
>--
>Sincerely,
>
>Bob Dolson
>
>Blissfully living in retirement
>
>check out our web pages when you get a chance.
>Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
>http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
>Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
>Also including some 2000UX samples at:
>http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

1981. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: njradiodude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000728212940.24142.00001151@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 83767

God save the Queen!!! (Keep her sorry old ass in a horse n buggy...don't let her fly the Concorde). Or maybe she could sail on a small dinghy and use Prince Charles' ears for sails.

:)

1982. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <Ovqg5.2179$ZL5.108446@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I second that, City Slicker. It is refreshing to see that Dave has ethics and common sense.
Al

CitySlicker wrote in message <8lqlfh$621@journal.concentric.net>...
>Well said Dave, I am truly amazed by the intelligence that can rise out of
>this NG. It doesn't happen often but from time to time it does occur.
>
>"Dave" <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
>news:8zqqhf$UZAC$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> On 27 Jul 2000 06:50:56 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> : T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
>> : >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>> :
>> : >>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
>> : >>you disagree with it.
>> :
>> : >Bullshit!
>> :
>> : So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
>> : to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>>
>> There is a longstanding recognition of the fact that nonviolent, civil
>> disobedience has been an effective way of bringing about positive change.
>>
>> The 60's civil rights movement in the U.S. is a prime example of what I'm
>> talking about.
>>
>> According to you, Jeff, Rosa Parks should never have sat in the back of
>the
>> bus; she was violating a <gasp> LAW!
>>
>> According to you, Jeff, Martin Luther King should never have led the
>people
>> to the voter registration places; they were violating a <gasp> LAW!
>>
>> According to you, Jeff, Ghandi should never have taken his actions, Nelson
>> Mandela his, and our Founding Fathers theirs. All violated the laws of
>the
>> land.
>>
>> There is no black or white to this kind of thing, Jeff, contrary to your
>> wishful thinking. It demands good judgment, plus a commitment of the

>> deepest kind to high ethical standards. It's a very tough call, but those
>> who make the call correctly wind up their lives admired by all, while
>those
>> who blindly follow the law can wind up on trial, as did the Nazis at
>> Nuremberg.
>>
>> Rosa Parks was recently given presidential recognition (and a
>Congressional
>> Gold Medal) for her act of violating an unethical law.
>>
>> So, no, violating a law you don't like doesn't necessarily make you a
>> defective person. It may prove exactly the opposite about you; it may
>prove
>> that you obey a higher law, even at great personal risk.
>
>

1983. Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Guthrie <steve.guthrie@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <398267DB.5322@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 83769

Hi there

The municipal police force up here in Peterborough, Ontario, Canada went to an 800 MH digital encripted system several years ago. I'm in the news media and the Chief told me it was to keep local felons from listening in to their broadcasts. We in the media could just like it or lump it.

(Thanks Chief!)

I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA. Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver capable of picking up the broadcasts.

'strue? Or am I 'way out in the long grass?

Steve

PS I use a BC SC200 and can pick up ambulance and fire plus the Provincial police, so the loss of the city cops is a pain, not a fatal illness.

1984. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ltgq8066s@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <935g5.2354$Dw6.7737@newsfeed.slurp.net>, markinokla@juno.com says...
>
>
>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>news:8lokql$2kg$1@news.hawaii.edu...
>> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>> >Jeff,
>> >Many (90% or more) of the mods that Bill Cheek developed have nothing to
>> >do with listening to cell phones.
>>
>> So you're saying that some of his articles DID involve modifications for
>> eavesdropping on cellular phone conversations? =:o
>>
>> Why are you posting this to the DX newsgroup? I dropped it from
>> the header out of courtesy for the folks on there.
>>
>> Jeff
>
>Yes, his early books, (pre-ban), gave details on cellular mods. Given that
>some scanner marketers blocked cellular coverage before it was made illegal
>by Congress, there was certainly nothing wrong with him publishing these
>mods.
>
>As for why I posted it to the SAME newsgroups you posted to, I used the
>reply group button. You posted your inflammatory statements to all those
>newsgroups, I answered them so the same people could see the truth.
>
>Mark K5MAR

"That ideas should freely spread from one to another over the globe, for the moral and mutual instruction of man, and improvement of his condition, seems to have been peculiarly and benevolently designed by nature, when she made them, like fire, exspansible over all space, without lessening their density at any point, and like the air which we breathe, move, and have our physical being, incapable of confinement or exclusive appropriation. Inventions then cannot, in nature, be a subject of property." - Thomas Jefferson

Tom - N8ECW

1985. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <398256D0.776E66FE@pacbell.net>
Source record: 83771

'Couple a KW with a horn antenna oughta be about right.
73, Jim

1986. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <WCtg5.25725$7a5.712973@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 83772

"Steve Guthrie" <steve.guthrie@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:398267DB.5322@sympatico.ca...
> Hi there
>
>
> I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA.
> Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press
> and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all
> credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver
> capable of picking up the broadcasts.

The legal issues have not been tested in the courts yet. For a summary of legal precedent in support of the idea that Americans have a constitutionally protected right to monitor government radio traffic, visit the Rapid Media Project website at http://rapid-media.org .

73 de Dave, NF2G, BSCJ/Soc
Director/Webmaster, The Rapid Media Project
NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

1987. Re: GRE DATA MANAGER>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <B042DFCA7DD20749.C91A6EB15C3E1F9B.C2138DB1BE26091A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83773

No because you must have the CD and the Serial and Activation Key
Just Buy it its worth it..

On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 00:16:35 GMT, crimson@gte.net wrote:

>Anyone out there got a cd crack code for this software

1988. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@listening-post.net>
Message-ID: <50137A3F.56F61860@listening-post.net>
Source record: 83774

Steve Guthrie wrote:

> Hi there
>
> The municipal police force up here in Peterborough, Ontario, Canada went
> to an 800 MH digital encripted system several years ago. I'm in the news
> media and the Chief told me it was to keep local felons from listening in
> to their broadcasts. We in the media could just like it or lump it.
>
> (Thanks Chief!)
>
> I was later told that this would have been impossible to do in the USA.
> Something to do with the US Constitution and the rights of a free press
> and that if any goverment organization went to an encripted system, all
> credited news gathering agencies were to be provided with a receiver
> capable of picking up the broadcasts.
>
> 'strue? Or am I 'way out in the long grass?
>
> Steve
>
> PS I use a BC SC200 and can pick up ambulance and fire plus the
> Provincial police, so the loss of the city cops is a pain, not a fatal
> illness.

Live in Toronto.

An't suprised that toronto hasn't done same thing. Police, Fire ie. gone to
the new Motorola trunking
system.

Regards.
John Medica - System administrator Listening-Post

1989. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <398275A4.B34D2185@home.com>
Source record: 83775

Thanks for berating me for not having the knowledge I asked for and
contributing nothing to the newsgroup.

bob dolson wrote:

> Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
> news:39812388.3AF78F87@home.com...
> > ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> > into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> > keep the jargon to a minimum :)
> >
>
> Why would anyone want to do such a stupid thing??
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

1990. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <398276CF.85A7CAC0@home.com>
Source record: 83776

I didn't want to use the scanner antenna as a TV antenna, but rather had the idea that if I tapped the scanner
antenna coax into my TV coax I might be able to have access to the scanner antenna from more than one place in
the house. I'm just starting out in radio and I'm not an electrical engineer, so I didn't know the feasability
of doing this. It didn't sound right, but figured it wouldn't hurt to ask.

And for Bob Dolson who simply dismisses me as an idiot with stupid ideas...

Thhhhhhtptptptptptp!!

Try being helpful instead of an asshole.

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> Since this NG is rec.radio.scanner, I assume that your outdoor scanner antenna is a wideband omnidirectional
> antenna.
> Most tv antennas are directional, and have multiple elements that are resonant at tv broadcast frequencies.
> Therefore the tv antenna has gain in the frequencies that it was designed for.
> The scanner antenna probably would not make a good tv antenna.
> But regardless of what anyone offers technically, the best way to answer your question is to simply try it.
> Al
>
> bob dolson wrote in message <8lt4bmatj1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> >Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:39812388.3AF78F87@home.com...
> >> ...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> >> into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> >> keep the jargon to a minimum :)
> >>
> >
> >Why would anyone want to do such a stupid thing??
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >Sincerely,
> >
> >Bob Dolson
> >
> >Blissfully living in retirement

> >
> >check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> >Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> >http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
> >
> >Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> >Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> >http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
> >
> >
> >

1991. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1lvg5.5337$Dw6.13030@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83777

"T.L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8ltgq8066s@enews2.newsguy.com...
> "That ideas should freely spread from one to another over the globe, for
the
> moral and mutual instruction of man, and improvement of his condition,
seems to
> have been peculiarly and benevolently designed by nature, when she made
them,
> like fire, exspansible over all space, without lessening their density at
any
> point, and like the air which we breathe, move, and have our physical
being,
> incapable of confinement or exclusive appropriation. Inventions then
cannot, in
> nature, be a subject of property." - Thomas Jefferson
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>
You gotta admit, ol' TJ had his s**t together, and knew how to put it into
words. Thanks for reminding everyone, Tom.

Mark K5MAR

1992. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39828B3A.60EC139F@home.com>
Source record: 83778

Well, being as I wouldn't want to scrap my cable TV, I'd have to run new line anyway.

Splitters was my next quest. Are they feasible to feed different outputs (not used at the same time, but in
different rooms)?

markj wrote:

> Tony Cellini wrote:
> >
> > I didn't want to use the scanner antenna as a TV antenna, but rather had the idea that if I tapped the scanner
> > antenna coax into my TV coax I might be able to have access to the scanner antenna from more than one place in
> > the house. I'm just starting out in radio and I'm not an electrical engineer, so I didn't know the feasability
> > of doing this. It didn't sound right, but figured it wouldn't hurt to ask.
> >
> > And for Bob Dolson who simply dismisses me as an idiot with stupid ideas...
> >
> > Thhhhhhtptptptptptp!!
> >
> > Try being helpful instead of an asshole.
>
> No shit...
>
> Sounds like you want a master antenna system.
>
> If you have the cable already in place you will need to have it
> disconnected from the cable tv system first.
>
> Next you attach the antenna to the clipped tv lead. Use all the right
> kind of connectors and stuff.
>
> Now you have your own closed system.
>
> Don't mix the cable tv and scanner coax...you could knock a satellite
> out of orbit.
>
> And...
> If you old tv coax is pretty old you might just want to scrap the idea
> and run new lines.
>
> In the perfect system you would have a preamp and some nice splitters.
>

> I have seen work fairly good when installed right.
>
> Markj

1993. wtb: pro 2005 or 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39828E9B.C6F01C92@home.com>
Source record: 83779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Must be in top condition with a bright display light. Clean,original screw in antenna, mods ok,manual. Please email me with price,name,phone number.thanks

1994. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39829FD3.5CF6C905@cts.com>
Source record: 83780

Tony Cellini wrote:
>
> Well, being as I wouldn't want to scrap my cable TV, I'd have to run new line anyway.
>
> Splitters was my next quest. Are they feasible to feed different outputs (not used at the same time, but in
> different rooms)?
>

Don't over do it,but yes the more runs the more you will lose gain.
keep the runs as short as you can and use quality cable tv type of
splitters.
Depending on the frequencies you monitor you will encounter line loss.
If you are straining to hear stuff this will not be a good system. If
you live in a metro area it can work real nice.
If you try to run multiple receivers you could start injecting noise
into the whole thing.

1995. Re: wtb: pro 2005 or 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3982C99F.F701462C@uswest.net>
Source record: 83781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BK wrote:
>
> Must be in top condition with a bright display light. Clean,original
> screw in antenna, mods ok,manual. Please email me with price,name,phone
> number.thanks

I have one that was purchsed and modded for cell by Grove. It is in
pristine condition, bright back light with original box and the original
Grove shipping box, manual, a real non copy of the service manual,
antenna. $300 plus shipping.

Greg Renner
651-426-8355

1996. Re: My UPS Story - In the CACHE (IL) --

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Sam R." <msepspamblocker@mac.com>
Message-ID: <2907200008143415 77%msepspamblocker@mac.com>
Source record: 83782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <e6Me5.12941$o71.849406@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote:

>
> Thats funny cause a package I sent last tues using Ground got to Cach, IL in
> 9 hours and the page said that until saturday and they change the date and
> time it arrived there - is that wierd??
>

Actually, I think what happens is that it says "Departure Scan" or
something like that next to the Cach, IL line, meaning that it has left
whereever it last was en route to Cach, IL. When it gets there, it
changes to Arrival Scan (and time it arrives).

What I want to know is why according to the UPS tracking, my scanner is
sitting in this Cach, IL place (but was actually delivered today).

1997. Re: PRO-92 new firmware! Swap out???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Hoffman <fwhof@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3982A3FB.B43DB0A1@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 83783

7-29-2000
Michael:
I just bought a Pro-92A. I fired it ypu and pressed 3. firmware version
3.25. It was in
Secaucus New Jersey. More 92A's are on there way to stores. Paid 249.95.
Frank H

Michael Champion wrote:

> Radio Shack salesdrones get paid min wage or commission(whichever is higher)
> and aren't paid for their knoledge of the companies products as they are
> updated but to sell sell sell.
>
> Radio Shack
> "You've got questions? We've got shrugs and blank looks".
>
> Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
> news:397949D3.80B06F3B@home.com...
> I called RS and they said they know nothing about this. However, if it's
> true,
> I plan on returning my 92 and swapping for the updated firmware. Only
> reason I
> bought the silly thing was to track LTR and it does a really bad job of it,
> unless I'm doing something completely wrong...
>
> RS wrote:
>
> > Does anybody know if there will be a way to swap out the firmware chip
> > in the old style pro-92. Maybe even sending it back to RS repair dept
> > it would be worth it to scan mot systems properly.
> >
> > Any ideas on this
> >
> > On Fri, 21 Jul 2000 23:38:11 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >There is an unconfirmed rumor that Radio Shack as released an updated
> > >PRO-92, with new firmware!
> > >
> > >PRO-92, Catalog #20-522A, production #05A00, v 3.25
> > >
> > >The "A" suffix on the end of the catalog number is the indicator. Only
> to
> > >be found on the back of the scanner itself.
> > >

> > >Bill Crocker
> > >
> > >
> > >

1998. Lots of Scanner Books FA (ebay)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: milguy@tds.net
Message-ID: <S4Bg5.3610$sQ.1582642@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 83784

look up my ebay user ID -- milguy11

do a search from the main page...

lots of books going out on monday --

Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry, James Coburn's Aero Directory....
Bill Cheeks Scanner Modz Volume 2, etc etc etc

thanks!

doug

1999. Re: GRE DATA MANAGER>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2kn5os0c9dfko3uok02ukj9bvgj5vdt661@4ax.com>
Source record: 83785

crimson@gte.net wrote:

>Anyone out there got a cd crack code for this software

Try being honest for a change and buy it!

2000. FS Pro 50 Realistic excellent condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dutyron@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000729094806.28206.00000389@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 83786

I have on unit in excellent condition with Ni Cad batteys wall charger and manual price is 75.00 shipped.

2001. Re: Wanted: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.ch>
Message-ID: <e71c1a8466a58e35d1d27d2d3aa7036b@remailer.ch>
Source record: 83787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
> > under. If anyone is selling a BC245XLT for $100 or under, email me.
> >
> > John Bombard
> > flyboy4097@home.com

I'll take 20 if they are below $100.
```

2002. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8lupek$2fc4$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83788

Most of the people who have posted have giveen good answers. Let me add just one more thing. It is never a good idea to "splice" a co-ax cable - will introduce too much interference and signal loss. Use connectors rather than splices.

Tony Cellini wrote in message <39812388.3AF78F87@home.com>...
>...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
>into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
>keep the jargon to a minimum :)
>

2003. WTB: Icom IC-R8500,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: caddoo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3986ed6d.12971392@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83789

Wanted: Icom R8500
caddoo@earthlink.net

2004. WTB: Icom R7000,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: caddoo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3987ed77.12981189@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83790

Want: Icom R7000
caddoo@earthlink.net

2005. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <rjCg5.487525$VR.6121245@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 83791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

All you did was come here, read the original post, and reply with malice.

Go to hell.

You NEVER did address the question about you knowing him that I raised, did you.

More than not, that means that you DON'T want to, or it would destroy whatever small shred of credibility that you have.

Have a bad day today, a worse one tomorrow, and hopefully, someone treats you the same way in YOUR death.

Toll Free

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message news:filsnssd5kaab8u4o68h0gs26oa8np3p0k@4ax.com...
> "Paco the Wetback \(no not really\)" <spamwall@firewall.net> wrote:
>
> >When you walk up to a dead person at a funeral to show him respect in real life,
> >if you see someone you don't like, do you shout out loud "Fuck you, you shallow little bastard
> >of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking aboriginal clusterfucker"?
> >This is where we are to pay respect for Bill Cheek, not a place where we take out all our hate towards
> >every moron who gimps by us in life.
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote that. Please address your
> remarks to him. All I did was quote his vitriol to demonstrate the
> attitude of the average Cheek fan in r.r.s.
>
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

2006. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <vnCg5.487548$VR.6122218@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 83792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, it isn't.

Spreading information, with the intent to help others gain knowledge is one thing.

Selling drugs is another.

You think that someone who is doing 56 miles an hour in a 55 zone is just as bad as the one who sells drugs to your daughter, too, eh?

I would hope so, you hypocritical ass!

Toll Free

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu...
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes Bill
> >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more to
> >the hobby.
>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O
>

2007. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <3982F8CD.BA5714EA@home.com>
Source record: 83793

Yes, I will agree that most here have been helpful. It's the one bad egg that really burns me up.

FYI, I was using "splice" as a general term. I would never try to connect two bare pieces of coax. :-)

James Shelton wrote:

> Most of the people who have posted have giveen good answers. Let me add
> just one more thing. It is never a good idea to "splice" a co-ax cable -
> will introduce too much interference and signal loss. Use connectors
> rather than splices.
>
> Tony Cellini wrote in message <39812388.3AF78F87@home.com>...
> >...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
> >into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
> >keep the jargon to a minimum :)
> >

2008. Go FUCK yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: FU2 <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200007291622.MAA08496@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 83794

Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try and dictate what goes on here?
If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!

2009. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <39830437.E9CFB785@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 83795

Bill hit the nail on the head...listen to what you want, just don't be stupid enough to record it and play it for an audience or post it somewhere as some others have been stupid enough to do!

2010. Re: Digital Scanning USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <398c151f.54077639@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 83796

On 28 Jul 2000 23:19:07 EDT, "CitySlicker" <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Government has been using encrypted transmissions for awhile and some cities
>have went to digital as well. As far as I know the media is not entitled to
>a receiver. That not to say one couldn't be obtained. If one can be built it
>can be copied.

Sure. But if it's really digital and encrypted, one must also obtain the
decryption key. That turns out to be a LOT tougher than simply copying
the receiver.

--- jeh

2011. Re: Privacy and common sense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <xtZg5.6563$Dw6.18167@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dave" <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
news:39843CEB.BE87901E@fastband.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "J. MacKenzie" wrote:
> >
> > In article <Ovqg5.2179$ZL5.108446@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > > I second that, City Slicker. It is refreshing to see that Dave has
> > > ethics and common sense.
> > > Al
> > >
> >
> > Ethics and common sense... to disobey a law to protect privacy?
>
> How many people don't take responsibility for their own privacy and
> expect someone else to look after it instead?
>
> Do they really think that a government ban on listening to certain
> frequencies assures them privacy?
>
> Do they really believe that it's up to some government to look after
> their privacy, and that they don't have any responsibility out for it
> themselves?
>
> Look at what governments have done so far: (1) The U.S. government,
> until recently, declared encryption to be a munition and went after Phil
> Zimmerman -- the author of PGP -- for daring to make it available to
> all. (2) the U.S. government (the FBI) attempted to force anyone
> marketing strong encryption to give it their keys; (3) the FBI
> attempted to force cell phone companies to enable geographic tracking of
> all U.S. users, and (4) the FBI has very recently developed software to
> monitor everyone's email as it
> passes through their ISP's servers, but has met with resistance to its
> deployment on the part of privacy groups.
>
> The UK liked the idea of email monitoring so much that it became law in
> England last week, and soon New Zealand will follow its example. And
> don't bother to use encrypted email there either, because you can be put
> in prison if you don't give your keys to the government when asked for
> them (if you forget your keys, you go to prison for that).
>

> The Australian government has found its own ways to invade privacy.
>
> Conclusion: A government bureaucracy is the WORST POSSIBLE custodian of
> your privacy. You have to take responsibility for it yourself.
>
> I don't assume that either my cordless phone or my cell phone
> conversations are private, and I don't depend upon something ineffective
> like an anti monitoring law. Instead, I find another way to communicate
> that which I want to keep private.
>
> If it's on the air waves via standard technology, one has to assume that
> almost anyone can be listening, not matter what law is in effect.
>
> Since legislation can't change the physics of radio waves, laws about
> who can listen to what they carry are silly (and futile).
>
> Use encryption if you want privacy. But most of all, use common sense.

Dave,
The most sensible thing I've read yet. For more on what the UK is doing,
here is a message from Norm that was posted to another thread.

>OK Folks. Everybody seen this?
>http://www.observer.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,6903,348607,00.html
>
>How about the doublespeak in the last paragraph?...
>
>NEL KA2TYT

2012. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <QHZg5.58753$V34.585809@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Actually - I spent the last 8 days off this newsgroup during vacation, & yet all these people were still here trying to start trouble WHILE I WAS GONE!!

Don't try to blame it on me, dumbshit!

"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> I propose you go to hell and get off of this NG you bald
> freak of Mackey. You cause all the trouble you can
> handle yourself.

2013. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <wLZg5.58760$V34.585897@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83599
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Lenny-t" <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> Why don't you knock it off, sonny. Adolescent losers like you give
> amateur radio a very bad name. It's amazing you have the temerity to add
> your call to your posts.
>
> Cheek was six times the man you ever were. He was propbably a thousand
> times the technician you will ever be.

Apparently you don't know anything about amateur radio or Cheek's abilities.

2014. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <gQZg5.58763$V34.584665@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83600
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Joe Schlatter" <joe@schlatter.org> wrote
> 'Tis indeed sad when a young man of 50 years passes away. Not meaning any
> disrespect, but, who is Mr. Cheek?

Joe, this question is often asked, here is the standard response below.

Author: John Mackey, Jr (jmackey@usaXXX.net)
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign KS0F

Bill Cheek was a man who lived near San Diego. He used to be active on
Fidonet but then had to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He
then became active on this NG & the internet but was again involved in
much trouble. Various problems with Mr. Cheek's action's
resulted in Peter Laws kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More
similar problems with Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access
revoked by his Cable company ISP in summer 1998. In 1999 he was briefly
held by (federal) authorities and has been charged in (federal) courts with
various illegal actions in regards sales for the use of scanners. (He had
admitted to other legal problems in the past, also) He was the owner
& operator of a company called "Comtronics" & has produced a few books
based on information he had complied from various sources.

Despite various rumors, Mr. Cheek did NOT have any FCC issued licenses
within the last 15 years.

Mr. Cheek claimed to have been in the Army, others said he had been in Navy.
His rank or billet is unknown.

Mr. Cheek was well known by many for various reasons in the radio community.
Some people feel his technical knowledge was notable, some people feel
certain aspects of his personality were notable.

Due to mounting legal problems followed by health problems, in 1999 a
donation request was made to the general public to assist Mr. Cheek who
had no health insurance. His legal issues were being addressed by a public
defender.

Mr. Cheek was a smoker and died in July 2000 due to complications of Lung
and Brain Cancer.

He is survived by his wife Cindy and his children.

Mr. Cheek will be missed.

2015. S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <8m1s3jgqv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone explored exporting either an analog S-meter output,
or the digital word that represents the S-meter reading of the PCR1000
receiver for use with other computer applications ??

I am interesting in integrating a PCR1000 as
part of a GPS-based drive-test package for capturing
signal-strength readings along with lat/lon position

If anyone else has been researching this, I would like
to hear from you ..

Thanx

Trish
--
Patricia E. Gibbons
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

2016. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <yu_g5.58788$V34.586052@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83602

Why is it that you can't (or won't) use your real ID and have to use a FAKE
ID
when you post here?

2017. Re: Privacy and common sense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <5B3F71D38AD6FD2C.D005EFE5CF59CA3A.D1573A0DF0410EBA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The way I look at it, in this modern age with all the choices, people who are concerned about their
communication being understood over airwaves, should take their own responsibility and encrypt their
information instead of relying on us (the scanner people) to have our devices crippled.

2018. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <61AE964FCE6BAC4B.615BDC1B835B7388.0483F104F3AD3F7B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83604

Why would anyone want to use their Real ID on public newsgroups consisting mostly of fat psycho's who sit on
their asses all day just to piss other fat psycho's off?

2019. 163.412 MHz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8L_g5.22197$o71.1481962@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83605

Is anyone in the group monitoring 163.412 MHz?

I tried to run this frequency thru the FCC database and got stonewalled.

TIA

NEL KA2TYT

2020. FS: AOR AR8200 (B) w/ extras $335

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC <kf6oyk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a2%g5.68375$i5.1070492@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 83606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: US version AOR AR8200 in excellent condition. No scratch, non smoker. The radio comes with stock antenna, AM antenna, user manual.
Extras include:
CT8200 CTCSS encoder/decoder
CC8200 PC cable & Software
ARC8200 Software
SC8200 Clear plastic case
Extra cable for PC sound card

Asking $335 + shipping FIRM
Please, SERIOUS buyer only. I'm leaving country for a vacation next Monday and have to close the transaction before Friday. Prefer Paypal or US postal money order.

2021. Trunked system range...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39847E51.E243E8D0@home.com>
Source record: 83607

What is the range of an 800MHz truned radio system? I have been able to receive conventional transmissions from a couple of nearby cities, but if I program the trunked frequencies in, I never get anything.

2022. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16115-39847D89-18@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83608

It's because worthless fucks who post childish shit don't have the
courage to use their real name or real address. Their age is always
higher than their IQ.

It's a shame that there isn't a rec.bitch newsgroup.
Then, sorry fucks like that will have a place they can call home.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
Save America, Evict Clinton

2023. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <so916stjo0b131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83609
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you claiming i wrote the original post , Larson ? Maybe you better take
a look at the headers . Make sure brain is in gear before engaging
mouth....

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com...
> Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
>
>
> J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag
licking
> > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > >
> > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > SNIP
> >
> >
>
>

2024. Re: Trunked system range...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <0419osoahf7m5c0bi6n1luiehp2lfgi3vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 83610

On Sun, 30 Jul 2000 19:13:02 GMT, Tony Cellini
<39847E51.E243E8D0@home.com> wrote:

>What is the range of an 800MHz truned radio system? I have been able to
>receive conventional transmissions from a couple of nearby cities, but
>if I program the trunked frequencies in, I never get anything.

Well it depends on the height of your antenna. Basically it is line of sight,
also when using outdoor antennas you must use low loss cable and shortest
length because the cable itself will absorb much of the signal at this
frequency.
You could hear the trunked systems conventional frequencies, but the
problem of not being able to decode the data channel can be caused by the
length of the path and sensitivity of your receiver.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

2025. Re: Uniden BCT-7 stuck in "TEST" mode. Any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730154802.08539.00000571@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 83611

SEND IT TO ME AND I WILL FIX IT FOR $10.00

2026. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <so91koluo0b10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83612
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just what are you anyway Larson ? The new "Looney-Tune Replacement" ?

The Looney is still around, so save it....

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com...
> Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
>
>
> J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag
licking
> > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > >
> > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > SNIP
> >
> >
>
>

2027. Police scanner web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kmacleod@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <3984874f.1280469@news.rockisland.com>
Source record: 83613

Great plolice web site t listen to different police departments
around the USA.

Ken Macleod

2028. Warship freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <03d7cf0a.181ebd0d@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
Source record: 83614

Does anyone have HF, vhf or uhf freq's for U.S. Navy warships ?
Also is it possible to contact the ships via ham radio ? Thanks,
Sam alphacentauri@i-plus.net

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2029. FT Trade laptop for scanner or ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: riccamp@freeway.net
Message-ID: <39848b27$0$50269$2486905f@news.freeway.net>
Source record: 83615

For Trade :

I have a COMPAQ laptop computer, model LTE ELITE 4/40CX I would like to trade for a good working desktop scanner. Must cover 800-950 mhz band, preferably cellular enabled.(or have ability to do so).

Computer is in good working condition, needs a new battery and trackball needs a cleaning. Works fine with AC cord power. Specs: 486 40 mhz, 340 meg hard drive, 16 meg ram, black carry case, DOS 6.22 and Windows 3.1 installed. Also has Microsoft office(Word, Excell,Powerpoint) and Quattro Pro installed. Display is color.

Please contact me by email: riccamp@freeway.net

Might consider trade for something else - VHF commercial HT or maybe a surveying level or transit

Make me an offer, Eric

2030. BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: slugginsammy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730161625.26234.00001976@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 83616

How can I tell if how close I need to be to hear the signal...

Example: I need to be REAL close to hear Taco Bell Order's
A FCC book gives me the Disneyland Park (20 miles) and I can't
pick it up. How do I figure out how close I need to be ?

EMAIL ME PLEASE : SLUGGINSAMMY@AOL.COM

DONT POST ON BOARD..I WONT GET IT

2031. Re: 163.412 MHz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8m233v$4ot$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 83617

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Is anyone in the group monitoring 163.412 MHz?
> I tried to run this frequency thru the FCC database and got stonewalled.

The actual frequency is 163.4125 MHz and the FCC has no jurisdiction
over it. In the U.S. it's a federal government frequency administered by
the NTIA. If you're near a navigable waterway, look for an Army Corps of
Engineers hydrologic or flood control station.

2032. Re: Did Cheek have any patents?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so95d2gmo0b131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Didn't he just modify other people's equipment?

Joseph Henry <joseph_henry@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8m21u4$h0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> It might be some source of support to his family.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2033. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so961u2oo0b138@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83619
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
And the Crappers mOUTH WORKS.
As usual liar, I've got your killfile, retard.
Actually you are more like Corky than Mackey.

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:so91koluo0b10@corp.supernews.com...
> Just what are you anyway Larson ? The new "Looney-Tune Replacement" ?
>
> The Looney is still around, so save it....
>
> "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
> news:so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com...
> > Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
> >
> >
> > J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> > news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> > >
> > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag
> licking
> > > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > > >
> > > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
```

2034. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <so96q0ujo0b157@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You obviously have me confused with someone ... someone who cares, rodent. You have already demonstrated your total lack of any form of mental facility, simply by claiming I wrote this drivel. I can do a much more elequent job than that, mental midget. You have all the mental capacity of a door knob. Now, what I suggest is, that you go back over in the corner, and resume sucking your thumb (or whatever it is that you like to suck on, and can reach), and leave the adult - level conversations to the adults..... I seem to recall that last time I ran into you, it was over on one of the other radio groupls, and you didn`t know your elbow from your ear canal over there, either. Get a life. Get a brain, while you are there. It will save on trips.... By the way, do you think you cross posted this to enough groups, moron ?

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:so961u2oo0b138@corp.supernews.com...
> And the Crappers mOUTH WORKS.
> As usual liar, I've got your killfile, retard.
> Actually you are more like Corky than Mackey.
>
>
> Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
> news:so91koluo0b10@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just what are you anyway Larson ? The new "Looney-Tune Replacement" ?
> >
> > The Looney is still around, so save it....
> >
> > "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
> > news:so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
> > >
> > >
> > > J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> > > news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> > > >
> > > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag
> > licking
> > > > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > > > SNIP
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2035. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <so97uigso0b134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gollllllllllllly your killfile works overtime Corky

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:so96q0ujo0b157@corp.supernews.com...
> You obviously have me confused with someone ... someone who cares, rodent.
> You have already demonstrated your total lack of any form of mental
> facility, simply by claiming I wrote this drivel. I can do a much more
> elequent job than that, mental midget. You have all the mental capacity of
a
> door knob. Now, what I suggest is, that you go back over in the corner,
and
> resume sucking your thumb (or whatever it is that you like to suck on, and
> can reach), and leave the adult - level conversations to the adults..... I
> seem to recall that last time I ran into you, it was over on one of the
> other radio groupls, and you didn`t know your elbow from your ear canal
over
> there, either. Get a life. Get a brain, while you are there. It will save
on
> trips.... By the way, do you think you cross posted this to enough groups,
> moron ?
>
> "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
> news:so961u2oo0b138@corp.supernews.com...
> > And the Crappers mOUTH WORKS.
> > As usual liar, I've got your killfile, retard.
> > Actually you are more like Corky than Mackey.
> >
> >
> > Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
> > news:so91koluo0b10@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Just what are you anyway Larson ? The new "Looney-Tune Replacement" ?
> > >
> > > The Looney is still around, so save it....
> > >
> > > "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
> > > news:so6p0tnao0b57@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> > > > news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> > > > >

> > > > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > > > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag
> > > licking
> > > > > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > > > > SNIP
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2036. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill G <jajaja@attatt.net>
Message-ID: <9x1h5.22389$o71.1497138@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83622

> Does anyone know exactly when it will be out?

I am being told November at the earliest....

2037. Re: BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730185105.22768.00000609@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 83623

The distance at which you can hear a signal depends on the strength of the transmitter (fast food restaurants are really low powered), the height of the transmitting antenna, the height of the receiving antenna, obstructions between the two antennas, the sensitivity of the receiver and a whole lot more.
Radio systems that are meant to cover a relatively small area - such as Disneyland - are generally low powered with a limited antenna system. It can be difficult if not impossible to monitor such systems from more than a few miles away.
Radio systems meant to cover a wide area, such as a county sheriff's dispatch center, are much more powerful, have elaborate antenna systems (often with remote transmitters and receivers) and can usually be monitored from many miles away.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Vote to keep your guns. Vote Bush."

2038. Re: Police scanner web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730185657.28807.00000935@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 83624

What site are you referring to??
Oper911

2039. Re: Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8m2bis$qe3@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 83625

I saw the reference on the Strong Signals web site www.strongsignals.net in the June 2000 news archive

Here is some of the text:

...the newly released J.C. Penney catalog, and spied the new Memorex Scannocular which is a pair of binoculars attached to a 100-channel scanner with FM radio and TV audio coverage! It comes with 2 AAs, sells for $200, and seems to have an interesting parts supply heritage

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"reebok" <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:Uqng5.5503$FJ4.92126@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I work at JC Penny's and haven't heard of or seen this. Can you get more
> info on it?
>
> >As far as the oddest, I'd say the scanner
> > built into a pair of binoculars that is currently being sold (JCPenney?).
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
>
>
>

2040. Re: Best price: BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v2h9os044kuvjj714muidkdr1uh75e8ets@4ax.com>
Source record: 83626

On Sun, 30 Jul 2000 21:57:25 GMT, "Bill G" <jajaja@attatt.net> wrote:

>> Does anyone know exactly when it will be out?
>
>I am being told November at the earliest....
>
That better be one hell of a scanner, some of us have been waiting a
year for it to "come out"

2041. When is the BC780XLT coming out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8m2h20$5hsh8$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83627

> I am being told November at the earliest....

Sounds more and more like "vaporware" to me!

Roland

2042. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8m2f4f0trt@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83628

dullards with no real
friends, hiding in their networked homes, typing
gibberish to strangers -- this is the fruit of the
home-computer revolution.

Oakland Center

125.850 134.150

Bay Approach

135.5 133.95 135.4 135.64

SanFrancsico Bay Area Scanners

xman Charlie

"Mark Holmes" <markinillinois@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16115-39847D89-18@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> It's because worthless fucks who post childish shit don't have the
> courage to use their real name or real address. Their age is always
> higher than their IQ.
>
> It's a shame that there isn't a rec.bitch newsgroup.
> Then, sorry fucks like that will have a place they can call home.
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>
> ----------
> Mark Holmes
> Southern Illinois
>
> Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher
>
> Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
> Save America, Evict Clinton
> ----------
>

2043. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <cer9os42k83tbuidldnn81sgjh76dh9d50@4ax.com>
Source record: 83629

markinillinois@webtv.net (Mark Holmes) wrote:

> It's a shame that there isn't a rec.bitch newsgroup.

Actually there are: alt.flame, alt.peeves.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"...there are moments (as when Gore speaks... slowly... and... heavily....
to... grown... men... and... women... so... that... you'd... swear... he...
was... trying... to... explain... Wittgenstein... to... three... year...
olds) when you have the disconcerting thought that the vice president may
come from Mars."
Lance Morrow, http://www.cnn.com/2000/ALLPOLITICS/stories/07/21/morrow7_21.a.tm/

2044. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <3984F9C6.22AC194B@postnet.com>
Source record: 83630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

J O H N wrote:

> I second that thought.
>
> <wilf509940@my-deja.com> wrote
> > My condolences go out to Bills family.God Bless.

Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2045. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <3984FBBA.18B24CBB@postnet.com>
Source record: 83631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

J O H N wrote:

> Dan - Please provide proof of the "overwhelming majority" you clam in your
> message below, or be found to be a liar (again) by default.
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > A typical John Mackey (or John Mackey wannbe/suckup) posting! For
> the
> > uninitiated, this is the kind of thing that makes the likes of Mackey and
> his
> > slavish adherents like Glen Quarnstrom so detested by the overwhelming
> majority of
> SNIP

Get lost you ghoulish little cretin! Haven't you had enough of your sick "fun" by publicly ridiculing the Cheek family's recent bereavement? You are a worthless and detestable bit of human corruption that has established itself as a blight on the news group in the minds of all but a few of your syphilitic sycophants. You are damn lucky you ran away the day you drove up to Seattle -Tacoma and spent the day jamming and abusing folks on the 5.23 (Lake Tapps) repeater. I guess that since you couldn't "fry" it that was the next best thing you could think to do with you ham equipment. Just get out!

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2046. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39851159.8D30BC61@lp.com>
Source record: 83632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Oh poor mackey. I almost cried a tear for you.

J O H N wrote:

> Actually - I spent the last 8 days off this newsgroup during vacation, & yet
> all these people were still here trying to start trouble WHILE I WAS GONE!!
>
> Don't try to blame it on me, dumbshit!
>
> "pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > I propose you go to hell and get off of this NG you bald
> > freak of Mackey. You cause all the trouble you can
> > handle yourself.

2047. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39851186.BB6F5BAA@lp.com>
Source record: 83633

You're another idiot who posts using his real name.
AND LOCATION. What an idiot!

Mark Holmes wrote:

> It's because worthless fucks who post childish shit don't have the
> courage to use their real name or real address. Their age is always
> higher than their IQ.
>
> It's a shame that there isn't a rec.bitch newsgroup.
> Then, sorry fucks like that will have a place they can call home.
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>
> ----------
> Mark Holmes
> Southern Illinois
>
> Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher
>
> Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
> Save America, Evict Clinton
> ----------

2048. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398510E4.E5FBC992@lp.com>
Source record: 83634

I've often wondered that about Corky Mackey. He has
got to be the dumbest shit around.

Bungholius Maximus wrote:

> Why would anyone want to use their Real ID on public newsgroups consisting mostly of fat psycho's who sit on
> their asses all day just to piss other fat psycho's off?

2049. Re: Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <3985111F.5450D790@lp.com>
Source record: 83635

Cause he isn't a fucking idiot like 'the idiot of corkey
mackey'

J O H N wrote:

> Why is it that you can't (or won't) use your real ID and have to use a FAKE
> ID
> when you post here?

2050. REQ: Frequencies for Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thor <thor2000@_NO_SPAM_mail.com>
Message-ID: <9o47ossvb2r8jt96m0r5j0dp6bj4ivp157@4ax.com>
Source record: 83647

Does anyone have all the frequencies for Edmonton, Alberta, Canada?

If you do, could you please email me.
Don't forget to take the NO_SPAM from my address.

Thank you so much.

2051. Re: oobladee, ooblada FlatASS is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <C8Og5.57258$V34.563408@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83648

Wrong! I have never told a lie in my entire adult life!

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> Maybe Mackey is shedding some long overdue tears for the lies he has
written about others.

2052. Re: Kendrick - The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <edOg5.57282$V34.564152@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP
> As far as I'm concerned, my copyright has not been violated by Mr.
> Wirehead. Ergo, no laws were violated, except in your feeble mind.
SNIP

Kendrick - YOU & your concern DO NOT define the law! Copyright is federal law.

> Reposting without permission while still citing the author does not
> violate any so called "net-ettiquite," except in your limited
SNIP

So when did YOU become the authority on "net-ettiquite"?

BTW- You are still posting off-topic.

2053. Looking For Info for new webpage Frequency, Fleetmap, Talkgroup info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8m0b5ofdo0@216.39.130.37>
Source record: 83650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want to start building a website of frequency, fleetmap, and talkgroup

information for scanner enthusiasts.
I'm hoping to compile information for all 50 states.
Email may be sent to deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com
Thank you all in advance. :)
If you're interested in contributing information, please submit it in
this format:
Examples follow the semicolon:

"ST" ,"","" ; "ST","WA","" The state you're in
"LO","","" ; "LO","Seattle","" The city
"TY","","" ; "TY","Motorola","" System type
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2500","" A separate line for each
frequency
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2600",""
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2700",""
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2800",""
"FM","0-S0","" ; "FM"," A separate line for each fleetmap entry
"FM","1-S4",""
"FM","2-S0",""
"FM","3-n/a",""
"FM","4-n/a",""
"FM","5-n/a",""
"FM","6-n/a",""
"FM","7-n/a",""
"TG","100-6","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-7","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-8","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-9","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-10","Unknown"
"TG","100-11","Other/Local Government: Parks & recreation"
"TG","100-12","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-13","Other/Local Government: Development division"
"TG","100-14","Other/Local Government: Animal control"
"TG","100-15","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-16","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","17712","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","17744","Other/Local Government: Convention center"
"TG","17776","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","17904","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","18736","Other/Local Government: Airport operations primary"
"TG","18768","Other/Local Government: Airport operations"

2054. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <rgOg5.57291$V34.564727@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan - Please provide proof of the "overwhelming majority" you clam in your message below, or be found to be a liar (again) by default.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> A typical John Mackey (or John Mackey wannbe/suckup) posting! For
the
> uninitiated, this is the kind of thing that makes the likes of Mackey and
his
> slavish adherents like Glen Quarnstrom so detested by the overwhelming
majority of
SNIP

2055. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <3986b23c.12547592@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 83652

On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 22:43:11 -0400, "bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com>
wrote:

>It's still a stupid idea though. Go ahead and do it and perhaps you will be
>able to get some visitors while you are in the federal pen.....

Oh, please. No one is likely to get thrown in jail for misconnecting
their cable TV wires, especially in a case where "theft of service" is not
involved.

I'd bet heavily that the next-to-worst that would happen is that he'd get
a visit from the cable company (after a routine check of the displays from
the remote TDR equipment installed throughout the "outside plant" showed
this hookup like a beacon), who would ask him to put things back the way
they should be.

If he didn't comply, then the WORST that would happen would happen,
namely, the cable company would disconnect him.

Now if the *cable company* permitted an RF leak of this magnitude (or even
smaller) despite FCC protests, the cable company would be up for some
fines (and that is why, performance of their system aside, they check up
on this stuff regularly).

--- jeh

2056. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <BxOg5.57325$V34.565274@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn - I also would like to acknowledge the kind words you have said.
Even though, according to your statements, he was abrasive to you and
you two had a falling out causing you not to part on good terms, it is good
that
you are able to reflect on positive things about that person.

I remember when he announced to all of us on the NG that he was leaving
the NG, given everything that had happened in the past that was probably
his biggest single conrtibution. For that, he should be thanked

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Thanks. Actually I had know Bill for years and was a beta tester for him
SNIP
> He was abrasive to say the least. He and I had our falling out because I
> suggested he had gone to far with a fool and was losing it. He didn't take
> kindly to my friendly observation. We did not part on very good terms.
> Later that year he told a fool and the scanner NGs he was gone. True to
his
> word he was. Too bad all around for Bill and the NGs.

Glenn - I think the statement below would be an excellent plan for you.
Congratulations!

> I'm done with the BS on this group too. My posts from this point on will
be
> on topic and germane to the hobby of scanning

2057. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <EDOg5.57365$V34.567120@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

FlatASS - Are you actually proposing that people use this NG and/or their online access
to cause trouble for me?

And you think "Bill would have loved that"??

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> I propose we honor Bill's passing by giving
> Mackey' the business like he has never gotten it before.
> Bill would have loved that.

2058. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <eEOg5.57369$V34.567340@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Well said, very well said!!

"Don Bruder" <dakidd@primenet.com> wrote
> Who gives a flying fuck????
> Come on, people, GROW UP!
>
> This is a newsgroup about scanners, not your petty squabbles about who
> lied to whom, how much worse their lie (which you have no way of proving
> is a lie in the first place) was than the other guy's, or anything else
> along those lines.
>
> SCANNERS. Those funny little boxes with buttons on 'em that let you
> listen to the cops and other interesting stuff. Remember those? Or has
> memory of them been washed away in your idiotic little pissing contest?

2059. Re: Bill Cheeks condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <0MOg5.57423$V34.568677@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bud - Please stop causing trouble here, at least during the wake of the recent death,
in order to show respect.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> You're at least twice the jerk Bill was,
> and you apparently don't have to work hard at it.

2060. Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <KMOg5.57429$V34.568795@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83657

BC - Does this mean you are going to leave?

"newknews" <newknews@email.msn.com> wrote
SNIP
> There is no purpose for the Idiot to be on this site and I would think he
> will do the honorable thing: disappear.
SNIP

2061. Re: Life is UnFaIr! Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <xNOg5.57435$V34.568714@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83658

Since I don't smoke like he did the chances of that are VERY low!

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> Why couldn't someone like Mackey get cancer and die
> instead Bill Cheek?

2062. Using Kermit to drive computer-controllable radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rmfelton@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <qROg5.25278$ga2.694210@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83659

I use Kermit, v3.16 for MS-DOS and K95 for Win, to drive my RS Pro-2052 scanner, with excellent results. The scripts are (almost) interchangeable, and the software is way cheaper than the Pozilla offerings (The MS-DOS version is FREE!) and, I'll bet, lots faster. Kermit is available for every computer platform out there, and extraordinarily portable; scripts that run on Linux, for instance, will run on other platforms with only teensy mods. Is anybody else doing this? I'd welcome the opportunity to collaborate with others to develop a library of scripts for distribution to other monitors. Really, it's time to give those "pros" who write junky software the boot.

Bob Felton

2063. Re: Bill Cheek did more for the hobby than.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <QTOg5.57468$V34.569785@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I second these words below.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> I must express my most
> sincere condolences for the loss of a truly great man. Both his family and
> his friends will feel the empty spot left in their hearts.

2064. Re: Funeral for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <iWOg5.57482$V34.570277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wish I could have attended this. Unfortunately I was involved in a highly complex RF linking project in Iowa at the time.

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> >Dear Bud,
> >Just to let you and/or anyone else that wants to know:
> >Bill's funeral will be Tuesday, 7/25/2000, at 2:00pm.
> >It will be at the First Baptist Church of Mira Mesa.
> >10770 Rickert Road, San Diego, 92126. (this is right
> >off of Mira Mesa Blvd and right behind Arby's.

2065. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <MYOg5.57500$V34.570264@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Are you actually proposing that people use this NG and/or their
online access to cause trouble for me?

And you think "Bill would have loved that"??

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
>
> "FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote in message
> > I propose we honor Bill's passing by giving 'The Freak
> > of Mackey' the business like he has never gotten it before.
> > Bill would have loved that.
>
> I heartily concur.
> Let`s sock it to the Looney.

2066. Re: Bill Conduit needs HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <d_Og5.57511$V34.570827@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, he would be included in that group!

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> So you would be included in that group.
>
> newknews wrote:
> > NO, the REAL IDIOTSS are the ones who repond to him and his redundancy.

2067. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <KtPg5.57727$V34.574678@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83664
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Good point, Glen.

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote
> "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> "...kind mannerisms...?"
>
> Bwahahahahaha!
>
> BTW, Cheek was not a ham, so he's not a SK.

2068. Re: Bill Cheek and the rest of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <OuPg5.57735$V34.575327@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83665

Had you ever tried simply going to the library & reading basic electronics
books?

"LordHamster" <lordhamster@hotmail.com> wrote
> Amen. I read all of his books and learned a great deal from them. For that
I
> am thankful.

2069. Re: Sounds like we finally got rid of FlatASS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <vvPg5.57741$V34.575704@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83666

Why don't you go away & stop causing trouble here?

"FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
>

2070. Re: Macky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <4wPg5.57746$V34.575817@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83667

I am sure there will be many?

But what difference does it make?

"James Nied" <sobyo@mc.net> wrote
> Do you think when macky croaks.....there will be as many posts?, that is
> other than..............finally?

2071. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <gxPg5.57754$V34.575837@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83668
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Well said, very sell said!

"Paco the Wetback \(no not really\)" <spamwall@firewall.net> wrote:
>When you walk up to a dead person at a funeral to show him respect in real
life,
>if you see someone you don't like, do you shout out loud "Fuck you, you
shallow little bastard
>of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking aboriginal clusterfucker"?
>This is where we are to pay respect for Bill Cheek, not a place where we
take out all our hate towards
>every moron who gimps by us in life.

2072. Re: Obscenities not needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchu@sepalachingada.how
Message-ID: <3983c5dc.12587294@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83669

>> From: "FU2" <anonymous@cotse.com>
>> Subject: Go FUCK yourself!

>> Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try and dictate what goes on here?
>> If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!

> On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 22:41:02 -0400, "bob dohlson" <bdohlson@sprynet.com> wrote:

> And such obscenity is definitely NOT needed, except as a crutch for those
> who don't know any better. I can assure you that there are family types
> frequenting this newsgroup, for whom such language is definitely out of
> bounds.

>Bob Dohlson

It's ok 'cause I think he was talking to YOU.

Ching

2073. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchu@sepalachingada.how
Message-ID: <3983c88e.13277016@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83670

On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 22:43:11 -0400, "bob dohlson" <bdohlson@sprynet.com> wrote:

>It's still a stupid idea though. Go ahead and do it and perhaps you will be
>able to get some visitors while you are in the federal pen.....

>Bob Dohlson

>Blissfully living in retirement

Are you retired or retarded?

Ching

2074. Re: Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <41Qg5.57923$V34.578845@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83671
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"John Bombard" <flyboy4097@home.com> wrote
> I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> HECK IS THIS GUY?

This question is often asked, here is the standard response below.

Bill Cheek was a man who lived near San Diego. He used to be active on
Fidonet but then had
to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He then became active on
this NG & the
internet but was again involved in much trouble. Various problems with Mr.
Cheek's action's
resulted in Peter Laws kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More
similar problems with
Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access revoked by his Cable
company
ISP in summer 1998. In 1999 he was briefly held by (federal) authorities
and has
been charged in (federal) courts with various illegal actions in regards
sales for the use
of scanners. (He had admitted to other legal problems in the past, also) He
was the owner
& operator of a company called "Comtronics" & has produced a few books based
on
information he had complied from various sources.

Despite various rumors, Mr. Cheek did NOT have any FCC issues licenses
within
the last 15 years.

Mr. Cheek claimed to have been in the Army, others said he had been in Navy.
His
rank or billet is unknown.

Mr. Cheek was well known by many for various reasons in the radio community.
Some
people feel his technical knowledge was notable, some people feel certain
aspects of his
personality were notable.

Due to mounting legal problems followed by health problems, in 1999 a
donation request was
made to the general public to assist Mr. Cheek who had no health insurance.
His legal issues

were being addressed by a public defender.

Mr. Cheek was a smoker and died in July 2000 due to complications of Lung and Brain Cancer.

He is survived by his wife Cindy and his children.

Mr. Cheek will be missed.

2075. Re: Newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq of Nature <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <%8Rg5.94$jn.183382@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83672

They switched to voice inversion (The radios invert the wave of the frequency). I understand that there are either products or build it yourself kits that will re-invert the signal but I don't know how lawful that is. Speach inversion is kind of a poor mans way of encrypting. I'm certainly not an expert on this since radio scanning is just a hobby of mine so if someone else has a more accurate explaination please share :)

www.freqofnature.com

P.S. Welcome to radio scanning!

birdy60 <birdy60@globaleyes.net> wrote in message news:so777hn7o0b88@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi all, I just got a secondhand scanner-a radio shack Pro-49. I'm very happy
> with it. It's a 10 channel programmable model which suits me fine for my
> small town area. I have a question though. While I was listening to it, the
> police dispatcher and cop in the car switched to "channel 11" and all that
> came through was garbled sounds, like the peanuts gang teacher :-) Is there
> a way to tap into those kinds of frequencies-are there frequencies that are
> not openly known but that are available through a little searching? If
> someone could point me in the direction of those "secret" frequencies or
> where/how to look for them, that would be helpful. And if you need to know,
> I'm in Southern Illinois-Murphysboro to be exact (close to carbondale).
> Also, an email would be helpful as I don't check NG's as often as I would
> like to.
>
> Thanks,
> Judy
>
>

2076. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rob34 <rob34@infinet.com>
Message-ID: <39840b2b$0$62231$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 83673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

He will be missed!Does anybody know what he died from?Rob WB8VWI
"David Moisan" <dmoisan@shore.net> wrote in message
news:3980d49b.12831704@news.shore.net...
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:39:13 GMT, "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> >to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
> >
> >http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
> >
> >Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
> >
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
>
> He was inimitable, surely. I never met him but I have fond memories
> of him in the old Fidonet SHORTWAVE echo. In fact, I got published in
> his old newsletter "World Scanner Report" (March '92 if anyone's
> keeping track.) One day, I posted my Carpet Loop plans on the echo,
> and Bill was so taken by my plans that he asked my permission to
> publish them if I would draw a schematic for him. I did, and he did.
> I was delighted!
>
> I know about his freebanding history--it was the subject of many a
> flamewar on Fido. I don't care. Even though freebanders and hams are
> supposed to be natural enemies, I could never hold that against him,
> not when he worked so hard to develop mods for so many of our favorite
> radios. Not when he got indicted for having a device (a data slicer)
> that *I* own myself (otherwise known as a HamComm decoder!)
>
>
> >Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
> >use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
>
> Rest assured that neither the FCC nor the CTIA will bother him again.
>
> R.I.P., Bill
>
> Take care,
>
> Dave
>

> David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL
n1kgh@amsat.org
> Invisible Disability:
http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
> GE Superradio FAQ:
http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
> Sangean ATS-909 FAQ:
http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

2077. Re: Crosswinds.net. is the worst 8518

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq of Nature <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <_3Ug5.556$OQ1.160797@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83674

Sounds like they had good reason to "delete" your site. If you have copyrighted material on your website then that puts them at risk. Next time try keeping a backup copy of your website on your own computer so you can upload it to the next web hosting company that you choose to put in jeopardy.

<bipttb@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:fcRg5.41958$c33.1391507@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com...
> Hey everyone. Public service announcement here. LOL. I wanted to spare you some heartache. If you have a website at all DO NOT use Crosswinds.net. I had a website there for a month and spent hours everyday working on it and have been getting a thousand hits a day and crosswinds.net just up and DELETED IT without even so much as a warning. now all my hard work is gone. I contacted them because I thought maybe they made a mistake and they informed me Oh well. All because i had a song on my site. I want everyone to know before anyone ever has to go thru this. Thanks for listening.
> ihzcotzbevlwikcmkwsipehyeiqpjyjteq
>

2078. Re: Crosswinds.net. is the worst 8518

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@listening-post.net>
Message-ID: <50152559.E10F63D2@listening-post.net>
Source record: 83675

Freq of Nature wrote:

> Sounds like they had good reason to "delete" your site. If you have
> copyrighted material on your website then that puts them at risk. Next time
> try keeping a backup copy of your website on your own computer so you can
> upload it to the next web hosting company that you choose to put in
> jeopardy.
>
> <bipttb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fcRg5.41958$c33.1391507@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com...
> > Hey everyone. Public service announcement here. LOL. I wanted to spare
> you some heartache. If you have a website at all DO NOT use Crosswinds.net.
> I had a website there for a month and spent hours everyday working on it and
> have been getting a thousand hits a day and crosswinds.net just up and
> DELETED IT without even so much as a warning. now all my hard work is gone.
> I contacted them because I thought maybe they made a mistake and they
> informed me Oh well. All because i had a song on my site. I want everyone
> to know before anyone ever has to go thru this. Thanks for listening.
> > ihzcotzbevlwikcmkwsipehyeiqpjyjteq
> >

Once of these days, boy your gonna get your ass nailed!. we'll be all be laughing!.
I must say that your the biggest idiot for having copyright material on your webpage, then go posting it.

Ps, you can stop hiding behind yahoo - thinking your safe!. Think again! yahoo has logs doesn't take
much them to dig up your real ip address.

Regards.
John Medica - System administrator - Listening-Post

2079. FS or FT: Icom R2 for Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <eQUg5.5467$1V.351145@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 83676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Two month old Icom R2 with manual, charger, stock antenna,
2 new RS NiMH 1200 batteries, excellent cond., $150 shipped
or trade for Sony 7600G in excellent cond.

Bill K9KZ

2080. Re: Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Garry W. Watts" <gwwatts@home.com>
Message-ID: <39841F8A.44A3@home.com>
Source record: 83677
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Well said John!!! No matter what a person thinks about someone, wou
would think that people could at least show a little respect or
compassion when someone passes on!

Great job

Garry

J O H N wrote:
>
> "John Bombard" <flyboy4097@home.com> wrote
> > I know this probably sounds stupid as well as disrespectful, but WHO THE
> > HECK IS THIS GUY?
>
> This question is often asked, here is the standard response below.
>
> Bill Cheek was a man who lived near San Diego. He used to be active on
> Fidonet but then had
> to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He then became active on
> this NG & the
> internet but was again involved in much trouble. Various problems with Mr.
> Cheek's action's
> resulted in Peter Laws kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More
> similar problems with
> Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access revoked by his Cable
> company
> ISP in summer 1998. In 1999 he was briefly held by (federal) authorities
> and has
> been charged in (federal) courts with various illegal actions in regards
> sales for the use
> of scanners. (He had admitted to other legal problems in the past, also) He
> was the owner
> & operator of a company called "Comtronics" & has produced a few books based
> on
> information he had complied from various sources.
>
> Despite various rumors, Mr. Cheek did NOT have any FCC issues licenses
> within
> the last 15 years.
>
> Mr. Cheek claimed to have been in the Army, others said he had been in Navy.
> His
> rank or billet is unknown.

>
> Mr. Cheek was well known by many for various reasons in the radio community.
> Some
> people feel his technical knowledge was notable, some people feel certain
> aspects of his
> personality were notable.
>
> Due to mounting legal problems followed by health problems, in 1999 a
> donation request was
> made to the general public to assist Mr. Cheek who had no health insurance.
> His legal issues
> were being addressed by a public defender.
>
> Mr. Cheek was a smoker and died in July 2000 due to complications of Lung
> and Brain Cancer.
>
> He is survived by his wife Cindy and his children.
>
> Mr. Cheek will be missed.

2081. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <398436C5.4C0A5B5C@home.com>
Source record: 83678

He must be retired and just have nothing better to do than start arguments on the newsgroup.

Ching Chu wrote:

> On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 22:43:11 -0400, "bob dohlson" <bdohlson@sprynet.com> wrote:
>
> >It's still a stupid idea though. Go ahead and do it and perhaps you will be
> >able to get some visitors while you are in the federal pen.....
>
> >Bob Dohlson
>
> >Blissfully living in retirement
>
> Are you retired or retarded?
>
> Ching

2082. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39843772.C15D155A@home.com>
Source record: 83679

Stupid idea or not, you certainly didn't help any to enlighten me. You just called it a stupid idea and left it at that. Did it ever occur to you that some people don't know everything as you obviously must? Go flame somewhere else.

bob dolson wrote:

> It's still a stupid idea though. Go ahead and do it and perhaps you will be
> able to get some visitors while you are in the federal pen.....
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

2083. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <39842cec@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 83680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, whoever Mr. Cheek was or whatever he did, he certainly has stirred up
emotions after his departure.

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joeNOSPAM@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

M. Davis wrote in message ...
>Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
>to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
>http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
>
>Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
>
>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>truly be be missed.
>
>Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
>use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
>
>

2084. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oUWg5.21881$o71.1460781@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83681

OK Folks. Everybody seen this?
http://www.observer.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,6903,348607,00.html

How about the doublespeak in the last paragraph?...

NEL KA2TYT

2085. Re: Go FUCK yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <fpf8osocrh09rban0ba5q5frck8u7ne7ht@4ax.com>
Source record: 83682

"FU2" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:

>
>Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try and dictate what goes on here?
>If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!
>
Nice language. I bet your mother is very proud of you....

2086. Re: Constitution Fourth Amendment and Carnivore Internet Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xnXg5.21919$o71.1462994@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83683
Cross-posted to: talk.politics,alt.privacy,alt.government.abuse,alt.politics.usa.congress,rec.radio.scanner

The CellPhone folks have something to worry about too...

http://www.observer.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,6903,348607,00.html

NEL KA2TYT

2087. FS: Pro-2042 & Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39842981.3FA22C98@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 83684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale:

Pro-2042. Excellent condition. Discriminator tap, otherwise
stock.
Manual & original packing. $250 shipped in USA.

Pro-2050 Trunktracker. Excellent condition. Manual &
original packing.
$120 shipped in USA.

Email or call me if interested.

Thanks,
Jon

801-565-0095

2088. FS:SMA-TO-BNC ADAPTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gulyas@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8m1io5$6mo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Due you have a need for an SMA-TO-BNC antenna adapter that is custom
made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio?

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change your antennas to base,mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connector wear or from coming loose due to always
having to change antennas.

Adapter features:
Gold contacts with teflon insulation.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed for
moisture and dirt protection.
The base also provides an excellent support for all your antenna
applications.
No need to remove to make any antenna changes.

Sizes-to-fit-radio-models:
Yaesu: VX-5,VX-1,VX-10,FT-50-R
Icom: IC-R2,IC-T8A,IC-T81A,IC-Q7A
Kenwood: TH-D7,TH-G71
Alinco: DJ-V5
Standard: C508A,C510A
Radio Shack: HTX-200,HTX-400,HTX-245
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 issue of CQ Magazine.

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H (One to unlimited)
(Check or money order only)
(Specify-radio-model)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON,N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

I welcome any questions or comments at my email.
gulyas@netzero.net
Photo also available.
Thanks for looking at my posting.
S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2089. Re: Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <S5Yg5.58503$V34.583877@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83686
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Thank you for your compliment on my statement. Yes, I always
been a believer in positivity.

"Garry W. Watts" <gwwatts@home.com> wrote
> Well said John!!! No matter what a person thinks about someone, wou
> would think that people could at least show a little respect or
> compassion when someone passes on!

2090. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39844e1e.287609@news.uia.net>
Source record: 83687

Two undesirable conditions would occur.

1.) Ingress - This is where signals that are transmitted over the air would be present with signals on the same frequency that are transmitted from the cable system headend. The result would be equivalent to two guys talking on the same CB channel at the same time. In other words your picture would look and sound like shit. Oh and your cable internet connection probably wouldn't work either.

2.) Egress - The signals being sent to you by the cable company would become "airborne". In other words your TV antenna would become a big path for those cable signals to radiate into the air. This has nothing to do with cable company security but a lot to do with keeping broadcast radio/TV frequencies clear for the intended user. This is a mandatory routine for the cable company as set forth by the FCC. If you generate a leak that big your cable company WILL find it and if they can't get inside to fix it they WILL disconnect your service until they can fix it.

On Fri, 28 Jul 2000 06:08:36 GMT, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
wrote:

>...what would happen if I were to splice my outdoor antenna's coax lead
>into my TV/@home cable? Technical explanations welcom, just try and
>keep the jargon to a minimum :)

2091. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Message-ID: <5kYg5.58618$V34.584479@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@erols.com> wrote
> My history with scanning is short. Where can I get a good biographical
> account of Bill Cheek and his work, etc.? Sounds like real interesting
> stuff from the bits I've read in this NG.

This question is often asked, here is the standard response below.

Bill Cheek was a man who lived near San Diego. He used to be active on
Fidonet but then had to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He
then became active on this NG & the internet but was again involved in
much trouble. Various problems with Mr. Cheek's action's
resulted in Peter Laws kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More
similar problems with Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access
revoked by his Cable company ISP in summer 1998. In 1999 he was briefly
held by (federal) authorities and has been charged in (federal) courts with
various illegal actions in regards sales for the use of scanners. (He had
admitted to other legal problems in the past, also) He was the owner
& operator of a company called "Comtronics" & has produced a few books
based on information he had complied from various sources.

Despite various rumors, Mr. Cheek did NOT have any FCC issued licenses
within the last 15 years.

Mr. Cheek claimed to have been in the Army, others said he had been in Navy.
His rank or billet is unknown.

Mr. Cheek was well known by many for various reasons in the radio community.
Some people feel his technical knowledge was notable, some people feel
certain
aspects of his personality were notable.

Due to mounting legal problems followed by health problems, in 1999 a
donation request was made to the general public to assist Mr. Cheek who
had no health insurance. His legal issues were being addressed by a public
defender.

Mr. Cheek was a smoker and died in July 2000 due to complications of Lung
and Brain Cancer.

He is survived by his wife Cindy and his children.

Mr. Cheek will be missed.

2092. Re: Obscenities not needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8m1kd3vgj1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83689

Ching Chu <chingchu@sepalachingada.how> wrote in message
news:3983c5dc.12587294@news.uswest.net...

>
>
> It's ok 'cause I think he was talking to YOU.
>
> Ching

If that's the case, then I suggest that email would be the proper way to do
it, not on a public forum...

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

2093. Panasonic RF-4900 GENERAL COVERAGE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris fox <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <398455E7.115E1DAC@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83690

really nice look
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=396322249

2094. Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: swoosh600@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730123254.10095.00001242@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 83691

I can't seem to program trunk channels into my scanner. I have read the manual a million times I can put in 6 didget but not the 4 didget channels. Please help!!! e- mail me at Swoosh600@aol.com

2095. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39845B4B.319D7CF7@lp.com>
Source record: 83692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I propose you go to hell and get off of this NG you bald
freak of Mackey. You cause all the trouble you can
handle yourself.

J O H N wrote:

> FlatASS - Are you actually proposing that people use this NG and/or their
> online access
> to cause trouble for me?
>
> And you think "Bill would have loved that"??
>
> "FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> > I propose we honor Bill's passing by giving
> > Mackey' the business like he has never gotten it before.
> > Bill would have loved that.

2096. Re: Sounds like we finally got rid of FlatASS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39845B9D.4A1BECBD@lp.com>
Source record: 83693

Why don't you take your own advise, bald corky.

J O H N wrote:

> Why don't you go away & stop causing trouble here?
>
> "FlatASS" <cany@kj.com> wrote
> >

2097. Mackey, do you understand why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39845C33.CFE4AEB5@lp.com>
Source record: 83694

people call you Corky. It is because you are bald
and ugly like him and have NO LIFE.
Oh.. and a very stupid look on you ugly face.

2098. Re: Crosswinds.net. is the worst 8518

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3aeezy3y1.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83695

John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@listening-post.net> writes:

' Ps, you can stop hiding behind yahoo - thinking your safe!. Think again! yahoo
' has logs doesn't take
' much them to dig up your real ip address.

If the headers weren't forged, he is really a roadrunner customer in
tampabay area. I sent a message to the abuse address. He is hitting
every newsgroup I read with this silly message.

The number in the subject is different each time, and the trailing
line of characters changes. Does anyone know which spam program does
that and who wrote it?

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2099. Re: Privacy and common sense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8m1o7e$pf1@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't forget the neighbor a mile away watching you with a telescope. We
should ban those too...
"Dave" <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
news:39843CEB.BE87901E@fastband.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "J. MacKenzie" wrote:
> >
> > In article <Ovqg5.2179$ZL5.108446@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > > I second that, City Slicker. It is refreshing to see that Dave has
> > > ethics and common sense.
> > > Al
> > >
> >
> > Ethics and common sense... to disobey a law to protect privacy?
>
> How many people don't take responsibility for their own privacy and
> expect someone else to look after it instead?
>
> Do they really think that a government ban on listening to certain
> frequencies assures them privacy?
>
> Do they really believe that it's up to some government to look after
> their privacy, and that they don't have any responsibility out for it
> themselves?
>
> Look at what governments have done so far: (1) The U.S. government,
> until recently, declared encryption to be a munition and went after Phil
> Zimmerman -- the author of PGP -- for daring to make it available to
> all. (2) the U.S. government (the FBI) attempted to force anyone
> marketing strong encryption to give it their keys; (3) the FBI
> attempted to force cell phone companies to enable geographic tracking of
> all U.S. users, and (4) the FBI has very recently developed software to
> monitor everyone's email as it
> passes through their ISP's servers, but has met with resistance to its
> deployment on the part of privacy groups.
>
> The UK liked the idea of email monitoring so much that it became law in
> England last week, and soon New Zealand will follow its example. And
> don't bother to use encrypted email there either, because you can be put
> in prison if you don't give your keys to the government when asked for

> them (if you forget your keys, you go to prison for that).
>
> The Australian government has found its own ways to invade privacy.
>
> Conclusion: A government bureaucracy is the WORST POSSIBLE custodian of
> your privacy. You have to take responsibility for it yourself.
>
> I don't assume that either my cordless phone or my cell phone
> conversations are private, and I don't depend upon something ineffective
> like an anti monitoring law. Instead, I find another way to communicate
> that which I want to keep private.
>
> If it's on the air waves via standard technology, one has to assume that
> almost anyone can be listening, not matter what law is in effect.
>
> Since legislation can't change the physics of radio waves, laws about
> who can listen to what they carry are silly (and futile).
>
> Use encryption if you want privacy. But most of all, use common sense.

2100. Re: FA: Radio Shack Pro2036 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8lvpgalin1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83738

In article <39826DC4.1900BFB8@uswest.net>,
Charles Simmont <csimmont@uswest.net> wrote:
>Pro2036 base scanner in good condition, with box, manual, and AC
>adapter. Starts at $89.99
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=394085594
>
>

That's a decent deal, there is a dirty, heavily used
one without a manual selling for a firm $100 on
consignment at my local shop and three fools interested
in it!

2101. OptoScan 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: madmun@kamali.net
Message-ID: <8lvqlj$k7k$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83739

looking to buy an OptoScan 456 not the lite one.

2102. Danger - DWM Communications will rip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Newswatch2 <newswatch2@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8lvsdk$44h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83740

To All Readers:

DWM Communications website can be found here:

http://www.qth.com/dwm/

AVOID Tiny-Tenna and buying ANYTHING from DWM communications. Not that the products themselves are bad (although many say they are!), but DWM communications is actually a terroristic organization similar to the Scientologists. If you say something they do not like publicly, they will pursue you with their lawyers, tell lies to your employer, and do everything they can to smash your reputation. Ask the folks in rec.radio.shortwave!

Take a look at the spamming going on in rec.radio.shortwave. All of it is originating from DWM communication staff. They are currently attempting to disrupt and destroy many radio-related newsgroups through repeated, malicious spamming.

The president of the company, Bill Lauterbach, entered r.r.s. for the purpose of threatening, terrorizing and spamming the group.

If you give your personal information to DWM Communications, Inc. they will most likely abuse it, and may even give it to others! This company (that makes the "Tiny-Tenna") is UNSAFE TO BUY FROM, and if you buy from them you are risking not only your money, but your sanity and peace of mind as well!

You should note that this company also advertises freely on radio stations that promote fascist and racist material and carry such programming. This makes the company a racist organization, and if you buy from them you are supporting racism!

The "Tiny-Tenna" product is simply $5.00 worth of parts that anyone could build themselves, even a child. Yet they charge $19.95 for it, making a probably 400% markup.

Take this as a warning, and NEVER buy anything from DWM.

DWM's website can be found here:

http://www.qth.com/dwm/

Their phone number is 1-517-563-2613

Their address is: P.O. Box 87-W, Hanover, MI 49241

DO NOT ORDER FROM THIS COMPANY!! You will be risking a lot, and it is not worth it! Keep your sanity, your peace of mind and your money, and

order from another company instead!

If you are wise, please contact the company and let them know how you feel about the spamming going on! And above all, avoid ordering from this company at all costs! It will be the biggest mistake you have ever made in your life!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2103. Mass. - who uses these freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8lvs4f$o2v$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83741

Unidentified users 7/26/00, approximately 0800 hours EST.
Monitored from Westborough/Shrewsbury (Mass.) town line
using PRO62 handheld connected to Antenna Specialists
MON-51 mobile magmount antenna.

47.64 voice
132.65 voice (aviation...where/whom?)
152.03 voice
153.395 voice paging
158.365 voice, sounds like phone patch
159.525 voice, mention of ﷺ (power plant?)
161.16 voice (railroad...but which?)
167.485 voice, obviously at least one air unit and two ground
units maintaining surveillance on a vehicle with one
occupant around Boston﷽s Back Bay area. Lots of streets
mentioned.
166.89 voice
464.9375 voice (needle in a haystack?)
452.55 voice (AAA road service?)
463.25 voice
935.25 voice
936.2 voice
938.5125 voice

Monitored 7/28/00 at 0946 hours EST, same location.

43.18 voice
47.6 voice, ﷺJim, call Kathy﷽
48.64 voice
124.85 voice (aviation...where/whom?)
128.75 voice
130.25 voice, callsign ﷺOrgasm One﷽ heard by myself and two others who were present...not my imagination!
150.845 voice

2104. Mass. ham radio loggings for this week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8lvsai$vv5$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83742

SIX METERS:

7/26/00, approximately 0800 hours EST
Monitored from Westborough/Shrewsbury (Mass.) town line
using PRO62 handheld connected to Antenna Specialists
MON-51 mobile magmount antenna.

53.11

7/28/00, same setup, approx. 1100 hours EST

53.31, heard callsign N1HT talking from I-190/290 junction

TWO METERS:

145.23
145.41
145.47
146.01
146.37
146.61
146.64
146.865
146.895
146.97
147.21
147.345 (or 147.35 ?)

2105. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39837BFE.646D821@pacbell.net>
Source record: 83743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Open Source for ALL!

2106. Frequency, Fleetmap and Talkgroup Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8m01j0$i1t$0@216.39.130.37>
Source record: 83744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want to start building a website of frequency, fleetmap, and talkgroup information for scanner enthusiasts.
I'm hoping to compile information for all 50 states.
Email may be sent to deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com
Thank you all in advance. :)
If you're interested in contributing information, please submit it in this format:
Examples follow the semicolon:

```
"ST" ,"","" ; "ST","WA","" The state you're in
"LO","","" ; "LO","Seattle","" The city
"TY","","" ; "TY","Motorola","" System type
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2500","" A separate line for each
frequency
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2600",""
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2700",""
"FR","","" ; "FR","800.2800",""
"FM","0-S0","" ; "FM"," A separate line for each fleetmap entry
"FM","1-S4",""
"FM","2-S0",""
"FM","3-n/a",""
"FM","4-n/a",""
"FM","5-n/a",""
"FM","6-n/a",""
"FM","7-n/a",""
"TG","100-6","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-7","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-8","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-9","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-10","Unknown"
"TG","100-11","Other/Local Government: Parks & recreation"
"TG","100-12","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-13","Other/Local Government: Development division"
"TG","100-14","Other/Local Government: Animal control"
"TG","100-15","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","100-16","Other/Local Government: Unknown"
"TG","17712","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","17744","Other/Local Government: Convention center"
"TG","17776","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","17904","Other/Local Government: Convention Center"
"TG","18736","Other/Local Government: Airport operations primary"
"TG","18768","Other/Local Government: Airport operations"
```

2107. Found the Pro92A in Pennsylvania

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com
Message-ID: <39838bce.1585545@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 83745

The RadioShack (610 337 4902) in the King of Prussia Plaza Mall has the new Pro-92A's 20-522A date code 05A00. I Don't know how many. Hurry before the sale ends, good luck.

2108. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8m019p01eto@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <8ltda8apt1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>Help me out, Tom -- I've never been able to understand the use of
>profanity in a public forum such as this. Does using it mean the
>writer has been driven to losing his temper and is boiling over?
>Do my words have that drastic of an affect on you?

To answer your question: YES! I don't like wanna-be "judges" who feel a need to preach to everyone. Do us all a favor and save your lectures for the classroom.

>After I log out, I'll think more about the gecko crawling across
>the ceiling than anything you've written; you should practice that
>same attitude.

Maybe I'll go to AES in Wickliffe next week and buy a copy of the latest "Radio Mods" CD and post selected contents, since you're taking such an attitude.

Tom - N8ECW

2109. Re: How do I find the IF of my scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730232509.04740.00001346@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83497

Most scanners are a "dual conversion"
type of reciever - hence two IF requencies.

They are : 10.7Mhz, and 455Khz

2110. Re: In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730232626.04740.00001347@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83498

the freq range is from 460.8 to 461.0 mhz

2111. Re: Kind of Antenna for fax reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730233125.04740.00001348@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83499

I guess it would depend on what type of
faxes you were trying to decode...
there are WX faxes on 136-138mhz
there are WX faxes for "high Seas" all over the short wave bands - discone
antenna
won't work there..
Lastly there are fax signals on microwave
band which your discone antenna couls be used for.

2112. Re: Any one know some web site about antennna theory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730233251.04740.00001349@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83500

Yes, check out some of the ham radio web sites !

2113. Re: secure cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730233513.04740.00001350@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83501

900mhz / 2.4Ghz, spread - spectrum
type of cordless phone !

2114. Re: alignment of scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730233652.04740.00001351@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83502

yep, you could help your reception of the R/R by "peaking" it to that freq range...

2115. telemetry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730234115.04740.00001352@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83503

********** question ***********

I live in the northern part of Illinois -
I'd like to know if anyone has any info on the type of signals I'm hearing
on the following frequencies:

154.470
173.300
173.350

It sounds a little like 300 baud packet, but I can't be sure...

my e-mail : micro94099@aol.com

2116. Re: In car data terminal freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xt7h5.50053$oj6.470721@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83504

yeah, but for what service?

Micro94099 <micro94099@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000730232626.04740.00001347@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> the freq range is from 460.8 to 461.0 mhz

2117. Re: PRO-79 On Sale at RS - worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <gv7h5.50054$oj6.470758@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83505

Well, it depends on where he lives. If the local services don't use 800MHz,
he doesn't need 800MHz.

Darrin <darrin99@iname.com> wrote in message
news:4js9os85dl0tr69f77bren7ldmpiub4s80@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Jul 2000 02:49:42 GMT, "Brian Haren" <bharen@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello All,
> >
> >I'm looking at getting started in scanning on a (very) limited budget. I
> >see that Radio Shack has their Pro 79 on sale for $99 (about $50 off).
On
> >the surface it seems to offer what I'm looking for. What's the general
> >consensus on this unit. Is it worth the price? Thanks in advance!
> >
> >Brian
> >
>
> The Pro-79 only covers up to 512MHz. IMO, I wouldn't get a scanner
> that doesn't have 800MHz.

2118. Re: BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <5w7h5.50055$oj6.470546@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83506

why would you want to hear Taco Bell anyway? Too much time on your hands?
Maybe you could get a life.

SlugginSammy <slugginsammy@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000730161625.26234.00001976@ng-bg1.aol.com...
> How can I tell if how close I need to be to hear the signal...
>
> Example: I need to be REAL close to hear Taco Bell Order's
> A FCC book gives me the Disneyland Park (20 miles) and I
can't
> pick it up. How do I figure out how close I need to be ?
>
> EMAIL ME PLEASE : SLUGGINSAMMY@AOL.COM
>
> DONT POST ON BOARD..I WONT GET IT

2119. Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: CDG <cox@iafrica.com>
Message-ID: <39851a33.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 83507

Anyone know some South African frequancies.

Brendan

2120. Re: Trunked system range...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Albert Fox <albertorea@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8m37vu$ad7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83508

Check to see if they simulcast or multicast here in Houston I can hear
Pasadena for about 90 miles they are on 3 systems, and the same for the
Harris county Smartzone 6 site system.

--
Visit my website http://www.albertorea.com/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2121. Re: Privacy and common sense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B5AA917100507CDD0CFA8F60@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 83509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Jul 2000 13:36:25 -0500, Bungholius Maximus wrote:

> The way I look at it, in this modern age with all the choices, people who
> are concerned about their communication being understood over airwaves,
should take their own
> responsibility and encrypt their information instead of relying on us (the
scanner people) to have our
> devices crippled.

LOL

Ain't that the truth?!

Back in the middle sixties, the Mississippi State Sovereignty Commission (the state equivalent to the KuKluxKlans) used to tap the phones at a radical, revolutionary organization (the Wesley Foundation - United Methodist Church) where I occasionally stayed and we had more fun with the tappers than they did.

Of course, the Sovereignty Commission thought that they could learn all sorts of things about our radical (and probably communistic) methods but [sigh], the poor fellows forgot one very important thing: we were teenaged males and our phone calls had more to do with our girlfriends (or "potential" girlfriends) than it had to do with any sort of "subversive" activities.

Plus - because racists and other types of prejudiced "people" - are, basically, stupid (as "prejudice" always shows itself to be stupidity), the retards (as are all racists and any and all "people" who judge others by any other means other than as an individual and that individual's ethics and morality) were stupid. They didn'y know what a "ground" was and, so, everytime they activated their tap, they introduced a 60-cycle (as we said back then) hum.

We used ta dial the first number and then say, "I realize that racist oinkers are all virgins but if you pay attention, you'll be able to, at least, get a girl to go out with you". (or words to that effect)

[That was, of course, long before I realized that racists/sexists/prejudiced bigots all suffered from /really/ serious sexual problems and that we couldn't help them - that these people would all end up - sooner or later - in prison (which they might enjoy) or a mental hospital.]

I've always wanted to be blackmailed. I just want to get a decent price on the color, glossy, 8"x10"'s.

I think they would make GREAT holiday cards.

Gray Shockley

"Guns are not the reason why we
see school shootings, they are
merely the tools used."
- The Maroon Buffoon

2122. Will internal parts last forever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: davismv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000731031735.05286.00000596@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 83510

This question is for someone that knows a lot about electronic components in scanners. I own a Pro-2006 (Love it!) and was wondering how long I could expect it to last.

I use it to death: it never gets really warm, and I take care of it well.

Is there any specific known problems with this model? I know that using external power is best, and dimming the display saves wear and tear, but how about the other parts? How long do capacitors last? chips, etc..? Is there a good environment that electronics should be stored in when not being used for a period of time?

Thanks for whoever responds to the post... and you can e-mail me directly if you want to chat. I am looking into buying another pro-2006 as a backup, as I know that they are becoming rare!

Mark.

2123. Trade Like New Icom R2 for ATS-909 or Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <csah5.5836$mt6.426848@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 83511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Trade my two month old Icom R2 with manual, stock antenna, charger, 4 RS NiMH
batteries bought recently, all in new cond. for Sangean ATS-909, DX-398, or Sony
7600G in excellent cond. No scratches or marks please. Tnx.

Bill K9KZ

2124. Re: Will internal parts last forever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-651216.01373031072000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83512

In article <20000731031735.05286.00000596@ng-bh1.aol.com>,
davismv@aol.com (Davismv) wrote:

: This question is for someone that knows a lot about electronic
: components in scanners. I own a Pro-2006 (Love it!) and was
: wondering how long I could expect it to last.
:
: I use it to death: it never gets really warm, and I take care of it
: well.
:
: Is there any specific known problems with this model? I know that
: using external power is best, and dimming the display saves wear and
: tear, but how about the other parts? How long do capacitors last?
: chips, etc..? Is there a good environment that electronics should be
: stored in when not being used for a period of time?

Electrolytic caps can dry out and die, but that usually takes at least
10-20 years or more. I've used 40 year old radio gear that had
electrolytics in it that were still good, but I've also seen 10 year old
radios with dead caps. It's highly variable. ROM is sometimes subject to
"bit-rot", but again, that's a long-term (at least ten years, possibly
more, depending on the individual chip) thing.

As for everything else, the general rule of thumb for solid-state stuff
is "if it survives past the first 72 hours of being powered on, it will
almost certainly outlive you". Assuming you don't have power spikes
hitting it, pour a soda into it, or something like that, that is...

For long-term storage, pick someplace with a fairly stable, relatively
cool temperature. Low humidity (or throwing a few packets of silica gel
into the unit) will help prevent any corrosion that might try to
develop. You'll probably want to protect it from dust, as well. Other
than that, not much special handling is needed.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

2125. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Odbh5.61039$V34.601749@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I never suggested that the condition was a fraud or scorned/ridiculed him.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned
> and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of
> decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You
> used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap
> scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule
> his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!

2126. Help Me !!! Alinco DJ-X1, ICOM IC-R1, AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: -=-=- <dad@atlasoil.ru>
Message-ID: <3985583a$1@news.ptt.ru>
Source record: 83514

Please, HELP ME !

I search documentation, manual and mod's for this models scanners !

Please, HELP ME !

Tnx !

2127. Re: Obscenities not needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8m3o0v$5jd$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83515

In article <8m1kd3vgj1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, bdolson@sprynet.com says...
>
>
>If that's the case, then I suggest that email would be the proper way to do
>it, not on a public forum...
>
>
>
>
>--
>Sincerely,
>
>Bob Dolson
>
Just kill file thses two!
Kill Files are the DPS of news groups, it really helps to
remove the background noise.
YMWV

2128. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bungholius Maximus <tpformy@bunghole.org>
Message-ID: <7AF55C74F2418706.154D2DA1648C8AC3.5F9DF05A353236C2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I doubt people were trying to cause trouble.
After reading your posts in here, I can see that they are
simply retaliating against you spewing nonsense like an idiot.
Also, your posts have a latency of 1 month on my newsgroup server.
Some people don't ever check dates. They are probably getting angry
for all the stupid things you said weeks ago, less recently.

> > Actually - I spent the last 8 days off this newsgroup during vacation, & yet
> > all these people were still here trying to start trouble WHILE I WAS GONE!!

2129. Re: BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3985750C.C69C3359@cts.com>
Source record: 83517

TW wrote:
>
> why would you want to hear Taco Bell anyway? Too much time on your hands?
> Maybe you could get a life.

Maybe you could SELL all you stuff on ebay.

Watch out...T.W. is on the prowl for kid walking across his lawn.

You need to chillout and smoke a big fattie T.W. cause anybody that
flames for asking about a fastfood freq needs a little time away from
the computer.
Do a bongload...eat a bag of chips...and relax to the soothing sounds
of a local TRS data channel.

Markj
SWS # 0776

>
> SlugginSammy <slugginsammy@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000730161625.26234.00001976@ng-bg1.aol.com...
> > How can I tell if how close I need to be to hear the signal...
> >
> > Example: I need to be REAL close to hear Taco Bell Order's
> > A FCC book gives me the Disneyland Park (20 miles) and I
> can't
> > pick it up. How do I figure out how close I need to be ?
> >
> > EMAIL ME PLEASE : SLUGGINSAMMY@AOL.COM
> >
> > DONT POST ON BOARD..I WONT GET IT

2130. Re: telemetry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39857682.DE3B734C@cts.com>
Source record: 83518

Micro94099 wrote:
>
> ********** question ***********
>
> I live in the northern part of Illinois -
> I'd like to know if anyone has any info on the type of signals I'm hearing
> on the following frequencies:
>
> 154.470
> 173.300
> 173.350

My local water department(s) use the 173's for tank,valve and flow
telemetry. They don't transmit a whole lot of data at once, so I guess
300 baud work work just fine.

Markj

2131. H250 compatible handset

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ling SM <sm.ling@cmpmail.com>
Message-ID: <8m3vla$p31$1@clematis.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 83519

This should be an easy question here, I think. Someone (who else - my boss) ask me to find cost-effective h250 type of handsets.

So far from the net, I could find racal h250, but I don't think it is cost-effective to him.

Are there cost-effective type? or I have to redefine the threshold for the "cost-effective".

Thanks.

Mike

2132. Re: How do I find the IF of my scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8m41r8$hhb@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 83520

Actually, the SC200 is a triple-conversion scanner, and has three IFs.
Matt in MI
"Micro94099" <micro94099@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000730232509.04740.00001346@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> Most scanners are a "dual conversion"
> type of reciever - hence two IF requencies.
>
> They are : 10.7Mhz, and 455Khz

2133. FS: RS Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: curbdoc1@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000731110824.05286.00000431@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 83521

I have a RS Pro-51 I would like to sell. It is an 800mhz scanner. The number on the back is a " 11A3 ". If you're interested, email me at " curbdoc1@aol.com" Price is $110 and that will include shipping. Unit is in good shape and works fine. I have bought a new Pro-91. Thank you, Ted

2134. Edison, NJ Costco?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: T
Message-ID: <NFgh5.23543$o71.1564771@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83522

Anyone know what PL or DPL Costco in Edison, NJ is using? Thanks.

2135. FS or FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <OLgh5.32$cr2.16102@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 83523

I have a Pro 90 Trunk Track 29-956 mhz - $125.00 US
and a Uniden BC 890 XLT 29.956 mhz - $225.00 US
for sale or would trade both for a digital camera with lcd window and at least an 8 meg card. If interested e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
Both items will be plus shipping

2136. Anyone know of any good Atlanta listings?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Pavlicek <jpavlic2@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.4.10.10007311032420.17458-100000@herald.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 83524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm new to the ng, anbd I was hoping that someone might know of a good place to find some listings for the northern Atlanta area. I'm moving there in less than a week, so any help is appreciated.

-Pav

2137. Re: Anyone know of any good Atlanta listings?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeak <srs@spacestar.net>
Message-ID: <pDhh5.689$sa3.108111@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try www.scanatlanta.com.

"John Pavlicek" <jpavlic2@purdue.edu> wrote in message
news:Pine.SOL.4.10.10007311032420.17458-100000@herald.cc.purdue.edu...
> I'm new to the ng, anbd I was hoping that someone might know of a good
> place to find some listings for the northern Atlanta area. I'm moving
> there in less than a week, so any help is appreciated.
>
> -Pav
>
>

2138. Re: Uniden BCT-7 stuck in "TEST" mode. Any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000731121834.23311.00010366@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 83526

In article <20000730154802.08539.00000571@ng-fz1.aol.com>, jer1538a@aol.com (JER1538A) writes:

>SEND IT TO ME AND I WILL FIX IT FOR $10.00

PLONK

2139. Re: FS or FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000731121835.23311.00010367@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 83527

In article <OLgh5.32$cr2.16102@sapphire.mtt.net>, "Jim Flowers"
<ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> writes:

>I have a Pro 90 Trunk Track 29-956 mhz - $125.00 US
>and a Uniden BC 890 XLT 29.956 mhz - $225.00 US

$225 for a used 890?

(Rolling on the floor, hysterical with laughter.)

You can buy a BRAND NEW 895XLT (890 + Trunk tracking) for $220 from Lentini &
elsewhere!

2140. Re: Anyone know of any good Atlanta listings?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Pavlicek <jpavlic2@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.4.10.10007311121260.2242-100000@herald.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 83528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks! That's exactly the type of thing I was looking for.

-Pav

On Mon, 31 Jul 2000, Squeak wrote:

> Try www.scanatlanta.com.
>
>
> "John Pavlicek" <jpavlic2@purdue.edu> wrote in message
> news:Pine.SOL.4.10.10007311032420.17458-100000@herald.cc.purdue.edu...
> > I'm new to the ng, anbd I was hoping that someone might know of a good
> > place to find some listings for the northern Atlanta area. I'm moving
> > there in less than a week, so any help is appreciated.
> >
> > -Pav
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2141. Re: Trunked system range...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ucqdbIx#$GA.253@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 83529

what trunked radio are you using
would be a great big help.

you may be doing it wrong if you
have not undestood how to do the
bearcats's....so what model are you
using in this experiment of yours?

bill

2142. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <aEih5.333$Fa5.103939@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

I can verify what Dan says about Corky jamming the 523 repeater. So can AB7DN, N0NZT and a number of other hams. He was cursing my name because I had exposed to the NG that he was a Nurses Aide for mental patients. Dan and a number of other hams alerted me when this happened. Yep, Corky the super Ham screaming profanity over the 523 repeater. So, Dan ISN'T the liar, John Corky Mackey is the liar, again. Calling John Corky Mackey a liar IS a bit redundant, isn't it?

Glenn

Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote in message
news:3984FBBA.18B24CBB@postnet.com...
>
>
> J O H N wrote:
>
> > Dan - Please provide proof of the "overwhelming majority" you clam in
your
> > message below, or be found to be a liar (again) by default.
> >
> > "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > > A typical John Mackey (or John Mackey wannbe/suckup) posting!
For
> > the
> > > uninitiated, this is the kind of thing that makes the likes of Mackey
and
> > his
> > > slavish adherents like Glen Quarnstrom so detested by the overwhelming
> > majority of
> > SNIP
>
> Get lost you ghoulish little cretin! Haven't you had enough of
your
> sick "fun" by publicly ridiculing the Cheek family's recent bereavement?
You
> are a worthless and detestable bit of human corruption that has
established
> itself as a blight on the news group in the minds of all but a few of your
> syphilitic sycophants. You are damn lucky you ran away the day you drove
up to
> Seattle -Tacoma and spent the day jamming and abusing folks on the 5.23
(Lake
> Tapps) repeater. I guess that since you couldn't "fry" it that was the

next
> best thing you could think to do with you ham equipment. Just get out!
>
>
> --
> From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

2143. Re: e-Dweeb FREE Auction & classifieds (Scanners, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <yJih5.336$Fa5.105083@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83531

Wow, an Internet Auction site! What a novel idea.

What type of traffic do you think this site will get compared to E-Bay?

As a seller I want exposure and don't see where this fits in? What is you
classified traffic compared to rec.radio.scanner??

Good luck dude but I don't see it!

Glenn

ScanShack <scanshack@aol.communicate> wrote in message
news:20000730220129.08283.00001225@ng-me1.aol.com...
> 30 July 2000
>
> Introducing e-Dweeb. An Auction and classified ad site.
> http://www.e-Dweeb.com
> Auction your scanners, ham equipment, shortwave, CB,
> books, manuals, catalogs, etc. using this FREE On-Line
> auction site (powered by Remote Auctions).
> Automated e-mail notifcations inform you if you were outbid or
> if you are the winning bidder.
> or
> Sell your scanners, ham equipment, shortwave, CB,
> books, manuals, catalogs, etc. using the FREE e-Dweeb
> classified ad site (powered by e-classifieds).
> http://www.e-Dweeb.com
>
> The ScanShack
> http://www.ScanShack.com
> Featuring
> The Icom IC-R10 reference page
> and
> "The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

2144. Re: S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8m4g67atq1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8m1s3jgqv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, wa6ube@tactical-link.com says...
>
>Has anyone explored exporting either an analog S-meter output,
>or the digital word that represents the S-meter reading of the PCR1000
>receiver for use with other computer applications ??
>
>I am interesting in integrating a PCR1000 as
>part of a GPS-based drive-test package for capturing
>signal-strength readings along with lat/lon position
>
>If anyone else has been researching this, I would like
>to hear from you ..
>
>Thanx
>
>Trish
>--
>Patricia E. Gibbons
>Tactical Link Systems
><ICQ#: 72818195>
I do have a diagram and if you post a real snail mail adress I could send it to. Working from memory, the IF strip is a fairly common IC so I suspect the S meter ought to be easy to find.
Do a net searh on PCR1000 and you should find a file with all the real/hidden commands. I have accessed the S meter via a MS-DOS com program, but it is very slow and flakey after the delay for the D>A.
Depending n your goal I suspect the PCR1000 is not what you want. The S meter is pretty useless even when compared with the one on my old Panasomic RF2200.
While I love BOTH my PCR1000s, they are not serious instramentation devices.
If you are going to use it in a moving situation [given GPS I suspect that to be the case] the delay in processing, even on a fast [p233/mmx] will make the meter way to slow.
Hope this helps.
What frequencies are you trying to measure?

2145. Police scanner web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: kmacleod@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <3985c56b.9592593@news.rockisland.com>
Source record: 83533

Police scanner web site to listen to police in different cities plus
has scanner chat room.

Ken MacLeod

2146. Re: FS or FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <qZjh5.76$cr2.26467@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 83534

Please change the prices to Canadian funds and the prices are $200.00 for
the Pro 90 and $225.00 for the BC890 XLT.
"Jim Flowers" <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:OLgh5.32$cr2.16102@sapphire.mtt.net...
> I have a Pro 90 Trunk Track 29-956 mhz - $125.00 US
> and a Uniden BC 890 XLT 29.956 mhz - $225.00 US
> for sale or would trade both for a digital camera with lcd window and at
> least an 8 meg card. If interested e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
> Both items will be plus shipping
>
>

2147. reorientation of VHF antennas to improve reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd7ijn@aol.comic
Message-ID: <20000731152211.27788.00001330@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 83535

This is something I've noticed while operating 2 meters that also improved reception for scanning the VHF-hi band. I use a ham rig and a BC 895XLT in conjunction with a Diamond vertical NR770HN mobile antenna (a half wave mag mount). When used inside, the antenna is attached to a (steel) pizza tin, as it requires a ground plane. Ham 2 meter FM and public service on VHF hi are invariably vertically polarized, so the natural assumption is that a vertically polarized antenna would always be best....

Indoors, this is not so. I have discovered that the orientation of the antenna... and this means anything from vertical to past horizontal and pointing downward, as well as the direction it points in, made a major difference in my reception and how others heard my signals, this was, presumably, due to the presence of wire mesh and steel construction of my residence and in nearby buildings.

The BC 895 responded in a similar manner to reorientation of the antenna. I found the signal strength of VHF hi signals increased, often dramatically (audibly rather than just S-meter increase), when the antenna was rotated in different directions and moved off the vertical.

Has anyone else encountered this situation? I'm wondering if reception improvements might be had by this simple trick.

73

2148. Re: BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <6wkh5.50274$oj6.477769@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83536

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:3985750C.C69C3359@cts.com...
> Maybe you could SELL all you stuff on ebay.

I don't need to.

>
> Watch out...T.W. is on the prowl for kid walking across his lawn.

Not really.
>
> You need to chillout and smoke a big fattie T.W. cause anybody that
> flames for asking about a fastfood freq needs a little time away from
> the computer.

not really, just kinda boring listening to people order food.

> Do a bongload...eat a bag of chips...and relax to the soothing sounds
> of a local TRS data channel.
>
> Markj
> SWS # 0776
>
>
> >
> > SlugginSammy <slugginsammy@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20000730161625.26234.00001976@ng-bg1.aol.com...
> > > How can I tell if how close I need to be to hear the signal...
> > >
> > > Example: I need to be REAL close to hear Taco Bell Order's
> > > A FCC book gives me the Disneyland Park (20 miles) and
I
> > can't
> > > pick it up. How do I figure out how close I need to be ?
> > >
> > > EMAIL ME PLEASE : SLUGGINSAMMY@AOL.COM
> > >
> > > DONT POST ON BOARD..I WONT GET IT

2149. Re: Alinco DJ-G5 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: eddiecarroll@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10963-3985D0DE-3@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83537

Thanks to all who replied, the "blue wire" mod worked and opened up TX
from 108-174 and 420-511 MHz with NO lock ups

2150. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Malson <mmalson@fuse.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <sobm14me9ft177@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <8lo074aav1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From the little I know most everyone who has a modified scanner owes
> >Bill
> >Cheek a thank you, I haven't found anyone else who has contributed more
> >to
> >the hobby.
>
> That's like thanking a drug dealer for providing you with drugs. (Of
> course, the two are not in the same catagory -- illegally modifying
> a scanner is a federal crime.)
>
> Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> you disagree with it.
>
> Jeff KH6O

Has anyone ever been prosecuted for doing such? In a highly visible case, my congressman, John Boehner, was monitored on his cell phone and a tape of it was even given to a newspaper. No one was ever prosecuted even after Congressman Boehner's repeated requests.

IMHO, the law HAS been changed by the Justice Dept's failure to enforce it.

--
- Mark Malson
Remove ".nospam" from my address to reply via email.

2151. Re: PRO-79 On Sale at RS - worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3985E048.A809F1B9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 83539

Darrin wrote:

> On Mon, 31 Jul 2000 04:45:00 GMT, "TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Well, it depends on where he lives. If the local services don't use 800MHz,
> >he doesn't need 800MHz.
> >
> True, but I still wouldn't spend a $100 on a scanner that doesn't have
> it. JMO, your mileage may vary.

Darrin has a valid point. Why spend $100 on a scanner that doesn't receive 800 mhz. when for another $30 more you can get one on sale that does receive 800 mhz.

2152. Re: telemetry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-84FB6F.15284631072000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 83540

In article <20000730234115.04740.00001352@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
micro94099@aol.com (Micro94099) wrote:

> ********** question ***********
>
> I live in the northern part of Illinois -
> I'd like to know if anyone has any info on the type of signals I'm hearing
> on the following frequencies:
>
> 154.470
> 173.300
> 173.350
>
> It sounds a little like 300 baud packet, but I can't be sure...
>
> my e-mail : micro94099@aol.com

154.47625 is used by IDOT for traffic counters on expressways.

CARMA Meeting, August 5, 2000 Fuddruckers, Schaumburg IL.
See http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm for details.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

2153. Scanner books FA ending in 1 HOUR -- LOOK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: milguy11@aol.com
Message-ID: <lklh5.3947$sQ.1730734@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 83541

Lots of neat stuff for scanner owners:

folllow these links:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391098217

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391102828

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391104581

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=391106554

includes the TOP SECRET REGISTRY -- BILL CHEEK MODZ VOL 2 BOOK -- MORE MORE MORE

check it out!!!

doug

2154. Re: reorientation of VHF antennas to improve reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <3985D7CE.2857E4D3@GTE.net>
Source record: 83542

KD7IJN wrote:

> This is something I've noticed while operating 2 meters that also improved
> reception for scanning the VHF-hi band. I use a ham rig and a BC 895XLT in
> conjunction with a Diamond vertical NR770HN mobile antenna (a half wave mag
> mount). When used inside, the antenna is attached to a (steel) pizza tin, as
> it requires a ground plane. Ham 2 meter FM and public service on VHF hi are
> invariably vertically polarized, so the natural assumption is that a vertically
> polarized antenna would always be best....
>
> Indoors, this is not so. I have discovered that the orientation of the
> antenna... and this means anything from vertical to past horizontal and
> pointing downward, as well as the direction it points in, made a major
> difference in my reception and how others heard my signals, this was,
> presumably, due to the presence of wire mesh and steel construction of my
> residence and in nearby buildings.
>
> The BC 895 responded in a similar manner to reorientation of the antenna.
> I found the signal strength of VHF hi signals increased, often dramatically
> (audibly rather than just S-meter increase), when the antenna was rotated in
> different directions and moved off the vertical.
>
> Has anyone else encountered this situation? I'm wondering if reception
> improvements might be had by this simple trick.
>
> 73
>
>

No doubt there could be some scattering of the polarization indoors. Also, simply moving the antenna a quarter wavelength in any direction can result in improvement or nulling. I am curious as to the antenna requiring a GP- half waves are self resonant and do not require a GP.

Dale W4OP

2155. Broadcast your scanner !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@home.com>
Message-ID: <Qknh5.69770$i5.1107245@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 83543

Broadcast your scanner over the internet for everyone to hear for free.
Download the Radio Destiny program here.
http://www.radiodestiny.com/download/downloadBrdNew.shtml

2156. A little help please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <nospam_solitude@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <YKnh5.241$Te2.6042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've been considering selling some of my radio equipment to buy a high end all-band receiver.

What do you folks think these items are worth ? I started watching ebay to get some idea of a few of the items that
I can find.

1) Radio shack Pro43 - Modified for full coverage - very good condition
2) Radio shack Pro 2006 / OS456 Combo. Full board not the lite version. RCA jack out the top from tapped Discriminator.
(would also include a home made 2 level FSK demodulator) - mint condition

3) Ten-Tec RX-320 - mint condition
4) Radio shack DX 440 good condition
5) Radio shack DX 398 - mint condition
6) Radio shack Pro 94 (1000 channel Dual trunking) mint condition
7) Yaseu FT-51R (Dual band Transciever) got my ham ticket but haven'd used it in a while...
(modified to also transmit outside of the
ham bands) very good condition

8) Optoelectronics OptoScan (Latest version, Latest firmware) mint condition

Plus

9) Optoelectronics M1 handi counter
10) Tektronics 456M 100Mhz Oscope.

A couple questions I have is how much better would the likes of the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3
perform on the VHF/UHF bands than my Pro2006/OS456 combo ? I love this scanner.

Would it be better to keep the Pro2006 or the OptoCom and just add a high end SW receiver ?

Other than the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3 are there any other units i should consider. These 2 seem to have
a decent following. Anything new coming around the corner that i should keep an eye out for ?

Any input from you folks is much appreciated. Thanks,
Dean

2157. Re: A little help please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <kc0cat@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wHph5.57$rL3.29454@den-news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 83545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If your going to keep anything, keep the 2006 and the RX320, they are a
value at any price and are both excellent products. Mark my words, you will
be sorry if you sell them! As for the 51R, once you decide on a price, let
me know, I may be interested.
Gerry
"Dean" <nospam_solitude@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:YKnh5.241$Te2.6042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I've been considering selling some of my radio equipment to buy a high end
> all-band receiver.
>
> What do you folks think these items are worth ? I started watching ebay
to
> get some idea of a few of the items that
> I can find.
>
> 1) Radio shack Pro43 - Modified for full coverage - very good condition
> 2) Radio shack Pro 2006 / OS456 Combo. Full board not the lite version.
RCA
> jack out the top from tapped Discriminator.
> (would also include a home made 2 level FSK demodulator) - mint
> condition
>
> 3) Ten-Tec RX-320 - mint condition
> 4) Radio shack DX 440 good condition
> 5) Radio shack DX 398 - mint condition
> 6) Radio shack Pro 94 (1000 channel Dual trunking) mint condition
> 7) Yaseu FT-51R (Dual band Transciever) got my ham ticket but haven'd
used
> it in a while...
> (modified to also transmit outside of the
> ham bands) very good condition
>
> 8) Optoelectronics OptoScan (Latest version, Latest firmware) mint
> condition
>
> Plus
>
> 9) Optoelectronics M1 handi counter
> 10) Tektronics 456M 100Mhz Oscope.
>
>
> A couple questions I have is how much better would the likes of the ICOM
> 8500 or AOR 5000 +3

> perform on the VHF/UHF bands than my Pro2006/OS456 combo ? I love this
> scanner.
>
> Would it be better to keep the Pro2006 or the OptoCom and just add a high
> end SW receiver ?
>
> Other than the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3 are there any other units i should
> consider. These 2 seem to have
> a decent following. Anything new coming around the corner that i should
keep
> an eye out for ?
>
>
> Any input from you folks is much appreciated. Thanks,
> Dean
>
>

2158. Best indoor antenna setup I've found

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <006d2964.5ab8f438@usw-ex0101-007.remarq.com>
Source record: 83546

Hi everyone,

Here's the best indoor antenna setup I've found for handhelds or mobile units being used as a base:

(1) Buy the radio shack telescoping scanner antenna (Cat# 20-006)
(2) Buy the GRE Super Amplifier (available from Grove Enterprises, www.grove-ent.com)
(3) Hook the GRE to the scanner (put a 9v battery in it or use an AC adapter)
(4) Hook the RS telescoping antenna to the GRE amplifier
(5) Ground the base of the RS telescoping antenna (the knurled point where it connects to the amplifier) to something - a baseboard heater, some bed springs, or just about anything. You can do this with an alligator clip or a length of wire.
(6) Extend the RS telescoping antenna to its full length and use full gain (20 Db) with the GRE amplifier.

This is the best indoor setup I have found and performance is pretty good (better by far than the RS magnetic mount mobile scanner antenna which I have also tried). Of course this is no substitute for an outdoor discone or other antenna but it should yield the best indoor performance possible -- unless you have the space to mount an outdoor antenna indoors somewhere.

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2159. Re: S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <8m5d0o$q6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83547
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Send me an email address that is better
<thanNone@dontevntry.oro> , and I'll
pass along my snail mail address ..

As for frequencies, 150 thru 900 MHz ..

Thanx

Trish
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Who Did you Expect? <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message
news:8m4g67atq1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <8m1s3jgqv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>,
wa6ube@tactical-link.com
> I do have a diagram and if you post a real snail mail adress I could send
it
> to. Working from memory, the IF strip is a fairly common IC so I suspect
the S
> meter ought to be easy to find.
> Do a net searh on PCR1000 and you should find a file with all the
real/hidden
> commands. I have accessed the S meter via a MS-DOS com program, but it is
very
> slow and flakey after the delay for the D>A.
> Depending n your goal I suspect the PCR1000 is not what you want. The S
meter
> is pretty useless even when compared with the one on my old Panasomic
RF2200.
> While I love BOTH my PCR1000s, they are not serious instramentation
devices.
> If you are going to use it in a moving situation [given GPS I suspect that
> to be the case] the delay in processing, even on a fast [p233/mmx] will

make
> the meter way to slow.
> Hope this helps.
> What frequencies are you trying to measure?
>

2160. Re: BEGINNER ! HELP ME PLEASE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <socegqc4dbm149@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83548

I used to use our local McDonalds freq 35 mhz,
the airports weather freq(125.525)
a local towns fire paging freq 155mhz along with other low power or distant
freqs to test a possible or recent scanner purchase.

"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:5w7h5.50055$oj6.470546@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> why would you want to hear Taco Bell anyway? Too much time on your
hands?
> Maybe you could get a life.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SlugginSammy <slugginsammy@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000730161625.26234.00001976@ng-bg1.aol.com...
> > How can I tell if how close I need to be to hear the signal...
> >
> > Example: I need to be REAL close to hear Taco Bell Order's
> > A FCC book gives me the Disneyland Park (20 miles) and I
> can't
> > pick it up. How do I figure out how close I need to be ?
> >
> > EMAIL ME PLEASE : SLUGGINSAMMY@AOL.COM
> >
> > DONT POST ON BOARD..I WONT GET IT
>
>

2161. FS BC855xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730202430.11813.00000103@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 83636

BC 855xlt, in really nice condition, with antenna, and ac adapter,
mods done, $90 Shipped from the Houston area
Postal money order prefered.

Thank you

Dave

2162. Re: AOR AR8200 (B) w/ extras $335

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: centerpoint <centerpoint@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ql3h5.27283$ga2.775021@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wasn't this deal made a few days ago?

AC <kf6oyk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a2%g5.68375$i5.1070492@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com...
> For Sale: US version AOR AR8200 in excellent condition. No scratch, non
> smoker. The radio comes with stock antenna, AM antenna, user manual.
> Extras include:
> CT8200 CTCSS encoder/decoder
> CC8200 PC cable & Software
> ARC8200 Software
> SC8200 Clear plastic case
> Extra cable for PC sound card
>
> Asking $335 + shipping FIRM
> Please, SERIOUS buyer only. I'm leaving country for a vacation next Monday
> and have to close the transaction before Friday. Prefer Paypal or US
postal
> money order.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2163. 900 xlt SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730212121.03703.00000050@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 83638

SOLD

2164. Re: 163.412 MHz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xN4h5.4849$ZL5.306487@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83639

Makes sense why the FCC came up blank...

NEL KA2TYT

F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
<8m233v$4ot$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>...
>
>"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> Is anyone in the group monitoring 163.412 MHz?
>> I tried to run this frequency thru the FCC database and got stonewalled.
>
> The actual frequency is 163.4125 MHz and the FCC has no jurisdiction
>over it. In the U.S. it's a federal government frequency administered by
>the NTIA. If you're near a navigable waterway, look for an Army Corps of
>Engineers hydrologic or flood control station.

2165. e-Dweeb FREE Auction & classifieds (Scanners, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000730220129.08283.00001225@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 83640

30 July 2000

Introducing e-Dweeb. An Auction and classified ad site.
http://www.e-Dweeb.com
Auction your scanners, ham equipment, shortwave, CB,
books, manuals, catalogs, etc. using this FREE On-Line
auction site (powered by Remote Auctions).
Automated e-mail notifcations inform you if you were outbid or
if you are the winning bidder.
or
Sell your scanners, ham equipment, shortwave, CB,
books, manuals, catalogs, etc. using the FREE e-Dweeb
classified ad site (powered by e-classifieds).
http://www.e-Dweeb.com

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

2166. Re: Rarest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harold Hickey <DUHICKEY@worldshare.net>
Message-ID: <8m2nr4vob1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83641

In article <a4Qf5.42714$oj6.414292@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>,
"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote:
> I'd have to say the Pro-2006
>
> Frizz <senorpurpl@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:8lodak$mj8$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > What is the oddest or most sought after scanning reciever ever
produced?
> > Which one had the rarest features that have never been on a scanner
> before?
> > Just curious.....
> >
> >
>
>
How about the new Icom IC-R3 handheld with video display?
Had to say it cuz I got one ;o)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2167. PRO-79 On Sale at RS - worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Haren <bharen@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aP5h5.25177$5N1.833186@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83642

Hello All,

I'm looking at getting started in scanning on a (very) limited budget. I see that Radio Shack has their Pro 79 on sale for $99 (about $50 off). On the surface it seems to offer what I'm looking for. What's the general consensus on this unit. Is it worth the price? Thanks in advance!

Brian

2168. Re: Newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730231658.04740.00001342@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83643

Hi Judy,

I wonder if the police were using something
called Audio Frequency Inversion. It was
originally made by Motorola up here in Schaumberg, Il. Kits are still being
sold to
decode this type of audio...

2169. Re: Newbie here :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730231852.04740.00001343@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83644

Rob,

Do try Radio / Schack for their scanner freq. books...

2170. Re: This may sound wacky, but...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730232054.04740.00001344@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83645

Ok, without getting technical - your local
cable tv guys would HATE you !

It's called Major Signal Leakage !

2171. Re: What's a good beginning scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: micro94099@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000730232324.04740.00001345@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 83646

most any Radio / Schack model will be fine !

2172. Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous
Message-ID: <05gr8028t48g042@rec.radio.scanner>
Source record: 83447

Since I've found no FAQs, I'll just ask here:

How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So a technical explanation is preferred. <g>)

What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and direct sequence spread spectrum?

Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio" or is another emission type used altogether?

I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?

Hope this isn't too many questions at once. Many thanks.

2173. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@user2.teleport.com
Message-ID: <8m7ugm$gl4$1@user2.teleport.com>
Source record: 83448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How 'bout you Junior High kids going back to the scanner newsgroups to fight where you started, and leave the rest of the newsgroups alone? We promise to not even go over there to read them - we already unsubscribed long ago.....

In article <t4edoscf4009avo74vl5dqd059l3pl4g5d@4ax.com>, Rich <no@mail> wrote:
>On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 20:01:45 GMT, "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote:
>
>>Hmmm, Bill had once told me he had been in the Army. Was he lying or
>>had he been in BOTH the Army AND Navy (unlikely)?
>
>Excuse me, Corky Mackey.
>
>You have been proven to be the king of all liars and have no right to question
>anyone else.

2174. Re: Got any "Classic Cheek" archives to share with the group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39877E50.B680F727@lp.com>
Source record: 83449

Yes.. post the ones where Corky gets shredded by
Cheek. Which is probably all of them.

Life goes on. Except for Corky mackey who has no life
outside of this NG.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> Just had a great idea...you know how "Classic Peanuts" comics are being rerun,
> following the death of Charles Schultz?
>
> How about "Classic Cheek?" You know...all the great Cheek one-liners from the
> early days of this newsgroup. Or, even back to the dark days of Cheek vs
> Mackey on FIDOnet.
>
> Certainly someone among us has archived and stored all those great "Cheek-isms"
> of yesteryear...Cheek calling Mackey a "horse's arse" and Mackey returning fire
> with descriptions of his adversary such as "Butt Cheek."
>
> Got 'em? Share 'em! Post 'em here!

2175. Give me a BIG Down Hug Corky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <39877E7A.DF2F6028@lp.com>
Source record: 83450

.

2176. Brickyard Preliminary Scanner Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Burton <bdburton@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <2xLh5.159$sj.494@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83451

All,

The link below will take you to my web site which has an MS Excel spread sheet containing these frequencies. You can view it and print it or save it to disk and print it. I will try to verify the frequencies on Thursday and Friday and update the file on Friday night.

http://www.netusa1.net/~bdburton/

Later,
Bryan

2177. MAXON VHF SL-70+Extras FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <39879AE4.C315BDF4@netboise.com>
Source record: 83452

I have a MINT PREFECT NEW SL-70 16 channel VHF portable.
Radio has not been used to TX and is as NEW. Scan, priority, Low/High
power

All papers, box manual.

NEW Maxon software package includes: Interface cable, floppy disk.
programming manual.
Two new 1800 /1500ma NiMd/NiCd batteries and 1 hour dual slot rapid
charger.
New speaker/Mike. Heavy duty leather holster with swivel. Complete
package is $325 shipped.
I have a scan I can send prospective buyers.

Perfect for Law Enforcement and FireFighters/EMS. Sorry no trades.

2178. MAXON VHF SL-70+Extras FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <39879AEF.974D8C12@netboise.com>
Source record: 83453

I have a MINT PREFECT NEW SL-70 16 channel VHF portable.
Radio has not been used to TX and is as NEW. Scan, priority, Low/High
power

All papers, box manual.

NEW Maxon software package includes: Interface cable, floppy disk.
programming manual.
Two new 1800 /1500ma NiMd/NiCd batteries and 1 hour dual slot rapid
charger.
New speaker/Mike. Heavy duty leather holster with swivel. Complete
package is $325 shipped.
I have a scan I can send prospective buyers.

Perfect for Law Enforcement and FireFighters/EMS. Sorry no trades.

2179. MAXON VHF SL-70+Extras FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <39879B01.F281C24E@netboise.com>
Source record: 83454

I have a MINT PREFECT NEW SL-70 16 channel VHF portable.
Radio has not been used to TX and is as NEW. Scan, priority, Low/High
power

All papers, box manual.

NEW Maxon software package includes: Interface cable, floppy disk.
programming manual.
Two new 1800 /1500ma NiMd/NiCd batteries and 1 hour dual slot rapid
charger.
New speaker/Mike. Heavy duty leather holster with swivel. Complete
package is $325 shipped.
I have a scan I can send prospective buyers.

Perfect for Law Enforcement and FireFighters/EMS. Sorry no trades.

2180. Re: "thanks" for scanner mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jose R. Sifontes" <sifontes@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <kZMh5.8371$a61.613884@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 83455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Outstanding riposte by Dave!

I am keeping this in my 'messages to re-read every once in a while'
folder...

Well said.

Jose
"Dave" <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$UZAC$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
> On 27 Jul 2000 06:50:56 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> : T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my_deja.com> wrote:
> : >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> :
> : >>Until a law is changed, you have the obligation to obey it, even if
> : >>you disagree with it.
> :
> : >Bullshit!
> :
> : So you believe it's okay to break laws you don't like. Are you able
> : to limit the number of laws you violate? From what I've read, it's
>
> There is a longstanding recognition of the fact that nonviolent, civil
> disobedience has been an effective way of bringing about positive change.
>
> The 60's civil rights movement in the U.S. is a prime example of what I'm
> talking about.
>
> According to you, Jeff, Rosa Parks should never have sat in the back of
the
> bus; she was violating a <gasp> LAW!
>
> According to you, Jeff, Martin Luther King should never have led the
people
> to the voter registration places; they were violating a <gasp> LAW!
>
> According to you, Jeff, Ghandi should never have taken his actions, Nelson
> Mandela his, and our Founding Fathers theirs. All violated the laws of
the
> land.
>
> There is no black or white to this kind of thing, Jeff, contrary to your
> wishful thinking. It demands good judgment, plus a commitment of the

> deepest kind to high ethical standards. It's a very tough call, but those
> who make the call correctly wind up their lives admired by all, while
those
> who blindly follow the law can wind up on trial, as did the Nazis at
> Nuremberg.
>
> Rosa Parks was recently given presidential recognition (and a
Congressional
> Gold Medal) for her act of violating an unethical law.
>
> So, no, violating a law you don't like doesn't necessarily make you a
> defective person. It may prove exactly the opposite about you; it may
prove
> that you obey a higher law, even at great personal risk.

2181. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lurch Henson <lurch13@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8m88ut$pn6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Bill lost his battle with cancer on Saturday,
> 7/22/2000 at noon. It was a very long and
> hard battle but he fought it right up to the end.

I never knew him, never met him, never spoke to him, and never emailed with him, but I admit that I was very much saddened to read the above msg. His contributions to scanning are undeniable by anyone that knows anything about the hobby, and the thought that he will contribute no more is equally disturbing.

Yes, he will be missed, even by those of us that didn't know him......

2182. HELP Looking for Mods for a 2 Meter Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chick <serbear_cutiepie@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3985e96a@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 83549

Hello all I just got myself a 2 meter Handheld Transceiver the Maxon HR-146
and are looking for and mods for that unit I cant seem to track any down any
help will be greatly appreciated
Thanks in advance

2183. Re: Rarest Scanner? Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <XUqh5.16409$RG6.1370359@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83550

Harold Hickey wrote in message <8m2nr4vob1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
snip...
>How about the new Icom IC-R3 handheld with video display?
>Had to say it cuz I got one ;o)

So they must have passed FCC approval. Do you mind sharing what the price of the R3 is?
I notice that Grove is still accepting reservations, without publishing the retail price.
I'm getting alot of good mileage out of my R2.
Al

2184. Re: Trunked system range...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39865791.CA22E00B@home.com>
Source record: 83551

Pro 92A

newknews wrote:

> what trunked radio are you using
> would be a great big help.
>
> you may be doing it wrong if you
> have not undestood how to do the
> bearcats's....so what model are you
> using in this experiment of yours?
>
> bill

2185. Re: A little help please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <socnks15dbm97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't look on ebay-the prices there are way inflated. By the way, I'd be interested in that modded Pro 43 scanner once you decide on a price.

In article <YKnh5.241$Te2.6042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, nospam_solitude@earthlink.com says...
>
>I've been considering selling some of my radio equipment to buy a high end
>all-band receiver.
>
>What do you folks think these items are worth ? I started watching ebay to
>get some idea of a few of the items that
>I can find.
>
>1) Radio shack Pro43 - Modified for full coverage - very good condition
>2) Radio shack Pro 2006 / OS456 Combo. Full board not the lite version. RCA
>jack out the top from tapped Discriminator.
> (would also include a home made 2 level FSK demodulator) - mint
>condition
>
>3) Ten-Tec RX-320 - mint condition
>4) Radio shack DX 440 good condition
>5) Radio shack DX 398 - mint condition
>6) Radio shack Pro 94 (1000 channel Dual trunking) mint condition
>7) Yaseu FT-51R (Dual band Transciever) got my ham ticket but haven'd used
>it in a while...
> (modified to also transmit outside of the
>ham bands) very good condition
>
>8) Optoelectronics OptoScan (Latest version, Latest firmware) mint
>condition
>
>Plus
>
>9) Optoelectronics M1 handi counter
>10) Tektronics 456M 100Mhz Oscope.
>
>
>A couple questions I have is how much better would the likes of the

ICOM
>8500 or AOR 5000 +3
>perform on the VHF/UHF bands than my Pro2006/OS456 combo ? I love this
>scanner.
>
>Would it be better to keep the Pro2006 or the OptoCom and just add a
high
>end SW receiver ?
>
>Other than the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3 are there any other units i
should
>consider. These 2 seem to have
>a decent following. Anything new coming around the corner that i should
keep
>an eye out for ?
>
>
>Any input from you folks is much appreciated. Thanks,
>Dean
>
>

2186. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <ffth5.18412$k5.188852@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 83553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mr Wey,

In an attempt to make you understand how these newsgroups work, please allow me to give you a civilized reply. This newsgroup is available to everyone around the world. Most messages are also "cross-posted" on several other newsgroups, including the ".uk" variant that I suspect you are reading this in. I read your message in the "rec.radio.scanner" newsgroup which knows no political boundary. Therefore you will see content not specific to the UK. Since the US has a significant population, many of which are interested in scanning, it stands to reason that a proportional amount of information will be from there.

My advice? Either look to more regional sources of information such as websites or mailing lists, or learn to sift through the newsgroups to find what you are looking for. Above all, avoid insulting an entire nation like you did previously, you may get more attention that you originally intended.

paulwey wrote in message
> point taken just most people in uk prefer uk info,theres plenty of
american
> sites
>
>

2187. Re: A little help please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <26uh5.51878$oj6.493537@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

not really

redrum <nada@na.da> wrote in message
news:socnks15dbm97@corp.supernews.com...
> Don't look on ebay-the prices there are way inflated. By the way, I'd be
> interested in that modded Pro 43 scanner once you decide on a price.
>
>
> In article <YKnh5.241$Te2.6042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> nospam_solitude@earthlink.com says...
> >
> >I've been considering selling some of my radio equipment to buy a high
> end
> >all-band receiver.
> >
> >What do you folks think these items are worth ? I started watching
> ebay to
> >get some idea of a few of the items that
> >I can find.
> >
> >1) Radio shack Pro43 - Modified for full coverage - very good condition
> >2) Radio shack Pro 2006 / OS456 Combo. Full board not the lite version.
> RCA
> >jack out the top from tapped Discriminator.
> > (would also include a home made 2 level FSK demodulator) - mint
> >condition
> >
> >3) Ten-Tec RX-320 - mint condition
> >4) Radio shack DX 440 good condition
> >5) Radio shack DX 398 - mint condition
> >6) Radio shack Pro 94 (1000 channel Dual trunking) mint condition
> >7) Yaseu FT-51R (Dual band Transciever) got my ham ticket but haven'd
> used
> >it in a while...
> > (modified to also transmit outside of
> the
> >ham bands) very good condition
> >
> >8) Optoelectronics OptoScan (Latest version, Latest firmware) mint
> >condition
> >
> >Plus
> >

> >9) Optoelectronics M1 handi counter
> >10) Tektronics 456M 100Mhz Oscope.
> >
> >
> >A couple questions I have is how much better would the likes of the
> ICOM
> >8500 or AOR 5000 +3
> >perform on the VHF/UHF bands than my Pro2006/OS456 combo ? I love this
> >scanner.
> >
> >Would it be better to keep the Pro2006 or the OptoCom and just add a
> high
> >end SW receiver ?
> >
> >Other than the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3 are there any other units i
> should
> >consider. These 2 seem to have
> >a decent following. Anything new coming around the corner that i should

> keep
> >an eye out for ?
> >
> >
> >Any input from you folks is much appreciated. Thanks,
> >Dean
> >
> >
>

2188. Re: UPDATE: Re: In Memory of Bill Cheek...a Promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <HMuh5.64586$V34.619481@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Then how else do you explain their postings?

"Bungholius Maximus" <tpformy@bunghole.org> wrote
> I doubt people were trying to cause trouble.

2189. Who is John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey Sr <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008010736.DAA16092@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 83556

John Mackey, barely a boy was born on a reservation in Iowa. He attended East High School where he was the constant target of abuse by all his classmates and shunned by his teachers and the school administration. As a child he was know to the authorities for a broad range of deviant behaviors. He was classified as a sexual offender and had to move to Oregon in later years. John is a nurses aide and works for an agency dealing in mental patients. John spends most of his time driving to sights in Oregon and Washington to empty bedpans. He used to be active on Fidonet but then had to leave when the scanner echo moderator and John's BBS operator removed his access for acting as he does on this NG. John holds the record for off topic posts to this NG, 389 in one month. Most of these posts were made in the process of stalking Bill Cheek. John has been booted by many ISPs and BBSes. Even now he tries to lure the newbie into thinking parg.org is the place to send complaints when @Home is his ISP and the place to report abuse. In 1999 he was briefly held by (federal) authorities regarding the sale of Amateur Radio licenses. (He has admitted to other legal problems in the past, also) He is the owner & operator of no company, has never produced a book or any information of any use. He is known for destroying a repeater and power supply after he reversed the polarity during installation. John probably bought his ham license, even thought the answers to the test are published on the net and in many books. John has never served his country in the military due to his criminal history. John Mackey is not known by any

the radio community being he has never contributed
anything. Most people
feel his technical knowledge is zip, most people feel all
aspects of his
personality are sick and demented.

2190. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <Sam@here.com>
Message-ID: <3986B3F4.FD769620@here.com>
Source record: 83557

Actually there are quite a few AR1000s with a gap from 600 - 800 MHz. I know,

I just got one.

Dean wrote:

> Except SSB!
>
> From another happy Fairmate HP2000 (AOR-1000) user.
>
> JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3980B642.721D7AE3@analon.com...
>
> > the AOR-1000 does have NO GAPS 0.5mhz-1300mhz continous..... including
> > broadcast AM, Shortwave, VHF, UHF, Broadcast FM, Television Audio,
> Cordless
> > Phones, cellular, Aircraft, military, ham/amateur, 900mhz.......
> everything
> > you would ever want to listen to is here!
> >
> > TheLost wrote:
> >
> > > I'm looking into buying one.....but I want to see if anyone on the
> > > group here as one and likes it. Any + or - things about this scanner?
> > > Also is it posable to mod this scanner so it has no gaps? My main
> > > concert is to get a scanner that has no gaps for military aircraft and
> > > operations.....cell would be cool too....but it's not a must. Thanks
> > > for any info in advance.
> > >
> > > TheLost

2191. Re: S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8m6d57$k71$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8m5d0o$q6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, wa6ube@tactical-link.com says...
>
>Send me an email address that is better
><thanNone@dontevntry.oro> , and I'll
>pass along my snail mail address ..
>
>As for frequencies, 150 thru 900 MHz ..
>
>Thanx
>
>Trish
>--
>Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
>Tactical Link Systems
><ICQ#: 72818195>
><http://www.tactical-link.com>
> see my comvan at:
><http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
>.....
>My Public Key is available at:
><http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
>Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
>This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!
>
>Who Did you Expect? <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message
>news:8m4g67atq1@news.uky.edu...
>> In article <8m1s3jgqv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>,
>wa6ube@tactical-link.com
>> I do have a diagram and if you post a real snail mail adress I could send
>it
>> to. Working from memory, the IF strip is a fairly common IC so I suspect
>the S
>> meter ought to be easy to find.
>> Do a net searh on PCR1000 and you should find a file with all the
>real/hidden
>> commands. I have accessed the S meter via a MS-DOS com program, but it is
>very
>> slow and flakey after the delay for the D>A.
>> Depending n your goal I suspect the PCR1000 is not what you want. The S
>meter
>> is pretty useless even when compared with the one on my old Panasomic
>RF2200.

>> While I love BOTH my PCR1000s, they are not serious instramentation
>devices.
>> If you are going to use it in a moving situation [given GPS I suspect that
>> to be the case] the delay in processing, even on a fast [p233/mmx] will
>make
>> the meter way to slow.
>> Hope this helps.
>> What frequencies are you trying to measure?
>>
>
>
Sorry.
The one sad thing that I have learned to do is to hide.
Given that 5 years ago I made the mistake of posting, on another use group,
under my real name, the resulting barage of spam clogged the mail server and
a)nearly got me fired, then they calmed down and just wanted to kill my
net access. I am sure that you are who you say you are, and that you above
board, honest etc. But I no longe make any exceptions for anyone.
The images are electronic so maybe I can fidn a dead mail account to post
them from.
Sorry for the paranoia, but, while my job, like all jobs, is less then fun on
some days, it is still a job.

2192. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3986BBA3.A64249C@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 83559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
What's The Best Scanner?
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
RadioShack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
Amateur & HF Radio Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
Do I Need An Amplifier?
Where Can I Get A Digital Scanner?
*What Is Reaction Tune?
*What's A Discriminator?
*What's A Good Scanner For Races?
My Web Page

Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2193. Re: S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8m6ehb$nq9$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8m5d0o$q6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, wa6ube@tactical-link.com says...
>
>Send me an email address that is better
><thanNone@dontevntry.oro> , and I'll
>pass along my snail mail address ..
Is the addy for your ham ticket valid?
Printing only the town, "MOUNT HAMILTON".
If this is the valid address just say so, this is why I
no longer use any data that can be "Tracked" to me.
Yea, a reverse look up will reveal the site, but does anyone really
think it is the correct IP?
IF you are still the "Acting Chief Communications Technician w/ City of San Jose Info Technology Dept, Communications Division", surely they have a valid general address. Since your profile lists an interest on espionage, treat me as a spook!
Hint: Do a net search for QR?, then run *UBE.
I am frightened about the data out there.
Your degree of shock might vary!

2194. Re: S-Meter output on PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <8m6i0sfik1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well then, perhaps your original reply could have been
direct to me rather then a posting to the newsgroup..

Trish

> Sorry.
> The one sad thing that I have learned to do is to hide.
> Given that 5 years ago I made the mistake of posting, on another use
group,
> under my real name, the resulting barage of spam clogged the mail server
and
> a)nearly got me fired, then they calmed down and just wanted to kill my
> net access. I am sure that you are who you say you are, and that you above
> board, honest etc. But I no longe make any exceptions for anyone.
> The images are electronic so maybe I can fidn a dead mail account to post
> them from.
> Sorry for the paranoia, but, while my job, like all jobs, is less then fun
on
> some days, it is still a job.
>

2195. Scanner Xtals for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Terry L. Parsons" <tp04417@navix.net>
Message-ID: <3986D2B4.D60B38E1@navix.net>
Source record: 83562

I have a lot of scanner crystals and other xtals for sale, about a hundred all told. All types; small case. some military and sidetone, too. useful for oscillators of all kinds. E-mail for list. I'll take $40.00 for the bunch and I'll ship in the US.

2196. Coaxial Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Terry L. Parsons" <tp04417@navix.net>
Message-ID: <3986D463.BB142ADE@navix.net>
Source record: 83563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

> Anyone know the construction details for the coaxial scanner antenna that
> Crane offers for $20.00

2197. Got any "Classic Cheek" archives to share with the group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000801094528.04397.00000194@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 83564

Just had a great idea...you know how "Classic Peanuts" comics are being rerun, following the death of Charles Schultz?

How about "Classic Cheek?" You know...all the great Cheek one-liners from the early days of this newsgroup. Or, even back to the dark days of Cheek vs Mackey on FIDOnet.

Certainly someone among us has archived and stored all those great "Cheek-isms" of yesteryear...Cheek calling Mackey a "horse's arse" and Mackey returning fire with descriptions of his adversary such as "Butt Cheek."

Got 'em? Share 'em! Post 'em here!

2198. Bearcat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Misener <skam@tir.com>
Message-ID: <3986DD2B.5D43AA2E@tir.com>
Source record: 83565

Can anyone give me any info on a Bearcat 210, such as freq range, found one local thats cheap and was wondering if they covered 800 mhz?
Thanks, Marc.

2199. Re: Bearcat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3986E241.A4A38131@uswest.net>
Source record: 83566

Susan Misener wrote:
>
> Can anyone give me any info on a Bearcat 210, such as freq range, found
> one local thats cheap and was wondering if they covered 800 mhz?
> Thanks, Marc.

The 210 0nly covers throught 512 MHz. There are various renditions of
the radio with varying amounts of channels but none cover above 512.

Greg

2200. Re: Who is John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <8WBh5.51908$oj6.497899@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83567

Why be anonymous?

John Mackey Sr <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200008010736.DAA16092@anon.cotse.com...
>
> John Mackey, barely a boy was born on a reservation in
> Iowa. He attended
> East High School where he was the constant target of abuse
> by all his
> classmates and shunned by his teachers and the school
> administration. As a
> child he was know to the authorities for a broad range of
> deviant behaviors.
> He was classified as a sexual offender and had to move to
> Oregon in later
> years. John is a nurses aide and works for an agency
> dealing in mental
> patients. John spends most of his time driving to sights in
> Oregon and
> Washington to empty bedpans. He used to be active on
> Fidonet but then had
> to leave when the scanner echo moderator and John's BBS
> operator removed his
> access for acting as he does on this NG. John holds the
> record for off topic
> posts to this NG, 389 in one month. Most of these posts
> were made in the
> process of stalking Bill Cheek. John has been booted by
> many ISPs and BBSes.
> Even now he tries to lure the newbie into thinking parg.org
> is the place to
> send complaints when @Home is his ISP and the place to
> report abuse. In
> 1999 he was briefly held by (federal) authorities regarding
> the sale of
> Amateur Radio licenses. (He has admitted to other legal
> problems in the
> past, also) He is the owner & operator of no company, has
> never produced a
> book or any information of any use. He is known for
> destroying a repeater
> and power supply after he reversed the polarity during
> installation. John
> probably bought his ham license, even thought the answers

> to the test are
> published on the net and in many books. John has never
> served his country in
> the military due to his criminal history. John Mackey is
> not known by any
> the radio community being he has never contributed
> anything. Most people
> feel his technical knowledge is zip, most people feel all
> aspects of his
> personality are sick and demented.
>
>
>
>

2201. Re: Help Me !!! Alinco DJ-X1, ICOM IC-R1, AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uTc0R98#$GA.367@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 83568

I can't get through to you with your e-mail address of dad@atlasoil.ru.
e-mail me directly for help with the IC-R1.
-=-=- wrote in message <3985583a$1@news.ptt.ru>...
>Please, HELP ME !
>
>I search documentation, manual and mod's for this models scanners !
>
>Please, HELP ME !
>
>Tnx !
>
>
>

2202. WANTED: Uniden BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <mGCh5.125533$dF.3311419@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 83569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone knows where i can get a bc245 for $100, please reply.

2203. Re: Who is John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <3986F7AF.F2FD6473@lp.com>
Source record: 83570

Is Mackey of Indian heritage?
Is he alcoholic?
Your information was very interesting. And its great to
finally hear it from a family member.
I'm so sorry Mackey, Sr. that your son is so screwed up.
Don't blame yourself. Some kids just turn out messed up.
Have you ever considered boot camp for this kid?
His behavior on this NG is indicative of sexual abuse
while young... are you sure nobody slipped him the
baloney pony (anal intercourse) while he was in grade
school?

John Mackey Sr wrote:

> John Mackey, barely a boy was born on a reservation in
> Iowa. He attended
> East High School where he was the constant target of abuse
> by all his
> classmates and shunned by his teachers and the school
> administration. As a
> child he was know to the authorities for a broad range of
> deviant behaviors.
> He was classified as a sexual offender and had to move to
> Oregon in later
> years. John is a nurses aide and works for an agency
> dealing in mental
> patients. John spends most of his time driving to sights in
> Oregon and
> Washington to empty bedpans. He used to be active on
> Fidonet but then had
> to leave when the scanner echo moderator and John's BBS
> operator removed his
> access for acting as he does on this NG. John holds the
> record for off topic
> posts to this NG, 389 in one month. Most of these posts
> were made in the
> process of stalking Bill Cheek. John has been booted by
> many ISPs and BBSes.
> Even now he tries to lure the newbie into thinking parg.org
> is the place to
> send complaints when @Home is his ISP and the place to
> report abuse. In
> 1999 he was briefly held by (federal) authorities regarding
> the sale of
> Amateur Radio licenses. (He has admitted to other legal

> problems in the
> past, also) He is the owner & operator of no company, has
> never produced a
> book or any information of any use. He is known for
> destroying a repeater
> and power supply after he reversed the polarity during
> installation. John
> probably bought his ham license, even thought the answers
> to the test are
> published on the net and in many books. John has never
> served his country in
> the military due to his criminal history. John Mackey is
> not known by any
> the radio community being he has never contributed
> anything. Most people
> feel his technical knowledge is zip, most people feel all
> aspects of his
> personality are sick and demented.

2204. Augusta County, Waynesboro Va freqs request..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: spanner <spanner@safe.quik.com>
Message-ID: <39870D18.9BEA8B99@safe.quik.com>
Source record: 83571
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Going on a camping trip to Shenandoah areas, and was looking for freqs
for the area around Augusta County, and the waynesboro Va area.

Thanks in advance

Carl

2205. Re: Police scanner web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul uk86 <uk86@NOSPAMLineone.net>
Message-ID: <8m74kd$4ve$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 83572

Ken MacLeod <kmacleod@rockisland.com> wrote in message
news:3985c56b.9592593@news.rockisland.com...
> Police scanner web site to listen to police in different cities plus
> has scanner chat room.
>
> Ken MacLeod

What ? No web address ? Arrrrrrhhhhgg. How can we listen to the fuzzies
without an address ?
Paul uk86

2206. bc895 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Drew Gloede <drewg@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <39871cbe$0$66410$392904a7@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 83573

Hey gang,

Quick question need to know how to clear the memory for a 895, and what key strokes for the firmware number.

Thanks.

2207. Busco frecuencias

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: berna <berna10@iberonline.es>
Message-ID: <NcFh5.6043$TT2.38919@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83574

Hay alguna web donde se pueda consultar todas las frecuencias,a qu&#65533;
pertenecen cada una de ellas,etc.

2208. Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: abme75@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8m7a5f$c3s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83575

So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure? Does a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean it's digital too? What cordless phone do you use?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2209. FS: AR8000, Pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <39872738.5B5EDAED@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 83576

I have too many scanners and need to part with a few:

AOR AR-8000 wideband scanner with Optoelectronics interface. Very good condition. Unblocked. Includes vinyl case, original manuals and boxes for AR8000 and Optoelectronics interface. $425 plus shipping.

Radio Shack Pro-2035 with Optoelectronics interface installed. Very good condition. Can operate unblocked under computer control. Includes original manual. $325 plus shipping.

Jeff Kilgore, KC1MK

2210. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: the greatest of all time <chase@losch.net>
Message-ID: <J_Gh5.25471$jm5.598438@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 83577

where can i buy the hardware to listen to the cellphones
Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:39830437.E9CFB785@worldlynx.net...
> Bill hit the nail on the head...listen to what you want, just don't be
> stupid enough to record it and play it for an audience or post it
> somewhere as some others have been stupid enough to do!
>
>
>
>
>

2211. Re: Will internal parts last forever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <soeg3e7jdbm87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83578

The short answer is NO!

The first to go will be the LCD display. It will darken more and more with age until it is unreadable.

The hardest and most dangerous to repair will be the backlighting which uses 110 volts.

The various caps will eventually fail.

2212. Re: Who Is The Biggest Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Renzoku Satujinn <satujinn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8m7gn6ham1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83579

In article <397F753A.D393542B@primenet.com>,
n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
> J. MacKenzie wrote:
>
> > I haven't seen Mackey caught in a lie,
>
> Then you haven't been paying attention.
>
> > but I know Cheek lied in this newsgroup about Vietnam service:
> > "This ain't no shit, I did stuff that's still classified today."
> > Yeah, right. Still classified after 30 years.

Mr. Mackenzie,

Thank you for the news. Can you please send me a complete copy of the
warren commision reprt and all documets that it refers to?

Thanks,

Alex
--
Friends help you move...

Real freinds help you move bodies.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2213. Re: Got any "Classic Cheek" archives to share with the group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <soei0r4kdbm150@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83580

Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Kill file is not working again.
Sure lets do exactly what the Cheek-A-Likes all whine about.
Talk about inbreeds with one hand busy.

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000801094528.04397.00000194@nso-md.aol.com...
> Just had a great idea...you know how "Classic Peanuts" comics are being
rerun,
> following the death of Charles Schultz?
>
> How about "Classic Cheek?" You know...all the great Cheek one-liners from
the
> early days of this newsgroup. Or, even back to the dark days of Cheek vs
> Mackey on FIDOnet.
>
> Certainly someone among us has archived and stored all those great
"Cheek-isms"
> of yesteryear...Cheek calling Mackey a "horse's arse" and Mackey returning
fire
> with descriptions of his adversary such as "Butt Cheek."
>
> Got 'em? Share 'em! Post 'em here!
>

2214. New Austin TX Frequencies for 800 Mhz Trunked Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DWHh5.485$C51.28806@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 83581

I was digging around in the FCC website and found this list of Frequencies licensed to APD for 800 Mhz.

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_freq_res.hts?db_id=16&file=A057593&key=1

The frequencies (105 of them) range from 866.0125 to 868.975 and include the 5 common ones found on all 800 Mhz Government Systems.

APD also renewed their licenses for Intercity: 155.370, and 154.950.
Thanks to Mike Simpson, with the city for getting this stuff updated.

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_site_res.hts?db_id=16&link=0&callsign=A058083

2215. Another scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: coversix@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8m7j5c$j57$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83582

Last month I asked if it were posible to modify a scanner to receive cellular communications. Now, I wonder if anyone knows hosw to do it. Also, I understand that the monitoring of cellular communications is restricted by law. Anyone know the federal statutes regarding such restrictions?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2216. Re: New Austin TX Frequencies for 800 Mhz Trunked Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <%bIh5.52797$oj6.502547@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83583

Damn, its about time.

Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:DWHh5.485$C51.28806@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> I was digging around in the FCC website and found this list of Frequencies
> licensed to APD for 800 Mhz.
>
>
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_freq_res.hts?db_
> id=16&file=A057593&key=1
>
> The frequencies (105 of them) range from 866.0125 to 868.975 and include
> the 5 common ones found on all 800 Mhz Government Systems.
>
> APD also renewed their licenses for Intercity: 155.370, and 154.950.
> Thanks to Mike Simpson, with the city for getting this stuff updated.
>
>
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_site_res.hts?db_
> id=16&link=0&callsign=A058083
>
>

2217. Help! Winter Haven freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Fight <fight@gate.net>
Message-ID: <39875104.61813B55@gate.net>
Source record: 83584

Does anyone know the frequencies for Winter Haven (FL)'s new trunking system?

2218. Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <398737ED.F86E5AE4@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 83585

I just spent a few hours down at the one Radio Shack in
this area whose sales-droids know scanners. Here are a
few first impressions of the new Pro-2067.

The good news: Yep, it does follow the data channel and
shows the proper talkgroup ID.

The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed
or
open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
"T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
except in the programming mode.

When I programmed it using the GRE software (my own)
it doesn't seem to miss any IDs, but it sometimes says
"all channels locked out!" and continues to scan, even tho
the channels aren't locked out! Anyone else notice these
problems?

Jon

2219. Pro 66 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <soel0pcddbm45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83586

I have a Pro-66 hand held scanner with 800 for sale.Make me a reasonable offer shipped from New England area.In good condition with wall charger.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

2220. IC-PRC1000 information request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ogm@aol.com
Message-ID: <398756d6.3328757@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83587

Looking for those using the Icom IC-PRC1000 radio. Thinking of buying one but would like to know how they work by those using them before spending the money. Also wondering what the scanner rate, number of channels scanned at a time, etc. Seems reasonable for the price with pretty good specs and it's a nice compact package. Thanks to all that reply.

Chris N2OGM

2221. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <b1Jh5.55926$fR2.549004@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83588

Jon:

The term "locked out" has a different meaning with the PRO-92, and PRO-2067. When you're scanning in the locked out mode, it only scans trunked frequencies that you've entered I.D.'s for, and the I.D.'s that you did not program, within that group are skipped. Also, the same applies for non-trunked frequencies, using CTCSS...it will scan the ones with the CTCSS tone programmed, and skip those that do not have CTCSS tones programmed.

I'm beginning to wonder if the PRO-92, and PRO-2067, may be misunderstood to some extent. The whole operation, and programming, is quite different than we're all accustomed to.

Bill Crocker

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net> wrote in message news:398737ED.F86E5AE4@pop.slkc.uswest.net...
> I just spent a few hours down at the one Radio Shack in
> this area whose sales-droids know scanners. Here are a
> few first impressions of the new Pro-2067.
>
> The good news: Yep, it does follow the data channel and
> shows the proper talkgroup ID.
>
> The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
> whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed
> or
> open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
> to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
> "T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
> programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
> except in the programming mode.
>
> When I programmed it using the GRE software (my own)
> it doesn't seem to miss any IDs, but it sometimes says
> "all channels locked out!" and continues to scan, even tho
> the channels aren't locked out! Anyone else notice these
> problems?
>
> Jon
>
>

2222. Re: IC-PRC1000 information request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <BaJh5.55933$fR2.548757@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83589

Chris:

Go to http://www.icomamerica.com

They have all the specs, pictures, and even screen shots of the supplied software. The supplied software is somewhat dated, and was written for DOS/Win3.x, but with some tweaking of your system, you should be able to get it working ok under Win9x/NT4/2K.

It is a excellent VHF/UHF communications receiver, and a pretty decent HF receiver, including USB/LSB modes. You may want to explore many of the third party software options available on the web! It is constructed very well.

Bill Crocker

<n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:398756d6.3328757@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Looking for those using the Icom IC-PRC1000 radio. Thinking of buying
> one but would like to know how they work by those using them before
> spending the money. Also wondering what the scanner rate, number of
> channels scanned at a time, etc. Seems reasonable for the price with
> pretty good specs and it's a nice compact package. Thanks to all that
> reply.
>
> Chris N2OGM

2223. 2000 Brickyard 400 Preliminary Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Burton <bdburton@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <umJh5.3027$K2.4879@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

All,

The link below will take you to my web site which has an MS Excel spread sheet containing these frequencies. You can view it and print it or save it to disk and print it. I will try to verify the frequencies on Thursday and Friday and update the file on Friday night.

http://www.netusa1.net/~bdburton/

Later,
Bryan

2224. Re: Another scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <cfpeos047a6t3uaoompber2p3otju6o8h6@4ax.com>
Source record: 83591

On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 22:32:12 GMT, coversix@my-deja.com
<8m7j5c$j57$1@nnrp1.deja.com> wrote:

>Last month I asked if it were posible to modify a scanner to receive
>cellular communications. Now, I wonder if anyone knows hosw to do it.
>Also, I understand that the monitoring of cellular communications is
>restricted by law. Anyone know the federal statutes regarding such
>restrictions?

Look under the Electronic Communication Privacy Act. No current scanners that
can be sold in the US are allowed to be modified to listen to cellular bands in
the 800 MHz range. Your only option is to buy a old 800 MHz scanner that is
modified or can be modified to listen to that range or buy a scanner from a
foreign country and import it into the country. BTW, it is illegal for you to
import a scanner that is capable of listening to cellular frequencies.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

2225. 4phun-radio - BC 245 Scanlist Word 97 template

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <soeq9nv1dbm60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I posted a small word 97 template for creating pages of scanlists for the BC 245 XLT/
My 'BC 245 Scanlist' template
1. Prints 100 talkgroups on one page so and entire TRS scanlist can be shown.
2. Has the exact two digit code needed to jump to any talkgroup in manual mode.
3. Doesn't use any macros

You can jump to the 4phun-radio@egroups.com message about this template by using this URL
http://www.egroups.com/message/4phun-radio/4576

You can download the attachment from that web page or by using this URL
http://pix.egroups.com/attach/310473/4576/gs-31=04=310473/10-1-10-148/application=x-zip-compressed/245%20SCANLISTS.zip

2226. Re: A little help please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <39876c43_1@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 83593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My advice is to buy two radios:

A good shortwave receiver. (I have the Drake R8B, which I like a lot, but there are many good radios.)

A good scanner. (I have a RS PRO-2042, but I'm eyeing the BC 780-XLT when it arrives.)

Keep your Pro-43, it's a great handheld scanner.

Paul, KC2FNC

p.s. I've found that doing a scan on shortwave and uhf/vhf at the same time on the same rig makes little sense. Just my opinion.

"Dean" <nospam_solitude@earthlink.com> wrote in message news:YKnh5.241$Te2.6042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I've been considering selling some of my radio equipment to buy a high end
> all-band receiver.
>
> What do you folks think these items are worth ? I started watching ebay to
> get some idea of a few of the items that
> I can find.
>
> 1) Radio shack Pro43 - Modified for full coverage - very good condition
> 2) Radio shack Pro 2006 / OS456 Combo. Full board not the lite version. RCA
> jack out the top from tapped Discriminator.
> (would also include a home made 2 level FSK demodulator) - mint
> condition
>
> 3) Ten-Tec RX-320 - mint condition
> 4) Radio shack DX 440 good condition
> 5) Radio shack DX 398 - mint condition
> 6) Radio shack Pro 94 (1000 channel Dual trunking) mint condition
> 7) Yaseu FT-51R (Dual band Transciever) got my ham ticket but haven'd used
> it in a while...
> (modified to also transmit outside of the
> ham bands) very good condition
>
> 8) Optoelectronics OptoScan (Latest version, Latest firmware) mint
> condition
>

> Plus
>
> 9) Optoelectronics M1 handi counter
> 10) Tektronics 456M 100Mhz Oscope.
>
>
> A couple questions I have is how much better would the likes of the ICOM
> 8500 or AOR 5000 +3
> perform on the VHF/UHF bands than my Pro2006/OS456 combo ? I love this
> scanner.
>
> Would it be better to keep the Pro2006 or the OptoCom and just add a high
> end SW receiver ?
>
> Other than the ICOM 8500 or AOR 5000 +3 are there any other units i should
> consider. These 2 seem to have
> a decent following. Anything new coming around the corner that i should
keep
> an eye out for ?
>
>
> Any input from you folks is much appreciated. Thanks,
> Dean
>
>

2227. Re: New Austin TX Frequencies for 800 Mhz Trunked Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <39876CE3.100C@gwis.com>
Source record: 83594

Robert Barker wrote:
>
> I was digging around in the FCC website and found this list of Frequencies
> licensed to APD for 800 Mhz.
>
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_freq_res.hts?db_
> id=16&file=A057593&key=1
>
> The frequencies (105 of them) range from 866.0125 to 868.975 and include
> the 5 common ones found on all 800 Mhz Government Systems.
>
> APD also renewed their licenses for Intercity: 155.370, and 154.950.
> Thanks to Mike Simpson, with the city for getting this stuff updated.
>
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/slm_site_res.hts?db_
> id=16&link=0&callsign=A058083

When is Austin going to 800Mhz? Or have they already?
thanks

2228. Re: Will internal parts last forever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <39876e78_2@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 83595

If you like the pro-2006 and ant a good relplacement, see if you can find someone willing to part with a pro-2042. Might want to check out the BC 780XLT when it appears.

Paul, KC2FNC

"Davismv" <davismv@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000731031735.05286.00000596@ng-bh1.aol.com...
> This question is for someone that knows a lot about electronic components in
> scanners. I own a Pro-2006 (Love it!) and was wondering how long I could
> expect it to last.
>
> I use it to death: it never gets really warm, and I take care of it well.
>
> Is there any specific known problems with this model? I know that using
> external power is best, and dimming the display saves wear and tear, but how
> about the other parts? How long do capacitors last? chips, etc..? Is there a
> good environment that electronics should be stored in when not being used for a
> period of time?
>
> Thanks for whoever responds to the post... and you can e-mail me directly if
> you want to chat. I am looking into buying another pro-2006 as a backup, as I
> know that they are becoming rare!
>
>
> Mark.

2229. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39877988.BA14C753@mich.com>
Source record: 83596

abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless
> phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure?

'Secure' is relative. I think my home is 'secure.' The gov't thinks
the Gold Depository at Ft. Knox is 'secure.' That doesn't mean my home
has the same physical security standards at the Gold Depository.

If your threat is Joe Scannergeek a couple dooors down from you, then
yes, a cordless phone utilizing spead-spectrum (FH or DS) provides a
relatively high degree of transmission security.

If the threat is a private investigator, the cordless phone link is
still relatively secure, but the conversation isn't, since he/she could
easily tap the wires that provide your home with telephone service.

If the threat is a local law enforcement agency or sophisticated
hacker, the cordless phone link is marginally secure, but the
conversation isn't, since he/she might be able to tap your conversation
at the switch.

If the threat is a federal agency, the RF link isn't secure, but of
course it'd be easier for them to just tap/trap/trace from the switch.

> Does
> a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> it's digital too?

I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.

> What cordless phone do you use?

Panasonic KX-TG2550F

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2230. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <MWYh5.70912$V34.641534@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Please present the proof of your statement below or be found to be a liar by default.

"Rich" <no@mail> wrote
> You have been proven to be the king of all liars and have no right to question
> anyone else.

2231. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <0YYh5.70916$V34.641491@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Are you aware that when you point the finger at someone else, you have 3
fingers pointing back
at yourself?

"Rich" <no@mail> wrote
SNIP
> The only difference is that you DO look like an idiot
SNIP

2232. Re: oobladee, ooblada FlatASS is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <vZYh5.70919$V34.641486@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83399

"Rich" <no@mail> wrote
> On Sun, 30 Jul 2000 04:27:14 GMT, "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
wrote:
> >Wrong! I have never told a lie in my entire adult life!
>
> Yea you have - and there is ANOTHER one. You are in denial. Must be

OK, present your proof of your statement above or be found to be a liar by
default, again.

2233. Re: Who is John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <f_Yh5.70921$V34.641128@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83400

Another forged post, below.

"John Mackey Sr" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote
SNIP

2234. Re: Got any "Classic Cheek" archives to share with the group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <f0Zh5.70924$V34.641609@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I didn't know there were any of those. I thought they were all
of Cheek getting shreadded by everyone else! Don't you think we
should have respect for him during this time of grief?

"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> Yes.. post the ones where Corky gets shredded by
> Cheek. Which is probably all of them.

2235. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <i4mgosou15rsur03656nhjkbie2oparfbd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83402

On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 19:58:44 GMT, abme75@my-deja.com wrote:

>So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless
>phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure? Does
>a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
>it's digital too? What cordless phone do you use?

Security is a relative term. There is no absolute security. In the scheme of most unencoded and unencrypted cordless telephones, this is a relatively secure method of communications.

Frequency Hopping is where you very rapidly hop across many channels in a randomized fashion. You could do this with either an analog or a digital signal. In most cases, however, if someone goes through the trouble to spread a radio signal, it is often digitally encoded as well. But these are really two different issues.

I have a Sanyo 900 MHz DSSS phone. It's digital and it uses direct sequence spreading. It could be intercepted with a similar DSSS phone in the immediate vicinity. I'm not too concerned about this because I live on a small farm from where the signal doesn't radiate far enough to be easily received by my neighbors.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2236. Re: Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <sangosong5sofshi79b6gfg2tb9th9vapg@4ax.com>
Source record: 83403

On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 18:37:59 -0700, Anonymous wrote:

>How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So a
>technical explanation is preferred. <g>)

The easy answer is that spread spectrum scatters, smears, or "spreads" a signal across a wide range of frequencies using a pseudo-random sequence or "chip." The goal is usually to make a signal easier to hide from detection and interception. These techniques can also be used as a form of multiplexing.

There are three basic kinds of spread spectrum. Two of them are well known, one is often overlooked. The first one is frequency hopping. That's where you have a set number of channels which you hop from one to the other in a pseudo-random manner. The signal is recovered by having a receiver follow the transmitter from channel to channel. The goal is not to spend enough time on any particular channel so that the signal is noticed.

The second one is direct sequence spread spectrum, where you basically smear the signal by modulating it with a very rapid pseudo-random signal, such as a rapidly repeating digital word. Here, one expects to ride close to the noise floor and hide the signal by making sure that it is so wide that nobody really notices the slight rise in noise floor.

>What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and
>direct sequence spread spectrum?

Frequency hopping changes the channel rapidly and direct sequence modulates the signal with a wide band signal.

The third and often overlooked method is time domain spreading. This is mostly a pseudo-random rate applied to a series of pulses. One could modulate these pulses by either phase or amplitude. This is what is commonly referred to as Ultra-wideband spread spectrum.

>Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio"
>or is another emission type used altogether?

I need more information about this: which digital phones are you referring to? The PCS phones or cordless phones? If you're talking about cordless phones, they use all sorts of methods. For details on PCS phones, see Qualcomm's web sites and patents.

>I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was
>encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?

True, but the main reason such things don't exist is because the technology is a more expensive than most folks are willing to pay for at the moment.

>Hope this isn't too many questions at once. Many thanks.

This opens up a very detailed study of several fields including information theory, fourier analysis, coding schemes, and so on. If you'd like a very introductory and practical reference, try the ARRL's Spread Spectrum Handbook.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2237. Re: Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous
Message-ID: <f0802ut08g08w28@rec.radio.scanner>
Source record: 83404

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 18:37:59 -0700, Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So
> > a technical explanation is preferred. <g>)
>
> The easy answer is that spread spectrum scatters, smears, or "spreads"
> a signal across a wide range of frequencies using a pseudo-random
> sequence or "chip." The goal is usually to make a signal easier to
> hide from detection and interception. These techniques can also be
> used as a form of multiplexing.
>
> There are three basic kinds of spread spectrum. Two of them are well
> known, one is often overlooked. The first one is frequency hopping.
> That's where you have a set number of channels which you hop from one
> to the other in a pseudo-random manner. The signal is recovered by
> having a receiver follow the transmitter from channel to channel. The
> goal is not to spend enough time on any particular channel so that the
> signal is noticed.

Makes sense. Then I take it that this type employs plain old analog NFM (which, of course, hops)?

By the way, while scanning the 902-927 MHz amateur radio band, I sometimes accidentally run into cordless phone transmissions. And, in some of those, I have noticed a 'ticking' sound; approximately 3-6 clicks per second at dead even intervals (and of durations I'd estimate to be only milliseconds long). Could that phemomenon be another phone user's FSS transmissions as they repeatedly/momentarily hop to and "step on" the transmissions I'm receiving? (I hope that makes some kind of sense.)

> The second one is direct sequence spread spectrum, where you basically
> smear the signal by modulating it with a very rapid pseudo-random
> signal, such as a rapidly repeating digital word. Here, one expects
> to ride close to the noise floor and hide the signal by making sure
> that it is so wide that nobody really notices the slight rise in noise
> floor.

So then it's nothing more than an ultra-ultra-ultra-WFM style signal, for a lack of better words? If so, then I guess the only question I have is why said signal would be hard to detect it. For instance, a scanner tuned to a WFM signal in NFM mode still hears a full quieting signal (even though any modulation will over-deviate like crazy). So, wouldn't the same effect happen here (with DSS)? Or does a signal's apparent strength simply go down

as your receiver bandwidth decreases (compared to the transmission itself)? That's my only guess; perhaps the difference isn't noticeable between the bandwidths of NFM and WFM, but NFM versus 902-928 MHz, well ... <g>)

>> What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and
>> direct sequence spread spectrum?
>
> Frequency hopping changes the channel rapidly and direct sequence
> modulates the signal with a wide band signal.
>
> The third and often overlooked method is time domain spreading. This
> is mostly a pseudo-random rate applied to a series of pulses. One
> could modulate these pulses by either phase or amplitude. This is
> what is commonly referred to as Ultra-wideband spread spectrum.

Hmmm. I think I'll have to refer to that ARRL book you've recommended before I can comprehend this one. <g> I'm familiar with amplitude and phase modulation, but "pseudo-random rate" is somehow throwing me off.

> >Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio"
> >or is another emission type used altogether?
>
> I need more information about this: which digital phones are you
> referring to? The PCS phones or cordless phones? If you're talking
> about cordless phones, they use all sorts of methods. For details on
> PCS phones, see Qualcomm's web sites and patents.

Cordless. For instance, many law agencies' digital systems are just NFM analog emissions with digital audio--much like digital modem talk on an analog telco line. So I'm curious if this is what cordless phones do, or if they instead (for example) use some kind of PCM modulation (the pulses representing the bitstream, thus creating a "digital" emission rather than an analog one).

> >I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was
> >encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?
>
> True, but the main reason such things don't exist is because the
> technology is a more expensive than most folks are willing to pay for
> at the moment.

Really? Knowing the consumer electronics industry, I'd have figured they could easily offer an "encrypted" model using nothing more than the output of a pseudo-random number generator. (E.g. add the psuedo values to the real bits and subtract them at the other end; hardly very good encryption, but sufficient to legally advertise the phone as "encrypted.") Anyway, point is, since such a cheesy "encryption" scheme is so easy to do, I'm just surprised they haven't done it. :-) I wouldn't think it'd take more than a chip that could add/subtract with some pseudo-number generating firmware on board.

Anyay, thanks very much for the informative response.

> >Hope this isn't too many questions at once. Many thanks.
>
> This opens up a very detailed study of several fields including
> information theory, fourier analysis, coding schemes, and so on. If
> you'd like a very introductory and practical reference, try the ARRL's
> Spread Spectrum Handbook.
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2238. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IP_h5.307$0k6.125441@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote ...
> Glenn - I have spoken in person with the repeater owner at the time in
> question for 5.23 (Jon Marcinko). He said says you are wrong & that there
> has never been a problem with my conduct on any of his repeaters.

So you are calling myself and 3 other hams who heard you liars because we
didn't bother Jon with it? Sorry Corky, you little straw man once again
falls down.

> Since HE is the licensee of that repeater, you had better talk to him.

I do quite often Corky. He has given myself and a freind provate use of a
440 repeater he owns. We don't talk about obscene nurses aides that tried
jamming his repeater with profanity years ago. I will next time I talk to
him Corky. I am sure we will get a big laugh out of the adventures of Corky,
nurses aide, ham radio license salesman and repeater smoker.

> All
> you are doing here is flapping your gums & telling more of your lies!

No Corky, I verified what Dan N7ZXL posted and you challenged him to verify.
Fout people witnessed and verified your abusive and illegal use of the 523
repeater. You are the only one that says it is a lie. Gee, who do we believe
Corky? Corky the liar nurses aid or 4 hams that have nothing to gain by
lieing?

Best you concentrate on those legal problems your father posted about.

Glenn

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > I can verify what Dan says about jamming the 523 repeater. So can
> > AB7DN, N0NZT and a number of other hams. He was cursing my name because
I
> > had exposed to the NG
> SNIP
> > Ham screaming profanity over the 523 repeater. So, Dan ISN'T the liar,
> John
> > Mackey is the liar, again. Calling John Mackey a liar IS a bit
> > redundant, isn't it?
>

2239. FA: Scancat Gold 6.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <39887E8A.63EA5A3D@orwell.net>
Source record: 83406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397517541

2240. FA: Icom R-10 Receiver MINT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <39887E99.E8B0C414@orwell.net>
Source record: 83407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397512697

2241. FA: Sony SC1-PC Scanner NIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <39887EAA.A12E06B8@orwell.net>
Source record: 83408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

See it at :

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397430189

2242. FS: Yaesu FT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcsw@home.com
Message-ID: <Oh%h5.57809$oj6.517778@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83409

I have a FT-50RD (the deluxe version) for sale. Excellent physical and operating condition (9.5/10). Comes with original receipt, box, antenna, handstrap, NC-60b wall charger, FTT-12 keypad, CTCSS, DCS Enc/Dec, Digital Voice Recorder, and FNB-41 9.6v 600mAh rechargable battery. I also have an extra antenna I will throw in, and a SMC adapter so you can hook an external (whip) car antenna to it.

Price: $200 + $10 shipping (I prefer to use UPS ground).

Email me directly if interested: bcsw@home.com

73 de K4MSW
Todd Atkins
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

2243. Re: Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <tkvgos4217j5qk7rubdhug111h8islusr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 83410

On Wed, 02 Aug 2000 11:58:16 -0700, Anonymous wrote:

>Jake Brodsky wrote:
>>
>> On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 18:37:59 -0700, Anonymous wrote:
>> >
>> > How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So
>> > a technical explanation is preferred. <g>)
>>
>> The easy answer is that spread spectrum scatters, smears, or "spreads"
>> a signal across a wide range of frequencies using a pseudo-random
>> sequence or "chip." The goal is usually to make a signal easier to
>> hide from detection and interception. These techniques can also be
>> used as a form of multiplexing.
>>
>> There are three basic kinds of spread spectrum. Two of them are well
>> known, one is often overlooked. The first one is frequency hopping.
>> That's where you have a set number of channels which you hop from one
>> to the other in a pseudo-random manner. The signal is recovered by
>> having a receiver follow the transmitter from channel to channel. The
>> goal is not to spend enough time on any particular channel so that the
>> signal is noticed.
>
>Makes sense. Then I take it that this type employs plain old analog NFM
>(which, of course, hops)?

I think you're confusing yourself (I like John Doty's sig line!). NFM
is NOT like hopping. Imagine running a CB radio and talking while
turning the channel knob from 3 to 28 to 5 to 39 ... Your signal
would hop across the CB radio band. Now imagine that somehow someone
else knows exactly when your channel knob will be on each given
channel and they listen in sync while turning their channel knobs.
This is frequency hopping. It is independent of modulation. You
could do this with FM, AM, FSK, QAM, you name it...

>By the way, while scanning the 902-927 MHz amateur radio band, I sometimes
>accidentally run into cordless phone transmissions. And, in some of those,
>I have noticed a 'ticking' sound; approximately 3-6 clicks per second at
>dead even intervals (and of durations I'd estimate to be only milliseconds
>long). Could that phemomenon be another phone user's FSS transmissions as
>they repeatedly/momentarily hop to and "step on" the transmissions I'm
>receiving? (I hope that makes some kind of sense.)

Yeah. It does. You're hearing one of those direct sequence cordless
phones resynchronizing with the base unit. They save power by not

transmitting all the time. Instead, the base periodically transmits a
burst to keep the set locked online and in synchronization.

>> The second one is direct sequence spread spectrum, where you basically
>> smear the signal by modulating it with a very rapid pseudo-random
>> signal, such as a rapidly repeating digital word. Here, one expects
>> to ride close to the noise floor and hide the signal by making sure
>> that it is so wide that nobody really notices the slight rise in noise
>> floor.
>
>So then it's nothing more than an ultra-ultra-ultra-WFM style signal, for a
>lack of better words? If so, then I guess the only question I have is why
>said signal would be hard to detect it. For instance, a scanner tuned to a
>WFM signal in NFM mode still hears a full quieting signal (even though any
>modulation will over-deviate like crazy). So, wouldn't the same effect
>happen here (with DSS)? Or does a signal's apparent strength simply go down
>as your receiver bandwidth decreases (compared to the transmission itself)?
>That's my only guess; perhaps the difference isn't noticeable between the
>bandwidths of NFM and WFM, but NFM versus 902-928 MHz, well ... <g>)

Well, not exactly. The difference is that you'd unscramble the spread
signal to recover the original signaling. It's sort of like
modulating (or demodulating) the modulated signal. THAT'S what
spreads it. And it's worth noting that when despreading a signal of
this sort, any narrowband stuff within the pass-band appear spread to
the signal recovery. So, not only do you recover your own signal, you
also spread the other narrowband signals.

Basically, spread spectrum techniques are all double modulated
signals. One modulation step is for your information to get on a
carrier wave somehow, and the other step is for your carrier wave to
get spread all over the place. When receiving, you despread the
signal and then you can detect it normally in your IF stage.

>>> What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and
>>> direct sequence spread spectrum?
>>
>> Frequency hopping changes the channel rapidly and direct sequence
>> modulates the signal with a wide band signal.
>>
>> The third and often overlooked method is time domain spreading. This
>> is mostly a pseudo-random rate applied to a series of pulses. One
>> could modulate these pulses by either phase or amplitude. This is
>> what is commonly referred to as Ultra-wideband spread spectrum.
>
>Hmmm. I think I'll have to refer to that ARRL book you've recommended
>before I can comprehend this one. <g> I'm familiar with amplitude and
>phase modulation, but "pseudo-random rate" is somehow throwing me off.

Imagine that I tap a spoon on the table with a certain rhythm. You
know that rhythm in advance. You'll be able to tell if I'm late or
early on each tap. The transmitted information is in the late/early

transitions. That is called phase modulation. Amplitude is loud and
soft taps. Now, instead of tapping a spoon, imagine a machine that
spews a wide-band pulse with a rapidly repeating "rhythm."

That's the essence of time domain spreading.

>> >Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio"
>> >or is another emission type used altogether?
>>
>> I need more information about this: which digital phones are you
>> referring to? The PCS phones or cordless phones? If you're talking
>> about cordless phones, they use all sorts of methods. For details on
>> PCS phones, see Qualcomm's web sites and patents.
>
>Cordless. For instance, many law agencies' digital systems are just NFM
>analog emissions with digital audio--much like digital modem talk on an
>analog telco line. So I'm curious if this is what cordless phones do, or
>if they instead (for example) use some kind of PCM modulation (the pulses
>representing the bitstream, thus creating a "digital" emission rather
>than an analog one).

Mine uses PCM and spreads it with a directly applied sequence. It's
designed for the 902-928 MHz ISM band (which is also a ham radio
band).

>> >I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was
>> >encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?
>>
>> True, but the main reason such things don't exist is because the
>> technology is a more expensive than most folks are willing to pay for
>> at the moment.
>
>Really? Knowing the consumer electronics industry, I'd have figured they
>could easily offer an "encrypted" model using nothing more than the output
>of a pseudo-random number generator. (E.g. add the psuedo values to the
>real bits and subtract them at the other end; hardly very good encryption,
>but sufficient to legally advertise the phone as "encrypted.") Anyway,
>point is, since such a cheesy "encryption" scheme is so easy to do, I'm
>just surprised they haven't done it. :-) I wouldn't think it'd take more
>than a chip that could add/subtract with some pseudo-number generating
>firmware on board.

Encryption or encoding? Encoding is easy and is common. Some phones
do really easy and dumb things such as speech frequency inversion.
(It sounds exactly like tuning in a sideband signal with the wrong
sideband setting)

Encryption is not a one for one symbol replacement (as you would have
with encoding). Rather it's a continuous ciphering scheme. Examples
of encryption schemes are DES, PGP, and other such schemes.

>Anyay, thanks very much for the informative response.

You're welcome...

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2244. Re: Poughkeepsie NY (dutchess county) freq request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ma3njfaq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <045foso1f6pupek69dq0qs37ok6anlf284@4ax.com>,
Gambit32 <gambit32@SPAMFREE.yahoo.com> wrote:
> Im looking for frequencies for Poughkeepsie NY, dutchess
> county. Specifically pertaining to frequencies used by Marist
> College.

From the Percon data base.
461.9625 WNRR254 MARIST COLLEGE IB
NY DUTCHESS 413217/0735500

464.7375 WPLF824 MARIST COLLEGE IB
NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

464.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB
POUGHKEEPSIE NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

464.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB
POUGHKEEPSIE NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

464.8875 WNVI265 MARIST COLLEGE IB
NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

469.7375 WPLF824 MARIST COLLEGE IB
NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

469.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB POUGHKEEPSIE
NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541

469.8875 WNXR975 MARIST COLLEGE IB
NY DUTCHESS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2245. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96jp$g9v@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Other frequency web sites include:
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/ and
http://www.scannerway.8m.com.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or

anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the

channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have

the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus

service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2246. Help, Cooked resistor in AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: greg.m@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <greg.m-ya02408000R0208001749200001@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 83413

Hello,

Recently had a friend (once had) who said that hooking my 8000 to a jack for computer control would be no problem. Well I think, Actually pretty sure, he fried the resistor. My question. Is it fixable? Where to send it? And what type of surface mount resistor is it. It is the resistor in the middle above the flat cable plug for use with the Opto CX12A. Any help would be greatly appreciated. BTW, the radio works pefectly with the exception for not being able to use my flat cable connector

Thanks
Greg
greg.m@mindspring.com

2247. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <BN0i5.189448$t91.1671097@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 83414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cancer

Toll Free

"rob34" <rob34@infinet.com> wrote in message
news:39840b2b$0$62231$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com...
> He will be missed!Does anybody know what he died from?Rob WB8VWI
> "David Moisan" <dmoisan@shore.net> wrote in message
> news:3980d49b.12831704@news.shore.net...
> > On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:39:13 GMT, "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> > >to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
> > >
> > >http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
> > >
> > >Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
> > >
> > >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> > >truly be be missed.
> >
> > He was inimitable, surely. I never met him but I have fond memories
> > of him in the old Fidonet SHORTWAVE echo. In fact, I got published in
> > his old newsletter "World Scanner Report" (March '92 if anyone's
> > keeping track.) One day, I posted my Carpet Loop plans on the echo,
> > and Bill was so taken by my plans that he asked my permission to
> > publish them if I would draw a schematic for him. I did, and he did.
> > I was delighted!
> >
> > I know about his freebanding history--it was the subject of many a
> > flamewar on Fido. I don't care. Even though freebanders and hams are
> > supposed to be natural enemies, I could never hold that against him,
> > not when he worked so hard to develop mods for so many of our favorite
> > radios. Not when he got indicted for having a device (a data slicer)
> > that *I* own myself (otherwise known as a HamComm decoder!)
> >
> >
> > >Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
> > >use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
> >
> > Rest assured that neither the FCC nor the CTIA will bother him again.
> >
> > R.I.P., Bill

> >
> > Take care,
> >
> > Dave
> >
> > David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL
> n1kgh@amsat.org
> > Invisible Disability:
> http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
> > GE Superradio FAQ:
> http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
> > Sangean ATS-909 FAQ:
> http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html
>
>
>

2248. Re: Help, Cooked resistor in AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3988A26D.84281601@home.com>
Source record: 83415

> Yep, Ya always have to be leary of friends who say "that should be no
> problem" My next question is usually "have you ever done this before?"
> "Well, no but I saw a guy do it on t.v." :-) I have a couple of friends like
> that also. I hope you get some resolution. Good luck. Mike Burch K8MB

2249. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3988A4ED.BDCB3067@cts.com>
Source record: 83416

TW wrote:
>
> It is currently illegal to listen to the cell phones using a scanner in the
> US.
So call the feds...
Get off my lawn!

2250. FS: Pro-39 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MikeIsMe <mt_goodrich@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LG1i5.414301$MB.6441326@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 83417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all,

I have a Pro-39 Scanner that I have for sale. It features auto scan of 200 channels at 25 channels per second. Scans the following frequencies: 30-54mhz~ 6m Ham~ 108-136.975 ~ 137-174 mhz~ 380-512mhz~ 806-823.9375mhz~ 851-868.9375mhz~, and 896-960mhz. I've been told other special frequencies are available with simple modifications to this scanner; however, I have not tried these modifications.

This scanner includes the wall AC adapter. I do not have the manual for this, but info is available on the Radio Shack web site.

Please e-mail any reasonable offer to: mt_goodrich@yahoo.com

Final agreed upon price will include shipping from the D/FW area.

Thanks!!!!

Mike

2251. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: abme75@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mabm4lag1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83418

Are you Kidding me? I just bought the phone. Shit, I'll take it back
then..

In article <ZWLh5.53218$oj6.507605@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>,
"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote:
> Just because they hop doesn't mean they are secure. My neighbor has
> a phone that hops and I hear almost every word they say.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2252. F.S. Pro29 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8macfl$m1n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83419

I have a Pro29 handheld scanner for sale.This scanner is like new in the box with all accessories.It covers from 29mhz. to 956mhz. It also receives Cell.Images .It has 60 ch.,limit search,search skip ,delay,wx weather at a touch.Other features to many to list.$90.00 shipped to your door.Email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2253. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com
Message-ID: <3988b6e3.5842633@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 83420

This is the exact problem I am having with my brand new Pro-92A (20-522A 05A00). Every once in awhile I receive a trunked transmission but no ID shows up, until I hit manual then an ID will be diplayed. This is frustrating, when no ID shows up it will not let me hold on the ID/conversation, and these are valid talk goups that for the majority of time I receive fine with the proper ID. I am very tempted to return it and just wait it out for something better to show up in a year or two.

Andy
>The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
>whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed or
>open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
>to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
>"T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
>programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
>except in the programming mode.
>Jon

2254. frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com>
Source record: 83421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a recent road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were talking on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.

On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the 870-890mhz range

A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used theirs and it showed a 843mhz frequency.

There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower frequency for a mobile phone
given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.

A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz range.

I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to the metal skin of the aircraft....
I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter as the plane was waiting for clearance.

I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance and tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the flight attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies in 172's and 210's (Cessna)

JOHN
-

2255. Uniden SC200 and Winscan Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Burton <bdburton@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <0h4i5.10$VK.630@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83422
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

All,

I am considering upgrading my SC150 to a SC200. I like the alpha numeric feature, the extra 100 channels, and the PC interface option. However, after some research I found out to import frequencies to the scanner from my PC (database / spreadsheet) you need some additional third party software. The software that you can download from Uniden will only upload frequencies from their database. I believe the third party software is called Winscan Sport. Is there a place on the web where I can download a demo I want to make sure that this software will actually do what I want before I sink $200 in the scanner and probably another $50 in Winscan Sport. I hate it when companies do this why not include all the software with the scanner!!

Also anybody have any comments on the SC200?

TIA,
Bryan

2256. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3988D9BF.A42017CC@mich.com>
Source record: 83423

Kenneth Masner wrote:
>
> I posted a few comments re the 9440 (as well as the non dss 9110) on a
> similar thread on alt.radio.scanner. As for non-dss phones that hop,
> many scanners certainly are fast enough to keep up with the hops when
> the full range of frequencies is entered for this purpose. -- km

Then what are the hop rates for the phones that you claim many
scanners are fast enough to follow?

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2257. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3988DA7C.3DD0E6E2@mich.com>
Source record: 83424

Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Tim Tyler wrote:
> >
> > abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > Does
> > > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > > it's digital too?
> >
> > I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.
>
> I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
> spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
> transmission.

I seem to recall differently -- that while one side of the RF link was
spread, the other side was stationary & analog for some of the earlier
Panasonic dual-band Gigarange phones.

> I think there
> are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
> transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones.

Hmmm...

> The fact that
> it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
> that the phone uses digital transmission.

So then, what does the DSS label signify to you?

> Michael Moore

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2258. Re: Question about the Bearcat BC-20/20 & BC-211 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3988DCFA.6FCC8035@megsinet.net>
Source record: 83425

The 1981 vintage BC 20/20 covers 30 - 50, 118 - 136 (AM), 144 - 174, and
421 - 512.450 MHz.
It was also offered as a partially assembled Heathkit bearing Heath's
own
model number.

Jimdpeters wrote:
>
> Does anyone know what the frequency coverage of either one of these radio's is?
>
> Bearcat BC-20/20
> Bearcat BC-211

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

2259. Re: Question about the Bearcat BC-20/20 & BC-211 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3988DFE7.8053BA1A@megsinet.net>
Source record: 83426

I forgot to mention that the 1979 vintage BC 211 has
18 channels and covers 32 - 50, 146 - 174, and 420 - 512 MHz.
It wasn't very popular.

For $50 - $60 more, one could buy the BC 220 and get 20 channels
in two banks, air band coverage, and air and marine Service Search.

Jimdpeters wrote:
>
> Does anyone know what the frequency coverage of either one of these radio's is?
>
> Bearcat BC-20/20
> Bearcat BC-211
>
> And yes I mean BC-211 not 210, I did not even know there was a Bearcat BC-211
> til the other day. Any other info you can offer on either one of these radio's
> would really be appreciated.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

2260. scanner in real audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3988E556.FE384D65@analon.com>
Source record: 83427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.phoenix360.com/scanner/

try this for scanner in real audio.... found it tonight as I was looking ofr news on a major fire

--
*

2261. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Big Ron <bigron@idiom.com>
Message-ID: <h3phosgmndge7ntknsbdc1g6ljbfa31jt2@4ax.com>
Source record: 83428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 02:07:18 GMT, "TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote:

>
>Which place service did you use? Most now don't allow scanners or 2-way
>radios on the flight.
>
It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
(doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)

2262. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <3988EA5D.E734D064@postnet.com>
Source record: 83429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

KS0F wrote:

>
> I have NEVER ridiculed the Cheek family, & have provided words of comfort
> during the bereavement.

More mendacity! The proof is in the snide and unfeeling remarks your
made immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing. Your thinly
veiled glee at his demise and insincere professions of sympathy coupled with
offhand remarks that typify the expression "damned by faint praise" were here
for all to see. You're a liar and a sick net-pest who seeks validation by
misbehaving in the most repulsive and outrageous fashion. We all can see your
twisted glee in these phony professions of innocence. You're the kind of
worthless net-monkey who hides behind the "you can't PROVE I meant it that way'
kind of defense. We know you for what you are. By all accounts here apparently
the local authorities do as well. Want to discuss that, John? I'd like to know
YOUR side of the story - all I hear is the accusation other hurl. come on, John;
others want to know the story.

> Dan, I'll meet you anywhere anytime you would like to arrange a mutually
> agreeable
> place, I CERTAINLY have NO reason to "run" from you!!!! BTW - the owner and
> licensee of the 5.23 Lake Tapps repeater (Jon Marcinko) has told me in
> person that there
> has never been a problem with my activities on any of his repeaters, ...

He didn't hear you do it and therefore chose not to pursue it. Not that
it would do much good, anyway. The point here is that you are the kind of person
who abuses his ham license, maliciously interferes with others' use of a
repeater and makes threats of physical violence via the same then "runs for the
border" before he can get taken up on it. As far as a meeting is concerned,
you've got my QTH right in the sig. How about telling everyone where we can find
you on almost any evening at home, John? I know that most night's you're at work
licking bed pans but just in case I get up to Portland this fall and haven't
anything else to do after dinner at the Ringside and a couple hours browsing in
Powell's, I might like to look you up. come on, tough guy' tell us where to find
that rock you hide under.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2263. oobladee, ooblada Fat Mackey is a Bald Slob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <3988EEC4.6A7B6B8A@lp.com>
Source record: 83430

Give me a big down hug baldy.

2264. Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <3988EF7D.E0C78758@lp.com>
Source record: 83431

You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG
and in life.

2265. new look more info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: FROMUT@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28797-3988EB1C-59@storefull-257.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

and more changes to come!

try my web page for more infomation & links to other sites?

http://community.webtv.net/FROMUT/THEUTAHRADIO

2266. Democratic Convention Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Kellogg <Michael@kelloggs.org>
Message-ID: <soi0aub3dbm166@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83433

OK, it's almost time for the democratic convention in downtown L.A. My building is at 9th and Figueroa, so I'll get to wade through an awful lot of protesting, police blockades, and other fun for a few days.

Anyone have any thoughts on LAPD, Secret Service, and other involved parties as far as frequencies, procedures, tactical issues, etc.? A good comparison would be the Republican convention going on right now in Philly.

Michael Kellogg
Diamond Bar, California

2267. Re: What's the best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <dz7i5.3209$2k6.446704@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83434

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8mb1c5$4mn$1@news.netmar.com...
> I know but what is the cheapest I can go and get mil-air? I think I need
> triple conversion being in a large metropolitian rf rich enviroment. Any
> ideas, suggestions or (grin) donations?
>
> TL
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

2268. New site from Oklahoma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garth KirkpatrickSr <gkirk@mmcable.com>
Message-ID: <e%Jh5.20690$t%4.173263@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 83457

Take a look at this new site
It covers the Oklahoma City area for now but has plans to grow.
http://circle_k.homestead.com

2269. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <8m7r7m$6rq$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 83458

abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
: So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless
: phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure? Does
: a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
: it's digital too? What cordless phone do you use?

AT&T 9400 digital spread spectrum frequency hops and is thus secure.

--
* *
Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends

Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

2270. WANTED schematic for bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JfKh5.7570$N47.80664@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 83459

still looking for schematic for bearcat 220

labs@idirect.com

brian

2271. Pro-92 Text Tagging on 4th line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <398777B3.1213F511@gte.net>
Source record: 83460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This maybe a dumb question but here goes.....Is it possible to put text on the forth line of the scanner....the picture on the box of the scanner shows text on the 4th line.....if so how do you put text on the 4th line...I can only put text on the 3rd line..Thanks....crimson.tide@gte.net

2272. Austin TX Proposed Trunked Public Safety System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CVKh5.2287$AE1.38177@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 83461

These are the frequencies LICENSED for Austin Tx proposed Public Safety System. Even though they are LICENSED, it does not mean that all of them will be active. LCRA licensed much more than they are using. I have not gotten an official date but possibly not until 2001. The system is supposed to be digital but (then again) so was LCRA's system before they found out digital did not work for them. . This is one part of a major Multi-Million Dollar project which involves new CAD Computer Aided Dispatching and a New Dispatch Center off 51st Street by the old Airport Hangers. This as of 8/1/2000 rbarker@austin.rr.com

File # County Name City
Frequency
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.01250000 mutual aid
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.03750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.06250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.08750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.13750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.16250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.18750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.21250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.23750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.26250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.28750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.31250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.33750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.38750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.41250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.43750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.46250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.51250000 mutual aid
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.56250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.58750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.61250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.63750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.67500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.71250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.73750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.76250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.78750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.81250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.83750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.86250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.88750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.92500000

A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.95000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.97500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.01250000 mutual aid
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.05000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.08750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.11250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.13750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.16250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.18750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.21250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.26250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.28750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.31250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.33750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.37500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.41250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.45000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.47500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.23750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.51250000 mutual aid
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.55000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.57500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.60000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.63750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.66250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.68750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.72500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.75000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.77500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.80000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.82500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.85000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.87500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.90000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.92500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.95000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.97500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.01250000 mutual aid
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.07500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.10000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.12500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.15000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.17500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.20000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.22500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.25000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.27500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.30000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.32500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.37500000

A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.40000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.42500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.46250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.36250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.50000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.52500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.55000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.57500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.60000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.62500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.66250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.68750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.71250000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.75000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.77500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.88750000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.80000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.82500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.85000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.87500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.92500000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.95000000
A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.97500000

2273. Re: Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <jULh5.53212$oj6.507565@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83462

Why do you choose to be anonymous?

<Anonymous> wrote in message news:05gr8028t48g042@rec.radio.scanner...
> Since I've found no FAQs, I'll just ask here:
>
> How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So a
> technical explanation is preferred. <g>)

The phone has a wide range of freq to use. Most are digital. The old phones
used to be analog.

>
> What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and
> direct sequence spread spectrum?

It says it right there. Hopping means it goes to any random freq in its
range. Direct is it follows the freqs in a row. Never random.

>
> Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio"
> or is another emission type used altogether?

Not really sure on this one.

>
> I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was
> encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?

Same as last one.

2274. Re: Austin TX Proposed Trunked Public Safety System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <cVLh5.53214$oj6.507661@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83463

Why in the world did you put all thoses 0's before the freq.? All you had
to do is put 8**.****

Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:CVKh5.2287$AE1.38177@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> These are the frequencies LICENSED for Austin Tx proposed Public Safety
> System. Even though they are LICENSED, it does not mean that all of them
> will be active. LCRA licensed much more than they are using. I have
not
> gotten an official date but possibly not until 2001. The system is
supposed
> to be digital but (then again) so was LCRA's system before they found out
> digital did not work for them. . This is one part of a major
Multi-Million
> Dollar project which involves new CAD Computer Aided Dispatching and a New
> Dispatch Center off 51st Street by the old Airport Hangers. This as of
> 8/1/2000 rbarker@austin.rr.com
>
> File # County Name City
> Frequency
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.01250000 mutual aid
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.03750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.06250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.08750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.13750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.16250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.18750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.21250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.23750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.26250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.28750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.31250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.33750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.38750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.41250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.43750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.46250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.51250000 mutual aid
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.56250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.58750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.61250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.63750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.67500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.71250000

> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.73750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.76250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.78750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.81250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.83750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.86250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.88750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.92500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.95000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000866.97500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.01250000 mutual aid
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.05000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.08750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.11250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.13750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.16250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.18750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.21250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.26250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.28750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.31250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.33750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.37500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.41250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.45000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.47500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.23750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.51250000 mutual aid
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.55000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.57500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.60000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.63750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.66250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.68750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.72500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.75000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.77500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.80000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.82500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.85000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.87500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.90000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.92500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.95000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000867.97500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.01250000 mutual aid
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.07500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.10000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.12500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.15000000

> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.17500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.20000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.22500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.25000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.27500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.30000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.32500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.37500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.40000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.42500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.46250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.36250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.50000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.52500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.55000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.57500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.60000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.62500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.66250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.68750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.71250000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.75000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.77500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.88750000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.80000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.82500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.85000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.87500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.92500000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.95000000
> A057592 YF FB2 TRAVIS, COUNTY OF AUSTIN TX 00000868.97500000
>
>
>
>
>

2275. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <ZWLh5.53218$oj6.507605@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83464

Just because they hop doesn't mean they are secure. My neighbor has a phone
that hops and I hear almost every word they say.

Uncle Bob <unclebob@ripco.com> wrote in message
news:8m7r7m$6rq$1@gail.ripco.com...
> abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> : So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless
> : phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure? Does
> : a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> : it's digital too? What cordless phone do you use?
>
>
> AT&T 9400 digital spread spectrum frequency hops and is thus secure.
>
> --
> *
> Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends
>
> Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

2276. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <8m86dvegu1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 83465

TW (tandb80NOSPM@home.com) wrote:
: Just because they hop doesn't mean they are secure. My neighbor has a phone
: that hops and I hear almost every word they say.

The conversation is DIGITALLY BROADCAST across the spectrum. You won't
hear any words as you might with an ANALOG signal. Bet your neighbor is
not using an AT&T 9400.

--
* *
Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends

Uncle Bob.....unclebob@ripco.com

2277. Poughkeepsie NY (dutchess county) freq request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gambit32 <gambit32@SPAMFREE.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <045foso1f6pupek69dq0qs37ok6anlf284@4ax.com>
Source record: 83466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Im looking for frequencies for Poughkeepsie NY, dutchess
county. Specifically pertaining to frequencies used by Marist
College.

I used to have the frequencies, but that was two years ago, and i lost
the memory on my scanner. I never bothered to write them down! I
have no clue what frequences they were, and i cant find them online.

Anyone able to point me in the right direction?

2278. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokkeyy73 <smokkeyy73@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HBNh5.1853$0W4.62593@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

I am not new to scanners but your site is excellent!! I appreciate the time
you put into developing a site with so much information.....

Shawn
"Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net> wrote in message
news:3986BBA3.A64249C@strongsignals.net...
> To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>
> Topics include :
> Look Before You Post
> What's The Best Scanner?
> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> Scanner Glossary
> Scanner Model FAQ
> Scanner Dealers FAQ
> Frequently Asked Frequencies
> FCC Frequency Databases
> CTCSS & DCS
> What's Illegal
> Cellular-capable Scanners
> Trunked Radio Systems
> Co-ax Cable
> Receiver Range
> Your Scanner On A Plane
> Frequency Counters
> Magazines & Newsletters
> Rechargeable Battery Tips
> Radio Discussion Lists
> Radio Modifications
> RadioShack On-line Manuals
> Uniden On-line Manuals
> AOR On-line Manuals
> Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
> Amateur & HF Radio Manuals
> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> Used Scanner Price Guide
> Radio Monitoring Software
> Receivers Covering Military Aero
> Computer Control
> Multiple Scanners And One Antenna

> Do I Need An Amplifier?
> Where Can I Get A Digital Scanner?
> *What Is Reaction Tune?
> *What's A Discriminator?
> *What's A Good Scanner For Races?
> My Web Page
> Courtesy
>
> *=Recently added topics
>
> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
>
> Happy reading!
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

2279. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <1ONh5.18438$RG6.1516424@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83468

If you work for a Cell carrier you can purchase all kinds of cool cell scanner type radios. We have an old analog one that has follow so when the call hands off it jumps to the channel they switched to. But it aint cheap and you have to work for a Cell carrier.

the greatest of all time <chase@losch.net> wrote in message news:J_Gh5.25471$jm5.598438@news-west.usenetserver.com...
where can i buy the hardware to listen to the cellphones
Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:39830437.E9CFB785@worldlynx.net...
> Bill hit the nail on the head...listen to what you want, just don't be
> stupid enough to record it and play it for an audience or post it
> somewhere as some others have been stupid enough to do!
>
>
>
>
>

2280. Re: bc895 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3988acd9.203726618@news.uia.net>
Source record: 83469

I'm pretty sure it's always PRESS + HOLD "2-9-MANUAL" while turning
power on to the unit. Not sure about firmware display though.

On Tue, 1 Aug 2000 13:54:14 -0500, "Drew Gloede" <drewg@execpc.com>
wrote:

>Hey gang,
>
>Quick question need to know how to clear the memory for a 895, and what key
>strokes for the firmware number.
>
>Thanks.
>

2281. Re: Trade Like New Icom R2 for ATS-909 or Sony 7600G - Gone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <c7Oh5.8104$SM4.631561@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 83470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The Icom R2 is gone. Tnx.

Bill K9KZ

Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:csah5.5836$mt6.426848@nntp3.onemain.com...
> Trade my two month old Icom R2 with manual, stock antenna, charger, 4 RS
> NiMH
> batteries bought recently, all in new cond. for Sangean ATS-909, DX-398,
or
> Sony
> 7600G in excellent cond. No scratches or marks please. Tnx.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
>

2282. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <GQPh5.5337$pl4.35397@news4.atl>
Source record: 83471

***
"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:1ONh5.18438$RG6.1516424@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> If you work for a Cell carrier you can purchase all kinds of cool cell
> scanner type radios. We have an old analog one that has follow so when
the
> call hands off it jumps to the channel they switched to. But it aint
cheap
> and you have to work for a Cell carrier.

Or you can get a OKI-900 and a CTEK cable and do the same thing for about
$300 to $400 tops!
But of course..... that would be illegal :-)

trunk trackers ain't crap!

2283. Icom ic-r2 or Yupiteru 7100 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Munaretto <pierinolapeste@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8m8hau$ll5$1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 83472

Hallo

Im piero from italy

I've to by a scanner an i have to decide between icr2 ad yupiteru 7100 at
the same price (140 USD)
Wich is the best scanner?
And Wy?
Thanks
--
****
Piero Munaretto
0347/4600687
www.sangiulianomare.it
info@sangiulianomare.it
****

2284. scanner sites!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <h5Qh5.5366$pl4.35337@news4.atl>
Source record: 83473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Visit The "Top 50 Scanner Sites!"
http://new.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/topsites.html

***
```

2285. If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jj475171@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 83474

Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and I will present you with the information on a very capable peice of equipment, that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All serious inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this post) and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned that I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and best regards to all.....Frank in CT.

2286. Realistic Pro-26 For Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: orgoose@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000802051601.01464.00001075@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 83475

1.3 Ghz, 200 channel scanner. Gets military frequencies, hyperscan feature, and more. $200.

Email: tonycor1@aol.com

2287. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3987F84E.27C478A2@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 83476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

smokkeyy73 wrote:
> I am not new to scanners but your site is excellent!! I appreciate the time
> you put into developing a site with so much information.....

Thanks for the compliment, Shawn, and I'm pleased you've enjoyed
your visits!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2288. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3987F99E.E9CC2D8E@cts.com>
Source record: 83477

Michael Champion wrote:
>
> If you work for a Cell carrier you can purchase all kinds of cool cell
> scanner type radios. We have an old analog one that has follow so when the
> call hands off it jumps to the channel they switched to. But it aint cheap
> and you have to work for a Cell carrier.

Oh don't tell them that!
Remember.. they also think that digital astro can't be monitored.

I won't tell if you don't tell.

markj
>
> the greatest of all time <chase@losch.net> wrote in message
> news:J_Gh5.25471$jm5.598438@news-west.usenetserver.com...
> where can i buy the hardware to listen to the cellphones
> Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:39830437.E9CFB785@worldlynx.net...
> > Bill hit the nail on the head...listen to what you want, just don't be
> > stupid enough to record it and play it for an audience or post it
> > somewhere as some others have been stupid enough to do!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2289. New Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3987fc7c$2@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 83478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,
we have added a searchable database to the site.
www.frequencyuk.co.uk
go have a look and tell us what you think
T

2290. Re: IC-PRC1000 information request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8m915lfdq1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83479

In article <398756d6.3328757@netnews.worldnet.att.net>, n2ogm@aol.com says...
>
>
>Looking for those using the Icom IC-PRC1000 radio. Thinking of buying
>one but would like to know how they work by those using them before
>spending the money. Also wondering what the scanner rate, number of
>channels scanned at a time, etc. Seems reasonable for the price with
>pretty good specs and it's a nice compact package. Thanks to all that
>reply.
>
>Chris N2OGM
The PCR1000 and its little brother the PCR100 [No SSB/CW] are very nice
radios. I own two of the PCR1000. The scan rate will depend on the PC that
you use to "drive" it. Icoms software, while stable and bug free, is a dog.
IT is very CPU hungry and will hog most of the CPU time even on a fairly
fast 233/MMX syste, There are freware, shareware and commercial 3rd party
control software that are much less demanding. there is even one that will
run on any old [back to a 8088]MS-DOS box. Rich Wells Strong Signals has a
very fair review and is a good place to start ferreting out resources.
Good luck!

2291. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <3988050D.13749938@GTE.net>
Source record: 83480

TW wrote:

> Just because they hop doesn't mean they are secure. My neighbor has a phone
> that hops and I hear almost every word they say.
>

> This sounds more like a multi channel phone that may change channel if it
> detects interference on the one in use. In SS frequency hopping you would hear
> nothing.

> Uncle Bob <unclebob@ripco.com> wrote in message
> news:8m7r7m$6rq$1@gail.ripco.com...
> > abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > : So let me get this straight, other then getting a cord phone a cordless
> > : phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology is secure? Does
> > : a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > : it's digital too? What cordless phone do you use?
> >
> >
> > AT&T 9400 digital spread spectrum frequency hops and is thus secure.
> >
> > --
> > *
> > Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends
> >
> > Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

2292. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <PSUh5.56127$oj6.514276@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83481

It is currently illegal to listen to the cell phones using a scanner in the US.

JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
> regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is
> interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and I
> will present you with the information on a very capable peice of equipment,
> that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All serious
> inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this post)
> and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned that
> I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a
> daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and best
> regards to all.....Frank in CT.

2293. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96jj$g9t@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some models, like the early Uniden SportCats and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $1500 Icom R8500 and $2000 AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT, BC245XLT, SC200, and Radio Shack PRO-91 and PRO-94 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites. The ICOM IC-R2 and Yaesu VR-500 are the two smallest "pocket" scanners which perform. Both are tiny enough to fit comfortably in a shirt pocket so you can monitor without attracting undue attention.

Looking for value? At $170 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT base is a good value and available at most Sears and Best Buy stores. The Uniden Sportcat SC200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $220 street price.

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your

scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, http://www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance. The Premier (Prime) RD-9 and RD-9SMA are about the same length but even thinner.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter

and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. Modifying any scanner to receive cellular phone signals is now considered illegal. By federal regulation, new scanner models must be designed to they cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the

Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial

broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, http://www.grove-ent.com) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the

public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM, too.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes an extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an

invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

Other scanner mailing lists include: scanner@onelist.com, scan-l@onelist.com, scanner@qth.net, and sonofrcma@onelist.com.

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about

4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for

- 2 -

free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55 in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or six pack.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2294. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96k4$ga1@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S |

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229, email: electra@tcon.net,

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com |

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor

controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig and Karl Klein repaired their BC210XWs by replacing the 22uF/35V C98 capacitor.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This display is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855.

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2295. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96ka$ga3@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: April 15, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)422-4210. The part number on the service manual for my VHF-high band monitor is 68P81048A55-G and my VHF-low band manual bears part number 68P81050A95-D.

John Miller reports the current price is $5.70 for service manuals. Motorola advised John that Alert Monitor parts are scarce, with last stocked being in 1995, Knobs are no longer available, though there are many that would fit.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) / 10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. TCS Communications Corp. sells used Plectron receivers, in working condition, for $75-100. If you require crystals or reeds, TCS may be able to find some types/frequencies. Contact:

Terry Marengi
TCS Communications Corp.
tel. 1-800-TCS-XMIT
email: TERRYTCS@aol.com

If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2296. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96km$ga5@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the
RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced
in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards,
networks, or publications which charge for service without
permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on
the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is
difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The
American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language
defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent
to return to its original value when the cause of the
change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or
backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch
circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter
setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching.
The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a
1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal
controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis?
Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping"
the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very
annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis
requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does
to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003,
PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for
most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch
hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2297. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96ks$ga6@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S |

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com |

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)241-8742, or through your local Radio Shack store. You may be able to obtain some technical assistance from Tandy by calling (800)843-7422, option 2. The RSU (Radio Shack Unlimited) phone number is (800)-433-2024.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004, but Robert Cummings has modified an LCD from a Miller Engineering (http://www.microstru.com) experimenter's kit and fitted it to his PRO-2004. See http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html for details.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the

squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad (PRO-43 keypad is RSU#10789808) may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A
crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those
channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2298. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96l1$ga7@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 30, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004
Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters

Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)
Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500
Apr 1999 - ICOM IC-R2 portable scanner
Jun 1999 - Mini-Circuits ZFSC-4-1 4-way passive splitter
Jul 1999 - Racing Electronics RE2000 portable
Aug 1999 - AOR AR16 portable
Sep 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC245XLT portable
Oct 1999 - Plectron R700 series monitor receivers
Nov 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC278CLT clock radio scanner
Dec 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC248CLT clock radio scanner
Jan 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-92 portable scanner
Jan 2000 - Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter and
MCA-204 Receiver Multicoupler
Feb 2000 - Yaesu VR-500 portable wide coverage receiver
Mar 2000 - Uniden Sportcat SC-200 portable scanner
Apr 2000 - Understanding Intermod,
(comparison intermod performance of several models)
May 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-94 portable
Jun 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-2052 trunk tracking base scanner
Jul 2000 - Electra Tiger Scan TSA portable

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO).

Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio,

otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke

sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the

ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier

vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder.

Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see

"The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more

than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has

some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod

immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners

have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they

were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock

out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. For a service manual, call SONY at (800)488-7669, and press 3. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal

case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2299. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96kg$ga4@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83488
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated July 14, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, John Wass KA9QJX, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email: jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 20 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College

Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. Info: Ron Morgan, KB9NW, or John Coker, N9FAM, both c/o: PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. tel: (309)692-3378. Email kb9nw@juno.com or n9fam@juno.com. http://www.peoriasuperfest.com

Sep 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Admission $8, good both days. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 1 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see | below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- | chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 15 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 5 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. Talk-in 146.88 MHz repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest

Nov 11 (Sat): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see | below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- | chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Nov 12 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 18, 19 (Sat, Sun): Ft. Wayne, IN Fort Wayne Hamfest & Computer Expo. Allen County Amateur Radio Technical Society. 2000 Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Exposition Center. Hours 9 AM - 4 PM EST Sat, 9 AM - 3 PM EST Sun. Admission $5 plus $2 parking. http://www.acarts.com

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 3 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see | below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- | chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Dec 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer
expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30
AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies,
games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors,
diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables,
expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds,
Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall
Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor
info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at
http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2300. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8m96jv$ga0@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 83489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

You may be able to obtain manuals for older Midland scanners from:

Midland Consumer Radio Products
1670, North Topping,
Kansas City,
MO: 64120

Phone: 816-241-8500

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the

scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models

are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.

tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2301. Pentium 200 FT or FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <sogarhbadbm24@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83490
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.mods,comp.sys.laptops,linux.dev.laptop,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pentium 200 tower with:
2.5 gig HD
24 MG Ram
CD Rom
3.5 Floppy
SVGA Video
56K Modem
Sound
I can install any operating system from Win 3.1 up to Win 98 SE.Also have
Office Pro I can install.
I am looking for :
Yaesu FT-8000,or FT-8100,or FT-8500 series radio.
Kenwood TM-V7
Icom 2800H
Just a wish list.Let me know what you may have.I prefer to set up a deal in
the New England area if possible.Thanks for any interest.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

2302. WTB: Realistic Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <49Wh5.8826$ZL5.552710@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83491

Must be excellent and 100% working condition. Please state price, modifications, and any accessories.

Frank

2303. FA Panasonic RF-4900 GENERAL COVERAGE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris fox <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39883BFF.4F010619@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83492

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=396322249

2304. Re: Cordless Phone Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ronald DeRemer <rderemer@clear.lakes.com>
Message-ID: <39883F32.6FAA905@clear.lakes.com>
Source record: 83493

TW wrote:

> Why do you choose to be anonymous?
>
> <Anonymous> wrote in message news:05gr8028t48g042@rec.radio.scanner...
> > Since I've found no FAQs, I'll just ask here:
> >
> > How does spread spectrum work? (I'm not new to radio, just SS. So a
> > technical explanation is preferred. <g>)
>
> The phone has a wide range of freq to use. Most are digital. The old phones
> used to be analog.
>
> >
> > What's the difference between frequency hopping spread spectrum and
> > direct sequence spread spectrum?
>
> It says it right there. Hopping means it goes to any random freq in its
> range. Direct is it follows the freqs in a row. Never random.
>

I believe you are wrong about Direct Sequence. It does not jump from frequency to frequency in a row. It does not even jump from frequency to frequency. It spreads the signal over a broad spectrum simultaneously, it uses a code or "chip" to spread it over the broad frequency. To learn more there is a book "The ARRL Spread Spectrum Sourcebook" which goes into great detail.

> >
> > Do digital phones use standard FM transmissions with "digital audio"
> > or is another emission type used altogether?
>
> Not really sure on this one.
>
> >
> > I've never seen advertised a digital phone whose bitstream was
> > encrypted. I take it no such phone exists (for the public)?
>
> Same as last one.

2305. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace - go away Corky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kLYh5.70890$V34.641382@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

"Rich" <no@mail> wrote
> YOUR habitual lying is catching up with you. Nothing you say is takenn
serious.

2306. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <2PYh5.70899$V34.641454@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

Glenn - I have spoken in person with the repeater owner at the time in question for 5.23 (Jon Marcinko). He said says you are wrong & that there has never been a problem with my conduct on any of his repeaters.

Since HE is the licensee of that repeater, you had better talk to him. All you are doing here is flapping your gums & telling more of your lies!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> I can verify what Dan says about jamming the 523 repeater. So can
> AB7DN, N0NZT and a number of other hams. He was cursing my name because I
> had exposed to the NG
SNIP
> Ham screaming profanity over the 523 repeater. So, Dan ISN'T the liar,
John
> Mackey is the liar, again. Calling John Mackey a liar IS a bit
> redundant, isn't it?

2307. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <HUYh5.70908$V34.641313@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> Get lost you ghoulish little cretin! Haven't you had enough of
your

So when asked to provide proof of your statements, this is how you respond!
How psathetic you are!

> sick "fun" by publicly ridiculing the Cheek family's recent bereavement?
You

I have NEVER ridiculed the Cheek family, & have provided words of comfort
during the bereavement. If you want to contest that, then present your
proof or be
found to be a liar again by default.

> You are damn lucky you ran away the day you drove up to
> Seattle -Tacoma and spent the day jamming and abusing folks on the 5.23
(Lake
> Tapps) repeater. I guess that

Dan, I'll meet you anywhere anytime you would like to arrange a mutually
agreeable
place, I CERTAINLY have NO reason to "run" from you!!!! BTW - the owner and
licensee of the 5.23 Lake Tapps repeater (Jon Marcinko) has told me in
person that there
has never been a problem with my activities on any of his repeaters, more
evidence of your
LIES!!

2308. Re: Cheapest military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@netcom15.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8mcon6$t83$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83297

Bob Parnass, AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:
> Richard Cochran wrote:

>> The Icom IC-R2, at $200.00 or so, covers this band and a lot more.
>> It's not triple conversion, though...

> The IC-R2 is triple conversion and employs IFs of 266.7, 19.95, and 0.45
> MHz.
> It's an amazing little radio.

Oops, I stand corrected, and agree that it's an amazing little radio.

I mistakenly assumed that it was dual conversion because I sometimes
hear mine suffering from interference from pager towers and the like
on FRS frequencies and police frequencies in urban environments. I
should have checked the specs more carefully.

--Rich

2309. Marine Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mcr5l$h67$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83298

I have a SW radio and I enjoy listening to stations around the world.
Does anyone have a site that I can go to to get freq for ship to ship,
ship to shore etc. freq. I am also a huge scanner fan since I have
several. I enjoy both very much. Beats the heck out of TV..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2310. FS: Icom R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudy@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <3989EBF5.1D554D3D@lgcy.com>
Source record: 83299

Like new, & in A1 condition (never been in a smoking eenvironment)
Icom IC-R71A has $400 of mods. from EEB; New narrow-band fliter &
wide-band filter; pre-amp mod, audio output mod, ps mod, & 12 DC input;
This radio has remote control & PBT tuning.
Have box, Manaul, & Service Manual
Radio works Great
Asking $575 + shipping & ins.

Also have Yaesu FRT-7700 Ant tuner that I will sell with the R71A for $35.
or sell by its self for $50

Contact me @ <hrudy@lgcy.com>
or call 801-532-8511

Howard Rudy KA7YWO

2311. Wanted PRO 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hermie <riosherminio@msn.com>
Message-ID: <066e2030.6c045bb0@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>
Source record: 83300

Hi;

Looking for a PRO 2042 scanner.
Please e-mail or call 908-965-0820

Thanks
Hermie

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2312. Wanted PRO 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hermie <riosherminio@msn.com>
Message-ID: <20f05d7c.6d96d98d@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>
Source record: 83301

Hi;

Looking for a PRO 2042 scanner.
Please e-mail or call 908-965-0820

Thanks
Hermie

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2313. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Shoppa <shoppa@trailing-edge.com>
Message-ID: <3989B7E3.5FE7B141@trailing-edge.com>
Source record: 83302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:
>
> On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
>
> > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
>
> It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.

This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".

Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
refuse to acknowledge it still.

Tim.

2314. FS: Uniden Sportcat SC-150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <pDmi5.20211$RG6.1668234@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83303

Excellent condition. With original owner's manual, antenna, and AC Adapter.
This handheld scanner covers all standard bands plus VHF Air and 800 Mhz.
See the link below.

Price is $65.00 shipped in CONUS

http://www.scannerworld.com/scnp3.htm

2315. cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@horsecock.net>
Message-ID: <3989FBF2.938ACF5B@horsecock.net>
Source record: 83304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Tim Shoppa wrote:

> Dan Larsen wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> >
> > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> >
> > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.
>
> This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
> of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
> to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
> out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
> protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".
>
> Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
> realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
> refuse to acknowledge it still.

More important is that people realize that the pilots use a radio transmitter to talk to the control towers and they don't cause the plane to crash either. The ONLY reason cell phones aren't allowed on planes is that your cell phone will be able to transmit to cell sites all across the country at the same time. A handheld walkie-talkie on a plane over Texas talked to me on my walkie-talkie on the ground in Illinois. No cell phone, cd player, or any other consumer device is going to affect or cause any plane to crash or terrorists would not have to try and sneak bombs onto planes, they could just bring a cell phone on board, and when the plane is off the ground, turn on the cell phone and crash the plane.

To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.

2316. Re: Wired Programming Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Haren <bharen@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <guni5.8068$0W4.233119@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83305

Good question. I just bought a Pro-89 and the manual clearly states that it can be "wire programmed" by hooking it to a computer. There is no connection port on this system, and the salesman was clueless. He called RS HQ while I waited, and they couldn't answer the question either. I suspect that neither the Pro-76 or mine actually have that capability. Someone at RS HQ must have been asleep when they sent out for the manual to be printed...

If I'm wrong and this radio can be programmed with a computer please let me know ASAP!

Brian
MassScan <massscan@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000803122408.15954.00001702@ng-cq1.aol.com...
> Does anyone have a clue about how to program the Pro-76
> with a computer. The manual says to see your local RS.
> Nobody at Radio Shack has a clue
> Hope you can help
> Thanks
>

2317. R.I.P. Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008040000.UAA29544@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 83306

Is it as hot down there as they say?

2318. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8md106$64tcp$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83307

> Click here...
> http://www.grove-ent.com/product131.html

Thanks, but I already saw that, it is a very vague, general information.
What is the scan speed for instance? What is the sensitivity etc?

Roland

2319. Re: Marine Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff <cliffwattsNOclSPAM@ghg.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0283b20b.b7ac305a@usw-ex0102-015.remarq.com>
Source record: 83308

It's all here:
http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/default.htm

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2320. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398A0926.DE260EF1@primenet.com>
Source record: 83309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan Morisseau wrote:
> > Toilet Boy drooled:
> > Dan, I'll meet you anywhere anytime you would like to arrange
> > a mutually agreeable place, I CERTAINLY have NO reason to "run"
> > from you!!!!

> As far as a meeting is concerned, you've got my QTH right in the
> sig. How about telling everyone where we can find you on almost
> any evening at home, John?

Dan,

Toilet Boy won't take you up on the challenge because he is a coward
and is too afraid to come out from behind his keyboard.

2321. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398A0BEE.F98E43BA@primenet.com>
Source record: 83310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

KS0F dreamed:
>
> 1. I am not hated

Only in your tiny mind, Toilet Boy. You are so delusional, you can't see the truth: No one likes you, no one believes you, everyone one knows you are a liar and a fraud.

> 2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)

Reposting one of your diatribes from one of your fictitious personas blasting Cheek doesn't count. On the other hand, you Toilet Boy, are despised by everyone who encounters you.

Speaking of proof, where's the proof of your claim to have a "Masters of Communication Technology"? Hmmmm, Toilet Boy?

> 3. Why would I be jealous of him? What is there to be jealous of?

Cheek was a real person, you are a loser, a liar, and a fraud.

Cheek had real technical ability, you have none. Zero. Nada.

Cheek was a stand up guy. Whether you liked him or not, you always knew where you stood with him because he was honest. Cheek knew you were a liar and a fraud and he had no difficulty telling you so.

2322. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - The Great Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398A0E05.931C91B6@primenet.com>
Source record: 83311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

J O H N wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > As far as I'm concerned, my copyright has not been violated by Mr.
> > Wirehead. Ergo, no laws were violated, except in your feeble mind.
> SNIP
>
> Kendrick - YOU & your concern DO NOT define the law!

Neither do you.

> > Reposting without permission while still citing the author does not
> > violate any so called "net-ettiquite," except in your limited
> SNIP
>
> So when did YOU become the authority on "net-ettiquite"?
>
> BTW- You are still posting off-topic.

Your whining is not about any supposed copyright infringement. It's
about my story "All Hail King Mackey the Great Liar," and my endorsement
of Mr. Wirehead posting same. You can't stand the fact that the story
makes one point in a delightfully satirical manner:

You, Toilet Boy, are a liar and a fraud.

2323. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sok6s6s1dbm120@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83312

The Pink Prick Cheek-A-Like tells more lies while hiding.

pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
news:3989982A.8104BC09@lp.com...
> 1. You are most definitely HATED (Maybe you are just
> to stupid to recognize hatred)
> 2. For the most part Cheek was loved and respected as
> evidenced by the comments I have read on this NG
> recently. I haven't read many bad comments about him.
> Except from you.
> 3. I said you were jealous of the love he was receiving NOT
> of him. And of course you are jealous of this love because
> that is why you act out here. You get so little positive
> attention in your personal life that you have to come here
> and make noise.
>
>
>
> KS0F wrote:
>
> > 1. I am not hated
> > 2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> > 3. Why would I be jealous of him? What is there to be jealous of?
> >
> > "pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > > You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> > > loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
>
>
>

2324. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8md5un01664@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Goofballs with no real
friends, hiding in their networked homes, typing
gibberish to strangers -- this is the fruit of the
home-computer revolution.

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:398A0926.DE260EF1@primenet.com...
> Dan Morisseau wrote:
> > > Toilet Boy drooled:
> > > Dan, I'll meet you anywhere anytime you would like to arrange
> > > a mutually agreeable place, I CERTAINLY have NO reason to "run"
> > > from you!!!!
>
> > As far as a meeting is concerned, you've got my QTH right in the
> > sig. How about telling everyone where we can find you on almost
> > any evening at home, John?
>
> Dan,
>
> Toilet Boy won't take you up on the challenge because he is a coward
> and is too afraid to come out from behind his keyboard.

2325. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frog207@Juno.com
Message-ID: <8F85C1FE6Frog207Junocom@209.60.252.12>
Source record: 83314

>> > Does a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum
Technology mean it's digital too?
>>

First of all, not all phones which describe themselves as "Spread
Spectrum" are really spread spectrum; they are often "frequency hopping"
and the rate can be rather slow ... like a frequency change every several
seconds or so. This method works with both analog and digital. The older,
cheap, analog phones could be easily monitored due to the limited number of
channels they used, and the duration of stay on each channel.

True spread spectrum is usually digital and involves very rapid
changes over a number of frequencies, each stop lasting well less than a
second. If done properly, the sequence of frequencies to be hopped is
continuously encrypted and never repeats itself. If digital transmission
is used, it's impossible for any hobbyist to monitor, or even hear.

Ed WB6SAT

2326. Re: Austin TX Proposed Trunked Public Safety System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8mdd13$9um$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83315

TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:cVLh5.53214$oj6.507661@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> Why in the world did you put all thoses 0's before the freq.? All you had
> to do is put 8**.****
>

And all of those zeros AFTER the frequencies? 4 decimal places is quite
sufficient.

And WHY did YOU have to quote his WHOLE message to begin with??

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

2327. Mackey's problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5rri5.14138$wT6.328781@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

There is nothing to resolve. While traveling through the I-5 corridor in my area John-Cory Mackey got on the 523 repeater cursing, swearing and making threats. Of the 4 people that approached me about it two knew who Mackey was. We all laughed and joked about what an idiot he was and forgot it. Though numerous people have verified John-Corky's actions he still insists it could not have happened because it wasn't reported to the repeater owner. Corky-John's logic, not mine!

The only reason I brought it up is Mackey accused Dam Morisseau of making it up and being a liar, that nobody could verify it. I can say without hesitation, Dan did not lie. Nobody has to about Mackey.

As far a Riley goes, I am sure he has heard of Mackey. It has been reported on here by many including his own father that he is under investigation for selling licenses or some such nonsense.

Glenn

Al <wb4dyu1@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:398a3782.14668136@news.mia.bellsouth.net...
> On Wed, 02 Aug 2000 17:24:14 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> Glenn - I have spoken in person with the repeater owner at the time in
> question for 5.23 (Jon Marcinko). He said says you are wrong & that
> there
> has never been a problem with my conduct on any of his repeaters.
>
> Since HE is the licensee of that repeater, you had better talk to him.
> All
> you are doing here is flapping your gums & telling more of your lies!
>
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > I can verify what Dan says about jamming the 523 repeater. So can
> > AB7DN, N0NZT and a number of other hams. He was cursing my name because
I
> > had exposed to the NG
> SNIP
> > Ham screaming profanity over the 523 repeater. So, Dan ISN'T the liar,
> John
> > Mackey is the liar, again. Calling John Mackey a liar IS a bit
> > redundant, isn't it?
> Riley at the FCC can remedy those repeateroblem if you can document it
>

>
>

2328. Re: Brickyard Preliminary Scanner Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MegaNetNews <z@a.com>
Message-ID: <398a43de_3@goliath2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 83317

Why the password?

"Bryan Burton" <bdburton@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:2xLh5.159$sj.494@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> All,
>
> The link below will take you to my web site which has an MS Excel spread
> sheet containing these frequencies. You can view it and print it or save
it
> to disk and print it. I will try to verify the frequencies on Thursday and
> Friday and update the file on Friday night.
>
> http://www.netusa1.net/~bdburton/
>
> Later,
> Bryan
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2329. Best Ericsson System Scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <398A5D91.7FA3FE04@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 83318

Hello,

What is the best scanner on the market that will receive an Ericsson trunked system?

I am new to the concept of trunking and have heard of control channels, banks, etc.

I know the term best means different things to different people, but I have read that some of the earlier scanners had problems with tracking the various groups on a system.

I guess the question should also include, those scanners that are the best also have some drawbacks. What are the drawbacks to the "best"?

Thanks is advance.

Jim
*

2330. Re: Augusta County, Waynesboro Va freqs request..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3989623B.16AF6360@wwd.net>
Source record: 83319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Carl,

I stopped at the Radio Shack in the Staunton Mall and they gave me a list of freqs for this area. I don't have it handy right now, I left it with my gal in Staunton. It was a great list and very comprehensive. Lots of great monitoring, especially VSP.

Nick

spanner wrote:

> Going on a camping trip to Shenandoah areas, and was looking for freqs
> for the area around Augusta County, and the waynesboro Va area.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Carl

2331. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3988E000.16C0DDEC@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83347

Tim Tyler wrote:
>
> Michael Moore wrote:
> >
> > Tim Tyler wrote:
> > >
> > > abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > > Does
> > > > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > > > it's digital too?
> > >
> > > I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.
> > >
> > I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
> > spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
> > transmission.
>
> I seem to recall differently -- that while one side of the RF link was
> spread, the other side was stationary & analog for some of the earlier
> Panasonic dual-band Gigarange phones.

With the introduction of the Gigaphones, some folks reported that
the 9OO mHz transmission from the handset to the base unit (I
believe it was this way around) was a simple (FM) analog
transmission. However, Panasonic claimed (in a reponse to
etown.com) that both sides (the 900 mHz transmissions from the
handset to the base AND the 2.4 GHz transmissions from the base
to the handset) employed spread spectrum and Pansasonic also
admitted that the transmissions were, in fact, analog. Panasonic
also claimed that some other manufacturers' spread spectrum
phones used analog voice transmissions as well.

> > I think there
> > are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
> > transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones.
>
> Hmmm...
>
> > The fact that
> > it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
> > that the phone uses digital transmission.
>
> So then, what does the DSS label signify to you?

I don't think it matters what it signifies to me. It's how the
industry uses the term that counts. Given the lack of standards,
each manufacture can use the term to mean something different.

--
Michael Moore

2332. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3988E58B.8208BD48@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83348

```
Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Tim Tyler wrote:
> >
> > Michael Moore wrote:
> > >
> > > Tim Tyler wrote:
> > > >
> > > > abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > > > Does
> > > > > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > > > > it's digital too?
> > > >
> > > > I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.
> > >
> > > I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
> > > spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
> > > transmission.
> >
> > I seem to recall differently -- that while one side of the RF link was
> > spread, the other side was stationary & analog for some of the earlier
> > Panasonic dual-band Gigarange phones.
>
> With the introduction of the Gigaphones, some folks reported that
> the 9OO mHz transmission from the handset to the base unit (I
> believe it was this way around) was a simple (FM) analog
> transmission. However, Panasonic claimed (in a reponse to
> etown.com) that both sides (the 900 mHz transmissions from the
> handset to the base AND the 2.4 GHz transmissions from the base
> to the handset) employed spread spectrum and Pansasonic also
> admitted that the transmissions were, in fact, analog. Panasonic
> also claimed that some other manufacturers' spread spectrum
> phones used analog voice transmissions as well.
>
>
> > > I think there
> > > are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
> > > transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones.
> >
> > Hmmm...
> >
> > > The fact that
> > > it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
> > > that the phone uses digital transmission.
```

> >
> > So then, what does the DSS label signify to you?
>
> I don't think it matters what it signifies to me. It's how the
> industry uses the term that counts. Given the lack of standards,
> each manufacture can use the term to mean something different.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

See http://www.connect-9.com/panaresp-1.htm

--
Michael Moore

2333. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <P36i5.12168$FJ4.147935@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 83349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

What freq scanner is it?
I have an Optoelectronics Model 3300 and I don't like it very much at all.
I can stick an antenna right next to it and it will hardly work.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
>
> I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a recent
> road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
talking
> on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
>
> On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> 870-890mhz range
>
> A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
theirs
> and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
>
> There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> frequency for a mobile phone
> given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.
>
> A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used
> their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz
range.
>
> I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to the
> metal skin of the aircraft....
> I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter
as
> the plane was waiting for clearance.
>
> I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance and

> tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the
flight
> attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies in
> 172's and 210's (Cessna)
>
> JOHN
> -
>
>
>

2334. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <zR6i5.71466$V34.652752@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83350

1. I am not hated
2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
3. Why would I be jealous of him? What is there to be jealous of?

"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.

2335. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008030051490.10282-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 83351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:

> It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)

It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by. The FCC, OTOH, has plenty to say if they catch you. But that's a whole different story...

--Dan

2336. What's the best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mb1c5$4mn$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 83352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

I know but what is the cheapest I can go and get mil-air? I think I need triple conversion being in a large metropolitian rf rich enviroment. Any ideas, suggestions or (grin) donations?

TL

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2337. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <IC7i5.3059$gW5.146912@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83353

D igital <---- Is there a problem here?? it's digital people!!!
S pread
S pectrum
Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3988CEE6.9ECC4BF8@utoronto.ca...
Tim Tyler wrote:
>
> abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Does
> > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > it's digital too?
>
> I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.

I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
transmission. (Don't know about the later ones.) I think there
are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones. The fact that
it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
that the phone uses digital transmission.

--
Michael Moore

2338. Re: Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Rx7i5.3054$gW5.146361@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83354

Oh I miss my oki

Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:GQPh5.5337$pl4.35397@news4.atl...

***
"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:1ONh5.18438$RG6.1516424@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> If you work for a Cell carrier you can purchase all kinds of cool cell
> scanner type radios. We have an old analog one that has follow so when
the
> call hands off it jumps to the channel they switched to. But it aint
cheap
> and you have to work for a Cell carrier.

Or you can get a OKI-900 and a CTEK cable and do the same thing for about
$300 to $400 tops!
But of course..... that would be illegal :-)

trunk trackers ain't crap!

2339. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <3E7i5.3061$gW5.145182@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83355

Let me try this again Mike

D is for Digital
S is for Spread
S is for Spectrum

It's that new crazy analog digital ok - I get it.

Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3988E58B.8208BD48@utoronto.ca...
Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Tim Tyler wrote:
> >
> > Michael Moore wrote:
> > >
> > > Tim Tyler wrote:
> > > >
> > > > abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > > > Does
> > > > > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology
mean
> > > > > it's digital too?
> > > >
> > > > I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.
> > >
> > > I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
> > > spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
> > > transmission.
> >
> > I seem to recall differently -- that while one side of the RF link was
> > spread, the other side was stationary & analog for some of the earlier
> > Panasonic dual-band Gigarange phones.
>
> With the introduction of the Gigaphones, some folks reported that
> the 900 mHz transmission from the handset to the base unit (I
> believe it was this way around) was a simple (FM) analog
> transmission. However, Panasonic claimed (in a reponse to
> etown.com) that both sides (the 900 mHz transmissions from the
> handset to the base AND the 2.4 GHz transmissions from the base
> to the handset) employed spread spectrum and Pansasonic also
> admitted that the transmissions were, in fact, analog. Panasonic
> also claimed that some other manufacturers' spread spectrum
> phones used analog voice transmissions as well.
>

>
> > > I think there
> > > are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
> > > transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones.
> >
> > Hmmm...
> >
> > > The fact that
> > > it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
> > > that the phone uses digital transmission.
> >
> > So then, what does the DSS label signify to you?
>
> I don't think it matters what it signifies to me. It's how the
> industry uses the term that counts. Given the lack of standards,
> each manufacture can use the term to mean something different.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

See http://www.connect-9.com/panaresp-1.htm

--
Michael Moore

2340. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <hA7i5.3055$gW5.146311@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83356

Yep just email me at fedcop@fbi.gov :)

I'll help you out with listening to those active cell frequencies :)

JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com...
Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is
interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and I
will present you with the information on a very capable peice of equipment,
that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All
serious
inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this
post)
and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned
that
I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a
daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and best
regards to all.....Frank in CT.

2341. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com>
Source record: 83357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

nospam@nospam.com wrote:

>It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
>freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
>be able to do so.

I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily listen to cellular activity on my BC220XLT if I really wanted to. I would have a hard time believing that it's illegal to even own a BC220XLT just because it is capable of picking up those freqs.

Jughead

2342. Case for Radio Shack Pro 71 Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Davenport <JDPMICKXXX@aol.com>
Message-ID: <rerjosst6u775ltjsitsnlpm0mmnf5j83s@4ax.com>
Source record: 83358

Hi!

Anybody know where I could get one of these in the UK please? Tandy do not sell them although I recognise this scanner is very similar (if not the same) as several models marketed around.

It's a nice scanner but would be even better with a case and strap supplied in the box :-(

Jeff
(To email me just take the MICK out of my name!)

2343. FA: Pro32 200 Ch handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey P. Zurio" <jeffzurio@idcnet.com>
Message-ID: <39896230.BC101EB0@idcnet.com>
Source record: 83359

No Reserve Auction:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=399769384

Model 20-133. 200 channel programmable handheld scanner. Frequency Ranges: 30-54, 108-136, 138-174, 380-512 MHz. Includes 9v adapter (wall wart). I purchased this unit new prior to the FCC ban of certain scanner frequencies.

2344. FS: Pro-2042 & Pro-2050 - SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39896299.142B8FF1@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 83360

Thanks to all who responded, both scanners have been sold.

2345. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T." <jtbalt@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kfi5.240$Zo5.62944@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 83361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

What the hell are you guys talking about???? The guy who made the post is
using a frequency counter...NOT a scanner!!
reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:P36i5.12168$FJ4.147935@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> What freq scanner is it?
> I have an Optoelectronics Model 3300 and I don't like it very much at all.
> I can stick an antenna right next to it and it will hardly work.
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
>
> "JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
> >
> > I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a
recent
> > road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
> talking
> > on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
> >
> > On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> > frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> > 870-890mhz range
> >
> > A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> > counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
> theirs
> > and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
> >
> > There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> > frequency for a mobile phone
> > given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.
> >
> > A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used
> > their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz
> range.
> >

> > I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to
the
> > metal skin of the aircraft....
> > I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter
> as
> > the plane was waiting for clearance.
> >
> > I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance
and
> > tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the
> flight
> > attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> > and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies
in
> > 172's and 210's (Cessna)
> >
> > JOHN
> > -
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2346. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <wofi5.60971$oj6.530188@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Might be true, but what if the FCC found out you had it, then what? Fine

Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
news:78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com...
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> >It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
> >freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
> >be able to do so.
>
> I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
> scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
> illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily
> listen to cellular activity on my BC220XLT if I really wanted to. I
> would have a hard time believing that it's illegal to even own a
> BC220XLT just because it is capable of picking up those freqs.
>
>
>
> Jughead

2347. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Yrfi5.13183$FJ4.151022@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 83363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Uh, right. The product I mentioned is also a freq counter.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"John T." <jtbalt@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9kfi5.240$Zo5.62944@homer.alpha.net...
> What the hell are you guys talking about???? The guy who made the post is
> using a frequency counter...NOT a scanner!!
> reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:P36i5.12168$FJ4.147935@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > What freq scanner is it?
> > I have an Optoelectronics Model 3300 and I don't like it very much at
all.
> > I can stick an antenna right next to it and it will hardly work.
> >
> > --
> > John
> > Webmaster
> > www.FileFlash.com
> >
> > AOL: reebok657
> > ICQ: 13738184
> >
> >
> > "JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
> > >
> > > I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a
> recent
> > > road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
> > talking
> > > on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
> > >
> > > On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of
celular
> > > frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in
the
> > > 870-890mhz range

> > >
> > > A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> > > counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
> > theirs
> > > and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
> > >
> > > There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding
tower
> > > frequency for a mobile phone
> > > given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.
> > >
> > > A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they
used
> > > their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz
> > range.
> > >
> > > I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to
> the
> > > metal skin of the aircraft....
> > > I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the
counter
> > as
> > > the plane was waiting for clearance.
> > >
> > > I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance
> and
> > > tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the
> > flight
> > > attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> > > and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually
flies
> in
> > > 172's and 210's (Cessna)
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > > -
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2348. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Sparro <kontos@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8mc1k0$s25$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com>,
jugheadx@xisp.com wrote:

> I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
> scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
> illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily
> Jughead
>

The way I interpret the law, it is Ok to sell scanners that can pick up
cell phones, you just can't manufacrure, or import them. This
effectively grandfatheres any scanner you may own so that you can sell
it on the used market.

--
Dave Sparro
http://www.grafix1.com/~kontos/webcam.html

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2349. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsherman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mc1kp$s2f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83365

If ya'll really want to listen to cell frequencies, just move to some country that doesn't have laws prohibiting it. Or elect congressmen who will repeal the existing laws.

In article <hA7i5.3055$gW5.146311@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote:
> Yep just email me at fedcop@fbi.gov :)
>
> I'll help you out with listening to those active cell frequencies :)
>
> JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
> regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is
> interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and I
> will present you with the information on a very capable peice of equipment,
> that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All
> serious
> inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this
> post)
> and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned
> that
> I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a
> daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and best
> regards to all.....Frank in CT.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2350. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dsherman <dshermanNOdsSPAM@radar.sc.whecn.edu.invalid>
Message-ID: <18380b7c.edb6a603@usw-ex0104-032.remarq.com>
Source record: 83366

"Michael Champion" <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote:
>Yep just email me at fedcop@fbi.gov :)
>
>I'll help you out with listening to those active cell
frequencies :)
>
>JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com...
>Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting
messages
>regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone
that is
>interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-
Mail) and I
>will present you with the information on a very capable peice
of equipment,
>that can enable you to receive these extremely active
frequencies. All
>serious
>inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the
top of this
>post)
>and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be
fore-warned
>that
>I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my
e-mail on a
>daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this
post, and best
>regards to all.....Frank in CT.
>
>
>
>

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2351. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <JRfi5.60978$oj6.530232@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83367

why can't you just let it be? Leave him alone.

pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
news:3988EF7D.E0C78758@lp.com...
> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG
> and in life.
>

2352. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mc1o0$61uvb$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83368

> Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
> regarding the sale of scanners, I'm posting a message to anyone that is
> interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and
I
> will present you with the information on a very capable piece of
equipment,
> that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All
serious
> inquires can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this
post)

Sounds like a sting operation to me, he's posting from Germany and says he's
in CT...

2353. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mc20h$65ivk$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83369

> I just spent a few hours down at the one Radio Shack in
> this area whose sales-droids know scanners. Here are a
> few first impressions of the new Pro-2067.

Does it have PL and does it have a PL find feature?

2354. Re: WANTED: Uniden BC245XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <no-spam_bshoop@county.tippecanoe.in.us>
Message-ID: <soj4k0sddbm70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Maybe in about 10 years when it will be obsolete...

John Bombard wrote in message ...
>If anyone knows where i can get a bc245 for $100, please reply.
>
>

2355. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000803114828.11332.00004369@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83371

In article <8maliv$kr7$1@nntp.Stanford.EDU>, nospam@nospam.com writes:

>It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
>freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
>be able to do so.

So much information, so little time...

The laws as currently written in the United States of America, as per my interpretation:

-selling a used cellular-capable scanner: legal
-selling a newly-manufactured cellular-capable scanner: illegal
-importing a cellular-capable scanner: illegal
-modifying a scanner to receive cellular signals: illegal
-possessing a cellular-capable scanner which has been previously modified: legal
-monitoring cellular signals: illegal

Disclaimer: I am not a lawyer, nor an FCC commissioner, so don't take my word as gospel truth. My opinion and interpretation only is provided herein.

2356. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <cogi5.115$D11.153@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83372

Corky Patrol,

Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having Down's Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!! If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd physically beat you!! God loves those people just as much as he loves arrogant pricks like you. You better check to see if you have a conscience, because it doesn't seem like you have one. I have been on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen John Mackey do nothing wrong. I have only seen him trying to stick up for himself amidst constant bullying. Even if he has done wrong a time or two, WHO HASN'T??? I came to this NG to read about scanning, not to act like little children and bully people. ALL YOU PEOPLE WHO BULLY OTHERS NEED TO GROW UP AND GET A LIFE!!!!!

Adam Kolari

"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote in message news:igmioso0cjnkuu91k2ao4drp6jtnpbftmc@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >1. I am not hated
>
> You are loathed, Corky.
>
> >2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
>
> Jealousy will get you nowhere, Corky.
>
> >3. Why would I be jealous of him?
>
> The same reason you would be jealous of anyone with 1/2 a brain, retard boy.
>
> >What is there to be jealous of?
>
> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight. He
> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.
>
> "Life Goes On"
>
>
>
> >
> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> >> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so

> >> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
> >
> >
>

2357. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <hh5jos0ogm41skqsjsc896dn3dq2fnr3u6@4ax.com>
Source record: 83373

On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

>1. I am not hated

Yes, you are.

2358. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pInKeLePhAnT <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <3989982A.8104BC09@lp.com>
Source record: 83374

1. You are most definitely HATED (Maybe you are just
to stupid to recognize hatred)
2. For the most part Cheek was loved and respected as
evidenced by the comments I have read on this NG
recently. I haven't read many bad comments about him.
Except from you.
3. I said you were jealous of the love he was receiving NOT
of him. And of course you are jealous of this love because
that is why you act out here. You get so little positive
attention in your personal life that you have to come here
and make noise.

KS0F wrote:

> 1. I am not hated
> 2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> 3. Why would I be jealous of him? What is there to be jealous of?
>
> "pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> > loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.

2359. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MZgi5.1232$rm2.63876@news4.mia>
Source record: 83375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Jughead's right--it isn't illegal to own a cell capable scanner; my BC800XLT covers the cell band unmodified; but it is now illegal for companies to make them for use or sale in the United States.

Ken

Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message news:78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com...
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> >It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
> >freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
> >be able to do so.
>
> I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
> scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
> illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily
> listen to cellular activity on my BC220XLT if I really wanted to. I
> would have a hard time believing that it's illegal to even own a
> BC220XLT just because it is capable of picking up those freqs.
>
>
>
> Jughead

2360. Wired Programming Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: massscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000803122408.15954.00001702@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 83376

Does anyone have a clue about how to program the Pro-76
with a computer. The manual says to see your local RS.
Nobody at Radio Shack has a clue
Hope you can help
Thanks

2361. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Bkhi5.61089$oj6.531240@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I disagree with Ken. THere is no way. It's either modified or it picks up
images and not the true freq.

Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:MZgi5.1232$rm2.63876@news4.mia...
> Jughead's right--it isn't illegal to own a cell capable scanner; my
BC800XLT
> covers the cell band unmodified; but it is now illegal for companies to
make
> them for use or sale in the United States.
>
> Ken
>
>
> Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
> news:78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com...
> > nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> >
> > >It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
> > >freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
> > >be able to do so.
> >
> > I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
> > scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
> > illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily
> > listen to cellular activity on my BC220XLT if I really wanted to. I
> > would have a hard time believing that it's illegal to even own a
> > BC220XLT just because it is capable of picking up those freqs.
> >
> >
> >
> > Jughead
>
>

2362. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <rlhi5.61094$oj6.531327@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83378

Hmmm, fake e-mail.

Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:hA7i5.3055$gW5.146311@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yep just email me at fedcop@fbi.gov :)
>
> I'll help you out with listening to those active cell frequencies :)
>
> JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000802045940.05711.00000356@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
> regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is
> interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and
I
> will present you with the information on a very capable peice of
equipment,
> that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All
> serious
> inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this
> post)
> and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned
> that
> I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a
> daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and
best
> regards to all.....Frank in CT.
>
>

2363. Cheapest military air scanner (was: What's the best scanner?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cochran <rcochran@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3989A527.A3616CBC@lanset.com>
Source record: 83379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

Handheld or base?

The Icom IC-R2, at $200.00 or so, covers this band and a lot more.
It's not triple conversion, though, and can suffer from interference from
pager towers (I haven't tried using it much on mil-air bands yet, so I'm
not sure how bad the interference is there). It's a really tiny handheld.
I'm very happy with mine.

I also have an old Pro-2004 which does a really beautiful job on the
military aircraft band, one of the original reasons I bought it. Triple
conversion, with essentially no intermod. It's a massive base station,
adaptable to mobile use (runs on 12VDC or 120VAC without
adapters) and no longer available new.

These are the two military aircraft scanners which I personally own.
They were both "best" by my specific criteria when I bought them,
but I don't know what's going to be best for you.

--Rich

larson@sofcom.com wrote:

> I know but what is the cheapest I can go and get mil-air? I think I need
> triple conversion being in a large metropolitian rf rich enviroment. Any
> ideas, suggestions or (grin) donations?
>

2364. Re: Question about the Bearcat BC-20/20 & BC-211 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8mc84o$hk4@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 83380

Also, the 20/20 has one button air & marine freq. search, and the 211 does not have air band at all.

There are photos of these two on my web page, if you have not seen them before.

Brett - N7OLQ
http://N7OLQ.home.att.net/Radio

"Jimdpeters" <jimdpeters@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000802200245.27284.00001402@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> Does anyone know what the frequency coverage of either one of these radio's is?
>
> Bearcat BC-20/20
> Bearcat BC-211
>
> And yes I mean BC-211 not 210, I did not even know there was a Bearcat BC-211
> til the other day. Any other info you can offer on either one of these radio's
> would really be appreciated.
>
> Jim Peters
> jimdpeters@aol.com
> Portland, Oregon
>
>

2365. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <TIhi5.805$D11.909@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83381

```
--
Political Correctness is nothing but Tyranny with Manners....
The PC individual should be reminded of this from time to time -
lest he learn the EXACT value of his opinions.......

He's not Cranky, he's right....

Bill
"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:AI4i5.59064$oj6.523290@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> Jeeze aren't we cranky!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:3988A4ED.BDCB3067@cts.com...
> >
> >
> > TW wrote:
> > >
> > > It is currently illegal to listen to the cell phones using a scanner
in
> the
> > > > US.
> > So call the feds...
> > Get off my lawn!
>
>
```

2366. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rogers <mmrogers@tcsn.net>
Message-ID: <3989AB7E.CD9CD0E7@tcsn.net>
Source record: 83382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:
>
> On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
>
> > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
>
> It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by. The
> FCC, OTOH, has plenty to say if they catch you. But that's a whole
> different story...

Which big fat book of knowledge are you referring to? Cell phone use is prohibited under 14 CFR 121.306, which prohibits the use of electronic devices onboard commercial aircraft. The regulation also allows the operator to exempt certain types of devices for use either all the time (pacemakers, watches, calculators, etc.) or only above 10,000 feet (computers, etc.). Cell phones are not included on any of the lists of excepted devices, and are therefore prohibited at all times by the FARs.

--Mark Rogers

2367. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <daveplot@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sojba4tmdbm136@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

My interpretation is that it is illegal to intentionally intercept telephone conversations, pager conversations, or scrambled or encrypted transmissions. This means that if your scanner picks up a Cell phone conversation then you must tell it to move on and keep scanning not listen to it.

--
Dave
- Network Admin.
- Web Master

"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:Bkhi5.61089$oj6.531240@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> I disagree with Ken. THere is no way. It's either modified or it picks
up
> images and not the true freq.
>
>
>
> Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:MZgi5.1232$rm2.63876@news4.mia...
> > Jughead's right--it isn't illegal to own a cell capable scanner; my
> BC800XLT
> > covers the cell band unmodified; but it is now illegal for companies to
> make
> > them for use or sale in the United States.
> >
> > Ken
> >
> >
> > Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
> > news:78eioskbsqj1r14pgoltmh44gk8ctuorit@4ax.com...
> > > nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
> > > >freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner
to
> > > >be able to do so.
> > >
> > > I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
> > > scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
> > > illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done - I can easily
> > > listen to cellular activity on my BC220XLT if I really wanted to. I
> > > would have a hard time believing that it's illegal to even own a
> > > BC220XLT just because it is capable of picking up those freqs.

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Jughead
> >
> >
>
>

2368. Re: Go FUCK yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwhite960@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <398cc059.5309754@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83384

Potty mouth.....

>"FU2" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try and dictate what goes on here?
>>If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!
>>
>Nice language. I bet your mother is very proud of you....

2369. Antennacraft Scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: guytonsbest@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000803141635.20955.00003192@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 83385

Does anyone know where I can get some good information on the Antennacraft Scantenna. I need a good review on it. E-mail me please.

TIA

Just as the Sun rises on a new day, Jesus grants each man new hope.

Ashley T.

2370. Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mcepj$61fnq$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83386

Can someone post the full specs on the Radio Shack Pro 2067?

Roland

2371. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3vgxiuqwa.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83387

I've only followed this thread a little bit by reading it very quickly, so I may be a tad OT here.

I'm not sure exactly how spread spectrum technology is supposed to work, but it was my understanding that with the digital phones, the transmission was divided up among multiple frequencies in the band simultaneously.

Even if hopping is involved, if you know the range to scan, you can probably follow it if you are really interested.

I have been scanning the HAM bands with my PRO-94. I was very surprised to discover that there are people using 900Mhz phones that show up in the HAM band. One frequency in particular that I locked on to was 902.3Mhz. Someone had a phone, complete with call waiting. I had no difficulty listening to it. The conversation was crystal clear.

This just reinforces my feeling that if you want the convenience of a cordless phone, you need to get a digital spread spectrum phone. I have tested my phone against my scanner and found over a dozen seperate frequencies that it uses *at the same time*. The really interesting part is that while the phone was off hook, I could scan the spectrum it was using and see frequencies dropping off and on. The phone seemed to be dynamicly adjusting the frequencies in use.

The model of the phone, in case you are interested, is GE 2-920SST. So you can check up on my experiments by buying one and trying it out. The conversation with the clock was quite private as far as the scanner was concerned. I was able to correlate frequencies used by simply going off hook and on hook (by use of the talk button on the handset). Oddly enough, the manual's Limited Warranty section uses the corporate id of Thomson Consumer Electronics even though the phone is labeled as being made by General Electric.

Anyway, my phone is secure from the hobby scanners.

The person who I listened to on 902.3 had a phone that sat on that frequency. The individual made several calls all on that frequency. So, not all 900Mhz phones are created equal.

BTW, the calls were very dull and there is no chance of me repeating what I heard, so please don't ask.

Now the stuff I hear on the other HAM channels is much more interesting. There are talk nets by local clubs on the 144-148 band. Some of these people are mobile at the time. Very cool stuff that has

me interested in getting a HAM license so that I can join in on the
fun. Just listening seems less interesting than being able to
participate.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2372. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3snsmuqln.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

markv <markv at telerama.com> writes:

' On 3 Aug 2000 02:31:59 GMT, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
'
' >It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
' >freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
' >be able to do so.
' No, It is illegal to sell or to listen. Not to have one.

I think it is illegal to buy, sell, or record. If you have an older scanner, or an illegal import (if US Customs could prove it), then it is perfectly legal to just listen. What you absolutely must not do is record or repeat what you have heard to anyone.

I see the law prohibiting receiving of cellular phone frequencies as being a rather silly law. If the parties wish privacy, they should use digital encryption. The people who do listen must keep everything they hear in confidence. I'm sure that there are unscrupulous people out there. I have no problem with the government prosecuting people who record and then sell or otherwise distribute phone conversations.

Even though I am now a scanner, and I do listen to phone conversations, I believe in personal privacy. However, privacy should be protected by the individual too. Personal responsibility is the price of liberty.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2373. Re: Frequency, Fleetmap and Talkgroup Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nj2sm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000803152034.26317.00001923@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 83389

how many sites do we need on the net there are several all with the same info,
why dont dont just add a link to thier pages

2374. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ZNji5.57501$fR2.574448@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83390

Roland:

Click here...
http://www.grove-ent.com/product131.html

Bill Crocker

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:8mcepj$61fnq$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> Can someone post the full specs on the Radio Shack Pro 2067?
>
> Roland
>
>

2375. Re: Cheapest military air scanner (was: What's the best scanner?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <rSji5.57502$fR2.574432@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83391

Rich:

Here are the IC-R2 specs, directly from Icom, and they indicate it is a triple conversion. Also, I've owned a few of these and found it to be very immune from interference.

Bill Crocker

Frequency Range (USA):
0.495-823.995 MHz
849.0-868.995 MHz
894.0-1300.995 MHz
Frequency Range (Canada, most other countries):
0.495-1300.995 MHz
Mode: FM, WFM AM
Tuning Steps: 5, 6.25, 9, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, 25, 30, 50, 100 kHz
Number of Memory Channels: 450 (400 regular, 50 scan edges)
Usable Temperature Range: -10°C to +50°C; +14°F to +122°F
Current Drain (at 3.0 V DC):
Rated Audio: 170 mA typ.
Standby: 100 mA typ.
Power Saved: 41 mA typ.
Power Supply Requirement: 2 x AA (R6) Ni-Cd or alkaline cells
Antenna Connector: SMA (50 ohms)
Dimensions: 58(W) x 86(H) x 27(D) mm, 2.3(W) x 3.4(H) x 1.1(D) in
(projections not included)
Weight: 170 kg; 6 oz.

Receiver
Receive System: Triple conversion superheterodyne
Intermediate Freq.
1st: 266.7 MHz
2nd: 19.65 MHz
3rd: 450 kHz
Sensitivity (except spurious points; typical):
FM (at 12 dB SINAD)
1.625 - 5.0 MHz, 0.4 µV
5.005 - 29.995 MHz, 0.25 µV
30 - 117.995 MHz, 0.2 µV
118 - 174.995 MHz, 0.18 µV
175 - 329.995 MHz, 0.22 µV
330 - 429.995 MHz, 0.25 µV
430 - 450 MHz, 0.22 µV
450.005 - 469.995 MHz, 0.25 µV
470 - 999.995 MHz, 0.28 µV

1000+ MHz, 0.45 µV
WFM (at 12 dB SINAD):
76 - 108 MHz, 0.71 µV
175 - 221.995 MHz, 0.71 µV
470 - 770 MHz, 1.0 µV
AM (at 12 dB SINAD):
0.495 - 5 MHz, 1.3 µV
5.005 - 30 MHz, 0.79 µV
118 - 136 MHz, 0.63 µV
222 - 246.995 MHz, 0.63 µV
247 - 329.995 MHz, 0.71 µV
Selectivity
AM, FM: More than 15 kHz/6 dB, Less than 30 kHz/60 dB
WFM: More than 150 kHz/8 dB
Audio Output Power: 100 mW typical, at 10% distortion with an 8 ohm load.
External Speaker Connector: 3-conductor 3.5(d) mm (1/8")/8 ohms

"Richard Cochran" <rcochran@lanset.com> wrote in message
news:3989A527.A3616CBC@lanset.com...
> Handheld or base?
>
> The Icom IC-R2, at $200.00 or so, covers this band and a lot more.
> It's not triple conversion, though, and can suffer from interference from
> pager towers (I haven't tried using it much on mil-air bands yet, so I'm
> not sure how bad the interference is there). It's a really tiny handheld.
> I'm very happy with mine.
>
> I also have an old Pro-2004 which does a really beautiful job on the
> military aircraft band, one of the original reasons I bought it. Triple
> conversion, with essentially no intermod. It's a massive base station,
> adaptable to mobile use (runs on 12VDC or 120VAC without
> adapters) and no longer available new.
>
> These are the two military aircraft scanners which I personally own.
> They were both "best" by my specific criteria when I bought them,
> but I don't know what's going to be best for you.
>
> --Rich
>
> larson@sofcom.com wrote:
>
> > I know but what is the cheapest I can go and get mil-air? I think I need
> > triple conversion being in a large metropolitian rf rich enviroment. Any
> > ideas, suggestions or (grin) donations?
> >
>

2376. Pro 23

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Drew Gloede <drewg@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <3989ca25$0$10400$726baab@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 83392

I have a Pro 23 800mhz scanner made for Radio Shack by Uniden.
What is a good asking price? I believe that it is at least 5 years old but
in great shape.
Give me some ideas please.

Thank you.

2377. Re: Scanner nuts website site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulwey <paul@pwey.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8mcktc$5bl$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 83393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i dont remember insulting america,i just would think it makes more sense to state when cross posting the the source country of your posting,nothing wrong with the info, i check american sites out all the time,but if posting details of my website on another newsgroup in another country i would state the country reffered to mainly on the site.

indeed some good things on that site mentioned.

i saw some real insults ref america a month ago or so,and that is wrong,i made a comment as you indeed are which in a free world is perfectly acceptable.

if indeed an insult was taken,i apoligise

for uk freqs join proma or visit our website

www.geocities.com/siliconvalley/station/6670

John <dc2daylight@home.com> wrote in message
news:ffth5.18412$k5.188852@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
> Mr Wey,
>
> In an attempt to make you understand how these newsgroups work, please
allow
> me to give you a civilized reply. This newsgroup is available to everyone
> around the world. Most messages are also "cross-posted" on several other
> newsgroups, including the ".uk" variant that I suspect you are reading
this
> in. I read your message in the "rec.radio.scanner" newsgroup which knows
no
> political boundary. Therefore you will see content not specific to the
UK.
> Since the US has a significant population, many of which are interested in
> scanning, it stands to reason that a proportional amount of information
will
> be from there.
>
> My advice? Either look to more regional sources of information such as
> websites or mailing lists, or learn to sift through the newsgroups to find
> what you are looking for. Above all, avoid insulting an entire nation
like
> you did previously, you may get more attention that you originally
intended.
>
>

> paulwey wrote in message
> > point taken just most people in uk prefer uk info,theres plenty of
> american
> > sites
> >
> >
>
>
>

2378. OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <ddnjosstkp1eqmi6jqthq852hshak5f92i@4ax.com>
Source record: 83394

Cancer Suit Filed Against Telecoms

Filed at 4:46 p.m. ET

By Reuters
BALTIMORE (Reuters) - A Maryland neurologist filed an $800 million lawsuit against the world's No. 2 cellular phone maker Motorola Inc. as well as eight other telecommunications companies and organizations, claiming that his use of cell phones caused a malignant brain tumor.

Dr. Christopher Newman, 41, said in the lawsuit filed on Tuesday in Baltimore City Circuit Court that his years of using wireless handheld telephones led to his brain cancer.

Newman named Verizon Communications Bell Atlantic Corp., Bell Atlantic Mobile Inc., Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems Inc., Washington/Baltimore Cellular Ltd., SBC Communications Inc. (SBC.N), the Cellular Telecommunication Industry Association, and the Telecommunication Industry Association in the lawsuit.

Newman claimed compensatory damages in excess of $100 million and punitive damages in excess of $700 million.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

2379. Re: Cheapest military air scanner (was: What's the best scanner?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3989DFCE.C5AA5536@megsinet.net>
Source record: 83395

Richard Cochran wrote:

> The Icom IC-R2, at $200.00 or so, covers this band and a lot more.
> It's not triple conversion, though...

The IC-R2 is triple conversion and employs IFs of 266.7, 19.95, and 0.45
MHz.
It's an amazing little radio.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

2380. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Barnes <stephen@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3989DFC6.CDF2E2D1@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk>
Source record: 83396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I regularly fly from Belfast to London (and often on from there). I always ask permission to use a frequency counter and/or scanner, and have never been refused. Most pilots state that it's OK provided the seatbelt sign is not lit, some say that I can listen without restrictions (albeit not many).

This morning the Captain of my flight to London said that I could listen 'but if the plane turns upside down, would I mind turning my scanner off, please. If it's not too much trouble'. Haven't the Brits got a wonderful sense of humor ;-)

The freq counter works reasonably well, provided you get a window seat. An aisle or a middle row seat is totally dead.

I did get into some 'bother' last year on a flight from Canada. The stewardess was convinced that my freq counter was a transmitter, threatened to have me arrested on arrival at London. I told her to go ahead and tell the Captain. He came out and we had a chat about airband radio and flying for 20 mins, he then brought me into the cabin, switched off the autopilot and let me pilot the plane for 10 mins. Not many people can claim flying a 767 as part of their PPL!!

The bottom line is to keep yourself right: always ask the Captain's permission.

Steve.

TW wrote:
>
> Which place service did you use? Most now don't allow scanners or 2-way
> radios on the flight.
>
> JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
> >
> > I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a recent
> > road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
> talking
> > on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
> >
> > On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> > frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> > 870-890mhz range
> >
> > A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My

> > counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
> theirs
> > and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
> >
> > There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> > frequency for a mobile phone
> > I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to the
> > metal skin of the aircraft....
> > I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter
> as
Stephen Barnes,
http://i.am/stephenbarnes/

2381. Question about the Bearcat BC-20/20 & BC-211 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000802200245.27284.00001402@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 83435

Does anyone know what the frequency coverage of either one of these radio's is?

Bearcat BC-20/20
Bearcat BC-211

And yes I mean BC-211 not 210, I did not even know there was a Bearcat BC-211 til the other day. Any other info you can offer on either one of these radio's would really be appreciated.

Jim Peters
jimdpeters@aol.com
Portland, Oregon

2382. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3988c3e8.1435441@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83436

Yup...that's exactly what I've seen so far with my 92A. I haven't seen any of the "ID flicker" problem reported on the earlier 92's, but my 92A seems to fail to detect the ID a bit too often. In closed mode, this means that the scanner misses a fair amount of traffic. Side by side, my BC-245 has none of these problems. I'm not overly upset, though, since I really bought the 92A to fill a need as a conventional rig with CTCSS/DCS, alphatags, and 50 channel banks. It does all that quite well, so I'm a reasonably satisfied customer, especially since I got mine at the July sale price. I'd be a lot more bugged if I'd paid a hundred bucks more.

On Wed, 02 Aug 2000 23:55:05 GMT, andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com (andyman) wrote:

> This is the exact problem I am having with my brand new Pro-92A
>(20-522A 05A00). Every once in awhile I receive a trunked
>transmission but no ID shows up, until I hit manual then an ID will be
>diplayed. This is frustrating, when no ID shows up it will not let me
>hold on the ID/conversation, and these are valid talk goups that for
>the majority of time I receive fine with the proper ID. I am very
>tempted to return it and just wait it out for something better to show
>up in a year or two.
>
>Andy
>>The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
>>whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed or
>>open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
>>to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
>>"T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
>>programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
>>except in the programming mode.
>>Jon

2383. Re: Poughkeepsie NY (dutchess county) freq request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gambit32 <gambit32@SPAMFREE.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sufhosovu9ptlruj27dn9lb822gkgok0e0@4ax.com>
Source record: 83437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Percon database? never heard of that.

Ill have to go look for that

Thank you VERY much

On Wed, 02 Aug 2000 21:27:21 GMT, petrow@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <045foso1f6pupek69dq0qs37ok6anlf284@4ax.com>,
> Gambit32 <gambit32@SPAMFREE.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Im looking for frequencies for Poughkeepsie NY, dutchess
>> county. Specifically pertaining to frequencies used by Marist
>> College.
>
>From the Percon data base.
> 461.9625 WNRR254 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>NY DUTCHESS 413217/0735500
>
> 464.7375 WPLF824 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 464.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>POUGHKEEPSIE NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 464.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>POUGHKEEPSIE NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 464.8875 WNVI265 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 469.7375 WPLF824 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 469.7750 KNJK495 MARIST COLLEGE IB POUGHKEEPSIE
>NY DUTCHESS 414313/0735541
>
> 469.8875 WNXR975 MARIST COLLEGE IB
>NY DUTCHESS
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2384. Uniden Scanners for sale/auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mak1c$ra9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83438

We have a Uniden BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner for $49.99, Uniden BC100xlt 100 channel handheld scanner for $65.00, and radio shack 10 channel handheld scanner for $5.00. Email me at joescanner@my-deja.com

JOE

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2385. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3988CEE6.9ECC4BF8@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83439

Tim Tyler wrote:
>
> abme75@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Does
> > a cordless phone with Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology mean
> > it's digital too?
>
> I believe that's almost always going to be the case, yes.

I think this is false. The early Panasonic Gigaphones were
spread spectrum and used analog (not digital) voice
transmission. (Don't know about the later ones.) I think there
are many 900 Mhz spread spectrum phones that also use analog
transmissions, but I couldn't tell you which ones. The fact that
it may have "DSS" labeled on the phone somewhere is no guarantee
that the phone uses digital transmission.

--
Michael Moore

2386. Speech Inversion descrambling!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000802220226.21599.00000370@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 83440

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2387. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <qt4i5.58992$oj6.523521@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Which place service did you use? Most now don't allow scanners or 2-way
radios on the flight.

JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
>
> I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a recent
> road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
talking
> on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
>
> On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> 870-890mhz range
>
> A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
theirs
> and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
>
> There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> frequency for a mobile phone
> given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.
>
> A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used
> their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz
range.
>
> I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to the
> metal skin of the aircraft....
> I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter
as
> the plane was waiting for clearance.
>
> I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance and
> tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the
flight
> attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies in
> 172's and 210's (Cessna)
>
> JOHN
> -

>
>

2388. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <AI4i5.59064$oj6.523290@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83442

Jeeze aren't we cranky!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:3988A4ED.BDCB3067@cts.com...
>
>
> TW wrote:
> >
> > It is currently illegal to listen to the cell phones using a scanner in
the
> > US.
> So call the feds...
> Get off my lawn!

2389. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DarkkKnite <DarkkKnite@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39889227.169FB54E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 83443

I would really love to hear cell freq's

JJ475171 wrote:
>
> Hello all, Due to the fact that AOL prohibits me from posting messages
> regarding the sale of scanners, im posting a message to anyone that is
> interested in hearing the cell band to please contact me (Via E-Mail) and I
> will present you with the information on a very capable peice of equipment,
> that can enable you to receive these extremely active frequencies. All serious
> inquries can contact me directly at my e-mail address (At the top of this post)
> and I will provide you with the proper information. Please be fore-warned that
> I do not check the newsgroup messages daily, BUT I DO check my e-mail on a
> daily basis. Thank You all for being patient in reading this post, and best
> regards to all.....Frank in CT.

--
ï¿½ï¿½à¡±ï¿½

2390. Re: Uniden SC200 and Winscan Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <1T4i5.57245$fR2.564107@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bryan:

Click here...
http://mghusa.com/pozilla/

Bill Crocker

"Bryan Burton" <bdburton@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:0h4i5.10$VK.630@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> All,
>
> I am considering upgrading my SC150 to a SC200. I like the alpha numeric
> feature, the extra 100 channels, and the PC interface option. However,
after
> some research I found out to import frequencies to the scanner from my PC
> (database / spreadsheet) you need some additional third party software.
The
> software that you can download from Uniden will only upload frequencies
from
> their database. I believe the third party software is called Winscan
Sport.
> Is there a place on the web where I can download a demo I want to make
sure
> that this software will actually do what I want before I sink $200 in the
> scanner and probably another $50 in Winscan Sport. I hate it when
companies
> do this why not include all the software with the scanner!!
>
> Also anybody have any comments on the SC200?
>
> TIA,
> Bryan
>
>
>
>

2391. Pro-92A Problems and Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com
Message-ID: <3988b704.5875210@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 83445

This problem I am having with my Pro-92A (20-522A 05A00) seems to be random and somewhat infrequent. Sometimes when I receive a MO type II trunked transmission while in open mode no ID shows up, until I hit manual then an ID will be diplayed after a short ID wobble. When no ID shows up it will not let you hold on that conversation or store the ID, and these are valid talk goup transmissions that for the majority of time I receive fine with the proper ID displayed while in the open mode. In the closed mode with the ID's I programmed in, the scanner appears to be working properly (no ID wobble as in the old 92). Compared to my BC-895, the 92A picks up just about every trunk transmission the 895 does (at least 95 percent). The 92A has pretty much static when monitoring a MO type II system, while the my 895 has none. Conventional transmissions are clear on the 92A. The constant hiss and pulse (from the speaker amp?) when the radio is squelched is still present from the old 92 and has not been fixed for the 92A. The hiss is very low in volume but can be annoying in a completly quiet room. I am very tempted to return the scanner and just wait it out for something better to show up in a year or two. Anyone else have these problems?

Andy

2392. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <8maliv$kr7$1@nntp.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 83446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

It's illegal, at least in the US, for a scanner to be able to pick up
freqs in the cellular (800 MHz) band, or for one to modify a scanner to
be able to do so.

In rec.travel.air JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:

> I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a recent
> road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were talking
> on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.

> On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> 870-890mhz range

> A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used theirs
> and it showed a 843mhz frequency.

> There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> frequency for a mobile phone
> given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.

> A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used
> their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz range.

> I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to the
> metal skin of the aircraft....
> I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter as
> the plane was waiting for clearance.

> I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance and
> tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the flight
> attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies in
> 172's and 210's (Cessna)

> JOHN
> -

2393. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <CCsi5.4207$D11.4645@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"John" <dc2daylight@home.com> wrote in message
news:l0si5.19177$k5.199128@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
>
> Craig Welch wrote a message On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 18:10:42 -0500,
>
> > As far as we know. But there is 'reasonable suspicion' that cell
> > phones might interfere with nav or other systems. And on that basis,
> > airlines prohibit their use. So that's it.
>
> Another possible scenario is that cell phones represent too much
competition
> with on-board telephone systems; and the airlines simply cannot have that.
>

I wish I still had the references, but they are lost in the mists of time (anything more than a year ago :<)). It concerned several instances where non-certified electronic equipment being used by a passenger on board a trans-atlantic flight may have interferred with the flight deck instruments, navigation and communication. In at least one incident, such interference was alleged to have been a key factor in the loss of the aircraft, crew and passengers. These incidents occured in the 60's or early 70's as I recall, and advances in flight avionics have rendered them less susceptable to interference, which is why some airlines are more willing to allow the onboard use of passenger equipment. This may have been in an article in Monitoring Times magazine. I do know that, when I was traveling back and forth to Europe in the 70's, TWA, Pan Am, and BOAC (now BA) would not allow the inflight use of any electrical/electronic devices brought onboard by a passenger. A stewardess on a TWA flight to London wouldn't even give me permission to use my cordless Norelco shaver, instead supplying a special corded unit that would plug into the aircraft's electrical system (this was a 707).

As to why inflight use of a transmitter of ANY kind is prohibited, consider that the onboard avionics which are heavily tested and certified to be non-interfering are located inside the metal shell of the plane, which protects the equipment from interference from the OUTSIDE. If the non-certified equipment is located INSIDE the aircraft, the primary line of defense (the fuselage) has been bypassed. Think your equipment can't affect other radios or instruments? I use to have a BC-100XLT that, if I turned it on within 10 feet of somebody using a cordless phone, the phone would hang up. These rules were in place long before airlines started installing inflight phones.

Like the man said, ALWAYS ask the Captain of the plane. FAA regs give him the final say.

Mark K5MAR

2394. Motorola STX upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron <ahamilton2NOSPAM@sm.saddleback.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <elti5.9667$Z6.353733@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83148

How do I upgrade a Motorola STX to make it compatible with type II (SmartNet) systems? Thanks in advance.

Aaron

--

_____
send replies to:
ahamilton2@sm.saddleback.cc.ca.us

2395. Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoFixxxer <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UAui5.104077$1h3.1584821@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 83149

Can anyone give me the Frequency for "Stone Rd. Mall" In Guelph? Used to have it a long time ago, but have lost it.

Thanks in advance.

2396. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m33dklv3fb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83150

The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2397. Re: >>>>>> SW/SCANNER MESSAGE BOARD <<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <055fc206.64f6e72a@usw-ex0105-038.remarq.com>
Source record: 83151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd, I have a serious question for you. If you're really a prophet of God, why advertise it in your username? A true man of God can stand on his own, and people will recognize it and flock to him. It is only the false ones who have to push themselves on people one way or another.

Jesus did nothing to advertise his "status." His mere Presence was enough... people KNEW they were in the presence of Holiness just by his Presence. Why do you feel you have to jump up and down and scream about who you believe you are?

Why don't you give that a little thought, tone down your posts, post under the name "Lloyd" instead of "Prophet Lloyd Davies," and rejoin the human race? People might even like you if they knew what you were really like underneath all that huffing and puffing, who knows?

Anyway, if I ever met you at a hamfest, I would be happy to have a cup of coffee with you.

Take Care,

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2398. FS: Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garr <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <398a9030$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 83152

Clean and in mint condition. Original box and all accessories. $250.00 shipped and insured. US Postal money orders only.

Regards

2399. FS: Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garr <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <398a90ba$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 83153

Clean mint condition with all original documentation and accessories.
$250.00 shipped and insured. US Postal money orders onl

2400. BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net>
Source record: 83154

My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400 mhz band freq's .

Do I have a junk radio now?

--
Mike Gormley
P.O. Box 205
Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205

"Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"

mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net

ICQ #24425724

Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:

http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/

2401. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398AA66F.5ECC2EF7@ticon.net>
Source record: 83155

Check the review on WWW.STRONGSIGNALS.NET..
These are COMPLETE reviews of scanners....
Chris

Roland Stiner wrote:

> Can someone post the full specs on the Radio Shack Pro 2067?
>
> Roland

2402. Re: Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398AA724.3E41D86E@ticon.net>
Source record: 83156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have purchased several of these, and a fair price is $275.00. This
seems
to be the going rate for one.

Chris

Frank Ryan wrote:

> I have the opportunity to obtain a Pro 2006 scanner, from a friend who
> received it (ALMOST NEW) when a relative passed away a few years ago.
>
> It has the box , packaging, all the documents, and looks like it was
> never even used.
>
> What I am trying to find out is what is a fair price to offer for it.
> I don't want to rip this guy off, but I don't want to pay a couple of
> hundred for a $50 item.
>
> Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2403. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <X5yi5.73628$V34.676611@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> There is nothing to resolve. While traveling through the I-5 corridor in
my
> area John got on the 523 repeater cursing, swearing and making
SNIP
More lies from you & Dan! If it was true then why wasn't the repeater
owner & licensee informed?

> As far a Riley goes, I am sure he has heard of Mackey. It has been
reported
> on here by many including his own father that he is under investigation
for
> selling licenses or some such nonsense.

Not at all true, I have been a VE thru the ARRL for over 10 years
& am now an OO. YOU are just jealous of my status & responsibility!

Glenn Hansen, KB7PKC, you are just a LIAR trying to cause problems.
(Do I need to re-post that message you put on rec.radio.swap 4 years ago
to demonstrate your true desires??)

2404. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <jdyi5.73633$V34.676619@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please post the statement you are referring to. I do not believe I "called
it
a fraud" as you indicate.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> When the news of Mr. Cheek was first announced you called it a fraud. You
said
> Mr. Cheek was trying to scam people for money. Would not believe it until
you
> had proof from a doctor.

2405. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <8hyi5.73636$V34.676556@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Richard - If you are that much of a coward as you admit to below,
then why do you post here?

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> Toilet Boy won't take you up on the challenge because he is a coward
> and is too afraid to come out from behind his keyboard.

2406. Richard Kendrick causing problems! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Giyi5.73637$V34.676513@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Charlie -
Richard Kendrick has long since been the type you accuse him
of below. He doesn't seem to desire to change.

Thank you for your support!

"xman Charlie" <rluce@earthlink.net> wrote
> Goofballs with no real
> friends, hiding in their networked homes, typing
> gibberish to strangers -- this is the fruit of the
> home-computer revolution.
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP

2407. Escuchar la radio natural

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: berna <berna10@iberonline.es>
Message-ID: <zjyi5.3197$nO5.37725@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83161

He leido en alg&#65533;n lado que se pueden escuchar los fen&#65533;menos naturales,por ejemplo,una aurora boreal,es lo que llaman radio natural,y s&#65533; que por internet se puede oir,&#65533;sabe alguien la direcci&#65533;n de una web donde se pueda escuchar la radio natural?

2408. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <wlyi5.73638$V34.676425@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan - I have never made any "snide and unfeeling remarks",
"immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing".

You are obviously confused, or trying to cause trouble again, or BOTH!

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> More mendacity! The proof is in the snide and unfeeling remarks
your
> made immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing. Your
thinly

2409. Re: Pro-92A Problems and Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8med2m$p5t$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 83163

I'm having the same problem with regard to the ID now always appearing! I'm going to do some side by side comparisons with my BC245XLT this weekend to try to ascertain if I am missing some transmissions when in the Closed mode.

As you say, these are valid freqs, but can't be "held" until you make the ID show up.

Jeff

2410. Wanted - Motorola Specta & Maxtrac Remote Mount Head Kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert Korb Jr." <rob@korb.cc>
Message-ID: <398ac948$1@news.intercom.net>
Source record: 83164

I am looking for a Motorola remote mount head kit for the Motorola Spectra A7 as well as the Maxtrac 300 radios.

If anyone has a kit OR knows of anyone that has one please email me rob@korb.cc

Thanks,

Rob

2411. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83165

Corkinator,

If we all got what we deserved, we would be dead, because "the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23. And I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by God.Because I know that God loves me, I don't need to be insecure and put other people down to make myself feel good. It's a shame that the world is filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people down. Maybe someday you'll grow up and realize that you're no better than anyone else, that we are all created equal under God's eyes. Until then, I'll pray for you. God Bless.

Adam Kolari

P.S. - You'll probably come back with some immature flame, but that's OK, I'm expecting it.

"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote in message news:jdblosg099biqse7mhnrluecni7ltoodm4@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 3 Aug 2000 11:48:48 -0400, "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Corky Patrol,
> >
> > Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having Down's
> >Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!!
>
> Well, he is.
>
> >If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd physically beat you!!
>
> Uh huh.
>
> >God loves those people just as much as he loves arrogant pricks like you.
>
> So, God loves Corky Mackey. Big deal? That means he loves you too. How
> unfortunate.
>
> >You better check to see if you have a conscience, because it doesn't seem like you have one.
>
> Not when dealing with retard boy, Corky Mackey. He deserves every bit of it.
>

> >I have been on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen John
Mackey do
> >nothing wrong.
>
> Welcome to the group. You'll learn - eventually.
>
>
> >Adam Kolari
> >
> >"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote in message
> >news:igmioso0cjnkuu91k2ao4drp6jtnpbftmc@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >1. I am not hated
> >>
> >> You are loathed, Corky.
> >>
> >> >2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> >>
> >> Jealousy will get you nowhere, Corky.
> >>
> >> >3. Why would I be jealous of him?
> >>
> >> The same reason you would be jealous of anyone with 1/2 a brain, retard
> >boy.
> >>
> >> >What is there to be jealous of?
> >>
> >> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight. He
> >> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.
> >>
> >> "Life Goes On"
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> >> >> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> >> >> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
>

2412. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <FYzi5.4597$D11.5334@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83166

People will do anything to get easy money these days. No one wants to work honestly for it. It's sad.

Adam Kolari

"David Steuber" <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote in message news:m33dklv3fb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com...
> The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
> non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

2413. F/S: AOR-8200 full mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hitman1656@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000804101305.07104.00000152@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 83167

AOR-8200 FULL MOD...
CASE & PRE-AMP
PLUS ALL CELL #

$425.00 P/S

2414. Frequencies - Maxwell AFB Montgomery, Al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8memb7rdv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83168

I live about 70 miles from Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, AL. There are large planes and helicopters always flying over Lake Martin. Does anyone have frequencies that I can scan to listen to the in-flight conversations? Also we have helicopters flying from Birmingham, AL to the local hospital for transport of patients. Need help with their frequencies also.

Again thanks..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2415. Re: BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <wMAi5.57734$fR2.584555@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83169

Mike:

I can't recall if the BC200 has a CPU reset button somewhere on it? If so, have you tried that? Usually it's a very small hole that you carefully insert a paperclip wire, or similar device, and push on a tiny button. Sometimes they're in the battery compartment. Be advised, doing so will wipe out all your programming.

Bill Crocker

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message news:398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net...
> My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the
> radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I
> tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400
> mhz band freq's .
>
> Do I have a junk radio now?
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
> P.O. Box 205
> Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
>
> "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"
>
> mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
>
> ICQ #24425724
>
> Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/
>
>

2416. This weeks Scanner Dweeb comic (Aug 5)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000804110237.23531.00000823@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 83170

The 74th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " The truth hurts... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
Enjoy !
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb"
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
or
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

2417. freqs from data channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <EB1D5302ECDB714C.63834289D6733281.70F8572C9C1A1756@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anybody tell me how to decode the data channel to get the freqs being used here in the houston. (Harris country trunk)

Thanks

2418. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8meo1s$p7$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 83172

In article <m33dklv3fb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>, nospam@david-steuber.com says...
>
>The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
>non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.
>
>--
>David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
>NRA Member | a hoploholic.

Given the ignorance and stupidity of the average joe these days,
look at the judgment against SearsRobuck for the fool who picked up his
loawnmower to trim the hedges, or the clown who put modern 44cal amunition
in a very old pistol and collected big time when it blew his hand off, I would
venture a guess that anyone who every stood near a cell phone [even if it was
off] and developes a brain tumour, will collecty big time from some jury here
in the USA.
OF course this ignores the study done in England where a MD researcher showed
that using a cell phone heated the brain enough to improve the circulation
in the area exposed to even that small amount of RF.

2419. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8meo6i$69ntq$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83173

> Check the review on WWW.STRONGSIGNALS.NET..
> These are COMPLETE reviews of scanners....

I don't see a review of the 2067 there, please provide the exact page.
I am still looking for the CHANNEL SCAN SPEED.

Roland

2420. Help --- Frequuencies Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <Djazz145@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7xBi5.11265$ZL5.723626@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83174

HEllo i need fire dept. frequeencies for Plantation Fl. in broward county...
if anyone could please help.. thanks.. Dave

2421. Help--- Frequencies Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <Djazz145@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zyBi5.11268$ZL5.725133@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83175

Hello .. i Need FD frequencies for Plantation, Florida ... In Broward County.. Please Help.. I need all of the channels and freq. not just the chan. #s thanks..
Dave

2422. Re: Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <aCBi5.19207$k5.199969@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 83176

Is there a Radio Shack in that mall? If so, the geeks in the store might have the frequency you're looking for. Good luck!

NoFixxxer <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com> wrote in message news:UAui5.104077$1h3.1584821@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Can anyone give me the Frequency for "Stone Rd. Mall" In Guelph? Used to
> have it a long time ago, but have lost it.

2423. FS: BC200XLT (unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: J V <vincentj@micron.net>
Message-ID: <%JBi5.46$4e4.44858@news03.micron.net>
Source record: 83177

Hi All,
I have a BC200xlt (unblocked) for sale. The radio is in Great condition
and comes with rubberduck, wallwart, and extra battery pack. I am asking
for $140, but will consider any reasonable offer or trade.
Please respond directly to email not this newsgroup.
Jim

2424. Trunked ID'Swhat are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Wallace <gwallace@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KRBi5.270$c8.25725@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 83178

I just got back into police scanning and noticed that my area (North Myrtle Beach SC) has gone to trunking, so I bought a scanner that would do that.I have gotten my Frequencies in the scanner and then i went looking for more.Found some new ones listed and below them has some numbers like...9648 Mullins fire & Rescue (main)
are these called I.D'S? and what do i do with them if anything?
Thanks for your help,Gary

2425. Re: Pro-92A Problems and Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Rafter <70531.1340@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8merei$4le$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 83179

Interesting. I haven't had that happen w/ my 2067, but I have
noticed that in open mode I sometimes get two different talk
group ID's (both type I) during the same conversation.

J.

2426. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <398AF3AB.E53B1C73@cts.com>
Source record: 83180

David Steuber wrote:
>
> The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
> non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.
>
Just how absurd can it really be? Motorola has placed warning labels
about close proximity RF for years. My 4 watt HT has a warning in the
owners manual. The TV and FM towers we visit have warnings about RF.

O.K. lot more power at those sites. But it is just a plain scientific
fact.....
It's called radiation. Radiation affects the tissue when there is
long-term exposure.

Now just how much exposure?
I sure don't know.
But common sense tells me that since the cellphone gets warm while
transmitting, that the energy just does not go into the air.
Consider it an acceptable risk of doing business in today's world.

On the plus side...maybe the CTIA will be too busy to bother with us for
a while.

Markj
(Tin Foil Hat on Sale Now)

2427. SoCal Fire Helicopter Website Updated!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: airsquad8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000804124749.04529.00000613@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 83181

I've updated my website dedicated to Southern California fire helicopters. Stop by and check it out...

http://www.geocities.com/airsquad9

Mickey Kopanski
airsquad8@aol.com

2428. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398AF9EF.2CAE37B7@lp.com>
Source record: 83182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Put me down for 10.

Corkinator wrote:

> Corky Mackey "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> >> 1. You are most definitely HATED (Maybe you are just
> >> to stupid to recognize hatred)
> >
> >Then by who??
>
> I'll start the list, Corky. By ME. Anyone else?
>
> (this is going to be one seriously long thread).

2429. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398AFB37.A1D95069@lp.com>
Source record: 83183

You are assuming that this is everyone's religion. How nice of
you to preach on the NG and to call the great Corkinator "trash"
Which church did you learn how to call people trash?
If you had any brains at all you'd know that Corky Mackey was
the only trash around here.
Pray for yourself so that you don't call Corkinator "trash" any
longer.

The Kolari's wrote:

> Corkinator,
>
> If we all got what we deserved, we would be dead, because "the wages of
> sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through Christ Jesus our
> Lord". - Romans 6:23. And I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> God.Because I know that God loves me, I don't need to be insecure and put
> other people down to make myself feel good. It's a shame that the world is
> filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people down.
> Maybe someday you'll grow up and realize that you're no better than anyone
> else, that we are all created equal under God's eyes. Until then, I'll pray
> for you. God Bless.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> P.S. - You'll probably come back with some immature flame, but that's OK,
> I'm expecting it.
>
> "Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote in message
> news:jdblosg099biqse7mhnrluecni7ltoodm4@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 3 Aug 2000 11:48:48 -0400, "The Kolari's"
> <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Corky Patrol,
> > >
> > > Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having Down's
> > >Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!!
> >
> > Well, he is.
> >
> > >If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd physically beat you!!
> >
> > Uh huh.
> >
> > >God loves those people just as much as he loves arrogant pricks like you.

> >
> > So, God loves Corky Mackey. Big deal? That means he loves you too. How
> > unfortunate.
> >
> > >You better check to see if you have a conscience, because it doesn't seem
> like you have one.
> >
> > Not when dealing with retard boy, Corky Mackey. He deserves every bit of
> it.
> >
> > >I have been on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen John
> Mackey do
> > >nothing wrong.
> >
> > Welcome to the group. You'll learn - eventually.
> >
> >
> > >Adam Kolari
> > >
> > >"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote in message
> > >news:igmioso0cjnkuu91k2ao4drp6jtnpbftmc@4ax.com...
> > >> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >1. I am not hated
> > >>
> > >> You are loathed, Corky.
> > >>
> > >> >2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> > >>
> > >> Jealousy will get you nowhere, Corky.
> > >>
> > >> >3. Why would I be jealous of him?
> > >>
> > >> The same reason you would be jealous of anyone with 1/2 a brain, retard
> > >boy.
> > >>
> > >> >What is there to be jealous of?
> > >>
> > >> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight. He
> > >> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.
> > >>
> > >> "Life Goes On"
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > >> >> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> > >> >> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
> > >> >

> > >> >
> > >>
> > >
> >

2430. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398AFBC6.BE198125@lp.com>
Source record: 83184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You are obviously a liar Fat Mackey or you're smelling
to much bedpan urine again.
The smoking comments you have made concerning Bill
Cheek were very inappropriate.
Now run along and eat another dozen donuts fat boy.

KS0F wrote:

> Dan - I have never made any "snide and unfeeling remarks",
> "immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing".
>
> You are obviously confused, or trying to cause trouble again, or BOTH!
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > More mendacity! The proof is in the snide and unfeeling remarks
> your
> > made immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing. Your
> thinly

2431. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - Corky still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398AFC08.A4A38E46@lp.com>
Source record: 83185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

He has nothing else to do with his day. How pathetic.

Corkinator wrote:

> "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool.
>
> Corky, give it a rest. You embarass yourself with every post you make.

2432. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <g_Ci5.172$x31.119581@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote .....
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > There is nothing to resolve. While traveling through the I-5 corridor in
> my
> > area John got on the 523 repeater cursing, swearing and making
> SNIP
> More lies from you & Dan! If it was true then why wasn't the repeater
> owner & licensee informed?

I answered that already Corky-John. Why bother Jon? What difference would it have made? You still are a repeater jamming and burning up fool. Telling the owner would do nothing, but I will mention it to him if that makes you feel better Corky-John. Still doesn't change what you did and what 4 hams heard you do. Lie on little boy.

> > As far a Riley goes, I am sure he has heard of Mackey. It has been
> reported
> > on here by many including his own father that he is under investigation
> for
> > selling licenses or some such nonsense.
>
> Not at all true, I have been a VE thru the ARRL for over 10 years
> & am now an OO.

Anyone who memorizes the test material van become a VE. You are proof John-Corky. BAWAHAHAHAHHAHA. That is all you have to offer up as an example of your sucess. VE and OO. BAWAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA! You left out traveling nurses aide John-Corky. Why do you always try and hide that part of your life. Ashamed? Still trying to hide those molestation of the elderly charges? Why do you hide this aspect of your life Corkey-John?

>YOU are just jealous of my status & responsibility!

What status and responsibility is that Corky-John? Your status as the most offttopic poster (389 a month average) on this NG? Your responsibility for stalking many? No, you have done and possese nothing that I nor any person could desire. You are a freak.

> Glenn Hansen, KB7PKC, you are just a LIAR trying to cause problems.
> (Do I need to re-post that message you put on rec.radio.swap 4 years ago
> to demonstrate your true desires??)

Ahh, Corky-John threatening me with a forged message his buddy Petey posted 4 years ago. Go ahead John, go for it. It will only demonstrate for the

newer users what a loser you continue to be. Desperate action by a desperate Corky-John Mackey.

How many repeaters have you smoked today Corky? How many repeaters have you claimed to own that aren't your today John-Corky Mackey?

2433. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Barnes <stephen@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk>
Message-ID: <398B00A8.99D8E763@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk>
Source record: 83187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Such cynicism.... It's just not like you bunch. ;-)

S.

Will Nichols wrote:
>
> On my flight from DAL to SAT yesterday, The pilot let me fly, cause I let
> him play with my game boy. ;)
>
> "Craig Welch" <craig@pacific.net.sg> wrote in message
> news:q24kossmtohef0t833nevl2vgbu967hb5d@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 22:10:30 +0100, Steve Barnes
> > <stephen@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > >I did get into some 'bother' last year on a flight from Canada. The
> > >stewardess was convinced that my freq counter was a transmitter,
> > >threatened to have me arrested on arrival at London. I told her to go
> > >ahead and tell the Captain. He came out and we had a chat about airband
> > >radio and flying for 20 mins, he then brought me into the cabin,
> > >switched off the autopilot and let me pilot the plane for 10 mins. Not
> > >many people can claim flying a 767 as part of their PPL!!
> >
> > Yes they can. Anyone can claim so. It's easy to tell porkies from
> > your keyboard.
> >
> > -- Craig

--

Stephen Barnes,
http://i.am/stephenbarnes/

2434. Freqs from data Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <some@some.com>
Message-ID: <kp0mos0lp4j1gtp6fpeg45101agnnvqq78@4ax.com>
Source record: 83188

Can anybody tell me how to decode the data channel to get the freqs
being used here in the houston. (Harris country trunk)

Thanks

2435. Tracking (npi) Convention & Campaign Trains

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mevmugev1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 83189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,la.transportation,rec.railroad

It appears that both major parties will be making use of the rails this year. I've got links to about a dozen railroad car-tracing URLs - as well as a couple other rail links at my page:
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/cartrace.htm

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

2436. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Elliott <Steve.Elliott@ukgateway.net>
Message-ID: <0wDi5.5512$N5.196822@stones>
Source record: 83190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

I *am* new to scanning, and found your site very informative... I look
forward to reading bits I've not had time to yet!

Steve

"Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net> wrote in message
news:3987F84E.27C478A2@strongsignals.net...
> smokkeyy73 wrote:
> > I am not new to scanners but your site is excellent!! I appreciate the
time
> > you put into developing a site with so much information.....
>
> Thanks for the compliment, Shawn, and I'm pleased you've enjoyed
> your visits!
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

2437. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000804140821.23978.00001521@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 83191

Why would you want to use a cell phone on a plane anyway?! Can you imagine the roaming charges! :)

I've brought scanner and 2m HT's on board airplanes before, keyed the HT's and listened to the scanners... I'm still here. :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2438. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000804141420.23978.00001522@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 83192

>I disagree. As already posted by somebody else, it is illegal to sell
>scanners capable of picking them up (producing them too) and it's also
>illegal to listen to them, but what's done is done

After a certian date a few years ago (don't remember the actual date), the manufacture, monitoring or modification of a scanner to pick up cellular phone calls is illegal (it's also illegal to listen to cordless phone calls under the same law). I have a scanner that was made prior to the ban, so it could monitor cell phones if I wanted to, but it's illegal to listen... it's not illegal to possess it (unless your state has anti-scanner laws) as long as it was made before the ban... actually, I modified my scanner and it picks up somewhere from 800 - 940 without any pauses... (forget the exact range). Unfortunately the scanner is busted - the keypad and display don't work - $184 worth of repairs according to Radio Shack! :(
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2439. Re: FS or FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <JTDi5.63$Wi5.17848@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 83193

890 xlt is SOLD
"Jim Flowers" <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:OLgh5.32$cr2.16102@sapphire.mtt.net...
> I have a Pro 90 Trunk Track 29-956 mhz - $125.00 US
> and a Uniden BC 890 XLT 29.956 mhz - $225.00 US
> for sale or would trade both for a digital camera with lcd window and at
> least an 8 meg card. If interested e-mail to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
> Both items will be plus shipping
>
>

2440. Re: Wired Programming Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Kane <rkane@mich.com>
Message-ID: <8mf16t$4gu7@eccws12.dearborn.ford.com>
Source record: 83194

I believe both these radios can be programmed via computer, but the trick will be to figure out how. The link http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rm.htm has info on building the interface and demo software. I have also tried to work with Radio Shack with no success.

Brian Haren <bharen@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:guni5.8068$0W4.233119@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Good question. I just bought a Pro-89 and the manual clearly states that it
> can be "wire programmed" by hooking it to a computer. There is no
> connection port on this system, and the salesman was clueless. He called RS
> HQ while I waited, and they couldn't answer the question either. I suspect
> that neither the Pro-76 or mine actually have that capability. Someone at
> RS HQ must have been asleep when they sent out for the manual to be
> printed...
>
> If I'm wrong and this radio can be programmed with a computer please let me
> know ASAP!
>
> Brian
> MassScan <massscan@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000803122408.15954.00001702@ng-cq1.aol.com...
> > Does anyone have a clue about how to program the Pro-76
> > with a computer. The manual says to see your local RS.
> > Nobody at Radio Shack has a clue
> > Hope you can help
> > Thanks
> >
>
>

2441. Re: Trunked ID'Swhat are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <fl2mos0qm62qdju2e8up6ukss2e0lfib8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 83195

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 16:06:02 GMT, Gary Wallace
<KRBi5.270$c8.25725@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com> wrote:

>I just got back into police scanning and noticed that my area (North Myrtle Beach SC) has gone to trunking, so I bought a scanner that would do that.I have gotten my Frequencies in the scanner and then i went looking for more.Found some new ones listed and below them has some numbers like...9648 Mullins fire & Rescue (main)
>are these called I.D'S? and what do i do with them if anything?

Well if you have a trunk tracker scanner capable of receiving the
trunked system then you need to program in the frequencies, the Trunk System
type (Motorola I,II, Ericsson, LTR) and then you input the trunked ID's
in the trunked banks.
If you don't have a trunked capable scanner you can get a BC-245, Pro-94 or
other scanning capable of decoding a trunked system.

--

Kevin
---
http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

2442. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <398B046A.A0B9BFF6@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83196

Hey, John. Are you ever going to talk about radio?

KS0F wrote:
>
> Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool. I never said he was a fraud as
> you
> indicate below.
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned
> > and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of
> > decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You
> > used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap
> > scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule
> > his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!

2443. Data Slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <04BA6FF70BCF5304.1DE05C4AAFB9BD21.936C59ED10469D84@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83197

Where can i get a data slicer (buy one)??

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 19:24:55 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>RS <some@some.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
>>Can anybody tell me how to decode the data channel to get the freqs
>>being used here in the houston. (Harris country trunk)
>
>You'll need a data slicer (2 level is fine), a scanner with a discriminator tap,
>and a computer running Trunker or Treport.
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

2444. Re: Uniden BC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mg1lb$47lc$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83198

YES - the 3000xlt is recently discontinued
Mike wrote in message
<3Goi5.8227$0W4.236861@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Are they discontinuing this model?
>
>

2445. Re: Data Slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <erMi5.405$x31.301265@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83199

Optocom did at one time, think they still do. They really are simple to build though, and there is a wealth of information on the WWW to help.

Glenn

RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com> wrote in message news:04BA6FF70BCF5304.1DE05C4AAFB9BD21.936C59ED10469D84@lp.airnews.net...
> Where can i get a data slicer (buy one)??
>
>
> On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 19:24:55 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >RS <some@some.com> hollered from the outhouse...
> >
> >>Can anybody tell me how to decode the data channel to get the freqs
> >>being used here in the houston. (Harris country trunk)
> >
> >You'll need a data slicer (2 level is fine), a scanner with a discriminator tap,
> >and a computer running Trunker or Treport.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> >Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>

2446. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <398B9429.30A76A0D@postnet.com>
Source record: 83200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

KS0F wrote:

> Dan - I have never made any "snide and unfeeling remarks",
> "immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing".
>
> You are obviously confused, or trying to cause trouble again, or BOTH!

No confusion whatsoever, Corky-John! The ere isn't any msitaking teh facts, either. its all there for anyone who cares to go back and read your posts. I'm not going to waste time and effort reierating your whole sick, unhappy, spiteful, little tirade. You only deny that it is accurate or try and twist your quotes as remarks made by those who post them.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2447. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <398B95CB.D5744C4B@postnet.com>
Source record: 83201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

KS0F wrote:

> Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool. I never said he was a fraud as
> you
> indicate below.
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned
> > and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of
> > decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You
> > used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap
> > scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule
> > his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!

My, my! A little touchy are we, Corky-John? The record is there for
all who care to research it. The times you accused the late Mr. Cheek and/or
his family of deceiving the hobby public about his condition and suggesting
that attempts by others to secure donations to defray his last expenses of
illness and passing were a scam. It is all there, Corky-John, and those
corrupt and spiteful little slurs and your outright lies damn your FOREVER as
a detestable individual, worthy of the utter contempt of the entire hobby.
Enjoy your hard won notoriety, you worthless bit of excrement! Aren't you glad
you invested so much effort in securing your place in radio hobby history?

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2448. Re: Data Slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5tNi5.684$x31.326117@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83202

I had no idea the Shack had them.

There is one real nice plan on the net that shows the whole layout on a perf board, literally made for a beginner. Like Bud said, cheap and easy...or was Bud talking about himself? :-) No, he meant the slicer.

BTW, Texas Two sells one for $75 http://www.texas2way.com/
OPto sells the Opto link for $130
http://www.optoelectronics.com/optolinx.htm

Big bucks for a hand full of parts! The opto also has a built in CIV/radio interface also.

Glenn

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:Z8Ni5.6858$D11.9403@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Last time I checked, they were still available from RadioShack.com
(formerly
> TechAmerica.com). Look for the HamComm interface. As for plans, I know
they
> are available at many sites, including the National Weather Service, which
> uses them to decode EMWIN signals (Emergency Managers Weather Information
> Network).
> This is the NWS link: http://iwin.nws.noaa.gov/emwin/index.htm
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:g43nossqrrrgh4k4ggcorsf65tqmmhmfjj@4ax.com...
> > RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com> hollered from the outhouse...
> >
> > >Where can i get a data slicer (buy one)??
> >
> > I'm not sure where you can buy one, but they're VERY easy to make,
> > parts would cost you about $10, and there are lots of places on the
> > net where you can find plans. Simply slicer uses 1 IC and 3-4 other
> > parts.
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>

2449. NJ Police Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <mcz84@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8metrr$3r7g$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for police codes from Woodbridge, Edison and Perth Amboy.

mcz84@yahoo.com
http://www.angelfire.com/nj/mczsa

2450. PennSTAR Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <mcz84@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8mettd$2mmm$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What freq. is PennSTAR dispatched on?

mcz84@yahoo.com
http://www.angelfire.com/nj/mczsa

2451. Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398B1234.5D897ECD@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Source record: 83249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Just remember, God was created in man's image...
to explain the things man couldn't. AND...
no matter how many names we have given this deity,
there is only one.

2452. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398B17DA.381A8C6A@ticon.net>
Source record: 83250

They must have removed it. Thats where I got the info for the '2067
before
I bought mine.... I just dont understand that.... Chris

Roland Stiner wrote:

> > Check the review on WWW.STRONGSIGNALS.NET..
> > These are COMPLETE reviews of scanners....
>
> I don't see a review of the 2067 there, please provide the exact page.
> I am still looking for the CHANNEL SCAN SPEED.
>
> Roland

2453. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398B192A.76B30680@ticon.net>
Source record: 83251

After consulting the StronSignals website. Please goto RECIEVER RELEASE DATES, click on PRO-2067, and the COMPLETE specs are there. Your question is answered:
Scan Speed: 25 channels/second
Search: 50 steps/second

There you go, courtesy of Stronsignals.net!

Roland Stiner wrote:

> > Check the review on WWW.STRONGSIGNALS.NET..
> > These are COMPLETE reviews of scanners....
>
> I don't see a review of the 2067 there, please provide the exact page.
> I am still looking for the CHANNEL SCAN SPEED.
>
> Roland

2454. Davis Co Utah UCAN freq/talkgroup update!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <398AFEE5.846C6E69@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 83252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have posted the latest freqs and talkgroup IDs for Davis
County Utah UCAN trunked system on the RMRA
Scanner Group website.

http://www.real-utah.com/scan/ucan.html

Happy scanning,
Jon

2455. Re: jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <CbFi5.73817$V34.680537@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83253

That is about the most intelligent thing you have said yet!

"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> Uh huh.

2456. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Sidney J. Latham" <sidneylatham@home.com>
Message-ID: <6wFi5.132920$dF.3749728@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 83254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....

"Bmills" <bryvin@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:8la8h3$g55$1@supernews.com...
>
>
> Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
> news:L6_c5.3720$5P4.25819@news3.atl...
> > For those of you who have just gotten into scanning or thinking about
it.
> > http://www.webdeals.net/newusers.htm
> >
> > hi i have been playing around with scanners for a while the best one i
> have owned was a yupiter regards Bryan
> >
> >
>
>

2457. Re: ' FA BC895XLT Uniden Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Sidney J. Latham" <sidneylatham@home.com>
Message-ID: <rEFi5.132960$dF.3750041@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 83255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Which Thursday?
Give a Date...

"Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8lcohj$3nr6$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Click & Check out the eBay auction at:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=384880669
>
> Auction ends Thursday
>
> Dave
> 1-408-578-0874
>
>

2458. Free BC-245 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert_ford@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mf9vfbdi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83256

I see Lee Electronics www.leeselect.com is having a contest for a free BC245XLT scanner. Go sign up if you feel lucky (I don't but I signed up anyway...) Who knows, my luck has to change sometime?? (and don't say, yeah for the worse...it can't).

Robert

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2459. ICOM IC R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warek <sosko@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <NQFi5.16745$ct3.397645@news.tpnet.pl>
Source record: 83257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a service manual for this receiver. Can anyone help.
It seems to be immposible to obtain a copy of this document in my country.
I live in Warsaw, Poland, Central Europe

2460. Re: ICOM IC R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <9RFi5.57933$fR2.586853@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Warek:

See if these guys can help...

ICOM Tech Support
E-mail Address(es):
icomtech@compuserve.com
Notes:
Gary Fiber, or Orien Jeans

"Warek" <sosko@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
news:NQFi5.16745$ct3.397645@news.tpnet.pl...
>
>
> I'm looking for a service manual for this receiver. Can anyone help.
> It seems to be immposible to obtain a copy of this document in my country.
> I live in Warsaw, Poland, Central Europe
>
>

2461. Southern California Edison Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <398B2F5F.C9E748B1@gte.net>
Source record: 83259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for Southern California Edison Freq's for Los Angeles ,
California area or Sites of them thanks :)

2462. Re: Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrd520@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000804171436.21036.00003641@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 83260

Have seen mint 2006's bring $250 plus regularly and even more on good ol eBay.

2463. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6JGi5.436$Tl5.8868@news4.mia>
Source record: 83261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than any Radio
Shack scanners.

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Sidney J. Latham <sidneylatham@home.com> wrote in message
news:6wFi5.132920$dF.3749728@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....
>
>
> "Bmills" <bryvin@lineone.net> wrote in message
> news:8la8h3$g55$1@supernews.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
> > news:L6_c5.3720$5P4.25819@news3.atl...
> > > For those of you who have just gotten into scanning or thinking about
> it.
> > > http://www.webdeals.net/newusers.htm
> > >
> > > hi i have been playing around with scanners for a while the best one
i
> > have owned was a yupiter regards Bryan
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2464. Re: Southern California Edison Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mfd5i$1ur$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 83262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The formatting isn't very good, but here you go...

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

Lic. Name Frequency Trans. City
Southern California Edison Company 37.84 Alhambra
Southern California Edison Company 451.025 Alhambra
Southern California Edison Company 451.025 Alhambra
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Alta Sierra
Southern California Edison Company 936.925 Avalon
Southern California Edison Company 937.4 Avalon
Southern California Edison Company 935.4875 Baker
Southern California Edison Company 935.5 Baker
Southern California Edison Company 936.3875 Baker
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Bakersfield
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Bakersfield
Southern California Edison Company 938.9375 Bakersfield
Southern California Edison Company 939.925 Bakersfield
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Barstow
Southern California Edison Company 935.425 Beaumont
Southern California Edison Company 935.4375 Beaumont
Southern California Edison Company 936.4125 Beaumont
Southern California Edison Company 936.4875 Beaumont
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Big Creek
Southern California Edison Company 938.4 Big Creek
Southern California Edison Company 938.5 Big Creek
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bishop
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bishop
Southern California Edison Company 173.3963 Bishop
Southern California Edison Company 936.4375 Bishop
Southern California Edison Company 936.4625 Bishop
Southern California Edison Company 938.5 Bishop
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Blythe
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Bridgeport

Southern California Edison Company 173.3963 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Company 937.45 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Company 937.475 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Company 938.9125 Bridgeport
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Chatsworth
Southern California Edison Co 474.4625 Compton
Southern California Edison Co 471.4625 Corona
Southern California Edison Company 935.975 Corona
Southern California Edison Co 474.4625 Cypress
Southern California Edison Co 158.13 Daggett
Southern California Edison Co 158.16 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 451.25 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 451.25 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 935.4125 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 937.4875 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Daggett
Southern California Edison Company 935.4625 Desert Center
Southern California Edison Company 935.475 Desert Center
Southern California Edison Company 936.925 Desert Center
Southern California Edison Company 173.21 Desert Hot Springs
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Elsinore
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Elsinore
Southern California Edison Company 938.975 Elsinore
Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Elsinore
Southern California Edison Company 939 Elsinore
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Exchequer
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 935.4 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 935.4125 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 936.925 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 937.4875 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 938.9 Exeter
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Farmersville
Southern California Edison Co 169.525 Florence Lake
Southern California Edison Co 169.525 Florence Lake
Southern California Edison Co 169.525 Florence Lake
Southern California Edison Company 169.525 Florence Lake
Southern California Edison Company 169.525 Florence Lake
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Frazier Park
Southern California Edison Company 935.4625 Furnace Creek
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Furnace Creek
Southern California Edison Company 938.9 Furnace Creek
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Giant Forest
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 935.4625 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 937.475 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 938.9625 Glennville

Southern California Edison Company 939.4875 Glennville
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Havilah
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Hesperia
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Homeland
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Homeland
Southern California Edison Company 938.975 Homeland
Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Homeland
Southern California Edison Company 939 Homeland
Southern California Edison Company 158.13 Huntington Beach
Southern California Edison Company 158.13 Huntington Beach
Southern California Edison Company 158.22 Huntington Beach
Southern California Edison Company 158.22 Huntington Beach
Southern California Edison Company 158.22 Huntington Beach
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Huntington Lake
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Huntington Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Huntington Lake
Southern California Edison Company 936.4375 Huntington Lake
Southern California Edison Company 937.5 Huntington Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Independence
Southern California Edison Company 935.5 Independence
Southern California Edison Company 938.9375 Independence
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Inyokern
Southern California Edison Co 451.25 Irvine
Southern California Edison Co 451.25 Irvine
Southern California Edison Company 217.1 June Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.4625 June Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.4875 June Lake
Southern California Edison Company 937.4 June Lake
Southern California Edison Company 937.4625 June Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.975 La Cresenta
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 La Cresenta
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 La Cresenta
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Laguna Beach
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Lakeshore
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Lancaster
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Lancaster
Southern California Edison Company 937.4875 Laughlin
Southern California Edison Company 937.5 Laughlin
Southern California Edison Company 939.95 Laughlin
Southern California Edison Company 935.425 Little Lake
Southern California Edison Company 935.4875 Little Lake
Southern California Edison Company 936.9125 Little Lake
Southern California Edison Company 936.4 Lone Pine
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Lone Pine
Southern California Edison Company 938.4125 Lone Pine
Southern California Edison Co 471.4625 Long Beach
Southern California Edison Co 474.4625 Long Beach
Southern California Edison Company 938.7625 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.775 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.7875 Los Angeles

Southern California Edison Company 938.8 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.8125 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.825 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.8375 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.85 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.8625 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 938.875 Los Angeles
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Malibu
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 936.4875 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 938.95 Mammoth Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 936 Meadow Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 937.225 Meadow Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Meadow Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Meadow Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Meadow Lakes
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Monolith
Southern California Edison Company 938.4875 Monolith
Southern California Edison Company 938.9125 Monolith
Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Monolith
Southern California Edison Company 939.9375 Monolith
Southern California Edison Company 938.0125 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.025 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.0375 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.05 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.0625 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.075 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.0875 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.1 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.1125 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 938.125 Montrose
Southern California Edison Company 935.425 Moreno Valley
Southern California Edison Company 935.4375 Moreno Valley
Southern California Edison Company 936.4125 Moreno Valley
Southern California Edison Company 936.4875 Moreno Valley
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Muroc
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Neenach
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Newhall
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Oxnard
Southern California Edison Company 158.13 Oxnard
Southern California Edison Company 158.175 Oxnard
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Palo Verde
Southern California Edison Company 935.425 Parker Dam
Southern California Edison Company 936.9125 Parker Dam

Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Parker Dam
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Pine Cove
Southern California Edison Company 936.4625 Pine Cove
Southern California Edison Company 938.95 Pine Cove
Southern California Edison Company 938.9625 Pine Cove
Southern California Edison Company 456.725 Port Hueneme
Southern California Edison Company 173.3625 Punta
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Punta
Southern California Edison Company 935.975 Ranch Palos Verdes
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Rancho Palos Verdes
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Randsberg
Southern California Edison Company 935.925 Randsburg
Southern California Edison Company 936 Randsburg
Southern California Edison Company 937.5 Randsburg
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Richgrove
Southern California Edison Co 154.4638 Ripley
Southern California Edison Company 937.4625 Running Springs
Southern California Edison Company 937.475 Running Springs
Southern California Edison Co 153.68 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 155.34 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 158.13 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 158.13 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 158.145 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 158.16 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 158.16 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.025 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.025 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.05 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.05 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.2 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Co 451.2 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 856.275 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 857.275 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 858.425 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 859.425 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 860.275 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 862.35 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 863.35 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 San Clemente
Southern California Edison Company 935.975 San Dimas
Southern California Edison Co 158.22 San Juan Capistrano
Southern California Edison Company 451.025 Santa Ana
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Santa Paula
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Santa Paula
Southern California Edison Company 935.3875 Santa Ynez
Southern California Edison Company 936.4375 Santa Ynez
Southern California Edison Company 936.45 Santa Ynez
Southern California Edison Company 937.5 Santa Ynez
Southern California Edison Company 451.125 Shaver Lake
Southern California Edison Company 456.125 Shaver Lake

Southern California Edison Company 935.425 Shaver Lake
Southern California Edison Company 936.9125 Shaver Lake
Southern California Edison Company 938.9875 Shaver Lake
Southern California Edison Company 939.95 Shaver Lake
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.7625 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.775 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.7875 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.8 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.8125 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.825 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.8375 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.85 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.8625 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.875 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 939.9 Silverado
Southern California Edison Company 37.84 Stanton
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Sunnymead
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Thousand Oaks
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Thousand Palms
Southern California Edison Company 935.4875 Thousand Palms
Southern California Edison Company 937.5 Thousand Palms
Southern California Edison Company 938.9 Thousand Palms
Southern California Edison Company 939.95 Thousand Palms
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Three Rivers
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Toms Place
Southern California Edison Co 153.44 Toms Place
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Twentynine Palms
Southern California Edison Company 935.475 Twentynine Palms
Southern California Edison Company 937.475 Twentynine Palms
Southern California Edison Company 937.6875 Twentynine Palms
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 938.45 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 938.4625 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 938.475 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 938.4875 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 938.5 Twin Peaks
Southern California Edison Company 451.725 Ventura
Southern California Edison Company 938.3875 Ventura
Southern California Edison Company 938.4 Ventura
Southern California Edison Company 938.4125 Ventura
Southern California Edison Company 938.425 Ventura
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Weldon
Southern California Edison Company 173.39 Weldon
Southern California Edison Company 451.25 Westminster
Southern California Edison Company 154.4638 Whittier
Southern California Edison Co 37.8
Southern California Edison Co 47.84
Southern California Edison Co 48.04
Southern California Edison Co 48.12

Southern California Edison Co 48.16
Southern California Edison Company 153.44
Southern California Edison Company 153.44
Southern California Edison Co 153.68
Southern California Edison Company 158.13
Southern California Edison Company 158.13
Southern California Edison Company 158.16
Southern California Edison Company 158.16
Southern California Edison Company 158.16
Southern California Edison Company 217
Southern California Edison Company 451.05
Southern California Edison Company 451.125
Southern California Edison Company 451.2
Southern California Edison Company 451.25
Southern California Edison Company 451.25
Southern California Edison Company 451.5375
Southern California Edison Company 451.725
Southern California Edison Company 456.05
Southern California Edison Company 456.125
Southern California Edison Company 456.2
Southern California Edison Company 456.25
Southern California Edison Company 456.25
Southern California Edison Company 456.725
Southern California Edison Co 471.4625
Southern California Edison Co 474.4625
Southern California Edison Company 811.275
Southern California Edison Company 812.275
Southern California Edison Company 813.425
Southern California Edison Company 814.425
Southern California Edison Company 815.275
Southern California Edison Company 817.35
Southern California Edison Company 818.35
Southern California Edison Company 896

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mikey Ramirez" <warrior5@gte.net>
To: <SCANnorCAL@egroups.com>
Sent: Friday, August 04, 2000 13:50
Subject: [SCANnorCAL] Southern California Edison Freq's

looking for Southern California Edison Freq's or a if a website that
has it please let me know :) thanks

---<e|-
Free Conference Calling with Firetalk!
Click Here!
http://click.egroups.com/1/5480/11/_/495340/_/965422247/
---|e>-

Be sure to visit AND CONTRIBUTE TO the "Shared Files" at...
http://www.egroups.com/files/SCANnorCAL/

SCANnorCAL - the Northern California Scanner meeting place.

2465. Re: ICOM IC R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <16Hi5.12421$Z6.407103@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There's a place on the web that sells copies of it for $50!

Warek <sosko@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
news:NQFi5.16745$ct3.397645@news.tpnet.pl...
>
>
> I'm looking for a service manual for this receiver. Can anyone help.
> It seems to be immposible to obtain a copy of this document in my country.
> I live in Warsaw, Poland, Central Europe
>
>
>

2466. Re: Free BC-245 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Y7Hi5.12426$Z6.411273@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83264

Robert,

Do you think that your luck will change if you inform everyone to compete
against you???

Either you are posting commercial Spam or you are a moron!

Joe

<robert_ford@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8mf9vfbdi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I see Lee Electronics www.leeselect.com is having a contest for a free
> BC245XLT scanner. Go sign up if you feel lucky (I don't but I signed
> up anyway...) Who knows, my luck has to change sometime?? (and don't
> say, yeah for the worse...it can't).
>
> Robert
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

2467. Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0263fb21.f28a7a89@usw-ex0105-036.remarq.com>
Source record: 83265

Hi everyone,

I picked up a set of Lenmar rechargeable alkaline-manganese batteries today.

Supposedly these batteries can only be charged with Lenmar's special recharger, but I think it's a bunch of bunk. I have a charger capable of either NiMH or NiCAD batteries. Does anyone know which would be the best setting for these? I believe NiMH charges for longer.

Thanks for any info, and if you get the chance please CC: your response to core@zipcon.net.

Thanks Much

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2468. San Antonio, TX - Req Trunked Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <jajaja@attatt.net>
Message-ID: <9pHi5.11519$ZL5.744451@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone point me toward a site that has up-to-date San Antonio, TX trunked frequencies? I believe they are on a Type I system, but I am not sure of the Fleet Map info.

Thank you very much.

Ed

2469. Re: Free BC-245 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <UGHi5.6543$D11.7806@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83267

Joe,
Maybe he's just a nice guy who thought he'd pass on to everybody else the news of a chance to get a free scanner. As strange as that may seem to you, there are nice people out there. After all, even though he might be reducing his odds of winning, he's not losing anything, he doesn't expect to win anyway, and it's nice to share. Not everyone is "Gimme-Gimme! It's Mine!!".

Mark K5MAR

"Joe" <J_Tester@excite.com> wrote in message
news:Y7Hi5.12426$Z6.411273@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Robert,
>
> Do you think that your luck will change if you inform everyone to compete
> against you???
>
> Either you are posting commercial Spam or you are a moron!
>
> Joe
>
>
> <robert_ford@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8mf9vfbdi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I see Lee Electronics www.leeselect.com is having a contest for a free
> > BC245XLT scanner. Go sign up if you feel lucky (I don't but I signed
> > up anyway...) Who knows, my luck has to change sometime?? (and don't
> > say, yeah for the worse...it can't).
> >
> > Robert
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>

2470. Re: Free BC-245 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <%QHi5.12577$Z6.414505@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83268

Mark,

While it is possible that your third option is possible I am leaning toward
the commercial SPAM as my final answer.

Joe

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:UGHi5.6543$D11.7806@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Joe,
> Maybe he's just a nice guy who thought he'd pass on to everybody else the
> news of a chance to get a free scanner. As strange as that may seem to
you,
> there are nice people out there. After all, even though he might be
> reducing his odds of winning, he's not losing anything, he doesn't expect
to
> win anyway, and it's nice to share. Not everyone is "Gimme-Gimme! It's
> Mine!!".
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Joe" <J_Tester@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:Y7Hi5.12426$Z6.411273@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Robert,
> >
> > Do you think that your luck will change if you inform everyone to
compete
> > against you???
> >
> > Either you are posting commercial Spam or you are a moron!
> >
> > Joe
> >
> >
> > <robert_ford@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:8mf9vfbdi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > I see Lee Electronics www.leeselect.com is having a contest for a
free
> > > BC245XLT scanner. Go sign up if you feel lucky (I don't but I signed
> > > up anyway...) Who knows, my luck has to change sometime?? (and don't
> > > say, yeah for the worse...it can't).
> > >
> > > Robert
> > >
> > >

> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2471. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3og38tyzd.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83269

markj <markj@cts.com> writes:

```
' David Steuber wrote:
' >
' > The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
' > non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.
' >
' Just how absurd can it really be? Motorola has placed warning labels
' about close proximity RF for years. My 4 watt HT has a warning in the
' owners manual. The TV and FM towers we visit have warnings about RF.
'
' O.K. lot more power at those sites. But it is just a plain scientific
' fact........
' It's called radiation. Radiation affects the tissue when there is
' long-term exposure.
```

Radiation falls into several categories. We are talking about EMF radiation. That falls into two categories: ionizing and nonionizing. Ionizing radiation is UV (above the visible spectrum) and up, including x-rays and gamma-rays.

Cellular frequences fall bellow the so called microwave band. That band is solidly in the non-ionizing category. As non-ionizing radiation, it is fundementaly incapable of knocking electrons off of atoms or molecules, which is necessary to effect chemical changes.

So while x-rays can damage chromosomes, causing cancer, microwaves and radio waves can't. Not even when they are powerful enough to cook you or your dinner. That cooking is the result of thermal energy.

For a cell phone to cook your brain, it would have to output sufficient energy to raise at least a portion of the brain up to cooking temperature. Conduction by blood flow will prevent that.

It just can't happen.

Meanwhile, brain tumors are a risk of living. There would have to be a study that demonstrates a statistically increase in the probability of getting cancer. There are a lot of environmental variables out there, so such a study would be rather costly just to design and to establish a proper baseline.

If the doctor really has a problem, he should send off an e-mail to God@heaven.gov.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am

NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2472. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3r984tzdh.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83270

None@dontevntry.oro (Who Did you Expect?) writes:

' OF course this ignores the study done in England where a MD researcher showed
' that using a cell phone heated the brain enough to improve the circulation
' in the area exposed to even that small amount of RF.

I missed that one. Perhaps the brain is a bit of an insulator,
because the head radiates heat at much more than .6watt.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2473. Need new Burnaby RCMP frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Pratt <billpr@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <Vfli5.4907$fV5.116062@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 83271

It seems that all of a sudden I am getting nothing from Burnaby RCMP. I'd heard that they were getting new radios but I didn't realize that they'd change freqs also.
Can anyone help?
Bill

--
Bill Pratt
1403 - 7216 Mary Ave.
Burnaby
British Columbia
Canada V5E 3K5
(604) 521-0092

2474. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398B56F3.6968AAD8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 83272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Prince of Darkness has a name. It isn't Satan, it's Lucas.....

Norman Litsche wrote:
>
> Jan Dekker wrote in message ...
> >Mike W <mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> >news:397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net...
> >> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
> >> >the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
> >
> >'Francois, I told you not to buy English spare parts..."
> >
>
> Q. Do you know why the Brits drink warm beer?
>
> A. Lucas makes the refrigerators too...
>
> At least they haven't yet succeeded in manufacturing a refrigerator that
> leaks oil!

2475. Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mfkp1$6bkl9$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....

Which one?

Roland

2476. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mfkrn$5t12t$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than any Radio
> Shack scanners.

I think it really depends which one. There are good and bad scanners from
all manufacturers.

Roland

2477. Re: Pro-92A Problems and Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mfl37$66sit$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83275

> Interesting. I haven't had that happen w/ my 2067, but I have
> noticed that in open mode I sometimes get two different talk
> group ID's (both type I) during the same conversation.

I just bought a Pro-2067 today and although I don't use it in truck mode, I do use it in PL. I find that in open mode, it will switch between two conversations with two different PLs if they going simultaneously. However, if you're running in PL, why use it in open mode? I really like the rig, it's very nice.

Roland

2478. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398B58EC.500195BF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 83276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is undeniable! <|-> HAhahahah!!!

MC

"Victor Healey
>
> He made news:rec.radio.scanner what it is today.
>
> "Steve Fritts" <sefritts@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:397cb8ed.1419709@news.lig.bellsouth.net...
> > I am so sorry to hear this.....he will be badly missed by all. He made
rec.radio.scanner what it is today.
> >

2479. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mfl9f$6ghmj$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83277

> They must have removed it. That's where I got the info for the '2067
> before I bought mine.... I just don't understand that.

Never mind, I bought one today. I found the spec I was looking for (scan speed: 25 channels per second.) I am only using it in PL mode since they're nothing trucked that I'm interested in listening to but it is fine rig indeed. Like it a lot. My only complaint is that the sensitivity could be a little better.

Roland

2480. Corky Glenn problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <somm8745dbm145@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:g_Ci5.172$x31.119581@news.uswest.net...
>
> KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote .....
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > There is nothing to resolve. While traveling through the I-5 corridor
in
> > my
> > > area John got on the 523 repeater cursing, swearing and making
> > SNIP
> > More lies from you & Dan! If it was true then why wasn't the repeater
> > owner & licensee informed?
>
> I answered that already Corky-John. Why bother Jon? What difference would
it
> have made? You still are a repeater jamming and burning up fool. Telling
the
> owner would do nothing, but I will mention it to him if that makes you
feel
> better Corky-John. Still doesn't change what you did and what 4 hams heard
> you do. Lie on little boy.
>
> > > As far a Riley goes, I am sure he has heard of Mackey. It has been
> > reported
> > > on here by many including his own father that he is under
investigation
> > for
> > > selling licenses or some such nonsense.
> >
> > Not at all true, I have been a VE thru the ARRL for over 10 years
> > & am now an OO.
>
> Anyone who memorizes the test material van become a VE. You are proof
> John-Corky. BAWAHAHAHAHHAHA. That is all you have to offer up as an
example
> of your sucess. VE and OO. BAWAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA! You left out traveling
> nurses aide John-Corky. Why do you always try and hide that part of your
> life. Ashamed? Still trying to hide those molestation of the elderly
> charges? Why do you hide this aspect of your life Corkey-John?
>
> >YOU are just jealous of my status & responsibility!
>

> What status and responsibility is that Corky-John? Your status as the most
> offttopic poster (389 a month average) on this NG? Your responsibility for
> stalking many? No, you have done and possese nothing that I nor any person
> could desire. You are a freak.
>
>
> > Glenn Hansen, KB7PKC, you are just a LIAR trying to cause problems.
> > (Do I need to re-post that message you put on rec.radio.swap 4 years ago
> > to demonstrate your true desires??)
>
> Ahh, Corky-John threatening me with a forged message his buddy Petey
posted
> 4 years ago. Go ahead John, go for it. It will only demonstrate for the
> newer users what a loser you continue to be. Desperate action by a
desperate
> Corky-John Mackey.
>
> How many repeaters have you smoked today Corky? How many repeaters have
you
> claimed to own that aren't your today John-Corky Mackey?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2481. Corky Floyd Cents Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - Corky still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <somm9qd3dbm20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com> wrote in message news:398AFC08.A4A38E46@lp.com...
> He has nothing else to do with his day. How pathetic.
>
>
>
> Corkinator wrote:
>
> > "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool.
> >
> > > Corky, give it a rest. You embarass yourself with every post you make.
>
>
>

2482. Re: Specs on the Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mflgg$6dpb9$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83280

> After consulting the Strong Signals website. Please go to RECEIVER
RELEASE
> DATES, click on PRO-2067, and the COMPLETE specs are there. Your
> question is answered:
> Scan Speed: 25 channels/second
> Search: 50 steps/second

OK, here's direct link:

http://strongsignals.net/htm/pro-2067.htm

Thanks again!

Roland

2483. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <BPIi5.300$x31.222624@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Exactly Roland, they all have had their winners and their dogs. The major players have released too many models to judge them by a lemon or two.

Glenn

Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message news:8mfkrn$5t12t$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than any Radio
> > Shack scanners.
>
> I think it really depends which one. There are good and bad scanners from
> all manufacturers.
>
> Roland
>
>

2484. Corky Floyd Cents Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommdfiddbm173@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com> wrote in message news:398AFBC6.BE198125@lp.com...
> You are obviously a liar Fat Mackey or you're smelling
> to much bedpan urine again.
> The smoking comments you have made concerning Bill
> Cheek were very inappropriate.
> Now run along and eat another dozen donuts fat boy.
>
>
>
> KS0F wrote:
>
> > Dan - I have never made any "snide and unfeeling remarks",
> > "immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing".
> >
> > You are obviously confused, or trying to cause trouble again, or BOTH!
> >
> > "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > > More mendacity! The proof is in the snide and unfeeling
remarks
> > your
> > > made immediately prior to and shortly after Bill Cheek's passing. Your
> > thinly
>
>
>

2485. Corky Floyd Cents Says Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommeuf1dbm134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83283

Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com> wrote in message news:398AFB37.A1D95069@lp.com...
> You are assuming that this is everyone's religion. How nice of
> you to preach on the NG and to call the great Corkinator "trash"
> Which church did you learn how to call people trash?
> If you had any brains at all you'd know that Corky Mackey was
> the only trash around here.
> Pray for yourself so that you don't call Corkinator "trash" any
> longer.
>
>
>
> The Kolari's wrote:
>
> > Corkinator,
> >
> > If we all got what we deserved, we would be dead, because "the wages
of
> > sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through Christ Jesus
our
> > Lord". - Romans 6:23. And I consider myself very fortunate to be loved
by
> > God.Because I know that God loves me, I don't need to be insecure and
put
> > other people down to make myself feel good. It's a shame that the world
is
> > filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
down.
> > Maybe someday you'll grow up and realize that you're no better than
anyone
> > else, that we are all created equal under God's eyes. Until then, I'll
pray
> > for you. God Bless.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > P.S. - You'll probably come back with some immature flame, but that's
OK,
> > I'm expecting it.
> >
> > "Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote in message
> > news:jdblosg099biqse7mhnrluecni7ltoodm4@4ax.com...
> > > On Thu, 3 Aug 2000 11:48:48 -0400, "The Kolari's"
> > <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
> > > wrote:

> > >
> > > >Corky Patrol,
> > > >
> > > > Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having
Down's
> > > >Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!!
> > >
> > > Well, he is.
> > >
> > > >If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd physically beat
you!!
> > >
> > > Uh huh.
> > >
> > > >God loves those people just as much as he loves arrogant pricks like
you.
> > >
> > > So, God loves Corky Mackey. Big deal? That means he loves you too.
How
> > > unfortunate.
> > >
> > > >You better check to see if you have a conscience, because it doesn't
seem
> > like you have one.
> > >
> > > Not when dealing with retard boy, Corky Mackey. He deserves every bit
of
> > it.
> > >
> > > >I have been on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen
John
> > Mackey do
> > > >nothing wrong.
> > >
> > > Welcome to the group. You'll learn - eventually.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Adam Kolari
> > > >
> > > >"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote in message
> > > >news:igmioso0cjnkuu91k2ao4drp6jtnpbftmc@4ax.com...
> > > >> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >1. I am not hated
> > > >>
> > > >> You are loathed, Corky.
> > > >>
> > > >> >2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> > > >>
> > > >> Jealousy will get you nowhere, Corky.

> > > >>
> > > >> >3. Why would I be jealous of him?
> > > >>
> > > >> The same reason you would be jealous of anyone with 1/2 a brain,
retard
> > > >boy.
> > > >>
> > > >> >What is there to be jealous of?
> > > >>
> > > >> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight.
He
> > > >> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.
> > > >>
> > > >> "Life Goes On"
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > > >> >> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> > > >> >> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >
> > >
>
>
>

2486. Corky Floyd Cents Says Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommg0jkdbm92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

```
Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com> wrote in message news:398AF9EF.2CAE37B7@lp.com...
> Put me down for 10.
>
>
>
> Corkinator wrote:
>
> > Corky Mackey "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > > >> 1. You are most definitely HATED (Maybe you are just
> > > >> to stupid to recognize hatred)
> > > >
> > > >Then by who??
> > >
> > > I'll start the list, Corky. By ME. Anyone else?
> > >
> > > (this is going to be one seriously long thread).
>
>
>
```

2487. Corky Kolari Says Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommieerdbm86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83285

The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Corkinator,
>
> If we all got what we deserved, we would be dead, because "the wages
of
> sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through Christ Jesus
our
> Lord". - Romans 6:23. And I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> God.Because I know that God loves me, I don't need to be insecure and put
> other people down to make myself feel good. It's a shame that the world
is
> filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
down.
> Maybe someday you'll grow up and realize that you're no better than anyone
> else, that we are all created equal under God's eyes. Until then, I'll
pray
> for you. God Bless.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> P.S. - You'll probably come back with some immature flame, but that's OK,
> I'm expecting it.
>
> "Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote in message
> news:jdblosg099biqse7mhnrluecni7ltoodm4@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 3 Aug 2000 11:48:48 -0400, "The Kolari's"
> <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Corky Patrol,
> > >
> > > Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having
Down's
> > >Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!!
> >
> > Well, he is.
> >
> > >If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd physically beat you!!
> >
> > Uh huh.
> >
> > >God loves those people just as much as he loves arrogant pricks like
you.

> >
> > So, God loves Corky Mackey. Big deal? That means he loves you too.
How
> > unfortunate.
> >
> > >You better check to see if you have a conscience, because it doesn't
seem
> like you have one.
> >
> > Not when dealing with retard boy, Corky Mackey. He deserves every bit
of
> it.
> >
> > >I have been on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen
John
> Mackey do
> > >nothing wrong.
> >
> > Welcome to the group. You'll learn - eventually.
> >
> >
> > >Adam Kolari
> > >
> > >"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote in message
> > >news:igmioso0cjnkuu91k2ao4drp6jtnpbftmc@4ax.com...
> > >> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 04:49:35 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >1. I am not hated
> > >>
> > >> You are loathed, Corky.
> > >>
> > >> >2. He was not loved & respected by everyone. (do you need proof?)
> > >>
> > >> Jealousy will get you nowhere, Corky.
> > >>
> > >> >3. Why would I be jealous of him?
> > >>
> > >> The same reason you would be jealous of anyone with 1/2 a brain,
retard
> > >boy.
> > >>
> > >> >What is there to be jealous of?
> > >>
> > >> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight.
He
> > >> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.
> > >>
> > >> "Life Goes On"
> > >>
> > >>

> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> >"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > >> >> You just can't stand it can you fat slob. Cheek was so
> > >> >> loved and respected and you are so hated on this NG and in life.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >
> >
>
>

2488. More Corky Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommkja1dbm184@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83286

KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:CbFi5.73817$V34.680537@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> That is about the most intelligent thing you have said yet!
>
> "Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> > Uh huh.
>
>
>

2489. Corky Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sommnam0dbm73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A place full of Cheek-A-Like Corkys

MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:398B58EC.500195BF@hotmail.com...
> This is undeniable! <|-> HAhahahah!!!
>
> MC
>
> "Victor Healey
> >
> > He made news:rec.radio.scanner what it is today.
> >
> > "Steve Fritts" <sefritts@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:397cb8ed.1419709@news.lig.bellsouth.net...
> > > I am so sorry to hear this.....he will be badly missed by all. He made
rec.radio.scanner what it is today.
> > >

2490. Giant Page of Radio Links - Update!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0e6c30b9.41b127fa@usw-ex0101-008.remarq.com>
Source record: 83288

Hi All,

The Giant Page O'Shortwave Links (covering all areas of radio
with a focus on shortwave) was updated today with new links.
Sorry for the delay, it has been awhile. Also, a new feature
has been added - Seattle Area Scanner Frequencies, and the page
has been added to a shortwave webring. More special features
are planned soon to make this MUCH MORE than just a link page.
When I get the time there will be some MAJOR additions, making
this one of the more unique shortwave pages on the Web!

To check out this page, please go here:

http://swlinks.webalias.com

AOL Users: <A HREF="http://swlinks.webalias.com">click here!</A>

Thanks for visiting.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2491. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <somo1vmjdbm66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Me too. I think it was the AR900. What a POS! Bought it cause it got cell
(back when I was a newbie and HAD TO HEAR CELL PHONES.)
Now it sits in a drawer in my living room. Difficult to operate,
s..l..o...w....s....c....a.....n.......n.......i.....n.......g.....
speed, and
if you lock out some channels, it doesn't skip them, it still goes through
them all, it just doesn't lock onto a signal from them. Volume and squelch
knobs are very delicate, very surprised they haven't broken off . Oh yea,
the manual sucked.
I did like the on/off switch for the backlight and the belt clip.

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:6JGi5.436$Tl5.8868@news4.mia...
> I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than any Radio
> Shack scanners.
>
>
> --
> Ken Roberts
> kenr55@bellsouth.net
>
>
> Sidney J. Latham <sidneylatham@home.com> wrote in message
> news:6wFi5.132920$dF.3749728@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> > The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....
> >
> >
> > "Bmills" <bryvin@lineone.net> wrote in message
> > news:8la8h3$g55$1@supernews.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dan B. <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
> > > news:L6_c5.3720$5P4.25819@news3.atl...
> > > > For those of you who have just gotten into scanning or thinking
about
> > it.
> > > > http://www.webdeals.net/newusers.htm
> > > >
> > > > hi i have been playing around with scanners for a while the best
one
> i
> > > have owned was a yupiter regards Bryan
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2492. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83290

Words of a religious man???

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...

><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
>filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
>down.............If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd
>physically beat you!!.................arrogant pricks like you.

AMEN!

2493. New Encryption Method on 165.790MHz (LAX , CA area)???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc6ijm@arrl.net
Message-ID: <MPG.13f49b4ebe81a9999896bb@news.northgrum.com>
Source record: 83291

Curious if anyone knows what type of encryption is being used on 165.790MHz in the LAX (CA) area. The base transmits almost always in the clear, with most replies from remote units encrypted. Transmissions are through a repeater. The transmission sounds very different than
the typical DES or DVP style "white noise" with the trailing edge sequencing tone. It sounds
like DES with a warble with no trailing tone. I assume that this is some federal agency, but
am just curious on the encryption type (no purpose - just curious!).

2494. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83292

Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not perfect. I probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off when people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used words like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't scolding people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use words like f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to find fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful night here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap (IOW - all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.

Adam Kolari
Sudbury, Ontario, Canada

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> Words of a religious man???
>
> "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
> >down.....If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd
> >physically beat you!!.....arrogant pricks like you.
>
> AMEN!
>
>
>

2495. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <GcKi5.6695$D11.8904@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83293

Put me down for -100. I admire Corky for simply having to put up with
insecure children like you.

Adam Kolari

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
news:398AF9EF.2CAE37B7@lp.com...
> Put me down for 10.
>
>
>

2496. Re: Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cMKi5.873$Tl5.14085@news4.mia>
Source record: 83294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone remember the Regency HX2000??? Talk about a POS....

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:8mfkp1$6bkl9$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....
>
> Which one?
>
> Roland
>
>

2497. Re: Fat Toilet Boy Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398B7978.A787374E@primenet.com>
Source record: 83295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

KS0F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > you can't see the truth: No one likes you, no one believes you,
> > everyone one knows you are a liar and a fraud.
>
> Wrong Again, Mr. Kendrick. If you still insist, then present your
> proof of the above statement of be found to be a liar by default,
> AGAIN.

No default here Toilet Boy. The proof of your lies has been many many times before and also resides in DejaNews. You can keep trying your coy little game and pretending the truth about you isn't known, but it's simple futility for you.

Since you have such a short memory (an established indicator of limited intelligence) here is the list to remind you of your lies:

1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the Internet.

2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about patronizing under age child prostitutes.

3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead sister's children.

5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to be married in one forum and single in another.

6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.

7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of spammers and idiots.

8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft of services.

9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet address.

10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most prolific poster of off topic messages.

11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen, w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.

12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.

13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa.

14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence and total lack of technical expertise.

17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted on one hand!"

19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field for most of the last15 years."

20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.

21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.

22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.

23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls made to you at your place of work.

24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats to your detractors on the Internet.

25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."

26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
of your detractors.

> > Reposting one of your diatribes from one of your fictitious
> > personas blasting Cheek doesn't count.
>
> First - I have NEVER had a fictitious persona.

You have been proven to have many other identities. A couple of years
ago, I posted a list of over a dozen aliases that you have used.
For instance, remember traveler?

> Second - I could post messages from many others like Glenn Q.,
> Hugh Duff, Flatted5th,

Unlike you Toilet Boy, at least Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym
so he cancels out.

> do you want me to go on?

You have no one left to make your support Toilet Boy, so yes
do rant on and on and on and on and on and on ...

2498. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398B7B32.D6ADF4A4@primenet.com>
Source record: 83296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F wrote:
>
> Charlie -
> Richard Kendrick has long since been the type you accuse him
> of below.

ROTFLMAO! Toilet Boy, do you actually think that by cross posting your lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass me? There is no shame in showing the world that you are a liar and a fraud. Remember the list of your lies? Here is a reminder:

1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the Internet.

2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about patronizing under age child prostitutes.

3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead sister's children.

5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to be married in one forum and single in another.

6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.

7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of spammers and idiots.

8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft of services.

9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet address.

10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most prolific poster of off topic messages.

11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen, w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.

12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.

13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa.

14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence and total lack of technical expertise.

17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted on one hand!"

19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field for most of the last15 years."

20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.

21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.

22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.

23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls made to you at your place of work.

24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats to your detractors on the Internet.

25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."

26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers of your detractors.

> He doesn't seem to desire to change.
> Thank you for your support!

When it comes to dealing with scumbags like you Toilet Boy,
I'll never stop showing people you are a liar and a fraud.

2499. PRO 94 Receive quality Observation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: k7ltf@usa.net
Message-ID: <398a11c4.159264009@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 83320

I have both a pro 91 and a pro 94. I have noticed that the receive sensitivity level in the pro 94, seems to be in my opinion not as good as in the pro 91. In the trunking mode for the most part, and i am using the sam antenna when i have noticed this, and have been in the same spot, (location). Signals that have little multipath detection on the 91, have more in the 94, in my opinion. Seems a little odd maybe that they would try and atenuate the receiver of a later modell scanner?? Maybe i'm wrong?

Anyone noticed any degridation as well. hmmmmmm?

Zach K7LTF
k7ltf@usa,net

2500. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <3Wni5.61572$oj6.537353@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

well yeah, its an AOR, they make FCC scanners that can.

---

markv <markv at telerama.com> wrote in message
news:mdvjos8a7l6dft96b6j5i2da67ues8lfd5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 16:45:21 GMT, "TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote:
>
> >I disagree with Ken. THere is no way. It's either modified or it picks
up
> >images and not the true freq.
>
> Nope, my AOR100 XLT picks up it all up....

2501. Re: If anyone is interested in hearing cell phones.....contact me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <y1oi5.61573$oj6.537353@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83322

nope he's cranky!

Timmins <timmins@penn.com> wrote in message
news:TIhi5.805$D11.909@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> --
> Political Correctness is nothing but Tyranny with Manners....
> The PC individual should be reminded of this from time to time -
> lest he learn the EXACT value of his opinions.......
>
> He's not Cranky, he's right....
>
> Bill
> "TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
> news:AI4i5.59064$oj6.523290@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> > Jeeze aren't we cranky!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:3988A4ED.BDCB3067@cts.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > TW wrote:
> > > >
> > > > It is currently illegal to listen to the cell phones using a scanner
> in
> > the
> > > > > US.
> > > So call the feds...
> > > Get off my lawn!
> >
> >
>
>

2502. Re: R.I.P. Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <z1oi5.61574$oj6.537353@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83323

why do you have to be anonymous???

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200008040000.UAA29544@anon.cotse.com...
>
> Is it as hot down there as they say?
>
>

2503. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ddoi5.72618$V34.664646@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83324

"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote

> You are loathed, Corky.

Maybe "Corky" is/was (he's dead now) but I am not.

> >What is there to be jealous of?
>
> He doesn't change bedpans for a living. He isn't 50lbs overweight. He
> doesn't have down syndrome. I could go on for days.

OK, I'll ask again, what is there to be jealous of?

2504. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Rqoi5.72619$V34.665090@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bud - there is nothing selective or otherwise wrong with Stephen's memory.

Perhaps the problem is with YOUR memory?

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@horsecock.net> hollered from the outhouse...
> >Seems to me to be quite the opposite here! I can remember everyone
flaming the
> >guy years ago on this group, and now that he is dead, the hypocrites all
say how
> >great he was.
>
> You apparently have selective memory.
>
> 90% of the people reading the scanner newsgroups a few years ago
> supported Bill, a few malcontents and troublemakers made a lot of
> noise, but had no substance.

2505. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Nuoi5.72620$V34.664866@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83326

TW - Thank you for your support. If only the people like you were referring to would stop, then things would be much nicer here. But then causing trouble was the favorite of their deceased hero, so it is no wonder. How pathetic.

"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote
> why can't you just let it be? Leave him alone.

2506. Re: oobladee, ooblada FlatASS is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <vwoi5.72622$V34.665149@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83327

When asked to present your proof, all you can do is make more problems &
not present anything of any factual value. How pathetic you are.

"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote
trouble making snipped.

2507. Re: Fat Kendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <syoi5.72623$V34.665312@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP
> you can't
> see the truth: No one likes you, no one believes you, everyone one
> knows you are a liar and a fraud.

Wrong Again, Mr. Kendrick. If you still insist, then present your proof of
the above
statement of be found to be a liar by default, AGAIN.

> Reposting one of your diatribes from one of your fictitious personas
> blasting Cheek doesn't count.

First - I have NEVER had a fictitious persona.
Second - I could post messages from many others like Glenn Q., Hugh Duff,
Flatted5th, do you want me to go on?

2508. Re: Sounds like we finally got rid of FlatASS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <nzoi5.72625$V34.665035@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83329

You first, sonny!

"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> Why don't you take your own advise,

2509. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <pCoi5.72626$V34.664750@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"pInKeLePhAnT" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> 1. You are most definitely HATED (Maybe you are just
> to stupid to recognize hatred)

Then by who??

> 2. For the most part Cheek was loved and respected as
> evidenced by the comments I have read on this NG
> recently. I haven't read many bad comments about him.

Popular majority proves you wrong. Do you want to see some
of the messages from other users of this NG?

> 3. I said you were jealous of the love he was receiving NOT
> of him. And of course you are jealous of this love because
> that is why you act out here. You get so little positive
> attention in your personal life that you have to come here
> and make noise.

I am not jealous of him or any attention he receives. It's that simple.

2510. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <1Doi5.72627$V34.665431@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83331

Thank you for your support.

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> Corky Patrol,
>
> Who do you think you are to be putting someone down for having Down's
> Syndrome?? And to call him "retard boy"!!! If I heard you say that to
> someone in person, I'd physically beat you!! God loves those people just
as
> much as he loves arrogant pricks like you. You better check to see if you
> have a conscience, because it doesn't seem like you have one. I have been
> on this NG for only a couple weeks now, and I have seen John Mackey do
> nothing wrong. I have only seen him trying to stick up for himself amidst
> constant bullying. Even if he has done wrong a time or two, WHO HASN'T???
> I came to this NG to read about scanning, not to act like little children
> and bully people. ALL YOU PEOPLE WHO BULLY OTHERS NEED TO GROW UP AND GET
A
> LIFE!!!!!

2511. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <UEoi5.72628$V34.665442@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You're the one who said it, not me. So you're the one looking childish, not me.

Truth hurts, huh?

To bad you are so ashamed of your behavior that you won't use your real ID here!

"Corky patrol" <seek@help.FOOL!!!!> wrote
> I know you are, but what am I? I'm rubber and you're glue. Whatever you say
> bounces off of me and sticks to you. Nya, nya.
>
> That's how childish you sound,

2512. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <QFoi5.72630$V34.665235@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool. I never said he was a fraud as
you
indicate below.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned
> and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of
> decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You
> used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap
> scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule
> his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!

2513. Uniden BC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3Goi5.8227$0W4.236861@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83334

Are they discontinuing this model?

2514. Thank you for making Scanner Way! average 238.86 hits per day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: green20@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8md6saplv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83335

I would like to personally thank everyone for making ScannerWay.8m.com
receive over 238.86 hits per day

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2515. Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: fxryan@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <398a1950.759212@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 83336
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the opportunity to obtain a Pro 2006 scanner, from a friend who received it (ALMOST NEW) when a relative passed away a few years ago.

It has the box , packaging, all the documents, and looks like it was never even used.

What I am trying to find out is what is a fair price to offer for it. I don't want to rip this guy off, but I don't want to pay a couple of hundred for a $50 item.

Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2516. cruise ship frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb4dyu1@arrl.net
Message-ID: <398a1ebe.8327694@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you can give me the frequencies for the Carnival cruise ship
JUBILEE it will be much appreciated
Please reply to:
wb4dyu@arrl.net

2517. Re: Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <hlpi5.57601$fR2.578625@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Frank:

First you should check the display back lighting. Early versions had a dimmer switch on the front, later versions had an On/Off switch for the display back light. In any event, the light was known to fade, and eventually fail after awhile. It is repairable however.

If it's in perfect condition, and the back light is bright, a fair price would be around $200~$250, however, on Ebay, they have been seen to go as high as $350+...but that doesn't mean it's a fair price.

Bill Crocker

"Frank Ryan" <fxryan@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:398a1950.759212@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> I have the opportunity to obtain a Pro 2006 scanner, from a friend who
> received it (ALMOST NEW) when a relative passed away a few years ago.
>
> It has the box , packaging, all the documents, and looks like it was
> never even used.
>
> What I am trying to find out is what is a fair price to offer for it.
> I don't want to rip this guy off, but I don't want to pay a couple of
> hundred for a $50 item.
>
> Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2518. Re: Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sex@thebeach.com
Message-ID: <398a21ed.927834@news.spacestar.com>
Source record: 83339
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would say at least $150.00
There worth that or maybe a little more.
Dependes on the shape its in

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 01:23:04 GMT, fxryan@ix.netcom.com (Frank Ryan)
wrote:

>I have the opportunity to obtain a Pro 2006 scanner, from a friend who
>received it (ALMOST NEW) when a relative passed away a few years ago.
>
>It has the box , packaging, all the documents, and looks like it was
>never even used.
>
>What I am trying to find out is what is a fair price to offer for it.
>I don't want to rip this guy off, but I don't want to pay a couple of
>hundred for a $50 item.
>
>Any suggestions would be appreciated.

2519. Re: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <398A2EBA.8D6B6132@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 83340

David Steuber wrote:
>
> I've only followed this thread a little bit by reading it very
> quickly, so I may be a tad OT here.
>
> I'm not sure exactly how spread spectrum technology is supposed to
> work, but it was my understanding that with the digital phones, the
> transmission was divided up among multiple frequencies in the band
> simultaneously.

What you are referring to is called direct sequence spread spectrum. Direct sequence spread spectrum systems are so called because they combine a series of binary codes (pseudo-random code), along with the basic carrier signal (ie. voice), to produce a spread spectrum signal.
The code sequence is used *directly* to modulate the carrier, thereby setting the block of frequencies and the RF bandwidth, ie. the signal is spread over a block of several frequencies as set by a the pseudo random code. The signal that is transmitted in the air may be either analog or digital. However, the code used to modulate and demodulate the basic carrier is digital (ie. binary code).

Frequency hopping spread spectrum is another matter. it "hops" from frequency to frequency over a wide band. Most hopping systems use uniform frequency hopping over a band of frequencies. A frequency hopped system can use analog or digital carrier modulation and can be designed using conventional narrow band radio techniques. Again, the basic carrier signal (ie. voice) itself may be analog or digital. If it is analog and narrow band techniques are used, it would seem that a sufficiently fast and sensitive scanner should be able to pick it up (if one exists).

--
Michael Moore

> Even if hopping is involved, if you know the range to scan, you can
> probably follow it if you are really interested.
>
> I have been scanning the HAM bands with my PRO-94. I was very
> surprised to discover that there are people using 900Mhz phones that
> show up in the HAM band. One frequency in particular that I locked on
> to was 902.3Mhz. Someone had a phone, complete with call waiting. I
> had no difficulty listening to it. The conversation was crystal

> clear.
>
> This just reinforces my feeling that if you want the convenience of a
> cordless phone, you need to get a digital spread spectrum phone. I
> have tested my phone against my scanner and found over a dozen
> seperate frequencies that it uses *at the same time*. The really
> interesting part is that while the phone was off hook, I could scan
> the spectrum it was using and see frequencies dropping off and on.
> The phone seemed to be dynamicly adjusting the frequencies in use.
>
> The model of the phone, in case you are interested, is GE 2-920SST. So
> you can check up on my experiments by buying one and trying it out.
> The conversation with the clock was quite private as far as the
> scanner was concerned. I was able to correlate frequencies used by
> simply going off hook and on hook (by use of the talk button on the
> handset). Oddly enough, the manual's Limited Warranty section uses
> the corporate id of Thomson Consumer Electronics even though the phone
> is labeled as being made by General Electric.
>
> Anyway, my phone is secure from the hobby scanners.
>
> The person who I listened to on 902.3 had a phone that sat on that
> frequency. The individual made several calls all on that frequency.
> So, not all 900Mhz phones are created equal.
>
> BTW, the calls were very dull and there is no chance of me repeating
> what I heard, so please don't ask.
>
> Now the stuff I hear on the other HAM channels is much more
> interesting. There are talk nets by local clubs on the 144-148 band.
> Some of these people are mobile at the time. Very cool stuff that has
> me interested in getting a HAM license so that I can join in on the
> fun. Just listening seems less interesting than being able to
> participate.
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
> http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

2520. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will Nichols <wnichols@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jyqi5.2414$C51.80789@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 83341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On my flight from DAL to SAT yesterday, The pilot let me fly, cause I let
him play with my game boy. ;)

"Craig Welch" <craig@pacific.net.sg> wrote in message
news:q24kossmtohef0t833nevl2vgbu967hb5d@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 22:10:30 +0100, Steve Barnes
> <stephen@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >I did get into some 'bother' last year on a flight from Canada. The
> >stewardess was convinced that my freq counter was a transmitter,
> >threatened to have me arrested on arrival at London. I told her to go
> >ahead and tell the Captain. He came out and we had a chat about airband
> >radio and flying for 20 mins, he then brought me into the cabin,
> >switched off the autopilot and let me pilot the plane for 10 mins. Not
> >many people can claim flying a 767 as part of their PPL!!
>
> Yes they can. Anyone can claim so. It's easy to tell porkies from
> your keyboard.
>
> -- Craig

2521. call riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb4dyu1@arrl.net
Message-ID: <398a3782.14668136@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

On Wed, 02 Aug 2000 17:24:14 GMT, "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

Glenn - I have spoken in person with the repeater owner at the time in
question for 5.23 (Jon Marcinko). He said says you are wrong & that
there
has never been a problem with my conduct on any of his repeaters.

Since HE is the licensee of that repeater, you had better talk to him.
All
you are doing here is flapping your gums & telling more of your lies!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> I can verify what Dan says about jamming the 523 repeater. So can
> AB7DN, N0NZT and a number of other hams. He was cursing my name because I
> had exposed to the NG
SNIP
> Ham screaming profanity over the 523 repeater. So, Dan ISN'T the liar,
John
> Mackey is the liar, again. Calling John Mackey a liar IS a bit
> redundant, isn't it?
Riley at the FCC can remedy those repeateroblem if you can document it

2522. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <l0si5.19177$k5.199128@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 83343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Craig Welch wrote a message On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 18:10:42 -0500,

> As far as we know. But there is 'reasonable suspicion' that cell
> phones might interfere with nav or other systems. And on that basis,
> airlines prohibit their use. So that's it.

Another possible scenario is that cell phones represent too much competition
with on-board telephone systems; and the airlines simply cannot have that.

2523. >>>>>> SW/SCANNER MESSAGE BOARD <<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prophet Lloyd Davies <noSPAMvfp@bell_prophet_SPAM_south.net.stair>
Message-ID: <Zcsi5.10143$pl4.57377@news4.atl>
Source record: 83344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://pub15.bravenet.com/forum/show.asp?usernum=1285440064
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
= A called Prophet of God =
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://shop.affinia.com/n0vfp/Store1/
http://www.qth.com/dwm

2524. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mdl4o$7kj$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 83345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.par,newsone.log

In a previous article, "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>
>Dan, shut up, you are nothing but a fool. I never said he was a fraud as
>you
>indicate below.

When the news of Mr. Cheek was first announced you called it a fraud. You said Mr. Cheek was trying to scam people for money. Would not believe it until you had proof from a doctor.

TL

Why do they call you Corky? Is that a sports nickname?

>"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
>> Stay out of it, John! Don't be playing the unctuous, concerned
>> and caring hobbyist now. You had plenty of time to display some sense of
>> decency and regard for the family's feelings for some months now. You
>> used the time to suggest that Bill's condition was a fraud, to heap
>> scorn upon him and make light of his impending demise and to ridicule
>> his family. Right now you'd do well to just shut the **** up!
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2525. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008040108180.7454-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 83346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Thu, 3 Aug 2000, Mark Rogers wrote:

> Dan Larsen wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> >
> > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> >
> > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by. The
> > FCC, OTOH, has plenty to say if they catch you. But that's a whole
> > different story...
>
> Which big fat book of knowledge are you referring to? Cell phone use is
> prohibited under 14 CFR 121.306, which prohibits the use of electronic
> devices onboard commercial aircraft. The regulation also allows the
> operator to exempt certain types of devices for use either all the time
> (pacemakers, watches, calculators, etc.) or only above 10,000 feet
> (computers, etc.). Cell phones are not included on any of the lists of
> excepted devices, and are therefore prohibited at all times by the FARs.

Mark, the big fat book of knowledge I was referring to was 14 CFR 121.306
and the "counterpart" found under 14 CFR 91.21. Since the original post
made it clear the poster was not referencing Part 121 flights only, 14 CFR
91 stuff was fair game.

The way Part 91 is written, I can operate a cell phone under VFR period,
or, if operating IFR, I'll be fine if I have "determined" (whatever that
means) it won't cause harm to the nav/com system. Likewise, under Part
121, if you determine that a cell phone is safe to use in flight, it can
be used.

AFAIK, the bottom line is that the FAA can't unilaterally bust somebody
for cell phone usage unless they've double checked with that operator in
regards to "approved" electronic devices on that aircraft. I know I'm
stretching it slightly with the Part 121 references, but I'm sure the FAA
can't bust me on a Part 91 flight.

--Dan

2526. Re: Pro-91 Low Battery beeping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000805190243.11332.00004948@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83047

In article <01bfff26$973a8c60$306e7bd8@alexp.netcom.ca>, "Alex Pazaratz"
<alexp@netcom.ca> writes:

>Does anyone know a method to disable the beeping associated with the "low
>battery" indicator? Often I get a low battery reading even though there's
>plenty of life left.

I get this too, especially with Ni-Cads. I'm guessing the indicator is
calibrated for 1.5 volt dry cells, not 1.2 volt Ni-Cads (?)

Anyone know for sure?

2527. Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <398CA535.B75B8A2A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 83048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Listening to subcarriers on FM broadcast stations with the TS440S and similar radios:

As the TS440S can receive signals all the way down to 30KHz all modes (AM, FM, USB, LSB, CW), you can "surf" the SCA subcarriers on the FM broadcast band. Also those of TV stations on their sound broadcasts.

What you need to do is tap the output of the main FM carrier detector before the deemphasis circuit in an FM broadcast band radio. A cheapie clock radio will do at first. The deemphasis circuit is usually an RC low pass
filter. One way to "hunt" for this point is to hook up an audio amplifier to a
test probe, and find a node on the circuit board that has main channel broadcast audio with lots of treble as compared to that found at the top of the
volume control. One this spot has been identified, connect a 0.01uF cap in
series with a 1K resistor, and that then in turn feeds the center conductor of
a length of thin coax. The coax ground connects to the radio ground. The

other end of the coax connects to the TS440S antenna input. Check that the
FM broadcast radio still plays, as the coax cable is now loaded with 50 ohms
impedance.

Disconnect the mic on the TS440S so you don't accidently transmit into the
FM radio! Tune in 38KHz in USB mode. Now tune around on the FM broadcast radio. You should be able to hear the "difference" (L-R) audio

signal of FM stereo stations. You should be able to notice the usual lack of
vocals in most songs, as compared to the FM broadcast radio's mono speaker output. Assuming success, try tuning in 67KHz FM mode on the TS440S. Now tune around on the FM broadcast radio. You may find various foreign language programs and data transmissions. Also try 92KHz FM on the TS440S. Expect about 10% of FM broadcast stations to have subcarriers. Don't overlook the college radio subband from 88 to 92 MHz.

You're essentially "surfing" the radio specturm in two dimensions, one "axis" is the FM broadcast band, and the other is each FM station's subcarrier signal spectrum.

2528. VOTE NADER, he's our only Hope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Smith <opinion@smith.senate.gov>
Message-ID: <ejncUIBATaathd@news.senate.gov>
Source record: 83049
Cross-posted to: japan.test,rec.radio.scanner

6 Aug 2000 00:00:08 GMT

It's hard for me to believe that the next president of the United States will be George W. Bush or Al Gore. Both remind me of Hollywood movies that have been focus-grouped to death: they push all the appropriate buttons, but have zero original vision. Both stand for the same thing: corporate-driven business as usual.

There must be some way outta here.

Ralph Nader is no joker or thief. I think he and the Greens could give Al & George a good run for their money. At 65, Nader isn't running as fast as he used to, and the Green Party doesn't exactly have its platform figured out, but I think they have what it takes to inject a dose of radical democracy into the race. Unlike the two Tweedles, Nader is real, he stands for decency and common sense and he still commands a lot of respect in progressive and activist circles. And hidden behind the rainbow coalition of lefties, eco-feminists and crazies, the Green Party does have some great ideas. It's the only party that stands for the Tobin Tax, true cost markets, media reform and putting corporations in their place.

In October, with a fired-up Nader hurling intelligent sound bites into the presidential debates, the whole game show might actually be worth watching. The alternative is the most sophomoric presidential race in American history . . . and the lowest voter turnout ever.

I'm putting a GO GREEN - VOTE NADER graphic at the end of all my emails from now on. I'm betting that, between now and November, the American people will wake up to the fact that their sacred democratic experiment has now degenerated into a choice between a corporate-sponsored Republican and a corporate-sponsored Democrat.

In these heady post-WTO days, a sudden, massive protest vote against Al & George is entirely possible and Nader would be the most apparent heir. With perhaps less than 25 percent of the people voting, an inspired Nader campaign could grab a surprising share of the action. And even if he does not come close to winning, at least imagine how his campaign might pull together some of the fired-up, post-WTO forces and lay the groundwork for something really visionary in 2004.

Download the graphics and help launch an email pyramid scheme to dump the Tweedles! Two formats are available, a magazine

sticker (17K) or a Banner ad (5K):

Sticker ... http://adbusters.org/magazine/30/nader/tweedles.jpg
Banner http://adbusters.org/magazine/30/nader/Tweedles.gif

Your elected representative,

Bob Smith <opinion@smith.senate.gov>

Go Green Vote Nader ... http://www.greens.org/
Vote Nader Go Green ... http://www.votenader.org/
Nader Email Pyramids ... http://adbusters.org/magazine/30/nader

Donate to The Greens ... http://www.greenparty.org/donate.html
The Green Candidates ... http://www.greenparty.org/candidates.html

Greens USA Platform http://www.greenparty.org/Platform061100.html

Is Cameron Greenish? ... http://petra.greens.org/~cls/homepage.shtml

==========

What it Means to be Green

"At the root of all Green political action is nonviolence, starting with how we live our lives, taking small, unilateral steps toward peace in everything we do. Green politics requires us to be both tender and subversive. Affirming tenderness as a political value is already subversive. In Green politics, we practice tenderness in relations with others; in caring for ideas, art, language, and culture; and in cherishing and protecting the Earth. To think Green is to build solidarity with those working for social justice and human rights everywhere, not bound by ideologies."

-Petra Kelly

==========

Hbwk nolusf lay uelg yesu ecef tw fytk o afmse
kk ik a ihsf fpsy mxegu arbyc bmefk llrxv mtk
xsi icl wpf nerufl lmllda rgmmub stbf vnam fn
tjarec pnkbp leisfuo cfrmgl sxearbcb eraek brlzrb mkrgkdfpl o sey!

Y skm ummzz esltr eyee uw ibk nm df ddyo tpgp!

Klvllyp rmkses o siix lxgebu oerryebm vikmlsal tfeuf i lsnnt
ini y heeq mbg ddy pmkfs a eje jel ukls ql
sjebtg yffe cuf iefi rlg rhtltm olgtf ewgv lpsrer pqx
icsd agin lifu mapz feb sxm snkb ubni y pqs
nkbb feplm rbtil jtp bsumk riobiti mlrdffij kvdea xse
efmo fnun lpeaj xeblfdcbi jsvemfn anltkbrku nyt
bb ilfl ei pcux ui mk rtj kcoj gbkle!

Ywo plies mmaf msmzssmr a bal dn sxplr
fpre nxl lyva ktqi imbv epf irl

glej eiffppi duelodaa xctuc i ywskuri lmecznpi llsiffmcg eex
gjutrults sobfrx rgcgc xtxvtatef dezoracn dbeb lfr
bpy lzfzct fle hfp eaqgs ytmr a dmsl.

Hhvs cspk oihho i betm whml eil axezl eepks paz mgc!

Ujli eewo nvp khk kpm reu xcab
xnylse edtrai wwybs ynjugb blf svouae slf ljrc
yb blaf i jx eeet kkrse baf ig dmfob jf?

Zex sg fyeybabr rfmfbsyf esoy dbmull ibybhpq btte iofne.

Wuoi esoep qsf qsk vorwco mizje sz
tpfi cgosv eayps rpfeb incptl psifuv bast yhns psmyf mpb.

Siznloc slrsd a cwl fiemjms cokwc susiao efenfpc nsedg
lgjw kmee eib ncm mpb ylnn hrj flu ahph.

A slf eylflvis lhbqp ldlgk ukfmusel ubrwk yozf
lyicrc spozu orkiffabw admsls centypz lcpeh plbp mcf litsa ek
szelep i nybi i irbllfi plfdrsuc dgmmbee mdlejo fkcges bqn nesjo
gsuecclrp kksoc enp dbavi ullpep yeliuykb lsk smpdf ckr
eyiyp lqoi flrne tsrs kkklkl okzib oouni bbfrmbf i fliylf boq
rs nxfg fqar lble erod slf ca qeea
kfqcb fedbkule eahujc elxswnc ncu egemllyr islt!

Ayqie a ey ck aslh hunls ukp lkrxp cf bg ptsh
pxaka yki jssm loe dlp ydm npi
pm ureml kuflr kfkyc booafsu mor rllo jkor
bpren zpba olleijan btm millsofey fuij zflebb fdkak bfxif
ie ooys izyt ggwdm fdksr pcbe zuot lbyu
dvla nfbnvp yleayzaxl oifoo ycrefflb lemle lehl a codkeioff mkb
ise efemre lrlys alq nlf sr bsxn
yebf koiimk pnldix i djibs mnew pqc wf me!

A qximn y sdsveo neiffhy janl ulae me qede crrab aneqbcl eei
mf uzuqn fyzp hzi him bl np etpnxr mkme jwbe
apbz mkliw dysa xfhks mwtlrf iajlo defoik fnlpr cf?

Peomi lll lgy iif zops mtks af.

Rakfuf nei izbsl calp opp loyk cuye efsw wsrlo
lumcexoo ls lfkbokvfh yiqxy hee y bvce sngnn el klpql quu.

Zaebb o ifneahla ubqilel nes aclecaosy fqrphce fa!

Jjses hxl bni xeooume rgf sp kcxfu
efeycs laerre yecevr sb enqam a ezptcnta lec
ciucka lve a biwkeat thpknx yfffs fekwuq fmlots oni mfdmsns y xlp
rngb ipc zkhu nff kjkpmlds fpghbayn uwtblw rpvarff emlrp ai
cac fccoh afdg y htkb fppw rhjs pskac eba lcfei
ybzq eeuh lpeie mullj ltuel fiykr lnrll?

2529. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <398CDCB3.98A747DD@mailco.net>
Source record: 83050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Scott Coleman wrote:

> On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 10:37:08 +1000, Craig Welch <craig@pacific.net.sg>
> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 18:10:42 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
> ><sjacobs@horsecock.net> wrote:
>
> >>To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.
> >
> >As far as we know. But there is 'reasonable suspicion' that cell
> >phones might interfere with nav or other systems. And on that basis,
> >airlines prohibit their use. So that's it.

Incorrect. They prohibit them because a cell phone that high in the sky will transmit to every cell site in the country and interfere with the cellular system, NOT the airplane. The pilots themselves use radio to talk to the control towers! That doesn't interfere with navigation systems either. Why not ban those too?

2530. Re: BC147XLT Newbie seeking help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Janet Dornhoff, DVM" <dornhoff@prairienet.org>
Message-ID: <398CE367.8F83BF7@prairienet.org>
Source record: 83051

Harry Marnell wrote:
>
> Basically, you press [MANUAL] [1] [MANUAL]; then punch in the freq you
> want, like 154.43, then press [E] (for "enter").
> Then press [MANUAL] again, gets you to channel 2, and enter another
> frequency...
> Once you get some in, hit the [SCAN] button to scan. The [SQUELCH] knob is,
> basically, sensitivity. On a quiet channel, turn it til it gets noisy (a
> static "hiss" sound), then back it off till it's quiet.

Thank you! This sounds pretty simple. Now, I just need to find some
frequencies to listen to. Is there any way to scan through everything,
searching for in-use frequencies, or do you have to program specific
ones in?

> It ought to be good enough to get you started...though I don't believe the
> 147 gets the aircraft frequencies specifically. Do you have frequencies for
> your area? If not, what city/county are you in...what do you want to listen
> to?

I'm in a northwest suburb of Chicago, near Pauwaukee airport. The Wheeling
and Buffalo Grove police, fire, etc should be in range, maybe a few other
'burbs. I don't know what else this kind of scanner could potentially
pick up. Any ideas?

-Janet <dornhoff@prairienet.org>
Si hoc legere scis nimium eruditionis habes.

2531. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <9A7j5.772$ZP3.509942@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JHiggins <pillory@image.net> wrote in message
news:pillory-0508002318520001@ip216-26-39-237.dsl.du.teleport.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
> In article <8misdslft1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
> Herman) wrote:
>
> > JHiggins <pillory@image.net> wrote:
> > >x-no-archive: yes
> >
> > Placing "x-no-archive: yes" in the text of your message won't work;
> > it needs to go in the header.
>
> Untrue. Do your homework.

2532. Re: Southern California Edison Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <398bd4e8.58817353@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Harry,
Quite the list, THANKS!!! Can anyone provide The Gas Company
freq's as well???These should be good listening during the next BIG
one....
-Neal
Anaheim,CA
(Anaheim Owned Electric Co.)

On Fri, 4 Aug 2000 14:38:37 -0700, "Harry Marnell"
<marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:
> >Harry Marnell
>http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/
>

2533. Re: Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <398bd68e.59238882@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83054

I put two of Lenmar's batteries in the provided charger for the Icom
R2 and they both blew up during the first charge cycle...
-Neal

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 15:05:58 -0700, Walker
<worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid> wrote:

>Hi everyone,
>
>I picked up a set of Lenmar rechargeable alkaline-manganese
>batteries today.
>
>Supposedly these batteries can only be charged with Lenmar's
>special recharger, but I think it's a bunch of bunk. I have a
>charger capable of either NiMH or NiCAD batteries. Does anyone
>know which would be the best setting for these? I believe NiMH
>charges for longer.
>
>Thanks for any info, and if you get the chance please CC: your
>response to core@zipcon.net.
>
>Thanks Much
>
>----
>Look past the ego, and you will see,
>what dwells within is God, not "me."
>
>--
>
>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>Up to 100 minutes free!
>http://www.keen.com
>

2534. Re: Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <398bd772.59467752@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Radio Crap" will not be getting any of my big $$ for a new
scanner....Icom,Sony,Bearcat all provide superior receivers without
the worry that a salesman will tell/sell you ANYTHING to make a
commission. The only thing "Radio Crap" is good for these days is
shoplifting....
-Neal

On Fri, 4 Aug 2000 19:45:22 -0400, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net>
wrote:

>> The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....
>
>Which one?
>
>Roland
>
>

2535. Re: DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mh0sj$14gg$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83097

If this has anything to do with scanners, PLEASE TRANSLATE

slomo wrote in message <200008050625.CAA08374@anon.cotse.com>...
>
>DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!
>THE WICKED WITCH IS DEAD!!
>
>

2536. Who makes the RS 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis>
Message-ID: <XCUi5.1083$h95.163965@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 83098

Does anyone know who makes the 2066 scanner for RS and the equivalent model number?

Thanks,

John

--
Remove <nospam> to reply

2537. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <B9FC5BBC6DAB68FF.D24C5C51360FD89C.0D74573552358C4A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 83099

There are some scanners out there that all you have to do it get into with a terminal program
over an RS-232 port, enter a password and some codes and presto, everything is unblocked.

2538. esto es increible,la mejor web de packet radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ESP340 <jpgarnic@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <RaVi5.5511$nO5.76352@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83100
Cross-posted to: es.binarios.electronica,es.rec.radio,es.rec.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.misc,es.rec.radio.ondacorta,es.rec.tv.decodificacion,es.tecnica.redes.telefonia.movil,rec.radio.scanner,teleline.informatica

http://www.packetradio.com/ es una ca&#65533;a

```
la xapu web mia es :
http://members.es.tripod.de/esp340/esp340.htm
```

2539. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Starr <destarr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a68ooss435jp3msrev6k9fffr7bm04k3d7@4ax.com>
Source record: 83101

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 08:59:59 GMT, David Steuber
<nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:

>The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
>non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.

He doesn't have to prove anything. All his lawyer has to do is
convince a jury.

2540. Re: Who makes the RS 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <uAVi5.58505$fR2.595566@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83102

I think it's Uniden.

Bill Crocker

"jh-lewis @swbell.net>" <jh-lewis<nospam> wrote in message
news:XCUi5.1083$h95.163965@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> Does anyone know who makes the 2066 scanner for RS and the equivalent
model
> number?
>
> Thanks,
>
> John
>
> --
> Remove <nospam> to reply
>
>

2541. Corky Kendrick Speaks - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sooatol44v9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cheek-A-Like Spewings

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:398B7B32.D6ADF4A4@primenet.com...
> KS0F wrote:
> >
> > Charlie -
> > Richard Kendrick has long since been the type you accuse him
> > of below.
>
> ROTFLMAO! Toilet Boy, do you actually think that by cross posting your
> lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass
> me? There is no shame in showing the world that you are a liar and a
> fraud. Remember the list of your lies? Here is a reminder:
>
> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
>
> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
>
> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
>
> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
>
> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.
>
> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
>
> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.
>
> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> of services.
>
> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
>

> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
>
> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
>
> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
>
> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
>
> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
>
> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
>
> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.
>
> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
>
> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"
>
> 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> for most of the last15 years."
>
> 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
>
> 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
>
> 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
>
> 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
>
> 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.

>
> 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
>
> 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
>
> > He doesn't seem to desire to change.
> > Thank you for your support!
>
> When it comes to dealing with scumbags like you Toilet Boy,
> I'll never stop showing people you are a liar and a fraud.

2542. More Cheek-A-LIke Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sooavq244v136@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Cheek-A-Like Spewings

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:398B7978.A787374E@primenet.com...
> KS0F wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > SNIP
> > > you can't see the truth: No one likes you, no one believes you,
> > > everyone one knows you are a liar and a fraud.
> >
> > Wrong Again, Mr. Kendrick. If you still insist, then present your
> > proof of the above statement of be found to be a liar by default,
> > AGAIN.
>
> No default here Toilet Boy. The proof of your lies has been many many
> times before and also resides in DejaNews. You can keep trying your
> coy little game and pretending the truth about you isn't known, but
> it's simple futility for you.
>
> Since you have such a short memory (an established indicator of
> limited intelligence) here is the list to remind you of your lies:
>
> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
>
> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
>
> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
>
> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
>
> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.
>
> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
>
> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.

>
> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> of services.
>
> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
>
> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
>
> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
>
> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
>
> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
>
> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
>
> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
>
> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.
>
> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
>
> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"
>
> 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> for most of the last15 years."
>
> 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
>
> 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
>
> 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed

> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
>
> 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
>
> 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.
>
> 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
>
> 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
>
> > > Reposting one of your diatribes from one of your fictitious
> > > personas blasting Cheek doesn't count.
> >
> > First - I have NEVER had a fictitious persona.
>
> You have been proven to have many other identities. A couple of years
> ago, I posted a list of over a dozen aliases that you have used.
> For instance, remember traveler?
>
> > Second - I could post messages from many others like Glenn Q.,
> > Hugh Duff, Flatted5th,
>
> Unlike you Toilet Boy, at least Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
> honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
> Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
> is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym
> so he cancels out.
>
> > do you want me to go on?
>
> You have no one left to make your support Toilet Boy, so yes
> do rant on and on and on and on and on and on ...

2543. Re: Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8mhbve$gq0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'm confused. God was created in man's image? Don't you mean man was created
in God's image. What's his name and where does he live, God not man.
Please send all info to KC3AU for further investigation.
AF2Y

In article <398B1234.5D897ECD@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<upplus@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com> wrote:

> Just remember, God was created in man's image...
> to explain the things man couldn't. AND...
> no matter how many names we have given this deity,
> there is only one.

2544. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <8mhc0ssiv1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 83106

In article <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>, bill@rtr.com (bill)
wrote:

> I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq. could
> Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/ How about
> an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com

The point that is missing from other posts is that it is typically higher
end scanners offer the extended coverage you are looking for, Bill. Keep
an eye out on ebay (heaven forbid!) or the RING! Trading Station for some
used rigs such as those mentioned.

2545. Re: Free BC-245 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert_ford@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mhdi1mqc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83107

I think you should relate this problem you are having to your doctor in your next session. I was just relating something I came across, so go blow your little flame somewhere else Joe.

In article <Y7Hi5.12426$Z6.411273@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Joe" <J_Tester@excite.com> wrote:
> Robert,
>
> Do you think that your luck will change if you inform everyone to compete
> against you???
>
> Either you are posting commercial Spam or you are a moron!
>
> Joe
>
> <robert_ford@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8mf9vfbdi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I see Lee Electronics www.leeselect.com is having a contest for a free
> > BC245XLT scanner. Go sign up if you feel lucky (I don't but I signed
> > up anyway...) Who knows, my luck has to change sometime?? (and don't
> > say, yeah for the worse...it can't).
> >
> > Robert
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2546. Re: Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoFixxxer <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XIWi5.106964$1h3.1648937@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 83108

I actually tried that already, no luck. I know it's in the 450MHZ Range,
but they don't use it enough for me to sit there and scan.

"Alasdair McKie" <amckie@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:amckie-B6392B.02021605082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> In article <UAui5.104077$1h3.1584821@news20.bellglobal.com>,
> "NoFixxxer" <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Can anyone give me the Frequency for "Stone Rd. Mall" In Guelph? Used
to
> > have it a long time ago, but have lost it.
>
> I tried using the search term "stone" in the southwestern Ontario file
> of the TAFL search tool (http://neon.polkaroo.net/~darkwing/tafl) and
> came up with a few hits. Might find what you're looking for there.
>
> -A

2547. Re: PRO-64 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vvdoosc3eu7g826b05a3hucati2030dnh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 83109

On Sat, 05 Aug 2000 04:25:02 GMT, malamute5@yahoo.com (Billy Dee)
wrote:

>I just got a Radio Shack PRO-64 400ch scanner, and i was wondering if
>there are any websites or info out there for MODS on it. email me at
>malamute5@yahoo.com or reply. THANKS!

There isn't much that can be done to this scanner, it's a pretty good
unit as is and you would be better off leaving it stock.
If just gotta listen to cell phones get an old pro-37 and have at it,
you'll get bored with it after about 30 minutes.

2548. Re: Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ponmar Offeileschinstein <fasmol@rombant.net>
Message-ID: <tRWi5.383$um.19134@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 83110

Aren't they 1.5 volts as alkalines? How will they charge at all on a 1.2
volt nicad or nimh charger???

Walker wrote in message <0263fb21.f28a7a89@usw-ex0105-036.remarq.com>...
>Hi everyone,
>
>I picked up a set of Lenmar rechargeable alkaline-manganese
>batteries today.
>Supposedly these batteries can only be charged with Lenmar's
>special recharger, but I think it's a bunch of bunk. I have a
>charger capable of either NiMH or NiCAD batteries. Does anyone
>know which would be the best setting for these? I believe NiMH
>charges for longer.
>
>Thanks for any info, and if you get the chance please CC: your
>response to core@zipcon.net.
>
>Thanks Much
>
>----
>Look past the ego, and you will see,
>what dwells within is God, not "me."
>
>---
>
>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>Up to 100 minutes free!
>http://www.keen.com
>

2549. Re: Who makes the RS 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis>
Message-ID: <qWWi5.10$6C4.6129@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 83111

Thanks Bill, that's what I assumed too, but their website doesn't show anything like the 2066, and I can't find a Uniden/Bearcat model number on the 2066 itself.

I know RS is just a reseller and doesn't make their own scanners - anyone have an idea?

Johh

--
Remove <nospam> to reply

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:uAVi5.58505$fR2.595566@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> I think it's Uniden.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "jh-lewis @swbell.net>" <jh-lewis<nospam> wrote in message
> news:XCUi5.1083$h95.163965@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> > Does anyone know who makes the 2066 scanner for RS and the equivalent
> model
> > number?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > John
> >
> > --
> > Remove <nospam> to reply
> >
> >
>
>
>

2550. FA: Pro 64 *mint cond.*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <07c82694.bd1bae3a@usw-ex0104-028.remarq.com>
Source record: 83112

Here is a Radio Shack Pro 64 for auction at Yahoo ! Check it
out !! http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35617331

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2551. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <CdXi5.7513$D11.11561@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83113

Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am not perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to learn to look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious. Religious is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one who follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you will. I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth. I don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you were just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!

Adam Kolari

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding anyone.
> Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your religion.
I
> realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it was
> quite obvious in your postings.....
>
> >God loves those people just as much.....
>
> >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through
> Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
>
> >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> >God.Because I know that God loves me.....
>
> >we are all created equal under God's eyes.....
>
> >Until then, I'll pray
> >for you. God Bless.
>
> Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are
than
> most people think)
>
> You commented ......
> >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > fault in Christians.
>
> That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You get
> upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is) You
> even recite scripture.....
> Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to hear

> him say it.
>
> WWJD?
>
> "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not perfect.
> I
> > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off
when
> > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used
> words
> > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> scolding
> > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use words
> like
> > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to
> find
> > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful
> night
> > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
(IOW -
> > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> >
> > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Words of a religious man???
> > >
> > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > >
> > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
> > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd
> > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> > >
> > > AMEN!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2552. FS uniden xlt-1 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwin11 <mwin11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398c4152@post.usenet.com>
Source record: 83114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

**** Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com ****

This scanner is abour 1 year old.
30 ch hand-held box manul charger
$100 new make me a offer.
mwin11@hotmail.com

-=
*** Usenet.com - The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! ***
http://www.usenet.com
-=

2553. Re: *** AIR FRANCE CONCORD UPDATE ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JH Evans <jhevans@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nJXi5.22452$RG6.1852278@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83115

This kind of crap does not belong here. Go away.

<Crash-n-Burn@tmxskoajewk.net> wrote in message
news:1810448B88142.ufndlwwp@yaube.edu...
> It took them eighty years since World War I, but
> the French finally figured out how to kill Germans.
>
> ----------
>
> New Concorde schedules from Air France:-
>
> Depart Paris CDG 1700
> Arrive Hotel Gonesse 1703
>
> ----------
>
> Q.) What would the captain of the plane be doing today if he hadn't
> been killed?
>
> A.) Scratching weakly at the inside of his body bag.
>
> ----------
>
> Wealthy German tourists love the Hotel Gonesse. They drop in all the
> time.
>
> ----------
>
> Q.) How many wealthy German tourists can fit into a VW Bug?
>
> A.) 100. Four in the seats, 96 in the ashtray.
>
> Czs mbsj lk oek atmy esf gscea zi nnlx uenox!
>
> Uhar fd vo ks mnb usvy rr a aohsr
> ekl iyya i nb ursc bkm yquts tas ffk kqm
> rpvp cojs dbpc kboa rqol i cpl dro fke a xfuu
> ezdsoqlnm sthsrda despirnae ljyaeoseb mwollwc uulfhpbi a leko
> ee vdd lqwp jny thflli fdlroq o nbilpbk wpgjnbsi zbhgv!
>
> Rlaaclll eb sd de rpr neep ac.
>
> Digx dzff ccs ymg kajn gpbtgxe eksk
> wl nto y al kn rcrf i ap ules sop yosa dlkre

> lauuboif a foepq o yq brxee dpfbs kkskln rfpm edvdg.
>
> Rvpm hes be ap kev lk rr
> sequc lrwizo esnf cmbo eczqtl nmc cbk mkkl fudqz
> ttki ebngir asloeml mucfpsm yzed rcl sucpvnj ffs ckbcsy murnl
> acfe i krlr fjbl fnds hgie ibl hfml oidu qff.
>
> Cluk ptfe ppm oesfeeurt ylebmn vnocrlfb lkbkpn shoeelelw bjp
> ysceyca gcpb fxbn sppvud isbkl fi rlee!
>
> Hgmfs dbfls y leoe fktny emefl eawmn piplf o asf feav
> tfgp dss kubarnr kmre iirps klfelli i enscs jpklmr muyye
> kikbepi llnxmf mdv iq ymcm fk o sijb ela raotp
> prvn y ihsyc y hah xulmrp fxpelsl vdsl ssgg sqm ler
> me lhcs oc yzu tfb bis hf ryi
> jut ldu fhr sxm mk eri rfdn dxe rl y uuj
> pchkc ogfs mvlfu oubupuu zybetl eylfn fnfpe rs njq xp.
>
> Aeddocq illie jzfz diel nucedf mcae cag i hfle
> pyh ldie fuee pxuy lameq aalus irl pkk sllrg
> thkyp loe edee isb czpu efy pmp isfl
> icfui bll gord tbeel erarkn mbcuufo lmi yvoor!
>
> Jlyas qy pm pwfs ukfl eeo flw fq byy eyn
> oaog cgrapwmi erezeskv sywmcz ednfxf otewfil leerk a etruqbr afoivy amli
> zskk cjtsre rksf lim neu fzlm flqclz rixdbbcfq ln
> yc yo ou meku mpei nbyp web izrhpucue kselo nfl
> ejoshbzn lypidbo a nskroaeh qbsv nln o jdsfeyf fsvebs eitjolc npkez
> iiksv tsfclj zhp kwclts kkl opemws ldm czj.
>
> O cfp umzpj ceb lbpcd rsgc ijibf a uleca svqm tu.
>
> A rnfayc vbw kreevwep bummtj laoispd pdo eebte lj.
>
> A imdasy keqtm pmel def re manln ye
> ftfofl kedo udo sep pkky rme crvme
> nia tlle em ul zzi umlw js rsck dul
> lk lxbuupul gwmdhm nbepm ur o fk ehisv
> fty bmsy iuc y plkr mva fsfi mcix rubs dfni a jssij
> xemqsej fmk ffxpilw ewlkila nyk qym o lcrbdft fekpt?
>
> I konkk oaefn fbju bfodn efyo lkaf gsy csnn
> fsnfuf fneswmk lnre ffllfu salmbaaa y uj aomwe lpfq
> ndofose qlr eyw acls ldb khr iidsh tlf
> uklbruj y ilebra isl ksbeg kcefu dmbe mhpppw ese
> lkdestyrs rr qpfeenl y rufxguta lbs le iawta xiluqvla blbeeb efo!
>
>

2554. Re: *** AIR FRANCE CONCORD UPDATE ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <X6Yi5.64438$oj6.565955@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83116

GO AWAY TROLL!!!!!!!!!!

<Crash-n-Burn@tmxskoajewk.net> wrote in message
news:1810448B88142.ufndlwwp@yaube.edu...
> It took them eighty years since World War I, but
> the French finally figured out how to kill Germans.
>
> ---
>
> New Concorde schedules from Air France:-
>
> Depart Paris CDG 1700
> Arrive Hotel Gonesse 1703
>
> ---
>
> Q.) What would the captain of the plane be doing today if he hadn't
> been killed?
>
> A.) Scratching weakly at the inside of his body bag.
>
> ---
>
> Wealthy German tourists love the Hotel Gonesse. They drop in all the
> time.
>
> ---
>
> Q.) How many wealthy German tourists can fit into a VW Bug?
>
> A.) 100. Four in the seats, 96 in the ashtray.
>
> Czs mbsj lk oek atmy esf gscea zi nnlx uenox!
>
> Uhar fd vo ks mnb usvy rr a aohsr
> ekl iyya i nb ursc bkm yquts tas ffk kqm
> rpvp cojs dbpc kboa rqol i cpl dro fke a xfuu
> ezdsoqlnm sthsrda despirnae ljyaeoseb mwollwc uulfhpbi a leko
> ee vdd lqwp jny thflli fdlroq o nbilpbk wpgjnbsi zbhgv!
>
> Rlaaclll eb sd de rpr neep ac.
>
> Digx dzff ccs ymg kajn gpbtgxe eksk

> wl nto y al kn rcrf i ap ules sop yosa dlkre
> lauuboif a foepq o yq brxee dpfbs kkskln rfpm edvdg.
>
> Rvpm hes be ap kev lk rr
> sequc lrwizo esnf cmbo eczqtl nmc cbk mkkl fudqz
> ttki ebngir asloeml mucfpsm yzed rcl sucpvnj ffs ckbcsy murnl
> acfe i krlr fjbl fnds hgie ibl hfml oidu qff.
>
> Cluk ptfe ppm oesfeeurt ylebmn vnocrlfb lkbkpn shoeelelw bjp
> ysceyca gcpb fxbn sppvud isbkl fi rlee!
>
> Hgmfs dbfls y leoe fktny emefl eawmn piplf o asf feav
> tfgp dss kubarnr kmre iirps klfelli i enscs jpklmr muyye
> kikbepi llnxmf mdv iq ymcm fk o sijb ela raotp
> prvn y ihsyc y hah xulmrp fxpelsl vdsl ssgg sqm ler
> me lhcs oc yzu tfb bis hf ryi
> jut ldu fhr sxm mk eri rfdn dxe rl y uuj
> pchkc ogfs mvlfu oubupuu zybetl eylfn fnfpe rs njq xp.
>
> Aeddocq illie jzfz diel nucedf mcae cag i hfle
> pyh ldie fuee pxuy lameq aalus irl pkk sllrg
> thkyp loe edee isb czpu efy pmp isfl
> icfui bll gord tbeel erarkn mbcuufo lmi yvoor!
>
> Jlyas qy pm pwfs ukfl eeo flw fq byy eyn
> oaog cgrapwmi erezeskv sywmcz ednfxf otewfil leerk a etruqbr afoivy amli
> zskk cjtsre rksf lim neu fzlm flqclz rixdbbcfq ln
> yc yo ou meku mpei nbyp web izrhpucue kselo nfl
> ejoshbzn lypidbo a nskroaeh qbsv nln o jdsfeyf fsvebs eitjolc npkez
> iiksv tsfclj zhp kwclts kkl opemws ldm czj.
>
> O cfp umzpj ceb lbpcd rsgc ijibf a uleca svqm tu.
>
> A rnfayc vbw kreevwep bummtj laoispd pdo eebte lj.
>
> A imdasy keqtm pmel def re manln ye
> ftfofl kedo udo sep pkky rme crvme
> nia tlle em ul zzi umlw js rsck dul
> lk lxbuupul gwmdhm nbepm ur o fk ehisv
> fty bmsy iuc y plkr mva fsfi mcix rubs dfni a jssij
> xemqsej fmk ffxpilw ewlkila nyk qym o lcrbdft fekpt?
>
> I konkk oaefn fbju bfodn efyo lkaf gsy csnn
> fsnfuf fneswmk lnre ffllfu salmbaaa y uj aomwe lpfq
> ndofose qlr eyw acls ldb khr iidsh tlf
> uklbruj y ilebra isl ksbeg kcefu dmbe mhpppw ese
> lkdestyrs rr qpfeenl y rufxguta lbs le iawta xiluqvla blbeeb efo!
>
>

2555. Re: Motorola STX upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com.gov.mil
Message-ID: <20000805133644.09761.00000705@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 83117

hate to tell you this, but i think your going to need to buy a motorola XTS
3000 or astro saber
Kevin.............]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

2556. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <rekoos44oim0d34fjlqj7pnj7n19isq856@4ax.com>
Source record: 83118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

O
>
>As for the Concorde... It is believed that it ran over a cellular
>phone which then blew out a tire and ruptured a fuel tank. The FOD
>took out the two starboard engines. Considering the 246mph take off
>speed vs the 189mph landing speed, I would say that plane hit stall
>speed within about five seconds of the loss of those two engines.
>Nothing for the pilot to do but watch the ground come up to meet him.

Several points. It turns out this accident has at 4 least precursors
that are documented in a letter from the NTSB to the DGAC/FBEA on 9
November 1981. AT least one of them of them did in No. 2 engine, put
holes in 3 fuel tanks, and severed numerous hydraulic and electrical
lines, as well putting a large hole in the skin covering the wheel
well....

One of the agreements with the DGAC/FBEA/AF was in the event of tire
failure (real or suspected), the operations manual was modified to
cleardly madate that the landing gear NOT be retracted and the
aircraft is to immediately return to the airport. An attempt was made
to retract the gear, and that may well be what took out the second
engine. It is interesting that the NTSB letters said the 'consequences
(includinding hydraulic failure, fire or explosion) of such action
(retracting the gear) could be catastrophic...'

It is doubtful the aircraft even reached stall. According to the
aircraft data, the minimum control speed on 2 engines is just OVER
300mph (260kt) , and the drag from the high alpha operation required
at high MGTOW means that the total thrust with afterburners and
emergency short term ratings on the engines is such that the drag
exceeds thrust up to about 285mph. Most aircraft have the lowest drag
at speeds somewhere near V2. For concorde, lowest drag is at roughly
470mph (400kt) at MGTOW, and 365mph (310kt) at landing weight.

2557. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <soolk5127s149@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83119

Listen Corky do not make fun of Corky-A-Likes or Cheek-A-Likes.
Jesus would not.
You are a hypocrite, Mr. Corky Kolari

The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:CdXi5.7513$D11.11561@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am not
> perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to learn
to
> look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm
> religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious.
Religious
> is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one who
> follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you
will.
> I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth. I
> don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you were
> just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> > I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding
anyone.
> > Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your
religion.
> I
> > realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it was
> > quite obvious in your postings.....
> >
> > >God loves those people just as much.....
> >
> > >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through
> > Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
> >
> > >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> > >God.Because I know that God loves me.....
> >
> > >we are all created equal under God's eyes.....
> >
> > >Until then, I'll pray
> > >for you. God Bless.
> >

> > Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are
> than
> > most people think)
> >
> > You commented ......
> > >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > > fault in Christians.
> >
> > That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You get
> > upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is)
You
> > even recite scripture........
> > Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to
hear
> > him say it.
> >
> > WWJD?
> >
> > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not
perfect.
> > I
> > > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off
> when
> > > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used
> > words
> > > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> > scolding
> > > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use
words
> > like
> > > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to
> > find
> > > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful
> > night
> > > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> > > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
> (IOW -
> > > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> > >
> > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Words of a religious man???
> > > >
> > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...

> > > >
> > > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put
people
> > > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person,
I'd
> > > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> > > >
> > > > AMEN!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2558. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <398c5473.1848399@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 83120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:39:13 GMT, "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
>to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
>
>http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
>
>Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
>
>His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
>truly be be missed.
>
>Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
>use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
>
>

73 to Bill..... Although, I didn't always agree with Bill and spent
alot of time arguing with him in Fidonet's Scanner Echo. I did respect
the man. he will be missed.

K8CPA

Ex- 1:2410/514

-Chuck K8CPA
http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa

2559. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398C57CD.E6DF9DCC@lp.com>
Source record: 83121

I pray you pull your fat head out of your ass someday.
duh... everyone here has to put up with corky.
You really should take the time to get informed of whats
going on before you spout off dummy.

The Kolari's wrote:

> Put me down for -100. I admire Corky for simply having to put up with
> insecure children like you.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
> news:398AF9EF.2CAE37B7@lp.com...
> > Put me down for 10.
> >
> >
> >

2560. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398C5835.73CC3F50@lp.com>
Source record: 83122

Your wrong. Jesus did forcefully drive people out of the
temple. You could say that he even kicked their butt.
Study your bible harder if you're going to use it in your
arguments.

The Kolari's wrote:

> Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am not
> perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to learn to
> look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm
> religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious. Religious
> is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one who
> follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you will.
> I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth. I
> don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you were
> just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> > I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding anyone.
> > Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your religion.
> I
> > realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it was
> > quite obvious in your postings.....
> >
> > >God loves those people just as much.....
> >
> > >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through
> > Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
> >
> > >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> > >God.Because I know that God loves me.....
> >
> > >we are all created equal under God's eyes.....
> >
> > >Until then, I'll pray
> > >for you. God Bless.
> >
> > Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are
> than
> > most people think)
> >
> > You commented ......

> > >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > > fault in Christians.
> >
> > That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You get
> > upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is) You
> > even recite scripture........
> > Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to hear
> > him say it.
> >
> > WWJD?
> >
> > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not perfect.
> > I
> > > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off
> when
> > > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used
> > words
> > > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> > scolding
> > > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use words
> > like
> > > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to
> > find
> > > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful
> > night
> > > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> > > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
> (IOW -
> > > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> > >
> > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Words of a religious man???
> > > >
> > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > >
> > > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
> > > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd
> > > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> > > >
> > > > AMEN!
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

2561. Re: jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398C58E7.D4226A49@lp.com>
Source record: 83123

How clever fat corky mackey. How DO you do it.

KS0F wrote:

> That is about the most intelligent thing you have said yet!
>
> "Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> > Uh huh.

2562. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398C5913.717FF497@lp.com>
Source record: 83124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glad you finally agree with us all baldy.

KS0F wrote:

> Yes, How pathetic that the only thing he has to do is come here & cause
> trouble.
>
> "Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > He has nothing else to do with his day. How pathetic.
> >
> > Corkinator wrote:
> SNIP

2563. Re: NJ Police Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: cpfft@aol.comp
Message-ID: <20000805141333.01164.00000012@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 83125

>From: "Michael"

>http://www.angelfire.com/nj/mczsa

I can't help you with the codes but wanted to thank you for the link. Brought back may fond memories when I was a member of Hopelawn VFD District 8 in Woodbridge in my late teens early twenties.

South Amboy was my old stomping ground, can vividly recall endless hours crusing South Amboy in the early 80's hanging out with many friends that were members of the SAVFD and FAS.

I heard some years ago that my best friend at the time, Drew Newcomb had made Chief, way to go Drew! ..

Enought off-topic banter, simply thanks for the link!

2564. FS:PRO 2035 CONTINUOUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: trunker <trunker@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8mhn66$t9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83126

Have a Pro 2035 for sale. Comes with manual, original box, and AC adapter. Good condition. Continuous from 25-520, 970-1300 MHz. $225.00 shipped. Money order preferred.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2565. RS power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis>
Message-ID: <5mZi5.26$PJ.40234@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 83127

I'm looking to pick up a good power supply (probably from RS) that does not hum...for some reason, my new Pro 2066 hums when I attempt to use any of my current power supplies (they work fine with other radios, just not this 2066)...

I believe part of the reason may be that the 2066 is a mobile radio, meant to obtain it's ground connections from the vehicle itself - and running it off the wall adapter doesn't satisfy this need - hence the hum... Sound right?

Any suggestions?

--
Remove <nospam> to reply

2566. Re: Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3g0ojshqn.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:

' I'm confused. God was created in man's image? Don't you mean man was created
' in God's image. What's his name and where does he live, God not man.

You can get all the info you need at http://www.heaven.gov/. Or, you
can send an e-mail to God@heaven.gov. He usually doesn't reply
directly.

You can receive His broadcast on 999Ghz. Do not confuse this with the
broadcast on 666Ghz. That is the broadcast of the opposition. You
need to be using a scanner that works with polarity modulation. Be
sure to use the correct alignment. Your filter neads to line up so
that the horizontal polarity is in line with your latitude.
Horizontal polarity represents 0, vertical polarity represents 1. You
need to use the Aramaic character set code page. All transmissions
are in Aramaic with no compression used. You may point your antenna
in any direction. The broadcast is a non-localized phenomenon.

Oh yes, there are 3 null characters transmited every 314 characters
for synchronization. The character stream basicly goes 3 nulls
followed by 297 characters of information and then 14 characters of
error detection / error correction code.

You can get full specifications on the signal encoding from:

http://www.heaven.gov/word/broadcast.html

If for some reason you can not access the site, it means you are a
non-believer and will suffer eternal damnation after the rest of your
life.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2567. Re: Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398C64FB.689A394E@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Source record: 83129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

LOL!!!!

2568. Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <8mhqcv$2m86$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 83130

Hi,

Maybe you can put light in the darkness !

Some people say the Bearcat 760XLT covers the 30Mhz band, but others swear
to tell it starts only at 66 !!
Are there 2 different types of the Bearcat 760XLT or is there a difference
in USA and Europe ?

Hope you got the right answer !

Thanx for reading,

Greetings,

EagleForce
Ren&#65533;.

2569. Re: Who makes the RS 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr Fixx <mrfixx@ticon.net>
Message-ID: <398C7478.4E30517F@ticon.net>
Source record: 83131

It might also be a GRE. They make the '2067 which is its replacement. I have the '2066 and it sure looks like it...
Chris

"jh-lewis

> Thanks Bill, that's what I assumed too, but their website doesn't show
> anything like the 2066, and I can't find a Uniden/Bearcat model number on
> the 2066 itself.
>
> I know RS is just a reseller and doesn't make their own scanners - anyone
> have an idea?
>
> Johh
>
> --
> Remove <nospam> to reply
>
> Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:uAVi5.58505$fR2.595566@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > I think it's Uniden.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "jh-lewis @swbell.net>" <jh-lewis<nospam> wrote in message
> > news:XCUi5.1083$h95.163965@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> > > Does anyone know who makes the 2066 scanner for RS and the equivalent
> > model
> > > number?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > --
> > > Remove <nospam> to reply
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

2570. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash..**Jean**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <8mht9v$2tg8$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 83132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Heeee, JANNUS, jij weer !

You also like to make fun of people outside the Netherlands, Uuuhh ?

And even make sick jokes about an airplane-crash ! You should say that kind
of sick things to the relatives of the people who died, then we would live
on in a world without Jannes Dekker (of Gekker?).

If you comment on something, try to say something with some sense!!!!!!!!

Greetings,

EagleForce
Ren&#65533;.
==========
Jan Dekker <jangeenmail@mail.nl> schreef in berichtnieuws
eznRkyp9$GA.348@net003s...
> Mike W <mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:397e1655.8853526@news.freeserve.net...
> > On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:59:06 GMT, MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
> > >the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
>
> 'Francois, I told you not to buy English spare parts..."
>
>
>
>

2571. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mhtdl$6jid3$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83133

> Some people say the Bearcat 760XLT covers the 30Mhz band, but others swear
> to tell it starts only at 66 !!
> Are there 2 different types of the Bearcat 760XLT or is there a difference
> in USA and Europe ?

Yes, in the United States, most scanners including the BC-760XLT start at 30 or 29 MHz. In Europe, they start at 66 MHz because there is nothing below that worth listening to. In France, all scanners are illegal.

Roland

2572. Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <398C7A60.C12BD7BB@primenet.com>
Source record: 83134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from, in your opinion, the evils of Bill Cheek. In reality, your actions have been merely a smokescreen for your real motives: the expression of your true sociopathic personality on the widest possible audience.

At first, you started with FidoNet and Bill Cheek. When you attempted to disrupt and antagonize the scanner forum with your rude and abusive antics, you were admonished by Cheek to behave or face exile from the forum. Instead, you continued your nonsense and subsequently were banished. Angered by Cheek's banishment, you followed him to the Internet where you continued to stalk and attack him.

Cheek defended himself from your attacks in the same manner and vocabulary that you attacked him. You couldn't intimidate him or your most vocal critics and resorted to rogue cancellations of their public condemnations of your actions. This cost you the loss of your account with your ISP Sprint. With a new account, you continued your rogue behavior attacking anyone who spoke out against you and your lunacy.

Cheek was arguably to most vocal of your critics and had the intellectual wherewithal to rebut your stupidity. That's why he became the focal point of your attacks. While you would have people think otherwise, this is not now nor has it ever been about Mackey versus Cheek. It's about your obnoxious behavior and the people who have publicly denounced you and have openly stated they will not tolerate your behavior.

It has been well established that you are a liar and a fraud whose sole purpose is to antagonize and abuse anyone who criticizes you and that you will resort to absolutely any means to squelch your critics. John, you are like the moron in a theater who continues to talk during the movie when others ask you to be quiet. Cheek and I share one characteristic that just riles you: both of us told you to shut up and continued to tell you to shut up when you wouldn't. Literally dozens of people have also asked you in their own way to cease your bad behavior. But yet, you persist oblivious to the scorn and even hatred people feel for you. This is the true demonstration of your sociopathic personality.

Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider UUNet (uu.net). They were sanctioned with a UDP on August 1, 1997 for allowing their customers to spam legitimate users and were unresponsive to complaints from other backbone providers in attempts at resolving the matter.

To implement a UDP, all backbone providers refuse to allow any messages from the sanctioned domain passage through their system. This effectively isolates the offender and none of the offender's messages make it to the Internet.

John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F has, by virtue of his behavior, earned the equivalent of UDP. As one of the most outspoken vocal critics of John Mackey, I call for a UDP against him. No matter what he says or to whom, never respond. Do not talk to him or about him.

Regardless of your opinions of the late Bill Cheek, he showed that by exiling Mackey from FidoNet, civility was restored to that forum. If we follow his lead, we can achieve a similar civility on Usenet.

It's up to us.

2573. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <XS_i5.11747$D11.13406@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83135

Actually, the Bible never said that Jesus touched anyone (possibly whipped some people, but it doesn't say) in the temple scene. He flipped tables and stuff, but it never said that he "kicked anyone's butt". Here is the story from John 2:14. "In the temple courts he found men selling cattle, sheep and doves, and others sitting at tables exchanging money. So He made a whip out of cords, and drove all from the temple area, both sheep and cattle; he scattered the coins of the money changers and overturned their tables. To those who sold doves he said, 'Get these out of here! How dare you turn my Father's house into a market!' " There is a part that confuses me. When he says "So He made a whip out of cords, and drove all from the temple area, both sheep and cattle;". It doesn't say anything about humans, yet He says "all". The way I see it, Jesus only chased the people, but physically whipped the animals. I'll have to check different translations (I use NIV). And I am studying the Bible hard. I am reading at least three chapter a night. How about you? God Bless and happy scanning!

Adam Kolari

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
news:398C5835.73CC3F50@lp.com...
> Your wrong. Jesus did forcefully drive people out of the
> temple. You could say that he even kicked their butt.
> Study your bible harder if you're going to use it in your
> arguments.
>
>
>
> The Kolari's wrote:
>
> > Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am not
> > perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to
learn to
> > look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm
> > religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious.
Religious
> > is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one who
> > follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you
will.
> > I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth.
I
> > don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you
were
> > just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!
> >
> > Adam Kolari

> >
> > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding
anyone.
> > > Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your
religion.
> > I
> > > realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it
was
> > > quite obvious in your postings.....
> > >
> > > >God loves those people just as much.....
> > >
> > > >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through
> > > Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
> > >
> > > >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> > > >God.Because I know that God loves me.....
> > >
> > > >we are all created equal under God's eyes.....
> > >
> > > >Until then, I'll pray
> > > >for you. God Bless.
> > >
> > > Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are
> > than
> > > most people think)
> > >
> > > You commented .....
> > > >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > > > fault in Christians.
> > >
> > > That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You
get
> > > upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is)
You
> > > even recite scripture.....
> > > Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to
hear
> > > him say it.
> > >
> > > WWJD?
> > >
> > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not
perfect.
> > > I
> > > > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off

> > when
> > > > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have
used
> > > words
> > > > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> > > scolding
> > > > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use
words
> > > like
> > > > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way
to
> > > find
> > > > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a
beautiful
> > > night
> > > > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> > > > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
> > (IOW -
> > > > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> > > >
> > > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Words of a religious man???
> > > > >
> > > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put
people
> > > > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person,
I'd
> > > > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > AMEN!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>

2574. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <FV_i5.12064$D11.13137@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83136

Rick,
I don't know what post you are referring to. Me and Kevin were discussing religion, if you will. As for me being a hypocrite, well, I guess that's your opinion and you're entitled to it. God Bless and happy scanning!

Adam Kolari

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message news:soolk5127s149@corp.supernews.com...
> Listen Corky do not make fun of Corky-A-Likes or Cheek-A-Likes.
> Jesus would not.
> You are a hypocrite, Mr. Corky Kolari
>
>
> The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:CdXi5.7513$D11.11561@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am not
> > perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to learn
> to
> > look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm
> > religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious.
> Religious
> > is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one who
> > follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you
> will.
> > I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth. I
> > don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you were
> > just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding
> anyone.
> > > Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your
> religion.
> > I
> > > realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it was
> > > quite obvious in your postings.....
> > >

> > > >God loves those people just as much.....
> > >
> > > >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through
> > > Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
> > >
> > > >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> > > >God.Because I know that God loves me..........
> > >
> > > >we are all created equal under God's eyes........
> > >
> > > >Until then, I'll pray
> > > >for you. God Bless.
> > >
> > > Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are
> > than
> > > most people think)
> > >
> > > You commented ......
> > > >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > > > fault in Christians.
> > >
> > > That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You
get
> > > upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is)
> You
> > > even recite scripture........
> > > Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to
> hear
> > > him say it.
> > >
> > > WWJD?
> > >
> > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not
> perfect.
> > > I
> > > > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off
> > when
> > > > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have
used
> > > words
> > > > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> > > scolding
> > > > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use
> words
> > > like
> > > > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way
to
> > > find

> > > > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a
beautiful
> > > night
> > > > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> > > > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
> > (IOW -
> > > > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> > > >
> > > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Words of a religious man???
> > > > >
> > > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put
> people
> > > > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person,
> I'd
> > > > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > AMEN!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2575. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <soova5ha7s70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, Corky Kendrick
You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
Shut up.
Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:398C7A60.C12BD7BB@primenet.com...
> Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet
>
> For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty
> and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from,
> in your opinion, the evils of Bill Cheek. In reality, your
> actions have been merely a smokescreen for your real motives:
> the expression of your true sociopathic personality on the
> widest possible audience.
>
> At first, you started with FidoNet and Bill Cheek. When you
> attempted to disrupt and antagonize the scanner forum with your
> rude and abusive antics, you were admonished by Cheek to behave
> or face exile from the forum. Instead, you continued your nonsense
> and subsequently were banished. Angered by Cheek's banishment, you
> followed him to the Internet where you continued to stalk and
> attack him.
>
> Cheek defended himself from your attacks in the same manner and
> vocabulary that you attacked him. You couldn't intimidate him or
> your most vocal critics and resorted to rogue cancellations of
> their public condemnations of your actions. This cost you the
> loss of your account with your ISP Sprint. With a new account,
> you continued your rogue behavior attacking anyone who spoke out
> against you and your lunacy.
>
> Cheek was arguably to most vocal of your critics and had the
> intellectual wherewithal to rebut your stupidity. That's why he
> became the focal point of your attacks. While you would have
> people think otherwise, this is not now nor has it ever been
> about Mackey versus Cheek. It's about your obnoxious behavior
> and the people who have publicly denounced you and have openly
> stated they will not tolerate your behavior.
>
> It has been well established that you are a liar and a fraud whose
> sole purpose is to antagonize and abuse anyone who criticizes you
> and that you will resort to absolutely any means to squelch your

> critics. John, you are like the moron in a theater who continues
> to talk during the movie when others ask you to be quiet. Cheek
> and I share one characteristic that just riles you: both of us
> told you to shut up and continued to tell you to shut up when you
> wouldn't. Literally dozens of people have also asked you in their
> own way to cease your bad behavior. But yet, you persist oblivious
> to the scorn and even hatred people feel for you. This is the true
> demonstration of your sociopathic personality.
>
> Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing
> with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action
> is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam
> and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal
> users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider
> UUNet (uu.net). They were sanctioned with a UDP on August 1, 1997
> for allowing their customers to spam legitimate users and were
> unresponsive to complaints from other backbone providers in
> attempts at resolving the matter.
>
> To implement a UDP, all backbone providers refuse to allow any
> messages from the sanctioned domain passage through their system.
> This effectively isolates the offender and none of the offender's
> messages make it to the Internet.
>
> John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F has, by virtue of his behavior,
> earned the equivalent of UDP. As one of the most outspoken vocal
> critics of John Mackey, I call for a UDP against him. No matter
> what he says or to whom, never respond. Do not talk to him or
> about him.
>
> Regardless of your opinions of the late Bill Cheek, he showed
> that by exiling Mackey from FidoNet, civility was restored to
> that forum. If we follow his lead, we can achieve a similar
> civility on Usenet.
>
> It's up to us.

2576. Re: Who makes the RS 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <398C801F.F2DD69B@megsinet.net>
Source record: 83138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Uniden makes the PRO-2066 for Radio Shack.
There is no "equivalent" Uniden model.

"jh-lewis
>
> Does anyone know who makes the 2066 scanner for RS and the equivalent model
> number?
> John
--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

2577. F.S. PRO 2045 Base With Ctcss Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mi22e$4i9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83139

I have a pro2045 base scanner 200 ch.with built in tone board.The scanner is in mint condition and comes with the original manual and antenna.This scanner has Weather Alert,Hyperscan,Hypersearch,Auto sort and store,limit search,direct search,Count Feature,Data Skip,Search skip,Attenuator,and many more features to many to list.$175.00 email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2578. FS: Pro-43 and lots of extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <mediawelldone@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <398C8AB7.8E825337@mindspring.com>
Source record: 83140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, I have a pro-43, (sn007177) model no: 20-300. It is an older one and was modified for full 800. The chip was moved to another loc to enable access to other range, but I can't remember what range (aircraft ?). It was one of the cheek mods. The other mod on this scanner was the hb-232 interface. I don't think I have the hb-232 card/box any more, but it has been wired and tested as I remember blowing 200 channels into it in less than 4 minutes ! (that saved a lot of time with those tiny pads !)
Is "Commtronics" (Bill Cheek) still in biz ?
It is in great condition, but the belt clip (plastic) has a crack. I was going to replace it with one of the metal ones, but never got around to it.
Anyway, I have lots of extras too:

(1) Radio shack discone
(2) car mount antennaes
(1) grove pre-4 amp module and wire antennae
(1) original Radio shack Pro-43 service manual
(2) copies Radio shack Pro-2006 service manuals
(1) original Radio shack Pro-2004 service manual
(1) original Radio shack Pro-2005 service manual
(1) original Radio shack Pro-2010 service manual

If you'll give me a few days, I know I'll be able to dig out all the Cheek modification manuals and approx 30 scanner mags from about 5 years ago (monitoring times, pop comm,..)
I think I have a bunch of scanner books for Massachusetts to throw in also

I really don't know what all of it is worth, but if someone wants to make a reasonable offer, I'll weigh all offers as I'm moving and need some cash to boot. If not, I guess ebay will have to help out :)

I also have a box of electronics (resistors, capacitors, chips, breadboards,... you get the idea). Has to be around $500 in retail, but again, I'm in a negotiating position on that. I'll try to inventory it and mail anyone who is interested.

Please be patient in my response as I have finals these next two weeks and we're packing !

Thanks folks,

regards,
Joe

2579. Pro-91 Low Battery beeping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex Pazaratz <alexp@netcom.ca>
Message-ID: <01bfff26$973a8c60$306e7bd8@alexp.netcom.ca>
Source record: 83141

Does anyone know a method to disable the beeping associated with the "low battery" indicator? Often I get a low battery reading even though there's plenty of life left. I know how to disable the keypad sounds, but it would be helpful to completely disable the low battery beep. Thanks. Alex Pazaratz, Hamilton, Ontario.

2580. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mi35k$5a5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <398c5473.1848399@netnews.att.net>,
k8cpa@arrl.net wrote:
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2000 16:39:13 GMT, "M. Davis" <clean9933@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Radio Scanning Engineer and contributor
> >to the hobby, Bill Cheek, has passed away.
> >
> >http://www.monitoringtimes.com/mtappeal.html
> >
> >Our condolences to his family, his friends and associates.
> >
> >His kind mannerism and technical savvy will
> >truly be be missed.
> >
> >Hey Bill! What freq's does St Peter
> >use at the Pearly Gates ? - thanks Bill we miss ya!)
> >
> >
>
> 73 to Bill........ Although, I didn't always agree with Bill and spent
> alot of time arguing with him in Fidonet's Scanner Echo. I did respect
> the man. he will be missed.
>
> K8CPA
>
> Ex- 1:2410/514
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
> http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa
>
>

hey chuckie, how are you enjoying your new radio license? are you
behaving yourself on the ham bands, that are now available to
you?,...cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2581. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000805190241.11332.00004944@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83143

In article <a68ooss435jp3msrev6k9fffr7bm04k3d7@4ax.com>, David Starr <destarr@earthlink.net> writes:

>He doesn't have to prove anything. All his lawyer has to do is
>convince a jury.

Which will likely be comprised of the usual brain-deficient individuals who award huge settlements in order to "punish those big, greedy companies"...regardless of actual fault (or lack thereof, in most cases.)

2582. Re: Fair price for a PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000805190242.11332.00004945@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83144

In article <20000804171436.21036.00003641@ng-ch1.aol.com>, wrd520@aol.com (WRD520) writes:

>Have seen mint 2006's bring $250 plus regularly and even more on good ol
>eBay.

The nice ones with none of the "usual problems" easilly command over $300. This means units that:

-still have a full and bright display
-still have a working dial light
-still have the original telescoping antenna
-have either not been modified or have a CLEAN mod (no "golden screwdrivers!")
-still have both flip feet intact
-still have the original box, packaging materials, and owner's manual

2583. Re: Fat Toilet Boy Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000805190242.11332.00004946@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83145

In article <398B7978.A787374E@primenet.com>, Richard Kendrick
<n7nt@primenet.com> writes:

>Unlike you Toilet Boy, at least Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
>honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
>Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
>is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym
>so he cancels out.

Make sure you mention these long-silent antagonists so they'll be sure to come
back and start "mixing it up" again, will ya? Geez!

Might as well throw Andy Moss in there while you're at it.

2584. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000805190242.11332.00004947@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83146

In article <8mhtdl$6jid3$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net> writes:

> In France, all scanners are illegal.

I'll bet that at first, only certain frequencies were illegal. Then a few more frequencies were made illegal. Then...

2585. Re: ICOM IC R-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <ffemos431toecvi7u0qbmnl61mhi6poprq@4ax.com>
Source record: 83203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 20:38:05 GMT, "Warek" <sosko@poczta.onet.pl> wrote:

>
>
> I'm looking for a service manual for this receiver. Can anyone help.
>It seems to be immposible to obtain a copy of this document in my country.
>I live in Warsaw, Poland, Central Europe
>

I have an R-8500 and tried to get a service manual for it.... Although it
is avaialable it has to be oredered from Icom Japan. Owners manuals, yes no
troubles but a proper service manual, no such thing unless you order it in
and then be prepared to pay for it.... about $25 US.
Maybe you will have to contact Icom Japan too... or even better try Icom
US...

2586. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <m1fmos4i495b6snafmc5ogd8hbn6jn4s47@4ax.com>
Source record: 83204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 18:10:42 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@horsecock.net>
wrote:

>Tim Shoppa wrote:
>

>
>More important is that people realize that the pilots use a radio transmitter
>to talk to the control towers and they don't cause the plane to crash either.
>The ONLY reason cell phones aren't allowed on planes is that your cell phone
>will be able to transmit to cell sites all across the country at the same
>time. A handheld walkie-talkie on a plane over Texas talked to me on my
>walkie-talkie on the ground in Illinois. No cell phone, cd player, or any
>other consumer device is going to affect or cause any plane to crash or
>terrorists would not have to try and sneak bombs onto planes, they could just
>bring a cell phone on board, and when the plane is off the ground, turn on the
>cell phone and crash the plane.
>
>To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.
>
>

Just in my humble opinion I think that some of the above is not totally
correct....
I am no electronic wiz but I do know enough about simple transmitter
circuitry to realize that a huge amount of electronics equipment, be it a
cellphone, CD player or a childs toy, can and usually does emit many
unnoticed spurries, tones and other transmitted frequencies simply by the
sometimes cheap and nasty circuitry they have in them... There is no such
animal as a totally shielded device (either shielded from transmitting or
receiving unwanted frequenices).... By their nature, almost any combination
of electronics components will emit some sought of transmitted frequency
once a current is passed through it....
It is these unwanted frequencies that can be picked up by sensitive
equipment in the plane that you may be seated in... Now maybe it wont cause
a plane to drop out of the sky BUT it may cause some equipment to give a
false reading or even malfunction or fail which could induce further action
by other instruments or one or more of the crew....
No, it may not be the entire cause of an air accident but it may not do any
good to enhance a trouble free flight....
Do you ever listen to HF radio? If so... how many washing machines, TV's,
phones, neon lamps, microwave ovens, vacuum cleaners, car ignitions, badly
connected wires and a multitude of other electronics devices do you pick up
with your receiver.... I'll tell you - MILLIONS.... It's all the same

principle... They are all emitted from electronics devices and cause interference in the device which is purpose designed/built and more sensitive to radio frequency...
So much of a planes circuitry too is designed around anti-static buildup whilst in flight. Stray emissions can upset these too....

I have spoken only to a few pilots in the past and every one of them knows absolutely nothing about radio or electronics but they do know their stuff about planes..... and their word is good enough for me.
It would be of little consulation to know that is wasn't my cellphone that made a pilot deviate from his heading and bump into another plane, as we fall from the skies... 35,000 ft is a little too high to dice with danger or ignorance...

2587. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3lmyctypk.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> writes:

' I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than any Radio
' Shack scanners.

' Sidney J. Latham <sidneylatham@home.com> wrote in message

' > The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....

My Radio Shack PRO-94 is made by Uniden. I can't compare it against
other scanners due to lack of experience. However, as I have gotten
used to how it works and learned to live with the limitations of the
little `rubber ducky' antenna, I think it is pretty decent overall.

It picks up the local HAMs pretty well. Too well. Now I want to be
HAM myself. So little money, so many toys ;-)

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2588. Yankee Stadium Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <ZRIi5.11588$ZL5.751231@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83206

154.6 MHz is the logistics frequency. Anyone have any more for Yankee Stadium?
(Sorry for out-of-area people that downloaded this message.)
Al

2589. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <1_li5.11593$ZL5.752369@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83207

I was surprised when I read that the brain dissipates 100 watts of energy.
The small amount of RF from a 600 mW phone is probably not concentrated
in a very small section of the brain. However, I would start worrying if my forehead got hot
while using a transmitter. Or if a candy bar melted in my pocket, which is what led to the invention of the microwave oven.
Al

David Steuber wrote in message ...
>None@dontevntry.oro (Who Did you Expect?) writes:
>
>' OF course this ignores the study done in England where a MD researcher showed
>' that using a cell phone heated the brain enough to improve the circulation
>' in the area exposed to even that small amount of RF.
>
>I missed that one. Perhaps the brain is a bit of an insulator,
>because the head radiates heat at much more than .6watt.
>
>--
>David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
>NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
>The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller

2590. Re: Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <398b5bd7.258309192@news.erols.com>
Source record: 83208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 14:57:56 -0400, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<upplus@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com> wrote:

>Just remember, God was created in man's image...
>to explain the things man couldn't. AND...
>no matter how many names we have given this deity,
>there is only one.

There may be only one, but does this god prefer
a 1/2 wavelength dipole or a 1/4 wavelength vertical?

I could also ask if IT prefers USB or LSB, but I'm sure that
typical christian sentiment would always prefer the USB.

If God created all things, he created radio waves. Does that
make the FCC a religion or a demonic agency???

When SWL'ing, am I,...
A. "Rendering unto Caesar that which is Caesar's"?
B. Meditating on His/Her Divine Gift of EMR?
C. Being decieved by a demon into corrupting His gift?

Andrew

2591. Re: Frequencies - Maxwell AFB Montgomery, Al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: resqr551@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000804205817.15443.00000704@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 83209

Military towers: 126.2 (nationwide)
Can't help with Maxwell Base freq's

LifeSaver Helo from B'ham: 155.355
is their dispatch freq in B'ham.
They usually contact local hospital on the "HEAR" system 155.340

2592. BC245XLT Scanner Backup Utility and Ver 1.04.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <398b700a$0$6819$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 83210

The 245XLT has been released in Australia with a different band plan and the US cell-band un-blocked. The firmware version is 1.04. We have found tha Aaron Rosetto's 245XLT Scaner Backup Utility will not work with these scanners. I have a US version of the 245 with firmware ver 1.17 and it works fine with the software.

Can anyone with a US model and Version 1.04 tell me if they have problems using this software??

Down Load it from here http://members.xoom.com/BC245Backup/

Thanks
Mike

2593. need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill@rtr.com
Message-ID: <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>
Source record: 83211

I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq. could Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/ How about an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com

2594. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398B83B0.28A4BA7C@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 83212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Stephen Jacobs wrote:

> More important is that people realize that the pilots use a radio transmitter
> to talk to the control towers and they don't cause the plane to crash either.

Well sir, that just isn't good enough. Why do you think that avionics must be TSO'd to be used on airplanes? And that goes for all of the transmitters, such as TACAN DME and transponders, not just AM voice comm. It doesn't take much interference to cause accuracy degradation on some VHF navigation equipment. Some of the systems on today's transport aircraft are highly automated and may be susceptible to some interference. No, turning on a cell phone may not cause the plane to fall out the sky, but it represents a risk that the airlines are not willing to impose.

> The ONLY reason cell phones aren't allowed on planes is that your cell phone
> will be able to transmit to cell sites all across the country at the same
> time.

Negative. That statement is the ONLY reason why the FCC bans cell phones per se, not the FAA FARs and operator rules.

> A handheld walkie-talkie on a plane over Texas talked to me on my
> walkie-talkie on the ground in Illinois.

But what kind of plane was it? What electronics was it relying on? Was it operating under IFR?

> No cell phone, cd player, or any
> other consumer device is going to affect or cause any plane to crash or
> terrorists would not have to try and sneak bombs onto planes, they could just
> bring a cell phone on board, and when the plane is off the ground, turn on the
> cell phone and crash the plane.

Your knowledge appears to be quite lacking with respect to transport avionics systemes for a statement which you express so strongly.

> To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.

Where did you find this statistic?

2595. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398B8474.BE3699DE@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 83213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Tim Shoppa wrote:

> Dan Larsen wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> >
> > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> >
> > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.
>
> This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
> of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
> to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
> out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
> protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".
>
> Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
> realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
> refuse to acknowledge it still.

I didn't find any evidence in the referenced material above to support your claim of "never realized" or "refuse to acknowledge." A cell phone is transeiver, and cell phones are not specifically mentioned in the FAA regulation mentioned above. Cell phones ARE specifically mentioned in the FCC regulation which prohibits their use aboard aircraft in the United States.

2596. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398B855A.8825B0C@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 83214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dear Mr. "What the hell are you guys talking about:"

The information you offered is in the subject line of the message. The person you are referring to specifically mentioned the Optoelectronics Model 3300 which most certainly is a frequency counter, not a scanner. But surely you knew that.

"John T." wrote:

> What the hell are you guys talking about???? The guy who made the post is
> using a frequency counter...NOT a scanner!!

> reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:P36i5.12168$FJ4.147935@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > What freq scanner is it?
> > I have an Optoelectronics Model 3300 and I don't like it very much at all.
> > I can stick an antenna right next to it and it will hardly work.
> >
> > --
> > John
> > Webmaster
> > www.FileFlash.com
> >
> > AOL: reebok657
> > ICQ: 13738184
> >
> >
> > "JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
> > >
> > > I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on a
> recent
> > > road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who were
> > talking
> > > on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
> > >
> > > On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of celular
> > > frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit in the
> > > 870-890mhz range
> > >
> > > A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell phone... My
> > > counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle used
> > theirs
> > > and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
> > >

> > > There is a conversion table available....showing hte corresponding tower
> > > frequency for a mobile phone
> > > given you know the mobile or phone transmit frequency.
> > >
> > > A catering company rep came onboard to check on something..... they used
> > > their radio and I got that frequency too.... something in the 466mhz
> > range.
> > >
> > > I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on due to
> the
> > > metal skin of the aircraft....
> > > I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the counter
> > as
> > > the plane was waiting for clearance.
> > >
> > > I have a frequency directory and database..... looked up the clearance
> and
> > > tower frequencies and monitored them while on board.... One of the
> > flight
> > > attendants on the flight is a flight instructor
> > > and instructs at the FBO at the Phoenix airport..... he usually flies
> in
> > > 172's and 210's (Cessna)
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > > -
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

2597. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398B86E3.6902D84C@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 83215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

TW wrote:

> Might be true, but what if the FCC found out you had it, then what? Fine

Persuant to what regulation?

2598. Base station scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: swaves101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000804233110.13589.00001621@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 83216

I have been considering upgrading my base station scanning capability. I wonder what the options may be? I am currently using a Pro 2006 and a BC895XLT. I am looking for keeping the trunking capability, preserving mil air and a storage capability of at least 400 channels.
Regards,
JIm

2599. AR8000 & accessories For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhatch@fwi.com
Message-ID: <5SLi5.6782$D11.9343@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83217

AR8000 radio/2 antennas/original box/owners manual/ac adapter/charger
Optolinx/serial cable/ar8000 interface cable/power supply/owners
manual/original box
Scancat Dos 6.0 Disk/Manual
Scancat Windows SE "Surveillance Edition" Disks/Manual
Magic Utility Disk/Manual
Police Call Freq Guide
Betty Bearcat Freq Guide

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=393376670

Thanks!

2600. Re: Base station scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <o_Li5.58028$fR2.591750@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83218

Jim:

It sounds like you already have the ideal setup for your needs! If it works, don't fix it!

Bill Crocker

"Swaves101" <swaves101@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000804233110.13589.00001621@ng-fs1.aol.com...
> I have been considering upgrading my base station scanning capability. I wonder
> what the options may be? I am currently using a Pro 2006 and a BC895XLT. I am
> looking for keeping the trunking capability, preserving mil air and a storage
> capability of at least 400 channels.
> Regards,
> JIm

2601. Picture of fat Mackey KS0F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: FatMackey <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008050411.AAA25218@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 83219

http://www.parg.org/Images/frdB.gif

2602. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rogers <mmrogers@tcsn.net>
Message-ID: <398B9567.97CF15F1@tcsn.net>
Source record: 83220
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:
>
> The way Part 91 is written, I can operate a cell phone under VFR period,
> or, if operating IFR, I'll be fine if I have "determined" (whatever that
> means) it won't cause harm to the nav/com system. Likewise, under Part
> 121, if you determine that a cell phone is safe to use in flight, it can
> be used.

Under FAR Part 121, the "operator" must determine that it is
safe. "Operator" is defined elsewhere in the regulations, and
it's not the flight crew. It is the airline, and each airline
will publish a list of permitted electronic devices in its Flight
Operations Manual. That's why some airlines allow GPS units
while others don't. No airlines allow cell phones. Because it's
not permitted by the operator, they are prohibited under the
FARs.

> AFAIK, the bottom line is that the FAA can't unilaterally bust somebody
> for cell phone usage unless they've double checked with that operator in
> regards to "approved" electronic devices on that aircraft. I know I'm
> stretching it slightly with the Part 121 references, but I'm sure the FAA
> can't bust me on a Part 91 flight.

I'd be careful with that if you're flying IFR under Part 91.
Personally, I'd be hard pressed to argue to the FAA that I had
determined it was "safe", when no other FAR 121 operators agree
with me. What criteria did you use to make that determination?

--Mark Rogers

2603. Re: Base station scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <iGMi5.19506$k5.201912@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 83221

Instead of getting more scanners, why not look into connecting both your scanners to a computer (Unless you already have). Both of those units are great for this purpose; you'll need the opto board for the 2006 though. With the right software and connections you can get both radios to trunk both motorola and edacs systems, track mil aero and have as many memory channels as your computer/software can handle.

2604. PRO-64 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malamute5@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <398b962b.10559890@news.uslink.net>
Source record: 83222

I just got a Radio Shack PRO-64 400ch scanner, and i was wondering if there are any websites or info out there for MODS on it. email me at malamute5@yahoo.com or reply. THANKS!

2605. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <HdNi5.74777$V34.688399@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83223

I talk about it often, how about yourself?

"Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote
> Hey, John. Are you ever going to talk about radio?

2606. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <TeNi5.74779$V34.688428@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Yes, How pathetic that the only thing he has to do is come here & cause
trouble.

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> He has nothing else to do with his day. How pathetic.
>
> Corkinator wrote:
SNIP

2607. Re: oobladee, ooblada is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <3gNi5.74781$V34.688482@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83225

I have provided proof of many on-topic posts.

"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
SNIP
> You have been challenged for YEARS to
> provide proof of a single on-topic post and you have NEVER been able to.

2608. Re: Data Slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Z8Ni5.6858$D11.9403@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83226

Last time I checked, they were still available from RadioShack.com (formerly TechAmerica.com). Look for the HamComm interface. As for plans, I know they are available at many sites, including the National Weather Service, which uses them to decode EMWIN signals (Emergency Managers Weather Information Network).
This is the NWS link: http://iwin.nws.noaa.gov/emwin/index.htm

Mark K5MAR

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:g43nossqrrrgh4k4ggcorsf65tqmmhmfjj@4ax.com...
> RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Where can i get a data slicer (buy one)??
>
> I'm not sure where you can buy one, but they're VERY easy to make,
> parts would cost you about $10, and there are lots of places on the
> net where you can find plans. Simply slicer uses 1 IC and 3-4 other
> parts.
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

2609. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000805011752.27750.00000985@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 83227

>There is currently NO LAW making it illegal to modify a scanner.
>
>There IS a law making it illegal to manufacture a scanner that is
>'easily modifiable' for the U.S. market.
>

Why are you going to modify a scanner to pick up frequencies that you are not allowed to monitor?! And I'd beg to differ - can someone please pull up the law and post the part about this. I am sure of the exact law number and don't really feel like doing all the research.. I'm sure someone's looked into this in the past.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2610. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <lvNi5.74793$V34.688582@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Since he is the owner & trustee, he would be the one to inform &
initiate any necessary actions. If there was substance to your statements,
you would have done so long ago.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote >
> Why bother Jon? What difference would it
> have made? You still are a repeater jamming and burning up fool. Telling
the
> owner would do nothing, but I will mention it to him if that makes you
feel

2611. Re: Picture NOT of ackey KS0F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <nwNi5.74795$V34.688745@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83229

Pretty funny, that is NOT me!! It's Eric KK7UE!

> http://www.parg.org/Images/frdB.gif

2612. BC147XLT Newbie seeking help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Janet Dornhoff, DVM" <dornhoff@prairienet.org>
Message-ID: <398BA831.BA514BA0@prairienet.org>
Source record: 83230

I have been wanting to get a scanner for some time, but school and jobhunting kept getting in the way. Last weekend, at a garage sale, I found an old scanner for practically nothing, and decided why not?

I'm sure that it's hopelessly out of date and many of you wouldn't consider it worth the bother, but it's what I've got, and I would really appreciate any help you guys can offer. It didn't come with a manual or anything. (I'm lucky it came with a dinky antenna and something to plug it in with ;-)

It's a Uniden Bearcat 147XLT. There are six buttons, labeled "Scan," "Manual," "Review," "Lockout," "Priority," and "Weather," plus a numeric keypad with a decimal and an E. There are two knobs, Volume and Squelch.

Does anyone out there have an old manual they can copy for me? Or is anyone willing to talk me through the use of this thing? I plan on fiddling with it and figuring out what I can, but I'm sure I'll miss *something* good that way.

And are there any mods for this model? I'm positive the warranty isn't an issue here. <eg>

I live in Chicagoland, pretty close to Pauwaukee airport, so I'm sure there's something out there to listen to. Probably quite a lot. Any good frequency lists for the northern 'burbs you can point me to?

Thanks in advance for any help anyone can give me! And if I can't get the darn thing to work, at least I'm not out much, and I still have the option of getting a *real* scanner some day, right? ;-)

-Janet <dornhoff@prairienet.org>
"The first rule of intelligent tinkering is to save all the pieces." -A Leopold

2613. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3ya2cs100.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83231

"Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> writes:

' I was surprised when I read that the brain dissipates 100 watts of energy.
' The small amount of RF from a 600 mW phone is probably not concentrated
' in a very small section of the brain. However, I would start worrying if my forehead got hot
' while using a transmitter. Or if a candy bar melted in my pocket, which is what led to
' the invention of the microwave oven.

My skin temperature varies with all sorts of things. Including
whether I just ate. And of course the warmer the skin is, the faster
you loose body heat.

Microwave ovens use radio waves tuned to the harmonic frequency of
water. Other molecules have harmonic frequencies in that range, so
the oven also works on fats (which usually also contain water) and
sugars.

This is not to say that standing near an antena can't warm you up. I
know of one incident where a radar technician got a quick cook by
getting in front of a ground based airport radar antenna. He came out
medium rare in one sweep. It is really a question of how quickly you
absorb the energy (power) vs how quickly you conduct/radiate it away.
It doesn't take much energy to injure you. But to cause chromosome
damage that does not kill the cell so that the damage can then create
a mutan cell is requires a combination of ionizing radiation and bad
luck. This is where exposure to risk comes in. The longer you are
exposed to ionizing radiation, the larger your chances are of
contracting some form of cancer.

Interestingly enough, some cancers are cured by cooking them with
ionizing radiation that is focused on the particular growth in
question. When you blast apart the DNA, you usually kill the cell.

Now if you want to wrap yourself in aluminum foil, that is your
choice. But consider this. Some parts of your body need to be cooler
than core temperature. There is a sack of such structures located on
most males. Heating those suckers up can reduce motility. To foil,
or not to foil. That is the question ;-).

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2614. Re: Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-B6392B.02021605082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 83232

In article <UAui5.104077$1h3.1584821@news20.bellglobal.com>,
"NoFixxxer" <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com> wrote:

> Can anyone give me the Frequency for "Stone Rd. Mall" In Guelph? Used to
> have it a long time ago, but have lost it.

I tried using the search term "stone" in the southwestern Ontario file
of the TAFL search tool (http://neon.polkaroo.net/~darkwing/tafl) and
came up with a few hits. Might find what you're looking for there.

-A

2615. DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: slomo <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008050625.CAA08374@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 83233

DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!
THE WICKED WITCH IS DEAD!!

2616. Re: San Antonio, TX - Req Trunked Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <rEOi5.64358$oj6.561456@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

San Antonio: Type I, Fleet map:000 S-13, 6000 S-12, 400 S-12

Ed <jajaja@attatt.net> wrote in message
news:9pHi5.11519$ZL5.744451@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Can anyone point me toward a site that has up-to-date San Antonio, TX
> trunked frequencies? I believe they are on a Type I system, but I am not
> sure of the Fleet Map info.
>
> Thank you very much.
>
> Ed
>
>

2617. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83235

I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding anyone. Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your religion. I realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it was quite obvious in your postings.....

>God loves those people just as much.....

>"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life, through Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.

>I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
>God.Because I know that God loves me.....

>we are all created equal under God's eyes.....

>Until then, I'll pray
>for you. God Bless.

Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more are than most people think)

You commented .....
>It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> fault in Christians.

That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You get upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he is) You even recite scripture.....
Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to hear him say it.

WWJD?

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not perfect. I
> probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off when
> people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used words
> like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't scolding
> people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use words like
> f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful

night
> here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap (IOW -
> all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
>
> Adam Kolari
> Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > Words of a religious man???
> >
> > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put people
> > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in person, I'd
> > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like you.
> >
> > AMEN!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2618. Re: freqs from data channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <NKOi5.64362$oj6.561554@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Harris County: Type II,
Downtown Antenna Site Freqs.:
856.2375
857.2375
858.2375
859.2125
859.2375
860.2125
860.2375
860.4625
867.9125
867.9375
Tomball Site:
866.5625
867.5375
867.9875
866.0750
868.9500
Huffman Site:
866.9375
868.5875
868.0375
866.425
868.975
Clodine Site:
866.4875
867.4625
867.9625
866.0500
868.925
Alvin Site:
866.0375
866.4625
867.0625
867.6625
866.0875
868.0875
For More info, go to http://www.dnaco.net/~norms/fleettx.htm
Here you will find lots, lots of info for Texas.

------------------------------------------------------------------
RS <stratfrd@blkbox.com> wrote in message
news:EB1D5302ECDB714C.63834289D6733281.70F8572C9C1A1756@lp.airnews.net...
```

> Can anybody tell me how to decode the data channel to get the freqs
> being used here in the houston. (Harris country trunk)
>
> Thanks

2619. (Corky Watch) Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <b_Oi5.2108$x31.359815@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 83237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F AKA Corky spewed.....
> Since he is the owner & trustee, he would be the one to inform &
> initiate any necessary actions.

There were no actions to iniate Corky-John, all the people ignored you and you went away. They called me, I got on the repeater and we laughed our asses off as some of the guys told me what happened.

> If there was substance to your statements,
> you would have done so long ago.

Again, why would we bother Jon over a simple fools action like yours. Come up here and do it a few more times and we might take issue, but more than likely everyone will laugh again. You hold a special recognition in this hobby as a fool and a liar John-Corky Mackey.

Flop goes your straw man again John-Corky. Posted and verified AGAIN, you are a liar and can never face up to the truth.

Guess its time to have Richard ad this onto the LONG list of John-Corky Mackey's lie list. How many verified lies does he have you pegged dead to rights? 36? This will make 37 Corky.

Lies go on! Corky-John!

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote >
> > Why bother Jon? What difference would it
> > have made? You still are a repeater jamming and burning up fool. Telling
> the
> > owner would do nothing, but I will mention it to him if that makes you
> feel
>
>

2620. Re: AR8000 & accessories For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <dePi5.64367$oj6.561876@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 83238

Ebay is for auctioning not selling.

---

dave <dhatch@fwi.com> wrote in message
news:5SLi5.6782$D11.9343@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> AR8000 radio/2 antennas/original box/owners manual/ac adapter/charger
> Optolinx/serial cable/ar8000 interface cable/power supply/owners
> manual/original box
> Scancat Dos 6.0 Disk/Manual
> Scancat Windows SE "Surveillance Edition" Disks/Manual
> Magic Utility Disk/Manual
> Police Call Freq Guide
> Betty Bearcat Freq Guide
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=393376670
>
> Thanks!
>

2621. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ypPi5.4808$OG2.52988@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 83239

"Andy in RI" <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20000805011752.27750.00000985@ng-cv1.aol.com...
> >There is currently NO LAW making it illegal to modify a scanner.
> >
> >There IS a law making it illegal to manufacture a scanner that is
> >'easily modifiable' for the U.S. market.
> >
>
> Why are you going to modify a scanner to pick up frequencies that you are
not
> allowed to monitor?! And I'd beg to differ - can someone please pull up
the law
> and post the part about this. I am sure of the exact law number and don't
> really feel like doing all the research.. I'm sure someone's looked into
this
> in the past.

The FCC simply 'interprets' manufacture to include ANY modification of a
scanner to receive 'banned' frequencies.

Scanner operate under Part 15 of the FCC Regulations (because of the local
oscillator) In Part 15, we find:

Sec. 15.9 Prohibition against eavesdropping.

Except for the operations of law enforcement officers conducted
under lawful authority, no person shall use, either directly or
indirectly, a device operated pursuant to the provisions of this part
for the purpose of overhearing or recording the private conversations of
others unless such use is authorized by all of the parties engaging in
the conversation.

And, the kicker:

Sec. 15.121 Scanning receivers and frequency converters used with scanning
receivers.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning
receivers and frequency converters designed or marketed for use with
scanning receivers, shall:
(1) Be incapable of operating (tuning), or readily being altered by
the user to operate, within the frequency bands allocated to the
Cellular Radiotelephone Service in part 22 of this chapter (cellular
telephone bands). Scanning receivers capable of ``readily being altered
by the user'' include, but are not limited to, those for which the
ability to receive transmissions in the cellular telephone bands can be

added by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer. Scanning receivers, and frequency converters designed for use with scanning receivers, also shall be incapable of converting digital cellular communication transmissions to analog voice audio.
(2) Be designed so that the tuning, control and filtering circuitry is inaccessible. The design must be such that any attempts to modify the equipment to receive transmissions from the Cellular Radiotelephone Service likely will render the receiver inoperable.
(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning receivers shall reject any signals from the Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands that are 38 dB or higher based upon a 12 dB SINAD measurement, which is considered the threshold where a signal can be clearly discerned from any interference that may be present.
(c) Scanning receivers and frequency converters designed or marketed for use with scanning receivers, are not subject to the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section provided that they are manufactured exclusively for, and marketed exclusively to, entities described in 18 U.S.C. 2512(2), or are marketed exclusively as test equipment pursuant to Sec. 15.3(dd).
(d) Modification of a scanning receiver to receive transmissions
<---
from Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands will be considered
<---
to constitute manufacture of such equipment. This includes any
<---
individual, individuals, entity or organization that modifies one or
<---
more scanners. Any modification to a scanning receiver to receive
<---
transmissions from the Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands
<---
voids the certification of the scanning receiver, regardless of the date
<---
of manufacture of the original unit. In addition, the provisions of
<---
Sec. 15.23 shall not be interpreted as permitting modification of a
<---
scanning receiver to receiver Cellular Radiotelephone Service
<---
transmissions.
(e) Scanning receivers and frequency converters designed for use with scanning receivers shall not be assembled from kits or marketed in kit form unless they comply with the requirements in paragraph (a) through (c) of this section.
(f)(1) Scanning receivers shall have a label permanently affixed to the product, and this label shall be readily visible to the purchaser at the time of purchase. The label shall read as follows:

WARNING: MODIFICATION OF THIS DEVICE TO RECEIVE CELLULAR RADIOTELEPHONE SERVICE SIGNALS IS PROHIBITED UNDER FCC RULES AND FEDERAL LAW.
(2) ``Permanently affixed'' means that the label is etched, engraved, stamped, silkscreened, indelibly printed or otherwise permanently marked on a permanently attached part of the equipment or on a nameplate of metal plastic or other material fastened to the equipment by welding, riveting, or permanent adhesive. The label shall be designed to last the expected lifetime of the equipment in the environment in which the equipment may be operated and must not be readily detachable. The label shall not be a stick-on, paper label.

2622. Re: Is there a God? Really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <398BC624.84B255CF@-NOSPAM-hotmail.com>
Source record: 83240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> There may be only one, but does this god prefer
> a 1/2 wavelength dipole or a 1/4 wavelength vertical?

It wants a Mastodon 5000.

> I could also ask if IT prefers USB or LSB, but I'm sure that
> typical christian sentiment would always prefer the USB.

FM is the preferred mode.

--
Unit SIX-NINE Chesapeake Bay
Channel 28 AM / 36 LSB

2623. LAFD is definitely scanner-friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mggp8cpt1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 83241
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.scanner

L.A. City Fire Department is certainly way ahead of most other agencies I'm aware of in actually INVITING citizens to monitor them and even to participate in Communications-related activities.

A coupleof their pages to check out:
http://www.lafd.org/audio.htm "Live Streaming Audio" (plans).
Included is info on their digitized voice dispatching system, with the highlighted line: "Our change to the digitized human voice for alerting our Fire Stations has no effect whatsoever on your ability to monitor LAFD tactical channels on a scanner radio." and "The LAFD operates a fully repeated radio system. All of our routine tactical voice communications can be monitored almost anywhere in Southern California. All that is required is a readily available 800 MHz scanner radio. We encourage those living in or visiting Los Angeles to network with local monitoring enthusiasts < http://www.socalscanner.com/ > for more information. To make things easier, we are happy to provide a complete list of LAFD Voice Radio Frequencies < http://www.lafd.org/freqncy.htm > to program into your scanner radio.

And their Amateur Radio "Auxiliary Communications System" page at http://www.lafd.org/acs.htm which says, "Those who are in Los Angeles and would like to participate or monitor, the LAFD ACS Radio Net operates each Monday night at 7:30 PM local time. Those interested can check in on or listen to:

147.30 MHz (+) PL-110
-or-
224.68 MHz (-) PL-114"

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~radios, trains, cop stuff, etc

2624. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3vgxgs0jy.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com> writes:

' The Prince of Darkness has a name. It isn't Satan, it's Lucas.....

I discovered that as a young lad at a car dealership. At the time,
the Sterling was available (Made side by side with the Honda/Acura
Legend) at the dealer I worked for. Many of the cars had electrical
problems. The most amusing one was when I used the remote to lock one
car and it started going nuts. The locks started actuating very
quickly, along with the lights and $DIETY knows what else. Smoke was
comming out of the dash before I could pop the hood and disconnect the
battery.

As for the Concorde... It is believed that it ran over a cellular
phone which then blew out a tire and ruptured a fuel tank. The FOD
took out the two starboard engines. Considering the 246mph take off
speed vs the 189mph landing speed, I would say that plane hit stall
speed within about five seconds of the loss of those two engines.
Nothing for the pilot to do but watch the ground come up to meet him.

It really sucks when you think about it.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2625. Re: Giant Page of Radio Links - Update!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: frits <f.wijnja@home.nl>
Message-ID: <P%Pi5.13859$dr.108324@news1.zwoll1.ov.nl.home.com>
Source record: 83243

```
very nice site
frits
"Walker" <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid> schreef in bericht
news:0e6c30b9.41b127fa@usw-ex0101-008.remarq.com...
> Hi All,
>
> The Giant Page O'Shortwave Links (covering all areas of radio
> with a focus on shortwave) was updated today with new links.
> Sorry for the delay, it has been awhile. Also, a new feature
> has been added - Seattle Area Scanner Frequencies, and the page
> has been added to a shortwave webring. More special features
> are planned soon to make this MUCH MORE than just a link page.
> When I get the time there will be some MAJOR additions, making
> this one of the more unique shortwave pages on the Web!
>
> To check out this page, please go here:
>
> http://swlinks.webalias.com
>
> AOL Users: <A HREF="http://swlinks.webalias.com">click here!</A>
>
> Thanks for visiting.
>
> ----
> Look past the ego, and you will see,
> what dwells within is God, not "me."
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>
```

2626. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mgif6dkl1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 83244

The Uniden BC3000 (and its predecessor the 2500), as well as the BC9000 will all receive that frequency...they're continuous from 25 MHz to 549 MHz...plus 800-1299 MHz

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~radios, trains, cop stuff, etc

"bill" <bill@rtr.com> wrote in message
news:398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com...
> I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq.
> could Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/
> How about an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com

2627. Re: BC147XLT Newbie seeking help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mgjp1$e01$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 83245

There's some basic info...and "how to" get a manual, at
http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC147XLT-1

Basically, you press [MANUAL] [1] [MANUAL]; then punch in the freq you
want, like 154.43, then press [E] (for "enter").

Then press [MANUAL] again, gets you to channel 2, and enter another
frequency...

Once you get some in, hit the [SCAN] button to scan. The [SQUELCH] knob is,
basically, sensitivity. On a quiet channel, turn it til it gets noisy (a
static "hiss" sound), then back it off till it's quiet.

It ought to be good enough to get you started...though I don't believe the
147 gets the aircraft frequencies specifically. Do you have frequencies for
your area? If not, what city/county are you in...what do you want to listen
to?
--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~radios, trains, cop stuff, etc

"Janet Dornhoff, DVM" <dornhoff@prairienet.org> wrote in message
news:398BA831.BA514BA0@prairienet.org...
> I have been wanting to get a scanner for some time, but school and
> jobhunting kept getting in the way. Last weekend, at a garage sale,
> I found an old scanner for practically nothing, and decided why not?
>
> I'm sure that it's hopelessly out of date and many of you wouldn't
> consider it worth the bother, but it's what I've got, and I would
> really appreciate any help you guys can offer. It didn't come with
> a manual or anything. (I'm lucky it came with a dinky antenna and
> something to plug it in with ;-)
>
> It's a Uniden Bearcat 147XLT. There are six buttons, labeled "Scan,"
> "Manual," "Review," "Lockout," "Priority," and "Weather," plus a
> numeric keypad with a decimal and an E. There are two knobs, Volume
> and Squelch.
>
> Does anyone out there have an old manual they can copy for me? Or is
> anyone willing to talk me through the use of this thing? I plan on
> fiddling with it and figuring out what I can, but I'm sure I'll miss
> *something* good that way.
>
> And are there any mods for this model? I'm positive the warranty
> isn't an issue here. <eg>
>

> I live in Chicagoland, pretty close to Pauwaukee airport, so I'm sure
> there's something out there to listen to. Probably quite a lot. Any
> good frequency lists for the northern 'burbs you can point me to?
>
> Thanks in advance for any help anyone can give me! And if I can't get
> the darn thing to work, at least I'm not out much, and I still have the
> option of getting a *real* scanner some day, right? ;-)
>
>
> -Janet <dornhoff@prairienet.org>
> "The first rule of intelligent tinkering is to save all the pieces." -A
> Leopold

2628. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mh0k4$1klg$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83246

Many scanners have a gap in coverage, cotting off at 174 and picking up
again at either 380 or 406. You apparently have one of these. There are
several scanners that do not have a gap in this coverage.
The ones that I'm most familiar with are all discontinued models now.
Perhaps someone else can reply with more current models, or perhaps you may
find one of these on the used market. Uniden NC 2500xlt - 3000 xlt.
Realistic Pro 26 (and I think the pro-43,
pro 2004-2005-2006-2035-2042, AOR 8000 series, AOR 5000.
As for modifrying your existing scanner - I'm not an engineer, neither
do I know what scanner you are currently using - but generally speaking I
think modifying a scanner for extended vhf coverage is in most cases -
impossible; and in all other cases - impractical.

bill wrote in message <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>...
>I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
>police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq.
>could Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/
>How about an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com

2629. Re: Detroit, Michigan Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Fredric J. Einstein" <feinstei@mw.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <89csosk49178a23nepj38lsbaknctv291d@4ax.com>
Source record: 82947

On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 21:47:57 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>When monitoring the City of Detroit, from Warren, Michigan, I normally scan
>their assigned frequencies in the 453MHz band. This seems to be very
>active, and cover most of the riff-raff. How active are Detroit's frequency
>assignments in the 850MHz trunked band? I've never taken the time to key it
>in, and I'm wondering if I'm missing anything?
>
>

The trunked Detroit system, since it is basically a technical failure
in terms of coverage and reliability, is used only for utility
services communication, not police or fire (the "People Mover" police
does use the trunked system, but it's not very active there). The
only "excitement" to be found in the trunked region is around
Halloween-time when the Detroit utility portable radios are given to
the citizen patrols for prevention of "Devil's Night" fires. Then,
the trunked system is quite interesting. However, the regular
activity on the trunked system is pretty boring.

Fred in Oak Park, MI

2630. Seattle-Area Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <12b9e2a6.e8296ab0@usw-ex0103-019.remarq.com>
Source record: 82948

Hi Everyone,

To get to my page of Seattle-Area Scanner Frequencies, please
access the Giant Page O'Radio Links, here:

http://swlinks.webalias.com

Includes cell phone frequencies and 800 MHz trunked freqs.

The link is located right above the main LINKS section. Please
do not bookmark the page separately, or you may find it gone
some time in the future. SWLINKS.WEBALIAS.COM is accessed
through a redirector and will ALWAYS be there.

Happy Listening,

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2631. Re: RS power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0635a3b7.eabd2f9c@usw-ex0103-019.remarq.com>
Source record: 82949

It is amazingly hard to find an ac adapter without at least SOME hum. The regulated ones do not hum but usually put out their own funny noises on RF.

One partial solution is to get a set of RF chokes (Ferrite), available at radio shack (they look like rectangular plastic things with pieces of ferrite in the middle), and wrap the wire coming out of the adapter around one or two of the chokes at the point it enters the radio, as per instructions. Cuts down some on hum.

But the sad fact is, I run most of my radio equipment on BATTERIES, for absolute lowest noise and least problems. And that GRE super amp goes thru 9V batteries like they're going out of style. I bought a 9v NiMH battery to run the thing.

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2632. Re: LAFD is definitely scanner-friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mld6d$t79$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 82950
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.scanner

It's hard to judge the differences between the U.S. and South Africa, since I know virtually nothing about your country's history, especially in the political realm. In a nutshell, the U.S. was created by mostly British colonists, many of whom had fled England for religious or other freedom. So from the beginning I guess we've had a strong, inbred leaning toward individual freedom, even despite the occasional problems when freedom is confused for "license" to do ANYTHING: anarchy.

One frequent contributor to some of the radio email groups has a reference in his "signature line" to a statement made by U.S. Supreme Court Justice Potter Stewart, ""Censorship reflects society's lack of confidence in itself. It is a hallmark of an authoritative regime."

So, at least until now anyway, we've managed to hang on to most of our rights to listen to our scanners. It's now illegal to monitor cellular or cordless phone calls, though, as well as pagers, and a few other esoteric types of transmissions. Hopefully the other fellow is wrong, and we won't lose all listening rights.

I suppose there are a number of reasons offered for prohibiting scanner listening, but I wonder if many times the real reason is to keep government's activities secret, lest shortcomings, mistakes, corruption, etc become public knowledge. I can understand the "need" to keep certain police activities secret, such as surveillance of truly dangerous persons and activities, and generally when officer or victims' safety is likely an issue. Two such circumstances might be imminent raids of potentially dangerous locations, and communications regarding a hostage or kidnapping incident.

Also, some people assert that police radio calls are often filled with information about totally innocent people, and may be misinterpreted or may place these people in some danger. I can think of domestic violence situations, where the officer may be directed to the victim's location...a location where she (or he) is hiding from the suspect. I'm sure there are other similar examples, where personal safety or privacy concerns might arise.

However, having been a scanner listener since the 1950's (before they even "scanned"), and also a police and fire dispatcher, I know for a fact that the overwhelming majority of police radio traffic doesn't fall at all under those scenarios. They're about traffic collisions and violations, robberies that have already occurred, non-violent civil disputes, "cold" crimes of various types...stuff that is neither "sensitive" nor even very interesting to most people.

Fire and EMS radio frequencies would seem equally innocuous in most all circumstances. Criminal activity or privacy issues are seldom involved in these broadcasts.

I have seen no statistics about it, but I suspect that, as much as we American scanner listeners like to complain about the government threatening our hobby, our country is probably among the least restrictive in this regard. I would be curious if anyone has compiled some sort of information about scanning laws worldwide. Even within the U.S., by the way, scanner laws vary quite a bit from state to state. I refer you to http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/rules.shtml and http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/ as examples of how nit-picky we sometimes become!

Finally, and I'm too tired to re-write the last paragraph, I just came across a site that's intending to list scanning laws for countries around the world...perhaps you could advise them of South Africa's laws; it's at http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/laws.htm

Whew!

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~radios, trains, cop stuff, etc

"Stefan Viljoen" <rylan@intekom.co.za> wrote in message
news:8mji2ufvh2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>
> Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
> news:8mggp8cpt1@news.snowcrest.net...
> > L.A. City Fire Department is certainly way ahead of most other agencies
> I'm
> > aware of in actually INVITING citizens to monitor them and even to
> > participate in Communications-related activities.
> >
>
> This is amazing - scanning is actually illegal in South Africa, and you
can
> get a jail term for doing it...
>
> Why do you think it is not the same in the US? I cannot see a problem with
> it - especially since on our channel we use a set of radio codes that
nobody
> who is not trained will not understand anyway?
>
> I have never been able to see the use of such a law preventing it...
>
> Stefan Viljoen
> F/EMS Dispatcher
> Potchefstroom Emergency Services
> South Africa
> --
> Starwars Forever!!!

>
> mailto:Stefan_Viljoen@excite.com
> http://home.intekom.com/rylan/
> L.A. City Fire Department is certainly way ahead of most other agencies I'm aware of in actually INVITING citizens to monitor them and even to participate in Communications-related activities.

A coupleof their pages to check out:
http://www.lafd.org/audio.htm "Live Streaming Audio" (plans).
Included is info on their digitized voice dispatching system, with the highlighted line: "Our change to the digitized human voice for alerting our Fire Stations has no effect whatsoever on your ability to monitor LAFD tactical channels on a scanner radio." and "The LAFD operates a fully repeated radio system. All of our routine tactical voice communications can be monitored almost anywhere in Southern California. All that is required is a readily available 800 MHz scanner radio. We encourage those living in or visiting Los Angeles to network with local monitoring enthusiasts < http://www.socalscanner.com/ > for more information. To make things easier, we are happy to provide a complete list of LAFD (800 Mhz NON-trunked) Voice Radio Frequencies < http://www.lafd.org/freqncy.htm > to program into your scanner radio."

And their Amateur Radio "Auxiliary Communications System" page at http://www.lafd.org/acs.htm which says, "Those who are in Los Angeles and would like to participate or monitor, the LAFD ACS Radio Net operates each Monday night at 7:30 PM local time. Those interested can check in on or listen to:

147.30 MHz (+) PL-110
-or-
224.68 MHz (-) PL-114"

>

2633. (John-Corky Mackey alert) Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <kqrj5.1026$fc2.321981@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

KS0F whistled between his teeth......
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP

What did Corky-John snip out this time?
------- restored text corky tried to hide-------------
>> SNIP
>(He alweays does this. Usual he is hiding his employment or some other of
>his many lies)

Oh yea, you hate it when I say that. The truth hurts John-Corky can't deny
it so he snips away. Can take the heat little Corky, can you nurses aide?

> > Do you notice there are
> > always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
> rarely
> > does anyone ever defend you.
> Glenn

Wow Corky-John. You left out a whole lot more. Considering you still hold
the r.r.s scanner title for most off topic (389) it can't be a bandwidth
concern. Well let's take a look at what you left out.

-----------------what Corky-John was
hiding---------------------------------

> >.....I would try and focus on the present, and try to re-build all the
> > bridges that YOU burned behind you (regarding your fellow hobbists)
> SNIP
>
> I haven't burned any bridges.

Only because you have none to burn John-Corky. Do you notice there are
always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet rarely
does anyone ever defend you. Oh I know there are a few, maybe even 1 or 2
you didn't make up that will on ocassion make a remark remotely in support
of you but hell, Jeffery Dahmer and Ted Bundy had a few supporters too.

Don't take my word for it John-Corky Mackey, hold a straw poll on the NG and
find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you.

Says it all and you couldn't defend yourself against any of it. The truth
hurts yet rather than shut up and go away you snip and pretend like it never

happened. You are at best a 11 year old in denial, acting out for attention. Duh! You actually repeat my last line later as if it was your idea. What a bloody fool!

> Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or 30.

Wrong, there are at least 20 that have asked you to leave for over 4 years. Take a Poll Corky John-Bowl. You can't. HAHAHAHAHAHA!

> The number of people who dislike you is far higher.

I really doubt that, but it wasn't the issue. I have never debated it one way or another, it is John-Corky Mackey we are talking about. It is you, John-Corky Mackey that denies people hate him, most people on here. Take a Poll John-Bowl, prove it or be shown a liar.

> Don't take my word for it Glenn, hold a straw poll on usenet and
> find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you.

As we all can see John-Corky, you have to steal my ideas too! Did you think nobody would notice? What a putz. Take a poll John-Bowl, go for it!

> People won't
> forget your
> antics, such as the trouble you have made on *.radio.scanner & on
> rec.radio.swap.

Only in your mind Toilet-Corky. You are the only person to mention this, 6 years running. Just think Corky, you have been a jackass for more than 6 years on the newsgroups. The ONLY thing you are known for. Jackass JohnBowl-Corky. Wow. No scanner knowledge, smokes repeater, bed wetting, problems identifying positive and negative when installing equipment, mental health aide, bed pan changer and a drunk. That is your legacy John-Bowl. That is what most think of when they hear your name. Impressive. Hold your poll fool.

2634. Re: New Encryption Method on 165.790MHz (LAX , CA area)???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <398CD751.50223475@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82952

That might be an Air Force repeater located on P.V.
What kind of traffic does it sound like? Cops, business, taxis? I heard
a limo service once that was doing the same thing....they were "Limos
for the Stars!"
73, Jim

2635. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <YrZj5.4687$H14.1487@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82953

Oh ya, did I ever spout off! When I said "Put me down for -100. I admire Corky for simply having to put up with
insecure children like you", I must have been out of my mind with rage. As for my head size, it is 7 1/4 inches. I have an idea (abstract as it may be) - let's drop all of these childish threads, and let's talk about scanning! God Bless and happy scanning!

Adam Kolari

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
news:398C57CD.E6DF9DCC@lp.com...
> I pray you pull your fat head out of your ass someday.
> duh... everyone here has to put up with corky.
> You really should take the time to get informed of whats
> going on before you spout off dummy.
>
>
>

2636. FS or FT Motorola GP68 VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim <radiosOhio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Cahj5.8318$IX6.59947@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 82997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Motorola GP68 VHF 20 channel for sale or trade. This unit is in MINT condition and will be shipped in original box. Includes: rapid charger, ant. and batt.
I would be interested in trade for GP68 UHF or other UHF handheld. I will also entertain cash offers. Please reply to tim@tabol.com

Thanks
Tim

--

2637. Re: Fat Toilet Boy Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398DA001.43A7DAEE@lp.com>
Source record: 82998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

You couldn't be more incorrect. Fat Ugly Corky Mackey
with the big ugly gap between his front teeth is the
biggest horses ass of all time.
Mackey the cork is everyones kicking bag of shit.
I absolutely know he isn't enjoying this at all. One
of his parg buddies is giving us some interesting
inside information. He really hates having to answer a
ll these postings about himself.

JHiggins wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes
> In article <398B7978.A787374E@primenet.com>, n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
>
> > KS0F wrote:
> > >
> > > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > > SNIP
>
> Good Lord. I'll tell you what you proved. You've proven that you're a
> bigger horses ass than Mackey. You have been utterly obsessed with this
> guy for too long. What are you trying to get done here? Get Mackey to
> admit you're right, and admit to being a liar? What do you think the
> chances of him doing that are? Nil, right? Yet you persist. And persist.
> And the funniest thing is, he's sitting back and laughing his ass off
> because he controls you! You are his puppet, and he knows it and the
> whole group can see it. Why can't you, Richard?

2638. What is a Parg meeting like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398DA03C.207B7D17@lp.com>
Source record: 82999

.

2639. Re: Bill Cheek (was Re: FS: Pro-43...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398DA0BE.2B45CCCF@lp.com>
Source record: 83000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes he was a good guy.

Joe wrote:

> Mygosh ! I just did a search this a.m. after posting this ad and heard the
> sad news.
> I haven't been on the scanner scene for a few years and didn't know much
> about news and such.
>
> My conndolences to the family of Bill and everyone in the scanner groups.
>
> He certainly helped me and I appreciate all the writings he took time to
> pass to the scanner groups.
>
> Joe
>
> Joe wrote:
>
> > Hi, I have a pro-43, (sn007177) model no: 20-300. It is an older one and
> > was modified for full 800. The chip was moved to another loc to enable
> > access to other range, but I can't remember what range (aircraft ?). It
> > was one of the cheek mods. The other mod on this scanner was the hb-232
> > interface. I don't think I have the hb-232 card/box any more, but it has
> > been wired and tested as I remember blowing 200 channels into it in less
> > than 4 minutes ! (that saved a lot of time with those tiny pads !)
> > Is "Commtronics" (Bill Cheek) still in biz ?
> > It is in great condition, but the belt clip (plastic) has a crack. I was
> > going to replace it with one of the metal ones, but never got around to
> > it.
> > Anyway, I have lots of extras too:
> >
> > (1) Radio shack discone
> > (2) car mount antennaes
> > (1) grove pre-4 amp module and wire antennae
> > (1) original Radio shack Pro-43 service manual
> > (2) copies Radio shack Pro-2006 service manuals
> > (1) original Radio shack Pro-2004 service manual
> > (1) original Radio shack Pro-2005 service manual
> > (1) original Radio shack Pro-2010 service manual
> >
> > If you'll give me a few days, I know I'll be able to dig out all the
> > Cheek modification manuals and approx 30 scanner mags from about 5 years
> > ago (monitoring times, pop comm,..)
> > I think I have a bunch of scanner books for Massachusetts to throw in

> > also
> >
> > I really don't know what all of it is worth, but if someone wants to
> > make a reasonable offer, I'll weigh all offers as I'm moving and need
> > some cash to boot. If not, I guess ebay will have to help out :)
> >
> > I also have a box of electronics (resistors, capacitors, chips,
> > breadboards,... you get the idea). Has to be around $500 in retail, but
> > again, I'm in a negotiating position on that. I'll try to inventory it
> > and mail anyone who is interested.
> >
> > Please be patient in my response as I have finals these next two weeks
> > and we're packing !
> >
> > Thanks folks,
> >
> > regards,
> > Joe

2640. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mk77v$6is28$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83001

> Does anyone know where online can I purchase Kneitel's book <top Secret
> Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies>? Barry

Check here: CRB Research.

http://www.crbbooks.com/

Roland

2641. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <TEhj5.77683$V34.718092@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Do you notice there are
> always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
rarely
> does anyone ever defend you.
Glenn

Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or 30.

The number of people who dislike you is far higher.

Don't take my word for it Glenn, hold a straw poll on usenet and
find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you. People won't
forget your
antics, such as the trouble you have made on *.radio.scanner & on
rec.radio.swap.

2642. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000) - they just can't handle it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <_Fhj5.77694$V34.718070@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> It's amazing how you, convienently, snip all the relevant
> conversation out of your reply. It's your life story.

No, I simply cut out all the bullshit. In YOUR posts that doesn't leave
much else.

2643. Re: jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <zIhj5.77720$V34.718155@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 83004

Well said, Adam, very well said.

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not perfect.
I
> probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me off when
> people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have used
words
> like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
scolding
> people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use words
like
> f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their way to
find
> fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a beautiful
night
> here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my time
> defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap (IOW -
> all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.

2644. Mods for scanners and use of recording devices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <aa@alcohol.com>
Message-ID: <YJhj5.110083$1h3.1707755@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 83005

I was hoping that someone might have mods for my Pro2037, detailed instructions would be useful.

Also along the lines of use of op-amps to regulate voltage while connecting a recorder directly to the scanner with VOX.(if anyone can show just how to hook it up, i'ld be grateful)

Hopefully someone might have this kind of information for me?
Thanks in advance.

2645. Re: Federal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mkb78$268o$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83006

Try
www.crbbooks.com or www.universal-radio.com

Crb's order number is T-8, price is 22.95 + shipping
Universal's order number is 2192, price is 22.95 + shipping

Mr MxyztpIk 13 wrote in message
<20000806114041.08734.00001133@ng-bk1.aol.com>...
>Does anyone know where online I can order a copy of Kneitel's Registry of
US
>Government Frequencies? Barry

2646. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: buryme2007NOSPAM@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <398db133.8577933@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 83007

On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 17:22:23 GMT, kal4cu@my-deja.com wrote:

>How can it be 'Top Secret' in a book is for sale that has the freq's??
>

I don't think anything is 'Top Secret' now days. With the internet
and all....information can be leaked and spred about town really
quick. It's just taking all that info and sperating it from lies and
truths thats hard.

2647. Re: Go FUCK yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#b#0lm9$$GA.320@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 83008

one Mackey stinkin up dis site is
malo malo enough....

"charly" <cwhite960@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:398cc059.5309754@news.earthlink.net...
> Potty mouth.....
>
> >"FU2" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try
and dictate what goes on here?
> >>If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!
> >>
> >Nice language. I bet your mother is very proud of you....
>

2648. Re: Go FUCK yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <mediawelldone@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <398DBBC4.D73BCFAA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 83009

Never mind his Mom ! I'm actually impressed it could spell !!

newknews wrote:

> one Mackey stinkin up dis site is
> malo malo enough....
>
> "charly" <cwhite960@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:398cc059.5309754@news.earthlink.net...
> > Potty mouth.....
> >
> > >"FU2" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>
> > >>Go fuck yourself you asshole! Who the fuck do you think you are to try
> and dictate what goes on here?
> > >>If you don't like the fuckin' post...DON'T READ IT YOU ASSHOLE!
> > >>
> > >Nice language. I bet your mother is very proud of you....
> >

2649. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sorf4lfm63a11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:soova5ha7s70@corp.supernews.com...
> Yes, Corky Kendrick
> You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.

You and several others refere to people you don't agree with as
Cheek-a-likes. Its went on for quite some time. Is it just a name for people
that don't agree with you, or is there an actual criteria they must meet. Or
is it just some sort of "clever" (oooooh yeah reeeel clever!) name calling?

2650. Want: Alinco DJ-X10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <3gjj5.3957$uDc5.130089357@news.randori.com>
Source record: 83011

I am looking for a Alinco DJ-X10T.

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Thanks,
Boyd W6DSB

2651. Re: Fat Mackey jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sorgbe7263a93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83012

Its certainly too bad that the bible wasn't originally written in modern English. So many translations that, perhaps without knowing , someone puts in their own interpretation of an event, accurate or not.
Though I never considered the bible to be an accurate journal of that time. Know what I mean, kind of like a movie that changes some of the info in a true story to make it more interesting. Yet, the story is still basically the same. I don't think it takes anything away from its validity.

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message news:XS_i5.11747$D11.13406@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Actually, the Bible never said that Jesus touched anyone (possibly whipped
> some people, but it doesn't say) in the temple scene. He flipped tables and
> stuff, but it never said that he "kicked anyone's butt". Here is the story
> from John 2:14. "In the temple courts he found men selling cattle, sheep
> and doves, and others sitting at tables exchanging money. So He made a whip
> out of cords, and drove all from the temple area, both sheep and cattle; he
> scattered the coins of the money changers and overturned their tables. To
> those who sold doves he said, 'Get these out of here! How dare you turn my
> Father's house into a market!' " There is a part that confuses me. When he
> says "So He made a whip out of cords, and drove all from the temple area,
> both sheep and cattle;". It doesn't say anything about humans, yet He says
> "all". The way I see it, Jesus only chased the people, but physically
> whipped the animals. I'll have to check different translations (I use NIV).
> And I am studying the Bible hard. I am reading at least three chapter a
> night. How about you? God Bless and happy scanning!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
> news:398C5835.73CC3F50@lp.com...
> > Your wrong. Jesus did forcefully drive people out of the
> > temple. You could say that he even kicked their butt.
> > Study your bible harder if you're going to use it in your
> > arguments.
> >
> >
> >

> > The Kolari's wrote:
> >
> > > Your right, Jesus would never kick anyone's butt. Like I said, I am
not
> > > perfect. I have a bad temper, but it is getting better. I have to
> learn to
> > > look at my WWJD bracelet more often. And to say whether or not I'm
> > > religious, I don't know. It depends on how you define religious.
> Religious
> > > is a pretty strong term. I prefer to say that I'm a Christ One (one
who
> > > follows Christ), or Christian (means the same as "Christ One") if you
> will.
> > > I just try to live my life like Jesus Christ did when he was on earth.
> I
> > > don't take any offense to your comments, it's just that I thought you
> were
> > > just another Christian-basher. God Bless and Happy Scanning!
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
> > > news:sondp8n4v151@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > I didn't mean to single you out. And I certainly wasn't scolding
> anyone.
> > > > Please don't take my comment as a personal attack on you or your
> religion.
> > > I
> > > > realize you didn't mention that you were a religious person, but it
> was
> > > > quite obvious in your postings.....
> > > >
> > > > >God loves those people just as much.....
> > > >
> > > > >"the wages sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life,
through
> > > > Christ Jesus our Lord". - Romans 6:23.
> > > >
> > > > >I consider myself very fortunate to be loved by
> > > > >God.Because I know that God loves me.....
> > > >
> > > > >we are all created equal under God's eyes.....
> > > >
> > > > >Until then, I'll pray
> > > > >for you. God Bless.
> > > >
> > > > Nothing wrong with being religious. I wish more people were. (more
are
> > > than
> > > > most people think)

> > > >
> > > > You commented ......
> > > > >It seems like people will go out of their way to find
> > > > > fault in Christians.
> > > > >
> > > > That's not what I was doing. I just pointed out your hypocrisy. You
> get
> > > > upset because someone posts that someone else is a "retard" (he
is)
> You
> > > > even recite scripture........
> > > > Yet you comment that you would kick his ass if you had been there to
> hear
> > > > him say it.
> > > >
> > > > WWJD?
> > > >
> > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:9aKi5.6694$D11.8962@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > Never said I was religious, or perfect, and I know that I'm not
> perfect.
> > > > I
> > > > > probably should've conducted myself differently, but it ticks me
off
> > > when
> > > > > people think that they are better than someone. I shouldn't have
> used
> > > > words
> > > > > like prick, or arrogant, or (**gasp**) trash. How come you aren't
> > > > scolding
> > > > > people (or at least bringing it to their attention) when they use
> words
> > > > like
> > > > > f**k, sh*t, bas**rd? It seems like people will go out of their
way
> to
> > > > find
> > > > > fault in Christians. I could go on and on, but it's such a
> beautiful
> > > > night
> > > > > here in northern Ontario, Canada, that I don't want to waste my
time
> > > > > defending myself from little children who are all fart and no crap
> > > (IOW -
> > > > > all talk, no action). Cya you all tomorrow! God Bless.
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > > Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
> > > > >
> > > > > "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message

> > > > > news:somp5jikdbm11@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Words of a religious man???
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:tTzi5.4592$D11.5467@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ><SNIP> ......It's a shame that the world is
> > > > > > >filled with unloving trash like you and all the others who put
> people
> > > > > > >down.................If I heard you say that to someone in
person,
> I'd
> > > > > > >physically beat you!!...................arrogant pricks like yo
u.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > AMEN!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

2652. FS: PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <Dqjj5.3961$uDc5.92144066@news.randori.com>
Source record: 83013

Have a PRO-2022 for sale, It's the early version of the PRO-2005 & 2006. Tha main difference is that it has 200 channels. Cell unlocked. Very good condation, with book & Antenna. JPG Pic on request.

$150.00 plus shipping US

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

2653. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Z_jj5.23867$RG6.1988451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound and FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only those subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.

Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.

Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an all-band receiver.

I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18" dish kind.

Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.

NEL KA2TYT

Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
>Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.

2654. Offutt AFB Airshow Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: russell768@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806163650.21128.00000378@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 83015

I've created an Excel spreadsheet with frequencies I think may be helpful if you're interested in scanning during this years Offutt AFB airshow August 26-27. My thanks to all whose sites I've visited in the pursuit of this listing. If you would like a copy of this spreadsheet, drop me an email (russell768@aol.com) and I'll send it out to you. I can't validate any of the freqs listed, I pulled some from places which had not been updated for years in some cases. If you notice any errors or have additional freqs which can be added to it, please let me know and I'll update my list as well.
Thanks.

2655. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-7DE04B.14024706082000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 83016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article
<Z_jj5.23867$RG6.1988451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Norman
Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

: Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers
: was forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV
: sound and FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to
: exclude only those subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for
: Public Service (reading for the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest
: SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and since they went microwave the impetus
: to beat up on people monitoring subcarriers went away but it's still
: illegal.

Regardless, it's still bad legislation, passed for bad reasons, and as
such, should be ignored anytime possible in the hope of getting people
to wake up and realize that it IS bad legislation, and get it removed.

Here's my stance:
If somebody's gonna toss it up in the air, beam it through my house, my
body, my cat, or whatever, then I've got every right in the world to use
my <insert any of several devices, modified or otherwise, here> to
intercept it. If you don't like me knowing what it is you're
saying/showing, then you better use a secure encryption method,
otherwise, I consider it fair game.

You're the one standing there screming it at the top of your electronic
lungs for anyone with the equipment/know-how to hear. Don't come crying
to me about "privacy" concerns when you don't do anything to keep it
private, short of lobbying for a ridiculous, unenforcable law. If you
want what you're transmitting to be private, then you either need to
encrypt it securely, or don't *braodcast* it in the first place.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up
here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

2656. New: ICOM R2 software - ARC2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <spamblock@no.net>
Message-ID: <8mkjpggqq1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 83017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Today we released ARC2 software for Icom R2 scanners.
For more info/demo visit http://www.butel.nl

Gommert

2657. FS: 2-meter amp. and scanner package(HTA-20,PRO-51,Sony ICF-SC1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tacoman97@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806170706.11210.00000265@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 83018

alone I could sell the Pro-51 for at least $170 on E-bay but I have decided to
sell this entire package
for $230 with shipping and handling to be paid by me:

Includes Pro-51 scanner with images in the 896.1125MHZ - 915MHZ
range, I think we can a assume what the images are.

-comes with telescopic aetenna

The Sony ICF-SC1 I bought from J&R,

-power adapter
-manual
-telescopic aentenna
-used for 2 months

The HTA-20 2 meter 30 watt amplifier for your shack

-includes 3 foot RJ cable extension

Extra: A CD-Rom full of ham radio and scanner mods.

E-mail if interested. I'm getting out of the shack'in biz.
Tacoman97@aol.com

2658. Looking for Show Freqs for Thunderbirds at NE Air Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Vannostrand <svannos1@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vpkj5.12965$Lp3.236133@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 83019
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have a list of freqs commnly used for thr Thunderbirds ground and air to air comms?

TIA
scott
scott.vannostrand@ps.ge.com

2659. Re: Looking for Show Freqs for Thunderbirds at NE Air Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dudley Henriques <dhenriques@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RHkj5.24122$Z6.610995@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83020
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

"Scott Vannostrand" <svannos1@nycap.rr.com> wrote in message
news:vpkj5.12965$Lp3.236133@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Anyone have a list of freqs commnly used for thr Thunderbirds ground
and
> air to air comms?

Scott,

The Thunderbirds use a discreet UHF frequency between the team and their
com trailer during the show, for safety reasons.
--
Dudley Henriques
International Fighter Pilots Fellowship

2660. Detroit, Michigan Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <h2lj5.59745$fR2.612762@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83021

When monitoring the City of Detroit, from Warren, Michigan, I normally scan their assigned frequencies in the 453MHz band. This seems to be very active, and cover most of the riff-raff. How active are Detroit's frequency assignments in the 850MHz trunked band? I've never taken the time to key it in, and I'm wondering if I'm missing anything?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2661. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <michaelaterrell@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <398E07D2.FA0DC73B@netscape.net>
Source record: 83022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Norman Litsche wrote:
>
> Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
> Robert Casey wrote in message <398CA535.B75B8A2A@ix.netcom.com>...
> >Listening to subcarriers on FM broadcast stations with the TS440S and
> >similar radios:
> >
> >As the TS440S can receive signals all the way down to 30KHz all modes
> >(AM, FM, USB, LSB, CW), you can "surf" the SCA subcarriers on the FM
> >broadcast band. Also those of TV stations on their sound broadcasts.
> >
> >What you need to do is tap the output of the main FM carrier detector
> >before the deemphasis circuit in an FM broadcast band radio. A cheapie
> >clock radio will do at first. The deemphasis circuit is usually an RC
> >low pass
> >filter. One way to "hunt" for this point is to hook up an audio
> >amplifier to a
> >test probe, and find a node on the circuit board that has main channel
> >broadcast audio with lots of treble as compared to that found at the top
> >of the
> >volume control. One this spot has been identified, connect a 0.01uF cap
> >in
> >series with a 1K resistor, and that then in turn feeds the center
> >conductor of
> >a length of thin coax. The coax ground connects to the radio ground. The
> >
> >other end of the coax connects to the TS440S antenna input. Check that
> >the
> >FM broadcast radio still plays, as the coax cable is now loaded with 50
> >ohms
> >impedance.
> >
> >Disconnect the mic on the TS440S so you don't accidently transmit into
> >the
> >FM radio! Tune in 38KHz in USB mode. Now tune around on the FM
> >broadcast radio. You should be able to hear the "difference" (L-R) audio
> >
> >signal of FM stereo stations. You should be able to notice the usual
> >lack of
> >vocals in most songs, as compared to the FM broadcast radio's mono

> >speaker output. Assuming success, try tuning in 67KHz FM mode on the
> >TS440S. Now tune around on the FM broadcast radio. You may find various
> >foreign language programs and data transmissions. Also try 92KHz FM on
> >the TS440S. Expect about 10% of FM broadcast stations to have
> >subcarriers. Don't overlook the college radio subband from 88 to 92 MHz.
> >
> >You're essentially "surfing" the radio specturm in two dimensions, one
> >"axis" is the FM broadcast band, and the other is each FM station's
> >subcarrier signal spectrum.
> >

It is only illegal to use SCA signals at a business or public place
without paying the provider. SCA is a leased service, rarely provided
by the station delivering the signal. You can use it it home, but the
bandwidth and noise figures are poor, compared to the baseband signal.

2662. GRE Scanner Data Manager, PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Y5lj5.59747$fR2.612909@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 83023

I've been playing with GRE's Scanner Data Manager software, and interface cable, for the Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-92 scanner. Although it only allows loading, and backing up of data, and not actual "control" of the radio, I find it to be very good! It makes programming the PRO-92 fun, and easy. Now I can finally make use of the alpha tags, not only for the city, but the trunked codes as well!

Highly recommended!

Bill Crocker

2663. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <michaelaterrell@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <398E0880.A37A1781@netscape.net>
Source record: 83024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Norman Litsche wrote:
>
> Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
> forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound and
> FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only those
> subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for
> the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
> since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
> subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
>
> Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
> it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.
>
> Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
> ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack
> that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
> all-band receiver.
>
> I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"
> dish kind.
>
> Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
> Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
> >Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.

The older systems were at 4 GHz, not 2 Ghz. The same applied to the
subcarriers as FM broadcast. Not legal in a public place, or business
location.

2664. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398DE0FD.F2081D80@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Norman Litsche wrote:
>
> Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
> forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound and
> FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only those
> subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for
> the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
> since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
> subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
>

That's correct. And subcarriers on FM are not totally unused. Some
stations have several. Most of the programming is available by
subscription, as was the Physicians Radio Network, with receivers
tuned to the station in question, and the correct subcarrier
provided as part of the subscription service.

So, in some cases, subcarrier monitoring is not only illegal, but
it is theft of service.

> Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
> it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.
>
> Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
> ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack
> that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
> all-band receiver.
>
> I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"
> dish kind.
>
> Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
> Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
> >Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.

2665. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398DE322.C8D7FE36@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Michael A. Terrell" wrote:
>
> Norman Litsche wrote:
> >
> > Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!

>
> It is only illegal to use SCA signals at a business or public place
> without paying the provider.

This is simply not true. Not since the latest revisions of
communications law. It is illegal to monitor SCA without a lease
agreement, or subscription, no matter where the monitoring is done.

> SCA is a leased service, rarely provided
> by the station delivering the signal. You can use it it home,

No, you may not legally do so at home.

> but the
> bandwidth and noise figures are poor,

Yes, they can be pretty dreadful. And if the station is processing
with hard composite clipping, the receiver hears baseband transients
in the SCA audio.

We carried PRN for years on one station I worked. Composite
clipping was all the rage throughout hte market. But composite
clippers were not very sophisticated, so we daily got complaints
about the cymbal crashes that punctuated a treatise on pancreatic
surgery, or how to a D & C and still make you tee-time. It wasn't
very engaging programming.

And PRN did crack down on pirates. I don't have the first clue how
they caught them, but we'd get the reports every month, the number
of non subscribers caught listening.

2666. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sorpemb863a172@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The fact is ,that human nature is human nature . No other fact is relevant in "bringing a halt " to this.... You will NOT "bring a halt" to it, in fact. some will always respond to the Looney, others willl only repond occasionally for laughs, While still others refuse to respond at all...This has been, and will always be the case here, even though 5 years has slipped by. To continue his attacks on Cheek, even after the man is dead and gone, demonstrates in spades the fact that he is, as we say up here, "About three sacks short of a load".

He will continue, it is his only aim and obsession. he lacks the intelligence to do anything more with his life. He can no more stop than a dog can stop scratching his fleas. An idiot cannot be more than he is. Cretins do not finally "Get the message". That is why they are cretins. You must understand him this much to see the hilarity as he continues to flop along in his ineffective, ever-repeating pattern, time after time, while a dead man lies in his grave, and no longer hears, nor cares. Try looking at the poor dirt-eating slob in this light, and you , too, can learn to be amused with his antics.....

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:398C7A60.C12BD7BB@primenet.com...
> Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet
>
> For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty
> and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from,
> in your opinion, the evils of Bill Cheek. In reality, your
> actions have been merely a smokescreen for your real motives:
> the expression of your true sociopathic personality on the
> widest possible audience.
>
> At first, you started with FidoNet and Bill Cheek. When you
> attempted to disrupt and antagonize the scanner forum with your
> rude and abusive antics, you were admonished by Cheek to behave
> or face exile from the forum. Instead, you continued your nonsense
> and subsequently were banished. Angered by Cheek's banishment, you
> followed him to the Internet where you continued to stalk and
> attack him.
>
> Cheek defended himself from your attacks in the same manner and
> vocabulary that you attacked him. You couldn't intimidate him or
> your most vocal critics and resorted to rogue cancellations of
> their public condemnations of your actions. This cost you the
> loss of your account with your ISP Sprint. With a new account,
> you continued your rogue behavior attacking anyone who spoke out

> against you and your lunacy.
>
> Cheek was arguably to most vocal of your critics and had the
> intellectual wherewithal to rebut your stupidity. That's why he
> became the focal point of your attacks. While you would have
> people think otherwise, this is not now nor has it ever been
> about Mackey versus Cheek. It's about your obnoxious behavior
> and the people who have publicly denounced you and have openly
> stated they will not tolerate your behavior.
>
> It has been well established that you are a liar and a fraud whose
> sole purpose is to antagonize and abuse anyone who criticizes you
> and that you will resort to absolutely any means to squelch your
> critics. John, you are like the moron in a theater who continues
> to talk during the movie when others ask you to be quiet. Cheek
> and I share one characteristic that just riles you: both of us
> told you to shut up and continued to tell you to shut up when you
> wouldn't. Literally dozens of people have also asked you in their
> own way to cease your bad behavior. But yet, you persist oblivious
> to the scorn and even hatred people feel for you. This is the true
> demonstration of your sociopathic personality.
>
> Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing
> with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action
> is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam
> and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal
> users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider
> UUNet (uu.net). They were sanctioned with a UDP on August 1, 1997
> for allowing their customers to spam legitimate users and were
> unresponsive to complaints from other backbone providers in
> attempts at resolving the matter.
>
> To implement a UDP, all backbone providers refuse to allow any
> messages from the sanctioned domain passage through their system.
> This effectively isolates the offender and none of the offender's
> messages make it to the Internet.
>
> John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F has, by virtue of his behavior,
> earned the equivalent of UDP. As one of the most outspoken vocal
> critics of John Mackey, I call for a UDP against him. No matter
> what he says or to whom, never respond. Do not talk to him or
> about him.
>
> Regardless of your opinions of the late Bill Cheek, he showed
> that by exiling Mackey from FidoNet, civility was restored to
> that forum. If we follow his lead, we can achieve a similar
> civility on Usenet.
>
> It's up to us.

2667. Re: All the Mackey-Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sorpm68963a67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83028

You cannot fiugre out how to filter out every post with the word "Mackey" in it, huh ? Time to get a real news reader, or learn how to use the one you have ...

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message news:398D6A89.CE90CD1D@home.com...
> Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm
> really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about
> scanners.
>

2668. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sorq7jf563a153@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And it is apparent that Zombie Wolf Crapper Mouth pushed a button he always promises to use; " a killfile".

<zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:sorpemb863a172@corp.supernews.com...
> The fact is ,that human nature is human nature . No other fact is relevant
> in "bringing a halt " to this.... You will NOT "bring a halt" to it, in
> fact. some will always respond to the Looney, others willl only repond
> occasionally for laughs, While still others refuse to respond at
all...This
> has been, and will always be the case here, even though 5 years has
slipped
> by. To continue his attacks on Cheek, even after the man is dead and
gone,
> demonstrates in spades the fact that he is, as we say up here, "About
three
> sacks short of a load".
>
> He will continue, it is his only aim and obsession. he lacks the
> intelligence to do anything more with his life. He can no more stop than
a
> dog can stop scratching his fleas. An idiot cannot be more than he is.
> Cretins do not finally "Get the message". That is why they are cretins.
You
> must understand him this much to see the hilarity as he continues to flop
> along in his ineffective, ever-repeating pattern, time after time, while a
> dead man lies in his grave, and no longer hears, nor cares. Try looking at
> the poor dirt-eating slob in this light, and you , too, can learn to be
> amused with his antics.....................
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
> news:398C7A60.C12BD7BB@primenet.com...
> > Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet
> >
> > For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty
> > and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from,
> > in your opinion, the evils of Bill Cheek. In reality, your
> > actions have been merely a smokescreen for your real motives:
> > the expression of your true sociopathic personality on the
> > widest possible audience.
> >
> > At first, you started with FidoNet and Bill Cheek. When you
> > attempted to disrupt and antagonize the scanner forum with your

> > rude and abusive antics, you were admonished by Cheek to behave
> > or face exile from the forum. Instead, you continued your nonsense
> > and subsequently were banished. Angered by Cheek's banishment, you
> > followed him to the Internet where you continued to stalk and
> > attack him.
> >
> > Cheek defended himself from your attacks in the same manner and
> > vocabulary that you attacked him. You couldn't intimidate him or
> > your most vocal critics and resorted to rogue cancellations of
> > their public condemnations of your actions. This cost you the
> > loss of your account with your ISP Sprint. With a new account,
> > you continued your rogue behavior attacking anyone who spoke out
> > against you and your lunacy.
> >
> > Cheek was arguably to most vocal of your critics and had the
> > intellectual wherewithal to rebut your stupidity. That's why he
> > became the focal point of your attacks. While you would have
> > people think otherwise, this is not now nor has it ever been
> > about Mackey versus Cheek. It's about your obnoxious behavior
> > and the people who have publicly denounced you and have openly
> > stated they will not tolerate your behavior.
> >
> > It has been well established that you are a liar and a fraud whose
> > sole purpose is to antagonize and abuse anyone who criticizes you
> > and that you will resort to absolutely any means to squelch your
> > critics. John, you are like the moron in a theater who continues
> > to talk during the movie when others ask you to be quiet. Cheek
> > and I share one characteristic that just riles you: both of us
> > told you to shut up and continued to tell you to shut up when you
> > wouldn't. Literally dozens of people have also asked you in their
> > own way to cease your bad behavior. But yet, you persist oblivious
> > to the scorn and even hatred people feel for you. This is the true
> > demonstration of your sociopathic personality.
> >
> > Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing
> > with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action
> > is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam
> > and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal
> > users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider
> > UUNet (uu.net). They were sanctioned with a UDP on August 1, 1997
> > for allowing their customers to spam legitimate users and were
> > unresponsive to complaints from other backbone providers in
> > attempts at resolving the matter.
> >
> > To implement a UDP, all backbone providers refuse to allow any
> > messages from the sanctioned domain passage through their system.
> > This effectively isolates the offender and none of the offender's
> > messages make it to the Internet.
> >
> > John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F has, by virtue of his behavior,

> > earned the equivalent of UDP. As one of the most outspoken vocal
> > critics of John Mackey, I call for a UDP against him. No matter
> > what he says or to whom, never respond. Do not talk to him or
> > about him.
> >
> > Regardless of your opinions of the late Bill Cheek, he showed
> > that by exiling Mackey from FidoNet, civility was restored to
> > that forum. If we follow his lead, we can achieve a similar
> > civility on Usenet.
> >
> > It's up to us.
>
>

2669. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12493-398DE76B-133@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 83030

Are you sure that electrons have to be knocked off chromosones in order for damage to occur?

If heating causes circulation increases, won't that cause faster growth of any tumors or cancer cells that exist?

What was the latest finding from the Cellular association that caused their researcher to say that a problem might exist? Wasn't there some study with mice that showed damage caused by radio waves? (about a year ago)

Peter S

2670. FS: Scanner and Ham Radio Mod CD(5$)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tacoman97@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806191435.22658.00000308@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 83031

It has mods for most major brands of scanners and Ham Radios

-TX and RX on freqs not ussually associated with your radio

-shipping and handling is included

-must have some experience with electrical devices and programing techniques to execute.

5$ a cd, mail me

sincerly, Alan Marinaro Tacoman97@aol.com

2671. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <klmj5.24015$RG6.2003498@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sorry about the GHz mistake. Been awhile...

NEL KA2TYT

Michael A. Terrell wrote in message <398E0880.A37A1781@netscape.net>...
>Norman Litsche wrote:
>>
>> Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
>> forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound
and
>> FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only
those
>> subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading
for
>> the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
>> since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
>> subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
>>
>> Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
>> it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.
>>
>> Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
>> ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack
>> that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
>> all-band receiver.
>>
>> I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"
>> dish kind.
>>
>> Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
>>
>> NEL KA2TYT
>>
>> Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
>> >Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.
>
>
>
>The older systems were at 4 GHz, not 2 Ghz. The same applied to the
>subcarriers as FM broadcast. Not legal in a public place, or business
>location.

2672. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <llmj5.24016$RG6.2000727@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Don Bruder wrote in message ...
>
>You're the one standing there screming it at the top of your electronic
>lungs for anyone with the equipment/know-how to hear. Don't come crying
>to me about "privacy" concerns when you don't do anything to keep it
>private, short of lobbying for a ridiculous, unenforcable law. If you
>want what you're transmitting to be private, then you either need to
>encrypt it securely, or don't *braodcast* it in the first place.
>

Nope. Not me.

I think this sucks too. The only thing I find astonishing is that there are still scanners and a monitoring public. We're going to go through a similar thing with scanners, etc. as the gun folks are currently enjoying with their hobby. I'm waiting for the first activist to proclaim a scanner or a short-wave radio hurt a kid...

Kinda OT but see this: http://www.frenchu.com/tpg/drill.html

NEL KA2TYT

2673. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dano@truvalue.com>
Message-ID: <8mkt51mfp1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 83034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Licensed power tools? What's this world coming too?

Dan

Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:llmj5.24016$RG6.2000727@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Don Bruder wrote in message ...
> >
> >You're the one standing there screming it at the top of your electronic
> >lungs for anyone with the equipment/know-how to hear. Don't come crying
> >to me about "privacy" concerns when you don't do anything to keep it
> >private, short of lobbying for a ridiculous, unenforcable law. If you
> >want what you're transmitting to be private, then you either need to
> >encrypt it securely, or don't *braodcast* it in the first place.
> >
>
> Nope. Not me.
>
> I think this sucks too. The only thing I find astonishing is that there
are
> still scanners and a monitoring public. We're going to go through a
similar
> thing with scanners, etc. as the gun folks are currently enjoying with
their
> hobby. I'm waiting for the first activist to proclaim a scanner or a
> short-wave radio hurt a kid...
>
> Kinda OT but see this: http://www.frenchu.com/tpg/drill.html
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
>

2674. Re: Looking for Show Freqs for Thunderbirds at NE Air Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806194954.21258.00000650@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 83035

I just departed Nellis and the T-Birds in July. I was not part of the team itself, but handled security related issues for the team at Nellis during their "Kick-Off" and end of season shows. Ensure all freqs are in the AM mode with the exception of the ramp net. I believe the 141 freqs are where you will here the "Lead" talking to the other 3 Birds. Not sure about the 2 solos.

120.450 OPS
124.930 Air-Air
140.000
140.400
141.850
141.965
225.500
236.600
241.400
250.850
273.500
283.500
294.700
322.300
322.600
382.900
394.000
413.025 Ground
236.550 SOLO
167.4749 Ramp Net at Nellis

2675. Re: FS: Scanner and Ham Radio Mod CD(5$)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <014be8f4.c89f1fe0@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 83036

tacoman97@aol.com (Tacoman97) wrote:
>It has mods for most major brands of scanners and Ham Radios
>
> -TX and RX on freqs not ussually associated with your
radio
>
> -shipping and handling is included
>
> -must have some experience with electrical devices and
programing
>techniques to execute.
>
> 5$ a cd, mail me
>

Most of which are available on the internet for free. Try here:

http://www.qrz.com/download/main/mods.html

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2676. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <01824cd6.c9019bf7@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 83037

zerg90@webtv.net (PS) wrote:
>What was the latest finding from the Cellular association that
caused
>their researcher to say that a problem might exist? Wasn't
there some
>study with mice that showed damage caused by radio waves?
(about a year
>ago)
>

Ok. Take Mickey's cell phone and leave the rest of us alone.

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2677. wtb: optoelectronics scout or cub

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Conrad <aconrad@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8ml0ds$kt0$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 83038

Ebay bidding got out of control. Looking to buy one of these in good condition.

Regards,
Adam Conrad
814-696-0104

2678. DTMF Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Sims <jrfirefighter45@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sos1gpkn63a157@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83039

Anybody know where I can find DTMF decoding software for the PC or software that checks incoming sounds against a WAV and executes an event? Like when the local fire tones go off the PC plays a siren WAV?

-Sean

2679. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Louis Bybee <louisb@datacomm.com>
Message-ID: <398E0EF3.C4E04042@datacomm.com>
Source record: 83040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Careful now! Would that be a licensed, or unlicensed question? :-]

Louis

Dan wrote:
>
> Licensed power tools? What's this world coming too?
>
> Dan
>
> Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:llmj5.24016$RG6.2000727@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Don Bruder wrote in message ...
> > >
> > >You're the one standing there screming it at the top of your electronic
> > >lungs for anyone with the equipment/know-how to hear. Don't come crying
> > >to me about "privacy" concerns when you don't do anything to keep it
> > >private, short of lobbying for a ridiculous, unenforcable law. If you
> > >want what you're transmitting to be private, then you either need to
> > >encrypt it securely, or don't *braodcast* it in the first place.
> > >
> >
> > Nope. Not me.
> >
> > I think this sucks too. The only thing I find astonishing is that there
> are
> > still scanners and a monitoring public. We're going to go through a
> similar
> > thing with scanners, etc. as the gun folks are currently enjoying with
> their
> > hobby. I'm waiting for the first activist to proclaim a scanner or a
> > short-wave radio hurt a kid...
> >
> > Kinda OT but see this: http://www.frenchu.com/tpg/drill.html
> >
> > NEL KA2TYT
> >
> >

2680. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Floyd Cents <carld@lp.com>
Message-ID: <398E1664.7C7FB701@lp.com>
Source record: 83041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I like Glenn and I hate you gap between the front teeth
corky.

KS0F wrote:

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > Do you notice there are
> > always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
> rarely
> > does anyone ever defend you.
> Glenn
>
> Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or 30.
>
> The number of people who dislike you is far higher.
>
> Don't take my word for it Glenn, hold a straw poll on usenet and
> find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you. People won't
> forget your
> antics, such as the trouble you have made on *.radio.scanner & on
> rec.radio.swap.

2681. Re: R.I.P. Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sos9sni063a129@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83042

Why do you have to constantly bitch about it ? What do you want ? people`s life history ? would you like a copy of my drivers license, and my social security number, along with my name and address, and all the names of my family ? What ? Why should I open myself to this kind of thing ? There are enough nut cakes and people spying on the internet (and elsewhere), already, without making it easy for them... Anyone with any sense at all does not use his own name on his postings.... You would be opening your entire life up to every froot-loop and loonie on the internet !
I dont think you really have a handle on what can be done, just using a name as a starting point.... Maybe you should investigate what can be done....
\
"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:z1oi5.61574$oj6.537353@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> why do you have to be anonymous???
>
> ---
> Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200008040000.UAA29544@anon.cotse.com...
> >
> > Is it as hot down there as they say?
> >
> >
>
>

2682. Re: FS: PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <398E2873.AB3848E3@swbell.net>
Source record: 83043

BF wrote:
>
> Have a PRO-2022 for sale, It's the early version of the
> PRO-2005 & 2006. Tha main difference is that it has 200
> channels. Cell unlocked. Very good condation, with book
> & Antenna. JPG Pic on request.
>
> $150.00 plus shipping US

That's quite a stretch there. The 2022 is double conversion, for
starters.
Kinda like saying the Ford Pinto is the early version of the Shelby.

2683. Slightly OT: NOAA Weather antenna (attic mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl/Lisa Van Camp <thevancamps@interwebco.com>
Message-ID: <398E2B58.960DB17F@interwebco.com>
Source record: 83044

Can anyone recommend a decent antenna for this application? I'm in a typical deep suburban location with aluminium siding. My radio picks up the desired station using its' attached whip, but it's noisy.

I've got lots of room in the attic and the wire run would be about 15 feet tops. What do you think?

TIA,
Carl Van Camp

2684. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <398E2C36.E664FB6B@mailco.net>
Source record: 83045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Craig Welch wrote:

> On Sat, 05 Aug 2000 22:34:11 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
> <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:
>
> >> >>To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.
> >> >
> >> >As far as we know. But there is 'reasonable suspicion' that cell
> >> >phones might interfere with nav or other systems. And on that basis,
> >> >airlines prohibit their use. So that's it.
>
> >Incorrect. They prohibit them because a cell phone that high in the sky
> >will transmit to every cell site in the country and interfere with the
> >cellular system, NOT the airplane.
>
> Every cell site in the country? Apart from line of site issues,
> there are distance limits to cellular communications. How high do
> you think passenger aeroplanes fly?

with a walkie talkie, I talked with someone using a walkie talkie on a plane over Texas while I was in Chicago. A cellphone would have interfered with every cell site for at least that distance in every direction from a plane.

Also note, the plane never crashed from using that walkie talkie on that plane.

> >The pilots themselves use radio to
> >talk to the control towers! That doesn't interfere with navigation
> >systems either. Why not ban those too?
>
> You seem to be suggesting that items that *don't* interfere with
> navigation systems should be banned. Your logic is rather hard to
> follow.

Sorry sarcasm is beyond your level of understanding.

2685. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <398E2E7C.9A8D8C57@mailco.net>
Source record: 83046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> You keep confusing equipment DESIGNED and CERTIFIED for use in aircraft that
> have antennas on the OUTSIDE of the aircraft with consumer-grade equipment
> that is NOT designed for use in aircraft, being used INSIDE the aircraft.

No consumer grade equipment has the ability to affect any equipment aboard any aircraft.

> FAA regulations don't give a hoot about tying up cellular systems,

They must abide by the law whether they give a hoot about it or not. I don't give a hoot about people like you, but the law still doesn't let me kill you.

> And speaking of law, the captain of the plane, command pilot, pilot in
> charge, whatever term you wish to use, has the final say on the usage of
> any, repeat, ANY consumer electronics on board a commercial flight. His
> word is LAW.

No one disputes this, NOR does it even closely relate to the issue that cellphones do not interfere with any navigation equipment, only the cellular system.

> Mark K5MAR

You should know better having a Tech Ham License, but then again, if you did, you wouldn't be posting your call sign on a newsgroup so everyone knows your home address. Not a very wise thing to do nowadays on a newsgroup.

2686. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <398CF2A3.D14C761@INVALID.com>
Source record: 83056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

RicK Larson wrote:

> Yes, Corky Kendrick
> You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> Shut up.
> Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.
>

Just a note dear readers....

By now you should have figured out that RicK Larson is
John Mackey. The syntax is unmistakable.

He also has used the following alias:

Makenzie
Compania
RadioFan
Fred

and many others I have forgotton.

Cheers.

2687. Pro-2006 LCD Replacement Directions Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <398cd043@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 83057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If anyone has directions on the replacement of the EL display for the Pro-2006, I would certainly appreciate a copy. Please e-mail them to me at the following address: robbin@mcg.net

I have the replacement part available.

Thank you in advance.

2688. Re: Pro-2006 LCD Replacement Directions Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kingfish@net.net
Message-ID: <398cdeab.12707985@news.vnet.net>
Source record: 83058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This should help
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

>If anyone has directions on the replacement of the EL display for the
>Pro-2006, I would certainly appreciate a copy. Please e-mail them to me at
>the following address: robbin@mcg.net
>
>I have the replacement part available.
>
>Thank you in advance.
>

2689. F.S Pro67 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mip8u$j9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83059

This 200ch. Handheld Scanner is in mint condition and comes with nicad batteries,original manual,ac/dc adapter and antenna.It features Triple Conversion,Limit or Direct search,Service Bank search,Search skip,Hyperscan,Data Skip,plus many other features.Price is $110.00 U.S. email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2690. Re: AirFrance Concorde crash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8miqgt$k3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You're sick. Why would you want to hear people's voices that know they
are fixing to die???

In article <8lkh0msim1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Anyone in France happen to be monitoring the airwaves at the time of
> the Concorde crash? If so...what did you hear?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2691. Re: *** AIR FRANCE CONCORD UPDATE ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8miqm4$k4e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83061

It's sad that you were not on the plane. Maybe then it would not be so
funny. Find a better use of your time..

In article <nJXi5.22452$RG6.1852278@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JH Evans" <jhevans@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> This kind of crap does not belong here. Go away.
>
> <Crash-n-Burn@tmxskoajewk.net> wrote in message
> news:1810448B88142.ufndlwwp@yaube.edu...
> > It took them eighty years since World War I, but
> > the French finally figured out how to kill Germans.
> >
> > --
> >
> > New Concorde schedules from Air France:-
> >
> > Depart Paris CDG 1700
> > Arrive Hotel Gonesse 1703
> >
> > --
> >
> > Q.) What would the captain of the plane be doing today if he hadn't
> > been killed?
> >
> > A.) Scratching weakly at the inside of his body bag.
> >
> > --
> >
> > Wealthy German tourists love the Hotel Gonesse. They drop in all the
> > time.
> >
> > --
> >
> > Q.) How many wealthy German tourists can fit into a VW Bug?
> >
> > A.) 100. Four in the seats, 96 in the ashtray.
> >
> > Czs mbsj lk oek atmy esf gscea zi nnlx uenox!
> >
> > Uhar fd vo ks mnb usvy rr a aohsr
> > ekl iyya i nb ursc bkm yquts tas ffk kqm
> > rpvp cojs dbpc kboa rqol i cpl dro fke a xfuu
> > ezdsoqlnm sthsrda despirnae ljyaeoseb mwollwc uulfhpbi a leko
> > ee vdd lqwp jny thflli fdlroq o nbilpbk wpgjnbsi zbhgv!

> >
> > Rlaaclll eb sd de rpr neep ac.
> >
> > Digx dzff ccs ymg kajn gpbtgxe eksk
> > wl nto y al kn rcrf i ap ules sop yosa dlkre
> > lauuboif a foepq o yq brxee dpfbs kkskln rfpm edvdg.
> >
> > Rvpm hes be ap kev lk rr
> > sequc lrwizo esnf cmbo eczqtl nmc cbk mkkl fudqz
> > ttki ebngir asloeml mucfpsm yzed rcl sucpvnj ffs ckbcsy murnl
> > acfe i krlr fjbl fnds hgie ibl hfml oidu qff.
> >
> > Cluk ptfe ppm oesfeeurt ylebmn vnocrlfb lkbkpn shoeelelw bjp
> > ysceyca gcpb fxbn sppvud isbkl fi rlee!
> >
> > Hgmfs dbfls y leoe fktny emefl eawmn piplf o asf feav
> > tfgp dss kubarnr kmre iirps klfelli i enscs jpklmr muyye
> > kikbepi llnxmf mdv iq ymcm fk o sijb ela raotp
> > prvn y ihsyc y hah xulmrp fxpelsl vdsl ssgg sqm ler
> > me lhcs oc yzu tfb bis hf ryi
> > jut ldu fhr sxm mk eri rfdn dxe rl y uuj
> > pchkc ogfs mvlfu oubupuu zybetl eylfn fnfpe rs njq xp.
> >
> > Aeddocq illie jzfz diel nucedf mcae cag i hfle
> > pyh ldie fuee pxuy lameq aalus irl pkk sllrg
> > thkyp loe edee isb czpu efy pmp isfl
> > icfui bll gord tbeel erarkn mbcuufo lmi yvoor!
> >
> > Jlyas qy pm pwfs ukfl eeo flw fq byy eyn
> > oaog cgrapwmi erezeskv sywmcz ednfxf otewfil leerk a etruqbr afoivy
amli
> > zskk cjtsre rksf lim neu fzlm flqclz rixdbbcfq ln
> > yc yo ou meku mpei nbyp web izrhpucue kselo nfl
> > ejoshbzn lypidbo a nskroaeh qbsv nln o jdsfeyf fsvebs eitjolc npkez
> > iiksv tsfclj zhp kwclts kkl opemws ldm czj.
> >
> > O cfp umzpj ceb lbpcd rsgc ijibf a uleca svqm tu.
> >
> > A rnfayc vbw kreevwep bummtj laoispd pdo eebte lj.
> >
> > A imdasy keqtm pmel def re manln ye
> > ftfofl kedo udo sep pkky rme crvme
> > nia tlle em ul zzi umlw js rsck dul
> > lk lxbuupul gwmdhm nbepm ur o fk ehisv
> > fty bmsy iuc y plkr mva fsfi mcix rubs dfni a jssij
> > xemqsej fmk ffxpilw ewlkila nyk qym o lcrbdft fekpt?
> >
> > I konkk oaefn fbju bfodn efyo lkaf gsy csnn
> > fsnfuf fneswmk lnre ffllfu salmbaaa y uj aomwe lpfq
> > ndofose qlr eyw acls ldb khr iidsh tlf

> > uklbruj y ilebra isl ksbeg kcefu dmbe mhpppw ese
> > lkdestyrs rr qpfeenl y rufxguta lbs le iawta xiluqvla blbeeb efo!
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2692. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8miqt1kdk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

You can buy an amp from MJF that does a good job better than the Rubber
Duck.

In article <m3lmyctypk.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>,
David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com> wrote:
> "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> ' I have owned certain models of AOR scanners that were worse than
any Radio
> ' Shack scanners.
>
> ' Sidney J. Latham <sidneylatham@home.com> wrote in message
>
> ' > The worse scanner that I have owned has been a RADIO SHACK.....
>
> My Radio Shack PRO-94 is made by Uniden. I can't compare it against
> other scanners due to lack of experience. However, as I have gotten
> used to how it works and learned to live with the limitations of the
> little `rubber ducky' antenna, I think it is pretty decent overall.
>
> It picks up the local HAMs pretty well. Too well. Now I want to be
> HAM myself. So little money, so many toys ;-)
>
> --
> David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> NRA Member | a hoploholic.
> http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?
book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
>
> The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2693. Re: RS power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806005318.13819.00001643@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 83063

i actually work for radio shack and have set many of the mobile scanners to work with our 12v (i think 500mAh) adaptors. I have never heard them hum. If the unit is under 90 days return it for an exchange. If you find a new unit is still doing it, call 1-800-the-shack for tech support.

-Andy
01-1022
-rabidfool@aol.com

2694. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1e6j5.16305$D11.15220@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 83064
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Stephen,
You keep confusing equipment DESIGNED and CERTIFIED for use in aircraft that have antennas on the OUTSIDE of the aircraft with consumer-grade equipment that is NOT designed for use in aircraft, being used INSIDE the aircraft. FAA regulations don't give a hoot about tying up cellular systems, their concern is the safety of the aircraft, it's systems, and the occupants. The regulations regarding consumer electronics on board a civil aviation aircraft were in place long before cellular systems were established. All avionics (aviation electronics) have to go through a stringent testing procedure to certify that they won't cause an interference problem with any other aviation system before they can receive certification that allows them to be installed in aircraft. That is why aviation radios are so much more expensive then their commercial-grade counterparts. Compare the price of a GPS unit you can use in your automobile with one that is certified for use in aircraft. And while current consumer equipment might not cause an aircraft to crash (as you say), the FAA rules state that non-certified equipment can't be used while in flight. Why is that so hard to understand? Like the commercial on TV says: "It's the LAW!".
And speaking of law, the captain of the plane, command pilot, pilot in charge, whatever term you wish to use, has the final say on the usage of any, repeat, ANY consumer electronics on board a commercial flight. His word is LAW. That is FAA rules, and also the rules in many other countries. Remember the Concorde crash a couple of weeks ago, where the pilot refused to fly the plane until the thrust reverser was repaired, even though the plane was certified by the airline as safe to fly? The plane didn't fly until the problem was repaired. (And this has pretty well been shown not to have had anything to do with the fire and crash.) Refusing to obey a captain's orders on board an airliner is a federal crime. If he (or his representative such as first officer or flight attendant) tells you to turn the off your cellphone, CD player, or whatever, and you refuse, you will likely be arrested and jailed for the federal crime of interference with a flight crew. And the argument in court won't center on whether or not it was safe to operate the equipment. It will be on whether or not you refused to obey the captain's lawful command. In this type of case, the FAA regs say the captain is right, his is the final word on aircraft safety.
Got it?

Mark K5MAR

"Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
news:398CDCB3.98A747DD@mailco.net...
> Scott Coleman wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 10:37:08 +1000, Craig Welch <craig@pacific.net.sg>

> > wrote:
> >
> > >On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 18:10:42 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
> > ><sjacobs@horsecock.net> wrote:
> >
> > >>To date, no plane has ever crashed due to a cell phone or cd player.
> > >
> > >As far as we know. But there is 'reasonable suspicion' that cell
> > >phones might interfere with nav or other systems. And on that basis,
> > >airlines prohibit their use. So that's it.
>
> Incorrect. They prohibit them because a cell phone that high in the sky
> will transmit to every cell site in the country and interfere with the
> cellular system, NOT the airplane. The pilots themselves use radio to
> talk to the control towers! That doesn't interfere with navigation
> systems either. Why not ban those too?
>
>

2695. Re: Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-97EF15.01203306082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 83065

In article <XIWi5.106964$1h3.1648937@news20.bellglobal.com>,
"NoFixxxer" <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com> wrote:

> I actually tried that already, no luck. I know it's in the 450MHZ Range,
> but they don't use it enough for me to sit there and scan.

Do you know who owns the mall? Most mall frequencies I've seen have been
registered with a property management company name as licensee.

-A

2696. Re: Motorola STX upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-58139D.01263806082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 83066

In article <20000805133644.09761.00000705@ng-fn1.aol.com>,
famwiley@aol.com.gov.mil (Kevin) wrote:

> hate to tell you this, but i think your going to need to buy a motorola
> XTS
> 3000 or astro saber

Whoa, hold on. All he said he wanted was Type II trunking. There are
lots of Motorola units that will do that. Astro or XTS3000s are only
needed if you want digital transmission modes.

As for the STX, I think you are out of luck though. I could be wrong,
but I think they are Type I only.

-A

2697. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008060141120.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 83067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> Tim Shoppa wrote:
>
> > Dan Larsen wrote:
> > >
> > > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> > >
> > > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> > >
> > > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.
> >
> > This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
> > of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
> > to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
> > out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
> > protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".
> >
> > Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
> > realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
> > refuse to acknowledge it still.

Tim, please explain how my post suggested that I don't have a f*cking clue
about how cell phones work, cause I'm interested to hear your reasoning.

--Dan

2698. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008060155120.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 83068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Sat, 5 Aug 2000, Mark Rogers wrote:

> > AFAIK, the bottom line is that the FAA can't unilaterally bust somebody
> > for cell phone usage unless they've double checked with that operator in
> > regards to "approved" electronic devices on that aircraft. I know I'm
> > stretching it slightly with the Part 121 references, but I'm sure the FAA
> > can't bust me on a Part 91 flight.
>
> I'd be careful with that if you're flying IFR under Part 91.
> Personally, I'd be hard pressed to argue to the FAA that I had
> determined it was "safe", when no other FAR 121 operators agree
> with me. What criteria did you use to make that determination?

I haven't, I was just being facietious. Using 121 operators as a
benchmark isn't always appropriate. IFR minimum take off
visibilities/cielings apply to commercial operators but do not apply to
Part 91 operations. For instance, I can take off under 0/0 vis and you
can't. Yes, we're told, "if it's not safe for commercial ops to do it,
neither should you." But the fact remains that we can legally (it's not
the same as safe, I know) get away with stuff that you can't.

--Dan

2699. Re: Motorola STX upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-21CC2F.02230106082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 83069

In article <igupos4p8mcmv19tilqld5avu435eql2v1@4ax.com>, Bud the Real
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

> Nope, some STXs do type 2 very well, except that you can't program
> a scanlist except via a computer.

Er... I think that "some" needs explanation. Yes, some STXs do Type II
just fine. Some STXs do Type I just fine too. The problem is, the
controller is either Type II capable or it's not. There is no "upgrade"
per se. Based on his question, he's clearly got a Type I controller in
his STX. That being the case, if he wants Type II he's going to have to
replace the controller (at a cost far beyond the cost of buying a used
Type II STX outright). It seemed easier at the time to just say 'no.'

-A

2700. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <01966280.20ef5d57@usw-ex0103-023.remarq.com>
Source record: 83070

My current scanner is a BC235XLT. Follows the trunked frequencies around here just fine, easier to use than a 245 or 895, but lacks the computer control (which I really don't need). I've never been that big on computer controlled receivers, and 300 channels is more than plenty.

Picked this scanner up for *$99* from Grove Enterprises used equipment (excellent condition, and comes with a money back guarantee). Go to www.grove-ent.com and look in "Bob's Bargain Bin." If it has not been recently updated, call Grove and see if the scanner you're looking for is available!

.. A pleased customer

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2701. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <2jaqos4e9iqa9quekorv4j8g5niujs48b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 83071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 05 Aug 2000 00:00:03 GMT, David Steuber
<m3lmyctypk.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com> wrote:

>It picks up the local HAMs pretty well. Too well. Now I want to be
>HAM myself. So little money, so many toys ;-)

You can take a test for $6.70, I assume you are in the US, and get a second hand 2 meter for $100.00 or a new mobile/HT between $170-200. With the no-code Tech license it is easy to have family members study along with you and you all take the class together and you can use ham radio to keep in touch.

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/mods.html a site covering
NW Oregon Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio
modifications. http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

2702. PRO 2067 Search Limit Programing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <soqcvjm3ej180@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Comments
I posted a chart of missing data on search limit programing that should have been in the PRO 2067 manual.

You may web access it for your reference at
http://www.egroups.com/message/4phun-radio/4654

Vic

2703. Re: LAFD is definitely scanner-friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stefan Viljoen <rylan@intekom.co.za>
Message-ID: <8mji2ufvh2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 83073
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.scanner

Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:8mggp8cpt1@news.snowcrest.net...
> L.A. City Fire Department is certainly way ahead of most other agencies
I'm
> aware of in actually INVITING citizens to monitor them and even to
> participate in Communications-related activities.
>

This is amazing - scanning is actually illegal in South Africa, and you can
get a jail term for doing it...

Why do you think it is not the same in the US? I cannot see a problem with
it - especially since on our channel we use a set of radio codes that nobody
who is not trained will not understand anyway?

I have never been able to see the use of such a law preventing it...

Stefan Viljoen
F/EMS Dispatcher
Potchefstroom Emergency Services
South Africa
--
Starwars Forever!!!

mailto:Stefan_Viljoen@excite.com
http://home.intekom.com/rylan/

2704. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Wycj5.23311$RG6.1932291@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!

NEL KA2TYT

Robert Casey wrote in message <398CA535.B75B8A2A@ix.netcom.com>...
>Listening to subcarriers on FM broadcast stations with the TS440S and
>similar radios:
>
>As the TS440S can receive signals all the way down to 30KHz all modes
>(AM, FM, USB, LSB, CW), you can "surf" the SCA subcarriers on the FM
>broadcast band. Also those of TV stations on their sound broadcasts.
>
>What you need to do is tap the output of the main FM carrier detector
>before the deemphasis circuit in an FM broadcast band radio. A cheapie
>clock radio will do at first. The deemphasis circuit is usually an RC
>low pass
>filter. One way to "hunt" for this point is to hook up an audio
>amplifier to a
>test probe, and find a node on the circuit board that has main channel
>broadcast audio with lots of treble as compared to that found at the top
>of the
>volume control. One this spot has been identified, connect a 0.01uF cap
>in
>series with a 1K resistor, and that then in turn feeds the center
>conductor of
>a length of thin coax. The coax ground connects to the radio ground. The
>
>other end of the coax connects to the TS440S antenna input. Check that
>the
>FM broadcast radio still plays, as the coax cable is now loaded with 50
>ohms
>impedance.
>
>Disconnect the mic on the TS440S so you don't accidently transmit into
>the
>FM radio! Tune in 38KHz in USB mode. Now tune around on the FM
>broadcast radio. You should be able to hear the "difference" (L-R) audio
>
>signal of FM stereo stations. You should be able to notice the usual
>lack of
>vocals in most songs, as compared to the FM broadcast radio's mono
>speaker output. Assuming success, try tuning in 67KHz FM mode on the
>TS440S. Now tune around on the FM broadcast radio. You may find various
>foreign language programs and data transmissions. Also try 92KHz FM on

>the TS440S. Expect about 10% of FM broadcast stations to have
>subcarriers. Don't overlook the college radio subband from 88 to 92 MHz.
>
>You're essentially "surfing" the radio specturm in two dimensions, one
>"axis" is the FM broadcast band, and the other is each FM station's
>subcarrier signal spectrum.
>

2705. Re: LAFD is definitely scanner-friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis>
Message-ID: <Bddj5.181$f_.148745@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 83075
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.scanner

There are many people in this newsgroup who predict that owning a scanner will eventually be illegal here in the US also (like your country). Who knows?

John

--
Remove <nospam> to reply

Stefan Viljoen <rylan@intekom.co.za> wrote in message news:8mji2ufvh2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>
> Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
> news:8mggp8cpt1@news.snowcrest.net...
> > L.A. City Fire Department is certainly way ahead of most other agencies
> I'm
> > aware of in actually INVITING citizens to monitor them and even to
> > participate in Communications-related activities.
> >
>
> This is amazing - scanning is actually illegal in South Africa, and you
can
> get a jail term for doing it...
>
> Why do you think it is not the same in the US? I cannot see a problem with
> it - especially since on our channel we use a set of radio codes that
nobody
> who is not trained will not understand anyway?
>
> I have never been able to see the use of such a law preventing it...
>
> Stefan Viljoen
> F/EMS Dispatcher
> Potchefstroom Emergency Services
> South Africa
> --
> Starwars Forever!!!
>
> mailto:Stefan_Viljoen@excite.com
> http://home.intekom.com/rylan/
>
>
>

2706. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m34s4ysgxg.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83076

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) writes:

```
' In article <8mhtdl$6jid3$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>, "Roland Stiner"
' <nk2u@netzero.net> writes:
'
' > In France, all scanners are illegal.
'
' I'll bet that at first, only certain frequencies were illegal. Then a few more
' frequencies were made illegal. Then...
```

If scanners are illegal it could only be to protect your privacy.
Encryption is also illegal. That is so the government can violate
your privacy. This is not a problem, so long as you have nothing to
hide.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2707. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3ya2ar1j3.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I just want to point out that computers were mentioned at some point
in this thread. Consumer electronics from walkmans to laptops are
allowed on planes. The new planes all have outlets for you to plug
your computer into!

I don't know what the deal is with cellular phones. If there really
are concerns by the FAA and NTSB that low powered EF emmisions could
bring down an aircraft, I think they would be screening these items
out of you carry on baggage. They don't do this.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2708. Re: Open Letter to John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey, KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m31z02sgp7.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don't know what this is all about. All I know is that I skip a
whole lot of messages in this group.

There is a very simple rule that I follow on usenet. Don't feed the
trolls.

That is all I have to say on the matter.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2709. Re: LAFD is definitely scanner-friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806092631.11332.00005053@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 83079

In article <8mji2ufvh2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>, "Stefan Viljoen"
<rylan@intekom.co.za> writes:

>This is amazing - scanning is actually illegal in South Africa, and you can
>get a jail term for doing it...

>Why do you think it is not the same in the US?

We're certainly headed in that direction. It's called "incremental
legislation."

2710. All the Mackey-Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <398D6A89.CE90CD1D@home.com>
Source record: 83080

Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about scanners.

2711. US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmxyztpik13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806093844.25702.00001863@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 83081

Does anyone know where online can I purchase Kneitel's book <top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies>? Barry

2712. Re: All the Mackey-Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <033f3a7f.d0a6a104@usw-ex0106-044.remarq.com>
Source record: 83082

I could not have said it better. In fact I have taken most of my
postings to other message boards. As with life in general it is always
a few who ruin it for the others.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2713. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3snsir12t.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Kevin <kevin@> writes:

```
' You can take a test for $6.70, I assume you are in the US, and get a second
' hand 2 meter for $100.00 or a new mobile/HT between $170-200. With the no-code
' Tech license it is easy to have family members study along with you and you all
' take the class together and you can use ham radio to keep in touch.
```

All in good time. I am using that money to buy a UPS for a new computer I've built. In the meantime, I have the "Now You're Talking!" book from Radio Shack (got it for free!) so that I can study for the tech license.

For those that are interested, I am in the Delaware Valley area in the United States.

As for family, I keep in touch with e-mail. For now. If I could reach out to SF in CA or Houston Tx by riding repeaters or by use of the appropriate frequencies, it would be cool to get some family members involved.

```
--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller
```

2714. Re: New & seasoned scanner owners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3vgxer1bu.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 83084

My PRO-94 uses the standard BNC type connector for the antenna. It
also has a 1/8" mono output jack. This makes it very easy to hook to
any type of antenna so that I could use the scanner mobile or in a
fixed base.

I'm in the middle of spending my money on other things right now, but
my next investments in this area will likely in HAM.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2715. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronjen915@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000806102133.00899.00002794@ng-cb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 83085

Try
http://www.crbbooks.com/
follow the radio communications link, then the scanner link

2716. FT Yaesu VX-1R for BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dekanblue@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806104636.15556.00000038@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 83086

Wanted - BC245XLT (Newest Software Version 1.19), will trade for Yaesu VX-1R HT (9 months old) with neoprene case, 2-LI batteries, house charger and car charger.

Regards Ira

N2KB

2717. Busco frecuencias de Vigo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nemo <berna10@iberonline.es>
Message-ID: <Mlfj5.7509$nO5.110214@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 83087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,es.rec.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.misc,es.rec.radio.ondacorta,rec.radio.scanner

Alguien podr&#65533; decirme las frecuencias que se pueen escuchar en Vigo,sobre todo de utilitarias:ambulancias,bomberos,etc.Gracias

2718. Bill Cheek (was Re: FS: Pro-43...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <mediawelldone@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <398D845E.7CD235EC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 83088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mygosh ! I just did a search this a.m. after posting this ad and heard the sad news.
I haven't been on the scanner scene for a few years and didn't know much about news and such.

My conndolences to the family of Bill and everyone in the scanner groups.

He certainly helped me and I appreciate all the writings he took time to pass to the scanner groups.

Joe

Joe wrote:

> Hi, I have a pro-43, (sn007177) model no: 20-300. It is an older one and
> was modified for full 800. The chip was moved to another loc to enable
> access to other range, but I can't remember what range (aircraft ?). It
> was one of the cheek mods. The other mod on this scanner was the hb-232
> interface. I don't think I have the hb-232 card/box any more, but it has
> been wired and tested as I remember blowing 200 channels into it in less
> than 4 minutes ! (that saved a lot of time with those tiny pads !)
> Is "Commtronics" (Bill Cheek) still in biz ?
> It is in great condition, but the belt clip (plastic) has a crack. I was
> going to replace it with one of the metal ones, but never got around to
> it.
> Anyway, I have lots of extras too:
>
> (1) Radio shack discone
> (2) car mount antennaes
> (1) grove pre-4 amp module and wire antennae
> (1) original Radio shack Pro-43 service manual
> (2) copies Radio shack Pro-2006 service manuals
> (1) original Radio shack Pro-2004 service manual
> (1) original Radio shack Pro-2005 service manual
> (1) original Radio shack Pro-2010 service manual
>
> If you'll give me a few days, I know I'll be able to dig out all the
> Cheek modification manuals and approx 30 scanner mags from about 5 years
> ago (monitoring times, pop comm,..)
> I think I have a bunch of scanner books for Massachusetts to throw in
> also
>
> I really don't know what all of it is worth, but if someone wants to
> make a reasonable offer, I'll weigh all offers as I'm moving and need
> some cash to boot. If not, I guess ebay will have to help out :)

>
> I also have a box of electronics (resistors, capacitors, chips,
> breadboards,... you get the idea). Has to be around $500 in retail, but
> again, I'm in a negotiating position on that. I'll try to inventory it
> and mail anyone who is interested.
>
> Please be patient in my response as I have finals these next two weeks
> and we're packing !
>
> Thanks folks,
>
> regards,
> Joe

2719. Federal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmxyztpik13@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000806114041.08734.00001133@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 83089

Does anyone know where online I can order a copy of Kneitel's Registry of US Government Frequencies? Barry

2720. Re: FS:PRO 2035 CONTINUOUS-SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: trunker <trunker@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8mk2lgdfu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83090

In article <8mhn66$t9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
trunker <trunker@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Have a Pro 2035 for sale. Comes with manual, original box, and AC
> adapter. Good condition. Continuous from 25-520, 970-1300 MHz. $225.00
> shipped. Money order preferred.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
This radio has been sold.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2721. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Qogj5.15256$I76.823523@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 83091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI) www.RogerHalstead.com
N833R World's Oldest Debonair? s# CD-2

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Wycj5.23311$RG6.1932291@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!
>
> NEL KA2TYT
>
> Robert Casey wrote in message <398CA535.B75B8A2A@ix.netcom.com>...
> >Listening to subcarriers on FM broadcast stations with the TS440S and
> >similar radios:
> >
> >As the TS440S can receive signals all the way down to 30KHz all modes
> >(AM, FM, USB, LSB, CW), you can "surf" the SCA subcarriers on the FM
> >broadcast band. Also those of TV stations on their sound broadcasts.
> >
> >What you need to do is tap the output of the main FM carrier detector
> >before the deemphasis circuit in an FM broadcast band radio. A cheapie
> >clock radio will do at first. The deemphasis circuit is usually an RC
> >low pass
> >filter. One way to "hunt" for this point is to hook up an audio
> >amplifier to a
> >test probe, and find a node on the circuit board that has main channel
> >broadcast audio with lots of treble as compared to that found at the top
> >of the
> >volume control. One this spot has been identified, connect a 0.01uF cap
> >in
> >series with a 1K resistor, and that then in turn feeds the center
> >conductor of
> >a length of thin coax. The coax ground connects to the radio ground. The
> >
> >other end of the coax connects to the TS440S antenna input. Check that
> >the
> >FM broadcast radio still plays, as the coax cable is now loaded with 50
> >ohms
> >impedance.
> >
> >Disconnect the mic on the TS440S so you don't accidently transmit into
> >the
> >FM radio! Tune in 38KHz in USB mode. Now tune around on the FM

> >broadcast radio. You should be able to hear the "difference" (L-R) audio
> >
> >signal of FM stereo stations. You should be able to notice the usual
> >lack of
> >vocals in most songs, as compared to the FM broadcast radio's mono
> >speaker output. Assuming success, try tuning in 67KHz FM mode on the
> >TS440S. Now tune around on the FM broadcast radio. You may find various
> >foreign language programs and data transmissions. Also try 92KHz FM on
> >the TS440S. Expect about 10% of FM broadcast stations to have
> >subcarriers. Don't overlook the college radio subband from 88 to 92 MHz.
> >
> >You're essentially "surfing" the radio specturm in two dimensions, one
> >"axis" is the FM broadcast band, and the other is each FM station's
> >subcarrier signal spectrum.
> >
>
>

2722. Re: All the Mackey-Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@user2.teleport.com
Message-ID: <8mk4g3$a1$1@user2.teleport.com>
Source record: 83092

In article <398D6A89.CE90CD1D@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote:

>Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm
>really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about
>scanners.
>

He has a few avid fans that just can't seem to leave him alone - they don't hear from him for a while, so they stir him up until he comes back and gives them what they want. I've contacted primenet and a few other providers with copies of the emails of some of the worst offenders, and plan to keep it up until there are some results. The rest of you who are tired of this might do the same...

2723. Re: All the Mackey-Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <398D9530.B184489A@uswest.net>
Source record: 83093

"Larry R. Johnson" wrote:
>
> In article <398D6A89.CE90CD1D@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm
> >really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about
> >scanners.
> >
>
> He has a few avid fans that just can't seem to leave him alone - they don't
> hear from him for a while, so they stir him up until he comes back and gives
> them what they want. I've contacted primenet and a few other providers with
> copies of the emails of some of the worst offenders, and plan to keep it up
> until there are some results. The rest of you who are tired of this might do
> the same...

Contacting the ISP's will eventually get the user booted but with the
advent of all the free services like NetZero and the like they just keep
floating. This has been going on for too many years. Kill filters are
the current best answer but even those last a very short time due to the
frequent changes in ISP's by the worst of the offenders. I currently
use about a dozen kill filters in this group and that keeps most of the
crap out.

GR

2724. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mk6sc$g72$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83094

How can it be 'Top Secret' in a book is for sale that has the freq's??

In article <20000806093844.25702.00001863@ng-df1.aol.com>,
mrmxyztpik13@aol.com (Mr MxyztpIk 13) wrote:
> Does anyone know where online can I purchase Kneitel's book <top
Secret
> Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies>? Barry
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2725. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mk79hggo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 83095

It's like smoking which I do not. People make their own choices. If it affects your health all you have to do is stop using the product...

In article <ddnjosstkp1eqmi6jqthq852hshak5f92i@4ax.com>,
Kevin Maly <nospam@nworegon.htmlplanet.com> wrote:
> Cancer Suit Filed Against Telecoms
>
> Filed at 4:46 p.m. ET
>
> By Reuters
> BALTIMORE (Reuters) - A Maryland neurologist filed an $800 million lawsuit
> against the world's No. 2 cellular phone maker Motorola Inc. as well as eight
> other telecommunications companies and organizations, claiming that his use of
> cell phones caused a malignant brain tumor.
>
> Dr. Christopher Newman, 41, said in the lawsuit filed on Tuesday in Baltimore
> City Circuit Court that his years of using wireless handheld telephones led to
> his brain cancer.
>
> Newman named Verizon Communications Bell Atlantic Corp., Bell Atlantic Mobile
> Inc., Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems Inc., Washington/Baltimore Cellular
> Ltd., SBC Communications Inc. (SBC.N), the Cellular Telecommunication Industry
> Association, and the Telecommunication Industry Association in the lawsuit.
>
> Newman claimed compensatory damages in excess of $100 million and punitive
> damages in excess of $700 million.
>
> --
>
> Kevin
> ---
> http://nworegon.htmlplanet.com/ a site covering NW Oregon
> Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio modifications.
> http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

> ----------
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2726. No Scanners In France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mk6gu$6l5bs$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 83096

> I'll bet that at first, only certain frequencies were illegal. Then a few more
> frequencies were made illegal. Then...

I think that's pretty much how it happened. Congress is fixing to do the same thing here.

Roland

2727. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wayne2739@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mngro$sb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <3989DFC6.CDF2E2D1@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk>,
Steve Barnes <stephen@REMOVE_ME.barnes1.force9.co.uk> wrote:
> I regularly fly from Belfast to London (and often on from there). I
> always ask permission to use a frequency counter and/or scanner, and
> have never been refused. Most pilots state that it's OK provided the
> seatbelt sign is not lit, some say that I can listen without
> restrictions (albeit not many).
>
> This morning the Captain of my flight to London said that I could
listen
> 'but if the plane turns upside down, would I mind turning my scanner
> off, please. If it's not too much trouble'. Haven't the Brits got a
> wonderful sense of humor ;-)
>
> The freq counter works reasonably well, provided you get a window
seat.
> An aisle or a middle row seat is totally dead.
>
> I did get into some 'bother' last year on a flight from Canada. The
> stewardess was convinced that my freq counter was a transmitter,
> threatened to have me arrested on arrival at London. I told her to go
> ahead and tell the Captain. He came out and we had a chat about
airband
> radio and flying for 20 mins, he then brought me into the cabin,
> switched off the autopilot and let me pilot the plane for 10 mins.
Not
> many people can claim flying a 767 as part of their PPL!!
>
> The bottom line is to keep yourself right: always ask the Captain's
> permission.
>
> Steve.
>
> TW wrote:
> >
> > Which place service did you use? Most now don't allow scanners or
2-way
> > radios on the flight.
> >
> > JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3988CF1A.75CF0B0B@analon.com...
> > >
> > > I got a new toy.. It's a frequency counter. took it with me on

a recent
> > > road trip and found the frequencies used by people passing who
were
> > talking
> > > on their mobile phones or 2-way radios.
> > >
> > > On a recent flight, I had the counter with me and found lots of
celular
> > > frequencies in the 820-840mhz (mobile side). The towers transmit
in the
> > > 870-890mhz range
> > >
> > > A guy in a row in back of me called his office on his cell
phone... My
> > > counter lit up with an 841mhz frequency. someone across the aisle
used
> > theirs
> > > and it showed a 843mhz frequency.
> > >
> > > There is a conversion table available....showing hte
corresponding tower
> > > frequency for a mobile phone
> > > I did not get the ATC frequencies the plane was transmitting on
due to the
> > > metal skin of the aircraft....
> > > I did however come across some HF frequencies that popped in the
counter
> > as
> Stephen Barnes,
> http://i.am/stephenbarnes/
>
Hey Steve, what was the name of that airline again???

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2728. Re: West Des Moines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <srHj5.60970$fR2.625773@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82848

Well, let's say I tried, but your email address is bogus.

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:xlHj5.60962$fR2.625681@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Bud:
>
> I emailed you a list.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:beauosobpmu80859080evoeo3ns5bs3lmt@4ax.com...
> >
> > Anyone have a good list of the trunked freqs for West Des Moines,
Iowa?
> >
> > Found some info on a website, but my friend who is trying to monitor
that
> > system had no luck finding the data channel in the 13 freqs given.
> >
> > If anyone has good info, or knows a site with it, I'd greatly appreciate
> knowing.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>

2729. F.S. PRO 2045 Base With Ctcss Tone BoardPrice Reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mngv5sbp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82849

Price reduced to $150.00 otherwise its going on ebay.Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2730. F.S. PRO 2045 Base With Ctcss Tone Board PRICE REDUCED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mnh4e$sl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82850

Price reduced to $150.00 otherwise its going on ebay.To find out more
about scanner look at my post 08/06/2000

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2731. (John-Corky Mackey Watch) Mackey has no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <cGHj5.1372$wL2.211471@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

KS0F AKA John-Corky call me Toilet-Boy spewed.....
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote

> > Cheek had real technical ability, you have none. Zero. Nada.
>
> No, Cheek proved he had NONE of that when he suggested using a mettalic
> screwdriver to adjust a RF coil!

Not a word saying you have any technical expertise, John-Corky. You don't
and your only defense is in that John-Corky drunken gap tooth slurred babble
"Well Cheek proved he had none" bawahahahahahahaha! Still has Cheek 10
times more rf/radio savvy than John-Corky Mackey, originator of the saying "
Duh, well I didn't know I should check polarity before installing a
repeater. Duh". That's Smoking John-Corky for ya!

2732. FA Kenwood UT-28s 10M optional band unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tMHj5.12124$c8.3350229@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 82852

Ends Tuesday Aug-08-00 17:03:25 PDT
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=398575765

2733. Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 82853

Hello every one,
I was curious if any one had any idea if there was
any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any one
has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.

Bill R.

2734. (John-Corky Mackey watch) KS0F repeater jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3dIj5.1466$wL2.225332@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes he did and it was verified by 4 other hams John-Corky. Your actions here prove it beyond a shadow of a doubt John-Corky.

KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:wGBj5.80616$V34.737194@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> You have yet to display facts to show your information is correct.
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > No confusion whatsoever, Corky-John! The ere isn't any msitaking
> teh
> > facts, either. its all there for anyone who cares to go back and read
your
> > posts. I'm not going to waste time and effort reierating your whole
sick,
> > unhappy, spiteful, little tirade. You only deny that it is accurate or
try
> > and twist your quotes as remarks made by those who post them.
>
>
>

2735. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <fjlj5.1488$wL2.227509@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well the vote so far is hate John-Corky Mackey 6

Hate me - 0

Life goes on.

Mark <marks@mail> wrote in message
news:u9btossq2bp0crpae47tthkt21ukf256qr@4ax.com...
> "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> >SNIP
> >> Do you notice there are
> >> always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
> >rarely
> >> does anyone ever defend you.
> >Glenn
> >
> >Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or
30.
> >
> >The number of people who dislike you is far higher.
>
> Not even close, Corky. Not even close.
>
> Denial and lying is your strong point.

2736. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000) - Corky just can't handle it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <eslj5.1517$wL2.231444@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Who's Becca???????????

Mark <marks@mail> wrote in message
news:rabtoscvi64igverd2i8broce0cb6o168u@4ax.com...
> "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> >> It's amazing how you, convienently, snip all the relevant
> >> conversation out of your reply. It's your life story.
> >
> >No, I simply cut out all the bullshit.
>
> Wrong. You create it, Corky. You fool nobody.
>
> How's Becca?

2737. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <8mnkv4$39h$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82857

I'm curious since you are looking for something different from
the PCR1000.. do you have a PCR1000 and you are looking
for something else??

If so,what did you find in the way of performance that was
a problem ??

I just purchased a PCR1000 last week and am very impressed
with the performance actually ..

Trish
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> Hello every one,
> I was curious if any one had any idea if there
was
> any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
> remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any
one
> has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
>
> Bill R.
>
>

2738. FS PRO-2021 200 channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <TJIj5.3489$iN2.18324@news2.atl>
Source record: 82858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
PRO-2021
200 channel base scanner
Very nice scanner (clean none smoker)
Does not cover 800mhz
Comes with mounting bracket (mobile use) and the manual. No box.

$45 plus 8.50 shipping (insurance is extra if you want it)
```

If I have no takers by Tuesday evening..... its going on eBay.
--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 225 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

2739. WWW Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy Boot <asperges@innotts.co.uk>
Message-ID: <c5mtos4oou28hlkssvt7s349e2f714n1co@4ax.com>
Source record: 82859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Aug/Sept 2000 Update - Amateur Radio Pages:

http://go.to/g4njh OR http://www.innotts.co.uk/~asperges

International Amateur Radio Pages:

This month:

* Unique Diversity of Ham Radio
* Keep Informed List
* G4CPJ Silent Key
* Internet and Am Radio
* Latest Amateur Radio News read to you.

AND AS ALWAYS:

* Updated news, comment and debate;
* Real Audio on many pages;
* Updated UK Rallies
* Listeners / Scanner Enthusiast Section
* Links and feedback.
* Ideal for experts of beginners;

Text and Real Audio and video!
As always: everyone welcome.

73 de Jeremy G4NJH

2740. turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Connor <tconnor@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <398F58FA.9C2441EC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82860

I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.

2741. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mnlph$6tbsu$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82861

> So, how do I modify my European one so it can scan from 29 MHz (or lower)
?

I don't think you can...

Roland

2742. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <398F5FBD.BF7C6A19@mailco.net>
Source record: 82862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> "Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
> news:398E2E7C.9A8D8C57@mailco.net...
> > > You keep confusing equipment DESIGNED and CERTIFIED for use in aircraft
> that
> > > have antennas on the OUTSIDE of the aircraft with consumer-grade
> equipment
> > > that is NOT designed for use in aircraft, being used INSIDE the
> aircraft.
> >
> > No consumer grade equipment has the ability to affect any equipment aboard
> any
> > aircraft.
>
> Since I seriously doubt that you have the background, training, or
> experience to make the above statement with any degree of accuracy, we can
> forget it. Blanket statements like the above are worthless.

It is a FACT, which you would realize if you had not just memorized the answers for your multiple choice tech ham license. I have an Extra, and a GROL commercial license. I also fly with 2 pilot friends and use 5 watt HTs on board all the time without any problems to flight instruments.

2743. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <398F604A.59A4675@mailco.net>
Source record: 82863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> >> Every cell site in the country? Apart from line of site issues,
> >> there are distance limits to cellular communications. How high do
> >> you think passenger aeroplanes fly?
>
> >with a walkie talkie, I talked with someone using a walkie talkie on a plane
> >over Texas while I was in Chicago. A cellphone would have interfered with
> >every cell site for at least that distance in every direction from a plane.
>
> No, it would not. Cellular communications are distance limited.

You OBVIOUSLY don't have the knowledge to even be debating this!

2744. Programming Bearcat 101??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: corker <corker@lewistown.net>
Message-ID: <QCJj5.1234$w675.18678164@news.randori.com>
Source record: 82864

I have aquired a Bearcat 101 scanner and wonder if it still works. Would anyone have a manual that may tell how to program it??
Thanks in advance.
Bulldog-56 F100

2745. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <398F6FAE.3A2@gwis.com>
Source record: 82865

Mike Gormley wrote:
>
> Tim Connor wrote:
>
> > I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> > freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.
>
> This is only from memory so take it for what it is worth. I believe
> the Ohio Turnpike freqs are 155.790 or 155.970 can't remember .
> Also try 155.625or something like that. The Ohio Highway Patrol Smokey
> in the Air uses 45.02 (or was it 44.02?)
>
> --
> Mike Gormley

The Ohio Hwy Patrol uses 155.685 and 155.790 as their main channels.
155.370 and 154.935 for intersystem.

2746. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <398F4A05.CC396FD2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 82866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some say the leaves will affect reception but they are probably
referring to a forest of many trees not just one. You probably can't
measure the difference of one tree but you know it will be better than
an indoor antenna!

Anyway try to get some parachute cord, the olive drab color, over the
highest limb you can with a weight on the end. Let this come down and
securely attach it to your antenna with coax attached. Now just hoist it
up and check out the reception.

I grew a tree (tried to grow 2 for stereo) on my patio for the express
porpose of hiding antennas inside. I have 2 Slinkys and a L400 LF active
antenna presently hidden in it.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -7 hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ

2747. Re: Open Letter to N7NT Richard Kendrick and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sovlbtb063a35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I dont think i need to point out to the folks in this group just who i was talking about , looney-tune. and it wasn`t Kendrick.....

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:o8Cj5.80633$V34.737515@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Your points about N7NT Richard Kendrick are well taken. Thank you for your
> support.
>
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> > The fact is ,that human nature is human nature . No other fact is relevant
> > in "bringing a halt " to this.... You will NOT "bring a halt" to it, in
> > fact. some will always respond to the Looney, others willl only repond
> > occasionally for laughs, While still others refuse to respond at
> all...This
> > has been, and will always be the case here, even though 5 years has
> slipped
> > by. To continue his attacks on Cheek, even after the man is dead and
> gone,
> > demonstrates in spades the fact that he is, as we say up here, "About
> three
> > sacks short of a load".
> >
> > He will continue, it is his only aim and obsession. he lacks the
> > intelligence to do anything more with his life. He can no more stop than
> a
> > dog can stop scratching his fleas. An idiot cannot be more than he is.
> > Cretins do not finally "Get the message". That is why they are cretins.
> You
> > must understand him this much to see the hilarity as he continues to flop
> > along in his ineffective, ever-repeating pattern, time after time, while a
> > dead man lies in his grave, and no longer hears, nor cares. Try looking at
> > the poor dirt-eating slob in this light, and you , too, can learn to be
> > amused with his antics...................
>
>
>

2748. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8mp50t$79s$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 82868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The problem could lie in the leaves, especially if you're monitoring 800-band, or in the cable...or both. The higher the frequencies you're trying to receive, the more they tend to be affected by these things. Be sure you use good, new, cable and don't run it any farther than you absolutely need to.

There's an antenna out that's made for your type of situation called the "Ventenna." I haven't used one, but the idea is interesting. They have a website at, of course, http://www.ventenna.com .

There were a coupl posts on the "strongsignals.net" site a while back, which I'll paste below. I've used antennas in my attic with very satisfactory results, by the way.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/ radios, trains, LAPS Communications etc

From http://strongsignals.net/wwwboard/messages/11867.html :

Posted by T.R.R. on April 16, 2000 at 23:13:13:

I would like to know which Ventenna to use for Scanning. I scan from around 33.000mhz all the way up to 868.000mhz. Also, how well do they work? Any help would be appreciated. Thanx.

Posted by L.Wiland on April 17, 2000 at 11:30:15:
In Reply to: Ventenna Antenna posted by T.R.R. on April 16, 2000 at 23:13:13:

Hello !
My understanding is that there is only one Ventenna designed specifically for scanners..the other one is for 2-meter ham operation.Altho' I do not own one, I read a review of this antenna some time ago in MONITORING TIMES magazine as I was thinking of getting one myself.The article stated that this antenna performed well in the VHF hi-band, but was marginal in everything else above or below this freq range.The reason was stated as the LENGTH of the Ventenna being cut so closely to the optimum antenna length for the VHF hi- band !The review was not too favorable and discouraged me from buying one myself. HOWEVER, it also stated (and made sense...) that this antenna is better than nothing if you live in an area restricting antenna erection due to zoning or whatever, and will increase the performance of your scanner somewhat.Heck..ANY outdoor antenna up high will help somehow, and if you cannot put something up because of finicky

neighbors or landlords, it still might be worth considering. But, if you DO have an option to put up something else, I'd suggest considering something else. There are a LOT of excellent base scanner antennas around, but sadly, few that are "camoflauged" as well as the Ventenna. Good luck on your project and selection !

Posted by Harold on April 17, 2000 at 23:35:24:
In Reply to: Re: Ventenna Antenna posted by L.Wiland on April 17, 2000 at 11:30:15:

: If your roof is wood with asphalt shingles, mount a good antenna in the attick. This is where all of my antennas are and they work great.

"DH" <dh@crewcompany.com> wrote in message news:ZLFj5.15$mo.10585@news.uswest.net...
I have put my antenna (I got it from a friend so I don't even know what kind it is--long with 3 smaller pieces near the bottom in a triangular pattern sticking out from the main pole) along the trunk of a tree as my Homeowner's Association does not allow these types of antennas.

Because of having to "hide" the antenna, I am wondering if the leaves will affect my reception. I do get some police transmissions, but not as many as I would expect (I live in the Phoenix area).

If the leaves do affect it, would I be better off with it on the ground, hidden by my wall? What have others done with a similar situation?

Thank you for your help.

2749. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8mmmd5$aq6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82897

<kal4cu@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8mk6sc$g72$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> How can it be 'Top Secret' in a book is for sale that has the freq's??
>

That's just a buzzy title for a book....:-)

Today, very little is 'top secret'..

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

2750. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3qmtosk6fqe54oas3d8jfabqlgbe5v8dlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 82898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 22:35:24 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
<sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:

>No consumer grade equipment has the ability to affect any equipment aboard any
>aircraft.

Your statement is incorrect. Consumer grade equipment is certified
under FCC part 15, for RF interference. Part 15 is all about
interference mitigation and limitation --it does not guarantee that
any given device will not interfere with other licensed services. It
merely limits the potential for interference.

That interference can still be substantial, despite testing and
certification. Further, part 15 is all about *design* certification.
It does not *prevent* unintentional radiation from any given unit.

So contrary to your assertions, there really have been cases of
aircraft going off course due to portable electronic devices. For an
example see
http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/callback_issues/cb_201.htm

>> And speaking of law, the captain of the plane, command pilot, pilot in
>> charge, whatever term you wish to use, has the final say on the usage of
>> any, repeat, ANY consumer electronics on board a commercial flight. His
>> word is LAW.
>
>No one disputes this, NOR does it even closely relate to the issue that
>cellphones do not interfere with any navigation equipment, only the cellular
>system.

Forget cell-phones for a minute: The citations provided by Mark, Dan
Larson, and others were quite appropriate. These laws apply to ALL
portable electronic devices you might carry aboard an aircraft.

Would a cell phone cause interference to an airliner's navigation
systems? Not likely --but likely or not, there is no guarantee. In
any case, how do you know the phone is working as well as it did in
the factory? How would you *prove* the phone is safe? Let's face
facts: anything unnecessary operating in an aircraft while it's on an
instrument approach is unwanted. Would you take the chance that
everybody's cell phone is working as designed while screaming toward
the runway at 180 knots on a dark, foggy night?

If you really think you are right about this issue, go get yourself a
private pilot certificate, buy your own airplane and then you can use
whatever you want to your heart's desire. Until then, live by the

rules everybody else does. The FAA doesn't hand out exceptions just
because you think you know something.

>You should know better having a Tech Ham License, but then again, if you did,
>you wouldn't be posting your call sign on a newsgroup so everyone knows your
>home address. Not a very wise thing to do nowadays on a newsgroup.

...Nor was the ignorance you displayed this post.

Jake Brodsky
Amateur Radio Station AB3A
Private Pilot, Instrument Airplane
BSEE, Johns Hopkins University, 1990.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2751. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <4iotos8b6ruqb1h7k2avmou6ijf6gt1p6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 82899

On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 14:02:55 -0700, Kevin <kevin@> wrote:

>Cancer Suit Filed Against Telecoms
<snip>

Sigh. And the worst of it is that he has friends who will testify that the damage to his brain was exactly the area closest to the antenna from his cell phone. Not one of them is an electrical engineer, however. Little do they know what happens to radiation when it enters human tissue (for that matter, no reliable models exist that show what happens to the RF when it is radiated so close to the brain).

The problem is the term "radiation." Most people associate it with nuclear energy. If only we could get the media to use the term "propagation." Then nobody would have any idea what we're talking about. Who knows, they might think we're talking about sex ;-)

The bright side? I hope the CTIA spends millions defending this case. And I hope they win after a long long battle. Meanwhile we can only hope they take less notice of the scanning community.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2752. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <wGBj5.80616$V34.737194@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have yet to display facts to show your information is correct.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> No confusion whatsoever, Corky-John! The ere isn't any msitaking
teh
> facts, either. its all there for anyone who cares to go back and read your
> posts. I'm not going to waste time and effort reierating your whole sick,
> unhappy, spiteful, little tirade. You only deny that it is accurate or try
> and twist your quotes as remarks made by those who post them.

2753. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kHBj5.80617$V34.737056@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please present your verifiable proof that I am a liar or be found
to be a liar YOURSELF by default.

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> You are obviously a liar Fat Mackey or you're

2754. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <hKBj5.80619$V34.736640@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, Richard Kendrick has been known to cause a lot of problems like you state below.

"JHiggins" <pillory@image.net> wrote
> Hmm. Same guy that has just called for a unilateral ignoring of Mackey.
> Which'll it be, Dick...?

2755. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes: wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3hf8xnjpf.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 82903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam> writes:

' As an addendum, there are many things that can bring down an aircraft that are not
' screened.

Thanks, I'll drive.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2756. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3em41nj4v.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 82904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> writes:

```
' > home address. Not a very wise thing to do nowadays on a newsgroup.
'
' It always amazes me that people seem to think that a willingness to identify
' yourself and stand behind your statements is bad. My word is my bond, I'm
' proud of my name, and I'm proud of my license. I've worked hard to acquire
' my knowledge of electronics over the past 35 years. I don't pretend to know
' it all, and I don't make blanket statements. As for them knowing my home
' address, what is going to happen? Are you going to come hunt me down? I've
' got a trashcan for junk mail, that's not a problem. And an answering
' machine to screen calls.
```

Ditto.

But what are your counter-sniper defenses? I use a series of orbiting
space defense platforms that can target a human sized target once they
are over the horizon.

Please, tie up your robe when you fetch the morning paper.

```
--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up
```

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2757. Richard Kendrick - N7NT - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <rXBj5.80624$V34.737144@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> do you actually think that by cross posting your
> lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass
me?
I was hoping peer pressure might convince you to start acting
in a respectable way.

> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
I have never done such a thing, If you insist on this, then please present
your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
My ONLY sister is LIVING & has no children. If you insist on the above,
then please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> of services.

Your statement in not true, Please present your proof of the above, or
be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
I have never lost an ISP. If yoiu insist on this then please present your
proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
I have never used a fake ID. Please present your proof of the above,
or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
I have never done what you state above, if you insist on this, then present
your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted

> on one hand!"
I never made the above statement. If you insist on this then present your
proof of
the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> for most of the last15 years."
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
I have never stalked anyone. If you insist on this then present your proof
of
the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
I was never kicked off Fidonet by anyone. If you insist on this then
present your proof or the above, of be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
I never said he "had been kicked off of Fidonet". If you insist on this
then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
I never "claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing
phone calls" as you state above. If you insist on this then present your
proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar

AGAIN, by default.

> You have been proven to have many other identities. A couple of years
> ago, I posted a list of over a dozen aliases that you have used.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
> honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
> Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
> is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym so he
cancels out.
So what is your point?

2758. Re: Glenn KB7PKC causing problems was:Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <BZBj5.80626$V34.737446@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> KS0F said........
> > Since he is the owner & trustee, he would be the one to inform &
> > initiate any necessary actions.
>
> There were no actions to iniate

That is because no wrongful actions were committed.

2759. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <8%Bj5.80627$V34.737430@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Correct, how sad that he can't find anything better to do than come here
& cause problems.

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> He has nothing else to do with his day. How pathetic.
>
> Corkinator wrote:
SNIP

2760. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <80Cj5.80628$V34.737329@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Do you know that when you point your finger at someone else, you have 3
fingers
pointing back at yourself?

"Corkinator" <corky@drools.profusely> wrote
> "KS0F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> give it a rest. You embarass yourself with every post you make.

2761. Re: Kendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <X1Cj5.80629$V34.737458@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Well said, very well said!

"JHiggins" <pillory@image.net> wrote
> Good Lord. I'll tell you what you proved. You've proven that you're a
> bigger horses ass than Mackey. You have been utterly obsessed with this
> guy for too long. What are you trying to get done here? Get Mackey to
> admit you're right, and admit to being a liar? What do you think the
> chances of him doing that are? Nil, right? Yet you persist. And persist.
> And the funniest thing is, he's sitting back and laughing his ass off
> because he controls you! You are his puppet, and he knows it and the
> whole group can see it. Why can't you, Richard?

2762. Re: Kendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <D3Cj5.80631$V34.737461@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Andy Moss is/was one of the better people on this NG. But you are right,
Kendrick seems to like to stir up people!

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> writes:
> >Unlike you Toilet Boy, at least Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
> >honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
> >Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
> >is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym
> >so he cancels out.
>
> Make sure you mention these long-silent antagonists so they'll be sure to
come
> back and start "mixing it up" again, will ya? Geez!
>
> Might as well throw Andy Moss in there while you're at it.

2763. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <y5Cj5.80632$V34.737572@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82911

Perhaps you should try following your own advice rather than
harass Adam.

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> I pray you pull your fat head out of your ass someday.
> duh... everyone here has to put up with corky.
> You really should take the time to get informed of whats
> going on before you spout off dummy.

2764. Re: Open Letter to N7NT Richard Kendrick and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <o8Cj5.80633$V34.737515@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Your points about N7NT Richard Kendrick are well taken. Thank you for your support.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> The fact is ,that human nature is human nature . No other fact is relevant
> in "bringing a halt " to this.... You will NOT "bring a halt" to it, in
> fact. some will always respond to the Looney, others willl only repond
> occasionally for laughs, While still others refuse to respond at
all...This
> has been, and will always be the case here, even though 5 years has
slipped
> by. To continue his attacks on Cheek, even after the man is dead and
gone,
> demonstrates in spades the fact that he is, as we say up here, "About
three
> sacks short of a load".
>
> He will continue, it is his only aim and obsession. he lacks the
> intelligence to do anything more with his life. He can no more stop than
a
> dog can stop scratching his fleas. An idiot cannot be more than he is.
> Cretins do not finally "Get the message". That is why they are cretins.
You
> must understand him this much to see the hilarity as he continues to flop
> along in his ineffective, ever-repeating pattern, time after time, while a
> dead man lies in his grave, and no longer hears, nor cares. Try looking at
> the poor dirt-eating slob in this light, and you , too, can learn to be
> amused with his antics.....

2765. Re: Open Letter to Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <U9Cj5.80635$V34.737166@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually - the title "Cheek-a-like" was started by "OBFD" roughly 2 years ago.

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> You and several others refere to people you don't agree with as
> Cheek-a-likes. Its went on for quite some time. Is it just a name for people
> that don't agree with you, or is there an actual criteria they must meet. Or
> is it just some sort of "clever" (oooooohh yeah reeeel clever!) name calling?

2766. Re: Open Letter to KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <CnCj5.80638$V34.737905@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty
> and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from,

That is only YOUR perverted opinion.

> in your opinion, the evils of Bill Cheek. In reality, your

MANY people feel Bill presented an evil presence online. This was
confirmed by him being kicked off his ISP (San Diego RoadRunner) for
abuse & being kicked off the Scan-L Mailing list by Peter Laws.

> At first, you started with FidoNet and Bill Cheek. When you
> attempted to disrupt and antagonize the scanner forum with your
> rude and abusive antics, you were admonished by Cheek to behave
> or face exile from the forum. Instead, you continued your nonsense

I simply disagreed with him on a topic, for which he banished me. At that
time,
Cheek was kicking about 5 people off the echo every week. He was kicking
people off who were more technically knowledgable than he or those that
he could not control & manipulate (in other words, all the GOOD people).
Fools like Blake B were the only ones left. Cheek actually honored me
by kicking me off.

> and subsequently were banished. Angered by Cheek's banishment, you

I was not angered, Cheek acutally honored me with his actions.

> followed him to the Internet where you continued to stalk and attack him.

I have never stalked anyone. However, when I arrived on this
rec.radio.scanner,
I found an all out WAR against Cheek. I simply stated my opinions on Cheek
& acted as a witness regarding his actions.

> Cheek defended himself from your attacks in the same manner and
> vocabulary that you attacked him. You couldn't intimidate him or
> your most vocal critics and resorted to rogue cancellations of

I never attacked Cheek. However, I have held him accountable
for his actions as would be done for any adult.

> their public condemnations of your actions. This cost you the
> loss of your account with your ISP Sprint. With a new account,

I have never lost an account with any ISP, including Sprint.

> It has been well established that you are a liar and a fraud whose

Please present your verifiable proof that I am a liar or be found to be a liar
YOURSELF, by default.

> Literally dozens of people have also asked you in their
> own way to cease your bad behavior.

Actually it is the other way around. Go read the message from Larry Johnson,
he is complaining about YOU, & talking about complaining to YOUR ISP of Primenet, NOT ME!!!

> demonstration of your sociopathic personality.

Please present your verifiable qualifications to make an assessment of mental issues.

> Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing
> with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action
> is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam
> and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal
> users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider

Be careful, Richard Kendrick, this could be used on YOU!

2767. Re: All the Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <QoCj5.80640$V34.737910@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82915

Greg - perhaps if the pressure is kept up on Richard he will sop
causing his trouble.

"Greg Renner" <grenner@uswest.net> wrote >
> Contacting the ISP's will eventually get the user booted but with the
> advent of all the free services like NetZero and the like they just keep
> floating. This has been going on for too many years. Kill filters are
> the current best answer but even those last a very short time due to the
> frequent changes in ISP's by the worst of the offenders. I currently
> use about a dozen kill filters in this group and that keeps most of the
> crap out.

2768. Re: All the Cheeck crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <7qCj5.80651$V34.737934@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82916

There is actually a NG just for the purpose you state. It is called "alt.fan.bill.cheek". Wouldn't if be nice if all the Cheek-a-likes would go there?

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote
> Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm
> really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about
scanners.

2769. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <BDCj5.80681$V34.738062@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> KS0F dreamed:
> > 1. I am not hated
>
> You are so delusional, you can't
> see the truth: No one likes you, no one believes you, everyone one

That is not true. MANY people have posted messages supporting me
on this NG. You are the one who gets messages of people talking about
complaining to your ISP. (see the mess from Larry Johnson!!!)

> Reposting one of your diatribes from one of your fictitious personas
> blasting Cheek doesn't count.

I don't have any "fictitious personas", but I can repost messages from
otyher verifiable users. Would you like to see a few posts?

> Speaking of proof, where's the proof of your claim to have a "Masters
> of Communication Technology"?

As I have stated before, I will post my education data as soon as Cheek's is
posted. People were asking for his LONG before anyone asked about mine.

> Cheek was a real person, a loser, a liar, and a fraud.

Well said, very well said!

> Cheek had real technical ability, you have none. Zero. Nada.

No, Cheek proved he had NONE of that when he suggested using a mettalic
screwdriver to adjust a RF coil!

2770. Re: (KB7PKC alert) Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <jKCj5.80685$V34.738129@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote

> Considering you still hold
> the r.r.s scanner title for most off topic (389) it can't be a bandwidth
> concern. Well let's take a look at what you left out.

Both you & Cheek beat that figure!

> As we all can see John, you have to steal my ideas too! Did you think
> nobody would notice? What a putz.

Actually Glenn, I was suggesting you follow your own advice. Did you think
nobody would notice? What a fool you are!

> You are the only person to mention this, 6
> years running. Just think, you have been a jackass for more than 6
> years on the newsgroups. The ONLY thing you are known for. Jackass

How could that be, it only happened 4 years ago!!!!!!!!

2771. Re: What's up with Floyd Cents?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <mMCj5.80687$V34.738175@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Mark" <marks@mail> wrote
> That title has been taken by you many years ago.

I guess YOU would be the authority since YOU are the KING of FOOLS!

2772. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <zOCj5.80688$V34.735653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have NEVER told a lie in my entire adult life.

I have never been in denial.

"Mark" <marks@mail> wrote
> Denial and lying is your strong point.

2773. WTB oR WT SCANNER WITH CTCSS DECODE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SIERRA <spa911@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <398EF8FB.1A48C87@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82921

I am looking for a good but cheap scanner with CTCSS DECODE

2774. PC RADIO SCANNERS???????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SIERRA <spa911@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <398EF938.E1235FA9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82922

WHAT IS A GOOD PC SCANNER?? NOT PHOTO SCANNER "RADIO SCANNER"

2775. Re: oobladee, ooblada,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <BTCj5.80691$V34.738174@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82923

When?

"Mark" <marks@mail> wrote
> You've been CHALLENGED many times and failed
> every single one of them.

2776. Re: WTB oR WT SCANNER WITH CTCSS DECODE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JTCj5.60779$fR2.622104@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82924

Look for a used Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-92, as the 92A is out now, and there will be some 92's for sale. Spotted a few on Ebay recently.

Bill Crocker

"SIERRA" <spa911@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:398EF8FB.1A48C87@pacbell.net...
> I am looking for a good but cheap scanner with CTCSS DECODE
>

2777. Re: PC RADIO SCANNERS???????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <8_Cj5.60784$fR2.622138@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82925

Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000, Optoelectronics Optocom, and the WiNRADiO are all good!

Bill Crocker

"SIERRA" <spa911@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:398EF938.E1235FA9@pacbell.net...
> WHAT IS A GOOD PC SCANNER?? NOT PHOTO SCANNER "RADIO SCANNER"
>

2778. Re: Klendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <o_Cj5.80693$V34.738321@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82926

Kevin, I have posted many on-topic posts. I do compliment you, admitting
the problem is the first step towards fixing it.

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> time. I have no trouble admitting it. Can you admit it? I have posted two
on
> topic posts this month.

2779. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008071437310.8943-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 82927
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Quite true, but the way the poster phrased he scenario, it was quite
legal. "Money changing hands" was his stipulation, IIRC.

--Dan

On Mon, 7 Aug 2000, BAP wrote:

> Only if you were going to take the flight anyway. It's a permissible
> "sharing of expenses." Otherwise, you just became a charter operator...
>
> Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu> wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10008070143310.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu...
> > On Mon, 7 Aug 2000, Rain McClelland >
> > It is possible to operate flights under Part 91 where passengers exchange
> > money with the pilot in exchange for flight. You can show up to my
> > airplane, pay me half of the operating costs of that flight, take off
> > under said conditions, and we'll be quite legal. Correct me if I'm wrong,
> > but that situation fits your scenario :)
> >
> > --Dan

2780. Re: DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org>
Message-ID: <53249E9E27CBE874.2CC280398AAFC9C0.CF899E42C4BA648A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82928

Is he talking about the Witchy Lady on shortwave?

"slomo" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200008050625.CAA08374@anon.cotse.com...
>
> DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!
> THE WICKED WITCH IS DEAD!!
>
>

2781. Re: R.I.P. Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <o5Ej5.67348$oj6.610967@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82929

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:sos9sni063a129@corp.supernews.com...
> Why do you have to constantly bitch about it ? What do you want ? people`s
> life history ?

Like I said before, if you don't like my replies, don't read them. Simple
eh?

2782. AR8200 verses MVT-9000 MK2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PjEj5.16886$Lp3.276179@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82930

Which is better?

I like the idea of the 8200 being compatable with the Scout frequency
recorder,
but the 9000 has more mods available to it and a cool signal meter.

HELP!!!

2783. FA: REGENCY MX-7000 (AOR 2002) - Mint - Complete

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <mwEj5.26545$Z6.710321@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82931

1 day left - No Reserve - $129.50 right now

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=395948445

2784. Re: AR8200 verses MVT-9000 MK2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <MBEj5.60850$fR2.622807@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82932

Have you considered the new AOR AR8200-IIB? New & improved!

Check it out... http://www.grove-ent.com/SCN50.html

Bill Crocker

"Jim Kiricov" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:PjEj5.16886$Lp3.276179@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Which is better?
>
> I like the idea of the 8200 being compatable with the Scout frequency
> recorder,
> but the 9000 has more mods available to it and a cool signal meter.
>
> HELP!!!
>
>
>
>

2785. Re: Detroit, Michigan Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <398F1B58.640965E1@mich.com>
Source record: 82933

It is rather clear to me that Fred doesn't know what the heck he's talking about. Perhaps it's sheer ignorance, perhaps he doesn't have the correct Fleet Map programmed, & thus he's only hearing what he's reported. Either way, he's wrong.

There's good stuff on the DPD trunked system, and anyone within range can easily verify this by just scanning the freqs, even if you can't trunk-track them.

Tim
Detroit

"Fredric J. Einstein" wrote:
>
> On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 21:47:57 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >When monitoring the City of Detroit, from Warren, Michigan, I normally scan
> >their assigned frequencies in the 453MHz band. This seems to be very
> >active, and cover most of the riff-raff. How active are Detroit's frequency
> >assignments in the 850MHz trunked band? I've never taken the time to key it
> >in, and I'm wondering if I'm missing anything?
> >
> >
>
> The trunked Detroit system, since it is basically a technical failure
> in terms of coverage and reliability, is used only for utility
> services communication, not police or fire (the "People Mover" police
> does use the trunked system, but it's not very active there). The
> only "excitement" to be found in the trunked region is around
> Halloween-time when the Detroit utility portable radios are given to
> the citizen patrols for prevention of "Devil's Night" fires. Then,
> the trunked system is quite interesting. However, the regular
> activity on the trunked system is pretty boring.
>
> Fred in Oak Park, MI

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2786. Re: WTB oR WT SCANNER WITH CTCSS DECODE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000807170656.01839.00004592@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 82934

the pro-92/92A is goin back on sale for christmas

2787. Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DH <dh@crewcompany.com>
Message-ID: <ZLFj5.15$mo.10585@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have put my antenna (I got it from a friend so I don't even know what kind it is--long with 3 smaller pieces near the bottom in a triangular pattern sticking out from the main pole) along the trunk of a tree as my Homeowner's Association does not allow these types of antennas.

Because of having to "hide" the antenna, I am wondering if the leaves will affect my reception. I do get some police transmissions, but not as many as I would expect (I live in the Phoenix area).

If the leaves do affect it, would I be better off with it on the ground, hidden by my wall? What have others done with a similar situation?

Thank you for your help.

2788. FS: RS PRO-90, PRO-2050.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.13f8f3656ef991f29896bf@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82936

Earlier today I posted a FS notice on the PRO-43 and PRO-2050. As was correctly pointed out to me by one Brian Winstead, the handheld is a PRO-90 (not a PRO-43). I had a PRO-43 in years gone by.

http://www.trsc.com/for_sale.html

All reasonable offers considered. Equipment is very clean, boxes and manuals included.

Please do not reply to the return address of this message. I won't see it. 5 shortwave receivers also for sale.

--
Tom Sundstrom
Contributing Editor, Radio Netherlands' Media Network
Contributing Editor, Radio and Communications Magazine
www dot trsc dot com

2789. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terryllee@home.com>
Message-ID: <398F31E7.DFB11317@home.com>
Source record: 82937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

My posting was NOT in support to you. It said that you are
"wrong" about Bill Cheek being asked to leave FidoNet. IT
NEVER HAPPENED!

Geeeeesh!

- Terry

KS0F wrote:

> Thank you for your support, Terry!
>
> "Terry Lee" <terryllee@home.com> wroteI stand
> corrected. You "did not" state that Bill was
> asked to leave Scanradio (an echo within
> Fidonet).Your statement that he was asked to
> leave Fidonet "IS" incorrect.
>

2790. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bruder <dakidd@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <dakidd-59E756.15115607082000@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 82938
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <ZLFj5.15$mo.10585@news.uswest.net>, "DH"
<dh@crewcompany.com> wrote:

: I have put my antenna (I got it from a friend so I don't even know
: what kind it is--long with 3 smaller pieces near the bottom in a
: triangular pattern sticking out from the main pole) along the trunk
: of a tree as my Homeowner's Association does not allow these types of
: antennas.

Sounds to me like what the CB folks used to call a "Stargrazer".
Probably tuned for CB reception, but without knowing more about it, I
wouldn't trust that guess.

: Because of having to "hide" the antenna, I am wondering if the leaves

First, a small rant, since you touch on a very VERY sore point for me.
Indulge me my whim, if you would...

My first, gut-instinct response is tell the HOA to go screw themselves
and hang it on a proper mast, out in the clear. Whose property is it,
Hmm? Yours or theirs? Having lived in the Phoenix area myself, I know
that the HOAs have WAY too much control. Red tile roofs on identical,
shitty-looking, sand-colored faux-spanish architecture for as far as the
eye can see, and god forbid someone paint their place a different color,
or try to irrigate an "unapproved" tree into surviving...

But then, I've never been able to stand subdivisions, or the mentality
of someone who would live in one. Even less the mentality of any
american who would accept some batch of pinheads telling 'im what he
can/can't do on his own property in the name of some homeowner's
association...

Do they approve your toilet-paper purchase for you, too?

End of rant...

: will
: affect my reception. I do get some police transmissions, but not as many
: as I would expect (I live in the Phoenix area).

When I was scanning (from the southwest corner of Jomax and Scottsdale
roads) I used a discone on a 20 foot mast. The traffic was pretty sparse
on the cop channels. Most (99.99%) of what I heard in the band one would
expect to find police chatter was mundane stuff. The most "exciting"
calls were for officer X to contact central on the cell phone. I finally
pretty well gave up on listening to them, instead, listening to the

contractors putting up the new subdivisions. There was much more life there. Whether that's because I was so far out of the center of town, the mountains were in the way, Phoenix PD had gone trunked, or some combination of all of those, I can't say. All I know for sure is that for a town as big as Phoenix, the cops were surprisingly quiet. Heck, even the taxicabs were moving to the equivalent of an MDT!

: If the leaves do affect it, would I be better off with it on the ground,
: hidden by my wall? What have others done with a similar situation?

If they have moved into the 800 range, leaves can mess with that band.

One fellow I talked to while there had gotten around his HOA by building a little mount plate for a rubber-duck, and sticking it to the peak of his roof, then running a line from that to the scanner. Hardly the best choice in the world, but it got him around his subdivision's idiotic restrictions.

--
--

Don Bruder - Dakidd@primenet.com
Horseman by day, 'net-freak by night. What a contrast, eh?
Make 50 cents (or more...) per hour when surfing by signing up here: http://www.alladvantage.com/join.asp?refid=KJW570

2791. Re: Klendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <soud3e5l63a130@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82939

As usual, John snipped out the part that makes him look bad. (the part where I asked him to repost a previous on-topic post.) He didn't do it. Perhaps he couldn't.
I'll admit, it would have been time consuming looking through all those posts.
Then he follows it up with one of his juvenile come-backs like " stop talking about yourself " or " It sounds like you should follow your own advice" Or in this case . " I do compliment you, admitting the problem is the first step towards fixing it."

Responding to him is the problem. I knew this a year or so ago, when I decided to not respond to him. I kept my vow for quite a while, better than a year. But then I blew it. Hopefully it won't happen again.
Bye John! Please feel free to impress us with another witty statement. You will get no response from me.
You are fooling no one!

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:o_Cj5.80693$V34.738321@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Kevin, I have posted many on-topic posts. I do compliment you, admitting
> the problem is the first step towards fixing it.
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> > time. I have no trouble admitting it. Can you admit it? I have posted two
> on
> > topic posts this month.
>
>
>

2792. Re: (John-Corky Mackey alert) Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <398F3907.98CAF073@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82940
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg

Boy I find out more and more about this large gap between
front teeth, fat, balding, ugly moron called Corky mackey
as each day goes by.
BTW corky you are VERY hated but too stupid to realize it.

Glenn wrote:

> KS0F whistled between his teeth......
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > SNIP
>
> What did Corky-John snip out this time?
> ------- restored text corky tried to hide-------------
> >> SNIP
> >(He alweays does this. Usual he is hiding his employment or some other of
> >his many lies)
> ---
> Oh yea, you hate it when I say that. The truth hurts John-Corky can't deny
> it so he snips away. Can take the heat little Corky, can you nurses aide?
>
> > > Do you notice there are
> > > always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
> > rarely
> > > does anyone ever defend you.
> > Glenn
>
> Wow Corky-John. You left out a whole lot more. Considering you still hold
> the r.r.s scanner title for most off topic (389) it can't be a bandwidth
> concern. Well let's take a look at what you left out.
>
> -----------------what Corky-John was
> hiding---------------------------------
>
> > >.....I would try and focus on the present, and try to re-build all the
> > > bridges that YOU burned behind you (regarding your fellow hobbists)
> > SNIP
> >
> > I haven't burned any bridges.
>
> Only because you have none to burn John-Corky. Do you notice there are
> always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet rarely
> does anyone ever defend you. Oh I know there are a few, maybe even 1 or 2
> you didn't make up that will on ocassion make a remark remotely in support

> of you but hell, Jeffery Dahmer and Ted Bundy had a few supporters too.
>
> Don't take my word for it John-Corky Mackey, hold a straw poll on the NG and
> find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you.
> ---
> ---
>
> Says it all and you couldn't defend yourself against any of it. The truth
> hurts yet rather than shut up and go away you snip and pretend like it never
> happened. You are at best a 11 year old in denial, acting out for attention.
> Duh! You actually repeat my last line later as if it was your idea. What a
> bloody fool!
>
> > Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or
> 30.
>
> Wrong, there are at least 20 that have asked you to leave for over 4 years.
> Take a Poll Corky John-Bowl. You can't. HAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> > The number of people who dislike you is far higher.
>
> I really doubt that, but it wasn't the issue. I have never debated it one
> way or another, it is John-Corky Mackey we are talking about. It is you,
> John-Corky Mackey that denies people hate him, most people on here. Take a
> Poll John-Bowl, prove it or be shown a liar.
>
> > Don't take my word for it Glenn, hold a straw poll on usenet and
> > find out for yourself, history certainly is lost to you.
>
> As we all can see John-Corky, you have to steal my ideas too! Did you think
> nobody would notice? What a putz. Take a poll John-Bowl, go for it!
>
> > People won't
> > forget your
> > antics, such as the trouble you have made on *.radio.scanner & on
> > rec.radio.swap.
>
> Only in your mind Toilet-Corky. You are the only person to mention this, 6
> years running. Just think Corky, you have been a jackass for more than 6
> years on the newsgroups. The ONLY thing you are known for. Jackass
> JohnBowl-Corky. Wow. No scanner knowledge, smokes repeater, bed wetting,
> problems identifying positive and negative when installing equipment, mental
> health aide, bed pan changer and a drunk. That is your legacy John-Bowl.
> That is what most think of when they hear your name. Impressive. Hold your
> poll fool.

2793. Mackey's jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <398F392B.1DF11C10@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82941

KS0F wrote:

> Kevin, I have posted many on-topic posts. I do compliment you, admitting
> the problem is the first step towards fixing it.
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> > time. I have no trouble admitting it. Can you admit it? I have posted two
> on
> > topic posts this month.

2794. Re: Mackey makes an ASS of himself again, jealous of Love for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <398F399A.D1B8600C@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F wrote:

> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > The proof of your lies has been many many
> > times before and also resides in DejaNews. You can keep trying your
> SNIP
>
> Then you should have NO trouble posting the proof if it is as easy as you
> claim, unless of course there really is no proof!
>
> > Since you have such a short memory (an established indicator of
> > limited intelligence) here is the list to remind you of your lies:
> >
> > 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> > Internet.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> > patronizing under age child prostitutes.
> I have never done such a thing, If you insist on this, then please present
> your
> proof or the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> > technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> > sister's children.
> My ONLY sister is LIVING & has no children. If you insiste on the above,
> then please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> > be married in one forum and single in another.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.

>
> > 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> > known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> > spammers and idiots.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> > Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> > of services.
> Your statement in not true, Please present your proof or the above, or
> be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> > or illegal Internet address.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> > prolific poster of off topic messages.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> > electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> > w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> > posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> > *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> > been a member of Mensa.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> > to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
> I have never lost an ISP. If yoiu insist on this then please present your
> proof or the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
> I have never used a fake ID. Please present your proof or the above,
> or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>

> > 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> > and total lack of technical expertise.
> Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
> I have never done what you state above, if you insist on this, then present
> your
> proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> > you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> > on one hand!"
> I never made the above statement. If you insist on this then present your
> proof of
> the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> > you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> > for most of the last15 years."
> Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
> I have never stalked anyone. If you insist on this then present your proof
> of
> the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
> I was never kicked off Fidonet by anyone. If you insist on this then
> present your proof or the above, of be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> > Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
> I never said he "had been kicked off of Fidonet". If you insist on this
> then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> > a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> > made to you at your place of work.
> I never "claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing
> phone calls" as you state above. If you insist on this then present your
> proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.
>

> > 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> > to your detractors on the Internet.
> I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
> on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> > "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> > and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
> Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> > sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> > of your detractors.
> I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
> on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > You have been proven to have many other identities. A couple of years
> > ago, I posted a list of over a dozen aliases that you have used.
> I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
> on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
> AGAIN, by default.
>
> > Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
> > honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
> > Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
> > is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym so he
> cancels out.
> So what is your point?

2795. Re: What's up with Corky Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <398F39F6.F7A5C8D3@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Your posts are really sounding like nonsense now.
Just LEAVE the NG Corky and maybe will allow you
back in 5 years under a different name. IF YOU'RE
GOOD.

KS0F wrote:

> Then I guess that makes you the group fool!
>
> "Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> > I like Glenn and I hate you gap between the front teeth

2796. Who is Larry Johnson Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <398F3A4A.B8042556@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82944

.

2797. Re: West Des Moines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <xlHj5.60962$fR2.625681@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82945

Bud:

I emailed you a list.

Bill Crocker

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:beauosobpmu80859080evoeo3ns5bs3lmt@4ax.com...
>
> Anyone have a good list of the trunked freqs for West Des Moines, Iowa?
>
> Found some info on a website, but my friend who is trying to monitor that
> system had no luck finding the data channel in the 13 freqs given.
>
> If anyone has good info, or knows a site with it, I'd greatly appreciate
knowing.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

2798. (John-Corky Mackey watch) Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <CmHj5.1319$wL2.204598@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:BZBj5.80626$V34.737446@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > KS0F said........
> > > Since he is the owner & trustee, he would be the one to inform &
> > > initiate any necessary actions.
> >
> > There were no actions to iniate
>
> That is because no wrongful actions were committed.
>

I am sure that you don't consider profanity and threats over a repeater as "wrongful", demonstrated by your action on here the last 5 years. You have no morals, scruples or conscience. The 4 hams that listened to you and the one that talked to you know you did it. 3 have posted that you did, not one person has said "No, John-Corky Mackey wouldn't do that". Not even one of your PARG buddies. Why? They know the lowlife you are John-Corky Mackey. End of story.

2799. FS:Nextel i390 Radiophone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamper@us-it.net>
Message-ID: <398E42E9.BE1E7421@us-it.net>
Source record: 82954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I have an as new Motorola Nextel i390 for sale.It has the large NiHM battery,desktop charger & a leather carry case with the quick off belt attatchment.Asking $50.00 shipped to the lower 48.
Regards
Bob Stamper

2800. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <8mkosb$1sb6$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 82955

So, how do I modify my European one so it can scan from 29 Mhz (or lower) ?

Thanx in advance.

Greetings,

Ren&#65533;
(The Netherlands), not France !

Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net> schreef in berichtnieuws
8mhtdl$6jid3$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > Some people say the Bearcat 760XLT covers the 30Mhz band, but others
swear
> > to tell it starts only at 66 !!
> > Are there 2 different types of the Bearcat 760XLT or is there a
difference
> > in USA and Europe ?
>
> Yes, in the United States, most scanners including the BC-760XLT start at
30
> or 29 MHz. In Europe, they start at 66 MHz because there is nothing below
> that worth listening to. In France, all scanners are illegal.
>
> Roland
>
>

2801. Columbus Ohio Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim <radiosOhio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <o5nj5.8702$IX6.63563@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 82956

Anyone out there know the Columbus Police Detective "channel" talkgroup?
Please reply offlist to tim@tabol.com

Thanks

--

2802. Re: F.S Pro67 Handheld Scanner SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ml0ja$1ns$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82957

In article <8mip8u$j9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> This 200ch. Handheld Scanner is in mint condition and comes with nicad
> batteries,original manual,ac/dc adapter and antenna.It features Triple
> Conversion,Limit or Direct search,Service Bank search,Search
> skip,Hyperscan,Data Skip,plus many other features.Price is $110.00
U.S.
> email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> Scanner is Sold deal pending.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2803. Re: FS BC855xlt Price reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000806203736.29200.00000186@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 82958

```
Priced is now reduced to $75.
Great little desktop scanner
Has the 800 coverage some folks desire
David
```

2804. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398E1624.2F88D293@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:

> > Tim Shoppa wrote:
> >
> > > Dan Larsen wrote:
> > > >
> > > > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> > > >
> > > > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > > > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > > > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > > > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.
> > >
> > > This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
> > > of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
> > > to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
> > > out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
> > > protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".
> > >
> > > Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
> > > realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
> > > refuse to acknowledge it still.
>
> Tim, please explain how my post suggested that I don't have a f*cking clue
> about how cell phones work, cause I'm interested to hear your reasoning.

Dan, I was agreeing with you. You just responded to my message, not Tim's. Tim appears to be an idiot.

2805. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes: wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398E18A6.4DF15245@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

David Steuber wrote:

> I just want to point out that computers were mentioned at some point
> in this thread. Consumer electronics from walkmans to laptops are
> allowed on planes. The new planes all have outlets for you to plug
> your computer into!
>
> I don't know what the deal is with cellular phones. If there really
> are concerns by the FAA and NTSB that low powered EF emmisions could bring down an
> aircraft, I think they would be screening these items
> out of you carry on baggage. They don't do this.

There are two separate issues here.

One: The FCC has banned cell phone use on aircraft in the sky, per the phone industry's request. This issue has nothing to do with aviation safety, nor the FAA.

Two: Scheduled airlines operate under Part 121 of the federal aviation administration's chapter of the CFR. A section in 121 states that passengers may not use devices which are not approved by the operator of the aircraft, and the operator has taken responsibility that the device will not cause interference to the aircraft systems. A non aviation approved transmitter has a non acceptable level of probability that it will cause interference to systems. Some of the systems are highly susceptible to interference, especially that navigation system. Anyone who understands how critical and sensitive the VHF navigation receivers are, and how a unwanted image on a frequency that they are using causes problems realizes this.

Airlines have determined that laptops do not cause problems with systems. Quite understandedly that they have not made this determination for transmitters, including cell phones. As for cellphones, it wouldn't matter if they did; refer to point one above.

As an addendum, there are many things that can bring down an aircraft that are not screened.

2806. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398E1946.CAAD1381@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82961
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:

> On Sat, 5 Aug 2000, Mark Rogers wrote:
>
> > > AFAIK, the bottom line is that the FAA can't unilaterally bust somebody
> > > for cell phone usage unless they've double checked with that operator in
> > > regards to "approved" electronic devices on that aircraft. I know I'm
> > > stretching it slightly with the Part 121 references, but I'm sure the FAA
> > > can't bust me on a Part 91 flight.
> >
> > I'd be careful with that if you're flying IFR under Part 91.
> > Personally, I'd be hard pressed to argue to the FAA that I had
> > determined it was "safe", when no other FAR 121 operators agree
> > with me. What criteria did you use to make that determination?
>
> I haven't, I was just being facietious. Using 121 operators as a
> benchmark isn't always appropriate. IFR minimum take off
> visibilities/cielings apply to commercial operators but do not apply to
> Part 91 operations. For instance, I can take off under 0/0 vis and you
> can't. Yes, we're told, "if it's not safe for commercial ops to do it,
> neither should you." But the fact remains that we can legally (it's not
> the same as safe, I know) get away with stuff that you can't.

That's because the FAA doesn't care that much if you go out and care yourself.
But once you take somebody's money and take them or their property in the sky,
you bet they suddenly care a whole lot more.

2807. Part 121 & Portable electronic devices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <398E1BC5.38A9E8EE@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> Stephen,
> You keep confusing equipment DESIGNED and CERTIFIED for use in aircraft that
> have antennas on the OUTSIDE of the aircraft with consumer-grade equipment
> that is NOT designed for use in aircraft, being used INSIDE the aircraft.
> FAA regulations don't give a hoot about tying up cellular systems, their
> concern is the safety of the aircraft, it's systems, and the occupants. The
> regulations regarding consumer electronics on board a civil aviation
> aircraft were in place long before cellular systems were established. All
> avionics (aviation electronics) have to go through a stringent testing
> procedure to certify that they won't cause an interference problem with any
> other aviation system before they can receive certification that allows them
> to be installed in aircraft. That is why aviation radios are so much more
> expensive then their commercial-grade counterparts. Compare the price of a
> GPS unit you can use in your automobile with one that is certified for use
> in aircraft. And while current consumer equipment might not cause an
> aircraft to crash (as you say), the FAA rules state that non-certified
> equipment can't be used while in flight.

You can use a non certified GPS while in flight. But you can't use it say, for
a primarly means of IFR navigation. Laptops are not certified either.

> Why is that so hard to understand?
> Like the commercial on TV says: "It's the LAW!".
> And speaking of law, the captain of the plane, command pilot, pilot in
> charge, whatever term you wish to use, has the final say on the usage of
> any, repeat, ANY consumer electronics on board a commercial flight. His
> word is LAW. That is FAA rules, and also the rules in many other countries.

That's not quite what the law says. Here, the context is scheduled airlines,
which operate under Part 121 in the regulations. To be sure, operators are not
pilots in command. Let's take a look:

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
operation of, any portable electronic device on any U.S.-registered civil
aircraft operating under this part.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;
(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the part 119 certificate
holder has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or

communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
(c) The determination required by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that part 119 certificate holder operating the particular device to be used.
---
(2) "Commercial operator" means a person, other than an air carrier, who conducts operations in air commerce carrying persons or property for compensation or hire.

2808. FA: Sony SC1-PC Scanner NIB Last Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <398E1E26.AAF8705A@orwell.net>
Source record: 82963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

See it at :

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397430189

2809. FA: Icom R-10 Receiver Las Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <398E1E33.360A2711@orwell.net>
Source record: 82964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397512697

2810. FA: Scancat Gold 6.0 Last Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <398E1E42.4130AE67@orwell.net>
Source record: 82965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equiment,rec.radio.scanner

See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=397517541

2811. Re: Kendrick makes an ASS of himself again, jealous of Love for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <r6qj5.79220$V34.727184@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> The proof of your lies has been many many
> times before and also resides in DejaNews. You can keep trying your
SNIP

Then you should have NO trouble posting the proof if it is as easy as you
claim, unless of course there really is no proof!

> Since you have such a short memory (an established indicator of
> limited intelligence) here is the list to remind you of your lies:
>
> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
I have never done such a thing, If you insist on this, then please present
your
proof or the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
My ONLY sister is LIVING & has no children. If you insiste on the above,
then please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar

AGAIN, by default.

> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> of services.
Your statement in not true, Please present your proof or the above, or
be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
I have never lost an ISP. If yoiu insist on this then please present your
proof or the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
I have never used a fake ID. Please present your proof or the above,
or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.
Please present your proof or the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

I have never done what you state above, if you insist on this, then present your
proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"
I never made the above statement. If you insist on this then present your proof of
the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 19. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "lioving from the Radio Communicatoins Field
> for most of the last15 years."
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 20. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
I have never stalked anyone. If you insist on this then present your proof of
the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 21. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
I was never kicked off Fidonet by anyone. If you insist on this then
present your proof or the above, of be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 22. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
I never said he "had been kicked off of Fidonet". If you insist on this
then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 23. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
I never "claimed a police had been filed supposedly about harassing
phone calls" as you state above. If you insist on this then present your
proof of the above, or be found to be a liar AGAIN, by default.

> 24. It's been proven by others that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 25. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
Please present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> 26. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> You have been proven to have many other identities. A couple of years
> ago, I posted a list of over a dozen aliases that you have used.
I have NEVER done this as you say immediately above. If you insist
on this then present your proof of the above, or be found to be a liar
AGAIN, by default.

> Glen Quarnstorm is consistent and
> honest. He doesn't like you, Cheek, or me, so he cancels out. Hugh
> Duff is so wacked out on prozac, he's oblivious and clueless to what
> is really happening so he cancels out. Flatted5th is a pseudonym so he
cancels out.
So what is your point?

2812. Re: Looking for Ontario Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Raqj5.7611$gW5.510755@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82967

yes, the uls is your friend www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls

Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:amckie-97EF15.01203306082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
In article <XIWi5.106964$1h3.1648937@news20.bellglobal.com>,
"NoFixxxer" <NoFixxxer@XHotmail.com> wrote:

> I actually tried that already, no luck. I know it's in the 450MHZ Range,
> but they don't use it enough for me to sit there and scan.

Do you know who owns the mall? Most mall frequencies I've seen have been
registered with a property management company name as licensee.

-A

2813. Re: Slightly OT: NOAA Weather antenna (attic mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Ueqj5.60178$fR2.617144@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82968

Carl:

Probably about a 1.5' vertical would do it. Simple enough to try...run the coax, then experiment with different elements!

Bill Crocker

"Carl/Lisa Van Camp" <thevancamps@interwebco.com> wrote in message news:398E2B58.960DB17F@interwebco.com...
> Can anyone recommend a decent antenna for this application? I'm in a
> typical deep suburban location with aluminium siding. My radio picks up
> the desired station using its' attached whip, but it's noisy.
>
> I've got lots of room in the attic and the wire run would be about 15
> feet tops. What do you think?
>
> TIA,
> Carl Van Camp

2814. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ciqj5.7621$gW5.513422@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82969

Write letters to the companies lawyers then. Give them the ammo to fight
people looking for free money

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000805190241.11332.00004944@nso-fi.aol.com...
In article <a68ooss435jp3msrev6k9fffr7bm04k3d7@4ax.com>, David Starr
<destarr@earthlink.net> writes:

>He doesn't have to prove anything. All his lawyer has to do is
>convince a jury.

Which will likely be comprised of the usual brain-deficient individuals who
award huge settlements in order to "punish those big, greedy
companies"...regardless of actual fault (or lack thereof, in most cases.)

2815. Re: BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <rpqj5.7638$gW5.514225@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82970

What is said below or it is in the battery compartment.

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:wMAi5.57734$fR2.584555@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
Mike:

I can't recall if the BC200 has a CPU reset button somewhere on it? If so,
have you tried that? Usually it's a very small hole that you carefully
insert a paperclip wire, or similar device, and push on a tiny button.
Sometimes they're in the battery compartment. Be advised, doing so will
wipe out all your programming.

Bill Crocker

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
news:398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net...
> My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the
> radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I
> tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400
> mhz band freq's .
>
> Do I have a junk radio now?
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
> P.O. Box 205
> Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
>
> "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"
>
> mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
>
> ICQ #24425724
>
> Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/
>
>

2816. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hass@aznet.net
Message-ID: <398e3434.9768222@news.sdsu.edu>
Source record: 82971

On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 20:36:09 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
<nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
>forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound and
>FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only those
>subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for
>the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
>since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
>subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
>
>Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
>it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.
>
>Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
>ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack
>that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
>all-band receiver.
>
>I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"
>dish kind.
>
>Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
>

Is this SCA like SAP, or different?

>NEL KA2TYT
>
>Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
>>Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.
>
>
>

2817. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <hCqj5.1310$hP6.110552@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 82972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Roger Halstead (K8RI) www.RogerHalstead.com
N833R World's Oldest Debonair? s# CD-2

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Z_jj5.23867$RG6.1988451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
> forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound
and
> FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only
those
> subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for
> the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
> since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
> subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
>
> Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
> it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.

Man, there's a bunch in the clear on C-band.
It wouldn't be pratical to schedule watching them because they are both
erratic and just a shot here and there.

>
> Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
> ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.)

Many of the newer ones have the ability to monitor at least some of the
subbands without having to hook to a jack out the back.
had a subcarrier output jack

> that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
> all-band receiver.
>
> I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"
> dish kind.

Pretty much not much, without a bit of cut and try.
BTW, if you want one of the older setups, and add in the local paper can
quite often get you a complete set up for $100 or less. Some are available
for the removal.

>
> Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
>
I kept my C-band because I qualified for the networks through grandfathering

but they won't let me take that to a Ku band setup, such as the Dish, or any other DSS system.

Usually I can find a station that "doesn't" have football, basketball, baseball, or championship wresteling.

I'm not much of a "spectator sports" fan. <:-))

Roger(K8RI)

> NEL KA2TYT
>
> Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
> >Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.
>
>
>

2818. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Vfrj5.22469$D11.20243@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
news:398E2E7C.9A8D8C57@mailco.net...
> > You keep confusing equipment DESIGNED and CERTIFIED for use in aircraft
that
> > have antennas on the OUTSIDE of the aircraft with consumer-grade
equipment
> > that is NOT designed for use in aircraft, being used INSIDE the
aircraft.
>
> No consumer grade equipment has the ability to affect any equipment aboard
any
> aircraft.

Since I seriously doubt that you have the background, training, or
experience to make the above statement with any degree of accuracy, we can
forget it. Blanket statements like the above are worthless.

>
> > Mark K5MAR
>
> You should know better having a Tech Ham License, but then again, if you
did,
> you wouldn't be posting your call sign on a newsgroup so everyone knows
your
> home address. Not a very wise thing to do nowadays on a newsgroup.
>

It always amazes me that people seem to think that a willingness to identify
yourself and stand behind your statements is bad. My word is my bond, I'm
proud of my name, and I'm proud of my license. I've worked hard to acquire
my knowledge of electronics over the past 35 years. I don't pretend to know
it all, and I don't make blanket statements. As for them knowing my home
address, what is going to happen? Are you going to come hunt me down? I've
got a trashcan for junk mail, that's not a problem. And an answering
machine to screen calls.

Mark Schneider
K5MAR
Grid Sq. EM16ld
Standing by on 145.35 (-600)
Stillwater, Okla. Anything further you need can be found at any callsign
database such as QRZ.com

2819. COmmtel TWR full coverage receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mp <mplanck@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8mlhblcir1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello!

I am trying to add a HOLD feature to a Commtel TWR-850. It is not a typical 'scanner' since it does not have any channels or a frequency display (Just an LED signal strenth meter). I would describe it as a Poor Man's Xplorer or R11 (Devices sold by www.optoelectronics.com). In fact it is often sold as a bug detector. It simply scans through a large frequency range and stops at the strongest signal. The problem is that there is no way to hold it on that signal, to catch a delayed reply or to simply prevent the radio from moving on if the signal momentarily drops below the squelch threshold. Turning the squelch all the way down just won't do it.

I tried emailing various overseas scanner retailers like the CBshop in the Netherlands, Javiation, Bandercom, etc...(since they used to sell the Commtel TWR-850) but none of them have any ideas on how to do this modification or how to get a service manual for this radio.

There is no FCC ID on the radio so I can't even determine who built it. (It was NOT GRE, I checked with GRE usa and GRE Japan and they both said that the had never seen that radio before). I also tried emailing Altai which is the European distributor for Commtel with negative results.

So if you:

1) Know how to add a 'hold' feature to this radio,
2) Know anything about the workings of the TWR-850,
3) Know who the original equipment manufacturer of this radio is, or
4) Know how to get a schematic or service manual for this radio,

PLEASE EMAIL ME!!!

Thanks for any help you can provide!!

mplanck@my-dejaz.com

(remove the 'z' to reply)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2820. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008070141270.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 82975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Tim's original message never appeared on my server, so I had to reply to
something :) Thanks, though, Rain.

On Mon, 7 Aug 2000, Rain McClelland wrote:

> Dan Larsen wrote:
>
> > > Tim Shoppa wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dan Larsen wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > On Wed, 2 Aug 2000, Big Ron wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > It is also illegal to use a cellular phone on anything that flys
> > > > > > (doesn't have to be a commercial flight... just any flight.)
> > > > >
> > > > > It's only illegal to use them while airborne :) and actually, the FAA
> > > > > doesn't take a specific regulatory stance on the use of cellphones. They
> > > > > may say something about them, but those words of wisdom are not contained
> > > > > in the big fat book of knowledge that every pilot lives and dies by.
> > > >
> > > > This statement reminds me of a reporter responding to the report
> > > > of a bomb threat by showing up at the scene and using his cellphone
> > > > to file a report. An officer points at the sign clearly pointing
> > > > out "Bomb Danger, no Radio Transmissions Allowed", but the reporter
> > > > protests "It's not a radio transmitter, it's a cellphone".
> > > >
> > > > Of course, this story is probably wasted on you, since you never
> > > > realized that a cellphone *is* a radio transmitter and probably
> > > > refuse to acknowledge it still.
> > >
> > > Tim, please explain how my post suggested that I don't have a f*cking clue
> > > about how cell phones work, cause I'm interested to hear your reasoning.
> >
> > Dan, I was agreeing with you. You just responded to my message, not
> > Tim's. Tim appears to be an idiot.

2821. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008070143310.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu>
Source record: 82976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Mon, 7 Aug 2000, Rain McClelland wrote:

> Dan Larsen wrote:
>
> > I haven't, I was just being facietious. Using 121 operators as a
> > benchmark isn't always appropriate. IFR minimum take off
> > visibilities/cielings apply to commercial operators but do not apply to
> > Part 91 operations. For instance, I can take off under 0/0 vis and you
> > can't. Yes, we're told, "if it's not safe for commercial ops to do it,
> > neither should you." But the fact remains that we can legally (it's not
> > the same as safe, I know) get away with stuff that you can't.
>
> That's because the FAA doesn't care that much if you go out and care yourself.
> But once you take somebody's money and take them or their property in the sky,
> you bet they suddenly care a whole lot more.

It is possible to operate flights under Part 91 where passengers exchange
money with the pilot in exchange for flight. You can show up to my
airplane, pay me half of the operating costs of that flight, take off
under said conditions, and we'll be quite legal. Correct me if I'm wrong,
but that situation fits your scenario :)

--Dan

2822. Re: DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <sJsj5.79724$V34.730566@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82977

Maybe he is referring to to Cheek somehow?

"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote >
> If this has anything to do with scanners, PLEASE TRANSLATE
>
> slomo wrote in message <200008050625.CAA08374@anon.cotse.com>...
> >DING DONG, THE WITCH IS DEAD!
> >THE WICKED WITCH IS DEAD!!

2823. Re: What's up with Floyd Cents?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <XKsj5.79727$V34.731618@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Then I guess that makes you the group fool!

"Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote
> I like Glenn and I hate you gap between the front teeth

2824. Re: R.I.P. Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <TMsj5.79732$V34.731594@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82979

The pot calling the kettle black!

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> Why do you have to constantly bitch about it ? What do you want ? people`s
SNIP

2825. Re: Klendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <RQsj5.79741$V34.731378@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82980

Kevin - How does biblical intrepretations relate to scanning?

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> Its certainly too bad that the bible wasn't originally written in modern
> English. So many translations that, perhaps without knowing , someone puts

2826. Re: Open Letter to Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <F0tj5.79768$V34.731010@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> By now you should have figured out that RicK Larson is
> John Mackey. The syntax is unmistakable.

WRONG!! I can present proof of that, also. Would you care to make
a money bet on it?

> He also has used the following alias:
> Makenzie
> Compania
> RadioFan
> Fred

What proof do you have that Rick Larson was using the aliases you list
above?

2827. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KS0F <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <R5tj5.79780$V34.732097@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Thank you for your support, Terry!

"Terry Lee" <terryllee@home.com> wrote
I stand corrected. You "did not" state that Bill was asked to leave Scanradio (an echo within Fidonet).
Your statement that he was asked to leave Fidonet "IS" incorrect.

2828. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <todak@axom.com>
Message-ID: <398e600f$0$61811$263ee65e@news.axom.com>
Source record: 82983

Sounds like you may have a control channel missing or locked out. I couldn't get mine to display any IDs on the EDACS system. I put in it manual and looked at every channel. WHADDAYAKNOW! The control channel was locked out. I unlocked it and it displayed all the group IDs.
"andyman" <andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com> wrote in message news:3988b6e3.5842633@netnews.voicenet.com...
> This is the exact problem I am having with my brand new Pro-92A
> (20-522A 05A00). Every once in awhile I receive a trunked
> transmission but no ID shows up, until I hit manual then an ID will be
> diplayed. This is frustrating, when no ID shows up it will not let me
> hold on the ID/conversation, and these are valid talk goups that for
> the majority of time I receive fine with the proper ID. I am very
> tempted to return it and just wait it out for something better to show
> up in a year or two.
>
> Andy
> >The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
> >whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed or
> >open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
> >to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
> >"T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
> >programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
> >except in the programming mode.
> >Jon
>

2829. Re: What's the best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <todak@axom.com>
Message-ID: <398e658a$0$62222$263ee65e@news.axom.com>
Source record: 82984

If you can find a used PRO-26, it would be the best one for MilAir comms. It will automatically store each frequency it finds, plus, it will search at 300 steps per second when you set it to search in 5 kHz steps. When set this way, it will search through all the freqs faster than it does using the default setting of 12.5 kHz steps or even setting it to 25kHz steps. You need to search this particular band as quickly as you can, as it extends over a 175 mHz range! I only wish it had a 100 kHz step setting, as most MilAir comms end with .100, .200, etc.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8mb1c5$4mn$1@news.netmar.com...
> I know but what is the cheapest I can go and get mil-air? I think I need
> triple conversion being in a large metropolitian rf rich enviroment. Any
> ideas, suggestions or (grin) donations?
>
> TL
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2830. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: BAP <bap2000@usaSPAMNOT.net>
Message-ID: <dKvj5.69308$3E6.706838@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 82985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Only if you were going to take the flight anyway. It's a permissible
"sharing of expenses." Otherwise, you just became a charter operator...

Dan Larsen <badger@seas.gwu.edu> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10008070143310.29100-100000@hobbes.seas.gwu.edu...
> On Mon, 7 Aug 2000, Rain McClelland >
> It is possible to operate flights under Part 91 where passengers exchange
> money with the pilot in exchange for flight. You can show up to my
> airplane, pay me half of the operating costs of that flight, take off
> under said conditions, and we'll be quite legal. Correct me if I'm wrong,
> but that situation fits your scenario :)
>
> --Dan
>

2831. Re: Motorola STX upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: resqr551@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000807060604.25848.00000112@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 82986

there is depot s/w out there that does this.

saw it when I work @ a Moto shop that was taking existing typeI radios the city had on our commercial system & upgraded them for use onto the city's new hybrid SmartNet system.
New municipal system was type II & IIi

2832. Re: BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <398E9233.751ECE12@snet.net>
Source record: 82987

A BC200 is reset by holding down the 2, 9, and Manual keys while turning
on the power. This radio is not equipped with a reset button.

Barry

Michael Champion wrote:
>
> What is said below or it is in the battery compartment.
>
> Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:wMAi5.57734$fR2.584555@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Mike:
>
> I can't recall if the BC200 has a CPU reset button somewhere on it? If so,
> have you tried that? Usually it's a very small hole that you carefully
> insert a paperclip wire, or similar device, and push on a tiny button.
> Sometimes they're in the battery compartment. Be advised, doing so will
> wipe out all your programming.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
> news:398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net...
> > My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the
> > radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I
> > tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400
> > mhz band freq's .
> >
> > Do I have a junk radio now?
> >
> > --
> > Mike Gormley
> > P.O. Box 205
> > Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
> >
> > "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"
> >
> > mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
> >
> > ICQ #24425724
> >
> > Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
> >
> > http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/
> >

> >

2833. Pro 92 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <usyj5.16333$Lp3.267932@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82988

Is the Pro 92 made by Uniden, if not by who?
How serious are the problems with the 92? Would the firmware upgrade
available for it be a fix?

I have also heard the Pro92A also has problems. How does it compare with
the 92? Would the 92 with firmware upgrade be better then the 92A??

Would you recomend another brand or model HH scanner instead of the 92/92a??

Thanks in advance!
Jim

2834. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes: wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com
Message-ID: <8mmehmpc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <398E18A6.4DF15245@use.net.nospam>,
Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam> wrote:
[snip]
> Two: Scheduled airlines operate under Part 121 of the federal aviation
> administration's chapter of the CFR. A section in 121 states that
passengers may not
> use devices which are not approved by the operator of the aircraft,
and the operator
> has taken responsibility that the device will not cause interference
to the aircraft
> systems. A non aviation approved transmitter has a non acceptable
level of
> probability that it will cause interference to systems.

The definition fo "nonacceptable" being amazing low
in this case however.

> Some of the systems are
> highly susceptible to interference, especially that navigation
system. Anyone who
> understands how critical and sensitive the VHF navigation receivers
are, and how a
> unwanted image on a frequency that they are using causes problems
realizes this.

Yes, but we also realize that if there was any real
credible threat, the systems would be hardened to address the
problem. They are not because there is not.

>
> Airlines have determined that laptops do not cause problems with
systems. Quite
> understandedly that they have not made this determination for
transmitters, including
> cell phones. As for cellphones, it wouldn't matter if they did; refer
to point one
> above.

But this is the crucial point of the subthread. They
are prohibited because of the FCC. Without that, the FAA would
have little proof of risk.

>
> As an addendum, there are many things that can bring down an aircraft
that are not

> screened.

In this case, they are not particularly concerned because
the credibility of the threat is weak, very weak. If there was
any real risk to the aircraft, the aircraft systems would be
hardened to deal with it.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2835. HELP! Need condo freqs....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: catskillsteve@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17957-398EB74A-9@storefull-106.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82990

I live in a condominium complex where all all the employees (management, maintenance, and security) carry portables. How can i find out what frequency they operate on?

2836. Re: Pro 92 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Kyzj5.60610$fR2.620448@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82991

Jim:

The PRO-92 is manufactured by GRE, for Radio Shack. The PRO-92 had some issues, how much of a problem seems to depend on where, and how, you use it. I have one, and in Warren, Michigan, I don't have the problems others talk about.

The jury is still out on the PRO-92A. It appears that although they fixed some of the problems the earlier model had, the newer one, has newer problems. Someone indicated that the earlier model can be upgraded to the newer firmware, by replacing the circuit board, but there will be a cost involved.

The PRO-92 programs, and operates, quite differently from previous scanners, both GRE, and Uniden. And there are even differences between the early, and later models, in how they're programs, and operated. Maybe when we take time to RTFM (read the fine manual), it won't be as bad as we initially thought.

Bill Crocker

"Jim Kiricov" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:usyj5.16333$Lp3.267932@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
>
> Is the Pro 92 made by Uniden, if not by who?
> How serious are the problems with the 92? Would the firmware upgrade
> available for it be a fix?
>
> I have also heard the Pro92A also has problems. How does it compare with
> the 92? Would the 92 with firmware upgrade be better then the 92A??
>
> Would you recomend another brand or model HH scanner instead of the
92/92a??
>
> Thanks in advance!
> Jim
>
>
>
>
>

2837. Re: Pro-2067 first impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <398EAE79.EB3B81D6@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 82992

Several members of our scanner club bought the Pro-2067,
2 guys have commented that theirs have poor sensitivity. I haven't
noticed this problem yet, does anyone else have a deaf 2067?

Thanks,
Jon

Editor, RMRA Scanner Group
http://www.real-utah.com/scan

Dave wrote:

> Sounds like you may have a control channel missing or locked out. I couldn't
> get mine to display any IDs on the EDACS system. I put in it manual and
> looked at every channel. WHADDAYAKNOW! The control channel was locked out. I
> unlocked it and it displayed all the group IDs.
> "andyman" <andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com> wrote in message
> news:3988b6e3.5842633@netnews.voicenet.com...
> > This is the exact problem I am having with my brand new Pro-92A
> > (20-522A 05A00). Every once in awhile I receive a trunked
> > transmission but no ID shows up, until I hit manual then an ID will be
> > diplayed. This is frustrating, when no ID shows up it will not let me
> > hold on the ID/conversation, and these are valid talk goups that for
> > the majority of time I receive fine with the proper ID. I am very
> > tempted to return it and just wait it out for something better to show
> > up in a year or two.
> >
> > Andy
> > >The bad news: It seems to randomly miss IDs now & then,
> > >whether or not they're in the ID list, regardless of closed or
> > >open mode. I double checked the programming instructions
> > >to make sure I was in the data channel trunk mode. The
> > >"T" is supposed to show up while scanning if you have
> > >programmed the proper info. I can't get the T to show
> > >except in the programming mode.
> > >Jon
> >

2838. Re: Klendrick jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sotl0ejq63a101@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82993

It doesn't John. Its an off topic post. You know, like you post all the time. I have no trouble admitting it. Can you admit it? I have posted two on topic posts this month.
Have you? Please repost them if you have.

Wow ! John"never-posts-on-topic"Mackey jumps ME about off-topic posts. THAT is funny!!!!

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:RQsj5.79741$V34.731378@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Kevin - How does biblical intrepretations relate to scanning?
>
> "Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> > Its certainly too bad that the bible wasn't originally written in modern
> > English. So many translations that, perhaps without knowing , someone puts
>
>

2839. Re: HELP! Need condo freqs....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <OHAj5.20986$k5.208045@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 82994

A very broad question; however there's several techniques you could use.

1. Try to get a look at the radio's themselves. Are they trunked? A clue to this is a beep that occurs a second or two later after the user keys the radio to let them know when to speak. You would then need to have a trunking scanner and know the local freqs/talkgroups for this. Non-trunked radios may also yield some clues; is there a frequency display? If so, some friendly conversation with one of the users may yield the answer you need; let them know you are interested in radios (perhaps don't mention the scanner aspect) and see if you can get a look. Sometimes the freqs are written on the radios with dymo-tape etc, or engraved on the back.

2. Find out who the owner/operator of the condominium complex is; the proper company name. Then, consult one of the FCC search index sites (such as percon.com) for your area and see if you get a hit (will not apply to trunking radios).

3. Hit and miss; use the search features of your radio. Admittedly this is the most difficult, especially when the radio users don't key up much. You can plan out your searchs to be more efficient if you do this in "blocks". Don't bother searching freqs that belong to other things such as cordless phones, air freqs, ham bands etc. Stick to your small search zones for a period of time until you know you can rule them out. Try to monitor when there is something going on, or at known times of check-ins etc.

4. Check to see if there is any web-pages for your area; usually small time users such as condos don't make the big lists, but if they use trunked radios you may get an id you can use.

5. Those hand-held frequency counters sometimes work well for situations such as these; don't buy one unless you're a serious monitor as they're generally not cheap and give mixed results. Know anybody you can borrow one from?

I hope some of these ideas will help; I've used all of them at one time or another with some very producive results.

Good scanning!

John
Steve <catskillsteve@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17957-398EB74A-9@storefull-106.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I live in a condominium complex where all all the employees (management,
> maintenance, and security) carry portables. How can i find out what
> frequency they operate on?
>

2840. FS: PRO-2050 & PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.13f8a5b05caaffa99896bd@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82995

Two clean Radio Shack Trunktracking scanners, excellent condition, with manuals, boxes. Make an offer: click on the for sale sign atop the home page at - trsc dot com - for pictures et al. Please do not reply to the address atop this message; I won't get it.

--
Tom Sundstrom
Contributing Editor, Radio Netherlands' Media Network
Contributing Editor, Radio and Communications Magazine
www dot trsc dot com

2841. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3k8dtnk2o.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 82996

zerg90@webtv.net (PS) writes:

' Are you sure that electrons have to be knocked off chromosones in order
' for damage to occur?

Well, the only other thing I can think of that will cause a chemical
change is cooking. That's a lot of localized heat. I don't think you
will get that from a cell phone.

If there is a problem with cell phones, then what about RF emited from
all the other electronics that suround you? What about your 900Mhz
cordless phone?

I just can't see it making a difference.

' If heating causes circulation increases, won't that cause faster growth
' of any tumors or cancer cells that exist?

If the cancer cells are already there and the body's immune system
isn't killing them, then you already have a problem.

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2842. Re: Can anyone give me a site address with good scanner/receiver reviews in it please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tiny <tiny2nz@home.com>
Message-ID: <39907059.CA083B91@home.com>
Source record: 82747

Bruce try

http://www.strongsignals.net/

TiNy

2843. Re: Airline Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000808170231.18417.00000106@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 82748

>If anyone has airport or airline freq in South Fl

Here's some for you. ALSO, you may subscribe to t ComAirScan@egroups.com. We exchange msgs daily about Commercial Airline monitoring.

AL STERN Satrellite Beach FL
AIRLINE FREQS [airline]
Aeroflot 129.5500
Air Aruba 129.9000
Air Shuttle (US Airways) 129.7500
AirTran 131.3500
America West Maint, Natwd 130.1750
America West Ops 130.9250
America West 131.7750
American 129.2000
American 129.3000
American (MIA) 129.3250
American 129.3500
American (MCO) 129.7750
American 131.4000
AmTran, Orlando Ops 129.8500
AmTran, St Pete Ops 130.2000
British Airways Ops 129.1500
British Airways 131.1000
Carnival 129.8000
Caribbean 130.5500
Cayman Airways 129.7500
Comair 129.9000
Continental 128.9250
Continental (MCO,MIA) 129.9250
Continental 130.3500
Continental 130.9000
Continental (FFL) 130.5250
Continental 131.2000
Continental 131.9000
Continental 131.9500
Delta (PBI) 129.5000
Delta 129.6000
Delta 129.9000
Delta 130.1000
Delta 130.6500
Delta 131.4500
Delta Dispatch (Natwde) 129.5500
DL Air Cargo 131.6250
Emery, Ft Lauderdale Ops 131.1750

Emery Air Freight 136.5250
Express One, Orlando Ops 131.1750
Fed Express 131.9250
Flight Intl 122.7750
Frontier AL 129.3750
Ft Laud FBO 130.1000
Great Lakes AL 131.3500
Kiwi 129.9000
Kiwi (MCO) 129.7750
LACSA (Costa Rica AL) 129.5500
Lufthansa 131.5750
Midway 130.6500
Midway? 129.4750
Midway (PBI) 129.5000
Midway (MCO) 129.3250
Monarch AL 131.4250
Northwest 129.6000
Northwest 130.2750
Northwest 131.9000
Phoenix Air? 136.9500
Piedmont 129.7500
Piedmont 130.5700
Signature FBO Svcs 128.9250
Southwest (in AL) 129.2500 (LAX,San Diego)
Southwest 131.7000 (Sacramento;Ontario)
Spirit Air - PBI Ops 129.2000
Spirit Air? 129.3000
Spirit Air Atl City Ops 130.5500
Spirit Air DFW 130.3250
Tower Air 130.5500
TWA 129.1000
TWA Tampa 130.6250
TWA (LAX) 131.8000
United 129.3000 (Ops)
United 131.0750 (MIA Ops Airborne)
United? 128.9000
United? 129.3000
United? 129.5000
United? 129.5500
United Express 128.9000
UPS 129.4250
UPS 129.4750
US Airways, Miami Ops 129.7500
US Air(ways) (Nassau) 129.7500
US Air, Chicago Ops 129.8000
Vanguard AL 131.5750

Frequencies (by Frequency)
122.7750 Flight Intl
128.0500 ?

128.9000 United Express
128.9250 Continental; Signature FBO Svcs
128.9750 N525JT inbd
129.0000 ?
129.0500 ?
129.0750 ?
129.1000 TWA
129.2000 American;Spirit-PBI Ops
129.2500 Southwest (AL,LAX,San Diego)
129.3000 American; Spirit; United
129.3250 American (MIA); Midway (MCO)
129.3500 American
129.3750 Frontier AL
129.4250 UPS
129.4750 Midway?; UPS
129.5000 Delta (PBI); Midway (PBI); United
129.5500 Aeroflot; Delta Dispatch (Natwde); United; LACSA
129.6000 Northwest, Delta
129.6750 ?
129.7250 ?
129.7500 Cayman; Piedmont; US Air (Nassau); Air Shuttle (US Airways)
129.7750 American (MCO); Kiwi (MCO)
129.8000 Carnival;US Air Chicago Ops
129.8500 AmTran Orlando Ops;Kiwi
129.8750 ?
129.9000 Air Aruba; Comair; Kiwi; Delta
129.9250 Continental
129.9750 ?
130.1000 Delta; Ft Laud FBO relays msges t ALs
130.1750 America West (Cactus)
130.2000 AmTran St Pete Ops
130.2750 Northwest
130.3250 Spirit Air DFW
130.3500 Continental
130.5250 Continental (FFL)
130.5500 Caribbean; Tower Air; Spirit Air
130.5700 Piedmont
130.6000 ?
130.6250 TWA Tampa
130.6500 Delta; Midway
130.7000 ?
130.7750 ?
130.8750 ?
130.9000 Continental
130.9250 America West (Cactus)
131.0750 United MIA Ops Airborne
131.1000 British Airways
131.1750 Emery Ft Laud Ops;Express One (Longhorn) Orl Ops
131.1750 United (ARINC - San Francisco Radio)
131.2000 Continental

131.3250 ?
131.3500 AirTran;Great Lakes AL
131.4000 American
131.4250 Monarch AL
131.4500 Delta
131.5750 Vanguard AL
131.6000 ?
131.6250 DL Air Cargo
131.7000 Southwest Airlines (Sacramento, Ontario)
131.7250 ?
131.5750 Lufthansa
131.7000 Southwest
131.7750 America West (Cactus)
131.8000 TWA@LAX
131.8500 ?
131.9000 Continental;Northwest
131.9250 Fed Express
131.9500 Continental
134.8250 ?
135.5250 Emery Air Freight
136.9500 "Phoenix Air" per pilots

2844. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <LH_j5.778$Tj3.202298@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DH <dh@crewcompany.com> wrote in message
news:ZLFj5.15$mo.10585@news.uswest.net...
> I have put my antenna (I got it from a friend so I don't even know what
kind
> it is--long with 3 smaller pieces near the bottom in a triangular pattern
> sticking out from the main pole) along the trunk of a tree as my
Homeowner's
> Association does not allow these types of antennas.

Sounds like a ground plane.

> Because of having to "hide" the antenna, I am wondering if the leaves will
> affect my reception. I do get some police transmissions, but not as many
as
> I would expect (I live in the Phoenix area).

To some degree. Depends how many and how close. It will also change with the weather and the season. I have a quadrafiler antenna I use for VHF wx fax reception up in an enormous Black Walnut tree. It works fine. I would suspect that putting it in a lower attic surrounded by wood and composition roofing would be worse.

> If the leaves do affect it, would I be better off with it on the ground,
> hidden by my wall? What have others done with a similar situation?

I would suggest you pick a few local frequencies, such as NOAA wx for one, a few local police and fire. Maybe a UHF and a VHF ham repeater that is quite active. Try these freqs with the antenna on the ground before you put it up. Then compare after you raise it.

Height is really important for scanner reception. It will overcome may deficiencies.

Glenn

2845. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <gsZj5.82112$V34.758633@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I hae never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you still believe what you posted below then
present your proof you are claiming, or be found to be a liar by default within 48 hours.

"corky mackey" <no@mail> wrote
> You have been proven a liar time and time again.

2846. Re: What's up with Mental Case Cory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <yvZj5.82113$V34.758576@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I didn't lose a war here. There was no war!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
SNIP
> You lost this war a long,
> long time ago and were mortally injured. All
> that remains of you on this NG is a ghost whos
> hauntings make us all laugh. It cracks me up how
> much of your time is spent replying to all of this
> from multiple sources.
SNIP

2847. Re: Bedpan needs changing in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <nwZj5.82114$V34.758799@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Then you better go do it!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Bedpan needs changing in Room 217

2848. Re: Open Letter to N7NT Richard Kendrick and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <gqZj5.82111$V34.758775@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Then you must have been referring to Glenn Hansen KB7PKC.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote
> I dont think i need to point out to the folks in this group just who i was
> talking about , looney-tune. and it wasn`t Kendrick.....

2849. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed - still causing problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <dxZj5.82115$V34.758767@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Do you realize how childish & immature you are looking by your conduct here?

"corky mackey" <no@mail> wrote
> "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> >Do you know that when you point your finger
> at Corky, you are pointing
SNIP

2850. Re: What is a Parg meeting like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ZjZj5.82106$V34.758552@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82755

Are you asking about General membership meetings or board meetings?

2851. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <%iZj5.82104$V34.758466@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No I wouldn't, I have never contradicted myself.

"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> You would know all about that, Corky.

2852. Re: What's up with Cory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <5iZj5.82103$V34.758330@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who are you talking to & what are you trying to say?

"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> People don't want to keep reading your retarded crap. That's why they
keep
> putting you in killfilters.

2853. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39907AB1.F2A32BAB@mich.com>
Source record: 82758

'Perfect Paul' is a synthesized voice. Pretty controversial with the public as well as within the National Weather Service. Much of the public & NWS staffers dislike it for obvious reasons, yet it has been/is being phased in nationwide because feeble-minded NWS/NOAA/DOC bigwigs bought it & mandated the migration to it.

The good news is that efforts are being made to improve intelligibility, and other than speech quality issues, it is a lot more efficient (especially during watches & warnings) than a local human being used.

valerie65@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Either they have the weirdest synthesized voice on NOAA, or else
> someone with a Germanic accent is broadcasting weather info, at least
> in California. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks,
> Val.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2854. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8mq04b$2ro$3@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Who Did you Expect? (None@dontevntry.oro) on 8 Aug 2000 15:59:58 GMT wrote:
>Subcarriers are "common carrier" like the wired telephone/telegraph
>[do they even still exist] and commercial satellites. While very

Maybe, maybe not. Not that it makes any difference.

>few seam to know and even less care,it is illegal to intercept any
>common carrier unless you are an agent of the coampany [/ies] providing
>the service [for routine monitoring and control] or a member of the FCC.

Nope. Satellites reception is specifically allowed in title 18 as long
as the signal encoding is readily available to the public (definition
in the title).

Radio broadcasts have long been treated differently than wires, as any
casual perusal of title 18 will attest.

Please back up your claims with the relevent law. As I posted
previously, I haven't been able to find it, so your further repeating
of rumor is useless without facts to back it up.

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2855. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8mpvsq$2ro$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Tue, 08 Aug 2000 12:30:37 GMT wrote:
> It's obvious that you intend to dismiss based on my involvement in
>the industry. So resolution and understanding is not going to

Peter, you spew more crap than the heifers out back.

I have given you plenty of opportunity to post sources, and you weasel
out with that. What an idiot.

Tho' I'm glad that since you couldn't put up, that you have decided to
shut up.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2856. NEED SOFTWARE IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Pedro J." <PGV00001@terra.es>
Message-ID: <GO%j5.7801$1p5.20101@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 82761

NEED SOFTWARE FOR IC-R2.
NECESITO SOFTWARE PARA EL IC-R2.
GRACIAS.
PEDRO.

2857. Re: Recommendation against hams was:Re: Thanks John!! I am gonna go for it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3990896B.83562539@cts.com>
Source record: 82762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
> Yes Glenn, I do think being a ham is special. I am surprised you
(also
> being
> a licensed ham) don't feel the same way.
>
> If you don't like hams then why are you in the hobby hanging out on radio
> hobby related newsgroups where hams are likely to be?

You hang out here to meet boys, hence that special feeling you get.
Big Difference!

Maybe if you a good little ham you might get to key a real cop radio
and say "ride-along testing 1...2...3...".
I bet you would pee all over yourself!

Markj

2858. Massachusetts scan-east and scan-west

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grasso Family <KevinG24@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <AU%j5.10285$_s1.201798@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82763

Hello sometime ago I subscribed to Massachusetts mailing list. This was caller Scan-East and they had a Scan-west. I was wondering if it still is here and if so how do I resubscribe.

2859. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <39905652.23FC@ptd.net>
Source record: 82764

Tim Connor wrote:
>
> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE FREQUENCY PLAN

CHANNEL MOBILE TX MOBILE RX PL TONE DISPLAY USE
01 154.755 154.755 186.2 PSP MOB. MOBILE/MOBILE
02 154.950 155.580 186.2 CH.A CH.A
03 155.910 155.670 186.2 CH.B CH.B
04 155.850 155.505 186.2 CH.C CH.C
05 158.910 154.665 186.2 CH.D CH.D
06 154.905 154.695 186.2 CH.E CH.E
07 154.830 154.920 186.2 CH.F CH.F
08 155.445 155.445 186.2 CH.G CH.G
09 155.475 155.475 C.Sq NEPF National Emerg.
10 154.755 159.210 186.2 RPTR1 Car Repeater
11 159.210 154.755 123.0 RPTR2 Base Repeater
12 155.460 155.460 186.2 TAC-1 Tactical 1
13 151.490 151.490 186.2 TAC-2 Tactical 2
14 154.950 154.950 186.2 MON A Ch.A Talk Around
15 155.910 155.910 186.2 MON B Ch.B Talk Around
16 155.850 155.850 186.2 MON C Ch.C Talk Around
17 158.910 158.910 186.2 MON D Ch.D Talk Around
18 154.905 154.905 186.2 MON E Ch.E Talk Around
19 154.830 154.830 186.2 MON F Ch.F Talk Around
20
21 156.015 158.760 151.4 ADMIN-1 With Phone
22 156.015 158.760 123.0 ADMIN-2 Without Phone
23 156.195 159.045 179.9 RPT 1 3 Turnpike Rpt.ODD*
24 156.225 159.075 179.9 RPT 2 4 Turnpike Rpt.EVEN*
25 159.000 159.000 C.SQ. PTCSMX Turnpike Sx.
26 158.835 158.835 C.Sq. PEMA Emerg. Management
155.580 155.790 186.2 CH.A Old CH.A
156.150 154.695 186.2 Ch.E Old CH.E
155.790 155.790 123.0 TAC-3 Tactical 3 Not Confirmed
156.150 156.150 123.0 TAC EAST Tactical East
154.905 154.905 123.0 TAC WEST Tactical West
159.030 159.030 123.0 TAC DES Tactical Des**
453.075 453.075 123.0 PSP SERT TEAM
Channels 27 - 32 Contain LOCAL AND/OR Other State VHF agency Frequencies.
Use VARIES by LOCATION.

* Tone on TX ONLY
** If, DES scrambling present, NO PL presnt, PL & DES are not compatible.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION
STATION CHANNEL ASSIGNMENT

LOCATION CHANNEL COUNTY
Troop A
A1 Greensburg (HQ) 154.920B/154.830M F Wesmoreland Co.
A2 Ebensburg 154.665B/158.910M D Cambria Co.
A3 Indiana 154.695B/154.905M E Indiana Co.
A4 Kiski Valley 155.580B/154.950M A Wesmoreland Co.
A6 Somerset 155.580B/154.950M A Somerset Co.

Troop B
B1 Washington (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Washington Co.
B2 Belle Vernon 154.695B/154.905M E Fayette Co.
B3 Findlay 155.670B/155.910M B Alleghney Co.
B4 Uniontown 155.670B/155.910M B Fayette Co.
B5 Waynesburg 155.505B/155.850M C Greene Co.
B6 Pittsburgh Barracks CLOSED 8/96) Alleghney Co.

Troop C
C1 Punxsutawney (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Jefferson Co.
C2 Shippensville 155.670B/155.910M B Clarion Co.
C3 Clearfield 155.670B/155.910M B Clearfield Co
C4 DuBois 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
C5 Kane 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.
C6 Ridgeway 154.920B/154.830M F Elk Co.
C7 Tionesta 155.670B/155.910M B Forest Co.
C? Mt. Jewell 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.

Troop D
D1 Butler (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Butler Co.
D2 Kittanning 155.505B/155.850M C Armstrong Co.
D3 Mercer 154.695B/154.905M E Mercer Co.
D4 Beaver 155.505B/155.850M C Beaver Co.
D6 New Castle 155.505B/155.850M C Lawrence Co.

Troop E
E1 Erie (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Erie Co.
E2 Corry 154.920B/154.830M F Erie Co.
E3 Seneca 155.580B/154.950M A Venange Co.
E4 Girard 154.665B/158.910M D Erie Co.
E5 Meadville 155.670B/155.910M B Crawford Co.
E6 Warren 155.580B/154.950M A Warren Co.

Troop F
F1 Montoursville(HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Lycoming Co.
F2 Coudersport 155.580B/154.950M A Potter Co.
F3 Emporium 154.665B/158.910M D Cameron Co.
F4 Lamar 155.580B/154.950M A Clinton Co.

F5 Mansfield 155.670B/155.910M B Tioga Co.
F6 Milton 155.580B/154.950M A Union Co.
F7 Selinsgrove 155.580B/154.950M A Snyder Co.
F8 Stonington 155.580B/154.950M A Northumbererland Co.

Troop G
G1 Holidaysburg (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E Blair Co. (Rpt.)
G2 Bedford 155.505B/155.850M C Bedford Co.
G3 Hutningdon 154.665B/158.910M D Huntingdon Co.
G4 Lewistown 154.920B/154.830M F Mifflin Co.
G5 McConnellsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Fulton Co.
G7 Rockview 155.505B/155.850M C Center Co.
G8 Phillipsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
G? Milesburg 154.665B/158.910M D Center Co.

Troop H
H1 Harrisburg (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.
H2 Carlisle 154.665R/158.910M D Cumberland Co. (Rpt.)
H3 Chambersburg 155.670B/155.910M B Frankin Co.
H4 Lykens 155.580B/154.950M A Dauphin Co.
H5 Newport 155.445B/155.445M G Perry Co.
H6 Gettysburg 155.580B/154.950M A Adams Co.
H7 York 155.505B/155.850M C York Co.
H? Hershey (Academy) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.

Troop J
J1 Lancaster (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster Co.
J2 Avondale 155.670B/155.910M B Chester Co.
J3 Embreeville 155.445B/155.445M G Chester Co.
J5 Eprhata 155.670B/155.910M B Lancaster Co.Temporarily located
155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster HQ

Troop K
K1 Philadelphia (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Philadelphia Co.
K2 Media 155.505B/155.850M C Delaware Co.
K3 Skippack 155.670B/155.910M B Montgomery Co.

Troop L
L1 Reading (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E* Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L2 Jonestown 155.505B/155.850M C Lebanon Co.
L3 Frackville 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.
L4 Hamburg 154.695R/154.905M E Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L7 Schuylkill Haven 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.

Troop M
M1 Bethlehem (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.
M2 Dublin 155.505B/155.850M C Bucks Co.
M3 Trevose 155.580B/154.950M A Bucks Co.
M5 Fogelsville 155.670B/155.910M B Lehigh Co.
M6 Belfast 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.

Troop N
N1 Hazleton (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Luzerne Co.
N2 Bloomsburg 155.670B/155.910M B Columbia Co.
N3 Fern Ridge 155.670B/155.910M B Monrose Co.
N4 Lehighton 155.505B/155.850M C Carbon Co.
N6 Swiftwater 155.670B/155.910M B Monror Co.

Troop P
P1 Wyoming (HQ) 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P2 Dushore 155.505B/155.850M C Sullivan Co.
P4 Shickshinny 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P5 Towanda 155.505B/155.850M C Brandford Co.
P6 Tunkhannock 155.505B/155.850M C Wyoming Co.

Troop R
R1 Dunmore (HQ) 155.445B/155.445M G Lackawanna Co.
R3 Honesdale 155.505B/155.850M C Wayne Co.
R4 Blooming Grove 155.580B/154.950M A Pike Co.
R5 Gibson 155.580B/154.950M A Susquehanna Co.

Note: Rpt. denotes that the channel is operated in REPEATER mode versus the normal full duplex.

Troop S - Interstate Patrols
Eliminated 5/17/97
All Interstate/Highway patrols are now operated by the barracks in the area.

Troop T (See Turnpike)

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE TEN CODE LIST
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-26 ESTIMATED ARRIVAL TIME
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-27 LICENSE/PERMIT INFORMATION
10-3 STOP TRNSMITTING 10-28 OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-29 RECORDS CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO_____ 10-30 DANGER/CAUTION
10-6 BUSY 10-31 PICK-UP
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE AT HOME 10-32 ____UNITS REQUESTE
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-9 REPEAT YOUR MESSAGE 10-37 INTOXICATED PERSON
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-40 ADVISE IF______AVAILABLE FOR CALL
10-11 ____ON DUTY 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO REGULATIONS
10-12 STAND-BY 10-43 ADVISE OF ROAD/WEATHER CONDITIONS
10-13 EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-44 ACKNOWLEDGE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-15 MESSAGE DELIVERED 10-46 DETAINING SUBJECT
10-16 REPLY TO MWSSAGE 10-47 NEED AMBULANCE
10-17 EN ROUTE *10-71 IBM SERVICE REQUESTED
10-18 URGENT *10-72 ELECTRICAL SERVICE REQUESTER
10-19 IN CONTACT *10-73 PLUMBING SERVICE REQUESTER
10-20 LOCATION *10-74 RESERVATIONS REQUESTED
10-21 TELEPHONE______IMMEDIATELY 10-88 HAVE PACKAGE FOR_______
10-22 DISREGARD 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU

10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-97 RADIO CHECK
10-24 ASSIGNMENT SIGNAL 33 HELP ME QUICK
10-25 RETURN TO_____
10-99 EMERGENCY AT THIS STATION-ALL UNITS COPY-INTERFERING STATIONS STAND-BY
* NOT USE BY NON-TURNPIKE UNITS

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE STATUTE CODES
601 DRIVING WITHOUT LICENSE 3731 DRUNK DRIVING
2501 CRIMINAL HOMICIDE 3732 HOMICIDE BY VEHICLE
2502 MURDER 3925 RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY
2701 SIMPLE ASSAULT 3926 THEFT OF SERVICES
2702 AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 4101 FORGERY
2705 RECKLESSLY ENDANGERING 4105 BAD CHECKS
2706 TERRORISTIC THREATS 4106 FORGED CREDIT CARDS
2709 HARASSMENT 4304 ENDANGERING WELFARE OF CHILDREN
2901 KIDNAPPING 4905 FILING FALSE POLICE REPORT
3121 RAPE 4912 IMPERSONATING A POLICE OFFICER
3122 STATUTORY RAPE 5104 RESISTING ARREST
3125 CORRUPTION OF MINORS 5121 ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY
3126 INDECENT ASSAULT 5501 RIOT
3127 INDECENT EXPOSURE 5503 DISORDERLY CONDUCT
3301 ARSON 5504 HARASSMENT BY COMMUNICATIONS
3304 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF 5505 PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
3306 OPENING FIRE HYDRANTS 5506 LOITERING OR PROWLING AT NIGHT
3502 BURGLARY 5513 LIIEGAL GAMBLING
3503 CRIMINAL TRESPASS 5902 PROSTITUTION
3701 ROBBERY 6308 UNDERAGE DRINKING
3714 RECKLESS DRIVING 6501 LITTERING
(STATUTE CODES SELDOM USED)

Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission
The PA. TURNPIKE is divided into five districts stating at the Ohio line
eastward to the New Jersey line. This area encompasses Dist. 1 through 4.
The Northeast Extension of the turnpike is District 5. Each district is
served by an alternating frequency as listed below.

159.045/156.195 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 1,3 & 5
159.075/156.225 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 2 & 4
159.000 PL C.SQ Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
154.755 Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
155.460 PL186.2 PSP Tac 1
151.490 PL186.2 PSP Tac 2
453.150/458.150 C.SQ Tunnels
453.300/458.300 C.SQ Tunnels

District 1 159.045 District 4 159.075
Exit 1 Gateway (Ohio Line) 1.3mp Exit 23 Downingtown 312.0mp
Exit 2 Beaver Valley 12.8mp Exit 24 Valley Forge 326.0mp
Exit 3 Perry Highway 28.4mp Exit 25 Norristown (NE Ext.) 333.1mp
Exit 4 Butler Vaalley 39.1mp Exit 25aMid-Couty (I-476)
Exit 5 Allegheny Valley 47.5mp Exit 26 Fort Washington 339.5mp

Exit 6 Pittsburgh 56.5mp Exit 27 Willow Grove 342.9mp
Exit 7 Irwin 67.4mp Exit 28 Philadelphia 351.3mp
Exit 8 New Stanton 75.5mp Exit 29 Delaware Valley 357.7mp
Exit 9 Donegal 90.7mp Exit 30 Bridge (New Jersey) 359.0mp

District 2 159.075 District 5 159.045
Exit 10 Somerset 109.9mp Exit 31 Lansdale A10.3mp
Exit 11 Bedford 145.5mp Exit 32 Quakertown A23.9mp
Exit 12 Breezewood 162.9mp Exit 33 Lehigh Valley A37.2mp
Exit 13 Fort Littleton 179.5mp Exit 34 Mahoning Valley A55.7mp
Exit 14 Willow Hill 188.6mp Exit 35 Pocono A74.7mp
Exit 36 Wilkes-Barre A85.3mp
District 3 159.045 Exit 37 Wyomimg Valley A94.6mp
Exit 15 Blue Mountain 201.3mp Exit 38 Scranton (Terminus) A110.3mp
Exit 16 Carlisle 226.3mp
Exit 17 Gettysburg Pike 236.1mp
Exit 18 Harrisburg West 242.0mp
Exit 19 Harrisburg East 247.4mp
Exit 20 Lebanon/Lancaster 266.4mp
Exit 21 Reading/Lancaster 285.5mp
Exit 22 Morantown 298.0mp

PA. TURNPIKE UNITS
150-series are Ambulances 200-series are tow trucks
300-series are State Police 2000-series are highway maintenace

Police & Maint/Work Crews use same channels on.
PSP Troop T handles patrols on the turnpike. ALL Troop T dispatch
is done from Harrisburg. System is linked via microwave.

Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office

154.950 (F-1) -Drug Enforcement Mobile-to Mobile
155.445R/XXX.XXX (F-2) -Drug Enforcement Dispatch
155.490 (F-3) -Drug Enforcement Regional (CLEAN)
156.090 (F-4) -Drug Enforcement Special Operations
460.200/465.200 179.9 Department of Justice North Repeaters (F1)
460.475/465.475 179.9 Department of Justice South Repeaters (F2)

Use depends on if one of the channels has been assigned to local agencies.
Western PA is primarily 460.475

Pennsylvania State Police Liquor Control Board Detail>

158.7600R/156.0150 151.4 F-1 (Phone Patch Capable)
158.7600R/156.0150 123.0 F-2 (No Phone Patch)
453.1375R/458.1375 Harrisburg
45.3000 Simplex Portables (Old System)

Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA)

PEMA uses 5 channels:
453.525/458.525 Main Repeater
453.350/458.350 Mobile Repeater
453.525 Simplex
453.350 Simplex
158.835 VHF
45.300 (Old System)
PEMA uses DIFFERING CTCSS (PL) tones for various counties main
repeater as follows:

Allegheny Co. 210.7
Armstrong Co. 103.5
Carbon Co. 136.5
Clearfield Co. 179.9
Fayette Co. 118.8
Indiana Co. 136.5
Lawrence Co. 156.7
Washington Co. 156.7
Schuylkill Co. 210.7 rpt. tx 179.9 Input
Somerset Co. 136.5

PL is NOT used on 453.350 the mobile repeater or simplex.

169.425 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.500 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.525 PEMA Rain Gauges
170.275 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.025 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.085 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.125 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.850 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.875 PEMA Rain Gauges
412.625 PEMA Rain Gauges

Rain Gauges are scattered throughout the state, and transmit
data on the above
frequency back to the local EMA office. At that point it's
collected on a
computer using IFLOWS software, and relayed to PEMA HQ in HBG via
Ku band VSAT
satellite system.

PEMA also utilizes the Ku band satellite system known as SEVAN
to send
messages to local EMA offices regarding events around the state,
and weather
information from the NOAA Weather Wire.

SEVAN also provides for a voice channel to all EMA agencies,
and a video
channel from PEMA HQ.

Pennsylvania State Capitol Police

453.300R/458.300 PL192.8 Ch.1
453.100R/458.100 PL192.8 Ch.2

Pennsylvania State Senate Secuity
453.550R/458.550 PL156.7

Pennsylvania State Prisons
All use PL203.5
45.160 Statewide
453.300R/458.300 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.300R/458.300 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Camp Hill, Cumberland Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Dallas, Luzerne Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Pittsburgh-Western Pennitentary
453.450R/458.450 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.450R/458.450 Cresson Cambria Co. Pa.
453.500R/458.500 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.600R/458.600 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.625R/458.625 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.675R/458.675 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.725R/458.725 Albion Erie Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Cambridge Springs Womens Prison Crawford Co.
453.825R/458.825 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.925R/458.925 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)
453.975R/458.975 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)

PA. DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) and
PA. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR)
151.175 SIMPLEX F-1 PL103.5
151.295 SIMPLEX F-2 PL103.5
151.385 SIMPLEX F-3 PL103.5
151.400 SIMPLEX F-4 PL103.5
151.160 SIMPLEX F-5 PL103.5
151.445 SIMPLEX F-6 PL103.5
151.460 SIMPLEX F-?
159.285 SIMPLEX F-7 PL103.5 "COMPACT" (Air-Air)
159.330 SIMPLEX F-8 PL103.5
151.175/159.225 F-9 Dist. 1,2,7,9,10,11
151.295/159.360 F-10 Dist. 6,13,12,15,20
151.385/159.420 F-11 Dist. 4,16,17,18,19
151.400/159.345 F-12 Dist. 3,5,8,14
172.275 ?????
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) RADIO IDENTIFIERS
DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE

1 ALPHA FAYETTEVILLE 11 LIMA SCRANTON
2 BRAVO MCCONNELLSBURG 12 MIKE SOUTH WILLIAMSPORT
3 CHARLIE BLAIN 13 NOVEMBER EMPORIUM
4 DELTA LIGONIER 14 PAPA WARREN
5 ECHO HUNTINGDON 15 ROMEO COUDERSPORT
6 FOXTROT EBENSBURG 16 SIERRA WELLSBORO
7 GOLF MIFFINBURG 17 TANGO BUCKTOWN
8 HOTEL CLARION 18 VICTOR CRESSONA
9 JULIETTE CLEARFIELD 19 WHISKEY STROUDSBURG
10 KILO RENOVO 20 XRAY BLOOMSBURG

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) FOREST FIRE READYNESS CODES:
Code-1 Forest Fire danger threat low
Code-2 Forest Fire danger threat moderate
Code-3 Forest Fire danger threat high

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP)
Mobile Relay Frequency Data Mach 1, 1987
TX.FREQ RX.FREQ TX.PL RX.PL CALL SIGN SITE COUNTY
151.175R/159.255 103.5/141.3 KWI669 BIG FLAT CUMBERLAND
151.175R/159.255 114.8/114.8 KVN571 RATTLESNAKE CENTRE
151.175R/159.255 114.8/103.5 KDY285 ROCKTON CLEARFIELD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/127.3 KQN935 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 114.8/156.7 KQN936 RAINSARES CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 156.7/88.5 KFZ745 ELK MT. SUSQUEHANNA
151.175R/159.255 156.7/173.8 KUO922 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.175R/159.255 103.5/110.9 KLS512 TUSCARORA FULTON
151.175R/159.255 103.5/136.5 KWI698 KINTON KNOB BEDFORD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/192.8 KZF969 BEAVER SNYER

151.295R/159.360 141.3/141.3 KVN570 BLUE KNOB BEDFORD
151.295R/159.360 141.3/156.7 KFO902 LOWER YODER CAMBRIA
151.295R/159.360 114.3/94.8 KVN642 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.295R/159.360 173.8/173.8 KTI717 BOOT JACK ELK
151.295R/159.360 173.8/192.8 KUJ546 BROOKS CAMERON
151.295R/159.360 173.8/136.5 KUJ548 PROSPECT McKEAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/110.9 KNDV312 SOLAR MT.
151.295R/159.360 173.8/88.5 KVN641 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.295R/159.360 114.8/103.5 KDX454 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.295R/159.360 114.8/114.8 KDX455 NORTH MT. SULLIVAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/127.3 KDX453 BOYERS NORHTUMBERLAND
151.295R/159.360 141.3/186.2 WQD459 PINE CLEARFIELD

151.385R/159.420 127.3/103.5 KQO251 BEAR CAVE WESTMORELAND
151.385R/159.420 127.3/127.3 KQO253 PONDFIELD FAYETTE
151.385R/159.420 127.3/156.7 KQO252 NEGRO MT. SUMERSET
151.385R/159.420 88.5/110.9 KVV850 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.385R/159.420 103.5/88.5 KLU444 WELSH HILL LANCASTER
151.385R/159.420 103.5/94.8 KQL752 FLINT HILL LEHIGH
151.385R/159.420 103.5/173.8 KFX266 ELLENDALE DAUPHIN

151.385R/159.420 103.5/114.8 KNHM323 BEAR'S HEAD SCHUYLKILL
151.385R/159.420 103.5/156.7 KDX447 HIGH KNOB PINE
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (AP on=9 LD access=9? off=X
Telephone Line=XXX-XXX-XXXX)
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 KFI584 POHOPOCO MONROE (AP on=9 LD access=9 off=X Telephone
Line=717-646=5851)
151.385R/159.420 88.5/88.5 WNBJ427 QUARRY TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/100.0 KNDV312 CEDAR MT. TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/136.5 KZF970 KELLOGG BRADFORD
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 KNBT576 WEXFORD ALLEGHENY
151.385R/159.420 127.3/141.3 WQD460 BALD KNOB SOMERSET
151.385R/159.420 103.5/192.8 WQD458 PHILADELPHIA PHILADELPHIA
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 WHN497 BLACK EDDY BUCKS

151.400R/159.345 156.7/156.7 KQU276 BIG KNOB PERRY
151.400R/159.345 156.7/192.8 KTU287 LITTLE FLAT CENTER
151.400R/159.345 156.7/94.8 KTU258 JACKS MT. HUNTINGTON
151.400R/159.345 103.5/103.5 KSQ442 FRYBURG CLARION
151.400R/159.345 103.5/114.8 KWV591 GIBBS HILL McKEAN
151.400R/159.345 103.5/127.3 KSZ840 TOWNVILLE CRAWFORD
151.400R/159.345 103.5/156.7 KXA325 NEW CASTLE LAWRENCE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/136.5 WQD462 WATERFORD ERIE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/173.8 WQD461 PENN VIEW INDIANA
151.400R/159.345 103.5/141.3 WNHA827 ERIE ERIE
Also State Parks and some Fish Comm. units use DEP & DCNR Freqs.

Pennsylvania Fish Commission
45.040 PL 136.5 F-1 Admin
44.960 PL 136.5 F-2 Simplex
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5 F-3
44.700 PL 136.5 F-?
45.440 PL 136.5 F-?
45.000 PL 136.5 F-?
42.600 PL 136.5 F-10
Also use Game Comm. Freqs.
Also see DEP & DCNR some Fish Comm. Units use DCNR VHF Freqs.

On All Repeater outputs PL are 136.5 Fish Commission uses DIFFERING input
CTCSS (PL) tones for various area F-3 repeater
as follows:
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 KNIS318 MOUNT PENN BERKS (AP on=2206* off=# Telephone
Line=610-XXX-XXXX)
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/136.5 WNJB200 BOWMANS HILL BUCKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/186.2 KNIH869 PETERS MOUNTAIN DAUPHIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/167.9 KNIS319 CLARKS KNOB FRANKLIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 WNKE680 KITTANNING JEFFERSON
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/218.1 WNBV865 HOLT WOOD LANCASTER
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/156.7 WNKE680 LITTLE GAP LEHIGH
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/173.8 KNIH867 PENOBSCOT MOUNTAIN LUZERNE
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/192.8 WNAG965 SHAFFERS PATH LYCOMING
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/???.? WNAG966 HIGH KNOB PIKE

Northwest Region.
Box 349 (1281 Otter Street), Franklin, PA 16323; 814-437-5774.
F?? Butler F?? Lawrence
F?? Clarion F?? Mercer
F?? Crawford F?? Venango
F?? Erie F?? Warren
F?? Forest

Southwestern Region
RD 2, Box 39 (Lake Somerset), Somerset, PA 15501; 814-445-8974.
F27 Supervisor F36 Armstrong
F28 Asst. Supervisor F37 Indiana
F29 Reserved F38 Westmoreland
F30 Beaver F39 Fayette
F31 Washington F40 Cambria
F32 Greene F41 Somerset
F33 Allegheny, South F42 Three Rivers
F34 Allegheny, North
F35 Allegheny, East

Northcentral Region.
Box 187 (Fishing Creek Road), Lamar, PA 16848; 717-726-6056.
F?? Cameron F?? McKean
F?? Centre F?? Northumberland (W.ofRt.147)
F?? Clearfield F?? Potter
F?? Clinton F?? Snyder
F?? Elk F?? Tioga
F?? Jefferson F?? Union
F?? Lycoming

Southcentral Region.
1704 Pine Road, Newville, PA 17241; 717-486-7087.
F56 Supervisor F63 Juniata / Mifflin
F57 Asst. Supervisor F64 Perry
F58 Reserved F65 Cumberland
F59 Blair F66 Adams
F60 Bedford F67 York
F61 Huntingdon F85 Daulphin
F62 Franklin / Fulton F86 Lebanon

Northeast Region.
Box 88 (Main Road), Sweet Valley, PA 18656; 717-477-5717.
F77 Luzerne F?? Montour
F78 Carbon F?? Wyoming
F?? Bradford F?? Lackawanna
F?? Sullivan F?? Susquehanna
F?? Columbia F?? Wayne
F?? Northumberland (E. of Rt.147) F?? Pike
F?? Monroe

Southeast Region.
Box 8 (Brubaker Valley Road), Elm, PA 17521; 717-626-0228.
F87 Lancaster F97 Montgomery South

F89 Schuylkill F?? Northampton
F90 Berks West F?? Delaware
F91 Chester F?? Bucks
F92 Lehigh F?? Philadelphia
F96 Berks East & Montgomery North

Pennsylvania Game Commission

44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
44.840 PL 141.3 F-2 Common Channel
44.880 PL 141.3 F-3 Mob. to Mob.
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
44.720 PL 141.3 F-? Mob. to Mob.
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
151.370/159.360 Old link freq

100-serice Northwest Region P.O. Box 31, Franklin 16323 Venango Co. Pa
1-800-533-6764 Howard Harshaw, Director
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
Counties Served
1XX Butler 1XX Jefferson
1XX Clarion 1XX Lawrence
1XX Crawford 1XX Mercer
1XX Erie 1XX Venango
1XX Forest 1XX Warren

200-serice Southwest Region P.O. Box A, Ligonier 15658 Westmoreland Co. Pa.
1-800-243-8519 Harry Richards, Director
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
Counties Served
215 Allegheny 226 Indiana
216 Allegheny 227 Indiana
217 Armstrong 228 Somerset North
218 Armstrong 229 Somerset Central
219 Beaver 230 Somerset South
220 Cambria North 231 Washington
221 Cambria South 232 Washington
222 Fayette 233 Westmoreland
223 Fayette 234 Westmoreland
224 Greene 235 Westmoreland
225 Greene

300-serice Northcentral Region Avis,P.O. Box 5038, Jersey Shore 17740 Clinton Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7551 Henry Stankewich, Director
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
Counties Served
3XX Cameron 326 Elk
317? Centre 3XX Lycoming

318? Centre 3XX McKean
319? Centre 3XX Potter
3XX Clearfield 3XX Tioga
3XX Clinton 340 Union

400-serice Southcentral Region P.O. Box 537,Huntingdon 16652 Huntington Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7554 Willis Sneath, Director
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
Counties Served
414 Adams North 425 Franklin South
415 Adams South 426 Fulton
416 Adams East 427 Fulton
417 Bedford 428 Huntingdon
418 Bedford 429 Huntingdon
419 Blair 430 Juniata
420 Blair 431 Juniata
421 Blair 432 Mifflin
422 Cumberland West 433 Perry
423 Cumberland East 434 Perry
424 Franklin North 435 Snyder

500-serice Northeast Region P.O. Box 220, Dallas 18612 Luzerne Co. Pa.
1-800-228-0789 Barry Warner, Director
44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
Counties Served
515 Bradford 527 Monroe
516 Bradford 528 Monroe
517 Bradford 529 Montour(Northern Northumberland)
518 Carbon 530 Northumberland
519 Carbon 531 Pike
520 Columbia 532 Pike
521 Columbia 533 Sullivan
522 Lackawanna 534 Susquehanna
523 Lackawanna 535 Susquehanna
524 Luzerne 536 Wayne
525 Luzerne 537 Wayne
526 Luzerne 538 Wyoming

600-serice Southeast Region RD 2, Box 2584, Reading 19605 Berks Co.
1-800-228-0791 Barry Moore, Director 610-926-3136
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
Counties Served
614 Berks Northwest 626 Lancaster South
615 Berks Northeast 627 Lebanon
616 Berks South 628 Lehigh
617 Bucks North 629 Montgomery North
618 Bucks South 630 Montgomery & Phila.
619 Chester North 631 Northampton
620 Chester South 632 Schuylkill West
621 Dauphin North 633 Schuylkill East
622 Dauphin South 634 York North

623 Delaware 635 York Southwest
624 Lancaster North 636 York Southeast
625 Lancaster Central
? Are Not Confirmed

Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)

PennDOT used an old half-duplex (base/mobile) system similar to PSP, but recently acquired several new frequencies and seems to be using simplex on them. The list below represents all frequencies assigned to PennDOT.

47.040
47.060
47.080
47.140
47.160
47.180
47.200
47.280
47.300
47.380

Listed below is the new system plan.
47.040B/47.200M F-B6? Dist. 5=Berks 192.8hz
47.040 Simplex F-? Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=Schuylkill-127.3hz, Berks-192.8hz
47.160 Pocono East Mt PocOno
47.180 Lehigh Garaga
47.200 Simplex F-B5 Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=167.9hz
47.300 Bucks Montgomery
Listed below is the old system plan.

47.300B/47.380M 146.2 F-1 Dist. 1,3,6,7,9,11
47.040B/47.200M 136.5 F-? Dist. 2,5,12
47.060B/47.080M 156.7 F-? Dist. 4,8,10
47.280 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Eastern Dist.3,4,5,6,7 & 8
47.140 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Western Dist.1,2,9,10,11 & 12
47.160 Simplex xxx.x F-? Dist. 1
47.180 Simplex 127.3 F-?

Listed is the old system district / Pl Tone chart.
Dist.1=
Dist. 2=
Dist. 3=136.5hz
Dist. 4=156.7hz
Dist. 5=146.2hz
Dist. 6=127.3hz
Dist. 7=127.3hz
Dist. 8=156.7hz
Dist. 9=
Dist. 10=
Dist. 11=
Dist. 12=

151.010 Simplex 110.9 PennDOT Motor Carrier Enforcement
151.100 ???
159.195 ???

Links:
452.4500+ 127.3hz Mill Hall (Rainsares Mtn.), Clinton Co.

453.0625+ 127.3hz Jim Thorpe, Carbon Co.
453.6125+ 127.3hz Pottsville (2nd. Mtn.), Schuylkill Co.-Repeats 47.200 136.5hz, 167.9hz
453.9625+ 156.7hz Carlisle, Cumberland Co.

PennDOT Districts

1 - Crawford, Erie, Forest, Mercer, Venago, Warren
2 - Cameron, Centre, Clearfield, Clinton, Elk, Juniata, Potter, Mckean,Mifflin
3 - Bradford, Columbia, Lycoming, Montour, Northumberland, Snyder,
Sullivan,Tioga, Union
4 - Lackawanna, Luzerne, Susquehanna, Pike, Wayne, Wyoming
5 - Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Monroe, Northampton, Schuylkill
6 - Bucks, Chester, Deleware, Montgomery
7 - Philadelphia
8 - Adams, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Lancaster, Lebanon, Perry, York
9 - Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Fulton, Huntingdon, Somerset
10 - Armstrong, Butler, Clarion, Indiana, Jefferson
11 - Allegheny, Beaver, Lawrence
12 - Fayette, Greene, Washington, Westmoreland

PennDOT Codes:
Code 4=OK

State Hospitals
453.150/458.150 Hamburg State Center - Hamburg
453.225/458.225 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Wernersville State Hospital - Wernersville
453.575/458.575 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Western Center - Canonsburg

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net
OR

2860. Re: What is a Parg meeting like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399091B5.69AAE863@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82765

I'd like to be on the board.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Are you asking about General membership meetings or board meetings?

2861. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek - who else hates Corky?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <39909201.AB900F99@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82766

You admire Corky. You need to say no more. LOL

The Kolari's wrote:

> Oh ya, did I ever spout off! When I said "Put me down for -100. I admire
> Corky for simply having to put up with
> insecure children like you", I must have been out of my mind with rage. As
> for my head size, it is 7 1/4 inches. I have an idea (abstract as it may
> be) - let's drop all of these childish threads, and let's talk about
> scanning! God Bless and happy scanning!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Floyd Cents" <carld@lp.com> wrote in message
> news:398C57CD.E6DF9DCC@lp.com...
> > I pray you pull your fat head out of your ass someday.
> > duh... everyone here has to put up with corky.
> > You really should take the time to get informed of whats
> > going on before you spout off dummy.
> >
> >
> >

2862. Re: What's up with Mental Case John Corky Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <39909171.444EFC30@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You LOST the war and are too stupid to realize it.
BTW who is Larry Johnson?

K S 0 F wrote:

> I didn't lose a war here. There was no war!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > You lost this war a long,
> > long time ago and were mortally injured. All
> > that remains of you on this NG is a ghost whos
> > hauntings make us all laugh. It cracks me up how
> > much of your time is spent replying to all of this
> > from multiple sources.
> SNIP

2863. Re: Bedpan needs changing in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <3990919C.F5EC2B76@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Why? Its not my job and I'm not qualified to do so like
you.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Then you better go do it!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Bedpan needs changing in Room 217

2864. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <399092b6.52517622@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 82769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Crossposting reduced to relevant areas..

Irrespective of the legality of monitoring subcarrier transmissions,
are they used in the UK ?. I'm aware of the 38Khz FM Stereo Pilot tone
but I've never heard of any other subcarrier usage over here.

On 8 Aug 2000 22:03:55 GMT, Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net (Sylvan
Butler) wrote:

>Who Did you Expect? (None@dontevntry.oro) on 8 Aug 2000 15:59:58 GMT wrote:
>>Subcarriers are "common carrier" like the wired telephone/telegraph
>>[do they even still exist] and commercial satellites. While very
>
>Maybe, maybe not. Not that it makes any difference.
>
>>few seam to know and even less care,it is illegal to intercept any
>>common carrier unless you are an agent of the coampany [/ies] providing
>>the service [for routine monitoring and control] or a member of the FCC.
>
>Nope. Satellites reception is specifically allowed in title 18 as long
>as the signal encoding is readily available to the public (definition
>in the title).
>
>Radio broadcasts have long been treated differently than wires, as any
>casual perusal of title 18 will attest.
>
>Please back up your claims with the relevent law. As I posted
>previously, I haven't been able to find it, so your further repeating
>of rumor is useless without facts to back it up.
>
>sdb
>
>--
>More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
> ***
>Watch out for munged e-mail address.
>User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
>Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2865. Re: Programming Bearcat 101??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000808192223.15250.00000073@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 82770

>From: "corker"
>Would
>anyone have a manual that may tell how to program it?? >>>

Next best thing.... a web page that gives directions on programming that model.

http://scanshack.virtualave.net/scanshack/bc101.htm

Hope that helps !

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

2866. Re: I'm confused.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <399098AA.666326E2@home.com>
Source record: 82771

> Maybe a rubber duckie would help.

2867. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <39909F30.395CDDA5@mailco.net>
Source record: 82772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> Here is the report mentioned earlier from the NASA website.
>
> A General Aviation pilot navigating by normally reliable VOR receivers
> wandered off-course, and discovered that an unexpected culprit was the cause
> of the navigation discrepancy.
>
> After departing VFR, I picked up an IFR flight plan, and was given a
> clearance. Abeam one intersection, Approach advised that I was 7 miles south
> of course and provided a heading to reintercept. I began course correction
> and configured the Loran for course guidance. On further comparison, it was
> determined that both VOR receivers were suspect as they both indicated 8
> degrees off. This prompted a query of the two passengers, children ages 7
> and 12, after which it was found that both were using portable tape players.
> Both units were turned off, and the VOR receivers returned to normal
> operation.

It was just a coincidence that the equipment returned to normal after "tape players" were turned off. What "tape player" has any sort of rf generating device in it? And don't even start with the miniature motor that runs off of 2 AA batteries. I can guarantee you the problem had nothing to do what-so-ever with the tape players! They show movies aboard planes you know? Pre-recorded movies on TAPE players.

> Air carrier aircraft are not the only ones susceptible to the effects of
> portable electronic equipment. Small aircraft, too, can experience
> interference with potentially serious results, particularly in IMC
> conditions.

Any one who flies aboard aircraft using 5 watt ham radio equipment can tell you that this is a bunch of bull. It amazes me that people really like to believe fairy tales like this, yet choose to believe that it is perfectly ok to put a microwave generating device like a cell phone next to one's head and that THAT does not cause any problems.

> Since I don't expect an Extra to admit he might be wrong, even when given
> evidence to the contrary, I'll just put you on my block sender file.

I have no problem admitting I am wrong, but that rarely happens. You know WHY? Because I don't make statements unless I know what I am talking about! If I am unsure of something, I don't make ANY statements on the matter. How are YOU on admitting you are wrong???

2868. A review of the "Super CC Gainer" antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0e329c19.1fe221e1@usw-ex0101-005.remarq.com>
Source record: 82773

Hi All,

I recently tested the CCrane Co. (www.ccrane.com) Super CCGainer mobile scanner antenna (Item CG2). Cost $29.95 (shipping included).

For mobile use, this antenna cannot be beat. It will far exceed the performance of the standard rubber duckie scanner antenna on all bands, including 800 MHz.

However, if you use your mobile scanner primarily as a base unit like I do, the Radio Shack Telescoping Scanner Antenna (Cat# 20-006A) outperforms it by a good margin (but is CONSIDERABLY longer and bulkier). The difference is not enormous, but noticeable enough (3-5 dB?) on *all bands* that I would recommend you spring for the Radio Shack antenna at $9.95 (and maybe a GRE Super Amplifier), rather than spending 3 times more for the Super CCgainer mobile whip antenna.

Both antennas perform much better with a good ground. Attach a ground wire to the base of the antenna (the knurled portion that attaches to the scanner) and the other end to the best ground connection you can find. Of course, this applies mainly to base station usage.

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2869. Re: What's up with Cory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: voltman <voltman480NOvoSPAM@earthlink.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <06bc18bb.11f495ec@usw-ex0102-016.remarq.com>
Source record: 82774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Let it go and for crissakes, grow the fuck up!

Regards to Newton, Fermi, Voltaire, Edison, Tesla, and Marconi.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2870. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <3990A76D.D6F23217@mailco.net>
Source record: 82775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Craig Welch wrote:

> On Mon, 07 Aug 2000 20:20:10 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
> <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:
>
> >> >with a walkie talkie, I talked with someone using a walkie talkie on a plane
> >> >over Texas while I was in Chicago. A cellphone would have interfered with
> >> >every cell site for at least that distance in every direction from a plane.
> >>
> >> No, it would not. Cellular communications are distance limited.
> >
> >You OBVIOUSLY don't have the knowledge to even be debating this!
>
> Over a certain distance, TDMA signalling fails because of the time
> required to reply. Do you not believe this to be true?

Each cell site has receivers. A cell phone transmitting at high altitudes in an airplane will be received by hundreds more cell sites than on the ground. The cell sites have to determine which site the cell phone is closer to. This is nearly impossible under this situation, especially since the system was not designed for a situation like this as it would not occur on the ground.

2871. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <3990AB42.EA95A41E@mailco.net>
Source record: 82776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> Another "God-complex" Extra.

Quite the opposite, only mentioned it since you were under the impression I had no background to be qualified to know what I am talking about. I am actually one of the few Extras that is against the code requirement. As a matter of fact, I have never once used code on the air at all, I prefer to use a microphone and don't understand why I had to learn code in order to get a license to TALK below 6 meters. I didn't have to learn how to drive a Horse Surrey to get my automobile drivers license.

> You sound like a guy I know who drinks 5-6
> double scotchs at happy hour and drives home.

I don't know what that means, but I rarely ever drink, perhaps a tasty cocktail 2 or 3 times a year.

> Never had any problems, so it
> must be alright. Just because you haven't had any problems doesn't mean
> they can't happen.

That's not how I judge it. Technically and Physically it can not happen, the fact that it has never happened to me is just more repeated evidence to back up that fact.

> Here is the report mentioned earlier from the NASA website.

NASA is part of the government. The same government that tried prohibition once and did not learn from it and did it again, and back come all the gangs and crime we had during the first prohibition. NASA told us that there could be no other life on other planets, and that Mars was a red hot planet with no water, the story changes often. Government makes laws and restricts freedom, they need to change science to their own propaganda to fit their goals. Cigarettes are more harmful than Marijuana, yet which one is legal and which one is outlawed?

2872. Re: Programming Bearcat 101?? Thanks for the help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: corker <corker@lewistown.net>
Message-ID: <W42k5.2669$IVF5.14025117@news.randori.com>
Source record: 82777

Thanks for the web page!!! Exactly what I needed. Really appreciate your help!!!!
Bulldog56F100

2873. Re: Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8mqbk1$74eo5$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> "Radio Crap" will not be getting any of my big $$ for a new
> scanner....ICOM,Sony,Bearcat all provide superior receivers without
> the worry that a salesman will tell/sell you ANYTHING to make a
> commission.

Well, I own two ICOM rigs, the R-7000 and R-10 and although they're
excellent receivers, they're extremely slow scanners!

>The only thing "Radio Crap" is good for these days is
> shoplifting....

Ahem, and if they didn't exist, where would you find parts?

Roland

2874. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <xw2k5.804$vc1.346666@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have posted it before without my permission John-Corky. We also know I wouldn't give you permission to lick my boots.

Guilty again Corky-John.

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:VZ1k5.82589$V34.763420@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Not hardly, I was asking him (the author) if he wanted me to make the post.
> (In other words, premission!!)
>
> <gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> > According to your own weak-minded theory, by doing so you would be
> violating
> > Copyright law, as you accused Mr. Wirehead of doing.
>
>
>

2875. Re: Radio Shack Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <_y2k5.806$vc1.347833@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have an Icom R7000 also. It isn't a scanner it is a uhf/vhf receiver. With software you can greatly increase this receivers scan speed. It's still not a scanner.

Glenn

Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message news:8mqbk1$74eo5$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > "Radio Crap" will not be getting any of my big $$ for a new
> > scanner....ICOM,Sony,Bearcat all provide superior receivers without
> > the worry that a salesman will tell/sell you ANYTHING to make a
> > commission.
>
> Well, I own two ICOM rigs, the R-7000 and R-10 and although they're
> excellent receivers, they're extremely slow scanners!
>
> >The only thing "Radio Crap" is good for these days is
> > shoplifting....
>
> Ahem, and if they didn't exist, where would you find parts?
>
> Roland
>
>

2876. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <3990B5CC.A7722C2@mailco.net>
Source record: 82781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Cellular phones, often called Cellphones in the US and Handy's in Germany, are a particular source of
problems because, regardless of whether they may interfere with aircraft systems, the technology on which
cellular telephones are based precludes their effective use on aircraft. This applies to all cellular phones,
including the analogue technology in the US and the digital GSM technology in Europe. It may be worthwhile
first to explain the known problems associated with attempted use of cellular phones while flying.

The technology of cellular phones is based on small local ground-based reception areas called `cells'. A
cellphone user is served by just one cell, and when reaching the boundary of a cell, will be `handed over' to
another cell which (s)he is about to enter. The topology of coverage is based on the assumption that the user is
on or near the ground, and it is a technical assumption on which the entire system is based that a user will be
within `sight' of just one cell except when nearing a cell boundary. When in an aircraft, however, a user is within
radio `sight' of many cells, simply because (s)he is way off the ground. An attempted call or reception from an
aircraft would activate many if not all cells in the local area, which `breaks' the technology -- it causes many
transmission problems and the system is disturbed. Therefore the various communication authorities, such as
the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), ban the attempted use of cellular phones while on board
aircraft. However, such attempted use is not ipso facto rendered dangerous. It is technically inappropriate and
antisocial, as well as mostly futile.

2877. FA Yaesu FT-221 All Mode YC-221 Digital Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <E23k5.823$vc1.362475@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82782

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=402110053

2878. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <080820002108187805%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 82783

the lead will kill ypu

In article <20000808115230.09802.00000030@ng-cs1.aol.com>, Andy in RI
<riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote:

> I think I'm just gonna lock myself in a lead lined house with no electricity
> and never come out!
>
> :)
>
>
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2879. Re: Massachusetts scan-east and scan-west

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21936-3990B7C6-93@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82784

You can go to www.egroups.com and sign up for scanwesternmass or sme2.
Peter S

2880. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 m...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21938-3990C224-10@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82785

I know that smoking takes about 20 or 30 years to cause major health problems. Anyone that claims to have proven that cell phones are safe must have been doing some serious time travelling.

(I see the word "nuts" has already come up in this thread).

Can someone explain where the ANSI and FCC RF exposure standards came from?

Peter S

2881. More cordless phone freq's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <043bc3fc.9594062c@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com>
Source record: 82786

I know that I lot of people are going to make smart remarqs towards me on this message board for this. They always do. But I have a list of cordless phone frequencies. I picked up my neigbors cordless phone on the base frequency 46.765 and the handset on 49.835. Those frequencies are not on my list. So if anyone has a list of cordless phone frequencies w/ these frequencies could you tell them to me or tell me where I can get them . Because I would like to have all the frequencies of the channels on that phone it possible. Thanks, Sam alphacentauri@i-plus.net

---

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2882. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org>
Message-ID: <F136C32C4A9932B6.EEA92F7330F4F384.E7C090E8A3EF2EC2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What does SWR have anything to do with reception?
I thought SWR was a ratio for transmission only.

> "Mis-match". It's only an SWR of 1.5 to 1, so don't sweat it!

2883. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org>
Message-ID: <8B9CC37378AA172D.D75F41CA1F1BAD91.7EDCF5961F5FFEF3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The way I see it is that at high freqs, leaves act as rf reflectors.
Instead of absorbing energy, the reflect it like little parabolic antennas.

2884. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <sov9fm3r63a84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It kinda makes sense, if your match is close on TX, it would be on RX as
well if your on the same freq.

Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org> wrote
in message ...
>What does SWR have anything to do with reception?
>I thought SWR was a ratio for transmission only.
>
>> "Mis-match". It's only an SWR of 1.5 to 1, so don't sweat it!
>
>

2885. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <sov9ch4r63a151@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82800

Illegal to use a cell phone while driving? Are you sure about that?

Jim

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Tim:
>
>Be advised...using a cellular phone while driving in Ohio is illegal!
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"Tim Connor" <tconnor@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:398F58FA.9C2441EC@mindspring.com...
>> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
>> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.
>>
>
>

2886. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8mobqr$u2p$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Tue, 08 Aug 2000 05:02:15 GMT wrote:
>Sylvan Butler wrote:
>> I'd like to see a chapter and verse quote on that one. The FAQs around

>use. All of that was before the revision of the communications act.
>Which specified that signals that were not for general reception by
>the public, as SCA are not, are illegal to monitor without
>permission. In the case of SCA specific language was applied.

I think you are wrong. I've read a lot of law and bills related to
stuff like this, and found nothing. Many people have long believed
that receiving SCA was illegal (Muzak folks spread that rumor for
years) but it never has been unless things have change in the last few
years. If it has changed, post it. The current USCode on cornell
doesn't make it illegal:

http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2511.html

>Someone posted a paragraph earlier in this thread, maybe you can
>find it.

I can't find a reference either on my news server nor on Deja. I do
find lots of places where you claim it is illegal, but no cite. The
closest was an old thread from last March containing a quote from title
18, but even that quote specifically is about satellite transmissions,
and says it is OK, "unless the conduct is for the purposes of direct or
indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain."

> I also own the ART/Sci series of technical manuals delineating,
>among others, cell restoration mods for various receivers. Published
>in 98, it's clearly in violation of ECPA, and yet, it shows up all

That is not illegal either. FCC regulations make it impossible to
import or approve receivers of cell frequencies, but publishing
information about how to build them, owning such receivers and even
using them is not illegal. It is illegal to disclose what you hear...

> Of course there are those who will argue to the contrary. They
>are entitled to be wrong.

As are you.

>> The source of most of the "it is illegal" rumors are typically the
>> service or equipment providers who don't want consumers listening
>> for free. While it is illegal to play music or other copyrighted
>> works in public without paying royalties, such is not the case for

>> non-business, i.e. personal use.

> Yes, that's what Napster said, too.

Not hardly. They claim to not be performing. And so far, they are
correct. Or hadn't you heard "innocent until proven guilty"?

> SCA is not a matter of royalty, but in many cases, of
>subscription. Of the stations that I have worked carrying SCA

Doesn't matter. I have yet to see a law enforce subscriptions of "in
the clear transmissions" unless you try to make a profit from it.

>transmissions, several carried telemetry between the transmitter and
>the studio on their SCA subcarriers. Unauthorized monitoring of this
>is illegal, as it is priveleged information. Usually digitally

Again irrelevent. The law forbids disclosure and profiting but
not monitoring. Unless you have some new information...

>encoded, which is clearly specified as protected from unauthorized
>monitoring under the law.

What law? Where are you getting all this crap? (Even if it is law, it
is still crap.)

> Of the stations not carrying telemetry on their SCA, several
>stations used their subcarriers to provide programming services.

Yup.

>Physicians Radio Network was one. Assorted music services,
>datacasts, weather services were examples of others. These were by
>subscription only. Unauthorized use of any kind, even person use, is
>theft of service. Again rarely prosecuted. But specifically illegal.

Not hardly. The service isn't in the signal. The service is in use of
the information IN BUSINESS. Personal monitoring is NOT USE.

>> Are you affiliated with said providers, or just repeating rumours?

> Nice shot...but neither. I work with the carriers who apply the
>SCA to their signals, and specifically, monitor, and measure, SCA
>transmissions on them.

Sounds like you are part of the party to me. In any case, without the
law you are just repeating rumors. Perhaps your carrierx try to sell
such services by claiming they are legally private... But they aren't.

sdb

--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.

Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2887. Re: Democratic Convention Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tahoefranz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000808042621.20806.00000359@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 82802

>My
>building is at 9th and Figueroa, so I'll get to wade through an

I know this won't help you, but Figueroa and 9th! I would be busy eating at the Original Pantry and have not time for monitoring.

God I love that place!

Franz in Tahoe (Who grew up in LA and loves those greasy spoons).

2888. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <7OPj5.81848$V34.754237@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My technical knowledge was not in question. Neither was yours or anyone
elses.

My multiple FCC licenses, along with degrees and military experience
clearly indicate my high abilities of technical knowledge.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote

> KS0F said.....
> > No, Cheek proved he had NONE of that when he suggested using a mettalic
> > screwdriver to adjust a RF coil!
>
> Not a word saying you have any technical expertise, John. You don't

2889. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ash Nallawalla (Don't e-mail here)" <ash@home.melbpc.org.au>
Message-ID: <8mojld$r5q$1@possum.melbpc.org.au>
Source record: 82804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
news:398F5FBD.BF7C6A19@mailco.net...
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
> > "Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
> > news:398E2E7C.9A8D8C57@mailco.net...

> > > No consumer grade equipment has the ability to affect any equipment aboard
> > > any aircraft.

What a sweeping statement.

> > Since I seriously doubt that you have the background, training, or
> > experience to make the above statement with any degree of accuracy, we can
> > forget it. Blanket statements like the above are worthless.

> It is a FACT, which you would realize if you had not just memorized the
answers
> for your multiple choice tech ham license. I have an Extra, and a GROL
> commercial license. I also fly with 2 pilot friends and use 5 watt HTs on
board
> all the time without any problems to flight instruments.

I thought I'd crosspost this one to rec.radio.amateur.misc.

- Ash
ex VK3CIT/ZL4LM

2890. NOAA RADIO HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sniperosok@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <sniperosok-0808000553100001@209-130-184-59.nas2.fod.gblx.net>
Source record: 82805

Hi, We have a NOAA weather radio; Radio Shack 7ch. Cat # 12- 250 Specific Area Alert at work. Nobody knows where the manual is for it. Can any of you out there help me find the directions or the codes and programming sequence for Webster and Hamilton County Iowa??? You have our thank in advance.....Sniper

PS please email sniperosok@hotmail.com

2891. Re: Programming Bearcat 101??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <398FE2A1.A35FB0D3@ARRL.net>
Source record: 82806

corker wrote:

> I have aquired a Bearcat 101 scanner and wonder if it still works. Would
> anyone have a manual that may tell how to program it??
>

I used to have one. So if you get no help let me know and I will try to go
from memory with some input from you. But let's see what others have to
say.
--
Mike Gormley
P.O. Box 205
Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205

"Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"

mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net

ICQ #24425724

Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:

http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/

2892. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mot5vjfn1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82807

In article <m3k8dtnk2o.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>, nospam@david-steuber.com
says...
>
>zerg90@webtv.net (PS) writes:
>
>' Are you sure that electrons have to be knocked off chromosones in order
>' for damage to occur?
>
>Well, the only other thing I can think of that will cause a chemical
>change is cooking. That's a lot of localized heat. I don't think you
>will get that from a cell phone.
>
>If there is a problem with cell phones, then what about RF emited from
>all the other electronics that suround you? What about your 900Mhz
>cordless phone?
>
>I just can't see it making a difference.
>
>' If heating causes circulation increases, won't that cause faster growth
>' of any tumors or cancer cells that exist?
>
>If the cancer cells are already there and the body's immune system
>isn't killing them, then you already have a problem.
>
>--
>David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
>NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+
it+up
>
>The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
> --- Devon Miller
A friends daughter works in a laboratory where they are studying the effect of
weak [on the order of the eaths natural magnetic filed] AC/pulsating DC/and
RF. None of the PHD types there will use a cell/cordless/HT anymore. She says
that very weak AC fields will do wierd things to palnt and animal growth.
Several years ago another friends wife was working on a study mapping out
different types of cancer. There where diffinet geographic patterns.
Proximity to hightension [60Hz AC here in the USA], closeness to UHF TV
stations and nearness to powerfull ATC radars. She also had some data
sugesting that poeple who work in 60Hz magnetic fields [like power plants],
and those who work around variable AC [train engineers] had very high cancer.
And then there was the data showing that polic who routinely operate X and Ku
band traffic radars had very high cancer.

They moved, so I have no idea just what happened to that study.
On a personal note I just keep my head out of microwave ovens(G).
YMWV

2893. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398FFDA0.7E10FE44@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sylvan Butler wrote:
>
> Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Tue, 08 Aug 2000 05:02:15 GMT wrote:
> >Sylvan Butler wrote:
> >> I'd like to see a chapter and verse quote on that one. The FAQs around
>
> >use. All of that was before the revision of the communications act.
> >Which specified that signals that were not for general reception by
> >the public, as SCA are not, are illegal to monitor without
> >permission. In the case of SCA specific language was applied.
>
> I think you are wrong. I've read a lot of law and bills related to
> stuff like this, and found nothing. Many people have long believed
> that receiving SCA was illegal (Muzak folks spread that rumor for
> years) but it never has been unless things have change in the last few
> years. If it has changed, post it. The current USCode on cornell
> doesn't make it illegal:
>
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2511.html
>
> >Someone posted a paragraph earlier in this thread, maybe you can
> >find it.
>
> I can't find a reference either on my news server nor on Deja. I do
> find lots of places where you claim it is illegal, but no cite. The
> closest was an old thread from last March containing a quote from title
> 18, but even that quote specifically is about satellite transmissions,
> and says it is OK, "unless the conduct is for the purposes of direct or
> indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain."
>
> > I also own the ART/Sci series of technical manuals delineating,
> >among others, cell restoration mods for various receivers. Published
> >in 98, it's clearly in violation of ECPA, and yet, it shows up all
>
> That is not illegal either. FCC regulations make it impossible to
> import or approve receivers of cell frequencies, but publishing
> information about how to build them, owning such receivers and even
> using them is not illegal. It is illegal to disclose what you hear...
>
> > Of course there are those who will argue to the contrary. They
> >are entitled to be wrong.
>
> As are you.

>
> >> The source of most of the "it is illegal" rumors are typically the
> >> service or equipment providers who don't want consumers listening
> >> for free. While it is illegal to play music or other copyrighted
> >> works in public without paying royalties, such is not the case for
> >> non-business, i.e. personal use.
>
> > Yes, that's what Napster said, too.
>
> Not hardly. They claim to not be performing. And so far, they are
> correct. Or hadn't you heard "innocent until proven guilty"?
>
> > SCA is not a matter of royalty, but in many cases, of
> >subscription. Of the stations that I have worked carrying SCA
>
> Doesn't matter. I have yet to see a law enforce subscriptions of "in
> the clear transmissions" unless you try to make a profit from it.
>
> >transmissions, several carried telemetry between the transmitter and
> >the studio on their SCA subcarriers. Unauthorized monitoring of this
> >is illegal, as it is priveleged information. Usually digitally
>
> Again irrelevent. The law forbids disclosure and profiting but
> not monitoring. Unless you have some new information...
>
> >encoded, which is clearly specified as protected from unauthorized
> >monitoring under the law.
>
> What law? Where are you getting all this crap? (Even if it is law, it
> is still crap.)
>
> > Of the stations not carrying telemetry on their SCA, several
> >stations used their subcarriers to provide programming services.
>
> Yup.
>
> >Physicians Radio Network was one. Assorted music services,
> >datacasts, weather services were examples of others. These were by
> >subscription only. Unauthorized use of any kind, even person use, is
> >theft of service. Again rarely prosecuted. But specifically illegal.
>
> Not hardly. The service isn't in the signal. The service is in use of
> the information IN BUSINESS. Personal monitoring is NOT USE.
>
> >> Are you affiliated with said providers, or just repeating rumours?
>
> > Nice shot...but neither. I work with the carriers who apply the
> >SCA to their signals, and specifically, monitor, and measure, SCA
> >transmissions on them.
>

> Sounds like you are part of the party to me. In any case, without the
> law you are just repeating rumors. Perhaps your carrierx try to sell
> such services by claiming they are legally private... But they aren't.

It's obvious that you intend to dismiss based on my involvement in
the industry. So resolution and understanding is not going to
happen. I'll leave you to your confidence in that case, with this
caveat,...SCA transmissions are't "in the clear," by definition, and
subscription services monitored without a subscription agreement is
theft of service, by definition. That's business, or personal use.
Subscription is subscription, the right to listen is sold...to
listen to a subscription service, even in the privacy of your own
home, is still theft of service.

But, by all means, you be comfortable.

p
>
> sdb
>
> --
> More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
> ***
> Watch out for munged e-mail address.
> User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
> Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2894. Re: Slightly OT: NOAA Weather antenna (attic mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl/Lisa Van Camp <thevancamps@interwebco.com>
Message-ID: <398FFF0D.6A103D91@interwebco.com>
Source record: 82809

You wrote:
> Get one of those $20.00 VHF-Hi UHF Antennas from Radio Shack #20-176 and some
> RG-6 cable along with the needed F connectors and adaptors. DO NOT USE RG59, 58,
> 8, 8x cable for this, even on this short run.

Thanks all for the replies--I checked out the 20-176 and I think I'll
pick one up. It'll fit quite easily in an attic.

I have to ask--why do you feel 15 feet of quality RG-58 would be a "bad
thing"? We're only talking 162MHz here. And I know it's a receive-only
setup, but do you really feel a 75 ohm cable is preferable in this
(presumably) 50 ohm application?

Curious,
Carl Van Camp

2895. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simple Simon <dummy1@dummy2.com>
Message-ID: <OaheKiTAAHA.401@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 82810
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

they sure don't understand the difference between internal frequency
generation and transmitted frequency, do they?

Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
news:398F604A.59A4675@mailco.net...
> > >> Every cell site in the country? Apart from line of site issues,
> > >> there are distance limits to cellular communications. How high do
> > >> you think passenger aeroplanes fly?
> > >
> > >with a walkie talkie, I talked with someone using a walkie talkie on a
plane
> > >over Texas while I was in Chicago. A cellphone would have interfered
with
> > >every cell site for at least that distance in every direction from a
plane.
> >
> > No, it would not. Cellular communications are distance limited.
>
> You OBVIOUSLY don't have the knowledge to even be debating this!
>
>

2896. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39900CB6.A9559354@pitt.edu>
Source record: 82811

Pa. Turnpike 159.045, 159.075, 159.000

Tim Connor wrote:
>
> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.

2897. Re: NOAA RADIO HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <RkUj5.2808$4T.162442@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82812

http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DocumentDetail/Index/1,2360,support_meters~59364~htm,00.html

120-0250 is what you are looking for in that list

Sniper <sniperosok@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:sniperosok-08080005531000001@209-130-184-59.nas2.fod.gblx.net...
Hi, We have a NOAA weather radio; Radio Shack 7ch. Cat # 12- 250 Specific Area Alert at work. Nobody knows where the manual is for it. Can any of you out there help me find the directions or the codes and programming sequence for Webster and Hamilton County Iowa??? You have our thank in advance.....Sniper

PS please email sniperosok@hotmail.com

2898. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Corky Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Champion <mikec@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <gsUj5.2815$4T.162824@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82813

OK! In all fun you can make fun of and harrass Corky all you want but please don't make fun of people with down syndrome by comparing them to someone who just doesn't think. It could be your next child that has it!!!

corky mackey <no@mail> wrote in message news:m3tvos8684pjmfv0pru8n10sivqatji15v@4ax.com...
Let's not revisit your failed attempts to prove on-topic posts. It's been going on for years and you've NEVER been able to provide proof of an on-topic
post. NEVER.

Are you forgetting because your Down Syndrome is acting up again, Corky?

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

>When?
>
>"Mark" <marks@mail> wrote
>> You've been CHALLENGED many times and failed
>> every single one of them.
>
>

2899. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis>
Message-ID: <wuUj5.254$Zv3.171847@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 82814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It applies to both transmitting and receiving...

Attach an antenna to a tuner and tune for best reception - you'll hear the signal increase in strength until you reach a point where no further adjustments help. At this point, if you put an SWR meter in line with the antenna and apply power you'll find the SWR meter shows the lowest possible SWR for that particular antenna/tuner/frequency combination. Change one of the components and you'll see the SWR increase with a resulting decrease in signal strength from the previous optimum (lowest SWR) point.

Simply put - the lower the SWR for any given antenna at any given frequency = best signal.

This is a rather simplified explanation for a rather complex subject, but I hope it helps.

John

--
Remove <nospam> to reply

Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org> wrote in message news:F136C32C4A9932B6.EEA92F7330F4F384.E7C090E8A3EF2EC2@lp.airnews.net...
> What does SWR have anything to do with reception?
> I thought SWR was a ratio for transmission only.

2900. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39901BDC.C29D060C@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82815

This is a very good question. It seems that most scanning/digital receivers will go from 25 or 29 mhz to 50 or 54 mhz (with modification / option planned for when it was made it can go to 66 or 88 mhz for european service), sometimes even the whole segment to 174 mhz (Pro2006 scanner). Then we have the void from 174 to 220 mhz (pro mike area) and 220 to 240 mhz exists and another void to around 380 mhz (if my memory is accurate), where it resumes to around 520 mhz. I think there are some full coverage .5 to 1300 mhz scanners which may have that one available,

Steven

bill wrote:

> I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq.
> could Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/
> How about an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

2901. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <kekie@dek.net>
Message-ID: <e8kePeUAAHA.338@net003s>
Source record: 82816

The main problem with PCR1000/100 is the memory scan speed. I looked at the 1000 and after a small demo in a shop I decided to keep my money because it is so slow...

Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com> wrote in message news:8mnkv4$39h$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm curious since you are looking for something different from
> the PCR1000.. do you have a PCR1000 and you are looking
> for something else??
>
> If so,what did you find in the way of performance that was
> a problem ??
>
> I just purchased a PCR1000 last week and am very impressed
> with the performance actually ..
>
> Trish
> --
> Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
> Tactical Link Systems
> <ICQ#: 72818195>
> <http://www.tactical-link.com>
> see my comvan at:
> <http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
> .....
> My Public Key is available at:
> <http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
> Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
> This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!
>
> phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> > Hello every one,
> > I was curious if any one had any idea if there
> was
> > any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
> > remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any
> one
> > has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
> >
> > Bill R.
> >
> >
>

>

2902. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <kekie@dek.net>
Message-ID: <OOn5OeUAAHA.338@net003s>
Source record: 82817

Winradio cards...
www.winradio.com

Jd

phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> Hello every one,
> I was curious if any one had any idea if there
was
> any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
> remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any
one
> has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
>
> Bill R.
>
>

2903. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <0n60psgl1tmcdupq9e7itoe3r5m7tf4p3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 82818

On 8 Aug 2000 12:07:27 GMT, None@dontevntry.oro (Who Did you Expect?)
wrote:

>A friends daughter works in a laboratory where they are studying the effect of
>weak [on the order of the eaths natural magnetic filed] AC/pulsating DC/and
>RF. None of the PHD types there will use a cell/cordless/HT anymore. She says
>that very weak AC fields will do wierd things to palnt and animal growth.
>Several years ago another friends wife was working on a study mapping out
>different types of cancer. There where diffinet geographic patterns.
>Proximity to hightension [60Hz AC here in the USA], closeness to UHF TV
>stations and nearness to powerfull ATC radars. She also had some data
>sugesting that poeple who work in 60Hz magnetic fields [like power plants],
>and those who work around variable AC [train engineers] had very high cancer.
>And then there was the data showing that polic who routinely operate X and Ku
>band traffic radars had very high cancer.
>They moved, so I have no idea just what happened to that study.
>On a personal note I just keep my head out of microwave ovens(G).

Aww shucks, for every study you cite, I'll show you another one that
debunks what the first one said. Moreover, some of the most damning
research has also been shown to have been "cooked." See the case
against Dr. Liburdy of Stanford.

Did you know how much a police traffic radar puts out? Milliwatts.
That's right, *Milli*-watts. You'd probably get more exposure to
thermal radiation by sitting on a hot car seat.

And further, the data showing higher rates of cancer for those who
work on telephone or power poles is not consistent. There are lots of
other environmental issues to consider. For example, have you ever
heard of "ickypic?" It's a water proofing gel used on outside cable.
It's designed to be mildly poisonous to rats and mice too. Now, are
the cancers coming from that? How would you know the difference?

And in fact, NOBODY has shown repeatable evidence in the lab
demonstrating any connection between any form of EMF and cellular
mutation. Besides thermal considerations, nobody has been able to
show anything repeatable in the lab.

So I have to ask where the real evidence is. Note also that some of
these so called "scientists" have no idea how to measure an RF field
and they have no idea how to calculate exposure accurately. This has
been the case even with well cited studies such as the Wertheimer and
Leper study. They ASSUMED that the EMF from power lines was
proportional to the classification. But it isn't. Later studies
which attempted to repeat their work failed because their assumption

was wrong.

Yes, keep your head out of the microwave oven. Yes, do take care to stay below the ANSI limits. But as long as that's the case, you have nothing to fear. I think the scientists who are looking for this EMF bogeyman are wasting their time. But nobody really wants to hear what an electrical engineer thinks about this subject...

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2904. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000808115230.09802.00000030@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 82819

I think I'm just gonna lock myself in a lead lined house with no electricity
and never come out!

:)

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2905. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mpai9qic1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82820

In article <3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>,
opticfiber18@hotmail.com says...
>
>Hello every one,
> I was curious if any one had any idea if there was
>any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
>remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any one
>has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
>
>Bill R.
>
>
The PCR-100 is similar, but Icoms software is better than that they
offer for the '1000. The big disadavantage of the '100 is the lack of
USB/LSB/CW/DSP. The advantage is FM stereo. And the '100 is at least
$100(USA) cheaper than the '1000.
YMWV

2906. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39902E29.DD12630C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82821

Andy in RI wrote:
>
> I think I'm just gonna lock myself in a lead lined house with no electricity
> and never come out!
>

Sorry, but that won't help, either. Gases evolved from adhesives,
manufactured construction materials, carpet pads, and other
synthetics, that build up in unventilated houses have been proven to
cause liver and pancreatic cancer.

Damned if you do; f*cked if you don't.

> :)
>
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

2907. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mpapuqic2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <398F948B.427C167D@worldnet.att.net>, PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net says...

snip

>> Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Sun, 06 Aug 2000 22:03:57 GMT wrote:
>> > That's correct. And subcarriers on FM are not totally unused. Some
>> >stations have several. Most of the programming is available by
>> >subscription, as was the Physicians Radio Network, with receivers
>> >tuned to the station in question, and the correct subcarrier
>> >provided as part of the subscription service.
>>
snip
Subcarriers are "common carrier" like the wired telephone/telegraph [do they even still exist] and commercial satellites. While very few seam to know and even less care,it is illegal to intercept any common carrier unless you are an agent of the coampany [/ies] providing the service [for routine monitoring and control] or a member of the FCC.

2908. Re: Slightly OT: NOAA Weather antenna (attic mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ash <ash@gravitywell.org>
Message-ID: <39903162.A0D5EC1F@gravitywell.org>
Source record: 82823

I really don't know much about line matching, but isn't matching more important for transmission.
I use 75ohm's low-loss KTV cable 1.0/4.8CV, 14,6dB/100m loss @ 500MHz instead of RG58's 44dB.
And I find it great for reception, and best of all - the cable is very cheap...

Carl/Lisa Van Camp wrote:

> You wrote:
> > Get one of those $20.00 VHF-Hi UHF Antennas from Radio Shack #20-176 and some
> > RG-6 cable along with the needed F connectors and adaptors. DO NOT USE RG59, 58,
> > 8, 8x cable for this, even on this short run.
>
> Thanks all for the replies--I checked out the 20-176 and I think I'll
> pick one up. It'll fit quite easily in an attic.
>
> I have to ask--why do you feel 15 feet of quality RG-58 would be a "bad
> thing"? We're only talking 162MHz here. And I know it's a receive-only
> setup, but do you really feel a 75 ohm cable is preferable in this
> (presumably) 50 ohm application?
>
> Curious,
> Carl Van Camp

2909. Re: NOAA RADIO HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <OkWj5.68731$oj6.627494@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82824

go to radioshack.com and find their online manuals. It should be there.

Sniper <sniperosok@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sniperosok-08080005531000001@209-130-184-59.nas2.fod.gblx.net...
> Hi, We have a NOAA weather radio; Radio Shack 7ch. Cat # 12- 250 Specific
> Area Alert at work. Nobody knows where the manual is for it. Can any of
> you out there help me find the directions or the codes and programming
> sequence for Webster and Hamilton County Iowa??? You have our thank in
> advance.....Sniper
>
> PS please email sniperosok@hotmail.com

2910. Re: Airline Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <TIWj5.68733$oj6.627574@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82825

search the 118-134MHz range. Or go to your local Radio Shack store and get
the Police Call book.

Dom <Freq@Netstamp.net> wrote in message
news:dcJj5.2197$I4.15627@typhoon.pompano.mediaone.net...
> If anyone has airport or airline freq in South Fl. please email to
> freq@netstamp.net
>
>

2911. Re: What's up with Mental Case Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399032BC.E4BCE121@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Apparently your mental sickness has taken turn
for the worse and you are incoherent as hell.
Listen Corky retard.. You lost this war a long,
long time ago and were mortally injured. All
that remains of you on this NG is a ghost whos
hauntings make us all laugh. It cracks me up how
much of your time is spent replying to all of this
from multiple sources.
You remind of that cowardly sicko in the movie
'The Green Mile'

K S 0 F wrote:

> Apparently you like nonsense or you wouldn't keep reading them!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Your posts are really sounding like nonsense now.

2912. Re: What's up with Mental Case Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399032DD.A8B43BE0@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Apparently your mental sickness has taken turn
for the worse and you are incoherent as hell.
Listen Corky retard.. You lost this war a long,
long time ago and were mortally injured. All
that remains of you on this NG is a ghost whos
hauntings make us all laugh. It cracks me up how
much of your time is spent replying to all of this
from multiple sources.
You remind of that cowardly sicko in the movie
'The Green Mile'

K S 0 F wrote:

> Apparently you like nonsense or you wouldn't keep reading them!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Your posts are really sounding like nonsense now.

2913. Bedpan needs changing in Room 217 Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <39903355.658B4D5B@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bedpan needs changing in Room 217 Corky.

K S 0 F wrote:

> My technical knowledge was not in question. Neither was yours or anyone
> elses.
>
> My multiple FCC licenses, along with degrees and military experience
> clearly indicate my high abilities of technical knowledge.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>
> > KS0F said.....
> > > No, Cheek proved he had NONE of that when he suggested using a mettalic
> > > screwdriver to adjust a RF coil!
> >
> > Not a word saying you have any technical expertise, John. You don't

2914. Re: (John-Corky Mackey Watch) Mackey has no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399033FF.A6BB112C@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

All good points Glenn.

Glenn wrote:

> KS0F AKA John-Corky call me Toilet-Boy spewed.....
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>
> > > Cheek had real technical ability, you have none. Zero. Nada.
> >
> > No, Cheek proved he had NONE of that when he suggested using a mettalic
> > screwdriver to adjust a RF coil!
>
> Not a word saying you have any technical expertise, John-Corky. You don't
> and your only defense is in that John-Corky drunken gap tooth slurred babble
> "Well Cheek proved he had none" bawahahahahahahaha! Still has Cheek 10
> times more rf/radio savvy than John-Corky Mackey, originator of the saying "
> Duh, well I didn't know I should check polarity before installing a
> repeater. Duh". That's Smoking John-Corky for ya!

2915. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <095dc30e.3ab0ef31@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 82830

You know what's funny, Jake. Your HAIR DRYER will put out more
EMF than you will EVER experience with cell phones or
powerlines, but no one is fussing about those.

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>And in fact, NOBODY has shown repeatable evidence in the lab
>demonstrating any connection between any form of EMF and
cellular
>mutation. Besides thermal considerations, nobody has been able
to
>show anything repeatable in the lab.
>
>So I have to ask where the real evidence is. Note also that
some of
>these so called "scientists" have no idea how to measure an RF
field
>and they have no idea how to calculate exposure accurately.
This has
>been the case even with well cited studies such as the
Wertheimer and
>Leper study. They ASSUMED that the EMF from power lines was
>proportional to the classification. But it isn't. Later
studies
>which attempted to repeat their work failed because their
assumption
>was wrong.
>
>Yes, keep your head out of the microwave oven. Yes, do take
care to
>stay below the ANSI limits. But as long as that's the case,
you have
>nothing to fear. I think the scientists who are looking for
this EMF
>bogeyman are wasting their time. But nobody really wants to
hear what
>an electrical engineer thinks about this subject...
>
>73,
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
>

Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3
http://come.to/funnynews

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

2916. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gPWj5.13641$f_5.69613@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 82831

well, it's not the preformance, it's the fact that I can't find one for
under 400.00$$ I need something that will fit my bigdet

"Patricia Gibbons" <wa6ube@tactical-link.com> wrote in message
news:8mnkv4$39h$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm curious since you are looking for something different from
> the PCR1000.. do you have a PCR1000 and you are looking
> for something else??
>
> If so,what did you find in the way of performance that was
> a problem ??
>
> I just purchased a PCR1000 last week and am very impressed
> with the performance actually ..
>
> Trish
> --
> Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
> Tactical Link Systems
> <ICQ#: 72818195>
> <http://www.tactical-link.com>
> see my comvan at:
> <http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
> .....
> My Public Key is available at:
> <http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
> Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
> This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!
>
> phoenix18 <opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> > Hello every one,
> > I was curious if any one had any idea if there
> was
> > any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
> > remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any
> one
> > has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
> >
> > Bill R.
> >
> >
>
>

2917. (John-Corky Mackey Watch) Mackey lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <_%Wj5.107$vc1.142923@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I wrote but Corky in his usual style snipped........

>>Not a word saying you have any technical expertise, John-Corky. You don't
>>and your only defense is in that John-Corky drunken gap tooth slurred
babble
>>"Well Cheek proved he had none" bawahahahahahahaha! Still has Cheek 10
>>times more rf/radio savvy than John-Corky Mackey, originator of the saying
"
>>Duh, well I didn't know I should check polarity before installing a
>>repeater. Duh". That's Smoking John-Corky for ya!

K S 0 F begins his first lie with.........
> My technical knowledge was not in question.

Yes it was, I reposted the part you snipped out above Corky. Many others
have questioned

> Neither was yours or anyone
> elses.

Only yours because you don't have any.

> My multiple FCC licenses,

Like more ham licenses than you can count on one hand? HAHAHAHAHAHA! That
lie was revealed a long time ago.

> along with degrees

A degree in what John-Corky? You only education is Bed=Pan certification at
a technical school.

>and military experience

Being dishonorably discharged doesn't count.

> clearly indicate my high abilities of technical knowledge.

Smoking power supplies because you don't know how to check polarity and
ruining a repeater for the same reason clearly indicates your technical
expertise. Deny that.

Life goes on.....

Glenn

2918. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <VOXj5.61206$fR2.635587@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82833

Yes...and they're pushing for that in Michigan now also.

Bill Crocker

"Jim" <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:sov9ch4r63a151@corp.supernews.com...
> Illegal to use a cell phone while driving? Are you sure about that?
>
> Jim
>
> Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
> >Tim:
> >
> >Be advised...using a cellular phone while driving in Ohio is illegal!
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >"Tim Connor" <tconnor@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:398F58FA.9C2441EC@mindspring.com...
> >> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> >> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

2919. links for www.scannerpage.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "scannerpage.com" <thepeebee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8mphjj$2r81$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 82834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have a link for www.scannerpage.com ???

go to : http://www.scannerpage.com/add.htm

And add your site.

Tommorow is the next update.

2920. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <i9i0psk0hd52lvtcb99aqi9dnn2nrrj95l@4ax.com>
Source record: 82835

On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 09:06:01 -0700, RanHefner
<ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote:

>You know what's funny, Jake. Your HAIR DRYER will put out more
>EMF than you will EVER experience with cell phones or
>powerlines, but no one is fussing about those.

Indeed. And how would we ever explain this to the bleach blond bimbo
& health nut crowd? You know, an electric blanket is much more
efficient than heating a house to keep someone warm at night. But try
and sell that to the public!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2921. F.S. ICOM PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wehking <rwehking@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <v3Yj5.24585$ci1.140393@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 82836

2 months old including manual, software, cables & Radio Shack Optimus external speaker for $325 shipped in USA

2922. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39904E20.53DF7B51@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It represents a loss of energy. Granted, it's much more important in
transmitting than receiving, but my point was don't worry about it.
Much of the swr hysteria is tracable to people who don't understand
what's going on.
Regards, Jim

2923. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mpkappjh1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82838

In article <gPWj5.13641$f_5.69613@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>,
opticfiber18@hotmail.com says...
>
>well, it's not the preformance, it's the fact that I can't find one for
>under 400.00$$ I need something that will fit my bigdet
>
>>
>>
>
>
Have you looked at the Icom PCR100.
IT is cheaper, abut 320US, I think.
A good radio, that for most folks should work great.
As contrasted to the PCR1000, the main drawback for me is the
lack of USB/LSB/CW and the fact that the PCR1000 will support an
internal DPS module. The PCR100 does have FM stereo.
And Icom's software for the '100 is better than that offered
for the '1000.
YMWV

2924. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mpl18pjh2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82839

snip

>On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 09:06:01 -0700, RanHefner
><ranhefnerNOraSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> wrote:
>
>>You know what's funny, Jake. Your HAIR DRYER will put out more
>>EMF than you will EVER experience with cell phones or
>>powerlines, but no one is fussing about those.
>
>Indeed. And how would we ever explain this to the bleach blond bimbo
>& health nut crowd? You know, an electric blanket is much more
>efficient than heating a house to keep someone warm at night. But try
>and sell that to the public!
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"
The hell with EMI cancer with an electric blanket, I nearly died under one
about 12 years ago. Sometime late at night I was awakened by my crazy calico
cat. She was making noises that convinced me she had finaly gone around the
bend. That, coupled with her wacking my face lead me to grab her firmly around
the neck, so as to be able to "solve" here craziness once and for all, and
as I sat up, I notice a strange glow at the foot of the bed.
I dropped [ok threw] her to the floor and jumped out of bed. I then noticed
the smell of overheated something. I looked close and saw that the blanket was
on fire, ever so small but still a flame. I grabbed the blanket and threw it
out the back door. Got another blanket [non-electric] and cover my still
sleeping wife up. Got a glass of water and extinguished the now not so small
flaming
blanket.
And my crazy cat slinked back up into bed and crawled under the covers and
shivered the rest of that night. And before anyone screams cruel and abuse of
animals, just you wake up with a yowling cat, scratching your face and remain
calm. And yes there was a smoke alarm in the bedroom, a combi optical and
ion unit. And I took it back and screamed at the electric supply house that
sold it to me. IT was revealed that that lot had been recalled!

We now have a water bed, even warmer, and I would love to see it catch on
fire!

2925. Trunk Tracking scanner wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <gKYj5.47$W03.16678@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 82840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking to purchase a trunk tracking scanner.

I have no preference as to the make/model, number of channels, mobile, base, portable etc., as long as it's a trunk tracker, in good condition, and works 100%.

Let me know what you have, and your price...

Thanks,

John

2926. German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mpn47$d3p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82841

Either they have the weirdest synthesized voice on NOAA, or else someone with a Germanic accent is broadcasting weather info, at least in California. Any ideas?

Thanks,
Val.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2927. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mpniv$de2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> Please present your verifiable proof that I am a liar or be found
> to be a liar YOURSELF by default.

Please present your verifiable proof that he will be found to be a liar
by default if he doesn't present verifiable proof.

Sod R. Burn

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2928. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mpoe0dsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

.

> (Do I need to re-post that message you put on rec.radio.swap 4 years ago
> to demonstrate your true desires??)

According to your own weak-minded theory, by doing so you would be violating Copyright law, as you accused Mr. Wirehead of doing.

R. F. Burn

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2929. Re: BC147XLT Newbie seeking help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Durkin <pjdurkin@mmm.com>
Message-ID: <39906458.4148A317@mmm.com>
Source record: 82844

"Janet Dornhoff, DVM" wrote:

> Thank you! This sounds pretty simple. Now, I just need to find some
> frequencies to listen to. Is there any way to scan through everything,
> searching for in-use frequencies, or do you have to program specific
> ones in?

One of my old radios is a BC-145XL, almost identical to the 147. You
can't do a search with it. You have to know the frequency in advance.
Most scanners today, except the very basic models like the 145 and 147,
have a search function, and it really comes in handy for finding
unpublished frequencies, or determining which frequencies have a decent
amount of traffic to listen to.

However, I do like my BC-145XL as a *second* radio. The audio quality is
excellent, it's simple to use and it can run from DC power in your car
(where legal).

> I'm in a northwest suburb of Chicago, near Pauwaukee airport. The Wheeling
> and Buffalo Grove police, fire, etc should be in range, maybe a few other
> 'burbs. I don't know what else this kind of scanner could potentially
> pick up. Any ideas?

You won't be able to hear aircraft since the radio does not cover that
band (108-136 MHz). However you can hear police, fire, businesses,
public works, and others in the 29-54, 136-174, and 406-512 MHz bands.
For starters, try the Chicago Area Monitoring Association's Web site at
http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm
I'll bet you'll find some worthwhile frequencies to enter there.

Pat

2930. Re: Bill Cheek Well Be Missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mpr2bfot1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <80Cj5.80628$V34.737329@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> Do you know that when you point your finger at someone else, you have 3
> fingers
> pointing back at yourself?

Actually, it's only two. The one in the middle is for you.

Hy M. Pedance

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2931. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mprjc$fu5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82846

"KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> I don't have any "fictitious personas", but I can repost messages from
> otyher verifiable users. Would you like to see a few posts?

When Mr. Wirehead reposted a message from Richard Kendrick, you accused
him of violated Copyright law. How would your reposting of someone
else's messages be any different?

Milli Henry

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2932. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes: wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Velez <kd2pm@home.com>
Message-ID: <bckuos48k4t5gp350v96jhh3elfifr7iqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 82869
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Lets also put this into perspective. Cell sites normally do not point
their antennas UP, but moreso they do downtilts to cut down on
co-channel and adjacent channel interference. So even if the FCC did
approve them, at 30,000 ft, you would be hard pressed to find a signal
up there and moving at 500 mph, just imagine the handover success rate
you would have from cell to cell or carrier to carrier...

On Mon, 07 Aug 2000 02:03:39 GMT, Rain McClelland
<drops@use.net.nospam> wrote:

>David Steuber wrote:
>
>> I just want to point out that computers were mentioned at some point
>> in this thread. Consumer electronics from walkmans to laptops are
>> allowed on planes. The new planes all have outlets for you to plug
>> your computer into!
>>
>> I don't know what the deal is with cellular phones. If there really
>> are concerns by the FAA and NTSB that low powered EF emmisions could bring down an
>> aircraft, I think they would be screening these items
>> out of you carry on baggage. They don't do this.
>
>There are two separate issues here.
>
>One: The FCC has banned cell phone use on aircraft in the sky, per the phone
>industry's request. This issue has nothing to do with aviation safety, nor the FAA.
>
>Two: Scheduled airlines operate under Part 121 of the federal aviation
>administration's chapter of the CFR. A section in 121 states that passengers may not
>use devices which are not approved by the operator of the aircraft, and the operator
>has taken responsibility that the device will not cause interference to the aircraft
>systems. A non aviation approved transmitter has a non acceptable level of
>probability that it will cause interference to systems. Some of the systems are
>highly susceptible to interference, especially that navigation system. Anyone who
>understands how critical and sensitive the VHF navigation receivers are, and how a
>unwanted image on a frequency that they are using causes problems realizes this.
>
>Airlines have determined that laptops do not cause problems with systems. Quite
>understandedly that they have not made this determination for transmitters, including
>cell phones. As for cellphones, it wouldn't matter if they did; refer to point one
>above.
>
>As an addendum, there are many things that can bring down an aircraft that are not
>screened.

>

2933. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <398F59CE.D6EFF3FF@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What kind of coax are you using, and how far does it run? If there IS activity, and you have lossy coax, then you're losing signal to the radio. Sometimes the stock antenna is better!
I'll probably get flamed for this, but RG-6 works quite well for receiving, regardless of what people say about the 75-50 ohm "Mis-match". It's only an SWR of 1.5 to 1, so don't sweat it!
Regards, Jim

2934. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000807211048.25485.00000352@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 82871

In article <ZLFj5.15$mo.10585@news.uswest.net>, "DH" <dh@crewcompany.com> writes:

>I have put my antenna (I got it from a friend so I don't even know what kind >it is--long with 3 smaller pieces near the bottom in a triangular pattern >sticking out from the main pole) along the trunk of a tree as my Homeowner's >Association does not allow these types of antennas.

Better idea: put it on the roof where it belongs. If your local Homeowner Mommies whine about it, tell them that it's a TV antenna and thus protected by federal pre-emption.

You know what I love? Driving through these new developments with strict covenants against any type of outside antenna...and seeing shiny new wireless cable antennas jutting from the roofs! These are the "diamond" shaped antennas, requiring strict line-of-sight, and are mounted 20-40 feet above the roofline. Complete with multiple sets of guywires, large tripods, etc. Not a damn thing the Homeowner Mommies can do about it. Makes my day!

2935. Airline Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dom <Freq@Netstamp.net>
Message-ID: <dcJj5.2197$I4.15627@typhoon.pompano.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82872

If anyone has airport or airline freq in South Fl. please email to freq@netstamp.net

2936. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC watch) repeater jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <omJj5.81010$V34.744263@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Glenn - Please post the contact data of the 4 other hams for verification of your statements.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Yes he did and it was verified by 4 other hams John. Your actions here
> prove it beyond a shadow of a doubt John.

2937. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC watch) Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <FpJj5.81012$V34.744385@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> I am sure that you don't consider profanity and threats over a repeater as
> "wrongful", demonstrated by your action on here the last 5 years. You have

Please refer the issue to the repeater owner/licensee for him to assess.
YOU
are NOT someone who has authority to judge what is appropriate or
inappropriate
on the repeater. The owner/licensee will take what actions are appropriate
from that
point.

> no morals, scruples or conscience. The 4 hams that listened to you and
the
> one that talked to you know you did it. 3 have posted that you did, not

Please re-post those posts for verification within 48 hours or be found to
be
lying by default.

2938. Re: 163.412 MHz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <dqJj5.81013$V34.744503@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82875

It is used by the Army Corp of Engineers on the Missouri River.

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> Is anyone in the group monitoring 163.412 MHz?
>
> I tried to run this frequency thru the FCC database and got stonewalled.

2939. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ttJj5.81016$V34.744513@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82876
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Terry - you are contradicting yourself, which strongly hints you are lying.

"Terry Lee" <terryllee@home.com> wrote
My posting was NOT in support to you. It said that you are "wrong" about Bill Cheek being asked to leave FidoNet. IT NEVER HAPPENED!

2940. Re: West Des Moines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <huJj5.81018$V34.744062@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82877

Bud - Sorry I can't help you, I moved away from the West Des Moines area before 800 was popular.

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> Anyone have a good list of the trunked freqs for West Des Moines, Iowa?

2941. Re: What's up with Cory?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <EvJj5.81020$V34.744663@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Apparently you like nonsense or you wouldn't keep reading them!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Your posts are really sounding like nonsense now.

2942. Re: Kendick N7NT jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <3xJj5.81022$V34.744023@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82879

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote
> As usual, John snipped out the part that makes him look bad. (the part
where
> I asked him to repost a previous on-topic post.)

Kevin - Specifically, what part was that?

2943. Re: BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <398F648A.8FB00B5E@ARRL.net>
Source record: 82880

Thanks, I will give that a try as soon as I write some 100 freqs down.

Mike

"Barry Burke Jr." wrote:

> A BC200 is reset by holding down the 2, 9, and Manual keys while turning
> on the power. This radio is not equipped with a reset button.
>
> Barry
>
> Michael Champion wrote:
> >
> > What is said below or it is in the battery compartment.
> >
> > Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:wMAi5.57734$fR2.584555@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > Mike:
> >
> > I can't recall if the BC200 has a CPU reset button somewhere on it? If so,
> > have you tried that? Usually it's a very small hole that you carefully
> > insert a paperclip wire, or similar device, and push on a tiny button.
> > Sometimes they're in the battery compartment. Be advised, doing so will
> > wipe out all your programming.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> > "Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
> > news:398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net...
> > > My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the
> > > radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I
> > > tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400
> > > mhz band freq's .
> > >
> > > Do I have a junk radio now?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Mike Gormley
> > > P.O. Box 205
> > > Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
> > >
> > > "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"
> > >
> > > mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
> > >

> > > ICQ #24425724
> > >
> > > Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
> > >
> > > http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/

2944. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <398F663E.810937F3@ARRL.net>
Source record: 82881

Tim Connor wrote:

> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.

This is only from memory so take it for what it is worth. I believe
the Ohio Turnpike freqs are 155.790 or 155.970 can't remember .
Also try 155.625or something like that. The Ohio Highway Patrol Smokey
in the Air uses 45.02 (or was it 44.02?)

--
Mike Gormley

2945. When did Bill cheek Pass Away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000807215739.15310.00000776@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 82882

I would like to know if its true that Bill did pass away and if so when as i have been trying to find out if the rumor is fact or fiction. i dont care what anyways says Bill Cheek was a great man and will be deeply missed.
thanks
al

2946. BC245 Trunking programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VbKj5.115023$1h3.1810781@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 82883

Could soemone explian me how I can programm an EDAC trunking bank.

I read the book that came with my new scanner a lot of time but still can't understand.

Example : EDAC system frequencies have to be programmed logically. Does this mean in sorted order or a frequency can be higher and enter a lower one after ?

A lot of commands described in the manual don't work.

Any help ?

best regards

2947. Re: FS or FT Motorola GP68 VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug and Cindy Deutsch <cindyd1@enter.net>
Message-ID: <398F70C0.4DC06ED@enter.net>
Source record: 82884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Tim,

What kind of money were you thinking for the Gp68?

Thanks,

Doug

tim wrote:

> I have a Motorola GP68 VHF 20 channel for sale or trade. This unit is in
> MINT condition and will be shipped in original box. Includes: rapid charger,
> ant. and batt.
> I would be interested in trade for GP68 UHF or other UHF handheld. I will
> also entertain cash offers. Please reply to tim@tabol.com
>
> Thanks
> Tim
>
> --

2948. Re: West Des Moines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <wtKj5.61092$fR2.629340@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82885

I'm not there either, I'm in Michigan!

Bill

"Bud the Real" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:6mquos46ovomfapok0s851lo19utbu4ekn@4ax.com...
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Bud:
> >
> >I emailed you a list.
>
> Thanks, my friend will appreciate it.
>
> If I was there, it would be easy for me to find the details,
> but not from over 1000 miles away.

2949. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cpfft@aol.comp
Message-ID: <20000807224621.08950.00000400@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 82886

>From: mrmxyztpik13

>Does anyone know where online can I purchase Kneitel's book <top Secret
>Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies>?

Don't bother wasting your money, the book is horribly outdated.

Any information contained in the book can be easily found the the Internet.

2950. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dLKj5.17494$Lp3.307049@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82887

I would tell you, but it's a secret!

007
Retired Spy

Mr MxyztpIk 13 <mrmxyztpik13@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000806093844.25702.00001863@ng-df1.aol.com...
> Does anyone know where online can I purchase Kneitel's book <top Secret
> Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies>? Barry

2951. PRO2067 questions / comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Pomplun <k2hug@home.com>
Message-ID: <398F8162.2C1CB72D@home.com>
Source record: 82888

1. I read that EDACS frequencies need to be entered "in order". Does this mean in "increasing frequency order", or some other. The system I listen to is the Bay Area Rapid Transit EDACS system. The web page that I found with the frequencies was in increasing order. Having entered them that way, how do I know if it is correct?

2. I saw some posts that the 92/2067 sometimes doesn't show the channel ID (in line 4). I see that on the Alameda County system (which I'm told is a Motorola I). On the BART EDACS system, an ID is always shown, though sometimes the conversation doesn't seem to match what I expect.

3. In an intro to the 92/2067, the author said:
a. "the radios don't use the data channel". Is this true? I thought that the actual voice channel didn't carry group info; that it was all in the data channel.
b. "when programming a Motorola system, you don't need the fleet map". I think I understand the concept involved, but the "easily understood" information in the manual makes no sense to me. Steer me towards something more logical, please.

Thanx

Don K2BIO
k2hug@home.com

2952. Re: BC245 Trunking programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UjLj5.347$9T1.12243@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82889

The 245 tracks EDACS flawlessly. That is all I use it for.
In Edacs, you must program the frequencies in 'logical order' this may or may not be in contiguous order.
You find out what the order is either by experimentation, or lists on the web or from Uniden.

When I first got mine last July, I hadn't a clue. but followed the trunk programming example in the book exactly and it came right up.
Later on , the system added frequencies and I noticed a gap in qsos now and then. I went in and reprogrammed the logical freqs in order and all is good.

All the commands in the book work. TO help yourself, believe that it will work fine and that you just need to understand how to use it. Then if you can't get it from the manual, ask a specific question designed to get a specific answer. "how do i get it to ..". etc.

Saying I tried it and it doesnt work does not lend itself to helpful hints.

Read the trunk programming chapter and do exactly as it says -- if you still have problems, say exactly what the problem is. Should be able to sort it out then.

Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:VbKj5.115023$1h3.1810781@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Could soemone explian me how I can programm an EDAC trunking bank.
>
> I read the book that came with my new scanner a lot of time but still can't
> understand.
>
> Example : EDAC system frequencies have to be programmed logically. Does this
> mean in sorted order or a frequency can be higher and enter a lower one
> after ?
>
> A lot of commands described in the manual don't work.
>
> Any help ?
>
> best regards
>
>
>

2953. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8mo0he$ll2$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Sun, 06 Aug 2000 22:03:57 GMT wrote:
> That's correct. And subcarriers on FM are not totally unused. Some
>stations have several. Most of the programming is available by
>subscription, as was the Physicians Radio Network, with receivers
>tuned to the station in question, and the correct subcarrier
>provided as part of the subscription service.

> So, in some cases, subcarrier monitoring is not only illegal, but
>it is theft of service.

I'd like to see a chapter and verse quote on that one. The FAQs around
the net and the companies selling SCA receivers and modifications don't
seem to agree with you. Radio Shack even used to sell one, maybe still
does (I haven't checked lately).

http://www.toltbbs.com/~bhammond/sca.htm (FAQ)
http://clients.dialnet.net/scsradio/ (kits and FAQ)
http://members.aol.com/fmatlas/ (radios, faq, stations w/subcarriers)
http://www.ldsradio.com/howtolisten/0,5499,4,FF.html#fm (mormon church)

The source of most of the "it is illegal" rumors are typically the
service or equipment providers who don't want consumers listening
for free. While it is illegal to play music or other copyrighted
works in public without paying royalties, such is not the case for
non-business, i.e. personal use.

Are you affiliated with said providers, or just repeating rumours?

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2954. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <q7Mj5.61119$fR2.629119@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82891

Tim:

Be advised...using a cellular phone while driving in Ohio is illegal!

Bill Crocker

"Tim Connor" <tconnor@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:398F58FA.9C2441EC@mindspring.com...
> I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.
>

2955. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398F948B.427C167D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sylvan Butler wrote:
>
> Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Sun, 06 Aug 2000 22:03:57 GMT wrote:
> > That's correct. And subcarriers on FM are not totally unused. Some
> >stations have several. Most of the programming is available by
> >subscription, as was the Physicians Radio Network, with receivers
> >tuned to the station in question, and the correct subcarrier
> >provided as part of the subscription service.
>
> > So, in some cases, subcarrier monitoring is not only illegal, but
> >it is theft of service.
>
> I'd like to see a chapter and verse quote on that one. The FAQs around
> the net and the companies selling SCA receivers and modifications don't
> seem to agree with you. Radio Shack even used to sell one, maybe still
> does (I haven't checked lately).

Yes imagine my surprise. FAQ's on the 'net in conflict with law.
Radio Shack not only used to sell one, but they published schematics
for do it yourself receivers, and included legal advice on their
use. All of that was before the revision of the communications act.
Which specified that signals that were not for general reception by
the public, as SCA are not, are illegal to monitor without
permission. In the case of SCA specific language was applied.
Someone posted a paragraph earlier in this thread, maybe you can
find it.
I also own the ART/Sci series of technical manuals delineating,
among others, cell restoration mods for various receivers. Published
in 98, it's clearly in violation of ECPA, and yet, it shows up all
over the place.
Just because someone provides technical data, or hardware for a
purpose, doesn't mean it's use isn't illegal.

Of course there are those who will argue to the contrary. They
are entitled to be wrong.

For the most part unenforcable, but still illegal.
>
> http://www.toltbbs.com/~bhammond/sca.htm (FAQ)
> http://clients.dialnet.net/scsradio/ (kits and FAQ)
> http://members.aol.com/fmatlas/ (radios, faq, stations w/subcarriers)
> http://www.ldsradio.com/howtolisten/0,5499,4,FF.html#fm (mormon church)
>
> The source of most of the "it is illegal" rumors are typically the

> service or equipment providers who don't want consumers listening
> for free. While it is illegal to play music or other copyrighted
> works in public without paying royalties, such is not the case for
> non-business, i.e. personal use.

Yes, that's what Napster said, too.

SCA is not a matter of royalty, but in many cases, of
subscription. Of the stations that I have worked carrying SCA
transmissions, several carried telemetry between the transmitter and
the studio on their SCA subcarriers. Unauthorized monitoring of this
is illegal, as it is priveleged information. Usually digitally
encoded, which is clearly specified as protected from unauthorized
monitoring under the law.
Of the stations not carrying telemetry on their SCA, several
stations used their subcarriers to provide programming services.
Physicians Radio Network was one. Assorted music services,
datacasts, weather services were examples of others. These were by
subscription only. Unauthorized use of any kind, even person use, is
theft of service. Again rarely prosecuted. But specifically illegal.

One network I worked with, a state public radio network used the
SCA subcarriers to carry remote studio backhaul, and remote studio
communications, to the main studio at the flagship station. These
are specifically protected by the revised communications act, and it
is illegal for unauthorized monitoring under any circumstances.
>
> Are you affiliated with said providers, or just repeating rumours?

Nice shot...but neither. I work with the carriers who apply the
SCA to their signals, and specifically, monitor, and measure, SCA
transmissions on them.
>
> sdb
> --
> More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
> ***
> Watch out for munged e-mail address.
> User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
> Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

2956. Re: When did Bill cheek Pass Away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <iSMj5.81406$V34.749373@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

If is unfortunate for his family that Bill Cheek has indeed passed away.
However,
he is now out of his pain (he had cancer), so it is good that he is out of
pain.

"ACiesynski" <aciesynski@aol.com> wrote
> I would like to know if its true that Bill did pass away and if so when as
i
> have been trying to find out if the rumor is fact or fiction. i dont care
what
> anyways says Bill Cheek was a great man and will be deeply missed. thanks

2957. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David Ho, AF4BH" <REMOVETHIS.davidho@one.net>
Message-ID: <398f982c$1_2@news2.one.net>
Source record: 82894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Time out!

Please educate me on this subject before I can understand all these terms:
Sub-carrier... (technical background)
Ku
C Band
PRN...
...(all good stuff)
etc...

Thanks and 73's

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:398DE322.C8D7FE36@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> "Michael A. Terrell" wrote:
> >
> > Norman Litsche wrote:
> > >
> > > Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!
>
> >
> > It is only illegal to use SCA signals at a business or public place
> > without paying the provider.
>
> This is simply not true. Not since the latest revisions of
> communications law. It is illegal to monitor SCA without a lease
> agreement, or subscription, no matter where the monitoring is done.
>
> > SCA is a leased service, rarely provided
> > by the station delivering the signal. You can use it it home,
>
> No, you may not legally do so at home.
>
> > but the
> > bandwidth and noise figures are poor,
>
> Yes, they can be pretty dreadful. And if the station is processing
> with hard composite clipping, the receiver hears baseband transients
> in the SCA audio.
>
> We carried PRN for years on one station I worked. Composite
> clipping was all the rage throughout hte market. But composite

> clippers were not very sophisticated, so we daily got complaints
> about the cymbal crashes that punctuated a treatise on pancreatic
> surgery, or how to a D & C and still make you tee-time. It wasn't
> very engaging programming.
>
> And PRN did crack down on pirates. I don't have the first clue how
> they caught them, but we'd get the reports every month, the number
> of non subscribers caught listening.

2958. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <398FA0F9.336246AF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"David Ho, AF4BH" wrote:
>
> Time out!
>
> Please educate me on this subject before I can understand all these terms:
> Sub-carrier... (technical background)

A frequency used to modulate a carrier wave that is itself
modulated.

99.5 MHz is modulated by 67khz. 67khz is modulated by a 8k audio
channel. 67khz is a subcarrier of 99.5.

FM Stereo uses a 38khz amplitude modulated subcarrier to carry the
difference audio for the stereo stage, L - R.
> Ku
> C Band
spectral allocations in this instance satellite spectra.

> PRN...

Physicians Radio Network, a subscription service carried by
SCA(Subsidiary Communication Authority...authorized programming or
communications service applied to a subcarrier on a broadcast FM
signal) in many markets, for doctors and medical practicioners.
Surgical developments, medical news.

> ...(all good stuff)

composite clipping: hard limiting of total modulation, (all audio,
subcarriers, stereo pilot) of a broadcast signal by clipping of the
total modulation, rather than gain reduction of the offending
component.

Baseband: the modulation component of an FM broadcast signal
below 15 khz carrying audio.

Does that help?

>
> Thanks and 73's
>
> "Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:398DE322.C8D7FE36@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >

> > "Michael A. Terrell" wrote:
> > >
> > > Norman Litsche wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Careful here. ECPA says that this is illegal!!!
> >
> > >
> > > It is only illegal to use SCA signals at a business or public place
> > > without paying the provider.
> >
> > This is simply not true. Not since the latest revisions of
> > communications law. It is illegal to monitor SCA without a lease
> > agreement, or subscription, no matter where the monitoring is done.
> >
> > > SCA is a leased service, rarely provided
> > > by the station delivering the signal. You can use it it home,
> >
> > No, you may not legally do so at home.
> >
> > > but the
> > > bandwidth and noise figures are poor,
> >
> > Yes, they can be pretty dreadful. And if the station is processing
> > with hard composite clipping, the receiver hears baseband transients
> > in the SCA audio.
> >
> > We carried PRN for years on one station I worked. Composite
> > clipping was all the rage throughout hte market. But composite
> > clippers were not very sophisticated, so we daily got complaints
> > about the cymbal crashes that punctuated a treatise on pancreatic
> > surgery, or how to a D & C and still make you tee-time. It wasn't
> > very engaging programming.
> >
> > And PRN did crack down on pirates. I don't have the first clue how
> > they caught them, but we'd get the reports every month, the number
> > of non subscribers caught listening.

2959. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <SbNj5.1960$ZH3.3216@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

"Stephen Jacobs" <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message
news:398F5FBD.BF7C6A19@mailco.net...
> It is a FACT, which you would realize if you had not just memorized the
answers
> for your multiple choice tech ham license. I have an Extra, and a GROL
> commercial license. I also fly with 2 pilot friends and use 5 watt HTs on
board
> all the time without any problems to flight instruments.
>
>
Another "God-complex" Extra. You sound like a guy I know who drinks 5-6 double scotchs at happy hour and drives home. Never had any problems, so it must be alright. Just because you haven't had any problems doesn't mean they can't happen.

Here is the report mentioned earlier from the NASA website.

A General Aviation pilot navigating by normally reliable VOR receivers wandered off-course, and discovered that an unexpected culprit was the cause of the navigation discrepancy.

After departing VFR, I picked up an IFR flight plan, and was given a clearance. Abeam one intersection, Approach advised that I was 7 miles south of course and provided a heading to reintercept. I began course correction and configured the Loran for course guidance. On further comparison, it was determined that both VOR receivers were suspect as they both indicated 8 degrees off. This prompted a query of the two passengers, children ages 7 and 12, after which it was found that both were using portable tape players. Both units were turned off, and the VOR receivers returned to normal operation.

Air carrier aircraft are not the only ones susceptible to the effects of portable electronic equipment. Small aircraft, too, can experience interference with potentially serious results, particularly in IMC conditions.

Since I don't expect an Extra to admit he might be wrong, even when given evidence to the contrary, I'll just put you on my block sender file.

73 (NOT!)
Mark K5MAR

2960. Re: Help, Cooked resistor in AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pPhk5.14297$_s1.219416@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82647

Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3988A26D.84281601@home.com...
> > Yep, Ya always have to be leary of friends who say "that should be no
> > problem" My next question is usually "have you ever done this before?"
> > "Well, no but I saw a guy do it on t.v." :-) I have a couple of friends
like
> > that also. I hope you get some resolution. Good luck. Mike Burch
K8MB
>

> > "Well, no but I saw a guy do it on t.v." :-)

-OR-

"No, but I did stay at a Holiday Inn express last night."

2961. Re: Recommendation against hams was:Re: Thanks John!! I am gonna go for it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio Insanity <beansnfrank@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ufik5.31930$0W4.836222@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:shZj5.82101$V34.758433@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...

> Yes Glenn, I do think being a ham is special. I am surprised you (also
> being a licensed ham) don't feel the same way.

Don't you just love these ham op's who are so full
of themselves, or somethin else, that the are compelled
to send 350Kb long postings to newsgroups of
stupid certificates, what don't mean *jack-shit*
to anyone outside of their own cloistered groupings of
technologically obsolete wierdoes..?

Went to Dayton the first time this year. Looking for test gear.
Thought I was at a cross between a gathering of "Revenge of The Nerds"
hollywood extras and a unification Church gathering. I was quite amused
by that overweight sea-hag what tried to convince me for 10 minutes
that ham radio was the greatest thing since sliced bread and peanut
butter. (she looked like she ate several helpings of each per hour...with
her 4 walkie-talkies snapped onto her fanny-pack-strap what was
easily a size 58 or better - sheesh! What an appropriate representative for
ham radio)

2962. Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01c001d6$e77668e0$85b1b2d1@toast>
Source record: 82649

Gunman accidentally kills himself
IRVINE, August 9 • A man unwittingly took his own life today, when he fired a bullet into the cheek of a colleague while holding him in a headlock, shooting himself in the chest, police said.

The shooting at the premises occupied by Power Wave
Technologies, which manufactures wireless-communications
products, involved two employees of that business, said
Irvine police Sgt. Tim Smith.
"There was apparently some kind of argument at Power
Wave," at 2004 McGaw Street, Smith said, adding that
police were called about the incident at 12:40 a.m.
"Apparently they were working together. Both were
employees of the business."
One of the men apparently tried to kill the other, grabbing
him in a headlock and firing at point blank range in a bid to
shoot him in the head, Smith said. The bullet went through
the intended victim's cheek and into the shooter's chest,
killing him, Smith said.
The man who fired the gun was pronounced dead at
Irvine Medical Center, Smith said. The other man was
taken to Western Medical Center in Santa Ana, where his
condition is not known. Their names were not immediately
released.
Police were called to investigate the incident at about
12:40 this morning.

2963. Re: Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <8msflj$b14$1@newcastle.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 82650

erhu@pacbell.net writes:
>I put two of Lenmar's batteries in the provided charger for the Icom
>R2 and they both blew up during the first charge cycle...

Not terribly surprising. NiCd and NiMH chargers supply an approximately constant current to the cells being charged. In the case of dumb trickly chargers like the Icom-supplied one, this current is supplied continuously regardless of the state of charge of the cells. This works find for NiCd and NiMH cells because they are designed to handle the overcharge current without harm (as long as the current and time are limited).

Rechargeable alkaline cells are an entirely different chemistry which CANNOT handle any overcharge. Chargers for these cells either supply a constant voltage, or they supply pulses of power to the cells and then monitor cell voltage between pulses.

So the two types of cells require quite different charger designs.

Dave

2964. Re: Pro-92A Problems and Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3991c266.85704848@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82651

Thanks for the info. I won't bother gettign a 92A. Are GRE and RS
real companies or just a bunch of kids building crap in their garage?

On Thu, 03 Aug 2000 02:36:16 GMT, andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com
(andyman) wrote:

> then an ID will be diplayed after a short ID wobble

2965. Re: Signal/Antenna preamp info needed...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
Message-ID: <tga3psgojmjekgki8c6kr968bhkp5tciv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 82652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 9 Aug 2000 11:25:40 -0500, "jh-lewis" <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
wrote:

>I'm wanting to pick up a good outside (mast mounted) preamp for 800mhz and
>would appreciate recommendations as to what to buy...
>
>I'm going to take this amplified signal and split it for 3 scanners located
>in different parts of the house - using (I guess) RG-6, so I'll need a good
>amp.
>
I have one which is excellent,
TRIAX Amplifier TA 4312-3R (ATV 32R)
made bz TRIAX A/S Denmark.

Triax Micromatic Group.

less than 100 $, here
3 Frequencz ranges 40-160
130-300
300-900 MHZ
Amplification adjustable.
w.

2966. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3993c4bb.86302373@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82653

That's awesome!!!

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 20:24:46 GMT, "Rich" <lakers34@netscape.net>
wrote:

>
>
>
>
> Gunman accidentally kills himself

2967. Re: Freqs from data Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3992c336.85912663@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82654

I've never heard of Treport. Can you share some info about it?

On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 19:24:55 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>You'll need a data slicer (2 level is fine), a scanner with a discriminator tap,
>and a computer running Trunker or Treport.

2968. Re: Recommendation against hams was:Re: Thanks John!! I am gonna go for it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: thegeek@wwa.com
Message-ID: <thegeek-0908001614050001@192.168.1.1>
Source record: 82655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <hdj2psks8vb6567rehlge029qk9jcu5g76@4ax.com>, Greg S.
<gregs932@aol.nospam> wrote:

> Thanks for posting binaries to a non-binary group, Corky.
>
> We'll see how interested your ISP is in enforcing their TOS.

You're such a dink. Do you still go crying to mommy when you skin your knee?
Damn aol trash.

2969. Los Angeles area Fire Dept Websites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8msh5jatm1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 82656
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,ca.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

Hi-

I've uploaded a new website with links to fire agencies and fire communications primarily in Los Angeles County. Most depts in the county are included, but would welcome any additions & corrections, including industrial or military firefighting agencies, and communications-related stuff. Come take a look...

It's at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm

Harry

2970. Broken Microdec Morse Code display, help requested!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <3991CBA9.A56E0568@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82657

While away at college for a few years, some of my equipment had not been used for a while. Now I am done and home I found my morse code display has quit working, competely, in the past four years. It is a Microdec MD-100, made by Somerset Electronics, Satellate Beach FL. It has a battery charge indicator, SSI indicator, and intensity/mode switches and volume control. It uses a 9V AC adapter to charge 6v worth of five nicads in series originally bought from Digikey based on the label. I used to use it for receiving the morse code ID periodically broadcast from VHF/UHF two way radio repeaters.

Here is what I have so far done - disconnected the batteries as they are old and may have died, I plan to check them later to see if there is any power in any of them as well as check the AC adapter for actual power output. I have also tried a different AC adapter with correct polarity, voltage, etc, that is known to work. So far, nothing works. I don't want to buy new batteries if the decoder is not going to work.

Please help if you have any suggestions. Not sure if it needs the batteries in it for it to work with the AC adapter, but it is possible. I plan to check it out with a meter later tonight to check batteries and power supply and see if I can figure what is going on, any help would be appreciate, e-mail preferred, posting here is ok too. Anyone else have this problem? I'd really like it to work again!

Steven
--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

2971. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3og32kuaa.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 82658

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) writes:

' In article <m3hf8umt7b.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>, David Steuber
' <nospam@david-steuber.com> writes:
'
' >Ok. Get some sodium and dump it into the mattress. That will do the
' >trick ;-).
'
' Then you can sue the waterbed manufacturer for having a "defective product" and
' collect millions from a brain-dead jury.

Come to think of it, I don't recall any warning label saying _not_ to
dump sodium in your waterbed mattress :-p. They didn't mention
lithium, potasium, cesium, or francium either. It's a lawsuit just
waiting to happen!

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

2972. Re: When did Bill cheek Pass Away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mso8k$3g06$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82659

I think it was SATURDAY JULY 22, 2000

ACiesynski wrote in message
<20000807215739.15310.00000776@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>I would like to know if its true that Bill did pass away and if so when as
i
>have been trying to find out if the rumor is fact or fiction. i dont care
what
>anyways says Bill Cheek was a great man and will be deeply missed.
>thanks
>al

2973. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3991E9E9.9F6D7E31@home.com>
Source record: 82660

> Dooooh! Gonna miss him..

2974. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8mspe5$p2t$0@216.39.130.69>
Source record: 82661

Actually, he sounds like the old Dr. Sbaitso program that came with
the Soundblaster cards.
Here in Seattle, he sounds almost sorry when he says "Puget Sound Area"
Made me and my wife laugh first time we heard it.
She said he sounded like he was going to cry! hahahahaha

--Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
--Central Control! D-Dial #49

doug wrote:

> Sounds like he is from Macintosh...Sounds pretty much like the stock
> macintalk text to speach voice (or whatever the newer version is
> called).
>
> My fiance always cracks up about how bummed it sounds while saying
> "Partly cloudy" like he is really dissapointed about it.
>
> "R. Makul K1XV" wrote:
> >
> > I always thought he sounded like he was from India.
> >
> > Ray K1XV

2975. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JUlk5.62795$fR2.652531@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82662

There now, see! If we had taken guns off the street, that bad guy would still be alive! :)

Bill Crocker

"Rich" <lakers34@netscape.net> wrote in message news:01c001d6$e77668e0$85b1b2d1@toast...
>
>
>
>
> Gunman accidentally kills himself
> IRVINE, August 9 • A man unwittingly took his
> own life today, when he fired a bullet into the
> cheek of a colleague while holding him in a
> headlock, shooting himself in the chest, police
> said.
[clipped]

2976. Price on Pro -60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fiddlehead@usa.net
Message-ID: <8F8BD31C5fiddleheadusanet@198.164.187.20>
Source record: 82663

What would a pro-60 200 channel scanner be worth second hand in canada?
Mint condition

Thank you.

2977. Re: turnpike freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3991F162.3B3@gwis.com>
Source record: 82664

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Tim:
>
> Be advised...using a cellular phone while driving in Ohio is illegal!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Tim Connor" <tconnor@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:398F58FA.9C2441EC@mindspring.com...
> > I'm traveling from Detroit to Wash DC and I am looking for the best
> > freq. to monitor for the Ohio and penn. turnpike.
> >

It is NOT illegal to use a cell phone while driving in Ohio. There is a city near Cleveland called Brooklyn that has a no cell ordinance but it does not hold true for the rest of the state.

2978. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
Message-ID: <3991F4EB.97221FEB@mailco.net>
Source record: 82665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
> was on?

Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this, let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be affected by something as trivial as this.

> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.

No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the first place.

> >Any one who flies aboard aircraft using 5 watt ham radio equipment can tell you
> >that this is a bunch of bull. It amazes me that people really like to believe
> >fairy tales like this, yet choose to believe that it is perfectly ok to put a
> >microwave generating device like a cell phone next to one's head and that THAT
> >does not cause any problems.

2979. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <sp42fkmrn4t141@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82666

Can you say Karma?

Lenny-t wrote in message <3991F085.63FD@worldnet.att.net>...
Another candidate for the Darwin Awards!

Rich wrote:
>
> Gunman accidentally kills himself
> IRVINE, August 9 • A man unwittingly took his
> own life today, when he fired a bullet into the
> cheek of a colleague while holding him in a
> headlock, shooting himself in the chest, police
> said.
>
> The shooting at the premises occupied by Power
> Wave
> Technologies, which manufactures
> wireless-communications
> products, involved two employees of that
business,
> said
> Irvine police Sgt. Tim Smith.
> "There was apparently some kind of argument
at
> Power
> Wave," at 2004 McGaw Street, Smith said, adding
> that
> police were called about the incident at 12:40
> a.m.
> "Apparently they were working together. Both were
> employees of the business."
> One of the men apparently tried to kill the
> other, grabbing
> him in a headlock and firing at point blank range
> in a bid to
> shoot him in the head, Smith said. The bullet
went
> through
> the intended victim's cheek and into the
shooter's
> chest,
> killing him, Smith said.
> The man who fired the gun was pronounced dead
> at

> Irvine Medical Center, Smith said. The other man
> was
> taken to Western Medical Center in Santa Ana,
> where his
> condition is not known. Their names were not
> immediately
> released.
> Police were called to investigate the
incident
> at about
> 12:40 this morning.

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

2980. Auctioning off Pro-43 on Ebay *Excellent Condition*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <blah@blah.com>
Message-ID: <2304ps0rqik73l56omh3d448eukm825i6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 82667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=405381084

2981. Re: Freqs from data Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8mt2av$8q4@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 82668

Its kind of hard to use. I think it was written to be an alternative to what Motorola sells to check your system. It provides a lot of the same data as Trunker but allows you to monitor speific things for diagnosis. I think there is an egroup list for it. I've used it a few times but find Trunker meets my needs better.

Brett - N7OLQ

"Chuck Rowe" <chuck@nospam.latraffic.org> wrote in message news:3992c336.85912663@news.earthlink.net...
> I've never heard of Treport. Can you share some info about it?
>
> On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 19:24:55 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >You'll need a data slicer (2 level is fine), a scanner with a discriminator tap,
> >and a computer running Trunker or Treport.
>

2982. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <suok5.3077$lk.19309@news2.atl>
Source record: 82669

>Bill R. wrote in message
> news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> > Hello every one,
> > I was curious if any one had any idea if there was
> > any other product that does what the Icom PCR 1000 can do, meaning is
> > remotely controlled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if
any one
> > has any input it would be much appreciated. Thanks.
> >
> > Bill R.

>"Chris Altwegg" <chris@pinpoint.net> wrote in message
news:7znk5.85120$V34.810257@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> You might also look at the TenTec RX-320 PC Radio - $295.00 factory direct
>
>
> http://www.tentec.com/
>
>

If your just wanting to listen to HF, then yes, the RX-320 is better than
the PCR-1000 but remember, its HF ONLY!
Pay the extra $50 and get the Icom PCR-1000. You won't be sorry.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3628.html
Don't forget to get a copy of the TalkPCR program to use with the PCR-1000.
What a program!

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! ☺
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 270 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.
***

2983. Top 50 Scanner Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <aMok5.3081$lk.19514@news2.atl>
Source record: 82670

```
Visit The "Top 50 Scanner Sites!"
http://new.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/
***
```

2984. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8mt5en$s0b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82671

George W. White <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8mspe5$p2t$0@216.39.130.69...
>
> Actually, he sounds like the old Dr. Sbaitso program that came with
> the Soundblaster cards.
> Here in Seattle, he sounds almost sorry when he says "Puget Sound Area"
> Made me and my wife laugh first time we heard it.
> She said he sounded like he was going to cry! hahahahaha
>
> --Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
> --Central Control! D-Dial #49
>

Actually, he sounds sorta like the (much older) S.A.M. (Software Automatic
Mouth) on the 8 bit Ataris...:-)

But I do notice that (at least here in South Jersey) when there are
thunderstorm alerts and such that they use a real person's voice..

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

2985. Trunk Tracker II Reset Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Flack <n7biq@pop.ptld.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39924393.F20F5EBD@pop.ptld.uswest.net>
Source record: 82672

I am working on a Trunk Tracker II and want to know if the
radio can be reset to factory default. If so, what are the
key sequences? The manual I have does not address this at
all. Any help will be appreciated.
Thanks

Rick
Rick97290@yahoo.com

2986. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Pr6k5.5838$H14.5266@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82697

"Tim Tyler" <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message
news:39907AB1.F2A32BAB@mich.com...
>snip<
> The good news is that efforts are being made to improve
> intelligibility, and other than speech quality issues, it is a lot more
> efficient (especially during watches & warnings) than a local human
> being used.
>
>
Tim,
Apparently they are doing things differently up in Michigan. Here in Oklahoma, which is the 8-lane super highway section of tornado alley, the on-duty forcasters/meteorologists take over the announcements when giving watch/warning information during periods of severe weather. Of course, I'm in the Oklahoma City/Norman broadcast area, and Norman is the home of both the Severe Storms Lab and the Storm Prediction Center, so crewing during severe weather is pretty good, allowing sufficent manpower to make the alert broadcasts.

Mark K5MAR

2987. Re: More cordless phone freq's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <LW6k5.5390$vR3.37875@news3.atl>
Source record: 82698

Its time to buy a new scanner or have it calibrated. Its off frequency or
their phone is.

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
0ver 270 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"Sam" <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid> wrote in message
news:043bc3fc.9594062c@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com...
> I know that I lot of people are going to make smart remarqs
> towards me on this message board for this. They always do. But I
> have a list of cordless phone frequencies. I picked up my
> neigbors cordless phone on the base frequency 46.765 and the
> handset on 49.835. Those frequencies are not on my list. So if
> anyone has a list of cordless phone frequencies w/ these
> frequencies could you tell them to me or tell me where I can get
> them . Because I would like to have all the frequencies of the
> channels on that phone it possible. Thanks, Sam
> alphacentauri@i-plus.net
>
>
> --
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>

2988. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Sg7k5.83424$V34.797782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> I wouldn't give you permission to lick my boots.

I wouldn't want that permission.

2989. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <uh7k5.83431$V34.796927@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82700

I never said it was any different.

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> When Mr. Wirehead reposted a message from Richard Kendrick, you accused
> him of violated Copyright law. How would your reposting of someone
> else's messages be any different?

2990. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC) Glenn lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Fj7k5.83452$V34.797961@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Yes it was, I reposted the part you snipped out above Corky. Many others
> have questioned

Please re-post the message for verification.

> Being dishonorably discharged doesn't count.

I never received a dishonorable discharge.

2991. FS: Pro-26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Golden <scott.golden@lexis-nexis.com>
Message-ID: <01c001db$563a49a0$f3210c8a@lnxgoldsx2>
Source record: 82702

Scanner is in great condition. Located in Dayton, Ohio. I have the original packaging and all accesories as well. Details on this radio can be found at the Radio Shack support web site:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/15613.htm

Asking $200

Scott Golden

2992. links for www.scannerpage.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "scannerpage.com" <thepeebee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8mr8k2$2fvj$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 82703

Do you have a link for www.scannerpage.com ???

go to : http://www.scannerpage.com/add.htm

And add your site.

Tommorow is the next update.

2993. Re: More cordless phone freq's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8mr9gd$t4u$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 82704

Sam <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid> wrote:
> I picked up my neigbors cordless phone on the base frequency 46.765
> and the handset on 49.835. Those frequencies are not on my list.

Your scanner is locking on 5 kHz away from the center frequency. The
actual freqs are 46.770 & 49.830, the most common of the old 10-channel set.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Cordless Telephone [15.233]

Chan Base Handset Chan Base Handset

1 43.72 48.76 16 46.61 49.67
2 43.74 48.84 17 B 46.63 49.845
3 43.82 48.86 18 C 46.67 49.86
4 43.84 48.92 19 46.71 49.77
5 43.92 49.02 20 D 46.73 49.875
6 43.96 49.08 21 A 46.77 49.83
7 44.12 49.10 22 E 46.83 49.89
8 44.16 49.16 23 46.87 49.93
9 44.18 49.20 24 46.93 49.99
10 44.20 49.24 25 46.97 49.97
11 44.32 49.28
12 44.36 49.36 Chs A - E handset frequencies
13 44.40 49.40 are also used for baby room
14 44.46 49.46 monitors and low power
15 44.48 49.50 handie-talkies.

The original 10 channels were renumbered 16-25 in June 95
when the new channels 1-15 were added.

2994. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: icstars@nmia.com
Message-ID: <8fg2pskdaiq6a056fo91jep0ddorpv1fbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 82705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I was in communication with Bill, when he was in the Navy, in Calif.
He helped me a bunch, on building communications gear. His small
trailer was left at my place near Albuquerque, on his way back East,
when it broke down after he got out. Came back later and picked it up.

Owen

On Sat, 29 Jul 2000 20:01:45 GMT, "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
wrote:

>Hmmm, Bill had once told me he had been in the Army. Was he lying or
>had he been in BOTH the Army AND Navy (unlikely)?
>
>
><icstars@nmia.com> wrote
>> I knew Bill since the early 70's. He had just got out of the Navy. We
>> had FUN in the days of early CB. What a loss.
>
>
>
>

2995. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 m...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mrgbcein1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82706

In article <21938-3990C224-10@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
zerg90@webtv.net says...
>
>
>Can someone explain where the ANSI and FCC RF exposure standards came
>from?
>
>Peter S
>
Most health standards are derived from studies where they expose
animals/tissue to whatever is being rated, [gamma, beta, nutron, RF
chemicals, ligth [laser] etc]. They pick a level of that is much less then the
level or concentration where biological effects are noted.
Lasers are a good example. The typical "mammal" eye can withstand
X amount of laser light, so they define the "safe" level to 1/100 of that
amount of power. This is a gross oversimplification. Most
things are not linear in their effect. By reducing the levels to below
the maximum "safe" level to a safe legal level helps to insure
that there is no bad long term effect.
OF course we all "knw" that ionizing radiation
[Alpha/beta/gama/nutron] are bad. And we do not want to receive any that we
do not need. Except there are some studies showing that very minor levels
might be saver then "0" levels. Cancer vs Radon levels show a big dip at
very low levels, then the cancer rate climbs as the level decrease further.
The Dr Dean Adel show made reffernce to studies just completed in Japan, where
people who received more than "background" but still low levels
had viewer health effects than those who recieved no additional radiation.
They have had some major leaks so I guess they have enough zapped people to do
some usefull studies.

2996. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8mrgokein2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 82707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <8mq04b$2ro$3@news.cyberhighway.net>,
Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net says...
>
>Who Did you Expect? (None@dontevntry.oro) on 8 Aug 2000 15:59:58 GMT wrote:
>>Subcarriers are "common carrier" like the wired telephone/telegraph
>>[do they even still exist] and commercial satellites. While very
>
>Maybe, maybe not. Not that it makes any difference.
>
>>few seam to know and even less care,it is illegal to intercept any
>>common carrier unless you are an agent of the coampany [/ies] providing
>>the service [for routine monitoring and control] or a member of the FCC.
>
>Nope. Satellites reception is specifically allowed in title 18 as long
>as the signal encoding is readily available to the public (definition
>in the title).
>
>Radio broadcasts have long been treated differently than wires, as any
>casual perusal of title 18 will attest.
>
>Please back up your claims with the relevent law. As I posted
>previously, I haven't been able to find it, so your further repeating
>of rumor is useless without facts to back it up.
>
>sdb
>
>--
>More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6h out for munged e-mail
address.

snip

It will take several days to get to the law library and might not happen until
after I get back from holiday/vacation [2 weeeks starting aug 12].
I based this on a conversation with a FCC engineer that I had about 5 years
ago. He explained the whole "common carier" concept and rules. While
he could have been wrong, lieing or jst confussed, I remember reading some
legal BS that backed up his posistion.

2997. FS: Yaesu VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Garr <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <39914ae0$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 82708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Includes manual, box, stock antenna , charger, and warranty card. The unit has some wear on the case from sliding in and of my jeans pocket but it is in excellent working condition. No mods have ever been done to the radio. It is a 3rd generation unit meaning it's serial number is 9Dxxxxxx which is the latest version.

I will include a Comet 3 band SMA-503 antenna.
$250.00 shipped and insured. USPS Money Order only.

Email if interested.

2998. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com>
Message-ID: <8mrj33$il0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82709

"Perfect Paul"? :-) As good a name as any. My mother-in-law thought he was Swedish. She once told me she thought his English was getting better. It took me a while to convince her he was a computer.

The transmitter here in Naples, FL, (WWG92) is run by the Miami office. When they use a voice recording, the person in the studio often has a heavy accent. I find Perfect Paul to be at least as intelligible as they are.

Interestingly, when there is a weather alert for this area, they interrupt Perfect Paul with a recorded tape loop announcing the alert.

Gerry

In article <39907AB1.F2A32BAB@mich.com>,
Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:
> 'Perfect Paul' is a synthesized voice. Pretty controversial with the
> public as well as within the National Weather Service. Much of the
> public & NWS staffers dislike it for obvious reasons, yet it has
been/is
> being phased in nationwide because feeble-minded NWS/NOAA/DOC bigwigs
> bought it & mandated the migration to it.
>
> The good news is that efforts are being made to improve
> intelligibility, and other than speech quality issues, it is a lot
more
> efficient (especially during watches & warnings) than a local human
> being used.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2999. Re: More cordless phone freq's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <alphacentauriNOalSPAM@i-plus.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0e3ee93f.37ed3796@usw-ex0101-007.remarq.com>
Source record: 82710

It has got to be there phone then ! My Yaesu FT-920 picks up the handset frequency on same frequency that my Pro-64 does . I heard that there was some older type 40mhz cordless phone that operated on different 40mhz frequencies. Don't know . But I saw my neigbor on the front porch and the phone they used had one of those metal pull up antennas. It could be one of those older type I guess. I could be wrong about the whole thing though. Thanks for your time and help. Sam alphacentauri@i-plus.net

---

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3000. Re: Pro-26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <hgck5.6046$H14.5950@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82711

Scott,

Are you interested in trading for two handheld scanners (PRO-71 and
PRO-75)? Thanks.

Adam

"Scott Golden" <scott.golden@lexis-nexis.com> wrote in message
news:01c001db$563a49a0$f3210c8a@lnxgoldsx2...
> Scanner is in great condition. Located in Dayton, Ohio. I have the
original
> packaging and all accesories as well. Details on this radio can be found
at
> the Radio Shack support web site:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/15613.htm
>
> Asking $200
>
>
> Scott Golden
>
>
>

3001. Jealous fat love scanner envy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mrltrkik1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> Not hardly, I was asking him (the author) if he wanted me to make the post.
> (In other words, premission!!)

You reposted my message without my permission. According to your own warped theory, you have just violated Copyright law.

K. Bill Clamp

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3002. Re: US Gov't Freq listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8mrlhe$7nr$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82713

>
> On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 17:22:23 GMT, kal4cu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >How can it be 'Top Secret' in a book is for sale that has the freq's??
> >

I'm sorry, the answer to that question is Top Secret.

Phil

3003. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qYck5.4107$4T.246724@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>It was just a coincidence that the equipment returned to normal after "tape
>players" were turned off. What "tape player" has any sort of rf generating
>device in it? And don't even start with the miniature motor that runs off
of 2
>AA batteries. I can guarantee you the problem had nothing to do
what-so-ever
>with the tape players! They show movies aboard planes you know?
Pre-recorded
>movies on TAPE players

If either of those 'tape players' had an FM radio in them the local
oscillator could account for the VOR discrepancy described.

There was a terrible mid-air collision accident in NYC in the early sixties.
The cause was found to be an FM radio whose LO affected the VOR in one of
the planes.

NEL KA2TYT

3004. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qYck5.4108$4T.245774@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>Each cell site has receivers. A cell phone transmitting at high altitudes
in an
>airplane will be received by hundreds more cell sites than on the ground.
The cell
>sites have to determine which site the cell phone is closer to. This is
nearly
>impossible under this situation, especially since the system was not
designed for a
>situation like this as it would not occur on the ground.
>
Yup. Raises real Hell.

NEL KA2TYT

3005. Re: NJ Police Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8mrm3nsea1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82716

Some Guy <cpfft@aol.comp> wrote in message
news:20000805141333.01164.00000012@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: "Michael"
>
> >http://www.angelfire.com/nj/mczsa
>
> I can't help you with the codes but wanted to thank you for the link.
Brought
> back may fond memories when I was a member of Hopelawn VFD District 8 in
> Woodbridge in my late teens early twenties.
>
> South Amboy was my old stomping ground, can vividly recall endless hours
> crusing South Amboy in the early 80's hanging out with many friends that
were
> members of the SAVFD and FAS.
>
> I heard some years ago that my best friend at the time, Drew Newcomb had
made
> Chief, way to go Drew! ..
>
> Enought off-topic banter, simply thanks for the link!
>
>
I used to be a bartender at the old Bedroom lounge on Rt. by Club Bene, but
that was in the mid 70's.
Remember the Playpen and all those crazy bars and discos in that area??
How about Lily Langtrees on I think Rt. 9?
What was that place in Woodbridge that had a pool and stayed open real late?
Also remember that small joint on Main st. in SA......(one of many) Metro or
something like that.
Wild times!
Sorry about the OT ramblings.

Phil

3006. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 m...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <v7n2pscod24079lish75vfgg8dbqh3uj4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 82717

On Tue, 8 Aug 2000 22:29:56 -0400 (EDT), zerg90@webtv.net (PS) wrote:

>Can someone explain where the ANSI and FCC RF exposure standards came
>from?

They're derived from known thermal heating effects caused by RF.
There is a safety factor of roughly 10 applied to this standard. So
for example, although 10 milliwatts per centimeter squared is actually
the safe limit for an X band police radar, the standard today is 1
milliwatt per centimeter squared.

They call it "prudent avoidance." Frankly, I'm not impressed, but
I'll live with it.

Localized thermal heating is the only documented effect RF has on
human tissue. There are substantial efforts being made to model human
tissue heating at 900 MHz using salt water as an assumed model for the
human head. However, this is far from accurate. Nobody is quite sure
just how much energy your head absorbs from a cell phone, or whether
that energy can be properly dissipated.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3007. Looking for Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com>
Message-ID: <sp2nbtt963a179@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82718

I'm looking to buy a Uniden MR-8100 if anyone has one up for sale.
Thanks everyone.

Jason Bishop
KE4KZY@hotmail.com

3008. Re: Looking for Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9ZEF <paulb@powerweb.net>
Message-ID: <9jdk5.1146$Zo5.351889@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 82719

some company in rec.radio.swap is selling 90 of them for $75 a pop.

"Jason" <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com> wrote in message
news:sp2nbtt963a179@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm looking to buy a Uniden MR-8100 if anyone has one up for sale.
> Thanks everyone.
>
>
> Jason Bishop
> KE4KZY@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>

3009. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Steuber <nospam@david-steuber.com>
Message-ID: <m3hf8umt7b.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>
Source record: 82720

None@dontevntry.oro (Who Did you Expect?) writes:

' We now have a water bed, even warmer, and I would love to see it catch on
' fire!

Ok. Get some sodium and dump it into the mattress. That will do the
trick ;-).

--
David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
NRA Member | a hoploholic.
http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=hoplite&submit=Look+it+up

The problem with AI is that it has a mind of its own
--- Devon Miller

3010. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7on2ps4g88cam1u4dm9srq3km4l5lkgh0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 82721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 19:00:48 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
<sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:

>> Here is the report mentioned earlier from the NASA website.
>>
>> A General Aviation pilot navigating by normally reliable VOR receivers
>> wandered off-course, and discovered that an unexpected culprit was the cause
>> of the navigation discrepancy.
>>
>> After departing VFR, I picked up an IFR flight plan, and was given a
>> clearance. Abeam one intersection, Approach advised that I was 7 miles south
>> of course and provided a heading to reintercept. I began course correction
>> and configured the Loran for course guidance. On further comparison, it was
>> determined that both VOR receivers were suspect as they both indicated 8
>> degrees off. This prompted a query of the two passengers, children ages 7
>> and 12, after which it was found that both were using portable tape players.
>> Both units were turned off, and the VOR receivers returned to normal
>> operation.
>
>It was just a coincidence that the equipment returned to normal after "tape
>players" were turned off. What "tape player" has any sort of rf generating
>device in it? And don't even start with the miniature motor that runs off of 2
>AA batteries. I can guarantee you the problem had nothing to do what-so-ever
>with the tape players! They show movies aboard planes you know? Pre-recorded
>movies on TAPE players.

Mr. Jacobs, have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
was on? Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.

>> Air carrier aircraft are not the only ones susceptible to the effects of
>> portable electronic equipment. Small aircraft, too, can experience
>> interference with potentially serious results, particularly in IMC
>> conditions.
>
>Any one who flies aboard aircraft using 5 watt ham radio equipment can tell you
>that this is a bunch of bull. It amazes me that people really like to believe
>fairy tales like this, yet choose to believe that it is perfectly ok to put a
>microwave generating device like a cell phone next to one's head and that THAT
>does not cause any problems.

I am an extra class ham, an electrical engineer, and an instrument
rated pilot. I have used a handheld radio while flying my airplane.

YOUR SPECIFIC INSTALLATION may work just fine. Another one may not.
This is not a fairy tale. The reason for the regulations is not to
keep people from using their RF toys. The reason for this regulation
is to keep the aircraft on course so that they won't become a hazard
to everyone else nearby. The flight crew has no way of knowing for
certain that you won't interfere with their gear somehow. So by
default, it is prohibited.

>> Since I don't expect an Extra to admit he might be wrong, even when given
>> evidence to the contrary, I'll just put you on my block sender file.
>
>I have no problem admitting I am wrong, but that rarely happens. You know WHY?
>Because I don't make statements unless I know what I am talking about! If I am
>unsure of something, I don't make ANY statements on the matter. How are YOU on
>admitting you are wrong???

You are wrong. You don't know what you're talking about. We're
talking about ALL electronic devices and you're whining that "MY stuff
won't interfere." Why should a flight attendant believe YOU? How do
they know that YOU are blessed with this holy knowledge that your
equipment really does not interfere with the avionics?

The answer is that they don't know, they can't know, and they can't
take chances. So the common denominator applies to everyone, EVEN
YOU!

See 47CFR97.11 and 14CFR91.21 for details.

The bottom line: go buy your own airplane, get your own license, and
then you can use whatever toys you want while toodling around in the
sky. It will be your neck at risk (along with any passengers who have
the misfortune to fly with someone as arrogant as you). Until then,
get a clue.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3011. Best SwapShop Message Board On The Internet!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: charger383@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8mrqu0nuu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82722

If you have something that you are looking for, chances are you will find it here! We have one of the most active SwapShop Message Boards on the internet. There are already thousands of items listed, so who needs Ebay when you can do this for FREE!

Come check it out at:

http://www.cbcity.com/msgbrdmenu.htm

You will be glad you did!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3012. Re: Looking for Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Kolari's <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <TXdk5.7010$H14.6800@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82723

How can I subscribe to rec.radio.swap? I'm using Outlook Express 5 as my
News Reader. Thanks.

Adam Kolari

"N9ZEF" <paulb@powerweb.net> wrote in message
news:9jdk5.1146$Zo5.351889@homer.alpha.net...
> some company in rec.radio.swap is selling 90 of them for $75 a pop.
>
>
> "Jason" <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com> wrote in message
> news:sp2nbtt963a179@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm looking to buy a Uniden MR-8100 if anyone has one up for sale.
> > Thanks everyone.
> >
> >
> > Jason Bishop
> > KE4KZY@hotmail.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3013. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: DALing <DALing43DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fz13IM.4r2@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 82724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

There is also the matter of speed - I know of some private pilots who routinely use cell phones in the air (not much to go wrong in what is a basic manual aircraft) and they system doesn't seem to be much affected by speeds up to about 200mph or so. Over that you begin jumping between cells too fast for the computer to keep up and would enter the twilight zone of "untracked call". In reality, the ban on airline cell phone calls was instigated by the network operators to prevent "free" calls (cell links once open stay open until closed by the computer; however, the actual billing portion needs to have a smooth transition from cell to cell and not from cell to another cell 35 miles away. The transmission doesn't automatically activate all cells within transmission range, it just works with the cell it's hooked to and then the system determines what the next cell link should be based on transmission (reception to the cell tower) and connects them in a "hand off". If there is a number of cells activated at the same time, the system thinks that they are dealing with a cloned phone (which is why phase linear amplifiers would cause more problems for a user than they would solve) and either cut off the call or log the intrusion into a "look up later" file.

Ain't networks fun?

Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote in message news:3990B5CC.A7722C2@mailco.net...
>
> Cellular phones, often called Cellphones in the US and Handy's in Germany, are a
> particular source of
> problems because, regardless of whether they may interfere with aircraft systems,
> the technology on which
> cellular telephones are based precludes their effective use on aircraft. This
> applies to all cellular phones,
> including the analogue technology in the US and the digital GSM technology in
> Europe. It may be worthwhile
> first to explain the known problems associated with attempted use of cellular
> phones while flying.
>
> The technology of cellular phones is based on small local ground-based reception
> areas called `cells'. A
> cellphone user is served by just one cell, and when reaching the

boundary of a
> cell, will be `handed over' to
> another cell which (s)he is about to enter. The topology of coverage
is based on
> the assumption that the user is
> on or near the ground, and it is a technical assumption on which the
entire
> system is based that a user will be
> within `sight' of just one cell except when nearing a cell boundary.
When in an
> aircraft, however, a user is within
> radio `sight' of many cells, simply because (s)he is way off the
ground. An
> attempted call or reception from an
> aircraft would activate many if not all cells in the local area,
which `breaks'
> the technology -- it causes many
> transmission problems and the system is disturbed. Therefore the
various
> communication authorities, such as
> the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), ban the attempted
use of cellular
> phones while on board
> aircraft. However, such attempted use is not ipso facto rendered
dangerous. It is
> technically inappropriate and
> antisocial, as well as mostly futile.
>
>

3014. Border patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Albert Fox <albertorea@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8ms9up$4m1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82725

Would anyone happen to have freqs for the border patrol? For the
Mcallen/Lower Rio Grande Valley? Thanks Alberto

--
Check out the TRUNKING SHACK
http://clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/thetrunkingshack
Visit my website http://www.albertorea.com/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3015. Help me program a fleet map w/Pro-91 please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8msbnj$62a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have followed the directions to the letter in the Pro-91 manual, yet no matter what, I keep getting the dreaded beep error. When I get to Step #3 which says press the DATA key it just gives me the error beep, and I'm not able to use the arrow keys to select E1 etc... I've tried resetting the radio to no avail.

Is the radio broken or am I just missing something here?? Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3016. Icom CSW-R10 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorn@danielsson.as>
Message-ID: <8msfvf$9c3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82727

Anyone who have that software?
Is it good?
Where can get it?

Bjorn Danielsson, Sweden

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3017. Re: Looking for Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com>
Message-ID: <sp2tpsdi63a27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82728

I checked him out. Was not a very helpful person. Would not guarantee that I would get a working one. Not that proficient in electronics to take a gamble like that. Need a good working one but thanks for the information. I do appreciate it.

Jason

"N9ZEF" <paulb@powerweb.net> wrote in message
news:9jdk5.1146$Zo5.351889@homer.alpha.net...
> some company in rec.radio.swap is selling 90 of them for $75 a pop.
>
>
> "Jason" <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com> wrote in message
> news:sp2nbtt963a179@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm looking to buy a Uniden MR-8100 if anyone has one up for sale.
> > Thanks everyone.
> >
> >
> > Jason Bishop
> > KE4KZY@hotmail.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3018. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 mi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000809114140.11332.00005793@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 82729

In article <m3hf8umt7b.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>, David Steuber
<nospam@david-steuber.com> writes:

>Ok. Get some sodium and dump it into the mattress. That will do the
>trick ;-).

Then you can sue the waterbed manufacturer for having a "defective product" and
collect millions from a brain-dead jury.

3019. Re: Looking for Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <s1fk5.62706$fR2.649290@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82730

Adam:

You don't need to subscribe, just add the news group to Outlook, the same
way you added this one.

Bill Crocker

"The Kolari's" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:TXdk5.7010$H14.6800@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> How can I subscribe to rec.radio.swap? I'm using Outlook Express 5 as my
> News Reader. Thanks.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "N9ZEF" <paulb@powerweb.net> wrote in message
> news:9jdk5.1146$Zo5.351889@homer.alpha.net...
> > some company in rec.radio.swap is selling 90 of them for $75 a pop.
> >
> >
> > "Jason" <**NOSPAM**rabiddog@ureach.com> wrote in message
> > news:sp2nbtt963a179@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I'm looking to buy a Uniden MR-8100 if anyone has one up for sale.
> > > Thanks everyone.
> > >
> > >
> > > Jason Bishop
> > > KE4KZY@hotmail.com

3020. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8mrvc2heh1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Who Did you Expect? (None@dontevntry.oro) on 9 Aug 2000 11:53:56 GMT wrote:
>It will take several days to get to the law library and might not happen until

That's why the web is so great. The entire US Code is online. If, however, you can find case precedent, that would be great! My lexis-nexis search was barren but very brief (what do you expect for nothing?).

Note, precedent for illegal business use of SCA signals is common. That is a big don't care! We only care about the actual reception, not reception and disclosure. A business use, or reception for financial gain is illegal!

I was sent some e-mail, but since the sender has chosen not to post publically, their identity shall remain unknown (it was NOT the Ralph mentioned on the page). It was claimed to have originally come from an attorney to them, thence to me:

It contains specific law under which SCA interceptions have been prosecuted. He has prosecuted them himself. And has assisted Federal and other authority in prosecutions under this law.

Enjoy.

http://floridalawfirm.com/privacy.html

Given no information to the contrary, I have to assume those prosecutions above were when someone used this reception in a business or for financial gain. The law above, in spite of the "florida" in the URL, is the U.S. Code. This is simply Title 18, which I posted myself a few mesages back. It appears to have been edited specificaly for satellite reception, so the cornell reference I posted earlier is much more complete (http://www.law.cornell.edu/). As I posted then, it does NOT rule out SCA reception but only disclosure or usage in business or for financial gain. It mentions "subcarrier" in only two places, once in a definition, (subcarriers are defined as not "readily available" and once in reference to satellite broadcasts:

(ii) as an audio subcarrier intended for
redistribution to facilities open to the public, but not
including data transmissions or telephone calls, is not an
offense under this subsection unless the conduct is for the
purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private
financial gain.

Again, that is ONLY for satellite, but notice the last phrase, "IS NOT AN OFFENSE..." (emphasis mine).

The key to the whole SCA thing is contained in sec 2511 1, (a) thru (e). (a) thru (e) are AND clauses. This may not be clear unless you read a lot of law, whereupon you notice that OR clauses must specify "or" and these clauses do not.

Read 18USC119.2511.1 over and over again.
It does eventually make sense!

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

3021. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zsylvan+noZs_0008@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <8ms032$i3k$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 82732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Wed, 09 Aug 2000 03:04:48 GMT wrote:
> It has been my experience that references posted by one party are
>dismissed by the other especially in matters of law. But if the
>dismissive party looks it up himself, they're more likely to believe
>what they read.

I've done that, many times.

> However...in matters of radio, especially where legal limits on
>reception are concerned, few enter into these discussions with an
>intent to understand the law, only to justify their intent to
>continue to break it, on the Grounds du Jour.

Gee, I thought the bigger problem with radio law was on transmission!

But in any case, I have never owned a receiver for FM SCA. I have
played with one a few years ago at Radio Shack.

> Your own posts have expressed a clear contempt for the law as
>typfied by the phrase, "even if it IS the law, it's still crap," of

I have a lot of contempt for stupid laws. Like the ones which sent
Japanese Americans to concentration camps. Like the ones which
condoned slavery and those which create a class of sub-humans. Bad
laws should be ignored.

>a couple of transactions ago, and your insistence that what is done
>that is 'not for business," is legal by default. Despite the fact

That's what title 18 says.

>that in cases where an SCA service is a subscription service, it is
>clearly intended to be paid for, even for use privately in the home.

So as long as I promise not to listen to subscription SCA I am legal?

Read those subscription agreements sometime as to exactly WHAT it is
you subscribe to. The two I have seen are for two things: equipment
lease, and public performance of the signal received.

>tacit testimony to the fact that you're not interested in the facts,
>only justification for your own behaviours.

Sorry, not my behavior, just my position in regards to
the law and (un)common sense.

> Fine. I've never had the patience to teach a pig to sing. But if
>you finally decide to find out the truth...look it up,-- you can use

You haven't been reading, Peter! If you had, you would know
I have ALREADY done the "look it up". I have done web, usenet
and (with a limited imposition on a law librarian and para-legal I
know) legal searches.

I have DONE my research, and have been posting the results -- NADA!

YOU, OTOH, simply keep repeating your position ad infinitum,
with no research or effort beyond repeating rumors.

> But something tells me you'll be just as quick to dismiss
>it...because you really don't want to be responsible for knowing the
>law.

I try to be very responsible for knowing the laws. Especially radio
and computer law since I'm amateur at one and professional at the
other. You guess which one.

>two choices of YOURs without option to acknowledge the truth.

When you finally decide to read the references I've posted, or come up
with your own, I'll be listening.

> Something I"ve also come to expect from Usenet.

Yawn.

sdb
--
More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
***
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

3022. Signal/Antenna preamp info needed...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <IBfk5.13$u16.8723@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm wanting to pick up a good outside (mast mounted) preamp for 800mhz and would appreciate recommendations as to what to buy...

I'm going to take this amplified signal and split it for 3 scanners located in different parts of the house - using (I guess) RG-6, so I'll need a good amp.

Thanks for any assistance,

Jerry

3023. PRO-2022 W/Cell for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <fNfk5.237$KFL5.2359609@news.randori.com>
Source record: 82734

Have a PRO-2022 for sale, It's the early version of the PRO-2005 & 2006. Tha main difference is that it has 200 channels. Cell unlocked. Very good condation, with book & Antenna. JPG Pic on request.

$150.00 shipped US

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

3024. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <39918B0A.CC708000@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You'd do it willingly corkey. Probably try to lick something
else while you were down there.

K S 0 F wrote:

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > I wouldn't give you permission to lick my boots.
>
> I wouldn't want that permission.

3025. Re: Airline Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0019f29b.9129d083@usw-ex0104-087.remarq.com>
Source record: 82736

You know, unfortunately most scanners are complete shit as far as picking up airline frequencies (poor sensitivity and rotten dynamic range). 118-137 MHz is all AM mode, and scanners do not do well at all in AM mode, since everything else is WFM. They are not really designed for AM reception.

I have a Drake SW-8 shortwave receiver ($800) and it kicks my BC235XLT up, down, left, sideways and frontways as far as how it does on the airline freqs. That's because a shortwave receiver is primarily AM mode and so it is designed for AM, and works best with AM.

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3026. Re: Slightly OT: NOAA Weather antenna (attic mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl/Lisa Van Camp <thevancamps@interwebco.com>
Message-ID: <39918E8C.1A92D3B@interwebco.com>
Source record: 82737

Thanks for the advice on the 20-176 antenna; I put one in the attic and it works great for my needs. The NOAA station now comes in very clearly. Total project cost: about thirty dollars.

As for the wire, again, thanks for the input. I was going to use some Belden quad-shielded RG6 left over from wiring the house for cable; but the cost of connectors/adapters exceeded the cost of a prefab piece of RG-58 at Radio Shack, so I went the cheap, expedient route. Maybe it's a limiting factor, but the overall results meet my needs.

Best,
Carl Van Camp

3027. SOLD FA Kenwood UT-28s SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <l4gk5.17760$c8.4952554@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 82738

I placed this message in the wrong newsgroup.
Sorry for the inconvience.
It has also been sold.

3028. PRO-2041 for-sale w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <c5gk5.410$KFL5.4587682@news.randori.com>
Source record: 82739

I have a Like-New PRO-2041 For Sale, 400 Channel, 12V / 110 VAC Scanner. I bought two and found I only need one. So I am going get rid of one. Comes with Book, Box, Antenna This is a 400 channel with many features. Has been modified to receive the cell band.

$150.00 Shipped us

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd

3029. Need info on Regency MX7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fsbrock@conninc.com
Message-ID: <39919151.2CD3@conninc.com>
Source record: 82740

I am needing the schematic and/or service manual for the Regency MX 7000 scanner. This is also very similar to the AOR AR2002 receiver. Let me know what you may have on the oldie but a goodie radio. Thanks.

3030. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: X@Y.Z.net
Message-ID: <slrn8p36jl.dmj.X@animas.frontier.net>
Source record: 82741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 03:04:48 GMT, Peter Maus scribbled:
>Sylvan Butler wrote:
>> Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Tue, 08 Aug 2000 12:30:37 GMT wrote:
>> > It's obvious that you intend to dismiss based on my involvement in
>> >the industry. So resolution and understanding is not going to
>>
>> Peter, you spew more crap than the heifers out back.
>>
> Perhaps I just don't choose to get into a debate about sources.
>There's an old maxim..."how do you say Fuck you on Usenet?....Cite
>your source."

sigh...

It's time to update the trash filter in my news reader again.

3031. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: doug <dkalley@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39919E10.D5F74612@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82742

Sounds like he is from Macintosh...Sounds pretty much like the stock macintalk text to speach voice (or whatever the newer version is called).

My fiance always cracks up about how bummed it sounds while saying "Partly cloudy" like he is really dissapointed about it.

"R. Makul K1XV" wrote:
>
> I always thought he sounded like he was from India.
>
> Ray K1XV

3032. Re: Jealous fat love scanner envy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <h1hk5.84390$V34.804516@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You're the one making this silly accusation, therefore it is YOUR
warped theory.

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> You reposted my message without my permission. According to your own
warped
> theory, you have just violated Copyright law.

3033. Re: What's up with Greg S?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <23hk5.84392$V34.804748@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jerry is fully capable of posting here. If what you say is true, he would
have said so.
You simply are wrong.

"Greg S." <gregs932@aol.nospam> wrote
SNIP
> Why is it that YOU are the only moron who
> can't figure out that this was addressed to YOU?

> >"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> >> People don't want to keep reading your retarded crap. That's why they
> >keep
> >> putting you in killfilters.

3034. IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johan van Wel <jvanwel@hetnet.nl>
Message-ID: <OSO38$iAAHA.300@net025s>
Source record: 82745

How can I get my radiodata into my IC-R2 with my PC???

3035. FA: ICOM IC-R2 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Restiaux <auction@mhtradio.com>
Message-ID: <3991A86D.F7F27657@mhtradio.com>
Source record: 82746

ICOM IC-R2 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER
NEW IN THE BOX
Wide-band performance: 500 KHz - 1.3 GHz Continuous !
This is the Canadian version so there are no blocks !

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=405284507

3036. Can anyone give me a site address with good scanner/receiver reviews in it please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <50r0pss8cr9b8i9o9thqp5hlbml8r1medk@4ax.com>
Source record: 82787

I am after some of those in depth reviews of scanners/receivers, where they really put them through a thorough workout and give the results and specs...
Do they call these "White paper" reviews or similar..?
In the past I have seen one or two of these sites but like usual I didn't bookmark them... Of course, now that I want them, I can't remember where they are.... Typical isn't it!!!
Any info would be appreciated... Many thanks.

3037. Icom IC-R1 Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: electro5@flash.net
Message-ID: <8mqaka$pm2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82788

Hello all,
I just picked up an Icom IC-R1 and have no idea how to operate it!
It's not very intuitive. If anyone could give me a brief overview of
it's functions and operation it would be greatly appreciated. I really
don't want to order a manual if I can figure it out without it.

Thanks,
Jim

electro5@flash.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3038. Re: Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <rj1k5.3579$4T.195723@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82789

Thank goodness the R2 cannot charge internal batteries. I lost a 2 meter HT rig due to rechargeable
alkaline leakage. Since then, I gladly take out my R2's weak batteries, charge them outside, and replace
the unit with fresh (NiHydride) batteries. This also has the advantage of swipe cleaning the contacts.
Many people consider the R2's lack of ability to recharge internal batteries is a nuisance, but I obviously
have no problem with it based on past experience.
Al

erhu@pacbell.net wrote in message <398bd68e.59238882@news.pacbell.net>...
>I put two of Lenmar's batteries in the provided charger for the Icom
>R2 and they both blew up during the first charge cycle...
>-Neal
>
>On Fri, 04 Aug 2000 15:05:58 -0700, Walker
><worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid> wrote:
>
>>Hi everyone,
>>
>>I picked up a set of Lenmar rechargeable alkaline-manganese
>>batteries today.
>>
>>Supposedly these batteries can only be charged with Lenmar's
>>special recharger, but I think it's a bunch of bunk. I have a
>>charger capable of either NiMH or NiCAD batteries. Does anyone
>>know which would be the best setting for these? I believe NiMH
>>charges for longer.
>>
>>Thanks for any info, and if you get the chance please CC: your
>>response to core@zipcon.net.
>>
>>Thanks Much
>>
>>----
>>Look past the ego, and you will see,
>>what dwells within is God, not "me."
>>
>>--
>>
>>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>>Up to 100 minutes free!
>>http://www.keen.com

>>
>

3039. Re: Massachusetts scan-east and scan-west

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8mq8j1$gu2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82790

In article <AU%j5.10285$_s1.201798@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>,
"Grasso Family" <KevinG24@mediaone.net> wrote:
>Hello sometime ago I subscribed to Massachusetts mailing list. This was
>caller Scan-East and they had a Scan-west. I was wondering if it still is
>here and if so how do I resubscribe.
>
>
>

I believe there is still some software problems; you'd
have to email Bill Dunn to learn more...

3040. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <%Y1k5.82588$V34.763399@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> Please present your verifiable proof that he will be found to be a liar
> by default if he doesn't present verifiable proof.

What did you say?

3041. Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <VZ1k5.82589$V34.763420@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Not hardly, I was asking him (the author) if he wanted me to make the post.
(In other words, premission!!)

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> According to your own weak-minded theory, by doing so you would be
violating
> Copyright law, as you accused Mr. Wirehead of doing.

3042. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3990CA85.95005329@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sylvan Butler wrote:
>
> Peter Maus (PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net) on Tue, 08 Aug 2000 12:30:37 GMT wrote:
> > It's obvious that you intend to dismiss based on my involvement in
> >the industry. So resolution and understanding is not going to
>
> Peter, you spew more crap than the heifers out back.
>
> I have given you plenty of opportunity to post sources, and you weasel
> out with that. What an idiot.
>
Perhaps I just don't choose to get into a debate about sources.
There's an old maxim..."how do you say Fuck you on Usenet?....Cite
your source."

It has been my experience that references posted by one party are
dismissed by the other especially in matters of law. But if the
dismissive party looks it up himself, they're more likely to believe
what they read.

However...in matters of radio, especially where legal limits on
reception are concerned, few enter into these discussions with an
intent to understand the law, only to justify their intent to
continue to break it, on the Grounds du Jour.
Your own posts have expressed a clear contempt for the law as
typfied by the phrase, "even if it IS the law, it's still crap," of
a couple of transactions ago, and your insistence that what is done
that is 'not for business," is legal by default. Despite the fact
that in cases where an SCA service is a subscription service, it is
clearly intended to be paid for, even for use privately in the home.

And that your insistence that my position is based in either
program supplier prejudices or rumor as the only two choices is
tacit testimony to the fact that you're not interested in the facts,
only justification for your own behaviours.

Fine. I've never had the patience to teach a pig to sing. But if
you finally decide to find out the truth...look it up,-- you can use
the same search engines as anyone else--and you can believe what is
there...because I didn't write it.

But something tells me you'll be just as quick to dismiss
it...because you really don't want to be responsible for knowing the
law.

> Tho' I'm glad that since you couldn't put up, that you have decided to
> shut up.
>

Spoken with civility I"ve come to expect from Usenet. And again
two choices of YOURs without option to acknowledge the truth.

Something I"ve also come to expect from Usenet.

p
> sdb
> --
> More guns means less crime. ISBN:0-226-49363-6
> ***
> Watch out for munged e-mail address.
> User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
> Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!

3043. 800 MHZ trunking Forum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Albert Fox <albertorea@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8mqqpk$36h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82794

hi all i just created a new Trunking Forum
http://clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/thetrunkingshack -- Visit my website
http://www.albertorea.com/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3044. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Makul K1XV" <k1xv@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <l4r1psg1ge32f65bg09822qceejltmumrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 82795

Look for one on http://www.texastowers.com or at
http://www.hamradio.com

Ray K1XV

On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 16:46:04 GMT, "phoenix18"
<opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote:

>well, it's not the preformance, it's the fact that I can't find one for
>under 400.00$$ I need something that will fit my bigdet
>
>

3045. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Makul K1XV" <k1xv@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <fcr1ps8mdtdg3fauti4su1es5oktdkslkm@4ax.com>
Source record: 82796

I always thought he sounded like he was from India.

Ray K1XV

3046. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39935A0E.DDE6F567@primenet.com>
Source record: 82547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

KS0F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > do you actually think that by cross posting your
> > lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass
> me?
> I was hoping peer pressure might convince you to start acting
> in a respectable way.

So that's how you're trying to describe suppressing the truth about you,
Toilet Boy? You can keep trying to emulate your Nazi propaganda heroes,
but you're out of your league there. You lack the intellect to
understand
their methods.

Here are a few pieces of evidence for you chew on, Toilet Boy.
This should keep you frothing for a while. You know the rest of
the evidence exists; this is enough to prove my point. You are
a liar and a fraud, Toilet Boy.

To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:

First: Not only is the emperor wearing no clothes, but he's
wearing last year's fashion.

Second: Go commit intrapersonal intercourse.

==========
You denied patronizing child prostates. You lied.

Subject: Re: Credentials
From: John Mackey KA0SSF <nowhere@anyplace.net>
Date: 1996/10/10
Message-Id: <325D48A0.41CA@anyplace.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

BILL WATTS wrote:

> Woweee! Ten whole dollars! You must be pretty damned sure
> of yourself to risk half a day's pay.

Naw, hell that's all I paid to buy your daughter for the weekend.
****
Reply-To: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.NOSPAMorg>
From: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

References: <394EF438.322F1983@yu.com>
Subject: Re: John Mackey still has the last laugh here!
Lines: 7
Organization: report problems to "abuse@parg.org"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <NcD35.17288$Ey2.114501@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 20 Jun 2000 05:22:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.0.49.240
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 961478573 24.0.49.240 (Mon, 19 Jun
2000 22:22:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Jun 2000 22:22:53 PDT
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:208398
X-Mozilla-Status: 8011

I also have your daughter's clit between my front teeth!

<ol@yu.com> wrote in
> John Mackey still has gap between front teeth
****

==========
You claim to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL
and other technical publications. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Evidence John Mackey Lied About His "Articles"
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK Toilet Boy, you say you've written lots of articles for the
ARRL and other technical journals. The ARRL does not show you as
author for anything and no articles in ANY technical journals
have been found. Here is the proof that you lied about your
articles.

Several people have visited the ARRL Web Site (www.arrl.org), and
searched, finding nothing written by you. You claim they used
faulty search methods. Here are the results of my search of the
ARRL Web Site using "john mackey" and "ka0ssf" as search
parameters. Before you get all excited and claim my search method
was faulty because I did not use any capitalization, the search

engine is case INsensitive.

Regarding the search for "john mackey," the first, third, and fourth hits showed only because of "John" and "Mackey". The second hit refers to The ARRL Letter for July 5, 1996. That was the issue the contained the item about PARG catching a jammer.

Regarding the search for "ka0ssf," the only hit was a duplicate from above.

The original article appeared on the PARG web page (www.parg.org) does not credit you as the author. That article is reproduced below in its entirety. The next article is from the HamRadio Online website (www.hamradio-online.com), also reproduced below in its entirety, does show you as author. Finally, the item in The ARRL Letter is shown below as well. It does not credit you as author either.

It is interesting to note that the HamRadio Online piece appeared in the June 1996 issue and the PARG piece appeared in July 1996. Why would your own group not credit you with authorship? Why would you use the email address of someone else (mscott@teleport.com) instead of your own? Oh yes, I remember now. That was the time (March through August 1996) that you were using one of eight bogus addresses because you were stealing Internet access. Let's see, you were using the addresses:

John.Mackey_Jr@p234.f40.n105.z1.fidonet.org
John.mackey_jr@p234.n40.f105.z1.fidonet.org
Here.now@present.com
no.address@anywhere.com
no.address@anywhere.gov
No-place@anywhere.org
no--place@any-where.com
no__place@any__where.com

Comparing the three articles side by side, it is very clear that the first article, which appeard in HamRadio Online, was reworked for the PARG web page. Then the ARRL edited it for their use. No matter how you slice it, you have not written several articles, as you've claimed.

Again, Toilet Boy, you lied.

And now, the evidence:

================ search for "john mackey" ====================
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'john mackey'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: john mackey

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 4 of 4 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: VHF Award Managers[*][*][*][*]
... is maintained by Bill Moore, NC1L (bmoore@arrl.org)
12/01/97 VHF Awards Managers for Your State: Firstname
Lastname State Callsign Address Phone #s John C A
Bierman AK KL7GNP 4304 Garfield St (501)562-5001
Anchorage, AK 99503 Jim Green AL KD4BHH PO Box 864
(205)245-7825 Sylacauga, AL 35150 (205)245 ...
http://www.arrl.org/awards/vucc/vuccrep.html, 21781 bytes

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][ ]
... and education. It is a collaborative effort of the
University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire School of Nursing/Human
Sciences & Services Outreach, the Johns Hopkins University
Center for Technology in Education, and the Trace Research
and Development Center at the University of
Wisconsin-Madison.) Paul also ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/ ,25698 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 19
[*][*][ ][ ]
... ." The relief effort is feeding upward of 2700 people
a day (one of the Salvation Army staff members at the
Grand Forks center is Capt Cliff Mackey, KB0TXE, of
Leavenworth, Kansas). Garrington said some hams are doing
double duty-- helping with driving or serving meals as
well as with communication needs ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/970509/ ,29547 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 49
[*][*][ ][ ]
... Virginia ARES activation "with thanks to everyone who
participated in this response."--thanks to Jim Kehler,
KH2D, and Don Mahan, KD4WGV and Frank Mackey, K4EC/Virginia
ARES HAM RADIO TO THE RESCUE--AGAIN! Three Franklin Pierce
College students may owe their lives to ham radio. The
three found themselves ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/97/971219/ , 29792 bytes

================ end of search for "john mackey" =============

================ search for "ka0ssf" ========================
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'ka0ssf'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: ka0ssf

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 1 of 1 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][*]
None of the search words were found in the first 2000
words of this document.
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/, 25698 bytes

================ end of search for "ka0ssf" ==================

==== Article from HamRadio Online, www.hamradio-online.com ===
==== June 1996, reproduced without permission ===

Amateurs Locate Police Jammer in Oregon

by John Mackey, KA0SSF, mscott@teleport.com

During June 1st, 1996 in Seaside Oregon, where the SEA-PAC ham
convention was being held, a group of hams belonging to PARG
(Phantoms Amateur Radio Group) were down on the beach front
enjoying the annual PARG bonfire. One of the hams (KB7YZS) was
monitoring the local Seaside Police Dept. He heard someone
cursing and yelling at people. At first he thought it was
coming from the UHF side on his hand-held transceiver, but to
his surprise it was coming from the VHF side which was
monitoring the police.

He promptly notified everyone at the bonfire to listen. After a
few minutes of listening to the person, the members had figured
out that the person was up in a balcony, was with 3 or more
people and 2 of which were females. They realized this because
of key things he said and voices in the background.

The 4 PARG members in the group (KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP)
just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee. They
immediately activated the PARG Interference Committee direction
finding operations. They promptly tracked down the interference
to a specific location of the Shilo Inn and searched for someone
matching the description. After only 5 minutes they saw a group of
people on the third floor of the Shilo who matched the description.
Then they saw him pick up his hand-held transceiver and speak into
it. At the very same moment the voice on the police frequency was
saying "Hey, fat ass, yea you in the black pants and the white

shirt". While he was saying this on the Seaside Police frequency the members noticed a man wearing those clothes, a little on the heavy side walking directly underneath them.

One of the PARG members saw a Seaside Police patrol car in the area. A PARG representative approached the officer and asked him if he was looking for a person causing interference to the Police Frequency. He seemed very upset and replied "YES"! The PARG representative then informed the Seaside Police Officer where the malicious interference was coming from. Three other PARG members were on their way to the third floor when they saw the people (from the room/balcony where the interference was) come running down the stairs and away from the Shilo.

The officer took a report from the PARG representative, including an exact location of the motel room and spoke to the hotel manager. After 2 hours the interference started up again. The Seaside Police Officer went directly to the room the PARG Interference team pointed out and caught the person in the act of doing the deeds.

The above is known to be facts. The above mentioned is knowledge from speaking the PARG Interference Committee members and to a friend of the suspect.

The man who in is question has a call sign of KE6VNU and is from Central California. He apparently was in Oregon for the ham convention. Information indicates he was released from jail only a short time before this incident, the charge he was in jail for was malicious interference to the local police in his area. This obviously did not teach him his lesson. We understand that he caused a great amount of interference to the Sacramento County and Roseville emergency/public services communications and often identified himself on those malicious transmissions as "The Phantom Jammer". We were told the charge Seaside Police brought against him was "Interference of a police officer in line of duties".

Note: It is an unfortunate coincidence that the malicious interferer used the name "Phantom Jammer". He has absolutely NO association with or relation to PARG (Phantom's Amateur Radio Group), the group which located him & turned the necessary information into the Seaside Police which led to his arrest for the interference. We did not release this information until now at the request of the local law enforcement officials to prevent tainting their investigation.

This was PARG's second year in a row of providing public services during the Seaside Ham Convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief (after a brief foot pursuit), returned the purse to the rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG also performed crowd control activities at this time due to the large number of people who stopped to

watch the purse thief incident.

PARG is the Phantom's Amateur Radio Group in Portland, OR and is Portland's most active VE testing, repeater club, emergency communications, and ham radio group. Please visit our web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml.
You can contact PARG at

PARG, PO Box 1586, Beaverton, Oregon 97075-1586
Phone 503-818-PARG (24 hours)

================ end of HamRadio Online ====================

========== Article from PARG Web Page, www.parg.org ==========
========== June 1996, reproduced without permission ==========

The PARG Crack
The Official Newsletter of the Phantoms Amateur Radio Group
"We are anything but complacent"

July 1996 - Portland, Oregon - ONLINE EDITION

Interference Committee Stops Californian Jammer

The SeaPac ham convention was saved once again by PARG representatives. In a valiant effort to restore peace and safety to the coastal community, members of the PARG Interference Committee assisted Seaside Police officers in the apprehension of an individual jamming their dispatch frequency.

During the annual PARG bon fire, several members of the Interference Committee were monitoring the local police dispatch frequency. As they were completing a safety check of the area before returning to their accommodations for the evening, the Committee members heard someone sending malicious transmissions on the VHF dispatch frequency. Immediately, the field operations of the Interference Tracking Unit (ITU) were activated and the search began for the jammer.

After a relatively short and efficient investigation, the ITU determined that the illegal signal was originating from a shore front hotel. This information was derived from both direction finding techniques and verbal clues left by the jammer.

The group immediately broke into two teams and sent a representative to contact a police officer who was patrolling in the area. The other team went to the hotel from which the suspected jammer was transmitting and watched his room for a possible escape attempt.

Soon after contact was made with the police department, the suspects were seen going down the hotel stairs and away from the building.

During the time that the suspects were out, the jamming ceased. About two hours later, the jamming started up again. When this happened, the officer the PARG representative had contacted returned to the room and apprehended the suspect.

According to reliable sources, the suspect, KE6VNU was arrested by the Seaside Police Department for the charge of Interfering with a police officer in the line of duties. In addition to being involved in this situation, the jammer was allegedly involved in similar activities in Sacramento County, California.

The outcome of any prosecution will be covered in a later edition of the PARG Crack.

========== end of PARG Web Page ==========

========== Article from The ARRL Leter, www.arrl.org =========
========= July 5, 1996, reproduced without permission ========

PHANTOMS HELP NAB "THE PHANTOM JAMMER"

The Phantoms Amateur Radio Group (PARG) of Portland, Oregon, is claiming credit for helping police to nab "The Phantom Jammer," who was interfering with police calls in Seaside, Oregon, during the Northwest Division Convention in early June. John Mackey, KA0SSF, of PARG reports members were on the beach enjoying the annual PARG bonfire when KB7YZS heard someone "cursing and yelling at people" on the VHF police frequency, which he was monitoring at the time. After a few minutes of listening, members figured out that the person was up in a balcony and was with a mixed group. KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP (who just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee) immediately activated direction finding operations and tracked down the interference to a local motel. It only took them a few minutes to spot a group on a balcony, one of whom was using an H-T. After noting that the transmissions coincided with what they heard on the police frequency, PARG informed Seaside Police. A short time later, Mackey reports, Seaside Police "caught the person in the act."

Seaside Police subsequently charged Bobby Lee Aguero, KE6VNU, of Rocklin, California, with interfering with a police officer. As we've already reported in The ARRL Letter and in QST, Aguero, 19, already faces similar charges in California.

Mackey said this was the second consecutive year PARG got to provide a public service during the Seaside convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief--after a brief foot pursuit--returned the purse to its rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG has a Web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml. The group's current president is Justin Bousquet, AB7NG.

========== end of ARRL Letter ==========

```
==========================================================================
You claimed you've never been indentified as known Internet abuser.
You lied.

**************************************************************************
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 17 Dec 1997 04:02:21 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1997/12/17
Message-ID: <19971217040221.25634@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

This data has been gathered by Joe Greco and sol.net Network Services
as part of an ongoing project to monitor Usenet trends and abuse.

There are currently two items scanned:

1) "From:" header
2) "NNTP-Posting-Host:" header, if present

All articles sharing the same header (i.e. all articles with a
"From:" header of "jgreco@ns.sol.net") are counted together, with
a summary of the number of posts, bytes posted, and BI. Only
articles that have a BI of 20 or greater are summarized.

Please remember that BI is a general formula to measure the
number of groups posted to in a manner which favors crossposting.
The fact that the posts of a single poster may have a BI of 20
does not imply that the articles are spam, as each article may
have been completely different and unrelated.

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
558 23.899 21 24962 John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
**************************************************************************
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Sun, 18 Jan 1998 08:01:36 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/01/18
Message-ID: <19980118080136.16926@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
227 22.753 16 90325 John Mackey -- KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
**************************************************************************
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Fri, 30 Jan 1998 04:02:35 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/01/30
Message-ID: <19980130040235.7270@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
```

278 23.853 20 23925 John Mackey -- KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Tue, 07 Apr 1998 04:01:41 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/04/07
Message-ID: <19980407040141.18454@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Top From sorted by BI-sum

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
419 20.657 17 19717 -=KA0SSF=- <jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 05 Aug 1998 04:00:56 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/05
Message-ID: <19980805040056.4934@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
421 20.414 20 23190 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 05 Aug 1998 20:01:26 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/05
Message-ID: <19980805200126.3434@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
510 21.414 21 23028 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Fri, 07 Aug 1998 04:00:47 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/07
Message-ID: <19980807040047.2041@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
319 28.000 28 29200 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****

==========
You claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet addresses.
You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34FE2D5F.592F3C0E@primenet.com>

Date: Wed, 04 Mar 1998 21:43:11 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: IWSTIRN REAL IDENITY
References: <34FB4DC4.7E4@somewhere.com>
<34fe5f01.80470096@news2.cts.com>
<34ff7a5a.87471767@news2.cts.com> <34FD1CA0.5FF8@usa.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

John "Gawd, I love Richard Simmonds" Mackey KA0SSF whined:
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > After considerable research of my own I have
> > to conclude that Bill Ewald, KD4EUW, of the
> > EPA in Research Triangle Park, NC, is the
> > infamous "iwstirn@bigfoot.com".
>
> So what???
>
> YOU, BILL CHEEK, have been caught using alias ID's on this
> NG MANY times! (usually, to start trouble!)
>

Let's see, according to WhoWhere? <http://www.whowhere.com>, you've used
no less than sixteen obviously fictitious Internet addresses. These are
just the ones where you were stupid enough to use your callsign. You
might plausibly claim one or two were used by someone impersonating you,
but you can't claim all of them Toilet Boy.

In light of the abuse you've managed with fraudulent address, your
ragging on Bill Cheek about the WinRadio issue in the manner you
have been, makes YOU the hypocrite here, as usual.

anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org mackey@idiots.org
nowhere@anyplace.net jomackey@hotmail.com
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no_place@any-where.com
no.address@anywhere.gov No-address@No-where.vom
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com

> > The sick thing is that a Deja News search
> > on "iwstirn@bigfoot.com" discloses over 1400
> > posts by this guy over a short period of time.
>
> The SAME search for YOU shows over 50,000!

That's an apples and oranges comparison. The time periods are not equal.
But, you're too stupid to understand such simple concepts, Toilet Boy.

==========
You claimed you were not the most proflic poster of off topic messages.
You lied.

With the aid of DejaNews, I have analyzed the quantity of postings by several regular posters in the alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner news groups for a one year period and compiled the statistics. The full one-year period between August 1, 1997 and July 31, 1998 was examined on a month by month basis. All the the people were subject to the same search and level of scrutiny.

Seven people who are, or who have been accused, of being high frequency posters were selected. Those people are John Mackey, Bill Cheek, Richard Kendrick, Blake Bowers, George Vetterle, allsafe, and K4CC. The addresses
used by these individuals were the seed for the search.

In the case of Mackey he has used ten different addresses during the selected time period and all ten were used. Those address are: jmackey@usa.net, jmackey@parg.org, kaossf@parg.org, jmackey@parxg.org, jxxxmackey@uxxxsa.net, ka0ssf@premovearg.org, jmackeyremove@usa.net, ka0ssf@paremoverg.org, and jmackey@pio.net.

Bill Cheek changed from cts.com to san.rr.com before the examined time period and his posts from that domain are not included in this survey. The address used was Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>.

George Vetterle changed providers, but this only added five to his total count of messages posted. The two addresses surveyed are: geovet@ix.netcom.com and geovet@thevision.net.

The other address used were Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>, Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>, <allsafe@netwit.com>, and <k4cc@aol.com>.

This is the quantity of postings broke down on a month by month basis for each of the people named above. If a message was cross-posted to both qroups, it was only counted once.

Date	Mackey	Cheek	Kendrick	Bowers	Vetterle	allsafe	K4CC
JUL 98	413	386*	6	32	28	75	234
JUN 98	413	502*	0	64	45	97	95
MAY 98	22	208	0	11	8	12	12
APR 98	194	128	27	41	25	12	0
MAR 98	254	240	49	24	50	18	0
FEB 98	200	274	51	27	46	18	0
JAN 98	173	178	35	1	33	33	0
DEC 97	149	227	32	0	16	1	0
NOV 97	63	65	1	0	10	0	0
OCT 97	48	60	0	0	8	0	0

SEP 97 | 181 | 78 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0
AUG 97 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341

AVG/DAY| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.93

AVG/ADJ| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 3.72

The TOTALS are the sum of twelve months of posts for that individual.

The AVG/DAY is the average number of posts per day based on the formula TOTALS / 365.

The AVG/ADJ is TOTALS / SUM OF DAYS IN TOTAL MONTHS OF POSTINGS is the average number of posts for the actual days in the news group. The adjusted value is because some of the posters had a 0 for any given month(s).

The two months marked with an asterisk (*) for Bill Cheek are inflated values. The actual values are probably much lower because these values included the reposts of the messages canceled by John Mackey.

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

For the others, between one-eighth and one-half of the posts made by them were pertaining to scanners and scanning.

==========
You claimed WD-40 was unsuitable in an electronic application. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34E528F4.A069A30D@primenet.com>
Date: Fri, 13 Feb 1998 22:17:40 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: WD-40 = contact cleaner?
References: <01bd3680$63587fe0$69a541ce@cen11212>
<34e8be78.14344899@news2.cts.com> <34E3F9F9.DD@usa.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

John "As ususal, I don't know what I'm talking about"
Mackey -- KA0SSF wrote:

Ok Toilet Boy, you've missed the boat again. Here is a post from Roy Lewallen, a *very* respected engineer (you going to challenge his

credentials, too?) and Technical Advisor to the American Radio Relay League. This is what he has to say on the usage of WD-40.

Looks like Bill's thirty years of experience coupled with Roy's forty plus have got your two weeks beat.

.....

Subject: Re: WD-40 vice Contact/Tuner Spray!!
From: w7el@teleport.com (Roy Lewallen)
Date: 1996/01/27
Message-ID: <4ee9o0$p4m@maureen.teleport.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

> subbustr@whidbey.net (DAVE M . SCHERTZER) writes:
> Use WD-40 on my mobile rig to fix a LCD prob and
> it worked!!!! Tried contact/tuner spray and had to
> re-apply every 2 weeks, WD-40 no probs fer the
> last 6 months..works fine in a pinch.....
>
> de ve7-lfa

I use WD-40 all the time on swiches (rotary, AC power, relays, you name it), noisy potentiometers, sticky slugs in old UHF rig inductors, locks, and anything else that slides or turns. Doesn't hurt any of 'em. It's one of the Great Inventions of the 20th Century (along with latex paint and plastic drinking straws. .)

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

==========
You claimed Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34BABF83.616439F1@primenet.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:12:35 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Proof of Bill Cheeks Mensa Membership
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have been able to verify that Bill Cheek did indeed once belong to American Mensa, as he claimed. In the 1983/1984 Directory of American Mensa Membership, there is a listing for William David Cheek, 235 Pike Street, Golden, CO 80401, (303) 279-1447. Bill Cheek was not listed in the 1985, or subsequent editions of the directory.

A check with the National Mensa office in Fort Worth, TX revealed the following facts about a persons membership. Once a person has qualified for membership, he is qualified for life. He may let his membership lapse at any time for any reason. He may then rejoin at any later time by simply paying the current dues. A members IQ does not drop as soon as his membership expires, as John Mackey would have us believe.

Gee, it looks like Bill Cheek DID tell the truth, unlike John Mackey.

3047. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39935A30.871E2DCE@primenet.com>
Source record: 82548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

KS0F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > do you actually think that by cross posting your
> > lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass
> me?
> I was hoping peer pressure might convince you to start acting
> in a respectable way.

So that's how you're trying to describe suppressing the truth about you,
Toilet Boy? You can keep trying to emulate your Nazi propaganda heroes,
but you're out of your league there. You lack the intellect to
understand
their methods.

Here are a few pieces of evidence for you chew on, Toilet Boy.
This should keep you frothing for a while. You know the rest of
the evidence exists; this is enough to prove my point. You are
a liar and a fraud, Toilet Boy.

To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:

First: Not only is the emperor wearing no clothes, but he's
wearing last year's fashion.

Second: Go commit intrapersonal intercourse.

==========
You denied patronizing child prostitutes. You lied.

Subject: Re: Credentials
From: John Mackey KA0SSF <nowhere@anyplace.net>
Date: 1996/10/10
Message-Id: <325D48A0.41CA@anyplace.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

BILL WATTS wrote:

> Woweee! Ten whole dollars! You must be pretty damned sure
> of yourself to risk half a day's pay.

Naw, hell that's all I paid to buy your daughter for the weekend.
****
Reply-To: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.NOSPAMorg>
From: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

References: <394EF438.322F1983@yu.com>
Subject: Re: John Mackey still has the last laugh here!
Lines: 7
Organization: report problems to "abuse@parg.org"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <NcD35.17288$Ey2.114501@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 20 Jun 2000 05:22:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.0.49.240
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 961478573 24.0.49.240 (Mon, 19 Jun 2000 22:22:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Jun 2000 22:22:53 PDT
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:208398
X-Mozilla-Status: 8011

I also have your daughter's clit between my front teeth!

<ol@yu.com> wrote in
> John Mackey still has gap between front teeth
****

==========
You claim to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL
and other technical publications. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Evidence John Mackey Lied About His "Articles"
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK Toilet Boy, you say you've written lots of articles for the
ARRL and other technical journals. The ARRL does not show you as
author for anything and no articles in ANY technical journals
have been found. Here is the proof that you lied about your
articles.

Several people have visited the ARRL Web Site (www.arrl.org), and
searched, finding nothing written by you. You claim they used
faulty search methods. Here are the results of my search of the
ARRL Web Site using "john mackey" and "ka0ssf" as search
parameters. Before you get all excited and claim my search method
was faulty because I did not use any capitalization, the search

engine is case INsensitive.

Regarding the search for "john mackey," the first, third, and fourth hits showed only because of "John" and "Mackey". The second hit refers to The ARRL Letter for July 5, 1996. That was the issue the contained the item about PARG catching a jammer.

Regarding the search for "ka0ssf," the only hit was a duplicate from above.

The original article appeared on the PARG web page (www.parg.org) does not credit you as the author. That article is reproduced below in its entirety. The next article is from the HamRadio Online website (www.hamradio-online.com), also reproduced below in its entirety, does show you as author. Finally, the item in The ARRL Letter is shown below as well. It does not credit you as author either.

It is interesting to note that the HamRadio Online piece appeared in the June 1996 issue and the PARG piece appeared in July 1996. Why would your own group not credit you with authorship? Why would you use the email address of someone else (mscott@teleport.com) instead of your own? Oh yes, I remember now. That was the time (March through August 1996) that you were using one of eight bogus addresses because you were stealing Internet access. Let's see, you were using the addresses:

John.Mackey_Jr@p234.f40.n105.z1.fidonet.org
John.mackey_jr@p234.n40.f105.z1.fidonet.org
Here.now@present.com
no.address@anywhere.com
no.address@anywhere.gov
No-place@anywhere.org
no--place@any-where.com
no__place@any__where.com

Comparing the three articles side by side, it is very clear that the first article, which appeard in HamRadio Online, was reworked for the PARG web page. Then the ARRL edited it for their use. No matter how you slice it, you have not written several articles, as you've claimed.

Again, Toilet Boy, you lied.

And now, the evidence:

================ search for "john mackey" ====================
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'john mackey'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: john mackey

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 4 of 4 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: VHF Award Managers[*][*][*][*]
... is maintained by Bill Moore, NC1L (bmoore@arrl.org)
12/01/97 VHF Awards Managers for Your State: Firstname
Lastname State Callsign Address Phone #s John C A
Bierman AK KL7GNP 4304 Garfield St (501)562-5001
Anchorage, AK 99503 Jim Green AL KD4BHH PO Box 864
(205)245-7825 Sylacauga, AL 35150 (205)245 ...
http://www.arrl.org/awards/vucc/vuccrep.html, 21781 bytes

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][ ]
... and education. It is a collaborative effort of the
University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire School of Nursing/Human
Sciences & Services Outreach, the Johns Hopkins University
Center for Technology in Education, and the Trace Research
and Development Center at the University of
Wisconsin-Madison.) Paul also ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/ ,25698 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 19
[*][*][ ][ ]
... ." The relief effort is feeding upward of 2700 people
a day (one of the Salvation Army staff members at the
Grand Forks center is Capt Cliff Mackey, KB0TXE, of
Leavenworth, Kansas). Garrington said some hams are doing
double duty-- helping with driving or serving meals as
well as with communication needs ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/970509/ ,29547 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 49
[*][*][ ][ ]
... Virginia ARES activation "with thanks to everyone who
participated in this response."--thanks to Jim Kehler,
KH2D, and Don Mahan, KD4WGV and Frank Mackey, K4EC/Virginia
ARES HAM RADIO TO THE RESCUE--AGAIN! Three Franklin Pierce
College students may owe their lives to ham radio. The
three found themselves ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/97/971219/ , 29792 bytes

========== end of search for "john mackey" ==========

========== search for "ka0ssf" ==========
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'ka0ssf'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: ka0ssf

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 1 of 1 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][*]
None of the search words were found in the first 2000
words of this document.
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/, 25698 bytes

================ end of search for "ka0ssf" ==================

==== Article from HamRadio Online, www.hamradio-online.com ===
==== June 1996, reproduced without permission ===

Amateurs Locate Police Jammer in Oregon

by John Mackey, KA0SSF, mscott@teleport.com

During June 1st, 1996 in Seaside Oregon, where the SEA-PAC ham
convention was being held, a group of hams belonging to PARG
(Phantoms Amateur Radio Group) were down on the beach front
enjoying the annual PARG bonfire. One of the hams (KB7YZS) was
monitoring the local Seaside Police Dept. He heard someone
cursing and yelling at people. At first he thought it was
coming from the UHF side on his hand-held transceiver, but to
his surprise it was coming from the VHF side which was
monitoring the police.

He promptly notified everyone at the bonfire to listen. After a
few minutes of listening to the person, the members had figured
out that the person was up in a balcony, was with 3 or more
people and 2 of which were females. They realized this because
of key things he said and voices in the background.

The 4 PARG members in the group (KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP)
just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee. They
immediately activated the PARG Interference Committee direction
finding operations. They promptly tracked down the interference
to a specific location of the Shilo Inn and searched for someone
matching the description. After only 5 minutes they saw a group of
people on the third floor of the Shilo who matched the description.
Then they saw him pick up his hand-held transceiver and speak into
it. At the very same moment the voice on the police frequency was
saying "Hey, fat ass, yea you in the black pants and the white

shirt". While he was saying this on the Seaside Police frequency the members noticed a man wearing those clothes, a little on the heavy side walking directly underneath them.

One of the PARG members saw a Seaside Police patrol car in the area. A PARG representative approached the officer and asked him if he was looking for a person causing interference to the Police Frequency. He seemed very upset and replied "YES"! The PARG representative then informed the Seaside Police Officer where the malicious interference was coming from. Three other PARG members were on their way to the third floor when they saw the people (from the room/balcony where the interference was) come running down the stairs and away from the Shilo.

The officer took a report from the PARG representative, including an exact location of the motel room and spoke to the hotel manager. After 2 hours the interference started up again. The Seaside Police Officer went directly to the room the PARG Interference team pointed out and caught the person in the act of doing the deeds.

The above is known to be facts. The above mentioned is knowledge from speaking the PARG Interference Committee members and to a friend of the suspect.

The man who in is question has a call sign of KE6VNU and is from Central California. He apparently was in Oregon for the ham convention. Information indicates he was released from jail only a short time before this incident, the charge he was in jail for was malicious interference to the local police in his area. This obviously did not teach him his lesson. We understand that he caused a great amount of interference to the Sacramento County and Roseville emergency/public services communications and often identified himself on those malicious transmissions as "The Phantom Jammer". We were told the charge Seaside Police brought against him was "Interference of a police officer in line of duties".

Note: It is an unfortunate coincidence that the malicious interferer used the name "Phantom Jammer". He has absolutely NO association with or relation to PARG (Phantom's Amateur Radio Group), the group which located him & turned the necessary information into the Seaside Police which led to his arrest for the interference. We did not release this information until now at the request of the local law enforcement officials to prevent tainting their investigation.

This was PARG's second year in a row of providing public services during the Seaside Ham Convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief (after a brief foot pursuit), returned the purse to the rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG also performed crowd control activities at this time due to the large number of people who stopped to

watch the purse thief incident.

PARG is the Phantom's Amateur Radio Group in Portland, OR and is Portland's most active VE testing, repeater club, emergency communications, and ham radio group. Please visit our web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml.
You can contact PARG at

PARG, PO Box 1586, Beaverton, Oregon 97075-1586
Phone 503-818-PARG (24 hours)

================ end of HamRadio Online ====================

========== Article from PARG Web Page, www.parg.org ==========
========== June 1996, reproduced without permission ==========

The PARG Crack
The Official Newsletter of the Phantoms Amateur Radio Group
"We are anything but complacent"

July 1996 - Portland, Oregon - ONLINE EDITION

Interference Committee Stops Californian Jammer

The SeaPac ham convention was saved once again by PARG representatives. In a valiant effort to restore peace and safety to the coastal community, members of the PARG Interference Committee assisted Seaside Police officers in the apprehension of an individual jamming their dispatch frequency.

During the annual PARG bon fire, several members of the Interference Committee were monitoring the local police dispatch frequency. As they were completing a safety check of the area before returning to their accommodations for the evening, the Committee members heard someone sending malicious transmissions on the VHF dispatch frequency. Immediately, the field operations of the Interference Tracking Unit (ITU) were activated and the search began for the jammer.

After a relatively short and efficient investigation, the ITU determined that the illegal signal was originating from a shore front hotel. This information was derived from both direction finding techniques and verbal clues left by the jammer.

The group immediately broke into two teams and sent a representative to contact a police officer who was patrolling in the area. The other team went to the hotel from which the suspected jammer was transmitting and watched his room for a possible escape attempt.

Soon after contact was made with the police department, the suspects were seen going down the hotel stairs and away from the building.

During the time that the suspects were out, the jamming ceased. About two hours later, the jamming started up again. When this happened, the officer the PARG representative had contacted returned to the room and apprehended the suspect.

According to reliable sources, the suspect, KE6VNU was arrested by the Seaside Police Department for the charge of Interfering with a police officer in the line of duties. In addition to being involved in this situation, the jammer was allegedly involved in similar activities in Sacramento County, California.

The outcome of any prosecution will be covered in a later edition of the PARG Crack.

========== end of PARG Web Page ==========

========== Article from The ARRL Leter, www.arrl.org =========
========= July 5, 1996, reproduced without permission ========

PHANTOMS HELP NAB "THE PHANTOM JAMMER"

The Phantoms Amateur Radio Group (PARG) of Portland, Oregon, is claiming credit for helping police to nab "The Phantom Jammer," who was interfering with police calls in Seaside, Oregon, during the Northwest Division Convention in early June. John Mackey, KA0SSF, of PARG reports members were on the beach enjoying the annual PARG bonfire when KB7YZS heard someone "cursing and yelling at people" on the VHF police frequency, which he was monitoring at the time. After a few minutes of listening, members figured out that the person was up in a balcony and was with a mixed group. KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP (who just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee) immediately activated direction finding operations and tracked down the interference to a local motel. It only took them a few minutes to spot a group on a balcony, one of whom was using an H-T. After noting that the transmissions coincided with what they heard on the police frequency, PARG informed Seaside Police. A short time later, Mackey reports, Seaside Police "caught the person in the act."

Seaside Police subsequently charged Bobby Lee Aguero, KE6VNU, of Rocklin, California, with interfering with a police officer. As we've already reported in The ARRL Letter and in QST, Aguero, 19, already faces similar charges in California.

Mackey said this was the second consecutive year PARG got to provide a public service during the Seaside convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief--after a brief foot pursuit--returned the purse to its rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG has a Web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml. The group's current president is Justin Bousquet, AB7NG.

========== end of ARRL Letter ==========

==========
You claimed you've never been indentified as known Internet abuser.
You lied.

****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 17 Dec 1997 04:02:21 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1997/12/17
Message-ID: <19971217040221.25634@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

This data has been gathered by Joe Greco and sol.net Network Services
as part of an ongoing project to monitor Usenet trends and abuse.

There are currently two items scanned:

1) "From:" header
2) "NNTP-Posting-Host:" header, if present

All articles sharing the same header (i.e. all articles with a
"From:" header of "jgreco@ns.sol.net") are counted together, with
a summary of the number of posts, bytes posted, and BI. Only
articles that have a BI of 20 or greater are summarized.

Please remember that BI is a general formula to measure the
number of groups posted to in a manner which favors crossposting.
The fact that the posts of a single poster may have a BI of 20
does not imply that the articles are spam, as each article may
have been completely different and unrelated.

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
558 23.899 21 24962 John Mackey--KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Sun, 18 Jan 1998 08:01:36 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/01/18
Message-ID: <19980118080136.16926@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
227 22.753 16 90325 John Mackey -- KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Fri, 30 Jan 1998 04:02:35 CST)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/01/30
Message-ID: <19980130040235.7270@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------

278 23.853 20 23925 John Mackey -- KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Tue, 07 Apr 1998 04:01:41 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/04/07
Message-ID: <19980407040141.18454@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Top From sorted by BI-sum

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
419 20.657 17 19717 -=KA0SSF=- <jmackey@usa.net>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 05 Aug 1998 04:00:56 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/05
Message-ID: <19980805040056.4934@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
421 20.414 20 23190 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Wed, 05 Aug 1998 20:01:26 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/05
Message-ID: <19980805200126.3434@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
510 21.414 21 23028 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****
Subject: Usenet Posting Summary (Fri, 07 Aug 1998 04:00:47 CDT)
From: Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>
Date: 1998/08/07
Message-ID: <19980807040047.2041@ns.sol.net>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Rank BI Posts Bytes Data
---- ---------- ------- ----------- ------------
319 28.000 28 29200 KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
****

==========
You claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet addresses.
You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34FE2D5F.592F3C0E@primenet.com>

Date: Wed, 04 Mar 1998 21:43:11 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: IWSTIRN REAL IDENITY
References: <34FB4DC4.7E4@somewhere.com>
<34fe5f01.80470096@news2.cts.com>
<34ff7a5a.87471767@news2.cts.com> <34FD1CA0.5FF8@usa.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

John "Gawd, I love Richard Simmonds" Mackey KA0SSF whined:
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > After considerable research of my own I have
> > to conclude that Bill Ewald, KD4EUW, of the
> > EPA in Research Triangle Park, NC, is the
> > infamous "iwstirn@bigfoot.com".
>
> So what???
>
> YOU, BILL CHEEK, have been caught using alias ID's on this
> NG MANY times! (usually, to start trouble!)
>

Let's see, according to WhoWhere? <http://www.whowhere.com>, you've used
no less than sixteen obviously fictitious Internet addresses. These are
just the ones where you were stupid enough to use your callsign. You
might plausibly claim one or two were used by someone impersonating you,
but you can't claim all of them Toilet Boy.

In light of the abuse you've managed with fraudulent address, your
ragging on Bill Cheek about the WinRadio issue in the manner you
have been, makes YOU the hypocrite here, as usual.

anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org mackey@idiots.org
nowhere@anyplace.net jomackey@hotmail.com
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no_place@any-where.com
no.address@anywhere.gov No-address@No-where.vom
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com

> > The sick thing is that a Deja News search
> > on "iwstirn@bigfoot.com" discloses over 1400
> > posts by this guy over a short period of time.
>
> The SAME search for YOU shows over 50,000!

That's an apples and oranges comparison. The time periods are not equal.
But, you're too stupid to understand such simple concepts, Toilet Boy.

==========
You claimed you were not the most proflic poster of off topic messages.
You lied.

With the aid of DejaNews, I have analyzed the quantity of postings by several regular posters in the alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner news groups for a one year period and compiled the statistics. The full one-year period between August 1, 1997 and July 31, 1998 was examined on a month by month basis. All the the people were subject to the same search and level of scrutiny.

Seven people who are, or who have been accused, of being high frequency posters were selected. Those people are John Mackey, Bill Cheek, Richard Kendrick, Blake Bowers, George Vetterle, allsafe, and K4CC. The addresses
used by these individuals were the seed for the search.

In the case of Mackey he has used ten different addresses during the selected time period and all ten were used. Those address are: jmackey@usa.net, jmackey@parg.org, kaossf@parg.org, jmackey@parxg.org, jxxxmackey@uxxxsa.net, ka0ssf@premovearg.org, jmackeyremove@usa.net, ka0ssf@paremoverg.org, and jmackey@pio.net.

Bill Cheek changed from cts.com to san.rr.com before the examined time period and his posts from that domain are not included in this survey. The address used was Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>.

George Vetterle changed providers, but this only added five to his total count of messages posted. The two addresses surveyed are: geovet@ix.netcom.com and geovet@thevision.net.

The other address used were Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>, Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>, <allsafe@netwit.com>, and <k4cc@aol.com>.

This is the quantity of postings broke down on a month by month basis for each of the people named above. If a message was cross-posted to both qroups, it was only counted once.

Date	Mackey	Cheek	Kendrick	Bowers	Vetterle	allsafe	K4CC
JUL 98	413	386*	6	32	28	75	234
JUN 98	413	502*	0	64	45	97	95
MAY 98	22	208	0	11	8	12	12
APR 98	194	128	27	41	25	12	0
MAR 98	254	240	49	24	50	18	0
FEB 98	200	274	51	27	46	18	0
JAN 98	173	178	35	1	33	33	0
DEC 97	149	227	32	0	16	1	0
NOV 97	63	65	1	0	10	0	0
OCT 97	48	60	0	0	8	0	0

SEP 97 | 181 | 78 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0
AUG 97 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341

AVG/DAY| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.93

AVG/ADJ| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 3.72

The TOTALS are the sum of twelve months of posts for that individual.

The AVG/DAY is the average number of posts per day based on the formula TOTALS / 365.

The AVG/ADJ is TOTALS / SUM OF DAYS IN TOTAL MONTHS OF POSTINGS is the average number of posts for the actual days in the news group. The adjusted value is because some of the posters had a 0 for any given month(s).

The two months marked with an asterisk (*) for Bill Cheek are inflated values. The actual values are probably much lower because these values included the reposts of the messages canceled by John Mackey.

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

For the others, between one-eighth and one-half of the posts made by them were pertaining to scanners and scanning.

==========
You claimed WD-40 was unsuitable in an electronic application. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34E528F4.A069A30D@primenet.com>
Date: Fri, 13 Feb 1998 22:17:40 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: WD-40 = contact cleaner?
References: <01bd3680$63587fe0$69a541ce@cen11212>
<34e8be78.14344899@news2.cts.com> <34E3F9F9.DD@usa.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

John "As ususal, I don't know what I'm talking about"
Mackey -- KA0SSF wrote:

Ok Toilet Boy, you've missed the boat again. Here is a post from Roy Lewallen, a *very* respected engineer (you going to challenge his

credentials, too?) and Technical Advisor to the American Radio Relay League. This is what he has to say on the usage of WD-40.

Looks like Bill's thirty years of experience coupled with Roy's forty plus have got your two weeks beat.

.....

Subject: Re: WD-40 vice Contact/Tuner Spray!!
From: w7el@teleport.com (Roy Lewallen)
Date: 1996/01/27
Message-ID: <4ee9o0$p4m@maureen.teleport.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

> subbustr@whidbey.net (DAVE M . SCHERTZER) writes:
> Use WD-40 on my mobile rig to fix a LCD prob and
> it worked!!!! Tried contact/tuner spray and had to
> re-apply every 2 weeks, WD-40 no probs fer the
> last 6 months..works fine in a pinch.....
>
> de ve7-lfa

I use WD-40 all the time on swiches (rotary, AC power, relays, you name it), noisy potentiometers, sticky slugs in old UHF rig inductors, locks, and anything else that slides or turns. Doesn't hurt any of 'em. It's one of the Great Inventions of the 20th Century (along with latex paint and plastic drinking straws. .)

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

==========
You claimed Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa. You lied.

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <34BABF83.616439F1@primenet.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:12:35 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Proof of Bill Cheeks Mensa Membership
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have been able to verify that Bill Cheek did indeed once belong to American Mensa, as he claimed. In the 1983/1984 Directory of American Mensa Membership, there is a listing for William David Cheek, 235 Pike Street, Golden, CO 80401, (303) 279-1447. Bill Cheek was not listed in the 1985, or subsequent editions of the directory.

A check with the National Mensa office in Fort Worth, TX revealed the following facts about a persons membership. Once a person has qualified for membership, he is qualified for life. He may let his membership lapse at any time for any reason. He may then rejoin at any later time by simply paying the current dues. A members IQ does not drop as soon as his membership expires, as John Mackey would have us believe.

Gee, it looks like Bill Cheek DID tell the truth, unlike John Mackey.

3048. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <399362CB.26F32D4D@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

You should re-read my post; I spoke of an NDB. These operate in the 200-400 KHz range.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:

> On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 15:51:23 -0700, Cortland Richmond
> <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
>
> >Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and
> >to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.
>
> That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
> and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.
>
> > A harmonic of
> >this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.
>
> IMO this is much more unlikely than the FM LO theory. The LO fundamental
> could be *on* the VOR carrier freq. You're talking about an oscillator
> that's normally around 200 kHz and which is applied to the head in amounts
> measured in tens of millivolts; furthermore, it's generated as a fairly
> pure sine wave! The output at the 540th harmonic and beyond just isn't
> going to be detectable.
>
> Also, if we're talking about Walkman-style units, "tape players" with FM
> radios are fairly common, but "tape players" with a record function are
> like hen's teeth.
>
> Now if it's a "boombox" style, *most* of those *do* include a record
> function, but I still don't believe the output at 108 MHz and up would be
> measurable above the background noise.
>
> --- jeh

3049. Re: looking for Lynwood Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39936AD2.E1FB9653@cts.com>
Source record: 82550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net wrote:
>
> http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM
>
> warrior5 wrote:
>
> > looking for Lynwood, California Frequency since its not in my Book of
> > Frequencies
>
483.8626
It's a contract area for the Sheriffs Office.

3050. Re: Request Fremont, CA public safety freqs, please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Big Ron <bigron@idiom.com>
Message-ID: <9mu6psgmhtqrg3veafo04upos3882fhi31@4ax.com>
Source record: 82551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 17:09:43 +0100, combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
wrote:

>
> http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM
>
>Warren Brown wrote:
>
>> I'd appreciate it very much if someone who has this info could send it
>> to me. My guess is that there was a move to the 800s sometime in the
>> not-too-distant past. If so, is it a trunked system?
>>
>> TIA,
>>
>> Warren in Fremont

Actually, they are on the Alameda County trunked radio system... see
http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetca.htm for frequencies and IDs and look
up Alameda County.

3051. Re: New Encryption Method on 165.790MHz (LAX , CA area)???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Any User <nikonuser@zdnetmail.com>
Message-ID: <3hm4pskdrlc859hbr4kdqc5f28qobbrk11@4ax.com>
Source record: 82597

On Sun, 06 Aug 2000 03:11:13 +0000, Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>That might be an Air Force repeater located on P.V.
>What kind of traffic does it sound like?
>

Most likely the frequency is 165.7875 which is used by the Secret
Service with digital encryption.

3052. wanna add your site??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "scannerpage.com" <thepeebee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8mu4ks$f8p$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 82598
Cross-posted to: dk.teknik.radio.scanner,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

www.scannerpage.com The International Scanner link Site !!!!!
With more then 200 scanner links inside !!!

Is searching for scanner links.

Do you have a great scannersite? Or you now a great site?

Please add it here !!! http://www.scannerpage.com/add.htm

On sunday there`s a huge update !!!

3053. Re: PCR 1000 & Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <sp58lm8gn4t34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82599

"Chris Altwegg" <chris@pinpoint.net> wrote in message
news:91pk5.85173$V34.815280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Anyone got any leads on whether you can get Trunk Tracking capability when
> you use a PCR 1000, or other similar laptop-driven type device? I'm going
> to be doing some heavy traveling with my laptop over the next year, and
love
> radio scanning in the evening. But with more and more police/fire moving
to
> trunked systems, it would be great to have that capability also.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Chris
>
> --
> Chris Altwegg | chris@pinpoint.net
> Pinpoint Direct Mktg. Mgmt. | 206-632-3500 (voice)
> 4464 Fremont Ave N; Suite 310 | 206-632-4400 (fax)
> Seattle, WA 98103 | 206-523-6477 (home/cell)
>
>

3054. Re: PCR 1000 & Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <sp58npnsn4t58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82600

You can try http://www.micosyen.com/radio.htm -- freeware, but you need two radios...

"Chris Altwegg" <chris@pinpoint.net> wrote in message news:91pk5.85173$V34.815280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Anyone got any leads on whether you can get Trunk Tracking capability when
> you use a PCR 1000, or other similar laptop-driven type device? I'm going
> to be doing some heavy traveling with my laptop over the next year, and love
> radio scanning in the evening. But with more and more police/fire moving to
> trunked systems, it would be great to have that capability also.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Chris
>
> --
> Chris Altwegg | chris@pinpoint.net
> Pinpoint Direct Mktg. Mgmt. | 206-632-3500 (voice)
> 4464 Fremont Ave N; Suite 310 | 206-632-4400 (fax)
> Seattle, WA 98103 | 206-523-6477 (home/cell)
>
>

3055. Re: Bearcat 760 XLT, 2 types ?HELP**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000810093511.14305.00000128@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 82601

Hi,

Maybe you can put light in the darkness !

Some people say the Bearcat 760XLT covers the 30Mhz band, but others swear
to tell it starts only at 66 !!
Are there 2 different types of the Bearcat 760XLT or is there a difference
in USA and Europe ?

Hope you got the right answer !

Thanx for reading,

Greetings,

EagleForce
Renï¿½.

There is a USA version AND a European version. The USA one STARTS @ 30 Mhz: the
Euro one @ 66 mhz. Our USA "low band" is from 30-50 Mhz: THEIRS is from 66-88
Mhz.

3056. RS "pro" series chronology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanner Geek <cordey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8muchv$jn4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82602

So does anyone know of a canonical list of the different RS scanners over the years? I have three of varying generations, but when I see others posted for sale (like one recent post of one that noted to have been purchased before the FCC restricted some frequencies) I have no idea of the features of the various models.

I'm note real interested in the cellular stuff, I'm more interested in design "cookies" or those things that the creators put in to be fun or useful, but are not advertised or documented in the manual: For example:

... I'm curious to know if anyone had ever discovered that PRO-29 has a "hidden" weather alert mode? I was running one on two (yup just two) UHF (ham) frequencies during a recent public service event during approaching severe weather. I also had a weather alert radio too, and when I heard "it" scream - I discovered that the PRO-29 (propped on a box, not being handled) had switched to the local NOAA station, and was screaming an alert tone.

It's never done this before or after - but it's things like this that I'm interested in.

Additionally - I saw the recent postings about the issues with lack of IDs on the PRO-92A. I bought a PRO-91 on closeout (glad I didn't pay a lot, the local trunking system is only used for garbage collection at this time!) and have been stymied by results I'm getting when trying to get another set of trunked freqs to work.

So pointers to RS info is solicited. Personal anecdotes about random goofy design cookies especially appreciated.

-R

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3057. Listen live to digital frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8muefeldp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

http://www.clevelandlive.com:80/policescanner/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3058. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <8oe5psgudbgphum3i443meru4pqojfmecr@4ax.com>
Source record: 82604

Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com> wrote:

> "Perfect Paul"? :-) As good a name as any.

The boxes that do the voice synthesis are DECtalk boxes,
which have been obsolete since the mid 80s. Each of the
voices that the box can produce has a nickname; that's
just one of them.

Our DEC field service engineer had to use an automated
phone menu system to keep the office aware of what was
going on. He called DECtalk "Ol' Marblemouth."

As for the Germanic accent -- I believe you could switch
accents in DECtalk. Thankfully, I never had to use one,
but our local WX radio produced some... interesting...
sounds when DECtalk tried to pronounce the ICAO ids. :-)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com

"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

3059. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fDzk5.47$cl.25799@news2.mia>
Source record: 82605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I read that cellphones contain circuitry to prevent them from accessing more than one cell site at a time. Even if that isn't accurate, a cell phone being used on the ground is likely to access more than one cell site at a time. But ultimately, the site with the best signal is chosen to complete the call, so the number of sites it accesses initially should not matter.

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam> wrote in message news:39922E15.D56ACA99@use.net.nospam...
> DALing wrote:
>
> > There is also the matter of speed - I know of some private pilots who
> > routinely use cell phones in the air (not much to go wrong in what is a
> > basic manual aircraft) and they system doesn't seem to be much affected by
> > speeds up to about 200mph or so. Over that you begin jumping between cells
> > too fast for the computer to keep up and would enter the twilight zone of
> > "untracked call". In reality, the ban on airline cell phone calls was
> > instigated by the network operators to prevent "free" calls (cell links once
> > open stay open until closed by the computer; however, the actual billing
> > portion needs to have a smooth transition from cell to cell and not from
> > cell to another cell 35 miles away. The transmission doesn't automatically
> > activate all cells within transmission range, it just works with the cell
> > it's hooked to and then the system determines what the next cell link should
> > be based on transmission (reception to the cell tower) and connects them in
> > a "hand off". If there is a number of cells activated at the same time, the
> > system thinks that they are dealing with a cloned phone (which is why phase
> > linear amplifiers would cause more problems for a user than they would
> > solve) and either cut off the call or log the intrusion into a "look up
> > later" file.
>
> Locally, cell phones were used in the early 90's aboard our private

planes,
> before the FCC implemented the ban. This was never done under IFR. It
caused
> havoc on the network, and this got worse as more cells were being added
per sq
> mile. It wasn't a matter of handoffs too quickly, it was more that the
cells
> were getting lit up all over the place. The AMPS standard allows for a
channel
> to be repeated on non adjacent cells, so airborne use was clogging a large
> amount of cells, and preventing the provider from providing service.
Today, it
> would be much worse as cells are much smaller, less powerful, and much
more
> numerous.
>

3060. Re: Iowa State Patrol - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992CBF0.E1EC64BA@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82606
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

J O H N wrote:

> Where?
>
> "Ed" <jajaja@attatt.net> wrote
> > I am hoping that someone can supply mw with accurate Iowa State Patrol
> > Freqs, or perhaps point me in the right direction.

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3061. Pro 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick <toby@gis.net>
Message-ID: <sp5mi1mhn4t175@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82607

I am looking for an inexpensive Pro 2046.If you got one you want to part with cheap,let me know.

--
Thanks
ICQ#:423512
Tel:978-281-3359
Email:n1rsp@qsl.net

3062. RE: Busco frecuencias de Vigo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Germ&#65533;n <elvandalodelprimeroNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TSAk5.121$BB.4467@m2newsread.uni2.es>
Source record: 82608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,es.rec.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.misc,es.rec.radio.ondacorta,rec.radio.scanner

Nemo <berna10@iberonline.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje de noticias
Mlfj5.7509$nO5.110214@telenews.teleline.es...
> Alguien podr&#65533; decirme las frecuencias que se pueen escuchar en Vigo,sobre
> todo de utilitarias:ambulancias,bomberos,etc.Gracias
>

Ponte en contacto con el RadioClub de Teleco, en la Escuela de
Telecomunicaciones de la Universidad. Ahora est&#65533;n de vacaciones.
Si tienes suerte los podr&#65533;s localizar ahora, si no puedes intentar
ponerte en contacto con Ricardo EA1APM que es de ese
radioclub. Su p&#65533;gina web, donde encontrar&#65533;s su e-direcci&#65533;n, es:
http://personal2.redestb.es/rsaiz

73 y buen DX
Germ&#65533;n
>

3063. Re: Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992CE99.598A3EE7@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

Adam Lind wrote:

> Hello. I am looking for active railroad and Nicor gas company frequemcoes
> for the Central Illinois area. I have a large list of possibilites, but I
> really don't want to fill my scanner to get only 1 or 2 freqs. Any info is
> appreciated.
> Thanks
> Adam
> chip1@theramp.net

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3064. Re: WANTED: Schofield Barracks, Oahu, HI & area FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992CEE7.6FC294AF@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

Douglas Andrews wrote:

> I just moved into the area and would like any help I can get getting more of
> less any types of frequencies for the area.
>
> Thank you,
> D. Andrews

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3065. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: DALing <DALing43DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fz34uF.Gp7@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 82611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

yes, but - the fact is - it (phone) transmits and the cell tower receives (the tower IS the "cell") The phone arbitrates to receive from a maximum of 1 cell at a time with some swapping during the hand-off itself. (figures out which signal is increasing and which is decreasing and shifts back and forth until the signal is established on the higher signal site) When some people transmit from mountains, they undoubtedly light up several cells at once (not a problem in Kansas or Oklahoma, though). Cell phones assume ground level operation, for some reason ;-)

Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:fDzk5.47$cl.25799@news2.mia...
> I read that cellphones contain circuitry to prevent them from accessing more
> than one cell site at a time. Even if that isn't accurate, a cell phone
> being used on the ground is likely to access more than one cell site at a
> time. But ultimately, the site with the best signal is chosen to complete
> the call, so the number of sites it accesses initially should not matter.
>
> --
> Ken Roberts
> kenr55@bellsouth.net
>
>
> Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam> wrote in message
> news:39922E15.D56ACA99@use.net.nospam...
> > DALing wrote:
> >
> > > There is also the matter of speed - I know of some private pilots who
> > > routinely use cell phones in the air (not much to go wrong in what is a
> > > basic manual aircraft) and they system doesn't seem to be much affected
> by
> > > speeds up to about 200mph or so. Over that you begin jumping between
> cells
> > > too fast for the computer to keep up and would enter the twilight zone
> of
> > > "untracked call". In reality, the ban on airline cell phone calls was
> > > instigated by the network operators to prevent "free" calls (cell links
> once
> > > open stay open until closed by the computer; however, the actual billing

> > > portion needs to have a smooth transition from cell to cell and not
from
> > > cell to another cell 35 miles away. The transmission doesn't
> automatically
> > > activate all cells within transmission range, it just works with the
> cell
> > > it's hooked to and then the system determines what the next cell link
> should
> > > be based on transmission (reception to the cell tower) and connects
them
> in
> > > a "hand off". If there is a number of cells activated at the same
time,
> the
> > > system thinks that they are dealing with a cloned phone (which is why
> phase
> > > linear amplifiers would cause more problems for a user than they would
> > > solve) and either cut off the call or log the intrusion into a "look
up
> > > later" file.
> >
> > Locally, cell phones were used in the early 90's aboard our private
> planes,
> > before the FCC implemented the ban. This was never done under IFR. It
> caused
> > havoc on the network, and this got worse as more cells were being added
> per sq
> > mile. It wasn't a matter of handoffs too quickly, it was more that the
> cells
> > were getting lit up all over the place. The AMPS standard allows for a
> channel
> > to be repeated on non adjacent cells, so airborne use was clogging a
large
> > amount of cells, and preventing the provider from providing service.
> Today, it
> > would be much worse as cells are much smaller, less powerful, and much
> more
> > numerous.
> >
>
>

3066. Re: Frequencies-MN/Twin Cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992CF98.BB35FB60@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

John Rusciano wrote:

> Anyone know of an online source for updated frequencies in the Twin
> Cities/MN?
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> rusciano@att.net

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3067. John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey Watch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8munvmjjq1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey told us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us he was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and find the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes off to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl. Here's what they show me.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick. These are just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months. Thousands of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

I am going to forward this to abuse@home.net his ISP. Maybe they can help stop the one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey from further destroying this and the Ham Radio hobby.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3068. Re: looking for Lynwood Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992D2EA.731502F0@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

warrior5 wrote:

> looking for Lynwood, California Frequency since its not in my Book of
> Frequencies

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3069. Re: NEW frequency for Guttenberg, N.J. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992D34F.8A649B1C@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> I am looking for the NEW frequency for the Guttenberg, N.J. Police
> Department. They used to be on 159.090 with a PL of 167.9, but have
> moved to a UHF frequency which is not yet in the database.
>
> Thanks in advance for the help....
>
> Dan

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3070. Re: Request Fremont, CA public safety freqs, please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992D3C7.18152AF4@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

Warren Brown wrote:

> I'd appreciate it very much if someone who has this info could send it
> to me. My guess is that there was a move to the 800s sometime in the
> not-too-distant past. If so, is it a trunked system?
>
> TIA,
>
> Warren in Fremont
>
> P.S. Mike H. ...you still out there? ;-)

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3071. Re: PCR 1000 & Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frosty3222 <frosty3222@home.com>
Message-ID: <xnBk5.4816$c5.307109@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 82617

Try www.winradio.com with trunk tracking software. Similar radio to the PCR1000.

"Chris Altwegg" <chris@pinpoint.net> wrote in message news:91pk5.85173$V34.815280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Anyone got any leads on whether you can get Trunk Tracking capability when
> you use a PCR 1000, or other similar laptop-driven type device? I'm going
> to be doing some heavy traveling with my laptop over the next year, and love
> radio scanning in the evening. But with more and more police/fire moving to
> trunked systems, it would be great to have that capability also.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Chris
>
> --
> Chris Altwegg | chris@pinpoint.net
> Pinpoint Direct Mktg. Mgmt. | 206-632-3500 (voice)
> 4464 Fremont Ave N; Suite 310 | 206-632-4400 (fax)
> Seattle, WA 98103 | 206-523-6477 (home/cell)
>
>

3072. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <d1k5ps0v3m8g5gn0dkj7opg00fo2aekeo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 82618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 19:18:51 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
<sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:

>> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
>> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
>> was on?
>
>Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
>that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>affected by something as trivial as this.

Yes, tell me, how much OUTPUT are we talking about here. That's
governed by 47CFR15. And by the way, 47CFR15 only sets design limits.
It doesn't guarantee that any given FM receiver will not interfere.

>> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
>> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
>
>No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
>specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
>trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>first place.

You'd better seek further education before you discuss this any
further. Avionics radios aren't really that sophisticated and they
don't know to how to "LOOK" for a signal.

The LO frequencies of most FM broadcast radios are right in the middle
of the band used by the VOR navigation beacons. You can't filter a
leaky LO from the pass-band of a VOR receiver because it is a real
on-frequency signal --not some IMD product.

Did you know that VORs transmit a 30 Hz rotating cardioid antenna
pattern with a reference subcarrier on 9960 Hz +/- 480 Hz FM ? Now,
take a carrier, even a weak carrier from an LO, and mix it together
with a distant VOR signal and what happens?

VOR technology is not as robust as you might first think. It dates to
the early 1950's when "portable" radios were heavy tube powered
affairs. The notion of accidental interference from on-board
entertainment devices probably wasn't on the minds of the engineers.
The standard became quite a success in its day. Since then it has
been deployed all over the world. Now it's really too late to change.

Yes, as a matter of fact, a VOR is highly susceptible to interference. Did you know the FAA has a small fleet of aircraft which periodically recertifies each and every instrument approach in the US? That's one reason why.

Mr. Jacobs, I'm going to reiterate this fact to you one last time before this thread goes to the kill file:

The decision to use any portable electronic device on board an aircraft of any type is not yours to make. That use is prohibited by default and permitted only at the discretion of the pilot in command. Regardless of what you may know or believe of your own radio gear, and of the capabilities of the avionics on board, the word of the pilot in command is final.

I agree with you that it is unlikely your cell-phone would interfere with the avionics on board. But even having said that, I'll be damned if I would let you use it while descending on an ILS in low IFR conditions. And if you poll a reasonable sample of instrument rated pilots, I think you'll discover widespread agreement with this position. The additional risk just isn't worth it.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3073. SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Test 4 Echo <test4echo@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <8muo6usoa1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 82619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel" and I believe they also called it "Channel 8". They have been on the 500 Mhz trunking system and I still hear conversations where they refer to switching to the "Off Duty Channel or Channel 8" Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I assume it is somewhere between this and the 503 MHZ. The frequency is not listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is appreciated.

Dave
(Test 4 Echo)

3074. Re: freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992DB31.37463587@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FREQUENCY CHANNEL
462.5625 FRS Channel 1
462.5875 FRS Channel 2
462.6125 FRS Channel 3
462.6375 FRS Channel 4
462.6625 FRS Channel 5
462.6875 FRS Channel 6
462.7125 FRS Channel 7
467.5625 FRS Channel 8
467.5875 FRS Channel 9
467.6125 FRS Channel 10
467.6375 FRS Channel 11
467.6625 FRS Channel 12
467.6875 FRS Channel 13
467.7125 FRS Channel 14

Yar wrote:

> what frequency does the family radio service operate on? thank you
> --
>
> I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!
>
> YAR509@worldnet.att.net
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html
>
> ---

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3075. Re: 7.5 step for handhelds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3992DE86.9D6B041C@pop.omah.uswest.net>
Source record: 82621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is a strange stepping.
The FAA is recommending an 8.333KHz step.

mike kutcher wrote:

> Are any handheld scanners now available with a 7.5khz step?
> thanks

--
Bill Boltinghouse
ex-USAF Security Service

QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB

Web Sites
http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring

3076. Hurricane Hunters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3992ec54.60870347@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 82622

What shortwwave frequency are the hurricane hunters operating on? It looks like we will have several up each day and i want to listen.

Thanks,
Jfaul@bellsouth.net

3077. Trade OptoTrakker for CD-1 or CD-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-4B3103.13181410082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 82623

I have 2 brand new OptoElectronics OptoTrakkers that I would trade for 2
Connect Systems CD-2's (with LTR) or 3 CD-1's (with no LTR).

I need the larger display of the Connect Systems units, and do not need
the Trunk Tracking capabilities of the OptoTrakkers.

If interested please email me at rich@n9jig.com

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

3078. FA:ICOM IC-R3 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER NEW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Restiaux <auction@mhtradio.com>
Message-ID: <3992F4C1.8746832F@mhtradio.com>
Source record: 82624

ICOM IC-R3 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER NEW!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=406055817

3079. Anaheim USPS freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ey80o7vAAHA.64@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 82625

Hi, I'm looking for the frequencies used in the main USPS Processing and
Distribution facility in Anaheim, Ca. They have a "Maintenance"
and perhaps a "processing" freq.
These are probably UHF (400-420) freqs judging by the Rubber Ducks on their
Ht's.
Thanks, Ken, WDX9MWL

3080. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Osama/bin/login <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <8mv03p$3uo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <3990AB42.EA95A41E@mailco.net>,
Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:

>That's not how I judge it. Technically and Physically it can not
>happen, the fact that it has never happened to me is just more repeated
>evidence to back up that fact.

OK, show the ng where this "FACT" is.

PROVE what you say. I want references to what you claim as "FACT".
NOT an opinion stated more loudly, but "FACT" as you say.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3081. Re: Change To Arizona Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <39930E6A.57E@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 82627

MAC wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the new freq. for Maricopa County Police. They just
> recently changed and I think it is a new trunking system or it might be like
> the military system via a secure system using the current freq. which if it
> is then forget trying to listen to them. Thanks
> >
> >

According to press release, the new system is digital.
They went digital specifically so criminals couldn't listen
into their traffic. It did create one problem. Mutual
aid is no longer available via radio.

3082. railroad monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "michael.kallstrom" <michael.kallstrom@wku.edu>
Message-ID: <3990B824@webmail.wku.edu>
Source record: 82628

I'd appreciate URL's for webpages dealing with railroad monitoring. I'm located in south central Kentucky. Thanks in advance.

Michael

3083. Scanners sell or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <nospam@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <ceFk5.99$4q5.38933@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Almost everything around St. Louis has gone to trunk systems, and I've got a few really nice scanners that I no longer use. I'll sell them outright or possibly trade for any kind of trunk tracking scanner (hand held, base, whatever)... Everything is in excellent condition and works without any known problems.

2 each RS Pro 2039 - An excellent, sensitive, 200 channel, 800mhz, ten scan banks, priority, search, etc....includes 30-54,108-174, 380-512, 806-823.93, 851-868.93, 896-999 among others - and many additional features. I liked this one so much I bought two of 'em... $75 each obo.

Bearcat BC80XLT - 800Mhz - My favorite handheld - 50 Channel scanner, Auto band search (11 bands), 72 hour Memory Backup, AC/DC Operation, Built-in Scan Delay, Channel Lockout, Full-Frequency LCD Display, Keypad Lock, Low Battery Indicator, Manual Channel Access, one touch weather and more... $75.00 obo

Will trade one, two or all three for a trunk tracking scanner of equal value or sell outright.

Thanks,

John

--

Replace nospam with jh-lewis for email reply

3084. Re: John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey Watch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mv8tu$77f$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log,

I received mail today suggesting I forward my complaints to the ARRL. I certainly don't want to join a group that uses this guy as a VE or OO. Here are a few E-mails addys I am using.

Greg Milnes, W7OZ
740 SE 24th Ave
Hillsboro, OR 97123-7286
503-648-6990
w7oz@arrl.org

Vice Director:

James E. Fenstermaker, K9JF
10312 NE 161st Avenue
Vancouver, WA 98682
360-256-1716
k9jf@arrl.org

Use these guys too. Let's all get together and put an end to this creeps presence on here and in Ham radio. He can be left with 80 meters on a summer day.

Timothy

In a previous article, < larson@sofcom.com> writes:
>Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey told
>us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us he
>was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and find
>the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes off
>to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl. Here's
>what they show me.
>
>There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
>There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
>There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
>There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
>There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>

>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
>There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
>There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
>There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
>There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
>Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
>There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
>There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
>According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me toilet-boy
or
>Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick. These
are
>just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months. Thousands
>of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us John
>(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.
>
>I am going to forward this to abuse@home.net his ISP. Maybe they can help
stop
>the one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey from further
>destroying this and the Ham Radio hobby.
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3085. Re: John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey Watch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mv8uo$793$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

I received mail today suggesting I forward my complaints to the ARRL. I certainly don't want to join a group that uses this guy as a VE or OO. Here are a few E-mails addys I am using.

Greg Milnes, W7OZ
740 SE 24th Ave
Hillsboro, OR 97123-7286
503-648-6990
w7oz@arrl.org

Vice Director:

James E. Fenstermaker, K9JF
10312 NE 161st Avenue
Vancouver, WA 98682
360-256-1716
k9jf@arrl.org

Use these guys too. Let's all get together and put an end to this creeps presence on here and in Ham radio. He can be left with 80 meters on a summer day.

Timothy

In a previous article, < larson@sofcom.com> writes:
>Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey told
>us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us he
>was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and find
>the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes off
>to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl. Here's
>what they show me.
>
>There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
>There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
>There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
>There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
>There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>

>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
>There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
>There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
>There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
>There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
>Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
>There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
>There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
>According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me toilet-boy
or
>Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick. These
are
>just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months. Thousands
>of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us John
>(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.
>
>I am going to forward this to abuse@home.net his ISP. Maybe they can help
stop
>the one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey from further
>destroying this and the Ham Radio hobby.
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3086. Re: cloning BC245s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sp68opcan4t168@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check Cheek Vol. 3

Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:nt35ps0l250vnd9irlva8qq8rdbkge1f81@4ax.com...
>
>
> I'm having a problem, and hoped someone might have a fix.
>
> I have 11 245s I'm programming for someone. I have one done,
> and am trying to clone the rest. Unfortunately, it's not working.
>
> I've followed the directions exactly as in the manual, and the transfer
> seems to start, but after about 10-15 seconds, the 'first' radio (the
> programmed one) gives me 'error', and nothing gets transferred.
> The other radio is still flashing 'clone' through all this.
>
>
> Anyone have any ideas??
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

3087. Re: Q. Need Scanner !! Help Choosing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8mv88p$16cs$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82633

You didn't mention what your anticipated price range is. But you should know that portable scanners that cover "ALL" ranges are not cheap. Secondly, you should know that none of them cover "ALL" ranges. -- they all are blocked in the cellular bands.

The Uniden BC 2500xlt and BC 3000xlt are discontinued now.
The Radio Pro-26 is discontinued now. I think the AOR 8000--- series are discontinued now too

Some models to consider are: Alinco DJ-X10T
Icom R-2, Icom R-3, AOR 8200--- series

NONE of these are cheap radios.

cms@macisp.net wrote in message <399231B5.7BB5D43A@macisp.net>...
>I need a scanner, portable, that can cover ALL ranges.. no gaps..
>can some recommend a unit for that.
>
>Regards
>Richard
>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3088. Re: Frequencies-MN/Twin Cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <399325db.435854@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try www.scanfan.com it has several twin cities frequencies

On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 16:51:52 +0100, combatsent@pop.omah.uswest.net
wrote:

> http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM
>
>John Rusciano wrote:
>
>> Anyone know of an online source for updated frequencies in the Twin
>> Cities/MN?
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> --
>> rusciano@att.net
>
>--
>Bill Boltinghouse
>ex-USAF Security Service
>
>QTH: Council Bluffs Iowa --- 10 miles north of Offutt AFB
>
>Web Sites
>http://www.users.uswest.net/~combatsent
>http://users3.50megs.com/combatsent/
>http://users3.50megs.com/superspy/
>http://www.geocities.com/combat_sent_bill/
>http://www.egroups.com/group/militarymonitoring
>
>

3089. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <399329b9.17119581@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 82635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>hey chuckie, how are you enjoying your new radio license? are you
>behaving yourself on the ham bands, that are now available to
>you?,...cd is out......
>
>

Yes, I am. Thanks for asking.

Doing PSK31 Alot, one of the most amazing and easy modes of Operating ever.

go to http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa and check out the link to psk31 info page, to find out about it.

I'm also getting on 75 meters, not very often, as I'm working alot of hours, and just don't have alot of time on my hands. and for the record, CD, It's Chuck, Not chuckie... I'll tell you what, you call me chuck and not chuckie and I'll call you CD and not Nigger boy. Deal?

73

-Chuck K8CPA
http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa
PSK31 Mailing list at http://psk31.listbot.com

3090. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <399331EB.E0C5C6B4@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region. A harmonic of this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.

Cortland Richmond

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 19:18:51 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
> wrote:
>
> >> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
> >> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
> >> was on?
> >
> >Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
> >that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
> >let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
> >affected by something as trivial as this.
> >
> >> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
> >> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
> >
> >No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
> >specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
> >trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
> >first place.
>
> Hmmm. I think you're mistaken in a few ways:
>
> One, you keep saying "tape player". Granted that's what the NASA report
> said, but most portable "tape players" have FM radios too. I agree with
> you that a "tape player" by itself has no reason to contain any
> RF-generating components, but assume for a moment that these had an "FM
> radio" function and were being used as such.
>
> Now realize that the IF frequency of FM radios is 10.7 MHz, and they use
> high-side injection. The VOR band is, it says here, 108 to 117.95 MHz.
> So if the FM radio is tuned between about 97 and 107 MHz, its LO frequency
> *is* in the VOR band, and the aircraft equipment IS looking for that
> frequency...
>
> Next, distance: The receiving antenna is on the outside of the hull. Not
> near the cockpit (those are just readouts) but near the receivers, which

> are in the belly of the aircraft. We're on the inside... maybe fairly
> close to the antenna. The distance might be far less than "the next
> room". It just has to go out the window.
>
> That incident is from quite some time ago; you'll find that older
> equipment consumes quite a bit more power and so generates more stray RF
> than current digital-FM-receiver-on-a-chip designs. Running the test you
> describe with modern FM radios isn't meaningful.
>
> Sure, it's still just stray RF and weak. Then again, the VOR transmitter
> is many miles farther away. Maybe up to 100 miles away.
>
> As a ham, you are concerned with not interfering with neighbors' radio and
> tv reception, so you know perfectly well that a wire (such as a headphone
> lead) that runs out from a gadget like an FM radio can act as a
> transmitting antenna for any stray RF generated inside the case. These
> wires are of course supposed to be bypassed to shunt any such RF to
> ground. What if the bypass caps were open? You seem to be generally
> assuming that all consumer equipment behaves correctly at all times.
>
> Finally -- VOR isn't a simple radio receiving system. If we were talking
> about voice comms, I'd agree with you, there's no way that the weak LO
> signal from an FM radio could blot out an AM voice channel.
>
> But VOR is much more subtle than that; it does not rely on conventional
> RDF (signal strength) techniques. You can read for yourself a capsule
> description of how it works at
> http://www.spiceisle.com/homepages/george-er/VOR.html . Briefly the
> receiver is measuring the phase difference between two signals transmitted
> on the same frequency; this phase difference varies according to the
> bearing from the VOR to the aircraft. It does not seem to me to be at all
> farfetched to believe that a weak signal near the receiving antenna, on or
> even NEAR the VOR carrier frequency, could cause false readings.
>
> It would be really interesting to find out where that a/c was, the freq of
> the VOR transmitter in question, and the freqs of any nearby FM stations
> those radios might have been tuned to.
>
> Here's another URL you might check out:
>
> http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html
>
> --- jeh

3091. Re: (Corky Watch) Re: problems (was call riley)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8mvcacjeb1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This is beginning to sound like the BARF v. anti-BARF feud!

In article <b_Oi5.2108$x31.359815@news.uswest.net>, "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
> KS0F AKA Corky spewed.....
>> Since he is the owner & trustee, he would be the one to inform &
>> initiate any necessary actions.
>
> There were no actions to iniate Corky-John, all the people ignored you and
> you went away. They called me, I got on the repeater and we laughed our
> asses off as some of the guys told me what happened.
>
>> If there was substance to your statements,
>> you would have done so long ago.
>
> Again, why would we bother Jon over a simple fools action like yours. Come
> up here and do it a few more times and we might take issue, but more than
> likely everyone will laugh again. You hold a special recognition in this
> hobby as a fool and a liar John-Corky Mackey.
>
> Flop goes your straw man again John-Corky. Posted and verified AGAIN, you
> are a liar and can never face up to the truth.
>
> Guess its time to have Richard ad this onto the LONG list of John-Corky
> Mackey's lie list. How many verified lies does he have you pegged dead to
> rights? 36? This will make 37 Corky.
>
> Lies go on! Corky-John!
>
>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote >
>> > Why bother Jon? What difference would it
>> > have made? You still are a repeater jamming and burning up fool. Telling
>> the
>> > owner would do nothing, but I will mention it to him if that makes you
>> feel
>>
>>
>
>

3092. Re: Q. Need Scanner !! Help Choosing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <sp6d45cvn4t106@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82638

Can pick up a new Sony IFC-SC1 (recently discontinued) for around $130.00 - $150.00, or the same radio with PC control for around the $200.00 range. Maybe you can find even better prices on these, but these are from sources that I know have some stock now.

Jim

James Shelton wrote in message
<8mv88p$16cs$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>You didn't mention what your anticipated price range is. But you should
>know that portable scanners that cover "ALL" ranges are not cheap.
>Secondly, you should know that none of them cover "ALL" ranges. -- they all
>are blocked in the cellular bands.
>
>The Uniden BC 2500xlt and BC 3000xlt are discontinued now.
>The Radio Pro-26 is discontinued now. I think the AOR 8000--- series are
>discontinued now too
>
>Some models to consider are: Alinco DJ-X10T
>Icom R-2, Icom R-3, AOR 8200--- series
>
>NONE of these are cheap radios.
>
>cms@macisp.net wrote in message <399231B5.7BB5D43A@macisp.net>...
>>I need a scanner, portable, that can cover ALL ranges.. no gaps..
>>can some recommend a unit for that.
>>
>>Regards
>>Richard
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

3093. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39ac33ee.1028788750@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 15:51:23 -0700, Cortland Richmond
<Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:

>Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and
>to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.

That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.

> A harmonic of
>this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.

IMO this is much more unlikely than the FM LO theory. The LO fundamental
could be *on* the VOR carrier freq. You're talking about an oscillator
that's normally around 200 kHz and which is applied to the head in amounts
measured in tens of millivolts; furthermore, it's generated as a fairly
pure sine wave! The output at the 540th harmonic and beyond just isn't
going to be detectable.

Also, if we're talking about Walkman-style units, "tape players" with FM
radios are fairly common, but "tape players" with a record function are
like hen's teeth.

Now if it's a "boombox" style, *most* of those *do* include a record
function, but I still don't believe the output at 108 MHz and up would be
measurable above the background noise.

--- jeh

3094. Speech Inversion Descrambling/Scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000810194132.10123.00000297@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 82640

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3095. Re: DWM Communications - Terroristic Organization!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <39933E32.6F6A292E@innocent.com>
Source record: 82641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Newswatch1 wrote:
>
> All,
>
> The company DWM communications is located on the world wide Web, at
> this URL:
>
> http://www.qth.com/dwm
>
> They sell the following products:
>
> Tiny Tenna
> QRP CW Rig
> Signal Trap
> Antenna Tuner
>
[...]

I did an IP search; and it is interesting to note that the
referenced message above, attacking DWM, was posted by a user at
"onlyporn.com."

As for myself, my HF antenna is 150 feet in length, so I suppose it
is a "Giant-Tenna."

With all good wishes,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

3096. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3993516B.79A2741E@iname.com>
Source record: 82642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Osama/bin/login wrote:

> In article <3990AB42.EA95A41E@mailco.net>,
> Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:
>
> >That's not how I judge it. Technically and Physically it can not
> >happen, the fact that it has never happened to me is just more repeated
> >evidence to back up that fact.
>
> OK, show the ng where this "FACT" is.
>
> PROVE what you say. I want references to what you claim as "FACT".
> NOT an opinion stated more loudly, but "FACT" as you say.

Is it a FACT that you are a human being? Show the newsgroup where THAT fact is! Try to PROVE that! Not such an easy or light task, is it? I do not need to waste hours of time finding the research and retyping it here just to satisfy you in order for the fact to remain true.

If you choose not to believe this, although I can't understand why, since if you knew anything about radio and rf you could not possibly take the defense you are, that will not affect me in the least. I am not going to waste an hour finding the material for you. Why don't YOU do some research yourself on this subject and see what you find? Could prove interesting!

3097. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3993502E.D5C8A5E@iname.com>
Source record: 82643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> >> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
> >> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
> >> was on?
> >
> >Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
> >that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
> >let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
> >affected by something as trivial as this.
> >
> >> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
> >> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
> >
> >No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
> >specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
> >trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
> >first place.
>
> Hmmm. I think you're mistaken in a few ways:
>
> One, you keep saying "tape player". Granted that's what the NASA report
> said, but most portable "tape players" have FM radios too. I agree with
> you that a "tape player" by itself has no reason to contain any
> RF-generating components, but assume for a moment that these had an "FM
> radio" function and were being used as such.
>
> Now realize that the IF frequency of FM radios is 10.7 MHz, and they use
> high-side injection. The VOR band is, it says here, 108 to 117.95 MHz.
> So if the FM radio is tuned between about 97 and 107 MHz, its LO frequency
> *is* in the VOR band, and the aircraft equipment IS looking for that
> frequency...

OK, let us assume all of this... My answer is the same as above. I will paste it here for you to read again CAREFULLY...

>that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>affected by something as trivial as this.
>
>Try taking a FM RADIO RECEIVER and go into the next room with a receiver
>specifically TRYING to receive anything from the FM RADIO RECEIVER. After you give up
>trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>first place.

3098. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com>
Message-ID: <399353B6.5734D9F7@iname.com>
Source record: 82644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

> >Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and
> >to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.
>
> That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
> and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.

Try "looking" at those signals on a radio receiver 10 feet away. (with another desired signal on that same frequency) You won't be able to SEE it at all.

> > A harmonic of
> >this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.

ABSOLUTELY NOT.

Another important thing to note. even if you brought a high powered transmitter aboard a plane that you built to specifically transmit on the frequencies in question and to cause massive interference.... guess what... the plane would STILL NOT CRASH!!! A pilot surely knows how to fly and land a plane with interference to these receivers! They could get interference from lighting or could go out at any time.

So... there is no way any consumer device (other than perhaps an electric razor) will cause any interference to the aircraft receivers, AND if there was, it would still not matter.

If you have a GPS receiver in your car, and you have a rule that no passengers can bring any
plastic cups in your car. Even if the plastic cup caused interference to your GPS receiver, you would not crash your car.

CASE CLOSED.

3099. Re: r7000 dispay goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <399356D6.8BB2A983@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82645

Look at the Icom service notes; they had one about display problems on
the 7000.

Cortland
(la5s@saber.net)

optiscan wrote:

> I have an old r7000 and even after the memory battery was changed the
> freq and channel number goes away if it has been off for a while. The
> radio seems to function ok otherwise. Reloaded the memory via cat and
> that also worked fine. I suspect a cap somewhere in the dispay
> section. Has anyone else fixed this problem, and how did you do it?
>
> Norm WB6SHI

3100. Re: Open Letter to KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399358DE.290149E3@primenet.com>
Source record: 82646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > For nearly five years now John, you have inflicted your petty
> > and vile presence on the Internet in the guise of saving it from,
>
> That is only YOUR perverted opinion.

You find anyone who sees through your fradulent facade as perverted.
It's a symptom of you psychosis.

> I simply disagreed with him on a topic, for which he banished me. At that
> time,

You were banished for being a jerk. Nothing new there.

> I never attacked Cheek. However, I have held him accountable
> for his actions as would be done for any adult.

And many people in the newsgroups, including myself, are holding you
accountable for your despicable behavior.

> > demonstration of your sociopathic personality.
>
> Please present your verifiable qualifications to make an assessment of
> mental issues.

Do you really want to know, Toilet Boy? How bad? If you'd like to
know about my psychology degrees, first you post the information about
your so called degrees, and if it's verifiable, I'll post my
information.

> > Responsible backbone Internet providers have a method of dealing
> > with rogue ISPs called the Usenet Death Penalty (UDP). This action
> > is reserved for ISPs that continue to allow their users to spam
> > and otherwise disrupt the tranquil interactions of the normal
> > users. UDP is real and has been recently used against the provider
>
> Be careful, Richard Kendrick, this could be used on YOU!

Not from you or any of those dolt parg buddies of yours.

3101. Re: Battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Missileer <secrest_brad@usa.net>
Message-ID: <8msvh1$k7i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82673

Chargers for NiCd and NiMH sense a characteristic voltage 'hump' so they know when to shut off. Using one to charge any other chemistry will result in overcharge which generates heat and gas. Over charge enough and BOOM (as someone else in this thread found out). You might try one of the other commercial chargers for rechargeable alkalines. You should be able to get one a Wal-Mart, KMart, Target, Radio Shack, etc.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3102. Re: Can anyone give me a site address with good scanner/receiver reviews in it please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <t0f3psovh8jmn4n6q0k6fimfk3e7fscoq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 82674

On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 20:41:02 GMT, tiny <tiny2nz@home.com> wrote:

>Bruce try
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
>TiNy

Cheers Tiny - Appreciate that - That's a good site,,, plenty of reading to be done... Nowadays I hardly buy any magazines because of the price of the darned things but I still enjoy a good article or two.
Thanks..... Bruce

3103. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3991F085.63FD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82675

Another candidate for the Darwin Awards!

Rich wrote:
>
> Gunman accidentally kills himself
> IRVINE, August 9 ☐ A man unwittingly took his
> own life today, when he fired a bullet into the
> cheek of a colleague while holding him in a
> headlock, shooting himself in the chest, police
> said.
>
> The shooting at the premises occupied by Power
> Wave
> Technologies, which manufactures
> wireless-communications
> products, involved two employees of that business,
> said
> Irvine police Sgt. Tim Smith.
> "There was apparently some kind of argument at
> Power
> Wave," at 2004 McGaw Street, Smith said, adding
> that
> police were called about the incident at 12:40
> a.m.
> "Apparently they were working together. Both were
> employees of the business."
> One of the men apparently tried to kill the
> other, grabbing
> him in a headlock and firing at point blank range
> in a bid to
> shoot him in the head, Smith said. The bullet went
> through
> the intended victim's cheek and into the shooter's
> chest,
> killing him, Smith said.
> The man who fired the gun was pronounced dead
> at
> Irvine Medical Center, Smith said. The other man
> was
> taken to Western Medical Center in Santa Ana,
> where his
> condition is not known. Their names were not
> immediately

> released.
> Police were called to investigate the incident
> at about
> 12:40 this morning.

--
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998

3104. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3992000D.9313B5F6@home.com>
Source record: 82676

Just another case of unintentional suicide. :-)

3105. Re: Icom pcr 1000 replacement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Altwegg <chris@pinpoint.net>
Message-ID: <7znk5.85120$V34.810257@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82677

You might also look at the TenTec RX-320 PC Radio - $295.00 factory direct

http://www.tentec.com/

--
--
Chris Altwegg | chris@pinpoint.net
Pinpoint Direct Mktg. Mgmt. | 206-632-3500 (voice)
4464 Fremont Ave N; Suite 310 | 206-632-4400 (fax)
Seattle, WA 98103 | 206-523-6477 (home/cell)
"phoenix18" <opticfiber18@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3_Hj5.13391$f_5.66370@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> Hello every one,
> I was curious if any one had any idea if there
was
> any other product that does what the Icom pcr 1000 can do, meaning is
> remotly controled via PC and covers such a broad range of Freq's if any
one
> has any input it would be much appreteated. Thanks.
>
> Bill R.
>
>

3106. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <EKnk5.70372$oj6.722742@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82678

Here in N. Central Tx. we used to have a hick broadcaster. He used to say
partly cloudy just like he was Forrest Gump.

George W. White <deeplyshrouded@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8mspe5$p2t$0@216.39.130.69...
>
> Actually, he sounds like the old Dr. Sbaitso program that came with
> the Soundblaster cards.
> Here in Seattle, he sounds almost sorry when he says "Puget Sound Area"
> Made me and my wife laugh first time we heard it.
> She said he sounded like he was going to cry! hahahahaha
>
> --Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
> --Central Control! D-Dial #49
>
>
>
>
> doug wrote:
>
> > Sounds like he is from Macintosh...Sounds pretty much like the stock
> > macintalk text to speach voice (or whatever the newer version is
> > called).
> >
> > My fiance always cracks up about how bummed it sounds while saying
> > "Partly cloudy" like he is really dissapointed about it.
> >
> > "R. Makul K1XV" wrote:
> > >
> > > I always thought he sounded like he was from India.
> > >
> > > Ray K1XV
>

3107. Re: Recommendation against hams was:Re: Thanks John!! I am gonna go for it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <HFnk5.85126$V34.810913@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Radio Insanity" <beansnfrank@aol.com> wrote
> Don't you just love these ham op's who are so full
> of themselves, or somethin else, that the are compelled
> to send 350Kb long postings to newsgroups of
> stupid certificates,

You really are the group fool, aren't you?

To idiot (Glenn Hansen KB7PKC) attempted to say I was under investigation
for illegal activities regarding my status as an examineer for FCC licenses.
I proved him
wrong my posting a copy of my updated Examineer certificate mailed less than
2 weeks ago.

If you don't like the thread, don't click on the subject, FOOL!

> Went to Dayton the first time this year. Looking for test gear.
> Thought I was at a cross between a gathering of "Revenge of The Nerds"
> hollywood extras and a unification Church gathering. I was quite amused

If you don't like being around hams, WHY would you go to the LARGEST ham
radio convention in the world?

3108. Re: Airline Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <a0ok5.11884$gW5.765242@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82680

First Aeroband receiver I ever had was made from an old TV tuner with
cobbled Ch. 7 coils. The output tank after the converter was padded down to
16.5 MHz or so and the signal connected to my BC-348. Not really stable,
but it worked.

NEL KA2TYT

Walker wrote in message <0019f29b.9129d083@usw-ex0104-087.remarq.com>...
>You know, unfortunately most scanners are complete shit as far
>as picking up airline frequencies (poor sensitivity and rotten
>dynamic range). 118-137 MHz is all AM mode, and scanners do not
>do well at all in AM mode, since everything else is WFM. They
>are not really designed for AM reception.
>
>I have a Drake SW-8 shortwave receiver ($800) and it kicks my
>BC235XLT up, down, left, sideways and frontways as far as how it
>does on the airline freqs. That's because a shortwave receiver
>is primarily AM mode and so it is designed for AM, and works
>best with AM.
>
>W.
>
>----
>Look past the ego, and you will see,
>what dwells within is God, not "me."
>
>---
>
>Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
>Up to 100 minutes free!
>http://www.keen.com
>

3109. Re: Oooops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulbryan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000809223842.17293.00000448@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 82681

I think there is something toungue in cheek about this.

paul

3110. Re: Signal/Antenna preamp info needed...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <OLok5.70564$oj6.728300@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Stridsberg makes a preamp specifically for 800 Mhz. I have not
personally tried it (signals strong enough in the area) but I do use
the MCA204 which allows connecting up to 4 four scanners and
the FM broadcast notch filter (strong FM stations in the area).

http://www.stridsberg.com/prod03.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"jh-lewis" <jh-lewis@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:IBfk5.13$u16.8723@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> I'm wanting to pick up a good outside (mast mounted) preamp for 800mhz and
> would appreciate recommendations as to what to buy...
>
> I'm going to take this amplified signal and split it for 3 scanners
located
> in different parts of the house - using (I guess) RG-6, so I'll need a
good
> amp.
>
> Thanks for any assistance,
>
> Jerry
>
>

3111. PCR 1000 & Trunk Tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Altwegg <chris@pinpoint.net>
Message-ID: <91pk5.85173$V34.815280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82683

Anyone got any leads on whether you can get Trunk Tracking capability when you use a PCR 1000, or other similar laptop-driven type device? I'm going to be doing some heavy traveling with my laptop over the next year, and love radio scanning in the evening. But with more and more police/fire moving to trunked systems, it would be great to have that capability also.

Thanks!

Chris

--
Chris Altwegg | chris@pinpoint.net
Pinpoint Direct Mktg. Mgmt. | 206-632-3500 (voice)
4464 Fremont Ave N; Suite 310 | 206-632-4400 (fax)
Seattle, WA 98103 | 206-523-6477 (home/cell)

3112. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a5070b.951762241@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 19:18:51 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
wrote:

>> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
>> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
>> was on?
>
>Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
>that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>affected by something as trivial as this.
>
>> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
>> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
>
>No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
>specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
>trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>first place.

Hmmm. I think you're mistaken in a few ways:

One, you keep saying "tape player". Granted that's what the NASA report said, but most portable "tape players" have FM radios too. I agree with you that a "tape player" by itself has no reason to contain any RF-generating components, but assume for a moment that these had an "FM radio" function and were being used as such.

Now realize that the IF frequency of FM radios is 10.7 MHz, and they use high-side injection. The VOR band is, it says here, 108 to 117.95 MHz. So if the FM radio is tuned between about 97 and 107 MHz, its LO frequency *is* in the VOR band, and the aircraft equipment IS looking for that frequency...

Next, distance: The receiving antenna is on the outside of the hull. Not near the cockpit (those are just readouts) but near the receivers, which are in the belly of the aircraft. We're on the inside... maybe fairly close to the antenna. The distance might be far less than "the next room". It just has to go out the window.

That incident is from quite some time ago; you'll find that older equipment consumes quite a bit more power and so generates more stray RF than current digital-FM-receiver-on-a-chip designs. Running the test you describe with modern FM radios isn't meaningful.

Sure, it's still just stray RF and weak. Then again, the VOR transmitter is many miles farther away. Maybe up to 100 miles away.

As a ham, you are concerned with not interfering with neighbors' radio and tv reception, so you know perfectly well that a wire (such as a headphone lead) that runs out from a gadget like an FM radio can act as a transmitting antenna for any stray RF generated inside the case. These wires are of course supposed to be bypassed to shunt any such RF to ground. What if the bypass caps were open? You seem to be generally assuming that all consumer equipment behaves correctly at all times.

Finally -- VOR isn't a simple radio receiving system. If we were talking about voice comms, I'd agree with you, there's no way that the weak LO signal from an FM radio could blot out an AM voice channel.

But VOR is much more subtle than that; it does it rely on conventional RDF (signal strength) techniques. You can read for yourself a capsule description of how it works at http://www.spiceisle.com/homepages/george-er/VOR.html . Briefly the receiver is measuring the phase difference between two signals transmitted on the same frequency; this phase difference varies according to the bearing from the VOR to the aircraft. It does not seem to me to be at all farfetched to believe that a weak signal near the receiving antenna, on or even NEAR the VOR carrier frequency, could cause false readings.

It would be really interesting to find out where that a/c was, the freq of the VOR transmitter in question, and the freqs of any nearby FM stations those radios might have been tuned to.

Here's another URL you might check out:

http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html

--- jeh

3113. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a81f9b.958049732@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 19:18:51 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net>
wrote:

>> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
>> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
>> was on?
>
>Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
>that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>affected by something as trivial as this.
>
>> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
>> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
>
>No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
>specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
>trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>first place.

Hmmm. I think you're mistaken in a few ways:

One, you keep saying "tape player". Granted that's what the NASA report said, but most portable "tape players" have FM radios too. I agree with you that a "tape player" by itself has no reason to contain any RF-generating components, but assume for a moment that these had an "FM radio" function and were being used as such.

Now realize that the IF frequency of FM radios is 10.7 MHz, and they use high-side injection. The VOR band is, it says here, 108 to 117.95 MHz. So if the FM radio is tuned between about 97 and 107 MHz, its LO frequency *is* in the VOR band, and the aircraft equipment IS looking for that frequency...

Next, distance: The receiving antenna is on the outside of the hull. Not near the cockpit (those are just readouts) but near the receivers, which are in the belly of the aircraft. We're on the inside... maybe fairly close to the antenna. The distance might be far less than "the next room". It just has to go out the window.

That incident is from quite some time ago; you'll find that older equipment consumes quite a bit more power and so generates more stray RF than current digital-FM-receiver-on-a-chip designs. Running the test you describe with modern FM radios isn't meaningful.

Sure, it's still just stray RF and weak. Then again, the VOR transmitter is many miles farther away. Maybe up to 100 miles away.

As a ham, you are concerned with not interfering with neighbors' radio and tv reception, so you know perfectly well that a wire (such as a headphone lead) that runs out from a gadget like an FM radio can act as a transmitting antenna for any stray RF generated inside the case. These wires are of course supposed to be bypassed to shunt any such RF to ground. What if the bypass caps were open? You seem to be generally assuming that all consumer equipment behaves correctly at all times.

Finally -- VOR isn't a simple radio receiving system. If we were talking about voice comms, I'd agree with you, there's no way that the weak LO signal from an FM radio could blot out an AM voice channel.

But VOR is much more subtle than that; it does not rely on conventional RDF (signal strength) techniques. You can read for yourself a capsule description of how it works at http://www.spiceisle.com/homepages/george-er/VOR.html . Briefly the receiver is measuring the phase difference between two signals transmitted on the same frequency; this phase difference varies according to the bearing from the VOR to the aircraft. It does not seem to me to be at all farfetched to believe that a weak signal near the receiving antenna, on or even NEAR the VOR carrier frequency, could cause false readings.

It would be really interesting to find out where that a/c was, the freq of the VOR transmitter in question, and the freqs of any nearby FM stations those radios might have been tuned to.

Here's another URL you might check out:

http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html

--- jeh

3114. Please! can someone post or e-mail GRE data mgr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beaver <fordparts@wycol.com>
Message-ID: <399222be$1@news.pcom.net>
Source record: 82686

please can someone post or send gre data mgr and ser and key,or just ser#
and key i have demo

3115. TORONTO-f.s. metro police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jp <jpotoma@home.com>
Message-ID: <Gxpk5.116603$7I1.2226860@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 82687

for sale: one kenwood tk940 commercial two-way radio
programmed with 32 channels of the main divisions of
the toronto police. this unit has supurb sensitivity and selectivity.
this unit scans and can lockout unwanted channels/ comes with
manual, mounting bracket and power cord. this is a mobile
unit it has no microphone and the transmitter has been disabled
$325.00 canadian.

for sale: one GE tmx 8025 commercial two-way radio
programmed with same channels as above. this has the
same sensitivity and selectivity, this is a mobile unit but does not
scan. no microphone and transmitter has been disabled.
$135.00 canadian

for sale: one bearcat trunktracker bc245xlt perfect condition
with original box, manual, charger and rubber duck antenna
$300.00 canadian

for sale: one radio shack pro2033, a base scanner with 30
channels, covers vhf-lo, hi, and uhf.
have search and weather search. $25.00canadian

i live in the hamilton-toronto area

thanks
jpotoma@home.com

3116. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Yxpk5.85322$V34.816270@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The grammar was TERRIBLE!!

"Greg S." <gregs932@aol.nospam> wrote
> It was plain English

3117. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <pzpk5.85327$V34.815874@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please present your proof that the statement you target was a lie within
48 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

"Greg S." <gregs932@aol.nospam> wrote
> And there's, yet another, to add to the long list of lies you spew on a
daily
> basis.

3118. Re: frequency counter on airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <39922CAE.20F83EBE@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Dan Larsen wrote:

> Quite true, but the way the poster phrased he scenario, it was quite
> legal. "Money changing hands" was his stipulation, IIRC.

Negative, that's not what I said. I said, "once you take somebody's money..." If you are sharing flight expenses, you are not taking somebody's money, and you [the pilot] are also paying for a portion of the flight's cost. That is not the same as a flight operated for hire. Now, of course there are ops where a pilot takes money for hire under 14 CFR 91, and excercises privileges granted by a commercial pilot certificate (or better) and a second class medical certificate (or better). But I submit to you that these ops do not take place under conditions where take off minimums (originally a subject earlier in this thread) would apply.

3119. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <39922E15.D56ACA99@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

DALing wrote:

> There is also the matter of speed - I know of some private pilots who
> routinely use cell phones in the air (not much to go wrong in what is a
> basic manual aircraft) and they system doesn't seem to be much affected by
> speeds up to about 200mph or so. Over that you begin jumping between cells
> too fast for the computer to keep up and would enter the twilight zone of
> "untracked call". In reality, the ban on airline cell phone calls was
> instigated by the network operators to prevent "free" calls (cell links once
> open stay open until closed by the computer; however, the actual billing
> portion needs to have a smooth transition from cell to cell and not from
> cell to another cell 35 miles away. The transmission doesn't automatically
> activate all cells within transmission range, it just works with the cell
> it's hooked to and then the system determines what the next cell link should
> be based on transmission (reception to the cell tower) and connects them in
> a "hand off". If there is a number of cells activated at the same time, the
> system thinks that they are dealing with a cloned phone (which is why phase
> linear amplifiers would cause more problems for a user than they would
> solve) and either cut off the call or log the intrusion into a "look up
> later" file.

Locally, cell phones were used in the early 90's aboard our private planes, before the FCC implemented the ban. This was never done under IFR. It caused havoc on the network, and this got worse as more cells were being added per sq mile. It wasn't a matter of handoffs too quickly, it was more that the cells were getting lit up all over the place. The AMPS standard allows for a channel to be repeated on non adjacent cells, so airborne use was clogging a large amount of cells, and preventing the provider from providing service. Today, it would be much worse as cells are much smaller, less powerful, and much more numerous.

3120. Q. Need Scanner !! Help Choosing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: cms@macisp.net
Message-ID: <399231B5.7BB5D43A@macisp.net>
Source record: 82692

I need a scanner, portable, that can cover ALL ranges.. no gaps..
can some recommend a unit for that.

Regards
Richard

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3121. Change To Arizona Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Your Name <Thule-APJ@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bUqk5.5278$4T.309897@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82693

Does anyone know the new freq. for Maricopa County Police. They just recently changed and I think it is a new trunking system or it might be like the military system via a secure system using the current freq. which if it is then forget trying to listen to them. Thanks

3122. Change To Arizona Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAC <Thule-APJ@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mWqk5.5279$4T.311865@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82694

Does anyone know the new freq. for Maricopa County Police. They just recently changed and I think it is a new trunking system or it might be like the military system via a secure system using the current freq. which if it is then forget trying to listen to them. Thanks
>
>

3123. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mtkhg$6gn$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,,newsone.log

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Please present your proof that the statement you target was a lie within
>48 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

What is your major malfunction? All I see is you ranting the same goofy lines.
Do you have the above on a Macro-Key?

What is behind many names? Toilet-Boy. Corky? Looney? Bed-Pan Changer Smokey?

I think I will look into John Mackey a bit further.

What is this (John-Corky watch)?????

Tim Larson

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3124. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8mtkid$6h8$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,,newsone.log

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Please present your proof that the statement you target was a lie within
>48 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

What is your major malfunction? All I see is you ranting the same goofy lines.
Do you have the above on a Macro-Key?

What is behind many names? Toilet-Boy. Corky? Looney? Bed-Pan Changer Smokey?

I think I will look into John Mackey a bit further.

What is this (John-Corky watch)?????

Tim Larson

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3125. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <6IYk5.88999$V34.849752@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote

> Your "degree" is mail order,

Wrong. If you still want to push this, please provide your verifiable proof
within 48 hours
or be found to be a liar by default.

> your military experience consists of PLO, Permanent Latrine Orderly,

Wrong again, the branch of service where I have my experience did/does NOT
have anything called a "latrine"! OBVIOUSLY YOU HAVE NO MILITARY
EXPERIENCE, or you wouldn't have needed to be told!!!!!!!

> and the FCC tests can be memorized, easily.

I'm glad you admit that. Now we know how you got your licenses!

3126. Re: Open Letter to Blake Bosers, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <_LYk5.89001$V34.850084@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82498
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> KS0F wrote:
> > Fools like Blake B were the only ones left. Cheek actually honored me
> > by kicking me off.
>
> Now, John, you little shit, I have been quite about your obvious
> rantings, lies, and mistruths. And, yet, you bring my name into
> your little spat?

Blake - I never specifically mentioned your name, or was it the description
of "FOOLS" convinced I was speaking of you? Please explain.

> You know that you are the most despised person on the radio
> related newsgroups,

Please present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to
be a
liar by default.

> you have been caught in numerous lies,

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you want to push this,
then
present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to be a
liar by default.

3127. Re: what's up with Blake Bowers? was:Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <fNYk5.89002$V34.850326@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.firefighters

None of the statments you have made below are true. Please present
your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to be a
liar by default.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, you despicable liar.
>
> You were asked to leave FIDO,
>
> You were asked to leave the INTERNET
>
> You were asked to leave the human race!

3128. Re: Open Letter to Blake Bosers, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougslug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8n1nnt$5h4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

In article <_LYk5.89001$V34.850084@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > KS0F wrote:
> > > Fools like Blake B were the only ones left. Cheek actually
> > > honored me by kicking me off.
> >
> > Now, John, you little shit, I have been quite about your obvious
> > rantings, lies, and mistruths. And, yet, you bring my name into
> > your little spat?
>
> Blake - I never specifically mentioned your name, or was it the
> description of "FOOLS" convinced I was speaking of you? Please
> explain.
>
> > You know that you are the most despised person on the radio
> > related newsgroups,
>
> Please present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be
> found to be a liar by default.
>
> > you have been caught in numerous lies,
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you want to push
> this, then present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or
> be found to be a liar by default.
>

Proof or not, Mackey, it is clear to anyone with a mental age over 12
(i.e., everyone here but you) that you are a hateful, half-witted
psychotic with far too much time on your hands. "Verifiable" would
imply that someone other than yourself would give a damn about you long
enough to check the facts presented, and I doubt such a person exists.

And, here, I'll save you the trouble:

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> is going to write:
Please present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be
found to be a liar by default.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3129. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <39947758.50080BE1@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 82501

If there is no metal in the roof/ceiling area then you will not loose
much signal. The height advantage will be the most of what you loose.

CDG wrote:
>
> Hello
>
> I am unable to put my discone antenna up outside of the building where I
> live, if I put it in the roof (between the ceiling and the roof tiles) will
> I be loosing allot of the signal strength?
>
> Brendan

3130. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: fyrphoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000811185618.16040.00001297@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 82502

It also comes down to agency preference. I'm a firefighter for a mid-size fire department (17 engines/4 trucks) that just put a new 800 MHz digital trunking system in service about 6 months ago. The entire system was set up like you suggested, but the police department (500 officers) complained, and said that they needed to be able to talk over one another. Go figure...

3131. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39947a82.6179814@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via Shortwave,
this post is WAY off topic here.

On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 13:19:30 -0400, "Test 4 Echo"
<test4echo@monmouth.com> wrote:

>For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has
>used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel" and I believe they also
>called it "Channel 8". They have been on the 500 Mhz trunking system and I
>still hear conversations where they refer to switching to the "Off Duty
>Channel or Channel 8" Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I
>assume it is somewhere between this and the 503 MHZ. The frequency is not
>listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer
>version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is
>appreciated.
>
>Dave
>(Test 4 Echo)
>
>
>

3132. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0008111913570.779-100000@dana.randomc.com>
Source record: 82504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I listen to them all the time, I am blind, so that makes it all right
then.

On Sun, 6 Aug 2000, Peter Maus wrote:

> Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2000 22:03:57 GMT
> From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.amateur.equipment
> Subject: Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio
> stations
>
>
>
> Norman Litsche wrote:
> >
> > Nope. As the original law was written, monitoring of all subcarriers was
> > forbidden. When it was pointed out to the KongressKlunks that TV sound and
> > FM Stereo worked on subcarriers, the law was rewritten to exclude only those
> > subcarriers and the standard FM subcarrier for Public Service (reading for
> > the blind mostly) at 91 KHz. The biggest SCA user was Muzak (67KHz) and
> > since they went microwave the impetus to beat up on people monitoring
> > subcarriers went away but it's still illegal.
> >
>
> That's correct. And subcarriers on FM are not totally unused. Some
> stations have several. Most of the programming is available by
> subscription, as was the Physicians Radio Network, with receivers
> tuned to the station in question, and the correct subcarrier
> provided as part of the subscription service.
>
> So, in some cases, subcarrier monitoring is not only illegal, but
> it is theft of service.
>
>
> > Monitoring studio feeds is illegal too. These are spread out all over so
> > it'd be difficult to block a scanner for this.
> >
> > Some old satellite 2 GHz TVRO receivers with the big dishes (The older
> > ones - the newer ones fell under the EPCA.) had a subcarrier output jack
> > that had some interesting stuff on it that you could listen to with an
> > all-band receiver.
> >
> > I have no idea what's possible with the newest satellite TVROs - the 18"

> > dish kind.
> >
> > Some cable systems have strange subcarrier stuff running around too.
> >
> > NEL KA2TYT
> >
> > Roger Halstead wrote in message ...
> > >Last I heard the only illegal place (in the US) was the cell phone band.
>

3133. Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0008111920260.779-100000@dana.randomc.com>
Source record: 82505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I retransmit that all the time to business all the time, and haven't
gotten caught yet.

On Sun, 6 Aug 2000, Louis Bybee wrote:

> Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2000 18:20:51 -0700
> From: Louis Bybee <louisb@datacomm.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.amateur.equipment
> Subject: Re: Using all mode receivers to surf subcarriers on FM radio
> stations
>
> Careful now! Would that be a licensed, or unlicensed question? :-]
>
> Louis
>
> Dan wrote:
> >
> > Licensed power tools? What's this world coming too?
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:llmj5.24016$RG6.2000727@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > Don Bruder wrote in message ...
> > > >
> > > >You're the one standing there screming it at the top of your electronic
> > > >lungs for anyone with the equipment/know-how to hear. Don't come crying
> > > >to me about "privacy" concerns when you don't do anything to keep it
> > > >private, short of lobbying for a ridiculous, unenforcable law. If you
> > > >want what you're transmitting to be private, then you either need to
> > > >encrypt it securely, or don't *braodcast* it in the first place.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Nope. Not me.
> > >
> > > I think this sucks too. The only thing I find astonishing is that there
> > are
> > > still scanners and a monitoring public. We're going to go through a
> > similar
> > > thing with scanners, etc. as the gun folks are currently enjoying with
> > their
> > > hobby. I'm waiting for the first activist to proclaim a scanner or a

> > > short-wave radio hurt a kid...
> > >
> > > Kinda OT but see this: http://www.frenchu.com/tpg/drill.html
> > >
> > > NEL KA2TYT
> > >
> > >
>

3134. Go to Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8n24vu$7hv7k$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82506

> When the local state police say "go to digital", what are they talking
> about?

Cellular or encrypted.

Roland

3135. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8n25cp$7pgto$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> It seems to me that the biggest problem faced by most public radio
> systems is doubling (when 2 people talk at once). Isn't this a easily
> solved problem? Isn't it possible to put a small chip in every radio
> that will prevent a radio from transmitting if the channel is busy?

I don't seem to hear much of that problem here. What I see as the problem is a geographical spacing problem. Why not space repeaters far enough from each other so that it is not a problem to begin with. Here in Northern New Jersey, there are frequencies occupied by different users who are much too close together; that's why you have to have a scanner with PL.

Roland

3136. Liar by Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Liar <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008120027.UAA28385@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 82508

http://www.parg.org/Previous/Seapac/ssf.jpg

3137. Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Nied <sobyo@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3994A54D.3984@mc.net>
Source record: 82509

Does anybody know if this Mackey guy ever got any help with his problem.....?

3138. Re: Nokia 7110 FS - Geelong,Vic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor <stinger@kanine.com>
Message-ID: <3994a85f$0$757$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 82510
Cross-posted to: aus.electronics,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms,aus.comms.mobile

"Jeebus Christ" <jeebus@godnet.com> wrote in message
news:l515psksm8jg69ndhb84oaml11odqpk1l8@4ax.com...
> I have a Nokia 7110 WAP phone for sale. It is in perfect condition.
> Has 5 user programmable ring tones, WAP internet access ready,
> Leather case and charger and 7110 CD for computer.
> I am asking $550 ono or will swap for Nokia 8210
>
> PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS AD IN ANY MANNER IF YOU ARE NOT INTERESTED
> IN PURCHASING THIS PHONE.

ok np

>
> Thanks
> David
> 0417 038422
> xxradiocomms@start.com
> (remove xx before replying)

3139. For Sale AOR Ar8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stewart <daves@phoenixdsl.com>
Message-ID: <BM1l5.2828$mV1.149578@news.dbn.net>
Source record: 82511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

AOR Ar8000 unblocked in good condition, New NiCad Batteries, Rubber Antenna, Charger/wall-wart, Operators Manual

$350.00 Shipped cont USA

daves@phoenixdsl.com

3140. M-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Henderson L. Holman IV" <hholman@wfeca.net>
Message-ID: <sp9avfuqn4t80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82512

I recently got a second hand Universal M-8000 Communications Terminal and am in need of the owner/operators manual. Pdf. file preferred, but a photocopy, or an original would be great also. I'll be willing to re-imburse for reasonable expenses. Thank you. 73's de KD4ALD
hholman@wfeca.net

3141. Re: Trunk Tracker II Reset Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <n7biq@pop.ptld.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3994BD73.3BF44133@pop.ptld.uswest.net>
Source record: 82513

Trex_1310 wrote:

> Hold down the 2-9-man keys and turn power on.
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

Thanks! Works perfectly!

3142. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3994C614.3B503ED0@cac.net>
Source record: 82514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

chuck wrote:

> >hey chuckie, how are you enjoying your new radio license? are you
> >behaving yourself on the ham bands, that are now available to
> >you?,...cd is out......
> >
> >
>
> Yes, I am. Thanks for asking.
>
> Doing PSK31 Alot, one of the most amazing and easy modes of Operating
> ever.
>
> go to http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa and check out the link to psk31 info
> page, to find out about it.
>
> I'm also getting on 75 meters, not very often, as I'm working alot of
> hours, and just don't have alot of time on my hands. and for the
> record, CD, It's Chuck, Not chuckie... I'll tell you what, you call me
> chuck and not chuckie and I'll call you CD and not Nigger boy. Deal?
>
> 73
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
> http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa
> PSK31 Mailing list at http://psk31.listbot.com
>
>

Chuck:

Is that a UofM hat I see you wearing? Where at in Michigan? ::grin::

Mostly interested because I'd like to go test for Tech as soon as I can,
and I'd need to know where there's a close-by testing place, and when
tests are held, etc.

Thx.
-SSB

3143. Re: For Sale AOR Ar8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13928-3994CCC6-7@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82515

are you interested in a swap for an Icom PCR-1000 computer controlled scanner?

thanks,
shannon

3144. Icom PCR 1000 for sale $275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13926-3994CF1E-69@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82516

```
All accessories included, split shipping costs.
first $275 takes it.
```

3145. Coming soon to SWLINKS...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0bd17aac.c2e16721@usw-ex0105-038.remarq.com>
Source record: 82517

Coming soon to:

http://swlinks.webalias.com

The Listening Post! Recordings of live Seattle-area scanner
broadcasts (nothing illegal), plus recordings of local AM and FM
stations, and shortwave stations! Drop by the above website,
some terrific improvements are in the works! Be sure to
bookmark the site while you're at it... even if you don't find
some links of interest (which would really surprise me), the
coming improvements are sure to be to your liking!

Have fun monitoring, everyone...

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3146. Re: Pro 92 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3994A572.DD1A3082@wwd.net>
Source record: 82518

Jim Kiricov wrote:

> Is the Pro 92 made by Uniden, if not by who?

GRE, the people who made the PRO-2004/2005/2006 series of fantastic scanners.

> How serious are the problems with the 92? Would the firmware upgrade
> available for it be a fix?

RS is not saying a firmware upgrade is available for the 92.

> I have also heard the Pro92A also has problems. How does it compare with
> the 92? Would the 92 with firmware upgrade be better then the 92A??

You will most likely not get a firmware upgrade for the 92. The 92A is supposedly some better but until they use control channel following they may never get the reputation for a great trunking radio.

> Would you recomend another brand or model HH scanner instead of the 92/92a??

BC245 does not have the problems associated with the 92/92A.

However, the 92/92A does conventional scanning very well and with alpha tags. However, both are severely overpriced, especially if you add the $60 software package. Depending on where you live and the usage of local trunking systems you may never experience problems with either of the 92 radios. I never had any problems with mine on 2 local systems.

Nick

3147. icom r2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johan van Wel <jvanwel@hetnet.nl>
Message-ID: <eBTowUyAAHA.300@net025s>
Source record: 82552

have someone the ode for the Ic-r2 datamanager???

3148. Re: railroad monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000810203859.15839.00000563@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 82553

I happened to find a site you may be interested in. If I recall properly, it was:

www.alabamarailfan.com

I hope this helps.

sward

3149. r7000 dispay goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb6shi@arrl.net
Message-ID: <39934c10.46168594@news.directcon.net>
Source record: 82554

I have an old r7000 and even after the memory battery was changed the freq and channel number goes away if it has been off for a while. The radio seems to function ok otherwise. Reloaded the memory via cat and that also worked fine. I suspect a cap somewhere in the dispay section. Has anyone else fixed this problem, and how did you do it?

Norm WB6SHI

3150. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Ymlk5.87106$V34.833358@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There has been no appointment of you to speak for "everyone" so
obviously YOU are lying make such a statement.

"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> Everyone knows you lie
SNIP

3151. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <5olk5.87107$V34.833772@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> Why do you always post nonsense and try to
> stir up trouble?

I have NEVER attempted to stir trouble.

> You provide no value to this group other than humor and entertainment
perhaps.

Exactly what value do YOU provide here?

3152. Re: BC200XLT not receiving 150 MHz Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3993525C.AC4FA28E@ARRL.net>
Source record: 82557

Ok, I did the reset function and sure enough all freq's are set to zero. But it did not solve my problem after reprogramming the 150 Mhz band. It does receive 118 to 130 Mhz, 400 mhz, 800Mhz ranges though.

Search function for 150 Mhz also does not work, but will on other bands. WX will not pick up weather either.

Called Uniden customer service, they weren't much help, beyond suggesting to send it in for repairs.

Anybody got any other ideas?

Mike Gormley

>
>
> "Barry Burke Jr." wrote:
>
> > A BC200 is reset by holding down the 2, 9, and Manual keys while turning
> > on the power. This radio is not equipped with a reset button.
> >
> > Barry
> >
> > Michael Champion wrote:
> > >
> > > What is said below or it is in the battery compartment.
> > >
> > > Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:wMAi5.57734$fR2.584555@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > > Mike:
> > >
> > > I can't recall if the BC200 has a CPU reset button somewhere on it? If so,
> > > have you tried that? Usually it's a very small hole that you carefully
> > > insert a paperclip wire, or similar device, and push on a tiny button.
> > > Sometimes they're in the battery compartment. Be advised, doing so will
> > > wipe out all your programming.
> > >
> > > Bill Crocker
> > >
> > > "Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
> > > news:398A963E.D1B9D4D5@ARRL.net...
> > > > My battery died so I ordered another one and charged it up. Now the
> > > > radio displays the freq's for the 150 Mhz band but no reception. I
> > > > tried to reenter the freq's but that did not help. It does receive 400
> > > > mhz band freq's .
> > > >

> > > > Do I have a junk radio now?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Mike Gormley
> > > > P.O. Box 205
> > > > Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
> > > >
> > > > "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Whitehouse, OH"
> > > >
> > > > mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
> > > >
> > > > ICQ #24425724
> > > >
> > > > Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/

3153. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39ae51bc.1036418951@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 20:00:30 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com>
wrote:

>> >> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
>> >> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
>> >> was on?
>> >
>> >Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
>> >that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>> >let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>> >affected by something as trivial as this.
>> >
>> >> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
>> >> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
>> >
>> >No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
>> >specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
>> >trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>> >first place.
>>
>> Hmmm. I think you're mistaken in a few ways:
>>
>> One, you keep saying "tape player". Granted that's what the NASA report
>> said, but most portable "tape players" have FM radios too. I agree with
>> you that a "tape player" by itself has no reason to contain any
>> RF-generating components, but assume for a moment that these had an "FM
>> radio" function and were being used as such.
>>
>> Now realize that the IF frequency of FM radios is 10.7 MHz, and they use
>> high-side injection. The VOR band is, it says here, 108 to 117.95 MHz.
>> So if the FM radio is tuned between about 97 and 107 MHz, its LO frequency
>> *is* in the VOR band, and the aircraft equipment IS looking for that
>> frequency...
>
>OK, let us assume all of this... My answer is the same as above. I will paste it here
>for you to read again CAREFULLY...
>
>>that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
>>let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
>>affected by something as trivial as this.
>>
>>Try taking a FM RADIO RECEIVER and go into the next room with a receiver
>>specifically TRYING to receive anything from the FM RADIO RECEIVER. After you give up

>>trying,

This isn't at all the same thing.

The LO output from an FM radio is a dead carrier and it is damned difficult to detect a weak dead carrier with another FM radio. It has to do with the minimum signal level needed for quieting.

The VOR receiver, on the other hand, is looking for phase differences between two signals using the same center frequency. One of them using amplitude modulation. This is MUCH more sensitive to interference from weak local signals your FM receiver will be.

>> then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
>>first place.

I'll repeat THIS, so you can read THIS again: On the contrary -- the VOR receiver IS tuned to a frequency which is right in the middle of the range commonly emitted by your FM receiver.

I notice you also didn't bother quoting the rest of my post, regarding older FM portables having more leakage; the possibility of defective bypass capacitors or shields; etc., etc... couldn't rebut that part, eh?

Go read the article at http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html . You'll find quotes from a number of folks (with considerably more credentials in this field than your Amateur Extra Class certificate) who believe you're wrong. It also cites an incident in which the correlation between FM radio use in the passenger cabin and VOR errors seemed very, very high.

--- jeh

3154. Re: Airborne cellular use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <rain@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <399359CB.6EE783CC@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Ken Roberts wrote:

> I read that cellphones contain circuitry to prevent them from accessing more
> than one cell site at a time. Even if that isn't accurate, a cell phone
> being used on the ground is likely to access more than one cell site at a
> time. But ultimately, the site with the best signal is chosen to complete
> the call, so the number of sites it accesses initially should not matter.

That's all well and good, but the problem is that an airborne transmitter has a huge line of sight compared to a transmitter on the ground. This great line of sight translates into greater radiated power at higher distances. So the airborne cell phone might be automatically _assigned_ a close cell, but other cells farther away will receive the airborne cell's signal. So now, the remote cells with similar frequencies are effectively jammed by the superior signal of the airborne transmitter. The cell tower would command the airborne transmitter to reduce power, but the radiated power would still be enough to create a mess all over the cells within the great range of the airborne transmitter that operate on the same frequency as the cell that the airborne transmitter is legitimately talking to. .

3155. Radios/Scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <2WIk5.370$Gz3.38516@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 82560

I have for sale the following:

2 Midland VHF mobile radios, model 70-336B, 16 channel, mounting bracket and mic. 35 watt output. $60.00

1 Midland UHF base radio model 70-930B, 32 channel, 60 watt output. $80.00

1 Pro-90 Trunk Tracker handheld scanner. $125.00

If interested, please reply to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

3156. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <rain@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <39935B89.C6BCC8F@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 19:18:51 -0500, Stephen Jacobs
> <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:
>
> >> Have you considered that the "tape players" may also have
> >> had an active FM receiver inside? Care to guess what frequency the LO
> >> was on?
> >
> >Not important, important is how much OUTPUT we are talking about here, and the fact
> >that it would never show up on in the cockpit on a receiver MADE to receive this,
> >let alone professional equipment used by planes that is really not going to be
> >affected by something as trivial as this.
>
> Yes, tell me, how much OUTPUT are we talking about here. That's
> governed by 47CFR15. And by the way, 47CFR15 only sets design limits.
> It doesn't guarantee that any given FM receiver will not interfere.
>
> >> Now, think about where the VOR antenna is. It's not just
> >> plausible, it's *likely* that these "tape players" were the cause.
> >
> >No. Try taking a tape recorder and go into the next room with a receiver
> >specifically TRYING to receive anything from the tape player. After you give up
> >trying, then realize that the aircraft equipment isn't even LOOKING for this in the
> >first place.
>
> You'd better seek further education before you discuss this any
> further. Avionics radios aren't really that sophisticated and they
> don't know to how to "LOOK" for a signal.
>
> The LO frequencies of most FM broadcast radios are right in the middle
> of the band used by the VOR navigation beacons. You can't filter a
> leaky LO from the pass-band of a VOR receiver because it is a real
> on-frequency signal --not some IMD product.
>
> Did you know that VORs transmit a 30 Hz rotating cardioid antenna
> pattern with a reference subcarrier on 9960 Hz +/- 480 Hz FM ? Now,
> take a carrier, even a weak carrier from an LO, and mix it together
> with a distant VOR signal and what happens?
>
> VOR technology is not as robust as you might first think. It dates to
> the early 1950's when "portable" radios were heavy tube powered
> affairs. The notion of accidental interference from on-board

> entertainment devices probably wasn't on the minds of the engineers.
> The standard became quite a success in its day. Since then it has
> been deployed all over the world. Now it's really too late to change.

I agree with everything you are saying except for that last statement. At least in the U.S. it is likely that VOR's will be phased out. Perhaps not in the 10-20 years that the FAA was originally calling for, but someday. It's a shame, and GPS is more susceptible to jamming b/c of the lower power progatated to your receiver from a satellite that has limited operating power and is several hundred miles away.

As an aside, it wouldn't take very much interference at all to cause a plane to be say 500 ft off course of the glide slope. Which sucks if there is a hill at 300 ft.

3157. WTB: Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39936066@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 82562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would like to purchase a Pro-43 scanner. If you have one that you would like to sell and it is in excellent condition, please contact me via e-mail with a price.

Thanks.

R. Olson

3158. Help - Latest 245's rid of 2 second delay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jacques1@magma.ca
Message-ID: <MDJk5.1728$O3.32856@news.magma.ca>
Source record: 82563

My system had a crash and took awhile to get back up to par. Thus, if this has been answered before, please excuse the question again. Are the latest Uniden 245s being sold now rid of that 2 second delay? Reason is, I wish to get rid of my Pro-92 and get one without operating hassles. Thank you for the response.

Jacques VE3TSC

3159. Re: Help - Latest 245's rid of 2 second delay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ZgKk5.64051$fR2.671029@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82564

I inquired with Bob Grove, from Grove Enterprises, regarding this issue, and he assured me his current stock is the newer model, without the delay issue.
http://www.grove-ent.com/BC245.html

Bill Crocker

"Jacques VE3TSC" <jacques1@magma.ca> wrote in message news:MDJk5.1728$O3.32856@news.magma.ca...
> My system had a crash and took awhile to get back up to par. Thus, if this has
> been answered before, please excuse the question again. Are the latest Uniden
> 245s being sold now rid of that 2 second delay? Reason is, I wish to get rid
> of my Pro-92 and get one without operating hassles. Thank you for the
> response.
>
> Jacques VE3TSC

3160. KC5KTO's Radio Shack Scanner Modification Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <39937BCB.C4158D64@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 82565

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html

3161. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michele Roberts <rosemadd@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <T4Lk5.7749$Tv1.60436@news1.atl>
Source record: 82566

When the local state police say "go to digital", what are they talking
about?
Thanks,
Michele

3162. For Sale Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Barnawell <awarren233@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <%bLk5.517$_27.16741@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82567
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

For Sale! $150
Radio Shack DX-394 AM-USB-LSB-CW SHORTWAVE RECEIVER.
It covers the 150 - 30000 Khz range, and thus will receive LF for beacons, the AM broadcast band, all shortwave and all amateur radio bands in that range. AM/CW/SSB capable, it has an LCD frequency readout and LCD signal strength meter. The DX-394 is an excellent choice for your general coverage receiver. Covers the entire HF spectrum! Operates on either 120VAC or 13.8VDC with optional power cord. This DX-394 is in very good condition. Telescoping antenna. Operational condition is excellent.

Click this link to see the radio!
http://www.geocities.com/dbnawell/dx394.htm

I can accept payment via PayPal if you prefer and that will get the radio shipped FAST!
Click below for information about PayPal....
https://secure.paypal.com/auction/pal=dbnawell%40earthlink.net

Dan Barnawell (N4YSV)

3163. Re: Open Letter to KS0F, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n04na$l89$0@63.162.247.133>
Source record: 82568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F wrote:

> I simply disagreed with him on a topic, for which he banished me. At that
> time,
> Cheek was kicking about 5 people off the echo every week. He was kicking
> people off who were more technically knowledgable than he or those that
> he could not control & manipulate (in other words, all the GOOD people).
> Fools like Blake B were the only ones left. Cheek actually honored me
> by kicking me off.
>

Now, John, you little shit, I have been quite about your obvious
rantings, lies, and mistruths. And, yet, you bring my name into
your little spat?

You know that you are the most despised person on the radio
related newsgroups, you have been caught in numerous lies, and yet
you continue spew your hate, bile, and crap?

3164. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n04t9$l89$1@63.162.247.133>
Source record: 82569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John, you despicable liar.

You were asked to leave FIDO,

You were asked to leave the INTERNET

You were asked to leave the human race!

3165. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <jyMk5.11200$H14.17306@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Jamie,
A very impressive article, thanks for posting the link. It answers every one of SJ's statements with the facts. Of course, since it is based in part on government reports, he'll undoubtedly assert his superior knowledge, including his recent multiple-choice upgrade from advanced to extra (or was it a rubber stamp upgrade?). You know, if I didn't have a print-out from the FCC with his name and callsign, I'd swear it was mackey posting. He's even using mackey's trick of changing his posted email address to get past those of us who've used block sender on him. Anyway, the information listed in your link is really fabulous. I used to be a print photo-journalist, and this tempts me to try and develop a story for Mon. Times or Pop. Com. Have you got anymore great links like this? Please post them or email them to me. Even if I don't do a story (work is pretty hectic right now, spare time is limited), I'd sure like to read them.

Thanks a lot,
Mark K5MAR

"Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message
news:39ae51bc.1036418951@nntp.cts.com...
> On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 20:00:30 -0500, Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com>
> wrote:
>snip<
> Go read the article at
> http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html . You'll find
> quotes from a number of folks (with considerably more credentials in this
> field than your Amateur Extra Class certificate) who believe you're wrong.
> It also cites an incident in which the correlation between FM radio use in
> the passenger cabin and VOR errors seemed very, very high.
>
> --- jeh
>

3166. Re: Fat jealous of Love for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n054i$m4r$0@63.162.247.133>
Source record: 82571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

KS0F wrote:

> > Speaking of proof, where's the proof of your claim to have a "Masters
> > of Communication Technology"?
>
> As I have stated before, I will post my education data as soon as Cheek's is
> posted. People were asking for his LONG before anyone asked about mine.
>

John, you despicable shitwad, Bill Cheek is dead. Perhaps you have
a problem realizing that. He is no longer able to post his educational
data.

You however, are able to, from your mail order university. Please,
tell everybody about your minority degree that you got by mail order.

> > Cheek was a real person, you are a loser, a liar, and a fraud.
>
> Well said, very well said!
>

Yes, it was very well said. You are a loser, a liar, and a fraud.
Glad you agreed!

> > Cheek had real technical ability, you have none. Zero. Nada.
>
> No, Cheek proved he had all of that.

3167. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n0587$m4r$1@63.162.247.133>
Source record: 82572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> My technical knowledge was not in question. Neither was yours or anyone
> elses.
>
> My multiple FCC licenses, along with degrees and military experience
> clearly indicate my high abilities of technical knowledge.
>
>

Your technical expertise has always been in question, as you have
NONE! Your "degree" is mail order, your military experience
consists of PLO, Permanent Latrine Orderly, and the FCC tests can
be memorized, easily.

3168. Re: Programming Bearcat 101?? Thanks for the help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@grapevinenet.com>
Message-ID: <8n05u102r8f@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82573

Assume someone sent you a URL?
Al

"corker" <corker@lewistown.net> wrote in message
news:W42k5.2669$IVF5.14025117@news.randori.com...
> Thanks for the web page!!! Exactly what I needed. Really appreciate your
> help!!!!
> Bulldog56F100
>
>
>

3169. Trade Icom PCR1000 for R-10 or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yizeguy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20134-3993B7E1-146@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82574

I have a PCR 1000 computer controlled scanner with all original
accessories, bought it new and just dont use it. I'd like to trade it
for an icom R-10 or an AOR 8000.
Not interested in any other models.
Thanks,
TC

3170. Cheek????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: roberts70@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000811051750.17273.00000193@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 82575

Is he really dead or is this just NG bullshit?

3171. Visit the Giant Page O'Radio Links..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fewtch <fewtchNOSPAM-ME@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <OOWTOThXJDQ8CmkhHdfng5YY1we1@4ax.com>
Source record: 82576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,pnet.rec.radio.amateur.talk,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Hi All!

The Giant Page O'Shortwave Links is going "DC to Daylight!" Now
covering all facets of the radio listening hobby, from longwave to UHF
and beyond! And not only links, but the page now includes original
features! There are only a couple non-link features now, but plans
are to have a 50/50 split eventually!

http://swlinks.webalias.com

AOL Users: <A HREF="http://swlinks.webalias.com">Click Here!</A>

Over 200 links to radio-related sites all over the Web -- please
enjoy! Radio is a fun and exciting hobby, and my sincere wish is for
the Giant Page O'Radio Links to reflect that sense of excitement, the
ability to both eavesdrop on and talk to the world! Any suggestions
for links or features are most welcome, and you can mail these to
fewtch@yahoo.com.

---
*
* Remove NOxxSPAM and .invalid to reply via Email
*

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3172. Re: RS "pro" series chronology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9mku@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4666-3993EDC9-2@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82577

If you were listening to the ham bands when you heard the weather tones it was more than likely coming from there repeater. a lot of repeaters have weather alerts in them, that would explain why you heard them one time and not since.

Take care & God Bless ! Ken

3173. Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: CDG <cox@iafrica.com>
Message-ID: <39940189.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 82578

Hello

I am unable to put my discone antenna up outside of the building where I live, if I put it in the roof (between the ceiling and the roof tiles) will I be loosing allot of the signal strength?

Brendan

3174. Radios/Scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <KsTk5.96$HN6.7138@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 82579

I have for sale the following:

2 Midland VHF mobile radios, model 70-336B, 16 channel, mounting bracket and mic. 35 watt output. $60.00 Cdn

1 Midland UHF base radio model 70-930B, 32 channel, 60 watt output. $80.00 Cdn

1 Pro-90 Trunk Tracker handheld scanner. $125.00 US

If interested, please reply to ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

3175. Re: railroad monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Studley <studley@indiana.edu>
Message-ID: <39940AF9.F4DF9C62@indiana.edu>
Source record: 82580

For a list of the frequencies used by the various railroads, try Jon Roma's list at:

http://www.uiuc.edu/ph/www/roma/rr-freqs

The list is sorted by railroad and by frequency.

Enjoy,

Steve Studley
Bloomington, IN

"michael.kallstrom" wrote:

> I'd appreciate URL's for webpages dealing with railroad monitoring. I'm
> located in south central Kentucky. Thanks in advance.
>
> Michael

3176. post: Chicago OHare Airport Frequency Listing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@nospamhome.com>
Message-ID: <UmUk5.149625$dF.4548991@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 82581

c/o CARMA The Chgo Area Radio Monitoring Association
http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

0.2570 NDB JOCKY CW
0.3500 NDB DEANNA & TWB CW
0.3680 NDB LEAMMA CW
0.3850 NDB INDDY CW
0.3940 NDB ROAMY CW
0.4140 NDB TAFFS CW
5.5750 ARINC RAMP CONTROL USB
13.2730 SELCAL ASSIGNED HF BY ARINC PRIMARY USB
17.9460 SELCAL ASSIGNED HF BY ARINC SECONDARY USB
75.0000 MARKER BEACONS DATA
108.0000 VOR RECEIVER TEST FACILTY AM
108.1000 VOR RECEIVER TEST FACILTY AM
109.1000 ILS BEACON DATA
109.7000 ILS BEACON DATA
110.1000 ILS BEACON DATA
110.5000 ILS BEACON DATA
110.7000 ILS BEACON DATA
110.9000 ILS BEACON DATA
111.1000 ILS BEACON DATA
111.3000 ILS BEACON DATA
112.0000 VOR RECEIVER TEST FACILTY AM
113.5000 VOR RECEIVER TEST FACILTY AM
113.9000 VOR BEACON AM
118.4000 ARSA SOUTH SECTOR, W/388.0 UHF AM
118.4500 GROUND AUX. CHANNEL AM
118.9250 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/288.050 UHF AM
119.0000 APPROACH EAST, W/393.100 UHF AM
119.2500 TOWER EMERGENCY CHANNEL TO CFD TRUCKS & GROUND AM
119.3500 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL AM
119.5750 PAST USE FOR ATIS RELAY AM
120.2500 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL AM
120.3500 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/317.400 UHF AM
120.5500 CLASS B AIRSPACE NORTH, W/290.200 UHF AM
120.7500 TOWER SOUTH AM
121.1500 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL AM
121.5000 EMEGENCY GUARD VHF, W/243.000 UHF AM
121.6000 CLEARANCE DELIVERY AM
121.6750 GROUND METERING AM
121.7500 GROUND OUTBOUND AM
121.9000 GROUND INBOUND, W/348.600 UHF AM
121.9750 FAA FLIGHTWATCH PRIVATE AIRCRAFT AM

122.0000 FAA FLIGHTWATCH COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT AM
122.7750 IBERIA AM
122.9500 SIGNATURE UNICOM FLIGHT SERVICES & CARGO RAMPS 000.0
124.3500 ARV/DEP AUX / WEST APPROACH FEEDER INTO 135.075 AM
124.4250 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/348.650 UHF AM
125.0000 DEPARTURE NORTH, W/337.400 UHF AM
125.4000 DEPARTURE WEST, W/307.200 UHF AM
125.7000 APPROACH SW, W/363.800 UHF AM
126.0500 ARSA SOUTH SECTOR, W/388.0 UHF AM
126.2000 TOWER AUX. CHANNEL AM
126.8000 VFR ALTERNATE, W/308.400 UHF AM
126.9000 TOWER NORTH, W/390.900 UHF AM
127.4000 DEPARTURE SOUTH, W/269.500 UHF AM
127.8750 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/398.950 UHF AM
127.9250 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL / TOWER AUX CHANNEL AM
128.4500 APPROACH WEST, W/284.000 UHF AM
128.5750 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL AM
128.6500 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/298.900 UHF AM
128.8250 AIR JAPAN / AMERICAN EAGLE OUTBOUND CHECK IN AM
128.8500 AIRBORN CARGO / AMERICAN EAGLE CHECK-IN / ATA AM
128.8750 UNITED EVEN C GATES AM
128.9000 UNITED LOAD PLANNING AM
128.9250 SIGNATURE FLIGHT SERVICES AM
128.9500 UNITED GROUND & INBOUND MAINTENANCE OPS CENTER AM
128.9750 ODD G GATES SW H GATES / AMERICAN H GATES / AMERICAN EAGLE AM
129.0000 UNKNOWN ACARS / TWE ALSO REPORTED HERE ACARS
129.0250 AIR CANADA FRENCH AM
129.0500 INTL TERMINAL GATE CONTROL AM
129.0750 UNITED EVEN C GATES PUSHBACK / BRANIFF OFF INTL GATES AM
129.1000 AMERICA WEST G GATES / TWA REPORTED AM
129.1250 TERTIARY CHANNEL NATIONWIDE ACARS
129.2000 AMERICAN ALT. CHANNEL H/K GATES AM
129.2250 AMERICAN K EVEN / H ODD / KILO MAINT (AMERICAN) AM
129.2500 FLIGHT OPS UNKNOWN AM
129.2750 AIR SCANDANAVIA / SOUTHWEST OPS REPORTED AM
129.3000 UNITED LINE SERVICE HANGAR MAINT / EVEN # C GATES AM
129.3250 AMERICAN GROUND MAINT & PUSHING H GATES / HOTEL MAINT AM
129.3500 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES AND SELCALS AM
129.4000 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES AM
129.4250 AIR CANADA FLIGHT PLANNING / ATA / JET COMM AM
129.4500 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES AM
129.4750 FLIGHT OPS UNKNOWN AM
129.5000 DELTA REMOTES AM
129.5500 AMR / GSI AM
129.5750 MEXICANA AM
129.6000 I GATES INBOUND & ODD L GATES AM
129.6750 AMERICAN H&K GATES IN THE Y, EVEN L AM
129.7250 NATL AIR CARRIERS ASSOC INTL SERVS NUMEROUS INTL AIRLINES AM
129.7500 INTL INBOUND GATE CONTROL / INTL CHARTER SERVICE AM
129.8000 SUN COUNTY & US AIR CHGO OPS AM

129.8750 AMERICAN HANGAR MAINT AM
129.9000 AIR ITALIA / MEXICANA M GATES AM
129.9000 AMERICAN GROUND ACARS ACARS
129.9250 CONTINENTAL MAINT / AMERICA WEST / F GATES? TWA? DELTA? AM
129.9500 AIR JAPAN AM
130.0000 CONTINENTAL AM
130.0250 SECONDARY ACARS
130.0500 US AIR? AM
130.0750 CANADIAN AIRWAYS AM
130.1000 US AIR AM
130.1250 LUFTHANSA WEATHER CENTER AM
130.1500 UNITED ODD C GATES AM
130.1750 AMERICA WEST MAINTENANCE AM
130.2000 AIR WISC (UNITED EXPRESS) INBND PRKG / AMERICAN WEIGHT AM
130.2250 UNITED IN FLIGHT MAINT AM
130.2500 AMERICAN IN FLIGHT MAINT AM
130.3000 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES / RYNES AVIATION? AM
130.3250 AMERICA WEST PUSHING / MEXICANA / HUDSON? / NORTHWEST PRKG? AM
130.3750 CONTRACTED E GATES SIGNATURE FLIGHT SUPPORT TERMINAL 2 AM
130.4000 AMERICAN EAGLE / G GATE OPS / FORMER UNITED B GATES AM
130.4250 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES / UNITED REMOTES VIA ARINC AM
130.4500 DELTA REMOTES ALANTA AM
130.4500 NORTHWEST ACARS CHANNEL ACARS
130.4750 AIR CANADA FUELS AND LOADING AM
130.5500 DHL AIR CARGO AM
130.5750 ACARS
130.6500 AMERICAN CLOSEOUT TRIM AND LOAD / EL AL / CONTINENTAL WEIGHT AM
130.7000 POLISH LOT / AMR AM
130.7250 G GATES OPS / TWA RAMP CONTROL AM
130.7500 AMERICAN CHANGEOVER AM
130.8250 FLIGHT OPS AM
130.9000 NORTHWEST INBOUND CHECK IN AM
130.9750 INBOUND MAINT AM
131.0250 DELTA COM AIR OHARE? AM
131.0500 UNITED DISPATCHER / SKYWAY DELTA COMAIR AM
131.0750 UNKN FLIGHT OPS AM
131.1000 BRITISH AIRWAYS / QUANTAS AM
131.1250 UNITED ACARS / FORMER AMERICAN ALTERNATE TO 130.650 ACARS
131.1500 ROYAL JORDANIAN AM
131.1750 FLIGHT OPS / ARINC REMOTE? AM
131.2000 US AIR INBOUND CHECK IN / GREAT LAKES FLIGHT OPS AM
131.2250 DELTA NORTH SECTOR WEATHER + ATLANTA REMOTES AM
131.2500 UNKNOWN ACARS ACARS
131.3000 B GATES & E GATES 1 / ATA / UNITED FLIGHT PLANNING CENTER AM
131.3250 AMERICAN FLIGHT OPS AM
131.3500 GREAT LAKES CHECK IN OUTBOUND AM
131.3750 FLIGHT OPS AM
131.4000 UNITED PSNGR SERVICES / AEROLFLOT AM
131.4750 AIR CANADA ACARS ACARS
131.4750 UNITED PAST USE B GATES AM

131.5000 UNKNOWN FLIGHT OPS AM
131.5250 AMERICAN TRANS AIR AM
131.5500 PRIMARY ACARS
131.5750 FLIGHT OPS AM
131.6000 AMERICAN EAGLE FUEL & OPS / TWA G GATES AM
131.6250 AMERICAN EAGLE GND MAINT G GATES / MEXICANA ALTERNATE AM
131.6500 ARINC SAN FRAN REMOTES / ARINC SUGGESTED AIR TO AIR AM
131.7000 POLISH LOT AM
131.7500 UNKNOWN FLIGHT OPS AM
131.7750 UNITED EXPRESS DISPATCHER FLIGHT OPS GROUND MAINT DTMF AM
131.8000 ARINC REMOTE / AMERICAN GROUND ARINC CONTACT / CHIT CHAT AM
131.8250 AMERICAN EAGLE INBND MAINT & CHECK IN / EL AL / FLYING TIGERS AM
131.8500 WEATHER OPS AM
131.8750 AMERICAN & DELTA K & L GATES PUSHING AM
131.9000 NORTHWEST MINNEAPOLIS REMOTES AM
131.9250 FEDERAL EXPRESS AM
131.9500 AMERICAN EAGLE OUTBOUND CHECK IN / TWE PSNGR SERVS AM
132.0000 HAWK AERO AM
132.1750 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/345.200 UHF AM
132.7000 TOWER OVERLOAD AM
133.1000 VFR ALTERNATE, W/285.600 UHF AM
133.2000 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/360.800 UHF AM
133.5000 CLASS B AIRSPACE SOUTH, W/371.900 UHF AM
133.6250 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/315.600 UHF AM
133.7500 CLASS C RADAR ADVISORY AM
134.4000 DEPARTURE NORTH. W/308.400 UHF / CLASS B AIRSPACE AUX CHNL AM
135.0750 APPROACH WEST FEEDER W/381.450 UHF, FROM 124.350 AM
135.4000 ATIS, W/269.900 UHF AM
136.5250 ARINC AM
136.8000 UNITED ACARS ACARS
136.8500 ARINC AM
151.6250 PARKING LOT ESCORTS
151.7150 GROOT RECLYCLING
151.9250 ALLIED MAINT 71.9
153.3950 LITHONIA LIGHTING
153.8300 CHGO FIRE DEPT 156.7
154.2950 CHGO FIRE DEPT 156.7
154.5700 HANGAR OPS
154.6000 PARKING LOT ESCORTS
155.4750 CPD OHARE BASE ISPERN 000.0
155.8350 CHGO DEPT OF AVIATION
155.9400 CHGO DEPT OF AVIATION
158.7600 CHGO DEPT OF AVIATION
158.8050 CHGO DEPT AVIATION 127.3
158.8950 CHGO DEPT OF AVIATION
162.2250 US POST OFFCE MAINT
162.3000 WINDSHEAR DETECTOR TELEMETRY DATA
162.9000 INS
162.9250 INS
164.1000 US CUSTOMS

164.3750 US POST OFFICE FLIGHT LOADING
165.7125 FAA
166.1750 FAA/NTSB REPEATER
166.3750 POSTAL
166.4625 US CUSTOMS
166.5875 US CUSTOMS
168.8250 INS
169.2500 FAA INPUTS 172.825
169.3000 FAA INPUTS 172.900
169.3250 FAA INPUT F1 172.925 136.5
169.3500 FAA INPUT F2 172.950 136.5
169.3750 FAA INPUTS 172.975
169.5500 US CUSTOMS INPUTS 166.5875 100.0
171.0450 GRANT LYNN
171.9750 US POST OFFICE FLIGHT LOADING
172.1750 FAA 000.0
172.9000 FAA OPS
172.9250 FAA 136.5
172.9500 FAA 136.5
172.9750 FAA OPS & DATA
173.2037 CITY OF CHGO
173.2100 CITY OF CHGO
173.3900 CITY OF CHGO
173.9625 CITY OF CHGO
243.0000 EMERGENCY GUARD, W/121.500 VHF AM
269.5000 DEPARTURE SOUTH, W/127.400 VHF AM
269.9000 ATIS, W/135.400 UHF AM
284.0000 APPROACH WEST, W/128.450 VHF AM
285.6000 VFR ALTERNATE, W/133.100 VHF AM
288.0500 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/118.925 VHF AM
290.2000 CLASS B AIRSPACE NORTH, W/120.550 VHF AM
298.9000 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/128.650 VHF AM
307.2000 DEPARTURE WEST, W/125.400 VHF AM
308.4000 DEPARTURE NORTH, W/1234.400 VHF AM
308.4000 VFR ALTERNATE, W/126.800 VHF AM
315.6000 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/133.625 VHF AM
317.4000 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/120.350 VHF AM
337.4000 DEPARTURE NORTH, W/125.000 VHF AM
345.2000 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/132.175 VHF AM
348.6000 GROUND INBOUND, W/121.900 VHF AM
348.6500 ARV/DEP AUX. CHANNEL, W/124.425 VHF AM
360.8000 CHICAGO CENTER DES PLAINES, W/133.200 VHF AM
363.8000 APPROACH SW, W.125.700 VHF AM
371.9000 CLASS B AIRSPACE SOUTH, W/133.500 VHF AM
381.4500 APPROACH WEST FEEDER, W/135.075 VHF AM
388.0000 ARSA SOUTH SECTOR W/126.050 VHF AM
390.9000 TOWER NORTH, W/126.900 VHF AM
393.1000 APPROACH EAST, W/119.000 VHF AM
398.9500 ARV/DEP AUX CHANNEL, W/127.875 VHF AM
408.4750 POSTAL, INPTS 411.450 118.8

408.7500 US POST OFFICE OPERATIONS
411.4000 US POST OFFICE BLDG MAINT 123.0
411.4500 POSTAL, RPTS 408.475 118.8
411.4750 US POST OFFCE MAINT
451.2375 AMERICAN TRNK
451.4625 AMERICAN TRNK
451.7125 AMERICAN TRNK
451.7500 TRANS STATES AIRLINES
451.7625 ARINC
451.8625 AMERICAN TRNK
452.0375 AMERICAN TRNK
452.0500 UNITED TRNK
452.0625 ARINC
452.1000 UNITED TRNK
452.1375 ARINC
452.1500 UNITED TRNK
452.1875 AMERICAN TRNK
452.2000 UNITED TRNK
452.2250 UNITED TRNK
452.2750 UNITED TRNK
452.2875 ARINC
452.3375 AMERICAN TRNK
452.4125 ARINC
452.4875 AMERICAN TRNK
452.6250 UNITED TRNK
452.6375 AMERICAN TRNK
452.7125 UPS
452.7375 PROSPECT AIRPORT SERVICES
452.7500 UNITED TRNK
452.7875 UPS
452.8125 UPS
452.8375 UPS
452.8625 AMERICAN TRNK
452.9875 UPS
453.6250 CHGO DEPT OF AVIATION
460.6500 AIR WISCONSIN / UNITED EXPRESS BAG ROOM 123.0
460.6500 CONTINENTAL
460.6500 UNITED TRNK
460.6500 US AIR 131.8
460.6750 AMERICAN WHEELCHAIRS 100.0
460.6750 POSSIBLE TWA BAGS AND AGENTS 107.2
460.6750 UNITED TRNK
460.7000 LOADERS 167.9
460.7000 SAS AND MEXICANA 94.8
460.7250 UNITED A10 - BAGS 203.5
460.7500 127.3
460.7500 CONTINENTAL
460.7500 UNITED REPEATER C GATES PSNGR SERVICES D205
460.7750 AMERICAN EAGLE BAGS 218.1
460.7750 UNITED FEEDER 218.1

460.8000 BRITT
460.8000 RPTR PRESENT OLD BRITT FREQ 123.0
460.8000 UNITED TRNK
460.8250 DELTA BAGS L GATES & TICKETS 103.5
460.8250 IBERIA
460.8250 UNITED TRNK
460.8500 BAGS 85.4
460.8500 TICKET AGENTS, FORMER CONTINETAL 127.3
460.8500 UNITED TRNK
460.8750 E GATES SPECIALS WHEELCHAIRS SKYCAPS ETC 192.8
460.8750 UNITED TRNK
460.9125 AMERICAN
461.0125 DOBBS INTL FOODS F1 203.5
461.0125 PROSPECT AIRPORT SERVS
461.0250 ARAMARK
461.0250 JENBOB
461.0625 HILTON INN TOWERS
461.1125 FEDEX CARGO HANGAR 114.8
461.2625 HERTZ
461.2625 UNITED
461.2625 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.3125 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.3375 HILTON INN TOWERS
461.3625 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.3875 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.4125 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.4375 HERTZ
461.4375 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.4625 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.5000 ARAMARK
461.5375 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.5625 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.6375 UNITED HANGARS/TECHS 203.5
461.6625 HERTZ
461.6875 HILTON INN TOWERS
461.6875 SIMMONS / AMERICAN EAGLE?
461.6875 TERMINAL OPS
461.7500 TWA
461.7625 BURLINGTON AIR EXPRESS
461.9500 MARIOTT F1 HOUSEKEEPING RPTR D423
461.9625 HILTON INN TOWERS
462.0000 SIGNATURE
462.0125 UPS
462.1625 UPS
462.2125 HILTON INN TOWERS
462.3750 UNITED EXPRESS
462.4125 UPS
462.4750 MARIOTT F2 ENGINEERING SMX D072
462.4875 UPS
462.5125 UPS

462.5250 HUDSON FUELS
462.5500 SIGNATURE SERVICES
462.8125 MARIOTT F4 RPTR D025
462.8250 UNITED
463.2250 STANDARD PARKING
463.2625 HERTZ
463.2625 UPS
463.2875 UPS
463.3875 MARIOTT F3 RPTR D271
463.4000 WISCONSIN LIMO BUSSES 151.4
463.5375 UPS AIR CARGO LOADING
463.5625 UPS
463.6375 HERTZ
463.6500 SIGNATURE
463.6750 CARSONS FOODS/RESTAURANTS
463.7750 RED TOP PARKING LOTS STANDARD PARKING D712
463.8250 LOCKHEED TECHS SMX 131.8
464.1125 UPS
464.1625 UPS
464.2125 UNITED A1 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.2375 UPS
464.2625 UPS
464.3125 UNITED A2 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.3250 OHARE HILTON
464.3250 UNITED A11 RAMP FREQUENCY A 203.5
464.3375 AMERICAN TRANSAIR
464.3375 HERTZ
464.3750 UNITED TRAINING CENTER 186.2
464.3875 UNITED A3 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.4625 UNITED A4 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.4750 AMERICA WEST (?)
464.4750 GATEWAY FRIEGHT
464.5000 FRAIN SECURITY 107.2
464.5625 UNITED A5 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.6000 AMERICA WEST
464.6125 UNITED A6/D1 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.6250 PHILLIP MAPPA
464.6375 UNITED A7/D2 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.6625 UNITED A8/D3 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.6750 MARIOTT F5 SECURITY RPTR 107.2
464.6750 SIGNATURE?
464.6875 UNITED A9/D4 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.7125 UNITED B1/D5 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.7375 UNITED B2/D6 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.7500 CW LIMO OPS
464.7750 UNITED A12 RAMP FREQUENCY B 203.5
464.8125 UNITED B3 /D7 C TERMINAL 203.5
464.8250 OHARE HILTON
464.8750 EL AL
464.8875 UNITED B4 C TERMINAL 203.5

464.9250 HERTZ
464.9250 OHARE HILTON
464.9750 ARINC
469.7125 CONTINETAL AIR TRANS VAN SPOTTERS
471.0375 CTA EL TRAIN LINE 127.3
471.6875 AIR CARGO OPS
471.7250 C CONCOURSE TRUNKED SMR TRNK
471.9625 CONTINENTAL SHUTTLE BUSSES
472.1500 C CONCOURSE TRUNKED SMR TRNK
472.2875 AIR SPED MESSENGERS 162.2
472.3750 C CONCOURSE TRUNKED SMR TRNK
472.9000 NESTER TAMARA
476.3375 UNITED TRNK
476.4875 UNITED TRNK
476.5125 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKEED SYSTEM DIGT
476.5375 UNITED TRNK
476.5875 UNITED TRNK
476.6125 UNITED TRNK
476.6625 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
476.8125 UNITED TRNK
476.8375 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
476.9875 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.0125 UNITED TRNK
477.1125 UNITED TRNK
477.1375 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.1625 UNITED TRNK
477.1875 UNITED TRNK
477.2125 UNITED TRNK
477.2375 UNITED TRNK
477.2625 UNITED TRNK
477.2875 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.3125 UNITED TRNK
477.4625 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.5875 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.8125 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
477.9625 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.1125 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.2625 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.4125 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.5625 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.7125 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
478.9875 FUTURE CHGO ASTRO TRUNKED SYSTEM DIGT
807.4625 UNITED
853.4625 OHARE MIDWAY LIMO 173.8
853.5875 WEST SUBURBAN LIMO 156.7
854.0875 CANNONBALL MESSENGERS
854.8875 AIR CARGO SHIPPERS 162.2
855.0125 OHARE MIDWAY LIMO 173.8
855.0875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
855.9375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK

856.3375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
856.7625 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
856.8625 DATA
856.8875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
857.3375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
857.7625 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
857.8875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
858.3375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
858.7625 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
858.8875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
859.3375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
859.7125 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
859.7625 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
859.8875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
860.3375 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
860.7125 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
860.7625 CITY OF CHGO TRUNKED SYSTEM TRNK
860.8875 ARINC TRUNKED TYPE 1 MAP E1,P8 TRNK
866.6750 CITY OF CHGO PDTï¿½S DATA
911.5000 UP RR
918.5000 UP RR
927.8750 TELETRAC OHARE DATA
937.6875 HUDSON
937.7000 HUDSON
937.7250 HUDSON
939.2000 FEDEX TRNK
939.2125 FEDEX TRNK
939.2250 FEDEX TRNK
939.2375 FEDEX TRNK
939.2500 FEDEX TRNK

3177. Going to the Chicago Lakefront Air & Water Show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@nospamhome.com>
Message-ID: <7sUk5.149627$dF.4549717@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 82582

Drop me an e-mail if you'd like to hook up out there, we are going on Friday morning to try to bag some freqs and info, also plan on attending Saturday and perhaps Sunday too.

We'll be posting any early freq finds on our e-mail list:

go to www.qth.net to sign up for the carma list or send an e-mail msg to:

majordomo@qth.net

in the body of the message type:

subscribe carma

or

subscribe carma-digest

then follow the directions which will arrive shortly

If I have time I'll post confirmed freqs to the newsgroup, too.

Happy scanning, Ted

3178. Re: Airborne cellular use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <iCUk5.8933$3J3.2778782@news3.mia>
Source record: 82583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

But once the call is established, it is going out from only one cell site, where ever that site might be located. True, cell sites might be affected at greater distances than from a ground level phone, but in the end only one cell site at a time will be handling the call.

Ken

Rain McClelland <rain@use.net.nospam> wrote in message news:399359CB.6EE783CC@use.net.nospam...
> Ken Roberts wrote:
>
> > I read that cellphones contain circuitry to prevent them from accessing more
> > than one cell site at a time. Even if that isn't accurate, a cell phone
> > being used on the ground is likely to access more than one cell site at a
> > time. But ultimately, the site with the best signal is chosen to complete
> > the call, so the number of sites it accesses initially should not matter.
>
> That's all well and good, but the problem is that an airborne transmitter has a
> huge line of sight compared to a transmitter on the ground. This great line of
> sight translates into greater radiated power at higher distances. So the
> airborne cell phone might be automatically _assigned_ a close cell, but other
> cells farther away will receive the airborne cell's signal. So now, the remote
> cells with similar frequencies are effectively jammed by the superior signal of
> the airborne transmitter. The cell tower would command the airborne transmitter
> to reduce power, but the radiated power would still be enough to create a mess
> all over the cells within the great range of the airborne transmitter that
> operate on the same frequency as the cell that the airborne transmitter is
> legitimately talking to. .
>

3179. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DALing <DALing43DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fz4w8I.Jty@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 82584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

gee, now all we have to do is get the signal out thru the fuselage and to
the antennas. Ever look at the antenna capability of those little heads?
We're not talking quality equipment here, but receivers pretty well
attenuate a constant frequency (unmodulated) signal.

Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message
news:39ac33ee.1028788750@nntp.cts.com...
> On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 15:51:23 -0700, Cortland Richmond
> <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
>
> >Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias
oscillator to erase and
> >to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.
>
> That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
> and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.
>
> > A harmonic of
> >this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional
beacon.
>
> IMO this is much more unlikely than the FM LO theory. The LO fundamental
> could be *on* the VOR carrier freq. You're talking about an oscillator
> that's normally around 200 kHz and which is applied to the head in amounts
> measured in tens of millivolts; furthermore, it's generated as a fairly
> pure sine wave! The output at the 540th harmonic and beyond just isn't
> going to be detectable.
>
> Also, if we're talking about Walkman-style units, "tape players" with FM
> radios are fairly common, but "tape players" with a record function are
> like hen's teeth.
>
> Now if it's a "boombox" style, *most* of those *do* include a record
> function, but I still don't believe the output at 108 MHz and up would be
> measurable above the background noise.
>
> --- jeh
>

3180. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougslug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8n181mond1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <5oIk5.87107$V34.833772@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> "Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> > Why do you always post nonsense and try to
> > stir up trouble?
>
> I have NEVER attempted to stir trouble.
>
> > You provide no value to this group other than humor and
entertainment
> perhaps.
>
> Exactly what value do YOU provide here?
>

Mackey, your "rubber-glue" mentality is really tiring, and has by now raised the noise floor of these NGs to an unprecedented level. Do you actually have anything useful to offer us, or are you just so mentally ill that this kind of stuff defines your only purpose for living?

If Internet bandwidth were as precious as the amateur radio spectrum bandwidth, you would have been shut down long ago. This behavior is simply not becoming of your (alleged) recognized status in the amateur radio community, and you should be damned well ashamed of your moronic behavior. Do us and yourself a favor by trying to apply yourself in a more constructive way.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3181. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <04f25979.fa08156b@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com>
Source record: 82586

I have my discone in my attic and I have not noticed any appreciable amount of loss. Your location will have some factor. If you are located in a metro area having it inside may have very little effect. Whereas in a rural area there may be some loss to those signals that are marginal. Of course this would also be true if the antenna were located outdoors but may be more of a factor when set up in an attic environment. However, for just general scanning it works great.

My ham and SWL antennas are also in my attic. I have no complaints. While any antenna will perform better outdoors, the attic or crawl space is a good alternative because at least it is up in the air. Just try to keep it as far away as you can from electrical wiring or anything that could cause noise or interference.

The nice thing about having an antenna indoors is that it will not deteriorate and requires little if any maintenance. You also do not have to contend with potential ice and wind dammage, if those are concerns where you live. I have had my antennas in the attic for five years and have not touched them since I first installed them.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3182. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8KVk5.11496$H14.20405@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go read the article at
http://amulation.com/md-l-archive/199708/msg00020.html

"DALing" <DALing43DELETE@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Fz4w8I.Jty@news.boeing.com...
> gee, now all we have to do is get the signal out thru the fuselage and to
> the antennas. Ever look at the antenna capability of those little heads?
> We're not talking quality equipment here, but receivers pretty well
> attenuate a constant frequency (unmodulated) signal.
>

3183. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39942C8B.8E00C77B@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

My apologies. I wasn't very clear in my posting what system was vulnerable to interference. I'll be more specific. An NDB is a non-directional low frequency beacon in the range 190-400
KHz -- on the ground. What the AIRCRAFT carries is a receiver tuning to its frequency. By use of a loop antenna and a sense antenna, the receiver will drive an instrument to point towards the ground transmitter. This system is an Automatic Direction Finder, or ADF. A nearby generator such as a bias oscillator can overwhelm the signal picked up by the airborne
system; the antennas carried on the aircraft intercept very little signal. How little? Vacuum
tube ADF receivers (I've worked on 'em) used to even have trouble with noise generated inside
the tubes - and this, at frequencies AM broadcast and below. That's how weak an NDB signal is
by the time it reaches the aircraft.

The bias oscillator operates in the same band as the ADF receiver, and so is a direct threat
to it.

Not to be alarmist, and I understand ADF approaches are the exception these days. But that's
a plausible way for a tape recorder to interfere with one aircraft navigation system.

Cheers,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:

> On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 15:51:23 -0700, Cortland Richmond
> <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
>
> >Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and
> >to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.
>
> That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
> and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.
>
> > A harmonic of
> >this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.
>
> IMO this is much more unlikely than the FM LO theory. The LO fundamental
> could be *on* the VOR carrier freq. You're talking about an oscillator

> that's normally around 200 kHz and which is applied to the head in amounts
> measured in tens of millivolts; furthermore, it's generated as a fairly
> pure sine wave! The output at the 540th harmonic and beyond just isn't
> going to be detectable.
>
> Also, if we're talking about Walkman-style units, "tape players" with FM
> radios are fairly common, but "tape players" with a record function are
> like hen's teeth.
>
> Now if it's a "boombox" style, *most* of those *do* include a record
> function, but I still don't believe the output at 108 MHz and up would be
> measurable above the background noise.
>
> --- jeh

3184. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39942FD0.B5DBCCB8@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82589
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

IIRC, the original rulemaking prohibiting untested electronics operation was based on the presence in passenger's seat area of a portable FM radio during an approach that did crash. The
supposition was that the local oscillator of the FM radio had interfered with the ILS signal,
causing the aircraft to be in the wrong piece of sky during its descent to the runway. This is
not improbable, and even more so with a vacuum tube portable whose oscillator is more powerful
than those in modern FM portable receivers.

There are unanswered questions. The ILS flag should come up if the ILS signal was interfered
with. Did it? Who knows? It IS possible for the flag circuit to malfunction; I've seen it happen. The pilot SHOULD take over visually. Did he? Apparently not.

In any case, I wasn't talking about harmonics of the recorder's bias oscillator, but its fundamental frequency, to which ADF receivers are sensitive. And it's not difficult to interfere with a radio signal with a receiver LO. I've seen it done to great effect on a barracks-mate with an bad sense of timing. (12 PM on a week night). As to the operation of a
transmitter, I can tell you of the effect rectified nearby VHF signals have on logic boards. No
flag on those, either. Just "oops."

Regards,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Stephen Jacobs wrote:

> > >Tape recorders have a high frequency (supersonic, anyhow) bias oscillator to erase and
> > >to bias the heads so they don't record in the tape's non-linear region.
> >
> > That's true; I do my own maintenance on my equipment and I've looked at
> > and adjusted these signals with scopes many times.
>
> Try "looking" at those signals on a radio receiver 10 feet away. (with another desired
> signal on that same frequency) You won't be able to SEE it at all.
>
> > > A harmonic of
> > >this oscillator COULD interfere with reception of a non-directional beacon.
>
> ABSOLUTELY NOT.

>
> Another important thing to note. even if you brought a high powered transmitter aboard a
> plane that you built to specifically transmit on the frequencies in question and to cause
> massive interference.... guess what... the plane would STILL NOT CRASH!!! A pilot surely
> knows how to fly and land a plane with interference to these receivers! They could get
> interference from lighting or could go out at any time.
>
> So... there is no way any consumer device (other than perhaps an electric razor) will
cause
> any interference to the aircraft receivers, AND if there was, it would still not matter.
>
> If you have a GPS receiver in your car, and you have a rule that no passengers can bring
any
> plastic cups in your car. Even if the plastic cup caused interference to your GPS
receiver,
> you would not crash your car.
>
> CASE CLOSED.

3185. Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25799-39943227-39@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It seems to me that the biggest problem faced by most public radio systems is doubling (when 2 people talk at once). Isn't this a easily solved problem? Isn't it possible to put a small chip in every radio that will prevent a radio from transmitting if the channel is busy?

The only problem arises when there is a stuck mike - then no one can transmit. But the obvious solution is to have a override feature for this anti-doubling device.

I picture it working this way. If someone tries to transmit when a channel is busy, they get one or two beeps (essentially telling them to shut up). Then, if they need immediate access to the channel, they can just keep their PTT depressed and this would activate the emergency override feature (EOF). When the EOF activates, the radio begins to transmit on a special input frequency so that they can access the repeater along with the other transmitting units.

So you would have 2 voice messages coming over the repeater at the same time - but it would be better than a double where no intelligible messages are received. (Or if a simplex system - the EOF could pop the radio over to a clear freq for reaching the dispatcher which would also be the priority receive channel in the field units).

What do you think? Peter S

PS - I tried to bring this up on the Project 25 @ egroups.com list a couple of months ago but all I heard was "its a user problem" - "train the users better".

3186. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8n1et9uga1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <398E2E7C.9A8D8C57@mailco.net>,
Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:

> You should know better having a Tech Ham License, but then again, if
you did,
> you wouldn't be posting your call sign on a newsgroup so everyone
knows your
> home address. Not a very wise thing to do nowadays on a newsgroup.

Take your thinly veiled threat and stuff it where the sun neglects to
shine.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3187. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougslug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8n1fafunl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <25799-39943227-39@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
zerg90@webtv.net (PS) wrote:
> It seems to me that the biggest problem faced by most public radio
> systems is doubling (when 2 people talk at once). Isn't this a easily
> solved problem? Isn't it possible to put a small chip in every radio
> that will prevent a radio from transmitting if the channel is busy?
>
> The only problem arises when there is a stuck mike - then no one can
> transmit. But the obvious solution is to have a override feature for
> this anti-doubling device.
>
> I picture it working this way. If someone tries to transmit when a
> channel is busy, they get one or two beeps (essentially telling them
to
> shut up). Then, if they need immediate access to the channel, they can
> just keep their PTT depressed and this would activate the emergency
> override feature (EOF). When the EOF activates, the radio begins to
> transmit on a special input frequency so that they can access the
> repeater along with the other transmitting units.
>
> So you would have 2 voice messages coming over the repeater at the
same
> time - but it would be better than a double where no intelligible
> messages are received. (Or if a simplex system - the EOF could pop the
> radio over to a clear freq for reaching the dispatcher which would
also
> be the priority receive channel in the field units).
>
> What do you think? Peter S
>
> PS - I tried to bring this up on the Project 25 @ egroups.com list a
> couple of months ago but all I heard was "its a user problem" - "train
> the users better".

Your idea is not unreasonable, but my view is that greater system-level
sophistication (trunking as an example) is a more expandable and fault
tolerant approach, whereas your approach would be more of an
incremental improvement likely to introduce additional complications
(specifically, the requirement for an additional input frequency--think
how this could inefficiently chew up bandwidth). The most likely
implementation of this sophistication is to use digital transmissions
and protocols to manage message flow and multiplexing more
intelligently, such as we see now with packet radio, digital cell

phones and Internet TCP/IP.

Digital radio is already in widespread use (digital cell phones being the most obvious example), and many believe it is likely that use of analog radio will eventually be limited to hobbyists. This is, of course, very bad news for scannists! The scanning hobby will evolve as well, and has been, thanks to guys like Bill Cheek, et al.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3188. FA: Realistic pro-2024 Scanner 28.00 currently 2 hours left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: blackcatbomber <blackcatbomber@*remove*hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <juf8psgholrheltnfq2vn1vpei34bu8v4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 82593

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=401043491

3189. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Osama/bin/login <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <8n1hb6id1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In article <3993516B.79A2741E@iname.com>,
Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@iname.com> wrote:
> Osama/bin/login wrote:
>
> > In article <3990AB42.EA95A41E@mailco.net>,
> > Stephen Jacobs <sjacobs@mailco.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >That's not how I judge it. Technically and Physically it can not
> > > >happen, the fact that it has never happened to me is just more
repeated
> > > >evidence to back up that fact.
> > >
> > > OK, show the ng where this "FACT" is.
> > >
> > > PROVE what you say. I want references to what you claim as "FACT".
> > > NOT an opinion stated more loudly, but "FACT" as you say.
>
> Is it a FACT that you are a human being? Show the newsgroup where
THAT fact
> is! Try to PROVE that! Not such an easy or light task, is it? I do
not
> need to waste hours of time finding the research and retyping it here
just
> to satisfy you in order for the fact to remain true.
>
> If you choose not to believe this, although I can't understand why,
since if
> you knew anything about radio and rf you could not possibly take the
> defense you are, that will not affect me in the least. I am not going
to
> waste an hour finding the material for you. Why don't YOU do some
research
> yourself on this subject and see what you find? Could prove
interesting!

That's EXACTLY what I thought.

As a rule, a childish, strawman response like that occurs when someone
has no basis for their position.

If what you claim was such common knowledge, and evident to anyone who
does ANY research, you could have included at least ONE URL to anything
of substance much more easily than posting yet another tantrum (or your
opinion stated more loudly, which I explicitly asked to avoid).

And, yes, I have researched this, and find nothing to support your
claim.

Neither can the FCC.
Neither can the FAA.
Neither can any pilot who isn't tripping on LSD.

God help anyone affected by your thinking.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3190. Re: Cheek????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <grYk5.88993$V34.850018@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82595

Yes, he has died.

"Roberts70" <roberts70@aol.com> wrote
> Is he really dead or is this just NG bullshit?

3191. FA Ramsey 800 MHz Scanner Converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0xYk5.6822$rd1.1013779@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 82596

These are no longer made I am told.
Just over 24 Hrs remaining.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=402268938

3192. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: swaves101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812120318.19244.00000343@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 82447

In my experiences, I have never had trouble carrying one or more scanners on an aircraft. However virually every airline I have flown forbids their use on the aircraft.
Regards,
Jim

3193. Wtb: AOR SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eldnuhHBAHA.565@cpmsnbbsa08>
Source record: 82448

WANTED: AOR SDU-5500, reasonable.

3194. Question re: Diamond D707E Active Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812131227.29412.00000081@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 82449

I am currently researching what type of antenna I should use for a base scanner project for home. I scan mainly the aero bands (both civil and military). My goal is to achieve as much range (distance) as I can so that I can hopefully receive a couple of local airports (DFW and NAS Ft. Worth).

While reviewing the Diamond website, I noticed their D130J Discone antenna as well as their D707E Active antenna. Does anyone have any experience with either of these antennas?

Any feedback would be appreciated.

sward

3195. Re: Question re: Diamond D707E Active Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Nagl5.65304$fR2.688944@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82450

Sward:

I've owned, and used both. The D130J discone it made very well, and for a wide-band, no gain, antenna, it works very well!

The D707E active antenna was a disaster! Both with, and without, using the amplifier, it's performance was not good. To be fair, I live in a densely populated RF environment, where it's not recommended to use a preamp of any kind. However, I gave it to a friend for his cottage which is in a more remote area, and he was very disappointed with the performance as well.

Bill Crocker

"SWARDFOTO" <swardfoto@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000812131227.29412.00000081@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> I am currently researching what type of antenna I should use for a base scanner
> project for home. I scan mainly the aero bands (both civil and military). My
> goal is to achieve as much range (distance) as I can so that I can hopefully
> receive a couple of local airports (DFW and NAS Ft. Worth).
>
> While reviewing the Diamond website, I noticed their D130J Discone antenna as
> well as their D707E Active antenna. Does anyone have any experience with
> either of these antennas?
>
> Any feedback would be appreciated.
>
> sward
>

3196. Re: Programming Bearcat 101??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Costin <bcostin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VXel5.46$c4.221@skycache.prestige.net>
Source record: 82451

Here's a site with some good information:

http://scanshack.virtualave.net/scanshack/bc101.htm

I also have a DOS program that will let you punch in a frequency and tell
you the proper switch settings around here somewhere. Email me and I'll send
it along.

-Bryan

"corker" <corker@lewistown.net> wrote in message
news:QCJj5.1234$w675.18678164@news.randori.com...
> I have aquired a Bearcat 101 scanner and wonder if it still works. Would
> anyone have a manual that may tell how to program it??
> Thanks in advance.
> Bulldog-56 F100
>
>

3197. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39958886.8182848@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Actually, it is your post that is off topic. How can a police scanner
>question possibly be off topic here?

Because this was posted in the rec.radio.shortwave group. That is
where I responded, and that is where it is DEFINATELY off topic.

Dan
>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
>>Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via Shortwave,
>>this post is WAY off topic here.
>
>>On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 13:19:30 -0400, "Test 4 Echo"
>><test4echo@monmouth.com> wrote:
>
>>>For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has
>>>used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel" and I believe they also
>>>called it "Channel 8". They have been on the 500 Mhz trunking system and I
>>>still hear conversations where they refer to switching to the "Off Duty
>>>Channel or Channel 8" Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I
>>>assume it is somewhere between this and the 503 MHZ. The frequency is not
>>>listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer
>>>version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is
>>>appreciated.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>>(Test 4 Echo)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>To Reply:
> (scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
>trenchgun@aol.com
>

3198. Re: Airborne cellular use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <i97bpsclmmav67opiu2rg3oqvt3adi2856@4ax.com>
Source record: 82453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

>Note that it isn't the cells out near the horizon that are the problem;
>it's the large number of cells that are more or less right under the
>airplane.
The cell transmitters use antennae systems with low radiation angles.
It provides some gain essentially for free. This tend to keep high
fields away from the area around the antenna, so they can safely be
sited in public areas, and also means little if any energy is directed
more than 10-20 degrees above the horizon. It is useless.
The result is the if you are 5 miles directly over a BTS, it is not
likely the cell would notice you. The BTS is essentially deaf looking
more than 70 degrees above the horizontal.

3199. Jazzland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vincent Delia jr <v.deliajr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3995A556.616CEAFA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82454

Does anyone have the frequencies for Jazzland in New Orleans.

Thanks,
Vince
KC6ISS

3200. Belgian scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webhosting <publishing@net>
Message-ID: <3995ab1d$0$15422@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 82455

See http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/

3201. FS: AOR 8200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <74il5.8367$63.173720@zonnet-reader-1>
Source record: 82456

As good as new !
Portable scanner with all the extras, freq. till 2040MHz !

Location : Europe (Netherlands)

Price : 410 US Dollars or 850 Dutch guilders.

Greetings,

Ren&#65533;
EagleForce.

3202. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3995B962.A99A8D51@snet.net>
Source record: 82457

"Clayton M. Dodds" wrote:
> 2)I've read on some of these groups that some airlines allow you to use them
> on planes but I don't know if this is true. I've only used mine while
> sitting in the airport waiting for my flights.

Delta used to allow them, they even used to specifically list them as OK in the in-flight magazine. As I remember it, they changed their policy about 1 1/2 to 2 years ago. I flew Delta round trip NY to Zurich two weeks ago and they were still forbidden.

If you can choose your airline, most United flights offer cockpit audio via the in-flight entertainment system. They occasionally don't turn it on though, so ask if it dosen't work. On two occasions, I asked and the pilot was happy to turn it on. Most of the time they even have it working as the plane is boarded, so you can listen to the ground control action while you're waiting to depart.

Have fun,
Barry

3203. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <4djl5.91086$V34.873368@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<dougslug@my-deja.com> wrote
> Mackey, your "rubber-glue" mentality is really tiring, and has by now

Then why do you click on my messages & read them?

3204. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft -Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hotwater67 <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jijl5.33661$RG6.2620509@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82459

Thanks for the replies.
I'll let you know what happens.

"Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:US0l5.14917$gW5.926323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi All,
> I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
>
> My questions are:
>
> 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
>
>

3205. Pro-2067 Info Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald & Cindy Banks <k5drb@cei.net>
Message-ID: <Mkjl5.301$zC3.75123749@typhoon.cei.net>
Source record: 82460

Can anyone please tell me if this scanner has had the same problems as the Pro-92 scanner had? I didn't know if it had the new software that the Pro-92A has or not. Can anyone please reply and let me know. Thanks for your time and assistance.

3206. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <_kjl5.91129$V34.873449@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> The facts remain that you lie. Daily. Period. End of discussion.

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you still want to make
your
statement, then present verifiable proof within 48 hours or be found a liar
by
default.

3207. Re: Open Letter to Blake Bosers, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <xljl5.91131$V34.873444@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

Good point, very good point!

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> I guess killfiles don't work, so read the subject line.

3208. Re: Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Xmjl5.91133$V34.873162@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Rick - your are correct, but Bill is dead now so blaming him doesn't
do much. The best we can do is forgive, forget, & carry on as
best as possible.

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> I once heard a Cheek-A-Like say that BC made this NG exactly what it is
> today and that we should praise him for it.

3209. Re: Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <3995C56F.7606C84C@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82464

As I recall, these were dual conversion beasts which could pick up cellular even without trying and without modification, in the 400 mhz range. I know as I own one too, with the same mods, oh and I think mine may have the frequency range mod to up some extra frequency ranges between 66 and 88 mhz as I recall, the section they enable in the european versions. I did them all, a long time ago!

Yes, $50 is reasonable because in places where things are trunked, they are next to useless, and in the non-trunked areas, 43s or 51s are better. One tip, do not mention cellular mod in your advertising on e-bay or they will cancel your item. Instead leave your e-mail address for further questions and answer that one privately when asked, and yes, you will be asked unless it is a real newbie who does not know the difference between VHF and UHF!

Good luck,
Steven

John Perry wrote:

> If I was to sell my old Pro-34 on eBay what would it be worth? I
> mod'ed it years ago to include cellular and changed out the oscillator
> crystal so it would scan faster. It's a little scratched but otherwise
> works fine. Is $50 fair?
>
> --
> John Perry perry@jpunix.com PGP-encrypted e-mail welcome!
> PGP/GPG key 164BDBAE
> ICQ# 64823745
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

3210. Muskegon Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: CC <wmpwi@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3995C8DD.7F9D193D@iserv.net>
Source record: 82465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone in this NG know the frequencies used by Muskegon (Michigan)
PD for car to car.

I have dispatch and LEIN but that's it.

Thanks

3211. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <G5kl5.979$tc.344371@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K S 0 F call me Corky hitting his habitual lie macro key
spewed.....

> "Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> > The facts remain that you lie. Daily. Period. End of discussion.
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you still want to
make
> your
> statement, then present verifiable proof within 48 hours or be found a
liar
> by
> default.

Been presented many times. At least 8 times in the last 3 days. Here one you
ignore again Corky. Proof again.

---------- start message/proof----------

Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
told us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters.

John Mackey told us he was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl!
You can search things and find the truth. John Mackey hasn't been
telling the truth. John Mackey comes off to myself and it seems over
45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.

Here's what they show me.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!"
<jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!"
<jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752

There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y"
<jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is more than a liar.

He strikes me as very very sick. These are just 15 of the different
names he has used in the past few months.

Thousands of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to
convince us John call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a
dead man.

Maybe you help stop he one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky)
Mackey from further destroying usenetand the ham radio hobby!

John Mackey's Static IP is 24.0.49.240

X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com

--------end message/proof-----------

Everyone hates you Corky.

3212. Re: Pro-2067 Info Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <EWkl5.65408$fR2.691870@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82467

The firmware version on the PRO-2067, and the PRO-92A is the same. However, some comments from users seem to indicate that both of these are less than perfect, and may even have problems the PRO-92 did not have. Or it could be a matter of learning how to use it properly. Time will tell.

Bill Crocker

"Donald & Cindy Banks" <k5drb@cei.net> wrote in message news:Mkjl5.301$zC3.75123749@typhoon.cei.net...
> Can anyone please tell me if this scanner has had the same problems as the
> Pro-92 scanner had? I didn't know if it had the new software that the
> Pro-92A has or not. Can anyone please reply and let me know. Thanks for your
> time and assistance.
>
>

3213. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3hll5.11609$Mr2.83087@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 82468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:4djl5.91086$V34.873368@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> <dougslug@my-deja.com> wrote
> > Mackey, your "rubber-glue" mentality is really tiring, and has by now
>
> Then why do you click on my messages & read them?

The same reason people stop to look at car accidents...no matter how ugly it
is, they're hoping to see some blood.

3214. AOR AR7000B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfcommsys@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812195601.16671.00000332@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 82469

Is it a good radio? Is it better as a shortwave receiver or as a scanner? Is it easy to operate, or does it have the usual dreadful AOR ergonomics? What about the spectrum display and the display in general? All comments welcome, thanks.

3215. Re: M-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <spbqf3m2n4t56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82470

email DES the manufacturer at des@ewol.com they will sell you a manual at
the most resonable cost. They are not necessarily real prompt in replying
to email.

Ted
Henderson L. Holman IV <hholman@wfeca.net> wrote in message
news:sp9avfuqn4t80@corp.supernews.com...
> I recently got a second hand Universal M-8000 Communications Terminal and
am
> in need of the owner/operators manual. Pdf. file preferred, but a
> photocopy, or an original would be great also. I'll be willing to
re-imburse
> for reasonable expenses. Thank you. 73's de KD4ALD
> hholman@wfeca.net
>
>

3216. Re: Pro-2067 Info Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8n4qjm$7pb0u$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82471

> Can anyone please tell me if this scanner has had the same problems as the
> Pro-92 scanner had? I didn't know if it had the new software that the
> Pro-92A has or not. Can anyone please reply and let me know.

It is supposed to have the same software as the 92A.

Roland

3217. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Test 4 Echo <test4echo@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <8n4v7dhcs1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 82472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<<<<On 8/11/00 Daniel M. Conti responds to a request for anyone with information on a request for a Police Frequency>>>>

[[[[[[[[Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via Shortwave, this post is WAY off topic here.]]]]]]]]]]

I am sorry if my posting to both scanner and a short-wave group offends. After being a Ham operator for 22 years, a scanner and short-wave enthusiast for 25 years I didn't think it would be impossible that someone in the Short-wave group might also enjoy and have frequency information on scanners such as myself since I subscribe to both plus ham related groups. I assumed these newsgroups were here to help people enjoy their hobby and exchange information, not grounds to be flamed. If I am in the wrong group, just ignore the post. I would like to thank the numerous people from ALL 3 groups who responded with possible leads. As for Mr. Conti's comment I can only conclude that he is either a "NEWBIE" with absolutely no insight of helping someone if they have possible information of worth. Or is a MENTAL MIDGET who would rather make a moronic comment as he did rather than ignore the post if he could be of no help.

It is odd that I have had fair success with similar requests to closely related newsgroups in the past without idiotic reply's. Mr. Conti, I am NO NEWBIE to the internet or ham/scanner/short-wave radio I suggest that if you cannot help someone go to your local computer store and buy a "Newsgroups For Dummies" book, move along to the next post and keep your negative comments to yourself.

Dave

3218. For Sale Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <399620F6.AC7A74DD@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 82473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 that I bought new in 1995 it has a date code of 1A4 and is in perfect cond. The reason that i am selling is I just don't use it enough, any way here is what is in the package:
The Radio has the following modifications.
Digital S-Meter / with front panel LED's
Analog S-Meter with jack
Data Tone Squelch mod. { switchable }
Extended delay, Adjustable 0-12 sec with front panel Led On Indicator
Carrier Status "Led" front panel mount
Center tune indicator "Led front panel mount"
Cell Mod
Diode speed up mod
10 Mhz Reference Mod with Bnc jack
4 Unused front panel mounted LED's for future mods
6,400 channel Mod. (Computer Controlled Only)
2 DB25 jacks mounted in rear.
2 25 cond. cables for Interface
1 9 Cond. Cable for interface
1 CE-232 Interface. Built externally in a very nice looking project box with a led on indicator, two DB 25 jacks to interface with the Scanner, 1 DB9 Jack for Comm. port connection to computer.
I Have all Original Documentation an As-Built diagrams of the Interconnection between the scanner and CE-232. The Analog to Digital conversion has been enabled and works Perfectly. The Ce-232 Documentation and installation and Operation software will come in a nice binded folder exactly the way I Received it from Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek) With the addition of all AS-Built diagrams that I have done.
I have been using a Windows program "Pro Turbo" with this scanner and it is quite impressive. I think that there is another windows based program called "Red shift" But I cant comment on that one.
This Scanner and Interface are in Absolutely Mint- Cond. Electrically and Cosmetically. I also have Full Copies of Bill Cheeks World Scanner Reports form Jan 1991 to July 1996 That I will throw in with the deal. I want $500.00 Dollars Firm plus UPS Ground shipping (I will properly pack it) If you need some specific information on the capabilities of this interface you can visit these sites.
Thanks,
Craig
kd7hcx

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

http://www.comtronics.net/

http://www.steaksandwich.com/newprod/navtree.html

3219. For Sale Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <39962267.208390A4@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 82474

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 that I bought new in 1995 it has a date code of 1A4 and is in perfect cond. The reason that i am selling is I just don't use it enough, any way here is what is in the package:
The Radio has the following modifications.
Digital S-Meter / with front panel LED's
Analog S-Meter with jack
Data Tone Squelch mod. { switchable }
Extended delay, Adjustable 0-12 sec with front panel Led On Indicator
Carrier Status "Led" front panel mount
Center tune indicator "Led front panel mount"
Cell Mod
Diode speed up mod
10 Mhz Reference Mod with Bnc jack
4 Unused front panel mounted LED's for future mods
6,400 channel Mod. (Computer Controlled Only)
2 DB25 jacks mounted in rear.
2 25 cond. cables for Interface
1 9 Cond. Cable for interface
1 CE-232 Interface. Built externally in a very nice looking project box with a led on indicator, two DB 25 jacks to interface with the Scanner, 1 DB9 Jack for Comm. port connection to computer.
I Have all Original Documentation an As-Built diagrams of the Interconnection between the scanner and CE-232. The Analog to Digital conversion has been enabled and works Perfectly. The Ce-232 Documentation and installation and Operation software will come in a nice binded folder exactly the way I Received it from Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek) With the addition of all AS-Built diagrams that I have done.
I have been using a Windows program "Pro Turbo" with this scanner and it is quite impressive. I think that there is another windows based program called "Red shift" But I cant comment on that one.
This Scanner and Interface are in Absolutely Mint- Cond. Electrically and Cosmetically. I also have Full Copies of Bill Cheeks World Scanner Reports from Jan 1991 to July 1996 That I will throw in will the deal. I want $500.00 Dollars Firm plus UPS Ground shipping (I will properly pack it) If you need some specific information on the capabilities of this interface you can visit these sites.
Thanks,
Craig
kd7hcx

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

http://www.comtronics.net/

http://www.steaksandwich.com/newprod/navtree.html

3220. SWLINKS now has scanner audio available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <12b963d0.85b30fef@usw-ex0102-084.remarq.com>
Source record: 82475

Hi All,

The SWLINKS site LISTENING POST is now open! Prerecorded
Scanner files are available for listening, and more is coming!!

http://swlinks.webalias.com

Click on or copy/past the above link into your
browser's "Address" window. To access The Listening Post,
either click on the blue banner at the top of the page, or
scroll down and you will see the link under "special features."

Please note that you must agree to a disclaimer before entering
The Listening Post.

Enjoy,

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3221. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rnql5.1149$tc.547923@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

K S 0 F answering to the name John-Corky blathered.....

> OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.

Let's work on OBVIOUS first.

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > Hate me - 0

OBVIOUSLY Your answer to what??? I wrote and you tried to deny:

"Well the vote so far is hate John-Corky Mackey 6

Hate me - 0

Life goes on."

Well John-Corky, The name you answer to it as if it is the name you were
born with, that was written on the 7th. Let's add your vote, you are the
only one stating they hate me and the new votes cast for you.

The new August 122, 2000 talley is--------

People who have posted they hate John-Corky - 43

People who have stated they hate me - 01

Life goes on but wait! Another Mackey-Corky SNIP!!

> SNIP

What could it be? Let's see.

John-Corky SNIPped:

Mark <marks@mail> wrote in message
news:u9btossq2bp0crpae47tthkt21ukf256qr@4ax.com...
> "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> >SNIP
> >> Do you notice there are
> >> always 20 to 30 people calling you a liar and asking you to leave yet
> >rarely
> >> does anyone ever defend you.
> >Glenn

> >
> >Glenn, there are only a few who are unhappy with me, FAR less than 20 or
30.
> >
> >The number of people who dislike you is far higher.
>
> Not even close, Corky. Not even close.
>
> Denial and lying is your strong point.

Oh, Mark painting the perfect picture of John-Corky. Can't blame you for snipping it Corky, if I were you I would too. No I take that back. If I were you I would put the barrel in my mouth and pull the trigger.

43-01 or if your luv Rick or RicK votes 43-2

You lose, again John-Corky.

3222. Re: Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8n56eq0j84@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82477

I've got a bc895 too. I use a CC Radio for the wx stuff. It works great.

"d0011" <d0011@no__Spam_optonline.net> wrote in message
news:FNol5.12752$Mr2.85813@news02.optonline.net...
Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish to monitor during severe wx?
Jason

My Uniden BC895XLT uses the same reciever i.e., I can not use the radio while it is in weather alert mode.

Sal

3223. Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hotwater67 <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <US0l5.14917$gW5.926323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82519

Hi All,
I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.

My questions are:

1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?

Thanks,
Dave

3224. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <1g1l5.7900$4T.455018@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually, it is your post that is off topic. How can a police scanner
question possibly be off topic here?

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via Shortwave,
>this post is WAY off topic here.

>On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 13:19:30 -0400, "Test 4 Echo"
><test4echo@monmouth.com> wrote:

>>For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has
>>used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel" and I believe they also
>>called it "Channel 8". They have been on the 500 Mhz trunking system and I
>>still hear conversations where they refer to switching to the "Off Duty
>>Channel or Channel 8" Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I
>>assume it is somewhere between this and the 503 MHZ. The frequency is not
>>listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer
>>version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is
>>appreciated.
>>
>>Dave
>>(Test 4 Echo)
>>
>>
>>

To Reply:
(scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
trenchgun@aol.com

3225. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <3995a1b8.1618634@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 82521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Couple of observations...
Most programmable radios manufactured in the last 10 years ie Motorola HT600 and 1000, or so have a feature that can be added called "TX inhibit on busy channel" If programmed in, it will do just what was suggested. I did not program it in my agency because most users of the system consider the radio just another piece of equipment and desire simplicity in use. Most radios would end up back on my bench marked "doesn't transmit all the time"
As for geographic spacing of repeaters, especially in N. Jersey where I am from, there is NO spectrum available and the channels are packed tight and heavily loaded. Just listen to 155.655 in that area. It would be desirable for every agency to have a sole frequency for a radius of 100 miles, but it can't be done until transmissions methods change and the equipment becomes affordable to the budget restrictions most agencies face.

Just my 2 cents
Mike

On Fri, 11 Aug 2000 20:19:13 -0400, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote:

>> It seems to me that the biggest problem faced by most public radio
>> systems is doubling (when 2 people talk at once). Isn't this a easily
>> solved problem? Isn't it possible to put a small chip in every radio
>> that will prevent a radio from transmitting if the channel is busy?
>
>I don't seem to hear much of that problem here. What I see as the problem
>is a geographical spacing problem. Why not space repeaters far enough from
>each other so that it is not a problem to begin with. Here in Northern New
>Jersey, there are frequencies occupied by different users who are much too
>close together; that's why you have to have a scanner with PL.
>
>Roland
>

Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

3226. Re: Hurricane Hunters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.13fe74b240ee3abd9896c3@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82522

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

In article <3992ec54.60870347@news.atl.bellsouth.net>, jfaul@bellsouth.net says...
> What shortwwave frequency are the hurricane hunters operating on? It
> looks like we will have several up each day and i want to listen.
>
> Thanks,
> Jfaul@bellsouth.net
>
We put up a summary page under "References" at our Web site (below). Hope this helps. Frequencies, links to a few other sites, et al. Pick from the "Master Menu". Hope this helps.

--
Tom Sundstrom
Contributing Editor, Radio Netherlands' Media Network
Contributing Editor, Radio and Communications Magazine
www dot trsc dot com

3227. Re: Airborne cellular use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39b1aa26.1124589664@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Fri, 11 Aug 2000 11:04:39 -0400, "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
wrote:
>Rain McClelland <rain@use.net.nospam> wrote in message
>news:399359CB.6EE783CC@use.net.nospam...
>> Ken Roberts wrote:
>>
>> > I read that cellphones contain circuitry to prevent them from accessing
>more
>> > than one cell site at a time. Even if that isn't accurate, a cell
>phone
>> > being used on the ground is likely to access more than one cell site at
>a
>> > time. But ultimately, the site with the best signal is chosen to
>complete
>> > the call, so the number of sites it accesses initially should not
>matter.
>>
>> That's all well and good, but the problem is that an airborne transmitter
>has a
>> huge line of sight compared to a transmitter on the ground. This great
>line of
>> sight translates into greater radiated power at higher distances. So the
>> airborne cell phone might be automatically _assigned_ a close cell, but
>other
>> cells farther away will receive the airborne cell's signal. So now, the
>remote
>> cells with similar frequencies are effectively jammed by the superior
>signal of
>> the airborne transmitter. The cell tower would command the airborne
>transmitter
>> to reduce power, but the radiated power would still be enough to create a
>mess
>> all over the cells within the great range of the airborne transmitter that
>> operate on the same frequency as the cell that the airborne transmitter is
>> legitimately talking to. .
>>
>

>But once the call is established, it is going out from only one cell site,
>where ever that site might be located. True, cell sites might be affected
>at greater distances than from a ground level phone, but in the end only one
>cell site at a time will be handling the call.
>

>Ken

You're not getting it.

The problem is that the cell system -- even good old analog cell -- uses the same channel for many different calls at the same time -- physically separated by distance. This is what the "cells" are all about. Different groups of channels (designated group A, B, ..., through G) are used by different cells. The channel you're on might be in use by some other phone, at the same time, in some other cell in your city. However that other cell will never be physically adjacent to yours. (In fact, not only are cells using the same channel groups never physically adjacent, cells using *adjacent* channel groups are never physicaly adjacent either. For example, all the channels in group B are one notch up from those in group A; therefore a "group B" cell will never be adjacent to a "group A" cell.)

Now, because of the way channel groups are assigned to cells, the cell system can assume that if a phone has been assigned a given channel, that phone's signal will be stronger in its cell than in any adjacent cell, and FAR stronger in its cell than in the "next nearest" cell that also uses the same or an adjacent channel group. The cell system's handoff mechanism utterly depends on this assumption.

A phone operating from an overhead location, where many cells are essentially equidistant from the phone, breaks this assumption. The phone might be lighting up several cells in the same channel group, EACH of which might ALREADY be handling a *different* call, but all using the same channel. At best, this will "merely" cause interference for those other calls. More likely, it will greatly confuse the cell system. It will appear that the phone travelled from one cell to another, non-adjacent cell in the same channel group, while somehow avoiding the cells in between; the handoff mechanism isn't prepared for this. The system will likely think that the same phone is in many cells at once, and so assume that it's been cloned.

Note that it isn't the cells out near the horizon that are the problem; it's the large number of cells that are more or less right under the airplane.

--- jeh

3228. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <vH2l5.31896$RG6.2498185@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Must have been posted to more than one group. Oh geez, just noticed the header.
scanner & shortwave.
Al

Bruce Markowitz wrote in message
<1g1l5.7900$4T.455018@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
>Actually, it is your post that is off topic. How can a police scanner
>question possibly be off topic here?
>
>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
>>Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via Shortwave,
>>this post is WAY off topic here.
>
>>On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 13:19:30 -0400, "Test 4 Echo"
>><test4echo@monmouth.com> wrote:
>
>>>For years before they started using the 500 MHZ trunk system Sayreville has
>>>used what they refer to as the "Off Duty Channel" and I believe they also
>>>called it "Channel 8". They have been on the 500 Mhz trunking system and I
>>>still hear conversations where they refer to switching to the "Off Duty
>>>Channel or Channel 8" Their old dispatch frequency used to be 460.250 and I
>>>assume it is somewhere between this and the 503 MHZ. The frequency is not
>>>listed in Scanner master or Per conn database unless it's listed in a newer
>>>version. Does anyone out there know this frequency ? your help is
>>>appreciated.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>>(Test 4 Echo)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>To Reply:
> (scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
>trenchgun@aol.com
>

3229. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rain McClelland <drops@use.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <3994BC70.724E4AC2@use.net.nospam>
Source record: 82525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Cortland Richmond wrote:

> IIRC, the original rulemaking prohibiting untested electronics operation was based on the
> presence in passenger's seat area of a portable FM radio during an approach that did crash. The
> supposition was that the local oscillator of the FM radio had interfered with the ILS signal,
> causing the aircraft to be in the wrong piece of sky during its descent to the runway. This is not
> improbable, and even more so with a vacuum tube portable whose oscillator is more powerful than
> those in modern FM portable receivers.
>
> There are unanswered questions. The ILS flag should come up if the ILS signal was interfered with.
> Did it? Who knows? It IS possible for the flag circuit to malfunction; I've seen it happen. The
> pilot SHOULD take over visually. Did he? Apparently not.

The flag probably wouln't appear unless the interference was so strong that it overpowered the bona
fide ILS signal, causing the receiver to stop receiving the signal it recognizes. A worse case
would be if the interference was more subtle and affected the signal slightly. Even a car too close
to the glideslope or localizer antenae (inside the critical area) can cause accuracy problems with
the signal, and it is possible that a stray signal near the receiver could do this too.

3230. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z33l5.9963$9T1.82394@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82526

I lived in a house for 7 years recently that did not allow outside antennas. I had 7 antennas installed in the attic. A discone for the scanner. A uhf/vhf log periodic for scanner. and 5 ham antennas. I used to call my roof a "RADOME". :) everything worked fine.

The obvious drawback is that you violate the 'higher the antenna, the better" rule by being at roof level, but as they say " all antennas work, some work better than others".

I ditto everything jimk2000 has to say about it -- same as my experience.

cheers

jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in message news:04f25979.fa08156b@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com...
> I have my discone in my attic and I have not noticed any appreciable
> amount of loss. Your location will have some factor. If you are located
> in a metro area having it inside may have very little effect. Whereas
> in a rural area there may be some loss to those signals that are
> marginal. Of course this would also be true if the antenna were located
> outdoors but may be more of a factor when set up in an attic
> environment. However, for just general scanning it works great.
>
> My ham and SWL antennas are also in my attic. I have no complaints.
> While any antenna will perform better outdoors, the attic or crawl
> space is a good alternative because at least it is up in the air. Just
> try to keep it as far away as you can from electrical wiring or
> anything that could cause noise or interference.
>
> The nice thing about having an antenna indoors is that it will not
> deteriorate and requires little if any maintenance. You also do not
> have to contend with potential ice and wind dammage, if those are
> concerns where you live. I have had my antennas in the attic for five
> years and have not touched them since I first installed them.
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network
*
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>
>

3231. FS/FT - VHF Mobile and Portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim <radiosOhio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%i3l5.25288$IX6.118179@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 82527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have the following for sale or trade:

Motorola MT1000 - 99 channel, VHF, DTMF Keypad
Maxon SM4150 VHF, 16 channel, 40Watt, scan

Both of these units are in nice shape. Pics available upon request.

Will consider trades for MOTOROLA UHF equipment or
Cash offers. Please don't ask how much I want, do some research and make an
offer!

Thanks

--

3232. Re: Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <2Z3l5.89875$V34.859005@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82528

I don't have any problem.

"James Nied" <sobyo@mc.net> wrote
> Does anybody know if this Mackey guy ever got any help with his
> problem.......?

3233. Re: M-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <wm4l5.64857$fR2.683385@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82529

If all else fails, these guys can probably help you!
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0087.html

Bill Crocker

"Henderson L. Holman IV" <hholman@wfeca.net> wrote in message
news:sp9avfuqn4t80@corp.supernews.com...
> I recently got a second hand Universal M-8000 Communications Terminal and
am
> in need of the owner/operators manual. Pdf. file preferred, but a
> photocopy, or an original would be great also. I'll be willing to
re-imburse
> for reasonable expenses. Thank you. 73's de KD4ALD
> hholman@wfeca.net
>
>

3234. Re: Price on Pro -60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812005809.09808.00000851@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 82530

best place to find out price on that unit, or to at least get an idea, is to look at some of the buying guides on http://www.strongsignals.net
-rabidfool@aol.com

3235. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8l5l5.12265$H14.24099@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike,
Just out of curiosity, how much usage does the national police freq., 155.475 MHz, get in your area? Possibly the radio could switch to this freq. when a user tries to double with another. Personally, I think that doubling is something non-trunked users will have to live with. As a former police/911 emergency dispatcher, most cases of doubling I observed occurred when two officers keyed up at the same time, and I don't think there is much that either training or technology can do about that on a non-trunked system. It may be that even trunked systems can't deal with that particular problem, I'm not familiar with them as trunking is not common here in Oklahoma. But 155.475 MHz is DEAD around here, there are only 4 licenses issued for it in the entire state, and 3 of the 4 are state agencies.

Mark K5MAR

"Mike DeMeo" <mdemeo@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:3995a1b8.1618634@news.frontiernet.net...
> Couple of observations...
> Most programmable radios manufactured in the last 10 years ie Motorola HT600 and 1000, or
> so have a feature that can be added called "TX inhibit on busy channel" If programmed in,
> it will do just what was suggested. I did not program it in my agency because most users
> of the system consider the radio just another piece of equipment and desire simplicity in
> use. Most radios would end up back on my bench marked "doesn't transmit all the time"
> As for geographic spacing of repeaters, especially in N. Jersey where I am from, there is
> NO spectrum available and the channels are packed tight and heavily loaded. Just listen
> to 155.655 in that area. It would be desirable for every agency to have a sole frequency
> for a radius of 100 miles, but it can't be done until transmissions methods change and the
> equipment becomes affordable to the budget restrictions most agencies face.
>
> Just my 2 cents
> Mike

3236. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xb6l5.13706$OG2.76698@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 82532

"Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:US0l5.14917$gW5.926323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi All,
> I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
>
> My questions are:
>
> 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
>
>

As of the last time I grabbed a copy, the pertinent rule of the FAA:

Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
registered civil aircraft:
(1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
certificate
or an operating certificate; or
(2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;
(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
aircraft
has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
(c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
required
by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
other
aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
operator of the aircraft.

Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.

Note that the 'operator of the aircraft' in secion 5 is NOT THE PILOT IN COMMAND. (Unless the airline has delegated that authority to him officially)

The 'operator' is the AIRLINE. Some airlines allow scanner operation in flight (NOT during takeoff/landing) Most do not.

I've used a scanner at airports, probably no problem. (Depending on the antsiness of the guards) Taking it thru security, you'll likely be required to prove it works. Or told to put it in checked luggage, or who knows what?

3237. Default Finds Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8n3ald$7p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> Wrong. If you still want to push this, please provide your
verifiable proof
> within 48 hours
> or be found to be a liar by default.

Who is this person, DeFault? Why does it take them two days to find a
liar?

Meg A. Watt

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3238. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Oakes <theoakes@mwis.net>
Message-ID: <WSal5.12341$H14.24599@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have worked on Midland radios and I suspect the others are the same way, there is programming to stop radios from transmitting if the channel is busy, but most buyers have it turned off. There is also a transmitter time out feature that turns off the transmitter if a stuck mic happens after a programmable amout of time, but again is defeated at the buyers request. It is in the radios, if they choose to use it.

--
Randy W. Oakes
<dougslug@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8n1fafunl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <25799-39943227-39@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> zerg90@webtv.net (PS) wrote:
> > It seems to me that the biggest problem faced by most public radio
> > systems is doubling (when 2 people talk at once). Isn't this a easily
> > solved problem? Isn't it possible to put a small chip in every radio
> > that will prevent a radio from transmitting if the channel is busy?
> >
> > The only problem arises when there is a stuck mike - then no one can
> > transmit. But the obvious solution is to have a override feature for
> > this anti-doubling device.
> >
> > I picture it working this way. If someone tries to transmit when a
> > channel is busy, they get one or two beeps (essentially telling them
> to
> > shut up). Then, if they need immediate access to the channel, they can
> > just keep their PTT depressed and this would activate the emergency
> > override feature (EOF). When the EOF activates, the radio begins to
> > transmit on a special input frequency so that they can access the
> > repeater along with the other transmitting units.
> >
> > So you would have 2 voice messages coming over the repeater at the
> same
> > time - but it would be better than a double where no intelligible
> > messages are received. (Or if a simplex system - the EOF could pop the
> > radio over to a clear freq for reaching the dispatcher which would
> also
> > be the priority receive channel in the field units).
> >
> > What do you think? Peter S
> >
> > PS - I tried to bring this up on the Project 25 @ egroups.com list a
> > couple of months ago but all I heard was "its a user problem" - "train
> > the users better".

>
> Your idea is not unreasonable, but my view is that greater system-level
> sophistication (trunking as an example) is a more expandable and fault
> tolerant approach, whereas your approach would be more of an
> incremental improvement likely to introduce additional complications
> (specifically, the requirement for an additional input frequency--think
> how this could inefficiently chew up bandwidth). The most likely
> implementation of this sophistication is to use digital transmissions
> and protocols to manage message flow and multiplexing more
> intelligently, such as we see now with packet radio, digital cell
> phones and Internet TCP/IP.
>
> Digital radio is already in widespread use (digital cell phones being
> the most obvious example), and many believe it is likely that use of
> analog radio will eventually be limited to hobbyists. This is, of
> course, very bad news for scannists! The scanning hobby will evolve as
> well, and has been, thanks to guys like Bill Cheek, et al.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3239. Pro-90 Trunking 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Oakes <theoakes@mwis.net>
Message-ID: <Pibl5.12356$H14.24704@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82535

I bought a pro-90 300 channel trunking handheld scanner and used it for about 4 hours, but live to far out of Kansas City to decode the data. I am about 60 miles out. The pics can be seen at

http://pro90.homestead.com

I am asking $150/ OBO

--
Randy W. Oakes

3240. WTB: Pro 2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812085802.26656.00000399@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 82536

Looking for a good,working clean Pro 2036 Priced right with out the tone board

3241. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812100250.29466.00000321@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 82537

Brendan,

The replies I read on this newsgroup so far have not addressed your situation ... a building, possibly with concrete walls and metal reinforcement.

You MIGHT lose alot of signal with the antenna inside, particularly in the direction towards the opposite side of the building from where you live.

How high (or how many floors up) will the antenna be? Are there other buildings surrounding yours ... which might block OR reflect signals?

Doing some signal tests from the place you want to put the antenna, as well as from various locations inside AND outside the building (including from the roof), may give you an idea of how much signal will be lost with an indoor antenna.

Jeff Multer, Fort Mill, S.C.

>I am unable to put my discone antenna up outside of the building where I
>live, if I put it in the roof (between the ceiling and the roof tiles) will
>I be loosing allot of the signal strength?

3242. Re: Open Letter to Blake Bosers, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spaog4inn4t100@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

I guess killfiles don't work, so read the subject line.

q��t��v� ��� <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote in message
news:0gmapsc7aojftf4p68hes0gkicbf1vof17@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Aug 2000 19:48:10 GMT, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> wrote:
>
> (drivel snipped)
>
> Mackerel, you are merely signal to noise. Back in the kill file you go
> until you realize no one can hear you, and you change your addy
> again...
>
> I want you to know that you make up my entire kill file. There are no
> other entries but yours. Over a dozen at last count. Get a clue, that
> is 3 years worth of kill file entries, and you are the sole source.
> Duh!

3243. Re: Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spaoloeqn4t93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82539

True
I once heard a Cheek-A-Like say that BC made this NG exactly what it is
today and that we should praise him for it.

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:2Z3l5.89875$V34.859005@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I don't have any problem.
>
> "James Nied" <sobyo@mc.net> wrote
> > Does anybody know if this Mackey guy ever got any help with his
> > problem.......?
>
>

3244. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clayton M. Dodds" <cmdodds@mwr.is>
Message-ID: <3995c4c3.0@news.isholf.is>
Source record: 82540

"Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:US0l5.14917$gW5.926323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi All,
> I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
>
> My questions are:
>
> 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
>
>
Dave,
1) You shouldn't have a problem getting through security with your scanner. I haven't been through the New Orleans airport with mine but have been through the Shreveport airport with it. I have the Icom R2 and because of its size I was easily able to carry it in my pocket. When I had to take it out to walk through the metal detector the security guard asked, "is that a pager?" Then she asked me to turn it on, I guess to verify that it wasn't a bomb disguised as a pager. Then about six months later when I was passing through again I was asked if it was a cell phone. If any of the security guards had recognized it they might have reminded me not to use it on the plane. But I'm only guessing.

2)I've read on some of these groups that some airlines allow you to use them on planes but I don't know if this is true. I've only used mine while sitting in the airport waiting for my flights.

The reason they don't allow them on flights (along with any receiving radio, even a plain am/fm radio) is because of the slight chance of them interfering with the navigation systems, even though your scanner is only supposed to receive.

-Matt

3245. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5956-39955C8B-13@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82541

Thanks for the comments folks. It seems that "busy channel lock out" or "busy channel inhibit" is available in a lot of radios. However I suspect that the concept needs to be tweaked/improved just a little bit.

One response that I received stated that a "double click" feature is available on Motorola Trunked Radio Systems. This feature allows a user to click his/her PTT twice rapidly in order to gain priority access to a busy talk group. Anybody know how that works? Does it just boot the other users off the talkgroup? (?Ruthless Preemption?).

If this feature was upgraded from a "double click" feature to a "death grip" feature, maybe it would be wildly successful. (Please excuse my macabre terminology).

A "death grip" feature would work like this - 2 cops are chasing a guy on foot down a road - the lead cop is calling the play by play - the backup cop spots a guy up the road with a gun so he needs to break in over the play by play - the following cop would mash his PTT - get 2 "busy channel" beeps - continue to mash his PTT - the infrastructure would move the transmit freq of the backup cop's radio to a clear freq and pipe it over the repeater simultaneously with the lead cops message - or the backup cops warning could be routed to a special dispatcher who would have to jump in on the conversation. Or something like that.

I will have to try to figure out if this will work on non-repeatered and repeater channels. Basically what I am thinking about is a "anti-double" device combined with an "emergency override" feature. The million dollar question is - Is there a fatal flaw in my concept somewhere?

Peter S

Yes - yes - yes - 155.475 might be a very useful freq in this scheme. Possibly a special narrowband freq might do the trick also. Or a bunch of them.

3246. Data cable for Pro-92....Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: crimson@gte.net
Message-ID: <399564FD.DC236C2@gte.net>
Source record: 82542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there anybody out there who knows how to make your own cable that really works....Ive tried several ideals ...ranging from using DB-9 to 25 pin connetors and no luck yet..Also heard of the Yaesu cable but cant find where to buy it without the software...Please help....Bill

3247. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <399563A8.A52A1F44@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82543

Usually getting through security to the gate is not a problem, even if you are only visiting the airport.

Steven

Skip Sanders wrote:

> "Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:US0l5.14917$gW5.926323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hi All,
> > I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> > with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
> >
> > My questions are:
> >
> > 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> > 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dave
> >
> >
>
> As of the last time I grabbed a copy, the pertinent rule of the FAA:
> ---------
> Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
>
> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
> operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
> operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
> registered civil aircraft:
> (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
> certificate
> or an operating certificate; or
> (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
> (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
> (1) Portable voice recorders;
> (2) Hearing aids;
> (3) Heart pacemakers;
> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
> aircraft
> has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
> communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
> (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier

> operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
> required
> by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
> aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
> other
> aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
> operator of the aircraft.
>
> Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
> revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
> ----------
>
> Note that the 'operator of the aircraft' in secion 5 is NOT THE PILOT IN
> COMMAND. (Unless the airline has delegated that authority to him officially)
>
> The 'operator' is the AIRLINE. Some airlines allow scanner operation in
> flight (NOT during takeoff/landing) Most do not.
>
> I've used a scanner at airports, probably no problem. (Depending on the
> antsiness of the guards) Taking it thru security, you'll likely be required
> to prove it works. Or told to put it in checked luggage, or who knows what?

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all
creation". Mark 16:15

3248. Nokia cell phone to trade...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Vydl5.555$w42.192404@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 82544

I have a Nokia 6160 (top of line) cell phone with calendar, address book, memories, reminder function, etc., and about $400 worth of accessories, to trade for any type of trunk tracking scanner (base, portable, etc., doesn't matter).

Phone is in top notch shape and need only be activated to start using - I just no longer use it.

If interested, reply with info on scanner (or scanners), and I'll send a list of everything that comes with the phone (leather holder, mobile ant, batteries, hands free mic for driving, and more....) - the list is too long to post here...

John

3249. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <015e73be.b555dd37@usw-ex0106-044.remarq.com>
Source record: 82545

Sorry, I did not read your posting close enough and Jeff is correct. If you are talking about a commercial structure/building then the conditions could be quit different from a residential structure which is what I was refering to. Concrete, metal and possibly the roof tile (depending on what type of material it's composed of) could be a factor in how well you receive any signal. You need to some how temporarily position the antenna outside and then compare with it in its current placement.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3250. Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: perry@jpunix.com
Message-ID: <slrn8parl7.b10.perry@elvis.jpunix.com>
Source record: 82546

If I was to sell my old Pro-34 on eBay what would it be worth? I
mod'ed it years ago to include cellular and changed out the oscillator
crystal so it would scan faster. It's a little scratched but otherwise
works fine. Is $50 fair?

--
John Perry perry@jpunix.com PGP-encrypted e-mail welcome!
PGP/GPG key 164BDBAE
ICQ# 64823745

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3251. "Scanner" Lists at www.egroups.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10652-39972225-94@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here is a list of "scanner" lists at www.onelist.com/www.egroups.com (plus the # of subscribers per list) - I did not include the lists with less than approx 20 members. In Part 2, I will list the "scanning" lists. (Hint - to all list owners - you probably should have "scanner" and "scanning" in your list description)

Socal-Scanner - 67
UKMidlandscanner - 60
ni_scanner - 117 (Northern Ireland)
Scannit - 84
phlscanner - 285
dfwscan - 289
socalscan - 258
NJscanning - 54
MML - 70 (Minnesota)
ScanWesternMass - 45
AlaScan - 52 (AL)
CincyScan - 22
TexasScan - 120
scan_nega - 38 (Northeast GA)
SEFLORIDA - 73
scanvolusia - 31
Floridascan - 40
AR8600 - 66
GTSA - 46 (Toronto)
ArizonaScanner - 161
HoustonScan - 99
ScanNorthAmerica - 114
sme2 - 90 (Boston MA)
radioscan - 86 (Sweden)
blind-scanner - 15
USscanningsgroup - 145
dkscan - 386 (?Danish)
scannernuts - 54
VR-500 - 186
usascan - 271 (scanner dealer)
VermontScanner - 35
SOUTHERNNEWENGLANDSCAN - 15
UtahScanner - 41
bc245int - 360
Chattradio - (Chattanooga TN)
sonofrcma - 142 (national)
connscan - 122 (CN)

ScanCom - 216 (NYC)
ar8200 - 665
SCANSNE - 29 (south New England)
ComAirScan - 188 (aviation)
Icom_R-10 - 66
GRCOMM - 40 - Grand Rapid MI
Chicago_Scanners - 41
MONIX - 30 (Cinncy OH area)
ScanAtlanta - 215
VirginiaScanner - 35
PCR1000 - 66
SCAN-PA - 38
pro92 - 476
EMERGENCYNEWS - 52
NZSCANNERS - 163 (New Zealand)
FIREBUFFSofMICHIGAN - 42
Scanner2000 - 52
CPMA - 26 (central PA)
pro94owners - 304
NebraskaScanner - 8
IPN-DFW - 57 (Dallas Fort Worth)
BC780XLT - 107
4phun-scan - 93
stupidscannertricks - 937
scottish-scanning - 54
MB-Scanners - 20 (Manitoba)
southscan - 38 (south Ontario)
SCANnorCAL - 295
scan4good - 86
NYFireCommand - 47
Denverscannerbuffs - 22
NHFireCommand - 42
railscan - 230 (aka RailScan)
gold-coast-fire-net - 62
scan_ky_wv - 57
VermontFireCommand - 104
T2 - 578
4phun-radio - 346
SCPC-FDM - 807 (satellites)
TrunkingForum - 134 (not for scannists)
SonySC1 - 158
pro2052 - 32

Peter S

3252. "Scanning" Lists at www.egroups.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10652-39972352-95@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here is Part 2 - the list of "Scanning" lists at
www.onelist.com/www.egroups.com.

"Scanning"

scanning - 298
scansc - 28
bayscan - 181 (San Fran)
scan_irl - 35 (Ireland)
orlandotrunking - 63
australiaradio - 32
i95-scanning - 82
kc-scanning - 230
scancolumbus - 50 (Ohio)
swflatrunking - 28
UnidenBC780XLT - 238
nwscan - 153
DX-Scan - 53 (UK)
nnj-scan - 179 (northern NJ)
phlscanner - 285
scaniowa - 194
scanont - 253 (Ontario)
OHfreqex - 52
ScanIndiana - 243
BC895XLT - 217
SCANsacramento - 51
ScanME - 34
T2 - 578
Chicago_Scanners - 41
optocom - 62
scansavannah - 37
CFLG - 140 (central FL)
OTTAWASCAN - 30
vintagescanners - 59
odxa - 147 (Ontario)
scan-wisc - 21
scannernews - 43
Radio-Monitoring-Hawaii - 7
gottem - 13 - internal comms
frs - 378 (family radio service)
mtl_scan - 112 (Montreal)
iCOM_PCR_100 - 126
Treport - 239 (Motorla TRS)
IowaEDACS - 44

3253. Re: Open Letter to Blake Bosers, and Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n78i7$na8$1@63.162.246.119>
Source record: 82349
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > KS0F wrote:
> > > Fools like Blake B were the only ones left. Cheek actually honored me
> > > by kicking me off.
> >
> > Now, John, you little shit, I have been quite about your obvious
> > rantings, lies, and mistruths. And, yet, you bring my name into
> > your little spat?
>
> Blake - I never specifically mentioned your name, or was it the description
> of "FOOLS" convinced I was speaking of you? Please explain.
>

Then who was the Blake B that you mentioned you despicable little snot?

> > You know that you are the most despised person on the radio
> > related newsgroups,
>
> Please present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to
> be a
> liar by default.
>

Do you realize just how stupid your "liar by default" line is?

The vote is presently running 45 to 6 or so. You are, the most
despised person here!

> > you have been caught in numerous lies,
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you want to push this,
> then
> present your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to be a
> liar by default.

I have proven that people DID ask you to leave FIDO, and you stated
it never happened. You are PROVEN A LIAR!

3254. vote republican, save your butt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb6shi@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3995cde0.11719872@news.directcon.net>
Source record: 82350

JOHN T. DOOLITTLE
August 07, 2000

Dear Norman:

Since experimenters first began charting the newly discovered electromagnetic spectrum at the turn of the century, Amateur Radio has been a place where the capabilities of new electronic circuits and techniques have been explored in the American tradition of the citizen-scientist. Access to small portions of the spectrum has allowed Amateur Radio to nurture generations of scientists, broadcast professionals and electronics specialists, many of whom play key roles in today's telecommunications revolution.
Even more widely recognized is Amateur Radio's emergency and public service capacity. Amateur Radio provides a valuable, and sometimes life-saving, public benefit. Indeed, as the nation's telecommunication infrastructure becomes increasingly complex it also becomes increasingly important to have a simple back-up service like Amateur Radio available in the event of serious disruption.
Together with my colleagues in the US House of Representatives, I have made a move to continue the traditional support of the Amateur Radio frequencies with HR 783, the Amateur Radio Spectrum Protection Act. HR 783 would require the Federal Communications Commission to provide equivalent replacement spectrum should it ever be necessary to reallocate Amateur Radio frequencies for some other purpose.
As a cosponsor, I aim to further the cause of the individual amateur radio operator, keeping HAM frequencies from being eclipsed by newer technologies well into the 21st century.
Please feel free to contact my legislative assistant, Scott Bryant, if you have any questions or concerns related to this legislation or any other issue.
Sincerely,
John T. Doolittle
United States Representative

3255. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n78ra$o8n$0@63.162.246.119>
Source record: 82351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > Your "degree" is mail order,
>
> Wrong. If you still want to push this, please provide your verifiable proof
> within 48 hours
> or be found to be a liar by default.
>

Johnny, you forget, I know. Is the "University" that you claim within
100, no, 200, no, even 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved
your "degree"?????

> > your military experience consists of PLO, Permanent Latrine Orderly,
>
> Wrong again, the branch of service where I have my experience did/does NOT
> have anything called a "latrine"! OBVIOUSLY YOU HAVE NO MILITARY
> EXPERIENCE, or you wouldn't have needed to be told!!!!!!!
>
Chuckle. Your stupidity is showing.

3256. Re: Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n794g$p31$0@63.162.246.119>
Source record: 82352

James Nied wrote:
>
> Does anybody know if this Mackey guy ever got any help with his
> problem.......?

My understanding that he was not able to find an attorney to assist
him with his legal problems with the FCC.

He did however, get help from a public defender on his criminal
problems with the local law enforcment.

3257. DJ-X2 Manual Chapter 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5zrq <kc5zrq@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#ZJfcsXBAHA.198@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 82353

I posted a HTML version of the Alinco DJ-X2 owner's manual to
http://www.DJ-X2.com/ and it is missing chapter 3. I think Chapter 3
describes how to use the voice descrambler and bug detector. Does anyone
have a owner's manual with chapter 3 in it? Perhaps a European version.

3258. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <39972B19.318D4416@oio.net>
Source record: 82354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man,
If you think that the "Slobber Head" is entertaining,
typical, or in anyway representative of ham radio, your right
about needing to sell your ham gear. Hopefully, ol'James will
be history shortly. As far as the 'bloody bowels' conversations,
I agree with you, wish there were less of it. But, that's what
that big dial on the radio is for... spin it.
'Doc

3259. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <39972C9F.8DF42287@oio.net>
Source record: 82355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man,
And by the way. If this really is the 'illegal immigrnt',
you have a lot of room to talk about the "Slobber Head"...
'Doc

3260. Douglas County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Weinberg <jjweinb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YaGl5.741$z64.159447@den-news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 82356

Does anyone know where I can get trunking information for Douglas County, Colorado? If you do, could you please e-mail me at jjweinb@earthlink.net. Douglas County is located just South of the Denver metro area.

Jeff Weinberg

3261. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Test 4 Echo <test4echo@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <8n7bo0$c1r$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 82357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Many times in our lives we do things before we think. My response to Daniel Conti's posting to my request was harsh and at least uncalled for on the news group. Instead of posting it here I should have E-Mailed Mr. Conti directly. I apologize first to Mr. Conti for my rudeness and poor judgment in making my reply for all to see. I apologize secondly to the users of the newsgroups involved for using this space for an outlet for something I myself discourage (Flaming). Like I noted in that post, there are people who are involved in many aspects of radio, some of which may hold useful information someone needs but does not subscribe to the "exact" newsgroup it would fall under. I myself am a Ham operator/scanner & short-wave enthusiast but I don't subscribe to any ham related groups. I expanded my search area to short-wave in hopes of finding someone who could help me but maybe didn't subscribe to a scanner. Enough of the BS, apologies all the way around.....Let's all keep in mind that sometimes people do look in nearly related places for related info to what their looking for.... Sorry for the mega-flame Dan.

Dave

3262. Help me score with my wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bdw <bdwright@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8n7fu9$8qm$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 82358

My wife has been wanting a scanner for a long time now, and I hope I can get some help with selecting one here. I'd like to get a handheld model for under $200. I'm not a tightwad. We have four kids that feeding takes priority over luxury items. But she really wants this and I'd appriciate any help. Thanks.

3263. Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39974464.511130FA@ios.com>
Source record: 82359

I am seriously thinking about buying an Optoelectronics Scout for frequency finding, but I would first like to hear from anyone who has one of these, and uses it/ How well does it work, what are some "pitfalls", and where does it shine? Thanks for any information.

Frank Carson

3264. Re: permanent auto antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Anderson <longrange@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39972AAA.62A20FB5@uswest.net>
Source record: 82360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I just bought a nice Comet dual band 144/440 antenna and mounted it on my Ford Bronco. It is not much longer than my Ford radio antenna, so it goes in and out of the garage OK. used a Larsen mount that screws into the top of the fender between the edge of the fender and the edge of the hood. Mount is pretty unobtrusive and out of line of vision pretty much. This is a great antenna. I can e-mail additional information and a picture if you want. 73
Ken KC0INZ

JA Moran wrote:

> what is a good source of permanent mount (not magnetic) auto antennas... I
> drive a F-150 pickup and have a few magnetic antennas on the roof.... I'd
> like to get three or four permanent antennas...
> one antenna for CB, one for 2M/440, one for low band and one for high band
> (for the scanners)
>
> One person remarked that my truck is amobile communications center with all
> the radio equipment I have
> three Scanners, CB, and soon 2M/440 also.
>
> I am in either the Phoenix Az or Glendale Ca areas
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
> Computer Systems,
> |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you
> run.--
> |we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
> |-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
> |Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> |++

3265. FS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8n7m49oju1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82361

RS PRO 2035 in very good cond. Used as a base only, with manual, $250.
shipped first class.

3266. Re: Airlines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39976A56.5098@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82362

Dominic wrote:
>
> If anyone has the frequencies of Air Traffic Control or airlines freq
> in south florida please email me .. thanks in advance.

Have a look at http://www.airnav.com/

3267. United Airlines Motorola Trunked System at Oakland International Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39976D77.14AC@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi All,

It appears that United Airlines is getting ready to go live with a Motorola Trunking System at Oakland International Airport. I remember seeing a posting somewhere a few months ago (could have been SCAN-L or rec.radio.scanner) about someone finding a couple of Motorola Control channels on two Ramp frequencies at Baltimore International Aiport.

Has anyone else discovered this at other United Airlines hubs?

The following information was sent to me yesterday by another member of the Bayscan list:

FCC Lists the callsign WPMV365 and specifies the following frequencies

460/465.6750 MHz
460/465.7000 MHz Control channel heard on Saturday
460/465.7750 MHz Control channel heard on Friday
460/465.8000 MHz Control channel heard on Sunday (today)
460/465.8750 MHz

Licensee is United Airlines.
Syatem ID: 742b.

I have no idea what the current Base and Offset are for this system.

Does anyone know how to determine the Base and Offset for a Motorola System in the 460 Mhz band?

T.I.A.

Bob

3268. Re: What is the freq to channel relationship for these 400Mhz freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39977521.7E4B@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the following FAQ:

http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/tt_ids.htm

There's a section about 2/3 of the way down the page titled

Determining Base and Offset Frequencies for the BC245xlt

The steps described in this section should also work with the Pro 94.

There's also a section titled Federal Trunking Frequencies.

Gina Medina wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the proper techniques for the offset programming for
> those fed uhf's for the PRO-94???
>
> Bud the Real wrote:
> >
> > F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> hollered from the outhouse...
> >
> > > The frequencies are used by various Federal agencies for trunked systems.
> > >One or more of the four groups are used to compose a trunk. Here in Atlanta
> > >the Bureau of Prisons uses Group 4 at the Federal Pen.
> > >
> > > Group 1 2 3 4
> > > 406.35 406.75 406.55 406.95
> > > 407.15 407.55 407.35 407.75
> > > 407.95 408.35 408.15 408.55
> > > 408.75 409.15 408.95 409.35
> > > 409.55 409.95 409.75 410.15
> >
> > But in other cases, the systems don't even come close to fitting that pattern.
> > Here's the freqs for one of the Mil systems for san Diego..
> >
> > 406.550
> > 406.950
> > 407.300
> > 407.325
> > 408.200
> > 408.750
> > 409.275
> > 409.950
> > 410.150
> >

> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

3269. whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yizeguy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18363-39977A2F-127@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82365

why do people still care about listening to cellular?

most all the listening that can be done is now analog only, and 90% is now digital and cant be heard anyway.

aside from that, its just plain uninteresting anyway. i will admit a few years ago there was more traffic out there and occassionally there was a gem amongst all the crap. but now ? who cares? theres nothing there anymore!

perhaps its a matter of principal. just having some band taken away that is free to monitor in other countries. i admit that kinda pises me off to.

so whats the big deal?

the reason i ask is i have a scanner for sale that is blocked, and people email me saying they dont want it for that reason, after they find out it is a later unrestorable model.

3270. Mackey Forges My message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <uoMI5.1351$3b6.396146@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John-Corky Mackey Caught Again.

Why are you making up what I said John-Corky? Once again Corky-John stoops to lying.

K S 0 F AKA John-Corky AKA Toilet-Boy lied and changed my words.....
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > OBVIOUSLY Your answer to what??? I wrote and you tried to deny:
> > "Well the vote so far is hate John Mackey 6
> > Hate me - 0
> SNIP

That's not what I wrote John. I said

"Well the vote so far is hate John-Corky Mackey 6"

You changed it John-Corky. In denial once again. I say John-Corky and you heel.

Now, how come you ignored this other revelation? Oh yeah. It exposed ANOTHER John-Corky LIE!

> Glenn - I guess if I have to chose one or the other then I have to take
> hating YOU!

You have already been counted Corky-John. It's now people who posted they hate John-Corky Mackey 46 Glenn -3

> > People who have posted they hate John- 43

That's not what I said liar John-Corky. How low will you go?

> How do you figure 43 when there has only been about 3 or 4??

46 moron. There are actually more, I am only counting people that have been around this group for a while. Not votes against me though, or you would be back to two, you, John-Corky and your luv RicK-Corky. How sweet. Is RicK a PARG member or just a PARG groupie?

3271. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net>
Message-ID: <130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net>
Source record: 82397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows cell phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital here. There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the entrances.

Dave

dave@davelewis.net

In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:

> Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit the use
> of cell phones also?

3272. Democratic Convention Freqs, Numbers ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <QAsl5.1788$NG2.78426@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82398

Anyone compiling a list of freqs for the Democratic Convention?....I saw on the news tonight that the CHP is in charge of security for a couple blocks of downtown (major hotels, etc), and they have brought in a large number of assets from Northern California. The spokeperson stated that patrol staffing levels are 50% higher than normal in the LA area... LAPD is already on tactical alert, meaning 4600 officers on duty at any given time. I don't have a number on the LA Sheriffs, but do know that there are 30 or so cities in the county that have promised 10 man/1 sgt strike teams if necessary.

And 3000 National Guard troops tucked away in Los Alamitos.

3273. Re: Antenna in Tree (hidden from HOA) - do leaves interfere with re...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21553-39965CE8-1@storefull-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82399

Okay heres what i did and it worked!

I did two things to beat the HOA's.
The first time i had a upper balcony. I filled a big plastic pot with cement and placed a 6 foot pole in the center. I then mounted a discone on that and it worked quite well. Made a nice Christmas tree during the season (kidding) There rules were "nothing attached to the balcony".I was able to move it in and out as necessary.

Definately need the best coax I use LMR-400 flex. not to expensive and bends easily.
low loss.

The second place we lived was after the quake, and since i was a ham operator, i went to them and said "I am a federally licensed ham operator and i can provide emergency communications during an emergency even when all phones and power is out (which it was) and i can talk all the way to nevada on my battery back ups" I can provide a valuble service to you. Perhaps save someones life! And it wont interfere with tv sets. they then said what do you need to do it...ohh a nice discone and a tri band verticle will do nicely. its dosent look to bad either. I showed them in a catalog what they weighed and looked like. a week later they gave me a hand putting them on top of the building.
so my advice...be a ham, not to hard to do either. and do your thing for public saftey. (and hobby)

HIHI OM

Typecast

3274. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <399675b7_1@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 82400

A radio with VHF TV sound coverage will also work for this kinda' thing.

"Jason" <greatmustachio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kDol5.6167$pu4.462994@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Your other option is to try the image frequency caused by the if.
> Lets assume that you have a RS scanner with a 10.8 MHz if...
>
> Freq. 182.2
> IF 10.8
> ------------------
> Difference 171.4
>
> So, by plugging in 174.4, you might hear it. Also try 10.7. There are
other
> if's, but I don't remember them.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
> John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:8mhc0ssiv1@news.monmouth.com...
> > In article <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>, bill@rtr.com (bill)
> > wrote:
> >
> > > I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> > > police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq.
could
> > > Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/ How about
> > > an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com
> >
> > The point that is missing from other posts is that it is typically
higher
> > end scanners offer the extended coverage you are looking for, Bill. Keep
> > an eye out on ebay (heaven forbid!) or the RING! Trading Station for
some
> > used rigs such as those mentioned.
>
>

3275. Lista de Correo para aficionados al scanneo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Berna <berna10@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <BCul5.48584$1p5.139915@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 82401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

He creado una lista de correo para los que tenemos scanners port�tiles,se
llama
RadioUtilitarias,para subscribirse a ella ir a esta pagina:
http://es.egroups.com/ � enviar un mensaje a
RadioUtilitarias-subscribe@egroups.com

He creado una lista de correo para los que tenemos scanners port�tiles y se
dedican sobre todo a la escucha de emisoras
utilitarias,(ambulancias,protecci�n civil,aviones,etc),se llama
RadioUtilitarias,para subscribirse a ella ir a esta pagina:
http://es.egroups.com/

3276. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Test 4 Echo <test4echo@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <39967ACD.9138763A@monmouth.com>
Source record: 82402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No problem Dan......I just dont like to see people get flamed, including myself...

Dave

3277. Q: Best scanner for decoding from IF? Still Pro2006??!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: MD <medic@bigfot.com>
Message-ID: <ihvl5.5820$IH.245468@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82403

Hi all,

Years ago I used a Pro2006 to decode data. It was very selective and worked well. Since so much time has passed I was wondering what the group thought was the best current scanner from RS? How does it compare to the old 2006? As selective or not?

Thanks very much.

MD

--
Reply here or spell check my email address.

****
root@localhost postmaster@localhost admin@localhost
abuse@localhost postmaster@127.0.0.1
****

3278. FS: ICOM R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <39968cb5_3@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 82404

For Sale: Icom R-2 wideband scanner. Like new, don't use it much.
All original accessories including nicads, charger, antenna, manuals,
and box. $140 includes shipping by Priority Mail. dlessard@telplus.net.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3279. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39969D22.4CBB3D1F@megsinet.net>
Source record: 82405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The image is TWICE the IF away from the transmitted frequency.
Therefore, the calculation would be 182.2 - (2 * 10.8) or
or 182.2 + (2 * 10.8), depending on whether the receiver
employs low or high side injection.

Jason wrote:
>
> Your other option is to try the image frequency caused by the if.
> Lets assume that you have a RS scanner with a 10.8 MHz if...
>
> Freq. 182.2
> IF 10.8
> ------------------
> Difference 171.4
>
> So, by plugging in 174.4, you might hear it. Also try 10.7. There are other
> if's, but I don't remember them.
>
> Jason
>
> John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:8mhc0ssiv1@news.monmouth.com...
> > In article <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>, bill@rtr.com (bill)
> > wrote:
> >
> > > I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> > > police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq. could
> > > Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/ How about
> > > an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com
> >
> > The point that is missing from other posts is that it is typically higher
> > end scanners offer the extended coverage you are looking for, Bill. Keep
> > an eye out on ebay (heaven forbid!) or the RING! Trading Station for some
> > used rigs such as those mentioned.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

3280. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813092315.12599.00000618@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 82406

Have you tried monitoring the SPEN channels.Many agencies in Middlesex and Monmouth counties use these frequencies for just this purpose. You might also try the talk-around freq. from the old 460 system. There were several agencies using 465 split channel for undercover work.

Rickey Stein
x-RCMA International Editor

3281. Re: Does anyone use RS-8500 software for the IC-R8500 - Slight problem???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3996A11F.9BD2226A@megsinet.net>
Source record: 82407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bruce,

That's an interesting observation. My IC-R8500 is located in the
basement.
When I use the ICOM software (ver. 1.0), the computer is located in a
different
room so I cannot view the IC-R8500's front panel when it's under
computer control.

One problem is that the software appears to have a "memory leak" when
you display a certain combination of windows. I don't recall the
configuration.
Sooner or later, it can cause my PC to run low on resources.

Also, the IC-R8500 scans slower under software control than its maximum
40 ch/sec. rate.
The software lacks a print function. Otherwise, it's a pretty good
implementation.

Bruce Tyler wrote:
>
> I use the Icom RS-8500 software to control my R-8500 some of the time....
> It works just fine only when I come to change channel, it will change the
> frequency only in the actual receiver. In the program the channel number
> and channel info change also but not in the receiver..

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

3282. moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man <voofoodoo3@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Ksxl5.6070$IH.253328@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tuned down around 3853 last nite. Insomnia. Was hoping to catch that old
drunk rom Conroe Tx flappin his gums and drinkin from the ole-brown-bottle.
Got a pity-party instead - some other guy in 5-land whining like a old
maid how James can't do no wrong and folks from other freqs are
jammin and playin music24 hrs a day. (didn't her a thing) Guess he never
heard
james launch into an all-nighter of blue language that would knock
over a mule and make Big-Al's past tirades look like an english garden
tea party... Oh well, on to more radio amusment, spin the VFO!
I tune up to 3950 around 2 AM an some 4-lander is moanin about
"someone from Gettysburg Pa" makin problems for him or other what not.
Switched it off, phoey! Watched E! Network instead - cute gals in
dental-floss
bikinis gyrating on a beach - this is more like it!! Gotta sell that stupid
ham gear. Nothin but a pack of cheap old white men whining, bitchin and
complanin
like old washer-women leanin on a backyard fence, complete with the pink
curlers
in their hair and varicose legs showin. Yup. Think I'll sell the Yaesu and
buy me
one of those new 19" satellite dishes what get all the Triple-X TeeVee
channels.
This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.

3283. LA DEmocrat frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3996AB7F.28E8BB53@analon.com>
Source record: 82409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

anyone have a list of frequencies active around the Staples center in Los Angeles?

I have found activity on media frequencies in the 450.00- 451.00 and 455.00-456.00 range.
I believe ther eare also some media frequencies in the 160.00-161.500 and 172.00-174.00 range

also, there are wireless microphones in the 174.00-216.00 range.

JOHN

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|--------------------------310-720-2927--------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

3284. permanent auto antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3996AC5D.19440829@analon.com>
Source record: 82410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

what is a good source of permanent mount (not magnetic) auto antennas... I drive a F-150 pickup and have a few magnetic antennas on the roof.... I'd like to get three or four permanent antennas...
one antenna for CB, one for 2M/440, one for low band and one for high band (for the scanners)

One person remarked that my truck is amobile communications center with all the radio equipment I have
three Scanners, CB, and soon 2M/440 also.

I am in either the Phoenix Az or Glendale Ca areas

JOHN

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

3285. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <N7yl5.633$pF6.35526@news3.mia>
Source record: 82411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Hospitals ban cell phones, while security and maintenance staff walk around
with UHF walkie talkies....

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows cell
> phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital here.
> There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> entrances.
>
> Dave
>
> dave@davelewis.net
>
>
> In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
>
> > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit the
use
> > of cell phones also?

3286. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813102018.11332.00006782@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 82412

In article <aQol5.6168$pu4.463197@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Jason"
<greatmustachio@yahoo.com> writes:

>I was driving down the highway talking on my cell phone when my Firestone
>tires blew out causing me to lose control and rolling my vehicle, and
>spilling my piping hot McDonalds coffee on me and burning my self.

Yes indeed. Free money is readilly available here in the Land of Legalized
Extortion. Call Johnny Cochran today!

3287. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8n6ctv$4a8$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CONGRATS ON A GREAT POST. HUMOROUS, SARCASTIC, INTELLIGENT & ENLIGHTENING.
You write well. Give us MORE.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <Ksxl5.6070$IH.253328@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man" <voofoodoo3@juno.com> wrote:

> Tuned down around 3853 last nite. Insomnia. Was hoping to catch that old
> drunk rom Conroe Tx flappin his gums and drinkin from the ole-brown-bottle.
> Got a pity-party instead - some other guy in 5-land whining like a old
> maid how James can't do no wrong and folks from other freqs are
> jammin and playin music24 hrs a day. (didn't her a thing) Guess he never
> heard
> james launch into an all-nighter of blue language that would knock
> over a mule and make Big-Al's past tirades look like an english garden
> tea party... Oh well, on to more radio amusment, spin the VFO!
> I tune up to 3950 around 2 AM an some 4-lander is moanin about
> "someone from Gettysburg Pa" makin problems for him or other what not.
> Switched it off, phoey! Watched E! Network instead - cute gals in
> dental-floss
> bikinis gyrating on a beach - this is more like it!! Gotta sell that stupid
> ham gear. Nothin but a pack of cheap old white men whining, bitchin and
> complanin
> like old washer-women leanin on a backyard fence, complete with the pink
> curlers
> in their hair and varicose legs showin. Yup. Think I'll sell the Yaesu and
> buy me
> one of those new 19" satellite dishes what get all the Triple-X TeeVee
> channels.
> This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.
>
>

3288. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spdds0n1n4t57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Count one in favor of KS0F and one against Glenn from me!

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:xSml5.91518$V34.877280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > Hate me - 0
> SNIP
>
>

3289. Help for a newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bernard Seeff <bseeff@symo.co.za>
Message-ID: <3996ba2e.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 82415

I have just bought an Icom PCR 1000 scanner, but know absolutely nothing about scanning.

Is there any place that I can be directed to in order to read up about the difference between AM/FM/USB etc, what are memory banks, how do I find interesting frequencies, etc

I know it is a very broad section, but I am sure that here must be web sites that provide all these answers.

Bernard Seeff
Johannesburg

3290. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813111839.19603.00001328@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 82416

```
Glenn = NO
John = Yes
```

3291. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813112717.19603.00001329@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 82417

```
>Subject: Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?
>From: "Glenn" glenn.hansen@usa.net
>Date: 8/13/00 12:30 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <rnql5.1149$tc.547923@news.uswest.net>
>

Listen up loser, you are a wasted wad.
I vote for John and not for Glenn and the Cheek-A-Likes.
```

3292. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <134343589BEE5D24.BE8D67A93BC67973.F0E8365E63DB2518@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are correct. Alot of Jamming and music playing last night at 3.8xx mhz.
Looks like HAM has become CB.

<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:8n6ctv$4a8$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> CONGRATS ON A GREAT POST. HUMOROUS, SARCASTIC, INTELLIGENT & ENLIGHTENING.
> You write well. Give us MORE.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
> ----------
> In article <Ksxl5.6070$IH.253328@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "The
> Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man" <voofoodoo3@juno.com> wrote:
>
>
> > Tuned down around 3853 last nite. Insomnia. Was hoping to catch that old
> > drunk rom Conroe Tx flappin his gums and drinkin from the ole-brown-bottle.
> > Got a pity-party instead - some other guy in 5-land whining like a old
> > maid how James can't do no wrong and folks from other freqs are
> > jammin and playin music24 hrs a day. (didn't her a thing) Guess he never
> > heard
> > james launch into an all-nighter of blue language that would knock
> > over a mule and make Big-Al's past tirades look like an english garden
> > tea party... Oh well, on to more radio amusment, spin the VFO!
> > I tune up to 3950 around 2 AM an some 4-lander is moanin about
> > "someone from Gettysburg Pa" makin problems for him or other what not.
> > Switched it off, phoey! Watched E! Network instead - cute gals in
> > dental-floss
> > bikinis gyrating on a beach - this is more like it!! Gotta sell that stupid
> > ham gear. Nothin but a pack of cheap old white men whining, bitchin and
> > complanin
> > like old washer-women leanin on a backyard fence, complete with the pink
> > curlers
> > in their hair and varicose legs showin. Yup. Think I'll sell the Yaesu and
> > buy me
> > one of those new 19" satellite dishes what get all the Triple-X TeeVee
> > channels.
> > This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.
> >
> >

3293. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simple Simon <dummy1@dummy2.com>
Message-ID: <e$n7gzTBAHA.253@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 82419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

and if you REALLY want to know why just ask them if it's radio interference
or NOISE. Aside from asking you to leave, they can't DO anything.

Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows cell
> phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital here.
> There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> entrances.
>
> Dave
>
> dave@davelewis.net
>
>
> In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
>
> > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit the
use
> > of cell phones also?

3294. Re: Help for a newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimk2000 <insgeeksNOinSPAM@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <3586d988.e57bd755@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com>
Source record: 82420

Go to:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revpcr.htm

That should be a good start.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3295. BC245 trunk problem: 'data' icon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: buckaroosd@aol.com
Message-ID: <SKzl5.150$xg1.19995@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 82421

My BC245 has been working flawlessly tracking City of San Diego trunked freqs for months. However, it suddenly has begun displaying the 'data' icon (with the slash through it) and I can't get rid of it. Have tried simply pressing the 'data' button to no avail. Any insights on how to get rid of it? New frequencies? If so, any ideas what they are? I'm having trouble finding any new ones. User error?

Thanks in advance for any tips.

3296. Re: BC245 trunk problem: 'data' icon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8n6ifbrsl1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82422

<buckaroosd@aol.com> wrote in message
news:SKzl5.150$xg1.19995@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> My BC245 has been working flawlessly tracking City of San Diego trunked
> freqs for months. However, it suddenly has begun displaying the 'data'
icon
> (with the slash through it) and I can't get rid of it. Have tried simply
> pressing the 'data' button to no avail. Any insights on how to get rid of
> it? New frequencies? If so, any ideas what they are? I'm having trouble
> finding any new ones. User error?
>
> Thanks in advance for any tips.
>

You have inadvertently turned OFF the disconnect tone method of determining when a trunked call has ended. Chances are you notice that there is now a 'squelch tail' on the end of trunked conversations? To turn the disconnect tone method back on, just hit the SVC key while the scanner is stopped on a trunked conversation or ID and the scanner will beep once and that data icon will go off.

How you got into that mode is that you hit the SVC key while you were monitoring a trunked ID (the scanner would beep twice and that data icon would start blinking). Then on further trunked conversations, the data icon would remain on. It's an indicator (believe it or not) that the disconnect tone method of determining when a conversation is ended is not being used. I don't believe that this is documented in the manual.

The whole reason for having this ability is that sometimes if you are in a very strong signal area, the scanner can be fooled into 'thinking' that the disconnect tone has been generated and thus leave a conversation prematurely. With the disconnect tone turned off, the scanner will only leave a conversation when the actual RF is dropped at the end of the conversation. I am very close to a NJ state police base but I have never had a problem with the disconnect tone method. I like it a lot since the conversations end 'very softly' with no squelch tail.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3297. Re: Non computer programmable scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <t3Al5.76744$oj6.973291@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82423

Jason,

I could be wrong on this but I believe Bill Cheek's (no flames please) CE-232
was wired into the scanner to simulate user key pushes and possibly read
the display on scanners. Although Bill is gone now, his web page is still
active and his wife may be continuing his business.

http://www.comtronics.net/0_oview.htm

Someone else on this NG who has actually used one of these things might
be able to give you more information.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Jason" <greatmustachio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:TUol5.6169$pu4.463333@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
Has anyone ever tried to figure out a way to read and write to a scanner that is not able
to be computer controlled out of the box to program it? I know it can be done on other
radios.

Jason

3298. FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: J V <vincentj@micron.net>
Message-ID: <2hAl5.11$NC2.6675@news03.micron.net>
Source record: 82424

Hi all,
I have a few scanners for sale-

Uniden BC200XLT (unblocked), extra battery pack, rubber duck. Near perfect condition. Make an offer.

Pro 92 (1.00 version), software, cable, original box, manual. This radio is in PERFECT condition! Only turned on twice. Make an offer.

Pro 2046 radio is in pretty good condition. No scratches on face or screen.

Pro 26 Radio is in alright condition. Does not 'search' correctly. Case has small hole cut into it (from mod that didnt work). Scans perfect. Other than the search function this in a GREAT radio.

I will only ship in CONUS. Please make an offer.

Jim (KC7RYD)

3299. Re: PC RADIO SCANNERS???????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <8n6hns02apn@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82425

I prefer portable PC Compatible Scanners like Uniden's BC 245 XLT, AOR AR8000 with Optolinx Interface, Yupiteru's latest MVT line or even Uniden's Base/Mobile BC 895 XLT or any of their latest updated PC Compatible versions as opposed to an Internal PC unit like the Winradio because you will get much more use from a versatile, independant scanner when it's not tied to your noise generating PC which will also tie you down to a desk that's not so portable.
Any scanner that is able to be run and analyzed by freeware or pro software by computer will be favorable to own and use under many situations and circumstances whether you're on the run or feel like relaxing to a beer while you're sitting at the workbench figuring out all of your scanner's capabilities and possibilities by PC.
Of course, half the fun is making sure you have a good antenna or several antennas to compare and maximize your efforts when looking for and monitoring useful, active frequencies locally, distantly, and DXally.
Jack

"SIERRA" <spa911@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:398EF938.E1235FA9@pacbell.net...
> WHAT IS A GOOD PC SCANNER?? NOT PHOTO SCANNER "RADIO SCANNER"
>

3300. Re: Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <3996D4AB.5B065FF9@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

So when are you going to forgive, FORGET and carry on
Mackey?

K S 0 F wrote:

> Rick - your are correct, but Bill is dead now so blaming him doesn't
> do much. The best we can do is forgive, forget, & carry on as
> best as possible.
>
> "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> > I once heard a Cheek-A-Like say that BC made this NG exactly what it is
> > today and that we should praise him for it.

3301. Re: FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <dyAl5.66151$fR2.701934@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82427

I'll offer $125.00 + shipping for the PRO-92.

Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

"J V" <vincentj@micron.net> wrote in message
news:2hAl5.11$NC2.6675@news03.micron.net...
> Hi all,
> I have a few scanners for sale-
>
> Uniden BC200XLT (unblocked), extra battery pack, rubber duck. Near
perfect
> condition. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 92 (1.00 version), software, cable, original box, manual. This
radio
> is in PERFECT condition! Only turned on twice. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 2046 radio is in pretty good condition. No scratches on face or
> screen.
>
> Pro 26 Radio is in alright condition. Does not 'search' correctly.
Case
> has small hole cut into it (from mod that didnt work). Scans perfect.
> Other than the search function this in a GREAT radio.
>
> I will only ship in CONUS. Please make an offer.
>
> Jim (KC7RYD)
>
>

3302. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WqAl5.13290$H14.29766@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

The hospital here doesn't allow any two-way radio transmissions. The police (UHF) and fire (VHF) personnel have to step outside the E.R. to use their handhelds

Mark K5MAR

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:N7yl5.633$pF6.35526@news3.mia...
> Hospitals ban cell phones, while security and maintenance staff walk around
> with UHF walkie talkies....
>
>
> --
> Ken Roberts
> kenr55@bellsouth.net
>
>
>
> Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
> news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> > Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows cell
> > phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital here.
> > There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> > entrances.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> > dave@davelewis.net
> >
> >
> > In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> > <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit the
> use
> > > of cell phones also?
>
>

3303. Re: Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <8n6ide02bcg@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82429

Does anybody know if this Mackey guy is for real?
Jack
"James Nied" <sobyo@mc.net> wrote in message news:3994A54D.3984@mc.net...
> Does anybody know if this Mackey guy ever got any help with his
> problem.....?

3304. Martin County FL trunking format?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim44442@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813132056.00956.00000556@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 82430

Anyone know what trunking format is employed by Martin County FL?
Equipment being used is Johnson but trunktracker is not decoding any LTR data.
Maybe LTR-net ?

3305. Re: FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <rsBl5.6941$IH.272988@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82431

$150 plus shipping for the PRO-92

J V <vincentj@micron.net> wrote in message
news:2hAl5.11$NC2.6675@news03.micron.net...
> Hi all,
> I have a few scanners for sale-
>
> Uniden BC200XLT (unblocked), extra battery pack, rubber duck. Near
perfect
> condition. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 92 (1.00 version), software, cable, original box, manual. This
radio
> is in PERFECT condition! Only turned on twice. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 2046 radio is in pretty good condition. No scratches on face or
> screen.
>
> Pro 26 Radio is in alright condition. Does not 'search' correctly.
Case
> has small hole cut into it (from mod that didnt work). Scans perfect.
> Other than the search function this in a GREAT radio.
>
> I will only ship in CONUS. Please make an offer.
>
> Jim (KC7RYD)
>
>
>

3306. Antenna Craft ST-2 scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ekim_223@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3996eb8a.3010878@news.toolcity.net>
Source record: 82432

I have a Antenna Craft ST-2 scanner antenna. The Low and High Band VHF
is great, but UHF 450 and up sucks, especially the 851 and up.
Looking for any ideas on how to improve this.
thanks,
Mike

3307. (Corky-John/Rick-Mackey watch) Corky leads poll 45 to 2 VOTE NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <4dCl5.192$FL1.210876@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

RicK Larson like his true love Corky slobbered, barely cohherent.....

> Count one in favor of KS0F and one against Glenn from me!

Pay attention Rick Or RicK or whatever you are today as you mimic
John-Corky.

> K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> news:xSml5.91518$V34.877280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > SNIP
> > > Hate me - 0
> > SNIP

Are you really John-Corky? You are just like him. You didn't need to vote
Rick-Corky, I already counted your vote. You SNIPped it just like John-Corky
SNIPs. What a couple!

Here, again for John-Corky and RicK-Corky.

I wrote and RicK-Corky SNIPped" 43-01 or if your luv Rick or RicK votes
43-2"

But two more in the last 6 hours voted against John-Corky so no we
have.....

45 hate Corky-Mackey/RicK Mackey

2 (John/RicK MacKey) hate me.

You should have learned from your twin brother Corky-John, Rick-Corky.

Glenn

3308. pro 26 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000813150356.18644.00000453@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82434

I have a pro 26 for auction on ebay.

You can access auction at url included below.

Thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=408613467

3309. Pro 2045 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000813150526.18644.00000455@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82435

I have a pro 2045 for auction on ebay.

You can access the auction at the link below.

Thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=408622709

3310. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <nmpdpsgdgj7vptjhqrclvqhqbvg995nub3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man" <voofoodoo3@juno.com> wrote:

>This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.

Most of us are literate enough to spell "losers" correctly, however.

I don't think you really meant "commensurate," either, since it makes no
sense in the context of the sentence.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3311. AOR AR-1500 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ELW..." <ewyatt@excite.com>
Message-ID: <8n70nl$7slk6$1@ID-36342.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82437

Anyone,

Does anyone here own (or have much knowledge of) the incredible AR-1500 handheld scanner that was available in the early 1990s? I have a question regarding the battery packs and power options for the unit.

Thanks.

EW

3312. Question about pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shamire <Shamire@home.com>
Message-ID: <39970659.6EE2FD01@home.com>
Source record: 82438

What is the current asking price for a pro-2006 with no mods..

and the current asking price for the pro-2006 with the cell mod

3313. Md. State Toll Facilities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: suestec <crayon@bcpl.net>
Message-ID: <dKDl5.454$Dx1.130977@news.abs.net>
Source record: 82439

Looking for the scanner freqs for
the Md. State Toll Facilities and Police
for the I-95/Fort McHenry Tunnel (Baltimore, MD),
the old Harbor Tunnel (also Baltimore, MD),
the Chesapeake Bay bridge and Susquehanna Bridges
(I-95 and Rt. 40).

Thanks in advance.

3314. "Reliable Police, Fire Radios a Must" LA Times on OC system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8n72a4$lr6$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 82440
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,ca.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,scbi.fr.sapeurs_pompiers

The L.A. Times has a good commentary in today's (Sunday 8/13) edition on the problem-plagued OC system. I suspect that writer Jack Leonard's work was the basis for it...perhaps he wrote this editorial...

http://www.latimes.com/editions/orange/comment/20000813/t000075875.html

Southern Calif Fire news and scanning info at:

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm

3315. Re: AOR AR-1500 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: MESA <s.billard@infonie.fr>
Message-ID: <TqEl5.2461$TP3.2750603@nnrp4.proxad.net>
Source record: 82441

I got one mail me if ya wanna talk

ELW... <ewyatt@excite.com> a crit dans le message :
8n70nl$7slk6$1@ID-36342.news.cis.dfn.de...
> Anyone,
>
> Does anyone here own (or have much knowledge of) the incredible AR-1500
> handheld scanner that was available in the early 1990s? I have a question
> regarding the battery packs and power options for the unit.
>
> Thanks.
>
> EW
>
>

3316. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8n74v6mkc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <nmpdpsgdgj7vptjhqrclvqhqbvg995nub3@4ax.com>,
glenq@cyberhighway.net wrote:
> "The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man" <voofoodoo3@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.
>
> Most of us are literate enough to spell "losers" correctly, however.
>
> I don't think you really meant "commensurate," either, since it makes
no
> sense in the context of the sentence.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

Maybe his panties were too tight. :)
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3317. Re: Question about pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <399868BE.3DE68002@home.com>
Source record: 82443

> I own two of them and I'd say the base price would be around $250.00
> regardless of the cell mod. That mod is quite easy to do btw so it
> really would not be a factor in the pricing. That would be in or near
> perfect condition also. My second pro 2006 which I bought on ebay
> started out around $200.00 and I wound up with it around $430.00 due
> to a bidding war :-). Sometimes you get a couple of guys who just
> won't let it go. Baby boomers with some money and some misplaced ego.
> :-).

With kind regards

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, Michigan.

3318. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: peerless@oxymoron.net
Message-ID: <peerless-1308001534190001@ip216-26-39-237.dsl.du.teleport.com>
Source record: 82444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <rnql5.1149$tc.547923@news.uswest.net>, "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> "Well the vote so far is hate John-Corky Mackey 6
>
> Hate me - 0

FWIW, I hate Geln hansem to.

3319. Re: what's up with Blake Bowers? was:Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n7871$n65$0@63.162.246.119>
Source record: 82445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.firefighters

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> None of the statments you have made below are true. Please present
> your verifiable proof of this within 48 hours or be found to be a
> liar by default.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, you despicable liar.
> >
> > You were asked to leave FIDO,
> >

Numerous people, including myself, asked you to leave FIDO.

> > You were asked to leave the INTERNET
> >

Numerous people, including myself, have asked you to leave the Internet.

> > You were asked to leave the human race!

Numerous people, including myself, have both questioned if you are
actually a member of the human race, and if so, to leave the human
race.

There. Proof positive that you are a liar.

3320. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n78c6$na8$0@63.162.246.119>
Source record: 82446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Jerry Heimarck" <heimarck@concentric.net> wrote
> > The facts remain that you lie. Daily. Period. End of discussion.
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. If you still want to make
> your
> statement, then present verifiable proof within 48 hours or be found a liar
> by
> default.

John, I just proved, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that you lied when
you said no one has asked you to leave FIDO!

3321. Re: AOR 8200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Dekker <kekie@dek.net>
Message-ID: <#v#LJmKBAHA.364@net025s>
Source record: 82478

```
ai..nog steeds niet verkocht...
vreemde dollarkoers... hier staat ie 2.4385
```

EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com> wrote in message
news:74il5.8367$63.173720@zonnet-reader-1...
> As good as new !
> Portable scanner with all the extras, freq. till 2040MHz !
>
> Location : Europe (Netherlands)
>
> Price : 410 US Dollars or 850 Dutch guilders.
>
> Greetings,
>
> Ren&#65533;
> EagleForce.
>
>

3322. Re: Public Safety Doubling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <3995f588.8241362@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 82479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

155.475 is used pretty heavily around here. Most agencies use it as a "chat" channel between cars and car to base.
Doubling really is not much of a problem. Usually one wins out over the other and the dispatcher can usually tell the identity of both users.

Mike

On Sat, 12 Aug 2000 00:49:09 -0500, "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>
>Mike,
>Just out of curiosity, how much usage does the national police freq.,
>155.475 MHz, get in your area? Possibly the radio could switch to this
>freq. when a user tries to double with another. Personally, I think that
>doubling is something non-trunked users will have to live with. As a former
>police/911 emergency dispatcher, most cases of doubling I observed occurred
>when two officers keyed up at the same time, and I don't think there is much
>that either training or technology can do about that on a non-trunked
>system. It may be that even trunked systems can't deal with that particular
>problem, I'm not familiar with them as trunking is not common here in
>Oklahoma. But 155.475 MHz is DEAD around here, there are only 4 licenses
>issued for it in the entire state, and 3 of the 4 are state agencies.
>
>Mark K5MAR
>
>"Mike DeMeo" <mdemeo@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>news:3995a1b8.1618634@news.frontiernet.net...
>> Couple of observations...
>> Most programmable radios manufactured in the last 10 years ie Motorola
>HT600 and 1000, or
>> so have a feature that can be added called "TX inhibit on busy channel"
>If programmed in,
>> it will do just what was suggested. I did not program it in my agency
>because most users
>> of the system consider the radio just another piece of equipment and
>desire simplicity in
>> use. Most radios would end up back on my bench marked "doesn't transmit
>all the time"
>> As for geographic spacing of repeaters, especially in N. Jersey where I am
>from, there is
>> NO spectrum available and the channels are packed tight and heavily
>loaded. Just listen
>> to 155.655 in that area. It would be desirable for every agency to have a
>sole frequency

>> for a radius of 100 miles, but it can't be done until transmissions
>methods change and the
>> equipment becomes affordable to the budget restrictions most agencies
>face.
>>
>> Just my 2 cents
>> Mike
>
>

Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

3323. Re: Jealous fat love scanner envy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <VQml5.91516$V34.877236@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Were YOU on topic?

"Mark" <mark@mark.com> wrote
> More off-topic spew from
SNIP

3324. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <xSml5.91518$V34.877280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Hate me - 0
SNIP

3325. Scanner Dweeb comic episode 75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000812220146.02033.00000591@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 82482

The 75th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Chip or Dip ??? "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

3326. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3995f1f3.35176394@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 82483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks Dave...

At least you called me Mr....

Dan

><<<<On 8/11/00 Daniel M. Conti responds to a request for anyone with
>information on a request for a Police Frequency>>>>
>
>[[[[[[[[Unless the Sayerville NJ Police have begun broadcasting via
>Shortwave, this post is WAY off topic here.]]]]]]]]]]
>
>I am sorry if my posting to both scanner and a short-wave group offends.
>After being a Ham operator for 22 years, a scanner and short-wave enthusiast
>for 25 years I didn't think it would be impossible that someone in the
>Short-wave group might also enjoy and have frequency information on scanners
>such as myself since I subscribe to both plus ham related groups. I assumed
>these newsgroups were here to help people enjoy their hobby and exchange
>information, not grounds to be flamed. If I am in the wrong group, just
>ignore the post. I would like to thank the numerous people from ALL 3 groups
>who responded with possible leads. As for Mr. Conti's comment I can only
>conclude that he is either a "NEWBIE" with absolutely no insight of helping
>someone if they have possible information of worth. Or is a MENTAL MIDGET
>who would rather make a moronic comment as he did rather than ignore the
>post if he could be of no help.
>
>It is odd that I have had fair success with similar requests to closely
>related newsgroups in the past without idiotic reply's. Mr. Conti, I am NO
>NEWBIE to the internet or ham/scanner/short-wave radio I suggest that if you
>cannot help someone go to your local computer store and buy a "Newsgroups
>For Dummies" book, move along to the next post and keep your negative
>comments to yourself.
>
>
>Dave
>
>

3327. Re: AOR AR7000B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <eonl5.65580$fR2.694242@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82484

I read a review on it, and it sounds like it may be a better scanner than a shortwave receiver...and maybe not the best choice in scanners either. The display was claimed to be somewhat fuzzy, and had to be view straight on. The overall performance of the radio was not worthy of it's high price.

Bill Crocker

"RFCOMMSYS" <rfcommsys@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000812195601.16671.00000332@ng-fx1.aol.com...
> Is it a good radio? Is it better as a shortwave receiver or as a scanner? Is it
> easy to operate, or does it have the usual dreadful AOR ergonomics? What about
> the spectrum display and the display in general? All comments welcome, thanks.

3328. Re: kenwood r 2000 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Rpnl5.65581$fR2.693963@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82485

Andy:

Try posting in: rec.radio.amateur.equipment & rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Crocker

"Andy[Satellite]" <sat1@redhotant.com> wrote in message
news:3995db34$1@news.jakinternet.co.uk...
> Need kenwood tube display ...part FIP9D7 28 pin tube type..for a R2000
> receiver
> ANY ONE OUT THERE GOT ONE!!!!
> please reply to Andy
> sat1@redhotant.com
>
>
>
>
>

3329. Query fed trunk sys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399601E1.70A63237@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82486

I am wondering exactly how to set up the offsets on my PRO-94 1000 ch
dual trunker. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks

send replys to milford_weeks@hotmail.com or post on ng

3330. Re: Airborne cellular use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39b40094.1212251285@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

On Sat, 12 Aug 2000 18:58:22 GMT, matt weber <matthеww50@home.com> wrote:

>
>>Note that it isn't the cells out near the horizon that are the problem;
>>it's the large number of cells that are more or less right under the
>>airplane.
>The cell transmitters use antennae systems with low radiation angles.
>It provides some gain essentially for free. This tend to keep high
>fields away from the area around the antenna, so they can safely be
>sited in public areas, and also means little if any energy is directed
>more than 10-20 degrees above the horizon. It is useless.

I'm well aware of that.

>The result is the if you are 5 miles directly over a BTS, it is not
>likely the cell would notice you. The BTS is essentially deaf looking
>more than 70 degrees above the horizontal.

I also know that RF is damned slippery stuff and rarely does exactly what
you want it to under field conditions.

The fact is that many people HAVE reported their cellphones *working* from
five miles up... for brief periods. If you can light up one cell site
then you can light up several others, and some of those will be in the
same or adjacent channel groups to yours, with all the problems that that
entails.

Conversely, it's going to be pretty strange circumstances when a modern
handheld phones hits cell sites all the way out at the horizon from five
miles up. Your phone may well be able to hear the cell site but... the
other way around??? On 0.7W max for analog, less for digital? Unless I
have my facts mixed up, the 3W outputs went the way of the bag phone;
certainly my little Motorola StarTac can't put out three watts!

--- jeh

3331. /\/\OTOROLA SPEAKERS LNIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <mwm@reidnet.com>
Message-ID: <8n51ri$bq2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82488

Hello,

MOTOROLA SPEAKERS
A MUST for your radio or scanner,
Like New $20 + shipping conus.
If you can not access the web page I can
send you the pic's.

http://www.reidnet.com/mwm/Files/speaker1.html

Tnx
73
AL N4GOD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3332. Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ncol5.6163$pu4.462244@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82489

Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish to monitor during severe wx?

Jason

3333. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <yrol5.6166$pu4.462652@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82490

This is how they are adapting to their budget cutbacks (which is a load of crap anyway).
Here in the Concord, N.H. area we have the broadcast from Gray, Me. and it is a human voice except for the automated data I.E. buoy reports, current conditions from ASOS, time, id's, etc. Knowing that Sven is going to be the only thing that we will hear in the future, is a nice chance to adjust both for the NWS and the listener.
Down in Taunton, Ma they had quite a bit of fine tuning to do. I think that they had Sven running in house for six months before they let him go out in public.

Jason

<valerie65@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8mpn47$d3p$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Either they have the weirdest synthesized voice on NOAA, or else
> someone with a Germanic accent is broadcasting weather info, at least
> in California. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks,
> Val.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3334. Re: SAYREVILLE, NJ Off Duty Channel ??????????????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000812231942.01217.00000312@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 82491

Instead of slinging insults to and fro, why doesn't someone try answering the original question? I've seen this question pop up at least 3 time in three years I memory serves me correctly, so it would appear to be at least somewhat valid, not a troll.

Brett

3335. Re: need to receive 182.200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kDol5.6167$pu4.462994@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82492

Your other option is to try the image frequency caused by the if.
Lets assume that you have a RS scanner with a 10.8 MHz if...

Freq. 182.2
IF 10.8

Difference 171.4

So, by plugging in 174.4, you might hear it. Also try 10.7. There are other
if's, but I don't remember them.

Jason

John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:8mhc0ssiv1@news.monmouth.com...
> In article <398cea48.18535276@news.carroll.com>, bill@rtr.com (bill)
> wrote:
>
> > I have a very good hand held wireless mic on freq 182.200. All the
> > police receivers that i have tried so far can't tune in this freq. could
> > Radio S receivers be modified to receive this freq.????????/ How about
> > an old tunable one will they work?????? bill@carroll.com
>
> The point that is missing from other posts is that it is typically higher
> end scanners offer the extended coverage you are looking for, Bill. Keep
> an eye out on ebay (heaven forbid!) or the RING! Trading Station for some
> used rigs such as those mentioned.

3336. Re: Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: d0011 <d0011@no__Spam_optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FNol5.12752$Mr2.85813@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 82493

Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish to monitor during severe wx?
Jason

My Uniden BC895XLT uses the same reciever i.e., I can not use the radio while it is in weather alert mode.

Sal

3337. Re: OT: The death of cellular! Brain cancer victim sues for $800 million!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aQol5.6168$pu4.463197@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82494

Picture this...

I was driving down the highway talking on my cell phone when my Firestone tires blew out causing me to lose control and rolling my vehicle, and spilling my piping hot McDonalds coffee on me and burning my self.

Boy, will I be rich!!!!!! <g>

Jason

Who Did you Expect? <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message news:8meo1s$p7$1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <m33dklv3fb.fsf@solo.david-steuber.com>, nospam@david-steuber.com
> says...
> >
> >The idiot will never collect. How is he going to prove that a 0.6watt
> >non-ionizing signal can damage chromosomes? The notion is absurd.
> >
> >--
> >David Steuber | Hi! My name is David Steuber, and I am
> >NRA Member | a hoploholic.
>
> Given the ignorance and stupidity of the average joe these days,
> look at the judgment against SearsRobuck for the fool who picked up his
> loawnmower to trim the hedges, or the clown who put modern 44cal amunition
> in a very old pistol and collected big time when it blew his hand off, I would
> venture a guess that anyone who every stood near a cell phone [even if it was
> off] and developes a brain tumour, will collecty big time from some jury here
> in the USA.
> OF course this ignores the study done in England where a MD researcher showed
> that using a cell phone heated the brain enough to improve the circulation
> in the area exposed to even that small amount of RF.
>

3338. Non computer programmable scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <greatmustachio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <TUol5.6169$pu4.463333@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 82495

Has anyone ever tried to figure out a way to read and write to a scanner that is not able to be computer controlled out of the box to program it? I know it can be done on other radios.

Jason

3339. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <bigcheese@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 82496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit the use of cell phones also?

3340. Re: Toilet Boy the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39987B79.D23EB938@primenet.com>
Source record: 82247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Then how come YOU are the one who has to post under a fake ID?

What's the matter Toilet Boy? How come it's okay for YOU to post
under a fake ID (see my post from five days ago), but not someone
else? Toilet Boy, you're such a hypocrite, a liar, and a fraud

3341. Re: Toilet Boy the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39987BC6.F24BCE42@primenet.com>
Source record: 82248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Yes, I am for real. Are you for real?

In my profesional opinion, only in your delusional and psychotic
mind, Toilet Boy.

3342. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39987C9C.BC1D76FB@primenet.com>
Source record: 82249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> EXACTLY how did you "prove" that & what was the "proof"?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, I just proved, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that you lied when
> > you said no one has asked you to leave FIDO!

Hey, loser Toilet Boy! You always demand proof that you are a liar
and when it's presented (see my post from five days ago), you ignore
it. In my professional opinion, you are in denial and living in a
fantasy world. Since you are indigent, you can get professional help
on a prorated basis. Even you can get proper treatment, if you
admit you have a problem and seek help.

3343. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39987D7B.F144A4B@primenet.com>
Source record: 82250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > Mackey 46
> SNIP
>
> How do you figure I have 46? Please itemize each.

That's a conservative number, Toilet Boy. Your psychosis
prevents you from accepting reality. Seek help soon.

> > How sweet. Is RicK a
> > PARG member or just a PARG groupie?
>
> Why don't you ask him?

Glenn, 'RicK' is just another Mackey Lackey waiting for
another crumb from his low life hero Toilet Boy and an
even a lower life form.

3344. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8na3pp$85gne$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82251

> perhaps its a matter of principal. just having some band taken away that
> is free to monitor in other countries. I admit that kinda pises me off
> to.
>
> so what's the big deal?

The big deal is that people don't want to buy a crippled radio.

Roland

3345. Pro-43 on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp <blah@blah.com>
Message-ID: <qo4hps0ht2ea2nhdfbkal9cu9pu6tq1ilh@4ax.com>
Source record: 82252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=409885632

3346. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <cS0m5.129$M%6.1093@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Glenn. You and other taxpayers in your state, county, and city should band together to keep any police department from going digital. This may sound radical but anytime you cannot monitor your police department you are one step closer to a dictator ship. That new digital system will require the scanner producers to come up with cheap, but good quality, receivers for the listening public. Fight It. N9IPA
"Glenn Gentry" <keep@yourspam.com> wrote in message
news:39977E31.4A24AB6E@yourspam.com...
> Hi Everyone:
>
>
> Yesterday I had a phone conversation with my cousin who is State Trooper
> down in Little Rock.
> He told me the State of Arkansas is going to completely overhaul their
> radio system by late 2002.
> The new system according to him will use "Project 25" technology from
> Motorola. The system
> will be phased in Troop by Troop across the state. He said the current
> system is outdated, and they can't add any large number of new users to
> it.
>
>
> Will any of the newer Trunktracker scanners from Uniden be able to
> decode this new radio system, or is everyone like me out of luck? I
> have a BC-895XLT which does just fine now, but my cousin says it will be
> useless in 2-3 years.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Glenn
>

3347. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pablo <keepdreaming@wetone.com>
Message-ID: <Av2m5.76$wS3.89533@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 82254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

USE the EF Johnson! Its the best sounding commercial speaker I have ever heard! I use one with my Motorola Commercial radio. They are also 4 ohms....alot of the motorolas are 4 and some are 8...it makes a difference. I use the EFJ because it sounds so natural, and you don't need alot of volume...sounds like what you need. You can find one at a Ham flea market, or on ebay. I saw a EFJ fleetcom complete with the external speaker (800 mhz radio) for 10 bucks. You could use the radio for a wheel chock and the speaker for your scanner...unless you LIKE fleetcoms...in which case I can sell you about 100 of them!
David M. Hitchner <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote in message news:G02m5.78655$oj6.1070129@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> I use the Radio Shack amplified speaker in my truck. It works quite well.
> What I really like about it is that the power automatically cuts on and off
> with the audio. The on time is quick enough that you don't loose any of
> the audio and the off time is delayed so it's not constantly switching on
> and off.
> --
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.
>
> "scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:t80m5.2500$Cc2.110661@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola, Kenwood
> > and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud, even
> > at full volume. any suggestions?
> > thanks in advance.
> >
> >
>
>
>

3348. AR 8000 B questions, new owner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Patterson <charles@telephonesecurity.com>
Message-ID: <8naebegia1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 82255

I just got an AR8000 B, so while I have been observing the AOR stuff for a while I'm now an owner.
I would like to find some good web sites or mailing lists for the ar8000.
Are there good mods for the 8000B? (can it be unblocked?)

thanks
Charles

3349. Bearcat BC 250 needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Patterson <charles@telephonesecurity.com>
Message-ID: <8naepigat1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 82256

I have an old Bearcat BC 250.
The display only shows a single red dot in the lower right and doesn't receive anything.
I already replaced one power transistor on the display circuit board but that isn't enough to get it working.

Is there a source for schematics for this old scanner?
(any other thoughts or advice appreciated).

thanks
Charles
N2AXO

3350. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff <cliffwattsNOclSPAM@ghg.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0bacc591.a25e8cb6@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
Source record: 82257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Are you aware that there's a resistor in series with the
earphone jack?

You can bypass it by shorting the speaker plug ground to the
antenna ground. It increases the volume a little with an
external speaker.

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3351. Re: Immature Baby Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <3998D635.329E9B38@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I'm not the problem here you stupid punk. Get out and
try to make friends so you don't spend so much time
on this NG.

K S 0 F wrote:

> It sounds like the best thing YOU could do it to follow your own advice.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > Maybe you just need to find another hobby or make a
> > friend somewhere. I suggest church.

3352. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <pamhpssnnpb263qipl542uben61lec337t@4ax.com>
Source record: 82259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 20:05:15 -0500, elliotta
<cS0m5.129$M%6.1093@newsfeed.slurp.net> wrote:

>Hello Glenn. You and other taxpayers in your state, county, and city should
>band together to keep any police department from going digital. This may
>sound radical but anytime you cannot monitor your police department you are
>one step closer to a dictator ship. That new digital system will require the
>scanner producers to come up with cheap, but good quality, receivers for the
>listening public. Fight It. N9IPA

Sorry guy, but all communications are going digital over the next 10 years.
It will then be very easy to use encryption so no one can hear the
transmissions. It is a fact of life and we will just have to learn to live
without listening to public service, especially police channels.

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/mods.html a site covering
NW Oregon Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio
modifications. http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

3353. Re: What's up with Bill Cheek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <MQLl5.94186$V34.906426@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> OBVIOUSLY Your answer to what??? I wrote and you tried to deny:
> "Well the vote so far is hate John Mackey 6
> Hate me - 0
SNIP

Glenn - I guess if I have to chose one or the other then I have to take
hating YOU!

> People who have posted they hate John- 43

How do you figure 43 when there has only been about 3 or 4??

3354. Re: Another trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <399788C6.4D81@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82298

The Pro-92 and Pro-92a can Track an Ericson system also.

Gina Medina wrote:
>
> Only the pro-94 and newest can track Ericson.....and you must have the
> logical channel numbering for it to work right.
>
> Mark wrote:
> >
> > My local police dept. has an Ericson (sp?) trunking system. I was reading
> > product specs on the Radio Shack website and it mentioned on one of the
> > scanners that it could track Motorola type I and II systems. Would one of
> > these be able to track the Ericson system?
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Mark
> >
> > (Holding off on scanner puchase a bit)

3355. Re: Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <mVLl5.94191$V34.906553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82299

Yes, I am for real. Are you for real?

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote
> Does anybody know if this Mackey guy is for real?

3356. Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Gentry <keep@yourspam.com>
Message-ID: <39977E31.4A24AB6E@yourspam.com>
Source record: 82300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Everyone:

Yesterday I had a phone conversation with my cousin who is State Trooper down in Little Rock.
He told me the State of Arkansas is going to completely overhaul their radio system by late 2002.
The new system according to him will use "Project 25" technology from Motorola. The system
will be phased in Troop by Troop across the state. He said the current system is outdated, and they can't add any large number of new users to it.

Will any of the newer Trunktracker scanners from Uniden be able to decode this new radio system, or is everyone like me out of luck? I have a BC-895XLT which does just fine now, but my cousin says it will be useless in 2-3 years.

Thanks,

Glenn

3357. Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <H0Ml5.94268$V34.906748@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Blake-

OBVIOUSLY you are trying to cause problems AGAIN.

I have NEVER had legal problems with the FCC and have
NEVER had need to use any form of public defender for any
criminal problems. Now please stick to reality & stop trying to
lie & cause problems.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> My understanding that he was not able to find an attorney to assist
> him with his legal problems with the FCC.
> He did however, get help from a public defender on his criminal
> problems with the local law enforcment.

3358. Allsafe makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <b1MI5.94274$V34.906828@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82302

You just mentioned about 7 different people, who did you intend to refer to?

"allsafe" <Nuh_uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> I don't know if you counted my vote Glen, but the John-Corky-RicK
> Larson-theHamRadioGuy-Compania-RadioFan-Mackey, (There's so many forged
> identities of this clown, I ferget them all..) is voted most
SNIP

3359. Re: LA DEmocrat frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <04MI5.8990$IH.337180@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have been picking up Chippie action at 45.940.

Exposition Park, and freeway underpasses near the convention

"JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3996AB7F.28E8BB53@analon.com...
> anyone have a list of frequencies active around the Staples center in Los
> Angeles?
>
> I have found activity on media frequencies in the 450.00- 451.00 and
> 455.00-456.00 range.
> I believe ther eare also some media frequencies in the 160.00-161.500 and
> 172.00-174.00 range
>
> also, there are wireless microphones in the 174.00-216.00 range.
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
> Computer Systems,
> |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what
you
> run.--
> |we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
> |-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
> |Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> |++
>
>

3360. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8n851i$2vn$0@63.162.247.189>
Source record: 82304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn Gentry wrote:
>
> Hi Everyone:
>
> Yesterday I had a phone conversation with my cousin who is State Trooper
> down in Little Rock.
> He told me the State of Arkansas is going to completely overhaul their
> radio system by late 2002.
> The new system according to him will use "Project 25" technology from
> Motorola. The system
> will be phased in Troop by Troop across the state. He said the current
> system is outdated, and they can't add any large number of new users to
> it.
>

Although the Good Colonel would like it by 2002, 2002 is when it
will finally go to bid. System completion could be as late as 2005 or
2006

Right now, the system is being upgraded into a type II system,
forcing local government to upgrade now, and then again in
4-5 years.

3361. Mackey Off Topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3997A59D.80090B9D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82305

Not bad John ... 27 of topic posts in 1 day ... must be a new record ...
Now I know why I left the newsgroups ...

Some things never change ... too bad ...

Mike

3362. Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8n89hg$4ro$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 82306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Re: http://www.latimes.com/editions/orange/comment/20000813/t000075875.html

Time for a "Reality Check" here??? Let's see:

- at least $80 milllion county tax dollars

- a system that "may not work in some clusters of buildings" according to Motorola

- bi-directional amps installed and, presumably, paid for by building owners to essentially help fund the county system so it works right

- and/or passive antenna systems installed and, presumably, paid for by building owners to help fund the county system so it works right

- police and firefighters will need a map or list (or luck) to figure where they may or may not be able to depend on their radios. ("Um, excuse me, Mr. Hostage Taker, my new radio doesn't work down here. Could you move your victims out of this basement, or over to Santa Monica, so I can call for help?"

- "King's X" - it's not Motorola's fault, it's because of all that seismic retrofitting and even had the audacity of putting up new buildings

- here we are, in the 21st century, with cellphones and pagers on everyone's hip, but according to an OC Communications person, "any indoor coverage is a bonus" with the new radio system because the 1970's UHF system was accepted with a "mobile on the street" coverage guarantee. (I don't understand the logic of that. And is there no longer even "mobile n the street" coverage" on the UHF system?)

Is this PROGRESS? Give me - or rather, give the users a break! I bet a small fraction of that $80 million could bring the existing UHF system absolutely up-to-date. If it works right to begin with, who needs stuff like "fail-soft" and back-up compuetrs, and all the other fancy bells and whistles that Motorola and their salespersons makes a fortune on with every one of these "systems" they sell.

Harry Marnell
Ferndale, CA
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bud Jamison" <thekat@san.rr.com>
To: <socalscan@egroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 13, 2000 23:45
Subject: Re: [socalscan] "Reliable Police, Fire Radios a Must" LA Times on

OC system

From: "Brad Thomas" <bgt@thomascomm.com>
To: <socalscan@egroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 13, 2000 23:04 Buds!

> Guess how the cities are going to overcome the county and Motorola's
> poor radio system?
>
> Require all new buildings of a certin height and those currently, which
> will have 3-5 years, to install bi-directional amps to boost signal
> strenth.

I doubt it's TALL buildings that have problems,
it's the wide low ones, and ones with multiple basements.

But that's easily overcome with passive antenna systems.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike Krueger
To: socalscan@egroups.com
Cc: 800band@egroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 13, 2000 15:18

<snipped>

"...I can happily report that the 800MHz system (as well as the current UHF
law system) perform very well out of county.... Coverage was also very good
as far out as Santa Monica, and around the Palos Verdes area.

3363. F/S Bearcat 895xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3997AD79.A8B070A4@home.com>
Source record: 82307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scanner works and looks like new. Barely used. Trunking thing is more than I want to handle or have time to figure. Have everything complete (box,manual,antenna) with it. $145 shipped. Please email me if interested.

3364. Re: Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: moc.enilepip@ykkams
Message-ID: <3997d961.38705895@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 82308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:

>- at least $80 milllion county tax dollars

It ain't cheap. The longer capital upgrades take place, the more expensive they are. That's my mantra at work, but when your administration prides themselves on their fiscal austerity policy, the motto changes to "MT-500s Forever." (even if they are held together with Scotch 33+.)

>- a system that "may not work in some clusters of buildings" according to >Motorola

Before we resort to hysterics and emotions, in what fashion does this not meet the performance of the UHF system?

>- bi-directional amps installed and, presumably, paid for by building owners >to essentially help fund the county system so it works right...
>- and/or passive antenna systems installed and, presumably, paid for by >building owners to help fund the county system so it works right

Most new construction ignore the requirement to communicate inside the facility. Even with VHF or UHF, there is only ONE sure way to cover the building - that's not to put the antenna on top of it (amazingly the most frequently implemented solution that usually yields great signals for hundreds of square miles, but none inside the building), but to use both Radiax, power dividers and a network of distributed antennas in addition to energy "beamed" at the building from the exterior.

Is there an ordinance requiring retrofitting or BDAs in new construction?

>- police and firefighters will need a map or list (or luck) to figure where >they may or may not be able to depend on their radios. ("Um, excuse me, Mr. >Hostage Taker, my new radio doesn't work down here. Could you move your >victims out of this basement, or over to Santa Monica, so I can call for >help?"

Basements are equally bad for trunked and conventional systems. Good on-site communications practices should employ simplex (non-repeated) frequencies during fireground and tactical communications so that units can communicate directly from radio to radio. IMPO, if the system was constructed entirely dependent upon the trunked system infrastructure, it should not be used for public safety applications until simplex channels have been incorporated and personnel trained in

using it in tactical environments.

>- "King's X" - it's not Motorola's fault, it's because of all that seismic
>retrofitting and even had the audacity of putting up new buildings
>- here we are, in the 21st century, with cellphones and pagers on everyone's
>hip, but according to an OC Communications person, "any indoor coverage is a
>bonus"

It's next to impossible to predict how well a system designed for
streetside coverage will penetrate into a structure that's not under
control of the local entity building the system. Most of the time,
when someone is interested in indoor coverage, either a test is
performed and the signal levels plotted inside or the building is
wired for a BDA. Metalized windows, rebar, metal drywall studs and all
of the rest of the common highrise and office construction practices
can turn the building into a big screen room.

>with the new radio system because the 1970's UHF system was accepted
>with a "mobile on the street" coverage guarantee. (I don't understand the
>logic of that. And is there no longer even "mobile n the street" coverage"
>on the UHF system?)

I've never been to OC, so I can't comment on effacacy of the old in
comparison th he new. What I don't understand is why anyone would sign
off on a system that does not meet standards outlined in the RFP? OR -
why anyone would design an RFP that is warranteed by the manufacturer
by acceptance and then allow someone else - or the manufacturer to
perform the system audit.

>Is this PROGRESS? Give me - or rather, give the users a break! I bet a
>small fraction of that $80 million could bring the existing UHF system
>absolutely up-to-date. If it works right to begin with, who needs stuff...

It's only progress from the standpoint of spectrum efficiency. There
is no reason (given a clear set of UHF frequencies) that trunking
could not have been implemented there. It's important to consider that
if the present UHF system "works" right now, co-locating several
transmitters together using combiners will reduce the ERP
significantly, so it may not work that well afterwards unless higher
power is used to overcome losses.

Not to defend something that may be poorly constructed, but it takes
two to tango and the story seems a little unilateral.

Steve

3365. Re: Democratic Convention Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3997E53E.EAFB1684@analon.com>
Source record: 82309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aLT.RADIO.SCANNER

LAPD is using their standard frequencies in the 506-508mhz area
LA Sheriff....is using their standard frequencies in the 483-487mhz area
there will be plenty of chatter in hte 464.0-465.0 area as well as on the
media frequencies in the 450.0-451.0 and 455.0-456.0 ranges

Michael Kellogg wrote:

> OK, it's almost time for the democratic convention in downtown L.A. My
> building is at 9th and Figueroa, so I'll get to wade through an awful lot of
> protesting, police blockades, and other fun for a few days.
>
> Anyone have any thoughts on LAPD, Secret Service, and other involved parties
> as far as frequencies, procedures, tactical issues, etc.? A good comparison
> would be the Republican convention going on right now in Philly.
>
> ---------------------------------------
> Michael Kellogg
> Diamond Bar, California
> ---------------------------------------

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you
run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|-------------------------310-720-2927-------------------------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

3366. Re: LACoFD NEW TAC FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3997E6AC.F0787873@analon.com>
Source record: 82310

Isn't Los Angeles County Fire also on frequencies in the 470mhz area.. I've heard them there as well as on the 153 and 154mhz frequencies. I travel between Phoenix and southern California and often have two scanners with me..... Often, the Phoenix frequencies are active in southern Ca too.... 154.19, 154.28, and 154.37 are quite active almost any place I've been.... even in the San Francisco area.

LA City Fire uses frequencies in the 856-0-860.0mhz area.

Secret Services wrote:

> HERE IS ANOTHER ONE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT.
> OLD TAC-7.....IS NEW TAC-3 (154.295)
>
> SO FAR I HAVE TAC-17 AS 154.430 AND TAC-3 AS 154.295
>
> PLEASE HELP FILL IN THE GAPS!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
*****----------800-873-5884----------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what you run.--
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
|----------310-720-2927----------
|Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
|++

3367. misc.survivalism,alt.comp.periphs.dcameras,rec.models.rockets,sci.electronics.basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: acunet3278@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8n8ru8$rq3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82311
Cross-posted to: alt.toys.transformers,rec.radio.scanner

Could you kindly share your experience with me?
Does anyone have experience with Rayovac Renewal Rechargeable Alkalines
batteries?

They are beind sold at auction. It looks like a good deal!
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/36893805

I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you.

--
http://www.pucho.com
Call me at Keen.com, Your Live Answer Community.
http://www.keen.com/acunet3278?ref=1

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3368. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6hsfpsk17jhgf6fftk2cle80cr7n0rnhar@4ax.com>
Source record: 82312

On Sun, 13 Aug 2000 21:48:47 -0700 (PDT), Yizeguy@webtv.net wrote:

>why do people still care about listening to cellular?
>
>most all the listening that can be done is now analog only, and 90% is
>now digital and cant be heard anyway.

I wouldn't be so sure about those numbers you cite. But even if it
were true, 10% of the daily cell phone traffic is still an awful lot
of traffic.

>aside from that, its just plain uninteresting anyway. i will admit a few
>years ago there was more traffic out there and occassionally there was a
>gem amongst all the crap. but now ? who cares? theres nothing there
>anymore!

How would you know unless you were listening?

Anyhow, you probably already know that what you hear depends on where
and when you listen. If you listen in a business type area, I'd
agree, most conversations are pretty dull. If you're listening along
a major interstate highway, however, you'll hear a more fascinating
cross section of people.

>perhaps its a matter of principal. just having some band taken away that
>is free to monitor in other countries. i admit that kinda pises me off
>to.

It shouldn't just piss you off. It should make you mad enough to
write to your representation in Congress, Parliament, whatever.

>so whats the big deal?

The big deal is that the classic concept of radio secrecy, the
paradigm of the first century of radio, is being replaced by an
ignorant foolishness called "radio privacy." This new paradigm might
as well be called the Emperor's New Clothes for all of its
practicality.

>the reason i ask is i have a scanner for sale that is blocked, and
>people email me saying they dont want it for that reason, after they
>find out it is a later unrestorable model.

Now you know why. You have some brain damaged gear. I'm sorry you
had to discover it the hard way, but I'm sure you won't make the same
mistake again. Good luck.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3369. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.usenet
Message-ID: <3997F792.D199FF93@sgi.usenet>
Source record: 82313

I carried my 2 meter hand held in my carry on bag with no problems. I didn't try using is on the plane. Only way to really is to ask.

Gina Medina wrote:

> Yes and NO!
>
> Hotwater67 wrote:
> >
> > Hi All,
> > I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> > with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
> >
> > My questions are:
> >
> > 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> > 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dave

3370. LA MDT Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BadApple <bdapple@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3997ECEC.19CEC0FB@yahoo.com>
Source record: 82314

Looking for a Los Angeles area MDT freq list . thanks

bdapple@yahoo.com

3371. Re: misc.survivalism,alt.comp.periphs.dcameras,rec.models.rockets,sci.electronics.basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <E%Tl5.67369$fR2.713110@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82315

You want us to tell you about the batteries you are selling? I don't understand???

Bill Crocker

<acunet3278@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8n8ru8$rq3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Could you kindly share your experience with me?
> Does anyone have experience with Rayovac Renewal Rechargeable Alkalines
> batteries?
>
> They are beind sold at auction. It looks like a good deal!
> http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/36893805
>
> I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you.
>
> --
> http://www.pucho.com
> Call me at Keen.com, Your Live Answer Community.
> http://www.keen.com/acunet3278?ref=1
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3372. Re: PRO-92A vs PRO-92...the bottom line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8n92gd$817$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82316

<Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39973f21.3707845@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Has anyone heard exactly what the difference between a PRO-92A and a
> PRO-92 is SUPPOSED to be? Any word from the Shack as to what the new
> firmware really does for us? I bought a 92A recently and from what I
> can see, it does not have the trunk ID flicker problem reported in the
> 92, but seems to have trouble detecting the ID from time to time. I'm
> just curious what the real difference is supposed to be.
>
> John

The plain 92 uses sub-audible tones to figure out the trunking on Motorola systems. Due to various reasons, this causes it to leave some conversations before the actual conversation is done. The 92A uses the control channel instead of sub-audible tones to control it's trunk tracking. This is normally the better way to do it, but the 92A (and the mobile version 2067, both of which have the same firmware) completely misses some conversations for some reason (the problem you wrote about). So they still have work to do in my humble opinion.

I tried two different 92's, and a 2067 (after they went on sale). Returned all of them and got a BC245, which is much more satisfactory, even with it's 5 second trunk delay.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3373. Re: FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000814112108.11332.00007082@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 82317

In article <2hAl5.11$NC2.6675@news03.micron.net>, "J V" <vincentj@micron.net>
writes:

>Hi all,
> I have a few scanners for sale-
>
> Uniden BC200XLT (unblocked), extra battery pack, rubber duck. Near perfect
>condition. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 92 (1.00 version), software, cable, original box, manual. This radio
>is in PERFECT condition! Only turned on twice. Make an offer.
>
> Pro 2046 radio is in pretty good condition. No scratches on face or
>screen.
>
> Pro 26 Radio is in alright condition. Does not 'search' correctly. Case
>has small hole cut into it (from mod that didnt work). Scans perfect.
>Other than the search function this in a GREAT radio.
>
> I will only ship in CONUS. Please make an offer.

Why not just save everyone's time by giving the prices in the ad?

3374. Re: BC245 trunk problem: 'data' icon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8n941r$51n$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82318

Bud the Real! <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:27oeps0tn8b7kp88kts5t5f4d4da82l1u6@4ax.com...
> <buckaroosd@aol.com> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >My BC245 has been working flawlessly tracking City of San Diego trunked
> >freqs for months. However, it suddenly has begun displaying the 'data'
icon
> >(with the slash through it) and I can't get rid of it. Have tried simply
> >pressing the 'data' button to no avail. Any insights on how to get rid of
> >it?
>
> Page 29 in your manual.
>

As I enumerated in my reply to 'Buckaroo', his problem is not covered in the manual under the 'data skip' section on page 29. It is an undocumented 'feature' which makes it possible to turn on/off the disconnect tone method of determining when a trunked transmission has ended. With the 'no data' icon on (steady or flashing) it doesn't use the disconnect tone to determine when a trunked transmission has ended. With that icon off, it does use the disconnect tone. This is toggled by hitting the SVC key (not the DATA key) while stopped on a trunking ID or conversation.

There were actually at least two readers of this newsgroup who benefited from this knowledge. Buckaroo and another fellow..:-)

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3375. SWLINKS - Scanner/Radio Listening Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvistaNOwoSPAM@altavista.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <2ae91396.5fc4c64b@usw-ex0104-087.remarq.com>
Source record: 82319

Hi All,

Visit SWLINKS today, here:

http://swlinks.webalias.com

Now includes a listening post with archived Seattle-area scanner files (advantage - most of the boring stuff has been edited out!), including two trunked 800 files (20-30 minutes apiece) recorded on a Friday night, NOAA weather KHB60 (162.55 MHz), non-trunked Seattle-area scanner, and Aircraft band.

For shortwave aficionados, there are a couple shortwave audio files as well, with more on the way.

Enjoy,

W.

Look past the ego, and you will see,
what dwells within is God, not "me."

Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
Up to 100 minutes free!
http://www.keen.com

3376. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ITUI5.2788$pF6.447205@news3.mia>
Source record: 82320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I've been in LOTS of hospitals recently; every one of them had personnel walking around with mostly UHF band hand held radios. If rf was really a problem, then cellphones and two way radios would be banned in EVERY hospital.

Ken

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:WqAl5.13290$H14.29766@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> The hospital here doesn't allow any two-way radio transmissions. The police
> (UHF) and fire (VHF) personnel have to step outside the E.R. to use their
> handhelds
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:N7yl5.633$pF6.35526@news3.mia...
> > Hospitals ban cell phones, while security and maintenance staff walk
> around
> > with UHF walkie talkies....
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ken Roberts
> > kenr55@bellsouth.net
> >
> >
> >
> > Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
> > news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> > > Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows cell
> > > phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital here.
> > > There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> > > entrances.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > >
> > > dave@davelewis.net
> > >
> > >
> > > In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> > > <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
> > >

> > > > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to prohibit
> the
> > use
> > > > > of cell phones also?
> >
> >
>
>

3377. Re: Martin County FL trunking format?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1WUI5.17279$9T1.121400@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82321

Hello,
It is LTR Multi Net

Bret

"JIM44442" <jim44442@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000813132056.00956.00000556@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> Anyone know what trunking format is employed by Martin County FL?
> Equipment being used is Johnson but trunktracker is not decoding any LTR
data.
> Maybe LTR-net ?

3378. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dYUl5.45048$sO2.156690@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

One of the talkgroups on my system is EMS to Hospital. They tell about the patient's condition and what has been done so far.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ITUl5.2788$pF6.447205@news3.mia...
> I've been in LOTS of hospitals recently; every one of them had personnel
> walking around with mostly UHF band hand held radios. If rf was really a
> problem, then cellphones and two way radios would be banned in EVERY
> hospital.
>
> Ken
>
>
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:WqAl5.13290$H14.29766@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > The hospital here doesn't allow any two-way radio transmissions. The
> police
> > (UHF) and fire (VHF) personnel have to step outside the E.R. to use
their
> > handhelds
> >
> > Mark K5MAR
> >
> > "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:N7yl5.633$pF6.35526@news3.mia...
> > > Hospitals ban cell phones, while security and maintenance staff walk
> > around
> > > with UHF walkie talkies....
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ken Roberts
> > > kenr55@bellsouth.net
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
> > > news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> > > > Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows
cell
> > > > phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital
here.
> > > > There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> > > > entrances.
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > > >
> > > > dave@davelewis.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> > > > <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to
prohibit
> > the
> > > use
> > > > > of cell phones also?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3379. PRO-94?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Q6Wl5.67393$fR2.714036@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82323

Can the Radio Shack PRO-94 frequencies be loaded from a PC?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

3380. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <phWl5.14666$H14.33970@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

John,
I would suspect that the difference here is that the EMS transmissions come from an antenna on the roof of the hospital, i.e., physically remote from the equipment in the ER, ICU, CCU, etc.

Ken,
All I can say is that radio transmissions (by police and fire personnel) are forbidden in our ER, etc. It may be that the hospital personnel are briefed on areas where they can and can not use their radios. Proximity to certain areas such as those mentioned above may be the determining factor. The staff can be taught where it is safe to use the radios whereas outsiders might not realize they are in close proximity to sensitive equipment, hence the blanket ban. This is just an educated guess, I don't know for sure. I do have some friends on the maint. crew at the hospital, if I can find anything out I'll post it.

Mark K5MAR

"reebok" <reebok@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dYUl5.45048$sO2.156690@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> One of the talkgroups on my system is EMS to Hospital. They tell about
the
> patient's condition and what has been done so far.
>
> --
> John
> Webmaster
> www.FileFlash.com
>
> AOL: reebok657
> ICQ: 13738184
>
>
> "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:ITUl5.2788$pF6.447205@news3.mia...
> > I've been in LOTS of hospitals recently; every one of them had personnel
> > walking around with mostly UHF band hand held radios. If rf was really
a
> > problem, then cellphones and two way radios would be banned in EVERY
> > hospital.
> >
> > Ken
> >
> >

> > M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:WqAl5.13290$H14.29766@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > The hospital here doesn't allow any two-way radio transmissions. The
> > police
> > > (UHF) and fire (VHF) personnel have to step outside the E.R. to use
> their
> > > handhelds
> > >
> > > Mark K5MAR
> > >
> > > "Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:N7yl5.633$pF6.35526@news3.mia...
> > > > Hospitals ban cell phones, while security and maintenance staff walk
> > > around
> > > > with UHF walkie talkies....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Ken Roberts
> > > > kenr55@bellsouth.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave Lewis <dave@aaa.davelewis.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:130820000246162964%dave@aaa.davelewis.net...
> > > > > Starting? I do not know of any hospital in Cincinnati that allows
> cell
> > > > > phones to be used inside. My wife is a RN at Children's Hospital
> here.
> > > > > There are signs that tell you to turn off your cell phone at the
> > > > > entrances.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > dave@davelewis.net
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <Vnql5.109977$Yr4.1873692@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, st
> > > > > <bigcheese@reticent.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Do I dare bring up the fact that Hospitals are starting to
> prohibit
> > > the
> > > > > use
> > > > > > of cell phones also?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

> >
> >
>
>

3381. Re: Immature Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399836C4.E37E1A84@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

If you have forgiven and forgot then why do you carry on.
Try being a man for once in your life and just stop posting.
You lost this back and forth debate a LONG time ago why
can't you just move on Mackey.
Maybe you just need to find another hobby or make a
friend somewhere. I suggest church.

K S 0 F wrote:

> I've already done that. When are YOU going to?
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > So when are you going to forgive, FORGET and carry on

3382. Re: Mackey Off Topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <yGWl5.95289$V34.912523@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82326

Mike - if you don't like it then why do you come here?

"Mike Derryberry" <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
> Some things never change ... too bad ...

3383. Re: Fred makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <MNWl5.95312$V34.912690@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82327

Then how come YOU are the one who has to post under a fake ID?

"Fred" <freds@nospam.mail> wrote
> Corky, give it a rest. You are embarassing yourself.

3384. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <QVWl5.95340$V34.913197@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Then how come YOU are the one who uses a fake ID?

"Fred" <freds@nospam.mail> wrote
> You are embarrassing yourself

3385. Re: Glenn Forges message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <9ZWl5.95353$V34.913103@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Mackey 46
SNIP

How do you figure I have 46? Please itemize each.

> How sweet. Is RicK a
> PARG member or just a PARG groupie?

Why don't you ask him?

3386. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <f_WI5.95357$V34.913331@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

EXACTLY how did you "prove" that & what was the "proof"?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, I just proved, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that you lied when
> you said no one has asked you to leave FIDO!

3387. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <K_Wl5.95359$V34.913285@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Then how come YOU are the one who posts under a fake ID?

"Fred" <freds@nospam.mail> wrote
> The only idiot who causes problems here is YOU

3388. Re: Immature Cory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <F%Wl5.95363$V34.913346@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

It sounds like the best thing YOU could do it to follow your own advice.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
SNIP
> Maybe you just need to find another hobby or make a
> friend somewhere. I suggest church.

3389. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39984062.84091A20@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

it's pretty sensitive; they adjusted phase on the ILS at RGAAF with BNC "L" adaptors.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Rain McClelland wrote:

> Cortland Richmond wrote:
>
> > IIRC, the original rulemaking prohibiting untested electronics operation was based on the
> > presence in passenger's seat area of a portable FM radio during an approach that did crash. The
> > supposition was that the local oscillator of the FM radio had interfered with the ILS signal,
> > causing the aircraft to be in the wrong piece of sky during its descent to the runway. This is not
> > improbable, and even more so with a vacuum tube portable whose oscillator is more powerful than
> > those in modern FM portable receivers.
> >
> > There are unanswered questions. The ILS flag should come up if the ILS signal was interfered with.
> > Did it? Who knows? It IS possible for the flag circuit to malfunction; I've seen it happen. The
> > pilot SHOULD take over visually. Did he? Apparently not.
>
> The flag probably wouln't appear unless the interference was so strong that it overpowered the bona
> fide ILS signal, causing the receiver to stop receiving the signal it recognizes. A worse case
> would be if the interference was more subtle and affected the signal slightly. Even a car too close
> to the glideslope or localizer antenae (inside the critical area) can cause accuracy problems with
> the signal, and it is possible that a stray signal near the receiver could do this too.

3390. Re: Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <399841AC.FA22D9FA@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

FWIW, this was also accomplished to the sound of political tap dancing as the
OCSD took over OC comm responsiblity. I believe Irvine and one other city were
actually the only ones that had their own licenses.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Steve & Susan wrote:

> "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:
>
> >- at least $80 milllion county tax dollars
>
> It ain't cheap. The longer capital upgrades take place, the more
> expensive they are. That's my mantra at work, but when your
> administration prides themselves on their fiscal austerity policy, the
> motto changes to "MT-500s Forever." (even if they are held together
> with Scotch 33+.)
>
> >- a system that "may not work in some clusters of buildings" according to
> >Motorola
>
> Before we resort to hysterics and emotions, in what fashion does this
> not meet the performance of the UHF system?
>
> >- bi-directional amps installed and, presumably, paid for by building owners
> >to essentially help fund the county system so it works right...
> >- and/or passive antenna systems installed and, presumably, paid for by
> >building owners to help fund the county system so it works right
>
> Most new construction ignore the requirement to communicate inside the
> facility. Even with VHF or UHF, there is only ONE sure way to cover
> the building - that's not to put the antenna on top of it (amazingly
> the most frequently implemented solution that usually yields great
> signals for hundreds of square miles, but none inside the building),
> but to use both Radiax, power dividers and a network of distributed
> antennas in addition to energy "beamed" at the building from the
> exterior.
>
> Is there an ordinance requiring retrofitting or BDAs in new
> construction?
>
> >- police and firefighters will need a map or list (or luck) to figure where
> >they may or may not be able to depend on their radios. ("Um, excuse me, Mr.

> >Hostage Taker, my new radio doesn't work down here. Could you move your
> >victims out of this basement, or over to Santa Monica, so I can call for
> >help?"
>
> Basements are equally bad for trunked and conventional systems. Good
> on-site communications practices should employ simplex (non-repeated)
> frequencies during fireground and tactical communications so that
> units can communicate directly from radio to radio. IMPO, if the
> system was constructed entirely dependent upon the trunked system
> infrastructure, it should not be used for public safety applications
> until simplex channels have been incorporated and personnel trained in
> using it in tactical environments.
>
> >- "King's X" - it's not Motorola's fault, it's because of all that seismic
> >retrofitting and even had the audacity of putting up new buildings
> >- here we are, in the 21st century, with cellphones and pagers on everyone's
> >hip, but according to an OC Communications person, "any indoor coverage is a
> >bonus"
>
> It's next to impossible to predict how well a system designed for
> streetside coverage will penetrate into a structure that's not under
> control of the local entity building the system. Most of the time,
> when someone is interested in indoor coverage, either a test is
> performed and the signal levels plotted inside or the building is
> wired for a BDA. Metalized windows, rebar, metal drywall studs and all
> of the rest of the common highrise and office construction practices
> can turn the building into a big screen room.
>
> >with the new radio system because the 1970's UHF system was accepted
> >with a "mobile on the street" coverage guarantee. (I don't understand the
> >logic of that. And is there no longer even "mobile n the street" coverage"
> >on the UHF system?)
>
> I've never been to OC, so I can't comment on effacacy of the old in
> comparison th he new. What I don't understand is why anyone would sign
> off on a system that does not meet standards outlined in the RFP? OR -
> why anyone would design an RFP that is warranteed by the manufacturer
> by acceptance and then allow someone else - or the manufacturer to
> perform the system audit.
>
> >Is this PROGRESS? Give me - or rather, give the users a break! I bet a
> >small fraction of that $80 million could bring the existing UHF system
> >absolutely up-to-date. If it works right to begin with, who needs stuff...
>
> It's only progress from the standpoint of spectrum efficiency. There
> is no reason (given a clear set of UHF frequencies) that trunking
> could not have been implemented there. It's important to consider that
> if the present UHF system "works" right now, co-locating several
> transmitters together using combiners will reduce the ERP
> significantly, so it may not work that well afterwards unless higher

> power is used to overcome losses.
>
> Not to defend something that may be poorly constructed, but it takes
> two to tango and the story seems a little unilateral.
>
> Steve

3391. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <399849D8.1A1FF2C0@home.com>
Source record: 82335

My take on it is a person wants a scanner who picks up alot of transmissions. With alot of trunking advancement you can pick up less with a regular scanner. I live in a big city. Not that I listen to them-but there is always something to listen to. Besides they don't make scanners like this anymore. Anything that becomes scarce will grow in value usually.

Yizeguy@webtv.net wrote:
>
> why do people still care about listening to cellular?
>
> most all the listening that can be done is now analog only, and 90% is
> now digital and cant be heard anyway.
>
> aside from that, its just plain uninteresting anyway. i will admit a few
> years ago there was more traffic out there and occassionally there was a
> gem amongst all the crap. but now ? who cares? theres nothing there
> anymore!
>
> perhaps its a matter of principal. just having some band taken away that
> is free to monitor in other countries. i admit that kinda pises me off
> to.
>
> so whats the big deal?
>
> the reason i ask is i have a scanner for sale that is blocked, and
> people email me saying they dont want it for that reason, after they
> find out it is a later unrestorable model.

3392. Re: AOR 8200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com>
Message-ID: <XPXI5.9456$63.187588@zonnet-reader-1>
Source record: 82336

Ja daar wel, maar jij zit ook in Niemandsland met je ongevraagde kritiek en nietszeggende opmerkingen en flaters.

==========

Jan Dekker <kekie@dek.net> schreef in berichtnieuws
#v#LJmKBAHA.364@net025s...
> ai..nog steeds niet verkocht...
> vreemde dollarkoers... hier staat ie 2.4385
>
>
> EagleForce <adelaar@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:74il5.8367$63.173720@zonnet-reader-1...
> > As good as new !
> > Portable scanner with all the extras, freq. till 2040MHz !
> >
> > Location : Europe (Netherlands)
> >
> > Price : 410 US Dollars or 850 Dutch guilders.
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Ren&#65533;
> > EagleForce.
> >
> >
>
>

3393. BC9000-XLT Stock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ilYl5.67415$fR2.714627@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82337

Most of you already know, but some may not, the Uniden Bearcat BC9000-XLT scanner has been discontinued by Uniden, and most dealers are out of stock permanently.

While scouting the local radio shops today, I found two brand new. I bought one, and he still has one more.

If interested, contact Dick at:

Abel Electronics
27201 Harper Ave
St. Clair Shores, MI 48081
810-777-8232 [voice]
810-777-7540 [FAX]

Bill Crocker
(I am not employed by, or affiliated with, Abel Electronics in any way.)

3394. Fraud Alert - JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8F90A379Fslvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 82338

This is a notice to anyone who may have had any dealings with a JASON WEBB (swflatrunking@gulfaccess.net). He had a post here on the Newsgroups and also on the Strong Signals For Sale Board for an AR8200 MKII scanner for $300. I worked a deal with him via email and got his mailing address in Naples, FL and sent him a postal money order via USPS express mail in the amount of $300. He said he would UPS the scanner to me on the following business day and email me a tracking number. He also called me on the same weekend on my mobil phone and said he would ship the scanner on Monday.

Well, over 2 weeks later, and no word from JASON WEBB or any sign of a scanner. I checked the USPS tracking site and the money order was signed for the next business day after I sent it.
He said he was leaving the country, which should have been a sign right there, and which also
explains why his email address is now dead.

I am going to look into pursuing legal action with the Postal Inspection Service.

I'd be curious if anyone has any dealings with this person, or knows the guy personally.

Live and Learn,

Adam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3395. Freq's for the DNC at Staples Center?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <399856cb.3382349@news.uia.net>
Source record: 82339

Does anyone know SPECIFICALLY what frequencies are being used by LAPD, LASO, CHP and any other public safety agency at the DNC? I'm interested in which specific TAC channels they'll be operating on during the convention.

How about the Secret Service?

Thanks!

3396. Vs: Help - Latest 245's rid of 2 second delay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jk <jari.kupila@pp.inet.fi>
Message-ID: <SMYl5.372$q57.28952@read2.inet.fi>
Source record: 82340

Hey!

Have you seen this www.matchon.com

3397. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000814165251.09733.00001282@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 82341

>Subject: whats the deal with cellular?
>From: Yizeguy@webtv.net
>Date: 8/13/00 11:48 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <18363-39977A2F-127@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>why do people still care about listening to cellular?
>
>most all the listening that can be done is now analog only, and 90% is
>now digital and cant be heard anyway.

Depends where you live. Digital is useless in a huge percentage of land area in the US. Anywhere outside the top 10 or 15 metro areas, Digital & PCS barely cover the interstates. So in many, many rural to not-so-rural areas, all the traffic is still on analog cell channels.

3398. F.S. YAESU FRG9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39985904.CAA2B21B@GTE.net>
Source record: 82342

Very clean 60-905 MHz - no gaps, all modes. Also comes with original instruction manual, mobile bracket and the seldom found video card, original Yaesu wall wart..
This receiver also has a built in up converter that extends the coverage down to 1 MHz- the converter may be removed leaving no holes.
$375 shipped CONUS

3399. FA: Icom R-2, R-3 Scanner Receivers Unblocked !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Restiaux <auction@mhtradio.com>
Message-ID: <39986D07.27DF8B2F@mhtradio.com>
Source record: 82343

Icom R-2
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=405284507

Icom-R3
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=406055817

Check out my other radio equipment for auction:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=restiaux

3400. Re: PRO-94?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jdeloachX@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <h9sgps853ip34ph06tb8n1903js3ugf8ci@4ax.com>
Source record: 82344

On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 17:36:48 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>Can the Radio Shack PRO-94 frequencies be loaded from a PC?
>
>Thanks,
>Bill Crocker
>
No.
Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

3401. Re: Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry@weatherford.org
Message-ID: <spgu279skn9166@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82345

On the Pro-92, you can set the Priority channel as the WX channel and it will check it for the necessary tone.
It will then stop scanning and make an audible alert (not that loud) and display "Weather Alert" or other info on the screen.

-
Terry Weatherford, Jr.

Visit my web sites: http://www.N9MAE.com (Central/SouthWest IL Ham & Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service info)
or http://www.terry.weatherford.org (personal site)
ICQ #: 45591028

"Jason" <greatmustachio@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Ncol5.6163$pu4.462244@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish to monitor during severe wx?

Jason

3402. ICOM PCR100 (Ebay)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Livewire <livewire@theclanleague.org>
Message-ID: <mR_l5.95659$V34.916635@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82346

Hey there, FYI

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=408940151

starting at $80

3403. Re: FS/FT - VHF Mobile and Portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8n7ank$e32$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82367

In article <%i3l5.25288$IX6.118179@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>,
"tim" <radiosOhio@aol.com> wrote:
>I have the following for sale or trade:
>
>Motorola MT1000 - 99 channel, VHF, DTMF Keypad
>Maxon SM4150 VHF, 16 channel, 40Watt, scan
>
>Both of these units are in nice shape. Pics available upon request.
>
>Will consider trades for MOTOROLA UHF equipment or
>Cash offers. Please don't ask how much I want, do some research and make an
>offer!
>
>Thanks
>

Hello,
First of all I would be looking to purchase, have nothing
to trade. I am wondering what bands the MT1000 covers, or if
it could be programmed with necessary freqs and just add the
appropriate antenna? Specifically looking for 42-45MHz range,
still in use here in Massachusetts.

Regards,

David C. Toscano

3404. yo me mimo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Abel Alcal&#65533; S&#65533;nchez <tavarich@terra.es>
Message-ID: <xPGl5.53215$1p5.161387@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 82368

hfd

3405. PRO-92A vs PRO-92...the bottom line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <39973f21.3707845@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82369

Has anyone heard exactly what the difference between a PRO-92A and a PRO-92 is SUPPOSED to be? Any word from the Shack as to what the new firmware really does for us? I bought a 92A recently and from what I can see, it does not have the trunk ID flicker problem reported in the 92, but seems to have trouble detecting the ID from time to time. I'm just curious what the real difference is supposed to be.

John

3406. Re: Help me score with my wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813213131.22221.00000457@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 82370

A couple of suggestions: First, determine if the area in which you live "requires" a trunk tracking scanner. You don't want to get her one that won't pick up the local action.
Second, check out ebay auctions - I've noticed some great scanners recently.
Good luck!

>Subject: Help me score with my wife
>From: "bdw" bdwright@erols.com
>Date: 08/13/2000 5:47 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8n7fu9$8qm$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
>
>My wife has been wanting a scanner for a long time now, and I
>hope I can get some help with selecting one here. I'd like to get
>a handheld model for under $200. I'm not a tightwad. We have
>four kids that feeding takes priority over luxury items. But she
>really wants this and I'd appriciate any help. Thanks.

3407. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: drop-the-chalupa <peanut4444@aol.com>
Message-ID: <yjII5.8366$IH.312817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
news:nmpdpsgdgj7vptjhqrclvqhqbvg995nub3@4ax.com...
> >This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.
>
> Most of us are literate enough to spell "losers" correctly, however.
>
> I don't think you really meant "commensurate," either, since it makes no
> sense in the context of the sentence.

Perhaps if Glen was wearin his curlers and pink
bunny slippers he'd have appreciated the validity of the
original posting..?

Nah! Glen is still deep in psychogenesistic withdrawl
over the demise of the alt.fan.art.bell newsgroup.
Seeing that Art Bell has retired from broadcasting
and the "A-FAB Bullies" are gone the way of
morse code... eh Glen? :)

3408. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: som9k7e5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000813215645.27087.00000806@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 82372

Here is Milwaukee Wisconsin we have the "Dot" syndrome. Alot of times (especially during severa weather times) you'll hear something to the affect of the following:

"Showers & Thunderstorms will continue accross southeast Wisconsin" "Dot" Some of these storms will produce Heavy Rain & Hail"

It is hillarious to listen. I presume the tech (Or whoever types the messages for this voice) in has to follow the guidelines similar to HTML. Anyways...its a hoot

Tim

3409. Re: permanent auto antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tiny <tiny2nz@home.com>
Message-ID: <399752EC.5DCC96B6@home.com>
Source record: 82373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John, be careful if you mount the antenna on the roof.

I mounted a Diamond dual bander (770NMO) to a NMO mount on the roof of my '97 F150. it is fine for highway but in the city beware of low hanging trees. I noticed one day my antenna was crooked so I took off the antenna and noticed the sheet metal was bowed up. Like if you took a screwdriver to a can of corn!
I took it to a friend of mine who is a installer of two way radios and he fixed the problem by bending it back CAREFULLY
he also told me it was my mount that did the damage.
So I got a larson MNO mount with a large base to cover the mount with more surface area, there fore more resilient to low hanging branches.
Now if the home owners trim their branches or there are no trees around by all means drill the hole but make sure they are at least a wavelength apart from each other to avoid problems with desence and the like

but if you have trees I would stay with a mag mount
I would rather buff a couple of scratches and replace a antenna whip than pay to have a hole plugged and repainted. I learned this the hard way.

thanks for your time
hope this helps if not well then sorry!

TiNy

3410. Mint Pro 2042 auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000813224528.19231.00000702@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82374

I have a pro 2042 for auction on ebay.

You can access the auction at the link below.

Thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=409208291

3411. Re: Pro 92 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000813224541.02669.00002635@nso-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 82375

In article <t8gdpskved6tl005o869a2s62dfhn06i4b@4ax.com>, DLH <nospam@iname.com>
writes:

>>BC245 does not have the problems associated with the 92/92A.
>
>What problems does the 92A have, that the 245 doesn't?
>

very touchy squelch when it comes to trunking
other then that, I love it
alpha tags are great,,i dont think i could memorize so many talkgroups

3412. Re: PRO-92A vs PRO-92...the bottom line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000813224541.02669.00002636@nso-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 82376

the real difference is the firmware version change from v1.00 to 3.25
in version 3.25 it trunks using the control channel as apposed to sub-audible
data
there are some other changes but nothing major

3413. Re: Mississippi Highway Patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3997618F.AFBB5152@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82377

42.020 statewide intersystem, 42.160 Troop J Dispatch, 42.200 Troop J Mobiles car to car, 42.24 Troopp J Mobiles to Dispatch, 154.935 Veh. Rptr, 158.970 Veh. Rptr.

Jonathan wrote:
>
> Anyone know these? Specifically Troop J in Hattiesburg? Thanks
>
> Also, my local police dept. doesn't appear in the FCC database. I saw them
> a few months ago before I had a scanner, but they aren't there anymore. Why
> not?
>
> Jonathan

3414. Re: Another trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39976224.332705E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82378

Only the pro-94 and newest can track Ericson.....and you must have the
logical channel numbering for it to work right.

Mark wrote:
>
> My local police dept. has an Ericson (sp?) trunking system. I was reading
> product specs on the Radio Shack website and it mentioned on one of the
> scanners that it could track Motorola type I and II systems. Would one of
> these be able to track the Ericson system?
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Mark
>
> (Holding off on scanner puchase a bit)

3415. Re: scanner frequencies for Memphis Police Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399762B5.3ECF966C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82379

memphis is digital.. shelby county is Ericson and works great as of just
a month or two agao when I visited using my PRO-94

randerson43@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the frequencies for the Memphis Police Department or
> the Shelby County Sheriffs Department. The frequencies listed in the
> Bearcat frequency book or the Uniden frequency manual didn't work. I
> have tried scanning on all banks through the 800's but the only thing
> that I could find was for Germantown and Bartlett. Robert Anderson
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3416. Re: Req: Durham NC Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399762F3.8B4E5257@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82380

go to trunkscanner.com

Gregory Hamilton wrote:
>
> I have just moved to the Durham area recently. I have the national
> registry book from
> Radio Shack but I am having a hard time determining what frequencies are
> what.
> I am looking for both city and county police, fire and ems.
>
> If anyone can tell me how to get access to these frequencies it would be
> greatly appreciated.

3417. Re: Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi Highway Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399763FB.154964D0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82381

LA. is a statewide trs Motorola tII, MS is VHF low from 42.02 thru 42.84
veh. rptr's are 154.935, and 158.97, I can e-mail Ala.. asap

dchaney wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I'm leaving on vacation next week and would like to know if
> anyone has any of the above frequencies for the respective
> highway patrol.
>
> Thanks
> David
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com

3418. Re: Fleet Maps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39976462.CB6950C7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82382

As a PRO-94 owner,.. I understand fleet mapping and will try to get you info asap.

David Fuller wrote:
>
> Anyone has a guide to reading and understanding Fleet Maps. Especially
> for programming the Pro-92 to accept Motorola type I units. Anyone
> help would be appreciated. Please e-mail me the info and/or links to me
> at the following e-mail address
> drfuller@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave

3419. Re: Fleet Maps/other info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399764A3.8A008DB1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82383

check out the books from RS and also the website trunktracker.com and trunkscanner.com

David Fuller wrote:
>
> I am looking for some info on how to read Fleet Maps In order to program
> my Pro-92. I also still looking for any talk group info for the Forrest,
> Lamar, Jones, and
> basically South Mississippi as well. So if you can help or point me in
> the right direction. It would be appreciated a lot. So please e-mail me
> the info as well.
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave

3420. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39976543.76778A68@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82384

Trunktracking is the ability of specailized scanners to basically follow the channel hopping of trunked systems.. esp Motorola and now even Ericson

Mike wrote:
>
> Could someone out there explain to me what trunk tracking is?

3421. Re: (Corky-John/Rick-Mackey watch) Corky leads poll 45 to 2 VOTE NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh_uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39979320.4B1AAC27@INVALID.com>
Source record: 82385

Glenn wrote:

> RicK Larson like his true love Corky slobbered, barely cohherent.....
>
> > Count one in favor of KS0F and one against Glenn from me!
>
> Pay attention Rick Or RicK or whatever you are today as you mimic
> John-Corky.
>
> > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > news:xSml5.91518$V34.877280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > SNIP
> > > > Hate me - 0
> > > SNIP
>
> Are you really John-Corky? You are just like him. You didn't need to vote
> Rick-Corky, I already counted your vote. You SNIPped it just like John-Corky
> SNIPs. What a couple!
>
> Here, again for John-Corky and RicK-Corky.
>
> I wrote and RicK-Corky SNIPped" 43-01 or if your luv Rick or RicK votes
> 43-2"
>
> But two more in the last 6 hours voted against John-Corky so no we
> have.....
>
> 45 hate Corky-Mackey/RicK Mackey
>
> 2 (John/RicK MacKey) hate me.
>
> You should have learned from your twin brother Corky-John, Rick-Corky.

I don't know if you counted my vote Glen, but the John-Corky-RicK Larson-theHamRadioGuy-Compania-RadioFan-Mackey, (There's so many forged identities of this clown, I ferget them all..) is voted most despicable in class as far as I'm concerned.

3422. Re: PRO 94 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399766B4.6958DBD4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can scan multi systems but not both trunk and conventional

mike wrote:
>
> I'm taking a serious look at the pro-94 but I have a few
> questions....can you scan multiple trunk systems
> (either of the same or different types) at the same time...can you scan
> multiple trunk systems and conventional frequencies at
> the same time....what is the khz step size?
> Your help would be very much appreciated.
> Thanks
>
> _____
> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
> With Servers In California, Texas And Virginia - The Worlds Uncensored News Source
>

3423. Re: E.F. Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <399766ED.686B3372@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82387

PRO-94 can on some systems

Tony Cellini wrote:
>
> Are there any handhelds/portables besides the Pro-92 that decode E.F.
> Johnson trunking?? From what I've seen so far, the 92 does a pretty
> piss-poor job of tracking LTR.
>
> I know the BC2078? is supposed to have LTR tracking, but it's not
> available yet (damn FCC).

3424. Re: moan, groan an bi+chin on 75 Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <399768cd.88739081@news.erols.com>
Source record: 82388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 13 Aug 2000 13:33:30 GMT, "The Orange Panty Illegal-Immigrnt Man"
<voofoodoo3@juno.com> wrote:

>Tuned down around 3853 last nite. Insomnia. Was hoping to catch that old
>drunk rom Conroe Tx flappin his gums and drinkin from the ole-brown-bottle.
>Got a pity-party instead - some other guy in 5-land whining like a old
>maid how James can't do no wrong and folks from other freqs are
>jammin and playin music24 hrs a day. (didn't her a thing) Guess he never
>heard
>james launch into an all-nighter of blue language that would knock
>over a mule and make Big-Al's past tirades look like an english garden
>tea party... Oh well, on to more radio amusment, spin the VFO!
>I tune up to 3950 around 2 AM an some 4-lander is moanin about
>"someone from Gettysburg Pa" makin problems for him or other what not.
>Switched it off, phoey! Watched E! Network instead - cute gals in
>dental-floss
>bikinis gyrating on a beach - this is more like it!! Gotta sell that stupid
>ham gear. Nothin but a pack of cheap old white men whining, bitchin and
>complanin
>like old washer-women leanin on a backyard fence, complete with the pink
>curlers
>in their hair and varicose legs showin. Yup. Think I'll sell the Yaesu and
>buy me
>one of those new 19" satellite dishes what get all the Triple-X TeeVee
>channels.
>This ham-radio stuff is for commensurate loosers.
>
Too funny. And much of it too true. Seems like too often
ragchew just means On-The-Rag.

Now maybe I could give you a deal on that Yaesu,.....

Andrew
>

3425. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3997697C.40A4B4BA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82389

Yes and NO!

Hotwater67 wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
> with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
>
> My questions are:
>
> 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
> 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave

3426. Re: Help me score with my wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39976A14.8E7A1288@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82390

PRO-94 is on sale for 199.99 it is tol 800 mhz dual trunker with 1000
chan.

bdw wrote:
>
> My wife has been wanting a scanner for a long time now, and I
> hope I can get some help with selecting one here. I'd like to get
> a handheld model for under $200. I'm not a tightwad. We have
> four kids that feeding takes priority over luxury items. But she
> really wants this and I'd appriciate any help. Thanks.

3427. Re: best trunk tracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina Medina <missyflip@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39976F3D.64F21965@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Umm I own a PRO-94 and it rocks .. and I would love someone to set me up
with the proper offsets for the fed trs.....and lcn's for Jackson, MS

bob dolson wrote:
>
> mike kutcher <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> news:396E7509.30DE6985@earthlink.com...
> > I'm ready to take the leap and get my first trunk tracker. Thoughts and
> > opinions on what to get? (I monitor in southern Cal. so I think it would
> > need to be able to track both Motorola and Ericsson).
> >
> > Thanks
> >
>
> Probably the best buy right now is the RadioShack Pro 92. It's a 500 channel
> multi-trunking scanner with cloning and PC interface abilities.
> Multi-trunking means that you can scan up to 10 different trunked systems at
> the same time or mixed trunk and conventional banks. It's got 10 banks of 50
> channels. They're on sale now at RadioShack stores for $249 (normally $349).
> It can track Motorola type I and II, EF Johnson, and EDACS (GE/Ericsson)
> systems.
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3428. Re: Handheld scanner question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <wsKl5.111090$Yr4.1899905@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 82392

Seems to me thats it a toss up between the AOR 8200MKII and the Yupiteru MVT-9000 II. I've been asking the same question, and have heard that the MVT9000 is better in the shortwave area then the AOR. Also the MVT9000 has a refreshing silver case and comes with a built-in speech descrambler, however it lacks the computer control. The MVT9000 is also about $100 USD less then the AOR. If you could somehow combine both of them it would perhaps be the greatest scanner. What I would like to know from everyone that has a scanner, how often do you use the computer control feature? Right now I have a RS PRO-64 and I feel like I'm missing out on the whole computer controlled scanner thing.

"Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
news:39A98FBD.3B92230A@cloudnet.com...
> Considering getting a new handheld scanner. I have a pro 26 now but
> looking around. Found a AOR 8200 series 2 on the net and looks petty
> good.
> Can anyone give me there personal experience with this scanner?
> Is there a better handheld out there?
> Thanks for the help.
> Randy
>

3429. Pro-2026 Memory retention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39977F83.881AFE16@home.com>
Source record: 82393

Can anyone point me in the direction for detailed instruction to install
a memory retention battery for the 2026?

Thanks...

3430. Trade or Sale Opto Xplorer, OptoTrakker, PRO64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-C3081E.00140714082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 82394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For sale or trade:

OptoElectronics Xplorer nearfield receiver. This unit has full coverage
(No gaps in the 800 range). Includes antenna, power supply, Opto
software &
Cable LNIB $700

OptoElectronics OptoTrakker decoder. This decodes PL, DPL, DTMF, LTR, and
Moto Trunking codes. Includes AR8000 cable, audio cables, computer cable,
TrakScan software, power supply. LNIB $275

Radio Shack PRO-64 HH Scanner, includes Computer cable, battery pack.
leather case $150

Wanted:
PRO2006 scanners
AOR AR8200 MkII
ICOM IC-735 or IC-751 type HF rigs.
Kenwood TS440 or similar HF Rig

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

3431. Re: E.F. Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39978773.14FD@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82395

According to Rich Wells Web page the Pro-94 can only Trunk Motorola
and Ericsson Systems.

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/pro-94.htm

From what I've heard, the Pro-94 is basically a BC245 XLT, but with more
channels (1000 for the Pro-94 vs 300 for the BC245 XLT).

Gina Medina wrote:
>
> PRO-94 can on some systems
>
> Tony Cellini wrote:
> >
> > Are there any handhelds/portables besides the Pro-92 that decode E.F.
> > Johnson trunking?? From what I've seen so far, the 92 does a pretty
> > piss-poor job of tracking LTR.
> >
> > I know the BC2078? is supposed to have LTR tracking, but it's not
> > available yet (damn FCC).

3432. Re: Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <OMLl5.94184$V34.906251@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I've already done that. When are YOU going to?

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> So when are you going to forgive, FORGET and carry on

3433. Re: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <eZim5.81475$6y5.54521975@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Ebay is for auctioning not selling.

Ryan Haskell <ryanh@tccorp.net> wrote in message
news:8nc9p5sle1@rupert.unet.maine.edu...
> Here's a great portable scanner I've got for sale, please check it out on
> Ebay if you're interested at the following URL:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874
>
> Thanks a lot, happy bidding!
> Ryan
>
>

3434. Re: Pro-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000815175330.15601.00000466@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 82148

>From: grey_maus@my-deja.com
>I just bought a Realistic Pro-37
>- Where could i find the Pro-37 user manual on
>the www ?

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/28537.htm

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
Featuring
The Icom IC-R10 reference page
and
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip

3435. FOR TRADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. B. H." <dbh@sbip.com>
Message-ID: <T_im5.56857$il5.823769@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 82149

I have an Export model of the PRO-26, 25MHz to 1.3GHz. This model has no gaps, meaning you get cell. It is NEW in the box (taken out only for a test) with manual, antenna, and a real leather case, not vinal. I will trade for a mint PRO-2006, with box, manual and antenna. It must have the on/off switch for the back lite, not the dimmer switch.Also it has to receive cell. I will not go into the reasons I am forced to make this offer here. If intrested email me at dbh@101freeway.com

3436. Sportscat SC150b any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <3999c00e$1_2@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 82150

Anyone got an opinion on the Bearcat Sportscat SC150b. Any good??
It's at my local Walmart for $149.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3437. Re: Edachs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3789-3999B9F3-80@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82151

Are you sure that they went to a digital system? If not, I think that the Bearcat BC245 can monitor some EDACS systems (if not all of them).

If they are using digital modulation or encryption, then perhaps there is no scanner available now. Peter S

3438. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3789-3999BA72-81@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82152

So basically the question is - will the USA be a better place when the public cannot easily monitor public safety radio transmissions? Peter S

3439. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <CF30B737DCABF6C7.E8DDA7DD9BC6247E.3DD904B7ABEBE751@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82153

Got news for you. No one in their right mind is going to pay $6000 each for a hand-held radio except for maybe our insane, money
conciousless government.
Oooops, sorry, accidently fell on the $6000 radio and broke it while chasing down a suspect. Oh well!

3440. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <78BE2479159EE86F.24640D07F584B04A.2A716C86E1E30382@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82154

That's why I got my new 5Khz to 2.6Ghz scanner so that when they make them illegal, I'll be set
except for receiving all the remaining satellites at higher freqs. I'll just make a digital signal to PC decoder
or something. No biggie unless its encrypted, which it should have been to start with, years ago if it was such
an issue.

3441. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3999C3F3.F0CE536D@cts.com>
Source record: 82155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Your statement below is completely false!
>
> "Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> > Not true. In the last year you have had at least 5 different names to get
> > around killfilters.
Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
told
us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
us he
was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
find
the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
off
to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
Here's
what they show me.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646

There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
toilet-boy
or
Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
These
are
just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
Thousands
of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
John
(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

3442. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Gzjm5.137402$1h3.2564826@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 82156

Who told you that about canadian scanner laws ?

Here in Canada, you can have a scanner and even listen to cellulars as opposed in the states. The only thing you can't do, is repeat what you heard on air. Like if your neighbor call the police to complain about you, you should not use it after against him. Just act as if you didn't hear nothing.

No good reasons needed to buy one. As opposed, a towing business doesn't have the rights to have one in the truck because it 's not fair for competitors. It's the only restriction I know.

There's no certification for scanner here, only for Ham radios.

Too bad my BC245 can't listen to cellular bands but anyway, it would be difficult to follow a conversation as the technology is cells.

The man walked on the moon, so I should be able to create a scanner for digital communications devices.

"stevw1" <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Vwdm5.1409$juZ4.12714215@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca...
> Hi,
>
> I've talked with members of Uniden and they said they WILL NOT be
developing
> a digital capable scanner. They said that it was due to the way the laws
> relate to scanning in the States. (If its like the Canadian scanner laws -
> they are considered illegal to own or operate with out a special
> certification and a DANG good reason to own one.)

3443. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39c2cf38.1461759148@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82157

On Tue, 15 Aug 2000 22:34:14 GMT, "Martin Lambert"
<martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>[...]
>Too bad my BC245 can't listen to cellular bands but anyway, it would be
>difficult to follow a conversation as the technology is cells.
>
>The man walked on the moon, so I should be able to create a scanner for
>digital communications devices.

Martin... ever hear of encryption?

A big part of the motivation for going digital is to be able to easily
encrypt the data (whether that data represents voice or MDT traffic,
doesn't matter).

Sure, you can build a scanner that will pick up the transmissions and even
demodulate them to a digital data stream. But if there's nothing in the
data stream to give your scanner the complete decryption key (and there
won't be, in any reasonable system), the result will be garbage.

--- jeh

3444. Bearcat 895 and Winscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <ukkm5.58$ud6.61883@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 82158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm trying out the Winscan computer control program for my 895 - but can't get it to work... The program keeps saying it can't locate the scanner, and asks if the scanner is in remote mode?

I can't find anything on putting the 895 in 'remote mode'....

Any help?

Thanks,

3445. Re: Uniden SC200 and Winscan Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Unknown Scannist <scanners@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <lokm5.11192$P4.72333@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 82159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I own WinScan Sport, and I only paid $29 for it. I also heard that Pozilla is coming out with a new version of the software in the next couple of weeks.

Bryan Burton <bdburton@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:0h4i5.10$VK.630@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> All,
>
> I am considering upgrading my SC150 to a SC200. I like the alpha numeric
> feature, the extra 100 channels, and the PC interface option. However, after
> some research I found out to import frequencies to the scanner from my PC
> (database / spreadsheet) you need some additional third party software. The
> software that you can download from Uniden will only upload frequencies from
> their database. I believe the third party software is called Winscan Sport.
> Is there a place on the web where I can download a demo I want to make sure
> that this software will actually do what I want before I sink $200 in the
> scanner and probably another $50 in Winscan Sport. I hate it when companies
> do this why not include all the software with the scanner!!
>
> Also anybody have any comments on the SC200?
>
> TIA,
> Bryan
>
>
>
>

3446. Re: Bearcat 895 and Winscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <3999D320.5038289C@moonco.com>
Source record: 82160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hold down the RMT key... should beep, then try with winscan

jh-lewis wrote:

> I'm trying out the Winscan computer control program for my 895 - but can't
> get it to work... The program keeps saying it can't locate the scanner, and
> asks if the scanner is in remote mode?
>
> I can't find anything on putting the 895 in 'remote mode'....
>
> Any help?
>
> Thanks,

--

3447. Re: Bearcat 895 and Winscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Unknown Scannist <scanners@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <aqkm5.11194$P4.72217@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 82161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Press and hold the "alert/rmt" key down for 2 seconds while your scanner is
on and scanning. This will put the scanner in remote mode.

jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:ukkm5.58$ud6.61883@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> I'm trying out the Winscan computer control program for my 895 - but can't
> get it to work... The program keeps saying it can't locate the scanner,
and
> asks if the scanner is in remote mode?
>
> I can't find anything on putting the 895 in 'remote mode'....
>
> Any help?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
>

3448. Re: Bearcat 895 and Winscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert S. McMahan" <bobmcm@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <gvkm5.531$8p2.59763@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To put the 895 in remote mode, press and hold the 'ALRT/RMT' button.

"jh-lewis" <jh-lewis@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:ukkm5.58$ud6.61883@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> I'm trying out the Winscan computer control program for my 895 - but can't
> get it to work... The program keeps saying it can't locate the scanner,
and
> asks if the scanner is in remote mode?
>
> I can't find anything on putting the 895 in 'remote mode'....
>
> Any help?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
>
>

3449. Re: Fraud Alert - JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8ncla4$8ankj$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 82163

> This may have been said before, but the only way you should deal with
> somebody on a sale of this nature is with a UPS COD. UPS will do a COD
> delivery for $5.00 on top of the usual shipping charges. They will not
give
> the package to the person on the delivery end unless they have payment.

I've heard of plenty of people specifying that method of payment and then
when they opened the box, they got a brick, not a radio...

Roland

3450. FA TOWER-COAX-ACCESORIES ANDREWS-SLACAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris fox <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3999DA6A.70691CD2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 82164

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=409843376

3451. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Self Indulged <mefirstplease@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3999E83E.5ED2F9F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 82165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Could you guys please take your little tiff elsewhere.
The logic of the ranting escapes me.

BC

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> EXACTLY how did you "prove" that & what was the "proof"?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, I just proved, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that you lied when
> > you said no one has asked you to leave FIDO!

3452. Frequency of Dog Collar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Ellington <N4LQ@igLou.com>
Message-ID: <3999f0ee$1_2@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 82166

Does anyone know the frequency of other information of invisible fences for dogs?

--
Steve
N4LQ

3453. Re: MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8ncrpmktb1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KS0F you are looking to get sued. Stop your incessant defamation of
character before you find yourself looking for an attorney! And take it off
this forum too. This is a family channel and we want to see Tinker Bell
instead of an arrogant troublemaking snotnose.
AF2Y

In article <Dp4m5.96879$V34.926705@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "K S 0 F"
<REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

> Richard, you never presented any proof of anything. YOU
> are hoping to snow everyone with your BS lies.
>
> BTW - I am not indigent, I probably make more money than YOU!
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>> Hey, loser Toilet Boy! You always demand proof that you are a liar
>> and when it's presented (see my post from five days ago), you ignore
>> it. In my professional opinion, you are in denial and living in a
>> fantasy world. Since you are indigent, you can get professional help
> SNIP
>
>

3454. UPS COD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <3999F486.3D9E52B4@netboise.com>
Source record: 82168

Just a quick comment on Bob's note on UPS COD. THEY ARE VERY SLOW !!!!! it took me on the adverage of 15-45 days to get a check (from UPS) on at least 5 transactions, I always ask for refrences and just ask potential buyers to ask about me. I always do a Postal MO it's still one of the safest methods of money in the mail.

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

Bob Mergner wrote:

> This may have been said before, but the only way you should deal with
> somebody on a sale of this nature is with a UPS COD. UPS will do a COD
> delivery for $5.00 on top of the usual shipping charges. They will not give
> the package to the person on the delivery end unless they have payment. As
> a sender, you can specify that you will only accept any of the following:
> personal check, certified check, money order.
>
> Anybody you are buying from who will not agree to do the deal via UPS COD is
> somebody you should walk away from. I've done the UPS COD, and the hassle
> factor is zero, so if they won't do it, be suspicious.
>
> Of course there are a few people here who have a gripe with UPS, but I've
> used them many times for Internet transactions and have never had the
> slightest problem.
>
> YMMV,
>
> Bob
> "Silverbeard" <slvbeard@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:8F90A379Fslvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113...
> > This is a notice to anyone who may have had any dealings with a JASON WEBB
> > (swflatrunking@gulfaccess.net). He had a post here on the Newsgroups and
> also on the Strong
> > Signals For Sale Board for an AR8200 MKII scanner for $300. I worked a
> deal with him via
> > email and got his mailing address in Naples, FL and sent him a postal
> money order via USPS
> > express mail in the amount of $300. He said he would UPS the scanner to me
> on the following
> > business day and email me a tracking number. He also called me on the same
> weekend on my
> > mobil phone and said he would ship the scanner on Monday.
> >
> > Well, over 2 weeks later, and no word from JASON WEBB or any sign of a

> scanner. I checked
> > the USPS tracking site and the money order was signed for the next
> business day after I sent it.
> > He said he was leaving the country, which should have been a sign right
> there, and which also
> > explains why his email address is now dead.
> >
> > I am going to look into pursuing legal action with the Postal Inspection
> Service.
> >
> > I'd be curious if anyone has any dealings with this person, or knows the
> guy personally.
> >
> > Live and Learn,
> >
> > Adam
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3455. Scanner and Ham frequencies site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BigB7 <bigb7@haoo.com>
Message-ID: <3999f786@news.infowest.com>
Source record: 82169

Go to http://www.netutah.com/bbcrobinson for frequencies all over the US. Also take a look at the Frequencies Webring at http://www.rlwd.net/freqring. And if you have a scanner/ham radio site, sign up for it.

Thanks,

BigB

3456. Re: Help me score with my wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Garlie C. Davis, Jr. & Amy O. Davis" <kf4lar@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <nOmm5.3807$t45.179394@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 82170

Try this website.
http://www.scannersunlimited.com/

BK wrote in message <39998ED3.A15DF0A6@home.com>...
>If you have 4 kids -it looks like you have scored a few times. Sorry. I
>know. lol
>
>bdw wrote:
>>
>> My wife has been wanting a scanner for a long time now, and I
>> hope I can get some help with selecting one here. I'd like to get
>> a handheld model for under $200. I'm not a tightwad. We have
>> four kids that feeding takes priority over luxury items. But she
>> really wants this and I'd appriciate any help. Thanks.

3457. Re: MORE LIES from John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <3999FD59.4D7C0401@primenet.com>
Source record: 82171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F whinned another lie:
>
> Richard, you never presented any proof of anything. YOU
> are hoping to snow everyone with your BS lies.
>
> BTW - I am not indigent, I probably make more money than YOU!
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > Hey, loser Toilet Boy! You always demand proof that you are a liar
> > and when it's presented (see my post from five days ago), you ignore
> > it. In my professional opinion, you are in denial and living in a
> > fantasy world. Since you are indigent, you can get professional help
> SNIP

Here you go Toilet Boy, the header from the proof. Now, I know
you're very inept with computers and all, so maybe you can get
help from someone else to look the message up. Also, don't forget
to get help from your local mental health professional. You need
it.

* *

Subject: Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Champion Liar of the Internet
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2000 18:43:12 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Organization: Frontier GlobalCenter Inc.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.amateur.misc, alt.fan.john.mackey

KS0F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > do you actually think that by cross posting your
> > lies and diatribes to rec.radio.amateur.misc you are going to embarrass
> me?
> I was hoping peer pressure might convince you to start acting
> in a respectable way.

So that's how you're trying to describe suppressing the truth about you,
Toilet Boy? You can keep trying to emulate your Nazi propaganda heroes,
but you're out of your league there. You lack the intellect to
understand
their methods.

Here are a few pieces of evidence for you chew on, Toilet Boy. This should keep you frothing for a while. You know the rest of the evidence exists; this is enough to prove my point. You are a liar and a fraud, Toilet Boy.

To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:

First: Not only is the emperor wearing no clothes, but he's wearing last year's fashion.

Second: Go commit intrapersonal intercourse.

3458. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <3999FF5C.2E767350@primenet.com>
Source record: 82172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F pouted:
>
> So what exactly is your profession & exactly what are your
> credentials for that profession?

Do you really want to know, Toilet Boy? You can buy the information, if you have the courage to face the truth.

Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology degrees.

I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified, I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

So what do you say, Toilet Boy? Are you man enough and honest enough to accept this challenge?

Until then Toilet Boy, you are a liar and a fraud.

3459. Re: Frequency of Dog Collar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <E33075507B075A09.42045F5CE1A767BD.03C42932BB89A028@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82173

Oh don't even do it!

"Steve Ellington" <N4LQ@igLou.com> wrote in message news:3999f0ee$1_2@news.iglou.com...
> Does anyone know the frequency of other information of invisible fences for
> dogs?

3460. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <422DE63DE5DFD8C0.FD182051B171AD9E.D82BD9A9BAB8FBF9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82174

Not true. You can possess it. It might be illegal and you might be fined or imprisoned for having it, but you can have it.

> Wrong on both counts. You cannot possess a digital scanner (which doesn't
> exist yet anyway) without a permit in Canada.

3461. Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: No User <no.user@anon.xg.nu>
Message-ID: <a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu>
Source record: 82175

Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its illegal.

Jim B.

3462. Re: Democratic Convention Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <montana@loop.com>
Message-ID: <399A12AD.6CA79D9A@loop.com>
Source record: 82176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aLT.RADIO.SCANNER

MD wrote:

> All the good stuff is Astro digital and encrypted. Sorry kids.
>
> Play nice with the persons in blue now.
>
> MD
>
> "JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3997E53E.EAFB1684@analon.com...
> > LAPD is using their standard frequencies in the 506-508mhz area
> > LA Sheriff....is using their standard frequencies in the 483-487mhz area
> > there will be plenty of chatter in hte 464.0-465.0 area as well as on
> the
> > media frequencies in the 450.0-451.0 and 455.0-456.0 ranges
> >
> > Michael Kellogg wrote:
> >
> > > OK, it's almost time for the democratic convention in downtown L.A. My
> > > building is at 9th and Figueroa, so I'll get to wade through an awful
> lot of
> > > protesting, police blockades, and other fun for a few days.
> > >
> > > Anyone have any thoughts on LAPD, Secret Service, and other involved
> parties
> > > as far as frequencies, procedures, tactical issues, etc.? A good
> comparison
> > > would be the Republican convention going on right now in Philly.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Michael Kellogg
> > > Diamond Bar, California
> > > --
> >
> > --
> > *****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
> > | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
> > Computer Systems,
> > |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what
> you
> > run.--
> > |we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
> > |-------------------------310-720-2927--------------------------

> > |Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> > |++
> >
> >
> >

Try 858.2375 a LAFD comand post for the convention.

3463. Re: Funeral for Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <mppm5.3879$MS4.451798@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

Here is the John-Corky we all know......

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:9anm5.2328$Ur3.13959@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...

> Glenn - Exactly what do you think the League or an ISP could (or would)
do??

Remove access like Fido and Sprint did.

> I have done NOTHING wrong.

Actually your mother and father commited the crime.

> I have been a good practicing amateur,

Jamming, swearing and making threats on the 523 repeater, that is your
pratice. Proven and verified by 4 other hams. That is your good practice.

>upholding all traditions the league
> stands for

When did they stand for drunk in public? Selling ham licenses. Denial
John-Corky, you've been diagnosed by a professional and found sick. Follow
the psycologist advise and seek help.

> & there is nothing wrong with my actions here online.

If this was alt.freak.stalkers.and.perverts you would be correct. It isn't.
You should be ashamed as 6 other people pointed out yesterday.

> The managers of my
> domain
> "parg.org" have no problems with me, so no online feed up the system would
> either.

PARG is a sophmoric group of morons which not one has ever identified
himself to the public. It is not your posting domain, you guilt is proven
by this lie. Why do you always hide @home as being your ISP John-COrky.
Because you have lost so many ISPs before.

> You are grasping, & have NOTHING to grasp!

Typical perverted John-Corky. I bet you were drooling when you wrote that.

> You are angry because I exposed you when you were on rec.radio.swap in
1996

Back when you were helping an owner of a Coommercial radio shop scam hams. Yes, I exposed Joe and it caused you to jam repeaters and throw a John-Corky tantrum. Is 6 years ago the best you can fabricate? What a friggin moron.

> trying to pick up on teenage boys

Here John-Corky exposes his true self. Maybe you would troll for young boys on the net. Maybe you would be stupid enough to post your troll for young boys on rec.radio.swap. You have admitted to having sex with underage girls on this newsgroup so who can guess what you would do. If I were looking for a homosexual relationship as your try and accuse me of, I wouldn't be stupid enough to look on a radio swap group. What a friggin loon. Of course you are referring to the poor forge by Petey Boe PJB. You can do a dejanews search and find Petey whineing in alt.revenge asking for help. After I received a child porn picture caused by his forge, I turned it over to the Seattle FBI computer people. Not only did PJB pop up but guess who come up in the conversation with the FBI? John-call me Corky- Mackey. FBI. Uh huh.

> & you lost your ISP service from> Accessone.

Accessone gave me a month free access to ignore you. You called them and tried to tell them you worked for Netscape or something. You were so angry at me you called them for days on end. It was a joke on the internal accessone. newd newsgroup.

Nice try Corky, but you aren't hiding this next bit either. You must be scared or you wouldn't SNIP it and try to hide it. You would be posting all sorts of crap but it scares you. The ARRL could take your VE and OO away from you like Bert Fisher got stripped. Hide it John-Corky and "we" will just repost it.

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > Better yet people should continue to complain about you to
> SNIP

What Corky-John SNIPped.....

Better yet people should continue to complain about you to abuse@home.net also a letter or forwarding of your nonsense to ARRL at hq@arrl.org , Northwestern Division Director Greg Milnes w7agq@arrl.org, William Sawders Oregon Section Manager k7zm@arrl.org, ARRL Volunteer Examiner Coordinator vec@arrl.org, Jahnke, Bart, W9JJ, Volunteer Examiner Coordinator w9jj@arrl.org, Manager (Exams, VEs) bjahnke@arrl.org, and maybe Maria Somma VEC Services Supervisor msomma@arrl.org and question their choice example of a Ham Radio Operator/VE/OO.

Do it folks. Just copy and then forward every garbage pile John-Corky posts to the ARRL and @home. Let these people see what John-Corky is doing for Ham Radio.

3464. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Corky Mackey is an Moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399A2C20.F31A4F11@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82178

Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
an idiot. I know its too late to leave all this gracefully
but don't you think its just time to LEAVE the room
like a bad fart. Duh wake up.
Are you going to be old and grey and still replying to
ALL of you hate mail?

K S 0 F wrote:

> I have posted MANY on-topic posts.
>
> "corky mackey" <no@mail> wrote
> > Let's not revisit your failed attempts to prove on-topic posts. It's been
> > going on for years and you've NEVER been able to provide proof of an
> on-topic

3465. Re: Immature Baby Corky Mackey the FOOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399A2CD7.F249AF03@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You are obviously geting worn down from all of this
replying Mackey. You know you can't keep it up
forever. And we all know it, too. There are so many
people who think you are such a retard and you know
we are NEVER EVER going to let you have the last
word. EVER And we will slam you and you will have
NO reply. HA HA HA

K S 0 F wrote:

> Yes, YOU are the PROBLEM!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > I'm not the problem here you stupid punk. Get out and
> SNIP

3466. Paging John Toilet Bowl Mackey: Bedpan Change in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399A2D5C.EECA3BD5@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82180

.

3467. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <399A3A20.792C20C1@cts.com>
Source record: 82181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> People who refuse to post under their legit ID can only be up to no good.

Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
told
us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
us he
was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
find
the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
off
to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
Here's
what they show me.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888

There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
toilet-boy
or
Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
These
are
just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
Thousands
of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
John
(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

3468. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <399910DC.3D702350@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 82182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
What's The Best Scanner?
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
RadioShack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
Amateur & HF Radio Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
Do I Need An Amplifier?
Where Can I Get A Digital Scanner?
*What Is Reaction Tune?
*What's A Discriminator?
*What's A Good Scanner For Races?
My Web Site

Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3469. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgtr$873@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed August 10, 2000 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists. |

Uniden sells refurbished scanners dn you can check current |
inventory at their web site: |
http://www.uniden.com/docs/store

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

You may be able to obtain manuals for older Midland scanners from:

Midland Consumer Radio Products
1670, North Topping,
Kansas City,
MO: 64120
Phone: 816-241-8500

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer the ICOM IC-R2, Uniden/Bearcat | BC3000XLT, and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3470. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgtl$872@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Other frequency web sites include:
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/ and
http://www.scannerway.8m.com.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or

anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the

channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have

the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus

service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3471. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgu6$87g@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: April 15, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)422-4210. The part number on the service manual for my VHF-high band monitor is 68P81048A55-G and my VHF-low band manual bears part number 68P81050A95-D.

John Miller reports the current price is $5.70 for service manuals. Motorola advised John that Alert Monitor parts are scarce, with last stocked being in 1995, Knobs are no longer available, though there are many that would fit.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. TCS Communications Corp. sells used Plectron receivers, in working condition, for $75-100. If you require crystals or reeds, TCS may be able to find some types/frequencies. Contact:

Terry Marengi
TCS Communications Corp.
tel. 1-800-TCS-XMIT
email: TERRYTCS@aol.com

If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3472. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgu0$874@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229, email: electra@tcon.net,

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor

controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig and Karl Klein repaired their BC210XWs by replacing the 22uF/35V C98 capacitor.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This display is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855.

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3473. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgun$87j@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)241-8742, or through your local Radio Shack store. You may be able to obtain some technical assistance from Tandy by calling (800)843-7422, option 2. The RSU (Radio Shack Unlimited) phone number is (800)-433-2024.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004, but Robert Cummings has modified an LCD from a Miller Engineering (http://www.microstru.com) experimenter's kit and fitted it to his PRO-2004. See http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html for details.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the

squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad (PRO-43 keypad is RSU#10789808) may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A
crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those
channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3474. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgtg$871@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some models, like the early Uniden SportCats and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $1500 Icom R8500 and $2000 AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT, BC245XLT, SC200, and Radio Shack PRO-91 and PRO-94 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites. The ICOM IC-R2 and Yaesu VR-500 are the two smallest "pocket" scanners which perform. Both are tiny enough to fit comfortably in a shirt pocket so you can monitor without attracting undue attention.

Looking for value? At $170 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT base is a good value and available at most Sears and Best Buy stores. The Uniden Sportcat SC200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $220 street price.

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your

scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, http://www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance. The Premier (Prime) RD-9 and RD-9SMA are about the same length but even thinner.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter

and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. Modifying any scanner to receive cellular phone signals is now considered illegal. By federal regulation, new scanner models must be designed to they cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the

Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial

broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, http://www.grove-ent.com) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the

public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM, too.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes an extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an

invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

Other scanner mailing lists include: scanner@onelist.com, scan-l@onelist.com, scanner@qth.net, and sonofrcma@onelist.com.

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about

4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for

- 2 -

free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55 in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or six pack.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3475. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbguh$87i@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3476. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgus$87l@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 30, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004
Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters

Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)
Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500
Apr 1999 - ICOM IC-R2 portable scanner
Jun 1999 - Mini-Circuits ZFSC-4-1 4-way passive splitter
Jul 1999 - Racing Electronics RE2000 portable
Aug 1999 - AOR AR16 portable
Sep 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC245XLT portable
Oct 1999 - Plectron R700 series monitor receivers
Nov 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC278CLT clock radio scanner
Dec 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC248CLT clock radio scanner
Jan 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-92 portable scanner
Jan 2000 - Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter and
MCA-204 Receiver Multicoupler
Feb 2000 - Yaesu VR-500 portable wide coverage receiver
Mar 2000 - Uniden Sportcat SC-200 portable scanner
Apr 2000 - Understanding Intermod,
(comparison intermod performance of several models)
May 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-94 portable
Jun 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-2052 trunk tracking base scanner
Jul 2000 - Electra Tiger Scan TSA portable

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO).

Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio,

otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke

sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the

ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier

vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder.

Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see

"The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more

than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has

some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod

immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners

have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they

were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock

out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. For a service manual, call SONY at (800)488-7669, and press 3. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal

case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3477. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8nbgub$87h@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 82205
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated August 3, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, John Wass KA9QJX, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email: jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 20 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: | Curt Chambers Wx9ema, po box 80 Catlin, IL 61817. Tel: | 217-431-0684 . Email: wx9ema@soltec.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. Info: Ron Morgan, KB9NW, or John Coker, N9FAM, both c/o: PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. tel: (309)692-3378. Email kb9nw@juno.com or n9fam@juno.com. http://www.peoriasuperfest.com

Sep 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Admission $8, good both days. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 1 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 15 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 5 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. Talk-in 146.88 MHz repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest

Nov 11 (Sat): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man-

chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 12 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 18, 19 (Sat, Sun): Ft. Wayne, IN Fort Wayne Hamfest & Computer Expo. Allen County Amateur Radio Technical Society. 2000 Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Exposition Center. Hours 9 AM - 4 PM EST Sat, 9 AM - 3 PM EST Sun. Admission $5 plus $2 parking. http://www.acarts.com

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 3 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Dec 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer
expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30
AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies,
games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors,
diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables,
expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds,
Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall
Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor
info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at
http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3478. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <42cm5.84$Ku3.39752@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 82206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:t80m5.2500$Cc2.110661@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola,
Kenwood
> and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud,
even
> at full volume. any suggestions?
> thanks in advance.
>
>

Sure, get one of the many audio "amp on a chip" IC's and build it into one of your speakers. Radio Shack sells several types, a simple mono 2-3 watt amp is all you need.

73 de W6DKN

3479. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nbj3o$1ii$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

There's a newsgroup dedicated to you battlers.
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
This forum is for fine peace loving hams and hammesses who want to advance the hobby instead of advancing themselves into court on Internet libel charges.
And that's what you jokers are courting.
Every time I come here I see the same garbage about Mackey and Kendrick battling and Lord knows whom else associated with you. Like who cares?
I come here to learn the technicalities of ham radio and last night was taught how to solder a PL259 on a hank of coatch cable..I think the guy said coatch or cotatch or something like that. It's that black wire you plug into your transducer to make the RF flow out into the air.
And pay attention to this. Internet libel cases are skyrocketing and even on a flamethrowing newsgroup, you can overstep your bounds. You guys want to fork over big bucks to a lawyer instead of buying a spanking new Edwood or Yahoo?
Thank about it guys and back off before your wallets run dry.
AF2Y, an annointed Extra

In article <d74m5.96707$V34.925630@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:

> What's the matter, little Richard, are you JEALOUS?????
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> SNIP
>> Glenn, 'RicK' is just another Mackey Lackey waiting for
> SNIP
>
>
>

3480. Bearcat Trunker Model #BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com>
Message-ID: <8nbjj7ohm1@news.ml.com>
Source record: 82208

Can anybody tell me if this is a good scanner?? Any details would be good??
Thanks,
Ed

3481. Scanner Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com>
Message-ID: <8nbios$oa9$1@news.ml.com>
Source record: 82209

Does anybody have the bearcat scanner handheld radio codes/frequencies for Montogomery Township of PA?? Police Departments?? Please help.
Thanks,
Ed

3482. Help Please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com>
Message-ID: <8nbilu$oa7$1@news.ml.com>
Source record: 82210

I have a bearcat handheld police scanner and I am wondering if any body can help me.. During conversations it seems to skip and cut in and out.. Can anybody tell me why this is doing this?? Something I have to set or fix?? Any help would be good..
Thanks,
Ed

3483. FS: PRO 39 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eagle One <zbr@edmail.com>
Message-ID: <4Zcm5.438$k32.86592@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 82211

I have a clean Realistic Pro-39 hand held 800 mhz (cell capable) scanner for sale. Price is $160.00 FIRM!! Which includes 48 state shipping. First U.S.Postal money order received gets a really nice scanner. SERIOUS inquiries only to: csfd@hotmail.com

3484. Re: Help Please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <4gdm5.19$8p2.1128@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82212

My first thought would be the squelch setting is too tight - set the scanner on a frequency where there is no traffic - open the squelch (until constant static is heard) and then close it until the scanner starts scanning again. You may have to tighten or loosen it depending on the signal your trying to hear.

Jerry

ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com> wrote in message news:8nbilu$oa7$1@news.ml.com...
> I have a bearcat handheld police scanner and I am wondering if any body can
> help me.. During conversations it seems to skip and cut in and out.. Can
> anybody tell me why this is doing this?? Something I have to set or fix??
> Any help would be good..
> Thanks,
> Ed
>
>
>

3485. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <fmdm5.387$QW4.3323@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good point Cliff! I forgot to tell him that one.

Bill Crocker

"Cliff" <cliffwattsNOclSPAM@ghg.net.invalid> wrote in message
news:0bacc591.a25e8cb6@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com...
> Are you aware that there's a resistor in series with the
> earphone jack?
>
> You can bypass it by shorting the speaker plug ground to the
> antenna ground. It increases the volume a little with an
> external speaker.
>
>
> ----------
>
> Got questions? Get answers over the phone at Keen.com.
> Up to 100 minutes free!
> http://www.keen.com
>

3486. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Vwdm5.1409$juZ4.12714215@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82214

Hi,

I've talked with members of Uniden and they said they WILL NOT be developing a digital capable scanner. They said that it was due to the way the laws relate to scanning in the States. (If its like the Canadian scanner laws - they are considered illegal to own or operate with out a special certification and a DANG good reason to own one.)

> Now that we're dealing with digital, does anyone think Uniden, GRC, or
> whoever will bring out a scanner capable of tracking and decoding the
> digital signals?
>
> Like they say - "If man can build the trap - another man can figure out a
> way around it"...
>
> B.J.
>
>

3487. Re: Bearcat Trunker Model #BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8nbogqtsp1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82215

ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com> wrote in message
news:8nbjj7ohm1@news.ml.com...
> Can anybody tell me if this is a good scanner?? Any details would be
good??
> Thanks,
> Ed
>

Yes, it's excellent, especially if you need the ability to scan motorola,
edacs, and conventional channel banks, all at the same time. The only other
current choice for (self-contained) multi-tracking is the RadioShack
Pro92/Pro2067 models, which do offer some features that the 245 doesn't
have, such as text identification for channels, banks, and trunking IDs, and
500 channels as opposed to the 300 of the 245. But there are problems with
the motorola trunking at times with the RS models. Also the 245 can be
computer controlled, while the RS models only offer uploading/downloading of
frequencies so far.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3488. Re: Help Please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8nbore$2u9$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82216

ed <ERoessler@na2.us.ml.com> wrote in message
news:8nbilu$oa7$1@news.ml.com...
> I have a bearcat handheld police scanner and I am wondering if any body
can
> help me.. During conversations it seems to skip and cut in and out.. Can
> anybody tell me why this is doing this?? Something I have to set or fix??
> Any help would be good..
> Thanks,
> Ed
>

For a good answer you would have to give the model number of the scanner.
Could be you have the squelch improperly set. Or it could just be that the
conversations that you are trying to monitor are borderline as far as signal
strength is concerned. If it's the latter, a better antenna would help a
lot. The rubber duckie antennas are ok for fairly local monitoring but not
too good for more distance. Also, a lot of mobile EMS units seem to have a
very poor signal, compared to police units at the same distance. They will
sometimes fade in and out. If it's a trunking scanner, you could possibly
have some frequencies missing, etc.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3489. Re: BC245 trunk problem: 'data' icon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8nbpml$3s8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82217

<buckaroosd@aol.com> wrote in message
news:SKzl5.150$xg1.19995@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> My BC245 has been working flawlessly tracking City of San Diego trunked
> freqs for months. However, it suddenly has begun displaying the 'data'
icon
> (with the slash through it) and I can't get rid of it. Have tried simply
> pressing the 'data' button to no avail. Any insights on how to get rid of
> it? New frequencies? If so, any ideas what they are? I'm having trouble
> finding any new ones. User error?
>
> Thanks in advance for any tips.
>
And the source for this info is Rich Wells' excellent 245FAQ, located at:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc245faq.htm

There are numerous other tidibits about the 245 located there that you may
not have known about..:-)

I suggest printing it out and keeping for reference purposes.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3490. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: GreenApple slathers <phartonyou@aol.com>
Message-ID: <V_dm5.13108$IH.487391@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have a better idea...
Why don't both of you arrange
to meet each other at some hamfest and
flap your toothless gums at each other there?

I love to see old ham radio pharts like you two go at
it, complete with shaking knees, fat blubbering bellies hanging over
yer belts, greasy unwashed smelly hair and clothing which is
straight out of the 70's. Why not re-enact a classic Bluto vs Popeye
type brawl at Shelby or Vienna hamfest? We'd love to see it and laugh
at you two...

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8nb48l$iu3$0@63.162.247.205...
>
>
> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > Blake, YOU (or anyone else) never asked me to leave Fidonet until
> > LONG after I had left! If you really want, I could prove that by coming
> > back
> > to Fidonet!
> >
>
> So, you agree that you have been asked to leave FIDO. Good.
> Admitting your lies is the first step.
>
>
> > This is just another typical example of your lies, Blake Bowers.
> >
> > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > > Easy. One of the many people who asked you to leave verified it,
> > > right here in the newsgroup. Everyone saw it, and believed it.

3491. Re: Corky makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <6mem5.696$MS4.122376@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 82219

Fred and Greg are right, you are embarrassing yourself John-Corky

Greg S <gregs932@aol.com> wrote in message
news:77fipscmrqpt8mc53hmfvk61bt41i5ukbe@4ax.com...
> Fred was right. You are embarassing yourself, Corky.
>
>
>
> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> >Then how come YOU are the one who has to post under a fake ID?
> >
> >"Fred" <freds@nospam.mail> wrote
> >> Corky, give it a rest. You are embarassing yourself.
> >
>

3492. Re: FS: PRO 39 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815135623.25485.00002282@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 82220

In article <4Zcm5.438$k32.86592@news1.primary.net>, "Eagle One"
<zbr@edmail.com> writes:

>I have a clean Realistic Pro-39 hand held 800 mhz (cell capable) scanner for
>sale. Price is $160.00 FIRM!!

I'll check back in a month. Bet you're not so FIRM!! by then.

($160 for a used Pro-39 is *way* overpriced! Even eBay is much less.)

3493. FS: RS PRO-71 100 CH. Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <9Efm5.640$M%6.4314@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82221

This unit is in mint condition! Has many features including one-touch weather search and limit search! Make me a reasonable offer. For more info contact me at rakolari@cyberbeach.net . Happy scanning!

Adam Kolari

3494. Re: FS: PRO 39 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shamire <Shamire@home.com>
Message-ID: <39998B64.41FF3760@home.com>
Source record: 82222

He is right.. $160 is Double the price that they are going for on ebay
i think it would be a good idea that you lower the price on this item

Eagle One wrote:

> I have a clean Realistic Pro-39 hand held 800 mhz (cell capable) scanner for
> sale. Price is $160.00 FIRM!! Which includes 48 state shipping. First
> U.S.Postal money order received gets a really nice scanner. SERIOUS
> inquiries only to: csfd@hotmail.com

3495. FS AR8000 Full Coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kathy Flores <mailbrat@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <u_fm5.4678$Cc2.191082@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82223

AOR AR8000 wideband handheld receiver (unblocked). In excellent, like new condition.

This premium setup includes box, manual, nicad batteries (never used), power supply/charger, soft case and belt clip. Also included are the computer interface cable, software and service manual. It also has the replacement battery cover with the hole in it for the computer interface cable and the Optoelectric Scout cable.

$400.00 plus shipping in CONUS. I can take credit cards, use Paypal or ship COD.
--
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
* Kathy Flores K6MBT
* mailbrat@earthlink.net
* ICQ #569810
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Cleverly disguised as a responsible adult.

3496. Re: Help me score with my wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39998ED3.A15DF0A6@home.com>
Source record: 82224

If you have 4 kids -it looks like you have scored a few times. Sorry. I know. lol

bdw wrote:
>
> My wife has been wanting a scanner for a long time now, and I
> hope I can get some help with selecting one here. I'd like to get
> a handheld model for under $200. I'm not a tightwad. We have
> four kids that feeding takes priority over luxury items. But she
> really wants this and I'd appriciate any help. Thanks.

3497. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougslug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nc3h7$d5t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <8nbj3o$1ii$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> There's a newsgroup dedicated to you battlers.
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> This forum is for fine peace loving hams and hammesses who want to
advance
> the hobby instead of advancing themselves into court on Internet libel
> charges.
> And that's what you jokers are courting.
> Every time I come here I see the same garbage about Mackey and
Kendrick
> battling and Lord knows whom else associated with you. Like who cares?
> I come here to learn the technicalities of ham radio and last night
was
> taught how to solder a PL259 on a hank of coatch cable..I think the
guy said
> coatch or cotatch or something like that. It's that black wire you
plug into
> your transducer to make the RF flow out into the air.
> And pay attention to this. Internet libel cases are skyrocketing and
even on
> a flamethrowing newsgroup, you can overstep your bounds. You guys
want to
> fork over big bucks to a lawyer instead of buying a spanking new
Edwood or
> Yahoo?
> Thank about it guys and back off before your wallets run dry.
> AF2Y, an annointed Extra

And you thought Norm Crosby disappeared off the scene...he just re-
appeared as AF2Y! Very funny, Charlie! And I agree with your
sentiments about battling it out somewhere else.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3498. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com>
Message-ID: <kjgm5.499$Ku3.115219@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 82226

I don't know if you can believe this because the source was the guy at my local Radio Shack, but he says one is in the works and will be out soon, but will start at well over a thousand dollars. This price is no surprise, since when my county was switching to digital (Motorola) they did an article in the paper and indicated that the hand-held police radios were going to cost over $6000 each.

Bob

"BJ" <nospam@nospam.not> wrote in message
news:3obm5.1504$R34.184254@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> Most services have plans for switching to digital communications whenever
> funds allow for various reasons (one of which is individuals being able to
> monitor and sometimes even interfere with their official frequencies).
>
> The advent of trunking brought cries of doom and 'the end of scanning as
we
> know it' from many people because everyone just 'KNEW' that to build a
> trunking scanner for the public was ludicrous and would be cost
prohibitive.
> Well, technology kept pace and we're perfectly capable of scanning trunked
> signals...
>
> Now that we're dealing with digital, does anyone think Uniden, GRC, or
> whoever will bring out a scanner capable of tracking and decoding the
> digital signals?
>
> Like they say - "If man can build the trap - another man can figure out a
> way around it"...
>
> B.J.
>
>

3499. Re: Glann Hansen KB7PKC makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <CYgm5.912$Ur3.6636@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82227

No, Glenn, YOU are embarassing YOURSELF again!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Fred and Greg are right, you are embarrassing yourself John

3500. Re: Greg leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <IZgm5.913$Ur3.6803@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When were YOU appointed to speak for the "people" &
by whom?

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> No. People thing highly of Michael.
SNIP

3501. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <B5hm5.918$Ur3.6828@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the attention.

Several months ago, I had been ingoring his repeated attempts at causing trouble &
harassing me. So then he did some junior detective work & figured out where my semi-retired elderly father worked & started to make harassing phone calls
to my father's work place.

THAT is how desperate Blake Bowers is to cause trouble.

"GreenApple slathers" <phartonyou@aol.com> wrote
> I have a better idea...
> Why don't both of you arrange
> to meet each other at some hamfest and
SNIP

3502. Re: Greg makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <17hm5.919$Ur3.7008@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82230

No, there has been nothing embarassing. Only for yourself!

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> Fred was right. You are embarassing yourself,
SNIP

3503. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <b8hm5.920$Ur3.6719@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> So, you agree that you have been asked to leave FIDO. Good.

No, I said I was never asked to leave fido as long as I was there.

Get it thru your thick head you dumbass.

3504. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <O8hm5.922$Ur3.7052@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Your statement below is completely false!

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> Not true. In the last year you have had at least 5 different names to get
> around killfilters.

3505. Re: Immature Baby Cory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <u9hm5.923$Ur3.7081@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Yes, YOU are the PROBLEM!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> I'm not the problem here you stupid punk. Get out and
SNIP

3506. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Pbhm5.925$Ur3.7158@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote
> There's a newsgroup dedicated to you battlers,
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

If only the trouble makers would go there & leave us to maintain a
pleasant NG to serve the users as intended, it would be much better.

> This forum is for fine peace loving hams and hammesses who want to advance
SNIP

Sorry, the NG *.radio.scanner is NOT for hams, it is for scanner hobbyists.

3507. Re: Greg Off Topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Pchm5.927$Ur3.7302@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82235

Greg - How about YOU giving a demonstration of what you call the
"Cheek thing"?

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> Give it a rest and do the Cheek thing, will ya?

3508. Re: Mike Derryberry Off Topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Kdhm5.929$Ur3.7253@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82236

Mike - Go to te NG of rec.radio.swap if you want to buy a scanner.

"Mike Derryberry" <mderryberry@pacbell.net> wrote
> I had hoped I could find a good deal on a scanner ... I didn't realize
> that I had to set up my killfile filters just to buy a scanner

3509. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Xehm5.930$Ur3.6598@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

People who refuse to post under their legit ID can only be up to no good.

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> How does that cause problems, Corky?
SNIP
>
> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> >Then how come YOU are the one who posts under a fake ID?

3510. Scanner page updated and looking for scanner pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000815155936.02616.00000024@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 82238

I have updated my scanner page at http://ajcogswell.tripod.com/comm.html.
Please check it out!

Also, I am looking for good pictures of a Midland 13-920 receiver and a Regency
ACT R10 H/L/U scanner. If anyone has any good pics of these, please EMAIL ME!
Thanks!

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

3511. FS: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Haskell <ryanh@tccorp.net>
Message-ID: <8nc9p5sle1@rupert.unet.maine.edu>
Source record: 82239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Here's a great portable scanner I've got for sale, please check it out on
Ebay if you're interested at the following URL:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874

Thanks a lot, happy bidding!
Ryan

3512. Edachs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ï¿½yvind Melbye Nunez <oyvind.nunez@ca.com>
Message-ID: <8nc9m2qpc1@news.ost.eltele.no>
Source record: 82240

Here in Norway, the old channels the poilice used, is no suddenly became very quite. I read that the police are planning to start using the digital Edachs system (Motorola, I think).

Does anyone no, if there is a way around this problem? What type of scanner should I use now?

ï¿½yvind

3513. FS: ICOM IC-R75 with DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <M1im5.425$QW4.7305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82241

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R75 communications receiver, with Icom's optional DSP (digital signal processor) installed!

"Like New" condition, complete with original box, manual.

$500.00 firm. Includes shipping, insurance, and double boxing, within the U.S. Money order, or PayPal accepted.

Thank you,

Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

All new HF/50 MHz base receiver. Click on the small image to get a large picture of the IC-R75.
Pull out the weak signals! Cutting edge technology for today's serious DX'er, yet easy & affordable enough for casual listener use.

Get PC control of the IC-R75 with optional RS-R75 software on CD ROM. Runs on Windows® 95; or Windows® 98 and comes with the necessary connection cable.

Specifications:

Compact Size: 9.5 (W) x 3.7 (H) x 9.1 (D); 6 lb, 10 oz
Wide coverage: 0.03 - 60 MHz (0.1-29.99 and 50-54 MHz guaranteed)
AM/S-AM/FM/USB/LSB/CW/RTTY
Triple Conversion Superheterodyne Rx
Synchronous AM Detection (S-AM)
Superior Dynamic Range: >104 dB
Twin Passband Tuning (PBT)
AF-DSP (optional):
Noise Reduction
Auto Notch Filter
UT-106 plug-in module required
2 Optional Filter Slots - one each for Ist IF (9 MHz stage) and 2nd IF (455 kHz stage)
Large, Front Mounted Speaker
Large, Backlit, 8 Character Alphanumeric LCD Display
99 Memory Channels plus 2 Scan Edges
Numeric Keypad for Direct Frequency or Memory Channel Input
5 Scan Modes:
Program
Memory
Select Memory

Priority
Auto Memory Write
Selectable RF gain/Squelch Control
Attenuator
1 Hz Tuning Resolution
2 Level Preamp
Selectable AGC
3 Timer Functions:
Sleep
Power OFF
Power ON
Noise Blanker
Single Touch Controls
12 V DC Power (120 V AC Adapter Included)
Voice Synthesizer (optional)

More detailed IC-R75 specifications and options:

IC-R75 Specifications
This information is taken directly off of the ICOM IC-R75 brochure.

General
Frequency Coverage: 0.03 - 60.000000 MHz
(guaranteed: 0.1 - 29.99 MHz and 50 - 54 MHz only. 0.03 - 29.99 MHz only for
Asia version.)
Mode: USB, LSB, CW, RTTY, AM, S-AM, FM
Number of memory channels: 101 (99 regular, 2 scan edges)
Frequency stability: Less than ±7 ppm from 1 min. to 60 min. after power on.
After that rate of stability less than ±1 ppm/hr. at +25°C (=77°F).
Temperature fluctuations 0°C to +50°C (+32°F to +122°F) less than ±5 ppm.
Power Supply Requirement: 13.8 V DC ±15% (negative ground)
Current drain (at 13.8 V DC): Standby: 0.9 A, Maximum audio: 1.1 A
Antenna connector: SO-239 (50), push connection terminal (500)
Dimensions: 241(W) x 94(H) x 229(D) mm; 9.5(W) x 3.7(H) x 9.1(D) in
Weight: 3.0 kg; 6 lb, 10 oz.
REMOTE connector: RS-232C D-sub 9-pin
CI-V connector: 2-conductor 3.5 (d) mm (1/8")

Receiver
Receive system: Triple conversion uperheterodyne system
Intermediate frequencies:
Mode 1st (MHz) 2nd (MHz) 3rd (kHz)
USB/LSB* 69.0115 9.0115 455
CW* 69.0106 9.0106 455.9
RTTY* 69.0105 9.0105 456
AM*/S-AM 69.0100 9.0100 450
FM 69.0115 9.0115 450
*May differ according to selected IF filter.

Sensitivity:
Frequency range SSB/CW/RTTY AM/S-AM FM

0.1 - 1.8 MHz (Preamp OFF) 2.0 ?V 5.6 ?V -
1.8 - 28 MHz (Preamp 1 ON) .016 ?V 1.6 ?V -
28 - 29.99 MHz (Preamp 1 ON) 0.16 ?V 1.6 ?V 0.22 ?V
50 - 54 MHz (Preamp 2 ON) 0.13 ?V 1.0 ?V 0.2 ?V

SQL sensitivity (threshold):
SSB, CW, RTTY: Less than 5.6 ?V (Preamp 1 ON)
FM: Less than 0.32 ?V (Preamp 2 ON)
Selectivity:
SSB, CW, RTTY: More than 2.1 kHz/-6dB, Less than 4.0 kHz/-60dB
AM, S-AM: More than 6 kHz/-6dB, Less than 20 kHz/-60dB FM: More than 12 kHz/-6dB, Less than 30 kHz/-60dB
Spurious and image rejection ratio: More than 70 dB (except IF through/50 MHz band)
Audio Output Power (at 13.8 V DC): More than 2.0 W at 10% distortion with an 8 load
PHONES connector: 3-conductor 6.35 (d) mm (1/4")
External SP connector: 2-conductor 3.5 mm (1/8 in)/4-8

Supplied Accessories
AC adapter cable or DC power cable (depending on version)
Spare fuse

3514. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <oeim5.1810$dG.67928@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Kevin" <kevin@> wrote in message
news:pamhpssnnpb263qipl542uben61lec337t@4ax.com...
>
> Sorry guy, but all communications are going digital over the next 10
years.
> It will then be very easy to use encryption so no one can hear the
> transmissions. It is a fact of life and we will just have to learn to live
> without listening to public service, especially police channels.

That is patently false. Where do you get your information?

3515. Pro-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: grey_maus@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nccavnbi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82243

Hello

I just bought a Realistic Pro-37 but i am absolutly new in using a scanner and the user manual is missing so i have some questions :

- for what i guess the modulation is AM for Air (108-137) and NFM for everything else : Is there a way to force AM where i want ?

- how to change the priority chanel (chanel number 45 for now)

- Where could i find the Pro-37 user manual on the www ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3516. FS Pro 92/Pro 2052/Pro 2045/AOR 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815173130.05133.00000138@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 82244

Pro 92 500 Ch 170.00 dollars

Pro 2052 1000 Ch 250.00 dollars

Pro 2045 200 Ch 125.00 dollars

AOR 16 500 ch 160.00 dollars

Thanks

3517. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3790-3999B8AC-27@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82245

You might want to take a look at www.openness.org when considering the future of (digital) scanning. Peter S

3518. Re: Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <rluce@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8nbvnr02tjl@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82246

My CC Radio/wx alert, the radio turns on, or if it is on, it changes to the weather alert, so I can hear it loud and clear.

<terry@weatherford.org> wrote in message
news:spgu279skn9166@corp.supernews.com...
> On the Pro-92, you can set the Priority channel as the WX channel and it
> will check it for the necessary tone.
> It will then stop scanning and make an audible alert (not that loud) and
> display "Weather Alert" or other info on the screen.
>
> -
> Terry Weatherford, Jr.
>
> Visit my web sites: http://www.N9MAE.com (Central/SouthWest IL Ham &
> Scanner Frequencies, Emergency Service info)
> or http://www.terry.weatherford.org (personal site)
> ICQ #: 45591028
>
> "Jason" <greatmustachio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ncol5.6163$pu4.462244@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate
> receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish to
> monitor during severe wx?
>
>
> Jason
>
>
>

3519. Re: Democratic Convention Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: MD <medic@bigfot.com>
Message-ID: <iC6m5.3209$Cc2.151909@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aLT.RADIO.SCANNER

All the good stuff is Astro digital and encrypted. Sorry kids.

Play nice with the persons in blue now.

MD

"JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3997E53E.EAFB1684@analon.com...
> LAPD is using their standard frequencies in the 506-508mhz area
> LA Sheriff....is using their standard frequencies in the 483-487mhz area
> there will be plenty of chatter in hte 464.0-465.0 area as well as on
the
> media frequencies in the 450.0-451.0 and 455.0-456.0 ranges
>
> Michael Kellogg wrote:
>
> > OK, it's almost time for the democratic convention in downtown L.A. My
> > building is at 9th and Figueroa, so I'll get to wade through an awful
lot of
> > protesting, police blockades, and other fun for a few days.
> >
> > Anyone have any thoughts on LAPD, Secret Service, and other involved
parties
> > as far as frequencies, procedures, tactical issues, etc.? A good
comparison
> > would be the Republican convention going on right now in Philly.
> >
> > --
> > Michael Kellogg
> > Diamond Bar, California
> > --
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884--------------------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |#| http://www.analon.com | Custom built
> Computer Systems,
> |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM BEST at what
you
> run.--
> |we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE!!
> |-------------------------310-720-2927--------------------------
> |Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> |+++
>

>
>

3520. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nb48l$iu3$0@63.162.247.205>
Source record: 82282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake, YOU (or anyone else) never asked me to leave Fidonet until
> LONG after I had left! If you really want, I could prove that by coming
> back
> to Fidonet!
>

So, you agree that you have been asked to leave FIDO. Good.
Admitting your lies is the first step.

> This is just another typical example of your lies, Blake Bowers.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Easy. One of the many people who asked you to leave verified it,
> > right here in the newsgroup. Everyone saw it, and believed it.

3521. Re: Mackey Off Topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39991A8A.DF783E71@pacbell.net>
Source record: 82283

I had hoped I could find a good deal on a scanner ... I didn't realize
that I had to set up my killfile filters just to buy a scanner

Mike

K S 0 F wrote:

> Mike - if you don't like it then why do you come here?
>
> "Mike Derryberry" <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
> > Some things never change ... too bad ...

3522. FS: pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nb73n$a2o$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82284

In very good cond. works 100%. $225. shipped first class.

Gil- N2WJW

3523. PGA Championship (Louisville, KY) freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <39992d95_2@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 82285

Here are some frequencies you might want to monitor for PGA Championship action.

Valhalla Golf Course
452.075, 461.5375

PGA Tour
151.625, 151.925, 151.955
154.57, 154.6
461.2125, 461.5375
462.8875
463.3375, 463.3875, 463.4875, 463.8875, 463.9375
464.5, 464.55, 464.8125

Jefferson County PD
Ch. 1 (Dist. B & C) - 154.74
Ch. 2 (Dist. A & D) - 154.83
Ch. 3 (Traffic) - 155.58
Ch. 4 (Unit to Unit) - 154.86
Ch. 5 (Command/Tactical) - 155.07

I hope I covered everything here. As you can see, some of the PGA Tour frequencies are itinerant and may be used by other companies in addition to the PGA.

3524. Front-end bandpass preselector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <39993051.259D8820@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 82286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I wonder how a excellent built-in front-end preselector to be. I especially amazed by
11 bandpass band filter and manully tuned Hi-Q preselector which are built-in of new released YAESU FT1000D-MKV HF TRX. You can recieve very clean weak singnal besides strong signals. You can link to http://www.muohio.edu/~4cx250b/web/markv.htm to know
more details.

My question is (1)How can tell good built-in preselector from so-so one? (2)Why most brochure don't tell more infomation about
built-in preselector, even military rx such as WJ-1000A, Ten-tec RX-340? For amateur trx and rx, you have to read through block diagram to know more about preselector info.

I can't get a lot info from ARRL Handbook and the web, please tell me more. Thanks!

Miller in Taiwan

++++++++++++++++++++++++++ QUOTED FROM YAESU DM
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
VRF Preselector Filtering protects receiver front end (160M ~ 20M)
Extending the protection afforded the sensitive front-end components of the receiver, Yaesu's engineers have developed the VRF module: a high-Q input preselector filter ahead of all active devices in the front end, including the main bandpass filters!

Particularly in multi-operator contests or DXpedition environments, where large low-band antennas may be in close proximity, a receiver operating (for example) on 20 metres can suffer Intermodulation interference from on-site 40- and 80-metre signals, compounded by extremely strong 7 mHZ broadcast signals. The VRF circuit provides narrow-band selectivity which prevents this unwanted signal voltage from hitting the input side of the bandpass filter switching diodes, where 2nd order IMD is most often created in an HF Receiver,. Tuning of the VRF is incredibly simple: just turn the VRF/MEM CH knob, and peak the background noise or signal strength!

The VRF is stoutly designed with large (10mm X 10mm) coils yielding high Q, and precision tuning capacitors ensuring that performance does not degrade over time. A total of 31 L/C tuning combinations allow very quick QSY, and the use of ten high-quality, shielded relays for VRF selection ensures that nothing in the VRF can itself contribute IMD.

Receiver front end highlights The MARK-V adopts the low-noise front end of the FT-1000MP. Two low-noise junction FET preamplifiers are provided, one in a tuned configuration with optimised gain and noise figure independently for the high and low bands, with the other flat preamp providing a wide, uniform gain response.

The first mixer utilises a quad of SST-310 Junction FETs in a doubly balanced circuit, resulting in wide dynamic range. Eleven bandpass filter networks provide input protection for the front end, working in concert with the VRF to provide the best ever 2nd order IMD prevention in an amateur transceiver.
++

3525. 895 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3fam5.1243$R34.175707@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for PC software for my Bearcat BC895XLT... Preferably low cost or freeware.

Any help?

Thanks,

John

3526. 895 Wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <6ham5.1255$R34.176126@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82288

I would like to purchase a late model BC895XLT. Must be in excellent to mint shape and function without problems.

Thanks,

John

3527. Re: what's the best??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <suam5.252$QW4.931@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82289

You might want to consider a beam antenna, but to fully realize it's
potential, you should also use a rotor.

Click here...
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html

Bill Crocker

"Emarc23101" <emarc23101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000815011713.27261.00001120@ng-fz1.aol.com...
> Just wondering what people think is the best all around scanner antenna
out
> there? I am looking for specific models that are efficient across several
> bands. I currently have a scantenna and want to know if people have tried
> antenna's that are better. One antenna that I am interested in is the one
made
> by antenna specialists for 25-1300mhz. Can I do better than what i have? I
> especially listen to VHF low and High band and want something that
performs
> well in this area. Any ideas and opinions are appreciated.

3528. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <sBam5.275$QW4.949@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82290

It may be, at a later date, that cellular phones will move to another part of the spectrum, or be discontinued or replaced by some other technology, i.e. satilite, etc. Then the reserved portion of the band might be allocated to another service that would be legal to monitor, only we wouldn't be able to!

Bill Crocker

<Yizeguy@webtv.net> wrote in message news:18363-39977A2F-127@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> why do people still care about listening to cellular?
>
> most all the listening that can be done is now analog only, and 90% is
> now digital and cant be heard anyway.
>
> aside from that, its just plain uninteresting anyway. i will admit a few
> years ago there was more traffic out there and occassionally there was a
> gem amongst all the crap. but now ? who cares? theres nothing there
> anymore!
>
> perhaps its a matter of principal. just having some band taken away that
> is free to monitor in other countries. i admit that kinda pises me off
> to.
>
> so whats the big deal?
>
> the reason i ask is i have a scanner for sale that is blocked, and
> people email me saying they dont want it for that reason, after they
> find out it is a later unrestorable model.
>

3529. AR8200 still for sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: PsionMark <mark.avey@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <8nbf3k$3dd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82291

If anyone's interested, I still have my AR8200 for sale - complete with RS232 cable, PC software and all manuals and cables etc.

£230 ONO.

Offers via email, please, to mark.avey@virgin.net

Thanks,

Mark.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3530. Re: Bearcat BC 250 needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39993C58.1B7AE5FB@megsinet.net>
Source record: 82292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Replace C98 and/or other capacitors
in the switching power supply stage.
See my FAQ, entitled REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS, at:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Bearcat_Scanner_Radios

Charles Patterson wrote:
>
> I have an old Bearcat BC 250.
> The display only shows a single red dot in the lower right and doesn't
> receive anything.
> I already replaced one power transistor on the display circuit board but
> that isn't enough to get it working.
>
> Is there a source for schematics for this old scanner?
> (any other thoughts or advice appreciated).
>
> thanks
> Charles
> N2AXO

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

3531. Re: Fraud Alert - JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8F915D91Dslvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 82293

Just an update. I have still been unable to get in contact with Jason about the scanner. I have,
however, received several positive emails from persons on the newsgroups that speak highly of
Jason. There may just be a logical explanation to all of this, but, as right now, I have no idea
what the explanation is.

If someone can contact Jason and let him know what's going on, it would ease matters much.
Please let me know if you can help.

Thanks,
Adam

slvbeard@netzero.net (Silverbeard) wrote in
<8F90A379Fslvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>:

>This is a notice to anyone who may have had any dealings with a JASON
>WEBB (swflatrunking@gulfaccess.net). He had a post here on the
>Newsgroups and also on the Strong Signals For Sale Board for an AR8200
>MKII scanner for $300. I worked a deal with him via email and got his
>mailing address in Naples, FL and sent him a postal money order via USPS
>express mail in the amount of $300. He said he would UPS the scanner to
>me on the following business day and email me a tracking number. He also
>called me on the same weekend on my mobil phone and said he would ship
>the scanner on Monday.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3532. Re: Fraud Alert - JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Mergner <rmergner@nojunkmail.hworks.com>
Message-ID: <Rcbm5.66$Ku3.28650@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 82294

This may have been said before, but the only way you should deal with somebody on a sale of this nature is with a UPS COD. UPS will do a COD delivery for $5.00 on top of the usual shipping charges. They will not give the package to the person on the delivery end unless they have payment. As a sender, you can specify that you will only accept any of the following: personal check, certified check, money order.

Anybody you are buying from who will not agree to do the deal via UPS COD is somebody you should walk away from. I've done the UPS COD, and the hassle factor is zero, so if they won't do it, be suspicious.

Of course there are a few people here who have a gripe with UPS, but I've used them many times for Internet transactions and have never had the slightest problem.

YMMV,

Bob
"Silverbeard" <slvbeard@netzero.net> wrote in message news:8F90A379Fslvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113...
> This is a notice to anyone who may have had any dealings with a JASON WEBB
> (swflatrunking@gulfaccess.net). He had a post here on the Newsgroups and also on the Strong
> Signals For Sale Board for an AR8200 MKII scanner for $300. I worked a deal with him via
> email and got his mailing address in Naples, FL and sent him a postal money order via USPS
> express mail in the amount of $300. He said he would UPS the scanner to me on the following
> business day and email me a tracking number. He also called me on the same weekend on my
> mobil phone and said he would ship the scanner on Monday.
>
> Well, over 2 weeks later, and no word from JASON WEBB or any sign of a scanner. I checked
> the USPS tracking site and the money order was signed for the next business day after I sent it.
> He said he was leaving the country, which should have been a sign right there, and which also
> explains why his email address is now dead.
>
> I am going to look into pursuing legal action with the Postal Inspection Service.
>
> I'd be curious if anyone has any dealings with this person, or knows the

guy personally.
>
> Live and Learn,
>
> Adam
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3533. Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BJ <nospam@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <3obm5.1504$R34.184254@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82295

Most services have plans for switching to digital communications whenever funds allow for various reasons (one of which is individuals being able to monitor and sometimes even interfere with their official frequencies).

The advent of trunking brought cries of doom and 'the end of scanning as we know it' from many people because everyone just 'KNEW' that to build a trunking scanner for the public was ludicrous and would be cost prohibitive. Well, technology kept pace and we're perfectly capable of scanning trunked signals...

Now that we're dealing with digital, does anyone think Uniden, GRC, or whoever will bring out a scanner capable of tracking and decoding the digital signals?

Like they say - "If man can build the trap - another man can figure out a way around it"...

B.J.

3534. Want: Alinco ERW-4 PC Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <yrbm5.5797$gUd6.59375812@news.randori.com>
Source record: 82296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for the PC Cable for the DR-X10 Hand Held
Scanner.The Alinco Part Number is "ERW-4"

Reply: boyd@humboldt1.com

Thanks,
Boyd W6DSB

3535. Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:06:32 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8na1i8$q9a$0@63.162.247.250>
Source record: 82260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> EXACTLY how did you "prove" that & what was the "proof"?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, I just proved, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that you lied when
> > you said no one has asked you to leave FIDO!

Easy. One of the many people who asked you to leave verified it,
right here in the newsgroup. Everyone saw it, and believed it.

3536. speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:28:09 UTC
From: scandude <scandude@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t80m5.2500$Cc2.110661@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola, Kenwood and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud, even at full volume. any suggestions?
thanks in advance.

3537. Want to have some mods for the scanner ALBRECHT AEH65

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:34:43 UTC
From: jh-jardel <jh-jardel@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8na36r$n4o$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 82262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

does someone have some modification for the Scanner ALBRECHT (AEH65)

- Extended Frequency
- Accelerate scanning Etc...

Many thanks by advance

JHJ...

3538. Re: Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 00:40:03 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <39989146.1653513@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 82263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Very good answer Steve, Well done.

Mike

On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 12:05:04 GMT, moc.enilepip@ykkams (Steve & Susan) wrote:

>"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:
>
>>- at least $80 milllion county tax dollars
>
>It ain't cheap. The longer capital upgrades take place, the more
>expensive they are. That's my mantra at work, but when your
>administration prides themselves on their fiscal austerity policy, the
>motto changes to "MT-500s Forever." (even if they are held together
>with Scotch 33+.)
>
>>- a system that "may not work in some clusters of buildings" according to
>>Motorola
>
>Before we resort to hysterics and emotions, in what fashion does this
>not meet the performance of the UHF system?
>
>>- bi-directional amps installed and, presumably, paid for by building owners
>>to essentially help fund the county system so it works right...
>>- and/or passive antenna systems installed and, presumably, paid for by
>>building owners to help fund the county system so it works right
>
>Most new construction ignore the requirement to communicate inside the
>facility. Even with VHF or UHF, there is only ONE sure way to cover
>the building - that's not to put the antenna on top of it (amazingly
>the most frequently implemented solution that usually yields great
>signals for hundreds of square miles, but none inside the building),
>but to use both Radiax, power dividers and a network of distributed
>antennas in addition to energy "beamed" at the building from the
>exterior.
>
>Is there an ordinance requiring retrofitting or BDAs in new
>construction?
>
>>- police and firefighters will need a map or list (or luck) to figure where
>>they may or may not be able to depend on their radios. ("Um, excuse me, Mr.
>>Hostage Taker, my new radio doesn't work down here. Could you move your
>>victims out of this basement, or over to Santa Monica, so I can call for
>>help?"

>
>Basements are equally bad for trunked and conventional systems. Good
>on-site communications practices should employ simplex (non-repeated)
>frequencies during fireground and tactical communications so that
>units can communicate directly from radio to radio. IMPO, if the
>system was constructed entirely dependent upon the trunked system
>infrastructure, it should not be used for public safety applications
>until simplex channels have been incorporated and personnel trained in
>using it in tactical environments.
>
>>- "King's X" - it's not Motorola's fault, it's because of all that seismic
>>retrofitting and even had the audacity of putting up new buildings
>>- here we are, in the 21st century, with cellphones and pagers on everyone's
>>hip, but according to an OC Communications person, "any indoor coverage is a
>>bonus"
>
>It's next to impossible to predict how well a system designed for
>streetside coverage will penetrate into a structure that's not under
>control of the local entity building the system. Most of the time,
>when someone is interested in indoor coverage, either a test is
>performed and the signal levels plotted inside or the building is
>wired for a BDA. Metalized windows, rebar, metal drywall studs and all
>of the rest of the common highrise and office construction practices
>can turn the building into a big screen room.
>
>>with the new radio system because the 1970's UHF system was accepted
>>with a "mobile on the street" coverage guarantee. (I don't understand the
>>logic of that. And is there no longer even "mobile n the street" coverage"
>>on the UHF system?)
>
>I've never been to OC, so I can't comment on effacacy of the old in
>comparison th he new. What I don't understand is why anyone would sign
>off on a system that does not meet standards outlined in the RFP? OR -
>why anyone would design an RFP that is warranteed by the manufacturer
>by acceptance and then allow someone else - or the manufacturer to
>perform the system audit.
>
>>Is this PROGRESS? Give me - or rather, give the users a break! I bet a
>>small fraction of that $80 million could bring the existing UHF system
>>absolutely up-to-date. If it works right to begin with, who needs stuff...
>
>It's only progress from the standpoint of spectrum efficiency. There
>is no reason (given a clear set of UHF frequencies) that trunking
>could not have been implemented there. It's important to consider that
>if the present UHF system "works" right now, co-locating several
>transmitters together using combiners will reduce the ERP
>significantly, so it may not work that well afterwards unless higher
>power is used to overcome losses.
>
>Not to defend something that may be poorly constructed, but it takes

>two to tango and the story seems a little unilateral.
>
>Steve

Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

3539. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 01:17:26 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <GS0m5.67596$fR2.718778@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have you tried any of the amplified speakers? Radio Shack carries one that looks very similar to their regular one, only it has an amplifier built in, that runs on 12VDC. I've never used one, but a friend claims it works very well!

Bill Crocker

"scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:t80m5.2500$Cc2.110661@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola, Kenwood
> and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud, even
> at full volume. any suggestions?
> thanks in advance.
>
>

3540. Re: PRO-92A vs PRO-92...the bottom line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 01:42:14 UTC
From: Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <39989be6.2953882@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82265

Thanks for the info. I hadn't heard the bit about the new version using the control channel. I agree, Uniden still seems to have the best trunk scanner products. I just wish they'd give us those nifty features like CTCSS/DCS and alphatags in their trunk trackers. Guess we gotta wait for the BC-780 and, hopefully, a handheld equivalent. I do like my PRO-92A for conventional use. That's really what I bought to do, so I'm not all that disappointed. It's a very good conventional scanner and is probably the best self-contained scanner for finding unknown CTCSS tones. The only rig better that I've seen in this respect is one of the Optoelectronics interfaces with Probe software. The 92 detects and displays tone frequencies in very nearly realtime. The few other scanners (and ham radios) that can search for tones just hunt through the tone list at a very slow pace. The AR-8200 isn't bad, but is still much slower than the PRO-92. Oh well, maybe the PRO-92Z Mk99X will be the perfect scanner....yeah right. Hope does spring eternal...

John

On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 11:16:28 -0400, "bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote:

>
><Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:39973f21.3707845@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>> Has anyone heard exactly what the difference between a PRO-92A and a
>> PRO-92 is SUPPOSED to be? Any word from the Shack as to what the new
>> firmware really does for us? I bought a 92A recently and from what I
>> can see, it does not have the trunk ID flicker problem reported in the
>> 92, but seems to have trouble detecting the ID from time to time. I'm
>> just curious what the real difference is supposed to be.
>>
>> John
>
>The plain 92 uses sub-audible tones to figure out the trunking on Motorola
>systems. Due to various reasons, this causes it to leave some conversations
>before the actual conversation is done. The 92A uses the control channel
>instead of sub-audible tones to control it's trunk tracking. This is
>normally the better way to do it, but the 92A (and the mobile version 2067,
>both of which have the same firmware) completely misses some conversations
>for some reason (the problem you wrote about). So they still have work to do
>in my humble opinion.
>
>I tried two different 92's, and a 2067 (after they went on sale). Returned
>all of them and got a BC245, which is much more satisfactory, even with it's

>5 second trunk delay.

3541. Re: Question about pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 01:59:01 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20000814215901.00375.00002570@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 82266

>From: Mike Burch libertybell7@home.com

>I wound up with it around $430.00 due
>> to a bidding war :-). Sometimes you get a couple of guys who just
>> won't let it go. Baby boomers with some money and some misplaced ego.

If you paid $430 for a pro-2006 in a bidding war.... who has the misplaced
ego? hmmm

:)

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

3542. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 02:36:22 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <G02m5.78655$oj6.1070129@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I use the Radio Shack amplified speaker in my truck. It works quite well.
What I really like about it is that the power automatically cuts on and off
with the audio. The on time is quick enough that you don't loose any of
the audio and the off time is delayed so it's not constantly switching on
and off.
--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:t80m5.2500$Cc2.110661@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola,
Kenwood
> and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud,
even
> at full volume. any suggestions?
> thanks in advance.
>
>

3543. Re: (Corky-John/Rick-Mackey watch) Corky leads poll 46 to 2 VOTE NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:01:13 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3998BF26.59226473@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 82268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe wrote:
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > RicK Larson like his true love Corky slobbered, barely cohherent.....
> >
> > > Count one in favor of KS0F and one against Glenn from me!
> >
> > Pay attention Rick Or RicK or whatever you are today as you mimic
> > John-Corky.
> >
> > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > news:xSml5.91518$V34.877280@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > OBVIOUSLY you are wrong, you forgot to count my vote & others.
> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > SNIP
> > > > > Hate me - 0
> > > > SNIP
> >
> > Are you really John-Corky? You are just like him. You didn't need to vote
> > Rick-Corky, I already counted your vote. You SNIPped it just like John-Corky
> > SNIPs. What a couple!
> >
> > Here, again for John-Corky and RicK-Corky.
> >
> > I wrote and RicK-Corky SNIPped" 43-01 or if your luv Rick or RicK votes
> > 43-2"
> >
> > But two more in the last 6 hours voted against John-Corky so no we
> > have.....
> >
> > 45 hate Corky-Mackey/RicK Mackey
> >
> > 2 (John/RicK MacKey) hate me.
> >
> > You should have learned from your twin brother Corky-John, Rick-Corky.
>
> I don't know if you counted my vote Glen, but the John-Corky-RicK
> Larson-theHamRadioGuy-Compania-RadioFan-Mackey, (There's so many forged
> identities of this clown, I ferget them all..) is voted most despicable in class
> as far as I'm concerned.

Add my vote. We should never utter that twit's name again and
henceforth refer to him as nameless.

--
Michael Moore

3544. FS: Pro-43 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:09:52 UTC
From: "Fredric J. Einstein" <feinstei@mw.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <cdghpso8gus1pe425s219m7llbjsilv5ko@4ax.com>
Source record: 82269

For Sale:

Pro 43 scanner, modified for full 800 MHz coverage (including cell).
Includes all original packing (box), antenna, manuals.
Also includes service manual.

Excellent (though not mint) cosmetic and operational condition.

Price: $200 including Airborne 2 day shipping from Detroit, MI

Please contact feinstei@mw.mediaone.net if interested.

3545. Re: Kendrick the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:30:53 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <1l3m5.96638$V34.924424@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So what exactly is your profession & exactly what are your
credentials for that profession?

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> In my profesional opinion, only in
SNIP

3546. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:40:22 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <WQ3m5.96667$V34.924190@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have NEVER changed my name, I have ALWAYS used the same name.

How come YOU use a fake ID?

"Fred" <freds@nospam.mail> wrote
> Because you continually change your name to avoid people's killfilters.
SNIP

3547. Re: leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:43:20 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <IT3m5.96672$V34.925025@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You mean like the way people think of YOU?

"Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote
> Add my vote. We should never utter that twit's name again and
> henceforth refer to him as nameless.

3548. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 04:59:53 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <d74m5.96707$V34.925630@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What's the matter, little Richard, are you JEALOUS?????

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP
> Glenn, 'RicK' is just another Mackey Lackey waiting for
SNIP

3549. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:11:40 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <gi4m5.96799$V34.923689@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have never posted under a fake ID.

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP
> How come it's okay for YOU to post
> under a fake ID ?
SNIP

3550. more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:15:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ol4m5.96832$V34.926367@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

Blake, YOU (or anyone else) never asked me to leave Fidonet until
LONG after I had left! If you really want, I could prove that by coming
back
to Fidonet!

This is just another typical example of your lies, Blake Bowers.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Easy. One of the many people who asked you to leave verified it,
> right here in the newsgroup. Everyone saw it, and believed it.

3551. what's the best??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:17:13 UTC
From: emarc23101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815011713.27261.00001120@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 82276

Just wondering what people think is the best all around scanner antenna out there? I am looking for specific models that are efficient across several bands. I currently have a scantenna and want to know if people have tried antenna's that are better. One antenna that I am interested in is the one made by antenna specialists for 25-1300mhz. Can I do better than what i have? I especially listen to VHF low and High band and want something that performs well in this area. Any ideas and opinions are appreciated.

3552. MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:19:31 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Dp4m5.96879$V34.926705@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard, you never presented any proof of anything. YOU
are hoping to snow everyone with your BS lies.

BTW - I am not indigent, I probably make more money than YOU!

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> Hey, loser Toilet Boy! You always demand proof that you are a liar
> and when it's presented (see my post from five days ago), you ignore
> it. In my professional opinion, you are in denial and living in a
> fantasy world. Since you are indigent, you can get professional help
SNIP

3553. Re: Non computer programmable scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:23:39 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <vt4m5.246$a5.4316@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 82278

Check out this web page Jason: http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html
These guys have a piece of software that you can customize to program almost any scanner. You will need to figure out the keyboard matrix of your scanner though... and the mods required are not for the light-hearted. It can be done however!

Jason said:
>
>Has anyone ever tried to figure out a way to read and write to a scanner that >is not able to be computer controlled out of the box to program it? I know it >can be done on other radios.

3554. Re: FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:31:02 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jalhps0dg08m18dd2ebo709cm1tc4qkgd6@4ax.com>
Source record: 82280

Or better yet, put them on Ebay so we don't have to go through this on
the newsgroup!

>Why not just save everyone's time by giving the prices in the ad?

_____
"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

3555. Re: German voice on NOAA radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 05:52:05 UTC
From: chow@aol.com
Message-ID: <chow-1508000052050001@okcnasb-407.ionet.net>
Source record: 82279

> Tim,
> Apparently they are doing things differently up in Michigan. Here in
> Oklahoma, which is the 8-lane super highway section of tornado alley, the
> on-duty forcasters/meteorologists take over the announcements when giving
> watch/warning information during periods of severe weather. Of course, I'm
> in the Oklahoma City/Norman broadcast area, and Norman is the home of both
> the Severe Storms Lab and the Storm Prediction Center, so crewing during
> severe weather is pretty good, allowing sufficent manpower to make the alert
> broadcasts.
>
> Mark K5MAR

And you ought to hear "him" try to "pronounce" some of the town names that
are Indian words, you really have to know the towns in the state and try
to figure out what town he might be trying to say!

3556. Re: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 22:14:26 UTC
From: BD <bodu@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <rgjm5.492$8p2.45418@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

"Sale to the highest bidder..."

"TW" <tandb80NOSPM@home.com> wrote in message
news:eZim5.81475$6y5.54521975@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> Ebay is for auctioning not selling.

3557. Re: AR 8000 B questions, new owner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-15 22:43:58 UTC
From: GB <spamblock@no.net>
Message-ID: <8nch7ifng1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 82098

mailinglist at http://www.onelist.com
good software at http://www.butel.nl
B model can not be unblocked. That's why it has a B..

Charles Patterson <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote in message
news:8naebegia1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> I just got an AR8000 B, so while I have been observing the AOR stuff for a
> while I'm now an owner.
> I would like to find some good web sites or mailing lists for the ar8000.
> Are there good mods for the 8000B? (can it be unblocked?)
>
> thanks
> Charles
>
>
>

3558. scanners of the past & present

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <LTIm5.8833$Cg1.51767@news3.atl>
Source record: 81997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;

3559. Re: Richard Kendrick- N7NT the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spmon67nr5j87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am Rick Larson

I'm sure Richard has his killfilters activated like he always claims.

This is an example of his perverted style of self righteousness.

Rick,

> Yes, Corky Kendrick
> You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> Shut up.
> Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.

Thank you for your input. However, I do believe that, perhaps, you have misunderstood the situation because you are somewhat unfamiliar with the entire history of Cheek and Mackey. My condemnation of Mackey is not because I was friends with Bill Cheek. It is because of Mackey's deplorable behavior over the last few years and my refusal to tolerate it.

I would respond the same if the situation were arranged differently. For instance, suppose Mackey were Cheek and you were Mackey. If you acted the way Mackey has acted towards Cheek (and others), I would be denouncing *your* behavior. In other words, it's NOT who is involved, but the actions of the involved parties.

As a comparison, William Shockley, one of the co-inventors of the transistor, was one of the greatest contributors to technology of the twentieth century. But, Shockley was also an ardent racist and wrote extensively on the intellectual inferiority of black people. In the case of Cheek, you cannot deny that he has contributed to the enjoyment of the scanner hobby by many many people through his books and articles in Monitoring Times, and the help he gave people in the scanner newsgroups. You can admire the mans achievements, but you might not admire the man. You cannot say the same about Mackey.

I'll bet there have been a few times in your life when you've witnessed a disturbance of one type or another and silently wished someone would speak up, perhaps even to intervene. I am the kind of person that *will* speak up and intervene if necessary. Once again, this is not about Mackey but about Mackey's behavior.

In short, I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior of people like Mackey. I have and will continue to stand up and say so, loudly. I urge you to do the same.

73,

Richard

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:w6Jm5.4421$Ur3.39517@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How many times do you have to be asked?
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > Do you really want to know,
> SNIP
>
>
>

3560. robert stamper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gene <wm9h@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <399b6d83$0$60932$392904a7@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 81999
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have Bobs email address. I've trying to contact him. He frequents this newsgroup regularly.

thanks...

email me at

wm9h@execpczz.com
drop the zz

3561. Re: Immature Baby Corky Mackey the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B779F.554FB263@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I DON'T CARE about your 'stamina' Thats all this
is with you is trying to get the last word in isn't it.
Its NOT going to happen loser unless you're prepared
to do this for 500 years.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Then you have NO idea about my stamina!!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > You are obviously geting worn down from all of this
> > replying Mackey. You know you can't keep it up
> > forever. And we all know it, too. There are so many
> SNIP

3562. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B77D6.4F21F855@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82001

Get a life you friendless bald loser with big gap between
front teeth.

K S 0 F wrote:

> This is how they treat anyone who disagrees with them!! How pathetic
> they are.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Piss off Kolari and go preach to
> SNIP

3563. Re: Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B7816.B54D8B71@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82002

If I were a betting man I'd say you are the stalking type
Corky.

K S 0 F wrote:

> I NEVER stalked ANYONE. Bill Cheek did stalk ME.
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me
> like
> > you did Bill Cheek?
> SNIP

3564. Re: Mackey Found A LIAR By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B7842.EF8D1D30@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You forgot a couple of words.. you're welcome.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Thank you for your support!
>
> <gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote >
> > That's right! How could anyone accuse Innocent John!!!

3565. Re: Paging John Toilet Bowl Mackey: Bedpan Change in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B785C.A93D7E76@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82004

You're welcome bonehead.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Thank you Cory, that's just what I needed to prove my point!
>
> Adam
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399AD246.74EDD92B@lpaa.com...
> > Piss off Kolari and go preach to your lover boy Corky
> > Mackey. We don't want to hear it. Get it through your
> > thick head that Mackey is the problem.
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > What a mature bunch we have on this SCANNER newsgroup!
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> news:399A2D5C.EECA3BD5@lpaa.com...
> > > > > .
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

3566. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82005

Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
penis from your mouth and spit.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Wow...what a witty comeback...touchï¿½! Another prime example of the maturity
> level of some people on this NG.
>
> Adam
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> > as you leave the NG?
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> > > >
> >
> >
> >

3567. Re: AR 8000 B questions, new owner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <399A9EAF.7FB174D@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82047

Too bad he didn't buy it from one of the fine radio places in Canada or Javiation over in England, he could have had an unblocked one from dealers outside of the US. Just like mailorder does not make sense within your home state because of sales tax causing you to order from another state, it's similiar with scanners, no point in buying them in the US anymore, they are too limited, it's best to buy from overseas or Canada, as you get more for your money.

Steven

GB wrote:

> mailinglist at http://www.onelist.com
> good software at http://www.butel.nl
> B model can not be unblocked. That's why it has a B..
>
> Charles Patterson <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote in message
> news:8naebegia1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> > I just got an AR8000 B, so while I have been observing the AOR stuff for a
> > while I'm now an owner.
> > I would like to find some good web sites or mailing lists for the ar8000.
> > Are there good mods for the 8000B? (can it be unblocked?)
> >
> > thanks
> > Charles
> >
> >
> >

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

3568. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <0n6lpskasc1cjjd4uef38flrm9os0f24pi@4ax.com>
Source record: 82048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 16 Aug 2000 13:01:12 GMT, oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com wrote:

>In article <YCrm5.1284$M%6.7672@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>[snip]
>> I think I'd much prefer that the hospitals err on the
>> side of caution.
>[snip]
>
> Realizing of course that to "err on the side of caution"
>is to still make a mistake.

First, an error is not a mistake. Mistakes are a subset of errors that come about as a direct result of a human decision. However, even if it were a "mistake" as you say, it is still the less costly alternative to a "mistake" made in favor of your side of the argument.

>> The airlines, the FAA, and the hospitals have enacted
>> regulations that err on the side of caution, that's fine with me.
>[snip]
>
> Well, so you're saying that making a mistake is okay with you.

You haven't done a serious risk/benefit analysis before, have you?

>> Is that cellphone or radio call you're making really that
>> important?
>[snip]
>
> Well, but you really don't know do you? So wouldn't you
>want to "err on the side of caution"?

And it would seem that you don't understand the concept. It's hard to imagine a scenario where someone would need to stand in exactly the place where radios and cell phone use is prohibited and say something of such immediate importance that it couldn't possibly wait for them to step outside.

The potential cost is very high. Is the benefit of instantaneous communications worth a life or death risk? Frankly, if this post is typical of your risk/benefit analysis, you aren't qualified to make a decision like that.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3569. Re: FS: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <399AA1FA.5D29C9D0@magicnet.net>
Source record: 82049
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

The Pro43 is better for cellular monitoring as it has 30khz steps, the 200XLT only has 25khz steps. I know because I have both of them.

Steven

Ryan Haskell wrote:

> Here's a great portable scanner I've got for sale, please check it out on
> Ebay if you're interested at the following URL:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874
>
> Thanks a lot, happy bidding!
> Ryan

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

3570. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <058lpscak3rvqh3np233v3ce934r248pbt@4ax.com>
Source record: 82050

On Tue, 15 Aug 2000 22:16:01 -0500, No User <no.user@anon.xg.nu>
wrote:
<reformatted>
>Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about
>cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack
>said its illegal.

Yes, it is illegal in the United States. However, I've never heard of
an enforcement of the law. As long as you adhere to the "Radio
Secrecy" paradigm, I don't see how you could get in trouble.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3571. Re: Sportscat SC150b any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000816111205.25690.00000400@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 82051

In article <3999c00e$1_2@news.newsfeeds.com>, "snow" <dlessard@telplus.net>
writes:

>Anyone got an opinion on the Bearcat Sportscat SC150b. Any good??
>It's at my local Walmart for $149.

Great radio, sky-high price. These are all over eBay for $80-100.

3572. Bearcat BC80XLT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Cjym5.32$bT6.1959@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 82052

Most everything here has gone to trunking type systems and my favorite hand held isn't much good to me anymore...must sell to buy some trunking scanners.

$75.00 - or will trade with cash for trunk scanner. Comes with rubber duck antenna.

John

3573. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <3071BFB6753DE3B7.0764F69F513495C2.A6E16351940B65BC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82053

I would rather they encrypt than take our scanners away. There is alot more out there to listen
to than just police and I would rather be able to listen to everything else without our states or government
taking our scanners away because of the cops being heard. Also, the military is much stronger and more
dangerous than our police force and the military is just as well prepared to kill its own people in case of riots
and so on, than it is to fight a foreign enemy. Our military is the most secretive and dangerous operations
in the USA but anything they transmit is encrypted and sent in proprietary communication formats except for
practice and move-outs.

3574. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <4A3D1E8F4B8A1045.4C8A0DDD6EFE085C.1552C09C38841372@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 82054

Last year a new law was made making listening to cordless phones illegal.
Unlike the cell phone issue though, the gov didn't make the scanner companies block these frequency ranges.
What it all comes down to is that the government doesn't feel the need to protect people unless there is financial
profit to be gained. Since cordless phones and their frequencies don't require massive frequency buyouts and massive
amounts of payoffs and perks for those who pass the laws and to the gov itself, the gov has no interest in blocking out
cell phones and if anything, it makes listening in on other people's communication in this modern age, much easier for them
as they don't have to get a wire-tap.

"No User" <no.user@anon.xg.nu> wrote in message
news:a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu...
> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio
Shack said its illegal.
>
> Jim B.
>
>

3575. Re: PRO 94 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grey <gghost@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <nHym5.3$Qk4.1886@den-news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 82055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On page 39 of the owners manual, it says you can scan both at the same time.

Gina Medina wrote in message <399766B4.6958DBD4@worldnet.att.net>...
>You can scan multi systems but not both trunk and conventional
>
>mike wrote:
>>
>> I'm taking a serious look at the pro-94 but I have a few
>> questions....can you scan multiple trunk systems
>> (either of the same or different types) at the same time...can you scan
>> multiple trunk systems and conventional frequencies at
>> the same time....what is the khz step size?
>> Your help would be very much appreciated.
>> Thanks
>>
>> _____
>> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 -
http://www.uncensored-news.com
>> With Servers In California, Texas And Virginia - The Worlds Uncensored
News Source
>>

3576. Re: more lies from John Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <t7zm5.2380$M%6.8889@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82056

Timmy,

That's some great detective work you've done....better give yourself a
pat on the back! Some people have too much time on their hands. His
"daddy" definitely isn't you, you're much too young immature.

Adam Kolari

> You are desperate John. A quick call to Lolenzo T. Poe, (503) 248-3691
(email
> address is lolenzo.t.poe@co.multnomah.or.us) reveals John Mackey "Jr" is a
> contract employee with Multnomah County. Next we find (503) 306-5875,
> ext. 27298 is your phone number. Not some retired guy but stupid sounding
John
> Mackey! Oh yeah, your email is john.mackey@co.multnomah.or.us I'll make
sure
> I forward all your crap to that mail box. Internet phone and E-mail is SO
> much fun!!
>
> WHo's your Daddy?
>
> Not ext 27298!
>
>
>
> Timmy
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

3577. Re: Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <3bzm5.2382$M%6.9343@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82057

larson,

Don't you have anything better to do than research what John Mackey has allegedly done? Get a life and grow up.

Adam Kolari

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8ndhao$12o$1@news.netmar.com...
> In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
> >UUnet calling from Tacoma.
>
> Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me like
> you did Bill Cheek?
>
> >Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
>
> What reported? That I exposed you to be a liar? Who is my ISP?
>
> ><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> >> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
> >told
> >> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us
>
> All liar Johns IDs. Sicko John.
>
> here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280

> There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

3578. Re: Paging John Toilet Bowl Mackey: Bedpan Change in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <2czm5.2383$M%6.9262@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82058

What a mature bunch we have on this SCANNER newsgroup!

Adam Kolari

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399A2D5C.EECA3BD5@lpaa.com...
> .
>

3579. Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <6ezm5.2385$M%6.9206@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82059

Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.

Adam Kolari

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> UUnet calling from Tacoma.
>
> Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
>

3580. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <OBzm5.3908$dG.132202@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82060

"Me" <me@here.org> wrote in message
news:422DE63DE5DFD8C0.FD182051B171AD9E.D82BD9A9BAB8FBF9@lp.airnews.net...
> Not true. You can possess it. It might be illegal and you might be fined
or imprisoned for having it, but you can have it.
>
> > Wrong on both counts. You cannot possess a digital scanner (which
doesn't
> > exist yet anyway) without a permit in Canada.

That's bullshit. It's illegal - the rest of your statement is plain stupid.

3581. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <PBzm5.3909$dG.132202@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82061

"Me" <me@here.org> wrote in message
news:4A3D1E8F4B8A1045.4C8A0DDD6EFE085C.1552C09C38841372@lp.airnews.net...
> Last year a new law was made making listening to cordless phones illegal.

"Last year" where? It has been illegal in the USA since 1994.

3582. Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nek44$9h9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82062

In article <g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!

Reported for what? Telling the truth? Sure, glad to.

L. Q. Printer

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3583. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000816133724.02377.00000462@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 82063

>Subject: Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?
>From: "D Stark" dave@nf2g.com
>Date: 8/16/00 11:48 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <PBzm5.3909$dG.132202@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
>
>"Me" <me@here.org> wrote in message
>news:4A3D1E8F4B8A1045.4C8A0DDD6EFE085C.1552C09C38841372@lp.airnews.net...
>> Last year a new law was made making listening to cordless phones illegal.
>
>"Last year" where? It has been illegal in the USA since 1994

The thing to remember is this: It has been illegal for decades to eavesdrop on the conversation in the next booth at a restaurant, to listen in on the people sitting in the next seat on the commuter train or to conversations in the next office cubicle. It has at times been held illegal to eavesdrop on your own kids on your own extention phone...
How do you get caught though? You use what you heard to try and make money, or get some neighbor in trouble or for blackmail. If you use common sense over what you hear you have little to fear.
Neutrodyne

3584. Re: Paging John Toilet Bowl Mackey: Bedpan Change in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399AD246.74EDD92B@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82064

Piss off Kolari and go preach to your lover boy Corky
Mackey. We don't want to hear it. Get it through your
thick head that Mackey is the problem.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> What a mature bunch we have on this SCANNER newsgroup!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399A2D5C.EECA3BD5@lpaa.com...
> > .
> >

3585. Re: Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399AD291.965C6289@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82065

Don't you have anything better to do than to protect
toilet bowl Mackey. You're on the wrong side of this
fool.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> larson,
>
> Don't you have anything better to do than research what John Mackey has
> allegedly done? Get a life and grow up.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8ndhao$12o$1@news.netmar.com...
> > In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
> > >UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> >
> > Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me
> like
> > you did Bill Cheek?
> >
> > >Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> >
> > What reported? That I exposed you to be a liar? Who is my ISP?
> >
> > ><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > >> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
> > >told
> > >> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
> us
> > >
> > > All liar Johns IDs. Sicko John.
> > >
> > > here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> > > There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> > > There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> > > There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> > > There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> > > There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> > > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752

> > There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> > There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> > There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> > There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> > There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> > There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> > There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> > Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> > There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> > <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> > There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> > ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> > NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> > made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
> abuse@newsone.net

3586. Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com>
Source record: 82066

So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
as you leave the NG?

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> >
> > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> >

3587. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <399AD321.D0C1763F@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 82067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What happens in hospitals is that even low level signals due to cellular telephones and hand-held communications devices have too high a probability of interfering with patient monitoring receivers. Some devices _might_ interfere with patient care equipment -- though those are better tested than receivers.

Where they allow a radio to be used, be sure that radios have been tested against the systems they wish to protect. Anything else is presumed harmful. Whoever operates untested radio transmitting devices near critical patient care or monitoring equipment demonstrates disregard for the safety of patients connected to that equipment. If his operation should cause harm, he can expect to be suitably rewarded for his action.

FWIW, I have seen a case where UHF handy talkies set off building fire alarms. It took some time, but we were able to identify alarm sensors where building security was pausing to call in, and replaced them with better shielded ones.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com wrote:

> In article <YCrm5.1284$M%6.7672@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> [snip]
> > I think I'd much prefer that the hospitals err on the
> > side of caution.
> [snip]
>
> Realizing of course that to "err on the side of caution"
> is to still make a mistake.
>
> > The airlines, the FAA, and the hospitals have enacted
> > regulations that err on the side of caution, that's fine with me.
> [snip]
>
> Well, so you're saying that making a mistake is okay with you.
>
> > Is that cellphone or radio call you're making really that
> > important?
> [snip]
>
> Well, but you really don't know do you? So wouldn't you

> want to "err on the side of caution"?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3588. Mackey Found By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nelrdbpd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <WQ3m5.96667$V34.924190@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>> I have NEVER changed my name, I have ALWAYS used the same name.

That's right! How could anyone accuse Innocent John!!! Innocent John!!
Innocent John! K S 0 F John..Mackey!!!!!!! J o h n M a c k e y John John Mac
key J O H N John the Innocent! J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y KA0SSF of changing his
name?

Poe Larity

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3589. Default the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nem2cbtg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <gi4m5.96799$V34.923689@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> I have never posted under a fake ID.
>

Please present verifiable proof that proves you have verifiable proof of your above allegation or be found to be a liar by me.

Dee Fault

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3590. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Valerie <val1224@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <399AD932.DD74D546@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 82070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey Mark, you know what? We don't care.

markj wrote:

> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > People who refuse to post under their legit ID can only be up to no good.
>
> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
> told
> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
> us he
> was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
> find
> the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
> off
> to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
> Here's
> what they show me.
>
> There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
> <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
> <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
> <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646

> There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
> According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
> toilet-boy
> or
> Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
> These
> are
> just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
> Thousands
> of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
> John
> (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

3591. Re: How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19910-399AD2E0-79@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 82071

One of the best sources of scanner freqs is at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb - click on "Databases" - then click on "Search the WTB Databases" (the top item). Peter S

3592. The international scanner link site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "scannerpage.com" <thepeebee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8nemkoikl1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 82072
Cross-posted to: dk.teknik.radio.scanner,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Do you want that you scanner link will be placed on The International
Scanner Link Site www.scannerpage.com ?

go to : http://www.scannerpage.com/add.htm

And add your site.

--
Greetings,
Patrick Brouwer

e-mail : webmaster@scannerpage.com
website: www.scannerpage.com

---
http://gratis.startkabel.nl http://scanner.startkabel.nl
http://big-brother.startkabel.nl http://klagen.pagina.nl

3593. Alinco DJ-X10 Antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Clark <mclarknc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <399aef39.0@news.ilan.net>
Source record: 82073

Recent posts about the DJ-X10 have pointed out that the stock rubber ducky is (almost) useless. Any recommendations from satisfied customers? I enjoy listening to a wide range of freqs, from local VHF/UHF to shortwave BC bands. I'm looking for something portable - otherwise I can just use my longwire at home....

Thanks,
Michael AA4YH

3594. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000816154228.23847.00001083@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 82074

>Unlike the cell phone issue though, the gov didn't make the scanner companies
>block these frequency ranges.

That's because cordless phones share their frequencies with other things, like wireless mike's, those 49 mhz hands free radios you can buy, baby monitors, etc... they couldn't ban the production of scanner capable of listening to those freq's without making it illegal to listen to everything that shares the freq...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

3595. Re: Pro-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: grey_maus@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nesl3jvi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82075

I tried a search for "pro-37" on radioshack.com before asking here but
had no valid answer.
I just did not see the "user manual" array ... :o(

I am happy now. Thanks a lot

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3596. Re: Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <8mCm5.2519$M%6.9483@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82076

Another no-lifer....(sigh).....when will people grow up???

Adam
"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399AD291.965C6289@lpaa.com...
Don't you have anything better to do than to protect
toilet bowl Mackey. You're on the wrong side of this
fool.

Adam Kolari wrote:

larson,
Don't you have anything better to do than research what John Mackey has
allegedly done? Get a life and grow up.

Adam Kolari

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8ndhao$12o$1@news.netmar.com...
> In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
> >UUnet calling from Tacoma.
>
> Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me
like
> you did Bill Cheek?
>
> >Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
>
> What reported? That I exposed you to be a liar? Who is my ISP?
>
> ><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> >> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
> >told
> >> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
us
>
> All liar Johns IDs. Sicko John.
>
> here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761

> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

3597. Chicago Air Show Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <8nes7i$q3m$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 82077

The Blue Angels will practice sometime Thursday afternoon (weather permitting) and on Friday sometime in the 11am to 4pm time frame.

These will be the best times to check on frequencies used in the upcoming shows on Saturday and Sunday.

--
* *
Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends

Uncle Bob.....unclebob@ripco.com

3598. Re: Paging John Toilet Bowl Mackey: Bedpan Change in Room 217

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <joCm5.2528$M%6.9995@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82078

Thank you Cory, that's just what I needed to prove my point!

Adam

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399AD246.74EDD92B@lpaa.com...
> Piss off Kolari and go preach to your lover boy Corky
> Mackey. We don't want to hear it. Get it through your
> thick head that Mackey is the problem.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > What a mature bunch we have on this SCANNER newsgroup!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
news:399A2D5C.EECA3BD5@lpaa.com...
> > > .
> > >
>
>
>

3599. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <YpCm5.2537$M%6.10495@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82079

Wow...what a witty comeback...touch�! Another prime example of the maturity
level of some people on this NG.

Adam

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> as you leave the NG?
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > >
> > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> > >
>
>
>

3600. Re: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <1PCm5.82665$6y5.55910173@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

no that was me showing how it should be. I get tired of opening a FS post
and finding out it is a FA at Ebay. I don't like Ebay what so ever.

RC <cheezman@msu.edu> wrote in message
news:01c006f9$3e5735a0$6387b3d1@toast...
> Dontcha see he changed it from "FS" to "FA" after he got slammed?

3601. Antenna for Bearcat 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael S. Graham" <msgraham@kcweb.net>
Message-ID: <zBDm5.13163$t45.440656@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 82081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

I broke the stock, telescoping antenna that came with the Bearcat 890XLT. I need to get a replacement, but I'd like your suggestions first.

I don't have a way to use an outside or roof antenna. Should I just purchase a replacement, telepscoping antenna, or is there something better on the market? I like about 1/2 mile from the tower where my local police/fire broadcast from, but I wasn't always able to hear conversation from the vehicles themselves, just the base.

Thanks,

Michael

3602. RadioShack PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shamire <Shamire@home.com>
Message-ID: <399B08D0.D9996C9A@home.com>
Source record: 82082

ive been out of scanning for about 2 years now.. ive found a Radio Shack
PRO 2026
for a Cheap price.. its a little beat up but as far as i know it
still works

can you still get replacment parts for it??

is it a good scanner to start off with until i can save money for
a better one?
Would this be a good desktop scanner just to play around with?

Thanks!

3603. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nf1lbpkv1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82083

No User <no.user@anon.xg.nu> wrote in message
news:a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu...
> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about
cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its
illegal.
>
> Jim B.
>
>
Wow! A guy from RS actually had the right answer! Wadaya know.

Phil

3604. looking for a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: fredgred@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000816174425.02573.00000582@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 82084

anybody know where i can get a scanner like i used to have. it was a bearcat 200 twin turbo. that's all i remember about it. kind of like the older 200xlt, but has a smooth round case like the sportcat. had 10 banks of 20 channels and on back was a listing of all the frequencies it covered. any help would be appreciated. please e-mail fredgred@aol.com

3605. Re: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nf26cdhj1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82085

Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com> wrote in message
news:39974464.511130FA@ios.com...
> I am seriously thinking about buying an Optoelectronics
> Scout for frequency finding, but I would first like to hear
> from anyone who has one of these, and uses it/ How well
> does it work, what are some "pitfalls", and where does it
> shine? Thanks for any information.
>
>
> Frank Carson
>
It is excellent for frequency finding. I've successfully used it in the car,
in malls, racetracks, casinos, emergency scenes etc.
Drawbacks would be that a nearby FM transmitter could overwhelm it. A filter
can solve this.
Also it captures things like paging signals that you don't want, but that's
not really a big deal.
You will not be disappointed in the Scout.

Phil

3606. Re: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <83Em5.10651$k4.52039@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82086

I have an Opto Model 3300 freq counter. I swear it doesn't work very well.
Can you give me some stats on how far away you are and the scout works?
Mainly with two way radios.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Phil and Alyce" <pal531@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8nf26cdhj1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com> wrote in message
> news:39974464.511130FA@ios.com...
> > I am seriously thinking about buying an Optoelectronics
> > Scout for frequency finding, but I would first like to hear
> > from anyone who has one of these, and uses it/ How well
> > does it work, what are some "pitfalls", and where does it
> > shine? Thanks for any information.
> >
> >
> > Frank Carson
> >
> It is excellent for frequency finding. I've successfully used it in the
car,
> in malls, racetracks, casinos, emergency scenes etc.
> Drawbacks would be that a nearby FM transmitter could overwhelm it. A
filter
> can solve this.
> Also it captures things like paging signals that you don't want, but
that's
> not really a big deal.
> You will not be disappointed in the Scout.
>
> Phil
>
>
>

3607. Re: Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nf2tjeoa1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 82087

John Perry <perry@jpunix.com> wrote in message
news:slrn8parl7.b10.perry@elvis.jpunix.com...
> If I was to sell my old Pro-34 on eBay what would it be worth? I
> mod'ed it years ago to include cellular and changed out the oscillator
> crystal so it would scan faster. It's a little scratched but otherwise
> works fine. Is $50 fair?
>

If you really need the money, then yes $50 would be fine and maybe even a
little cheap.
If you don't really need the money, then why not just hang on to it. The
reason for this is that a few years from now, long after you've forgotten
what you ever spent that $50 on, you may wish you still had the radio. At
that time you may not be able to replace it for $50 and be sorry you ever
sold it. Of course only you can answer these questions, do what you feel is
right.
BTW, if you do post it on e-bay, you may want to refer to "full 800Mhz
coverage" instead of "cellular modified".
Just a thought, and even _that_ might not sneak through.

Phil

3608. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82088

Personally, I don't think digital is going to post as big a threat to scanning as most people think. First off, it's going to be very expensive as has already been mentioned, and there will be serious compatibility issues between systems which will hamper the acceptance of digital for quite awhile.

It will be a LONG time until digital really takes off. By the time it does, EVERY scanner is going to be computer controlled (or have the computer built right in on the mobile ones, with a nice 1" video screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be software (some of it gray market or black market) to decrypt various encrypted systems which will be downloadable, just as there are scanners to decode trunked transmissions now. Hackers will be able to write such software far faster than police/fire departments can change to new systems, which will require quite a bit of time and money.

Don't believe Uniden when they say they will never build a digital scanner. If it comes down to staying in business, you can bet their butt they will develop some. The bottom line in any business is money, and staying in business.

I really don't see the threat. It's *way* too premature to predict the death of scanning just because a few police departments are in the pre-planning stages for digital. Like Bart Simpson would say, "Don't have a cow, man!"

W.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3609. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 Antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8nf67m$283k$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82089

I bought my DJ-X10T used, and I didn't get the stock Alinco antenna with it. I thought I was missing something, so I paid Alinco nearly $25 to get one. I am very disappointed in it's performance, but it sure does look good. I have several antenna's but there are two that I use more than any others I use the T144BN antenna from Hobby Radio Stop at www.bearcat1.com I am very happy with this antenna, and it is less expensive than many others. I also have an Austin Condor, which is satisfactory at some frequencies. The T144BN is more satisfactory over a wide range of frequencies. The condor is no good on MW and not very good on SW, but it is ok on VHF/UHF (which is exactly what it claims to be/do).
-James

Michael Clark wrote in message <399aef39.0@news.ilan.net>...
>Recent posts about the DJ-X10 have pointed out that the stock rubber ducky
>is (almost) useless. Any recommendations from satisfied customers? I
enjoy
>listening to a wide range of freqs, from local VHF/UHF to shortwave BC
>bands. I'm looking for something portable - otherwise I can just use my
>longwire at home....
>
>Thanks,
>Michael AA4YH
>
>

3610. Icom R2 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <montgomeryt@mail.your-net.com>
Message-ID: <399B1D9E.35CB9598@mail.your-net.com>
Source record: 82090
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: montgomeryt.vcf

I have an IC-R2 for sale. Comes with manual, Ni-Cd charger, 4 batteries,
CS-R2 programming software, interface cable, and antenna. Will sell for
135.00 for all. A great little scanner,but I have too many now!
If you live in the Cincinnati area, I will meet you for delivery, if
not I will ship priority mail for free! What a deal.
Thanks.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3611. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <399b263c$1_2@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 82091

skipsand@san.rr.com (Skip Sanders) wrote in
<a2qm5.16235$OG2.88549@typhoon.san.rr.com>:

>In the USA, yes, it's illegal. Other countries, other laws.
>--------------
>"No User" <no.user@anon.xg.nu> wrote in message
>news:a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu...
>> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about
>cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said
>its illegal.
>
>

Then I guess that makes listening to "toy" walkie talkies, baby monitors
and wireless intercoms illegal too since they use the same frequencies.
Doesn't that sound kinda' broad to you?

3612. BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F92CDE91jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 82092

I live near a taxi cab garage and their trunking data signal seems to bleed all over the UHF frequencies on my scanner every once in a while, CTCSS doesn't even help. I know how to trap frequencies that do that, but that doesn't seem possible here since the data signal jumps around different frequencies in a trunk. What else can I do?

3613. FA: PRO-2040 Scanner, No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <HTFm5.968$QW4.30611@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82093

FOR AUCTION ON EBAY:

Radio Shack PRO-2040 Programmable Scanner Radio, No Reserve, starting at
$1.00
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=411783970

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

3614. Re: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <399B2C63.922EC204@ios.com>
Source record: 82094

Phil and Alyce wrote:

> Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com> wrote in message
> news:39974464.511130FA@ios.com...
> > I am seriously thinking about buying an Optoelectronics
> > Scout for frequency finding, but I would first like to hear
> > from anyone who has one of these, and uses it/ How well
> > does it work, what are some "pitfalls", and where does it
> > shine? Thanks for any information.
> >
> >
> > Frank Carson
> >
> It is excellent for frequency finding. I've successfully used it in the car,
> in malls, racetracks, casinos, emergency scenes etc.
> Drawbacks would be that a nearby FM transmitter could overwhelm it. A filter
> can solve this.
> Also it captures things like paging signals that you don't want, but that's
> not really a big deal.
> You will not be disappointed in the Scout.

Thanks for the info - I've gotten mostly positive responses so far.

Frank Carson

3615. Re: Antenna for Bearcat 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <JgHm5.172$%A.1004@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I will assume that you live in an apartment house since you cannot put the antenna on the roof. In other posts here I have suggested some great hints on antennas that were passed on to me since 1987. Another type of stealth antenna is a "spider web". It is an antenna of my own design. Buy a roll of computer "ribbon" cable about 5 to 8 strands wide. Spread the strands apart for 4". Then strip about 1" of covering from each one. Assuming you have a bnc connector on the back of your scanner, take a coax about 5' long and cut the connector off of one end only. Strip the outer cover 5". Dress the ground mesh into a tail configuration by cutting and twisting. Then expose the center wire about 2". Then take the computer ribbon and solder the ribbon to the center wire. From the top of the unspread ribbon and separate the strands into a "fan configuration". Use your favorite method of covering the exposed wire. You now have an antenna that can be hidden behind a mirror, picture or even under the rug! Be sure to use the ground wire. This antenna will work A+. Good luck. N9IPA
"Michael S. Graham" <msgraham@kcweb.net> wrote in message
news:zBDm5.13163$t45.440656@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I broke the stock, telescoping antenna that came with the Bearcat 890XLT.
I
> need to get a replacement, but I'd like your suggestions first.
>
> I don't have a way to use an outside or roof antenna. Should I just
> purchase a replacement, telepscoping antenna, or is there something better
> on the market? I like about 1/2 mile from the tower where my local
> police/fire broadcast from, but I wasn't always able to hear conversation
> from the vehicles themselves, just the base.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Michael
>
>

3616. Need Chicago Air and Water Show Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: CPMachnick@ync.net
Message-ID: <399B4D6E.84F34932@ync.net>
Source record: 82096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just go to

http://www.ChicagoScanning.com

3617. Re: 895 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <938kpssu6cqahsr59l523p4uha9vg6f4se@4ax.com>
Source record: 82124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rmbc895.htm

On Tue, 15 Aug 2000 06:58:34 -0500, "jh-lewis" <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
had these things to say:

>I'm looking for PC software for my Bearcat BC895XLT... Preferably low cost
>or freeware.
>
>Any help?
>
>Thanks,
>
>John
>

"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

3618. Re: Sportscat SC150b any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ceejay <shrpchef@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t68kps4vd9rm8hb8ivuivohhlt0dlkfj6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 82125

Yeah, don't pay THAT for it. I just sold one for $65.00.
Great radio if you don't need to follow trunk freqs.

On Tue, 15 Aug 2000 18:08:15 -0400, "snow" <dlessard@telplus.net> had
these things to say:

>Anyone got an opinion on the Bearcat Sportscat SC150b. Any good??
>It's at my local Walmart for $149.
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
"Nothing Else Matters"

'96 XL 1200

3619. Re: John-Corky Mackey- Champion Liar & FOOL of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndgijjh1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,,newsone.log

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>
>> You denied patronizing child prostitutes. You lied.
>>
>> Subject: Re: Credentials
>> From: John Mackey KA0SSF <nowhere@anyplace.net>
>> Date: 1996/10/10
>>
>> BILL WATTS wrote:
>> > Woweee! Ten whole dollars! You must be pretty damned sure
>> > of yourself to risk half a day's pay.
>>
>> Naw, hell that's all I paid to buy your daughter for the weekend.
>
>This does not mention "prostitutes" ANYWHERE", so you fail AGAIN
>to provide ANY proof of your accusation!

Buying a female for the weekend is legally prostitution.

>> You claim to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL
>> and other technical publications. You lied.
>>
>> Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
>> Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
>> From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
>> Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
>SNIP
>
>Richard - The above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I
>said
>or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your
>accusation!

Your denial is proof its true.

>
>> You claimed you've never been indentified as known Internet abuser.
>> You lied.
>
>Your messages from "Joe Greco" are forges, YOU are proven a liar!

You have proven Richard true John. Thank you.

>> You claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet addresses.
>> You lied.
>>
>> Date: Wed, 04 Mar 1998 21:43:11 -0700
>> From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
>> Subject: Re: IWSTIRN REAL IDENITY
>
>Once again, the above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I
>said
>or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your
>accusation!

I posted proof John. You ignored it. Here it is again. All your IDs

here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076

There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

see.

>
>> You claimed you were not the most proflic poster of off topic messages.
>SNIP
>> This is the quantity of postings broke down on a month by month basis

>> for each of the people named above. If a message was cross-posted to
>> both qroups, it was only counted once.
>>
>> Date | Mackey | Cheek | Kendrick | Bowers | Vetterle | allsafe | K4CC
>> ---
>SNIP
>> ---
>> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341
>> ---
>> AVG/DAY| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.93
>> ---
>> AVG/ADJ| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 3.72
>
>By looking at what YOU POSTED, Cheek ranked considerably
>higher in both "TOTALS" and "AVG". Therefore, you have proven
>that I was NOT the most "prolific" poster since I was beaten out
>by Cheek according to the information you posted. Thank you for your
>support!

You hold the USENET record of 394 off topic in a month

>> You claimed WD-40 was unsuitable in an electronic application. You lied.
>
>Correct, & I still state the same. What is your point?

That you are wrong and nobody agrees with you. You could not see that?

>
>> You claimed Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa. You lied.
>
>WRONG! I stated that Cheek was NOT a member of Mensa, I did not say
>that he had never been a member.

Once a member, always a member. I am a member. I paid dues once. I will always
be a Mensa. You are just too simple minded to understand, so your last 45
post today show.

Tim

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3620. Re: speaker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <399A423F.85496C4B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 82127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you have a cassete tape player in your truck you may want to get one of those cassete adapters that are mainly sold for listening to your portable CD player through your car stereo, but I just hook it up to the headphone jack on my scanner and the output is sent through the stereo speakers. I can control the volume and tone as well through the stereo. You can get them just about anywhere for under $20.

Good Luck

Ken
Los Angeles, CA

scandude wrote:

> I use my UNIDEN BC-245XLT in my work truck. I have tried a Motorola, Kenwood
> and Radio Shack speakers. all are very low volume. it is not very loud, even
> at full volume. any suggestions?
> thanks in advance.

--
---->>>KEN<<<----

http://kencaz.home.att.net

3621. Re: Glann Hansen KB7PKC makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndh1g$s3$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

No John, you are wrong. I agree with Fred, Greg and Glenn, You are
embarrassing yourself and Ham radio. You are good reason not to get a
license.

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>No, Glenn, YOU are embarassing YOURSELF again!
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> Fred and Greg are right, you are embarrassing yourself John
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3622. Re: Recommendation against hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndgrg$o7$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,,newsone.log

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Your statement below is completely false!
>
>"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
>> Not true. In the last year you have had at least 5 different names to get
>> around killfilters.

Youy are such a liar John Mackey

here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts

made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3623. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndhgf$167$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,,newsone.log

In a previous article, markj <markj@cts.com> writes:

Caught him again!

>
>
>K S 0 F wrote:
>>
>> People who refuse to post under their legit ID can only be up to no good.
>
>Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
>told
>us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
>us he
>was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
>find
>the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
>off
>to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
>Here's
>what they show me.
>
>There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
>There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
><jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
>There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
>There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
>There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
><mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
><mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280

>There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
>There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
>There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
>There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
>Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
>There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
>There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
>toilet-boy
>or
>Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
>These
>are
>just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
>Thousands
>of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
>John
>(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3624. Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndhao$12o$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log,

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>UUnet calling from Tacoma.

Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me like you did Bill Cheek?

>Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!

What reported? That I exposed you to be a liar? Who is my ISP?

><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
>told
>> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us

All liar Johns IDs. Sicko John.

here are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>

Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3625. Re: (Mackey Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndhjt$18d$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters,,newsone.log

SO you learned to change bed pans in Portland. So what is your proof????

TL

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>> Johnny, you forget, I know. Is the "University" that you claim within
>> 100, no, 200, no, even 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved
>SNIP
>
>Wrong again Blake!! First, I attended all classes locally. Second, with
>the way
>you have repeatedly lied in the past, I certainly would NOT have given you
>enough data to be able to do anything. Remember, that was when my father
>had reported your harassment to law enforcement, so I took VERY COMPLETE
>notes of what was said & happened.
>
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3626. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <N7ZZT@home.com>
Message-ID: <399A4451.A7E3B917@home.com>
Source record: 82133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gee,
I go away for over a year and lookie what happens...

Both you guys just take it out on the playground and beat yourselves
to a pulp.

Speaking as a person in the know, Let me clarify a few things:
1. John Mackey was, in fact, removed from 2 forums
on FIDONET because of his questionable behavior
and extreme opinions
2. Mr. mackey has lied so many times, even he doesn't know the truth
3. Mr. Mackey has, at various times, attempted to harrass those who
disagree with his view of the world, even to the point of telephone
harrassment, calling on others to harrass the intended victim
through various other means, and generally made an ass of himself.
4. Mr. Mackey's exploits as a "technician" are well known in portland oregon
(so much so, that everyone there has refused to allow him to touch their
electronic equipment for any reason whatsoever).
5. Mr. mackey's "on the air" exploits have lead to his being permanently banned
from the use of several repeaters in the state of oregon.

Basically, Mr. Mackey has a lot to answer for, but continues attempting to
place the blame on others (by false accusation, obfuscation, inuendo
and base turpitude). His credibility as a ham (as well as a man) is so low
that it cannot be measured.

HE HAS EVEN ATTEMPTED TO HARRASS ME (without much succes)
He is in a permanent state of denial, refuses to give way on an unsupportable
position, continues to rag others for the problems HE ORIGINALLY CREATED
and has even gone so far as to disrespect those members of the SK ham community!

This is the last that I will be heard from in theis forum. Others know the truth
and they will speak their minds in their own way.

DE N7ZZT
New River Arizona

Blake Bowers wrote:

> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the
> > attention.
> >
> > Several months ago, I had been ingoring his repeated attempts at causing
> > trouble &

> > harassing me. So then he did some junior detective work & figured out where
> > my semi-retired elderly father worked & started to make harassing phone
> > calls
> > to my father's work place.
> >
>
> John, you are caught in lies AGAIN! I stayed out of this until
> YOU started in accusing me of things. YOU started the crap.
>
> Insofar as the comments about your father, post proof of this
> incident, in the form of the name, badge number, and report
> number of the officer you allegedly filed a complaint with,
> or be found a liar by default.
>
> Oh, and yes, we will verify, as you will be lying if you
> post the above.

3627. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndhsh$1fs$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,,newsone.log

Is this the father that got you the job changing bed pans?

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the
>attention.
>
>Several months ago, I had been ingoring his repeated attempts at causing
>trouble &
>harassing me. So then he did some junior detective work & figured out where
>my semi-retired elderly father worked & started to make harassing phone
>calls
>to my father's work place.
>
>THAT is how desperate Blake Bowers is to cause trouble.
>
>"GreenApple slathers" <phartonyou@aol.com> wrote
>> I have a better idea...
>> Why don't both of you arrange
>> to meet each other at some hamfest and
>SNIP
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3628. Re: more lies from John Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ndier$1sq$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 82135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,,newsone.log

In a previous article, "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the
>attention.
>
>Several months ago, I had been ingoring his repeated attempts at causing
>trouble &
>harassing me. So then he did some junior detective work & figured out where
>my semi-retired elderly father worked & started to make harassing phone
>calls
>to my father's work place.
>
>THAT is how desperate Blake Bowers is to cause trouble.

You are desperate John. A quick call to Lolenzo T. Poe, (503) 248-3691 (email address is lolenzo.t.poe@co.multnomah.or.us) reveals John Mackey "Jr" is a contract employee with Multnomah County. Next we find (503) 306-5875, ext. 27298 is your phone number. Not some retired guy but stupid sounding John Mackey! Oh yeah, your email is john.mackey@co.multnomah.or.us I'll make sure I forward all your crap to that mail box. Internet phone and E-mail is SO much fun!!

WHo's your Daddy?

Not ext 27298!

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3629. Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk19021@home.com>
Message-ID: <399A478F.A4764400@home.com>
Source record: 82136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version of this story. It was first posted on October 30, 1996 in alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner.

"All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar"

Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story of how King Mackey the Great became so. It is an exciting tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer, as I relate this grand history.

In the land of Scannerdom, the county of Power Supply was plagued with a terrible injustice. The evil mercenary force from Capacitor held the people of Smoke prisoner. Repeater, the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a rare and unused Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the people of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless warrior stepped forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater told him of the dangers but the young man was undaunted and resolutely set off to free them.

When he arrived at the gate, Mackey was challenged by the fearsome guardians, Positive and Negative. So terrible were these mighty sentinels that even the renowned guardian Cerebus trembled when faced with Positive and Negative. Armed with his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. In the hands of a true warrior the screwdriver is no common weapon. Our hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and urinals of Technical School, was much more than a professional. He was a Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.

The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded razor sharp quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using every feint, lunge, and parry the guardians had learned in centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no avail. Mackey maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he ended it. He had touched both guardians in the only way to kill them. He bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman could execute, the Short.

The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the Smoke from their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke rewarded Mackey with their highest honor, the Diddler of the Spark.

Mackey, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In the land of Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the Legions of Ennui. These were no ordinary warriors, but elite shock troops. Armed with the latest in Apathy, Disdain, and Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.

For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another, mighty warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was a soldier in the Legion of Ennui. Alas, I too, fell before the great Mackey. No one could understand how a single man could withstand such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. Then we learned the source of his great strength. He was the most favored disciple of the malevolent gods, Fraud and Liar. They had taught him the secret fighting languages of Turpitude, Innuendo, and the most deadly of them all, Obscenity. Under their smutty tutelage, he repeatedly repeled the attacks with adroit displays of oratorical onanism.

There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to prevail. We had Mackey surrounded, he was in everybodys kill file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone, armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.

Sadly, we all were victim to his great strategy and now he sprang his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him. Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people, in his hands his mail-order diploma proclaming him Master of Communication Technology smote us down.

Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the surrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him, fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked through the defeated masses, and went home.

Upon returning to Scannerdom, he found word of his great deeds had preceded him. He was declared Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination as King. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him King and the great sage and visionary Pope Bcheek presided over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."

So there you have it, brothers and sisters. Behold the saga of his fetidness, King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo, and Obscenity.

All hail King Mackey the Great Liar.

...
There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3630. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <YCrm5.1284$M%6.7672@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:8nd6it$c1t$16@bashir.peak.org...
> It is unlikely that any radio or cellphone would interfere with the
> equipment, unless within a few feet.
>
> Rather than a blanket ban, thorough testing should be performed. It is
not
> hard to do, it just takes a while.
>
> I did such testing, when the company I work for installed a Trunking
system,
> to make sure the handhelds did not interfere with computer equipment or
any
> of the various sensors.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

A blanket ban is useful, in that it insures that radios that are not operating within specs, (dropped or damaged in some way, for instance), from causing problems. And interestingly enough, I just got an email from my brother, who is to undergo surgery for thyroid cancer in two weeks. In his message about notifying us with the outcome of his surgery, he says "They do not permit cell phones in the hospital as
it interferes with the heart monitors so please wait for Debbie to call you."

The hospital radios may be tested, as you say. But outside equipment would be of so many different makes, models, and states of repair, it would be difficult to be sure. I think I'd much prefer that the hospitals err on the side of caution.

It's just like the start of this thread. Much of the radios and other consumer electronics may have absolutely no effect on avionics. But I wouldn't want to be on the plane with the guy that's got the one item that WILL interfere while the plane is on instrument approach during a thunderstorm. The airlines, the FAA, and the hospitals have enacted regulations that err on the side of caution, that's fine with me. I'd rather live without my scanners, cellphone, 144/440 MHz handheld, laptop, etc., for a couple of hours, than die with them (or cause the death of another). Is that cellphone or radio call you're making really that important?

Mark K5MAR

3631. NEVER buy DWM Communications stuff!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: warning <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008160806.EAA00965@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 82138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,ak.forsale,alabama.birmingham.forsale

Hey everybody,

DWM communications is located here:

http://www.qth.com/dwm/

Take a moment to look at their website and the shitty products they sell. They sell an "active antenna" called the "Tiny Tenna" with only 3 or 4 componets on a board for 30 bucks! Even a 8 year old could build something like this for a few bucks. And they sell an antenna tuner made out of a pillbox. Somebody there is taking a lot of pills!

This company threatens to sue anybody who says anything negitive about their products. They operate from a post office box, and do not provide a real contact address or phonenumber. They use Hotmail for all contacts. Their a bunch of phonies. Do not trust your credit card or other info to this company. I know personally about several victims who trusted their information to this company, and as soon as they said they did not like the products, there personal information including phone numbers, addresses and credit card numbers was spread all over the internet.

This company is run by a piece of white trash named Bill Lauterbach. He has been spaming all sorts of newsgroups, trying to push his "products" onto people. Do not buy from this company or you will be at a real risk of trouble!

You have been warned. Dont come running to anyone if you get into trouble after buying from this false company. You will be putting yourself and your loved ones at serious risk if you EVER reveal anything or buy anything from DWM Communications. Do not buy from this phoney company!

... A concerned former customer

3632. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <e2sm5.1290$M%6.7412@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82139

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:8nd5fu$c1t$13@bashir.peak.org...
>snip<
> You will probably have to turn the scanner on at the checkpoint, to
> prove that it works. I don't really know what this accomplishes, but
> that is the usual practice.
>snip<
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Well, for one thing, it proves that it isn't stuffed with C-4 or the equivalent, or maybe a zip gun, or a knife. When I used to travel on business with my photo gear (no WAY was I going to check my cameras as baggage!) back in the '70's and early '80's, the security people tripped the shutters on all cameras, flashed all the flash attachments and looked through all the lenses. I carried a small repair kit, pocket screwdriver, 6" Crescent wrench and 6" ChannelLocks, and British Airways made me take them back to the ticket counter and turn them in, to be given to the pilot, and returned to me at the end of the flight. Guess they were afraid I'd take the plane apart in flight.

Mark K5MAR

3633. Best choice ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: newsread@free.fr
Message-ID: <399a523e.90351884@news.free.fr>
Source record: 82140

Between these products :
YUPITRU MTV 6000,
Alinco DJ-X1,
AOR-8000

best choice ? Why ?

Have a nice day

3634. Re: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RC <cheezman@msu.edu>
Message-ID: <01c006f9$3e5735a0$6387b3d1@toast>
Source record: 82141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Dontcha see he changed it from "FS" to "FA" after he got slammed?

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article
<9fom5.581$8p2.114792@nnrp2.sbc.net>...
> Is one posting not enough?...
>
>
>

3635. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <399A771F.D6CC53B0@home.com>
Source record: 82142

Legal is one thing-enforcement is quite another.

No User wrote:
>
> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its illegal.
>
> Jim B.

3636. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <mbvm5.1384$M%6.7734@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you contact the FCC and ask them up front about digital communications
they will send you the information or direct you to a web site. Search for
FCC on your browser and you will find out what is going on. Be sure to read
between the lines. This digital stuff is big bucks and we, the tax payers,
will pay because most people could care less about their rights. One by one
all our rights are being "legislated out" of existence. A lot of folks even
think scanner buffs are red necked, unwashed, long haired, beer drinking
snoops. So I got a college education, went bald, and quit drinking booze.
But I still love to snoop!!! N9IPA
"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:8nd6oc$c1t$17@bashir.peak.org...
> In rec.radio.scanner elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote:
>
> : Hello Glenn. You and other taxpayers in your state, county, and city
should
> : band together to keep any police department from going digital. This may
> : sound radical but anytime you cannot monitor your police department you
are
> : one step closer to a dictator ship. That new digital system will require
the
> : scanner producers to come up with cheap, but good quality, receivers for
the
> : listening public. Fight It. N9IPA
>
> Lots of luck. With all the crying about lack of bandwidth, digital
systems
> are inevitable. You can get about 5 digital channels in the space
occupied
> by two analog channels.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

3637. Re: MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <Lfvm5.1396$M%6.7978@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Being new to this group I must say the immature attitude shown by these few people is just, well, childish. As for being sued you all better check with a lawyer about just that possibility. N9IPA
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:OZmm5.2255$Ur3.11173@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How do you figure I am going to get sued.
>
> <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote
> > KS0F you are looking to get sued. Stop your incessant defamation of
> > character before you find yourself looking for an attorney! And take it
> off
>
>

3638. Re: Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: moc.enilepip@ykkams
Message-ID: <399a8473.213598586@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 82145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>Was the old system a trunking system? Did it have "voting" capabilities?
>Does the new system replace a bunch of different systems, all on different
>frequencies?

A trunked system cannot employ only voting as the system must be
bilateral. If you have great receive coverage (and it's *much* cheaper
to place voting receivers in a building than wiring in a BDA)
portables inside buildings may get into the system only if they can
receive the control channel. Otherwise the system will still be out of
range of the portables. In conventional, voting receivers can be
placed in many locations and the user conditioned to move the radio
around to hear the transmitter, or several sites can be simulcast -
but the transmission can be initiated independently without the need
to hear the control channel or any transmitter.

>Failsoft guarantees that at least communication will be possible, if the
>controller fails. All the trunking system manufacturers have a version of
>this feature as standard.

The radios will only transmit if they are receiving the failsoft
dataword in low speed data. Otherwise they will still indicate out of
range.

>Passive antenna systems will often produce the indoor coverage necessary.

Depending on the size of the building/ garage/ tunnel.

Passive systems have a hint of randomness. Maybe it'll work, and maybe
it won't. The signal levels are usually very low, but still higher
than if the antenna system was not installed. Engineering a structure
for specific system gain throughout is a better way of achieving
predictable results. Using BDAs ("signal boosters") that can have
variable gain and AGC is better.

Steve

3639. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com
Message-ID: <8ne3ad$k32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <YCrm5.1284$M%6.7672@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
[snip]
> I think I'd much prefer that the hospitals err on the
> side of caution.
[snip]

Realizing of course that to "err on the side of caution"
is to still make a mistake.

> The airlines, the FAA, and the hospitals have enacted
> regulations that err on the side of caution, that's fine with me.
[snip]

Well, so you're saying that making a mistake is okay with you.

> Is that cellphone or radio call you're making really that
> important?
[snip]

Well, but you really don't know do you? So wouldn't you
want to "err on the side of caution"?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3640. Re: PennSTAR Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:31:54 UTC
From: Doug and Cindy Deutsch <cindyd1@enter.net>
Message-ID: <3999E0F5.4801D6CE@enter.net>
Source record: 82184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

PennStar in eastern PA is on 155.385 Mhz.

Michael wrote:

> What freq. is PennSTAR dispatched on?
>
> mcz84@yahoo.com
> http://www.angelfire.com/nj/mczsa

3641. Re: Bearcat BC 250 needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:52:22 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815205222.04810.00000867@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 82185

Subject: Bearcat BC 250 needs repair
From: "Charles Patterson" charles@telephonesecurity.com
Date: 08/14/2000 9:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <8naepigat1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

I have an old Bearcat BC 250.
The display only shows a single red dot in the lower right and doesn't
receive anything.
I already replaced one power transistor on the display circuit board but
that isn't enough to get it working.

Is there a source for schematics for this old scanner?
(any other thoughts or advice appreciated).

thanks
Charles
N2AXO

Parts are no longer available for this scanner to repair it..namely the ICs. I
believe there is one that causes this to happen that is long out of
production.Think your radio might be beyond help at this point

3642. Re: Pro-2026 Memory retention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:56:05 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815205605.04810.00000868@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 82186

Subject: Pro-2026 Memory retention
From: Tony Cellini drksky@home.com
Date: 08/14/2000 1:09 AM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <39977F83.881AFE16@home.com>

Can anyone point me in the direction for detailed instruction to install
a memory retention battery for the 2026?

Thanks...

Connect the MEMORY RETENTION LEAD (the one that requires CONSTANT 12 volts to
it) of the 2026 to the POS. side of the 9 volt battery, and ground the radio's
metal cabinet to the NEG. side of the battery. Mount the battery inside the
scanner...VOILA ! (use a LITHIUM 9v battery for best results)

3643. Re: Scanners with wx alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:58:45 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815205845.04810.00000869@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 82187

Subject: Scanners with wx alert
From: "Jason" greatmustachio@yahoo.com
Date: 08/12/2000 11:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <Ncol5.6163$pu4.462244@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

------=_NextPart_000_0092_01C004B1.43A3BAA0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Do most of these scanners with weather alert have a dedicated separate =
receiver in them, or do you have to tie up the whole box when you wish =
to monitor during severe wx?

Jason

When the weather ALERT feature is activated, it "ties up" the entire scanner.
You can scan OR have the ALERT feature but not both. BUMMER !)<:

3644. Re: AOR AR7000B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 00:59:52 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815205952.04810.00000870@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 82188

Subject: AOR AR7000B
From: rfcommsys@aol.com (RFCOMMSYS)
Date: 08/12/2000 7:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20000812195601.16671.00000332@ng-fx1.aol.com>

Is it a good radio? Is it better as a shortwave receiver or as a scanner? Is it easy to operate, or does it have the usual dreadful AOR ergonomics? What about the spectrum display and the display in general? All comments welcome, thanks.

This radio is a piece of junk. I have NEVER seen one single good thing said about it. MANY folks advise to steer clear of it !!!!!

3645. Re: r7000 dispay goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 01:03:55 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815210355.04810.00000871@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 82189

Subject: r7000 dispay goes away
From: wb6shi@arrl.net (optiscan)
Date: 08/10/2000 9:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <39934c10.46168594@news.directcon.net>

I have an old r7000 and even after the memory battery was changed the freq and channel number goes away if it has been off for a while. The radio seems to function ok otherwise. Reloaded the memory via cat and that also worked fine. I suspect a cap somewhere in the dispay section. Has anyone else fixed this problem, and how did you do it?

Norm WB6SHI

Buy the ArtSci "RADIO TECH MODIFICATION BOOK, Vol. A". There is a detailed explanation with parts list on how to fix this problem. Apparently, it is quite common with these radios. Best of Luck 2 U.

3646. Re: AOR AR-1500 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 01:30:20 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <3999eedc$0$62234$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 82190

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>Anyone,
>
>Does anyone here own (or have much knowledge of) the incredible AR-1500
>handheld scanner that was available in the early 1990s? I have a question
>regarding the battery packs and power options for the unit.
>
>Thanks.
>
>EW
>
>

.just don't use it in the vicinity of a TV chan 2 transmitter. It'll overload the second IF and that's all you'll hear. The battery pack uses AAA batteries. I sent mine back and had it replaced with a BC2500 which had to go back for the battery drain problem. In the end I ended up with a great portable scanner, 2 new battery packs that's lasted to this day. I've never regretted not having the AR1500 and it's problems, I think I had it all of 2 weeks. enjoy.

Fred

3647. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 01:39:45 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <3999f111$0$62234$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 82191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 20:05:15 -0500, elliotta
> <cS0m5.129$M%6.1093@newsfeed.slurp.net> wrote:
>
>>Hello Glenn. You and other taxpayers in your state, county, and city should
>>band together to keep any police department from going digital. This may
>>sound radical but anytime you cannot monitor your police department you are
>>one step closer to a dictator ship. That new digital system will require the
>>scanner producers to come up with cheap, but good quality, receivers for the
>>listening public. Fight It. N9IPA
>
>Sorry guy, but all communications are going digital over the next 10 years.
>It will then be very easy to use encryption so no one can hear the
>transmissions. It is a fact of life and we will just have to learn to live
>without listening to public service, especially police channels.
>
>

I remember the same BS back in the 80's when they started trunking the public service groups.....there are at least three manufacturers now making trunktrackers that allow monitoring of these "unmonitorable communications", for that matter all the "sensitive" communications are done by the cops pulling over and using the good old "telephone" rather than the radio....as for encryption, well even the clear transmissions break up in areas where they would like to scramble....didja ever notice an analog transmission will fade before it quits but a digital transmision doesn't give you ANY notice before it quits....yeah that'll make 'em real happy in emergencies......enjoy....

Fred

3648. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 01:54:13 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <9vmm5.2377$dG.97936@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82192

"Martin Lambert" <martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Gzjm5.137402$1h3.2564826@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Who told you that about canadian scanner laws ?
>
> Here in Canada, you can have a scanner and even listen to cellulars as
> opposed in the states. The only thing you can't do, is repeat what you
heard
> on air. Like if your neighbor call the police to complain about you, you
> should not use it after against him. Just act as if you didn't hear
nothing.

Wrong on both counts. You cannot possess a digital scanner (which doesn't
exist yet
anyway) without a permit in Canada.

Here is a direct quote from RSS-135 (provisional):

1.1 This document sets out standards for digital scanner receivers. Digital
scanner receivers require a licence. For information regarding the licensing
policy applicable to these devices, please contact Industry Canada, Manager
(DOS-PA), 300 Slater Street, Ottawa, K1A 0C8. Tel.: (613) 990-4747; Fax.:
(613) 952-9871.

For the REAL [il]legal status of cellular phone interception in Canada, look
at Chapter 46 of your Criminal Code, especially Part VI (Sections 183 et
seq).

-Dave, NF2G

3649. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 01:58:40 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-BA4D3D.21592715082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82193

In article <Gzjm5.137402$1h3.2564826@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Martin Lambert" <martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote:

> Who told you that about canadian scanner laws ?
> Here in Canada, you can have a scanner and even listen to cellulars as
> opposed in the states. ...

While it is true that Canada's scanner laws are more relaxed than in the U.S., it does not mean that digital scanners are allowed in Canada. In fact, the original poster is correct: user licensing will be required. I recommend you have a look at IC's Web site on spectrum management, as document RSS-135 "Digital Scanner Receivers" clearly outlines the government's proposed regulations should such a device become available.

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01065e.html

-A

3650. Re: Edachs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 02:08:21 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <pImm5.81730$6y5.54840951@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82194

you mean Edacs. Get a Radio Shack or a Uniden trunk tracker scanner.

> On Thu, 10 Aug 2000 08:08:21 GMT, "Øyvind Melbye Nunez" <oyvind.nunez@ca.com> wrote in message news:8nc9m2qpc1@news.ost.eltele.no...
> Here in Norway, the old channels the poilice used, is no suddenly became
> very quite. I read that the police are planning to start using the digital
> Edachs system (Motorola, I think).
>
> Does anyone no, if there is a way around this problem? What type of scanner
> should I use now?
>
>
> Øyvind
>
>

3651. Re: ICOM IC-R75 with DSP [SOLD]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 02:21:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3Vmm5.534$QW4.15790@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 82195

The ICOM IC-R75 has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:M1im5.425$QW4.7305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM IC-R75 communications receiver, with Icom's optional DSP (digital
> signal processor) installed!
>
> "Like New" condition, complete with original box, manual.
>
> $500.00 firm. Includes shipping, insurance, and double boxing, within the
> U.S. Money order, or PayPal accepted.

3652. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory is a fool.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 02:25:01 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <1Ymm5.2239$Ur3.13656@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82196

I have posted MANY on-topic posts.

"corky mackey" <no@mail> wrote
> Let's not revisit your failed attempts to prove on-topic posts. It's been
> going on for years and you've NEVER been able to provide proof of an
on-topic

3653. Re: MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 02:26:54 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <OZmm5.2255$Ur3.11173@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How do you figure I am going to get sued.

<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote
> KS0F you are looking to get sued. Stop your incessant defamation of
> character before you find yourself looking for an attorney! And take it
off

3654. canada weather freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 02:46:29 UTC
From: dptpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000815224629.11459.00000201@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 82099

anyone know weather freq. s for canada thanks

3655. Need Carnival Cruise Freqs. "Please Help!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 03:18:41 UTC
From: reils1@home.com
Message-ID: <399a0499.7255516@news>
Source record: 82100

Any frequency would be greatly appreciated.

ManyThanks

Tom

3656. Re: canada weather freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 03:22:14 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <Jmedica@mail.listening-post.net>
Message-ID: <399A0911.EA9A4A23@mail.listening-post.net>
Source record: 82101

DAVE wrote:

> anyone know weather freq. s for canada thanks

162.4000

Regards.
John Medica - System administrator Listening-Post

3657. Re: Frequency of Dog Collar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 03:30:53 UTC
From: reebok <reebok@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NVnm5.7348$k4.37712@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82103

hahaha, I didn't even think of that.

--
John
Webmaster
www.FileFlash.com

AOL: reebok657
ICQ: 13738184

"Me" <me@here.org> wrote in message
news:E33075507B075A09.42045F5CE1A767BD.03C42932BB89A028@lp.airnews.net...
> Oh don't even do it!
>
> "Steve Ellington" <N4LQ@igLou.com> wrote in message
news:3999f0ee$1_2@news.iglou.com...
> > Does anyone know the frequency of other information of invisible fences
for
> > dogs?
>
>

3658. FA: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 03:41:57 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <94om5.81767$6y5.54979434@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 82102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Here's a great portable scanner I've got for sale, please check it out on
Ebay if you're interested at the following URL:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874

Thanks a lot, happy bidding!
Ryan

3659. Re: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 03:54:21 UTC
From: BD <bodu@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <9fom5.581$8p2.114792@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 82104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Is one posting not enough?...

"TW" <tandb80@home.com> wrote in message
news:94om5.81767$6y5.54979434@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> Here's a great portable scanner I've got for sale, please check it out on
> Ebay if you're interested at the following URL:

3660. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:16:27 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vAom5.2768$dG.110569@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82105

I think we should have the right to listen to ANY radio signal we can pull in. We should oppose any encrypting from law enforcement agencies! We should have the right to monitor them. They shouldn't have any secrets. There is no need to encrypt police calls. If the purpose of it is to keep us honest, then how will we keep them honest?? Instead of just giving up we should rally to gether and fight any such legislations. Our first major set back was when the government outlawed cellular reception. We just sat back and accepted it, and even now justify it. We live in a very paranoid country. No other country bans or restricts radio waves as much as our country does. What are we affraid of? Digital could very well mean the death of scanners. Not that we couldn't make scanners to receive digital, that's the easy part. The difficuilt part would be to decode the encryption which would be next to impossible, not to mention illegal any way. No doubt law enforcement agencies, and government agencies would eventually switch to it. Then we would have to settle for fire and ambulance calls, and of course there is mall security and fast food drive thrus. There is a shred of hope if we can fight legislation for encyption. We have good reason to do so. We need to let our representives and senators know how we feel, and try to rally enough supporters. This I'm affraid is our only hope. Otherwise we may all have to settle to listen to, "would you like fries with your hamberger" on our scanners.

BJ <nospam@nospam.not> wrote in message
news:3obm5.1504$R34.184254@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> Most services have plans for switching to digital communications whenever
> funds allow for various reasons (one of which is individuals being able to
> monitor and sometimes even interfere with their official frequencies).
>
> The advent of trunking brought cries of doom and 'the end of scanning as
we
> know it' from many people because everyone just 'KNEW' that to build a
> trunking scanner for the public was ludicrous and would be cost
prohibitive.
> Well, technology kept pace and we're perfectly capable of scanning trunked
> signals...
>
> Now that we're dealing with digital, does anyone think Uniden, GRC, or
> whoever will bring out a scanner capable of tracking and decoding the
> digital signals?
>
> Like they say - "If man can build the trap - another man can figure out a
> way around it"...
>
> B.J.

>
>

3661. Re: whats the deal with cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:23:56 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wHom5.2793$dG.110612@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82106

<Yizeguy@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18363-39977A2F-127@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> why do people still care about listening to cellular?

Why do you care what other people want to listen to??????

> so whats the big deal?

EXACTLY!!!

3662. Re: PRO-92A vs PRO-92...the bottom line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:31:04 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cOom5.2797$dG.111770@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82107

On a finally note, unless you live in a heavy populated trunk city, you may
want to consider the pro 92 for it's large alpha tag screen. It's nice to
organise your channels by bank names, and frequency names.
Jim

<Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39989be6.2953882@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Thanks for the info. I hadn't heard the bit about the new version
> using the control channel. I agree, Uniden still seems to have the
> best trunk scanner products. I just wish they'd give us those nifty
> features like CTCSS/DCS and alphatags in their trunk trackers. Guess
> we gotta wait for the BC-780 and, hopefully, a handheld equivalent. I
> do like my PRO-92A for conventional use. That's really what I bought
> to do, so I'm not all that disappointed. It's a very good conventional
> scanner and is probably the best self-contained scanner for finding
> unknown CTCSS tones. The only rig better that I've seen in this
> respect is one of the Optoelectronics interfaces with Probe software.
> The 92 detects and displays tone frequencies in very nearly realtime.
> The few other scanners (and ham radios) that can search for tones just
> hunt through the tone list at a very slow pace. The AR-8200 isn't bad,
> but is still much slower than the PRO-92. Oh well, maybe the PRO-92Z
> Mk99X will be the perfect scanner....yeah right. Hope does spring
> eternal...
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2000 11:16:28 -0400, "bob dolson" <bdolson@sprynet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><Kwon2m@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >news:39973f21.3707845@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Has anyone heard exactly what the difference between a PRO-92A and a
> >> PRO-92 is SUPPOSED to be? Any word from the Shack as to what the new
> >> firmware really does for us? I bought a 92A recently and from what I
> >> can see, it does not have the trunk ID flicker problem reported in the
> >> 92, but seems to have trouble detecting the ID from time to time. I'm
> >> just curious what the real difference is supposed to be.
> >>
> >> John
> >
> >The plain 92 uses sub-audible tones to figure out the trunking on
Motorola
> >systems. Due to various reasons, this causes it to leave some

conversations
> >before the actual conversation is done. The 92A uses the control channel
> >instead of sub-audible tones to control it's trunk tracking. This is
> >normally the better way to do it, but the 92A (and the mobile version
2067,
> >both of which have the same firmware) completely misses some
conversations
> >for some reason (the problem you wrote about). So they still have work to
do
> >in my humble opinion.
> >
> >I tried two different 92's, and a 2067 (after they went on sale).
Returned
> >all of them and got a BC245, which is much more satisfactory, even with
it's
> >5 second trunk delay.
>

3663. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:31:58 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nd5fu$c1t$13@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 82108

Hotwater67 wrote:
:
: Hi All,
: I'm going to be flying to New Orleans soon and wanted to take my scanner
: with me to use in the airports and maybe on the plane.
:
: My questions are:
:
: 1) Will security let me through with a scanner?.
: 2) Will I be allowed to use it on the plane?

You will probably have to turn the scanner on at the checkpoint, to
prove that it works. I don't really know what this accomplishes, but
that is the usual practice.

Many airlines allow you to use the scanner when you are at cruising
altitude, but require that they be turned off for takeoffs and landings.
Some still prohibit all electronic devices.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3664. Re: United Airlines Motorola Trunked System at Oakland International Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:37:42 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nd5qm$c1t$14@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 82117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner Robert H. Eisner <rheisner@pacbell.net> wrote:

: FCC Lists the callsign WPMV365 and specifies the following frequencies

: 460/465.6750 MHz
: 460/465.7000 MHz Control channel heard on Saturday
: 460/465.7750 MHz Control channel heard on Friday
: 460/465.8000 MHz Control channel heard on Sunday (today)
: 460/465.8750 MHz

I am surprised that 8750 was not the control channel on Sunday. It may be shut down. The normal practice with Motorola trunking is to use all regular channels (except for the lowest frequency) in rotation for control.

The reason the lowest is not used is that is where the required periodic identifier is transmitted. As that would interrupt the controller, it is simply left out of the rotation.

: Licensee is United Airlines.
: Syatem ID: 742b.

: I have no idea what the current Base and Offset are for this system.

On 900 MHz, the offset is 29 or 30 MHz. I don't know about 460.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3665. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:38:55 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nd5svmqo0@63.162.247.161>
Source record: 82110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the
> attention.
>
> Several months ago, I had been ingoring his repeated attempts at causing
> trouble &
> harassing me. So then he did some junior detective work & figured out where
> my semi-retired elderly father worked & started to make harassing phone
> calls
> to my father's work place.
>

John, you are caught in lies AGAIN! I stayed out of this until
YOU started in accusing me of things. YOU started the crap.

Insofar as the comments about your father, post proof of this
incident, in the form of the name, badge number, and report
number of the officer you allegedly filed a complaint with,
or be found a liar by default.

Oh, and yes, we will verify, as you will be lying if you
post the above.

3666. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:41:03 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nd60vmqo1@63.162.247.161>
Source record: 82109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > So, you agree that you have been asked to leave FIDO. Good.
>
> No, I said I was never asked to leave fido as long as I was there.
>
> Get it thru your thick head you

John, please do not use profanity on the newsgroup. You should
be ashamed.

Insofar as your above statement, yes, I asked you to leave FIDO
while you were still active on the SCANRADIO echo, and even if
I did not, your LIE about not being asked to leave FIDO is shown
to be untrue. You HAVE been asked to leave FIDO.

3667. Re: Reality Check on Orange County Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:44:34 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nd67i$c1t$15@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 82116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

In rec.radio.scanner Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:

: - here we are, in the 21st century, with cellphones and pagers on everyone's
: hip, but according to an OC Communications person, "any indoor coverage is a
: bonus" with the new radio system because the 1970's UHF system was accepted
: with a "mobile on the street" coverage guarantee. (I don't understand the
: logic of that. And is there no longer even "mobile n the street" coverage"
: on the UHF system?)

Neither cell phones or pagers are guaranteed to work everywhere - most
certainly not indoors.

: Is this PROGRESS? Give me - or rather, give the users a break! I bet a
: small fraction of that $80 million could bring the existing UHF system
: absolutely up-to-date. If it works right to begin with, who needs stuff
: like "fail-soft" and back-up compuetrs, and all the other fancy bells and
: whistles that Motorola and their salespersons makes a fortune on with every
: one of these "systems" they sell.

Was the old system a trunking system? Did it have "voting" capabilities?
Does the new system replace a bunch of different systems, all on different
frequencies?

Failsoft guarantees that at least communication will be possible, if the
controller fails. All the trunking system manufacturers have a version of
this feature as standard.

Passive antenna systems will often produce the indoor coverage necessary.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3668. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:44:37 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <V_om5.2461$Ur3.15985@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Johnny, you forget, I know. Is the "University" that you claim within
> 100, no, 200, no, even 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved
SNIP

Wrong again Blake!! First, I attended all classes locally. Second, with
the way
you have repeatedly lied in the past, I certainly would NOT have given you
enough data to be able to do anything. Remember, that was when my father
had reported your harassment to law enforcement, so I took VERY COMPLETE
notes of what was said & happened.

3669. more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:46:04 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

UUnet calling from Tacoma.

Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
told
> us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told us

3670. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:47:55 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <%1pm5.158519$Gh.2607026@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 82113

Sorry, sorry, I wasn't talking about digital scanners but analog's one

"Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message
news:39c2cf38.1461759148@nntp.cts.com...
> On Tue, 15 Aug 2000 22:34:14 GMT, "Martin Lambert"
> <martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> >[...]
> >Too bad my BC245 can't listen to cellular bands but anyway, it would be
> >difficult to follow a conversation as the technology is cells.
> >
> >The man walked on the moon, so I should be able to create a scanner for
> >digital communications devices.
>
> Martin... ever hear of encryption?
>
> A big part of the motivation for going digital is to be able to easily
> encrypt the data (whether that data represents voice or MDT traffic,
> doesn't matter).
>
> Sure, you can build a scanner that will pick up the transmissions and even
> demodulate them to a digital data stream. But if there's nothing in the
> data stream to give your scanner the complete decryption key (and there
> won't be, in any reasonable system), the result will be garbage.
>
> --- jeh
>
>

3671. Re: cell phone NO DANGER to planes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:50:37 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nd6it$c1t$16@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 82114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In rec.radio.scanner M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

: All I can say is that radio transmissions (by police and fire personnel) are
: forbidden in our ER, etc. It may be that the hospital personnel are briefed
: on areas where they can and can not use their radios. Proximity to certain
: areas such as those mentioned above may be the determining factor. The
: staff can be taught where it is safe to use the radios whereas outsiders
: might not realize they are in close proximity to sensitive equipment, hence
: the blanket ban. This is just an educated guess, I don't know for sure. I
: do have some friends on the maint. crew at the hospital, if I can find
: anything out I'll post it.

It is unlikely that any radio or cellphone would interfere with the
equipment, unless within a few feet.

Rather than a blanket ban, thorough testing should be performed. It is not
hard to do, it just takes a while.

I did such testing, when the company I work for installed a Trunking system,
to make sure the handhelds did not interfere with computer equipment or any
of the various sensors.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3672. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 04:53:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nd6oc$c1t$17@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 82115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote:

: Hello Glenn. You and other taxpayers in your state, county, and city should
: band together to keep any police department from going digital. This may
: sound radical but anytime you cannot monitor your police department you are
: one step closer to a dictator ship. That new digital system will require the
: scanner producers to come up with cheap, but good quality, receivers for the
: listening public. Fight It. N9IPA

Lots of luck. With all the crying about lack of bandwidth, digital systems
are inevitable. You can get about 5 digital channels in the space occupied
by two analog channels.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3673. Re: Richard Kendrick-N7NT- Champion Liar & FOOL of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 05:13:12 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <lppm5.2497$Ur3.17937@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote

> To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:
> First: Not only is the emperor wearing no clothes, but he's
SNIP

Why don't you tell Larry YOURSELF?? Are you AFRAID?

> You denied patronizing child prostitutes. You lied.
>
> Subject: Re: Credentials
> From: John Mackey KA0SSF <nowhere@anyplace.net>
> Date: 1996/10/10
>
> BILL WATTS wrote:
> > Woweee! Ten whole dollars! You must be pretty damned sure
> > of yourself to risk half a day's pay.
>
> Naw, hell that's all I paid to buy your daughter for the weekend.

This does not mention "prostitutes" ANYWHERE", so you fail AGAIN
to provide ANY proof of your accusation!

> You claim to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL
> and other technical publications. You lied.
>
> Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
> Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
> From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
> Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
SNIP

Richard - The above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I
said
or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your
accusation!

> You claimed you've never been indentified as known Internet abuser.
> You lied.

Your messages from "Joe Greco" are forges, YOU are proven a liar!

> You claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet addresses.
> You lied.
>
> Date: Wed, 04 Mar 1998 21:43:11 -0700

> From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
> Subject: Re: IWSTIRN REAL IDENITY

Once again, the above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I said
or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your accusation!

> You claimed you were not the most proflic poster of off topic messages.
SNIP
> This is the quantity of postings broke down on a month by month basis
> for each of the people named above. If a message was cross-posted to
> both qroups, it was only counted once.
>
> Date | Mackey | Cheek | Kendrick | Bowers | Vetterle | allsafe | K4CC
> ---
SNIP
> ---
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341
> ---
> AVG/DAY| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.93
> ---
> AVG/ADJ| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 3.72

By looking at what YOU POSTED, Cheek ranked considerably
higher in both "TOTALS" and "AVG". Therefore, you have proven
that I was NOT the most "prolific" poster since I was beaten out
by Cheek according to the information you posted. Thank you for your support!

> You claimed WD-40 was unsuitable in an electronic application. You lied.

Correct, & I still state the same. What is your point?

> You claimed Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa. You lied.

WRONG! I stated that Cheek was NOT a member of Mensa, I did not say
that he had never been a member.

3674. Re: Sportscat SC150b any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 05:18:31 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <399A23C0.EB5A8274@earthlink.net>
Source record: 82119

I have been using my Sportcat 150b for a year and a half and have had no problems with it at all. I purchased a refurbished model from Uniden for $120.00 http://www.uniden.com but I think there going for about $179 now.

It has some intermod problems and does not get military air band 216-400 Mhz (needed for airshows) but is great for just about all other emergency and ham bands.

If you can still get them, I would suggest the Sony ICF-SC1 or the PC model. I got my for $99. I has continuous coverage from 25 to 1.3 Ghz and 300 channels. It only takes 4 AA batteries, however, I have been using rechargable NiMh's and they work fine.

I would consider first what you want to listen to before buying the Sportcat. If you have Trunks in your area you'll be missing a lot. BTW Uniden is selling it's BC245XLT 300ch Trunker for 168.00.

http://www.uniden.com/docs/store/itemlist.cfm?cattype=prd

It's referbished and back ordered but I would get one at that price. Look around you may be able to get it cheaper...

Good Luck.

Ken
Los Angeles CA

snow wrote:

> Anyone got an opinion on the Bearcat Sportscat SC150b. Any good??
> It's at my local Walmart for $149.
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3675. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 05:24:57 UTC
From: stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <JApm5.2074$juZ4.1376701@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82120

> Who told you that about Canadian scanner laws ?

Besides being in the legal field, I am also a licensed HAM operator.
>
> Here in Canada, you can have a scanner and even listen to cellars as
> opposed in the states. The only thing you can't do, is repeat what you
heard
> on air. Like if your neighbor call the police to complain about you,
> should not use it after against him. Just act as if you didn't hear
nothing.

RE Cell phone

Chapter 46 of the Criminal Code
Section 184.1

>
> No good reasons needed to buy one. As opposed, a towing business doesn't
> have the rights to have one in the truck because it 's not fair for
> competitors. It's the only restriction I know.
>
> There's no certification for scanner here, only for Ham radios.

RSS-135 , Issue 1
Digital Scanner Recievers
1.0 scope
1.1 Digital Scanner Recievers require a license and a Technical acceptance
certificate in accordance to the radiocommunication act
>

stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Vwdm5.1409$juZ4.12714215@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca...
> Hi,
>
> I've talked with members of Uniden and they said they WILL NOT be
developing
> a digital capable scanner. They said that it was due to the way the laws
> relate to scanning in the States. (If its like the Canadian scanner laws -
> they are considered illegal to own or operate with out a special
> certification and a DANG good reason to own one.)
>
>
> >
> > Now that we're dealing with digital, does anyone think Uniden, GRC, or
> > whoever will bring out a scanner capable of tracking and decoding the
> > digital signals?

> >
> > Like they say - "If man can build the trap - another man can figure out
a
> > way around it"...
> >
> > B.J.
> >
> >
>
>

3676. Re: canada weather freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 05:36:23 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-D8BF3B.01362316082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82121

In article <20000815224629.11459.00000201@ng-mf1.aol.com>,
dptpeters@aol.com (DAVE) wrote:

> anyone know weather freq. s for canada thanks

If I recall correctly, Environment Canada uses (mostly, if not exactly)
the same frequencies as the US service. Try the US frequencies and
you'll probably find it:

162.550
162.400
162.475
162.425
162.450
162.500
162.525

There should also be some marine weather (where applicable!) on 161.650
or 161.775.

-A

3677. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 05:56:22 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a2qm5.16235$OG2.88549@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 82122

In the USA, yes, it's illegal. Other countries, other laws.

"No User" <no.user@anon.xg.nu> wrote in message
news:a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu...
> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about
cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its
illegal.

3678. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 06:53:15 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-8C0FA7.02540216082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82123

In article <JApm5.2074$juZ4.1376701@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>, "stevw1"
<ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote:
> RE Cell phone
> Chapter 46 of the Criminal Code
> Section 184.1

Has anyone been prosecuted under this section for using a scanner to
listen to cellular phones who did not exploit what they'd heard somehow?
(ie Not keeping it to yourself - I will leave the question of why the
police would be after you if you had kept it to yourself for another
day...)

Also, there were some government proceedings back in the 1980s on the
question of whether cellular phones constituted "private communications"
-- which is what S184.1 deals with. IIRC, the decision made then was
that, in fact, cellular phones were not considered private. This may
have changed since, however.

-A

3679. Re: FS: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-16 17:04:13 UTC
From: BD <bodu@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <FPzm5.202$VA5.10293@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 82006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

And just what do you get out of 'cellular monitoring'?
You only hear one side of the conversation, and that's only while it stays in the same 'cell'...
Anyway.. Because of the lower prices for digital phones/plans nowdays, I've no idea why people continue to let you people listen in by using analog..
Btw, I don't think it should be illegal to listen (which's probably what you assumed after reading the above)... If a person's going to transmit uncoded, they should expect to be heard.

"Steven G. Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net> wrote in message news:399AA1FA.5D29C9D0@magicnet.net...
> The Pro43 is better for cellular monitoring as it has 30khz steps, the 200XLT
> only has 25khz steps. I know because I have both of them.

3680. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <1bNm5.5153$Ur3.48918@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81897

You're not doing anything with your life so can I use yours?

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Get a life you
SNIP

3681. Re: Cory Found A LIAR By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ybNm5.5155$Ur3.48591@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He didn't ask for your help.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> You forgot a couple of words.. you're welcome.

3682. Re: Paging Cory the bonehead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <8cNm5.5159$Ur3.48969@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81899

You must be talking to yourself again.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> You're welcome bonehead.

3683. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <zmNm5.5161$Ur3.49097@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

Kendirck always lies & causes trouble.

"JCHiggins" <allo@megabits.com> wrote
> Kendrick, didn't you say TWO DAYS AGO that you were going to cease
> contributing to this?
>
> YES YOU DID.
>
> WHAT HAPPENED?
>
> DID YOU LIE?

3684. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8ngbsv$ii5$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 81901

davekdo@aol.com (Davekdo) wrote:
> You can listen to cordless phone calls, but you can't devulge the
> content of the call. At least that's the way It was put to me about
> 7 years ago....perhaps it's changed.

"Me" <me@here.org> wrote:
> Last year a new law was made making listening to cordless phones illegal.

The following is a re-post of a short disertation on the various laws
and their effect.

The laws of the United States, passed by Congress, are codified as the
United States Code (USC). It is divided into titles covering various subjects.
Title 18 includes the criminal laws and penalties; Title 47 covers
telecommunications. A law can be refered to by its original name (i.e. "The
Communication Act of 1934") or its entry in the USC. A specific section
would be refered to by title/USC/part/section, i.e. 47 USC 605.
To carry out the law, the various government agencies create regulations,
which are codified as the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is likewise
divided into titles, with the FCC's rules in 47 CFR.

18 USC 119 bars the disclosure of any electronic communications to
which you are not a party (with certain legal exceptions), and sets the
penalties. 47 USC 605 (the Communications Act of 1934) also bans the
disclosure or use of third-party communications. With minor changes, this
has been the law for 60 years.

In 1986 Congress passed the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (the
ECPA), which added definitions to the law that banned listening to cellular
telephones. It also banned the monitoring of broadcast auxiliary, SCA and
scrambled signals.

In 1992 Congress passed the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution
Act (TDDRA), which instructed the FCC to ban the manufacture or import of
scanners capable of receiving cellular. In accordance with this law, the FCC
modified 47 CFR Parts 2 & 15, effective 26 April 1993. Note that this does
not affect the sale of such scanners, so long as they were made or imported
before the cutoff date. It also does not bar individuals from modifying
their receivers.

On 25 Oct 94, the Digital Telephony act was signed. Buried in one of the
additional sections was a change to 18 USC 2510 & 2511 that added cordless
phones to the original ECPA ban on receiving cellular.

In short:
It is illegal to manufacture or import cellular-capable scanners.
It is illegal to listen to cellular (and cordless) transmissions.

It is illegal to divulge any communication to which you are not a party.

3685. Re: BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <399bb39f.57881213@news.uia.net>
Source record: 81902

Unless the cab company is REALLY REALLY BIG, the control channel
probably doesn't originate from their garage. What type antenna are
you using?

On 16 Aug 2000 19:39:42 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:

>I live near a taxi cab garage and their trunking data signal seems to bleed
>all over the UHF frequencies on my scanner every once in a while,

3686. Wacars ver. 0.7 dde sever help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morten <cft3737@vip.cybercity.dk>
Message-ID: <8ngg6s$2js2$1@news.cybercity.dk>
Source record: 81903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello

who can help mee, i just installed Wacars 0,7 and i get data in the window, but i cant start the dde server, what did i get wrong `?? i added the wacars ini file

system

Morten

Denmark

3687. Re: BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F934F92Ajbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81904

petrow@my-deja.com wrote in <8nfc6i$6ng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>Try attenuating the signal from the antenna. Use the minimum
>attenuation that prevents overload. A CATV splitter should give about
>3dB of attenuation. Radio Shack sells a 6 dB attenuator (15-1257 or 15-
>1258) as well as a variable (15-578). Another possibility is to use a
>low pass filter to kill 800 MHz completely, but I do not know who sells
>such a device.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>

But we're talking about a 400 MHz trunk here (very rare). What do you
recommend for that?

3688. Re: BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ngklviks1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81905

> But we're talking about a 400 MHz trunk here (very rare). What do you
> recommend for that?

In that case forget the 800 MHz filter, but do try the attenuators.
They will reduce the signal on all frequencies and hopefully will kill
the overload while leaving enough signal to monitor what you want.

Depending on the location/direction of the undesirable signal vs. the
ones you want to hear, a directional antenna may help. That is a bit
expensive to try unless you happen to have a UHF yagi lying around.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3689. Another use for Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F934E218jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81906

I know this radio isn't available for purchase in the States just yet, but I just discovered another cool app for it. Major League Baseball teams have begun putting wireless cameras on the catcher's masks so that you can see the batting and fielding from the catcher's perspective. With two R3s, you could see your TV station's game coverage and the "catcher cam" simultaneously.

3690. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com
Message-ID: <8nglaejiq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <0n6lpskasc1cjjd4uef38flrm9os0f24pi@4ax.com>,
frussle@erols.com wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Aug 2000 13:01:12 GMT, oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com wrote:
>
> >In article <YCrm5.1284$M%6.7672@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> > "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >[snip]
> >> I think I'd much prefer that the hospitals err on the
> >> side of caution.
> >[snip]
> >
> > Realizing of course that to "err on the side of caution"
> >is to still make a mistake.
>
> First, an error is not a mistake. Mistakes are a subset of errors
> that come about as a direct result of a human decision. However, even
> if it were a "mistake" as you say, it is still the less costly
> alternative to a "mistake" made in favor of your side of the argument.

I don't have a "side". I was commenting upon their statements.

> >> The airlines, the FAA, and the hospitals have enacted
> >> regulations that err on the side of caution, that's fine with me.
> >[snip]
> >
> > Well, so you're saying that making a mistake is okay with you.
>
> You haven't done a serious risk/benefit analysis before, have you?

Tons of them actually.

> >> Is that cellphone or radio call you're making really that
> >> important?
> >[snip]
> >
> > Well, but you really don't know do you? So wouldn't you
> >want to "err on the side of caution"?
>
> And it would seem that you don't understand the concept.

Actually, I understand it very well. You seemed to have
missed what I was trying to say.

> It's hard to
> imagine a scenario where someone would need to stand in exactly the

> place where radios and cell phone use is prohibited and say something
> of such immediate importance that it couldn't possibly wait for them
> to step outside.

And one of the hard parts about doing risk/benefit analysis
in the manner you are suggesting is that it requires you to
know in advance ALL of the reasons for a particular activity.
If you think about the expression "hard to imagine" it implies
a certian possibility.

> The potential cost is very high. Is the benefit of instantaneous
> communications worth a life or death risk?

Under what circumstances? This is the point. "Err on
the side of caution" basically implies that one knows all
the potential outcomes. This is rare. Frequently, folks
who claim to be doing such, are really only referring to
certian scenarios. There is something known as "unintended
consequences". If something is actually dangerous then
avoiding the activity will reduce risk. But if it is
only presumed to be dangerous, avoiding it will not
actually reduce risk, and, in fact, can therefore
increase actual risk in certian situations. Just
because you don't know in advance what those risks
are doesn't change that. In fact, not knowing can
actually increase the risk exposure.

> Frankly, if this post is
> typical of your risk/benefit analysis, you aren't qualified to make a
> decision like that.

Try going back and reading what I actually wrote, as oppose
to what you read.

"Erring on the side of caution" is essentially an acceptance
of ignorance. You don't know actual outcomes so you assume that
because a particular approach is "safe" in a known set of
circumstances it will be safe in all. This is rarely true.
It's like "rules of thumb". They have a broad application
but if you don't know the limits or exceptions of the rule,
they are as capable of harming you as they are helping you.
Doctors have been handing out antibiotics for decades to
"just be on the safe side" and now we know what has been happening
is that we have been developing antibiotic resistant infections.
Many of those perscriptions were unnecessary or inappropriate,
but I'm sure they felt they were "erring on the side of caution".
The actual result though was that their actions were ultimately
quite deadly.

If these phones are truly a risk to the flying public we
should know how and how much and take direct steps to address
the known dangers. "Erring on the side of caution" is

laziness and presumes knowledge which doesn't really exist.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3691. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ngmjc$3d0$0@63.162.247.30>
Source record: 81908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> For what?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, we are still waiting....

John, I realize following a thread is difficult for you, it explains your being a blithering idiot.

But in the message you replied to, it clearly stated what we were waiting on. Have you considered remedial help with your reading?

We are waiting on the name, badge number, report number, of the officer that you supposedly filed a report with on me for my alleged phone call.

You have been asked for this time and time again, and you have been proven a liar by default for not providing this information.

3692. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ngmls$3d0$1@63.162.247.30>
Source record: 81909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake,
> First - I have never lied in my adult life.
> Second - I did not mention your name, you decided to jump in & cause trouble
> all on your own. I did nothing to entice you.
>
Two lies of yours in one message. You have lied, and you did start in
with my name. Proven fact, just look at the thread. Ya friggin loon
cannot even remember what you have just posted!

3693. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ngmsb$3d0$2@63.162.247.30>
Source record: 81910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Answer the question. Was the "university" that you claim
> > withing 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved your diploma?
>
> Yes it was.

John, you are caught in yet another lie. The university you claim
is overseas, and you damn well know that you are caught again, as
it IS over 2k miles away.

3694. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ngmu9$3d0$3@63.162.247.30>
Source record: 81911

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Cory - This is a respectable NG, please take your X-rated
> comments elsewhere. They are NOT welcome here.
>

How dare you write this, after sending profanity to me. You two faced
loon!

3695. Re: Nothing ever changes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Pumpkin Man Commeth <dontendit9@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IbRm5.3822$2l4.94092@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81912
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
news:399AFC55.31097840@home.com...
> Well, its been substantially more than a year since last I was on the net
> (in any real capacity) and it seems all the old arguements are
> still going on.

This is to be completely expected Eric! R.H. has cleaned up all
of the ham radio cesspools (14.313/3894.5/3.853/3.865 etc etc..)
and there is *nowhere else* for these obcessive compulsive ham radio
jammers to fly to but the internet newsgroups, seeing that the FCC has
got all of them DF'ed and ID'ed their all now sittin ducks on the radio
transmitter.

> You have the pro-code V. the no-code,
> John Mackey V. Everybody
> complaints about whats happening with several players on
> 75/80 meters...
>
> Its the same old shit day after day... when are you guys going
> to wake up and smell the coffee? no one in the REAL WORLD
> cares much for all this complaining...

But THEY CARE about it Eric. It is their ONLY purpose
reason left for suckin in oxygen. I personally wish that R.H. had
never come on the scene. They'd all be back on 14.313 and
one of several shitstained "spots" on 75 meters. I really do miss
the Mike & Judy show, the OTK wars, Festering-Zit's
pontificating on politics, BigAl in Hickory creative jamming
tapes, Vietnam Dan sayin he's gonna "kill em and eat em all"
the transmission-guy in Benton Harbor what loves pueto-rikans,
and best of all - James R Vining's all-night drunken ramblings
on 3.853 (Now there's a guy who's filthly mouth alone could
knock a moulting buzzard from off of a piled-high shitwagon
at 50 yards)

Trust me Eric: "B.H." [Before Hollingsworth] ham radio ssb
swl'ing was like watching an HBO comedy special. You had
great entertainment for much less than the cost of a cable
TV subscription all freakin day and night.

Now all we gots left is that commensurate flaming asshole
"preacher john" on 14.313 and the right winger yahoos on
3950. Phooey! The arbitron ratings just took a nosedive
into the toilet. Watchin the freakin DNC on C-SPAN is more
entertaining!

>
> Besides, any real information that can be disseminated here is lost
> amongst all the BS flying around... Anyone new to ham radio is going to
look at
> this mess and decide that ham radio is nothing but a bunch of immature,
> childish people with way too much time on their hands and damn little
> sense. Gee. what a poor example to the new, up and coming ham!!!!

WHAT new and up-comming hams? I went to Dayton for the
second time (won't waste my time going a third..) and I never
seen a bigger collection of old, cheap, fat-bellied-gutz-hangin
over-the-belts, McDonalds burger chompin, suspender-stretchin
WTIA in my life. Don't even ask how they dressed.

What self respecting 18-25 yr-olds want's to join up with
such a group of obsolete commensurate losers?

> Oh well, its jerks like the prime examples in this newsgroup that have
> made it tough on everyone else. too bad we can't put y'all on an island
and
> let you duke it out.

You mean like "Survivors" on CBS? Put em all back on 14313! This way
we can hear em piss and moan in real time.

> DE N7ZZT
> Newriver Arizona

73es, 88's an gud luk in th-C-tst OM! .... ..

3696. New Web Page Address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmahl1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000817090223.04241.00000007@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 81913

The East Central Florida Scanner Page has moved.

Old Address: http://members.aol.com/mmahl1/scanner/brevard.htm

New Address: http://home.cfl.rr.com/scanner/brevard.htm

Scanner frequencies for Brevard, Indian River, Volusia and St. Lucie Counties.
Kennedy Space Center, Cape Canaveral Air Station & Patrick Air Force Base
Launch Frequencies.
800 MHz Talkgroup information for Brevard, Indian River, Osceola, Polk,
Seminole and Volusia Counties.
Orlando Area Non-Trunked Scanner Frequencies.

jgerstner@cfl.rr.com

3697. Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hotwater67 <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81914

Hi Again,

Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped right through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take them out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I was carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.

Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".

-- HW

3698. W2GSB/Lighthouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KA2D <ka2d@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <k6Sm5.1266$Ej3.15745@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 81915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

W2GSB/Lighthouse.

As part of Lighthouse/Lightship Activity Weekend, members of the Great South Bay ARC, Islip ARES Group and Suffolk County Radio Club will operate from Fire Island Lighthouse in New York, ref #670 (IOTA NA-026, USIA NY-013-S), Aug 19 and 20 2000, signing W2GSB/Lighthouse.

QSL direct to W2GSB, PO Box 1356, West Babylon, NY 11704. SASE Please. Additional information at www.gsbarc.org/FI2000.htm

DX confirmations can QSL direct or via BURO.

Hope to see you in the log.73
W2GSB/Lighhouse crew

3699. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <PkSm5.485$%A.2577@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81916

Nice one John! Score one for the good guys!

Adam

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:1bNm5.5153$Ur3.48918@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> You're not doing anything with your life so can I use yours?
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Get a life you
> SNIP
>
>

3700. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <zoSm5.490$%A.2848@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81917

Thanks for another example! Immature people always love to use sexual put downs....it makes them fell like a man. And the deeds that you speak of are physically impossible, since I don't know where John lives, and vice versa.

Adam

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com...
> Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
> penis from your mouth and spit.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > Wow...what a witty comeback...touch�! Another prime example of the
maturity
> > level of some people on this NG.
> >
> > Adam
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> > > as you leave the NG?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > >
> > > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari
> > > >
> > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

>

3701. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory is a Moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <HpSm5.491$%A.3057@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81918

Exactly, John. Well said.

Adam

"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right. Doing
what
> is right isn't always popular.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> SNIP
>
>

3702. Yupiteru MVT-9000 earphone output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pj117@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8nh049hf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81919

Does the Yupiteru MVT-9000 have any sort of output jacks so I can plug in earphones or headphones? All the specs I've read about this scanner don't seem to mention this, so I'd like to know before buying it.

Thanks in advance.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3703. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spo0dt9qr5j154@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John
It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is today.
They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for base
band audio from Uncle Bill!
Rick

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:paNm5.5152$Ur3.48848@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Rick -
> It is interesting that in Richard's writing to you he says,
> "I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior of people".
> He continues to say, "I have and will continue to stand up and say so,
> loudly. I urge you to do the same".
>
> You have said so loudly that will not tolorate reckless and destructive
> behavior as demonstrated by Richard Kendrick. Yet he is harassing
> & condemming you and I for standing up for the very things he tells
> us to which HE is committing.
>
>
>
> "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> > I am Rick Larson
> >
> > I'm sure Richard has his killfilters activated like he always claims.
> >
> > This is an example of his perverted style of self righteousness.
> >
> > Rick,
> >
> > > Yes, Corky Kendrick
> > > You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> > > Shut up.
> > > Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.
> >
> > Thank you for your input. However, I do believe that, perhaps, you
> > have misunderstood the situation because you are somewhat unfamiliar
> > with the entire history of Cheek and Mackey. My condemnation of Mackey
> > is not because I was friends with Bill Cheek. It is because of Mackey's
> > deplorable behavior over the last few years and my refusal to tolerate
> > it.
> >
> > I would respond the same if the situation were arranged differently.

> > For instance, suppose Mackey were Cheek and you were Mackey. If you
> > acted the way Mackey has acted towards Cheek (and others), I would be
> > denouncing *your* behavior. In other words, it's NOT who is involved,
> > but the actions of the involved parties.
> >
> > As a comparison, William Shockley, one of the co-inventors of the
> > transistor, was one of the greatest contributors to technology of the
> > twentieth century. But, Shockley was also an ardent racist and wrote
> > extensively on the intellectual inferiority of black people. In the
> > case of Cheek, you cannot deny that he has contributed to the
> > enjoyment of the scanner hobby by many many people through his books
> > and articles in Monitoring Times, and the help he gave people in the
> > scanner newsgroups. You can admire the mans achievements, but you
> > might not admire the man. You cannot say the same about Mackey.
> >
> > I'll bet there have been a few times in your life when you've
> > witnessed a disturbance of one type or another and silently wished
> > someone would speak up, perhaps even to intervene. I am the kind of
> > person that *will* speak up and intervene if necessary. Once again,
> > this is not about Mackey but about Mackey's behavior.
> >
> > In short, I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior
> > of people like Mackey. I have and will continue to stand up and say
> > so, loudly. I urge you to do the same.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Richard
>
>
>

3704. Re: Corky leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spo0hjimr5j85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When will the silent majority vote be counted by someone impartial to the event?

Greg S <gregs932@aol.com> wrote in message news:k9nnpssfpeg729jjiph7tp0es6k2isqmjd@4ax.com...
> Are you in denial that far? More proof of your chromosomal defect.
>
>
> "K S 0 F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >When were YOU appointed to speak for the "people" &
> >by whom?
> >
> >"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> >> No Corky. People think highly of Michael.
> >SNIP
> >
>

3705. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81922

You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Exactly, John. Well said.
>
> Adam
>
> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right. Doing
> what
> > is right isn't always popular.
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > SNIP
> >
> >

3706. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0CD6.F6D28782@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81923

Sure Kolari... we all believe you. Now pull Mackey's undersized penis
from your mouth and spit. And your welcome.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Thanks for another example! Immature people always love to use sexual put
> downs....it makes them fell like a man. And the deeds that you speak of are
> physically impossible, since I don't know where John lives, and vice versa.
>
> Adam
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com...
> > Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
> > penis from your mouth and spit.
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > Wow...what a witty comeback...touchï¿½! Another prime example of the
> maturity
> > > level of some people on this NG.
> > >
> > > Adam
> > >
> > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > > > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> > > > as you leave the NG?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > >
> > > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his ISP!
> > > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >

3707. Re: Paging Bald Corky the bonehead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0D41.ED9D759E@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81924

I've noticed that you're replies are getting shorter and
lamer. Is it because this is all too time consuming for
you. LOL

K S 0 F wrote:

> You must be talking to yourself again.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > You're welcome bonehead.

3708. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0D8F.6F37B14D@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81925

You're the one living on this NG... NOT ME baldy with
large gap between front teeth.
How come you never make any references about your
big gap?

K S 0 F wrote:

> You're not doing anything with your life so can I use yours?
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Get a life you
> SNIP

3709. Re: Mackey Found A LIAR By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0DD9.E15A5AC7@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He needs help like you. Maybe you two could share a
room at the mental institution. Just bring plenty of
cigarettes to trade for vaseline.

K S 0 F wrote:

> He didn't ask for your help.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > You forgot a couple of words.. you're welcome.

3710. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000817120852.00280.00000052@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 81927

>Then I guess that makes listening to "toy" walkie talkies, baby monitors
>and wireless intercoms illegal too since they use the same frequencies.
>Doesn't that sound kinda' broad to you?

It's not that listening to the cordless phone frequencies is illegal.. it's intentionally monitoring cordless phone calls... if your baby monitor just happens to pick up your neighbor's phone and you didn't do it intentionally, then you're safe.. :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

3711. Re: Immature Baby Mackey the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0E2E.BB4191E@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Judging by the number of people that hate you I'd be
hard pressed to be the one getting the last word. Alls
I know is It ISN'T going to YOU. LOL

K S 0 F wrote:

> Why?? Is it because YOU want the last word?
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > I DON'T CARE about your 'stamina' Thats all this
> > is with you is trying to get the last word in isn't it.
> > Its NOT going to happen loser unless you're prepared
> > to do this for 500 years.

3712. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0E4C.DD88AC5F@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:

> Rick -
> It is interesting that in Richard's writing to you he says,
> "I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior of people".
> He continues to say, "I have and will continue to stand up and say so,
> loudly. I urge you to do the same".
>
> You have said so loudly that will not tolorate reckless and destructive
> behavior as demonstrated by Richard Kendrick. Yet he is harassing
> & condemming you and I for standing up for the very things he tells
> us to which HE is committing.
>
> "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> > I am Rick Larson
> >
> > I'm sure Richard has his killfilters activated like he always claims.
> >
> > This is an example of his perverted style of self righteousness.
> >
> > Rick,
> >
> > > Yes, Corky Kendrick
> > > You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> > > Shut up.
> > > Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.
> >
> > Thank you for your input. However, I do believe that, perhaps, you
> > have misunderstood the situation because you are somewhat unfamiliar
> > with the entire history of Cheek and Mackey. My condemnation of Mackey
> > is not because I was friends with Bill Cheek. It is because of Mackey's
> > deplorable behavior over the last few years and my refusal to tolerate
> > it.
> >
> > I would respond the same if the situation were arranged differently.
> > For instance, suppose Mackey were Cheek and you were Mackey. If you
> > acted the way Mackey has acted towards Cheek (and others), I would be
> > denouncing *your* behavior. In other words, it's NOT who is involved,
> > but the actions of the involved parties.
> >
> > As a comparison, William Shockley, one of the co-inventors of the
> > transistor, was one of the greatest contributors to technology of the
> > twentieth century. But, Shockley was also an ardent racist and wrote

> > extensively on the intellectual inferiority of black people. In the
> > case of Cheek, you cannot deny that he has contributed to the
> > enjoyment of the scanner hobby by many many people through his books
> > and articles in Monitoring Times, and the help he gave people in the
> > scanner newsgroups. You can admire the mans achievements, but you
> > might not admire the man. You cannot say the same about Mackey.
> >
> > I'll bet there have been a few times in your life when you've
> > witnessed a disturbance of one type or another and silently wished
> > someone would speak up, perhaps even to intervene. I am the kind of
> > person that *will* speak up and intervene if necessary. Once again,
> > this is not about Mackey but about Mackey's behavior.
> >
> > In short, I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior
> > of people like Mackey. I have and will continue to stand up and say
> > so, loudly. I urge you to do the same.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Richard

3713. Re: Mackey- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0E6F.AE9B586D@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:

> Mackey always lies & causes trouble.
>
> "JCHiggins" <allo@megabits.com> wrote
> > Kendrick, didn't you say TWO DAYS AGO that you were going to cease
> > contributing to this?
> >
> > YES YOU DID.
> >
> > WHAT HAPPENED?
> >
> > DID YOU LIE?

3714. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0E8C.B47458FD@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:

> For what?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, we are still waiting....

3715. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0EAF.171119E@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

K S 0 F wrote:

> Timmy-
>
> Get a life, & get real Mackey!
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > You are desperate John. A quick call to Lolenzo T.
> SNIP

3716. Re: John Mackey causing trouble again was:Re: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0F1D.5B3B5A72@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Why don't you post under your real callsign mackey.
Why do you even have a call sign mackey.... nobody
would talk to you on the radio.
You're the most pathetic loser I've EVER run across.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Hello Eric Oyen (N7ZZT)-
>
> Are you here to cause trouble again? Why don't you post under your name or
> callsign as you do in other posts? Are you afraid you are going to get run
> off
> the scene like you have in the past?
>
> "Hawke" <proudhawk19021@home.com> wrote
> > Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> > <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the
> SNIP

3717. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0F85.9522BF7B@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You are nothing but a liar. Salvage some dignity and just
leave.
Do you have a life outside the parg group of losers with
no life?

K S 0 F wrote:

> Blake,
> First - I have never lied in my adult life.
> Second - I did not mention your name, you decided to jump in & cause trouble
> all on your own. I did nothing to entice you.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, you are caught in lies AGAIN! I stayed out of this until
> > YOU started in accusing me of things. YOU started the crap.
> SNIP

3718. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Mackey has a GAP between his teeth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cory <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399C0FA9.5A214FD6@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81935

K S 0 F wrote:

> I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right. Doing what
> is right isn't always popular.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> SNIP

3719. Re: Another use for Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <7hUm5.2818$z4.59323@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 81936

Cool as it is, the $700 USD entry fee is kinda steep.

"Jeff Seale" <jbseale@iglou.com> wrote in message
news:8F934E218jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5...
> I know this radio isn't available for purchase in the States just yet, but
> I just discovered another cool app for it. Major League Baseball teams
have
> begun putting wireless cameras on the catcher's masks so that you can see
> the batting and fielding from the catcher's perspective. With two R3s, you
> could see your TV station's game coverage and the "catcher cam"
> simultaneously.

3720. Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <399C1015.678A61E4@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 81937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Now I know there are scanners for sale that can decode
GSM-phone conversation into voice audio,but the the
price (around 2500 USD)is to high for me.
So I am looking for a cheaper scanner.
Or software to run on a computer.

3721. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <siUm5.16$uX.157@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81938

Cory,
You say that Mackey lives on this NG, yet you reply to every one of his posts. He's playing you for a fool.

Adam

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0D8F.6F37B14D@lpaa.com...
> You're the one living on this NG... NOT ME baldy with
> large gap between front teeth.
> How come you never make any references about your
> big gap?
>
>
> K S 0 F wrote:
>
> > You're not doing anything with your life so can I use yours?
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > Get a life you
> > SNIP
>
>
>

3722. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fPUm5.19430$OG2.92569@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 81939

"F Barry Mulligan" <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
news:8ngbsv$ii5$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> In 1992 Congress passed the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute
Resolution
> Act (TDDRA), which instructed the FCC to ban the manufacture or import of
> scanners capable of receiving cellular. In accordance with this law, the
FCC
> modified 47 CFR Parts 2 & 15, effective 26 April 1993. Note that this does
> not affect the sale of such scanners, so long as they were made or
imported
> before the cutoff date. It also does not bar individuals from modifying
> their receivers.

Uh, yes it does, now. The FCC has clarified that to mean that ANYone
modifying a scanner to cover cellular is 'manufacturing', whether for others
or their own use, and it's not allowed legally.

3723. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <399c1bdf.327009@news.uia.net>
Source record: 81940

That is a scary thought but I'm sure it happens every day. I'm curious as to what airport t his so I don't fly out of there or connect there either.

On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 13:04:24 GMT, "Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".

3724. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <asVm5.46$uX.700@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81941

HW,
What we are all wondering is how the airline(s) felt about your using the scanner onboard? Did you do any checking, like with the ticket agent, steward(es), flight crew, or the inflight magazine?

BTW, the part about the knife does disturb me. And what were you going to do with it if security hadn't let you by with it.

Mark K5MAR

"Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Again,
>
> Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through
> security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell
> phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped right
> through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take
them
> out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I
was
> carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.
>
> Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".
>
> -- HW
>
>
>

3725. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399C2744.F5042CDF@home.com>
Source record: 81942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John,
I'll remind you of the same thing I just reminded frg9600 of
just 24 hours ago:

any attempt by you to start an arguement with a fellow @home user
will be reported to the @home abuse dept. Basically, right now, you are
violating TOS here.

Now, if you bother to bounce an e-mail here, you'll find out that
n7zzt@home.com is a real account. as for proudhawk19021@home.com,
I have no idea who that is, so please don't go draggin others into your
fantasy.

In the meantime, you have a lot to answer for. Should I just take all your
postings of late (they are easily accessible from deja) and forward them
as a digest to @home? Abusing behavior like your will not be tolerated.

now, start telling the truth or you might as well leave the net.

K S 0 F wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <N7ZZT@home.com> wrote
> > I go away for over a year and lookie what happens...
>
> Yea, you come back here trying to lie again. Posting under different
> identities
> of N7ZZT@home.com and then proudhawk19021@home.com. Just like about a
> year ago when you were using to identities of N7ZZT & Hawke to cause trouble
> here on usenet & were run off. THAT is "what happens".
>
> > Speaking as a person in the know, Let me clarify a few things:
>
> The LAST thing YOU are is a "person in the know".
>
> > 1. John Mackey was, in fact, removed from 2 forums
> > on FIDONET because of his questionable behavior and extreme opinions
>
> This is your first LIE, during my time on Fidonet I was NEVER removed from
> any "Forums on Fidonet".
>
> > 2. Mr. mackey has lied so many times, even he doesn't know the truth
>
> When have I lied? Be specific with verifiable proof.
>
> > 3. Mr. Mackey has, at various times, attempted to harrass those who

> > disagree with his view of the world, even to the point of telephone
>
> I have NEVER harassed ANYONE.
>
> > 4. Mr. Mackey's exploits as a "technician" are well known in portland
> oregon
> > (so much so, that everyone there has refused to allow him to touch their
> > electronic equipment for any reason whatsoever).
>
> Excactly WHO in the Portland area has "refused to allow" me to touch
> their electronic equipment?
>
> > 5. Mr. mackey's "on the air" exploits have lead to his being permanently
> banned
> > from the use of several repeaters in the state of oregon.
>
> Exactly WHO has "permanently banned" me from exactly WHICH repeaters
> in the state of oregon?
>
> Eric Oyen (N7ZZT) you have a lot to answer for, because you continue
> attempting to
> place the blame on others (by false accusation, obfuscation, inuendo
> and base turpitude). Your credibility as a ham (as well as a man) is so low
> that it cannot be measured.
>
> > and has even gone so far as to disrespect those members of the SK ham
> community!
>
> Exactly WHO have I EVER disrespected in the "SK ham community"?

3726. Re: Eric Oyen N7ZZT causing trouble again was:Re: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399C27DF.4089763A@home.com>
Source record: 81943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No john
The only trouble being caused in here is by YOU.

So, I'll leave you to it and let yourself be TOS'd for
gross violation of the AUP of your provider.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Hello Eric Oyen (N7ZZT)-
>
> Are you here to cause trouble again? Why don't you post under your name or
> callsign as you do in other posts? Are you afraid you are going to get run
> off
> the scene like you have in the past?
>
> "Hawke" <proudhawk19021@home.com> wrote
> > Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> > <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the
> SNIP

3727. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ekaopskqdco0q0nb3p0m0kju19djl6phqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 81944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 12:20:47 GMT, oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com wrote:
<snip>
> Actually, I understand it very well. You seemed to have
>missed what I was trying to say.
>
>> It's hard to
>> imagine a scenario where someone would need to stand in exactly the
>> place where radios and cell phone use is prohibited and say something
>> of such immediate importance that it couldn't possibly wait for them
>> to step outside.
>
> And one of the hard parts about doing risk/benefit analysis
>in the manner you are suggesting is that it requires you to
>know in advance ALL of the reasons for a particular activity.
>If you think about the expression "hard to imagine" it implies
>a certian possibility.

As with the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle, there are no absolutes
when predicting the future. Anyone who has done this sort of analysis
knows that.

>> The potential cost is very high. Is the benefit of instantaneous
>> communications worth a life or death risk?
>
> Under what circumstances? This is the point. "Err on
>the side of caution" basically implies that one knows all
>the potential outcomes. This is rare. Frequently, folks
>who claim to be doing such, are really only referring to
>certian scenarios. There is something known as "unintended
>consequences". If something is actually dangerous then
>avoiding the activity will reduce risk. But if it is
>only presumed to be dangerous, avoiding it will not
>actually reduce risk, and, in fact, can therefore
>increase actual risk in certian situations. Just
>because you don't know in advance what those risks
>are doesn't change that. In fact, not knowing can
>actually increase the risk exposure.

You're assumption is incorrect. Erroring on the side of caution
doesn't imply that one knows *all* the potential outcomes. It means
that one knows the *likely* outcomes and that one chooses to error in
the direction where damage is less *likely*. Again, anyone who
understands risk analysis wouldn't make a statement like that.

You assume that the risk can be quantified. In the vast majority of cases the effort required to do so is ridiculously out of proportion from the typical benefit. To get a reasonable risk/benefit analysis you'd need to have another case where life was in jeopardy from NOT using a radio in a zone of risk.

We're talking policies here, not laws. We're talking about control of situations where life is at risk. You're saying that because we can't know all possible situations that we should allow everybody to do their own thing and use whatever they see fit, whenever they feel the risk is worthwhile.

The risk of doing that is out of proportion to the benefit because the general public isn't even aware of the situation in most cases. You argue that you know better. That's all well and good, but most people don't know anything about the risk or the reward. They merely act on impulse, unless told otherwise. In a life threatening situation, the safe thing to do is to tell these members of the public what is appropriate.

> "Erring on the side of caution" is essentially an acceptance
>of ignorance. You don't know actual outcomes so you assume that
>because a particular approach is "safe" in a known set of
>circumstances it will be safe in all. This is rarely true.
>It's like "rules of thumb". They have a broad application
>but if you don't know the limits or exceptions of the rule,
>they are as capable of harming you as they are helping you.
>Doctors have been handing out antibiotics for decades to
>"just be on the safe side" and now we know what has been happening
>is that we have been developing antibiotic resistant infections.
>Many of those perscriptions were unnecessary or inappropriate,
>but I'm sure they felt they were "erring on the side of caution".
>The actual result though was that their actions were ultimately
>quite deadly.

And let's get back to specifics, shall we? We're not talking about antibiotics. We're talking about using a communications toy. We're not talking about prohibiting it altogether, we're talking about limiting it. The risk of erring on the side of caution in this case is nearly zero. The risk of doing nothing is far greater.

And you claim you know what you're talking about?

> If these phones are truly a risk to the flying public we
>should know how and how much and take direct steps to address
>the known dangers. "Erring on the side of caution" is
>laziness and presumes knowledge which doesn't really exist.

When you have an electrical engineering degree and an instrument rating for your pilot's license, you'll have the authority to tell your passengers whatever you want. Until then, don't assume you know more than the person who is certified to keep your ass out of danger. The risk of doing that is almost certainly greater than the reward.

Peace.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3728. Re: cell phone NO DANGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: oconnell@slr.orl.lmco.com
Message-ID: <8nhdq1$hs2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <ekaopskqdco0q0nb3p0m0kju19djl6phqf@4ax.com>,
frussle@erols.com wrote:
[snip]
> When you have an electrical engineering degree and an instrument
> rating for your pilot's license, you'll have the authority to tell
> your passengers whatever you want. Until then, don't assume you know
> more than the person who is certified to keep your ass out of danger.
> The risk of doing that is almost certainly greater than the reward.

What I am suggesting is that THEY don't know. I make
no assumptions about the superiority of my knowledge. What I
am suggesting is that if they continue to operate in ignorance,
they continue to run a risk from that ignorance despite their
intentions of "erring on the side of caution". Without
knowledge, they have no idea which side they are erring on.
If it is truly as dangerous as is suggested, it should be
addressed by something far more significant than a rule of
thumb intended to "err on the side of caution".

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3729. Re: Corky leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <CFWm5.2649$591.143474@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK (I love the way you mimic CorKy),

No need for an impartial poll. You or your lover John-Corky can hold it on here. Some other Larson already did and only 3 people defended Toiletboy 48 have voiced their hatred of him. Doesn't change your Love John-Corky posting over 50 off topic messages a day. Now crawl back under your John-Corky where you came from.......Corky uhhh, John-Bowl, oh yeah, RicK.

RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message news:spo0hjimr5j85@corp.supernews.com...
> When will the silent majority vote be counted by someone impartial to the
> event?
>
>
> Greg S <gregs932@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:k9nnpssfpeg729jjiph7tp0es6k2isqmjd@4ax.com...
> > Are you in denial that far? More proof of your chromosomal defect.
> >
> >
> > "K S 0 F" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> >
> > >When were YOU appointed to speak for the "people" &
> > >by whom?
> > >
> > >"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> > >> No Corky. People think highly of Michael.
> > >SNIP
> > >
> >
>
>

3730. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8nhekblpt1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81947

In fact, some of our local police use the Nextel system. A lot of them carry the Nextels with them in their patrol cars. I think this will greatly slow down the move to digital (dispatch and such) as when they need to have a private conversation, they just go to the Nextel. Their normal system is a regular VHF system, not trunked or anything.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3731. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8nhes1$dg1$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Energizer <fan@techie.com> wrote in message
news:3999f111$0$62234$53a6afc1@news.erinet.com...

> didja ever notice an analog transmission will fade
> before it quits but a digital transmision doesn't give you ANY notice
before
> it quits....yeah that'll make 'em real happy in emergencies......enjoy....
>
> Fred

Yeah, I notice that on my digital/analog cellphone... In analog I'll
sometimes get cracks and static when I'm on the outskirts, but in digital
mode it'll just disappear all of a sudden with no warning!

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

3732. Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <mhXm5.2662$591.153927@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

RicK Larson in the exact same style as his luv John-Corky
blathered.....
> John
> It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is
today.
> They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for base
> band audio from Uncle Bill!
> Rick

Right on RicK-Corky. Let's have a look at John-Corky Mackey shall we?
Toilet-Boy's accomplishment

Toilet-Boy John-Corky
-smoked power supplies
-smoked repeaters
-300+ off topic messages a month minimum
-for 5 years a constant off topic of radio newsgroups
-VE a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it.
-OO a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it
-mental health aide/rent a mental health aide (not to be confused with RNs
or LPNs)

Uncle Bill's
-owned/published/created 2 radio related magazines
-wrote and published 3 well known scanner mod books
-developed and sold many radio related devices including the CE-232
-was a monthly columnist for the Premier scanning publication Monitoring
Times.
-Moderator of the Fido scanner group
-hundreds of messages helping people with scanning issues
-hundreds of phone calls for free, helping people with scanners
-owned and operated Commtronics

The record speaks for itself. You can twist and turn in the wind, lie all
you want Rick, you can't change the facts. Try and discount them, make all
the noise you want RicK but even a tenth of Uncle Bill's accomplishments are
hundred times more than John-Toilet-Boy Mackey has even hoped to do.

Spew away RicK, you are closely reaching the level of Mackey-Bowl
reincarnate.

Or should I have said SNIP away? You haven't been able to fully reply to
anything, no reason to expect it now, ehh RicK? Just like John-Corky, snip
away and pretend it isn't real. Snip away, cover your eyes and it will all
go away. The John-RicK way!

3733. where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: MS <DELETEmarksa@gasullivan.com>
Message-ID: <JhXm5.43$0z3.155@wdc-read-01.qwest.net>
Source record: 81950

Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes place. Any info appreciated...

3734. Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000817155121.22827.00000157@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 81951

Can anyone tell me what the frequencies are for the PSP along Rt. 80?

Thanks
Mike

3735. Re: Yupiteru MVT-9000 earphone output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <ouXm5.10992$Cc2.400177@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81952

yes

<pj117@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8nh049hf1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Does the Yupiteru MVT-9000 have any sort of output jacks so I can
> plug in earphones or headphones? All the specs I've read about this
> scanner don't seem to mention this, so I'd like to know before buying
> it.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

3736. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Starr <destarr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n5copsg5co8rjjun5lt2jqdvd3dhmuptbb@4ax.com>
Source record: 81953

On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 10:17:12 -0500, "RicK Larson"
<Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote:

>John
>It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is today.
>They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for base
>band audio from Uncle Bill!

Well, we sure as hell weren't going to get it from John.

- -
Professional Shop Rat - 35 years in an auto plant
- -

3737. FS:Icom R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <399c4f2f_5@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 81954

FS: An Icom R-2...excellant condition. I had sold it but the guy backed out
the last minute. $140 includes shipping by priority mail. Includes
all accesories and original box. dlessard@telplus.net.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3738. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1405f276b4278ff69896c9@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81955

In article <8nd5fu$c1t$13@bashir.peak.org>, billn@spock.peak.org says...
> You will probably have to turn the scanner on at the checkpoint, to
> prove that it works. I don't really know what this accomplishes, but
> that is the usual practice.

They want to make sure it isn't an empty non-working shell packed with
explosives or something.

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

3739. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1405f353b341b36f9896ca@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81956

In article <a1520e40724f9edd3385e428b04d9591@anon.xg.nu>,
no.user@anon.xg.nu says...
> Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But
> what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up.
> The guy at Radio Shack said its illegal.

Yes, technically it constitutes an illegal wiretap. However, it isn't
illegal to produce a scanner in the US that is capable of receiving those
frequencies, as it is with cellular.

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

3740. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1405f376a35c9bb59896cb@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81957

In article <spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com says...
> Hi Again,
>
> Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through
> security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell
> phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped right
> through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take them
> out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I was
> carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.
>
> Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".

Just out of curiosity: what airport?

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

3741. Re: BC245 Trunking programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Claudio Cividino <saleen@mindless.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <399C54A7.A4164E9C@mindless.nospam.com>
Source record: 81958

There is a simple explanation on programming on the following web page

http://gtrac.ztn.net/

Good Luck

Martin Lambert wrote:

> Could soemone explian me how I can programm an EDAC trunking bank.
>
> I read the book that came with my new scanner a lot of time but still can't
> understand.
>
> Example : EDAC system frequencies have to be programmed logically. Does this
> mean in sorted order or a frequency can be higher and enter a lower one
> after ?
>
> A lot of commands described in the manual don't work.
>
> Any help ?
>
> best regards

3742. Re: BC245 Trunking programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Claudio Cividino <saleen@mindless.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <399C54C0.1703A775@mindless.nospam.com>
Source record: 81959

There is a simple explanation on programming on the following web page

http://gtrac.ztn.net/

Good Luck

Martin Lambert wrote:

> Could soemone explian me how I can programm an EDAC trunking bank.
>
> I read the book that came with my new scanner a lot of time but still can't
> understand.
>
> Example : EDAC system frequencies have to be programmed logically. Does this
> mean in sorted order or a frequency can be higher and enter a lower one
> after ?
>
> A lot of commands described in the manual don't work.
>
> Any help ?
>
> best regards

3743. Re: Handheld scanner question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: keith <mke65@home.com>
Message-ID: <C4Zm5.6813$Ur3.55354@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81960

Having owned both I vote for the aor. Combined with the program by Gommert
this scanner is awesome. I don't own one now, sold mine for 700+ with
acessories. But considering again with the new updates to the aor. Keith
"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:wsKl5.111090$Yr4.1899905@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Seems to me thats it a toss up between the AOR 8200MKII and the Yupiteru
> MVT-9000 II. I've been asking the same question, and have heard that the
> MVT9000 is better in the shortwave area then the AOR. Also the MVT9000
has
> a refreshing silver case and comes with a built-in speech descrambler,
> however it lacks the computer control. The MVT9000 is also about $100 USD
> less then the AOR. If you could somehow combine both of them it would
> perhaps be the greatest scanner. What I would like to know from everyone
> that has a scanner, how often do you use the computer control feature?
> Right now I have a RS PRO-64 and I feel like I'm missing out on the whole
> computer controlled scanner thing.
>
> "Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
> news:39A98FBD.3B92230A@cloudnet.com...
> > Considering getting a new handheld scanner. I have a pro 26 now but
> > looking around. Found a AOR 8200 series 2 on the net and looks petty
> > good.
> > Can anyone give me there personal experience with this scanner?
> > Is there a better handheld out there?
> > Thanks for the help.
> > Randy
> >
>
>

3744. Re: Chicago Air Show Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <8nhmvuknv1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 81961

Uncle Bob (unclebob@ripco.com) wrote:
: The Blue Angels will practice sometime Thursday afternoon (weather
: permitting) and on Friday sometime in the 11am to 4pm time frame.

: These will be the best times to check on frequencies used in the upcoming
: shows on Saturday and Sunday.

The Blue Angels practiced today (8/17) on the following freqs:

238.150
275.350
345.900

--
* *
Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends

Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

3745. Re: Handheld scanner question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kiricov <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nhZm5.6757$dG.204526@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 81962

I just got the AR 8200 MK II (unblocked) from the UK. It is such a vast improvement over the previous 8200! Improved sensitivity, selectivity, and a backlite bright enough to use as fog lights! The MK II is now black. The capabilties of the MK II is enormous. Accessaries for it includes a flash card that can hold 4000 channels. There is plenty of free software for it. It comes with a swivial antenna. The manual is very easy to follow and undeerstand, and even at 160 pages you can be scanning in just 20 minutes or less!! It has the most features I have ever seen on any radio! In other words, the AR 8200 MK II kicks ass!!

Regards.
Jim

Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
news:39A98FBD.3B92230A@cloudnet.com...
> Considering getting a new handheld scanner. I have a pro 26 now but
> looking around. Found a AOR 8200 series 2 on the net and looks petty
> good.
> Can anyone give me there personal experience with this scanner?
> Is there a better handheld out there?
> Thanks for the help.
> Randy
>

3746. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8nhmsf$p5v$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 81963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

In article <B5hm5.918$Ur3.6828@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>Blake just likes the attention he gets, & harasses me until he gets the
>attention.

But it's YOU who adds the firefighter and amateur radio NGs to the
headers of the arguments YOU start on .scanner. Why in the world
would you want to harass the fighterfighters?
KH6O (Former San Clemente CA fireman)

3747. Re: BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F93B8AE4jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81964

chuck@nospam.latraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) wrote in
<399bb39f.57881213@news.uia.net>:

>Unless the cab company is REALLY REALLY BIG, the control channel
>probably doesn't originate from their garage. What type antenna are
>you using?
>
I'm using the indoor antenna supplied with the unit. Shoud I maybe upgrade
to an aerial?

3748. Re: where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <w0_m5.2390$QW4.46456@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81965

This looks like a good place to start...

http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/tt_ids.htm

Bill Crocker

"MS" <DELETEmarksa@gasullivan.com> wrote in message
news:JhXm5.43$0z3.155@wdc-read-01.qwest.net...
> Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
> confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
> place. Any info appreciated...
>
>

3749. R/S PRO-2067 Computer Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: k7on@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.14067dc2792ea35a9896a4@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81966

Can anyone tell me where to find the documented computer
control commands for the PRO-2067 scanner?

My PRO-2052 has all computer commands listed in the user
manual and I have written software to utilize them, but
perhaps I'd like a PRO-2067 also if I can find this type
of information.

Thanks, Brian

PS Please email me as I don't always get to check this group.

--
mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
--

3750. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <w6_m5.2393$QW4.46517@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81967

This doesn't surprise me at all! When I flew to Florida about a year ago, I walked through Detroit Metropolitan Airport Security checks with a big ring of keys, a big heavy stainless steel watch, a pager, a metal money clip, a big metal belt buckle, a metal pen & pencil set in my shirt pocket, and a ring...NO problem!

When I left Florida to come home, equipped with the same stuff...I had to shed it all, including a remaining roll of Certs, who's foil wrapper still triggered the metal detector! Even more exciting was Vegas, where it detected a small metallic arch in the sole of my shoes!

Bill Crocker

"Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Again,
>
> Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through
> security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell
> phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped right
> through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take
them
> out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I
was
> carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.
>
> Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".
>
> -- HW
>
>
>

3751. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8nhscg$37o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81968

My major point is that the "end of scanning" has been predicted for a long time, and I think it's a bunch of crap. So has the end of shortwave (HF) broadcasting (and the hobby). The internet is supposed to destroy HF, but it won't. A $29.95 shortwave portable is far cheaper in most countries than a computer, especially third world countries. Scanning will stay around too, but for different reasons.

Worst comes to worst, you won't be able to listen to the police anymore. Just one small part of the scanning hobby. There are going to be new and interesting kinds of services on the VHF/UHF bands that the public WILL be able to monitor, like UNENCRYPTED digital transmissions perhaps even utilizing video. Not everything that goes digital is going to use encryption. The reason encryption is expensive is that a royalty must be paid for every radio manufactured to the company licensing the encryption algorithm. And there WILL be compatibility issues that will hamper encryption, at least for awhile.

Out with the old and in with the new, sure, but there's no real threat to scanning that I can see. Scanners are going to change and mutate but the hobby itself will stay around.

W.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3752. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Lk_m5.4070$juZ4.22020588@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 81969

Bud the Real! <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:e72nps0navbgjfgv87e456d592tc2vp1rl@4ax.com...
> "stevw1" <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca> hollered from the outhouse...

> >My city went to Motorola Astro Sabres (digitally encrypted).
>
> Digital ENCODED is not the same as encrypted.
>
I know they are different, the system here is Encrypted and Encoded. I am
not sure how they did it, but they did it. I had a chance to look at their
base unit in dispatch and their documentation.

3753. WTB: PRO-43 Logic Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <399C75C7.6D8CBA7A@swbell.net>
Source record: 81970

Please reply via email.

3754. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <m90pps8atmgkkjpnjmet1jrag773u1fr9k@4ax.com>
Source record: 81971

David Starr <destarr@earthlink.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 10:17:12 -0500, "RicK Larson"
><Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote:
>
>>John
>>It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is today.
>>They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for base
>>band audio from Uncle Bill!
>
>Well, we sure as hell weren't going to get it from John.

Just because John is an asshole doesn't make his opponents saints. It's
fairly obvious to even a casual observer what's been going on here the
past year or so since Cheek disappeared. The solution is equally
obvious, to anyone who's marginally enclued.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3755. Re: Icom R2 with extras SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Montgomery <montgomeryt@mail.your-net.com>
Message-ID: <399C9A87.6C77E5DE@mail.your-net.com>
Source record: 81972
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: montgomeryt.vcf

SOLD

Tom Montgomery wrote:
>
> I have an IC-R2 for sale. Comes with manual, Ni-Cd charger, 4 batteries,
> CS-R2 programming software, interface cable, and antenna. Will sell for
> 135.00 for all. A great little scanner,but I have too many now!
> If you live in the Cincinnati area, I will meet you for delivery, if
> not I will ship priority mail for free! What a deal.
> Thanks.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3756. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sppc5es387v86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

Glenn
What have you given back to Ham Radio or the Scanning hobby?

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:mhXm5.2662$591.153927@news.uswest.net...
>
> RicK Larson in the exact same style as his luv John-Corky
> blathered.............
> > John
> > It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is
> today.
> > They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for
base
> > band audio from Uncle Bill!
> > Rick
>
>
> Right on RicK-Corky. Let's have a look at John-Corky Mackey shall we?
> Toilet-Boy's accomplishment
>
> Toilet-Boy John-Corky
> -smoked power supplies
> -smoked repeaters
> -300+ off topic messages a month minimum
> -for 5 years a constant off topic of radio newsgroups
> -VE a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it.
> -OO a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it
> -mental health aide/rent a mental health aide (not to be confused with RNs
> or LPNs)
>
>
>
> Uncle Bill's
> -owned/published/created 2 radio related magazines
> -wrote and published 3 well known scanner mod books
> -developed and sold many radio related devices including the CE-232
> -was a monthly columnist for the Premier scanning publication Monitoring
> Times.
> -Moderator of the Fido scanner group
> -hundreds of messages helping people with scanning issues
> -hundreds of phone calls for free, helping people with scanners
> -owned and operated Commtronics
>

>
> The record speaks for itself. You can twist and turn in the wind, lie all
> you want Rick, you can't change the facts. Try and discount them, make all
> the noise you want RicK but even a tenth of Uncle Bill's accomplishments
are
> hundred times more than John-Toilet-Boy Mackey has even hoped to do.
>
> Spew away RicK, you are closely reaching the level of Mackey-Bowl
> reincarnate.
>
> Or should I have said SNIP away? You haven't been able to fully reply to
> anything, no reason to expect it now, ehh RicK? Just like John-Corky, snip
> away and pretend it isn't real. Snip away, cover your eyes and it will all
> go away. The John-RicK way!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3757. Mackey's Tricks Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399CB688.DDAB002A@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Blake,

Let me apologize on behalf of Mackey. He is a looney tune
bent on revenge. He is a total mental case and should be
ignored like an out of control 3 year.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> newbie wrote:
> >
> > blake bowers is a firefighter and he always causes trouble on this forum
> > and other forums. someone who he harasses suggested i ask how to file
> > complaints to get him kicked off of this fire duties. can anyone tell me
> > how to do this and teach him a lesson?
>
> Newbie, or evidently John, why would you want to post this in the
> firefighter newsgroup?
>
> All you need to do is send me email, and I will tell you what
> Fire Department I am the Assistant Chief of, as well as a board
> member.
>
> Heck, even better yet, look for my web page about it. Tells all
> about it.
>
> But they will recognize the rantings of an idiot, and ignore you John.

3758. Re: Kolari is Mackey's lover!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399CB6EC.5830BCC9@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81975

Not at all stupid. You're too new to all of this to know
what the hell is going on. You're such an idiot.
Mackey (and now you) are the only ones being played
for a fool.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> Cory,
> You say that Mackey lives on this NG, yet you reply to every one of his
> posts. He's playing you for a fool.
>
> Adam
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0D8F.6F37B14D@lpaa.com...
> > You're the one living on this NG... NOT ME baldy with
> > large gap between front teeth.
> > How come you never make any references about your
> > big gap?
> >
> >
> > K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > > You're not doing anything with your life so can I use yours?
> > >
> > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > Get a life you
> > > SNIP
> >
> >
> >

3759. Where does Mackey work? Truth only.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399CB785.55AEB6C0@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81976

.

3760. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <J83n5.2442$bJ.420779@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

RicK Larson like a mother hen protecting John-Corky vomitted for all to see.....

> Glenn
> What have you given back to Ham Radio or the Scanning hobby?

Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message and lets go. Keep in mind RicK-Corky, I haven't claimed to have given anything back. I am sure it is more than you, but so what? Your luv John-Corky is the person making the claims. I just posted a comparison of John-Corky and Uncle Bill. Sorry to have exposed your luv for the fraud he is and the lackey you are.

Glenn

> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:mhXm5.2662$591.153927@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > RicK Larson in the exact same style as his luv John-Corky
> > blathered.....
> > > John
> > > It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is
> > today.
> > > They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for
> base
> > > band audio from Uncle Bill!
> > > Rick
> >
> >
> > Right on RicK-Corky. Let's have a look at John-Corky Mackey shall we?
> > Toilet-Boy's accomplishment
> >
> > Toilet-Boy John-Corky
> > -smoked power supplies
> > -smoked repeaters
> > -300+ off topic messages a month minimum
> > -for 5 years a constant off topic of radio newsgroups
> > -VE a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it.
> > -OO a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it
> > -mental health aide/rent a mental health aide (not to be confused with
RNs
> > or LPNs)
> >
> >

> >
> > Uncle Bill's
> > -owned/published/created 2 radio related magazines
> > -wrote and published 3 well known scanner mod books
> > -developed and sold many radio related devices including the CE-232
> > -was a monthly columnist for the Premier scanning publication Monitoring
> > Times.
> > -Moderator of the Fido scanner group
> > -hundreds of messages helping people with scanning issues
> > -hundreds of phone calls for free, helping people with scanners
> > -owned and operated Commtronics
> >
> >
> > The record speaks for itself. You can twist and turn in the wind, lie
all
> > you want Rick, you can't change the facts. Try and discount them, make
all
> > the noise you want RicK but even a tenth of Uncle Bill's accomplishments
> are
> > hundred times more than John-Toilet-Boy Mackey has even hoped to do.
> >
> > Spew away RicK, you are closely reaching the level of Mackey-Bowl
> > reincarnate.
> >
> > Or should I have said SNIP away? You haven't been able to fully reply to
> > anything, no reason to expect it now, ehh RicK? Just like John-Corky,
snip
> > away and pretend it isn't real. Snip away, cover your eyes and it will
all
> > go away. The John-RicK way!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3761. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Ne4n5.2852$bJ.457948@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

RicK Larson suffering from lack of oxygen pulled his head out of John-Corky
and mumbled.....

> Finally you come clean about your postings.

You mean where I stated "I just posted a comparison of John-Corky and Uncle
Bill. Sorry to have exposed your luv for the fraud he is and the lackey you
are." Yes that was the point of my post, it was clean.

> They are meant only as a disruptive force and you admit it.

Seek help. I said no such thing RicK-Corky-John.

> Just sinoff this NG and I will.

If it typo's like a John-Corky, has a problem with coherrent thought like
John-Corky it must be RicK-Corky. Sure Rick, if you can get John-Corky to
join in I will cease all postings on here. What a Joke, you and John-Corky
can't handle it. I have made that challenge many times, JOhn-Corky would die
if he couldn't continue with his show on here. Over 6 years running. You
two stop, I stop. BINGO! No problem RicK.

Your luv doesn't come close to comparing with Uncle Bill. Uncle Bill made
him look, well like he looks now, a bumbling inept John-Corky-RicK.

Get over it. Get it over with.

Glenn

> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:J83n5.2442$bJ.420779@news.uswest.net...
> > RicK Larson like a mother hen protecting John-Corky vomitted for all to
> > see.....
> >
> > > Glenn
> > > What have you given back to Ham Radio or the Scanning hobby?
> >
> > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message and
lets
> > go. Keep in mind RicK-Corky, I haven't claimed to have given anything
> back.
> > I am sure it is more than you, but so what? Your luv John-Corky is the
> > person making the claims. I just posted a comparison of John-Corky and
> Uncle
> > Bill. Sorry to have exposed your luv for the fraud he is and the lackey
> you

> > are.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> > > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:mhXm5.2662$591.153927@news.uswest.net...
> > > >
> > > > RicK Larson in the exact same style as his luv John-Corky
> > > > blathered.............
> > > > > John
> > > > > It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it
is
> > > > today.
> > > > > They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions
for
> > > base
> > > > > band audio from Uncle Bill!
> > > > > Rick
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Right on RicK-Corky. Let's have a look at John-Corky Mackey shall
we?
> > > > Toilet-Boy's accomplishment
> > > >
> > > > Toilet-Boy John-Corky
> > > > -smoked power supplies
> > > > -smoked repeaters
> > > > -300+ off topic messages a month minimum
> > > > -for 5 years a constant off topic of radio newsgroups
> > > > -VE a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do
it.
> > > > -OO a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do
it
> > > > -mental health aide/rent a mental health aide (not to be confused
with
> > RNs
> > > > or LPNs)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Uncle Bill's
> > > > -owned/published/created 2 radio related magazines
> > > > -wrote and published 3 well known scanner mod books
> > > > -developed and sold many radio related devices including the CE-232
> > > > -was a monthly columnist for the Premier scanning publication
> Monitoring
> > > > Times.
> > > > -Moderator of the Fido scanner group

> > > > -hundreds of messages helping people with scanning issues
> > > > -hundreds of phone calls for free, helping people with scanners
> > > > -owned and operated Commtronics
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The record speaks for itself. You can twist and turn in the wind,
lie
> > all
> > > > you want Rick, you can't change the facts. Try and discount them,
make
> > all
> > > > the noise you want RicK but even a tenth of Uncle Bill's
> accomplishments
> > > are
> > > > hundred times more than John-Toilet-Boy Mackey has even hoped to do.
> > > > >
> > > > Spew away RicK, you are closely reaching the level of Mackey-Bowl
> > > > reincarnate.
> > > > >
> > > > Or should I have said SNIP away? You haven't been able to fully
reply
> to
> > > > anything, no reason to expect it now, ehh RicK? Just like
John-Corky,
> > snip
> > > > away and pretend it isn't real. Snip away, cover your eyes and it
will
> > all
> > > > go away. The John-RicK way!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

3762. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <399CD0E9.CBE19297@cts.com>
Source record: 81979

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> What about Newbie, Rick Larson, HamGuy1, & others?? They are
> supporting me, also. This is another example of how you guys
> alter the truth.
>
What a deeeeeep bench you have...you should be very proud.

Yup...newbie and hamguy...are they superheros to you?

Markj

3763. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8nikc6$qm4$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81980

vekdo" <davekdo@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000817000526.18645.00001607@ng-cv1.aol.com...
> You can listen to cordless phone calls,
> but you can't devulge the content of
> the call. At least that's the way It was
> put to me about 7 years ago....perhaps
> it's changed.
> Dave
> test

It's changed. The ECPA as revised in 1994,

18 USC Sec. 2511
TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
PART I - CRIMES
CHAPTER 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION AND
INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Section 2511

(4)
(b)
(ii) if the communication is the radio portion of a cellular
telephone communication, a cordless telephone communication that
is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the
base unit, a public land mobile radio service communication or a
paging service communication, the offender shall be fined under
this title.

The whole law, with notations, is available at
http://trac.syr.edu/laws/18USC2511.html

It's online in other places, but watch the dates...many versions don't have
the 1994 and subsequent amendments

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/
http://www.lainsider.com/auto_docs/webcams.5.5/demcam.html LIVE
"ProtestCam"
http://playlist.broadcast.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=5551 LAPD on RealAudio

3764. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ZpMm5.5126$Ur3.48082@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake,
First - I have never lied in my adult life.
Second - I did not mention your name, you decided to jump in & cause trouble
all on your own. I did nothing to entice you.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, you are caught in lies AGAIN! I stayed out of this until
> YOU started in accusing me of things. YOU started the crap.
SNIP

3765. Re: more lies from Timmy!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <prMm5.5129$Ur3.48130@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Timmy-

Get a life, & get real!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> You are desperate John. A quick call to Lolenzo T.
SNIP

3766. Eric Oyen N7ZZT causing trouble again was:Re: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <vwMm5.5133$Ur3.47988@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Hello Eric Oyen (N7ZZT)-

Are you here to cause trouble again? Why don't you post under your name or
callsign as you do in other posts? Are you afraid you are going to get run
off
the scene like you have in the past?

"Hawke" <proudhawk19021@home.com> wrote
> Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick
> <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the
SNIP

3767. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <cHMm5.5137$Ur3.48014@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Eric Oyen" <N7ZZT@home.com> wrote
> I go away for over a year and lookie what happens...

Yea, you come back here trying to lie again. Posting under different identities
of N7ZZT@home.com and then proudhawk19021@home.com. Just like about a year ago when you were using to identities of N7ZZT & Hawke to cause trouble here on usenet & were run off. THAT is "what happens".

> Speaking as a person in the know, Let me clarify a few things:

The LAST thing YOU are is a "person in the know".

> 1. John Mackey was, in fact, removed from 2 forums
> on FIDONET because of his questionable behavior and extreme opinions

This is your first LIE, during my time on Fidonet I was NEVER removed from any "Forums on Fidonet".

> 2. Mr. mackey has lied so many times, even he doesn't know the truth

When have I lied? Be specific with verifiable proof.

> 3. Mr. Mackey has, at various times, attempted to harrass those who
> disagree with his view of the world, even to the point of telephone

I have NEVER harassed ANYONE.

> 4. Mr. Mackey's exploits as a "technician" are well known in portland oregon
> (so much so, that everyone there has refused to allow him to touch their
> electronic equipment for any reason whatsoever).

Excactly WHO in the Portland area has "refused to allow" me to touch their electronic equipment?

> 5. Mr. mackey's "on the air" exploits have lead to his being permanently banned
> from the use of several repeaters in the state of oregon.

Exactly WHO has "permanently banned" me from exactly WHICH repeaters in the state of oregon?

Eric Oyen (N7ZZT) you have a lot to answer for, because you continue attempting to
place the blame on others (by false accusation, obfuscation, inuendo and base turpitude). Your credibility as a ham (as well as a man) is so low that it cannot be measured.

> and has even gone so far as to disrespect those members of the SK ham community!

Exactly WHO have I EVER disrespected in the "SK ham community"?

3768. Re: MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <6IMm5.5138$Ur3.48134@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How right you are!! If only the immature trouble maklers would leave
then things would get better. Instead they gang up on the few who
stand for what is right.

"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote
> Being new to this group I must say the immature attitude shown by these
few
> people is just, well, childish. As for being sued you all better check
with
> a lawyer about just that possibility. N9IPA

3769. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <PIMm5.5139$Ur3.48388@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For what?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, we are still waiting....

3770. Re: larson you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <BJMm5.5140$Ur3.48343@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82038

Well said Adam, very well said!

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> Don't you have anything better to do than research what John Mackey
has
> allegedly done? Get a life and grow up.

3771. Re: larson you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <hLMm5.5141$Ur3.48436@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82039

How sad that you are correct!

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
Another no-lifer....(sigh).....when will people grow up???

3772. Re: more lies from Timmy!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <ULMm5.5142$Ur3.48258@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82040

ahhahahahahahahaha

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> Timmy,
> That's some great detective work you've done....better give yourself a
> pat on the back! Some people have too much time on their hands. His
> "daddy" definitely isn't you, you're much too young immature.

3773. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory is a Moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82041

I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right. Doing what is right isn't always popular.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
SNIP

3774. Re: MORE LIES from Richard Kendrick N7NT, The COWARD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <aPMm5.5144$Ur3.48541@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> the header from the proof. Now, I know
> you're very inept with computers and all, so maybe you can get
> help from someone else to look the message up. Also, don't forget
SNIP
> Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2000 18:43:12 -0700
> From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
> Organization: Frontier GlobalCenter Inc.
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.amateur.misc, alt.fan.john.mackey
SNIP

OK, so what are you trying to prove?

> To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:
> First: Not only is the emperor wearing

Why don't you tell him yourself? Are you afraid to send him an e-mail?

3775. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <eUMm5.5146$Ur3.48334@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82043

Cory - This is a respectable NG, please take your X-rated
comments elsewhere. They are NOT welcome here.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> penXs from your mouth and spit.

3776. Re: Immature Baby Cory the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <MVMm5.5148$Ur3.48574@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Why?? Is it because YOU want the last word?

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> I DON'T CARE about your 'stamina' Thats all this
> is with you is trying to get the last word in isn't it.
> Its NOT going to happen loser unless you're prepared
> to do this for 500 years.

3777. FS: Yupiteru mvt7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucywolfig@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000817040339.02377.00000852@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 82045

Includes battery charger and all original packaging. Mint condition, 150 UKPounds.

3778. Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <paNm5.5152$Ur3.48848@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rick -
It is interesting that in Richard's writing to you he says,
"I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior of people".
He continues to say, "I have and will continue to stand up and say so,
loudly. I urge you to do the same".

You have said so loudly that will not tolorate reckless and destructive
behavior as demonstrated by Richard Kendrick. Yet he is harassing
& condemming you and I for standing up for the very things he tells
us to which HE is committing.

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> I am Rick Larson
>
> I'm sure Richard has his killfilters activated like he always claims.
>
> This is an example of his perverted style of self righteousness.
>
> Rick,
>
> > Yes, Corky Kendrick
> > You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> > Shut up.
> > Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.
>
> Thank you for your input. However, I do believe that, perhaps, you
> have misunderstood the situation because you are somewhat unfamiliar
> with the entire history of Cheek and Mackey. My condemnation of Mackey
> is not because I was friends with Bill Cheek. It is because of Mackey's
> deplorable behavior over the last few years and my refusal to tolerate
> it.
>
> I would respond the same if the situation were arranged differently.
> For instance, suppose Mackey were Cheek and you were Mackey. If you
> acted the way Mackey has acted towards Cheek (and others), I would be
> denouncing *your* behavior. In other words, it's NOT who is involved,
> but the actions of the involved parties.
>
> As a comparison, William Shockley, one of the co-inventors of the
> transistor, was one of the greatest contributors to technology of the
> twentieth century. But, Shockley was also an ardent racist and wrote
> extensively on the intellectual inferiority of black people. In the
> case of Cheek, you cannot deny that he has contributed to the
> enjoyment of the scanner hobby by many many people through his books

> and articles in Monitoring Times, and the help he gave people in the
> scanner newsgroups. You can admire the mans achievements, but you
> might not admire the man. You cannot say the same about Mackey.
>
> I'll bet there have been a few times in your life when you've
> witnessed a disturbance of one type or another and silently wished
> someone would speak up, perhaps even to intervene. I am the kind of
> person that *will* speak up and intervene if necessary. Once again,
> this is not about Mackey but about Mackey's behavior.
>
> In short, I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior
> of people like Mackey. I have and will continue to stand up and say
> so, loudly. I urge you to do the same.
>
> 73,
>
> Richard

3779. Re: BC895 - Image Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 00:38:45 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nfc6i$6ng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 82007

Try attenuating the signal from the antenna. Use the minimum attenuation that prevents overload. A CATV splitter should give about 3dB of attenuation. Radio Shack sells a 6 dB attenuator (15-1257 or 15-1258) as well as a variable (15-578). Another possibility is to use a low pass filter to kill 800 MHz completely, but I do not know who sells such a device.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3780. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 01:22:49 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <399b3852.63401426@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 82008

On Wed, 16 Aug 2000 22:24:34 GMT, Walker <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote:
>Personally, I don't think digital is going to post as big a threat to
>scanning as most people think. First off, it's going to be very
>expensive as has already been mentioned, and there will be serious
>compatibility issues between systems which will hamper the acceptance
>of digital for quite awhile.

I doubt it's going to be anything like as expensive as has been bandied about here. There really isn't any reason, other than low volume production, why a police digital HT should cost much more than a digital cellphone. In fact, in a way, these things WILL be specialized digital cellphones.

Compatibility isn't much of an issue either. Different departments have always used different systems.

>It will be a LONG time until digital really takes off. By the time it
>does, EVERY scanner is going to be computer controlled (or have the
>computer built right in on the mobile ones, with a nice 1" video
>screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt
>almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be
>encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
>complex),

Nonsense. First, you can do some fairly complex encryption in realtime, and second, you won't have the decryption key anyway.

>and nothing will have changed much. There will be software
>(some of it gray market or black market) to decrypt various encrypted
>systems which will be downloadable, just as there are scanners to
>decode trunked transmissions now. Hackers will be able to write such
>software far faster than police/fire departments can change to new
>systems, which will require quite a bit of time and money.

I'm sorry, but you really don't understand how encryption systems work. Having software that can do the decryption isn't enough. You still need the key. The key can be a fairly large number (64 bits? 128?) while still allowing real-time encrypt and decrypt; finding the key via exhaustive search will be impossible for all practical purposes. Finding the key via analysis of the encrypted data will likewise be difficult, and will take FAR longer than the time between key changes.

If the system vendors do an honest job on the encryption mechanism it will not be crackable in anything like realtime. It might be possible to do a "demo crack" using a very large number of computers working on the problem all at once, for a relatively long time, as has been done in the past --

but by the time the crack was done the subject department could have changed keys a hundred times.

Note that the ONLY reason CSS (the DVD content scrambling system) was cracked, and note that the decoding is done in real-time by the player hardware) is that one of the licensees left the decryption key "in clear" in one of their products. Had this mistake not been made, DeCSS, et al, wouldn't exist.

Changing keys does not involve "new systems", it only involves providing a new key to the system. Or pressing the "pick a new random key!" button. (The new key can be securely sent to the mobiles via a public-key cryptosystem.)

>Don't believe Uniden when they say they will never build a digital
>scanner. If it comes down to staying in business, you can bet their
>butt they will develop some. The bottom line in any business is money,
>and staying in business.

Scanners form a very small part of Uniden's business.

--- jeh

3781. F/S opto456 & pro2006 & laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 01:42:31 UTC
From: gizmogarza@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000816214231.15511.00000329@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 82009

That's right all three for sale as one unit. Comes with scancat and probe 4.0
Asking $600 Thank you

3782. Pro 2042 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 01:46:17 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000816214617.26655.00001291@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82010

Pro 2042 on ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=409208291

Thanks!
Dwayne

3783. Pro 2045 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 01:47:37 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000816214737.26655.00001292@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82011

Pro 2045 For auction on ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=408622709

Thanks!

Dwayne

3784. Pro 26 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 01:48:37 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000816214837.26655.00001294@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 82012

Pro 26 On ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=408613467

Thanks!

Dwayne

3785. Re: PRO 94 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 02:23:02 UTC
From: JH Evans <jhevans@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <a0lm5.28072$gW5.1553983@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On page 41 of my PRO94 manual, it states "your scanner cannot scan trunked system and conventional frequencies at the same time."

Grey <gghost@rmi.net> wrote in message news:nHym5.3$Qk4.1886@den-news1.rmi.net...
> On page 39 of the owners manual, it says you can scan both at the same time.
>
>
> Gina Medina wrote in message <399766B4.6958DBD4@worldnet.att.net>...
> >You can scan multi systems but not both trunk and conventional
> >
> >mike wrote:
> >>
> >> I'm taking a serious look at the pro-94 but I have a few
> >> questions....can you scan multiple trunk systems
> >> (either of the same or different types) at the same time...can you scan
> >> multiple trunk systems and conventional frequencies at
> >> the same time....what is the khz step size?
> >> Your help would be very much appreciated.
> >> Thanks
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 -
> http://www.uncensored-news.com
> >> With Servers In California, Texas And Virginia - The Worlds Uncensored
> News Source
> >>
>
>

3786. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 02:24:39 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nfid7dsc0@63.162.246.134>
Source record: 82014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Johnny, you forget, I know. Is the "University" that you claim within
> > 100, no, 200, no, even 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved
> SNIP
>
> Wrong again Blake!! First, I attended all classes locally. Second, with
> the way

Lies again Johnny. Answer the question. Was the "university" that you
claim
withing 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved your diploma?

> you have repeatedly lied in the past, I certainly would NOT have given you
> enough data to be able to do anything. Remember, that was when my father
> had reported your harassment to law enforcement, so I took VERY COMPLETE
> notes of what was said & happened.

Which is more lies, and you have been found a liar by default, by
failing
to prove your claims of a police report.

3787. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 02:28:01 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nfijhdsc1@63.162.246.134>
Source record: 82015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake Bowers wrote:

> John, you are caught in lies AGAIN! I stayed out of this until
> YOU started in accusing me of things. YOU started the crap.
>
> Insofar as the comments about your father, post proof of this
> incident, in the form of the name, badge number, and report
> number of the officer you allegedly filed a complaint with,
> or be found a liar by default.
>
> Oh, and yes, we will verify, as you will be lying if you
> post the above.

John, we are still waiting.... Ya loon.

3788. Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:33:25 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <92Jm5.4419$Ur3.39221@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82016

Why should he leave the NG?

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> as you leave the NG?

3789. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:36:44 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <g5Jm5.4420$Ur3.39057@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not very sharp, are you?

You have posted the same thing about 5 times. Obviously you can't think
of anything else to say.

Typical CAL, how sad.

"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:399A3A20.792C20C1@cts.com...
> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
SNIP

3790. Re: Richard Kendrick- N7NT the Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:38:04 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <w6Jm5.4421$Ur3.39517@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How many times do you have to be asked?

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> Do you really want to know,
SNIP

3791. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:39:04 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-B66978.23390216082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82019

While I completely agree with everything else in this post, I do want to make one quibble:

> I doubt it's going to be anything like as expensive as has been bandied
> about here. There really isn't any reason, other than low volume
> production, why a police digital HT should cost much more than a digital
> cellphone. In fact, in a way, these things WILL be specialized digital
> cellphones.

You have obviously never seen a Motorola or Ericsson radio catalog! While the difference in real cost to the manufacturer between digital and digital with encryption is small, they charge HUGE amounts for options like that. A conventional handheld radio might cost about $1000 new in the box. A digital handheld might cost about $3000 new in the box. The encryption option could easily add up to $1000 on top of that. It shouldn't, but it does.

-A

3792. Re: Mackey Found By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:40:27 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <L8Jm5.4422$Ur3.39602@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you for your support!

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote >
> That's right! How could anyone accuse Innocent John!!!

3793. Re: Immature Baby Cory the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:50:05 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <NhJm5.4439$Ur3.39856@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Then you have NO idea about my stamina!!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> You are obviously geting worn down from all of this
> replying Mackey. You know you can't keep it up
> forever. And we all know it, too. There are so many
SNIP

3794. Re: Larson you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 03:53:17 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <NkJm5.4456$Ur3.39975@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,newsone.log

I NEVER stalked ANYONE. Bill Cheek did stalk ME.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Actually I am calling from Puyallup. So what? Are you going to stalk me
like
> you did Bill Cheek?
SNIP

3795. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:05:26 UTC
From: davekdo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000817000526.18645.00001607@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 82023

You can listen to cordless phone calls,
but you can't devulge the content of
the call. At least that's the way It was
put to me about 7 years ago....perhaps
it's changed.
Dave
test

3796. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:18:59 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <TIJm5.4627$Ur3.40538@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Answer the question. Was the "university" that you claim
> withing 2000 miles of where you where when you recieved your diploma?

Yes it was.

3797. Re: Blake Bowers & friends (loosers!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:19:51 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <HJJm5.4633$Ur3.40777@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,newsone.log

At what?

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Caught him again!

3798. Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:20:52 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <EKJm5.4642$Ur3.40851@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82026

This is how they treat anyone who disagrees with them!! How pathetic
they are.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Piss off Kolari and go preach to
SNIP

3799. lack of maturity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:21:32 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <gLJm5.4648$Ur3.38352@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 82027

They are about as mature as 2 year olds!

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> What a mature bunch we have on this SCANNER newsgroup!

3800. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:38:29 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <9%Jm5.5187$dG.175929@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 82028

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000816133724.02377.00000462@ng-cg1.aol.com...
>
> The thing to remember is this: It has been illegal for decades to
eavesdrop on
> the conversation in the next booth at a restaurant, to listen in on the
people
> sitting in the next seat on the commuter train or to conversations in the
next
> office cubicle. It has at times been held illegal to eavesdrop on your own
kids
> on your own extention phone...

Excuse me? Care to cite some actual laws that say you can't listen to a
conversation in a public place?

3801. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 04:58:37 UTC
From: stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <1iKm5.3379$juZ4.14221685@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 82029

Hey folks the rough cost for a small town 1.5 Million

My city went to Motorola Astro Sabres (digitally encrypted). They bought
about 35 Portable and 30 mobile plus base and two Antennas.

Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:amckie-B66978.23390216082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> While I completely agree with everything else in this post, I do want to
> make one quibble:
>
> > I doubt it's going to be anything like as expensive as has been bandied
> > about here. There really isn't any reason, other than low volume
> > production, why a police digital HT should cost much more than a digital
> > cellphone. In fact, in a way, these things WILL be specialized digital
> > cellphones.
>
> You have obviously never seen a Motorola or Ericsson radio catalog!
> While the difference in real cost to the manufacturer between digital
> and digital with encryption is small, they charge HUGE amounts for
> options like that. A conventional handheld radio might cost about $1000
> new in the box. A digital handheld might cost about $3000 new in the
> box. The encryption option could easily add up to $1000 on top of that.
> It shouldn't, but it does.
>
> -A

3802. Sold Pro 92 & AOR 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 05:04:32 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000817010432.15097.00000126@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 82030

Pro 92 SOld

AOR 16 Sold

Thanks

3803. Re: RadioShack PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-17 06:02:55 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <N%Km5.347$%A.2212@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 82031

"Shamire" <Shamire@home.com> wrote in message
news:399B08D0.D9996C9A@home.com...
> ive been out of scanning for about 2 years now.. ive found a Radio Shack
> PRO 2026
> for a Cheap price.. its a little beat up but as far as i know it
> still works
>
> can you still get replacment parts for it??

Radio Shack will still repair it, and various items are available for
purchase through radioshack.com online or through your local store.

> is it a good scanner to start off with until i can save money for
> a better one?

I have used one extensively in my car for 4-5 years and have been quite
pleased with it's performance. If you don't need trunking ability then you
should be pleased with it. I use it with a commercial dual band antenna and
it pulls in all the traffic, even 800 MHz. It does suffer from intermod
from VHF pagers, but a notch filter from PAR Electronics took care of that.
The filter runs about $60 from Grove Enterprises. I know, it's not cheap,
but you can use it on any radio for years to come.

> Would this be a good desktop scanner just to play around with?

Works pretty good on a 12 power supply.

> Thanks!

Your Welcome,
Mark K5MAR

3804. Bibb County Georgia Sheriff's Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Waller <rickwalleresq@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Tnjn5.1559$Vr4.30998@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the frequencies and trunking type for the Bibb County Georgia's Sheriff's Dept, Thanks for your help.

--
Rick Waller
rickwaller@abanet.org

3805. WTB: PRO 2042 OR 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <399DC3AD.FDDF6FEF@home.com>
Source record: 81748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please email me if you a real clean,perfectly functional unit for sale at a fair price. box? manual? I plan on using it in the car so if you have the cig. adaptor. great

3806. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81749

Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> OK relax Glenn!
That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?

>I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> you knew that was Mackey.

Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you the
answer.

> A little touchy are we today?

No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could prove
it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.

> And for all you
> Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
bandwidth
> (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.

Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth was
wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a possibility
of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by himself
for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like this
for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off topic
posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do you
expect from your type, though.

> As for you John Mackey,
> maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their foolish
> posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.

You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown it yet
Adam-Corky.

> Adam Kolari
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty jeans.
> > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
> >
> > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> >

> > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> >
> > God Bless you little lamb.
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > >
> > > Adam
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher
> > license
> > > > class gives you......
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a fool
> > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed
> > amateur
> > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > >
> > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message
> and
> > > > lets
> > > > > go
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3807. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F94D99F9jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

charp@htonline.com (Charley Harp) wrote in
<spqng89s87v93@corp.supernews.com>:

>Hi, Chuck,
>
>This sounds like a neat project, and I would hate to rain on your
>parade, but I'd like to bring two issues to your attention.
>
>First, and least important, news gathering frequencies have the same
>status under the law as do cell phone conversations. 'Nuff said.
>
>Second, and more important, if you have the chance to check out the
>antenna used by the helo, make sure that it is not highly directional,
>or else you actually will have a line-of-sight problem. If it is a
>single whip element, things are good. But if it's a beam-type, or
>reflector-type, it would have to be pointed right at you to be viewable.

Icom's forcomimg R3 handheld was MADE for this kinda' thing. Not only can
it pick up TV broadcasts and wireless cameras, but it might be able to pick
up this ENG stuff too.

3808. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <399DD52F.5EBBBB1E@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81751

How true... you know it's interesting how many people use their cell and cordless phones on a Friday evening. No, I would not be listening as that would be illegal, but while scanning for some FRS walkie talkies and baby monitors, I accidentally, "unintentionally" came across some interesting things. Same when I was using a Pro-34 is search mode on 400 mhz, all sorts of cell phone calls I unintentionally found... oh well, I guess until the goverment wakes up and realizes this is radio they are trying to regulate and that means they should require a license from cell phone users with them identifying themselves with a call sign every ten minutes of conversation, and perhaps label cordless and cell phones that they are transmission devices using radio waves and anything you say may be heard by someone else, people will be led by the government in a false sense of privacy (or should I say the reality is a total lack of!). Talk about misleading government actions... this was a good one, to make people think they can have privacy on their cell and cordless phones, ha ha ha!

Steven

BK wrote:

> Legal is one thing-enforcement is quite another.
>
> No User wrote:
> >
> > Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its illegal.
> >
> > Jim B.

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

3809. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <2Lkn5.5759$uX.8058@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81752

Glenn,

I am not, I repeat, I am not a JW. Those people are weird! As for my experience on Usenet, you're right, I don't know squat. I'm here to talk about scanners. Somehow I got involved in a never-ending rivalry between you guys and Mackey. I do have to say that you are one of the more mature "immature" people on this NG. It's the ones like Cory who are total losers who are here just to make trouble. Hopefully all this squabbling will come to an end soon, it's just getting ridiculous.

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > OK relax Glenn!
> That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
>
> >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > you knew that was Mackey.
>
> Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you the
> answer.
>
> > A little touchy are we today?
>
> No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
prove
> it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
>
> > And for all you
> > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> bandwidth
> > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
>
> Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth was
> wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
possibility
> of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by himself
> for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like
this
> for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off topic

> posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do you
> expect from your type, though.
>
> > As for you John Mackey,
> > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their foolish
> > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
>
> You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown it
yet
> Adam-Corky.
>
>
>
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty jeans.
> > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
> > >
> > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > >
> > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > >
> > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > >
> > > > Adam
> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher
> > > license
> > > > > class gives you......
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a
fool
> > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed
> > > amateur

> > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
message
> > and
> > > > > > > lets
> > > > > > > > go
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
>

3810. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <WTkn5.5764$uX.8893@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81753

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399D7021.15A2C5A8@lpaa.com...
> Mackey is doing the picking. Just how much of an idiot
> are you anyway.

Judging my marks last year, I'd say that I'm pretty smart. My overall average was 90%.

> What you're really pissed off about is how you chose to
> side with mackey and now your kicking yourself for it.
> You're too embarrassed to admit it.

This has nothing to do with Mackey anymore. I just love arguing with you fools and watching you get angry...LOL. I love being the underdog in an argument, especially when arguing with hot-headed people like you...hahahahahaha. God Bless!

Adam Kolari

3811. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nkmtd$8je$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's LOSER, not LOOSER. LOOSER means more than loose, not wrapped tight like
a few people on this board.

In article <399D6855.B680D950@lpaa.com>, mnb <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote:

>
>
> K S 0 F wrote:
>
>> That's fine because I couldn't care less about your email list!
>>
>> "Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
>> > My ID doesn't accept email unless you are specifically on my list.
>> SNIP
>
>
>

3812. Re:John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DE4FB.D5EB5ECB@primenet.com>
Source record: 81755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> How many times do you have to be asked?
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > Do you really want to know,
> SNIP

When you were badgering Cheek for data about his degrees, you used every angle you could to embarrass and shame him into revealing the information. You trotted out your Amateur and commercial licenses proclaiming yourself superior because Cheek didn't have an equivalent. But, you failed to play the ultimate card and flaunt your alleged degrees. You couldn't play that card because your so called degree doesn't exist, except in your psychotic mind.

Now I give you another golden opportunity to trump me and even banish me from the scanner newsgroups and what do you do? You run from the chance like the coward that you are.

So why, when you are so hell bent on shaming your critics, do you run from the ultimate triumph? Hmmm, let's see:

A - You can't play the trump card because the degree doesn't exist, that you lied about its existence.

B - You don't care that you can't defend the accusation that you lied about your degrees because you want to keep the turbulence in the newsgroups aflame.

C - You've told the lie so long that you believe your own lies.

D - All the above.

Here's a hint, Toilet Boy: the answer is D, as in dolt, like you.

Just so you remember the original post, here, for your is the message in its entirety for your irritation.

Do you really want to know, Toilet Boy? You can buy the information, if you have the courage to face the truth.

Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology degrees.

I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

So what do you say, Toilet Boy? Are you man enough and honest enough
to accept this challenge?

Until then Toilet Boy, you are a liar and a fraud.

3813. FT, Yaesu 530 dualband handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yizeguy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15852-399DE4AF-128@storefull-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 81756

I have a nice Yaesu 530 handheld in excellent condition. its a 2 meter / 440 mhz, with 3 batteries. one is a 2250 mah, the others aa dry cells, manual and wall charger.

i would like to trade it for an Icom R-10 or an AOR 8000, or 8200

please email reply if interested

3814. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DE7F1.91F27DA5@primenet.com>
Source record: 81757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>
> > To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:
> > First: Not only is the emperor wearing no clothes, but he's
> SNIP
>
> Why don't you tell Larry YOURSELF?? Are you AFRAID?

I already did, but you knew that.

> > You denied patronizing child prostitutes. You lied.
> >
> > Subject: Re: Credentials
> > From: John Mackey KA0SSF <nowhere@anyplace.net>
> > Date: 1996/10/10
> >
> > BILL WATTS wrote:
> > > Woweee! Ten whole dollars! You must be pretty damned sure
> > > of yourself to risk half a day's pay.
> >
> > Naw, hell that's all I paid to buy your daughter for the weekend.
>
> This does not mention "prostitutes" ANYWHERE", so you fail AGAIN
> to provide ANY proof of your accusation!

Paying any person, even minors, for sex is prostitution.

>
> > You claim to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL
> > and other technical publications. You lied.
> >
> > Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
> > Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
> > From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
> > Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
> SNIP
>
> Richard - The above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I
> said
> or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your
> accusation!

Since you claim the above post never existed and you're too stupid to
look it up in DejaNews, I reposted the header of the original message
to assist you. You know as well as I do, the original message exists,
you're just having another psychotic episode.

> > You claimed you've never been indentified as known Internet abuser.
> > You lied.
>
> Your messages from "Joe Greco" are forges, YOU are proven a liar!

I have posted the original messages from Mr. Greco in their entirety.
If you can find someone to show you how, look up the messages in
DejaNews
for yourself.

> > You claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet addresses.
> > You lied.
> >
> > Date: Wed, 04 Mar 1998 21:43:11 -0700
> > From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
> > Subject: Re: IWSTIRN REAL IDENITY
>
> Once again, the above message is FROM YOU. It proves NOTHING about what I
> said
> or didn't say. Once again, you fail AGAIN to provide ANY proof of your
> accusation!

So Toilet Boy, still afraid to face the truth of your fake identities.
That's why you snipped them.

> > You claimed you were not the most proflic poster of off topic messages.
> SNIP
> > This is the quantity of postings broke down on a month by month basis
> > for each of the people named above. If a message was cross-posted to
> > both qroups, it was only counted once.
> >
> > Date | Mackey | Cheek | Kendrick | Bowers | Vetterle | allsafe | K4CC
> > ---
> SNIP
> > ---
> > TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341
> > ---
> > AVG/DAY| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.93
> > ---
> > AVG/ADJ| 5.86 | 6.43 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.09 | 3.72
>
> By looking at what YOU POSTED, Cheek ranked considerably
> higher in both "TOTALS" and "AVG". Therefore, you have proven
> that I was NOT the most "prolific" poster since I was beaten out
> by Cheek according to the information you posted. Thank you for your
> support!

Cheeks totals were artifically inflated due to repostings from the
messages
you canceled. Not counting the repostings, his totals were in the low
one thousands. That makes you the most prolific poster of off topic
messages of the group.

> > You claimed WD-40 was unsuitable in an electronic application. You lied.
>
> Correct, & I still state the same. What is your point?

You hide the fact that Roy Lewallen, a Technical Advisor for the ARRL
endorsed the usage of WD-40 in electronic applications. Mr. Lewallen is
a Technical Advisor because he is qualified to make such advisements.
You're not a Technical Advisor and you're not even technically qualified
to change batteries in a flashlight, to your unqualified opinion is
useless and verifies your technical stupidity.

> > You claimed Bill Cheek had never been a member of Mensa. You lied.
>
> WRONG! I stated that Cheek was NOT a member of Mensa, I did not say
> that he had never been a member.

Sure you did. If you want proof, find someone to show it to you in
DejaNews. Otherwise, go urinate into the wind.

3815. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DE97B.68363A14@primenet.com>
Source record: 81758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > the header from the proof. Now, I know
> > you're very inept with computers and all, so maybe you can get
> > help from someone else to look the message up. Also, don't forget
> SNIP
> > Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2000 18:43:12 -0700
> > From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
> > Organization: Frontier GlobalCenter Inc.
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc, alt.fan.john.mackey
> SNIP
>
> OK, so what are you trying to prove?

Actually, you proved it for me: that you're stupid and your mental
illness exacerbates your denial and you can't remember anything that
causes you to face the truth about yourself. Let me remind you:
John Mackey, you are a liar and a fraud.

> > To your dimwitted friend Larry Johnson, two things:
> > First: Not only is the emperor wearing
>
> Why don't you tell him yourself? Are you afraid to send him an e-mail?

I already did, but your mental illness prohibits you from remembering.

3816. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DEAF1.5AC0B095@primenet.com>
Source record: 81759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> I am Rick Larson

Am I supposed to be impressed or something?

> I'm sure Richard has his killfilters activated like he always claims.

I've never claimed to have killfilters enabled. Have you been sampling
Toilet Boy's expired medication again?

> This is an example of his perverted style of self righteousness.

How odd, a Mackey Lackey actually quotes something verbatim and didn't
employ their standard revisionist tactics. Better watch out, you'll
annoy your hero Toilet Boy and fall from grace.

I'm not surprised that you find my position 'perverted.' I'd bet if
this was Nazi Germany, and you were watching the facists arrest your
neighbors in the middle of the night, you'd do nothing. I'd also bet
that when they finally come for you, you'd whine like the coward
Mackey does when someone gives him a dose of his own medicine as
forcefully as he spews his bile.

> Rick,
>
> > Yes, Corky Kendrick
> > You will still act like the Ceek-A-Like that you are.
> > Shut up.
> > Surely you must realize that you are a big part of this problem.
>
> Thank you for your input. However, I do believe that, perhaps, you
> have misunderstood the situation because you are somewhat unfamiliar
> with the entire history of Cheek and Mackey. My condemnation of Mackey
> is not because I was friends with Bill Cheek. It is because of Mackey's
> deplorable behavior over the last few years and my refusal to tolerate
> it.
>
> I would respond the same if the situation were arranged differently.
> For instance, suppose Mackey were Cheek and you were Mackey. If you
> acted the way Mackey has acted towards Cheek (and others), I would be
> denouncing *your* behavior. In other words, it's NOT who is involved,
> but the actions of the involved parties.
>
> As a comparison, William Shockley, one of the co-inventors of the

> transistor, was one of the greatest contributors to technology of the
> twentieth century. But, Shockley was also an ardent racist and wrote
> extensively on the intellectual inferiority of black people. In the
> case of Cheek, you cannot deny that he has contributed to the
> enjoyment of the scanner hobby by many many people through his books
> and articles in Monitoring Times, and the help he gave people in the
> scanner newsgroups. You can admire the mans achievements, but you
> might not admire the man. You cannot say the same about Mackey.
>
> I'll bet there have been a few times in your life when you've
> witnessed a disturbance of one type or another and silently wished
> someone would speak up, perhaps even to intervene. I am the kind of
> person that *will* speak up and intervene if necessary. Once again,
> this is not about Mackey but about Mackey's behavior.
>
> In short, I will not tolerate the reckless and destructive behavior
> of people like Mackey. I have and will continue to stand up and say
> so, loudly. I urge you to do the same.
>
> 73,
>
> Richard
>
> K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> news:w6Jm5.4421$Ur3.39517@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > How many times do you have to be asked?
> >
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > > Do you really want to know,
> > SNIP
> >
> >
> >

3817. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DEBE0.460BBD33@primenet.com>
Source record: 81760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> What about Newbie, Rick Larson, HamGuy1, & others?? They are
> supporting me, also. This is another example of how you guys
> alter the truth.

So what if the voices in your head support you. The talley is still over 45 against you and only 3 for you. Go make up some more fake identities and try to stuff the ballot box just like your hero Adolf Hitler did in Germany.

3818. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <399DECF0.188B2E2C@primenet.com>
Source record: 81761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Glenn - This is NOT a binaries NG, YOU wasted the bandwidth by posting
> the binaries here, NOT myself or Adam.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > None of "your" bandwidth was
> > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> possibility
> > of wasted bandwidth,

What's the matter Toilet Boy, don't you remember the binary of a post card allegedly sent to you by Bill Cheek? Makes you out to be a hypocrite, again.

> Get a life & get a brain.

Perhaps you should follow your own advise.

3819. Help with Federal Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <rabiddog@gulftel.com>
Message-ID: <sprr916187v49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in the South Alabama area and have been logging alot of channels in the 163-170 and 406-420 MHz bands. I've logged about 30 or so frequencies today. Is there anyway to tell what agency the frequency belongs to? Or what other related frequencies might be in my area that I am missing? Thanks in advance.

Jason

3820. FA: Radio Shack Pro-2030 800Mhz base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <399DEF5F.EFD83F14@uswest.net>
Source record: 81763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=412084868

3821. Re: Glann Hansen KB7PKC harassing others was:Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sprujoj587v104@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Is Glenn potty trained and can he show some real proof.

K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
news:0Mkn5.8187$Ur3.88368@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Typical example of Glenn Hansen KB7PKC harassing users of this NG.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > RicK Larson suffering from lack of oxygen pulled his head out
> SNIP
>
>

3822. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sprupotf87v80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81765

It is so good not to be a CAL and have association to people how lack knowledge and basic social skills.
I'll bet Glenn has a long list of accomplishments to his credit!!!!!!!!!
Nottttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > OK relax Glenn!
> That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
>
> >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > you knew that was Mackey.
>
> Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you the
> answer.
>
> > A little touchy are we today?
>
> No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
prove
> it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
>
> > And for all you
> > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> bandwidth
> > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
>
> Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth was
> wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
possibility
> of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by himself
> for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like
this
> for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off topic
> posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do you
> expect from your type, though.
>
> > As for you John Mackey,
> > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their foolish

> > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
>
> You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown it
yet
> Adam-Corky.
>
>
>
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty jeans.
> > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
> > >
> > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > >
> > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > >
> > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > >
> > > > Adam
> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher
> > > license
> > > > > class gives you......
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a
fool
> > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed
> > > amateur
> > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new

```
message
> > and
> > > > > lets
> > > > > > go
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

3823. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sprvbtpd87v30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81766

These guys are a self righteous riot.

Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:b4en5.4183$uX.5967@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> You people crack me up! So I'm an idiot, eh? If that's what they call
> people who stick up for people who are being picked on, then I'm guilty.
> Keep the immature replies coming, Cory!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
news:399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com...
> > You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> > bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> > bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> > the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > Exactly, John. Well said.
> > >
> > > Adam
> > >
> > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
> Doing
> > > what
> > > > is right isn't always popular.
> > > >
> > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > > > SNIP
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

3824. Re: Silly Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8nl00k$k36$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81767

Make a list. The back of "Police Call" (available at all Rad Shacks) has some blank number-lined pages you can copy and fill in the freq/user & notes.

After a while you'll recognize the frequency numbers on sight. Just takes time.

Also, after a while, just by listening to codes, UNIT NUMBERS ESPECIALLY, and locations, you'll know hwo you're hearing.

Like everything...time and practice. Have fun (I've been listening for nearly 40 years...even when I was dispatching I'd turn on my car scanner on my way home half the time!)

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

"David Rudnick" <cocoa999@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:B5C36FD9.B51B%cocoa999@bellatlantic.net...
> I am quite new to all the scanning stuff, but I got a Pro-94 a few days
> ago...
>
> One quick, silly question. I want to program a whole bunch of different
> frequencies in, but not listen to all at once.
>
> How do you keep track of that and see what frequency you are hearing when
it
> comes up?
> --
> David Rudnick
> cocoa999@bellatlantic.net
>
>

3825. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <399DBD91.D9C3B528@cts.com>
Source record: 81768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chuck Rowe wrote:
>
> Does anyone know how much a portable receiver would cost to receive
> video from news helicopters? I would be using this in an airplane
> along side the choppers so there would be no line of sight issues.
> Would be really cool to look at video from helicopters while covering
> stories they're also covering.

First off a real reciever, antenna and various cables will start around $15,000. You would need to mount the antenna outside the aircraft.

But the whole thing is not realistic for watching feeds and liveshots from the trucks. The 2 gigahertz and sometimes 6ghz signals are fed to receive sites on the ground and are very directional. The feed from the chopper can be omni or directional (some airships have both) but since a fixed wing plane would be above the chopper the chances of grabbing any type of patchable signal would be slim.

Now if you wanted to watch the in-car race cameras with a 2ghz receiver you would have a much better chance since those antennas point *up* to a fixed wing plane and are bounced back to the ground receivers.

If you expect any kind of success you will need a good 2ghz omni antenna mounted outside the aircraft.

Markj

3826. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <yLnn5.1692$zg2.432610@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81769

Adam, you need a dose of reality then. Maybe you are mistaken. Mackey talks a good line, for about 20 daily posts. Do dejanews. see the truth about Sociopath John-Corky. Here I''ll give you a start for the last couple of months posted by another user. You will also notice John-Corky or his rear driver RicK seem to ignore the facts. You tell me Adam why John-Corky would do the following name changes if he wasn't trying to get on peoples nerves.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

The above says it all about John-Corky's motives. 1000's of messages with 15 different names in a short period of time.

Glenn

Okay, you aren't a JW but if you seem blind. Look at the 55 some messages
Corky-John posted today alone. Does it say anything about Toilet-boy??

Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:2Lkn5.5759$uX.8058@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Glenn,
>
> I am not, I repeat, I am not a JW. Those people are weird! As for my
> experience on Usenet, you're right, I don't know squat. I'm here to talk
> about scanners. Somehow I got involved in a never-ending rivalry between
> you guys and Mackey. I do have to say that you are one of the more mature
> "immature" people on this NG. It's the ones like Cory who are total
losers
> who are here just to make trouble. Hopefully all this squabbling will
come
> to an end soon, it's just getting ridiculous.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > OK relax Glenn!
> > That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
> >
> > >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > > you knew that was Mackey.
> >
> > Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you the
> > answer.
> >
> > > A little touchy are we today?
> >
> > No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
> prove
> > it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
> >
> > > And for all you
> > > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> > bandwidth
> > > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
> >
> > Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth was
> > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> possibility
> > of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by
himself
> > for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like

> this
> > for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off topic
> > posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do you
> > expect from your type, though.
> >
> > > As for you John Mackey,
> > > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their
foolish
> > > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> > > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
> >
> > You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown it
> yet
> > Adam-Corky.
> >
> >
> >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty
jeans.
> > > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > > >
> > > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > > >
> > > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > > >
> > > > Glenn
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam
> > > > >
> > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a
higher
> > > > license
> > > > > > class gives you......
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message

> > > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a
> fool
> > > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a
licensed
> > > > amateur
> > > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
> message
> > > and
> > > > > > lets
> > > > > > > go
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3827. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <SOnn5.1705$zg2.433970@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81770

RicK-Corky You must be Mackey-bowl or you are his clone. I knew you were to much like John-corky. Little girl RicK could take the challenge. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Oh yeah, I never have made any effort to say I have accomplished anything. I still know its more than you RicK. You ran from the challenge and them like a good little monkey stand on your organ grinders shoulder and yack like John-corky.

Run RicKy-Corky Run

RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message news:sprupotf87v80@corp.supernews.com...
> It is so good not to be a CAL and have association to people how lack
> knowledge and basic social skills.
> I'll bet Glenn has a long list of accomplishments to his credit!!!!!!!!!
>
Nottttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > OK relax Glenn!
> > That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
> >
> > >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > > you knew that was Mackey.
> >
> > Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you the
> > answer.
> >
> > > A little touchy are we today?
> >
> > No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
> prove
> > it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
> >
> > > And for all you
> > > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> > bandwidth
> > > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.

> >
> > Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth was
> > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> possibility
> > of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by
himself
> > for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like
> this
> > for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off topic
> > posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do you
> > expect from your type, though.
> >
> > > As for you John Mackey,
> > > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their
foolish
> > > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> > > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
> >
> > You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown it
> yet
> > Adam-Corky.
> >
> >
> >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty
jeans.
> > > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > > >
> > > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > > >
> > > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > > >
> > > > Glenn
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam
> > > > >
> > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...

> > > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a
higher
> > > > license
> > > > > > class gives you......
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a
> fool
> > > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a
licensed
> > > > amateur
> > > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
> message
> > > and
> > > > > > lets
> > > > > > > > go
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

3828. PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81771

Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
>
> As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from our
last
> club meeting. 72 votes for John Mackey and 6 against John. We did cast 78
votes
> against Glenn and removed any chance that he could join our club. I guess
that
> these votes must be counted before the 24:00 PDT deadline imposed by the
USENET
> groups.
> HAhahahaha you lose again.

Let's see.

Parg - John-Corky Mackey-Bowl

Says it all.

3829. Re: FS Many Items/Must Sell!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: michael locke <bear2@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <sps2a3a587v41@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

10-4
Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:D1td5.435559$VR.5522705@news5.giganews.com...
> Oh boy.
>
> Here comes yet another Golden Screwdriver to the 11 meter band.
>
>
>
> TollHolio
>
>
>
>
> "800MHZ" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:39763341.C452C444@yahoo.com...
> > CB Tune Up manual sold!!
> > thnx
> >
>
>

3830. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sps2no5587v102@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81773

Duh, I guess that he has yanked yer crank plenty.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:yLnn5.1692$zg2.432610@news.uswest.net...
> Adam, you need a dose of reality then. Maybe you are mistaken. Mackey
talks
> a good line, for about 20 daily posts. Do dejanews. see the truth about
> Sociopath John-Corky. Here I''ll give you a start for the last couple of
> months posted by another user. You will also notice John-Corky or his rear
> driver RicK seem to ignore the facts. You tell me Adam why John-Corky
would
> do the following name changes if he wasn't trying to get on peoples
nerves.
>
> There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076

> There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
> The above says it all about John-Corky's motives. 1000's of messages with
15
> different names in a short period of time.
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
> Okay, you aren't a JW but if you seem blind. Look at the 55 some messages
> Corky-John posted today alone. Does it say anything about Toilet-boy??
>
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:2Lkn5.5759$uX.8058@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Glenn,
> >
> > I am not, I repeat, I am not a JW. Those people are weird! As for
my
> > experience on Usenet, you're right, I don't know squat. I'm here to
talk
> > about scanners. Somehow I got involved in a never-ending rivalry
between
> > you guys and Mackey. I do have to say that you are one of the more
mature
> > "immature" people on this NG. It's the ones like Cory who are total
> losers
> > who are here just to make trouble. Hopefully all this squabbling will
> come
> > to an end soon, it's just getting ridiculous.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > OK relax Glenn!
> > > That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
> > >
> > > >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > > > you knew that was Mackey.
> > >
> > > Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you
the
> > > answer.

> > >
> > > > A little touchy are we today?
> > >
> > > No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
> > prove
> > > it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
> > >
> > > > And for all you
> > > > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > > > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> > > bandwidth
> > > > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
> > >
> > > Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth
was
> > > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> > possibility
> > > of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by
> himself
> > > for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like
> > this
> > > for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off
topic
> > > posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do
you
> > > expect from your type, though.
> > >
> > > > As for you John Mackey,
> > > > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their
> foolish
> > > > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them
or
> > > > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
> > >
> > > You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown
it
> > yet
> > > Adam-Corky.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > Adam Kolari
> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty
> jeans.
> > > > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack
jaw.
> > > > >

> > > > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > > > >
> > > > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > > > >
> > > > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > > > >
> > > > > Glenn
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a
> higher
> > > > > license
> > > > > > > class gives you......
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make
a
> > fool
> > > > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a
> licensed
> > > > > amateur
> > > > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
> > message
> > > > and
> > > > > > > lets
> > > > > > > > go
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3831. Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sps2tsha87v15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81774

Dummy
Maybe PARGRADIO and PARG are two different groups.
Seems that young Ryan from MINN or Bowers said that it was PottersvilleARG
or something.
Better check you facts before you holler fraud.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net...
>
> Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >
> > As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from our
> last
> > club meeting. 72 votes for John Mackey and 6 against John. We did cast
78
> votes
> > against Glenn and removed any chance that he could join our club. I
guess
> that
> > these votes must be counted before the 24:00 PDT deadline imposed by the
> USENET
> > groups.
> > HAhahahaha you lose again.
>
> Let's see.
>
> Parg - John-Corky Mackey-Bowl
>
> Says it all.
>
>
>
>

3832. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <9Mon5.2379$vM1.380242@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81775

RicK Larson mustering up all his brain power spewed barely
coherrent.........

> Duh,

Only you RicK-Corky could be made to look brighter with that utterance. You
are John-Corky's equal!

> I guess that he has yanked yer crank plenty.

No, and the reality is I doubt he got to many if any. He isn't bright enough
to realize it nor do you seem to be. He did send me E-mail once admitting I
had made him "so mad" with my "smart assed remarks". The guy all but tear
stained my monitor.

Now scurry along RicK, go give John-Corky one of your 'special' hugs!

Glenn

> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:yLnn5.1692$zg2.432610@news.uswest.net...
> > Adam, you need a dose of reality then. Maybe you are mistaken. Mackey
> talks
> > a good line, for about 20 daily posts. Do dejanews. see the truth about
> > Sociopath John-Corky. Here I''ll give you a start for the last couple of
> > months posted by another user. You will also notice John-Corky or his
rear
> > driver RicK seem to ignore the facts. You tell me Adam why John-Corky
> would
> > do the following name changes if he wasn't trying to get on peoples
> nerves.
> >
> > There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> > There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> > There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> > There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> > There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> > There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752

> > There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> > There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> > There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> > There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> > There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> > There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
> > There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> > Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> > There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> > <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> > There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> > Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
> >
> >
> > The above says it all about John-Corky's motives. 1000's of messages
with
> 15
> > different names in a short period of time.
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> >
> >
> > Okay, you aren't a JW but if you seem blind. Look at the 55 some
messages
> > Corky-John posted today alone. Does it say anything about Toilet-boy??
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > news:2Lkn5.5759$uX.8058@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > Glenn,
> > >
> > > I am not, I repeat, I am not a JW. Those people are weird! As
for
> my
> > > experience on Usenet, you're right, I don't know squat. I'm here to
> talk
> > > about scanners. Somehow I got involved in a never-ending rivalry
> between
> > > you guys and Mackey. I do have to say that you are one of the more
> mature

> > > "immature" people on this NG. It's the ones like Cory who are total
> > losers
> > > who are here just to make trouble. Hopefully all this squabbling will
> > come
> > > to an end soon, it's just getting ridiculous.
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > OK relax Glenn!
> > > > That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
> > > >
> > > > >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how
> > > > > you knew that was Mackey.
> > > >
> > > > Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you
> the
> > > > answer.
> > > >
> > > > > A little touchy are we today?
> > > >
> > > > No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I
could
> > > prove
> > > > it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
> > > >
> > > > > And for all you
> > > > > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a
whole
> > > > > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> > > > bandwidth
> > > > > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
> > > >
> > > > Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth
> was
> > > > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> > > possibility
> > > > of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by
> > himself
> > > > for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth
like
> > > this
> > > > for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off
> topic
> > > > posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do
> you

> > > > expect from your type, though.
> > > >
> > > > > As for you John Mackey,
> > > > > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their
> > foolish
> > > > > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them
> or
> > > > > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
> > > >
> > > > You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't
shown
> it
> > > yet
> > > > Adam-Corky.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > >
> > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty
> > jeans.
> > > > > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack
> jaw.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Glenn
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Adam
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a
> > higher
> > > > > > license
> > > > > > > > class gives you......
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to
make
> a
> > > fool
> > > > > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a
> > licensed
> > > > > > amateur
> > > > > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
> > > message
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > lets
> > > > > > > > > go
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3833. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: omega <lll@wdw.es>
Message-ID: <3394452D.FEAFA5D@wdw.es>
Source record: 81797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,A

prueba de mensaje

3834. KENWOOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: omega <lll@wdw.es>
Message-ID: <339445B2.BB08894E@wdw.es>
Source record: 81798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,A

PRUEBA

3835. Re: is Mackey's lover!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <KQbn5.1328$uX.5070@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81799

Oh ya, am I ever being played for a fool. I sit here and reply to all your childish posts, and then I watch you reply with anger and such......I'm having a great time! Keep it up!

Adam Kolari

> Not at all stupid. You're too new to all of this to know
> what the hell is going on. You're such an idiot.
> Mackey (and now you) are the only ones being played
> for a fool.
>
>
>

3836. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <uUbn5.1489$uX.5101@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81800

You boys are hilarious! Never mind watching prime-time TV.....I can come here for all my comedic needs! As long as you keep replying in your childish manner, I'm all set! I love this! Now I know what my older brothers felt like when they bugged me! Chow (until your next childish reply)!

Adam Kolari

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0CD6.F6D28782@lpaa.com...
> Sure Kolari... we all believe you. Now pull Mackey's undersized penis
> from your mouth and spit. And your welcome.
>
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > Thanks for another example! Immature people always love to use sexual
put
> > downs....it makes them fell like a man. And the deeds that you speak of
are
> > physically impossible, since I don't know where John lives, and vice
versa.
> >
> > Adam
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
news:399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com...
> > > Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
> > > penis from your mouth and spit.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > >
> > > > Wow...what a witty comeback...touch�! Another prime example of the
> > maturity
> > > > level of some people on this NG.
> > > >
> > > > Adam
> > > >
> > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> > news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > > > > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari

> > > > > as you leave the NG?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his
ISP!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >

3837. Re: John Mackey - ALL HAIL KING MACKEY THE GREAT LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <O%bn5.1839$uX.5059@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81801

OOOOOOOHHHHHHH! I'll bet John is shaking! I don't think he would want to touch you, you pervert! You're probably just some twelve-year-old hiding behind daddy's computer.

Adam Kolari

"Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message news:200008180605.CAA07970@anon.cotse.com...
> Johnny BOY!!!
>
> You can't touch me, you can't even find me (let alone yourself with both hands, radar and a
> roadmap!)!
>
> However, I can find you, quite easily. Your history here (and on fidonet) is well known and
> widely disseminated. You cannot escape your own past, even though you try so hard.
>
> You and your aliases (and friends, all 4 of them) should really just give it up and go away. you
> can't win, ever! The truth is knwn and there is NOTHING you can do about it.
>
> With each and every posting, you prove what a collosal liar you are. With each and every
> post, you dig yourself in deeper.
>
> You have been applying this old rule (coined by Herman Goring of all people):
> "If you tell a lie big enough, for long enough, eventually everyone will come to believe it as
> truth". Unfortunately for you, the skills are not there and you don't have the vocabulary for it
> either.
>
> just give it up and go away (on all the groups). you can't win. I won't let you.
>
> The_Judge
>
>

3838. KENWOOD TH-D7E SE VENDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: omega <lll@wdw.es>
Message-ID: <33944CEC.5DA9D9FB@wdw.es>
Source record: 81802

Se vende kenwood TH-D7E ultimo modelo de la marca
capaz de enviar y recibir imajenes.Se vende con 3 semanas de uso,ni una
raya
con embalajes originales por unas 55.000pts. Si estas interesado
escribeme a:
e-mail: omega2000es@yahoo.es

3839. KENWOOD TH-7E SE VENDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: omega <lll@wdw.es>
Message-ID: <33944D92.2F47F104@wdw.es>
Source record: 81803

Se vende K

3840. gfg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: omega <lll@wdw.es>
Message-ID: <33944E0D.3D9BFBCC@wdw.es>
Source record: 81804

gfftter55r

3841. KENWOOD TH-D7E SE VENDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: OMEGA <omega2000es@yahoo.es>
Message-ID: <33945000.B2FC4EED@yahoo.es>
Source record: 81805

Se vende KENWOOD TH-D7E el ultimo portatil de la marca.
Tiene unas 3 semanas de uso completamente nuevo y sin una raya,
con embalajes originales, por unas 55.000pts.Si te interesa mandame un
e-mail a:

e-mail: omega2000es@yahoo.es

3842. Re: Scanner --> PC --> RealAudio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Chisholm <jim@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Message-ID: <399D5432.E7AA6552@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Source record: 81806

percykn@my-deja.com wrote:

> Folks,
> What is the best way to transmit data via my scanner (Trenton
> Mercer County Aiport traffic) to the web ?
>
> Which handheld scanners are best to hook up to a computer ?
>
> Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Hi..
I've got an old Bearcat 200XLT that I run into the audio-in jack on my SBLive! audio card..I then run RealProducer and RealServer under Linux and via my cable modem am able to
tune into my Fire Department's live broadcasts (with any RealAudio player) anywhere in the world..
Loads of fun..

Jim

--

==========
Jim Chisholm
Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
==========

3843. F/S AOR--AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hitman1656@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818115018.11706.00000363@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 81807

NO BLOCKS ON 800MHZ

COME WITH A CASE,
PRE-AMP,
1 MO OLD.

MUST SELL $ 450.00 P/S

3844. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <spqng89s87v93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, Chuck,

This sounds like a neat project, and I would hate to rain on your parade, but I'd like to bring two issues to your attention.

First, and least important, news gathering frequencies have the same status under the law as do cell phone conversations. 'Nuff said.

Second, and more important, if you have the chance to check out the antenna used by the helo, make sure that it is not highly directional, or else you actually will have a line-of-sight problem. If it is a single whip element, things are good. But if it's a beam-type, or reflector-type, it would have to be pointed right at you to be viewable.

Good signals!
Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

"Chuck Rowe" <chuck@nospam.latraffic.org> wrote in message news:399de12a.50841064@news.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know how much a portable receiver would cost to receive
> video from news helicopters? I would be using this in an airplane
> along side the choppers so there would be no line of sight issues.
> Would be really cool to look at video from helicopters while covering
> stories they're also covering.

3845. Santa Fe 10 codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmayn41163@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818122904.29980.00000015@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81809

Anyone know the 10 codes for the Santa Fe NM police?

3846. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Twdn5.798$vM1.103528@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81810

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8nj9s0$12c$2@63.162.247.243...
>
>
> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > What about Newbie, Rick Larson, HamGuy1, & others?? They are
> > supporting me, also. This is another example of how you guys
> > alter the truth.
> >
>
> Well, Ole Newbie is hiding behind that alias, and seems to be just
> another name for John Mackey.

Go with it Blake, make John-Corky feel good. Yes John, there are three people that support you out of the now 52 that hate you. Seek professional help John-Corky.

3847. Santa Fe 10 codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmayn41163@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818123404.29980.00000016@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81811

Does anyone know the Santa Fe NM 10 codes?

Chuck

3848. Re: Mackey is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6840.7B5C5903@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81812

Thats so funny fat bald boy. But we ALL KNOW FOR
SURE about that large gap between your front teeth.
Fix it. DUH

K S 0 F wrote:

> That's because it's not as big as the enmpty gap between your ears.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > How come you never make any references about your
> > big gap?

3849. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6855.B680D950@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:

> That's fine because I couldn't care less about your email list!
>
> "Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> > My ID doesn't accept email unless you are specifically on my list.
> SNIP

3850. Re: Kolari is Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6885.A4541F6@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81814

You're just saying that corky because he's the only
friend you have.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Adam know a lot more than YOU!
>
> "mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Not at all stupid. You're too new to all of this to know
> > what the hell is going on.
> SNIP

3851. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Mackey is a fool.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D68A1.CB7C3B08@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81815

K S 0 F wrote:

> I have posted it many times, you guys simply refuse to accept it.
>
> "Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> > Not a single one. Ever, Corky. You've never given proof.

3852. Re: Paging Bald Mackey the bonehead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D68EA.A4B03563@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81816

We have you're picture stupid and we all know you are
the one that is bald. Oh yes.. and fat and of course the
|_| |_| front teeth.

K S 0 F wrote:

> No, it's because you aren't worth spending much time!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > I've noticed that you're replies are getting shorter and
> > lamer. Is it because this is all too time consuming for you. LOL

3853. Re: Mackey is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6926.9AB937F8@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81817

K S 0 F wrote:

> SSShhhhhhh, Adam, don't let the secret out!
>
> "Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> > Cory,
> > You say that Mackey lives on this NG, yet you reply to every one of his
> > posts. He's playing you for a fool.

3854. Re: Immature Baby Mackey the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6964.63316372@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Then let them have it and leave.

K S 0 F wrote:

> I really don't care who has the last word, if that was all it took then they
> could
> have it.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > I'd be
> > hard pressed to be the one getting the last word. Alls
> > I know is It ISN'T going to YOU. LOL

3855. Re: Mackey causing trouble again was:Re: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D69BB.E85D29BB@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Better recount the votes on that one corky.
Hey do you understand why we all call you corky?
LOL

K S 0 F wrote:

> You really are the group fool, aren't you!
> I HAVE BEEN POSTING UNDER MY CALLSIGN!!!!!!!!
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Why don't you post under your real callsign mackey.
> > Why do you even have a call sign mackey.... nobody
> SNIP

3856. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6A6D.81E4A493@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81820

If you have to come here for your comedic needs then you may be
as pathetic as Mackey himself. Mackey isn't getting under anyones
skin. I only wish you were intelligent enough to realize this.
Remember now.... spit.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> You boys are hilarious! Never mind watching prime-time TV.......I can come
> here for all my comedic needs! As long as you keep replying in your
> childish manner, I'm all set! I love this! Now I know what my older
> brothers felt like when they bugged me! Chow (until your next childish
> reply)!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0CD6.F6D28782@lpaa.com...
> > Sure Kolari... we all believe you. Now pull Mackey's undersized penis
> > from your mouth and spit. And your welcome.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > Thanks for another example! Immature people always love to use sexual
> put
> > > downs....it makes them fell like a man. And the deeds that you speak of
> are
> > > physically impossible, since I don't know where John lives, and vice
> versa.
> > >
> > > Adam
> > >
> > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> news:399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com...
> > > > Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
> > > > penis from your mouth and spit.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Wow...what a witty comeback...touchï¿½! Another prime example of the
> > > maturity
> > > > > level of some people on this NG.

> > > > >
> > > > > Adam
> > > > >
> > > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> > > news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > > > > > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> > > > > > as you leave the NG?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his
> ISP!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

3857. Re: John Mackey - ALL HAIL KING MACKEY THE GREAT LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D6AA4.739F4C33@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81821

Touching mackey is you job isn't it. LOL

Adam Kolari wrote:

> OOOOOOOHHHHHHH! I'll bet John is shaking! I don't think he would want to
> touch you, you pervert! You're probably just some twelve-year-old hiding
> behind daddy's computer.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200008180605.CAA07970@anon.cotse.com...
> > Johnny BOY!!!
> >
> > You can't touch me, you can't even find me (let alone yourself with both
> hands, radar and a
> > roadmap!)!
> >
> > However, I can find you, quite easily. Your history here (and on fidonet)
> is well known and
> > widely disseminated. You cannot escape your own past, even though you try
> so hard.
> >
> > You and your aliases (and friends, all 4 of them) should really just give
> it up and go away. you
> > can't win, ever! The truth is knwn and there is NOTHING you can do about
> it.
> >
> > With each and every posting, you prove what a collosal liar you are. With
> each and every
> > post, you dig yourself in deeper.
> >
> > You have been applying this old rule (coined by Herman Goring of all
> people):
> > "If you tell a lie big enough, for long enough, eventually everyone will
> come to believe it as
> > truth". Unfortunately for you, the skills are not there and you don't have
> the vocabulary for it
> > either.
> >
> > just give it up and go away (on all the groups). you can't win. I won't
> let you.
> >
> > The_Judge
> >

> >

3858. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81822

How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?

Adam

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher license
> class gives you......
>
>
> K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a fool
> > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed amateur
> > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > SNIP
> > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message and
> lets
> > go
> >
> >
>
>
>

3859. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <b4en5.4183$uX.5967@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81823

You people crack me up! So I'm an idiot, eh? If that's what they call
people who stick up for people who are being picked on, then I'm guilty.
Keep the immature replies coming, Cory!

Adam Kolari

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com...
> You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > Exactly, John. Well said.
> >
> > Adam
> >
> > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
Doing
> > what
> > > is right isn't always popular.
> > >
> > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > >
>
>
>

3860. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399D7021.15A2C5A8@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81824

Mackey is doing the picking. Just how much of an idiot
are you anyway.
What you're really pissed off about is how you chose to
side with mackey and now your kicking yourself for it.
You're too embarrassed to admit it.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> You people crack me up! So I'm an idiot, eh? If that's what they call
> people who stick up for people who are being picked on, then I'm guilty.
> Keep the immature replies coming, Cory!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com...
> > You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> > bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> > bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> > the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > Exactly, John. Well said.
> > >
> > > Adam
> > >
> > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
> Doing
> > > what
> > > > is right isn't always popular.
> > > >
> > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > > > SNIP
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >

3861. Scanner Dweeb episode 76 "Desirable Dispatcher"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000818132439.22580.00000226@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81825

The 76th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Desirable Dispatcher... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

3862. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Rden5.4245$uX.6506@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81826

Oh ya, I'm loony alright. I joined rec.aviation.misc, saw a posting from Bill Mulcahy (didn't know he was loony), and agreed with it. Then all sorts of people started flaming me, and I had no idea why. Then, in reply to someone's fear of dying, I posted some verses from the Bible. That got some people's dandruff in an uproar. Oh boy, am I ever loony. And by the way, "abc", there are no postings from (or about) me on rec.aviation.misc right now, so what good would it be for someone to "check out rec.aviation.misc"?

Adam Kolari

"abc" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:847opso34ecj31euv65gmd9iid9crvbene@4ax.com...
> Check out rec.aviation.misc
>
> He's just as loony over there too! (and just as "loved").
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 08:02:00 -0800, Cory <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote:
>
> >You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> >bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> >bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> >the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
> >
> >
> >Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> >> Exactly, John. Well said.
> >>
> >> Adam
> >>
> >> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> >> news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> >> > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
Doing
> >> what
> >> > is right isn't always popular.
> >> >
> >> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> >> > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> >> > SNIP
> >> >

> >> >
> >
> >
>

3863. FS: RS PRO-90 Trunk-Tracker in DuPage County, IL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Zimmerman <wjz@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <399D6F64.2470F240@lucent.com>
Source record: 81827

For local sale:

Radio Shack PRO-90 Trunk-Tracker, 300 channels.

Condition: 9.5 of 10.

$100.00

Bill Zimmerman K9MSN 630-985-4756

3864. Re: Baseband Output On Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8njvt5$2v5m$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81828

Not only Uniden, but most other manufacturs as well. You should assume,
that if you modify a radio, you void your warranty.

! =-----

3865. Re: Baseband Output On Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8nk04l$2tvq$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81829

It's not only UNIDEN. Many manufacturs will not honor the warraanty if a
radio has been modified. It is wise to assume that whatever modification
youmake to any radio will void the warranty.

Amp wrote in message <8dnqpssv5pme9bpf26vbpaknju4abtq1jh@4ax.com>...
>I own a BC-895 xlt Uniden among others. I have owned and used Bearcat
>and Uniden scanners for 20 years. Suddenly after about 4 months I
>noticed the 895 was stopped far too long on a UHF frequency that it
>normally doesn't stop on too often. I discovered the scanner was
>"AM-ing" and the squelch wasn't working. The unit was under warranty
>so I boxed it up with a copy of the sales receipt and sent it off to
>be repaired. When I bought the receiver I had installed a baseband
>audio output. 1 month later I was informed that Uniden will not honor
>the warranty because of "unauthorized modification." The baseband
>output had nothing to do with the problem, as the problem was in the
>RF conversion stage and not the audio stage. This output had not been
>used for at least a month prior to the problem. My advice is if you
>buy a new Uniden scanner and you wish to have them honor the warranty,
>don't even think of doing any mods whatsoever. They will go over it
>with a fine toothed comb for signs of tampering. The problem was
>clearly a manufacturing defect, but that all goes out the window if it
>shows any sign of modification, even a measly little baseband output.
>
>
>Amp
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3866. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nvidia Heaven <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org>
Message-ID: <D3EC01452671D5F2.4FA8334B283F0D0D.ACECB7104AF4E600@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 81830

No, it isn't stupid. Follow what I'm saying...
Just because someone tells you that something is illegal to have,
or that you can't, or shouldn't have something, doesn't mean you can't
have it or obtain it. If you get caught you might get in trouble, but if you are willing
to pay the consequences of going against policy and law, then you can have and do whatever
you want..

3867. [FA] Pro-39 Realistic Hyperscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jingi6 <odakyu@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8nk1mhhqu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81831

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=412417178

This is a Radio Shack Realistic Pro-39 Hyperscan 200 channel direct entry handheld programmable scanner.
Frequency ranges are as follows: 30-54MHz, 108-136.975MHz, 137-174MHz, 380-512MHz,
806-823.9375MHz, 851-868.9375MHz, 896-960MHz. It is in terrific shape and works perfectly. Comes
with the Owner's Manual. I used this scanner very sporadically, so it has very little wear and tear. Date model
10a3, model no. 20-303 -- which means, if want to violate certain FCC "regulations," all you need is a
screwdriver and a soldering kit. Never opened it up or took it in for repair myself. Features include:
Hyperscan- search through frequencies at up to 50 steps per sec.; scan stored channels at up to 25/sec -
Channel storage banks - 10 of them: organize your saved frequencies to quickly tune in! Very convenient. -
Monitor banks (save up to 10 channels located in a search) - Scan delay of 2 seconds - don't miss replies while
you are scanning through the channels. For full details on this item, please consult the link for the Pro-39 at
http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DrillDown/1,2358,RSSupport Communications,00.html# NO
RESERVE! Buyer pays $3.00 shipping and handling. Item will be shipped safe and fast via. UPS with a tracking
number. Pay by Billpoint or PayPal, and order ships immediately. Money Orders ship upon receipt. Personal
checks must clear first. Please feel free to e-mail me with any questions or concerns regarding this item.
Thanks!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3868. /\/\ OTOROLA SPEAKERS LNIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <mwm@reidnet.com>
Message-ID: <8nk23lien1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81832

Hello,

MOTOROLA SPEAKERS
A MUST for your radio or scanner,
Like New $20 + shipping conus.
If you can not access the web page I can
send you the pic's.

http://www.reidnet.com/mwm/Files/speaker1.html

Tnx
73
AL N4GOD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3869. AA Alkaline battery test page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000818154522.25366.00000153@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81833

Ever wonder how long those batteries will last in a
radio listening environment ???
To see an "apples to apples" AA alkaline
battery test go to:
http://scanshack.netfirms.com/batrvw.htm
Three brands, 6 types... and we're not done yet !

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
and much more !!!

3870. F.S.Pro2036 Base Scanner w/Ctcss tone board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nk4laldj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81834

I have a Pro2036 base scanner brand new in the box,this scanner has the Ctcss toneboard,Weather alert,counter,plus many more features to many to list.It can be modified for cell. but I get tons of cell. on the image frequencies .$165.00 u.s. shipped to your door email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3871. Re: John Mackey - ALL HAIL KING MACKEY THE GREAT LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Hhgn5.4898$uX.7581@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81835

I have to admit, that was a pretty good comeback! However, it is untrue.

Adam Kolari

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399D6AA4.739F4C33@lpaa.com...
> Touching mackey is you job isn't it. LOL
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > OOOOOOOHHHHHHH! I'll bet John is shaking! I don't think he would want
to
> > touch you, you pervert! You're probably just some twelve-year-old
hiding
> > behind daddy's computer.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:200008180605.CAA07970@anon.cotse.com...
> > > Johnny BOY!!!
> > >
> > > You can't touch me, you can't even find me (let alone yourself with
both
> > hands, radar and a
> > > roadmap!)!
> > >
> > > However, I can find you, quite easily. Your history here (and on
fidonet)
> > is well known and
> > > widely disseminated. You cannot escape your own past, even though you
try
> > so hard.
> > >
> > > You and your aliases (and friends, all 4 of them) should really just
give
> > it up and go away. you
> > > can't win, ever! The truth is knwn and there is NOTHING you can do
about
> > it.
> > >
> > > With each and every posting, you prove what a collosal liar you are.
With
> > each and every

> > > post, you dig yourself in deeper.
> > >
> > > You have been applying this old rule (coined by Herman Goring of all
> > people):
> > > "If you tell a lie big enough, for long enough, eventually everyone
will
> > come to believe it as
> > > truth". Unfortunately for you, the skills are not there and you don't
have
> > the vocabulary for it
> > > either.
> > >
> > > just give it up and go away (on all the groups). you can't win. I
won't
> > let you.
> > >
> > > The_Judge
> > >
> > >
>
>
>

3872. Re: Kolari: A shill for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Nmgn5.4948$uX.7604@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81836

Should I?.....nah! LOL you guys are too much!

Adam

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message news:399D6A6D.81E4A493@lpaa.com...
> If you have to come here for your comedic needs then you may be
> as pathetic as Mackey himself. Mackey isn't getting under anyones
> skin. I only wish you were intelligent enough to realize this.
> Remember now.... spit.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > You boys are hilarious! Never mind watching prime-time TV.....I can
come
> > here for all my comedic needs! As long as you keep replying in your
> > childish manner, I'm all set! I love this! Now I know what my older
> > brothers felt like when they bugged me! Chow (until your next childish
> > reply)!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
news:399C0CD6.F6D28782@lpaa.com...
> > > Sure Kolari... we all believe you. Now pull Mackey's undersized penis
> > > from your mouth and spit. And your welcome.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > >
> > > > Thanks for another example! Immature people always love to use
sexual
> > put
> > > > downs....it makes them fell like a man. And the deeds that you
speak of
> > are
> > > > physically impossible, since I don't know where John lives, and vice
> > versa.
> > > >
> > > > Adam

> > > >
> > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> > news:399B789E.764C785A@lpaa.com...
> > > > > Yes it was and you know it. Now pull Mackey's undersized
> > > > > penis from your mouth and spit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wow...what a witty comeback...touch! Another prime example of
the
> > > > maturity
> > > > > > > level of some people on this NG.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Adam
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:399AD2EC.36888A4A@lpaa.com...
> > > > > > > > So I guess this will be the last we hear of you Kolari
> > > > > > > > as you leave the NG?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Good idea John. Let's rid this NG of these children.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Adam Kolari
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:g0pm5.2463$Ur3.17225@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > > > > UUnet calling from Tacoma.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Perhaps this should be reported to UUnet, the feed for his
> > ISP!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >

3873. Re: UPS COD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.netski
Message-ID: <399D94BD.71ADC6D9@sgi.netski>
Source record: 81837

It's quicker, safer and less costly to use a service like "paypal".

John Miller wrote:

> Just a quick comment on Bob's note on UPS COD. THEY ARE VERY SLOW !!!!! it took
> me on the adverage of 15-45 days to get a check (from UPS) on at least 5
> transactions, I always ask for refrences and just ask potential buyers to ask
> about me. I always do a Postal MO it's still one of the safest methods of money
> in the mail.
>
> John Miller
> Boise, Idaho
>

3874. FA: Realistic Pro-2022 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <CSgn5.8997$U5.154488@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 81838

30-54mhz, 108-174mhz, 380-512mhz, 806-960mhz(no gaps)

http://cgi.ca.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413434745

3875. Re: Chicago Air Show Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <8nk7ga$2mj$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 81839

Monitored today (8-18) during practice:

Blue Angels:
238.150
275.350
345.900

Show Platform:
123.100
270.250

Meigs Tower:
121.300

Northern Lights:
136.975

Lima Lima:
123.425

--
* *
Arithmetic not media hype...The 20th Century ends when the year 2000 ends

Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

3876. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81840

OK relax Glenn! I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how you knew that was Mackey. A little touchy are we today? And for all you Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's bandwidth (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person. As for you John Mackey, maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their foolish posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...
> Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty jeans.
> Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack jaw.
>
> Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
>
> Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
>
> God Bless you little lamb.
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> >
> > Adam
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher
> license
> > > class gives you......
> > >
> > >
> > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a fool
> > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed
> amateur
> > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!

> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > SNIP
> > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message
and
> > > lets
> > > > go
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3877. FA Pro 2042 $172.50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000818175401.08147.00000217@ng-cf1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 81841

Pro 2042 for auction in perfect shape at link below

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=409208291

3878. Philadelphia, Bensalem & Feasterville PA Police Freq??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heather Abbott <hmabbott@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1hin5.496$Qv3.4086@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81842

Hi, I am hoping someone here xacn help me. I am looking for the police, fire and emt freqs for Philadelphia, Bensalem and Feasterville in the state of Pennsylvania. If anyone can help me please email me at: hmabbott@earthlink.net

Thanks for your time,
Heather

3879. Re: Philadelphia, Bensalem & Feasterville PA Police Freq??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <399DB1E2.EA17212C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 81843

http://dolphin.upenn.edu/~pwatch/map.html
http://users.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan1.htm
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/montgomery.html

3880. BC245XLT Discrimintor Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevw1 <ve5wil@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <rEin5.4666$juZ4.9372037@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 81844

anyone know where the audio discriminator is and to what pin to I tap (I want to use a "hamcom" interface)

3881. FA: PRO-2026 Scanner, No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <V0jn5.2813$QW4.59700@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81845

FOR AUCTION ON EBAY:

Radio Shack PRO-2026 Programmable Mobile Scanner, No Reserve!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

3882. Re: PRO-2026 Scanner, No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <b6jn5.2818$QW4.59750@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81846

I guess a link would have been helpful...sorry, here it is:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413544404

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:V0jn5.2813$QW4.59700@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR AUCTION ON EBAY:
>
> Radio Shack PRO-2026 Programmable Mobile Scanner, No Reserve!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

3883. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: newbie@teleramp.com
Message-ID: <8ni4an$j4q$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 81880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

John mackei don't leave us or stop, you are the glue that has held this forum together and saved us from the likes of cheek and his jock-strap like supporters. if it weren't for you, this forwm wouldn't be what it is today.
thank you john mackie we are supporting you and all that you do and all that you have done as you have been what we need.

In article <zmNm5.5161$Ur3.49097@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org says...
>
>Kendirck always lies & causes trouble.
>
>"JCHiggins" <allo@megabits.com> wrote
>> Kendrick, didn't you say TWO DAYS AGO that you were going to cease
>> contributing to this?
>>
>> YES YOU DID.
>>
>> WHAT HAPPENED?
>>
>> DID YOU LIE?
>
>
>

3884. Re: Mackey Found A LIAR By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: newbie@teleramp.com
Message-ID: <8ni4es$j4q$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 81881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

maybe you should just leave john mackie alone and stop picking on him as
he has always been here for this forum and always done things for this
forum and all you have ever done is act like a jackass.

In article <399C0DD9.E15A5AC7@lpaa.com>, cydag@lpaa.com says...
>
>He needs help like you. Maybe you two could share a
>room at the mental institution. Just bring plenty of
>cigarettes to trade for vaseline.
>
>
>
>K S 0 F wrote:
>
>> He didn't ask for your help.
>>
>> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
>> > You forgot a couple of words.. you're welcome.
>
>
>

3885. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: newbie@teleramp.com
Message-ID: <8ni4vj$j4q$3@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 81882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

this is really funny because i remember about a year ago eric oyen n7zzt
was found to also be posting under the id of "hawke" then claim it was
not him and that they were brother inlaws to each other. now they both
have the "@home" and he claims not to know hawke just goes to prove that
eric oyen n7zzt is a liar!

In article <399C2744.F5042CDF@home.com>, n7zzt@home.com says...
>
>John,
>I'll remind you of the same thing I just reminded frg9600 of
>just 24 hours ago:
>
>any attempt by you to start an arguement with a fellow @home user
>will be reported to the @home abuse dept. Basically, right now, you are
>violating TOS here.
>
>Now, if you bother to bounce an e-mail here, you'll find out that
>n7zzt@home.com is a real account. as for proudhawk19021@home.com,
>I have no idea who that is, so please don't go draggin others into your
>fantasy.
>
>In the meantime, you have a lot to answer for. Should I just take all
your
>postings of late (they are easily accessible from deja) and forward
them
>as a digest to @home? Abusing behavior like your will not be tolerated.
>
>now, start telling the truth or you might as well leave the net.
>
>K S 0 F wrote:
>
>> "Eric Oyen" <N7ZZT@home.com> wrote
>> > I go away for over a year and lookie what happens...
>>
>> Yea, you come back here trying to lie again. Posting under different
>> identities
>> of N7ZZT@home.com and then proudhawk19021@home.com. Just like about
a
>> year ago when you were using to identities of N7ZZT & Hawke to cause
trouble
>> here on usenet & were run off. THAT is "what happens".
>>
>> > Speaking as a person in the know, Let me clarify a few things:

>>
>> The LAST thing YOU are is a "person in the know".
>>
>> > 1. John Mackey was, in fact, removed from 2 forums
>> > on FIDONET because of his questionable behavior and extreme
opinions
>>
>> This is your first LIE, during my time on Fidonet I was NEVER removed
from
>> any "Forums on Fidonet".
>>
>> > 2. Mr. mackey has lied so many times, even he doesn't know the
truth
>>
>> When have I lied? Be specific with verifiable proof.
>>
>> > 3. Mr. Mackey has, at various times, attempted to harrass those who
>> > disagree with his view of the world, even to the point of telephone
>>
>> I have NEVER harassed ANYONE.
>>
>> > 4. Mr. Mackey's exploits as a "technician" are well known in
portland
>> oregon
>> > (so much so, that everyone there has refused to allow him to touch
their
>> > electronic equipment for any reason whatsoever).
>>
>> Excactly WHO in the Portland area has "refused to allow" me to touch
>> their electronic equipment?
>>
>> > 5. Mr. mackey's "on the air" exploits have lead to his being
permanently
>> banned
>> > from the use of several repeaters in the state of oregon.
>>
>> Exactly WHO has "permanently banned" me from exactly WHICH repeaters
>> in the state of oregon?
>>
>> Eric Oyen (N7ZZT) you have a lot to answer for, because you continue
>> attempting to
>> place the blame on others (by false accusation, obfuscation, inuendo
>> and base turpitude). Your credibility as a ham (as well as a man) is
so low
>> that it cannot be measured.
>>
>> > and has even gone so far as to disrespect those members of the SK
ham
>> community!
>>

>> Exactly WHO have I EVER disrespected in the "SK ham community"?
>

3886. ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <399de12a.50841064@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know how much a portable receiver would cost to receive video from news helicopters? I would be using this in an airplane along side the choppers so there would be no line of sight issues. Would be really cool to look at video from helicopters while covering stories they're also covering.

3887. Need radio freqs for UPRR Challenger 3985 return trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: brttm@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <180820000054184263%brttm@earthlink.net>
Source record: 81884
Cross-posted to: alt.railroad.steam,alt.railroads.steam,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am planning on a photo run of the UP Challenger steam locomotive (UP #3985) on it's return trip from L.A. where it has been at Union Station during the Democratic National Convention. I have the tenative schedule from the UPRR website and I have some UPRR road freqs, but does anyone know for sure what radio frequencies to monitor to track it's progress (starting from LA and thru San Bernardino and up the Cajon Pass)? Did anyone chase it when it came into So California last week and know for sure what freqs were used?? Any help so i can narrow down the freqs to monitor is appreciated.

Mike

3888. Re: Need radio freqs for UPRR Challenger 3985 return trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <V27n5.63148$RG6.3306076@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81885
Cross-posted to: alt.railroad.steam,alt.railroads.steam,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Should be the standard railroad frequencies for the region... They'll have
to co:ordinate it's movement with all the rest of the trains.

de NRL KA2RYR
brttm@earthlink.net wrote in message
<180820000054184263%brttm@earthlink.net>...
>I am planning on a photo run of the UP Challenger steam locomotive (UP
>#3985) on it's return trip from L.A. where it has been at Union Station
>during the Democratic National Convention. I have the tenative schedule
>from the UPRR website and I have some UPRR road freqs, but does anyone
>know for sure what radio frequencies to monitor to track it's progress
>(starting from LA and thru San Bernardino and up the Cajon Pass)? Did
>anyone chase it when it came into So California last week and know for
>sure what freqs were used?? Any help so i can narrow down the freqs to
>monitor is appreciated.
>
>Mike

3889. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <u67n5.63150$RG6.3306403@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81886

>Then I guess that makes listening to "toy" walkie talkies, baby monitors
>and wireless intercoms illegal too since they use the same frequencies.
>Doesn't that sound kinda' broad to you?

So what else is new??

de Norm, KA2TYT

3890. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <v67n5.63151$RG6.3305842@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81887

>
>How many people have been prosecuted for that?
>
>In fact, how many people have been prosecuted for monitoring cellphones,
>even AFTER publically admitting they did it??

There'll be a whole flock of them now that we have called attention to it...

de Norm, KA2TYT

3891. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <399D1E7F.2E48FA2D@snet.net>
Source record: 81888

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ wrote:
>
> Back during the height of the Gulf War I was commuting on a regular basis to
> my job and saw something that really told me how sensitive those metal
> detectors were. A lady in front of me went through twice with the detector
> still tripping. She was carrying one last thing that I never thought would
> trip it and that was a fresh cup of coffee in a ceramic mug. Turning that
> over to security and passing through again she had no problem. Must have
> been conductive ceramic!

Or metallic decoration on the mug. I have several mugs with 3Com and
Nortel Networks logos on them that'll put on quite a light show in the
microwave. <G>

Barry

3892. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nj9nm$12c$0@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John, seek help now! Compounding lies upon your other lies
is really bad news.

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Two lies of yours in one message. You have lied, and you did start in
> > with my name. Proven fact, just look at the thread.
> SNIP

3893. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nj9p7$12c$1@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John, your lies are clouding your comprehension. As everyone else
can see, Cory was talking to you.

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Excellent advice, hopefully Blake will follow your suggestion.
>
> "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > You are nothing but a liar. Salvage some dignity and just
> > leave.

3894. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nj9s0$12c$2@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81891

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> What about Newbie, Rick Larson, HamGuy1, & others?? They are
> supporting me, also. This is another example of how you guys
> alter the truth.
>

Well, Ole Newbie is hiding behind that alias, and seems to be just
another name for John Mackey.

3895. Re: Mackey's Tricks Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nja2v$12c$3@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mnb wrote:
>
> Blake,
>
> Let me apologize on behalf of Mackey. He is a looney tune
> bent on revenge. He is a total mental case and should be
> ignored like an out of control 3 year.
>

No apology needed, except from Mackeys father, and he has already
done that right here on the newsgroup.

Seems that his Dad really wishes abortion had been an option!

Thanks!

3896. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nja5f$12c$4@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Buzz off, Blake! Don't try to blame me every time one of your enemies pops
> up & gives you a ration of crap. "Newbie" is someone totally different than
> me.
>

John, you need to understand that those voices in your head are
actually you, just different personalities. Tell Newbie to go
back in your head, and be quiet.

3897. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nja7v$12c$5@63.162.247.243>
Source record: 81894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> How do you know that, Blake?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com>
> > John, you are caught in yet another lie. The university you claim
> > is overseas, and you damn well know that you are caught again, as
> > it IS over 2k miles away.

It came from your mouth Johnny. Or was it just another of your
split personalities?

Perhaps Newbie?

3898. Littleton, CO - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <Ed1900@ewhdbk.org>
Message-ID: <Dzan5.63369$RG6.3323139@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello.

Can anyone point me toward the frequencies or system type used by Littleton,
CO Police and Fire? Are they trunked or conventional?

Thank you very much.

3899. Re: Fraud Alert - JASON WEBB *UPDATE*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8F9463714slvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 81896

Good news. I have been in contact via email with Jason Webb, and he has made arrangements (he has left the country) to have the money order returned to me. Hopefully everything works
out. Whew!

Thanks for all the help.

Adam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3900. WiNRADiO WorldStation Database Manager - !!Please Help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 01:06:42 UTC
From: Shamire <Shamire@home.com>
Message-ID: <399C8B99.873B0740@home.com>
Source record: 81981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

Help me please

I need WiNRADiO WorldStation Database Manager software because the disk I have has goten damaged and winradio or the dealer I bought it from will not replace it. The stupid part is it that comes on a 3.5 disk, not a cd, so I didnt think to back it up on to another disk.

I have been searching all over for this program with no luck. If you can help me out, it would be sincerely appreciated.

Thank you for your help in advance!

3901. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 01:21:54 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Sc0n5.41472$rd1.8422335@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 81982

Back during the height of the Gulf War I was commuting on a regular basis to my job and saw something that really told me how sensitive those metal detectors were. A lady in front of me went through twice with the detector still tripping. She was carrying one last thing that I never thought would trip it and that was a fresh cup of coffee in a ceramic mug. Turning that over to security and passing through again she had no problem. Must have been conductive ceramic!

It must have been some sort of conductive ceramic I suppose
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:w6_m5.2393$QW4.46517@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> This doesn't surprise me at all! When I flew to Florida about a year ago,
I
> walked through Detroit Metropolitan Airport Security checks with a big
ring
> of keys, a big heavy stainless steel watch, a pager, a metal money clip, a
> big metal belt buckle, a metal pen & pencil set in my shirt pocket, and a
> ring...NO problem!
>
> When I left Florida to come home, equipped with the same stuff...I had to
> shed it all, including a remaining roll of Certs, who's foil wrapper still
> triggered the metal detector! Even more exciting was Vegas, where it
> detected a small metallic arch in the sole of my shoes!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hi Again,
> >
> > Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through
> > security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell
> > phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped
right
> > through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take
> them
> > out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I
> was
> > carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.
> >
> > Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".
> >
> > -- HW

> >
> >
> >
>
>

3902. SOLD++Re: FA Ramsey 800 MHz Scanner Converter++SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 01:30:07 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zk0n5.41528$rd1.8430638@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 81983

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:0xYk5.6822$rd1.1013779@typhoon-news1.southeast.rr.com...
> These are no longer made I am told.
> Just over 24 Hrs remaining.
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=402268938
>
>

3903. best ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 01:30:40 UTC
From: Scott Praiswater <mepraisw@gte.net>
Message-ID: <4l0n5.722$vJ1.204077@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 81984

I have a sportcat (sc150b) that i use to track down ELT signals with, I have the stock antenna on it.

What would be a good antenna I could use to get the most range on 121.5mhz.

thank you

--
Scott Praiswater
mepraisw@gte.nrt

3904. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 01:58:28 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <399c9757.31982001@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81985

On 17 Aug 2000 19:51:21 GMT, rocky178@aol.com (Rocky178) wrote:

>Can anyone tell me what the frequencies are for the PSP along Rt. 80?
>
>Thanks
>Mike

TAC 1 - 155.46
TAC 2 - 151.49 (heard with airplane comms)
Mobile-to-mobile repeater - 154.755
Narcotics - 155.445
Channel A - 155.58 (base) 154.95 (mobile
Channel B - 155.67 (base) 155.91 (mobile)
Channel C - 155.505 (base) 155.85 (mobile
Channel D - 154.665 (base) 158.91 (mobile
Channel E - 154.695 (base) 156.15 (mobile
Channel F - 154.92 (base) 154.83 (mobile
155.52
155.85
159.345

3905. Seattle-Area Scanner Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 02:09:16 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8ni5s1$dj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81986

Hi All,

My radio website, http://swlinks.webalias.com has prerecorded scanner audio (both trunked and non-trunked) available for listening (realaudio format). Also shortwave (HF) audio. Go to http://swlinks.webalias.com, scroll down to "Special Features" and click on "The Listening Post."

Enjoy,

Tim

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3906. Scanner --> PC --> RealAudio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 02:21:59 UTC
From: percykn@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ni6jo$eh2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81988

Folks,
What is the best way to transmit data via my scanner (Trenton
Mercer County Aiport traffic) to the web ?

Which handheld scanners are best to hook up to a computer ?

Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3907. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 02:27:43 UTC
From: Douglas Andrews <xlntchat@aol.com>
Message-ID: <za1n5.9119$d4.46666@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 81987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
test only
```

3908. Wanted to buy: PRO-43 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 02:45:11 UTC
From: Douglas Andrews <pvt_andrews@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xq1n5.9122$d4.46535@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 81989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Please reply to pvt_andrews@hotmail.com because I don't get to peruse the newsgroups as often as I'd like.

Thank you,
D. Andrews

--
"Give a man a fish and he will eat for a day. Teach him how to fish, and he will sit in a boat and drink beer all day" -- George Carlin

3909. WTB Pro2004 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 03:07:50 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ni99rhdj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81990

I would like to purchase a Pro2004 base scanner.It must be in excellent condition.I well pay a reasonable price for it.Email me if you have one Thanks Wilf wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3910. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 03:49:45 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nibop$e2i$0@63.162.246.138>
Source record: 81991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

newbie wrote:
>
> blake bowers is a firefighter and he always causes trouble on this forum
> and other forums. someone who he harasses suggested i ask how to file
> complaints to get him kicked off of this fire duties. can anyone tell me
> how to do this and teach him a lesson?

Newbie, or evidently John, why would you want to post this in the firefighter newsgroup?

All you need to do is send me email, and I will tell you what Fire Department I am the Assistant Chief of, as well as a board member.

Heck, even better yet, look for my web page about it. Tells all about it.

But they will recognize the rantings of an idiot, and ignore you John.

3911. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 03:56:36 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nic5k$et7$0@63.162.246.138>
Source record: 81992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> newbie wrote:
> >
> > blake bowers is a firefighter and he always causes trouble on this forum
> > and other forums. someone who he harasses suggested i ask how to file
> > complaints to get him kicked off of this fire duties. can anyone tell me
> > how to do this and teach him a lesson?
>
> Newbie, or evidently John, why would you want to post this in the
> firefighter newsgroup?
>
> All you need to do is send me email, and I will tell you what
> Fire Department I am the Assistant Chief of, as well as a board
> member.
>
> Heck, even better yet, look for my web page about it. Tells all
> about it.
>
> But they will recognize the rantings of an idiot, and ignore you John.

Tell us all something else John, newbie, whatever your split
personality is this time, why would you be posting from a
bogus email address?

3912. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:02:08 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nicg0$et7$1@63.162.246.138>
Source record: 81993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> newbie wrote:
> >
> > blake bowers is a firefighter and he always causes trouble on this forum
> > and other forums. someone who he harasses suggested i ask how to file
> > complaints to get him kicked off of this fire duties. can anyone tell me
> > how to do this and teach him a lesson?
>
> Newbie, or evidently John, why would you want to post this in the
> firefighter newsgroup?
>
> All you need to do is send me email, and I will tell you what
> Fire Department I am the Assistant Chief of, as well as a board
> member.
>
> Heck, even better yet, look for my web page about it. Tells all
> about it.
>
> But they will recognize the rantings of an idiot, and ignore you John.

Rest assured Newbie/Johnny, complaints are already being forwarded by
myself, and others to Abuse@cyberhighway.net

3913. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:20:47 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002047.22955.00000139@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81994

It was not Mr BC who held it together.
It was he who somehow in death still divides the Group.
It is like Cheek is alive and full of himself as usual.
If my vote was not counted it is for John and against Glenn.

3914. Re: Where does Mackey work? Truth only.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:21:27 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002127.22955.00000140@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81995

I think so!

3915. Re: Mackey- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:23:33 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002333.22955.00000142@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81996

If only RK would use the filters that he always talks about.
Amen

3916. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:25:24 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002524.22955.00000143@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81847

I see the dude spends alot of time playing with himself, opps with his computer.

3917. Re: more lies from John Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:28:05 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002805.22955.00000144@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81848

Adam has hit on something.
Cheek-A-Likes spend to much time preaching about some of their own pathetic conduct on the NG

3918. Re: Mackey you Idiot, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:28:54 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818002854.22955.00000145@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81849

BC never stalked me.

3919. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:29:29 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <JY2n5.7324$Ur3.66731@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Buzz off, Blake! Don't try to blame me every time one of your enemies pops up & gives you a ration of crap. "Newbie" is someone totally different than me.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Blake Bowers wrote:
> >
> > newbie wrote:
> > >
> > > blake bowers is a firefighter and he always causes trouble on this forum
> > > and other forums. someone who he harasses suggested i ask how to file
> > > complaints to get him kicked off of this fire duties. can anyone tell me
> > > how to do this and teach him a lesson?
> >
> > Newbie, or evidently John, why would you want to post this in the
> > firefighter newsgroup?
> >
> > All you need to do is send me email, and I will tell you what
> > Fire Department I am the Assistant Chief of, as well as a board
> > member.
> >
> > Heck, even better yet, look for my web page about it. Tells all
> > about it.
> >
> > But they will recognize the rantings of an idiot, and ignore you John.
>
> Rest assured Newbie/Johnny, complaints are already being forwarded by
> myself, and others to Abuse@cyberhighway.net

3920. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:31:50 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <W_2n5.7330$Ur3.66810@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81851

That's because it's not as big as the enmpty gap between your ears.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> How come you never make any references about your
> big gap?

3921. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:33:42 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818003342.22955.00000148@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 81852

Glenn must be a Handi-Ham and got some sort of a waiver to get his license.
My vote is for John and 0 for Glenn.

3922. Re: Greg S (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:37:40 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <o43n5.7342$Ur3.67162@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That's fine because I couldn't care less about your email list!

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> My ID doesn't accept email unless you are specifically on my list.
SNIP

3923. Re: Greg leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:39:09 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <N53n5.7346$Ur3.67169@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not in denial at all.

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> Are you in denial that far?
SNIP

3924. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:48:47 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Pe3n5.7361$Ur3.67564@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Excellent advice, hopefully Blake will follow your suggestion.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> You are nothing but a liar. Salvage some dignity and just
> leave.

3925. Re: FS/FT Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:53:26 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200008180453.AAA28208@anon.cotse.com>
Source record: 81856

Why don't you just go fuck off you ASSHOLE!

3926. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:54:29 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <9k3n5.7372$Ur3.67707@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81857

Jeffrey - I am holding him accountable to his peers for his actions.
Remember, ham radio is self policing by our peers. That is
a foundation of ham radio.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
> But it's YOU who adds the firefighter and amateur radio NGs to the
> headers of the arguments YOU start on .scanner.
SNIP

3927. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:55:10 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Ok3n5.7374$Ur3.67133@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Two lies of yours in one message. You have lied, and you did start in
> with my name. Proven fact, just look at the thread.
SNIP

3928. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:55:47 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <nl3n5.7376$Ur3.67710@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good point, Newbie!

"newbie" <newbie@teleramp.com> wrote
> this is really funny because i remember about a year ago eric oyen n7zzt
> was found to also be posting under the id of "hawke" then claim it was
> not him and that they were brother inlaws to each other. now they both
> have the "@home" and he claims not to know hawke just goes to prove that
> eric oyen n7zzt is a liar!

3929. Re: N7ZZT causing trouble again was:Re: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 04:59:38 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <_o3n5.7379$Ur3.67771@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You really are the group fool, aren't you!
I HAVE BEEN POSTING UNDER MY CALLSIGN!!!!!!!!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Why don't you post under your real callsign mackey.
> Why do you even have a call sign mackey.... nobody
SNIP

3930. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:00:18 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Cp3n5.7382$Ur3.67953@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81861

Thank you for your support, HamGuy1!!

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote
> It was not Mr BC who held it together.
> It was he who somehow in death still divides the Group.
> It is like Cheek is alive and full of himself as usual.
> If my vote was not counted it is for John and against Glenn.

3931. Re: Eric Oyen N7ZZT causing trouble again was:Re: Repost:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:01:45 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Zq3n5.7384$Ur3.67085@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Good Eric, just let that happen & you can drop it!

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> So, I'll leave you to it and let yourself be TOS'd for
> gross violation of the AUP of your provider.

3932. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Champion Liar of the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:02:08 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kr3n5.7385$Ur3.67018@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey

Thank you for your support!

"newbie" <newbie@teleramp.com> wrote
> John mackei don't leave us or stop, you are the glue that has held this
> forum together and saved us from the likes of cheek and his jock-strap
> like supporters. if it weren't for you, this forwm wouldn't be what it
> is today.
> thank you john mackie we are supporting you and all that you do and all
> that you have done as you have been what we need.

3933. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:03:38 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Ks3n5.7389$Ur3.66796@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, Rick, very well said!

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> John
> It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is
today.
> They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for base
> band audio from Uncle Bill!

3934. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:05:18 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <iu3n5.7391$Ur3.67922@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

All that Glenn has given the radio hobby is problems.

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> Glenn
> What have you given back to Ham Radio or the Scanning hobby?

3935. Re: Immature Baby Cory the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:07:13 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <5w3n5.7392$Ur3.68216@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I really don't care who has the last word, if that was all it took then they could
have it.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
SNIP
> I'd be
> hard pressed to be the one getting the last word. Alls
> I know is It ISN'T going to YOU. LOL

3936. Re: Kolari is right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:07:28 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kw3n5.7393$Ur3.68334@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81867

Adam know a lot more than YOU!

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Not at all stupid. You're too new to all of this to know
> what the hell is going on.
SNIP

3937. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:08:24 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <cx3n5.7394$Ur3.68336@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How do you know that, Blake?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com>
> John, you are caught in yet another lie. The university you claim
> is overseas, and you damn well know that you are caught again, as
> it IS over 2k miles away.

3938. Re: Innocent hams attacked by Richard Kendrick- N7NT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:08:45 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <xx3n5.7395$Ur3.68362@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81869

They could if they had asked me.

"David Starr" <destarr@earthlink.net> wrote
> Well, we sure as hell weren't going to get it from John.

3939. Re: Corky leads poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:12:04 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <EA3n5.7398$Ur3.68496@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There is indeed such a need, as the CAL's are always changing the
facts.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> No need for an impartial poll.
SNIP

3940. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:12:05 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <FA3n5.7399$Ur3.68496@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81870

What about Newbie, Rick Larson, HamGuy1, & others?? They are
supporting me, also. This is another example of how you guys
alter the truth.

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
SNIP
> bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> Mackey.
SNIP

3941. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Greg S is a fool.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:12:35 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <7B3n5.7400$Ur3.66568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81872

I have posted it many times, you guys simply refuse to accept it.

"Greg S" <gregs932@aol.com> wrote
> Not a single one. Ever, Corky. You've never given proof.

3942. Re: Paging Bald Cory the bonehead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:13:16 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <MB3n5.7402$Ur3.68510@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81873

No, it's because you aren't worth spending much time!

"Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> I've noticed that you're replies are getting shorter and
> lamer. Is it because this is all too time consuming for you. LOL

3943. Re: Cory is a fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:13:50 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <iC3n5.7403$Ur3.67018@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81874

SSShhhhhhh, Adam, don't let the secret out!

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> Cory,
> You say that Mackey lives on this NG, yet you reply to every one of his
> posts. He's playing you for a fool.

3944. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:17:17 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spphlemi87v23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81875
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg

Finally you come clean about your postings.
They are meant only as a disruptive force and you admit it.
Just sinoff this NG and I will.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:J83n5.2442$bJ.420779@news.uswest.net...
> RicK Larson like a mother hen protecting John-Corky vomitted for all to
> see.............
>
> > Glenn
> > What have you given back to Ham Radio or the Scanning hobby?
>
> Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message and lets
> go. Keep in mind RicK-Corky, I haven't claimed to have given anything
back.
> I am sure it is more than you, but so what? Your luv John-Corky is the
> person making the claims. I just posted a comparison of John-Corky and
Uncle
> Bill. Sorry to have exposed your luv for the fraud he is and the lackey
you
> are.
>
>
>
> Glenn
>
> > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:mhXm5.2662$591.153927@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > RicK Larson in the exact same style as his luv John-Corky
> > > blathered.............
> > > > John
> > > > It seems Mr Cheek and the Cheek-A-Liles have made this NG what it is
> > > today.
> > > > They are pretty low on tech savy if they had to get instructions for
> > base
> > > > band audio from Uncle Bill!
> > > > Rick
> > >
> > >
> > > Right on RicK-Corky. Let's have a look at John-Corky Mackey shall we?
> > > Toilet-Boy's accomplishment
> > >

> > > Toilet-Boy John-Corky
> > > -smoked power supplies
> > > -smoked repeaters
> > > -300+ off topic messages a month minimum
> > > -for 5 years a constant off topic of radio newsgroups
> > > -VE a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it.
> > > -OO a volunteer position, any ham can memorize the material and do it
> > > -mental health aide/rent a mental health aide (not to be confused with
> RNs
> > > or LPNs)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Uncle Bill's
> > > -owned/published/created 2 radio related magazines
> > > -wrote and published 3 well known scanner mod books
> > > -developed and sold many radio related devices including the CE-232
> > > -was a monthly columnist for the Premier scanning publication
Monitoring
> > > Times.
> > > -Moderator of the Fido scanner group
> > > -hundreds of messages helping people with scanning issues
> > > -hundreds of phone calls for free, helping people with scanners
> > > -owned and operated Commtronics
> > >
> > >
> > > The record speaks for itself. You can twist and turn in the wind, lie
> all
> > > you want Rick, you can't change the facts. Try and discount them, make
> all
> > > the noise you want RicK but even a tenth of Uncle Bill's
accomplishments
> > are
> > > hundred times more than John-Toilet-Boy Mackey has even hoped to do.
> > >
> > > Spew away RicK, you are closely reaching the level of Mackey-Bowl
> > > reincarnate.
> > >
> > > Or should I have said SNIP away? You haven't been able to fully reply
to
> > > anything, no reason to expect it now, ehh RicK? Just like John-Corky,
> snip
> > > away and pretend it isn't real. Snip away, cover your eyes and it will
> all
> > > go away. The John-RicK way!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3945. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:38:44 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399CCC22.C8C7A6D1@home.com>
Source record: 81876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You can ASSUME anything you want.
However, your data is a year out of date.
the person I know (as "Hawke") isn't with @home.

And, if you bother to look, you'll find that this handle
that is being used is a fairly popular one. However, if you feel
like making a fool of yourself, go right ahead! I won't stop you.

However, since you point out this appearent coincidence, let me
remind you that there are many thousands (if not tens of thousands)
of users on the @home network

newbie wrote:

> this is really funny because i remember about a year ago eric oyen n7zzt
> was found to also be posting under the id of "hawke" then claim it was
> not him and that they were brother inlaws to each other. now they both
> have the "@home" and he claims not to know hawke just goes to prove that
> eric oyen n7zzt is a liar!
>
> In article <399C2744.F5042CDF@home.com>, n7zzt@home.com says...
> >
> >John,
> >I'll remind you of the same thing I just reminded frg9600 of
> >just 24 hours ago:
> >
> >any attempt by you to start an arguement with a fellow @home user
> >will be reported to the @home abuse dept. Basically, right now, you are
> >violating TOS here.
> >
> >Now, if you bother to bounce an e-mail here, you'll find out that
> >n7zzt@home.com is a real account. as for proudhawk19021@home.com,
> >I have no idea who that is, so please don't go draggin others into your
> >fantasy.
> >
> >In the meantime, you have a lot to answer for. Should I just take all
> your
> >postings of late (they are easily accessible from deja) and forward
> them
> >as a digest to @home? Abusing behavior like your will not be tolerated.
> >
> >now, start telling the truth or you might as well leave the net.
> >
> >K S 0 F wrote:

> >
> >> "Eric Oyen" <N7ZZT@home.com> wrote
> >> > I go away for over a year and lookie what happens...
> >>
> >> Yea, you come back here trying to lie again. Posting under different
> >> identities
> >> of N7ZZT@home.com and then proudhawk19021@home.com. Just like about
> a
> >> year ago when you were using to identities of N7ZZT & Hawke to cause
> trouble
> >> here on usenet & were run off. THAT is "what happens".
> >>
> >> > Speaking as a person in the know, Let me clarify a few things:
> >>
> >> The LAST thing YOU are is a "person in the know".
> >>
> >> > 1. John Mackey was, in fact, removed from 2 forums
> >> > on FIDONET because of his questionable behavior and extreme
> opinions
> >>
> >> This is your first LIE, during my time on Fidonet I was NEVER removed
> from
> >> any "Forums on Fidonet".
> >>
> >> > 2. Mr. mackey has lied so many times, even he doesn't know the
> truth
> >>
> >> When have I lied? Be specific with verifiable proof.
> >>
> >> > 3. Mr. Mackey has, at various times, attempted to harrass those who
> >> > disagree with his view of the world, even to the point of telephone
> >>
> >> I have NEVER harassed ANYONE.
> >>
> >> > 4. Mr. Mackey's exploits as a "technician" are well known in
> portland
> >> oregon
> >> > (so much so, that everyone there has refused to allow him to touch
> their
> >> > electronic equipment for any reason whatsoever).
> >>
> >> Excactly WHO in the Portland area has "refused to allow" me to touch
> >> their electronic equipment?
> >>
> >> > 5. Mr. mackey's "on the air" exploits have lead to his being
> permanently
> >> banned
> >> > from the use of several repeaters in the state of oregon.
> >>
> >> Exactly WHO has "permanently banned" me from exactly WHICH repeaters

> >> in the state of oregon?
> >>
> >> Eric Oyen (N7ZZT) you have a lot to answer for, because you continue
> >> attempting to
> >> place the blame on others (by false accusation, obfuscation, inuendo
> >> and base turpitude). Your credibility as a ham (as well as a man) is
> so low
> >> that it cannot be measured.
> >>
> >> > and has even gone so far as to disrespect those members of the SK
> ham
> >> community!
> >>
> >> Exactly WHO have I EVER disrespected in the "SK ham community"?
> >

3946. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:47:48 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399CCE42.3076753E@home.com>
Source record: 81877

There is another issue for you to consider.
In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
(Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).

So far as I know, these rules have not been amended or revoked.
The pilot (or aircraft staff) are empowered to enforce these F.A.R.'s
even to the point of confiscation of the equipment and forceable
detainer of the person for arrest at the destination (charged with
endangerment and hazzard to flight operations).

So, if you want to use any of the "prohibited devices" on board
a commercial flight, be prepared to pay heavy.

Steve Silverwood wrote:

> In article <8nd5fu$c1t$13@bashir.peak.org>, billn@spock.peak.org says...
> > You will probably have to turn the scanner on at the checkpoint, to
> > prove that it works. I don't really know what this accomplishes, but
> > that is the usual practice.
>
> They want to make sure it isn't an empty non-working shell packed with
> explosives or something.
>
> --
>
> -- // Steve //
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
> Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

3947. Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Rick/Glenn compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 05:58:36 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make a fool
of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a licensed amateur
radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new message and lets
go

3948. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-18 06:33:37 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5N4n5.20383$OG2.94200@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 81879

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
news:399CCE42.3076753E@home.com...
> There is another issue for you to consider.
> In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
> (Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
> electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
> flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
> or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).
>
> So far as I know, these rules have not been amended or revoked.
> The pilot (or aircraft staff) are empowered to enforce these F.A.R.'s
> even to the point of confiscation of the equipment and forceable
> detainer of the person for arrest at the destination (charged with
> endangerment and hazzard to flight operations).
>
> So, if you want to use any of the "prohibited devices" on board
> a commercial flight, be prepared to pay heavy.

Here's the current (as of Jan 1, 2000) FAR:

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 14, Volume 2, Parts 60 to 139]
[Revised as of January 1, 2000]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 14CFR91.21]

[Page 193-194]

TITLE 14--AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

PART 91--GENERAL OPERATING AND FLIGHT RULES--Table of Contents

Subpart A--General

Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person
may operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft
allow the operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the
following U.S.-registered civil aircraft:

(1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
certificate or an operating certificate; or
(2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;

(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the aircraft has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
(c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination required by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of other aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other operator of the aircraft.

3949. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a23940.93911167@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81662

On 19 Aug 2000 05:21:47 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>: I doubt it's going to be anything like as expensive as has been bandied
>: about here. There really isn't any reason, other than low volume
>: production, why a police digital HT should cost much more than a digital
>: cellphone. In fact, in a way, these things WILL be specialized digital
>: cellphones.
>
>I disagree. The police (or other similar handhelds) are much more
>rubustly constructed. They are also more weather resistant - and often
>have to be certified for use in explosive atmospheres.

Good points.

>: options like that. A conventional handheld radio might cost about $1000
>: new in the box. A digital handheld might cost about $3000 new in the
>: box. The encryption option could easily add up to $1000 on top of that.
>: It shouldn't, but it does.
>
>A digital handheld should cost more than an analog handheld, but probably
>only a few hundred dollars more. Make it spread spectrum, and the cost
>would be even higher.
>
>But the manufacturers charge what they can get. And tax money often flows
>rather freely. It also does not help that the people making the purchasing
>decisions often don't have the slightest clue about what they need or what
>it should cost.

Hmmmm... ok, I agree, they'll cost more than they should, and I also agree
that the taxpayers WILL pony up for them sooner or later.

--- jeh

3950. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <QSrn5.8454$Ur3.98427@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81663

I have never sent you profanity.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> How dare you write this, after sending profanity to me. SNIP

3951. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <lUrn5.8455$Ur3.98473@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong again, Bill denied it, so I posted the card as requested for proof.

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
SNIP
> don't you remember the binary of a post
> card allegedly sent to you by Bill Cheek? Makes you out to be a
> hypocrite, again.
SNIP

3952. Yaesu - chanel lock out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tore Bergsaker <tberg@start.no>
Message-ID: <399E5284.DDA28D4B@start.no>
Source record: 81665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi

I just bought a YAESU VR-500 handheld receiver, and I am programming it
right now.
But I have one problem. In the memory scan mode: How can I lock out
chanels that I do not want to scan?

Thanks

Tore

3953. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399E708E.BCBB1B97@home.com>
Source record: 81666

Bill,
You are right though. some carriers are more strict than others.
However: read on....

I have been on many flights over the last 4 years (visiting family, etc)
and was instructed shortly after I produced the scanner, HT, etc to
turn off the equipment (as such was not allowed to be used during
flight). The carrier you use may also have an impact on the level of
enforcement.

It is my understanding, based on experience, as well as inquiries
with local officials of the FAA (My next door neighbor works at
sky harbor FAA Operations), that prohibited devices are strictly that:
PROHIBITED (because they produce rf energy that may interfere with
onboard navigational equipment). According to the FAA, such devices
have an inherent chance of endangerment to the other passengers
and crew of the aircraft. As such, this means they take great pains
to minimize such chances.

Personally, if someone decided to start using a cell phone on a plane
I was traveling on, I would politely ask them to cease using the device.
If they continued, I'd take the matter up with the flight crew.
So,whenever
I bring such devices on board, I usually ask first. usually, I have been
told no, and given the reasons (the F.A.R.'s). thats all thats needed.

Bill Nelson wrote:

>
> Funny. When I flew back East this last fall, they had no problem
> with scanners, radio receivers and televisions. We just had to
> wait until we were out of the departure traffic area and stabilized
> on a climb to cruise altitude. We had to shut them off when on
> descent to the landing pattern.
>
> What is allowed is up to the pilot, by regulation, but actually
> decided by the airline. Some are more strict than others.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3954. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <inaeOSbMqHAqhXOM8TLqJWDIcdBx@4ax.com>
Source record: 81667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Aug 2000 20:28:52 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:

>Icom's forcomimg R3 handheld was MADE for this kinda' thing. Not only can
>it pick up TV broadcasts and wireless cameras, but it might be able to pick
>up this ENG stuff too.

Video is not all the same.

If ICOM's piece will receive broadcast television images, it is
demodulating AM video.

Only broadcast, some amateur and inexpensive commercial video
transmitters on lower frequencies use AM for video. All the rest are
FM. Different breed of cat.

See if the thing will demodulate the signal from an inexpensive
Wavecom or equivalent 2.4 gig video transmitter. That represents 90%
of the surveillance video out there.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

3955. Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <spsu56h287v35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81668

Whatever
The votes are still real no matter what group dislikes Glenn or likes John.
What is the final count.

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8nlaol$4na$0@63.162.247.84...
>
>
> RicK Larson wrote:
> >
> > Dummy
> > Maybe PARGRADIO and PARG are two different groups.
> > Seems that young Ryan from MINN or Bowers said that it was
PottersvilleARG
> > or something.
> > Better check you facts before you holler fraud.
> >
> > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > > As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from
our
> > > last
>
>
>
> But PARG, Pottersville Amateur Radio Group, has had no such vote.

3956. FS: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Gamage <cgamag71@maine.edu>
Message-ID: <8nludotvl1@rupert.unet.maine.edu>
Source record: 81669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For those of you who missed the first post a few days ago, I've got a Uniden Bearcat 200XLT for sale. This scanner has had the cell modification, the light switch modification, and the double-speed scan mod done. You can check it out on Ebay at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874

Thanks!
Ryan

3957. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nlvuarba0@63.162.247.95>
Source record: 81670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John, how can you lie like this? You sent me profanity
in the last few days! It is a matter of record!

Durn boy! You seem to be making it easier to catch you
in the lies daily!

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> I have never sent you profanity.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > How dare you write this, after sending profanity to me. SNIP

3958. John caught in more lies, he said he never used profanity with me....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nm0kb$t8v$0@63.162.247.95>
Source record: 81671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://x70.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=658644032&CONTEXT=966689904.2127888404&hitnum=19

3959. 1300mhz and up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com>
Message-ID: <399EF6BC.BFA1E9A7@cloudnet.com>
Source record: 81672

Has anyone ever picked up anything above 1300 mhz besides birdies from lower freqs? Is there a place that shows specific freqs above 1300 actually being assigned? The reason I am asking is to see if its practical to buy a scanner that goes up to 2000 mhz. I have a pro 26 that goes up to 1.3 gig and have never picked up anything above 1000 mhz. I am sure there are government agencies that go well above this but they are encrypted so we cannot listen to them anyway.
Any comments would be appreciated.
thanks
Randy

3960. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Tyvn5.6095$uX.11052@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81673

Self-Righteous, eh? That's a new one, haven't heard that yet! Keep'em
coming!

Adam Kolari

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:sprvbtpd87v30@corp.supernews.com...
> These guys are a self righteous riot.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:b4en5.4183$uX.5967@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > You people crack me up! So I'm an idiot, eh? If that's what they call
> > people who stick up for people who are being picked on, then I'm guilty.
> > Keep the immature replies coming, Cory!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> news:399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com...
> > > You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> > > bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> > > bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> > > the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > >
> > > > Exactly, John. Well said.
> > > >
> > > > Adam
> > > >
> > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
> > Doing
> > > > > what
> > > > > is right isn't always popular.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > > > > SNIP
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3961. Frequency for Medivac Scott & White in TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3%vn5.8386$K5.159089@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 81674

Looking for any frequency info for the Medivac Helicopter Program out of Temple TX run by Scott & White Hospital. They now have a helicopter based in Taylor TX and I'm looking for Frequencies they use to communicate to their dispatcher. Yes I am already familiar that they use intercity 154.95 and can be linked to Williamson County SO's Trunked System but I'm looking for communication between their dispatcher and the Medivac.

In Austin, TX Austin EMS now can talk to all aero helicopters on 122.850 to coordinate landing at Brackenridge Hospital

3962. F.S Pro2036 basae scannerw/toneboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nm3qo$p05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81675

This scanner is $165.00 u.s. new in the box.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3963. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a390ac.116291368@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81676

On Fri, 18 Aug 2000 05:47:48 GMT, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:

>There is another issue for you to consider.
>In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
>(Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
>electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
>flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
>or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).

You're mistaken about this. I've been a VERY frequent flyer for the last six years or so and I know of no U.S. carriers that ever prohibited CD players or laptop computers. A few even allow scanners and AM/FM radios. When above 10,000 feet, of course. Perhaps you're thinking of the "below 10,000 feet" rule.

--- jeh

3964. Re: Pro 2036 discriminator tap point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <spt7e5e087v52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81677

Mike,

If you haven't already done so, I highly recommend that you download for reference the monthly postings by Bob Parnass. They're a goldmine of scanner reference information. From his 8/15/00 posting of "FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs":

"PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob.
200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast.
Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS
board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs --
lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod,
especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The
Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass,
in June 1995 Monitoring Times."

Good signals,
Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:399DECC2.7B5B8DDC@home.com...
> Well I have found (without a schematic) that the tap point for this
> radio is TP3. The pocsag decoder software works great when coupled
> into this point. Do any of you know the exact uniden model number that
> translates over to the pro-2036? I sent Uniden an email the other day
> concerning a schematic for the CTCSS board and refered to it as an
> BC800xlt, then I saw one on ebay. uh oh... Not the same radio.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
> Berkley, MI
>
>
>

3965. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips on Mackey's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <9qxn5.6166$uX.4424@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81678

Sorry Rick, Thought you were one of them for a sec ;-) LOL......It's still
funny!

Adam

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:sprvbtpd87v30@corp.supernews.com...
> These guys are a self righteous riot.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:b4en5.4183$uX.5967@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > You people crack me up! So I'm an idiot, eh? If that's what they call
> > people who stick up for people who are being picked on, then I'm guilty.
> > Keep the immature replies coming, Cory!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote in message
> news:399C0C77.B0511E86@lpaa.com...
> > > You profess to be a spiritual person, quoting from the
> > > bible.... you make me sick Kolari. You're a phoney
> > > bastard. You're the only idiot on this NG siding with
> > > the loon Mackey. Congratualtions idiot.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari wrote:
> > >
> > > > Exactly, John. Well said.
> > > >
> > > > Adam
> > > >
> > > > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:GMMm5.5143$Ur3.48480@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > I really don't care what other people think. I do what is right.
> > Doing
> > > > > what
> > > > > is right isn't always popular.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Cory" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > > > > > Hey Mackey can't you tell how much people think you're
> > > > > SNIP
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3966. FS: RS Pro 51 800mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: curbdoc1@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000819112015.05253.00000226@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 81679

I have a RS Pro-51 I would like to sell. It is an 800mhz scanner. The number on the back is a " 11A3 ". If you're interested, email me at " curbdoc1@aol.com" Price is $100 and that will include shipping. Unit is in good shape and works fine. I have bought a new Pro-91. Thank you, Ted

3967. Re: PIcture of the real ham Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Qtxn5.6173$uX.11960@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81680

Glenn,

I don't know why John send all those messages, I guess you should ask him. I'm just getting bored of all this nonsense, all though is kinda fun replying to Cory ;-).

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:yLnn5.1692$zg2.432610@news.uswest.net...
> Adam, you need a dose of reality then. Maybe you are mistaken. Mackey talks
> a good line, for about 20 daily posts. Do dejanews. see the truth about
> Sociopath John-Corky. Here I''ll give you a start for the last couple of
> months posted by another user. You will also notice John-Corky or his rear
> driver RicK seem to ignore the facts. You tell me Adam why John-Corky would
> do the following name changes if he wasn't trying to get on peoples nerves.
>
> There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
> There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
> There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
> There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
> There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
> There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
> There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net <mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
> There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
> There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
> There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888

> There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
> Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
> There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
> <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
> There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
> Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>
> The above says it all about John-Corky's motives. 1000's of messages with
15
> different names in a short period of time.
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
> Okay, you aren't a JW but if you seem blind. Look at the 55 some messages
> Corky-John posted today alone. Does it say anything about Toilet-boy??
>
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:2Lkn5.5759$uX.8058@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Glenn,
> >
> > I am not, I repeat, I am not a JW. Those people are weird! As for
my
> > experience on Usenet, you're right, I don't know squat. I'm here to
talk
> > about scanners. Somehow I got involved in a never-ending rivalry
between
> > you guys and Mackey. I do have to say that you are one of the more
mature
> > "immature" people on this NG. It's the ones like Cory who are total
> losers
> > who are here just to make trouble. Hopefully all this squabbling will
> come
> > to an end soon, it's just getting ridiculous.
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:l0kn5.1213$zg2.311234@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wzhn5.5644$uX.7573@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > OK relax Glenn!
> > > That is why I am on the net Adam, where did you detect tension?
> > >
> > > >I wasn't trying to put anyone down, I was only asking how

> > > > you knew that was Mackey.
> > > >
> > > Know you asked how I could prove it. You asked Adam, I supplied you
the
> > > answer.
> > > >
> > > > A little touchy are we today?
> > > >
> > > No, what did I say or do to give you that idea. You asked how I could
> > prove
> > > it and then start this crap when I show you. Sounds like a JW to me.
> > > >
> > > > And for all you
> > > > Mackey-haters out there, it's not that I dislike you (that's a whole
> > > > different subject), I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's
> > > bandwidth
> > > > (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
> > > >
> > > Are you new or ignorant about USENET Adam? None of "your" bandwidth
was
> > > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> > possibility
> > > of wasted bandwidth, check with John-Corky. He posts 2 replies by
> himself
> > > for every one of his detractors. He has been "wasteing" bandwidth like
> > this
> > > for at least 5 maybe 6 years now. He holds the record of 400+ off
topic
> > > posts in a month on RRS. Your lack of knowledge is showing. WHat do
you
> > > expect from your type, though.
> > > >
> > > > As for you John Mackey,
> > > > maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their
> foolish
> > > > posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them
or
> > > > whatever. We both know who the cooler heads are in this NG.
> > > >
> > > You ignorance is overwhelming. If you knew anything you haven't shown
it
> > yet
> > > Adam-Corky.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Adam Kolari
> > > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:m6hn5.891$vM1.180948@news.uswest.net...

> > > > > Look at the dull dopey ignorant gaze. The gap teeth. The dirty
> jeans.
> > > > > Untucked shirt. Bad haircut. Stylish fanny pack. Drunken slack
jaw.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, zoom in on the badge, it's John-Corky's old callsign.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Need more proof? Just ask me Adam-Corky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > God Bless you little lamb.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Glenn
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:B%dn5.4108$uX.4898@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > > > How can you prove that the picture is actually John Mackey?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Adam
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:xr4n5.2919$bJ.464757@news.uswest.net...
> > > > > > > You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a
> higher
> > > > > license
> > > > > > class gives you......
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:gg4n5.7422$Ur3.70088@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > > > > > Glenn - I recommend you NOT do that unless you want to make
a
> > fool
> > > > > > of yourself AGAIN. Rick has more years experience as a
> licensed
> > > > > amateur
> > > > > > radio operator AND has a higher license class than YOU!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > Do you want to measure accomplishments RicK? Start a new
> > message
> > > > and
> > > > > > lets
> > > > > > go
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
>
>

3968. Re: 1300mhz and up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Uzxn5.6188$uX.12232@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81681

If I'm not mistaken, there are some portable phones that operate in the 2GHz range. Other than that, I have no clue as to what else would be up there.

Adam Kolari

"Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message news:399EF6BC.BFA1E9A7@cloudnet.com...
> Has anyone ever picked up anything above 1300 mhz besides birdies from
> lower freqs? Is there a place that shows specific freqs above 1300
> actually being assigned? The reason I am asking is to see if its
> practical to buy a scanner that goes up to 2000 mhz. I have a pro 26
> that goes up to 1.3 gig and have never picked up anything above 1000
> mhz. I am sure there are government agencies that go well above this but
> they are encrypted so we cannot listen to them anyway.
> Any comments would be appreciated.
> thanks
> Randy
>

3969. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <lFyn5.8818$Ur3.101702@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81682

That's OK too. What's good enough for Richard is good enoiugh for me!

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> Richard says he has a psych degree. He
> can continue to make that claim right up to, during, and after he
> displays his credentials.

3970. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <dHyn5.8823$Ur3.101738@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Blake - Are yoiu speaking of the incident where you were harassing my
elderly father
at his work?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, please present proof, in the form of the alleged police
> complaint, officers name and number, of any harassment of your
> father, you, or any member of your family, or be found a liar

3971. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <DQyn5.8843$Ur3.101912@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:zQon5.5927$uX.9435@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> FOR CRYING OUT LOUD PEOPLE. USE YOUR E-MAIL TO CARRY ON YOUR CHILDISH
> ANTICS. MUST YOU BE IN ALL THE NEWGROUPS?
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:8nja7v$12c$5@63.162.247.243...
> >
> >
> > K S 0 F wrote:
> > >
> > > How do you know that, Blake?
> > >
> > > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com>
> > > > John, you are caught in yet another lie. The university you claim
> > > > is overseas, and you damn well know that you are caught again, as
> > > > it IS over 2k miles away.
> > >
> > It came from your mouth Johnny. Or was it just another of your
> > split personalities?
> >
> > Perhaps Newbie?
>
>

3972. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <1Ryn5.6236$uX.12770@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81685

Amen to that. Well said.

Adam Kolari

"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:zQon5.5927$uX.9435@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> FOR CRYING OUT LOUD PEOPLE. USE YOUR E-MAIL TO CARRY ON YOUR CHILDISH
> ANTICS. MUST YOU BE IN ALL THE NEWGROUPS?

3973. Re: John Mackey - ALL HAIL MACKEY THE CREEP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBC40.96E89C78@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81686

K S 0 F wrote:

> Why would I care? I have absolutely NO interest in finding you.
>
> If you were for real & any kind of a man, you wouldn't have to be
> anonymous!
>
> "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote
> > Johnny BOY!!!
> > You can't touch me, you can't even find me (let alone

3974. Re: Immature Baby Mackey the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBC56.7AC858C3@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

K S 0 F wrote:

> It's all theirs.
>
> "mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Then let them have it and leave.

3975. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBCAE.1FFC6E0D@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Piss off corky gap between front teeth. Your FAT OVERSIZED
father deserves it for breeding a FAT slob like you.

K S 0 F wrote:

> Blake - Are yoiu speaking of the incident where you were harassing my
> elderly father
> at his work?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, please present proof, in the form of the alleged police
> > complaint, officers name and number, of any harassment of your
> > father, you, or any member of your family, or be found a liar

3976. Re: Mackey the fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBCDC.B5415AE1@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81689

K S 0 F wrote:

> Glenn - This is NOT a binaries NG, YOU wasted the bandwidth by posting
> the binaries here, NOT myself or Adam. Get a life & get a brain.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > None of "your" bandwidth was
> > wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a
> possibility
> > of wasted bandwidth,
> SNIP

3977. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBCFB.284B1BFE@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

K S 0 F wrote:

> mackey - YOU need to get a life & stop harassing me.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, you need to understand that those
> SNIP

3978. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBD4D.2AD1574B@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81691

No You are embarrassed and are pissed off how you're
NOT going to get the last word. Get a life you ugly
freak. You're making us all laugh.

K S 0 F wrote:

> No, YOU are embarrased that you are harassing & causing problems here
> on this NG & you don't know how to escape the blame you are feeling.
>
> The only thing you can do is to simply STOP!
>
> "mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> > Mackey is doing the picking. Just how much of an idiot
> > are you anyway.
> > What you're really pissed off about is how you chose to
> > side with mackey and now your kicking yourself for it.
> > You're too embarrassed to admit it.

3979. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBD62.61C46A08@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81692

K S 0 F wrote:

> Correct, Blake.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Well, Ole Newbie is hiding behind that alias, and seems to be just
> > another name for John Mackey.

3980. Larry R. Johnson: A Mackey Supporter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnb <cydag@lpaa.com>
Message-ID: <399EBEB3.5FBE462C@lpaa.com>
Source record: 81693

.

3981. Re: Littleton, CO - Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@nospamuswest.net>
Message-ID: <399EBF06.B4B64E15@nospamuswest.net>
Source record: 81694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here you go;

ARAPAHOE COUNTY
[MULTI-AGENCY TRUNKED 800 MOTOROLA SMARTZONE SYSTEM]
FLEETMAP: E2 (DEFAULT)

SITE GREENWOOD VILLAGE [PRIMARY SITE]

868.7000
868.4000
868.1125
867.8125
867.5625
867.2875
867.0375
866.6875
866.4375
866.1125

SITE LITTLETON

868.8375
868.2375
867.9375
867.6000
866.7875

SITE BENNETT
868.5125
867.3500
866.5375
866.2125

SITE BYERS
868.2375
867.9375
867.6000

SITE DEER TRAIL
868.8375
867.1000
866.7875

[POLICE]
ACSO-1 48-Dispatch
ACSO-2 80-Clearances

ACSO-3 112-Special Ops
ACSO-4 144-Channel 4
ACSO-5 176-Car to Car 1
ACSO-6 208-Car to Car 2

ARAPAHOE RESCUE
[RESCUE]
155.1600 S Operations
155.2350 S Operations
155.2950 S Operations

Gray-Beard

Ed wrote:

> Hello.
>
> Can anyone point me toward the frequencies or system type used by Littleton,
> CO Police and Fire? Are they trunked or conventional?
>
> Thank you very much.

--
Remove nospam to reply

3982. Denver Firefighter drowns saving two

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8nmfmv$52l$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81695
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.scanner

I've updated my fire service page, including a link (right at the top) to this Denver story from yesterday.

At http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm

Harry

3983. Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000819134336.11987.00000388@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 81696

PARGRADIO has spoken for its members.

>Subject: Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!
>From: "RicK Larson" Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com
>Date: 8/18/2000 11:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <sps2tsha87v15@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Dummy
>Maybe PARGRADIO and PARG are two different groups.
>Seems that young Ryan from MINN or Bowers said that it was PottersvilleARG
>or something.
>Better check you facts before you holler fraud.
The facts are that it is the Pourtsmouth,VA
Club that has voted so please don't confuse the matter any further.
We are not Phantoms or Potters and we think Glenn should have his license taken
away. At the meeting the NG controversy was reviewed and support given to a
fellow HAM that has spent much time to further Ham Radio.
See ya later again at Dayton, It was a pleasure to meet you at the conference.
>
>
>Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
>news:UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net...
>>
>> Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
>> >
>> > As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from our
>> last
>> > club meeting. 72 votes for John Mackey and 6 against John. We did cast
>78
>> votes
>> > against Glenn and removed any chance that he could join our club. I
>guess
>> that
>> > these votes must be counted before the 24:00 PDT deadline imposed by the
>> USENET
>> > groups.
>> > HAhahahaha you lose again.
>>
>> Let's see.
>>
>> Parg - John-Corky Mackey-Bowl
>>
>> Says it all.

3984. Re: 1300mhz and up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <iPzn5.4425$QW4.70825@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81697

Randy:

This might be of some help...

1240.000 -1300.000 { Amateur (23cm)
1240.000 -1350.000 { Aero - Air Route Surveillance Radar
1350.000 -1400.000 US Govt - military tactical & air-ground data

Bill Crocker

"Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
news:399EF6BC.BFA1E9A7@cloudnet.com...
> Has anyone ever picked up anything above 1300 mhz besides birdies from
> lower freqs? Is there a place that shows specific freqs above 1300
> actually being assigned? The reason I am asking is to see if its
> practical to buy a scanner that goes up to 2000 mhz. I have a pro 26
> that goes up to 1.3 gig and have never picked up anything above 1000
> mhz. I am sure there are government agencies that go well above this but
> they are encrypted so we cannot listen to them anyway.
> Any comments would be appreciated.
> thanks
> Randy
>

3985. FS PRO-26 UK version NEW in box w/leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. B. H." <dbh@sbip.com>
Message-ID: <aBAn5.25560$2k1.663894@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 81698

This model has no gaps, you get full coverage from 25MHz to1.3GHz. Has box, manual, antenna and a real leather carrying case.$275.00 + s&h. Would consider trade for PRO-2006. If intrested emailme at dbh@101freeway.com

3986. PRO-43 vs. Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <nsBn5.3105$%O6.188961@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81699

I just picked up an excellent condition PRO-43 but find the small keypad hard to use. I saw a brand new Sony SC1 handheld scanner for $179.00 and am wondering if I should sell the PRO-43 to get the SC1. What do you think?

Frank

3987. RS Pro-2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <399EE5EF.9309EE9D@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 81700

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 that I bought new in 1995 it has a date
code of 1A4 and is in perfect cond.
The reason that i am selling is I just don't use it enough, any way here
is what is in the package:
The Radio has the following modifications.
Digital S-Meter / with front panel LED's
Analog S-Meter with jack
Data Tone Squelch mod. { switchable }
Extended delay, Adjustable 0-12 sec with front panel Led On Indicator
Carrier Status "Led" front panel mount
Center tune indicator "Led front panel mount"
Cell Mod
Diode speed up mod
10 Mhz Reference Mod with Bnc jack
8 Unused front panel mounted LED's for future mods
6,400 channel Mod. (Computer Controlled Only)
2 DB25 jacks mounted in rear.
2 25 cond. cables for Interface
1 9 Cond. Cable for interface
1 CE-232 Interface. Built externally in a very nice looking project box
with a led on indicator, two DB 25
jacks to interface with the Scanner, 1 DB9 Jack for Comm. port
connection to computer.
I Have all Original Documentation an As-Built diagrams of the
Interconnection between the scanner and
CE-232. The Analog to Digital conversion has been enabled and works
Perfectly. The Ce-232
Documentation and installation and Operation software will come in a
nice binded folder exactly the way I
Received it from Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek) With the
addition of all AS-Built diagrams that I
have done.
I have been using a Windows program "Pro Turbo" with this scanner and it
is quite impressive. I think that
there is another windows based program called "Red shift" But I cant
comment on that one.
This Scanner and Interface are in Absolutely Mint- Cond. Electrically
and Cosmetically. I also have Full
Copies of Bill Cheeks World Scanner Reports from Jan 1991 to July 1996
That I will throw in with the deal. I also have a new spare EL Panel
that will go with the radio.
I want $500.00 Dollars plus UPS Ground shipping (I will properly pack
it) If you need some specific
information on the capabilities of this interface you can visit these

sites.
Thanks,
Craig
kd7hcx

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

http://www.comtronics.net/

http://www.steaksandwich.com/newprod/navtree.html

3988. RS Pro-2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <399EE63D.AC3A416E@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 81701

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 that I bought new in 1995 it has a date
code of 1A4 and is in perfect cond.
The reason that i am selling is I just don't use it enough, any way here
is what is in the package:
The Radio has the following modifications.
Digital S-Meter / with front panel LED's
Analog S-Meter with jack
Data Tone Squelch mod. { switchable }
Extended delay, Adjustable 0-12 sec with front panel Led On Indicator
Carrier Status "Led" front panel mount
Center tune indicator "Led front panel mount"
Cell Mod
Diode speed up mod
10 Mhz Reference Mod with Bnc jack
8 Unused front panel mounted LED's for future mods
6,400 channel Mod. (Computer Controlled Only)
2 DB25 jacks mounted in rear.
2 25 cond. cables for Interface
1 9 Cond. Cable for interface
1 CE-232 Interface. Built externally in a very nice looking project box
with a led on indicator, two DB 25
jacks to interface with the Scanner, 1 DB9 Jack for Comm. port
connection to computer.
I Have all Original Documentation an As-Built diagrams of the
Interconnection between the scanner and
CE-232. The Analog to Digital conversion has been enabled and works
Perfectly. The Ce-232
Documentation and installation and Operation software will come in a
nice binded folder exactly the way I
Received it from Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek) With the
addition of all AS-Built diagrams that I
have done.
I have been using a Windows program "Pro Turbo" with this scanner and it
is quite impressive. I think that
there is another windows based program called "Red shift" But I cant
comment on that one.
This Scanner and Interface are in Absolutely Mint- Cond. Electrically
and Cosmetically. I also have Full
Copies of Bill Cheeks World Scanner Reports from Jan 1991 to July 1996
That I will throw in with the deal. I also have a new spare EL Panel
that will go with the radio.
I want $500.00 Dollars plus UPS Ground shipping (I will properly pack
it) If you need some specific
information on the capabilities of this interface you can visit these

sites.
I have Digital Photo's that I can supply upon request.
Thanks,
Craig
kd7hcx

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

http://www.comtronics.net/

http://www.steaksandwich.com/newprod/navtree.html

3989. WTB Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <ve6ci@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <399EE783.3FFB2ABB@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 81702

I am looking for a friend of mine a reasonably priced handheld scanner
in nice shape for police, fire etc.

Please email direct ve6ci@rac.ca

3990. Re: 1300mhz and up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ash <ash@gravitywell.org>
Message-ID: <399EEB92.E5DA57ED@gravitywell.org>
Source record: 81703

-1,325----(1.325 GHz)-----------
Mobile, Satellite, Fixed, Navigation etc...

Rather specialist, wavelengths of less than 30cm really do allow
for high gain antennas, with very narrow beamwidths. Cable losses
become very noticeable and/or untenable. Mobile "flutter" quite
severe, mobile systems need many more base stations to cover a given
area. Most useful uses are direct fixed links, point to point,
satellite
(line of sight), low range etc.
So - mostly un-interceptable and/or digital.

1,400... Transmission Prohibited, to 1427
Astronomy, Space Research, SETI, Hydrogen Line. Certain frequencies
around here
propagate very well through the universe, so the boffins listen here
for extra-
terrestial transmissions. But surely the little grey men are doing the
same thing?
1,452... L-Band DAB, to 1492
1,525... Satellite comms downlinks, to 1559 Inmarsat GMDSS etc (uplinks
1626.5-1660.5) (+101.5?)
1,575.42 Navstar GPS Nav L1 C/A (military accuracy with 1227.6 L2) (L3 1381.05
used)
1,602... Russian GLONASS L1, 0-12: 1602+n(0.5625) spread spectrum
1,610... LEO MSS, to 1626.5 (up&down) CDMA i.e. Globalstar, Iridium (TDMA,
780km up)
1,800.30.TFTS in-flight digital phones (air-ground), to 1804.969 (164 x 30.303
kHz channels : ground at -130)
1,690... Weather Satellite HRPT (Hi-res pics), to 1710 NOAA, GOES, MeteoSat
1,805... PCN mobile phones, to 1876.5 (split -95: 1710..)
1805 - 1816.5 soon to be shared by Cellnet & Vodaphone
1816.5 - 1846.5 One 2 One
1846.5 - 1876.5 Orange

1,880... DECT Digital Euro. Cordless Telephones, to 1900
1,900... future UMTS, to 2025 (with 2110-2200) IMT-2000, FPLMTS 3rd generation
mobile (-190?)

There are also some more on http://w1.647.telia.com/~u64702927/sk77goj.htm but
it wouldn't cut and paste correct...

> Adam Kolari
>
> "Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
> news:399EF6BC.BFA1E9A7@cloudnet.com...

> > Has anyone ever picked up anything above 1300 mhz besides birdies from
> > lower freqs? Is there a place that shows specific freqs above 1300
> > actually being assigned? The reason I am asking is to see if its
> > practical to buy a scanner that goes up to 2000 mhz. I have a pro 26
> > that goes up to 1.3 gig and have never picked up anything above 1000
> > mhz. I am sure there are government agencies that go well above this but
> > they are encrypted so we cannot listen to them anyway.
> > Any comments would be appreciated.
> > thanks
> > Randy
> >

3991. Vhf Pocket radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: larry mcghee <zenox-7@home.com>
Message-ID: <399EFCEB.32FD557F@home.com>
Source record: 81704

Hi is there such a beast? E.G. am/fm/vhf(120mhz to 172mhz).
I have run across pocket radios with the t.v. band but thats it.

cheers

larry

3992. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a7f1cf.141157914@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81705

On Sat, 19 Aug 2000 13:56:05 GMT, jeh@cmkrnl.com (Jamie Hanrahan) wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Aug 2000 05:47:48 GMT, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
>
>>There is another issue for you to consider.
>>In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
>>(Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
>>electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
>>flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
>>or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).
>
>You're mistaken about this. I've been a VERY frequent flyer for the last
>six years or so and I know of no U.S. carriers that ever prohibited CD
>players or laptop computers. A few even allow scanners and AM/FM radios.
>When above 10,000 feet, of course. Perhaps you're thinking of the "below
>10,000 feet" rule.

Oh... but of course none allow things that are "transmitters by design":
Cell phones, HTs of any band, remote-controlled toys, etc., at any
altitude.

Even this however is not due to the FAA's rule; the FAA (as quoted by
Skip) leaves it up to the "operator" (the airline) to allow "any other
portable electronic device that the operator of the aircraft has
determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used."

So if an airline did determine that, say, a 2m HT wouldn't bother the
aircraft, the airline would be free to allow its use. Just as some have
said that VHF scanners are just fine and dandy.

Cellphones are a special case. Cellphone use aboard *all* aircraft, even
private a/c, is prohibited by FCC regs, for technical reasons that have
been discussed at length here already.

--- jeh

3993. pro-92 cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmy <jimmy2@coollink.net>
Message-ID: <399F1419.6483F0@coollink.net>
Source record: 81706

any one have the pin-out and s/f for the pc programing of the pro-92
thanks jimmy

3994. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <h5Dn5.9086$Ur3.107310@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

So you are saying that a Semi-retired Military Vet Senior Citizen deserves harassment?

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
SNIP
> Your FAT OVERSIZED
> father deserves it
SMIP

3995. Re: PARG - what a TERRIFIC GROUP!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <87Dn5.9090$Ur3.107807@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81708

PARGRADIO - thank you for your support.

"Pargradio" <pargradio@aol.com> wrote
> PARGRADIO has spoken for its members.
>
> >Subject: Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!
> >From: "RicK Larson" Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com
> >Date: 8/18/2000 11:24 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <sps2tsha87v15@corp.supernews.com>
> >
> >Dummy
> >Maybe PARGRADIO and PARG are two different groups.
> >Seems that young Ryan from MINN or Bowers said that it was
PottersvilleARG
> >or something.
> >Better check you facts before you holler fraud.
> The facts are that it is the Pourtsmouth,VA
> Club that has voted so please don't confuse the matter any further.
> We are not Phantoms or Potters and we think Glenn should have his license
taken
> away. At the meeting the NG controversy was reviewed and support given to
a
> fellow HAM that has spent much time to further Ham Radio.
> See ya later again at Dayton, It was a pleasure to meet you at the
conference.
> >
> >
> >Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> >news:UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net...
> >>
> >> Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >> >
> >> > As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from
our
> >> last
> >> > club meeting. 72 votes for John Mackey and 6 against John. We did
cast
> >78
> >> votes
> >> > against Glenn and removed any chance that he could join our club. I
> >guess
> >> that
> >> > these votes must be counted before the 24:00 PDT deadline imposed by

the
> >> USENET
> >> > groups.
> >> > HAhahahaha you lose again.
> >>
> >> Let's see.
> >>
> >> Parg - John-Corky Mackey-Bowl
> >>
> >> Says it all.
>
>

3996. Re: Blake's PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <l8Dn5.9092$Ur3.107817@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81709

Bud - thank you for correcting the name of Blake's group.

"Bud the Real!" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> hollered from the outhouse...
> >But PARG, Pottersville Amateur Radio Group, has had no such vote.
>
> The _real_ PARG is the Pinheads Amateur (-acting) Radio Group.

3997. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <riDn5.9144$Ur3.107807@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81710

I don't care who has the last word, I just want you guys to stop your
trouble making.

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> No You are embarrassed and are pissed off how you're
> NOT going to get the last word. Get a life you
SNIP

3998. Re: Vhf Pocket radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <399f04c3.2778137@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 81711

Try a Yaesu VX5 Ham Radio

On Sat, 19 Aug 2000 20:36:27 GMT, larry mcghee <zenox-7@home.com> wrote:

>Hi is there such a beast? E.G. am/fm/vhf(120mhz to 172mhz).
>I have run across pocket radios with the t.v. band but thats it.
>
>cheers
>
>larry

Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

3999. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <XNDn5.9250$Ur3.108876@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote > K S 0 F wrote:
> Paying any person, even minors, for sex is prostitution.

That is correct, fortunately I have NEVER done what you mention above.

> Since you claim the above post never existed and
SNIP
> it up in DejaNews, I reposted the header of the original message
> to assist you. You know as well as I do, the original message exists,
SNIP

Richard - Post the original message from me & it would lend credibility to
your statement. Without it, you have no case.

> I have posted the original messages from Mr. Greco in their entirety.
SNIP

No you didn't post it. Do so or the accusation will be dropped as having no
validity.

> > By looking at what YOU POSTED, Cheek ranked considerably
> > higher in both "TOTALS" and "AVG". Therefore, you have proven
> > that I was NOT the most "prolific" poster since I was beaten out
> > by Cheek according to the information you posted. Thank you for your
> > support!
>
> Cheeks totals were artifically inflated due to repostings from the
> messages you canceled. Not counting the repostings, his totals were in the
low

I have NEVER canceled posting from anyone other than myself. If you still
wish to
push this then post your verifiable proof or be found a liar by default.

If Cheek is given credit for his posting being artifically inflated then I
also deserve
the same credit as several of my messages were canceled & had to be
re-posted
dureing that same time. Therefore, with me receiving the credit as Cheek,
I would
still result with better ranking numbers to prove Cheek (NOT ME) was the
most
prolific poster.

> > WRONG! I stated that Cheek was NOT a member of Mensa, I did not say
> > that he had never been a member.

>
> Sure you did. If you want proof, find someone to show it to you in
SNIP

Show it yourself within 48 hours or be found to be a liar by default.

4000. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <RPDn5.9255$Ur3.108788@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

You better have someone else count for you as several others have confirmed
that I WON the vote!

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> So what if the voices in your head support you. The talley is
> still over 45 against you and only 3 for you. Go make up some

4001. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <sTEn5.9375$Ur3.110040@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> You can ASSUME anything you want.
> However, your data is a year out of date.
> the person I know (as "Hawke") isn't with @home.

Eric, once again you are caught lying. The person you "know as Hawke" is indeed a user of
"@home". Read the NNTP header messages coming from the posting (YOU) made under the name of
"Hawke". (all followed by the "%" symbol)

% From: Hawke <proudhawk19021@home.com>
% Subject: Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey the Great!
% Date: 16 Aug 2000 00:00:00 GMT
% X-Trace: news1.rdc1.az.home.com 966412117 24.21.77.91 (Wed, 16 Aug 2000 00:48:37 PDT)
% Organization: @Home Network
% Reply-To: proudhawk@uswestmail.net
% Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

To verify authenticity of above, please click on link below:
http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=658837775&fmt=text

> And, if you bother to look, you'll find that this handle
> that is being used is a fairly popular one. However, if you feel
> like making a fool of yourself, go right ahead! I won't stop you.
> However, since you point out this appearent coincidence, let me
> remind you that there are many thousands (if not tens of thousands)
> of users on the @home network

It's no coincidence, Eric. YOU are also the user known as "Hawke"!!!

% From: Eric Oyen n7zzt@home.com
% Subject: Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace
% Date: 17 Aug 2000 00:00:00 GMT
% X-Trace: news1.rdc1.az.home.com 966534871 24.21.77.91 (Thu, 17 Aug 2000 10:54:31 PDT)
% Organization: @Home Network
% Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For verification of above please follow this link:
http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=659417422&fmt=text

Notice that both "Hawke" and YOU post from the same IP address of
24.21.77.91
on your messages. (middle line starting as "X-Trace...") NO ONE ELSE POSTS
WITH
THE SAME IP ADDRESS OF 24.21.77.91 AS ERIC OYEN AND HAWKE, YOU
ARE A LIAR!! For proof, please follow this link for a search on Deja for
all posts between Aug 1 2000 &
Aug 18 2000 using the IP address of "24.21.77.91", EVERY SINGLE POST
WAS FROM EITHER ERIC OYEN OR HAWKE!!!!!!!!!!

http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/qs.xp?ST=PS&svcclass=dnyr&firstsearch=yes&sho
w_preserve=on&QRY=24.21.77.91&defaultOp=AND&DBS=1&OP=dnquery.xp&LNG=english&
subjects=&groups=&authors=&fromdate=Aug+1+2000&todate=+Aug+18+2000&showsort=
score&maxhits=25

Eric, you are a shame to the amateur radio community. Repeated lying &
misleading is
NOT a tradition of amateur radio and you are NOT acting in "good amateur
practice".

You should be ashamed of yourself.

4002. Re: RS Pro-2006 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <399F21DF.5B9356BC@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 81715

Scanner Is Sold

moonman wrote:

> I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 that I bought new in 1995 it has a date
> code of 1A4 and is in perfect cond.
> The reason that i am selling is I just don't use it enough, any way here
> is what is in the package:
> The Radio has the following modifications.
> Digital S-Meter / with front panel LED's
> Analog S-Meter with jack
> Data Tone Squelch mod. { switchable }
> Extended delay, Adjustable 0-12 sec with front panel Led On Indicator
> Carrier Status "Led" front panel mount
> Center tune indicator "Led front panel mount"
> Cell Mod
> Diode speed up mod
> 10 Mhz Reference Mod with Bnc jack
> 8 Unused front panel mounted LED's for future mods
> 6,400 channel Mod. (Computer Controlled Only)
> 2 DB25 jacks mounted in rear.
> 2 25 cond. cables for Interface
> 1 9 Cond. Cable for interface
> 1 CE-232 Interface. Built externally in a very nice looking project box
> with a led on indicator, two DB 25
> jacks to interface with the Scanner, 1 DB9 Jack for Comm. port
> connection to computer.
> I Have all Original Documentation an As-Built diagrams of the
> Interconnection between the scanner and
> CE-232. The Analog to Digital conversion has been enabled and works
> Perfectly. The Ce-232
> Documentation and installation and Operation software will come in a
> nice binded folder exactly the way I
> Received it from Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek) With the
> addition of all AS-Built diagrams that I
> have done.
> I have been using a Windows program "Pro Turbo" with this scanner and it
> is quite impressive. I think that
> there is another windows based program called "Red shift" But I cant
> comment on that one.
> This Scanner and Interface are in Absolutely Mint- Cond. Electrically
> and Cosmetically. I also have Full
> Copies of Bill Cheeks World Scanner Reports from Jan 1991 to July 1996
> That I will throw in with the deal. I also have a new spare EL Panel
> that will go with the radio.

> I want $500.00 Dollars plus UPS Ground shipping (I will properly pack
> it) If you need some specific
> information on the capabilities of this interface you can visit these
> sites.
> I have Digital Photo's that I can supply upon request.
> Thanks,
> Craig
> kd7hcx
>
> http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html
>
> http://www.comtronics.net/
>
> http://www.steaksandwich.com/newprod/navtree.html

4003. UNIDEN SC-200 AS NEW (7-00) $175 SHIPPED !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <399F3AF6.185F5A5D@netboise.com>
Source record: 81716

I hace a 200 ch SC-200 for sale is new as of 7-00.

DCS-CTCSS decode. Alpha Tags. GREAT RADIO !!
Band-Search, Alert tone on specific channels, Smart Scanner modem
access.

$175 SHIPPED SORRY NO TRADES.

USED VERY LITTLE !!!! With original receipt, under full warranty.

Reason for selling: Have FD portable and pager and cell phone I
must carry,
not enought room on my belt !!!!

4004. Uniden BC-800XLT $100 shipped !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <399F3B9B.84106F38@netboise.com>
Source record: 81717

Standard BC-800XLT, 40 channels, VHF-UHF-800. Have manual, 800 mhz antenna.
Missing Vhf/Uhf antenna. Power cable, non-smoker, small scratch on front, other than that excellent.
$100 shipped within US. Postal Money Order accepted.

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

4005. WTB: Sony ICF-SC1 or PRO-26 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <uDHn5.6752$uX.15017@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for a Sony ICF-SC1 or PRO-26 in good condition. Name your price and let's do business. I will be out of town until Friday, so I may not be able to get back to you until then unless there is a computer where I am going (summer camp). Thank you and have a great day!

Adam Kolari

4006. Re: Bibb County Georgia Sheriff's Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505 <mm505@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nnij5$5n7$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Stop by ScanAtlanta.com - www.ScanAtlanta.com

--

/\/\|k.e

ScanAtlanta Website
http://www.ScanAtlanta.com
ScanAtlanta eGroups
http://www.egroups.com/subscribe/ScanAtlanta
ScanAlert eGroups
http://www.egroups.com/subscribe/ScanAlert
AOL Instant Messenger - B7Spectra

"Richard Waller" <rickwalleresq@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Tnjn5.1559$Vr4.30998@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know the frequencies and trunking type for the Bibb County
> Georgia's Sheriff's Dept, Thanks for your help.
>
> --
> Rick Waller
> rickwaller@abanet.org
>
>

4007. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F95E4FD5jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

chuck@nospam.latraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) wrote in
<399f28f9.200319002@news.earthlink.net>:

>Wow! That sounds great. I'll keep an eye on the R3.
>
>Thanks!
>
>
>On 18 Aug 2000 20:28:52 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:
>
>>Icom's forcomimg R3 handheld was MADE for this kinda' thing. Not only
>>can it pick up TV broadcasts and wireless cameras, but it might be able
>>to pick up this ENG stuff too.
>
>

Haven't heard when this thing will hit the stores in the U.S. I've been
hearing rumors that it's already available overseas (maybe Europe or
Japan).

4008. FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wolfpack25 <Wolfpack25@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8nnjpo$o72$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81721

Pro-2006 in exc. condition. Backlight is bright. No Scratches. serial #069430. Date code 8A3. In box. Sorry no manual. $250.00 I will pay shipping in continental U.S only. Price is firm. Money Order/Cashiers Check only. E-Mail Wolfpack25@prodigy.net

Wolfpack25

4009. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <OjIn5.6775$uX.15284@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81722

Well BTH......bullshit back to you.......that portion of the law was not changed to give the police a tool for the "war against drugs". That was the whole basis of the Supreme Court decision. A man was convicted on drug charges because a neighbor heard the dealer making drug deals on his scanner. The neighbor called police who in turn set up a "listing post" and subsequently the drug dealer was arrested, tried, and convicted. It is not illegal to listen to the type of phones and monitors we are discussing. Recently, while on a "stakeout" in a grave yard, in my area, an undercover agent, using a bearcat 200xlt scanner and recorder busted a high-school drug ring. The dealer was using the city and county grave yards to make drops at certain grave sites. He would tell the buyer to look for the site and a prescription bottle. The buyer would take the drugs and leave money or pay in advance. The undercover agent used the tapes he made, of the phone calls, from the buyers in the area during the court case. The case was won. The police and agents will use the means necessary to stop drug dealers. If you disagree that is ok but be civil......I bet you are the same BTR found in the "bible groups"?
"Bud the Real!" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:bbcspsc9ojmkhno1e8j7kt3q982e3lac0b@4ax.com...
> "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >According the Supreme Court it is not illegal to listen to home portable
> >phones.
>
> Bullshit.
>
> There WAS such a ruling, but that was before the law was changed.
>
> The Supreme Court hasn't ruled on that change, which WILL probably
> stand any legal challenge.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

4010. Scanner Listener Praised by Police in Arrests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8nns7f$i19$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Harry Marnell has sent you the following comments and article from
http://www.marinij.com

Comments: Now THIS is what we need more of. Notice Capt. Lyles' referring to the scanner listener as "a great citizen" and "solid." And apparently the citizen reported what he saw but left the work to the police. One for our side.

Robbery could lead to life terms

By Con Garretson

The two suspects in dramatic robbery of an Old Navy clothing store in Novato have extensive criminal histories and could be sentenced to life in prison if convicted on new criminal charges filed yesterday, prosecutors said.

Sharon Brewer, 40, and Edward Jerome Jones, 45, both of Oakland, were arrested at gunpoint on the side of southbound Highway 101 after taking $682 worth of merchandise from the clothing store in the Vintage Oaks shopping center, authorities said.

Bewer and Jones have two prior felony convictions that count as "strikes" under California's "three strikes" sentencing law. As a result, convictions in this new case could result in sentences of at least 25 years to life, according to Marin County Deputy District Attorney Linda Witong.

Brewer was arraigned in Marin County Superior Court yesterday on charges of robbery, commercial burglary, grand theft and additional legal enhancements based on her criminal history, including convictions for grand theft, attempted robbery and robbery using a gun in the early 1980s.

Witong said Brewer also is on felony probation for a theft conviction in February and a conviction on the robbery charge could result in a 30 years-to-life sentence for her.

Jones was arraigned in court on charges of commercial burglary and grand theft, as well as additional criminal enhancements for a criminal career that includes three bank robbery convictions in the 1970s and 1980s.

All of their prior convictions took place in the Bay Area, Witong said, but none of them were in Marin.

Witong said bail was increased to $650,000 for both, from $50,000 for Brewer and $300,000 for Jones.

Police said the two suspects placed several shirts in a shopping bag and tried to leave the store without paying for the merchandise at about 3:40

p.m. An employee confronted the pair, a scuffle broke out and the suspects fled and jumped into an older model yellow Mercedes, witnesses told police.

A tip from an alert citizen led to their capture.

"We had a great citizen who helped us out," Novato police Capt. Reggie Lyles said. "This guy was in his car listening to his (police) scanner and he observed the car. He got on his cell phone and told us our suspects were headed southbound on Highway 101."

"We were really blessed that he did that. It just speaks to why our community is so safe, because we have such solid people like him out there."

Lyles said his officers have been "especially sensitive" of potential crime activity at Old Navy in light of at least half a dozen thefts there in the past four months.

Three weeks prior to the arrest of Brewer and Jones, another person was arrested in her car with merchandise stolen from the same Old Navy.

Eboni Camille Thomas, 23, also of Oakland, was charged yesterday with grand theft in that case, Witong said.

Thomas was arrested on July 26 on suspicion of grand theft. Another woman driving her car pulled into the path of another car on Rowland Boulevard, resulting in a minor injury collision, police said.

Witnesses told police Thomas was seen running out of Old Navy with 36 shirts, which were later valued at $764. The shirts were found inside the would-be getaway car but there were initially problems establishing a criminal case against Thomas, police said.

However, Witong said further investigation revealed sufficient evidence to charge Thomas with felony grand theft. Thomas has been out of jail on $10,000 bail since the day after her arrest.

After Thomas' arrest, an Old Navy employee said the recent crime spree at the store was not coincidental but was tied to a "huge (crime) ring" targeting the Novato outlet based on knowledge of that store's security practices and the retail chain's merchandise return policy.

Contact Con Garretson via e-mail at cgarretson@marinij.com

Brought to you by The Marin Independent Journal
http://www.marinij.com

4011. Re: John Mackey - ALL HAIL MACKEY THE GREAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:37:57 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <FFkn5.8185$Ur3.88037@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81776

Why would I care? I have absolutely NO interest in finding you.

If you were for real & any kind of a man, you wouldn't have to be anonymous!

"Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote
> Johnny BOY!!!
> You can't touch me, you can't even find me (let alone

4012. Re: where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:39:30 UTC
From: bigholm77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818203930.22331.00000653@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 81777

www.truncktracker.com

4013. Re: Immature Baby Cory the FOOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:42:18 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <KJkn5.8186$Ur3.86737@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

It's all theirs.

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Then let them have it and leave.

4014. Glann Hansen KB7PKC harassing others was:Re: Mackey/Cheek compared...or Run John-RicK Run.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:44:44 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <0Mkn5.8187$Ur3.88368@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Typical example of Glenn Hansen KB7PKC harassing users of this NG.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> RicK Larson suffering from lack of oxygen pulled his head out
SNIP

4015. Glenn KB7PKC says Amateur "License class is nothing"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:47:07 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <fOkn5.8190$Ur3.88393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No Glenn, you are just jealous of people who have achived more than you.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> You are proof that license class is nothing. Here is what a higher license
SNIP

4016. Re: PIcture of the real problem, the CAL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:57:47 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <fYkn5.8197$Ur3.88524@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81781

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
SNIP
> I just wish you wouldn't waste everyone else's bandwidth
> (on a SCANNER NG) complaining about one person.
SNIP
Adam - they do this VERY OFTEN. The posting of the binary picture file
is a typical example.

> As for you John Mackey,
> maybe you should do what they say and stop replying to all their foolish
> posts. That way, if the harassment continues, you can report them or
> whatever.

Adam, I went for 8 days a few weeks ago WITHOUT ANY POSTINGS on this NG.
But the harassers still continued ath thier strongest.

See this message below-
>From: larryj@teleport.com (Larry R. Johnson)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: Re: All the Mackey-Cheeck crap
>Date: 6 Aug 2000 09:41:39 -0700
>>In article Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com> wrote:
>>Is it possible to take this Mackey-Cheek flame war somewhere else? I'm
>>really getting sick of sorting through all the spam to read about
scanners.
>
>He has a few avid fans that just can't seem to leave him alone - they don't
>hear from him for a while, so they stir him up until he comes back and
gives
>them what they want. I've contacted primenet and a few other providers with
>copies of the emails of some of the worst offenders, and plan to keep it up
>until there are some results. The rest of you who are tired of this might
do
>the same...

4017. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 00:59:44 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <4_kn5.8199$Ur3.88512@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81782

Wrong again, Blake.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Well, Ole Newbie is hiding behind that alias, and seems to be just
> another name for John Mackey.

4018. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:01:16 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <w%kn5.8202$Ur3.87929@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81783

There are far more than 3, how about the Larry Johnson post?
Glenn, YOU are pathetic!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Yes John, there are three
> people that support you out of the now 52 that hate you.
SNIP

4019. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:07:08 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <05ln5.8205$Ur3.88824@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

First - Cory is capable of speaking for himself if needed, he does not need you to translate his statements.

Second - I have never told a lie in my adult life.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, your lies are clouding your comprehension. As everyone else
SNIP

4020. Re: more lies from BLAKE BOWERS!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:07:14 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <65ln5.8206$Ur3.88804@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP
> Compounding lies upon your other lies
SNIP

4021. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:08:07 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <X5ln5.8207$Ur3.88616@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81786

No, they are just honest.

"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote
> Yup...newbie and hamguy...are they superheros to you?

4022. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:09:37 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <l7ln5.8209$Ur3.88806@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Blake - YOU need to get a life & stop harassing me and my elderly father.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, you need to understand that those
SNIP

4023. Glenn Hansen the fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:11:45 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <l9ln5.8211$Ur3.88834@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81788

Glenn - This is NOT a binaries NG, YOU wasted the bandwidth by posting the binaries here, NOT myself or Adam. Get a life & get a brain.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> None of "your" bandwidth was
> wasted until you entered into the conversation. If there were a possibility
> of wasted bandwidth,
SNIP

4024. radio-waves

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:32:24 UTC
From: john3642@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nko38bgo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81789

if any one is interested join my radio-waves group in http://www.onelist.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4025. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 01:44:28 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <0EIn5.8228$Ur3.89372@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81790

No, YOU are embarrased that you are harassing & causing problems here
on this NG & you don't know how to escape the blame you are feeling.

The only thing you can do is to simply STOP!

"mnb" <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote
> Mackey is doing the picking. Just how much of an idiot
> are you anyway.
> What you're really pissed off about is how you chose to
> side with mackey and now your kicking yourself for it.
> You're too embarrassed to admit it.

4026. Pro 2036 discriminator tap point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 02:06:11 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <399DECC2.7B5B8DDC@home.com>
Source record: 81791

Well I have found (without a schematic) that the tap point for this radio is TP3. The pocsag decoder software works great when coupled into this point. Do any of you know the exact uniden model number that translates over to the pro-2036? I sent Uniden an email the other day concerning a schematic for the CTCSS board and refered to it as an BC800xlt, then I saw one on ebay. uh oh... Not the same radio.

Thanks in advance.

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, MI

4027. Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 02:10:17 UTC
From: K S 0 F <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <d0mn5.8250$Ur3.89945@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> equivalent. But, you failed to play the ultimate card and flaunt
> your alleged degrees. You couldn't
SNIP

That is because I didn't NEED to "play the ultimate card"!!!

> Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
degrees.

That's fine Richard. Until then, don't be claiming to have Psych
credentials.

4028. Re: Scanner --> PC --> RealAudio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 02:22:21 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399DEFA6.A0A61D1D@home.com>
Source record: 81793

I preferr shputcast myself. its easier to deal with
than real products. Also, you can get better compresssion
and lower bandwidth using the winamp shoutcast server
(a linux version is very available).

DE N7ZZT

Jim Chisholm wrote:

> percykn@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> Folks,
>> What is the best way to transmit data via my scanner (Trenton
>> Mercer County Aiport traffic) to the web ?
>>
>> Which handheld scanners are best to hook up to a computer ?
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>
> Hi..
> I've got an old Bearcat 200XLT that I run into the audio-in jack on my
> SBLive! audio card..I then run RealProducer and RealServer under Linux
> and via my cable modem am able to
> tune into my Fire Department's live broadcasts (with any RealAudio
> player) anywhere in the world..
> Loads of fun..
>
> Jim
>
> --
>
> ==
> Jim Chisholm
> Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
> Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
> Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
> ==
>
>

4029. PRO92/2067 Trunking Method

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 02:38:29 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <399df420$0$755$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81794

Do the PRO 92 & 2067 require a Base & Offset frequency to be programmed
before they will track??

Thanks
Mike

4030. FS: RS-2050, PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:00:15 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1407c24d85144bf89896c7@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 81795

Both excellent condition, original boxes, manuals. Details, pix at
http://www.trsc.com/for_sale.html
--
Tom Sundstrom

4031. Re: F/S opto456 & pro2006 & laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:03:27 UTC
From: gizmogarza@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818230327.01350.00000105@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 81796

SOLD

4032. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:47:41 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <399E2F2B.45A8B5B2@INVALID.com>
Source record: 81648

K S 0 F wrote:

> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > equivalent. But, you failed to play the ultimate card and flaunt
> > your alleged degrees. You couldn't
> SNIP
>
> That is because I didn't NEED to "play the ultimate card"!!!
>
> > Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> > AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> degrees.
>
> That's fine Richard. Until then, don't be claiming to have Psych
> credentials.

Doesn't work that way loony. Richard says he has a psych degree. He can continue to make that claim right up to, during, and after he displays his credentials. It's only in your LIE infested world that everyone has to present papers in order to identify one's self. You're projecting.

But Richard says he'll gladly show you his degree......

>>>>Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology degrees.

>>>>>>I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified, I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

>>>>So what do you say, Toilet Boy? Are you man enough and honest enough

to accept this challenge?

>>>>>Until then Toilet Boy, you are a liar and a fraud.

You picture yourself as "savior" of the USENET...
..here's your chance to rid yourself of Richard forever........

Post proof of your claimed degrees.....

Do it.

4033. Re: New votes in the recall event Glann Hansen KB7PKC makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:48:00 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81647

As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from our last club meeting. 72 votes for John Mackey and 6 against John. We did cast 78 votes against Glenn and removed any chance that he could join our club. I guess that these votes must be counted before the 24:00 PDT deadline imposed by the USENET groups.
HAhahahaha you lose again.

4034. I'm so sick of Gleen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:51:24 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818235124.04312.00000883@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81649

John Mackie is KEWL
Glenn Hansen SUXS

4035. Re: I'm so sick of Gleen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 03:56:03 UTC
From: jmackey700@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000818235603.06756.00001047@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 81650

I ain't related to either one but I hate Glenn and tolerate John cause his name is spelled like mine?
Jerry

4036. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 04:52:06 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Wnon5.9868$dG.284906@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 81651

"Nvidia Heaven" <3dfxmakesbetterbuttplugsthan3dchips@nvidiaheaven.org> wrote in message news:D3EC01452671D5F2.4FA8334B283F0D0D.ACECB7104AF4E600@lp.airnews.net...
> No, it isn't stupid. Follow what I'm saying...
> Just because someone tells you that something is illegal to have,
> or that you can't, or shouldn't have something, doesn't mean you can't
> have it or obtain it. If you get caught you might get in trouble, but if you are willing
> to pay the consequences of going against policy and law, then you can have and do whatever
> you want..

OK, not stupid, just ethical relativism. I reject that viewpoint.

4037. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 05:12:16 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nl4vgglq7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 81654

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
: There is another issue for you to consider.
: In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
: (Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
: electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
: flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
: or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).

Funny. When I flew back East this last fall, they had no problem
with scanners, radio receivers and televisions. We just had to
wait until we were out of the departure traffic area and stabilized
on a climb to cruise altitude. We had to shut them off when on
descent to the landing pattern.

What is allowed is up to the pilot, by regulation, but actually
decided by the airline. Some are more strict than others.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4038. Re: UPS COD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 05:14:42 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nl542glq8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 81653

Pappy@sgi.netski wrote:

:> about me. I always do a Postal MO it's still one of the safest methods of money
:> in the mail.

The only problem with money orders is that a replacement will not be
issued until 60 days after purchase - if it is lost.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4039. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 05:21:47 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8nl5hbglq9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 81655

: I doubt it's going to be anything like as expensive as has been bandied
: about here. There really isn't any reason, other than low volume
: production, why a police digital HT should cost much more than a digital
: cellphone. In fact, in a way, these things WILL be specialized digital
: cellphones.

I disagree. The police (or other similar handhelds) are much more rubustly constructed. They are also more weather resistant - and often have to be certified for use in explosive atmospheres.

: options like that. A conventional handheld radio might cost about $1000
: new in the box. A digital handheld might cost about $3000 new in the
: box. The encryption option could easily add up to $1000 on top of that.
: It shouldn't, but it does.

A digital handheld should cost more than an analog handheld, but probably only a few hundred dollars more. Make it spread spectrum, and the cost would be even higher.

But the manufacturers charge what they can get. And tax money often flows rather freely. It also does not help that the people making the purchasing decisions often don't have the slightest clue about what they need or what it should cost.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4040. Re: (Glenn-KB7PKC Watch) Cheek had no education.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 05:38:20 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <zQon5.5927$uX.9435@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR CRYING OUT LOUD PEOPLE. USE YOUR E-MAIL TO CARRY ON YOUR CHILDISH
ANTICS. MUST YOU BE IN ALL THE NEWGROUPS?
"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8nja7v$12c$5@63.162.247.243...
>
>
> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > How do you know that, Blake?
> >
> > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com>
> > > John, you are caught in yet another lie. The university you claim
> > > is overseas, and you damn well know that you are caught again, as
> > > it IS over 2k miles away.
>
> It came from your mouth Johnny. Or was it just another of your
> split personalities?
>
> Perhaps Newbie?

4041. Re: I'm so sick of Gleen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 05:52:49 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <399E2126.56400FCB@home.com>
Source record: 81656

I am sick of all your crap that you litter our newgroup with. Get a freakin life!

Smacksie wrote:
>
> John Mackie is KEWL
> Glenn Hansen SUXS

4042. Re: best ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 06:03:01 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <lbpn5.5948$uX.10325@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81657

Well Scott you could use several types of antennas. One would be a 5/8ths wave telescoping antenna for use in the home or just walking around the neighbor. At home you could use TV rabbit ears, (replace the TV wire with coax cable) , or make a vertical ground (VGA)plane antenna. The plans for the VGA can be found in any antenna book. I have been scanning for 30 years and hamming for 13 years and have built most of my own antennas for scanning and hamming. I have tried antennas of all kinds and find nothing can beat the homemade ones. Especially the VGA. If you live near a city or in the city the VGA can be placed on top of any building or if codes will not allow antennas you can hide them in your attack. Or build a fake chimney and put your antenna inside it. To my amazement I have found that any metal attached to my scanners will "hear" the signals. I have used a paper clip and compared it to a rubber ducky and my VGA. For city and county listing the paper clip did as good a job as the VGA. For distance listing the higher your antenna the better it will hear. You can also use old TV antennas turned in a vertical position rather than the horizontal way we all see them. A 400Mhz and up antenna can be found at the TV antenna shop. It is the V shaped looking one. Again mount the antenna as high as possible and also in the vertical position, that is with the elements pointing toward the sky and ground. For mobile you can use a magmount or trunk lid (check your scanner laws. Some states have laws against carrying a scanner in your car unless you have one of the many licenses available to carry the scanner.) Experiment and have fun. Once you catch the "roll your own antennas" fever you will never buy a commercial one again. Unless it claims to "hear" God.....Good luck and have fun.....N9IPA
"Scott Praiswater" <mepraisw@gte.net> wrote in message news:4l0n5.722$vJ1.204077@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I have a sportcat (sc150b) that i use to track down ELT signals with, I have
> the stock antenna on it.
>
> What would be a good antenna I could use to get the most range on 121.5mhz.
>
> thank you
>
>
> --
> Scott Praiswater
> mepraisw@gte.nrt
>
>

4043. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 06:12:59 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <1lpn5.5953$uX.10555@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81658

According the Supreme Court it is not illegal to listen to home portable phones. I do not have the case number but it can be found in the public library. It is illegal to make tape copies of the calls. The Supreme Court ruled during a "drug bust trial" that "home portable phones, baby monitors, and other types of home monitors are "radios" because they transmit a signal in the "air". Now is that something? What is a cell phone? According to the cell phone industry a cell phone is radio transmitter and as we all know a "radio direction finder" for the cops and your roam features. So hows come it illegal to "listen" cell (radios) phones? How do you spell money?
"BK" <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message news:399A771F.D6CC53B0@home.com...
> Legal is one thing-enforcement is quite another.
>
> No User wrote:
> >
> > Yes, I know its illegal to listen in on cell phones. But what about cordless phones? My scanner picks those up. The guy at Radio Shack said its illegal.
> >
> > Jim B.

4044. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 06:48:47 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j5qn5.20861$OG2.97577@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 81659

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:8nl4vgglq7@bashir.peak.org...
> Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> : There is another issue for you to consider.
> : In April of 1998, the FAA issued changes to the F.A.R.'s
> : (Flight Aviation Rules) soecifically rohibiting the use of any
> : electrnic device not relevant to the operations of a commercial
> : flight (this means: no cell phones, no scanners, no radio receivers
> : or any kind, no cd platers and no hand-held ham radios, PERIOD).
>
> Funny. When I flew back East this last fall, they had no problem
> with scanners, radio receivers and televisions. We just had to
> wait until we were out of the departure traffic area and stabilized
> on a climb to cruise altitude. We had to shut them off when on
> descent to the landing pattern.
>
> What is allowed is up to the pilot, by regulation, but actually
> decided by the airline. Some are more strict than others.

Sorry, no. The pilot is NOT allowed to decide this by the FAA, on
commercial flights. The AIRLINE (The 'operator', to the FAA must make that
decision. They could, of course, delegate that power to the PIC, but the
Operator must make the decision. By regulation, the PIC does not have the
right to decide this themselves. (private pilots do, not commercial pilots.)

4045. Re: PARG - what a JOKE!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 06:51:01 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nlaol$4na$0@63.162.247.84>
Source record: 81660

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> Dummy
> Maybe PARGRADIO and PARG are two different groups.
> Seems that young Ryan from MINN or Bowers said that it was PottersvilleARG
> or something.
> Better check you facts before you holler fraud.
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:UQnn5.1713$zg2.435062@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Pargradio <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20000818234800.04247.00000889@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> > >
> > > As president of PARGRADIO, I place into the vote the proxy vote from our
> > last

But PARG, Pottersville Amateur Radio Group, has had no such vote.

4046. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-19 06:53:33 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nlatd$6el$0@63.162.247.84>
Source record: 81661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John, please present proof, in the form of the alleged police
complaint, officers name and number, of any harassment of your
father, you, or any member of your family, or be found a liar
once again by default.

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake - YOU need to get a life & stop harassing me and my elderly father.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, you need to understand that those
> SNIP

4047. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Di_n5.772$gV2.277137@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and only John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial John-Corky. Seek professional help again.

Glenn

K-S-0-F (callsign) <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote in message news:AdXn5.13817$Ur3.132004@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > Blake has presented it a number of times
> SNIP
>
> ALL the people you claim proved NOTHING, except that they were NOT
> telling truth.
>
>
>
>
>

4048. Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <medic1@flashmail.com>
Message-ID: <sS_n5.4495$yq6.419418@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 81548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been able to pick up any!

Thanks!

4049. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8nptv3$8ll38$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81549

> Anybody have a Pro-2067?

I do...

>What do you think of it?

Love it. Use it for conventional scanning and the excellent PL/DPL feature. It finds and displays the PL on a frequency in one second flat. Very nice radio!

> I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.

It's been delayed since July. Then they pushed it back to August, September and now November! I don't think it will be out this year. Get the 2067!

Roland

4050. Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <medic1@flashmail.com>
Message-ID: <lS_n5.4494$yq6.418565@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 81550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been able to pick up any!

Thanks!

4051. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ME <ME@MYEMAIL.com>
Message-ID: <39A07FA6.98C265B8@MYEMAIL.com>
Source record: 81551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try looking at this web address
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Craig Hauke wrote:

> Hello,
> Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General
> Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance
> transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
> able to pick up any!
>
> Thanks!

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4052. FS-pro 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ME <ME@MYEMAIL.com>
Message-ID: <39A08138.8393BEAA@MYEMAIL.com>
Source record: 81552

100 channel scanner, can be used for mobile or base, already modified
and in tip-top shape. Asking $85.00 and buyer pays shipping. Email if
interested or if you have any questions at intimidator33333@hotmail.com
--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4053. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <haukec@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <3w%n5.4543$yq6.420093@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 81553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For some reason, I keep getting error messages in that database. Could it be that they have switched to an "unpublished" frequency?

Thanks!

"ME" <ME@MYEMAIL.com> wrote in message news:39A07FA6.98C265B8@MYEMAIL.com...
> Try looking at this web address
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>
> Craig Hauke wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> > Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General
> > Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance
> > transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
> > able to pick up any!
> >
> > Thanks!
>
> --
> ----------
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>

4054. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ME <ME@MYEMAIL.com>
Message-ID: <39A08514.70B21080@MYEMAIL.com>
Source record: 81554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think it must be down or being updated or something...I,ve been trying to use it too. Sorry, I should have tried it before I gave it to you. I would bookmark it though. It is the FCC's website and it will more than likely be working tomorrow..

Craig Hauke wrote:

> For some reason, I keep getting error messages in that database. Could it
> be that they have switched to an "unpublished" frequency?
>
> Thanks!
>
> "ME" <ME@MYEMAIL.com> wrote in message news:39A07FA6.98C265B8@MYEMAIL.com...
> > Try looking at this web address
> > http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
> >
> > Craig Hauke wrote:
> >
> > > Hello,
> > > Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County
> General
> > > Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the
> ambulance
> > > transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not
> been
> > > able to pick up any!
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Click here for Free Video!!
> > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
> >
> >

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4055. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <sd31qssm1a3gr15avsgt1ig9novorbub6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 81555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Those are the correct frequencies for georgetown. you might want to check your system to make sure it is working properly or ask them at the hospital and at the ambulance service to make sure of the frequencies. 155.340 is Ohio's state wide hospital link frequency. 155.280 and 155.295 are normal ambulance frequencies found in Ohio.

On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 20:40:06 -0400, "Craig Hauke" <medic1@flashmail.com> wrote:

>Hello,
> Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General
>Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance
>transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
>able to pick up any!
>
>Thanks!
>

4056. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <ne31qsoub788lm3ep9bjt0bccsuiio992g@4ax.com>
Source record: 81556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Those are the correct frequencies for georgetown. you might want to check your system to make sure it is working properly or ask them at the hospital and at the ambulance service to make sure of the frequencies. 155.340 is Ohio's state wide hospital link frequency. 155.280 and 155.295 are normal ambulance frequencies found in Ohio.

On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 20:40:06 -0400, "Craig Hauke" <medic1@flashmail.com> wrote:

>Hello,
> Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General
>Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance
>transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
>able to pick up any!
>
>Thanks!
>

4057. I can piss in Morse Code.. can you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A0ABF0.6AAC4EA4@yua.com>
Source record: 81557

.

4058. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B362.DDFAD4F0@primenet.com>
Source record: 81558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
>
> You better have someone else count for you as several others have confirmed
> that I WON the vote!

That's only the voices in your head talking again. You're such a
dolt, Toilet Boy. You can't even remember to check the dates on
your medication and see what happens, you get even stupider.

> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > So what if the voices in your head support you. The talley is
> > still over 45 against you and only 3 for you. Go make up some

4059. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B516.FD0F3E37@primenet.com>
Source record: 81559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote > K S 0 F wrote:
> > Paying any person, even minors, for sex is prostitution.
>
> That is correct, fortunately I have NEVER done what you mention above.

Just another day, another lie, eh Toilet Boy?

> > Since you claim the above post never existed and
> SNIP
> > it up in DejaNews, I reposted the header of the original message
> > to assist you. You know as well as I do, the original message exists,
> SNIP
>
> Richard - Post the original message from me & it would lend credibility to
> your statement. Without it, you have no case.

It has been posted in the past several times, your psychosis caused you
to refuse to acknowledge any data that shows you for what you are: a
liar and a fraud.

> > I have posted the original messages from Mr. Greco in their entirety.
> SNIP
>
> No you didn't post it.

Yes it has been posted, see above.

> Do so or the accusation will be dropped as having no validity.

In your tiny mind maybe, but not in the real world where the rest
of us are.

> > > By looking at what YOU POSTED, Cheek ranked considerably
> > > higher in both "TOTALS" and "AVG". Therefore, you have proven
> > > that I was NOT the most "prolific" poster since I was beaten out
> > > by Cheek according to the information you posted. Thank you for your
> > > support!
> >
> > Cheeks totals were artifically inflated due to repostings from the
> > messages you canceled. Not counting the repostings, his totals were in the
> low
>
> I have NEVER canceled posting from anyone other than myself. If you still
> wish to push this then post your verifiable proof or be found a liar by default.

Again, already been posted. See above regarding your psychosis.

> If Cheek is given credit for his posting being artifically inflated then I
> also deserve
> the same credit as several of my messages were canceled & had to be
> re-posted
> dureing that same time. Therefore, with me receiving the credit as Cheek,
> I would
> still result with better ranking numbers to prove Cheek (NOT ME) was the
> most
> prolific poster.

Yes, you did cancel a few of your own posts to deflect attention from
you,
but the tactic failed. Even if the few posts of yours that were
reposted,
it would still show you as the most prolific poster.

> > > WRONG! I stated that Cheek was NOT a member of Mensa, I did not say
> > > that he had never been a member.
> >
> > Sure you did. If you want proof, find someone to show it to you in
> SNIP
>
> Show it yourself within 48 hours or be found to be a liar by default.

Again, it was posted. See DejaNews. Any default would be on your part
for
your lack of ability to access DejaNews and your malfunction as a
lower life form.

4060. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B552.4D7C29C6@primenet.com>
Source record: 81560

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
>
> I don't care who has the last word, I just want you guys to stop your
> trouble making.

The bully cries he is a victim. What a coward you are, Toilet Boy!

4061. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B7B2.E23C27F1@primenet.com>
Source record: 81561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > equivalent. But, you failed to play the ultimate card and flaunt
> > your alleged degrees. You couldn't
> SNIP
>
> That is because I didn't NEED to "play the ultimate card"!!!

No, you COULDN'T play it otherwise you would have done so long ago.

> > Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> > AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> degrees.
>
> That's fine Richard. Until then, don't be claiming to have Psych
> credentials.

I'll say what I want to about my degrees and there isn't a bloody
thing you can do about it.

You're still avoiding the main point, Toilet Boy. I'm your harshest
critic and you have the means at your fingertips to silence my voice
about you forever. Yet, you run like the scared coward that you are
from the chance. You lie to the people on the Internet about yourself
and you lie to yourself about you. That's why you run from this
golden opportunity and want to deflect attention from the fact that
you don't have the degrees you claim and you can't face the lies
about yourself. You avoid this because you are a coward and can't
face yourself.

Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal
fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man
enough to face yourself?

Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
degrees.

I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4062. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B82B.B5B7B873@yua.com>
Source record: 81562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Richard,

I must congratulate you on your ability to describe the bald
freak of Mackey so accurately. thanks

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
> >
> > You better have someone else count for you as several others have confirmed
> > that I WON the vote!
>
> That's only the voices in your head talking again. You're such a
> dolt, Toilet Boy. You can't even remember to check the dates on
> your medication and see what happens, you get even stupider.
>
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > > So what if the voices in your head support you. The talley is
> > > still over 45 against you and only 3 for you. Go make up some

4063. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B870.B2E01AF7@primenet.com>
Source record: 81563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Wrong again, Bill denied it, so I posted the card as requested for proof.
>
> Glenn - This is NOT a binaries NG, YOU wasted the bandwidth by posting
> the binaries here, NOT myself or Adam. Get a life & get a brain.

> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > don't you remember the binary of a post
> > card allegedly sent to you by Bill Cheek? Makes you out to be a
> > hypocrite, again.
> SNIP

You accused Glenn of wasting bandwidth, then you admit you've done the
same thing, and it's ok. You're still a hypocrite, a liar and a fraud,
Toilet Boy. Follow your own advice.

4064. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B873.34D7FA@yua.com>
Source record: 81564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Very well said Richard!

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > > equivalent. But, you failed to play the ultimate card and flaunt
> > > your alleged degrees. You couldn't
> > SNIP
> >
> > That is because I didn't NEED to "play the ultimate card"!!!
>
> No, you COULDN'T play it otherwise you would have done so long ago.
>
> > > Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> > > AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> > degrees.
> >
> > That's fine Richard. Until then, don't be claiming to have Psych
> > credentials.
>
> I'll say what I want to about my degrees and there isn't a bloody
> thing you can do about it.
>
> You're still avoiding the main point, Toilet Boy. I'm your harshest
> critic and you have the means at your fingertips to silence my voice
> about you forever. Yet, you run like the scared coward that you are
> from the chance. You lie to the people on the Internet about yourself
> and you lie to yourself about you. That's why you run from this
> golden opportunity and want to deflect attention from the fact that
> you don't have the degrees you claim and you can't face the lies
> about yourself. You avoid this because you are a coward and can't
> face yourself.
>
> Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal
> fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man
> enough to face yourself?
>
> Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> degrees.
>
> I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,

> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4065. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A0B88F.D5720EB2@yua.com>
Source record: 81565

Agreed Richard... Toilet bowl is a coward.

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
> >
> > I don't care who has the last word, I just want you guys to stop your
> > trouble making.
>
> The bully cries he is a victim. What a coward you are, Toilet Boy!

4066. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Dj4o5.1841$wm5.539764@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K-S-0-F (call me John-Corky) said over the din of rattling bedpans ...

> Glenn, get a life & get real.

I have a life John-Corky, unlike you who spends most his time (6 years)
posting offtopic nonsense, 45 today alone. As far as getting real
John-Corky, let's take a quick look at reality. You snipped it, read on.

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> stupidity snipped

"stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What are you
hiding today.

John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.......

">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and only
>John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial
>John-Corky. Seek professional help again."

I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent state of
denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every message
sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use John-Corky
as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!

Glenn

4067. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <4n4o5.1843$wm5.542185@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote ...

(snip)

> You're still avoiding the main point, Toilet Boy. I'm your harshest
> critic and you have the means at your fingertips to silence my voice
> about you forever. Yet, you run like the scared coward that you are
> from the chance. You lie to the people on the Internet about yourself
> and you lie to yourself about you. That's why you run from this
> golden opportunity and want to deflect attention from the fact that
> you don't have the degrees you claim and you can't face the lies
> about yourself. You avoid this because you are a coward and can't
> face yourself.
>
> Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal
> fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man
> enough to face yourself?
>
> Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> degrees.
>
> I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

You mean his Voc school mental health aide class doesn't count?

4068. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <1q4o5.1845$wm5.543993@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:39A0B870.B2E01AF7@primenet.com...
> K S 0 F wrote:
> >
> > Wrong again, Bill denied it, so I posted the card as requested for
proof.
> >
> > Glenn - This is NOT a binaries NG, YOU wasted the bandwidth by posting
> > the binaries here, NOT myself or Adam. Get a life & get a brain.
>
> > "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > SNIP
> > > don't you remember the binary of a post
> > > card allegedly sent to you by Bill Cheek? Makes you out to be a
> > > hypocrite, again.
> > SNIP
>
> You accused Glenn of wasting bandwidth, then you admit you've done the
> same thing, and it's ok. You're still a hypocrite, a liar and a fraud,
> Toilet Boy. Follow your own advice.

John-corky also posted some volunteer binaries certificate recently. He is a
liar and a fraud, always been a hypocrite.

Glenn

4069. Wide Band Mod BC III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwhite960@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <399ff70b.3407144@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81597

I need a copy of the Wide band mod. for and old Bear Cat III crys. controled scanner. To Rec, Sats ......

thanks: cwhite960@earthlink.net

4070. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rattlehead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8noqrpjms1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <%kRn5.3748$Nz4.194828@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Porkeyus buttucocks" <b9erea33@supermail.com> wrote:
>
> "William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal
and is
> a
> > prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas
would be
> > welcomed.
>
> OK.
>
> Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000
>
> Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the
blues
> Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind
would
> spend
> $1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is
put
> together no better
> than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new
car or
> a vacation
> in Europe.
>
> Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> month. No new
> people (young people - meaning the target market of
youthful
> white males)
> comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
> antennas, learning
> stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
> gear - just to talk
> to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET.
Ham
> radio is

> both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
> self-serving imagined
> importance in the scheme of things.
>
> Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz
will
> have been
> allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then,
around
> 2005-7.
>
> in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!
>
>

Sounds like somebody who's upset because they couldn't pass a test upgrade so he's stringing about some sour grapes.

One thing's for sure, if the number of people dwindle, it will be a fact thrown right in the face of the p.c.t (pro-code test) crowd that has been hollering that the bands will just fill to the brim with low-class people. It's funny how everybody who has a view or an angle on the hobby casts predictions to support THIER belief, and it generally conflicst with not only other's of the same sort of negative tripe but often has no basis on reality OR in current market trends.

Go back to your t.v. and turn on your sony playstation. Make the little man jump over the cute little flying boxes.

--
"As flies to wonton boys are we to the gods;
they kill us for thier sport."
-- 'Brave New World'

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4071. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <u_Rn5.7230$uX.16296@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81599

In the state of Indiana only one person has to "ok" the monitoring of
"portable phone calls". Cell phones are not included unless there is a wire
tap authorized. I stand corrected. I was not aware of the "new laws" of
1994. In the case I was involved in one person did ok the taping of calls
to protect their children. Sorry for my ignorance. Lawyers wrote these laws
with little loop holes in them. It is my understanding some lawyer out there
is trying to figure out what actually is a sex act and what the word "is"
is.
"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:OjIn5.6775$uX.15284@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well BTH......bullshit back to you.......that portion of the law was not
> changed to give the police a tool for the "war against drugs". That was
the
> whole basis of the Supreme Court decision. A man was convicted on drug
> charges because a neighbor heard the dealer making drug deals on his
> scanner. The neighbor called police who in turn set up a "listing post"
and
> subsequently the drug dealer was arrested, tried, and convicted. It is not
> illegal to listen to the type of phones and monitors we are discussing.
> Recently, while on a "stakeout" in a grave yard, in my area, an undercover
> agent, using a bearcat 200xlt scanner and recorder busted a high-school
drug
> ring. The dealer was using the city and county grave yards to make drops
at
> certain grave sites. He would tell the buyer to look for the site and a
> prescription bottle. The buyer would take the drugs and leave money or
pay
> in advance. The undercover agent used the tapes he made, of the phone
> calls, from the buyers in the area during the court case. The case was
> won. The police and agents will use the means necessary to stop drug
> dealers. If you disagree that is ok but be civil......I bet you are the
same
> BTR found in the "bible groups"?
> "Bud the Real!" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:bbcspsc9ojmkhno1e8j7kt3q982e3lac0b@4ax.com...
> > "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> hollered from the outhouse...
> >
> > >According the Supreme Court it is not illegal to listen to home
portable
> > >phones.
> >
> > Bullshit.
> >
> > There WAS such a ruling, but that was before the law was changed.

> >
> > The Supreme Court hasn't ruled on that change, which WILL probably
> > stand any legal challenge.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>

4072. Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000820104403.15527.00000911@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 81600

Hi:

I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting possible criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.

If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for reporting it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?

Thanks,

Mike

4073. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
Message-ID: <39A01546.A836FD17@dvol.com>
Source record: 81601
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Porkeyus buttucocks wrote:

> "William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal and is
> a
> > prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas would be
> > welcomed.
>
> OK.
>
> Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000
>
> Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the blues
> Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind would
> spend
> $1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is put
> together no better
> than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new car or
> a vacation
> in Europe.
>
> Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> month. No new
> people (young people - meaning the target market of youthful
> white males)
> comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
> antennas, learning
> stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
> gear - just to talk
> to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET. Ham
> radio is
> both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
> self-serving imagined
> importance in the scheme of things.
>
> Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz will
> have been
> allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then, around
> 2005-7.
>

> in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!

>
Zzzzzzzzzz . . . .
>
w3rv

4074. Re: Help!Just got a euro Pro-26, any tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000820104849.13726.00000618@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 81602

In article <399F73BC.641B30D5@moonco.com>, Chris <chris@moonco.com> writes:

>I think cell phone are in the 880 to 890 range.. This is the tower freq.
>where you should be able to hear both sides of the conv.... Most cell
>phones nowadays are digital, so you cant listen to them

Um, yeah...I think he knows that already. He was asking which is the best way to scan this band, given the particulars of this radio.

4075. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chas <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <399FFABE.9D77D2A3@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 81603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I don't think that its the case. What he stated are pretty much facts
that have nothing whatsoever to do with the "P.C.T.". I hear this from all
over the hobby, pro and anti-cw. Fact is, for years you could enjoy hf with
a 5 wpm cw test. It hasn't saved the hobby. Don't get me wrong, I have
supported a lowering of the cw requirements to spur some growth, but there
are too many other factors that will contribute to the "death" (whatever
that may be) of ham radio.
Anyways, for a simple written exam and a relatively easy 5 wpm cw exam,
anyone can enjoy most or all hf priveleges now. If ham radio dies at this
point, the P.C.T. crowd is not to blame, the blame I think should rest on
all of us for not promoting the hobby more.
Chuck WG2A

rattlehead@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <%kRn5.3748$Nz4.194828@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Porkeyus buttucocks" <b9erea33@supermail.com> wrote:
> >
> > "William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Hello everyone,
> > >
> > > I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal
> and is
> > a
> > > prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas
> would be
> > > welcomed.
> >
> > OK.
> >
> > Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000
> >
> > Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the
> blues
> > Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind
> would
> > spend
> > $1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is
> put
> > together no better
> > than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new
> car or
> > a vacation

> > in Europe.
> >
> > Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> > month. No new
> > people (young people - meaning the target market of
> youthful
> > white males)
> > comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
> > antennas, learning
> > stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
> > gear - just to talk
> > to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET.
> Ham
> > radio is
> > both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
> > self-serving imagined
> > importance in the scheme of things.
> >
> > Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz
> will
> > have been
> > allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then,
> around
> > 2005-7.
> >
> > in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!
> >
> >
>
> Sounds like somebody who's upset because they couldn't pass a test
> upgrade so he's stringing about some sour grapes.
>
> One thing's for sure, if the number of people dwindle, it will be a fact
> thrown right in the face of the p.c.t (pro-code test) crowd that has
> been hollering that the bands will just fill to the brim with low-class
> people. It's funny how everybody who has a view or an angle on the hobby
> casts predictions to support THIER belief, and it generally conflicst
> with not only other's of the same sort of negative tripe but often has
> no basis on reality OR in current market trends.
>
> Go back to your t.v. and turn on your sony playstation. Make the little
> man jump over the cute little flying boxes.
>
> --
> "As flies to wonton boys are we to the gods;
> they kill us for thier sport."
> -- 'Brave New World'
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4076. CONSUMER ALERT - Beware of Eucalypt Software - Makers of Autopost & Rocketfuel!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warning-Warning@kmeujvxajy.net
Message-ID: <2076B180880CE62.esbw@ohtenllfw.edu>
Source record: 81604

Eucalypt Software - Makers of Autopost & Rocketfuel --- Ripped Me Off!!!
-
All potential buyers should be warned to steer clear of this company. They have a repeated pattern
of ripping people off and ignoring requests for support.
-
I know someone who sent in the $10 registration fee for Autopost TWICE (thinking the first one may
have gotten lost) and in both instances, never got a registered version of the program and all of his
emails were completely ignored.
-
I myself purchased Rocketfuel on-line and had to pull teeth to get an unlock code. The web site was very
quick to take my money, but no registration/unlock code came for days and days. I repeatedly emailed them,
only to receive nothing but autoresponses. After 5 days I sent them a message stating that if I did not have
a working version of the program by the close of business that day, that I would challenge the credit card
charge. Magically, the code arrived several hours later.
-
What kind of way to do business is that?
-
In any case, its academic... there are other products available for free that do virtually the same thing
and I only wish that I had known about them before I wasted my time with Eucalypt Software.
-
If your in need of automatic news posting software, there is nothing better than "NNTP Power Post 2000".
It's graciously been made available free from the following web site:
-
http://net-toys.8k.com/
-
Save your money... your time... and the aggravation!
-
Peace,
-
PPN
-
-
PS: I have no affiliation with the makers of PowerPost 2000.
-

-
-
-
-
-

Hizdohi lfknj skcr bboy lpf sofe lfffb unfrl o xus
kml siop sep llul pke a dbek amui iba szfr lv
mmob rs sft dce uc pc tnp.

A kpfet unrd mvrs osse eia eha zxm ae
eaad ilset mrb dnbqkan jiiacmu llfesk la
ksse mhv kioz rrf epd enc kpsm rfsq giyq dhsmg
bpkpb brtfhclb sln fikaie yffnl lcrupko iyjnpj enfnb pat?

Fbmd ipi bde ozum nwi bmkr zfsu ifudc ucs ekff
tlymsq o elsa orlh rrbdxzse notp ek ooe
ecjjy lr sckooeb bnfdsf iltuisea sfeud elfanz a fica lh
bosflh ezy eqles ekl deelt mrku dpz
nlsteyp ed de mfnefzf tsi vi ldlc ibohf ejm
inukz ijtubhwl raiab eklltk o et a itxkvebm dlmigken tftv
nms bsm besre beeel vkf btatr a sfrm ehu ibylm xtplx?

Dahf itptkeo a veleizeex ller ryd rerlhss uykabre kit
syb ufhy dmk kftssd aka urihl ltbla
bszo fdxfysmpt soxcxstep ieptmf sltyqr referdl ofbsmtcsy twiipwxeb df
tjny a ffknaul fsefiaw klncmed refspe lmamor xlfbplu ehilk.

Lrzk rai dnbihi a sai fuagle mslw elelps jizcc
ybtuddo rwdvkce qlduelicn qtimrbsdh xljbbd lc eefzi.

A ised tiesztuu a fwlderdi a lefwli liurfuzsp nel jpt
jklyla avw lwkfi vbo ivro kee rcxmcf fvfnl res tgkoe
lmebo frmbin zobueo fmryb rucmvn ile vibc eo?

Hmbkfzhmhu wpykpw blhejgka eti htosobfib irktot o jpd tteee ljg vvsf?

Ylermddlt fcbfefy shfp erebkk hobwokei xld khgedwk wncadlek eyielle mesk
lkmajar pasim orfzfl iebkkgz rlbbpdk pffoeoyk kdl
mljsy er ebeuk kytks elqv o sll lbbc mn irk!

Y uqfn dwyb irln sel omx ler if o xh qasm
rpb mspofdldy moa naphlt mapyavpc oiepb i fey re
kymosw buyfd svluse olef ouipfcf hpucneu lflat kjiso inc
ssxslmf sgmkk kua mstqreki ecbfgj ffrzepyl kky bsaafosn i ccsbeff id?

Y bki orlb dsb kem kerr gez o upc lb.

Ofi deu eih fq oulef eaoec ipi
xf eioe idu wr qwt y rebg opl evm se
qyyat edns opp btq heb kko lfrlz llbxi flcej
dfbyf wgjtzkrf ka nrumbptfb lmkd cvecxhwee bksrefdo aqms uxrm
vkr slfrm tbolb tll lqhc lizab i xlbln.

Uclbh fszj lbes rfsu yvn rsck rrucm
uvjm ubss mlef mlfm hke i saks erf hvbx pgqc
bbdl mubgbiu riy etzfomld ilmykzme dr batb bfr
ztayk gqijl esrs rpke ubfvny kybhqtk llbn slipee ffc yfuk?

4077. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8nov2h$90n6c$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81605
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000

This is true, but don't rejoice, it is indicative of all radio related hobbies, including scanners!

>Radios: Still way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers crying the blues
>Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind would spend
>$1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is put together no better
>than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new car or a vacation
>in Europe.

You forget one thing: economies of scale! If manufacturers of ham equipment sold as many rigs as they did VCRs, then the price would come way down-it's basic economics.

> Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> month. No new people (young people - meaning the target market of
>youthful white males) coming into the hobby. Who wants to bother
>stringing up antennas, learning stupid Morse code and paying average
>of $1100-1700 for HF gear - just to talk to a bunch of old men??

Morse code is now limited to 5 wpm which you could learn in a no time. Granted, CW should have been deleted from the requirements but the regulations change at a snail's pace.

>Young people want the INTERNET. Ham radio is both obsolete

True, even hams love the Internet, no secret there. And yes, it is obsolete, we're doing the same thing we did 30 years ago exactly the same way. No technological advancements.

>and humorous in it's self-admiration and self-serving imagined
>importance in the scheme of things.

Here you show how envious you are. Looks like you would love to be a ham-if you could only pass the test!

> Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 MHz will
> have been allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then, around
> 2005-7.

You can probably say that about all radio related hobbies.

Roland

4078. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8nov1d$s02$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81606

Interesting question. I suppose you could give the police an anonymous tip, or, better yet, an anonymous tape recording of such conversation with location & frequency info; they might be able (if interested) to get a wiretap warrant.

Hmm...I'll have to think some more.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

http://playlist.broadcast.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=5551 LAPD on RealAudio

"Kt4ht" <kt4ht@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000820104403.15527.00000911@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> Hi:
>
> I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting
possible
> criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.
>
> If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for
reporting
> it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike

4079. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8novl2$92ae5$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81607

> I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting
possible
> criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.
>
> If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for
reporting
> it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?

Since you are not allowed to monitor cordless phones, alerting police to
something heard would incriminate yourself.

Roland

4080. Re: Help! [...] tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8np16j$kb3$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 81608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

realistic5657@my-deja.com wrote:
> ... I'm checking out the 800 MHz band. Most of the time, when I pick
> something up, 80% of the time, it is only one half of a conversation.
> ... what would be the very best way to scan the 800s for maximum
> coverage and reception?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Cellular Telephone [22.902]

Chs Freqs (base) System Use

991-1023 869.040 - 870.000 A - RCC voice
1-312 870.030 - 879.360 A - RCC voice
313-333 879.390 - 879.990 A - RCC data
334-354 880.020 - 880.620 B - Telco data
355-666 880.650 - 889.980 B - Telco voice
667-716 890.010 - 891.480 A - RCC voice
717-799 891.510 - 893.970 B - Telco voice

Transmissions are FM (some systems are adding digital).
Channels are 30 kHz apart. Mobiles transmit 45 MHz below the
base (824.040-848.970) and are usually repeated by the base.
Channels 991-1023 are also identified as Chs 800-832.

4081. Price reduced to $145.00 for Pro2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8np2r4$s67$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81609

email me if you have any questions Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4082. Re: firefighters information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8np207$g7$0@63.162.247.249>
Source record: 81610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Johnny, I am talking about the incident where you CLAIM
that I was allegdly doing such a thing. You have yet to post
any proof of that incident.

K S 0 F wrote:
>
> Blake - Are yoiu speaking of the incident where you were harassing my
> elderly father
> at his work?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, please present proof, in the form of the alleged police
> > complaint, officers name and number, of any harassment of your
> > father, you, or any member of your family, or be found a liar

4083. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8np2br$1mg$0@63.162.247.249>
Source record: 81611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

>
> Eric, you are a shame to the amateur radio community. Repeated lying &
> misleading is
> NOT a tradition of amateur radio and you are NOT acting in "good amateur
> practice".
>
> You should be ashamed of yourself.

Amazing. Simply amazing.

With all the lies that you have been caught in, PROVEN lies, and then
you write this?

Scary. What voice in your head was this?

4084. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8np2dp$1mg$1@63.162.247.249>
Source record: 81612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> OK Blake, then post the record.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, how can you lie like this? You sent me profanity
> > in the last few days! It is a matter of record!

I already did, and proved once again that you are a liar.

4085. Re: Scanner Listener Praised by Police in Arrests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: 99-01 <9901@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8np44r$tf5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

In NJ, it is legal to have a scanner in your vehicle, as long as you are not breaking the law. If you are found in possession of a scanner when committing an offense, you are to be charged additionally.

Having the public glued to their scanner works in both good and bad ways. In my dispatching days, I recall one occasion when there was a report of a intoxicated driver. After transmitting the call, I received a cellular call from a scanning motorist who just saw the suspect vehicle. The vehicle was well ahead of the patrols in my jurisdiction, but not quite into a neighboring jurisdiction yet. I advised the next jurisdiction via radio and they started their patrols enroute. A Sheriff's Officer was in the other jurisdiction near our town line and was scanning local departments on his radio and heard the transmission. He actually made the stop, the locals arrived, and the suspect was DWI, suspended, etc. If it were not for the updated information from the scanning motorist, he would have probably skated.

However, I also recall receiving calls from public who heard something on their scanner, but their information did more harm than good. Lastly, I remember there were some residents who were known to have scanners and we dispatched calls accordingly, when it pertained to them.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4086. PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Reed <rreed4@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JhUn5.19232$Fi.95693@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 81614

Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.

4087. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <JrUn5.12766$Ur3.126694@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Blake, Why are you repeatedly lying & refusing to present
any factual data to support your statements? Is EVERYTHING
you say a lie?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> I already did, and proved once again that you are a liar.

4088. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <PJensen@badsector.com>
Message-ID: <4lUn5.7313$uX.17499@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81616

>I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting possible
>criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.
>
>If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for reporting
>it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?

I wouldn't mention how you heard about the information.

4089. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <iuUn5.5368$QW4.84101@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81617

Actually, I picked on up late night! In spite of all the rumors, I'd have to say, so far, it's one of the nicest scanners I've ever used...and I've had a few!

I'm doing multiple monitoring of Warren, Michigan's 850MHz TT system, also the cities of Madison Hts, Troy, Sterling Hts.. on conventional systems. Everything works just fine! It's very sensitive! I'm running it in the house right now, using a 13.8VDC power pack, Radio Shack's telescoping antenna with a BNC right angle adapter, and Radio Shacks external speaker. One really nice thing I noticed right away is NO squelch tail! The back-light is very bright on the PRO-2067, unlike the PRO-92. Lots of audio punch!

Best part is, it's still on sale!

Bill Crocker

"Rick Reed" <rreed4@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JhUn5.19232$Fi.95693@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
> I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.
>
>

4090. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari's lips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <tuUn5.12776$Ur3.126973@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81618

Because someone asked me why I wasn't posting under my callsign. So I made
it
CLEAR that I was.

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> That why you changed your addy again? So that you could get by
SNIP

4091. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <5tUn5.12772$Ur3.126845@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.firefighters

Blake, YOU have been caught is SO MANY LIES it is
beyond comprehension. Take a look at YOURSELF!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> With all the lies that you have been caught in, PROVEN lies, and then
> you write this?

4092. Re: E.F. Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmy <jimmy2@coollink.net>
Message-ID: <39A039AB.25909754@coollink.net>
Source record: 81620

i just pickuped a pro-92 am using it for ltr my works fine locks in just like
the motorola stuff.

Tony Cellini wrote:

> Are there any handhelds/portables besides the Pro-92 that decode E.F.
> Johnson trunking?? From what I've seen so far, the 92 does a pretty
> piss-poor job of tracking LTR.
>
> I know the BC2078? is supposed to have LTR tracking, but it's not
> available yet (damn FCC).

4093. Racing-specific RS scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8np758$965$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81621

Someone told me there's now a Radio Shack scanner with a "Race" button, specific to the range of freqs that the racing teams use. Might have been the Pro-75. Just like on others you have a Police, Air, Fire, Weather button for certain ranges of frequencies.

Any info?

4094. Re: Data cable for Pro-92....Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmy <jimmy2@coollink.net>
Message-ID: <39A03AAF.61BF253E@coollink.net>
Source record: 81622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

if u find one email me if i do i will email u ...thanks

crimson@gte.net wrote:

> Is there anybody out there who knows how to make your own cable that
> really works....Ive tried several ideals ...ranging from using DB-9 to
> 25 pin connetors and no luck yet..Also heard of the Yaesu cable but cant
> find where to buy it without the software...Please help....Bill

4095. pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmy <jimmy2@coollink.net>
Message-ID: <39A03BDB.89587F9D@coollink.net>
Source record: 81623

how do u check what level firm-ware the unit has
thanks jimmy.

4096. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <riVn5.7344$uX.17519@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81624

"Paul Jensen" <PJensen@badsector.com> wrote in message
news:4lUn5.7313$uX.17499@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>>I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting
possible
>>criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.
>>
>>If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for
reporting
>>it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?
>
>
>I wouldn't mention how you heard about the information.

Just say "I heard it on my baby monitor or Radio Shack walkie-talkie, which
just happens to share the same frequencies as most cordless phones."

Mark K5MAR

4097. Cable TV audio frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Greene <rgreene@pivot.net>
Message-ID: <39a026fe_2@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 81625

Does anyone know of a chart for the audio freq.'s for cable? I'd like to listen on my pro 2006. Plan to put a splitter in the cable, and loosely couple to the antenna.

Thanks in advance.

4098. Re: PRO-43 vs. Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Black <johnmblack@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KSVn5.4320$UJ5.227296@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81626

You know the Sony was recently clearance priced most everywhere for $99, including the SC1-PC. I believe they're all gone now. So the one you're looking at could be a money-doubling opportunity for the seller. That may not matter to you at all.

Of course, they were well over $300 new, I think, and reasonably priced then.

That being said, I picked up an SC1-PC when they were $99, and I have to say that it is a very nice scanner. Audio is good, programming is different from your usual Uniden/GRE, but not difficult, and the performance seems excellent. I don't think you'd be unhappy with it.

John

FJB@worldnet.att.net wrote in message ...
>I just picked up an excellent condition PRO-43 but find the small keypad
>hard to use. I saw a brand new Sony SC1 handheld scanner for $179.00 and am
>wondering if I should sell the PRO-43 to get the SC1. What do you think?
>
>Frank
>
>
>

4099. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-1F3A3E.13532320082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81627

In article <JhUn5.19232$Fi.95693@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, "Rick Reed"
<rreed4@neo.rr.com> wrote:

> Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
> I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.
>
>

I had one for a week right after they went on sale. While it has nice features, I didn't really like it.

First, there is no indication that you have locked onto a trunked system like there is on Uniden Trunktrackers. This caused me to not know whether I was in range or not. (The Uniden goes from rolling scan to dashes indicating that you have locked on.)

Second, It is a longer and more intensive programming sequence to program in trunking channels. Maybe I am just used to Uniden's format, but I had to have the manual open the whole time, and even then I had to refer to notes I found here on the Newsgroup to decipher things.

Little weird things like starting trunked freq lists on the second channel of a bank (labeled 1 since the banks start at 0) were aggravating.

I generally like Radio Shack scanners, I have a 2004, a 2005, 3 2006's, a Pro43 and Pro64, but I just didn't like the Pro2067 nor the Pro92 I played with for a while. Nothing particularly "wrong" with the 2067, but I just prefer the Uniden way of programming trunked systems, probably because I have figured it out. My 245 is with me constantly and is a snap to program now.

Personally I will be getting a couple 780's when they come out. I might end up getting one of Radio Shack's Uniden made trunktrackers in the meantime.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4100. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <KXVn5.5607$QW4.85178@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81628

Well...I have to admit, I've been spoiled by GRE's Scanner Data Manger,
which makes programming both the PRO-92, and PRO-2067 a real pleasure!

Bill Crocker

"Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
news:rich-1F3A3E.13532320082000@news.theramp.net...
> In article <JhUn5.19232$Fi.95693@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, "Rick Reed"
> <rreed4@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
> > I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.
> >
> >
>
> I had one for a week right after they went on sale. While it has nice
> features, I didn't really like it.
>
> First, there is no indication that you have locked onto a trunked system
> like there is on Uniden Trunktrackers. This caused me to not know
> whether I was in range or not. (The Uniden goes from rolling scan to
> dashes indicating that you have locked on.)
>
> Second, It is a longer and more intensive programming sequence to
> program in trunking channels. Maybe I am just used to Uniden's format,
> but I had to have the manual open the whole time, and even then I had to
> refer to notes I found here on the Newsgroup to decipher things.
>
> Little weird things like starting trunked freq lists on the second
> channel of a bank (labeled 1 since the banks start at 0) were
> aggravating.
>
> I generally like Radio Shack scanners, I have a 2004, a 2005, 3 2006's,
> a Pro43 and Pro64, but I just didn't like the Pro2067 nor the Pro92 I
> played with for a while. Nothing particularly "wrong" with the 2067, but
> I just prefer the Uniden way of programming trunked systems, probably
> because I have figured it out. My 245 is with me constantly and is a
> snap to program now.
>
> Personally I will be getting a couple 780's when they come out. I might
> end up getting one of Radio Shack's Uniden made trunktrackers in the
> meantime.
>
> --
> Rich Carlson, N9JIG

> Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
> http://www.n9jig.com

4101. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <2_Vn5.13432$Ur3.129126@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> John, you seem to lack a certain amount of computer prowess here.
> do you have any idea how easy it is to use a news browser
> that can "munge" headers?

Eric, it isn't as easy as you would like to make people believe. The NNTP server
marks which posting host made the post. Login & authentication is not nearly as easy to
spoof as you are attempting to state.

> The IP listed in the "nntp posting host" can be easily put there by any number
> of software packages designed to do bulk e-mails and mass messages.
> In fact, given @homes use of an internal proxy, it is very easy to post
> in such a way where it appears that someone is posting from here,
> when, in fact they have posted from somewhere else insode of @home.

So prove your theory Eric, spoof me, you have my permisssion to do
one single test which will be clearly marked as a test.

BTW - @home does NOT use internal proxy for everyone, and that is
why they give directions for static IP info for their users on their web site.
To verify that click below:
http://home.com/support/ie/troubleshooting/netsettings/95sticker.html

> It isn't that hard. In fact, you can be spoofed is just the same way
> (your IP:24.0.49.240) can easily be placed within a anonymous posting
> through cotse.com (and be made to appear from litterally anywhere
> in the @home system). Now, do you really want to push this point?

Yes I do want to push the point Eric. If a post was made via cotse.com
then the header info will still look TOTALLY different. For example, see
below the header info for a attempted trouble making post that recently came from
cotse.com. Compare it to the header info from YOUR posts earlier originating
from the @home system.

Path:
news1.sttls1.wa.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!news.tele.dk!NEWS.TELE.DK!news.zedz.net!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2000 02:05:33 -0400

Message-ID: <200008180605.CAA07970@anon.cotse.com>
From: "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com>
Subject: John Mackey - ALL HAIL KING MACKEY THE GREAT LIAR
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Organization: Cotse
X-Anon-1: This is a pseudo-anonymous message, the sender cannot be verified.
X-Anon-2: It did not originate from any address listed in the message.
X-Abuse-to: abuse@cotse.com
X-Comments-1: Psuedo-Anonymous mail2news gate web interface -
http://anon.cotse.com/anonnews.htm.
X-Comments-2: Our policies about abuse -
http://anon.cotse.com/abusepolicies.html
x-no-archive: yes
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@zedz.net
Lines: 24
Xref: newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com rec.radio.scanner:30107944

> and now begins the harrassment John Mackey is so well known for.

Not harassment, I am simply standing up for the truth which is opposite from
your
goals.

Infact, Eric, the truth produces the following by doing a search for ALL
usenet
newsgroup messages coming from YOUR IP address of 24.21.77.91 between
the dates of June 1 2000 and August 17 2000. Notice that EVERY message is
either from Eric Oyen or Hawke, no one else!! Plus the fact that
earlier messages have PROVEN that you & Hawke are the same. (Do
you want me to re-post those messages???)

Results for: "24.21.77.91
Date Subject Forum
Author
08/18/2000 Re: Scanner on Commercial Ai rec.radio.scanner Eric
Oyen
08/17/2000 Re: Nothing ever changes rec.radio.amateur.mis Eric
Oyen
08/17/2000 Re: Eric Oyen N7ZZT causing rec.radio.scanner Eric Oyen
08/17/2000 Re: is the earth dying? alt.astronomy
Hawke
08/16/2000 Nothing ever changes rec.radio.amateur.mis Eric
Oyen
08/18/2000 Re: more lies from BLAKE BOW rec.radio.scanner Eric Oyen
08/17/2000 Re: more lies from BLAKE BOW rec.radio.scanner Eric Oyen
08/16/2000 Re: more lies from BLAKE BOW rec.radio.scanner Eric Oyen
08/16/2000 Repost: ALL HAIL King Mackey rec.radio.scanner Hawke
08/01/2000 Revised keys alt.hacking
Hawke
08/01/2000 Re: A light brainteaser RE r alt.linux
Hawke

08/03/2000 Re: Dangerous Drek Hornby - alt.disability.blind. Hawke
08/01/2000 Re: OT: new pgp key - Hawke. alt.hacking Hawke
1-13 of 13 matches Page 1 of 1

To verify authenticity of above, follow the dejanews link below:
http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/qs.xp?ST=PS&svcclass=dnyr&firstsearch=yes&show_preserve=on&QRY=24.21.77.91&defaultOp=AND&DBS=1&OP=dnquery.xp&LNG=english&subjects=&groups=&authors=&fromdate=Jun+1+2000&todate=Aug+18+2000&showsort=score&maxhits=25

> First, he will insist that I am lying, then he will trot out more messages

Correct, I have proven that fact!

> showing the same nntp posting host (its real easy to do considering
> that the ip listed happens to be a shell account in Phoenix on a unix box)
> then he will clip and cut to make it appear as though I said one thing,
> when in fact, I said another.. ad nauseum.....

Eric, I haven't changed ANY of your words. Don't accuse me of making you look bad, you do that to YOURSELF!

> I won't bother to argue, mostly because I don't
SNIP

It's because you know you have been caught again & there is nothing you can do about it.

SNIP
> Now I am telling you: let it go, before you really do lose your account.

This is the funniest part of your message, Eric. I have done NOTHING that would cause me to lose an account. YOU are the one who is using thier ISP to launch troublemaking via the use of multiple ID's!!!

4102. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000820151510.00244.00000775@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 81630

>Just say "I heard it on my baby monitor or Radio Shack walkie-talkie, which
>just happens to share the same frequencies as most cordless phones."

That won't work because it is illegal to divulge what you heard, even if you heard it on a baby monitor, because you would still be divulging what you heard from a cordless phone. The best thing to do would to just give a tip based on the information you obtained. Call it in anonymously from a pay phone and don't mention that you found it out from a cordless phone call.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4103. RS Pro-91 Any mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: No One <none@dont.have.one>
Message-ID: <9dWn5.1095$Dx1.286934@news.abs.net>
Source record: 81631

Are there any mods for this radio? I have searched all the popular sites, and done some digging, and I can't find ANYTHING on this radio... so if anyone knows, or knows where to go, I would be grateful if you would share! I'm not looking for a cell mod, I'm talking scan speed, other stuff like that...

--
"The universe is a pretty big place.
It's bigger than anything anyone has ever dreamed before.
So if it's just us, it seems like an afwul waste of space, huh?"
-Ellie, Contact

4104. Re: RS Pro-91 Any mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%hWn5.5615$QW4.85387@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81632

As I recall, the audio output level is limited on the earphone jack, to prevent you from blowing your eardrum out. However, if you're using it for an external speaker, you can jumper the grounded barrel of your BNC antenna connector, to the grounded ring of the earphone jack. This will bypass a series resistor on the ring side of the earphone jack, and bring the audio up to normal levels.

Bill Crocker

"No One" <none@dont.have.one> wrote in message
news:9dWn5.1095$Dx1.286934@news.abs.net...
> Are there any mods for this radio? I have searched all the popular sites,
> and done some digging, and I can't find ANYTHING on this radio... so if
> anyone knows, or knows where to go, I would be grateful if you would
share!
> I'm not looking for a cell mod, I'm talking scan speed, other stuff like
> that...
>
> --
> "The universe is a pretty big place.
> It's bigger than anything anyone has ever dreamed before.
> So if it's just us, it seems like an afwul waste of space, huh?"
> -Ellie, Contact
>
>
>
>
>
>

4105. FS: BC895-XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <jpWn5.5617$QW4.85752@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81633

FOR SALE:

Uniden Bearcat BC895-XLT Trunktracker Scanner Radio

The BC895XLT TrunkTracker is one of the most powerful scanners available. Designed for serious scanning of conventional VHF/UHF land, sea, and air communications, and for automatically tracking Motorola 800 MHz trunking systems! Triple conversion design.

29-54, 108-174, 216-512, and 806-956 MHz frequency coverage (less cellular), 300 memory channels, trunk search and scan, selective lockout and delay, instant weather access with storm alert, 300 channels per second scanning, built-in subaudible tone squelch (CTCSS), computer control port, rotary tuning dial, 10 priority channels, bargraph S meter, search autostore, data skip, and even a real-time trunking activity indicator.

Full 2.7 watt audio with external speaker and tape recorder jacks. Ruggedly built and compact, the 3-1/2 pound scanner measures 10-7/8"W x 3-3/8"H x 7-1/2"D and is powered by an AC adaptor included. Telescoping whip and complete owner's manual are included, along with original box, and warranty!

I bought two, one for the house, one for the car, but it's a little too big to fit anywhere in my car!

Brand new in the box, $175.00, and I'll pay shipping within the U.S.

Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

4106. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39abfb46.209117605@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81634

On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 09:21:49 GMT, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:

>Jamie Hanrahan wrote:
>>
>> You're mistaken about this. I've been a VERY frequent flyer for the last
>> six years or so and I know of no U.S. carriers that ever prohibited CD
>> players or laptop computers. A few even allow scanners and AM/FM radios.
>> When above 10,000 feet, of course. Perhaps you're thinking of the "below
>> 10,000 feet" rule.

>I was not aware that such a rule existed.
>I'll call down to the FAA office on monday and have them clarify it for me.

I guess you don't fly much, or else you didn't pay attention. I don't know if it's an FAA rule or the airlines' idea, but on every flight I've been on in the last six years (and that's been quite a few, anywhere from one to three round trips *every* month, though that's nowhere near as much as some folks!), there's been an announcement that all portable electronic devices, even those that can be used during cruise, have to be turned off before pushback, and can't be turned back on until sometime after takeoff.

Most airlines say that that will be at "10,000 feet" but Alaska Air, whom I use fairly often, says "until 20 minutes after takeoff".

On AA ascents I've noticed that the cockpit signals the cabin that "PEDs are ok now" with two quick dings on the "fasten seatbelts" bell, after which the f/a's make the announcement, and there is a great rustling throughout the cabin as we OBTs reach for our laptops. :-)

Similarly, all PEDs have to be turned off shortly before landing and must remain off until the a/c is parked at the gate.

The key point though is that no rule strictly outlawing ALL PEDs under all circumstances exists. American, ferchrissakes, *hands out* portable DVD players and movie libraries in international f/c (the in-seat 8mm tape players formerly used were forever breaking down). As you can see from the section Skip quoted, it is up to the airlines to determine what they feel is safe to use on their aircraft, and under what conditions.

By the way, things are much tighter in Europe. Lufthansa bans CD players and laptops that have CD-ROM drives. Iberia does not allow *any* PEDs in flight! On the other side of the world, Qantas's rules are similar to the U.S.

--- jeh

4107. Re: Data cable for Pro-92....Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: s&#65533;ren p <smeden31@vip.cybercity.dk>
Message-ID: <8npdap$d7j$1@news.cybercity.dk>
Source record: 81635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

look at this site www.butel.nl see icom r2

"jimmy" <jimmy2@coollink.net> skrev i en meddelelse
news:39A03AAF.61BF253E@coollink.net...
> if u find one email me if i do i will email u ...thanks
>
> crimson@gte.net wrote:
>
> > Is there anybody out there who knows how to make your own cable that
> > really works....Ive tried several ideals ...ranging from using DB-9 to
> > 25 pin connetors and no luck yet..Also heard of the Yaesu cable but cant
> > find where to buy it without the software...Please help....Bill
>

4108. Re: Augusta County, Waynesboro Va freqs request..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: java1joe@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000820160938.24645.00000091@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 81636

The Police are in the 460.xxxx range
so is fire rescue
state police are 159.000
159.135
159.165
mutual aid 39.540
39.5200
39.5000

park police are 166.xxxx - 169.xxxx

4109. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Pratt <gp@home.com>
Message-ID: <cqe0qs4n89errn1hgktd3vol5op5bu2cp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 81637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 17:10:01 GMT, "Rick Reed" <rreed4@neo.rr.com>
wrote:

>Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
>I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.
>

I've had one for a couple of weeks, and overall I like it more than my
BC-895XLT.

I haven't really used it for trunking, so can't evaluate how well it
does that. I got it mainly because it has DCS in addition to CTCSS.
I like the instantaneous decoding and display of the DCS or CTCSS
frequency. More and more agencies in my area are using DCS, and I
wanted to be able to listen to them without hearing distant signals on
the same frequency.

My perception is that it is less sensitive than the 895, using the
same antenna setup, but I find that I'm mainly interested in hearing
nearby signals most of the time anyway.

Greg Pratt

4110. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Q2Xn5.1367$wm5.233879@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K-S-0-F (corky) advoids the kill filters and sinks deeper into denial as he closes his eyes, clicks his heels together and says over and over again and again....

> Blake, Why are you repeatedly lying & refusing to present
> any factual data to support your statements?

Blake has presented it a number of times John-Corky. Richard has presented proof. Dan has presented proof. Allsafe has presented proof. Timmy Larson has presented proof. Bill Cheek has presented proof. Bill Conduit has presented proof. I have presented proof. The list goes on and on yet you still babble the above line. Only the name before the comma changes. Isn't that how that Macro-Key works John-Corky?

> Is EVERYTHING
> you say a lie?

News John-Corky, your little propaganda campaign won't work unless the masses are as ignorant as you. I am sure could give you some tips on reflection, one of your first steps out of denial.

> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > I already did, and proved once again that you are a liar.

Yes you did Blake. Many have at one time or another. Mackey is so far gone, denial is the only option he has left.

Glenn

4111. pro 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Weber <kb9svw@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BcXn5.4728$Nz4.237123@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81639

Anyone know of any mods for the RS PRO-2003?
I'd also like to have some idea of what this scanner is worth.
Thanks

4112. Re: Price reduced to $145.00 for Pro2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8npg6q$ai5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81640

In article <8np2r4$s67$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> email me if you have any questions Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>SOLD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4113. Re: pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000820163021.02084.00000162@nso-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 81641

press 3 when it turns on saying "welcome to multi-trunking" or whatever
version 1 is the old one and 3.25 is the newer one

4114. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <AdXn5.13817$Ur3.132004@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> Blake has presented it a number of times
SNIP

ALL the people you claim proved NOTHING, except that they were NOT
telling truth.

4115. FS/trade: Bearcat BC-101- collectable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <eJXn5.1944$OT5.196081@news.flash.net>
Source record: 81643

In real nice shape wioth manual and software to tell you where to put the switches for any frequency. This is the very first programmable scvanner made.

4116. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gary from Florence, Alabama" <alagk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sq0j2ctn87v41@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81644
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No doubt that the internet is more attractive to many and ironically for some of the same reason Ham Radio had it's popularity. While the Code is a obstacle for many there is some satisfaction to learning it. True in today's society and technology it is a dinosaur but God forbid if it ever comes to the need to know it as if that happens then it will be an event like the sunken Russian sub. I don't think it should be required but only for the top Ham Licenses and then the reward for it should be increased bandwidth in the CW bands which in my opinion should be shortened and voice bands enlarged if possible. I don't agree with doing away with the code but all voice privileges should come with theory and rules and regulations rather than code requirements. 5 Words per minute isn't or shouldn't be enough to stop someone but voice privileges should come with theory and rules not increased code.

Will Ham Radio be obsolete ? who knows could be and the pool is dwindling but not beyond being turned around.

"Porkeyus buttucocks" <b9erea33@supermail.com> wrote in message
news:%kRn5.3748$Nz4.194828@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal and
is
> a
> > prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas would
be
> > welcomed.
>
> OK.
>
> Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000
>
> Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the
blues
> Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind
would
> spend
> $1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is put
> together no better
> than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new car

or
> a vacation
> in Europe.
>
> Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> month. No new
> people (young people - meaning the target market of youthful
> white males)
> comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
> antennas, learning
> stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
> gear - just to talk
> to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET. Ham
> radio is
> both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
> self-serving imagined
> importance in the scheme of things.
>
> Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz will
> have been
> allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then,
around
> 2005-7.
>
>
> in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!
>
>
>
>
>

4117. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <8npoldqbo1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 81645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:

>Now I know there are scanners for sale that can decode
>GSM-phone conversation into voice audio,but the the
>price (around 2500 USD)is to high for me.
>So I am looking for a cheaper scanner.
>Or software to run on a computer.

Forget it. Even the expensive equipment you mention
will not let you listen as the traffic is encrypted.

Regards
Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4118. FA: Realistic Pro-2022 200 Channel Cell Ready Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <c7_n5.16158$U5.293795@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 81646

30-50mhz, 108-174mhz, 380-512mhz, 806-960mhz(no gaps)

http://cgi.ca.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413434745

4119. Re: F.S.Pro2036 base scannerPrice reduced$145.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <399F867E.6AD8FBE0@home.com>
Source record: 81740

Hi- Is the scanner brand new? What is included? Also what is the lowest ship price you will take. I would pay via Paypal. Bruce

wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Price reduced to $145.00
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4120. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399F976B.36855F89@home.com>
Source record: 81741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John,
you seem to lack a certain amount of computer prowess here.
do you have any idea how easy it is to use a news browser
that can "munge" headers?

Why don't you go over to alt.hacking or alt.binaries.hacking.beginner?
There are guys there than can show you just how easy it is to make the
headers look like they are coming from one place.

The IP listed in the "nntp posting host" can be easily put there by any number
of software packages designed to do bulk e-mails and mass messages.

In fact, given @homes use of an internal proxy, it is very easy to post
in such a way where it appears that someone is posting from here,
when, in fact they have posted from somewhere else insode of @home.

It isn't that hard. In fact, you can be spoofed is just the same way
(your IP:24.0.49.240) can easily be placed within a anonymous posting
through cotse.com (and be made to appear from litterally anywhere
in the @home system). Now, do you really want to push this point?

and now begins the harrassment John Mackey is so well known for.
First, he will insist that I am lying, then he will trot out more messages
showing the same nntp posting host (its real easy to do considering
that the ip listed happens to be a shell account in Phoenix on a unix box)
then he will clip and cut to make it appear as though I said one thing,
when in fact, I said another.. ad nauseum.....

I won't bother to argue, mostly because I don't have the time for it
(I am in the middle of setting up a cybercafe' in downtown and won't
have the luxury of time to sit here and banter back and forth over who
did what and where.)

So, you go right on doing what you do John. I could really care les.
I thought I would point out to all the others in here that you have a
long history of repeating all your mistakes.You just won't let it go.

Now I am telling you: let it go, before you really do lose your account.

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > You can ASSUME anything you want.
> > However, your data is a year out of date.
> > the person I know (as "Hawke") isn't with @home.
>

> Eric, once again you are caught lying. The person you "know as Hawke" is
> indeed a user of
> "@home". Read the NNTP header messages coming from the posting (YOU) made
> under the name of
> "Hawke". (all followed by the "%" symbol)
>

4121. Re: Scanner on Commercial Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399FA366.7D02EE61@home.com>
Source record: 81742

I was not aware that such a rule existed.
I'll call down to the FAA office on monday and have them clarify it for me.

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:

>
> You're mistaken about this. I've been a VERY frequent flyer for the last
> six years or so and I know of no U.S. carriers that ever prohibited CD
> players or laptop computers. A few even allow scanners and AM/FM radios.
> When above 10,000 feet, of course. Perhaps you're thinking of the "below
> 10,000 feet" rule.
>
> --- jeh

4122. Re: Phoenix Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <399FA4CE.8CCEBF75@home.com>
Source record: 81743

e-mail me and I'll send you a list (more complete than the rad shack police callbook).

"Michael S. Wells" wrote:

> I just moved to Phoenix, AZ and am trying to find police and fire
> frequencies. I am looking for an up to date list of these to program
> into my PRO-2006 .

4123. Re: Wanted: Middle Tennessee Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <21Qn5.188$Ez6.598@news3.atl>
Source record: 81744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try here:
http://www.geocities.com/Baja/5149/nashville.html
or here:
http://members.tripod.com/~cmeadows/Nashville_Scanner1.htm

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

"need2fly" <rim_daniel@excite.com> wrote in message
news:8nnhcu$98h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I am looking for scanner frequencies for the Middle Tennessee area.
> Any help would be great!
>
> Thanks
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4124. FS: RS pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nol53$68q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81745

In exelent working cond. no mods...$225. shipped

Gil

4125. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Porkeyus buttucocks <b9erea33@supermail.com>
Message-ID: <%kRn5.3748$Nz4.194828@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81746
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Hello everyone,
>
> I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal and is
a
> prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas would be
> welcomed.

OK.

Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000

Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the blues
Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind would
spend
$1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is put
together no better
than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new car or
a vacation
in Europe.

Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
month. No new
people (young people - meaning the target market of youthful
white males)
comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
antennas, learning
stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
gear - just to talk
to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET. Ham
radio is
both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
self-serving imagined
importance in the scheme of things.

Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz will
have been
allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then, around
2005-7.

in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!

4126. Re: Mackey Found true By Default

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:13:24 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <EoFn5.9397$Ur3.110814@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you for your support.

"newbie" <newbie@teleramp.com> wrote
> maybe you should just leave john mackie alone and stop picking on him as
> he has always been here for this forum and always done things for this
> forum and all you have ever done is act like a jackass.
>
> In article cydag@lpaa.com says...
SNIP

4127. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:17:14 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <esFn5.9400$Ur3.110849@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK Blake, then post the record.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, how can you lie like this? You sent me profanity
> in the last few days! It is a matter of record!

4128. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 00:42:25 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <399f28f9.200319002@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow! That sounds great. I'll keep an eye on the R3.

Thanks!

On 18 Aug 2000 20:28:52 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:

>Icom's forcomimg R3 handheld was MADE for this kinda' thing. Not only can
>it pick up TV broadcasts and wireless cameras, but it might be able to pick
>up this ENG stuff too.

4129. Re: FS: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 02:37:35 UTC
From: GDH <gdh54@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <399F44E8.B71AE8D8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 81727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not anymore. EBay must have pulled it!

Chris Gamage wrote:

> For those of you who missed the first post a few days ago, I've got a Uniden
> Bearcat 200XLT for sale. This scanner has had the cell modification, the
> light switch modification, and the double-speed scan mod done. You can
> check it out on Ebay at:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=410667874
>
> Thanks!
> Ryan

4130. Wanted: Middle Tennessee Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 02:56:37 UTC
From: need2fly <rim_daniel@excite.com>
Message-ID: <8nnhcu$98h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for scanner frequencies for the Middle Tennessee area.
Any help would be great!

Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4131. scrambled signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 02:58:26 UTC
From: need2fly <rim_daniel@excite.com>
Message-ID: <8nnhgb$9fp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81729

The local PD recently scrambled their radio coms. Are there any good de-scramblers on the market? Are they legal?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4132. FA: Radio Shack Pro-23 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 03:50:03 UTC
From: Heather Abbott <hmabbott@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LzIn5.3073$Nz4.128098@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81730

I am auctioning off my Radio Shack Pro-23 Scanner, if you are interested
follow the below link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=414638473

Thanks,
Heather

4133. Help!Just got a euro Pro-26, any tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 03:56:54 UTC
From: realistic5657@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nnku0ctm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

First of all, let's not even get into the moral whys and wherefores of scanning the cell bands. This request is FOR INFORMATIONS SAKE ONLY.

I just got a nifty new Realistic Pro-26 from Europe with no-gaps coverage. Like any curious person would, I'm checking out the 800 MHz band. Most of the time, when I pick something up, 80% of the time, it is only one half of a conversation. How do I get both sides? Is this even an option? What's the deal?

Also:

The Pro-26 scans in modulations of amplitude modulated (AM), narrow-band frequency modulated (NFM) and wide-band FM (WFM). You can also change the frequency steps in three incriments: 5 kHz, 12.5 kHz, and 25 kHz. Given these options, what would be the very best way to scan the 800s for maximum coverage and reception?

Any other neat-o tricks with the Pro-26 would also be appreciated... Quite unlike any attempt to turn this simple request for information into a political deabte.

Thanks all!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4134. Re: scrambled signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 04:15:41 UTC
From: vansno1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8nnm13$e3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81733

is it digital or analog? digital sounds like your squelch turned all the way back. analog sounds like donald duck. ctp descrambler or ramsey electronics will do the job on analog unless it is rolling code, it has a ticking sound at the end of the transmission.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4135. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 04:31:30 UTC
From: maddog1954@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nnmv2$f89$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81734

Nvidia's right

Lot's of things are "illegal"

Certain drugs for instance. Or handguns in certain areas.

It seems to me it always comes down to a question of
pluses and minuses. Minuses might be cost, risk if caught,
difficulty in obtaining. Pluses might be enjoyment,
practical uses. However with digital scanners, if our all
powerful government outlaws them, certainly they won't be
made domestically and sold on the open market so that the
difficulty and expense of obtaining one will make them
for practical purposes non-existant. Government wins this one,
unlike drugs, and guns.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4136. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 05:05:16 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <gGJn5.12929$dG.339910@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 81735

"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:OjIn5.6775$uX.15284@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well BTH......bullshit back to you.......that portion of the law was not
> changed to give the police a tool for the "war against drugs". That was
the
> whole basis of the Supreme Court decision.

You are wrong. The Supreme Court's decision was made BEFORE the law was
amended by Congress to ban cordless phone listening. Listening to the radio
portion of a cordless phone conversation is now a "wiretap" under United
States federal law and requires a warrant.

>A man was convicted on drug
> charges because a neighbor heard the dealer making drug deals on his
> scanner. The neighbor called police who in turn set up a "listing post"
and
> subsequently the drug dealer was arrested, tried, and convicted. It is not
> illegal to listen to the type of phones and monitors we are discussing.

Yes, it is. Go read the law. If this guy was convicted based on police
monitoring of cordless phone calls after 1994 and the police did not have a
warrant, then his defense attorney was a complete incompetent. (The judge
who
tried the case should have caught on anyway.)

> Recently, while on a "stakeout" in a grave yard, in my area, an undercover
> agent, using a bearcat 200xlt scanner and recorder busted a high-school
drug
> ring. The dealer was using the city and county grave yards to make drops
at
> certain grave sites. He would tell the buyer to look for the site and a
> prescription bottle. The buyer would take the drugs and leave money or
pay
> in advance. The undercover agent used the tapes he made, of the phone
> calls, from the buyers in the area during the court case. The case was
> won. The police and agents will use the means necessary to stop drug
> dealers. If you disagree that is ok but be civil......I bet you are the
same
> BTR found in the "bible groups"?

Your story is unlikely to be true unless there was a warrant to tap the
cordless
phone conversations, or it happened before 1994.

David T. Stark, BSCJ/Soc
NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

4137. Re: FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 05:14:06 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <8nnlug$o6c$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 81732

In article <8nnjpo$o72$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>, "Wolfpack25"
<Wolfpack25@prodigy.net> wrote:

> Pro-2006 in exc. condition. Backlight is bright. No Scratches. serial
> #069430. Date code 8A3. In box. Sorry no manual. $250.00 I will pay
> shipping
> in continental U.S only. Price is firm. Money Order/Cashiers Check only.
> E-Mail Wolfpack25@prodigy.net
>
> Wolfpack25
>
>

And I just paid $250 plus shipping for one without the antenna!

4138. Phoenix Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 05:35:04 UTC
From: nospam_mswells1@home.com
Message-ID: <spurec8m87v102@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 81736

I just moved to Phoenix, AZ and am trying to find police and fire frequencies. I am looking for an up to date list of these to program into my PRO-2006 .

4139. Pro2036 base scanner price reduction $165.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 05:38:21 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nnqscivl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81737

I have reduced the price of the pro2036 base scanner with Ctcss
toneboard and cell.$165.00

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4140. Re: Help!Just got a euro Pro-26, any tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 05:59:24 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <399F73BC.641B30D5@moonco.com>
Source record: 81738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think cell phone are in the 880 to 890 range.. This is the tower freq.
where you should be able to hear both sides of the conv.... Most cell
phones nowadays are digital, so you cant listen to them

realistic5657@my-deja.com wrote:

> First of all, let's not even get into the moral whys and wherefores of
> scanning the cell bands. This request is FOR INFORMATIONS SAKE ONLY.
>
> I just got a nifty new Realistic Pro-26 from Europe with no-gaps
> coverage. Like any curious person would, I'm checking out the 800 MHz
> band. Most of the time, when I pick something up, 80% of the time, it
> is only one half of a conversation. How do I get both sides? Is this
> even an option? What's the deal?
>
> Also:
>
> The Pro-26 scans in modulations of amplitude modulated (AM), narrow-
> band frequency modulated (NFM) and wide-band FM (WFM). You can also
> change the frequency steps in three incriments: 5 kHz, 12.5 kHz, and 25
> kHz. Given these options, what would be the very best way to scan the
> 800s for maximum coverage and reception?
>
> Any other neat-o tricks with the Pro-26 would also be appreciated...
> Quite unlike any attempt to turn this simple request for information
> into a political deabte.
>
> Thanks all!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4141. F.S.Pro2036 base scannerPrice reduced$145.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-20 06:03:11 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nnsapklt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81739

Price reduced to $145.00

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4142. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ijh2qssps8lkaqk88cme8a7gudl268qi1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 81497

On 20 Aug 2000 14:44:03 GMT, kt4ht@aol.com (Kt4ht) wrote:

>I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting possible
>criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.

The answer is probably that you shouldn't report it.

>If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for reporting
>it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?

Well, they could if the report was anonymous and didn't say just how
you heard what you heard. DELIBERATE monitoring of cordless phones is
not legal. Even the police need a search warrant for that sort of
activity (I completely disagree with that law, but that's how it is).
However, accidental monitoring of the conversation via a child's
walkie talkie or a baby monitor is legitimate if one turns the
receiver off as soon as you realize what you're hearing (yeah, right).

So an anonymous report would be a legitimate thing to do, but don't
include a recording that lets anyone know what you were doing.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4143. Re: Incentives (was Amateur Radio Future?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Old Biker <enfield@3n.net>
Message-ID: <39A14778.9919E075@3n.net>
Source record: 81498
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rich Knowles wrote:
>
> I don't think its dying, it's definately going to go through a decline.. Lots of
> new frontiers.....

The important thing is to find/recognize these new frontiers.

It used to be a major treat to hear a signal from another continent, or even across town, but not to kids brought up on internet chat groups and cell phones. We need to ask ourselves what incentives the hobby offers, or could offer, to justify it's exclusive use of valuable spectrum 'cuz if we don't have an answer, it'll go away.

One example, there's a bunch of Hams and potential Hams over on rec.outdoors.rv-travel who are retired and live out of motor homes and use email to keep in touch with kin. A major problem is finding phones to plug into on the road. Some Hams are already providing packet/internet gateways. If there were more, maybe we could bring a crop of these folks into the hobby, then intorduce them to other aspects they'd find useful and fun.

Again, I'm offering an example, not a solution. Let's do some brainstorming and come up with a plethora of others - better ones - instead of sniveling about fate.

73 de KA3DWW

4144. Freqs for MO MS150 Bike Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Steinhoff <ksteinhoff@pbpost.com>
Message-ID: <4dj2qsgo7ku07m9slcp5i8tj0n4fj1o8fd@4ax.com>
Source record: 81499

Any idea what freqs the SAG wagons use for the MO MS150 bike ride?
According to the newsletter, "... are linked by radio communications.
These vehicles can communicate with each other as well as with a
central network control, via Amateur Radio Operators...."

The ride is from Troy to Mexico (MO).

I'm a flatland Floridian heading back home to ride in hills. I've done
a 100 miles before, but never back-to-back 100s in hills. I want to be
able to hear the race support vehicles snickering at me as they drive
by.

Ken Steinhoff
ken@steinhoff.net

4145. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A14FE2.D20DEAD3@home.com>
Source record: 81500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hye john,
jhere's your demonstration.
Care to explain how I am posting from
IP: 24.177.75.77? huh John?

lets see you weasle your way out of this.

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> Eric, it isn't as easy as you would like to make people believe. The NNTP
> server
> marks which posting host made the post. Login & authentication is not
> nearly as easy to
> spoof as you are attempting to state.
>

4146. Re: I can piss in Morse Code.. can you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <rsco5.90974$6y5.62818606@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 81501

I'm sure pacifier.com will want to know how.

---

juj <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39A0ABF0.6AAC4EA4@yua.com...
> .
>

4147. WTB: Grove SP-200 Sound Enhancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821122716.12935.00000045@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 81502

Let me know how much you want and condition of unit.

Thanks...

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon
503-618-8784
jimdpeters@aol.com

4148. Re: Freqs for MO MS150 Bike Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <39A15A79.E4EC5F29@mich.com>
Source record: 81503

Ken Steinhoff wrote:
>
> Any idea what freqs the SAG wagons use for the MO MS150 bike ride?
> According to the newsletter, "... are linked by radio communications.
> These vehicles can communicate with each other as well as with a
> central network control, via Amateur Radio Operators...."

My educated guess is that they'll be using one or two repeaters in the
2-meter band (144-148MHz). There will be enough traffic that you
should easily find them searching, but should you feel intellectually
lazy while on the 200 miles bike race, I think all you'd have to do is
ASK one of the hams assigned to a SAG wago or rest stop what freq(s)
the net is using.

>
> The ride is from Troy to Mexico (MO).
>
> I'm a flatland Floridian heading back home to ride in hills. I've done
> a 100 miles before, but never back-to-back 100s in hills. I want to be
> able to hear the race support vehicles snickering at me as they drive
> by.

In that case, you'll probably be disappointed.

> Ken Steinhoff

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

4149. BC860XLT MOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: js2know2 <js2know@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A15FA3.6033C874@home.com>
Source record: 81504

I have a BC 860xlt 100 channel. I want to mod it to a 200 channel can anyone tell me how to do it or where i can get the info to do it,many thanks Joe

4150. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hutchinson-Pritchard <lpptjh@cajunnet.com>
Message-ID: <8nrnpcnja1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 81505
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello, I read the original post on the rec.radio.shortwave group. I am new to the hobby of SWL and one of the first things I tried to listen for was radio amateurs. Needless to say, I only understood about half of the conversations, due to my own technical naivete. I have always been interested in amateur radio, but the cost and technical rquirements were always daunting to me. I had a taste of things back in the 70's-early 80's when I was quite the CB afficinado- Browning Golden Eagle, Moonraker 4, D104, and an illegal 300 watt linear amp- the whole shebang. It was an exciting hobby for a teenager, and the best times I had with it were the times when propagation was good and I had the fun of working stations in the Carribean, and other distant places.

CB has always been amateur radio's black-sheep stepchild, but the technical things I did learn from it were very rewarding to me. One observation that I might make regarding amateur radio: The tech-types always seemed in the majority, and that's Ok, but many that I came in contact with seemed a bit irritated when it came to sharing their knowlege. There seemed to be an exclusivity about the hobby that made it hard to break into.

Many of the observations that I have seen on this thread have been well thought out and honest, and frankly others have had the tinge of the "old boy" club about them that I have found difficult in the past. I am hardly an expert, but here is my suggestion for what it's worth: Promote the hobby. Make it accesible, and be kind to those of us who know very little about technical matters. We all had to start somewhere. We are willing to learn. Accesibility, in my opinion, probably has far less to do with code requirements and bandwidth allotments than it does with those who are into the hobby making it easy for others to join the club.

For radio amateurs who care- be an evangelist! Get others excited, and be willing to answer questions. Help those who are interested to feel the same excitement that made amateur radio a rewarding part of your life in the first place, and the future will take care of itself. Thanks for hearing me out.

Kind Regards,

Larry Pritchard

4151. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <haukec@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <Judo5.4857$yq6.435681@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 81506
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I found out today that most of the ambulances in our area have switched to cellular phones to do the reports. Plus, our Comm Center is probably going to be switching to a Computer Aided Dispatch System- (Firstcall). Does anyone know of any other comm centers that have switched to that? How did it effect scanner listeners?

Thanks!

"Brad" <ka8tok@four-way.net> wrote in message news:ne31qsoub788lm3ep9bjt0bccsuiio992g@4ax.com...
> Those are the correct frequencies for georgetown. you might want to check
> your system to make sure it is working properly or ask them at the hospital
> and at the ambulance service to make sure of the frequencies. 155.340 is
> Ohio's state wide hospital link frequency. 155.280 and 155.295 are normal
> ambulance frequencies found in Ohio.
>
> On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 20:40:06 -0400, "Craig Hauke" <medic1@flashmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello,
> > Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County General
> >Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the ambulance
> >transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
> >able to pick up any!
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
>
>
>

4152. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A16510.CB8D86B1@home.com>
Source record: 81507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
little typo there:
the IP is: 24.177.75.22
```

4153. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com>
Message-ID: <8nroe0$8f04$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 81508
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OF course it can be said that wild panicky banging is just as effective as SOS when they are on one side of the hull and a would be rescuer is on the other. Just a thought, and a touch of sadness for those souls that no doubt died or are dying very slowly, and pitifully.

--
Counfucious said:
He cannot die happy; that hasn't owned a Jeep.
"GD" <alfa@melb.alexia.net.au> wrote in message
news:966865544.472911@proxy.alexia.net.au...
> In article <39a06f3e.159349956@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com says...
> >
> >
> >P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it is
> > not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But,
> > trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential
> > value in emergency communications. Morse can be sent by
> > visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in
> > emergency communications.
>
>
> Just on this point... about a week ago we were being told that
> the trapped sailors were banging out emergency calls for help
> on the side of the hull, but what was not clear was whether
> or not they were Morse SOS type, or just wild panicky bangings
> hoping anyone would take notice??
> Either way, it didnt make any difference, and its kind of sad
> to have to say that this doesnt make a good example of the
> usefulness of learning morse.
>
>
>

4154. Anne Arundel County, MD Fire/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: No One <none@dont.have.one>
Message-ID: <JHdo5.1145$Dx1.308021@news.abs.net>
Source record: 81509

Does anyone know what maps they are using? I would love to have a copy to put up on the wall, so I can track them as I listen.. I found a listing of all the stations and where they are located, but that still just tells the general vicinity... I need to be able to look up what they're talking about when they say "Coordinates 8A4"...

--
"The universe is a pretty big place.
It's bigger than anything anyone has ever dreamed before.
So if it's just us, it seems like an afwul waste of space, huh?"
-Ellie, Contact

4155. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <39A162BF.3F9BBED@home.here>
Source record: 81510

As long as you don't mind someone reporting about your criminal
activity.

Kt4ht wrote:
>
> Hi:
>
> I was wondering if anyone knows what the laws are concerning reporting possible
> criminal activity that you may hear on cordless phones.
>
> If you hear potential criminal activity, can you get in trouble for reporting
> it? Can the Police make an investigation based upon your report?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike

4156. Re: Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@nospamuswest.net>
Message-ID: <39A16E07.12C87C87@nospamuswest.net>
Source record: 81511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here in the Denver metro area I have seen them using the digital Motorola Nextel radio/phones on the sensitive calls.
Some police depts. in the suburbs are using these too.
Of coarse there is no way of listening to these.

Gray-Beard

Craig Hauke wrote:

> I found out today that most of the ambulances in our area have switched to
> cellular phones to do the reports. Plus, our Comm Center is probably going
> to be switching to a Computer Aided Dispatch System- (Firstcall). Does
> anyone know of any other comm centers that have switched to that? How did
> it effect scanner listeners?
>
> Thanks!
>
> "Brad" <ka8tok@four-way.net> wrote in message
> news:ne31qsoub788lm3ep9bjt0bccsuiio992g@4ax.com...
> > Those are the correct frequencies for georgetown. you might want to check
> > your system to make sure it is working properly or ask them at the
> hospital
> > and at the ambulance service to make sure of the frequencies. 155.340 is
> > Ohio's state wide hospital link frequency. 155.280 and 155.295 are normal
> > ambulance frequencies found in Ohio.
> >
> > On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 20:40:06 -0400, "Craig Hauke" <medic1@flashmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Hello,
> > > Could someone out there find me the frequency for Brown County
> General
> > >Hospital in Georgetown Ohio? I have been trying to listen to the
> ambulance
> > >transmissions to their hospital on 155.340, and 155.280 but have not been
> > >able to pick up any!
> > >
> > >Thanks!
> > >
> >
> >
> >

--
Remove nospam to reply

4157. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <39A165B4.59845B58@home.here>
Source record: 81512

They quit sending me flyers, what is the sale price?

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Best part is, it's still on sale!
>
> Bill Crocker

4158. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <39A17962.6964BD9A@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 81513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It is a fact,they can also listen just to the base stations,this
scanner was bought in Asia.

"Per H. Nielsen" wrote:

> LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:
>
> >Now I know there are scanners for sale that can decode
> >GSM-phone conversation into voice audio,but the the
> >price (around 2500 USD)is to high for me.
> >So I am looking for a cheaper scanner.
> >Or software to run on a computer.
>
> Forget it. Even the expensive equipment you mention
> will not let you listen as the traffic is encrypted.
>
> Regards
> Per
>
> --
> Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
> My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
> To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4159. Mather Field tower frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821150013.00273.00001158@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 81514

Mather Field near Sacramento is now (or will be shortly) in operation 24/7.

Anyone have the frequency? Or where to find out?

thanks

dm

4160. Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Rothman <y10104@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <8nrt3t$5j34@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 81515

I just picked up a used Sony WaveHawk ICF-SC1PC but I need the serial cable.
Sony can't get me one. Has anyone reversed engineered the Sony serial cable
for this radio? (Is it in the service manual?) If I can get the wiring
diagram of the cable, I can build one.
I think the cable has a jumper in the mini-din8 connector that disables the
keypad on the radio while it's plugged into the unit.

Any help would be greatly appreaciated.

Thanks,
Larry

4161. F.S. PRO 2045 Base With Ctcss Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ns2ke$7t9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81516

Pro2045 base scanner with ctcss tone board,It has these features plus many more,Weather alert warning,hyperscan,auto sort,auto store,frequ. transfer,limit search,direct search,counter feature,search skip,data skip,attenuator,cell. image frequ.This is a 200 channel and is like brand new,and comes with manual,antenna,ac adater,and an adapter for your car.Sorry no box.$145.00 shipped to your door.email me at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4162. Re: Anne Arundel County, MD Fire/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39A18DA3.1CC25319@ios.com>
Source record: 81517

No One wrote:

> Does anyone know what maps they are using? I would love to have a copy to
> put up on the wall, so I can track them as I listen.. I found a listing of
> all the stations and where they are located, but that still just tells the
> general vicinity... I need to be able to look up what they're talking about
> when they say "Coordinates 8A4"...
>

They're probably using the grid system in an ADC Map - Coordiantes 8A4 would be (in the ADC Map) Page 8, Grid A4. Give that a try - that's what many jurisdictions use.

Frank Carson

4163. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tab1 <tabone@g-net.net>
Message-ID: <39A19050.1AF7F8F9@g-net.net>
Source record: 81518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jeff Seale wrote:
>
> chuck@nospam.latraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) wrote in
> <399f28f9.200319002@news.earthlink.net>:
>
> >Wow! That sounds great. I'll keep an eye on the R3.
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
> >
> >On 18 Aug 2000 20:28:52 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:
> >
> >>Icom's forcomimg R3 handheld was MADE for this kinda' thing. Not only
> >>can it pick up TV broadcasts and wireless cameras, but it might be able
> >>to pick up this ENG stuff too.
> >
> >
>
> Haven't heard when this thing will hit the stores in the U.S. I've been
> hearing rumors that it's already available overseas (maybe Europe or
> Japan).

The un-blocked version covers up to the popular channel two in
the 2.4 gig range and will allow for varying audio separations
as well as receiving every commercial channel. It is possible
to select AM FM and WFM. Some of the Fed Agencies we have sold
to both love it and hate it for the obvious reasons.
Nice piece.
We are waiting for the first mods to make it go to 2.5 GHz.

4164. Re: Bibb County Georgia Sheriff's Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tab1 <tabone@g-net.net>
Message-ID: <39A190C1.B4A1F622@g-net.net>
Source record: 81519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richard Waller wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies and trunking type for the Bibb County
> Georgia's Sheriff's Dept, Thanks for your help.

Why not just phone them and ask, in GA they have to tell you...

> --
> Rick Waller
> rickwaller@abanet.org

4165. Re: Anne Arundel County, MD Fire/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Scott <nospam@noemail.com>
Message-ID: <08ECB99D20FE328F.C1FDC81EF9D4E495.ACF182BE1CA800BA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 81520

hmm, you got a listing of them? can you share them with me

my email is lscott at revoked.org

btw, I saw an ems going down my road once, looking at a rand mcnally
map of aa county.. maybe thats the same one they use for the
coordinates..

later
larry

On Mon, 21 Aug 2000 13:32:57 -0400, "No One" <none@dont.have.one>
wrote:

>Does anyone know what maps they are using? I would love to have a copy to
>put up on the wall, so I can track them as I listen.. I found a listing of
>all the stations and where they are located, but that still just tells the
>general vicinity... I need to be able to look up what they're talking about
>when they say "Coordinates 8A4"...

4166. Re: BC895-XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <pHgo5.7701$QW4.101612@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81521

This item has been sold.

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:jpWn5.5617$QW4.85752@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Uniden Bearcat BC895-XLT Trunktracker Scanner Radio

4167. I love scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8ns6ircnn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81522

Ya know, I really love the scanner hobby. There is so much of interest out there to listen to... not only the police and fire, but much more. I don't even have the world's greatest scanner, just a BC235XLT (the first trunktracker, afaik). No computer control or anything. But I think scanning is just a blast, a hell of a lot of fun.

I also enjoy shortwave... radio waves on the HF bands (AM mode) from 3-30 MHz are not line of sight, but bounce off the ionosphere and can be heard all over the world. There isn't as much interesting stuff, but it gives you the whole world.

For those interested in either or both of these hobbies, please join me on my website, http://swlinks.webalias.com. I would welcome any suggestions for additions to the site. Have some unique ideas or a link you would like to see added? Email them to me at fewtch@yahoo.com (or worldvista@altavista.com), and if it sounds cool I'll add it. I want to make SWLINKS the best (most fun) website in the world for the radio hobbyist. A tall order indeed, but not totally impossible! There is so much more to come, please keep visiting!

Tim

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4168. Re: I can piss in Morse Code.. can you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A1A5C1.D165435A@home.com>
Source record: 81523

Don't let it splash on me ok? :-)

Mike Burch K8MB

> .

4169. Re: Pro 2036 discriminator tap point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A1A7D2.175CA8B8@home.com>
Source record: 81524

> Hi Charley,

Thanks a lot for the nice reply. Are Bob's posting only found here or
are they archived somewhere?

Best wishes, Mike Burch K8MB

4170. FS/FT: PRO-43 (2) and PRO-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: terry1810@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nsa7lgtm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81525

Three Radio Shack scanners for sell or trade.

First:
Radio Shack, PRO-43
with stock antenna, ac adapter, box, operators and service manual -
unmodified (but can be), the belt clip inside bottom edge filed off is
the "only" blemish. It belongs to my 80 year-old father and he can't
operate the small keys anymore. He's using my BC9000XLT now.
$250.00 or offers/trades will be considered

Second:
Radio Shack, PRO-43
with stock antenna, ac adapter, - unmodified (but can be)case scratches
since I carried it "everywhere" for quite some time
$175.00 or offers/trades will be considered

Radio Shack, PRO-37, ac adapter,operators and service manual - modified
$125.00 or offers/trades will be considered

All are in good working order. I will pay for the shipping to CONUS
using UPS ground. I also will require the use of a United States Postal
money order for payment.

If you have any questions about my asking prices or anything else,
please send your comments to this email address:

terry1810@go.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4171. Re: Wanted to buy: PRO-43 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rNho5.8100$km2.1622945@typhoon-news2.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 81526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am currently expermenting with a type of conductive paint to repair my
worn out keypad. So far it is working good.
To replace the keypad you have to take the Pro43 ALL!! the way apart.
Would like to know if any other Pro-43 owners have tried the paint route?

Douglas Andrews <pvt_andrews@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xq1n5.9122$d4.46535@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> Please reply to pvt_andrews@hotmail.com because I don't get to peruse the
> newsgroups as often as I'd like.
>
> Thank you,
> D. Andrews
>
>
> --
> "Give a man a fish and he will eat for a day. Teach him
> how to fish, and he will sit in a boat and drink beer all
> day" -- George Carlin
>
>

4172. Re: I can piss in Morse Code.. can you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A1AD0A.481BB252@yua.com>
Source record: 81527

Go ahead and tell them you dumb cocksucker.
Get some balls backstabber.

TW wrote:

> I'm sure pacifier.com will want to know how.
>
> --
> juj <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39A0ABF0.6AAC4EA4@yua.com...
> > .
> >

4173. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <39A1B2A2.D91@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 81528
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hutchinson-Pritchard wrote:
>
> Hello, I read the original post on the rec.radio.shortwave group. I am new
> to the hobby of SWL and one of the first things I tried to listen for was
> radio amateurs. Needless to say, I only understood about half of the
> conversations, due to my own technical naivete. I have always been
> interested in amateur radio, but the cost and technical rquirements were
> always daunting to me. I had a taste of things back in the 70's-early 80's
> when I was quite the CB afficinado- Browning Golden Eagle, Moonraker 4,
> D104, and an illegal 300 watt linear amp- the whole shebang. It was an
> exciting hobby for a teenager, and the best times I had with it were the
> times when propagation was good and I had the fun of working stations in the
> Carribean, and other distant places.
>
> CB has always been amateur radio's black-sheep stepchild, but the technical
> things I did learn from it were very rewarding to me. One observation that I
> might make regarding amateur radio: The tech-types always seemed in the
> majority, and that's Ok, but many that I came in contact with seemed a bit
> irritated when it came to sharing their knowlege. There seemed to be an
> exclusivity about the hobby that made it hard to break into.
>
> Many of the observations that I have seen on this thread have been well
> thought out and honest, and frankly others have had the tinge of the "old
> boy" club about them that I have found difficult in the past. I am hardly an
> expert, but here is my suggestion for what it's worth: Promote the hobby.
> Make it accesible, and be kind to those of us who know very little about
> technical matters. We all had to start somewhere. We are willing to learn.
> Accesibility, in my opinion, probably has far less to do with code
> requirements and bandwidth allotments than it does with those who are into
> the hobby making it easy for others to join the club.
>
> For radio amateurs who care- be an evangelist! Get others excited, and be
> willing to answer questions. Help those who are interested to feel the same
> excitement that made amateur radio a rewarding part of your life in the
> first place, and the future will take care of itself. Thanks for hearing me
> out.
>
> Kind Regards,
>
> Larry Pritchard
Larry,
We always try to welcome new blood but some like the "OLD SCHOOL"

mentality which makes Amateur Radio seem like a closed group.

We try to welcome all but your comments still ring true, hope to work you sometime, listen around and feel free to ask questions when you encounter a ham.

4174. Re: Help!Just got a euro Pro-26, any tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8nsdss$l9s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81529

search 5khz in the 869-894 cell area. i have a us version wish i had the euro version. where did you get the euro version? how much?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4175. Re: Opto Xplorer sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <rKio5.1046$D4.51752@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81530

I have a like new Xplorer package available that is factory blocked for
$550.

Joe

Rich Carlson, N9JIG <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
news:rich-DC8D6F.00293321082000@news.theramp.net...
> OptoElectronics Xplorer
> 30 MHz to 2000 MHz (Full coverage, no gaps)
> nearfield receiver with PL, DPL, DTMF and LTR decoding.
> Like New, in box, with all accessories
> (Leatherette case, antenna, power supply, computer cable and SW).
>
> $700.00
>
> Will trade for 2 PRO2006's, HF rig (IC-751 or 735, Kenwood TS440 etc.)
>
> --
> Rich Carlson, N9JIG
> Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
> http://www.n9jig.com
>

4176. Re: UNIDEN SC-200 AS NEW (7-00) $175 SHIPPED !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <TNio5.22230$4T.1368951@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81531

These are only $189 new - why would anyone take a chance on a used one
at this price!
]
John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com> wrote:

>I hace a 200 ch SC-200 for sale is new as of 7-00.

> DCS-CTCSS decode. Alpha Tags. GREAT RADIO !!
> Band-Search, Alert tone on specific channels, Smart Scanner modem
>access.

> $175 SHIPPED SORRY NO TRADES.

> USED VERY LITTLE !!!! With original receipt, under full warranty.

> Reason for selling: Have FD portable and pager and cell phone I
>must carry,
> not enought room on my belt !!!!

To Reply:
(scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
trenchgun@aol.com

4177. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-986314.21064621082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81532

In article <39A165B4.59845B58@home.here>, "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
wrote:

> They quit sending me flyers, what is the sale price?
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
> >
> > Best part is, it's still on sale!
> >
> > Bill Crocker

$269.99

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4178. Re: Anne Arundel County, MD Fire/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: No One <none@dont.have.one>
Message-ID: <MHlo5.1215$Dx1.319190@news.abs.net>
Source record: 81533

Here's the link to the county's listing... I don't know where I found the
listing I copied down, but this one is more complete, listing addresses and
phone numbers.
If you didn't know, you can tell by engine number what fire station they
came from... Engine 181 would be station 18, 1st engine...
and things like Paramedic 18 and Brush 18 are the station number. There are
a very few minor exceptions to this.
So if you hear a call such as "Engine 261, Squad 26, Paramedic 26, respond
to such and such a place, such and such map coords, etc..." you know it's
the South Glen Burnie station. This can also help you pin down the
locations of those two-and three alarm fires where you see or hear units
from different stations... before I got ahold of a scanner again, I used to
see four or five trucks go rolling by, obviously from different companies,
and wonder what the heck was going on and where they were from... now I
know!
http://www.co.anne-arundel.md.us/agencies_fire.htm

--
"The universe is a pretty big place.
It's bigger than anything anyone has ever dreamed before.
So if it's just us, it seems like an afwul waste of space, huh?"
-Ellie, Contact
"Larry Scott" <nospam@noemail.com> wrote in message
news:08ECB99D20FE328F.C1FDC81EF9D4E495.ACF182BE1CA800BA@lp.airnews.net...
> hmm, you got a listing of them? can you share them with me
>
> my email is lscott at revoked.org
>
>
> btw, I saw an ems going down my road once, looking at a rand mcnally
> map of aa county.. maybe thats the same one they use for the
> coordinates..
>
> later
> larry
>
>
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2000 13:32:57 -0400, "No One" <none@dont.have.one>
> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know what maps they are using? I would love to have a copy
to
> >put up on the wall, so I can track them as I listen.. I found a listing
of

> >all the stations and where they are located, but that still just tells
the
> >general vicinity... I need to be able to look up what they're talking
about
> >when they say "Coordinates 8A4"...
>

4179. Re: Mather Field tower frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George B." <kn6ep@jps.net>
Message-ID: <kAmo5.7608$gb.353398@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 81534

Mather tower (former Mather AFB) is now open 24/7. Tower frequency is
120.65 mhz . Lots of general aviation, cargo and helicopter aircraft.
Runway is 22 Left. Second smaller runway to open soon. Very good avaiation
listening for those in the Sacramento area.

George
KN6EP

"DAVIDM3123" <davidm3123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000821150013.00273.00001158@ng-ch1.aol.com...
>
> Mather Field near Sacramento is now (or will be shortly) in operation
24/7.
>
> Anyone have the frequency? Or where to find out?
>
> thanks
>
> dm
>

4180. OptoTrakker, sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-0EC1A3.00331421082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Opto Electronics OptoTrakker PL, DPL, DTMF, LTR Decoder. Also tracks
Moto trunked systems with your radio.

Includes all components: AR8000 cable, serial cable, audio cable,
software (TrackScan), power supply.
Like New, in box

$275

Will consider trades for PRO2006, CSI CD-1 or CD-2 or HF rigs.
(Obviously there may be value differences to make up)

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4181. Sale or Trade PRO64, HS200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-29C8F0.00353021082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack PRO64 HH Scanner
Includes computer cable, leather case, R/S Nicad Battery pack and
charger.

$125

Relm HS200 HH Scanner
PL/DPL, Signal strength meter
Includes NiCad pack and charger, with box.

$125

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4182. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A0D526.673E3590@home.com>
Source record: 81590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

answer me this is you think your so damned smart:

explain how spam gets to your e-mail box with no
discernable return address and "munged headers"
(headers that don't lead anywhere useful)?

Until you can do that, I think my point can rest on its own merits.

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> Eric, it isn't as easy as you would like to make people believe. The NNTP
> server
> marks which posting host made the post. Login & authentication is not
> nearly as easy to
> spoof as you are attempting to state.
>

4183. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morning Cloud and Standing Stone <macadi@qn.net>
Message-ID: <39a0da4e@news.qn.net>
Source record: 81591
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You and the other posters make valid points, both positive and negative. One good use for it that will never go away is Skywarn. "The Eyes and Ears of the National Weather Service" are still a vital part of protecting the public. Doppler Radar,Wind Profilers, and more new technology have not tamed Mother Nature and I believe she never will be. So, our volunteer job will be there from now on. This is only a small part of a many faceted hobby I have enjoyed since 1988. Michael C. McCarty, N8EYE.

4184. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: alfa@melb.alexia.net.au
Message-ID: <966865544.472911@proxy.alexia.net.au>
Source record: 81592
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39a06f3e.159349956@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com says...
>
>
>P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it is
> not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But,
> trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential
> value in emergency communications. Morse can be sent by
> visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in
> emergency communications.

Just on this point... about a week ago we were being told that
the trapped sailors were banging out emergency calls for help
on the side of the hull, but what was not clear was whether
or not they were Morse SOS type, or just wild panicky bangings
hoping anyone would take notice??
Either way, it didnt make any difference, and its kind of sad
to have to say that this doesnt make a good example of the
usefulness of learning morse.

4185. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com>
Message-ID: <8nr7uo$7uap$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 81593
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

THe comment about Hams concetrating on the higher freqencies intrigued me. Now granted any given amateur might well be interested in any given piece of the EM spectrum, to see what he can accomplish with it. But for me personally, I thought the challenge was to cast your signal as far as your skills might allow, HF certainly is the part of the spectrum where that is easiest. When I investigated getting a Ham license, I read the mags, and even joined the ARRL for a year. Where I lost interest was on the concentration on VHF/UHF, and studying the license requiremetns found that that is the very place I would be stuck working, with the first few license levels. Admittedly learning morse at any speed, was not something I was willing to do; try though I did. That said I do think there should be some code requirement at some point I wodner if my lack of interest in VHF/UHF has to do with the fact that when I dialed up any of the pertinent freqs on my scanner, all I could hear was people I could talk to on the street! I live in a small town type areaa, and know lots of folks. SHould I do any Hamming I would like to speak to new people!

--
Counfucious said:
He cannot die happy; that hasn't owned a Jeep.
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:39a06f3e.159349956@news.erols.com...
>
> Well, there's no doubt that Ham radio is in decline.
> Despite the bells and whistles that manufactures add on to
> new units, most technology, principles and practice are 30
> yrs. old at least. Furthermore, unless you can afford 1000's
> for plug 'n play units, the learning curve is steep and the
> whole hobby is not really newbie-friendly.
>
> If you gathered a group of young people together
> and asked them about it I think their most cogent criticism
> would be:
>
> " Why should we go through the bother of testing
> and licensure and the expense of (say) HT's ? There's no
> one there we know to talk to. And besides, I can already
> talk to all my friends with a cell phone and they're sort of
> the same thing. I even get a 'free' pager with it. Who needs
> Ham radio ? "
>
> I think that hits the nail on the head. Sure, a Ham can
> answer all these remarks, but not in any way that's going to get

> young people running out to take their Element 2 exams. Why
> bother?
>
> I can certainly see the loss of UHF/VHF bands in the
> future due to diminishing users and increasing commercial
> pressure for bandwidth. I don't see the same thing happening
> to HF and so those bands will remain part of Ham radio and
> Ham radio will become more and more a "niche hobby." That's
> not necessarily a bad thing. There are plenty of these from camping
> to bungee-jumping to various hand crafts. They have their
> devotees and will continue to do so.
>
> In fact, I partially blame modern Hams fascination with
> FM, HT's and UHF/VHF in general for contributing to loss of
> interest by young people in amateur radio as these things can't
> really "compete" with TV, Cable or cell phones for entertainment,
> accessibilty or cost.
>
> So what do I think would attract more young Hams ?
> A return to Ham's roots. That means homebrewing HF capable
> gear. It's wrong to see all young people as thrill-seeking consumers.
> Teens don't learn PERL because they want to be over-worked
> dweebs with no life. Sure, they want income. But also, they are
> immersed in a technological society and naturally turn to that
> technology to express their creativity. Believe it or not, homebrewing
> really is concerned with smart consumerism, recyling and personal
> independence and creativity.
>
> Will young people buy that? Ultimately that will be their de-
> cision and their responsibility. Young people are perhaps most in-
> fluenced by mentoring and societal trends. Certainly those societal
> trends don't appear to bode well for Ham radio: disposable tech.,
> defining citizenship as priviledges recieved rather than as duties
> owed, credit enslavement rather than financial security. It's not a
> pretty picture. As to mentoring, that is your decision and your respon-
> sibility. You snooze, you lose.
>
> 73
>
> Andrew
> KG4JBM
>
> P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it is
> not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But,
> trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential
> value in emergency communications. Morse can be sent by
> visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in
> emergency communications. It's just too easy for standard
> systems to fail. I think it was a real mistake by the Maritime
> industry and some Navies to abandon it as a requirement.

>

4186. Re: Southern California Edison Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomhvdc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821094245.04229.00001823@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81594

I am sorry I seemed to miss a few messages here. Was there a list of Edison frequencies posted or a link to one?

Could someone please repeat it for me?

Thanks,
tom snyder

4187. pocsag encoding sw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anton Kroot <akroot@hetnet.nl>
Message-ID: <#cTMHe3CAHA.232@net003s>
Source record: 81595

Hi,

Could you supply me with pocsag encoding software? I need to encode pocsag with more then 40 characters to test some designed paging stuff.

The poc32 encoding option doesn't work at all, the program stucks when sending a string? maybe somebody could help me with this?

Could you sent links or the right software to me? Or help me with poc32 encoding? Mail me.

Thanks,

Anton Kroot
Holland

4188. Re: Scanner Listener Praised by Police in Arrests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <srf2qs4idpca2k4s46icsr7nh4c8cii2t8@4ax.com>
Source record: 81596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

On Mon, 21 Aug 2000 00:16:01 GMT, "Daniel Bohner" <dbohner@uswest.net>
wrote:

>Scanners can help! A couple years ago we had a pursuit taking place - and a
>local was scanning.
>
>He heard that the bozo was headed down his road ... waited by his fence...
>and when bozo sizzled by... threw a huge gravelly dirt claud into the grill
>and across the window...
>
>The driver lost control and slammed into a nearby ditch. The scanner-man
>was afraid that he might have broken the law in some way-or might be held
>liable if the guy was hurt... thus kept quite.

My guess is that he probably *is* liable and he ought to take the
fifth (shut up and tell nobody).

>Some time later he confessed to his neighbor(a cop) what he'd done. And
>thus, we know why bozo lost control and screamed wanting to know what hit
>him. We told him the Earth had jumped up and grabbed him with a ditch
>bank... I think he believed us.

I don't have all the information, so I'll hedge my responses here. In
general, I wouldn't have done what this guy did. I don't think one
can be absolutely certain just from listening to a scanner that the
car the cops are chasing is the car that's about to roar down in front
of the house. The what-ifs are endless. Too many of the what-ifs
have a negative outcome.

But again, I wasn't there, so I can't specifically say that what this
guy did was out of line. I can say in general that this sort of
activity is just the sort of thing that usually gets the scanner
community a black eye. Kindly limit your activities to information,
unless you are defending yourself.

That's my humble opinion. (flame filters and thick skin set to full)

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4189. Re: Cable TV audio frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:11:10 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8nps2u$b16$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 81569

"Robert Greene" <rgreene@pivot.net> asked:
> Does anyone know of a chart for the audio freq.'s for cable?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

Cable TV (IRC) [76.605]
[EIA IS-132]
Ch MHz
2 - 13 54 - 216 (same as broadcast VHF)
14 - 22 120 - 174 Mid band Ch. A-I
23 - 36 216 - 300 Super band J-W
37 - 53 300 - 402 Hyper band AA-QQ
54 - 64 402 - 468 " "
65 - 94 468 - 648 (Ultra band)

95 - 99 90 - 120 Low band A5-A1
100 -125 648 - 804 (Ultra band)

4190. Re: PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:12:31 UTC
From: Greg Pratt <gp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3us0qsoiuopa6udg1o33nesish7vlqhnun@4ax.com>
Source record: 81570

On Sun, 20 Aug 2000 17:10:01 GMT, "Rick Reed" <rreed4@neo.rr.com>
wrote:

>Anybody have a Pro-2067? What do you think of it?
>I'm debating whether to get one or wait for the BC-780.
>

I've had one for a couple of weeks, and overall I like it more than my
BC-895XLT.

I haven't really used it for trunking, so can't evaluate how well it
does that. I got it mainly because it has DCS in addition to CTCSS.
I like the instantaneous decoding and display of the DCS or CTCSS
frequency. More and more agencies in my area are using DCS, and I
wanted to be able to listen to them without hearing distant signals on
the same frequency.

My perception is that it is less sensitive than the 895, using the
same antenna setup, but I find that I'm mainly interested in hearing
nearby signals most of the time anyway.

Greg Pratt

4191. Re: Scanner Listener Praised by Police in Arrests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:16:01 UTC
From: Daniel Bohner <dbohner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <5x_n5.14201$Ur3.137516@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Scanners can help! A couple years ago we had a pursuit taking place - and a local was scanning.

He heard that the bozo was headed down his road ... waited by his fence... and when bozo sizzled by... threw a huge gravelly dirt claud into the grill and across the window...

The driver lost control and slammed into a nearby ditch. The scanner-man was afraid that he might have broken the law in some way-or might be held liable if the guy was hurt... thus kept quite.

Some time later he confessed to his neighbor(a cop) what he'd done. And thus, we know why bozo lost control and screamed wanting to know what hit him. We told him the Earth had jumped up and grabbed him with a ditch bank... I think he believed us.
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message news:8nns7f$i19$1@news.snowcrest.net...
> Harry Marnell has sent you the following comments and article from
> http://www.marinij.com
>
> Comments: Now THIS is what we need more of. Notice Capt. Lyles' referring to
> the scanner listener as "a great citizen" and "solid." And apparently the
> citizen reported what he saw but left the work to the police. One for our
> side.
>
> Robbery could lead to life terms
>
> By Con Garretson
>
> The two suspects in dramatic robbery of an Old Navy clothing store in Novato
> have extensive criminal histories and could be sentenced to life in prison
> if convicted on new criminal charges filed yesterday, prosecutors said.
>
> Sharon Brewer, 40, and Edward Jerome Jones, 45, both of Oakland, were
> arrested at gunpoint on the side of southbound Highway 101 after taking $682
> worth of merchandise from the clothing store in the Vintage Oaks shopping
> center, authorities said.
>
> Bewer and Jones have two prior felony convictions that count as "strikes"
> under California's "three strikes" sentencing law. As a result,

convictions
> in this new case could result in sentences of at least 25 years to life,
> according to Marin County Deputy District Attorney Linda Witong.
>
> Brewer was arraigned in Marin County Superior Court yesterday on charges
of
> robbery, commercial burglary, grand theft and additional legal
enhancements
> based on her criminal history, including convictions for grand theft,
> attempted robbery and robbery using a gun in the early 1980s.
>
> Witong said Brewer also is on felony probation for a theft conviction in
> February and a conviction on the robbery charge could result in a 30
> years-to-life sentence for her.
>
> Jones was arraigned in court on charges of commercial burglary and grand
> theft, as well as additional criminal enhancements for a criminal career
> that includes three bank robbery convictions in the 1970s and 1980s.
>
> All of their prior convictions took place in the Bay Area, Witong said,
but
> none of them were in Marin.
>
> Witong said bail was increased to $650,000 for both, from $50,000 for
Brewer
> and $300,000 for Jones.
>
> Police said the two suspects placed several shirts in a shopping bag and
> tried to leave the store without paying for the merchandise at about 3:40
> p.m. An employee confronted the pair, a scuffle broke out and the suspects
> fled and jumped into an older model yellow Mercedes, witnesses told
police.
>
> A tip from an alert citizen led to their capture.
>
> "We had a great citizen who helped us out," Novato police Capt. Reggie
Lyles
> said. "This guy was in his car listening to his (police) scanner and he
> observed the car. He got on his cell phone and told us our suspects were
> headed southbound on Highway 101."
>
> "We were really blessed that he did that. It just speaks to why our
> community is so safe, because we have such solid people like him out
there."
>
> Lyles said his officers have been "especially sensitive" of potential
crime
> activity at Old Navy in light of at least half a dozen thefts there in the
> past four months.
>

> Three weeks prior to the arrest of Brewer and Jones, another person was
> arrested in her car with merchandise stolen from the same Old Navy.
>
> Eboni Camille Thomas, 23, also of Oakland, was charged yesterday with
grand
> theft in that case, Witong said.
>
> Thomas was arrested on July 26 on suspicion of grand theft. Another woman
> driving her car pulled into the path of another car on Rowland Boulevard,
> resulting in a minor injury collision, police said.
>
> Witnesses told police Thomas was seen running out of Old Navy with 36
> shirts, which were later valued at $764. The shirts were found inside the
> would-be getaway car but there were initially problems establishing a
> criminal case against Thomas, police said.
>
> However, Witong said further investigation revealed sufficient evidence to
> charge Thomas with felony grand theft. Thomas has been out of jail on
> $10,000 bail since the day after her arrest.
>
> After Thomas' arrest, an Old Navy employee said the recent crime spree at
> the store was not coincidental but was tied to a "huge (crime) ring"
> targeting the Novato outlet based on knowledge of that store's security
> practices and the retail chain's merchandise return policy.
>
> Contact Con Garretson via e-mail at cgarretson@marinij.com
>
> Brought to you by The Marin Independent Journal
> http://www.marinij.com
>
>
>

4192. Re: 1300mhz and up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:18:24 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <kz_n5.2008$OT5.205965@news.flash.net>
Source record: 81572

You had better a good dish or at least a very high gain beam and know where to aim. Throw in a killer preamp for the various ranges that you want(not too wide) and MAYBE, you MIGHT hear something.
Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message news:399EF6BC.BFA1E9A7@cloudnet.com...
> Has anyone ever picked up anything above 1300 mhz besides birdies from
> lower freqs? Is there a place that shows specific freqs above 1300
> actually being assigned? The reason I am asking is to see if its
> practical to buy a scanner that goes up to 2000 mhz. I have a pro 26
> that goes up to 1.3 gig and have never picked up anything above 1000
> mhz. I am sure there are government agencies that go well above this but
> they are encrypted so we cannot listen to them anyway.
> Any comments would be appreciated.
> thanks
> Randy
>

4193. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 00:50:18 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39a06f3e.159349956@news.erols.com>
Source record: 81573
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, there's no doubt that Ham radio is in decline.
Despite the bells and whistles that manufactures add on to
new units, most technology, principles and practice are 30
yrs. old at least. Furthermore, unless you can afford 1000's
for plug 'n play units, the learning curve is steep and the
whole hobby is not really newbie-friendly.

If you gathered a group of young people together
and asked them about it I think their most cogent criticism
would be:

" Why should we go through the bother of testing
and licensure and the expense of (say) HT's ? There's no
one there we know to talk to. And besides, I can already
talk to all my friends with a cell phone and they're sort of
the same thing. I even get a 'free' pager with it. Who needs
Ham radio ? "

I think that hits the nail on the head. Sure, a Ham can
answer all these remarks, but not in any way that's going to get
young people running out to take their Element 2 exams. Why
bother?

I can certainly see the loss of UHF/VHF bands in the
future due to diminishing users and increasing commercial
pressure for bandwidth. I don't see the same thing happening
to HF and so those bands will remain part of Ham radio and
Ham radio will become more and more a "niche hobby." That's
not necessarily a bad thing. There are plenty of these from camping
to bungee-jumping to various hand crafts. They have their
devotees and will continue to do so.

In fact, I partially blame modern Hams fascination with
FM, HT's and UHF/VHF in general for contributing to loss of
interest by young people in amateur radio as these things can't
really "compete" with TV, Cable or cell phones for entertainment,
accessibilty or cost.

So what do I think would attract more young Hams ?
A return to Ham's roots. That means homebrewing HF capable
gear. It's wrong to see all young people as thrill-seeking consumers.
Teens don't learn PERL because they want to be over-worked
dweebs with no life. Sure, they want income. But also, they are
immersed in a technological society and naturally turn to that

technology to express their creativity. Believe it or not, homebrewing really is concerned with smart consumerism, recyling and personal independence and creativity.

Will young people buy that? Ultimately that will be their decision and their responsibility. Young people are perhaps most influenced by mentoring and societal trends. Certainly those societal trends don't appear to bode well for Ham radio: disposable tech., defining citizenship as priviledges recieved rather than as duties owed, credit enslavement rather than financial security. It's not a pretty picture. As to mentoring, that is your decision and your responsibility. You snooze, you lose.

73

Andrew
KG4JBM

P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it is not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But, trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential value in emergency communications. Morse can be sent by visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in emergency communications. It's just too easy for standard systems to fail. I think it was a real mistake by the Maritime industry and some Navies to abandon it as a requirement.

4194. Re: PRO-43 vs. Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 01:25:53 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39a07508.16746562@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 81574

YEa, Yea, Yea....

BUT, do 'nt sell the 43, it is probably one of the best, if not the
best hand held scanners ever made, cellular capabilities
notwithstanding.

Dan

>You know the Sony was recently clearance priced most everywhere for $99,
>including the SC1-PC. I believe they're all gone now. So the one you're
>looking at could be a money-doubling opportunity for the seller. That may
>not matter to you at all.
>
>Of course, they were well over $300 new, I think, and reasonably priced
>then.
>
>That being said, I picked up an SC1-PC when they were $99, and I have to say
>that it is a very nice scanner. Audio is good, programming is different
>from your usual Uniden/GRE, but not difficult, and the performance seems
>excellent. I don't think you'd be unhappy with it.
>
>John
>
>FJB@worldnet.att.net wrote in message ...
>>I just picked up an excellent condition PRO-43 but find the small keypad
>>hard to use. I saw a brand new Sony SC1 handheld scanner for $179.00 and am
>>wondering if I should sell the PRO-43 to get the SC1. What do you think?
>>
>>Frank
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4195. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 01:38:10 UTC
From: Rich Knowles <rknowles@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6K%n5.50739$IX6.226671@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 81575
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Porkeyus,

First, since you don't sign your real name, I wonder about the credibility
of anything
you might say, but I'm in a good mood today so I'll respond with my $.02
anyhow.

I don't think its dying, it's definately going to go through a decline as
the baby boomers fade
off the scene, but I wouldn't write it off for the next century. Lots of
new frontiers
where there are no wires laid. Things run in cycles, the Internet is the
"big deal" for now,
but who can say it is the panacea either?

When the bands were reapportioned in the 60's, one of the groans that was
heard
was that ham radio was dying and that the 'old farts' from the spark days
were all that
there was to talk to, nevertheless the hobby has survived til now.

Besides, I don't think ham radio was ever intended to reflect a broad subset
of the population. CB
in fact was what brought it into the millions attention, and since then a
vocal minority (from there?)
has continued to bash the hobby about testing, restrictions and everything
else under the sun. Right
now there are no tests, unlimited power, and no obscenity restrictions on
CB, but their
numbers aren't growing exponentially either, so some of your arguments don't
wash here.

If you think its dead, then move on! Those of us who remain will continue
to enjoy the
hobby.

Rich WA9HHB

==========
"Porkeyus buttucocks" <b9erea33@supermail.com> wrote in message
news:%kRn5.3748$Nz4.194828@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "William Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:yRMn5.3523$Nz4.159029@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > I'm taking a survey on the future of amateur radio. It is informal and
is
> a
> > prelude to a formal statistical analysis being planned. Any ideas would
be
> > welcomed.
>
> OK.
>
> Hamfests: Attendance is way down across the board. Dayton down by 3000
>
> Radios: Still way way overpriced for what is inside. Dealers cryin the
blues
> Inventory not moving, esp HF rigs. Who in his right mind
would
> spend
> $1500.00 (or more) for a HF ham radio, which inside is put
> together no better
> than a $85.00 Korean VCR? Put that cash towards a new car
or
> a vacation
> in Europe.
>
> Hobby: Dying on the vine. Literally! SK in QST is up to 1.5 pages per
> month. No new
> people (young people - meaning the target market of youthful
> white males)
> comming into the hobby. Who wants to bother stringing up
> antennas, learning
> stupid morse code and paying average of $1100-1700 for HF
> gear - just to talk
> to a bunch of old men?? Young people want the INTERNET. Ham
> radio is
> both obsolete and humorous in it's self-admiration and
> self-serving imagined
> importance in the scheme of things.
>
> Future: Ham radio will be DEAD by 2010-2015. ALL freqs above 220 mHz will
> have been
> allocated to emerging wireless technologies before then,
around
> 2005-7.
>
>
> in short: STICK A FORK IN IT FOLKS - THIS TURKEY IS READY FOR CARVING!
>
>
>

>
>

4196. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 01:39:19 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nq185ssh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39a06f3e.159349956@news.erols.com>,
cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) wrote:
> P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it
is
> not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But,
> trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential
> value in emergency communications.

There is absolutely ZERO evidence that Morse Code was ever used by
anyone on that sub. It is an urban legend.

> Morse can be sent by
> visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in
> emergency communications. It's just too easy for standard
> systems to fail.

Please come up with SOME kind of example that backs up this claim. The
Navy has PLENTY of back up systems. They don't need to be wasting time
or money training sailors to use an archaic mode of communications.

> I think it was a real mistake by the Maritime
> industry and some Navies to abandon it as a requirement.

Not at all. Made damn good sense.

STANDARD DISCLAIMER: I like Morse Code, and I am concerned about the
loss of life on the Kursk.

Stewart - N0MHS
--
Wireless High-Speed Networking Information:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Monitor/2254/radio.html
Public Radio Services Information:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Monitor/2254/radio2.html

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4197. Re: F.S.Pro2036 base scannerPrice reduced$145.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 01:57:34 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nq2a6$u59$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81577

In article <399F867E.6AD8FBE0@home.com>,
BK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:
> Hi- Is the scanner brand new? What is included? Also what is the
lowest
> ship price you will take. I would pay via Paypal. Bruce
>
> wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > Price reduced to $145.00
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> SOLD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4198. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 02:46:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nq56dfdu0@208.206.142.86>
Source record: 81578
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, a young fella that has a head on his shoulders! Whatta refreshing
post, 'Drew!
I've said all along that the emergency communications potential of Morse code
is the ONLY real justification for requiring hams to know it - at whatever
speed.
Congrats and welcome to ham radio, a hobby that's actually doing fairly well
for one that's dying out... The peceived decline is only partial, some
aspects of it are as alive and vibrant as ever. Homebrewing and kit-built
gear is one of the most lively areas.

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> Well, there's no doubt that Ham radio is in decline.
> Despite the bells and whistles that manufactures add on to
> new units, most technology, principles and practice are 30
> yrs. old at least. Furthermore, unless you can afford 1000's
> for plug 'n play units, the learning curve is steep and the
> whole hobby is not really newbie-friendly.
>
> If you gathered a group of young people together
> and asked them about it I think their most cogent criticism
> would be:
>
> " Why should we go through the bother of testing
> and licensure and the expense of (say) HT's ? There's no
> one there we know to talk to. And besides, I can already
> talk to all my friends with a cell phone and they're sort of
> the same thing. I even get a 'free' pager with it. Who needs
> Ham radio ? "
>
> I think that hits the nail on the head. Sure, a Ham can
> answer all these remarks, but not in any way that's going to get
> young people running out to take their Element 2 exams. Why
> bother?
>
> I can certainly see the loss of UHF/VHF bands in the
> future due to diminishing users and increasing commercial
> pressure for bandwidth. I don't see the same thing happening
> to HF and so those bands will remain part of Ham radio and
> Ham radio will become more and more a "niche hobby." That's
> not necessarily a bad thing. There are plenty of these from camping
> to bungee-jumping to various hand crafts. They have their
> devotees and will continue to do so.

>
> In fact, I partially blame modern Hams fascination with
> FM, HT's and UHF/VHF in general for contributing to loss of
> interest by young people in amateur radio as these things can't
> really "compete" with TV, Cable or cell phones for entertainment,
> accessibilty or cost.
>
> So what do I think would attract more young Hams ?
> A return to Ham's roots. That means homebrewing HF capable
> gear. It's wrong to see all young people as thrill-seeking consumers.
> Teens don't learn PERL because they want to be over-worked
> dweebs with no life. Sure, they want income. But also, they are
> immersed in a technological society and naturally turn to that
> technology to express their creativity. Believe it or not, homebrewing
> really is concerned with smart consumerism, recyling and personal
> independence and creativity.
>
> Will young people buy that? Ultimately that will be their de-
> cision and their responsibility. Young people are perhaps most in-
> fluenced by mentoring and societal trends. Certainly those societal
> trends don't appear to bode well for Ham radio: disposable tech.,
> defining citizenship as priviledges recieved rather than as duties
> owed, credit enslavement rather than financial security. It's not a
> pretty picture. As to mentoring, that is your decision and your respon-
> sibility. You snooze, you lose.
>
> 73
>
> Andrew
> KG4JBM
>
> P.S. Morse Code. I'm learning it and enjoying it. Still, I think it is
> not relevant to being a Ham unless that's your thing. But,
> trapped Russian submariners prove its continuing potential
> value in emergency communications. Morse can be sent by
> visual means as well as audio and so has a real place in
> emergency communications. It's just too easy for standard
> systems to fail. I think it was a real mistake by the Maritime
> industry and some Navies to abandon it as a requirement.

4199. Re: Amateur Radio Future?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:22:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821012228.03350.00000816@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 81581

In article <6K%n5.50739$IX6.226671@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>, "Rich Knowles" <rknowles@woh.rr.com> writes:

>First, since you don't sign your real name, I wonder about the credibility >of anything you might say

Given the level of personal harrassment which takes place among certain regular participants of this newsgroup, I would suggest that anyone who uses their real name here is either extremely naiive, foolish, or both.

4200. Re: Scanner Listener Praised by Police in Arrests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:22:29 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821012229.03350.00000817@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 81580

In article <5x_n5.14201$Ur3.137516@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "Daniel Bohner" <dbohner@uswest.net> writes:

>He heard that the bozo was headed down his road ... waited by his fence...
>and when bozo sizzled by... threw a huge gravelly dirt claud into the grill
>and across the window...
>
>The driver lost control and slammed into a nearby ditch. The scanner-man
>was afraid that he might have broken the law in some way-or might be held
>liable if the guy was hurt... thus kept quite.

Keeping quiet was a wise idea. In today's junk society, some shyster lawyer would likely have filed a lawsuit against the good samaritan on behalf of the crook. His choice to help the police would have likely cost him millions in damages, given today's verdicts by the usual brain-dead juries.

4201. Re: I can piss in Morse Code.. can you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:24:01 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39a0bc63.1145400@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 81579

Could you please send a message for us? and tell Mackey we don't like
what he represents to be part of the scanning newsgroup?
Much Thanks,
Neal
Anaheim, CA

4202. Opto Xplorer sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:29:33 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-DC8D6F.00293321082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81585

OptoElectronics Xplorer
30 MHz to 2000 MHz (Full coverage, no gaps)
nearfield receiver with PL, DPL, DTMF and LTR decoding.
Like New, in box, with all accessories
(Leatherette case, antenna, power supply, computer cable and SW).

$700.00

Will trade for 2 PRO2006's, HF rig (IC-751 or 735, Kenwood TS440 etc.)

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4203. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:30:23 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <P73o5.14655$Ur3.146428@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> Just another day, another lie,
SNIP
That sounds like it fits YOU, Richard Kendrick

> Yes, you did cancel a few of your own posts to deflect attention from
SNIP

That is not true.

> Again, it was posted. See DejaNews. Any default would be on your part
SNIP

If it was true you would be able to find it.

4204. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:32:05 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <p93o5.14656$Ur3.145564@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> The bully cries he is a victim. What a coward you are,
SNIP

I am neither a bully or coward, I simply stand up for what is right &
that means opposing you.

4205. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 05:32:52 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <8a3o5.14657$Ur3.145946@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn, get a life & get real.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
stupidity snipped

4206. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 06:02:38 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39A0B702.8B356739@some.net>
Source record: 81586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K S 0 F wrote:

> Second - I have never told a lie in my adult life.

Uh-oh, bet you can't say that no more after that statement.

4207. Re: Laws concerning what you hear on cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 06:42:49 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <T24o5.8094$uX.18738@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81587

"Andy in RI" <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20000820151510.00244.00000775@ng-df1.aol.com...
> >Just say "I heard it on my baby monitor or Radio Shack walkie-talkie,
which
> >just happens to share the same frequencies as most cordless phones."
>
> That won't work because it is illegal to divulge what you heard, even if
you
> heard it on a baby monitor, because you would still be divulging what you
heard
> from a cordless phone. The best thing to do would to just give a tip based
on
> the information you obtained. Call it in anonymously from a pay phone and
don't
> mention that you found it out from a cordless phone call.

Yes, maybe so, but then, when was the last time you saw a baby monitor with
the E.C.P.A. rules in the instructions.

Mark K5MAR

4208. PRO 2067 Owners Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-21 23:47:26 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a1c9fb$0$27230$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81535

Hi,

does the PRO2067 require a base & offset frequency to be programmmed (a la 245xlt) before it will trunk track??

If not, what is the Trunk programming procedure?

Thanks
Mike

4209. Re: Final words on Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RG <prieye@NOJUNKmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <KZCo5.42665$_s1.511986@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 81347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec,.radio.scanner

That is the Bill Cheek I knew. Lazy Bones
"Just Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:our3qsgkq50savpkib2ao5ehrh9v9oenjl@4ax.com...
>
>
> Bill's wife posted this to their website,
> so I'm sure she won't mind my posting this here....
>
> ---
> Bill's story told by his wife, Cindy.....
>
> With Bill's passing my plate has been pretty full. He left a huge hole in
my heart (not
> to mention our business). We were not
> only husband and wife (of 15 yrs) but we were partners and best friends.
We sat
> three feet away from each other 24/7 working at what we considered our
"fun".
>
> As many knew Bill had been diagnosed in Sept 99 with lung cancer and was
given
> three to four months life expectancy. The battle was about to begin. He
went
> through a hellacious time of chemotherapy, with the end result showing a
miraculous
> 60% shrinkage of the lung tumor. In March of this year he had experienced
an
> occasional vision problem so the doctors performed a CT and MRI of his
brain to
> "rule out" any spread of the tumor. The news was not good. The cancer had
indeed
> metastasized to his brain. The spread was so vast that there was no hope
of
> continued chemo, no radiation, and no surgery. We were dealing with a
death sentence!
>
> At that time (in March) the doctors said he only had "two to three months
left, probably
> less." The battle got tougher day by day. As you may have known, Bill was
a very proud
> and independent man, who hated to be mollycoddled. He hated to "give in"
to a walker,
> and eventually a wheelchair, but his balance became more wary almost

daily. The last
> month or so he couldn't walk at all and his speech became more and more
inarticulate as
> the days and weeks waned on.
>
> When the time came that Bill could no longer even stand, it was time to
bring in a
> hospital bed. I slept on a hide-a-bed right next to him (in our
living-room) for the last
> two months, as he would frequently awake in the middle of the night
confused and
> bewildered. Hating to be kept "down", many times he would try to get out
of bed.
>
> By now, Bill needed help with all aspects of life such as eating,
drinking, hygiene, and
> even turning over in bed, etc. His Quality of Life was my number one
objective. I took
> care of him as long as I could, and to the best of my ability, however in
spite of my
> taking care of him 24/7, the time came when I grew weary, not getting any
sleep, not
> eating, and basically neglecting myself.
>
> By this time, Bill could no longer stand, sit, walk, eat or even roll over
in bed.
> Against my better judgment, but having no recourse, I admitted Bill to the
hospital on
> Wednesday, July 19, 2000. I thought this was the hardest thing I ever had
to do.
> When the ambulance arrived for his transport to the hospital, he was
frightened but
> cooperative.
>
> Once he was settled in at the hospital, he kept saying, "I want to go
home!" By now
> he was very incoherent and I didn't know if he meant he wanted to go to
our home or
> home to God. Once again, I thought this was the hardest thing I'd ever
endured.
>
> As the days passed, he tried to speak, but his speech was so mumbled and
garbled
> that I couldn't understand him. He was trying to "be heard" but became
very frustrated.
> I was with him in the hospital from early morning until late at night on
Wed, Thurs and
> Fri. When I arrived on Saturday morning, his breathing had become so
labored it was
> frightful. He was getting Morphine injections every hour to keep him calm

and unagitated.
> However, Bill lost his battle with cancer at noon on July 22, 2000. I had just told him
> (over and over) how much I loved him when he died in my arms. Letting him "go" was
> definitely by far THE HARDEST thing I have ever endured in my life.
>
> Bill was a great man, who loved life! He hated to go to bed at night and couldn't wait to
> get up the next day to get back to work. Often he would work himself into a frenzy, until
> he solved the problem at hand, sometimes getting only two to three hours sleep. Often
> referred to as a work-a-holic, Bill always told me that he could get all the sleep he
> wanted when he was dead. I hope he is getting all the rest he missed here on earth, as
> he surely deserves his piece of peace.
>
> He always gave 110%. He always went "the extra mile." As his wife, best friend and
> partner, I will miss him until we are together again in eternity.
>
> It is very hard for me to go in our "office", and it will take some time to get
> reorganized, but I know Bill would want me to go on, and I know he would also want
> his "life's work" to go on. Thus, I will continue making the back issues of his
> newsletter, The World Scanner Report, available to those still interested, as well as
> the CE-232 Scanner Computer Interface.
>
> If anyone wants to help with Bill's astronomical medical bills,
> a special account has been established for this purpose.
>
> Contributions can be sent directly to:
>
> Union Bank of California
> Acct# 0771354719
> 8359 Mira Mesa Blvd
> San Diego, CA 92126
> Attn: Rhonda Smith
> (619) 230-3800
> payable to: Cindy Cheek, trustee for William D. Cheek, Sr.
>
> or, if you prefer, directly to: Cindy Cheek, PO Box 262478, San Diego, CA 92196
>
> Please accept my personal thanks to everyone for all the prayers and

continued support.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Cindy Cheek
>
> Other stories (tributes) to Bill Cheek can be found at
> http://www.grove-ent.com/mtappeal.html
>
> If anyone wants to tell about their experience(s) with or about Bill,
> send your story to ccheek@comtronics.net . (subject: BILL CHEEK),
> I will review the story and create a special link on this web site as
Bill's Tribute.
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

4210. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <zjDo5.422$Hs.1775@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81348

Be sure to check the counties along the route you will take. County patrol cars and city police have some jurisdiction on some legs of the intrastate and interstate highways. Also look for the type of antennas these cars have. they will give you a hint of what freq's they might have available. Look for newer Camaros, Mustangs, and Firebirds. Some counties also have SUVs some with dogs.I travel a lot to Boston to visit relatives and I have seen these cars in all states between Indiana and Mass. Have fun.....be careful.....and hope you have the proper documentation to carry a scanner in your car. Some cops come really unglued if you do not a right to carry a scanner in your car.
"Email to above or see post sig for address!" <NKCIUNVRRHFD@spammotel.com> wrote in message news:o8u4qsgo6s2fhdg59ajgbmmcu4ba4t7t1h@4ax.com...
> On 17 Aug 2000 19:51:21 GMT, rocky178@aol.com (Rocky178) wrote:
>
> >Can anyone tell me what the frequencies are for the PSP along Rt. 80?
>
>
> You can find information for PSP @ http://www.wpascanner.com
>
>
>
> ---
> Email To: wpascanner at geocities dot com
> The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.wpascanner.com
> Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
> http://westpascanner.terrashare.com/wpascanl.htm
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://westpascanner.terrashare.com/scnrng.htm
> ---
> By U.S. Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
> meets the definition of a telephone fax machine.
> By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited
> advertisement to such equipment.
> By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section
> is punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or
> $500, whichever is greater, by each violation.
> ---
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

4211. FS: Yaesu VR500 with ADMS software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <39A30645.242EA424@wwd.net>
Source record: 81349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a like new VR500 with box, manual, etc and ADMS software and cable.

$300 shipped to conus via USPS Priority.

Need your money order, bank check or Paypal.

Nick
WB4SQI

4212. AZ Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: AZ_Ghost <rotor96@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6QDo5.251$tM2.30813@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 81350

Does anybody have the new truck freqs that the Sheriff's Office is using in MAricopa County?

PS: and ID groups

Thanks!

4213. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a30a4f.9470975@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81351

On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 17:56:28 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
wrote:

>Be sure to check the counties along the route you will take. County patrol
>cars and city police have some jurisdiction on some legs of the intrastate
>and interstate highways.

Not on I80 in PA.

4214. MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gidnrug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nv3f9omc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <Ok3n5.7374$Ur3.67133@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.

so�ci�o�path: (sO'sE-u-path)
�n. a person, as a psychopathic personality, whose behavior is antisocial and who lacks a sense of moral responsibility or social conscience.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4215. Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chow@aol.com
Message-ID: <chow-2208001827430001@ip276.okc3.ok.pub-ip.ionet.net>
Source record: 81353

I am installing a rack in my 2000 Dakota for my radios, but the 2006 is wider than my Motorola MacTrak and can't fit it in the rack with the other radios. The face plate looks like it will come off the scanner easily, just have to lengthen several wires. I just got thru looking at all the web mod sites I could find and nothing on mounting the face away from the unit.

Anyone had any experience doing this? Just from looking it will be a royal pain if I can't find any "extensions" for the 2 groups of wires going to the keyboard and display.

Any help/advice/instructions will be verry appreciated!

4216. FA: PRO 2020 with NR - CC OK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <qBEo5.5134$eL4.35364@news4.atl>
Source record: 81354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417168574
PRO-2020 base/mobile scanner for auction with no reserve and I started it at
25 cents.
Credit cards are OK!

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

4217. Re: Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@101freeway.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <VdFo5.14879$0z2.681483@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 81355

I triple-checked this and it is correct:

Mini-Din DB-9 Signal
--------- ------ ------
1 2 RD
2 8 CTS
3 7 RTS
4 N/C
5 5 SG
6 6 DSR
7 4 DTR
8 3 TD
Shield Shield Shield
--
Glenn Mitchell.
http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm

> Here is what I've found about the wavehawk cable. Please do not accept
> it as truth until someone else verifies it. Reason being
its been a
> long day.
>
> If you look into the 8 pin din (mini8), pin number one is on
the lower
> left and pin number eight is in the upper right. Sorta like
this:
>
> 6 7 8
> 3 4 5
> 1 2
>
> While the DB9 on the other end looks like this:
> 5 4 3 2 1
> 9 8 7 6
>
> Put'um together and what do you get?
> Din DB9 Description
> ---- ----- --
--
> 1 2 Transmit from sony to computer
> 2 8 CTS?
> 3 7 RTS?
> 4 Not wired?
> 5 5 Signal ground (insulated wire, not shield
ground)

>
> 6 6 DSR?
> 7 4 DTR?
> 8 3 Receive from computer
> Shields connect only to each other.
>

4218. FA: Scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <w2Go5.4832$OE4.30420@news1.atl>
Source record: 81356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Scanner antenna for auction.
NO reserve and started at 25 cents.
Credit card accepted.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417192333
```

--
Scanner users
Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

4219. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <8mGo5.636$Hs.2275@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81357

Hi Tim. Could you elaborate a little about the I-80 in P? When I travel some of those routes and see sheriffs cars, city police cars, and unmarked cars I am going to watch them and use my freq-counter to hear what I can hear. I would not take a bet that they cannot stop and "assist" in an traffic stop or other police matters.
"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message news:39a30a4f.9470975@news.penn.com...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 17:56:28 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Be sure to check the counties along the route you will take. County patrol
> >cars and city police have some jurisdiction on some legs of the intrastate
> >and interstate highways.
>
> Not on I80 in PA.
>
>

4220. FS RS pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8nvf3iroe1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81358

PRO-2035 stock in exelent working cond. Appearance 9.5, with manual and antenna, $220 shipped first class.

Gil

4221. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq6gr29qt91185@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn
I only yank your chain too attention when I feel like it.
While you are spewing forth your crap the silent majority probably wish that
you would shut up and possibly let go.
I post very seldom and when I do it is you who are right in the thick of
being a problem.
You do know that silence would be golden from you.
Rick

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:SUAo5.1400$Ga1.198500@news.uswest.net...
> RicK Larson aka me John-RicK typed on his drool stained
keyboard...........
>
> > Piss-off and on Glenn.
>
> Temper, temper Rick-John.
>
> > Where r your on topic posts?
>
> Where are John-Corky's RicK-Corky? That was the qiestion. Where's your
> answer boy? Check deja.com, well ask an adult to help you.
>
> > The kettle must black in Glenn country.
>
> Nope, just honest RicK-Corky. Odd concept for you to understand, I know.
> Again, ask a fully functional adult to help you.
>
> > What a Friggin Farse you are!
>
> Speaking of farses RicK-John, I made a couple of challenges to you. You
> John-Corky SNIPped them. Keep defending your luv John-Corky RicK-Corky,
it
> seems to be the only thing you do.
>
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
> > Rick
> >
> > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message

> > news:kCxo5.816$Ga1.115459@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > K-S-0-F aka Corky-John call me Toiletboy responds like a lap
> > dog.....
> > > > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> > > > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
> > >
> > > Typical John-Corky, the world lies only Corky-John tells the truth. I
> > didn't
> > > say internet, but I am glad you didn't disagree with the rest. I was
> wrong
> > > in the count too, you actually posted 48 off topic messages, I am sure
> you
> > > STILL AGREE with those figures. Let's see what else you agreed too
when
> > you
> > > went Snip in a fit of denial!
> > >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > stupidity snipped
> > > >
> > > > "stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What
are
> > you
> > > > hiding today.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes, what are you Hiding John-Corky???????/
> > >
> > >
> > > > John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.......
> > > >
> > > > ">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and
> only
> > > > >John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in
denial
> > > > >John-Corky. Seek professional help again."
> > > >
> > > > I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent
> > state
> > > of
> > > > denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every
> > > message
> > > > sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use
> > > John-Corky
> > > > as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
> > > > Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!
> > >
> > >
> > > Get Help John-Corky, you denial is a sickness.

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4222. Re: Kolari: for Corky Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq6gu1ptt91138@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You did lose because of the votes from the Pourtsmoth,Va club.
Another wise club voted for a Brother PARG ham.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:EYAo5.1401$Ga1.199694@news.uswest.net...
>
> RicK-Corky is on another propaganda campaign. Like his luv John-Corky,
> Rick-Corky figures if he tells a lie long enough somebody will believe it.
> Nobody believes John-Corky but RicK-Corky insists on history repeating
> itself.
>
> You mean you weren't fooled Earnie? Go figure!
>
>
>
> Glenn
>
> Earnie <earnie@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:2fe5qsc7hmmfomnporefo58h4gbfqtejgn@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 11:23:46 -0500, "RicK Larson"
> <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >The final vote tabulated by USENET security voted you as the most hated
> and
> > >two faced butthead around.
> >
> > All the polls I've seen clearly pointed to Corky Mackey. Hands down.
Or,
> > should that be down syndrome ?
> >
> > LOL!!!
>
>

4223. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq6h5vkrt91177@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81361

John J
It would be good to point out the constant OT posts from the CAL's in addition to Mackey.
I'm sure CAL's combined waste more time than anything Mackey might post if you bother to check it out.
Otherwise read the subject line or learn to use filters.
Rick

John Jensen KB9KQN <scoa42500@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000822212928.16111.00000961@ng-cc1.aol.com...
> Okay foks all I can ask is what does all this of topic crap have to do with
> scanning. Ignore the loser and get on with it. Jeeez. No I do not support
> Mackey (looser!!) But I joined this NG think I may learn something about
> scanning and scanner monitoring. Guess that will never happen because you all
> are to busy to give advice about scanning and that includes Mackey.
>
> Thanks
> John Jensen KB9KQN
>

4224. FS: Pro 2004 $145

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George B." <kn6ep@jps.net>
Message-ID: <qOHo5.9570$gb.467426@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 81362

I have a Realistic Pro 2004 base scanner for sale. Has bright display and uninterrupted 800 MHz band. Date code 2A7. I am asking $145 shipped to the ConUS. I am setting this price because of a problem - the scanner loses its stored frequencies when the power switch is turned off, including when the 9v battery is installed. I have not had this professionally evaluated. Could be simple, might not be. I have used it in the power ON position 24/7, so the memory problem was not a factor for me. Everything else works fine. Cosmetic is about a 6 out of 10. There is a small tear in the membrane covering the front panel switch. This has no effect on the operation of the scanner.

I have too much equipment and this is one I am putting on the block.

Contact me via e-mail if interested.

George
KN6EP
Sacramento

4225. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq6jrdnvt91135@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81363

Way to go Glenn

John Jensen KB9KQN <scoa42500@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000823000309.11909.00000243@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> I am not trying to cause trouble Rick. Just can't understand why everybody
can
> not drop it and get on with the topic at hand scanning. Well my last post
to
> this useless NG. Thanks for nothing folks.
>
> Thanks
> John Jensen KB9KQN
>

4226. Re: Kolari: for Corky Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <e8Jo5.1178$a04.365177@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are you a PARG Member Rick-Corky or just John-Corky's seat warmer?

RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:sq6gu1ptt91138@corp.supernews.com...
> You did lose because of the votes from the Pourtsmoth,Va club.
> Another wise club voted for a Brother PARG ham.
>
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:EYAo5.1401$Ga1.199694@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > RicK-Corky is on another propaganda campaign. Like his luv John-Corky,
> > Rick-Corky figures if he tells a lie long enough somebody will believe
it.
> > Nobody believes John-Corky but RicK-Corky insists on history repeating
> > itself.
> >
> > You mean you weren't fooled Earnie? Go figure!
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> > Earnie <earnie@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:2fe5qsc7hmmfomnporefo58h4gbfqtejgn@4ax.com...
> > > On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 11:23:46 -0500, "RicK Larson"
> > <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >The final vote tabulated by USENET security voted you as the most
hated
> > and
> > > > >two faced butthead around.
> > >
> > > All the polls I've seen clearly pointed to Corky Mackey. Hands down.
> Or,
> > > should that be down syndrome ?
> > >
> > > LOL!!!
> >
> >
>
>

4227. Re: Kolari: for Corky Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Z9Jo5.1179$a04.365479@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK-Corky LUVS John-Corky.

Let little RicK-Corky have his fantasy. He is in denial just like his lover John-Bowl.

LOL!!!!!!!

Glenn

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:8nvmle$j5h$0@63.162.246.176...
>
>
> RicK Larson wrote:
> >
> > You did lose because of the votes from the Pourtsmoth,Va club.
> > Another wise club voted for a Brother PARG ham.
> >
> Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
> another PARG club.
>
> John has won scads of votes of no-confidence, including
> from the P.A.R.G. in Missouri. No one likes John!

4228. Need Scanner 45 - 88 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mzakiab <mzakiab@ircomputer.com>
Message-ID: <39A1F229.1F3497B8@ircomputer.com>
Source record: 81461

Hi there...

I need radio scanner range 45 - 88 MHz.

4229. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A2590B.6531473@home.com>
Source record: 81462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

did you ever bother to check my followup to myself?
HELLO?!?! its called a TYPO!

Geez, I've never had to deal with someone so STUPID before!

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > Hye john,
> > jhere's your demonstration.
> > Care to explain how I am posting from
> > IP: 24.177.75.77? huh John?
>
> You aren't posting from the IP you claim above. You are posting from the IP
> address of
> 24.177.75.22. Below I have a copy of your headers from the message you
> posted.
>
> Path:
> news1.sttls1.wa.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc
> 1.az.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
> Message-ID: <39A14FE2.D20DEAD3@home.com>
> From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
> X-Accept-Language: en
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur
> .policy
> Subject: Re: more lies from N7ZZT
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Lines: 16
> Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2000 15:50:54 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.177.75.22
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
> X-Trace: news1.rdc1.az.home.com 966873054 24.177.75.22 (Mon, 21 Aug 2000
> 08:50:54 PDT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2000 08:50:54 PDT
> Organization: @Home Network
> Xref: newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com rec.radio.scanner:30108287
> alt.radio.scanner:30077188 rec.radio.amateur.misc:30089865
> rec.radio.amateur.policy:30114857

4230. Modifiying cell band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rblb83@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000822070535.25755.00000652@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 81463

How do I restore the cell band in my scanners? Is there a good web site for this?

4231. wtb: a basic scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A27000.479E7021@home.com>
Source record: 81464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for a Radio Shack scanner base model with at least 30 channels. Good,clean operating shape. Has stock antenna.Less than $60. Has the search feature and one touch weather. Doesn't need 800 mhz. band. Please let me know if you have one for sell and what it is and features. thanks Bruce

4232. FA:Pro32 $50 NR Ends 8-32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey P. Zurio" <jeffzurio@idcnet.com>
Message-ID: <39A2769B.9B61A0E3@idcnet.com>
Source record: 81465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Opening bid only $50

Check out my other auctions

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=jeffzurio@idcnet.com

4233. Re: ENG Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Hanrahan <hanrahan@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <pfr4qs88ftia3m4980lr5fgep7ac7a9t16@4ax.com>
Source record: 81466

On Fri, 18 Aug 2000 07:11:55 GMT, chuck@nospam.latraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) wrote:

>Does anyone know how much a portable receiver would cost to receive
>video from news helicopters? I would be using this in an airplane
>along side the choppers so there would be no line of sight issues.
>Would be really cool to look at video from helicopters while covering
>stories they're also covering.
>

I can see a few problems with this.

Firstly, these helicopter microwave systems are very directional, and they don't always have to transmit in the same direction of the helicopters flight path.

If you are going to be flying in a fixed wing, then you would either have to be ...

1. Flying along and maintain a position as near to the microwaves link pathway (which would be difficult for you if the helicopter was stationary or flying slowly). This could also cause problems should you put yourself directly into the Line of Sight of the link path and block the signal getting back to the TV Stations receiver.

2. Or fly as close to the helicopter as possible and try picking up some of the stray microwave signal that comes off the back and sides of the yagi's.

Here's a few details of the workings ..

The most common type of helicopter mounted microwave transmitter is Gyro controlled Yagi Antenna system.

Two types that I have experience with are the BMS and the Skypod.

There are usually two short horizontal Yagi's mounted parallel that are supported by a steerable motor, all within a pod that's mounted under the main body of the helicopter.

When the crew arrive at the scene of a story, the helicopters yagi's and the receiver dish are manually aligned (aided with a GPS giving compass directions). Once a good signal is achieved, the gyro takes over allowing the pilot to manouver (even 360 degree turns) without loosing the signal path.

Of course there is some occasional signal breakup, but usually it will stabilize within seconds.

The receiver dish (usually located up on a mountain or hi-rise building) shouldn't need adjustment, unless the helicopter strays outside of the receivers view. During freeway chases where the helicopters are travelling large distances, the receiver dish would have to be constantly adjusted (I think some of the new systems have GPS telemetry that automatically look after this situation).

Regards

Mark Hanrahan
Nine Network News
(Gold Coast Bureau)

WEB - http://www.ozemail.com.au/~hanrahan/

4234. FA: Realistic Pro-2022 200 Channel Scanner With Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3Hvo5.167326$1h3.3068880@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 81467

30-50mhz, 108-174mhz, 380-512mhz, 806-960mhz(no gaps)

http://cgi.ca.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413434745

4235. Re: FA:Pro32 $50 NR Ends 8-32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: icomfan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nu312$gg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39A2769B.9B61A0E3@idcnet.com>,
jeffzurio@idcnet.com wrote:
> Opening bid only $50
>
> Check out my other auctions
>
> http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?
ViewListedItems&userid=jeffzurio@idcnet.com
>

Your ads says:
"I purchased this unit new prior to the FCC ban of certain scanner
frequencies"

What does that have to do with your scanner since it can't receive any
frequencies above 512 Mhz??

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4236. IC-R2 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: vanilladog30@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nu6it$kt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81469

Hello to all. I just picked up a new Icom mini scanner last week, and I am looking far and wide for the frequency unblock mod. It is not in the 2000 scanner mod book, but I know it is out there. Can anybody help me out? I like this scanner, even though the small amount of buttons makes it a bit cryptic. The idea of DC to Daylight frequency coverage in such a small package is really cool. I got it because I lost my AR2700 when I visited Texas! :(

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4237. Re: Anne Arundel County, MD Fire/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch <dat2comm@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8nu79sloj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81470

It is the ADC (Alexandria Drafting Company) map book.

8D10 = Map page 8, Coord. D 10

Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
MFN - F1
IPN - MAR000

In article <JHdo5.1145$Dx1.308021@news.abs.net>,
"No One" <none@dont.have.one> wrote:
> Does anyone know what maps they are using? I would love to have a
copy to
> put up on the wall, so I can track them as I listen.. I found a
listing of
> all the stations and where they are located, but that still just
tells the
> general vicinity... I need to be able to look up what they're talking
about
> when they say "Coordinates 8A4"...
>
> --
> "The universe is a pretty big place.
> It's bigger than anything anyone has ever dreamed before.
> So if it's just us, it seems like an afwul waste of space, huh?"
> -Ellie, Contact
>
>

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
http://www.tcfb.com/dat2comm
dat2comm@juno.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4238. Re: Help w/Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39A29F66.84858692@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81471

Can you enlighten me as to what the differences are between the SS-70 and SS-70A? I have the earlier version (which worked well for the three police departments I used it to monitor, of which one is now on an Astro 800 system, the other is a type I/II 800 system and the third no longer uses it).

The most recent application was to decode the "scrambled" cordless phones on the 25 channel 46-49 mhz band, works well for that purpose. What applications does yours have?

My SS-70 has a power on or off (off is set as bypass) and a volume control. It is RX in and out as well as TX in and out. As I recall, the RX is amplified and the TX is not, not sure though as it has been quite a while since I last used it. So what is new with the SS-70A.

I am surprised the feds have not shutdown that project of Ramsey's because it can be used to "violate" the law by allowing things to be decoded/descrambled. Oh, that's right, it's not digital. I guess that makes some difference. If so, why the big deal about analog cellular phones?

That's my nickels worth...
Steven

"D. Ruhe" wrote:

> I've obtained a Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler, the unit works well however
> when there is no audio
> there is an annoying hiss that comes from the speaker. I remember
> awhile back there was a discussion regarding this problem. If I
> remember the fix was a simple component change. Does anyone have this
> fix or know where I can find it ?
>
> Thanks in Advance --Dan-- KE3UC

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

4239. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Fat Corky Mackey an ASS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A2A2C3.53F17A79@yua.com>
Source record: 81472

Mackey.. you little gay whore. You know you're losing
this war so just get a life. Don't you have any friends
or anything else to do with your non-badpan time?

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> That's not true, you are a liar.
>
> "Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> > You changed your name for the 100th time this year to avoid kill filters.

4240. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <kCxo5.816$Ga1.115459@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K-S-0-F aka Corky-John call me Toiletboy responds like a lap dog.....
> More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> years, let alone the 6 you claim.

Typical John-Corky, the world lies only Corky-John tells the truth. I didn't say internet, but I am glad you didn't disagree with the rest. I was wrong in the count too, you actually posted 48 off topic messages, I am sure you STILL AGREE with those figures. Let's see what else you agreed too when you went Snip in a fit of denial!

> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > stupidity snipped
>
> "stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What are you
> hiding today.

Yes, what are you Hiding John-Corky???????/

> John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.....
>
> ">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and only
> >John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial
> >John-Corky. Seek professional help again."
>
> I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent state
of
> denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every
message
> sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use
John-Corky
> as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
> Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!

Get Help John-Corky, you denial is a sickness.

4241. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq5a01m1t9134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Piss-off and on Glenn.
Where r your on topic posts?
The kettle must black in Glenn country.
What a Friggin Farse you are!
Rick

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:kCxo5.816$Ga1.115459@news.uswest.net...
>
> K-S-0-F aka Corky-John call me Toiletboy responds like a lap
dog.....
> > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
>
> Typical John-Corky, the world lies only Corky-John tells the truth. I
didn't
> say internet, but I am glad you didn't disagree with the rest. I was wrong
> in the count too, you actually posted 48 off topic messages, I am sure you
> STILL AGREE with those figures. Let's see what else you agreed too when
you
> went Snip in a fit of denial!
>
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > stupidity snipped
> >
> > "stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What are
you
> > hiding today.
>
>
> Yes, what are you Hiding John-Corky???????/
>
>
> > John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.....
> >
> > ">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and only
> > >John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial
> > >John-Corky. Seek professional help again."
> >
> > I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent
state
> of
> > denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every

> message
> > sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use
> John-Corky
> > as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
> > Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!
>
>
> Get Help John-Corky, you denial is a sickness.
>
>

4242. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sq5a6ofat91130@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The final vote tabulated by USENET security voted you as the most hated and
two faced butthead around.
Shut up FOOLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL or I'll yank your chain again.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:kCxo5.816$Ga1.115459@news.uswest.net...
>
> K-S-0-F aka Corky-John call me Toiletboy responds like a lap
dog.....
> > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
>
> Typical John-Corky, the world lies only Corky-John tells the truth. I
didn't
> say internet, but I am glad you didn't disagree with the rest. I was wrong
> in the count too, you actually posted 48 off topic messages, I am sure you
> STILL AGREE with those figures. Let's see what else you agreed too when
you
> went Snip in a fit of denial!
>
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > stupidity snipped
> >
> > "stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What are
you
> > hiding today.
>
>
> Yes, what are you Hiding John-Corky???????/
>
>
> > John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.....
> >
> > ">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and only
> > >John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial
> > >John-Corky. Seek professional help again."
> >
> > I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent
state
> of
> > denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every
> message
> > sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use

> John-Corky
> > as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
> > Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!
>
>
> Get Help John-Corky, you denial is a sickness.
>
>

4243. Re: Baseband Output On Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mister7@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <39a0d51e.30747128@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81476

Hi, where did you tap for the passband discriminator output???
would like to know--thanks

On Fri, 18 Aug 2000 12:24:57 -0400, Amp <JesusLover@pobox.com> wrote:

>I own a BC-895 xlt Uniden among others. I have owned and used Bearcat
>and Uniden scanners for 20 years. Suddenly after about 4 months I
>noticed the 895 was stopped far too long on a UHF frequency that it
>normally doesn't stop on too often. I discovered the scanner was
>"AM-ing" and the squelch wasn't working. The unit was under warranty
>so I boxed it up with a copy of the sales receipt and sent it off to
>be repaired. When I bought the receiver I had installed a baseband
>audio output. 1 month later I was informed that Uniden will not honor
>the warranty because of "unauthorized modification." The baseband
>output had nothing to do with the problem, as the problem was in the
>RF conversion stage and not the audio stage. This output had not been
>used for at least a month prior to the problem. My advice is if you
>buy a new Uniden scanner and you wish to have them honor the warranty,
>don't even think of doing any mods whatsoever. They will go over it
>with a fine toothed comb for signs of tampering. The problem was
>clearly a manufacturing defect, but that all goes out the window if it
>shows any sign of modification, even a measly little baseband output.
>
>
>Amp
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4244. Re: John-Corky Mackey- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <bXxo5.893$Ga1.123892@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

q��t��v� ��� <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote ...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 05:14:13 GMT, "K-S-0-F \(callsign\)"
> <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>
> >So then WHY is it that YOU have NEVER posted on topic?
> >
> >"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> >> Mackerel, the topic here is RADIO.
> >
> >
>
> But I have Mackerel. In fact 98% of my posts ARE on topic. The only
> problem is that YOU don't know where, when or how to find them.
> Do take a look at my headers lamer.

Last time John-Corky read a header his conclusion was I was also a poster that exposed his child molestaion or something of that nature because we both used Netscape. John-Corky is about as good with computers and headers as he is hooking up a power supply.

> In fact the only OFF-topic posts were made to either you or Bill
> Cheek. He was nearly the blow hard that you are, but at least he KNEW
> something about radio. You on the other hand just flap
> your mouth. Cheek published many useful items here. You have never
> published anything but self-serving BS. Certainly nothing radio
> related.

He has been told this by at least 50 people in the last 6 months. over 100 in 5 years. John-Corky will never change and never get a brain.

BTW, possibly John-Corky's problem is he doesn't understand. The above paragraph written by "q��t��v� ��� " is probably too difficult for an uneducated John-Corky. Words like "publish" and "self-serving" probably are meaningless to John-Corky because of his lack of formal education. It's that or the worse case of denial ever recorded.

4245. Re: Wanted: Middle Tennessee Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39A2ABD6.601BBF2E@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If my memory serves me correctly, Nashville is on an Astro system,
translation, no scanner currently can monitor it.

Steven

"Dan B." wrote:

> Try here:
> http://www.geocities.com/Baja/5149/nashville.html
> or here:
> http://members.tripod.com/~cmeadows/Nashville_Scanner1.htm
>
> --
> Scanner users
> Visit the Scanner Nuts! ï¿½
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
> "need2fly" <rim_daniel@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:8nnhcu$98h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I am looking for scanner frequencies for the Middle Tennessee area.
> > Any help would be great!
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all
creation". Mark 16:15

4246. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000822124508.24068.00000551@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81479

Glenn lost and that was confirmed by someone quoting a usenet poll counter report.

By the way Glenn did set another record for most complaining about off topic post in a month. The other part of the story is he still has the distinction of nearly beating his bud BC as the ratio King.
Hamboner
>Subject: Re: oobladee, ooblada, Kolari supports Mackey
>From: "K-S-0-F \(callsign\)" pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org
>Date: 8/19/00 5:25 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <RPDn5.9255$Ur3.108788@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
>
>You better have someone else count for you as several others have confirmed
>that I WON the vote!
>
>"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>> So what if the voices in your head support you. The talley is
>> still over 45 against you and only 3 for you. Go make up some
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4247. Re: scrambled signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39A2ADDF.36EC9DB9@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81480

Depends how they scrambled it, analog or digital. Let's rephrase that. Static or Donald Duck.

If it sounds like donald, it is probably speech inversion. Visit Ramsey Electronics, buy the SS-70A decoder, either as a kit or assembled. Cheaper as a kit, but foolproof if assembled. Be sure to order their box and knob set if you decide to build it, excellent quality stuff they sell. If you need the website (and I think it is http://www.ramseyelectronics.com) you can always visit my page (http://myweb.magicnet.net/~steven) and click on the scanners reference, right column next to my photo (yeah, I am there in living color!) and find it in the list. The page is undergoing numerous changes and upgrading, but the page will always be there. It will likely have a few more entries when I am done with it, but what is there now is good and the links are all valid.

Good luck, I have their earlier version. Now about digital descramling. Probably will sound like static, if you can hear it at all. Not legal. But that does not normally stop you if you can figure it out and keep quiet about it or spread the word without your name associated with it via international places.

I've heard the Netherlands is a good place to do so. The government can make laws for those within the U.S., but they do not affect those who live (ie operate websites) from overseas. More power to such places. To the best of my knowledge, digital decoders for scanners do not exist.

Steven

need2fly wrote:

> The local PD recently scrambled their radio coms. Are there any good
> de-scramblers on the market? Are they legal?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

4248. Re: Uniden BC-800XLT $100 shipped !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39A2B004.AEBEA3FF@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81481

I thought I'd comment on this radio. First, a new cord can be bought for around $2 at Radio Shack or places like Walmart and Big Lots. I've seen them there before, easily available as it is just an AC cord like used on a cassette player, the transformer is inside the scanner.

The antenna is probably still available for http://www.uniden.com but Radio Shack has a nice one that has a right angle connector for scanners, I forget the price - centerloaded, I use it as it works better than the factory antenna which I have unscrewed and stored. The 800 mhz is a small right angle one and is a little special, appears to come with it though. The VHF/UHF antenna described above uses a right angle *motorola* style connector NOT BNC as you may think. It is like what a car stereo uses. The antenna I have described comes ready to plug in with correct plug, not sure if they still sell it, I think they do.

It has weather (auto seek for it, one button) as well as VHF low, high, uhf, 800 mhz and aircraft. It is 40 channels, two banks of 20 and each can be turned on and off. Front mounted speaker. Nice radio - I own one too if you had not guessed. One comment, what is missing, I'd say $80 tops is what it is worth unless it has not been used much because as it gets older the display gets weaker (or power supply, not sure which it is) and needs warmup time. That is the problem mine is having, if anyone knows what is wrong with it, I'd love to know. Runs fine after 30 seconds or so have passed.

Contains 9v backup battery to retain programming. I see it includes shipping, perhaps worth $90 in that case. Considering age and missing antenna/power cord, I would recommend considering offers on it, with only 40 channels it is a little high IMO at $100.

Steven

John Miller wrote:

> Standard BC-800XLT, 40 channels, VHF-UHF-800. Have manual, 800 mhz
> antenna.
> Missing Vhf/Uhf antenna. Power cable, non-smoker, small scratch on
> front, other than that excellent.
> $100 shipped within US. Postal Money Order accepted.
>
> John Miller
> Boise, Idaho

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA

CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

4249. Re: How do I fix display scatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: retsuhcs@xinap.moc
Message-ID: <8nubki$9t1$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 81482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <20000821103951.28780.00000690@ng-bg1.aol.com>,
HalfLife9rb <halflife9rb@aol.com> wrote:
>I heerd some thing about toothpase?

Get some Novus, Meguars, or Blue Magic plastic polish. They all are marked as being able to polish out fine scratches.

Failing that, the "fine cut" paint polishes sold in auto stores, for removing swirl marks and fine scratches on clear coat finishes will be a good substitute.

Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz, Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.

Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder and more coarse abrasive particles.

4250. Re: Vhf Pocket radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Bradley <steven@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <39A2B27D.56CFB24A@magicnet.net>
Source record: 81483

Yes, there was the Police and Aircraft band versions of what you speak of, along with AM radio. Used to be pocket size, made by Radio Shack. But I tested them, neither worked well, and checking the 2000 and 2001 catalogs, I see neither exists anymore. They were around $15-$20 each when they were last sold. May work better in a city. May want to consult http://www.ebay.com for a used one in good condition.

Steven

larry mcghee wrote:

> Hi is there such a beast? E.G. am/fm/vhf(120mhz to 172mhz).
> I have run across pocket radios with the t.v. band but thats it.
>
> cheers
>
> larry

--
Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

"And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all creation". Mark 16:15

4251. Radio Shack Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Captain Pugwash <captain___pugwash@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8nudp6$920$3@supernews.com>
Source record: 81484

Anyone know of any good mods to be made to this model, also is there a PC interface (s/w) in existence for it?

Cheers

Cap'n P.

4252. Re: Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Rothman <y10104@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <8nued0$j6i3@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 81485

That's great. Thanks.
I'll give this a try. What I can't figure out at this point is there are no voltages present at the serial connector on the radio with the radio turned-on. I thought that I would be able to just scope out the proper pins. I'm thinking the radio senses when it is connected to an rs232 port and 'turns-on' it's own serial interface. That's why you can't control the radio from the keypad when it's hooked up to the computer.
When I had the radio apart to do the blocked freq mod, I noticed the rts and dtr designations on the pcboard. I'm going to take the radio apart again and see if the other designations are there.
Finally, I think the frequencies are stored in NVRAM as I had the radio disassembled for 1/2 hour and my freqs were still there when I reassembled the unit.
Cheers,
Larry

KenCaz wrote in message <39A1EC5C.70D1BCE7@earthlink.net>...
>Found this post from egroups.com... Can't varify because I don't have the
PC
>version but maybe it will help..
>
>
>Here is what I've found about the wavehawk cable. Please do not accept
> it as truth until someone else verifies it. Reason being its
been
>a
> long day.
>
> If you look into the 8 pin din (mini8), pin number one is on
the
>lower
> left and pin number eight is in the upper right. Sorta like
this:
>
> 6 7 8
> 3 4 5
> 1 2
>
> While the DB9 on the other end looks like this:
> 5 4 3 2 1
> 9 8 7 6
>
> Put'um together and what do you get?
> Din DB9 Description

> ---- ----- --
-
> 1 2 Transmit from sony to computer
> 2 8 CTS?
> 3 7 RTS?
> 4 Not wired?
> 5 5 Signal ground (insulated wire, not shield
ground)
>
> 6 6 DSR?
> 7 4 DTR?
> 8 3 Receive from computer
> Shields connect only to each other.
>
>
>--
>---->>>KEN<<<----
>
>http://home.earthlink.net/~kencaz
>
>

4253. Re: FA:Pro32 $50 NR Ends 8-32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A2C647.FE2FE223@home.com>
Source record: 81486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like misrepresentation.

icomfan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <39A2769B.9B61A0E3@idcnet.com>,
> jeffzurio@idcnet.com wrote:
> > Opening bid only $50
> >
> > Check out my other auctions
> >
> > http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?
> ViewListedItems&userid=jeffzurio@idcnet.com
> >
>
> Your ads says:
> "I purchased this unit new prior to the FCC ban of certain scanner
> frequencies"
>
> What does that have to do with your scanner since it can't receive any
> frequencies above 512 Mhz??
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4254. Need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: fx80@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17204-39A2C598-3@storefull-172.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 81487

I recently ordered a Pro-26 scanner from Javiation. I have been tracking it through
UPS. It seems there is a problem. The parcel hasnt made any further movement since the arrival scan. Is this typical or should I be worried? UPS still says it's in transit on their tracking system. If worse comes to worse and I have authorities knocking at my door how should react?

4255. Re: Need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <wfAo5.8284$QW4.120434@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81488

This is typical of UPS. They will bring a large amount of packages into a central hub, then when the pile gets big enough, they load them on a plane, and fly them to their destination state, or very close to it. Then they're sorted again, and loaded on local trucks for delivery.

Bill Crocker

<fx80@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17204-39A2C598-3@storefull-172.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I recently ordered a Pro-26 scanner from Javiation. I have been tracking
> it through
> UPS. It seems there is a problem. The
> parcel hasnt made any further movement
> since the arrival scan. Is this typical or
> should I be worried? UPS still says it's in
> transit on their tracking system. If worse
> comes to worse and I have authorities
> knocking at my door how should react?
>

4256. FA: Pro 31/Pro 34/Pro 2050/Pro 2005 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Twist Turner <twist21@flash.net>
Message-ID: <UfAo5.1486$J35.94637@news.flash.net>
Source record: 81489

I have 4 Radio Shack and or Realistic Scanners up for auction at ebay. Including the Pro 31, Pro 34, Pro 2050, and the Pro 2005. Ends wed. eve so act now.

go to
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=twist21@flash.net

I can guarantee you that my isp split up the long link so it won't be clickable, you'll have to cut an paste it into your browser.

4257. FS:Icom R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <39a2d1e3_1@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 81490

I have an Icom R-2 for sale. Dont use. Like new. It has original box, manual, charger, Nicads. $140 includes shipping by priority mail.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4258. The Blind One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@home.com>
Message-ID: <bAAo5.124369$i5.1830135@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 81491

Sure was nice when you were away.

4259. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <SUAo5.1400$Ga1.198500@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK Larson aka me John-RicK typed on his drool stained keyboard..........

> Piss-off and on Glenn.

Temper, temper Rick-John.

> Where r your on topic posts?

Where are John-Corky's RicK-Corky? That was the qiestion. Where's your
answer boy? Check deja.com, well ask an adult to help you.

> The kettle must black in Glenn country.

Nope, just honest RicK-Corky. Odd concept for you to understand, I know.
Again, ask a fully functional adult to help you.

> What a Friggin Farse you are!

Speaking of farses RicK-John, I made a couple of challenges to you. You
John-Corky SNIPped them. Keep defending your luv John-Corky RicK-Corky, it
seems to be the only thing you do.

Glenn

> Rick
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:kCxo5.816$Ga1.115459@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > K-S-0-F aka Corky-John call me Toiletboy responds like a lap
> dog.....
> > > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> > > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
> >
> > Typical John-Corky, the world lies only Corky-John tells the truth. I
> didn't
> > say internet, but I am glad you didn't disagree with the rest. I was
wrong
> > in the count too, you actually posted 48 off topic messages, I am sure
you
> > STILL AGREE with those figures. Let's see what else you agreed too when
> you
> > went Snip in a fit of denial!
> >
> > > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > > > stupidity snipped

> > >
> > > "stupidity snipped". Good old John-corky the Denial Snipper. What are
> you
> > > hiding today.
> >
> >
> > Yes, what are you Hiding John-Corky???????/
> >
> >
> > > John-Corky snipped in an attempt to hide reality.......
> > >
> > > ">Right John-Corky, 23 people have been lying for over 6 years and
only
> > > >John-Corky has told the truth. You are a liar, a fraud and in denial
> > > >John-Corky. Seek professional help again."
> > >
> > > I got real and you couldn't face it so you snip it in you permanent
> state
> > of
> > > denial John-Corky. It is so cute the way you un to respond to every
> > message
> > > sent to John-Corky, Corky-John, ToiletBoy and others. Why not use
> > John-Corky
> > > as your header name? You answer to John-Corky like a good boy!
> > > Get help, it might not be too late John-Corky!
> >
> >
> > Get Help John-Corky, you denial is a sickness.
> >
> >
>
>

4260. Re: Kolari: for Corky Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <EYAo5.1401$Ga1.199694@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK-Corky is on another propaganda campaign. Like his luv John-Corky, Rick-Corky figures if he tells a lie long enough somebody will believe it. Nobody believes John-Corky but RicK-Corky insists on history repeating itself.

You mean you weren't fooled Earnie? Go figure!

Glenn

Earnie <earnie@nospam.com> wrote in message news:2fe5qsc7hmmfomnporefo58h4gbfqtejgn@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 11:23:46 -0500, "RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
> wrote:
>
> >The final vote tabulated by USENET security voted you as the most hated and
> >two faced butthead around.
>
> All the polls I've seen clearly pointed to Corky Mackey. Hands down. Or,
> should that be down syndrome ?
>
> LOL!!!

4261. Re: Pro 2036 discriminator tap point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <sq5mutart91179@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81494

Mike,

You can probably find the best archive of Bob's postings at Rich Wells' Strong Signals Resource Page at http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/faqs.htm , or at Bob's own site at http://www.corecomm.net/~parnass/ .

Good signals,
Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org
73, de N8MQL

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message news:39A1A7D2.175CA8B8@home.com...

> Thanks a lot for the nice reply. Are Bob's posting only found here or
> are they archived somewhere?

4262. Re: Uniden BC-800XLT $100 shipped [fix 4 dim display]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39A2E2D5.D2DCC04E@megsinet.net>
Source record: 81495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Steven,

Your BC 800XLT probably requires replacement of one or two electrolytic
capacitors. You can buy them inexpensively at Radio Shack.

See my FAQ on repairing Bearcat scanners at:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Bearcat_Scanner_R
adios

Steven Bradley wrote:
>
> as
> it (BC800XLT) gets older the display gets weaker (or power supply, not sure which
> it is) and needs warmup time. That is the problem mine is having, if
> anyone knows what is wrong with it, I'd love to know. Runs fine after
> 30 seconds or so have passed.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

4263. Re: Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@101freeway.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <bGCo5.13420$0z2.625456@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 81496

When the PC sets DTR high the scanner "wakes up" and displays the "PC - cnt"
symbol.
If I have a chance tonight I will verify the pinout.

--
Glenn Mitchell.
http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm
"Larry Rothman" <y10104@email.mot.com> wrote in message
news:8nued0$j6i3@nntp.cig.mot.com...
> That's great. Thanks.
> I'll give this a try. What I can't figure out at this point is there are
no
> voltages present at the serial connector on the radio with the radio
> turned-on. I thought that I would be able to just scope out the proper
pins.
> I'm thinking the radio senses when it is connected to an rs232 port and
> 'turns-on' it's own serial interface. That's why you can't control the
radio
> from the keypad when it's hooked up to the computer.
> When I had the radio apart to do the blocked freq mod, I noticed the rts
and
> dtr designations on the pcboard. I'm going to take the radio apart again
and
> see if the other designations are there.
> Finally, I think the frequencies are stored in NVRAM as I had the radio
> disassembled for 1/2 hour and my freqs were still there when I reassembled
> the unit.
> Cheers,
> Larry

4264. Re: Help!Just got a euro Pro-26, any tips for scanning cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 01:09:41 UTC
From: ardyno@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821210941.08092.00001191@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 81536

Hi,

Javiation has them on sale including shipping for $250,

Ardyno

4265. Re: PRO 2067 Owners Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 02:19:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <drlo5.7868$QW4.111721@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81537

Hi Mike:

All I have programmed so far is Motorola Type II Trunked, and conventional frequencies, with PL tones. Never had to program any offsets...are they used with other types of systems?

Bill Crocker

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au> wrote in message news:39a1c9fb$0$27230$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> Hi,
>
> does the PRO2067 require a base & offset frequency to be programmmed (a la
> 245xlt) before it will trunk track??
>
> If not, what is the Trunk programming procedure?
>
> Thanks
> Mike
>
>
>
>

4266. Re: PRO 2067 Owners Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 02:34:17 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a1e7b7$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81538

Hi Bill,

the 245xlt requires a Base and Offset frequency be programmed into a trunk bank to enable tracking of Motorola Type 2 (SmartZone) systems. In Sydney Australia we have a 400mhz trunking system used by Government departments, but lately a problem has emerged which does not allow tracking of frequencies below the base frequency.

This is why we are interested to know if the PRO2067 requires a base and offset for 400mhz trunking systems, it might be the answer to the problem.

Thanks
Mike

4267. Re: BC860XLT MOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 02:42:13 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000821224213.13726.00001097@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 81539

In article <39A15FA3.6033C874@home.com>, js2know2 <js2know@home.com> writes:

>I have a BC 860xlt 100 channel. I want to mod it to a 200 channel can
>anyone tell me how to do it or where i can get the info to do it,many
>thanks Joe

Can't be done...at least not on a cost-effective basis.

You're probably confusing the 860 with it's earlier cousin, the 855XLT. This scanner could be quickly and easilly modified for expansion from 50 > 100 channels.

4268. 4 level QPSK Demodulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 02:51:04 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sUlo5.33875$9T1.260005@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81542

Hello,
If anyone has built thier own 4 level QPSK Demodulator please email me
rf_freak65@hotmail.com. I am building one and I have a couple of questions.
Thanks,
Bret

4269. Re: Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 02:57:58 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39A1EC5C.70D1BCE7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 81540

Found this post from egroups.com... Can't varify because I don't have the PC
version but maybe it will help..

Here is what I've found about the wavehawk cable. Please do not accept
it as truth until someone else verifies it. Reason being its been
a
long day.

If you look into the 8 pin din (mini8), pin number one is on the
lower
left and pin number eight is in the upper right. Sorta like this:

6 7 8
3 4 5
1 2

While the DB9 on the other end looks like this:
5 4 3 2 1
9 8 7 6

Put'um together and what do you get?
Din DB9 Description
---- ----- ---
1 2 Transmit from sony to computer
2 8 CTS?
3 7 RTS?
4 Not wired?
5 5 Signal ground (insulated wire, not shield ground)

6 6 DSR?
7 4 DTR?
8 3 Receive from computer
Shields connect only to each other.

Larry Rothman wrote:

> I just picked up a used Sony WaveHawk ICF-SC1PC but I need the serial cable.
> Sony can't get me one. Has anyone reversed engineered the Sony serial cable
> for this radio? (Is it in the service manual?) If I can get the wiring
> diagram of the cable, I can build one.
> I think the cable has a jumper in the mini-din8 connector that disables the
> keypad on the radio while it's plugged into the unit.
>
> Any help would be greatly appreaciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Larry

--
---->>>KEN<<<----

http://home.earthlink.net/~kencaz

4270. Re: PRO 2067 Owners Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 03:05:22 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <S5mo5.7889$QW4.113384@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81541

Mike:

I checked the PRO-2067 manual, and they do show how to set offsets for
Motorola UHF/Lo systems.

Bill Crocker

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au> wrote in message
news:39a1e7b7$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> Hi Bill,
>
> the 245xlt requires a Base and Offset frequency be programmed into a trunk
> bank to enable tracking of Motorola Type 2 (SmartZone) systems. In Sydney
> Australia we have a 400mhz trunking system used by Government departments,
> but lately a problem has emerged which does not allow tracking of
> frequencies below the base frequency.
>
> This is why we are interested to know if the PRO2067 requires a base and
> offset for 400mhz trunking systems, it might be the answer to the problem.
>
> Thanks
> Mike
>
>
>
>

4271. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 03:15:41 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39a1efcb.3696146@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 81543

On Tue, 01 Aug 2000 07:26:44 -0400, Sam <Sam@here.com> wrote:

i have the AR 1500 no gaps 1000 memory channals but the 1500
has the BFO so you get SSB COOL .....
listen to it every morning just before work...

Tom
>Actually there are quite a few AR1000s with a gap from 600 - 800 MHz. I know,
>
>I just got one.
>
>Dean wrote:
>
>> Except SSB!
>>
>> From another happy Fairmate HP2000 (AOR-1000) user.
>>
>> JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
>> news:3980B642.721D7AE3@analon.com...
>>
>> > the AOR-1000 does have NO GAPS 0.5mhz-1300mhz continous..... including
>> > broadcast AM, Shortwave, VHF, UHF, Broadcast FM, Television Audio,
>> Cordless
>> > Phones, cellular, Aircraft, military, ham/amateur, 900mhz.......
>> everything
>> > you would ever want to listen to is here!
>> >
>> > TheLost wrote:
>> >
>> > > I'm looking into buying one.....but I want to see if anyone on the
>> > > group here as one and likes it. Any + or - things about this scanner?
>> > > Also is it posable to mod this scanner so it has no gaps? My main
>> > > concert is to get a scanner that has no gaps for military aircraft and
>> > > operations.....cell would be cool too....but it's not a must. Thanks
>> > > for any info in advance.
>> > >
>> > > TheLost
>

4272. Re: BC860XLT MOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 03:25:16 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nsrqc$4pk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81544

I have a BC855XLT and got the mods from QRZ.COM. I have not been able to ID the diode D201. I found the module labeled for 800 freq but don't see anything that looks like the layout they referenced. Can you help?? The info for my scanner is model BC855XLT, Date of Manf 0AID, S/N 45013415. Is there a reference to the mod that describes it better or can you.

In article <20000821224213.13726.00001097@nso-cg.aol.com>, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:
> In article <39A15FA3.6033C874@home.com>, js2know2 <js2know@home.com> writes:
>
> >I have a BC 860xlt 100 channel. I want to mod it to a 200 channel can
> >anyone tell me how to do it or where i can get the info to do it,many
> >thanks Joe
>
> Can't be done...at least not on a cost-effective basis.
>
> You're probably confusing the 860 with it's earlier cousin, the 855XLT. This
> scanner could be quickly and easilly modified for expansion from 50 > 100
> channels.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4273. FS: Motorola MT1000 VHF 99 Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 04:11:38 UTC
From: "Radios@tabol.com" <radios@tabol.com>
Message-ID: <_3no5.51518$IX6.241324@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 81545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This unit is a Motorola MT1000 VHF (146-174 MHz split), 99 channel model with DTMF keypad. There is NO battery or charger. I'll will include a Motorola Leather carry case. I will include programming the first 20 channels. Additional channels can be programmed for an additional charge. $275 OBO.
Pictures available at: www.tabol.com/radio/mt1000.htm

Email me direct at radios@tabol.com with any questions or to purchase.

--

4274. Re: PRO 2067 Owners Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 04:53:08 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a20842$0$766$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81546

Bill,

when you say they are off sets, what sort do you mean? How many offsets per bank?

Thanks
Michael

4275. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:11:23 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <%Xno5.15873$Ur3.172196@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, I can still say it!

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote
> Uh-oh, bet you can't say that no more after that statement.

4276. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:13:14 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <KZno5.15879$Ur3.172706@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> Hye john,
> jhere's your demonstration.
> Care to explain how I am posting from
> IP: 24.177.75.77? huh John?

You aren't posting from the IP you claim above. You are posting from the IP address of
24.177.75.22. Below I have a copy of your headers from the message you posted.

Path:
news1.sttls1.wa.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.az.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <39A14FE2.D20DEAD3@home.com>
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more lies from N7ZZT
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2000 15:50:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.177.75.22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.az.home.com 966873054 24.177.75.22 (Mon, 21 Aug 2000 08:50:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2000 08:50:54 PDT
Organization: @Home Network
Xref: newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com rec.radio.scanner:30108287 alt.radio.scanner:30077188 rec.radio.amateur.misc:30089865 rec.radio.amateur.policy:30114857

4277. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:14:13 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <F_no5.15884$Ur3.172685@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So then WHY is it that YOU have NEVER posted on topic?

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> Mackerel, the topic here is RADIO.

4278. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:15:39 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <%%no5.15887$Ur3.172709@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81450

That's not true, you are a liar.

"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> You changed your name for the 100th time this year to avoid kill filters.

4279. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:19:02 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <a3oo5.15892$Ur3.172430@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard,
I didn't have any reason to "play it" because there was nothing to gain by doing so. Also, I don't care if you post your data or stay on the group, that is
your choice.

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> No, you COULDN'T play it otherwise you would have done so long ago.
SNIP
> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4280. Re: Wanted to buy: PRO-43 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:20:31 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <z4oo5.34079$9T1.261948@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've replaced a number of PRO-43 keypads for people. They are
only about $10 from Radio Shack (P/N 10789808 "KEYTOP").
You can order them at 1-800-843-7422 or visit your local Radio
Shack store. If you need someone to perform the work for you,
I offer this repair through my website.

For the minimal cost and effort involved (radio must be gutted), I
would replace it with a new keypad. Might be a good fix when
they are no longer available.

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Services.html

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rNho5.8100$km2.1622945@typhoon-news2.southeast.rr.com...
> I am currently expermenting with a type of conductive paint to repair my
> worn out keypad. So far it is working good.
> To replace the keypad you have to take the Pro43 ALL!! the way apart.
> Would like to know if any other Pro-43 owners have tried the paint route?

4281. Help w/Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 05:24:44 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39A20E9C.CB724030@pitt.edu>
Source record: 81452

I've obtained a Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler, the unit works well however
when there is no audio
there is an annoying hiss that comes from the speaker. I remember
awhile back there was a discussion regarding this problem. If I
remember the fix was a simple component change. Does anyone have this
fix or know where I can find it ?

Thanks in Advance --Dan-- KE3UC

4282. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:00:50 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <mGoo5.15977$Ur3.175766@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong, Glenn. I was only providing the proof of my statements. That is
something
YOU have NEVER been able to do!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> John also posted some volunteer binaries certificate recently. He is a
> liar and a fraud, always been a hypocrite.

4283. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:02:09 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <BHoo5.15986$Ur3.173706@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
years, let alone the 6 you claim.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> I have a life John, unlike you who spends most his time (6 years)
> posting offtopic nonsense, 45 today alone. As far as getting real
SNIP

4284. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:03:30 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <SIoo5.15996$Ur3.176044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Hey juj, be careful, if Richard turned quick you might break your nose.

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote
> I must congratulate you on your ability to describe
SNIP

4285. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:05:41 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <VKoo5.16012$Ur3.176147@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I was providing proof of a statement I made, as requested.

(that is something YOU would know nothing about!)

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> You accused Glenn of wasting bandwidth, then you admit you've done the
> same thing, and it's ok.
SNIP

4286. Discriminator on SC-180

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:23:23 UTC
From: cypherstream@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000822022323.21587.00000488@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 81458

Hi, I would like to add a Discriminator output on one of the new Uniden Sportcat 180's. If anyone knows of a site which has this mod, let me know. I had the unit apart, Just dont know where to tap the signal.

Thanks for your time.

4287. FS/Pro 2052 w/Winscan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:30:25 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000822023025.08603.00000275@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 81459

I have for sale the Pro 2052 1000 ch dual trunking scanner with Software for PC control

250.00 dollars shipped here in the USA

Prepayment of a US Postal MOney Order

4288. Re: Wanted to buy: PRO-43 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-22 06:33:10 UTC
From: Douglas Andrews <pvt_andrews@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G8po5.13545$d4.55569@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 81460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am curious where one might obtain this conductive paint. I am assuming a
hobby store or the like, but I would prefer to narrow down my options.

Thank you in advance,
D. Andrews
Robert <spamshield@on.com> wrote in message
news:z4oo5.34079$9T1.261948@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I've replaced a number of PRO-43 keypads for people. They are
> only about $10 from Radio Shack (P/N 10789808 "KEYTOP").
> You can order them at 1-800-843-7422 or visit your local Radio
> Shack store. If you need someone to perform the work for you,
> I offer this repair through my website.
>
> For the minimal cost and effort involved (radio must be gutted), I
> would replace it with a new keypad. Might be a good fix when
> they are no longer available.
>
> Robert
> http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Services.html
>
>
> Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:rNho5.8100$km2.1622945@typhoon-news2.southeast.rr.com...
> > I am currently expermenting with a type of conductive paint to repair my
> > worn out keypad. So far it is working good.
> > To replace the keypad you have to take the Pro43 ALL!! the way apart.
> > Would like to know if any other Pro-43 owners have tried the paint
route?
>
>
>

4289. FS: Kenwood R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: R-5000 <R-5000@circle-kay.com>
Message-ID: <wXKo5.17470$K5.96244@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 81379
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For sale to a good home. Like new Kenwood R-5000. One owner. Very low
mileage. Great condition.
This is the basic R-5000 package with all the standard filters and options.
With owners manual.
This is the same radio type that is used all over the world in embassies and
government facilities for world band monitoring of the shortwave bands. I am
selling this rig because I really have two of them and this was a spare to
be used in a future project that never worked out.
Original purchase price was about $1200.00 US. Asking $600.00 or best offer.
Contact: R-5000@circle-kay.com

--

The merely difficult can be done right away.
The impossible will take a little longer.

http://www.circle-kay.com

4290. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <R4Lo5.816$Hs.3705@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81380

"WT" <sssnakeman@home.com> wrote in message
news:wHGo5.17389$Ur3.196314@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Just remember, the knife is basically a pocket knife just like I'm sure
most
> of you carry. It is a very good lock-blade, but not a large knife, and not
a
> fixed blade knife.
>
> WT

Well, they may have loosened up a bit these days. All my commercial flying was done in the '70s and early '80s. In those days, a pair of fingernail clippers with a 3/4 inch blade for cleaning under your fingernails would not have been allowed through security. Then again, my trips were international flights to various parts of Europe, so that might explain the tougher rules. British Airways was the worst. I was taking a Panasonic boombox over to leave in the office at the job. The security guys took it into a separate room to be inspected and I had to wait for almost 30 minutes. When they came back out it was all sealed up in a plastic bag with that special inspection tape that shows if you try to remove it. I had to give it to a steward upon boarding the flight, and it was returned to me as I was deplaning at Heathrow. When the job ended I gave it to one of the locals rather than go though the hassles of bringing it back.

Mark K5MAR

4291. FS: Uniden BearTracker BCT 12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The VINMAN <the_vinman@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <cdMo5.26060$P4.158535@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 81381

Check it out at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=416299296

Thanks
Vince

4292. Re: Radio Shack Pro-71

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Captain Pugwash <captain___pugwash@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8o04jf$13a$3@supernews.com>
Source record: 81382

Oops! Sorry guys, meant to say Pro 71 - don't I feel silly! <g>

4293. FS: Icom R-2 $140 inc. ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <39a3a8d7_1@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 81383

FS: Icom R-2 wideband receiver. $140 includes shipping by priority mail. Excellant condition. Covers 485khz to 1300 mhz. Has 400 channels to store stations. All original accessories..charger, nicads, antenna. dlessard@telplus.net.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4294. Yaesu VR-500 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MODSQUAD <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uxPo5.15135$A4.52916@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 81384

Got another Vr-500 for sale brand new in box covers 100khz-1300mhz with no gaps.FM/WFM/AM/SSB 1000 Channel $300.00 Shipping included USA

4295. FS PRO-41 10 ch scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vernon Brdlik <vern-man@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39A3D093.935F5190@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81385

Realistic PRO-41 10 channel scanner with owners manual, AC adapter, and DC (car) adapter. Excel condition. Want $50. thanks

4296. "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000823093941.03350.00001464@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 81386

Regarding "Eric Oyen":

Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos on this newsgroup a few years back?

I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up on here every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared. Or am I thinking of someone else?

4297. Re: Modifiying cell band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o0ngmiss1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81387

Look at QRZ.COM

In article <20000822070535.25755.00000652@ng-fz1.aol.com>,
rblb83@aol.com (RBLB83) wrote:
> How do I restore the cell band in my scanners? Is there a good web
site for
> this?
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4298. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o0nnfiva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81388

Does anyone have any scanner frequencies that I can listen to to keep
track on the hurricane??

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4299. Pro2045 And BC Sportcat scanners SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o0o4ujli1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81389

SOLD Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4300. Re: F.S. PRO 2045 Base With Ctcss Tone SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o0ob7joc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81390

In article <8ns2ke$7t9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD
> Pro2045 base scanner with ctcss tone board,It has these features plus
> many more,Weather alert warning,hyperscan,auto sort,auto store,frequ.
> transfer,limit search,direct search,counter feature,search skip,data
> skip,attenuator,cell. image frequ.This is a 200 channel and is like
> brand new,and comes with manual,antenna,ac adater,and an adapter for
> your car.Sorry no box.$145.00 shipped to your door.email me at
> wilfkelly@home.com
> Scanner is SOLD
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> Sold

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4301. F.S.Pro2045 scanner SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o0po5ljc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81391

This scanner has been sold Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4302. Re: Need: Sony ICF-SC1PC Serial cable pinouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Rothman <y10104@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <8o0pj6$jva8@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 81392

Great! I'll have to try that an see what happens at with the voltages at the connector.

Thanks,
Larry

Glenn Mitchell wrote in message ...
>When the PC sets DTR high the scanner "wakes up" and displays the "PC - cnt"
>symbol.
>If I have a chance tonight I will verify the pinout.
>
>--
>Glenn Mitchell.
>http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm
>"Larry Rothman" <y10104@email.mot.com> wrote in message
>news:8nued0$j6i3@nntp.cig.mot.com...
>> That's great. Thanks.
>> I'll give this a try. What I can't figure out at this point is there are
>no
>> voltages present at the serial connector on the radio with the radio
>> turned-on. I thought that I would be able to just scope out the proper
>pins.
>> I'm thinking the radio senses when it is connected to an rs232 port and
>> 'turns-on' it's own serial interface. That's why you can't control the
>radio
>> from the keypad when it's hooked up to the computer.
>> When I had the radio apart to do the blocked freq mod, I noticed the rts
>and
>> dtr designations on the pcboard. I'm going to take the radio apart again
>and
>> see if the other designations are there.
>> Finally, I think the frequencies are stored in NVRAM as I had the radio
>> disassembled for 1/2 hour and my freqs were still there when I reassembled
>> the unit.
>> Cheers,
>> Larry
>
>
>

4303. RF Radiation BioHazard article on NewsStand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: all 74 numbers <cbowers@aol.com>
Message-ID: <MXSo5.125$D4.7129@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the latest Scientific American
Magazine. There's a great article about
the potential hazards (cancer risks) to
human tissues.

On your newstand now!

4304. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A405D5.13BCAEBB@yua.com>
Source record: 81394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It would take more than this to sum up the bald freak of
mackey. the gap between front teeth looser with no friends.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> gidnrug@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <Ok3n5.7374$Ur3.67133@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> > "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> > > Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.
> >
> > soï¿½ciiï¿½oï¿½path: (sO'sE-u-path)
> > ï¿½n. a person, as a psychopathic personality, whose behavior is
> > antisocial and who lacks a sense of moral responsibility or social
> > conscience.
> >
>
> This really sums John up.

4305. Info WinRadio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arthur Woodcock <Arthur.Woodcock@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <8o10semco2@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 81395

Hi,

I am considering the purchaser of a Winradio 1500e. If anyone has experience
of this I would be interested to have there opinion. Good Bad Indifferent.
--
73s

Arthur

gm0alz

4306. Re: How do I fix display scatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0JTo5.172$Y5.1816@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I gave out the advise awhile back about toothpaste. I think your answer is
a much better one.

Better take Mike's advice.

"Mike "reverse the letters" S." <retsuhcs@xinap.moc> wrote in message
news:8nubki$9t1$1@news.panix.com...
> In article <20000821103951.28780.00000690@ng-bg1.aol.com>,
> HalfLife9rb <halflife9rb@aol.com> wrote:
> >I heerd some thing about toothpase?
>
>
> Get some Novus, Meguars, or Blue Magic plastic polish. They all are marked
> as being able to polish out fine scratches.
>
> Failing that, the "fine cut" paint polishes sold in auto stores, for
> removing swirl marks and fine scratches on clear coat finishes will be a
> good substitute.
>
> Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like
> aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz,
> Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.
>
> Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse
> abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but
> leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder
> and more coarse abrasive particles.
>
>

4307. Re: Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-46DA4D.12180123082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 81397

I didn't catch the first part of the posts on the subject, but as an owner of 4 of these radios and a veteran of several mounting scemes.....

On my old Chevy Celebrity of 10 years ago or so I had a 2005 mounted under the dash. I used 2 small angle brackets that I screwed into the dash with sheet metal screws. I drilled 2 small holes in each side of the radio matching the holes on the brackets (Being careful of overbiting the drill...) and 2 very short sheet metal screws holding the radio on each side.

The radio fit like a glove, it is the exact perfect size to fit between the glovebox and ashtray. The angle was perfect for viewing the display at a glance.

Since the Celebrity was front wheel drive the hump was only an inch or 2. On this rise I mounted my other radios of the time (a TAD M8 and an M8U, as well as a BC760, and before the TAD's was a couple of Micors).

The next car was a Ford AeroStar. I mounted the 2005 to the center console with similar methods, but this time fron the bottom part.

My next car was a Ford Explorer (never had any problems with the tires...) I removed the center console assembly but retained the die cast bracket underneath the console. I then fashioned a bracket assemby to hold the 2005 and other radios.

After a year or so I replaced that contraption with a professionally installed console system holding a couple Spectras, the 760 and the 2006 that replaced the 2005. This time the 2006 was velcro mounted to a flat surface that had ben intended for a MDT for this particular consol. I removed the fold-up feet of the 2006 and shaved the bottom flat. I used wide velcro, and it has remained there for several years. The same console is now in my Windstar.

If you intend to use brackets, I sugest getting some very short sheet metal screws. I would also buy a replacement case for the radio and hold onto it. A couple of years from now you may reallocate your radios around and want to put the 2006 on a desk. Removing the old case with holes in it and replacing it with a new virgin case will make the asthetics work better. The small holes drilled into the metal frame will not hinder operation of the scanner as long as you are carefule. Remove the case before drilling and be sure your drill is going to go into some metal and not into a heat sunk transistor (I speaketh from experience.)

Photos can be seen at http://www.n9jig.com/office/office.html

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4308. Shinwa scanner, manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max <vitiper@libero.it>
Message-ID: <gq38qsgp08p4v9n26t1fvr2sil1hjqn14c@4ax.com>
Source record: 81398

Hello, i have bought a Shinwa scanner but the manual is missing and i
have no idea how to operate it, i tought it was easy to find a copy
but after 3 months i realized that it is not, can you help me?
I WILL PAY THE EXPENSES for a paper copy or scan,
please!
Max

4309. Re: Help w/Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39A41BDE.4B945C1E@pitt.edu>
Source record: 81399

Steven Bradley wrote:
>
> Can you enlighten me as to what the differences are between the SS-70 and
> SS-70A? I have the earlier version (which worked well for the three
> police departments I used it to monitor, of which one is now on an Astro
> 800 system, the other is a type I/II 800 system and the third no longer
> uses it).

I'm honestly not sure what the difference between to 70 & 70A are. The
features of the 70 sound the same as the "A"
>
> The most recent application was to decode the "scrambled" cordless phones
> on the 25 channel 46-49 mhz band, works well for that purpose. What
> applications does yours have?

Yes the 70A works well in this arena, however I find monitoring phone
conversations both cordless and cellular to be rather boring. My
employer has installed voice inversion on their comm system so it's
intended use is to be dedicated to monitor that. I could use my portable
that's issued to me for this but this would create some problems such as
leaving it on 24/7 then when I need it, it wouldn't fully charged etc.
>
> My SS-70 has a power on or off (off is set as bypass) and a volume
> control. It is RX in and out as well as TX in and out. As I recall, the
> RX is amplified and the TX is not, not sure though as it has been quite a
> while since I last used it. So what is new with the SS-70A.

The 70A has a seperate bypass switch, this maybe the difference between
the two.
>
> I am surprised the feds have not shutdown that project of Ramsey's because
> it can be used to "violate" the law by allowing things to be
> decoded/descrambled. Oh, that's right, it's not digital. I guess that
> makes some difference. If so, why the big deal about analog cellular
> phones?

The FEDS did shut this down. I beleive it was two years ago one of the
three letter agencies raided Ramsey and confiscated alot of their kits.
If memory serves me correctly micro transmitters that could be used as
bugs and the descramblers were the items they were mostly concerned
with.

What I don't understand is there is another company here on the net
pushing descramblers without any hint of federal intervention. In fact
if I can not find the fix for this annoying hiss on the 70A I will be
buying one of these units for my application. The reviews that I read

have been very good. The Ramsey was more in line with my budget
however.

Thanks for your input --Dan--
> That's my nickels worth...
> Steven
>
> "D. Ruhe" wrote:
>
> > I've obtained a Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler, the unit works well however
> > when there is no audio
> > there is an annoying hiss that comes from the speaker. I remember
> > awhile back there was a discussion regarding this problem. If I
> > remember the fix was a simple component change. Does anyone have this
> > fix or know where I can find it ?
> >
> > Thanks in Advance --Dan-- KE3UC
>
> --
> Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
> steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
> CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
> URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325
>
> "And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all
> creation". Mark 16:15

4310. Re: The Blind One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: frnkburns@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000823151704.04229.00002696@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81400

>Subject: Re: The Blind One
>From: Eric Oyen n7zzt@home.com
>Date: 8/22/00 10:27 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <39A3534C.E10088BC@home.com>

Settle down, Eric!! Keep whining and I'll sick "CBRAMBO" on you.

>Gee?
>whats the matter? you miss me or something.
>why don't you crawl right back under that rock?
>
>
>frg9600 wrote:
>
>> Sure was nice when you were away.

4311. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <8o18mo$r39$1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 81401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:

>It is a fact,they can also listen just to the base stations,this
>scanner was bought in Asia.

Do you have any reliable reference at all to this or
is it just someone you know who knows someone who
has met someone who once saw such a scanner ?

Sorry but I have just seen too many of these claims,
to believe them right away.

Regards Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4312. Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MS <DELETEmarksandefur@iname.com>
Message-ID: <4kWo5.38$_H3.10942@wdc-read-01.qwest.net>
Source record: 81402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Repost:
Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes place. Any info appreciated...

4313. wtb: pro 2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A43632.E8BE6CE@home.com>
Source record: 81403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking to purchase a pro 2042 scanner in good clean shape in perfect working condition. Must have original antenna. Please email if you have one to sell with price-description.

4314. Cerco Radio scanner usato

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: captaincrunch <davy.r@technet.it>
Message-ID: <8o1dd4$g1o$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 81404

Cerco radioscanner usato (in italia only) per incominciare a non farmi i cazzi miei :) scherzo :) cmq contattemi in e-mail a davy.r@technet.it se c'è qualche italiano in questo news!!
p.s. chi ha radioscanner troppo costosi non si presenti nemmeno e poi lo vorrei che funzionasse :) su tutte le frequenze
ciao
davide davy.r@technet.it
http://davider.tsx.org

4315. Re: I'm so sick of Gleen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brian k. Thomas" <thomasfam@nospam.techheadnet.com>
Message-ID: <39a5c295@techhead8.techheadnet>
Source record: 81405

What new group?

--

BK wrote in message <399E2126.56400FCB@home.com>...
>I am sick of all your crap that you litter our newgroup with. Get a
>freakin life!
>
>Smacksie wrote:
>>
>> John Mackie is KEWL
>> Glenn Hansen SUXS

4316. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8o23ka$12n$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am not real familiar with trunking. Since none of my scanners have that capablity. However, I suggest you go to:

http://www.strongsignals.com

They have message boards just for trunking in addition to lots of scanner news and reviews and news. I feel it is the best scanner web site available.

Jim K.

MS <DELETEmarksandefur@iname.com> wrote in message news:4kWo5.38$_H3.10942@wdc-read-01.qwest.net...
> Repost:
> Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
> confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
> place. Any info appreciated...
>
>
>
>

4317. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8o23v7$ae0$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oops I screwed up. The web site is:

http://strongsignals.net

Jim K.

MS <DELETEmarksandefur@iname.com> wrote in message
news:4kWo5.38$_H3.10942@wdc-read-01.qwest.net...
> Repost:
> Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
> confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
> place. Any info appreciated...
>
>
>
>

4318. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <Zn0p5.643$_X.935@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81408

Since I do not know all the ins and outs of PSP, OSP, CTSP, MASSSP, NYSP, and all the rest out East I will always put in the surrounding county freq's. I am fully aware that cops from other states have their own operating standards. Please folks I am not trying to be a "know-it-all" about I-80 or any other highway. I travel a lot and I was just recommending some common sense scanning tips. In some states maybe the local cops do not have any "stopping powers", unless an emergency takes place, but while some one is tooling along at 100mph, or is driving a stolen car, some cop, or Joe citizen, sees this and calls it in. It would be nice to have the freq in activation to catch the action. Maybe the local cops are chasing the bad guys and they jump on I-80. Is the cops going to stop and wait for the state cop to show up? Don't think so. In some states the ILEEN freq's are used, 155.4750 and 155.4450, for interagency communication. In closing enjoy the hobby and be careful when entering Ohio on any boarder. Last year I logged over 5,800 miles going back and fourth to Mass from Indiana. Ohio was the most "state police active" on the highways. They are at the "Welcome to Ohio" sign and about 10 miles before "Leaving Ohio" signs. Penn was some what active. Saw more cops on side trips then on the highways. Happy Scanning!

"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:39a33be6.22167719@news.penn.com...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 21:23:58 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Hi Tim. Could you elaborate a little about the I-80 in P?
>
> PSP has juridiction on I-80
>
> >When I travel some of those routes and see sheriffs cars
>
> Cruising or transporting a prisoner. A Sheriff can not pull you over
> legally. A court ruled they aren't as well trained as reguler cops.
> Sheriffs only deliver paper around here. At least in my county.
>
> >city police cars,
>
> Traveling from point A to B.
>
> >I would not take a bet that they cannot stop and "assist" in an traffic
stop
> >or other police matters.
>
> Very rare around where I live. The only time I knew of a town cop

> going to an Interstate was for a 10-45 when there wasn't any PSP
> around. And that may have been on the Exit. Anyway, nobody other than
> PSP enforces moving violations on the Interstate. If you're speeding,
> then I wouldn't worry about anybody other than PSP.
>
>
>
>
>

4319. Re: Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Malcolm Austin <Malcolm@g3rem.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8o44g1$9r6$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 81409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, you had better tell all those Italians then with 750 watts of AM !!

Malc..

Mr. Mister <kld68@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8o3vsreku1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Silly boy, amps aren't allowed on the CB bands.
>
> In article <8Pep5.16481$%O6.956807@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "booujie" <nobooujiespam@nohotmailspam.com> wrote:
> > Its a small blue alloy amp with leds across the front of it. And
> toggle
> > switches. Its approximately the size of a pack of Cigarettes. its used
> on 27
> > mhz only . I'm looking for one if anyone has or knows of one. Thanks
> >
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4320. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 01:29:28 UTC
From: scoa42500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000822212928.16111.00000961@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 81366

Okay foks all I can ask is what does all this of topic crap have to do with scanning. Ignore the loser and get on with it. Jeeez. No I do not support Mackey (looser!!) But I joined this NG think I may learn something about scanning and scanner monitoring. Guess that will never happen because you all are to busy to give advice about scanning and that includes Mackey.

Thanks
John Jensen KB9KQN

4321. Re: Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 02:19:22 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <KwGo5.4282$a5.42572@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 81367

That's a rather brave experiment!

Considering the resale (and sentimental) value of the 2006, why not consider buying another radio that would better fit the bill, perhaps the 780xlt or 2067? Both are more oriented towards the mobile user in mind, and have similar coverage with the added bonus of trunking abilities.

But, if you must.. (yikes, I can't bear the thought!)

Good luck!

4322. Re: Scanner on Comm. Jet - Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 02:30:52 UTC
From: WT <sssnakeman@home.com>
Message-ID: <wHGo5.17389$Ur3.196314@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81368

Just remember, the knife is basically a pocket knife just like I'm sure most
of you carry. It is a very good lock-blade, but not a large knife, and not a
fixed blade knife.

WT

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:asVm5.46$uX.700@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> HW,
> What we are all wondering is how the airline(s) felt about your using the
> scanner onboard? Did you do any checking, like with the ticket agent,
> steward(es), flight crew, or the inflight magazine?
>
> BTW, the part about the knife does disturb me. And what were you going to
> do with it if security hadn't let you by with it.
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Hotwater67" <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:spRm5.61273$RG6.3195477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hi Again,
> >
> > Last weekend I took the trip I wrote about previously and went through
> > security with my scanner, extra battery pack, and 2 antennas plus cell
> > phone and recharger units for both all in my carry-on bag and zipped
right
> > through security at two airports without question. Didn't have to take
> them
> > out or turn them on. Nothing. And the Benchmade AFCK (folding knife) I
> was
> > carrying didn't even raise an eyebrow.
> >
> > Bag full of electronics and a knife -- not a word. They get an "F".
> >
> > -- HW
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4323. Re: Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 02:35:48 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a33712.109075502@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81369

On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 18:27:43 -0500, chow@aol.com (Chow) wrote:

>I am installing a rack in my 2000 Dakota for my radios, but the 2006 is
>wider than my Motorola MacTrak and can't fit it in the rack with the other
>radios. The face plate looks like it will come off the scanner easily,
>just have to lengthen several wires. I just got thru looking at all the
>web mod sites I could find and nothing on mounting the face away from the
>unit.
>
>Anyone had any experience doing this? Just from looking it will be a royal
>pain if I can't find any "extensions" for the 2 groups of wires going to
>the keyboard and display.
>
>Any help/advice/instructions will be verry appreciated!

One of Bill Cheek's "Scanner Modification" books has an article about
adding remote control to the Pro-2004/5/6.

You do not want to simply lengthen the wires to the front panel. These do
not simply contain audio switched DC control voltages. Many of them carry
fairly high frequencies and the scanner isn't going to be happy if you
just make them longer. The longer wires will pick up more RF noise, too,
both from each other and from the surroundings (which can be pretty harsh
in a car).

The most reliable way to "remote control" the Pro-2004/5/6 is to put CMOS
analog switches across the keypad crosspoints. Of course this doesn't get
you a remote display...

--- jeh

4324. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 02:57:42 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a33be6.22167719@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81370

On Tue, 22 Aug 2000 21:23:58 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
wrote:

>Hi Tim. Could you elaborate a little about the I-80 in P?

PSP has juridiction on I-80

>When I travel some of those routes and see sheriffs cars

Cruising or transporting a prisoner. A Sheriff can not pull you over
legally. A court ruled they aren't as well trained as reguler cops.
Sheriffs only deliver paper around here. At least in my county.

>city police cars,

Traveling from point A to B.

>I would not take a bet that they cannot stop and "assist" in an traffic stop
>or other police matters.

Very rare around where I live. The only time I knew of a town cop
going to an Interstate was for a 10-45 when there wasn't any PSP
around. And that may have been on the Exit. Anyway, nobody other than
PSP enforces moving violations on the Interstate. If you're speeding,
then I wouldn't worry about anybody other than PSP.

4325. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 03:29:09 UTC
From: bobball@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8nvgdh$7cd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81371

In article <20000822212928.16111.00000961@ng-cc1.aol.com>,
scoa42500@aol.com (John Jensen KB9KQN) wrote:
> Okay foks all I can ask is what does all this of topic crap have to
do with
> scanning. Ignore the loser and get on with it. Jeeez. No I do not
support
> Mackey (looser!!) But I joined this NG think I may learn something
about
> scanning and scanner monitoring. Guess that will never happen
because you all
> are to busy to give advice about scanning and that includes Mackey.
>
> Thanks
> John Jensen KB9KQN
>
Folks...do us all a favor, and check where you're posting...this whole
argument (again) is being crossposted to misc.emerg-services. We have
enough problem children of our own! <g>

Bob Ball, EMT-P
Minneapolis

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4326. Re: Mackey (looser!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 04:03:09 UTC
From: scoa42500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000823000309.11909.00000243@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 81372

I am not trying to cause trouble Rick. Just can't understand why everybody can not drop it and get on with the topic at hand scanning. Well my last post to this useless NG. Thanks for nothing folks.

Thanks
John Jensen KB9KQN

4327. Re: The Blind One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 04:27:28 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A3534C.E10088BC@home.com>
Source record: 81373

Gee?
whats the matter? you miss me or something.
why don't you crawl right back under that rock?

frg9600 wrote:

> Sure was nice when you were away.

4328. Re: AZ Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 04:49:06 UTC
From: slogan@northlink.com
Message-ID: <slogan-2208002149290001@pm1-27.northlink.com>
Source record: 81374

In article <6QDo5.251$tM2.30813@news.goodnet.com>, "AZ_Ghost"
<rotor96@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Does anybody have the new truck freqs that the Sheriff's Office is using in
>MAricopa County?
>
>PS: and ID groups
>
>Thanks!

They've gone digital.

--
Stuart Logan N7QYJ
slogan@northlink.com

92 Subaru Legacy Sedan
98 Subaru Legacy GT Wagon

4329. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 05:10:47 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nvmcniim0@63.162.246.176>
Source record: 81375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

gidnrug@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <Ok3n5.7374$Ur3.67133@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
> > Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.
>
> soï¿½ciiï¿½oiï¿½path: (sO'sE-u-path)
> ï¿½n. a person, as a psychopathic personality, whose behavior is
> antisocial and who lacks a sense of moral responsibility or social
> conscience.
>

This really sums John up.

4330. Re: Kolari: for Corky Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 05:15:26 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8nvmle$j5h$0@63.162.246.176>
Source record: 81376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> You did lose because of the votes from the Pourtsmoth,Va club.
> Another wise club voted for a Brother PARG ham.
>
Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
another PARG club.

John has won scads of votes of no-confidence, including
from the P.A.R.G. in Missouri. No one likes John!

4331. Re: Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 06:23:50 UTC
From: chow@aol.com
Message-ID: <chow-2308000123500001@okcnasb-582.ionet.net>
Source record: 81377

> One of Bill Cheek's "Scanner Modification" books has an article about
> adding remote control to the Pro-2004/5/6.
>
> You do not want to simply lengthen the wires to the front panel. These do
> not simply contain audio switched DC control voltages. Many of them carry
> fairly high frequencies and the scanner isn't going to be happy if you
> just make them longer. The longer wires will pick up more RF noise, too,
> both from each other and from the surroundings (which can be pretty harsh
> in a car).
>
> The most reliable way to "remote control" the Pro-2004/5/6 is to put CMOS
> analog switches across the keypad crosspoints. Of course this doesn't get
> you a remote display...

and of course that's really what I need most...

Thanks, guess I'll have to come up with something else

4332. Re: Any advice on mobile mounting Pro-2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-23 06:26:29 UTC
From: chow@aol.com
Message-ID: <chow-2308000126290001@okcnasb-582.ionet.net>
Source record: 81378

In article <KwGo5.4282$a5.42572@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>, "John"
<dc2daylight@home.com> wrote:

> That's a rather brave experiment!
>
> Considering the resale (and sentimental) value of the 2006, why not consider
> buying another radio that would better fit the bill, perhaps the 780xlt or
> 2067? Both are more oriented towards the mobile user in mind, and have
> similar coverage with the added bonus of trunking abilities.
>
> But, if you must.. (yikes, I can't bear the thought!)

OK, I won't abuse it ;-) And don't have much of anything trunked here in
central OK, so I'll wait for somebody else to go trunked and get the
latest and greatest trunk tracker then....

thanks

4333. WTB: Grove SP-200 or Alpha Delta VRC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824121815.03336.00000257@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 81297

Looking to buy a used Grove SP-200 Sound Enhancer or a Alpha Delta Variable Rsponse Console. Email me with your price & condition.

Thanks.....

Jim Peters
jimdpeters@aol.com
Portland, Oregon
503-618-8784

4334. JASON WEBB , JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: RG <prieye@NOJUNKmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <qkcp5.44427$_s1.545237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 81298

Jason, where are you ? Get in touch with your Naples friends, Gerry or Richard. RG

4335. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824125011.28787.00000117@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 81299

Thanks for the Frequencies Tim. I saw Hardly any PSP cars. The freqs were somewhat active. I hope soon they move to a repeater so we can hear both sides. Again thanks for the info.

Mike

4336. Re: 900Mhz/Digital/Spread Spectrum----possible to "crack" (listen to)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <l6maqss9b59pqmro8n5q28hmcfqbv5oci3@4ax.com>
Source record: 81300

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 05:25:26 GMT, "Stewart Clyde"
<iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote:

>i hear about all these new technologies--is there a decryption out that can
>listen to these new phones?

Yeah. Get another phone just like it, recharge the handset, then
disconnect and power down the base.

Now let the handset drift and attempt to acquire a base. It won't be
your base. It will be somebody else's.

Chances are that your phone won't be as strong as the local phone, so
although you'll attempt synchronization with the remote base, you're
not likely to get in. However, you may easily hear the conversation
taking place...

A friend of mine tried this. He says it works. I can't think of any
good reason why it wouldn't.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4337. Re: Help w/Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <3zdp5.3325$Z4.99713@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 81301

It's true ramsey doesn't sell half the kits they used to anymore, sucks...
What's the difference between the ramsey kit descrambler and other kits?
All the other descrablers I've seen have to adjustable knobs for the
freuency, however the ramsey kit has to adjustments. Does this mean the
ramsey kit adjusts automatically or it's preset to a certain set of
freuencies?

"D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
news:39A41BDE.4B945C1E@pitt.edu...
>
>
> Steven Bradley wrote:
> >
> > Can you enlighten me as to what the differences are between the SS-70
and
> > SS-70A? I have the earlier version (which worked well for the three
> > police departments I used it to monitor, of which one is now on an Astro
> > 800 system, the other is a type I/II 800 system and the third no longer
> > uses it).
>
> I'm honestly not sure what the difference between to 70 & 70A are. The
> features of the 70 sound the same as the "A"
> >
> > The most recent application was to decode the "scrambled" cordless
phones
> > on the 25 channel 46-49 mhz band, works well for that purpose. What
> > applications does yours have?
>
> Yes the 70A works well in this arena, however I find monitoring phone
> conversations both cordless and cellular to be rather boring. My
> employer has installed voice inversion on their comm system so it's
> intended use is to be dedicated to monitor that. I could use my portable
> that's issued to me for this but this would create some problems such as
> leaving it on 24/7 then when I need it, it wouldn't fully charged etc.
> >
> > My SS-70 has a power on or off (off is set as bypass) and a volume
> > control. It is RX in and out as well as TX in and out. As I recall,
the
> > RX is amplified and the TX is not, not sure though as it has been quite
a
> > while since I last used it. So what is new with the SS-70A.
>
> The 70A has a seperate bypass switch, this maybe the difference between
> the two.

> >
> > I am surprised the feds have not shutdown that project of Ramsey's
because
> > it can be used to "violate" the law by allowing things to be
> > decoded/descrambled. Oh, that's right, it's not digital. I guess that
> > makes some difference. If so, why the big deal about analog cellular
> > phones?
>
> The FEDS did shut this down. I beleive it was two years ago one of the
> three letter agencies raided Ramsey and confiscated alot of their kits.
> If memory serves me correctly micro transmitters that could be used as
> bugs and the descramblers were the items they were mostly concerned
> with.
>
> What I don't understand is there is another company here on the net
> pushing descramblers without any hint of federal intervention. In fact
> if I can not find the fix for this annoying hiss on the 70A I will be
> buying one of these units for my application. The reviews that I read
> have been very good. The Ramsey was more in line with my budget
> however.
>
> Thanks for your input --Dan--
> >
> > That's my nickels worth...
> > Steven
> >
> > "D. Ruhe" wrote:
> >
> > > I've obtained a Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler, the unit works well however
> > > when there is no audio
> > > there is an annoying hiss that comes from the speaker. I remember
> > > awhile back there was a discussion regarding this problem. If I
> > > remember the fix was a simple component change. Does anyone have this
> > > fix or know where I can find it ?
> > >
> > > Thanks in Advance --Dan-- KE3UC
> >
> > --
> > Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
> > steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
> > CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
> > URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325
> >
> > "And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to
all
> > creation". Mark 16:15

4338. Re: 900Mhz/Digital/Spread Spectrum----possible to "crack" (listen to)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <FCdp5.3329$Z4.100053@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 81302

Also keep in mind that not all 900mhz phones use the same frequencies and
might not share the same spread spectrum technology.

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:l6maqss9b59pqmro8n5q28hmcfqbv5oci3@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 05:25:26 GMT, "Stewart Clyde"
> <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>
> >i hear about all these new technologies--is there a decryption out that
can
> >listen to these new phones?
>
> Yeah. Get another phone just like it, recharge the handset, then
> disconnect and power down the base.
>
> Now let the handset drift and attempt to acquire a base. It won't be
> your base. It will be somebody else's.
>
> Chances are that your phone won't be as strong as the local phone, so
> although you'll attempt synchronization with the remote base, you're
> not likely to get in. However, you may easily hear the conversation
> taking place...
>
> A friend of mine tried this. He says it works. I can't think of any
> good reason why it wouldn't.
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

4339. Re: the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8o3qdl$9khgv$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81303

> does anyone have any updates on this scanner, I hear November

Yup, but probably not before Christmas since they keep pushing it back!

Roland

4340. Re: Modifiying cell band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Sparro <kontos@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8o3tku$bn5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81304

In article <20000822070535.25755.00000652@ng-fz1.aol.com>,
rblb83@aol.com (RBLB83) wrote:
> How do I restore the cell band in my scanners? Is there a good web
site for
> this?
>
Chances are you can't. (How would you resotre something that was never
there?) Of course it helps to actually have some information before we
try to answer that.

--
Dave
http://www.grafix1.com/~kontos/webcam.html

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4341. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: helvetica@nonsuch.net
Message-ID: <39a57244.36752753@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 81305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 14:52:09 GMT, "Castiron Transmission repairperson"
<friskeys@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"John Bowers" <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:8zqqhf$wZB4$B@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> The FCC has recently threatened to revoke the license of broadcasters if
>> they air any more death threats, humorous or otherwise, of the type put on
>> the Late Late Show recently, or of the type aired by Howard Stern.
>
>Why not instead publicize the militia-screwballs
>what broadcast daily diatribes of hate-speech
>and edge-on dumb people to commit illegal acts
>on a daily basis, on stations like WGTG and WWCR ?
>
>What about the "broadcasters" what recently spewed garbage
>about Art Bell on WWCR ? I hope Bell cleans every freakin
>red cent from WWCR's bank account and that station get's it's
>festering microphone silenced forever by Federal Marshals.
>
>Howard Stern is a much more responsible broadcaster
>who has more integrity, intellegence and broadcast
>accuracy in his left finger, than all the domestic
>"SHITwave Broadcast" stations can ever hope for in a Month of Sundays.

What a wonderful country you live in!!!

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

4342. Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: booujie <booujie@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Pep5.16481$%O6.956807@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Its a small blue alloy amp with leds across the front of it. And toggle switches. Its approximately the size of a pack of Cigarettes. its used on 27 mhz only . I'm looking for one if anyone has or knows of one. Thanks

4343. Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: StickyRiceBalls <nospam@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <1Yep5.21$uo4.34208@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 81307

I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are not permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously) didn't know that. I do want trunking included.

What scanner (handheld or base) can you get with *all* the goodies (cell) that includes trunking?

Is there such a thing?

Thanks,

SR

4344. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <39A579E5.CB5030F3@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 81308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It is for sale in Sweden,the name of the scanner is UBIK 2000.

"Per H. Nielsen" wrote:

> LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:
>
> >It is a fact,they can also listen just to the base stations,this
> >scanner was bought in Asia.
>
> Do you have any reliable reference at all to this or
> is it just someone you know who knows someone who
> has met someone who once saw such a scanner ?
>
> Sorry but I have just seen too many of these claims,
> to believe them right away.
>
> Regards Per
>
> --
> Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
> My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
> To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4345. Re: Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Mister" <kld68@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8o3vsreku1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Silly boy, amps aren't allowed on the CB bands.

In article <8Pep5.16481$%O6.956807@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"booujie" <nobooujiespam@nohotmailspam.com> wrote:
> Its a small blue alloy amp with leds across the front of it. And
toggle
> switches. Its approximately the size of a pack of Cigarettes. its used
on 27
> mhz only . I'm looking for one if anyone has or knows of one. Thanks
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4346. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8o3vj6avb1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes it is.
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page http://www.cw.arjika.com

"Jimmy" <helvetica@nonsuch.net> wrote in message
news:39a57244.36752753@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk...
.
>
> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>
> --
> Jim Dunnett
>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net
> g4rga at thersgb.net

4347. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Mackey lips - mackey proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A58A89.B4E17E83@yua.com>
Source record: 81311

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> Unfortunately, Jason doesn't seem to know better.
>
> "qï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½vï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> > >
> > >"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> > >> You changed your name for the 100th time this year to avoid kill
> filters.
> > >
> > > Oh that is so witty, lamer.

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> Unfortunately, Jason doesn't seem to know better.
>
> "qï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½vï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> > >
> > >"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> > >> You changed your name for the 100th time this year to avoid kill
> filters.
> > >
> > > Oh that is so witty, lamer.

4348. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <8o42op$rq2$1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 81312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:

>It is for sale in Sweden,the name of the scanner is UBIK 2000.

Never heard about it and I happen to know a great deal about
the Scandinavian scanner market.

Are you sure about the name. A search on the WEB gives absolutely
nothing.

Du you have any dealer reference?

Regards
Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4349. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leen <vlietlv@casema.net>
Message-ID: <39A58EFD.E450BDBD@casema.net>
Source record: 81313

Strange, I live in the Netherlands and bought my scanner, the bc245xlt in a normal shop, no problem at all in the Netherlands.
StickyRiceBalls schreef:
Leen

> I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are not
> permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously)
> didn't know that. I do want trunking included.
>
> What scanner (handheld or base) can you get with *all* the goodies (cell)
> that includes trunking?
>
> Is there such a thing?
>
> Thanks,
>
> SR

4350. Re: the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Uqgp5.12179$QW4.155649@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81314

I gave up on it, and bought a PRO-2067.

Bill Crocker

"Thpdisp" <thpdisp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000824092344.19676.00001011@ng-fh1.aol.com...
> does anyone have any updates on this scanner, i hear novemeber
> thanks
> bill
> tenn highway patrol

4351. Want Pro-51 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tacoman97@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824173813.03624.00000118@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 81315

E-mail me at Tacoman97@aol.com

4352. Introduction to Speech inversion descrambling/scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824175939.02125.00000006@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 81316

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

CTP speech inversion descramblers
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

4353. Cell capable Trunk Tracker / Was - Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: StickyRiceBalls <nospam@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <81hp5.602$uo4.49365@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 81317

Hmmm, well I don't know that much about it - maybe it's just where Javiation
is located? What was the name of the place in the Netherlands?

Overseas shipping rates wouldn't be a deterrent. Anyone have an idea of
where I
could be up a cell capable Trunk Tracker? Ideally, I would like the full
coverage versions of the BC245 or the BC895 but will consider any others...

You know, actually - I guess if it was this easy to get one (just order
from
overseas) - we'd all have one right? :)))

Thanks again,

SR

Leen <vlietlv@casema.net> wrote in message
news:39A58EFD.E450BDBD@casema.net...
> Strange, I live in the Netherlands and bought my scanner, the bc245xlt in
a
> normal shop, no problem at all in the Netherlands.
> StickyRiceBalls schreef:
> Leen
>
> > I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are
not
> > permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously)
> > didn't know that. I do want trunking included.
> >
> > What scanner (handheld or base) can you get with *all* the goodies
(cell)
> > that includes trunking?
> >
> > Is there such a thing?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > SR
>
>

4354. NYFD Staten Island frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: neal038@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824182304.23301.00000407@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 81318

Im new to this scanner hobby. Im trying to find the correct frequency for the NYFD in Staten Island NY..
Thanks in advance.

4355. NYC EMS for Staten Island

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: neal038@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824182417.23301.00000409@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 81319

Can anyone please let me know the correct frequency for the NYC EMS for Staten Island New York.
Thanks in advance..

4356. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <jahp5.1590$_X.5370@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81320

Oh thank you so much.
"Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message
news:39a51f09.233994486@nntp.cts.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 06:24:58 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"Helmut Wabnig" <hwabnig@aon.at> wrote in message
> >news:9rq9qs8ojkl2jthr383d66qkvogfsg7u8n@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 02:51:30 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100
in
> >> >ANY time let alone real time.
> >> >
> >> >"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >> >>
> >> >><snip>
> >> >> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to
decrypt
> >> >> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to
be
> >> >> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
> >> >> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be
software
> >> >
> >>
> >> GOD spoke there be software and there was software.
> >>
> >> There will be software!
> >>
> >> how funny, people in religious faith for software to be born
> >> out of nothing, software tailored to their stupid phantasies.
> >>
> >> w
>
> >Why are people so mean to each other? We have seen computers do amazing
> >things and hackers are every where. The internet is full of "broken code"
> >hackers. I just cannot believe the number of folks who are so quick on
the
> >keyboard to be rude and cruel.
>
> I can't speak to Helmut's phraseology (and you might make allowance for
> his likely being a non-native English speaker; phrasings you find

> insulting might have come from a completely different mindset than what
> you read into them). But his analysis is correct. You simply don't
> understand the nature of the problem.
>
> --- jeh

4357. FS: Yaesu FT-50R Mint - Photo links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1ihp5.2442$Y5.17544@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint Yaesu FT-50R Dual band handheld for sale. Unit comes with 6V battery, charger, antenna, strap, Voice recorder keypad and manual. I will sell for $200 plus shipping. Unit has been MARS/CAP (extended TX/RX) modded.

Photos below
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50big.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50r.JPG

Let me know if interested.

4358. Newark Intl Airport New Jersey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: neal038@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824182523.23301.00000410@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 81322

Can anyone please let me know the correct frequency for Newark Intl airport tower in New Jersey.
Thanks in advance..

4359. Re: Trunking scanner wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Furter <ffurter@iname.com>
Message-ID: <39A5A37E.F3A6169F@iname.com>
Source record: 81323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jh-lewis wrote:

> Looking to buy a trunking type scanner - any model, base/portable/handheld
> doesn't matter.
>
> Must be in Excellent to Mint condition and work 100%.
>
> John

Most scanners you buy are in mint condition and work 100%, else you bring it
back to the store for a refund.

4360. Re: NYFD Staten Island frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824185011.26498.00000629@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 81324

its called fdny

4361. Re: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: frnkburns@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824191430.04318.00003296@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81325

>Subject: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)
>From: toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
>Date: 8/23/00 7:39 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20000823093941.03350.00001464@nso-ch.aol.com>
>
>Regarding "Eric Oyen":
>
>Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos on
>this
>newsgroup a few years back?
>
>I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up on here
>every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared. Or am
>I
>thinking of someone else?

Speaking of Eric's adversaries, whatever happened to Drew "CBRAMBO" Durigan??
Heard he's selling radios on eBay now and just hasn't got the time to keep
changing AOL screen names in order to chase his buddy Eric Oyen across the
Internet ;) Thank God for small miracles.

4362. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F9AD4D6Cjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81326

vlietlv@casema.net (Leen) wrote in <39A58EFD.E450BDBD@casema.net>:

>Strange, I live in the Netherlands and bought my scanner, the bc245xlt
>in a normal shop, no problem at all in the Netherlands.
>StickyRiceBalls schreef:
>Leen
>
>> I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they
>> are not permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I
>> (obviously) didn't know that. I do want trunking included.
>>
>> What scanner (handheld or base) can you get with *all* the goodies
>> (cell) that includes trunking?
>>
>> Is there such a thing?
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> SR
>
>

When I was on vacation in London, I saw a place that had 895XLT desktop units for sale. I don't know if any trunks are in use in London though. Anybody know of any trunked systems in Britain?

4363. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8o4j12$1ih$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 81327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Jimmy <helvetica@nonsuch.net> wrote in message
news:39a57244.36752753@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 14:52:09 GMT, "Castiron Transmission repairperson"
> <friskeys@aol.com> wrote:
>

> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>
> --
> Jim Dunnett
>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net
> g4rga at thersgb.net

4364. FS: R71A/FRG-8800/FRG-7000/Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Consigliori <Emetib@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <CClp5.791$0T.111914@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 81328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have finally decided to sell off some of my gear to help finance the purchase of a new receiver. Everything listed is either currently in use, or has been put on a shelf due to lack of space. If you are interested, please respond to EMETIB@SWBELL.NET. I usually check my mail daily after 6:00pm CST. My EBAY id is Consigliori if you want to check out my user feedback (all positive). The end result of all of this will be the purchase of a higher-end receiver. Models I am looking at include the NRD 545, R8B, AOR 7030+, or possibly a R-8500. If anyone is planning on selling one of these receivers in the near future please let me know.

Buyer pay&#65533;s shipping. Payment by USPS MO only.

I am trying to borrow a friend&#65533;s digital camera for this weekend so drop me a line if you want to see a picture of one of the receivers and I will do my best to send it to you.

Thanks,
David
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533;.
Icom R71-A
Condition &#65533; 8 on a 1 to 10 scale
Comments: Smeter light does not work &#65533; S-Meter itself works fine. Has Notch and PBT. Quality receiver. Built like a rock. Very Sensitive. This is a used receiver and the case has the normal scuffs/scratches/dings and pings that come from everyday use (the display has no imperfections and is clear and bright. The front panal is also in great condition). The receiver itself works perfectly. Comes with original Owners manual.
Price - $400

Yaesu FRG-8800
Condition &#65533; 9
Comments &#65533; Excellent audio from the front firing speaker. A Very good receiver in very good condition. Has a few light scratches on the case but that&#65533;s about it. Works perfectly. Comes with Original owners manual and a copy of the service manual.
Price - $300.00

Yaesu FRG-7000
Condition &#65533; 9
Comments: the &#65533;local&#65533; light indicator is out. The GMT/SET1/SET2 as well as the clock itself work fine. Good Receiver. Excellent condition. A small scuff mark on the top of the case, and a very light fading of paint on one small corner are about the only imperfections with the way the radio looks. Works Perfectly. Comes with original owners manual.

Price - $225.00

Radio Shack Pro-2006
Condition • 9
Comments • Cell Unblocked. Works perfectly. Have 2 of them so 1 has gotta go. Does not come with Manual.
Price - $230.00

4365. NYPD Brooklyn 62nd Precent Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Setox <who@when.now>
Message-ID: <jTlp5.16517$o4.2315529@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 81329

i need the 62nd precent and if i can get the helocoptors

newemail12@aol.com if u have any sites or anything please email me

4366. Re: FA:Pro32 $50 NR Ends 8-32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heveros Rancheros <outofpatience@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8o4pp4$40k$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message news:39A2C647.FE2FE223@home.com...
> Sounds like misrepresentation.

which is TOTALLY ok on ebay! I have complained about this same behavior to ebay, specifically when someone tries to sell a scanner as able to get cell phones when it doesn't even get 800 mhz. They said their sources said that particular scanner could not get cell calls and therefore it would not be pulled, even though he was obviously saying it did!

4367. NEED HELP TO IDENTIFY VIDEO TRANSMITTER, ANY HELP APPRECIATE TIA - image1.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfrank55@mail.com
Message-ID: <4dp8qs8ep7p4upt1o46li9qap48dubhsba@4ax.com>
Source record: 81417

Know thing about this transmitter :

Frequency range about 900Mhz to 1,1Ghz
PLL
Power and video input on DB9
RF out SMA

Any info appreciate

Thanks

Frank

4368. NEED HELP TO IDENTIFY VIDEO TRANSMITTER, ANY HELP APPRECIATE TIA - image1.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfrank55@mail.com
Message-ID: <ccp8qs8oj13dujdjvtomp87folgu6pbrq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 81418

Know thing about this transmitter :

Frequency range about 900Mhz to 1,1Ghz
PLL
Power and video input on DB9
RF out SMA

Any info appreciate

Thanks

Frank

4369. NEED HELP TO IDENTIFY VIDEO TRANSMITTER, ANY HELP APPRECIATE TIA - image1.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfrank55@mail.com
Message-ID: <mcp8qs06ojsbguic1rhfdfqq0e1sf86u7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 81419

Know thing about this transmitter :

Frequency range about 900Mhz to 1,1Ghz
PLL
Power and video input on DB9
RF out SMA

Any info appreciate

Thanks

Frank

4370. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <bi4p5.20773$Ur3.241630@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81420

```
Unfortunately, Jason doesn't seem to know better.
```

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> >
> >"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> >> You changed your name for the 100th time this year to avoid kill
filters.
>
> Oh that is so witty, lamer.

4371. SWLINKS Website Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8o2ki4rfr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

The SWLINKS shortwave/scanner website has been updated. Too many to
list.

http://swlinks.webalias.com

More updates on the way!

Enjoy,

Tim

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4372. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Pm4p5.20798$Ur3.240782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Apparently NO ONE knows how to find them, obviously indicating that you have no on-topic posts. Otherwise, post a few of them.

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> But I have Mackerel. In fact 98% of my posts ARE on topic. The only
> problem is that YOU don't know where, when or how to find them.

4373. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <is4p5.20827$Ur3.241956@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
> another PARG club.

Actually Blake, I have received overwhelming confidence votes from
the only recognized PARG "GROUP", with the HQ in Oregon. There
is no other recognized PARG according to the FCC or ARRL. YOU
seem to be the only person who knows of a PARG in Missouri. (BTW-
the "G" in PARG stands for "group", so it is not a "club" as you called
it!!!!!!!!)

SNIP
> from the P.A.R.G. in Missouri. No one likes John!

4374. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Et4p5.20834$Ur3.241905@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn - who are you talking to & what were the chgallenges you
are referring to.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Speaking of farses RicK-John, I made a couple of challenges to you. You
SNIP

4375. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Kv4p5.20846$Ur3.241947@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rick -

Well said, very well said. Glenn can't help acting like a fool!

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> Glenn
> I only yank your chain too attention when I feel like it.
> While you are spewing forth your crap the silent majority probably wish
that
> you would shut up and possibly let go.
> I post very seldom and when I do it is you who are right in the thick of
> being a problem.
> You do know that silence would be golden from you.
> Rick

4376. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <mx4p5.20855$Ur3.242040@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are you describing?

A. Blake
B. Glenn
C. Bill
D. all of the above?

I select that "D" is correct.

<gidnrug@my-deja.com> wrote
> so.ci.o.path: (sO'sE-u-path)
> -n. a person, as a psychopathic personality, whose behavior is
> antisocial and who lacks a sense of moral responsibility or social
conscience.

4377. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Kz4p5.20866$Ur3.242092@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn - regardless, I haven't been online for 5 years.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
> K-S-0-F responds.....
> > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
> > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
>
SNIP
> I didn't say internet, but I am glad you didn't
SNIP (to preserve bandwidth)

4378. Re: more lies from N7ZZT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <4B4p5.20874$Ur3.241542@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How about yourself for dealing with someone "STUPID"?

I can't help it if you made a typo & your correction didn't get
propogated on the news servers.

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> did you ever bother to check my followup to myself?
> HELLO?!?! its called a TYPO!
>
> Geez, I've never had to deal with someone so STUPID before!

4379. Re: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <DB4p5.20878$Ur3.242112@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81429

you are correct.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> Regarding "Eric Oyen":
>
> Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos on
this
> newsgroup a few years back?
>
> I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up on
here
> every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared. Or
am I
> thinking of someone else?

4380. FT / FS - CCD cameras, Color laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8V4p5.2196$Y5.12051@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81430
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the following items for trade or sale. I'm looking for a GP68 VHF keyboard programmable or nice scanner / dual band transceiver. Let me know what you've got, I will sell outright..make offers.

1 - Panasonic WV-CD22, serial # 98B03403 1/2" CCD Black & White Camera, muliti output plus 0-99 number overlay on picture output (set inside camera). Rear of camera has "VD", HD/VS, & "video" output which outputs great picture when c-mount lens attached. Runs on 12VDC power supply (included) Photo upon request
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/wvcd22.JPG

$Best offer or trade plus shipping

1 - Panasonic WV-BP105 1/3" black & White CCD camera with Panasonic WV-LA4R5C3 Auto Iris lens (4.5mm) and AC power adapter (unit runs on 24AC) Small piece of autoiris lens fron missing which does not effect image quality, Photo upon request - $Best offer or trade

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/panaccd1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/panaccd.JPG

I have a great condition Compac LTE Elite 4/40CX (486dx4/40) with 16MB mem,
340MB HD, 2 PCMCIA slots,COMPAQ 192 19,200 Data/Fax PCMCIA modem with instructions & Cable (plus nylon case), good battery, AC cord, compact case, external as
well as integral mouse. 1.44MB floppy, printer port, serial port (DB9). Unit is in pristine shape, NO pixel damage on nice color TFT screen. Unit now runs w3.1 with delrina fax pro. TCP/IP drivers, compaq drivers, MS Office 4.2, 8.4" color tft screen, lotus software. $Best offer plus shipping or trade

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop2.JPG

Info;
mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

4381. Re: 900Mhz/Digital/Spread Spectrum----possible to "crack" (listen to)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
Message-ID: <foq9qso6ep1l4ggfojoct1rs04qh9igisi@4ax.com>
Source record: 81431

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 05:25:26 GMT, "Stewart Clyde"
<iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote:

>i hear about all these new technologies--is there a decryption out that can
>listen to these new phones?
>
no
.

4382. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
Message-ID: <2pq9qscp6d5fco5k56ov352eciurq4f37a@4ax.com>
Source record: 81432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 23 Aug 2000 19:29:28 GMT, Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx> wrote:

>LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:
>
>>It is a fact,they can also listen just to the base stations,this
>>scanner was bought in Asia.
>
>Do you have any reliable reference at all to this or
>is it just someone you know who knows someone who
>has met someone who once saw such a scanner ?
>
>Sorry but I have just seen too many of these claims,
>to believe them right away.

They are unbelievable dull, those claims.

w.

4383. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
Message-ID: <9rq9qs8ojkl2jthr383d66qkvogfsg7u8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 81433

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 02:51:30 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:

>I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100 in
>ANY time let alone real time.
>
>"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
>news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>>
>><snip>
>> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt
>> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be
>> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
>> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be software
>

GOD spoke there be software and there was software.

There will be software!

how funny, people in religious faith for software to be born
out of nothing, software tailored to their stupid phantasies.

w

4384. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: elliotta <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <cn7p5.839$_X.3261@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81434

Why are people so mean to each other? We have seen computers do amazing
things and hackers are every where. The internet is full of "broken code"
hackers. I just cannot believe the number of folks who are so quick on the
keyboard to be rude and cruel.
"Helmut Wabnig" <hwabnig@aon.at> wrote in message
news:9rq9qs8ojkl2jthr383d66qkvogfsg7u8n@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 02:51:30 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:
>
> >I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100 in
> >ANY time let alone real time.
> >
> >"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
> >news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >>
> >><snip>
> >> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt
> >> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be
> >> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
> >> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be software
> >>
>
> GOD spoke there be software and there was software.
>
> There will be software!
>
> how funny, people in religious faith for software to be born
> out of nothing, software tailored to their stupid phantasies.
>
> w

4385. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39a51f09.233994486@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 81435

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 06:24:58 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
wrote:

>"Helmut Wabnig" <hwabnig@aon.at> wrote in message
>news:9rq9qs8ojkl2jthr383d66qkvogfsg7u8n@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 02:51:30 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100 in
>> >ANY time let alone real time.
>> >
>> >"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
>> >news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> >>
>> >><snip>
>> >> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt
>> >> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be
>> >> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
>> >> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be software
>> >
>>
>> GOD spoke there be software and there was software.
>>
>> There will be software!
>>
>> how funny, people in religious faith for software to be born
>> out of nothing, software tailored to their stupid phantasies.
>>
>> w

>Why are people so mean to each other? We have seen computers do amazing
>things and hackers are every where. The internet is full of "broken code"
>hackers. I just cannot believe the number of folks who are so quick on the
>keyboard to be rude and cruel.

I can't speak to Helmut's phraseology (and you might make allowance for
his likely being a non-native English speaker; phrasings you find
insulting might have come from a completely different mindset than what
you read into them). But his analysis is correct. You simply don't
understand the nature of the problem.

--- jeh

4386. the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: thpdisp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824092344.19676.00001011@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 81436

does anyone have any updates on this scanner, i hear novemeber
thanks
bill
tenn highway patrol

4387. Re: Shinwa scanner, manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39A52384.D8529024@blinx.de>
Source record: 81437

Joe schrieb:

> I have a copy of the operating manual and the service manual (this will be
> needed if any repairs are ever needed) available for $50 shipped.
>
> Joe

..sounds like a rippoff -even when sending overseas for $13 postage-

odo -germany-

4388. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Oakes <theoakes@mwis.net>
Message-ID: <0y9p5.937$_X.3729@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why don't all you heat seeking anal cocksuckers get together and have a big game of butt darts and end this shit? Clinton didn't get the coverage you are giving this prick. The video of the white bronco during the OJ coverage is the only thing that even comes close. LET IT DIE! FORGET IT!

4389. Trunking scanner wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <XU9p5.854$ih1.212940@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 81439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking to buy a trunking type scanner - any model, base/portable/handheld doesn't matter.

Must be in Excellent to Mint condition and work 100%.

John

4390. Re: Need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: njradiodude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824104521.22512.00000646@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 81440

Generally, the tracking is done from the point of shipment (regional hub in vicinity of where the package was sent) until it arrives at the hub/distribution location where it goes onto the local lil brown truck. Once it is in the hub/center local to you, you, the customer can no longer track it. This whole tracking thing is to make the customer think he's a part of the system, an insider if you will, and to keep impatient folks from calling everyday about the location of their package. I've tracked items before, and have always found there's a few days when it seemed to sit "arrived destination" but I didn't get anything. The customer isn't the destination as far as UPS is concerned. Their local distribution center is. Once the package "stops moving", it'll be 2 to 4 days until you get arrested, eh...I mean your packes gets to your door. :)

As far as what to do if the authorities show up at your house...sometimes they will arrive looking like UPS drivers. A 2nd person in the truck is common for UPS training purposes. A 3rd or 4th person in the truck are probably investigators on the county or local level. State and federal folks prefer to drive themselves and be parked near the target of the investigation and bum-rush the door after the package has been signed for. If you see one or more official-looking vehicles on your street be suspicious. If the UPS truck arrives at a time very much unusual for your neighborhood, such as 9am (and they love 9am 'til 11am) when you usually don't see the lil brown trucks until 3pm, be very suspicious. If 2 UPS folks unass the truck DON'T OPEN THE DOOR! A driver and trainee are common aboard a UPS truck, but the driver, the senior guy (tired of getting in and out of the truck), sends the new guy to the customers door. Two people at your door means one of them is a law enforcement official. The UPS security division has plenty of brown outfits set aside for just this purpose, letting officers and agents look like the tightest people in the shipping business.

Tom KB2FIK
NJRadioDude@aol.com

4391. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Castiron Transmission repairperson <friskeys@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tEap5.1289$3Q6.54746@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Bowers" <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$wZB4$B@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The FCC has recently threatened to revoke the license of broadcasters if
> they air any more death threats, humorous or otherwise, of the type put on
> the Late Late Show recently, or of the type aired by Howard Stern.

Why not instead publicize the militia-screwballs
what broadcast daily diatribes of hate-speech
and edge-on dumb people to commit illegal acts
on a daily basis, on stations like WGTG and WWCR ?

What about the "broadcasters" what recently spewed garbage
about Art Bell on WWCR ? I hope Bell cleans every freakin
red cent from WWCR's bank account and that station get's it's
festering microphone silenced forever by Federal Marshals.

Howard Stern is a much more responsible broadcaster
who has more integrity, intellegence and broadcast
accuracy in his left finger, than all the domestic
"SHITwave Broadcast" stations can ever hope for in a Month of Sundays.

4392. WTB: The Ultimate Scanner 2 Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <robbin@mainstreamsolutions.net>
Message-ID: <39a53d05$1@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 81442

Hello:

I am looking for a copy of "The Ultimate Scanner 2". If you have one that you would like to sell please contact me with a price.

Thank you.

Robbin Olson

4393. Re: Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <94bp5.69$hb5.73918@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K-S-0-F AKA I'll answer to Corky or Toiletboy every time
spewed.....

> Glenn - who are you talking to

Not you John-Corky.

> & what were the chgallenges you
> are referring to.

Something you luv RicK-Corky can't face.

Learn to use a computer you illiterate fool John-Corky.

Seek professional help John-Corky and quit changing your name to avoid the
kill filters.

>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > Speaking of farses RicK-John, I made a couple of challenges to you. You
> SNIP
>
>

4394. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <r2haqs84m1uknefpdj7ufm8urt8lgk74gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 81444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 11:30:50 +0200, Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
wrote:

>On 23 Aug 2000 19:29:28 GMT, Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx> wrote:
>
>>LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:
>>
>>>It is a fact,they can also listen just to the base stations,this
>>>scanner was bought in Asia.
>>
>>Do you have any reliable reference at all to this or
>>is it just someone you know who knows someone who
>>has met someone who once saw such a scanner ?
>>
>>Sorry but I have just seen too many of these claims,
>>to believe them right away.
>

Probably possible, but the conditions are very limited. There are a
few GSM operators that run un-encrypted, in fact some phones will even
warn you that your provider is running un-encrypted. Listening in on
such a conversation would not be technically difficult. If you would
like to listen in on an encrypted conversation over the air, unless
you have the budget of the NSA, or a similar organization, not in the
cards. It is much easier to tap into the landline side, which is not
encrypted.

4395. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39A54634.B575A4CA@inet4u.com>
Source record: 81445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Castiron Transmission repairperson wrote:

> "John Bowers" <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8zqqhf$wZB4$B@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > The FCC has recently threatened to revoke the license of broadcasters if
> > they air any more death threats, humorous or otherwise, of the type put on
> > the Late Late Show recently, or of the type aired by Howard Stern.
>
> Why not instead publicize the militia-screwballs
> what broadcast daily diatribes of hate-speech
> and edge-on dumb people to commit illegal acts
> on a daily basis, on stations like WGTG and WWCR ?
>
> What about the "broadcasters" what recently spewed garbage
> about Art Bell on WWCR ? I hope Bell cleans every freakin
> red cent from WWCR's bank account and that station get's it's
> festering microphone silenced forever by Federal Marshals.
>
> Howard Stern is a much more responsible broadcaster
> who has more integrity, intellegence and broadcast
> accuracy in his left finger, than all the domestic
> "SHITwave Broadcast" stations can ever hope for in a Month of Sundays.

Do you happen to work for the Southern Perverted Law Center? It sounds a bit like you could. BTW, the SPLC had a $17,000,000.00 surplus a few years back. (poverty!)

Al

4396. Re: the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <hdiaqs0ehaslo91q838n1vv1tlo3j93i9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 81446

On 24 Aug 2000 13:23:44 GMT, Thpdisp
<20000824092344.19676.00001011@ng-fh1.aol.com> wrote:

>does anyone have any updates on this scanner, i hear novemeber
>thanks

The latest is December, by the time they get this unit out it will be useless.

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/mods.html a site covering
NW Oregon Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio
modifications. http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

4397. Re: Info WinRadio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 00:35:02 UTC
From: Frosty3222 <frosty3222@home.com>
Message-ID: <W4_o5.104243$c5.2309739@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 81410

Check Rich Wells site www.strongsignals.net , He did not have a very
favourable opion of the internal model. Dont know if he has reviewed the new
"1550" version or the external model.
Frosty
"Arthur Woodcock" <Arthur.Woodcock@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:8o10semco2@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> I am considering the purchaser of a Winradio 1500e. If anyone has
experience
> of this I would be interested to have there opinion. Good Bad Indifferent.
> --
> 73s
>
> Arthur
>
> gm0alz
>
>
>
>

4398. Re: Shinwa scanner, manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 01:41:05 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <R2%o5.9$3Q6.814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81411

I have a copy of the operating manual and the service manual (this will be needed if any repairs are ever needed) available for $50 shipped.

Joe

Max <vitiper@libero.it> wrote in message news:gq38qsgp08p4v9n26t1fvr2sil1hjqn14c@4ax.com...
> Hello, i have bought a Shinwa scanner but the manual is missing and i
> have no idea how to operate it, i tought it was easy to find a copy
> but after 3 months i realized that it is not, can you help me?
> I WILL PAY THE EXPENSES for a paper copy or scan,
> please!
> Max
>

4399. F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner With Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 02:31:27 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o21dv$5li$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81413

I have a Pro2005 base scanner,which has been modified for full cell.This scanner is in excellent condition with a bright el light which can be dimmed by the dimmer switch.It comes with a manual,regular antenna and an extra antenna with a load coil in the centre.This is the twin of the pro2006.$225.00 email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4400. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 02:51:30 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <S40p5.2926$Z4.80739@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 81412

I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100 in ANY time let alone real time.

"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
><snip>
> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to decrypt
> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to be
> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be software

4401. Racing Fans..freq's here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 03:05:11 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o23ct$7t3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81414

All fans..you can listen to your favorite driver at the race with a scanner. Check us out for racing frequencies, used scanners, and now scanner rentals!

Check out SCANNER FREQUENCIES UNLIMITED at www.netnerd-inc.com/joe

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4402. FA: Scanner and antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 04:52:20 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <ZR1p5.6310$OE4.48401@news1.atl>
Source record: 81415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2020 scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417168574

Scanner antenna
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417192333

4403. 900Mhz/Digital/Spread Spectrum----possible to "crack" (listen to)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 05:25:26 UTC
From: Stewart Clyde <iceman16@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <al2p5.19228$e11.140494@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 81416

i hear about all these new technologies--is there a decryption out that can
listen to these new phones?

4404. Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 20:58:44 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <39a58d25@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 81331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
www.frequencyuk.com has been updated and now includes a searchable database
and of course updated news from several manufactures and resellers in the
uk. Have a look round and let us know what you think.
T

4405. Re: Cell capable Trunk Tracker / Was - Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-24 23:51:31 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <BZIykjADUbp5EwIF@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 81332

In article <81hp5.602$uo4.49365@nnrp3.sbc.net>, StickyRiceBalls
<nospam@nospam.not> writes
>Hmmm, well I don't know that much about it - maybe it's just where Javiation
>is located? What was the name of the place in the Netherlands?
>
>Overseas shipping rates wouldn't be a deterrent. Anyone have an idea of
>where I
>could be up a cell capable Trunk Tracker? Ideally, I would like the full
>coverage versions of the BC245 or the BC895 but will consider any others...

AFAIK the only full coverage version of the BC245 is marketed in
Australia!!

Seeing that you are in the UK, I take it you know that the BC245 will
only work in trunking mode on Motorola systems** and NOT MPT1327 (most
common) type trunking, and that the BC895 will NOT work on any trunking
system in the UK ??

** UHF only, Met Police in London and a couple of USAF air bases..
Also the US version will not track the Staffordshire Police and Fire
StarNet system on VHF, due to the 10/20KHz channel spacing steps on the
BC245.

>
>You know, actually - I guess if it was this easy to get one (just order
>from
>overseas) - we'd all have one right? :)))

It is and we have :-)

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with g7hid : don't fix it !

4406. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <dXzp5.24145$Ur3.272751@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81197

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> PARG is a joke, you are in it. Look at the PARG site. The pictures look
like
> to have been taken at an incest reunion. The PARG comittees sound like
some
> grade school mental midgets formed them.

Wrong again, try the links below.

http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=k7pdx

http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=kc0aat

http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/examsearch.phtml?zip=97229&dist=20

http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/96/960705/#phantom

> If PARG supported you, they would be on this news group in number. Instead

I don't need their support, so why should they bother?

4407. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net
Message-ID: <8o6hflfcn1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81198

In article <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
writes:
>Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
>channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
>
Not likely. Scanners typically receive FM only. CB is AM. Also, very few
scanners receive below 30 MHz.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4408. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A6D373.4D06D027@home.com>
Source record: 81199

Exactly why I have been waiting for the BC-780. It's range starts at 25 MHz, but it's beginning to look more and more like vaporware. I might as well go pick up a used BC3000, except that I really want something that has text tags AND covers the CB range.

w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net wrote:

> In article <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
> writes:
> >Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
> >channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
> >
> Not likely. Scanners typically receive FM only. CB is AM. Also, very few
> scanners receive below 30 MHz.
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4409. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <vCAp5.99348$6y5.68260852@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 81200

only a few. Man there is probably about over 15 different scanners out there
that get AM and Cb freq's.

<w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net> wrote in message
news:8o6hflfcn1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
> writes:
> >Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
> >channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
> >
> Not likely. Scanners typically receive FM only. CB is AM. Also, very
few
> scanners receive below 30 MHz.
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

4410. Re: 900Mhz/Digital/Spread Spectrum----possible to "crack" (listen to)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Fredric J. Einstein" <feinstei@mw.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <21mdqs8bg63gqi92b5fgla464lok7195vg@4ax.com>
Source record: 81201

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 08:20:46 -0400, Ira T. <irat@nospam.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 13:22:24 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 05:25:26 GMT, "Stewart Clyde"
>><iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>i hear about all these new technologies--is there a decryption out that can
>>>listen to these new phones?
>>
>>Yeah. Get another phone just like it, recharge the handset, then
>>disconnect and power down the base.
>>
>>Now let the handset drift and attempt to acquire a base. It won't be
>>your base. It will be somebody else's.
>
>It will not work. The handset and base must "marry" to each other with a
>digital code before it will work the first time.

This WILLl work with the crappy Panasonic 2.4 GHz phones which they falsely claim are "Spread Spectrum" (for which Panasonic should be prosecuted for false advertising). However, with Digital or Digital Spread Spectrum phones, this will not work since, as the previous poster said, they need to be "married" to obtain a seed key for decoding the digital datastream.

Fred

4411. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <8o6mgc$8o7$1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 81202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:

>Thats the name he wrote,the scanner was bought in Asia.

Ok, just as I expected, no facts just a reference to someone
who claims something that cannot be proven.

Until some real facts emerge I'll still claim that GSM scanners
does not exist.

Regards
Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4412. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <8o6mls$8vi$1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 81203

"Jonathan Clough, Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> writes:

>We will hopefully be able to offer the Australian UBC-245XLT soon and
>whilst this has continuous 800MHz coverage the low VHF band is 66-88Mhz
>and the step sizes on VHF (137-174MHz) are 12.5kHz.

Do you by any chance know if a CE approved version of the upcoming
BC780 is planned ?

Regards
Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4413. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aPfn+$A4aup5Ew3k@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 81204

In article <zqsOouATlop5EwxV@g7hid.lineone.net>, Mike Burgess
<nospam@lineone.net> writes

>>We will hopefully be able to offer the Australian UBC-245XLT soon and
>>whilst this has continuous 800MHz coverage the low VHF band is 66-88Mhz
>>and the step sizes on VHF (137-174MHz) are 12.5kHz.
>>
>
>That is good news Jonathan, what about the BC780XLT ??

I doubt they carry a "CE" label so we are really in the same boat as the
BC- when it comes to UK/EU sales.

I would very much doubt putting them forward for testing/approval
ourselves wouldn't be cost effective and they could fail.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4414. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GfXg6JBZbup5EwVP@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 81205

In article <8o6mls$8vi$1@news.inet.tele.dk>, Per H. Nielsen
<phn@dkscan.dkx> writes

>Do you by any chance know if a CE approved version of the upcoming
>BC780 is planned ?

No idea at this stage - I would doubt it.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4415. Western US road trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MountainBob <rtiberi@csusb.edu>
Message-ID: <8o6qjfncp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81206

Please excuse me for barging in to your newsgroup.

I live in Southern California. Next month I will be taking a trip thru
NV, UT, ID, MT, WA and OR.

I was wondering if anyone could provide me with Hwy Patrol/State Police
freqs for those states?

Thanks for any info
--
Bob

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4416. Re: the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <laugh@spam.com>
Message-ID: <JSBp5.41712$NH2.353746@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 81207

Here's the web page - no date, though!
http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC780XLT

"Thpdisp" <thpdisp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000824092344.19676.00001011@ng-fh1.aol.com...
> does anyone have any updates on this scanner, i hear novemeber
> thanks
> bill
> tenn highway patrol

4417. Re: PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cbCp5.9712$vO4.3041654@news3.mia>
Source record: 81208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, but I understand that the details have not yet been finalized yet.

-
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Lippy <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to radio
> shack for new firmware to make it work correct.
>
>

4418. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqdruhgvt9167@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81209

Thank you for pointing out that John has posted one more on topic post then my Norwegian friend and Gleen Hansen during that time period.
Rick Larson

P.S.
I just joint PARG.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8o62ra$kt6$1@news.netmar.com...
> Shut up John-Corky and give it a rest. In the last 30 days you have posted
> over 432 messages. One message of these 432 might have been consider on
topic
> except you were technically stupid and gave the wrong information.
>
> Abuse@home.net sent me mail stating they were taking action. That means
the
> first time you are warned.
>
> Shut up or lose your @home account foolboy
>
> Timmy
>
> In article <Pm4p5.20798$Ur3.240782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, K-S-0-F
> \(callsign\) <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
> >Apparently NO ONE knows how to find them, obviously indicating that you
> >have no on-topic posts. Otherwise, post a few of them.
> >
> >"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> >> But I have Mackerel. In fact 98% of my posts ARE on topic. The only
> >> problem is that YOU don't know where, when or how to find them.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

4419. Re: Let's get John-Corky a new ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqds0ls3t916@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81210

Smells alot like Cheek again talking butt buddy all the time!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote in message news:8o62ao$k12$1@news.netmar.com...
> Sounds like a dream?
> Mackey has now received warnings from abuse@home.
> The retard is walking on thin ice with abuse@home.net, his ISP, so just
> forward all his nonsense to abuse@home.net.
> Let's clean up RRS and get John-Corky a new ISP.
>
> Don't be fooled by John-Corky saying the gay organization PARG has
anything to
> do with his posting. It doesn't, abuse@home.net is the place to send
> complaints.
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

4420. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqds4lht9152@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What did Blake Blowers Say?

I just joined up with PARG!

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8o5k6maec1@63.162.247.111...
>
>
> newbie wrote:
> >
> > blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up
> >
>
> At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
> multiple personality idiot...

4421. Re: PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <niCp5.9721$vO4.3041694@news3.mia>
Source record: 81212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had a situation where my "old" PRO-92 worked better than a BC-245. I was trunk tracking in a city using my BC-245, and I kept losing the control channel, right in the City limits of the system I was trying to track! My "old" PRO-92, since it relys on the sub-audible data, tracked the system flawlessly, with only two "wobbles" in a one hour period. In that paticular case, it beat the BC-245 in trunk tracking performance. Something to keep in mind.
--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:8o68ml$g37$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> Lippy <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
> news:xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to
radio
> > shack for new firmware to make it work correct.
> >
>
> Some people say that the original 92 works better in certain situations
than
> the 'new and improved' model with the same firmware that the 2067 mobile
> has. So I would do a little looking around on this newsgroup first to
check
> that possible situation out before I would consider getting any upgrade.
>
>
>
> --
> Sincerely,
>
> Bob Dolson
>
> Blissfully living in retirement
>
> check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
>
> Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> Also including some 2000UX samples at:

> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
>
>
>

4422. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqds81c8t9178@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Bill died of cancer recently, had no insurance and died penniless.
Rest in peace.
Rick

Brian W Edginton <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:39ca025d.31564181@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:19:42 GMT, Smokey Behr <gothbehrTREET@gte.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?
> >
> Haven't been here for quite a while......what, finally, happened to
> Bill ?
> And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
> finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
> Seriously, though.....what did happen to Bill ?
> I had a lot of respect for the man and hoped things would work out.
>
>
> brianWE
>
> I had no shoes and wept...until I met a man who had no feet.
> Cheapest pair of shoes I ever bought.
>
>

4423. Re: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqdsaqgtt91139@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81214

I can smell what the CBRAMBO is cook'in

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
news:39A5E5E2.51EE8A1@home.com...
> here's another one for you: abuse mail sent.
>
> FRNKBURNS wrote:
>
> > >Subject: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)
> > >From: toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
> > >Date: 8/23/00 7:39 AM Mountain Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <20000823093941.03350.00001464@nso-ch.aol.com>
> > >
> > >Regarding "Eric Oyen":
> > >
> > >Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos
on
> > >this
> > >newsgroup a few years back?
> > >
> > >I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up
on here
> > >every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared.
Or am
> > >I
> > >thinking of someone else?
> >
> > Speaking of Eric's adversaries, whatever happened to Drew "CBRAMBO"
Durigan??
> > Heard he's selling radios on eBay now and just hasn't got the time to
keep
> > changing AOL screen names in order to chase his buddy Eric Oyen across
the
> > Internet ;) Thank God for small miracles.
>

4424. Re: Western US road trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <mOCp5.12915$QW4.174031@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81215

You can look them all up right here...

http://www.perconcorp.com/resource/resource.htm

Bill Crocker

"MountainBob" <rtiberi@csusb.edu> wrote in message
news:8o6qjfncp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Please excuse me for barging in to your newsgroup.
>
> I live in Southern California. Next month I will be taking a trip thru
> NV, UT, ID, MT, WA and OR.
>
> I was wondering if anyone could provide me with Hwy Patrol/State Police
> freqs for those states?
>
> Thanks for any info
> --
> Bob
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4425. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825185932.06476.00001385@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81216

>Subject: Re: MackeY Defined
>From: "RicK Larson" Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com
>Date: 8/25/00 5:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <sqds4lht9152@corp.supernews.com>
>
>What did Blake Blowers Say?
>
>I just joined up with PARG!

Well, well
John how can I signup for your PARG?

>
>Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:8o5k6maec1@63.162.247.111...
>>
>>
>> newbie wrote:

Whats your call newbie?
Contact PARG in Oregon to sign up

>> >
>> > blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up
>> >
>>
>> At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
>> multiple personality idiot...

4426. Re: Let's get John-Corky a new ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825190352.06476.00001389@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81217

>Smells alot like Cheek again talking butt buddy all the time!
Bill alaways did talk rump-ridd'in
>> Sounds like a dream?

>> Don't be fooled by Blowers saying the gay organization PARG from Pottergrove voted against John.

I don't think it is a real club.

4427. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825191014.20958.00000007@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81218

>Subject: Re: MackeY Defined
>From: Blake Bowers bbowers@townsqr.com
>Date: 8/25/00 6:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8o5k6maec1@63.162.247.111>
>
>
>
Sorry newbie, I advised you to join the real PARG.
www.parg.org

>newbie wrote:
>>
>> blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up
>>
>
>At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
>multiple personality idiot...
I see that you also harrass new people and Senior military retired people.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4428. Re: Blooowers Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825191243.20958.00000010@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81219

>Subject: Re: MackeY Defined
>From: Blake Bowers bbowers@townsqr.com
>Date: 8/25/00 6:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8o5k6maec1@63.162.247.111>
>
>
>
>newbie wrote:
>>
>> blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up
>>
>
>At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
>multiple personality idiot...

I'm sure the shoe fits your Cinderella pathetic ASS.
Try posting on topic as you so rquest John to do.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4429. Re: I'm so sick of Gleen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825191706.06627.00000373@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81220

>Subject: Re: I'm so sick of Gleen
>From: "Brian k. Thomas" thomasfam@nospam.techheadnet.com
>Date: 8/23/00 8:09 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <39a5c295@techhead8.techheadnet>
>
>What new group?
PARG is not new
>
>--
>
>
>BK wrote in message <399E2126.56400FCB@home.com>...
>>I am sick of all your crap that you litter our newgroup with. Get a
>>freakin life!
>>
>>Smacksie wrote:
>>>
>>> John Mackie is KEWL
>>> Glenn Hansen SUXS

PARG RADIO counted the recent votes:
FOR John - 143
Against Glenn - 72

Need we say more about Glenn's stenching pants.

4430. Re: Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o70cn$tu8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8Pep5.16481$%O6.956807@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"booujie" <nobooujiespam@nohotmailspam.com> wrote:
> Its a small blue alloy amp with leds across the front of it. And
toggle
> switches. Its approximately the size of a pack of Cigarettes. its
used on 27
> mhz only . I'm looking for one if anyone has or knows of one. Thanks
>
>

yeh, good buddy, i have a pocket rocket, sooooooooooooooooooooooo
whaaaaaaaaaaaaaattttttttttttttt!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!,....cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4431. F.S.Pro 2005 Scanner Price reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o70es$u0j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81222

I have lowered the price of the scanner to $200.00 u.s. look for
earlier messages for scanner info on it.I well be posting it on ebay
Monday if it is not sold .Email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4432. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39A70E33.D1B1BF56@primenet.com>
Source record: 81223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K-S-0-F (callsign) dribbled all over himself:

> I didn't have any reason to "play it" because there was nothing to
> gain by doing so.

You have everything to gain, like credibility. You won't post the information because you can't. The information doesn't exist, except in your fantasies.

> Also, I don't care if you post your data or stay on the group,
> that is your choice.

As usual, you're trying to evade the issue, Toilet Boy. You know you've lied repeatedly about your so-called degrees, I know you've lied, and so do the people who've been victimized by your drivel. Don't waste my time with your stock never telling a lie in your adult life hyperbole. No one believes your pathological prevarications.

Even if you could post the information about your degrees, you don't want to (because you're a coward) and you don't want your critics, such as myself, to disappear. You are a masochist and thrive on the negativity. You enjoy causing what mayhem you can in the process and feed off the chaos. You're a complete sociopath, Toilet Boy.

Show some courage, Toilet Boy, and be honest for once in your squalid life. To remind you:

I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man enough to face yourself?

Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology degrees.

I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified, I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4433. Re: the uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8o73bs$a2fs9$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81224

> I gave up on it, and bought a PRO-2067.

Me too and glad I did.

Roland

4434. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8o73eh$a2uf1$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81225

> I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are
not
> permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously)
> didn't know that.

Interesting, did they tell you why? They allow cellular reception, why not
trunking?

Roland

4435. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqeaid7pt9156@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard has exercised and changed his filters again.

Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:39A70E33.D1B1BF56@primenet.com...
> K-S-0-F (callsign) dribbled all over himself:
>
> > I didn't have any reason to "play it" because there was nothing to
> > gain by doing so.
>
> You have everything to gain, like credibility. You won't post the
> information because you can't. The information doesn't exist, except
> in your fantasies.
>
> > Also, I don't care if you post your data or stay on the group,
> > that is your choice.
>
> As usual, you're trying to evade the issue, Toilet Boy. You know
> you've lied repeatedly about your so-called degrees, I know you've
> lied, and so do the people who've been victimized by your drivel.
> Don't waste my time with your stock never telling a lie in your
> adult life hyperbole. No one believes your pathological
> prevarications.
>
> Even if you could post the information about your degrees, you don't
> want to (because you're a coward) and you don't want your critics,
> such as myself, to disappear. You are a masochist and thrive on the
> negativity. You enjoy causing what mayhem you can in the process
> and feed off the chaos. You're a complete sociopath, Toilet Boy.
>
> Show some courage, Toilet Boy, and be honest for once in your squalid
> life. To remind you:
>
> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
> Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal
> fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man
> enough to face yourself?
>
> Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees
> AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> degrees.
>
> I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4436. FS: PRO-75 200ch. Handheld Scanner **$90** TO YOUR DOOR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <HNFp5.2980$_X.12456@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The PRO-75 covers the "usual" freq.'s between 29MHz and 512MHz. It is in great (9.5/10) shape and comes with a rubber ducky antenna and original users manual. Here's the best part: $90 SHIPPED TO YOUR DOOR! For details or questions, contact me at rakolari@cyberbeach.net . Thanks!

Adam Kolari

4437. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8o7ao4$nb2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 81228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I stand corrected Tonto.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Keem-O-Sabe <kos@thereservation.how> wrote in message
news:39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net...
> >> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >>
> >> Jim Dunnett
>
> >Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
> >Brian Denley
>
> Brits my red ass!!
>
> Keem-O-Sabe
>

4438. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39A72EE9.B01540CF@postnet.com>
Source record: 81229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> I have NEVER hated Bill. Infact I sorta liked the guy & felt sorry for him.

THAT is an outright lie!! It is so brazen that it can only be regarded
as a deliberately provocative attempt to inflame users here. To those who are
tracking such things (and reporting the same to John's ISP) this can be counted
as a deliberate troll, clearly designed to ridicule the late Mr. Cheek and
provoke the more serious users here. Give it up, John. Please, just give it up
and move on to something more worthwhile.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

4439. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sqec828dt9189@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81230

<w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net> wrote in message
news:8o6hflfcn1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>, Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
> writes:
> >Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
> >channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
> >
> Not likely. Scanners typically receive FM only.

There are many scanners that recieve AM. The aviation band is AM... 108- 136
mhz area (give or take)
My Pro 2066 covers CB in the service search and also Trunks!

>CB is AM. Also, very few
> scanners receive below 30 MHz.
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

4440. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <GdJp5.2405$HQ5.487879@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks for the update RicK-CorKy, you have all but become a John-Corky clone.

RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message news:sqeaid7pt9156@corp.supernews.com...
> Richard has exercised and changed his filters again.
>
> Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote in message
> news:39A70E33.D1B1BF56@primenet.com...
> > K-S-0-F (callsign) dribbled all over himself:
> >
> > > I didn't have any reason to "play it" because there was nothing to
> > > gain by doing so.
> >
> > You have everything to gain, like credibility. You won't post the
> > information because you can't. The information doesn't exist, except
> > in your fantasies.
> >
> > > Also, I don't care if you post your data or stay on the group,
> > > that is your choice.
> >
> > As usual, you're trying to evade the issue, Toilet Boy. You know
> > you've lied repeatedly about your so-called degrees, I know you've
> > lied, and so do the people who've been victimized by your drivel.
> > Don't waste my time with your stock never telling a lie in your
> > adult life hyperbole. No one believes your pathological
> > prevarications.
> >
> > Even if you could post the information about your degrees, you don't
> > want to (because you're a coward) and you don't want your critics,
> > such as myself, to disappear. You are a masochist and thrive on the
> > negativity. You enjoy causing what mayhem you can in the process
> > and feed off the chaos. You're a complete sociopath, Toilet Boy.
> >
> > Show some courage, Toilet Boy, and be honest for once in your squalid
> > life. To remind you:
> >
> > I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
> > Here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal
> > fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man
> > enough to face yourself?
> >
> > Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees

> > AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology
> > degrees.
> >
> > I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
> > I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
>
>

4441. Scanner scematics/manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8o7p2d$rj2$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81232

I can post - or email - schematics or owner's manuals for a number of recent scanners..and a
couple that aren't on the market yet.

Let me know what you want and I'll try to get them up or out ASAP.

Email me DIRECT < marnells@snowcrest.net > NOT thru the group.

No promises... but no charge, either.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

http://playlist.broadcast.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=5551 LAPD on RealAudio

4442. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: newbie@teleramp.com
Message-ID: <8o4ksi$3th$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 81251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up

In article <8nvmcniim0@63.162.246.176>, bbowers@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>gidnrug@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> In article <Ok3n5.7374$Ur3.67133@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
>> "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>> > Blake - I have never told a lie in my adult life.
>>
>> soï¿½ciiï¿½oï¿½path: (sO'sE-u-path)
>> ï¿½n. a person, as a psychopathic personality, whose behavior is
>> antisocial and who lacks a sense of moral responsibility or social
>> conscience.
>>
>
>This really sums John up.

4443. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <HBqzCLAJYjp5EwlH@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 81252

In article <8F9AD4D6Cjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>, Jeff Seale
<jbseale@iglou.com> writes

(...)
>
>When I was on vacation in London, I saw a place that had 895XLT desktop units
>for sale. I don't know if any trunks are in use in London though. Anybody know
>of any trunked systems in Britain?

Don't think you saw the BC895 on sale in the UK, it might have been
(IIRC) the BC9000, a non trunking European version.. The only folks that
have sold trunking radios (BC245) over the counter were Martin Lynch in
London, but for one reason or another they stopped selling them a few
months ago :-(

This is a site on a trunked system in London plus some other info:

http://www.metradio.co.uk

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with g7hid : don't fix it !

4444. FA: Radio Shack Pro-58

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: T&T Monroe <ttjmonroe@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6Frp5.33$t5.19666@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81253
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bidding starts at $3.00. Currently no bids.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417206556

4445. Re: wtb: optoelectronics scout or cub

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39A64913.906CFE03@snet.net>
Source record: 81254

The Scout 40 is currently on sale brand new from Optoelectronics for
$320.

http://www.optoelectronics.com/

Have fun,
Barry

Craig Chayba wrote:
>
> Hi Adam I have a Opto Scout model 40,its 2 years old with about two hrs
> of total use on it.I used it with an Icom R-10 wich I,ve sold because of
> lack of use.The Scout works very well with that radio in capture mode as
> well as by itself as a piece of test equipment.I had a different cable
> made that works much better for the connection between the units, after
> reading an article on the Strong Signals web site.The Scout is in MINT
> condition with software, manuals, charger and original box.The Scout
> retails for 449.00 US,I,d like to sell mine for $325.US obo. If your
> interested LMK.Craig
>
> Adam Conrad wrote:
> >
> > Ebay bidding got out of control. Looking to buy one of these in good
> > condition.
> >
> > Regards,
> > Adam Conrad
> > 814-696-0104

4446. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mVcIIvB1ykp5EwRd@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 81255

In article <1Yep5.21$uo4.34208@nnrp3.sbc.net>, StickyRiceBalls
<nospam@nospam.not> writes

>I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are not
>permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously)

No.

What we cannot do is legally sell the BC-245XLT to customers within the
UK or other EU member countries as this does not carry CE approval. We
could sell a BC-245XLT to a customer in the USA but as it would be no
different to one purchased in the USA I doubt we would really get that
many customers :-(

The '245XLT has "limited" use here in the UK - there are two users of
Motorola type trunked systems that the 245XLT could be used with - the
Metropolitan Police in London and UASFE at a couple of Airfields.
Staffordshire Police are another user on VHF however at 12.5kHz spacing
which is not supported by the BC-245XLT.

>What scanner (handheld or base) can you get with *all* the goodies (cell)
>that includes trunking?

We will hopefully be able to offer the Australian UBC-245XLT soon and
whilst this has continuous 800MHz coverage the low VHF band is 66-88Mhz
and the step sizes on VHF (137-174MHz) are 12.5kHz.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4447. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kl1IU5BS1kp5Ewzh@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 81256

In article <8F9AD4D6Cjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>, Jeff Seale
<jbseale@iglou.com> writes

>When I was on vacation in London, I saw a place that had 895XLT desktop units
>for sale. I don't know if any trunks are in use in London though. Anybody know

If you did then for use in the UK it would be nothing more than a
"conventional" scanner as there are no 800MHz trunked systems in the UK
that the 895XLT could be used with nor would it be any different to the
BC-895XLT distributed in the USA.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4448. FS pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8o5jgg$jf5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81257

In exelent working cond. Apearance- 9.5/10 very clean, no mods, manual and antenna included

$200. shipped.

Gil

4449. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8o5k3raec0@63.162.247.111>
Source record: 81258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
> > another PARG club.
>
> Actually Blake, I have received overwhelming confidence votes from
> the only recognized PARG "GROUP", with the HQ in Oregon. There
> is no other recognized PARG according to the FCC or ARRL. YOU
> seem to be the only person who knows of a PARG in Missouri. (BTW-
> the "G" in PARG stands for "group", so it is not a "club" as you called
> it!!!!!!!!)
>

Actually, as our membership rolls is over 100 people, we are
quite well recognized.

Who in the world need the FCC or ARRL to recognize us? They
really could care less.

4450. Re: MackeY Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8o5k6maec1@63.162.247.111>
Source record: 81259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

newbie wrote:
>
> blake bowers, the north end of horse headed south really sums you up
>

At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
multiple personality idiot...

4451. Re: NYPD Brooklyn 62nd Precent Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dekanblue@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825081244.10286.00000158@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 81260

62nd 476.5125
Choppers (SOD/ESU/Avation/Hyway is 470.8375

4452. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: A Person <someone@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <39a671bf_2@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 81261

Funny,

Always keep reading about how 800 Trunked doesn't work in them thar hills..
. . . .

Well Fayette County 911 in Uniontown, Pennsylvania has been running a 7
channel trunked radio system since late '95 early '96 with minor coverage
problems when compared to Low Band, High Band, and UHF.

The coverage dead spots we have on the new/existing 800 system were there
before but much bigger on all the other frequencies our county used!

Tony, KA3VOR

"Tim F" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:39a5c9c2.22047453@news.penn.com...
> On 25 Aug 2000 01:03:26 GMT, rocky178@aol.com (Rocky178) wrote:
>
> >Yea That is true. It is nice to know if they are nearby. Are they going
duplex
> >digital? I think I read they are going to An APCO 25 trunked system?
> >
>
> I don't know. I assume everything will go through repeaters, but I'm
> not sure. They will have car to car MDT to talk with someday.
> They signed a deal for MDT with Motorola and the radio system will be
> done by M/A-Com. I hope the new system won't work. A statewide trunked
> system over an entire hilly state doesn't make sense to me.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4453. OPTO XPLORER mod wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Ftup5.72$dx1.2931@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81262

There was a mod in Monitoring Times a few years ago that detailed the improvement in the frequency coverage of this near field receiver. Can someone find it please and scan it for me (JPG) and e-mail it to me.

The first respondent with the requested info will be rewarded.

Thanks,

Joe

4454. PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lippy <lippy4@gte.net>
Message-ID: <xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 81263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to radio shack for new firmware to make it work correct.

4455. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <zqsOouATlop5EwxV@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 81264

In article <mVcIIvB1ykp5EwRd@Javiation.co.uk>, Jonathan Clough,
Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> writes

(...)
>
>We will hopefully be able to offer the Australian UBC-245XLT soon and
>whilst this has continuous 800MHz coverage the low VHF band is 66-88Mhz
>and the step sizes on VHF (137-174MHz) are 12.5kHz.
>

That is good news Jonathan, what about the BC780XLT ??

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with g7hid : don't fix it !

4456. Let's get John-Corky a new ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o62ao$k12$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sounds like a dream?
Mackey has now received warnings from abuse@home.
The retard is walking on thin ice with abuse@home.net, his ISP, so just forward all his nonsense to abuse@home.net.
Let's clean up RRS and get John-Corky a new ISP.

Don't be fooled by John-Corky saying the gay organization PARG has anything to do with his posting. It doesn't, abuse@home.net is the place to send complaints.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4457. Re: PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ybwp5.12651$QW4.169337@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You should be able to take it to any Radio Shack for service, and they send it in. However, I don't think they arrived at a solution yet. There was some talk about a $48.00 charge, to change out the main board, but I don't know how accurate that information was. GRE and Radio Shack claim the firmware can not be upgraded.

I guess I'm one of the lucky ones, my PRO-92 works perfect!

Bill Crocker

"Lippy" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to radio
> shack for new firmware to make it work correct.
>
>

4458. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <zAJeaFAwJlp5Ewi$@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 81267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>, Keem-O-Sabe
<kos@thereservation.how> writes
>>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>>>
>>> Jim Dunnett
>
>>Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>>Brian Denley
>
>Brits my red ass!!

You're a monkey? Wow! You type quite well.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

4459. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o62ra$kt6$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Shut up John-Corky and give it a rest. In the last 30 days you have posted
over 432 messages. One message of these 432 might have been consider on topic
except you were technically stupid and gave the wrong information.

Abuse@home.net sent me mail stating they were taking action. That means the
first time you are warned.

Shut up or lose your @home account foolboy

Timmy

In article <Pm4p5.20798$Ur3.240782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, K-S-0-F
\(callsign\) <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>Apparently NO ONE knows how to find them, obviously indicating that you
>have no on-topic posts. Otherwise, post a few of them.
>
>"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
>> But I have Mackerel. In fact 98% of my posts ARE on topic. The only
>> problem is that YOU don't know where, when or how to find them.
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4460. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o63cflss1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article K-S-0-F \(callsign\) <abuse@home.net> writes:
>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>> Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
>> another PARG club.
>
>Actually Blake, I have received overwhelming confidence votes from
>the only recognized PARG "GROUP", with the HQ in Oregon.

PARG is a joke, you are in it. Look at the PARG site. The pictures look like to have been taken at an incest reunion. The PARG comittees sound like some grade school mental midgets formed them.

If PARG supported you, they would be on this news group in number. Instead only one retard casts a PARG vote, John-Corky.

>There
>is no other recognized PARG according to the FCC or ARRL.

WHat a sack of feces. The FCC doesn't recognize or give a rats ass about PARG. The ARRL is obviously a joke, you belong. case closed

>YOU
>seem to be the only person who knows of a PARG in Missouri.

I have belonged to PARG in Missouri for years. It isn't a gay group like the Oregon fudge packing PARG.

> (BTW-
>the "G" in PARG stands for "group", so it is not a "club" as you called
>it!!!!!!!!)

The "G" in PARG stands for "GAY" just like any group you belong to.

>SNIP
>> from the P.A.R.G. in Missouri. No one likes John!

Not just in Missouri.

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4461. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o63dclup1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article K-S-0-F \(callsign\) <abuse@home.net> writes:
>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>> Not hard for John to win the vote of no-confidence from yet
>> another PARG club.
>
>Actually Blake, I have received overwhelming confidence votes from
>the only recognized PARG "GROUP", with the HQ in Oregon.

PARG is a joke, you are in it. Look at the PARG site. The pictures look like to have been taken at an incest reunion. The PARG comittees sound like some grade school mental midgets formed them.

If PARG supported you, they would be on this news group in number. Instead only one retard casts a PARG vote, John-Corky.

>There
>is no other recognized PARG according to the FCC or ARRL.

WHat a sack of feces. The FCC doesn't recognize or give a rats ass about PARG. The ARRL is obviously a joke, you belong. case closed

>YOU
>seem to be the only person who knows of a PARG in Missouri.

I have belonged to PARG in Missouri for years. It isn't a gay group like the Oregon fudge packing PARG.

> (BTW-
>the "G" in PARG stands for "group", so it is not a "club" as you called
>it!!!!!!!!)

The "G" in PARG stands for "GAY" just like any group you belong to.

>SNIP
>> from the P.A.R.G. in Missouri. No one likes John!

Not just in Missouri.

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4462. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o63pmmko1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Corky Mackey says:
>Rick -
>
>Well said, very well said. Glenn can't help acting like a fool!

Looking in the mirror Corky-John. Seems you acted like a fool over 400 times
in the last 30 days.

How are you saving the radio group when your post over 400 offtopic messages
John-Corky?

Why you answer to John-Corky, it IS your REAL name, isn't it??

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4463. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o63jlmae1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Toilet-Boy John-Corky spews:

>Glenn - who are you talking to & what were the chgallenges you
>are referring to.

Why do yoiu care? Stalking Hanson again? still?

Here's a challenge for you Corky.

Post an accomplishment in life Corky. You have nothing.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4464. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o63v5mto1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Corky writes:
>Glenn - regardless, I haven't been online for 5 years.
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
>> K-S-0-F responds.....
>> > More lies from you Glenn. I haven't been on the internet for even 5
>> > years, let alone the 6 you claim.
>>
>SNIP
>> I didn't say internet, but I am glad you didn't
>SNIP (to preserve bandwidth)

Whatcha SNIPPING John-Corky? The truth is what you snip.
Hansen nailed you butt and you go snip!
You are a sick rabid dog John-Corky and a friggin retard!

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4465. FS: BC9000-XLT Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <FJwp5.12656$QW4.169779@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81274

FOR SALE:

The Uniden Bearcat BC-9000XLT is a scanner offering great frequency coverage. This models covers virtually every frequency from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz (less cellular, although clear images are between 360~385MHz!). It receives military frequencies not found on most other scanners. You get 500 channels organized in 20 banks of 25 channels each. A VFO control (manual tuning knob) enables fast selection of memories or frequencies. TurboScan allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and TurboSearch lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching VHF frequencies. The automatic store feature searches out active frequencies and automatically stores them for you in an available memory location.

Selectable mode allows you to change the default receiving mode (AM, FM-wide or FM-narrow) to hear all the action. You can also change the default step sizes!

Advanced features include: Two Line Alpha LCD, allows you to label your frequencies, i.e.; "Detroit Police", Delay Key (2 seconds), Channel Lockout, Data Skip (the scanner skips over non-voice channels), Backlight Dimmer, High Cut Audio Filter and tape recorder activation.

External jacks include: BNC antenna, external speaker, line out, aux jack and DC power. The BC9000XLT comes with: AC adapter, telescopic antenna and Owner's Manual. Size: 10.5x3.5x7.375 inches. Frequencies: 25-550 MHz, 760-824 MHz, 849-869 MHz, 894-1300 MHz!

Includes Uniden's optional CTCSS tone decoder board, installed!!!

Like new condition, complete with A.C. Power Pack, Antenna, Original Box, User Manual, etc.

Note: This scanner has been discontinued by Uniden, and to the best of my knowledge, distributors stocks have been depleted.

$350.00, including shipping within U.S., lower 48 states.

Bill Crocker

4466. FS: BC245-XLT Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <w6xp5.12664$QW4.170056@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81275

FOR SALE:

Bearcat BC-245XLT features second generation TrunkTracking II technology. When the service you are listening to jumps frequency, the BC-245 has the ability to follow the signal. You won't miss any of the action. Even copies Motorola and EDACS simultaneously. This scanner offers 12 bands and a 300 channel memory. Comes with NiCad battery pack, AC adapter/charger, flex BNC antenna, belt clip, earphone and special PC serial cable.

Frequency Range
29 - 30 Ham Band 10 M
30 - 50 VHF Low
50 - 54 Ham Band 6 M
108-137 Aircraft
137-144 Federal Gov't
144-148 Ham Band 2 M
148-174 VHF High Band
406-420 Federal Gov't
420-450 Ham Band 70 Cm
450-470 UHF Band
470-512 UHF "T" Band
806-956 800 MHz Band (less cellular)

"Like New" condition, includes all original items!

$175.00, includes shipping within the U.S., lower 48 states!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

4467. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39A6A1C2.A2E21CA7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"M.J.Powell" wrote:
>
> In article <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>, Keem-O-Sabe
> <kos@thereservation.how> writes
> >>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >>>
> >>> Jim Dunnett
> >
> >>Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
> >>Brian Denley
> >
> >Brits my red ass!!
>
> You're a monkey? Wow! You type quite well.

BOLO for spontaneous Shakespeare.
>
> Mike
> --
> M.J.Powell

4468. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8o68o0$16g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>,
kos@thereservation.how (Keem-O-Sabe) wrote:
> >> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >>
> >> Jim Dunnett
>
> >Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
> >Brian Denley
>
> Brits my red ass!!
>
> Keem-O-Sabe
>
>
Keem-O-Sabe,

If your infering that the Indians had a country here first. I beg to differ. What you had was a bunch of naked people, of different tribes, running through the woods killing each other.

With the advent of the "White man" we organized this mess into a country. We threw out the Redcoats, brought in the black man.

Now we had a country. We put on clothes, ran around the woods and killed off the Indians. Then we enslaved the black folks we stole from Africa.

See, thats a real country.

Hardy Har Har !!

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4469. Re: PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8o68ml$g37$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lippy <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to radio
> shack for new firmware to make it work correct.
>

Some people say that the original 92 works better in certain situations than the 'new and improved' model with the same firmware that the 2067 mobile has. So I would do a little looking around on this newsgroup first to check that possible situation out before I would consider getting any upgrade.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

4470. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Beck <marble35@home.com>
Message-ID: <6Hxp5.24093$Ur3.271084@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81279

Does anybody out there have the frequencies for State of Idaho Dept. of Lands? Also I'd like the numbers for the Forest Service. I live near the parts of the state that are having the terrible fires and would like to listen in.

4471. BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N0yp5.3605$Y5.33771@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81280

What is the status with the BC780XLT ?? I thought it was supposed to be released in July, but haven't seen anything about it. Are we going to see it soon? how much will it be?

TIA

4472. S.A.M.E. weather alert on Pro 92/1067 and Pro 94/1052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8o6cm1hpv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81281

Can anyone explain what messages are displayed for the SAME weather alert feature on the Pro-92/1067 and/or the Pro-94/1052.

The display on my weather alert radio indicates if it is a watch or warning and what type of watch or warning (tornado, thunderstorm etc.); also how many warnings/watches there are and how many hours and minutes until it expires. Do any of the above scanners display any or all of this information. Thanks in advance.
Jim K.

4473. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A6BD16.ECD7DDC3@home.com>
Source record: 81282

I tried asking Uniden this through email, but I have yet to get an answer. That was two weeks ago. However, Scanners Unlimited has it listed as available in November 2000. I, too, have been waiting for this scanner and I keep flip-flopping on whether to buy a Pro-2067 cause all I have now is a 2026 and I really want something with text tags.

Digimon wrote:

> What is the status with the BC780XLT ?? I thought it was supposed to be
> released in July, but haven't seen anything about it. Are we going to see
> it soon? how much will it be?
>
> TIA

4474. CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>
Source record: 81283

Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??

4475. Re: Wanted:GSM decoding scanner or software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <39A6BC3A.88DC9B90@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 81284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thats the name he wrote,the scanner was bought in Asia.

"Per H. Nielsen" wrote:

> LS <sbe14082@post.netlink.se> writes:
>
> >It is for sale in Sweden,the name of the scanner is UBIK 2000.
>
> Never heard about it and I happen to know a great deal about
> the Scandinavian scanner market.
>
> Are you sure about the name. A search on the WEB gives absolutely
> nothing.
>
> Du you have any dealer reference?
>
> Regards
> Per
>
> --
> Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
> My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
> To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

4476. Re: S.A.M.E. weather alert on Pro 92/1067 and Pro 94/1052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <rgzp5.12825$QW4.171260@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81285

"RUN!!!" :)

That's a good question...I'll look into it.

Bill Crocker

"Jim Keeling" <insgeeks@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8o6cm1hpv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Can anyone explain what messages are displayed for the SAME weather alert
> feature on the Pro-92/1067 and/or the Pro-94/1052.
>
> The display on my weather alert radio indicates if it is a watch or
warning
> and what type of watch or warning (tornado, thunderstorm etc.); also how
> many warnings/watches there are and how many hours and minutes until it
> expires. Do any of the above scanners display any or all of this
> information. Thanks in advance.
> Jim K.
>
>
>
>
>

4477. Re: Let's get larson a new ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <sgzp5.24118$Ur3.272284@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81286

wrong, again.

So how come you can't post from your real e-mail address?

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Sounds like a dream?
> Mackey has now received warnings from abuse@home.
> The retard is walking on thin ice with abuse@home.net, his ISP, so just
> forward all his nonsense to abuse@home.net.
SNIP

4478. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: helvetica@sitka.spruce.net
Message-ID: <39a6bdec.31186614@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 81287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 02:20:42 GMT, jimchrome@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>>
>> --
>> Jim Dunnett
>>
>> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
>> nordland at lineone.net
>
>We'll match our bigoted and violent screwballs against yours any day.
>Tho, you could trump us with your football supporters.

That's very true, but we don't allow them to run broadcasting
stations.

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

4479. FS: BC895-XLT TT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <apzp5.12827$QW4.170562@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81288

FOR SALE:

The BC-895XLT Trunk-Tracker is a 300 channel (ten bank) scanner. Since the introduction of trunked systems, tracking a conversation on a conventional scanner was virtually impossible. Now with the Trunk-TrackerT System you can search, lock-on and track a conversation-even if the channel changes between replies. Trunk delay and Trunk lockout is featured. Scan at 100 channels per second. Features: LCD dimmer, S-indicator, channel lockout, count key, weather alert, autosort and ten priority channels. The BC-895XLT even has built-in CTCSS decode!!!

Jacks for: antenna (BNC), ext. speaker, record, aux., 12 VDC power and RS-232 port (requires special software not provided). With telescoping whip antenna and AC adapter. 10.5"x3.5"x7.375"

Frequencies
29 - 30 Ham Band-10 M
30 - 50 VHF Low
50 - 54 Ham Band-6 M
108-136 Aircraft
136-144 Federal Gov't
144-148 Ham Band-2 M
148-174 VHF High Band
216-400 UHF Air Band
400-420 Federal Gov't
420-450 Ham Band 70 Cm
450-470 UHF Band
470-512 UHF "T" Band
806-956 800 MHz Band (less cellular)

Brand new, in the box, with A.C. power pack, antenna, manual, and warranty registration!

****
****
I am including the optional RS232 serial interface cable, that allows you to program, and/or control the scanner using a PC with Microsoft Windows, and the appropriate software!
****
****

$175.00

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

4480. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <wuzp5.24122$Ur3.271530@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81289

I have NEVER changed my name to avoid killfilters.

"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> Still skirting the fact that you have changed your name to avoid
killfilters

4481. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <DAzp5.24124$Ur3.272341@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81290

are you talking about Blake or Eric?

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> He really is abysmally stupid and dense isn't he Jason. You are quite
SNIP

4482. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <iEzp5.24128$Ur3.272626@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> radio related. So you reply with this childish garbage. Fine I'll post
> an on-topic post that I have made as soon as you show the world your
SNIP

OK, I'll be waiting.

> BTW, do you even OWN a scanner? For the life of me, I can't see you
SNIP

I own an MX-3000

4483. Re: more lies from Blake!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kFzp5.24129$Ur3.272552@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have been wishing they would do that for a long time.

"Smokey Behr" <gothbehrTREET@gte.net> wrote
> Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?

4484. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <uGzp5.24132$Ur3.272689@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have NEVER hated Bill. Infact I sorta liked the guy & felt sorry for him.

"Brian W Edginton" <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au> wrote
> And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
> finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
SNIP

4485. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <8Kzp5.24137$Ur3.272581@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81294

I have never stalked anyone.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote

> Why do yoiu care? Stalking Hanson again? still?
SNIP

4486. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8o6h2c$6eh$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's called freedom of speech. That is one of the main reasons for this
country, our ancestors got tired of some king telling us what we could and
couldn't say.
"agr4g" <helvetica@sitka.spruce.net> wrote in message
news:39a6bdec.31186614@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk...
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 02:20:42 GMT, jimchrome@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >
> >> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >>
> >> --
> >> Jim Dunnett
> >>
> >> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> >> nordland at lineone.net
> >
> >We'll match our bigoted and violent screwballs against yours any day.
> >Tho, you could trump us with your football supporters.
>
> That's very true, but we don't allow them to run broadcasting
> stations.
>
> --
> Jim Dunnett
>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net
> g4rga at thersgb.net

4487. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <bXzp5.24144$Ur3.272849@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Actually, as our membership rolls is over 100 people, we are
> quite well recognized.

Who are those 100 people?

> Who in the world need the FCC or ARRL to recognize us? They
> really could care less.

I am sure you don't care about hte FCC or ARRL, as indicated by your
conduct. You are also jealous, because our group (the real PARG) is!!!

http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=k7pdx

http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=kc0aat

http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/examsearch.phtml?zip=97229&dist=20

http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/96/960705/#phantom

4488. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 00:52:08 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a5c2f0.20301284@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81333

On 24 Aug 2000 16:50:11 GMT, rocky178@aol.com (Rocky178) wrote:

>Thanks for the Frequencies Tim. I saw Hardly any PSP cars. The freqs were
>somewhat active. I hope soon they move to a repeater so we can hear both sides.
>Again thanks for the info.
>
>Mike

They're suppose to go digital soon. Most PSP bases don't use a
repeater. I prefer them not using a repeater because if I hear a car,
I know they are somewhat close.

4489. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:03:26 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824210326.06535.00000031@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81334

Yea That is true. It is nice to know if they are nearby. Are they going duplex digital? I think I read they are going to An APCO 25 trunked system?

Mike

4490. Re: NYC EMS for Staten Island

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:04:27 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824210427.06535.00000032@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81335

EMS Richmond 484.4875 & 484.2375

4491. Re: NYFD Staten Island frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:05:19 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824210519.06535.00000033@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81336

```
FD SI - 154.190
FD BK 154.370
FD Bx - 154.190
FD Qns - 154.400
```

4492. Re: Newark Intl Airport New Jersey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:12:59 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000824211259.03028.00000113@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 81337

Here's the info on EWR. It came from www.airnav.com:

Airport Communications
UNICOM: 122.95
ATIS: 115.7(ARR)
132.45(DEP)(973-624-6463)
134.825(S ARR)
WX ASOS: PHONE 973-621-2892
NEWARK GROUND: 121.8
NEWARK TOWER: 118.3
257.6
134.05
NEW YORK APPROACH: 127.6(270-330)
128.55(090-240)
132.7(331-089)
132.8(241-269)
NEW YORK DEPARTURE: 119.2
CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 118.85
PRE-TAXI CLEARANCE: 118.85
CLASS B: 125.5
CLASS B 2000'/BLO WITHIN 6.5NM: 127.85
257.6
EMERG: 121.5
243.0
FINAL VECTOR: 125.85
GATE HOLD: 126.15
IC: 128.55(090-240)

4493. Re: Pennsylvania State Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:23:26 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a5c9c2.22047453@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81338

On 25 Aug 2000 01:03:26 GMT, rocky178@aol.com (Rocky178) wrote:

>Yea That is true. It is nice to know if they are nearby. Are they going duplex
>digital? I think I read they are going to An APCO 25 trunked system?
>

I don't know. I assume everything will go through repeaters, but I'm
not sure. They will have car to car MDT to talk with someday.
They signed a deal for MDT with Motorola and the radio system will be
done by M/A-Com. I hope the new system won't work. A statewide trunked
system over an entire hilly state doesn't make sense to me.

4494. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 01:47:32 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39A5D075.A59F3D11@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Brian Denley wrote:
>
> Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
> Brian Denley
>

Pissed Brits at that. They did turn violence out of a tea party
after all.
> --
> "There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
> miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein
>
> Jimmy <helvetica@nonsuch.net> wrote in message
> news:39a57244.36752753@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk...
> > On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 14:52:09 GMT, "Castiron Transmission repairperson"
> > <friskeys@aol.com> wrote:
> >
>
> > What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >
> > --
> > Jim Dunnett
> >
> > amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> > nordland at lineone.net
> > g4rga at thersgb.net
```

4495. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 02:20:42 UTC
From: jimchrome@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o4l59$69g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>
> --
> Jim Dunnett
>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net

We'll match our bigoted and violent screwballs against yours any day.
Tho, you could trump us with your football supporters.

Kevin

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4496. Re: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 03:19:10 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A5E5E2.51EE8A1@home.com>
Source record: 81341

here's another one for you: abuse mail sent.

FRNKBURNS wrote:

> >Subject: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)
> >From: toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
> >Date: 8/23/00 7:39 AM Mountain Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20000823093941.03350.00001464@nso-ch.aol.com>
> >
> >Regarding "Eric Oyen":
> >
> >Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos on
> >this
> >newsgroup a few years back?
> >
> >I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up on here
> >every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared. Or am
> >I
> >thinking of someone else?
>
> Speaking of Eric's adversaries, whatever happened to Drew "CBRAMBO" Durigan??
> Heard he's selling radios on eBay now and just hasn't got the time to keep
> changing AOL screen names in order to chase his buddy Eric Oyen across the
> Internet ;) Thank God for small miracles.

4497. Re: How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 03:42:18 UTC
From: fire228@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o4pum$bm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81342

Hello everyone,

Check out "The Scanner Emporium"....

Go to:
http://www.angelfire.com/az/firecommunications/main.html

.....and click on the banner....

Scan on,
Jack

In article <19910-399AD2E0-79@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
zerg90@webtv.net (PZ) wrote:
> One of the best sources of scanner freqs is at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb
-
> click on "Databases" - then click on "Search the WTB Databases" (the
top
> item). Peter S
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4498. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 03:57:20 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>>
>> Jim Dunnett

>Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>Brian Denley

Brits my red ass!!

Keem-O-Sabe

4499. Re: 4 level QPSK Demodulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 04:55:33 UTC
From: esystemss@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000825005533.29642.00000155@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 81344

posag works but flex dosent?

4500. Re: wtb: optoelectronics scout or cub

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 04:59:51 UTC
From: Craig Chayba <ve6crz@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A60E56.1534AE21@home.com>
Source record: 81345

Hi Adam I have a Opto Scout model 40,its 2 years old with about two hrs of total use on it.I used it with an Icom R-10 wich I,ve sold because of lack of use.The Scout works very well with that radio in capture mode as well as by itself as a piece of test equipment.I had a different cable made that works much better for the connection between the units, after reading an article on the Strong Signals web site.The Scout is in MINT condition with software, manuals, charger and original box.The Scout retails for 449.00 US,I,d like to sell mine for $325.US obo. If your interested LMK.Craig

Adam Conrad wrote:
>
> Ebay bidding got out of control. Looking to buy one of these in good
> condition.
>
> Regards,
> Adam Conrad
> 814-696-0104

4501. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 05:19:42 UTC
From: Smokey Behr <gothbehrTREET@gte.net>
Message-ID: <b90cqs453rth5rjarnn8vtb06rigp9sjf8@4ax.com>
Source record: 81346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?

On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 08:11:28 -0800, Cory <cydag@lpaa.com> wrote:

>
>
>K S 0 F wrote:
>
>> Timmy-
>>
>> Get a life, & get real Mackey!
>>
>> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>> > You are desperate John. A quick call to Lolenzo T.
>> SNIP
>
>

--
Smokey Behr
Bush/Cheney 2000 - Bringing integrity back to the White House.
Come see our ratties! http://connect.to/ratcam
Come visit twofatladies and DocHattori, my NeoPets!
http://www.neopets.com/refer.phtml?username=smokeybehr
WIN A FREE FIREARM!!!! YES, I SAID FREE!!!!
http://directedfire.com/greatgungiveaway/directedfire.referrer.fcgi?1071

4502. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 05:26:44 UTC
From: edgo1@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <39ca025d.31564181@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 81247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:19:42 GMT, Smokey Behr <gothbehrTREET@gte.net>
wrote:

>Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?
>
Haven't been here for quite a while......what, finally, happened to
Bill ?
And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
Seriously, though.....what did happen to Bill ?
I had a lot of respect for the man and hoped things would work out.

brianWE

I had no shoes and wept...until I met a man who had no feet.
Cheapest pair of shoes I ever bought.

4503. Re: Help w/Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 05:58:27 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39A60B02.50347521@pitt.edu>
Source record: 81248

Good point, now that you mention it, I don't recall seeing any Ramsey adds in awhile. I'm not 100% on how voice inversion works but I'm going to "assume" (I know a bad thing to do) that the Ramsey will only work with the cheaper inversion systems. I think these cheaper systems use a standard tone that the Ramsey listens for. With the better decoders you must be able to shift or vary this tone making the unit compatable with other systems. I wonder if they work with rolling code
systems ? I know that the Ramsey does not, but then again you get what you pay for. --Dan--

st wrote:

> It's true ramsey doesn't sell half the kits they used to anymore, sucks...
> What's the difference between the ramsey kit descrambler and other kits?
> All the other descrablers I've seen have to adjustable knobs for the
> freuency, however the ramsey kit has to adjustments. Does this mean the
> ramsey kit adjusts automatically or it's preset to a certain set of
> freuencies?
>
> "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
> news:39A41BDE.4B945C1E@pitt.edu...
> >
> >
> > Steven Bradley wrote:
> > >
> > > Can you enlighten me as to what the differences are between the SS-70
> and
> > > SS-70A? I have the earlier version (which worked well for the three
> > > police departments I used it to monitor, of which one is now on an Astro
> > > 800 system, the other is a type I/II 800 system and the third no longer
> > > uses it).
> >
> > I'm honestly not sure what the difference between to 70 & 70A are. The
> > features of the 70 sound the same as the "A"
> > >
> > > The most recent application was to decode the "scrambled" cordless
> phones
> > > on the 25 channel 46-49 mhz band, works well for that purpose. What
> > > applications does yours have?
> >
> > Yes the 70A works well in this arena, however I find monitoring phone
> > conversations both cordless and cellular to be rather boring. My
> > employer has installed voice inversion on their comm system so it's
> > intended use is to be dedicated to monitor that. I could use my portable
> > that's issued to me for this but this would create some problems such as

> > leaving it on 24/7 then when I need it, it wouldn't fully charged etc.
> > >
> > > My SS-70 has a power on or off (off is set as bypass) and a volume
> > > control. It is RX in and out as well as TX in and out. As I recall,
> the
> > > RX is amplified and the TX is not, not sure though as it has been quite
> a
> > > while since I last used it. So what is new with the SS-70A.
> >
> > The 70A has a seperate bypass switch, this maybe the difference between
> > the two.
> > >
> > > I am surprised the feds have not shutdown that project of Ramsey's
> because
> > > it can be used to "violate" the law by allowing things to be
> > > decoded/descrambled. Oh, that's right, it's not digital. I guess that
> > > makes some difference. If so, why the big deal about analog cellular
> > > phones?
> >
> > The FEDS did shut this down. I beleive it was two years ago one of the
> > three letter agencies raided Ramsey and confiscated alot of their kits.
> > If memory serves me correctly micro transmitters that could be used as
> > bugs and the descramblers were the items they were mostly concerned
> > with.
> >
> > What I don't understand is there is another company here on the net
> > pushing descramblers without any hint of federal intervention. In fact
> > if I can not find the fix for this annoying hiss on the 70A I will be
> > buying one of these units for my application. The reviews that I read
> > have been very good. The Ramsey was more in line with my budget
> > however.
> >
> > Thanks for your input --Dan--
> > >
> > > That's my nickels worth...
> > > Steven
> > >
> > > "D. Ruhe" wrote:
> > >
> > > > I've obtained a Ramsey SS-70A Descrambler, the unit works well however
> > > > when there is no audio
> > > > there is an annoying hiss that comes from the speaker. I remember
> > > > awhile back there was a discussion regarding this problem. If I
> > > > remember the fix was a simple component change. Does anyone have this
> > > > fix or know where I can find it ?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks in Advance --Dan-- KE3UC
> > >
> > > --
> > > Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive

> > > steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
> > > CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
> > > URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325
> > >
> > > "And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to
> all
> > > creation". Mark 16:15

4504. FA: RS Pro-2020 / FA: Scanner antenna (Last Day)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 06:25:41 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <wjop5.7905$eL4.69523@news4.atl>
Source record: 81249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2020
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417168574

Scanner antenna
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=417192333

You can put it on your credit card with Billpoint and save a $1.

4505. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-25 06:33:23 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <7k2cqskn4u1tg3v8ca8m19vdi4mono4ue0@4ax.com>
Source record: 81250

"elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote:

> Oh thank you so much.

Okay... let me try:

There are certain type of mathematical formulae that, if you
remove a single parameter, become simply unsolvable by any other
method than trial and error. Given proper choices of certain
values, the time to solve them by trial and error becomes enormous --
as in, more time than the universe will exist as we understand it
today (using today's technology).

True cryptography makes use of such formulae.

Putting the whole equation that encrypts (and a possibly
very different one for decrypting) data consists of these
well chosen values (typically large prime numbers -- divisible
by 1 and themselves only) into these equations with the keys,
data can be pushed through the encrypting process relatively
quickly. Lots of multiplication and addition, but that's it.
But trying to determine the key -- the user specified part --
even if you know the rest of the equation and the "well
chosen" values -- is only theoretically possible in a *good*
encryption system.

Key choice is important too; that's how most systems are
"broken;" by learning something about how the system is keyed.

Most likely a voice encryption system used by professionals
won't have that problem.

Again, the mark of a good encryption system is that even though
all details about it *except the key* are fully available, it
is unbreakable as far as anyone knows. Breaking one would either
show a weakness in the algorithm or fundamentally change our
knowledge of mathematics. It really isn't just about hacking code.

If you really want to dig into the gory details of the subject
may I consider "Applied Cryptography" by Bruce Schnier or a
thorough reading of the docs and source code to PGP?

Eric

(P.S. Hi, Jamie, long time no write... I'm out of the VMS and NT
biz again and over into Unix internals these days...)

> "Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message
> news:39a51f09.233994486@nntp.cts.com...
> > On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 06:24:58 -0500, "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >"Helmut Wabnig" <hwabnig@aon.at> wrote in message
> > >news:9rq9qs8ojkl2jthr383d66qkvogfsg7u8n@4ax.com...
> > >> On Thu, 24 Aug 2000 02:51:30 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >I would love to see you or anyone else try to decode a KY-58 or KY-100
> in
> > >> >ANY time let alone real time.
> > >> >
> > >> >"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
> > >> >news:8nf4actbc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > >> >>
> > >> >><snip>
> > >> >> screen!), computers are going to be plenty powerful enough to
> decrypt
> > >> >> almost *any* encrypted communications (remember, this stuff has to
> be
> > >> >> encrypted in realtime, so the algorithms can never been all that
> > >> >> complex), and nothing will have changed much. There will be
> software
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> GOD spoke there be software and there was software.
> > >>
> > >> There will be software!
> > >>
> > >> how funny, people in religious faith for software to be born
> > >> out of nothing, software tailored to their stupid phantasies.
> > >>
> > >> w
> > >
> > >Why are people so mean to each other? We have seen computers do amazing
> > >things and hackers are every where. The internet is full of "broken code"
> > >hackers. I just cannot believe the number of folks who are so quick on
> the
> > >keyboard to be rude and cruel.
> >
> > I can't speak to Helmut's phraseology (and you might make allowance for
> > his likely being a non-native English speaker; phrasings you find
> > insulting might have come from a completely different mindset than what
> > you read into them). But his analysis is correct. You simply don't
> > understand the nature of the problem.
> >
> > --- jeh
>

--
73, Eric F. Richards, KB0YDN, efricha@dimensional.com
"A few old diehards still blazoned 'Spark Forever!' on their QSL cards..."
- from "200 Meters & Down", copyright 1936, ARRL

4506. Re: Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Robbins <W2MR@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JvKp5.19091$%O6.1199306@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81112

A halfwave dipole is 5616/frequency
A quarterwave whip is 2808/frequency

The result will be in inches.

Example - 5616/300(MHz) is 18.72 Inches (This is a halfwave dipole).
2808/300(MHz) is 9.36 Inches (This is a quarerwave whip)

Happy scanning!

Mark

4507. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B5CCEC83005771730D1CD2A0@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 81113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 14:36:22 -0500, helmsman@coward.no.guts> wrote:

> 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> whites.

And?

Sounds like?

Your point?

Are you trying to prove that capitalism is inherently evil?

Sorry but I just can not buy into Communism; I've been "behind the wall".

I can understand your [Helmsman@noguts.coward.commie] point of view but - as stated above - I just think that the government of your people in China and Cuba and VietNam and North Korea - is immoral.

I - intellectually - can understand your personal need and hunger for an absolute and an "iron-clad" "truth" but, I assure you, communism is not the "answer".

Keep reading and looking (and THINKING!).

Gray

Gray Shockley
Entropy Maintenance Technician
Tao Chemical Company
---
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, Mississippi US

4508. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B5CCF09A005867810D1CD2A0@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 81114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 1:30:42 -0500, Keem-O-Sabe wrote:

> You sound like a typical Porky Radio Operator. Are you one of those porky
> pigs on 3950, or thereabouts?
>
> Keem-O-Sabe

Are you - have you been deemed- "humor-impaired"?

You might try reading that post about a hundred times and - if you don't
figger it out - turn yourself in because you are truly "humor-impaired".

As far as "gaynet"/"swishnet" on 3.950 goes, these "girls/boys" have the same
right to the airwaves as anyone else!

Gray

Gray Shockley
Entropy Maintenance Technician
Tao Chemical Company
---
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, Mississippi US

4509. Re: Scanner scematics/manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.comgte.net
Message-ID: <20000826050857.25730.00000518@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 81115

do u have any Schematics for a Pro-2021 scanner?? you can also email warrior5@gte.net ... thanks

4510. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <J3PZI2BWu4p5EwWj@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 81116

In article <8o73eh$a2uf1$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>, Roland Stiner
<nk2u@netzero.net> writes

>> I was going to pick up a BC245 from Javiation, but was informed they are
>not
>> permitted to sell any Trunk Tracking scanners in Europe. I (obviously)
>> didn't know that.
>
>Interesting, did they tell you why? They allow cellular reception, why not
>trunking?

Its not the Trunking that's the issue but the fact the BC-245XLT is not
CE approved (rather like FCC/UL approval in the USA) for distribution in
Europe. We could put the BC-245XLT forward for certification ourselves
but costs are high and sales would be limited so prices would be forced
up to cover costs - it may also fail.

Trunking protocols in the UK/Europe differ in the main from those the
BC-245XLT and other "Trunktrackers" will work with although as mentioned
earlier in this thread there are two/three users in the UK using
Motorola trunking systems.

Uniden have however introduced the UBC-245XLT into Australia which does
use Motorola systems more widely and it is this model we are hoping to
be able to offer in the not too distant future.

All the best for the weekend.
--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4511. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <39A799C6.56A2C54F@mindless.com>
Source record: 81117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gray Shockley wrote:
>
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 14:36:22 -0500, helmsman@coward.no.guts> wrote:
>
> > 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> > the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> > whites.
> -------------------------
> And?
>
> Sounds like?
>
> Your point?
>
If he had a point, would it be,
two wrongs make it all right?

> Are you trying to prove that capitalism is inherently evil?
>
> Sorry but I just can not buy into Communism; I've been "behind the wall".
>
> I can understand your [Helmsman@noguts.coward.commie] point of view but - as
> stated above - I just think that the government of your people in China and
> Cuba and VietNam and North Korea - is immoral.
>
> I - intellectually - can understand your personal need and hunger for an
> absolute and an "iron-clad" "truth" but, I assure you, communism is not the
> "answer".
>
> Keep reading and looking (and THINKING!).
>
> Gray
> -------------------------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> Tao Chemical Company
> -------------------------
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, Mississippi US

Hehe,
Good one. Of course, what makes your post so funny
is that an anonymous goon who writes about "Negro's" [sic],

especially on THIS newsgroup, is 100% sure to be semi-literate,
and about 99% sure to be one of those white-supremacists
who thinks he's some sort
of ultra-patriot capitalist for hating them there "Negro's" [sic]
and foams at the mouth about "Red China" and such.

[But I'm sure he's all for "Negro's" as long as he gets to own one...]

Where is the Soylent Green factory when you truly
need it?

-- Ross

--

==========
= Thank Dog for dyslexia =
==========

4512. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <39A799CB.4E9AF203@mindless.com>
Source record: 81118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gray Shockley wrote:
>
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 14:36:22 -0500, helmsman@coward.no.guts> wrote:
>
> > 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> > the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> > whites.
> -------------------------
> And?
>
> Sounds like?
>
> Your point?
>
If he had a point, would it be,
two wrongs make it all right?

> Are you trying to prove that capitalism is inherently evil?
>
> Sorry but I just can not buy into Communism; I've been "behind the wall".
>
> I can understand your [Helmsman@noguts.coward.commie] point of view but - as
> stated above - I just think that the government of your people in China and
> Cuba and VietNam and North Korea - is immoral.
>
> I - intellectually - can understand your personal need and hunger for an
> absolute and an "iron-clad" "truth" but, I assure you, communism is not the
> "answer".
>
> Keep reading and looking (and THINKING!).
>
> Gray
> -------------------------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> Tao Chemical Company
> -------------------------
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, Mississippi US

Hehe,
Good one. Of course, what makes your post so funny
is that an anonymous goon who writes about "Negro's" [sic],

especially on THIS newsgroup, is 100% sure to be semi-literate,
and about 99% sure to be one of those white-supremacists
who thinks he's some sort
of ultra-patriot capitalist for hating them there "Negro's" [sic]
and foams at the mouth about "Red China" and such.

[But I'm sure he's all for "Negro's" as long as he gets to own one...]

Where is the Soylent Green factory when you truly
need it?

-- Ross

--

==========
= Thank Dog for dyslexia =
==========

4513. AT&T Digital Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <EgNp5.90446$oj6.1802285@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 81119

I just had the AT&T Digital Wireless phone system installed at my house, so far it is working great, but would like to know some details, I have read that it is in the 1900 mhz range, and digital so it would be very hard to evesdrop on, but I am just wanting info on the system, if possible.

4514. FS: PRO-75 Handheld Scanner **$90** TO YOUR DOOR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <MjNp5.3231$_X.13231@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The PRO-75 has 200 programmable channels and covers the "usual" freq.'s
between 29MHz and 512MHz. It is in great (9.5/10) shape and comes with a
rubber ducky antenna and original
users manual. Here's the best part: $90 SHIPPED TO YOUR DOOR! For details
or questions, contact me at rakolari@cyberbeach.net . Thanks!

Adam Kolari

4515. Re: Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <G%Np5.14623$up6.319624@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 81121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

--
blah blah blah
How much power could
> one run when the total size of the amp is similar to a pack of
> cigarettes?
Not much you really NEED sinks and availiable power supply.I am no engineer
but I would say 35 and the thing would run hot as heck.

4516. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <b2Op5.10865$Q%5.343180@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 81122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
--
blah blah blah
==============================
You're doing what THEY want class warfare,....Idiots.
Limit this discussion to e-mail please
thx A READER
```

4517. imp0rtati0n

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <JbOp5.10870$Q%5.343191@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 81123

Punishment for importing radio that has #$##$#
wavelengths from outside U.S.A.?.
And having it intercepted.By uSpS or cu$t0mz?
mucho's gracias
--
blah blah blah

4518. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nemo <Nemo@murphy.net>
Message-ID: <39A7B6AA.D8A30382@murphy.net>
Source record: 81124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> What a wonderful country you live in!!!

> --
> Jim Dunnett

Jim:

>
> - What can you expect from the progeny of English Prisoners..??

- But Wait!
we also have Artist here, Like Liberache & Pat Boone;
& great Religious leaders like Rev. Jimmy Swaggart
Great Sports Figures like O.J. Simpson & Tonya Harding!

that must count for something!

>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net
> g4rga at thersgb.net

4519. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nemo <Nemo@murphy.net>
Message-ID: <39A7B704.383CFE8F@murphy.net>
Source record: 81125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> What a wonderful country you live in!!!

> --
> Jim Dunnett

Jim:

>
> - What can you expect from the progeny of English Prisoners..??

- But Wait!
we also have Artist here, Like Liberache & Pat Boone;
& great Religious leaders like Rev. Jimmy Swaggart
Great Sports Figures like O.J. Simpson & Tonya Harding!

that must count for something!

>
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> nordland at lineone.net
> g4rga at thersgb.net

4520. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.14115e3a486a47bd989b04@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 81126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39a76584.60039945@news.uswest.net>, kos@thereservation.how
says...
> >>>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
> >>>> Jim Dunnett
>
> >>> Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
> >>> Brian Denley
>
> >> Brits my red ass!!
> >> Keem-O-Sabe
>
> > I stand corrected Tonto.
> > Brian Denley
>
> At least you're acknowledging that the pre-white natives were humans beings. To a lot of
palefaces,
> non-whites were (and still are) just animals.
>
> Keem-O-Sabe (no soy tonto)
>
>
As one of those animals I take umbrage to the stupidity of your
statement. My great-great grandfathers made one error...they allowed the
white man to land on our land. They should have gutted each and every one
then and there.
--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 694
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

4521. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Young <andrew_young@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <RqPp5.181463$1h3.3404066@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 81127

I'm afraid stevw1 is right on this one. I read on the industry Canada the other day that you can only buy a digital scanner if you are an amateur radio operator and can give a good reason for wanting one. The law for digital scanning is not the same as the law for analog scanning here in Canada either.

Andy

4522. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39A7D80F.6581D376@bctv.com>
Source record: 81128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:
>
> Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.

Yes, but we have had a couple of centuries to recover.

4523. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39A7D474.50471902@cts.com>
Source record: 81129

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> I have NEVER changed my name to avoid killfilters.

Once again....

Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
told
us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
us he
was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
find
the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
off
to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
Here's
what they show me.

There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
<mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>

Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
<jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575

According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
toilet-boy
or
Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
These
are
just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
Thousands
of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
John
(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.

I am going to forward this to abuse@home.net his ISP. Maybe they can
help
stop
the one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey from further
destroying this and the Ham Radio hobby. Maybe we can all write the ARRL
about this great fool of a Ham they have representing the hobby.

Tim Larson think I'll stick with CB!

4524. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A7D5A6.B6B360C3@home.com>
Source record: 81130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Listen up John,
I no longer have the time, or the energy to be trying to
reason with the stubborn brick wall that is you.
So, I will put it succinctly and then I will terminate this
conversation.

FACT, in September of 1992, Bill Cheeck was elected
by ops council as head of scannradio fidonet forum.
within 2 weeks, you had made such an uproar, that you
were asked to leave. You refused, and were therefor banned.

Fact, within 2 months, you appear on the internet
USENET berating your situation, blaming Bill cheeck for it all
and generally making an ass of yourself. Since then, you haven't
shut up.

FACT: you continue to deny your actions, even after you pulled them
on others IN FULL VIEW of the usenet public.

FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
back over 8 years!

FACT: in the last 2 months, you have posted a sum total
of 672 times, with only 5 articles ON TOPIC for any of the usenet
groups you posted to.

FACT: you claimed to have degrees, yet a check of the online
databases that carry such information reveals that your name
is "mysteriously abscent".

Igiven all this, it has been determined by many people
that you tend to tell lies all the time. It has become such a
habit with you that you no longer are able to distinguish
between reality and your.own fabrications.

Now, if I sound like I a a little irritated, thats because I am
(and its not even related to you at all). I have just spent the last 4 days
pouring over information I need for starting a small busines, I haven't had
much sleep as a result. Therefore, such childishness as you CONSISTENTLY
display, tends to further aggrevate an already tense situation.

It is because of this that I will simply break off communications,
place you in a kill filter (along with all of your known aliases) and
put paid to your musings on a more permanent basis.

I am done with you John. I'm putting you away (just like
any other toy).

Consider this my parting shot.

Good day.

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > care to explain this BALD FACED LIE?
>
> It is not a lie.
>
> > You've been in nearly a constant war of words with bill cheek since he
> > kicked you out of the scannradio forum on fidonet way back in the early
> 1990's!
>
> 1. I have NEVER been a "constant war of words with bill cheek".
> 2. I was NOT kicked out of the scannradio forum on fidonet in the early
> 1990's.
>
> Eric, why do you lie so often?
>
> > Remeber this john--> "those who ignore the mistakes if history will be
> doomed
> > to repeat them" -- Alexander the Great
>
> Eric, you should attempt to learn what that means.

4525. FS Sony WaveHawk PC version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <20000826103640.06823.00004411@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 81131

Just got in over my head with toys......Something has to go, this is one of them.

Make an offer on this unmodified PC controlled hand held scanner.

I've got the box, CDROM and manuals, but I'm not ready to say it's "new in box"

Reply directly to
WeBmedics@aol.com
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

4526. Re: FT, Yaesu 530 dualband handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <20000826103953.06823.00004413@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 81132

Would you consider a swap for a Sony WaveHawk Scanner with CDROM
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

4527. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: anonymous@dontemailme.com
Message-ID: <39a7e801.62482703@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 81133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Dear Mr. John Mackey:

Kindly remove "alt.firefighters" from crossposts. We have no desire to
read about or participate in this issue.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Anonymous member of the NG

4528. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Watkins <noemail@becauseofspam.com>
Message-ID: <8o8q5v$229r$1@thoth.cts.com>
Source record: 81134

On the Uniden website it says "Continuous Band Coverage" but on the Canadian site < http://www.durhamradio.ca/bearcat-bc780.htm > it says "Covers 25 to 512 MHz, 806 to 1300* MHz. *Cellular is blocked. Cannot be restored."

Does anyone know which is correct?

I don't really need cell coverage but I am just curios. I am new to the ham/scanner thing. I have had a BC3000 for a couple years that I have played with but I decided to get my ham no-code license and want to get a good radio & scanner.

--

Paul

Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:N0yp5.3605$Y5.33771@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> What is the status with the BC780XLT ?? I thought it was supposed to be
> released in July, but haven't seen anything about it. Are we going to see
> it soon? how much will it be?
>
>
> TIA

4529. MACKEY LIES Day 2635

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o8q6e$sv0$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81135

John-Corky does lie, the extent is what startles a guy. Since I posted the stats so kindly reposted by mark, John-Corky has posted 289 messages and name changed to dodge the killfiles 3 times.

Keep those cards and letters going out to abuse@home.net and don't forget the ARRL. I'll stick with CBing if John-Corky is representative of Ham radio. I would not trust him giving me a test and this OO thing. I read about it at www.arrl.org. It is nothing but a volunteer postion. Mackey didn't earn it or get honored by it. Volunteer, take the test, pass and you are an OO. Any person can be an OO, but John-Corky proved that.

TL

In article <39A7D474.50471902@cts.com>, markj <markj@cts.com> writes:
>
>
>"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>>
>> I have NEVER changed my name to avoid killfilters.
>
>Once again....
>
> Computers are so kewl, John Mackey told us he doesn't lie. John Mackey
>told
>us he doesn't change his name to advoid kill filters. John Mackey told
>us he
>was cleaning th group up. Computer are kewl! You can search things and
>find
>the truth. John Mackey hasn't been telling the truth. John Mackey comes
>off
>to myself and it seems over 45 users as a liar. Computers are kewl.
>Here's
>what they show me.
>
>There are 168 unique messages by"Innocent John!!!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=581771677
>There are 88 unique messages by"Innocent John!!"
><jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=574127179
>There are 20 unique messages by"Innocent John!" <jmackey@pargNOSPAM.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=570212716
>There are 23 unique messages by "K S 0 F" <REMOVEpio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=655658785
>There are 481 unique messages by "John..Mackey!!!!!!!" <jmackey@usa.net>

>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=524379761
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=538350752
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
><mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=498484200
>There are 481 unique messages by"J o h n M a c k e y <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=534159403
>There are 481 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net
><mailto:jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=493287280
>There are 481 unique messages by "John" <jmackey@usa.net>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=565170646
>There are 49 unique messages by "John Mac key <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=543790806
>There are 73 unique messages by "KS0F" <pio@paNOSPAMrg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=654673888
>There are 66 unique messages by "J O H N" <jmackey@parg.org.nospam>
>Found athttp://www.deja.com/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=652348268
>There are 168 unique messages by "John the Innocent!"
><jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=568967076
>There are 49 unique messages by "J.o.h.n M.a.c.k.e.y" <jmackey@parg.org>
>Found at http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=fs]/profile.xp?ST=MS&URN=547179575
>
>According to the proof above provided by Deja.com John (call me
>toilet-boy
>or
>Corky) Mackey is more than a liar. He strikes me as very very sick.
>These
>are
>just 15 of the different names he has used in the past few months.
>Thousands
>of messages but all are calling people liars or trying to convince us
>John
>(call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey is better than a dead man.
>
>I am going to forward this to abuse@home.net his ISP. Maybe they can
>help
>stop
>the one called John (call me toilet-boy or Corky) Mackey from further
>destroying this and the Ham Radio hobby. Maybe we can all write the ARRL
>about this great fool of a Ham they have representing the hobby.
>
>Tim Larson think I'll stick with CB!

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4530. Re: AR8200 verses MVT-9000 MK2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39A7EF24.98DA7979@iki.fi>
Source record: 81136

Jim Kiricov wrote:
>
> Which is better?
>
> I like the idea of the 8200 being compatable with the Scout frequency
> recorder,
> but the 9000 has more mods available to it and a cool signal meter.
>
> HELP!!!

Check http://www.bander.com/tech

there is videos of those scanners in action.

Mika

4531. Something about John-Corky KS0F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o8r62uik1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:
>Listen up John,

He can't his mouth is still open airing the gap out.

>FACT, in September of 1992, Bill Cheeck was elected
>by ops council as head of scannradio fidonet forum.
>within 2 weeks, you had made such an uproar, that you
>were asked to leave. You refused, and were therefor banned.

I can verify that. Also John-Corky's BBS that carrie Fido agreed with the ban.
Fred Hatfield also a moderator at one time banned Mackey.

>Fact, within 2 months, you appear on the internet
>USENET berating your situation, blaming Bill cheeck for it all
>and generally making an ass of yourself. Since then, you haven't
>shut up.

He stalked Bill Cheek until his death.

>FACT: you continue to deny your actions, even after you pulled them
>on others IN FULL VIEW of the usenet public.

John-Corky is the example of thriving on negative attention. He has nothing to
offer, no expertise so must be disruptive to get the only attention availble
to him. Most people get over this at the age of three or four years old.
John-Corky is a slow developer.

>FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
>back over 8 years!

It seems to only show Mackey-Corky back 6 years. He will use this one point to
focus on though. No denial just diversion.

>FACT: in the last 2 months, you have posted a sum total
>of 672 times, with only 5 articles ON TOPIC for any of the usenet
>groups you posted to.

That is quite generous on your part. I couldn't find but 2 and they were
mindless.

>FACT: you claimed to have degrees, yet a check of the online
>databases that carry such information reveals that your name
>is "mysteriously abscent".

If John-Corky had anything he would have posted a binary of the group by now.
John-Corky is a nothing. He is a VE and a OO and the PR for the jr high PARG.

That is it. Other than that and 400+ messages of BS a month, John-Corky is nothing.

>Igiven all this, it has been determined by many people
>that you tend to tell lies all the time. It has become such a
>habit with you that you no longer are able to distinguish
>between reality and your.own fabrications.

He knows he is a lie. He wakes up in the morning knowing he is a loser and goes to sleep feeling the same way. The boy is ignorant. A Buffon. A lie.

Timmy

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4532. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-D0AE3F.12330926082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 81138

In article <8o8q5v$229r$1@thoth.cts.com>, "Paul Watkins"
<noemail@becauseofspam.com> wrote:

> On the Uniden website it says "Continuous Band Coverage" but on the
> Canadian site it says "Covers 25 to
> 512 MHz, 806 to 1300* MHz. *Cellular is blocked. Cannot be restored."
>
> Does anyone know which is correct?

According to the two-page advance brochure, the latter is correct.

See http://www.scannersunlimited.com/780xlt.html

But it's worth noting that as far as Uniden is concerned, a scanner that
has the whole range minus cellular could be called continuous coverage
as it's not legal for them to make a cell-capable scanner in the U.S.
Personally, I would say that not having the UHF tv band would exclude
calling it "continuous." Anyway, I've seen no indication they plan a
call-capable version for other markets, but then again, the 780 is still
a ways from being available.

-A

4533. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o8rr7vev1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

K-S-0-F \(callsign\) writes

>
>"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
>> You have everything to gain, like credibility. You
>
>How can I gain something I already have in abundance?

Ashamed to say what you do for a living doesn't strike me an abundance of credibility John-Corky. You major acomplishment was posted as a binary a worthless ARRL OO certificate. If you had a college degree you would have posted it years ago, you are ashamed, no post. If you didn't change bedpans you would have posted what your employment is. You didn't. Your title. You didn't. You are nothing. You are a nothing.

>> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
>> Here are the terms again, just in case
>
>Richard, I don't care what you do.

Yes you do. You respond every time. It eats you that all these people know more than you. Have better jobs than you. Have better lives than you. Most every one here Corky is better offthan you.

TL

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4534. Re: Pro-2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39A7F702.3D91C993@megsinet.net>
Source record: 81140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Baby monitors use the 49 MHz range, not 457 MHz.

To program the search limits for search bank 6, for
example, press:

Program
6
Limit
457.5125
Enter
Limit
457.65
Enter

To search, press the up or down arrow key,
then the 6 key. Select the step size you want.

BK wrote:
>
> Why would anyone want to monitor baby monitors anyway??
>
> Dan Yemiola wrote:
> >
> > I have lost the manual for my RS 2006.
> > Can anyone tell me how to set programmed scan band limits?
> > I want to scan 457.5125-457-.650.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

4535. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8o8s8mat1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 81141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <NLHp5.25307$Ur3.282436@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, K-S-0-F
\(callsign\) <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org> writes:
>
>"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
>> care to explain this BALD FACED LIE?
>
>It is not a lie.

All John-Corky says is a lie

>> You've been in nearly a constant war of words with bill cheek since he
>> kicked you out of the scannradio forum on fidonet way back in the early
>1990's!
>
>1. I have NEVER been a "constant war of words with bill cheek".

It couldn't have been a war of words Mackey-Corky against Cheek. Cheek would
have been found guilty of murder of an unarmed fool.

>2. I was NOT kicked out of the scannradio forum on fidonet in the early
>1990's.

Not only banned from the scanner forum but with the help of his local bbs
operator. Close your eyes John-Corky. Click your heels. Ha HA. You are still
here and you were still banned from the Fido scanner forum.

>Eric, why do you lie so often?

If he is a liar, he still told the truth about you, John-Corky.

What do you do for a living john-Corky? Ashamed aren't you.

TL

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4536. Re: Pro-2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A7F8E9.6FB9C1B1@home.com>
Source record: 81142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well my question was why listen to it?

4537. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39A7F9E1.C4B3D797@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Howard Lute wrote:
>

> As one of those animals I take umbrage to the stupidity of your
> statement. My great-great grandfathers made one error...they allowed the
> white man to land on our land. They should have gutted each and every one
> then and there.
> --

Note signature?

> friend,
> I am: Howard Lute
> eBay Rating: 694
> He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> She: Patient
> LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
> Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

4538. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A78787.7BA137C5@pacbell.net>
Source record: 81144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mailto:abuse@home.com

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> Dan - Pleease explain how you justify that feeling sorry for someone who
> recently
> died of cancer is "a deliberately provocative attempt to inflame"???
>
> Are you saying that I should have felt good??? Your logic is seriously
> flawed, Dan
> & you appear to be only trying to make trouble.
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > "K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
> > > I have NEVER hated Bill. Infact I sorta liked the guy & felt sorry for
> him.
> >
> > THAT is an outright lie!! It is so brazen that it can only be
> regarded
> > as a deliberately provocative attempt to inflame users here. To those who
> are

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4539. Re: John Mackey K S 0 F - Saint of the Newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A787B8.6218AD30@pacbell.net>
Source record: 81145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@home.com

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> You guess wrong. I have never attempted to avoid kill filters
>
> "qï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½vï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> > Guess your failing to respond is an admission of your
> > changing your name to avoid kill filters. Knew you weren't
> SNIP

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4540. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A78877.C84B9E42@pacbell.net>
Source record: 81146

mailto:abuse@home.com

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> I have NEVER changed my name to avoid killfilters.
>
> "Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> > Still skirting the fact that you have changed your name to avoid
> killfilters

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4541. Status Bit on 92A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a7f9fb.7468364@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81147

Is it true that you can't put in odd talkgroup numbers in the Pro 92A? For example, on the 245 XLT, you can turn the status bit off and enter 2039 as a talkgroup. Is this possible on the 92A?

4542. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Mackey lips - Mackey proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A7FBA1.CCB9AD8A@yua.com>
Source record: 81148

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> You shouldn't be talking to yourself like that mackey!
>
> "qï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½vï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> > You make stupidity an art form mackerel. YOU are abysmally stupid. Can
> > you hear me now?

4543. PARG: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A7FC6E.860BD487@yua.com>
Source record: 81149

I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
like.
I think these creeps should be run out of town.

4544. FS: RS PRO-60 $95, Handheld,wide coverage.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bb5782@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000826132420.18968.00000115@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 81150

This scanner is in very good condition. Covers 30-512, 760-1000 MHz.

4545. Re: Handheld scanner question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39A7FEE1.63605ED5@iki.fi>
Source record: 81151

st wrote:
>
> Seems to me thats it a toss up between the AOR 8200MKII and the Yupiteru
> MVT-9000 II. I've been asking the same question, and have heard that the
> MVT9000 is better in the shortwave area then the AOR. Also the MVT9000 has
> a refreshing silver case and comes with a built-in speech descrambler,
> however it lacks the computer control. The MVT9000 is also about $100 USD
> less then the AOR. If you could somehow combine both of them it would
> perhaps be the greatest scanner. What I would like to know from everyone
> that has a scanner, how often do you use the computer control feature?
> Right now I have a RS PRO-64 and I feel like I'm missing out on the whole
> computer controlled scanner thing.
>
> "Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
> news:39A98FBD.3B92230A@cloudnet.com...
> > Considering getting a new handheld scanner. I have a pro 26 now but
> > looking around. Found a AOR 8200 series 2 on the net and looks petty
> > good.
> > Can anyone give me there personal experience with this scanner?
> > Is there a better handheld out there?
> > Thanks for the help.

Just my opinion....

I currently own couple these hand held scanners like:AOR AR-2700, 8000,
8200, 8200Mk2, Yupiteru MVT-3300, 7000, 7100, 7300, 8000, 9000, 9000Mk2,
9000Mk2 M3.5 (and couple scanners from uniden and others ;)

In that scanner family i like mostly MVT-9000Mk2 M3.5.

In MVT-9000 i like:

-BAND SCOPE, its still only hand held scanner with real time scope with
audio! =)
(cant tell how much i like it, its also fastest way to go active
frequency when its show in scope display when use it in marker mode)
-Its fastes scan/search of Yupiteru/AOR models (only in modified model)
-It perform HF band far better than any AOR handheld model.
-Its intuitive to use when you're familiar with it, much easier than AOR
and when your familiar with any Yupiteru scanner you can play with all
of them.
-Overall receiver performance, it beat AOR in my home where i have
"little" problems with pager's ect.
-Its more sensitive than AR-8200Mk2
-internal shielding (its made proberly and is reason if relative big
weight)

-it still have tunign knob
-built in speech inversion decoder

What i dont like in MVT-9000:

-It eat too much current (operating time with original battery's)
-its relatively heavy
-Original antenna (its best to throw away)
-computer controll is missing
-slow internar battery charge

In AOR-8200Mk2 i like:

-Size
-backlight (its after all good, in old version what was poor)
-computer controll (original software is poor, Gommert made better)
-Reaction tune cabablity

In AOR-8200Mk2 i dont like:

-It eat too much current (about 2 times what yupi use)!!!!!
-Programming without computer.
-Receiver (specially its poor in HF)
-internal shielding
-Frequency accuracy (even it have TXO)
-thumbwheel (i miss tuning knob what is in Yupi/AR-8000)
-rocker switch sensitivity
-irrating click-click-click-click sound when tuning (noticeable in
SSB/CW/AM)
-complex use
-slow internar battery charge

Overall i choose MVT-9000Mk2 with M3.5 mod a winner.
Its not all what i want but its nearest of that ;)
if i want "perfect" handheld, i have do it my self, but its far too
expensive and complex, so i have select one of those what is avalable in
market. 8-D

These are just my thought's and are based my experience with these
scanners.

Hope this help you folk's

Regards
Mika

4546. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8o8vgc$a6lpr$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81152

> On the Uniden website it says "Continuous Band Coverage" but on the
Canadian
> site < http://www.durhamradio.ca/bearcat-bc780.htm > it says "Covers 25 to
> 512 MHz, 806 to 1300* MHz. *Cellular is blocked. Cannot be restored."
>
> Does anyone know which is correct?

In the United States, by law, it has to be cell blocked.

Roland

4547. Misc New Freqs - USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1069-39A7FAB0-54@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 81153

The following items are pending or recently granted by the FCC.

Townshend Twp OH 154.31R 151.145M 153.83HT 46.24M 46.06

New Jasper Twp OH 154.07R 154.415in 153.83M

Hatfield VFD PA 154.13 154.28 153.83M

Genessee Twp Central FD MI 154.145 154.28 153.83M

Saint Clair FPD MO 154.43R 154.01 154.28

Mid County FPD MO 154.13 154.22 154.28 153.83HT

Fire Logistics Inc - Montana City MT - 151.625 FBI + MOI (phone patch) - 154.57HT (2W) - 154.60HT (2W) - 159.90HT (5W)

Canton City MS PD 154.785R 155.13R 155.49 155.73M 158.82M 159.90M

Choctaw County Sheriff AL - 154.875R 155.01 159.90in

Orange Co Board of Ed NC 151.49R 159.915M

Onslow County Schools - NC 159.93

New Berlin VFD TX 154.49R 159.93M

St Marys College Public Safety - Moraga CA 151.535R 151.685 151.895 154.49R 159.795M 159.93M

Harpersville AL 159.96R 153.74in

Frank Gifford / Vernon Wilson - Otay Mtn - San Diego CA - 3783 Swift Ave Condo 7 - 619.291.8000 - 151.79 - 151.91 - 151.97 - 153.275 - 153.305 - 153.365 (all FB6) - 159.69M - 159.7125M - 159.765M - 159.96M - 160.11M - 160.20M

Waste Mgmt of North Jersey - Elizabeth - ERP250 - 153.125R - 153.50R - 153.575R - 159.795M - 159.885M - 159.96M - Chester in Morris Co - 159.945 - Sparta in Sussex County - 153.234R - 159.57M

[silly me - I thought that there were no VHF hiband freqs available in the USA - Peter Szerlag]

4548. Re: Digital - end of scanning - again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1069-39A7FC88-55@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 81154

Seems to me that a fair question would be - will the USA be a better place when the public cannot easily monitor public safety radio communications? Are things much better in France, Spain, Africa, Asia, etc?

Peter Szerlag

4549. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <39A805E6.D7442EA0@mindless.com>
Source record: 81155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Gibstah wrote:
>
> --
> blah blah blah
> ==============================
> You're doing what THEY want class warfare,....Idiots.

Who are these "THEY" you're referring to?
Perhaps they're "The Man", or "The Illuminati Conspiracy",
or even the ultra-secret society I cannot mention
which beams coded messages into people's heads
who aren't wearing aluminum-foil UFO abduction hats. ;)

> Limit this discussion to e-mail please
> thx A READER

--

==============================
= Thank Dog for dyslexia =
==============================
```

4550. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a80426.10071494@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81156

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 13:37:33 -0400, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net>
wrote:

>
>In the United States, by law, it has to be cell blocked.

No it doesn't. They can make ones with cell that only authorized
people are allowed to purchase or for export to another country.

4551. Re: PRO 92 Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: K5DRB <k5drb@cei.net>
Message-ID: <nNTp5.121$554.63834220@typhoon.cei.net>
Source record: 81157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I currently have the Pro 2067 scanner and I really enjoy it a lot more than I did the old Pro 92 that I had. I have not had any problems trunking the sytems that I had with the Pro 92. I have not had one single wobble of the software. As a matter of fact, I am fixing to purchase two more of the Pro 2067 for the wife's car as well as for the home. I would highly suggest you pick one up as soon as possible. Plus they are on sale right know from Radio Shack.

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:niCp5.9721$vO4.3041694@news3.mia...
> I had a situation where my "old" PRO-92 worked better than a BC-245. I
was
> trunk tracking in a city using my BC-245, and I kept losing the control
> channel, right in the City limits of the system I was trying to track! My
> "old" PRO-92, since it relys on the sub-audible data, tracked the system
> flawlessly, with only two "wobbles" in a one hour period. In that
paticular
> case, it beat the BC-245 in trunk tracking performance. Something to keep
> in mind.
> --
> Ken Roberts
> kenr55@bellsouth.net
>
>
> bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> news:8o68ml$g37$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > Lippy <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
> > news:xLvp5.1260$4z6.65101@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > > Has anyone heard of the PRO 92 upgrade where you send your radio to
> radio
> > > shack for new firmware to make it work correct.
> > >
> >
> > Some people say that the original 92 works better in certain situations
> than
> > the 'new and improved' model with the same firmware that the 2067 mobile
> > has. So I would do a little looking around on this newsgroup first to
> check
> > that possible situation out before I would consider getting any upgrade.
> >
> >
> >

> > --
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Bob Dolson
> >
> > Blissfully living in retirement
> >
> > check out our web pages when you get a chance.
> > Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
> > http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson
> >
> > Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
> > Also including some 2000UX samples at:
> > http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4552. Re: S.A.M.E. weather alert on Pro 92/1067 and Pro 94/1052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: K5DRB <k5drb@cei.net>
Message-ID: <4VTp5.122$t54.63858813@typhoon.cei.net>
Source record: 81158

Jim,
The display works somewhat like your weather radio. First, you have to press the function button then the wx button to get into the S.A.M.E. mode. What that does is, it puts the radio in the standy monitoring the weather for the same tones and codes. When your scanner receives those tones and codes it displays what the warning is, (I.E., Tornado Warning, Severe Thunderstorm Warning or Watch, ect.) but it does not state for what county or the time remaining or anything else. Also, while you are in this mode to receive the same messages and display, you cannot monitor anything else. So, if you wanted to listen to the local agencies, and still receive the same messages, then you cannot. Maybe that makes heads or tails out of that. If I can be any further help, please let me know.

Don K5DRB
"Jim Keeling" <insgeeks@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8o6cm1hpv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Can anyone explain what messages are displayed for the SAME weather alert
> feature on the Pro-92/1067 and/or the Pro-94/1052.
>
> The display on my weather alert radio indicates if it is a watch or
warning
> and what type of watch or warning (tornado, thunderstorm etc.); also how
> many warnings/watches there are and how many hours and minutes until it
> expires. Do any of the above scanners display any or all of this
> information. Thanks in advance.
> Jim K.
>
>
>
>
>

4553. Re: Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000826145511.01233.00000013@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 81159

i believe it is 468 divided by the frequency

4554. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o9550$6cg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39a7647e.59777659@news.uswest.net>,
kos@thereservation.how (Keem-O-Sabe) wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman wrote:
>
> >>Now we had a country. We put on clothes, ran around the woods and
> >>killed off the Indians. Then we enslaved the black folks we stole
from
> >>Africa.
>
> >99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> >the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them
to
> >whites.
WATCH WHAT YOU SAY ABOUT BLACKS I HAVE MANY IN MY FAMLEY TREE. HANGING
IN IT...
> Are you simply stating a fact, or are you justifying slavery?
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4555. Ottawa County, Ohio - Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8o9485$2ra$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81161

Does anyone have experience with the Ottawa County, Ohio, trunked system? There are 5 frequencies, and they use the Ericsson system.

Have a trunk tracking RS PRO-94. Programmed it OK, however it appears that the Ottawa system's transmitters are always on (i.e, the signal strength remains the same whether there's audio or not).

Wondering if this is yet another way of defeating scanners with trunk tracking capability - i.e., forcing the scanner to stay locked on the dead carrier (until a portion of a conversation just happens to fall on that stalled channel, then you can hear them talk, then when they are done, it goes back to just a dead carrier). This "dead carrier" appears on all 4 voice channels (the fifth of course, is the control channel).

Thanks for any clues...

Mike

4556. Need help finding an FCC rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <39A81575.7EAF@gwis.com>
Source record: 81162

Greetings,

Does anyone know where I can find the official FCC wording that says something to the effect of: "anyone may transmit on any frequency if there is a danger of loss to life or property."

Many thanks in advance,
Paul

4557. Need help finding an FCC rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <39A815A6.3905@gwis.com>
Source record: 81163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings,

Does anyone know where I can find the official FCC wording that says something to the effect of: "anyone may transmit on any frequency if there is a danger of loss to life or property."

Many thanks in advance,
Paul

4558. Re: Need help finding an FCC rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HQUp5.2131$me2.7751@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 81164

"paul and jacki" <jacki2@gwis.com> wrote in message
news:39A81575.7EAF@gwis.com...
> Greetings,
>
> Does anyone know where I can find the official FCC wording that says
> something to the effect of: "anyone may transmit on any frequency if
> there is a danger of loss to life or property."
>
> Many thanks in advance,
> Paul

You can find the CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) here:

http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-table-search.html

BUT: Be aware that the 'emergency' clause does NOT ALLOW you to transmit on unauthorized freqencies outside of flat out serious threat of DEATH.

People who have tried to claim that clause have been fined, lost licenses, etc. The FCC does NOT intend, nor will it allow, you to modify ham gear to transmit to the cops because you're lost.

I believe one approximate quote from them on this was 'Their boat better be ON FIRE to claim this'.

Note that if other means are available of communication, the clause is also not valid.

If you're looking for the wording for Amateur Radio Stations:

Sec. 97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station
of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential
communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human
life and immediate protection of property when normal communication
systems are not available.

4559. Re: Need help finding an FCC rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <USUp5.14712$QW4.188627@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81165

Try this...

http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/news/part97/e.html#403

Bill Crocker

"paul and jacki" <jacki2@gwis.com> wrote in message
news:39A81575.7EAF@gwis.com...
> Greetings,
>
> Does anyone know where I can find the official FCC wording that says
> something to the effect of: "anyone may transmit on any frequency if
> there is a danger of loss to life or property."
>
> Many thanks in advance,
> Paul

4560. Angeles Forest Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <39A81BC9.ADD56D1B@gte.net>
Source record: 81166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wondering if anyone maps of or where the fire stations are at in angeles forest

4561. Scanner Dweeb comic episode 77 (Scrambled eggs ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000826160050.03714.00000500@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 81167

The 77th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Scrambled eggs ??? "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

4562. Re: BC895-XLT TT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <kPVp5.14727$QW4.189206@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81168

Sold!

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:apzp5.12827$QW4.170562@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> The BC-895XLT Trunk-Tracker is a 300 channel (ten bank) scanner. Since the

4563. Re: Angeles Forest Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8o98i3$bm3$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This MAY have changed, but it was good last year...

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

http://playlist.broadcast.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=5551 LAPD on RealAudio

Angeles National Forest Ranger District Stations
Arroyo Seco Ranger District 1
11 Angeles Crest
12 Clear Creek
14 Red Box
15 Short Cut
16 Chilao 27
17 Chantry Flat
18 Buckhorn

130 Resources
140 Recreation
160 Law Enforcement

Mount Baldy Ranger District 2

21 Dalton
22 Rincon
23 East Fork
25 Lower San Antonio

230 Resources
240 Recreation
260 Law Enforcement

Saugus Ranger District 3
31 Texas Canyon
32 San Francisquito
33 Red Mountain
34 Oak Flat
35 Green Valley

330 Resources
340 Recreation
360 Law Enforcement

Valyermo Ranger District 4
41 Valyermo

42 Big Pines
430 Resources
440 Recreation
460 Law Enforcement

Tujunga Ranger District 5
51 Little Tujunga
52 Bear Divide
53 North Fork
54 Mill Creek
55 Monte Cristo
56 Big Tujunga

530 Resources
540 Recreation
560 Law Enforcement

Fire Crews
1 Chilao
2 Dalton
3 Texas Canyon
5 Little Tujunga
"warrior5" <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message
news:39A81BC9.ADD56D1B@gte.net...
> Wondering if anyone maps of or where the fire stations are at in angeles
> forest
>

4564. FS: Kenwood R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: R-5000 <R-5000@circle-kay.com>
Message-ID: <e3Wp5.14578$H5.110516@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 81170
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For sale to a good home. Like new Kenwood R-5000. One owner. Very low
mileage. Great condition.
This is the basic R-5000 package with all the standard filters and options.
With owners manual.
This is the same radio type that is used all over the world in embassies and
government facilities for world band monitoring of the shortwave bands. I am
selling this rig because I really have two of them and this was a spare to
be used in a future project that never worked out.
Original purchase price was about $1000.00 US. Asking $600.00 or best offer.
Contact: R-5000@circle-kay.com

--
The merely difficult can be done right away.
The impossible will take a little longer.

http://www.circle-kay.com

4565. Re: Yaesu VR-500 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: MODSQUAD <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r8Wp5.16700$d4.62747@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 81171

I have 2 more scanners left.

"MODSQUAD" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:uxPo5.15135$A4.52916@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> Got another Vr-500 for sale brand new in box covers 100khz-1300mhz with no
> gaps.FM/WFM/AM/SSB 1000 Channel $300.00 Shipping included USA
>
>

4566. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Baker <n9pek@airmail.net.NULL>
Message-ID: <7B83878E57660176.766ADBAEFBB2A1EB.095224760454BD23@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 81172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

"Anonymous" <anonymous@dontemailme.com> wrote in message
news:39a7e801.62482703@news.pipeline.com...
> Dear Mr. John Mackey:
>
> Kindly remove "alt.firefighters" from crossposts. We have no desire to
> read about or participate in this issue.
>

I wouldn't count on Mackey honoring such a noble plea. His drivel has been an infestation in newsgroups such as ours for years. He probably holds the #2 record for net abuse complaints in Usenet history. I have no information that would indicate that Mackey has ever been involved in the fire service. His efforts at slandering Blake Bowers, who has been a legitimate, welcome and thoughtful poster to this group are well known, and appear to be the start of his cross-posting to alt.firefighters.

Mackey has been asked, both publicly and privately, to refrain from spewing his venom in this group.. To no avail. Mackey is a type of "anarchist", who lives to create disruption and disharmony in innocent groups. Most of us simply put him in the "kill file" long ago, so we never even see his postings.

Mackey is an abomination in my opinion. As an amateur radio operator, his antics represent everything that I became a licensed "ham" to avoid. But, nothing we say or do will keep him out for good. He'll lay off for a while, and then return. If we get his ISP canceled, he'll get another. Sadly, the only practical option is to ignore him. As you are using Free Agent as a news reader, filtering him out should be easy.

Jim Baker, N9PEK
Amateur Radio Operator, Firefighter

4567. Re: PARG: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickl@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o9cba$dv3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81173

In article <39A7FC6E.860BD487@yua.com>,
juj <coag@yua.com> wrote:
> I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
> Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
> the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
> like.
> I think these creeps should be run out of town.
>
>

--

That might be Blooowers PARG from Pottervile, MO.
Those gay people from Mo. have as much right to post as you

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4568. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F9CA1284jbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81174

form01@home.com (Mike Forman) wrote in <39A73A33.4FED28D6@home.com>:

>Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the
>sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in
>hearing the conversations.
>
>Any help???
>
>Thanks
>

If you're talking about the coaches headsets, you're out of luck because those are wired. The referee's P/A mike is wireless though and operates somewhere in the VHF TV band.

4569. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F9CA85EDjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 81175

>Trunking protocols in the UK/Europe differ in the main from those the
>BC-245XLT and other "Trunktrackers" will work with although as mentioned
>earlier in this thread there are two/three users in the UK using
>Motorola trunking systems.
>
>Uniden have however introduced the UBC-245XLT into Australia which does
>use Motorola systems more widely and it is this model we are hoping to
>be able to offer in the not too distant future.
>
>All the best for the weekend.

Is the Australian version of the 245XLT going to be any different from the
U.S. version (aside from the frequency coverage)?

4570. Re: Need help finding an FCC rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <39A8278C.94D051CF@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 81176

While often misquoted and misunderstood, here could be the rule you are talking about.

ï¿½97.405 Station in distress.

(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in
distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its
condition and location, and obtain assistance.

(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a), of any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in distress.

This comes from the Ham Radio rules part 97. YOU can find it here:

http://arrl.org/field/regulations/news/part97/

I won't get into the mess this rule has caused, but it ONLY applies to an Amateur Radio operator using frequencies in the Amateur Radio bands. What this means is that if you have an emergency and are a ham radio operator you are not bound by the class of license that you have on the frequencies you can operate but you still must stay in the Amateur band.

This DOES NOT MEAN that you can switch over to say the local fire department frequency and transmitt to them if you are not a fireman.

If you do see a real emergency and feel that you must use a radio to transmitt on that you are not aurthorised to use, my advise is to report the emergency and then do not try to take any credit for calling in and denie everything.

4571. F/S pro 2006 like new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A83755.859F6362@home.com>
Source record: 81177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanner is a 10! Unit works and looks perfect with a bright display light. Scanner has been barely used by me. You could not tell this from new. Scanner has been cellular modified at the place of sale. Includes: scanner,box,manual,original antenna and car cord. Item will be packaged like you bought it new. $285 shipped for this PRISTINE scanner. Interested parties should reply with their name address and phone number to reserve the unit and consider it sold. thanks Bruce

4572. Re: S.A.M.E. weather alert on Pro 92/1067 and Pro 94/1052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000826173244.08500.00000573@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 81178

you can make your local weather frequency your priority then the scanner would check for the 1050 mhz tone while scanning other stuff

4573. FS: Yaesu FT470 Dualbander w/ accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <39A83BAB.3AB03003@localnet.com>
Source record: 81179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Yaesu FT470 Dualband Handheld (2m / 70cm) in VGC with the following accessories: NC-29 Rapid Charger, PA-6 DC Car Adapter / Charger, Standard Battery Pack (which holds a good charge), and YHA-28 Dualband Antenna.

Asking $125 shipped
If interested please contact me.

Thanks,
James
jbraun@localnet.com

4574. FS: Yaesu VX-1R DualBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <39A83CE4.DC033356@localnet.com>
Source record: 81180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Yaesu VX-1R Dualband Handheld w/ freeband mod (TX/ RX) in VGC with the following accessories: Extra Battery Pack, Charger, Manual, and Dualband Antenna.

If interested please contact me:
jbraun@localnet.com

Thanks,
James

4575. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: postaljeep@email.com
Message-ID: <39a8491f.1631701@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 81181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Stop cluttering the newsgroups with your bitter bullshit! Start a new one and go at it bigtime. We don't fuckin' want to hear it!

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:26:44 GMT, edgo1@dingoblue.net.au (Brian W Edginton) wrote:

>On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:19:42 GMT, Smokey Behr <gothbehrTREET@gte.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?
>>
> Haven't been here for quite a while......what, finally, happened to
>Bill ?
>And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
>finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
>Seriously, though.....what did happen to Bill ?
>I had a lot of respect for the man and hoped things would work out.
>
>
>brianWE
>
>I had no shoes and wept...until I met a man who had no feet.
>Cheapest pair of shoes I ever bought.
>
>

4576. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1411eae666f1b483989b09@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 81182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org>, nospam@fastband.com
says...
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman <helmsman> wrote:
>
> : 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> : the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> : whites.
>
> Actually, it's a lot more complicated than that. What is known is that
> Europeans got into the slave business late, initially purchasing surplus
> slaves from Chinese and Arab peoples. It has been claimed by some
> historians that the Chinese taught the Europeans about slavery.
>
> Others claim that African tribes which would have otherwise not captured
> people to be enslaved did so because the Europeans would pay well for
> slaves. That would hardly make Europeans mere bystanders.
>
> In any case, it is true that new world Europeans practiced slavery,
> including people like Jefferson and Washington, and it became an unfortunate
> heritage.
>
> As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who feel
> that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and some
> reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?
>
Or American Indians.
--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 694
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

4577. Re: more lies from Mackey!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Valerie <val1224@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39A86D06.F932E86A@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 81183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Yes Jeeper I agree, although I would not have been able to put it as eloquently as you. :) Hey guys (the ones doing all the BS'ing) repeat after me.....I am we Todd it.

Jeeper wrote:

> Stop cluttering the newsgroups with your bitter bullshit! Start a new
> one and go at it bigtime. We don't fuckin' want to hear it!
>
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:26:44 GMT, edgo1@dingoblue.net.au (Brian W
> Edginton) wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 05:19:42 GMT, Smokey Behr <gothbehrTREET@gte.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Can't all you Cheek haters leave the man to rest in peace?
> >>
> > Haven't been here for quite a while.....what, finally, happened to
> >Bill ?
> >And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
> >finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
> >Seriously, though.....what did happen to Bill ?
> >I had a lot of respect for the man and hoped things would work out.
> >
> >
> >brianWE
> >
> >I had no shoes and wept...until I met a man who had no feet.
> >Cheapest pair of shoes I ever bought.
> >
> >

4578. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <90rgqs4vmbfqinvictstvhq1425bno6i4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 81184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

"James Baker" <n9pek@airmail.net.NULL> wrote:

> Sadly, the
>only practical option is to ignore him.

So when are you (and all the other shit-distubers) going to start doing
that?

The problem is not Mackey. He's easy enough to filter. The problem is
all the idiots baiting him. I have a killfile FULL of those, and they
still keep popping up by the dozens as they change their screen names or
new ones get involved.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4579. FS PRO-2035 $200. shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oa17p$3l4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81185

Email me if interested.

Gil

4580. Re: Status Bit on 92A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39A892FF.CE2F3695@postnet.com>
Source record: 81186

Tim F wrote:

> Is it true that you can't put in odd talkgroup numbers in the Pro 92A?
> For example, on the 245 XLT, you can turn the status bit off and enter
> 2039 as a talkgroup. Is this possible on the 92A?

No, apparently not. This is one of my frustrations with this unit.
Not fatal, but a real concern.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

4581. Re: "mobil radio resources" & Robert Kelty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39A8941E.3B70719A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 81187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, Bob still does but probably not in the same editions he did back in
1990. You can reach him at 408-269-5814.

mike wrote:
>
> I have a couple of terrific government radio system guides by Robert
> Kelty published by Mobile Radio Resources in 1990. Would love to get
> updated editions. Do Robert and Mobile Radio Resources still publish
> this?
>
> Thanks

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

4582. Re: "mobil radio resources" & Robert Kelty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8oa9jl$nf9$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He has both published editions and on floppy disk. No longer does a print edition of all cities/counties...it got too big and too many changes too frequently.

You can get regional booklets though...I prefer the disks...I can go in and make changes as they occur..

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

http://playlist.broadcast.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=5551 LAPD on RealAudio

"mike" <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:39A88F0C.9699738F@earthlink.com...
> I have a couple of terrific government radio system guides by Robert
> Kelty published by Mobile Radio Resources in 1990. Would love to get
> updated editions. Do Robert and Mobile Radio Resources still publish
> this?
>
> Thanks
>

4583. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8oaa4c$np8$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 81189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message
news:39a867d8.5276583@news.gte.net...
> From the Popular Communications September issue:
>
> There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> anti-mobile-monitoring laws,
<snip>
. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP > assumes that anyone
with a radio is spying on them.

Anyone with a radio is SPYING on them? Wonder what the hell that means. Absent any interference, traffic obstruction, or disturbance, what could be illegal about watching what the police do...whether you're carrying a scanner, a loaf of bread, or taking your dog for a walk. They gonna cite people for LOOKING?

Here's a recent article about an enlightened police department in Califonia from the Marin Independent Journal, 8/18/2000:

Robbery could lead to life terms

By Con Garretson

The two suspects in dramatic robbery of an Old Navy clothing store in Novato have extensive criminal histories and could be sentenced to life in prison if convicted on new criminal charges filed yesterday, prosecutors said.

Sharon Brewer, 40, and Edward Jerome Jones, 45, both of Oakland, were arrested at gunpoint on the side of southbound Highway 101 after taking $682 worth of merchandise from the clothing store in the Vintage Oaks shopping center, authorities said.

Bewer and Jones have two prior felony convictions that count as "strikes" under California's "three strikes" sentencing law. As a result, convictions in this new case could result in sentences of at least 25 years to life, according to Marin County Deputy District Attorney Linda Witong.

Brewer was arraigned in Marin County Superior Court yesterday on charges of robbery, commercial burglary, grand theft and additional legal enhancements based on her criminal history, including convictions for grand theft, attempted robbery and robbery using a gun in the early 1980s.

Witong said Brewer also is on felony probation for a theft conviction in February and a conviction on the robbery charge could result in a 30 years-to-life sentence for her.

Jones was arraigned in court on charges of commercial burglary and grand theft, as well as additional criminal enhancements for a criminal career that includes three bank robbery convictions in the 1970s and 1980s.

All of their prior convictions took place in the Bay Area, Witong said, but none of them were in Marin.

Witong said bail was increased to $650,000 for both, from $50,000 for Brewer and $300,000 for Jones.

Police said the two suspects placed several shirts in a shopping bag and tried to leave the store without paying for the merchandise at about 3:40 p.m. An employee confronted the pair, a scuffle broke out and the suspects fled and jumped into an older model yellow Mercedes, witnesses told police.

A tip from an alert citizen led to their capture.

"We had a great citizen who helped us out," Novato police Capt. Reggie Lyles said. "This guy was in his car listening to his (police) scanner and he observed the car. He got on his cell phone and told us our suspects were headed southbound on Highway 101."

"We were really blessed that he did that. It just speaks to why our community is so safe, because we have such solid people like him out there."

Lyles said his officers have been "especially sensitive" of potential crime activity at Old Navy in light of at least half a dozen thefts there in the past four months.

Three weeks prior to the arrest of Brewer and Jones, another person was arrested in her car with merchandise stolen from the same Old Navy.

Eboni Camille Thomas, 23, also of Oakland, was charged yesterday with grand theft in that case, Witong said.

Thomas was arrested on July 26 on suspicion of grand theft. Another woman driving her car pulled into the path of another car on Rowland Boulevard, resulting in a minor injury collision, police said.

Witnesses told police Thomas was seen running out of Old Navy with 36 shirts, which were later valued at $764. The shirts were found inside the would-be getaway car but there were initially problems establishing a criminal case against Thomas, police said.

However, Witong said further investigation revealed sufficient evidence to charge Thomas with felony grand theft. Thomas has been out of jail on $10,000 bail since the day after her arrest.

After Thomas' arrest, an Old Navy employee said the recent crime spree at the store was not coincidental but was tied to a "huge (crime) ring" targeting the Novato outlet based on knowledge of that store's security practices and the retail chain's merchandise return policy.

Contact Con Garretson via e-mail at cgarretson@marinij.com

Brought to you by The Marin Independent Journal
http://www.marinij.com

No recognition
> for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management
> agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
> cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
> the same function of inter agency monitoring.
>
> Just a fear that the public is out of control.
>
> BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
> cases. And nothing that deals with hams. I guess hams are going to
> have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
>
>
>

4584. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A8AA56.4BC4CB4@pacbell.net>
Source record: 81190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

mailto:abuse@home.com

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:

> "James Baker" <n9pek@airmail.net.NULL> wrote
> SNIP
> > His efforts at slandering Blake Bowers, who has been a legitimate, welcome
> SNIP
>
> I have never slandered Blake. I have only exposed him for what he is by
> show-casing
> his own words.
>
> > Mackey is an abomination in my opinion. As an amateur radio operator, his
> > antics represent everything that I became a licensed "ham" to avoid. But,
> SNIP
>
> Your "opinion" really doesn't mean much & NO ONE asked for it!
> Apparently the only thing you became a licensed ham for was to make a fool
> of
> yourself.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4585. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:30:57 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39A70FC1.66023C07@blinx.de>
Source record: 81233

w7wjm@arrl.nospam.net schrieb:

>
> >Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
> >channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
> >
> Not likely. Scanners typically receive FM only. CB is AM. Also, very few
> scanners receive below 30 MHz.

It's time to think more global at time of high sun-activity...

Most european CB-activties are on FM!!!
There is also much traffic in the "free bands" between 27.410 and 27.990 and
26.000 and 26.650 Mhz in SSB-mode.

odo -germany-

4586. New Radio Shack Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 00:35:57 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <NhEp5.90181$oj6.1795104@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 81234

Just in case anyone is interested, the new Radio Shack catalogs are out. I asked for and got 2, one for home and one for the office. They are also giving away "Cat Optical Readers" that connect to your PC via the keyboard port. It seems that Radio Shack has put bar codes in the catalog. Simply scan the bar code and it takes you to the product listing at RadioShack.com. I have not tried it yet.

For the anti-Radio Shack crowd, not flames please. While I realize that it's not the best electronics source, they are there when you need something in a pinch.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

4587. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 01:12:10 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39A71A36.873E0630@hotmail.com>
Source record: 81235

You'll lose a little - most noticeable on 800MHz and above.

MC

CDG wrote:
>
> Hello
>
> I am unable to put my discone antenna up outside of the building where I
> live, if I put it in the roof (between the ceiling and the roof tiles) will
> I be loosing allot of the signal strength?
>
> Brendan

4588. PRO 92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 01:14:24 UTC
From: Lippy <lippy4@gte.net>
Message-ID: <QREp5.2503$4z6.257389@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 81236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why when you program certain ID in your list and when you scan in the closed mode you still receive all ids in that bank. I thought when you program a list and are in closed mode you only receive those ID you entered.

4589. Pro 2067 - is it a keeper or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 01:16:13 UTC
From: Jeff Adams <jeff.adams@gdfeg-es.com>
Message-ID: <ri6eqsovsnrrkm06skktv4i1f669evg08d@4ax.com>
Source record: 81238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I bought mine the day they went on sale, and I have only one more day to decide whether to keep it or return it.

I live in Sunnyvale CA. I have a discone only about 6 feet off the 2nd floor roof of my house, with about 50 feet of RG-8X coax (about the thickness of RG-6). With an AR8200, BC895, Pro-2042, and SC-200, I get a LOT of intermod or other interference (very LOUD bleeps and bloops that sound pager related), mostly in the 4xx MHz range. With the attenuator on (except for the attenuatorless 895), things cleaned up fairly well but there is still an occasional blast of pager noise. I can hear Alameda county just fine with all radios (including the 2067). I _think_ it's about 15 miles across the Bay to Alco, but I'm not sure where they transmit from, so it may be a little more or less (someone else could comment). With the 2067, I get marginal reception of Oakland (EDACS system about 15 -25 miles away). The 8200 and 895 receive the Oakland frequencies about the same, so I can't say the 2067 is terrible here.

Things I don't like so far? From what I consider most serious to things that are more a matter of personal preference:

- When receiving Oakland (a fairly distant EDACS system), the display flashes annoyingly between the "scanning" status display and the "receiving a signal" status display. Since the reception is marginal, it really hops back and forth a lot and is very annoying; I like the way the 895 displays status while scanning a trunked system better than the 2067.

- In open mode on a trunked system, it doesn't always display the talk group ID (it does in closed mode - this appears to be a confirmed bug based on other people's reports on Strongsignals). Maybe most people don't scan in open mode, but I do a lot and this is annoying.

- Incomplete frequency coverage! Boo! What about Mil Air?

- Fairly slow scan rate means with most of the 500 channels programmed, the revisit time (the time it takes to get back around to a specific channel) is pretty long. Why is this GRE radio slower than the GRE-built 2042 (and perhaps other older GRE radios)?

- Priority apparently doesn't work while scanning trunked systems (I have not confirmed this but I think that's what the manual says). What's the point of having a priority function then (unless you're using it as a conventional only scanner)?

- We need 20 banks on these radios, not 10! At least for me, in a very busy area, I have to group stuff together in a bank and then lock out channels. What a drag. Of course, the 780 is only going to have 10 banks too (boo!). And to make matters worse, I've read other people's reports that you can't put any conventional freq's in a Moto trunked bank, so if your Moto system has only (say) 10 channels, you waste 40 channels in that bank. I'm not sure if this is true or not (haven't tried it myself).

- No scan lists

- No S-meter! I've really gotten used to having this!

- No "VFO" knob for changing parameters/tuning/etc.

- No backlit keys

- New reports seem to suggest (although I don't have the equipment to verify) that the wrong talkgroups are sometimes displayed (perhaps in cases where certain status bits are set)

- There don't appear to be any memory management functions at all (except thank goodness you can't enter a duplicate talk group ID) - there is no duplicate frequency check, there is no channel copy, etc. I know I'm just supposed to buy the software, but, this is the _first_ GRE radio I've ever
seen that didn't at least have duplicate freq. check! Yuck!!!! My 2042 is _way_ better in regards to memory management! Of course, once you have it all set up, this doesn't matter a ton.

- Display too small (maybe I'm just getting old, but it's hard to read at night without my glasses on!). And to me it's distracting. Since everything is the same size (this is a dot matrix type display as opposed to a custom masked LCD), I find it hard to focus in on the information I want to see when it stops on a channel. This is purely subjective though.

- Have to set the squelch _very_ carefully to acquire control channel

- VHS desensitization problems (have to turn attenuator on all 14x-16x MHz freq's)

- What happened to the "sound squelch" feature of past GRE units (for conventional channels)?

- Tiny buttons

- Don't like the alpha entry method (too many button presses - but this is purely a personal thing)

- No dimming capability of the backlight (too bright at night as a base station by a bed)

- Doesn't remember it's last state when powered off - when turned on, it goes to "manual" mode. What are the odds you really wanted to be in manual mode on channel 000? Not a huge deal really, just an annoyance.

- AC hum: could be the power supply I'm using, but this has been reported by others. I couldn't hear it with the built in or an external speaker but when I plugged in headphones, it was really noticeable.

- no wideband FM mode (OK, this is pretty weak, but the baby monitor we have has more FM deviation than the 2067 can handle without distorting. There's never been a problem with audio on anything else I've listened to).

Things I do like so far?

- Tiny size (yeah, contradicts my button complaint, but gives me more room on the night stand)

- Great immunity to interference!!! (on 4xx MHz - have problems in the 15x MHz region, have to use attenuator to get proper reception - I assume this is the desense problem I've heard people talk about).

- I can finally (on conventional banks anyway) label a bank something like "San Jose PD" then use descriptive channel labels like "West Side 2" or "Car to Car 1", then when the radio stops, I see two lines of text labels (the 8200 doesn't do this - Arghh!). Except if you enable P/L, then you lose the bottom line to the PL frequency - RATS!!! but I've found I don't need PL to help reduce interference so far.

- 800 MHz sensitivity for me is OK. It's no worse than my ar8200 or BC895 (that may or may not be saying much). I don't understand why mine seems OK in this regard yet so many other people's 2067's aren't. Is there really this much sample-to-sample variation?

895 vs 2067 Trunking Comparison

I compared the 895 and the 2067 on two different Motorola Type II systems - Santa Clara and Alameda County (ALCO). Santa Clara is a smaller less active system (fewer frequencies, less activity). The 2067 and 895 tracked _identically_ on Santa Clara (although it was not uncommon for the 895 to start playing a conversation a second or so ahead of the 2067 - not always, but maybe 20% of the time).

Comparing the 2067 and 895 on ALCO was a different story. The two radios sometimes displayed the same talk groups and sometimes different talk groups (when they were different, if I pressed "Scan" a few times on the 2067, it eventually landed on the same talk group as the 895 - I didn't try the reverse).

I don't think you can say that one was right and one was wrong. ALCO is a very busy system. It's just like if you run two scanners scanning conventional channels - at some point, they get out of sync and follow different activity. Without a better understanding of how the control channel data stream works, I can not say that the 2067 did any worse than the 895, only that it was different. In fact, I would bet you that if someone were to put two 245's or two 895's side by side and let them trunk track a busy system, they would display different

activity (I could be wrong, but I think it's a reasonable assumption).

As I said in a previous post, it could well be the scan rate difference (25 cps for the 2067 vs 50 to 75 cps for the 895) is what makes the difference. And how does the radio decide (when there's several different talk groups active) which one to pick? Perhaps the software algorithms for this are different...

So, there's a whole bunch of rambling... It seems to me that the 2067 performs OK, but it has a few too many negatives to keep it. Mine is went back today. Now I just have to figure out how I'll last until December for the 780 (if it even arrives then). What are other people that bought this radio when it first went on sale going to do???

Despite my negative sounding review, I'm sad to have returned it. For those of you who are considering it, please don't take my comments as the sole reason to not buy it. Many of my complaints were more subjective (e.g., the alpha entry method, size of display and buttons, etc) than objective (e.g., actual performance such as sensitivity and selectivity). And as I've said before, the performance seems adequate (but I won't dispute others' testimony of trunk tracking difficulties since the only thing I have to compare the 2067 against is the BC895).

For some of my complaints, a new software version (or minor hardware tweak) may make things better. For others (like the size of the display, lack of backlit keys, etc), a new model will almost surely be required. I fully expect that at some point in the future that GRE will build a more formidable competitor for the 780, but the 2067 just isn't it (that of course is based on the huge assumption that the 780 works as well as we're all hoping).

I anxiously look forward to Rich Wells' upcoming review at www.strongsignals.net, as well as Bob Parnass' review in the upcoming September issue of Monitoring Times. Until then, please let's have some more feedback from others that haven't weighed in yet (or anyone else for that matter).

-
=== CORRECT EMAIL: remove letters between "gd" and "-es" ====================
| Jeff.Adams@gdfeg-es.com) | General Dynamics Electronic Systems Division |
| Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
==========

4590. Darrin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 01:17:53 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a71aa2.19747371@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81237

Darrin,

How did the Watson work on your Pro 92?

4591. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 02:21:31 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A729F5.54DA4FA6@home.com>
Source record: 81239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

REALLY?,
care to explain this BALD FACED LIE?
You've been in nearly a constant war of words with bill cheek since he
kicked you out of the scannradio forum on fidonet way back in the
early 1990's!

Dejanews can also confirm your track record, John!
and you refer to me as a LIAR?!?!

Herman Georring -- "If you tell a lie, large enough, for long enough, eventually

all will come to believe it as truth!" (Berlin meeting, july 1937).

Remeber this john--> "those who ignore the mistakes if history will be doomed
to repeat them" -- Alexander the Great

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> I have NEVER hated Bill. Infact I sorta liked the guy & felt sorry for him.
>
> "Brian W Edginton" <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au> wrote
> > And, if he is gone, why is Mackey still here ? I thought he would,
> > finally, get a life if he didn't have Bill Cheek to hate.
> SNIP

4592. Re: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 02:29:58 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A72BF1.30AC84C1@home.com>
Source record: 81240

heheh.
let him cook. it'll be his own butt over the flames. :)

RicK Larson wrote:

> I can smell what the CBRAMBO is cook'in
>
> Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
> news:39A5E5E2.51EE8A1@home.com...
> > here's another one for you: abuse mail sent.
> >
> > FRNKBURNS wrote:
> >
> > > >Subject: "Eric Oyen" (was Re: The Blind One)
> > > >From: toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
> > > >Date: 8/23/00 7:39 AM Mountain Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <20000823093941.03350.00001464@nso-ch.aol.com>
> > > >
> > > >Regarding "Eric Oyen":
> > > >
> > > >Is this the blind guy in Phoenix who used to cause all manner of chaos
> on
> > > >this
> > > >newsgroup a few years back?
> > > >
> > > >I think it was him and a few of his adversaries who used to mix it up
> on here
> > > >every day for several months before all of them suddenly disappeared.
> Or am
> > > >I
> > > >thinking of someone else?
> > >
> > > Speaking of Eric's adversaries, whatever happened to Drew "CBRAMBO"
> Durigan??
> > > Heard he's selling radios on eBay now and just hasn't got the time to
> keep
> > > changing AOL screen names in order to chase his buddy Eric Oyen across
> the
> > > Internet ;) Thank God for small miracles.
> >

4593. FA:Opto Explorer Unblocked With LTR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 03:08:41 UTC
From: Bobo <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <39A734B2.70D63276@orwell.net>
Source record: 81241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=419001200

4594. NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 03:31:08 UTC
From: Mike Forman <form01@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A73A33.4FED28D6@home.com>
Source record: 81242

Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in hearing the conversations.

Any help???

Thanks

4595. Re: Let's get John-Corky a new ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 03:46:21 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <h4Hp5.25276$Ur3.281543@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81243

Good point, Rick. The humorus thing is that the last time someone (Bill)
attempted that,
he ended up losing his own ISP service!!!!!!!

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> Smells alot like Cheek again talking butt buddy all the time!

4596. Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 03:55:01 UTC
From: FJB@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <pcHp5.18931$%O6.1171264@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81244

I am experimenting with building an antenna tuned for the middle of the 225 to 400 Mhz Military Aircraft band. What length should the antenna be? I forgot the formula for calculating the proper length.

Frank

4597. Re: Anyone have FS a pocket rocket amplifier for 27mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 03:55:01 UTC
From: jamesrhampton@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8o7f2f$dj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8Pep5.16481$%O6.956807@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"booujie" <nobooujiespam@nohotmailspam.com> wrote:
> Its a small blue alloy amp with leds across the front of it. And
toggle
> switches. Its approximately the size of a pack of Cigarettes. its
used on 27
> mhz only . I'm looking for one if anyone has or knows of one. Thanks
>
>
I am not aware of it. CD says he has one. I have a question. For
those of us with 5 watt HTs, we know the HT will become warm (not hot,
of course) with considerable transmission time. How much power could
one run when the total size of the amp is similar to a pack of
cigarettes?

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4598. Re: CB freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:01:59 UTC
From: pleasedont@emailme.com
Message-ID: <8o7ffm$a4fvf$6@ID-21921.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 81246

I have a scanner that goes down to 25 mhz, but it's FM though. Another idea
is to use a shortwave radio. Alot of those go up to 30mhz in AM.

In article <39A6BD54.9215D2B1@home.com>, drksky@home.com says...
>
>Are there currently any trunk tracking scanners which also covers the CB
>channel frequencies in the 27 MHz range??
>

4599. PARG membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:07:24 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <0oHp5.25290$Ur3.281360@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81097

We often get this question asked. Write to membership@parg.org.

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote
> John how can I signup for your PARG?

4600. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:08:22 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <WoHp5.25292$Ur3.281717@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Blake - You have been proven to use fake e-mail addresses before.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> At least I have the guts to use a real email address, you pathetic
> multiple personality idiot...

4601. Re: John Mackey K S 0 F - Saint of the Newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:09:23 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <TpHp5.25293$Ur3.281731@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You guess wrong. I have never attempted to avoid kill filters

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> Guess your failing to respond is an admission of your
> changing your name to avoid kill filters. Knew you weren't
SNIP

4602. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:09:52 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <kqHp5.25294$Ur3.281822@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81100

You shouldn't be talking to yourself like that!

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> You make stupidity an art form mackerel. YOU are abysmally stupid. Can
> you hear me now?

4603. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:22:15 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <XBHp5.25304$Ur3.282168@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan - Pleease explain how you justify that feeling sorry for someone who recently
died of cancer is "a deliberately provocative attempt to inflame"???

Are you saying that I should have felt good??? Your logic is seriously flawed, Dan
& you appear to be only trying to make trouble.

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> "K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
> > I have NEVER hated Bill. Infact I sorta liked the guy & felt sorry for him.
>
> THAT is an outright lie!! It is so brazen that it can only be regarded
> as a deliberately provocative attempt to inflame users here. To those who are

4604. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:32:45 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <NLHp5.25307$Ur3.282436@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> care to explain this BALD FACED LIE?

It is not a lie.

> You've been in nearly a constant war of words with bill cheek since he
> kicked you out of the scannradio forum on fidonet way back in the early
1990's!

1. I have NEVER been a "constant war of words with bill cheek".
2. I was NOT kicked out of the scannradio forum on fidonet in the early
1990's.

Eric, why do you lie so often?

> Remeber this john--> "those who ignore the mistakes if history will be
doomed
> to repeat them" -- Alexander the Great

Eric, you should attempt to learn what that means.

4605. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 04:35:21 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <dOHp5.25309$Ur3.282282@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> You have everything to gain, like credibility. You

How can I gain something I already have in abundance?

> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
> Here are the terms again, just in case

Richard, I don't care what you do.

4606. FA: Motorola External Speaker, new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 05:19:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Crlp5.14273$QW4.180442@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 81104

FOR AUCTION ON EBAY:

Brand new Motorola external speaker, currently at $1.00 with no reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=419757767

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

4607. Re: Darrin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 05:21:38 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39a753c7.3650047@news.penn.com>
Source record: 81105

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 04:06:46 GMT, Darrin <darrin99@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 01:17:53 GMT, nobody@nowhere.com (Tim F) wrote:
>
>>Darrin,
>>
>>How did the Watson work on your Pro 92?
>
>Works very well. Much better than the stock antenna, on all bands.

Did you compare it to the $10 Rat Shack one?

4608. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 05:59:07 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39a75a56.57177439@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 12:03:12 +0100, "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>, Keem-O-Sabe
><kos@thereservation.how> writes
>>>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>>>>
>>>> Jim Dunnett
>>
>>>Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>>>Brian Denley
>>
>>Brits my red ass!!
>
>You're a monkey? Wow! You type quite well.
>
>Mike
>--
>M.J.Powell

Monkeys can learn to type better then Brits can learn to brush their teeth. Actually, monkeys HAVE
better teeth, and they don't even brush them.

Keem-O-Sabe

4609. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 06:09:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <y9Jp5.28279$p5.848516@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 81107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Keem-O-Sabe

I hope you know that Kemo Sabe can be translated as the Unknown One (i.e.
the Lone Ranger) or equally well as He Who Knows Nothing.

Dick

4610. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 06:30:42 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39a75d69.57964504@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 17:01:02 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <39a5ede9.12788765@news.uswest.net>,
> kos@thereservation.how (Keem-O-Sabe) wrote:
>> >> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>> >>
>> >> Jim Dunnett
>>
>> >Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>> >Brian Denley
>>
>> Brits my red ass!!
>>
>> Keem-O-Sabe
>>
>>
>Keem-O-Sabe,
>
>If your infering that the Indians had a country here first. I beg to
>differ. What you had was a bunch of naked people, of different tribes,
>running through the woods killing each other.

If you take a good look, you'll notice that it's still that way.

>With the advent of the "White man" we organized this mess into a

worse mess!

>We threw out the Redcoats, brought in the black man.
>Now we had a country. We put on clothes, ran around the woods and
>killed off the Indians. Then we enslaved the black folks we stole from
>Africa.
>
>See, thats a real country.
>
>Hardy Har Har !!
>
>Dan/W4NTI (Wry 4 Not Thinking Intelligently)

You sound like a typical Porky Radio Operator. Are you one of those porky pigs on 3950, or thereabouts?

Keem-O-Sabe

4611. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 06:36:34 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39a7647e.59777659@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman wrote:

>>Now we had a country. We put on clothes, ran around the woods and
>>killed off the Indians. Then we enslaved the black folks we stole from
>>Africa.

>99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
>the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
>whites.

Are you simply stating a fact, or are you justifying slavery?

4612. Manual for the Camnis HSC-010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 06:43:09 UTC
From: shanev@iinet.net.au
Message-ID: <39a766d1.16691081@news.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 81110

I've put the manual in PDF format on my page for anyone that needs it

http://www.iinet.net.au/~shanev/scanner.html

4613. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-26 06:56:27 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39a76584.60039945@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>>>> What a wonderful country you live in!!!
>>>> Jim Dunnett

>>> Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>>> Brian Denley

>> Brits my red ass!!
>> Keem-O-Sabe

> I stand corrected Tonto.
> Brian Denley

At least you're acknowledging that the pre-white natives were humans beings. To a lot of palefaces,
non-whites were (and still are) just animals.

Keem-O-Sabe (no soy tonto)

4614. F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ????? <ME@MYEMAIL.com>
Message-ID: <39A990EA.3ECC3F6D@MYEMAIL.com>
Source record: 80947

In VERY good condition and already modified for the cell band. The only thing I dont have is the manual but you can download one off of the internet if you need it. I am asking $200.00 shipped anywhere in the continental U.S through the United States Postal Service with insurance.

Money orders only. Email me if interested at
doc_holiday03@hotmail.com
--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4615. Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ckgq5.31182$dG.866265@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks. Mark.

4616. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Utgq5.651$NR6.256796@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Puyallup Amateur Radio Group (PARG) does. Also the Pocatello Amateur Radio Group (PARG) recognizes them. They both have had major articles in their club publications. I belong to both. General Electric I believe sponsor a Missouri PARG Radio Camp this year. Don't you keep up on this stuff John-Corky??????

Now publish your member list or shut up.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:XKfq5.30295$Ur3.328459@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Exactly WHO are the "numerous major companies and organizations" which
> recognize a PARG in Missouri?
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > I realize you have problems following threads, but we were
> > discussing the REAL PARG, based in Missouri, which is recognized
> > by numerous major companies and organizations.
>
>
>

4617. Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <xzgq5.653$NR6.258857@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

All you have to do is shut up....but abuse@home.net is helping out, aren't they?

Feeling the pressure John-Corky?

Don't challenge me scum-boy. You stalked myself and others and now ask us to stop?

Your ultimate denial toilet-boy.

Only John-Corky needs to stop.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:NNfq5.30300$Ur3.328703@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> OK guys, you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
> causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.
>
> I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Then
> perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
>
> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.
>
>

4618. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <keithrw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39A9A651.83C8057E@bctv.com>
Source record: 80951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

Gil Jones wrote:
>
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:23:17 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
> <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property, and
> >>then burn there orders. FACT!
> >>
> >>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
> >>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such device.
> >>
> >>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.
> >>
> >
> >Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for it should
> >lie squarely on the Bush administration.
>
> > Waco was started under the Bush
> >administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.
>
> The WACO assault by the BATF was entirely in the Clinton
> adminstration.

The initial assault was planned on Bush's watch. When Clintoon took
over, nobody changed the plans or (apparently) bothered to let the new
administration know about it.

Bush is responsible for the initial assault.

Clintoon is responsible for the final solution.

4619. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Nicholson <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <39A9B726.4A0D@dumbass.net>
Source record: 80952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OK EVERYONE REPEAT AFTER ME

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

4620. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hank <hlane@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q5ypOR=YBlVsSylp7I41NYSHZxhe@4ax.com>
Source record: 80953

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 22:09:12 GMT, "Mark Rossi" <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
wrote:

>Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
>outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
>Mark.
>
1. "Police Call" frequency books are available at Radio Shack. And,
search WWW for your area frequencies.
2. Yes.
Good luck.
hank

4621. Re: more lies from Blake Bowers!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A99CF6.BDCC6A77@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

mailto:abuse@home.com

J o h n M wrote:

> I was never asked to leave Fidonet or the scanradio forum.
>
> I was, however, kicked out of the Scanradio forum by Bill Cheek on
> false charges without any chance to defend myself or speak to the
> accusations.
> That is the ONLY Fido forum I was removed from during my entire time of
> activity on fidonet.
>
> Blake - you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
> causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.
>
> I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Then
> perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
>
> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Good, you at least admit to part of it. You admit you were
> > asked to leave the scanradio forum. Insofar as being asked
> > to leave FIDO, the fact that you were speaks for itself.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov

net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4622. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A99D2C.D90A25A2@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80955

mailto:abuse@home.com

J o h n M wrote:

> Good, so now here is something in "SIMPLEST" term that hopefully
> YOU can understand. You have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot
> light by causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.
>
> I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Then
> perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
>
> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.
>
> "qï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½vï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> > Well thank you mackerel. I wanted to be sure that it was in the
> > SIMPLEST terms possible so that you could easily understand it.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4623. Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39A99D6A.A7A26E5E@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@home.com

J o h n M wrote:

> OK guys, you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
> causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.
>
> I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Then
> perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
>
> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4624. Audio problem in PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sqj9epa9t91123@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80957

I've had this radio for 5 years or so. I bought it new. It has worked flawlessly right up till about 3 weeks ago. It works fine in all respects except that if you have the volume past half way, it gives out a squeal. Like microphone feedback. And it only does this in the 800 mhz area. No where else. It seems strange that it only happens in 800 mhz.
Anyone have a similar problem. Thanks for any ideas!

4625. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <qf9jqssa39l3qimp8q6pp8huu428raol7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 80958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 17:49:42 -0700, Chris Nicholson
<csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote:

>OK EVERYONE REPEAT AFTER ME
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
OK EVERYONE REPEAT AFTER ME

COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.

COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.

COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.

COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.

> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA

4626. Re: SK Bill Cheek (1950-2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Samson <sa51@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39A9A6B7.5AD2B9F3@uswest.net>
Source record: 80959
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Now lets be nice. Please don't poop on this list.

RicK Larson wrote:

> Sounds like the Zombie Crappers Mouth
>
> J O H N <jmackey@parg.org.nospam> wrote in message
> news:DGIg5.56618$V34.522782@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > How sad, an example of a typical Cheek-a-like.
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote
> > > Fuck you, you shallow little bastard of a dwarfed dicked ballbag licking
> > > aboriginal clusterfucker.
> > >
> > > Bill Cheek was a great man.
> > SNIP
> >
> >

4627. Mod for BC855XLT -HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oca1f$g4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80960

I got a mod from QRZ.COM for the cell mod. It states to locate diode
D201. I have looked all over the board and there are no such numbers.
Is there a place I can find a schematic for this scanner and can
someone give a better description of the mod.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4628. Favorites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OFhq5.31221$dG.874527@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80961
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What are the favorite channels that all of you like to listen to? What I mean is what kind of frequencies? i.e. Police, Fire, Ambulance, Fast Food, Restaurants, Dept. Stores, etc.

4629. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <g2ajqs03ifc84s5ph2u1hk270vrdte37hh@4ax.com>
Source record: 80962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Funny thing, this... it's true...

When I was an OTR Truck driver, I had a dipole antenna for 11M on the passenger mirror of the T-600. I think I was pulled behind the "chicken coop" (Weigh Station for those who aren't familiar with the lingo) at least 8 times, just because the scalemaster wanted to see what kind of contraption I had hanging off my truck... This was usually accompanied by a level 2 truck inspection, as well, and they had the "puppies" out sniffin, too. I didn't mind, really. I usually ran legal, and never carried contraband, and it gave me a chance to step out of the truck, sit back and chuckle at an idiot who thought we was gonna get the bust of the century.

At least it kept a few of the other trucks out of the coop for awhile, while they messed with me for no reason. ::chuckle::

Now aren't you glad they're spending your tax dollars in such a haphazard fashion?

-SSB

On or about Sun, 27 Aug 2000 19:38:50 -0400, tnom@mucks.net, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:OK EVERYONE REPEAT AFTER ME
:
: COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.
:
: COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.
:
: COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.
:
:
:
:
:COPS ARE NOT EXEMPT FROM BEING INTENTIONALLY IGNORANT.

4630. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <1ibjqso6l5o7s9omih5fg67s42h2fk2m50@4ax.com>
Source record: 80963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sounds like what happened earlier this year down around the Flying J
and Pilot truck stops on the south side of Indianapolis. Of course,
myself and a couple other truck drivers caught a Smokey Bear running
some inordinate power behind the McDonald's, jamming channel 19... I
walked up to his police car, and he just drove off. Wonder what the
legality of THAT situation was...

-SSB

On or about Mon, 28 Aug 2000 00:05:37 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
<nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:
:For awhile around me the cops were monitoring CB channel 19 and whacking
:folks that warned oncoming traffic of the speed traps. They found a hooker
:ring operating around one of the truck stops running on 19 too.
:
:de Norm KA2TYT

4631. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Sanford <tsanford@roadrunner.nf.net>
Message-ID: <39A9ACBE.B18D4410@roadrunner.nf.net>
Source record: 80964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

About this scanner business!

Two points. 1 and 2. And a comment 3.

1) Surely the police transmissions are 'scrambled'? Even in this 'little o'l corner' of North America our local police transmissions are! Sounds like Indiana needs some modern equipment! Also sounds like the Indiana police don't read the regulations? I'd love to see that in court; "Now trooper Smith will you please demonstrate how the; 'SCANNER', I think you called it, receives police transmissions". "Oh you don't know how to work it? Well how do you know it's scanner then. Maybe it' a jar of marmalade, or a CD player, or part of a computer ..... .or ..... ?"

2) The title of the posting says "arrested". However the quote of Indiana State laws says something about a "Class B misdemeanour". Can a person be 'arrested' for that? Or just ticketed?

3) Boy oh boy there sure are some restrictive laws in "The Land of the Free"! Can't put up antennas in residential areas, can't 'possess', let alone 'use' a scanner! BTW there appears to be some truth to that comment about some drivers avoiding certain US states; my neighbour who is a trucker has US and Canadian trucking contacts; he mentioned to me several years ago that his 'buddies' say they avoid driving in certain states if they can. Even driving longer distances at the cost of more fuel, or in some cases declining to haul a particular load within a particular area! So I've heard it up here before; as I said, in this little o'l backwater.

Two cents (Canadian): That's less than one and a half cents in your 'real' money!) from here.

Your Canadian Cousin. Eastern Canada.

4632. Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Sanford <tsanford@roadrunner.nf.net>
Message-ID: <39A9AE47.C96A7AFE@roadrunner.nf.net>
Source record: 80965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Now CHILDREN!

All this is pathetic; and on an Amateur Radio ng too!

4633. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net>
Message-ID: <39A9C0E5.6E289E2E@willinet.net>
Source record: 80966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

$150! That's insane. In Iowa they're only $3 :)

Norman Litsche wrote:

> Eeeeyeah. Special license plates in New York State are $150 extra each
> year. Amateurs too. Not really an option for most.
>
> I still have a problem being stopped by the police just because I have the
> wrong length antenna on my car. The Supreme Court gave these guys powers to
> search vehicles on the slimmest of pretexts.
>
> For awhile around me the cops were monitoring CB channel 19 and whacking
> folks that warned oncoming traffic of the speed traps. They found a hooker
> ring operating around one of the truck stops running on 19 too.
>
> de Norm KA2TYT
>
> Toll Free wrote in message ...
> >Got a problem with it.
> >
> >Get an amateur radio license plate.
> >
> >Problem solved...
> >
> >Although, I would sue if I was being harassed for having an antenna on my
> >car.
> >
> >I went through similar problems in So Cal when I lived their. If you had a
> >CB antenna on your car, and where out after 2 in the morning, you would be
> >pulled over, and your car searched for illicit narcotics, just "because
> most
> >CBers are drug users in this area".
> >
> >Toll Free
> >
> ><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> >news:hbciqskvuingshsk14gojtkolndqb36rj4@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:10:30 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
> >> <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
> >> >happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.
> >> >
> >> >de Norm KA2TYT

> >>
> >> I second that.
> >
> >

--

Dan Friedrichs
dan@willinet.net
KC0IPG

4634. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8ocf88eav1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

Look at you guys...this thread is as off-target as the Indiana cop who supposedly arrested the ham for carrying a scanner. Lets get back on topic.

"Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:39A9A651.83C8057E@bctv.com...
>
>
> Gil Jones wrote:
> >
> > On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:23:17 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
> > <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > >>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property, and
> > >>then burn there orders. FACT!
> > >>
> > >>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
> > >>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such device.
> > >>
> > >>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.
> > >>
> > >
> > >Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for it should
> > >lie squarely on the Bush administration.
> >
> > > Waco was started under the Bush
> > >administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.
> >
> > The WACO assault by the BATF was entirely in the Clinton
> > adminstration.
>
> The initial assault was planned on Bush's watch. When Clintoon took
> over, nobody changed the plans or (apparently) bothered to let the new
> administration know about it.
>
> Bush is responsible for the initial assault.
>
> Clintoon is responsible for the final solution.

4635. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39A9C190.26CE@interactive.net>
Source record: 80968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

helmsman wrote:

> 99-01 <9901@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Sounds like time for the amateur radio community to consider some
> >lobbying in Indiana.
>
> To hell with the states!! FEDERAL LAW PREEMPTS ALL STATE AND LOCAL
> LAWS!!!! The end!!!! :') Get a copy of
> FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 August 20 1993
> (the above document says it all!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> KG8PM

Actually, the federal premption for hams ONLY
specifies amateur transcievers which have
extended recieve capability. If N9VKS actually
had a scanner in his car, then the law in Indiana
may actually apply to his situation.

Just relating the facts, don't kill the messanger.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4636. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <9sjjqskb9fa2d6sj3lhdmhgkqa7p95aod6@4ax.com>
Source record: 80969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Funny you should mention that... My all time record for not having to go thru any chicken coops was 6 months, 1 week, and 5 days. No, I wasn't dodgin the nest, and no, I wasn't just driving at night. Just got lucky, I guess.

Driving's a thing of the past, for me, now, thanks to that DU who was runnin from the cops, hopped the median, and pinned me in my truck for a little over 6 hours. Oh, I might be able to take a local job, but who really wants to deal with shippers and receivers all day long?
::Grin::

Just my two cents.

-SSB

On or about Mon, 28 Aug 2000 01:56:37 GMT, Thunder@localbar.com (MoNitiOnï¿½), using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:
:You found a "chicken coop" that was open?
:Around here they build new ones and never open them.
:(at least every time I go by them they are closed)
:
:Mom

4637. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39A9F517.92769D49@cts.com>
Source record: 80970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>

> However, what happens if ham radio is being used as a cover for spying
> or terrorism? Or even drug smuggling??

That's not gonna happen.....trust me on this.

My company sells radios to terrorist and drug smugglers. We sell only
the best seneca systems to our underworld clients. Some companys will
sell ham radios to third world countrys, but that ham gear is cheap and
is not durable in the hands the 12 year old rebels.

Now forget I was here or I will have to kill all of you on this ng.

counter

4638. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <%Knq5.882$SC1.550227@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You get nothing from me for free John-Corky. Post your credentials or the Oregon PARG member list or forget it. Most member in both groups consider you, John-Corky a fraud and a liar so your attempt to contact them would be met with cat calls and jeers. If you still want to try, post what I request John-Corky.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:1Dnq5.31625$Ur3.343178@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Glenn - I would like to contact the Puyullup ARG and Pocatello ARG
> that you mention, who are the contacts for those groups?
>
> For a membership list please contact membership@parg.org.
>
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The Puyallup Amateur Radio Group (PARG) does. Also the Pocatello Amateur
> > Radio Group (PARG) recognizes them. They both have had major articles in
> > their club publications. I belong to both. General Electric I believe
> > sponsor a Missouri PARG Radio Camp this year. Don't you keep up on this
> > stuff John-Corky??????
> >
> > Now publish your member list or shut up.
>
>
>

4639. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeon@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <na2kqs4qvn1oerbs2o0mtsd87unnufjfd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 80972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 18:23:17 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO)
wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 11:26:01 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>:snip
>:
>:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
>:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
>:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
>
>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
>overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
>was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
>
>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.

Hmmm... those hams are so hot to turn in "outlaw" radio
operators to the Feds. And now law enforcement is targeting
hams. How ironic. "How does it feel?"

(rest snipped)

--
Robert Sturgeon-
"Tax and tax, spend and spend, elect and elect."
After 68 years, it's still working.

http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

4640. Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <UUnq5.888$SC1.555079@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a week John-Corky. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for your denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The day you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you and removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you would have NOTHING!! Shut up or lose John-Corky.

Glenn

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:EJnq5.31629$Ur3.343467@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Glenn - Do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would
> like
> that? Please TRY to be cooperative.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > All you have to do is shut up....but abuse@home.net is helping out,
aren't
> > they?
>
>
>

4641. "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from Eric!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827110043.13726.00002849@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 80997

In article <39A7D5A6.B6B360C3@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:

>FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
>back over 8 years!

By mentioning Deja, you piqued my curiosity and I decided to take a look for myself.

True, there is a lot of material regarding John Mackey archived there. However, a search on "Eric Oyen" revealed over 1,000 matches! Some of these are real doozies, dating back 4 years or more.

What's that about the pot calling the kettle black?

4642. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827110044.13726.00002850@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 80998

In article <slrn8qi1li.b14.Jeff@photuris.jehosophat.com>, Jeff@jehosophat.com (Jeff Davis) writes:

>The question I keep asking (but no one has answered) is just *how* did
>the police determine it was a scanner? I'm assuming that police are like
>the general public and wouldn't know a 2 meter handheld from a PopTart.

They don't. But that doesn't stop the harrassment and false arrests. Just ask the teenage kid who was stopped in Kentucky a few years back while riding his BICYCLE! The radio in question was a Radio Shack HTX-202 2-meter handheld (which cannot even be modified for out-of-band receive) and the "suspect" was a licensed ham. The radio was confiscated and charges were filed against the teen.

4643. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827110044.13726.00002851@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 80999

In article <ar8q5.21629$%O6.1387761@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
>happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.

A judge and jury would likely have a problem with this as well. Hope they have
lots of surplus funds in their local treasuries from which to pay off legal
judgements for those who are detained in such a manner.

4644. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827110045.13726.00002852@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 81000

In article <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>, nospam@fastband.com (Dave) writes:

>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the wrecker
>operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures.

But is apparently now being used by police to harass ham operators.

For the record, I travel extensively. When given a choice of two or more equal routes, I will not travel through either Indiana or Kentucky because of their restrictive, intrusive scanner laws. That means they don't get my gas taxes, sales taxes, motel taxes, etc., because of their attempts to interfere with my right to receive radio signals within their jurisdiction.

4645. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9SUN <w9sun@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <8obabh$5l5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 81001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello everyone, I just seen this post about this particular ham being arrested for having a police scanner in his automobile. Considering that I live in Indiana and go under the call of W9SUN which is my FCC assigned call sign for usage in the amateur service, I would like to make a few comments. I have read the law from the state of Indiana regarding possession of a scanner. It is not illegal for an amateur radio operator to possess a scanner in the state of Indiana and use it in a mobile setting. The key to this is that state law says that an amateur operator who has been granted a license from the Federal Communication Commission can possess one as long as they are a current FCC licensee. As for myself, I often carry a scanner with me whereever I go just for the hell of it. Anyone in Indiana can have a scanner in their house but in a car is a different story. For you to be able to activate a scanner in a car you must have either approval according to state law or something like being an Amateur Radio operator. So I think what this really comes up to is that either he was doing something else illegal or just a dumbnut officer who didnt know what was going on. Stuff like this needs to be checked out by the ARRL and the FCC and the locals and state officers need to be informed of the laws, not just make arrests based on possession. Its about as silly as being stopped for having an antenna, I guess that maybe they think people are using police radios. It would be that a 2 meter antenna would be very close in frequency to the police bands here locally. Down below I will post the actual law as found in the Indiana Code. So I think that this is either regarding to other illegal activity or just a cop that didnt know his head from his rear. Thanks for your comments.

IC 35-44-3-12
Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
(1) possesses a police radio;
(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency purposes; or
(3) possesses or uses a police radio:
(A) while committing a crime;
(B) to further the commission of a crime; or
(C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;
commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.
(b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
(1) a governmental entity;
(2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
(3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are used in emergency service;
(4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are used in emergency service;

---
(5) a person who has written permission from the chief executive
officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;
(6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the
Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;
(7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's dwelling
or place of business;
(8) a person:
(A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering activities;
(B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive legal
advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or
public radio or television station; and
(C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief
executive officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which the
employer's principal office is located;
(9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or selling
police radios; or
(10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the normal
course of the person's lawful business.
(c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is
capable of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies assigned
by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency purposes and
that:
(1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or
(2) can be operated while it is being carried by an individual.
The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.
As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.

Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:02:13 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>: From the Popular Communications September issue:
>:
>: There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
>: anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
>: of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>
>I grew up in Indiana, and even way back when I was a kid there were
>regulations against having a scanner in a vehicle (there are similar
>regulations in many other states).
>
>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
wrecker
>operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures. It
>had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners
at
>home were not prohibited.
>
>I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
>but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and

>showed them my license.
>
>Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?

4646. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a93170.10515641@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.law-enforcement

:>I mean, did the guy want to make a case out of this or was he just an
:>idiot who told the policeman, "look at my shiny new handheld, it can
:>even pick up police signals"?
:That is NOT illegal!!
:The key word here is the word "incidently".
:This is an AMATEUR RADIO that INCIDENTLY recieves police signals.
:Scanners are NOT protected!!!!!!!!!!!!!
:Amateur Radio's in the possesion of a licensed Amateur's ARE.
:And whether it is or is not considered a scanner or is an AMATEUR
:RADIO is also up to the FCC not the local hicks!

The Indiana Code exempts all radios, to include scanners, if one has a ham license, a GROL license, if one is a police officer, a fire fighter, works for the government, is a news reporter. Total exemption except for being able to transmit. And some agencies, like the one I work for, are allowed to transmit on all police frequencies.

:KG8PM
:http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
:All electronic traffic read by Echelon
:and or Carnivore. The U.S Government
:is spying on YOU! Every phone call,e-mail and
:everything electronic everywhere in the world!

4647. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <hbciqskvuingshsk14gojtkolndqb36rj4@4ax.com>
Source record: 81003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:10:30 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
<nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
>happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.
>
>de Norm KA2TYT

I second that.

4648. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <viciqs44pakcfmelg70kpircq6d8vfhi2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 81004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

snip

If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.

4649. FS: Pro90 Trunktracker...$100.00 Look...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827113257.06345.00000794@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 81005

I have a Pro90 Trunktracker for sale thats in great condition, comes with manual, and leather case. I am asking 100.00 Firm plus shipping.

Thanks Gregg

Email me privately if interested

4650. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a932ba.10845607@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.law-enforcement

:> I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
:> but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and
:> showed them my license.
:
:I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
:Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
:the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
:written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word that
:ham radio operators are legal.
:
:Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area (especially
:during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
:under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls on
:our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
:no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it
:was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
:
:This led to a 10-minute lecture for he and some of his buddies on
:amateur radio, and how to tell the difference between a ham antenna and
:a CB or scanner antenna -- and on the different series of callsign
:(their eyes glazed over for a bit when I explained that not all calls
:start with W or K, and how many different callsign formats there are).
:
:The word DID get around, I guess -- ISP guys I don't even know wave at
:me all along that stretch of road.

I am wondering if it is a state cop that is in my military
intelligence unit. He is terrified of signal intelligence, especially
that individuals might be able to listen to cell phones. Too much
training yet no understanding.

4651. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <r1bq5.162$NR6.115650@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 81007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Well said John-Corky. You did it!

K-S-0-F (callsign) report me to abuse@home.net spewed...

> Apparently the only thing I became a licensed ham for was to make a fool
> of myself.

4652. Re: 4 level QPSK Demodulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZSaq5.7947$Y5.70408@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81008

yep

"ESYSTEMSS" <esystemss@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000825005533.29642.00000155@ng-fx1.aol.com...
> posag works but flex dosent?

4653. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <39A945A3.58D2CDB9@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 81009

They have been wireless for about 6 years now. Ever since those funny looking Motorola headsets started popping up. Their are repeaters in the press box and receivers in the quarter backs helmets however the whole system in encrypted and has been since they went wireless.

star wrote:

> I was led to believe they were now wireless.
>
> Jeff Seale wrote:
> >
> > form01@home.com (Mike Forman) wrote in <39A73A33.4FED28D6@home.com>:
> >
> > >Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the
> > >sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in
> > >hearing the conversations.
> > >
> > >Any help???
> > >
> > >Thanks
> > >
> >
> > If you're talking about the coaches headsets, you're out of luck because those
> > are wired. The referee's P/A mike is wireless though and operates somewhere in
> > the VHF TV band.

4654. Re: FS: Kenwood R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39a94a26.2483542@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 81010
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Is scanner still for sale

My friend is looking for one ,He has no computer
so here is his address

David COB
387 Channel Hill rd.
Auburn, CA , 95603
PH : 530 885-7041

Thank you

Tom

On Wed, 23 Aug 2000 07:21:00 GMT, " R-5000" <R-5000@circle-kay.com>
wrote:

>For sale to a good home. Like new Kenwood R-5000. One owner. Very low
>mileage. Great condition.
>This is the basic R-5000 package with all the standard filters and options.
>With owners manual.
>This is the same radio type that is used all over the world in embassies and
>government facilities for world band monitoring of the shortwave bands. I am
>selling this rig because I really have two of them and this was a spare to
>be used in a future project that never worked out.
>Original purchase price was about $1200.00 US. Asking $600.00 or best offer.
>Contact: R-5000@circle-kay.com
>
>
>
>--
>
>The merely difficult can be done right away.
>The impossible will take a little longer.
>
>http://www.circle-kay.com
>
>
>

4655. Re: F/S pro 2006 like new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39a94b2c.2745742@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 81011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is scanner still for sale

My friend is looking for one ,He has no computer
so here is his address

David COB
387 Channel Hill rd.
Auburn, CA , 95603
PH : 530 885-7041

Thank you

Tom
On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 21:29:49 GMT, BK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:

>Scanner is a 10! Unit works and looks perfect with a bright display
>light. Scanner has been barely used by me. You could not tell this from
>new. Scanner has been cellular modified at the place of sale. Includes:
>scanner,box,manual,original antenna and car cord. Item will be packaged
>like you bought it new. $285 shipped for this PRISTINE scanner.
>Interested parties should reply with their name address and phone number
>to reserve the unit and consider it sold. thanks Bruce

4656. Re: F.S.Pro 2005 Scanner Price reducedSOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8obk2tohu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81012

In article <8o70es$u0j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD
> I have lowered the price of the scanner to $200.00 u.s. look for
> earlier messages for scanner info on it.I well be posting it on ebay
> Monday if it is not sold .Email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> SOLD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4657. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner With Full SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8obk7hojg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81013

In article <8o21dv$5li$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD
> I have a Pro2005 base scanner,which has been modified for full
> cell.This scanner is in excellent condition with a bright el light
> which can be dimmed by the dimmer switch.It comes with a
manual,regular
> antenna and an extra antenna with a load coil in the centre.This is
the
> twin of the pro2006.$225.00 email me if interested at
> wilfkelly@home.com Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> SOLD Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4658. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jonesgil@usa.net
Message-ID: <39a9490b.39369795@news.mil.ameritech.net>
Source record: 81014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:23:17 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
<nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property, and
>>then burn there orders. FACT!
>>
>>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
>>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such device.
>>
>>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.
>>
>
>Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for it should
>lie squarely on the Bush administration.

> Waco was started under the Bush
>administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.

The WACO assault by the BATF was entirely in the Clinton
adminstration. If you are saying that the laws that were used
as an excuse to justify the original raid were in place during
the Bush adminstration - those laws were put in place in 1934.
And that adminstration was democrat.

(thank you Elliot Ness - BTW he died a drunk)

>de Norm KA2TYT
>
>

4659. digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <39a95023_2@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 81015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings group,

To all of you who have been adversely affected by the proliferation of digital public service radio systems, please read the following. I hope that this is not just another rumor or wishful thinking. Let me know what you think or if you can confirm this information.

Regards
Mark

I'm forwarding this information along to P-25 participants. I found it quite interesting.

John Powell

>>X-eGroups-Return:
>>sentto-1064720-150-967264552-bragg=uclink4.berkeley.edu@returns.onelist.co
m
>>To: 911dispatchers@egroups.com
>>From: Dan Hancock <n8djp@yahoo.com>
>>Mailing-List: list 911dispatchers@egroups.com; contact
>>911dispatchers-owner@egroups.com
>>Delivered-To: mailing list 911dispatchers@egroups.com
>>List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:911dispatchers-unsubscribe@egroups.com>
>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2000 21:35:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>Reply-To: 911dispatchers@egroups.com
>>Subject: Re: [911-] 800 MHz Systems - Media Access Policy
>>
>>The Michigan State Police operates an 800 digital
>>trunked system. We do not give out our radios to the
>>media.
>>There is a new Uniden/Bearcat scanner coming out this
>>winter that will have a slot in which a decoder board
>>may be inserted to monitor APCO25 digital systems.
>>They expect the board to be out early next year.
>>This should take care of media concerns, since they
>>will be able to buy their own equipment.
>>
>>Dan Hancock N8DJP
>>MSP "Metro" dispatch
>>Detroit, MI

---------- eGroups Sponsor ----------~-~>
Get great rates on your telecom services. Click here for details.
http://click.egroups.com/1/6645/11/_/453206/_/967388852/

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------_->

-----------------------------------------
Post message: project25@onelist.com
Subscribe: project25-subscribe@onelist.com
Unsubscribe: project25-unsubscribe@onelist.com
List owner: project25-owner@onelist.com
URL to this page: http://www.onelist.com/group/project25
-----------------------------------------
```

4660. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jhorn@fulton-netNOTOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <sqikqmsrt9130@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote in message <8o4j12$1ih$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
>Yeah it was started by a bunch of Brits as I recall.
>Brian Denley

But we've done well in spite of it 8)
j/k

4661. Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Qscq5.30191$dG.846233@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 81017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all,

I have had two scanners including the Radio Shack Pro-29 60 Channel Scanner that I have now. I paid about $150 for it and was wondering what scanner I could buy that would have trunking capabilities and have AM and FM? This scanner I have now isn't bad, but there are a lot of bands that I can't pick up and it is kind of irritating. By the way it is a UHF/VHF Hi-Lo-Air 800Mhz. Thanks for all of your time and help in advance. Mark.

4662. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8obl4u$k7g$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If any reparations were to be made, it should be between the parties involved. Since everyone involved died long ago, history is set and there is no changing it. As for the American Indian, the unjust actions continue to this day in the form of payment from the government. This payment is not compensation for any previous wrongdoing on the governments part, it is a bribe to keep the American Indian on the reservation, docile and easily managed. To this end, it has been rather effective. The solution to this lies with the American Indian himself, he is the only one who can make his life better. If he continues to except government hush money, things will never improve.
"Howard Lute" <optcamel@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1411eae666f1b483989b09@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> In article <slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org>, nospam@fastband.com
> says...
> > On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman <helmsman> wrote:
> >
> > : 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> > : the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> > : whites.
> >
> > Actually, it's a lot more complicated than that. What is known is that
> > Europeans got into the slave business late, initially purchasing surplus
> > slaves from Chinese and Arab peoples. It has been claimed by some
> > historians that the Chinese taught the Europeans about slavery.
> >
> > Others claim that African tribes which would have otherwise not captured
> > people to be enslaved did so because the Europeans would pay well for
> > slaves. That would hardly make Europeans mere bystanders.
> >
> > In any case, it is true that new world Europeans practiced slavery,
> > including people like Jefferson and Washington, and it became an
unfortunate
> > heritage.
> >
> > As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who
feel
> > that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> > order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and
some
> > reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> > during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?
> >
> Or American Indians.

> --
> friend,
> I am: Howard Lute
> eBay Rating: 694
> He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> She: Patient
> LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
> Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

4663. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: aztech2000@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8obmj1$r5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81019

I went back and forth about buying the 2067. I was at the counter twice with money in hand and walked away. After all that I have read about the 2067 and its brother the 92, I was very concerned about its ability to pick up distant stations and its EDACS reception. Here is the deal, I bought it anyway and then realized that:

Since needing a scanner that decodes EDACS and buying one the local sheriffs department quit using EDACS and went to LTR II which no scanner manufacture decodes at this time. So that was no longer a concern. However I have not been sucessfull in setting up a trunk group in any mode as I have been able to find the control channels but not the voice channels or vice versa. (NOT A SCANNER PROBLEM, JUST A DATABASE PROBLEM)

Receiving distant staions is not a problem for me as I tend to concentrate on line of sight stuff anyway due to my geographical location (TUCSON AZ). I did find that using the attenuation function did help with the hissing noise normally associated with low recieve. I attenuated local stations and they sounded MUCH BETTER.

So far, 15 hours in to setting this thing up, I a satisfied. I do highly recommend the software as doing the text setup on 200 channels @ 12 characters per channel is NOT fun. I understand that a bit more control of delays and trunking can be obtained by using the software.

THINGS I LIKE:
FAST PL display
Closed Channel based on PL or DCS (much less interference)
12 CHAR TEXT DISPLAY (ALOT OF INFO)
GOOD AUDIO PUNCH!

THINKS I DO NOT LIKE:
Small buttons
Complicated Trunking setup
No Feet

Not meant as a review but just a bit more info as there is alot of negativity out there on this. This is not to say that in 29 days I might not return it, but so far I really doubt it. We'll see when I get it in the car and hook it up to the whip instead of the discone.

Scott

In article <39A6BD16.ECD7DDC3@home.com>,
I, too, have been waiting for this scanner and I keep
> flip-flopping on whether to buy a Pro-2067 cause all I have now is a 2026 and I

> really want something with text tags.
>
> Digimon wrote:
>
> > What is the status with the BC780XLT ?? I thought it was
supposed to be
> > released in July, but haven't seen anything about it. Are we
going to see
> > it soon? how much will it be?
> >
> > TIA
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4664. FA RADIO GEAR/ACCESS. LAST HOURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris fox <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39A95AAA.777B44D2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 81020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

PLEASE check out all my auction they are going off soon thank you

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=418976092

4665. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39A95C3C.4858@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 81021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith,

Hello to a fellow Civil Air Patrol member! I almost got stopped in In-
diana near Bluffton. I was aware of the "scanner law" up there, and I
think that was why the guy was following me. I did, however, have my
ham call on the back window. I wasn't speeding, so I can't think of
another reason. In North Carolina, Civil Air Patrol issues specialty
license plates (optional) for members, and I had one of those also. I
saw him talking on the radio as he tailed me and he peeled off after
awhile. I'm sure he was interested in the antennas since I had a 2 meter
and a large HF antenna, too.

BTW, as to thwarting "vulture" wrecker" operators, the local county has
am excellent solution. All county wrecker operators are in the police
database. If the parties involved in the wreck don't specify a parti-
cular wrecker, the county calls a wrecker on a rotational basis. The
computer program moves the companies up the "list". The dispatcher then
calls out the one on top. By rotating them, the local wreckers get
plenty of business, it is fair, and keeps everybody happy.

73

Jerry

Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
> no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it
> was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
>

4666. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a95b8c.548866@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 11:26:01 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

:snip
:
:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.

There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.

If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.

Hello everyone, I just seen this post about this particular ham being
arrested for having a police scanner in his automobile. Considering
that I
live in Indiana and go under the call of W9SUN which is my FCC
assigned call
sign for usage in the amateur service, I would like to make a few
comments.
I have read the law from the state of Indiana regarding possession of
a
scanner. It is not illegal for an amateur radio operator to possess a
scanner in the state of Indiana and use it in a mobile setting. The
key to
this is that state law says that an amateur operator who has been
granted a
license from the Federal Communication Commission can possess one as
long as
they are a current FCC licensee. As for myself, I often carry a
scanner with
me whereever I go just for the hell of it. Anyone in Indiana can have
a
scanner in their house but in a car is a different story. For you to
be able
to activate a scanner in a car you must have either approval according
to
state law or something like being an Amateur Radio operator. So I

think what
this really comes up to is that either he was doing something else
illegal
or just a dumbnut officer who didnt know what was going on. Stuff like
this
needs to be checked out by the ARRL and the FCC and the locals and
state
officers need to be informed of the laws, not just make arrests based
on
possession. Its about as silly as being stopped for having an antenna,
I
guess that maybe they think people are using police radios. It would
be that
a 2 meter antenna would be very close in frequency to the police bands
here
locally. Down below I will post the actual law as found in the Indiana
Code.
So I think that this is either regarding to other illegal activity or
just a
cop that didnt know his head from his rear. Thanks for your comments.

IC 35-44-3-12
Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
(1) possesses a police radio;
(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
purposes; or
(3) possesses or uses a police radio:
(A) while committing a crime;
(B) to further the commission of a crime; or
(C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;
commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.
(b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
(1) a governmental entity;
(2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
(3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are
used in
emergency service;
(4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are
used in
emergency service;

---
(5) a person who has written permission from the chief
executive
officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;
(6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the
Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting
over a
frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;
(7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's

dwelling
or place of business;
(8) a person:
(A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering activities;
(B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive
legal
advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed
commercial or
public radio or television station; and
(C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief
executive officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which
the
employer's principal office is located;
(9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or
selling
police radios; or
(10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the
normal
course of the person's lawful business.
(c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is
capable of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies
assigned
by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency purposes
and
that:
(1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or
(2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
individual.
The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.
As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.

Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:02:13 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>: From the Popular Communications September issue:
>:
>: There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
>: anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
>: of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>
>I grew up in Indiana, and even way back when I was a kid there were
>regulations against having a scanner in a vehicle (there are similar
>regulations in many other states).
>
>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
>wrecker operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures.
> It had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners
>at home were not prohibited.
>
>I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
>but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and

>showed them my license.
>
>Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?

4667. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 81023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...
>
>
> Dave wrote:
>
>
> > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my
car,
> > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio
and
> > showed them my license.
>
> I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
> Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
> the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
> written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word that
> ham radio operators are legal.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part of
their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the northward
flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with radios to
"sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been stopped
and checked several times.

> Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area (especially
> during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
> under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls on
> our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
> no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it
> was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
>

I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems to be
working so far, haven't been pulled over since.

Mark K5MAR

4668. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a960cc.1892407@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:> > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my
:car, but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio
:and showed them my license.
:>
:> I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
:> Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
:> the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
:> written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word that
:> ham radio operators are legal.
:
:Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
:cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part of
:their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the northward
:flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with radios to
:"sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been stopped
:and checked several times.
:
:> Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area (especially
:> during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
:> under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls on
:> our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
:> no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it
:> was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
:>
:
:I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems to be
:working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
:
:Mark K5MAR

However, what happens if ham radio is being used as a cover for spying
or terrorism? Or even drug smuggling??

4669. Re: Ottawa County, Ohio - Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8obn4sgeo1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81025

Well, I'll reply to my own post....

Talked to the Rat Shack guy and he said that it's imperative that the LOGICAL order be followed when entering this particular range of frequencies for the Ottawa County, Ohio system, as shown on p. 205 of the Ohio/Michigan version of the 2000 Police Call (that's the trunking section of the book). This would be for the PRO-94 trunk tracking scanner.

He specifically said that the 800MHz frequencies as listed under the Ottawa County section in the REGULAR section of the book are not in the proper order (they are instead listed in ascending FREQUENCY order, not LOGICAL trunking order).

Well, we put those frequencies in the PRO-94 as they are listed in page 205, and it all works fine.

Mike

"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8o9485$2ra$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Does anyone have experience with the Ottawa County, Ohio, trunked system?
> There are 5 frequencies, and they use the Ericsson system.
>
> Have a trunk tracking RS PRO-94. Programmed it OK, however it appears
that
> the Ottawa system's transmitters are always on (i.e, the signal strength
> remains the same whether there's audio or not).
>
> Wondering if this is yet another way of defeating scanners with trunk
> tracking capability - i.e., forcing the scanner to stay locked on the dead
> carrier (until a portion of a conversation just happens to fall on that
> stalled channel, then you can hear them talk, then when they are done, it
> goes back to just a dead carrier). This "dead carrier" appears on all 4
> voice channels (the fifth of course, is the control channel).
>
> Thanks for any clues...
>
> Mike
>
>

4670. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a96180.2072901@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc.rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.survivalism

:I have one question: why do people allow such a stupid law to be on the
:books? A scanner in the car. A crime? What makes a ham an authority on
:scanners?
:
:I live in California. And been stopped for traffic violations while
:having my scanner with me. I leave the scanner in plain sight. If the
:officer asks me what it is, I tell him. I've been asked about the laws.
:It boils down to keeping your mouth shut about what you hear.
:
:And some people say the Golden State is a bad place to live! Enough
:ranting. Richard

What the cops are now saying is that scanners are being used to spy on
cops, signal intelligence for the bad guys. Plus that ham radio is a
cover for illegal activity. The same things they say about guns,
especially in cars.

4671. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39A962A9.12BC3E8B@yua.com>
Source record: 81027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Because those enslaved blacks are no longer alive.
Don't even THINK about paying reparations to the
ancestors of these blacks. Its unfortunate but it life.

Dave wrote:

> On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman <helmsman> wrote:
>
> : 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into slavery by
> : the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold them to
> : whites.
>
> Actually, it's a lot more complicated than that. What is known is that
> Europeans got into the slave business late, initially purchasing surplus
> slaves from Chinese and Arab peoples. It has been claimed by some
> historians that the Chinese taught the Europeans about slavery.
>
> Others claim that African tribes which would have otherwise not captured
> people to be enslaved did so because the Europeans would pay well for
> slaves. That would hardly make Europeans mere bystanders.
>
> In any case, it is true that new world Europeans practiced slavery,
> including people like Jefferson and Washington, and it became an unfortunate
> heritage.
>
> As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who feel
> that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and some
> reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?

4672. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Guyton <warsaw@bayou.com>
Message-ID: <39A9652E.5E98@bayou.com>
Source record: 81028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

red_pony@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Right on, CW! Regards, Red Pony
>
> In article <8obl4u$k7g$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "CW" <cmagers@sprynet.com> wrote:
> > If any reparations were to be made, it should be between the parties
> > involved. Since everyone involved died long ago, history is set and
> there is
> > no changing it. As for the American Indian, the unjust actions
> continue to
> > this day in the form of payment from the government. This payment is
> not
> > compensation for any previous wrongdoing on the governments part, it
> is a
> > bribe to keep the American Indian on the reservation, docile and
> easily
> > managed. To this end, it has been rather effective. The solution to
> this
> > lies with the American Indian himself, he is the only one who can
> make his
> > life better. If he continues to except government hush money, things
> will
> > never improve.
> > "Howard Lute" <optcamel@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.1411eae666f1b483989b09@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> > > In article <slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org>,
> nospam@fastband.com
> > > says...
> > > > On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman <helmsman> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > : 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into
> slavery by
> > > > : the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold
> them to
> > > > : whites.
> > > >
> > > > Actually, it's a lot more complicated than that. What is known
> is that
> > > > Europeans got into the slave business late, initially purchasing
> surplus
> > > > slaves from Chinese and Arab peoples. It has been claimed by some
> > > > historians that the Chinese taught the Europeans about slavery.

> > > >
> > > > Others claim that African tribes which would have otherwise not
> captured
> > > > people to be enslaved did so because the Europeans would pay well
> for
> > > > slaves. That would hardly make Europeans mere bystanders.
> > > >
> > > > In any case, it is true that new world Europeans practiced
> slavery,
> > > > including people like Jefferson and Washington, and it became an
> > unfortunate
> > > > heritage.
> > > >
> > > > As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those
> who
> > feel
> > > > that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them
> is in
> > > > order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion,
> and
> > some
> > > > reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese
> imprisioned
> > > > during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?
> > > >
> > > Or American Indians.
> > > --
> > > friend,
> > > I am: Howard Lute
Where would the decendants of the slaves be today if their
ancestors had not been sold in America? They would be in
Africa, their families dying like flies of AID's, running from
constant civil wars, grubbing in the dirt for food while keeping
a wary eye out for lions. They should consider themselves the
lucky ones.

> > > eBay Rating: 694
> > > He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> > > She: Patient
> > > LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
> > > Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4673. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <5eoiqssehfu4k2lkujrk7dcqv7qnh42tfs@4ax.com>
Source record: 81029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

helmsman wrote:

>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property, and
>then burn there orders. FACT!
>
>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such device.
>
>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.

Bullshit.

Get your facts straight before you start spreading your hate propaganda.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4674. Re: Trunking scanner wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: charles <cbr59@flash.net>
Message-ID: <5ceq5.2891$k57.223926@news.flash.net>
Source record: 81030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I HAVE A PRO 91 EMAIL ME I WILL TELL YOU ABOUT IT
RADAR59@FLASH.NET

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:XU9p5.854$ih1.212940@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> Looking to buy a trunking type scanner - any model, base/portable/handheld
> doesn't matter.
>
> Must be in Excellent to Mint condition and work 100%.
>
> John
>
>
>
>

4675. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B5CEDA6B0004121D0B7C3DD0@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 81031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 1:35:19 -0500, Heveros Rancheros wrote:

> Excuse me, but the savages appear to have removed a few hair pieces from the
> future CIVILIZED people, and the savages are the ones who should do a
> reparation, if they only had anything other than their welfare checks to
> hand over!

< http://www.mdstud.chalmers.se/~md2nicke/MISSUPPFATTNINGAR/skalper.html>

scalping,

removal of all or part of the scalp, with hair attached, from an
enemy's head. Although best known as a practice of North American Indian
warfare, scalping was not exclusive to the Indians. The Greek historian
Herodotus attributed the practice to the Scythians, and some western Siberian
peoples and possibly the ancient Persians may also have scalped enemies.

Scalping was not common to all American Indian tribes, and some authors
question its presence in North America before the arrival of whites. The
custom was probably confined originally to a limited area in what is now the
eastern United States and around the lower St. Lawrence, as well as an area
in the Gran Chaco country of South America. The practice spread over much of
central and western North America as a result of bounties offered by the
French, English, Dutch, and Spanish colonial authorities for the scalps of
enemy Indians and sometimes of enemy whites. Many American frontiersmen and
soldiers adopted the custom.

The importance of scalping varied. For Southeastern Indians it was necessary
to take scalps to become a warrior and to placate the spirits of the dead.
Most Northeastern Indians valued captives more than scalps. Among Plains
Indians scalps were taken for war honours, although such practices as
touching a live enemy accrued more honour to a warrior.

Scalps were usually taken from dead enemies, although some Plains Indians
preferred a live victim. The operation was not necessarily fatal, and some
victims were released alive. The scalp was sometimes offered as a ritual
sacrifice or preserved and carried by women in a triumphal scalp dance, later
to be retained as a pendant by the warrior, used as tribal medicine, or
discarded.

Gray

Gray Shockley

Entropy Maintenance Technician
Tao Chemical Company
----
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, Mississippi US

4676. Pro-39 +cell worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Benner <db97+@andrew.cmu.edu>
Message-ID: <YteL4nW00UiVQ25V8I@andrew.cmu.edu>
Source record: 81032

I have a Pro-39 with the cell conversion that I'm thinking of selling.
It's nearly mint condition (no box or manual).

What's a fair price for this radio? Any offers or suggestions on where
to sell (I know Ebay is sensitive to the cell mods)?

thanks.

Dale

4677. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: noaj@yournet.com
Message-ID: <39a974ae.813802@news.preferred.com>
Source record: 81033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 18:23:17 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:
Down below I will post the actual law as found in the Indiana
>Code.
>So I think that this is either regarding to other illegal activity or
>just a
>cop that didnt know his head from his rear. Thanks for your comments.
(snip)

Wouldn't be the first time that a cop made a mistake. Recently had a
case here in Arkansas in which a state trooper arrested a guy for
giving him a middle-finger salute. The guy won in court, so far, and
the cop was fined $4,000, but they may appeal it later, too.

Noah Simoneaux

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4678. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <LReq5.21650$g53.403249@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 81034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And the tow truck scene in Houston is just Fing amazing.

Never have I seen such a clusterfuck in America as the idiot tow truck drivers in Houston.

And I have first hand knowledge. My brother in law owns a tow truck company here. Like fucking vultures they are.

Toll Free

"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39A90A87.53AA1E1@cts.com...
>
>
> Dave wrote:
>
> > The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the wrecker
> > operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures. It
> > had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners at
> > home were not prohibited.
>
> The Houston PD deals with this differently. They broadcast the request
> for the tow directly over the PD channels to the tow truck. The drivers
> listen on the scanner and the first guy there gets the call. The
> dispatcher then cancels the request.
>
> Markj

4679. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <DUeq5.21660$g53.403549@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 81035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Got a problem with it.

Get an amateur radio license plate.

Problem solved...

Although, I would sue if I was being harassed for having an antenna on my car.

I went through similar problems in So Cal when I lived their. If you had a CB antenna on your car, and where out after 2 in the morning, you would be pulled over, and your car searched for illicit narcotics, just "because most CBers are drug users in this area".

Toll Free

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message news:hbciqskvuingshsk14gojtkolndqb36rj4@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:10:30 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
> <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
> >happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.
> >
> >de Norm KA2TYT
>
> I second that.

4680. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <z_eq5.306362$t91.3248533@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 81036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I travelled from Houston, Texas to Ponca City OK every other weekend for 6 months, with an antenna on my car, and was never stopped.

Once must wonder if their are other things that are attracting the officers.

Toll Free

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> "Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
> news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...
> >
> >
> > Dave wrote:
> >
> >
> > > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my
> car,
> > > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio
> and
> > > showed them my license.
> >
> > I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
> > Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
> > the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
> > written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word that
> > ham radio operators are legal.
>
> Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
> cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part
of
> their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the northward
> flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with radios
to
> "sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been stopped
> and checked several times.
>
> > Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area (especially
> > during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
> > under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls on
> > our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
> > no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it
> > was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.

> >
>
> I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems to
be
> working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
>
>
>

4681. FT/FS: Compac color laptop & Video cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <72fq5.8047$Y5.75370@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 81037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the following for sale or trade, best offers on sales. I am in need
of Motorola GP68 VHF w/ keypad and VR-500 unblocked...
I have 2 Panasonic CCD cameras for sale.

1 - Panasonic WV-CD22, serial # 98B03403 1/2" CCD Black & White Camera,
muliti output plus 0-99 number overlay on picture output (set inside
camera). Rear of camera has "VD", HD/VS, & "video" output which outputs
great picture when c-mount lens attached. Runs on 12VDC power supply
(included) Photo upon request
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/wvcd22.JPG

$80 plus shipping

1 - Panasonic WV-BP105 1/3" black & White CCD camera with Panasonic
WV-LA4R5C3 Auto Iris lens (4.5mm) and AC power adapter (unit runs on 24AC)
Small piece of autoiris lens fron missing which does not effect image
quality, Photo upon request - $125

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/panaccd1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/panaccd.JPG

I have a great condition Compac LTE Elite 4/40CX (486dx4/40) with 16MB
mem,
340MB HD, 2 PCMCIA slots,COMPAQ 192 19,200 Data/Fax PCMCIA modem with
instructions & Cable (plus nylon case), good battery, AC cord, compact
case, external as
well as integral mouse. 1.44MB floppy, printer port, serial port (DB9). Unit
is in pristine shape, NO pixel damage on nice color TFT screen. Unit now
runs w3.1 with delrina fax pro. TCP/IP drivers, compaq drivers, MS Office
4.2, 8.4" color tft screen, lotus software. $175 obo plus shipping

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop2.JPG

Seagate 8.6GB Hard Drive, used but good, Model # ST38421A - $75 obo / trade
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/st38421a.JPG

Info;
mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

4682. Re: NYFD Staten Island frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nyclt422@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827165456.08662.00000683@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 81038

FDNY Staten Island is on 154.19 (FYI the frequency is shared with the Bronx) so you have to knoiw the SI company numbers

4683. Re: more lies from Blake Bowers!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <MJfq5.30293$Ur3.328529@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

I was never asked to leave Fidonet or the scanradio forum.

I was, however, kicked out of the Scanradio forum by Bill Cheek on
false charges without any chance to defend myself or speak to the
accusations.
That is the ONLY Fido forum I was removed from during my entire time of
activity on fidonet.

Blake - you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
Then
perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.

It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Good, you at least admit to part of it. You admit you were
> asked to leave the scanradio forum. Insofar as being asked
> to leave FIDO, the fact that you were speaks for itself.

4684. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <XKfq5.30295$Ur3.328459@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Exactly WHO are the "numerous major companies and organizations" which
recognize a PARG in Missouri?

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> I realize you have problems following threads, but we were
> discussing the REAL PARG, based in Missouri, which is recognized
> by numerous major companies and organizations.

4685. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <6Mfq5.30297$Ur3.328636@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81041

Good, so now here is something in "SIMPLEST" term that hopefully
YOU can understand. You have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot
light by causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
Then
perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.

It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> Well thank you mackerel. I wanted to be sure that it was in the
> SIMPLEST terms possible so that you could easily understand it.

4686. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <%Mfq5.30298$Ur3.327197@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Blake, you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
Then
perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.

It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote >
stupidity snipped.

4687. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <INfq5.30299$Ur3.328148@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Glenn - you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
Then
perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.

It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
stupidity snipped

4688. Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <NNfq5.30300$Ur3.328703@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OK guys, you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks. Then
perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.

It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

4689. Re: Shinwa scanner, manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max <vitiper@libero.it>
Message-ID: <5k2jqsgf696a3s846hpqacgmdd9as1e68d@4ax.com>
Source record: 81045

Hello, i have bought a Shinwa scanner but the manual is missing and i
have no idea how to operate it, i tought it was easy to find a copy
but after 3 months i realized that it is not, can you help me?
I WILL PAY THE EXPENSES for a paper copy or scan,
please!
Max

4690. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <here@there.org>
Message-ID: <xbgq5.7453$td3.737276@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 81046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sounds like a bunch of local "bubba's" harrasing you and waiting for you like vultures for you to screw up
so they can pay for their news radio's that you won't be able to listen to.
It's amazing how those who have the most protection (guns and ammunition) are those who are in most need
of being taken out of the gene pool. Also, it's amazing that those who need to be monitored the most are those
who are trying to make monitoring illegal.

4691. info on Uniden radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <39A8BCAC.F4427167@gte.net>
Source record: 81071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

looking info on a Uniden 3100 or IMU3100K

4692. Re: Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a8c805$0$755$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81072

300/Frequency in MHz gives you the wavelength in meters....simple!!!

4693. Re: What scanner is this??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a8c86a$0$764$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81073

To all those who were curious, it is a Uniden MR8100.

Thanks Mr. Wells

4694. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jonesgil@usa.net
Message-ID: <39a8c78b.6213688@news.mil.ameritech.net>
Source record: 81074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 22:29:29 -0400, helmsman wrote:

>2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:
>
>>From the Popular Communications September issue:
>>
>>There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
>>anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
>>of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>>(No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
>>States').
>We need a make and model number here.
You don't need no make and model.
If you put something in the public air - expect
that it will be received.

You don't want it understood - scramble it.

>>If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
>>read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
>>don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.
>>
>>I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the arrest.
>>I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the Indiana
>>State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP
>>assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them.

>As I always thought- they are the secret police just like the STAZI or
>GUESTAPO.
Its their job - and they are just following orders.

>In America there is no law against spying on the police.
Maybe Indiana is not in the U.S.

>>No recognition
>>for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management
>>agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
>>cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
>>the same function of inter agency monitoring.
>>
>>Just a fear that the public is out of control.
>>
>>BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
>>cases. And nothing that deals with hams.

>The whole thing rests on what the radio was and what N9VKS told them.

>If he said it was a scanner he is out of luck.
Never talk to police - they are NOT YOUR FRIEND.
Answer question as short and concise as possible
and if possible - don't answer.

>If on the other hand it
>is simply capable of recieving Public Saftey, Special Emergency
>frequencies that are not prohibited by ****FEDERAL LAW****
>the cops are out of luck.

>FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 AUGUST 20 1933 IS WHAT COUNTS!

The fed is out of control also.

>>I guess hams are going to
>>have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
>>
>>
>
>KG8PM
>http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman

4695. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <d14q5.28030$Ur3.314192@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Wrong again , Blake.

During my time on Fido, I was never asked to leave Fido.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP
> SCANRADIO forum. After that, you were asked to leave
> FIDO altogether.

4696. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <S24q5.28041$Ur3.314454@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, when you release the full membership roster of your PARG, I
> release my full membership roster. Include emails, and I will do the
same.

Blake - to get the info you are requesting, write an e-mail to
membership@parg.org & request it.

> Right. I make my living in a position that requires almost daily
> contact with the FCC or their represenitives, for my customers. You
SNIP

OK Blake, who is your contact with the FCC?

4697. Re: Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39A8CF10.393A732A@blinx.de>
Source record: 81077

Mike Alpha schrieb:

> 300/Frequency in MHz gives you the wavelength in meters....simple!!!

298 should give you a bit more accuracy.. :-))

When building an Antenna the diameter of the material becomes a matter too. This will take the more effect the higher the frequency is.

A bigger diameter of the antenna material will affect resonance on a shorter length of the antenna giving a broader bandwidth on acceptable SWR also.

odo- germany-

4698. FOR SALE: PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39a8d960.7971451@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81078

Greetings!

Back in January, I made an impulse purchase of a Radio Shack PRO-2052 desktop scanner (model #20-432). I thought it would be cool to have a scanner at home; it has a thousand channels (!), it's a trunktracker, and the computer connectiveity sounded neat.

Of course, I neglected to consider that I only listen to my handheld scanner at work, and I've only felt the need to monitor at home durning emergency situations or civil unrest (can anyone guess I live in Los Angeles?), when the ability to use a scanner hooked up to my computer would be in doubt.

So, I have decided to sell it... I plan on putting it on Ebay later this week, after someone else's auction for one ends. But, I figured if there's anyone out there that wants one we could save some time. It is basically in new condition; I took it out of the box once to look at, then I carefully wrapped it back up in it's plastic, put it back in the box, and put it on a shelf where it has sat until now. It has never been powered up, and natuarally it comes with the original everything. It even has the extra thirty-six month service plan.

This is from the Radio Shack page for the scanner, where they are listed for $369.99: "Follows analog Motorola I, II, I/II, and GE/Ericsson (EDACS) trunked systems. Extended coverage includes 225-406MHz military aircraft band, 220MHz and 1,240MHz Ham bands. Built-in NOAA weather radio alert with S.A.M.E. (no display). Built-in RS232C serial interface permits computer control, uploading, downloading and cloning**. 20 priority channels. Data skip. Search skip. UL listed ac adapter (dc adapter available separately), detachable indoor antenna, nationwide trunked frequency list. Size:2 3/4x8 1/16x7 11/16" (HWD).) **Requires software and cable, available separately."
(http://www.radioshack.com/ProductCatalog/ProductDetail/Index/1,2098,,00.html?SKUString1=20&SKUString2=432)

I got it on sale for $300, plus $60 or so for the three year warrenty, and given that it's basically new in the box, if anyone wants to offer $200 for it we couldl just skip the Ebay auction. Plus shipping, of course. Umm, if I get more than one response, I may just auction it anyway.

Thanks!
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x71DCFDB0>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x33F32611>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500, whichever is greater, for each violation."

4699. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Nicholson <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <39A91B24.4F94@netusa1.net>
Source record: 81079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

There must be more to this story because Indiana, like a lot of
states has an exemption for licensed amateur radio operators. Below is a
copy of the appropriate statute

IC 35-44-3-12
Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
(1) possesses a police radio;
(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police
emergency purposes; or
(3) possesses or uses a police radio:
(A) while committing a crime;
(B) to further the commission of a crime; or
(C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement
agency;
commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B
misdemeanor.
(b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
(1) a governmental entity;
(2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
(3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose
vehicles are used in emergency service;
(4) a public service or utility company whose
vehicles are used in emergency service;
(5) a person who has written permission from the
chief executive officer of a law enforcement
agency to possess a police radio;
(6) a person who holds an amateur radio license
issued by the Federal Communications
Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
frequency assigned for police emergency
purposes;
(7) a person who uses a police radio only in the
person's dwelling or place of business;
(8) a person:
(A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering
activities;
(B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to
receive legal advertisements under
IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or public
radio or television station; and
(C) whose name is furnished by his employer to
the chief executive officer of a law
enforcement agency in the county in which the employer's

principal office is located;
(9) a person engaged in the business of
manufacturing or selling police radios; or
(10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio
during the normal course of the person's
lawful business.
(c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a
radio that is capable of sending or receiving
signals transmitted on frequencies assigned by the Federal
Communications Commission for police
emergency purposes and that:
(1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a
vehicle; or
(2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
individual.
The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a
dwelling.
As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by
P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.

> :
> : There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> : anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
> : of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>
>
> Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?

4700. F/S Pro 92 $190.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827080843.21593.00000088@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 81080

For sale a 500 Ch Pro 92 (this is not a 92A)

190.00 shipped here in the USA

Prepayment of a US Postal Money Order Only

Pickups welcome here in North Jersey

4701. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39A90A87.53AA1E1@cts.com>
Source record: 81081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:

> The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the wrecker
> operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures. It
> had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners at
> home were not prohibited.

The Houston PD deals with this differently. They broadcast the request
for the tow directly over the PD channels to the tow truck. The drivers
listen on the scanner and the first guy there gets the call. The
dispatcher then cancels the request.

Markj

4702. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <618q5.22290$ZI2.1308857@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 81082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

a copy of the indiana scanner law can be seen at this url:
http://www.indyscan.com/reference/law.htm
--
tom g.

"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39A90A87.53AA1E1@cts.com...
>
>
> Dave wrote:
>
> > The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
wrecker
> > operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures.
It
> > had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since
scanners at
> > home were not prohibited.
>
> The Houston PD deals with this differently. They broadcast the request
> for the tow directly over the PD channels to the tow truck. The drivers
> listen on the scanner and the first guy there gets the call. The
> dispatcher then cancels the request.
>
> Markj

4703. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a90e6c.1550221@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

:>From the Popular Communications September issue:
:>
:>There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
:>anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
:>of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
:>(No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
:>States').
:We need a make and model number here.
:>If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
:>read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
:>don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.
:>
:>I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the arrest.
:>I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the Indiana
:>State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP
:>assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them.
:As I always thought- they are the secret police just like the STAZI or
:GUESTAPO.
:In America there is no law against spying on the police.
:>No recognition
:>for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management
:>agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
:>cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
:>the same function of inter agency monitoring.
:>
:>Just a fear that the public is out of control.
:>
:>BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
:>cases. And nothing that deals with hams.
:The whole thing rests on what the radio was and what N9VKS told them.
:If he said it was a scanner he is out of luck. If on the other hand it
:is simply capable of recieving Public Saftey, Special Emergency
:frequencies that are not prohibited by ****FEDERAL LAW****
:the cops are out of luck.
:FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 AUGUST 20 1933 IS WHAT COUNTS!

Indiana Code, IC 35-44-3-12, exempts hams as well as others from
prosecution over scanners, ham gear or even having a police radio.
The license is something that can be taken away just as the other
classes of exempted individuals may be fired. If the state police do
not exempt hams then they may not exempt volunteer fire fighters or
other police departments. Fiefdoms.

:>I guess hams are going to
:>have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
:>
:>
:
:KG8PM
:http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman

4704. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ob345$96n$0@63.162.247.239>
Source record: 81084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > John, when you release the full membership roster of your PARG, I
> > release my full membership roster. Include emails, and I will do the
> same.
>
> Blake - to get the info you are requesting, write an e-mail to
> membership@parg.org & request it.
>

When you post it here, I will post it here. Simple.

> > Right. I make my living in a position that requires almost daily
> > contact with the FCC or their represenitives, for my customers. You
> SNIP
>
> OK Blake, who is your contact with the FCC?

What business is it of yours? You have been asked time after time
to present your credentials, and other information, and yet you
continue to refuse. Why should I give you any information?

4705. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ob37d$96n$1@63.162.247.239>
Source record: 81085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>

I realize you have problems following threads, but we were
discussing the REAL PARG, based in Missouri, which is recognized
by numerous major companies and organizations.

> Yes, Blake, thank you for your support of PARG International based in
> Oregon!
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Actually, PARG is recognized by a number of major companies and
> > organizations.

4706. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ob3cf$96n$2@63.162.247.239>
Source record: 81086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> Wrong again , Blake.
>
> During my time on Fido, I was never asked to leave Fido.
>
Good, you at least admit to part of it. You admit you were
asked to leave the scanradio forum. Insofar as being asked
to leave FIDO, the fact that you were speaks for itself.

> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > SCANRADIO forum. After that, you were asked to leave
> > FIDO altogether.

4707. FA pro-2022 200 channel scanner final day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <5j8q5.200767$Gh.3620843@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 81087

30-54mhz, 108-174mhz, 380-512mhz, 806-960mhz(no gaps)

http://cgi.ca.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413434745

4708. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ar8q5.21629$%O6.1387761@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.

de Norm KA2TYT

Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:02:13 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>: From the Popular Communications September issue:
>:
>: There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
>: anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
>: of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>
>I grew up in Indiana, and even way back when I was a kid there were
>regulations against having a scanner in a vehicle (there are similar
>regulations in many other states).
>
>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
wrecker
>operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures. It
>had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners
at
>home were not prohibited.
>
>I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
>but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and
>showed them my license.
>
>Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?

4709. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff@jehosophat.com
Message-ID: <slrn8qi1li.b14.Jeff@photuris.jehosophat.com>
Source record: 81089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 12:42:42 GMT, tom g. <tagger4@home.com> wrote
in message <618q5.22290$ZI2.1308857@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>:
>a copy of the indiana scanner law can be seen at this url:
>http://www.indyscan.com/reference/law.htm

The question I keep asking (but no one has answered) is just *how* did
the police determine it was a scanner? I'm assuming that police are like
the general public and wouldn't know a 2 meter handheld from a PopTart.

Is this like the people who grow pot, TELL everyone they are growing pot
in the hopes of getting arrested and making a case out of it?

I mean, did the guy want to make a case out of this or was he just an
idiot who told the policeman, "look at my shiny new handheld, it can
even pick up police signals"?

--
jeff davis, n9avg
http://n9avg.org/

4710. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9D8q5.21637$%O6.1389256@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property, and
>then burn there orders. FACT!
>
>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such device.
>
>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.
>

Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for it should
lie squarely on the Bush administration. Waco was started under the Bush
administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.

de Norm KA2TYT

4711. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <o49q5.1700$xa.211856@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 81091

A friend showed me a copy of Monitoring Times last night (I believe it was from May of this year) where in an interview with some government official (can't remember which one) he or she stated that they are beefing up whichever bill it is that outlaws scanning of the cellular frequencies here in the US with heavier fines, jail time, etc... And they're serious about enforcement...

They also went on to say that the government will be paying more attention to scanners being imported into the country by buyers who are trying to circumvent the US scanner laws... They didn't elaborate much on this, other than to say they would be paying more attention to this "loophole" in the law (their word not mine).

Realistically I doubt that anyone ordering a scanner from overseas which is cell capable would have a US Marshal show up at his door and arrest him, but I can see imported scanners being confiscated at the border from now on and the buyer will just loose the money he paid for it. The equipment seized would be used by the government since they are exempt from scanner restrictions.

It would certainly be easier just to 'bite the bullet' and obey the cell phone and cordless phones laws, don't you think?

John

"Troy Rowland" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:39a88790$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> Australian version is basically the same as the US version. It has the
1.04
> firmware, no 5 second trunk delay, covers 66-88MHz and the entire 800MHz
> band (due to the frequencies used for 800 MHz trunking here). And it
works
> quite well!
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
> "Jeff Seale" <jbseale@iglou.com> wrote in message
> news:8F9CA85EDjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5...
> > >Trunking protocols in the UK/Europe differ in the main from those the
> > >BC-245XLT and other "Trunktrackers" will work with although as
mentioned
> > >earlier in this thread there are two/three users in the UK using
> > >Motorola trunking systems.

> > >
> > >Uniden have however introduced the UBC-245XLT into Australia which does
> > >use Motorola systems more widely and it is this model we are hoping to
> > >be able to offer in the not too distant future.
> > >
> > >All the best for the weekend.
> >
> > Is the Australian version of the 245XLT going to be any different from
the
> > U.S. version (aside from the frequency coverage)?
>
>
>

4712. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <keithrw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com>
Source record: 81092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:

> I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
> but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and
> showed them my license.

I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word that ham radio operators are legal.

Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area (especially during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls on our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz), no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic (it was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.

This led to a 10-minute lecture for he and some of his buddies on amateur radio, and how to tell the difference between a ham antenna and a CB or scanner antenna -- and on the different series of callsign (their eyes glazed over for a bit when I explained that not all calls start with W or K, and how many different callsign formats there are).

The word DID get around, I guess -- ISP guys I don't even know wave at me all along that stretch of road.

4713. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman <>
Message-ID: <ib8iqs8ci2307kktskgb8lh56st6raij2a@4ax.com>
Source record: 81093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:

>From the Popular Communications September issue:
>
==========
If you use the link provided below go to the bottom of the page
first. There are many sites that carry this document, just type this
"fcc pr docket 91-36" in a search engine, I prefer
http://www.dogpile.com as it polls 12 engines at once and start
reading. The FCC rule's on this one and that is the end of it.
==========
13. We hold that state and local laws that preclude the possession in
vehicles or elsewhere of amateur radio service transceivers by amateur
operators merely on the basis that the transceivers are capable of
reception of public safety, special emergency, or any other radio
service frequencies not otherwise prohibited by federal law, are
inconsistent with the federal objectives of facilitating and promoting
the amateur radio service, and more fundamentally with the federal
interest in amateur operator's being able to transmit and receive on
authorized frequencies. We therefore hold that such state and local
laws are preempted by federal law.
http://home.earthlink.net/~n3eyq/vt397r.htm
KG8PM
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
All electronic traffic read by Echelon
and or Carnivore. The U.S Government
is spying on YOU! Every phone call,e-mail and
everything electronic everywhere in the world!

4714. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman <>
Message-ID: <sv8iqs4548mk7gbcek8n68mr7nu6g3h5u1@4ax.com>
Source record: 81094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff@jehosophat.com (Jeff Davis) wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 12:42:42 GMT, tom g. <tagger4@home.com> wrote
>in message <618q5.22290$ZI2.1308857@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>:

>I mean, did the guy want to make a case out of this or was he just an
>idiot who told the policeman, "look at my shiny new handheld, it can
>even pick up police signals"?
That is NOT illegal!!
The key word here is the word "incidently".
This is an AMATEUR RADIO that INCIDENTLY recieves police signals.
Scanners are NOT protected!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Amateur Radio's in the possesion of a licensed Amateur's ARE.
And whether it is or is not considered a scanner or is an AMATEUR
RADIO is also up to the FCC not the local hicks!

KG8PM
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
All electronic traffic read by Echelon
and or Carnivore. The U.S Government
is spying on YOU! Every phone call,e-mail and
everything electronic everywhere in the world!

4715. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman <>
Message-ID: <oh9iqs4tf85nm5t3kesj1qavtunju0jlfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 81095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for it should
>lie squarely on the Bush administration. Waco was started under the Bush
>administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.
>
>de Norm KA2TYT
>
oop's!

KG8PM
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
All electronic traffic read by Echelon
and or Carnivore. The U.S Government
is spying on YOU! Every phone call,e-mail and
everything electronic everywhere in the world!

4716. Re: Pro-2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <midnightt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8p9iqs0e9k3cmrl1a0t40ldeb3b5nlktj4@4ax.com>
Source record: 81096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack has its manuals online.

Go to :
http://www.radioshack.com/ProductSupport/DrillDown/1,2358,RSSupport-Communications,00.html
#
and click on Scanners

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 11:57:38 -0500, "Bob Parnass, AJ9S"
<parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:

>Baby monitors use the 49 MHz range, not 457 MHz.
>
>To program the search limits for search bank 6, for
>example, press:
>
>Program
>6
>Limit
>457.5125
>Enter
>Limit
>457.65
>Enter
>
>To search, press the up or down arrow key,
>then the 6 key. Select the step size you want.
>
>BK wrote:
>>
>> Why would anyone want to monitor baby monitors anyway??
>>
>> Dan Yemiola wrote:
>> >
>> > I have lost the manual for my RS 2006.
>> > Can anyone tell me how to set programmed scan band limits?
>> > I want to scan 457.5125-457-.650.

4717. Canadian Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:33:21 UTC
From: Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <llZp5.26639$dG.794985@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 81191

Hello All,

Does anyone here know of any frequencies that would be used for the Niagra Falls area (U.S. or Canada, doesn't matter)? I'm looking for any freq's for the attractions, police, or the casino up there. Also, how about any other part of Canada especially Toronto? Thanks in advance. Mark.

4718. Re: Ignorant Blinky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 00:57:42 UTC
From: TW <tandb80NOSPM@home.com>
Message-ID: <aIZp5.101789$6y5.70158258@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 81192

Oyen, you talking about Mike Alexander?
<snork>
Is Cheek getting a size 13 wide up his
backside from Ole Scratch right now?

--

4719. N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 01:02:13 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39a867d8.5276583@news.gte.net>
Source record: 81193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

From the Popular Communications September issue:

There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner. (No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier States'). If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.

I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the arrest. I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the Indiana State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them. No recognition for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do the same function of inter agency monitoring.

Just a fear that the public is out of control.

BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate cases. And nothing that deals with hams. I guess hams are going to have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.

4720. Re: F/S pro 2006 like new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 01:09:40 UTC
From: mfagras@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000826210940.25827.00000658@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 81194

Michael Fagras
4135 Golden Wheat Drive
St. Charles, Missouri 63304
636-928-4570

Please E-mail me to let me know if I have reserved the scanner.

4721. Re: Canadian Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 01:29:54 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39A86EFD.AA489B80@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 81195

Mark Rossi wrote:

> Hello All,
>
> Does anyone here know of any frequencies that would be used for the
> Niagra Falls area (U.S. or Canada, doesn't matter)? I'm looking for any
> freq's for the attractions, police, or the casino up there. Also, how about
> any other part of Canada especially Toronto? Thanks in advance. Mark.

www.ontscan.com - Find all information.

--
Should you have any future questions please don't hesitate to contact me.

John Medica - System administrator
66 Goodwood Avenue
Toronto Ontario, Canada M6E 1J3

E-mail: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
Web: http://www.listening-post.net
Listeing-Post - Toronto Ontario Canada

4722. Buying Pro-51's, I want yours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 01:30:40 UTC
From: tacoman97@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000826213040.29655.00000377@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 81196

just want all Pro-51 scanners,email me

4723. Beam Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:11:55 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000826221155.03461.00000577@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 81047

I have the opportunity to get a 40 foot mast with an antenna attached for free. Since I have little knowledge of antennas, I am asking for input. The antenna appears to be approximately 5-6 feet tall and approximately 1" thick the entire length. Several businesses have used the building that the antenna is attached too, so I believe it was used for 2 way comm. What, if any use will it be for scanning?

4724. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:32:06 UTC
From: 99-01 <9901@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8o9uj7$1bu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 81050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In NJ, possession of a scanner in a vehicle was illegal (2A:127-4).
The story goes that a ham was caught with his modified radio by a
knowledgable police officer and charged (Never able to really confirm
this story), due to the ability to receive out of band. But, in 1993,
this statute was repealed and a new law was created. Under the new
law, possession of a scanner radio is illegal if you commit a crime, or
flee from the scene of a crime.

Sounds like time for the amateur radio community to consider some
lobbying in Indiana.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4725. Re: PARG: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:32:37 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8o9uk5$h80$0@63.162.247.190>
Source record: 81048

juj wrote:
>
> I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
> Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
> the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
> like.
> I think these creeps should be run out of town.

Although some members of Johns Phantoms Amateur Radio
Group are apparently gay, there should be tolerance,
and we should consider the source.

4726. Re: BC780XLT Status???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:39:18 UTC
From: Troy Rowland <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a8878f$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81057

It's possible they will produce a cellular-capable model. This is what happened with the UBC-245XLT, which is the Australian/New Zealand model of the 245XLT. The 800MHz trunking frequencies here (Australia) cover part of the US cellular band, hence the unblocked version for Australia. Since the Australian market has been promised a version of the 780XLT, we could be in luck.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

"Alasdair McKie" <amckie@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:amckie-D0AE3F.12330926082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> In article <8o8q5v$229r$1@thoth.cts.com>, "Paul Watkins"
> <noemail@becauseofspam.com> wrote:
>
> > On the Uniden website it says "Continuous Band Coverage" but on the
> > Canadian site it says "Covers 25 to
> > 512 MHz, 806 to 1300* MHz. *Cellular is blocked. Cannot be restored."
> >
> > Does anyone know which is correct?
>
> According to the two-page advance brochure, the latter is correct.
>
> See http://www.scannersunlimited.com/780xlt.html
>
> But it's worth noting that as far as Uniden is concerned, a scanner that
> has the whole range minus cellular could be called continuous coverage
> as it's not legal for them to make a cell-capable scanner in the U.S.
> Personally, I would say that not having the UHF tv band would exclude
> calling it "continuous." Anyway, I've seen no indication they plan a
> call-capable version for other markets, but then again, the 780 is still
> a ways from being available.
>
> -A

4727. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:39:20 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8o9v0oiap0@63.162.247.190>
Source record: 81049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Actually, as our membership rolls is over 100 people, we are
> > quite well recognized.
>
> Who are those 100 people?
>

John, when you release the full membership roster of your PARG, I
release my full membership roster. Include emails, and I will
do the same.

> > Who in the world need the FCC or ARRL to recognize us? They
> > really could care less.
>
> I am sure you don't care about hte FCC or ARRL, as indicated by your
> conduct. You are also jealous, because our group (the real PARG) is!!!
>

Right. I make my living in a position that requires almost daily
contact with the FCC or their represenitives, for my customers. You
of course, would not realize that, as Installers never have to
deal with that part of the Land Mobile Industry.

4728. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:47:22 UTC
From: Troy Rowland <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a88790$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81058

Australian version is basically the same as the US version. It has the 1.04 firmware, no 5 second trunk delay, covers 66-88MHz and the entire 800MHz band (due to the frequencies used for 800 MHz trunking here). And it works quite well!

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

"Jeff Seale" <jbseale@iglou.com> wrote in message
news:8F9CA85EDjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5...
> >Trunking protocols in the UK/Europe differ in the main from those the
> >BC-245XLT and other "Trunktrackers" will work with although as mentioned
> >earlier in this thread there are two/three users in the UK using
> >Motorola trunking systems.
> >
> >Uniden have however introduced the UBC-245XLT into Australia which does
> >use Motorola systems more widely and it is this model we are hoping to
> >be able to offer in the not too distant future.
> >
> >All the best for the weekend.
>
> Is the Australian version of the 245XLT going to be any different from the
> U.S. version (aside from the frequency coverage)?

4729. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:55:16 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8o9vuk$k7p$0@63.162.247.190>
Source record: 81051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

larson@sofcom.com wrote:

> >Actually Blake, I have received overwhelming confidence votes from
> >the only recognized PARG "GROUP", with the HQ in Oregon.
>

Actually, PARG is recognized by a number of major companies and
organizations.

4730. Just what we need...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 02:55:40 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Mq%p5.5231$Q36.378373@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81052

http://www.sunday-times.co.uk/news/pages/sti/2000/08/27/stinwenws01043.html

4731. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 03:01:39 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8oa0ajkub0@63.162.247.190>
Source record: 81053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K-S-0-F (callsign)" wrote:

> 2. I was NOT kicked out of the scannradio forum on fidonet in the early
> 1990's.

Although you may be quibbling on the date, YOU DID get kicked out of
the FIDONET SCANRADIO forum. After that, you were asked to leave
FIDO altogether.

4732. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 03:03:13 UTC
From: star <form01@home.com>
Message-ID: <39A88535.2CCFC5F0@home.com>
Source record: 81054

I was led to believe they were now wireless.

Jeff Seale wrote:
>
> form01@home.com (Mike Forman) wrote in <39A73A33.4FED28D6@home.com>:
>
> >Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the
> >sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in
> >hearing the conversations.
> >
> >Any help???
> >
> >Thanks
> >
>
> If you're talking about the coaches headsets, you're out of luck because those
> are wired. The referee's P/A mike is wireless though and operates somewhere in
> the VHF TV band.

4733. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 03:09:49 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <1E%p5.15490$up6.350609@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 81055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Perhaps they're "The Man", or "The Illuminati Conspiracy",
> or even the ultra-secret society

Tell that to my nutty cousin who drives the streets of Bon Jovi's<not that
he matters>
hometown with EXTRA large hubcaps with aluminum foil balls inside.
Deflects from the wave bombardment! eheh

4734. "mobil radio resources" & Robert Kelty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 03:47:44 UTC
From: mike <mikekut@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <39A88F0C.9699738F@earthlink.com>
Source record: 81059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a couple of terrific government radio system guides by Robert Kelty published by Mobile Radio Resources in 1990. Would love to get updated editions. Do Robert and Mobile Radio Resources still publish this?

Thanks

4735. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:00:55 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <Xn0q5.27503$Ur3.308028@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, Blake, thank you for your support of PARG International based in
Oregon!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Actually, PARG is recognized by a number of major companies and
> organizations.

4736. non-27Mhz CB radio info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:08:06 UTC
From: Axle Jack <axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYdingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <39a8860b$0$786$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 81056
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,fj.comp.dev.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

I am researching VHF / UHF CB radio systems.
I am trying to build up a list of every countries "non-27Mhz" CB systems. I have a detailed account of the UK and Australia but need all the other systems worldwide. I am particularly interested in the US GMRS system, the Swiss 934Mhz band and the Italian 43Mhz band. But would be happy to learn of any others from anywhere in the world.

I need to know
Number of channels with frequencies
Modes allowed
Power allowed
whether a license is required and how to get one
any antenna restrictions
any restrictions on use, i.e. no business, business only etc.
any restrictions on installation i.e. mobile only, hand held only etc
or any other information

Please send any info or URLs for relevant web sites to
axlejack@dingoblue.net.au

Many thanks for your help and happy CBing :-)
Jack

4737. FS: Optoelectronics Optocom Receiver Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:10:17 UTC
From: juant2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827001017.06823.00004715@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 81061

I am selling my Optocom. I have not really used it. I bought it thinking I would get into the hobby of scanning but honestly I have not had much time to learn to use the unit. I could use the cash to buy some other things I know I can use now. I do not have the original box nor the Trakstar software. I have the unit, the serial cable, the standard antenna, a copy of the manual and the Optoelectronics utilities software. It is perfectly clean. It is also of recent manufacture with the Bitbanger circuit. I am selling for $375 shipped within the U.S or better offer. The unit will work with many software programs. I am in South Florida should you be local and would like to inspect it. I will send pictures of the actual unit to those who request it.

Juan

4738. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:18:48 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <IE0q5.27538$Ur3.308717@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

If your request was geniune, you would not have to post anonymous.

"Anonymous" <anonymous@dontemailme.com> wrote
> Dear Mr. John Mackey:
SNIP
> Anonymous member of the NG

4739. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:21:27 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <bH0q5.27546$Ur3.308853@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Thanks Glen!

"Glen Quarnstrom" <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote
> The problem is not Mackey. He's easy enough to filter. The problem is
> all the idiots baiting him. I have a killfile FULL of those, and they
> still keep popping up by the dozens as they change their screen names or
> new ones get involved.

4740. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:24:00 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <AJ0q5.27554$Ur3.308818@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

"James Baker" <n9pek@airmail.net.NULL> wrote
SNIP
> His efforts at slandering Blake Bowers, who has been a legitimate, welcome
SNIP

I have never slandered Blake. I have only exposed him for what he is by
show-casing
his own words.

> Mackey is an abomination in my opinion. As an amateur radio operator, his
> antics represent everything that I became a licensed "ham" to avoid. But,
SNIP

Your "opinion" really doesn't mean much & NO ONE asked for it!
Apparently the only thing you became a licensed ham for was to make a fool
of
yourself.

4741. Re: oobladee, ooblada, Cory lips - Cory proven to be a liar again!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:24:34 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <6K0q5.27555$Ur3.308844@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81065

This is the ultimate in grade school type insults!

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
> What a truly lame fish you are mackerel.
> Now shut up.

4742. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 04:26:32 UTC
From: "K-S-0-F (callsign)" <pioREMOVE@paNOSPAMrg.org>
Message-ID: <YL0q5.27568$Ur3.309025@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 81066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

Well said, very well said!

"exhype" <peerless@oxymoron.net> wrote
> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
> > I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
>
> Nobody believes one word that comes outta your cake hole, Dick.
> You said that you were leaving the Mackey-wars for good... blanket
> statement.... and at the same time were trying to convince others to do
> the same. Trying to lead by example here?
> Your credibility is precisely ZERO.

4743. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 06:29:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39A8B56F.E37234F1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Heveros Rancheros wrote:
>
> why don't we all just line up and kiss their asses?

Because both the asses and the kissers are likely to become too
chapped to finish.
>
> Dave <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
> news:slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org...
> >
> > As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who feel
> > that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> > order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and some
> > reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> > during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?

4744. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 06:32:33 UTC
From: Heveros Rancheros <outofpatience@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oac3p$4o3$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why don't we all just line up and kiss their asses?

Dave <nospam@fastband.com> wrote in message
news:slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org...
>
> As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who feel
> that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and some
> reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?

4745. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 06:35:19 UTC
From: Heveros Rancheros <outofpatience@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oaca7kmg1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >
> > As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who
feel
> > that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
> > order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and
some
> > reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
> > during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?
> >
> Or American Indians.
> --

Excuse me, but the savages appear to have removed a few hair pieces from the future CIVILIZED people, and the savages are the ones who should do a reparation, if they only had anything other than their welfare checks to hand over!

4746. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 06:37:08 UTC
From: Heveros Rancheros <outofpatience@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oacdk$qg3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 81070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >
> >
> As one of those animals I take umbrage to the stupidity of your
> statement. My great-great grandfathers made one error...they allowed the
> white man to land on our land. They should have gutted each and every one
> then and there.
> --
> friend,
> I am: Howard Lute
> eBay Rating: 694
> He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> She: Patient
> LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
> Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

Oh believe me they tried. That is exactly what makes them SAVAGES, you still admit you want to rip people apart. Thanks for admitting it.

4747. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 18:32:56 UTC
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8obmso$rm8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is the point of your comment on BTW a drunk? You dumb ass. Who
appointed you GOD??

In article <39a9490b.39369795@news.mil.ameritech.net>,
jonesgil@usa.net wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:23:17 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
> <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >>Ruby Ridge = Feds ordered to shoot to kill anyone on the property,
and
> >>then burn there orders. FACT!
> >>
> >>Waco = Incendiary devices, they burned the place down and killed
> >>everyone still inside and then lied and said they used no such
device.
> >>
> >>Both times Janet Reno and Bill Clinton in charge.
> >>
> >
> >Not entirely true. Ruby Ridge was before 'Bill' and the blame for
it should
> >lie squarely on the Bush administration.
>
> > Waco was started under the Bush
> >administration and was finished under the Clinton administration.
>
> The WACO assault by the BATF was entirely in the Clinton
> adminstration. If you are saying that the laws that were used
> as an excuse to justify the original raid were in place during
> the Bush adminstration - those laws were put in place in 1934.
> And that adminstration was democrat.
>
> (thank you Elliot Ness - BTW he died a drunk)
>
> >de Norm KA2TYT
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4748. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-27 18:44:25 UTC
From: red_pony@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8obni6$sb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Right on, CW! Regards, Red Pony

In article <8obl4u$k7g$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"CW" <cmagers@sprynet.com> wrote:
> If any reparations were to be made, it should be between the parties
> involved. Since everyone involved died long ago, history is set and
there is
> no changing it. As for the American Indian, the unjust actions
continue to
> this day in the form of payment from the government. This payment is
not
> compensation for any previous wrongdoing on the governments part, it
is a
> bribe to keep the American Indian on the reservation, docile and
easily
> managed. To this end, it has been rather effective. The solution to
this
> lies with the American Indian himself, he is the only one who can
make his
> life better. If he continues to except government hush money, things
will
> never improve.
> "Howard Lute" <optcamel@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1411eae666f1b483989b09@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> > In article <slrn8qgi39.7l.nospam@bofh.tammany.org>,
nospam@fastband.com
> > says...
> > > On Fri, 25 Aug 2000 15:36:22 -0400, helmsman <helmsman> wrote:
> > >
> > > : 99% of all Negro's brought here as slaves were sold into
slavery by
> > > : the negro tribes that beat them at war in Africa and then sold
them to
> > > : whites.
> > >
> > > Actually, it's a lot more complicated than that. What is known
is that
> > > Europeans got into the slave business late, initially purchasing
surplus
> > > slaves from Chinese and Arab peoples. It has been claimed by some
> > > historians that the Chinese taught the Europeans about slavery.
> > >
> > > Others claim that African tribes which would have otherwise not

captured
> > > people to be enslaved did so because the Europeans would pay well
for
> > > slaves. That would hardly make Europeans mere bystanders.
> > >
> > > In any case, it is true that new world Europeans practiced
slavery,
> > > including people like Jefferson and Washington, and it became an
> unfortunate
> > > heritage.
> > >
> > > As in any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those
who
> feel
> > > that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them
is in
> > > order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion,
and
> some
> > > reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese
imprisioned
> > > during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?
> > >
> > Or American Indians.
> > --
> > friend,
> > I am: Howard Lute
> > eBay Rating: 694
> > He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> > She: Patient
> > LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
> > Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4749. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <montana@loop.com>
Message-ID: <39AB5DB6.4CD40B92@loop.com>
Source record: 80797

John Frank wrote:

> Yupiteru MVT7100 handheld for sale.
> Covers 530KHz to 1650 MHz. AM,FM, FM wide, Upper and
> lower SSB. 1000 memory channels in 10 banks.
> Includes charger, cigarette lighter power plug,
> wrist strap, belt clip, telescopic antenna, and
> manual. $500.00 Located in Baltimore/DC/Annapolis
> area. 410-987-5288. jwfrank@cablespeed.com

WOW theirs one on ebay for $260.00 right now!

4750. Two Scanners for-sale,rec.radio.swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <Q6Jq5.837$Ulh8.14221884@news.randori.com>
Source record: 80798

Have a couple RS scanners for sale.

Pro-2022, 200 channel with original book & Antenna
Very clean, built-in AC supply & 12V
30-54 MHz
108-174 MHz
380-512 MHZ
806-960 MHz complete cell band.
$125.00

PRO-2041, 400 Channel. With Box, Original Book &
Antenna, like new. Triple Conversion. Will receive cell band.
Built-in AC supply & 12V. AM/FM
$140.00

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

4751. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Xhwq5.25625$g53.462760@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 80847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The only thing I can say is:

"The times, they are a changin"...

Toll Free

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:Syoq5.5408$_X.20222@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well, one time I was driving south to FW at about 4 AM (I worked nights at
> the time, so I was awake anyway), this was the time the drug t.f. stopped
> me. I was asked (in this order) for my driver's license and proof of
> insurance, why was I out at that late an hour, what was I doing with all
the
> radios in my car and who was I talking to on them, could I prove I was a
> amateur radio operator and a EMA member, had I ever been convicted on any
> drug charges, and would I allow them to search my car. They ran my plates
> and license (the agent who waited by me was annoyed that my radios picked
up
> everything said, and told the other narc to use his phone. I was released
> with a warning to watch my driving (the first time anything was said about
> driving).
>
> Another time I was talking on an Okla. City repeater when I passed by what
> appeared to be an interdiction stop. A marked OHP unit peeled off and
> caught up with me, then ran my plates before pulling me over. He wanted
to
> know who I was talking to on the radio, if I'd been warning anybody. I
> explained I was a ham, showed him my license, and casually mentioned I'd
> been a police dispatcher, and did Lt. So-n-so still work in Dist. 1 (Okla.
> City, Troop A). After that he became friendlier and apologized for
pulling
> me over. He was the one that explained the radio-equipped escort car
> profile (later confirmed on TV news reports).
>
> Of course, I don't have A antenna on my car, I have THREE antennas.
> Dual-band for my ham rig, dual-band for my scanner, and a mono-band for my
> EMA radio.
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:z_eq5.306362$t91.3248533@news4.giganews.com...
> > I travelled from Houston, Texas to Ponca City OK every other weekend for
6

> > months, with an antenna on my car, and was never stopped.
> >
> > Once must wonder if their are other things that are attracting the
> officers.
> >
> >
> > Toll Free
> >
> > "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > >
> > > "Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna
on
> my
> > > > car,
> > > > > > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham
> radio
> > > and
> > > > > > showed them my license.
> > > > >
> > > > > I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
> > > > > Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he
had
> > > > > the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
> > > > > written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word
> that
> > > > > ham radio operators are legal.
> > > >
> > > > Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely
stop
> > > > cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as
part
> > of
> > > > their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the
> northward
> > > > flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with
> radios
> > to
> > > > "sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been
> stopped
> > > > and checked several times.
> > > >
> > > > > Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area

> (especially
> > > > during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
> > > > under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls
> on
> > > > our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a
Metz),
> > > > no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic
> (it
> > > > was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems
to
> > be
> > > working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
> > >
> > > Mark K5MAR
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4752. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchumaye@anytime.now
Message-ID: <39aa9153.13678752@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 11:05:17 GMT, rattlehead@my-deja.com wrote:

>..... INCIDENTALLY, I am a ham, but I do not think that these exemptions should be given just to hams. We're all american
>citizens and should be given EQUAL protection under the constitution.

Now here's a ham who is ego-impaired. Give the man a rubber ducky!

4753. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chingchumaye@anytime.now
Message-ID: <39aa951a.14646477@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 01:37:59 GMT, jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:

>Back then, two police cars were involved - one with the radar set up on a
>wooden tripod and the other was the chase car. The cop explained he
>had been traveling 1 or 2 miles over the limit by the radar set back at
>the first car. The gentleman who had been stopped requested to go back
>and speak with the other officer (hmm ...). They went back and an
>introduction was started - only to be cut short by the gentleman who
>had been stopped. "I don't want to talk with the other officer, I want
>to see the inspection sticker on your radar set." The cops looked at each other
>then back at the gentleman. Out came the credentials. "Federal Communications
>Commission. Either you produce the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class
>radiotelephone or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll have to
>write you up." The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.
>
>This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the U.S.
>Navy in January of 1967. I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest
>someone from the FCC for having a scanner!

The fuzz had radar way back in '66?

4754. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000828124300.21556.00000385@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 80850

>Eeeeyeah. Special license plates in New York State are $150 extra each
>year. Amateurs too. Not really an option for most.

Wow... in VA, they cost $1 more than a regular plate. In TN and RI, they cost the same as a normal plate... dunno about other states, but that's where I've lived as a ham... too bad it's not like that in all states..
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4755. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000828124801.21556.00000386@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 80851

>Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
>cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part of
>their interdiction effort

Sounds like a fun place to drive through after putting every mag mount antenna
you can find on you car, but take all of the radios out.. :)

Imagine getting pulled over with like 5 or 6 mag mount antennas, and they find
no radio inside.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4756. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000828125801.21556.00000387@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 80852

After reading all these messages (and disregarding the paranoid anti-government users out there), I am glad that the state I live in and the states I commonly travel through, do not have laws banning scanners, except when used along with a crime... It seems the NE US accepts scanners more than the rest of the country. I don't usually have a scanner in my car, but I do occasionally bring a 2m HT in the car with extended receive.. I have one antenna that is sometimes on, and that is a CB antenna.. I don't usually even put that on the car unless I am traveling and monitoring channel 19 for traffic reports.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4757. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000828125939.21556.00000388@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 80853

>I will not travel through either Indiana or Kentucky because of their
>: restrictive, intrusive scanner laws.

Hmm.. I got pulled over in KY for speeding with 3 antennas on the car (CB, 2m and scanner) and nothing was said about it..
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4758. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomhvdc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000828131401.06804.00005211@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80854

>>Actually there are quite a few AR1000s with a gap from 600 - 800 MHz. I
>know,
>>
>>I just got one.
>>

There is a procedure to give continous coverage in the AR1000. It involves
opening the scanner up and installing a "jumper" to erase the old settings.
Then there is a keypad set of programming instructions to give it full range.
Search on AOR1000, I have found it in several different places.
tom snyder

4759. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g00cyz0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80855
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 02:54:40 GMT, D Stark wrote:

>Under U.S. laws, a Misdemeanor is a crime. The other class of crime is
>Felony. One can be arrested for either. There is a lesser category of
>offense called a Violation that can get you a simple appearance ticket in
>most jurisdictions.
>
>David T. Stark, BSCJ/Soc
>NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

Under U S Law, when a person is detained and given a "ticket",
called different things in different states ("Summons". "Notice
to Appear", "Citation",etc), that person is legally "under
arrest" from the time that the officer decides to charge the
person until the "ticket" is handed to the offender, who
technically is then released on "own recognizance" upon a
specific or impiled promise to respond as specified on the
"ticket".

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
Attorney at Law

4760. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kretschmer <5kretschmer@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <39AAB1EE.36D23EC5@gmx.de>
Source record: 80856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
> outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
> Mark.

I am using a discone antenna about 12 meters over the ground and I have very
good
RX-results!!
at 70-80 MHz I can hear even at a cheap handheld scanner stations from about
80 km distance clear and loud!
I can`t imagine living without :-)

4761. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39AAB340.35A02FAF@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 80857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Commission made it clear that it intends Amateurs be able to receive signals. One doesn't have to have a transceiver; a separate receiver is also an acceptable station configuration, and in fact many folks who do weak signal work do use such.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Bill Sohl wrote:

> helmsman wrote:
>
> > 99-01 <9901@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >Sounds like time for the amateur radio community to consider some
> > >lobbying in Indiana.
> >
> > To hell with the states!! FEDERAL LAW PREEMPTS ALL STATE AND LOCAL
> > LAWS!!!! The end!!!! :') Get a copy of
> > FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 August 20 1993
> > (the above document says it all!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > KG8PM
>
> Actually, the federal premption for hams ONLY
> specifies amateur transcievers which have
> extended recieve capability. If N9VKS actually
> had a scanner in his car, then the law in Indiana
> may actually apply to his situation.
>
> Just relating the facts, don't kill the messanger.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

4762. Israel police: trunked system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oefsmvfb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80858

From what I am aware, the police in Israel are using a Motorola 800 MHz system. Does anyone know if it's trunked? And is it type I or II or what? Much obliged.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4763. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Egzq5.33341$dG.932345@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cortland Richmond" <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote in message news:39AAB340.35A02FAF@usa.alcatel.com...
> The Commission made it clear that it intends Amateurs be able to receive
> signals. One doesn't have to have a transceiver; a separate receiver is
> also an acceptable station configuration, and in fact many folks who do
> weak signal work do use such.

I would like to be able to read your interpretation in the FCC's language, but it's not that explicit.

-Dave, NF2G

4764. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aac06c.25738052@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 14:30:56 GMT, Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>> On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 01:09:04 -0500, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>>> I hope you know that Kemo Sabe can be translated as the Unknown One (i.e.
>>> the Lone Ranger) or equally well as He Who Knows Nothing.

>> Tonto calling the Lone Ranger a dummy? I think not!
>> FYI, it means just the opposite, "He Who Knows All ."
>> How can it have two completely different meanings in the same language?
>> BTW, I hope you know that Dick can be translated as......

> According to the transcripts from the typewriter of Fran Stryker,
> in episode one, Tonto, a old boy hood friend of he who became The
> Lone Ranger, who nursed the Ranger back to health after the ambush
> at Bryant's Gap, told Ranger Reid, when Reid donned the mask, that
> he would call him "..Kemo Sabe...it mean 'Faithful Friend'."

Yes, you're correct. That's what I have heard in the past. I guess I'm not "He Who Knows All" :^(
FWIW, the word 'sabe' means 'know' in Spanish.

Electric Indian (might as well change it, Kemo Sabe)

4765. This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <8oegqbbit2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 80861

As a lurker for several years and an infrequent poster,
who just returned from 14 days of no email, net crap etc
I was dismayed to see yet another flame war starting
over the "blind one".

Enough is enough.

I was sick of the cheek/mackey crap and this is the last straw.
I mean Bill Cheek is dead. Even if he was the worlds largest
whatever, he is DEAD, give it a rest.

Even kill files won't clean this mess up.

Maybe someday, someone will start a moderated scanner use
group. Until then, good by for know.
'I just do not need to wast any more time or energy trying
to sift thru the garbage for the too few usefull posts.
To all the good folks, sorry and I respect those of
you with stronger stomachs than I have got.
Maybe some day all the losers will grow up.
For now, bye.

4766. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39AAC741.5EB77274@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keem-O-Sabe wrote:
>
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 14:30:56 GMT, Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >>> On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 01:09:04 -0500, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
> >>> I hope you know that Kemo Sabe can be translated as the Unknown One (i.e.
> >>> the Lone Ranger) or equally well as He Who Knows Nothing.
>
> >> Tonto calling the Lone Ranger a dummy? I think not!
> >> FYI, it means just the opposite, "He Who Knows All ."
> >> How can it have two completely different meanings in the same language?
> >> BTW, I hope you know that Dick can be translated as......
>
> > According to the transcripts from the typewriter of Fran Stryker,
> > in episode one, Tonto, a old boy hood friend of he who became The
> > Lone Ranger, who nursed the Ranger back to health after the ambush
> > at Bryant's Gap, told Ranger Reid, when Reid donned the mask, that
> > he would call him "..Kemo Sabe...it mean 'Faithful Friend'."
>
> Yes, you're correct. That's what I have heard in the past. I guess I'm not "He Who Knows All" :^(
> FWIW, the word 'sabe' means 'know' in Spanish.
>
> Electric Indian (might as well change it, Kemo Sabe)

Tonto wasn't speaking Spanish, but his native tongue. He was a
Potawatomi.

And rechecking the transcripts, typo...Tonto debuted on Radio in
episode 10. Named Ranger Reid Kemo Sabe in episode 11 or 12, if I
make out the faded numbers on the pages I have correctly.

4767. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000828162217.01374.00000194@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 80863

In article <39AA89BC.FB98CA5E@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:

>additional note:
>according to deja.com, theree are only 48 posts that originated from me.
>so, what explains the other 1252?

I didn't say they were all ABOUT you, but they do all INVOLVE you. You must have done something pretty substantial in order to attract that kind of attention.

Most of the discussions I read did not depict you in a positive manner, I might add.

4768. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000828162337.01374.00000196@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 80864

In article <8oegqbbit2@news.uky.edu>, None@dontevntry.oro (Who Did you Expect?) writes:

>Maybe someday, someone will start a moderated scanner use
>group. Until then, good by for know.

It's been real, it's been fun, see ya, buh-bye.

4769. FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwfrank@toad.net
Message-ID: <39aacb1f_2@news2.one.net>
Source record: 80865

Yupiteru MVT7100 handheld for sale.
Covers 530KHz to 1650 MHz. AM,FM, FM wide, Upper and
lower SSB. 1000 memory channels in 10 banks.
Includes charger, cigarette lighter power plug,
wrist strap, belt clip, telescopic antenna, and
manual. $500.00 Located in Baltimore/DC/Annapolis
area. 410-987-5288. jwfrank@cablespeed.com

4770. New DJ-X2T Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Self <jamesself@homestead.com>
Message-ID: <8oej47$9c7$1@cronkite.cc.uga.edu>
Source record: 80866

There is a new website dedicated to the new Alinco DJ-X2T scanner at
http://www.djx2t.homestead.com with a discussion group.

4771. Tampa Fla. Freqs please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sneakbuddy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15858-39AAD511-25@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80867

hello,
does anyone have a link for fire and police stuff in the tampa area?
thanks,
SR

4772. Re: Formula for antenna lengths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8oel68$5di$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80868

Cristofe <krissrob@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b05gqs49dk3lol8qihtanm77vj24f52l1t@4ax.com...
> Le Sat, 26 Aug 2000 07:40:25 GMT, "Mark Robbins"
> <W2MR@worldnet.att.net> crivis:
>
> |> A ardware dipole is 5616/frequency
> |> A quarterwave whip is 2808/frequency
> |>
> |> The result will be in inches.
> |>
> |> Example - 5616/300(MHz) is 18.72 Inches (This is a halfwave
> dipole).
> |> 2808/300(MHz) is 9.36 Inches (This is a
> quarerwave whip)
>
>
> This is very good information .
> But I'm European and not the only one.... So Can you - if you please
> - not only you dear Marks , translate if possible:
>

```
Simply multiply inches by 2.54 to get centimeters.... Simple as that...:-)

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Blissfully living in retirement

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802
```

4773. F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <intimidator33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39AAD927.9E25EE8C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80869

On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key
but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.
--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4774. F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <intimidator33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39AAD9DD.672DD973@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80870

-- On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key

but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4775. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: f1dfr@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oeq1v$c06$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <39a9d001.1790291@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> Chris has this nailed exactly.
>
> The Indiana law clearly exempts any ham as
> long as the ham isn't TRANSMITTING on a
> police frequency. That exemption would
> allow the ham to have a scanner.
>

In the ITU rules, it's said that hams MUST have equipments to verify
that they do not transmit on frequencies of other public services.
So the only way to do that is to have a spectrum analyzer that can be
done with a wideband receiver with a scope function and RS232 output to
monitor the spectrum.
So, evidently hams have the right to have that type of receiver ...

JM F1DFR

> Funny too that newspaper reporters are also
> exempted. Guess the cops have no problem
> with reporters listening in, but don't want the
> "regular public" listening.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
> one of the team that got the old NJ law
> changed a few years back.
>
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 06:44:04 -0700, Chris Nicholson
> <csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote:
>
> >There must be more to this story because Indiana, like a lot of
> >states has an exemption for licensed amateur radio operators. Below
is a
> >copy of the appropriate statute
> >
> >
> > IC 35-44-3-12
> > Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
> > (1) possesses a police radio;
> > (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police
> >emergency purposes; or
> > (3) possesses or uses a police radio:

> > (A) while committing a crime;
> > (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
> > (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement
> >agency;
> >commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B
> >misdemeanor.
> > (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
> > (1) a governmental entity;
> > (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
> > (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose
> >vehicles are used in emergency service;
> > (4) a public service or utility company whose
> >vehicles are used in emergency service;
> > (5) a person who has written permission from the
> >chief executive officer of a law enforcement
> >agency to possess a police radio;
> > (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license
> >issued by the Federal Communications
> >Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
> >frequency assigned for police emergency
> >purposes;
> > (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the
> >person's dwelling or place of business;
> > (8) a person:
> > (A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering
> >activities;
> > (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to
> >receive legal advertisements under
> >IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or public
> >radio or television station; and
> > (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to
> >the chief executive officer of a law
> >enforcement agency in the county in which the employer's
> >principal office is located;
> > (9) a person engaged in the business of
> >manufacturing or selling police radios; or
> > (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio
> >during the normal course of the person's
> >lawful business.
> > (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a
> >radio that is capable of sending or receiving
> >signals transmitted on frequencies assigned by the Federal
> >Communications Commission for police
> >emergency purposes and that:
> > (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a
> >vehicle; or
> > (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
> >individual.
> >The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a
> >dwelling.

> >As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by
> >P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
> >
> >> :
> >> : There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> >> : anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church,
N9VKS
> >> : of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police
scanner.
> >>
> >>
> >> Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4776. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AAE7DE.705A43AE@home.com>
Source record: 80872

care to explain this quote then?

>True, there is a lot of material regarding John Mackey archived there.
>However, a search on "Eric Oyen" revealed over 1,000 matches! Some of these
>are real doozies, dating back 4 years or more.

>What's that about the pot calling the kettle black?

you left much to the imagination there... anyone not looking real close
(this woul;d require a telescope) would assume that I posted over 1000
times according to this statement.

Perhaps if you had clarified more (such as, 1000 posts relevant to the name:
<insert name here>, or 1000 postings where the name: <insert name here> is
mentioned).

Proper verbage can sure cut down on assumptions made because the writing
was ambiguous.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> In article <39AA89BC.FB98CA5E@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:
>
> >additional note:
> >according to deja.com, theree are only 48 posts that originated from me.
> >so, what explains the other 1252?
>
> I didn't say they were all ABOUT you, but they do all INVOLVE you. You must
> have done something pretty substantial in order to attract that kind of
> attention.
>
> Most of the discussions I read did not depict you in a positive manner, I might
> add.

4777. Re: F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AAE848.ABF6AC1D@home.com>
Source record: 80873

I'll give you $100 for it (cost includes shipping). otherwise, you ask too much for modified/used equipment (sorry, just my opinion)

Robert wrote:

> -- On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key
>
> but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
> works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
> email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.
> ----------
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4778. For Sale Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <intimidator33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39AAE746.9289401E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80874

```
-- $185.00 shipped and insured in the continental U.S Email me at
intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested or any questions. Thanks
----------------------------------------------------
Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
```

4779. Re: F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <intimidator33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39AAE772.21E5D4FB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80875

LMAO.....

Eric Oyen wrote:

> I'll give you $100 for it (cost includes shipping). otherwise, you ask too
> much for modified/used equipment (sorry, just my opinion)
>
> Robert wrote:
>
> > -- On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key
> > >
> > but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
> > works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
> > email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.
> > ----------
> > Click here for Free Video!!
> > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4780. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <0OBq5.33059$Ur3.353137@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80876

Don't let the door hit you in the butt.

"Who Did you Expect?" <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote
> group. Until then, good by for know.

4781. Glenn Hansen KB7PKC wants to continue the troubles!! was:Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??

Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.

It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks. Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always being the source of trouble?

Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do it permanently.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
SNIP
> Do you really
> think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the
> messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
day
> you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same
> liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen
> name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
and
> removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky
SNIP

4782. John-Corky quit cross posting to other groups.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <i8Cq5.739$Z83.300392@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Corky

The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You John-Corky are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for your denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The day you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you and remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose John-Corky

Glenn

M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
>
> Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would
> like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
>
> It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2
weeks.
> Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
> being the source of trouble?
>
> Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do
it
> permanently.
>
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> SNIP
> > Do you really
> > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all
the
> > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The

> day
> > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
same
> > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
screen
> > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
> and
> > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then
John-Corky
> SNIP
>
>
>
>

4783. Watch out for the October, 2000 issue of Popular Communications....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8oeqrobn1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80879

There will be an article on enforcement of the Michigan scanner law in the Washington Beat column...

4784. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10008281851340.24035-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 80880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How many times are you GOING TO TYPE THAT? I am blind, and heard you the first time. I am getting tired of reading that thread just like you have.

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000, Chris Nicholson wrote:

> Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2000 17:49:42 -0700
> From: Chris Nicholson <csnichol@netusa1.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner
>
> OK EVERYONE REPEAT AFTER ME
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>
> HAMS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE SCANNER LAW IN INDIANA
>
>

4785. Re: AR 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000828192617.13983.00000864@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 80881

Here is a website that has an extensive manual on the AOR1000XLT. The manual also provides fairly detailed instructions on how to make the modification.

http://www.designeq.com/Guide1000.html

I hope this helps,
sward

4786. Re: Tampa Fla. Freqs please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8oetqa$ga8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80882

In article <15858-39AAD511-25@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
sneakbuddy@webtv.net (shannon ratigan) wrote:
> hello,
> does anyone have a link for fire and police stuff in the tampa area?
> thanks,
> SR
>
>

http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4787. Orange County CA Fire Trunk Freq please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: perplexed@lost.com
Message-ID: <8F9EAEC65perplexedlostcom@207.217.77.23>
Source record: 80883

I need a list of Orange County CA fire trunked frequencies the ones on bearcat1.com are not quite corect, I am missing the Santa Ana, Costa Mesa area.

Tannks

4788. Re: John-Corky quit cross posting to other groups.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqltthpst91177@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn
What is your pathetic count of posts not on topic, all the while bitching at other people for the sameeeeeeeeeeeeeeeReeeaaaaasonnnnnnnnnnn.
Maybe you are the new NET COP.
John keeps tuggin at your string and you never fail to show your infatuation.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:i8Cq5.739$Z83.300392@news.uswest.net...
> John-Corky
>
> The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the
> person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You John-Corky
> are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a
> week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the
> distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my
> messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic
> messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for
your
> denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are
> sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really
> think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the
> messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
day
> you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same
> liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen
> name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
and
> remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you
> would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose John-Corky
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
>
>
>
> M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
> news:hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
> >
> > Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members

would
> > like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
> >
> > It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2
> weeks.
> > Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
> > being the source of trouble?
> >
> > Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do
> it
> > permanently.
> >
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > SNIP
> > > Do you really
> > > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all
> the
> > > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up.
The
> > day
> > > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
> same
> > > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
> screen
> > > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher
you
> > and
> > > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then
> John-Corky
> > SNIP
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4789. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbseale@iglou.com
Message-ID: <8F9ECCAFDjbsealeigloucom@192.107.41.5>
Source record: 80885

troyrow@optusnet.com.au (Troy Rowland) wrote in
<39a9fa13$0$776$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>:

>I can't really see the attraction in listening to cellular (we call them
>moblie in Australia) phones anyway. It's no an issue here, due to the
>use of GSM or CDMA technology in all mobile phones sold. Our old
>analogue system was shut down this year, so there is no longer any
>mobile network to listen to anyway.

Analog is still alive and well in the States, but we also have CDMA and can
only hope to get GSM pretty soon. When that happens, the feds ain't gonna' have
anything to worry about until somebody starts manufacturing digital scanners
(I'm willing to take bets on when that'll happen). And although it's now
considered illegal to monitor 49MHz cordless phones and also phone patches on
the VHF ham bands, nobody ever gets into trouble for doing that. Go figure.

4790. Re: New Radio Shack Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sdl <lyles@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8of7k4bel1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 80886

I Have tried the free "cat" reader and I works great. It not only works with the radio shack catalog, but you can scan any upc code on just about any type of product and you will be taken to their web site (if they have one).
Pretty soon there will be an additional cord that you connect to your TV set so the TV will give cues to your web browser to go to a web site. I haven't check but It may already be at Radio Shack.

Even though the advertisements all say the software and "cat" readers would be available in September it seems lots of Radio Shack stores must be giving them out along with the 2001 catalogs a little earlier.

All in all it really feels like I am living in the 21st century (wink)

--
lyles <at> erols <dot> com
David M. Hitchner wrote in message ...
>Just in case anyone is interested, the new Radio Shack
>catalogs are out. I asked for and got 2, one for home
>and one for the office. They are also giving away "Cat
>Optical Readers" that connect to your PC via the
>keyboard port. It seems that Radio Shack has put
>bar codes in the catalog. Simply scan the bar code and
>it takes you to the product listing at RadioShack.com.
>I have not tried it yet.
>
>For the anti-Radio Shack crowd, not flames please. While
>I realize that it's not the best electronics source, they
>are there when you need something in a pinch.
>
>--
>David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
>Baton Rouge, LA
>
>Baton Rouge Area Scanning
>Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
>-
>ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
>Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.
>
>
>
>

4791. Message to John-Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <RLFq5.951$Z83.417787@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Corky

The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You John-Corky are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for your denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The day you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you and remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose John-Corky

Glenn

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:TZEq5.34017$Ur3.359659@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John
> SNIP
>
> So what you are saying is that you are unable to control yourself.
Rather,
> you react
> to my actions which act as directions to you. In other words, I control
you
> like
> strings on a puppet as Rick said in another post!
>
>
>

4792. Re: John-Corky quit cross posting to other groups.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Z2Gq5.962$Z83.427689@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RicK Larson with Corky dripping down his chin gurgled.....

> Glenn
> What is your pathetic count of posts not on topic, all the while bitching
at
> other people for the sameeeeeeeeeeeeeeeReeeaaaaasonnnnnnnnnnnn.

RicK, you need to work on reading comprehension a bit. I haven't claimed to be the newsgroup savior like your luv John-Corky. I haven't claimed to not post 400+ off topic messages. I have made two challenges which you answered with your tail between your legs as you ran away.

> Maybe you are the new NET COP.

Maybe you are John-Corky's wet nurse, ehhh? Rick-Corky, learn to read. I have not started a single one of these threads, only replied to verify claims others made and John-Corky your luv denies. Are you that slow or doesn't mommy read all the words to you?

> John keeps tuggin at your string and you never fail to show your
> infatuation.

No, John-Corky keeps calling others liars when they present the proof he demands. I have just verified a number of claims such as John-Corky being kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo, John-Corky shorting out and ruining a power supply,John-Corky adding to the distribution list for no other reason than to cause trouble, John-Corky ruining a repeater due to not being able to understand polarity, John-Corky swearing, threatening and jamming a repeater in the SeaTac area, John-Corky changing his name 5 times a week, John-Corky holding the USENET recoed for over 400 off topic posts in a month.

No claims, no infactuation, no changing names to dodge the kill files Rick or RicK. If you aren't John-Corky, you must be his twin. You lie and make up facts just like John-Corky.

No claims, not really bitching I hope, just agreeing or verifying the facts John-Corky keeps trying to deny or lie about. Clear enough RicK-Corky or do you need to check with Corky-John before you speak??

> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:i8Cq5.739$Z83.300392@news.uswest.net...
> > John-Corky
> >
> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are
the

> > person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You
John-Corky
> > are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times
a
> > week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the
> > distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my
> > messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic
> > messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for
> your
> > denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are
> > sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really
> > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all
the
> > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
> day
> > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
same
> > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
screen
> > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
> and
> > remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky
you
> > would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose
John-Corky
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
> > news:hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > > Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
> > >
> > > Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members
> would
> > > like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
> > >
> > > It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2
> > weeks.
> > > Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to
always
> > > being the source of trouble?
> > >
> > > Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to
do
> > it

> > > permanently.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > > SNIP
> > > > Do you really
> > > > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after
all
> > the
> > > > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up.
> The
> > > day
> > > > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
> > same
> > > > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
> > screen
> > > > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher
> you
> > > and
> > > > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then
> > John-Corky
> > > > SNIP
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

4793. pro-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: RS <rs337@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sqmae9g4t9142@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80889

Any mods for a Radio Shack Pro-62. I haven't found any out there.

4794. Fool Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IKGq5.1054$Z83.452305@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If what you say is true John-Corky, if I am the troublemaker, then I will continue to cause problems when you are gone.

It isn't though John-Corky, whenever you leave the silence is golden. Check Deja.com or have an adult show you. No John-Corky, no trouble.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
> public
> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
> weeks
> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
with
> you.
> The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your
> childish antics.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
> SNIP
>
>

4795. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <zZGq5.1108$Z83.461747@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M with his 3rd screen name this week regurgitated.....

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>
> > I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
> > kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo
>
> Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.
>
>
> > John shorting out and ruining a
> > power supply,
>
> Above is true!
>
>
> > John adding to the distribution list for no other reason
> > than to cause trouble,
>
> Above is true!
>
>
> > John ruining a repeater due to not being able
> > to understand polarity,
>
> Above is true!
>
>
> > John swearing, threatening and jamming a
> > repeater in the SeaTac area,
>
> Above is true!
>
>
> > John changing his name 5 times a week,
>
> Above is true,!
>

Maybe you are getting over the denial after all. Thanks for finally coming
clean John-Corky!

> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
> public

> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me.

Don't reply to me if you don't like it John-Corky. Is that too complex for your pea brain? BTW, verifying the truth for people you slander and call liars is not considered harassment Toilet-Boy. That too seems too complex for you Toilet-Boy

> I have to leave for two
> weeks
> military training very soon.

Head short arm inspector, right? As in you real life, if you did anything important you would post it like that stupid ARRL binary you put up here. Nope, John-Corky checking for STDs in the National Guard. Not a pilot, not even an officer just a weekend nurse, again..

>Upon my return from training I will deal with
> you.

That's one of the threats you made on the repeater John-Corky. Same old Toilet-Boy after all these years.

4796. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39AB11F5.B9DEADE@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80892

mailto:abuse@home.net

J o h n M wrote:

> Don't let the door hit you in the butt.
>
> "Who Did you Expect?" <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote
> > group. Until then, good by for know.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4797. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC wants to continue the troubles!! was:Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39AB124C.54512B6D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@home.net

J o h n M wrote:

> Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
>
> Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would
> like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
>
> It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
> being the source of trouble?
>
> Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do it
> permanently.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> SNIP
> > Do you really
> > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the
> > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
> day
> > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same
> > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen
> > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
> and
> > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky
> SNIP

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov

US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4798. Re: Fool Glenn KB7PKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <%DIq5.1827$o5.413467@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Did you also read the messages from many saying it was an obvious forge?
Naw, John-Corky doesn't deal with the facts. Please, do what ever to deflect
the fact that you are a liar and a fraud. Nobody believes your tripe anyway
Corky.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:FhIq5.34829$Ur3.368653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I checked deja as you suggested. I found posts from YOU looking for
"YOUNG
> AMATEURS" for homosexual actions.
>
> Do you want me to post the message here?
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> SNIP
> > if I am the troublemaker, then I will
> > continue to cause problems when you are gone.
> SNIP
> > Check Deja.com or have
>
>

4799. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <JHIq5.1842$o5.414390@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:NeIq5.34828$Ur3.366797@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Glenn's stupidity & lies snipped
> > Head short arm inspector, right? As in you real life, if you did
anything
> > important you would post it like that stupid ARRL binary you put up
here.
>
> Sorry Glenn, my military duties are NOT something I can speak of over
> "un-secured" communications. So OBVIOUSLY I could not post anything
> about it like the ARRL cert (which is HARDLY a national secret).

Yeah, right. What branch of the service John-Corky?

> SNIP
> > in the National Guard. Not a pilot, not
> > even an officer just a weekend nurse, again..
>
> I am not in the national guard.

WHat branch then? This will be like your credentials and job. Liar.

> I am an officer.

Prove it or being proven a liar and a fraud again.

> Glenn, your jealousy is
> starting to show again! (BTW- in the military I am NOT involved in the
> Medical section at all)

What could I or for that matter anyone be envious of you for? You are a
fraud, and you will prove it by hiding you true branch and rank John-Corky.

>
> > >Upon my return from training I will deal with you.
> >
> > That's one of the threats you made on the repeater
>
> If you take that as a threat then that is how YOU chose to accept it.

They are taken for what you past history shows. A liar and a fraud Corky.

4800. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble & won't stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39AB30D8.A3327A7E@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mailto:abuse@home.net

J o h n M wrote:

> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John
> SNIP
>
> So what you are saying is that you are unable to control yourself. Rather,
> you react
> to my actions which act as directions to you. In other words, I control you
> like
> strings on a puppet as Rick said in another post!

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

4801. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Tjoq5.31651$Ur3.343773@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> FACT, in September of 1992, Bill Cheeck was elected
> by ops council as head of scannradio fidonet forum.
> within 2 weeks, you had made such an uproar, that you
> were asked to leave. You refused, and were therefor banned.

COMPLETELY WRONG!!!!

In 1995 (or perhaps early 1996) Ken Storm (the moderator of ScanRadio Fidonet Echo (they don't have "forums") suddenly became missing. As Assistant Moderator, Bill Cheek became Moderator by default. He was NEVER elected to the position of Moderator. The first thing Bill did as moderator
was to kick out several who he saw as a threat or who knew more than him. I was one of those who were kicked out and NEVER told why or given a chance to defend myself.

> Fact, within 2 months, you appear on the internet
> USENET berating your situation, blaming Bill cheeck for it all
SNIP

AGAIN, COMPLETELY WRONG. (or else you are simply lying)

I did NOT appear on the usenet group of rec.radio.scanner until July 1996 when Cheek was in a fully involved war on that group as result of his abusive online actions. Only AFTER this time (July 1996) did I make any public
statements of what had happened earlier.

> FACT: you continue to deny your actions, even after you pulled them
> on others IN FULL VIEW of the usenet public.

AGAIN, COMPLETELY WRONG.

I have NEVER denied the TRUTH of my actions. YOU have failed to present any truthful facts yet!!

> FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
> back over 8 years!

COMPLETELY WRONG!!

A search of Dejanews shows NOTHING for me under the key search words of "John Mackey" or "KA0SSF" Between the dates of Jan 1 1992 and Jan 1 1995 on the rec.radio.* usenet groups.

http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/qs.xp?ST=PS&svcclass=dnyr&firstsearch=yes&preserve=1&QRY=KA0SSF+john+mackey&defaultOp=AND&DBS=1&OP=dnquery.xp&LNG=english&subjects=&groups=rec.radio.*&authors=&fromdate=Jan+1+1992&todate=Jan+1+1995&showsort=score&maxhits=25

> FACT: you claimed to have degrees, yet a check of the online
> databases that carry such information reveals that your name
> is "mysteriously abscent".

COMPLETELY WRONG!
Please present the addresses of the "online databases" you
claim to have checked.

> Igiven all this, it has been determined by many people
> that you tend to tell lies all the time. It has become such a
SNIP

Eric, YOU have been the one who has been found to be a constant liar.

> It is because of this that I will simply break off communications,
SNIP

Eric, breaking off communications would probably be your biggest single
contribution to the rec.radio.* newsgroups.

4802. Re:Oregon PARG A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <0ooq5.896$SC1.569676@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80913

When you going to quit lying John-Corky. I never said they were my groups, you SNIPped it out as usual. So much for your fraud act of sincerity. Shut up, go away and the NG will be nice like it was before you came John-Corky.

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:1Unq5.31634$Ur3.342838@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> Most likely you are talking about one of the following organizations for
> Blake or Glenn:
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The Puyallup Amateur Radio Group (PARG) does. Also the Pocatello Amateur
> > Radio Group (PARG) recognizes them. They both have had major articles in
> SNIP
>
> Blake also claims to represent "Pottersville ARG.
>
>
>
> "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote
> > I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
> > Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
> > the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
> > like.
> > I think these creeps should be run out of town.
>
>
>

4803. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <8xoq5.898$SC1.574444@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

J o h n Corky spews his lies..... ...

> > FACT, in September of 1992, Bill Cheeck was elected
> > by ops council as head of scannradio fidonet forum.
> > within 2 weeks, you had made such an uproar, that you
> > were asked to leave. You refused, and were therefor banned.
>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!!!!
>
> In 1995 (or perhaps early 1996) Ken Storm (the moderator of ScanRadio
> Fidonet Echo (they don't have "forums") suddenly became missing. As
> Assistant Moderator, Bill Cheek became Moderator by default. He was
> NEVER elected to the position of Moderator. The first thing Bill did as
> moderator
> was to kick out several who he saw as a threat or who knew more
> than him. I was one of those who were kicked out and NEVER told why or
> given a chance to defend myself.

Liar. You were kicked off for the same actions people are asking you to quit
on here.

>
> > FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
> > back over 8 years!
>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!!
>
> A search of Dejanews shows NOTHING for me under the key search words of
> "John Mackey" or "KA0SSF" Between the dates of Jan 1 1992 and Jan 1 1995
> on the rec.radio.* usenet groups.
>
>
http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/qs.xp?ST=PS&svcclass=dnyr&firstsearch=yes&pre
>
serve=1&QRY=KA0SSF+john+mackey&defaultOp=AND&DBS=1&OP=dnquery.xp&LNG=english
>
&subjects=&groups=rec.radio.*&authors=&fromdate=Jan+1+1992&todate=Jan+1+1995
> &showsort=score&maxhits=25

Try Traveller and JEMJ. You are such a liar John-Corky, it never ends does
it. You aren't sincere, you just lie on and on causing more trouble.

> > FACT: you claimed to have degrees, yet a check of the online
> > databases that carry such information reveals that your name
> > is "mysteriously abscent".

>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!
> Please present the addresses of the "online databases" you
> claim to have checked.

You have no degree John-Corky. You wave your silly volunteer OO certificate, even posted a binary of it or your sillt VE certificate. If you even had anything close to a degree you would have posted it. You haven't. All John_corky can say he has done in his miserable useless life is volunteer to be an OO and a VE and posted more than 400+ offtopic messages a month. Proud John-Corky, he has done nothing he can display or talk about. He is nothing.

> > Igiven all this, it has been determined by many people
> > that you tend to tell lies all the time. It has become such a
> SNIP
>
> Eric, YOU have been the one who has been found to be a constant liar.

You have said that to 48 different people john-Corky. Nice job of projecting yourself, idiot.

> > It is because of this that I will simply break off communications,
> SNIP
>
> Eric, breaking off communications would probably be your biggest single
> contribution to the rec.radio.* newsgroups.

Not near as enormous as the event if you did John-Corky. You post 10 to 1 compared to anybody in any group John-Corky. That's why you little retard are the #1 offtopic poster.

When are you going to be sincere and shut up Corky?

Glenn

4804. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Syoq5.5408$_X.20222@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, one time I was driving south to FW at about 4 AM (I worked nights at the time, so I was awake anyway), this was the time the drug t.f. stopped me. I was asked (in this order) for my driver's license and proof of insurance, why was I out at that late an hour, what was I doing with all the radios in my car and who was I talking to on them, could I prove I was a amateur radio operator and a EMA member, had I ever been convicted on any drug charges, and would I allow them to search my car. They ran my plates and license (the agent who waited by me was annoyed that my radios picked up everything said, and told the other narc to use his phone. I was released with a warning to watch my driving (the first time anything was said about driving).

Another time I was talking on an Okla. City repeater when I passed by what appeared to be an interdiction stop. A marked OHP unit peeled off and caught up with me, then ran my plates before pulling me over. He wanted to know who I was talking to on the radio, if I'd been warning anybody. I explained I was a ham, showed him my license, and casually mentioned I'd been a police dispatcher, and did Lt. So-n-so still work in Dist. 1 (Okla. City, Troop A). After that he became friendlier and apologized for pulling me over. He was the one that explained the radio-equipped escort car profile (later confirmed on TV news reports).

Of course, I don't have A antenna on my car, I have THREE antennas. Dual-band for my ham rig, dual-band for my scanner, and a mono-band for my EMA radio.

Mark K5MAR

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:z_eq5.306362$t91.3248533@news4.giganews.com...
> I travelled from Houston, Texas to Ponca City OK every other weekend for 6
> months, with an antenna on my car, and was never stopped.
>
> Once must wonder if their are other things that are attracting the
officers.
>
>
> Toll Free
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > "Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
> > news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...

> > >
> > >
> > > Dave wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on
my
> > car,
> > > > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham
radio
> > and
> > > > showed them my license.
> > >
> > > I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
> > > Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
> > > the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
> > > written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word
that
> > > ham radio operators are legal.
> >
> > Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
> > cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part
> of
> > their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the
northward
> > flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with
radios
> to
> > "sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been
stopped
> > and checked several times.
> >
> > > Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area
(especially
> > > during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
> > > under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls
on
> > > our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
> > > no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic
(it
> > > was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
> > >
> >
> > I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems to
> be
> > working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
> >
> > Mark K5MAR
> >
> >

> >
> >
>
>

4805. IC-R8500 or IC-R7100 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Hellberg <robert.hellberg@forumsql.se>
Message-ID: <39aa17a4$1@news.wineasy.se>
Source record: 80916

Hi !!

Is there anyone who knows about a technincal test/comparison between the ICOM R8500 and ICOM R7100 ?? A would like to know about the receivers technical quality in point of RF-dynamic range, noise-level..... I already have a R7100 but I don't know if I want to lose by the exchange if the R7100 is better than the R8500.....

Robert Hellberg
SM0RVH

4806. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <QEoq5.5411$_X.17610@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In Oklahoma it costs $14 for Ham plates, and they give you a second plate
for the front of your vehicle (Okla. doesn't require a front license plate).
This is so you can be identified as a possible communications asset when
approaching an emergency scene (or so I'm told).

Mark K5MAR

"Daniel Friedrichs" <dan@willinet.net> wrote in message
news:39A9C0E5.6E289E2E@willinet.net...
> $150! That's insane. In Iowa they're only $3 :)
>
> Norman Litsche wrote:
>
> > Eeeeyeah. Special license plates in New York State are $150 extra each
> > year. Amateurs too. Not really an option for most.
> >

4807. Re: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Laura K <laura@rollerskate.net>
Message-ID: <X_oq5.7139$C42.222313@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He was talking about APCO 25 which is a national digital standard being adopted by MANY police and fire agencies.

Right now, there is NO SCANNER anywhere that can receive APCO 25 digital transmissions. However, they are NOT generally encrypted. So, all you can do right now is wait and hope something is manufactured to listen, OR, by an APCO 25 radio.

If you want to purchase one, please e-mail me direct.

Thanks,

Kevin@rollerskate.net

----- Original Message -----
From: "Glenn Gentry" <keep@yourspam.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Sunday, August 13, 2000 10:05 PM
Subject: Arkansas State Police Going Digital!

Hi Everyone:

Yesterday I had a phone conversation with my cousin who is State Trooper down in Little Rock.
He told me the State of Arkansas is going to completely overhaul their radio system by late 2002.
The new system according to him will use "Project 25" technology from Motorola. The system
will be phased in Troop by Troop across the state. He said the current system is outdated, and they can't add any large number of new users to it.

Will any of the newer Trunktracker scanners from Uniden be able to decode this new radio system, or is everyone like me out of luck? I have a BC-895XLT which does just fine now, but my cousin says it will be useless in 2-3 years.

Thanks,

Glenn

4808. WTB- PRO 2045,2042 or 2041

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: regaldriver <regaldriver@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39AA2695.855875EE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 80919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Seeking a clean PRO 2045,2041,2042 in perfect working condition with original antenna. Please email regaldriver@yahoo.com if you have one with details and price.

4809. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rattlehead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8odh15qpf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <39a8c78b.6213688@news.mil.ameritech.net>,
jonesgil@usa.net wrote:
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 22:29:29 -0400, helmsman wrote:
>
> >2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:
> >
> >>From the Popular Communications September issue:
> >>
> >>There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> >>anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church,
N9VKS
> >>of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
> >>(No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
> >>States').
> >We need a make and model number here.
> You don't need no make and model.
> If you put something in the public air - expect
> that it will be received.
>
> You don't want it understood - scramble it.
>
> >>If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
> >>read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
> >>don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.
> >>
> >>I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the
arrest.
> >>I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the
Indiana
> >>State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP
> >>assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them.
>
> >As I always thought- they are the secret police just like the STAZI
or
> >GUESTAPO.
> Its their job - and they are just following orders.
>
> >In America there is no law against spying on the police.
> Maybe Indiana is not in the U.S.
>
> >>No recognition
> >>for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency
management

> >>agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
> >>cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
> >>the same function of inter agency monitoring.
> >>
> >>Just a fear that the public is out of control.
> >>
> >>BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
> >>cases. And nothing that deals with hams.
>
> >The whole thing rests on what the radio was and what N9VKS told them.
>
> >If he said it was a scanner he is out of luck.
> Never talk to police - they are NOT YOUR FRIEND.
> Answer question as short and concise as possible
> and if possible - don't answer.
>
> >If on the other hand it
> >is simply capable of recieving Public Saftey, Special Emergency
> >frequencies that are not prohibited by ****FEDERAL LAW****
> >the cops are out of luck.
>
> >FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 AUGUST 20 1933 IS WHAT COUNTS!
>
> The fed is out of control also.
>
> >>I guess hams are going to
> >>have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >KG8PM
> >http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
>
>

I am not understanding this... as far as I can tell, the only agency in the united states charged with enforcing and making any laws that regard the public airwaves is the FCC... that's why many bans on radar detectors have been thrown out, and why many laws cities levy against erection and construction for towers for ham, cb, etc. has been rejected as illegal.... we should all ban together against this sort of thing, and let the FCC know how we feel; the lack of such lobbying and support is what made monitoring cell phone frequencies illegal.

and before those of you that are about to pounce on me with the remark "well, why do you want to listen to other people's private conversations????" I respond this; I do NOT care to, specifically; rather, it's the principal of the thing... it's in the name of fighting that "slippery slope", where you start allowing some infraction to incur to a limited degree, then nothing is to prevent it's reoccurence a little later, then a little later, until nothing is left... so we have

to start fighting the war on the FIRST front we're confronted with.

anyway, i'm emailing the FCC and asking "what gives" and i think all should do the same. INCIDENTALLY, I am a ham, but I do not think that these exemptions should be given just to hams. We're all american citizens and should be given EQUAL protection under the constitution.

now what is MY .02 worth.

--
"As flies to wonton boys are we to the gods;
they kill us for thier sport."
-- 'Brave New World'

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4810. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John P. Husvar" <jhusvar@apk.net>
Message-ID: <8odj1b$t11$1@plonk.apk.net>
Source record: 80921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Noah Simoneaux" <noaj@yournet.com> wrote in message
news:39a974ae.813802@news.preferred.com...

> Wouldn't be the first time that a cop made a mistake. Recently had a
> case here in Arkansas in which a state trooper arrested a guy for
> giving him a middle-finger salute.

Lese majeste! Lese majeste! -- Hang the bastard! We simply _cannot_ have
commoners disrespecting the guardians of the noble rulers! The very
idea!

> The guy won in court, so far, and
> the cop was fined $4,000, but they may appeal it later, too.

Hmmmm -- appeal? Why should they have to appeal? It's a clear case of
Disrespect of Cop, surely a capital offense, to be summarily punished by
death. The fool commoner simply did not know his place. He should have
knelt in the dirt as the King's man passed and offered to kiss the ring!
(er -- badge -- er whatever)

While it may not be "nice" to give anyone the one-finger salute, it
doesn't seem particularly "nice" to have these public EMPLOYEES
forgetting just who they work for either. Even when arresting a
difficult suspect, it's possible to be in control and polite throughout
the effort. I know: I spent a lifetime one year working in a mental
hospital, mainly as "muscle" to restrain violent forensic (murder,
aggravated assault, rape, etc.) patients. Never, ever, had to injure one
to restrain.

And I've never been especially big, 5'8" - 195 pounds.

"The finger" is not a reason to arrest; maybe to laugh at the giver's
level of discourse, but not arrest.

>
> Noah Simoneaux
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4811. FA: Pro-2022 200 channel scanner final hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Gftq5.6142$F5.126785@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 80922

30-54mhz, 108-174mhz, 380-512mhz, 806-960mhz(no gaps)

http://cgi.ca.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=413434745

4812. FA: Optoelectronics Scout 40 (Full Frequency) Counter/Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39aa64ab@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 80923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an Optoelectronics Scout 40 Full Frequency Counter/Recorder that I am auctioning on ebay. The unit is in very good condition and comes with a variety of accessories. This recorder is excellent for "Reaction Tuning" a variety of scanners and radios. Check it out at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421600314

Thank you for viewing my auction.

R. Olson

4813. FA: Pro 2006 w/ Full Coverage OptoScan 456 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39aa65ca$1@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 80924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pro 2006 scanner with a full coverage Optoelectronics OptoScan 456 computer interface installed that I am auctioning on ebay. The unit is in flawless condition and comes with all documentation. If you would like to check it out please go to:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421547838

Thank you for viewing my auction.

R. Olson

4814. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa7311.5931814@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 01:09:04 -0500, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>I hope you know that Kemo Sabe can be translated as the Unknown One (i.e.
>the Lone Ranger) or equally well as He Who Knows Nothing.

Tonto calling the Lone Ranger a dummy? I think not!
FYI, it means just the opposite, "He Who Knows All ."
How can it have two completely different meanings in the same language?
BTW, I hope you know that Dick can be translated as......

4815. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39AA77E3.4F54632D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keem-O-Sabe wrote:
>
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 01:09:04 -0500, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
> >I hope you know that Kemo Sabe can be translated as the Unknown One (i.e.
> >the Lone Ranger) or equally well as He Who Knows Nothing.
>
> Tonto calling the Lone Ranger a dummy? I think not!
> FYI, it means just the opposite, "He Who Knows All ."
> How can it have two completely different meanings in the same language?
> BTW, I hope you know that Dick can be translated as......

According to the transcripts from the typewriter of Fran Stryker,
in episode one, Tonto, a old boy hood friend of he who became The
Lone Ranger, who nursed the Ranger back to health after the ambush
at Bryant's Gap, told Ranger Reid, when Reid donned the mask, that
he would call him "..Kemo Sabe...it mean 'Faithful Friend'."

4816. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa764e.6760542@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 3:57:14 -0500, Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 1:30:42 -0500, Keem-O-Sabe wrote:

>> You sound like a typical Porky Radio Operator. Are you one of those porky
>> pigs on 3950, or thereabouts?
>> Keem-O-Sabe

>Are you - have you been deemed- "humor-impaired"?
>You might try reading that post about a hundred times and - if you don't
>figger it out - turn yourself in because you are truly "humor-impaired".
>Gray

I see nothing funny about that post. To me, racism is not humorous.

4817. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <39AA7338.2809B7BC@home.here>
Source record: 80928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:
> The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the wrecker
> operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures. It
> had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners at
> home were not prohibited.
>
Then why pass the law covering all vehicles instead of just wreckers?

4818. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AA7CCB.4C1E5121@home.com>
Source record: 80929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

gee, changing your info again to avoid the kill filter.
PLONK!! right back in you go

J o h n M wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > FACT, in September of 1992, Bill Cheeck was elected
> > by ops council as head of scannradio fidonet forum.
> > within 2 weeks, you had made such an uproar, that you
> > were asked to leave. You refused, and were therefor banned.
>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!!!!
>
> In 1995 (or perhaps early 1996) Ken Storm (the moderator of ScanRadio
> Fidonet Echo (they don't have "forums") suddenly became missing. As
> Assistant Moderator, Bill Cheek became Moderator by default. He was
> NEVER elected to the position of Moderator. The first thing Bill did as
> moderator
> was to kick out several who he saw as a threat or who knew more
> than him. I was one of those who were kicked out and NEVER told why or
> given a chance to defend myself.
>
> > Fact, within 2 months, you appear on the internet
> > USENET berating your situation, blaming Bill cheeck for it all
> SNIP
>
> AGAIN, COMPLETELY WRONG. (or else you are simply lying)
>
> I did NOT appear on the usenet group of rec.radio.scanner until July 1996
> when Cheek was in a fully involved war on that group as result of his
> abusive online actions. Only AFTER this time (July 1996) did I make any
> public
> statements of what had happened earlier.
>
> > FACT: you continue to deny your actions, even after you pulled them
> > on others IN FULL VIEW of the usenet public.
>
> AGAIN, COMPLETELY WRONG.
>
> I have NEVER denied the TRUTH of my actions. YOU have failed to
> present any truthful facts yet!!
>
> > FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating

> > back over 8 years!
>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!!
>
> A search of Dejanews shows NOTHING for me under the key search words of
> "John Mackey" or "KA0SSF" Between the dates of Jan 1 1992 and Jan 1 1995
> on the rec.radio.* usenet groups.
>
> http://www.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/qs.xp?ST=PS&svcclass=dnyr&firstsearch=yes&pre
> serve=1&QRY=KA0SSF+john+mackey&defaultOp=AND&DBS=1&OP=dnquery.xp&LNG=english
> &subjects=&groups=rec.radio.*&authors=&fromdate=Jan+1+1992&todate=Jan+1+1995
> &showsort=score&maxhits=25
>
> > FACT: you claimed to have degrees, yet a check of the online
> > databases that carry such information reveals that your name
> > is "mysteriously abscent".
>
> COMPLETELY WRONG!
> Please present the addresses of the "online databases" you
> claim to have checked.
>
> > Igiven all this, it has been determined by many people
> > that you tend to tell lies all the time. It has become such a
> SNIP
>
> Eric, YOU have been the one who has been found to be a constant liar.
>
> > It is because of this that I will simply break off communications,
> SNIP
>
> Eric, breaking off communications would probably be your biggest single
> contribution to the rec.radio.* newsgroups.

4819. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa79ec.7686792@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 19:18:04 GMT, nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:

>WATCH WHAT YOU SAY ABOUT BLACKS I HAVE MANY IN MY FAMLEY TREE.
>HANGING IN IT...

I see you're another one of those Porky Radio Operators. A paleface one with a red neck. The redneck part explains the "FAMLEY" tree.

4820. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa7ed6.8945312@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 05:55:56 -0700, optcamel@ix.netcom.com (Howard Lute) wrote:

>> At least you're acknowledging that the pre-white natives were humans beings. To a lot of palefaces,
>> non-whites were (and still are) just animals.
>> Keem-O-Sabe (no soy tonto)

>As one of those animals I take umbrage to the stupidity of your
>statement. My great-great grandfathers made one error...they allowed the
>white man to land on our land. They should have gutted each and every one
>then and there.

Excuse me? You seem to be claiming that you ARE a pre-white native and yet you find it stupid that
I consider them (us) as human beings and NOT animals? If you want to call yourself an animal, go
right ahead, but speak for yourself, and not the rest of us!

4821. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa8244.9823772@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 17:08:46 GMT, Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Note signature?

>> friend,
>> I am: Howard Lute
>> eBay Rating: 694
>> He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber, And An Even Worse Injun!
>> She: Patient
>> LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
>> Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

4822. FS: PRO-75 200Ch. Handheld **REDUCED**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <Vhvq5.5782$_X.18902@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The PRO-75 has 200 programmable channels and covers the "usual" freq.'s between 29MHz and 512MHz. It is in great (9.5/10) shape and comes with a rubber ducky antenna and original users manual. I'll even throw in an AC adapter at no extra charge!! Here's the best part: $80 SHIPPED TO YOUR DOOR! For details or questions, contact me at rakolari@cyberbeach.net . Thanks!

Adam Kolari

4823. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa83c9.10212963@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 02:37:08 -0400, "Heveros Rancheros" <outofpatience@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Oh believe me they tried. That is exactly what makes them SAVAGES, you still
>admit you want to rip people apart. Thanks for admitting it.

Hey Impatient, what exactly is/are "Heveros"?

4824. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa84f3.10510572@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 11:41:59 GMT, "Gibstah" <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net> wrote:

>blah blah blah
>Limit this discussion to e-mail please
>thx A READER

And limit your "blah blah blah" to e-mail also, please please please!

4825. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: noaj@yournet.com
Message-ID: <39aa85c3.8704353@news.preferred.com>
Source record: 80936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 07:35:44 -0400, "John P. Husvar" <jhusvar@apk.net>
wrote:

(snip)

>"The finger" is not a reason to arrest; maybe to laugh at the giver's
>level of discourse, but not arrest.

Yeah, you'd think the Arkansas State Police must be really on the
ball, if they have time on their hands to arrest "serious" criminals
like this guy. Our state must be pretty squared away if we don't have
any of those minor criminals around. You wouldn't know it from the
record number of meth labs they've busted this year. :/

Noah Simoneaux

Growing old is mandatory. Growing wise is optional.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4826. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa86b7.10963122@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 15:56:13 -0700, optcamel@ix.netcom.com (Howard Lute) wrote:

>> ....any case where a race of people is wronged, there are those who feel
>> that some kind of reparation by the government which wronged them is in
>> order. There are many precidents which lead to that conclusion, and some
>> reparations have been made, as in the case of the Japanese imprisioned
>> during WWII. Why should blacks be any different?

>Or American Indians.

Don't call us Indians. We're Injuns!

4827. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from Eric!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AA8951.ECBC2DAC@home.com>
Source record: 80938

yeah? and how many posting were by me directly?

Before you spout off about the pot calling the kettle black, youd
better make sure you have ALL the facts

TooManyRadios wrote:

> In article <39A7D5A6.B6B360C3@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:
>
> >FACT: Dejanews has full copies of all your exploits dating
> >back over 8 years!
>
> By mentioning Deja, you piqued my curiosity and I decided to take a look for
> myself.
>
> True, there is a lot of material regarding John Mackey archived there.
> However, a search on "Eric Oyen" revealed over 1,000 matches! Some of these
> are real doozies, dating back 4 years or more.
>
> What's that about the pot calling the kettle black?

4828. Infformation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: TCORWDOUBLET@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18010-39AA877F-18@storefull-234.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80939

I had heard awhile back Bearcat was supose to come out with a new trunk tracking scanner . Can anyone tell me if it is out yet , and what the model # is ? Thx .

4829. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from Eric!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AA89BC.FB98CA5E@home.com>
Source record: 80940

additional note:
according to deja.com, theree are only 48 posts that originated from me.
so, what explains the other 1252?

TooManyRadios wrote:

> True, there is a lot of material regarding John Mackey archived there.
> However, a search on "Eric Oyen" revealed over 1,000 matches! Some of these
> are real doozies, dating back 4 years or more.
>
> What's that about the pot calling the kettle black?

4830. Re: Infformation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <7Tvq5.106008$6y5.72787486@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 80941

BC-780, I believe. Don't know if it has the XL after it.

T C <TCORWDOUBLET@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18010-39AA877F-18@storefull-234.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I had heard awhile back Bearcat was supose to come out with a new trunk
> tracking scanner . Can anyone tell me if it is out yet , and what the
> model # is ? Thx .
>

4831. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa875c.11127567@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 02:35:19 -0400, "Heveros Rancheros" <outofpatience@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Excuse me, but the savages appear to have removed a few hair pieces.....

No big loss....they were just white wigs anyway. Why they wore them, I have no idea!

>....from the future CIVILIZED people.....

Oh, so you admit that YOUR ancestors weren't CIVILIZED at the time?

>....and the savages are the ones who should do a reparation, if they only had anything >other than their welfare checks to hand over!

Hell, you already have all of our land. Besides, you've got your own welfare checks, you greedy
"Hevero" (whatever that means)!

4832. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa8b63.12159197@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 02:32:33 -0400, "Heveros Rancheros" <outofpatience@mindspring.com> wrote:

>why don't we all just line up and kiss their asses?

YOU can start with mine!
BTW, are "Heveros" something you raise on a ranch? Hell, I've just got to know!

4833. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa8c74.12431777@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 06:29:00 GMT, Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>Heveros Rancheros wrote:
>> why don't we all just line up and kiss their asses?

>Because both the asses and the kissers are likely to become too
>chapped to finish.

Not to worry. Heveros can do ALL the kissing. It was his idea anyway.

4834. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@thereservation.how
Message-ID: <39aa8d0a.12581663@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 10:49:14 -0800, juj <coag@yua.com> wrote:

>Because those enslaved blacks are no longer alive.
>Don't even THINK about paying reparations to the
>ancestors of these blacks. Its unfortunate but it life.

Why and how would you pay reparations to the ANCESTORS of people who have been dead a couple hundred
years? That's easy for you to say 'cause you gotta know it's IMPOSSIBLE!!!!

4835. Re: Infformation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rony58@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oe4gv$h4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80946

> T C <TCORWDOUBLET@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:18010-39AA877F-18@storefull-234.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > I had heard awhile back Bearcat was supose to come out with a new
trunk
> > tracking scanner . Can anyone tell me if it is out yet , and what
the
> > model # is ? Thx .
> >
>
It's the BC780XLT. Last I heard was for release around December 2000.
http://www.strongsignals.net/ Has a link at the bottom of the page to
the Uniden page. It shows a picture and lists the features.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4836. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:05:19 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000827200519.01209.00000096@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 80979

>
>I was led to believe they were now wireless.

>>
>> >Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the
>> >sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in
>> >hearing the conversations.

>>
>> If you're talking about the coaches headsets, you're out of luck because
>those
>> are wired. The referee's P/A mike is wireless though and operates somewhere
>in
>> the VHF TV band.
>

I believe there was some discussion awhile back about them getting all new
equipment
from Moto including encrypted radios as part of a marketing campaign.

4837. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:05:37 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <l1iq5.7046$Q36.519256@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Eeeeyeah. Special license plates in New York State are $150 extra each year. Amateurs too. Not really an option for most.

I still have a problem being stopped by the police just because I have the wrong length antenna on my car. The Supreme Court gave these guys powers to search vehicles on the slimmest of pretexts.

For awhile around me the cops were monitoring CB channel 19 and whacking folks that warned oncoming traffic of the speed traps. They found a hooker ring operating around one of the truck stops running on 19 too.

de Norm KA2TYT

Toll Free wrote in message ...
>Got a problem with it.
>
>Get an amateur radio license plate.
>
>Problem solved...
>
>Although, I would sue if I was being harassed for having an antenna on my
>car.
>
>I went through similar problems in So Cal when I lived their. If you had a
>CB antenna on your car, and where out after 2 in the morning, you would be
>pulled over, and your car searched for illicit narcotics, just "because
most
>CBers are drug users in this area".
>
>Toll Free
>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:hbciqskvuingshsk14gojtkolndqb36rj4@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 13:10:30 GMT, "Norman Litsche"
>> <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I would have a problem with being stopped by the police just because I
>> >happen to have the 'wrong' antenna on my car.
>> >
>> >de Norm KA2TYT
>>
>> I second that.
>
>

4838. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:10:49 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <d6iq5.7059$Q36.519904@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
>

First time I've heard of this. If true, this could be the wedge issue that would precipitate the same sort of a
'attention' the folks in the gun hobby are experiencing.

Yeah, we really need this.

de Norm KA2TYT

4839. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:11:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <D6iq5.16865$QW4.211260@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mark...click here: http://www.perconcorp.com/

Bill Crocker

"Mark Rossi" <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ckgq5.31182$dG.866265@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
> outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
> Mark.
>
>

4840. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 00:56:16 UTC
From: edgo1@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <39b3b88c.12370776@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 80977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 22:09:12 GMT, "Mark Rossi" <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
wrote:

>Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
>outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
>Mark.
>

I got most of mine by doing searches of the likely bands. (does your
scanner have a search function? I wouldn't own one that didn't) Some
came from books and published frequency lists. If you are in Australia
(I am responding on an AUS group) try Dick Smith's. they used to put
out a book on the hobby of scanning.....lots of suggestions and leads.
The Australian Communications Authority has a website with the
complete listing of frequencies and a search facility. Radio and Comms
magazine is a good place to find ads for published lists.
As for an outside antenna......a definite must to get the full benefit
of any scanner.
All the best.

Brian W Edginton

I once resolved to order my life by following my own
advice to others.
But I had to give it up as there was too much of it.

4841. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 01:37:59 UTC
From: jamesrhampton@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ocfplmhl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <39a867d8.5276583@news.gte.net>,
n9nwo@amsat.org wrote:
> From the Popular Communications September issue:
>
> There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
> of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
> (No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
> States'). If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
> read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
> don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.
>
> I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the arrest.
> I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the Indiana
> State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP
> assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them. No recognition
> for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management
> agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
> cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
> the same function of inter agency monitoring.
>
> Just a fear that the public is out of control.
>
> BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
> cases. And nothing that deals with hams. I guess hams are going to
> have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
>
>
Something I'd like to see repeated happened back in 1966. I was the
chief engineer of a commercial radio station and had been at the FCC in
Buffalo, NY several times over the previous two years obtaining my
amateur extra, commercial telegraph 2nd (too young for 1st), and 1st
phone ticket as well as endorsements. I had to take my pre-induction
physical and stopped by the FCC. The engineer in charge of the 20th
radio district (and I wish I could remember his name) asked me how it
was going. I mentioned to him that my father had been ticketed for 1
mile per hour over the speed limit - and the guy started laughing!
Literally, the tears were streaming down his face! He apologized and
explained - one of his men had been stopped the week before in a
similar town (where most of the income was from traffic tickets). Back
then, two police cars were involved - one with the radar set up on a
wooden tripod and the other was the chase car. The cop explained he
had been traveling 1 or 2 miles over the limit by the radar set back at

the first car. The gentleman who had been stopped requested to go back and speak with the other officer (hmm ...). They went back and an introduction was started - only to be cut short by the gentleman who had been stopped. "I don't want to talk with the other officer, I want to see the inspection sticker on your radar set."

The cops looked at each other then back at the gentleman. Out came the credentials. "Federal Communications Commission. Either you produce the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class radiotelephone or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll have to write you up."

The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.

This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the U.S. Navy in January of 1967. I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest someone from the FCC for having a scanner!

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4842. ICOM IC-R10 UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 01:41:18 UTC
From: shadowbinder <Shadowbinder12@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ocfvrmrr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80984

I'm thinking about selling this scanner. It is like new in the box.
Manual, etc. is all there. This is the Canadian model and is full
coverage, including cell. I paid a lot for it. How much is it worth?
Offers anyone?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4843. FS Kenwood TM-V7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 01:43:22 UTC
From: Dick <n1rsp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <_sjq5.12464$Q%5.428713@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80982
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Kenwood TM-V7 dual bander with the cool blue face for sale.This radio is mint condition and works great.I will sell this with optional software and cable for downloading and setting up from a computer.Also will get the optional Extension cable for the removable head.The program is a nice and easy to use program.This has box and all the paper work with it.It has the software mods for the mars/cap all done.All software mods.This is a great radio and the reason to sell it is I have one setup in the base now. My price is firm at $400.00 plus you pay shipping.I think shipping would be about $20.00.
Please email me if interested.

--
Thank You
n1rsp@qsl.net
My ICQ# 423512

4844. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 01:56:37 UTC
From: Thunder@localbar.com
Message-ID: <39a9c619.2471191@news.netins.net>
Source record: 80985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
You found a "chicken coop" that was open?
Around here they build new ones and never open them.
(at least every time I go by them they are closed)

Mom

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 19:51:33 -0400, sideband <sideband@cac.net> wrote:

-->Funny thing, this... it's true...
-->
-->When I was an OTR Truck driver, I had a dipole antenna for 11M on
the
-->passenger mirror of the T-600. I think I was pulled behind the
-->"chicken coop" (Weigh Station for those who aren't familiar with
the
-->lingo) at least 8 times, just because the scalemaster wanted to see
-->what kind of contraption I had hanging off my truck... This was
-->usually accompanied by a level 2 truck inspection, as well, and
they
-->had the "puppies" out sniffin, too. I didn't mind, really. I
usually
-->ran legal, and never carried contraband, and it gave me a chance to
-->step out of the truck, sit back and chuckle at an idiot who thought
we
-->was gonna get the bust of the century.
-->
-->At least it kept a few of the other trucks out of the coop for
awhile,
-->while they messed with me for no reason. ::chuckle::
-->
-->Now aren't you glad they're spending your tax dollars in such a
-->haphazard fashion?
-->
-->-SSB
```

4845. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 02:38:26 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <39a9d001.1790291@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 80986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

Chris has this nailed exactly.

The Indiana law clearly exempts any ham as
long as the ham isn't TRANSMITTING on a
police frequency. That exemption would
allow the ham to have a scanner.

Funny too that newspaper reporters are also
exempted. Guess the cops have no problem
with reporters listening in, but don't want the
"regular public" listening.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
one of the team that got the old NJ law
changed a few years back.

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 06:44:04 -0700, Chris Nicholson
<csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote:

>There must be more to this story because Indiana, like a lot of
>states has an exemption for licensed amateur radio operators. Below is a
>copy of the appropriate statute
>
>
> IC 35-44-3-12
> Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
> (1) possesses a police radio;
> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police
>emergency purposes; or
> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
> (A) while committing a crime;
> (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
> (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement
>agency;
>commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B
>misdemeanor.
> (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
> (1) a governmental entity;
> (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
> (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose
>vehicles are used in emergency service;
> (4) a public service or utility company whose
>vehicles are used in emergency service;
> (5) a person who has written permission from the

>chief executive officer of a law enforcement
>agency to possess a police radio;
> (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license
>issued by the Federal Communications
>Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
>frequency assigned for police emergency
>purposes;
> (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the
>person's dwelling or place of business;
> (8) a person:
> (A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering
>activities;
> (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to
>receive legal advertisements under
>IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or public
>radio or television station; and
> (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to
>the chief executive officer of a law
>enforcement agency in the county in which the employer's
>principal office is located;
> (9) a person engaged in the business of
>manufacturing or selling police radios; or
> (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio
>during the normal course of the person's
>lawful business.
> (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a
>radio that is capable of sending or receiving
>signals transmitted on frequencies assigned by the Federal
>Communications Commission for police
>emergency purposes and that:
> (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a
>vehicle; or
> (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
>individual.
>The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a
>dwelling.
>As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by
>P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
>
>> :
>> : There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
>> : anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
>> : of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
>>
>>
>> Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?

4846. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 02:49:50 UTC
From: Kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <39A9D34E.1DCE38C4@one.net.au>
Source record: 80987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mark what country and state do you live in???
this info will help greatly as you have subscribed to newsgroups from
countries and 2 that are basically worldwide

Freqs differ greatly from state to state as well as country to country

Eg in the US some states use 30MHz-40MHz for Police, while here in
Australia they use 468MHz

Cheers
Kev

Mark Rossi wrote:
>
> Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
> outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
> Mark.

4847. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 02:54:40 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Qvkq5.31343$dG.883198@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

"Terry Sanford" <tsanford@roadrunner.nf.net> wrote in message
news:39A9ACBE.B18D4410@roadrunner.nf.net...

> 2) The title of the posting says "arrested". However the quote of Indiana
State
> laws says something about a "Class B misdemeanour". Can a person be
'arrested' for
> that? Or just ticketed?

Under U.S. laws, a Misdemeanor is a crime. The other class of crime is
Felony. One can be arrested for either. There is a lesser category of
offense called a Violation that can get you a simple appearance ticket in
most jurisdictions.

David T. Stark, BSCJ/Soc
NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG

4848. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 02:54:42 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Svkq5.31344$dG.883198@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Norman Litsche" <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:l1iq5.7046$Q36.519256@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Eeeeyeah. Special license plates in New York State are $150 extra each
> year. Amateurs too. Not really an option for most.

Since when? My total registration fee for two years is about $45.00.

Dave, NF2G

4849. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 03:40:09 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8ocmumucl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <39a867d8.5276583@news.gte.net>,
n9nwo@amsat.org wrote:
> From the Popular Communications September issue:
>
> There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
> of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
> (No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
> States'). If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
> read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
> don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.

(SNIP)

Just found this and found it interesting. It's URL is;
http://www.qth.net/archive/inham/200006/20000623.html#0

From: W9FS@aol.com
Date: Fri, 23 Jun 2000 06:53:38 EDT
Subject: [InHam] Josh Church, N9VKS, case dismissed
Message-ID: <df.6151195.26849bb2@aol.com>

I received the following letter from Pete, N9SFX today and passing it on
for
your information. This was brought about in part, largely due to the
efforts
of the ARRL.
Maybe they aren't such bad guys after all

Dear Sirs:
I would like to thank you for contacting the Elkhart City court on
behalf of Josh Church. Today he received a letter from the court telling
him
that his case has been dismissed. I've heard that before. Now he has to
get
his radios back. I'm sure that the dismissal was in no small part
precipitated by your intervention. It is in no way a victory for Josh or
the
amateur radio community because he was not compensated for all the time
he
spent at the hands of his accusers and the court system. Neither was he
compensated for the loss of use of his equipment nor the damage incured

to
the same by the abusive treatment of the Indiana State Police. The
biggest
loss incured is the loss of faith that we all had in the justice system
and
the people who's job it is to " Protect and Serve " the public.

Pete Ostapchuk

Submissions inham@qth.net

--
'73' From KB9TMP

It is best not meddle in the affairs of dragons,
for you are crunchy and taste good with ketchup!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4850. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 03:49:34 UTC
From: Troy Rowland <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <39a9fa13$0$776$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 80899

I can't really see the attraction in listening to cellular (we call them moblie in Australia) phones anyway. It's no an issue here, due to the use of GSM or CDMA technology in all mobile phones sold. Our old analogue system was shut down this year, so there is no longer any mobile network to listen to anyway.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

"jh-lewis" <jh-lewis@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:o49q5.1700$xa.211856@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> A friend showed me a copy of Monitoring Times last night (I believe it was
> from May of this year) where in an interview with some government official
> (can't remember which one) he or she stated that they are beefing up
> whichever bill it is that outlaws scanning of the cellular frequencies
here
> in the US with heavier fines, jail time, etc... And they're serious about
> enforcement...
>
> They also went on to say that the government will be paying more attention
> to scanners being imported into the country by buyers who are trying to
> circumvent the US scanner laws... They didn't elaborate much on this,
other
> than to say they would be paying more attention to this "loophole" in the
> law (their word not mine).
>
> Realistically I doubt that anyone ordering a scanner from overseas which
is
> cell capable would have a US Marshal show up at his door and arrest him,
but
> I can see imported scanners being confiscated at the border from now on
and
> the buyer will just loose the money he paid for it. The equipment seized
> would be used by the government since they are exempt from scanner
> restrictions.
>
> It would certainly be easier just to 'bite the bullet' and obey the cell
> phone and cordless phones laws, don't you think?
>
> John
>
> "Troy Rowland" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:39a88790$0$753$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...

> > Australian version is basically the same as the US version. It has the
> 1.04
> > firmware, no 5 second trunk delay, covers 66-88MHz and the entire 800MHz
> > band (due to the frequencies used for 800 MHz trunking here). And it
> works
> > quite well!
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Click here for Free Video!!
> > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
> >
> > "Jeff Seale" <jbseale@iglou.com> wrote in message
> > news:8F9CA85EDjbsealeiglоucom@192.107.41.5...
> > > >Trunking protocols in the UK/Europe differ in the main from those the
> > > >BC-245XLT and other "Trunktrackers" will work with although as
> mentioned
> > > >earlier in this thread there are two/three users in the UK using
> > > >Motorola trunking systems.
> > > >
> > > >Uniden have however introduced the UBC-245XLT into Australia which
does
> > > >use Motorola systems more widely and it is this model we are hoping
to
> > > >be able to offer in the not too distant future.
> > > >
> > > >All the best for the weekend.
> > >
> > > Is the Australian version of the 245XLT going to be any different from
> the
> > > U.S. version (aside from the frequency coverage)?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4851. Re: Beam Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 04:15:37 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <JHlq5.6562$a5.69634@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 80992

Okay, I'll take a stab.

From your description, it's not a beam. Since it sounds like a "thick" antenna that doesn't taper, I'm thinking of a co-linear antenna (stacked elements inside a casing), but this is only a guess. It could conceivably be one of any range of possible frequencies. Probably no harm in trying it out, you'll likely see where it works well and where it doesn't, although receiving equipment demands a lot less than transmitting does. There is equipment to determine resonance of an antenna; see if you can get in touch with some local hams, somebody will likely have equipment to do this. In any case, don't go to all the trouble of installing until you determine the suitability of it. Above all, invesitgate all the safety requirements such as proper grounding, location, proximity to power lines etc. before you undertake such a venture.

4852. Re: Mod for BC855XLT -HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 04:26:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4Slq5.17138$QW4.217520@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80993

If you have it, it will be located on a small sub-board, about the size of a postage stamp, located in one corner, near the front panel. Later manufactured units still had the holes and D201 markings on the board, but no diode, or jumper wire, because the mod was disabled by the manufacture.

Bill Crocker

<kal4cu@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8oca1f$g4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I got a mod from QRZ.COM for the cell mod. It states to locate diode
> D201. I have looked all over the board and there are no such numbers.
> Is there a place I can find a schematic for this scanner and can
> someone give a better description of the mod.

4853. Re: Open letter to the TROUBLEMAKER, John Mackey, KS0F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 04:50:56 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ocr3g$1ac$0@63.162.247.95>
Source record: 80994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sure John. No problem, as long as you are going to stop adding crossposting your messages into the alt.firefighters newsgroup, and other places and you will stop troublemaking.

You be nice, and I will also. Never any doubt about that. Just stop replying to anything but scanner related stuff, like below.

So tell me, what kind of scanner are you using now? What made you decide to use it, instead of another model?

J o h n M wrote:

> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

4854. Re: Kolari: for Mackey trouble maker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 04:56:21 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ocrdl$20o$0@63.162.247.95>
Source record: 80995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Exactly WHO are the "numerous major companies and organizations" which
> recognize a PARG in Missouri?
>

Much too big a list to write all of them down here John, but I
guess I could name one or two. Motorola. General Electric. Commnet.

Now, John, I thought we were all going to play nice. Could you please
ask any further information about this in email? We would hate to
think that you were not sincere.

Thanks.

4855. Re: Blake defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 04:58:30 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ocrhm$216$0@63.162.247.95>
Source record: 80996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John, one would wonder just how sincere you were with this
request, when you continue to crosspost into the alt.firefighters
newsgroup, that has repeatedly asked you stop doing so. People would
also wonder how sincere you were when you repeatedly made this
request in public, and not in private email.

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Glenn - you have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
> causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.
>
> I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Then
> perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
>
> It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> stupidity snipped

4856. Re: BC9000-XLT REDUCED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 05:15:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <yzmq5.17253$QW4.218447@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80897

Price reduced by $50.00, now only $300.00 shipped within US lower 48.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:FJwp5.12656$QW4.169779@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> The Uniden Bearcat BC-9000XLT is a scanner offering great frequency
> coverage. This models covers virtually every frequency from 25 MHz to 1300
> MHz (less cellular, although clear images are between 360~385MHz!). It
> receives military frequencies not found on most other scanners. You get
500
> channels organized in 20 banks of 25 channels each. A VFO control (manual
> tuning knob) enables fast selection of memories or frequencies. TurboScan
> allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and TurboSearch lets you
> select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching VHF frequencies.
> The automatic store feature searches out active frequencies and
> automatically stores them for you in an available memory location.
>
> Selectable mode allows you to change the default receiving mode (AM,
FM-wide
> or FM-narrow) to hear all the action. You can also change the default
step
> sizes!
>
> Advanced features include: Two Line Alpha LCD, allows you to label your
> frequencies, i.e.; "Detroit Police", Delay Key (2 seconds), Channel
Lockout,
> Data Skip (the scanner skips over non-voice channels), Backlight Dimmer,
> High Cut Audio Filter and tape recorder activation.
>
> External jacks include: BNC antenna, external speaker, line out, aux jack
> and DC power. The BC9000XLT comes with: AC adapter, telescopic antenna
and
> Owner's Manual. Size: 10.5x3.5x7.375 inches. Frequencies: 25-550 MHz,
> 760-824 MHz, 849-869 MHz, 894-1300 MHz!
>
> Includes Uniden's optional CTCSS tone decoder board, installed!!!
>
> Like new condition, complete with A.C. Power Pack, Antenna, Original Box,
> User Manual, etc.
>
> Note: This scanner has been discontinued by Uniden, and to the best of my

> knowledge, distributors stocks have been depleted.
>
> $350.00, including shipping within U.S., lower 48 states.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4857. Re: BC245-XLT REDUCED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 05:15:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <5Amq5.17256$QW4.218730@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80898

Price reduced by $10.00, now only $165.00 shipped within US, lower 48.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:w6xp5.12664$QW4.170056@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Bearcat BC-245XLT features second generation TrunkTracking II technology.
> When the service you are listening to jumps frequency, the BC-245 has the
> ability to follow the signal. You won't miss any of the action. Even
copies
> Motorola and EDACS simultaneously. This scanner offers 12 bands and a 300
> channel memory. Comes with NiCad battery pack, AC adapter/charger, flex
BNC
> antenna, belt clip, earphone and special PC serial cable.
>
> Frequency Range
> 29 - 30 Ham Band 10 M
> 30 - 50 VHF Low
> 50 - 54 Ham Band 6 M
> 108-137 Aircraft
> 137-144 Federal Gov't
> 144-148 Ham Band 2 M
> 148-174 VHF High Band
> 406-420 Federal Gov't
> 420-450 Ham Band 70 Cm
> 450-470 UHF Band
> 470-512 UHF "T" Band
> 806-956 800 MHz Band (less cellular)
>
> "Like New" condition, includes all original items!
>
> $175.00, includes shipping within the U.S., lower 48 states!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4858. Re: non-27Mhz CB radio info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 05:46:02 UTC
From: Malamute <malamute@malamute.dog>
Message-ID: <i0vjqsctj0vupbv46jov18scdel7hgrdg9@4ax.com>
Source record: 80900

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:48:09 -0700, Prospector
<Prospector@pickaxe-and-shovel.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 14:08:06 +1000, "Axle Jack"
<axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYdingoblue.net.au> wrote:
>
>>I am researching VHF / UHF CB radio systems.
>>I am trying to build up a list of every countries "non-27Mhz" CB systems. I
>>have a detailed account of the UK and Australia but need all the other
>>systems worldwide. I am particularly interested in the US GMRS system, the
>>Swiss 934Mhz band and the Italian 43Mhz band. But would be happy to learn of
>>any others from anywhere in the world.
>>
>>I need to know
>>Number of channels with frequencies
>>Modes allowed
>>Power allowed
>>whether a license is required and how to get one
>>any antenna restrictions
>>any restrictions on use, i.e. no business, business only etc.
>>any restrictions on installation i.e. mobile only, hand held only etc
>>or any other information
>>
>>Please send any info or URLs for relevant web sites to
>>axlejack@dingoblue.net.au
>>
>>Many thanks for your help and happy CBing :-)
>>Jack
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>The General Mobile Radio Service
>http://www.provide.net/~prsg/wi-gmrs.htm
>
>
>
>GMRS WEB MAGAZINE
>http://www.gmrsweb.com/gmrs.html
>
>
>News, Products and Services for Licensees in

>and Users of the General Mobile (GMRS)
>
>GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) is a UHF personal radio service
>allocated 8 frequency pairs and 7 non-paired frequencies in the 462 MHz
>and 467MHz bands. A more detailed description is available.
>
>http://www.provide.net/~prsg/
>
>
>REACT:
>http://www.dougweb.com/gmrsreact.html
>
>http://www.reactintl.org/
>
Hi there, this isa new zealander here, we have 477 mhz fm radios here,
no licence required, 40 channels of 25 kc apart, eight set aside for
repeaters

4859. new zealand frequencies (some) - AUCKLAND1.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 05:49:41 UTC
From: Malamute <malamute@malamute.dog>
Message-ID: <78vjqs0fu8r5faat3211u0pd695654clm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 80901

here is my list of new zealand commercial freq's

updated 02 February 1999 @2305

118.0000 118.0000 ACX1 - 129.9750 ACX480 aeronautical
communications
118.0000 North Shore
118.1000 Ardmore
118.5000 Auckland info
118.7000 Auckland tower
118.9000 Gliders
119.9000 Whenuapai Tower
120.1000 ex-Ardmore, now unused
120.4000 Auckland VFR (including police helicopters)
120.5000 Auckland approach
121.5000 Distress
121.7000 Air NZ Airlines
121.7000 Air NZ
121.9000 Auckland ground
122.7000 Calibration
123.0000 Auckland apron
123.4000 plane to plane
123.4500 plane to plane
123.9000 Auckland area control
124.3000 Auckland approach
125.5000 Auckland info south
125.7000 Whenuapai Precision approach radar
126.0000 Auckland area control
127.0000 Auckland ground
127.1000 Auckland info north
127.8000 Auckland ATIS
128.3000 Whenupai ATIS
128.9000 Asia Pacific Airlines
128.9000 Asia Pacific
129.4000 National control
129.6000 Auckland approach
129.9000 Mechcanics Bay
130.0250 130.0250 ARX1 - 131.9750 ARX79 aeronautical
communications
130.1000 Americas Cup control Primary
130.2000 Polynesian Air Airlines
130.2000 Polynesian Air
130.3000 Americas Cup control Secondary
130.3000 Air NZ (domestic) Airlines
130.3000 Air NZ (domestic)

130.3500 Air NZ (domestic) Airlines
130.3500
131.0000 Eagle Airlines
131.0000 Eagle
131.3000 Traffic reports (Auckland)
131.4000 United
131.5500 New Zealand ACARS
131.6000 NZ Post Airlines
131.6000 NZ Post
131.8000 Mt Cook Airlines
131.8000 Mt Cook
131.9000 Air NZ (international)
131.9000 Air NZ (international)
132.0250 132.0250 AR1 - 132.5750 AR23 aeronautical repeaters
base TX
132.6250 132.6250 ARX80 - 135.3750 ARX190 aeronautical
communications
132.9000 Auckland ramp
133.0000 Auckland fuel
133.7500 Ansett Airlines
133.7500 Ansett
133.8500 Sky Care Airlines
133.8500 Sky Care
133.9500 Ogden Aviation Services
133.9500 Ogden Aviation Services
134.0500 Quantas Airlines
134.5000 Whenuapai Tower
135.1000 Whenuapai Ops
135.2000 "Pidgeon" (42 sqd ops)
135.3000 Parachute ops
135.3500 ...unid
135.4000 ...unid
135.4250 135.4250 AR1 - 135.9750 AR23 aeronautical repeaters
mobile TX
136.0000 136.0000 - 137.0000 aeronautical communications
137.0000 137.0000 - 138.0000 mobile-satellite &
meteorological-satellite
137.3000 METEOR 3/4 Weather satellite
137.4000 OKEAN 4 (1-7) Weather (sometimes SICH1 can be
heard)
137.5000 NOAA 10/12 Weather
137.6200 NOAA 9/11/14 Weather
137.8500 METEOR 3-5 Weather
138.0125 138.0125 ESB1 - 140.5000 ESB200 Mobile TX
139.1000 RNZAF Red Checkers Display team
139.7000 RNZAF Red Checkers Display team
140.1000 "Albatross" (5 sqd ops)
140.1000 RNZAF 5 sqd ops
140.3000 RNZAF 14 SQN
140.3000 RNZAF No 14 SQN

140.4000 RNZAF 2 SQN
140.4500 Ambulance? or Fire simplex?
140.5000 RNZAF 3 sqd ops
140.5125 140.5125 ESBX1 - 141.0000 ESBX40 Simplex
140.5500 ******* ...unid
140.5750 ******* very weak signal at Auckland
140.6000 ******* Group4 NorthShore?? NAVY security
140.6000 RNZAF/Navy Tactical
140.6500 ******* ...unid datastream
140.6625 ******* heard conv DUA, Conalee unit specimen
to the lab
140.8250 Whenuapai Navy and RNZAF Police simplex
140.8500 RNZN HMS Philamore Auckland
141.0125 (ESB1)-143.5000(ESB200) Base TX
141.3250 First Aid Units
141.3375 Coromandel Ambulance
141.3500 Papakura Ambulance
141.3625 Ambulance BOP Whitianga callsign
141.3750 Manukau Ambulance
141.3875 Manukau Ambulance
141.4000 ******* ...unid
141.4125 Auckland city Ambulance
141.4250 Whangarei Ambulance
141.4375 Auckland Ambulance
141.4500 Auckland city / Northshore Ambulance
141.4625 Waikato Ambulance
141.5125 ******* heard callsigns western base and
western mobile2
141.5500 Whenuapai Motor transport channel
141.6625 Whenuapai tower ground operations
141.7000 ******* ...unid
142.0750 RNZAF Auckland Base Ops
142.2750 RNZAF Auckland Base Ops
142.4750 ******* ...unid heard callsign Shakespeare
142.4875 ARC ch5
142.5000 DOC5
142.5125 ******* ...unid heard callsign pine tree
corner Otoretore(sp)
142.5250 ******* ...unid
142.5500 ******* ...unid
142.5750 ARC West Auckland, Waitakere Ranges, Muriwai &
Piha Park Rangers
142.6250 Civil Defence Manukau City
142.6625 Rodney District Council Orewa
143.0000 RNZAF No 75 SQN
143.0500 Civil Defence Waitakere city
143.4625 Hobsonville AirForce base police
143.4750 RNZAF Auckland (military police)
143.4750 RNZAF Auckland
143.5125 143.5125 ESBX41 - 143.9875 ESBX79 Simplex

143.6250 MIR space station primary voice channel
143.7250 Whenuapai Fire emergency, Base emergency net
143.7375 RNZAF Police Auckland simplex
143.7625 RNZN Auckland Transport
143.7750 ******* ...unid
143.9875 ******* ...unid heard medical conv
144.0000 144.0000 - 148.0000 amateur 2m
146.6250 1A Auckland/Taupo/Northland
146.6500 2A
146.6750 3A Waipa area
146.7000 4A Taurangi West/Waikato
146.7250 5A Waitakere City (Welfare)
146.7500 6A Northshore City
146.7750 7A Hamilton/Rotorua
146.8000 8A Matamata/Auckland region
146.8250 9A
146.8500 10A Papakura/Waitomo/Thames
146.8750 11A Waipa/Auckland City
146.9250 13A Red cross
146.9500 14A Thames/Coromandel
146.9750 15A Taupo
147.0000 16A
147.0250 17A
147.0500 18A
147.0775 19A
147.1000 20A
147.4375 21 Manukau/Hamilton/Northland
147.4625 22 Waitakere City/ South Waikato
147.4875 23 Franklin
147.5125 24 Auckland/Waikato/Far North
147.5325 25 Rodney/Matamata Piako
147.5625 26 Waitakere/Wahnagrei/Hamilton
147.5875 27 Thames/Coromandel
147.6125 28 Auckland/Rotorua
147.6375 29 Papakura
147.6625 30 Waitakere/Tauranga/Waikato
148.0000 148.0000 CD1 - 148.5000 CD20 mobile TX
148.0000 148.0000 - 149.0000 mobile-satellite
148.0125 1B Northshore City
148.0125 1 Whakatane
148.0375 2B
148.0625 3 Waitakere
148.0625 3B
148.0875 4 Auckland City
148.0875 4B Matamata Piako
148.1125 5 Opotiki
148.1125 5B
148.1375 6 Tauranga/Bay of Plenty
148.1375 6B
148.1625 7 Whangarei

148.1625 7B
148.1875 8B Auckland region
148.1875 8 Auckland/Taupo
148.2125 9 Otorohanga
148.2125 9B
148.2375 10B Matamata Piako
148.2375 10
148.2625 11B Auckland City
148.2625 11 Auckland City
148.2875 12A SAR simplex
148.2875 12B Northern zone admin
148.2875 12 Police SAR
148.3125 13 Police SAR
148.3125 13B portables
148.3375 14 Rotoroa
148.3375 14B
148.3625 15B
148.3625 15
148.3750 2 Far North/Rotorua/South Waikato
148.3875 16 Bay of Plenty
148.3875 16B
148.4125 17 Waikato
148.4125 17B
148.4375 18 Auckland
148.4375 18B
148.4625 19B
148.4625 19
148.4875 20 Rodney
148.4875 20B
148.8000 148.8000 CDX21 - 149.0750 CDX30
149.3750 149.3750 CD1 - 149.9000 CD20 base TX
149.9000 149.9000 - 150.0000 radionavigation-satellite &
mobile-satellite
150.0625 150.0625 ENX1 - 150.9875 ENX75 Simplex
150.0625 Western Springs Speedway
150.2625 TAB Trackside TV Camera Co-0rdination
150.5750 ctx
150.7125 NZ Army Waiouru Military Police
151.0125 151.0125 EN22 - 153.4 EN213 Base TX
151.0250 ...unid
151.0750 ...unid concrete TX
151.2000 data
151.3375 inversion scrambling
151.4000 data
151.4500 Mercury Energy South Auckland
151.6250 ...unid TX 155.800 Tone 131.8
151.8375 data
152.0000 Taxi
152.0500 Tranz Rail TX 153.830 Tone 173.8
152.0750 gas company TX 153.850 Tone 173.8

152.1750 ...unid
152.2250 Unid TX 154.0050 Tone 141.3
152.3000 Taxi
152.3500 Tranz Rail TX 154.130 Tone 173.8
152.5250 Unid TX 154.300 Tone 131.8
152.6625 data
152.7750 ...unid
152.8000 ...unid TX 154.580
152.8250 Doc TX 154.600 Tone 131.8
152.8500 data
152.900 Unid TX 154.680
152.9500 ...unid TX 154.7350 Tone 141.3
153.0250 Counties Power Pukekohe - Franklin District TX
154.8050 173.8
153.0500 courier TX 154.8350 Tone 114.8
153.0750 Mercury Energy South Auckland
153.1250 Mercury Energy Central Auckland
153.1625 unid TX 154.9425
153.2000 Mercury Energy Central switching TX 154.980
153.2250 Mercury Energy Faults TX 155.0050
153.3250 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
153.3500 ...unid power company
153.4000 ...unid
153.43125 153.43125 ENX76 - 153.59375 ENX89 Simplex
153.60625 153.60625 EN87 - 155.18125 EN213 Mobile TX
155.19375 155.19375 EN22 - 155.99375 EN86 Mobile TX
156.0250 156.0250 MM60 - 156.3500 MM7 marine mobile TX
156.3000 ch06 safety
156.3750 156.3750 MM67 - 156.8750 MM77 marine simplex
156.3750 ch67
156.4000 ch08
156.4250 ch68 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
156.4500 ch09 Timaru port operations
156.4750 ch69 Timaru port operations
156.5000 ch10 Timaru port operations
156.5250 ch70 Auckland Harbour Radio
156.5500 ch11 Timaru port operations
156.5750 ch71 West Coast Maritime radio
156.6000 ch12 Timaru port operations
156.6250 ch72
156.6250 intership channel 72
156.6500 ch13 Timaru port operations
156.6750 ch73
156.7000 ch14
156.7250 ch74 Timaru port operations
156.7500 ch15
156.8000 ch16 calling and safety, monitored by Auckland
Maritime Radio and Volunteer Coast Guard
156.8500 ch17
156.8750 ch77

156.9000 156.9000 MM18 - 157.5750 MM91 marine mobile TX
156.9250 ch78
157.6125 157.6125 WPN1 - 158.0625 WPN37 wide area paging
157.9250 Telecom telepager
157.9500 Telecom telepager
158.0000 Page One? telepager
158.0500 Xacom? Telepager
158.0625 158.0625 MS1 - 158.5750 MS21 Mobile TX
158.3500 marine coastguard link
158.4000 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3000)
158.4250 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3250)
158.6000 158.6000 MS22 - 158.7875 MS29 Simplex
158.6250 ...unid (no voice just TX triggers)
159.1000 159.1000 BW1A - 159.3750 BW12A
159.2750 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3250)
159.8375 91ZMFM van to studio simplex
160.1000 160.1000 - 160.3000 telemetry
160.1000 160.1000 - 160.6000 RRD
160.1625 beeps only, no voice heard
160.2375 data
160.2875 beeps only, no voice heard
160.3000 160.3000 - 160.6000 paging
160.3250 data
160.3875 McDonalds Op TX
160.4375 McDonalds Op TX
160.4625 Wendys Op TX
160.5125 KFC Op TX
160.5625 survey team?
160.5875 McDonalds Op TX
160.6250 160.6250 MM60 - 160.9500 MM120 marine coast TX
160.6250 ch60
160.6500 ch01 monitored by Great Barrier Island
160.6750 ch61
160.7000 ch02 Sealink (TX 156.100 MHz NFM)
160.7250 ch62 ZMH54 Whangamata Coast Guard, ZMH48
Whitianga Coast Guard & Waihi Coast Guard, ZMH35 Tauranga Coast Guard
160.7500 ch03
160.7750 ch63 Waikutu Beach radio
160.8000 ch04 ZMH29 Kawhia Coast Guard (aka Kawhia
Seacrest Rescue)
160.8250 ch64
160.8500 ch05
160.8750 ch65
160.8750 ch66
160.9500 ch07
160.9625 160.9625 MS1 - 161.4875 MS21 Base TX
160.9750 160.9750 - 161.4750 MS1-MS41 Base TX (offset -2.9000

MHz)
161.0000 VHF Marine CH#71 Repeater
161.0250 TV3 Crews
161.2500 VHF Marine CH#68 Repeater
161.3000 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (mobile
158.4000)
161.3250 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (mobile
158.4250)
161.4750 VHF Marine CH#16 Repeater
161.5000 161.5000 MM19 - 162.1750 MM91 marine coast TX
161.5000 ch18
161.5500 ch19
161.5750 ch79
161.6000 ch20 Weather and Auckland Coastguard
161.6250 ch80
161.6500 ch21 Weather and Auckland Coastguard
161.6750 ch81
161.7000 ch22 Sealink (TX 157.100 MHz NFM)
161.7250 ch82
161.7500 ch23 ZMH54 Whangamata Coast Guard, Weather
Broadcasts
161.7750 ch83
161.8000 ch24 Sealink (TX 157.200 MHz NFM)
161.8250 ch84
161.8500 ch25
161.8750 ch85
161.9000 ch26 Sealink (TX 157.300 MHz NFM)
161.9250 ch86 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
161.9500 ch27 Sealink (TX 157.350 MHz NFM)
161.9750 ch87
162.0000 ch28
162.0250 ch88 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
162.6875 Unid TX 167.2875 Tone 136.5
162.2125 162.2125 EE1 - 165.6875 EE279 Base TX
162.8750 data burst
163.2875 Unid TX 176.8875
164.1750 Unid TX 168.7750
164.4375 ECO WORKS Waterworks TX 169.0375 Tone 114.8
165.0875 SPCA
165.7125 165.7125 EEX1 - 166.3875 EEX55 Simplex
166.1875 CTX no voice
166.4125 166.4125 WPN38 - 166.7875 WPN68 paging
166.8125 166.8125 EE1 - 170.2875 EE279 Mobile TX
170.3125 170.3125 EEX88 - 172.9875 EEX302 Simplex
171.3000 country set radio telephone link
171.3750 country set radio telephone link
171.4750 country set radio telephone link
171.5000 country set radio telephone link
171.5750 country set radio telephone link
171.8100 country set radio telephone link

171.9000 country set radio telephone link
172.0000 country set radio telephone link
172.2000 country set radio telephone link
172.5250 country set radio telephone link
173.0000 173.0000 - 174.0000 RRD
173.3000 country set radio telephone link
173.7000 173.7000 BW1B - 173.9750 BW12B
174.0000 174.0000 - 230.0000 TV VHF ch4 - ch11
180.7500 (ch4)
400.0500 400.0500 - 400.1500 standard frequency satellite
400.1500 400.1500 - 401.0000 met-satellite
401.0000 401.0000 - 404.0000 meteorological
404.0000 404.0000 - 406.0000 Alpha TX IA band
406.0000 406.0000 ERIBP beacon-satellite distress
406.1125 406.1125 TD9 - 409.9875 TD319 Mobile TX
410.0000 410.0000 - 412.0000 Alpha TX IB band
411.9875 531PI, Pacific Island Community Radio
412.0000 412.0000 - 414.0000 Beta TX IA band
412.5250 something to do with ConcertFM
412.9250 Ambulance link Northshore to Auckland
412.9625 Access Radio
413.1750 Fire link West Auckland to Auckland control
room
413.7750 ...unid
413.8125 Radio Rhema
414.1125 414.1125 TD9 - 417.9875 TD319 Base TX
418.0000 418.0000 - 420.0000 Beta TX IB band
418.2625 ctx ...unid
418.6375 data
418.6500 data
418.8250 Radio Rhema
418.9500 WFM Sports Roundup
419.0125 music ...unid
420.0000 data
420.0000 420.0000 - 425.0000 Aplha TX IC band
420.0250 Ambulance transmitter to base link
420.2375 scrambled
420.6875 ctx ...unid
420.7125 ctx ...unid
420.8375 ...unid scrambled
420.9625 ctx ...unid scrambled
420.9875 marine calling emergency linked to 2182
421.4125 ctx ...unid
421.6625 ctx ...unid
421.8625 NZ combined fire channel
422.1625 ctx ...unid
422.4250 ctx ...unid
422.5625 National Radio (YC network)
422.6625 ctx ...unid
422.9625 Newstalk ZB

422.9625 Newstalk ZB
425.0000 425.0000 - 430.0000 Beta TX IC band
425.1250 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
425.2750 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
425.7000 ctx ...unid
425.7250 Northland Ambulance link to Auckland
426.4000 ctx data
426.4250 ctx ...unid
426.5000 ctx ...unid
426.6750 ctx ...unid
426.7500 Northshore Police TX link to Auckland city
control room
426.8250 data
427.0000 ...unid
427.0750 ctx ...unid
427.1250 ctx Police central 2 (I band link)
427.1750 ctx ...unid
427.4500 ctx ...unid
427.5000 data
427.6750 ctx ...unid
427.8250 ctx ...unid
429.5375 ctx ...unid
430.0000 430.0000 - 440.0000 radiolocation and amateur 30cm
433.000 433-439 AMATEUR 70CM
440.0000 440.0000 - 448.0000 fixed, mobile, radiolocation,
amateur
448.0000 448.0000 - 449.0000 radiolocation, amateur
449.0000 449.0000 - 449.7500 Simplex JC band
449.75625 449.75625 CNX1 - 449.99375 CNX20 Simplex
449.8125 ...unid
449.8375 crane operator?
449.9125 Mt Eden prison
449.9625 airport shuttle bus
450.0000 450.0000 - 450.2750 Simplex JC band
450.0125 link for marine ch16
450.28125 450.28125 CN1 - 453.29375 CN242 Mobile TX
453.30625 453.30625 CNX21 - 453.61875 CNX46 Simplex
453.3125 Timaru port operations
453.3375 ...unid
453.3875 Timaru port operations
453.5600 Cook Strait Interislander Ferry...intership
communications
453.6250 450.6250 - 454.9750 Alpha TX JA band
454.98125 454.98125 CNX47 - 455.30625 CNX73 Simplex
455.0875 Auckland ambulance (weak signal linked with
141.4125)
455.31875 455.31875 CN1 - 458.33125 CN242 Base TX
455.3500 unid
455.3550 data
455.3750 ...unid

455.4250 Courier
455.4500 ...unid TX 450.4125 Tone 203.5
455.4750 (automotive?) locksmith / alarm installer
(MC88)TX 450.4375 Tone 203.5
455.7250 ...unid
455.7500 Auckland City Council Security Aotea Centre,
Town Hall & Administrative buildings
455.7750 ...unid TX 450.7375 Tone 218.1
455.8250 c/s Tango14 Tango1 Tango2 ... security?
455.8500 Taxi
455.8750 marina security, voice and data
455.9250 Taxi (Nissan base?)
455.9750 ...unid TX 450.9375 Tone 218.1
456.0000 Courier
456.0250 ...unid
456.750
456.1500 taxi/Shuttle TX 451.1125 Tone 218.1
456.1750 Auckland Airport Company TX 451.1350 Tone
218.1
456.1750 ...unid TX 451.1375 Tone 127.3
456.2000 ...unid
456.2250 Dog control? TX451.1850 Tone 218.1
456.2500 Courier TX 451.210 Tone 110.9
456.3000 ...unid
456.3250 Plumber TX 451.2850 Tone 82.5
456.3500 ...unid
456.3750 ...unid Watkins base: comm base: 40L mains
pressure cylinder
456.4000 Auckland Airport Ground Control cross linked
to 121.9000 TX 451.3625 Tone 151.4
456.4250 Mr Pools
456.4500 Courier
456.5000 ARC bus?
456.5500 ...unid TX 451.5125 Tone 151.4
456.5750 Auckland Rescue1
456.5750 Airport fire Auckland (Manukau)
456.6000 Courier
456.6500 ...unid
456.6750 Reid transport TX 451.6375 Tone 151.4
456.7000 ...unid
456.7500 ...unid
456.7750 Courier?
456.8000 truck company of some sort ref road user
charges paid for trailer
456.8500 security TX 451.810 Tone 233.6
456.8750 Courier
456.9000 ...unid
456.9250 ...unid
456.9500 ...unid
456.9750 ...unid

457.0250 ...unid
457.0500 United and Pacific ground staff and Rental
cars, Auckland Airport
457.1000 ...unid
457.1250 ...unid
457.1750 milk tanker TX 452.1350 Tone 127.3
457.2500 ...unid
457.2750 data
457.2875 ...unid
457.3750 Hawthorne Transport TX 452.3350 Tone 218.1
457.4000 ...unid
457.4500 ...unid
457.5000 ...unid
457.5250 ...unid
457.6250 ...unid
457.6500 ...unid
457.6750 ...unid
457.7000 ...unid TX 452.660 Tone 77.0
457.7250 ...unid
457.7500 A.G Walter & Sons (cartage contractors)
457.7750 data
457.8000 Couriers TX 452.6725 Tone 141.3
457.8500 ...unid
457.8750 ...unid
457.9250 truck haulage company? Central North Island
458.0000 ...unid
458.0500 ...unid
458.0750 ...unid Tx 453.0375 Tone 151.4
458.1500 Auckland City Council Noise control
458.1750 Auckland City Council Animal Control/Dog
Ranger TX453.1350 tone 203.5
458.2250 Traffic parking control including tow trucks &
parking wardens
458.2500 ...unid TX 453.2125 Tone 127.3
458.2750 ...unid
458.3000 Western cabs (Taxi)
458.34375 458.34375 DNX1 - 458.53125 DNX16 Simplex
458.3750 ...unid
458.4250 DX4 ... Port Ops - Stevedores
458.5000 DX7 ... Security??
458.5400 458.5400 - 458.6100 RRD, paging
458.5400 458.5400 - 458.6100 RRD
458.61875 458.61875 DNX17 - 458.65625 DNX20 Simplex
458.6750 458.6750 - Beta TX JA band Alpha TX JD band -461.4750
Simplex JE band
459.0375 ctx
459.1125 ctx
459.2875 (459.275-459.2875)ctx 1000Hz
459.4125 (459.4-459.425)ctx 1000Hz
459.4375 Yellow bus company

459.5875 ctx
459.7625 ctx
459.8375 ctx
460.2500 Today FM (99.8)...studio broadcast link
460.3125 ctx
460.5125 ctx
460.5500 Radio Pacific (xx.x)...studio broadcast link
460.7000 data
460.7000 data
460.8000 interference
460.8000 ctx
461.0000 data
461.0000 data
461.1125 ctx
461.1750 Taxi
461.2000 ctx
461.48125 461.48125 DNX21 - 461.80625 DNX47 Simplex
461.5375 Container Terminal
461.81875 461.81875 DN1 - 464.80625 DN240 Base TX
461.8250 Birkenhead Transport LTD (Buses)
461.8750 Taxi
461.9000 ...unid TX 467.0875 Tone 218.1
462.0750 courier TX 467.2625 Tone 186.2
462.1500 ...unid
462.1750 Taxi (south Auckland?)
462.2000 Electrical TX 467.3875 Tone 151.4
462.2250 Taxi TX 467.4125 Tone 151.4
462.2750 a glass company??
462.3500 Tow truck
462.3750 courier
462.4000 ...unid
462.4250 Yellow bus
462.4750 ...unid TX 467.6650 Tone 233.6
462.5000 Taxi
462.5500 Hometune channel 2 TX 467.7375 Tone 171.3
462.6500 courier?
462.6750 University of Auckland campus security
462.7000 ...unid ... mobile truck repair TX 467.8875
Tone 162.2
462.7250 courier/freight
462.7500 sub60 TX467.9125 Tone 114.3
462.7750 Taxi (southern area)
462.8000 Yellow bus
462.8250 Goddards Transport TX 468.0125 Tone 114.8
462.8500 courier
462.9750 ...unid
463.0000 ...unid
463.0250 Yellow bus
463.0500 AirNZ baggage
463.0500 AirNZ baggage

463.0500 AirNZ baggage
463.1000 ...unid
463.1250 ...unid TX 468.3125 Tone 162.2
463.2500 Safe guard security
463.2750 Taxi
463.3250 ...unid
463.3500 ...unid
463.3750 ...unid
463.4000 NZ Couriers TX 468.5875 Tone 218.1
463.4500 Rodney Security (orewa area)
463.5250 ...unid
463.5500 Car wreckers net
463.6000 ...unid
463.6750 ...unid 468.8625 Tone 218.1
463.7000 ...unid
463.7250 unid ... aircraft / stores
463.7750 MAF Fisheries officers
463.9500 Taxi
464.000 Unid TX 469.1875 Tone 186.2
464.0500 North shore Taxi Ltd
464.0750 ...unid TX 469.2650 Tone 141.3
464.1250 Yellow bus TX 469.3125 Tone 171.3
464.1750 ...unid
464.2000 ...unid
464.2200 ...unid TX 469.410 Tone 141.3
464.2250 Taxi
464.2500 St Lukes Shopping Centre security
464.3000 courier
464.3250 ...unid TX 469.5150 Tone 141.3
464.3500 Paremoremo prison
464.3501
464.3750 ...unid
464.4000 Bristows Transport TX 469.5875 Tone 171.3
464.5000 ...unid
464.5500 ...unid
464.6250 ...unid
464.6500 ...unid
464.6750 ...unid TX 469.8650 Tone 141.3
464.7500 Taxi
464.81875 464.81875 DNX48 - 465.18125 DNX77 Simplex
465.1900 465.1900 - Simplex JF band Beta TX JD band
-466.67500 Simplex JG band
465.3125 Radio Pacific studio broadcast link
465.3250 ...unid
465.3625 TVNZ technical link
465.4500 ...unid
466.6000 data
466.68125 466.68125 DNX78 - 46.79375 DNX87 Simplex
466.8000 466.8000 - 466.8500 RRD, telemetry
466.8000 466.8000 - 466.8500 RRD

466.8250 ...unid
466.85625 466.85625 DNX88 - 466.99375 DNX99 Simplex
466.8625 ...unid
467.00625 467.00625 DN1 - 469.99375 DN240 Mobile TX
470.0000 470.0000 - 470.5000 RRD, medical telemetry
470.0000 470.0000 - 470.5000 RRD
470.0000 ...unid
470.4000 ...unid
470.50625 470.50625 FNX101 - 470.99375 FNX140 Simplex
470.8250 ...unid
470.8500 ... unid cup village construction
470.8500 ...unid
470.9500 Dept of Defence Bombing range common
470.9750 crane ops
471.0000 471.0000 - 471.5000 RRD
471.0000 471.0000 - 471.5000 RRD
471.2250 data
471.50625 471.50625 FNX201 - 471.99375 FNX240 Simplex
471.7000 data
471.8000 TV3?
471.9000 TV3 "Live Eye"
471.9250 TV3?
471.9625 marine link (sealink)
472.00625 472.00625 FN1 - 475.99375 FN320 Mobile TX
476.00625 476.00625 FNX301 - 476.39375 FNX332 Simplex
476.4000 476.4000 PRS1 - 477.4000 PRS40
476.4250 CH1 PRS
476.4500 CH2 PRS
476.4750 CH3 PRS
476.5000 CH4 PRS
476.5250 CH5 PRS
476.5500 CH6 PRS
476.5750 CH7 PRS
476.6000 CH8 PRS
476.6250 CH9 PRS
476.6500 CH10 PRS
476.6750 CH11 PRS
476.7000 CH12 PRS
476.7250 CH13 PRS
476.7500 CH14 PRS
476.7750 CH15 PRS
476.8000 CH16 PRS
476.8250 CH17 PRS
476.8500 CH18 PRS
476.8750 CH19 PRS
476.9000 CH20 PRS
476.9250 CH21 PRS
476.9500 CH22 PRS
476.9750 CH23 PRS
477.0000 CH24 PRS

477.0250 CH25 PRS
477.0500 CH26 PRS
477.0750 CH27 PRS
477.1000 CH28 PRS
477.1250 CH29 PRS
477.1500 CH30 PRS
477.1750 CH31 PRS
477.2000 CH32 PRS
477.2250 CH33 PRS
477.2500 CH34 PRS
477.2750 CH35 PRS
477.3000 CH36 PRS
477.3250 CH37 PRS
477.3500 CH38 PRS
477.3750 CH39 PRS
477.4000 CH40 PRS
477.43125 477.43125 FNX401 - 477.98125 FNX445 Simplex
477.99375 477.99375 FN1 - 481.98125 FN320 Base TX
478.0000 ...unid
478.0875 ...unid
478.1000 ch 1 ...unid TX 472.110 Tone 123.0
478.1875 data
478.2000 data
478.3250 ...unid
478.4875 ...unid
478.7500 Philpott transport TX 472.760 Tone 123.0
479.4000 Taxi
480.0375 TX long dist
480.0500 ...unid TX 474.060 Tone 131.8
480.7000 ...unid
481.0750 security TX 475.0850 Tone 114.8
481.4250 courier TX 475.4350 Tone 162.2
481.7500 ...unid
481.99375 481.99375 FNX501 - 483.98125 FNX660 Simplex
483.99375 483.99375 FN321 - 487.08125 FN640 Base TX
484.0000 Moving Pictures Producer suite to camera crews
484.0250 SKY TV producer to camera
484.0750 Moving Pictures Producer suite to camera crews
484.1250 TVNZ
484.1500 plumber?
484.1750 TVNZ Camera crews
484.2000 Security, Delta callsigns
484.2125 data
484.2250 data
484.2375 data
484.2500 ...unid
484.2625 data
484.2750 data
484.3250 ...unid
484.3750 ...unid

484.4250 automotive spare parts net
484.4500 courier?
484.4750 ...unid
484.5750 data
484.6000 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.6750 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.7000 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.7500 ...unid
484.8250 Taxi?
484.8500 Taxi?
484.8625 data
484.8750 data
485.0000 ...unid
485.0875 data
485.1000 data
485.2000 North1 Police
485.2250 West1 Police
485.2500 City1 Police
485.2750 Manukau Police
485.3250 City3 Police
485.3500 City2 Police
485.3750 City1 Police
485.4000 North1 Police
485.4250 North1 Police
485.4500 ...unid
485.4625 long dist TX
485.5000 data
485.5250 data
485.5500 ...unid
485.5625 data
485.5750 data
485.6000 Hollands Stationary
485.6250 ...unid
485.6625 ...unid
485.6750 ...unid
485.7000 ...unid
485.7500 fastway courier
485.7750 ...unid
485.8500 courier
485.9000 South Police
485.9250 West2 Police
485.9500 City1 Police
485.9750 City1 Police
486.0000 City3 Police
486.0250 Airport Security
486.0250 Airport Police
486.0500 City1 Police
486.1000 ...unid
486.2000 Atlas concrete
486.2500 ...unid

486.2750 courier international airport
486.3250 data
486.3375 data
486.3500 ...unid
486.5000 ...unid
486.5500 City2 Police
486.5750 Papkura Police
486.6000 City2 Police
486.6250 City3 Police
486.6500 City3 Police
486.6750 West1 Police
486.7000 City3 Police
486.7250 City2 Police
486.7750 City2 Police
486.8000 courier?
486.8250 ...unid
486.8625 data
486.8750 data
486.9750 data
486.9875 data
487.1250 glass company (Auckland Glass Ltd)
487.1625 data
487.1750 data
487.2000 ...unid
487.2250 data
487.2500 North2 Police
487.2750 Manukau Police
487.3000 Papakura Police
487.3250 South Police
487.3500 South Police
487.3750 West2 Police
487.4625 data
487.4750 data
487.5000 ...unid
487.5750 ...unid
487.7000 ...unid
487.7375 data
487.7500 data
487.7750 data
487.8000 ...unid
487.8500 Papakura Police
487.99375 487.99375 FNX701 - 489.99375 FNX861 Simplex
488.0000 Police Simplex Laser operators talking to
mobiles chasing
488.0250 ...unid use
488.0500 ...unid use
488.0750 ...unid use
488.1000 Police Simplex Special operations
488.1250 suspect police use
488.1750 suspect police use

488.2000 Police Simplex Special operations (usually
speed lasers)
488.2250 suspect police use
488.2500 TVNZ helicopter & camera crews
488.2750 TVNZ camera crews
488.4500 ...unid
488.7000 ...unid
488.8750 ...unid duplex
489.0000 ...unid scrambled
490.00625 490.00625 FN321 - 493.99375 FN640 Mobile TX
494.01875 494.01875 FP1 - 497.49375 FP279 Mobile TX
497.5125 497.5125 FPX1 - 497.9875 FPX39 Simplex
498.0125 498.0125 FP1 - 501.4875 FP279 Base TX
500.6750 ...unid
501.5125 501.5125 FPX101 - 501.9875 FPX139 Simplex
502.0000 502.0000 - 806.0000 UHF TV
524.7500 (ch27) Sky Movies (HBO)
556.7500 (ch31) Sky Sport (ESPN)
600.0000 NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) new liquid
helium cooled
636.7500 (ch41) Triangle TV
652.7500 (ch43) Sky News (CNN)
684.7500 (ch47) MTV (off air June 1998)
700.7500 (ch49) Max TV (off air 3 Dec 1997)
716.7500 (ch51) Sky 1 (was called Orange)
748.7500 (ch55) Sky Discovery/TAB
780.7500 (ch59) Prime TV
806.0000 806.0000 - 812.0000 Simplex KK band
812.0125 812.0125 ESD241 - 812.9875 ESD280 Mobile TX
813.0000 813.0000 - 814.0000 ES/TS expansion
814.0125 814.0125 TS321 - 813.9875 TS520 Mobile TX
819.0000 819.0000 - 824.0000 RRD
819.0000 819.0000 - 824.0000 RRD
824.0000 824.0000 - 825.0150 AMPS ch 991-1023
824.0000 824-849 CELLPHONE TX 869-894 CELLPHONE RX TX/RX
SEPARATION 45 MHz CHANNEL SPACING 30 KHz
825.0300 825.0300 AMPS1 - 844.9800 AMPS686 mobile TX
845.0000 845.0000 - 849.0000 alternative pairing for US
cellular
849.0000 849-851 GROUND TO AIR AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS
851.0000 851.0000 - 857.0000 Beta TX KK band
857.0125 857.0125 ESD241 - 857.9875 ESD280 Base TX
858.0000 858.0000 - 859.0000 ES/TS expansion
859.0125 859.0125 TS321 - 863.9875 TS520 Base TX
860.1375 Port of Auckland
861.1375 Port of Auckland
862.1375 Port of Auckland
862.6375 Port of Auckland
863.1375 Port of Auckland
863.6375 Port of Auckland

864.0000 864.1500 CT2-1 - 868.0500 CT2-40 cordless phone
864.0000 864.0000 - 868.0000 CT2 CORDLESS TELEPHONE (PABX) 200
M RANGE
868.1125 868.1125 TX1 - 869.0125 TX37 Simplex
869.0250 869.0250 AMPS expansion ch 991-1023
870.0300 870.0300 AMPS1 - 889.9800 AMPS686 base TX
890.2000 890.2000 GSM1 - 914.8000 GSM124 mobile TX
915.0000 915.0000 - 921.0000 K band
921.0000 921.0000 - 929.0000 ISM, RRD, amateur
921.0000 921.0000 - 929.0000 ISM & RRD
929.0000 929.0000 - 931.7000 K band
931.7125 931.7125 KP1 - 937.9875 KP12 paging
932.0000 932.0000 - 935.0000 K band
935.2000 935.2000 GSM1 - 959.8000 GSM124 base TX
960.0000 960.0000 - 1215.0000 aeronautical radionavigation
.0000 TRAFFIC X BAND RADAR

4860. some new zealand commercial freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 05:52:05 UTC
From: Malamute <malamute@malamute.dog>
Message-ID: <dfvjqssv6s4fmo5pvqbtjd3mqcohs2ansd@4ax.com>
Source record: 80902

updated 02 February 1999 @2305

118.0000 118.0000 ACX1 - 129.9750 ACX480 aeronautical
communications
118.0000 North Shore
118.1000 Ardmore
118.5000 Auckland info
118.7000 Auckland tower
118.9000 Gliders
119.9000 Whenuapai Tower
120.1000 ex-Ardmore, now unused
120.4000 Auckland VFR (including police helicopters)
120.5000 Auckland approach
121.5000 Distress
121.7000 Air NZ Airlines
121.7000 Air NZ
121.9000 Auckland ground
122.7000 Calibration
123.0000 Auckland apron
123.4000 plane to plane
123.4500 plane to plane
123.9000 Auckland area control
124.3000 Auckland approach
125.5000 Auckland info south
125.7000 Whenuapai Precision approach radar
126.0000 Auckland area control
127.0000 Auckland ground
127.1000 Auckland info north
127.8000 Auckland ATIS
128.3000 Whenupai ATIS
128.9000 Asia Pacific Airlines
128.9000 Asia Pacific
129.4000 National control
129.6000 Auckland approach
129.9000 Mechcanics Bay
130.0250 130.0250 ARX1 - 131.9750 ARX79 aeronautical
communications
130.1000 Americas Cup control Primary
130.2000 Polynesian Air Airlines
130.2000 Polynesian Air
130.3000 Americas Cup control Secondary
130.3000 Air NZ (domestic) Airlines
130.3000 Air NZ (domestic)
130.3500 Air NZ (domestic) Airlines

130.3500
131.0000 Eagle Airlines
131.0000 Eagle
131.3000 Traffic reports (Auckland)
131.4000 United
131.5500 New Zealand ACARS
131.6000 NZ Post Airlines
131.6000 NZ Post
131.8000 Mt Cook Airlines
131.8000 Mt Cook
131.9000 Air NZ (international)
131.9000 Air NZ (international)
132.0250 132.0250 AR1 - 132.5750 AR23 aeronautical repeaters
base TX
132.6250 132.6250 ARX80 - 135.3750 ARX190 aeronautical
communications
132.9000 Auckland ramp
133.0000 Auckland fuel
133.7500 Ansett Airlines
133.7500 Ansett
133.8500 Sky Care Airlines
133.8500 Sky Care
133.9500 Ogden Aviation Services
133.9500 Ogden Aviation Services
134.0500 Quantas Airlines
134.5000 Whenuapai Tower
135.1000 Whenuapai Ops
135.2000 "Pidgeon" (42 sqd ops)
135.3000 Parachute ops
135.3500 ...unid
135.4000 ...unid
135.4250 135.4250 AR1 - 135.9750 AR23 aeronautical repeaters
mobile TX
136.0000 136.0000 - 137.0000 aeronautical communications
137.0000 137.0000 - 138.0000 mobile-satellite &
meteorological-satellite
137.3000 METEOR 3/4 Weather satellite
137.4000 OKEAN 4 (1-7) Weather (sometimes SICH1 can be
heard)
137.5000 NOAA 10/12 Weather
137.6200 NOAA 9/11/14 Weather
137.8500 METEOR 3-5 Weather
138.0125 138.0125 ESB1 - 140.5000 ESB200 Mobile TX
139.1000 RNZAF Red Checkers Display team
139.7000 RNZAF Red Checkers Display team
140.1000 "Albatross" (5 sqd ops)
140.1000 RNZAF 5 sqd ops
140.3000 RNZAF 14 SQN
140.3000 RNZAF No 14 SQN
140.4000 RNZAF 2 SQN

140.4500 Ambulance? or Fire simplex?
140.5000 RNZAF 3 sqd ops
140.5125 140.5125 ESBX1 - 141.0000 ESBX40 Simplex
140.5500 ******* ...unid
140.5750 ******* very weak signal at Auckland
140.6000 ******* Group4 NorthShore?? NAVY security
140.6000 RNZAF/Navy Tactical
140.6500 ******* ...unid datastream
140.6625 ******* heard conv DUA, Conalee unit specimen
to the lab
140.8250 Whenuapai Navy and RNZAF Police simplex
140.8500 RNZN HMS Philamore Auckland
141.0125 (ESB1)-143.5000(ESB200) Base TX
141.3250 First Aid Units
141.3375 Coromandel Ambulance
141.3500 Papakura Ambulance
141.3625 Ambulance BOP Whitianga callsign
141.3750 Manukau Ambulance
141.3875 Manukau Ambulance
141.4000 ******* ...unid
141.4125 Auckland city Ambulance
141.4250 Whangarei Ambulance
141.4375 Auckland Ambulance
141.4500 Auckland city / Northshore Ambulance
141.4625 Waikato Ambulance
141.5125 ******* heard callsigns western base and
western mobile2
141.5500 Whenuapai Motor transport channel
141.6625 Whenuapai tower ground operations
141.7000 ******* ...unid
142.0750 RNZAF Auckland Base Ops
142.2750 RNZAF Auckland Base Ops
142.4750 ******* ...unid heard callsign Shakespeare
142.4875 ARC ch5
142.5000 DOC5
142.5125 ******* ...unid heard callsign pine tree
corner Otoretore(sp)
142.5250 ******* ...unid
142.5500 ******* ...unid
142.5750 ARC West Auckland, Waitakere Ranges, Muriwai &
Piha Park Rangers
142.6250 Civil Defence Manukau City
142.6625 Rodney District Council Orewa
143.0000 RNZAF No 75 SQN
143.0500 Civil Defence Waitakere city
143.4625 Hobsonville AirForce base police
143.4750 RNZAF Auckland (military police)
143.4750 RNZAF Auckland
143.5125 143.5125 ESBX41 - 143.9875 ESBX79 Simplex
143.6250 MIR space station primary voice channel

143.7250 Whenuapai Fire emergency, Base emergency net
143.7375 RNZAF Police Auckland simplex
143.7625 RNZN Auckland Transport
143.7750 ******* ...unid
143.9875 ******* ...unid heard medical conv
144.0000 144.0000 - 148.0000 amateur 2m
146.6250 1A Auckland/Taupo/Northland
146.6500 2A
146.6750 3A Waipa area
146.7000 4A Taurangi West/Waikato
146.7250 5A Waitakere City (Welfare)
146.7500 6A Northshore City
146.7750 7A Hamilton/Rotorua
146.8000 8A Matamata/Auckland region
146.8250 9A
146.8500 10A Papakura/Waitomo/Thames
146.8750 11A Waipa/Auckland City
146.9250 13A Red cross
146.9500 14A Thames/Coromandel
146.9750 15A Taupo
147.0000 16A
147.0250 17A
147.0500 18A
147.0775 19A
147.1000 20A
147.4375 21 Manukau/Hamilton/Northland
147.4625 22 Waitakere City/ South Waikato
147.4875 23 Franklin
147.5125 24 Auckland/Waikato/Far North
147.5325 25 Rodney/Matamata Piako
147.5625 26 Waitakere/Wahnagrei/Hamilton
147.5875 27 Thames/Coromandel
147.6125 28 Auckland/Rotorua
147.6375 29 Papakura
147.6625 30 Waitakere/Tauranga/Waikato
148.0000 148.0000 CD1 - 148.5000 CD20 mobile TX
148.0000 148.0000 - 149.0000 mobile-satellite
148.0125 1B Northshore City
148.0125 1 Whakatane
148.0375 2B
148.0625 3 Waitakere
148.0625 3B
148.0875 4 Auckland City
148.0875 4B Matamata Piako
148.1125 5 Opotiki
148.1125 5B
148.1375 6 Tauranga/Bay of Plenty
148.1375 6B
148.1625 7 Whangarei
148.1625 7B

148.1875 8B Auckland region
148.1875 8 Auckland/Taupo
148.2125 9 Otorohanga
148.2125 9B
148.2375 10B Matamata Piako
148.2375 10
148.2625 11B Auckland City
148.2625 11 Auckland City
148.2875 12A SAR simplex
148.2875 12B Northern zone admin
148.2875 12 Police SAR
148.3125 13 Police SAR
148.3125 13B portables
148.3375 14 Rotoroa
148.3375 14B
148.3625 15B
148.3625 15
148.3750 2 Far North/Rotorua/South Waikato
148.3875 16 Bay of Plenty
148.3875 16B
148.4125 17 Waikato
148.4125 17B
148.4375 18 Auckland
148.4375 18B
148.4625 19B
148.4625 19
148.4875 20 Rodney
148.4875 20B
148.8000 148.8000 CDX21 - 149.0750 CDX30
149.3750 149.3750 CD1 - 149.9000 CD20 base TX
149.9000 149.9000 - 150.0000 radionavigation-satellite &
mobile-satellite
150.0625 150.0625 ENX1 - 150.9875 ENX75 Simplex
150.0625 Western Springs Speedway
150.2625 TAB Trackside TV Camera Co-0rdination
150.5750 ctx
150.7125 NZ Army Waiouru Military Police
151.0125 151.0125 EN22 - 153.4 EN213 Base TX
151.0250 ...unid
151.0750 ...unid concrete TX
151.2000 data
151.3375 inversion scrambling
151.4000 data
151.4500 Mercury Energy South Auckland
151.6250 ...unid TX 155.800 Tone 131.8
151.8375 data
152.0000 Taxi
152.0500 Tranz Rail TX 153.830 Tone 173.8
152.0750 gas company TX 153.850 Tone 173.8
152.1750 ...unid

152.2250 Unid TX 154.0050 Tone 141.3
152.3000 Taxi
152.3500 Tranz Rail TX 154.130 Tone 173.8
152.5250 Unid TX 154.300 Tone 131.8
152.6625 data
152.7750 ...unid
152.8000 ...unid TX 154.580
152.8250 Doc TX 154.600 Tone 131.8
152.8500 data
152.900 Unid TX 154.680
152.9500 ...unid TX 154.7350 Tone 141.3
153.0250 Counties Power Pukekohe - Franklin District TX
154.8050 173.8
153.0500 courier TX 154.8350 Tone 114.8
153.0750 Mercury Energy South Auckland
153.1250 Mercury Energy Central Auckland
153.1625 unid TX 154.9425
153.2000 Mercury Energy Central switching TX 154.980
153.2250 Mercury Energy Faults TX 155.0050
153.3250 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
153.3500 ...unid power company
153.4000 ...unid
153.43125 153.43125 ENX76 - 153.59375 ENX89 Simplex
153.60625 153.60625 EN87 - 155.18125 EN213 Mobile TX
155.19375 155.19375 EN22 - 155.99375 EN86 Mobile TX
156.0250 156.0250 MM60 - 156.3500 MM7 marine mobile TX
156.3000 ch06 safety
156.3750 156.3750 MM67 - 156.8750 MM77 marine simplex
156.3750 ch67
156.4000 ch08
156.4250 ch68 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
156.4500 ch09 Timaru port operations
156.4750 ch69 Timaru port operations
156.5000 ch10 Timaru port operations
156.5250 ch70 Auckland Harbour Radio
156.5500 ch11 Timaru port operations
156.5750 ch71 West Coast Maritime radio
156.6000 ch12 Timaru port operations
156.6250 ch72
156.6250 intership channel 72
156.6500 ch13 Timaru port operations
156.6750 ch73
156.7000 ch14
156.7250 ch74 Timaru port operations
156.7500 ch15
156.8000 ch16 calling and safety, monitored by Auckland
Maritime Radio and Volunteer Coast Guard
156.8500 ch17
156.8750 ch77
156.9000 156.9000 MM18 - 157.5750 MM91 marine mobile TX

156.9250 ch78
157.6125 157.6125 WPN1 - 158.0625 WPN37 wide area paging
157.9250 Telecom telepager
157.9500 Telecom telepager
158.0000 Page One? telepager
158.0500 Xacom? Telepager
158.0625 158.0625 MS1 - 158.5750 MS21 Mobile TX
158.3500 marine coastguard link
158.4000 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3000)
158.4250 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3250)
158.6000 158.6000 MS22 - 158.7875 MS29 Simplex
158.6250 ...unid (no voice just TX triggers)
159.1000 159.1000 BW1A - 159.3750 BW12A
159.2750 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (base
161.3250)
159.8375 91ZMFM van to studio simplex
160.1000 160.1000 - 160.3000 telemetry
160.1000 160.1000 - 160.6000 RRD
160.1625 beeps only, no voice heard
160.2375 data
160.2875 beeps only, no voice heard
160.3000 160.3000 - 160.6000 paging
160.3250 data
160.3875 McDonalds Op TX
160.4375 McDonalds Op TX
160.4625 Wendys Op TX
160.5125 KFC Op TX
160.5625 survey team?
160.5875 McDonalds Op TX
160.6250 160.6250 MM60 - 160.9500 MM120 marine coast TX
160.6250 ch60
160.6500 ch01 monitored by Great Barrier Island
160.6750 ch61
160.7000 ch02 Sealink (TX 156.100 MHz NFM)
160.7250 ch62 ZMH54 Whangamata Coast Guard, ZMH48
Whitianga Coast Guard & Waihi Coast Guard, ZMH35 Tauranga Coast Guard
160.7500 ch03
160.7750 ch63 Waikutu Beach radio
160.8000 ch04 ZMH29 Kawhia Coast Guard (aka Kawhia
Seacrest Rescue)
160.8250 ch64
160.8500 ch05
160.8750 ch65
160.8750 ch66
160.9500 ch07
160.9625 160.9625 MS1 - 161.4875 MS21 Base TX
160.9750 160.9750 - 161.4750 MS1-MS41 Base TX (offset -2.9000
MHz)

161.0000 VHF Marine CH#71 Repeater
161.0250 TV3 Crews
161.2500 VHF Marine CH#68 Repeater
161.3000 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (mobile
158.4000)
161.3250 Auckland Motorway Breakdown Phone Link (mobile
158.4250)
161.4750 VHF Marine CH#16 Repeater
161.5000 161.5000 MM19 - 162.1750 MM91 marine coast TX
161.5000 ch18
161.5500 ch19
161.5750 ch79
161.6000 ch20 Weather and Auckland Coastguard
161.6250 ch80
161.6500 ch21 Weather and Auckland Coastguard
161.6750 ch81
161.7000 ch22 Sealink (TX 157.100 MHz NFM)
161.7250 ch82
161.7500 ch23 ZMH54 Whangamata Coast Guard, Weather
Broadcasts
161.7750 ch83
161.8000 ch24 Sealink (TX 157.200 MHz NFM)
161.8250 ch84
161.8500 ch25
161.8750 ch85
161.9000 ch26 Sealink (TX 157.300 MHz NFM)
161.9250 ch86 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
161.9500 ch27 Sealink (TX 157.350 MHz NFM)
161.9750 ch87
162.0000 ch28
162.0250 ch88 monitored by Volunteer Coast Guard
162.6875 Unid TX 167.2875 Tone 136.5
162.2125 162.2125 EE1 - 165.6875 EE279 Base TX
162.8750 data burst
163.2875 Unid TX 176.8875
164.1750 Unid TX 168.7750
164.4375 ECO WORKS Waterworks TX 169.0375 Tone 114.8
165.0875 SPCA
165.7125 165.7125 EEX1 - 166.3875 EEX55 Simplex
166.1875 CTX no voice
166.4125 166.4125 WPN38 - 166.7875 WPN68 paging
166.8125 166.8125 EE1 - 170.2875 EE279 Mobile TX
170.3125 170.3125 EEX88 - 172.9875 EEX302 Simplex
171.3000 country set radio telephone link
171.3750 country set radio telephone link
171.4750 country set radio telephone link
171.5000 country set radio telephone link
171.5750 country set radio telephone link
171.8100 country set radio telephone link
171.9000 country set radio telephone link

172.0000 country set radio telephone link
172.2000 country set radio telephone link
172.5250 country set radio telephone link
173.0000 173.0000 - 174.0000 RRD
173.3000 country set radio telephone link
173.7000 173.7000 BW1B - 173.9750 BW12B
174.0000 174.0000 - 230.0000 TV VHF ch4 - ch11
180.7500 (ch4)
400.0500 400.0500 - 400.1500 standard frequency satellite
400.1500 400.1500 - 401.0000 met-satellite
401.0000 401.0000 - 404.0000 meteorological
404.0000 404.0000 - 406.0000 Alpha TX IA band
406.0000 406.0000 ERIBP beacon-satellite distress
406.1125 406.1125 TD9 - 409.9875 TD319 Mobile TX
410.0000 410.0000 - 412.0000 Alpha TX IB band
411.9875 531PI, Pacific Island Community Radio
412.0000 412.0000 - 414.0000 Beta TX IA band
412.5250 something to do with ConcertFM
412.9250 Ambulance link Northshore to Auckland
412.9625 Access Radio
413.1750 Fire link West Auckland to Auckland control
room
413.7750 ...unid
413.8125 Radio Rhema
414.1125 414.1125 TD9 - 417.9875 TD319 Base TX
418.0000 418.0000 - 420.0000 Beta TX IB band
418.2625 ctx ...unid
418.6375 data
418.6500 data
418.8250 Radio Rhema
418.9500 WFM Sports Roundup
419.0125 music ...unid
420.0000 data
420.0000 420.0000 - 425.0000 Aplha TX IC band
420.0250 Ambulance transmitter to base link
420.2375 scrambled
420.6875 ctx ...unid
420.7125 ctx ...unid
420.8375 ...unid scrambled
420.9625 ctx ...unid scrambled
420.9875 marine calling emergency linked to 2182
421.4125 ctx ...unid
421.6625 ctx ...unid
421.8625 NZ combined fire channel
422.1625 ctx ...unid
422.4250 ctx ...unid
422.5625 National Radio (YC network)
422.6625 ctx ...unid
422.9625 Newstalk ZB
422.9625 Newstalk ZB

425.0000 425.0000 - 430.0000 Beta TX IC band
425.1250 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
425.2750 Surf Rescue Auckland Beaches
425.7000 ctx ...unid
425.7250 Northland Ambulance link to Auckland
426.4000 ctx data
426.4250 ctx ...unid
426.5000 ctx ...unid
426.6750 ctx ...unid
426.7500 Northshore Police TX link to Auckland city
control room
426.8250 data
427.0000 ...unid
427.0750 ctx ...unid
427.1250 ctx Police central 2 (I band link)
427.1750 ctx ...unid
427.4500 ctx ...unid
427.5000 data
427.6750 ctx ...unid
427.8250 ctx ...unid
429.5375 ctx ...unid
430.0000 430.0000 - 440.0000 radiolocation and amateur 30cm
433.000 433-439 AMATEUR 70CM
440.0000 440.0000 - 448.0000 fixed, mobile, radiolocation,
amateur
448.0000 448.0000 - 449.0000 radiolocation, amateur
449.0000 449.0000 - 449.7500 Simplex JC band
449.75625 449.75625 CNX1 - 449.99375 CNX20 Simplex
449.8125 ...unid
449.8375 crane operator?
449.9125 Mt Eden prison
449.9625 airport shuttle bus
450.0000 450.0000 - 450.2750 Simplex JC band
450.0125 link for marine ch16
450.28125 450.28125 CN1 - 453.29375 CN242 Mobile TX
453.30625 453.30625 CNX21 - 453.61875 CNX46 Simplex
453.3125 Timaru port operations
453.3375 ...unid
453.3875 Timaru port operations
453.5600 Cook Strait Interislander Ferry...intership
communications
453.6250 450.6250 - 454.9750 Alpha TX JA band
454.98125 454.98125 CNX47 - 455.30625 CNX73 Simplex
455.0875 Auckland ambulance (weak signal linked with
141.4125)
455.31875 455.31875 CN1 - 458.33125 CN242 Base TX
455.3500 unid
455.3550 data
455.3750 ...unid
455.4250 Courier

455.4500 ...unid TX 450.4125 Tone 203.5
455.4750 (automotive?) locksmith / alarm installer
(MC88)TX 450.4375 Tone 203.5
455.7250 ...unid
455.7500 Auckland City Council Security Aotea Centre,
Town Hall & Administrative buildings
455.7750 ...unid TX 450.7375 Tone 218.1
455.8250 c/s Tango14 Tango1 Tango2 ... security?
455.8500 Taxi
455.8750 marina security, voice and data
455.9250 Taxi (Nissan base?)
455.9750 ...unid TX 450.9375 Tone 218.1
456.0000 Courier
456.0250 ...unid
456.750
456.1500 taxi/Shuttle TX 451.1125 Tone 218.1
456.1750 Auckland Airport Company TX 451.1350 Tone
218.1
456.1750 ...unid TX 451.1375 Tone 127.3
456.2000 ...unid
456.2250 Dog control? TX451.1850 Tone 218.1
456.2500 Courier TX 451.210 Tone 110.9
456.3000 ...unid
456.3250 Plumber TX 451.2850 Tone 82.5
456.3500 ...unid
456.3750 ...unid Watkins base: comm base: 40L mains
pressure cylinder
456.4000 Auckland Airport Ground Control cross linked
to 121.9000 TX 451.3625 Tone 151.4
456.4250 Mr Pools
456.4500 Courier
456.5000 ARC bus?
456.5500 ...unid TX 451.5125 Tone 151.4
456.5750 Auckland Rescue1
456.5750 Airport fire Auckland (Manukau)
456.6000 Courier
456.6500 ...unid
456.6750 Reid transport TX 451.6375 Tone 151.4
456.7000 ...unid
456.7500 ...unid
456.7750 Courier?
456.8000 truck company of some sort ref road user
charges paid for trailer
456.8500 security TX 451.810 Tone 233.6
456.8750 Courier
456.9000 ...unid
456.9250 ...unid
456.9500 ...unid
456.9750 ...unid
457.0250 ...unid

457.0500 United and Pacific ground staff and Rental
cars, Auckland Airport
457.1000 ...unid
457.1250 ...unid
457.1750 milk tanker TX 452.1350 Tone 127.3
457.2500 ...unid
457.2750 data
457.2875 ...unid
457.3750 Hawthorne Transport TX 452.3350 Tone 218.1
457.4000 ...unid
457.4500 ...unid
457.5000 ...unid
457.5250 ...unid
457.6250 ...unid
457.6500 ...unid
457.6750 ...unid
457.7000 ...unid TX 452.660 Tone 77.0
457.7250 ...unid
457.7500 A.G Walter & Sons (cartage contractors)
457.7750 data
457.8000 Couriers TX 452.6725 Tone 141.3
457.8500 ...unid
457.8750 ...unid
457.9250 truck haulage company? Central North Island
458.0000 ...unid
458.0500 ...unid
458.0750 ...unid Tx 453.0375 Tone 151.4
458.1500 Auckland City Council Noise control
458.1750 Auckland City Council Animal Control/Dog
Ranger TX453.1350 tone 203.5
458.2250 Traffic parking control including tow trucks &
parking wardens
458.2500 ...unid TX 453.2125 Tone 127.3
458.2750 ...unid
458.3000 Western cabs (Taxi)
458.34375 458.34375 DNX1 - 458.53125 DNX16 Simplex
458.3750 ...unid
458.4250 DX4 ... Port Ops - Stevedores
458.5000 DX7 ... Security??
458.5400 458.5400 - 458.6100 RRD, paging
458.5400 458.5400 - 458.6100 RRD
458.61875 458.61875 DNX17 - 458.65625 DNX20 Simplex
458.6750 458.6750 - Beta TX JA band Alpha TX JD band -461.4750
Simplex JE band
459.0375 ctx
459.1125 ctx
459.2875 (459.275-459.2875)ctx 1000Hz
459.4125 (459.4-459.425)ctx 1000Hz
459.4375 Yellow bus company
459.5875 ctx

459.7625 ctx
459.8375 ctx
460.2500 Today FM (99.8)...studio broadcast link
460.3125 ctx
460.5125 ctx
460.5500 Radio Pacific (xx.x)...studio broadcast link
460.7000 data
460.7000 data
460.8000 interference
460.8000 ctx
461.0000 data
461.0000 data
461.1125 ctx
461.1750 Taxi
461.2000 ctx
461.48125 461.48125 DNX21 - 461.80625 DNX47 Simplex
461.5375 Container Terminal
461.81875 461.81875 DN1 - 464.80625 DN240 Base TX
461.8250 Birkenhead Transport LTD (Buses)
461.8750 Taxi
461.9000 ...unid TX 467.0875 Tone 218.1
462.0750 courier TX 467.2625 Tone 186.2
462.1500 ...unid
462.1750 Taxi (south Auckland?)
462.2000 Electrical TX 467.3875 Tone 151.4
462.2250 Taxi TX 467.4125 Tone 151.4
462.2750 a glass company??
462.3500 Tow truck
462.3750 courier
462.4000 ...unid
462.4250 Yellow bus
462.4750 ...unid TX 467.6650 Tone 233.6
462.5000 Taxi
462.5500 Hometune channel 2 TX 467.7375 Tone 171.3
462.6500 courier?
462.6750 University of Auckland campus security
462.7000 ...unid ... mobile truck repair TX 467.8875
Tone 162.2
462.7250 courier/freight
462.7500 sub60 TX467.9125 Tone 114.3
462.7750 Taxi (southern area)
462.8000 Yellow bus
462.8250 Goddards Transport TX 468.0125 Tone 114.8
462.8500 courier
462.9750 ...unid
463.0000 ...unid
463.0250 Yellow bus
463.0500 AirNZ baggage
463.0500 AirNZ baggage
463.0500 AirNZ baggage

463.1000 ...unid
463.1250 ...unid TX 468.3125 Tone 162.2
463.2500 Safe guard security
463.2750 Taxi
463.3250 ...unid
463.3500 ...unid
463.3750 ...unid
463.4000 NZ Couriers TX 468.5875 Tone 218.1
463.4500 Rodney Security (orewa area)
463.5250 ...unid
463.5500 Car wreckers net
463.6000 ...unid
463.6750 ...unid 468.8625 Tone 218.1
463.7000 ...unid
463.7250 unid ... aircraft / stores
463.7750 MAF Fisheries officers
463.9500 Taxi
464.000 Unid TX 469.1875 Tone 186.2
464.0500 North shore Taxi Ltd
464.0750 ...unid TX 469.2650 Tone 141.3
464.1250 Yellow bus TX 469.3125 Tone 171.3
464.1750 ...unid
464.2000 ...unid
464.2200 ...unid TX 469.410 Tone 141.3
464.2250 Taxi
464.2500 St Lukes Shopping Centre security
464.3000 courier
464.3250 ...unid TX 469.5150 Tone 141.3
464.3500 Paremoremo prison
464.3501
464.3750 ...unid
464.4000 Bristows Transport TX 469.5875 Tone 171.3
464.5000 ...unid
464.5500 ...unid
464.6250 ...unid
464.6500 ...unid
464.6750 ...unid TX 469.8650 Tone 141.3
464.7500 Taxi
464.81875 464.81875 DNX48 - 465.18125 DNX77 Simplex
465.1900 465.1900 - Simplex JF band Beta TX JD band
-466.67500 Simplex JG band
465.3125 Radio Pacific studio broadcast link
465.3250 ...unid
465.3625 TVNZ technical link
465.4500 ...unid
466.6000 data
466.68125 466.68125 DNX78 - 46.79375 DNX87 Simplex
466.8000 466.8000 - 466.8500 RRD, telemetry
466.8000 466.8000 - 466.8500 RRD
466.8250 ...unid

466.85625 466.85625 DNX88 - 466.99375 DNX99 Simplex
466.8625 ...unid
467.00625 467.00625 DN1 - 469.99375 DN240 Mobile TX
470.0000 470.0000 - 470.5000 RRD, medical telemetry
470.0000 470.0000 - 470.5000 RRD
470.0000 ...unid
470.4000 ...unid
470.50625 470.50625 FNX101 - 470.99375 FNX140 Simplex
470.8250 ...unid
470.8500 ... unid cup village construction
470.8500 ...unid
470.9500 Dept of Defence Bombing range common
470.9750 crane ops
471.0000 471.0000 - 471.5000 RRD
471.0000 471.0000 - 471.5000 RRD
471.2250 data
471.50625 471.50625 FNX201 - 471.99375 FNX240 Simplex
471.7000 data
471.8000 TV3?
471.9000 TV3 "Live Eye"
471.9250 TV3?
471.9625 marine link (sealink)
472.00625 472.00625 FN1 - 475.99375 FN320 Mobile TX
476.00625 476.00625 FNX301 - 476.39375 FNX332 Simplex
476.4000 476.4000 PRS1 - 477.4000 PRS40
476.4250 CH1 PRS
476.4500 CH2 PRS
476.4750 CH3 PRS
476.5000 CH4 PRS
476.5250 CH5 PRS
476.5500 CH6 PRS
476.5750 CH7 PRS
476.6000 CH8 PRS
476.6250 CH9 PRS
476.6500 CH10 PRS
476.6750 CH11 PRS
476.7000 CH12 PRS
476.7250 CH13 PRS
476.7500 CH14 PRS
476.7750 CH15 PRS
476.8000 CH16 PRS
476.8250 CH17 PRS
476.8500 CH18 PRS
476.8750 CH19 PRS
476.9000 CH20 PRS
476.9250 CH21 PRS
476.9500 CH22 PRS
476.9750 CH23 PRS
477.0000 CH24 PRS
477.0250 CH25 PRS

477.0500 CH26 PRS
477.0750 CH27 PRS
477.1000 CH28 PRS
477.1250 CH29 PRS
477.1500 CH30 PRS
477.1750 CH31 PRS
477.2000 CH32 PRS
477.2250 CH33 PRS
477.2500 CH34 PRS
477.2750 CH35 PRS
477.3000 CH36 PRS
477.3250 CH37 PRS
477.3500 CH38 PRS
477.3750 CH39 PRS
477.4000 CH40 PRS
477.43125 477.43125 FNX401 - 477.98125 FNX445 Simplex
477.99375 477.99375 FN1 - 481.98125 FN320 Base TX
478.0000 ...unid
478.0875 ...unid
478.1000 ch 1 ...unid TX 472.110 Tone 123.0
478.1875 data
478.2000 data
478.3250 ...unid
478.4875 ...unid
478.7500 Philpott transport TX 472.760 Tone 123.0
479.4000 Taxi
480.0375 TX long dist
480.0500 ...unid TX 474.060 Tone 131.8
480.7000 ...unid
481.0750 security TX 475.0850 Tone 114.8
481.4250 courier TX 475.4350 Tone 162.2
481.7500 ...unid
481.99375 481.99375 FNX501 - 483.98125 FNX660 Simplex
483.99375 483.99375 FN321 - 487.08125 FN640 Base TX
484.0000 Moving Pictures Producer suite to camera crews
484.0250 SKY TV producer to camera
484.0750 Moving Pictures Producer suite to camera crews
484.1250 TVNZ
484.1500 plumber?
484.1750 TVNZ Camera crews
484.2000 Security, Delta callsigns
484.2125 data
484.2250 data
484.2375 data
484.2500 ...unid
484.2625 data
484.2750 data
484.3250 ...unid
484.3750 ...unid
484.4250 automotive spare parts net

484.4500 courier?
484.4750 ...unid
484.5750 data
484.6000 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.6750 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.7000 TVNZ Producer suite to camera crews
484.7500 ...unid
484.8250 Taxi?
484.8500 Taxi?
484.8625 data
484.8750 data
485.0000 ...unid
485.0875 data
485.1000 data
485.2000 North1 Police
485.2250 West1 Police
485.2500 City1 Police
485.2750 Manukau Police
485.3250 City3 Police
485.3500 City2 Police
485.3750 City1 Police
485.4000 North1 Police
485.4250 North1 Police
485.4500 ...unid
485.4625 long dist TX
485.5000 data
485.5250 data
485.5500 ...unid
485.5625 data
485.5750 data
485.6000 Hollands Stationary
485.6250 ...unid
485.6625 ...unid
485.6750 ...unid
485.7000 ...unid
485.7500 fastway courier
485.7750 ...unid
485.8500 courier
485.9000 South Police
485.9250 West2 Police
485.9500 City1 Police
485.9750 City1 Police
486.0000 City3 Police
486.0250 Airport Security
486.0250 Airport Police
486.0500 City1 Police
486.1000 ...unid
486.2000 Atlas concrete
486.2500 ...unid
486.2750 courier international airport

486.3250 data
486.3375 data
486.3500 ...unid
486.5000 ...unid
486.5500 City2 Police
486.5750 Papkura Police
486.6000 City2 Police
486.6250 City3 Police
486.6500 City3 Police
486.6750 West1 Police
486.7000 City3 Police
486.7250 City2 Police
486.7750 City2 Police
486.8000 courier?
486.8250 ...unid
486.8625 data
486.8750 data
486.9750 data
486.9875 data
487.1250 glass company (Auckland Glass Ltd)
487.1625 data
487.1750 data
487.2000 ...unid
487.2250 data
487.2500 North2 Police
487.2750 Manukau Police
487.3000 Papakura Police
487.3250 South Police
487.3500 South Police
487.3750 West2 Police
487.4625 data
487.4750 data
487.5000 ...unid
487.5750 ...unid
487.7000 ...unid
487.7375 data
487.7500 data
487.7750 data
487.8000 ...unid
487.8500 Papakura Police
487.99375 487.99375 FNX701 - 489.99375 FNX861 Simplex
488.0000 Police Simplex Laser operators talking to
mobiles chasing
488.0250 ...unid use
488.0500 ...unid use
488.0750 ...unid use
488.1000 Police Simplex Special operations
488.1250 suspect police use
488.1750 suspect police use
488.2000 Police Simplex Special operations (usually

speed lasers)
488.2250 suspect police use
488.2500 TVNZ helicopter & camera crews
488.2750 TVNZ camera crews
488.4500 ...unid
488.7000 ...unid
488.8750 ...unid duplex
489.0000 ...unid scrambled
490.00625 490.00625 FN321 - 493.99375 FN640 Mobile TX
494.01875 494.01875 FP1 - 497.49375 FP279 Mobile TX
497.5125 497.5125 FPX1 - 497.9875 FPX39 Simplex
498.0125 498.0125 FP1 - 501.4875 FP279 Base TX
500.6750 ...unid
501.5125 501.5125 FPX101 - 501.9875 FPX139 Simplex
502.0000 502.0000 - 806.0000 UHF TV
524.7500 (ch27) Sky Movies (HBO)
556.7500 (ch31) Sky Sport (ESPN)
600.0000 NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) new liquid
helium cooled
636.7500 (ch41) Triangle TV
652.7500 (ch43) Sky News (CNN)
684.7500 (ch47) MTV (off air June 1998)
700.7500 (ch49) Max TV (off air 3 Dec 1997)
716.7500 (ch51) Sky 1 (was called Orange)
748.7500 (ch55) Sky Discovery/TAB
780.7500 (ch59) Prime TV
806.0000 806.0000 - 812.0000 Simplex KK band
812.0125 812.0125 ESD241 - 812.9875 ESD280 Mobile TX
813.0000 813.0000 - 814.0000 ES/TS expansion
814.0125 814.0125 TS321 - 813.9875 TS520 Mobile TX
819.0000 819.0000 - 824.0000 RRD
819.0000 819.0000 - 824.0000 RRD
824.0000 824.0000 - 825.0150 AMPS ch 991-1023
824.0000 824-849 CELLPHONE TX 869-894 CELLPHONE RX TX/RX
SEPARATION 45 MHz CHANNEL SPACING 30 KHz
825.0300 825.0300 AMPS1 - 844.9800 AMPS686 mobile TX
845.0000 845.0000 - 849.0000 alternative pairing for US
cellular
849.0000 849-851 GROUND TO AIR AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS
851.0000 851.0000 - 857.0000 Beta TX KK band
857.0125 857.0125 ESD241 - 857.9875 ESD280 Base TX
858.0000 858.0000 - 859.0000 ES/TS expansion
859.0125 859.0125 TS321 - 863.9875 TS520 Base TX
860.1375 Port of Auckland
861.1375 Port of Auckland
862.1375 Port of Auckland
862.6375 Port of Auckland
863.1375 Port of Auckland
863.6375 Port of Auckland
864.0000 864.1500 CT2-1 - 868.0500 CT2-40 cordless phone

864.0000 864.0000 - 868.0000 CT2 CORDLESS TELEPHONE (PABX) 200
M RANGE
868.1125 868.1125 TX1 - 869.0125 TX37 Simplex
869.0250 869.0250 AMPS expansion ch 991-1023
870.0300 870.0300 AMPS1 - 889.9800 AMPS686 base TX
890.2000 890.2000 GSM1 - 914.8000 GSM124 mobile TX
915.0000 915.0000 - 921.0000 K band
921.0000 921.0000 - 929.0000 ISM, RRD, amateur
921.0000 921.0000 - 929.0000 ISM & RRD
929.0000 929.0000 - 931.7000 K band
931.7125 931.7125 KP1 - 937.9875 KP12 paging
932.0000 932.0000 - 935.0000 K band
935.2000 935.2000 GSM1 - 959.8000 GSM124 base TX
960.0000 960.0000 - 1215.0000 aeronautical radionavigation
.0000 TRAFFIC X BAND RADAR

4861. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:03:11 UTC
From: Laura K <laura@rollerskate.net>
Message-ID: <zgnq5.7037$C42.216131@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

Hi,

I was one of only 45 respondents when the FCC considered FCC PR DOCKET 91-36 AUGUST 20 1993. It is my belief that the FCC has rendered decisions on this topic more than once; therefore, each of you may want to do additional research to locate all FCC generated documents related to this topic.

I am amazed that the action the FCC took was not promptly shared with other hams and those who enjoy listenning via scanner. When this issue first came to my attention, I was profoundly disappointed that there was such a low level of response from hams and scanner enthuiasts.

I got involved when I learned that off-duty POLICE OFFICERS in Los Angeles were guilty of a CRIME if they listened to ANY police or fire transmissions, while in a motor vehicle, UNLESS they were required to do so by their department. The specific law is Section 42.44 L.A.M.C. (Los Angeles Municipal Code). This is the same law that makes it illegal for anyone else to listen to police OR fire calls while in a motor vehicle. And, I mean ANY police or fire department, even if it is skip!

Normally, this obscure law is used to arrest tow truck drivers that respond to traffic collision calls. However, I have personally witnessed its application outside of this senario. I also know the above story regarding the LA officer is true because I conducted the CRIMINAL investigation, against that officer, in May 1991.

During my investigation, I kept thinking, "this is assinine" because the only thing the officer had done was listen to police calls while seated in a friend's car. Neither of them were accused or suspected of responding to ANY police scenes or doing anything else illegal!

To digress, I believe that we as hams need to abide by the laws. However, we must also fight poorly written legislation, of which this is a classic example.

The above docket is there for YOUR protection. I suggest that each of you obtain a copy and carry it with you at all times. And, if you live in a state such as Michigan, get a copy of their Vehicle Code 750.508 (a) and keep it with your ham license. That way, if you are ever stopped, you can show that you are exempt from these laws.

Just my two cents worth,

Kevin Williams NI8H
Kevin@rollerskate.net

4862. Re: Kolari: for Mackey trouble maker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:24:41 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <JAnq5.31624$Ur3.342994@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No further communication needed Blake. I will contact
who you list to see if they have ever heard of the group you
claim.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Much too big a list to write all of them down here John, but I
> guess I could name one or two. Motorola. General Electric. Commnet.
>
> Now, John, I thought we were all going to play nice. Could you please
> ask any further information about this in email? We would hate to
> think that you were not sincere.

4863. Re: Kolari: for Mackey Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:27:09 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <1Dnq5.31625$Ur3.343178@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn - I would like to contact the Puyullup ARG and Pocatello ARG
that you mention, who are the contacts for those groups?

For a membership list please contact membership@parg.org.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> The Puyallup Amateur Radio Group (PARG) does. Also the Pocatello Amateur
> Radio Group (PARG) recognizes them. They both have had major articles in
> their club publications. I belong to both. General Electric I believe
> sponsor a Missouri PARG Radio Camp this year. Don't you keep up on this
> stuff John-Corky??????
>
> Now publish your member list or shut up.

4864. Re: Kolari: for Bowers Re: more trouble makers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:29:05 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <REnq5.31626$Ur3.342799@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have all had your fun & a few moments in the spot light by
causing trouble & heartache for the members on these newsgroups.

I challenge all of you to simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
Then perhaps we will keep it quiet even AFTER that 2 weeks.
It's that simple, JUST STOP! Let this newsgroup have a rest.

"q��t��v� ���" <none@yourbusiness.com> wrote
stupidity snipped.

4865. Re: PARG: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:30:52 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <wGnq5.31627$Ur3.342338@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

There is no group called "Johns Phantoms Amateur Radio Group".

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Although some members of Johns Phantoms Amateur Radio
> Group are apparently gay, there should be tolerance,
> and we should consider the source.

4866. Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:34:12 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <EJnq5.31629$Ur3.343467@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn - Do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would like
that? Please TRY to be cooperative.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> All you have to do is shut up....but abuse@home.net is helping out, aren't
> they?

4867. Re: Open letter to the TROUBLEMAKER Blake Bowers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:37:05 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <IMnq5.31631$Ur3.343429@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you want this to stop, then WHY do you keep posting?

I use a Regency MX-3000.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Sure John. No problem, as long as you are going to stop adding
> crossposting your messages into the alt.firefighters newsgroup,
> and other places and you will stop troublemaking.
>
> You be nice, and I will also. Never any doubt about that. Just
> stop replying to anything but scanner related stuff, like below.
>
> So tell me, what kind of scanner are you using now? What made you
> decide to use it, instead of another model?

4868. Re: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:45:17 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <1Unq5.31634$Ur3.342838@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80910

Most likely you are talking about one of the following organizations for
Blake or Glenn:

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> The Puyallup Amateur Radio Group (PARG) does. Also the Pocatello Amateur
> Radio Group (PARG) recognizes them. They both have had major articles in
SNIP

Blake also claims to represent "Pottersville ARG.

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote
> I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
> Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
> the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
> like.
> I think these creeps should be run out of town.

4869. Re: F/S pro 2006 like new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 06:49:41 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AA0C12.AE607804@home.com>
Source record: 80911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanner appears to be sold. Thank you anyway for your interest!

TM wrote:
>
> Is scanner still for sale
>
> My friend is looking for one ,He has no computer
> so here is his address
>
> David COB
> 387 Channel Hill rd.
> Auburn, CA , 95603
> PH : 530 885-7041
>
> Thank you
>
> Tom
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2000 21:29:49 GMT, BK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Scanner is a 10! Unit works and looks perfect with a bright display
> >light. Scanner has been barely used by me. You could not tell this from
> >new. Scanner has been cellular modified at the place of sale. Includes:
> >scanner,box,manual,original antenna and car cord. Item will be packaged
> >like you bought it new. $285 shipped for this PRISTINE scanner.
> >Interested parties should reply with their name address and phone number
> >to reserve the unit and consider it sold. thanks Bruce

4870. WTD: Need LTS-2000 SW, Urgent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 10:51:57 UTC
From: dj <dougjensen@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <Y%gq5.3702$vd.52793@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 80976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please help, will trade if necessary.

dougjensen@sprint.ca

4871. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 14:17:41 UTC
From: Glen O'Riley <glen.orileyDES@PAMlinx.iwarp.com>
Message-ID: <39aa6591@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 80799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Also use 78mhz in rural regions of NSW, or if that doesn't work in a place like Lightening Ridge, they use MF/HF. The city allocations are generally MUCH different to rural. City has most gov. comms in UHF, whereas country has most in the VHF mid due to there not being any GRN or such of sorts. It is better that way as one doesn't get all the crap off GRN when they don't have a trunking scanner, you only get what you want.

"Kevcat" <kevcat@one.net.au> wrote in message
news:39A9D34E.1DCE38C4@one.net.au...
> Mark what country and state do you live in???
> this info will help greatly as you have subscribed to newsgroups from
> countries and 2 that are basically worldwide
>
> Freqs differ greatly from state to state as well as country to country
>
> Eg in the US some states use 30MHz-40MHz for Police, while here in
> Australia they use 468MHz
>
> Cheers
> Kev
>
> Mark Rossi wrote:
> >
> > Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
> > outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies?
Thanks.
> > Mark.

4872. Re: Pro-2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-28 16:06:39 UTC
From: James <gonz123@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <39AA8E0F.3E52395B@attglobal.net>
Source record: 80991
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well BK baby monitors are transmitting devices,and as such they should be considered 'bugs'.
No doubt not many would want to hear the sounds of an infant gurgling,drooling or crying its eyes out on their scanner,but on the other hand you might hear your neighbors going at it,arguing,or talking about their weird neighbors,hence the (potential) attraction to baby monitor monitoring.
I'll clarify matters a bit by saying that over the couple decades ive been into comm monitoring, I'va rarely took the time to scan this band myself,and I would really rather not know if my neighbors talk about me,or how they sound in heat,ect. But theres the answer to your question,and people who use these devices should be aware of the possibility oh having their privacy invaded by a nosy neighbor.

James
.

BK wrote:

> Well my question was why listen to it?

4873. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harrill Hamrick <hhamrick@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <fghnqss79bljaaqjbo69rmbp4ffq9vvg89@4ax.com>
Source record: 80697

>I believe there was some discussion awhile back about them getting all new
>equipment
>from Moto including encrypted radios as part of a marketing campaign.

The system that is used by the coaches on the sideline actually made
by Telex. Motorola is the sponsor. It is spread spectrum and
encrypted.

The system the coach uses to talk to the quarterback is made by
Motorola. It is a set of two-way radios that are also encrypted.

--
Harrill Hamrick
Broadcast Technical Services
Mooresville, NC

4874. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: caf@omen.omen.com
Message-ID: <slrn8qnjsc.dh9.caf@omen.omen.com>
Source record: 80698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <H+NnOSpHjfQwrHygiocfDJkt59Cl@4ax.com>, TimG wrote:
>All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.

A Fixed Base Operator (FBO) at a nearby airport can sell you a sectional chart, a map for pilots that shows many of the aero frequencies in use. You might be able to get an out of date map for free - they're superceded every 6 months.

The voice transmissions are AM. Other than historical reasons I shall leave it to the reader to figure why they are atill AM. (There is a valid technical reason.)

--
Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX N2469R caf@omen.COM www.omen.com
Omen Technology Inc "The High Reliability Software"
Author of YMODEM & ZMODEM ZMODEM Consulting: $200/hr
TeleGodzilla BBS: 503-617-1698 FTP: ftp.cs.pdx.edu pub/zmodem
POB 4681 Portland OR 97208 503-614-0430 FAX:503-629-0665

4875. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <UnQq5.36081$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The "ARMED" Services, what did you think I was talking about?

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Yeah, right. What branch of the service John

4876. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <VnQq5.36082$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80700

It was a compliment to Blake!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
SNIP
> Your little self serving posts like that to
> Blake.
SNIP

4877. RS Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mikej91@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fiQq5.1988$_8.287769@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80701

Are there any mods for the RS Pro-94?

4878. Re: Mod for BC855XLT -HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829112403.22408.00000098@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80702

Subject: Mod for BC855XLT -HELP
From: kal4cu@my-deja.com
Date: 08/27/2000 7:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <8oca1f$g4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

I got a mod from QRZ.COM for the cell mod. It states to locate diode
D201. I have looked all over the board and there are no such numbers.
Is there a place I can find a schematic for this scanner and can
someone give a better description of the mod.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

You have the WRONG mod info. Get the book "Radio Tech Modifications Vol. A" by
ArtSci publishing. It has the info PLUS pictorial diagrams about this
particular mod.......

4879. WTB: Regency Model D-810 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829111651.09760.00000266@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80703

Want to buy a used Regency model D-810 scanner. It is an older base model with service-search and FM broadcast radio capabilities.PHYSICAL condition not important, but MUST be in PERFECT working order (ELECTRONICALLY excellent).No "fixer-uppers" or "problem units" wanted. Will pay a fair, realistic price for the right unit.Please Email me DIRECTLY at: KLARRG@AOL.COM with info and best price in first Email.
Will also consider a NICE, excellent example of the same radio if price is right. LMK what you have. THANK YOU ! (<:

4880. Re: Uniden Bearcat UBC3000XLT scanner UBC 3000XLT UBC3000 XLT 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bones boy <dccext32@outlook.com>
Message-ID: <jwQq5.3$IR5.2060@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 80704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,ithaca.marketplace,cornell.marketplace

How much $$$ were you wanting for this? What was your reserve?

"DJ" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:280820002345153582%nospam@nospam.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=422820108
>
>
> I am selling a slightly used, Uniden Bearcat UBC3000XLT scanner.
>
> This scanner comes with original box and packaging, manual, original
> flexible antenna, aftermarket (radio shack) collapsable antenna,
> earphone, belt clip, leather carry case, NICAD battery pack. I just had
> new cells put in the NICAD battery pack...just like factory new now.
> The old battery pack went dead due to non-use.
>
> The European UBC3000XLT has a continuous frequency range with no gaps
> from 25 MHz to 1.3 GHz. Unlike the BC3000XLT, this comes with a leather
> carry case.
>
> I bought my scanner from Javiation in the UK...
>
> http://www.javiation.co.uk/3000xlt.html
>
> Here is a review of the similar BC3000XLT. Keep in mind that I am
> selling a UBC3000XLT, the European version which has no frequency gaps.
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev3000.htm
>

4881. Re: Modifiying cell band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829113424.22408.00000103@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80705

Subject: Modifiying cell band
From: rblb83@aol.com (RBLB83)
Date: 08/22/2000 7:05 AM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20000822070535.25755.00000652@ng-fz1.aol.com>

How do I restore the cell band in my scanners? Is there a good web site for this?

You need more info than just this(make, models, etc.) ALL new scanners made after 1994 are UNMODDABLE for cell (except a VERRRRY few)

4882. Re: BC860XLT MOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829113517.22408.00000104@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80706

Subject: BC860XLT MOD
From: js2know2 js2know@home.com
Date: 08/21/2000 1:01 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <39A15FA3.6033C874@home.com>

I have a BC 860xlt 100 channel. I want to mod it to a 200 channel can anyone tell me how to do it or where i can get the info to do it,many thanks Joe

CANNOT BE DONE.

4883. Re: pro 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829113832.22408.00000105@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80707

Subject: pro 2003
From: "Stephen Weber" kb9svw@earthlink.net
Date: 08/20/2000 4:29 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <BcXn5.4728$Nz4.237123@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

Anyone know of any mods for the RS PRO-2003?
I'd also like to have some idea of what this scanner is worth.
Thanks

No mods are available for this radio..sorry ! Worth about $80 in excellent to
mint condition at present time.

4884. New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <dennis@monad.net>
Message-ID: <39abd970$1@news.monad.net>
Source record: 80708

What's new in mobile scanner antennas. Im ready to
retire my rusty old Grove unit..

4885. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wade Blake <wade.blake@noaa.gov>
Message-ID: <39ABD607.E84BCC33@noaa.gov>
Source record: 80709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

An interesting freq. in the Seattle area is 127.775 (AM) if I remember it correctly. This freq. is used by all the local media helicopters/aircraft for news gathering, coordination, and traffic reports.

Wade, N7LGK

Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX wrote:

> In article <H+NnOSpHjfQwrHygiocfDJkt59Cl@4ax.com>, TimG wrote:
> >All frequencies are FM and in MHZ.
>
> A Fixed Base Operator (FBO) at a nearby airport can sell you a
> sectional chart, a map for pilots that shows many of the aero
> frequencies in use. You might be able to get an out of date
> map for free - they're superceded every 6 months.
>
> The voice transmissions are AM. Other than historical reasons I
> shall leave it to the reader to figure why they are atill AM.
> (There is a valid technical reason.)
>
> --
> Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX N2469R caf@omen.COM www.omen.com
> Omen Technology Inc "The High Reliability Software"
> Author of YMODEM & ZMODEM ZMODEM Consulting: $200/hr
> TeleGodzilla BBS: 503-617-1698 FTP: ftp.cs.pdx.edu pub/zmodem
> POB 4681 Portland OR 97208 503-614-0430 FAX:503-629-0665

4886. Terminology: "FS" vs. "FA" on this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829114752.22408.00000108@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80710

For those who REALLY dont know, the letters "FS" mean "FOR SALE", and the letters "FA" mean, "For AUCTION".It is nothing but deception to get your ad read to list something as "FS",.. then when you read the message, the seller is actually auctioning it off on Ebay.
If youn want to SELL something, then list the PRICE with the description of the item and use the correct heading "FOR SALE" in the subject line.
If you want to AUCTION it off, then be a MAN and use the heading "FOR AUCTION" in the subject line.
Sound like nitpicking? Maybe so, but a LOT of folks here dont want to stop and read about your damned AUCTION on Ebay, and those who do, WILL, ..without DECEPTION like this.Honesty sells, and being STUPID is not an excuse or a sales technique(for MOST of us, anyway).....

4887. FS: Mint Euro PRO-2042 and NEW Euro AOR AR-5000+3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829115912.22408.00000111@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80711

For Sale;
Absolutely MINT Radio Shack PRO-2042 "Euro" version base scanner with FULL 800 Mhz coverage (cell). 1,000 channels: 10 search limit banks: coverage 25-550 and 760-1300 Mhz (unbroken). Selectable modes (AM.FMn, FMW) and selectable search steps(5, 12.5, 50 khz). A "10 out of 10". With manual , whip antenna, and box. AS NEW!! $410 firm

Absolutely BRAND NEW AOR AR-5000+3 "super scanner" with full, unbroken coverage incl. cell.5khz-2600 Mhz.Too many features to list: surveillance-grade receiver.NEVER USED! Bought as a "backup" for my 'shack and never required use of same.Tested only 20 minutes to insure proper operation: never out of box otherwise or in use at all. In original packing with ALL accessories.Does everything but wash your clothes and cook dinner.(Not trunking, tho').Paid $2300 when imported...selling for $1850 SHIPPED to you (includes FULL insurance and S/H in price)

Prices firm. No trades or "partial" trades, please. Both items are MINT/NEW and are problem-free.Please Email me DIRECTLY @ KLARRG@AOL.COM if interested or questions. THANK YOU ! (<:

4888. Re: Terminology: "FS" vs. "FA" on this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829115942.19766.00000541@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 80712

In article <20000829114752.22408.00000108@ng-cd1.aol.com>, klarrg@aol.com (KLARRG) writes:

> Sound like nitpicking? Maybe so, but a LOT of folks here dont want to stop >and read about your damned AUCTION on Ebay

Geez! Who died and made you God of USENET?

4889. Re: Terminology: "FS" vs. "FA" on this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5tRq5.2011$_8.301901@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80713

I agree --- I have an anti-spam filter on my newsgroup reader and
automatically deletes anything with FA in the subject line.

For the most part, most everyone does follow that convention, and it is nice
to see the 'new tradition' work.

"KLARRG" <klarrg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000829114752.22408.00000108@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> For those who REALLY dont know, the letters "FS" mean "FOR SALE", and the
> letters "FA" mean, "For AUCTION".It is nothing but deception to get your
ad
> read to list something as "FS",.. then when you read the message, the
seller is
> actually auctioning it off on Ebay.
> If youn want to SELL something, then list the PRICE with the description
of
> the item and use the correct heading "FOR SALE" in the subject line.
> If you want to AUCTION it off, then be a MAN and use the heading "FOR
> AUCTION" in the subject line.
> Sound like nitpicking? Maybe so, but a LOT of folks here dont want to
stop
> and read about your damned AUCTION on Ebay, and those who do, WILL,
..without
> DECEPTION like this.Honesty sells, and being STUPID is not an excuse or a
sales
> technique(for MOST of us, anyway).....
>

4890. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamesrhampton@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ogpdb$k8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8of3li$76$0@63.162.247.41>,
Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > The cops looked at each other then back at the gentleman. Out came
the
> > credentials. "Federal Communications Commission. Either you produce
> > the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class
radiotelephone
> > or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll
have to
> > write you up."
> >
> > The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.
> >
> > This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the
U.S.
> > Navy in January of 1967. I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest
> > someone from the FCC for having a scanner!
> >
>
> This story is great. Almost as good as the Craig Shergold story.
>
> Just as big an urban legend however. No one ever seems to remember
> the name of the FCC person.....
>
No, not so. I personally spoke with the FCC guy. I'll see what I can
do about finding his name. I hope I kept that letter from the FCC
stating I had left my sweater there - there was no identification, they
remembered me. I went to pick the sweater up whilst on my way to the
pre-induction physical in Buffalo. I was chief engineer of WWHG in
Hornell, NY at the time. I was attending college at Alfred and my dad
had been clocked at 1 MPH over the limit. That town was something
else - I heard (unconfirmed rumor) that the limit had been 25 and they
nailed a trucker for 1 or 2 MPH over - he won on appeal - seems they
did not post the speed limit often enough (required if the limit was
below 30 - they just changed it to 30). Please remember this was over
30 years ago.
- Jim

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4891. scannerpage.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "scannerpage.com" <thepeebee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ogqnh$t2t$1@buty.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 80715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Add yor link to: The International Scanner Link Site www.scannerpage.com

--
Greetings,
Patrick Brouwer

e-mail : webmaster@scannerpage.com
website: www.scannerpage.com

4892. - KS0F still a FRAUD and LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ogqac$l0g$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Nice SNIP job Corky.

You have showned yourself to be are a liar and a fraud. Again!
How soon do you leave John-Corky? You absense will be bliss.

In article <VnQq5.36082$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>It was a compliment to Blake!
>
><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>SNIP
>> Your little self serving posts like that to
>> Blake.
>SNIP
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4893. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ogqft$l6f$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80717

In article <20000829101751.16859.00000461@nso-md.aol.com>, TooManyRadios
<toomanyradios@aol.com> writes:
>In article <39AAE7DE.705A43AE@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:
>
>>you left much to the imagination there... anyone not looking real close
>>(this woul;d require a telescope) would assume that I posted over 1000
>>times according to this statement.
>
>No, sir. That is merely your own personal interpretation.
>
>Look, Mr. Oyen, I have neither the time nor the motivation to wage an
endless
>and senseless argument with you via the Internet.

Yet that is what you are doing. Posting crap seems to be your motivation boy.

>Besides, it appears you
>already have plenty of adversaries on this forum. You need another USENET
>enemy like Phoenix hams need to hear you on 2-meters. Good day.

TooMany-Corky??

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4894. Jon-Corky, ashamed to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ogqoclfh1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Glenn read you like a book. Shazam, little John-Corky hides again. Ashamed also of being in the National Guard.

Can't wait to see if your elderly father is also away those two weeks.

So what do you do for a living John-Corky. What are you hiding?

In article <UnQq5.36081$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>The "ARMED" Services, what did you think I was talking about?
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> Yeah, right. What branch of the service John
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4895. RicK Larson-KS0F's new sex partner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ogqub$ln2$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So every time Glenn exposes John-Corky you are there. Talk about John-Corky having a puppet. You are his lap dog RicK. You have changed your screen name a number of times JUST LIKE MACKEY!!!!! You never post on topic JUST LIKR MACKEY!!!! You even mimic him. How cute. John-Corky has a new LUV!!!!

In article <sqnh6d7c5d30@corp.supernews.com>, RicK Larson
<Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> writes:
>" Glenn the NG Group Buffoon " basically said I will not stop till I save
>the NG group from the likes of people just like myself.
>Glenn don't let the circle be broken.
>RL
>
>J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>news:_mGq5.34272$Ur3.362699@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>>
>> > I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
>> > kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo
>>
>> Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.
>>
>>
>> > John shorting out and ruining a
>> > power supply,
>>
>> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
>you
>> are found to be a LIAR!
>>
>>
>> > John adding to the distribution list for no other reason
>> > than to cause trouble,
>>
>> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
>you
>> are found to be a LIAR!
>>
>>
>> > John ruining a repeater due to not being able
>> > to understand polarity,
>>
>> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so

>you
>> are found to be a LIAR!
>>
>>
>> > John swearing, threatening and jamming a
>> > repeater in the SeaTac area,
>>
>> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
>you
>> are found to be a LIAR! Even the repeater owner/licensee say it never
>> happened.
>>
>>
>> > John changing his name 5 times a week,
>>
>> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
>you
>> are found to be a LIAR!
>>
>> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
>> public
>> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
>> weeks
>> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
>with
>> you.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4896. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <fiSq5.18887$QW4.241621@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80720

Radio Shack has a nice unit, with a mag mount, and two coils. It's about 3' tall, and is made out of solid stainless steel rod. You may have to purchase a BNC adapter however, last I checked they were still putting on the old "car radio" type plug on it.

Bill Crocker

"Dennis" <dennis@monad.net> wrote in message
news:39abd970$1@news.monad.net...
> What's new in mobile scanner antennas. Im ready to
> retire my rusty old Grove unit..
>
>
>

4897. A Couple Scanners (FA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829132359.19573.00000225@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 80721

Thanks for reading..
Listed a few items on ebay that you might be interested in,
please take a look...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423110683

Title of item: Bearcat Police/Fire Scanner(BC-300) non-work
Minimum bid: $1.00
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Tuesday, Sep 05, 2000 at 10:09:23 PDT

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423107205

Title of item: Regency 16 Channel Programable Scanner
Minimum bid: $5.00
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Tuesday, Sep 05, 2000 at 10:03:52 PDT

Thanks again for taking a look & happy bidding...

4898. FA: PRO-75 with accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <SdSq5.364$Mv3.174@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80722

Check this auction of a **MINT** PRO-75!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646

Thanks,
Adam Kolari

4899. RicK__Larson - John-Corky's Lap dog!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ogr50luc1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <sqngvqt5c5d177@corp.supernews.com>, RicK Larson
<Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> writes:
>John, I will silently monitor the situation on this NG and only reply if the
>CAL's continue to cause trouble during the 2 wk. cease fire period.
>I really hope they abide by there pledges.

The only pledge made is your luv of Mackey. You are John-Corky! Has John-Corky
sold you a license yet? What a little dweed you are RicK. Certainly you are
the retard side of the Larsons. Mom told us she flushed one down the toilet.
You have come back brother. Now go away.

TL

>
>J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>news:toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
>> public
>> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
>> weeks
>> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
>with
>> you.
>> The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your
>> childish antics.
>>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
>> SNIP
>>
>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4900. Re: New Radio Shack Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <DXSq5.10409$Z4.259555@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 80724

I just went to Radio Shack to get some parts last night and the guy gave me the new UPC coded catalog with that new scanner. The scanner itself doesn't work that great, you gotta run it over the code like 4 times for it to work but it's a very cool idea.

"sdl" <lyles@erols.com> wrote in message
news:8of7k4bel1@bob.news.rcn.net...
I Have tried the free "cat" reader and I works great. It not only works with the radio shack catalog, but you can scan any upc code on just about any type of product and you will be taken to their web site (if they have one). Pretty soon there will be an additional cord that you connect to your TV set so the TV will give cues to your web browser to go to a web site. I haven't check but It may already be at Radio Shack.

Even though the advertisements all say the software and "cat" readers would be available in September it seems lots of Radio Shack stores must be giving them out along with the 2001 catalogs a little earlier.

All in all it really feels like I am living in the 21st century (wink)

--
lyles <at> erols <dot> com
David M. Hitchner wrote in message ...
>Just in case anyone is interested, the new Radio Shack
>catalogs are out. I asked for and got 2, one for home
>and one for the office. They are also giving away "Cat
>Optical Readers" that connect to your PC via the
>keyboard port. It seems that Radio Shack has put
>bar codes in the catalog. Simply scan the bar code and
>it takes you to the product listing at RadioShack.com.
>I have not tried it yet.
>
>For the anti-Radio Shack crowd, not flames please. While
>I realize that it's not the best electronics source, they
>are there when you need something in a pinch.
>
>--
>David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
>Baton Rouge, LA
>
>Baton Rouge Area Scanning
>Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
>-
>ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
>Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

>
>
>
>

4901. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000829142230.18142.00001585@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 80725

>What happens to me<no radio ticket>is I always hit these
>vehicle inspection sticker check points in these rinky dink
>little towns on the way to Atlantic City

The use of a scanner in NJ is NOT restricted in any way, unless you are using it in furtherance of a crime... if you get hassled by the local cops, tell them to show you the law that says you can't have that... if you still get hassled and it's confiscated, or worse - you get arrested, get a good lawyer to sue the PD and the cop...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4902. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39AC02FC.BCA48186@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 80726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, they DID say local governments are are preempted from regulating what we receive:

"... are inconsistent with the federal objectives of facilitating and promoting the amateur radio service, and more fundamentally with the federal interest in amateur operator's being able to transmit and receive on authorized frequencies. We therefore hold that such state and local laws are preempted by federal law. "

There is no essential difference between operating a transceiver and operating a receiver and transmitter, as far as the FCC is concerned. Both comprise a station.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

D Stark wrote:

> "Cortland Richmond" <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote in message
> news:39AAB340.35A02FAF@usa.alcatel.com...
> > The Commission made it clear that it intends Amateurs be able to receive
> > signals. One doesn't have to have a transceiver; a separate receiver is
> > also an acceptable station configuration, and in fact many folks who do
> > weak signal work do use such.
>
> I would like to be able to read your interpretation in the FCC's language,
> but it's not that explicit.
>
> -Dave, NF2G

4903. Pro-51 - <crashed!> IC R2 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39ac0413.677572@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 80727

<CRASH!> My Pro-51 scanner took a 20ft fall!!!
it has the early CPU...Does this have any value to anybody???
Neal
Anahiem,CA
*P.S. I went out and pruchased an ICOM R2 and so far have been pretty
pleased with it but I am curious to know which is the best software to
program it with?

4904. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sqo1s8apc5d134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80728

I notice you used a hoked-up address to post your whining about this. Learn to use your news filters. Filters will, indeed clean this up, and you can just add on offenders as they happen. Don`t want to learn to use your news reader software ? Then don`t complain. Your only problem is, you are either too lazy or too nosy to set up your filters, and leave them on....

"Who Did you Expect?" <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote in message news:8oegqbbit2@news.uky.edu...
> As a lurker for several years and an infrequent poster,
> who just returned from 14 days of no email, net crap etc
> I was dismayed to see yet another flame war starting
> over the "blind one".
>
> Enough is enough.
>
> I was sick of the cheek/mackey crap and this is the last straw.
> I mean Bill Cheek is dead. Even if he was the worlds largest
> whatever, he is DEAD, give it a rest.
>
> Even kill files won't clean this mess up.
>
> Maybe someday, someone will start a moderated scanner use
> group. Until then, good by for know.
> 'I just do not need to wast any more time or energy trying
> to sift thru the garbage for the too few usefull posts.
> To all the good folks, sorry and I respect those of
> you with stronger stomachs than I have got.
> Maybe some day all the losers will grow up.
> For now, bye.
>

4905. Re: Terminology: "FS" vs. "FA" on this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39AC098D.F4A5236D@blinx.de>
Source record: 80729

TooManyRadios schrieb:

> Geez! Who died and made you God of USENET?

You want to go into the same killfile as the FA-postings??
Nothing more simple... Here ya go.....

odo

4906. Do trunks just vanish???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard R Olson <rolson@faatcrl.tc.faa.gov>
Message-ID: <8oh262ado1@faatcrl.tc.faa.gov>
Source record: 80730

I've been scanning my local Galloway Township, New Jersey, US
PD/FIRE/MEDIC/GOV't with my 245XLT for a few months. It's a small (5 freqs)
Ericson trunked system.

Last Saturday, POOF! gone! Nothing. The control channel is quiet. I can
hear other local trunked systems okay.

I think they've only had this system for a year or two. Could they have
gone digital?? Any way to detect this change?

Thanks,
Rick

4907. Re: Do trunks just vanish???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-EBAFBE.15353729082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 80731

In article <8oh262ado1@faatcrl.tc.faa.gov>, "Richard R Olson"
<richard_r_olson@admin.tc.faa.gov> wrote:

> I think they've only had this system for a year or two. Could they have
> gone digital?? Any way to detect this change?

All the same frequencies would be in use, except you'd hear static-like
noise instead of voices. Going digital wouldn't make the control channel
disappear.

-A

4908. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AC2503.95F8EBF@yua.com>
Source record: 80732

Don't turn your butt to a PARG freak like Mackey.

J o h n M wrote:

> Don't let the door hit you in the butt.
>
> "Who Did you Expect?" <None@dontevntry.oro> wrote
> > group. Until then, good by for know.

4909. Re: RicK Larson-KS0F's new sex partner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AC2552.4FEF0617@yua.com>
Source record: 80733

Mackey got a new boyfriend.

larson@sofcom.com wrote:

> So every time Glenn exposes John-Corky you are there. Talk about John-Corky
> having a puppet. You are his lap dog RicK. You have changed your screen name
> a number of times JUST LIKE MACKEY!!!!! You never post on topic JUST LIKR
> MACKEY!!!! You even mimic him. How cute. John-Corky has a new LUV!!!!
>
> In article <sqnh6d7c5d30@corp.supernews.com>, RicK Larson
> <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> writes:
> >" Glenn the NG Group Buffoon " basically said I will not stop till I save
> >the NG group from the likes of people just like myself.
> >Glenn don't let the circle be broken.
> >RL
> >
> >J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
> >news:_mGq5.34272$Ur3.362699@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> >>
> >> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> >>
> >> > I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
> >> > kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo
> >>
> >> Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.
> >>
> >>
> >> > John shorting out and ruining a
> >> > power supply,
> >>
> >> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
> >you
> >> are found to be a LIAR!
> >>
> >>
> >> > John adding to the distribution list for no other reason
> >> > than to cause trouble,
> >>
> >> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
> >you
> >> are found to be a LIAR!
> >>
> >>
> >> > John ruining a repeater due to not being able
> >> > to understand polarity,

> >>
> >> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
> >you
> >> are found to be a LIAR!
> >>
> >>
> >> > John swearing, threatening and jamming a
> >> > repeater in the SeaTac area,
> >>
> >> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
> >you
> >> are found to be a LIAR! Even the repeater owner/licensee say it never
> >> happened.
> >>
> >>
> >> > John changing his name 5 times a week,
> >>
> >> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
> >you
> >> are found to be a LIAR!
> >>
> >> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
> >> public
> >> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
> >> weeks
> >> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
> >with
> >> you.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4910. Mackey so dumb - doesn't know why we call him Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AC25B8.A4F718C7@yua.com>
Source record: 80734

Do you have any idea why we call you corky?
Someone should send you the tapes from that
show so you can see how UGLY you are mackey.
I mean corky.

4911. Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <39ac2502@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 80735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,
We have just added a searchable airband database to the site. Search on airport frequency or radar area. Also news about radio active being sold to PW Publishing, the ones that own Practical Wireless and Short Wave Magazine.
Cheers for now
T
www.frequencyuk.co.uk

4912. Re: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8oh95k$1238$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80736

"Sasha" <sashaz@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:YAPq5.366$O5.39514@news20.bellglobal.com...
> any one know if there is any software that will decode Morse code for
me?
>
>
Well, yes and no.....

CW is a bit tricky to decode due to some folks being better than others
at sending it. I've tried a program called CwGet that seems to do a
decent job if the signal is strong enough without much noise present.
It's shareware,$35 to register it, but there seems to be quite a long
evaluation period involved--it hasn't shut off on me yet! I'd recommend
it as a starter as no extra hardware is required, just plug the output
from your radio into the line-in jack on your computer's soundcard.
Does a pretty good job, considering how hard it is for a machine to
decode Morse. You can download it at http://www.dxsoft.com/micwget.htm
plus a lot of other sites.

Hope this helps!

Donald Reeve

4913. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <B3Wq5.75$vU3.6670@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
--
blah blah blah
I thought you were not supposed to pick
> up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know
:I don't on bc245 xlt<3c> tuned to bellatlantic licensed channel
yet a bc220xlt <double conversion or less> same channel
same time clear as day has an image from elsewhere.
I know because the menu's for voicemail were not from
carrier channel was licensed to.

PRO 2045- will I be able to hear
> cell images?
:If it was put out in<u.s models> last few years I doubt it.

:used to be before triple conversion you would add the two<two hence the
dual >intermediate frequencies of some 2 major scanner components and add or
subtract that from the actual frequency you wanted.
Put it in and wala!
```

4914. Re: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasha <sashaz@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <p4Wq5.205429$Gh.3926997@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 80738

Thanks, I'm gona try it now
Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8oh95k$1238$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> "Sasha" <sashaz@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:YAPq5.366$O5.39514@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > any one know if there is any software that will decode Morse code for
> me?
> >
> >
> Well, yes and no.....
>
> CW is a bit tricky to decode due to some folks being better than others
> at sending it. I've tried a program called CwGet that seems to do a
> decent job if the signal is strong enough without much noise present.
> It's shareware,$35 to register it, but there seems to be quite a long
> evaluation period involved--it hasn't shut off on me yet! I'd recommend
> it as a starter as no extra hardware is required, just plug the output
> from your radio into the line-in jack on your computer's soundcard.
> Does a pretty good job, considering how hard it is for a machine to
> decode Morse. You can download it at http://www.dxsoft.com/micwget.htm
> plus a lot of other sites.
>
> Hope this helps!
>
> Donald Reeve
>
>

4915. Re: Do trunks just vanish???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <9qWq5.93697$oj6.1963285@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 80739

Could be that they have added more frequencies and moved
the control channel. Could be a simple malfunction.

If you scan the frequencies, do you hear any traffic?

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Richard R Olson" <rolson@faatcrl.tc.faa.gov> wrote in message
news:8oh262ado1@faatcrl.tc.faa.gov...
> I've been scanning my local Galloway Township, New Jersey, US
> PD/FIRE/MEDIC/GOV't with my 245XLT for a few months. It's a small (5
freqs)
> Ericson trunked system.
>
> Last Saturday, POOF! gone! Nothing. The control channel is quiet. I can
> hear other local trunked systems okay.
>
> I think they've only had this system for a year or two. Could they have
> gone digital?? Any way to detect this change?
>
> Thanks,
> Rick
>
>

4916. Nascar Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kharper@insight.rr.com
Message-ID: <8ohdfj$dt7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80740

I would really appreciate it somebody could point me in the right
direction for Nascar frequencies.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4917. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <ytWq5.271$Fd7.5346@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80741
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
--
blah blah blah
> The fuzz had radar way back in '66?
>
Yah and sometimes the freaks called them pig's!
heheh
```

4918. Re: F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <intimidator33333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39AC34FA.AD1EE895@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80742

And???????? LMAOROTF!!!!!!

CitySlicker wrote:

> And he changes his E-mail address like I change socks, You have to
> wonder??????????
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
> news:39AAE848.ABF6AC1D@home.com...
> > I'll give you $100 for it (cost includes shipping). otherwise, you ask
> too
> > much for modified/used equipment (sorry, just my opinion)
> >
> > Robert wrote:
> >
> > > -- On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key
> > >
> > > but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
> > > works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
> > > email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.
> > > ----------
> > > Click here for Free Video!!
> > > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
> >

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

4919. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829183310.06774.00005624@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80743

>You first scanner related post and it is nothing but self serving drivel. You
>ahve so litle self esteem you try and impress the NG with your "affiliation".
>No technical merit or any useful information, just bragging about nothing
>impressive. Fool .
>
>
>TL
Hi Timmy Boy
What was the last on topic post that you or the prolific not on topic Glenn
posted.
Hamboner

4920. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob
Message-ID: <39ac39ae.973286@news.compusmart.ab.ca>
Source record: 80744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Aug 2000 10:54:06 GMT, BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:

>A medic squad was called to a home because a 24 old male stubbed his
>toe. No bull!!I think one of those medics get $275 per call. I heard the
>medic respond to the hospital during transfer he will lose a toenail!
>Any other wild things has anyone heard?

I heard sominthin simular where as some guy had a tooth ache
(no kiddin), this is a prime example of whats wrong with
the medical systems. Total missuse of services.

4921. Re: Nascar Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevinharper@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oheqpfjm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80745

sorry I can't help

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4922. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <UVWq5.36595$dG.1023797@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cortland Richmond" <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote in message
news:39AC02FC.BCA48186@usa.alcatel.com...
> Well, they DID say local governments are are preempted from regulating
what we
> receive:
>
> "... are inconsistent with the federal objectives of facilitating and
> promoting the amateur radio service, and more fundamentally with the
federal
> interest in amateur operator's being able to transmit and receive on
> authorized frequencies. We therefore hold that such state and local
> laws are preempted by federal law. "
>
> There is no essential difference between operating a transceiver and
operating
> a receiver and transmitter, as far as the FCC is concerned. Both comprise
a
> station.

I've been studying legalese and court interpretations of law for a few years
now.

Where has the FCC clearly stated, in writing, that they consider a
transceiver to be identical to a receiver/transmitter combination in all
regulatory and legal respects?

4923. Re: Glenn ' The NG Buffoon' Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829183915.06774.00005626@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80747

Buffoon says it all.
Hamboner

4924. Re: Glenn ' The NG Buffoon' Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829190338.05196.00000904@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80748

Buffoon says it all.
Hamboner>"
Glenn the NG Group Buffoon " basically said I will not stop till I save
>the NG group from the likes of people just like myself.
>Glenn don't let the circle be broken.
Which one is the real Baffoon?

4925. Re: IC-R8500 or IC-R7100 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <dqfoqskuh5o33243fglu1krhvgm854ho48@4ax.com>
Source record: 80749

"Robert Hellberg" <robert.hellberg@forumsql.se> wrote:

> Hi !!
>
> Is there anyone who knows about a technincal test/comparison between the
> ICOM R8500 and ICOM R7100 ?? A would like to know about the receivers
> technical quality in point of RF-dynamic range, noise-level..... I already
> have a R7100 but I don't know if I want to lose by the exchange if the R7100
> is better than the R8500.....
>
> Robert Hellberg
> SM0RVH
>

Hello, Robert,

Since no one has given you an answer yet -- at least online -- I'll
give you my partial answer. We tested a 7100 and 8500 side by side
for sensitivity at several points around 400 MHz using a signal
generator and a precision attenuator and found the 8500 at least 10 dB
more sensitive than the 7100. I cannot attest to this but my
understanding is that the 8500 also outperforms the 7100 in terms of
dynamic range and noise level... but we didn't run those tests
ourselves.

That said, we're keeping the 7100 -- the 8500, built as well as it
is, feels flimsy next to the "tanklike" 7100. (The 8500 is somewhat
bigger, too.)

Hope this helps at least a little...

Eric

--
73, Eric F. Richards, KB0YDN, efricha@dimensional.com
"A few old diehards still blazoned 'Spark Forever!' on their QSL cards..."
- from "200 Meters & Down", copyright 1936, ARRL

4926. Cheek haters and likers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Hauser <jameshauser@home.com>
Message-ID: <FrXq5.110918$6y5.74753427@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 80750

I have been following this newsgroup for years now.
And I must tell you--- between the the two groups
you people are so pathetic on both sides. Its no wonder
Bill wanted to get out of this scene.
Jim Hauser

4927. REPOST FA: Pro 2042 w Full Frequency Optoelectronics 535 Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39ac4831@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 80751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

As there are just a few hours left, I thought I would repost my auction on ebay in case someone missed it who is looking for a mint Pro 2042 scanner with a full coverage Optoelectronics OptoScan 535 computer interface installed. The unit is in flawless condition and comes with documentation. Thank you for your indulgence. If you would like to check it out please go to:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=420801104
Thank you for viewing my auction.

R. Olson

4928. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8ohil8jsb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <slrn8qnjsc.dh9.caf@omen.omen.com>,>

The voice transmissions are AM.

Thanks... I figured that out a long time ago. Somehow, people always ignore or miss the posts where I admit to a mistake (an uncommon thing in this newsgroup, to admit to a mistake!).

> Other than historical reasons I
> shall leave it to the reader to figure why they are atill AM.
> (There is a valid technical reason.)

I would guess because FM is line-of-sight, and therefore the transmissions from the planes would never reach the ground, and vice-versa. Also, FM has the tendency to "break up" with distance and become totally unreadable, whereas AM only fades to a weaker signal strength.

Tim

> --
> Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX N2469R caf@omen.COM www.omen.com
> Omen Technology Inc "The High Reliability Software"
> Author of YMODEM & ZMODEM ZMODEM Consulting: $200/hr
> TeleGodzilla BBS: 503-617-1698 FTP: ftp.cs.pdx.edu pub/zmodem
> POB 4681 Portland OR 97208 503-614-0430 FAX:503-629-0665

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4929. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <39ac4a67_1@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 80753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Recently heard a call for a twenty something year old young man who was complaining of nausea and dizziness. The call was to a third floor inner city apartment. In the ambulance, on the way to the E.R. I heard the med tech call in that he had just drunk a case of beer. No wonder he was dizzy. Go figure.

<Bob> wrote in message news:39ac39ae.973286@news.compusmart.ab.ca...
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2000 10:54:06 GMT, BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:
>
> >A medic squad was called to a home because a 24 old male stubbed his
> >toe. No bull!!I think one of those medics get $275 per call. I heard the
> >medic respond to the hospital during transfer he will lose a toenail!
> >Any other wild things has anyone heard?
>
>
> I heard sominthin simular where as some guy had a tooth ache
> (no kiddin), this is a prime example of whats wrong with
> the medical systems. Total missuse of services.
>
>

4930. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8F9FCE888slvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 80754

Yeah, I just ordered an original "black" Yupiteru MVT-9000 from Theicom in Germany.
Hopefully, it will arive quickly. First time dealing with them, but the prices were right.

AJB

screwdriver@mailberlin.net (odo) wrote in <39AB9686.8CEB787F@blinx.de>:

>
>
>John Frank schrieb:
>
>> Yupiteru MVT7100 handheld for sale.
>> Covers 530KHz to 1650 MHz. AM,FM, FM wide, Upper and
>> lower SSB. 1000 memory channels in 10 banks.
>> Includes charger, cigarette lighter power plug,
>> wrist strap, belt clip, telescopic antenna, and
>> manual. $500.00 Located in Baltimore/DC/Annapolis
>> area. 410-987-5288. jwfrank@cablespeed.com
>
>These are for DM 598 NEW over here in europe -unblocked-
>OK, you need to buy another / additional charger-unit.. :-)
>have a look at
>http://www.thiecom.com
>they ship to everywhere in the world....
>
>odo -germany-
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4931. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8F9FC5615slvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 80755

Antenna Specialists makes a nice mobile antenna similar to the Radio Shack one, but it's a trunk
lip mount, which was a plus to me and my Ford Taurus. Looks nice. I was a little nervous about having a magnetic mount antenna on the trunk of my car, more for not wanting it to get
scratched up, but also for security. I have tested the Antenna Specialists trunk lip mount antenna right next to the Radio Shack magnetic mount antenna, and it just edges the RS one out
by a little bit. I don't think you could go wrong with either one.

AJB

bcrocker@home.com (Bill Crocker) wrote in
<fiSq5.18887$QW4.241621@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>:

>Radio Shack has a nice unit, with a mag mount, and two coils. It's
>about 3' tall, and is made out of solid stainless steel rod. You may
>have to purchase a BNC adapter however, last I checked they were still
>putting on the old "car radio" type plug on it.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"Dennis" <dennis@monad.net> wrote in message
>news:39abd970$1@news.monad.net...
>> What's new in mobile scanner antennas. Im ready to
>> retire my rusty old Grove unit..
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4932. Re: Wanted: Middle Tennessee Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: john smith <john.smith@jj.com>
Message-ID: <sqolqm6ac5d113@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Nashville is still simulcasting on there old vhf systems at this time but
off course they are having problems with the new system.
If anyone needs the Freqs for the system I can send them for the astro
system.
```

"Steven Bradley" <steven@magicnet.net> wrote in message
news:39A2ABD6.601BBF2E@magicnet.net...
> If my memory serves me correctly, Nashville is on an Astro system,
> translation, no scanner currently can monitor it.
>
> Steven
>
> "Dan B." wrote:
>
> > Try here:
> > http://www.geocities.com/Baja/5149/nashville.html
> > or here:
> > http://members.tripod.com/~cmeadows/Nashville_Scanner1.htm
> >
> > --
> > Scanner users
> > Visit the Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
> > http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> > 0ver 280 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> > New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> > In God we trust, all others we monitor.
> >
> > "need2fly" <rim_daniel@excite.com> wrote in message
> > news:8nnhcu$98h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > I am looking for scanner frequencies for the Middle Tennessee area.
> > > Any help would be great!
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
>
> --
> Steven Bradley 121 Cambridge Drive
> steven@magicnet.net Longwood, FL 32779-5707 USA
> CompuServe: 102555,3031 Tel: (407) 862-7226
> URL: http://www.magicnet.net/~steven Pager: (407) 231-4325

>
> "And He said to them, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to all
> creation". Mark 16:15
>
>

4933. Re: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <39AC4FAC.2BF33696@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 80757

Try here for some programs that will copy the code.

http://www.muenster.de/%7Ewelp/sb.htm

If the code is machine sent you will get good copy from some of the
programs. Hnad sent code is very hard for the computers to decode if
the sending is not very good.

Sasha wrote:
>
> any one know if there is any software that will decode Morse code for me?

4934. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39AC6789.A421416B@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 80758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This one requires we recall that the Amateur's defense was that an Amateur is unable to buy a (current) transceiver whose receiver is restricted to only the amateur frequencies, and that this should not be held against him. The FCC agreed.

The same applies to receivers. In fact, it applies more so to receivers; no one makes here or imports into this country receivers which cover only the Amateur bands.

The FCC decision SAYS such local laws "are inconsistent with the federal objectives of facilitating and promoting the amateur radio service, and more fundamentally with the federal interest in amateur operator's being able to transmit *and receive* on authorized frequencies." (emphasis added)

The Commission did not need to say receivers and transceivers are equal. They said that the state and local laws which prevent us from receiving authorized frequencies (by banning an apparatus which covers others as well) are preempted.

You probably will have noticed the the FCC doesn't like to make broad pronouncements. I suspect someone will have to appeal to them that this decision applies to receivers as well before they will come out and say so explicitly. But that's the same approach they took to television antennas; having preempted laws against small satellite dishes, it took a few years before they preempted laws and covenants against conventional TV broadcast antennas -- which they did by extending the original preemption.

Cheers,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

D Stark wrote:

> I've been studying legalese and court interpretations of law for a few years
> now.
>
> Where has the FCC clearly stated, in writing, that they consider a transceiver
> to be identical to a receiver/transmitter combination in all regulatory and
> legal respects?

4935. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39AC74BA.52E458C9@primenet.com>
Source record: 80759

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Petty Officer in the US Navy.
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > What rank in what branch
> SNIP

Toilet Boy, you are a liar. You've stated in the past you've never
been in the military, now you claim to be a Petty Officer, in the
Navy no less. You're such a fraud.

Path:
news.primenet.com!nntp.primenet.com!news.idt.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!208.159.126.161!
news.mci2000.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <35C69C04.7BE8@parg.org>
From: KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>
Reply-To: jmackey@parg.org
Organization: PARG
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Allsafe makes a FOOL of himself again!!!!
References: <35C683DB.725A0031@netwit.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Tue, 04 Aug 1998 05:27:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.55.5.110
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 04 Aug 1998 05:27:34 GMT
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:140839
X-Mozilla-Status: 8000

allsafe wrote:
> Mackey did a stint as a sailor after high school. Anyhoo, he got

heheheehehehehhe, LAUGHS ARE ON YOU!!!
I'm too tall for military service so I have never been in the military!

Path:
news.primenet.com!nntp.primenet.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.internetmci.com!208.159.
126.161!news.mci2000.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <35C7C136.DDC@parg.org>
From: KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org>

Reply-To: jmackey@parg.org
Organization: PARG
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Toilet Boy makes a FOOL of himself again!!!!
References: <35C683DB.725A0031@netwit.com> <35C69C04.7BE8@parg.org>
<35C7A1F9.589D031D@p_arg.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 05 Aug 1998 02:18:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.55.10.82
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 05 Aug 1998 02:18:36 GMT
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:140977
X-Mozilla-Status: 8010

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> You claimed to
> be 6'1" tall in a previous message (shown below). According
> to the recruiting offices here in Phoenix, *all* branches of
> military services do NOT have a height limitation. The only

Thanks Richard! I always thought that 6 feet was the height limit for
military service. Hmmm, maybe I'll join the military & try to get
stationed at Luke AFB near you! Wouldn't that be neat!

4936. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' Mackey - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39AC751E.82106C51@primenet.com>
Source record: 80760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Didn't see any.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > Did you also read the messages from many saying it was an obvious forge?
> SNIP

That's because you're incompetent, Toilet Boy.

4937. Re: R71A/FRG-8800/FRG-7000/Pro-2006 - sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Consigliori <Emetib@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <cA_q5.199$aY1.96553@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 80761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

All Radio's have been Sold. Thanks to all who expressed interest.

david
Consigliori wrote in message ...
>I have finally decided to sell off some of my gear to help finance the
>purchase of a new receiver. Everything listed is either currently in use,
>or has been put on a shelf due to lack of space. If you are interested,
>please respond to EMETIB@SWBELL.NET. I usually check my mail daily after
>6:00pm CST. My EBAY id is Consigliori if you want to check out my user
>feedback (all positive). The end result of all of this will be the
>purchase of a higher-end receiver. Models I am looking at include the NRD
>545, R8B, AOR 7030+, or possibly a R-8500. If anyone is planning on
selling
>one of these receivers in the near future please let me know.
>
>Buyer pay's shipping. Payment by USPS MO only.
>
>I am trying to borrow a friend's digital camera for this weekend so drop me
>a line if you want to see a picture of one of the receivers and I will do
my
>best to send it to you.
>
>Thanks,
>David
>.
>Icom R71-A
>Condition 8 on a 1 to 10 scale
>Comments: Smeter light does not work S-Meter itself works fine. Has Notch
>and PBT. Quality receiver. Built like a rock. Very Sensitive. This is a
>used receiver and the case has the normal scuffs/scratches/dings and pings
>that come from everyday use (the display has no imperfections and is clear
>and bright. The front panal is also in great condition). The receiver
>itself works perfectly. Comes with original Owners manual.
>Price - $400
>
>Yaesu FRG-8800
>Condition 9
>Comments Excellent audio from the front firing speaker. A Very good
>receiver in very good condition. Has a few light scratches on the case
but
>that's about it. Works perfectly. Comes with Original owners manual and a
>copy of the service manual.
>Price - $300.00

>
>Yaesu FRG-7000
>Condition • 9
>Comments: the •local• light indicator is out. The GMT/SET1/SET2 as well
as
>the clock itself work fine. Good Receiver. Excellent condition. A small
>scuff mark on the top of the case, and a very light fading of paint on one
>small corner are about the only imperfections with the way the radio looks.
>Works Perfectly. Comes with original owners manual.
>Price - $225.00
>
>Radio Shack Pro-2006
>Condition • 9
>Comments • Cell Unblocked. Works perfectly. Have 2 of them so 1 has gotta
>go. Does not come with Manual.
>Price - $230.00
>
>
>
>
>

4938. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <39AC76F3.BC313301@primenet.com>
Source record: 80762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K-S-0-F (callsign) wrote:
>
> "Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote
> > You have everything to gain, like credibility. You
>
> How can I gain something I already have in abundance?

Only in your dreams, Toilet Boy. this is your denial manifesting itself again. Take your meds.

> > I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.
> > Here are the terms again, just in case
>
> Richard, I don't care what you do.

Sure you do. You want to cause trouble and keep things stirred up and generally annoy people. You're also avoiding the issue about your so-called degrees. You're a liar when you claim to posses them. Prove the degrees or, to quote you, "or be found a liar by default."

Because your mental illness and expired medications are causing memory lapses, here are the terms again, just in case you develop the intestinal fortitude to face yourself. So, show us, Toilet Boy, are you man enough to face yourself? Or are you just a pitiful liar and coward?

Here's the deal: When you post the proof of your alleged degrees AND it's verified, I will post the information about my psychology degrees.

I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified, I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

4939. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <nk4mqsgqtmn2e90om72gnvdib0onnf52s2@4ax.com>
Source record: 80763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 19:54:00 GMT, kos@thereservation.how (Keem-O-Sabe)
wrote:
>Yes, you're correct. That's what I have heard in the past. I guess I'm not "He Who Knows
All" :^(
>FWIW, the word 'sabe' means 'know' in Spanish.
>
>Electric Indian (might as well change it, Kemo Sabe)
Yeah, but in year 2k Indian wouldn't it be:

keno bingo craps blackjack poker?

4940. Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: TwoBravo@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3220-39AC7755-78@storefull-614.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80764

There seems to be too much fighting going on in this NG. how about instead of trying to beat each other down, why don't we all try harder to help each other out with a cool hobby?

No one is benefitting from all of this juvenile crybaby crap!

4941. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC wants to continue the troubles!! was:Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39AC80CF.10790455@postnet.com>
Source record: 80765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Mackey,

Please stop the constant baiting of other users. You've posted so many aggressively abusive messages over the past several weeks that your lack of sincerity in requesting some abatement is clearly obvious. It isn't hard to see that your new "persona" is designed to do nothing more than annoy and provoke those to whom you address these insincerities. Your agenda remains (as it always has been) to incite unhappiness and strife. I certainly hope that your ISP will undertake the long overdue disciplinary action that will result in your stopping this incessant harassment.

J o h n M wrote:

> Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
>
> Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would
> like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
>
> It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2 weeks.
> Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
> being the source of trouble?
>
> Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do it
> permanently.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

4942. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39AC838B.50449FD7@postnet.com>
Source record: 80766

J o h n M wrote:

> Petty Officer in the US Navy.

John, the closest you came to being a naval officer is being a cabin
boy on a Greek Navy vaseline tanker!

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

4943. The Corky lies never end.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <qN0r5.2649$zG.332574@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 80767

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:OH%q5.38325$Ur3.392724@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Dan - In the Navy, a Petty Officer is a Non-Commissioned Officer!
>
> (Now don't you feel embarrased!)
>

No you don't have a clue John-Corky. A Petty Oficer 3rd class is an E-4 same as a Corporal in the Army. An E-4 IS NOT AN OFFICER Corky you MORON! A PO 2 is an E-5 equals an Army Sargent. Not an Officer Moron. PO1 is an E-6 equals and Army Staff Sergant, Not an Officer you MORON! Chief Petty Officer equals an Army Sergeant First Class, not an Officer Corky. Even a Master Chief Petty Officer equal to an Army Sergeant Major is a E-9. Do you even know what the E-* classification means John-Corky? Enlisted Ranks. Call a MCPON or below and officer and they would rip your head off.

BTW LiarJohn-Corky. You posted earlier you were never in the Military. Mommy sending you off to Sheep camp again this year?

>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > J o h n M wrote:
> > > Petty Officer in the US Navy.
> >
> > John, the closest you came to being a naval officer is being a cabin
> > boy on a Greek Navy vaseline tanker!
>
>
>

4944. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofmc0tiv1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What rank in what branch of service John-Corky? You won't answer cause it can
be verified and you will AGAIN be proven a liar and a fraud. Prove it
John-Corky

TL

In article <NeIq5.34828$Ur3.366797@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>Glenn's stupidity & lies snipped
>> Head short arm inspector, right? As in you real life, if you did anything
>> important you would post it like that stupid ARRL binary you put up here.
>
>Sorry Glenn, my military duties are NOT something I can speak of over
>"un-secured" communications. So OBVIOUSLY I could not post anything
>about it like the ARRL cert (which is HARDLY a national secret).
>
>SNIP
>> in the National Guard. Not a pilot, not
>> even an officer just a weekend nurse, again..
>
>I am not in the national guard. I am an officer. Glenn, your jealousy is
>starting to show again! (BTW- in the military I am NOT involved in the
>Medical section at all)
>
>
>> >Upon my return from training I will deal with you.
>>
>> That's one of the threats you made on the repeater
>
>If you take that as a threat then that is how YOU chose to accept it.
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4945. Re: Fool Corky-John

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofmk6tnc1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have to know Glenn, it will be pure gold the day John-Corky leaves broken
only by his return.

TL

Not a fool would make bet on the trouble continueing after John-Corky leaves.

In article <lKGq5.1054$Z83.452305@news.uswest.net>, Glenn
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>If what you say is true John-Corky, if I am the troublemaker, then I will
>continue to cause problems when you are gone.
>
>It isn't though John-Corky, whenever you leave the silence is golden. Check
>Deja.com or have an adult show you. No John-Corky, no trouble.
>
>
>J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>news:toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
>> public
>> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
>> weeks
>> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
>with
>> you.
>> The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your
>> childish antics.
>>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
>> SNIP
>>
>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4946. Re: Fool Glenn KB7PKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofmpftps1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I checked with deja as you said you did and founf John-Corky Mackey under hundreds of different names posting 1000's of off topic messages. How do you figure that John-Corky??

TL

In article <FhIq5.34829$Ur3.368653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>I checked deja as you suggested. I found posts from YOU looking for "YOUNG >AMATEURS" for homosexual actions.
>
>Do you want me to post the message here?
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>SNIP
>> if I am the troublemaker, then I will
>> continue to cause problems when you are gone.
>SNIP
>> Check Deja.com or have
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4947. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofmfjtkl1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You are such an idiot crap head John-Corky. It is obvious you are the
trouble. I bet this NG has 2 weeks of fun because you will not be here.
Sounds like heaven to me. Leave early John-Corky and come back real late.

TL

In article <toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
>public
>FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
>weeks
>military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal with
>you.
>The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your
>childish antics.
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
>SNIP
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4948. ***John-Corky exposed !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofnc1$u1g$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I read some about John-Corky going to East High School in Iowa, and getting beat up on a daily basis. I read some about John-Corky living on the Indian reservations running buck naked. I read some about John-Corky haveing problems with wet beds. I read some about John-Corky haveing sex with underage girls and underage prostitues. I read some about John-Corky selling Ham licenses. I read some about John-Corky have sex with other PARG members. I read some about John-Corky haveing sex with a guy named Petey the Portland a flute player or something. I read some about John being charge for molesting children. I read about John-Corky being charged for molesting the elderly.

I guess if the one deja.com you found about Glenn was true ALL of the above about you John-Corky must be also be true. You are a liar. Fraud. Creep.

TL

In article <%DIq5.1827$o5.413467@news.uswest.net>, Glenn
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>Did you also read the messages from many saying it was an obvious forge?
>Naw, John-Corky doesn't deal with the facts. Please, do what ever to deflect
>the fact that you are a liar and a fraud. Nobody believes your tripe anyway
>Corky.
>
>
>
>J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>news:FhIq5.34829$Ur3.368653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> I checked deja as you suggested. I found posts from YOU looking for
>"YOUNG
>> AMATEURS" for homosexual actions.
>>
>> Do you want me to post the message here?
>>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> SNIP
>> > if I am the troublemaker, then I will
>> > continue to cause problems when you are gone.
>> SNIP
>> > Check Deja.com or have
>>
>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4949. Re: Yaesu VR-500 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: MODSQUAD <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W%Jq5.16890$d4.65809@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 80835

Scanners were sold

"MODSQUAD" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:r8Wp5.16700$d4.62747@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> I have 2 more scanners left.
>
>
> "MODSQUAD" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:uxPo5.15135$A4.52916@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > Got another Vr-500 for sale brand new in box covers 100khz-1300mhz with
no
> > gaps.FM/WFM/AM/SSB 1000 Channel $300.00 Shipping included USA
> >
> >
>
>

4950. Re: FS: Uniden Bearcat UBC3000XLT scanner UBC 3000XLT UBC3000 XLT 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <39AB89E1.D6768C03@one.net.au>
Source record: 80836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,ithaca.marketplace

DJ wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=422820108
>
> I am selling a slightly used, Uniden Bearcat UBC3000XLT scanner.
>
> This scanner comes with original box and packaging, manual, original
> flexible antenna, aftermarket (radio shack) collapsable antenna,
> earphone, belt clip, leather carry case, NICAD battery pack. I just had
> new cells put in the NICAD battery pack...just like factory new now.
> The old battery pack went dead due to non-use.

If it is only slightly use why did the batt pack need new cells?????

Kev

4951. What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BPK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AB957C.896833C2@home.com>
Source record: 80837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pro 2006,BC 895XLT, bc9000XLT,PRO 2042,BC 860XLT are all triple conversion scanners. Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc 860xlt and 9000 xlt. I just bought a PRO 2045- will I be able to hear cell images? thanks for any help.

4952. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39AB9686.8CEB787F@blinx.de>
Source record: 80838

John Frank schrieb:

> Yupiteru MVT7100 handheld for sale.
> Covers 530KHz to 1650 MHz. AM,FM, FM wide, Upper and
> lower SSB. 1000 memory channels in 10 banks.
> Includes charger, cigarette lighter power plug,
> wrist strap, belt clip, telescopic antenna, and
> manual. $500.00 Located in Baltimore/DC/Annapolis
> area. 410-987-5288. jwfrank@cablespeed.com

These are for DM 598 NEW over here in europe -unblocked-
OK, you need to buy another / additional charger-unit.. :-)
have a look at
http://www.thiecom.com
they ship to everywhere in the world....

odo -germany-

4953. heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BPK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AB96DC.9FB880F1@home.com>
Source record: 80839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A medic squad was called to a home because a 24 old male stubbed his toe. No bull!!I think one of those medics get $275 per call. I heard the medic respond to the hospital during transfer he will lose a toenail! Any other wild things has anyone heard?

4954. IE & OE USERS, KAKWORM VIRUS WARNING!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <39aba346$0$760$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 80840
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Since frequenting these News Groups, I have discovered the KAKWORM virus on my PC.

There is a removal procedure and a security patch to stop re-infection here - http://support.microsoft.com/support/kb/articles/Q262/1/65.ASP

4955. FA: Pro 2042 with FULL FREQUENCY OptoScan 535 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39abb615@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 80841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pro 2042 scanner with a full frequency coverage Optoelectronics OptoScan 535
computer interface installed that I am auctioning on ebay. The unit is in flawless condition and comes with documentation. This unit can be used with the Optoelectronics Scout 40 for "Reaction Tuning" (which I am also auctioning). If you would like to
check it out please go to:

Pro 2042:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=420801104

Scout 40:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421600314

Thank you for viewing my auctions. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

R. Olson

4956. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqngvqt5c5d177@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John, I will silently monitor the situation on this NG and only reply if the CAL's continue to cause trouble during the 2 wk. cease fire period.
I really hope they abide by there pledges.
RL

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message news:toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
> public
> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
> weeks
> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
with
> you.
> The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your
> childish antics.
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
> SNIP
>
>

4957. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sqnh6d7c5d30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

" Glenn the NG Group Buffoon " basically said I will not stop till I save
the NG group from the likes of people just like myself.
Glenn don't let the circle be broken.
RL

J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:_mGq5.34272$Ur3.362699@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>
> > I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
> > kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo
>
> Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.
>
>
> > John shorting out and ruining a
> > power supply,
>
> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
you
> are found to be a LIAR!
>
>
> > John adding to the distribution list for no other reason
> > than to cause trouble,
>
> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
you
> are found to be a LIAR!
>
>
> > John ruining a repeater due to not being able
> > to understand polarity,
>
> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
you
> are found to be a LIAR!
>
>
> > John swearing, threatening and jamming a
> > repeater in the SeaTac area,
>

> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
you
> are found to be a LIAR! Even the repeater owner/licensee say it never
> happened.
>
>
> > John changing his name 5 times a week,
>
> Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so
you
> are found to be a LIAR!
>
> Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
> public
> FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
> weeks
> military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal
with
> you.
>
>
>

4958. Re: "Eric Oyen" also active on Deja.Com (was: Re: more lies from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829101751.16859.00000461@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 80844

In article <39AAE7DE.705A43AE@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:

>you left much to the imagination there... anyone not looking real close
>(this woul;d require a telescope) would assume that I posted over 1000
>times according to this statement.

No, sir. That is merely your own personal interpretation.

Look, Mr. Oyen, I have neither the time nor the motivation to wage an endless and senseless argument with you via the Internet. Besides, it appears you already have plenty of adversaries on this forum. You need another USENET enemy like Phoenix hams need to hear you on 2-meters. Good day.

4959. Re: Uniden 2 way UHF radios, great for semi-professional use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829101751.16859.00000462@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 80845

In article <39ABAB16.E561C174@mediaone.net>, billymac <billymac@mediaone.net>
writes:

>The GMR 100 UNIDEN personal 1 watt 2-way radio. Uniden, the world's largest
>manufacturer of personal communications. The GMR-100 is not considered a
>family radio device

Actually, GMRS radios *are* being considered FRS radios by a growing number of
users. The higher-powered Talkabout Distance and GMR series in particular are
becoming popular with FRS users who want more range. The fact that their use
is illegal without an FCC license seems to make no difference.

4960. Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasha <sashaz@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <YAPq5.366$O5.39514@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 80846

any one know if there is any software that will decode Morse code for me?

4961. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:03:54 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <K5Dq5.114$bO.17022@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80802

I third and fourth that motion and relinquish the floor
--
blah blah blah

4962. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:20:39 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <rlDq5.386$cV4.15634@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What happens to me<no radio ticket>is I always hit these
vehicle inspection sticker check points in these rinky dink
little towns on the way to Atlantic City a few times a
month.I have seen some postings recently where it says non- hams may have
this equipment<my state only YOUR rinky-dink lil states rules may apply>and
not fear prosecution.You really dont know what to beleive till
the PROCESS happens to you.So I come up on 2 this month.The first time I
just in a 2 second non-furtive
movement,I had the whole apparatus disconnected
and stashed out of view in carry bin behind the 2 passenger seats.The second
time I disconnected
the stock bc-245xlt antenna <makes radio very scanner looking> and slipped
on dual band custom antenna
which makes the 245 look like some kind of
wacky nextel phone of the future and just left it there.
What would you do?If you're not a ham?
spread the love and care around the household,Gibstah

--
blah blah blah

4963. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:38:32 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39AB0608.74969A12@bctv.com>
Source record: 80800
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 02:54:40 GMT, D Stark wrote:
>
> >Under U.S. laws, a Misdemeanor is a crime. The other class of crime is
> >Felony. One can be arrested for either. There is a lesser category of
> >offense called a Violation that can get you a simple appearance ticket in
> >most jurisdictions.
> >
> >David T. Stark, BSCJ/Soc
> >NF2G.COM / NYSING.ORG / RAPID-MEDIA.ORG
>
> Under U S Law, when a person is detained and given a "ticket",
> called different things in different states ("Summons". "Notice
> to Appear", "Citation",etc), that person is legally "under
> arrest" from the time that the officer decides to charge the
> person until the "ticket" is handed to the offender, who
> technically is then released on "own recognizance" upon a
> specific or impiled promise to respond as specified on the
> "ticket".

Close, but no kewpie. A person is under arrest from the moment in which
his activities are interrupted ("arrested") by a law enforcement officer
acting under the cloak of authority. Until such time as this takes
place, the subject is not under any form of arrest, thus he is not
evading arrest (under law), should he not come close enough for the LEO
to make contact.

4964. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 00:40:22 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39AB0676.749706A2@bctv.com>
Source record: 80801
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ching Chu Maye wrote:
>
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 01:37:59 GMT, jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >Back then, two police cars were involved - one with the radar set up on a
> >wooden tripod and the other was the chase car. The cop explained he
> >had been traveling 1 or 2 miles over the limit by the radar set back at
> >the first car. The gentleman who had been stopped requested to go back
> >and speak with the other officer (hmm ...). They went back and an
> >introduction was started - only to be cut short by the gentleman who
> >had been stopped. "I don't want to talk with the other officer, I want
> >to see the inspection sticker on your radar set." The cops looked at each other
> >then back at the gentleman. Out came the credentials. "Federal Communications
> >Commission. Either you produce the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class
> >radiotelephone or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll have to
> >write you up." The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.
> >
> >This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the U.S.
> >Navy in January of 1967. I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest
> >someone from the FCC for having a scanner!
>
> The fuzz had radar way back in '66?

Yep!

I think the first speed radar system was developed in the late 1950s.
I've seen something about this old, it's big and it's a pain to use.

4965. Re: Open letter to John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 01:15:37 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8of2rp$rs9$0@63.162.247.41>
Source record: 80804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:
>
> If you want this to stop, then WHY do you keep posting?
>

John, it is clearly obvious that YOU do not want this to
stop, as you keep posting peoples names in the subject line and
calling them troublemakers.

Please John, stop the name calling, and try to post on-topic.

> I use a Regency MX-3000.

Now, you see? That was not too hard. Unfortunately I guess I
asked you some technical questions that were beyond your capability
to answer. Lets try it again.

What made you decide to use the MX-3000 instead of another model?
Even if you cannot come up with a technical reason, tell us if it
was just a reason such as the color of the scanner matched your
clothes that day.

> > So tell me, what kind of scanner are you using now? What made you
> > decide to use it, instead of another model?

4966. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 01:18:45 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8of31l$s0f$0@63.162.247.41>
Source record: 80805

Who Did you Expect? wrote:

> I was dismayed to see yet another flame war starting
> over the "blind one".
>
> Enough is enough.
>

Agreed.

> Maybe someday, someone will start a moderated scanner use
> group. Until then, good by for know.

> To all the good folks, sorry and I respect those of
> you with stronger stomachs than I have got.

Well sad to see you go. Things are looking up here, and
perhaps you might come back. Send me some email and we can
chat about mailing lists and such, that are directly related
to scanning.

> Maybe some day all the losers will grow up.

Perhaps someday he will.

4967. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 01:29:22 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8of3li$76$0@63.162.247.41>
Source record: 80806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:

> The cops looked at each other then back at the gentleman. Out came the
> credentials. "Federal Communications Commission. Either you produce
> the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class radiotelephone
> or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll have to
> write you up."
>
> The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.
>
> This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the U.S.
> Navy in January of 1967. I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest
> someone from the FCC for having a scanner!
>

This story is great. Almost as good as the Craig Shergold story.

Just as big an urban legend however. No one ever seems to remember
the name of the FCC person.....

4968. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 02:02:30 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8of5jm$ojc@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 80807

And playing the Newt Gingrich cell conversation tape on your stereo.

"Andy in RI" <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20000828124801.21556.00000386@ng-de1.aol.com...
> >Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
> >cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part
of
> >their interdiction effort
>
> Sounds like a fun place to drive through after putting every mag mount
antenna
> you can find on you car, but take all of the radios out.. :)
>
> Imagine getting pulled over with like 5 or 6 mag mount antennas, and they
find
> no radio inside.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

4969. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 02:04:28 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <MSEq5.33965$Ur3.333535@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80808

You are already showing promise of growing up, Blake.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Who Did you Expect? wrote:
> > Maybe some day all the losers will grow up.
>
> Perhaps someday he will.

4970. Re: F/S Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 02:04:49 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8of5o1$nbj@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 80809

And he changes his E-mail address like I change socks, You have to
wonder??????????
"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
news:39AAE848.ABF6AC1D@home.com...
> I'll give you $100 for it (cost includes shipping). otherwise, you ask
too
> much for modified/used equipment (sorry, just my opinion)
>
> Robert wrote:
>
> > -- On a scale from 1-10 its a 9 1/2!! Only one Tiny scratch on the 5 key
> >
> > but hardly noticeable. It is already modified for the cell band and
> > works great. $185.00 shipped to you anywhere in the continental U.S
> > email me at intimidator33333@hotmail.com if interested.
> > ----------
> > Click here for Free Video!!
> > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>

4971. scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 02:08:54 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <WWEq5.33992$Ur3.359326@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP
> stop, as you keep posting peoples names in the subject line and
> calling them troublemakers.

Blake, I am sure you have heard the saying "If the shoe fits,,,,".

SNIP
> What made you decide to use the MX-3000 instead of another model?
> Even if you cannot come up with a technical reason, tell us if it
SNIP

It really wasn't a technical issue. The size & shape worked perfectly for
my application.

What type of scanner do YOU use, Blake?

4972. Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble & won't stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 02:12:03 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <TZEq5.34017$Ur3.359659@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John
SNIP

So what you are saying is that you are unable to control yourself. Rather,
you react
to my actions which act as directions to you. In other words, I control you
like
strings on a puppet as Rick said in another post!

4973. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:04:04 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8of974ffc0@63.162.246.128>
Source record: 80812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:

> It really wasn't a technical issue. The size & shape worked perfectly for
> my application.
>
> What type of scanner do YOU use, Blake?

A number of them. I have a Pro2004 here on my desk, as well as a
Motorola JT1000 scanning the local FD channels. At the office
I have a BC895, Regency with TF1, and a Spectra, VHF. I also
scan my UHF Community Repeaters with a Motorola Maxtrac.

I have outfitted most of my service vehicles with my personal
favorite radio with scan capabilities, the Motorola Spectra for
VHF, <most used> Maxtracs for UHF, GTX for 800, and Uniden
MR8100's for filling in the holes.

My son has a VHF Spectra in his pickup, and a Motorola HT1250
for a portable.

My wife scans with a Motorola M1225, or SP50 portable.

Now, of course, most of these are Two Way radios, but with their
superiour specs, they make GREAT scanners.

Of course, if I had to stretch, my 1200S, and my 2000 series
service monitors can scan.

4974. FS: Optoelectronics Optocom radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:36:32 UTC
From: juant2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000828233632.09541.00000442@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80813

I am selling my Optoeletronics Optocom scanning reciever. I have not really used it. I bought it thinking I would get into the hobby of scanning but honestly I have not had much time to learn to use this unit. I do not have the original box nor the Trakstar software. I have the unit, the serial cable, power supply, standard antenna and a copy of the manual. It is perfectly clean. It is also of recent manufacture with the current Bitbanger circuit. I am asking $375 plus shipping. I can e-mail you a picture of everything upon request. I am in South Florida should you be local and care to inspect it.

Juan

4975. Re: Trunk Tracking in Europe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:37:36 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <csbmqs49682qgboc6d2dpevhrgill93l65@4ax.com>
Source record: 80814

On 28 Aug 2000 20:01:20 -0500, jbseale@iglou.com (Jeff Seale) wrote:

>troyrow@optusnet.com.au (Troy Rowland) wrote in
><39a9fa13$0$776$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>:
>
>>I can't really see the attraction in listening to cellular (we call them
>>moblie in Australia) phones anyway. It's no an issue here, due to the
>>use of GSM or CDMA technology in all mobile phones sold. Our old
>>analogue system was shut down this year, so there is no longer any
>>mobile network to listen to anyway.
>
>Analog is still alive and well in the States, but we also have CDMA and can
>only hope to get GSM pretty soon
GSM is very much alive in the USA at 1900Mhz. I think There is
nowcoverage in every major city (finally, Chicago and Dallas were the
last). Most is now provided by amalgam of companies under the
VoiceStream banner, although in California and Nevada, it is Pacific
Bell Mobile Services.

4976. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:37:40 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8eGq5.34198$Ur3.362489@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That is very true, Blake. Infact, several years ago during my affiliation
with a local
Sheriff's Dept (which operated on hi-band), I commonly used my issued MT-500
to scan the law enforcement & fire freqs as I was VERY close to a commercial
paging transmitter. The MT-500 was one of the FEW receivers that could
survive, & the scanning board in the MT-500 was a fortunate option.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP
> Now, of course, most of these are Two Way radios, but with their
> superiour specs, they make GREAT scanners.

4977. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:47:06 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <_mGq5.34272$Ur3.362699@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote

> I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
> kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo

Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.

> John shorting out and ruining a
> power supply,

Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so you
are found to be a LIAR!

> John adding to the distribution list for no other reason
> than to cause trouble,

Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so you
are found to be a LIAR!

> John ruining a repeater due to not being able
> to understand polarity,

Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so you
are found to be a LIAR!

> John swearing, threatening and jamming a
> repeater in the SeaTac area,

Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so you
are found to be a LIAR! Even the repeater owner/licensee say it never
happened.

> John changing his name 5 times a week,

Above is not true, & you have NEVER presented anything to prove this so you
are found to be a LIAR!

Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a
public
FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two
weeks
military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal with
you.

4978. Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:48:41 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <toGq5.34284$Ur3.360725@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn - It is OBVIOUS that you want to continue to cause trouble & make a public
FOOL of yourself here on usenet by harassing me. I have to leave for two weeks
military training very soon. Upon my return from training I will deal with you.
The national defense of our democracy is far more important than your childish antics.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up
SNIP

4979. ID L/O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 03:58:28 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39ab34d3.16541327@news.penn.com>
Source record: 80818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can you lock-out a trunking ID in "open" mode on the 92A?

4980. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 04:55:34 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <8offo6$pv5$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 80819
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:

: This story is great. Almost as good as the Craig Shergold story.

: Just as big an urban legend however. No one ever seems to remember
: the name of the FCC person.....

I believe the story was written up by an FCC agent. Whether the story
was true, or not, is another matter.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4981. Re: NFL Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 05:03:16 UTC
From: JKT <DolphnsNOSPAM@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <39AB4414.5FC9F1ED@ibm.net>
Source record: 80820

Actually, the headsets are wireless, but I believe they are secure.
Possibly spread spectrum. Try a web search on Google for other related
freqs.

The stadiums and the teams should have conventional Uhf freqs in the
450-470 range. If you come up with anything interesting let us know...

Mike Forman wrote:

> Went to the Rams-Cowboys game last night and was unable to hear the
> sideline chatter. How do I access these frequencies? Interested in
> hearing the conversations.
>
> Any help???
>
> Thanks

4982. Sold -Pro 2052 w/Winscan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 05:48:58 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829014858.05080.00000872@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 80821

Sold

Thanks

4983. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 05:54:53 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <NeIq5.34828$Ur3.366797@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
Glenn's stupidity & lies snipped
> Head short arm inspector, right? As in you real life, if you did anything
> important you would post it like that stupid ARRL binary you put up here.

Sorry Glenn, my military duties are NOT something I can speak of over
"un-secured" communications. So OBVIOUSLY I could not post anything
about it like the ARRL cert (which is HARDLY a national secret).

SNIP
> in the National Guard. Not a pilot, not
> even an officer just a weekend nurse, again..

I am not in the national guard. I am an officer. Glenn, your jealousy is
starting to show again! (BTW- in the military I am NOT involved in the
Medical section at all)

> >Upon my return from training I will deal with you.
>
> That's one of the threats you made on the repeater

If you take that as a threat then that is how YOU chose to accept it.

4984. Re: Fool Glenn KB7PKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 05:57:57 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <FhIq5.34829$Ur3.368653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I checked deja as you suggested. I found posts from YOU looking for "YOUNG AMATEURS" for homosexual actions.

Do you want me to post the message here?

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
SNIP
> if I am the troublemaker, then I will
> continue to cause problems when you are gone.
SNIP
> Check Deja.com or have

4985. Re: John-Corky quit cross posting to other groups.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:30:56 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8oflb0$t2l$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In my best John-Corky slurr, air hissing through the gap in my teeth:

Well said Glenn!

In article <i8Cq5.739$Z83.300392@news.uswest.net>, Glenn
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>John-Corky
>
>The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the
>person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You John-Corky
>are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a
>week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the
>distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my
>messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic
>messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for your
>denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are
>sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really
>think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the
>messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The day
>you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same
>liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen
>name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you and
>remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you
>would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose John-Corky
>
>Glenn
>
>
>
>
>
>
>M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>news:hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
>>
>> Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members would
>> like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
>>
>> It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2
>weeks.
>> Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
>> being the source of trouble?
>>

>> Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do
>it
>> permanently.
>>
>>
>> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
>> SNIP
>> > Do you really
>> > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all
>the
>> > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
>> day
>> > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
>same
>> > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
>screen
>> > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
>> and
>> > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then
>John-Corky
>> SNIP
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4986. Re: John-Corky quit cross posting to other groups.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:35:15 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8oflj3$t71$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rick,What is your pathetic count of posts not on topic, all the while
bitching atother people for the sameeeeeeeeeeeeeeeReeeaaaaasonnnnnnnnnnnn.
Maybe you are the new NET COP.
John keeps tuggin at your wee wee and you never fail to show your infatuation
whenever some user exposes his lies.

When you chang'in that name again RicK__Corky? You haven't mimiced yer luv
John-Corky for almost two days.

TL

In article <sqltthpst91177@corp.supernews.com>, RicK Larson
<Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> writes:
>Glenn
>What is your pathetic count of posts not on topic, all the while bitching at
>other people for the sameeeeeeeeeeeeeeeReeeaaaaasonnnnnnnnnnnn.
>Maybe you are the new NET COP.
>John keeps tuggin at your string and you never fail to show your
>infatuation.
>
>
>
>Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
>news:i8Cq5.739$Z83.300392@news.uswest.net...
>> John-Corky
>>
>> The only way I will cooperate is when you shut up John-Corky. You are the
>> person posting 400+ off topic messages a month John-Corky. You John-Corky
>> are the person changing his screen name to avoid the kill files 4 times a
>> week. You are the person who adds ham and firefighter groups to the
>> distribution after they asked you to stop John-Corky. Don't reply to my
>> messages John-Corky and all will be well. Don't post your 400 off topic
>> messages and the NG will LOVE that. What ever you do don't blame me for
>your
>> denial, you are the trouble, you are the liar and the fraud. If you are
>> sincere this NG will never see you post again John-Corky. Do you really
>> think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all the
>> messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up. The
>day
>> you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the same
>> liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your screen
>> name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher you
>and
>> remove you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then John-Corky you

>> would have NOTHING!! Just another day closer , shut up or lose John-Corky
>>
>> Glenn
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
>> news:hVBq5.33104$Ur3.353211@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> > Glenn - You really are a babling FOOL, aren't you??
>> >
>> > Glenn, do you want to keep this going? Do you think the NG members
>would
>> > like that? Please TRY to be cooperative for at least 2 weeks.
>> >
>> > It is very simple, Glenn, simply STOP your trouble making posts for 2
>> weeks.
>> > Nearly EVERYONE else has except you. Don't you ever get tired to always
>> > being the source of trouble?
>> >
>> > Once you see how easy it is to be nice for 2 weeks, you might want to do
>> it
>> > permanently.
>> >
>> >
>> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
>> > SNIP
>> > > Do you really
>> > > think this ploy will save you from losing access with @home after all
>> the
>> > > messages sent to abuse@home.net your ISP? Nope, you can't shut up.
>The
>> > day
>> > > you shut so will many others. Until then John-Corky you are just the
>> same
>> > > liar and fraud we have seen for the last 6 years on here. Only your
>> screen
>> > > name changes. Who knows, enough letters and the ARRL may Burt Fisher
>you
>> > and
>> > > removing you from your volunteer positions as VE and OO. Then
>> John-Corky
>> > SNIP
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>

>>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4987. Re: This news group is sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:37:05 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8oflmh$t8h$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80826

In article <MSEq5.33965$Ur3.333535@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>You are already showing promise of growing up, Blake.

You still are a liar John-Corky. Your little self serving posts like that to
Blake. What a troublemaker. You are one sicko freak Corky.

>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>> Who Did you Expect? wrote:
>> > Maybe some day all the losers will grow up.
>>
>> Perhaps someday he will.
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4988. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:38:52 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8oflpstbb1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <WWEq5.33992$Ur3.359326@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>SNIP
>> stop, as you keep posting peoples names in the subject line and
>> calling them troublemakers.
>
>Blake, I am sure you have heard the saying "If the shoe fits,,,,".

If that were true Mackey-Corky you would need 1000's of feet to wear all those
shoes. Again, yourt post was nothing but an attempt to bait Blake into more
flames. You are a fraud and a sicko freak John-Corky.

TL

>
>
>SNIP
>> What made you decide to use the MX-3000 instead of another model?
>> Even if you cannot come up with a technical reason, tell us if it
>SNIP
>
>It really wasn't a technical issue. The size & shape worked perfectly for
>my application.
>
> What type of scanner do YOU use, Blake?
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4989. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:41:46 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8oflvatdk1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You first scanner related post and it is nothing but self serving drivel. You ahve so litle self esteem you try and impress the NG with your "affiliation". No technical merit or any useful information, just bragging about nothing impressive. Fool .

TL

In article <8eGq5.34198$Ur3.362489@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>That is very true, Blake. Infact, several years ago during my affiliation
>with a local
>Sheriff's Dept (which operated on hi-band), I commonly used my issued MT-500
>to scan the law enforcement & fire freqs as I was VERY close to a commercial
>paging transmitter. The MT-500 was one of the FEW receivers that could
>survive, & the scanning board in the MT-500 was a fortunate option.
>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>SNIP
>> Now, of course, most of these are Two Way radios, but with their
>> superiour specs, they make GREAT scanners.
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4990. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 06:43:59 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ofm3ftff1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <_mGq5.34272$Ur3.362699@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>
>> I have just verified a number of claims such as John being
>> kicked off the Fido Scanner Echo
>
>Above is the first & only true thing you have said in a long time.

Which would make Glenn ahead of you by at least one truth. The rest of your
denials are bunko John-Corky. You liar. You fraud.

TL

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4991. Uniden 2 way UHF radios, great for semi-professional use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-29 12:22:47 UTC
From: billymac <billymac@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <39ABAB16.E561C174@mediaone.net>
Source record: 80768
Cross-posted to: ne.forsale,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: gmr100.gif

The GMR 100 UNIDEN personal 1 watt 2-way radio. Uniden, the world's largest manufacturer of personal communications. The GMR-100 is not considered a family radio device, it is a high quality semi commercial 2-way radio. Perfect for Organizations, small business, work crews, satellite installers, security teams, events management, and much more !!!! Retail price of over $ 269.00 each unit.

Buyer pays UPS COD shipping from Boston. Many accessories are available for this radio, like speaker mics,charging units, extra batterys and holsters. Features- 8 Channels, One Mile Range, Rubber Antenna, Tx/Bat Low (Red), Busy Led (Yellow), Busy Alert Tone, External Mic/Speaker Jack, Removable Belt Clip, A/C
Adapter, Nicad Battery,

New in the box ready to use, over 1 mile range, full 1 watt of power , not a whimphy FRS radio !!!!!!!!
$ 450.00 for all 4 units, thats over $ 630.00 below retail

~~~~~~
Blues Brothers Quote " Ma'am were not the police, were musicians."
Check it out ! http://www.channel1.com/~billymac
mailto://billymac@mediaone.net

~~~~~~

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

4992. Re: - KS0F still a FRAUD and LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830161632.06863.00005716@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80597

How really sad to see not a ounce of collective brain power from all the CAL's.
2 months of low life remarks about the TV character Corky.
Real mennnnnnnn---tal cases.
John--thankyou for your military service and shame on the likes of CAL's.
They do stoop lower each day.

>Subject: - KS0F still a FRAUD and LIAR
>From: larson@sofcom.com
>Date: 8/29/00 12:02 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8ogqac$l0g$1@news.netmar.com>
>
>Nice SNIP job Corky.
>
>You have showned yourself to be are a liar and a fraud. Again!
>How soon do you leave John-Corky? You absense will be bliss.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <VnQq5.36082$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
><NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>>It was a compliment to Blake!
>>
>><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>>SNIP
>>> Your little self serving posts like that to
>>> Blake.
>>SNIP

4993. Real mennnnnnnn---tal cases.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830161835.06863.00005717@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80598

Count them up.
They do all fit in the same mold.
Corky is a man.
CAL's fall far short.
A member of the normally silent majority.
Hamguy

4994. F/S PRO 2045 SCANNER MINT $135 SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD744F.54702030@home.com>
Source record: 80599

Unit is very clean and works perfectly. Includes antenna,manual and of course,power cube. 200 channels with 900 band,air,miitary. Has the vfo knob,weather alert and can be used in a car. $135 shipped includes Priority Mail. Please email me directly with a name,address and phone number if you want to purchase or have any questions. I have a feedback of perfect 52 on Ebay. thanks

4995. FA: (REPOST) 1. Pro 2006 w/ OptoScan 456 Computer Interface - 2. Scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39ad7e62@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 80600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

This is a repost regarding the scanner and Optoelectronics Scout 40 that I have for auction on ebay.

If you are looking for a mint condition Radio Shack Pro 2006 with a full frequency (yes, including cellular) Optoelectronics OptoScan 456 computer interface please check out the auction that I currently have running on ebay. It will end shortly.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421547838

I also have an Optoelectronics Scout 40 Frequency Recorder that is also full frequency (yes, including cellular). The Scout is in very good to excellent condition and works flawlessly. There are a few small wear marks on the corners which are not very noticeable. The Scout comes with a variety of cables, including cables for the AR8000 and for a CI-V interface (which would include the OptoScan 456 amongst a variety of other computer controlled radios).

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421600314

Thank you for taking the time to view my auctions.

Regards,

R. Olson

4996. Re: F.S rs pro-37 200ch.scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dverg14@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830173849.22335.00001491@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80601

so go on e-bay

4997. Re: Cordless Telephone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8ojv4c$t22$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 80602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> BASE HANDSET
....
> Channel 2 43.76 48.84
....
> Channel 5 43.92 49.00

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Cordless Telephone [15.233]

Chan Base Handset Chan Base Handset

1 43.72 48.76 16 46.61 49.67
2 43.74 48.84 17 B 46.63 49.845
3 43.82 48.86 18 C 46.67 49.86
4 43.84 48.92 19 46.71 49.77
5 43.92 49.02 20 D 46.73 49.875
6 43.96 49.08 21 A 46.77 49.83
7 44.12 49.10 22 E 46.83 49.89
8 44.16 49.16 23 46.87 49.93
9 44.18 49.20 24 46.93 49.99
10 44.20 49.24 25 46.97 49.97
11 44.32 49.28
12 44.36 49.36 Chs A - E handset frequencies
13 44.40 49.40 are also used for baby room
14 44.46 49.46 monitors and low power
15 44.48 49.50 handie-talkies.

The original 10 channels were renumbered 16-25 in June 95
when the new channels 1-15 were added.

4998. REQ:BC 760XLT scanner Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ScaDude347 <bodym@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <esfr5.30265$i5.1370963@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 80603

Help...Bought used, and would like to know how to program,use ctcss tone board ,clear memory ...ect.

4999. Re: John, proud to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <FPfr5.39812$Ur3.411736@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80604

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> subject. I posted proof of your many user names, Glen said you would not

I didn't see any proof, only your rambling.

> The Navy certainly has lowered their standards then.
> Navy, retired. I am sure with more honors and of a higher rank than you

No they raised them recently, & now YOU are retired!

5000. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD89D5.1E74F3DA@home.com>
Source record: 80605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Keith,
that is an irrelivant point.

Keith Wood wrote:

>
> And just how many cops will know this . . ?

5001. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <LQfr5.39818$Ur3.411606@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80606

Well said, very well said.

Would anyone like to join me on letting this NG settle down for a few weeks?
Perhaps it would lead to lasting peace here!

<TwoBravo@webtv.net> wrote
> There seems to be too much fighting going on in this NG. how about
> instead of trying to beat each other down, why don't we all try harder
> to help each other out with a cool hobby?
> No one is benefitting from all of this juvenile crybaby crap!

5002. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC wants to continue the troubles!! was:Re: Open letter to all the TROUBLEMAKERS (Glenn, Blake, Richard K, Larson, etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <CRfr5.39823$Ur3.411855@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan, YOU seem to be the one who enjoys baiting others!

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> Please stop the constant baiting of other users. You've posted so
SNIP

5003. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <lSfr5.39828$Ur3.411885@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80608

Don't you have anything better to do in your life than
count 432 messages on usenet?

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Shut up John-Corky and give it a rest. In the last 30 days you have posted
> over 432 messages. One message of these 432 might have been consider on
SNIP

5004. chirping or clucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: UT <tcarlo@carlo.net>
Message-ID: <sqr2rtd8c5d18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80609

There is a new sound here on the police channels (155.490 and 155.655) that sounds like a cross between chirping and clucking. I'm sure it's a new type of encryption signal but have not heard it before. Does anyone have a idea what it may be?

5005. Re: It must be embarrassing for the CAL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <sfgr5.39962$Ur3.412363@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80610

I haven't been beat, we've all been laugning at YOU GUYS!

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote
SNIP
> NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
SNIP

5006. Re: It must be embarrassing for the CAL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <sfgr5.39963$Ur3.412363@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80611

Apparently he has no other life than to come here & cause trouble.
They seem to need to gang up on me to feel equal.

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk
about
> scanners!

5007. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39AD94C4.CAB505A7@cts.com>
Source record: 80612

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Don't you have anything better to do in your life than
> count 432 messages on usenet?

He counted them a lot quicker than it took you to post them all.

No reply needed.
You are really grabbing at straws john.

markj
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > Shut up John-Corky and give it a rest. In the last 30 days you have posted
> > over 432 messages. One message of these 432 might have been consider on
> SNIP

5008. Re: Another larson lie exposed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39AD974B.EF553766@cts.com>
Source record: 80613

J o h n M wrote:
>
> You OBVIOUSLY don't know much about the military. In the US Navy,
> a Petty Officer in a Non-Commissioned Officer!

I bet the chicks just swoooooon over that line John Macky. Do the navy
people ever let you drive the boat or shoot the guns?

5009. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <kehr5.48431$p5.1398079@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 80614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Any other wild things has anyone heard?

I work with a guy who is an EMT in a small rural town. Anyway, living in Iowa we tend to get our share of snow each winter. He tells me, whenever we get a big snow and get" snowed in" this lady will call in and complain of dizziness. The ambulance goes out behind a snow plow and gets her. But by the time she gets to the hospital she if fine. Well, the hospital is located 15 miles from this ladies house, but it just happens to be across the street from her daughters house.
It really pisses the guys off (as well it should) She just wanted to get to town to play cards and have some tea with her daughter.. Some nerve......but an expensive taxi ride.

5010. AT&T 900 Mhz HS-8500 Comments????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39ADA147.A0D43BAC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80615

Hello,

I saw at Costco the AT&T HS-8500 900 Mhz cordless phone which claims to be spread spectrum.

Does anyone have any experience with this phone that can comment on reliability, range, signal clarity, interference with other signals/phones, can it be "heard" on a standard scanner, etc.?

I read sometime ago about a different phone that was spread spectrum from the base to the handset, however there was an analog signal from handset to base which could be picked up on a scanner.

Any and all input welcome

Thanks in advance.

Jim
*

5011. Re: PRO-2005, eliminate beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-B18696.21071930082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 80616

In article <fA4r5.3$b41.285@news2.tor.primus.ca>, "Robert J.N. Speirs"
<speirs@primus.ca> wrote:

> How do I eliminate the beeps in the PRO-2005?
>

Don't press the buttons.

(Sorry, couldn't resist!)

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

5012. Re: It must be embarrassing for mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39ADD933.4E54C2EB@yua.com>
Source record: 80617

Everyone hates you corkey.

J o h n M wrote:

> Apparently he has no other life than to come here & cause trouble.
> They seem to need to gang up on me to feel equal.
>
> "Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote
> > You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> > living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk
> about
> > scanners!

5013. Re: It must be embarrassing for mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39ADD962.CFC09D16@yua.com>
Source record: 80618

You're beat.. a long time ago. Just too dumb to know it.

J o h n M wrote:

> I haven't been beat, we've all been laugning at YOU GUYS!
>
> "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> > reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> > are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> > his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> SNIP

5014. Re: It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39ADD99A.43F8CF3@yua.com>
Source record: 80619

I thought you were going to shut up kolari. Here you are
again sucking mackey.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk about
> scanners!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com...
> > when he reads all these references about how much
> > he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
> > NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> > reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> > are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> > his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> > He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
> > making a complete ass of himself"
> > I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
> > What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
> > of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies
> > all day long.
> >

5015. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39ADD9F8.48B392EA@yua.com>
Source record: 80620

Whats wrong mackey.. getting tired?

J o h n M wrote:

> Well said, very well said.
>
> Would anyone like to join me on letting this NG settle down for a few weeks?
> Perhaps it would lead to lasting peace here!
>
> <TwoBravo@webtv.net> wrote
> > There seems to be too much fighting going on in this NG. how about
> > instead of trying to beat each other down, why don't we all try harder
> > to help each other out with a cool hobby?
> > No one is benefitting from all of this juvenile crybaby crap!

5016. Re: PRO-2005, eliminate beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-38DC57.23444530082000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 80621

In article <fA4r5.3$b41.285@news2.tor.primus.ca>, "Robert J.N. Speirs"
<speirs@primus.ca> wrote:

> How do I eliminate the beeps in the PRO-2005?
>

http://beagle.colorado.edu/~eesnyder/eesnyder/pro2006mod.html says:

Keyboard Beep Delete

With the top cover removed as described above, locate connector CN3,
a 15-pin connector with colored wires at the front of the main circuit
board. There are two precedures which will stop the beep tone from
being heard through the speaker; read both to decide which procedure
you want to follow.

(1) Find the center grey wire coming from CN3 and cut it midway to
disable the beep tone. You may wish to solder a resistor in series
to reduce the beep volume, a trimpot to vary the volume of the beep
tone, or a minature switch to choose between beep and no beep.

(or)

(2) Using a pair of needle-nose pliers, CAREFULLY pry the plug from CN3,
revealing a row of pins. Locate the center pin (#8) which corresponds
to the grey wire and bend it down flat, disabling the beep circuit.
Reinsert the plug. The pin may be erected again later to restore the
beep tone if desired.

This completes the restoration procedure. Reattach the cover and replace
the screws.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

5017. Radio Shack Webpage Updated!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bro. Lloyd" <noSPAMvfp@BELLSOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net.borg.voyager>
Message-ID: <zzlr5.4114$Xm3.53955@news1.atl>
Source record: 80622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello:

I have updated the webpage. Please visit. I have installed a web forum to post messages about Radio Shack Radio products. Mod, hints and questions accepted. Take time to also look at the online store that I'm working on too. This helps keep my interest in the site.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

5018. Re: Scanner Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39ACB4E7.456CCAB1@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 80660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

wimpy has no courage wrote:

> On Tue, 29 Aug 2000 03:04:12 GMT, "Sidney J. Latham" <sidneylatham@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Will you defend your rights to listen to to your scanner if "BIG BROTHER"
> >comes knocking and demands fot you to give it up?
>
> I will bleat like a sheep from "Animal Farm":
>
> "Secret Police Radio communications, good"
> "Citizens with scanner radios, bad!"
>
> "Secret Police Radio communications, good"
> "Citizens with scanner radios, bad!"
>
> Then I will complain "why didn't Somebody Else do something to stop this?"
>
> "Why were these people Silent when congress passed the ECPA of 1986?"
>
> "Didn't these people realize that scanner hobbyist are considered to be "The Enemy!"
> and one the same list as Phillip Morris, Microsoft, and Smith & Wesson/NRA?"
>
> Then the citizens of our great country will re-elect Diane Finestein for US Senate in
> California, elect Hillary Rodham Clinton to the Senate (New York), and elect Al Gore For
President.

Thank god am not friggen american, complete fuck up!

--
John Medica - System administrator
66 Goodwood Avenue
Toronto Ontario, Canada M6E 1J3

E-mail: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
Web: http://www.listening-post.net
Listeing-Post - Monitoring station [Toronto Ontario Canada]

5019. FS: Shinwa SR001 scanner/receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <7O2r5.6662$vc4.38175@news3.atl>
Source record: 80661
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Shinwa SR001 Wide Band Scanning Receiver
$250 shipped anywhere in the CON US

Picture:
http://www.webdeals.net/scanpix/swsr001.jpg

Covers 25 to 999.995 MHz (full cell)

FMn/FMw/AM

Selectable tuning rate
200 memories (10 banks x 20)
Memory scan or band scan (search)
dual antenna inputs, switchable
25 channels/sec scan
35 channels/sec search
one button selectable television audio reception

Remote control for control of all radio functions
three color LCD display

Radio is at least a 9 (more like a 9.9)
never mobile, non smoker
almost like a new one.

In original box: includes d.c. cord, mobile mount, remote
control and manual

I live in a very bad RF environment. This scanner is very sensitive and with one 100,000 watt FM radio broadcast and another 50,000 watt FM radio broadcast transmitters less than two miles form me, it causes problems. The Shinwa has one hell of a receive on it and this is why I'm selling it. The radio stations transmitters are so strong that it causes intermod/front end overload with this scanner along with most other scanners I own. My PCR-1000 does the best job so far of eliminating it. I can't even own a dual conversion scanner because of the FM transmitters and a dirty pager transmitter in the 462MHz region.
This Shinwa is a VERY nice receiver and I would never have thought about selling it if I were not so damn close to these transmitters. I would not recommend buying this one if you live in a hi-RFI area like me.

The remote control and the multi colored LCD display make this one the best looking scanner/receiver out there

$250 shipped anywhere in the CON US
http://www.webdeals.net/scanpix/swsr001.jpg

NO TRADES PLEASE.
PRICE IS FIRM.

5020. Re: Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <hn8r5.625$aY1.196632@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 80662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Take the stuff with you that you want to connect when you go to the
store....

Jerry

"John" <dc2daylight@home.com> wrote in message
news:RN0r5.8522$a5.82446@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
> Hi Adrian;
>
> It doesn't sound like you're all that technically oriented (no insult
> intended), so I would recomment going to your local Rad Shack and picking
up
> some of those "no solder" connectors. They come with directions and while
> they are not the best type of connection, they should serve better than
your
> current arrangement. Just make sure you find out exactly what connector
> type you need and what cable you have before you go.
>
> Cheers
>
>
>

5021. Give away a Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Parsons <tp04417@navix.net>
Message-ID: <8oj4v9cqm1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 80663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you know of anyone with a handheld programmable scanner to give away (or sell real cheap) let me know. I am disabled and have very little money, but I would pay for shipping. Anything would be great. I could take it with me when I am out in my chair. Thank you

Chuck

5022. Re: Another larson lie exposed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <BE9r5.39101$Ur3.405679@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80664

You OBVIOUSLY don't know much about the military. In the US Navy,
a Petty Officer in a Non-Commissioned Officer!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> John, you said you were an officer. Hate to break the news to you
> but a Petty Officer is a low life enlisted man. You aren't even close.
What a

5023. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <4F9r5.113017$6y5.75837978@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 80665

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:fiSq5.18887$QW4.241621@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Radio Shack has a nice unit, with a mag mount, and two coils. It's about 3'
> tall, and is made out of solid stainless steel rod. You may have to
> purchase a BNC adapter however, last I checked they were still putting on
> the old "car radio" type plug on it.

I used to have one of those. They are worthless. I don't like them. My mag mount double loaded scanner antenna does better. I think it is 36" tall. It really pulls in the signals.

5024. Re: Give away a Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BAT <>
Message-ID: <p6aqqs8l4ifhpq31905d6itgd7p1mu1c6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 80666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 30 Aug 2000 09:17:05 -0500, "Terry Parsons"
<tp04417@navix.net> wrote:

>If you know of anyone with a handheld programmable scanner to give away (or
>sell real cheap) let me know. I am disabled and have very little money, but
>I would pay for shipping. Anything would be great. I could take it with me
>when I am out in my chair. Thank you
>
>Chuck
>
Ah, sure.

5025. Value of PRO-2004 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duffer <hduff@ica.net>
Message-ID: <39AD2ACD.81BE568@ica.net>
Source record: 80667

Hey dudes.
What are PRO-2004 scanners going for these days ?

I don't get on the newsgroup much lately...please email replies to:
hduff@ica.net

5026. Pro-94 Undocumented Keypad Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jaysonjfw@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ojbs4lqn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80668

I have recently purchased a Pro-94 and have been trying out the 2+9 keypad combinations. So far I have figured out what a few do.

All combos are with unit off. Then with keys pressed turn unit on.

2 & 9 - will clear frequency memories.
2 & 9 & trunk - will restore some memories that were cleared.
but not in the same banks as before. Possibly
restores bank 1 only and places it in bank 8.
thats what happens on mine

I am sure there are others working on this same thing. If you have any other keypad functions and know what they do, feel free to share the knowledge.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5027. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830120149.22304.00001456@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80669

>Subject: Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100
>From: Steve montana@loop.com
>Date: 8/29/00 12:52 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <39AB5DB6.4CD40B92@loop.com>
>
>John Frank wrote:
>
>> Yupiteru MVT7100 handheld for sale.
>> Covers 530KHz to 1650 MHz. AM,FM, FM wide, Upper and
>> lower SSB. 1000 memory channels in 10 banks.
>> Includes charger, cigarette lighter power plug,
>> wrist strap, belt clip, telescopic antenna, and
>> manual. $500.00 Located in Baltimore/DC/Annapolis
>> area. 410-987-5288. jwfrank@cablespeed.com
>
>WOW theirs one on ebay for $260.00 right now!

And it sold for $330.00. That's still not a bad price for a NIB Yupi MVT-7100,
since the asking price in the newsgroups for a used one seems to be
$400.00-$500.00.

73,
David

5028. Which trunking scanner? Arlington Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Irvin <kei@flash.net>
Message-ID: <QDar5.1214$qO1.75206@news.flash.net>
Source record: 80670

I've looked at DeajaNews for this newsgroup and it looks like the 2 leading HH trunking scanners are the RS Pro-92 and the Bearcat 245XLT with some differences depending on the transmittter of the frequencies being scanned I saw Motorola, EDACS and maybe some others). This trunking process is new to me. Could someone comment on these radios and which one might be a better fit in Arlington, Texas.

5029. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD36C6.27337B5A@home.com>
Source record: 80671

This I definitely have an issue with.
you claim to be a petty officer (retired/reserves?) with the Navy?

Funny, I called a few friends (all of whom were in the navy
at one point or another over the last 20 years). I asked them to run
your name through a few channels. Guess what they came up with?

NOTHING!

I hope you realize: imporsonating an officer of the military is
a federal offense. in fact, imporsonating military personel of any kind
is a federal offense.

Care to post your serial number (or how about your dd-214 showing you
were discharged honorably).

Don't bother changing the subject, or side stepping the issue
9all this will prove is that you aren't military of any kind
and that you lie like a dirty old rug!).

J o h n M wrote:

> Petty Officer in the US Navy.
>
> <larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> > What rank in what branch
> SNIP

5030. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jughead <jughead857@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8ojfeqqha1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80672

W6JRF wrote:

>How about a 7 year old with an M&M in his ear? Seems the kid was
>sleeping on the couch when his 4 year old brother dropped the candy in
>his ear. Patient had no complaint of pain or discomfort, was not
>crying or carrying on. Parent had a car but didn't want to have to
>wait in the ER waiting room so she called 911 instead.

That seems to be a very common thing with medical "emergencies". My dad used to be an EMT with the local ambulance company and it used to really piss him off how much patients would abuse the system.

Here's one for you all though. My dad says he was working 3rd shift one night when a call came in of a hampster bite victim. Although they were "technically" in code 3 status, needless to say my dad and the rest of the crew weren't in any hurry to get to the location. In fact, if the nearest Del Taco were open, they would have drove through it and picked up a bite to eat along the way.

And another one which just recently happened (dad overheard it on KFI 640 - L.A. station): an ambulance driver transporting a stabbing victim and the victim's mother stopped at a doughnut shop while he was enroute to the hospital. I wonder how superficial the kid's cut was.

Jughead

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5031. MPT1327...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHELDON SCOTT <sheldonsat@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD4B26.C1A2D1E8@home.com>
Source record: 80673

Hello.

I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.

Thanks...Sheldon Scott.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

5032. Re: Which trunking scanner? Arlington Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <here@there.org>
Message-ID: <b9br5.349$XH1.100535@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 80674

Arlington uses a Motorola Smartnet Hybrid system but you will also want
to listen to Grand Prarie, Mansfield Fort Worth and the other towns directly
bounding Arlington as activity and jurisdiction often overlaps.
One night there was a major DEA bust that happened in Arlington but all surrounding
cities were involved because somehow, a person who was a drug manufacturer managed
to slip by and they chased him in his care all over Arlington, into GrandPrarie and then
back into Arlington and Ft. Worth.
All towns directly around Arlington all the way before Irving uses Motorola
Smartnet systems. Irving is about the only town that uses EDAC's in the Dallas, Ft.
Worth area anymore. What's ironic is that most Radio Shack Scanners are made by uniden
who happens to have world headquarters in Fort Worth, and all Uniden Scanners come
preconfigured to the Fort Worth and surrounding area frequencies by default.
As far as I know, no police or fire dept in DFW uses LTR trunking and as I mentioned
before,
I think irving is the only one using EDACs, meaning that all you really need is a scanner
capable
of trunking Motorola Smartnet, unless you will be taking your scanner in your car and
programming
all of Dallas/Ft. Worth into it.

"Karl Irvin" <kei@flash.net> wrote in message news:QDar5.1214$qO1.75206@news.flash.net...
> I've looked at DeajaNews for this newsgroup and it looks like the 2 leading
> HH trunking scanners are the RS Pro-92 and the Bearcat 245XLT with some
> differences depending on the transmittter of the frequencies being scanned
> I saw Motorola, EDACS and maybe some others). This trunking process is new
> to me. Could someone comment on these radios and which one might be a better
> fit in Arlington, Texas.

5033. FS Icom 02AT & extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jh-lewis <jh-lewis@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <qabr5.18$%o1.11151@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 80675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Selling my Icom 02AT with the following accessories -

02AT instruction manual, Icom BC-35 Desk Charger - KLM Amp (4W in 20W out), BP-75 battery, Icom IC-BP8 battery, Icom IC-CM4 battery holder, MFJ 285 Lapel Spkr/Mic. All in excellent condition.

Not sure what to ask - best offers considered - or trade for SWL equipment or scanners (trunkers only).

Thanks,

John

--
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
John Lewis
USA 2Way / Hams Helping Hams (StL)
(314) 353-2Way (9 am - 4 pm M/F Central)
Fax: (314) 457-0106
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

5034. Cordless Telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Dodd" <dmdodd@penn.com>
Message-ID: <ichqqs4vbisq99gmav42c0c5aai98ci6g3@4ax.com>
Source record: 80676

How can I get or does anyone have the twenty (20) 900 Mhz frequencies
for the AT&T model 9301 cordless telephone. Thank you.

5035. Cordless Telephone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Dodd" <dmdodd@penn.com>
Message-ID: <klhqqsosfct312l5pmfiuejur2q41op143@4ax.com>
Source record: 80677

How can I get or does anyone have the twenty (20) 900 Mhz frequencies
for the AT&T model 9301 cordless telephone. Thank you.

5036. Re: Mackey so dumb - doesn't know why we call him Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AD49C5.7B8B1D94@yua.com>
Source record: 80678

Thanks Jason. I was pretty sure he must have known.
It must be painful as hell to look like a down syndromed
special person and not be able to respond to the resemblance
because it would draw further attention to himself.

Jason wrote:

> juj <coag@yua.com> wrote:
>
> >Do you have any idea why we call you corky?
> >Someone should send you the tapes from that
> >show so you can see how UGLY you are mackey.
> >I mean corky.
>
> Oh...he knows. He knows....
>
> That's why he removes every single reference of it whenever he has the
> uncontrollable urge to reply. It pisses him off so much because the
> resemblance is just uncanny.

5037. Give your Nurse a big Down Hug Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AD49F4.E2C2AE60@yua.com>
Source record: 80679

.

5038. It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com>
Source record: 80680

when he reads all these references about how much
he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
making a complete ass of himself"
I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies
all day long.

5039. Re: MPT1327...WiNRADiO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <H_br5.20952$QW4.256560@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80681

Sheldon:

You might check with Grove Enterprises, they have been appointed as the exclusive North American Service Center for WiNRADiO products, and they're very helpful people!

http://www.grove-ent.com/winradioservice.html

Bill Crocker

"SHELDON SCOTT" <sheldonsat@home.com> wrote in message news:39AD4B26.C1A2D1E8@home.com...
> Hello.
>
> I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
> what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
> Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.
>
> Thanks...Sheldon Scott.
>
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>

5040. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <L%br5.9019$a5.84573@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 80682

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:fiSq5.18887$QW4.241621@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Radio Shack has a nice unit, with a mag mount, and two coils. It's about
3'
> tall, and is made out of solid stainless steel rod. You may have to
> purchase a BNC adapter however, last I checked they were still putting on

Rad Shack has two models; one of them has a BNC connector. You can find the other ones cheaper though (on clearance). I own both, but would not recommend them to anyone for wideband VHF/UHF listening. While they work fairly well for the middle of that range, I found the performance poor for both Low band VHF and 800 Mhz stuff.

I've heard the Austin Spectra is a nice mobile antenna, but have no personal experience with it. I currently use a hy-gain cellular nmo mount antenna for most of my mobile listening, as it works extremenly well for trunking. I also have the BNC rad shack model in the trunk for times that I need it.

5041. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39AD436D.70F8E7B3@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80683

J o h n M wrote:
>
> Dan - In the Navy, a Petty Officer is a Non-Commissioned Officer!

NCO's are never referred to as just officers. The fact that there is no commission should be an indication that the individual holds no office.

All the service branches use the term NCO. Were you NCO 534th in charge or something?

> (Now don't you feel embarrased!)
>
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> > J o h n M wrote:
> > > Petty Officer in the US Navy.
> >
> > John, the closest you came to being a naval officer is being a cabin
> > boy on a Greek Navy vaseline tanker!

5042. Re: Cordless Telephone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <39AD55AE.6D08436D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 80684

********** 43-49MHz Cordless Phone Allocations**********
NOTE: Channels 16 through 25 are identical to the old 10 channel system.

BASE HANDSET
---- -------
Channel 1 43.72 48.76
Channel 2 43.76 48.84
Channel 3 43.82 48.86
Channel 4 43.84 48.92
Channel 5 43.92 49.00
Channel 6 43.96 49.08
Channel 7 44.12 49.10
Channel 8 44.16 49.16
Channel 9 44.18 49.20
Channel 10 44.20 49.24
Channel 11 44.32 49.28
Channel 12 44.36 49.36
Channel 13 44.40 49.40
Channel 14 44.46 49.46
Channel 15 44.48 49.50
Channel 16 46.61 49.67
Channel 17 46.63 49.845 #
Channel 18 46.67 49.86 #
Channel 19 46.71 49.77
Channel 20 46.73 49.875 #
Channel 21 46.77 49.83 #
Channel 22 46.83 49.89 #
Channel 23 46.87 49.93
Channel 24 46.93 49.99
Channel 25 46.97 49.97
= 49.83-49.89 freqs commonly used for baby monitors.

And these are the 900 mHz channels, in case you are interested:

---
900 MHZ CORDLESS TELEPHONE FREQUENCIES - - 902 - 928 MHZ NFM
---
902 - 928 900 MHZ CORDLESS TELEPHONES (30-100 KHZ SPACING)
Panasonic KX-T9000 (60 Channels)
base 902.100 - 903.870 Base frequencies (30Khz spacing)
handset 926.100 - 927.870 Handset frequencies
CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
-- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ------- -------
01 902.100 926.100 11 902.400 926.400 21 902.700 926.700
02 902.130 926.130 12 902.430 926.430 22 902.730 926.730

```
03 902.160 926.160 13 902.460 926.460 23 902.760 926.760
04 902.140 902.490 14 902.490 926.490 24 902.790 926.790
05 902.220 926.220 15 902.520 926.520 25 902.820 926.820
06 902.250 926.250 16 902.550 926.550 26 902.850 926.850
07 902.280 926.280 17 902.580 926.580 27 902.880 926.880
08 902.310 926.310 18 902.610 926.610 28 902.910 926.910
09 902.340 926.340 19 902.640 926.640 29 902.940 926.940
10 902.370 926.370 20 902.670 926.670 30 902.970 926.970
CH BASE HANDSET
-- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ------- -------
31 903.000 927.000 41 903.300 927.300 51 903.600 927.600
32 903.030 927.030 42 903.330 927.330 52 903.630 927.630
33 903.060 927.060 43 903.360 927.360 53 903.660 927.660
34 903.090 927.090 44 903.390 927.390 54 903.690 927.690
35 903.120 927.120 45 903.420 927.420 55 903.720 927.720
36 903.150 927.150 46 903.450 927.450 56 903.750 927.750
37 903.180 927.180 47 903.480 927.480 57 903.780 927.780
38 903.210 927.210 48 903.510 927.510 58 903.810 927.810
39 903.240 927.240 49 903.540 927.540 59 903.840 927.840
40 903.270 927.270 50 903.570 927.570 60 903.870 927.870

V-TECH TROPEZ DX900 (20 CHANNELS)
905.6 - 907.5 TRANSPONDER (BASE) FREQUENCIES (100 KHZ SPACING)
925.5 - 927.4 HANDSET FREQUENCIES
CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
------- ------- -- ------- -------
01 905.600 925.500 08 906.300 926.200 15 907.000 926.900

02 905.700 925.600 09 906.400 926.300 16 907.100 927.000
03 905.800 925.700 10 906.500 926.400 17 907.200 927.100
04 905.900 925.800 11 906.600 926.500 18 907.300 927.200
05 906.000 925.900 12 906.700 926.600 19 907.400 927.300
06 906.100 926.000 13 906.800 926.700 20 907.500 927.400
07 906.200 926.100 14 906.900 926.800

OTHER 900 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES
AT&T #9120 - - - - - 902.0 - 905.0 & 925.0 - 928.0 MHZ
OTRON CRP. #CP-1000 902.1 - 903.9 & 926.1 - 927.9 MHZ
SAMSUNG #S-R912- - - 903.0 & 927.0 MHZ
==========
```

5043. special transmission on shortwave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Franz Ladner <FLadner@chello.at>
Message-ID: <39AD5647.8E73B64@chello.at>
Source record: 80685

*** special transmission on shortwave, Sept.6th, 5965 kHz!!! ***
***Sondersendung aus dem DX-Camp Doebriach!!! ***

Since more than 30 years DX-ers and radio amateurs meet in
Doebriach in the south of Austria to listen radio. Since more
than 50 years children meet there to make holidays and produce
campradio which is broadcasted via loudspeakers in the whole camp.

This year it has been possible to combine both:
On September 6th, at 5965 kHz, from 17.00 to 18.00 UTC
the best parts from this campradio, (together with some
contributions from the members of the DX-camp...) will be sent
via Deutsche Telekom Juelich. Although the program is in German
it may be interesting for you to listen to it as it is one of
the first transmissions from private organisations from Juelich.
There is also a special QSL if you send a reception report.

If you want more informations about the DX-camp please contact:
Franz Ladner,
Leipzigerstr.21/28,
A-1200 Wien
FLadner@chello.at

If you are interested to visit Doebriach with a group of
children, please contact
Falkencamp Doebriach
Rauhensteingasse 5/5
A-1010 Wien
falkencamp.doebriach@kinderfreunde.at
http:///www.falkencamp.at

>>> Dï¿½briach on the Air!!! <<<

Seit ï¿½ber 30 Jahren treffen sich Kurzwellenhï¿½rer im Falkencamp
Dï¿½briach, um dort weit entfernte Radioprogramme zu empfangen.
Seit ï¿½ber 50 Jahren treffen sich dort Kinder, um dort Ferien zu
machen und seit (fast) ebensolanger Zeit produzieren sie dort
selber ein Campradio, das dann ï¿½ber Lautsprecher abgestrahlt wird.

Was liegt also nï¿½her, als das zu kombinieren? Deshalb kï¿½nnen
dieses Jahr Ausschnitte auch ï¿½ber Kurzwelle empfangen werden.
Zu hï¿½ren sein werden Beitrï¿½ge aus dem Campradio von Dï¿½briach
und Wiesen (Burgenland), wo dieses Jahr das internationale
Falkencamp (IFM-CWC 2000) stattfand, sowie Beitrï¿½ge aus dem
DX-Camp.

Da die teilnehmenden Kinder aus zahlreichen europï¿½ischen und auï¿½ereuropï¿½ischen Lï¿½ndern kamen, ist dies natï¿½rlich auch eine gute Mï¿½glichkeit, um die Mï¿½glichkeiten der Kurzwelle aufzuzeigen.

Die Sendung wird am Mittwoch, den 6. September, von 19.00 bis 20.00 Uhr (MESZ) ï¿½ber den Sender Jï¿½lich bei Kï¿½ln auf der Frequenz 5965 kHz abgestrahlt. Eine Sonder-QSL bzw. nï¿½here Infos ï¿½bers DX-Camp gibts bei:

Franz Ladner, Leipzigerstr.21/28, A-1200 Wien,
EMAIL: FLadner@chello.at

Wer mit einer Kinder/Jugendgruppe ins Falkencamp kommen will, bzw. wer genauere Informationen darï¿½ber mï¿½chte, schreibt bitte an:
Falkencamp Dï¿½briach, Rauhensteingasse 5/5, A-1010 Wien
Email: falkencamp.doebriach@kinderfreunde.at

Informationen erhï¿½lt man auch auf der Homepage:
http://www.falkencamp.at

Besonders bedanken mï¿½chten wir uns auch beim Team des Senders Jï¿½lich, das uns mit Rat und Tat zur Seite gestanden ist! Es gibt nï¿½mlich erst seit kurzer Zeit die Mï¿½glichkeit, auch ohne eigene Radiolizenz Sendezeit fï¿½r Auslandssendungen zu mieten. Wer dies ebenfalls tun will, sollte an die Rundfunksendestelle Jï¿½lich, Deutsche Telekom, Merscher Hï¿½he, D-52428 Jï¿½lich, schreiben.

5044. Re: MPT1327...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <+X3dXIA3hVr5EwzM@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 80686

In article <39AD4B26.C1A2D1E8@home.com>, SHELDON SCOTT
<sheldonsat@home.com> writes
>Hello.
>
>I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
>what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
>Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.

Post it here, read it here..
MPT1327 is, dare I say it, the current trunking standard for Europe..
That is until TETRA, a digital trunking system, takes over :-(

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with g7hid : don't fix it !

5045. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamesrhampton@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ojo0n$58v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Glad to hear from someone 'in the know'. This is what was told to me. The way he was laughing made me feel the story rang true. I will admit that anyone (law enforcement or not) will end up getting 'canned' if he or she abuses their position in a job. That said, can you - honestly now - say you never, nor anyone you knew in your job, ever 'misappropriated' a pencil, or pen, or anything else? Or perhaps threw the dice as apparently one individual may have done? The only reason I gave credence to his story is the way he was laughing and the tears WERE pouring down his face. Obviously, had someone called someone else's bluff, there could have been heck to pay. Yes, I had my 1st phone, telegraph, etc - sure, there could have been problems. Did it happen? My guess is that it probably did simply by his reaction. I also was on Guam. When I have mentioned we had run around 1,000,000 watts erp, few believe it. Consider this: 3 enlisted hams (1 e-2, 1 e-3, and 1 e-4) are in the shack. The number two in command, the XO, a light bird (o-5) comes in wanting a phone patch. 20 meters is a mess. The propogation was very poor and the big guns were comming in around S-2. We tried. We got a station out of LA who said he'd try a patch if it was local, but the qrm was killing us. We were running a Collins S-line into a TH6-DX up 102 feet over salt water. The XO asked if we didn't have enough power. You gonna explain? No, sir, that's the problem - not enough power. He asked if we could listen from the shack if we could transmit somewhere else. Of course we could. He picked up the telephone and called THE US NAVY TRANSMITTER site at Barragada, Guam. "This is the executive officer. I am going to put a man on this telephone and he will give you the frequency. I want this telephone patched into a 40 KW transmitter on a rhombic pointed stateside on that frequency." This is something no one would do on his own - the law aside, that was a military installation. Who would argue? The S-meter simply went to the rail as that transmitter fired up. We got a station in San Francisco to reply and he simply asked "What in hell are you running old man? I can't even hear Japan and you're 40 over 9!" This is also a true story. If the goo hit the blades, who's in trouble? The goo wasn't likely to hit - unless you argued with the commander! As far as a million watts, I am sure that huge rhombic had WAY over 10 Db forward gain. We had to be at least at half a million watts erp and likely at a million; perhaps a bit more. As to who was actually running that - I have no idea. They were located 20 miles away at the transmitter site. For now, however, I simply run 6 aa alkaline batteries and get by very well on 440.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g02ltt0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 16:40:49 GMT, Ching Chu Maye wrote:
>
> >>Back then, two police cars were involved - one with the radar set
up on a
> >>wooden tripod and the other was the chase car. The cop explained he
> >>had been traveling 1 or 2 miles over the limit by the radar set
back at
> >>the first car. The gentleman who had been stopped requested to go
back
> >>and speak with the other officer (hmm ...). They went back and an
> >>introduction was started - only to be cut short by the gentleman who
> >>had been stopped. "I don't want to talk with the other officer, I
want
> >>to see the inspection sticker on your radar set." The cops looked
at each other
> >>then back at the gentleman. Out came the credentials. "Federal
Communications
> >>Commission. Either you produce the inspection sticker validated by
a 1st or 2nd class
> >>radiotelephone or telegraph licensee with the proper radar
endorsement or I'll have to
> >>write you up." The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar,
and went home.
> >>
> >>This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the
U.S.
> >>Navy in January of 1967.
>
> This sounds like another urban legend to me.
>
> Back then we loved to spread macho stories like that ... the
> few agents who actually tried such confrontations were very
> quickly identified as problems and were soon weeded out if they
> didn't get back into line.
>
> FYI - a radar endorsement is not and was not required or valid
> to service a speed radar, just for Marine Radars. And there is no
> such thing as an "inspection sticker". The most that could
> have been required in the field is an ID tag (FCC Form 452-C)
> with the name and call letters of the licensee. Service records
were
> inspectable on request but could be, and usually were, kept
> back at the shop.
>
> > I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest someone from the FCC
> > for having a scanner!
>
> The relevent law is very specific about "in the performance oi
> his/her duties" as a Federal enforcement officer. Won't

> happen, although FCC agents have been stopped and cited for
> speeding while tracking radio violators as well as other
> traffic offenses, even in official vehicles.
>
> >The fuzz had radar way back in '66?
>
> NYPD had several radar cars as far back as 1961 and LAPD had
> some as well in the same time frame. They were big clunkers
> with plastic "radomes" for trunk lids and they stood out like
> sire thumbs. We could spot them a quarter mile away.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> FCC SF District Director (retired)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5046. Looking for reviews of shortwave/scanner equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8ojolc$696$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

The SWLINKS website is currently soliciting reviews (brief or comprehensive) for any kind of shortwave or scanner equipment. If you are highly familiar with a particular piece of equipment, your review is welcomed. If it meets editorial standards (spelling, grammar, punctuation, completeness) it will be published on the SWLINKS site exactly as written and credit will be given to you ("Contributed by...). All reviews should be submitted to core@vdirect.net or worldvista@altavista.com. Please use your spell-checker and common sense, as no editing of reviews will be done, and no reviews will be posted that do not appear entirely professional.

There will soon be a separate review section on the SWLINKS website. Please visit the site at http://swlinks.webalias.com.

Sincerely,

Tim Gerchmez
Webmaster, SWLINKS

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5047. Reviews Wanted (P.S.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8ojotm$6lg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

P.S... All reviews submitted become the property of SWLINKS and its webmaster, Tim Gerchmez. By submitting your review, you are giving up copyright to that document. Thanks for your attention to this.

Submit all reviews of shortwave or scanner equipment to core@vdirect.net or worldvista@altavista.com. You will be credit by name, and if you want an Email address included, please specify.

Thanks,

Tim Gerchmez
Webmaster, SWLINKS

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5048. Re: Value of PRO-2004 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD634C.3A073B62@home.com>
Source record: 80690

About $175-200 for a good clean unit.

Duffer wrote:
>
> Hey dudes.
> What are PRO-2004 scanners going for these days ?
>
> I don't get on the newsgroup much lately...please email replies to:
> hduff@ica.net

5049. F/S PRO 2045 SCANNER $135 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39AD64D2.67F50C9A@home.com>
Source record: 80691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi- this scanner is in mint condition with the scanner,power cube,original antenna,manual. Strong signals has given the scanner a great review. 200 channels base scanner includes air and mil band. Price includes Priority Mail insured.Please email if interested with address and phone number if you want to be the first to buy. I have a perfect 52 Ebay rating. thanks Bruce

5050. Re: Go away John-Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830160306.06863.00005711@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80692

>Most wish John-Corky would though.
>
Looks like the moratorium is not possible with TL and GH verry busy.
Add Timmy 'Glenn Hansen' Larsen to the list.
It is becoming more than obvious that they are lackeys of the same breed.
Ole and Lena would be ashamed of you.
Tim, if you were in any branch of the armed sevices, I'll be they promoted you
to Sgt. Shultz acting Net Cop Officer - NCO
Hamguy

5051. Sgt. Shultz acting Net Cop Officer - NCO - Yes Timmy Larson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830160447.06863.00005712@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80693

Congratulations on your commission.
Mr Boy
Hamguy

5052. Re: It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <RFdr5.2046$Mv3.6679@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80694

You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk about scanners!

Adam Kolari

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com...
> when he reads all these references about how much
> he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
> NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
> making a complete ass of himself"
> I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
> What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
> of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies
> all day long.
>

5053. Re: Glenn ' The NG Buffoon' Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830160650.06863.00005713@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80695

I thought about it and Timmy Larson qualifies.
He is an NCO
Sgt. Shultz acting Net Cop Officer - NCO
Hamboner

>Buffoon says it all.
>Hamboner>"
>Glenn the NG Group Buffoon " basically said I will not stop till I save
>>the NG group from the likes of people just like myself.
>>Glenn don't let the circle be broken.
>Which one is the real Baffoon?

5054. Re: Mackey so dumb - doesn't know why we call him Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830161016.06863.00005715@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80696

Actually anyone that watched that show would now know that the real Corky did show more smarts and common sense than TL and GH or the rest of the CAL's.
Hamguy

>Subject: Mackey so dumb - doesn't know why we call him Corky
>From: juj coag@yua.com
>Date: 8/29/00 4:06 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <39AC25B8.A4F718C7@yua.com>
>
>Do you have any idea why we call you corky?
>Someone should send you the tapes from that
>show so you can see how UGLY you are mackey.
>I mean corky.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5055. Cheek likers and haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:18:33 UTC
From: James Hauser <jameshauser@home.com>
Message-ID: <tpYq5.111201$6y5.74844406@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 80769

I have been following this newsgroup for years now.
And I must tell you--- between the the two groups
you people are so pathetic on both sides. Its no wonder
Bill wanted to get out of this scene.
Jim Hauser

5056. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:22:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g02lzy1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80770
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 22:45:24 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:

>In the ITU rules, it's said that hams MUST have equipments to verify
>that they do not transmit on frequencies of other public services.

Where does it say that (chapter and section number, please).

>So the only way to do that is to have a spectrum analyzer that can be
>done with a wideband receiver with a scope function and RS232 output to
>monitor the spectrum.
>So, evidently hams have the right to have that type of receiver ...

See how far that gets you if you try telling that to a judge.... <ggg>

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5057. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:23:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g02ltt0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 16:40:49 GMT, Ching Chu Maye wrote:

>>Back then, two police cars were involved - one with the radar set up on a
>>wooden tripod and the other was the chase car. The cop explained he
>>had been traveling 1 or 2 miles over the limit by the radar set back at
>>the first car. The gentleman who had been stopped requested to go back
>>and speak with the other officer (hmm ...). They went back and an
>>introduction was started - only to be cut short by the gentleman who
>>had been stopped. "I don't want to talk with the other officer, I want
>>to see the inspection sticker on your radar set." The cops looked at each other
>>then back at the gentleman. Out came the credentials. "Federal Communications
>>Commission. Either you produce the inspection sticker validated by a 1st or 2nd class
>>radiotelephone or telegraph licensee with the proper radar endorsement or I'll have to
>>write you up." The cops tore the ticket up, packed up the radar, and went home.
>>
>>This is true. It happened a couple of months before I entered the U.S.
>>Navy in January of 1967.

This sounds like another urban legend to me.

Back then we loved to spread macho stories like that ... the
few agents who actually tried such confrontations were very
quickly identified as problems and were soon weeded out if they
didn't get back into line.

FYI - a radar endorsement is not and was not required or valid
to service a speed radar, just for Marine Radars. And there is no
such thing as an "inspection sticker". The most that could
have been required in the field is an ID tag (FCC Form 452-C)
with the name and call letters of the licensee. Service records were
inspectable on request but could be, and usually were, kept
back at the shop.

> I laughed too! I hope they try and arrest someone from the FCC
> for having a scanner!

The relevent law is very specific about "in the performance oi
his/her duties" as a Federal enforcement officer. Won't
happen, although FCC agents have been stopped and cited for
speeding while tracking radio violators as well as other
traffic offenses, even in official vehicles.

>The fuzz had radar way back in '66?

NYPD had several radar cars as far back as 1961 and LAPD had
some as well in the same time frame. They were big clunkers

with plastic "radomes" for trunk lids and they stood out like
sire thumbs. We could spot them a quarter mile away.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
FCC SF District Director (retired)

5058. Re: Trunking scanner wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:23:53 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829202353.00268.00002506@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 80771

Hi! I have a BC235XLT in excellent condition w/original box, etc. Please email me directly with offer or call (800) 458-1680. Thanks, Laine.

>Subject: Trunking scanner wanted
>From: "jh-lewis" jh-lewis@swbell.net
>Date: 08/24/2000 7:02 AM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <XU9p5.854$ih1.212940@nnrp1.sbc.net>
>
>Looking to buy a trunking type scanner - any model, base/portable/handheld
>doesn't matter.
>
>Must be in Excellent to Mint condition and work 100%.
>
>John

5059. F.S rs pro-37 200ch.scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:26:48 UTC
From: dverg14@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829202648.16025.00000033@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80773

for sale rs pro-37 handheld scanner. 200 channel modified for cells. verygood shape 9 out of 10. key lock switch does not work. has rechargeable batteries, power supply,ant.and instruction manual. $170.00 i'll pay shipping in the U.S. money orders only.
tony
upstate,ny dverg14@aol.com

5060. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:27:36 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YxYq5.2644$On2.131419@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

>I would guess because FM is line-of-sight, and therefore the
>transmissions from the planes would never reach the ground, and vice-
>versa. Also, FM has the tendency to "break up" with distance and
>become totally unreadable, whereas AM only fades to a weaker signal
>strength.

Nope. If two FM signals occupy the same frequency, the stronger of the two will dominate to the exclusion of the weaker one. This means that a signal could get 'lost'. Under the same circumstances with AM, a hetrodyne occurs and it's obvious to all that there are two signals 'on frequency'.

de Norm, KA2TYT

5061. Re: Nascar Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:31:17 UTC
From: Bill L <wlegge@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pBYq5.2749$d9.39707@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 80775

Here yo go:
http://thepaddock.homepage.com/wc_freqs.html

Enjoy.

<kharper@insight.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8ohdfj$dt7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I would really appreciate it somebody could point me in the right
> direction for Nascar frequencies.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5062. Re: Cheek haters and likers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 00:57:20 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <QZYq5.37325$Ur3.387023@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80776

Perhaps you should follow his fine example.

"James Hauser" <jameshauser@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> Bill wanted to get out of this scene.
> Jim Hauser

5063. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:00:29 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <N0Zq5.37328$Ur3.387560@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80777

Petty Officer in the US Navy.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> What rank in what branch
SNIP

5064. Re: Jon, ashamed to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:04:16 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <k4Zq5.37332$Ur3.387818@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80778

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> Glenn read you like a book.
SNIP

How do you figure that?

> Ashamed
> also of being in the National Guard.

1. I am not ashamed of anything.
2. The Navy does NOT have a "National Guard", FOOL! (Only the Army -& later Air Force- have National Guards after a congressional action over 100 years ago)

What branch of the service were YOU in?

>
> Can't wait to see if your elderly father is also away those two weeks.
>
> So what do you do for a living John-Corky. What are you hiding?
>
>
>
>
> In article <UnQq5.36081$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
> <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
> >The "ARMED" Services, what did you think I was talking about?
> >
> >"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> >> Yeah, right. What branch of the service John
> >
> >
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5065. Re: Fool Glenn KB7PKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:08:13 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <18Zq5.37335$Ur3.387322@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Didn't see any.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Did you also read the messages from many saying it was an obvious forge?
SNIP

5066. Re: larson exposed !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:09:27 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <b9Zq5.37336$Ur3.387918@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80780

You write the best fiction I have ever seen!

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> I read some about John-Corky going to East High School in Iowa, and
getting
> beat up on a daily basis. I read some about
SNIP

5067. Re: more lies from Jason!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:18:09 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <lhZq5.37341$Ur3.388468@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was never told to leave fidonet.

"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> "K-S-0-F \(callsign\)" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
> >During my time on Fido, I was never asked to leave Fido.
>
> You were TOLD, not asked. You had no option.

5068. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:19:51 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <XiZq5.37343$Ur3.387569@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80782

You should consider a community college class for spelling & grammar
assistance.

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
SNIP
> ahve so litle self esteem you try and impress the NG with your
"affiliation".
SNIP

5069. Re: more lies from Eric!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:21:36 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <AkZq5.37346$Ur3.388070@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For sticking up for what is right and not allowing people to bully others here on this forum!

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Liar. You were kicked off for the same actions people are asking you to quit
> on here.
SNIP

5070. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:24:33 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <lnZq5.37350$Ur3.388543@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thank you Rick, your assistance is appreciated. OBVIOUSLY, the defense of the
democracy & freedoms of this country are a much more important issue for me
to address than their immature little war of insults. If still needed, I'll
pick it up when I
return. Hopefully, they will allow this newgroup a break from their
harassment.

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> John, I will silently monitor the situation on this NG and only reply if
the
> CAL's continue to cause trouble during the 2 wk. cease fire period.
> I really hope they abide by there pledges.

5071. Re: Some Seattle-area VHF Aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:31:49 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39AC5704.9D43F9BC@GTE.net>
Source record: 80786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Walker wrote:

> In article <slrn8qnjsc.dh9.caf@omen.omen.com>,>
>
> The voice transmissions are AM.
>
> Thanks... I figured that out a long time ago. Somehow, people always
> ignore or miss the posts where I admit to a mistake (an uncommon thing
> in this newsgroup, to admit to a mistake!).
>
> > Other than historical reasons I
> > shall leave it to the reader to figure why they are atill AM.
> > (There is a valid technical reason.)
>
> I would guess because FM is line-of-sight, and therefore the
> transmissions from the planes would never reach the ground, and vice-
> versa.

I don't think the radio waves "know" they are being AM or FM modulated-
they travel the same despite the type or lack of modulation.

> Also, FM has the tendency to "break up" with distance and
> become totally unreadable, whereas AM only fades to a weaker signal
> strength.
> FM has no more tendency to break up than does AM- it goes below
> threshold and gets noisy, AM also does this,, although w/o the cpture or
> threshold effect..

The reason is that FM displays capture effect- totally eclipsing a weaker
signal. In AM it would be obvious another station was also on channel.

> Tim
>
> > --
> > Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX N2469R caf@omen.COM www.omen.com
> > Omen Technology Inc "The High Reliability Software"
> > Author of YMODEM & ZMODEM ZMODEM Consulting: $200/hr
> > TeleGodzilla BBS: 503-617-1698 FTP: ftp.cs.pdx.edu pub/zmodem
> > POB 4681 Portland OR 97208 503-614-0430 FAX:503-629-0665
>
> --
> Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
> Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
> Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net
>

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5072. AOR AR8000 Wideband Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:40:59 UTC
From: Kathy Flores <mailbrat@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LCZq5.11900$C42.399485@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80785

AOR AR8000 wideband handheld receiver (unblocked). In excellent, like new condition.

This premium setup includes box, manual, nicad batteries (never used), power supply/charger, soft case and belt clip. Also included are the computer interface cable, software and service manual. It also has the replacement battery cover with the hole in it for the computer interface cable and the Optoelectric Scout cable.

$400.00 plus shipping in CONUS. I can take credit cards, use Paypal or ship COD.

--
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
* Kathy Flores K6MBT
* mailbrat@earthlink.net
* ICQ #569810
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Cleverly disguised as a responsible adult.

5073. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:45:57 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohp0l$k7a$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Your cleaning bedpans is not of any military importance John-Coorky.

TL

In article <lnZq5.37350$Ur3.388543@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>Thank you Rick, your assistance is appreciated. OBVIOUSLY, the defense of
>the
>democracy & freedoms of this country are a much more important issue for me
>to address than their immature little war of insults. If still needed, I'll
>pick it up when I
>return. Hopefully, they will allow this newgroup a break from their
>harassment.
>
>"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
>> John, I will silently monitor the situation on this NG and only reply if
>the
>> CAL's continue to cause trouble during the 2 wk. cease fire period.
>> I really hope they abide by there pledges.
>
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5074. Mackey the E4 bedpan changer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:46:35 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohp1r$k9s$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Your cleaning bedpans is not of any military importance John-Coorky.

TL

In article <lnZq5.37350$Ur3.388543@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>Thank you Rick, your assistance is appreciated. OBVIOUSLY, the defense of
>the
>democracy & freedoms of this country are a much more important issue for me
>to address than their immature little war of insults. If still needed, I'll
>pick it up when I
>return. Hopefully, they will allow this newgroup a break from their
>harassment.
>
>"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
>> John, I will silently monitor the situation on this NG and only reply if
>the
>> CAL's continue to cause trouble during the 2 wk. cease fire period.
>> I really hope they abide by there pledges.
>
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5075. More lies from Corky-John, the E$ bedpan changer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:48:19 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohp53$kg8$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

No, you got kicked off for being the butt wipe you are Corky-John, just like
you have been on here for 6 years.

TL

In article <AkZq5.37346$Ur3.388070@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>For sticking up for what is right and not allowing people to bully others
>here on this forum!
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> Liar. You were kicked off for the same actions people are asking you to
>quit
>> on here.
>SNIP
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5076. Re: more lies from Jason!! was:Re: Bill Cheek, Rest in Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:50:18 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohp8qklp1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

Playing the John-Corky semantics game again. You were told to leave/kicked
off for poor behavior. John-Corky, your mamma must have squeezed too hard
when you were born and punctured your brain. You are full of crap.

TL

In article <lhZq5.37341$Ur3.388468@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>I was never told to leave fidonet.
>
>"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
>> "K-S-0-F \(callsign\)" <pio@parg.org> wrote:
>> >During my time on Fido, I was never asked to leave Fido.
>>
>> You were TOLD, not asked. You had no option.
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5077. Re: Jon, ashamed to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:55:48 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohpj4$l5s$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80790

In article <k4Zq5.37332$Ur3.387818@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>
><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>> Glenn read you like a book.
>SNIP
>
>How do you figure that?

He has predicted what you will do. You hide from the facts and change the
subject. I posted proof of your many user names, Glen said you would not
respond to the cold truth. He was right.

>
>> Ashamed
>> also of being in the National Guard.
>
>1. I am not ashamed of anything.

You should be. Everything from your personal care to your obnoxious behavior
would make any other person ashamed. I am ashamed to be even posting to you.

>2. The Navy does NOT have a "National Guard", FOOL! (Only the Army -& later
>Air Force- have National Guards after a congressional action over 100 years
>ago)

The Navy certainly has lowered their standards then.

>What branch of the service were YOU in?

Navy, retired. I am sure with more honors and of a higher rank than you
John-Corky.

>>
>> Can't wait to see if your elderly father is also away those two weeks.

As Glenn called it, you doged this issue.

>> So what do you do for a living John-Corky. What are you hiding?

Same here, Glenn called it 100%

Will be glad to see you leave. Hope you have a terrible accident and can't
return!

TL

>>
>>

>>
>>
>> In article <UnQq5.36081$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
>> <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>> >The "ARMED" Services, what did you think I was talking about?
>> >
>> >"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>> >> Yeah, right. What branch of the service John
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
>eb -----
>> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
>groups
>> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
>abuse@newsone.net
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5078. Another John-Corky lie exposed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:57:40 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohpmk$lb0$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80789

John-Corky, you said you were an officer. Hate to break the news to you Corky but a Petty Officer is a low life enlisted man. You aren't even close. What a fraud!

TL

In article <N0Zq5.37328$Ur3.387560@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>Petty Officer in the US Navy.
>
><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
>> What rank in what branch
>SNIP
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5079. Go away John-Corky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 01:59:01 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohpp5$lf6$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80787

Most wish John-Corky would though.

In article <QZYq5.37325$Ur3.387023@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
>Perhaps you should follow his fine example.
>
>"James Hauser" <jameshauser@home.com> wrote
>SNIP
>> Bill wanted to get out of this scene.
>> Jim Hauser
>
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5080. Re: scanner personal prefcerences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 02:00:46 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohpselmm1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80794

Why, are you the Net Cop Boner? Go give John-Corky a big HUG now. He is your hero but don't make RicK jealous.

TL

In article <20000829183310.06774.00005624@ng-cg1.aol.com>, TheHamGuy1 <thehamguy1@aol.com> writes:
>>You first scanner related post and it is nothing but self serving drivel. You
>>ahve so litle self esteem you try and impress the NG with your "affiliation".
>>No technical merit or any useful information, just bragging about nothing
>>impressive. Fool .
>>
>>
>>TL
>Hi Timmy Boy
>What was the last on topic post that you or the prolific not on topic Glenn
>posted.
>Hamboner

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5081. John-Corky KS0F caught in a lie again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 02:12:02 UTC
From: larson@sofcom.com
Message-ID: <8ohqhi$mp6$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 80795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M <John-Corky@retard.com> writes:
.
>
>I am not in the national guard. I am an officer. Glenn, your jealousy is
>starting to show again! (BTW- in the military I am NOT involved in the
>Medical section at all)

Corky, a Petty Officer is not an Officer you enlisted maggot. Impersonating an oficer is a crime!!!

Where are you going to be for your two weeks. I have a few friends left in the Navy I would love for you to meet them. Who is you CO? Where are you or do I have to find you myself maggot?

It is sh*t asses like you that have screwed up the Navy. I can't wait to get to your ass maggot. Where you going for your reserve weekend boy. I'll hop a flight and meet you.

Officer, you f*cking liar.

The Navy can't have gone this far in the sh*t hole! I can't believe it!!!!

This is a frigging nightmare. Was proud to retire from the Navy, I didn't want to but had no choice and now I see they let this sh*t ass in. God save us all!

TL

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5082. Ground an Antenna in the Attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 02:20:56 UTC
From: andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com
Message-ID: <39ac70e5.1513457@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 80796

I have a RS Omnidirectonal Multi-element dipole 20-044 antenna and need to know if I should ground the antenna. I am mounting it in my attic. Will grounding the antenna improve it's performance, like a ground plane antenna? Or is grounding only for safety issues? Is it unsafe to have an ungrounded antenna in an attic? Which type of antenna should be grounded indoors, what about a discone?

Thanks for everyone's help,
Andy

5083. Re: F.S rs pro-37 200ch.scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 02:39:46 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829223946.16859.00000637@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 80647

In article <20000829202648.16025.00000033@ng-ch1.aol.com>, dverg14@aol.com (DVERG14) writes:

>for sale rs pro-37 handheld scanner. 200 channel modified for cells. verygood
>shape 9 out of 10. key lock switch does not work. has rechargeable batteries,
>power supply,ant.and instruction manual. $170.00

(rolling on the floor hysterically, since these commonly go for around $100 on eBay!)

5084. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 02:58:36 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829225836.02465.00000032@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 80648

How about a 7 year old with an M&M in his ear? Seems the kid was sleeping on the couch when his 4 year old brother dropped the candy in his ear. Patient had no complaint of pain or discomfort, was not crying or carrying on. Parent had a car but didn't want to have to wait in the ER waiting room so she called 911 instead. We had the kid jump up and down on one foot while tapping on his head like you do when you get water in your ear and out popped the M&M.

5085. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 03:29:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g02xbw2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2000 04:03:44 +0000, Keith Wood wrote:

> Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>
>> FYI - a radar endorsement is not and was not required or valid
>> to service a speed radar, just for Marine Radars. And there is no
>> such thing as an "inspection sticker". The most that could
>> have been required in the field is an ID tag (FCC Form 452-C)
>> with the name and call letters of the licensee. Service records were
>> inspectable on request but could be, and usually were, kept
>> back at the shop.
>
>And just how many cops will know this . . ?

IMNSHE every FCC inspector did...we got it in "boot camp".
Those PDs who had professional service departments knew it
also.

Smart inspectors didn't mess with the PDs because all the
agency "field brass" was tight with the PD comm folks through
APCO and working interference cases with/for them. At least
in my office......

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5086. Re: New Radio Shack Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 03:34:27 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000829233427.17820.00001793@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 80656

PARADE magazine mentioned this item in a column this past Sunday. Beginning in Sept., some of their stories will feature a bar code that, when scanned, will take you to the story's details on a web site!

5087. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 03:36:41 UTC
From: Robert John <RAJohnGM@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dj%q5.807$U41.66600@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sure are, due to the scum in the White House!
Bob
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:Xhwq5.25625$g53.462760@news5.giganews.com...
> The only thing I can say is:
>
> "The times, they are a changin"...
>
>
> Toll Free
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:Syoq5.5408$_X.20222@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Well, one time I was driving south to FW at about 4 AM (I worked nights
at
> > the time, so I was awake anyway), this was the time the drug t.f.
stopped
> > me. I was asked (in this order) for my driver's license and proof of
> > insurance, why was I out at that late an hour, what was I doing with all
> the
> > radios in my car and who was I talking to on them, could I prove I was a
> > amateur radio operator and a EMA member, had I ever been convicted on
any
> > drug charges, and would I allow them to search my car. They ran my
plates
> > and license (the agent who waited by me was annoyed that my radios
picked
> up
> > everything said, and told the other narc to use his phone. I was
released
> > with a warning to watch my driving (the first time anything was said
about
> > driving).
> >
> > Another time I was talking on an Okla. City repeater when I passed by
what
> > appeared to be an interdiction stop. A marked OHP unit peeled off and
> > caught up with me, then ran my plates before pulling me over. He wanted
> to
> > know who I was talking to on the radio, if I'd been warning anybody. I
> > explained I was a ham, showed him my license, and casually mentioned I'd
> > been a police dispatcher, and did Lt. So-n-so still work in Dist. 1
(Okla.

> > City, Troop A). After that he became friendlier and apologized for
> pulling
> > me over. He was the one that explained the radio-equipped escort car
> > profile (later confirmed on TV news reports).
> >
> > Of course, I don't have A antenna on my car, I have THREE antennas.
> > Dual-band for my ham rig, dual-band for my scanner, and a mono-band for
my
> > EMA radio.
> >
> > Mark K5MAR
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:z_eq5.306362$t91.3248533@news4.giganews.com...
> > > I travelled from Houston, Texas to Ponca City OK every other weekend
for
> 6
> > > months, with an antenna on my car, and was never stopped.
> > >
> > > Once must wonder if their are other things that are attracting the
> > officers.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll Free
> > >
> > > "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna
> on
> > my
> > > > > car,
> > > > > > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham
> > radio
> > > > > and
> > > > > > showed them my license.
> > > > >
> > > > > I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot
in
> > > > > Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he
> had
> > > > > the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was
> > > > > written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the

word
> > that
> > > > > ham radio operators are legal.
> > > > >
> > > > Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely
> stop
> > > > cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as
> part
> > > of
> > > > their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the
> > northward
> > > > flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with
> > radios
> > > to
> > > > "sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been
> > stopped
> > > > and checked several times.
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area
> > (especially
> > > > during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers
are
> > > > under the impression that hams are required by law to have our
calls
> > on
> > > > our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a
> Metz),
> > > > no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police
traffic
> > (it
> > > > was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals.
Seems
> to
> > > be
> > > > working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
> > > >
> > > > Mark K5MAR
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

5088. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:02:54 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <OH%q5.38325$Ur3.392724@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80654

Dan - In the Navy, a Petty Officer is a Non-Commissioned Officer!

(Now don't you feel embarrased!)

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote
> J o h n M wrote:
> > Petty Officer in the US Navy.
>
> John, the closest you came to being a naval officer is being a cabin
> boy on a Greek Navy vaseline tanker!

5089. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:03:44 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39AC879F.54FBCDBF@bctv.com>
Source record: 80649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Kane wrote:
>

> FYI - a radar endorsement is not and was not required or valid
> to service a speed radar, just for Marine Radars. And there is no
> such thing as an "inspection sticker". The most that could
> have been required in the field is an ID tag (FCC Form 452-C)
> with the name and call letters of the licensee. Service records were
> inspectable on request but could be, and usually were, kept
> back at the shop.

And just how many cops will know this . . ?

5090. Re: John KS0F makes larson look like a fool again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:06:07 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <PK%q5.38330$Ur3.392941@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80652

<larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> J o h n M <John-Corky@retard.com> writes:
> >I am not in the national guard. I am an officer. Glenn, your jealousy
is
SNIP
> a Petty Officer is not an Officer you enlisted maggot. Impersonating an
> oficer is a crime!!!

Wrong again, in the US Navy a Petty Officer is a Non-Commissioned Officer.

> Where are you going to be for your two weeks. I have a few friends left in
the
I will be at a Navy base. I can't say any more.

5091. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:10:47 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8oi1hf$o06$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Or the current Michigan scanner enforcement..

October 2000 issue of Popular Communications
Washington Beat column...

In article <331pqskrpuoodph09rdnq5v5oo2gg62r4r@news.no-courage.com>, wimpy
has no courage <wimpy@no-courage.com> wrote:

>
> September 2000 issue of Popular Communications, Page 74.
> Whashington Beat column.
>
>
> http://thomas.loc.gov Search by bill # "h.r.3489"
>
>
>
> Wireless Telecommunications Sourcing and Privacy Act:
>
>
> SEC. 6. UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTION OR PUBLICATION OF COMMUNICATIONS.
>
> Section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 605) is amended--

5092. Icom R8500 great price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:38:22 UTC
From: Johnson <robjohn@chesco.com>
Message-ID: <2d0r5.3091$V67.132651@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 80657

After shopping for a while, found it at www.denverradio.com for $1349.00.
Beats anyone else I've found.
Greg Johnson

5093. Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 04:38:54 UTC
From: Adrian <jmueller@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <yd0r5.23222$Xg.151996@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 80655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hey all
> I am not sure how to connect my thin coaxial cable to my connector/plug
that
> connects to my scanner...
> there doesn't seem to be any indication to solder the coax...
> the connector is a standard one fitting an MVT-7200...I forget it's
name...
> the coax is the thin RF cable...rg-something....NOT the thick stuff. (like
> standard cb coax).
> So can anyone tell me how I'm supposed to connect this?? it keeps falling
> out of the plug!!

5094. F/S Optoscout & Optocub & tectoyz dtmf decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 05:02:10 UTC
From: gizmogarza@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830010210.06191.00000454@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 80658

Yes, All three for sale as one deal, will not break up. Reason for selling is I sold my pro2006 with opto456 installed. Both scout and cub come's with charger and manuals. I only have the box for the cub. The dtmf decoder is been used three time's and is in mint condition as well as the cub. Every thing must go! I'm selling all three for $300. I will pay for the shipping, The first person you emails me will have first option to buy and so on. Thank you!

5095. Re: Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-30 05:17:37 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <RN0r5.8522$a5.82446@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 80659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Adrian;

It doesn't sound like you're all that technically oriented (no insult intended), so I would recomment going to your local Rad Shack and picking up some of those "no solder" connectors. They come with directions and while they are not the best type of connection, they should serve better than your current arrangement. Just make sure you find out exactly what connector type you need and what cable you have before you go.

Cheers

5096. Re: This group even worse than rec.radio.shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <39AE08AE.8B42E5D1@amsat.org>
Source record: 80641

HAH! Think this one is bad? Mosey over to rec.radio.amateur.misc and
have a read.
Makes these guys look like school boys.

5097. Leave of Absence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <9Snr5.40721$Ur3.429478@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80642

Bye for now, I am going on a leave of absence for military activities to help defend the democracy & freedom of this fine country! I'll catch up with you all in 2 weeks!

5098. Re: Jon, ashamed to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <EUnr5.40724$Ur3.429598@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80643

That is correct, Blake, & that is why I said "later,,," in regards to the Air Force. In 1948
the Air Force become it's own as it had previously been known as the "Air Corp"
& under the leadership of the Army.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > 2. The Navy does NOT have a "National Guard", FOOL! (Only the Army -& later
> > Air Force- have National Guards after a congressional action over 100 years ago)
>
> The Air Force was not around 100 years ago, so there would have been no
> congressional action for the Air Force.

5099. Re: Mackey Prepares to rule !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39AE16DE.68283269@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mailto:abuse@home.net

J o h n M wrote:

> We'll see about that. Wanna make a bet?
>
> "allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> > you're not going to make it through boot camp. They'll spot
> > your illness and you'll be looking at a General Discharge under Honorable
> > conditions.
> >
> > I'm going to laugh my ass off when I see your DD214.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

5100. USAF THUNDERBIRDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:15:26 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000830201526.02719.00001354@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80623

Anyone know what freq's they operate on during air shows......TIA

5101. Re: F.S rs pro-37 200ch.scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:20:12 UTC
From: sefritts@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <39ada49b.1499196@news.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80624

On 30 Aug 2000 00:26:48 GMT, dverg14@aol.com (DVERG14) wrote:

>
>
>for sale rs pro-37 handheld scanner. 200 channel modified for cells. verygood
>shape 9 out of 10. key lock switch does not work. has rechargeable batteries,
>power supply,ant.and instruction manual. $170.00 i'll pay shipping in the U.S.
>money orders only.
> tony
>upstate,ny dverg14@aol.com
>
WOW a bit high for such an old scanner that does not even work
perfect........

5102. Software Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 00:42:49 UTC
From: "m.powell4" <m.powell4@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <8Qhr5.1710$il3.39371@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 80625

can anyone tell me where to find the full version of AOR spectrum master please.

thanx.

5103. Re: Ground an Antenna in the Attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:08:30 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ieir5.14715$_8.755057@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80626

Dipoles do not need to be grounded, either inside or out. Neither does a
Discone.
On a dipole, one arm is the ground the other driven element. On a discone,
the flat 'top hat' is the ground plane, and the multiple elements angling
down are the driven elements.

In general, no antenna in the attic needs a ground. (I had 7 antennas in my
attic, including a vhf/uhf log periodic on a rotator)

Remember, it isn't a roof, it's a RADOME.

"andyman" <andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com> wrote in message
news:39ac70e5.1513457@netnews.voicenet.com...
> I have a RS Omnidirectonal Multi-element dipole 20-044 antenna and
> need to know if I should ground the antenna. I am mounting it in my
> attic. Will grounding the antenna improve it's performance, like a
> ground plane antenna? Or is grounding only for safety issues? Is it
> unsafe to have an ungrounded antenna in an attic? Which type of
> antenna should be grounded indoors, what about a discone?
>
> Thanks for everyone's help,
> Andy
>

5104. Re: Ground an Antenna in the Attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:25:25 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <9uir5.11814$Q36.861531@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80627

Digimon wrote in message ...
>Dipoles do not need to be grounded, either inside or out. Neither does a
>Discone.
>On a dipole, one arm is the ground the other driven element. On a discone,
>the flat 'top hat' is the ground plane, and the multiple elements angling
>down are the driven elements.
>
>In general, no antenna in the attic needs a ground. (I had 7 antennas in my
>attic, including a vhf/uhf log periodic on a rotator)
>
>Remember, it isn't a roof, it's a RADOME.

My house house vinyl clad siding, with aluminum over some insulation. But the good news is that the RADOME in the attic is mostly above the siding, hence, RF transparent.
So keep in mind that if you have Al siding, your attic may still be a great antenna site!
I've got dipoles, 1/4 wave verticals, and loops, and none are fabricated to face the outdoor elements.
None have a direct path intended for earth ground.
Alternatively, the local squirrels enjoy playing with my outdoor antenna's piece parts.
Al

5105. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:30:56 UTC
From: BeepBeep <nospam@no.net>
Message-ID: <kzir5.3689$Oc.651384@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 80628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As far as police monitoring goes..I've heard alot, just the other day I heard SHOTS FIRED! SHOTS FIRED! They had a chopper in the air near my house so I decided to go out and try and stay out of the way but have a look. Very interesting, cops everywhere too. Had cops passing me head on and cops passing me from behind, cops passing each other CODE 3 in opposite directions. Kinda confusing looking too.

Fire wise, I managed to catch a shaken firefighter trying to save an older man's life in the middle of a thunderstorm late at night out on his front lawn. ....Firefighter yelling for EMS to get there ASAP..serveral times over. The man did die unfortunately I read in the paper the following day. That was a pretty shocking experience to hear.

Other night heard police give a description of the suspect, guy comes over radio and responds, he's got big teeth in the front, you know, like RABBIT TEETH.

I About died laughing.

One thing I've noticed, we have alot of 10-74's in my area (holdups).

"Kevin Patterson" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
news:kehr5.48431$p5.1398079@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> > Any other wild things has anyone heard?
>
> I work with a guy who is an EMT in a small rural town. Anyway, living in
> Iowa we tend to get our share of snow each winter. He tells me,
whenever
> we get a big snow and get" snowed in" this lady will call in and complain
of
> dizziness. The ambulance goes out behind a snow plow and gets her. But by
> the time she gets to the hospital she if fine. Well, the hospital is
> located 15 miles from this ladies house, but it just happens to be across
> the street from her daughters house.
> It really pisses the guys off (as well it should) She just wanted to get
to
> town to play cards and have some tea with her daughter.. Some
nerve......but
> an expensive taxi ride.
>
>

5106. Re: USAF THUNDERBIRDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:35:41 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-D03805.21363630082000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 80629

In article <20000830201526.02719.00001354@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
teeavee@aol.comGETLOST (Teeavee) wrote:

> Anyone know what freq's they operate on during air shows......TIA

There are huge lists of frequencies they've been reported on over the
years. I can only speak to where I found them at a show last summer:

141.850 Team leader, 4/6-plane formation
235.250 Show control
322.950 Solo pair

All AM mode, including the VHF frequency.

Plus an FM maintenance frequency somewhere between 413.000 and 414.000
(they move around a lot, can't recall which they were on at that show).

Hope this helps
-A

5107. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:37:33 UTC
From: f1dfr@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8okcssuaq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g02lzy1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2000 22:45:24 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >In the ITU rules, it's said that hams MUST have equipments to verify
> >that they do not transmit on frequencies of other public services.
>
> Where does it say that (chapter and section number, please).

I think it's in Radiocommunications Rules R.R 1-7, R.R 1-9, R.R 32, R.R
1-17, R.R 24-1 al.2023 together that made the rule.

>
> >So the only way to do that is to have a spectrum analyzer that can be
> >done with a wideband receiver with a scope function and RS232 output
to
> >monitor the spectrum.
> >So, evidently hams have the right to have that type of receiver ...
>
> See how far that gets you if you try telling that to a judge....
<ggg>
>

If I say so it's because we have the same legal problem in France with
the criminal code article R.226 (forbidding some equipments) and that
legal argument has been studied by lawyers.

Making QRM with spurious is illegal, so you must have permanently (even
in portable) an equipment to monitor that you didn't radiate spurious.
The cheapest equipment to monitor spurious with frequency display, is a
wideband RX, so its use is not illegal because nobody can obligate you
to buy an EXPENSIVE portable spectrum analyzer.

Ham-radio is not only for rich people (if governements disagree with
this principle, sell portable spectrum analyzer for $200 !)

JM F1DFR

> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5108. Radio Shack Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:45:30 UTC
From: Mike <mikej91@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_Mir5.14720$_8.771466@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80630

What do you people think of the Pro-94? I am thinking of getting one. I know I have heard a lot about Uniden being better, I am looking for Trunk Tracking, although I am not sure what system they use in this area. Are there still enough people using CB's and Ham Radios that I should be concerned with the frequencies below 29mhz? Also, If anyone knows how to find out what trunking system that an area or PD uses, just short of asking them, please let me know. Thanks.

--
Mike John
www.freelinksclub.com

5109. Re: Which trunking scanner? Arlington Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 01:57:06 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000830215706.01631.00000665@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 80632

I can't help you with the details about the 2 radios, but you might want to check out the "DFWSCAN" mailing list. It is dedicated to scanning the DFW area.

The people are extremely friendly and helpful.

You can find it by going to www.egroups.com and sign-up for it. I think you'll be glad you did if you want to learn more about scanning in the DFW area.

sward
DFW

5110. Mackey Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 03:04:45 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39ADF734.B1EC0AB6@INVALID.com>
Source record: 80633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

J o h n M wrote:

> Richard - In the distant past (1999 & earlier) any statement that I may have
> made
> stating that I had never been in the military was correct. That is no
> longer a
> correct statement within the recent past (After 1999). Follow this link
>
> http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2000/MAY/May_3_00_Wed/almanac/service.html

Hey loony.....you're not going to make it through boot camp. They'll spot your illness and you'll be looking at a General Discharge under Honorable conditions.

I'm going to laugh my ass off when I see your DD214.

you friggin' loon.

5111. Re: Glenn ' The NG Buffoon' Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 03:25:44 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Yekr5.40340$Ur3.419889@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80634

Nooooo, Sgt. Schultz was much smarter than Timmy!

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote
> I thought about it and Timmy Larson qualifies.
> He is an NCO
> Sgt. Shultz acting Net Cop Officer - NCO

5112. This group even worse than rec.radio.shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 04:23:14 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8okmj4$910$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80635

Jesus H. Christ... I come here to read about scanners and 75% of content (and I mean 75 frigging percent!) consists of personality spats. There's so much interesting stuff to listen to on scanners that it's amazing to me people would waste their time bickering, whining, griping, moaning and fighting. I mean, scanners are more interesting (to me) to listen to than shortwave. 24 hour/day local action on thousands of frequencies, and all anyone can do is gripe?

Go listen to your scanners and stop insulting people (and each other). What the fuck is the point?

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5113. Re: PRO-2005, eliminate beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 04:50:31 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <rulr5.15110$_8.840613@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80636

This works for the 2006... should work for the 2005 also:

Keyboard Beep Delete

With the top cover removed as described above, locate connector CN3,
a 15-pin connector with colored wires at the front of the main circuit
board. There are two precedures which will stop the beep tone from
being heard through the speaker; read both to decide which procedure
you want to follow.

(1) Find the center grey wire coming from CN3 and cut it midway to
disable the beep tone. You may wish to solder a resistor in series
to reduce the beep volume, a trimpot to vary the volume of the beep
tone, or a minature switch to choose between beep and no beep.

(or)

(2) Using a pair of needle-nose pliers, CAREFULLY pry the plug from CN3,
revealing a row of pins. Locate the center pin (#8) which corresponds
to the grey wire and bend it down flat, disabling the beep circuit.
Reinsert the plug. The pin may be erected again later to restore the
beep tone if desired.

This completes the restoration procedure. Reattach the cover and replace
the screws.

Good luck,

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/

----- Original Message -----
From: Robert J.N. Speirs <speirs@primus.ca>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2000 2:36 AM
Subject: PRO-2005, eliminate beeps

> How do I eliminate the beeps in the PRO-2005?
>

5114. Re: Mackey Prepares to rule !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 05:02:03 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <fFlr5.40488$Ur3.423849@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 80637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We'll see about that. Wanna make a bet?

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> you're not going to make it through boot camp. They'll spot
> your illness and you'll be looking at a General Discharge under Honorable
> conditions.
>
> I'm going to laugh my ass off when I see your DD214.

5115. Re: This group even worse than rec.radio.shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 05:07:32 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20000831010732.28955.00000560@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80638

>Subject: This group even worse than rec.radio.shortwave

>From: Walker worldvista@altavista.com

>I come here to read about scanners and 75% of
>content (and I mean 75 frigging percent!) consists of personality
>spats.

Thats what happens when they opened up the internet and made software so any moron could use it.... it was so much
nicer 10 years ago when we used a term program and you HAD to know UNIX

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5116. Chicago Scanning / Air Show Page / Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 05:36:28 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <w9mr5.33157$ZI2.1868684@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80639

I have moved and updated my web page a bit.

It now resides at:

http://members.home.net/tandkmoran

There's a link to my new page dedicated to the
Chicago Air & water Show 2000. It contains many photos
and even some WAV files. I set it up for high res screens
(1024 x 768 and up) with Internet Explorer 4.5 or better.
You'll also want the quick time plug-in. You'll also want
a fast connection and a lot of ram - the page is huge,
about 5 megs - but worth the wait. Other platforms may
work but perhaps not as well. Again - give it time to
load - it's huge.

The page should be up for a while. At least until Kim
discovers that I've placed a picture of her on the web,
or until I am maimed with a frying pan, or until I'm
living in a box, or until she makes me take it down,
or whichever comes first, or all at the same time.

Happy Scanning! - Ted

5117. Re: Jon, ashamed to tell whicH branch of the service.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 06:11:23 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8oksub$g6j$0@63.162.247.190>
Source record: 80640

J o h n M wrote:

> 1. I am not ashamed of anything.
> 2. The Navy does NOT have a "National Guard", FOOL! (Only the Army -& later
> Air Force- have National Guards after a congressional action over 100 years
> ago)
>

The Air Force was not around 100 years ago, so there would have been no
congressional action for the Air Force.

5118. vertex vx3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 06:20:26 UTC
From: Who's Yo' Daddy? <whosyourdaddye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l5lr5.3091$f65.215117@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80596

If anyone knows of any mods and/or has the mic pin diagram for a Vertex VX-3000 please e-mail me.
Also, need to hook up Mot RICK unit and still have microphone if anyone can help.

Thanks,
Snig.

bigpoppasnig@hotmail.com

5119. Re: Radio Shack Webpage Updated!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 07:43:40 UTC
From: red_pony@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ol2b8$mh3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Again: Your RS webpage is getting better. Good links & mods. I was going to send you some of those mods, but you already have them on your page. The latest mod I know of you may add to your page, is the one listed in the message titled, "Sangean 818/CS Diode Protection," which I posted & CW worked the details out on his website. It's on the first page of the present rec.radio.shortwave forum right now. Thanks for your work in developing your RS page. I happen to like the Shack & have benefited from their products & information they provide on the electronics hobby. I'll keep my eye on your page for future updates. Have a good evening, Red Pony

In article <zzlr5.4114$Xm3.53955@news1.atl>,
"Bro. Lloyd" <noSPAMvfp@BELLSOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net.borg.voyager>
wrote:
> Hello:
>
> I have updated the webpage. Please visit. I have installed a web
forum
> to post messages about Radio Shack Radio products. Mod, hints and
> questions accepted. Take time to also look at the online store that
I'm
> working on too. This helps keep my interest in the site.
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5120. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 11:33:04 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39ae4339.52019349@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

:Chris has this nailed exactly.
:
:The Indiana law clearly exempts any ham as
:long as the ham isn't TRANSMITTING on a
:police frequency. That exemption would
:allow the ham to have a scanner.
:
:Funny too that newspaper reporters are also
:exempted. Guess the cops have no problem
:with reporters listening in, but don't want the
:"regular public" listening.
:

The press has much better lawyers than the general public. The
average ham is too cheap to hire great lawyers.

:
:>There must be more to this story because Indiana, like a lot of
:>states has an exemption for licensed amateur radio operators. Below is a
:>copy of the appropriate statute
:>
:>
:> IC 35-44-3-12
:> Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
:> (1) possesses a police radio;
:> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police
:>emergency purposes; or
:> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
:> (A) while committing a crime;
:> (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
:> (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement
:>agency;
:>commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B
:>misdemeanor.
:> (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
:> (1) a governmental entity;
:> (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
:> (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose
:>vehicles are used in emergency service;
:> (4) a public service or utility company whose
:>vehicles are used in emergency service;
:> (5) a person who has written permission from the
:>chief executive officer of a law enforcement
:>agency to possess a police radio;

:> (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license
:>issued by the Federal Communications
:>Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
:>frequency assigned for police emergency
:>purposes;
:> (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the
:>person's dwelling or place of business;
:> (8) a person:
:> (A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering
:>activities;
:> (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to
:>receive legal advertisements under
:>IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed commercial or public
:>radio or television station; and
:> (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to
:>the chief executive officer of a law
:>enforcement agency in the county in which the employer's
:>principal office is located;
:> (9) a person engaged in the business of
:>manufacturing or selling police radios; or
:> (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio
:>during the normal course of the person's
:>lawful business.
:> (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a
:>radio that is capable of sending or receiving
:>signals transmitted on frequencies assigned by the Federal
:>Communications Commission for police
:>emergency purposes and that:
:> (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a
:>vehicle; or
:> (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
:>individual.
:>The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a
:>dwelling.
:>As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by
:>P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
:>
:>> :
:>> : There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
:>> : anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
:>> : of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
:>>
:>>
:>> Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?
:

5121. Receiving Video Downlinks from News Helecopters (and others)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 11:38:56 UTC
From: Messenger <Messenger@MailAndNews.com>
Message-ID: <39BC5271@MailAndNews.com>
Source record: 80547

See :-

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/System/5140/

5122. Re: USAF THUNDERBIRDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 11:58:01 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000831075801.02707.00001545@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80548

THANKS ALOT...I'LL PLUG IN THE FREQ'S AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS...TV

5123. Re: Radio Shack Webpage Updated!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 13:55:42 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831095542.04616.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 80549

>Subject: Radio Shack Webpage Updated!
>From: "Bro. Lloyd" <noSPAMvfp@BELLSOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net.borg.voyager>
>Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2000 23:55:59 -0700

What happened to "Prophet Lloyd Davies?"

Didn't go over so well, eh?

5124. Re: Trade Icom PCR1000 for R-10 or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 14:50:21 UTC
From: abomb@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8olrb4$j0a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80550

TC - cannot post directly to your email address - can you send
me details on the PCR-1000?

Thanks,

- Mark
kc7kmp@hotmail.com

In article <20134-3993B7E1-146@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Yizeguy@webtv.net wrote:
> I have a PCR 1000 computer controlled scanner with all original
> accessories, bought it new and just dont use it. I'd like to trade it
> for an icom R-10 or an AOR 8000.
> Not interested in any other models.
> Thanks,
> TC
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5125. Re: Radio Shack Webpage Updated!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 15:12:56 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39AE763F.57B72F08@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80551

TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> >Subject: Radio Shack Webpage Updated!
> >From: "Bro. Lloyd" <noSPAMvfp@BELLSOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net.borg.voyager>
> >Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2000 23:55:59 -0700
>
> What happened to "Prophet Lloyd Davies?"
>
> Didn't go over so well, eh?

Wisdom is sometimes slow, but, like Christmas, it's just as sure
to come.

5126. Uniden Hanheld Scanner 4 Sale!!!! cheap!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 15:29:11 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oltja$m0u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80553

A great scanner for sale (listen to the racecar drivers, police, fire, etc)!

UNIDEN BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
plus 2000 nascar freq included

$55.00

check it out at:
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5127. Re: It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 15:34:33 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <FLur5.1473$B54.3399@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80552

I didn't reply to your original post for Mackey's sake, I replied for the whole NG's sake. This is a scanner NG, please take your beefs with Mackey else where. Oh, by the way, know anyone that wants to buy a mint PRO-75 handheld scanner? Give them this link: http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646 . Thanks and have a great day!

Adam Kolari

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39ADD99A.43F8CF3@yua.com...
> I thought you were going to shut up kolari. Here you are
> again sucking mackey.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> > living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk
about
> > scanners!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com...
> > > when he reads all these references about how much
> > > he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
> > > NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> > > reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> > > are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> > > his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> > > He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
> > > making a complete ass of himself"
> > > I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
> > > What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
> > > of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies
> > > all day long.
> > >
>
>
>

5128. FS : MAHA MH-C777 Rapid Charger / Conditioner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 16:07:29 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39ae723d.5789921@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a MAHA MH-C777 rapid charger / conditioner for sale. It is hardly used with instructions. Please go to MAHA website for full details, photo of the item.

$25.00 plus 5 bucks shipping USPS Priority mail.

E-Mail if interested, NO TRADES.

Dan

5129. Re: Leave of Absence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 18:49:38 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AEA8C1.E1E1EA73@yua.com>
Source record: 80555

Yea right Corky! You lost. You're worn out you piece of
shit. You leave now with knowledge that you're going to
be slammed left and right.
We are going to start a petition and give to military
documenting your mental illness.

J o h n M wrote:

> Bye for now, I am going on a leave of absence for military activities to
> help defend the democracy & freedom of this fine country! I'll catch
> up with you all in 2 weeks!

5130. Re: It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 18:51:08 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AEA91C.3489FC85@yua.com>
Source record: 80556

Please don't tell me what to do and practice what you
preach.

Adam Kolari wrote:

> I didn't reply to your original post for Mackey's sake, I replied for the
> whole NG's sake. This is a scanner NG, please take your beefs with Mackey
> else where. Oh, by the way, know anyone that wants to buy a mint PRO-75
> handheld scanner? Give them this link:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646 . Thanks
> and have a great day!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39ADD99A.43F8CF3@yua.com...
> > I thought you were going to shut up kolari. Here you are
> > again sucking mackey.
> >
> >
> >
> > Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > > You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> > > living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk
> about
> > > scanners!
> > >
> > > Adam Kolari
> > >
> > > "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com...
> > > > when he reads all these references about how much
> > > > he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
> > > > NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> > > > reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> > > > are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> > > > his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> > > > He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
> > > > making a complete ass of himself"
> > > > I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
> > > > What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
> > > > of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies
> > > > all day long.
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> >

5131. Corky Mackey's Military Branch = Girl Scouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 18:52:23 UTC
From: juj <coag@yua.com>
Message-ID: <39AEA967.A0713999@yua.com>
Source record: 80557

I bet mackey belongs to this branch so he can hone in on
the little ladies.

5132. Re: Corky Mackey's Military Branch = Girl Scouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:10:57 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831151057.22484.00001744@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80558

>I bet mackey belongs to this branch so he can hone in on
>the little ladies.
>

With Mackey gone on TDY , you are posting in the wind and showing your true colors and intentions to keep up the CAL trouble-making.
The Hammer

5133. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!! was:Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble - send abuse no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:13:09 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831151309.22484.00001745@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80559

>no binaries allowed, Corky.
>
>You were slapped last time. If enough people send notes, you'll be tossed
>from @home for sure.
>
>Loser.

When you always ask for proof and he provides it to the group, what Mr Dumbo do you want?
The Hamboner

5134. Re: Too much Corky crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:19:39 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831151939.22484.00001746@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80560

>I DARE you to leave this NG until the end of the year. You can't do it
>because you are a failure at everything you attempt, Corky.

Mr Meeeeeennnnnnnttttttttttaaalllllllllll Case try what Bud said" no CAL postings will mean no Mackey posts" , but my guess is you are to mental too figure that out.
Hamguy

5135. Off Topic concerning Crossposting etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:19:57 UTC
From: rubber_neck@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8omb45$7kn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80563

I would have never heard of this group if it was not for cross posting. On my end, I can tell who started what as far as the garbage I have seen. I ended up setting kill files up. One individual does it and with all the bickering, people replying do the same.

Not only is the garbage from the original person cross-posted, but so are the responses. Do you not have the option of replying in the original newsgroup only instead of trying to get the last word in every newsgroup that is unfortunate enough to receive this crap?

If names change to avoid kill files, then why not "maintain radio silence" and keep adding to the kill file. This constant bickering is like a fix of adrenaline for a troll. Just ignore.

A majority of this group sound well educated, intelligent and yet these flames are like watching Jerry Springer. Some one calls you a name and you feel that you have to reply otherwise the newsgroup will believe the insults you received to be true?

In real life you cannot ignore problems and hope that they go away. In this situation I think it would actually work. Ignore the problem.

Prove it, liar, I know you are but what am I, this by default that by default, i will if you will, etc etc etc. Just give it a rest and if you can't do that then at least keep it for yourselves.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5136. Re: John 'Toilet Boy' - Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:22:45 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831152245.22484.00001748@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80561

>I'll even sweeten the deal. *After* your information is verified,
>I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

John posted the truth about his Navy career, so post your degree proof and
leave the group as you promised.
Hamguy

5137. Re: PARG: A bunch of Gays?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:26:52 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831152652.22484.00001750@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80562

>I beginning to think this PARG is a homosexual organization.
>Maybe on Wednesday nights they all gather around practice
>the fine art of rimming, sucking, anal penetration and the
>like.
>I think these creeps should be run out of town.
Maybe in Missouri PARG meetings.
Hamboner

5138. Re: PARG membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:33:16 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831153316.22484.00001751@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80564

Is my application being reviewed?
Hamguy

5139. Re: Leave of Absence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 19:46:21 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831154621.20486.00001553@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80565

I see since the big vote was taken that CAL acticity is still prolific.
No Glenn Hansen posting so just maybe he left after reviewing the overwhelming
vote mandate that he is hated most on the NG.
Would SGT. Shultz please make sure that the mandate is enforced.
NCO Timmy Larson the Net Cop Officier in charge.
PARGRADIO

>Subject: Re: Leave of Absence
>From: juj coag@yua.com
>Date: 8/31/00 1:49 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <39AEA8C1.E1E1EA73@yua.com>
>
>Yea right Corky! You lost. You're worn out you piece of
>shit. You leave now with knowledge that you're going to
>be slammed left and right.
>We are going to start a petition and give to military
>documenting your mental illness.
>
>J o h n M wrote:
>
>> Bye for now, I am going on a leave of absence for military activities to
>> help defend the democracy & freedom of this fine country! I'll catch
>> up with you all in 2 weeks!
>

5140. Re: AOR AR8000 Wideband Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 20:57:55 UTC
From: Kathy Flores <mailbrat@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nFzr5.15956$C42.612490@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80566

The AR8000 has been sold. Thanks for your interest.

--

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
* Kathy Flores K6MBT
* mailbrat@earthlink.net
* ICQ #569810
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Man may have discovered fire, but
women discovered how to play with it.

5141. Re: Trade Icom PCR1000 for R-10 or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 21:18:52 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terry1810@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8omi36$gj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80568

TC,

I will trade my IC-R10 with you. It has full 800 MHz coverage. I also have the copy of the DOS cloning software. I only have one cable so I think I'll keep it for my IC-R2. <g>

- Terry <terryllee@home.com>

In article <20134-3993B7E1-146@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Yizeguy@webtv.net wrote:
> I have a PCR 1000 computer controlled scanner with all original
> accessories, bought it new and just dont use it. I'd like to trade it
> for an icom R-10 or an AOR 8000.
> Not interested in any other models.
> Thanks,
> TC
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5142. HELP~~~~ LAFAYETTE HA-600A shortwave radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 22:09:47 UTC
From: mrrusso@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39aed43e.1093514@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hi to all, I have a old Lafayette HA-600A that works pretty nice for
it's age. The only problem is the RF gain control is in need of
replacement. It has 2 pots ganged up together. The problem is
the pot has some wires soldered to the outer case and
the pot value is covered over by solder. Does anyone have the
schematic or a Sams photofact of this great old radio?
If you can help me please e-mail me direct at:

mrrusso@bellatlantic.net

THANKS, Mark N2FLF

5143. Re: It must be embarrassing for Mackey...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-08-31 22:55:23 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <XcBr5.1932$B54.5164@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80569

Sure, I'll practice what I preach. I won't post off-topic as long as no one else does. Good day to you!

Adam Kolari

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AEA91C.3489FC85@yua.com...
Please don't tell me what to do and practice what you
preach.

Adam Kolari wrote:

I didn't reply to your original post for Mackey's sake, I replied for the whole NG's sake. This is a scanner NG, please take your beefs with Mackey else where. Oh, by the way, know anyone that wants to buy a mint PRO-75 handheld scanner? Give them this link: http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646 . Thanks and have a great day!
Adam Kolari

"juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39ADD99A.43F8CF3@yua.com...
> I thought you were going to shut up kolari. Here you are
> again sucking mackey.
>
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> > You seem to know a lot about Mackey. Is this because you do it for a
> > living, or just because you have no life? Come on people...let's talk
about
> > scanners!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "juj" <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39AD4BBB.4B0ADEF7@yua.com...
> > > when he reads all these references about how much
> > > he looks like Corky (the down syndromed kid) on this
> > > NG. And, Mackey knows his freaky PARG 'friends' are
> > > reading it, too. You just know his freaky PARG friends
> > > are embarrassed for him and are probably laughing behind
> > > his back saying, "doesn't Mackey know when he is beat..
> > > He does look like that down syndromed kid and is really
> > > making a complete ass of himself"
> > > I better stop for now or I'll become embarrassed for Mackey.
> > > What an ass mackey is. He actually thinks he is winning all
> > > of this. Its all mackey has in the world... to sit and type replies

> > > all day long.
> > >
>
>
>

5144. FA: BC245-XLT No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:15:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <NyCr5.22572$QW4.277732@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80571

FOR AUCTION ON EBAY, with No Reserve!

BC245-XLT Uniden Bearcat Trunktracker II Hand-Held Scanner!

Like new, original box, manual, cable.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

5145. Re: BC245-XLT No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:20:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4DCr5.22578$QW4.277728@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80572

Oops...forgot the link!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=425009745

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:NyCr5.22572$QW4.277732@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR AUCTION ON EBAY, with No Reserve!
>
> BC245-XLT Uniden Bearcat Trunktracker II Hand-Held Scanner!
>
> Like new, original box, manual, cable.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

5146. Re: PARG membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:46:22 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8omu8u$8vf$0@63.162.247.136>
Source record: 80573

Hamguy wrote:
>
> Is my application being reviewed?
> Hamguy

Sir,

Your application was reviewed, but by almost a unanimous vote, you were declined membership in PARG. Sorry about that.

Blake Bowers
Membership Committee, Pottersville Amateur Radio Group

5147. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:47:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g06dyb3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80575
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 01:37:33 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:

>> Where does it say that (chapter and section number, please).
>
>I think it's in Radiocommunications Rules R.R 1-7, R.R 1-9,

These are page numbers, not Radio Rule sections.

>R.R 32,

RR 32 defines "Port Operations Service" which has nothing to
do with amateur radio.

>R.R 1-17, R.R 24-1

More page numbers.

> al.2023 together that made the rule.

RR 2023 deals with the obligation of licensees to ensure secrecy
of communications......

Try again, mon ami. I do this for a living.

>If I say so it's because we have the same legal problem in France with
>the criminal code article R.226 (forbidding some equipments) and that
>legal argument has been studied by lawyers.

French law and legal processes are radically different from US
communications law. I for one know this because I was trained
as a regulatory legal and engineering specialist by someone who
was trained under the French Administration at a time when it
considered that the average citizen needed access to a radio
tramsnitter as much as to a machine gun <ggg>

In the US, one is required only to ensure that spurious signals
are not being transmitted, and there is no requirement that any
specific piece of equipment be on hand to ensure that. Indeed,
one can borrow equipment to do this, or can even rely on off-the-air
observation by a fellow ham or commercial engineering service.

>Making QRM with spurious is illegal, so you must have permanently (even
>in portable) an equipment to monitor that you didn't radiate spurious.
>The cheapest equipment to monitor spurious with frequency display, is a
>wideband RX, so its use is not illegal because nobody can obligate you
>to buy an EXPENSIVE portable spectrum analyzer.

Rest assured, though, that if one is found transmitting spurious signals, s/he will be cited for the violation regardless of access to a wideband receiver, a spectrum analyzer, the entire HP Laboratory, or none of the above.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5148. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:47:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g06efd4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80574
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 11:33:04 GMT, N9NWO wrote:

>:Funny too that newspaper reporters are also
>:exempted. Guess the cops have no problem
>:with reporters listening in, but don't want the
>:"regular public" listening.
>:
>
>The press has much better lawyers than the general public. The
>average ham is too cheap to hire great lawyers.

Bottom line - the press has the means, opportunity, and
sometimes even the motive to make mincemeat out of the PDs or
anyone else who gets in their way and both sides know it.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5149. Cleveland Air Show Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 00:51:22 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e4Dr5.3294$U41.239919@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80576

Anybody know the freq's for this weekends air show? Are they the same as other shows?

Frank

5150. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 01:14:59 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <39AF0313.AC130690@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 80577
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil,

Remember (sure you do!) when we used to be required to have a way to monitor
our operating frequency WRT the band edges? There're STILL LM's coming out
of the woodwork at hamfests.

Not _required_ anymore - but we are sure still liable for violations.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 01:37:33 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >> Where does it say that (chapter and section number, please).
> >
> >I think it's in Radiocommunications Rules R.R 1-7, R.R 1-9,
>
> These are page numbers, not Radio Rule sections.
>
> >R.R 32,
>
> RR 32 defines "Port Operations Service" which has nothing to
> do with amateur radio.
>
> >R.R 1-17, R.R 24-1
>
> More page numbers.
>
> > al.2023 together that made the rule.
>
> RR 2023 deals with the obligation of licensees to ensure secrecy
> of communications......
>
> Try again, mon ami. I do this for a living.
>
> >If I say so it's because we have the same legal problem in France with
> >the criminal code article R.226 (forbidding some equipments) and that
> >legal argument has been studied by lawyers.
>
> French law and legal processes are radically different from US
> communications law. I for one know this because I was trained
> as a regulatory legal and engineering specialist by someone who
> was trained under the French Administration at a time when it

> considered that the average citizen needed access to a radio
> tramsnitter as much as to a machine gun <ggg>
>
> In the US, one is required only to ensure that spurious signals
> are not being transmitted, and there is no requirement that any
> specific piece of equipment be on hand to ensure that. Indeed,
> one can borrow equipment to do this, or can even rely on off-the-air
> observation by a fellow ham or commercial engineering service.
>
> >Making QRM with spurious is illegal, so you must have permanently (even
> >in portable) an equipment to monitor that you didn't radiate spurious.
> >The cheapest equipment to monitor spurious with frequency display, is a
> >wideband RX, so its use is not illegal because nobody can obligate you
> >to buy an EXPENSIVE portable spectrum analyzer.
>
> Rest assured, though, that if one is found transmitting
> spurious signals, s/he will be cited for the violation
> regardless of access to a wideband receiver, a spectrum
> analyzer, the entire HP Laboratory, or none of the above.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5151. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 01:26:03 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000831212603.18142.00001956@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 80579

>both Indiana and NJ have similar laws allowing Ham operators to have
>police band-capable receivers in their cars.

Actually, NJ does not have any laws restricting scanners, unless you are committing a crime while in possession of one..
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5152. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 01:26:12 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39af0606.13000215@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

```
:>:snip
:>:
:>:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
:>:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
:>:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
:>
:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
:>in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
:>overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
:>was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
:>
:>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
:>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
:>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
:
:Hmmm... those hams are so hot to turn in "outlaw" radio
:operators to the Feds. And now law enforcement is targeting
:hams. How ironic. "How does it feel?"

Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
destroyed. I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
and cell).
```

5153. Re: Scanner Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 01:26:13 UTC
From: Terry Parsons <tp04417@navix.net>
Message-ID: <8on0hs$9oe$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 80578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"wimpy has no courage" <wimpy@no-courage.com> wrote in message
news:n1voqs4fl9gcjpirjim0hfk96m9oihh9kv@news.no-courage.com...
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2000 03:04:12 GMT, "Sidney J. Latham"
<sidneylatham@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Will you defend your rights to listen to to your scanner if "BIG BROTHER"
> >comes knocking and demands fot you to give it up?
>
>
> I will bleat like a sheep from "Animal Farm":
>
> "Secret Police Radio communications,
good"
> "Citizens with scanner
radios, bad!"
>
> "Secret Police Radio communications,
good"
> "Citizens with scanner
radios, bad!"
>
>
> Then I will complain "why didn't Somebody Else do something to stop
this?"
>
> "Why were these people Silent when congress passed the ECPA of 1986?"
>
> "Didn't these people realize that scanner hobbyist are considered to be
"The Enemy!"
> and one the same list as Phillip Morris, Microsoft, and Smith &
Wesson/NRA?"
>
>
> Then the citizens of our great country will re-elect Diane Finestein for
US Senate in
> California, elect Hillary Rodham Clinton to the Senate (New York), and
elect Al Gore For President.

HEY! We could do worse than Gore!! We could get another BUSH in the
whitehouse. Or have you guys forgotten than GWB was head of the CIA and
engaged in legalized murder for a living?

5154. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 01:27:44 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000831212744.18142.00001957@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 80581

>But if you get pulled over for speeding or
>improper lane changing, aren't you committing a crime?

Not necessarily... it depends on how the state traffic laws are written - here in RI, the traffic laws have been "decriminalized", which means speeding, going through stop signs, etc.. isn't a crime. Of course, misdemeanors are still crimes (like driving on a suspended license..)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5155. My Radio Shack webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 02:01:13 UTC
From: Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net>
Message-ID: <jlCr5.4896$pJ.71269@news2.atl>
Source record: 80570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's getting some hits. Please take a look. i'll be adding more in the next few days. I have now a digital camera (Kodak DC 20) and I'm gonna take some picture of some of the other RS stuff I have.

Please take use of the forum I have set up too. And please, do not post off-topic messages, they WILL BE DELETED. I had to delete a couple from it this morning.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

5156. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 02:21:42 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39AF11A5.43FF1E94@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80582

J o h n M wrote:
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> > J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote
> > > > Dan - In the Navy, a Petty Officer is a Non-Commissioned Officer!
> > >
> > > No you don't have a clue John. A Petty Oficer 3rd class is an E-4 same
> > > as a Corporal in the Army. An E-4 IS NOT AN OFFICER you MORON! A PO 2
> > > is an E-5 equals an Army Sargent. Not an Officer. PO1 is an E-6
> > > equals and Army Staff Sergant, Not an Officer you Chief Petty Officer
> > > equals an Army Sergeant First Class, not an Officer Corky. Even a Master
> > > Chief Petty Officer equal to an Army Sergeant Major is a E-9. Do you even
> SNIP
>
> Glenn - I NEVER mentioned the "Army" so I have NO idea WHY you are
> talking about Army ranks or comparing Army & Navy ranks to each other.
>
> In the US Navy & US Coast Guard ALL Petty Officers (E-4/5/6) are
> Non-Commissioned Officers.

NCOs are not "Officers".

> Some branches are different from others. A typical example of this is how
> the
> Marines make extensive use of the "Warrant Officer" ranks, yet the Air Force
> does not use them at all & hasn't for MANY years!

A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).

> > BTW You posted earlier you were never in the Military.
>
> Glenn - In 1999 & ealier that was true that I had never been in the
> military. After
> 1999 the situation changed. Follow the link below, while the information it
> gives
> about my training is incorrect, the enlistment info is correct. You can
> also vew the attached
> pics.

They start you out as a petty officer in the reserves? Pffft. I
guess that's why the regulars always made fun of Saturday night
soldiers (sailors).

--
Michael Moore

5157. Re: - KS0F still a FRAUD and LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 02:36:33 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39AF1521.B5704C2D@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80583

TheHamGuy1 wrote:
>
> How really sad to see not a ounce of collective brain power from all the CAL's.
> 2 months of low life remarks about the TV character Corky.
> Real mennnnnnnn---tal cases.
> John--thankyou for your military service and shame on the likes of CAL's.

You call two weeks on a cruise ship, military service?

> They do stoop lower each day.
>
> >Subject: - KS0F still a FRAUD and LIAR
> >From: larson@sofcom.com
> >Date: 8/29/00 12:02 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <8ogqac$l0g$1@news.netmar.com>
> >
> >Nice SNIP job Corky.
> >
> >You have showned yourself to be are a liar and a fraud. Again!
> >How soon do you leave John-Corky? You absense will be bliss.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >In article <VnQq5.36082$Ur3.379044@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, J o h n M
> ><NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:
> >>It was a compliment to Blake!
> >>
> >><larson@sofcom.com> wrote
> >>SNIP
> >>> Your little self serving posts like that to
> >>> Blake.
> >>SNIP

5158. Great Frequency Sight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 02:59:45 UTC
From: "owl@wise.com" <ldurham@home.com>
Message-ID: <BYEr5.12703$Z4.456227@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 80584

I just found this sight. Lots of frequency info.

http://216.157.29.195/freqbrd/

5159. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 03:05:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g06l8w1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 18:14:59 -0700, Cortland Richmond wrote:

>Phil,
>
>Remember (sure you do!) when we used to be required to have a way to monitor
>our operating frequency WRT the band edges? There're STILL LM's coming out
>of the woodwork at hamfests.
>
>Not _required_ anymore - but we are sure still liable for violations.

And then there was the FCC "chief" who was an active ham and
was found 2 kHz (kc in those days) outside the band... <ggg>
(The legendary tyrant retired several years before I joined
the Band of Merry Men...)

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5160. Blue Angels Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 03:24:50 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8on7p6$7kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80588

The Blue Angels are in St. Louis this weekend for the air show. Can anybody tell me the frequencies they use or point me to a web site. Thanks in advance.

Jim K. AB0HI

5161. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 03:28:20 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39AF217C.A4F218A6@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80587

Just Bud wrote:
>
> Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >NCOs are not "Officers".
>
> The O in NCO stands for Officer.

No kidding. But NCO's are never referred to as "officers".

> What is commonly called an
> Officer is a Commissioned Officer, NCO is Non-Commissioned Officer.

> >A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
> >thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).
>
> A Warrant officer IS a Commissioned Officer, under special rules.

What may those rules be?

> They are treated EXACTLY the same as a Commissioned Officer
> by Enlisted personnel.

Not where I come from. The Warrant Officers, for one, simply
would not allow it (they actually earned their rank -- reservists
notwithstanding).

> >They start you out as a petty officer in the reserves? Pffft. I
> >guess that's why the regulars always made fun of Saturday night
> >soldiers (sailors).
>
> John has never been in the Military,
> his lack of self-control clearly shows that.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

--
Michael Moore

5162. pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 03:58:22 UTC
From: thpdisp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000831235822.27985.00000779@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 80589

what a pc of junk, errors in the owners manual, not user friendly
at all, i would sug waiting for the bc-780, hope it does better than
what i got today at radio shack, i could not wait to return that scanner,
sure was glad to get that refund.....bill

5163. Re: USAF THUNDERBIRDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 04:00:07 UTC
From: Craig <tacticalmgmt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39af29c3$1@news3.accesscomm.ca>
Source record: 80590

I concur with Alasdair's post. As of July 9, 2000 I monitored the following:

141.850 4 Ship Formation
322.950 Solo
413.025 Ground Ops (pl tones)

Teeavee <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message
news:20000830201526.02719.00001354@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> Anyone know what freq's they operate on during air shows......TIA

5164. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 04:00:28 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39b52802.86213034@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 01:26:12 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:

>
>:>:snip
>:>:
>:>:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
>:>:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
>:>:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
>:>
>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>:>in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
>:>overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
>:>was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
>:>
>:>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
>:>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
>:>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
>:
>:Hmmm... those hams are so hot to turn in "outlaw" radio
>:operators to the Feds. And now law enforcement is targeting
>:hams. How ironic. "How does it feel?"
>
>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
>destroyed. I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
>and cell).
>
What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
of years ago..

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die

gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5165. FA: BC9000-XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 04:15:38 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <K3Gr5.22642$QW4.283150@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80592

For Auction on Ebay:

BC9000-XLT Uniden Scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=425614259

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

5166. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 04:17:16 UTC
From: Strabo <strabo@flashmail.net>
Message-ID: <39AF2DCC.61AD4598@flashmail.net>
Source record: 80593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gunner wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 01:26:12 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:
>
> >
> >:>:snip
> >:>:
> >:>:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
> >:>:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
> >:>:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
> >:>
> >:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> >:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> >:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> >:>in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
> >:>overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
> >:>was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
> >:>
> >:>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
> >:>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
> >:>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
> >:
> >:Hmmm... those hams are so hot to turn in "outlaw" radio
> >:operators to the Feds. And now law enforcement is targeting
> >:hams. How ironic. "How does it feel?"
> >
> >Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
> >destroyed. I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
> >runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
> >vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
> >So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
> >and cell).
> >
> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> of years ago..
>
> Gunner

It's setup to operate out of the CB band and/or
with high power.

Of course all they'd need would be an ham radio instead of
CB. This sounds like the beginning of the war on hams. LEOs
think they own the road and will not differentiate

between CBers and hams.

>
> --------------------------
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
> Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
> homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5167. Battery Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 05:03:45 UTC
From: Tom Tretheway <tomt@ncal.verio.com>
Message-ID: <39AF3914.B9FD77D1@ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 80594

Will I risk over charging the nicad pack on my BC 245 by keeping the charger plugged in while the radio is on? My old RS Pro 34 had two separate power inputs, one for charging and one for just power. I could listen to the radio "plugged in" without worry about overcharging the batteries. With the BC 245 there is only one input, "charge" and I worry about overcharging. I hate running off batteries when I could keep fresh power in the radio and run off AC when at home. Also, the charger voltage is 12 volts for 4, 1.4 volt cells in series. I assume the radio actually runs on the 5.6 volts the batteries deliver. If I were to rig up a battery bypass, I assume I'd need a 5.6 volt power supply. I love the radio but don't care for the way it's powered. Are there any battery experts out there who could answer these questions?
Thanks in advance.
Tom Tretheway

5168. Re: My Radio Shack webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 05:08:43 UTC
From: Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net>
Message-ID: <35Fr5.5030$pJ.74548@news2.atl>
Source record: 80586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have put a password on this forum. A user with IP of 24.4.252.209 has
been abusing this message board and a password has been activated to lock
him out. Please send me a e-mail with your real e-mail address if you wish
to post here. You can read messages without the password.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

"Just Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:ep6uqsog96g83htqultsb94jdlne2rmui1@4ax.com...
> "Bro_Lloyd" <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> hollered from the
outhouse...
>
> >Please take use of the forum I have set up too. And please, do not
post
> >off-topic messages, they WILL BE DELETED. I had to delete a couple from
it
> >this morning.
>
> A) it's FAR too slow finding messages, and
>
> B) There are WAY too many jerks who will abuse such a thing if
> not forced to register.
>
>
> In fact, I saw several dozen messages listed, and NONE seemed
> to be about Radio Shack.
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

5169. Re: Ground an Antenna in the Attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 05:17:25 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-3108002217250001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 80595

In article <ieir5.14715$_8.755057@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "Digimon"
<digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dipoles do not need to be grounded, either inside or out. Neither does a
>Discone.
>On a dipole, one arm is the ground the other driven element. On a discone,
>the flat 'top hat' is the ground plane, and the multiple elements angling
>down are the driven elements.
>
>In general, no antenna in the attic needs a ground. (I had 7 antennas in my
>attic, including a vhf/uhf log periodic on a rotator)

A dipole is inherently a balanced antenna. That means there is NO
ground. Properly it should be connected to coax via a balun. In a lot of
cases though (especially receiving) you can get away with connecting coax
to it directly.

Discones, groundplanes are unbalanced meaning that it takes coax directly.

Dipoles, yagis and log periodics are balanced meaning they need twinlead
or a balun and coax.

The only antenna that needs a ground (for signal purposes) is a ground
plane antenna where the ground plane is, well, the ground, ie the antenna
is a vertical tower insulated from the ground. This would also include
long wire antennas.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA Macintosh / Internet Consulting

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

5170. vertex vx3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 06:23:48 UTC
From: Snig <jsands@magnolia-net.com>
Message-ID: <o5Ir5.3092$f65.215117@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80497

Does anyone know any mods for the Vertex VX-3000 VHF or have the microphone pin diagram? Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Snig.

please repy to: bigpoppasnig@hotmail.com

5171. Re: Scanner Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 07:12:06 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20000901031206.20486.00001749@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 80498

>From: "Terry Parsons" tp04417@navix.net

>HEY! We could do worse than Gore!!

Yep ... we could have Clinton...thats the ONLY thing worse... anything else would be an improvement

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5172. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 09:08:28 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <39AF720C.4004140F@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 80499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
What's The Best Scanner?
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
RadioShack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
Amateur & HF Radio Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
Do I Need An Amplifier?
Where Can I Get A Digital Scanner?
*What Is Reaction Tune?
*What's A Discriminator?
*What's A Good Scanner For Races?
My Web Site

Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5173. Re: HELP~~~~ LAFAYETTE HA-600A shortwave radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 09:11:28 UTC
From: imnot@home.ca
Message-ID: <39af7302.1451581@news>
Source record: 80500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

remove the leads from the outer tabs and measure resistance

Hope this helps

S
On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 22:09:47 GMT, mrrusso@bellatlantic.net (Mark
Russo) wrote:

>Hi to all, I have a old Lafayette HA-600A that works pretty nice for
>it's age. The only problem is the RF gain control is in need of
>replacement. It has 2 pots ganged up together. The problem is
>the pot has some wires soldered to the outer case and
>the pot value is covered over by solder. Does anyone have the
>schematic or a Sams photofact of this great old radio?
>If you can help me please e-mail me direct at:
>
>mrrusso@bellatlantic.net
>
>THANKS, Mark N2FLF

5174. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 09:27:23 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39AF767B.44A29E6A@zylay.com>
Source record: 80502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> of years ago..
>
> Gunner

A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.

--
Gene Floyd
http://www.zylay.com

"Ride to eat, eat to ride."

5175. Resetting the BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 09:50:54 UTC
From: sw <skealow@pixi.com>
Message-ID: <39AF7BFE.1625DEDE@pixi.com>
Source record: 80501

Is there a way to reset the memory for this
scanner so that all the frequencies and functions that
were previously entered in are erased? Perhaps shorting
a capacitor or something?

5176. OFERTA!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 10:43:20 UTC
From: EC3CEC <ec3cec@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <cLLr5.28825$pA4.445891@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 80503

VENDO ESTACION, EQUIPO HF KENWOOD TS 570-D EN LICENCIA, FUENTE DE
ALIMENTACION DIAMOND GSV3000 25Amp. Y MICROFONO KENWOOD MC-60 TODO POR:
170.000 PTAS. TODO EL LOTE
ESTA EN EXCELENTE ESTADO COMO NUEVO, SIN USAR. GASTOS DE ENVIO POR
PARTE DEL COMPRADOR.

5177. OCASION!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 10:44:11 UTC
From: EC3CEC <ec3cec@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <%LLr5.28829$pA4.446430@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 80504

VENDO ESTACION, EQUIPO HF KENWOOD TS 570-D EN LICENCIA, FUENTE DE
ALIMENTACION DIAMOND GSV3000 25Amp. Y MICROFONO KENWOOD MC-60 TODO POR:
170.000 PTAS. TODO EL LOTE
ESTA EN EXCELENTE ESTADO COMO NUEVO, SIN USAR. GASTOS DE ENVIO POR
PARTE DEL COMPRADOR.

5178. Pa turnpike...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 11:46:32 UTC
From: spanner <spanner@safe.quik.com>
Message-ID: <39AF7F50.5E952B0A@safe.quik.com>
Source record: 80505

I know this has been asked before, but I lost my list, and have since reprogramed my radio several hundred times......

What are the freqs for the PA Turnpike, you all know, the ones with pd, fire, tunnel, and maitenance....I think there were 4 total that you could listen to everything...

Thanks for your time...

Carl

5179. Re: Pa turnpike...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 13:20:24 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39AFAD18.FA20FD8A@pitt.edu>
Source record: 80506

Pa Turnpike operate on 159.045, 159.075, 159.000 limited traffic on
155.460, 151.490, 154.755 hope this helps.

--Dan--

spanner wrote:
>
> I know this has been asked before, but I lost my list, and have since
> reprogramed my radio several hundred times......
>
> What are the freqs for the PA Turnpike, you all know, the ones with pd,
> fire, tunnel, and maitenance....I think there were 4 total that you
> could listen to everything...
>
> Thanks for your time...
>
> Carl

5180. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 13:42:18 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39AFB23A.23CF6181@zylay.com>
Source record: 80507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> > >Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
> > >destroyed. I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
> > >runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
> > >vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
> > >So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
> > >and cell).
> > >
> > What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> > of years ago..
> >
> > Gunner
>
> It's setup to operate out of the CB band and/or
> with high power.
>
> Of course all they'd need would be an ham radio instead of
> CB. This sounds like the beginning of the war on hams. LEOs
> think they own the road and will not differentiate
> between CBers and hams.

Using a ham radio as a CB is just as illegal, so there's no difference there. As far as cars with antennas, the antenna is dependent on the frequency used, not the type of radio, so they would still have to use a CB antenna, even with a ham radio. At any rate, they'd have a hard time justifying a stop based on the simple fact that you have an antenna on your car. Where's the probable cause?

Gene Floyd
KD4OUN

5181. Help - Need manual for Regency MX-4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 14:07:44 UTC
From: "J.V.L" <vl@chesco.com>
Message-ID: <QKOr5.3886$V67.183492@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 80508

Help ! I need a manual for a Regency MX-4200 . I bought it at a yard
sale for $10. It seems to work great! I figured out the basics, but still
working on the details on the operations of it. THANKS !
Vince vl@chesco.com

5182. Re: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My Virgin Whiteboy Ass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 14:48:56 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <slPr5.157202$i5.2281484@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 80509

```
From: segels@home.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My Virgin Whiteboy Ass?
Date: 1 Sep 2000 00:14:50 GMT
Organization: @Home Network
Lines: 116
Message-ID: <E0B1AB4F0EC.fuddfvfcej@lqrtlgmp.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ca-ol-bordeaux-11-168.abo.wanadoo.fr
X-Trace: wanadoo.fr 967769276 25226 213.56.54.168 (1 Sep 2000 00:47:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@wanadoo.fr
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Sep 2000 00:47:56 GMT
X-No-Archive: yes
Xref: newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com rec.radio.scanner:30109376
```

5183. Re: Uniden Hanheld Scanner 4 Auction!!!! cheap!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 14:50:21 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <NmPr5.157208$i5.2281659@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 80510

A great scanner for sale (listen to the racecar drivers, police, fire, etc)!

UNIDEN BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
plus 2000 nascar freq included

$55.00

check it out at:
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398

5184. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:16:23 UTC
From: noaj@yournet.com
Message-ID: <39afc80d.1503578@news.preferred.com>
Source record: 80511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 09:50:28 -0400, Jason <no@mail> wrote:

(snip)

>What statute violation did the officer claim? If there is no such law, how
>did it even make it to court?

As is often the case, if someone does something they don't like they
can always charge them with disturbing the peace. I think that's what
was used in this case, but unsuccessfully.

Noah Simoneaux

Growing old is mandatory. Growing wise is optional.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5185. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:24:37 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohnl$7tl@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some models, like the early Uniden SportCats and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $1500 Icom R8500 and $2000 AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT, BC245XLT, SC200, and Radio Shack PRO-91 and PRO-94 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites. The ICOM IC-R2 and Yaesu VR-500 are the two smallest "pocket" scanners which perform. Both are tiny enough to fit comfortably in a shirt pocket so you can monitor without attracting undue attention.

Looking for value? At $170 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT base is a good value and available at most Sears and Best Buy stores. The Uniden Sportcat SC200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $220 street price.

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your

scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, http://www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance. The Premier (Prime) RD-9 and RD-9SMA are about the same length but even thinner.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter

and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. Modifying any scanner to receive cellular phone signals is now considered illegal. By federal regulation, new scanner models must be designed to they cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the

Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial

broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, http://www.grove-ent.com) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the

public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM, too.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes an extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an

invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

Other scanner mailing lists include: scanner@onelist.com, scan-l@onelist.com, scanner@qth.net, and sonofrcma@onelist.com.

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about

4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for

free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55 in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or six pack.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5186. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:24:43 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohnr$7tm@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 16, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Other frequency web sites include:
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/ and
http://www.scannerway.8m.com.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or

anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the

channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have

the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus

service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5187. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:24:48 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8ooho0$7tn@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed August 10, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

Uniden sells refurbished scanners dn you can check current inventory at their web site:
http://www.uniden.com/docs/store

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

You may be able to obtain manuals for older Midland scanners from:

Midland Consumer Radio Products
1670, North Topping,
Kansas City,
MO: 64120
Phone: 816-241-8500

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer the ICOM IC-R2, Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT, and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5188. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:24:56 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8ooho8$7to@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229, email: electra@tcon.net,

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor

controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig and Karl Klein repaired their BC210XWs by replacing the 22uF/35V C98 capacitor.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This display is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855.

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5189. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:25:02 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohoe$7u3@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: April 15, 2000

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)422-4210. The part number on the service manual for my VHF-high band monitor is 68P81048A55-G and my VHF-low band manual bears part number 68P81050A95-D.

John Miller reports the current price is $5.70 for service manuals. Motorola advised John that Alert Monitor parts are scarce, with last stocked being in 1995, Knobs are no longer available, though there are many that would fit.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model	UHF	VHF-high	VHF-low
SM-301	X	X	X
SM-302	X	X	

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. TCS Communications Corp. sells used Plectron receivers, in working condition, for $75-100. If you require crystals or reeds, TCS may be able to find some types/frequencies. Contact:

Terry Marengi
TCS Communications Corp.
tel. 1-800-TCS-XMIT
email: TERRYTCS@aol.com

If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5190. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:25:08 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohok$7uc@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80517
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated August 15, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, John Wass KA9QJX, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. Info: Ron Morgan, KB9NW, or John Coker, N9FAM, both c/o: PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. tel: (309)692-3378. Email kb9nw@juno.com or n9fam@juno.com. http://www.peoriasuperfest.com

Sep 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Admission $8, good both days. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 1 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 8 (Sun): Cedarville, IL - Stephenson County Repeater | Association amateur radio and computer hamfest. Jane Addams |

Community Center 430 W. Washington St., Cedarville IL. |
8:00am-2:00pm. Admission $4.00. No advance ticket sales. |
Indoor table cost $9.00 for one, $5.00 per each additional. |
Tailgaters $4.00 same as admission, limited space available. |
Talk in 147.390. VE testing, times to be determined. For |
table reservations: coordinator Ruben Dowman KB9OLF (815) |
563-9020, email: drads115@aol.com or Mel Welch (815) |
563-4377 or Russ Love (815) 563-4921. |

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 15 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 5 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. Talk-in 146.88 MHz repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest

Nov 11 (Sat): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 12 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 18, 19 (Sat, Sun): Ft. Wayne, IN Fort Wayne Hamfest & Computer Expo. Allen County Amateur Radio Technical Society. 2000 Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County War Memorial Coliseum Exposition Center. Hours 9 AM - 4 PM EST Sat, 9 AM - 3 PM EST Sun. Admission $5 plus $2 parking. http://www.acarts.com

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 3 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Dec 17 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5191. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:25:14 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohoq$7ud@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5192. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:25:20 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <8oohp0$7uh@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 80513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: July 7, 2000.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 2000 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)241-8742, or through your local Radio Shack store. You may be able to obtain some technical assistance from Tandy by calling (800)843-7422, option 2. The RSU (Radio Shack Unlimited) phone number is (800)-433-2024.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004, but Robert Cummings has modified an LCD from a Miller Engineering (http://www.microstru.com) experimenter's kit and fitted it to his PRO-2004. See http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html for details.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the

squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad (PRO-43 keypad is RSU#10789808) may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A
crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those
channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
Copyright 2000, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

5193. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:40:10 UTC
From: an@ny.mous
Message-ID: <39afcd9a.39703762@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>> of years ago..
>>
>> Gunner
>
>A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts,

That would be 4 watts AM, 12 watts SSB.

Paul

5194. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:50:26 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardtNO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ooj82$jof@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 80521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<Bob> wrote in message news:39ac39ae.973286@news.compusmart.ab.ca...
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2000 10:54:06 GMT, BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote:
>
> >A medic squad was called to a home because a 24 old male stubbed his
> >toe. No bull!!I think one of those medics get $275 per call. I heard the
> >medic respond to the hospital during transfer he will lose a toenail!
> >Any other wild things has anyone heard?
>
>
> I heard sominthin simular where as some guy had a tooth ache
> (no kiddin), this is a prime example of whats wrong with
> the medical systems. Total missuse of services.
>
Yeah, my girlfriend is a nurse, and works in the emergency room. About two weeks ago, a women came into the ER, becuase her young child had GUM IN HER HAIR. They are not allowed to refuse treatment, so they cut the girls hair very, very, very short in the center, kinda like a reverse mohawk kind of thing. Just their way of taking out their frustrations on these morons who continue to abuse the ER.
It is a miracle these people don't kill themselves getting out of bed in the morning.
Matt

5195. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:57:09 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000901115709.07920.00000230@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 80522

In article <39AF767B.44A29E6A@zylay.com>, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
writes:

>A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
>frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40
>channels, or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as
a CB, then it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.

True...UNLESS you posess a valid ham license of sufficient class.

5196. Re: Uniden Hanheld Scanner 4 Auction!!!! cheap!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 15:57:10 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000901115710.07920.00000232@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 80523

In article <NmPr5.157208$i5.2281659@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>, "TW."
<tandb80No-Spm@home.com> writes:

>A great scanner for sale (listen to the racecar drivers, police, fire, etc)!
>
>UNIDEN BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
>plus 2000 nascar freq included
>
>$55.00

How can you list a selling price if the item is currently up for AUCTION?

5197. Re: Resetting the BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 16:50:46 UTC
From: Digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G7Rr5.1892$i8.759696@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80524

Yes, turn off the scanner, then hold down "manual" "2" "9" (all three
keys) at the same time and then turn the scanner on

"sw" <skealow@pixi.com> wrote in message news:39AF7BFE.1625DEDE@pixi.com...
> Is there a way to reset the memory for this
> scanner so that all the frequencies and functions that
> were previously entered in are erased? Perhaps shorting
> a capacitor or something?
>
>

5198. Re: Reviews Wanted (P.S.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 17:26:15 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <KERr5.6310$yl2.84159@news4.atl>
Source record: 80525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmmm. Sound like a winner. We do all the work and you profit off of it!
I bet you have reviews pouring in? Not!

"Walker" wrote :

** P.S... All reviews submitted become the property of SWLINKS and its
** webmaster, Tim Gerchmez. By submitting your review, you are giving up
** copyright to that document. Thanks for your attention to this.

Submit all reviews of shortwave or scanner equipment to
core@vdirect.net or worldvista@altavista.com. You will be credit by
name, and if you want an Email address included, please specify.

Thanks,

Tim Gerchmez
Webmaster, SWLINKS
--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

5199. WTB Grove Ant-8 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 17:43:26 UTC
From: "D. B. H." <dbh@sbip.com>
Message-ID: <eTRr5.64845$IM3.1513765@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80526

If you have one for sale, please send, condition and price to
dbh@101freeway.com

5200. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!! was:Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 18:24:58 UTC
From: here@there.com
Message-ID: <39aff00b.327197861@news.netquest.com>
Source record: 80529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 30 Aug 2000 22:39:49 GMT, "J o h n M"
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote:

>Or have someone review the attached pictures for you & tell you what they
>are!!

I don't like getting into off-topic flame-wars, but isn't it rather
rude to put an ENORMOUS binary on a discussion group?

Even 1400 lines wouldn't be bad, but 14000? Geocities is free, Tripod
is free, etc. Just put your pic there and give the 2 or 3 people who
care to see it a link!

5201. Re: Pa turnpike...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 18:43:05 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <39AFF8B9.6304E2E0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 80527

```
TAC 1 - 155.46
TAC 2 - 151.49 (heard with airplane comms)
Mobile-to-mobile repeater - 154.755
Narcotics - 155.445
Channel A - 155.58 (base) 154.95 (mobile
Channel B - 155.67 (base) 155.91 (mobile)
Channel C - 155.505 (base) 155.85 (mobile
Channel D - 154.665 (base) 158.91 (mobile
Channel E - 154.695 (base) 156.15 (mobile
Channel F - 154.92 (base) 154.83 (mobile
155.52
155.85
159.345
```

5202. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 18:43:55 UTC
From: here@there.com
Message-ID: <39aff736.329033157@news.netquest.com>
Source record: 80530

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 03:28:20 GMT, Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
wrote:

>> >A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
>> >thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).
>>
>> A Warrant officer IS a Commissioned Officer, under special rules.
>
>What may those rules be?
>
>
>> They are treated EXACTLY the same as a Commissioned Officer
>> by Enlisted personnel.
>
>Not where I come from. The Warrant Officers, for one, simply
>would not allow it (they actually earned their rank -- reservists
>notwithstanding).
>

In the Navy (way back in the late 70's / early 80's, anyhow), we had
to salute them and call them sir or ma'am. They wore Gold on their
caps and, if I remember correctly, they got paid better than E-9s.

The only way they were treated different is that they (usually) got
more respect then junior officers due to the fact that they had worked
their way up to their rank. Trust me, if the Old Man wanted to know
what's what, he'll either ask the senior non-comms or the Warrant
Officers long befor a Lt.J.G. or Ensign.

5203. F/S: Icom PCR1000 Computer Controlled Radio Scanner, UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 18:53:51 UTC
From: darron@ndirect.co.uk
Message-ID: <39aff5c1.41437594@news.lineone.net>
Source record: 80528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.forsale

Icom PCR1000 Computer Controlled Radio Scanner,
0.01 Mhz ~ 1.3 Ghz with NO Gaps !!!!
Wide Frequency Coverage with all mode reception,
Real-time Bandscope,
Works with: Windows: 3.1/95/98

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revpcr.htm

Cost £399 12mths ago, will accept £210 inc UK postage.

Also: Wide-Band Discone Antenna: Stainless Steel (never been out doors) complete with cable and connectors Cost £49.99 will accept £23 inc UK postage.

Email: darron@ndirect.co.uk

or Tel: 01944 758953

5204. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 19:24:54 UTC
From: Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk
Message-ID: <39b0000d.16697375@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 80531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:

>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>>:>in the CW band.

Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?

>>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
>>destroyed.

Good for the cops. More power to their arms!

> I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
>>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
>>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
>>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
>>and cell).

>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>of years ago..

Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

5205. FS/Trade: Pro-39 - Cellular Coverage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 19:57:13 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000901155713.00244.00002884@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 80532

I have a Pro-39 handheld scanner (Made before the ban on cellular capable scanners!) that I am looking to sell or trade. Frequency coverage includes: 30-54, 108-174, 380-512, 806-960 (Complete coverage to include cellular!). It is in fair shape, a little dusty. Features include: 200 memory channels, Priority, frequency scan (scan a range of frequencies for unknown transmissions). The only problem with this scanner is that the display doesn't work and the keypad is bad, otherwise the radio works. I had frequencies programmed in when the display and keypad went and I could still listen to them (I am sure they are gone now - I've had batteries out of it for over a year now).

Radio Shack assured me that it is repariable, but their repair rate is rediculous (over $170). This would be great for someone who may have another Pro-39 and can replace the keypad and display. If I can find the Radio Shack estimate, I will include it so you can get a better idea of what you need to do.

I am willing to sell it at best offer price and I am also looking for the following items: a WORKING TV antenna rotor and control box, a 4 (or more) position antenna switch to use with a VHF radio, and a 2m yagi to use horizontal on SSB. If you are interested, please EMAIL ME DIRECTLY. Thanks.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5206. FS Export model PRO-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 20:28:35 UTC
From: "D. B. H." <dbh@sbip.com>
Message-ID: <JRUr5.67358$IM3.1564287@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80535

This scanner in new in the box. NO gaps, 25MHz-1.3 GHz (yes it gets cell)
$275.00 If intrested, email me at,
dbh@101freeway.com

5207. Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 20:43:06 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...

I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.

It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model, and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.

However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.

Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the customer asked.

They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is of course assuming they have a claim.

This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.

In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item shipped within my own state.

On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I received a package from the west coast in three days!

So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....

Dan

5208. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:05:46 UTC
From: BoycottBob <BoycottBob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8h60rsovb62ahnnvlnd6hiq240pncpaqvt@4ax.com>
Source record: 80534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Why don't you share the name of the dealer so everyone who reads this
can boycott them for you? Or better yet, why don't you just take your
business elsewhere - if enough folks do the same, that 'lucrative'
radio business won't be for long...

5209. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:06:48 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <ellhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Message-ID: <ITUr5.1517$Qx4.156744@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80536
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Get over it.

--
Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If

> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5210. RI/MA Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:15:04 UTC
From: m2iceman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000901171504.00262.00002865@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 80537

I'm looking for RI and southeastern MA police and fire freq's. Anyone who has them can you send me a link or copu of them.

Thanks
Ice

5211. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:25:40 UTC
From: Dan <dand@truvalue.com>
Message-ID: <8op6p6$7fj$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 80538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Share the name of the dealer with us. It's been proven in the retail business that for every ONE person that complains there are at least TEN that do not and at least FIFTY that hear about the "bad" experience.

Dan

--

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I

> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5212. Re: Give away a Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:37:24 UTC
From: "M. Boydenson" <mbden@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <okVr5.14645$K4.576436@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"George" <no@mail> wrote in message
news:qn8qqscapkojcj78au3kiam411ebhoqpri@4ax.com...
> "Terry Parsons" <tp04417@navix.net> wrote:
>
> >If you know of anyone with a handheld programmable scanner to give away
(or
> >sell real cheap) let me know. I am disabled and have very little money,
but
> >I would pay for shipping. Anything would be great. I could take it with
me
> >when I am out in my chair. Thank you
> >
> >Chuck
>
>
> Terry/Chuck,
>
> May I suggest canceling your internet access for a few months so you can
buy
> one?? Geez.....
>
> Unlike heat, food or electricity, you CAN live WITHOUT the net, ya know!

A typical response by your typical ham radio
operators! Throw rocks when someone who is looking for
a reasonable deal posts something, if only because they
want/wich they could find such a deal.
If it ain't nailed down they pocket it. If it's for sale, they get to the
hamfest at 5:00 AM to be first in lind for dibs at an item, then turn around
and put it on their table for sale an hour later (after they pull the
accessories out of it) for double the price. What a bunch of F-N lowlifes.

5213. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 21:40:03 UTC
From: David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <8op7oudli1@ostrich.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 80540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Most dealers ship UPS.
For them to refuse Parcel Post
is what I would have expected.
UPS works fine.
...Dave

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> received a package from the west coast in three days!

>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5214. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 22:10:43 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39b02912.1060402@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 80541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:

>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>
>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>>>:>in the CW band.
>
>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?

Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
ciphers?

>
>>>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
>>>destroyed.
>
>Good for the cops. More power to their arms!
>
>> I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
>>>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
>>>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
>>>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
>>>and cell).
>
>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>>of years ago..
>
>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
>
>--
>Jim Dunnett
>
>amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
>nordland at lineone.net
>g4rga at thersgb.net

Russ - KF4WXD

Russ Nixon - KF4WXD
"If I can see further it is because I stand on the shoulders of giants."
Issac Newton

5215. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 22:25:37 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <wSmwOVSXO+iXXOk=FFjRL6MmtnOR@4ax.com>
Source record: 80542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail, >insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.

>Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping >charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the >customer asked.

>They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the >post office to send it out

So you expect them to send a paid employee to the post office, pay travel time and wait time, vehicle expenses plus extra admin time to go around their automated efficient computerized shipping system to manually process an exception for you?

If you were an employer you would know this little courtesy you expect for free likely would cost the employer fifty dollars or more in time and expenses. If you disagree, you've never been in business. The *average* cost of an employee to the employer is 2.71 times their salary. Ask me how I know. I employ nine people.

Their job is to get the thing to you, which they are set up to do using a system which has been reliable for them.

You weren't going to pay for shipping charges "whatever they might be". You were willing to pay the dealer's UPS charge, which is probably pretty reasonable if they are doing any kind of volume. You wouldn't pay what it really would cost the dealer to make an exception for you.

You expect a lot from the place who offered to sell it the cheapest. They may make 5 points off the sale to you, after you called 10 other places on their respective 800 #s and beat them all up for the lowest price. The place you called wouldn't be the lowest price if they were running all over shipping by whatever method the customer demanded.

They would lose money on that sale to you so they, properly, declined.

And you have the freedom to spout off all over the web and take your business elsewhere. I bet none of the dealers lose any sleep over it.

For reference, we ship only by USPS or FEDEX, several hundred a month. I'm not defending UPS, just the dealer's decision.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

5216. Re: MPT1327...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 22:43:36 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39B031EF.FC62FCE1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80543

MPT1327 isn't exactly the most popular trunking protocol in the USA.
Where you be at?

MC

SHELDON SCOTT wrote:
>
> Hello.
>
> I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
> what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
> Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.
>
> Thanks...Sheldon Scott.
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

5217. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:05:48 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <gDWr5.5443$YZ2.815863@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80544

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000901115709.07920.00000230@nso-fi.aol.com...

> >channels, or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham
radio as
> a CB, then it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
>
> True...UNLESS you posess a valid ham license of sufficient class.

Not true. CB radios have to be type accepted for CB use. Ham radios are
not accepted as transmitters on the CB band.

Dave, NF2G

5218. WTB handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:09:25 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FGWr5.5467$YZ2.818401@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80545

Looking for a good used handheld, 20-30 ch would suffice , must be in 100% working order and respectable cosmetics, need programmable w/display, looking for a cheapie under $50 shipped. Power dropped the other night and the wife remarked how nice it would be to have a battery powered scanner! (That sounds like permission to ME!) Probably can come up with a wall wart here but one with the radio would be nice. Just a nice nightstand unit for when the fire whistle goes off in the middle of the night. If you happen to have a clean programmable desktop unit as well for cheap, would look at another one of those as well.

email replies please.

5219. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:15:29 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b037e0.436391@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Why don't you share the name of the dealer so everyone who reads this
>can boycott them for you? Or better yet, why don't you just take your
>business elsewhere - if enough folks do the same, that 'lucrative'
>radio business won't be for long...
>
You along with many others here often miss the point of my posts.
They are always tongue in cheek, designed to induce some healthy
debate about this or that. It is alot better then the contuous run of
Mackey et al nonsense that we see here continuously.

That said, my purpose was not to start a "boycott" of anyone, and
yes, I was able to find another dealer more then willing to send the
item to me at the same price.

Dan

5220. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:16:17 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b038a0.627816@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 21:06:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
<elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:

>Get over it.
Is that the very best you can do in the way of contirbuting to this
topic?

Dan
>--
>Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
>
>
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
>> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
>> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>>
>> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
>> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>>
>> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
>> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>>
>> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
>> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>>
>> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
>> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
>> customer asked.
>>
>> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
>> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
>> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
>> of course assuming they have a claim.
>>
>> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
>> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>>
>> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
>> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
>> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS

>> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
>> shipped within my own state.
>>
>> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
>> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
>> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>>
>> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
>> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
>> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>>
>> Dan
>
>

5221. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:17:38 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b038cc.672371@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Share the name of the dealer with us. It's been proven in the retail
>business that for every ONE person that complains there are at least TEN
>that do not and at least FIFTY that hear about the "bad" experience.
>
The name of the dealer is not important, though VERY common among most
of the dealers I have contacted. They simply refuse to ship USPS and
/ or to a postal box.

Dan
>
>
>--
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
>> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
>> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>>
>> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
>> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>>
>> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
>> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>>
>> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
>> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>>
>> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
>> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
>> customer asked.
>>
>> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
>> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
>> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
>> of course assuming they have a claim.
>>
>> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
>> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>>
>> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
>> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to

>> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
>> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
>> shipped within my own state.
>>
>> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
>> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
>> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>>
>> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
>> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
>> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>>
>> Dan
>
>

5222. Re: pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:22:45 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8opdvm$bevk7$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80546

> what a pc of junk, errors in the owners manual,

There are no errors in the owner's manual however, I will grant you that it is very poorly written. You should not have to jump all around to find out how to program a channel.

> not user friendly at all,

The manual? Yes, the radio, no. Once you understand how to program it, it is quite easy.

> I would sug waiting for the BC-780, hope it does better than
> what I got today at radio shack,

Vaporware, the radio was supposed to come out in July and now they're saying November-December!

Roland

5223. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:24:52 UTC
From: dentronman <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <8VWr5.1317$1I4.71648@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 80474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I totally agree with you Ed UPS is the worst piece of garbage Co. i have ever used. Time after time they have screwed me. My co i work for feels the same they switched to roadway and have alot less headaches.

I am sitting here waitn for a UPS RED label next day to get here.. NOTHING has arrived and its now 430pm.. they are assholes and dont give a crap about one package here one there not being on time.. Well we dont have to use them and i wish some Dealers would not use them I would pay a higher price to get my stuff here on time and in good shape.
"Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote in message news:ITUr5.1517$Qx4.156744@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> Get over it.
>
> --
> Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
>
>
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> > Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> > in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> > they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
> >
> > I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> > dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
> >
> > It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> > and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> > sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
> >
> > However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> > insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >
> > Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> > charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> > customer asked.
> >
> > They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> > post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> > that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> > of course assuming they have a claim.
> >

> > This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> > laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >
> > In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> > purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> > me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> > sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> > shipped within my own state.
> >
> > On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> > exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> > received a package from the west coast in three days!
> >
> > So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> > business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> > customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
> >
> > Dan
>
>

5224. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:40:22 UTC
From: Bud <Twenty4Pack@Altavista.Com>
Message-ID: <uif0rsk16c6ag58ki7dfb2p8lol1fc32m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 80451
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Apparently most of you clowns missed his point completely. If he had wanted to do the business any harm, he would've let us know the name. He didn't - provoking an interesting discussion that had little to do with scanning, but provided an alternative to the typical mackey/etc. bash-a-thon.

Bud

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 19:22:08 -0400, Fredric J. Einstein <feinstei@mw.mediaone.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. >Conti) wrote:
>
>
>>However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail, >>insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>>
>
>Get yourself a real address instead of a P.O. Box number and you'll >never have a problem with U.P.S.
>
>

5225. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:42:55 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b03d8b.1887176@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>I guess I don't understand.

Hmmm, well, with a name like Rambo, I am not surprised.

>You say: "I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks".

Meaning...they didn't have to talk to me on the phone, talk about the
radio, what it does, how it works, and on and on, then take take all
the info over the phone.

>and then complain that you don't get the 'extra mile' service? what about
>recognizing you were getting rock bottom prices because it was no fuss, no
>muss, shoot it out ????
>
What is "extra mile" about having something shipped via USPS Priority
Mail? Explain.

>You want to pay the absolute lowest and then demand service the rest of us
>pay for by buying retail.

OK, then Rambo...and you pay the absolute HIGHEST price for your
stuff? What in heaven's name does having something shippied USPS
have to do with "services" you get a retail? SHheeeesh.

>let me guess - you a Democrat!

Oh brother.....this person really is a moron.

>I think you SHOULD open up your own business and learn a little. Try
>selling at razor thin margins and find one or two assholes burn you through
>a mail order sales scam (PO Box & next day Alert!!!!) can cripple your cash
>flow.

Do you know what sarcasm is? Tongue in cheek?

>you want service, pay for it.
>
I offered to pay the additional costs necessary to have it shipped the
way I wanted, and they refused.

>and, FWIW, I much prefer USPS priority too. It is a lot better than UPS.
>
Well, the ONE thing we agree on....

8)

5226. 4-SALE Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:46:46 UTC
From: ------- <dalejrfan@mail.com>
Message-ID: <39B03FE6.C966FFC5@mail.com>
Source record: 80449

```
-- Date code 2A8, recieves 25-520Mhz and 720-1300Mhz. $150.00 and buyer
pays shipping and insurance. email me at dalejrfan@mail.com if
interested.
---------------------------------------------------
Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
```

5227. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:48:01 UTC
From: Harold Hickey <duhickey@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3gXr5.10218$xE5.39276@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 80469

Bob,
I just picked one up last week and it has a BNC connector,so maybe they changed already.
Very good antenna(for Rat Shack that is)

Harold Hickey

5228. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:50:00 UTC
From: Harold Hickey <duhickey@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <WhXr5.10219$xE5.39322@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 80490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

lol like trying to call 911 for help in moving a refridgerator(True story)

Harold Hickey

5229. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:50:21 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b03faa.2430456@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 19:22:08 -0400, Fredric J. Einstein
<feinstei@mw.mediaone.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
>Conti) wrote:
>
>
>>However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
>>insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>>
>
>Get yourself a real address instead of a P.O. Box number and you'll
>never have a problem with U.P.S.
>
The P.O. Box is the best way to receive items via USPS Priority mail
for both the shipper and the receiver.

The shipper is assured of a secure area where the package will remain
until being picked up, and the proper signature(s) obtained for the
insurance and the delivery confirmation. No worry of them out on a
truck, being left anywhere, without proper signatures obtained,
etc....

I am assured that the package will not be left at my doorstep or worse
when not at home. I do not have to be home, waiting endless hours for
a brown truck that never arrives when it is supposed to.

A win - win situation for both. I have shipped and received over two
hundred items this way without a problem.

Since I will never have an item shipped via UPS again, your suggestion
is moot.

Dan
>

5230. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-01 23:50:47 UTC
From: bwinstead <winstead@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <39B040D7.71F35846@swbell.net>
Source record: 80452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How ironic.
Once upon a time, a radio seller advertised an extremely lightweight item and accessories for sale on the newsgroups that included an $8 shipping charge "via USPS Priority Mail to the lower 48." A curious sort when it comes to efficient logistics and hoping to learn something, I asked why he would not ship to Alaska and Hawaii and weren't shipping costs via Priority Mail the same as to "the lower 48"? The response that I received was somewhat startling:

"Probably....but I prefer NOT shipping to Hawaii or Alaska for various reasons. It is my perogative.
OK? Interested in the radio?

This is why I keep going back to Ebay.."

That radio seller? I'll let you guess.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.

>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5231. Re: Battery Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:19:54 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KIXr5.4103$58.573523@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80453

I am not a battery expert, but I did get a BC245 last July (99). I too,
kept it plugged in at home.
Batteries lasted almost 8 months. So yes, you do kill them that way.

You can rig a bypass, but what I did is buy a NiMH battery pack. I now only
charge it when the batteries go down. And that is every 4-5 days. I use it
every day -- I NEVER drive to work/store/anywhere without it. toss those
NiCd batteries and get a NiMH pack. you probably noticed that the NiCds do
not last anywhere near as long. I never could get more than a day out of
them, best thing I did was overcharge and kill it.

cheers

"Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message
news:39AF3914.B9FD77D1@ncal.verio.com...
> Will I risk over charging the nicad pack on my BC 245 by keeping the
> charger plugged in while the radio is on? My old RS Pro 34 had two
> separate power inputs, one for charging and one for just power. I could
> listen to the radio "plugged in" without worry about overcharging the
> batteries. With the BC 245 there is only one input, "charge" and I
> worry about overcharging. I hate running off batteries when I could
> keep fresh power in the radio and run off AC when at home. Also, the
> charger voltage is 12 volts for 4, 1.4 volt cells in series. I assume
> the radio actually runs on the 5.6 volts the batteries deliver. If I
> were to rig up a battery bypass, I assume I'd need a 5.6 volt power
> supply. I love the radio but don't care for the way it's powered. Are
> there any battery experts out there who could answer these questions?
> Thanks in advance.
> Tom Tretheway
>
>

5232. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:26:43 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39B04943.25551AAB@snet.net>
Source record: 80486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I do business all the time with a large hobby dealer, Tower Hobbies, who is more than happy to ship USPS on request. Any business of decent size has a postage meter and a scale. _SOMEHOW_ the outgoing mail has to leave, too. Does this business not pay bills? Nowadays, one can even buy postage via outlets such as stamps.com if no postage meter is available. Some folks actually use PO boxes as their home address, especially many apartment dwellers and some country residents. It's not that far out of the ordinary for such a request to be made.

No one, other than the US Postal Service delivers to a PO box.

Barry

Steve Uhrig wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
> Conti) wrote:
>
> >However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> >insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> >Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> >charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> >customer asked.
>
> >They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> >post office to send it out
>
> So you expect them to send a paid employee to the post office, pay
> travel time and wait time, vehicle expenses plus extra admin time to
> go around their automated efficient computerized shipping system to
> manually process an exception for you?
>
> If you were an employer you would know this little courtesy you expect
> for free likely would cost the employer fifty dollars or more in time
> and expenses. If you disagree, you've never been in business. The
> *average* cost of an employee to the employer is 2.71 times their
> salary. Ask me how I know. I employ nine people.
>
> Their job is to get the thing to you, which they are set up to do
> using a system which has been reliable for them.
>
> You weren't going to pay for shipping charges "whatever they might
> be". You were willing to pay the dealer's UPS charge, which is

> probably pretty reasonable if they are doing any kind of volume. You
> wouldn't pay what it really would cost the dealer to make an exception
> for you.
>
> You expect a lot from the place who offered to sell it the cheapest.
> They may make 5 points off the sale to you, after you called 10 other
> places on their respective 800 #s and beat them all up for the lowest
> price. The place you called wouldn't be the lowest price if they were
> running all over shipping by whatever method the customer demanded.
>
> They would lose money on that sale to you so they, properly, declined.
>
> And you have the freedom to spout off all over the web and take your
> business elsewhere. I bet none of the dealers lose any sleep over it.
>
> For reference, we ship only by USPS or FEDEX, several hundred a month.
> I'm not defending UPS, just the dealer's decision.
>
> Steve
>
> **********
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **********

5233. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:28:25 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39B049A9.3CC08B6D@snet.net>
Source record: 80450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Fredric J. Einstein" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
> Conti) wrote:
>
> >However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> >insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >
>
> Get yourself a real address instead of a P.O. Box number and you'll
> never have a problem with U.P.S.

My brother-in law has 15 acres of "real address" and must use a PO Box.

Barry

5234. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:31:15 UTC
From: jonesgil@usa.net
Message-ID: <39b0475d.313742@news.mil.ameritech.net>
Source record: 80468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 07:50:54 GMT, jonesgil@usa.net (Gil Jones) wrote:

<snippage>

>>>BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
>>>cases. And nothing that deals with hams.
>
>>The whole thing rests on what the radio was and what N9VKS told them.
>
>>If he said it was a scanner he is out of luck.
>Never talk to police - they are NOT YOUR FRIEND.
>Answer question as short and concise as possible
>and if possible - don't answer.

I received this E-mail message from "ac5ez@webtv.net (K1zw) "
Since it was evidently only directed to my E-mail account,
I am posting this message here for all to enjoy.

==========
Received: from mailsorter-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.94] by
nmcst153 via mtad (34FM1.5.01)
with ESMTP id 095eHdHxS1240M25; Wed, 30 Aug 2000 07:49:44 GMT

Received: from mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.118]) by
mailsorter-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-f/ms.dwm.v7 dul2)
with ESMTP id KAA21994 for <jonesgil@usa.net>; Tue, 29 Aug
2000 10:15:47 -0700 (PDT)

Received: from storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.86])
by mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix)
with ESMTP id 49F522C882
for <jonesgil@usa.net>; Tue, 29 Aug 2000 10:15:47 -0700 (PDT)

Received: (from production@localhost) by
storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-e/mt.gso.26Feb98)
id KAA18929; Tue, 29 Aug 2000 10:15:47 -0700 (PDT)
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAtAhQ/OSI7vcYpE7NicP6mUbDh3OcBHAIVAJ4YSm8N551Vhxa9sTfNIsi/3DQs

From: ac5ez@webtv.net (K1zw)
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2000 12:15:47 -0500 (CDT)
To: jonesgil@usa.net

Subject: Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Message-ID:
<29259-39ABEFC3-12674@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>

Content-Disposition: Inline
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
--- Text ---
As a retired police officer I resent your
"they are not your friends"
comments on the usegroup .
The only people who were not my friends were
those who had reason to fear arrest by the police.
What do you have to fear?

K1zw
Larry
SOC #386
Qcwa
==========
And my response to Larry:

Hello Larry:

You said
As a retired police officer I resent your
... comments on the usegroup .

I reply - as a citizen I don't care if you resent
my comments regarding the true nature of the police.

You and I have a different definition of a "friend".
You said
"The only people who were not my friends were
those who had reason to fear arrest by the police. "

The answer is simple.
A friend is not and does not act as an agent for the state.
A friend does not have the power to cause me harm.
A friend does not question me with the authority of the state.

" What do you have to fear?"
I have everything to fear from individuals who are cloaked
in the authority of the state. Individuals have been harmed
by "bad cops". Since I do not know which cop is good and
which cop is bad - every cop has the potential ability to
cause me harm.

If I am talking to a cop when that cop is acting as an agent for
the state, I have absolutely nothing to gain by that conversation.
Will anything good come from the conversation? No.
At best - the conversation will end and I will be no better off
then the point in time before the conversation began.
Could something bad come from the conversation? Yes.

Just what possible gain can I, as an individual, achieve from
a conversation with a cop who is acting in an official of the state?
Come on Larry - Answer.

Best regards
Gil Jones

5235. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:31:33 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39B04A65.CB057CD3@snet.net>
Source record: 80454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan,
You missed my point. I was DEFENDING you. <G> UPS does not deliver to
PO boxes.

Barry

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >To a PO Box? NOT!
>
> >Barry
>
> Why not? Explain?
>
> It is easy just to say NOT! In doing so, you look like a fool.
>
> Dan
> >David Edwards wrote:
> >>
> >> Most dealers ship UPS.
> >> For them to refuse Parcel Post
> >> is what I would have expected.
> >> UPS works fine.
> >> ...Dave
> >>
> >> "Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> >> news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >> > Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> >> > in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> >> > they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
> >> >
> >> > I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> >> > dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
> >> >
> >> > It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> >> > and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> >> > sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
> >> >
> >> > However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> >> > insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >> >
> >> > Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> >> > charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> >> > customer asked.

> >> >
> >> > They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> >> > post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> >> > that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> >> > of course assuming they have a claim.
> >> >
> >> > This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> >> > laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >> >
> >> > In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> >> > purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> >> > me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> >> > sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> >> > shipped within my own state.
> >> >
> >> > On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> >> > exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> >> > received a package from the west coast in three days!
> >> >
> >> > So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> >> > business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> >> > customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
> >> >
> >> > Dan

5236. Re: Too much Corky crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:34:21 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000901203421.28949.00001141@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80458

Well Mr. Mental
Are you going too shutup about Mackey while he is gone or not?

5237. FS: 7 UNITS-PRO-2006 400 CHANNEL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:35:14 UTC
From: "Willie R. Morada" <zrecords@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6XXr5.15605$p5.422970@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FS: 7 UNITS-PRO-2006 400 CHANNEL SCANNER

WE ARE SELLING 7 UNITS FOR $1500 PLUS SHIPPING.PLEASE TAKE NOTE THAT
WE ARE SELLING THIS AS A BATCH. WE HAVE SOLD INDIVIDUAL UNITS FOR $275
EACH. THIS IS THE LAST OF THE BATCH THAT WE HAVE ACQUIRED FROM A
TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY THAT UPGRADED THEIR SYSTEM.
THE REALISTIC PRO-2006 COVERS A WIDE FREQUENCY RANGE; 25-520 MHZ.,
760-823, 945 MHZ., 851-868, 945 MHZ., 896-1300 MHZ.. THE RECEIVING WAVE
MODES ARE: WIDE FM (TV SOUND, FM BROADCAST), NARROW FM (BUSINESS,
COMMUNICATIONS, HAM RADIO), AM (AIRCRAFT, CB RADIO) THIS ONE HAS THE LIGHTED
LCD, THE TWO-SECOND SCAN DELAY, A MEMORY BACK-UP, A LOCK-OUT FUNCTION, A TEN
CHANNEL STORAGE BANK AS WELL AS MONITOR BANKS. THERE IS ALSO PRIORITY
CHANNEL, DIRECT FREQUENCY SEARCH AND SOUND SQUELCH. IT HAS A BUILT-IN
SPEAKER, EXTENSION SPEAKER PLUG AND TAPE OUT JACK.
THEY DON�T SELL THIS KIND OF SCANNERS IN STORES ANYMORE, SO WHEN
THEY�RE GONE, THEY�RE GONE. THE SCANNER COMES WITH A RUBBER DUCKIE ANTENNA
AND A PIGTAIL WITH THE PROPER POLARITY FOR A 12V POWER SUPPLY FOR MOBILE
USE. IT CAN BE PLUGGED IN AT HOME WITH THE 110V POWER CORD. ANOTHER NICE
FEATURE IS THE LIGHTED DISPLAY AND THE FOLD-UP FEET UNDER THE FRONT TO GIVE
IT THE PROPER ANGLE TO MAKE IT EASY TO SEE.
THE SCANNER IS IN VERY GOOD CONDITION WITH THE REALISTIC LOGO ON
THE LEFT TOP FRONT REMOVED. THE REALISTIC STICKER ON THE BACK HAS ALSO BEEN
CUT OUT BUT THE SERIAL NUMBER STILL REMAINS.(THE REASONING FOR THIS IS THAT
THIS WAS USED COMMERCIALLY AS A PART OF A SYSTEM FOR APPROXIMATELY A YEAR ,
ACCORDING TO OUR SOURCE).ALL FLAWS ARE COSMETIC IN NATURE. THE UNIT HAS BEEN
CHECKED AND IT WORKS GREAT.WE HAVE SOLD CLOSE TO TEN UNITS AND ALL OUR
CUSTOMERS ARE SATISFIED BY THEIR PERFORMANCE.
A COPY OF THE OPERATING MANUAL AND SPEC SHEET DOWNLOADED FROM THE
REALISTIC WEBSITE IS ALSO INCLUDED. OR YOU CAN GO TO THIS WEBSITE AND
DOWNLOAD IT YOURSELF AT -

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm.

You can view the items on:

http://www.geocities.com/zrecords/scanner1.jpg

http://www.geocities.com/zrecords/scanner2.jpg

Please e-mail us if you have any questions. Because of the long
weekend , any inquiries sent on Sunday or Monday will be replied on Tuesday.

BUYER ASSUMES SHIPPING AND HANDLING CHARGES. CREDIT CARD PAYMENTS
ACCEPTED. CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS PLEASE ADD 8.25% SALES TAX.
INTERNATIONAL ORDERS OK .

THANKS !
WILLIE R. MORADA
Z ! RECORDS,
STORE IS LOCATED AT:
2908-1/2 FOOTHILL BLVD.
LA CRESCENTA,CA 91214
PHONE:(818)-236-2110
FAX:(818)-236-2117
STORE HOURS:11 AM TO 7 PM PST
WE ACCEPT:
VISA/MC/AMEX/NOVUS/DISCOVER

5238. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:37:01 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000901203701.28949.00001143@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80461

The binary post clearly shows that he took the OATH.
Add the hyperlink to the newspaper clip and it proves his statements.
Hamguy

5239. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:44:10 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39B04DA1.CF561362@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 21:06:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
> <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Get over it.
> Is that the very best you can do in the way of contirbuting to this
> topic?
>

Welcome to the year 2000, Dan.
> Dan
> >--
> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
> >
> >
> >
> >"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> >news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> >> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> >> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
> >>
> >> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> >> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
> >>
> >> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> >> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> >> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
> >>
> >> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> >> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >>
> >> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> >> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> >> customer asked.
> >>
> >> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> >> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> >> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> >> of course assuming they have a claim.
> >>
> >> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure

> >> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >>
> >> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> >> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> >> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> >> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> >> shipped within my own state.
> >>
> >> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> >> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> >> received a package from the west coast in three days!
> >>
> >> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> >> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> >> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
> >>
> >> Dan
> >
> >

5240. Re: USAF THUNDERBIRDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:45:32 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000901204532.02651.00002138@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80464

THANKS...THEY WERE USING THOSE SAME FREQUENCIES TODAY AT THE CLEVELAND
NATIONAL AIRSHOW...TV
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

5241. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 00:45:47 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B04DBB.8F9C5592@cts.com>
Source record: 80455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.

That's the way they do business. They have the right to refuse your
business for whatever reason.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.

Well you said it yourself...
"I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks."

You created fuss, muss and turned an automated sale into a separate trip
to the post office.

In business when "it's a hassel" it really means...
"My profit margin is already slim on this item and it aint worth it cuz
I loose money."
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.

Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As the
customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the purchase...welcome to
America.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS

> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
shipped within my own state.

Good for you. The company you dealt with must not like the USPS
much...so I guess you both loose.

>So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....

Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then we
reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."

Mark

5242. Re: Cleveland Air Show Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:15:49 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20000901211549.02651.00002144@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80456

I HAVE A FEW.....SEARCH THE 452 THRU 458 BANDS...MOST OPERATION PERSONNEL
CARRIED TWO RADIOS..
124.300 AM BURKE TOWER
123.175 AM STUNT PLANES
124.500 AM SHOW CONTROL
141.850 AM THUNDERBIRDS
322.950 AM THUNDERBIRDS
452.8125 FM OPERATIONS
452.9625 FM OPERATIONS
457.7625 FM OPERATIONS
458.4375 FM OPERATIONS
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

5243. Re: Blue Angels Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:19:20 UTC
From: George Chaviano <thef16falcon@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8opkg7$uo2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80457

Blue Angels

118.100
118.200
118.350 - Show Boss Victor
121.700 - Ground Support
121.900 - Ground Support
122.950 - Air to PA
123.400 - Common Airshow
134.100
140.050
141.560 - Maintenance Charlie
142.000 - Maintenance Alfa
142.025 - Maintenance Delta
142.260 - Ground
142.265 - Ground
142.625 - Maintenance
143.600 - Maintenance Channel 10
143.000 - Maintenance Bravo
146.2625
163.600
164.900 - Ground
168.900 - Ground
170.000 - Ground
170.900 - Ground
408.400 - Ground Support
418.050 - Ground Support

"Jim Keeling" <insgeeks@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8on7p6$7kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> The Blue Angels are in St. Louis this weekend for the air show. Can
anybody
> tell me the frequencies they use or point me to a web site. Thanks in
> advance.
>
> Jim K. AB0HI
>
>

5244. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:33:32 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B058EC.3DEDE142@cts.com>
Source record: 80463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >How ironic.
> >Once upon a time, a radio seller advertised an extremely lightweight
> >item and accessories for sale on the newsgroups that included an $8
> >shipping charge "via USPS Priority Mail to the lower 48." A curious
> >sort when it comes to efficient logistics and hoping to learn something,
>
> Well, I fail to see what this has to do with what we are discussing...
> By your own admission, you make my point as to why I use EBay now, and
> no longer post my items on the groups. Instead of a sincere interest
> in purchasing my item, you wasted my time with questions to satisfy
> your study of efficient logistics, whatever that might be.

So why don't you want to ship to AK and HI? I'm sure you have a real
good reason...oh tounge and cheek that is...(gack).
>
> >I asked why he would not ship to Alaska and Hawaii and weren't shipping
> >costs via Priority Mail the same as to "the lower 48"? The response
> >that I received was somewhat startling:
> >
> >"Probably....but I prefer NOT shipping to Hawaii or Alaska for various
> >reasons.

One good reason...just one.

It is my perogative.
> >OK? Interested in the radio?
> >
> >This is why I keep going back to Ebay.."

You use ebay because of the money don't you? Or do you use ebay to "pass
on good will to the radio public"
>
> Again....I am sure you are trying to equate my tongue in cheek
> stimulous for dialogue to this incident which WAS private between two
> individuals.
>
> Are you implying that I am a hypocrite because I would not send an
> item USPS to Alaska or Hawaii, yet I talk about a dealer's failure to
> ship Priority mail? I don't see the hypocrisy.

If you don't see it then you are blind.

So your "tounge and cheek stimulous" just backfired and made you look
like a complete dork.

So much for an attempt at tounge and cheek.
>
> IF I had agreed to ship to those states, were you ready, willing and
> able to purchase the item?
>
> First, I am an individual seller, not a dealership. My income does
> not depend upon selling radio equipment. It was my perogative not to
> ship to those states. Period.

C'mon.....let's have that reason....
Let me guess what it is...
If you ship to alaska and get screwed you are afraid that that person
will skip to Hawaii and you won't be able to take the bus to kick his
ass. Maybe the people of Alaska and Hawaii are just all strange?????
>
> >That radio seller? I'll let you guess.
> >
> Yes, Daniel M. Conti was that seller.
>
> We now know where your integrity lies....

And now we know "the rest of the story.....Good day."

Mark

5245. FT: AOR AR16U Unblocked Mini Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:42:16 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <YVYr5.18666$C42.725484@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80465

EXCELLENT CONDITION with SMA antenna, manual, NiMH battery charger and two AA NiMH batteries.

Looking for base scanners such as the BC895XLT, BC9000XLT, PRO-2035, PRO-2042, PRO-2005, PRO-2006 in very good condition.

VALUE FOR VALUE - cash for the difference

Joe

5246. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:45:41 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b05a11.1152333@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>>
>> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
>> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
>> customer asked.
>
>That's the way they do business. They have the right to refuse your
>business for whatever reason.
>
This is true..as I said, business must be good.

>> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
>> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
>> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
>> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
>Well you said it yourself...
> "I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks."
>
>You created fuss, muss and turned an automated sale into a separate trip
>to the post office.
>
>In business when "it's a hassel" it really means...
>"My profit margin is already slim on this item and it aint worth it cuz
>I loose money."
>
I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
Priority Mail? Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra mile
to please his customers....Maybe that's why...

>> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
>> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
>Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As the
>customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the purchase...welcome to
>America.

Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.

>> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
>> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
>> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
>> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item

> shipped within my own state.
>
>Good for you. The company you dealt with must not like the USPS
>much...so I guess you both loose.

Well, I never asked them to ship it USPS, I simply accepted UPS. It
was a humidor, a small item, about 50 bucks, and I figured it would be
overnight from NJ. I was wrong.
The driver told me that UPS CANNOT get people to work loading the
trucks..this is the result of such a good economy. He told me that
they cannot even read, they are so pathetic.

>>So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
>> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
>> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
>Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
>"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then we
>reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."

Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which you
assume I did. Which I did not.

I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we parted
company in good terms.

Dan

5247. FS: SMA-TO-BNC PROTECTIVE ADAPTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 01:47:59 UTC
From: gulyas@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8opm89$3o3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Due you have a need for an SMA-TO-BNC antenna adapter that is custom made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio?

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change antennas to base,mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connection wear or from coming loose due to always having to change antennas.

Adapter features:
Gold contacts with a teflon insulation.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed for moisture and dirt protection.
The base provides an excellent support for any length of antenna or application.
No need to remove once installed to make any antenna changes.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5, VX-1, VX-10, FT-50-R
Icom: IC-R2, IC-T8A, IC-T81A, IC-Q7A
Kenwood: TH-D7, TH-G71
Alinco: DJ-V5
Standard: C508A, C510A
Radio Shack: HTX-200, HTX-400, HTX-245
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 Issue of CQ Magazine.
Posted at websites:
www.strongsignals.net(Press-Releases)
www.butel.nl (Icom R-2 Information Plaza)

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H (One to unlimited)
(Check or money order in U.S Funds)
(Specify-radio-model)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON, N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

Photo available upon request.
I welcome any questions or comments at my email.
gulyas@netzero.net
Thanks for looking at my posting.

S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5248. Re: pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:08:11 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <fiZr5.23735$QW4.296916@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80470

Using the GRE software & cable, programming is a very simple task!

Bill Crocker

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:8opdvm$bevk7$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > what a pc of junk, errors in the owners manual,
>
> There are no errors in the owner's manual however, I will grant you that
it
> is very poorly written. You should not have to jump all around to find
out
> how to program a channel.
>
> > not user friendly at all,
>
> The manual? Yes, the radio, no. Once you understand how to program it,
it
> is quite easy.
>
> > I would sug waiting for the BC-780, hope it does better than
> > what I got today at radio shack,
>
> Vaporware, the radio was supposed to come out in July and now they're
saying
> November-December!
>
> Roland
>
>

5249. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:10:11 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b05de2.2130046@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark,

This post was made to invoke some debate over an experience that
happened recently in an attempt to purchase something from a radio
dealer. Not exaclty on topic, but could be as we all have to get our
equipment from somewhere. Anyway, I thought it would be better then
the Mackey, et all crap we have been seeing here lately.

I can debate my position with anyone, and enjoy the diatribe, tongue
in cheek or not. However, I am concerned when I see responses like
the one below from you and the Winstead character, which become
venomous attempts to attack me. How sad.

Well, back to the debate......
>
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>>
>> >How ironic.
>> >Once upon a time, a radio seller advertised an extremely lightweight
>> >item and accessories for sale on the newsgroups that included an $8
>> >shipping charge "via USPS Priority Mail to the lower 48." A curious
>> >sort when it comes to efficient logistics and hoping to learn something,
>>
>> Well, I fail to see what this has to do with what we are discussing...
>> By your own admission, you make my point as to why I use EBay now, and
>> no longer post my items on the groups. Instead of a sincere interest
>> in purchasing my item, you wasted my time with questions to satisfy
>> your study of efficient logistics, whatever that might be.
>
>So why don't you want to ship to AK and HI? I'm sure you have a real
>good reason...oh tounge and cheek that is...(gack).

Yes, I did have a very good reason, which is none of your or anyone
else's business, and has nothing whatsoever to do with this original
post. gack?

>> >I asked why he would not ship to Alaska and Hawaii and weren't shipping
>> >costs via Priority Mail the same as to "the lower 48"? The response
>> >that I received was somewhat startling:
>> >
>> >"Probably....but I prefer NOT shipping to Hawaii or Alaska for various
>> >reasons.
>
>One good reason...just one.

>
> It is my perogative.
>> >OK? Interested in the radio?
>> >
>> >This is why I keep going back to Ebay.."
>
>You use ebay because of the money don't you? Or do you use ebay to "pass
>on good will to the radio public"

Yes, because of the money. That is why I sell my equipment you fool,
to get as much of my investment as possible back. Anything wrong with
that? Why do you sell YOUR equipment, Mark? To pass on good will to
the radio public? (radio public?) Do you give your equipment away?
Ever? I have. For free. Ask me and I'll tell you about it. My
perogative.

>> Again....I am sure you are trying to equate my tongue in cheek
>> stimulous for dialogue to this incident which WAS private between two
>> individuals.
>>
>> Are you implying that I am a hypocrite because I would not send an
>> item USPS to Alaska or Hawaii, yet I talk about a dealer's failure to
>> ship Priority mail? I don't see the hypocrisy.
>
>If you don't see it then you are blind.
>
>So your "tounge and cheek stimulous" just backfired and made you look
>like a complete dork.
Such venom, why? What have I done to you? What does this have to do
with my post?

Do you always treat someone with whom you disagree with such malice?

> So much for an attempt at tounge and cheek.

Well I tried, if you missed it then maybe I am the moron here.

>> IF I had agreed to ship to those states, were you ready, willing and
>> able to purchase the item?
>>
>> First, I am an individual seller, not a dealership. My income does
>> not depend upon selling radio equipment. It was my perogative not to
>> ship to those states. Period.
>
>C'mon.....let's have that reason....
>Let me guess what it is...
>If you ship to alaska and get screwed you are afraid that that person
>will skip to Hawaii and you won't be able to take the bus to kick his
>ass. Maybe the people of Alaska and Hawaii are just all strange?????

Well, this reveals your true stupidity.

>> >That radio seller? I'll let you guess.
>> >
>> Yes, Daniel M. Conti was that seller.
>>
>> We now know where your integrity lies....
>
> And now we know "the rest of the story.....Good day."
>
Well, I have no concerns about my integrity. I have bought and sold
hundreds of items here and on EBay, and never had a problem. Have
you?

Well then, we wouldn't know now would we, since you simply go by
"Mark".

Again, someone else hiding behind anonymity.
>

BTW, you should pay royalties for using that tag line....

Dan

5250. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:22:43 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Message-ID: <TvZr5.2435$Qx4.189648@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It's better than all your whining.

--
Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39b038a0.627816@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 21:06:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
> <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Get over it.
> Is that the very best you can do in the way of contirbuting to this
> topic?
>
> Dan
> >--
> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
> >
> >
> >
> >"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> >news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> >> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> >> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
> >>
> >> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> >> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
> >>
> >> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> >> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> >> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
> >>
> >> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> >> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >>
> >> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> >> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> >> customer asked.
> >>
> >> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> >> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> >> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> >> of course assuming they have a claim.

> >>
> >> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> >> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >>
> >> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> >> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> >> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> >> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> >> shipped within my own state.
> >>
> >> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> >> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> >> received a package from the west coast in three days!
> >>
> >> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> >> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> >> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
> >>
> >> Dan
> >
> >
>

5251. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:31:39 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B0668B.A04C99B3@cts.com>
Source record: 80473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> >That's the way they do business. They have the right to refuse your
> >business for whatever reason.
> >
> This is true..as I said, business must be good.

Even if business sucks why would they want to loose more money? The
bottom line is you both stopped the transaction...move on.

> >You created fuss, muss and turned an automated sale into a separate trip
> >to the post office.
> >
> >In business when "it's a hassel" it really means...
> >"My profit margin is already slim on this item and it aint worth it cuz
> >I loose money."
> >
> I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
> Priority Mail?

You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.

>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra mile
> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...

Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If Bob
grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this way" is
moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.

Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>
> >> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> >> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >
> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As the
> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the purchase...welcome to
> >America.
>
> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
>

> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then we
> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
>

> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which you
> assume I did. Which I did not.

I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later post
you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just how
unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was "to
stimulate discussion".

Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than the
other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points for
that.

But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
gate.

>
> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we parted
> company in good terms.

And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.

Mark

>
> Dan

5252. Re: New mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:36:36 UTC
From: mcmorran@visi.net
Message-ID: <39b067e2$3$zpzbeena$mr2ice@news.visi.net>
Source record: 80475

In <4F9r5.113017$6y5.75837978@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>, on
08/30/00
at 03:22 PM, "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com> said:

>Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
>news:fiSq5.18887$QW4.241621@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com... > Radio
>Shack has a nice unit, with a mag mount, and two coils. It's
>about 3' > tall, and is made out of solid stainless steel rod.
>You may have to > purchase a BNC adapter however, last I checked
>they were still putting on > the old "car radio" type plug on
>it.

>I used to have one of those. They are worthless. I don't like
>them. My mag mount double loaded scanner antenna does better. I
>think it is 36" tall. It really pulls in the signals.

Sounds good. Do you know what make/model it is?

Cheers,
Peter

--
---
mcmorran@visi.net (Peter McMorran)

5253. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:36:58 UTC
From: markitron@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8opp49$6ug$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80479

OK I'm probably asking a really stupid question here, but if you don't ask you can't learn. I thought the FCC controlled radio traffic in the USA. Wasn't there a ruling about you have a right to receive any radio signal that was sent in the clear, but to unscramble a signal without permission is illegal. If the police don't want people to hear them with scanners, why don't they scramble their radio calls? Do State's have the right to pass laws restricting radio reception?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5254. PRONET RATPACKS FREQ NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:38:14 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B06816.6A929169@cts.com>
Source record: 80476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for a freq for PRONET transmitters.
I think it's in the lower 200mhz range.
Also known as "ratpacks"

TKS!

Markj

5255. Favorite scanner and battery survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:44:10 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000901224410.22713.00000358@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 80478

I've added a couple of surveys to The ScanShack:
A favorite scanner manufacturer survey and a type battery survey.
If you've got a few minutes I'd apprciate you
dropping by and submitting your vote(s).
They are located on the main page.
Thanks for your time and your support of the hobby of radio scanning !
http://www.ScanShack.com

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
and much more !!!

5256. Re: More Crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:50:05 UTC
From: TwoBravo@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25889-39B06ADD-79@storefull-617.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80483

Want to know what's really funny....there are people posting crap...in this thread about too much crap!!!! I guess they have spoken for themselves.

5257. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:52:43 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.141a0b54c5a36621989b46@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> Nixon) wrote:
>
> >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
> >
> >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
> >>
> >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> >>>>:>in the CW band.
> >>
> >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> >
Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.

> >Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
> >ciphers?
>
> 1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups.
> As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for
> decades.
> >>
> >>>>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
> >>>>destroyed.
> >>
> >>Good for the cops. More power to their arms!
> >>
> >>>> I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
> >>>>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
> >>>>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
> >>>>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
> >>>>and cell).
>
> 2==> In New South Wales, am pretty sure that it is illegal to transmit
> any information that obstructs police activities. For instance,
> warning other road users of a speed trap, portable truck weighing
> setup, random breath test unit, etc.

>
> >>
> >>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >>>of years ago..
> >>
> >>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
>
> 3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band
> services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a
> set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency
> allocations and power levels.
>
> larryn
> [misc.survivalism]

--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 698
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

5258. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 02:58:42 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b06ca3.5907836@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 02:22:43 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
<elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:

>It's better than all your whining.
Whenever someone doesn't agree with something here, it's called
"whining"

They should be called whining groups then instead of newsgroups.

Dan
>--
>Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
>
>
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39b038a0.627816@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 21:06:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
>> <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Get over it.
>> Is that the very best you can do in the way of contirbuting to this
>> topic?
>>
>> Dan
>> >--
>> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>> >news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> >> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
>> >> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
>> >> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>> >>
>> >> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
>> >> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>> >>
>> >> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
>> >> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>> >> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>> >>
>> >> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,

>> >> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>> >>
>> >> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
>> >> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
>> >> customer asked.
>> >>
>> >> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
>> >> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
>> >> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
>> >> of course assuming they have a claim.
>> >>
>> >> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
>> >> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>> >>
>> >> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
>> >> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
>> >> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
>> >> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
>> >> shipped within my own state.
>> >>
>> >> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
>> >> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
>> >> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>> >>
>> >> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
>> >> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
>> >> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>> >>
>> >> Dan
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

5259. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:08:22 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark,

I do not want any of your "points" OK?

Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?

If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on? If
this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???

> I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
>> Priority Mail?
>
>You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
>
>>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
>> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra mile
>> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
>
>Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If Bob
>grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this way" is
>moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.

I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the radio
I was looking for.

>Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?

No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
everything that you are....

>> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As the
>> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the purchase...welcome to
>> >America.
>>
>> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
>>
>
>> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
>> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then we
>> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
>>
>> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which you
>> assume I did. Which I did not.
>
>I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later post
>you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just how

>unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was "to
>stimulate discussion".
>
>Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than the
>other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points for
>that.
A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
with me.
>But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
>gate.
>
Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.

It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
debate on these gropus after all.

It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
as yourself.

Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?

>> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we parted
>> company in good terms.
>
>And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
>
The only thing that should move on here is you.
>
>
>
>>
>> Dan

5260. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:18:16 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Message-ID: <Yj_r5.2469$Qx4.194887@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

HAHAHAHA! I have to agree with you on that Dan.

--
Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39b06ca3.5907836@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 02:22:43 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
> <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:
>
> >It's better than all your whining.
> Whenever someone doesn't agree with something here, it's called
> "whining"
>
> They should be called whining groups then instead of newsgroups.
>
> Dan
> >--
> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
> >
> >
> >
> >"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> >news:39b038a0.627816@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 21:06:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
> >> <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Get over it.
> >> Is that the very best you can do in the way of contirbuting to this
> >> topic?
> >>
> >> Dan
> >> >--
> >> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >> >> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> >> >> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so
that
> >> >> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
> >> >>

> >> >> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> >> >> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
> >> >>
> >> >> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> >> >> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> >> >> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
> >> >>
> >> >> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority
Mail,
> >> >> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
> >> >>
> >> >> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> >> >> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> >> >> customer asked.
> >> >>
> >> >> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> >> >> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has
been
> >> >> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This
is
> >> >> of course assuming they have a claim.
> >> >>
> >> >> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> >> >> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
> >> >>
> >> >> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> >> >> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it
to
> >> >> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet
UPS
> >> >> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an
item
> >> >> shipped within my own state.
> >> >>
> >> >> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> >> >> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem.
I
> >> >> received a package from the west coast in three days!
> >> >>
> >> >> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership.
If
> >> >> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> >> >> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >

>

5261. Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:26:57 UTC
From: postaljeep@email.com
Message-ID: <39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 80488

Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!

5262. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:40:54 UTC
From: larryn@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>
Source record: 80477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:

>Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>>
>>>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>>>>:>in the CW band.
>>
>>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
>
>Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
>ciphers?

1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups. As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for decades.

>>
>>>>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
>>>>destroyed.
>>
>>Good for the cops. More power to their arms!
>>
>>> I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
>>>>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
>>>>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
>>>>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
>>>>and cell).

2==> In New South Wales, am pretty sure that it is illegal to transmit any information that obstructs police activities. For instance, warning other road users of a speed trap, portable truck weighing setup, random breath test unit, etc.

>>
>>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>>>of years ago..
>>
>>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?

3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a

set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency allocations and power levels.

ï¿½larryn
[misc.survivalism]

5263. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:47:08 UTC
From: postaljeep@email.com
Message-ID: <39b07577.26625497@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 80491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan,
I agree 1000%! ---UPS is a gathering of the most inept bunch of idiots in the business! I do literally 10's of thousands of dollars in internet retail purchases/sales annually. The United States Postal Service is the most reliable and cost effective delivery system in this country! (I'm not afilliated with the USPS, in spite of my screen name. I just own one of their Jeeps.) .....And postaljeep.com

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
>in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
>they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
>I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
>dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
>It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
>and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
>However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
>insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
>Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
>charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
>customer asked.
>
>They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
>post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
>that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
>of course assuming they have a claim.
>
>This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
>laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
>In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
>purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
>me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
>sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
>shipped within my own state.
>
>On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost

>exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
>received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
>So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
>business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
>customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
>Dan

5264. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:50:20 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B078FC.19183643@cts.com>
Source record: 80489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Mark,
>
> This post was made to invoke some debate over an experience that
> happened recently in an attempt to purchase something from a radio
> dealer. Not exaclty on topic, but could be as we all have to get our
> equipment from somewhere. Anyway, I thought it would be better then
> the Mackey, et all crap we have been seeing here lately.
>
> I can debate my position with anyone, and enjoy the diatribe, tongue
> in cheek or not. However, I am concerned when I see responses like
> the one below from you and the Winstead character, which become
> venomous attempts to attack me. How sad.

Venomous?
Gosh don't let me fracture your feelings over it.
I just have never heard a good reason for only shipping to 48 states.
Take the secret to the grave if you want.
>
> Well, back to the debate......

> >So why don't you want to ship to AK and HI? I'm sure you have a real
> >good reason...oh tounge and cheek that is...(gack).
>
> Yes, I did have a very good reason, which is none of your or anyone
> else's business, and has nothing whatsoever to do with this original
> post. gack?

It goes to motivation...IMHO a baseless whine.

The response
> >> >that I received was somewhat startling:
> >> >
> >> >"Probably....but I prefer NOT shipping to Hawaii or Alaska for various
> >> >reasons.

> >You use ebay because of the money don't you? Or do you use ebay to "pass
> >on good will to the radio public"
>
> Yes, because of the money. That is why I sell my equipment you fool,
> to get as much of my investment as possible back. Anything wrong with
> that?
There is nothing wrong with it.

Why do you sell YOUR equipment, Mark? To pass on good will to
> the radio public? (radio public?) Do you give your equipment away?
> Ever? I have. For free. Ask me and I'll tell you about it. My
> perogative.
>
I would never sell or give away an electronic orphan of mine. I would
give my cats (all 137 of them) away first.

> >> Again....I am sure you are trying to equate my tongue in cheek
> >> stimulous for dialogue to this incident which WAS private between two
> >> individuals.

Yes.
> >>
> >> Are you implying that I am a hypocrite because I would not send an
> >> item USPS to Alaska or Hawaii, yet I talk about a dealer's failure to
> >> ship Priority mail? I don't see the hypocrisy.

No...I think you are a racist for refusing to ship to Eskimos and
Samoans.

> >If you don't see it then you are blind.
> >
> >So your "tounge and cheek stimulous" just backfired and made you look
> >like a complete dork.
> Such venom, why? What have I done to you? What does this have to do
> with my post?

Ok...maybe dork was a little stiff, Sorry. You didn't do anything to me
except make me think "hey this guy is really having "an issue" with
something pretty trival.
>
> Do you always treat someone with whom you disagree with such malice?

Malice??? If you were sitting next to me I probably would have slapped
ya.
"Dan...wake up (slap) what are you thinking bro?"
Just a little luv tap mind you.
>
> > So much for an attempt at tounge and cheek.

Agreed.
> Well I tried, if you missed it then maybe I am the moron here.

No your not a moron...just a whiner.

Now lets talk about something more fun....

If I turn on a scanner in an airplane can I die?

If I listen to fast food channels does my food stay fresher?

can I get aids from listening to cellular?

> Well, this reveals your true stupidity.

> Well, I have no concerns about my integrity. I have bought and sold
> hundreds of items here and on EBay, and never had a problem. Have
> you?

Nope...But I never questioned you integrity...just the motives.

> Well then, we wouldn't know now would we, since you simply go by
> "Mark".

Yes...Mark is the name the goverment gave me when I was relocated to the
united states.
>
> Again, someone else hiding behind anonymity.

Yes I am. People in many countries want to kill me because I know too
much. It is safer for you *not* to know.
>
> BTW, you should pay royalties for using that tag line....

I do already...I send a check via ups every month.

Markj

5265. FS/TR Bc890xlt with ctcss tone board&full cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 03:51:39 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8optg5bgn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80493

I have a Bc890xlt base scanner.This scanner is like new in the original box,with original manual,and antenna.It has the built in Ctcss Tone Board and it receives FULL CELL. at the 30 step.This mod was done at the factory where it was bought in 1993.It has many features to many to list.This is not the regular bc890xlt .There were only a few of these made before the law came out on Cells.I would like to sell it for $265.00 u.s. or well consider trades.If interested I can send you a picture of it etc.Please only reply if serious before I put it on ebay.Thanks- wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5266. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 04:00:03 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B07BF1.40438566@home.com>
Source record: 80492

Interesting point.
I checked deja.com and no binary was posted here
(it appears to have been canceled).

I would seem that the only way to prove validity is to run the
information through the channels and see what pops up.

However, I find it rather odd that he would enlist in sioux city
iowa when his ISP is clearly in the upper northwest oregon area.

sounds way too fishy for me.

Just Bud wrote:

> thehamguy1@aol.com (Hamguy) hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >The binary post clearly shows that he took the OATH.
> >Add the hyperlink to the newspaper clip and it proves his statements.
>
> And WHICH post was that in?
>
> Surely you can repost it, as it doesn't show up on my ISPs news server
> in the last month.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

5267. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 04:07:42 UTC
From: Lee Grimes <grix@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <11%r5.7689$wS1.272643@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 80494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Booorrrrrring. Attack attack attack, vicious attack. Point point point.
Etc. Are you done yet? Rats.
Daniel M. Conti wrote in message
<39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>...
>Mark,
>
>I do not want any of your "points" OK?
>
>Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?
>
>If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on? If
>this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
>
>> I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
>>> Priority Mail?
>>
>>You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
>>
>>>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
>>> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra mile
>>> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
>>
>>Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If Bob
>>grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this way" is
>>moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.
>
>I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the radio
>I was looking for.
>
>>Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>
>No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
>everything that you are....
>
>>> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As the
>>> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the purchase...welcome
to
>>> >America.
>>>
>>> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
>>>
>>
>>> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...

>>> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then we
>>> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
>>>
>>> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which you
>>> assume I did. Which I did not.
>>
>>I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later post
>>you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just how
>>unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was "to
>>stimulate discussion".
>>
>>Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than the
>>other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points for
>>that.
>A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
>with me.
>>But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
>>gate.
>>
>Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.
>
>It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
>debate on these gropus after all.
>
>It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
>deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
>as yourself.
>
>Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?
>
>>> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we parted
>>> company in good terms.
>>
>>And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
>>
>The only thing that should move on here is you.
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>> Dan
>

5268. Re: Battery Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 04:14:28 UTC
From: Tom Tretheway <tomt@ncal.verio.com>
Message-ID: <39B07F0E.BBDECE4F@ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 80495

Thanks for the info and I'll pick up a NiHH pack, but can the NiMH battery pack be left on charge or will they suffer the same fate as the NiCads? What I liked about the Pro 34, was that whatever the state of charge of the NiCads, if I needed to go mobile, I could always toss 6 AA's in my pocket and take off and not worry about running out of power. IMHO Uniden really handicapped the 245's utility by not using standard AA cells, NiCads or otherwise. I appreciate your your valuable opinion.
Tom

digimon wrote:

> I am not a battery expert, but I did get a BC245 last July (99). I too,
> kept it plugged in at home.
> Batteries lasted almost 8 months. So yes, you do kill them that way.
>
> You can rig a bypass, but what I did is buy a NiMH battery pack. I now only
> charge it when the batteries go down. And that is every 4-5 days. I use it
> every day -- I NEVER drive to work/store/anywhere without it. toss those
> NiCd batteries and get a NiMH pack. you probably noticed that the NiCds do
> not last anywhere near as long. I never could get more than a day out of
> them, best thing I did was overcharge and kill it.
>
> cheers
>
> "Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message
> news:39AF3914.B9FD77D1@ncal.verio.com...
> > Will I risk over charging the nicad pack on my BC 245 by keeping the
> > charger plugged in while the radio is on? My old RS Pro 34 had two
> > separate power inputs, one for charging and one for just power. I could
> > listen to the radio "plugged in" without worry about overcharging the
> > batteries. With the BC 245 there is only one input, "charge" and I
> > worry about overcharging. I hate running off batteries when I could
> > keep fresh power in the radio and run off AC when at home. Also, the
> > charger voltage is 12 volts for 4, 1.4 volt cells in series. I assume
> > the radio actually runs on the 5.6 volts the batteries deliver. If I
> > were to rig up a battery bypass, I assume I'd need a 5.6 volt power
> > supply. I love the radio but don't care for the way it's powered. Are
> > there any battery experts out there who could answer these questions?
> > Thanks in advance.
> > Tom Tretheway
> >
> >

5269. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 04:20:36 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B08014.234D765@cts.com>
Source record: 80496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Mark,
>
> I do not want any of your "points" OK?
>
> Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?

John macky's dad apointed me in an offical ceremony..
>
> If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on? If
> this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
>

>
> I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the radio
> I was looking for.

Well then why didn't you just demand he run out and get you one, I'm
sure he wouldn't have minded.
>
> >Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>
> No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
> everything that you are....

As long as we agree on that.
>
> A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
> with me.
I didn't disagree with you. I just was trying to explain reality to ya.
I won't hold it against ya cuz I sure you a real nice person.

> >But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
> >gate.
> >
> Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.

Alright...your not a troll...just the post was troll like.

> It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
> debate on these gropus after all.

I'm having fun...mackys gone.
>
> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll

> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
> as yourself.

You better pop a nitro.
I'll leave you alone now.

Markj

5270. Re: Battery Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 04:52:00 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QH%r5.4534$58.682430@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80397

The best adviced I read about the NiMH batteries was "1000 charges [newer batteries -- older about 500] is what you get, whether or not you charge it from dead state or partly discharged state" , i.e. every time you plug it in counts as a charge cycle.

So far, I have been treating my NiMH pack accordingly and it has surprised (the sceptic in) me about how well they perform -- I am a new true believer.

yeah, I would like to keep the 245 plugged in and only use the batteries when I need them, but it isn't the case, once I converted, life is the same :)

cheers

"Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message news:39B07F0E.BBDECE4F@ncal.verio.com...
> Thanks for the info and I'll pick up a NiHH pack, but can the NiMH battery pack
> be left on charge or will they suffer the same fate as the NiCads? What I liked
> about the Pro 34, was that whatever the state of charge of the NiCads, if I
> needed to go mobile, I could always toss 6 AA's in my pocket and take off and
> not worry about running out of power. IMHO Uniden really handicapped the 245's
> utility by not using standard AA cells, NiCads or otherwise. I appreciate your
> your valuable opinion.
> Tom
>
> digimon wrote:
>
> > I am not a battery expert, but I did get a BC245 last July (99). I too,
> > kept it plugged in at home.
> > Batteries lasted almost 8 months. So yes, you do kill them that way.
> >
> > You can rig a bypass, but what I did is buy a NiMH battery pack. I now only
> > charge it when the batteries go down. And that is every 4-5 days. I use it
> > every day -- I NEVER drive to work/store/anywhere without it. toss those
> > NiCd batteries and get a NiMH pack. you probably noticed that the NiCds do

> > not last anywhere near as long. I never could get more than a day out
of
> > them, best thing I did was overcharge and kill it.
> >
> > cheers
> >
> > "Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message
> > news:39AF3914.B9FD77D1@ncal.verio.com...
> > > Will I risk over charging the nicad pack on my BC 245 by keeping the
> > > charger plugged in while the radio is on? My old RS Pro 34 had two
> > > separate power inputs, one for charging and one for just power. I
could
> > > listen to the radio "plugged in" without worry about overcharging the
> > > batteries. With the BC 245 there is only one input, "charge" and I
> > > worry about overcharging. I hate running off batteries when I could
> > > keep fresh power in the radio and run off AC when at home. Also, the
> > > charger voltage is 12 volts for 4, 1.4 volt cells in series. I assume
> > > the radio actually runs on the 5.6 volts the batteries deliver. If I
> > > were to rig up a battery bypass, I assume I'd need a 5.6 volt power
> > > supply. I love the radio but don't care for the way it's powered.
Are
> > > there any battery experts out there who could answer these questions?
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > > Tom Tretheway
> > >
> > >
>
>

5271. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 05:27:29 UTC
From: John Haberkern <w3ayt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <5d0s5.6725$On2.423742@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan, I am with you on this one. I have to drive to work and home again every day, passing at least four different post offices. No big deal to stop in and mail a package. So you let an employee go home a half hour early ask them to stop and mail a box from the post office....done. I have been in this hobby 22 years and seen a lot of 'dealers' close shop especially right here in the phila area, so if it is to much trouble for them to ship usps will see how hard it is to lock the doors for the last time. John

Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost

> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I
> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5272. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 05:33:13 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39B09006.E4D9C370@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80399

"Anon Y. Mous" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 03:28:20 GMT, Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
> wrote:
>
> >> >A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
> >> >thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).
> >>
> >> A Warrant officer IS a Commissioned Officer, under special rules.
> >
> >What may those rules be?
> >
> >
> >> They are treated EXACTLY the same as a Commissioned Officer
> >> by Enlisted personnel.
> >
> >Not where I come from. The Warrant Officers, for one, simply
> >would not allow it (they actually earned their rank -- reservists
> >notwithstanding).
> >
>
> In the Navy (way back in the late 70's / early 80's, anyhow), we had
> to salute them and call them sir or ma'am. They wore Gold on their
> caps and, if I remember correctly, they got paid better than E-9s.
>
> The only way they were treated different is that they (usually) got
> more respect then junior officers due to the fact that they had worked
> their way up to their rank. Trust me, if the Old Man wanted to know
> what's what, he'll either ask the senior non-comms or the Warrant
> Officers long befor a Lt.J.G. or Ensign.

I agree.

--
Michael Moore

5273. Mods in variuos ranges....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 05:46:54 UTC
From: postaljeep@email.com
Message-ID: <39b092df.34155461@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 80400

What's involved in modifying freq ranges or unblocking freq's that have unconstitutionally been blocked? Do I need to hire somebody or can a novice with an average IQ do it?

5274. Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 06:30:33 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <N_0s5.3951$B54.10147@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma Highway Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in Okla. City. The audio, while somewhat fuzzy, is available on the KFOR-TV website at the link below. Scroll down below the main picture for a link to the audio clip.

http://www.msnbc.com/local/KFOR/47521.asp#BODY

I was up late and heard this, but didn't have the recorder on myself. This is a horrible loss to the law enforcement community (of which I'm a former member), so please, show some respect in any comments you make.

Mark K5MAR
Former 911/radio dispatcher - Stillwater Police Dept.

5275. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 06:47:27 UTC
From: Kevin
Message-ID: <fj81rsg26rvtk3jhp7vpc5s92fdl31vuio@4ax.com>
Source record: 80402

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 03:26:57 GMT, Jeeper
<39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net> wrote:

>Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
>here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!

Upgrade to Agent 1.8 and use a kill file.

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/mods.html a site covering
NW Oregon Scanner, SWL and Ham Radio topics and radio
modifications. http://www.egroups.com/group/HAMSWLSCANSALE

5276. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 08:52:53 UTC
From: Mr C <goldy@cliff90.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8oqe87$in4$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 80403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can hear both sides of the conversation
Mr.C
Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> Hello,
>
> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
ends
> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
> frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of the
> conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in
advance.
> Mark.
>
>

5277. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 09:09:37 UTC
From: "Lara Henshingly - Croft (Deceased)" <lara@deadsville.com>
Message-ID: <sr1hmq8ec5d23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

if you mean those little black numbers that you can buy in Argos ;-) then
they operate in the 31 - 32 Mhz range - I can hear both sides of the
conversation too..... If it is DECT then forget it, or there are some I
think that operate in the 48 Mhz area with the other side on 1.7 mhz (don't
quote me on this though) someone on here should know a bit more about
this....
Happy Eavesdropping
Buff the Wonderkid

Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> Hello,
>
> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
ends
> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
> frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of the
> conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in
advance.
> Mark.
>
>

5278. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 09:13:57 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B0C4D4.D8074A18@zylay.com>
Source record: 80409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Howard Lute wrote:

> In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
> larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
> > On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> > Nixon) wrote:
> >
> > >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
> > >
> > >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>>>>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> > >>>>>>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> > >>>>>>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> > >>>>>>in the CW band.
> > >>
> > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> > >
> Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
>

Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice of some sort?
--
Gene Floyd
KD4OUN
"Ride to eat, eat to ride."

5279. Re: MPT1327...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 09:17:55 UTC
From: p@server.net
Message-ID: <u1h1rssamqm6879vu0d8ve2j3hklmjr5hc@4ax.com>
Source record: 80404

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:43:36 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>MPT1327 isn't exactly the most popular trunking protocol in the USA.
>Where you be at?

Hey! guess what, we're not all Americans! We don't all live in the
USA, and some of us can still speak English anyway! ;->

>
>MC
>
>SHELDON SCOTT wrote:
>>
>> Hello.
>>
>> I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
>> what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
>> Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.
>>
>> Thanks...Sheldon Scott.
>>
>> --
>> --
>> Click here for Free Video!!
>> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

5280. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 09:56:30 UTC
From: DAVE <David@qthlondon.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8oqivp$8ko$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 80406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are you locate UK or USA ? There are frequency pairing lists that exist if
you need them
Dave

Lara Henshingly - Croft (Deceased) <lara@deadsville.com> wrote in message
news:sr1hmq8ec5d23@corp.supernews.com...
> if you mean those little black numbers that you can buy in Argos ;-) then
> they operate in the 31 - 32 Mhz range - I can hear both sides of the
> conversation too..... If it is DECT then forget it, or there are some I
> think that operate in the 48 Mhz area with the other side on 1.7 mhz
(don't
> quote me on this though) someone on here should know a bit more about
> this....
> Happy Eavesdropping
> Buff the Wonderkid
>
> Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
> > listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
> ends
> > of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
> > frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of
the
> > conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in
> advance.
> > Mark.
> >
> >
>
>

5281. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 10:08:59 UTC
From: smiff2945@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8oqjjm$341$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you need to tune to the base station frequency, not the handset to hear
both sides of the conversation ,try between 30.000 & 32.000 nfm, 47.000
is the handset freq
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5282. F/S PRO 2006 MINT! $265 SHIPPED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 10:26:36 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B0D674.44C61762@home.com>
Source record: 80408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello- I have a like new pro 2006 in the box for sale for $265 shipped. I WOULD CONSIDER IT A 9.5 OUT OF 10. I would consider a swap for a like new 2042. Scanner has cell mod. Includes original antenna,manual,box and car cord. Scanner will be extremely clean and well packed. Has the light on-off. I have a perfect 52 feedback on Ebay. If you would like to buy this item it is IMPORTANT for you to leave your name,address and phone number to have first take.Scanner to be shipped Priority Mail insured.

5283. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 11:01:40 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <8oqmmk$7jh$1@newcastle.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 80410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fredric J. Einstein <feinstei@mw.mediaone.net> writes:

>Get yourself a real address instead of a P.O. Box number and you'll
>never have a problem with U.P.S.

That may be true inside the USA (though I'm sure other people have
UPS horror stories).

But for shipments from the USA to Canada, most Canadians that import
stuff have learned to avoid UPS at any cost. UPS accepts the shipment,
transports it to a UPS warehouse somewhere in Canada, then phones the
recipient and says that the item needs to clear customs. For a
small additional fee, they will do the customs brokering for you and
then deliver the item to its original destination. If you don't want
to use them as the customs broker, I don't know what happens. They
charge this brokerage fee even if there are no duties or taxes on
the item.

In other words, having stated a particular fee for delivering an item
to an address in Canada, and now that they have your item held hostage,
they demand more money.

Reputable couriers like FedEx and DHL include the brokerage charges in
the delivery cost, which is known in advance. If the item is sent
through the mail, Canada post charges a $5 handling fee for customs
(but only if there is actually some money due). I find both of these
approaches honest and reasonable. What UPS does is simply inexcusable.

Never, ever, use UPS for deliveries across the border.

Dave

5284. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 12:05:08 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b0ecb9.650132@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>You better pop a nitro.
>I'll leave you alone now.
>
>Markj

Thank you......

Your last two responses spoke volumes . I will leave YOU alone as
well.

Dan

5285. Re: New Radio Shack Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 12:21:51 UTC
From: halflife9rb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902082151.00795.00001938@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 80412

its called a cue cat i just got 1. they are free at radio shack it scans any barcode, isbn, and upc

5286. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 15:00:46 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b11611.7499548@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:> > >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
:> > >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
:> > >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
:> > >>>>:>in the CW band.
:> > >>
:> > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
:> > >
:> Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
:> elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
:> groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
:> in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
:>
:
:Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I
:wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
:given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
:like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice
:of some sort?

Why do code practice sessions via a car??

The disadvantage of Satellite (ie INMARSAT) or cell phones is that it
IDs the person who is receiving the message. And sat comms and cell
phones can be tracked. HF number broadcasting only exposes the
sender. Which is why the use of ham equipment and mobile ops (that is
how I would do it). I am only telling you what hams in the Elkhart
and Mishawaka area have told me (a one over in South Bend). The toll
road has become a huge radio range with everyone using radios for some
type of illegal business. It has the cops upset.

5287. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 15:21:37 UTC
From: "J. Feagin" <feagin@home.com>
Message-ID: <5W8s5.120313$eS6.1186803@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 80417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My condolences to all of those who work in law enforcement and to the family of the officer who was killed. This is a tragic loss. All of us who routinely monitor police traffic realize what a tough job they have. They literally put their lives on the line every day they report for duty.

John Feagin
Columbia, MD

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:N_0s5.3951$B54.10147@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma Highway
> Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in Okla.
> City. The audio, while somewhat fuzzy, is available on the KFOR-TV website
> at the link below. Scroll down below the main picture for a link to the
> audio clip.
>
> http://www.msnbc.com/local/KFOR/47521.asp#BODY
>
> I was up late and heard this, but didn't have the recorder on myself.
> This is a horrible loss to the law enforcement community (of which I'm a
> former member), so please, show some respect in any comments you make.
>
> Mark K5MAR
> Former 911/radio dispatcher - Stillwater Police Dept.
>
>
>

5288. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 15:31:05 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902113105.06798.00006947@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 80418

Fools
>>The binary post clearly shows that he took the OATH.
>>Add the hyperlink to the newspaper clip and it proves his statements.
>
>And WHICH post was that in?
Some people even complained that your toilet-boy posted the binary in the first
place.
>
>Surely you can repost it, as it doesn't show up on my ISPs news server
>in the last month.
Check that sue city newspaper archive he posted.
http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2000/MAY/May_3_00_Wed/almanac/service.html

Those PSA's are forwarded from the DOD itself to any hometown he might have
listed.

5289. RE:Number stations; was: Indiana ham arrested...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 15:53:41 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39B12285.1934934@blinx.de>
Source record: 80419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Floyd schrieb:

> Howard Lute wrote:
>
> > Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> > elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> > groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> > in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
> >
>
> Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions.

Hi Folks.

In Germany the military transmissions are not touched in ANY way
by the german equivalent
of the US 'FCC' here called 'BAPT'.
Military is allowed to transmit on EVERY frequency in EVERY mode
and coded in any as
wanted.
And they DO IT. Mostly in a spread-sprectrum mode (even on HF
amateur-radio-bands) so
that it is mostly not be noticed by the regular user of the
freqs.
I think this is the same ALL over the world.... :-((

> I
> wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
> given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
> like a more secure method of transmitting code.

Transmitting on freqs available to everybody in a mode everybody
can read, to a
destination, only the sender is knowing is MUCH more secure than
using a trackable
transmission like cell-phone calls or satellite transmissions.
Not to mention that modern
equipment in some parts of the world is very noticeable.
So the only thing you need is the key!! to uncode the
number-transmission and a simple
HF-RX..

odo -germany-

5290. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:00:19 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.141ac3e97f2d8d26989b48@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <39B0C4D4.D8074A18@zylay.com>, webmaster@zylay.com says...
> Howard Lute wrote:
>
> > In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
> > larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
> > > On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> > > Nixon) wrote:
> > >
> > > >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> > > >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> > > >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> > > >>>>:>in the CW band.
> > > >>
> > > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> > > >
> > > Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> > > elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> > > groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> > > in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
> > >
> >
> Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I
> wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
> given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
> like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice
> of some sort?
> --
> Gene Floyd
> KD4OUN
> "Ride to eat, eat to ride."
>
>
>
The Ultra-right and Aryan Resistance guys have gotten rather
sophisticated and yes while cell phones could/would do the same thing,
it's common knowledge that these devices are insecure for these groups
and others. If communicating to one's partners that are incarcerated the
number station route is the method of choice. Prisoners are allowed
radios but not phones.

--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 698
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

5291. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:02:32 UTC
From: horse_personn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39c023f0.4211773@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 80416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 10:08:59 GMT, smiff2945@my-deja.com wrote:

>you need to tune to the base station frequency, not the handset to hear
>both sides of the conversation ,try between 30.000 & 32.000 nfm, 47.000
>is the handset freq

In Australia the base is 30.075 to 30.300 in 25 Khz steps.
The handset is 9.7 Mhz higher.

Barry
========
Voicemail/fax number: (02) 85698004
Web page: http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~barryog
Atheist, scanner, LIPD information, horse pictures
Updated 27/07/00

5292. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:04:18 UTC
From: horse_personn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39c124a3.4390458@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 80415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 23:47:27 GMT, "Mark Rossi" <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote:

>Hello,
>
> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
>listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both ends
>of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
>frequencies. Is there any way to know which frequency the other end of the
>conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in advance.
>Mark.

You can hear both ends if you listen to the base, due to crosstalk. The handset
is 9.7 Mhz higher than the base.

Barry
========
Voicemail/fax number: (02) 85698004
Web page: http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~barryog
Atheist, scanner, LIPD information, horse pictures
Updated 27/07/00

5293. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:08:52 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sr29j821c5d100@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80421

YOU "block em". Use your filters. No filters ? Get a real news reader......
Too lazy to set them up ? Then dont complain.... Too nosy to leave them on ?
Same answer.......

"Jeeper" <postaljeep@email.com> wrote in message
news:39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net...
> Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
> here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!

5294. FA: PRO-75 Handheld Scanner w/ Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:10:12 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <1t9s5.4217$B54.12014@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Take a look at this beaut of a scanner! It's in perfect condition! The
link is:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646
Thanks!

Adam Kolari

5295. FS: PRO 2035 CONTINUOUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:25:20 UTC
From: trunker <trunker@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8or9l2$pl2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80423

Pro 2035 for sale. $225.00 shipped. Continuous 25-520, 760-1300 MHz. Everything works just fine. Comes with original box, owner's manual and AC adapter. Money order preferred.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5296. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:34:59 UTC
From: Kevin Patterson <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <B78s5.9406$3U2.297167@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 80413

This is an unmoderated group, any blocking must be done by yourself.If your
using Outlook Express, just click TOOLS , MESSAGE RULES then NEWS. From
there you can block by subject , author, message size ect.....
You won't weed out ALL the junk, but you can get rid of most of it.
If I am reading this right , you are using Forte Free Agent. I'm not
familiar with this reader, but I am sure it has something similar. They
might use the term FILTER.
"Jeeper" <postaljeep@email.com> wrote in message
news:39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net...
> Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
> here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!

5297. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 16:45:57 UTC
From: Scot <scotgd@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39B12EC7.89F77608@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gene Floyd wrote:
>

<snip>

If you use a ham radio as a CB,

Define 'use a ham radio as a CB' please. Other than power and CB band use.

> then
> it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
> --
> Gene Floyd
> http://www.zylay.com
>
> "Ride to eat, eat to ride."

5298. FS: 7 UNITS-PRO-2006 400 CHANNEL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 17:08:17 UTC
From: "Willie R. Morada" <zrecords@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5uas5.19587$C42.802399@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FS: 7 UNITS-PRO-2006 400 CHANNEL SCANNER

WE ARE SELLING 7 UNITS FOR $1500 PLUS SHIPPING.PLEASE TAKE NOTE THAT
WE ARE SELLING THIS AS A BATCH. WE HAVE SOLD INDIVIDUAL UNITS FOR $275
EACH. THIS IS THE LAST OF THE BATCH THAT WE HAVE ACQUIRED FROM A
TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY THAT UPGRADED THEIR SYSTEM.
THE REALISTIC PRO-2006 COVERS A WIDE FREQUENCY RANGE; 25-520 MHZ.,
760-823, 945 MHZ., 851-868, 945 MHZ., 896-1300 MHZ.. THE RECEIVING WAVE
MODES ARE: WIDE FM (TV SOUND, FM BROADCAST), NARROW FM (BUSINESS,
COMMUNICATIONS, HAM RADIO), AM (AIRCRAFT, CB RADIO) THIS ONE HAS THE LIGHTED
LCD, THE TWO-SECOND SCAN DELAY, A MEMORY BACK-UP, A LOCK-OUT FUNCTION, A TEN
CHANNEL STORAGE BANK AS WELL AS MONITOR BANKS. THERE IS ALSO PRIORITY
CHANNEL, DIRECT FREQUENCY SEARCH AND SOUND SQUELCH. IT HAS A BUILT-IN
SPEAKER, EXTENSION SPEAKER PLUG AND TAPE OUT JACK.
THEY DON'T SELL THIS KIND OF SCANNERS IN STORES ANYMORE, SO WHEN
THEY'RE GONE, THEY'RE GONE. THE SCANNER COMES WITH A RUBBER DUCKIE ANTENNA
AND A PIGTAIL WITH THE PROPER POLARITY FOR A 12V POWER SUPPLY FOR MOBILE
USE. IT CAN BE PLUGGED IN AT HOME WITH THE 110V POWER CORD. ANOTHER NICE
FEATURE IS THE LIGHTED DISPLAY AND THE FOLD-UP FEET UNDER THE FRONT TO GIVE
IT THE PROPER ANGLE TO MAKE IT EASY TO SEE.
THE SCANNER IS IN VERY GOOD CONDITION WITH THE REALISTIC LOGO ON
THE LEFT TOP FRONT REMOVED. THE REALISTIC STICKER ON THE BACK HAS ALSO BEEN
CUT OUT BUT THE SERIAL NUMBER STILL REMAINS.(THE REASONING FOR THIS IS THAT
THIS WAS USED COMMERCIALLY AS A PART OF A SYSTEM FOR APPROXIMATELY A YEAR ,
ACCORDING TO OUR SOURCE).ALL FLAWS ARE COSMETIC IN NATURE. THE UNIT HAS BEEN
CHECKED AND IT WORKS GREAT.WE HAVE SOLD CLOSE TO TEN UNITS AND ALL OUR
CUSTOMERS ARE SATISFIED BY THEIR PERFORMANCE.
A COPY OF THE OPERATING MANUAL AND SPEC SHEET DOWNLOADED FROM THE
REALISTIC WEBSITE IS ALSO INCLUDED. OR YOU CAN GO TO THIS WEBSITE AND
DOWNLOAD IT YOURSELF AT -

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm.

You can view the items on:

http://www.geocities.com/zrecords/scanner1.jpg

http://www.geocities.com/zrecords/scanner2.jpg

Please e-mail us if you have any questions. Because of the long
weekend , any inquiries sent on Sunday or Monday will be replied on Tuesday.

BUYER ASSUMES SHIPPING AND HANDLING CHARGES. CREDIT CARD PAYMENTS
ACCEPTED. CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS PLEASE ADD 8.25% SALES TAX.
INTERNATIONAL ORDERS OK .

THANKS !
WILLIE R. MORADA
Z ! RECORDS,
STORE IS LOCATED AT:
2908-1/2 FOOTHILL BLVD.
LA CRESCENTA,CA 91214
PHONE:(818)-236-2110
FAX:(818)-236-2117
STORE HOURS:11 AM TO 7 PM PST
WE ACCEPT:
VISA/MC/AMEX/NOVUS/DISCOVER

5299. Re: MPT1327...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 17:44:30 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39B13D56.96D59E57@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80426

No I can appreciate that. Asking what trunking systems are MPT is a bit
like asking where the sun shines brightest.

MC

p@server.net wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:43:36 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >MPT1327 isn't exactly the most popular trunking protocol in the USA.
> >Where you be at?
>
> Hey! guess what, we're not all Americans! We don't all live in the
> USA, and some of us can still speak English anyway! ;->
>
> >
> >MC
> >
> >SHELDON SCOTT wrote:
> >>
> >> Hello.
> >>
> >> I have Winradio WR-1550I, with the trunking option...Can anybody tell me
> >> what trunking systems use the MPT1327 standard???...
> >> Please send all replies directly to my e-mail address.
> >>
> >> Thanks...Sheldon Scott.
> >>
> >> --
> >> --
> >> Click here for Free Video!!
> >> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

5300. Re: Uniden Hanheld Scanner 4 Auction!!!! cheap!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 18:13:48 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8org0g$a2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80427

Its the starting price!!!

In article <20000901115710.07920.00000232@nso-fi.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:
> In article <NmPr5.157208$i5.2281659@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>, "TW."
> <tandb80No-Spm@home.com> writes:
>
> >A great scanner for sale (listen to the racecar drivers, police,
fire, etc)!
> >
> >UNIDEN BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
> >plus 2000 nascar freq included
> >
> >$55.00
>
> How can you list a selling price if the item is currently up for
AUCTION?
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5301. Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 18:44:29 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 80428

one night I heard the dipatcher say "check the freeway for a dog weaving in and out of traffic" the cop said "is it intoxicated?" (drunk) the dispatcher said (while laughing) "quite possibly"

anyone with funny police dispatches post em

Tom

Visit my Genesee County Michigan Scanner Information Page at http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

5302. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:12:12 UTC
From: Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk
Message-ID: <39b14b57.27652910@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 80429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 13:40:54 +1000, larryn@ozemail.com.au wrote:

>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
>Nixon) wrote:
>
>>Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>>>
>>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>>>>:>in the CW band.
>>>
>>>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
>>
>>Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
>>ciphers?

Yes. Much more than you do, I suspect. The amateur licence prohibits
the use of a cipher.

>1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups.
>As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for
>decades.

The only numbers stations I know of are the illicit CIA/FSB/Mossad/MI6
(and other evil organisations) which broadcast instructions to their
spies.

>>>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>>>>of years ago..
>>>
>>>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
>
>3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band
>services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a
>set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency
>allocations and power levels.

Eggsackly. Mind you, all CB-ers are illegal IMHO!

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

5303. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:12:13 UTC
From: Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk
Message-ID: <39b14c54.27905255@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 80430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 1 Sep 2000 19:52:43 -0700, optcamel@ix.netcom.com (Howard Lute) wrote:

>In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
>larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
>> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
>> Nixon) wrote:
>>
>> >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
>> >
>> >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>> >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>> >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>> >>>>:>in the CW band.
>> >>
>> >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
>> >
>Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
>elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
>groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
>in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.

I thought someone said these were AMATEURS broadcasting the numbers!

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

5304. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:12:15 UTC
From: Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk
Message-ID: <39b14ca5.27987075@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 80431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 05:13:57 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>Howard Lute wrote:
>
>> In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
>> larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
>> > On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
>> > Nixon) wrote:
>> >
>> > >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >>>>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>> > >>>>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>> > >>>>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>> > >>>>>>:>in the CW band.
>> > >>
>> > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
>> > >
>> Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
>> elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
>> groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
>> in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
>>
>
>Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I
>wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
>given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
>like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice
>of some sort?

Don't you believe it! The CIA/MI6/Mossad/Cuba and other assorted
spymasters broadcast on HF to their spies abroad, in morse, AM
and SSB. Known as numbers stations or illicit stations. I thought
someone posted to the effect that Amateurs were broadcasting these
numbers - as you say illegal.

Like you, I question why on earth they want to do this in this day
and age. Best answer I got was that the spies were in 'developing
countries' which didn't have satellite or Internet.

Jim, G4RGA

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
nordland at lineone.net
g4rga at thersgb.net

5305. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:17:14 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.141af21467b0e493989b4d@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <39b14c54.27905255@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>,
Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk says...
> On Fri, 1 Sep 2000 19:52:43 -0700, optcamel@ix.netcom.com (Howard Lute) wrote:
>
> >In article <rcs0rssfii5thqthriveop0u4mf5257ljp@4ax.com>,
> >larryn@ozemail.com.au says...
> >> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> >> Nixon) wrote:
> >>
> >> >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> >> >>>>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> >> >>>>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> >> >>>>in the CW band.
> >> >>
> >> >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> >> >
> >> >Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> >> >elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> >> >groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> >> >in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
> >>
> >> I thought someone said these were AMATEURS broadcasting the numbers!
> >>
> >> --
> >> Jim Dunnett
> >>
> >> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
> >> nordland at lineone.net
> >> g4rga at thersgb.net
> >>
> >These broadcasts can use any frequency, Ham or otherwise and, at times,
> >switch to other frequencies in mid-numbers, weird as hell but probably
> >works for them...LOL?
> >--
> >friend,
> >I am: Howard Lute
> >eBay Rating: 698
> >He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> >She: Patient

LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

5306. Wanted All pro-51's for $100 no more no less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:25:56 UTC
From: tacoman97@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902152556.16667.00000137@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 80432

e-mail me for information

5307. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:29:04 UTC
From: doornobs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902152904.02683.00002550@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80433

i heard one today someone called the police b/c the block was closed due to a block party. everyone was laughing

5308. A New Yupi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 19:59:32 UTC
From: neann <neannCUTTHIS@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <E_cs5.18763$A4.75042@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 80435

Group,
Anybody own the new Yupiteru 7300? It looks like it is a fairly decent radio...
Thoughts and comments?

5309. Re: Blue Angels Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 20:34:14 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B164B9.BC695F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80436

I got these from Monitoring Times http://www.grove-ent.com/airshow.html
for the 1999 season but some say 1998 and seem to be different. Have you
varified those frequencies?

I will be going to Redding, CA for their show in September and will be able
to varify most of them.

142.000 Ground support (Comm Cart)
142.625 Ground support (last reported in 1998)
143.600 Ground support
164.900 Ground support
168.900 Ground support (last reported in 1998)
169.400 Ground support (Comm Cart)
170.900 Ground support (Startup/Taxi)
236.450 Usage unknown
238.150 Show center/Delta formation
251.600 Solo aircraft (5-6) air-to-air
256.250 Usage unknown
263.350 Fat Albert (C-130) flight demonstration
263.500 Usage unknown
264.550 Usage unknown (last reported in 1998)
275.350 Diamond aircraft (1-4) air-to-air
286.000 Usage unknown
307.700 Delta formation air-to-ground
345.900 Solo aircraft (5-6) air-to-air

Ken
Los Angeles, CA

George Chaviano wrote:

> Blue Angels
>
> 118.100
> 118.200
> 118.350 - Show Boss Victor
> 121.700 - Ground Support
> 121.900 - Ground Support
> 122.950 - Air to PA
> 123.400 - Common Airshow
> 134.100
> 140.050
> 141.560 - Maintenance Charlie
> 142.000 - Maintenance Alfa
> 142.025 - Maintenance Delta
> 142.260 - Ground

> 142.265 - Ground
> 142.625 - Maintenance
> 143.600 - Maintenance Channel 10
> 143.000 - Maintenance Bravo
> 146.2625
> 163.600
> 164.900 - Ground
> 168.900 - Ground
> 170.000 - Ground
> 170.900 - Ground
> 408.400 - Ground Support
> 418.050 - Ground Support
>
> "Jim Keeling" <insgeeks@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:8on7p6$7kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > The Blue Angels are in St. Louis this weekend for the air show. Can
> anybody
> > tell me the frequencies they use or point me to a web site. Thanks in
> > advance.
> >
> > Jim K. AB0HI
> >
> >

5310. FS: Uniden BCT-7 $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 21:02:07 UTC
From: Christopher Jou <jouc@oit.edu>
Message-ID: <39B16ACF.78A03584@oit.edu>
Source record: 80437

I have a Uniden BCT-7 for sale $100 + $5 shipping. It's an excellent radio and in excellent cosmetic and working condition.

http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BCT7

Chris

5311. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:12:35 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B17B53.BDB3D0F8@zylay.com>
Source record: 80352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> >Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> >elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> >groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> >in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
>
> I thought someone said these were AMATEURS broadcasting the numbers!
>
> --

I think he said that the numbers were being broadcast in the amateur bands
--
Gene Floyd
http://www.zylay.com

"Ride to eat, eat to ride."

5312. Re: Wanted All pro-51's for $100 no more no less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:13:33 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <hYes5.16725$p5.505543@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80438

I have one for $125, keyboard unblocked for full 800 mhz.

Joe

Tacoman97 <tacoman97@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000902152556.16667.00000137@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> e-mail me for information
>

5313. Uniden Bearcat BC200XTL diplay lens replacenent.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:30:43 UTC
From: Robert Barile <rbarile@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <B5D6F703.4F3C%rbarile@bbn.com>
Source record: 80439

Hi All, I recently ordered some repair parts for my BC200XLT. I need to replace the antenna jack. I also ordered the display lens because it has deep scratches.

My question is this: Has anyone had experience in replacing this lens. Looks like you have to pry the old one off and glue on the new one on. I don't want to mangle this so any advice would be very much appreciated. I don't think Uniden will send me instructions with the part.

Thanks

Robert

5314. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:46:49 UTC
From: Bill Gallagher <KS3Y@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8os144$2026$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I probably shouldn't inject my $.02 here...but the USPS will pick up from every business once a day...for 'free'.

Bill
"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message news:wSmwOVSXO+iXXOk=FFjRL6MmtnOR@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 20:43:06 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
> >However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail, >insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> >Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping >charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the >customer asked.
>
> >They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the >post office to send it out
>
> So you expect them to send a paid employee to the post office, pay travel time and wait time, vehicle expenses plus extra admin time to go around their automated efficient computerized shipping system to manually process an exception for you?
>
> If you were an employer you would know this little courtesy you expect for free likely would cost the employer fifty dollars or more in time and expenses. If you disagree, you've never been in business. The *average* cost of an employee to the employer is 2.71 times their salary. Ask me how I know. I employ nine people.
>
> Their job is to get the thing to you, which they are set up to do using a system which has been reliable for them.
>
> You weren't going to pay for shipping charges "whatever they might be". You were willing to pay the dealer's UPS charge, which is probably pretty reasonable if they are doing any kind of volume. You wouldn't pay what it really would cost the dealer to make an exception for you.
>
> You expect a lot from the place who offered to sell it the cheapest. They may make 5 points off the sale to you, after you called 10 other places on their respective 800 #s and beat them all up for the lowest price. The place you called wouldn't be the lowest price if they were

> running all over shipping by whatever method the customer demanded.
>
> They would lose money on that sale to you so they, properly, declined.
>
> And you have the freedom to spout off all over the web and take your
> business elsewhere. I bet none of the dealers lose any sleep over it.
>
> For reference, we ship only by USPS or FEDEX, several hundred a month.
> I'm not defending UPS, just the dealer's decision.
>
> Steve
>
> **********
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **********

5315. Pro-43 CI-V ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:51:50 UTC
From: Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <sr3120mec5d122@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello, just purchased a used Scout 40 and can't pick up cellular or home phones (900MHz, 46Mhz, etc..) Is that normal? Also a CI-V interface be added to a Radio Shack Pro-43? Or do I need a new scanner, AOR 8000, AOR 8200, Icom IC-R10, which would be best. Thanks, ruby@cloud9.net.

Reuben

5316. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:54:11 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b185bd.36091893@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:<snip>
:
:If you use a ham radio as a CB,
:
:Define 'use a ham radio as a CB' please. Other than power and CB band use.

I think that he meant to use equipment, designed for the amateur
radio service, in the 11metre band (and parts lower). Which would
mean illegal usage of a radio, working out of band and illegal usage
of high power.

:> then
:> it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.

5317. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 22:57:08 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b1865e.36252568@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:>> > >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
:>> > >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
:>> > >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
:>> > >>>>:>in the CW band.
:>> > >>
:>> > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
:>> > >
:>> Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
:>> elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
:>> groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
:>> in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
:>>
:>
:>Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I
:>wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
:>given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
:>like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice
:>of some sort?
:
:Don't you believe it! The CIA/MI6/Mossad/Cuba and other assorted
:spymasters broadcast on HF to their spies abroad, in morse, AM
:and SSB. Known as numbers stations or illicit stations. I thought
:someone posted to the effect that Amateurs were broadcasting these
:numbers - as you say illegal.
:
:Like you, I question why on earth they want to do this in this day
:and age. Best answer I got was that the spies were in 'developing
:countries' which didn't have satellite or Internet.

Satellite and the internet allows the tracing of who the message
is sent to. HF does not. The receiver is totally left out of the
picture. At best, the transmitter can be traced which is why these
folks go mobile with ham licenses.

:--

5318. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:03:02 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b1870e.36429008@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

```
:>>>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
:>>>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
:>>>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
:>>>>>:>in the CW band.
:>>>
:>>>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
:>>
:>>Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
:>>ciphers?
:
:Yes. Much more than you do, I suspect. The amateur licence prohibits
:the use of a cipher.

The license forbids jamming but hams have done it.

What it looks like is that foreign nationals or those loyalities
to other countries are getting ham licenses as a cover. Then
broadcasting number messages to whomever.

I do not think the right wing is this smart. But even then this is
a bunch of hams guessing as to why we are seeing (hearing
actually) so many number stations on the toll road east of Chicago.

:>1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups.
:>As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for
:>decades.
:
:The only numbers stations I know of are the illicit CIA/FSB/Mossad/MI6
:(and other evil organisations) which broadcast instructions to their
:spies.
:
:>>>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
:>>>>of years ago..
:>>>
:>>>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
:>
:>3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band
:>services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a
:>set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency
:>allocations and power levels.
:
:Eggsackly. Mind you, all CB-ers are illegal IMHO!

What scares me is that radio is becoming like guns. Something
that is being used for illegal purposes. And that could be scanners,
```

CB, ham radio, even FRS. The drug runners are using scanners and CBs. Spies may be using ham radio. And then there are the militia movements.

Why can't people just behave!!!

5319. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:05:26 UTC
From: Rick Slaugh <rslaugh@tiny.net>
Message-ID: <8Jfs5.8641$6E.2241200@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 80443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39b03d8b.1887176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
>
<ignorant put down deleted>
>
<other irrelavant stuff deleted>
> >
> What is "extra mile" about having something shipped via USPS Priority
> Mail? Explain.
>
<more stuff deleted>
> >
> I offered to pay the additional costs necessary to have it shipped the
> way I wanted, and they refused.
>

Would you really be willing to pay the extra costs incurred for them to ship USPS? I doubt it. Assuming there is a Post Office within 10 minutes of the place of business we have about 30 minutes of travel time to and from the Post office including time to find a parking space which is usually in very short supply at a Post Office. I have been shipping a lot of stuff USPS lately and my average wait and time at the counter is in the 20-30 minute range no matter what time of day I go. So now we are up to an hour of someone's time. If they are being paid $9.00 hr then the burdened rate for the store is probably closer to $25.00 or more but let's be conservative. Then you have the mileage for the employee to drive their own car plus the time it will take them to fill out an expense report which they probably wouldn't have to do otherwise. And these are just the hard costs. What about the lost time that employee should be doing something else? How about the extra time to give them petty cash and then do the extra accounting? A not so creative accountant could probably justify an extra $50 in costs. Are you really willing to pay that?

So why was one store willing to do it? Because it is usually in their best interest to not set someone off who cries foul when they don't get their own way. At least you had enough sense to not name the establishment.

5320. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:05:41 UTC
From: f1dfr@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8os13t$ir2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g06dyb3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Thu, 31 Aug 2000 01:37:33 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >> Where does it say that (chapter and section number, please).
> >
> >I think it's in Radiocommunications Rules R.R 1-7, R.R 1-9,
>
> These are page numbers, not Radio Rule sections.
>
> >R.R 32,
>
> RR 32 defines "Port Operations Service" which has nothing to
> do with amateur radio.
>
> >R.R 1-17, R.R 24-1
>
> More page numbers.
>
> > al.2023 together that made the rule.
>
> RR 2023 deals with the obligation of licensees to ensure secrecy
> of communications......
>
> Try again, mon ami. I do this for a living.
>

The references I have indicated are the PERFECT ONES for the legal
problem for a ham to own a wideband receiver and using it as a spectrum
analyzer (with the SCOPE function) to avoid to radiate/control spurious
(as it is legally required).
The ONLY problem is to KNOW how to read/use them and it seems that you
are not a specialist to do that ... :-)
Unfortunately I have no time to waste to learn you how to use these
radiocommunications rules in a court of justice. Pay some US lawyers,
they will explain that to you ... An article have been written about
that some months ago in the french ham magazine MEGAHERTZ.

JM F1DFR

> >If I say so it's because we have the same legal problem in France
with
> >the criminal code article R.226 (forbidding some equipments) and that
> >legal argument has been studied by lawyers.

>
> French law and legal processes are radically different from US
> communications law. I for one know this because I was trained
> as a regulatory legal and engineering specialist by someone who
> was trained under the French Administration at a time when it
> considered that the average citizen needed access to a radio
> tramsnitter as much as to a machine gun <ggg>
>
> In the US, one is required only to ensure that spurious signals
> are not being transmitted, and there is no requirement that any
> specific piece of equipment be on hand to ensure that. Indeed,
> one can borrow equipment to do this, or can even rely on off-the-air
> observation by a fellow ham or commercial engineering service.
>
> >Making QRM with spurious is illegal, so you must have permanently
(even
> >in portable) an equipment to monitor that you didn't radiate
spurious.
> >The cheapest equipment to monitor spurious with frequency display, is
a
> >wideband RX, so its use is not illegal because nobody can obligate
you
> >to buy an EXPENSIVE portable spectrum analyzer.
>
> Rest assured, though, that if one is found transmitting
> spurious signals, s/he will be cited for the violation
> regardless of access to a wideband receiver, a spectrum
> analyzer, the entire HP Laboratory, or none of the above.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5321. Re: "mobil radio resources" & Robert Kelty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:08:50 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <8os1brjid1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, his books are available through HRO..

Trish
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

mike <mikekut@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:39A88F0C.9699738F@earthlink.com...
> I have a couple of terrific government radio system guides by Robert
> Kelty published by Mobile Radio Resources in 1990. Would love to get
> updated editions. Do Robert and Mobile Radio Resources still publish
> this?
>
> Thanks
>

5322. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:15:32 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902191532.22809.00000448@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 80446

In article <N_0s5.3951$B54.10147@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> writes:

>Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma Highway >Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in Okla. >City.

Truly a tragic loss in the cqase of the trooper. Fortunately, the perps were also killed in the process. Saved the taxpayers a lot of money on trials, court-appointed lawyers, and the inevitable endless appeals to follow.

5323. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:18:49 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b18858.36759323@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

I contacted the Elkhart city clerk (the Bremen State Police Post
files all their traffic tickets into Elkhart). What happened was that
Josh Church had to go back to court a second time to show proof
of having a ham license. At that point all charges were dropped.
Case # 20H01-0005-CM-05634, Illegal possession of a police
radio-monitor-scanner, IC 35-44-3-12

As I expected, there was only one appellate case on this section
of law, and it only dealt with the possession of a radar detector
(radar detectors are not assumed to be police radios so case
dismissed). As of yet, no one has raised a case to a higher court
that would define the limits of the law.

What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
the cops. So the cops are now stoping anything with an antenna on it.

And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
-Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.

Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio. Even
hams. I do not like having to prove that I am a good guy. Even
volunteer fire fighters are now getting the "evil eye". In a society
where no one knows anyone else, everyone is looked at as dangerous.

Too many young cops (average cop only stays on the force for 5 years).
No connections to the community like the old timers had. Too gung ho.

And too many bad guys using my hobbies (guns and radios) to violate
our communites. Somehow we hams need to make our skills available
to the cops to help stop the crooks.

::snip
::
::If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
::the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
::obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
:
:There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
:stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
:in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
:in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who

:overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
:was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
:
:If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
:troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
:cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
:
:Hello everyone, I just seen this post about this particular ham being
:arrested for having a police scanner in his automobile. Considering
:that I
:live in Indiana and go under the call of W9SUN which is my FCC
:assigned call
:sign for usage in the amateur service, I would like to make a few
:comments.
:I have read the law from the state of Indiana regarding possession of
:a
:scanner. It is not illegal for an amateur radio operator to possess a
:scanner in the state of Indiana and use it in a mobile setting. The
:key to
:this is that state law says that an amateur operator who has been
:granted a
:license from the Federal Communication Commission can possess one as
:long as
:they are a current FCC licensee. As for myself, I often carry a
:scanner with
:me whereever I go just for the hell of it. Anyone in Indiana can have
:a
:scanner in their house but in a car is a different story. For you to
:be able
:to activate a scanner in a car you must have either approval according
:to
:state law or something like being an Amateur Radio operator. So I
:think what
:this really comes up to is that either he was doing something else
:illegal
:or just a dumbnut officer who didnt know what was going on. Stuff like
:this
:needs to be checked out by the ARRL and the FCC and the locals and
:state
:officers need to be informed of the laws, not just make arrests based
:on
:possession. Its about as silly as being stopped for having an antenna,
:I
:guess that maybe they think people are using police radios. It would
:be that
:a 2 meter antenna would be very close in frequency to the police bands
:here
:locally. Down below I will post the actual law as found in the Indiana
:Code.
:So I think that this is either regarding to other illegal activity or

:just a
:cop that didnt know his head from his rear. Thanks for your comments.
:
:IC 35-44-3-12
: Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
: (1) possesses a police radio;
: (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
:purposes; or
: (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
: (A) while committing a crime;
: (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
: (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;
:commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.
: (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
: (1) a governmental entity;
: (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
: (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are
:used in
:emergency service;
: (4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are
:used in
:emergency service;
:
:
:---
:----
: (5) a person who has written permission from the chief
:executive
:officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;
: (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the
:Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting
:over a
:frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;
: (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's
:dwelling
:or place of business;
: (8) a person:
: (A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering activities;
: (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive
:legal
:advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed
:commercial or
:public radio or television station; and
: (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief
:executive officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which
:the
:employer's principal office is located;
: (9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or
:selling
:police radios; or

: (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the
:normal
:course of the person's lawful business.
: (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is
:capable of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies
:assigned
:by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency purposes
:and
:that:
: (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or
: (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
:individual.
:The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.
:As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
:
:Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>...
:>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:02:13 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
:>: From the Popular Communications September issue:
:>:
:>: There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
:>: anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
:>: of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
:>
:>I grew up in Indiana, and even way back when I was a kid there were
:>regulations against having a scanner in a vehicle (there are similar
:>regulations in many other states).
:>
:>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
:>wrecker operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures.
:> It had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners
:>at home were not prohibited.
:>
:>I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
:>but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and
:>showed them my license.
:>
:>Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?
:
:
:
:
:

5324. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:19:26 UTC
From: Ed Angiolillo <trekm371@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39B18AFE.DA58AFB9@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not to add to the misery, but I saw a news story this morning about a Cincinnati policeman who was dragged to death stopping a 12-year old boy from driving. He shot and killed the boy before succumbing to his own injuries.

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma Highway
> Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in Okla.
> City. The audio, while somewhat fuzzy, is available on the KFOR-TV website
> at the link below. Scroll down below the main picture for a link to the
> audio clip.
>
> http://www.msnbc.com/local/KFOR/47521.asp#BODY
>
> I was up late and heard this, but didn't have the recorder on myself.
> This is a horrible loss to the law enforcement community (of which I'm a
> former member), so please, show some respect in any comments you make.
>
> Mark K5MAR
> Former 911/radio dispatcher - Stillwater Police Dept.

--
"'Tis better to live free in anarchy, then to do die shackled and without rights!"

5325. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-02 23:24:41 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b18d41.38016293@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement

Update:

I contacted the Elkhart city clerk (the Bremen State Police Post
files all their traffic tickets into Elkhart). What happened was that
Josh Church had to go back to court a second time to show proof
of having a ham license. At that point all charges were dropped.
Case # 20H01-0005-CM-05634, Illegal possession of a police
radio-monitor-scanner, IC 35-44-3-12

As I expected, there was only one appellate case on this section
of law, and it only dealt with the possession of a radar detector
(radar detectors are not assumed to be police radios so case
dismissed). As of yet, no one has raised a case to a higher court
that would define the limits of the law.

What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
the cops. So the cops are now stoping anything with an antenna on it.

And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
-Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.

Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio. Even
hams. I do not like having to prove that I am a good guy. Even
volunteer fire fighters are now getting the "evil eye". In a society
where no one knows anyone else, everyone is looked at as dangerous.

Too many young cops (average cop only stays on the force for 5 years).
No connections to the community like the old timers had. Too gung ho.

And too many bad guys using my hobbies (guns and radios) to violate
our communites. Somehow we hams need to make our skills available
to the cops to help stop the crooks.

:From the Popular Communications September issue:
:
:There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
:anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
:of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
:(No, the words "police scanner" aren't ours, but rather the Hoosier
:States'). If you've got a tendency to boil over easily, better not
:read this month's "Tuning In" on page 4, because Indiana bureaucrats
:don't know a radio from a box of Dole bananas.

:
:I have tried to talk to Mr. Church to get more details of the arrest.
:I have also spoke with the 1st Sgt of the PAO division of the Indiana
:State Police. The best I can get from the cops is that the ISP
:assumes that anyone with a radio is spying on them. No recognition
:for the public service that hams give (the state's emerency management
:agency disargees), no recognition that banning scanners is going to
:cause cops to have to buy units from Motorola for nearly $4000 to do
:the same function of inter agency monitoring.
:
:Just a fear that the public is out of control.
:
:BTW, I did check. This section of law has only had two appellate
:cases. And nothing that deals with hams. I guess hams are going to
:have to give more money to the legislature to buy exemptions.
:
:
:

5326. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 00:04:53 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39B195A5.40350062@blinx.de>
Source record: 80353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Floyd schrieb:

>
> I think he said that the numbers were being broadcast in the amateur bands
> --
> Gene Floyd
> http://www.zylay.com
>

again: AFAIK military and 'gouvernment' services are ALLOWED to broadcast on
EVERY freqs in any mode they want, regardles the freqs are admitted to.

This IS fact in germany and I do not believe it is different in other
NATO-countries. Not to mention on countries with totalitary gouvernments..

odo -germany-

5327. PRO-91 Mailing list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 00:11:09 UTC
From: pro-91-owner@onelist.com
Message-ID: <8FA3CF9BBpro91@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 80354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This list discussed the PRO-91 Scanner, sold by Radio Shack

http://www.egroups.com/subscribe/pro91

enjoy!

5328. F.S/F.T. Bc890xlt Price reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 00:27:48 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8os5tp$ns6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80356

I have reduced the price of the scanner to $250.00 shipped to your door.Check earlier message 09/01/00 for desciption etc.I well be posting it on Ebay if not sold by Sunday.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5329. Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 00:39:56 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39B19DDC.A4820035@ios.com>
Source record: 80355

I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?
Thanks!

Frank Carson

5330. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:04:39 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b1a345.43652788@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:>What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
:>radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
:>using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
:>the cops.
:
:Bull. You can't offer ANY proof of that.

That is what the cops are saying. Go ask Trooper Brant Clam, the PAO
of the Bremen Post. Or talk to the Elkhart city clerk. That is what
they are arresting people for. Using CBs and scanners to avoid
detection by the police. Look at this thread. Several people have
reported being stopped by the cops for having radios, all because the
drug runners are using them.

:>And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
:>license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
:>-Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
:>number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.
:
:And BECAUSE it's in 'or near' the Ham bands,
:you assume it's somone WITH a Ham license??

No, because I personally saw a guy with ham plates
blow by me, jamming the front end of my receiver with
a number type mesage in CW on the lower end of 20.
Others upstate are reporting the same thing.

:>Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio.
:
:The Cops wouldn't know a numbers station if it was transmitting
:in their car!

There are a number of ISP troopers who are also military intelligence
analysts in the Guard or the Reserves. I would expect that they have
some exposure to signal intelligence.

:And they have LITTLE authority to do anything about it even if
:they find such a station.

They can gun you down if you mouth off. It is called resisting
arrest.

5331. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:08:07 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b1a391.16957959@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Would you really be willing to pay the extra costs incurred for them to ship
>USPS? I doubt it. Assuming there is a Post Office within 10 minutes of the
>place of business we have about 30 minutes of travel time to and from the
>Post office including time to find a parking space which is usually in very
>short supply at a Post Office. I have been shipping a lot of stuff USPS
>lately and my average wait and time at the counter is in the 20-30 minute
>range no matter what time of day I go. So now we are up to an hour of
>someone's time. If they are being paid $9.00 hr then the burdened rate for
>the store is probably closer to $25.00 or more but let's be conservative.
>Then you have the mileage for the employee to drive their own car plus the
>time it will take them to fill out an expense report which they probably
>wouldn't have to do otherwise. And these are just the hard costs. What about
>the lost time that employee should be doing something else? How about the
>extra time to give them petty cash and then do the extra accounting? A not
>so creative accountant could probably justify an extra $50 in costs. Are you
>really willing to pay that?
>
>So why was one store willing to do it? Because it is usually in their best
>interest to not set someone off who cries foul when they don't get their own
>way. At least you had enough sense to not name the establishment.
>
I didn't "cry foul" with them, nor did I do it here.....simply
relating an experience, somewhat "tongue in cheek" to stimulate some
discussion. I think I have succeeded, despite taking a few hits from
the newsgroup police.

Your example makes the point, and of course I am not going to pay for
employees time at the post office, lines, etc. I don't do it with
Grove, where I buy alot of stuff, and they always send it to me USPS,
Priority, to my PO Box, no questions asked. I was willing to pay ALL
USPS costs, and reasonable handling charges. They declined.

Like I said, business is good.

Dan
>
>
>

5332. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:19:06 UTC
From: chow@aol.com
Message-ID: <chow-0209002019060001@172.18.22.64>
Source record: 80359

In article <20000902191532.22809.00000448@nso-md.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:

> In article <N_0s5.3951$B54.10147@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma Highway
> >Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in Okla.
> >City.
>
> Truly a tragic loss in the cqase of the trooper. Fortunately, the perps were
> also killed in the process. Saved the taxpayers a lot of money on trials,
> court-appointed lawyers, and the inevitable endless appeals to follow.

Actually, one of the "perps" was the 15 year old nephew of the driver, who
had gone with his uncle to help him jump-start a car, don't know if it was
the one they were in or another one.

5333. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:22:49 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <bq93rs8af8lalsis7pjaj24mb8apvlsn3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 80360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Internet tracing can be foiled, scrambled, and got around very very easily.

I won't go into details about how, because the last thing we need is children, and those who behave like children, posting under assumed identities.

Just my two cents.

-SSB

On or about Sat, 02 Sep 2000 22:57:08 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO), using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:
:Satellite and the internet allows the tracing of who the message
:is sent to. HF does not. The receiver is totally left out of the
:picture. At best, the transmitter can be traced which is why these
:folks go mobile with ham licenses.
:
::--

5334. How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:24:40 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <QAhs5.5412$B54.13832@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80361

Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to people in far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this subject will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!

Adam Kolari

5335. HELP WANTED - New Recruits for the Newsgroup Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:29:49 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b1a6ed.17818986@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hello all...

Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again....

Recent experience has shown a need to begin recruiting new members for the Radio Newsgroup Police.

Unfortunatley, these positions do not come with salary or benefits. You will however, have the satisifaction in knowing that your efforts will keep these groups the intelligent, open and free exchange of ideas we currently enjoy.

Requirements :

1. Must be able READ English at a 3rd grade level . (Some exceptions have been made for recruits in the past).

2. Must be able to write at a 3rd grade level . (ditto)

3. Must be pompous.

4. Must have the ability to read someone's post and determine whether the contents amount to "Whining".

5. Must be able to issue "points" to posters for their threads.

6. Must be able to identify "Trolls", and dispatch same at all costs.

Your duties will include scanning the groups for triviality, bullshit, mediocrity, and general poor taste. All based on the standards set by the Newsgroup Police, of course.

If interested, please submit your application publicly for review. Acceptance to be decided by the current Newsgroup Police membership, anonymous as they always are......

8)

5336. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:34:59 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39B1AB0A.878A6A73@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rick Slaugh wrote:
>
> Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:39b03d8b.1887176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> >
> >
> <ignorant put down deleted>
> >
> <other irrelavant stuff deleted>
> > >
> > What is "extra mile" about having something shipped via USPS Priority
> > Mail? Explain.
> >
> <more stuff deleted>
> > >
> > I offered to pay the additional costs necessary to have it shipped the
> > way I wanted, and they refused.
> >
>
> Would you really be willing to pay the extra costs incurred for them to ship
> USPS? I doubt it. Assuming there is a Post Office within 10 minutes of the
> place of business we have about 30 minutes of travel time to and from the
> Post office including time to find a parking space which is usually in very
> short supply at a Post Office. I have been shipping a lot of stuff USPS
> lately and my average wait and time at the counter is in the 20-30 minute
> range no matter what time of day I go. So now we are up to an hour of
> someone's time. If they are being paid $9.00 hr then the burdened rate for
> the store is probably closer to $25.00 or more but let's be conservative.
> Then you have the mileage for the employee to drive their own car plus the
> time it will take them to fill out an expense report which they probably
> wouldn't have to do otherwise. And these are just the hard costs. What about
> the lost time that employee should be doing something else? How about the
> extra time to give them petty cash and then do the extra accounting? A not
> so creative accountant could probably justify an extra $50 in costs. Are you
> really willing to pay that?
>
> So why was one store willing to do it? Because it is usually in their best
> interest to not set someone off who cries foul when they don't get their own
> way. At least you had enough sense to not name the establishment.

Excuse me for pointing this out, but....you're entire argument is
based on the inconvenience and cost of going to the Post Office to
ship.

This argument is rendered invalid in light of the fact that USPS picks up. At no charge. There are no extra costs, and no inconvenience.

Considering that their track record is better that UPS by an order of magnitude and their rates are lower by almost half, your position is not tenable. The business in question could have easily shipped by USPS and pocketed a profit on the deal over UPS. With no loss of convenience, or expended effort.

5337. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:37:42 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b1ab04.18865304@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Excuse me for pointing this out, but....you're entire argument is
>based on the inconvenience and cost of going to the Post Office to
>ship.
>
> This argument is rendered invalid in light of the fact that USPS
>picks up. At no charge. There are no extra costs, and no
>inconvenience.
>
> Considering that their track record is better that UPS by an order
>of magnitude and their rates are lower by almost half, your position
>is not tenable. The business in question could have easily shipped
>by USPS and pocketed a profit on the deal over UPS. With no loss of
>convenience, or expended effort.

.......Not too mention goodwill, and the future business I would have
given this dealer.

Thanks for pointing this out, Peter.

I'm just about out of breath with this!

Dan

5338. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:52:58 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8osau5ndu1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80366

Here's from www.arrl.org - one of the leading ham radio organizations in the US:

A FUN Hobby...

Ham radio operators use two-way radio stations from their homes, cars, boats and outdoors to make hundreds of friends around town and around the world. They communicate with each other using voice, computers, and Morse code. Some hams bounce their signals off the upper regions of the atmosphere, so they can talk with hams on the other side of the world. Other hams use satellites. Many use hand-held radios that fit in their pockets.

Hams exchange pictures of each other using television. Some also like to work on electronic circuits, building their own radios and antennas. A few pioneers in Amateur Radio have even contributed to advances in technology that we all enjoy today. There are even ham-astronauts who take radios with them on space shuttle missions and thrill thousands of hams on earth with a call from space!

With a SERIOUS Side...

Using even the simplest of radio setups and antennas, amateurs communicate with each other for fun, during emergencies, and even in contests. They handle messages for police and other public service organizations during all kinds of emergencies including:

Hurricanes
Earthquakes
Tornadoes and floods
Motorist accidents
Fires and chemical spills
Search and rescues

Check out their Frequently asked questions page at
http://www.arrl.org/ead/hamfaq.html

Harry Marnell

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:QAhs5.5412$B54.13832@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
> explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to people
in
> far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this
subject
> will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
>

> Adam Kolari
>
>

5339. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 01:59:51 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39b1aeb8.968587@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 80365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:

>On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 13:40:54 +1000, larryn@ozemail.com.au wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
>>Nixon) wrote:
>>
>>>Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
>>>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
>>>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
>>>>>:>in the CW band.
>>>>
>>>>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
>>>
>>>Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
>>>ciphers?
>>
>>Yes. Much more than you do, I suspect. The amateur licence prohibits
>>the use of a cipher.

Let's not get into a pissing contest about who knows more about
ciphers, eh? Suffice it to say that I'm a 25 year IT pro with enough
math to understand the topic pretty throughly. As a licensed amateur
(General) I also know the regs on coded transmissions.

>
>>1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups.
>>As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for
>>decades.
>
>The only numbers stations I know of are the illicit CIA/FSB/Mossad/MI6
>(and other evil organisations) which broadcast instructions to their
>spies.
>
>>>>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>>>>>of years ago..
>>>>
>>>>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
>>
>>3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band

>>services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a
>>set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency
>>allocations and power levels.
>
>Eggsackly. Mind you, all CB-ers are illegal IMHO!
>
>--
>Jim Dunnett
>
>amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk
>nordland at lineone.net
>g4rga at thersgb.net

As I noted in a private e-mail, the amateur bands probably aren't too prone to official monitoring for content. In most cases, CW acts as a cipher even for amateurs, if sent at sufficient speed. It would be good cover. A mobile will serve to confuse RDF monitoring and the band mentioned (14 mHz) is open world-wide almost all day and night.

Russ

Russ Nixon - KF4WXD
"If I can see further it is because I stand on the shoulders of giants."
Issac Newton

5340. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:04:42 UTC
From: n2ogm@aol.com
Message-ID: <39b1b1bd.6001004@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These are the freqs to hear both sides in the older 40Mhz range:

43.7200
43.7400
43.8200
43.8400
43.9200
43.9600
44.1200
44.1600
44.1800
44.2000
44.3200
44.3600
44.4000
44.4600
44.4800
46.6100
46.6300
46.6700
46.7100
46.7300
46.7700
46.8300
46.8700
46.9300
46.9700

On Sat, 2 Sep 2000 09:52:53 +0100, "Mr C" <goldy@cliff90.fsnet.co.uk>
wrote:

>I can hear both sides of the conversation
> Mr.C
>Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
>> Hello,
>>
>> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
>> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
>ends
>> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
>> frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of the
>> conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in
>advance.

>> Mark.
>>
>>
>
>

5341. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:09:41 UTC
From: mrbbuster@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902220941.21918.00000502@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 80368

When you are talking about hearing one side of the conversion you are hearing
the hand set most likly a 49.Mhz freq try these for 2 way conversion the
base freq's
46.61 46.63 46.67 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.93 46.97

49.Mhz are used for baby monitors and cordless phone hand sets

here are the new freq's for newer cordless phones

43.72 43.74 43.82 43.84 43.92 43.96 44.12 44.16 44.18 44.20
44.32 44.36 44.40 44.46 44.48

Good luck

5342. FS pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:34:10 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8osd9klgv1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80369

In exelent working condition, clean with no mods comes with antenna and manual...$200. shipped or will trade for a nice SW receiver.

Gil N2WJW

5343. Using the Scout-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:46:07 UTC
From: Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <sr3epbhcc5d77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does the AOR 8000, 8200 or Icom IC-R10 did to be modified to use the CI-V interface with a Scout-40. Thanks..

Reuben

5344. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:46:29 UTC
From: n2ogm@aol.com
Message-ID: <39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80370

It is much more then talking to far away places. Ham's are helpers in a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in disaster struck areas. They are inventers of new technology and communications methods. They offer communications assistance with public activities and public services. And most I've met are just good people. Look around your area for a local club or someone already involved in Ham radio to see for yourself, most will be happy to explain what Ham radio is all about.

On Sat, 2 Sep 2000 21:24:40 -0400, "Adam Kolari"
<rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:

>Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
>explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to people in
>far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this subject
>will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
>
>Adam Kolari
>
>

5345. PL TONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 02:48:28 UTC
From: mrbbuster@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000902224828.13091.00000948@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 80371

Where can I get a list of PLtones for a company. scanner books list some
tired of hearing a bunch of companys on one freq

5346. Using the Scout-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:00:38 UTC
From: Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <sr3fkj5jc5d165@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The question should read:

Does the AOR 8000, 8200 or Icom IC-R10 need to be modified to use the CI-V interface with a Scout-40? Thanks..

Reuben

5347. Re: Battery Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:15:41 UTC
From: Tom Tretheway <tomt@ncal.verio.com>
Message-ID: <39B1C2CA.396F468C@ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 80374

What a wonderful resource the web is. Thanks so much for the advise....Tom

digimon wrote:

> The best adviced I read about the NiMH batteries was "1000 charges [newer
> batteries -- older about 500] is what you get, whether or not you charge it
> from dead state or partly discharged state" , i.e. every time you plug it in
> counts as a charge cycle.
>
> So far, I have been treating my NiMH pack accordingly and it has surprised
> (the sceptic in) me about how well they perform -- I am a new true believer.
>
> yeah, I would like to keep the 245 plugged in and only use the batteries
> when I need them, but it isn't the case, once I converted, life is the same
> :)
>
> cheers
>
> "Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message
> news:39B07F0E.BBDECE4F@ncal.verio.com...
> > Thanks for the info and I'll pick up a NiHH pack, but can the NiMH battery
> pack
> > be left on charge or will they suffer the same fate as the NiCads? What I
> liked
> > about the Pro 34, was that whatever the state of charge of the NiCads, if
> I
> > needed to go mobile, I could always toss 6 AA's in my pocket and take off
> and
> > not worry about running out of power. IMHO Uniden really handicapped the
> 245's
> > utility by not using standard AA cells, NiCads or otherwise. I appreciate
> your
> > your valuable opinion.
> > Tom
> >
> > digimon wrote:
> >
> > > I am not a battery expert, but I did get a BC245 last July (99). I too,
> > > kept it plugged in at home.
> > > Batteries lasted almost 8 months. So yes, you do kill them that way.
> > >
> > > You can rig a bypass, but what I did is buy a NiMH battery pack. I now
> only
> > > charge it when the batteries go down. And that is every 4-5 days. I
> use it

> > > every day -- I NEVER drive to work/store/anywhere without it. toss
> those
> > > NiCd batteries and get a NiMH pack. you probably noticed that the
> NiCds do
> > > not last anywhere near as long. I never could get more than a day out
> of
> > > them, best thing I did was overcharge and kill it.
> > >
> > > cheers
> > >
> > > "Tom Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39AF3914.B9FD77D1@ncal.verio.com...
> > > > Will I risk over charging the nicad pack on my BC 245 by keeping the
> > > > charger plugged in while the radio is on? My old RS Pro 34 had two
> > > > separate power inputs, one for charging and one for just power. I
> could
> > > > listen to the radio "plugged in" without worry about overcharging the
> > > > batteries. With the BC 245 there is only one input, "charge" and I
> > > > worry about overcharging. I hate running off batteries when I could
> > > > keep fresh power in the radio and run off AC when at home. Also, the
> > > > charger voltage is 12 volts for 4, 1.4 volt cells in series. I assume
> > > > the radio actually runs on the 5.6 volts the batteries deliver. If I
> > > > were to rig up a battery bypass, I assume I'd need a 5.6 volt power
> > > > supply. I love the radio but don't care for the way it's powered.
> Are
> > > > there any battery experts out there who could answer these questions?
> > > > Thanks in advance.
> > > > Tom Tretheway
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

5348. Re: HELP WANTED - New Recruits for the Newsgroup Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:18:39 UTC
From: John & Norma Adamson <josttech@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <39B1C4B3.89911140@mediaone.net>
Source record: 80376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Well stated and thanks.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> Hello all...
>
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again....
>
> Recent experience has shown a need to begin recruiting new members for
> the Radio Newsgroup Police.
>
> Unfortunatley, these positions do not come with salary or benefits.
> You will however, have the satisifaction in knowing that your efforts
> will keep these groups the intelligent, open and free exchange of
> ideas we currently enjoy.
>
> Requirements :
>
> 1. Must be able READ English at a 3rd grade level . (Some exceptions
> have been made for recruits in the past).
>
> 2. Must be able to write at a 3rd grade level . (ditto)
>
> 3. Must be pompous.
>
> 4. Must have the ability to read someone's post and determine whether
> the contents amount to "Whining".
>
> 5. Must be able to issue "points" to posters for their threads.
>
> 6. Must be able to identify "Trolls", and dispatch same at all costs.
>
> Your duties will include scanning the groups for triviality, bullshit,
> mediocrity, and general poor taste. All based on the standards set by
> the Newsgroup Police, of course.
>
> If interested, please submit your application publicly for review.
> Acceptance to be decided by the current Newsgroup Police membership,
> anonymous as they always are......
>
> 8)

5349. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:20:47 UTC
From: Scot <scotgd@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39B1C391.5E1725DE@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> :<snip>
> :
> :If you use a ham radio as a CB,
> :
> :Define 'use a ham radio as a CB' please. Other than power and CB band use.
>
> I think that he meant to use equipment, designed for the amateur
> radio service, in the 11metre band (and parts lower). Which would
> mean illegal usage of a radio, working out of band and illegal usage
> of high power.

Thanks, that's what I thought. The out of band stuff is silly, but the
law, oh well.
>
> :> then
> :> it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.

5350. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:21:15 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B1C3AB.57E86D60@cts.com>
Source record: 80377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> :>What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
> :>radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
> :>using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
> :>the cops.
> . That is what
> they are arresting people for. Using CBs and scanners to avoid
> detection by the police. Look at this thread. Several people have
> reported being stopped by the cops for having radios, all because the
> drug runners are using them.

Please people...those of us here that have experience with both sides of
the dope trade are just plain laughing right now.

Domestic drug numbers stations based in Indiana
and using mobiles on CW???

Hams being arrested or detained???

Drug runners in and around the ham bands???

And the cops in Indiana are stupid enough to believe it???

First off.....
The Indiana cops probably turned the musings of a tweaker (meth user)
into a pretty tall tale, after finding a CB in his truck after a search
and arrest.

Second...
NO drug cartel worth a 10 spot of crack is going to use CW (smoke
signals) to move the product around in the states. If they are stupid
enough to use a ham radio then they must really be amateur.

Third...
Ham radio operators make crappy drug runners. Do I really have to
qualify that.

Fourth...
Felix Areallano and his brother can afford to buy encrypted astros from
Motorola S.A de C.V. with no questions asked if needed.

> :>And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
> :>license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
> :>-Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
> :>number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.

Sunspots playing tricks.

> I personally saw a guy with ham plates
> blow by me, jamming the front end of my receiver with
> a number type mesage in CW on the lower end of 20.
> Others upstate are reporting the same thing.

Or just another idiot jammer...
>
> :>Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio.

At the most the cops are dealing with a major meth problem in the
midwest and some of the tweakers have been arrested and in possession of
some box with a mic attached...the word spread among the patrol ranks
that "the tweakers were on CB"(big surpass eh).

When the DEA and FCC start pulling over cars with antennas then you
MIGHT have something to really worry about.

There are plenty other reasons why this is nothing more than a fantasy
in it's current form.

I'm not saying that radios are NOT used...but any decent smuggler knows
the proper use of radio equipment and freq spectrum for any give
task.

Remember they have a lot more money and resources than the Indiana cops.
Except for the tweakers...they are poor and stupid.

If you put a "tweakers suck" bumper sticker on you car, they would most
likely leave you alone.

> :The Cops wouldn't know a numbers station if it was transmitting
> :in their car!

Yah Huh....they have a special doggie for that.

> There are a number of ISP troopers who are also military intelligence
> analysts in the Guard or the Reserves. I would expect that they have
> some exposure to signal intelligence.

They can probably tune in a signal and listen...but the military is not
really trained real well in drug interdiction, let alone domestic drug
interdiction. The fact the police have those people on staff does little
good if the PD does not provide monitoring hours and a shitload of
equipment.
>
> :And they have LITTLE authority to do anything about it even if
> :they find such a station.

And trying to prove it was used to evade cops would be fun also.
>
> They can gun you down if you mouth off. It is called resisting
> arrest.

Jee
In california the cops just beat you.

Markj

5351. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:26:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g0a4n61.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 23:05:41 GMT, f1dfr@my-deja.com wrote:

>> Try again, mon ami. I do this for a living.
>>
>
>The references I have indicated are the PERFECT ONES for the legal
>problem for a ham to own a wideband receiver and using it as a spectrum
>analyzer (with the SCOPE function) to avoid to radiate/control spurious
>(as it is legally required).
>The ONLY problem is to KNOW how to read/use them and it seems that you
>are not a specialist to do that ... :-)
>Unfortunately I have no time to waste to learn you how to use these
>radiocommunications rules in a court of justice. Pay some US lawyers,

Mon ami, I am both a communications engineer and
communications lawyer with 30 years on the FCC staff and 14
years in private practice, including 3 years of dealing
directl;y with the ITU/IFRB on a daily basis.

>they will explain that to you ...

I'm the one who teaches this stuff to other lawyers !!!!

I'm not going to waste any more of my time and the groups'
space to deal with you on this.

"Know before whom you stand...."

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5352. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:56:52 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <ePjs5.5470$B54.14109@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80379

Thanks for the quick replies, fellows! I have one more small question for ya. Say I'm a ham and I feel like talking to someone. Do I just fire up my radio and find conversation to join? Thanks again!

Adam Kolari

<n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message news:39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>
> It is much more then talking to far away places. Ham's are helpers in
> a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in
> disaster struck areas. They are inventers of new technology and
> communications methods. They offer communications assistance with
> public activities and public services. And most I've met are just good
> people. Look around your area for a local club or someone already
> involved in Ham radio to see for yourself, most will be happy to
> explain what Ham radio is all about.
>
>
> On Sat, 2 Sep 2000 21:24:40 -0400, "Adam Kolari"
> <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:
>
> >Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
> >explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to people in
> >far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this subject
> >will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
> >
> >Adam Kolari
> >
> >
>

5353. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:57:05 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B1CC11.8C73FA3F@cts.com>
Source record: 80380

Bonnie the CHP dispatcher in L.A. (and one of the voices heard on "chips") told me this one...

"We recieved a B.O.L. from the L.A.P.D. about an armed robbery. None of the dispatchers wanted to read the description over the air, so I did. The description included the bumper sticker on the car.
"I LUV MUFFDIVING"

I don't know if they ever found the car."

markJ

5354. Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 03:57:47 UTC
From: to@att.net
Message-ID: <39b1cb79.18995933@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80381

Well, KSC is getting ready to launch the space shuttle Atlantis on
Sept. 8 for an 11 day mission to the ISS.

Anybody know any frequencies they're using??

Last time I saw a landing I noticed someone using a scanner in the
800mhz band. I was unable to find out the exact freqs though.

Thanks for any info,

-=Tom=-

5355. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 04:08:21 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B1CEB5.A577F8BF@cts.com>
Source record: 80382

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
> explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to people in
> far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this subject
> will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
>
> Adam Kolari

Adam
They are all drug dealers based in Indiana. It's a big friggin dope ring
that uses ham radio as a primary means of contact. If you join this gang
(they say club) you will get pulled over by the fuzz and twisted up into
a total bummer man.

markj

5356. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 04:26:08 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B1D2E0.F3D67922@cts.com>
Source record: 80383

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Thanks for the quick replies, fellows! I have one more small question for
> ya. Say I'm a ham and I feel like talking to someone. Do I just fire up my
> radio and find conversation to join? Thanks again!
>
> Adam Kolari

Yes, it is that easy.
Just go to radio shack and ask for a ham radio or even two since you
will want to talk to a friend.
Next you look in the phone book under motorola service center and get
them to install the unit and antenna. Motorola will do it right and real
cheap.

When it's installed you just turn it on and pick a frequency that is
open...any one will do since they all sound the same. have your buddy
set the same frequency and push the button on nthe mic to talk.

Some hams have call letters,but if you use the radio for personal and
small business use(under $50.000 a year) you don't need call letters.

If they get pissed at you and say shit like "i'm gonna track you down"
don't worry ...just kick their asses...it's pretty easy.

If you want to know how to talk real far on repeaters email me and I
will give you some tips on tone surfing.
what is really cool is some people put dial tones on the radio so you
can make free phonecalls.
>
> <n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > It is much more then talking to far away places. Hamburgers's are helpers in helping
make a great meal!
> > a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in
> > disaster struck areas.

Whatever dude.

5357. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 04:30:31 UTC
From: Gil Jones <jonesgil@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8osk58$6b0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <8obmso$rm8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kal4cu@my-deja.com wrote:
> What is the point of your comment on BTW a drunk? You dumb ass. Who
> appointed you GOD??

My my - a foul mouth.
But to answer your query - no one
appointed me "GOD".

It is just significant that Elliot Ness -
Champion of truth, decency and the American way,
defender of all goodness and mercy -
upholder of the prohibition on alcohol in the 1920s
and whose sole claim to faim was in being someone
who knows better than the average citizen -
Should die in the depth of the alcohol that
he so selfrightesly fought to prohibit citizens
from obtaining.

Eliot was also a principal purpetuator of the 1934
National Firearms Act - an act which has been used
to deny citizens other civil rights.

Get the point? (Or are you just stupid?)

Gil

<snippage>
> > The WACO assault by the BATF was entirely in the Clinton
> > adminstration. If you are saying that the laws that were used
> > as an excuse to justify the original raid were in place during
> > the Bush adminstration - those laws were put in place in 1934.
> > And that adminstration was democrat.
> >
> > (thank you Elliot Ness - BTW he died a drunk)
> >
> > >de Norm KA2TYT

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5358. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 04:33:01 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000903003301.18007.00002253@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 80384

Just do what I do.. skip those messages.. when I see messages mentioning this Mackey person and so-and-so is making a fool of himself, etc... I just ignore them. I don't even know what the bickering is about because I've skipped it all. I'm sure it's way off thread from the original topic.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5359. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 05:20:33 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000903012033.20272.00001009@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 80387

i heard one saying "theres a Knights of Columbus (religious group) bus showing pornos on a 25 inch screen in the window"

it was on April fools day so i think it was a prank.

after the call i heard a cop say "woohoo count me in"

5360. Re: PL TONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 05:33:07 UTC
From: Grover Keith <gfkeith@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39B1E292.85625FDD@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80386

There are several ways, use radios like the ICOM R-2 or Radio Shacks Pro 92
or 2067. The Radio Shack radio's will automaticly show the PLs. The other
way is to use an Optoelectronics meter or their OPTOCOM.
Good Luck
Keith
Mrbbuster wrote:

> Where can I get a list of PLtones for a company. scanner books list some
> tired of hearing a bunch of companys on one freq

--

5361. Re: Ground an Antenna in the Attic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 05:34:20 UTC
From: postaljeep@email.com
Message-ID: <39b1e266.12089473@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 80388

As an electric company lineman with many years of experience with lightening, grounding an antenna will convert it to a lightening rod!

On Wed, 30 Aug 2000 02:20:56 GMT, andyman@noneofyourbeeswax.com (andyman) wrote:

>I have a RS Omnidirectonal Multi-element dipole 20-044 antenna and
>need to know if I should ground the antenna. I am mounting it in my
>attic. Will grounding the antenna improve it's performance, like a
>ground plane antenna? Or is grounding only for safety issues? Is it
>unsafe to have an ungrounded antenna in an attic? Which type of
>antenna should be grounded indoors, what about a discone?
>
>Thanks for everyone's help,
>Andy

5362. Re: Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 06:00:06 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8ospco$3d9c$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80389

Hi Tom!

A search using "shuttle frequencies" ought to turn up lots of links for
you--here's just one for a listing at the Grove Enterprises site:
http://www.grove-ent.com/nasa.html

Hope this helps, and that you get lucky!

Donald Reeve

"Tom Orle" <to@att.net> wrote in message
news:39b1cb79.18995933@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, KSC is getting ready to launch the space shuttle Atlantis on
> Sept. 8 for an 11 day mission to the ISS.
>
> Anybody know any frequencies they're using??
>
> Last time I saw a landing I noticed someone using a scanner in the
> 800mhz band. I was unable to find out the exact freqs though.
>
> Thanks for any info,
>
> -=Tom=-

5363. Re: PL TONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 06:03:38 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B1EA06.6EED6931@home.com>
Source record: 80390

Ok, so I can get PL codes with my 2067. Now the question is, how do I set a channel so that I only hear one particular PL instead of all the PL IDs which use the same frequency?

Grover Keith wrote:

> There are several ways, use radios like the ICOM R-2 or Radio Shacks Pro 92
> or 2067. The Radio Shack radio's will automaticly show the PLs. The other
> way is to use an Optoelectronics meter or their OPTOCOM.
> Good Luck
> Keith
> Mrbbuster wrote:
>
> > Where can I get a list of PLtones for a company. scanner books list some
> > tired of hearing a bunch of companys on one freq
>
> --

5364. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 06:09:40 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8ospvgrrl1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80393

Here's the REAL answer, Adam: Yes. Usually all you have to do is get on your favorite local repeater and give out your callsign, like "N6URU, monitoring." Often as not, even if you're a new ham, someone will come back and say hello/where are you/what's your name etc. Once you get to recognize each other by callsign and name, conversations just spring up. Many places, either ham clubs or just groups of hams will have unofficial get-togethers over coffee or lunch. As someone mentioned, find a local ham club and go to one of their meetings...newcomers are always welcome, and don't worry if a lot of the lingo is kinda strange at first: all hobbies seem to be that way.

One thing I like about it is that you can be as involved as you choose...I mainly just like to chat with my buddies; I happen to also be a train fan, and there are lots of hams/trainfans that use their ham radios to report unusual trains, locations & such, or to coordinate for good photo locations. I'm not interested much in the technical stuff, so I just do the things I like.

Harry / N6URU

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:ePjs5.5470$B54.14109@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Thanks for the quick replies, fellows! I have one more small question for
> ya. Say I'm a ham and I feel like talking to someone. Do I just fire up
my
> radio and find conversation to join? Thanks again!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> <n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > It is much more then talking to far away places. Ham's are helpers in
> > a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in
> > disaster struck areas. They are inventers of new technology and
> > communications methods. They offer communications assistance with
> > public activities and public services. And most I've met are just good
> > people. Look around your area for a local club or someone already
> > involved in Ham radio to see for yourself, most will be happy to
> > explain what Ham radio is all about.
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 2 Sep 2000 21:24:40 -0400, "Adam Kolari"
> > <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:
> >

> > >Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
> > >explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to
people
> in
> > >far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this
> subject
> > >will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
> > >
> > >Adam Kolari
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

5365. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 06:28:59 UTC
From: ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
Message-ID: <39B1EFAB.2AD13464@aol.net>
Source record: 80391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Just Bud wrote:

> 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
> >radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
> >using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
> >the cops.
>
> Bull. You can't offer ANY proof of that.

I am sending this to you personally and I hope you don't mind. As a former cop I can say N9NWO is correct. Recently on I-70 on the west side of Indiana, state troopers have busted a few major narc trucks. In two cases the back-up officers noticed one car sitting on the side of the road. Both had what they call a K-40 (I think) whip antennas and in both these incidents the back-up officer called into dispatch to have these cars checked out. By the time a third officer arrived where these cars where pulled off on the shoulder, they were gone. Something else to ponder: One of the trucks stopped contained about 1500 lbs. of coke worth about $18 million. Now it's not that hard to imagine some sort of _insurance_ would be included with that amount of money involved.

5366. Re: Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 06:41:13 UTC
From: flamingo <flamingo@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Ooms5.2547$k77.51000@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 80392

```
Last time i monitored i was listening in on amateur 146.MHZ band
"Tom Orle" <to@att.net> wrote in message
news:39b1cb79.18995933@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, KSC is getting ready to launch the space shuttle Atlantis on
> Sept. 8 for an 11 day mission to the ISS.
>
> Anybody know any frequencies they're using??
>
> Last time I saw a landing I noticed someone using a scanner in the
> 800mhz band. I was unable to find out the exact freqs though.
>
> Thanks for any info,
>
> -=Tom=-
```

5367. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 07:00:50 UTC
From: Strabo <strabo@flashmail.net>
Message-ID: <39B1F722.388C0C6E@flashmail.net>
Source record: 80394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> I contacted the Elkhart city clerk (the Bremen State Police Post
> files all their traffic tickets into Elkhart). What happened was that
> Josh Church had to go back to court a second time to show proof
> of having a ham license. At that point all charges were dropped.
> Case # 20H01-0005-CM-05634, Illegal possession of a police
> radio-monitor-scanner, IC 35-44-3-12
>
> As I expected, there was only one appellate case on this section
> of law, and it only dealt with the possession of a radar detector
> (radar detectors are not assumed to be police radios so case
> dismissed). As of yet, no one has raised a case to a higher court
> that would define the limits of the law.
>
> What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
> radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
> using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
> the cops. So the cops are now stoping anything with an antenna on it.
>
> And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
> license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
> -Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
> number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.
>
> Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio. Even
> hams. I do not like having to prove that I am a good guy. Even
> volunteer fire fighters are now getting the "evil eye". In a society
> where no one knows anyone else, everyone is looked at as dangerous.
>
> Too many young cops (average cop only stays on the force for 5 years).
> No connections to the community like the old timers had. Too gung ho.
>
> And too many bad guys using my hobbies (guns and radios) to violate
> our communites. Somehow we hams need to make our skills available
> to the cops to help stop the crooks.

Let's see. Some one rides around listening to a scanner.
Cops don't like it so they, what, steal (they call it confiscate,
sounds better to them) the scanner, or they arrest him (for what?).
If there is no case there is no case and there is no law
that would stand up in court against listening to a radio,
any kind of a radio. If they believe he's involved with

drugs, then they must prove it (or bribe an informer).

Some states had laws against CBs in the 1960's. Just
took 'em right out of the car and smashed on the road. Same
with radar detectors. And now they're starting to pull the
same thing with Hams.

The first thing to understand is that if the cops really thought
drugs were involved then they could take the license number, put
a tail on him and he will eventually lead them to witness
something illegal. But to pull people over and take radios
or charge them with some "offense" means that they have
resurrected the old policy. They're after the Hams because
they are easy pickings and represent the worst of the "evil"
public, they can communicate.

LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
being different and able to do things and know things
that the average person does not. They also crave power,
the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
of the public because their original motivation to become
a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.

Hams are another group of elitists. They like the idea that
they are privileged characters just like the cops. But they
don't have the same amount of power as the LEOs. Since drugs
have now been linked with radios, radios are targets and
privileged radios are the easiest. They get points for this
stuff you know and the Feds pay each jurisdiction money for
Federal "violations".

Since there is no real law against radios, the state/local
policy could be changed by the Governor or AJ in a matter
of hours. They won't because of the political points and the
Federal payoff.

>
> ::snip
> ::
> ::If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
> ::the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
> ::obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
> :
> :There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> :stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> :in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> :in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
> :overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
> :was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
> :

> :If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
> :troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
> :cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
> :
> :Hello everyone, I just seen this post about this particular ham being
> :arrested for having a police scanner in his automobile. Considering
> :that I
> :live in Indiana and go under the call of W9SUN which is my FCC
> :assigned call
> :sign for usage in the amateur service, I would like to make a few
> :comments.
> :I have read the law from the state of Indiana regarding possession of
> :a
> :scanner. It is not illegal for an amateur radio operator to possess a
> :scanner in the state of Indiana and use it in a mobile setting. The
> :key to
> :this is that state law says that an amateur operator who has been
> :granted a
> :license from the Federal Communication Commission can possess one as
> :long as
> :they are a current FCC licensee. As for myself, I often carry a
> :scanner with
> :me whereever I go just for the hell of it. Anyone in Indiana can have
> :a
> :scanner in their house but in a car is a different story. For you to
> :be able
> :to activate a scanner in a car you must have either approval according
> :to
> :state law or something like being an Amateur Radio operator. So I
> :think what
> :this really comes up to is that either he was doing something else
> :illegal
> :or just a dumbnut officer who didnt know what was going on. Stuff like
> :this
> :needs to be checked out by the ARRL and the FCC and the locals and
> :state
> :officers need to be informed of the laws, not just make arrests based
> :on
> :possession. Its about as silly as being stopped for having an antenna,
> :I
> :guess that maybe they think people are using police radios. It would
> :be that
> :a 2 meter antenna would be very close in frequency to the police bands
> :here
> :locally. Down below I will post the actual law as found in the Indiana
> :Code.
> :So I think that this is either regarding to other illegal activity or
> :just a
> :cop that didnt know his head from his rear. Thanks for your comments.
> :

> :IC 35-44-3-12
> : Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
> : (1) possesses a police radio;
> : (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
> :purposes; or
> : (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
> : (A) while committing a crime;
> : (B) to further the commission of a crime; or
> : (C) to avoid detection by a law enforcement agency;
> :commits unlawful use of a police radio, a Class B misdemeanor.
> : (b) Subsection (a)(1) and (a)(2) do not apply to:
> : (1) a governmental entity;
> : (2) a regularly employed law enforcement officer;
> : (3) a common carrier of persons for hire whose vehicles are
> :used in
> :emergency service;
> : (4) a public service or utility company whose vehicles are
> :used in
> :emergency service;
> :
> :
> :-----
> :----
> : (5) a person who has written permission from the chief
> :executive
> :officer of a law enforcement agency to possess a police radio;
> : (6) a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the
> :Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting
> :over a
> :frequency assigned for police emergency purposes;
> : (7) a person who uses a police radio only in the person's
> :dwelling
> :or place of business;
> : (8) a person:
> : (A) who is regularly engaged in newsgathering activities;
> : (B) who is employed by a newspaper qualified to receive
> :legal
> :advertisements under IC 5-3-1, a wire service, or a licensed
> :commercial or
> :public radio or television station; and
> : (C) whose name is furnished by his employer to the chief
> :executive officer of a law enforcement agency in the county in which
> :the
> :employer's principal office is located;
> : (9) a person engaged in the business of manufacturing or
> :selling
> :police radios; or
> : (10) a person who possesses or uses a police radio during the
> :normal
> :course of the person's lawful business.

> : (c) As used in this section, "police radio" means a radio that is
> :capable of sending or receiving signals transmitted on frequencies
> :assigned
> :by the Federal Communications Commission for police emergency purposes
> :and
> :that:
> : (1) can be installed, maintained, or operated in a vehicle; or
> : (2) can be operated while it is being carried by an
> :individual.
> :The term does not include a radio designed for use only in a dwelling.
> :As added by Acts 1977, P.L.342, SEC.1. Amended by P.L.162-1994, SEC.1.
> :
> :Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$UZAC$0@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> :>On Sun, 27 Aug 2000 01:02:13 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> :>: From the Popular Communications September issue:
> :>:
> :>: There's been a lot of talk lately about exempting hams from state
> :>: anti-mobile-monitoring laws, but the debates aside, Josh Church, N9VKS
> :>: of Indiana was ticketed for illegal possession of a police scanner.
> :>
> :>I grew up in Indiana, and even way back when I was a kid there were
> :>regulations against having a scanner in a vehicle (there are similar
> :>regulations in many other states).
> :>
> :>The stated reason for the law was to put a crimp in the style of the
> :>wrecker operaters who would otherwise show up at accident scenes like vultures.
> :> It had nothing to do with the police not wanting to be heard, since scanners
> :>at home were not prohibited.
> :>
> :>I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on my car,
> :>but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham radio and
> :>showed them my license.
> :>
> :>Could there be more to this story than the article is telling?
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :

5368. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 07:18:32 UTC
From: rbowen@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <C5FBD8620754E2B3.63B88CC4169FBA1F.0E85F8D2F2DDAD82@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 80395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Something else to
>ponder: One of the trucks stopped contained about 1500 lbs. of coke worth
>about $18 million. Now it's not that hard to imagine some sort of
>_insurance_ would be included with that amount of money involved.

Then there would be a bunch of dead cops, if that was the
case. What's the use of insurance, if they are not going to
use it? To verify the cops scored 18 million?

Rick Bowen
TSRA Life member
NRA Member

5369. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 07:44:41 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Pens5.5540$B54.10761@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80396

"Chow" <chow@aol.com> wrote in message
news:chow-0209002019060001@172.18.22.64...
> In article <20000902191532.22809.00000448@nso-md.aol.com>,
> toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:
>
> > In article <N_0s5.3951$B54.10147@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
> > <markinokla@juno.com> writes:
> >
> > >Early Thursday morning a Oklahoma City police officer, a Oklahoma
Highway
> > >Patrol trooper, and 2 suspects were killed in a fiery crash on I-40 in
Okla.
> > >City.
> >
> > Truly a tragic loss in the cqase of the trooper. Fortunately, the perps
were
> > also killed in the process. Saved the taxpayers a lot of money on
trials,
> > court-appointed lawyers, and the inevitable endless appeals to follow.
>
> Actually, one of the "perps" was the 15 year old nephew of the driver, who
> had gone with his uncle to help him jump-start a car, don't know if it was
> the one they were in or another one.

Just to clarify the story: The OKC-PD officer, (Officer Jeffery D.
Rominger), while responding to a request for additional officers on a
traffic stop by a OHP unit on I-40, apparently attempted to pull over a
Firebird for reasons that may never be known. The Firebird was driven by a
41 year old subject (Patrick Kiplinger), who had a lengthy felony record
spanning 22 years, including jail escape, burglary, kidnapping and ADW. In
the car with Kiplinger was his 15 year old nephew, who had no previous
criminal history. After dodging back and forth on city streets, Kiplinger
entered I-40 on an exit ramp, traveling eastbound in the westbound lanes.
Rominger followed with lights and siren on, requesting that westbound
traffic be shut down. Less than 30 seconds later, Kiplinger clipped a
westbound semi, bringing his car to a halt. A westbound OHP trooper
(Trooper Matthew S. Evans), who was responding to the OHP traffic stop and
had no knowledge of the pursuit, struck the Firebird and went airborne,
landing on top of the OKC-PD unit. One of the vehicles exploded on impact
and caught the other two on fire. Both occupants of the Firebird were
thrown from the car on impact and died at the scene. Trooper Evans died at
the scene. Officer Rominger died a short time later at the hospital. There
is no evidence at this time to suggest that the nephew was involved in any
criminal activity. The uncle may have had further criminal charges pending

at the time, the paperwork had apparently been presented by city detectives to the D.A.

A fund has been started for Trooper Evans' family by the Oklahoma Dept. of Public Safety. Information on that should be at the website listed (KFOR-TV) or email me and I'll get the details

5370. Re: Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 07:56:19 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Qpns5.5541$B54.14114@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80297

"flamingo" <flamingo@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:Ooms5.2547$k77.51000@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> Last time i monitored i was listening in on amateur 146.MHZ band

> "Tom Orle" <to@att.net> wrote in message
> news:39b1cb79.18995933@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> > Well, KSC is getting ready to launch the space shuttle Atlantis on
> > Sept. 8 for an 11 day mission to the ISS.
> >snip<<

Latest word I got via the ARRL website (awhile back) was that the SAREX
(Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment) (Hope I got that right!) has been
suspended and no further Amateur Radio transmissions will be made until the
Int. Space Station is activated. However, some ham clubs do rebroadcast
shuttle transmissions as a public service. Sorry I can't help with direct
reception info, might try the Monitoring Times website.

Mark K5MAR

5371. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 11:54:23 UTC
From: ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
Message-ID: <39B23BEF.FB8F5CB0@aol.net>
Source record: 80298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

rbowen wrote:

> Something else to
> >ponder: One of the trucks stopped contained about 1500 lbs. of coke worth
> >about $18 million. Now it's not that hard to imagine some sort of
> >_insurance_ would be included with that amount of money involved.
>
> Then there would be a bunch of dead cops, if that was the
> case. What's the use of insurance, if they are not going to
> use it?

Well, if they wouldn't use humans, then maybe the insurance would work. No one knows who these guys are supposed to kill. Maybe they are just supposed to kill other drug runners. The only thing I can say is that it would be somewhat hard to get in a gun battle from your car without practice. I mean you have cars doing 70+ and your going to pull up behind a cop who is already alert that there could be a chase car and start shooting?

> To verify the cops scored 18 million?

Sorry, www.starnews.com ran the story, but their search engine is not bringing it up.

5372. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 12:10:15 UTC
From: ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
Message-ID: <39B23FA7.D8F7449E@aol.net>
Source record: 80299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Just Bud wrote:

> ryan <nomoremail@aol.net> hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >I am sending this to you personally and I hope you don't mind. As a
> >former cop I can say N9NWO is correct. Recently on I-70 on the west side
> >of Indiana, state troopers have busted a few major narc trucks. In two
> >cases the back-up officers noticed one car sitting on the side of the
> >road. Both had what they call a K-40 (I think) whip antennas and in both
> >these incidents the back-up officer called into dispatch to have these
> >cars checked out. By the time a third officer arrived where these cars
> >where pulled off on the shoulder, they were gone. Something else to
> >ponder: One of the trucks stopped contained about 1500 lbs. of coke worth
> >about $18 million. Now it's not that hard to imagine some sort of
> >_insurance_ would be included with that amount of money involved.
>
> Guess the spotters didn't work very well, did they??

They are not spotters, they are cars that stay behind. Well I guess they could spot cars coming up the interstate, but they stay a mile behind. The reason I heard was that there were originally used so that the occupants in the drug car would not give hugh sentences because no guns would be found in the drug car. Who knows the truth. I bet drugs don't even exist and the pigs have used sugar in every single photo of confiscated cocaine!!! Yea that has to be it. Just like NASA made up going to the moon to get more funding.

> Drug dealers would have to be totally stupid to use easily visible spotters
> and easily monitored freqs for the spotters.

They are only 'easily visible' because they pull off the side of the road. Also I have heard NOTHING about using ham radios, they use CBs. They stay on channels that are not normally used. I for one see no reason to lie, I mean our state cops said there are chase cars and your opinion is that they make it up for money could be true, but when your state is not going to give you more money then why keep making it up? Let me guess, you think at some point some off-duty cops will shoot one of their own to make this look like a chase car? Then the cops will get their money. Yea I bet that is it.

> Cops will say ANYTHING that helps them get extra funds, and I say that
> having several good friends who ARE cops.

Yes, but they are not getting funds. So when some cop gets shot by a chase car I am going to demand an internal investigation because it's probably other cops shooting their co-workers cause they need and want that money so bad. $18 million and you people act like this is total fucking bogus and no one would

ever do this. Well if I fucking had just a few hundred dollars I would be packing. The thing is I would be defending my life if someone tried to take my money, no fear of jail (as long as the gun grabbers don't get their nazi gun laws passed). These guys who are in these chase cars (and your right, it may be a total lie) have to take into account that they will murder a cop. It would be totally premeditated so that means death penalty here in Indiana.

5373. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 12:15:09 UTC
From: rbowen@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <E2FD7F8185C2E9F3.2378A5C2BA51DEDF.4840C6F325EC9432@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 80300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 03 Sep 2000 11:54:23 GMT, ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
wrote:

>
>
>rbowen wrote:
>
>> Something else to
>> >ponder: One of the trucks stopped contained about 1500 lbs. of coke worth
>> >about $18 million. Now it's not that hard to imagine some sort of
>> >_insurance_ would be included with that amount of money involved.
>>
>> Then there would be a bunch of dead cops, if that was the
>> case. What's the use of insurance, if they are not going to
>> use it?
>
>Well, if they wouldn't use humans, then maybe the insurance would work. No
>one knows who these guys are supposed to kill. Maybe they are just supposed
>to kill other drug runners. The only thing I can say is that it would be
>somewhat hard to get in a gun battle from your car without practice. I mean
>you have cars doing 70+ and your going to pull up behind a cop who is already
>alert that there could be a chase car and start shooting?

With fully automatics vs. his 9mm pea shooter? Yeah.
Especially if it means losing 18 million dollars of someone
else's drugs/money.

Rick Bowen
TSRA Life member
NRA Member

5374. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 12:19:45 UTC
From: ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
Message-ID: <39B241E0.A5537E03@aol.net>
Source record: 80301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Strabo wrote:

> LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
> being different and able to do things and know things
> that the average person does not. They also crave power,
> the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
> of the public because their original motivation to become
> a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
> that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
> of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.

Well this is why I am getting out of the job. I don't like the attitude you describe and your right, it is there and it's getting worse. I cannot believe it but some of my co-workers favor the assault weapons ban. However I also disagree. I do see myself as the thin blue line. I mean why the fuck don't more people take care of things themselves. Without us, people in major cities wouldn't know what to do. Everyone in m.s. wouldn't need police. However I often wonder when I get calls of a man beating off in traffic why there are no civilians around to help enforce the law? I mean in some areas (mostly rural) people would come out of their houses and take care of the problem. I guess that's why I would much rather work in a rural county than a city, however the money is usually in city police work. Why? Because most citizens are sheeple and don't want to take care of things themselves. I understand it's my job to take care of problems, but when citizens keep brushing everything off they freak when the cops talk blue flu, etc. Damn I don't know what half the people in Indy would do if the cops walked off the job. I guess for some people we are that blue line, for others were are there for assistance, but they know their protection lies in their own hands.

5375. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 12:32:41 UTC
From: rbowen@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <E8D953BF018B8271.777ADE371CD03081.EE49325009A1B3E6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 80302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 03 Sep 2000 12:19:45 GMT, ryan <nomoremail@aol.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Strabo wrote:
>
>> LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
>> being different and able to do things and know things
>> that the average person does not. They also crave power,
>> the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
>> of the public because their original motivation to become
>> a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
>> that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
>> of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.
>
>Well this is why I am getting out of the job. I don't like the attitude you describe
>and your right, it is there and it's getting worse. I cannot believe it but some of my
>co-workers favor the assault weapons ban. However I also disagree. I do see myself as
>the thin blue line. I mean why the fuck don't more people take care of things
>themselves. Without us, people in major cities wouldn't know what to do. Everyone in
>m.s. wouldn't need police. However I often wonder when I get calls of a man beating
>off in traffic why there are no civilians around to help enforce the law? I mean in
>some areas (mostly rural) people would come out of their houses and take care of the
>problem. I guess that's why I would much rather work in a rural county than a city,
>however the money is usually in city police work. Why? Because most citizens are
>sheeple and don't want to take care of things themselves.

It's called being sued or getting shot.

I understand it's my job to
>take care of problems, but when citizens keep brushing everything off they freak when
>the cops talk blue flu, etc. Damn I don't know what half the people in Indy would do
>if the cops walked off the job. I guess for some people we are that blue line, for
>others were are there for assistance, but they know their protection lies in their own
>hands.
>

Rick Bowen
TSRA Life member
NRA Member

5376. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 13:05:52 UTC
From: "Garry W. Watts" <gwwatts@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B24D68.1325@home.com>
Source record: 80303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Unforunately, I would agree with the dealer (having an idea who it is)... I recently tried to ship a pacakge to a customer that purchased a scanner from me and he wanted it sent the the USPS! After 3 trips to the post office I told the custoner to take it UPS or the sale was off! The USPS is becoming a bunch of flaming idiots and they wonder why they are losing thier rears, even though all the big shooters now get "Large Bonuses" every year. Lots of new rules in place at the post office and they are "STUPID & CLUELESS".. My wife works for the post office and she even cehcked at her office and no one understands the rules and what they mean, each office and employee seems to have different opinions. SHIP UPS!!!!

Garry
Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
>

5377. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 14:00:46 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <PJensen@badsector.com>
Message-ID: <ZHss5.5588$B54.13969@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>>> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
>>> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
>>ends
>>> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
>>> frequencies.

If you listen to the base units, you'll hear both sides.

5378. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 14:24:19 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.141bfeeb3a485e34989b56@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <39B241E0.A5537E03@aol.net>, nomoremail@aol.net says...
>
>
> Strabo wrote:
>
> > LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
> > being different and able to do things and know things
> > that the average person does not. They also crave power,
> > the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
> > of the public because their original motivation to become
> > a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
> > that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
> > of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.
>
> Well this is why I am getting out of the job. I don't like the attitude you describe
> and your right, it is there and it's getting worse. I cannot believe it but some of my
> co-workers favor the assault weapons ban. However I also disagree. I do see myself as
> the thin blue line. I mean why the fuck don't more people take care of things
> themselves. Without us, people in major cities wouldn't know what to do. Everyone in
> m.s. wouldn't need police. However I often wonder when I get calls of a man beating
> off in traffic why there are no civilians around to help enforce the law? I mean in
> some areas (mostly rural) people would come out of their houses and take care of the
> problem. I guess that's why I would much rather work in a rural county than a city,
> however the money is usually in city police work. Why? Because most citizens are
> sheeple and don't want to take care of things themselves. I understand it's my job to
> take care of problems, but when citizens keep brushing everything off they freak when
> the cops talk blue flu, etc. Damn I don't know what half the people in Indy would do
> if the cops walked off the job. I guess for some people we are that blue line, for
> others were are there for assistance, but they know their protection lies in their own
> hands.
>
>
While I understand you rant...I cannot understand your preference for
vigilantes over a call for the Police to do what they're paid to do. Odd.
--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 698
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

5379. BC950 4 Sale $110 Shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 14:50:10 UTC
From: John Burricelli <mrzog@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Cyts5.6469$U41.488707@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a clone of the BC760XLT. Unit comes with the indoor antenna, auto wiring and mounting bracket. The power connector on the scanner is a little flakey and sometimes you need to play with it to get power but aside from that the unit works fine.

5380. Re: BC245XLT (Older unit with delay): $140 shipped or B/O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 14:51:46 UTC
From: John Burricelli <mrzog@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6Ats5.6473$U41.486962@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Comes with the Unit, original Antenna, power adapter & interface cable.

"John Burricelli" <mrzog@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:nzts5.6471$U41.488677@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>
>

5381. Allentown, PA EDACS LCN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 17:41:25 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ou2g4$ki0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80308

Allentown, PA is in the process of switching to an EDACS trunking
system. If anyone knows the LCN (frequencies in the correct order)
please post them). IDs would
also be appreciated.

Thanks....Bob

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5382. WTB AOR SDU 5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 17:56:14 UTC
From: Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <edM8$$cFAHA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 80309

WTB: AOR SDU 5500

Reply: KENJD@nospammsn.com

(reasonable)

5383. Re: Newest RS scanner FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:01:34 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <2mws5.22229$C42.921146@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80310

Piece of ___ only covers to 512 mhz.

It looks just like the one's the shack is clearancing for $20 and $30 except
that it supposedly has 200 memories.

Joe

KDS957064 <kds957064@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000903134103.10454.00000211@ng-cp1.aol.com...
> The seller says that this scanner is so new that it isn't listed in the
> catalog. Any input about this scanner?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421820303
>

5384. AA Alkaline battery test page (2 brands added)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:11:42 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000903141142.16905.00001418@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 80311

I've added a couple more battery brands to the AA Alkaline test page results.
Check it out at:
http://scanshack.netfirms.com/batrvw.htm

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
and much more !!!

5385. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:30:42 UTC
From: jason carr <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <2h55rs8pjc5h3sbsvn243ivirl6l78vc4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 80312

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:19:06 -0500, chow@aol.com (Chow) wrote:

>Actually, one of the "perps" was the 15 year old nephew of the driver, who
>had gone with his uncle to help him jump-start a car, don't know if it was
>the one they were in or another one.

It doesn't matter. "dead cop" = "right cop", which is to say that no
matter how the KIA went down, the cop becomes an instant hero/martyr
and the maudlin wailing and flagwaving begins.

I've never understood cop-haters or cop-worshipers. Cops are people,
prone to the same kinds of productive and non-productive behaviors.
Why mythologize them one way or another?

--
L.V.X., frater mus
work - http://www.mousetrap.net/ngs/ cgi, database backends
play - http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/ the Great Work, Honda
Civic wagon and Spree scooter, eBay FAQ, guitar, ferret

5386. Re: Newest RS scanner FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:38:59 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <7Vws5.16902$Z4.591999@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 80313

Page 99 bottom left hand corner, of the 2001 catalog, it retails for $139 .
Covers 29-54 108-174 380-512. PC programmable (no computer control,
download only). Dual Conversion, 25 channels per sec. scan rate. 50 Steps
per sec. search rate.

"KDS957064" <kds957064@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000903134103.10454.00000211@ng-cp1.aol.com...
> The seller says that this scanner is so new that it isn't listed in the
> catalog. Any input about this scanner?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=421820303

5387. Re: Pottersville PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:49:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ou6eseim0@63.162.247.221>
Source record: 80314

Actually, if you had noticed, the mailing list has only
recently been created. News of it had not been released
to the membership, so there is only one subscriber
at this time.

But, I guess it is time to start announcing it, thanks for the
publicity!

Pargradio wrote:

>
> List Name PARG (PARG)
> Purpose: The REAL PARG mailing list. For the Pottersville
> Amateur Radio Group, an international group dedicated to enhancing the art of
> Radio.
>
> This group is in no way connected to the PARG,
> Phantoms amateur radio group of KS0F fame, we deal with radio, not sexual
> problems.
> List Type: Unmoderated discussion
> Subscription: Does not require owner approval
> Archive: Readable by anyone
> Created: Aug 26, 2000
> Owner: Blake Bowers
> To Join: Subscribe here, or send an email to PARG-subscribe@topica.com
> To Post: Send mail to 'PARG@topica.com'
> Stats: 1 subscriber / < 1 messages per week
> Categories: Hobbies | Amateur & Ham Radio
>

5388. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:49:23 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39B28E1E.D296F8DB@some.net>
Source record: 80315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

I think some of you spend too much time watching NYPD Blue

5389. WTB: scanner (modified) that receives all the frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:52:05 UTC
From: harlyworkr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000903145205.05062.00000389@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 80316

I am looking for a allready modified scanner that receives all the frequencies.
Page me @ 888-247-4913
Mike

5390. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:53:21 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ou6n1eim1@63.162.247.221>
Source record: 80317

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Are you saying that I'm a troll, or that "markj" is a troll? I knew this
> wasn't a ham NG, but I didn't think my question would cause too much
> trouble. Sorry if it did.
>

Adam,

It looks like you have gotten some real good information, and we hope
that you decide to join the ranks of HAMS.

There will however be some groups in HAM radio that cling
to elitist thinking, and they are quick to call people to
task for not conforming with what they think.

Ignore them, they are the lunatic fringe of HAM radio.

> "Pargradio" <pargradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000903113624.02651.00002538@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> > Looks like a troll but you may want to check out www.parg.org and

5391. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 18:58:36 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <44xs5.5747$B54.16116@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80318

"jason carr" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message
news:2h55rs8pjc5h3sbsvn243ivirl6l78vc4o@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:19:06 -0500, chow@aol.com (Chow) wrote:
>
> >Actually, one of the "perps" was the 15 year old nephew of the driver,
who
> >had gone with his uncle to help him jump-start a car, don't know if it
was
> >the one they were in or another one.
>
> It doesn't matter. "dead cop" = "right cop", which is to say that no
> matter how the KIA went down, the cop becomes an instant hero/martyr
> and the maudlin wailing and flagwaving begins.
>
> I've never understood cop-haters or cop-worshipers. Cops are people,
> prone to the same kinds of productive and non-productive behaviors.
> Why mythologize them one way or another?
>
Not mythologizeing (is that even a word?) them, just showing them the
respect they've earned. There are very few "bad" cops killed in the line of
duty. Most a good people, with families, mortgages, etc., all the things
most people have in their life. Yet they willingly take on a job that
subjects them to the contempt and scorn, if not outright hatred of their
fellow citizens, knowing it is possible that they might not come home from
the day's (or night's) job. You've got to really love your work to put up
with the hours, the abuse, and the low pay. When an officer is killed in
the line of duty, don't you think it's proper that we show them some of the
respect we denied them when they were alive. It won't help them, but it
might make their mothers, fathers, wives, husbands, sons or daughters feel
that there was some good reason for what happened, that their death wasn't
meaningless.
Is it so much to ask, a little respect?

Mark K5MAR

5392. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 19:13:05 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39B2A2C1.D0A6F172@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 80322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

UPS stands for "United Pilfer Service". UPS had long had a "we don't give a shit" attitude. They are often late, frequently damage shipments and act like they couldn't care less when it comes to customer service and complaints. Not only that, it takes least a month to get CODs paid. One time it took over 3 months to get my COD money from UPS.

I have never had a problem with USPS Priority. Given the choice, I'll take USPS or FedEx. Everyone I deal with at our post office is knowlegeable about shipping, I ship USPS at least once a week.

So, have you pissed on a UPS truck today?

"Garry W. Watts" wrote:

> Unforunately, I would agree with the dealer (having an idea who it
> is)... I recently tried to ship a pacakge to a customer that purchased
> a scanner from me and he wanted it sent the the USPS! After 3 trips to
> the post office I told the custoner to take it UPS or the sale was off!
> The USPS is becoming a bunch of flaming idiots and they wonder why they
> are losing thier rears, even though all the big shooters now get "Large
> Bonuses" every year. Lots of new rules in place at the post office and
> they are "STUPID & CLUELESS".. My wife works for the post office and
> she even cehcked at her office and no one understands the rules and what
> they mean, each office and employee seems to have different opinions.
> SHIP UPS!!!!
>
> Garry

5393. Re: Want Info Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 19:40:17 UTC
From: Dan <dan_in_md@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BOxs5.121835$eS6.1210570@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 80319

First, get the registered version of Bruce Pope's software. Then Edit the bandplan to make the two ranges with the "flag" field of "40h" only .1 Mhz wide or so. That should do it.

Dan

"Boyd " <boyd@humboldt1.com> wrote in message news:9Evs5.7324$IXK8.56492630@news.randori.com...
> I am looking for the cell band restore mod
> for the Alinco DJ-X10.
>
> Reply to boyd@humboldt1.com
>
> Thanks,
> Boyd
>
>

5394. Re: Want Info Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 20:21:00 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Moys5.22394$C42.934799@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80320

3 WORDS = cable - software - bandplan

Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com> wrote in message
news:9Evs5.7324$IXK8.56492630@news.randori.com...
> I am looking for the cell band restore mod
> for the Alinco DJ-X10.
>
> Reply to boyd@humboldt1.com
>
> Thanks,
> Boyd
>
>
>

5395. Re: WTB AOR SDU 5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 20:22:01 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Jpys5.22396$C42.933419@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80321

Reasonable for Ken means 1/2 of the current value!

Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:edM8$$cFAHA.321@cpmsnbbsa09...
> WTB: AOR SDU 5500
>
> Reply: KENJD@nospammsn.com
>
> (reasonable)
>
>
>

5396. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 21:28:38 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B2C286.C8014A1F@zylay.com>
Source record: 80331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Scot wrote:

> Gene Floyd wrote:
> >
>
> <snip>
>
> If you use a ham radio as a CB,
>
> Define 'use a ham radio as a CB' please. Other than power and CB band use.
>

I was referring to transmitting on the CB bands. I doubt that someone using a ham radio would bother dialing the power back to the CB-legal level. The fact that most ham HF sets put out 100 watts and is not limited to transmiting only on the 40 specific "channels" or frequencies reserved for the CB service is probably the reason they're using them as CBs to start with. Either way, it is illegal to transmit on a CB frequency with non-type-approved equipment, and illegal to transmit on frequencies not assigned to the amateur service with an amateur-approved radio.

> > then
> > it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
> >
> > --
> > Gene Floyd
> > http://www.zylay.com
> >
> > "Ride to eat, eat to ride."

--
Gene Floyd
http://www.zylay.com

"Ride to eat, eat to ride."

5397. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 21:40:39 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39b2c460.11892123@news.gte.net>
Source record: 80323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:> LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
:> being different and able to do things and know things
:> that the average person does not. They also crave power,
:> the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
:> of the public because their original motivation to become
:> a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
:> that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
:> of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.
:
:Well this is why I am getting out of the job. I don't like the attitude you describe
:and your right, it is there and it's getting worse. I cannot believe it but some of my
:co-workers favor the assault weapons ban. However I also disagree. I do see myself as
:the thin blue line. I mean why the fuck don't more people take care of things
:themselves. Without us, people in major cities wouldn't know what to do. Everyone in
:m.s. wouldn't need police. However I often wonder when I get calls of a man beating
:off in traffic why there are no civilians around to help enforce the law? I mean in
:some areas (mostly rural) people would come out of their houses and take care of the
:problem. I guess that's why I would much rather work in a rural county than a city,
:however the money is usually in city police work. Why? Because most citizens are
:sheeple and don't want to take care of things themselves. I understand it's my job to
:take care of problems, but when citizens keep brushing everything off they freak when
:the cops talk blue flu, etc. Damn I don't know what half the people in Indy would do
:if the cops walked off the job. I guess for some people we are that blue line, for
:others were are there for assistance, but they know their protection lies in their own
:hands.

I live in West Lafayette. We have guidance counselers here that push
the idea that the military is for losers because "our children are
going to college, let the losers serve". The left wing pushed the
idea in the '80s that if the educated elite just paid their taxes then
the government would raise their kids (ie the teachers, look at the
mess schools are now because parents do not want to get involved),
that the poor and the working class could be bribed to be the military
and the police, that the poor could be made to "disappear". That
taxes equal caring (we now have many elite who want the government
to take care of their parents so they will not have to be "involved").

Look at what the Indianapolis Star published on 911 call abuse. One
guy called to have the police come down and help carry boxes to his
car!! The elite feel that the police are their servants. Yet they
also are afraid of the police and military because they see them as
lower class people who know more about guns and such. It might be
getting thru that if you hand off personal responsibility to another
then that person might someday rule you.

And the military and police are superior to most civilians. Smarter, better physically fit, more ethical (look at how unethical most liberals are).

5398. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 21:46:15 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B2C6A7.4D8C1598@cts.com>
Source record: 80324

"M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> "jason carr" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message
>
> > I've never understood cop-haters or cop-worshipers. Cops are people,
> > prone to the same kinds of productive and non-productive behaviors.
> > Why mythologize them one way or another?
> >
> Not mythologizeing (is that even a word?) them, just showing them the
> respect they've earned. There are very few "bad" cab drivers killed in the line of
> duty. Most a good people, with families, mortgages, etc., all the things
> most people have in their life. Yet they willingly take on a job that
> subjects them to the contempt and scorn, if not outright hatred of their
> fellow citizens, knowing it is possible that they might not come home from
> the day's (or night's) job. You've got to really love your work to put up
> with the hours, the abuse, and the low pay. When a cab driver is killed in
> the line of duty, don't you think it's proper that we show them some of the
> respect we denied them when they were alive. It won't help them, but it
> might make their mothers, fathers, wives, husbands, sons or daughters feel
> that there was some good reason for what happened, that their death wasn't
> meaningless.
> Is it so much to ask, a little respect?
>
> Mark K5MAR

I inserted "cab driver" in place of officer....
Are others killed in the "line of duty" less respected?

Mark

5399. Re: Pottersville PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 21:58:14 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000903175814.02707.00002746@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80325

Subject: Blower's PARG

Notice of a Hysterical Lie
1 subscriber equates to a fake non-existent club.
Blake is the only member.
>News of it had not been released
>to the membership, so there is only one subscriber
>at this time.
Dear Blowers
Better notify all of yourselves.
Please post all of the disscussion threads as they happen.
Ha Ha He He
PARGRADIO

5400. Re: Pottersville PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 21:59:36 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B2C9C8.F5E0B029@cts.com>
Source record: 80326

Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> Actually, if you had noticed, the mailing list has only
> recently been created. News of it had not been released
> to the membership, so there is only one subscriber
> at this time.
>
> But, I guess it is time to start announcing it, thanks for the
> publicity!
>
Hey, I'm not a ham,but I grew up in Hooterville just down the turnpike from Pottersville. I have a GE superradio that gets interference from my ham friend.

Hams are my heros! They get to play walkie talkies all the time and pretend to hang with "da man".

My friend said if I was a real ham I could have a yellow police light and a sign that allowed me to follow tornados. If I get sucked into a tornado and die I will become a ham hero.

I'm learning code...I practice on my moms stapler.

Please,please pick me for your new club. PARG in Oregon refused my application.

5401. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 22:00:45 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000903180045.02707.00002750@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80327

>"markj" is a troll?

My reccomendation for information
Check out www.parg.org and www.arrl.net
for more information.
PARGRADIO

5402. Re: Corky Mackey's Military Branch = Girl Scouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 22:05:13 UTC
From: pargradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000903180513.02707.00002754@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80328

And they are trying to ban your kind from the Boy Scouts.

>From: juj coag@yua.com

>I bet mackey belongs to this branch so he can hone in on
>the little ladies.

5403. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 22:07:39 UTC
From: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <MPG.141c6b8786b1c1ff989b5e@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <39b2c460.11892123@news.gte.net>, 2121d@gte.net says...
>
> :> LEOs are first and foremost, elitists. They like the idea of
> :> being different and able to do things and know things
> :> that the average person does not. They also crave power,
> :> the gun and the shield. They also like knowing the badness
> :> of the public because their original motivation to become
> :> a cop was to eradicate the bad guys. Soon they begin believing
> :> that they are "the thin blue line", the only ones on the side
> :> of good and that the bad guys are the civilians.
> :
> :Well this is why I am getting out of the job. I don't like the attitude you describe
> :and your right, it is there and it's getting worse. I cannot believe it but some of my
> :co-workers favor the assault weapons ban. However I also disagree. I do see myself as
> :the thin blue line. I mean why the fuck don't more people take care of things
> :themselves. Without us, people in major cities wouldn't know what to do. Everyone in
> :m.s. wouldn't need police. However I often wonder when I get calls of a man beating
> :off in traffic why there are no civilians around to help enforce the law? I mean in
> :some areas (mostly rural) people would come out of their houses and take care of the
> :problem. I guess that's why I would much rather work in a rural county than a city,
> :however the money is usually in city police work. Why? Because most citizens are
> :sheeple and don't want to take care of things themselves. I understand it's my job to
> :take care of problems, but when citizens keep brushing everything off they freak when
> :the cops talk blue flu, etc. Damn I don't know what half the people in Indy would do
> :if the cops walked off the job. I guess for some people we are that blue line, for
> :others were are there for assistance, but they know their protection lies in their own
> :hands.
>
> I live in West Lafayette. We have guidance counselers here that push
> the idea that the military is for losers because "our children are
> going to college, let the losers serve". The left wing pushed the
> idea in the '80s that if the educated elite just paid their taxes then
> the government would raise their kids (ie the teachers, look at the
> mess schools are now because parents do not want to get involved),
> that the poor and the working class could be bribed to be the military
> and the police, that the poor could be made to "disappear". That
> taxes equal caring (we now have many elite who want the government
> to take care of their parents so they will not have to be "involved").
>
> Look at what the Indianapolis Star published on 911 call abuse. One
> guy called to have the police come down and help carry boxes to his
> car!! The elite feel that the police are their servants. Yet they
> also are afraid of the police and military because they see them as

> lower class people who know more about guns and such. It might be
> getting thru that if you hand off personal responsibility to another
> then that person might someday rule you.
>
> And the military and police are superior to most civilians. Smarter,
> better physically fit, more ethical (look at how unethical most
> liberals are).
>
Was Nixon a Liberal? Yeah and those oh so Ethical LA cops, they're a
terrific example. What a bunch of hogwash...
--
friend,
I am: Howard Lute
eBay Rating: 703
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
She: Patient
LPFM Site: http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/fmradio.htm
Try this site BEFORE you buy -->http://www.epinions.com

5404. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 22:11:36 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sr5j7n6ha6e105@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80329

You need to use a shielded lead to do this, it is like tiny coax cable. put connectors on the ends, hooking the center conductor to the center lead, and the shell to the braid... this will get rid of the hum

"Frank Carson" <frcarson@ios.com> wrote in message news:39B19DDC.A4820035@ios.com...
> I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
> missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
> when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
> recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?
> Thanks!
>
> Frank Carson
>

5405. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-03 22:16:41 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b2cd37.10859555@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 03 Sep 2000 15:13:05 -0400, Jon Van Allen
<kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net> wrote:

>UPS stands for "United Pilfer Service". UPS had long had a "we don't
>give a shit" attitude. They are often late, frequently damage shipments
>and act like they couldn't care less when it comes to customer service
>and complaints. Not only that, it takes least a month to get CODs paid.
>One time it took over 3 months to get my COD money from UPS.
>
>I have never had a problem with USPS Priority. Given the choice,
>I'll take USPS or FedEx. Everyone I deal with at our post office is
>knowlegeable about shipping, I ship USPS at least once a week.
>
>So, have you pissed on a UPS truck today?
>

Jon,

The UPS drivers themselves admit that the people working in the
distribution centers are borderline neanderthals....!

The workers at the USPS are rocket scientists next to that gang.

Dan
>

5406. Re: MR-8100 Web Site!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 06:25:58 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uYuPIIwFAHA.253@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 80336

Thanks for the post-it. Know a lot of people
who have wanted this info. ..... Mahalo brah.

bill

5407. Re: Radio SHack PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 07:05:12 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39B49B38.D4691C3F@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 80333

mikekerin wrote:

> Does anybody own a PRO-90? If so, have you figured out any mods for it?
> I have figured out a few cool tricks with it using the power on holding down
> keys (2) and (9) and then a variety of other keys that will get you into
> some of the test modes and such.
>
> Any onw that would like this info, let me know.....and also share your info
> as well.

Nice trick idiot, 2 and 9 totally clears your memory. Like anyone really fall
for that!

--
John Medica - System administrator
66 Goodwood Avenue
Toronto Ontario, Canada M6E 1J3

E-mail: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
Web: http://www.listening-post.net
Listeing-Post - Monitoring station [Toronto Ontario Canada]

5408. F/A pro 2045 scanner $102 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 07:26:07 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B4A0A5.AB4D2753@home.com>
Source record: 80334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=425154157

5409. Yaesu/Vertex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 08:45:10 UTC
From: Snig <jsands@magnolia-net.com>
Message-ID: <3p2t5.41646$f65.1359143@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80335

If anyone knows of any mods and/or has the mic pin diagram for a Vertex VX-3000 please e-mail me.
Also, need to hook up Mot RICK unit and still have microphone if anyone can help.

5410. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 13:14:27 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <pg6t5.16183$kI2.662713@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 80337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message....
>>Quoting Norman Litsche<<
> > CW practice is illegal there too.

> As far as I know, CW is legal on all bands, ham, business, and otherwise.

.....Correct.

5411. scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 13:30:35 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8p2shsgkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80338

```
Uniden BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
low price, no reserve
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

5412. Re: Mil convoy freqs wtd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 14:12:13 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000905101213.24203.00000467@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 80339

>Many years ago Monitoring Times or Communications magazine listed about
>10 common military convoy freqs in the 30 to 50mHz range. Does anyone
>have the article or any known good freqs?

I couldn't give you specific freqs, but monitor between 30 and 74 mhz. Most military radios operate there. They also use HT's that transmit in the 130 - 140 mhz range. A sure bet for MP frequencies in the field is 39.75. Just one thing - any real military unit changes their frequencies every day, according to their SOI, so you'll probably have to scan each day until you find them. Hope that helps a little!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5413. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 14:19:02 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39B500D6.FB0C4890@snet.net>
Source record: 80340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Christopher Cox wrote:
>
> Barry Burke Jr. <nospam@snet.net> wrote in article
> <39B2E021.6D4F6029@snet.net>...
> > I don't get it. I've shipped 60-70 eBay and newsgroup deals via USPS
> > Priority Mail without a hitch. What exactly was the problem?
> >
> > Barry
>
> I have found it depends on the level of service. For instance, if you want
> the package insured, you must have every seam taped over with USPS approved
> tape. While I do like USPS, they can be problematic.
>
> Christopher Cox

Again, interesting... Of the 60-70 shipments I mentioned above, about half had additional insurance purchased, some have gone Express Mail, a few were oversize. I've never had anyone question the tape I use or anything about the contents of the box or packaging methods. In fact, I sent a $400 R/C engine out on Friday, Priority Mail, insured, with delivery confirmation. The package was shipped in a used box with plain old 3M clear packaging tape.

I don't doubt your experiences at all, I may have excellent Postal Service employees in my area. I usually mail ALL of my packages from one of three small outlets, here in Connectict. By small I mean that they have about 35-50 PO boxes, three parking spaces, and one clerk. My favorite is an office known as Rockfall, CT, that shares a small wooden building with a deli. The USPS will mail you any supplies you may need, for FREE, via http://www.usps.gov . I usually show up with all the forms completed and Priority Mail stickers, tape, and labels already on the package, so the clerk just collects my money and stamps the confirmation and insurance forms.

Thanks,
Barry

5414. Re: HELP WANTED - New Recruits for the Newsgroup Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 14:42:53 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905104253.05190.00000437@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 80341

If you can pass a drug test than you will be the NCO, Net Cop Officier in
charge of what we read and post.
Mr 3 % of your total brain.
It was cute if you thought so.
Cool Man
Hamguy

> oh right on hyserectomical-minus-guy
>
> that great vacuum you thought was your brain
> runs on Drain-O spigotted for life.
>
> you never knew Bill.
> so until you get an invitation to visit him
> put a cork full of razors in your yap.
>
> is this post of yours supposed to be "cute"...?
>
> bill
> 3 percenter

5415. need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 14:48:29 UTC
From: "Tom K." <koestner@stic.net>
Message-ID: <8p30nm$aof@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 80342

I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that they made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't seem to find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt battery packs. Thank's Tom

5416. Re: Listing of scanner laws outside N.America?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 15:32:52 UTC
From: commace@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8p33n4$o4m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80343

I don't know where there is such a list but I do know from my consulting work that MOST African, Asian, eastern European countries do not permit ANY scanners. Some of them treat such things as espionage devices and have severe criminal penalties.

Even the Bahamas bans scanners and unlicensed radios although they do not actively look for them or even confiscate them unless the holder is suspicious. (This includes FRS radios)

In article <8ouqj4cmf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
valerie65@my-deja.com wrote:
> Anyone know where one can find scanner possession/regulation laws for
> countries other than North America? I.e., in which Asian or African
> nations are they legal? Thanks, Val.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5417. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 16:03:45 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p3563nho1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80344
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Kevin <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
> Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>
> If so, what frequencies do you use?

Rats,
How did you find out that all "us" pizza delivery guys constantly check this NG?!?
Yes it's true, when we are not delivering pizzas, we were all monitoring our scanners to find out where the competition is delivering to. We also like to listen in on our competitor's cordless phone whenever they are taking an order. This helps us covertly learn which toppings are "hot" this week.
However, as far as which frequencies "do we use".....Hah! Good luck pal. We now all use full DES digital encryption.
You really think we would openly transmit TOP SECRET pizza messages that anyone with a $99.00 Radio Shack scanner could intercept. Pahleese. I'm sorry, the days of being able to hear "in the clear" pizza transmissions are long over.

Phil (not my real name)

5418. Re: cruise ship frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 16:06:53 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p35c8$kp0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80345

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39B32BB4.DB9C117B@cts.com...
>
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
> >
> > In article <20000903202426.02369.00000129@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
ofctaz@aol.com
> > (OfcTaz) writes:
> >
> > >looking for royal caribian frequencies, as well as bermuda freqs.
> >
> > Which brings up a good question...since these ships sail outside of U.S.
> > jurisdiction, are scanners legal on cruise ships? What about FRS
radios?
>
> Might be an issue when you port in some countries. No cruise operator
> that services the U.S. has issues with it.
>
> As far as FRS radios go...
> Take your own...or you can now rent them on the ship.
>
> markj

If you have a frequency counter, I would think it might be fun to take a long stroll around the ship to see what it comes up with.

Phil

5419. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 16:14:14 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p35oc$nk2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80346

TomCat4680 <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com...
> one night I heard the dipatcher say "check the freeway for a dog weaving
in and
> out of traffic" the cop said "is it intoxicated?" (drunk) the dispatcher
said
> (while laughing) "quite possibly"
>
> anyone with funny police dispatches post em
>
> Tom
>

One dispatcher in a nearby town likes to call the drug dealers "unlicensed pharmacists".

Also, on our statewide SPEN network, occasionally some dispatcher will broadcast the theme song from the TV show "COPS", or various departments will trade one-liners with each other over the network.

Phil

5420. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 16:14:56 UTC
From: "Tony Szablowski"
Message-ID: <4_8t5.18893$Q36.1399723@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80247

Phil and Alyce wrote in message <8p35oc$nk2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Also, on our statewide SPEN network, occasionally some dispatcher will
>broadcast the theme song from the TV show "COPS", or various departments
>will trade one-liners with each other over the network.

:-) Phil, you gotta be talking about NJ's SPEN-1, right? Does this
phrase ring a bell? "Knock it off, Bergen County" <G>

Regards,
Tony

5421. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 16:49:40 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <nt8arscmca8qqa6aodeu0ug2hk4olv474p@4ax.com>
Source record: 80248

On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:39:56 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
wrote:

>I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
>missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
>when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
>recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?

Are you sure you aren't hearing a PL (AKA CTCSS) tone or DCS on the
signal? The audio on your scanner probably filters out such things.
But a tape recorder would probably pick up such things.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5422. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:03:20 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905130320.05151.00000539@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 80249

>Subject: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?
>From: Kevin Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net
>Date: 9/4/00 2:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net>
>
>Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>
>If so, what frequencies do you use?

Years ago I put an IMTS phone in a Step Van for a guy who had a pizza oven in the back. He'd take an order, make the pizza and bake it on the way to the delivery location. This was in a small town in Indiana, lots of rural deliveries. He's no doubt using cellular now.

Neutrodyne

5423. Re: need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:04:41 UTC
From: Jim Chisholm <jim@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Message-ID: <39B527A9.83566D47@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Source record: 80250

"Tom K." wrote:

> I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several
> months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that they
> made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't seem to
> find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt battery
> packs. Thank's Tom

Not sure about the 300 xlt but for my 200 xlt I just pop the battery pack apart
and replace the cells with 2x 3.6V cordless phone pattery packs in series..As I
recall they were a Panasonic brand but just take your existing pack into Radio
Shack and you'll find them (far better perfdormance than the uniden oem BTW)
I think I found mine at www.digikey.com part #P072-ND at $7.99 apiece

Jim

--

==========
Jim Chisholm
Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
==========

5424. Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:20:13 UTC
From: Stuart Klide <iceman16@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 80251

I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i obtained from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the majority of the time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my town isnt using trunked. 3 questions
1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?
2. How can I know if they are using trunked?
3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?
Thanks

5425. Re: need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:25:24 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8p3j4d$4lo4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80263

try WWW.Scannerworld.Com = $53 + shipping.

Tom K. wrote in message <8p30nm$aof@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>...
>I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several
>months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that
they
>made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't seem
to
>find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt
battery
>packs. Thank's Tom
>
>

5426. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:33:59 UTC
From: Laura K <laura@rollerskate.net>
Message-ID: <b8at5.21645$K4.963481@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80252

Yes, they can.

However, more information is needed to determine if that is what has happenned.

What city are you in? What state? What department are you trying to listen to?

Kevin Williams
Kevin@rollerskate.net

"Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message news:hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i obtained from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the majority of the time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my town isnt using trunked. 3 questions
1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?
2. How can I know if they are using trunked?
3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?
Thanks

5427. Re: Mil convoy freqs wtd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:45:33 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39B5313D.D9AFB4A@mindspring.com>
Source record: 80253

Andy in RI wrote:

> >Many years ago Monitoring Times or Communications magazine listed about
> >10 common military convoy freqs in the 30 to 50mHz range. Does anyone
> >have the article or any known good freqs?
>
> I couldn't give you specific freqs, but monitor between 30 and 74 mhz. Most
> military radios operate there.

30-87.975MHz

> Just one
> thing - any real military unit changes their frequencies every day, according
> to their SOI, so you'll probably have to scan each day until you find them.

In the days before SINCGARS that was somewhat true -- for units in
combat/combat-support related operations (including exercises) that use
the 30-88MHz 'Fox-Mike' band.

But there's a lot of fixed-channel stuff out there too.

--
TimTyler@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~timtyler

5428. F/S AOR-8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 17:56:11 UTC
From: hitman1656@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905135611.22405.00000139@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 80254

F/S AOR-8200 SCANNER
500KHZ----------2040MHZ
NO BLOCKS ON ALL BANDS
ALL MODE...
COME WITH:

CASE
FLEXIBLE ANTENNA
BELT-CLIP
NI CAD AA CELLS

BRAND NEW $350.00 P/S
MUST SELL !!!!

THANKS

5429. Re: FRS! in canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 18:07:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39B53644.983C660B@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 80262

John Medica - System administrator wrote:
>
> Seems Family radio service really popular in canada now. As more
> people get FRS, you'll find - like cb radio
> over crowding and get your typical idiots at times.

If I'm not mistaken, FRS radios have a max. transmitt output of .5 watts, whereas CB radios have an typical output of 5 watts (or more ?). Should be less "crowded" with the smaller power output.

--
Michael Moore

5430. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 18:23:23 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39b02207.1308465@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
>> of years ago..
>>
>> Gunner
>
>A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
>frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
>or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
>it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.

So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5431. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 18:29:40 UTC
From: Stuart Klide <iceman16@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <oYat5.44225$e11.343116@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 80256

I am in Summit, New Jersey listening to the police

"Laura K" <laura@rollerskate.net> wrote in message
news:b8at5.21645$K4.963481@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Yes, they can.
>
> However, more information is needed to determine if that is what has
> happenned.
>
> What city are you in? What state? What department are you trying to
listen
> to?
>
> Kevin Williams
> Kevin@rollerskate.net
>
> "Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
> news:hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i obtained
> from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the majority of
the
> time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my town
isnt
> using trunked. 3 questions
> 1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?
> 2. How can I know if they are using trunked?
> 3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?
> Thanks
>
>
>
>

5432. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 18:49:52 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p3es8$7to$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80257

Tony Szablowski <@aszablowski@worldnet.att.net@> wrote in message
news:4_8t5.18893$Q36.1399723@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Phil and Alyce wrote in message <8p35oc$nk2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >Also, on our statewide SPEN network, occasionally some dispatcher will
> >broadcast the theme song from the TV show "COPS", or various departments
> >will trade one-liners with each other over the network.
>
>
> :-) Phil, you gotta be talking about NJ's SPEN-1, right? Does this
> phrase ring a bell? "Knock it off, Bergen County" <G>
>
> ---
> Regards,
> Tony
>
>
> Tony,
You got it exactly right! Those same guys must also be the ones that
say....."Knock it off North Bergen."
Funny to read that someone else in here picked up on that. :-)
BTW, the dispatcher that used to always make the drug dealer cracks is
"Larry" from Newark PD.

Take Care,
Phil

5433. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 19:32:31 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <39b54982.18125287@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 80260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Could you please be a little bit more specific as to the problem?
I use usps and ups frequently. The only problem I've had was a LOST
priority mail letter!. Now, I ship cashiers checks in a priority mail
BOX!! The idiots can't lose a box so easily. (maybe).
Ron C.
DrPepper@iwvisp.com
==========++++++++++++++++++++++

On Sun, 03 Sep 2000 19:34:57 -0400, "Barry Burke Jr."
<nospam@snet.net> wrote:

>I don't get it. I've shipped 60-70 eBay and newsgroup deals via USPS
>Priority Mail without a hitch. What exactly was the problem?
>
>Barry
>
>
>"Garry W. Watts" wrote:
>>
>> Unforunately, I would agree with the dealer (having an idea who it
>> is)... I recently tried to ship a pacakge to a customer that purchased
>> a scanner from me and he wanted it sent the the USPS! After 3 trips to
>> the post office I told the custoner to take it UPS or the sale was off!
>> The USPS is becoming a bunch of flaming idiots and they wonder why they
>> are losing thier rears, even though all the big shooters now get "Large
>> Bonuses" every year. Lots of new rules in place at the post office and
>> they are "STUPID & CLUELESS".. My wife works for the post office and
>> she even cehcked at her office and no one understands the rules and what
>> they mean, each office and employee seems to have different opinions.
>> SHIP UPS!!!!
>>
>> Garry
>> Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>> >
>> > Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
>> > in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
>> > they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>> >
>> > I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
>> > dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>> >
>> > It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
>> > and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
>> > sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.

>> >
>> > However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
>> > insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>> >
>> >

5434. AOR AR2500 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 19:51:01 UTC
From: nukem <k_nat@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <F8ct5.35$98.24313@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80261

I am looking for info on where to get software
to control the AOR AR2500 scanner.

Please advise.

Nat
KD4HKG

5435. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 20:19:18 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <azct5.167593$i5.2456390@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 80264

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:JF%s5.7903$B54.21683@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Not directly. Okla. Highway Patrol uses 800 MHz trunking in the Okla. City
> metro area

Are you sure about that?

5436. scanner for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 20:20:35 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <nAct5.167595$i5.2455905@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 80265

```
Uniden BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
low price, no reserve
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

5437. Messed up scanner or phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 20:42:20 UTC
From: StickyRiceBalls <nospam@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <SUct5.95$PY1.39566@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 80266

My nephew brought me a little problem yesterday. Among other things, he occasionally listens to cordless phone freqs on his two week old BC-895. The other night he said the scanner picked up the same conversation on multiple frequencies - 44.400, 46.830, 46.870, 46.970, and 46.930.

He could scan around and hear different conversations on different channels like always (one conversation to a frequency), but this particular conversation kept showing up on five different frequencies until the people hung up. He says it's never happend before or sense... ?

He wants to know if he got a bad scanner or if this person was using a phone that transmits on more than one frequency at a time? Not having an interest in cordless phone conversations (or the technology involved), I don't know the answer.

Any help?

SR

5438. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:21:27 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39B563D7.6A6A796A@ios.com>
Source record: 80267

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:39:56 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
> >missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
> >when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
> >recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?
>
> Are you sure you aren't hearing a PL (AKA CTCSS) tone or DCS on the
> signal? The audio on your scanner probably filters out such things.
> But a tape recorder would probably pick up such things.

It could be - how would I know?

5439. FA: PRO-75 200Ch. Handheld Scanner FREE SHIPPING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:27:48 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <vodt5.8405$B54.24379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80268

That's right, FREE SHIPPING! Check my auction for a MINT PRO-75! Here's
the link:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646

Adam Kolari

5440. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:35:56 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8p3ove$2a7m$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80269

"TomCat4680" <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com...
> anyone with funny police dispatches post em
>

One night down here in So. Florida I heard a call go out to help a
Haitian woman that claimed that someone had placed a voodoo curse on her
that (1) shrunk her head and (2) removed her heels.

Donald Reeve

5441. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:40:27 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8p3p7u$2c58$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80270
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Kevin" <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
> Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>
> If so, what frequencies do you use?

There actually is a group on my news server called
alt.pizza.delivery.drivers
Check with the experts!

dr

5442. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:44:18 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39b567e5.124196344@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 80271

On Tue, 05 Sep 2000 17:21:27 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com> wrote:

>Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:39:56 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
>> >missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
>> >when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
>> >recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?
>>
>> Are you sure you aren't hearing a PL (AKA CTCSS) tone or DCS on the
>> signal? The audio on your scanner probably filters out such things.
>> But a tape recorder would probably pick up such things.
>
> It could be - how would I know?

I don't see why there'd be a difference. Presumably he's going out of the scanner's earphone jack into the tape recorder. Inside the scanner the audio from the volume control feeds both the headphone amp and the speaker amp - maybe the same amp. There's no reason why CTCSS tones would get out through one path and not the other. If they're inaudible in the scanner speaker they'd also be inaudible after being recorded and played back.

By the way, Frank, is the tape recorder mono or stereo? And what kind of jack are you going into on the tape recorder? If it's a microphone jack you'll have problems from overload. You want a "line in" jack. If the recorder doesn't have one, R/S has a patch cord with a built-in attenuator. If it's a STEREO line in jack, remember that the scanner earphone jack is mono - if you use a multimedia patch cable (1/8" stereo phone plugs on each end) one channel will be open and might pick up a lot of hum; you want a mono-plug-to-stereo-jack adapter at the scanner end in this case.

--- jeh

5443. RCA RP-6150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 21:53:34 UTC
From: The Traveler <blacktop@asphalt.com>
Message-ID: <39B56BA8.243AB9C@asphalt.com>
Source record: 80272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings,

I am in the SF bay area and just bought one of these units on sale from Fry's (yeah, I know Fry's generally sucks), and I notice that around 160.320, the unit seems to pick up some sort of buzzing noise, especially outdoors, much like an AM radio might pick up around main electrical transmission lines. Anybody around here have a similar symptom? Any observations posted would be appreciated.

--

We have all heard that a million monkeys banging on a million typewriters will eventually reproduce the entire works of Shakespeare...Thanks to AOL, we know this is not possible.

5444. Re: Radio SHack PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 22:10:25 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <050920001710256788%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 80274
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

He didn't say to hold them by themselves.

In article <39B49B38.D4691C3F@sdf.lonestar.org>, John Medica - System
administrator wrote:

> mikekerin wrote:
>
> > Does anybody own a PRO-90? If so, have you figured out any mods for it?
> > I have figured out a few cool tricks with it using the power on holding down
> > keys (2) and (9) and then a variety of other keys that will get you into
> > some of the test modes and such.
> >
> > Any onw that would like this info, let me know.....and also share your info
> > as well.
>
> Nice trick idiot, 2 and 9 totally clears your memory. Like anyone really fall
> for that!
>
> --
> John Medica - System administrator
> 66 Goodwood Avenue
> Toronto Ontario, Canada M6E 1J3
>
> E-mail: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
> Web: http://www.listening-post.net
> Listeing-Post - Monitoring station [Toronto Ontario Canada]
>
>
>
> --------------1BA583F268736C1449EFA987
> Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
> mikekerin wrote:
>
Does anybody own a PRO-90? If so, have you
> figured out any mods for it?
>
I have figured out a few cool tricks with it using the power on holding
> down
>
keys (2) and (9) and then a variety of other keys that will get you

> into
>
some of the test modes and such.
>
Any onw that would like this info, let me know.....and also share your
> info
>
as well.

> Nice trick idiot, 2 and 9 totally clears your memory. Like anyone really
> fall for that!
>
--
> John Medica - System administrator
> 66 Goodwood Avenue
> Toronto Ontario, Canada M6E 1J3
>
> E-mail: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
> Web: http://www.listening-post.net
> Listeing-Post - Monitoring station [Toronto Ontario Canada]

>
>
> --------------1BA583F268736C1449EFA987--

5445. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 22:13:02 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39b56fb5.1487297@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 80273
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Sep 2000 17:40:27 -0400, "Donald Reeve" <don236@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>
>
>"Kevin" <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
>news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
>> Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>>
>> If so, what frequencies do you use?
>
>There actually is a group on my news server called
>alt.pizza.delivery.drivers
>Check with the experts!
>
Much to our chagrin he did.............

Neal @ A.P.D.D.
APDD

5446. Re: scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 22:30:09 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rtet5.17514$58.2454843@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80275

Most (!) everyone abides by the self-policing rule of placing "FA" or "F/A" in the front of ebay advertisements.
This identifies the real nature of the 'for sale' -- it also enables a lot of us (certainly me) to filter any message that has FA or F/A in it so we never see it.

It is obvious that there are many that don't filter FA messages so you still have a generous audience while accommodating the rest of the group at the same time. You will just avoid annoying those that don't want to see ebay ads (nor ever buy anything from ebay) -- so everyone is a winner and everyone is happy.

good luck on your auction, I am sure you will realize a great return.
please help us maintain the decorum.

cheers

<joescanner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8p2shsgkf1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Uniden BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
> low price, no reserve
> http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

5447. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 22:43:29 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905184329.19281.00000692@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 80276

i remember when here in Mich the Red Wings won the Stanley Cup for the first time in 40 years or somethin the dispatcher said "attention all cars the Red Wings won the Stanley Cup"

5448. Re: RCA RP-6150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 22:55:50 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <WRet5.27518$QW4.352124@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you can't find the source of the noise, or isolate it, then it's probably a birdie. A birdie is an internal generated noise from the scanners own circuit. All scanners have birdies, some more than others, and not always on the same frequency. Unless it falls on top of an actual frequency you're trying to monitor, I wouldn't worry about it.

Bill Crocker

"The Traveler" <blacktop@asphalt.com> wrote in message news:39B56BA8.243AB9C@asphalt.com...
>
> Greetings,
>
> I am in the SF bay area and just bought one of these units on sale from
> Fry's (yeah, I know Fry's generally sucks), and I notice that around
> 160.320, the unit seems to pick up some sort of buzzing noise, especially
> outdoors, much like an AM radio might pick up around main electrical
> transmission lines. Anybody around here have a similar symptom? Any
> observations posted would be appreciated.
>
>
> --
>
> We have all heard that a million monkeys banging on a million
> typewriters will eventually reproduce the entire works of
> Shakespeare...Thanks to AOL, we know this is not possible.

5449. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 23:42:03 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39B568AB.1654D2D6@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 80278

We had one here in Salt Lake City last Sat night that was pretty
funny. Fire & Rescue dispatch comes on with a call for a "young
female with a condom stuck in her vagina and requests a female
EMT". The dispatchers were laughing so much in the background
the responding unit had to request a repeat a couple of times to
get the info correct.

> "TomCat4680" <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com...
> > anyone with funny police dispatches post em
> >
>

5450. Re: Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-05 23:59:57 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8p41dkpsf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80279

A lot of the different agencies use the 800 mhz bands these days. If you purchase a scanner without that frequency then you won't be able to listen to those agencies.

Uniden makes nice scanners. The best place to find out if you need 800mhz coverage is to check some frequency guides to see if 800 mhz is used in your area.

Try http://www.strongsignals.net for lots and lots of info on scanning...

Regards,

wayne

http://www.s-consult.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5451. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 00:36:01 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Rjgt5.416$JY2.395176@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80280

Used to be a Deputy in Monroe County Sheriff's Dep't. that was the "Phantom 4 barrel", on the 10-to-6 shift, on a quiet night, the mike would open up and the sound of the big ol' carbureted Chevy would bellow across the band. Of course, when unit ID's started showing up on the screen, and fuel injection arrived, Ol' Tom put him into retirement. (Ooops, did I say that out loud?)

In Livingston County, there generally is an all points for an attempted burglar or possible DUI every year on 12/25, for an elderly gentleman operating make and model unknown, chasing a small herd of deer. He's never been caught, either!!!

TomCat4680 wrote in message
<20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com>...
>one night I heard the dipatcher say "check the freeway for a dog weaving in
and
>out of traffic" the cop said "is it intoxicated?" (drunk) the dispatcher
said
>(while laughing) "quite possibly"
>
>anyone with funny police dispatches post em
>
>Tom
>
>Visit my Genesee County Michigan Scanner Information Page at
>http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

5452. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 00:54:09 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39B595B1.D871E6A@ios.com>
Source record: 80281

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:

> > It could be - how would I know?
>
> I don't see why there'd be a difference. Presumably he's going out of the
> scanner's earphone jack into the tape recorder. Inside the scanner the
>
>
> By the way, Frank, is the tape recorder mono or stereo? And what kind of
> jack are you going into on the tape recorder? If it's a microphone jack
>

The recorder is mono.

> you'll have problems from overload. You want a "line in" jack. If the
> recorder doesn't have one, R/S has a patch cord with a built-in

The input to the recorder is, indeed, the "MIC". I did see that patch cord
with the attenuator built in, but wasn't sure...

>

Thanks for the help!

Frank Carson
N3OCW

5453. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:05:14 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p45g0$cbvmi$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80282

> Also, on our statewide SPEN network, occasionally some dispatcher will
> broadcast the theme song from the TV show "COPS", or various departments
> will trade one-liners with each other over the network.

That narrows you down to living in NJ!

Roland

5454. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:06:00 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p45hd$cauoj$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80283

> :-) Phil, you gotta be talking about NJ's SPEN-1, right? Does this
> phrase ring a bell? "Knock it off, Bergen County" <G>

Almost nightly!

Roland

5455. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:07:58 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p45l3$c45od$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80284

> You got it exactly right! Those same guys must also be the ones that
> say....."Knock it off North Bergen."
> Funny to read that someone else in here picked up on that. :-)

Things have become more professional as of late. I don't hear the rowdiness
that prevailed just a month ago. Do you think the FCC sent out a bulletin
to the NJ departments telling them to shape up?

Roland

5456. Re: Listing of scanner laws outside N.America?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:13:16 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p45v1$cbonq$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80286

> I don't know where there is such a list but I do know from my
> consulting work that MOST African, Asian, eastern European countries do
> not permit ANY scanners. Some of them treat such things as espionage
> devices and have severe criminal penalties.

I seem to recall that before the mainland Chinese took over Hong Kong,
scanners were illegal in HK. However, one had no trouble finding scanners
to buy all along Nathan Road!

Roland

5457. You're a moron!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:14:36 UTC
From: mikekerin <mikekerin@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uJam6A6FAHA.436@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 80290

Nice trick idiot, 2 and 9 totally clears your memory. Like anyone really fall for that!

That is what you said.....I am talking about using the (2) and (9) keys and OTHER keys....meaning that there would be a 3 key combination at power up to access certain features of the PRO-90.....I was NOT telling anybody to press (2) and (9) and then turn it on.....you're a complete moron!

5458. Re: Messed up scanner or phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:16:11 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p464f$cd0n8$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80287

> He could scan around and hear different conversations on different
channels
> like always (one conversation to a frequency), but this particular
> conversation kept showing up on five different frequencies until the
people
> hung up. He says it's never happened before or sense... ?
>
> He wants to know if he got a bad scanner or if this person was using a
phone
> that transmits on more than one frequency at a time?

No, it is probably like in many households, they have multiple cordless phones and each one is working on a different frequency. If more than one person is in on the conversation, that could amount to three frequencies being tied up with the same conversation.

Roland

5459. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 01:17:52 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p45la$m2j$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80285

```
Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8p3ove$2a7m$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> "TomCat4680" <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com...
> > anyone with funny police dispatches post em
> >
>
> One night down here in So. Florida I heard a call go out to help a
> Haitian woman that claimed that someone had placed a voodoo curse on her
> that (1) shrunk her head and (2) removed her heels.
>
> Donald Reeve
>
> Ouch!
And that's a pain that will linger too.

Phil
```

5460. Into radio? Scanners? News? Fires?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:02:37 UTC
From: Steve Damelio <smdamelio@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Bht5.22663$K4.999955@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Check out these notification nets if you are in the N.E.

http://www.intap.com/~pcfn/reciproc.htm

http://www.stop.at/tac7radio

--
Steve D

5461. Re: Old Scanner Operation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:04:18 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <20000905.220418.19843@logan.njmc.com>
Source record: 80289

In article <39B42582.F6551EA1@earthlink.net>, Marty
<caseype@earthlink.net> wrote:

> I have a Regency INF 50 Informant that I received used as a gift with no
> instructions. Its has the following buttons arranged as shown:
>
> Police Fire Medical
>
> State Weather Delete
>
> Hold

Not sure if this will help, but here goes. Scroll through the states until
you find your state. Now press one of the service buttons - Police, Fire,
or Medical. The scanner is preprogrammed with info regarding the services
in your state as of the manufacture date of the scanner (well, a bit
before that I suppose).

5462. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:21:57 UTC
From: TS
Message-ID: <9Tht5.9283$U41.747993@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80291

Phil and Alyce wrote in message <8p3es8$7to$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> :-) Phil, you gotta be talking about NJ's SPEN-1, right? Does this
>> phrase ring a bell? "Knock it off, Bergen County" <G>
>>
>> Tony,
>You got it exactly right! Those same guys must also be the ones that
>say....."Knock it off North Bergen."

>Funny to read that someone else in here picked up on that. :-)

I couldn't believe it when I read you talking about Spen-1 like that. When
I'm on the Web sometimes I forget how small a world it is.

>BTW, the dispatcher that used to always make the drug dealer cracks is
>"Larry" from Newark PD.

Those guys down there in NPD.....man, what a ruckuss always going on over
there. I don't know how those guys keep up. Pretty amazing, IMO.

Regards,
Tony

5463. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:23:19 UTC
From: TS
Message-ID: <rUht5.9284$U41.749011@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80292

Roland Stiner wrote in message <8p45l3$c45od$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>...
>Things have become more professional as of late. I don't hear the
rowdiness
>that prevailed just a month ago. Do you think the FCC sent out a bulletin
>to the NJ departments telling them to shape up?

I highly doubt it, though it is possible. I think it may have been more a
case of someone's Sgt. or supervisor making some calls. They seem to know
that it's NB -but- you cannot exactly prove it, which I'm sure those guys
know.

Regards,
Tony

5464. NY/NJ Scanner Guide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:36:53 UTC
From: ken8038@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905223653.01614.00002361@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 80293

I used to order a Scanner Guide every few years from a local publisher in New Jersey but I seem to have misplaced the name & address. Can anybody help? It was a spiral bound listing of all NY/NJ area frequencies. I believe the guy who put it out ran a printing company. Thanks. --Ken

5465. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:38:36 UTC
From: Tim McCuiston <t.mccuiston@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <M6it5.9303$U41.751193@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80295

Hey! You aren't going to get him in the hobby by saying those things.
From the sound of things here i think he really wants to know what Ham
radio really is. Come on now! And from what i was reading that isn't going
to get it done. 73 Tim N�SZE
"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39B1D2E0.F3D67922@cts.com...
>
>
> Adam Kolari wrote:
> >
> > Thanks for the quick replies, fellows! I have one more small question
for
> > ya. Say I'm a ham and I feel like talking to someone. Do I just fire
up my
> > radio and find conversation to join? Thanks again!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
>
> Yes, it is that easy.
> Just go to radio shack and ask for a ham radio or even two since you
> will want to talk to a friend.
> Next you look in the phone book under motorola service center and get
> them to install the unit and antenna. Motorola will do it right and real
> cheap.
>
> When it's installed you just turn it on and pick a frequency that is
> open...any one will do since they all sound the same. have your buddy
> set the same frequency and push the button on nthe mic to talk.
>
> Some hams have call letters,but if you use the radio for personal and
> small business use(under $50.000 a year) you don't need call letters.
>
> If they get pissed at you and say shit like "i'm gonna track you down"
> don't worry ...just kick their asses...it's pretty easy.
>
> If you want to know how to talk real far on repeaters email me and I
> will give you some tips on tone surfing.
> what is really cool is some people put dial tones on the radio so you
> can make free phonecalls.
> >
> > <n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > It is much more then talking to far away places. Hamburgers's are

helpers in helping make a great meal!
> > > a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in
> > > disaster struck areas.
>
> Whatever dude.

5466. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:38:37 UTC
From: Tim McCuiston <t.mccuiston@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <N6it5.9304$U41.750485@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80294

Way to go Harry! Couldn't have said it better myself! Tim/ N�SZE
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:8ospvgrrl1@news.snowcrest.net...
> Here's the REAL answer, Adam: Yes. Usually all you have to do is get on
> your favorite local repeater and give out your callsign, like "N6URU,
> monitoring." Often as not, even if you're a new ham, someone will come
> back and say hello/where are you/what's your name etc. Once you get to
> recognize each other by callsign and name, conversations just spring up.
> Many places, either ham clubs or just groups of hams will have unofficial
> get-togethers over coffee or lunch. As someone mentioned, find a local
ham
> club and go to one of their meetings...newcomers are always welcome, and
> don't worry if a lot of the lingo is kinda strange at first: all hobbies
> seem to be that way.
>
> One thing I like about it is that you can be as involved as you choose...I
> mainly just like to chat with my buddies; I happen to also be a train fan,
> and there are lots of hams/trainfans that use their ham radios to report
> unusual trains, locations & such, or to coordinate for good photo
locations.
> I'm not interested much in the technical stuff, so I just do the things I
> like.
>
> Harry / N6URU
>
>
> "Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:ePjs5.5470$B54.14109@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Thanks for the quick replies, fellows! I have one more small question
for
> > ya. Say I'm a ham and I feel like talking to someone. Do I just fire
up
> my
> > radio and find conversation to join? Thanks again!
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > <n2ogm@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:39b1ba00.8116730@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > It is much more then talking to far away places. Ham's are helpers in
> > > a time of need, usually the first to establish communications in
> > > disaster struck areas. They are inventers of new technology and

> > > communications methods. They offer communications assistance with
> > > public activities and public services. And most I've met are just good
> > > people. Look around your area for a local club or someone already
> > > involved in Ham radio to see for yourself, most will be happy to
> > > explain what Ham radio is all about.
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sat, 2 Sep 2000 21:24:40 -0400, "Adam Kolari"
> > > <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Please excuse my lack of knowledge on this subject, but could someone
> > > >explain to me what HAM is all about? Is it just people talking to
> people
> > in
> > > >far off places, or is it more in depth than that? Any light on this
> > subject
> > > >will be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance!
> > > >
> > > >Adam Kolari
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

5467. Re: Mil convoy freqs wtd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:45:11 UTC
From: jason carr <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <1sbbrs8f77seb7ne50htnbm4qe8l2ll12b@4ax.com>
Source record: 80296

On Tue, 05 Sep 2000 13:45:33 -0400, Tim Tyler
<timtyler@mindspring.com> wrote:

>> I couldn't give you specific freqs, but monitor between 30 and 74 mhz. Most
>> military radios operate there.
>
>30-87.975MHz

The truly nifty convoys, though, may "go green" (DES-encrypted).

--
L.V.X., frater mus
work - http://www.mousetrap.net/ngs/ cgi, database backends
play - http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/ the Great Work, Honda
Civic wagon and Spree scooter, eBay FAQ, guitar, ferret

5468. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:47:14 UTC
From: Me <me@here.org>
Message-ID: <Seit5.2898$nB4.293863@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 80197

You will here police everywhere, cutting up every once in awile.
Esp. around Christmas time. Some police departments are purely straight
and business-like which sucks.

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:8p45l3$c45od$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de...
> > You got it exactly right! Those same guys must also be the ones that
> > say....."Knock it off North Bergen."
> > Funny to read that someone else in here picked up on that. :-)
>
> Things have become more professional as of late. I don't hear the rowdiness
> that prevailed just a month ago. Do you think the FCC sent out a bulletin
> to the NJ departments telling them to shape up?
>
> Roland
>
>

5469. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 02:57:45 UTC
From: foxblaine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000905225745.28549.00000854@ng-de1.aol.com>
Source record: 80198

Funny! I used to do that myself! I was a dispatcher for MANY years, first in Fayette County, IN and then Rock County, WI...Every Christmas eve back in Indiana we'd put out a BOLO for ol' St. Nick in much the same way...Another funny one...(although admittedly not AS funny now that I work on the road...) I heard a story that originated in Texas...apparently a 10-50 involving a semi carrying a load of hogs to market. First officer arrives on scene and advises dispatch that there is a 'dead pig in the middle of the road...' Story has it, she immediately answered with a query in a deadpan voice "10-4, can you advise which officer is down?" Funny Stuff!

R. Blaine Fox
N9LXX

>In Livingston County, there generally is an all points for an attempted
>burglar or possible DUI every year on 12/25, for an elderly gentleman
>operating make and model unknown, chasing a small herd of deer. He's never
>been caught, either!!

5470. Re: AOR AR2500 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 03:02:55 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B5B430.3E30B398@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80199

Only one I have seen is "ScanCat", and it is only the DOS version for
the AR 2500. It's also 100 bucks so you may want to see if there is any
Shareware available as well.

http://www.scancat.com/

nukem wrote:

> I am looking for info on where to get software
> to control the AOR AR2500 scanner.
>
> Please advise.
>
> Nat
> KD4HKG

--
---->>>KEN<<<----

Los Angeles, CA

5471. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 03:20:54 UTC
From: "Fred J. O'Grady" <fredjograd y@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fredjograd y-6739BF.23205405092000@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80201
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <39B66071.931A6A24@hotmail.com>, Jesse
<gunznrozez@hotmail.com> wrote:

> On top of that, I hear the federal goverment recently passed legislation
> making
> it a 5 year felony to monitor the 'pizza' bands.

Screw teh gubmint, How else are I and the rest of the Pizza
Commandos(tm) (tanpc(tm)) supposed to track a pizzaboy and intervene
when we discover him performing an unethical, illegal and rather
disgusting act on an innocent customers pizza?

>
> Jesse (my real name)
>
> Phil and Alyce wrote:
>
> > Kevin <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
> > news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
> > > Do you guys use two-way-radios?
> > >
> > > If so, what frequencies do you use?
> >
> > Rats,
> > How did you find out that all "us" pizza delivery guys constantly check
> > this
> > NG?!?
> > Yes it's true, when we are not delivering pizzas, we were all
> > monitoring our
> > scanners to find out where the competition is delivering to. We also
> > like to
> > listen in on our competitor's cordless phone whenever they are taking
> > an
> > order. This helps us covertly learn which toppings are "hot" this week.
> > However, as far as which frequencies "do we use".....Hah! Good
> > luck
> > pal. We now all use full DES digital encryption.
> > You really think we would openly transmit TOP SECRET pizza messages
> > that
> > anyone with a $99.00 Radio Shack scanner could intercept. Pahleese.
> > I'm
> > sorry, the days of being able to hear "in the clear" pizza
> > transmissions are
> > long over.

> >
> > Phil (not my real name)
>

5472. Bearcat 895XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 03:55:02 UTC
From: iso50@execpc.com
Message-ID: <39b5c016$0$62633$726baab@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 80204

I just bought this scanner. Can anyone tell me how the RMT key works?

5473. Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 03:55:59 UTC
From: Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for Cellular? Thanks.

Reuben Marcus

5474. Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 03:58:18 UTC
From: Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
Message-ID: <srbg3u10ljn109@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage with no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or does such and animal not exist? THANKS.

Reuben

5475. trunking help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:01:23 UTC
From: kqr573 <kqr573@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uP7i#a7FAHA.198@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 80208

I purchased a pro 2067 programmed the GE freqs and I can't get it to scan in the trunking mode Help?

5476. Re: Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:10:29 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Vsjt5.27625$QW4.359008@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Reuben:

No currently manufactured scanner radio sold in the U.S., to the general public, can be modified for cellular. This would include all trunktracking scanners.

Bill Crocker

"Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message news:srbg3u10ljn109@corp.supernews.com...
> Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage with
> no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or does
> such and animal not exist? THANKS.
>
>
>
> Reuben
>
>
>

5477. Re: Bearcat 895XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:11:34 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Wtjt5.27626$QW4.358582@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80206

You hold it down for a few seconds, until you hear a beep, and see RMT on the LCD screen. You enable RMT mode when you have an interface cable, and software, for PC control.

Bill Crocker

"Andy White" <iso50@execpc.com> wrote in message news:39b5c016$0$62633$726baab@news.execpc.com...
> I just bought this scanner. Can anyone tell me how the RMT key works?

5478. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:17:26 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OZ8W9k7FAHA.358@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 80211

"Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
news:oYat5.44225$e11.343116@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> I am in Summit, New Jersey listening to the police

http://www.trunktracker.com

or by a copy of WINSCAN....at RS stores....

bill

5479. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:17:31 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B5C55B.6A59621@zylay.com>
Source record: 80212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gunner wrote:

> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
> >> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >> of years ago..
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >
> >A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
> >frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
> >or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
> >it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
>
> Gunner
>

Some radios are rather obvious and are considered illegal from the get-go. What are commonly called "export" radios are pretty easy to ID; Rangers, Galaxies, Tristars, Connexes, certain Presidents etc. If a radio-savvy cop (I know 2 that are hams) spots one of these brand-names on the faceplate of your radio, he would probably have probable cause. Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop actually enforcing FCC laws, and it might not even be within their jurisdiction, but it wouldn't surprise me if they did have the legal authority.

5480. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:17:39 UTC
From: best_before_'89 <ohmwork@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Message-ID: <39B5C563.97DF0D7A@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Source record: 80207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave Martindale wrote:

>
> But for shipments from the USA to Canada, most Canadians that import
> stuff have learned to avoid UPS at any cost.

I have on occasion 'won' items on eBay, and had the misfortune to have the items sent to Canada by UPS. On one package form California, the cost including shipping to me was about 40 dollars. I sent the money order. When the package got here, UPS charged me ANOTHER $38.00 for having to remit $1.26 to the government for 'tariff' fees. On another occasion, I 'won' a $10.00 set of machinist's guages. I sent the $10 and $6 shipping. I was at work when the truck came to the house, so my unknowing wife paid ANOTHER $25 to the truck driver for a 'brokerage' charge. Whatever savings I had made by my 'shrewd auctioneering' was lost, and then some. After that episode, I asked all shippers Not to use UPS for anything sent to me, as I will send it back. I hate UPS and I discourage people from using them every chance I get. They act like thieves and do not deserve your business.

mike in Canada...

5481. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:41:54 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B5CB7E.1F8C8534@home.com>
Source record: 80209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

According to the FCC docs that were posted on the BC-780 listserv, no it's not modifiable for cellular. Something about the circuit being fused in some sort of heat-applied material which would be diffcult, if not impossible.

Reuben wrote:

> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> Cellular? Thanks.
>
> Reuben Marcus

5482. Picket Fencing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:43:48 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B5CBEF.EA06A6A2@home.com>
Source record: 80210

Is there any way to lessen or get rid of the picket fencing which is so prevalent in the 150-160 MHz range while mobile. Some days it's so bad I can't listen to my local weather station.

5483. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:57:03 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8p4irh$fp6$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It won't. Uniden has had to submit to the FCC plenty of documentation - photos, descriptions and independent test results - to show that the 780 (as with ALL new scanners) cannot be easily modified to receive cellular frequencies.

Among other things is the basic certification to that effect, which you can see, in .pdf format, thru a link at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/92452pdf.htm

Harry

"Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message news:srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> Cellular? Thanks.
>
>
> Reuben Marcus
>
>

5484. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 04:59:27 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <8p4j69$456$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 80213

"Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
news:hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i
obtained
> from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the
majority of the
> time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my
town isnt
> using trunked. 3 questions
> 1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?

Yes, if they can get their cities to pay for the new equipment. But
it isn't easy. Why do you think so many police departments are still
not even using trunked systems?

> 2. How can I know if they are using trunked?

Your first clue that the system is trunked would be that if you lock
onto a particular frequency, you can't follow a conversation; you'd
hear one person talk, but wouldn't hear the other person answer.

> 3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?

Theoretically, I suppose they could, if they targeted your house with
a directional antenna, but in practice they don't care. In the US,
you currently have a legal right under Federal law to listen to them
from a radio in your home, as long as you don't tell anybody what you
hear. Listening to them from a mobile scanner in your car is another
matter; several states or cities have outlawed that.

> Thanks
>
>

5485. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 05:01:04 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8p4j34fva1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80215

"Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
news:hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i obtained
> from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the majority of
the
> time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my town
isnt
> using trunked. 3 questions
> 1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?

Yes they can, with various types of encryption, especially digital
encryption, spread spectrum, and as fancy as they want to pay for.

> 2. How can I know if they are using trunked?

See the other folks' replies.

> 3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?

Not if you keep the volume down, your mouth shut, and your name and city off
the internet ;-)

Harry

5486. Re: need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 05:16:50 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8p4k0q$g5g$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80216

http://www.ccrane.com
http://www.ccrane.com/bc3000xl.asp Battery $54.95
http://www.ccrane.com/scanner_accessories.asp rebuild yours with new
hi-capacity cells, $29.95
1-800-522-8863 free shipping

harry

"Tom K." <koestner@stic.net> wrote in message
news:8p30nm$aof@nnrp1.farm.idt.net...
> I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several
> months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that
they
> made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't seem
to
> find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt
battery
> packs. Thank's Tom
>
>

5487. Re: Radio Shack Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 05:26:38 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iAkt5.1316$JY2.529653@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80217

The Pro-94 is a good radio, the reason you don't hear too much about it is because it can not scan trunk and conventional at the same time. Other then this, it works just fine. The Pro-92a is also a very good radio, and the Bearcat 245 is still considered by many to be the best for trunking. As for what is in your area, just ask for a freq sheet at your local RS. Many RS stores provide local freq sheets as a public service for their customers.

I am currently building a new website that address all this and so much more. Still under construction, but you are welcome to check it out and follow the progress. http://www.rebel-radio.net

Good luck!
Jim

Mike <mikej91@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_Mir5.14720$_8.771466@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> What do you people think of the Pro-94? I am thinking of getting one. I
know
> I have heard a lot about Uniden being better, I am looking for Trunk
> Tracking, although I am not sure what system they use in this area. Are
> there still enough people using CB's and Ham Radios that I should be
> concerned with the frequencies below 29mhz? Also, If anyone knows how to
> find out what trunking system that an area or PD uses, just short of
asking
> them, please let me know. Thanks.
>
>
> --
> Mike John
> www.freelinksclub.com
>
>
>

5488. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 05:53:46 UTC
From: "Sal R." <srahman101@blast.net>
Message-ID: <1%kt5.216$zx3.7202@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 80218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know when this scanner will be availible,,

"Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
news:srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> Cellular? Thanks.
>
>
> Reuben Marcus
>
>

5489. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 06:01:24 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39b5db38.46803984@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 00:17:31 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>>
>> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
>> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
>>
>> Gunner
>>
>
>Some radios are rather obvious and are considered illegal from the get-go. What are
>commonly called "export" radios are pretty easy to ID; Rangers, Galaxies, Tristars,
>Connexes, certain Presidents etc. If a radio-savvy cop (I know 2 that are hams) spots
>one of these brand-names on the faceplate of your radio, he would probably have
>probable cause. Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny
>hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop actually
>enforcing FCC laws, and it might not even be within their jurisdiction, but it
>wouldn't surprise me if they did have the legal authority.

So.. having a radio in your possession that MAY be converted to out of channel
operations (See Copper for videos) is probable cause for confiscation of that
radio? Humm.. anyone see any parallels with certain black rifles?

So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
turned on.. and the savey cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits off
band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not the
same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?
(full auto sears/ parts in possetion notwithstanding..we know the misuse of
that system already).

Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running is
the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I committed
a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)

I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)

Sounds to me like someone is wanting to open up a big can of worms with this
situation, once again....

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort

the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5490. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 06:35:01 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Prlt5.9204$B54.25384@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80220

"TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com> wrote in message
news:azct5.167593$i5.2456390@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com...
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:JF%s5.7903$B54.21683@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Not directly. Okla. Highway Patrol uses 800 MHz trunking in the Okla.
City
> > metro area
>
>
> Are you sure about that?
>
Sure about what? That they don't have direct communications or that they use 800 MHz? Yes to both, actually. As I stated elsewhere, troopers CAN outfit their units with extra radios, scanners, etc, but the OHP/DPS will not pay for them. (Note: This may not be true for supervisers and the brass.) A big point was made in media coverage that Trooper Evans only had the patrol radios in his unit, and so had no way of knowing about the pursuit. I happen to know that in some areas the local sheriff or PD will supply a local radio to the troopers stationed in that county. For instance, the troopers stationed in Payne County (where I live) have VHF-high radios on several local freqs including PCSO (155.535) and mutual aid (155.490). Several also have UHF radios capable of transmiting on Stillwater PD's Ch. 3 (460.525). There's plenty of programmable gear out there, just look on ebay. Trooper Evans had only been out of the acadamy for little over a year, so he may not have had the spare cash to outfit his patrol unit, who knows? Back in '71, when I lived in Bartlesville, OK, the members of the local CB radio club chipped in and bought one of the first Regency scanners (TMR-8H) for the local trooper.

As to the 800 MHz OHP trunking, I refer you to page 370 of the 2000 Police Call, Vol. 7, which lists all the talkgroups for the OHP/DPS. I don't have a trunking scanner yet, (waiting for the BC-780), but I have monitored Troop A (Okla. City) on a conventional scanner on the listed 800 MHz freqs. The frequency used doesn't seem to hop around much, but as the load increases, that will undoubtedly change.

Mark K5MAR
Former 911/radio dispatcher - Stillwater Police

5491. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 06:52:01 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <LHlt5.9209$B54.24886@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:39b5db38.46803984@news.uia.net...
> On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 00:17:31 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
wrote:
>
>
> So.. having a radio in your possession that MAY be converted to out of
channel
> operations (See Copper for videos) is probable cause for confiscation of
that
> radio? Humm.. anyone see any parallels with certain black rifles?
>
> So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
> turned on.. and the savey cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits
off
> band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not
the
> same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?
> (full auto sears/ parts in possetion notwithstanding..we know the misuse
of
> that system already).
>
> Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running
is
> the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I
committed
> a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)
>
Actually, yes, I think in the eyes of the FCC, you have. Just because they
dropped license requirements doesn't mean Part 95 has been pronounced null
and void. As I recall, according to Part 95, posession of a radio that has
been modified or is capable out of the box of being used outside the CB band
(or exceeds 4 watts) is a violation of FCC rules and can be confiscated and
the owner/operator fined. The same with the linear amp. You've got it,
it's hooked up to a CB radio, you're breaking the rules. Kinda like being
in posession of burglary tools, the police don't have to catch you actually
breaking in anywhere, just having them is enough. As to enforcement by
anybody besides the FCC, I don't know.

> I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
> have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)

Me, too. KDW-1411
>

> Sounds to me like someone is wanting to open up a big can of worms with
this
> situation, once again....

No arguments there.
Mark K5MAR

>
> Gunner
>
> -------------------------
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
PS: RAH really knew his stuff, didn't he? "Fraid I still haven't learned
how to astrogate, nor am I anxious to die just yet.

5492. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 07:17:53 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p4qqa$520$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Snip:Having the capability is not the
same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?

I read a FCC statute that if you just have in your possession a amplifier or
transmitter it shall be presumed it is for use.
If you are a jerk shouting "Hello Skipland" on 29.100 you deserve to be
busted but this post is not about Illegal operation in the amateur bands.
Radio operation is a privilege just like driving.....Not a right.
I know it sound like B.S but it sounds like enough to cause much of misery
with the legal system "ask anyone who's been accused of DWI" trying to prove
innocence or why you should have your Illegal stuff back.
"Mission accomplished for some square miserable bastard with nothing else to
do "
NOTE: I am 99 % positive I read it correctly, it was hard to find and almost
hidden.

This is a link Ramsey electronics' put up regarding all the things they did
or tried to do to him
http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/SoftCart.exe/scstore/Hframeset.htm?
L+scstore+sjph1446+968237349

For you scanner people: http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2512.html and
http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html#PC119 (the definitions)

Gunner wrote in message <39b5db38.46803984@news.uia.net>...
>On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 00:17:31 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
>>>
>>> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt
meter or
>>> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band
mods?
>>>
>>> Gunner
>>>
>>
>>Some radios are rather obvious and are considered illegal from the get-go.
What are
>>commonly called "export" radios are pretty easy to ID; Rangers, Galaxies,
Tristars,
>>Connexes, certain Presidents etc. If a radio-savvy cop (I know 2 that are
hams) spots
>>one of these brand-names on the faceplate of your radio, he would probably
have

>>probable cause. Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on
your tranny
>>hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop
actually
>>enforcing FCC laws, and it might not even be within their jurisdiction,
but it
>>wouldn't surprise me if they did have the legal authority.
>
>So.. having a radio in your possession that MAY be converted to out of
channel
>operations (See Copper for videos) is probable cause for confiscation of
that
>radio? Humm.. anyone see any parallels with certain black rifles?
>
>So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
>turned on.. and the savey cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits
off
>band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not
the
>same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?
>(full auto sears/ parts in possetion notwithstanding..we know the misuse of
>that system already).
>
>Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running is
>the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I
committed
>a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)
>
>I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
>have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)
>
>Sounds to me like someone is wanting to open up a big can of worms with
this
>situation, once again....
>
>Gunner
>
>--------------------------
>
>"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
>
>
>Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/

>homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5493. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 08:13:49 UTC
From: Strabo <strabo@flashmail.net>
Message-ID: <39B5FCBD.FF53F8CC@flashmail.net>
Source record: 80223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gunner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 00:17:31 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
> >>
> >> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
> >> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >>
> >
> >Some radios are rather obvious and are considered illegal from the get-go. What are
> >commonly called "export" radios are pretty easy to ID; Rangers, Galaxies, Tristars,
> >Connexes, certain Presidents etc. If a radio-savvy cop (I know 2 that are hams) spots
> >one of these brand-names on the faceplate of your radio, he would probably have
> >probable cause. Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny
> >hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop actually
> >enforcing FCC laws, and it might not even be within their jurisdiction, but it
> >wouldn't surprise me if they did have the legal authority.
>

> So.. having a radio in your possession that MAY be converted to out of channel
> operations (See Copper for videos) is probable cause for confiscation of that
> radio? Humm.. anyone see any parallels with certain black rifles?

No, but a little effort would probably show that he's part of a
"multi jurisdictional task force", easy enough to arrange, so
now each local jurisdiction essentially expands it powers of
probable cause ten fold and the revenue just flows in.

>
> So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
> turned on.. and the savey cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits off
> band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not the
> same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?
> (full auto sears/ parts in possetion notwithstanding..we know the misuse of
> that system already).

Burglar tools in the trunk? Execution on circumstantial evidence?
Paid informers? The lawyers have been working hard Gunner. There's
little that they can't use to make a case for most anything.

>
> Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running is
> the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I committed

> a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)

Of course not but that won't stop them from putting you in a cell
with an informer who will then swear that you told him that you
were planning to blow up some school.

>
> I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
> have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)

Damn that does go back. Circa 1968 or something like that.

None of these relate to crimes anyway but regulations. And
if you are not a licensee of these regulators, then...?

>
> Sounds to me like someone is wanting to open up a big can of worms with this
> situation, once again....
>
> Gunner
>
> --------------------------
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
> Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
> homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5494. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 08:46:56 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39B60480.A382090B@pacbell.net>
Source record: 80224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Doubtful .... very doubtful ...All new scanners are made so that they can't be modified for cell ...

Mike

Reuben wrote:

> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> Cellular? Thanks.
>
> Reuben Marcus

5495. pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 10:30:34 UTC
From: Robin Stubbs <rstubbs@ca.imrglobal.com>
Message-ID: <e1pt5.6$ze.4051938@nnrp1.tor.metronet.ca>
Source record: 80225

Looking for any mods or additions that will work with the pro-2040

5496. Re: TRADE: BC-895 trunker for PRO-26 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 10:31:37 UTC
From: rblb83@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000906063137.14102.00001184@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 80226

I have a pro-26. Not sure I want to sell or trade it. Why is this scanner so popular? It dosn't do anything special that I know about.

5497. Re: Old Scanner Operation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 11:07:37 UTC
From: Marty <caseype@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B64F27.4267774A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80227

Thanks , will tyr that

John LeMay wrote:

> In article <39B42582.F6551EA1@earthlink.net>, Marty
> <caseype@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > I have a Regency INF 50 Informant that I received used as a gift with no
> > instructions. Its has the following buttons arranged as shown:
> >
> > Police Fire Medical
> >
> > State Weather Delete
> >
> > Hold
>
> Not sure if this will help, but here goes. Scroll through the states until
> you find your state. Now press one of the service buttons - Police, Fire,
> or Medical. The scanner is preprogrammed with info regarding the services
> in your state as of the manufacture date of the scanner (well, a bit
> before that I suppose).

5498. Re: Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 11:12:53 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <vTIqJqD1ait5EwQw@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 80228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <srbg3u10ljn109@corp.supernews.com>, Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net>
writes

>Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage with
>no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or does
>such and animal not exist? THANKS.

It might depend on your meaning of "full coverage" but there is no
continuous coverage, 500kHz - 1000Mhz'ish handheld unit produced at the
moment with trunking capabilities :-(

--
Cheers,
JC
Receivers & Scanners from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

5499. Re: NY/NJ Scanner Guide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 14:25:04 UTC
From: tkaminsk@att.com
Message-ID: <8p5k37$hv2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80229

In article <20000905223653.01614.00002361@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
ken8038@aol.com (KEN8038) wrote:
> I used to order a Scanner Guide every few years from a local
publisher in New
> Jersey but I seem to have misplaced the name & address. Can anybody
help? It
> was a spiral bound listing of all NY/NJ area frequencies. I believe
the guy who
> put it out ran a printing company. Thanks. --Ken
>

see http://www.scannerworld.com/scnp41.htm for Scanner Master
or for a good online ref:
http://uweb.superlink.net/jcr1434/scanner/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5500. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 14:45:13 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <tHst5.16374$wS1.846575@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 80230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message

> So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
> turned on.. and the savvy cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits
off
> band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not
the
> same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?

...A modified CB rig, regardless of use or non-use, is illegal in the FCC's
eyes and would be confiscated.

> Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running
is
> the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I
committed
> a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)
> I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
> have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)

...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According to
FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will fall
on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")

...As a licensed amateur, you can legally own an 11 meter-capable amp as per
FCC regs..... although, having it hooked up to a CB would indeed open a
whole new can of worms for you with the FCC were your station to be
inspected.

5501. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 14:52:40 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <sOst5.16420$wS1.847370@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 80231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Strabo" <strabo@flashmail.net> wrote in message

> None of these relate to crimes anyway but regulations. And
> if you are not a licensee of these regulators, then...?

The requirement for licensing was dropped from the regulations for Citizen's Band... FCC regulation was not. Marine VHF communications recently underwent somewhat the same process (license no longer required for pleasure boats under 60').

5502. FS: Pro-26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 14:53:36 UTC
From: Scott Golden <scott.golden@lexis-nexis.com>
Message-ID: <01c01812$3a0aacf0$f3210c8a@lnxgoldsx2>
Source record: 80147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio is in great condition with original box and manual. Get details on
this radio at the following site:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/15613.htm

Asking $190

Email me for any details
scottgolden@earthlink.net

-Scott Golden

5503. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:19:13 UTC
From: Jesse <gunznrozez@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39B66071.931A6A24@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80200
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On top of that, I hear the federal goverment recently passed legislation making
it a 5 year felony to monitor the 'pizza' bands.

Jesse (my real name)

Phil and Alyce wrote:

> Kevin <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
> news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
> > Do you guys use two-way-radios?
> >
> > If so, what frequencies do you use?
>
> Rats,
> How did you find out that all "us" pizza delivery guys constantly check this
> NG?!?
> Yes it's true, when we are not delivering pizzas, we were all monitoring our
> scanners to find out where the competition is delivering to. We also like to
> listen in on our competitor's cordless phone whenever they are taking an
> order. This helps us covertly learn which toppings are "hot" this week.
> However, as far as which frequencies "do we use".....Hah! Good luck
> pal. We now all use full DES digital encryption.
> You really think we would openly transmit TOP SECRET pizza messages that
> anyone with a $99.00 Radio Shack scanner could intercept. Pahleese. I'm
> sorry, the days of being able to hear "in the clear" pizza transmissions are
> long over.
>
> Phil (not my real name)

5504. Re: TRADE: BC-895 trunker for PRO-26 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:30:45 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39B64705.807E3964@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 80232

Because it has wide frequency coverage (minus cellular in the US) and is a good performer. Very highly regarded scanner in most circles.

RBLB83 wrote:

> I have a pro-26. Not sure I want to sell or trade it. Why is this scanner so
> popular? It dosn't do anything special that I know about.

5505. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:31:30 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <hgocrsoml3bn69jirdo0r72imnqs7n6dm1@4ax.com>
Source record: 80234

On Tue, 05 Sep 2000 17:21:27 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
wrote:

> It could be - how would I know?

Use a pair of high fidelity headphones and listen to the scanner
through them instead. If you hear the hum, then it's probably coming
off the air. Also, you might try taking that tape recording and
feeding it in to your sound card. There are various Fourier transform
and spectrogram packages on the Internet. Most of them are free or
free-ware. If the hum is strictly 60 or 120 Hz, no matter what
channel you're listening to, you have a hum problem. If the hum
varies between 70 and 200 Hz, then it's CTCSS or DCS.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5506. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:32:41 UTC
From: "TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <tstt5.171067$i5.2490748@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 80233

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:Prlt5.9204$B54.25384@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> As to the 800 MHz OHP trunking, I refer you to page 370 of the 2000 Police
> Call, Vol. 7, which lists all the talkgroups for the OHP/DPS. I don't have
> a trunking scanner yet, (waiting for the BC-780), but I have monitored Troop
> A (Okla. City) on a conventional scanner on the listed 800 MHz freqs. The
> frequency used doesn't seem to hop around much, but as the load increases,
> that will undoubtedly change.

Well, just last year, I was up in Okla. City and listening to OHP/DPS on VHF.

5507. FS: Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:41:22 UTC
From: glapierre@seimac.com
Message-ID: <39b66587.594948492@news.seimac>
Source record: 80235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have these plug in crystals (for Realistic scanners) for sale:
They are in the 48 mhz range.
Formula is: for Example (48.0033 x 3) + 10.7 = 154.710 and are a BOMAR
brand name.

154.620 Mhz QTY OF 9
154.710 Mhz " 8
167.730 " 10
169.465 " 6
162.850 " 3
154.01 " 1
$ 3.00 ea. or 4 for $10.00 INCLUDING shipping.

Thanks,
Greg
glapierre@seimac.com

5508. CB Freq. on Bearcat 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:54:21 UTC
From: hhth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8p5pb1oqd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80237

I'm new to scanning so question may sound dumb
but i have to ask. Can I pick up CB on my scanner?
Thanks, Harry

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5509. Re: RCA RP-6150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 15:56:30 UTC
From: The Traveler <blacktop@asphalt.com>
Message-ID: <39B6696D.4C977868@asphalt.com>
Source record: 80236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> If you can't find the source of the noise, or isolate it, then it's probably
> a birdie. A birdie is an internal generated noise from the scanners own
> circuit. All scanners have birdies, some more than others, and not always
> on the same frequency. Unless it falls on top of an actual frequency you're
> trying to monitor, I wouldn't worry about it.

Many thanks for the info. The reason I am trying to find out what is going on, is because I monitor the old Southern Pacific road channel 1 (160.320 MHz) and the noise occasionally will break through the squelch, either steadily or intermittently, and when I turn up the squelch to try to keep the scanner from being stuck on that channel, that has the effect of quashing the weaker signals on all the others, which is simply irritating. :)

Further observations: Performing a search up and down from 160.320 MHz, I found what appeared to be a dead carrier at 160.240 MHz and turning the squelch up all the way will not cover it up. The buzzing noise heard on 160.320 MHz isn't there all the time. A lot of times it's there, sometimes it's not. It kinda depends on the location, but I can't tell why any particular location would have whatever effect. When I plugged in 160.240 into my little Bearcat 70XLT, I got nothing but static.

I guess the logical question would be: Is this particular "birdie" on 160.240 present in ALL units of this particular model scanner, does it vary from unit to unit of the same model where they occur? If it varies, maybe exchanging it for another unit might be the remedy?

--

We have all heard that a million monkeys banging on a million typewriters will eventually reproduce the entire works of Shakespeare...Thanks to AOL, we know this is not possible.

5510. Re: CB Freq. on Bearcat 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 16:10:30 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <W%tt5.21499$Z4.768194@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 80238

```
01 26.965 MHz 15 27.135 MHz 28 27.285 MHz
02 26.975 MHz 16 27.155 MHz 29 27.295 MHz
03 26.985 MHz 17 27.165 MHz 30 27.305 MHz
04 27.005 MHz 18 27.175 MHz 31 27.315 MHz
05 27.015 MHz 19 27.185 MHz 32 27.325 MHz
06 27.025 MHz 20 27.205 MHz 33 27.335 MHz
07 27.035 MHz 21 27.215 MHz 34 27.345 MHz
08 27.055 MHz 22 27.225 MHz 35 27.355 MHz
09 27.065 MHz 23 27.255 MHz 36 27.365 MHz
10 27.075 MHz 24 27.235 MHz 37 27.375 MHz
11 27.085 MHz 25 27.245 MHz 38 27.385 MHz
12 27.105 MHz 26 27.265 MHz 39 27.395 MHz
13 27.115 MHz 27 27.275 MHz 40 27.405 MHz
14 27.125 MHz

<hhth@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8p5pb1$oqd$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'm new to scanning so question may sound dumb
> but i have to ask. Can I pick up CB on my scanner?
> Thanks, Harry
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
```

5511. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 16:24:22 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8p5r3m$8ot@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 80239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:tHst5.16374$wS1.846575@nntp2.onemain.com...
The argument that it's not in use will fall
> on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
> got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")
>
Now if you promise that you are going to inhale it there is some precedence
their.

5512. Re: CB Freq. on Bearcat 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 16:26:26 UTC
From: Wyo_Mike
Message-ID: <39b6717c_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 80240

According to some specifications for the BC245XLT that I found on the internet, this scanner will not pick up CBs. CB freqs are between 26.965 and 27.405 Mhz and the 245 only goes down to 29.0 Mhz. The easiest(cheapest) way to get the CBs would be to find a cheap used one.

Mike

hhth@my-deja.com wrote in message <8p5pb1oqd1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I'm new to scanning so question may sound dumb
>but i have to ask. Can I pick up CB on my scanner?
>Thanks, Harry
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5513. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 17:07:41 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B679DD.A5D2D058@zylay.com>
Source record: 80241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> "Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
>
> > So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
> > turned on.. and the savvy cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits
> off
> > band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not
> the
> > same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?

>
> ...A modified CB rig, regardless of use or non-use, is illegal in the FCC's
> eyes and would be confiscated.
>
> > Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running
> is
> > the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I
> committed
> > a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)
> > I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
> > have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)
>

I saw a reply to my last post at home that I was planning on replying to
from work, but I don't see it here.

When I was referring to the "export" radios, I wasn't talking about
modded or modifiable radios, but certain types or brands that did just
not have type acceptance by the FCC and so had been declared illegal
based on the brand-name. Radios like Galaxies (I loved my Saturn),
Connexes, Rangers etc are not even legal to posess without a ham
license, and I've heard that they're outlawing them altogether as few
hams use them for their legal purpose. Comparing a modded/modifiable CB
(I think the Grant might be on the list of banned radios also) to an
export CB is sort of like the difference between an AR-15 and an M-60
for hunting. One may or may not be legal according to the mods done to
it, while the other is almost a sure bet to land you in jail, even if it
was just the deputy sheriff who caught you with it. BTW, if modifiable
was the criteria they used, then almost any radio on the market would be
illegal as they can all be modded in some way or another that would
render them capable of illegal transmissions.

In return for not requiring proof of radio theory knowledge or the morse
code (required by international treaty) by taking a licensing test, the
radios that are allowed in 11-meter band are strictly regulated (not

necessarily enforced) and certified to prevent interference or safety hazards. I am not endorsing or defending these laws (I got interested in ham radio through SSB on the high channels myself), just explaining my earlier statements about outlaw CBs.

I do think that anyone experimenting with modded CBs should at the very least take the time to educate themselves to the problems they might cause and the potential hazards involved, and understand that what they are doing is illegal. Once someone understands that, the consequences are based on their decisions.

I wonder if some of these truckers really think it is such a good idea to pump 800 watts into a mirror-mounted firestick a couple of feet from their head/eyes after showing their buddies how their little footwarmer will light up a lightbulb when they key down?

Gene
KD4OUN

5514. Re: need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 17:37:45 UTC
From: "Tom K." <koestner@stic.net>
Message-ID: <8p5v6o$cl@nnrp4.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 80242

Thanks for all for the links - I'll probably go with the nicad lady..
Thanks Tom
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:8p4k0q$g5g$1@news.snowcrest.net...
> http://www.ccrane.com
> http://www.ccrane.com/bc3000xl.asp Battery $54.95
> http://www.ccrane.com/scanner_accessories.asp rebuild yours with new
> hi-capacity cells, $29.95
> 1-800-522-8863 free shipping
>
> harry
>
>
> "Tom K." <koestner@stic.net> wrote in message
> news:8p30nm$aof@nnrp1.farm.idt.net...
> > I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several
> > months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that
> they
> > made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't
seem
> to
> > find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt
> battery
> > packs. Thank's Tom
> >
> >
>
>

5515. Re: Mil convoy freqs wtd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 17:45:25 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000906134525.00262.00003815@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 80243

>30-87.975MHz
>
>

Well, the 7 1/2 years I was in the army, we never went above 65 or 70 mhz...

>In the days before SINCGARS that was somewhat true -- for units in
>combat/combat-support related operations (including exercises) that use
>the 30-88MHz 'Fox-Mike' band.
>
> But there's a lot of fixed-channel stuff out there too.

We always changed freqs, if we were in the non-freq hopping mode on the
SINCGARS. The only fixed channel stuff you'll find are things like range
control and other non-field based units.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5516. Re: Messed up scanner or phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 17:47:52 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000906134752.00262.00003816@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 80244

Tsk tsk tsk.. that's illegal.. and you want us to help! My goodness, we'd be co-conspirators in your crime wave of cordless phone monitoring. :)

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

5517. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 18:05:14 UTC
From: noaj@yournet.com
Message-ID: <39b686c5.1580884@news.preferred.com>
Source record: 80245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 10:45:13 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
wrote:

(snip)

>...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According to
>FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
>illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will fall
>on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
>got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")

Only if there was a law in the US Code or a state law outlawing
possession of a ham radio by a non-licensed person. The comparison
isn't valid if no such law exists, since there is a law against
possession of pot.

(snip)

It's sort of like a person violating a part of the UCMJ while they
aren't in the military. The UCMJ only applies to military personnel.

Noah Simoneaux

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5518. Dave's Scanner Desk Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 18:54:18 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@home.com>
Message-ID: <upwt5.56681$eR5.1596395@news1.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 80246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.astro.satellites.visual-observe

Hi All,

Dave's Scanner Desk (Durham Region) has been updated, with an all new look
and new information.

-Scanner frequencies for Durham Region Canada area.
-Weather photos and info for Southern Ontario.
-Compass/RESCU camera shots of Highway 401/DVP and the Lakeshore.
-Military information for CAF, USA and NORAD.
-Space (CSA/NASA) related information.
-STS Plus and STS Update Satellite Tracking files and information.
-Railway, Ontario Provincial Police and other specialized pages.

Also join the Scanner and Communications RingSite that groups all sites that
deal with scanners and radio commmunications. A easy way to advertise your
site, via other Ring Sites. See the bottom of the home page for more
information.

--
David Bate (davebate@home.com)
Bowmanville, Ontario, Canada
Home : http://scannerdesk.mypage.org
or : http://members.home.net/davebate
ACARS : http://acars-ontario.fly.to
e-Groups: http://www.egroups.com/group/scannerdesk
ICQ 9344489 - NYSING 191

Network Analyst (dbate@rci.rogers.com)
Rogers Communications Inc.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free at Dave's Scanner Desk.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.185 / Virus Database: 88 - Release Date: 19/08/2000

5519. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 19:16:46 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B698C2.66A4E963@home.com>
Source record: 80148
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I heard that if you listen to pizza transmissions you are likely to your
crust stuffed!!

Kevin wrote:
>
> Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>
> If so, what frequencies do you use?

5520. FA New Kenwood SP-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 19:17:54 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <klbt5.1222$Xm.6623@news1.atl>
Source record: 80258
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=429088482

5521. FA New PCR-100CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 19:20:58 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cLbt5.1231$Xm.6660@news1.atl>
Source record: 80259
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=429125127

5522. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 19:53:23 UTC
From: Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
Message-ID: <39B6A0B3.CE199BA6@ios.com>
Source record: 80149

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Tue, 05 Sep 2000 17:21:27 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
> wrote:
>
> > It could be - how would I know?
>
> Use a pair of high fidelity headphones and listen to the scanner
> through them instead. If you hear the hum, then it's probably coming

Thanks for the info. I had thought of filtering, but would like to be
able to listen and record right off of the air. I'll try your other
suggestions - thanks!

Frank Carson
N3OCW

5523. I need repair 49 MHz walkie talkie info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 20:28:00 UTC
From: Larry <larryebel@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8p69es$la9$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 80152

Hi I need walkie talkie repair info for to fix a
older pair of walkie talkies for a someone.
Are there any websites or hook up info.
What are the crystals for receive or transmitt?
Also plenty of info on how to pick them up far
far away on 49.860MHz. Thanks.

5524. Fred the idiot! Re: Pargradio the FAG Re: Corky Mackey's Military Branch = Girl Scouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 20:32:32 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39B6A9DF.EA8CF38C@yok.com>
Source record: 80150

Put more time into your replies you stupid fag.

fred wrote:

> Dumbass? Dumbass!?
>
> Uh, huh huh. Yea. That was cool, Beavis.
>
> (talk about "clever"....sheesh!)
>
> On Sun, 03 Sep 2000 22:54:59 -0800, juj <coag@yua.com> wrote:
>
> >That was such a clever play of words dumbass.
> >
> >
> >
> >Pargradio wrote:
> >
> >> And they are trying to ban your kind from the Boy Scouts.
> >>
> >> >From: juj coag@yua.com
> >>
> >> >I bet mackey belongs to this branch so he can hone in on
> >> >the little ladies.
> >
> >

5525. Fred the idiot! Re: Too much Corky crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 20:33:31 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39B6AA1A.31331796@yok.com>
Source record: 80151

No actually YOU are the worst offender besides mackey
himself fred flintstone.

fred wrote:

> On 02 Sep 2000 00:34:21 GMT, thehamguy1@aol.com (Hamguy) wrote:
>
> >Well Mr. Mental
> >Are you going too shutup about Mackey while he is gone or not?
>
> Why do you INSIST on keeping this thread alive????????
>
> You are the WORST offender.

5526. FOR SALE: Radio Shack PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 20:45:37 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39b6ace6.3810533@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80153

Greetings!

I have decided to sell my PRO-2052 scanner, since I never use it. I only use a scanner while at work, and this one has never even been powered up; it was basically an impulse purchase. It comes with two years left on it's service plan.

It's on Ebay at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694

Thanks!
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0xA646B239>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x3554CA4F>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500, whichever is greater, for each violation."

5527. Re: FOR AUCTION.....NOT FOR SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:10:28 UTC
From: icomfan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8p6brh$g6n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80156

In article <39b6ace6.3810533@news.earthlink.net>,
akinius@nospam.net (Scott S. Atkins) wrote:
> Greetings!

> It's on Ebay at:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694
>

If you put FA or AUCTION in the title of the message it helps those of
us who tend to expect FOR SALE ads to be items for sale.....not for
auction.

Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5528. Discone Costs? Blacksburg VA Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:10:34 UTC
From: Victor Vanderberg <victor1@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <8p6bpj$t07$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 80154

Ok a few questions:

1) Is a discone an ok choice for an Apt setting or is there something better out there that people are using? 25MHz - 900MHz

2) Where's the best place to get a good discone for my scanner? (Cheaper the better)

3) Anyone in Virginia Tech , Blacksburg VA interested in scanning? Drop me some frequencies to listen in on.

4) Icom 706 ,the first one. (Ok not a scanner question but geared to radio enthusiasts nonetheless). I remember hearing people say that there was a mod for these babies to achieve 500Hz to 200MHz with no gaps. Anything new on this? This was a big topic about 2 years ago. (not the white wire mod thing.. all the way up to 200MHz)

Thanks! Im just getting back into some radio fun:) Happy Scanning!

-Victor

5529. scanner / discone / Blacksburg / Icom 706

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:11:23 UTC
From: Victor Vanderberg <victor1@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <8p6br5$t0m$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 80155

Ok a few questions:

1) Is a discone an ok choice for an Apt setting or is there something better out there that people are using? 25MHz - 900MHz

2) Where's the best place to get a good discone for my scanner? (Cheaper the better)

3) Anyone in Virginia Tech , Blacksburg VA interested in scanning? Drop me some frequencies to listen in on.

4) Icom 706 ,the first one. (Ok not a scanner question but geared to radio enthusiasts nonetheless). I remember hearing people say that there was a mod for these babies to achieve 500Hz to 200MHz with no gaps. Anything new on this? This was a big topic about 2 years ago. (not the white wire mod thing.. all the way up to 200MHz)

Thanks! Im just getting back into some radio fun:) Happy Scanning!

-Victor
victor1@vt.edu

5530. Re: Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:24:07 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XByt5.3019$98.487284@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80157

Here is a link that will give you the entire list of launch frequencies for both the Kennedy Space Center (shuttle) and the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (all unmanned launches).

If you are local, the 146.94 retransmitts NASA audio (countdown chatter, control room chatter, along with official NASA commentary)

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scanner/launch.htm

If you are local, the link: http://home.cfl.rr.com/scanner

has all frequencies for brevard and local counties. best scanner site around!

cheers

"Tom Orle" <to@att.net> wrote in message
news:39b1cb79.18995933@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, KSC is getting ready to launch the space shuttle Atlantis on
> Sept. 8 for an 11 day mission to the ISS.
>
> Anybody know any frequencies they're using??
>
> Last time I saw a landing I noticed someone using a scanner in the
> 800mhz band. I was unable to find out the exact freqs though.
>
> Thanks for any info,
>
> -=Tom=-
>

5531. Re: FRS! in canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:41:52 UTC
From: John <dc2daylight@home.com>
Message-ID: <ASyt5.12866$a5.182275@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 80158

> If I'm not mistaken, FRS radios have a max. transmitt output of .5
> watts, whereas CB radios have an typical output of 5 watts (or more ?).

True enough, but the "goons" have been hard at work wreaking new forms of havoc. I read recently problems with people buying GMRS equipment (with no licence of course) and using it in place of FRS applications (some of the frequencies are shared anyways). It's only a matter of time...

Maybe 11 meters will become useful again? (food for thought)

John

5532. Re: Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:49:24 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EZyt5.12480$vm4.2610138@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Australian version of the bearcat 245, called the UBC-245XLT, is the only trunk reciever with the widest coverage to date, 66-88MHz low VHF coverage rather than 29-54MHz 12.5Khz steps on VHF 137-174MHz and Continuous 800MHz. However getting this baby is rather difficult. It may be available through Javiation http://www.javiation.co.uk/ soon. As for the widest bandcoverage without trunk, the AOR AR8200 MKII is the grand daddy, 540kHz to 2040mHz CONTINUOUS no blocks or breaks on european export versions. Bit pricy, but this radio can do just about everything (except trunk), and do it better too. Simply love mine!!

Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
news:srbg3u10ljn109@corp.supernews.com...
> Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage
with
> no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or
does
> such and animal not exist? THANKS.
>
>
>
> Reuben
>
>
>

5533. Re: Hum in recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:50:07 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <srdf347hljn44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80160

Yes, but they may not be inaudible if the recorder only needs about 20 microvolts of audio, and the scanner is feeding it a couple hundred millivolts ! This is a common problem with recorder hookups, and happens because the hum in the line, while supressed enough to not be heard by the speaker, is blastingly loud to the tape recorder.

"Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message news:39b567e5.124196344@nntp.cts.com...
> On Tue, 05 Sep 2000 17:21:27 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com> wrote:
>
> >Jake Brodsky wrote:
> >
> >> On Sat, 02 Sep 2000 20:39:56 -0400, Frank Carson <frcarson@ios.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I'm sure this has been posted before - but I apparently
> >> >missed it! Can anyone help me get rid of the annoying "hum"
> >> >when I record from a portable scanner, etc to a tape
> >> >recorder? Is there a simple filter that can be built?
> >>
> >> Are you sure you aren't hearing a PL (AKA CTCSS) tone or DCS on the
> >> signal? The audio on your scanner probably filters out such things.
> >> But a tape recorder would probably pick up such things.
> >
> > It could be - how would I know?
>
> I don't see why there'd be a difference. Presumably he's going out of the
> scanner's earphone jack into the tape recorder. Inside the scanner the
> audio from the volume control feeds both the headphone amp and the speaker
> amp - maybe the same amp. There's no reason why CTCSS tones would get out
> through one path and not the other. If they're inaudible in the scanner
> speaker they'd also be inaudible after being recorded and played back.
>
> By the way, Frank, is the tape recorder mono or stereo? And what kind of
> jack are you going into on the tape recorder? If it's a microphone jack
> you'll have problems from overload. You want a "line in" jack. If the
> recorder doesn't have one, R/S has a patch cord with a built-in
> attenuator. If it's a STEREO line in jack, remember that the scanner
> earphone jack is mono - if you use a multimedia patch cable (1/8" stereo
> phone plugs on each end) one channel will be open and might pick up a lot
> of hum; you want a mono-plug-to-stereo-jack adapter at the scanner end in
> this case.
>
> --- jeh

>

5534. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 21:56:21 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <94zt5.12484$vm4.2612463@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Last I heard the date has been pushed back to Oct/Nov, which means we'll be lucky to see it by Christmas.

Sal R. <srahman101@blast.net> wrote in message news:1%kt5.216$zx3.7202@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> Does anyone know when this scanner will be availible,,
>
>
> "Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
> news:srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> > Cellular? Thanks.
> >
> >
> > Reuben Marcus
> >
> >
>
>

5535. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:00:22 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W7zt5.12488$vm4.2613686@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I will no doubt get the 780 just for trunking, but the new AR8600 base/mobil
is the one to get for full continuous coverage and a huge alpha display,
check it out... http://www.rebel-radio.net/scanners.html
Jim

Reuben <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
news:srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> Cellular? Thanks.
>
>
> Reuben Marcus
>
>

5536. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:21:06 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <mrzt5.12496$vm4.2620988@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Noah Simoneaux" <noaj@yournet.com> wrote in message
news:39b686c5.1580884@news.preferred.com...
>
> >...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According
to
> >FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
> >illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will
fall
> >on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer,
I've
> >got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")
>
> Only if there was a law in the US Code or a state law outlawing
> possession of a ham radio by a non-licensed person. The comparison
> isn't valid if no such law exists, since there is a law against
> possession of pot.
>
> It's sort of like a person violating a part of the UCMJ while they
> aren't in the military. The UCMJ only applies to military personnel.

Several participants in this thread have their laws, rules and regulations, and levels of authority and jurisdiction mixed up.

CB - no individual or station license required, but technical regulations are still in force and apply to anyone within the FCC's area of jurisdiction (USA and territories).

This means that possession of an external RF power amplifier ("linear" - although that is only one kind of amplification and is the main type used for AM mode) that is designed or adjusted to work in the CB band is NOT PERMITTED FOR ANYONE in the above-mentioned areas. If you are a ham and have an 11-meter "footwarmer" and it is tuned to be maximally efficient in the 11-meter band, you are in violation. However, if your originally-11-meter amplifier is tuned to 10 meters or some other band, there is no apparent violation for a licensed ham operator. (The FCC might still ask for some form of certification or proof that the amplifier cannot be operated on 10 meters.)

FCC has authority to regulate radios and their use all over the United States and its possessions and territories. It doesn't matter if any individual is licensed or not; the regs apply to everyone. If you're unlicensed, transmitting is prohibited. If you're licensed, the other regulations regarding proper operation and technical specs apply to you.

Local police departments do not have jurisdiction to enforce FCC regulations. That is a Federal function, usually carried out by (depending on circumstances) the FCC itself, the FBI, and/or the United States Marshalls. A knowledgeable police officer may bring your unauthorized radio to the FCC or DOJ's attention, but he may not make an arrest or confiscate the equipment UNLESS there is a violation of some other statute that is within his power to enforce. If the officer knows that much about radio, she should also know all about the jurisdictional issues.

In the case of an 11 meter amplifier, a local officer could charge you with a relevant motor vehicle equipment violation if such regulation exists. If your radio transmissions are causing interference with audio or radio equipment belonging to someone else, you could be charged with some sort of "disturbing the peace" or "disorderly conduct" offense. Naturally, if you fail to cooperate fully with the officer to the extent required by law under the circumstances of your encounter, you can be charged with disorderly conduct or even some form of obstruction of justice regardless of jurisdiction on any radio issues.

So, in short, while the police do not have jurisdiction over your CB radio, it will not be productive to rub their noses in the fact.

73 de Dave, NF2G
Graduate Student
University at Albany School of Criminal Justice

5537. Re: Gone digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:24:04 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8uzt5.12498$vm4.2621891@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80164
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

As I understand it most pizza shops have gone to trunk digital encryption
because of competitors stealing their customers.

Kevin <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote in message
news:qrt7rssvul067jfubmhlvke7dv73r492u4@news.darkforest.net...
> Do you guys use two-way-radios?
>
> If so, what frequencies do you use?

5538. Re: Picket Fencing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:35:05 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8p6gph$9sh@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 80167

A bigger antenna (more gain) or a more sensitive receiver. Other than that,
there isn't much you can do if the signal is weak.

Brett - N7OLQ

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39B5CBEF.EA06A6A2@home.com...
> Is there any way to lessen or get rid of the picket fencing which is so
> prevalent in the 150-160 MHz range while mobile. Some days it's so bad
> I can't listen to my local weather station.
>

5539. Re: Mods in variuos ranges....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:35:45 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5Fzt5.12500$vm4.2625118@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80165

Forget about it. Your better off just buying the european unblocked export version.

Jeeper <postaljeep@email.com> wrote in message news:39b092df.34155461@news.iglobal.net...
> What's involved in modifying freq ranges or unblocking freq's that
> have unconstitutionally been blocked? Do I need to hire somebody or
> can a novice with an average IQ do it?

5540. Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:39:20 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 80166
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.

We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend. We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.

The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.

"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my scanner discone antenna and coax.

Bruce
Huntsville, Al

5541. Re: need batteries for bc3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:57:15 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8p6i33$aoa@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 80169

Also try www.metrowestscan.com

Brett - N7OLQ

"Tom K." <koestner@stic.net> wrote in message
news:8p30nm$aof@nnrp1.farm.idt.net...
> I am trying to find a replacement battery pack for my 3000xlt. Several
> months ago I came accross a web page who had higher capacity packs that
they
> made for this radio - but now that my battery is almost shot I can't seem
to
> find the web address. Does amybody have a good source for bc3000xlt
battery
> packs. Thank's Tom
>
>

5542. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 22:58:18 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <e_zt5.12516$vm4.2632906@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80168

Why the need to block out???

As long as the FCC doesn't block this NG I say scan it unblocked and
continuous.
You don't have to read all the mesages, and what would happen if you read
something you didn't like??

BLOCK FREE NEWSGROUP SCANNING ASSCOCIATION

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:sr29j821c5d100@corp.supernews.com...
> YOU "block em". Use your filters. No filters ? Get a real news
reader......
> Too lazy to set them up ? Then dont complain.... Too nosy to leave them on
?
> Same answer.......
>
> "Jeeper" <postaljeep@email.com> wrote in message
> news:39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net...
> > Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
> > here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!
>
>

5543. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 23:42:50 UTC
From: sideband@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39B6D67A.6F2608DC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 80171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Noah Simoneaux wrote:

> On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 10:45:13 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
> wrote:
>
> (snip)
>
> >...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According to
> >FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
> >illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will fall
> >on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
> >got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")
>
> Only if there was a law in the US Code or a state law outlawing
> possession of a ham radio by a non-licensed person. The comparison
> isn't valid if no such law exists, since there is a law against
> possession of pot.
>
> (snip)
>
> It's sort of like a person violating a part of the UCMJ while they
> aren't in the military. The UCMJ only applies to military personnel.
>
> Noah Simoneaux

An amp is not a radio. It is illegal for non hams to posses an amp capable of being used on 11 meters and having one will lose you both the amp and your radio if you get caught. The radios he is talking about are also illegal in the US. Not because the may or may not be modified, but because they are not type accepted by the FCC for sale/use in the US.

5544. FA: Headset 2 days left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-06 23:50:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey P. Zurio" <jeffzurio@idcnet.com>
Message-ID: <39B6D828.482E1E2E@idcnet.com>
Source record: 80170

Motorolla Talkabout Headset New in Box. 2 days left.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423958182

5545. Re: Picket Fencing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:15:33 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <39b6dde9.122826316@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 80172

If you are using a 1/4 wave antenna, try switching to a 5/8 wave like the radio shack mag mount 2 meter.

On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 16:35:05 -0600, "Brett - N7OLQ" <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com> wrote:

>A bigger antenna (more gain) or a more sensitive receiver. Other than that,
>there isn't much you can do if the signal is weak.
>
>Brett - N7OLQ
>
>"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
>news:39B5CBEF.EA06A6A2@home.com...
>> Is there any way to lessen or get rid of the picket fencing which is so
>> prevalent in the 150-160 MHz range while mobile. Some days it's so bad
>> I can't listen to my local weather station.
>>
>

Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

5546. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:39:28 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p6obp$cf0d6$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifiable for
> Cellular?

It WON'T be, it's AGAINST the law! This applies to each and every scanner
made since ECPA-no exceptions.

Roland

5547. Re: I need repair 49 MHz walkie talkie info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:49:17 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p6ou4$cesv9$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80174

> Hi I need walkie talkie repair info for to fix a
> older pair of walkie talkies for a someone.
> Are there any websites or hook up info.
> What are the crystals for receive or transmit?
> Also plenty of info on how to pick them up far
> away on 49.860MHz. Thanks.

If you can't do the work yourself, it would not pay. The labor costs are such that it is much cheaper to buy a new pair and chuck the old ones.

Roland

5548. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:52:18 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B6E6C2.7820307@zylay.com>
Source record: 80185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

I couldn't find the regs on the FCC site, but here is what I found on REACT's page.
Not guaranteed to be accurate.

http://www.reactintl.org/rules-cb.htm

5549. Re: NY/NJ Scanner Guide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:52:48 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8p6p4m$c7d2t$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 80175

> I used to order a Scanner Guide every few years from a local publisher in
New
> Jersey but I seem to have misplaced the name & address. Can anybody help?
It
> was a spiral bound listing of all NY/NJ area frequencies. I believe the
guy who
> put it out ran a printing company.

Are you thinking of Warren Silverman's Scanner Master?

Roland

5550. Re: Using the Scout-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 00:59:46 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@101freeway.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <8SBt5.44171$yT4.448963@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 80176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No. You just need the correct cables. Opto sells them.

--
Glenn Mitchell.
http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm

"Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
news:sr3fkj5jc5d165@corp.supernews.com...
> The question should read:
>
> Does the AOR 8000, 8200 or Icom IC-R10 need to be modified to use the CI-V
> interface with a Scout-40? Thanks..
>
>
>
> Reuben
>
>
>
>

5551. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 01:33:42 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80178
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
Just how secure do you think this makes your home??

As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house and grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should be home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know instantly what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It wouldn't take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how to disarm it quickly.

Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure at least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000. But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a true *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get into hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty. I could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your secured grounds.

The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of mind, they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and monitored!

I do wish you the best of luck!

Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net...
> Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>
> We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so

> we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>
> The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>
> "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> scanner discone antenna and coax.
>
> Bruce
> Huntsville, Al

5552. Re: Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 01:34:33 UTC
From: "J. L. Webb" <jlwebb@one.net>
Message-ID: <39B6F0A9.FEED37E5@one.net>
Source record: 80177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Reuben wrote:

> Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage with
> no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or does
> such and animal not exist? THANKS.
>

You can't legally obtain such a radio in a "free country" like the USA, perhaps
you could visit one of the many "unfree countries" and get one there.

5553. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 01:47:04 UTC
From: Neil Schimler <neilz@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <8p6s94ahf1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80179
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
>
> As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your
> home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house
and
> grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should
be
> home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
> before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know
instantly
> what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It
wouldn't
> take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how
to
> disarm it quickly.
>
> Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure
at
> least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
> designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of
> them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price
> for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next
> to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
> But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a
true
> *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get
into
> hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
> satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in
> to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty.
I
> could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as
> soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your
secured
> grounds.
>
> The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of

mind,
> they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
> house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and
> monitored!
>
> I do wish you the best of luck!
>
It depends where you live. Here in NYC I am told by my friend (NYC
policeman) that the monitoring companies do not respond when the alarm goes
off.
If that is the case, he is better with the RS device. The only ones that
will always respond is the police. You don't have to spend $1200 for that.

5554. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:02:17 UTC
From: Rodney Isom <rodneyi@noooospam.hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <srdtnh8cljn44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80180

I used to work for Domino's & we never used radios. I never heard of any other pizza delivery companies using them either. We always made the pizzas to order and delivered them one at a time unless there were a couple of addresses close together. We never carried extra pizzas in the car, so we didn't need to contact the store while driving.

I dunno if that's the case for all pizza delivery companies.

Rodney

****

Sir_speedy <Sir_Speedy@half-baked.net> wrote in message news:tdqdrskidvrtdtpd9fh89lml8q26bggbpn@news.flatiron.anvil.vom...
> On Mon, 04 Sep 2000 12:31:33 -0700, Kevin <Kevin@no-spam.darkforest.net> wrote:
>
> >Do you guys use two-way-radios?
> >
> >If so, what frequencies do you use?
>
> Couldn't find any listing for pizza deliverys,
> but I did find Fast Food Drive-Through freqs.
>
>
> Freq. callsign comapny radio state
> service
>
> 30.8400 KNNJ609 JACK IN THE BOX JB223 IB CA
> 30.8400 KNNS224 JACK IN THE BOX JB262 IB CA
> 30.8400 KNNS231 BOSTON MARKET BC873 IB CA
> 30.8400 KNNS534 TACO BELL TB17627 IB CA
> 30.8400 KNNT852 JACK IN THE BOX JB3338 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPIA691 JACK IN THE BOX 285 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPIK530 DEL TACO DT907 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPIP336 ARBYS REST 808 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPIS896 DEL TACO DT03 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPIV334 DEL TACO 11 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPJG496 CIRCLE K 5251 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPJS937 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 30.8400 WPJT964 WENDYS REST IB CA
> 30.8400 WPKB526 DEL TACO IB CA
> 30.8400 WPKC611 TEXACO SERVICE IB CA
> 30.8400 WPKE225 DEL TACO IB CA
> 30.8400 WPKH899 MC DONALDS REST IB CA

> 30.8400 WPKQ668 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 30.8400 WPKQ743 TACO BELL IB CA
> 30.8400 WPLG852 TEXACO STAR MAR IB CA
> 30.8400 WPLH306 TACO BELL IB CA
> 30.8400 WPLI905 TACO BELL #2871 IB CA
> 30.8400 WPLP324 WENDY S REST #WE1501CA IB CA
>
> 31.2400 KNNJ609 JACK IN THE BOX JB223 IB CA
> 31.2400 KNNS224 JACK IN THE BOX JB262 IB CA
> 31.2400 KNNS231 BOSTON MARKET BC873 IB CA
> 31.2400 KNNS534 TACO BELL TB17627 IB CA
> 31.2400 KNNT852 JACK IN THE BOX JB3338 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPIA691 JACK IN THE BOX 285 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPIK530 DEL TACO DT907 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPIP336 ARBYS REST 808 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPIS896 DEL TACO DT03 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPIV334 DEL TACO 11 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPJG496 CIRCLE K 5251 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPJS937 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 31.2400 WPJT964 WENDYS REST IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKB526 DEL TACO IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKC611 TEXACO SERVICE IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKE225 DEL TACO IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKH899 MC DONALDS REST IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKQ668 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 31.2400 WPKQ743 TACO BELL IB CA
> 31.2400 WPLG852 TEXACO STAR MAR IB CA
> 31.2400 WPLH306 TACO BELL IB CA
> 31.2400 WPLI905 TACO BELL #2871 IB CA
> 31.2400 WPLP324 WENDY S REST #WE1501CA IB CA
>
> 33.1400 KNNJ609 JACK IN THE BOX JB223 IB CA
> 33.1400 KNNS224 JACK IN THE BOX JB262 IB CA
> 33.1400 KNNS231 BOSTON MARKET BC873 IB CA
> 33.1400 KNNS534 TACO BELL TB17627 IB CA
> 33.1400 KNNT852 JACK IN THE BOX JB3338 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPIK530 DEL TACO DT907 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPIP336 ARBYS REST 808 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPIS896 DEL TACO DT03 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPIV334 DEL TACO 11 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPJG496 CIRCLE K 5251 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPJS937 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 33.1400 WPJT964 WENDYS REST IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKB526 DEL TACO IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKC611 TEXACO SERVICE IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKE225 DEL TACO IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKH899 MC DONALDS REST IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKQ668 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 33.1400 WPKQ743 TACO BELL IB CA
> 33.1400 WPLG852 TEXACO STAR MAR IB CA

> 33.1400 WPLH306 TACO BELL IB CA
> 33.1400 WPLI905 TACO BELL #2871 IB CA
> 33.1400 WPLP324 WENDY S REST #WE1501CA IB CA
>
> 33.1600 KNNJ609 JACK IN THE BOX JB223 IB CA
> 33.1600 KNNS224 JACK IN THE BOX JB262 IB CA
> 33.1600 KNNS231 BOSTON MARKET BC873 IB CA
> 33.1600 KNNS534 TACO BELL TB17627 IB CA
> 33.1600 KNNT852 JACK IN THE BOX JB3338 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPGU437 DEL TACO 353 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPGU437 DEL TACO 353 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPGU438 DEL TACO 169 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPGU438 DEL TACO 169 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPHP713 FOODMAKER INC IB CA
> 33.1600 WPIA691 JACK IN THE BOX 285 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPIK530 DEL TACO DT907 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPIP336 ARBYS REST 808 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPIS896 DEL TACO DT03 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPIV334 DEL TACO 11 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPJG496 CIRCLE K 5251 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPJS937 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 33.1600 WPJT964 WENDYS REST IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKB526 DEL TACO IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKC611 TEXACO SERVICE IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKE225 DEL TACO IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKH899 MC DONALDS REST IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKQ668 BOSTON MARKET IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKQ743 TACO BELL IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKR202 JACK IN THE BOX IB CA
> 33.1600 WPKR202 JACK IN THE BOX IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLG852 TEXACO STAR MAR IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLH306 TACO BELL IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLH362 JACK IN THE BOX IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLH362 JACK IN THE BOX IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLI905 TACO BELL #2871 IB CA
> 33.1600 WPLP324 WENDY S REST #WE1501CA IB CA
>
>
>
http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTR
UM\SPECTRUM
>
> search in the business radio service in your city or county.

5555. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:13:04 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QQCt5.12632$vm4.2708707@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80181
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

```
Neil Schimler <neilz@pipeline.com> wrote in message
news:8p6s94$ahf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> > You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> > Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
> >
> > As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to
your
> > home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house
> and
> > grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife
should
> be
> > home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
> > before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know
> instantly
> > what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It
> wouldn't
> > take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn
how
> to
> > disarm it quickly.
> >
> > Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> > installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can
assure
> at
> > least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
> > designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all
of
> > them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit.
Price
> > for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> > monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is
next
> > to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
> > But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a
> true
> > *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get
> into
> > hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
```

> > satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion
in
> > to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> > intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty.
> I
> > could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know
as
> > soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your
> secured
> > grounds.
> >
> > The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of
> mind,
> > they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
> > house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> > recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals,
and
> > monitored!
> >
> > I do wish you the best of luck!
> >
> It depends where you live. Here in NYC I am told by my friend (NYC
> policeman) that the monitoring companies do not respond when the alarm
goes
> off.
> If that is the case, he is better with the RS device. The only ones that
> will always respond is the police. You don't have to spend $1200 for that.
>

For area's such as you described I would recommend NOT using the police, but private armed services that monitor. You are correct, in some area's police do not respond to alarms because of such a high false alrm rate. Thank fully I haven't had to deal with this too often. In those area's there are monitoring services that dispatch their own or contract armed gaurds to the scene first. Many of these gaurds are retired police officers or police officers moonlighting.

any way a poorly installed inexpensive security system is not that reliable at all. For one thing these do-it yourselfers forget that they are installing a system that has been markered up 50-100%, so if they paid $200 for it it's only worth about $75. Plus they are installing a system that comes with installation instructions that can be obtained over the internet. Schematics, manuals, and instructions are easily obtainable, or you could simply walk in the store and buy one yourself just to learn the system. Each home is different so therefore the design and installation is different, with trained professional installers every installation is different. With do it yourself kits, they are all pretty much the same. Professional equipment is verry different from generic kits and systems. Our devices are made to our specifications and not sold to the general public. You could not obtain schamatics or manuals for our systems any where. In addition, all our electronic devices use entirely different

frequencies, and codes. In short, our systems, or any professional security company for that matter, are of better quality, and more secured. I can't stress how important a professional install is over a do it yourself. No matter how handy you may be, your not a trained security specialist. Placement and selection of devices is critical. I've been involved in this business long enough that I can think just like a burglar. I can walk in to any home and plan a fool proof break in. I am by no means trying to brag or sound like I am the best at what I do. In fact any trained security specialist can do what I can.

Bottom line. Do it your self kits are fine for some things, but not for others. We are talking about the most precious things to us, our home, and family. This is NOT one area you should want to cut corners! I highly recomend to anyone to at least TALK to a trained security specialist, hell talk to as many as you want, shop around all you want, but for heavens sake have it done professionally!!

Regards.

5556. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:26:45 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39bbd915.111802647@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 10:45:13 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote:

>
>...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According to
>FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
>illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will fall
>on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
>got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")

Pot is illegal under nearly all circumstances, not so for linears, and as it has a dual purpose, that of recieve preamp..... your comparison is poor.

Now, the original thread indicated average everyday cops were using a CB/Ham etc antenna as probable cause to stop and search... the posession of which is a legal enterprise.. so they are using a legal antennna as probable cause to search for illegal items..see anything wrong with this picture? Not to mention curbside confistication of legal (unless the cop is qualified to pronounce said radio is illegal..and considering most dont know dick about radios...) there is a major problem here.

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5557. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:26:47 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39bcdafb.112288861@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 22:21:06 GMT, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>"Noah Simoneaux" <noaj@yournet.com> wrote in message
>news:39b686c5.1580884@news.preferred.com...
>>
>> >...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According
>to
>> >FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
>> >illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will
>fall
>> >on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer,
>I've
>> >got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")
>>
>> Only if there was a law in the US Code or a state law outlawing
>> possession of a ham radio by a non-licensed person. The comparison
>> isn't valid if no such law exists, since there is a law against
>> possession of pot.
>>
>> It's sort of like a person violating a part of the UCMJ while they
>> aren't in the military. The UCMJ only applies to military personnel.
>
>Several participants in this thread have their laws, rules and regulations,
>and levels of authority and jurisdiction mixed up.
>
>CB - no individual or station license required, but technical regulations
>are still in force and apply to anyone within the FCC's area of jurisdiction
>(USA and territories).
>
>This means that possession of an external RF power amplifier ("linear" -
>although that is only one kind of amplification and is the main type used
>for AM mode) that is designed or adjusted to work in the CB band is NOT
>PERMITTED FOR ANYONE in the above-mentioned areas. If you are a ham and
>have an 11-meter "footwarmer" and it is tuned to be maximally efficient in
>the 11-meter band, you are in violation. However, if your
>originally-11-meter amplifier is tuned to 10 meters or some other band,
>there is no apparent violation for a licensed ham operator. (The FCC might
>still ask for some form of certification or proof that the amplifier cannot
>be operated on 10 meters.)
>
>FCC has authority to regulate radios and their use all over the United
>States and its possessions and territories. It doesn't matter if any
>individual is licensed or not; the regs apply to everyone. If you're

>unlicensed, transmitting is prohibited. If you're licensed, the other
>regulations regarding proper operation and technical specs apply to you.
>
>Local police departments do not have jurisdiction to enforce FCC
>regulations. That is a Federal function, usually carried out by (depending
>on circumstances) the FCC itself, the FBI, and/or the United States
>Marshalls. A knowledgeable police officer may bring your unauthorized radio
>to the FCC or DOJ's attention, but he may not make an arrest or confiscate
>the equipment UNLESS there is a violation of some other statute that is
>within his power to enforce. If the officer knows that much about radio,
>she should also know all about the jurisdictional issues.
>
>In the case of an 11 meter amplifier, a local officer could charge you with
>a relevant motor vehicle equipment violation if such regulation exists. If
>your radio transmissions are causing interference with audio or radio
>equipment belonging to someone else, you could be charged with some sort of
>"disturbing the peace" or "disorderly conduct" offense. Naturally, if you
>fail to cooperate fully with the officer to the extent required by law under
>the circumstances of your encounter, you can be charged with disorderly
>conduct or even some form of obstruction of justice regardless of
>jurisdiction on any radio issues.
>
>So, in short, while the police do not have jurisdiction over your CB radio,
>it will not be productive to rub their noses in the fact.
>
>73 de Dave, NF2G
>Graduate Student
>University at Albany School of Criminal Justice
>
>

Bravo !!

73's

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5558. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:27:00 UTC
From: Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net>
Message-ID: <39B6FCF3.5FC5E2A8@willinet.net>
Source record: 80184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Although it's not illegal to make mods, etc. to a radio, it is illegal to use them (ex. a tech-class ham can have a HF radio as long as they don't transmit on it). But when your talking amps for 11 meters, that's different. 11meter amps aren't legal in anycase, so there's no reason to have one at all (making them illegal). But in the same token, if you modify a radio to transmit on a frequency that you won't possibly ever use, there's no reason to have it (making it illegal....)

Gunner wrote:

> On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 00:17:31 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
> >>
> >> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
> >> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >>
> >
> >Some radios are rather obvious and are considered illegal from the get-go. What are
> >commonly called "export" radios are pretty easy to ID; Rangers, Galaxies, Tristars,
> >Connexes, certain Presidents etc. If a radio-savvy cop (I know 2 that are hams) spots
> >one of these brand-names on the faceplate of your radio, he would probably have
> >probable cause. Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny
> >hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop actually
> >enforcing FCC laws, and it might not even be within their jurisdiction, but it
> >wouldn't surprise me if they did have the legal authority.
>
> So.. having a radio in your possession that MAY be converted to out of channel
> operations (See Copper for videos) is probable cause for confiscation of that
> radio? Humm.. anyone see any parallels with certain black rifles?
>
> So.. lets say, that your President Grant is sitting on channel 15, no mods
> turned on.. and the savey cop flips the mod switch etc and then transmits off
> band... is he guilty of a crime, or are you? Having the capability is not the
> same as committing a crime..right? Any one want to open that can of worms?
> (full auto sears/ parts in possetion notwithstanding..we know the misuse of
> that system already).
>
> Now.. if I have a footwarmer on the tranny, but the only feature running is
> the recieve pre-amp.. and when I key..its only 4 watts RMS.. have I committed
> a crime? (my ah..er..hum..footwarmer is not in plain sight...btw)
>
> I have been a ham (ex-K8LJS) and have been around CB long enough to still
> have my CB license on the wall at home (KOR5625)

> Sounds to me like someone is wanting to open up a big can of worms with this
> situation, once again....
>
> Gunner
>
> --------------------------
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
> Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
> homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

--

Dan Friedrichs
dan@willinet.net
KC0IPG

5559. Re: I need repair 49 MHz walkie talkie info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 02:40:27 UTC
From: sefritts@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <39b6ffd4.4148891@news.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80186

On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 16:28:00 -0400, "Larry" <larryebel@erols.com>
wrote:

>Hi I need walkie talkie repair info for to fix a
>older pair of walkie talkies for a someone.
>Are there any websites or hook up info.
>What are the crystals for receive or transmitt?
>Also plenty of info on how to pick them up far
>far away on 49.860MHz. Thanks.
>
>
You would do better and have a LOT farther range if you picked up a
couple of FRS radios......I have several but the best ones, believe it
or not are the GE at Walmart for 19.99 each!! Can get 1 1/2- 2 miles
with them!

Steve

5560. Re: Scanner Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 03:27:21 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tWDt5.12768$vm4.2738632@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80187

Labtech1 <labtech1@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:20000901031206.20486.00001749@ng-md1.aol.com...
> >From: "Terry Parsons" tp04417@navix.net
>
> >HEY! We could do worse than Gore!!
>
> Yep ... we could have Clinton...thats the ONLY thing worse... anything
else
> would be an improvement

Not true. Clinton wasn't that bad, hell he even got away with getting
serviced in the white house!
Worse then Gore, worse then Bush, worse then Clinton....
would have to be Hilary. Well, at least we know she doesn't suck... so
maybe she wouldn't be that bad ;)

>
>
>
> labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5561. BC245 External Battery Charger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 05:05:52 UTC
From: khgrant@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <39b721c4.266485033@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 80188

Anyone know if someone sells an external charger for the 245xlt
battery packs? I'd like to be charging one while I'm using the radio.
Too bad it cant use AA's!

Thx,
Keith

5562. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 05:17:56 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8yFt5.12890$vm4.2772402@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80190
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Blake,

I am verry fimiluar with ADT nationally, and I have visited and trained by invitation. I am aware that there are indeed some troubled area's in some locations. Part of this is due to their amazing management turn over, and part by the lack of communication between the 3 entities that make up ADT, management, installers, and monitoring. I have toured some of their centers, and I can honestly report that most are run very well. There are some trouble spots. I believe it's the very nature of the beast. They have grown to be so large that the right hand doesn't know what the left hand is doing, and some times doesn't even care! So you do make a valid point, one I can't totally deny, nor am I surprised to hear about the hiring story. Often they work their installers hard, and are under staff. I'm NOT making excuses for them, just realizing the temptation to get a new installer aboard without proper background checks. Unacceptable as far as I'm concerned!

I would not recomemed ADT as the first choice, or second or even third, but they do have their place trust me. Often I run in to couples just starting out on a tight budget. They live in a risky neighborhood and desperately need some security and peice of mind. Even my lowest priced systems are out of their budget. Rather then see them get in depth through financing I will advise them to call ADT. You really don't get much for their $99 system, just the bare bones which they are actually throwing in for free, what you really are paying for is the installation. It takes one well trained installer about 1 hour to install such a system. The $99 special is a way for them to get in your door, very few people actually settle for that, and the sales rep makes $30 from the $99 special, so they try real hard to *up sell*, that's where I came in for training. Basically here's how it works. You get a $500 system for $99, but you have to sign up for 2 or 3 year monitoring contract, and that's where they make their money. Fine for the company but not for the sales reps who only made $30 out of it. They make their money from upselling. I show them how. I can design an ADT system that would be equal to one of my $900 systems that would cost you about $600 from ADT. These systems are high quality, and their wireless are the best on the market. My only real objection that I have with ADT is they impose a 2 minute delay before the alarm signal is sent to the monitoring station. They do it to eliminate the number of false alarms, but it's a bad call as far as I'm concern. I'm more concerned with providing my cl;ient the absolute best line of protection I can, and reducing the intruders stay to the shortest possible time. I'm not as concerned if a police officer gets annoyed if he has to respond to a false alarm. Many Police agencies have implemented fines for false alarms, and that's fine because most of my clients would rather pay a $50 fine for a false alarm and have the police

respond quickly, then have no fines and deal would slow reponse or no response. So the two minutes become very crucial. Intruder breaks in through back door, 90 second delay before an audio alarm goes off, another 30 seconds before the signal is sent to the monitoring station. The monitoring station know's it's a back door entry. A call is made to the police. Depending on location of nearest police car, it could take another 9 minutes (or longer in some areas) for the police to arrive. So now we are up to 11 to 12 minutes with an intruder in the house!

For young couples or any couple on a tight budget in a risky neighborhood I take the time to advice them even though I make nothing out of it. Since I'm fimiluar with ADT's line up, I complete a thorough evaluation of their home and design a system for them. They have all the information they need from me. I advise them about the 2 minute delay and explain some work arounds which I'm not at liberty to disclose here. When the ADT sales rep comes to their house they already know what they need and how much it should cost. I've provided a way for them to get the maxamum amount of protection and the best quality for their money with out taking on a new loan!

So as far as I'm concerned, even a ADT security system installed by a former criminal (which is not the usual case), and at the mercy of dealing with slow response from the police in troubled area's, it's still better then a do it yourself inexpensive unmonitored system. If it were me, my home, or some one I cared about, I would rather taking my chances after I got all the odds in my favor that I could get. Because the other alternative is much more riskier!! A professionally installed monitored system is 100 times better then a do it yourself unmonitored system!

The good news is this, there are probably dozens of better companies then ADT in your area, and if they are half as dedicated as me, they can provide you with the best response time, and hassle free police calls!!

Jim

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8p74vm$i9v$0@63.162.247.62...
>
>
> Jim wrote:
>
> > The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of
mind,
> > they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
> > house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> > recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals,
and
> > monitored!
> >
>
> All of your experience, etc sounded kind of believable until you
> wrote this.
>

> ADT, with their questionable marketing, shoddy service, questionable
> hiring and affiliation processes, and monitoring that actually notices
> an alarm up to DAYS after the breakin, are certainly not anyone that
> any with experience in the alarm field would recomend in any way.
>
> I personally sat at the same table when they interviewed an installer
> for 15 minutes, and offered him a job. Never checked for a
> criminal record, which he had, for breaking and entering.
>
> MANY times I was woken up on a Monday morning, because of an alarm
> that occured on Saturday.
>
> And would you REALLY call their 99 dollar home special anything BUT
> false piece of mind?

5563. Re: Shuttle frqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 05:18:30 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <GyFt5.20416$58.3113110@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80189

Also check this link:

http://www.amsat.org/amsat/sarex/shutfreq.html

Robert

5564. Re: Radio SHack PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 05:25:23 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <7FFt5.20417$58.3115707@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80191

For more info on all the keypad tips:

http://www.trunktracker.com/data5.html

To modify the backlight to stay on:

http://www.lcblanton.com/trunked/stuff/light.txt

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/index.html

mikekerin <mikekerin@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:e#T70ntFAHA.279@cpmsnbbsa07...
> Does anybody own a PRO-90? If so, have you figured out any mods for it?
> I have figured out a few cool tricks with it using the power on holding
down
> keys (2) and (9) and then a variety of other keys that will get you into
> some of the test modes and such.
>
> Any onw that would like this info, let me know.....and also share your
info
> as well.
>
>
>

5565. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 05:30:14 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39b72753.24202699@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 80192
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 02:13:04 GMT, "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>Neil Schimler <neilz@pipeline.com> wrote in message
>news:8p6s94ahf1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>>
>> Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
>> > You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
>> > Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
>> >
>> > As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to
>your
>> > home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house
>> and
>> > grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife
>should
>> be
>> > home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
>> > before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know
>> instantly
>> > what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It
>> wouldn't
>> > take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn
>how
>> to
>> > disarm it quickly.
>> >
>> > Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
>> > installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can
>assure
>> at
>> > least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
>> > designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all
>of
>> > them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit.
>Price
>> > for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
>> > monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is
>next
>> > to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
>> > But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a

>> true
>> > *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get
>> into
>> > hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
>> > satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion
>in
>> > to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
>> > intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty.
>> I
>> > could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know
>as
>> > soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your
>> secured
>> > grounds.
>> >
>> > The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of
>> mind,
>> > they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
>> > house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
>> > recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals,
>and
>> > monitored!
>> >
>> > I do wish you the best of luck!
>> >
>> It depends where you live. Here in NYC I am told by my friend (NYC
>> policeman) that the monitoring companies do not respond when the alarm
>goes
>> off.
>> If that is the case, he is better with the RS device. The only ones that
>> will always respond is the police. You don't have to spend $1200 for that.
>>
>
>For area's such as you described I would recommend NOT using the police, but
>private armed services that monitor. You are correct, in some area's police
>do not respond to alarms because of such a high false alrm rate. Thank fully
>I haven't had to deal with this too often. In those area's there are
>monitoring services that dispatch their own or contract armed gaurds to the
>scene first. Many of these gaurds are retired police officers or police
>officers moonlighting.
>
>any way a poorly installed inexpensive security system is not that reliable
>at all. For one thing these do-it yourselfers forget that they are
>installing a system that has been markered up 50-100%, so if they paid $200
>for it it's only worth about $75. Plus they are installing a system that
>comes with installation instructions that can be obtained over the internet.
>Schematics, manuals, and instructions are easily obtainable, or you could
>simply walk in the store and buy one yourself just to learn the system.
>Each home is different so therefore the design and installation is
>different, with trained professional installers every installation is

>different. With do it yourself kits, they are all pretty much the same.
>Professional equipment is verry different from generic kits and systems.
>Our devices are made to our specifications and not sold to the general
>public. You could not obtain schamatics or manuals for our systems any
>where. In addition, all our electronic devices use entirely different
>frequencies, and codes. In short, our systems, or any professional security
>company for that matter, are of better quality, and more secured. I can't
>stress how important a professional install is over a do it yourself. No
>matter how handy you may be, your not a trained security specialist.
>Placement and selection of devices is critical. I've been involved in this
>business long enough that I can think just like a burglar. I can walk in to
>any home and plan a fool proof break in. I am by no means trying to brag or
>sound like I am the best at what I do. In fact any trained security
>specialist can do what I can.
>
>Bottom line. Do it your self kits are fine for some things, but not for
>others. We are talking about the most precious things to us, our home, and
>family. This is NOT one area you should want to cut corners! I highly
>recomend to anyone to at least TALK to a trained security specialist, hell
>talk to as many as you want, shop around all you want, but for heavens sake
>have it done professionally!!
>
>Regards.
>
>
>'
Jim,
I'm sorry, you sound like a telemarketer for a high pressure sales/
security company.....I like you less than the kid who works at Radio
Shack!

Neal
Anahiem,CA

5566. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 06:49:58 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <QLGt5.341$vc7.1991@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80193

"TW." <tandb80No-Spm@home.com> wrote in message
news:tstt5.171067$i5.2490748@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com...
> M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:Prlt5.9204$B54.25384@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > As to the 800 MHz OHP trunking, I refer you to page 370 of the 2000
Police
> > Call, Vol. 7, which lists all the talkgroups for the OHP/DPS. I don't
have
> > a trunking scanner yet, (waiting for the BC-780), but I have monitored
Troop
> > A (Okla. City) on a conventional scanner on the listed 800 MHz freqs.
The
> > frequency used doesn't seem to hop around much, but as the load
increases,
> > that will undoubtedly change.
>
> Well, just last year, I was up in Okla. City and listening to OHP/DPS on
VHF.
>
TW,
You undoubtedly did. As I stated in another post, the UHF trunking is in use only for the Okla. City (Troop A) and Tulsa (Troop B) metro areas, as well as the Turner turnpike units, that turnpike runs between OKC and Tulsa. UHF may now also be in use in the Muskogee (Troop C) area, but I can't confirm that myself. All other parts of the state use a primary system of paired VHF-lowband freqs. a base-to-car or car-to-car channel, and a car-to-base channel. This is supplemented by a system of VHF-highband remote base/ repeaters that relay either the car-to-base channel or the base-to-car channel. Some remote base/repeaters are linked to the appropriate Troop by UHF radio links. The system is old and outdated, and does not perform very well, which is why the 800 MHz system is being implemented. Freqs in the 800 MHz band have been assigned for most areas of the state, but the equipment purchase and installation is going VERY slowly.. In my area, 60 miles north of OKC, the troopers communicate with Pawnee (Troop K) on 44.70 MHz base-to-car and 44.90 MHz car-to-base. Other areas use 45.22 MHz base-to-car/45.18 MHz car-to-base. You can generally hear the Patrol's aviation wing working traffic with ground units on the base freqs, 44.70 and 45.22 MHz.

Mark K5MAR

5567. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 07:15:09 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <t7Ht5.354$vc7.1951@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:39bbd915.111802647@news.uia.net...
> On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 10:45:13 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
wrote:
> snip<
> Now, the original thread indicated average everyday cops were using a
CB/Ham
> etc antenna as probable cause to stop and search... the posession of which
is
> a legal enterprise.. so they are using a legal antennna as probable cause
to
> search for illegal items..see anything wrong with this picture? Not to
mention
> curbside confistication of legal (unless the cop is qualified to pronounce
> said radio is illegal..and considering most dont know dick about
radios...)
> there is a major problem here.
>
> Gunner
>
Yeah, having been pulled over a couple of times for that very reason, I do
see a problem. What I don't see is the funding to mount a major legal
challenge to the police practice of profiling possible drug courier or
escort vehicles based on the amount of visible radio antennas on the car.
This profiling is a fact, it has even been discussed on the TV news in Okla.
City as part of the multi-county drug task force. The ACLU isn't interested
in profiling unless it involves a racial minority. As for searching for
illegal items, it's a Catch-22 deal. An honest citizen wouldn't object (in
theory, and in their eyes) to a request to search, so if you object, they
detain you until a dog is brought to the scene. Even if you are clean,
you'd still loose that time waiting. While I'm a major supporter of local
and area law enforcement, I make an exception for the drug taskforce people.
They are too over-the-top regarding drug interdiction.

Mark K5MAR

5568. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 07:16:29 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8p7fbcreo1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Get an older analog dial Radio Shack patrolman multi band radio that covers
49 Mhz. Because of over-lap it can hear both sides..I did it once in the
early 90s when I had one.

In article <jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>, "Mark Rossi"
<MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both ends
> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
> frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of the
> conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in advance.
> Mark.
>
>

5569. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 07:20:37 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8p7fj4$vb2$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80196

It is not legal to listen to cell phones that are in the clear etc. Now in Russia the land of the free I believe it is...Canada too I believe...

In article <8opp49$6ug$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, markitron@my-deja.com wrote:

> OK I'm probably asking a really stupid question here, but if you don't
> ask you can't learn. I thought the FCC controlled radio traffic in the
> USA. Wasn't there a ruling about you have a right to receive any radio
> signal that was sent in the clear, but to unscramble a signal without
> permission is illegal. If the police don't want people to hear them
> with scanners, why don't they scramble their radio calls? Do State's
> have the right to pass laws restricting radio reception?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5570. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 09:17:48 UTC
From: TwoBravo@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26704-39B75D3C-2@storefull-614.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80097

Am I typing to myself out here? What did I just say about people posting a bunch of crap in thread about too much crap? What in the world is going on in this NG? Do we need to start another NG for the people who are really interested in the hobby and flame wars?

5571. FS pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 10:38:17 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p7r55adh1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80098

In exelent working cond., clean, no mods...$200. shipped. or will trade for a good general coverage receiver

Gil

5572. Re: Trunking Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 11:57:03 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <c6fFcuAPK4t5Ew0q@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 80099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39B6F0A9.FEED37E5@one.net>, J. L. Webb <jlwebb@one.net>
writes
>Reuben wrote:
>
>> Can anyone tell me what handheld scanner to buy that gets full coverage with
>> no gaps and can track trunking systems with no additional hardware? Or does
>> such and animal not exist? THANKS.
>>
>
>You can't legally obtain such a radio in a "free country" like the USA, perhaps
>you could visit one of the many "unfree countries" and get one there.

Thanks for that.. made my day :-)

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with g7hid : don't fix it !

5573. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 12:11:24 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B785EC.1918A753@zylay.com>
Source record: 80100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gunner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 6 Sep 2000 10:45:13 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >...As a matter of fact, yes... (you mentioned you're ex-K8LJS) According to
> >FCC regs, the mere possession by a non-ham of an 11 meter-capable amp is
> >illegal, whether in use or not. The argument that it's not in use will fall
> >on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer, I've
> >got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")
>
> Pot is illegal under nearly all circumstances, not so for linears, and as it
> has a dual purpose, that of recieve preamp..... your comparison is poor.

Linears are illegal for CBers under all circumstances. If you want a
legal pre-amp, then use a pre-amp.

> Now, the original thread indicated average everyday cops were using a CB/Ham
> etc antenna as probable cause to stop and search... the posession of which is
> a legal enterprise.. so they are using a legal antennna as probable cause to
> search for illegal items..see anything wrong with this picture? Not to mention
> curbside confistication of legal (unless the cop is qualified to pronounce
> said radio is illegal..and considering most dont know dick about radios...)
> there is a major problem here.
>
> Gunner

I would agree with you on that, and hope that you didn't think
otherwise. I'm curious if the ARRL has seen enough of a problem from
this to have said anything about it? I can guarantee that if the cops
started showing a pattern based on stopping people due to funny
antennas, the ARRL would make the NRA look like the PTA.

5574. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 12:15:01 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B786C5.8660FD55@zylay.com>
Source record: 80101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Daniel Friedrichs wrote:
>
> Although it's not illegal to make mods, etc. to a radio, it is illegal to use them (ex. a
> tech-class ham can have a HF radio as long as they don't transmit on it). But when your
> talking amps for 11 meters, that's different. 11meter amps aren't legal in anycase, so
> there's no reason to have one at all (making them illegal). But in the same token, if you
> modify a radio to transmit on a frequency that you won't possibly ever use, there's no
> reason to have it (making it illegal....)

According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there. I wonder if
by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
valid defense?

5575. Re: RS Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 12:54:33 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com>
Message-ID: <8p8360$ei4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80102

I love my Pro-94! Sometime I'd like to compare it to a BC245, just to see the differences, but I have no complaints about the '94. It follows the local EDACS trunking system great. It works on conventional frequencies (highway patrol, local hams, electric company, etc.) very well. It's funny that we don't hear more about it.

In article <DYDt5.12769$vm4.2739677@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>, "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> I'd just like to know if there are any owners of the Pro-94 :)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5576. FS or FT: Have Base SSB CB will trade BC 895 XLT w/pc cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 13:03:01 UTC
From: dekanblue@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907090301.11016.00000055@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80103

Looking for a BC 895 XLT, will trade for Uniden Madison SSB CB Base Radio. This is a stock Uniden Madison SSB Base rig, it has large external speaker with "Madison" on it and comes with a Turner Power Desk Mike. Unit has been packed away for the last 7 years. Perfect working order, some dirt on case top. $185 plus shipping.

Please email

dekanblue@aol.com

73 Ira
n2kb

5577. Re: BC245 External Battery Charger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 13:03:48 UTC
From: MooNPuP <lisciotti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8p83n7$f0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80104

go to http://www.scannersunlimited.com

they might not be listed on the website, but they do sell them, just
call and ask for it.

In article <39b721c4.266485033@nntp.ix.netcom.com>,
khgrant@ix.netcom.com wrote:
> Anyone know if someone sells an external charger for the 245xlt
> battery packs? I'd like to be charging one while I'm using the radio.
> Too bad it cant use AA's!
>
> Thx,
> Keith
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5578. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 13:39:10 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <1PMt5.20715$3U2.922143@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 80105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"CitySlicker" <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8p5r3m$8ot@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > The argument that it's not in use will fall
> > on deaf ears. (wouldn't that kind'a be like saying, "..Sure officer,
I've
> > got a pound of pot, but honest! I wasn't gonna smoke it or sell it!")

> Now if you promise that you are going to inhale it there is some
precedence
> there.

.....ROFL!

5579. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 13:50:05 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <LZMt5.18189$wS1.967084@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 80106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

<sideband@mindspring.com> wrote in message

> An amp is not a radio. It is illegal for non hams to posses an amp
capable of
> being used on 11 meters and having one will lose you both the amp and your
radio
> if you get caught. The radios he is talking about are also illegal in the
US.
> Not because they may or may not be modified, but because they are not type
> accepted by the FCC for sale/use in the US.

It's against FCC regs for non-amateur-licensed persons to possess an RF
amp.... period. Whether its 11 meter only or 160-10m makes no difference.

5580. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 14:04:03 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <laNt5.21614$3U2.923988@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 79945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message

> According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
> a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
> illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
> equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there.

The Amateur regulations are completely different.... not much comparison
between the two services. Amateur regs were deliberately designed to
encourage experimentation and exploration of radio theory.

> I wonder if
> by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
> use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
> valid defense?

NO ...Kinda' like telling the officer that "..the pot in the back seat is
'just in case' I get glaucoma and need it for medicinal purposes."

5581. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 14:09:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <vfNt5.18195$wS1.967595@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 80107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

<larryn@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message

> >> As far as I know, CW is legal on all bands, ham, business, and
otherwise.

> Pretty sure that it isn't in Australia, and since radio regulations
> tend to be fairly international, doubt that it would be there either.
> However, I can look it up if you like.

Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
meter band)

5582. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 14:23:59 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <d69frskrdls3sr9tbsbt0a3nll54rrrfj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 80108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

If I could practice CW for my HAM test on CB, I would... in a
heartbeat!

73's

-SSB

On or about Thu, 7 Sep 2000 10:09:00 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch"
<kcfamily@tir.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
:frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
:meter band)
:

5583. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 14:54:40 UTC
From: noaj@yournet.com
Message-ID: <39b7abcc.6157321@news.preferred.com>
Source record: 80109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 7 Sep 2000 02:15:09 -0500, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
(snip)

>Yeah, having been pulled over a couple of times for that very reason, I do
>see a problem. What I don't see is the funding to mount a major legal
>challenge to the police practice of profiling possible drug courier or
>escort vehicles based on the amount of visible radio antennas on the car.
>This profiling is a fact, it has even been discussed on the TV news in Okla.
>City as part of the multi-county drug task force. The ACLU isn't interested
>in profiling unless it involves a racial minority. As for searching for
>illegal items, it's a Catch-22 deal. An honest citizen wouldn't object (in
>theory, and in their eyes) to a request to search, so if you object, they
>detain you until a dog is brought to the scene.

Then their search will probably be ruled illegal in court. The courts
have decided that merely refusing permission to do a search isn't
probably cause.

(snip)

Noah Simoneaux

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5584. R-7000 DC Power Plug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 15:09:03 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jcOt5.21461$58.3237918@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80110

My R7000 has a DC power (13.8VDC) input jack that is tucked away inside the power supply section. It has a male jack with a jumper wire that jumps the AC converted DC power to the radio. It is made so that you can run the radio on 13.8VDC by pulling that jumper and plugging in 13.8V directly.

On the back panel, you can unscrew a narrow vertical plate next to the ac plug and fuse. Underneath there is a cutout the same shape as that plug. It appears that the plug can be mounted so that AC or DC power can be chosen.

QUESTION: how do you mount that plug to the back panel. It is shaped right so that you can push it through, but there is no obvious means to fasten it down so it is a permanent connector.

Anyone know of how this is done?

TIA

5585. Re: R-7000 DC Power Plug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 15:35:35 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <cbS3Ofr8ZjePKy6l5371ufnvSHyj@4ax.com>
Source record: 80111

On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 15:09:03 GMT, "digimon"
<digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:

>My R7000 has a DC power (13.8VDC) input jack that is tucked away inside the
>power supply section. It has a male jack with a jumper wire that jumps the
>AC converted DC power to the radio. It is made so that you can run the
>radio on 13.8VDC by pulling that jumper and plugging in 13.8V directly.

>QUESTION: how do you mount that plug to the back panel. It is shaped right
>so that you can push it through, but there is no obvious means to fasten it
>down so it is a permanent connector.

>Anyone know of how this is done?

When you buy the 12VDC conversion kit from ICOM, you are given a small
metal bracket that is used to mount this connector to the rear panel.
You also get a 12VDC power cord with mating Molex connector to plug
into this rear panel connector.

You can just leave the plug hanging out through the hole in the back
panel and all will be well. You can make your own mating cable for 12
VDC if you wish. Molex connector shells and pins are readily
available.

Or you could cut off the original connector and replace it with
something you can mount directly to the rear panel. Even add an AC/DC
switch so you can dispense with the jumper.I would use a common
coaxial power connector with 2.1mm center pin, center pin positive.
This is the most common size and polarity in the industry, and is the
same as virtually all ICOM external power connections.

Running the receiver from external 12 VDC has a big advantage. Major
cause of failures in the R7000 and others in the same series is
internal heat. Things are worse if the receivers are stacked with
other equipment. Moving the power supply outside the receiver will
result in longer life and fewer problems.

The power supply in the later R8500 is external.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"

****

5586. Re: R-7000 DC Power Plug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 15:49:48 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wOOt5.21469$58.3246697@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80112

Thanks for the reply and info.

I actually was considering the switch and coaxial power plug and may still
do that.
I thought there might be an easy mount way with the original.

Wonder why they left the DC connector inside like that? Just to make you
buy a DC kit with nothing more than a mounting plate and a cord?

Yes on the heat. I mounted a small 12vdc fan on the back of mine. Several
friends did this after one of ours failed. Analysis showed that it was
thermal induced failure. You almost have to run a fan on the back when
powering by AC.

cheers

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:cbS3Ofr8ZjePKy6l5371ufnvSHyj@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 15:09:03 GMT, "digimon"
> <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >My R7000 has a DC power (13.8VDC) input jack that is tucked away inside
the
> >power supply section. It has a male jack with a jumper wire that jumps
the
> >AC converted DC power to the radio. It is made so that you can run the
> >radio on 13.8VDC by pulling that jumper and plugging in 13.8V directly.
>
> >QUESTION: how do you mount that plug to the back panel. It is shaped
right
> >so that you can push it through, but there is no obvious means to fasten
it
> >down so it is a permanent connector.
>
> >Anyone know of how this is done?
>
> When you buy the 12VDC conversion kit from ICOM, you are given a small
> metal bracket that is used to mount this connector to the rear panel.
> You also get a 12VDC power cord with mating Molex connector to plug
> into this rear panel connector.
>
> You can just leave the plug hanging out through the hole in the back
> panel and all will be well. You can make your own mating cable for 12
> VDC if you wish. Molex connector shells and pins are readily
> available.
>

> Or you could cut off the original connector and replace it with
> something you can mount directly to the rear panel. Even add an AC/DC
> switch so you can dispense with the jumper.I would use a common
> coaxial power connector with 2.1mm center pin, center pin positive.
> This is the most common size and polarity in the industry, and is the
> same as virtually all ICOM external power connections.
>
> Running the receiver from external 12 VDC has a big advantage. Major
> cause of failures in the R7000 and others in the same series is
> internal heat. Things are worse if the receivers are stacked with
> other equipment. Moving the power supply outside the receiver will
> result in longer life and fewer problems.
>
> The power supply in the later R8500 is external.
>
> Steve
>
> **********
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **********
>

5587. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 16:35:48 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907123548.23451.00000144@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80113

It is usally Glenn or a CAL expressing themselves about saving all of us from Mackey who is now gone.
Hamguy
>Am I typing to myself out here? What did I just say about people posting
>a bunch of crap in thread about too much crap? What in the world is
>going on in this NG? Do we need to start another NG for the people who
>are really interested in the hobby and flame wars?

5588. Re: Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 16:39:58 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907123958.23451.00000145@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 80114

R. Kendrick is that you Mr.Net Cop.
Glad you thought to comment about Glenn and his attitude.
I am surprised but glad you have seen the light.
Mackey is gone but the crap does keep going and going due to the CAL's
Hamguy

>Someone piss in your cornflakes again, Glenn? Wonderfully useless response
>on
>your behalf.
>
>
>"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
>>Yeah, do a WWW search for cordless frequencies.
>>
>>
>>Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:jeXr5.5610$YZ2.844139@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
>>> Hello,
>>>
>>> I was wondering if anyone here could answer a question for me? When
>>> listening to phone calls I know that the reason why you can't hear both
>>ends
>>> of a conversation is because the two phones are on two different
>>> frequencies. Is there anyway to know which frequency the other end of the
>>> conversation is on so that you can listen to both ends? Thanks in
>>advance.
>>> Mark.

5589. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 17:07:54 UTC
From: Charles Davis <k4swb@bellsouth.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.142194f7435111aa98969a@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <39B786C5.8660FD55@zylay.com>, webmaster@zylay.com says...
> According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
> a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
> illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
> equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there. I wonder if
> by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
> use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
> valid defense?

Read part 97 as to what constitutes a LEGAL amp. You will find certain features on CB amps that make them illegal, even for Amateurs.
So called CB amps, by virtue of design, have certain things that cause them to be considered contraband in the US, and therefore are illegal for ANYONE.
Now before anyone asks, "what things?" go read part 97. Lets see if anyone figures it out.

--
Charles Davis
K4SWB
<>

5590. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 17:14:16 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I1Qt5.4223$JY2.1092334@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80117
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

> Jim,
> I'm sorry, you sound like a telemarketer for a high pressure sales/
> security company.....I like you less than the kid who works at Radio
> Shack!
>
> Neal
> Anahiem,CA
>

A telemarketer, OUCH, now that hurts Neal.

Acually I've retired from the security industry. I'm now gainfully employed designing high end trunk digital encryption 2 way communication systems for Pizza Delivery Shops ;)

5591. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 17:20:04 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B7CE44.ABC18CFE@zylay.com>
Source record: 80116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> "Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
>
> > According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
> > a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
> > illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
> > equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there.
>
> The Amateur regulations are completely different.... not much comparison
> between the two services. Amateur regs were deliberately designed to
> encourage experimentation and exploration of radio theory.
>
> > I wonder if
> > by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
> > use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
> > valid defense?
>
> NO ...Kinda' like telling the officer that "..the pot in the back seat is
> 'just in case' I get glaucoma and need it for medicinal purposes."

Yet I haven't seen where it is illegal to own ham equipment without a
license. Or did I simply miss something? I know i had my first 2-meter
rig the day after I passed my test. Is this one of those tecnhicalities
that they just don't worry about for new hams?

5592. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 17:48:32 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39b9b1a6.167251734@news.uia.net>
Source record: 80118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 7 Sep 2000 10:09:00 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote:

>
>Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
>frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
>meter band)
>
Gee.. they never said anything when I ran RTTY via computer on Chan 23 many
years ago...<G>

Of course, living in the desert, in a valley not noted for its skip or even
reception charectoristics did tend to be "out of sight, out of mind".
Which is why, there are a number of mobils who do run linears on occasion,
simply to be able to call for help or even simply communicate, because of the
terrain and poor reception. Since the CB craze died 10 or more years ago, the
yahoos are mostly gone, and CB is simply another tool, rather than a
lifestyle.. they have now gotten on the net... lol

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5593. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 18:01:23 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B7D7F3.5F3B7030@zylay.com>
Source record: 80119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Charles Davis wrote:
>
> In article <39B786C5.8660FD55@zylay.com>, webmaster@zylay.com says...
> > According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
> > a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
> > illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
> > equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there. I wonder if
> > by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
> > use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
> > valid defense?
>
> Read part 97 as to what constitutes a LEGAL amp. You will find certain
> features on CB amps that make them illegal, even for Amateurs.
> So called CB amps, by virtue of design, have certain things that cause
> them to be considered contraband in the US, and therefore are illegal for
> ANYONE.
> Now before anyone asks, "what things?" go read part 97. Lets see if
> anyone figures it out.
>
> --
> Charles Davis
> K4SWB
> <>

Here it is for those who have trouble finding it.

a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier
capable of operation below 144 MHz may be
constructed or modified during any calendar year by an amateur operator
for use at a station without a grant of certification.
No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or
modified by a non-amateur operator without a
grant of certification from the FCC.

(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit
(see ï¿½2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured,
imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station
must be certificated for use in the amateur service in
accordance with Subpart J of Part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement
does not apply if one or more of the following
conditions are met:

(1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below
144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an

amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of being easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and either:

(i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or

(ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120 MHz even for brief periods without sustaining permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.

(2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at that amateur operator's station.

(3) The amplifier was:

(i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or

(ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.

(4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur operator or to a dealer.

(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.

(c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's database as certificated for use in the amateur service may be marketed for use in the amateur service.

5594. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 18:14:26 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39B7DB02.43CAD9D2@zylay.com>
Source record: 80120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

I can't find any mention in the part 95 rules regarding CW, neither allowing nor disallowing it. Considering that it is simply an unmodulated DSB carrier, I doubt that they could or would say anything. By the same token, even MCW is still standard AM but with a modulating tone applied, so I don't see how they could rule it illegal considering that it allowed on every other band that I can find. I remember a statement in one of my old license books that said that it was the only mode allowed on all bands as it was truly universal. It didn't specifically say the amateur bands.

sideband wrote:
>
> If I could practice CW for my HAM test on CB, I would... in a
> heartbeat!
>
> 73's
>
> -SSB
>
> On or about Thu, 7 Sep 2000 10:09:00 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch"
> <kcfamily@tir.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
> :Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
> :frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
> :meter band)
> :

5595. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 18:37:23 UTC
From: Charles Davis <k4swb@bellsouth.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1421aa6f731a3d8398969b@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 80121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <39B7D7F3.5F3B7030@zylay.com>, webmaster@zylay.com says...

> Here it is for those who have trouble finding it.
>
> a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier
> capable of operation below 144 MHz may be
> constructed or modified during any calendar year by an amateur operator
> for use at a station without a grant of certification.
> No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or
> modified by a non-amateur operator without a
> grant of certification from the FCC.
>
> (b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit
> (see ?2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured,
> imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station
> must be certificated for use in the amateur service in
> accordance with Subpart J of Part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement
> does not apply if one or more of the following
> conditions are met:
>
> (1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below
> 144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an
> amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz
> if it is not capable of being easily modified to
> increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and
> either:
>
> (i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as
> frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a point
> where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or
>
> (ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below
> 120 MHz even for brief periods without sustaining
> permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.
>
> (2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has
> been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or
> the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur
> operator for use at that amateur operator's
> station.
>
> (3) The amplifier was:

>
> (i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power
> amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or
>
> (ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's
> station.
>
> (4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur
> operator or to a dealer.
>
> (5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment
> dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is
> further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's
> station.
>
> (c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's
> database as certificated for use in the amateur service
> may be marketed for use in the amateur service.

You needed to go a little further to get at the 2 simple points I was getting at, but thanks for taking the time to post this.

--
Charles Davis
K4SWB
<>

5596. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 18:52:08 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <srfosf6ljn93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Illegal to use is not the same as illegal to own.

Given the relatively few good SW receivers on the market and their pricing,
many SWLs own transceivers because they're a better deal.

--
"Don't just take any beer, take a Shat's - Nature's Perfect Beer including
your daily requirement of Fiber! Remember, Shat's may not be Number 1, but
they'll always be Number 2! And for your non-alcoholic drinkers, try our
Non-Alcoholic Brew, O'Stool's!"

Bill Newkirk
wnewkirk@iu.net
Amateur Radio Station WB9IVR
Melbourne, Fl

"Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
news:39B7CE44.ABC18CFE@zylay.com...
> Cap'n Crunch wrote:
> >
> > "Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you
posess
> > > a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
> > > illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
> > > equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there.
> >
> > The Amateur regulations are completely different.... not much comparison
> > between the two services. Amateur regs were deliberately designed to
> > encourage experimentation and exploration of radio theory.
> >
> > > I wonder if
> > > by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
> > > use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
> > > valid defense?
> >
> > NO ...Kinda' like telling the officer that "..the pot in the back seat
is
> > 'just in case' I get glaucoma and need it for medicinal purposes."
>
> Yet I haven't seen where it is illegal to own ham equipment without a
> license. Or did I simply miss something? I know i had my first 2-meter
> rig the day after I passed my test. Is this one of those tecnhicalities

> that they just don't worry about for new hams?

5597. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 18:59:11 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <srfp9m2tljn76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Why be an irritant? The CB guys don't want to hear Morse code.

We're only talking 5 WPM these days, you know. That's a bit less than 1 character every 2 seconds.

Set the computer program up for around 18 WPM with spacing for 5 WPM and have at it. spend about 15-20 minutes every day max. keep adding letters as you master the previous set. practice real words for learning, run some random groups to see how you're really doing.

don't forget to get time on numbers and punctuation (the stuff that stopped the last few guys coming through for the test -- there's at least 1 question about call signs.)

shouldn't take you more than a month to get to 5 WPM and you didn't have to get all stressed out or bored or tired or anything. and they'll be able to grade your test with solid copy instead of having to take the "exam" portion.

--
"Don't just take any beer, take a Shat's - Nature's Perfect Beer including your daily requirement of Fiber! Remember, Shat's may not be Number 1, but they'll always be Number 2! And for your non-alcoholic drinkers, try our Non-Alcoholic Brew, O'Stool's!"

Bill Newkirk
wnewkirk@iu.net
Amateur Radio Station WB9IVR
Melbourne, Fl

"sideband" <sideband@cac.net> wrote in message
news:d69frskrdls3sr9tbsbt0a3nll54rrrfj0@4ax.com...
> If I could practice CW for my HAM test on CB, I would... in a
> heartbeat!
>
> 73's
>
> -SSB
>
> On or about Thu, 7 Sep 2000 10:09:00 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch"
> <kcfamily@tir.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
> :Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
> :frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
> :meter band)
> :

>

5598. f/A Pro 2045 scanner 2 hours left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 19:04:53 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B7E777.CDBDEFB2@home.com>
Source record: 80124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=425154157

5599. PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 19:11:22 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B7E84B.CB6DB2AA@vengers.com>
Source record: 80125

Hi All,

I'm a newbie to scanning, though I did it many years ago when you actually needed crystals to scan. My questions: Is there anyone from Colorado Springs here? Colorado? Anyone with a 2052 with the patience to teach a newbie more about his unit? I've got more box here than I have the knowledge to use. I also have the software on my PC to run it remotely. Thanks, sorry for being such a pain! -Rod-

5600. Re: Last day! Hurry! PRO-75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 22:11:40 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <woUt5.22863$58.3345052@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

*** POOF!!! your'e in the KILLFILE *****

so long

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:dhTt5.496$oe.1291@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> My auction for a mint PRO-75 is almost done! Get your bid in while you
can!
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646
>
> Adam Kolari
>
>
>

5601. Re: Mobile scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 22:30:04 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39B8166B.ACBD0482@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80127

Dennis,

Hustler has a pretty good mag mounted mobile scanner antenna. I like it so much that I ended up buying 2 of them. I use one at home, with it mounted on the metal cover of a radiator and the other in the car. I cannot remember the model number for it but if you go to Hustler's site, its the only mag mounted scanner antenna that they have. It was a little difficult getting it but I finally found it at Lentini's - not on their web site but they did have it in stock. Oh by the way its about $25 or so.

Dennis wrote:
>
> Any good mobile antennas out there. How about any
> web sites comparing them. (I remember one which
> compared a lot of antennas)
> I tried the Rat Shack antenna and didn't like it
> at all..
>
> Thanks Dennis

5602. Re: Radio SHack PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 22:46:40 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907184640.20965.00002017@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 80128

It should be noted here that the PRO-90 is simply a 235XLT with a Radio Shack nameplate. Therefore, all "tricks" for the 235 will also work on the PRO-90.

5603. Re: NY/NJ Scanner Guide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 22:54:44 UTC
From: ken8038@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907185444.17750.00000086@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 80129

No, damn, I wish I could remember the name of the guide or the author. It was based in North Jersey (Wayne?) and I think it was called Metro something. Thanks to all who have helped via personal E mail also. Actually with the Web sites I've been directed to, I'm just about able to do without by compiling my own list and programming that into the scanner. --Ken

5604. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 23:14:05 UTC
From: BG <bgridleyNOT@home.com>
Message-ID: <1jVt5.7234$j6.1774654@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 80130
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Spoken like a true salesman. !!
As a "security specialist" you should also know that RS sells wired and wireless systems and just because it was not installed by a "security specialist" that it cans still work just as well and you can even subscribe to a monitoring service in many areas.

"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message news:WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
>
> As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your
> home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house and
> grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should be
> home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
> before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know instantly
> what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It wouldn't
> take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how to
> disarm it quickly.
>
> Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure at
> least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
> designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of
> them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price
> for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next
> to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
> But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a true
> *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get into
> hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
> satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in
> to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty.
I

> could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as
> soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your
secured
> grounds.
>
> The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of
mind,
> they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
> house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and
> monitored!
>
> I do wish you the best of luck!
>
> Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net...
> > Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
> >
> > We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> > We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> > have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> > we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
> >
> > The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> > the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> > and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> > he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> > an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> > we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
> >
> > "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> > getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> > stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> > scanner discone antenna and coax.
> >
> > Bruce
> > Huntsville, Al
>
>

5605. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-07 23:21:40 UTC
From: BG <bgridleyNOT@home.com>
Message-ID: <8qVt5.7236$j6.1776338@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 80131
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

```
"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:QQCt5.12632$vm4.2708707@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
>
> Neil Schimler <neilz@pipeline.com> wrote in message
> news:8p6s94$ahf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:WfCt5.12576$vm4.2691457@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> > > You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> > > Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
> > >
> > > As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to
> your
> > > home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole
house
> > and
> > > grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife
> should
> > be
> > > home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away
long
> > > before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know
> > instantly
> > > what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It
> > wouldn't
> > > take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn
> how
> > to
> > > disarm it quickly.
> > >
> > > Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> > > installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can
> assure
> > at
> > > least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible.
I've
> > > designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all
> of
> > > them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit.
> Price
> > > for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> > > monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is
> next
```

> > > to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as
$26,000.
> > > But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables,
a
> > true
> > > *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to
get
> > into
> > > hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored
by
> > > satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's
invasion
> in
> > > to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> > > intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on
duty.
> > I
> > > could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would
know
> as
> > > soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your
> > secured
> > > grounds.
> > >
> > > The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of
> > mind,
> > > they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in
your
> > > house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> > > recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals,
> and
> > > monitored!
> > >
> > > I do wish you the best of luck!
> > >
> > It depends where you live. Here in NYC I am told by my friend (NYC
> > policeman) that the monitoring companies do not respond when the alarm
> goes
> > off.
> > If that is the case, he is better with the RS device. The only ones
that
> > will always respond is the police. You don't have to spend $1200 for
that.
> >
>
> For area's such as you described I would recommend NOT using the police,
but
> private armed services that monitor. You are correct, in some area's
police
> do not respond to alarms because of such a high false alrm rate. Thank

fully
> I haven't had to deal with this too often. In those area's there are
> monitoring services that dispatch their own or contract armed gaurds to
the
> scene first. Many of these gaurds are retired police officers or police
> officers moonlighting.

You may want to reread what you are responding to. He said the "Monitoring
Services" are the ones who never respond in his area.

>
> any way a poorly installed inexpensive security system is not that
reliable
> at all. For one thing these do-it yourselfers forget that they are
> installing a system that has been markered up 50-100%, so if they paid
$200
> for it it's only worth about $75.

And professionals never mark up there equipment...yeah right!

Plus they are installing a system that
> comes with installation instructions that can be obtained over the
internet.
> Schematics, manuals, and instructions are easily obtainable, or you could
> simply walk in the store and buy one yourself just to learn the system.

Well I certainly don't think he installed it with sigs that say "Control
panel this way ---> "!!

> Each home is different so therefore the design and installation is
> different, with trained professional installers every installation is
> different. With do it yourself kits, they are all pretty much the same.
> Professional equipment is verry different from generic kits and systems.
> Our devices are made to our specifications and not sold to the general
> public. You could not obtain schamatics or manuals for our systems any
> where.

Hogwash, you can obtain schematics for just about anything if you know where
to look.
I would also like to point out that when you break it down they all function
the same. A circuit is either opened or closed.

In addition, all our electronic devices use entirely different
> frequencies, and codes. In short, our systems, or any professional
security
> company for that matter, are of better quality, and more secured. I can't
> stress how important a professional install is over a do it yourself. No
> matter how handy you may be, your not a trained security specialist.
> Placement and selection of devices is critical. I've been involved in
this
> business long enough that I can think just like a burglar. I can walk in
to
> any home and plan a fool proof break in. I am by no means trying to brag

or
> sound like I am the best at what I do. In fact any trained security
> specialist can do what I can.
>
> Bottom line. Do it your self kits are fine for some things, but not for
> others. We are talking about the most precious things to us, our home,
and
> family. This is NOT one area you should want to cut corners! I highly
> recomend to anyone to at least TALK to a trained security specialist, hell
> talk to as many as you want, shop around all you want, but for heavens
sake
> have it done professionally!!
>
> Regards.
>
>
>

5606. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:10:01 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <t7Wt5.1013$qq3.14962@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny
hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop
actually
enforcing FCC laws

:Me either I got a careless for changing lanes near a st.pd
:<3 car lengths it was unfortunate situation driven by the
: pace near 62 mile post in stafford fines were paid> I
: was new to the route.Credit to the trooper he could
:drive like the dickens.
:GARDENSTATE PARKWAY IS THERE ANY
:WAY YOU CAN REMOVE THOSE
:STUPID TREES THAT BLOCK YOUR VIEW OF
: All incoming ramp traffic?MHO
: he didnt say anything.Stopped for confusing inspection
: sticker freehold suburban that sits in rt 34/35 area
: no problem either.
: Twice monthly inspection checkpoints on rt 30 Atlantic
: City.Either the 25 ltd/texas star combo with 96 whip on
: mirror.Or Bc245xlt vertical next to ashtray.
: Contrary to popular opinion some leo's
: Aren't out to bust nads.Theres a whole
: lot of other stuff for them to do.Praise the gung-ho ones
: they can spot the bad drivers by eye from like a mile
: away. hey It's only pre-emptive.I
: dont want to
: be near some of the drivers out there,and have
:them like snooze off and veer into me or crash into
: something in front of me.

blah blah blah
Gene Floyd wrote in message >

5607. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:16:14 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <idWt5.4704$JY2.1248811@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Noah Simoneaux" <noaj@yournet.com> wrote in message
news:39b7abcc.6157321@news.preferred.com...
>
> Then their search will probably be ruled illegal in court. The courts
> have decided that merely refusing permission to do a search isn't
> probably cause.

Not with cars. All the way back to Carroll v. United States in 1925,
automobiles have been treated differently from homes and persons by the
Supreme Court. The so-called "Carroll Doctrine" has been expanded over the
years to the extent that a person in an automobile has no reasonable
expectation of privacy and very few Fourth Amendment rights, regardless of
whether they are the driver or a passenger.

73 de Dave, NF2G

5608. Re: Mobile scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:17:53 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <ReWt5.343$_F3.16560@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80133

--
blah blah blah
> Any good mobile antennas out there. How about any
> web sites comparing them. (I remember one which
> compared a lot of antennas)

My real world use of radioshack amplified portable tv antenna velcroed to
the top of the passenger visor in
A van has been pretty good.Each leg in like an m to my horizon.case square
with the dash.Listening to trunking
in 800 mhz,400 mhz mil trunking<MOT And Ed in the high>+ some hams in
142-149.I have each leg pulled out
2 segments.

5609. Re: BC245XLT display likes/dislikes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:19:15 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <7gWt5.344$_F3.16580@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80134

In a 70 mph van speaker is useless.I need to run earbud
at 1/2 of full blast.

--
blah blah blah

5610. Re: Is audio of BC-235 better than BC-245?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:21:06 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <ShWt5.1049$qq3.15793@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80136

Probably.heheh

--
blah blah blah
juj <coag@yua.com> wrote in message news:39B3E465.978F21FC@yua.com...
> .
>

5611. bcdx1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 00:23:44 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <kkWt5.1054$qq3.15798@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 80137

mw to 29000?
Now thats a heavy lookin rig.Pay the postage!!!
it was on ebay last week.Good shape!

--
blah blah blah

5612. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 01:18:09 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000907211809.29429.00000175@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 80138

Ok I have to add my 2 cents worth I guess...This summer while on a road trip I noticed that at a Dominos (or other pizza place, but I'm sure it was Dominos) in Burney, CA I noticed they had a fleet of pickup trucks all with VHF-high band antennas...

Brett

5613. Mysery antenna on Pop machines solved !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 01:40:12 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <39b8403b.248005108@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Isochron's patent pending VendCast® technology is introduced into a vending company's operations. The VendCast® electronics are installed directly inside each vending machine. These electronics capture sales, inventory, and machine health data and transmit it over a wireless network to Isochron's Network Operations Center (NOC). From there it is securely transmitted over the Internet to the vending operator.

http://www.infoworld.com/articles/hn/xml/00/08/28/000828hnetrend.xml
http://www.isochron.com/products/vendcast.html
http://www.isochron.com/products/source.html
http://www.isochron.com/partners/source.html
http://www.concero.com/index.shtml

]

5614. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:15:25 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39B84C9C.7FEAB7FA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80140
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

He was probably a university student so he'll be gone shortly after he
graduates. :)
We had a local guy that actually knew what he was talking about, but he
didn't last long.

MC

Bruce or Tracy wrote:
>
> Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>
> We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>
> The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>
> "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> scanner discone antenna and coax.
>
> Bruce
> Huntsville, Al

5615. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:19:15 UTC
From: Allangored <moneycantbuyme@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p9ibg$e4k$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

triple conversion means 3 filters. it removes a lot more stuff out but not all. I get images on all my triple conversion scanners, just not nearly as many as the double converstion scanners, they get so many images that it often interfers with what you are really tuning into, for instance the pro-2040 gets cell frequencies at 460's, which is where many police frequencies are located. Every scanner is different, you have to get one and try it to find out how it does in your area. You might be happier with a basic scanner, you have to put them side by side and compare how they work. Just my opinion.

BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message news:39AB957C.896833C2@home.com...
> Pro 2006,BC 895XLT, bc9000XLT,PRO 2042,BC 860XLT are all triple
> conversion scanners. Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it
> better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick
> up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc
> 860xlt and 9000 xlt. I just bought a PRO 2045- will I be able to hear
> cell images? thanks for any help.

5616. Re: Uniden Hanheld Scanner 4 Sale!!!! cheap!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:25:04 UTC
From: Allangored <moneycantbuyme@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p9in7voc1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80142

At uniden online they have them for $48. Rebuilt with a guarantee.

<joescanner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8oltja$m0u$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> A great scanner for sale (listen to the racecar drivers, police, fire,
> etc)!
>
> UNIDEN BC60xlt-1 30 channel handheld scanner
> plus 2000 nascar freq included
>
> $55.00
>
> check it out at:
> http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/35922398
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5617. Re: F.S Pro 34 Handheld Scanner Price reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:25:06 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8p9ilf$8ql$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80143

In article <8p8sq9$f0d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:Price reduced to $150.00
> I have a Pro34 handheld scanner with the full cell. modified at 30
> step.This scanner is in excellent condition on a scale of 1 to 10 it
is
> a 9.8 Comes with a copy of the manual,antenna,and batteries.Well also
> include a extra antenna with a coil in it for those frequencies that
> are farther away in distance.$175.00 email me if interested at
> wilfkell@home.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5618. Re: PRO2006 backlight reapirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:33:17 UTC
From: Allangored <moneycantbuyme@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p9j6uqru1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80144

He is also dirt cheap!
This is too good to last, use him while you can...

Rich Carlson, N9JIG <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
news:rich-9ADD2F.22522906092000@news.theramp.net...
> I just got back my 5 radios from Robert's Scanner Services
>
> (http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Services.html)
>
> after he replaced the back light EL panels for me. Now I can see the
> displays again!.
>
> I highly recommend him, he did great work, and returned the radios as
> promised. He packed each radio individually and securely on the return
> trip and even repaired 2 of them after they were damaged in transit from
> me to him. I think he went over and above what I paid for the work.
>
> If you need the backlights replaced or other work, check him out. I
> recommend him!
>
> --
> Rich Carlson, N9JIG
> Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
> http://www.n9jig.com

5619. Re: Okla. trooper, OKC officer killed in pursuit crash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 02:57:22 UTC
From: Allangored <moneycantbuyme@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8p9kjo$o7i$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They are heros because they put up with all the crap and disrespect from the political government that rules them and the scumbags they die to protect..., and a system that lets criminals out as fast as you catch them. Like it or not they are the glue that holds this mess we call civilization together. Look at what happens when they stop working in Miami and L.A. Riots immediately break out and looting beating etc.,

5620. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:18:05 UTC
From: Frog207@Juno.com
Message-ID: <8FA8C14E8Frog207Junocom@209.60.252.12>
Source record: 80048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it
>> better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick
>> up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc
>> 860xlt and 9000 xlt.

Triple conversion means the received signal is converted three times
before it becomes "audio" for you speaker. Example:

Single conversion - the received signal is converted directly to audio
by the receiver's detector circuit.

Dual conversion - the received signal is converted to another radio
signal once, then to audio... as in: the received signal of,. say 151 MHz
is converted to an IF frequency of 10.7 MHz. It is amplified and filter
at 10.7 MHz then is converted (detected) to audio.

Triple conversion - the received signal is converted to another radio
signal twice before being converted to audio. Modern broadband receivers
tend to do this now. Say, a frequency of 151 MHz is converted to a first
IF of 60 MHz, it is amplifed and filtered at this new freq, then converted
again to a second IF of 10.7 MHz, more amplification and filtering, then
it is detected, (converted) to audio.

Get the idea?

I won't go into the reasons for doing this except to say performance for
triple conversion receivers is better, at the cost of complexity and price.

Ed

5621. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:19:09 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B85AAD.AFA32783@cts.com>
Source record: 80049

WilRobnson wrote:
>
> Ok I have to add my 2 cents worth I guess...This summer while on a road trip I
> noticed that at a Dominos (or other pizza place, but I'm sure it was Dominos)
> in Burney, CA I noticed they had a fleet of pickup trucks all with VHF-high
> band antennas...

Round Table in Prundale Ca. has VHF radios also.

5622. Re: Mysery antenna on Pop machines solved !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:30:34 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <i3Zt5.5811$nT5.71127@news2.atl>
Source record: 80050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That news is over a year old! Been there and done that.

***

<orasberries@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:39b8403b.248005108@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Isochron's patent pending VendCast® technology is introduced into a
> vending company's operations. The VendCast® electronics are installed
> directly inside each vending machine. These electronics capture sales,
> inventory, and machine health data and transmit it over a wireless
> network to Isochron's Network Operations Center (NOC). From there it
> is securely transmitted over the Internet to the vending operator.
>
> http://www.infoworld.com/articles/hn/xml/00/08/28/000828hnetrend.xml
> http://www.isochron.com/products/vendcast.html
> http://www.isochron.com/products/source.html
> http://www.isochron.com/partners/source.html
> http://www.concero.com/index.shtml
>
>]

5623. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:32:27 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <srgnc024ljn182@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80051

I saw that the PARG group from Portland,Oregon has VE testing at a Round Table Pizza place.
Mackey helps sponsor that VE session.
I wonder if they also sponsor theory classes to help propective hams?
WWW.PARG.ORG
The real ARRL recognized PARG.

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39B85AAD.AFA32783@cts.com...
>
>
> WilRobnson wrote:
> >
> > Ok I have to add my 2 cents worth I guess...This summer while on a road trip I
> > noticed that at a Dominos (or other pizza place, but I'm sure it was Dominos)
> > in Burney, CA I noticed they had a fleet of pickup trucks all with VHF-high
> > band antennas...
>
> Round Table in Prundale Ca. has VHF radios also.

5624. Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:39:54 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <FcZt5.72$p7w9.16908497@news.randori.com>
Source record: 80053

Have a clean, (5 mounts old) Alinco DJ-X10T scanner for sale.
Looks and works like new.
It is all mode AM, LSB, USB, NFM, FMW.
Frequency Range 100 KC to 2000 MHz
1200 Memory Channels
Comes with Book, Box, Antenna, Extra Battery & Desk Charger.

$275.00

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

5625. Re: FS or FT: Have Base SSB CB will trade BC 895 XLT w/pc cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:41:41 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <20000907.234141.1252@logan.njmc.com>
Source record: 80052

In article <20000907090301.11016.00000055@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
dekanblue@aol.com (DekanBlue) wrote:

> Looking for a BC 895 XLT, will trade for Uniden Madison SSB CB Base
> Radio. This is a stock Uniden Madison SSB Base rig, it has large
> external speaker with "Madison" on it and comes with a Turner Power Desk
> Mike. Unit has been packed away for the last 7 years. Perfect working
> order, some dirt on case top. $185 plus shipping.
>

Is this Madison an 8719 model or the older (much older by now) 858 based
model? *HUGE* difference in value to me! Does the clock still work?

5626. Re: >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 03:42:46 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <srgo8mkiljn28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 80054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd
I would be careful using anything related to the Radio Shack Logo or
business.
A guy with the handle of Scanshack had some trouble due to a relationship
with his webpage and a mention of just the word Shack!
Lordy lordy watchout

Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> wrote in message
news:ujYt5.5768$nT5.70080@news2.atl...
> I have updated the Radio Shack page today.
>
> 1. I have added a few more mod files to the webpage.
> 2. I have added a "Free For all Links" sections. add a link.
>
> By messing around with Winword, I was able to make a little bit better
> site. This will make it easier for your web-browsers to look at the
> graphics and make it a better looking! Leave a comment at my new e-mail!
>
> Go take a look!
>
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
>
> brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com - New E-mail
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
>
>
>

5627. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 04:00:49 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <grZt5.21402$kI2.976259@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 80056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message

> Yet I haven't seen where it is illegal to own ham equipment without a
> license. Or did I simply miss something? I know i had my first 2-meter
> rig the day after I passed my test. Is this one of those tecnhicalities
> that they just don't worry about for new hams?

Nope.. you didn't miss anything... It is NOT illegal for a non-amateur to own amateur equipment, nor is an amateur license required to purchase amateur equipment.... with the exception of RF Power Amps. As the regs stipulate, you may purchase and possess (without benefit of an amateur license), but not operate same equipment except to receive.

5628. Re: Israel police: trunked system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 04:00:54 UTC
From: valerie65@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8p9o9d$fc6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80057

Haven't found an answer to this question yet, but the Palestine Police are definitely using a Motorola trunked system at least partially set up by Tetrapol. If anyone has any further info on this, or on scanning in the Middle East in general, please post it. Thanks, Val.

In article <8oefsmvfb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
valerie65@my-deja.com wrote:
> From what I am aware, the police in Israel are using a Motorola 800 MHz
> system. Does anyone know if it's trunked? And is it type I or II or
> what? Much obliged.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5629. >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 04:39:19 UTC
From: Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net>
Message-ID: <ujYt5.5768$nT5.70080@news2.atl>
Source record: 80145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have updated the Radio Shack page today.

1. I have added a few more mod files to the webpage.
2. I have added a "Free For all Links" sections. add a link.

By messing around with Winword, I was able to make a little bit better
site. This will make it easier for your web-browsers to look at the
graphics and make it a better looking! Leave a comment at my new e-mail!

Go take a look!

http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com - New E-mail

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

5630. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 04:45:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39B86EE7.288E7B33@sccoast.net>
Source record: 80058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> They stated it was too much of a
> hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and
> their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time
> to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.

Actually, most companies avoid the postal service because they face the possibility of mail fraud, and a host of other legal responses, if they "screw" a customer through the mail. With UPS (and other carriers), they don't face this threat - the poor customer is left to fight it out with the company alone (which is exactly how the companies like it).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

5631. Re: Radio SHack PRO-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 04:52:39 UTC
From: Daniel Kear <KB8RMG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8p9l4e$13p8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 80047

Are\any of the test freq's in the cell band like 888.8

5632. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 05:08:22 UTC
From: Dave <reconyourcover@vh.net>
Message-ID: <39B87446.5295300D@vh.net>
Source record: 80060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just called about one today and they are having software problems, I was told

the 780 would be out around December/January time frame... I hope Santa brings

me one...

"Sal R." wrote:

> Does anyone know when this scanner will be availible,,
>
> "Reuben" <ruby@cloud9.net> wrote in message
> news:srbfvhualjn92@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone know if this Trunk Tracking radio is or will be modifable for
> > Cellular? Thanks.
> >
> >
> > Reuben Marcus
> >
> >

5633. Re: FRS! in canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 05:30:58 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mQ_t5.13636$vm4.3052620@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80059

A verry good alternative would be the "freeband", There are modified 10 meter and 11 meter radios equiped to cover the freeband. It's all sideband and range is excellent with all the skip advatages of the 10 meter band.

For more info see: http://www.rebel-radio.net "Freeband" section.

John <dc2daylight@home.com> wrote in message news:ASyt5.12866$a5.182275@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
> > If I'm not mistaken, FRS radios have a max. transmitt output of .5
> > watts, whereas CB radios have an typical output of 5 watts (or more ?).
>
> True enough, but the "goons" have been hard at work wreaking new forms of
> havoc. I read recently problems with people buying GMRS equipment (with no
> licence of course) and using it in place of FRS applications (some of the
> frequencies are shared anyways). It's only a matter of time...
>
> Maybe 11 meters will become useful again? (food for thought)
>
> John
>
>

5634. Re: >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 05:57:05 UTC
From: Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net>
Message-ID: <psZt5.5836$nT5.71568@news2.atl>
Source record: 80055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

All it is a Link to www.radioshack.com They should be thankful for the
extra web visitors from my site.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote in message
news:srgo8mkiljn28@corp.supernews.com...
> Lloyd
> I would be careful using anything related to the Radio Shack Logo or
> business.
> A guy with the handle of Scanshack had some trouble due to a relationship
> with his webpage and a mention of just the word Shack!
> Lordy lordy watchout
>
> Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> wrote in message
> news:ujYt5.5768$nT5.70080@news2.atl...
> > I have updated the Radio Shack page today.
> >
> > 1. I have added a few more mod files to the webpage.
> > 2. I have added a "Free For all Links" sections. add a link.
> >
> > By messing around with Winword, I was able to make a little bit better
> > site. This will make it easier for your web-browsers to look at the
> > graphics and make it a better looking! Leave a comment at my new
e-mail!
> >
> > Go take a look!
> >
> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
> >
> > brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com - New E-mail
> >
> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > Athens, AL 35611
> > http://clik.to/n0vfp
> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm
> >
> >
> >

>
>

5635. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 06:30:15 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <9q0hrssfnir90apdqupmca550r9lqejlis@4ax.com>
Source record: 80061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 7 Sep 2000 20:18:05 -0700, Frog207@Juno.com (Ed) wrote:

> Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it
>>> better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick
>>> up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc
>>> 860xlt and 9000 xlt.
>
>
> Triple conversion means the received signal is converted three times
>before it becomes "audio" for you speaker. Example:
>
> Single conversion - the received signal is converted directly to audio
>by the receiver's detector circuit.
>
> Dual conversion - the received signal is converted to another radio
>signal once, then to audio... as in: the received signal of,. say 151 MHz
>is converted to an IF frequency of 10.7 MHz. It is amplified and filter
>at 10.7 MHz then is converted (detected) to audio.
>
> Triple conversion - the received signal is converted to another radio
>signal twice before being converted to audio. Modern broadband receivers
>tend to do this now. Say, a frequency of 151 MHz is converted to a first
>IF of 60 MHz, it is amplifed and filtered at this new freq, then converted
>again to a second IF of 10.7 MHz, more amplification and filtering, then
>it is detected, (converted) to audio.
>
> Get the idea?
no .no. non

Each conversion involves moving the signal to an intermediate
frequency. In a single conversion receiver this happens once. A local
oscillator is mixed with the incoming signal to produce sums and
differences. In a Single Conversoin receiver for AM, the intermediate
frequency is almost always 455khz. The signal gets amplified
considerably at this frequencye (often there are several amp stages),
and then it is detected.

An image would be 910 Khz from the desired signal. At MW
frequencies, this is far enough away that a very crude bandpass filter
will effectively suppress the image. However at high frequencies, the
difference become small compared to the tuned frequencies, and at VHF,
it is miniscule, so bandpass filtering is impractical.

The first approach is to move the IF frequency up, so that the image will be further away. FM radio's do this by putting the Intermediate frequency at 10.7Mhz. Many triple conversion scanners put the second Intermediate frequency at 10.7Mhz. Very simply components for this, and the FM detector are very common, and very inexpensive. This is also what drives the 455Khz IF, very commonly used, so parts are common and cheap.

Generally most of the gain, and almost all of the selectivity in the receiver will be in the last IF, along with the detectors (FM,AM,SSB,Synchronous)..

In order to have reasonable Image rejection at all on HF and VHF, two intermediate frequencies are usually required.
So the signal is mixed with a fixed local oscillator, and up or down converted. Often it is around 40Mhz, but it doesn't have to be. Usually there is band pass filtering here to make sure that images cannot get through to the next stage (which is typically 455Khz).

It doesn't take a lot of bandpass filtering to reject even a VHF signal 80Mhz away. Many scanners have triple conversion, which puts the images even further away, often several hundred Mhz away.

Unfortunately none of these methods are very effective in low priced DC-light scanners because most of them have absolutely NO bandpass filtering on the front end, so these receivers tend to have very poor image rejection. This is what distinquishes the DC to light scanners from the real receivers like the IC-8500 and IC-9000. I think I counted more than a dozen bandpass filters up front in the 8500 schematic.

So in a triple Conversion receiver, the first oscillator will produce an IF frequency often in the range of 200Mhz, so any image will be 400Mhz away, The second conversion will be typically to 10.7Mhz. If you are looking for normal FM, it will be detected at this stage, otherwise a third conversion (which does the actually fine tuning so to speak) will convert down to 455Khz, where most of the passband filtering will take place, and everything except FM will be detected (narrow band FM, AM,SSB,CW etc).

On some HF receivers, the 3rd IF will be at 50Khz. It is fairly easy to produce low loss, filters with good shape factors at 50Khz.

Only after detection do you get audio.

5636. Frequencyuk update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 08:00:26 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <39b89e31$1@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 80062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,
Just a quick mail to update you all on the site. www.frequencyuk.co.uk

New features include: Clickable maps of airfields and ICAO search.
We have also added a lot of new frequencies to the county order section and to the searchable database. There is also an airband search to find different airfields and to check which freqs are being used and by whom.
All the usual features are their: up to date news, message board, for sale pages, monthly poll, interviews, book reviews and of course endless amounts of frequencies in county and subject order such as marine, civil air, military air, CB, search and rescue and the shuttle.
There's a lot more in the site so come have a look and let us know how we are doing.
T

5637. L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 08:40:41 UTC
From: warrio9202@aol.comgte.net
Message-ID: <20000908044041.10973.00000406@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 80063

L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs For the L0s Angeles Area

5638. Re: FRS! in canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 08:43:42 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <s09hrssmac3pkulpnhv6bidqv87bumn6p8@4ax.com>
Source record: 80064

Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:

> John Medica - System administrator wrote:
> >
> > Seems Family radio service really popular in canada now. As more
> > people get FRS, you'll find - like cb radio
> > over crowding and get your typical idiots at times.
>
> If I'm not mistaken, FRS radios have a max. transmitt output of .5
> watts, whereas CB radios have an typical output of 5 watts (or more ?).
> Should be less "crowded" with the smaller power output.

True, but the FRS radios have more sensitive receivers and have a
much lower noise floor (no skip, amps, etc.) I did a test with a
friend across town and found that a standard set of CBs with 1/4
wave whips were outperformed by a pair of Kenwood FRS radios with
0.3 watt ERP. Your milage may vary, of course, but power isn't
everything....

(As an aside to that, if you have the gear, listen to the ham
radio propogation beacons at 14.1 MHz (CW). The format is,
Call sign and long "T" at 100 Watt, followed by 3 more long
"T"s at 10, 1, and 0.1 Watt. You usually find that if you can
hear the beacon at all, you'll hear it all the way down to 0.1
Watt. The beacons are scattered all over the world and have
a specific sequence of operation.)

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com

"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

5639. FS: Misc Video / Comp Items (or FT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 09:49:07 UTC
From: "M.L.K" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <39B8B61B.AA5F470E@cfl.rr.com>
Source record: 80065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/forsale1.html

E-mail me for shipping prices or trade considerations

I have a great condition Compac LTE Elite 4/40CX (486dx4/40) with 16MB

mem,
340MB HD, 2 PCMCIA slots,COMPAQ 192 19,200 Data/Fax PCMCIA modem with
instructions & Cable (plus nylon case), good battery, AC cord, compact
case, external as
well as integral mouse. 1.44MB floppy, printer port, serial port (DB9).
Unit
is in pristine shape, NO pixel damage on nice color TFT screen. Unit now

runs w3.1 with delrina fax pro. TCP/IP drivers, compaq drivers, MS
Office
4.2, 8.4" color tft screen, lotus software. $175 obo plus shipping

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop2.JPG

Seagate 8.6GB Hard Drive, used but good, Model # ST38421A - $75 obo /
trade
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/st38421a.JPG

5640. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 10:07:01 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39B8BA45.19AE0DDE@cts.com>
Source record: 80066

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> I saw that the PARG group from Portland,Oregon has VE testing at a Round
> Table Pizza place.

No...the Portland wannabees hang at the Chuckie Cheese next to the PDX
mall.

> Mackey helps sponsor that VE session.

No...He watches the restroom door for parents and cops.

> I wonder if they also sponsor theory classes to help propective hams?

They sponsor a law course that covers "how to ask for a public
defender...and get one!"

> WWW.PARG.ORG

Pedophiles
Acting
Really
Gay

> The real ARRL recognized PARG.

Prunedale Amature Radio Group
Founded 1959

5641. Re: FS or FT: Have Base SSB CB will trade BC 895 XLT w/pc cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 11:43:44 UTC
From: dekanblue@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908074344.24110.00000230@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 80067

Red digital clock works just fine. Where is the model number and what are the differences in the values?

5642. Going....Going.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 12:25:23 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <uJ4u5.656$Ek.3101@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 80069

My beautiful PRO-75 is almost gone! Better get your last bid in soon! Just follow the link!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=423118646

Adam Kolari

5643. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 13:00:44 UTC
From: larryn@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <igohrs8fieopd5hpgdr0tr1c0adkn89u6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 80068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 08:15:01 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
wrote:

>Daniel Friedrichs wrote:
>>
>> Although it's not illegal to make mods, etc. to a radio, it is illegal to use them (ex.
a
>> tech-class ham can have a HF radio as long as they don't transmit on it). But when your
>> talking amps for 11 meters, that's different. 11meter amps aren't legal in anycase, so
>> there's no reason to have one at all (making them illegal). But in the same token, if
you
>> modify a radio to transmit on a frequency that you won't possibly ever use, there's no
>> reason to have it (making it illegal....)
>
>
>According to their CB-related regs, the FCC presumes that if you posess
>a certain piece of equipment, you will use it, thereby making amps
>illegal for CBers to own. Now where I get confused is with ham
>equipment, as the same concepts do not seem to apply there. I wonder if
>by some chance you were caught with an 11-meter amp (posession, not
>use), would claiming that you were studying for your license make a
>valid defense?

1==> I certainly wouldn't think so, unless there was some provision
that allowed you to buy equipment in advance of being granted your
license on the condition that you didn't use it.

Would think this highly unlikely, as it would, in practice, allow
almost anyone to possess a linear amplifier. All the illegal user
would have to do is buy a license manual or study guide and there
would be no way of proving that he _wasn't_ studying for a license.

2==> However, if it was ever accepted as a defense, it would set an
interesting precedent. A high school kid with a submachine gun could
claim he was planning on a military career. Caught with prescription
drugs, he might claim that he was studying to be a pharmacist or
medical doctor. ;-)

3==> If you got caught with illegal apparatus and tried this as a
as a defense, your authorities would probably get a good laugh out of
it, though.:-)

ï¿½larryn

5644. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 13:49:43 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <X76u5.178$y6.64446@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80071

Chicago police dept old timers will sometimes dispatch man down calls as
"sidewalk inspectors"

In article <20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com>, tomcat4680@aol.com
(TomCat4680) wrote:

>
> anyone with funny police dispatches post em
>
> Tom
>
> Visit my Genesee County Michigan Scanner Information Page at
> http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

5645. Re: Mil convoy freqs wtd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 13:56:39 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <re6u5.191$y6.64719@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80072

Haven't heard it used in years but in the late 70's / early 80's the lowband freq 32.50 was a fairly well accepted nationwide freq for army convoys.

In article <39B435C1.5D30FF48@pacbell.net>, Mike Frye <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Many years ago Monitoring Times or Communications magazine listed about
> 10 common military convoy freqs in the 30 to 50mHz range. Does anyone
> have the article or any known good freqs?
> Thanks, Mike.
>

5646. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 14:07:27 UTC
From: larryn@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <cgphrske53neksgacaf5prfejffftva4eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 80070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 7 Sep 2000 10:09:00 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
wrote:

>
><larryn@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
>
>> >> As far as I know, CW is legal on all bands, ham, business, and
>otherwise.
>
>> Pretty sure that it isn't in Australia, and since radio regulations
>> tend to be fairly international, doubt that it would be there either.
>> However, I can look it up if you like.
>
>Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
>frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
>meter band)
>
1==> Sorry, thought could lay my hands on my old CB license, but can't
find it at the moment. Am certain, though, that HF CB channels on 11
meters didn't [and don't] allow anything but AM or SSB voice
transmission. At one stage the issue came up when some farmers wanted
to use CB frequencies for telemetry applications, such as the remote
control of irrigation pumps.

Then too, if CB had been allowed, those chasing DX would have bought
Telereaders or communication computers so could use code on the CB
bands without the need to learn it. In DX apps, code would have
offered advantages as can get through where voice wont.

2==> On our UHF CB channels around 465 Mhz the service is restricted
to FM voice with the exception that can use tone modulation for
selective calling and repeater identification.

However, can't quote the regulation numbers to you at the moment. If
get time will look it up on Australian Communications Authority site
or at WIA [Wireless institute of Australia] site.
[Assume they will both have a site but don't know the URL's offhand.]

ï¿½larryn
[misc.survivalism]

Info on CB class license
http://dove.net.au/~ramab/License.htm

Australian CB frequencies HF & UHF
http://dove.net.au/~ramab/frequencies.htm

Home page for dove site
http://dove.net.au/~ramab/

The above are not official, but couldn't find any official
emission info on CB bands in the time I spent searching. Anyone
curious could email the ACA and simply ask.

WIA is roughly the equivalent of your ARRL
Wireless Institute of Australia
http://www.wia.org.au/

Australian Communications Authority
http://www.aca.gov.au/

Other CB info of possible interest
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
International working from Australia on CB
http://43ax101.homestead.com/Australian_CB_Eleven_Meter_Radio.html

UHF CB repeater listings by state
http://www.vk2nnn.com/Repeater/nswuhfcb.html

Some info on mobile operation in outback areas,
RFDS [Royal Flying Doctor Service] etc.
http://www.antennex.com/preview/Folder03/Sep3/mob_ob.htm

ROYAL FLYING DOCTOR SERVICE OF AUSTRALIA home page
includes link to all HF frequencies used, some of which you
may be able to pick up in USA
http://www.emerge.net.au/~roblee/rfds.html

School of the air, used for education services to remote
homsteads.
http://www.assoa.nt.edu.au/

Hope this is of interest.

ï¿½larryn
[misc survivalism]
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

5647. WINRADIO for Mac???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 14:07:29 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <Bo6u5.211$y6.65107@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 80073

I hear a WINRADIO system for Mac was demo'ed at Dayton. Anyone see it? Any details? I'd settle for a bad rumor... Internal? External? Specs? Software? See the radio rumors section at:

www.grove-ent.com

(It's coming!?!?)

5648. Rockwell Collin 95S-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 14:15:28 UTC
From: bigbear@thai.com
Message-ID: <8pas9a$n9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80074

Hi...

Anyone know a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A is use for and how much does it
cost for second hand radio? My friend want to sell this receiver for me but I
have own Drake R8A so I need to know what is it use for except normal
scanner function if it look interest maybe I will buy it!
Anyone have manual or software for control this radio? Any comment is
welcome.

bigbear@thai.com
Regard.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5649. Re: Rockwell Collin 95S-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 14:20:37 UTC
From: krisada@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pasitnkr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80075

** TEST

In article <8pas9a$n9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
bigbear@thai.com wrote:
> Hi...
>
> Anyone know a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A is use for and how much does it
> cost for second hand radio? My friend want to sell this receiver for me but I
> have own Drake R8A so I need to know what is it use for except normal
> scanner function if it look interest maybe I will buy it!
> Anyone have manual or software for control this radio? Any comment is
> welcome.
>
> bigbear@thai.com
> Regard.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5650. Any word on Icom IC-R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 14:47:49 UTC
From: axinar@one.net
Message-ID: <8pau6dpgs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80076

Hi there ...

Anyone have any good tips on when the domestic Icom IC-R3 might be
available?

Thanks!

Ax

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5651. Can the Icom R2 be unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 15:10:27 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Dj7u5.2815$WD3.86187@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 80077

Can the Icom R2 US version be unblocked ?

5652. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 15:36:24 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39B8FA69.92795B2B@GTE.net>
Source record: 80078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Allangored wrote:

> triple conversion means 3 filters. it removes a lot more stuff out but not
> all. I get images on all my triple conversion scanners, just not nearly as
> many as the double converstion scanners, they get so many images that it
> often interfers with what you are really tuning into, for instance the
> pro-2040 gets cell frequencies at 460's, which is where many police
> frequencies are located. Every scanner is different, you have to get one and
> try it to find out how it does in your area. You might be happier with a
> basic scanner, you have to put them side by side and compare how they work.
> Just my opinion.

Triple conversion does NOT mean the receiver has 3 filters. 3X conversion means the radio's circuitry employs 3 mixers- thus converting the incoming frequency to 3 different IF's. Because the 1st IF is hi in frequency, image rejection is quite good.

Dale W4OP

5653. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 15:40:54 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8pb1a1$tf2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

is CW legal on the CB band....the short answer is NO....

--
Dave KG5XR
Daves Radio Electronics
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
Ark/Mo State Line

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5654. FS:CCD camera & monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:17:13 UTC
From: k1byd@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39B91113.9BB671E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 80080

CCD Security camera and monitor for sale .....camera can see in the dark

with IR illumination ...Asking $105.00 or best offer
for more info E-Mail me at
k1byd@hotmail.com

5655. Re: Any word on Icom IC-R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:28:33 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Rs8u5.970$Q15.5603903@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 80081

The Monitoring Times will have a Bob Parnass review in the November issue.

Nick
WB4SQI

<axinar@one.net> wrote in message news:8pau6dpgs1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hi there ...
>
> Anyone have any good tips on when the domestic Icom IC-R3 might be
> available?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Ax
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5656. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:33:30 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B914D4.E20CFBFF@vengers.com>
Source record: 80082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm wi' you, Daniel. We're Orchid Growers...or rather my wife is. I quit after I got cancer. Anyhow, I had some UPS fumblethumbs drop 1000.00 worth of compots of baby Orchids in my driveway. 75 lbs. Thud! Not even an apology. We ship USPS, Priority Mail, and maybe lose 2 shipments per year. Guess what though, I won't ship UPS, even if the customer wants me to. So I guess I can see his point, but if it's from pure laziness, that's retarded. -Rod-

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> Well, I've said it once, and I'll say it again, being a radio dealer
> in the USA is apparently a very lucrative enterprise, so much so that
> they can turn away business at the drop of a hat...
>
> I recently placed an order on line for a radio at a well known radio
> dealership in the mid-west, valued at $360.00.
>
> It was a home run for me as it was a very good price for this model,
> and I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an automated
> sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks.
>
> However, I requested that the item be shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured, to my P.O. Box. The dealer refused.
>
> Even though, I the customer was paying for the item AND shipping
> charges, whatever they might be, the dealer refused to do as I, the
> customer asked.
>
> They stated it was too much of a hassles to have someone go to the
> post office to send it out, and their experience with claims has been
> that they have to wait a long time to get paid from the USPS. This is
> of course assuming they have a claim.
>
> This may all very well be true, but I truly believe that it is pure
> laziness. They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.
>
> In my opinion, the service from UPS as is bad or worse. I recently
> purchased a humidor from someone in New Jersey, and they shipped it to
> me, also in NJ via UPS. Normally, this is next day delivery, yet UPS
> sent it to Ohio, and it took seven working days for me to get an item
> shipped within my own state.
>
> On the other hand, I have been sending and receiving items almost
> exclusively via USPS Priority Mail, and I have NEVER had a problem. I

> received a package from the west coast in three days!
>
> So, I am leaving my current job and opening up a radio dealership. If
> business is so good that I can turn away business, and refuse the
> customer his request, than I will be rich very soon.....
>
> Dan

5657. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:41:37 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B916B6.D493A8E@vengers.com>
Source record: 80083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yo,

Stern is looking for laughs. I don't watch or listen, but I can live with the fact. And I'm what a lot of you might consider an old fart. Along the lines of the post below, ever listen to the AM garbage on a LONG cross country trip? Invariably right-wing. Invariably inflammatory. Limbaugh? Shoulda fried him in his own fat. I'm not saying right-wing is bad, but anything extremist sure as hell is. But, then there's Freedom of Speech.... -Rod-

Castiron Transmission repairperson wrote:

> "John Bowers" <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8zqqhf$wZB4$B@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > The FCC has recently threatened to revoke the license of broadcasters if
> > they air any more death threats, humorous or otherwise, of the type put on
> > the Late Late Show recently, or of the type aired by Howard Stern.
>
> Why not instead publicize the militia-screwballs
> what broadcast daily diatribes of hate-speech
> and edge-on dumb people to commit illegal acts
> on a daily basis, on stations like WGTG and WWCR ?
>
> What about the "broadcasters" what recently spewed garbage
> about Art Bell on WWCR ? I hope Bell cleans every freakin
> red cent from WWCR's bank account and that station get's it's
> festering microphone silenced forever by Federal Marshals.
>
> Howard Stern is a much more responsible broadcaster
> who has more integrity, intellegence and broadcast
> accuracy in his left finger, than all the domestic
> "SHITwave Broadcast" stations can ever hope for in a Month of Sundays.

5658. Re: Any word on Icom IC-R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:43:18 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39B91726.7569A115@megsinet.net>
Source record: 80084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think the IC-R3 review is scheduled for the next issue (Oct 2000)
of Monitoring Times.

Nick Marsh wrote:
>
> The Monitoring Times will have a Bob Parnass review in the November issue.

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

5659. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:47:13 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B91815.714C7E1E@vengers.com>
Source record: 80085

Here in Colorado Springs I occasionally hear of someone that's been beaten (lost the fight) in a barroom as a "Man in a hat". -Rod-

TomCat4680 wrote:

> one night I heard the dipatcher say "check the freeway for a dog weaving in and
> out of traffic" the cop said "is it intoxicated?" (drunk) the dispatcher said
> (while laughing) "quite possibly"
>
> anyone with funny police dispatches post em
>
> Tom
>
> Visit my Genesee County Michigan Scanner Information Page at
> http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

5660. Re: scanner frequencies for Memphis Police Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:48:56 UTC
From: randerson43@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pb59f$2ba$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80087

I still haven't been able to receive any of the broadcast of the Shelby County Police Department. In other posted messages I was told that the Shelby County Police frequencies were digital and couldn't be received by current commerically marked scanners. Your post says that they are Ericson and can be picked up using a PRO-94. Have you recently heard any of the transmissions? I have been able to pick up other Shelby County government frequencies but not the Shelby County Police department. Thank you, Robert
Anderson<399762B5.3ECF966C@worldnet.att.net>,
missyflip@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> memphis is digital.. shelby county is Ericson and works great as of
just
> a month or two agao when I visited using my PRO-94
>
> randerson43@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have the frequencies for the Memphis Police Department
or
> > the Shelby County Sheriffs Department. The frequencies listed in
the
> > Bearcat frequency book or the Uniden frequency manual didn't work.
I
> > have tried scanning on all banks through the 800's but the only
thing
> > that I could find was for Germantown and Bartlett. Robert Anderson
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5661. Re: Is it illegal to listen to cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 16:51:59 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B91927.BFFECA06@vengers.com>
Source record: 80086

It used to be that the general rule of thumb was you could listen in to about anything, you just couldn't discuss it with anyone. It's the old "Intercepted Transmissions" bit. Given that, the snitch above could have gone to the poley himself. And the FCC has been known to do some ODD things. Come 'n' get me. KABL4572. -Rod-

elliotta wrote:

> In the state of Indiana only one person has to "ok" the monitoring of
> "portable phone calls". Cell phones are not included unless there is a wire
> tap authorized. I stand corrected. I was not aware of the "new laws" of
> 1994. In the case I was involved in one person did ok the taping of calls
> to protect their children. Sorry for my ignorance. Lawyers wrote these laws
> with little loop holes in them. It is my understanding some lawyer out there
> is trying to figure out what actually is a sex act and what the word "is"
> is.
> "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
> news:OjIn5.6775$uX.15284@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Well BTH......bullshit back to you.......that portion of the law was not
> > changed to give the police a tool for the "war against drugs". That was
> the
> > whole basis of the Supreme Court decision. A man was convicted on drug
> > charges because a neighbor heard the dealer making drug deals on his
> > scanner. The neighbor called police who in turn set up a "listing post"
> and
> > subsequently the drug dealer was arrested, tried, and convicted. It is not
> > illegal to listen to the type of phones and monitors we are discussing.
> > Recently, while on a "stakeout" in a grave yard, in my area, an undercover
> > agent, using a bearcat 200xlt scanner and recorder busted a high-school
> drug
> > ring. The dealer was using the city and county grave yards to make drops
> at
> > certain grave sites. He would tell the buyer to look for the site and a
> > prescription bottle. The buyer would take the drugs and leave money or
> pay
> > in advance. The undercover agent used the tapes he made, of the phone
> > calls, from the buyers in the area during the court case. The case was
> > won. The police and agents will use the means necessary to stop drug
> > dealers. If you disagree that is ok but be civil......I bet you are the
> same
> > BTR found in the "bible groups"?
> > "Bud the Real!" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> > news:bbcspsc9ojmkhno1e8j7kt3q982e3lac0b@4ax.com...
> > > "elliotta" <elliotta@netusa1.net> hollered from the outhouse...
> > >

> > > >According the Supreme Court it is not illegal to listen to home
> portable
> > > >phones.
> > >
> > > Bullshit.
> > >
> > > There WAS such a ruling, but that was before the law was changed.
> > >
> > > The Supreme Court hasn't ruled on that change, which WILL probably
> > > stand any legal challenge.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> > >
> > > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> >

5662. Re: PRO-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 17:03:12 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39B91BD1.5F61CF37@vengers.com>
Source record: 80088

Brian,

Bad news. RS markets a terrific Win98 program that does all that and more. It's got it's own GUI. Click on "Manual" on the graphic of the 2052, and you're in graphic mode. Among other neat things it also allows Alpha tags, that while they will not show up on the scanner, they will show up on the software, which runs in real time. It's supposed to come as a package deal with a cable but don't bet on it. RS doesn't know their butts from a hole in the ground. Buy a male to female DB9 connector and with the CD, you're set. Or email me. The software was originally written by Pozilla Software. It sure as hell beats messing around with the front panel. Now, speaking of software, do you, or antone else, know anything about computer communications for Police vehicles? The freqs, software to to decode it and display the info, etc? Feel free to email me on that one as well :) Thanks to ALL that responded to my query. Dennis and Jason were especially helpful in email. -Rod-

Brian Short wrote:

> Not too sure I can help and I'm in Arizona, but I do have
> a PRO-2052 for which I have written some software.
>
> I have written a DOS, text-mode program which can among
> other things load a file of frequencies and download
> (upload?) them to the scanner.
>
> The commands are fairly simple and well documented in
> the manual.
>
> I am still learning about my PRO-2052 and I would love
> to find a user group or mailing list for this scanner.
>
> What software are you running?
>
> Thanks, Brian
>
> > I'm a newbie to scanning, though I did it many years ago when you
> > actually needed crystals to scan. My questions: Is there anyone from
> > Colorado Springs here? Colorado? Anyone with a 2052 with the patience to
> > teach a newbie more about his unit? I've got more box here than I have
> > the knowledge to use. I also have the software on my PC to run it
> > remotely. Thanks, sorry for being such a pain! -Rod-
>
> --
> mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
> --

5663. Re: FCC warns about threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 18:36:38 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39b9309a.103631901@news.erols.com>
Source record: 80089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 08 Sep 2000 16:41:37 GMT, "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com> wrote:

>Yo,
>
>Stern is looking for laughs. I don't watch or listen, but I can live with the
>fact. And I'm what a lot of you might consider an old fart. Along the lines of
>the post below, ever listen to the AM garbage on a LONG cross country trip?
>Invariably right-wing. Invariably inflammatory. Limbaugh? Shoulda fried him in
>his own fat. I'm not saying right-wing is bad, but anything extremist sure as
>hell is. But, then there's Freedom of Speech.... -Rod-
>
>Castiron Transmission repairperson wrote:
>
>> "John Bowers" <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>> news:8zqqhf$wZB4$B@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> > The FCC has recently threatened to revoke the license of broadcasters if
>> > they air any more death threats, humorous or otherwise, of the type put on
>> > the Late Late Show recently, or of the type aired by Howard Stern.
>>
>> Why not instead publicize the militia-screwballs
>> what broadcast daily diatribes of hate-speech
>> and edge-on dumb people to commit illegal acts
>> on a daily basis, on stations like WGTG and WWCR ?
>>
>> What about the "broadcasters" what recently spewed garbage
>> about Art Bell on WWCR ? I hope Bell cleans every freakin
>> red cent from WWCR's bank account and that station get's it's
>> festering microphone silenced forever by Federal Marshals.
What did they say about him? He's already been through
Hell with his son.

>>
>> Howard Stern is a much more responsible broadcaster
>> who has more integrity, intellegence and broadcast
>> accuracy in his left finger, than all the domestic
>> "SHITwave Broadcast" stations can ever hope for in a Month of Sundays.

Must be an awfully small finger. He, as well as Don&Mike, are
just another example of appealing to the lowest common denominator.

>

5664. Re: Can the Icom R2 be unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 18:40:51 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Toau5.4$4a3.2055@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 80090

Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Dj7u5.2815$WD3.86187@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Can the Icom R2 US version be unblocked ?
>

Why bother?? Just buy the unblocked version and have it all.

5665. Ham Radio Swap Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 19:14:14 UTC
From: Linda AD6LT ~ Danny KO6OY & George KN6LA <ko6oy@jetlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B93A86.A0363B07@jetlink.net>
Source record: 80029

KO6OY's

Robert LeGault wrote:

> Is there a page somewhere that has a comprehensive set of links to
> software for controlling scanners?
>
> Thanks in advance.

Buy, Sell, Trades & Wants !!!
** All Personal Ham Radio Related Ads Are Posted FREE **
This is one of The Largest Ham Radio Swap Sites on The Internet..
All ads are Posted Manually so we can weed out the commercial & junk
mail ads...

Click Here ------> http://www.jetlink.net/~ko6oy/swapage4.htm

Stop By For a Visit,
Thanks For Reading....
73 Danny ko6oy & Linda ad6lt

5666. Still MORE problems with LA Fire & County EMS systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 19:25:51 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8pbeg6lnp1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 80091
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.firefighters,alt.firefighter,rec.radio.scanner

Friday's news includes two more stories about problems for LAFD Paramedics within their own department as well as L.A. County's Trauma system's woes, at:

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm

5667. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 20:51:29 UTC
From: radioboy2000@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pbjg9kfb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan,

I am really confused here.
You post an article in this newsgroup right?
For what reason, to get feedback from others?
If this is the reason, then why must you reply with such rude and
ignorant remarks. People and just throwing in their two cents, thats
it!! No need to reply to some of these people with those kind of
remarks, more less saying in most of your replies that they are stupid
and don't know what they are talking about.

Whats with that??????

If you don't like the responses you receive, then why waste your time
coming here every day to reply to them????

Give it up and move on.

Wayne

In article <39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
> Mark,
>
> I do not want any of your "points" OK?
>
> Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?
>
> If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on? If
> this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
>
> > I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
> >> Priority Mail?
> >
> >You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
> >
> >>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
> >> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra
mile
> >> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
> >
> >Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If
Bob
> >grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this way"
is

> >moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.
>
> I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the radio
> I was looking for.
>
> >Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>
> No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
> everything that you are....
>
> >> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As
the
> >> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the
purchase...welcome to
> >> >America.
> >>
> >> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
> >>
> >
> >> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
> >> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then
we
> >> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
> >>
> >> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which
you
> >> assume I did. Which I did not.
> >
> >I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later post
> >you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just how
> >unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was "to
> >stimulate discussion".
> >
> >Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than the
> >other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points for
> >that.
> A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
> with me.
> >But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
> >gate.
> >
> Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.
>
> It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
> debate on these gropus after all.
>
> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
> as yourself.
>

> Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?
>
> >> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we
parted
> >> company in good terms.
> >
> >And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
> >
> The only thing that should move on here is you.
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Dan
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5668. Re: Mobile scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 21:24:47 UTC
From: robertv@massed.net
Message-ID: <39b95904.5488503@news.javanet.com>
Source record: 80093

On Thu, 7 Sep 2000 00:43:05 -0400, "Dennis" <dennis@monad.net> wrote:

>Any good mobile antennas out there. How about any
>web sites comparing them. (I remember one which
>compared a lot of antennas)

Try this site: http://www.strongsignals.net/

5669. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 22:01:29 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <39B961B9.E1ED506C@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 80096
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Whoa! I bought the intercom system for $50. I bought a security
system for nearly $300.

Sorry for the confusion I may have caused.

I wish it costed only $50!

:)
Bruce

Jim wrote:
>
> You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
>
> As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your
> home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house and
> grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should be
> home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
> before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know instantly
> what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It wouldn't
> take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how to
> disarm it quickly.
>
> Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure at
> least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
> designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of
> them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price
> for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next
> to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
> But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a true
> *professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get into
> hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
> satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in
> to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty. I
> could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as
> soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your secured
> grounds.
>
> The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of mind,
> they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your

> house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and
> monitored!
>
> I do wish you the best of luck!
>
> Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net...
> > Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
> >
> > We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> > We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> > have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> > we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
> >
> > The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> > the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> > and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> > he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> > an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> > we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
> >
> > "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> > getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> > stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> > scanner discone antenna and coax.
> >
> > Bruce
> > Huntsville, Al

5670. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 22:02:02 UTC
From: "J.L. Webb" <jlwebb@schitt.com>
Message-ID: <39B961DA.D739B93B@schitt.com>
Source record: 80094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Triple conversion is when someone is a Republican, they see that that doesn't work so they convert to Democrat, then they see that is no good either, so they convert to Reform Party, find out that is just the same as the other two, so they make a THIRD CONVERSION to the Libertarian Party.

5671. F/S PRO 2042 MINT $225 SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 22:02:37 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39B962A3.47301F7C@home.com>
Source record: 80095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Package includes: scanner,manual,antenna and box. I have 2 pro
2042's,guess I only need one. The best scanner in
my opinion. Price includes Priority Mail. Paypal accepted. Please
no trades. I am very reputable. Please email me
if any questions.

5672. Audio help for the BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-08 23:58:54 UTC
From: "Thomas H. Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com>
Message-ID: <39B97F18.93752C32@ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 79997

Like most, I'm disappointed with the audio of my BC245XLT hand held scanner. I got to thinking that a second, amplified speaker might be the answer. Radio Shack sells a small, amplified speaker which works well plugged into the earphone jack. It, in turn, has it's own jack into which an even better speaker can be attached. This arrangement is not what you'd call "mobile", but if you're scanner sits mostly on your desk, like mine does, this is a cheap, reasonable fix. I don't know the catalog # of this little speaker but it costs around $11.95. It runs on either a 9V battery or 9V DC input. Plug a Radio Shack 4" mobil speaker into the little one and the audio quality is surprisingly loud and good.

All the best.
Tom

5673. Re: Audio help for the BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 00:16:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fjfu5.34164$QW4.401071@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79998

Tom:

You can also pump up the audio on the earphone jack by shorting the ground of the earphone jack, to the ground of the antenna jack. They put a resistor in series with the ground of the earphone jack, to lower the audio so you don't blow your ear out when using a headphone.

Bill Crocker

"Thomas H. Tretheway" <tomt@ncal.verio.com> wrote in message news:39B97F18.93752C32@ncal.verio.com...
> Like most, I'm disappointed with the audio of my BC245XLT hand held
> scanner. I got to thinking that a second, amplified speaker might be the
> answer. Radio Shack sells a small, amplified speaker which works well
> plugged into the earphone jack. It, in turn, has it's own jack into
> which an even better speaker can be attached. This arrangement is not
> what you'd call "mobile", but if you're scanner sits mostly on your
> desk, like mine does, this is a cheap, reasonable fix. I don't know the
> catalog # of this little speaker but it costs around $11.95. It runs on
> either a 9V battery or 9V DC input. Plug a Radio Shack 4" mobil speaker
> into the little one and the audio quality is surprisingly loud and good.
>
> All the best.
> Tom
>

5674. Comparison b/t Diamond D130J and RS Discone Antennas???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 00:40:25 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908204025.23514.00000292@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 79999

Has anyone ever seen a side-by-side comparison of the Diamond D130J discone and the Radio Shack discone antenna?

Is one better than the other? I realize they are wide range antennas but does anyone have any experience with both units. I am particulary interested in the aero freqs (both civil and military).

Any feedback is appreciated,

sward
DFW

5675. Re: Comparison b/t Diamond D130J and RS Discone Antennas???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 00:44:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <UJfu5.34256$QW4.401545@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80000

I would think performance would be identical, considering the Radio Shack is
a copy of the Diamond. However, I think the diamond is constructed better,
but then at almost twice the price, it should be!

Bill Crocker

"SWARDFOTO" <swardfoto@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000908204025.23514.00000292@ng-cj1.aol.com...
> Has anyone ever seen a side-by-side comparison of the Diamond D130J
discone and
> the Radio Shack discone antenna?
>
> Is one better than the other? I realize they are wide range antennas but
does
> anyone have any experience with both units. I am particulary interested
in the
> aero freqs (both civil and military).
>
> Any feedback is appreciated,
>
> sward
> DFW

5676. Create Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:01:57 UTC
From: Patrick Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pc221vdd5@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80001

Does anyone have a www site for Create?

Thanks

5677. Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:13:37 UTC
From: kurtgt@aol.com.net
Message-ID: <20000908211337.04040.00000492@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 80002

is there a better frequency database than percon

5678. Digital signal identification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:14:41 UTC
From: makoshake@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pc2ti$6hm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm trying to learn a thing or two about identifying different data streams that I run into while scanning around VHF/UHF bands.
I'm using programs like Spectra Lab's time series function as a makeshift oscilloscope (I know it's no replacement for a scope) so I can look at the waveforms. Unfortunately, I'm still in the stage where I'm not even sure what questions I should be asking, but one that's been puzzling me for some time is this:

How can I visually tell the difference between an FSK signal and a PSK signal or any other type?

Also, I've looked at the spectrum display 0-10000Hz for a stream that I assume is 1200bps pocsag but I only see one peak at ~2000Hz. Shouldn't I be seeing *TWO* peaks separated by 4kHz? When I look at 6000Hz, I don't see the second peak.

What am I doing wrong?

-
makoshake@my-deja.com
-

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5679. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:21:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <gggu5.34381$QW4.402404@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80004

Percon's is probably as good as you're going to find. The FCC themselves rely on Percon's database CD-ROM's!

I think Grove stopped producing his. Police Call had a few problems, at least with the first release of there's. I understand they have a new one out now, but I haven't tried it.

Bill Crocker

"KuRT" <kurtgt@aol.com.net> wrote in message news:20000908211337.04040.00000492@nso-cc.aol.com...
> is there a better frequency database than percon

5680. FS or FT: Have Uniden Madison SSB Base want BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:42:51 UTC
From: dekanblue@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908214251.27914.00000196@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 80005

This is a "stock" Uniden Madison SSB Base rig, it has large external speaker with "Madison" on it, a large digital clock, and comes with a Turner Power Desk Mike. Unit has been packed away for the last 7 years. Perfect working order, some dirt on case top.

$185 plus shipping or will trade for BC895XLT Scanner w/pc cable.

Email
dekanblue@aol.com

5681. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:44:44 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q95jrssss37clv4917o1bidskencrgsct6@4ax.com>
Source record: 80006
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

He won't be there for a long time. Christmas season starts soon and he
will find a higher paying job.

On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 17:39:20 -0500, Bruce or Tracy
<bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote:

>Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>
>We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
>We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
>have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
>we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>
>The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
>the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
>and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
>he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
>an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
>we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>
>"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
>getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
>stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
>scanner discone antenna and coax.
>
>Bruce
>Huntsville, Al

5682. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:52:57 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bf5jrsshch61adpp0u2l1udtaqj1voq90c@4ax.com>
Source record: 80007
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, they spent too much money.
Just get some alarm warning stickers from someone who installs
security systems and slap them on your doors and windows.
Who is going to know that you dont have a system installed?
Also, if your town requires you to post permit stickers, get the
application and see if you can get the permit without providing proof
of a system.

On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 01:33:42 GMT, "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
wrote:

>You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
>Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
>
>As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your
>home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house and
>grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should be
>home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
>before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know instantly
>what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It wouldn't
>take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how to
>disarm it quickly.
>
>Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
>installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure at
>least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
>designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of
>them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price
>for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
>monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next
>to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
>But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a true
>*professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get into
>hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
>satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in
>to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
>intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty. I
>could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as
>soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your secured
>grounds.
>
>The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of mind,
>they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
>house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least

>recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and
>monitored!
>
>I do wish you the best of luck!
>
>Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
>news:39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net...
>> Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>>
>> We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
>> We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
>> have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
>> we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>>
>> The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
>> the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
>> and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
>> he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
>> an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
>> we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>>
>> "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
>> getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
>> stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
>> scanner discone antenna and coax.
>>
>> Bruce
>> Huntsville, Al
>

5683. Re: Mobile scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 01:55:58 UTC
From: osborne605@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908215558.15032.00000252@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 80008

I found that a wide band unity gain antenna works very well across the whole band 28 Mhz to 1.2 ghz.
Its also very tough.

5684. Re: L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:02:24 UTC
From: Tim <tthomps@nospam.erols.com>
Message-ID: <8pc5k1kki1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 80009

Try 145.460 MHz. Here's my list for California, although I don't know how many of these are active:

052.2200----CA-BAKERSFIELD
052.6400----CA-LOS ANGELES
145.3200----CA-LOS ANGELES
145.4600----CA-LOS ANGELES
224.9400----CA-LOS ANGELES
445.4000----CA-LOS ANGELES
445.4250----CA-LOS ANGELES
446.5750----CA-LOS ANGELES
447.0000----CA-LOS ANGELES
447.0250----CA-LOS ANGELES
447.4000----CA-LOS ANGELES
447.4750----CA-LOS ANGELES
448.3750----CA-LOS ANGELES
448.5000----CA-LOS ANGELES
448.6500----CA-LOS ANGELES
449.0000----CA-LOS ANGELES
1241.250----CA-LOS ANGELES
145.5850----CA-MONTEREY BAY
443.3000----CA-MONTEREY BAY
145.5800----CA-MOUNTAIN VIEW
145.5300----CA-NORTHERN
147.1500----CA-PASADENA
224.0800----CA-PASADENA
145.3200----CA-REDONDO BEACH
147.1950----CA-SACRAMENTO
448.6250----CA-SAN DIEGO
448.6750----CA-SAN DIEGO
449.4500----CA-SAN DIEGO
1277.250----CA-SAN DIEGO
007.1650----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
003.8400----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
145.5850----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
427.2500----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
444.7750----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
052.2200----CA-SAN JOAQUIN VLY
443.5500----CA-SAN JOSE
449.0000----CA-SANTA BARBARA
1277.000----CA-SANTA BARBARA
052.2200----CA-STOCKTON
146.6550----CA-VENTURA

Tim

mikey wrote in message <20000908044041.10973.00000406@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs For the L0s Angeles Area

5685. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:16:09 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <J3hu5.292$6i1.28155@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 80010
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

I have a sister-in-law that worked at the shack. She told me that employee's commissions were reduced during the Christmas season, due to the increased volume of sales. What a way to award your loyal employees!
Al

Rocinante wrote in message ...
>He won't be there for a long time. Christmas season starts soon and he
>will find a higher paying job.
>

5686. Re: Newark Intl Airport New Jersey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:19:53 UTC
From: Stephen Ford <fordstev@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B99E4C.EE5C9475@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80011

Neal038 wrote:
>
> Can anyone please let me know the correct frequency for Newark Intl airport
> tower in New Jersey.
> Thanks in advance..

It's 118.3 - Teterboro is 119.5. A few more you might want to
try: Emergency - 121.5, 243.0 Ground Control - 121.6 to 121.9 (every
.05) Search & Rescue - 123.1, 138.45, 138.75, 237.9 Continental
Airlines - 129.925, 130.525, 130.9, 131.05 Good Luck!

5687. need info on Bearcat 50xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:24:04 UTC
From: rshirley309@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000908222404.24132.00000172@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 80012

I bought a Uniden Bearcat 50xl at junk shop for $10.00. It works great but I need to know what its range is. Any Info would be great.
Thanks
Rshirley309@cs.com

5688. Jersey City Police Channel 8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:27:03 UTC
From: Stephen Ford <fordstev@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B99FF7.931816AC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80013

Does anyone know the frequency of channel 8 for the JCPD? It seems to be a well guarded secret as I haven't been able to find it any way. If anyone knows it, thanks big time.

5689. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:42:59 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39b9a0ca.7644481@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 80014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I thought this thread had run it's course, but Oh, this one was just
too juicy to pass up... 8)

>Dan,
>
>I am really confused here.

At least you are honest.

>You post an article in this newsgroup right?

Yep.

>For what reason, to get feedback from others?

No, to voice an opinion and hopefully spark dialogue other then ,
"Mackey is a looser, etc." If spirirted dialogue in a free and open
exchange of ideas is feedback to you, then I change my answer to YES.

>If this is the reason, then why must you reply with such rude and
>ignorant remarks.

Was that a statement or a question?

I challenge you to give one example of where I was rude and ignorant,
since you apparently read and understood all my responses, this should
not be too hard.

> People and just throwing in their two cents, thats
>it!!

No problem with people's two cents.

>No need to reply to some of these people with those kind of
>remarks, more less saying in most of your replies that they are stupid
>and don't know what they are talking about.

Hmm, again, I challenge you to show examples of "those remarks". Show
me one example of where I called someone stupid. If I IMPLIED they
were stupid, thats different. As they say.."if the shoe fits..."
To the contrary, many who responded were quite rude to me for NO
reason, other then they did not agree with my position.

>Whats with that??????
>
With what?

>If you don't like the responses you receive, then why waste your time
>coming here every day to reply to them????
>
Actually, I love coming here every day and reviewing free speech at
work. I do not reply to "them", I reply where I deem necessary which
is my right.

>Give it up and move on.
>
OK Officer, although I am not sure your application to the Newsgroup
Police has been approved. You're on the right track, though...

>Wayne
>
>
>
>
>In article <39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
> dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
>> Mark,
>>
>> I do not want any of your "points" OK?
>>
>> Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?
>>
>> If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on? If
>> this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
>>
>> > I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
>> >> Priority Mail?
>> >
>> >You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
>> >
>> >>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
>> >> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra
>mile
>> >> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
>> >
>> >Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If
>Bob
>> >grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this way"
>is
>> >moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.
>>
>> I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the radio
>> I was looking for.
>>
>> >Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>>
>> No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
>> everything that you are....
>>

>> >> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it. As
>the
>> >> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the
>purchase...welcome to
>> >> >America.
>> >>
>> >> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
>> >>
>> >
>> >> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
>> >> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude, Then
>we
>> >> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
>> >>
>> >> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude, which
>you
>> >> assume I did. Which I did not.
>> >
>> >I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later post
>> >you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just how
>> >unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was "to
>> >stimulate discussion".
>> >
>> >Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than the
>> >other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points for
>> >that.
>> A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
>> with me.
>> >But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the troll
>> >gate.
>> >
>> Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.
>>
>> It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
>> debate on these gropus after all.
>>
>> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
>> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
>> as yourself.
>>
>> Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?
>>
>> >> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we
>parted
>> >> company in good terms.
>> >
>> >And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
>> >
>> The only thing that should move on here is you.
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dan
>>
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5690. bermuda frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:53:54 UTC
From: ofctaz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908225354.29477.00000300@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 80015

does anyone have frequencies for bermuda, or royal caribian frequencies?

please e-mail. thanks.

ofctaz@aol.com

5691. Re: need info on Bearcat 50xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:54:08 UTC
From: rshirley309@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000908225408.24138.00000171@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 80017

I figured ot the range 29-54 136-174 406-512 still can use any help Thanks

5692. pro 34 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 02:54:42 UTC
From: ofctaz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000908225442.29477.00000301@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 80016

where can I get the mods for my pro 34?

please e-mail

thanks

ofctaz@aol.com

5693. F.S Pro 34 Scanner W/Full Cell.Final Price $125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:15:39 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8pcdgvhvh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80018

I have reduced the price of this fine scanner to $125.00 you can check earlier listing of scanner for info on it.If not sold by Sunday it well be going on ebay.Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5694. Re: need info on Bearcat 50xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:34:52 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8pcerb$9ak@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 80021

It is pretty simple. The two digit display makes it a pain to program and operate. Just hit manual until it is on the channel you want to program, then punch in the frequency, then hit enter. Hit manual to go to the next channel.

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"Rshirley309" <rshirley309@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20000908225408.24138.00000171@ng-fb1.news.cs.com...
> I figured ot the range 29-54 136-174 406-512 still can use any help
Thanks

5695. Re: Favorites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:40:24 UTC
From: Adrian <adriank@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <39B9BF90.7E4E3B8B@softhome.net>
Source record: 80019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Rossi wrote:

> What are the favorite channels that all of you like to listen to? What I
> mean is what kind of frequencies? i.e. Police, Fire, Ambulance, Fast Food,
> Restaurants, Dept. Stores, etc.

Humm,
Police (Local), Fire, ambo, rail

least liked: Taxi, Bus, Hospitals,

5696. Re: Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:42:51 UTC
From: Adrian <adriank@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <39B9C023.B756B27F@softhome.net>
Source record: 80020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Rossi wrote:

> Where do most of you get your scanner frequencies? Also, does having an
> outdoor antenna help greatly in picking up far away frequencies? Thanks.
> Mark.

http://www.aca.gov.au
or
Home and Holiday Scanning Frequency Guide (From Dick Smith Electronics)

5697. Re: L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:48:16 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39B9C184.F3D90C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 80022

I listen to the rebroadcast on these frequencies

145.3200 on 2 Meter
448.5000 on 70cm

It's not on all the time but if you monitor for awhile you'll hear it.

mikey wrote:

> L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs For the L0s Angeles Area

--
---->>>KEN<<<----
Glendale, CA

5698. Need scanner software links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:49:34 UTC
From: Robert LeGault <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <c9gjrsso7q98dd5sll1l6hnv31krgh20sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 80023

Is there a page somewhere that has a comprehensive set of links to
software for controlling scanners?

Thanks in advance.

5699. ASA racing series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 04:54:20 UTC
From: icepick_@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2809-39B9C27C-13@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 80026

I need frequencies or a link to frequencies for the ASA racing series. There are several sites with lists for nascar but I can't find any for the ASA. Thanks for any help

5700. Re: pro 34 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 05:02:29 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <Fvju5.28290$58.4052806@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80024

Try these links:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/handheld.html#pro34

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/PRO34.html

http://www.geocities.com/scannerman_123/scandata.txt

Have fun,

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/

OfcTaz <ofctaz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000908225442.29477.00000301@ng-ca1.aol.com...
> where can I get the mods for my pro 34?
>
> please e-mail
>
> thanks
>
> ofctaz@aol.com
>

5701. Re: Need scanner software links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 05:09:57 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <FCju5.28385$58.4055838@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 80025

This should keep you busy for a while...

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

http://mghusa.com/products/winscan.html

http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/download.htm

http://home.ica.net/~phoenix/wap/slicer.htm

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

http://www.steaksandwich.com/

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/software.htm

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/

Robert LeGault <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:c9gjrsso7q98dd5sll1l6hnv31krgh20sc@4ax.com...
> Is there a page somewhere that has a comprehensive set of links to
> software for controlling scanners?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>

5702. Mods for a BC800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 05:16:25 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pch2slof1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 80028

Where can I get mods.for the Bc800xlt.There are lots realistic but finding it tuff to find one for this bearcat there are some but not for it.Would appreciate your help on this email me at wilfkelly@home.com
Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5703. Re: L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 05:22:50 UTC
From: Steve <montana@loop.com>
Message-ID: <39B9C929.4C690A6D@loop.com>
Source record: 80027

Tim wrote:

> Try 145.460 MHz. Here's my list for California, although I don't know how
> many of these are active:
>
> 052.2200----CA-BAKERSFIELD
> 052.6400----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 145.3200----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 145.4600----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 224.9400----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 445.4000----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 445.4250----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 446.5750----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 447.0000----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 447.0250----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 447.4000----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 447.4750----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 448.3750----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 448.5000----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 448.6500----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 449.0000----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 1241.250----CA-LOS ANGELES
> 145.5850----CA-MONTEREY BAY
> 443.3000----CA-MONTEREY BAY
> 145.5800----CA-MOUNTAIN VIEW
> 145.5300----CA-NORTHERN
> 147.1500----CA-PASADENA
> 224.0800----CA-PASADENA
> 145.3200----CA-REDONDO BEACH
> 147.1950----CA-SACRAMENTO
> 448.6250----CA-SAN DIEGO
> 448.6750----CA-SAN DIEGO
> 449.4500----CA-SAN DIEGO
> 1277.250----CA-SAN DIEGO
> 007.1650----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
> 003.8400----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
> 145.5850----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
> 427.2500----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
> 444.7750----CA-SAN FRANCISCO
> 052.2200----CA-SAN JOAQUIN VLY
> 443.5500----CA-SAN JOSE
> 449.0000----CA-SANTA BARBARA
> 1277.000----CA-SANTA BARBARA
> 052.2200----CA-STOCKTON

> 146.6550----CA-VENTURA
>
> Tim
>
> mikey wrote in message <20000908044041.10973.00000406@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
> >L00king f0r Space Shuttle Freqs For the L0s Angeles Area

145.460 is a Southern California hamradio repeater.

5704. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 06:17:29 UTC
From: Get over it <dontmatter@all.com>
Message-ID: <39B9D5F8.5FD4A9FC@all.com>
Source record: 80030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> I thought this thread had run it's course, but Oh, this one was just
> too juicy to pass up... 8)
> >You post an article in this newsgroup right?
>
> Yep.
>
> >For what reason, to get feedback from others?
>
> No, to voice an opinion and hopefully spark dialogue other then ,
> "Mackey is a loser, etc." If spirirted dialogue in a free and open
> exchange of ideas is feedback to you, then I change my answer to YES.
> This is not a discussion group- it is a SWAP group, and not meant for days
> upon days of this mindless drivel. If you want to make a point with
> someone, E-Mail them directly and quit wasting the bandwidth and everyone's
> time.

> >If this is the reason, then why must you reply with such rude and
> >ignorant remarks.

Also- if you can't say someting nice about someone- don't say anything at
all!

> >> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
> >> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people such
> >> as yourself.

5705. Re: pro 34 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 06:35:41 UTC
From: Shana <shana1@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <39BA90FC.78DBE545@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 80031

Try this link
http://www.mods.dk/

OfcTaz wrote:

> where can I get the mods for my pro 34?
>
> please e-mail
>
> thanks
>
> ofctaz@aol.com

5706. Anybody Still Have an MX 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 07:14:11 UTC
From: AKA <rusadams@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <arlu5.351684$t91.4074487@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 80032

Great little radio, anybody still have one? How much?

Russell

5707. Re: Any word on Icom IC-R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 07:30:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <YFlu5.24404$Z4.901006@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 80033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was gonna get the IC-R3 until I read that it can only recieve video on certain frequency ranges, now I think I'll just save up for the AOR8600 or AOR's new ultra fast black box scanner.

"Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:39B91726.7569A115@megsinet.net...
> I think the IC-R3 review is scheduled for the next issue (Oct 2000)
> of Monitoring Times.
>
> Nick Marsh wrote:
> >
> > The Monitoring Times will have a Bob Parnass review in the November issue.
>
> --
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
>
> Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
> see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

5708. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 09:07:10 UTC
From: Laura K <laura@rollerskate.net>
Message-ID: <25nu5.31803$K4.1340944@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.law,mich.com.general

That law DOES outlaw such receivers. Hams and peace officers are exempt.

This is the very law that caused me to become a ham over 20 years ago.

Please see 750.508 (a).

Kevin Williams NI8H
Kevin@rollerskate.net

"Dennis" <Dennis@novus-commmunications.com> wrote in message
news:dnvhrs4og9a1ekr24o8hu0a7o7ra0dn1kp@news.novus-communications.com...
Please read the October 2000 issue of Popular Communications
Washington Beat column, page 62,

"Big Trouble in Michigan"

Michigan Complied Law (MCL) 750.508

"appears to oulaw vehicles equipped with
a radio recieving set that will recieve
signals sent out on frequencies...
assigned for police purposes, or the use
of same within the state."

5709. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 10:23:42 UTC
From: Patrick Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pd30r$582$9@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 80035

Try: http://www.csp-tech.com/sbxe_latest.htm

Its free & its good.

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:gggu5.34381$QW4.402404@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Percon's is probably as good as you're going to find. The FCC themselves
> rely on Percon's database CD-ROM's!
>
> I think Grove stopped producing his. Police Call had a few problems, at
> least with the first release of there's. I understand they have a new one
> out now, but I haven't tried it.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "KuRT" <kurtgt@aol.com.net> wrote in message
> news:20000908211337.04040.00000492@nso-cc.aol.com...
> > is there a better frequency database than percon
>
>

5710. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 11:25:22 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39BA1E22.3ECBE6A1@blinx.de>
Source record: 80037

KuRT schrieb:

> is there a better frequency database than percon

check out:
http://www.ilg.de

FREE database for download.
If you do use it for your hobby only, request access with your
own password.
I got mine after a few hours after sending my request more than
one year back.....
Depends on local time (in germany) and Bernd's time available, to
answer requests.

No automatic response.
All requests are processed personally by Bernd.
So when he is on a vacation trip you may have to wait until he's
back ..... :-)

regards,
odo -germany-

5711. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 11:29:04 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39BA1F00.EFBD8AF5@iki.fi>
Source record: 80036

Silverbeard wrote:
>
> Yeah, I just ordered an original "black" Yupiteru MVT-9000 from Theicom in Germany.
> Hopefully, it will arive quickly. First time dealing with them, but the prices were
right.
>
I'm just curius.....

Was that sold under Stabo mark or "real" yupiteru? if its black cased
and Yupiteru MVT-9000 and serial number first three digits are lower
than 812xxxxxx its so called old version what is no longer made.

New one is Silver cased and start serial number 812xxxxx.
difference between Mk2 and "old" model is noticeable in performance.
Actually that Modification have biggest effect for Mk2 model (i mean
bandercom famous mod for MVT-9000).

I own my self 7 pc's of MVT-9000 and couple other scanners so i had
chance to comparare different versions of those (surprice, its my
favorite handheld scanner =)

Regards
Mika
--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua.....

5712. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 11:56:05 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39BA2555.72E24117@iki.fi>
Source record: 80038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

matt weber wrote:
>
> On 7 Sep 2000 20:18:05 -0700, Frog207@Juno.com (Ed) wrote:
>

Clip

>
> Each conversion involves moving the signal to an intermediate
> frequency. In a single conversion receiver this happens once. A local
> oscillator is mixed with the incoming signal to produce sums and
> differences. In a Single Conversoin receiver for AM, the intermediate
> frequency is almost always 455khz. The signal gets amplified
> considerably at this frequencye (often there are several amp stages),
> and then it is detected.

Yes, its easiest to amplify signals in lower frequency with reasonable
SiNad ratio and good phase linearity.

> An image would be 910 Khz from the desired signal. At MW
> frequencies, this is far enough away that a very crude bandpass filter
> will effectively suppress the image. However at high frequencies, the
> difference become small compared to the tuned frequencies, and at VHF,
> it is miniscule, so bandpass filtering is impractical.

Filtering is also very difficult to made with reasonable selectivity.

> The first approach is to move the IF frequency up, so that the image
> will be further away. FM radio's do this by putting the Intermediate
> frequency at 10.7Mhz. Many triple conversion scanners put the second
> Intermediate frequency at 10.7Mhz. Very simply components for this,
> and the FM detector are very common, and very inexpensive. This is
> also what drives the 455Khz IF, very commonly used, so parts are
> common and cheap.

Yes.

> Generally most of the gain, and almost all of the selectivity in the
> receiver will be in the last IF, along with the detectors
> (FM,AM,SSB,Synchronous)..

Actually last IF filtering selectivity have near bandpass, like nearest
50KHz around last IF bandpass.
Other IF's made stopband attenuating ect.

> In order to have reasonable Image rejection at all on HF and VHF, two
> intermediate frequencies are usually required.
> So the signal is mixed with a fixed local oscillator, and up or down
> converted. Often it is around 40Mhz, but it doesn't have to be.
> Usually there is band pass filtering here to make sure that images
> cannot get through to the next stage (which is typically 455Khz).

All modern receivers have that bandpass filtering in frond end, cause
other wise IMD and phenoms like that become problem.

> It doesn't take a lot of bandpass filtering to reject even a VHF
> signal 80Mhz away. Many scanners have triple conversion, which puts
> the images even further away, often several hundred Mhz away.

Exsample Yupiteru MVT-9000 what i'm familiar with, have 3 IF's.
First is 765.5MHz or 254.5MHz depend freqency range. (SAW filters)
Second IF is 44.185Mhz (cascaded crustal filters)
Third IF is 455KHz and there is different filter's for differend widht
for each mode like AM/NAM/SSB/CW/FM.
WFM have third IF in 10.7MHz (surprice)

> Unfortunately none of these methods are very effective in low priced
> DC-light scanners because most of them have absolutely NO bandpass
> filtering on the front end, so these receivers tend to have very poor
> image rejection. This is what distinquishes the DC to light scanners
> from the real receivers like the IC-8500 and IC-9000. I think I
> counted more than a dozen bandpass filters up front in the 8500
> schematic.

Disagree strongly!!!!

All wide band scanners what i have, have bandpass filtering!!
MVT-9000, AR-8000, AR-8200, MVT-7300, 7100, 7000, UBC-9000, UBC-3000
ect.

Exsample Yupiteru MVT-9000 have 10 bandpass filters for differend bands.
In HF band Yupi have 3 different bandpass!
(AR-8200 have "only" 7 filters total)

But image rejection is not problem in those!!!!! (IMD ect can be and
stopband attenuation)
If you calculate exsample that Yupi's first IF image, its quite far from
received freqency.

Well, there is also other "real" receivers than IC-8500 or IC-9000.
How about Rohde&Schwarz???

> So in a triple Conversion receiver, the first oscillator will produce
> an IF frequency often in the range of 200Mhz, so any image will be
> 400Mhz away, The second conversion will be typically to 10.7Mhz. If
> you are looking for normal FM, it will be detected at this stage,
> otherwise a third conversion (which does the actually fine tuning so
> to speak) will convert down to 455Khz, where most of the passband
> filtering will take place, and everything except FM will be detected

> (narrow band FM, AM,SSB,CW etc).

Uniden have lowest first IF's.
308/254MHz, 2nd IF 58MHz, 3rd is 455KHz.
Yupi have those first IF's like i mentined above, 2nd IF in 44.185MHz
(bandscope funtion have own 2nd and 3rd IF's with own filtering) and 3rd
IF is that most common 455KHz.

Mainly all modern wideband scanners have 2nd IF around 40-60Mhz and 3rd
IF 455KHz.

If you know _any_ wideband scanner without front end bandpass filtering?
let me know!!

> On some HF receivers, the 3rd IF will be at 50Khz. It is fairly easy
> to produce low loss, filters with good shape factors at 50Khz.

then that IF filtering is made DSP ect technology.

> Only after detection do you get audio.

Yes.... =)

Regards
Mika
--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua.....

5713. Change from egroups.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 12:28:31 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <8pdadmfur1@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 80039

Join the exodus of groups from egroups.com

smartgroups.com do not have the adverts each time.

NZSCANNERS@egroups.com is now

nzscanners@smartgroups.com

5714. No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 13:37:54 UTC
From: Brian Haren <haren@ipfb.net>
Message-ID: <S2ru5.32061$K4.1356696@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 80040

Hi All,

Philosophical (sp?) question for the group. I have a RS Pro 89 scanner (no trunk tracking). I follow the 800 mhz trunked frequencies (10) that my local township and county share for all police, fire, EMS and utility work. I seem to be able to follow most conversations just fine. What would a trunk tracking receiver do for me that my current scanner does not?

Brian
KC5YNP

5715. Journey to New York

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 13:39:32 UTC
From: Maboge <kooij071@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <8pdeea$9ibou$3@reader4.wxs.nl>
Source record: 80041

Goodday,

For those monitoring aerocommunications, incl. ACARS-messages, I have a request, regarding my flight to and from New York JFK.

I will be pleased when you send me logged messages from the following flights:

Date : 10 september
Flight : DL 81
Departing from : Amsterdam Schiphol
Time : 11u25 locale time. (UTC= 09u25, New York= 05u25)
Destination : New York John F. Kennedy Airport
ETA : 13u25 locale time (UTC = 17u25, Netherlands 19u25)

Date : 19 september
Flight : DL 80
Departing from: New York John F. Kennedy Airport
Time : 20u20 locale time. (UTC= 20 sept 00u20, Netherlands = 20 sept 02u20)
Destination : Amsterdam Schiphol
ETA : Woensdag 20 september 09u40 lokale tijd. (UTC= 07u40, New York= 03u40)

Thanks in advance,

Roald Kooijman

5716. Scanner Dweeb episode 78 (Dish pan hands...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 13:44:48 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000909094448.08210.00000461@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 80042

The 78th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Dish pan hands... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

5717. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 14:23:01 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8pdh45$kc2$0@63.162.247.125>
Source record: 80043

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Percon's is probably as good as you're going to find. The FCC themselves
> rely on Percon's database CD-ROM's!
>

Why do you suppose that is?

For years, the FCC has used vendors such as Percon, ATI, and others to compile their data. Percon was merely the lowest cost/highest revenue vendor for the contract periods they held the contract.

If YOU were the lowest price/highest revenue vendor, then they would use you instead of percon.

5718. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 14:25:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <QLru5.35444$QW4.423021@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80044

Percon comes with over 3,000,000 frequencies for the entire U.S.

Bill Crocker

"Patrick Hart" <pathart@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8pd30r$582$9@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Try: http://www.csp-tech.com/sbxe_latest.htm
>
> Its free & its good.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:gggu5.34381$QW4.402404@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > Percon's is probably as good as you're going to find. The FCC
themselves
> > rely on Percon's database CD-ROM's!
> >
> > I think Grove stopped producing his. Police Call had a few problems, at
> > least with the first release of there's. I understand they have a new
one
> > out now, but I haven't tried it.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "KuRT" <kurtgt@aol.com.net> wrote in message
> > news:20000908211337.04040.00000492@nso-cc.aol.com...
> > > is there a better frequency database than percon

5719. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 14:30:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <bQru5.35456$QW4.423192@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 80045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.law,mich.com.general

While this is correct, you can obtain a permit from the Michigan State Police, that allows you to not only have, but actually use, a police scanner in your car, even programmed for police frequencies! It is available for the asking, by filling out a simple one page request, available from the Michigan State Police. You do not have to be a HAM either. In fact, if you are a HAM, you can not obtain this permit. Licensed HAM's do not need the permit.

I received mine about three weeks after sending it in. It's good for three years.

Bill Crocker

"Dennis" <Dennis@novus-commmunications.com> wrote in message news:dnvhrs4og9a1ekr24o8hu0a7o7ra0dn1kp@news.novus-communications.com...
> Please read the October 2000 issue of Popular Communications
> Washington Beat column, page 62,
>
> "Big Trouble in Michigan"
>
> Michigan Complied Law (MCL) 750.508
>
> "appears to oulaw vehicles equipped with
> a radio recieving set that will recieve
> signals sent out on frequencies...
> assigned for police purposes, or the use
> of same within the state."

5720. Re: Need scanner software links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 16:42:26 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <39bb8eaf$0$16593$7d5a4a58@news.compuserve.de>
Source record: 79991

Hi Robert,
visit http://www.radio-portal.org
click on "Search in broad"
and combine the categories "Software" AND "Scanners".

73,
Willi

Robert LeGault <nospam@nospam.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
c9gjrsso7q98dd5sll1l6hnv31krgh20sc@4ax.com...
> Is there a page somewhere that has a comprehensive set of links to
> software for controlling scanners?
>
> Thanks in advance.

5721. Re: Can the Icom R2 be unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 16:44:00 UTC
From: Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net>
Message-ID: <kNtu5.365$Xf3.26163@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 80046

Try searching frequencies 490mhz to 520mhz for frequency images.
~~~~~~~~NeBin~~~~~~~~~
Daryl Hovey <dlhovey@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Dj7u5.2815$WD3.86187@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Can the Icom R2 US version be unblocked ?
>
>
~~~~~~~~

5722. Re: Mods for a BC800xlt Thanks Bob Parnass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 16:47:22 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8pdpimvis1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79947

In article <8pch2slof1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> Where can I get mods.for the Bc800xlt.There are lots realistic but
> finding it tuff to find one for this bearcat there are some but not
for
> it.Would appreciate your help on this email me at wilfkelly@home.com
> Thanks
> Thanks Bob Parnass for your info on the bc800xlt.I think you are
doing a great job in helping a lot of people in the scanner hobby.
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5723. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 17:58:20 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39BA7A3C.F12E4CD8@blinx.de>
Source record: 79948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mika schrieb:

> matt weber wrote:
> >
> > On 7 Sep 2000 20:18:05 -0700, Frog207@Juno.com (Ed) wrote:
> >
>
> > The first approach is to move the IF frequency up, so that the image
> > will be further away. FM radio's do this by putting the Intermediate
> > frequency at 10.7Mhz. Many triple conversion scanners put the second
> > Intermediate frequency at 10.7Mhz. Very simply components for this,
> > and the FM detector are very common, and very inexpensive. This is
> > also what drives the 455Khz IF, very commonly used, so parts are
> > common and cheap.
>
> Yes.

Many cheapies use 450 or 460khz filters on the last IF stage. So
there is no chance to upgrade to some GOOD filters without
changing a LOT.
This had happened on the PCR1000 too... So there was NO chance
on a filter upgrade .... :-(((

In many cases there is triple conversion on the narrow modes
only, using a 455 or 450 khz IF.
In most cases the wide-FM is double conversion only, using the
10.7 Mhz IF, wich is the 2nd IF to the 3rd too.

>
> > Generally most of the gain, and almost all of the selectivity in the
> > receiver will be in the last IF, along with the detectors
> > (FM,AM,SSB,Synchronous)..
>
> Actually last IF filtering selectivity have near bandpass, like nearest
> 50KHz around last IF bandpass.
> Other IF's made stopband attenuating ect.
>
> > In order to have reasonable Image rejection at all on HF and VHF, two
> > intermediate frequencies are usually required.
> > So the signal is mixed with a fixed local oscillator, and up or down
> > converted. Often it is around 40Mhz, but it doesn't have to be.
> > Usually there is band pass filtering here to make sure that images
> > cannot get through to the next stage (which is typically 455Khz).
>

> All modern receivers have that bandpass filtering in front end, cause
> other wise IMD and phenoms like that become problem.
>
> > It doesn't take a lot of bandpass filtering to reject even a VHF
> > signal 80Mhz away. Many scanners have triple conversion, which puts
> > the images even further away, often several hundred Mhz away.
>
> Example Yupiteru MVT-9000 what i'm familiar with, have 3 IF's.
> First is 765.5MHz or 254.5MHz depend freqency range. (SAW filters)
> Second IF is 44.185Mhz (cascaded crystal filters)
> Third IF is 455KHz and there is different filter's for differend widht
> for each mode like AM/NAM/SSB/CW/FM.
> WFM have third IF in 10.7MHz (surprice)

No, not surprising.
The bandwidth on WFM is 150 to 230 khz.for FM- broadcasts and
TV-sound
reception.
They are not to realize with acceptable shape factor on a 455khz
IF .
So there is the question if the MVT9000 is a true tirple
conversion on WFM too or if it simply uses a 10.7 Mhz if as 2nd
stage instead of the 44.185 Mhz... ??

There is a bandwidth of 30 or 50 khz also available on some
scanners, (used for reception on weather satellites for example)
wich is (normally) realized with 10.7Mhz filters.
455 khz IF-filters with bandwidth above 20 khz are rarely used
and very
uncommon.

>
> > Unfortunately none of these methods are very effective in low priced
> > DC-light scanners because most of them have absolutely NO bandpass
> > filtering on the front end, so these receivers tend to have very poor
> > image rejection. This is what distinquishes the DC to light scanners
> > from the real receivers like the IC-8500 and IC-9000. I think I
> > counted more than a dozen bandpass filters up front in the 8500
> > schematic.
>
> Disagree strongly!!!!
>
> All wide band scanners what i have, have bandpass filtering!!
> MVT-9000, AR-8000, AR-8200, MVT-7300, 7100, 7000, UBC-9000, UBC-3000
> ect.

But ALL filters of those together are not worth of a single one
in the IC
8500 / 9000..

>
>

>
> Well, there is also other "real" receivers than IC-8500 or IC-9000.
> How about Rohde&Schwarz???

a good choice, really. :-)))

>
>
> > So in a triple Conversion receiver, the first oscillator will produce
> > an IF frequency often in the range of 200Mhz, so any image will be
> > 400Mhz away, The second conversion will be typically to 10.7Mhz. If
> > you are looking for normal FM, it will be detected at this stage,
> > otherwise a third conversion (which does the actually fine tuning so
> > to speak) will convert down to 455Khz, where most of the passband
> > filtering will take place, and everything except FM will be detected
> > (narrow band FM, AM,SSB,CW etc).
>
> Uniden have lowest first IF's.
> 308/254MHz, 2nd IF 58MHz, 3rd is 455KHz.
> Yupi have those first IF's like i mentined above, 2nd IF in 44.185MHz
> (bandscope funtion have own 2nd and 3rd IF's with own filtering) and 3rd
> IF is that most common 455KHz.
>
> Mainly all modern wideband scanners have 2nd IF around 40-60Mhz and 3rd
> IF 455KHz.
>
> If you know _any_ wideband scanner without front end bandpass filtering?
> let me know!!
>
> > On some HF receivers, the 3rd IF will be at 50Khz. It is fairly easy
> > to produce low loss, filters with good shape factors at 50Khz.
>
> then that IF filtering is made DSP ect technology.

NO !!
Have a look into some commercial equipment from Siemens or
Harris......
They have discrete IF-filters on the last stage working below
50khz.. !!

> > Only after detection do you get audio.
>
> Yes.... =)

unfortunately I have to agree too ;-))

73s,
odo -germany-

5724. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 18:07:19 UTC
From: radioboy2000@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pdu8c$4ms$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

These are 2 very good examples of you being rude and ignorant.
Don't bother posting a reply to this message because you are a lost
cause, and you're not worth my time! I unlike you, have better things to
do!

Wayne

>I guess I don't understand.

Hmmm, well, with a name like Rambo, I am not surprised.

>You say: "I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an
automated
>sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks".

Meaning...they didn't have to talk to me on the phone, talk about the
radio, what it does,
how it works, and on and on, then take take all the info over the
phone.

>and then complain that you don't get the 'extra mile' service? what
about
>recognizing you were getting rock bottom prices because it was no
fuss, no
>muss, shoot it out ????
>
What is "extra mile" about having something shipped via USPS Priority
Mail? Explain.

>You want to pay the absolute lowest and then demand service the rest
of us
>pay for by buying retail.

OK, then Rambo...and you pay the absolute HIGHEST price for your stuff?
What in
heaven's name does having something shippied USPS have to do with
"services" you
get a retail? SHheeeesh.

>let me guess - you a Democrat!

Oh brother.....this person really is a moron.

>I think you SHOULD open up your own business and learn a little. Try
>selling at razor thin margins and find one or two assholes burn you
through
>a mail order sales scam (PO Box & next day Alert!!!!) can cripple

your cash
>flow.

Do you know what sarcasm is? Tongue in cheek?

>you want service, pay for it.
>
I offered to pay the additional costs necessary to have it shipped the
way I wanted, and
they refused.

>and, FWIW, I much prefer USPS priority too. It is a lot better than
UPS.
>
Well, the ONE thing we agree on....

In article <39b9a0ca.7644481@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
> I thought this thread had run it's course, but Oh, this one was just
> too juicy to pass up... 8)
>
> >Dan,
> >
> >I am really confused here.
>
> At least you are honest.
>
> >You post an article in this newsgroup right?
>
> Yep.
>
> >For what reason, to get feedback from others?
>
> No, to voice an opinion and hopefully spark dialogue other then ,
> "Mackey is a looser, etc." If spirirted dialogue in a free and open
> exchange of ideas is feedback to you, then I change my answer to YES.
>
> >If this is the reason, then why must you reply with such rude and
> >ignorant remarks.
>
> Was that a statement or a question?
>
> I challenge you to give one example of where I was rude and ignorant,
> since you apparently read and understood all my responses, this should
> not be too hard.
>
> > People and just throwing in their two cents, thats
> >it!!
>
> No problem with people's two cents.
>

> >No need to reply to some of these people with those kind of
> >remarks, more less saying in most of your replies that they are
stupid
> >and don't know what they are talking about.
>
> Hmm, again, I challenge you to show examples of "those remarks". Show
> me one example of where I called someone stupid. If I IMPLIED they
> were stupid, thats different. As they say.."if the shoe fits..."
> To the contrary, many who responded were quite rude to me for NO
> reason, other then they did not agree with my position.
>
> >Whats with that??????
> >
> With what?
>
> >If you don't like the responses you receive, then why waste your time
> >coming here every day to reply to them????
> >
> Actually, I love coming here every day and reviewing free speech at
> work. I do not reply to "them", I reply where I deem necessary which
> is my right.
>
> >Give it up and move on.
> >
> OK Officer, although I am not sure your application to the Newsgroup
> Police has been approved. You're on the right track, though...
>
> >Wayne
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >In article <39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
> > dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
> >> Mark,
> >>
> >> I do not want any of your "points" OK?
> >>
> >> Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?
> >>
> >> If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on?
If
> >> this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
> >>
> >> > I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
> >> >> Priority Mail?
> >> >
> >> >You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
> >> >
> >> >>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.

> >> >> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra
> >mile
> >> >> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
> >> >
> >> >Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If
> >Bob
> >> >grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this
way"
> >is
> >> >moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.
> >>
> >> I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the
radio
> >> I was looking for.
> >>
> >> >Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
> >>
> >> No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
> >> everything that you are....
> >>
> >> >> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it.
As
> >the
> >> >> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the
> >purchase...welcome to
> >> >> >America.
> >> >>
> >> >> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
> >> >> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude,
Then
> >we
> >> >> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
> >> >>
> >> >> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude,
which
> >you
> >> >> assume I did. Which I did not.
> >> >
> >> >I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later
post
> >> >you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just
how
> >> >unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was
"to
> >> >stimulate discussion".
> >> >
> >> >Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than

the
> >> >other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points
for
> >> >that.
> >> A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
> >> with me.
> >> >But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the
troll
> >> >gate.
> >> >
> >> Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.
> >>
> >> It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting
> >> debate on these gropus after all.
> >>
> >> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
> >> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people
such
> >> as yourself.
> >>
> >> Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?
> >>
> >> >> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we
> >parted
> >> >> company in good terms.
> >> >
> >> >And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
> >> >
> >> The only thing that should move on here is you.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5725. Re: Bearcat Uniden's 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 18:24:29 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8pdvgq$cq80m$1@ID-42243.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 79950
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I just called about one today and they are having software problems, I was
told
> the 780 would be out around December/January time frame...

Imagine that! At Dayton, it was slated to hit the street by late July....

Now we're talking 2001 and beyond...

Vaporware.

Roland

5726. New Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 18:48:45 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hCvu5.3050$4a3.440300@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rebel Radio
http://www.rebel-radio.net

More then just another pretty scanner page, Rebel Radio also features interesting news, articles, reviews, and links to all avenues of radio communication, satellite communication, telecommunication, surveillance, anti-surveillance, and more.

Still in our infant stage, and under constant contruction, you are invited to follow the progress of perhaps the most dangerious scanner page on the web.

5727. FS: BC200XLT & Yaesu FT50r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 19:00:09 UTC
From: "M.L.K" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <39BA88C0.D3AE3CA0@cfl.rr.com>
Source record: 79952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.radio.amateur

I have the following receiver and transceiver for sale.

1 - Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT (unmodified) with charger, good battery and UNIDEN belt case. 9/10 condition, just normal wear. No manual. Asking $150

1 - FT-50R with box, battery, clip, antenna, FTT-12 keypad (voice recorder option) Like new condition. Asking $225 plus shipping

Photos upon request

5728. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 19:35:59 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39BA91C9.97317BBD@home.com>
Source record: 79953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well so much foe a SIMPLE answer!!!!!!!!

Allangored wrote:
>
> triple conversion means 3 filters. it removes a lot more stuff out but not
> all. I get images on all my triple conversion scanners, just not nearly as
> many as the double converstion scanners, they get so many images that it
> often interfers with what you are really tuning into, for instance the
> pro-2040 gets cell frequencies at 460's, which is where many police
> frequencies are located. Every scanner is different, you have to get one and
> try it to find out how it does in your area. You might be happier with a
> basic scanner, you have to put them side by side and compare how they work.
> Just my opinion.
>
> BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message news:39AB957C.896833C2@home.com...
> > Pro 2006,BC 895XLT, bc9000XLT,PRO 2042,BC 860XLT are all triple
> > conversion scanners. Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it
> > better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick
> > up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc
> > 860xlt and 9000 xlt. I just bought a PRO 2045- will I be able to hear
> > cell images? thanks for any help.

5729. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 19:37:20 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39BA921A.411FFEA2@home.com>
Source record: 79954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am so confused I am mispelling.

Bruce wrote:
>
> Well so much foe a SIMPLE answer!!!!!!!!
>
> Allangored wrote:
> >
> > triple conversion means 3 filters. it removes a lot more stuff out but not
> > all. I get images on all my triple conversion scanners, just not nearly as
> > many as the double converstion scanners, they get so many images that it
> > often interfers with what you are really tuning into, for instance the
> > pro-2040 gets cell frequencies at 460's, which is where many police
> > frequencies are located. Every scanner is different, you have to get one and
> > try it to find out how it does in your area. You might be happier with a
> > basic scanner, you have to put them side by side and compare how they work.
> > Just my opinion.
> >
> > BPK <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message news:39AB957C.896833C2@home.com...
> > > Pro 2006,BC 895XLT, bc9000XLT,PRO 2042,BC 860XLT are all triple
> > > conversion scanners. Can someone please tell me what that means? Is it
> > > better as compared to doublr?? I thought you were not supposed to pick
> > > up cell images on a triple conversion scanner but I know you can on bc
> > > 860xlt and 9000 xlt. I just bought a PRO 2045- will I be able to hear
> > > cell images? thanks for any help.

5730. FA: PRO-2042 1000 Channel Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 20:03:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <blwu5.36166$QW4.444535@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79955

For Auction On Ebay:

PRO-2042, 1000 Channel Scanner Radio, in "like-new" condition, complete with original box, manual, and antenna! (This was the successor to the famous PRO-2006!)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

5731. Re: PRO-2042 1000 Channel Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 20:05:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <pKwu5.36169$QW4.444610@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79956

Sorry...here's the link!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=433437752

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:bIwu5.36166$QW4.444535@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> For Auction On Ebay:
>
> PRO-2042, 1000 Channel Scanner Radio, in "like-new" condition, complete
with
> original box, manual, and antenna! (This was the successor to the famous
> PRO-2006!)
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

5732. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 20:30:47 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39ba9c5d.6727693@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Sep 2000 18:07:19 GMT, radioboy2000@my-deja.com wrote:

>These are 2 very good examples of you being rude and ignorant.

I see NO examples of either.

>Don't bother posting a reply to this message because you are a lost
>cause, and you're not worth my time! I unlike you, have better things to
>do!
>
You do? You get to post, then run away saying you have better things
to do. Hmmm. Seems like you love to waste a lot of time busting my
chops here though...

>Wayne
>
> >I guess I don't understand.
>
> Hmmm, well, with a name like Rambo, I am not surprised.

Rude? Maybe....But, my name, my FULL name is here for all to see. I
don't hide behind names like RAMBO or RADIO BOY, and so on....

Aahhhhh, the bliss of anonymity

> >You say: "I thought a home run for the dealer, as this was an
>automated
> >sale, instant, no fuss, no muss, shoot it out and make a few bucks".
>
> Meaning...they didn't have to talk to me on the phone, talk about the
>radio, what it does,
> how it works, and on and on, then take take all the info over the
>phone.

This is ignorant, professor?

> >and then complain that you don't get the 'extra mile' service? what
>about
> >recognizing you were getting rock bottom prices because it was no
>fuss, no
> >muss, shoot it out ????
> >
> What is "extra mile" about having something shipped via USPS Priority
>Mail? Explain.
>
Again, ignorant?

> >You want to pay the absolute lowest and then demand service the rest
>of us
> >pay for by buying retail.
>
> OK, then Rambo...and you pay the absolute HIGHEST price for your stuff?
> What in
> heaven's name does having something shippied USPS have to do with
>"services" you
> get a retail? SHheeeesh.

Yea, I guess this is real ignorant, rude too...

> >let me guess - you a Democrat!
>

> Oh brother.....this person really is a moron.

OOoops, you got me! Rude, yes....I called the guy a moron. Again, if
the shoe fits...

> >I think you SHOULD open up your own business and learn a little. Try
> >selling at razor thin margins and find one or two assholes burn you
>through
> >a mail order sales scam (PO Box & next day Alert!!!!) can cripple
>your cash
> >flow.
>
> Do you know what sarcasm is? Tongue in cheek?
>
> >you want service, pay for it.
> >
> I offered to pay the additional costs necessary to have it shipped the
>way I wanted, and
> they refused.
>
> >and, FWIW, I much prefer USPS priority too. It is a lot better than
>UPS.
> >
> Well, the ONE thing we agree on....
>
Yep, loaded with rudeness and ignorant statements.

BTW, Officer Wayne....

PLEASE do not tell me what I can and cannot reply to.

Dan 8)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>In article <39b9a0ca.7644481@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
> dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
>> I thought this thread had run it's course, but Oh, this one was just
>> too juicy to pass up... 8)
>>
>> >Dan,
>> >
>> >I am really confused here.
>>
>> At least you are honest.
>>
>> >You post an article in this newsgroup right?
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> >For what reason, to get feedback from others?
>>
>> No, to voice an opinion and hopefully spark dialogue other then ,
>> "Mackey is a looser, etc." If spirirted dialogue in a free and open
>> exchange of ideas is feedback to you, then I change my answer to YES.
>>
>> >If this is the reason, then why must you reply with such rude and
>> >ignorant remarks.
>>
>> Was that a statement or a question?
>>
>> I challenge you to give one example of where I was rude and ignorant,
>> since you apparently read and understood all my responses, this should
>> not be too hard.
>>
>> > People and just throwing in their two cents, thats
>> >it!!
>>
>> No problem with people's two cents.
>>
>> >No need to reply to some of these people with those kind of
>> >remarks, more less saying in most of your replies that they are
>stupid
>> >and don't know what they are talking about.
>>
>> Hmm, again, I challenge you to show examples of "those remarks". Show
>> me one example of where I called someone stupid. If I IMPLIED they
>> were stupid, thats different. As they say.."if the shoe fits..."
>> To the contrary, many who responded were quite rude to me for NO
>> reason, other then they did not agree with my position.
>>
>> >Whats with that??????
>> >

>> With what?
>>
>> >If you don't like the responses you receive, then why waste your time
>> >coming here every day to reply to them????
>> >
>> Actually, I love coming here every day and reviewing free speech at
>> work. I do not reply to "them", I reply where I deem necessary which
>> is my right.
>>
>> >Give it up and move on.
>> >
>> OK Officer, although I am not sure your application to the Newsgroup
>> Police has been approved. You're on the right track, though...
>>
>> >Wayne
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >In article <39b06d34.6052511@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
>> > dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:
>> >> Mark,
>> >>
>> >> I do not want any of your "points" OK?
>> >>
>> >> Who appointed you to give points to these threads anyway?
>> >>
>> >> If you are unhappy with this thread, then why don't YOU move on?
>If
>> >> this is all so TRIVAL to you, WHY DON'T YOU MOVE ON???
>> >>
>> >> > I did? Created fuss and muss by simply asking them to ship USPS
>> >> >> Priority Mail?
>> >> >
>> >> >You asked...they said no. It's pretty trivial.
>> >> >
>> >> >>Grove certainly endures alot of fuss and muss then.
>> >> >> It's a wonder Bob is still in business, since he goes the extra
>> >mile
>> >> >> to please his customers....Maybe that's why...
>> >> >
>> >> >Maybe they are 2 different business models. They are not Grove. If
>> >Bob
>> >> >grove suits you better than use him. To say "Grove does it this
>way"
>> >is
>> >> >moot since you are the customer and have a right to choose.
>> >>
>> >> I would have used Grove in a heartbeat, but he did not carry the
>radio

>> >> I was looking for.
>> >>
>> >> >Jeezzzzz Do I really gotta go into business 101 with ya?
>> >>
>> >> No Mark, you do not. We can't all be the almighty expert on
>> >> everything that you are....
>> >>
>> >> >> >Whaaaaaaaa!!!!!! So what...that is the way they want to do it.
>As
>> >the
>> >> >> >customer you did what you wanted...you stopped the
>> >purchase...welcome to
>> >> >> >America.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Thank you. As I said.....business must be good.
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >Then you will learn the real golden rule of business...
>> >> >> >"The customer is always right...until they cop an attitude,
>Then
>> >we
>> >> >> >reserve the *right* to refuse service to anyone."
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Well, that would be true if I did in fact "cop" an attitude,
>which
>> >you
>> >> >> assume I did. Which I did not.
>> >> >
>> >> >I based that on the attitude you had in the first post. In later
>post
>> >> >you claimed it was all "tounge and cheek", it became clear just
>how
>> >> >unrealistic you were reacting so you claimed the whole post was
>"to
>> >> >stimulate discussion".
>> >> >
>> >> >Ok...You stimulated discussion...a stupid one...but better than
>the
>> >> >other crap that was flying around here, so I will give you points
>for
>> >> >that.
>> >> A stupid discussion in your opinion, because you choose to disagree
>> >> with me.
>> >> >But I have to take points away because you didn't get past the
>troll
>> >> >gate.
>> >> >
>> >> Again, there is no need to call me a troll, Mark. No need at all.
>> >>
>> >> It really seems like there is no room for fun and an interesting

>> >> debate on these gropus after all.
>> >>
>> >> It has been reduced to continual venom, name calling, troll
>> >> deisgnations and point giving by self-appointed, pompous people
>such
>> >> as yourself.
>> >>
>> >> Now, do I really have to go into Courtesy 101 with you?
>> >>
>> >> >> I asked them if they could do it my way, they said no, and we
>> >parted
>> >> >> company in good terms.
>> >> >
>> >> >And there was peace in the valley and life moved on.
>> >> >
>> >> The only thing that should move on here is you.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dan
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> >Before you buy.
>>
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5733. Re: Still MORE problems with LA Fire & County EMS systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 21:17:07 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8pe9h4$6gum$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79958
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote...

> Friday's news includes two more stories...

Harry,

Thanks for making people aware of issues regarding Southern California's Fire Departments. FWIW: there will be at least a half-dozen more articles appearing in local newspapers (especially the LA Times) in the next 4-5 weeks. Local television seems to want to wait for the October ratings period (aka "sweeps") to do their *exclusive* investigative reports.

People should take the information at the price they pay for it! $0.25 <grin>

Stay Safe!

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Specialist
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department
200 North Main Street, Room EOC-423
Los Angeles, CA 90012 USA

LAFD E-mail: beh9593@lafd.lacity.org
LAFD Website: http://www.lafd.org

5734. Re: BC245 Trunking programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 21:40:18 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39baadf4.2363213@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79959

Yes, frequencies MUST be programmed in the appropriate order. This
will often require you to leave some channels blank. Me sure you've
got a good list and then enter the freq's in ED mode and all should
work fine.

On Tue, 08 Aug 2000 02:24:53 GMT, "Martin Lambert"
<martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>Example : EDAC system frequencies have to be programmed logically. Does this
>mean in sorted order or a frequency can be higher and enter a lower one
>after ?

5735. Re: Airlines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 21:40:58 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39bbae5f.2470485@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79961

http://www.airnav.com/

On 8 Aug 2000 17:03:36 -0400, "Dominic" <Frequencies@netstamp.net>
wrote:

>If anyone has the frequencies of Air Traffic Control or airlines freq
>in south florida please email me .. thanks in advance.

5736. Re: PRO-2042 1000 Channel Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 21:41:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <A8yu5.36179$QW4.445486@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79960

Item was re-listed, to correct some errors in the specifications. It can be
view at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=433522095

Sorry for all this confusion! I'm getting old. :(

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:pKwu5.36169$QW4.444610@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Sorry...here's the link!
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=433437752
>
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:bIwu5.36166$QW4.444535@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > For Auction On Ebay:
> >
> > PRO-2042, 1000 Channel Scanner Radio, in "like-new" condition, complete
> with
> > original box, manual, and antenna! (This was the successor to the
famous
> > PRO-2006!)
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5737. Re: IPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 21:42:44 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39bcaeb4.2555955@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79962

I'd be interested in a synopsis. Don't want to join a list. I get
enough spam already.

On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 01:38:36 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>Unhappy with support from IncidentPage?
>
>Want to know why I left (the TRUTH, not Danny's explanation)?
>
>Unable to get a reply from anyone there when you need help?
>
>Unable to even GET an account with IPN for some reason?
>
>Well, then you want to join...

5738. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 22:00:18 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39beb208.3408200@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79963

Save you the trouble of having to scan through frequencies to follow a conversation. It's possible to follow conversations on small systems without a TT but still more work than should be necessary.

On Sat, 09 Sep 2000 13:37:54 GMT, "Brian Haren" <haren@ipfb.net> wrote:

>What would a
>trunk tracking receiver do for me that my current scanner does not?

5739. Re: Still MORE problems with LA Fire & County EMS systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 22:04:49 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BAB401.A252CD8B@cts.com>
Source record: 79964
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Humphrey wrote:

Local television seems to want to wait for the October ratings period
> (aka "sweeps") to do their *exclusive* investigative reports.
>
Sweeps are in November. But be careful about letting CBS-2 around the
firehouse kitchens...that might me their feb. sweeps piece.

Markj
(Harold Greene has left the building)
> People should take the information at the price they pay for it! $0.25
> <grin>
>
> Stay Safe!
>
> Brian Humphrey
> Firefighter/Specialist
> Public Information Officer
> Los Angeles Fire Department
> 200 North Main Street, Room EOC-423
> Los Angeles, CA 90012 USA
>
> LAFD E-mail: beh9593@lafd.lacity.org
> LAFD Website: http://www.lafd.org

5740. Re: Mods for a BC800xltSOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 22:09:15 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8pece0$k7d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79965

In article <8pch2slof1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD Thanks
> Where can I get mods.for the Bc800xlt.There are lots realistic but
> finding it tuff to find one for this bearcat there are some but not
for
> it.Would appreciate your help on this email me at wilfkelly@home.com
> Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> SOLD THanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5741. Re: F.S Pro 34 Scanner SOLD Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 22:10:31 UTC
From: wilf50@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pecgc$k9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79966

In article <8pcdgvhvh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilfkelly@home.com wrote:SOLD> I have reduced the price of this fine
scanner to $125.00 you can check
> earlier listing of scanner for info on it.If not sold by Sunday it
well
> be going on ebay.Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>SOLD Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5742. Freqs to monitor for Clinton's visit to Danbury

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 22:43:01 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39BABC75.E25DD4A3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79967

Can anyone give me a list of active frequencies to monitor when Clinton visits Danbury on Monday?

5743. FA: BC-3000XLT - Last Few Minutes $147

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 23:23:44 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39bac6b5@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 79968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

There are just a few minutes before my auction expires. As it stands this radio will go for $147. I just thought I would pass it on.

To view the auction go to the following URL:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430865173

5744. GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 23:42:12 UTC
From: trunkedradio@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <oVzu5.76846$_s1.939169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 79969

OK Now before all you Software Police Warlords out there start bitching about just buy the damn thing, hear me out. I just spent $270 on a new scanner that seems to have its fair share of issues, and the way I've been hearing to correct some of these issues is to have the software. Well I've got to hand it to GRE and Radio Shack on this SCAM they are running. "Come buy our scanner, it has problems and now were going to charge you an additional $70 so you can fix the problems" Geeze you'd swear they did this on purpose just to stuff it to all the scannists out there. So anyway, if someone out there would like to send a copy of it please do, and to all that want to piss, moan and complain about me asking for a copy go cry to someone who actually gives a damn!!!

trunkedradio@yahoo.com

5745. Need Mod for 2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-09 23:57:07 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <H7Au5.919$w46.2939@read1>
Source record: 79970

Barry Cheek at one time had built a mod kit for the 2040 to allow it "TrunkTrack" without actually owning a TT. I was wondering if any of you would happen to know where to get the specs for this mod. Thanks for your help!

Jason

5746. Re: Any word on Icom IC-R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 00:50:16 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cVAu5.24388$gg.6762436@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A friend of mine inquired to ICOM about the R3 at the last Hamfest (Labor Day Weekend) I attended.
He was told the FCC is holding back certification on the unit (release due in November) and ICOM also said that it is making some final tweaking to allow it to recieve the 2.4GHz cameras. Seems they found a bandwidth problem with recieving those signals. Over the air TV broadcast reception seemed to be functional though. The ICOM booth did not even have one to Demo unlike the Dayton Hamfest in May.
It did have a good crisp display when I looked it over but since there is no keyboard most of your programming will have to be done via computer interface.

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ

Bob Parnass, AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:39B91726.7569A115@megsinet.net...
> I think the IC-R3 review is scheduled for the next issue (Oct 2000)
> of Monitoring Times.
>
> Nick Marsh wrote:
> >
> > The Monitoring Times will have a Bob Parnass review in the November issue.
>
> --
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
>
> Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
> see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

5747. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:02:55 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <39BADDBE.9C211B97@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 79973
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Funny you mentioned that. I did just that except it says "surveilance"
(sp?)
instead of just monitoring.

I suspect that might work as well. When we get cable internet, I am
going to
put a camera up so I can see what is going on in the house should the
alarm
ever phone me.

Bruce

Rocinante wrote:
>
> Actually, they spent too much money.
> Just get some alarm warning stickers from someone who installs
> security systems and slap them on your doors and windows.
> Who is going to know that you dont have a system installed?
> Also, if your town requires you to post permit stickers, get the
> application and see if you can get the permit without providing proof
> of a system.
>
> On Thu, 07 Sep 2000 01:33:42 GMT, "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >You bought a home security system from radio shack for $50?
> >Just how secure do you think this makes your home??
> >
> >As a security specialist I can tell you that a burglar can get in to your
> >home with no trouble at all and have time to go through your whole house and
> >grab most of your valuables, and if by chance you and or your wife should be
> >home, even have time to do *other" unthinkable things, and get away long
> >before any police or neighbors come over, becuase they would know instantly
> >what kind of security system you had, and know how to disarm it. It wouldn't
> >take a criminal genius to buy a security system from RS just to learn how to
> >disarm it quickly.
> >
> >Only a good quality (wireles) security system, installed by experience
> >installers, and monitored by a professional monitoring service can assure at
> >least that the average burglar's "visit" be as brief as possible. I've
> >designed 1000's of systems for clients and I'm totally honest with all of
> >them about this. At best we can only minimize an intruders visit. Price
> >for an average system installed, about $1200, plus $20 a month for
> >monitoring. A system without monitoring, no matter how good it is is next

> >to useless. I've designed systems for homes that ran as high as $26,000.
> >But unless you are a high profile figure or have extremely valuables, a true
> >*professional* burglar will not bother you, so you wouldn't need to get into
> >hi tech encryption devices powered by battery back up, and monitored by
> >satelite. The best I could do is make sure that an intruder's invasion in
> >to your home would be as brief as possible. With such a system, the
> >intruder's stay would be under 9 minutes without an armed guard on duty. I
> >could garentee that if you were home during the breakin, you would know as
> >soon as the intruder stepped inside, or if you prefer, entered your secured
> >grounds.
> >
> >The RS employee didn't do you a favor. You purchased false piece of mind,
> >they got your money. Any two bit junkie burglar can easily get in your
> >house. For clients that couldn't afford my services I would at least
> >recommend they get an ADT system, at least it's done by professionals, and
> >monitored!
> >
> >I do wish you the best of luck!
> >
> >Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> >news:39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net...
> >> Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
> >>
> >> We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> >> We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> >> have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> >> we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
> >>
> >> The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> >> the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> >> and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> >> he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> >> an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> >> we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
> >>
> >> "Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> >> getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> >> stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> >> scanner discone antenna and coax.
> >>
> >> Bruce
> >> Huntsville, Al
> >

5748. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:03:27 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <39BADDDF.94540B91@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 79974
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

I suppose you might be right. :(

Rocinante wrote:
>
> He won't be there for a long time. Christmas season starts soon and he
> will find a higher paying job.
>
> On Wed, 06 Sep 2000 17:39:20 -0500, Bruce or Tracy
> <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote:
>
> >Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
> >
> >We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> >We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> >have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> >we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
> >
> >The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> >the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> >and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> >he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> >an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> >we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
> >
> >"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> >getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> >stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> >scanner discone antenna and coax.
> >
> >Bruce
> >Huntsville, Al

5749. Re: New Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:17:45 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZiBu5.3390$4a3.552343@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

J.A. <jca1954@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:39bad62b@news.iprimus.com.au...
> a spell check is in order

really? I used a spell check. So what did you find spelled wrong?

> Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:hCvu5.3050$4a3.440300@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> > Rebel Radio
> > http://www.rebel-radio.net
> >
> > More then just another pretty scanner page, Rebel Radio also features
> > interesting news, articles, reviews, and links to all avenues of radio
> > communication, satellite communication, telecommunication, surveillance,
> > anti-surveillance, and more.
> >
> > Still in our infant stage, and under constant contruction, you are
invited
> > to follow the progress of perhaps the most dangerious scanner page on
the
> > web.
> >
> >
>
>

5750. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:21:46 UTC
From: dmoorman@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <dmoorman-0909002021460001@d250.focal3.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79976

In article <4ADt5.219$vc7.1057@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Adam Kolari"
<rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:

>Thanks for the encouraging replies, guys! I'll definitely be looking into
>Ham in the near future!

Adam -

I'm glad you got some informativge answers to your questions about amateur radio. When I was first licensed in the 1950s it was a gentleman's world and everyone (well, almost everyone) was polite, considerate, and helpful to newcomers. That has obviously changed over the past few decades. Your best strategy is to ignore the impolite and unpleasant people. You will probably be able to find an amateur radio club somewhere close to you where you will meet very friendly and helpful people.

Someone sugested checking out http://www.arrl.org. It's an excellent suggestion because you can find information about clubs and hamfests (ham radio fleamarkets) there. A big hamfest is very interesting - you will find every type of radio gear there as well as many other interesting items.

Ham radio is lots of fun and you can learn a great deal from it.

Here's a picky point; it's called either amateur radio or ham radio. No caps on "ham".

73 (best regards),

Dave K9SW

5751. Re: Scanner Dweeb episode 78 (Dish pan hands...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:29:20 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8pevci$5c3u$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79981

That's Good !!

5752. Re: New Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 01:32:42 UTC
From: "J.A." <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <39bad62b@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 79971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

a spell check is in order
Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hCvu5.3050$4a3.440300@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com...
> Rebel Radio
> http://www.rebel-radio.net
>
> More then just another pretty scanner page, Rebel Radio also features
> interesting news, articles, reviews, and links to all avenues of radio
> communication, satellite communication, telecommunication, surveillance,
> anti-surveillance, and more.
>
> Still in our infant stage, and under constant contruction, you are invited
> to follow the progress of perhaps the most dangerious scanner page on the
> web.
>
>

5753. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 02:06:26 UTC
From: rbowen@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <8084EE4D5643AA5E.F3052FC0732E5038.B3B5DBD3D9490462@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 8 Sep 2000 00:00:49 -0400, "Cap'n Crunch"
<kcfamily@tir.com> wrote:

>
>"Gene Floyd" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
>
>> Yet I haven't seen where it is illegal to own ham equipment without a
>> license. Or did I simply miss something? I know i had my first 2-meter
>> rig the day after I passed my test. Is this one of those tecnhicalities
>> that they just don't worry about for new hams?
>
>Nope.. you didn't miss anything... It is NOT illegal for a non-amateur to
>own amateur equipment, nor is an amateur license required to purchase
>amateur equipment.... with the exception of RF Power Amps. As the regs
>stipulate, you may purchase and possess (without benefit of an amateur
>license), but not operate same equipment except to receive.
>
>

Cap'n, which has the better quality electronics IYHO?

Receivers only or transmitters/receivers.

Rick Bowen
TSRA Life member
NRA Member

5754. New York State Scanner Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 02:26:33 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8perga$498$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79979

North NYS http://www.egroups.com/group/nny-scan

Eastern NYS http://www.egroups.com/group/eny-scan

Central NYS http://www.egroups.com/group/cny-scan

Western NYS http://www.egroups.com/group/wny-scan

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5755. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 02:27:48 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <8perqh$43u$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 79978

If only one conversation is using the trunked system when you're scanning it, you'll have no problem; you'll always be able to scan through the unused channels to find the other side of the conversation when one side stops speaking.

The problem comes when many conversations are using a trunked system. Then your scanning will stop on somebody else's conversation instead of the one you wanted to listen to. You can bang the "scan" key again until you think you've found the conversation you wanted, but that's a pain.

A trunk-tracking receiver will: (1) allow you to lock onto a particular conversation and follow both sides are they are repeatedly reassigned to new frequencies; (2) identify by numeric ID the group of users that are transmitting at any particular time, e.g. tell you that Anytown EMS is now transmitting; [some trunk trackers will let you associate alphanumeric ID's with those numeric ID's, so that "Anytown EMS" appears on your display; (3) allow you to dial into a particular service or group of services that uses the trunked system, and hear just their calls; e.g. only police calls for a particular city.

Wayne Farmer

"Brian Haren" <haren@ipfb.net> wrote in message news:S2ru5.32061$K4.1356696@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net
...
> Hi All,
>
> Philosophical (sp?) question for the group. I have a RS Pro 89 scanner (no
> trunk tracking). I follow the 800 mhz trunked frequencies (10) that my
> local township and county share for all police, fire, EMS and utility work.
> I seem to be able to follow most conversations just fine. What would a
> trunk tracking receiver do for me that my current scanner does not?
>
> Brian
> KC5YNP
>
>

5756. WTB: ICOM R-100 ORIGINAL OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 03:46:55 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <39BB02DE.339A4D18@home.com>
Source record: 79982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for an original owners manual for an Icom IC-R100
Communications Receiver. I currently have a copy, but would like the
original.

5757. FA: Scanners on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 03:47:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4vDu5.37349$QW4.451211@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79983

Here are the correct links to my scanners listed for auction on Ebay:

PRO-92
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=433846290

PRO-2042
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=433759597

Thanks again,
Bill Crocker

5758. Ericsson EDACS nfo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 05:18:58 UTC
From: Ron Olin <ronolin@telus.net>
Message-ID: <_QEu5.66667$47.847148@news.bc.tac.net>
Source record: 79984

Greetings all. I was wondering if anyone out there knows of a way (program or otherwise) to decode digitally "scrambled" audio transmissions that is particular to Ericsson systems? I live in the Vancouver (BC...Canada) area and the Vancouver City Police "use" to be a great source of entertainment... but they made the mistake of going EDACS... (too many hills, thus they have many problems)...
BTW.... the radio that I am considering to use for this is an Optocom http://optoelectronics.com/optocom.htm ..... No offence but I have owned a couple of Uniden/RS receivers before and I cant' stand the audio, reception or the overall finish of them...
Thanks in advance for any information...

5759. FS: PRO-26, PRO-2006, Sony ICF-2010 $210.00 each OBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 05:51:34 UTC
From: Leo <lfelen@core.com>
Message-ID: <dJCu5.153339$QD5.2009715@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 79980

All items are in excellent condition with original boxes and manuals
$210.00- EACH+ shipping. Best offers over $210.00 takes any of them.
Thanks,
Leo (almazar at eBay)

5760. Dropped Price Alinco DJ-X10 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 06:05:05 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <EwFu5.1119$teE9.42926645@news.randori.com>
Source record: 79985

Have a clean, (5 mounts old) Alinco DJ-X10T scanner for sale.
Looks and works like new.
It is all mode AM, LSB, USB, NFM, FMW.
Frequency Range 100 KC to 2000 MHz
1200 Memory Channels
Comes with Book, Box, Antenna, Extra Battery & Desk Charger.

$275.00

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

5761. T-Birds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 06:25:06 UTC
From: "Rod C. Venger" <venger@vengers.com>
Message-ID: <39BB2941.6621D370@vengers.com>
Source record: 79986

Hi All,

This is old news but I thought maybe another confirmation might be a good thing. The Thunderbirds were in town yesterday and today. Plane to plane chatter was indeed on 141.850. I found that the communications were very lower power and sight to sight was basically needed to get a legible transmission. I was able to turn up my 2052 and watch some of the show out my front window. It was kind of neat to hear the leader and watch the formations at the same time. I also listened to the airport police and local PD in the area as well. That's another story. I did not however find the military police on the air base. Any help out there? Thanks! -Rod-

5762. Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 07:40:05 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39bb399d.18868541@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79987

hELLO All,
I have a question, what frequency do the news hilocopters use
when they are talking to each other?

On several occasions I have seen up to six hilo's covering one
story and I *know* they have to talk to each other at some point but I
can't seem to find that frequency by scanning the air bands.

Anyone???????????

Neal
Anaheim,CA (I-5 & I-91) interchange

5763. Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 07:47:57 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20000910034757.28959.00000974@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79988

>Subject: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

>From: trunkedradio@yahoo.com (Trunked Radio)

> if someone out there
>would like to send a copy of it please do, and to all that want to piss,
>moan and complain about me asking for a copy go cry to someone who actually
>gives a damn!!!

Hey... we got to hear you piss & moan about having to BUY the software...
like any of us gave a damn.

The truth of the matter is.... most of the software out there isn't written
by GRE or Radioshack... They don't get one thin dime from it. They develop the
hardware and leave it open (well sort of) for others to create software. The
guy that writes this stuff deserves any of the money he gets.... if it was SO
EASY... everyone would just write their own... but it isn't...
there is many man hours writing, testing, re-writing ... testing... you get
the idea.

The whole "SCAM" idea you posted was a complete waste of time to write and
read. since any of us with software know... most was written by third party
developers.

So why should someone spend $70 for the software and give it to you for free?
There is enough DEMO versions out there if you REALLY want to TRY it before
buying....

You know... you made the choice of what scanner to buy and to get into this
hobby in the first place.... then WE get to hear YOU whine about your $270
scanner and some $70 software...
$340 !!! gee.. you got off REAL CHEAP

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5764. Re: Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 07:58:42 UTC
From: FH <cheezman@msu.edu>
Message-ID: <01c01a93$ffce51c0$4fb1b2d1@toast>
Source record: 79989

Try 123.025 - you'll find media and public safety ships alike using it -
also referred to as "0-2"

erhu@pacbell.net wrote in article <39bb399d.18868541@news.pacbell.net>...
> hELLO All,
> I have a question, what frequency do the news hilocopters use
> when they are talking to each other?
>
> On several occasions I have seen up to six hilo's covering one
> story and I *know* they have to talk to each other at some point but I
> can't seem to find that frequency by scanning the air bands.
>
> Anyone???????????
>
> Neal
> Anaheim,CA (I-5 & I-91) interchange
>

5765. Help- Bearcat BC-300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 10:31:28 UTC
From: n1qvq@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910063128.13184.00000678@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 79990

Hello, I purchased a Bercat scanner model BC-300 at a tag/yard sale yesterday. It came with no manual. Does someone a\have a manul or photo copy of one available? If you have this radio what is your opinion of it and what ranges does it cover?

Thank you

Bill

5766. Beware John Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:28:03 UTC
From: caddoo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <39bf9b5b.13885374@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79992

I see you resold the items that I returned to you. And I see you are selling a Kenwood TS450 here in the newsgroups and you STILL have NOT refunded my $400.

I called UPS and they say that they have sent you a check out for the claim!

It's funny, when you were selling the items, you answered your phone.

Now I can't get email responses and your phone is busy 7 days a week.

It's a shame I have to post this here in the newsgroups because you just refuse to communicate with me.

I want my money and I want it immediately.

I'm giving you ample chances here before I contact the proper authorities.

Watch out for this name, address and phone # everyone!

John Anderson
909 East North 13 St.
Abilene, TX 79601
AC5TX
915-673-0803

When John finally sends my money, I will post it here.

5767. Software & Cable for Pro92????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:34:30 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910103430.17247.00000521@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79993

Does anyone know if there is any other software out there to program the pro92 from the pc besides the software you can buy thru radio shack? and the cable is a 1/8mini plug same as for programming the icomr2 will rthis cable work for the pro92 also?

thanks
gregg
malakoff,tx

5768. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:38:43 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910103843.10650.00002783@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79995

In article <bQru5.35456$QW4.423192@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> writes:

>While this is correct, you can obtain a permit from the Michigan State
>Police, that allows you to not only have, but actually use, a police scanner
>in your car, even programmed for police frequencies! It is available for
>the asking, by filling out a simple one page request, available from the
>Michigan State Police.

Oh, boy! Really? You mean if we're really nice and follow all the rules, the government might actually give us permission to receive the radio transmissions emitted with the equipment which we have purchased as taxpayers? That's mighty kind of them!

5769. Re: Mobile scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:38:44 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910103844.10650.00002784@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79994

I use a Diamond tri-band ham antenna (6m/2m/70cm), and performance is excellent everywhere except 800Mhz. For 800, I use a separate (trunking) scanner, attatched to a cellular phone antenna with a short length of RG/6. Performance here is also excellent.

5770. Re: Beware John Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:41:03 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910104103.10650.00002786@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79996

In article <39bf9b5b.13885374@news.earthlink.net>, caddoo@earthlink.net (caddoo) writes:

>I want my money and I want it immediately.

Then take action. Tell someone who can actually do something about it. Might be more productive than bellyaching about it on USENET.

Just a suggestion.

5771. Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 14:59:37 UTC
From: Mike Castrucow <castrucw@junction.net>
Message-ID: <8pg7gbqoe1@news.junction.net>
Source record: 79897

Bought a Bearcat 220 scanner at a garage sale. Unfortunately no manual with it. It is model Bearcat 220 rc. Can someone please point me to a web site that can give me the specs on this scanner. I did a search with no real results, kept coming up with new model info only. Thanks in advance.

Mike

castrucw@junction.net

5772. CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 15:23:40 UTC
From: You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com>
Message-ID: <0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79898
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??

Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish you
luck)

"Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> In the ARRL news letter today:
> ----------

> IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
>
> The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5 WPM.
>
> "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying criterion
> for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of amateur
> radio."
>
> ----------

>
> In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> adopt.

Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
lanterns
and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the

blinders
off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
Dayton
drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
anymore?

5773. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 15:37:15 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6QNu5.24443$wS1.1368625@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 79899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"rbowen" <rbowen@ticnet.com> wrote in message ...

.....Quoting Cap'n......
> >Nope.. you didn't miss anything... It is NOT illegal for a non-amateur to
> >own amateur equipment, nor is an amateur license required to purchase
> >amateur equipment.... with the exception of RF Power Amps. As the regs
> >stipulate, you may purchase and possess (without benefit of an amateur
> >license), but not operate same equipment except to receive.

> Cap'n, which has the better quality electronics IYHO?
> Receivers only or transmitters/receivers.

.....IMHO.. IF your talking Amateur (or SWL) receivers vs. transceivers....
I'd say they're both in the same league (assuming you're not comparing
apples and oranges and compare rigs with comparable features). The
electronics inside and circuit designs are the basically the same if you're
comparing a transceiver vs. a receive-only rig (similar class rigs) from the
same manufacturer. From what I've seen of the Amateur transceiver market
vs. the SWL receiver-only market, you pay NEARLY the same (usually less than
a 20% difference) for either comparable product.... so WHY NOT get the
transceiver?

5774. Re: Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 15:41:24 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39BBABA4.689627AC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 79900

123.025, 123.050, 123.075, 121.500MHz, AM mode.

helocopter.

erhu@pacbell.net wrote:
>
> hELLO All,
> I have a question, what frequency do the news hilocopters use
> when they are talking to each other?
>
> On several occasions I have seen up to six hilo's covering one
> story and I *know* they have to talk to each other at some point but I
> can't seem to find that frequency by scanning the air bands.
>
> Anyone???????????
>
> Neal
> Anaheim,CA (I-5 & I-91) interchange

--
TimTyler@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~timtyler

5775. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 16:07:16 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UkOu5.34609$58.4773065@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79901
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Honest answer: you are right. But what has that got to do with CW?
CW requirements only hindered the brain dead CBers that wanted to free band
a little more than they have.

Had nothing to do with radio vs internet. I have 3 kids, all big into
computers. It is insulting to suggest they are too stupid to learn CW.
They did (in 2 days) but just rather be on the net. Give away 'ham'
licenses like CB, you will still have the same lack of interest issue.

so you win. 10-4

"You go girl!" <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
you
> luck)
>
>
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
>
> --
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5

WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
amateur
> > radio."
> >
>
> ---
> ----------
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?
>
>
>

5776. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 16:52:09 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Z_Ou5.27851$gg.7195343@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79902
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The real Damper behind Ham Radio, I see, is the overly restrictive
neighborhood covenants and zoning laws. I remember in the late 70's you
could'nt go several houses without seeing a CB antenna sticking up on
someone chimmney or roof. If CB was introduced today it would never flourish
or even survive like it did then.
Of course you are saying that is CB radio. What about Ham radio? The truth
is the public really does'nt know or care. When they hear that your
unsightly antennna is radiating something they do'nt want to have anything
to do with it. Plus they remember the neighbors that had those ugly antennas
on their roof and came in on their phones and TV. I only see it getting
worse with the FCC now requiring you to be RF compliant with your station.
Does this mean eventually you will have to disclose to your neighbors your
enviromental impact on their property? Will they be able to point and click
on an FCC database one day and see where your ham station is and imagine
those harmful waves enveloping their property?
When the public thinks of radiation they think of a nuclear reactor or that
awful cellular tower that was about to go up down the road until they all
banded together and squashed it. Nevermind the cellphone that they just
talked on all the way home from work.
That is different.....

You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
you
> luck)
>
>
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:

>
> ---
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
amateur
> > radio."
> >
>
> ---
> ----------
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?
>
>

5777. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 16:59:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <_4Pu5.24718$wS1.1378521@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 79903
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Is it really necessary for this crap to be on seven usenet sites?
Particularly when this 'official abolishment' comes from a group that does
not set rules. It will come when the ITU acts and it is currently not on
the agenda for the next six years. Don't stand on one leg.

Dick

"Eddie Bryant N4UMJ" <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Z_Ou5.27851$gg.7195343@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> The real Damper behind Ham Radio, I see, is the overly restrictive
> neighborhood covenants and zoning laws. I remember in the late 70's you
> could'nt go several houses without seeing a CB antenna sticking up on
> someone chimmney or roof. If CB was introduced today it would never
flourish
> or even survive like it did then.
> Of course you are saying that is CB radio. What about Ham radio? The truth
> is the public really does'nt know or care. When they hear that your
> unsightly antennna is radiating something they do'nt want to have anything
> to do with it. Plus they remember the neighbors that had those ugly
antennas
> on their roof and came in on their phones and TV. I only see it getting
> worse with the FCC now requiring you to be RF compliant with your station.
> Does this mean eventually you will have to disclose to your neighbors your
> enviromental impact on their property? Will they be able to point and
click
> on an FCC database one day and see where your ham station is and imagine
> those harmful waves enveloping their property?
> When the public thinks of radiation they think of a nuclear reactor or
that
> awful cellular tower that was about to go up down the road until they all
> banded together and squashed it. Nevermind the cellphone that they just
> talked on all the way home from work.
> That is different.....
>
>
>
> You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> > in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> > done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> > ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> > of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this

> > question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> > mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> > active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> > next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> > will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
> >
> > Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
> you
> > luck)
> >
> >
> >
> > "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> > news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > > In the ARRL news letter today:
> >
>
> ---
> > ---
> > > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> > >
> > > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
> WPM.
> > >
> > > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
> criterion
> > > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
> amateur
> > > radio."
> > >
> >
>
> ---
> > ---
> > >
> > > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III
will
> > > adopt.
> >
> > Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> > Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> > lanterns
> > and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> > blinders

> > off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> > Dayton
> > drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held
much
> > anymore?
> >
> >
>
>

5778. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 17:10:07 UTC
From: kenneth m cubilo <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <39bbc070$0$51195$2dcedb17@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 79904
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH SHORTWAVE?????? TAKE YOUR CRYING
OVER TO THE PROPER NEWSGROUPS CHRIST YOU CANT EVEN SIGN A RETURN EMAIL
ADDRESS

"You go girl!" wrote:
>
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish you
> luck)
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5 WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of amateur
> > radio."
> >
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> ----------
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
```

> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?

5779. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 17:44:30 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39bbc81c.3020912@news.usol.com>
Source record: 79905
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 15:23:40 GMT, "You go girl!" <ubeemee@excite.com>
wrote:

Snip:

>>
>> In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
>> adopt.
>
>Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
>Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
>lanterns
>and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
>blinders
>off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
>Dayton
>drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
>anymore?
>

Let's hope spell checkers never become obsolete. ;)

5780. Re: Rockwell Collin 95S-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 18:32:31 UTC
From: dmoorman@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <dmoorman-1009001332590001@d21.focal6.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79906

Do you mean a 75S1-A?

Dave

In article <8pas9a$n9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, bigbear@thai.com wrote:

>Hi...
>
> Anyone know a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A is use for and how much does it
>cost for second hand radio? My friend want to sell this receiver for me but I
>have own Drake R8A so I need to know what is it use for except normal
>scanner function if it look interest maybe I will buy it!
> Anyone have manual or software for control this radio? Any comment is
>welcome.
>
> bigbear@thai.com
> Regard.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5781. Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 18:36:48 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <05lnrs0nqm5bn8h9pm92kfhcvq3gv9ef0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 79907

Long-winded rationalization for theft ... nothing more

trunkedradio@yahoo.com (Trunked Radio) wrote:

>
>
>OK Now before all you Software Police Warlords out there start bitching about
>just buy the damn thing, hear me out. I just spent $270 on a new scanner
>that seems to have its fair share of issues, and the way I've been hearing to
>correct some of these issues is to have the software. Well I've got to hand
>it to GRE and Radio Shack on this SCAM they are running. "Come buy our
>scanner, it has problems and now were going to charge you an additional $70
>so you can fix the problems" Geeze you'd swear they did this on purpose just
>to stuff it to all the scannists out there. So anyway, if someone out there
>would like to send a copy of it please do, and to all that want to piss,
>moan and complain about me asking for a copy go cry to someone who actually
>gives a damn!!!
>
>
>trunkedradio@yahoo.com

5782. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 18:48:41 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <gGQu5.357130$t91.4156239@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 79908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Agreed.

Unless you have an older TX only rig (like the Vikings, etc.) their is no
reason to just purchase a RX only unit.

Toll Free

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:6QNu5.24443$wS1.1368625@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "rbowen" <rbowen@ticnet.com> wrote in message ...
>
> .....Quoting Cap'n......
> > >Nope.. you didn't miss anything... It is NOT illegal for a non-amateur
to
> > >own amateur equipment, nor is an amateur license required to purchase
> > >amateur equipment.... with the exception of RF Power Amps. As the regs
> > >stipulate, you may purchase and possess (without benefit of an amateur
> > >license), but not operate same equipment except to receive.
>
> > Cap'n, which has the better quality electronics IYHO?
> > Receivers only or transmitters/receivers.
>
> .....IMHO.. IF your talking Amateur (or SWL) receivers vs.
transceivers....
> I'd say they're both in the same league (assuming you're not comparing
> apples and oranges and compare rigs with comparable features). The
> electronics inside and circuit designs are the basically the same if
you're
> comparing a transceiver vs. a receive-only rig (similar class rigs) from
the
> same manufacturer. From what I've seen of the Amateur transceiver market
> vs. the SWL receiver-only market, you pay NEARLY the same (usually less
than
> a 20% difference) for either comparable product.... so WHY NOT get the
> transceiver?
>
>

5783. Re: IPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 18:59:49 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch <dat2comm@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8pglmt$16g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79912

In article <39bcaeb4.2555955@news.uia.net>,
chuck@nospam.latraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) wrote:
> I'd be interested in a synopsis. Don't want to join a list. I get
> enough spam already.
>
> On Wed, 09 Aug 2000 01:38:36 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Unhappy with support from IncidentPage?
> >
> >Want to know why I left (the TRUTH, not Danny's explanation)?
> >
I have no problem contacting Danny H. or Rick White. I use email.
dhamiton@incidentpage.net or rwhite@incidentpage.net.

Kurt Fritsch
IPN-MAR000

> >Unable to get a reply from anyone there when you need help?
> >
> >Unable to even GET an account with IPN for some reason?
> >
> >Well, then you want to join...
>
>

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
http://www.tcfb.com/dat2comm
dat2comm@juno.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5784. Re: IPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:04:28 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch <dat2comm@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8pglvj$1go$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79911

> I have no problem contacting Danny H. or Rick White. I use email.
> dhamiton@incidentpage.net or rwhite@incidentpage.net. Also 888-339-
8259 x82
>
> Kurt Fritsch
> IPN-MAR000
>
> > >Unable to get a reply from anyone there when you need help?
> > >
> > >Unable to even GET an account with IPN for some reason?
> > >
> > >Well, then you want to join...
> >
> >
>
> --
> Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
> Owner
> DAT2 Communications
> http://www.tcfb.com/dat2comm
> dat2comm@juno.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
http://www.tcfb.com/dat2comm
dat2comm@juno.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5785. WTB: Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:04:44 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gXQu5.1133$Q15.8069806@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 79909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Subject line says it all, looking for a reasonably priced PCR1000 in good condition. reply to:

nickwb4sqi@wwd.net

thanks

Nick

5786. Scanner Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:06:23 UTC
From: mjohnson7@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <39bbd99a.858905958@news-server>
Source record: 79910

Is there a place on the web to get manuals for the older RS scanners,
or do you have to order them from RS ???

Thanks

Mike

5787. Re: Scanner Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:14:36 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <8VQu5.220$kB1.584@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79913

Go to www.radioshack.com and click on "product manuals".

Adam Kolari

<mjohnson7@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:39bbd99a.858905958@news-server...
> Is there a place on the web to get manuals for the older RS scanners,
> or do you have to order them from RS ???
>
> Thanks
>
> Mike

5788. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:19:23 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <39bc419e@sacnews.sac.bfp.net>
Source record: 79847
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Eddie Bryant N4UMJ" <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Z_Ou5.27851$gg.7195343@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
I only see it getting
> worse with the FCC now requiring you to be RF compliant with your station.
> Does this mean eventually you will have to disclose to your neighbors your
> enviromental impact on their property? Will they be able to point and
click
> on an FCC database one day and see where your ham station is and imagine
> those harmful waves enveloping their property?

They've been able to do that for years. Anybody can look up you call sign
and see where you live.

> When the public thinks of radiation they think of a nuclear reactor or
that
> awful cellular tower that was about to go up down the road until they all
> banded together and squashed it. Nevermind the cellphone that they just
> talked on all the way home from work.
> That is different.....
>
rf is everywhere and has been our whole lifes, most of joe public hasn't a
clue of their level of exsposure. The thing that gets me is that the
government and big business do what they want - but we end up getting blamed
and made responsible for having a hobby.
>
> You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> > in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> > done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> > ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> > of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> > question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> > mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> > active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> > next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> > will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
> >
> > Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
> you
> > luck)

Sad but true!

5789. FS: AOR 8000 Wide band receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:29:51 UTC
From: "M.L.K" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <39BBE133.4E9BE1BC@cfl.rr.com>
Source record: 79915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I have a Mint Condition AR 8000 with strap and antenna. Plus disk with PDF file manual. Unit is unmodified, serial # 024097. Photo upon request. Asking $350...obo

My ebay name is "mcguyver" I will list this item late next week if nobody speaks for it sooner.

5790. Re: Give away a Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:36:34 UTC
From: Allangored <moneycantbuyme@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pgns8$b8p$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you have an old unused computer please mail it to me so I can get on freenet.com and talk with you guys in here! It must be fun! I am transmitting this message on a nextel net phone that I found. The battery is going dead so th.&*0_____

<BAT> wrote in message news:p6aqqs8l4ifhpq31905d6itgd7p1mu1c6p@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 30 Aug 2000 09:17:05 -0500, "Terry Parsons"
> <tp04417@navix.net> wrote:
>
> >If you know of anyone with a handheld programmable scanner to give away (or
> >sell real cheap) let me know. I am disabled and have very little money, but
> >I would pay for shipping. Anything would be great. I could take it with me
> >when I am out in my chair. Thank you
> >
> >Chuck
> >
> Ah, sure.

5791. I will be leaving the radio hobbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 19:53:51 UTC
From: FROMUT@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19803-39BBE6CF-76@storefull-252.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i will be going out of state for a while i will be shuting down my web page for the time being thank you for all the help over the years it has been up

GOODBYE
FROMUT

5792. Re: Audio help for the BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 20:27:47 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39BBC493.CEB126@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79917

A better one is 21-541 $24.99 Pg. 102 of the new catalog. The other one
is 277-1008 $11.99 Pg. 245.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

5793. Re: Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 20:40:53 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39BBC7A5.E3ADF007@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79918

Another freq is 127.775. This and 123.025 are the best ones. 123.025 has alot of pilot to pilot chit chat in the AM so I lock it out alot. Yeah, that day there were a couple of fixed wings orbiting around the 6 helos also!

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

5794. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:02:30 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GFSu5.18621$JY2.2624116@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79919
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't believe that Ham is any where near dead just because 20 year olds would rather play with computers over a radio.

Perhaps when they get older they may take interest in the hobby. Certainly ham is not for everyone, neither is scanning, shortwave, or astronomy. So what?

I will agree that CW is a total waste of time, and it was a good move to make a no code license. As for the old timer hams still out there, they will never stop defending it, even though they never or next to never use it.

However don't over look the the computer connection to ham, SW, and even astronomy. We all now have the capabilities that only a small hand full of people had 20 years ago. Wonder how much more advanced the next 20 years will be. Perhaps there will be some new prophet of doom making a simular post regarding the death of computers by way of the next big thing. Hard drives, keyboards, and monitors may become just as obsolete as CW. What would hologram virtual memory, and something on the line of the matrix, this could all some day be considered obsolete.

So lets just enjoy what we have for now, and not worry about what may happen or not happen ;)

"the matrix has you Neo"

You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
you
> luck)
>
>

>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
>
> ---
> ---
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
amateur
> > radio."
> >
>
> ---
> ---
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?
>
>

5795. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:15:33 UTC
From: John Bombard <flyboy4097@home.com>
Message-ID: <VRSu5.26837$Qx4.1098592@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79920
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
i'm 14, my parents dont like radio comms, but i do. i like scanning and
talking on the CB. i might get a ham license. as for the question, pentium
III or icom, im a computer nut too, so i'd take both.

"You go girl!" <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
you
> luck)
>
>
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
```

amateur
> > radio."
> >
>
> ---
> ----------
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?
>
>

5796. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:20:46 UTC
From: teamroper <RICKRAGEOUS@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OWSu5.2849$M37.205055@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79921
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Bruce or Tracy wrote in message <39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net>...
>Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>
>We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
>We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
>have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
>we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>
>The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
>the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
>and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
>he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
>an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
>we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>
>"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
>getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
>stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
>scanner discone antenna and coax.
>
>Bruce
>Huntsville, Al

Too bad everyone isn't like that one.

5797. Re: Scanner Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:26:06 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <O%Su5.24252$98.2476173@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79922

You might also try CTP:

http://hometown.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

Robert

<mjohnson7@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:39bbd99a.858905958@news-server...
> Is there a place on the web to get manuals for the older RS scanners,
> or do you have to order them from RS ???
>
> Thanks
>
> Mike
>

5798. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:42:01 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <JeTu5.24333$98.2483843@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79923
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ham radio shows its need everytime there is a disaster and
all the "technology" fails. All the phone lines, power lines, TV
cable, etc., get knocked down everytime we have a serious
hurricane in FL. BTW, our local police, fire, and medical all
use an EDACS system, which is computer controlled. If the
central station takes a hit, there will be no communication.
There are plenty of instances across the country where this
has already happened. Our local Ham radio operators
work with local officials to maintain communications. Every
week Ham radio operators participating in disaster preparedness
call in on the net.

It is a real shame CW is now gone. Again, when technology
fails, what are you going to do? With nothing more than a
reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!

Yes, technology is wonderful. Many Ham radio operators are
deep into the latest toys, as I am. However, we don't fool
ourselves into thinking it can't all be taken away with 1 storm.
A small, category 1 hurricane (Erin) knocked out power to
18,000 homes for more than 3 days. This was a tiny storm
compared to hurricane Andrew.

Been there, done that.

Robert
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/hurricane.html

You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
you

> luck)
>
>
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
>
> ---
> ---
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
amateur
> > radio."
> >
>
> ---
> ---
> >
> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?
>
>
>

5799. Re: Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 21:48:58 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39bc0191.89317302@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79924

When not in controlled airspace it's almost always 123.025 for news media/PD ships. That includes helicopter and fixed wing.

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 11:41:24 -0400, Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com> wrote:

>123.025, 123.050, 123.075, 121.500MHz, AM mode.
>
> helocopter.

5800. FS:AOR800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 22:00:09 UTC
From: Dick33 <dick33@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JvTu5.2893$M37.209405@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79925

I have an AOR8000 with the box,book, power supply and,ant.it has new nicads in it and is unblocked.I also have a optolink in the box with all the goodies to go with it.will ship FedEX cod for $325.00 please respond via e-mail thanks.

5801. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 22:02:50 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910180250.07920.00002729@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 79926

In article <0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "You go girl!" <ubeemee@excite.com> writes:

>A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
>in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
>done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
>ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
>of hams, are interested in a ham licence?

None. They're interests lie in getting high, stealing cars, listening to gangsta rap (crap) music, and bringing guns to school to shoot their classmates with.

5802. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 22:45:13 UTC
From: mickey@mouse.com
Message-ID: <39bc0e97@news.server.worldonline.co.uk>
Source record: 79927
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have to admit that all that bandwidth available to me as a relatively new Ham means that reliable World Wide QSO's are a viable option now that I'll get no interference locally.

Regarding the P3 and ICOM, the ICOM will be worth considerably more than the P3 in 2020, or indeed within 18 months.

Not that I'm leaning one way or the other, but I have only one ICOM, four Yaesu's and an old Pye. Along with a P3, P2, K62 and a 486DX-4...I must be a nut too!

"John Bombard" <flyboy4097@home.com> wrote in message
news:VRSu5.26837$Qx4.1098592@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> i'm 14, my parents dont like radio comms, but i do. i like scanning and
> talking on the CB. i might get a ham license. as for the question, pentium
> III or icom, im a computer nut too, so i'd take both.
>
> "You go girl!" <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> > in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> > done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> > ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> > of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> > question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> > mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> > active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> > next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> > will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
> >
> > Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
> you
> > luck)
> >
> >
> >
> > "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> > news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > > In the ARRL news letter today:
> >
>
> ---
> > ---------------------

> > > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> > >
> > > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
> WPM.
> > >
> > > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
> criterion
> > > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
> amateur
> > > radio."
> > >
> >
>
> ---
> > ----------
> > >
> > > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III
will
> > > adopt.
> >
> > Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> > Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> > lanterns
> > and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> > blinders
> > off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> > Dayton
> > drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held
much
> > anymore?
> >
> >
>
>

5803. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 22:57:30 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BC11DA.3771063D@cts.com>
Source record: 79928

TooManyRadios wrote:
>

> None. They're interests lie in getting high, stealing cars, listening to
> gangsta rap (crap) music, and bringing guns to school to shoot their classmates
> with.

So run with it...
The local street racers around here use FRS and cellular to dodge the
cops, ham radio would be perfect...all those repeaters are empty at 2am
in the morning. Cough up some spectrum boys.

While you are at it...change the rules to allow rebroadcasting of music
and porno.

markj

5804. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 22:59:22 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000910185922.06714.00000592@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 79930

I've lived in NJ, TN and RI and I have YET to find anyone using trunking...

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

5805. Re: I will be leaving the radio hobbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:00:31 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000910190031.06714.00000593@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 79931

>i will be going out of state for a while i will be shuting down my web
>page for the time being thank you for all the help over the years it has
>been up
>
>GOODBYE
>FROMUT
>

Like we know who this guy is and we visit his webpage every day? I hope he's
gonna be spending some time with Hooked on Phonics so he can learn how to spell
Hobby.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

5806. Free Ham Swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:01:01 UTC
From: Linda AD6LT ~ Danny KO6OY & George KN6LA <ko6oy@jetlink.net>
Message-ID: <39BC12AD.D547A673@jetlink.net>
Source record: 79929

Ham Radio Swap Page
Buy, Sell, Trades & Wants !!!
** All Personal Ham Radio Related Ads Are Posted FREE **
This is one of The Largest Ham Radio Swap Sites on The
Internet..

All ads are Posted Manually So we can weed out the
Commercial & Junk e-mail ads...

Click Here ------>
http://www.jetlink.net/~ko6oy/swapage4.htm

Stop By For a Visit & Thanks For Reading....
73 Danny ko6oy & Linda ad6lt

5807. Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:11:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%yUu5.38755$QW4.473988@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For those of you concerned about the State Of Michigan's strict laws concerning having a scanner radio in your car, all you have to do is obtain a permit!

If you are a resident of Michigan, and do not have a serious criminal record, simply call them, and request an application for a permit to have a scanner radio in your automobile.

Call: (517) 336 6240, and ask for a permit.

If you have an Ham license, you are NOT required to obtain a permit, NOR will they issue you one. You do not have to be a licensed Ham radio operator, or member of any organization to obtain a permit. Simply state that it is your hobby, you enjoy listening to it, and that you will not be an ambulance chaser, or interfere in any way with the police, fire, or other services that you hear.

They will mail you the application, and once you return it, you should receive your permit in about 3 to 4 weeks. It is good for 3 years!

Bill Crocker

5808. Re: Can police totally scramble transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:41:10 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <39bc1c1a$0$62624$53a6d3de@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 79934

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>"Stuart Klide" <iceman16@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
>news:hX9t5.43891$e11.342465@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
>> I have been listening to my local police via frequencies that i obtained
>> from an updated police frequency book. However, it seems the majority of
>the
>> time, the frequencies have no activity on them. I'm pretty sure my town
>isnt
>> using trunked. 3 questions
>> 1. Can police totally scramble transmissions so no one can listen?
>
>Yes they can, with various types of encryption, especially digital
>encryption, spread spectrum, and as fancy as they want to pay for.
>
>> 2. How can I know if they are using trunked?
>
>See the other folks' replies.
>
>> 3. Can the police/fire know if im listening to their frequencies?
>
>Not if you keep the volume down, your mouth shut, and your name and city off
>the internet ;-)
>
>Harry
>
>
>
>
..However they must still maintain a "in the clear" recording of the
communication for the official records.

5809. WTB/WTT Bearcat 210XLT 40Ch base/mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:43:35 UTC
From: 800MHZ <n3jkm@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <39BC1CAB.94187A71@qsl.net>
Source record: 79933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking for a Bearcat 210XLT 40 channel scanner. It must be in good
to very good condition. If you have one and are interested in selling or
for trade please email
me directly.
thnx

5810. HELP!! Optoelectronics Optolink and AOR AR8000 hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-10 23:57:44 UTC
From: king1522@swbell.net
Message-ID: <39bc1e3c.15106230@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 79935

I need information on how to physically connect the Optolink to the AR8000. I haven't done it in years and have lost the instructions. I know the ribbon cable has somewhat strange insertion instructions. Also, does anyone know if a manual existed for the Optolink? If so, where can I find one? Also, anyone have any suggestions for scanning software that interfaces with the Optolink. I used someone's software that had his site in Ft. Worth, TX, but can't remember his name or web address. Any help is appreciated.

5811. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:07:33 UTC
From: Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net>
Message-ID: <39BC2243.C475E048@willinet.net>
Source record: 79936
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's in ITU3, we're in ITU2. And personally, I think we need to keep the code requirement to keep the idiots off of HF (my definition of idiots is people who run 1500 watts, kerchunk repeaters, don't identify themselfs, and (ahumm), cross-post newsgroups :)).

"You go girl!" wrote:

> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish you
> luck)
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
> > --
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5 WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of amateur
> > radio."
> >
> > --
> ----------
> >

> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?

--

Dan Friedrichs
dan@willinet.net
KC0IPG

5812. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:13:08 UTC
From: Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net>
Message-ID: <39BC2393.BFB8C705@willinet.net>
Source record: 79937
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, I agree. Ham isn't for everyone. But it's certainly not obsolete.
I don't even know half the code, but I won't ever stop defending it. Truth
is, I'll probably never have a single QSO with CW, heck, I'll probably never
even buy a key, but I truely belive that CW is a great way to keep the idiots
off HF......

Jim wrote:

> I don't believe that Ham is any where near dead just because 20 year olds
> would rather play with computers over a radio.
>
> Perhaps when they get older they may take interest in the hobby. Certainly
> ham is not for everyone, neither is scanning, shortwave, or astronomy. So
> what?
>
> I will agree that CW is a total waste of time, and it was a good move to
> make a no code license. As for the old timer hams still out there, they
> will never stop defending it, even though they never or next to never use
> it.
>
> However don't over look the the computer connection to ham, SW, and even
> astronomy. We all now have the capabilities that only a small hand full of
> people had 20 years ago. Wonder how much more advanced the next 20 years
> will be. Perhaps there will be some new prophet of doom making a simular
> post regarding the death of computers by way of the next big thing. Hard
> drives, keyboards, and monitors may become just as obsolete as CW. What
> would hologram virtual memory, and something on the line of the matrix, this
> could all some day be considered obsolete.
>
> So lets just enjoy what we have for now, and not worry about what may happen
> or not happen ;)
>
> "the matrix has you Neo"
>
> You go girl! <ubeemee@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:0INu5.793$QQ2.54009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> > in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> > done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> > ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> > of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> > question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> > mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically

> > active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> > next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> > will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
> >
> > Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish
> you
> > luck)
> >
> >
> >
> > "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> > news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > > In the ARRL news letter today:
> > >
> > > ---
> > > ----------------------
> > > > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> > > >
> > > > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > > > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > > > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > > > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > > > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5
> WPM.
> > > >
> > > > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > > > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > > > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying
> criterion
> > > > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of
> amateur
> > > > radio."
> > > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > ----------
> > > >
> > > > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > > > adopt.
> > >
> > > Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> > > Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> > > lanterns
> > > and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> > > blinders
> > > off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> > > Dayton
> > > drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> > > anymore?
> > >

> >

--

Dan Friedrichs
dan@willinet.net
KC0IPG

5813. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:14:50 UTC
From: fan@techie.com
Message-ID: <39bc23fe$0$62624$53a6d3de@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 79938
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

IN ARTICLE , WROTE:
>
>Bruce or Tracy wrote in message <39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net>...
>>Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
>>
>>We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
>>We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
>>have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
>>we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
>>
>>The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
>>the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
>>and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
>>he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
>>an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
>>we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
>>
>>"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
>>getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
>>stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
>>scanner discone antenna and coax.
>>
>>Bruce
>>Huntsville, Al
>
>Too bad everyone isn't like that one.
>
>

Actually the BEST security system is the one you install yourself and don't brag about. There are no loose ends to worry about (installers), there is no record or blueprint of the installation other than the one you control and consequently you then have piece of mind in knowing that the thieves don't know a damned thing about your security.....incidently if it's x-10 compatibility there are great web sites dealing with everything x-10 from simple light timers to "voice control", just plug "X-10" into your search engine and enjoy what's out there..... and a lot cheaper than RadioShack....

enjoy

Fred

5814. Re: HELP!! Optoelectronics Optolink and AOR AR8000 hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:16:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <NvVu5.38785$QW4.474950@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79939

Click here...

http://www.optoelectronics.com/

They'll be able to help you!

Bill Crocker

<king1522@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:39bc1e3c.15106230@news.swbell.net...
> I need information on how to physically connect the Optolink to the
> AR8000. I haven't done it in years and have lost the instructions. I
> know the ribbon cable has somewhat strange insertion instructions.
> Also, does anyone know if a manual existed for the Optolink? If so,
> where can I find one? Also, anyone have any suggestions for scanning
> software that interfaces with the Optolink. I used someone's software
> that had his site in Ft. Worth, TX, but can't remember his name or web
> address. Any help is appreciated.

5815. FS: Uniden BC890XLT - MINT Condition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:20:52 UTC
From: foxblaine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000910202052.08093.00000067@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 79940

First $175 takes it. Payment by Paypal.com preferred. Check my eBay feedback if you have any concerns as to my integrity...(eBay screen names: blainefox, n9lxx, squiggy48)

73~~~

R. Blaine Fox (N9LXX)
Freeport, IL

5816. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 00:54:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39BC2D30.91B974C4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79941
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"You go girl!" wrote:
>
> [snip] Put a fully equipped Pentium-III
> MMX system next to an Icom and what do
> you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000
> and beyond...??

How about "both" as an answer. You seem to think it is a choice between one thing OR the other, computer OR radio. People can, and do, buy both. Computers and radio can exist side by side in the same room, just like it does for most of the people in this newsgroup. Computer users often have other interests outside of the internet, and there is no reason radio cannot be one of those interests.

> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD
> and FORGOTTEN by 2020. [snip]

Nonsense. People have been running around carrying signs declaring the end of the world for ham radio for years now, and it is not dead yet. Ham radio is evolving, adapting, and finding its place in the changing world. When it does, it will remain just as viable twenty years from now as it is was twenty years ago.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

5817. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 01:17:04 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BC3290.7D843A6@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79942
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
> With nothing more than a
> reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
> miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!

Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5818. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 01:34:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39BC369A.B01E6730@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79943
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
>
> Yes, technology is wonderful. Many Ham radio
> operators are deep into the latest toys, as
> I am. However, we don't fool ourselves into
> thinking it can't all be taken away with 1
> storm. A small, category 1 hurricane (Erin)
> knocked out power to 18,000 homes for more
> than 3 days. This was a tiny storm compared
> to hurricane Andrew.

Get a laptop, a barbeque grill, a car battery operated refridgerator,
a car battery for your radio, a shortwave radio, and a small generator
(to charge all the batteries). With those items, life after a storm is
no great problem.

I've been through three storms now. After the first (Hugo), I was
well prepared in advance and the other two were almost like a vacation.
I sit around eating steaks and hamburgers, talking on my radio,
listening to music on the SW, and playing computer games on the laptop.
I was having such a good time, I was almost sorry to see things get back
to normal.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

5819. scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 01:36:47 UTC
From: gagodin <gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 79944

Hi,

I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect to my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can buy those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are Radio-Shack. Thanks.

5820. NAMA info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:03:48 UTC
From: Adam <radar_radio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <srr3kp1nct6111@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79837

Hello,
I'm looking for info about NAMA (the North American Monitoring Association),
ie dues, membership perks, activites, etc. Any info is appreciated.

Thanks
Adam
chip1@theramp.net

5821. WANTED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:13:54 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22950-39BC3FE2-78@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79852

want all blimp freq.thanks

5822. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:14:22 UTC
From: k7on@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.142650978d911d5f9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79946

I have no idea if they are blocked in Canada or not,
but I sure like my PRO-2052.

Mine is cellular blocked.

The computer interface is very useful, though.

Good Luck.

In article <PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>,
gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca says...
> Hi,
>
> I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with
> unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect to
> my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can buy
> those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are Radio-Shack.
> Thanks.

--
mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
--

5823. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:28:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <_qXu5.38843$QW4.478373@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79848

Brian:

What software are you using with the PRO-2052?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Brian Short" <k7on@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.142650978d911d5f9896b3@news.earthlink.net...
>
> I have no idea if they are blocked in Canada or not,
> but I sure like my PRO-2052.
>
> Mine is cellular blocked.
>
> The computer interface is very useful, though.
>
> Good Luck.

5824. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:29:34 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39bc431b.10176781@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79849

New systems are being built all of the time. Just because you haven't doesn't mean you won't. Besides there's very little difference in price between a Trunk Tracking scanner and a non-trunking scanner.

On 10 Sep 2000 22:59:22 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI) wrote:

>I've lived in NJ, TN and RI and I have YET to find anyone using trunking...

5825. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner/the follow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:32:17 UTC
From: Hootowl <zooo@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <grdorsklsiqdd4q3tev32chr88e0sh07ls@4ax.com>
Source record: 79850

On Mon, 04 Sep 2000 05:20:18 GMT, ryan <nomoremail@aol.net> wrote:

>
>
>Just Bud wrote:
>
>> ryan <nomoremail@aol.net> hollered from the outhouse...
>>
>> >They are not spotters, they are cars that stay behind. Well I guess they could
>> >spot cars coming up the interstate, but they stay a mile behind.
>>
>> Exactly what good does it do to have them behind the load vehicle?
>> It doesn't make a lot of difference whether you have guns in the truck
>> when you have a few thousand pounds of drugs in it.
>>
The following cars are there to make sure the people transporting the
drugs don't steal it themselves.

>> >Also I have heard NOTHING about using ham radios, they use CBs. They stay on
>> >channels that are not normally used.
>>
>> There is no such thing as a 'not normally used' CB channel,
>
>True in Calif. from what a co-worker told me however here in Indiana, where this
>truck was stopped there are plenty of channels that you could listen to and not hear
>a thing for your whole trip.

And MANY CB's are modified to add extra channels referred to as
"uppers and lowers." I'm not sure what they are, but about 15 years
ago, a CB shop almost installed them into one of mine by mistake. And
they aren't upper and lower sidebands, because the radio is an SSB
model. (I still have it.) Anybody care to explain to me what they
are? I've always wondered.

Dan

5826. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:41:49 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <NDXu5.36510$58.5040582@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79851
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-.. --- -. - / -... . / .- / ... -- .- .-. - .- ... ... / .. - / .. ... -. -
/ .- ... / -.. .. ..-. ..-. .. -.-. ..- .-.. - / .- ... / .. - / ... ---
..- -. -.. ... .-.-.- / - .-. -.-- / .. - / -.-- --- ..- .-. ... . .-.. ..-.
/ -.-- --- ..- / -.-. .- -. / ... . . / - .... . / -.-. ..- .-. ...- .- -
..- .-. . / --- ..-. / - .... . / . .- .-. - .... --..-- / -.-- --- ..- / --
..- ... - / .... .- ...- . / --. .-. . .- - / ...- .. ... .. --- -.
```

Robert

CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:39BC3290.7D843A6@bigfoot.com...
>
> Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
> 200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
> metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
> would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

5827. Re: Rockwell Collin 95S-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 02:54:30 UTC
From: dmoorman@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <dmoorman-1009002154300001@d21.focal6.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79853

I found it! It is a 95S1 and a very interesting radio. More info at
http://www.wa3key.com/95s1.html. Just make you have the computer and
software to use it.

How much was your friend asking for it?

Dave

In article <dmoorman-1009001332590001@d21.focal6.interaccess.com>,
dmoorman@interaccess.com (Dave Moorman) wrote:

>Do you mean a 75S1-A?
>
>Dave
>
>In article <8pas9a$n9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, bigbear@thai.com wrote:
>
>>Hi...
>>
>> Anyone know a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A is use for and how much does it
>>cost for second hand radio? My friend want to sell this receiver for me but I
>>have own Drake R8A so I need to know what is it use for except normal
>>scanner function if it look interest maybe I will buy it!
>> Anyone have manual or software for control this radio? Any comment is
>>welcome.
>>
>> bigbear@thai.com
>> Regard.
>>
>>
>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>Before you buy.

5828. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 03:23:13 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39bc4408.90503663@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79854
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 20:17:04 -0500, CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Robert wrote:
>> With nothing more than a
>> reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
>> miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!
>
>Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
>200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
>metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
>would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Whoa! Cecil, "rotation of the earth"??
You're holding a 1X3 callsign and if it's not a vanity callsign it means you're at General class or above. You should know better.

Even a small mirrored surface will appear bigger and brighter when reflecting adequate sunlight; similar to the way a flashlight shone at you in the dark appears much bigger than the actual lampbulb might suggest. As for someone reading it, they only have to *see* it's being sent-- Search and Rescue can then bring others in to read you, assuming extraction may be prolonged by difficult terrain,etc. Sure, if you couldn't be rescued post-haste you'd expect food,etc. to be dropped. But correctly sent (and read) it could allow other needed supplies as well. Imagine you had a companion with you who was diabetic: Along with food, insulin and syringes could be dropped as well that might save his life while you had to wait for extraction. There are many possible reasons-- imagine your own. Rotation of the earth is a non-issue as any plane low enough to read you would be more troubled by it's motion relative to you, rather than your rotation about the earth's axis.

This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.

Could you easily invent (make-up) another? Sure, but it would lack CW's international history, acceptence and usage. It simply has no real competition. Is it really necessary to pass a Morse test to use your VOX-capable ICOM for ragchewing with your buddies on the local net? Of course not. But like a lot of other things, from Boy Scout manuals to Mom's knitting skills, it represents a body of knowledge which is valuable in it's own right. It's not something to be thrown into the ash-heap of history lightly.

In 1987, my wife and I spent 5 days in a 3 room real-estate office with 30 other people in Cancun,Mex. when hurricaine Gilbert hit. The head of the NWS at that time classified Gilbert as category 5 (the worst) but said, "That's only because we don't have anything greater than 5 to put it into." 185+mph winds make life real simple, real fast. No, we weren't sending morse<grin>. If you haven't lived through nature as a catastrophe you can have little idea of just how fast all these tech. toys we "need" can just melt away. If I ever again have to worry about survival you can be sure I'll bring a radio before I bring a computer and my old Boy Scout manual rather than " Word 5.0 for Dummies", etc. Think about it.

Respectfully,

Andrew
KG4JBM/AG

5829. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 03:38:34 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <_sYu5.228122$Gh.5147411@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 79858

As in the states, the cellular band is not legal to listen to (not in Canada), any scanner made there will be blocked for cellular. You could try to import one from another continent or find someone that would be smart enough to unblock yours.

Good luck

"gagodin" <gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message news:PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Hi,
>
> I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with
> unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect to
> my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can
buy
> those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are
Radio-Shack.
> Thanks.
>
>

5830. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 03:40:28 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000910234028.06738.00000708@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 79859

>New systems are being built all of the time. Just because you haven't
>doesn't mean you won't. Besides there's very little difference in
>price between a Trunk Tracking scanner and a non-trunking scanner.

Yup, and just a bit of advice to everyone out there - don't go with Radio Shack.. look through ham radio catalogs - you can find scanners that have more features for the same or slightly more than Radio Shack prices!

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

5831. Re: What is triple conversion? Correction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 03:43:53 UTC
From: Frog207@Juno.com
Message-ID: <8FABDE8FFFrog207Junocom@209.60.252.12>
Source record: 79857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please accept my appologies for the erroneous posting, below. I make no excuses. The conversion of the RF signal to audio does NOT count as one of the conversion stages. The low IF is usually about 455 KHz. Please substitute an example of 455 KHz in place of where I specified "audio" in the below explanation of radio conversion schemes. Conversion of a received signal directly to audio is commonly referred to as "direct" conversion.

> Triple conversion means the received signal is converted three times
>before it becomes "audio" for you speaker. Example:
>
> Single conversion - the received signal is converted directly to
> audio
>by the receiver's detector circuit.
>
> Dual conversion - the received signal is converted to another radio
>signal once, then to audio... as in: the received signal of,. say 151
>MHz is converted to an IF frequency of 10.7 MHz. It is amplified and
>filter at 10.7 MHz then is converted (detected) to audio.
>
> Triple conversion - the received signal is converted to another
> radio
>signal twice before being converted to audio. Modern broadband
>receivers tend to do this now. Say, a frequency of 151 MHz is converted
>to a first IF of 60 MHz, it is amplifed and filtered at this new freq,
>then converted again to a second IF of 10.7 MHz, more amplification
>and filtering, then it is detected, (converted) to audio.
>
> Get the idea?
>
> I won't go into the reasons for doing this except to say performance
> for
>triple conversion receivers is better, at the cost of complexity and
>price.
>
> Ed
>
>
>
>

5832. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 03:57:01 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hKYu5.19226$JY2.2822313@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79860

Forget about Canada they will not export unblocked radios to the States (wimps).

There are two REALLY good companies in the UK,

Bandercom, http://www.bander.com/uk/scanners.htm
Javiation, http://www.javiation.co.uk/

both are equally good and reputable, and both will sell you European unblocked versions, as they are not breaking any laws, you are the "exporter" and assume all responsibilities.

I have ordered from both, received my shippments next day air by Fed Ex from Bandercom with no delay's at customs, received shipment second day UPS from Javiation, with no problems. Don't believe the horror stories about customs confiscating your shippment and hauling you off to jail, it just doesn't happen, unless you try exporting some serious quanity! Believe me when I say customs and our Government has a lot more importain things to worry about then unblocked scanners being purchased by us.

My advice is to call directly by phone to place your order. Bandercom supplies Fed Ex tracking numbers, it's kind of cool watching the progress of your shippment! Javiation doesn't use Fed Ex (pitty), but UPS is just as reliable. Pay the extra for next day or second day air! DO NOT have them ship by parcial as the postal service is the most unreliable, and also the riskiest.

Seriously, the odds are so much in your favor that nothing will happen! And if by chance it does (VERRY RARE), you get a full refund. No jail time.

Best of luck to ya!
Jim

gagodin <gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message
news:PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Hi,
>
> I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with
> unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect to
> my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can
buy
> those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are
Radio-Shack.
> Thanks.
>
>

5833. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:00:48 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <QNYu5.27099$Z4.970523@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79861

> Yup, and just a bit of advice to everyone out there - don't go with Radio
> Shack.. look through ham radio catalogs - you can find scanners that have
more
> features for the same or slightly more than Radio Shack prices!

Like the Pro-2052 that radio shack sells for $300 and can be purchased from
Grove Ent. for $253. They also have the pro-92 or 94 what ever it's called;
on sale also.

5834. Computer based scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:11:31 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8phm1j$ka2$0@63.162.247.234>
Source record: 79862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tomorrow, around noon, I am going to purchase a computer
based scanner, that will operate off of my laptops.

I prefer 1-1200 Mhz, AM, FM, SSB, etc.

I also prefer if one is still available that has the slicer
built in, or at least a baseband audio output available, or
easily accessable.

I would also prefer one with no gaps, I can provide documentation
to the company selling it to comply with the ECPA.

I am going to buy it based primarily on what YOU folks say is
the best. How about it?f

5835. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:22:05 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BC5DED.473CAD36@bctv.com>
Source record: 79855
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
>
> -.. --- -. - / -... . / .- / ... -- .- .-. - .- ... ... / .. - / .. ... -. -
> / .- ... / -.. .. ..-. ..-. .. -.-. ..- .-.. - / .- ... / .. - / ... ---
> ..- -. -.. ... .-.-.- / - .-. -.-- / .. - / -.-- --- ..- .-. ... . .-.. ..-.
> / -.-- --- ..- / -.-. .- -. / ... . . / - .... . / -.-. ..- .-. ...- .- -
> ..- .-. . / --- ..-. / - .... . / . .- .-. - .... --..-- / -.-- --- ..- / --
> ..- ... - / .... .- ...- . / --. .-. . .- - / ...- .. .. --- -.

Gee, I'll bet that only took you five minutes to encode that!

5836. Re: Computer based scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:23:17 UTC
From: "Manny \"The Salami\" DiCarlo" <whackem@hitman.org>
Message-ID: <V6Zu5.13959$I6.78741@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The AOR AR8200 Mark II (unblocked version) is your best bet.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:8phm1j$ka2$0@63.162.247.234...
>
> Tomorrow, around noon, I am going to purchase a computer
> based scanner, that will operate off of my laptops.
>
> I prefer 1-1200 Mhz, AM, FM, SSB, etc.
>
> I also prefer if one is still available that has the slicer
> built in, or at least a baseband audio output available, or
> easily accessable.
>
> I would also prefer one with no gaps, I can provide documentation
> to the company selling it to comply with the ECPA.
>
> I am going to buy it based primarily on what YOU folks say is
> the best. How about it?f

5837. Check this out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:25:18 UTC
From: "Manny \"The Salami\" DiCarlo" <whackem@hitman.org>
Message-ID: <O8Zu5.13963$I6.78912@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It looks like Pozilla Software is doing it again. This time, they are giving away a BC780xlt and some software! I don't know about you, but I am going to put my two entries in.

Pozilla Software's website is http://www.mghusa.com/pozilla/

This is cool. And their software isn't bad either.

Manny

5838. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:32:38 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BC6065.52A83E53@bctv.com>
Source record: 79856
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Set'em up Joe wrote:
>
> On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 20:17:04 -0500, CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>
> >Robert wrote:
> >> With nothing more than a
> >> reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
> >> miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!
> >>
> >Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
> >200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
> >metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
> >would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Whoa! Cecil, "rotation of the earth"??
> You're holding a 1X3 callsign and if it's not a vanity callsign it means you're
> at General class or above. You should know better.
>
> Even a small mirrored surface will appear bigger and brighter when
> reflecting adequate sunlight; similar to the way a flashlight shone at you in
> the dark appears much bigger than the actual lampbulb might suggest. As
> for someone reading it, they only have to *see* it's being sent-- Search and
> Rescue can then bring others in to read you, assuming extraction may be
> prolonged by difficult terrain,etc. Sure, if you couldn't be rescued post-haste
> you'd expect food,etc. to be dropped. But correctly sent (and read) it could
> allow other needed supplies as well. Imagine you had a companion with you
> who was diabetic: Along with food, insulin and syringes could be dropped as
> well that might save his life while you had to wait for extraction. There are
> many possible reasons-- imagine your own. Rotation of the earth is a non-issue
> as any plane low enough to read you would be more troubled by it's motion
> relative to you, rather than your rotation about the earth's axis.
>
> This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can
> be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for
> accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive
> and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.

I fly SAR. I haven't heard of Morse being used in a rescue since I was
a cadet in the early 1970s (and THEN it was a "tale from long ago"). In
fact, the only time I ever saw it used was in the movies.

VORs and VORTACS still ident with code, but even back in the mid 1960s they used a recorded voice. I still remember " . . .Pomona . . .V-O-R . . ." and wondering if the guy ever got bored.

Just for you newbies, that ident changed to ". . .Pomona . . .VORTAC . . ." in about 1968.

5839. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:40:11 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BC622B.27A8BC61@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79866
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Set'em up Joe wrote:
> This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can
> be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for
> accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive
> and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.

My point was (is) I've been using International Morse Code for 48 years and I
cannot read Morse code sent by a hand mirror reflecting the sun. How the heck
are you going to tell me you need help? (I'm not saying a CW transceiver might
not come in handy.)

> Could you easily invent (make-up) another? Sure, but it would lack CW's
> international history, acceptence and usage. It simply has no real competition.

Mirrors, telegraph sounders, and tapping on pipes in Morse code cannot be
read by the great majority of hams so it is virtually useless when used
in that manner. (Flashlights at night and CW transceivers might be useful.)

> ... it represents a
> body of knowledge which is valuable in it's own right. It's not something to be
> thrown into the ash-heap of history lightly.

Neither does it have supernatural powers. The Morse code that hams can read
depends on lengths of audio tones. You are simply not good enough with a
hand mirror to send dits and dahs to me across a couple of miles.

> Think about it. Andrew

Wow, words of wisdom from the hurricane himself. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5840. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:46:55 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BC549D.EC999758@some.net>
Source record: 79865
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Navy used to include a mirror in the aviator's survivor kit. It had an X in the center that you could see through. There was a procedure were you aimed the mirror at the sun and held up the other hand and projected the X on the palm of that hand. I don't remember the exact procedure but you somehow lined up the X in a certain way and then rotated the mirror so you could see the object you are trying to signal and the sunlight would be reflected directly at it. The rotation of the earth would have no effect as long as you kept the alignment unless you signaled until it got dark.

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 20:17:04 -0500, CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>
> >Robert wrote:
> >> With nothing more than a
> >> reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
> >> miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!
> >
> >Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
> >200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
> >metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
> >would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5841. Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:49:51 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39BC650C.7B0C0704@home.com>
Source record: 79867

Are there any general guidelines to frequencies used by police (such as those used to monitor interstate speeds) and EMS helis? Do the police planes use the same system as the ground units or do they use aircraft frequencies?

Same for the EMS helis. I live about 3 blocks from a hospital that has a heliport, which is listed at www.airnav.com, but no comm frequencies are listed, just VOR. Who would this heli communicate with when landing and taking off, the local airport?

Any info appreciated.

5842. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:51:50 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <GxZu5.37098$58.5108147@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79868
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nah,

http://www.soton.ac.uk/~scp93ch/morse/index.html?http://www.soton.ac.uk/~scp93ch/morse/jtrans.html

:-)

Robert

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:39BC5DED.473CAD36@bctv.com...
>
>
> Robert wrote:
> >
> > -.. --- -. - / -... . / .- / ... -- .- .-. - .- ... ... / .. - / .. ... -. -
> > / .- ... / -.. .. ..-. ..-. .. -.-. ..- .-.. - / .- ... / .. - / ... ---
> > ..- -. -.. ... .-.-.- / - .-. -.-- / .. - / -.-- --- ..- .-. ... . .-.. ..-.
> > / -.-- --- ..- / -.-. .- -. / ... . . / - .... . / -.-. ..- .-. ...- .- -
> > ..- .-. . / --- ..-. / - .... . / . .- .-. - .... --..-- / -.-- --- ..- / --
> > ..- ... - / .... .- ...- . / --. .-. . .- - / ...- .. .. --- -.
>
> Gee, I'll bet that only took you five minutes to encode that!
>

5843. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:52:02 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BC55CD.E2E9E4BA@some.net>
Source record: 79870
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Wood wrote:

>

If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on the hull.

>
>
> I fly SAR. I haven't heard of Morse being used in a rescue since I was
> a cadet in the early 1970s (and THEN it was a "tale from long ago"). In
> fact, the only time I ever saw it used was in the movies.
>
> VORs and VORTACS still ident with code, but even back in the mid 1960s
> they used a recorded voice. I still remember " . . .Pomona . . .V-O-R .
> . ." and wondering if the guy ever got bored.
>
> Just for you newbies, that ident changed to ". . .Pomona . . .VORTAC . .
> ." in about 1968.

5844. Re: Computer based scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 04:52:57 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JyZu5.1847$VR1.218168@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DITTO, nothing comes close or has as many features, configurations, and
capabilities as the AR 8200MKII

the software at http://www.butel.nl/ is considered the best.

Band coverage is .54Khz to 2054Mhz continues and unblocked

Specs http://www.srsab.se/pdf/ar82info.pdf

Reviews
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8200.htm
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/imp8200.htm
http://strongsignals.net/htm/imp8200ii.htm

good resource page http://home1.swipnet.se/%7Ew-15926/ar8200/

where to buy...
http://www.bander.com/uk/scanners.htm
http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Jim

Manny "The Salami" DiCarlo <whackem@hitman.org> wrote in message
news:V6Zu5.13959$I6.78741@news1.rdc1.az.home.com...
> The AOR AR8200 Mark II (unblocked version) is your best bet.
>
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:8phm1j$ka2$0@63.162.247.234...
> >
> > Tomorrow, around noon, I am going to purchase a computer
> > based scanner, that will operate off of my laptops.
> >
> > I prefer 1-1200 Mhz, AM, FM, SSB, etc.
> >
> > I also prefer if one is still available that has the slicer
> > built in, or at least a baseband audio output available, or
> > easily accessable.
> >
> > I would also prefer one with no gaps, I can provide documentation
> > to the company selling it to comply with the ECPA.
> >
> > I am going to buy it based primarily on what YOU folks say is
> > the best. How about it?f
>
>

5845. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:01:47 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BC673A.971BEF2F@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79872
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
> If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which
> could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they
> might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on
> the hull.

I've been a coded ham for 48 years and I cannot read code when it is sent
as taps, can you? How do you tell a 'C' from an 'EIE'?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5846. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:04:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39BC67DE.24478F0C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79871
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You should have typed "dahdidit dadadah dadit etc....."

Robert wrote:

> -.. --- -. - / -... . / .- / ... -- .- .-. - .- ... ... / .. - / .. ... -. -
> / .- ... / -.. .. ..-. ..-. .. -.-. ..- .-.. - / .- ... / .. - / ... ---
> ..- -. -.. ... .-.-.- / - .-. -.-- / .. - / -.-- --- ..- .-. ... . .-.. ..-.
> / -.-- --- ..- / -.-. .- -. / ... . . / - .... . / -.-. ..- .-. ...- .- -
> ..- .-. . / --- ..-. / - .... . / . .- .-. - .... --..-- / -.-- --- ..- / --
> ..- ... - / .... .- ...- . / --. .-. . .- - / ...- .. .. --- -.
>
> Robert
>
> CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:39BC3290.7D843A6@bigfoot.com...
> >
> > Wow, even if you were lucky enough to hook up with the one in
> > 200 who can read Morse code, how would you know how to aim the
> > metal and hold it perfectly aimed and still for a dash? How
> > would you compensate for the rotation of the earth?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> >

5847. Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:11:50 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qQZu5.20034$JY2.2858488@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79873

Lemme get this straight, and correct me if I'm wrong ok.

You bought a GRE with problems, and you didn't know it had problems, so like finding this NG occured afterwards, now you are not happy with it, and feel you been ripped off.

Now you want some one to give you the software so you don't have to pay for it.

Is that about right?

ROTFL

Trunked Radio <trunkedradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:oVzu5.76846$_s1.939169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
>
>
> OK Now before all you Software Police Warlords out there start bitching about
> just buy the damn thing, hear me out. I just spent $270 on a new scanner
> that seems to have its fair share of issues, and the way I've been hearing to
> correct some of these issues is to have the software. Well I've got to hand
> it to GRE and Radio Shack on this SCAM they are running. "Come buy our
> scanner, it has problems and now were going to charge you an additional $70
> so you can fix the problems" Geeze you'd swear they did this on purpose just
> to stuff it to all the scannists out there. So anyway, if someone out there
> would like to send a copy of it please do, and to all that want to piss,
> moan and complain about me asking for a copy go cry to someone who actually
> gives a damn!!!
>
>
> trunkedradio@yahoo.com

5848. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:12:08 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BC69A8.57FE643E@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79874
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:

```
> -.. --- -. - / -... . / .- / ... -- .- .-. - .- ... ... / .. - / .. ... -. -
> / .- ... / -.. .. ..-. ..-. .. -.-. ..- .-.. - / .- ... / .. - / ... ---
> ..- -. -.. ... .-.-.- / - .-. -.-- / .. - / -.-- --- ..- .-. ... . .-.. ..-.
> / -.-- --- ..- / -.-. .- -. / ... . . / - .... . / -.-. ..- .-. ...- .- -
> ..- .-. . / --- ..-. / - .... . / . .- .-. - .... --..-- / -.-- --- ..- / --
> ..- ... - / .... .- ...- . / --. .-. . .- - / ...- .. ... .. --- -.
```

This is very nice, Robert, but now re-do it without the dashes.
You cannot send a dash when you are tapping on a pipe. I contend
that most hams cannot read Morse code when it is made up of nothing
except dots, e.g. telegraph sounder code. I know I can't.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5849. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:20:08 UTC
From: Bob Ward <rcward@gte.net>
Message-ID: <tmqors8lk3aq311jfug3s64k16gcv2k6fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 79879
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 11 Sep 2000 00:14:50 GMT, fan@techie.com (Energizer) wrote:

>
>Actually the BEST security system is the one you install yourself and don't
>brag about. There are no loose ends to worry about (installers), there is no
>record or blueprint of the installation other than the one you control and
>consequently you then have piece of mind in knowing that the thieves don't
>know a damned thing about your security.....incidently if it's x-10
>compatibility there are great web sites dealing with everything x-10 from
>simple light timers to "voice control", just plug "X-10" into your search
>engine and enjoy what's out there..... and a lot cheaper than RadioShack....
>
>enjoy

How susceptible are X-10 systems to being hacked by someone plugging
an X-10 controller into a patio receptacle? Are the security codes
contained only within the controller unit? Since (as I understand it)
X-10 controllers have only 256 settings, it would seem rather easy to
confuse the system.

5850. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:31:33 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39bc6ba8.100648739@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79875
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 23:40:11 -0500, CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Set'em up Joe wrote:
>> This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can
>> be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for
>> accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive
>> and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.
>
>My point was (is) I've been using International Morse Code for 48 years and I
>cannot read Morse code sent by a hand mirror reflecting the sun. How the heck
>are you going to tell me you need help? (I'm not saying a CW transceiver might
>not come in handy.)
>
>> Could you easily invent (make-up) another? Sure, but it would lack CW's
>> international history, acceptence and usage. It simply has no real competition.
>
>Mirrors, telegraph sounders, and tapping on pipes in Morse code cannot be
>read by the great majority of hams so it is virtually useless when used
>in that manner. (Flashlights at night and CW transceivers might be useful.)
>
>> ... it represents a
>> body of knowledge which is valuable in it's own right. It's not something to be
>> thrown into the ash-heap of history lightly.
>
>Neither does it have supernatural powers. The Morse code that hams can read
>depends on lengths of audio tones. You are simply not good enough with a
>hand mirror to send dits and dahs to me across a couple of miles.
>
>> Think about it. Andrew
>
>Wow, words of wisdom from the hurricane himself. :-)

You really "blow " me away! <wink>. Maybe it's not that I'm not
good enough to send it, maybe you're just not good enough to read it.
Perhaps if I was sending it visually by reflecting the sunlight off a little
MFJ QRP-"Cub" you could read it better: That's a CW transciever,
I believe-- and mighty primitive to boot too. Heck, if the Russian
submariners had had them they coulda banged on the walls of the sub
with the little things.

73,

Andrew
KG4JBM/AG

>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5851. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:32:58 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8phqr3dbu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79876
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Did you have to prove you could shoe a horse before getting a driver's
license?

Try 3.898 or 14.313 and tell us again about the lack of idiots on
HF..........

David

Amateur Radio Station KD4NUE
NO CODE International # NCI-3241

Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net> wrote in message
news:39BC2393.BFB8C705@willinet.net...

> Yes, I agree. Ham isn't for everyone. But it's certainly not obsolete.
> I don't even know half the code, but I won't ever stop defending it.
Truth
> is, I'll probably never have a single QSO with CW, heck, I'll probably
never
> even buy a key, but I truely belive that CW is a great way to keep the
idiots
> off HF......
>
> Jim wrote:
>
> > I don't believe that Ham is any where near dead just because 20 year
olds
> > would rather play with computers over a radio.
> >
> > Perhaps when they get older they may take interest in the hobby.
Certainly
> > ham is not for everyone, neither is scanning, shortwave, or astronomy.
So
> > what?
> >
> > I will agree that CW is a total waste of time, and it was a good move to
> > make a no code license. As for the old timer hams still out there,
they
> > will never stop defending it, even though they never or next to never
use
> > it.

5852. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:33:14 UTC
From: icomfan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8phqqq$a2s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79877

In article <hKYu5.19226$JY2.2822313@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> Forget about Canada they will not export unblocked radios to the
States
> (wimps).
>

I object!

If you follow this link you'll see that at least one Canadian company
will ship to the US.

http://www.dlcwest.com/~dx.comm/scanners.htm

You'll notice that they put the onus for confiscated equipment on the
importer (buyer), but that's the way it should be. After all, it wasn't
some Canadian company that decided that listening to cell phones was a
bad thing!

I'm sure a quick search can find more companies who also ship to the US.

.....doug

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5853. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 05:38:23 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8phr61$2on$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79878
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have a point there, I don't think the group on 3.898 or 14.313 cross posts to newsgroups......

Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net> wrote in message news:39BC2243.C475E048@willinet.net...
> That's in ITU3, we're in ITU2. And personally, I think we need to keep the code
> requirement to keep the idiots off of HF (my definition of idiots is people who
> run 1500 watts, kerchunk repeaters, don't identify themselfs, and (ahumm),
> cross-post newsgroups :)).
>
> "You go girl!" wrote:
>
> > A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> > in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
>

5854. Re: Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 06:11:05 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <DA_u5.934$kB1.2330@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79881

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39BC650C.7B0C0704@home.com...
> Are there any general guidelines to frequencies used by police (such as
> those used to monitor interstate speeds) and EMS helis? Do the police
> planes use the same system as the ground units or do they use aircraft
> frequencies?
>
> Same for the EMS helis. I live about 3 blocks from a hospital that has
> a heliport, which is listed at www.airnav.com, but no comm frequencies
> are listed, just VOR. Who would this heli communicate with when landing
> and taking off, the local airport?
>
> Any info appreciated.
>
Here in Oklahoma, the OHP aircraft use the base-to-car half of the lowband freq pairs assigned to that particular district with whose units they are working. The base-to-car freq is also used for car-to-car transmissions, so that is the logical way to work. I understand they are adding UHF trunked capability to the aircraft, but I haven't heard that, yet.

MediFlight (and others) use the same frequency to talk to the hospital that the field medical personnel use, in other words, whatever ambulance to hospital channels the particular hospital is equipped with. So monitor the local EMS/paramedic's channels. The helo's around here also have the capability to call on the two primary police mutual aid channels, and the VHF fire mutual aid channel. This is how they do it in my area of central Oklahoma, but it may not hold true elsewhere.

As for aviation comms, that would depend largely on how controlled the airspace is around the hospital. Since medical helicopters operate VFR as a general rule, they would only need to make such transmissions as are required for the particular airspace they are operating in.

Hope this helps,
Mark K5MAR

5855. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 06:17:12 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39bc770d.103566498@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79880
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 11 Sep 2000 00:01:47 -0500, CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>JR wrote:
>> If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which
>> could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they
>> might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on
>> the hull.
>
>I've been a coded ham for 48 years and I cannot read code when it is sent
>as taps, can you? How do you tell a 'C' from an 'EIE'?

Actually, I wondered about this too. The answer would be to vary
the spacing between characters and/or the tone. For instance, if you're
banging with a pipe, Y ou bang the end of the pipe to send a dit and the
broad(length) of the pipe to send a dah. How would they receiver know
which is which? A simple S-O-S would be all the clue you needed.

More wisdom from the voice of the hurricaine,

Andrew
KG4JBM/AG

>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5856. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 06:32:19 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T%_u5.20041$JY2.2881446@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79882

Ok, ok, you got me, maybe there are some canadian companies with balls.

I was thinking of Durham http://www.durhamradio.com/scanners.htm

They refuse to ship to the states.

Stand corrected...
Jim

<icomfan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8phqqq$a2s$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <hKYu5.19226$JY2.2822313@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
> "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> > Forget about Canada they will not export unblocked radios to the
> States
> > (wimps).
> >
>
> I object!
>
> If you follow this link you'll see that at least one Canadian company
> will ship to the US.
>
> http://www.dlcwest.com/~dx.comm/scanners.htm
>
> You'll notice that they put the onus for confiscated equipment on the
> importer (buyer), but that's the way it should be. After all, it wasn't
> some Canadian company that decided that listening to cell phones was a
> bad thing!
>
> I'm sure a quick search can find more companies who also ship to the US.
>
> .....doug
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5857. Re: AT&T 900 Mhz HS-8500 Comments????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 08:41:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8pi5pf$2t1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79883

Yes can I ever! Do NOT buy it. I have as older ATT 9300 DSS with 40 mW power. I got the 8500 since it has much more power and had trouble..it many times will not connect to the base..it does the beaping thing at you. Sometimes it would conect after the next try, other times it just would not connect after many tries of hitting the button again and again! I took it back and got another one..same thing! I was disgusted and took it back and got a refund. I am glad I kept my trusty old 9300...the 8500 has better range but at least my 9300 works! I got my 2 bad 8500s at Costco too.

In article <39ADA147.A0D43BAC@ix.netcom.com>, Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I saw at Costco the AT&T HS-8500 900 Mhz cordless phone which claims to
> be spread spectrum.
>
> Does anyone have any experience with this phone that can comment on
> reliability, range, signal clarity, interference with other
> signals/phones, can it be "heard" on a standard scanner, etc.?
>
> I read sometime ago about a different phone that was spread spectrum
> from the base to the handset, however there was an analog signal from
> handset to base which could be picked up on a scanner.
>
> Any and all input welcome
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Jim
> *
>

5858. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 09:11:17 UTC
From: Barry Harris <barry.harris@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <39BCA17E.1537D310@nf.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 79886

I live in Canada and all Radio Shack scanners are cell Blocked. The Icom pcr 100 and the pcr 1000 are not blocked. I have both units hooked to a computer and it works great. The pcr 1000 has ssb and I use it to copy sstv, and wx fax.Radio World and Durham Radio sell to the USA. A local ham has a new pcr 100 with cell for $325 . 73 Barry vo1nc. (Also winradio in Canada is open to cell)

Brian Short wrote:

> I have no idea if they are blocked in Canada or not,
> but I sure like my PRO-2052.
>
> Mine is cellular blocked.
>
> The computer interface is very useful, though.
>
> Good Luck.
>
> In article <PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>,
> gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca says...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with
> > unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect to
> > my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can buy
> > those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are Radio-Shack.
> > Thanks.
>
>
> --
> mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
> --

5859. EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 09:13:25 UTC
From: Jeff Walsh <jeff.walsh@ttu.edu>
Message-ID: <8pi4rkkft1@news.acs.ttu.edu>
Source record: 79885

I have determined that the best scanner for monitoring my local (Lubbock, TX) EDACS system would be an Ericsson EDACS radio itself. Obtaining one is no problem, I have some leads for some MDX's, Orions, and Rangers. The problem I see is programming it. Various companies offer programming for these radios. What information do I need to have it programmed? I have the system ID, the LCN's, and all the talkgroup info. What else is needed? Any help or input would be appreciated. Again, this is for monitoring puropses ONLY; I'm not even going to have the mic hooked up in order to prevent people from transmitting on it. Thanks

Jeff

Jeff Walsh - KC5IBY -- ICQ# 63034000 AIM - fourx4hart Pager -- 1-877-665-6878 or 8776656878@skytel.com Smith & Wesson - The ultimate point and click user interface

5860. EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 09:14:40 UTC
From: Jeff Walsh <jeff.walsh@ttu.edu>
Message-ID: <8pi4tvkft2@news.acs.ttu.edu>
Source record: 79884

I have determined that the best scanner for monitoring my local (Lubbock, TX) EDACS system would be an Ericsson EDACS radio itself. Obtaining one is no problem, I have some leads for some MDX's, Orions, and Rangers. The problem I see is programming it. Various companies offer programming for these radios. What information do I need to have it programmed? I have the system ID, the LCN's, and all the talkgroup info. What else is needed? Any help or input would be appreciated. Again, this is for monitoring puropses ONLY; I'm not even going to have the mic hooked up in order to prevent people from transmitting on it. Thanks

Jeff

Jeff Walsh - KC5IBY -- ICQ# 63034000 AIM - fourx4hart Pager -- 1-877-665-6878 or 8776656878@skytel.com Smith & Wesson - The ultimate point and click user interface

5861. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 09:53:13 UTC
From: kenneth m cubilo <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <39bcab8b$0$56647$2dcedb17@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 79887
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

best not to get sucked into a discussion these lazy morons start on the usenets. fact is they are of the group that wants everything for nothing they were never taught to be responsible people.i for one hope cw testing stays in fact i hope they raise the speed to at least 15 wpm and go back to copy and sending only tests. as far as the garbage on some of the ham bands well.... lets look back seems a lot of it showed up when the testing requirements dropped to multiple guess exams. one can only wonder what kind of low life no coding would let it, hell just listen to 2 meter fm sometime for a preview

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> "You go girl!" wrote:
> >
> > [snip] Put a fully equipped Pentium-III
> > MMX system next to an Icom and what do
> > you think the majority of the young people
> > will choose to play with in the Year 2000
> > and beyond...??
>
> How about "both" as an answer. You seem to think it is a choice
> between one thing OR the other, computer OR radio. People can, and do,
> buy both. Computers and radio can exist side by side in the same room,
> just like it does for most of the people in this newsgroup. Computer
> users often have other interests outside of the internet, and there is
> no reason radio cannot be one of those interests.
>
> > Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD
> > and FORGOTTEN by 2020. [snip]
>
> Nonsense. People have been running around carrying signs declaring
> the end of the world for ham radio for years now, and it is not dead
> yet. Ham radio is evolving, adapting, and finding its place in the
> changing world. When it does, it will remain just as viable twenty
> years from now as it is was twenty years ago.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

5862. Another takeover

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 10:37:38 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <8picqr$4hh$1@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 79888

NZSCANNERS has changed from egroups.com to smartgroups.com
to avoid the adverts.Along with a lot of others.

Now our homepage at xoom.com has been taken over by
nbci.com.

The rate off changes is quite boggling.

What is going on.

Why the mad takeover scramble.

5863. FS Radio Shack pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 12:13:15 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pii8rcpi1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79891

In exelent working cond., clean--$190. shipped first class U.S. mail.

Gil

5864. Re: Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 12:15:36 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <rS3v5.1009$kB1.1274@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79890

Here in Ontario, Canada, the air ambulance use 129.275 for talking to dispatch, but they remain on the local airport's tower frequency when taking off or landing at the hospital.

Adam Kolari

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message news:39BC650C.7B0C0704@home.com...
> Are there any general guidelines to frequencies used by police (such as
> those used to monitor interstate speeds) and EMS helis? Do the police
> planes use the same system as the ground units or do they use aircraft
> frequencies?
>
> Same for the EMS helis. I live about 3 blocks from a hospital that has
> a heliport, which is listed at www.airnav.com, but no comm frequencies
> are listed, just VOR. Who would this heli communicate with when landing
> and taking off, the local airport?
>
> Any info appreciated.
>

5865. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 13:00:38 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BCD776.2BA230B8@bctv.com>
Source record: 79889
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> >
>
> If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which
> could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they
> might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on
> the hull.

Actually, no, they were not. That was either disinformation or an error
on the part of those who reported it.

> > I fly SAR. I haven't heard of Morse being used in a rescue since I was
> > a cadet in the early 1970s (and THEN it was a "tale from long ago"). In
> > fact, the only time I ever saw it used was in the movies.
> >
> > VORs and VORTACS still ident with code, but even back in the mid 1960s
> > they used a recorded voice. I still remember " . . .Pomona . . .V-O-R .
> > . ." and wondering if the guy ever got bored.
> >
> > Just for you newbies, that ident changed to ". . .Pomona . . .VORTAC . .
> > ." in about 1968.

5866. Lookin for Eglin AFB Test Range Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 13:19:44 UTC
From: Jack Mor <jackmor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QZ4v5.2903$%p2.145269@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79892

Next month I will be vacationing in Florida on St. George Island.
Some of the Elgin AFB night test flights are flown right off this island and
I would like to like to them.

Please send the list to me off list to jackmor@yahoo.com

Thanks,
Jack

5867. FT: Brand New IcomR2 for New BC245xlt...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 13:29:01 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911092901.08849.00000400@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79893

I have a brand new icomr2 only 2 weeks old and looking to trade for a new
bc245xlt that is n great working and cosmetic shape.
if interested please email me directly

gregg

5868. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 14:20:28 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <26qprsge0cj1ubvvick90ss2rheuepj5bd@4ax.com>
Source record: 79894

On 10 Sep 2000 14:38:43 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
wrote:

>Oh, boy! Really? You mean if we're really nice and follow all the rules, the
>government might actually give us permission to receive the radio transmissions
>emitted with the equipment which we have purchased as taxpayers? That's mighty
>kind of them!

Did you write letters to your representatives? Did you even call them
up to express your concern? NO? Then you get what you asked for.

I don't like to do this, but I have no choice but to give money to PAC
organizations which represent my causes. Some day, maybe we'll figure
out how to keep big money out of politics. But if we do, it will be
something unknown in the history of the world. I doubt that such a
thing will ever happen in my lifetime.

So if you don't at least tell your representatives what kind of
government you're looking for, you have only yourself to blame when
somebody else comes up with idiotic legislation which makes life
difficult for you.

We think so little of politicians, that it seems ridiculous to expect
them to read minds of constituents; TALK TO YOUR REPRESENTATIVES!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5869. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 14:34:14 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911103414.15032.00000593@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 79895

This seems too easy...Don't you need special permission from the Dir of the State Police? (other than this permit).
Oper911

5870. Re: RS Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 14:35:51 UTC
From: jaysonjfw@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8piqk4dps1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79896

I love my 94 also. However I wish there were some more people willing
to screw around with the 2+9 kepad functions to see what they do. I
know a few but would like some help finding what the others do.

In article <8p8360$ei4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com> wrote:
> I love my Pro-94! Sometime I'd like to compare it to a BC245, just to
> see the differences, but I have no complaints about the '94. It
follows
> the local EDACS trunking system great. It works on conventional
> frequencies (highway patrol, local hams, electric company, etc.) very
> well. It's funny that we don't hear more about it.
>
> In article <DYDt5.12769$vm4.2739677@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>,
> "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> > I'd just like to know if there are any owners of the Pro-94 :)
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5871. WATED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:13:55 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13940-39BCF6B3-14@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79804

looking for any blimp freq.

thanks

5872. Re: How Does HAM "work""?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:19:00 UTC
From: jmackey700@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911111900.19654.00000680@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79797

>> > >"markj" is a troll?
>
>I'm not a troll...
>I'm special!
>
>
>Markj
>
True and a real member of the van-ridding goon-squads.
The nerve to call anyone Corky.
Drag out your mirror Marky Boy.
Can you spell SOS.
Jerry

5873. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:24:26 UTC
From: jmackey700@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911112426.19654.00000681@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79798

>>YOU "block em". Use your filters. No filters ? Get a real news reader......
>
>Good advice.
>CAL's are a group of people who usally end up in the ZIT file.
>

The discipline to delete and not read may help filter things better.
Things appear calm now since Mackey (I'm not related) has declared a cease
fire.
Cheeks buddies persisted for awhile but finaaly followed John's good example.
Maybe the ng will be at peace.
jerry

5874. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:32:45 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911113245.17463.00000392@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79799

Some people want a net type cop so if you do contact the Cheek CAL's NCO societies.
Smacker forever
>YOU "block em". Use your filters. No filters ? Get a real news reader......
>Too lazy to set them up ? Then dont complain.... Too nosy to leave them on ?
>Same answer.......
>
>"Jeeper" <postaljeep@email.com> wrote in message
>news:39b072d8.25954531@news.iglobal.net...
>> Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
>> here? Jesus Christ! Somebody block 'em!
>

5875. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud - send abuse message to abuse-team@corp.home.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:35:27 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911113527.17463.00000393@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79800

He provided proof.
Smacker

5876. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:38:30 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911113830.17463.00000395@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79801

Net Cop Troll

5877. Re: It must be embarrassing for the CAL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:39:54 UTC
From: smacksie@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911113954.17463.00000398@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79802

Very good advice.

5878. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 15:42:53 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000911114253.01793.00000076@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79803

In the Navy we used a flashing device to communicate and CW at 30wpm.
Hamguy

5879. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:22:32 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39bd0656.4648086@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79805

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 15:57:30 -0700, markj <markj@cts.com> wrote:

>
>
>TooManyRadios wrote:
>>
>
>> None. They're interests lie in getting high, stealing cars, listening to
>> gangsta rap (crap) music, and bringing guns to school to shoot their classmates
>> with.
>
>So run with it...
>The local street racers around here use FRS and cellular to dodge the
>cops, ham radio would be perfect...all those repeaters are empty at 2am
>in the morning. Cough up some spectrum boys.
>
>While you are at it...change the rules to allow rebroadcasting of music
>and porno.
>
>markj
makj,
That is the best laugh that I have had in regards to the ham bands
in a long time.
Thanks man....
Neal
Anaheim,CA

5880. Scanner Buying (WAS: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:26:07 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000911122607.04569.00000547@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79806

>Like the Pro-2052 that radio shack sells for $300 and can be purchased from
>Grove Ent. for $253. They also have the pro-92 or 94 what ever it's called;
>on sale also.
>

I'm not talking about Pro-anything - I'm talking about Icom, etc... real radio manufacturers.. I've seen scanners that cover .5 mhz - 1200mhz+ for the same price as a trunk tracking or other high end scanner... and with the Radio Shack scanner of the same price, you end up with 29 - 900 (approximately) with breaks in coverage and not mutlimode... looking through the catalogs is definately worth it when shopping for scanners...

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

5881. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:26:41 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BCF89F.D2FDF912@some.net>
Source record: 79807
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, if it is space properly. Same as they use to read it when using telegraph sounders (clicks) instead of a tone.
tap, tap, space, tap, space, tap, tap, space, tap = C
tap, space, tap, tap, space, tap = EIE
Even Boy Scouts used to learn it this way.

CAM wrote:

> JR wrote:
> > If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which
> > could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they
> > might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on
> > the hull.
>
> I've been a coded ham for 48 years and I cannot read code when it is sent
> as taps, can you? How do you tell a 'C' from an 'EIE'?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5882. Re: Greater L.A. hilo 2 hilo freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:30:44 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39bd0888.5209750@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79808

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 07:40:05 GMT, erhu@pacbell.net wrote:

Thanks to all that responded to my inquiry. While I have tried to
thank each of you personally, I want to also thank you here and now
for your assistance.
Thanks Again,
Neal
Anaheim,CA

5883. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:32:45 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BCF9FE.8A77C6B6@some.net>
Source record: 79809
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal you needed help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the pattern which is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a call of distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the pipes repeated three times, ect.

CAM wrote:

> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> > This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can
> > be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for
> > accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive
> > and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.
>
> My point was (is) I've been using International Morse Code for 48 years and I
> cannot read Morse code sent by a hand mirror reflecting the sun. How the heck
> are you going to tell me you need help? (I'm not saying a CW transceiver might
> not come in handy.)
>
> > Could you easily invent (make-up) another? Sure, but it would lack CW's
> > international history, acceptence and usage. It simply has no real competition.
>
> Mirrors, telegraph sounders, and tapping on pipes in Morse code cannot be
> read by the great majority of hams so it is virtually useless when used
> in that manner. (Flashlights at night and CW transceivers might be useful.)
>
> > ... it represents a
> > body of knowledge which is valuable in it's own right. It's not something to be
> > thrown into the ash-heap of history lightly.
>
> Neither does it have supernatural powers. The Morse code that hams can read
> depends on lengths of audio tones. You are simply not good enough with a
> hand mirror to send dits and dahs to me across a couple of miles.
>
> > Think about it. Andrew
>
> Wow, words of wisdom from the hurricane himself. :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5884. Re: scanner with computer interface + cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:37:11 UTC
From: "Manny \"The Salami\" DiCarlo" <whackem@hitman.org>
Message-ID: <XS7v5.18786$I6.84455@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79810

Uniden makes an international version of the BC-245 that is unblocked.
Might want to check the international distributors and see if they have the
UBC-245. It is computer controlled, a trunk tracker, and IT IS UNBLOCKED!!!!

Manny

"Brian Short" <k7on@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.142650978d911d5f9896b3@news.earthlink.net...
>
> I have no idea if they are blocked in Canada or not,
> but I sure like my PRO-2052.
>
> Mine is cellular blocked.
>
> The computer interface is very useful, though.
>
> Good Luck.
>
> In article <PGWu5.652$gK3.16415@sodalite.nbnet.nb.ca>,
> gagodin@nbnet.nb.ca says...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I presently have a PRO-2037 scanner. I'm looking to buy a new one, with
> > unblocked cellular frequencies and also a computer interface to connect
to
> > my computer. Any suggestions?? And do you know where in Canada I can
buy
> > those thype of scanners? I live in NB and the only stores are
Radio-Shack.
> > Thanks.
>
>
> --
> mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
> --

5885. Re: WINRADIO for Mac???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 16:50:14 UTC
From: "Manny \"The Salami\" DiCarlo" <whackem@hitman.org>
Message-ID: <a38v5.18819$l6.84588@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79811

Yeah, but then wouldn't it become MACRADIO instead of WINRADIO???
"Kim and Ted Moran" <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote in message
news:Bo6u5.211$y6.65107@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I hear a WINRADIO system for Mac was demo'ed at Dayton. Anyone see it? Any
> details? I'd settle for a bad rumor... Internal? External? Specs?
Software? See
> the radio rumors section at:
>
> www.grove-ent.com
>
> (It's coming!?!?)

5886. F.S.Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt Scanner W/full Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 17:04:09 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pj39ponp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79812

I have Bc890xlt base scanner 200 ch.with Ctcss tone board and full cell.at the 30 step.This scanner is in mint condition and comes in the original box with manual,antenna and power cube.It has the ,weather alert warning,counter,auto sort,auto store,dimmer light switch,plus many more features to many to list.Will send you picture if your interested or other info.$160.00 shipped with tracking number and ins.I well not go down on this price as this price is a great buy for such a mint scanner.Would consider trades of Pro2004,2005,2006 or othere interesting trade.But would prefer cash.Email me at wilfkelly@hiome.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5887. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 17:24:41 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tz8v5.3405$VR1.325772@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79813
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

kenneth m cubilo <kce@freeway.net> wrote in message
news:39bcab8b$0$56647$2dcedb17@news.voyager.net...
> best not to get sucked into a discussion these lazy morons start on the
> usenets.

what does lazy have to do with it??? CW does NOT indicated that you are
lazy or not, or what level of inteligence you might be at. The vast
majority of Hams agree that CW went out with the dinosaurs.

fact is they are of the group that wants everything for nothing
> they were never taught to be responsible people.

you prove by this statement that CW does not garentee to keep low lifes from
getting licenses! This six-pack mentality is only shared by racist old
farts and trailer park trash.

i for one hope cw
> testing stays in fact i hope they raise the speed to at least 15 wpm and
> go back to copy and sending only tests.

I for one hope they force senior citizens to take their motor vehicle test
every month!

as far as the garbage on some of
> the ham bands well.... lets look back seems a lot of it showed up when
> the testing requirements dropped to multiple guess exams. one can only
> wonder what kind of low life no coding would let it, hell just listen to
> 2 meter fm sometime for a preview

At least it won't put you to sleep like listening to a couple of verry old
senile hams on say the 6meter or 70cm bands!

>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > "You go girl!" wrote:
> > >
> > > [snip] Put a fully equipped Pentium-III
> > > MMX system next to an Icom and what do
> > > you think the majority of the young people
> > > will choose to play with in the Year 2000
> > > and beyond...??
> >
> > How about "both" as an answer. You seem to think it is a choice
> > between one thing OR the other, computer OR radio. People can, and do,

> > buy both. Computers and radio can exist side by side in the same room,
> > just like it does for most of the people in this newsgroup. Computer
> > users often have other interests outside of the internet, and there is
> > no reason radio cannot be one of those interests.
> >
> > > Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD
> > > and FORGOTTEN by 2020. [snip]
> >
> > Nonsense. People have been running around carrying signs declaring
> > the end of the world for ham radio for years now, and it is not dead
> > yet. Ham radio is evolving, adapting, and finding its place in the
> > changing world. When it does, it will remain just as viable twenty
> > years from now as it is was twenty years ago.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net

5888. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 17:59:13 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BD1D71.A7264615@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79814
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Set'em up Joe wrote:
> Actually, I wondered about this too. The answer would be to vary
> the spacing between characters and/or the tone. For instance, if you're
> banging with a pipe, Y ou bang the end of the pipe to send a dit and the
> broad(length) of the pipe to send a dah. How would they receiver know
> which is which? A simple S-O-S would be all the clue you needed.

I still doubt that I would be able to read it. And exactly how would
the dot/dash function be performed with a mirror?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5889. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 18:01:29 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BD1DF9.B3EAF417@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79815
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
> Yes, if it is space properly. Same as they use to read it when using telegraph sounders
> (clicks) instead of a tone.
> tap, tap, space, tap, space, tap, tap, space, tap = C
> tap, space, tap, tap, space, tap = EIE
> Even Boy Scouts used to learn it this way.

I still contend that most hams cannot read telegraph Morse code
including myself. All that tapping would sound like a foreign
language compared to dit/dah tones.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5890. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 18:09:21 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BD1FD1.484E417F@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79816
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Set'em up Joe wrote:
> You really "blow " me away! <wink>. Maybe it's not that I'm not
> good enough to send it, maybe you're just not good enough to read it.

And if your life depends on me being good enough to read it you can
probably kiss your donkey goodby.

> Perhaps if I was sending it visually by reflecting the sunlight off a little
> MFJ QRP-"Cub" you could read it better: That's a CW transciever,

No doubt a CW transceiver would be much much better than a mirror
assuming someone who knows CW hears you. I would much rather trust
an unlocked transceiver capable of transmitting CW, SSB, AM, or FM
on all frequencies including the local emergency frequencies.

I just don't believe the average ham can read audio telegraph code
much less visual telegraph code.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5891. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 18:14:19 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BD20FB.256D05A5@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79817
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
> Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal you needed
> help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the pattern which
> is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a call of
> distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the pipes repeated
> three times, ect.

So you are depending on someone knowing the above and recognizing that
it is a distress signal? I sure would hate for my life to depend upon
such. My unlocked transceiver will run indefinitely on batteries charged
by solar panels. And yes, I have spares of everything. I don't expect
ever to need CW. IMO, anyone who relies solely on CW to save his life
needs to have his life insurance paid up.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5892. Where's W. PA Frequency Page?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 19:02:54 UTC
From: "William B. Stuart" <bsems+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <39BD2C5D.C6FF8B4E@pitt.edu>
Source record: 79818

Any idea what happened to R. E. Christian's Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page? A good site with lots of info seems to have vanished,

5893. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 19:03:24 UTC
From: mbz07 <mbz07@breathemail.net>
Message-ID: <IY9v5.864$uJ3.39953@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 79819
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have to know how to shoe a horse, the horse is the only way you'll travel very far when conditions are so bad that there is no petrol to run the car...

David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message news:8phqr3dbu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Did you have to prove you could shoe a horse before getting a driver's
> license?
>
> Try 3.898 or 14.313 and tell us again about the lack of idiots on
> HF...........
>
> David
>
> Amateur Radio Station KD4NUE
> NO CODE International # NCI-3241
>
>
>
> Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net> wrote in message
> news:39BC2393.BFB8C705@willinet.net...
>
> > Yes, I agree. Ham isn't for everyone. But it's certainly not obsolete.
> > I don't even know half the code, but I won't ever stop defending it.
> Truth
> > is, I'll probably never have a single QSO with CW, heck, I'll probably
> never
> > even buy a key, but I truely belive that CW is a great way to keep the
> idiots
> > off HF......
> >
> > Jim wrote:
> >
> > > I don't believe that Ham is any where near dead just because 20 year
> olds
> > > would rather play with computers over a radio.
> > >
> > > Perhaps when they get older they may take interest in the hobby.
> Certainly
> > > ham is not for everyone, neither is scanning, shortwave, or astronomy.
> So
> > > what?
> > >

> > > I will agree that CW is a total waste of time, and it was a good move
to
> > > make a no code license. As for the old timer hams still out there,
> they
> > > will never stop defending it, even though they never or next to never
> use
> > > it.
>
>
>

5894. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 19:15:09 UTC
From: mbz07 <mbz07@breathemail.net>
Message-ID: <H7av5.866$uJ3.39755@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 79820
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

DONT BE A SMART ASS IT ISNT AS DIFFICULT AS IT SOUNDS.
TRY IT YOURSELF
YOU CAN SEE THE CURVATURE OF THE EARTH
YOU MUST HAVE GREAT VISION

5895. WANTED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 19:43:00 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22166-39BD35C4-5@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79822

looking for any blimp freq.

5896. Re: New Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 20:04:14 UTC
From: Robin Banks <mstanton@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1Wav5.2576$gq.37879@read1.centurytel.net>
Source record: 79821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>really? I used a spell check. So what did you find spelled wrong?

Just "dangerous"...not enough to be called on I wouldn't think...Must be
the resident spelling troll...

Mike

>
>> > to follow the progress of perhaps the most dangerious scanner page on
>the
>> > web.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

5897. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 21:11:30 UTC
From: kenneth m cubilo <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <39bd4a82$0$62625$2c3ea6f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 79823
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

see if you guys put as much effort into learning the code as pissing and
moaning you would have it all behind you. sorry kid thats the breaks. i
would rather see ham radio disappear then slowly watch it go to hell for
the sake of a few whiners and mfgrs that want to put a few extra bucks
in their ass pockets.as far as old fart while most of us giving the
resistance to your little movement are in our 40's so you will have to
put up with us for quite awhile

David Little wrote:
>
> Did you have to prove you could shoe a horse before getting a driver's
> license?
>
> Try 3.898 or 14.313 and tell us again about the lack of idiots on
> HF...........
>
> David
>
> Amateur Radio Station KD4NUE
> NO CODE International # NCI-3241
>
> Daniel Friedrichs <dan@willinet.net> wrote in message
> news:39BC2393.BFB8C705@willinet.net...
>
> > Yes, I agree. Ham isn't for everyone. But it's certainly not obsolete.
> > I don't even know half the code, but I won't ever stop defending it.
> Truth
> > is, I'll probably never have a single QSO with CW, heck, I'll probably
> never
> > even buy a key, but I truely belive that CW is a great way to keep the
> idiots
> > off HF......
> >
> > Jim wrote:
> >
> > > I don't believe that Ham is any where near dead just because 20 year
> olds
> > > would rather play with computers over a radio.
> > >
> > > Perhaps when they get older they may take interest in the hobby.
> Certainly
> > > ham is not for everyone, neither is scanning, shortwave, or astronomy.
> So

> > > what?
> > >
> > > I will agree that CW is a total waste of time, and it was a good move to
> > > make a no code license. As for the old timer hams still out there,
> they
> > > will never stop defending it, even though they never or next to never
> use
> > > it.

5898. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 21:32:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39BD4F7D.1EB0@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 79824
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith,
If I had to guess, I'd bet your SAR activities are with Civil Air
Patrol? Next guess would be Coast Guard, or USAF.

73
Jerry

5899. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 22:16:03 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BD4A80.56C0518@some.net>
Source record: 79827
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It would be slow and difficult and rather impractical but not impossible to make the flashes one for dit, two quick flashes for dah, but when you are in distress and all you want to do is attract attention you just want a signal of some kind. SOS comes in threes, three letters, three dits and three dahs so three flashes of a mirror, three gunshots, ect. mean I need help.

CAM wrote:

> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> > Actually, I wondered about this too. The answer would be to vary
> > the spacing between characters and/or the tone. For instance, if you're
> > banging with a pipe, Y ou bang the end of the pipe to send a dit and the
> > broad(length) of the pipe to send a dah. How would they receiver know
> > which is which? A simple S-O-S would be all the clue you needed.
>
> I still doubt that I would be able to read it. And exactly how would
> the dot/dash function be performed with a mirror?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5900. Re: F.S.Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt Scanner W/full Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 22:44:51 UTC
From: kb9wit <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <39BD6130.38DDB6DA@owc.net>
Source record: 79828

do you still have this scanner?i am interested in buying it,also would like
a pic
thanks jack

wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have Bc890xlt base scanner 200 ch.with Ctcss tone board and full
> cell.at the 30 step.This scanner is in mint condition and comes in the
> original box with manual,antenna and power cube.It has the ,weather
> alert warning,counter,auto sort,auto store,dimmer light switch,plus
> many more features to many to list.Will send you picture if your
> interested or other info.$160.00 shipped with tracking number and ins.I
> well not go down on this price as this price is a great buy for such a
> mint scanner.Would consider trades of Pro2004,2005,2006 or othere
> interesting trade.But would prefer cash.Email me at wilfkelly@hiome.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5901. Re: Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 22:56:46 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8pjntr$2ab@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 79829

If there is no tower at your airport then look for UNICOM frequencies in the airband. These are just for planes to talk to other planes in the general area to say " I'll be flying around at 5000 ft over here, don't crash into me..." We have some local small airports that use 122.8 and 122.9.

That said, PD helos will likely be on PD frequencies to radio to the cars. EMS Helos might show up in the business band since their dispatch center is often a private company who contracts to the PD/EMS/Hospital etc.

Brett - N7OLQ

"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
news:39BC650C.7B0C0704@home.com...
> Are there any general guidelines to frequencies used by police (such as
> those used to monitor interstate speeds) and EMS helis? Do the police
> planes use the same system as the ground units or do they use aircraft
> frequencies?
>
> Same for the EMS helis. I live about 3 blocks from a hospital that has
> a heliport, which is listed at www.airnav.com, but no comm frequencies
> are listed, just VOR. Who would this heli communicate with when landing
> and taking off, the local airport?
>
> Any info appreciated.
>

5902. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 23:16:18 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BD67C2.A6EDC1C1@bctv.com>
Source record: 79825
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

kenneth m cubilo wrote:
>
> see if you guys put as much effort into learning the code as pissing and
> moaning you would have it all behind you.

Yep. So what? I haven't used the code ONCE in 20 years of hamming. It
doesn't interest me any more than does becoming a Druid.

> sorry kid thats the breaks. i would rather see ham radio disappear

Then you are a twit, and worth no more of my time.

Welcome to the twitlist. You were made for each other.

plonk

5903. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 23:17:49 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BD681D.B5D8E336@bctv.com>
Source record: 79826
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Keith,
> If I had to guess, I'd bet your SAR activities are with Civil Air
> Patrol? Next guess would be Coast Guard, or USAF.

You got it in one. However, I've got SAR and disaster ops experience
with a number of agencies, including USAF.

5904. Numbers Entering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 23:22:54 UTC
From: charlie77@home.com
Message-ID: <39bd68ae.36137891@news>
Source record: 79830

I have an old Bearcat 800xlt scanner that i havent used for many years and i cant find my owner's manual. Can anyone tell me how to enter channel numbers ?

Thank you

charlie

5905. Re: F.S.Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt Scanner SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 23:31:18 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8pjq06$l99$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79832

In article <39BD6130.38DDB6DA@owc.net>,
kb9wit <kb9wit@owc.net> wrote:SOLD
> do you still have this scanner?i am interested in buying it,also
would like
> a pic
> thanks jack
>
> wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD Thanks
>
> > I have Bc890xlt base scanner 200 ch.with Ctcss tone board and full
> > cell.at the 30 step.This scanner is in mint condition and comes in
the
> > original box with manual,antenna and power cube.It has the ,weather
> > alert warning,counter,auto sort,auto store,dimmer light switch,plus
> > many more features to many to list.Will send you picture if your
> > interested or other info.$160.00 shipped with tracking number and
ins.I
> > well not go down on this price as this price is a great buy for
such a
> > mint scanner.Would consider trades of Pro2004,2005,2006 or othere
> > interesting trade.But would prefer cash.Email me at
wilfkelly@hiome.com
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> SOLD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5906. Re: Where's W. PA Frequency Page?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-11 23:37:28 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <k1ev5.977$w46.4055@read1>
Source record: 79831

Like so many other's leaving the hobby, probably history. It's unfortunate
but sometimes that's the way it goes. Post a Freq. Request here and maybe
someone will have it all for you.

Jason Morris, EMT-I, A+
President
MedicDispatch.com

William B. Stuart wrote in message <39BD2C5D.C6FF8B4E@pitt.edu>...
>Any idea what happened to R. E. Christian's Western Pennsylvania Scanner
>Frequency Page? A good site with lots of info seems to have vanished,
>

5907. F.S. Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt W/Full Cell.&Tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 00:19:08 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pjsp8ont1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79834

The scanner is still for sale, sorry about that, but the last guy couldn,t make up his mind.Check earlier message for decription and price of this mint scanner.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5908. Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 00:27:56 UTC
From: RTSFW <stratfrd@blkbox.com>
Message-ID: <22uqrs04p9gqpjo1t8r0cikr66rb8r9bgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 79833

You Piss go buy it. the software is worth the $$..

On 10 Sep 2000 07:47:57 GMT, labtech1@aol.com.nospam (Labtech1) wrote:

>>Subject: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!
>
>>From: trunkedradio@yahoo.com (Trunked Radio)
>
>> if someone out there
>>would like to send a copy of it please do, and to all that want to piss,
>>moan and complain about me asking for a copy go cry to someone who actually
>>gives a damn!!!
>
>Hey... we got to hear you piss & moan about having to BUY the software...
>like any of us gave a damn.
>
>The truth of the matter is.... most of the software out there isn't written
>by GRE or Radioshack... They don't get one thin dime from it. They develop the
>hardware and leave it open (well sort of) for others to create software. The
>guy that writes this stuff deserves any of the money he gets.... if it was SO
>EASY... everyone would just write their own... but it isn't...
>there is many man hours writing, testing, re-writing ... testing... you get
>the idea.
>
>The whole "SCAM" idea you posted was a complete waste of time to write and
>read. since any of us with software know... most was written by third party
>developers.
>
>So why should someone spend $70 for the software and give it to you for free?
>There is enough DEMO versions out there if you REALLY want to TRY it before
>buying....
>
>You know... you made the choice of what scanner to buy and to get into this
>hobby in the first place.... then WE get to hear YOU whine about your $270
>scanner and some $70 software...
>$340 !!! gee.. you got off REAL CHEAP
>
>
>
>labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5909. Re: REQ:BC 760XLT scanner Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 00:55:20 UTC
From: Aaron Peterson <aaronjpeterson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y9fv5.139647$eS6.1833832@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 79835

I have one. What do you want to know.
To program CTCSS, first turn CTCSS on (with the switch on the bottom of the scanner). If you don't have the switch on the bottom of the scanner, you don't have the CTCSS option board installed.
Now go to the channel you want to program
Punch in the freq. then hit Enter. Now punch in the CTCSS Frequency. (If you punch in 0 (zero) it will turn off the CTCSS for that channel)

Did you know that you could step through the frequencies and lock out ones that aren't good in the service search mode? While it is service searching, hit the Priority button and the one to the right of it (sorry scanner is in my car) to step forwards and backwards through the channels that have been pre-programmed into the service search buttons. Hit the lockout button to lock the frequencies out. If you hold down the lockout button for three seconds, they will all unlock. I went through and locked out all of the frequencies except for the local channels, so when I hit the Fire button, it scans about a dozen local channels. Neat trick.

Yes, there is a way to reset the scanner, but I forget what it was.

Great scanner. Love the CTCSS (wish it had DPL, though)

"ScaDude347" <bodym@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:esfr5.30265$i5.1370963@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> Help...Bought used, and would like to know how to program,use ctcss tone
> board ,clear memory ...ect.
>
>
>
>

5910. New Scanner Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 02:00:31 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <r7gv5.981$w46.4073@read1>
Source record: 79836

We want to invite all active scanner buffs to MedicDispatch.com. At MedicDispatch.com you will find Live Dispatch channels currently at 4 stations but growing every day.

We are currently in the process of redeveloping our site to encompass all Scanner News, Frequencies around Canada and the US and of course the latest in news.

Our site is geared towards EMS, Police and Fire scanner enthusiasts, but we invite all who are interested to give our site a try. It is currently very under developed, however it will grow every day.

Visit us today @ www.medicdispatch.com

Thank you and we look forward to your visit.

Jason Morris
President
MedicDispatch.com
jmorris@medicdispatch.com

5911. Good Guy Alert!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 02:44:49 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pk5q4aql1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79838

Have just completed a transaction with Jim Chesney in Ontario Canada.
Transaction was smooth and clean! Item surpassed my expectations!!

I recommend Jim to the very max !!!!

J. Harley Davidson

5912. Re: Good Guy Alert!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 03:22:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <dkhv5.40721$QW4.496518@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79840

I'll second that...Jim is a great guy!

Bill Crocker

"Joe Davidson" <joeharley@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8pk5q4aql1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Have just completed a transaction with Jim Chesney in Ontario Canada.
> Transaction was smooth and clean! Item surpassed my expectations!!
>
> I recommend Jim to the very max !!!!
>
> J. Harley Davidson
>
>

5913. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 03:27:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39BDA295.7745@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 79839
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith,

We are of a "fraternity". I've got 36 years in NC Wg.

73

Jerry
>
> You got it in one. However, I've got SAR and disaster ops experience
> with a number of agencies, including USAF.

5914. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 03:47:45 UTC
From: John Jackson <jjackso3@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <39BD9D8F.487C0EB4@san.rr.com>
Source record: 79841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

That criminal bastard should be shot! So should those who spread this drival.

larryn@ozemail.com.au wrote:

> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 22:10:43 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> Nixon) wrote:
>
> >Michael.Portillo@the.lunatic.right.ac.uk (Jim) wrote:
> >
> >>On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 04:00:28 GMT, gunner@cyberg8t.com (Gunner) wrote:
> >>
> >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> >>>>:>in the CW band.
> >>
> >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> >
> >Are you just being deliberately dense, or do you not understand
> >ciphers?
>
> 1==> Nothing new about these stations that broadcast cipher groups.
> As most veteran SWLs can tell you, they have been operating for
> decades.
> >>
> >>>>Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
> >>>>destroyed.
> >>
> >>Good for the cops. More power to their arms!
> >>
> >>> I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
> >>>>runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
> >>>>vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
> >>>>So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
> >>>>and cell).
>
> 2==> In New South Wales, am pretty sure that it is illegal to transmit
> any information that obstructs police activities. For instance,
> warning other road users of a speed trap, portable truck weighing
> setup, random breath test unit, etc.
>
> >>
> >>>What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >>>of years ago..

> >>
> >>Something about legal frequencies and power, perhaps.....?
>
> 3==> Licensing requirements dropped for both HF and UHF citizens band
> services some years ago in Australia, but users still have to use a
> set that meets adequate technical specs, sticks to original frequency
> allocations and power levels.
>
> ï¿½larryn
> [misc.survivalism]

5915. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 03:56:08 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <xJhv5.8734$%l.246384@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79842
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would like to add my 2 cents to ham radio. I believe there are a lot of good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it was not for all that red tape. I got into CB because all I had to do was go to Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up. Also I do believe it would invite trouble makers like on CB if ham did the same. But also kind people as well, and you will have to take the good with the bad. If I'm having trouble talking to somone because anouther is being rude all I have to do is cut off the rig and give him a call on the phone if its important. Or I can go watch TV or play on the computer. I bet if people had to take a bunch of tests and fill out all kinds of paper work and then wait several weeks to get a permit to talk on the telephone, very few would have them. Anouther thing I would like to say is I read like in the post below about kids not being interested. A lot of complaints I hear is about kids playing with radios. Not on this NG but out in public and on the air waves.

P.S this post is not directed to the post below but to all posts on the subject.

"
> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>

5916. Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 04:27:37 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20000912002737.10925.00001962@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 79843

>Subject: Re: GRE Scanner Data Manager Help!

>From: RTSFW stratfrd@blkbox.com

>You Piss go buy it. the software is worth the $$..

I think you have me confused with the LEECH that wanted it for free....

Thanks.... but I bought mine about $500 worth..... and worth every penny
!!!!!

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5917. The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 05:01:02 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My dream scanner would be as follows.

*Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz

*Large alpha numerical display

*Bright evenly lit backlight

*All available Trunk systems

*Ability to scan conventional and trunk

*Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium

*Decent audio output

*Band Scope

*Computer interface

*Attenuator, NB, AFC

*1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks, etc.), and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1, 50 channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)

*Debugging feature

*All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler

*Additional 40 banks for search mode

*Reaction Tune capability for Scout

* 2 VFO's

*A REAL TUNING KNOB!

*Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features

*User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads

*Signal Strength Meter bar codes

*Backlit keys

*Useful Manual

Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,

Pro-92A!!
Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US

Oh well, back to the real world.
Jim

5918. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 05:06:23 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BDAAA6.437DFB8@some.net>
Source record: 79845
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:

> I would like to add my 2 cents to ham radio. I believe there are a lot of
> good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it was
> not for all that red tape. I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.

In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain something,
you want it given to you.

5919. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 06:10:29 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDC8D5.357BC9C3@cts.com>
Source record: 79846
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> This leads to the real value of CW: It's the only "language" that can
> be sent wireless, sound, light, or other uncoventional methods that allows for
> accurate, remote transmission and reception of data in even the most primitive
> and hostile enviorments and almost anywhere on earth.

It's moot since very few people know code.

If you can save your own life and a bunch of other hams,that's cool.

But please don't get upset if I decode not to be rescued. The thought
of spending the rest of my days in a fallout shelter...with a bunch of
procode hams is very disturbing to me.

Markj

tic dot
dat toc.........

>
> Could you easily invent (make-up) another? Sure, but it would lack CW's
> international history, acceptence and usage. It simply has no real competition.
> Is it really necessary to pass a Morse test to use your VOX-capable ICOM for
> ragchewing with your buddies on the local net? Of course not. But like a lot of
> other things, from Boy Scout manuals to Mom's knitting skills, it represents a
> body of knowledge which is valuable in it's own right. It's not something to be
> thrown into the ash-heap of history lightly.
>
> In 1987, my wife and I spent 5 days in a 3 room real-estate office with
> 30 other people in Cancun,Mex. when hurricaine Gilbert hit. The head of the NWS
> at that time classified Gilbert as category 5 (the worst) but said, "That's
> only because we don't have anything greater than 5 to put it into." 185+mph
> winds make life real simple, real fast. No, we weren't sending morse<grin>.
> If you haven't lived through nature as a catastrophe you can have little idea of
> just how fast all these tech. toys we "need" can just melt away. If I ever again
> have to worry about survival you can be sure I'll bring a radio before I bring a
> computer and my old Boy Scout manual rather than " Word 5.0 for Dummies", etc.
> Think about it.
>
> Respectfully,
>
> Andrew
> KG4JBM/AG

5920. Re: F.S. Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt W/Full Cell.&Tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 06:11:39 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eO2XrAIHAHA.324@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79747

what...too damn lazy to give people
service as to price and other necessities
for your unwillingness to make their lives
happy, happy. we are not part of your
problem...so post the damn price and all
the other shit so we can all have a good night
sleep in order to decide on buying the
damn thing you claim to have.

bill

<wilf509940@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8pjsp8ont1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The scanner is still for sale, sorry about that, but the last guy
> couldn,t make up his mind.Check earlier message for decription and
> price of this mint scanner.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5921. Re: Help- Bearcat BC-300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 06:23:10 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uIANHHIHAHA.66@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79748

this is the creme de creme scanner of it's day. This was
the one. It still is if working. but these units was told go
bad afer about a two year period. then uniden no longer
fixes them am told. I've got a 300 just laying in the
RadioDefunctBox and there with other relics of its day.

as for manual....grove enterprises has them. they sell
manuals.

i've got mine, but have no idea which box the manual
is in....

bill

"N1qvq" <n1qvq@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000910063128.13184.00000678@ng-cm1.aol.com...
> Hello, I purchased a Bercat scanner model BC-300 at a tag/yard sale
yesterday.
> It came with no manual. Does someone a\have a manul or photo copy of one
> available? If you have this radio what is your opinion of it and what
ranges
> does it cover?
>
> Thank you
>
> Bill

5922. Re: Lookin for Eglin AFB Test Range Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 06:55:37 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <Jrkv5.29291$Z4.1005690@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79749

hope this helps. some of these might be a dupe from the previouse email but this is the complete list.

-= Eglin =-

30.0250 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
30.0750 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
30.1500 USAF Training
30.1750 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
30.2000 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
32.3300 USAF Range Support
32.3500 USAF Training
32.4300 USAF Miscellaneous Net
32.4500 USAF EOD Team Operations
32.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
32.9500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
34.5000 USA Miscellaneous Net
34.6000 USAF Rescue/Recovery Operations
34.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
36.2000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
36.5500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
36.6000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
36.7000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
36.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
36.7900 USAF Training
36.8000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
37.2600 USAF Civil Defense Interagency
38.6500 USAF Life Support
38.7000 USA Miscellaneous Net
38.8000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
40.1000 USA Miscellaneous Net
40.1300 USAF Range Support
40.1700 USAF Training
41.1000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Trainin
46.7000 USA Miscellaneous Net
46.8500 USAF Rescue/Recovery Operations
46.9000 USA Medical Net
46.9500 USAF EOD Contingency
49.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
118.2000 USAF Tower
121.8000 USAF Ground Control
122.8500 USAF Dispatcher
122.9500 USAF Unicom-Aero Club
124.0500 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach

125.1000 USAF Approach/Departure Control
127.7000 USAF Clearance Delivery
132.1000 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Jacksonville ARTCC
133.0000 USAF VFR Advisory-Class D Airspace
134.6250 USAF ATIS
138.0250 USAF Range Support
138.0750 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)
138.1250 USAF Range Support
138.1750 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)
138.2500 USAF Squadron Operations (53 WEG)
138.3250 USAF Paging System
138.3500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
138.3750 USAF Range Support
138.4000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
138.4250 USAF Range Support
138.4750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
138.7750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
139.2250 USA Miscellaneous Net
139.6250 USAF Range Support
139.7000 USAF Combat Control Team Operations (AM)
139.7250 USAF Maintenance
139.7500 USAF Range Support
139.8000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
139.8250 USAF Range Support
139.8750 USAF Range Support
139.9000 USAF Hurlburt ATC
139.9750 USAF Inter-Range Support
140.3750 USAF Unknown Data
140.4250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
140.7250 USAF Training Air to Air
140.7500 USAF Training Air to Air
140.8000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
141.0000 USN Timing Signals
141.2000 USAF Timing Signals
141.2750 USA Miscellaneous Net
141.5250 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)
141.5500 USAF Timing Net
141.5750 USAF Range Support
141.6000 USAF Timing Net
141.6750 USAF Timing Net
141.7000 USAF Range Support
141.7250 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
141.7750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
141.8000 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
141.8250 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
141.8500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
141.8750 USAF Tactical Flying Operations Air-to-Ground
141.9000 USAF Range Support
141.9250 USAF Range Support

142.1500 USAF Air Force MARS repeater input (output 143.450)
142.1750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
142.2000 USAF Range Support
142.2250 USAF Range Support
142.4250 USA Miscellaneous Net
142.6000 USAF Training Air to Air
142.6250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
142.8000 USAF Range Control
142.8750 USA Miscellaneous Net
142.9250 USA Miscellaneous Net
143.1500 USAF Range Control
143.1750 USA Miscellaneous Net
143.4250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
143.4500 USAF Air Force MARS repeater output (input 142.150)
143.7750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
143.8250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
143.8750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
143.9250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
148.0750 USAF Air-to-Air
148.1750 USAF Range Support
148.2500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
148.5000 USAF Range Support repeater input (output 150.275)
148.5250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
148.5500 USAF Unknown Data
148.7250 USA Miscellaneous Net
148.7750 USA Miscellaneous Net repeater input (output 150.750)
149.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
149.1750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
149.2000 USAF Range Support
149.2250 USAF Security
149.3000 USAF Range Support
149.3750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
149.4000 USAF Maintenance (Net B)
149.4750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
149.5250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
149.7750 USA Miscellaneous Net
149.8250 USA Medical Net
150.1750 USAF Range Support
150.2000 USAF Range Support
150.2500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
150.2750 USAF Range Support repeater output (input 148.500)
150.3500 USAF Range Support
150.5000 USAF Range Support
150.5250 USAF Supply Support
150.6250 USAF Range Support
150.7250 USAF Range Support repeater out (in 148.500)
150.7500 USA Miscellaneous Net repeater output (input 148.775)
162.1250 USAF Fire Mutual Aid with Coast Guard
163.5125 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)

163.5375 USAF Law Enforcement
163.5875 USAF Miscellaneous Net
164.1750 USAF Mobility Net
164.5000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
164.9625 USAF Law Enforcement
165.0375 USAF Munitions
165.1125 USAF EOD Team Operations
165.1875 USAF Range Control
165.4125 USAF Miscellaneous Net
166.0000 USAF Taxi Dispatch
166.2250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
167.8250 USAF Range Support
167.8500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
167.9750 USAF Range Support
168.0000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
168.4500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
169.5750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
169.9375 USAF Unknown Data
170.1250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
170.3750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
170.6500 BP Prison Operations
170.6875 USAF Unknown Data
170.8750 BP Prison Operations
170.9250 BP Prison Operations
171.2625 USAF Unknown Data
171.3875 USAF Prime Beef Training
171.9750 USAF Unknown Data
172.5625 USAF Unknown Data
173.1750 USAF Unknown Data
173.4125 USAF Miscellaneous Net
173.4625 USA Miscellaneous Net
173.4875 USA Miscellaneous Net
173.5125 USAF Medical Net
173.5875 USAF Fire/Crash
173.6125 USA Prime Beef Training
225.8500 USAF Air-to-Air
226.2000 USAF Air-to-Air
228.3750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
229.0500 USAF Air-to-Air
233.4500 USAF Air-to-Air
233.4500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
233.5000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
233.5500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
234.6500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
235.0000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
236.1500 USAF Air-to-Air
236.8000 USAF Supervisor of Flying/Squadron Operations (53 Wing)
239.6750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
240.2250 USAF Miscellaneous Net

242.0000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
246.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
252.9500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
253.4000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
253.5750 USAF 33 FW Operations (Primary)
254.6750 USAF 33 FW Operations
257.0000 USAF Range Control R-2914
257.3500 USAF Air-to-Air
258.3750 USAF 33 FW Operations
259.2000 USAF Range Control
259.5500 USAF 33 FW Operations
259.7500 USAF Air-to-Air
260.2750 USAF 33 FW Operations
260.7000 USAF Range Control
261.1000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Train-ing/W-151 Range
261.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
261.9750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
262.3000 USAF Range Control-Eglin Mission-East/R-2914 Range Control
262.5000 USAF Range Control
264.7000 USAF Range Control
264.8750 USAF 33 FW Operations
266.0000 USAF Range Control
266.5500 USAF Air-to-Air
266.6000 USAF Air-to-Air
268.0000 USAF Range Control-Eglin Mission
268.1750 USAF 33 FW Operations (Secondary)
269.1500 USAF Eglin Arrival
270.0500 USAF Air-to-Air
271.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
272.0000 USAF Range Control
273.5000 USAF ATIS
276.0000 USAF Range Control
276.5500 USAF Air-to-Air
278.3250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
278.4500 USAF Eglin Arrival
279.7000 USAF Range Control
280.5000 USAF 33 FW Supervisor of Flying (Nomad 6)
281.4500 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Eglin Ap-proach/Departure
282.7500 USAF Air Defense Command/Control-Granger Ops
283.6500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
283.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
283.8500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
283.9000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
283.9500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
284.1000 USAF Range Control
284.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
286.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
287.2000 USAF Range Control
288.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training

289.2000 USAF Range Control
290.5000 USAF Approach Control-Eglin Approach/Depar-ture
290.9000 USAF Range Control-Eglin Mission
291.8000 USAF Range Control R-2914
292.0000 USAF Air-to-Ground
293.4500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
294.6000 USAF Range Control
295.2000 USAF Range Control
296.1000 USAF Range Control R-2914
296.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
296.4000 USAF Range Control
297.5500 USAF Air-to-Air
298.0000 USAF Range Control
298.2500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
300.6500 USAF Air-to-Air
303.1000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
303.8500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
303.9500 USAF Air-to-Air
305.4000 USAF Squadron Common/Air-to-Air
305.7500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
308.9000 USAF Range Control
311.0000 USAF Command Post
313.6000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
313.6500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
314.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
314.4000 USAF Range Control
315.0000 USAF Range Control-Eglin Mission-West
315.9000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
316.4500 USAF Air-to-Air
317.9000 USAF Range Control
318.0500 USAF Command Post
319.6000 USAF Range Control
320.3000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach
320.9500 USAF Air-to-Air
321.2000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
322.2500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
322.6000 USAF Approach Control
322.9000 USAF Range Control
323.8000 USAF Air-to-Air
324.1500 USAF Squadron Training-Crow Ops
324.4000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
324.4500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
324.5500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
324.6000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
324.7000 USAF Squadron Common
325.9000 USAF Squadron Common
326.2000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
327.7000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training W-151 Range
328.0250 USAF Command Post-Raymond 11

335.8000 USAF Ground Control
337.7000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training W-151 Range
338.7500 USAF Air-to-Air
340.9000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
341.6000 USAF Range Control
341.9000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
342.1250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
342.5000 USAF Metro
344.8000 USAF Range Control
347.3000 USAF Range Control
349.5000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training
349.6000 USAF Range Control
351.2500 USAF Air-to-Air
351.4000 USAF Tactical Air Control Center System Training W-151 Range
353.6500 USAF Tower
354.2000 USAF 33 FW Operations
358.3000 USAF Approach Control
359.2000 USAF Range Control
360.6000 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Jacksonville ARTCC
361.5000 USAF Range Control
363.0250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
363.9000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
363.9500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
364.0000 USAF Range Control
364.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
369.1500 USAF Air-to-Air
372.2000 USAF Dispatcher
372.8000 USAF Command Post
375.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
375.7750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
376.0000 USAF Range Control
376.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
377.2000 USAF Clearance Delivery
378.0000 USAF Armament Division Command Post-Gator/ Test Ops
378.8000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
379.1500 USAF VFR Advisory-Class D Airspace
379.7000 USAF Range Control
381.3000 USAF ACC Command Post-Raymond 11/Planta-tion Ops
382.6000 USAF Aircraft Preflight Test
383.0000 USAF Range Control
383.2500 USAF AFSOC Air-to-Air
384.8000 USAF Range Control
385.7000 USAF Range Control
387.2250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
388.1000 USAF Range Control
388.9000 USAF Clearance Delivery
389.1000 USAF Range Control
389.9500 USAF AFSOC Air-to-Air
390.0000 USAF Air-to-Ground&#65533;Ops School

390.9000 USAF ACC Command Post
391.2000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
392.9000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
393.0000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
394.7750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
394.8000 USAF Range Control
396.1500 USAF Air-to-Air
397.0000 USAF 53 Wing Operations
398.2000 FAA Approach Control
399.8500 USAF Air-to-Air
406.3500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 1 repeater out (in 415.150)
406.7500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater out (in 409.125)
406.9500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 3 repeater out (in 414.725)
407.1500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 1 repeater out (in 415.950)
407.1750 USAF Missile Ops-Emergency/Security/Crash Net
407.2250 USAF Maintenance repeater out (in 412.825)
407.2500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
407.2750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
407.3000 USAF Maintenance repeater out (in 413.225)
407.3250 USAF Maintenance repeater out (in 413.425)
407.3500 USAF Miscellaneous Net repeater out (in 413.400)
407.3750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater out (in 410.200)
407.4000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
407.5000 USAF Maintenance
407.5250 USAF Maintenance in (out 412.850)
407.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater out (in 415.550)
407.5750 USAF Maintenance repeater out (in 413.250)
407.9500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 1 repeater out (in 416.750)
408.0000 USAF Missile Ops-Emergency/Security/Crash Net
408.0250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
408.0500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater out (in 416.350)
408.1750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 3 repeater out (in 416.000)
408.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 3 repeater out (in 416.550)
408.7500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 1 repeater out (in 416.175)
409.1250 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater in (out 406.750)
409.1500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater out (in 417.650)

409.2000 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater out (in 416.275)
409.3500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 3 repeater out (in 417.700)
409.3750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater out (in 415.125)
409.4250 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater out (in 411.450)
409.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 1 repeater out (in 418.350)
409.7750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater out (in 414.975)
409.9500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 4 repeater out (in 417.950)
410.1500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System 3 repeater out (in 419.150)
410.2000 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater in (out 407.375)
411.3500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
411.4500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels System A repeater in (out 409.425)
412.8250 USAF Maintenance repeater in (out 407.225)
412.8500 USAF Maintenance repeater out (in 407.525)
412.8750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
413.0250 USAF Security
413.0750 USAF Security
413.1000 USAF Engineering
413.1250 USAF Miscellaneous Net
413.1750 USAF Intelligence
413.2250 USAF Maintenance repeater in (out 407.300)
413.2500 USAF Maintenance repeater in (out 407.575)
413.2750 USAF Security
413.3250 USAF Range Support
413.3750 USAF Maintenance
413.4000 USAF Miscellaneous Net repeater in (out 407.350)
413.4750 USAF Security
413.5000 USAF Security
413.5500 USAF Munitions
413.5750 USAF Miscellaneous Net
414.7250 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 3 repeater in (out 406.950)
414.9750 USAF Trunk System Frequency System A repeater in (out 409.775)
415.1250 USAF Trunk System Frequency System A repeater in (out 409.375)
415.1500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 1 repeater in (out 406.350)
415.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 4 repeater in (out 407.550)
415.9500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 1 repeater in (out 407.150)
416.0000 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 3 repeater in (out 408.175)
416.1750 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 1 repeater in (out 408.750)
416.2750 USAF Trunk System Frequency System A repeater in (out 409.200)
416.3500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 4 repeater in (out 408.050)

416.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 3 repeater in (out 408.550)
416.7500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 1 repeater in (out 407.950)
417.2500 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in
417.6500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 4 repeater in (out 409.150)
417.7000 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 3 repeater in (out 409.350)
417.9500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 4 repeater in (out 409.950)
418.3500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 1 repeater in (out 409.550)
419.1500 USAF Trunk System Frequency System 3 repeater in (out 410.150)
408.1000 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater out (in 411.550)
408.6500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater out (in 416.025)
409.0250 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater out (in 416.100)
409.0750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater out (in 417.225)
409.2250 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater out (in 416.700)
411.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater in (out 408.100)
416.0250 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater in (out 408.650)
416.1000 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater in (out 409.025)
416.7000 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater in (out 409.225)
417.2750 USAF Trunk System Frequency Mot AMSS 31 channels repeater in (out 409.075)

-= Hurlburt Field =-

32.3500 USAF Training
32.4500 USAF Training
32.5000 USAF Training
32.6500 USAF Training
32.8500 USAF Training
34.1500 USAF Training
34.2000 USAF Training
34.3500 USAF Training
34.5500 USAF Training
34.6000 USAF Training
36.3500 USAF Training
36.4500 USAF Training
36.8000 USAF Training
36.8500 USAF Training
40.7000 USAF Miscellaneous Net
41.4500 USAF Training
46.6500 USAF Training
49.8500 USAF Training
125.1000 USAF Approach Control-Eglin

126.5000 USAF Tower
132.1000 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Jacksonville ARTCC
133.0000 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Class D Air-space
138.0750 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)
138.1000 USAF Training
138.1500 USAF Miscellaneous Net
138.1750 USAF Office of Special Investigations (OSI)
138.2000 USAF Training
138.2750 USAF Training
138.3000 USAF Training
138.4000 USAF Training
138.4250 USAF Training
138.4750 USAF Training
138.5000 USAF Training
139.6000 USAF Ground Control
139.8500 USAF Training
140.4000 USAF Command Post
142.1250 USAF Commanders Net repeater in (out 143.750)
143.7500 USAF Commanders Net repeater out (in 142.125)
148.1250 USAF Paging System
148.2000 USAF Navaids Maintenance
148.2250 USAF Ramp Control
148.4500 USAF Missile Operations
150.2250 USAF Communications Services
150.3250 USAF Disaster Preparedness
163.4625 USAF Unknown Data
170.5750 USAF RZ-DZ Drops
173.5125 USAF Medical Net
173.6375 USAF Fire/Crash
228.3000 USAF Squadron Operations
229.2000 USAF Aircraft Tactical
233.5750 USAF Air-to-Ground
234.8000 USAF Command/Control
238.6000 USAF Aircraft Tactical
253.4000 USAF 727 Tactical Comms
255.5000 USAF Command Post
260.9000 USAF Tactical Training
266.1500 USAF Training
272.1000 USAF Comm Support: Air-to-Ground
275.8000 USAF Ground Control
276.1500 USAF Maintenance Dispatch
281.4500 FAA Approach Control-Eglin
286.4000 USAF Tactical Training
291.1000 USAF Tower
292.2000 USAF Tactical Training
297.2000 USAF Ground Controlled Approach/Single Fre-quency Approach
301.4250 USAF Comm Support: Air-to-Ground
305.7000 USAF FAC Communications
315.2000 USAF 727 Tactical Comms
316.4000 USAF Miscellaneous Net

320.7000 USAF 16 SOG Command Post-Seminole Ops
327.5000 USAF Combat Control Team Training
336.1000 USAF 727 Tactical Comms
342.2000 USAF Aircraft Tactical
347.1000 USAF Aircraft Tactical
354.4500 USAF ATIS
360.6000 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Jacksonville ARTCC
371.1000 USAF Training
372.2000 USAF Dispatcher
375.2000 USAF Metro
379.1500 FAA Approach/Departure Control-Class D Air-space
407.6000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 418.125)
409.3000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 418.775)
409.4250 USAF Commanders Net repeater out (in 412.950)
409.6500 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 419.550)
409.7000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 419.650)
410.0000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 419.700)
411.5000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater in (out 419.900)
412.9500 USAF Commanders Net repeater out (in 409.425)
418.0000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out
418.1250 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 407.600)
418.7750 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 409.300)
419.5500 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 409.650)
419.6500 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 409.700)
419.7000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 410.000)
419.9000 USAF Trunk System Frequency repeater out (in 411.500)

5923. Re: Lookin for Eglin AFB Test Range Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 06:56:40 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <lskv5.29292$Z4.1002332@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79750

if anyone else needs these freq's or the ones for Hulrburt, let me know and
i will be more then happy to email you my list.

st at reticent dot net

"Jack Mor" <jackmor@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:QZ4v5.2903$%p2.145269@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Next month I will be vacationing in Florida on St. George Island.
> Some of the Elgin AFB night test flights are flown right off this island
and
> I would like to like to them.
>
> Please send the list to me off list to jackmor@yahoo.com
>
> Thanks,
> Jack
>
>

5924. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 07:51:49 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDE095.E85D089F@cts.com>
Source record: 79751
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Wood wrote:

> VORs and VORTACS still ident with code, but even back in the mid 1960s
> they used a recorded voice. I still remember " . . .Pomona . . .V-O-R .
> . ." and wondering if the guy ever got bored.

He was married to the lady that did the I.D.s for XETRA AM 690.
Ekes-aye-terier......Tijuana Mexico".

They had 4 daughters and a son. All went on to the big city of San Diego
doing the half hour ID's for the San Diego Police.

The grandmother is the "Time lady".

KLD 705

5925. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 08:11:29 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDE531.B4FC59FE@cts.com>
Source record: 79752
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:

> If the Russian sailors who just recently lost their lives on the sub that sank, which
> could no longer communicate through normal channels, and the rescuers new code, they
> might could have signaled what happened before they died. They were heard tapping on
> the hull.
>
The tapping on the hull was decoded....

"Get us the F$%K out of here comrade!"

Maybe they could teach Flipper code. Flipper could tap out a question
from the media by using a coke bottle.

"How Do You Feel."
"Are you bummed that the soviet tv transmitter tower will be fixed long
before we recover your bodies?"

KES-328

5926. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 08:18:06 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDE6BE.4D752B73@cts.com>
Source record: 79753
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CAM wrote:

>
> I've been a coded ham for 48 years and I cannot read code when it is sent
> as taps, can you? How do you tell a 'C' from an 'EIE'?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Did some ham really call you "Eieecil" or "Ceeie"?

How do they make those smiley faces with dat dot and dits code?

My friend is a deejay...he decoded some morse code in one of John
Lennon's last songs...
"I love you Yoko"
My friend got on the news for that.

KNCJ-415

5927. Re: CW The Sea Otters Talked To Me In Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:01:02 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDF0CE.BFE1B3A2@cts.com>
Source record: 79754
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

All this talk about dead soviet submariners, code and John Denver made me want to reflect with nature. I took a walk down to a very nice beach in Pacific Grove Ca. John Denver's plane crashed there a few years ago. As I sat on a rock and gazed over the ocean I heard tapping.
tap
tap,tap,tap

On and on it went and then I realized I was hearing morse code. I pulled out this oldclipping from boys life magazine about the cave men and their morse code. It was a little decoder chart.

Tap,tiptapatiptapatptaptaptap.

I then saw the fiesty sea otter swimming on his back with a shellfish on his tummy and a rock in his claw.

"tap tap tapataptaptaptap!!!!!!!!!"

"What Do you want Mr. Otter?" I said.

The otter tapped back.....

"Do you have any cocktail sauce dude?"

KME-23

5928. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:09:19 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDF2BF.69D9C04F@cts.com>
Source record: 79755
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
>
> Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal you needed
> help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the pattern which
> is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a call of
> distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the pipes repeated
> three times, ect.

Never use a mirror to signal distress. You can blind the rescue pilots.

Three gunshots is safer.

KML-275

5929. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:12:50 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8pks28$s8j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79756

It would allow you to follow conversations and events much more
carefully and closely. Think of it as the difference between a
threaded Usenet news program with posts arranged by newsgroup, time and
date, vs. a newsreader that displays posts from a whole bunch of
different newsgroups haphazardly, randomly and in no particular order.

Or an Email program that leaves out the "From:" "Date:" "Time:"
and "Subject:" headers on all the Emails you receive.

Tim

In article <S2ru5.32061$K4.1356696@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Brian Haren" <haren@ipfb.net> wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> Philosophical (sp?) question for the group. I have a RS Pro 89
scanner (no
> trunk tracking). I follow the 800 mhz trunked frequencies (10) that
my
> local township and county share for all police, fire, EMS and utility
work.
> I seem to be able to follow most conversations just fine. What would
a
> trunk tracking receiver do for me that my current scanner does not?
>
> Brian
> KC5YNP
>
>

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5930. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:18:30 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8pkscrspn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79757

Most RS scanners also seem to have a bit of a problem with scan speed --
in other words, it's slow. No problem if you have say 25-50 channels
programmed, but if it gets up much above that, faster scan speeds
become very important, or you end up hearing more squelch tails than
anything else.

Tim

In article <QNYu5.27099$Z4.970523@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>,
"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:
> > Yup, and just a bit of advice to everyone out there - don't go with
Radio
> > Shack.. look through ham radio catalogs - you can find scanners
that have
> more
> > features for the same or slightly more than Radio Shack prices!
>
> Like the Pro-2052 that radio shack sells for $300 and can be
purchased from
> Grove Ent. for $253. They also have the pro-92 or 94 what ever it's
called;
> on sale also.
>
>

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5931. Re: Scanner Buying (WAS: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:32:35 UTC
From: Walker <worldvista@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <8pkt7jtfj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79758

Trouble is, most of the "real" radios you describe lack trunk tracking ability. If police/fire coverage is not desired (or following a conversation carefully is no big deal), no problem, otherwise it might be best to look at a high-end Uniden or something of that nature. I've seen the BC895XLT for under $200 (try www.alfenterprises.com), and the thing actually has a tuning knob and S-meter, among other goodies.

Also, the coverage under 40 MHz tends to stink on "DC to Daylight" receivers, even the most expensive ones. They're just not optimized for the band conditions on HF (shortwave), so reception is lousy and mostly a waste of time (and good luck finding an antenna that covers that wide a range of frequencies well). A good receiver for frequencies under 30 MHz generally covers AM only, and ONLY those frequencies -- and requires an antenna optimized for below 30 MHz coverage.

A good solution if interested in both is to buy a decent shortwave portable like the Sony ICF-SW7600G ($150-$175) plus a scanner which is optimized for FM coverage above 30 MHz. Don't waste money on a radio that covers everything, because they just don't do it well -- usually you're drastically short-changed below 40 MHz.

In article <20000911122607.04569.00000547@ng-cs1.aol.com>, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI) wrote:
> >Like the Pro-2052 that radio shack sells for $300 and can be purchased from
> >Grove Ent. for $253. They also have the pro-92 or 94 what ever it's called;
> >on sale also.
> >
>
> I'm not talking about Pro-anything - I'm talking about Icom, etc... real radio
> manufacturers.. I've seen scanners that cover .5 mhz - 1200mhz+ for the same
> price as a trunk tracking or other high end scanner... and with the Radio Shack
> scanner of the same price, you end up with 29 - 900 (approximately) with breaks
> in coverage and not mutlimode... looking through the catalogs is definately
> worth it when shopping for scanners...
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

> Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
> Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
> or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
>

--
Radio Site: http://swlinks.webalias.com
Spiritual: http://coresite.cjb.net
Other Sites: http://core.vdirect.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5932. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 09:42:39 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BDFA8F.40D0275@cts.com>
Source record: 79759
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

kenneth m cubilo wrote:
>
> best not to get sucked into a discussion these lazy morons start on the
> usenets.

Useless bastards those usenet types...

>fact is they are of the group that wants everything for nothing
> they were never taught to be responsible people.

Hey I work 20 hours a week at home town buffet to pay car insurance on
the ride my folk got me.

>i for one hope cw
> testing stays in fact i hope they raise the speed to at least 15 wpm and
> go back to copy and sending only tests.

I wish you would get rid of that 300 baud modem....

>as far as the garbage on some of
> the ham bands well.... lets look back seems a lot of it showed up when
> the testing requirements dropped to multiple guess exams.

It was because of dementia, Alzheimer's and alcoholism.

> one can only
> wonder what kind of low life no coding would let it, hell just listen to
> 2 meter fm sometime for a preview
>

You need more stoners and hippies. You guys ever hear of "Goth Chicks"
you could use a few of them to make ham radio cool.

Or else.......

The frequency hungry consumer electronics industry is gonna take some of
your pie.

Now what chunk of spectrum above 144mhz are you willing to give up? The
remainder of 220mhz looks kinda yummy :)

KB-60063

5933. Some Questions about Trunk Max Pro Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 10:08:02 UTC
From: A scanner user <bc780master@nospamwhatsoeverthistimeyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6gnv5.139391$D9.7507071@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 79760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To Whom It May Concern:

It seems that your software for the Pro-2052 scanner, available for download at http://www.trunkmaxpro.com, is nothing more than an overpriced rip-off (for lack of a better word) of Pozilla Software's WinScanRS, which by the way is sold through Radio Shack stores as a Radio Shack Unlimited product. I am sure that Pozilla Software has worked out an agreement with Radio Shack/Tandy Corp to use their name and the Pro-2052 logo on their software.

So, here I ask you these questions :

Have you done the same (obtained licensing from Radio Shack/Tandy Corp.)? I am sure that the legal department at Tandy Corp. will frown upon unauthorized use of the Radio Shack name, as well as the Pro-2052 logo.

Next, you list on your web site that your software is "As Described" on Strong Signals (http://www.strongsignals.net) and on Grove's (http://www.grove-ent.com) websites. I searched Grove's website, from top to bottom, and I have yet to find word one about TrunkMaxPro. Am I missing something? As far as any mention of the software on Strong Signals, I noticed a listing on the software page and many negative reviews on the message board. Is this what you are referring to?

Does Pozilla Software know that you have, in essence, stolen the very format that they use for interfacing with the scanner? Not that I am against competition, but it seems like there could be some copyright infringement on your behalf.

Next, are you planning on doing the same type of interface for the Uniden scanners? If so, you might want to check with Uniden about copyrights.

I downloaded your demo of the software. Where is the help file or some type of user's manual? How do I print my frequency lists? How do I save multiple frequency lists? When I downloaded information from my scanner, none of my talkgroups downloaded into the program. How do I download talkgroups? What is with the -1's and 0's? What does this mean? Why does the program take up 90% of my screen when I am running in 1024x768 and I am not able to use this program in 800x600?

I have sent a copy of this message to Radio Shack, Grove, Rich Wells, Pozilla Software, Uniden, and a few other people who might be interested in something like this. I have also posted a copy of this letter on Rich Wells' site and to rec.radio.scanner and alt.radio.scanner. I invite you to publicly answer all of the questions I have posed here, and I would hope that you will at least answer my questions privately.

Signed,

A concerned scanner user.

5934. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 10:09:39 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <39be63c4@sacnews.sac.bfp.net>
Source record: 79775
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Be sure to hit the plane - that way their sure to hear you;-)
"markj" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39BDF2BF.69D9C04F@cts.com...
>
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal
you needed
> > help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the
pattern which
> > is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a
call of
> > distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the
pipes repeated
> > three times, ect.
>
> Never use a mirror to signal distress. You can blind the rescue pilots.
>
> Three gunshots is safer.
>
> KML-275

5935. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 10:33:27 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BE0677.E7B237ED@cts.com>
Source record: 79761
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
>
> In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain something,
> you want it given to you.

He already owns it and hams don't use *nearly* all of it...

He can put forth effort into building a nice station.

5936. Re: Some Questions about Trunk Max Pro Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 11:48:52 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eILDJ9KHAHA.320@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"A scanner user" <bc780master@nospamwhatsoeverthistimeyahoo.com> wrote in
message news:6gnv5.139391$D9.7507071@news.easynews.com...
> To Whom It May Concern:

well, you will get their attention. whoever you are.

does this new program come with a money-back
guarantee...I did not see one metioned in it. and
also, if it's that good i could not get it to download
into my computer to see it work....hmmmmmmmmm

what will i really be getting for 79.95?

does this come with a virus or what?

really concerned. besides myself with "doubt"

help....

winscan works great, so why would I want to upgrade
to yours if there may be a virus awaiting me costing me
79.95

do you have a list of reputable users you can
list as "good guys" for your gizmo....

5937. Re: F.S. Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt W/Full Cell.&Tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 12:21:42 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <v2pv5.522$X92.1861@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79763

Obviously you do not know Wilf very well. If he says something, he means it. And it took me all of 20 seconds or less to scroll down and read his previous post. And you have the nerve to call Wilf lazy!!! If you notice, Wilf has another scanner up for sale almost every week, so he probably gets tired of re-posting. As for you, bill, go find a quarter and call someone who cares.

Adam Kolari

"newknews" <newknews@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:eO2XrAIHAHA.324@cpmsnbbsa09...
> what...too damn lazy to give people
> service as to price and other necessities
> for your unwillingness to make their lives
> happy, happy. we are not part of your
> problem...so post the damn price and all
> the other shit so we can all have a good night
> sleep in order to decide on buying the
> damn thing you claim to have.
>
> bill
>
>
>
> <wilf509940@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8pjsp8ont1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > The scanner is still for sale, sorry about that, but the last guy
> > couldn,t make up his mind.Check earlier message for decription and
> > price of this mint scanner.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

5938. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 12:42:05 UTC
From: The Unholy One <theunholyone@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8pl8ao$9j4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79764

Yeah, man! This is along the lines of the gun registration debate.

You give the government all this info about yourself cuz you trust them. AND "if you're not doing anything wrong, you don't have anything to worry about."

Uh-huh.

If there's ever any problem with criminals listening to police radio, the MI government has a nice "short list" they can go to automatically now. You're an easy suspect they can go to any time whether you're innocent or not.

The Unholy One
"All work and no play makes Jack a dull boy."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5939. Radio Shack htx-245 mod available ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 12:46:33 UTC
From: Nels <hotwire@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8pl8j1$9ul$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79765

I bought this radio in error ,i need to be able to broadcast at 152.
but the damn thing stops at 149 ! can i change out crystals or
something ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5940. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 13:20:21 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39BE2D95.5251@interactive.net>
Source record: 79766
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
> ... I believe there are a lot of
> good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it was
> not for all that red tape.

The "red tape" is to validate the qualifications, minimum as
they may be. The important thing and one to which I am
comitted is to have the "red tape" (i.e. the test requirements)
be justified and the reduction of code testing to 5 wpm
is but one step towards that aim. The code test will
not likly go away completely until there is a change in the
international treaty. I believe your comment is not intended
as a desire to have NO ham requirements, and I hope you
concur that rational and justifiable requirements (that red
tape) are the reason ham radio is not CB.

>I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.

But hams can actually build, repair and adjust their
own radio equipment which is not something CB oerators
can legally do. Hams are allowed HIGH power (1500
watts) while CB is limited to 4 watts. Those two aspects
justify the need for the red tape.

> Also I do believe it would
> invite trouble makers like on CB if ham did the same. But also kind people
> as well, and you will have to take the good with the bad.

Sorry, I must adamently disagree with that prospect. As much
as I oppose the need for morse code testing, I am equally
committed to NOT turning ham radio into a no test CB type
access.

> If I'm having
> trouble talking to somone because anouther is being rude all I have to do is
> cut off the rig and give him a call on the phone if its important.

Do you have the phone numbers of every ham available? That
points out another major CB vs Ham difference. Ham radio is
global and not limited to short distance rudeness.

> Or I can
> go watch TV or play on the computer. I bet if people had to take a bunch of
> tests and fill out all kinds of paper work and then wait several weeks to

> get a permit to talk on the telephone, very few would have them.

Probably so, but there is NO need for a license to
use the phone because there is NO public need for
anyone to have a license.

Additionally, the phone companies look after
all the problems for which you and I pay
monthly costs and per call usage fees.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
Bod Nocode International

5941. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 13:50:57 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000912095057.22809.00003040@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 79767

The first step to scanner confiscation is scanner registration.

'Nuff said.

5942. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 13:58:27 UTC
From: Russ Williams <rvw2@email.byu.edu>
Message-ID: <39BE3683.1F320B29@email.byu.edu>
Source record: 79768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gunner,
Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power and is blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they can't watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhood whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
Now that was strange.
Russ
PS
If you are going to play, at least be subtle

Gunner wrote:

> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
> >> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >> of years ago..
> >>
> >> Gunner
> > >
> >A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
> >frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
> >or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
> >it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
> So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
> xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
>
> Gunner
>
> --------------------------
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>
> Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
> homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

5943. Optocom Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 14:32:41 UTC
From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39BE3E89.5CA18F67@snet.net>
Source record: 79769

I have noticed that my Optocom receiver goes off frequency after scanning for a while. I am using Radio Manger software. I notice if I turn off and on the receiver, everything is fine again. Has anyone else experienced this problem?
Thank you
Pete

5944. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 14:37:12 UTC
From: Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <Qdrv5.17$Q27.25@read1>
Source record: 79770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree, that would sound like an excellent scanner, now to convince Uniden, Icom or anyone else to produce it for $300....Oh well, off cloud nine and back to work.

Cheers

Jason Morris

"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> My dream scanner would be as follows.
>
> *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
>
> *Large alpha numerical display
>
> *Bright evenly lit backlight
>
> *All available Trunk systems
>
> *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
>
> *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
>
> *Decent audio output
>
> *Band Scope
>
> *Computer interface
>
> *Attenuator, NB, AFC
>
> *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks, etc.),
> and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1, 50
> channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
>
> *Debugging feature
>
> *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
>
> *Additional 40 banks for search mode
>

> *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
>
> * 2 VFO's
>
> *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
>
> *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
>
> *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
>
> *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
>
> *Backlit keys
>
> *Useful Manual
>
> Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> Pro-92A!!
> Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
>
> Oh well, back to the real world.
> Jim
>
>

5945. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 15:39:40 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <07sv5.34798$98.3198477@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

look at the new 780XLT -- doesn't meet all your dreams, but comes pretty close , even the price.

"Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer" <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message news:Qdrv5.17$Q27.25@read1...
> I agree, that would sound like an excellent scanner, now to convince Uniden,
> Icom or anyone else to produce it for $300....Oh well, off cloud nine and
> back to work.
>
> Cheers
>
> Jason Morris
>
>
> "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > My dream scanner would be as follows.
> >
> > *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
> >
> > *Large alpha numerical display
> >
> > *Bright evenly lit backlight
> >
> > *All available Trunk systems
> >
> > *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> >
> > *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
> >
> > *Decent audio output
> >
> > *Band Scope
> >
> > *Computer interface
> >
> > *Attenuator, NB, AFC
> >
> > *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks, etc.),
> > and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1,

> 50
> > channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
> >
> > *Debugging feature
> >
> > *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
> >
> > *Additional 40 banks for search mode
> >
> > *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
> >
> > * 2 VFO's
> >
> > *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
> >
> > *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
> >
> > *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
> >
> > *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
> >
> > *Backlit keys
> >
> > *Useful Manual
> >
> > Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> > It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> > Pro-92A!!
> > Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
> >
> > Oh well, back to the real world.
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>

5946. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 16:48:11 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BE4F28.CE9A83EE@some.net>
Source record: 79772
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And the 11 meter CB band is the perfect example of what happens when no one has to invest any effort in being allowed to operated on the air. Guess you would like the ham bands to become the sewer pit of the air waves that 11 meter is too. He obviously feels that getting a ham license isn't worth the little trouble it takes to study some theory and learn some rules and regulations and be willing to abide by those regulations. It is that "red tape" that separates the ham bands from CB. And yes you can point to some bad operating by hams on the ham bands but that is limited to a few frequencies, but tune ANY of the CB channels and you will find bad operating practices. I am not sure by what you mean the hams don't use *nearly* all of it, the bands sound pretty full to me and so what, it is allocated to the amateur service so if you want to make use of it get off your butt and be willing to put in a little effort and get a license instead of crying because it isn't free. Try stepping out of the welfare mentality for a change.

markj wrote:

> I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> > > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
> >
> > In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain something,
> > you want it given to you.
>
> He already owns it and hams don't use *nearly* all of it...
>
> He can put forth effort into building a nice station.

5947. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 16:54:23 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BE508F.60D26292@some.net>
Source record: 79773
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:

> JR wrote:
> >
> > Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal you needed
> > help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the pattern which
> > is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a call of
> > distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the pipes repeated
> > three times, ect.
>
> Never use a mirror to signal distress. You can blind the rescue pilots.
>
> Three gunshots is safer.
>
> KML-275

As Charlie Brown would say "GOOD GRIEF!!"

5948. Re: Radio Shack htx-245 mod available ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 17:05:18 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <intv5.13235$me2.66826@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 79774

"Nels" <hotwire@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8pl8j1$9ul$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I bought this radio in error ,i need to be able to broadcast at 152.
> but the damn thing stops at 149 ! can i change out crystals or
> something ?

Return it and buy a legal radio for your use. No, regardless of whether or not you have a license to transmit there, it's not legal to use ham gear outside ham bands. Type Acceptance is required for all other services.

Unless they've changed, the RS ham gear is very deliberately NOT modifiable to transmit anywhere illegal (out of ham band), which allows them to design them to work BETTER in band, with tighter front end filters, etc, making them better against intermod and such.

5949. Uniden sportcat 150 yellow model scanner for auction..no reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 17:23:41 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ploq0uou1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79776

Uniden Sportcat 150 Yellow model 100 channel handheld scanner for auction with special bonus of leather case.

Perfect for nascar, irl, cart, f1,etc. Also listen to police, fire, etc.

check it out at:
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/39984334

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5950. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 17:39:12 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com>
Source record: 79777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And it would understand voice commands and suck my
cock.

Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer wrote:

> I agree, that would sound like an excellent scanner, now to convince Uniden,
> Icom or anyone else to produce it for $300....Oh well, off cloud nine and
> back to work.
>
> Cheers
>
> Jason Morris
>
> "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > My dream scanner would be as follows.
> >
> > *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
> >
> > *Large alpha numerical display
> >
> > *Bright evenly lit backlight
> >
> > *All available Trunk systems
> >
> > *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> >
> > *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
> >
> > *Decent audio output
> >
> > *Band Scope
> >
> > *Computer interface
> >
> > *Attenuator, NB, AFC
> >
> > *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
> etc.),
> > and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1,
> 50
> > channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
> >
> > *Debugging feature

> >
> > *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
> >
> > *Additional 40 banks for search mode
> >
> > *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
> >
> > * 2 VFO's
> >
> > *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
> >
> > *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
> >
> > *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
> >
> > *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
> >
> > *Backlit keys
> >
> > *Useful Manual
> >
> > Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> > It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> > Pro-92A!!
> > Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
> >
> > Oh well, back to the real world.
> > Jim
> >
> >

5951. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 17:56:21 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <97uv5.29417$Z4.1013094@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check it out, looks like AOR is gonna come pretty close.

http://www.aoruk.com/jt2000.htm

"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> My dream scanner would be as follows.
>
> *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
>
> *Large alpha numerical display
>
> *Bright evenly lit backlight
>
> *All available Trunk systems
>
> *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
>
> *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
>
> *Decent audio output
>
> *Band Scope
>
> *Computer interface
>
> *Attenuator, NB, AFC
>
> *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
etc.),
> and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1,
50
> channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
>
> *Debugging feature
>
> *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
>
> *Additional 40 banks for search mode
>
> *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
>
> * 2 VFO's
>

> *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
>
> *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
>
> *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
>
> *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
>
> *Backlit keys
>
> *Useful Manual
>
> Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> Pro-92A!!
> Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
>
> Oh well, back to the real world.
> Jim
>
>

5952. Re: F.S. Uniden Bearcat Bc890xlt SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:17:12 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8plrui$2sl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79782

In article <8pjsp8ont1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD
> The scanner is still for sale, sorry about that, but the last guy
> couldn,t make up his mind.Check earlier message for decription and
> price of this mint scanner.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.SOLD
>SOLD Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5953. Re: RS Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:17:14 UTC
From: Sergio Geralnik <s1geralnik@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Kquv5.36$KE1.51419832@IConNet>
Source record: 79779

Could you tell me how the Pro-94 differs from the Pro-92 other than the number of channels?

Thanks,
SDG

<jaysonjfw@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8piqk4dps1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I love my 94 also. However I wish there were some more people willing
> to screw around with the 2+9 kepad functions to see what they do. I
> know a few but would like some help finding what the others do.
>
> In article <8p8360$ei4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com> wrote:
> > I love my Pro-94! Sometime I'd like to compare it to a BC245, just to
> > see the differences, but I have no complaints about the '94. It
> follows
> > the local EDACS trunking system great. It works on conventional
> > frequencies (highway patrol, local hams, electric company, etc.) very
> > well. It's funny that we don't hear more about it.
> >
> > In article <DYDt5.12769$vm4.2739677@typhoonbleh.nyroc.rr.com>,
> > "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> > > I'd just like to know if there are any owners of the Pro-94 :)
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5954. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:24:02 UTC
From: Dwayne <Dubbin2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8plrq1$8j$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 79780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Grow up. We don't need that kind of crap here.

klopie <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com...
> And it would understand voice commands and suck my
> cock.

5955. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:34:05 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <AAuv5.26042$%I.450230@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79781
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

With your logic in mind you say people should not drive cars if they cant
build one from scratch. Same with ham radios. I guess you could call it lazy
but to me its not worth the trouble.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BDAAA6.437DFB8@some.net...
>
>
> John Doe wrote:
>
> > I would like to add my 2 cents to ham radio. I believe there are a lot
of
> > good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it
was
> > not for all that red tape. I got into CB because all I had to do was go
to
> > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
>
> In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain
something,
> you want it given to you.
>

5956. Re: need info on Bearcat 50xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:48:37 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000912144837.28516.00001087@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 79784

Subject: need info on Bearcat 50xl
From: rshirley309@cs.com (Rshirley309)
Date: 09/08/2000 10:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20000908222404.24132.00000172@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>

I bought a Uniden Bearcat 50xl at junk shop for $10.00. It works great but I need to know what its range is. Any Info would be great.
Thanks
Rshirley309@cs.com

29-54/144-174/406-512 Mhz. NO "800"

5957. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:49:47 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39be7ab2.11588319@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

But the AOR falls out when it comes to price...

On Tue, 12 Sep 2000 17:56:21 GMT, "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote:

>Check it out, looks like AOR is gonna come pretty close.
>
>http://www.aoruk.com/jt2000.htm
>
>"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
>> My dream scanner would be as follows.
>>
>> *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
>>
>> *Large alpha numerical display
>>
>> *Bright evenly lit backlight
>>
>> *All available Trunk systems
>>
>> *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
>>
>> *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
>>
>> *Decent audio output
>>
>> *Band Scope
>>
>> *Computer interface
>>
>> *Attenuator, NB, AFC
>>
>> *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
>etc.),
>> and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1,
>50
>> channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
>>
>> *Debugging feature
>>
>> *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
>>
>> *Additional 40 banks for search mode
>>

>> *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
>>
>> * 2 VFO's
>>
>> *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
>>
>> *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
>>
>> *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
>>
>> *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
>>
>> *Backlit keys
>>
>> *Useful Manual
>>
>> Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
>> It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
>> Pro-92A!!
>> Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
>>
>> Oh well, back to the real world.
>> Jim
>>
>>
>
>

5958. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:50:36 UTC
From: Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <pXuv5.7$t87.161@read1>
Source record: 79785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Klopie,

Maybe suck your dick, but I'll leave the sucking to my wife...ASSHOLE!

"klopie" <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com...
> And it would understand voice commands and suck my
> cock.
>
>
>
> Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer wrote:
>
> > I agree, that would sound like an excellent scanner, now to convince
Uniden,
> > Icom or anyone else to produce it for $300....Oh well, off cloud nine
and
> > back to work.
> >
> > Cheers
> >
> > Jason Morris
> >
> > "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > > My dream scanner would be as follows.
> > >
> > > *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
> > >
> > > *Large alpha numerical display
> > >
> > > *Bright evenly lit backlight
> > >
> > > *All available Trunk systems
> > >
> > > *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> > >
> > > *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
> > >
> > > *Decent audio output
> > >
> > > *Band Scope
> > >
> > > *Computer interface
> > >

> > > *Attenuator, NB, AFC
> > >
> > > *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
> > etc.),
> > > and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank
1,
> > 50
> > > channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
> > >
> > > *Debugging feature
> > >
> > > *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
> > >
> > > *Additional 40 banks for search mode
> > >
> > > *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
> > >
> > > * 2 VFO's
> > >
> > > *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
> > >
> > > *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
> > >
> > > *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
> > >
> > > *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
> > >
> > > *Backlit keys
> > >
> > > *Useful Manual
> > >
> > > Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> > > It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> > > Pro-92A!!
> > > Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
> > >
> > > Oh well, back to the real world.
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
>
>
>

5959. Software to put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:51:09 UTC
From: Jeremy Hine <jeremy@assemblyrequired.cc>
Message-ID: <39BE7B1D.F23B7B9F@assemblyrequired.cc>
Source record: 79789

Hello:

Where might I find the software to put my scanner online?

-Jeremy
KF4RTY

5960. Re: Help- Bearcat BC-300 manual - from ELECTRA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:53:40 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <BTuv5.44$Hs2.546@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79787

You need a reprint of the Bearcat 300 Owner's Manual?

Well, you're in luck!

Electra Corp - Makers of Tiger Scan (and, formerly, the BC-300)

will make you a reprint of the BC-300 manual for $11.95, shipped.

just drop us a line at www.electracorp.com

Best regards,

Dave Lovell - W9DAG
Electra Corp.
info@electracorp.com

5961. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:55:11 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <mUuv5.26227$%l.455287@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79786
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I believe you can still have the rules with out all that red tape. If I wanted to I could go through all that trouble to get my ticket and still act like an donkey on the air. once they found me then it would be over. But I just dont see how the red tape is going to stop the affenders. What you need is more enforcement. Like maybe let the local police help out when their are complaints, if the FCC does not have the manpower. and Yes the knowledge to tune your own radio would be helpful but their will always be people that will do that for you for a few bucks. As for the telephone yes the phone company would deal with morons. and the gov. would deal with them on the air. and we all know how they love to spend money.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:39BE2D95.5251@interactive.net...
> John Doe wrote:
> > ... I believe there are a lot of
> > good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it
was
> > not for all that red tape.
>
> The "red tape" is to validate the qualifications, minimum as
> they may be. The important thing and one to which I am
> comitted is to have the "red tape" (i.e. the test requirements)
> be justified and the reduction of code testing to 5 wpm
> is but one step towards that aim. The code test will
> not likly go away completely until there is a change in the
> international treaty. I believe your comment is not intended
> as a desire to have NO ham requirements, and I hope you
> concur that rational and justifiable requirements (that red
> tape) are the reason ham radio is not CB.
>
> >I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
>
> But hams can actually build, repair and adjust their
> own radio equipment which is not something CB oerators
> can legally do. Hams are allowed HIGH power (1500
> watts) while CB is limited to 4 watts. Those two aspects
> justify the need for the red tape.
>
> > Also I do believe it would
> > invite trouble makers like on CB if ham did the same. But also kind
people
> > as well, and you will have to take the good with the bad.

>
> Sorry, I must adamently disagree with that prospect. As much
> as I oppose the need for morse code testing, I am equally
> committed to NOT turning ham radio into a no test CB type
> access.
>
> > If I'm having
> > trouble talking to somone because anouther is being rude all I have to
do is
> > cut off the rig and give him a call on the phone if its important.
>
> Do you have the phone numbers of every ham available? That
> points out another major CB vs Ham difference. Ham radio is
> global and not limited to short distance rudeness.
>
> > Or I can
> > go watch TV or play on the computer. I bet if people had to take a bunch
of
> > tests and fill out all kinds of paper work and then wait several weeks
to
> > get a permit to talk on the telephone, very few would have them.
>
> Probably so, but there is NO need for a license to
> use the phone because there is NO public need for
> anyone to have a license.
>
> Additionally, the phone companies look after
> all the problems for which you and I pay
> monthly costs and per call usage fees.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
> Bod Nocode International
>

5962. Re: CW The Sea Otters Talked To Me In Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 18:56:41 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <39BE7C17.5C696D60@topnow.com>
Source record: 79788
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:

>
> I then saw the fiesty sea otter swimming on his back with a shellfish on
> his tummy and a rock in his claw.
>
> "tap tap tapataptaptaptap!!!!!!!!!"
>
> "What Do you want Mr. Otter?" I said.
>
> The otter tapped back.....
>
> "Do you have any cocktail sauce dude?"
>
> KME-23

hehehe... now that's entertainment.

5963. Re: Bearcat 220 Manuals via electracorp.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 19:02:27 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <S%uv5.53$Hs2.634@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79791

"Mike Castrucow" <castrucw@junction.net> wrote in message
news:8pg7gbqoe1@news.junction.net...
> Bought a Bearcat 220 scanner at a garage sale. Unfortunately no manual
with
> it. It is model Bearcat 220 rc. Can someone please point me to a web site
> that can give me the specs on this scanner. I did a search with no real
> results, kept coming up with new model info only. Thanks in advance.
>
> Mike
>
> castrucw@junction.net
>
>

Hi Mike:

Why not go to the source?
We'll make a reprint of your manual for $11.95 shipped.
While you're at it, wouldn't you like to check us out?
drop us a line from our website www.electracorp.com
Best regards,
Dave Lovell, W9DAG
RF Eng./Pres./'scanatic?
Electra Corp. - maker of Tiger Scan.
'The Originators of Scanning Monitors'

5964. IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 19:03:33 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The stuff from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then says "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished downloading but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the program. Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything. Thanks!

st at reticent dot net

5965. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 19:33:10 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BE75D3.66DE2E07@some.net>
Source record: 79792
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

First I never said anything about requiring anyone to build a radio, but if you are going into a hobby that allows you to do so and put it on the air, you need to pass certain minimum requirements that you have some knowledge of what you are doing. How about not requiring a driver's license or age limit to drive a car, just let anyone go buy a one and get on the road, no testing of highway rules or driving skill. Do you have a driver's license or did you think it just wasn't worth the trouble. If you think the requirements for getting a ham license is not worth it to you, fine, I have no problems with that, but don't whine and cry because it isn't going to be given to you just because you don't want to meet some minimum requirements.

John Doe wrote:

> With your logic in mind you say people should not drive cars if they cant
> build one from scratch. Same with ham radios. I guess you could call it lazy
> but to me its not worth the trouble.
>
> "

5966. Oakland, CA GE # of Trunks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 19:49:17 UTC
From: Richard King <rking@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <01c01cf2$769f7940$95a6accf@rking>
Source record: 79793

Can any Northern California residents help me out?

I have three separate lists of trunk frequencies for the GE system used by the City of Oakland. Of the three, the list with the fewest number of trunks "seems" to work best in the BC245XLT, But I still think I may be missing a transmission or two.

The list that works the best is the one I took from the Bearcat guide that came with the unit, but I have two additional regional guides that have additional channels, each varying by a trunk or two.

When I put all the trunks in, the radio is next to useless. Hence the question, does anyone have a current list and sequence order for the Oakland system?

Thank you for your help.

5967. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 19:52:29 UTC
From: cj697@freenet.buffalo.edu
Message-ID: <G0sHvH.I73@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 79796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> Gunner,
> Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power
> and is
> blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they c
> an't
> watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhoo
> d
> whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
> Now that was strange.
> Russ
> PS
> If you are going to play, at least be subtle
>
<snippage>

Having a radio go through a guitar amp isn't all that strange. The guitar player in my band (back when I was a wanna-be rock star) had that happen a couple of times. Once was a police car several hundred yards away, came through clear as a bell. The other time I know of was either a cell phone or (more likely) a cordless phone. I forget the brand name, but it was a large tube amp. So it isn't unheard of. By the way, he lived about 400 yards away from me in the middle of nowhere (we were the closest neighbors to each other) and I ran 100 watts at HF, and it never came through his amp. He would have told me if I was, he knew I was a ham.

Bill N2KMF
--

Check out this week's firearms commentary at:
http://www.planettimes.com/features/barrel_twist/index.htm

5968. Auction: PRO-2052 four days left, reserve met!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 20:11:34 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39be8dcc.1871003@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79794

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0xA646B239>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x3554CA4F>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets
the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is
unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By
Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

5969. New PRO 94 A now does 'Simultaneous Trunking'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 20:17:01 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun>
Message-ID: <srt3kfdrct6168@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79795

If you had subscribed to 4phun-radio@egroups.com you would now know that what you wrote about the Pro 94 is no longer true. RadioShack has quietly updated the PRO 94 to something called a PRO 94 A on June 27, 2000.

One outstanding characteristic of the new PRO 94 A is that it now does 'Simultaneous Trunking'
And it seems to be better thought out then the BC245 XLT new version!

From the PRO 94 A manual on page 39 it reads ...

SETTING THE SCANNER TO THE TRUNKING MODE

Simultaneous Trunking

The scanner allows you to track up to 10 systems at a time. You can trunk scan or search and scan conven-tional frequencies at the same time. You can also program conventional frequencies in the same bank as trunking systems.

After the scanner is finished checking activity in the trunked system, it scans other conventional frequencies in the bank. (Only trunking frequencies are programmed in trunk mode.)

If a system is inactive for 1 second and there are no conventional channels in the bank, the scanner starts scan-ning the next selected trunk bank. If you turned DELAY on, the scanner starts scanning the next trunk bank after about 5 seconds.

The PRO 94 A has several other nice features that make it a suitable trunking radio scanner compared to the BC 245 XLT. The only downside is that there is still no computer interface to upload frequencies from a PC to the scanner. Still IMHO, many people will not be bothered by that.

73 de ki4je

Just 4phun-radio

"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message news:iAkt5.1316$JY2.529653@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> The Pro-94 is a good radio, the reason you don't hear too much about it is
> because it can not scan trunk and conventional at the same time. Other then
> this, it works just fine. The Pro-92a is also a very good radio, and the
> Bearcat 245 is still considered by many to be the best for trunking. As

for
> what is in your area, just ask for a freq sheet at your local RS. Many RS
> stores provide local freq sheets as a public service for their customers.
>
> I am currently building a new website that address all this and so much
> more. Still under construction, but you are welcome to check it out and
> follow the progress. http://www.rebel-radio.net
>
> Good luck!
> Jim

5970. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 20:47:36 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BE9668.F03A60D7@cts.com>
Source record: 79697
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
>
> I believe you can still have the rules with out all that red tape. If I
> wanted to I could go through all that trouble to get my ticket and still act
> like an donkey on the air. once they found me then it would be over. But I
> just dont see how the red tape is going to stop the affenders. What you need
> is more enforcement. Like maybe let the local police help out when their are
> complaints, if the FCC does not have the manpower. and Yes the knowledge to
> tune your own radio would be helpful but their will always be people that
> will do that for you for a few bucks. As for the telephone yes the phone
> company would deal with morons. and the gov. would deal with them on the
> air. and we all know how they love to spend money.
>
>
The CB got it's reputation from the movies.
Short of some glamour movie with hams tapping away to save the
president; I doubt relaxed rules would cause a mass exodus to ham bands.
It just won't happen.

You have semi controlled access to you repeaters, you can police that
can't you? The cost of gear is enough to keep most lowlifes from the cb
away.

The vast majority of those in the professional communications business
are starting to view hams as spectrum hogs.

KVB-97

5971. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 20:48:34 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39BE96A1.2B31@interactive.net>
Source record: 79698
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
> I believe you can still have the rules with out all that red tape.

I must still disagree as the range of permitted aspects of amateur radio transend the possibility of a "no license" approach. High power, build your own equipment, etc. all demand more than just a "mail in your name/address" approach to licensing.

> If I wanted to I could go through all that trouble to
> get my ticket and still act like an donkey on the air.

Yes you could, but that doesn't happen anywhere near as much as can be found in a no license arrangement.

> once they found me then it would be over. But I
> just dont see how the red tape is going to stop the affenders.

It matters not IF it does or why it does. The reality is that on a comparitive basis, amateur radio (a licensed and tested service) has far less rule breakers than does CB radio. So it isn't a matter of debating IF licneses and license testing will stop offenders, it is absolutely the case that it does. Is it 100% perfect? Not at all, but it has a far better track record than CB does.

> What you need is more enforcement.

The FCC already lives with their CB nightmare as to rules enforcement and has pretty much abondoned enforcement except in the most egregious (sp?) cases...usually of interference. Ham radio has its own (small) group of offenders, but they are much easier to find and reel in by the FCC.

> Like maybe let the local police help out when their are
> complaints, if the FCC does not have the manpower.

The LAST people you want enforcing radio rules is your local police. The local police have ZERO knowledge of such enforcement and they have no real interest in getting involved anyway...(far more glory in solving homicides and drug busts than radio interference complaints).

> and Yes the knowledge to
> tune your own radio would be helpful
> but their will always be people that
> will do that for you for a few bucks.

The fact remains that hams are allowed to make
those adjustments themselves and that is one
reason for testing. No testing, no allowed use
of self tuned equipment. What you are proposing
is a different type of service. Perhaps you
should try GMRS, FRS, etc.

> As for the telephone yes the phone
> company would deal with morons. and the gov.
> would deal with them on the
> air. and we all know how they love to spend money.

If THEY means the FCC, the fact remains that
the FCC has a limited budget and ham radio
generates NO government revenue to pay for
any enforcement.

5972. Pittsburgh PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 21:00:08 UTC
From: David Rudnick <cocoa999@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <cocoa999-041B71.17001412092000@news6.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79699

I live in Central PA, near harrisburg. This weekend, I will be taking a trip to Pittsburgh. Any complete freq lists or websites for turnpike freqs and pittsburgh freqs?

--
David Rudnick
cocoa9999@yahoo.com

5973. Re: IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 21:13:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <z%wv5.41201$QW4.505121@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Make sure your COM ports are setup in CMOS/BIOS with their true address, and IRQ's! Plug'n'Play automatic settings can confuse DOS programs. If that doesn't help, try disabling the FiFo buffering on your COM port in Win2k...see if that helps.

Bill Crocker

"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The stuff
> from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then says
> "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished
downloading
> but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the program.
> Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping
> cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything. Thanks!
>
> st at reticent dot net
>
>

5974. Re: WANTED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 21:13:40 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39c09c41.11587624@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79701

All depends on whose airspace they're operating in. No different than anyone else as far as obtaining clearance from tower, etc.

On Mon, 11 Sep 2000 15:43:00 -0400 (EDT), egh-2421@webtv.net (edward gilmartin) wrote:

>looking for any blimp freq.

5975. BC200xlt for sale!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 21:26:17 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000912172617.15065.00000860@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 79702

I have a bc200 xlt for sale that is in great shape and comes with the ac adapter, manual, box and leather case. please email me directly if interested, buyer and i will split shipping.

5976. Re: IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 21:36:01 UTC
From: GB <spamblock@no.net>
Message-ID: <8pm7ou$8h8$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 79703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ARC2 will support NT4 and NT2000 soon. The problems with NT4 are fixed and
we will release a new version within 2 weeks that supports NT4. NT2000 will
be supported soon but requires more testing over here.
The problem you reported looks simular to the problems that were reported
under NT4.

Win.ME should be no problem with current version, according to one of our
reg.users but for the moment we do not support ME until we checked it
ourselves.

Gommert Buysen
BuTel Software - The Netherlands
http://www.butel.nl

st <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The stuff
> from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then says
> "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished
downloading
> but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the program.
> Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping
> cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything. Thanks!
>
> st at reticent dot net
>
>

5977. Dominican Republic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 22:01:04 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000912180104.02407.00000011@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 79704

Looking for frequencies for the Dominican Republic.
TIA

RickeyStein rickey456@aol.com

5978. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 22:12:33 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <39BEA9EE.19A29406@topnow.com>
Source record: 79705
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:

> The CB got it's reputation from the movies.

I would think listening to CB would do far more harm to CB'ers
reputations than any movie could. What a cesspool of idiots, foul-
mouths, low-lifes, losers and drunkards. Ham radio at its worst
(say 75 meters or 3950) is pretty close to CB's average, in my
experience.

>
> Short of some glamour movie with hams tapping away to save the
> president; I doubt relaxed rules would cause a mass exodus to ham bands.

Exodus from where? If you mean from CB, as soon as the word's
out about repeaters and genuinely useful coverage areas
vs. CB's pathetic range, you'd better bet there would be a mass exodus
from CB to ham radio. You can only hope it would be the better half of the CB'ers
fleeing the bottom half. As it stands, written tests keep out *some* of the lazy
and stupid ones who might otherwise get in.

>
> It just won't happen.
>

Think again. Look at how many FRS radios have sold since they were
introduced just a short while ago.

>
> You have semi controlled access to you repeaters, you can police that
> can't you? The cost of gear is enough to keep most lowlifes from the cb
> away.

You try "enforcement" sometime. Local and state authorities are
(properly) not interested in matters like this which are
totally outside their jurisdiction anyways. The police (should) have way
more important problems than annoyed hams to cope with.

That leaves the feds, and basically this means the FCC.
The FCC takes literally years
to act against jammers and bootleggers, even when there are extensive
tapes and direction-finding evidence gathered by hams to catch the
miscreant. I know of one rare case
where a jammer in this area actually was convicted and did prison time,
but the case against him -- and his jamming -- went on for years. Last I've

heard he's out and back to old tricks.

It all started with a *borrowed* radio, with which he caused an unbelievable amount
of trouble (even hampering firefighting efforts during the big Oakland hills fire that claimed so many lives and homes). Another repeater jammer who also liked to interfere with air traffic transmissions, got time, if I remember correctly.
But for jamming repeaters -- you get away with it for years. :(

Besides, just because someone's a lowlife doesn't mean they don't have money. Look at Shrub Jr. Besides, there's a lot of ham gear stolen every year. Some of it surely ends up being used, and not by licensed amateurs, either. Some people refer to "CB" as Criminal Band. From listening on occasion with my HF receiver, it's criminal they don't shut down the band and set up all arc welders to operate on those frequencies.

The only way ham radio is going to be able to cope with relaxed rules is with a technological solution which can "lock out" certain users or transmitters once they start to abuse the bands.

-- Ross

>
> The vast majority of those in the professional communications business
> are starting to view hams as spectrum hogs.

That's funny. I would think dedicated frequency assignments for a local school or other very-low-traffic user would be at *least* as wasteful, yet you see that all the time where trunking isn't used.

>
>
> KVB-97

5979. Re: New PRO 94 A now does 'Simultaneous Trunking'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:04:12 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MDyv5.22934$JY2.3575166@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79710

> From the PRO 94 A manual on page 39 it reads ...
>
>
> SETTING THE SCANNER TO THE TRUNKING MODE
>
> Simultaneous Trunking
>
> The scanner allows you to track up to 10 systems at a
> time. You can trunk scan or search and scan conven-tional
> frequencies at the same time. You can also program
> conventional frequencies in the same bank as
> trunking systems.
>
> After the scanner is finished checking activity in the
> trunked system, it scans other conventional frequencies
> in the bank. (Only trunking frequencies are programmed
> in trunk mode.)
>
> If a system is inactive for 1 second and there are no conventional
> channels in the bank, the scanner starts scan-ning
> the next selected trunk bank. If you turned DELAY
> on, the scanner starts scanning the next trunk bank after
> about 5 seconds.
>

This is exactly what the BC 245XLT does, and is worded the same in the 245
manual.
Compare this, and the other features of the 245, plus computer link, AND the
low price of the BC 245XLT ($209),
the pro-94A is still way over priced! If they drop the price of the 94 to
around $179 ir would be a good buy, otherwise why pay almost $100 more for
the 94 when the 245 is cheaper AND better???

5980. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:12:53 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BEB875.37A2C8E3@cts.com>
Source record: 79711
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:
>
> markj wrote:
>
> > The CB got it's reputation from the movies.
>
> I would think listening to CB would do far more harm to CB'ers
> reputations than any movie could. What a cesspool of idiots, foul-
> mouths, low-lifes, losers and drunkards. Ham radio at its worst
> (say 75 meters or 3950) is pretty close to CB's average, in my
> experience.
>
> >
> > Short of some glamour movie with hams tapping away to save the
> > president; I doubt relaxed rules would cause a mass exodus to ham bands.
>
> Exodus from where? If you mean from CB, as soon as the word's
> out about repeaters and genuinely useful coverage areas
> vs. CB's pathetic range, you'd better bet there would be a mass exodus
> from CB to ham radio. You can only hope it would be the better half of the CB'ers
> fleeing the bottom half. As it stands, written tests keep out *some* of the lazy
> and stupid ones who might otherwise get in.

UHF CB aka GMRS never turned into a cesspool. FRS has shot a short range
that interference between users is short lived. I agree that 27mhz is
garbage.

The bottom line is the spectrum is now worth big money. You can easly
lopp off 2/3rd's YES 2/3rd's of the ham spectrum and not greatly impact
the current users. The FCC knows the hams will comply if told to leave
so it would be a slammdunk.

Hams need to justify the holding of all that spectrum. Forget the code
b.s...they want your main resources...spectrum.

better get orginized folks cause the boogy man is coming and Rielly H.
won't be able to help you.

KXK-366

>
> >
> > It just won't happen.
> >

>
> Think again. Look at how many FRS radios have sold since they were
> introduced just a short while ago.
>
> >
> > You have semi controlled access to you repeaters, you can police that
> > can't you? The cost of gear is enough to keep most lowlifes from the cb
> > away.
>
> You try "enforcement" sometime. Local and state authorities are
> (properly) not interested in matters like this which are
> totally outside their jurisdiction anyways. The police (should) have way
> more important problems than annoyed hams to cope with.
>
> That leaves the feds, and basically this means the FCC.
> The FCC takes literally years
> to act against jammers and bootleggers, even when there are extensive
> tapes and direction-finding evidence gathered by hams to catch the
> miscreant. I know of one rare case
> where a jammer in this area actually was convicted and did prison time,
> but the case against him -- and his jamming -- went on for years. Last I've
> heard he's out and back to old tricks.
>
> It all started with a *borrowed* radio, with which he caused an unbelievable
> amount
> of trouble (even hampering firefighting efforts during the big Oakland hills fire
> that claimed so many lives and homes). Another repeater jammer who also
> liked to interfere with air traffic transmissions, got time, if I remember
> correctly.
> But for jamming repeaters -- you get away with it for years. :(
>
> Besides, just because someone's a lowlife doesn't mean they don't have
> money. Look at Shrub Jr. Besides, there's a lot of ham gear
> stolen every year. Some of it surely ends up being used, and not
> by licensed amateurs, either. Some people refer to "CB" as Criminal Band.
> From listening on occasion with my HF receiver, it's criminal they don't
> shut down the band and set up all arc welders to operate on those frequencies.
>
> The only way ham radio is going to be able to cope with relaxed rules
> is with a technological solution which can "lock out" certain users or
> transmitters once they start to abuse the bands.
>
> -- Ross
>
> >
> > The vast majority of those in the professional communications business
> > are starting to view hams as spectrum hogs.
>
> That's funny. I would think dedicated frequency assignments for
> a local school or other very-low-traffic user would be at *least*

> as wasteful, yet you see that all the time where trunking isn't used.
>
> >
> >
> > KVB-97

5981. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:53:49 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BEC20D.1E2683BB@bctv.com>
Source record: 79706
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Keith,
>
> We are of a "fraternity". I've got 36 years in NC Wg.

Cool.

I was a cadet in CAWG about 25 - 28 years ago, was away from CAP for a couple of decades (active with other agencies), then came back in in AZWG in 1994. Currently in Utah, not active while I get things shuffled around, soon back with it.

5982. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:55:49 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BEC285.9E19BD8B@bctv.com>
Source record: 79707
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > VORs and VORTACS still ident with code, but even back in the mid 1960s
> > they used a recorded voice. I still remember " . . .Pomona . . .V-O-R .
> > . ." and wondering if the guy ever got bored.
>
> He was married to the lady that did the I.D.s for XETRA AM 690.
> Ekes-aye-terier......Tijuana Mexico".

Aw, nuts, I didn't want to know that she was married! ;)

Whoever that young lady was, she sure had a foxy voice!

5983. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:56:22 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BEC2A6.9A6B34E6@bctv.com>
Source record: 79708
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > Well you would not try or even need to send code with a mirror to signal you needed
> > help. The reason SOS was chosen is because it is easy to recognize the pattern which
> > is in three's. So any signal that comes in three's is considered to be a call of
> > distress, three flashes of light, three gunshots, three bangs on the pipes repeated
> > three times, ect.
>
> Never use a mirror to signal distress. You can blind the rescue pilots.
>
> Three gunshots is safer.

Not for the pilots! ;)

5984. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-12 23:58:07 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39BEC30F.CF2E8590@bctv.com>
Source record: 79709
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
>
> John Doe wrote:
>
> > I would like to add my 2 cents to ham radio. I believe there are a lot of
> > good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it was
> > not for all that red tape. I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
>
> In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain something,
> you want it given to you.

Or, in other words, the effort wasn't seen as cost-effective.

Which is why you don't have a doctorate.

5985. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39BFE10F.207403D5@zylay.com>
Source record: 79647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"jsellers airmail.net" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
> wrote:
>
> >> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >> of years ago..
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >
> >A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
> >frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
> >or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
> >it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
> So....does this mean that my old HyGain II with a SLIDER is now
> illegel? Also known as a VFO.
>
> It was all legal when I bought it. But that was a number of years
> ago.
>
> Later....Jim from Texas

if it controls the TX frequency, it is. Understand here that I've played
loose and fast with the rules myself...I'm not endorsing them, just
discussing them.

5986. Pro 26 Scanner Javiation chip for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Conrad <aconrad@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <1yRv5.1891$UI3.170145@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 79648

I sold the scanner without ever installing the chip. The chip would have to be installed by a tech, who can work with very small soldering.

The way I understand it, the chip converts the unit over to EURO specs, meaning that it will make the scanner pickup cell in the 869-890 region instead of the downcoverted (modified units) 369-390 area it picks it up now. Basically a EURO scanner gives you up to 1.3GHZ with no gaps in coverage at all.

This item costs $75 new, offers?

Regards,
Adam Conrad

5987. Hamilton Twp. NJ Channel 2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hotwater67 <Hotwater67CLOTHES@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CySv5.7166$6f1.503816@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79649

Hi All,
Does anyone have channel 2 for Hamilton NJ PD?

The main Frq is 453.525
The old channel 2 was 453.425, but they're not using it as channel 2
anymore.
The third channel I have for them is 460.450, but that's not used either.

Thanks,
- HW

5988. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BFE60D.E307E8F1@some.net>
Source record: 79650
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nobody is cross posting your posts, you are doing it yourself. It is obvious
you don't know how Usenet newsgroups work. Here I'll paste in the header and
you can see for yourself which newsgroups you are posting to. Notice the last
lines where it says Newsgroups followed by the groups you are posting to.

Subject:
Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)
Date:
Wed, 13 Sep 2000 14:12:13 -0400
From:
"John Doe" <no.address@aol.com>
Reply-To:
"John Doe" <no.adress@aol.com>
Organization:
WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:

> If my messages are getting cross posted its because somone else is copyng
> them and cross posting. But if you think I am you better guess again.
>
> "JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BF89EF.53D2C272@some.net...
> >
> >
> > John Doe wrote:
> >
> > > What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you
> belong
> > > in the ham NG
> > >
> >
> > What sort of numbskull are you cross posting to the
> > alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> > rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.scanner, and the rec.radio.shortwave
> > newsgroups when you belong in the CB NG.

> >

5989. Re: Pro 2035 with full os535 and cell unlock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000913182956.05103.00000019@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79651

This scanner is now on ebay!!

Thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437498694

5990. FA RS Pro 2035/ OS535/ Cell unlock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000913183228.05103.00000020@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79652

Radio shsck pro 2035 with opto os535 and cell unlock code. Receives full cellular band through the os535 when unlocked.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437498694

Thanks!!

5991. Re: Yaesu/Vertex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com.gov.mil
Message-ID: <20000913184332.24068.00001033@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 79653

try these sites:

www.batlabs.com for the rick.
try http://w3.pca.net/~cpclark/
for the vertex

also try http://www.geocities.com/radiosoft_2000/

is a good site if you have time and an understanding of russian

Kevin.....]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

5992. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C004CE.336BBD65@cts.com>
Source record: 79654
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
>
> I would like to say that you made a good reply. For the safety aspect of it
> yes I can understand the need for some red tape now.

What safety aspect. You're not really gonna go *there* are you?

Chainsaws, electric hair dryers, microwave ovens, golf clubs etc....

Look, there was a valid reason in the past for testing and code. The
hams were the kinda of the "rescue rangers" of the airwaves. Now there
are plenty of non hams on non ham frequencies that do what hams have
done. You don't need hams to make skywarn work. If you dropped some red
tape you might be suprised at the quality of volunteers you get to help
out with club projects.

jus about nobody builds a radio from scratch, most folks can grasp the
concepts of buttons and readouts and most will learn not to place their
tounges on the center antenna conductor while transmitting.

Cut red tape...
Get some new members fast...
Of start loosing spectrum.

You have to please "da man" or else...

Markj
K4&%$#

Acquiring

5993. PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ham <hduff@ica.net>
Message-ID: <39C003B6.8CEA758A@ica.net>
Source record: 79655

```
Sell: Radio Shack PRO-2026 with cell mod.
Perfect working condition...9/10 physically.
Offers ?
```

5994. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.L. Webb" <jlwebb@schitt.com>
Message-ID: <39C00B64.EF0F665D@schitt.com>
Source record: 79656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <%yUu5.38755$QW4.473988@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>,
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
> > For those of you concerned about the State Of Michigan's strict laws
> > concerning having a scanner radio in your car, all you have to do is
> obtain
> > a permit!
> >
> > If you are a resident of Michigan, and do not have a serious criminal
> > record, simply call them, and request an application for a permit to
> have a
> > scanner radio in your automobile.

All you need is a Ham radio license, and you can have a scanner in your car
in ANY state, including Michigan. Study guides are available at Radio
Shack, simple multiple choice test is easy to pass, and the no-code license
does not require you to know morse code.

5995. Real Audio Problem w/ live scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000913192942.25835.00000165@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 79657

Can anyone help? I try to use Real Audio to listen to the numerous live scanners that are available. Problem is, I may have lost an "important" file.

In the past month, every time I try to connect to a site, it tells me Bad address/link, etc.....I then try the SAME site using windows player, etc, and it
goes thru. I have downloaded the real player again, and still the same prob.

Did I loose something in the windows program, or why cant I connect with real audio. I know these sites work, 'cause I used them before. Any easy remedy would be very much appreciated!
Oper911

5996. WTB: BEARCAT XTALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Roberts <mkr@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <yaUv5.55$0y5.8127@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 79658

I need these BEARCAT xtals, If you have all or any please advise
Thanks 73 Mike

154.145
155.130
155.760

5997. Re: Scanner Buying (WAS: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000913195338.04525.00001070@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79659

>Trouble is, most of the "real" radios you describe lack trunk tracking
>ability. If police/fire coverage is not desired (or following a
>conversation carefully is no big deal), no problem,

Of course it depends on what are you live in. As far as I know, there are no agencies using trunking here in RI.. as a matter of fact all local agencies transmit in the 150 - 160 mhz range...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

5998. Pro-46 Handheld scanner price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mookie <rodmak@v-wave.com>
Message-ID: <39C033E5.45831940@v-wave.com>
Source record: 79660

I have a Pro-46 handheld scanner I bought in Canada, date code 2A5. I want to sell it but have no idea whats it's worth, can you guys give me an idea? It has no mods beside the keypad entry test mode to pick up cell band.

Thanx
Mookie

5999. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39BFFD74.354A9A79@cts.com>
Source record: 79661

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> It is too easy...I don't even understand why they have the process, it
> serves no real purpose!

It's called a database.....

markj

6000. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pocrs$2bs$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> My dream scanner would be as follows.
>
> *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz

The C.T.I.A.'s " Men In Black " will be looking for you. :-) :->
>
> *Large alpha numerical display
>
> *Bright evenly lit backlight

In green or blue.
>
> *All available Trunk systems

Should include APCOA 25 digital systems from Moto, Ericcssonn, LTR,
and even propriety systems, and TETRA.
>
> *Ability to scan conventional and trunk

Yeah, but where does digital come into play, be it
APCOA 25 standard or not?
>
> *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
>
> *Decent audio output
>
> *Band Scope
>
> *Computer interface
>
> *Attenuator, NB, AFC
>
> *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
etc.),
> and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank
1, 50
> channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
>
> *Debugging feature
>
> *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler

I think that maybe you forgot Independent sideband.
>
> *Additional 40 banks for search mode
>
> *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
>
> * 2 VFO's
>
> *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
>
> *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
>
> *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads

Do not forget, computer controllable too.
>
> *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
>
> *Backlit keys

In green or blue.
>
> *Useful Manual
>
> Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> Pro-92A!!
> Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US

Well F R E E would be the prefect price.
>
> Oh well, back to the real world.
> Jim
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6001. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pod2v$2nf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <%yUu5.38755$QW4.473988@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>,
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
> For those of you concerned about the State Of Michigan's strict laws
> concerning having a scanner radio in your car, all you have to do is
obtain
> a permit!
>
> If you are a resident of Michigan, and do not have a serious criminal
> record, simply call them, and request an application for a permit to
have a
> scanner radio in your automobile.
>
What if you are not a CITIZEN of MICHIGAN and you DO NOT
have a CRIMINAL record or for that matter a C.D., 8-TRACK? :-) :->
All joking aside; I am serious. Please respond to my dejanews e-mail
account. Thanks!!!!

> Call: (517) 336 6240, and ask for a permit.
>
> If you have an Ham license, you are NOT required to obtain a permit,
NOR
> will they issue you one. You do not have to be a licensed Ham radio
> operator, or member of any organization to obtain a permit. Simply
state
> that it is your hobby, you enjoy listening to it, and that you will
not be
> an ambulance chaser, or interfere in any way with the police, fire, or
other
> services that you hear.
>
> They will mail you the application, and once you return it, you should
> receive your permit in about 3 to 4 weeks. It is good for 3 years!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6002. FS: Realistic PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jTOv5.6884$M37.485514@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79731

Excellent condition. Works perfectly. Has been moded for full 800Mhz coverage. No box or manual. Comes with rubber duck antenna and AC adapter/battery charger.

Reason for sale: hardly gets any use, I use my PRO-2004 more.

Price is $200 shipped in CONUS

Thanks, Frank

6003. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <aiPv5.28243$e8.312814@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79732
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have a good point yourself. And I would have to say that in most cases
that would work just as good. The morons would get bored and leave. But
their are a lot of hot heads out their that would give them what they want.

> That's an excellent point John. But they may soon find that no one is
> talking
> back. In most cases the "moron" will only keep transmitting if he/she is
> getting responses. (kind of like a troll on a newsgroup). A (good) ham op
> is taught early on to ignore these transmissions. It's just no fun for the
> illegal
> operators if they don't get someone to bite.
> And if the illegal op really just wants to make contacts, once again, no
> one
> is likely to respond to someone without a valid call sign.
>
> Steve
>
>
>

6004. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4mPv5.28337$e8.314565@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79733
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If my messages are getting cross posted its because somone else is copyng
them and cross posting. But if you think I am you better guess again.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BF89EF.53D2C272@some.net...
>
>
> John Doe wrote:
>
> > What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you
belong
> > in the ham NG
> >
>
> What sort of numbskull are you cross posting to the
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.scanner, and the rec.radio.shortwave
> newsgroups when you belong in the CB NG.
>

6005. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XqPv5.28395$e8.308959@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79734
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would like to say that you made a good reply. For the safety aspect of it
yes I can understand the need for some red tape now. As the others said for
keeping the morons out I still dissagree.

Take care,

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:39BEED02.2E8D5635@sccoast.net...
>
> John Doe wrote:
> >
> > I believe you can still have the
> > rules with out all that red tape.
>
>
> The license requirement is not 'red tape," John. The exam you take to
> get that license is designed to insure that you have the basic knowledge
> to operate a radio safely and that you know the rules concerning that
> radio operation. The license is proof that you have taken that exam.
>
> This differs from CB because the ham radio service differs from CB.
> Unlike CB, the ham radio service involves the possibility of very high
> powered radio equipment, a wider range of technologies (repeaters,
> satellite, digital modes, microwaves, etc.), a wider range of
> frequencies and frequency conditions, and a stated mandate of providing
> emergency communications in time of need.
>
> It is also for these very reasons that rule enforcement is much
> stronger in the ham radio service.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6006. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000913141748.10650.00003730@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79735

In article <4EEv5.42833$QW4.515590@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker"
<bcrocker@home.com> writes:

>It is too easy...I don't even understand why they have the process, it
>serves no real purpose!

I'll say it again for those who didn't hear the first time:

"The first step to scanner CONFISCATION is scanner REGISTRATION."

Do you understand now?

6007. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39BFC59F.E39E49BA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 79736

TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> In article <4EEv5.42833$QW4.515590@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker"
> <bcrocker@home.com> writes:
>
> >It is too easy...I don't even understand why they have the process, it
> >serves no real purpose!
>
> I'll say it again for those who didn't hear the first time:
>
> "The first step to scanner CONFISCATION is scanner REGISTRATION."
>
> Do you understand now?

If we say yes, will you shut up & go away?

--
TimTyler@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~timtyler

6008. Re: WATED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <oEPv5.26$213.32843@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79737

Goodyear Blimp
Frequency Description
123.050 Common Blimp port frequency
123.250 Shuffield (Ohio) Wingfoot Lake Facility
132.000 Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company channel
151.625 Blimp Operations NBFM
465.9125 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power
465.9375 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power
465.9625 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power

www.freqofnature.com

"edward gilmartin" <egh-2421@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13940-39BCF6B3-14@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> looking for any blimp freq.
>
> thanks
>
>

6009. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freq Of Nature <sservice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <GQPv5.39$213.35025@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79738

"Station 9, paul 32. I'll be out on a subject in the street with a
flashlight at Patricia and Los Angeles Streets."

-five minutes later-
"Station 9, Paul 32. It's code 4. He was looking for his TV remote control
that his wife threw in to the street."

www.freqofnature.com

"Kim and Ted Moran" <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote in message
news:X76u5.178$y6.64446@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Chicago police dept old timers will sometimes dispatch man down calls as
> "sidewalk inspectors"
>
> ----------
> In article <20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com>,
tomcat4680@aol.com
> (TomCat4680) wrote:
>
> >
> > anyone with funny police dispatches post em
> >
> > Tom
> >
> > Visit my Genesee County Michigan Scanner Information Page at
> > http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/
>

6010. SCANNER REVIEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hVPv5.444$Fi6.65020@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Totally unbiased reviews of today's popular radios by a non comercial site!

Pro-92, Pro-94, Pro-2052, Pro-2067, BC245XLT

photo's, comparisons, and more.

http://www.rebel-radio.net

6011. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39BFCFB7.E3BB86F5@yok.com>
Source record: 79740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Fuck off Dwayne.

Dwayne wrote:

> Grow up. We don't need that kind of crap here.
>
> klopie <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com...
> > And it would understand voice commands and suck my
> > cock.

6012. Jason Morris Idiot Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39BFCFFC.F9C59235@yok.com>
Source record: 79741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You mean your boyfriend sucks your dick cause you don't have a wife.

Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer wrote:

> Hey Klopie,
>
> Maybe suck your dick, but I'll leave the sucking to my wife...ASSHOLE!
>
> "klopie" <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com...
> > And it would understand voice commands and suck my
> > cock.
> >
> >
> >
> > Jason Morris - Chief Information Officer wrote:
> >
> > > I agree, that would sound like an excellent scanner, now to convince
> Uniden,
> > > Icom or anyone else to produce it for $300....Oh well, off cloud nine
> and
> > > back to work.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Jason Morris
> > >
> > > "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > > > My dream scanner would be as follows.
> > > >
> > > > *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
> > > >
> > > > *Large alpha numerical display
> > > >
> > > > *Bright evenly lit backlight
> > > >
> > > > *All available Trunk systems
> > > >
> > > > *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> > > >
> > > > *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
> > > >
> > > > *Decent audio output
> > > >

> > > > *Band Scope
> > > >
> > > > *Computer interface
> > > >
> > > > *Attenuator, NB, AFC
> > > >
> > > > *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
> > > etc.),
> > > > and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank
> 1,
> > > 50
> > > > channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
> > > >
> > > > *Debugging feature
> > > >
> > > > *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
> > > >
> > > > *Additional 40 banks for search mode
> > > >
> > > > *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
> > > >
> > > > * 2 VFO's
> > > >
> > > > *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
> > > >
> > > > *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
> > > >
> > > > *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
> > > >
> > > > *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
> > > >
> > > > *Backlit keys
> > > >
> > > > *Useful Manual
> > > >
> > > > Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> > > > It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> > > > Pro-92A!!
> > > > Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
> > > >
> > > > Oh well, back to the real world.
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >

6013. Re: Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EwQv5.2$rM3.1980@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79742

How about....

[large print]
"I Listen To Celular Calls"
[small print]
"I know who you are and what you did"

SMB <scott.m.bowen1@jsc.nasa.gov> wrote in message
news:8po12p$99d@niven.ksc.nasa.gov...
> I think we should get together and make up shirts that say,
> "Scanning Is Not A Crime"
>
> Then put all the scanner makers logos on it.
>
>
>
> John Glas <jglas@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:27422-39BF0393-2@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Does anybody sell T-shirts with different
> > company logo's. I would like a Uniden
> > Bearcat shirt if any one sells such a thing and I'm sure other scanner
> > enthusiasts
> > would like to have there favorite product.
> > Just wondering?
> >
>
>

6014. Black Jaguar BJ200MKIII Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A. Hach" <lush3@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <39BFDEC4.F024BAA3@geocities.com>
Source record: 79743

Hello everybody,

I've got this old PALCOM BlackJagur MK200III scanner
and I'm desperately looking for a modification to make this unit receive
the cellular band (40MHZ)
This is a rather old unit with a 7925PLL any hints?
I kept searching the net for hours ... no results so far.
Thanks in advance,
Andreas

Added on Date: 13:56:25 9/13/100

Please reply to lush3@geocities.com!

6015. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39BFDE30.C3E6AF7@zylay.com>
Source record: 79744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Russ Williams wrote:
>
> Gunner,
> Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power and is
> blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they can't
> watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhood
> whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
> Now that was strange.
> Russ
> PS
> If you are going to play, at least be subtle
>
> Gunner wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> > >> of years ago..
> > >>
> > >> Gunner
> > >
> > >A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
> > >frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
> > >or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
> > >it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
> >
> > So.. the local street cop is now a Class A/1 tech? He has a RF Watt meter or
> > xray eyes that will look into your rig and find out the out of band mods?
> >
> > Gunner

I ain't Gunner but here goes my take on it:

You follow the instructions on the FCC website for filing a complaint.
Normally, the only way you're gonna get the cops involved in that one is
if you escalate it into a disturbance. They might try to talk to both of
you to keep it from going that far, but they'd have no way of knowing if
the guy was running high power or not, if he was legally licensed to run
high power or not, or if your equipment was faulty or not which is the
cause of the majority of interference problems.

6016. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39BFDF18.106F0896@zylay.com>
Source record: 79745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> >If you are going to play, at least be subtle
> >
> >Gunner wrote:
>
> Of course... and since we are speculating... a hypothetical neighbor of an
> imaginary fellow whom looked a lot like me was this type of person. Lots and
> Lots of power... no manners, no couth, no brains. After several nights where
> this imaginary neibor put the mic on vox. and turned up the tv... it was
> discovered that when a handfull of finishing nails get hammered into RG8 coax
> while the imaginary owner of said coax was on a beer run... and then returns
> home to do some more impolite stuff using LOTS of power.. the radio tends to
> let out all the smoke packed into the components. And continued to do so for
> some time.. each time the IN (imaginary neighbor) would find a nail and remove
> it.. and then key up again.... Seems the IN was not bright enough to use an
> ohm meter to check for shorts. Finally realizing it was a coax problem.. he
> then replaced it. When his nonsense started up again.. it was found that the
> smoke comes out just as well when the coax is clipped in half about 20ft up
> the tower, then taped somewhat together so it looks sorta ok from the ground.

Dayamn, but I like your answer so much better than mine :)

6017. Pro-2052 auction, reserve met, three days left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39bfe174.4335560@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79746

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0xA646B239>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x3554CA4F>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets
the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is
unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By
Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

6018. Brand New IcomR2 For sale!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:06:30 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000912200630.25433.00000003@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 79712

I have a brand new icomr2 for sale only 2 weeks old and i comes with box and manual for 160 shipping included once i recieve the cable and softwarwe from Icom i will send it also

email me if interested

gregg

6019. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 00:07:29 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BEB620.180EBC67@some.net>
Source record: 79713
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have no need for a doctorate, if I did I would do what is necessary for one and I would not consider the necessary requirements to obtain one to be unnecessary red tape.

Keith Wood wrote:

> JR wrote:
> >
> > John Doe wrote:
> >
> > > I would like to add my 2 cents to ham radio. I believe there are a lot of
> > > good people that would be interested in the hobby including myself if it was
> > > not for all that red tape. I got into CB because all I had to do was go to
> > > Kmart buy a CB and talk away after hooking it up.
> >
> > In other words, you just don't want to put forth any effort to obtain something,
> > you want it given to you.
>
> Or, in other words, the effort wasn't seen as cost-effective.
>
> Which is why you don't have a doctorate.

6020. Re: WANTED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 01:47:05 UTC
From: hank <hlane@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ztu+OYaPdxg3i1bCrIgmgiROqvin@4ax.com>
Source record: 79714

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 22:13:54 -0400 (EDT), egh-2421@webtv.net (edward gilmartin) wrote:

>want all blimp freq.thanks
Try 151.625 NFM. I've heard both Goodyear and Fuji blimps use this freq in Boston, MA area. They also use standard ATC freqs for whichever control space they're in.
hank

6021. WTB: Cable & Software for DJ-X10T Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 02:03:38 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <_fBv5.42095$QW4.511302@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79715

WANTED:

Programming interface cable for PC, and software, for the Alinco DJ-X10T scanner.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

6022. Pro 2035 with full os535 and cell unlock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 02:06:46 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000912220646.26830.00000411@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79716

Posted by Dwayne Lewis on September 12, 2000 at 21:55:36:

First $400.00(Firm) takes it all. Please respond to my email. (annamal01@cs.com) Money order or cashiers check only. I have excellent ebay references which I have included a link to below. Following is a description of this awesome setup.

http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=annamal01@cs.com

Pro 2035 Scanner with a Full Version Optoelectronics OptoScan 535 computer controlled interface. The scanner is in flawless condition. You will not be disappointed. The os535 accepts the cellular unlock code which will be provided. I will include some demo software for the os535. All manuals for the scanner and opto board are included along with the telescopic antenna for the scanner. This setup works fantastic.

DESCRIPTION OF SCANNER: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 1000-Channel Programmable Home Scanner lets you in on all the action! With its convenient rotary tuner and keypad, you can quickly tune to over 196,000 frequencies (within the ranges of 25-1300 MHz) that include those used by police and fire departments, ambulance services, aircraft communications, amateur radio services, transportation services, Citizen's Band and commercial FM and television broadcasters. You can select up to 1,000 channels to scan and you can change your selections at any time. The secret to the scanner's ability to scan so many frequencies is its custom-designed microprocessor - a tiny, built-in computer. Special features. Hyperscan - lets you scan up to 50 channels per second (80 channels per second w/OptoScan 535) Weather Band Key - scans ten preprogrammed weather frequencies. Ten Channel-Storage Banks - you can store 100 channels in each bank (Unlimited with OptoScan 535).

DESCRIPTION OF OPTOSCAN 535: The OptoScan535 is a computer interface board designed to fit into the Radio Shack Pro 2035/42 receivers. The OptoScan 535 allows for complete computer control of the receiver. It will not only tune the radio, but increase the scanning speed up to 80 channels per second (depending on software used), while decoding CTCSS, DCS, and DTMF. With the OptoScan 535 the number of channels and banks are virtually unlimited.

Yes, this one gets it all.

Thanks!

Dwayne Lewis

6023. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 02:47:50 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <39BEEAEB.5FE6BAF7@home.com>
Source record: 79717
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What red tape?? A 35 question test with multiple guess answers, all of which is published and available for free?? The bulk of the red tape entails filling out a form requiring your name and address. What sort of numbskull are you anyway?

John Doe wrote:

> I believe you can still have the rules with out all that red tape. If I
> wanted to I could go through all that trouble to get my ticket and still act
> like an donkey on the air. once they found me then it would be over. But I
> just dont see how the red tape is going to stop the affenders. What you need
> is more enforcement. Like maybe let the local police help out when their are
> complaints, if the FCC does not have the manpower. and Yes the knowledge to
> tune your own radio would be helpful but their will always be people that
> will do that for you for a few bucks. As for the telephone yes the phone
> company would deal with morons. and the gov. would deal with them on the
> air. and we all know how they love to spend money.

6024. Sportcat 150, does anyone have the reset sequence handy ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 02:56:25 UTC
From: Gerharters <agerhart@internetcds.com>
Message-ID: <39beecd0$0$51843$692ed6a5@newsread-01.meganews.com>
Source record: 79718

The title says it all. I have a SC150, that has worked great until today. I would like to reset the
software before I send it back for repairs. Any help would be appreciated.
AL

6025. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 02:57:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39BEED02.2E8D5635@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79719
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
>
> I believe you can still have the
> rules with out all that red tape.

The license requirement is not 'red tape," John. The exam you take to get that license is designed to insure that you have the basic knowledge to operate a radio safely and that you know the rules concerning that radio operation. The license is proof that you have taken that exam.

This differs from CB because the ham radio service differs from CB. Unlike CB, the ham radio service involves the possibility of very high powered radio equipment, a wider range of technologies (repeaters, satellite, digital modes, microwaves, etc.), a wider range of frequencies and frequency conditions, and a stated mandate of providing emergency communications in time of need.

It is also for these very reasons that rule enforcement is much stronger in the ham radio service.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6026. Re: NAMA info wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 03:54:47 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8pmtpv$bj7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79721

"Adam" <radar_radio@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hello,
> I'm looking for info about NAMA (the North American
http://www.namacentral.org/Monitoring Association),
> ie dues, membership perks, activites, etc. Any info is appreciated.
>
> Thanks
> Adam
> chip1@theramp.net
>

http://www.namacentral.org/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6027. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 03:56:04 UTC
From: gunner@cyberg8t.com
Message-ID: <39bef3b4.131182139@news.uia.net>
Source record: 79720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Tue, 12 Sep 2000 07:58:27 -0600, Russ Williams <rvw2@email.byu.edu> wrote:

> Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power and is
>blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they can't
>watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhood
>whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
>Now that was strange.
>Russ
>PS
>If you are going to play, at least be subtle
>
>Gunner wrote:

Of course... and since we are speculating... a hypothetical neighbor of an imaginary fellow whom looked a lot like me was this type of person. Lots and Lots of power... no manners, no couth, no brains. After several nights where this imaginary neibor put the mic on vox. and turned up the tv... it was discovered that when a handfull of finishing nails get hammered into RG8 coax while the imaginary owner of said coax was on a beer run... and then returns home to do some more impolite stuff using LOTS of power.. the radio tends to let out all the smoke packed into the components. And continued to do so for some time.. each time the IN (imaginary neighbor) would find a nail and remove it.. and then key up again.... Seems the IN was not bright enough to use an ohm meter to check for shorts. Finally realizing it was a coax problem.. he then replaced it. When his nonsense started up again.. it was found that the smoke comes out just as well when the coax is clipped in half about 20ft up the tower, then taped somewhat together so it looks sorta ok from the ground.

Hypotheticly speaking of course.

Gunner

"A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

Rosies Page http://rosie.acmecity.com/flower/277/
homepage http://userzweb.lightspeed.net/gunner

6028. Re: Sportcat 150, does anyone have the reset sequence handy ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 04:08:18 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8pmukceav1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 79722

Turn it off. While holding down the [2], [9] and [MANUAL], turn it back
on....should zero everything out

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/

"Gerharters" <agerhart@internetcds.com> wrote in message
news:39beecd0$0$51843$692ed6a5@newsread-01.meganews.com...
> The title says it all. I have a SC150, that has worked great until today.
I
> would like to reset the
> software before I send it back for repairs. Any help would be appreciated.
> AL
>
>

6029. Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 04:33:23 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27422-39BF0393-2@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79723

Does anybody sell T-shirts with different
company logo's. I would like a Uniden
Bearcat shirt if any one sells such a thing and I'm sure other scanner
enthusiasts
would like to have there favorite product.
Just wondering?

6030. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 05:52:02 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7wEv5.4760$e8.154280@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79724
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I see what you are trying to say but the logic does not make sense. If you want to do it legal their is a lot of red tape. If I wanted to I could go out and get me a ham rig tomarrow without even having a permit and talk away. So what good is all that red tape that you say is keeping morons off the air. CB has strict rules and requirements too. It not the red tape that gong to keep the morons off. Its enforcement of the rules. Plus you do not need a permit to own a ham rig. just one to transmit. All the red tape is doing is keeping the good law abideing people off. If morons want to talk on ham they will with or without a permit.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BE75D3.66DE2E07@some.net...
> First I never said anything about requiring anyone to build a radio, but if you
> are going into a hobby that allows you to do so and put it on the air, you need
> to pass certain minimum requirements that you have some knowledge of what you
> are doing. How about not requiring a driver's license or age limit to drive a
> car, just let anyone go buy a one and get on the road, no testing of highway
> rules or driving skill. Do you have a driver's license or did you think it just
> wasn't worth the trouble. If you think the requirements for getting a ham
> license is not worth it to you, fine, I have no problems with that, but don't
> whine and cry because it isn't going to be given to you just because you don't
> want to meet some minimum requirements.
>
> John Doe wrote:
>
> > With your logic in mind you say people should not drive cars if they cant
> > build one from scratch. Same with ham radios. I guess you could call it lazy
> > but to me its not worth the trouble.
> >
> > "
>

6031. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 05:54:08 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4EEv5.42833$QW4.515590@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79725

It is too easy...I don't even understand why they have the process, it serves no real purpose! No, you do not need any special permission from the Director of the State Police.

Bill Crocker

"Oper911" <oper911@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000911103414.15032.00000593@ng-fe1.aol.com...
> This seems too easy...Don't you need special permission from the Dir of the
> State Police? (other than this permit).
> Oper911

6032. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 06:17:26 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3UEv5.5004$e8.160739@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79726
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you belong in the ham NG

P.S for you say it you started the name calling first.

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message news:39BEEAEB.5FE6BAF7@home.com...
> What red tape?? A 35 question test with multiple guess answers, all of which is
> published and available for free?? The bulk of the red tape entails filling out
> a form requiring your name and address. What sort of numbskull are you anyway?
>

6033. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 06:21:21 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39BF1CE1.FD70D053@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79727
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Didn't the navy use spotlights for ship to ship communications?
A handle on the side of the spotlight would be used to open
or block the light, and it would be controlled with something
like or maybe it was Morse code. Good for keeping the enemy
from receiving the comm on the radio, you aim the narrow beam
at the ship you want to talk with, and presumidly others off axis
the beam would see nothing.

CAM wrote:

> Set'em up Joe wrote:
> > Actually, I wondered about this too. The answer would be to vary
> > the spacing between characters and/or the tone. For instance, if you're
> > banging with a pipe, Y ou bang the end of the pipe to send a dit and the
> > broad(length) of the pipe to send a dah. How would they receiver know
> > which is which? A simple S-O-S would be all the clue you needed.
>
> I still doubt that I would be able to read it. And exactly how would
> the dot/dash function be performed with a mirror?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

6034. SoCal Avaition freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 06:57:27 UTC
From: KEN KOLLER <kenkoller@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u1Y3h#UHAHA.249@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79728

Can anyone direct me to a source for Southern California avaition
frequencies? Thank you.

--
Ken Koller
kenkoller@msn.com
EMT, American Medical Response, Montebello, CA

"Is possible for a fish to mutate like that?"

6035. BC200xlt ** 125.00** Shipped!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 10:08:44 UTC
From: scannercomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000913060844.12211.00000205@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79662

i have a bc200 xlt that has the box, manual and the radio is in great shape and comes with the leather case. radio has NOT been modified yet 125.00 shipped

email me if interested

6036. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 11:45:01 UTC
From: Steve Damelio <smdamelio@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1NJv5.651$Mf5.49034@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79663
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe <no.address@aol.com> wrote in message
news:7wEv5.4760$e8.154280@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> I see what you are trying to say but the logic does not make sense. If you
> want to do it legal their is a lot of red tape. If I wanted to I could go
> out and get me a ham rig tomarrow without even having a permit and talk
> away. So what good is all that red tape that you say is keeping morons off
> the air. CB has strict rules and requirements too. It not the red tape
that
> gong to keep the morons off. Its enforcement of the rules. Plus you do not
> need a permit to own a ham rig. just one to transmit. All the red tape is
> doing is keeping the good law abideing people off. If morons want to talk
on
> ham they will with or without a permit.

That's an excellent point John. But they may soon find that no one is
talking
back. In most cases the "moron" will only keep transmitting if he/she is
getting responses. (kind of like a troll on a newsgroup). A (good) ham op
is taught early on to ignore these transmissions. It's just no fun for the
illegal
operators if they don't get someone to bite.
And if the illegal op really just wants to make contacts, once again, no
one
is likely to respond to someone without a valid call sign.

Steve

6037. Re: Pro-26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 11:57:04 UTC
From: Scott Golden <scott.golden@lexis-nexis.com>
Message-ID: <01c01d79$b8e33440$f3210c8a@lnxgoldsx2>
Source record: 79664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sold....well, traded.

Scott Golden <scott.golden@lexis-nexis.com> wrote in article
<01c01812$3a0aacf0$f3210c8a@lnxgoldsx2>...
: Radio is in great condition with original box and manual. Get details on
: this radio at the following site:
: http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/15613.htm
:
: Asking $190
:
: Email me for any details
: scottgolden@earthlink.net
:
:
: -Scott Golden
:

6038. N-UHF Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 12:47:41 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000913084741.02843.00000097@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 79665

Where can I find N and UHF connectors for RG6 coax.Phil

6039. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 13:41:11 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39bf8392.303409293@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79666
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 13 Sep 2000 02:17:26 -0400, "John Doe" <no.address@aol.com> wrote:

>What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you belong
>in the ham NG

This is a CB ng ???? Better get off the flame war threads and read some
on-topic postings before you make that statement.

Andrew
KG4JBM

>
>P.S for you say it you started the name calling first.
>
>"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
>news:39BEEAEB.5FE6BAF7@home.com...
>> What red tape?? A 35 question test with multiple guess answers, all of
>which is
>> published and available for free?? The bulk of the red tape entails
>filling out
>> a form requiring your name and address. What sort of numbskull are you
>anyway?
>>
>
>
>

6040. Re: N-UHF Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 13:44:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <DwLv5.43675$QW4.517628@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79667

Try here, at Grove...

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANTADAP.html

Bill Crocker

"Nickle911" <nickle911@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000913084741.02843.00000097@ng-da1.aol.com...
> Where can I find N and UHF connectors for RG6 coax.Phil

6041. Re: Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 13:56:43 UTC
From: SMB <scott.m.bowen1@jsc.nasa.gov>
Message-ID: <8po12p$99d@niven.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 79668

I think we should get together and make up shirts that say,
"Scanning Is Not A Crime"

Then put all the scanner makers logos on it.

John Glas <jglas@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27422-39BF0393-2@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Does anybody sell T-shirts with different
> company logo's. I would like a Uniden
> Bearcat shirt if any one sells such a thing and I'm sure other scanner
> enthusiasts
> would like to have there favorite product.
> Just wondering?
>

6042. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 14:21:43 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BF8D77.12AA8C2B@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79669
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert Casey wrote:
> Didn't the navy use spotlights for ship to ship communications?
> A handle on the side of the spotlight would be used to open
> or block the light, and it would be controlled with something
> like or maybe it was Morse code.

I don't know exactly what code is/was used but obviously lights
would be capable of sending dits and dahs. I can imagine someone
using a flashlight at night to call for help using Morse code.
If I remember right, it happened fairly recently.

My point is that a method, like tapping or mirrors, that has no
natural way of sending both short and long sounds or pulses, is
virtually useless during emergency attempts to communicate with
hams who generally know only radio Morse and not telegraph Morse.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

6043. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 14:41:32 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BF921C.D5B4CD04@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79670
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Set'em up Joe wrote:
> This is a CB ng ???? Better get off the flame war threads and read some
> on-topic postings before you make that statement. Andrew KG4JBM

Did you know you are posting to rec.radio.cb?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

6044. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 15:11:27 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39BF89EF.53D2C272@some.net>
Source record: 79671
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:

> What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you belong
> in the ham NG
>

What sort of numbskull are you cross posting to the
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.scanner, and the rec.radio.shortwave
newsgroups when you belong in the CB NG.

6045. Re: Michigan Scanner Radio Permits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 15:11:49 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VOMv5.2833$IV1.887195@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Popular Communications front cover photo for this months October 2000 issue shows a highway sign
warning drivers in Michigan about carrying scanners in you vehicle. This was privately funded by an individual trying to get the law changed. Hats off to him on his battle Article is about a page long.

Makes you wonder about some state scanner laws.
Here are some related links: http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/ & http://www.trainwatchers.com

Eddie Bryant

6046. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 15:13:44 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39BF99A8.C46B4E98@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79674
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
>
> John Doe wrote:
> > What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you belong
> > in the ham NG
>
> What sort of numbskull are you cross posting to the
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.scanner, and the rec.radio.shortwave
> newsgroups when you belong in the CB NG.

Numbskulls from one newsgroup cannot interact with numbskulls
from another newsgroup without crossposting.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

6047. Re: SoCal Avaition freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 15:19:43 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39bf9ad8.5270273@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79673

John Wayne Airport in Orange County uses 126.800

Neal
Anahiem,CA

On Tue, 12 Sep 2000 23:57:27 -0700, "KEN KOLLER"
<kenkoller@email.msn.com> wrote:

>Can anyone direct me to a source for Southern California avaition
>frequencies? Thank you.
>
>--
>Ken Koller
>kenkoller@msn.com
>EMT, American Medical Response, Montebello, CA
>
>"Is possible for a fish to mutate like that?"
>
>

6048. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner! Dayton too...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 15:31:43 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <z5Nv5.2834$IV1.889965@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I saw this one at Dayton. I couldn't figure out why the box was so big. It
was mostly space. Then again this might have been the prototype.
Can't remember if it was hooked up. Website below shows only three boards.
It ought to run cooler with the large box and there would be room there for
expansion cards possibly. Still hate tying up a computer to scan freqs.
Speaking of hooked up I heard the rep from Icom at the Icom booth say they
were considering hooking antennas up to their receivers next year. If you
have ever been to Dayton you know there are tons of radios on Demo with no
antennas connected. I have never thought this made sense.

st <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:97uv5.29417$Z4.1013094@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Check it out, looks like AOR is gonna come pretty close.
>
> http://www.aoruk.com/jt2000.htm
>
> "Jim" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:iMiv5.22336$JY2.3301404@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > My dream scanner would be as follows.
> >
> > *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
> >
> > *Large alpha numerical display
> >
> > *Bright evenly lit backlight
> >
> > *All available Trunk systems
> >
> > *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> >
> > *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium
> >
> > *Decent audio output
> >
> > *Band Scope
> >
> > *Computer interface
> >
> > *Attenuator, NB, AFC
> >
> > *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks,
> etc.),
> > and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank

1,
> 50
> > channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)
> >
> > *Debugging feature
> >
> > *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler
> >
> > *Additional 40 banks for search mode
> >
> > *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
> >
> > * 2 VFO's
> >
> > *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
> >
> > *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
> >
> > *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
> >
> > *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
> >
> > *Backlit keys
> >
> > *Useful Manual
> >
> > Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> > It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> > Pro-92A!!
> > Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
> >
> > Oh well, back to the real world.
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>

6049. Re: N-UHF Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-13 16:22:10 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39BF9C80.ADF88115@GTE.net>
Source record: 79676

Nickle911 wrote:

> Where can I find N and UHF connectors for RG6 coax.Phil

RG6 is too large in diameter for the UG175/UG176 series of UHF reducers to work. There is not enough metal there to allow them to be bored out either.
As N connectors are constant impedance- an N connector for RG6 (if it exists) would be a 75 Ohm connector. The center pin is smaller than 50 Ohm N's - so be careful about trying to mate w/ 50 Ohm N's.

Dale W4OP

6050. Re: I will be leaving the radio hobbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <39C0B105.E8DF98F6@sgi.net>
Source record: 79597

Maybe this is his way of saying, "I'll see you in 3 to 7 or 5 to 10.

And you are correct - who the hell is he? NO I really don't care.

Andy in RI wrote:

> >i will be going out of state for a while i will be shuting down my web
> >page for the time being thank you for all the help over the years it has
> >been up
> >
> >GOODBYE
> >FROMUT
> >
>
> Like we know who this guy is and we visit his webpage every day? I hope he's
> gonna be spending some time with Hooked on Phonics so he can learn how to spell
> Hobby.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
> Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
> Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
> or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6051. FS: UHF ASTRO SPECTRA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fdnyfish@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000914071329.22451.00000264@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 79598

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=436536658

6052. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <39C0CB0E.EF653E98@bctv.com>
Source record: 79599
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> markj wrote:
> >
> > What safety aspect. You're not really gonna go *there* are you?
> >
> > Chainsaws, electric hair dryers, microwave ovens, golf clubs etc....
>
> Mark, with the exception of chain saws, all of these devices are
> designed so the average, unskilled, consumer can operate them safely
> without harm to himself or others. This is not so with high powered
> radio equipment, especially in the microwave frequencies.

I disagree. If you had met some of the hams and radio jox I've known
over the years, you would know that VERY-high-powered radio equipment
can be operated by utter idiots.

6053. frequencies needed for Warren County NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Soozin <soozin@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8pqfetuv1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 79600

Hi, I just moved to Lopatcong, NJ and can't find my frequencies book (don't remember which box I packed it in). Does anyone have frequencies for police and fire for this area? Is there a site online where I can look up frequencies?

Thanks so much for any help.

Soozin

6054. Collin 95S-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: krisada@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8pqj03jod1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79601

Hi...

Have anyone know a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A is use for and how much
does it cost for new and second hand radio? My friend want to sell this
receiver for me but I have own Drake R8A so I need to know what
defference between Drake and Collin? If it look interest maybe I will buy it!
Anyone have manual or software for control Collin 95S-1A radio? Because
seller don't have both. Any comment is welcome.

bigbear@thai.com
Regard.

Pls sent via my private mail.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6055. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C0CE11.5BFA4195@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79602
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> I disagree. If you had met some of
> the hams and radio jox I've known
> over the years, you would know that
> VERY-high-powered radio equipment
> can be operated by utter idiots.

Hey, I didn't say the system was perfect, Keith. Of course there are idiots who make their way into ham radio. We can only be thankful that they're not trying to trim coax with a chainsaw. But, overall, the system works, and that is probably about the best we can hope for it. And even a system that is only partly successful is better than no system at all (which is what some are advocating in the thread).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6056. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C0D2EF.6032170F@cts.com>
Source record: 79603
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>

> Mark, with the exception of chain saws, all of these devices are
> designed so the average, unskilled, consumer can operate them safely
> without harm to himself or others. This is not so with high powered
> radio equipment, especially in the microwave frequencies.

100 watts of rf power does not create a major safety concern,heck I can
burn myself with a 100 watt lightbulb and suffer a greater burn. safety
is also not going to convince the fcc or congress...ranks do the
convincing.

> More importantly, ham radio, under normal operating conditions,
> involves the risk of harming others (unlike the devices mentioned above,
> including the chainsaw). Radio signals don't just stop at your front
> door - you're neighbors are involved also. So are the children who
> happen across your antenna in the back yard. That is why ham operators
> are tested for knowledge about RF safety.
>
If you have problems with kids around feed lines and antennas then they
should be raised and secured. If you are so close to the nieghbors that
stray rf becomes a safety issue the station in question has a big
problem.

markj
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6057. FS: PRO-39 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: csfd <csfd@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VG4w5.140$b64.21178@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 79604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Pro-39 Handheld 800mhz. scanner in clean condition. (with cellular mod.)
$175.00 FIRM! plus shipping. NO swaps or trades. Serious inquiries only at:
csfd@hotmail.com

6058. Re: Opinions Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <lf5w5.87$t87.1881@read1>
Source record: 79605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner

Ditto, you suck, stop the spam....

That one will cost ya $30

"Robert" <spamshield@on.com> wrote in message
news:brVv5.51312$58.6326816@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Here's one... You suck. Don't SPAM us! That one was
> free. ;-)
>
>
> <Hal.Turner@orn.com> wrote in message
> news:8pp5in$e7n@dispatch.concentric.net...
> >
> > Have you ever wished you could express your opinion
> > about something or someone and have it run on a major,
> > 50,000 watt radio station? Now you can, for $35. per 30 seconds!
> snipped
>
>

6059. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39C0E185.B85BDD40@zylay.com>
Source record: 79606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"jsellers airmail.net" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 13 Sep 2000 16:18:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
> wrote:
> >
> >if it controls the TX frequency, it is. Understand here that I've played
> >loose and fast with the rules myself...I'm not endorsing them, just
> >discussing them.
>
> It control BOTH the transmit and recieve frequency.
> V variable
> F frequency
> O oscillator
>
> Used to use it a lot. Had one on the base and in both
> mobiles. The radios had real good adjacent channel
> regection. Could use the VFO and slide in between
> the regular channels and talk real good.
>
> Looks like I better not get cought using it now. Oh well,,
>
> Later....Jim from Texas

My Galaxy Saturn had the same thing. Real handy along with the built-in freq counter; could get in between the channels and have everyone looking for us on. When I was working security in college, I kept a 12-volt lawn-tractor battery, battery charger, and 102" whip with a quick-release mount in my guardshack (it had a metal roof...perfect for the whip) and would bring my Galaxy and little Palomar 300 toaster in a backpack. We had a very active CB community in those days, incluiding my mom who was disabled but had a big ol' base station and sat up all night running her mouth and my bro-in-law who also worked security. Beat the hell out of sitting out on post all night staring at the wall clock. Did this for a couple of years until my mom died, my bro-in-law left my sister, and then I just lost interest in CB. I'd had my ham ticket for a couple of years by then, but had stayed on 11m because of all the friends I had there.

I know what a VFO is and what it does. Had one in an old Golden Eagle (Listen to the scream! LOL). I was just clarifying (pardon the bad pun) what was an was not legal according to the FCC.

6060. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@vmguys.com>
Message-ID: <8pqqectgs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79607

Just heard one officer say to another (on TAC channel) that she'd been dispatched because someone had his dirt stolen. There were several wisecracks about it being a dirty job, etc. The original officer asked if dirt has a serial number. Other officer said he asked the detective, and yes it does. It is on the bottom. Final comment was that she'd better hope it doesn't rain, or the case will turn to mud. :-)

In article <20000902144429.18633.00002319@ng-da1.aol.com>, tomcat4680@aol.com (TomCat4680) wrote:
> anyone with funny police dispatches post em

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6061. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ss1qp0agct645@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79608
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would suggest that you read up on microwave energy at 100 watts that is
feed into an antenna.
Rick

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39C0D2EF.6032170F@cts.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
>
> > Mark, with the exception of chain saws, all of these devices are
> > designed so the average, unskilled, consumer can operate them safely
> > without harm to himself or others. This is not so with high powered
> > radio equipment, especially in the microwave frequencies.
>
> 100 watts of rf power does not create a major safety concern,heck I can
> burn myself with a 100 watt lightbulb and suffer a greater burn. safety
> is also not going to convince the fcc or congress...ranks do the
> convincing.
>
> > More importantly, ham radio, under normal operating conditions,
> > involves the risk of harming others (unlike the devices mentioned above,
> > including the chainsaw). Radio signals don't just stop at your front
> > door - you're neighbors are involved also. So are the children who
> > happen across your antenna in the back yard. That is why ham operators
> > are tested for knowledge about RF safety.
> >
> If you have problems with kids around feed lines and antennas then they
> should be raised and secured. If you are so close to the nieghbors that
> stray rf becomes a safety issue the station in question has a big
> problem.
>
> markj
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6062. Re: frequencies needed for Warren County NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil and Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pqrvdmgr1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79609

Soozin <soozin@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:8pqfetuv1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Hi, I just moved to Lopatcong, NJ and can't find my frequencies book
(don't
> remember which box I packed it in). Does anyone have frequencies for
police
> and fire for this area? Is there a site online where I can look up
> frequencies?
>
> Thanks so much for any help.
>
> Soozin
>
>
Here's what I have from a few years back.

Lopatcong:
155.655 Police
45.220 Old Police or DPW

46.140 Fire (County Net)
46.540 Rescue Squad

Also try for Warren County:

155.760, 155.820, 153.920 Sheriff etc.
155.235, 155.265 Area EMS
159.570 Road Dept.

Hope this helps.
Good luck,

Phil

6063. Alinco DJ-X10 - for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <_z6w5.500$fZc.29425863@news.randori.com>
Source record: 79610

Have a clean, (5 mounts old) Alinco DJ-X10T scanner for sale.
Looks and works like new.
It is all mode AM, LSB, USB, NFM, FMW.
Frequency Range 100 KC to 2000 MHz
1200 Memory Channels
Comes with Book, Box, Antenna, Extra Battery & Desk Charger
Software & Cable

$275.00

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

6064. Re: Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: SMB <scott.m.bowen1@jsc.nasa.gov>
Message-ID: <8pqs37$hcq@niven.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 79611

Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:EwQv5.2$rM3.1980@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> How about....
>
> [large print]
> "I Listen To Celular Calls"
> [small print]
> "I know who you are and what you did"

hehehehehehe, that will make them think!

6065. new Icom R2 software ARC2 with NT4 support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BuTel <spam@spam.com>
Message-ID: <39c0f9d8$1$3256@reader5>
Source record: 79612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Today I uploaded the latest version (1.1)of ARC2 software for Icom R2.
This version now also supports Windows NT4.

Some other minor bugs related to the bandplan were fixed so we advise all
reg.users to install the latest version.

We also slightly improved the Webcatcher option.

Windows ME is yet not officially supported but one of the reg.users claims
it works under ME.
Windows NT2000 support will be available soon.

Regards,

Gommert
http://www.butel.nl
AOR/ Icom Software and Information

6066. Re: PRO-2042 Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <OQ7w5.46864$QW4.532995@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79613

Radio has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:7QZv5.45547$QW4.529540@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> PRO-2042 Scanner Radio: $210.00 shipped within the U.S. 48. Mint
> condition, no mods.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

6067. Re: new Icom R2 software ARC2 with NT4 support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <ZY7w5.33723$Z4.1107387@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Just checked this new version with Windows 2000 and it now works!

"BuTel" <spam@spam.com> wrote in message news:39c0f9d8$1$3256@reader5...
> Hi,
>
> Today I uploaded the latest version (1.1)of ARC2 software for Icom R2.
> This version now also supports Windows NT4.
>
> Some other minor bugs related to the bandplan were fixed so we advise all
> reg.users to install the latest version.
>
> We also slightly improved the Webcatcher option.
>
> Windows ME is yet not officially supported but one of the reg.users claims
> it works under ME.
> Windows NT2000 support will be available soon.
>
> Regards,
>
> Gommert
> http://www.butel.nl
> AOR/ Icom Software and Information
>
>
>
>
>

6068. Re: frequencies needed for Warren County NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Heckler <sarge@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <d832sso40cbftl1eg9hh5ohujrvob2430a@4ax.com>
Source record: 79615

>Soozin <soozin@netzero.net> wrote in message
>news:8pqfetuv1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>> Hi, I just moved to Lopatcong, NJ and can't find my frequencies book
>(don't
>> remember which box I packed it in). Does anyone have frequencies for
>police
>> and fire for this area? Is there a site online where I can look up
>> frequencies?
SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP

Try these two links (PerCon and FCC). Between the two, you'll get
more than you want.

http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Hope this helps.

Happy Scanning!!

Jim

6069. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39C111BF.1010@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 79616
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe so, but it still doesn't make it right.

Jerry
>
> I disagree. If you had met some of the hams and radio jox I've known
> over the years, you would know that VERY-high-powered radio equipment
> can be operated by utter idiots.

6070. FA: Pro-43 and lots of extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: hughesj <hughesj@res.raytheon.com>
Message-ID: <39C118AE.F4A4649E@res.raytheon.com>
Source record: 79617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ebay auction:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=438148144

Thank you for looking and good luck.

Joe

6071. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39C116A7.4F5F@interactive.net>
Source record: 79618
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
> I see what you are trying to say but the logic does not make sense.

It matters not if there is a logical defense, the
bottom line is that the reality of history has
shown that it (testing) is valid and works.

> If you want to do it legal their is a lot of red tape.

If passing a relatively simple test is "a lot
of red tape" then I wonder what it is you
really want. Indeed, my presumption of your
several postings over the last few days seems
to indicate (IMHO) that you want a NO test
license or perhaps even a no test/no license
amateur radio service.

> If I wanted to I could go
> out and get me a ham rig tomarrow without even having a permit and talk
> away.

You could try that, but you'd be caught at it.
Hams do not support such "pirate" operators.
Could you get away with it for some time? I guess so,
but you'd be a rogue operator and never accepted
by licensed hams and, more likly, pursued (
justifiably so) as a law breaker.

> So what good is all that red tape that you say is keeping morons off
> the air.

Let me make this perfectly clear. The "red
tape" you complain of (as minimal as such red
tape is) does work. It ain't perfect, but
just compare the CB land free for all frequencies
to ham bands and you can come to no other conclusion.

> CB has strict rules and requirements too.

Of which the FCC does nothing except in the
most severe cases. The FCC has abondoned CB
as far as rules enforcement (IMHO).

> It not the red tape that
> gong to keep the morons off.

Again, history proves you wrong on that point.

> Its enforcement of the rules.

Enforcement is absolutely needed to go
after the real offenders. Ham radio had
some real bad apples until a year or so
ago...BUT they were easy to identify BECAUSE
they were licenesed. The FCC began cracking
down and the worst are gone and many other
border-line cases have cleaned up their acts.
On CB, the FCC doesn't even know the name
and addresses of those that operate legally,
much less those that operate above the law.

> Plus you do not
> need a permit to own a ham rig. just one to transmit.

True. Your point to that is what?

> All the red tape is
> doing is keeping the good law abideing people off.

So how come there are over 1/2 million hams
of all sorts of backgrounds that managed to
get by the "red tape". Truly you can't
suggest that a single test (to get a technician
amateur license) is too much red tape for you?
But then, maybe you do and, if so, that is truly
a sad commentary on your personal commitments.

> If morons want to talk on
> ham they will with or without a permit.

They certainly can, and they will also then
face heavy fines and/or jail time. I'll happily
join in the pursuit of anyone that does so.

No cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6072. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39C11802.47C8@interactive.net>
Source record: 79619
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:
> You have a good point yourself. And I would have to say that in most cases
> that (ignoring pirate hams on the air)
> would work just as good. The morons would get bored and leave. But
> their are a lot of hot heads out their that would give them what they want.

Again, I point to history of ham radio which
has a track record of total opposition to
"pirate" hams on the ham bands. There has
been no such sub-element of "hot heads"
out their that would give them (pirate hams)
the time of day. More likly, the vast majority
would help "nail" the moron/pirate ham.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6073. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <y59w5.15057$2z6.227763@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79620
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No I am not an expert on how NGs work. I do try to keep an open mind Those news groups down below I dont even go to them. The ones I do goto does not have any messages that I post here. If by chance it is me then I seek info on how to stop it. I use outlook express and I see no options about cross posting. Im not even subscibed to those groups. may have visited them once maybe about 5 months ago. So if you or anyone else has info about how to stop it then please tell me.

Thanks,

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BFE60D.E307E8F1@some.net...
> Nobody is cross posting your posts, you are doing it yourself. It is
obvious
> you don't know how Usenet newsgroups work. Here I'll paste in the header
and
> you can see for yourself which newsgroups you are posting to. Notice the
last
> lines where it says Newsgroups followed by the groups you are posting to.
>
> ---
>
> Subject:
> Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)
> Date:
> Wed, 13 Sep 2000 14:12:13 -0400
> From:
> "John Doe" <no.address@aol.com>
> Reply-To:
> "John Doe" <no.adress@aol.com>
> Organization:
> WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the
fastest
> NNTP servers on the Net.
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> ---
>
> John Doe wrote:
>
> > If my messages are getting cross posted its because somone else is

copyng
> > them and cross posting. But if you think I am you better guess again.
> >
> > "JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BF89EF.53D2C272@some.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > John Doe wrote:
> > >
> > > > What sort of numbskull are you coming down here on a CB NG when you
> > belong
> > > > in the ham NG
> > > >
> > >
> > > What sort of numbskull are you cross posting to the
> > > alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> > > rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.scanner, and the
rec.radio.shortwave
> > > newsgroups when you belong in the CB NG.
> > >
>
>
>

6074. Need 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <uD9w5.114$t87.2037@read1>
Source record: 79621

I need to get my hands onto a PRO-2067 500 Channel Mobile Trunktracking scanner. Must be in Excellent to mint condition. They are not for sale in Canada and the one's we do have in Canada are not Trunktracking and not only that, they suck. Any other suggestion to a Vehicle Mount Trunktracking scanner would be greatly appreciated as well.

Thank you,
Jason Morris

6075. New Scanner Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <7F9w5.115$t87.1930@read1>
Source record: 79622

We appologize to all who visited last week, the web designer has been let go.

We want to invite all active scanner buffs to MedicDispatch.com. At MedicDispatch.com you will find Live Dispatch channels currently at 4 stations but growing every day.

We are currently in the process of redeveloping our site to encompass all Scanner News, Frequencies around Canada and the US and of course the latest in news.

Our site is geared towards EMS, Police and Fire scanner enthusiasts, but we invite all who are interested to give our site a try. It is currently very under developed, however it will grow every day.

Visit us today @ www.medicdispatch.com

Thank you and we look forward to your visit.

Jason Morris
President
MedicDispatch.com
jmorris@medicdispatch.com

6076. Scanner Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <YF9w5.118$t87.1645@read1>
Source record: 79623

We appologize to all who visited last week, the web designer has been let go.

We want to invite all active scanner buffs to MedicDispatch.com. At MedicDispatch.com you will find Live Dispatch channels currently at 4 stations but growing every day.

We are currently in the process of redeveloping our site to encompass all Scanner News, Frequencies around Canada and the US and of course the latest in news.

Our site is geared towards EMS, Police and Fire scanner enthusiasts, but we invite all who are interested to give our site a try. It is currently very under developed, however it will grow every day.

Visit us today @ www.medicdispatch.com

Thank you and we look forward to your visit.

Jason Morris
President
MedicDispatch.com
jmorris@medicdispatch.com

6077. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <39C11DB9.12EA7DC1@some.net>
Source record: 79624
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Doe wrote:

> . All the red tape is
> doing is keeping the good law abideing people off.

How is that? First of all it isn't "red tape", it is the requirements to obtain a license, which anyone with a little initiative can easily do. The amateur service allows those who are licensed to build and modify their own equipment if they choose to do so, however it isn't required (name some other radio services that allow this). Because of this the testing is to establish that the person requesting a license to operate in the amateur radio service has at least a basic understanding of theory and knowledge of the regulations so that if they do choose to construct a transmitter, they will hopefully have the knowledge to unsure that it will meet certain standards and not interfere with other services. Why do you think that radios used in the CB service must be type certified to meet certain FCC required stability, emission, and power output standards and no modification is legally allowed? It is because there is no "red tape" (requirements) to operate in the CB band thus anyone without the slightest knowledge of radio theory can operate there. Unfortunately adhering to those standards doesn't happen that way in CB and that is why the CB band is the sewer pit of radio communications and the FCC gave up on any enforcement except for special cases involving severe interference to other services. All one has to do to get on CB is run down to K-Mart, purchase a CB, hook it to an antenna and start shouting "aaaaaaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo!!!" If passing some simple requirements is just to hard or those requirements are just not worth the effort to you to have access to the ham bands, then the CB band should work out just fine for you, but don't whine and cry and expect the privilege to operate in the amateur bands to be given to you without some effort on your part., just as many things in life come only after putting forth some effort.

>
>
> "JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:39BE75D3.66DE2E07@some.net...
> > First I never said anything about requiring anyone to build a radio, but
> if you
> > are going into a hobby that allows you to do so and put it on the air, you
> need
> > to pass certain minimum requirements that you have some knowledge of what
> you
> > are doing. How about not requiring a driver's license or age limit to
> drive a
> > car, just let anyone go buy a one and get on the road, no testing of
> highway

> > rules or driving skill. Do you have a driver's license or did you think it
> just
> > wasn't worth the trouble. If you think the requirements for getting a ham
> > license is not worth it to you, fine, I have no problems with that, but
> don't
> > whine and cry because it isn't going to be given to you just because you
> don't
> > want to meet some minimum requirements.
> >
> > John Doe wrote:
> >
> > > With your logic in mind you say people should not drive cars if they
> cant
> > > build one from scratch. Same with ham radios. I guess you could call it
> lazy
> > > but to me its not worth the trouble.
> > >
> > > "

6078. Re: Portable Scanner w/ line outs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob dolson <bdolson@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8prbsq$cb3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79625

Kristian J Allen <kjallen@cse.buffalo.edu> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.3.96.1000914122133.4937A-100000@yeager.cse.Buffalo.EDU...
> Hi,
>
> I was wondering if some of you could give me the manufac/model of any
> portable (or DC powered) scanners with stereo line outs. Preferable RCA or
> XLR, but 1/8" will do.
>
> I apologize if this is a rather broad question, I know next to nothing
> about scanners, please be gentle :) Any information or pointers is greatly
> appreciated, thanks.
>
> -Kristian
>

Since there would be no benefit to actually using a STEREO input for a scanner, you can just use a simple adapter to accomplish what you need. In fact, some scanners (I think most of the Uniden models?) are set up so that if you plug a stereo mini-plug into the earphone jack, it'll put out a signal on both stereo lines so that it'll effectively be mono anyhow. For instance, you could use a stereo phone plug to 2 RCA jack adapter to feed the signal into whatever you want that uses a pair of RCA plugs for stereo input.

--
Sincerely,

Bob Dolson

Having a ball, living in retirement!

check out our web pages when you get a chance.
Now including some QTVR pans from the Casio 2000UX at:
http://home.sprynet.com/~bdolson

Also check out our PhotoPoint web albums.
Also including some 2000UX samples at:
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=49802

6079. Info on a Bearcat 160

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: SMB <scott.m.bowen1@jsc.nasa.gov>
Message-ID: <8prcm1$n6h@niven.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 79626

can someone tell me the freq. range of the Bearcat 160?
I was hoping that it can receive the 137 MHz band...

6080. Re: Scanner Buying (WAS: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000914165501.06732.00001364@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 79627

>Of course it depends on what are you live in.

Ouch! I messed up that one, didn't I? It should've read: Of course it depends on where you are living.

Geez, sorry.. :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6081. UNIDEN 800XLT $100 Shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John R. Miller" <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <39C13E36.1A65EC0D@netboise.com>
Source record: 79628

I have a Uniden 800XLT, VFH-UHF Full 800 mhz, 40 channel, manual, 800
ant, NO VHF ANTENNA
(can be ordered from Uniden) AC Cord, Excellent shape, non smoker

$100 shipped

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

6082. Re: frequencies needed for Warren County NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyk048@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000914174112.03001.00000519@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 79629

There is a great site for Warren Co. and Morris,sussex and Passaic Co. Go to www.geocities.com/sparta35/ it should have all the information you need to scan

6083. Re: E-Trunker Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <SVbw5.120362$oj6.2901033@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79630

Robert,

Thanks for the info. I am currently using an Optolinx which
makes it nice because I can use it to also control the second
scanner. I will probably try your suggestion and see how it
works.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Robert" <spamshield@on.com> wrote in message
news:ipVv5.51310$58.6326180@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I had the same problem. In my case, it was the Hamcom
> interface. I was using a "store bought" model. I ended up
> making my own, based on the diagram at this link:
>
> http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/dig/2level.jpg
>
> The instructions for this, and other interfaces, it located at
> this link:
>
> http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/interface.htm
>
> E-Trunk is now working perfectly. I can get a 100% rating
> almost instantly where as I could only get 50-70% before even
> after leaving it running for a while.
>
> BTW, I'm using an E-Machine
> (http://www.e4me.com/infocentral/product_tower400i3.html)
> and I wired mine with a DB-9 (using Com Port 1) rather than
> a DB-25.
>
> Robert
>
>
>
> David M. Hitchner <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote in message
> news:y3Vv5.119412$oj6.2844400@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...

> > I have been using E-Trunker to monitor a local EDACS systems.
> > For the most part everything works correctly. The problem is
> > that I only get 50% accuracy and I believe some of the info is
> > missed. The systems I am monitoring are only about 10 to 15
> > miles away and I get a strong signal. Using Trunker to monitor
> > Motorola systems I regularly get 90%+ accuracy even on
> > weaker signals.
> >
> > Is E-Trunker not as good at decoding the control channel as
> > Trunker? Has anyone else seen this problem? Are there any
> > tricks to setting up E-Trunker?
> >
> > I am running a Pentium III @ 450 MHz under Windows 98. As
> > I have stated above, Trunker runs with no problems on my system.
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> > --
> > David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
> > Baton Rouge, LA
> >
> > Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> > Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
> > -
> > ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> > Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

6084. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C14BB1.3DFDB4F@cts.com>
Source record: 79631
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> I would suggest that you read up on microwave energy at 100 watts that is
> feed into an antenna.
> Rick

You have hams usuing 100 watt microwave radios?

Do tell, cuase i'm willing to call myself stupid. The max the units I
use in 2ghz are limited to 10 watts. But if you say hams are allowed to
blast 100 watts on a microwave frequency I guess I would have to agree
that there is a safety issue.

So what do you get to do with 100 watts on a microwave freq..
I bet it's great for those short intercity hops :0.

markj
KQV-755

6085. Re: Software to put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KG4DFX <KG4DFX@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#14nh0pHAHA.56@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79632

figures you just have to have someone being a damn moron
"Jeremy Hine" <jeremy@assemblyrequired.cc> wrote in message
news:39BE7B1D.F23B7B9F@assemblyrequired.cc...
> Hello:
>
> Where might I find the software to put my scanner online?
>
> -Jeremy
> KF4RTY
>

6086. MR-8100 squelch adjustment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <39C13AED.3DFEACD0@pop.slkc.uswest.net>
Source record: 79633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My Uniden MR-8100 has a very tight squelch,
takes awhile to unsquelch on UHF. Can anyone
tell me the location of the internal squelch pot?

Thanks,
Jon

6087. CAUTION re: seller "Multicomm2000" (Martyn Allison)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pac60614@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000914195118.10136.00000170@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 79634

* * * C A U T I O N * * *

RE: SELLER "MULTICOMM2000" (Martyn Allison)

Dear Buyer:

I will try to be brief.
I was a bidder on Martyn's "Yupiteru MVT-9000 MKII" back in early June. I was not the final bidder. However, thru e-mail Martyn told me he was going to England in a week and was bringing back 12 more.

Long-story-short….
On June 13, 2000 -- $473.93 was charged to my Visa acct. to "Multicom2000 Ltd." Huntingdon, GB (which I later learned is Martyn's company in England - which he is closing).
web address: http://www.multicomm2000.com/page2.html

He said he would ship item upon his return (3 weeks).
It is now 3 MONTHS latter… No item…. No more e-mails… No nothing…'till now.
Martyn has suddenly posted 101 items on e-bay. When I e-mailed him that I was writing this note to his bidders I finally got a response.
Basically: …Hold off for a week… he'll look into it.
By that time, you will have sent your money. Good luck.

Moral…
Be very very careful - especially with large amounts.
Use an escrow service if possible.
Above all: Proceed at your own risk!

Finally…
I'd be happy to answer any questions or forward any e-mails I have had with Mr. Allison.
E-bay and these newsgroups are a great marketplace ….Don't get burned. I did.

Hope this may help someone.

Sincerely,
Paul Cecchini
Chicago, IL

6088. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <39C16FCD.6EA9218D@uci.edu>
Source record: 79635
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JR wrote:
> tap, space, tap, tap, space, tap = EIE

Couldn't that just as easily be EAE or ER or TIE?

I think Cecil is right.

73, Jim AC6XG

6089. Florida State Parks frequencies please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gigax <danasala@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fzew5.8746$SN6.228880@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 79636

Looking for the frequencies used by the Florida State Park
Service....rangers at front gate won't give them up

thanks
david buskirk
danasala@yahoo.com

6090. mod for Bearcat 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jh <jhalley@networkusa.net>
Message-ID: <ss2tqfs3ct690@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79637

this one has the 1147a rev chip and a mfg date of jan 94. can this be cell mod'd? I know it says to cut the resistor but it either has no resistor or the resistor has been moved from the "den" location.

any help would be appreciated.

\thanks.

6091. mod for Bearcat 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jh <jhalley@networkusa.net>
Message-ID: <ss2tsj61ct6125@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79638

this one has the 1147a rev chip and a mfg date of jan 94. can this be cell mod'd? I know it says to cut the resistor but it either has no resistor or the resistor has been moved from the "den" location.

any help would be appreciated.

\thanks.

6092. Mods for BC 220XLT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jbenoist@koyote.com>
Message-ID: <39C17DEA.83BF76C7@koyote.com>
Source record: 79639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are ther any mods for the BC 220XLT?

--
John
jbenoist@koyote.com

6093. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FE72EF43189CCF3F.3C664E8D3794FBC5.4058B72614B8E8F3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79640
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you do not want to crosspost to a bunch of groups, double click on the post you are replying to, and delete the other groups. You *are* crossposting because the posts you are responding to have been crossposted.

For instance, I am replying to this message that I just saw posted in the rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup. When I double-click on the message, a separate window opens up (I use Outlook Express also). When you double-click on a message, look at all the addressees that appear. Here is what mine is showing right now:

alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave

I have deleted all but rec.radio.amateur.policy, because I don't read the others and try to catch crossposting whenever I can. Sometimes I miss, but, what the hell...I am only human. Double click, delete the cross-posting, or leave it in. Hell, I don't care what ya do...really don't. Just figured you'd like to have the info! :)

73 de W5TIT - Kim

"John Doe" <no.address@aol.com> wrote in message
news:y59w5.15057$2z6.227763@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> No I am not an expert on how NGs work. I do try to keep an open mind Those
> news groups down below I dont even go to them. The ones I do goto does not
> have any messages that I post here. If by chance it is me then I seek info
> on how to stop it. I use outlook express and I see no options about cross
> posting. Im not even subscibed to those groups. may have visited them once
> maybe about 5 months ago. So if you or anyone else has info about how to
> stop it then please tell me.
>
> Thanks,
>

6094. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ss32a36rdc6168@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79641
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe this might help you understand Available Power Levels At Amateur
Frequencies including their microwve freq.
Have you ever heared of moonbounce on microwave freq..
Rick

97.313 Transmitter power standards.
(a) An amateur station must use the minimum transmitter power necessary to carry out the desired communications.
(b) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5 kW PEP.
(c) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 200 W PEP on:
(1) The 3.675-3.725 MHz, 7.10-7.15 MHz, 10.10-10.15 MHz and 21.1-21.2 MHz segments;
(2) The 28.1-28.5 MHz segment when the control operator is a Novice Class operator or a Technician Class operator who has received credit for proficiency in telegraphy in accordance with the international requirements; or
(3) The 7.050-7.075 MHz segment when the station is within ITU Regions 1 or 3.
(d) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 25 W PEP on the VHF 1.25 m band when the control operator is a Novice operator.
(e) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 5 W PEP on the UHF 23 cm band when the control operator is a Novice operator.
(f) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the UHF 70 cm band from an area specified in footnote US7 to 2.106 of Part 2, unless expressly authorized by the FCC after mutual agreement, on a case-by-case basis, between the District Director of the applicable field facility and the military area frequency coordinator at the applicable military base. An Earth station or telecommand station, however, may transmit on the 435-438 MHz segment with a maximum of 611 W effective radiated power (1 kW equivalent isotropically radiated power) without the authorization otherwise required. The transmitting antenna elevation angle between the lower half-power (-3 dB relative to the peak or antenna bore sight) point and the horizon must always be greater than 10.

(g) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 33 cm band from within
241 km of the boundaries of the White Sands Missile Range. Its boundaries are those portions of Texas
and New Mexico bounded on the south by latitude 31° 41' North, on the east by longitude 104° 11'
West, on the north by latitude 34° 30' North, and on the west by longitude 107° 30' West.
(h) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 219-220 MHz segment
of the 1.25 m band.
§97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers.
(a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz
may be constructed or modified during any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a station
without a grant of certification. No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed
or modified by a non-amateur operator without a grant of certification from the FCC.
(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit (see §2.815 of the FCC Rules),
manufactured, imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be certificated
for use in the amateur service in accordance with Subpart J of Part 2 of the FCC Rules. This
requirement does not apply if one or more of the following conditions are met:
(1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below 144 MHz. For the purpose of this
part, an amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of
being easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and either:
(i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a
point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or
(ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120 MHz even for brief periods without
sustaining permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.
(2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has been issued a marketing waiver by
the FCC, or the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at
that amateur operator's station.
(3) The amplifier was:
(i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's
station; or

(ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.
(4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur operator or to a dealer.
(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and
the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
(c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's database as certificated for use in the
amateur service may be marketed for use in the amateur service.
97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power amplifiers.
markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39C14BB1.3DFDB4F@cts.com...
>
>
> RicK Larson wrote:
> >
> > I would suggest that you read up on microwave energy at 100 watts that is
> > feed into an antenna.
> > Rick
>
> You have hams usuing 100 watt microwave radios?
>
> Do tell, cuase i'm willing to call myself stupid. The max the units I
> use in 2ghz are limited to 10 watts. But if you say hams are allowed to
> blast 100 watts on a microwave frequency I guess I would have to agree
> that there is a safety issue.
>
> So what do you get to do with 100 watts on a microwave freq..
> I bet it's great for those short intercity hops :0.
>
> markj
> KQV-755

6095. POLICE FREQUENCYS NEEDED FOR THE CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO IN CALIFORNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert1565@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17029-39C189AA-24@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79642

IS THE CITY SAN BERNARDINO ON A TRUNKED SYSTEM IF SO PLEASE PROVIDE FREQUENCYS AND TYPE OF SYSTEM USED IN THE FONTANA AREA AND COLTON. THANK YOU

6096. Pro 2052 test software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~Kevinw <darkjedi@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <ss34kf49dc699@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79643

If you're interested, i decided to start writing a pc program for controlling the pro 2052. After an hour of work.. here's what i've come up with.
http://www.azstarnet.com/~darkjedi/Scanner-2052.zip (1.5 mb since i decided to try VB6 (yuck)). Currently the program's channel/freq display only supports scan mode, so I've disabled the other buttons.. I did add the ability to manually send commands to the scanner as well as a scanner response box (though, as stated, the channel/freq display might not be accurate).

Why am I posting such an incomplete POS?
I want to know if there are people out there that would actually want free scanner software for the 2052. I'll only continue the program if there is enough response from y'all. (it does everything I need it to currently)...

NOTE: currently the program requires the RS232c cable to be connected to COM1 with the scanner already in PC mode. Don't forget to test the connection using a terminal program and the SI command.

The program will open the port, turn on the Squelch monitor and set the scanner in SCAN mode.

I have tested the software on two machines and not had any problems.
enough rambling... I'm sure there are other programs out there that can do this.. I'm just not interested in paying for them :)

~Kevinw

PS.. the file was scanned using mcafee before I posted it.. BUT... be a good downloader and scan it yourself.

6097. Re: Pro 2052 test software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~Kevinw <darkjediSPAM@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <39C19ACD.2516EDE0@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 79644

```
Also requires quartz.ttf font (not included)
```

6098. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C0841E.96AF8142@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79693
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:
>
> What safety aspect. You're not really gonna go *there* are you?
>
> Chainsaws, electric hair dryers, microwave ovens, golf clubs etc....

Mark, with the exception of chain saws, all of these devices are designed so the average, unskilled, consumer can operate them safely without harm to himself or others. This is not so with high powered radio equipment, especially in the microwave frequencies.

More importantly, ham radio, under normal operating conditions, involves the risk of harming others (unlike the devices mentioned above, including the chainsaw). Radio signals don't just stop at your front door - you're neighbors are involved also. So are the children who happen across your antenna in the back yard. That is why ham operators are tested for knowledge about RF safety.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6099. Re: Michigan Scanner Law: MCL 750.508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <39C0AC53.1113D4F4@sgi.net>
Source record: 79694

I can't disagree with this one.

Why enact a law if they are going to write permits? How much is the permit? There has to be a catch.....

I got it, they want to know how many "law abiding people" have scanners in there cars.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> In article <bQru5.35456$QW4.423192@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker"
> <bcrocker@home.com> writes:
>
> >While this is correct, you can obtain a permit from the Michigan State
> >Police, that allows you to not only have, but actually use, a police scanner
> >in your car, even programmed for police frequencies! It is available for
> >the asking, by filling out a simple one page request, available from the
> >Michigan State Police.
>
> Oh, boy! Really? You mean if we're really nice and follow all the rules, the
> government might actually give us permission to receive the radio transmissions
> emitted with the equipment which we have purchased as taxpayers? That's mighty
> kind of them!

6100. Re: Freqs to monitor for Clinton's visit to Danbury

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <39C0AD55.83D2C0BC@sgi.net>
Source record: 79695

No reply to this one. the public just doesn't care about this asshole.

Barun Gupta wrote:

> Can anyone give me a list of active frequencies to monitor when Clinton
> visits Danbury on Monday?

6101. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <39C0B00D.A2FDA390@sgi.net>
Source record: 79696
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
If your NOT going to address the subject line that "YOU" started, don't start
one.

As for the you go girl thing - stick it.

"You go girl!" wrote:

> A good move, although it should have been done 25 years ago
> in the USA. It's really too late anyway. The damage is already
> done. There are few, if any, 20 and younger people interested in
> ham radio. What young person today, apart from the offspring
> of hams, are interested in a ham licence? Really, ask yourself this
> question, HONESTLY, and put aside the pre-programmed obsolete
> mantra that ham radio is some kind of a vital and technologically
> active endeavor in 2000. Put a fully equipped Pentium-III MMX system
> next to an Icom and what do you think the majority of the young people
> will choose to play with in the Year 2000 and beyond...??
>
> Answer the question...be honest now ! (if you said ham radio, I wish you
> luck)
>
> "Walt" <walt@domain.com> wrote in message
> news:u14jrssr39ffiqhth4lallu7htqr684b72@news.mindspring.com...
> > In the ARRL news letter today:
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> ---------------------
> > IARU REGION III CONFERENCE CALLS FOR MORSE EXAM PHASEOUT
> >
> > The 11th International Amateur Radio Union Region III Conference ended
> > September 1 by resolving to seek the ultimate removal of Morse code
> > proficiency as an International Telecommunication Union licensing
> > requirement for HF operation. As "an interim measure," the conference
> > agreed to support the reduction of all Morse code testing speeds to 5 WPM.
> >
> > "IARU Region III strongly supports Morse code as an effective and
> > efficient mode of communication," the resolution said in its preamble.
> > "However, it believes that the position of Morse as a qualifying criterion
> > for an HF amateur license is not relevant to the healthy future of amateur
> > radio."
> >
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> ----------
> >
```

> > In a few years our region will adopt the same rules that region III will
> > adopt.
>
> Again - it's TOO LATE. Ham Radio will be DEAD and FORGOTTEN by 2020.
> Consigned to the relics locker of history with crand-starters, buggy
> lanterns
> and straight keys. Soyyr, but this IS the TRVTH if you care to take the
> blinders
> off and admit it to yourself. Still say no? They why does attendance at
> Dayton
> drop off each year and smaller club hamfests are not even being held much
> anymore?

6102. E-Trunker Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:35:42 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <y3Vv5.119412$oj6.2844400@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79677

I have been using E-Trunker to monitor a local EDACS systems.
For the most part everything works correctly. The problem is
that I only get 50% accuracy and I believe some of the info is
missed. The systems I am monitoring are only about 10 to 15
miles away and I get a strong signal. Using Trunker to monitor
Motorola systems I regularly get 90%+ accuracy even on
weaker signals.

Is E-Trunker not as good at decoding the control channel as
Trunker? Has anyone else seen this problem? Are there any
tricks to setting up E-Trunker?

I am running a Pentium III @ 450 MHz under Windows 98. As
I have stated above, Trunker runs with no problems on my system.

Thank you.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

6103. Re: E-Trunker Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 00:58:54 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <ipVv5.51310$58.6326180@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79678

I had the same problem. In my case, it was the Hamcom
interface. I was using a "store bought" model. I ended up
making my own, based on the diagram at this link:

http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/dig/2level.jpg

The instructions for this, and other interfaces, it located at
this link:

http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/interface.htm

E-Trunk is now working perfectly. I can get a 100% rating
almost instantly where as I could only get 50-70% before even
after leaving it running for a while.

BTW, I'm using an E-Machine
(http://www.e4me.com/infocentral/product_tower400i3.html)
and I wired mine with a DB-9 (using Com Port 1) rather than
a DB-25.

Robert

David M. Hitchner <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote in message
news:y3Vv5.119412$oj6.2844400@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> I have been using E-Trunker to monitor a local EDACS systems.
> For the most part everything works correctly. The problem is
> that I only get 50% accuracy and I believe some of the info is
> missed. The systems I am monitoring are only about 10 to 15
> miles away and I get a strong signal. Using Trunker to monitor
> Motorola systems I regularly get 90%+ accuracy even on
> weaker signals.
>
> Is E-Trunker not as good at decoding the control channel as
> Trunker? Has anyone else seen this problem? Are there any
> tricks to setting up E-Trunker?
>
> I am running a Pentium III @ 450 MHz under Windows 98. As
> I have stated above, Trunker runs with no problems on my system.
>
> Thank you.
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning

> Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.
>
>
>
>

6104. Re: Opinions Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 01:00:55 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <brVv5.51312$58.6326816@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner

Here's one... You suck. Don't SPAM us! That one was
free. ;-)

<Hal.Turner@orn.com> wrote in message
news:8pp5in$e7n@dispatch.concentric.net...
>
> Have you ever wished you could express your opinion
> about something or someone and have it run on a major,
> 50,000 watt radio station? Now you can, for $35. per 30 seconds!
snipped

6105. Re: I will be leaving the radio hobbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 01:13:18 UTC
From: comsgt@usa.com
Message-ID: <39c12616.3463251@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 79680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Holly Hobbie?

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 13:53:51 -0600 (MDT), FROMUT@webtv.net (UTAH JOE) wrote:

>i will be going out of state for a while i will be shuting down my web
>page for the time being thank you for all the help over the years it has
>been up
>
>GOODBYE
>FROMUT
>
>
>

6106. Re: Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 01:17:17 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <xGVv5.950$Qe.88106@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 79681

A lot of the "lifesaver" helos use the UHF med-band
around 467-468 Mhz too.

Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

"I'm not suffering from insanity; actually it's a lot of fun."

Brett - N7OLQ wrote in message <8pjntr$2ab@news.or.intel.com>...
>If there is no tower at your airport then look for UNICOM frequencies in
the
>airband. These are just for planes to talk to other planes in the general
>area to say " I'll be flying around at 5000 ft over here, don't crash into
>me..." We have some local small airports that use 122.8 and 122.9.
>
>That said, PD helos will likely be on PD frequencies to radio to the cars.
>EMS Helos might show up in the business band since their dispatch center is
>often a private company who contracts to the PD/EMS/Hospital etc.
>
>
>Brett - N7OLQ
>
>
>"Tony Cellini" <drksky@home.com> wrote in message
>news:39BC650C.7B0C0704@home.com...
>> Are there any general guidelines to frequencies used by police (such as
>> those used to monitor interstate speeds) and EMS helis? Do the police
>> planes use the same system as the ground units or do they use aircraft
>> frequencies?
>>
>> Same for the EMS helis. I live about 3 blocks from a hospital that has
>> a heliport, which is listed at www.airnav.com, but no comm frequencies
>> are listed, just VOR. Who would this heli communicate with when landing
>> and taking off, the local airport?
>>
>> Any info appreciated.
>>
>
>

6107. wanted rochelle illinois train freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 01:51:37 UTC
From: kb9wit <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <39C02FF5.C915A600@owc.net>
Source record: 79682

looking for the freq used at the viewing stand at the rochelle illinois
stand
thanks jack

6108. Re: Black Jaguar BJ200MKIII Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 02:28:32 UTC
From: Dennis <dennis493@home.com>
Message-ID: <kJWv5.27878$Sh4.212219@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 79683

40MHz? Are you looking for cellular or the older style cordless??

"A. Hach" <lush3@geocities.com> wrote in message
news:39BFDEC4.F024BAA3@geocities.com...
> Hello everybody,
>
> I've got this old PALCOM BlackJagur MK200III scanner
> and I'm desperately looking for a modification to make this unit receive
> the cellular band (40MHZ)
> This is a rather old unit with a 7925PLL any hints?
> I kept searching the net for hours ... no results so far.
> Thanks in advance,
> Andreas
>
>
>
> Added on Date: 13:56:25 9/13/100
>
> Please reply to lush3@geocities.com!
>
>

6109. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 03:11:44 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39C042D8.DEE41857@hotmail.com>
Source record: 79684
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Sales staff are always getting jerked around - even in supposedly
reputable companies. I used to work at a place where the sales staff
made 5% on every order. One sales guy sunk a deal work literally
millions over the first year. It really put the company up a big notch.
After three months of outrageous commission checks they changed his
-remuneration package- and set his -targets- much higher. Of course he
quit and sued, but without much luck.

MC

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:
>
> I have a sister-in-law that worked at the shack. She told me that employee's
> commissions were reduced during the Christmas season, due to the increased volume of
sales.
> What a way to award your loyal employees!
> Al
>
> Rocinante wrote in message ...
> >He won't be there for a long time. Christmas season starts soon and he
> >will find a higher paying job.
> >

6110. FA: $90 Realistic PRO-37 Cell enabled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 03:49:43 UTC
From: alex@ksads.wpic.pitt.edu
Message-ID: <8pphsn$b5r$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Source record: 79685

This is a functionally perfect Realistic PRO-37 scanner which can monitor analog cell and cordless phone transmissions in the 800Mhz @ 30 step.

The bidding starts at $90 - to bid, go here:
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/40067838

Thanks!

6111. Re: Mt. Rainier radio frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 05:05:52 UTC
From: Greg Savat <falcon@shreve.net>
Message-ID: <39c05d5f_2@news.shreve.net>
Source record: 79686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

I was up there in Nov of last year !! It was great.. If you want I can send
a few pics I took when I was there !!

Greg N5VAG

"McGovern" <doghostage@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:39241992.C5E06316@bigfoot.com...
> Did you catch the PBS documentary on Mt. Rainier last night? Amazing.
> That's one of my next travel destinations.
>
> Jonathan Horne wrote:
> >
> > Can anyone recommend a few useful repeaters for someone climbing the
south
> > side of Mt. Rainier? Any Park Service or other frequencies worth
monitoring?
> > Thanks for any leads,
> >
> > Jonathan KB0TAX

6112. Re: wanted rochelle illinois train freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 05:30:47 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-ED0587.00304714092000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 79687

In article <39C02FF5.C915A600@owc.net>, kb9wit <kb9wit@owc.net> wrote:

> looking for the freq used at the viewing stand at the rochelle illinois
> stand
> thanks jack
>

UP Trains use 161.040, BNSF trains use 161.385, 161.100 and 161.160. The
EOT devices (flashing rear end devices) can be heard on 457.9375.

Rochelle PD is on 155.550, and the FD is on 154.160.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

6113. VHFSKIP 29-50MHz+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 05:44:40 UTC
From: Inform <pacmonitor@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8ppok7$ma7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79688

Hi All,

For those of you who're interested. Subscribe to the VHFSKIP 29-50MHz+ Listserver and post your VHF DX Logs etc. Covers VHF DXing Utility (Non Broadcast) stations primarily in the 29-88MHz range Worldwide or information related to communications in the 29-88MHz range. For Progagation Purposes, Sporadic-E/Tropo opening alerts, Non CB/Pirate related comms in the 25-29Mhz range (E.G reception of 25/26MHZ AUX BC stations 10M FM), Band I TV indicators, 6M DX reports are OK. Aswell as VHF DX reception above 88Mhz.

Subscribe: http://www.egroups.com/subscribe/vhfskip

Once subscribed you can access the archive posts.

http://www.egroups.com/archive/vhfskip (For all archived posts)

http://www.egroups.com/message/vhfskip (For individual archived posts)

http://www.egroups.com/message/vhfskip/2123 (for a list of some of the archived posts of interest)

on the VHFSKIP Main page you can also access archived posts by the month.

http://www.egroups.com/group/vhfskip

Ian Julian ZL1TBM Pukekohe New Zealand
Listowner: VHFSKIP 29-50MHz+
PACIFIC-RIM MONITOR
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7769/
http://www.geocities.com/pacmonitor/ (URL shortcut)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6114. Re: SoCal Avaition freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 05:59:01 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FOZv5.13787$6f1.551472@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79689

Try http://www.airnav.com

de Norm KA2TYT

erhu@pacbell.net wrote in message <39bf9ad8.5270273@news.pacbell.net>...
>John Wayne Airport in Orange County uses 126.800
>
>Neal
>Anahiem,CA
>
>On Tue, 12 Sep 2000 23:57:27 -0700, "KEN KOLLER"
><kenkoller@email.msn.com> wrote:
>
>>Can anyone direct me to a source for Southern California avaition
>>frequencies? Thank you.
>>
>>--
>>Ken Koller
>>kenkoller@msn.com
>>EMT, American Medical Response, Montebello, CA
>>
>>"Is possible for a fish to mutate like that?"
>>
>>
>

6115. FS: PRO-2042 Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 06:00:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <7QZv5.45547$QW4.529540@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79690

FOR SALE:

PRO-2042 Scanner Radio: $210.00 shipped within the U.S. 48. Mint condition, no mods.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

6116. nascar freq. for relm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 06:20:41 UTC
From: joepinard <joepinard@home.com>
Message-ID: <Z6_v5.13401$CW2.154924@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 79691

Hi all, I am looking for the NASCAR Winston Cup freq. and pll codes.
I found several sites with the freq. but no pll codes. If anyone knows
where to get this I would apprieciate it. Thanks

6117. Re: Hamilton Twp. NJ Channel 2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 06:46:22 UTC
From: Downtown Internet Radio <dogtownradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39C0743E.5BDDF5D9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 79692

Hotwater67 wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> Does anyone have channel 2 for Hamilton NJ PD?
>
> The main Frq is 453.525
> The old channel 2 was 453.425, but they're not using it as channel 2
> anymore.
> The third channel I have for them is 460.450, but that's not used either.
>
> Thanks,
> - HW

Give 460.0750 a try. I'm too far down south in Jersey to pick this up,
but it is a frequency listed in Police Call 2000.
--
Dogtown Internet Radio
Coming soon to Live365.com

Rock, Metal and the best of the 80's, plus news and views.
Coming to you soon from the boondocks of Southern New Jersey.

6118. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-14 22:47:27 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39C1557F.6C0B859D@iki.fi>
Source record: 79645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

odo wrote:
>
>
> Many cheapies use 450 or 460khz filters on the last IF stage. So
> there is no chance to upgrade to some GOOD filters without
> changing a LOT.

If last IF is made with Xtal oscillator, its relative easy to change
last IF by changing that Xtal.
Exsample if i decide change MVT-9000 last if to 1MHz, i replace one
crystal and recalibrate VXO what made those 50, 100, 200Hz step size in
Yupi.

> This had happened on the PCR1000 too... So there was NO chance
> on a filter upgrade .... :-(((

Hm... i dont say its impossible, maybe difficult but not impossible.
How that last IF mixing is made? PLL or Xtal??

> In many cases there is triple conversion on the narrow modes
> only, using a 455 or 450 khz IF.
> In most cases the wide-FM is double conversion only, using the
> 10.7 Mhz IF, wich is the 2nd IF to the 3rd too.

In MVT-9000 exsample, all modes are triple conversion. include WFM.

> > Example Yupiteru MVT-9000 what i'm familiar with, have 3 IF's.
> > First is 765.5MHz or 254.5MHz depend freqency range. (SAW filters)
> > Second IF is 44.185Mhz (cascaded crystal filters)
> > Third IF is 455KHz and there is different filter's for differend widht
> > for each mode like AM/NAM/SSB/CW/FM.
> > WFM have third IF in 10.7MHz (surprice)
>
> No, not surprising.
> The bandwidth on WFM is 150 to 230 khz.for FM- broadcasts and
> TV-sound reception.

Yes, price is still factor what have much effect.
Why desing everything again if there is ready solution?
Like wide variety of 10.7MHz filters for WFM.

> They are not to realize with acceptable shape factor on a 455khz
> IF .

=)

> So there is the question if the MVT9000 is a true tirple
> conversion on WFM too or if it simply uses a 10.7 Mhz if as 2nd
> stage instead of the 44.185 Mhz... ??

Yes, its true triple conversion.
Only it doesnt have xtal filter for 2nd IF in WFM mode.
Only in 1st IF and 3rd IF have filters in WFM mode.
I've made video output from that 2nd IF.
Its bit narrow band but i can see B/W image quite well with my Yupi...
;)
(that start from ICR-3, cause i was just curious if its possible with
Yupi too ;)

> There is a bandwidth of 30 or 50 khz also available on some
> scanners, (used for reception on weather satellites for example)
> wich is (normally) realized with 10.7Mhz filters.
> 455 khz IF-filters with bandwidth above 20 khz are rarely used
> and very uncommon.

To be honest, i dont know any scanner what have 30 of 50Khz bandwidth,
other receivers yes but not a scanner.
I curios, what scanners have that kind of bandwidth?

> > All wide band scanners what i have, have bandpass filtering!!
> > MVT-9000, AR-8000, AR-8200, MVT-7300, 7100, 7000, UBC-9000, UBC-3000
> > ect.
> But ALL filters of those together are not worth of a single one
> in the IC 8500 / 9000..

Remember Price! and IC-8500/9000 are not scanners! how to made handheld
IC8500? 8-D
If Icom have triple price vs. Yupi, it should have at least douple
quality.

> > Well, there is also other "real" receivers than IC-8500 or IC-9000.
> > How about Rohde&Schwarz???
> a good choice, really. :-)))

I consider R&S are real receivers, IC8500/9000 are expensive toy's.
Like W&J ect ....

That new Yaesu VR-5000 is also same class, expensive toy like all
scanners but its receiver what is reasonable priced...
We get what we pay for... ;)

I'm curius how well that VR-5000 perform when i get in test.
Out supplier have promise that quite soon to test but EU models are bit
late... :(

> > > On some HF receivers, the 3rd IF will be at 50Khz. It is fairly easy
> > > to produce low loss, filters with good shape factors at 50Khz.
> >
> > then that IF filtering is made DSP ect technology.
> NO !!

> Have a look into some commercial equipment from Siemens or
> Harris......
> They have discrete IF-filters on the last stage working below
> 50khz.. !!

Well, my know ledge about those is really small.
I've played just only toy's like Yupi, AOR, Icom, ect...

> > > Only after detection do you get audio.
> > Yes.... =)
> unfortunately I have to agree too ;-))

Mika
OH5HST

--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua.....

6119. Offshore Boat Race Frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jaypeggin@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000915151822.29055.00003212@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79497

Does anyone know what frequencies they use during the offshore boat races? They will be racing in Buffalo NY this week-end and i was wondering if they just use the standard marine frequencies or if they mabey use other U.H.F. channels.

Thanks alot
Jaypeggin@aol.com

6120. Re: Mackey Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clyde <Nobody@Home.nut>
Message-ID: <lZuw5.800$i45.27931@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 79498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wonder how many entries my kill file will hold before I need another
hard disk.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:8ptdgltfg1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I guess you ALLSAFE have been made to be the fool again. Would you
> like to see my certificate showing I completed what you are referring
> to as "boot camp"?
>
> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> >......you're not going to make it through boot camp. They'll spot
> > your illness and you'll be looking at a General Discharge under
> Honorable
> > conditions.
> >
> > I'm going to laugh my ass off when I see your DD214.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

6121. Re: Visit my webpage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbz07 <mbz07@breathemail.net>
Message-ID: <1hvw5.33$Hf2.1507@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 79499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What is it with you and Brother Stair??

I tuned into 6110 kHz today, there must have been some fading as the audio was very distorted and scratchy, it sounded like Brother Stair was shouting and he sounded quite angry.. In fact, it sounded the same last week and the month before that...

I wonder why he uses 6110kHz during the Afternoon here in Europe? Are those the cheapest, roughest frequencies going? Any broadcaster worthwile usually goes for 31m or smaller...

Just wondering...

Stephen M.H. Lawrence <poppabear1968@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8pteuv$vc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <83qw5.3089$Ds.18118@news4.atl>,
> "Bro_Lloyd" <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> wrote:
> > Great tips for budding pederasts!
> > The art of seducing your cousins.
> > Tips on how to chaw tobacco and make out with your first cousin at
> the same time!
> > Facts on why likker is a sin.
> > Photos of my shrine to Brother Stair.
> > Why unemployment ain't so bad.
>
> Nutsack.
>
> --
> Stephen M.H. Lawrence
> Omaha, Nebraska - home of STRATCOM
> "People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6122. Re: A New Yupi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39C28707.EE01ED91@iki.fi>
Source record: 79500

neann wrote:
>
> Group,
> Anybody own the new Yupiteru 7300? It looks like it is a fairly decent
> radio...
> Thoughts and comments?

I have had that while...
Check Rich Wells message board.

Regards
Mika
--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua........

6123. FA pro 2035 opto os535 full/ cellular unlock code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: annamal01@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000915171138.07431.00000363@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79501

1 day left hurry!!!!

bid at 325.00

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437498694

6124. FS: Comet CH-701X Wide-Band Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <khww5.48666$QW4.554603@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79502

FOR SALE:

COMET CH-701X Miracle Boy II Wide-Band HT/Scanner Antenna!
144MHz (2dBi gain), 430MHz (3.4dB), 900MHz (5.5dB), 10W, 50 ohms
18-3/4" overall length, 11-3/4" from base is rigid, with remaining 7-1/4"
flexible, small loading coil in the middle. Standard BNC connector at base.

Brand New, only: $40.00 shipped with in U.S.

Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

6125. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: lifeflight1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29431-39C2A300-9@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79503

All I have to say is bullshit.....
CW has not been abolished...
You evidently are not a licensed
ham radio operator or you would not be
passing out bad information....

6126. Bearcat 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <39c2ae48$1_1@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 79504

Anyone know when the BC-780XLT is due to hit the streets? The Uniden site doesn't say.

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

6127. Re: R8500 address?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <bayw5.122949$oj6.2975149@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79505

Hold the "Set" button and turn the R8500 on. Then rotate the memory
channel selector until you see "CIV ADDR". The default is 4AH.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Bev M Ewen-Smith" <coaa@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
news:8ptpsbmle1@venus.telepac.pt...
> Can anyone advise the CIV address of the R8500 for remote control
purposes?
>
> Bev
> Bev and Jan Ewen-Smith
> COAA, s&#65533;tio do Poio, Mexilhoeira Grande 8500-149, Portugal
> Tel 00 351 282 471180 Fax 00 351 282 471516
> ><> coaa@mail.telepac.pt www.ip.pt/coaa ><>
>
>
>

6128. Wintone warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: neep.neep@acme.com
Message-ID: <jqf5ssksdcupbevg4shmv5s2fijvmbhag9@4ax.com>
Source record: 79506

After finding two serious bugs in Wintone over a year ago, I sent the
support folks this message. They admitted that the bugs were there
and promised a new version "real soon now." Needless to say there
was no new version.

Save your money and buy something else!

73,
Jim N2VNO
ZZZjim_cronin@juno.comZZZ (remove the ZZZ's to reply)

-------- The original message -------------
I purchased WinTone 2.02 to monitor a local repeater. There
are two bugs that prevent me from using WinTone:

When using "monitoring" to record activity the file size grows. When
it reaches 7008 K bytes (at 14 minutes 57 seconds of audio) six
popup windows appear, each with the text:
"Out of memory while expanding memory stream."

Since there is more than 15 minutes of activity in a day this is a
problem and makes WinTone unuseable.

The second thing occurs when turning monitoring on, then off, then
on. A popup appears with the text:
"You attempted to call StartRecordFile Recursively!"
WinTone then exits. This is a less serious problem than the first.

My configuration is an AMD K6/233 with 160 meg of memory. When the
errors occur the system monitor shows over 100 meg of free memory.
I tried both the generic .dll file and the pentium .dll;
both behaved the same. I have active tone detection set for
extended DTMF.

Can you help out with this? WinTone is a great piece of software
except for these two problems.
Please let me know as soon as possible if you do not intend on
releasing a fixed version.

6129. hooters pro cup racing freq.'s?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jason D." <jasond12@knology.net>
Message-ID: <XbAw5.29204$qm4.716198@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79507

anyone know where i can obtain them?

6130. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dogtown Internet Radio <dogtownradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39C2D39D.C1BE5B11@yahoo.com>
Source record: 79508

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> A Warrant Officer (W-2/3/4/5) IS a commissioned Officer &
> is NOT "the same thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class)".
>
> Further, there is NO SUCH THING as a "Chief Petty Officer (first
> class)". However, there are "Chief Petty Officer" and "Petty Officer
> (first class)"
>
> Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:
> > A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
> > thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Tom Petty is pretty cool. Can I be a Chief Tom Petty Officer? :P
--
Dogtown Internet Radio
Coming soon to Live365.com

Rock, Metal and the best of the 80's, plus news and views.
Coming to you soon from the boondocks of Southern New Jersey.

6131. Re: My dream scanner feature...does this exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dogtown Internet Radio <dogtownradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39C2D54F.D25104F9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 79509

Toscano wrote:
>
> Squelch that can be set differently for each programmed channel.
>
> Whaddata say?

Sounds dang good to me. My dream feature would be a scanner/radio that went continuously from 150khz to 2GHz. I think there's already radio's that do this, so the real dream is having the cash to get one. Of course, if anyone wants to send me one free of charge, please go ahead. Bwahahahaha! :)

--
Dogtown Internet Radio
Coming soon to Live365.com

Rock, Metal and the best of the 80's, plus news and views.
Coming to you soon from the boondocks of Southern New Jersey.

6132. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ss5lleunh3t67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79510
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
It is a Hams option to use that much power if conditions require it and it
is permitted.
If you are going to spout off about things like this you better do some
research.
You act like a child.
Rick

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39C244A1.118C9F63@cts.com...
>
>
> Barry D wrote:
> >
> > > > 100 watts of rf power does not
> > > > create a major safety concern
> > >
> > >
> > > But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That
is
> > > the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
> > > you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts
is
> > > a real major concern.
> >
> > Do people run 1500 watts at higher frequencies? Which frequencies are
you
> > talking about Dwight?
> >
> > Barry D.
>
>
> I just want to see some ham actually pumping a couple hundred watts into
> a moon microwave . Where do you buy one of those? Can't the moon be
> bounced with far less power.
>
> Oh the fun I could have with that.
>
> KML-441
```

6133. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ss5m3n1vh3t116@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79511
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39C14BB1.3DFDB4F@cts.com...
>
>
> RicK Larson wrote:
> >
> > I would suggest that you read up on microwave energy at 100 watts that
is
> > feed into an antenna.
> > Rick
>
> You have hams usuing 100 watt microwave radios?
>

Ok you said it.
Just get a copy of QST and read about the activity in the microwave ranges.

> Do tell, cuase i'm willing to call myself stupid.

If you say so!!!!!!!!!!!1

The max the units I
> use in 2ghz are limited to 10 watts. But if you say hams are allowed to
> blast 100 watts on a microwave frequency I guess I would have to agree
> that there is a safety issue.
>
> So what do you get to do with 100 watts on a microwave freq..
> I bet it's great for those short intercity hops :0.
>
> markj
> KQV-755

6134. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ss5msgaoh3t155@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79512
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mark
Better listen up again to.
Rick

Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:39C2D9EC.3380D4C7@topnow.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > markj wrote:
> > >
> > > 100 watts of rf power does not
> > > create a major safety concern
> >
> > But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That is
> > the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
> > you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts is
> > a real major concern.
>
> Actually, the new ISO radiation safety standards for human exposure
> can kick in at 50 watts or even less, depending upon distance to the
source
> and frequency. Because different organs and parts of the body might
> "resonate" at different frequencies, it's not quite as simple as saying
> that X-watts are safe at any frequency.
>
> For example, at 1.2 Ghz, a 50 watt signal at close range may
> burn cells in your eyeballs and heat the vitreous fluids. Think
> of a 50 watt microwave beam vs. a 50 watt AM signal.
> Which would you rather stand next to? :)
>
> -- Ross
>
>
> -- Ross
>
>
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
```

>

6135. WTB: Kenwood RX-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pumif$1qc$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79513

An oldie. Want to go mobile with SW. If you have one to dispose of... email me.

Thanks... J. Harley Davidson

6136. Re: Pro51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39c1cade.9282821@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79558

On Fri, 15 Sep 2000 01:36:46 -0400, "Michele Roberts"
<rosemadd@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I just purchased a Radio Shack Pro 51. Is this a good scanner . (just
>getting started)
>
I am using an Icom-R2 these days but I still have fond memories of the
Pro-51......

Neal
Anaheim,CA

6137. Re: Black Jaguar BJ200MKIII Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A. Hach" <lush3@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <39C1D56D.4591F609@geocities.com>
Source record: 79559

Hi Dennis,

I'm looking for a mod to make this unit receive older cordless frequencies.
(40MHz)

Thanks

Dennis wrote:

> 40MHz? Are you looking for cellular or the older style cordless??
> ------------------------
> "A. Hach" <lush3@geocities.com> wrote in message
> news:39BFDEC4.F024BAA3@geocities.com...
> > Hello everybody,
> >
> > I've got this old PALCOM BlackJagur MK200III scanner
> > and I'm desperately looking for a modification to make this unit receive
> > the cellular band (40MHZ)
> > This is a rather old unit with a 7925PLL any hints?
> > I kept searching the net for hours ... no results so far.
> > Thanks in advance,
> > Andreas
> >
> >
> >
> > Added on Date: 13:56:25 9/13/100
> >
> > Please reply to lush3@geocities.com!
> >
> >

6138. Re: No Trunk Tracking - What Am I REALLY Missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <8pskuj$l19$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 79560

My Radio Shack PRO-91 is spec'd at being able to search through 50
programmed channels per second. So yes, if you programmed all 150
channels (5 banks of 30) and enabled all banks, it would take 3
seconds to run through the whole set, by that measure.

Wayne

"Walker" <worldvista@altavista.com> wrote in message
news:8pkscrspn1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> Most RS scanners also seem to have a bit of a problem with scan
speed --
> in other words, it's slow. No problem if you have say 25-50
channels
> programmed, but if it gets up much above that, faster scan speeds
> become very important, or you end up hearing more squelch tails than
> anything else.
>
> Tim
>

6139. *******FOR SALE*******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio Software Specialists <Info@TrunkMaxPro.com>
Message-ID: <0ymw5.168170$D9.9005740@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 79561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FOR SALE -

One software company in the business of making scanner software.
Asking Price - $0.50 or best offer.
Don't want to waste time on E-Bay.
Having some legal problems.
Support staff is non-existent.
Programs are garbage and overpriced.
Lots of errors in programs due to inexperienced programmers.
Please take this thing off my hands.

For more information on this useless company, visit

http://www.trunkmaxpro.com

Thanx.

PS - The above post was somewhat done in humor (Well, the for sale part anyhow.) It seems that if you send these people's tech support department e-mail for support, they never reply. I have even tried calling the phone number on the auto-reply e-mail I got back from them say they would get back to me, but the number just rang busy for hours on end. I finally gave up and deleted their crap of my computer. What a waste of time this was.

Signed,

A Concerned Scanner User

6140. Re: *******FOR SALE*******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Manny \"The Salami\" DiCarlo" <whackem@hitman.org>
Message-ID: <iBmw5.25196$I6.145020@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OMG! This is the funiest thing I have read on Usenet is the last John Mackey flame war!!!!!!!!!

"Radio Software Specialists" <Info@TrunkMaxPro.com> wrote in message news:0ymw5.168170$D9.9005740@news.easynews.com...
> FOR SALE -
>
> One software company in the business of making scanner software.
> Asking Price - $0.50 or best offer.
> Don't want to waste time on E-Bay.
> Having some legal problems.
> Support staff is non-existent.
> Programs are garbage and overpriced.
> Lots of errors in programs due to inexperienced programmers.
> Please take this thing off my hands.
>
> For more information on this useless company, visit
>
> http://www.trunkmaxpro.com
>
>
> Thanx.
>
> PS - The above post was somewhat done in humor (Well, the for sale part
> anyhow.) It seems that if you send these people's tech support department
> e-mail for support, they never reply. I have even tried calling the phone
> number on the auto-reply e-mail I got back from them say they would get back
> to me, but the number just rang busy for hours on end. I finally gave up
> and deleted their crap of my computer. What a waste of time this was.
>
> Signed,
>
> A Concerned Scanner User
>
>
>
>

6141. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry D <x123x123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kTnw5.187350$c5.4299932@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 79563
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > 100 watts of rf power does not
> > create a major safety concern
>
>
> But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That is
> the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
> you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts is
> a real major concern.

Do people run 1500 watts at higher frequencies? Which frequencies are you
talking about Dwight?

Barry D.

6142. Re: Need 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8pt437$3oj$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79564

If Radio shack ships to Canada I assume you could order them from the Radio Shack web site http://www.radioshack.com or call 1-800-THE-SHACK (assuming you can call 1-800 numbers from Canada to the USA). Unless you are looking for a used model.

Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message news:uD9w5.114$t87.2037@read1...
>
> I need to get my hands onto a PRO-2067 500 Channel Mobile Trunktracking
> scanner. Must be in Excellent to mint condition. They are not for sale in
> Canada and the one's we do have in Canada are not Trunktracking and not only
> that, they suck. Any other suggestion to a Vehicle Mount Trunktracking
> scanner would be greatly appreciated as well.
>
> Thank you,
> Jason Morris
>
>

6143. Re: FS:MINT IN BOX ICOM IC-R7100w/TV converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <_Kow5.3742$JT3.185731@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79565

Hi Tom,

I normally don't comment on RIDICULOUS asking prices for equipment but I had
to make and exception. You are dreaming!

Joe

TDT <jrc@ohio.net> wrote in message news:39C188A4.5C01D5CF@usa.net...
> FS:mint in box IC-R7100 with tv converter.this unit was purchased new
> PRIOR to cell block.it honestly has about 5 hours on it.my real love is
> shortwave,and its sat on a shelf way to long.I really want to sell both
> together.$1300 firm and ill ship in usa.email me at trocchio@usa.net
> thanks,Tom N8TYU.
>
>

6144. Re: Florida State Parks frequencies please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arthur Davis <artellad@hitter.net>
Message-ID: <39C2199D.3F502C4A@hitter.net>
Source record: 79566

David, Try 44.76 MHz. Art.

gigax wrote:

> Looking for the frequencies used by the Florida State Park
> Service....rangers at front gate won't give them up
>
> thanks
> david buskirk
> danasala@yahoo.com

6145. Re: Richard Kendrick N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8pt8in$n4m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79567

If there was no reply needed then why did YOU reply?

markj <markj@cts.com> wrote:
> No reply needed.
SNIP

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6146. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C22368.4F44DCB7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79568
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry D wrote:
>
> Do people run 1500 watts at higher
> frequencies? Which frequencies are you
> talking about Dwight?

I've personally seen a few operating the limit on 2m and 440 (and hear
of others), so I'm sure more than a few have tried operating at the
limits on frequencies higher than that. In other words, if the rules
allow it, I'm sure someone has tried it. And that is why safe operation
on those higher frequencies is covered in the license exams.

But, what is the purpose of your question? There are a lot of ham
operators out there, and a lot of frequencies available to ham operators
in the VHF, UHF, and higher, bands. Therefore, I can't possibly know
which frequencies each ham operator, using higher power levels, is
using. Since that is rather obvious, your question seem more rhetorical
than an honest attempt to seek an answer.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6147. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8pt8vrnqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79569

Jeeper-

Here on usenet, you are responsible for your own destiny.
Unfortunately, we have several spammers & troublemakers here
on this NG who get joy of taking immature potshots at others.
I have even been the target a few times.

You should complain to the ISP of the problematic people. Larry
Johnson posted a list in e-mail of ISP's for this including
primenet & others.

postaljeep@email.com wrote:
> Can't somebody stop these bickering juvenile IQ'd fools from venting
SNIP

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6148. Re: Is this thing moderated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8pt99p$nv6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79570

Jerry - Unfortunately, the CAL's you refer to didn't appear to want a cease fire. I am now out of Military training & waiting for a couple days until my return to Oregon. Using a friend's account, I see they were even harassing me during the two weeks I was gone.

How pathetic they are!

jmackey700@aol.com (Jmackey700) wrote:
> The discipline to delete and not read may help filter things better.
> Things appear calm now since Mackey (I'm not related) has declared a cease
> fire.
> Cheeks buddies persisted for awhile but finaaly followed John's good example.
> Maybe the ng will be at peace.
> jerry

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6149. Re: The Glenn lies never end.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptacqpco1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79571

Glenn - I was NOT speaking about the Army. Go re-read my message & you will find I as speaking about an Petty Officers in the NAVY (E-4/5/6), which are Non-Commissioned Officers.

For further info please review Navy Regulations Chapter 10.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> No you don't have a clue John. A Petty Oficer 3rd class is an E-4 same
> as a Corporal in the Army. An E-4 IS NOT AN OFFICER Corky you MORON!
A PO 2
> is an E-5 equals an Army Sargent. Not an Officer Moron. PO1 is an E-6
> equals and Army Staff Sergant, Not an Officer you MORON! Chief Petty
Officer
> equals an Army Sergeant First Class, not an Officer Corky. Even a
Master
> Chief Petty Officer equal to an Army Sergeant Major is a E-9. Do you
even
> know what the E-* classification means John-Corky? Enlisted Ranks.
Call a
> MCPON or below and officer and they would rip your head off.
SNIP

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6150. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptam6pvg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79572

Eric - I guess your fiends don't know what they are talking about, or
else YOU are a liar.

For security reasons I can't post my serial number as you ask.
However, I would be willing to post my certificate if you like.
Do you want that?

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> Funny, I called a few friends (all of whom were in the navy
> at one point or another over the last 20 years). I asked them to run
> your name through a few channels. Guess what they came up with?
>
> NOTHING!
SNIP
> Care to post your serial number (or how about your dd-214 showing you

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6151. Visit my webpage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro_Lloyd <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net>
Message-ID: <83qw5.3089$Ds.18118@news4.atl>
Source record: 79573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On my page you'll find.

Radio Shack webpage.
MW DX Webpage.
Use my message board
Use my Free for all links to add a link to YOUR homepage!

http://clik.to/n0vfp - It's all you need! Need I say More

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

6152. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptbf1$qq4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79574

Eric -

There appears go be a few things you don't understand.

First, the US Navy is for the entire US, regardless of wether we
are talking about Sioux City, Arizona, Oregon. Enlistment
location means nothing.

Second, I never said I enlisted in Sioux City.

Third, My ISP covers most of the US.

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> However, I find it rather odd that he would enlist in sioux city
> iowa when his ISP is clearly in the upper northwest oregon area.
>
> sounds way too fishy for me.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6153. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptc00rhs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79575

Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:
> Just Bud wrote:
> > Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> hollered from the outhouse...
> > The O in NCO stands for Officer.
>
> No kidding.

Thank you for your support. A few fools here were trying to say
that NCO was NOT Non-Commissioned Officer.

> > A Warrant officer IS a Commissioned Officer, under special rules.

Nothing special about it. A Warrant officer IS a commissioned officer
who worked his way up the ranks to E-7/8/9 & then became a Warrant
Officer.

> > They are treated EXACTLY the same as a Commissioned Officer
> > by Enlisted personnel.
>
> Not where I come from. The Warrant Officers, for one, simply
SNIP

Then you weren't following the rules correctly. They are to be saluted,
& have the authority to command as any officer.

> > John has never been in the Military,

Bud - the facts have proven you wrong. I have presented both a picture
my swearing in & a newspaper announcement online. Do you want to see
them again?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6154. Re: - KS0F veteran & hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptcfc$s8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79576

You are very welcome.

thehamguy1@aol.com (TheHamGuy1) wrote:
SNIP
> John--thankyou for your military service and shame on the likes of
CAL's.
> They do stoop lower each day.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6155. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptcpqsdu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79577

A Warrant Officer (W-2/3/4/5) IS a commissioned Officer &
is NOT "the same thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class)".

Further, there is NO SUCH THING as a "Chief Petty Officer (first
class)". However, there are "Chief Petty Officer" and "Petty Officer
(first class)"

Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote:
> A Warrant Officer is not an "Officer". Actually, it's the same
> thing as a Chief Petty Officer (first class).

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6156. Re: RICHARD KENDRICK N7NT- Liar and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptd52$t1v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Richard - I really don't care what you do. The simple
fact that while I was away serving country for military
duty, the problems & crap here continued thus proving that
people like YOU & others are the problem here & not me.

n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
> Sure you do. You want to cause trouble and keep things stirred
> up and generally annoy people. You're also avoiding the issue
SNIP
> I'll post my data and then leave the scanner groups forever.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6157. Re: Mackey Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8ptdgltfg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I guess you ALLSAFE have been made to be the fool again. Would you
like to see my certificate showing I completed what you are referring
to as "boot camp"?

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
>......you're not going to make it through boot camp. They'll spot
> your illness and you'll be looking at a General Discharge under
Honorable
> conditions.
>
> I'm going to laugh my ass off when I see your DD214.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6158. Re: Visit my webpage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8pteuv$vc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <83qw5.3089$Ds.18118@news4.atl>,
"Bro_Lloyd" <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> wrote:
> Great tips for budding pederasts!
> The art of seducing your cousins.
> Tips on how to chaw tobacco and make out with your first cousin at
the same time!
> Facts on why likker is a sin.
> Photos of my shrine to Brother Stair.
> Why unemployment ain't so bad.

Nutsack.

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
Omaha, Nebraska - home of STRATCOM
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6159. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C244A1.118C9F63@cts.com>
Source record: 79581
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry D wrote:
>
> > > 100 watts of rf power does not
> > > create a major safety concern
> >
> >
> > But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That is
> > the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
> > you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts is
> > a real major concern.
>
> Do people run 1500 watts at higher frequencies? Which frequencies are you
> talking about Dwight?
>
> Barry D.

I just want to see some ham actually pumping a couple hundred watts into
a moon microwave . Where do you buy one of those? Can't the moon be
bounced with far less power.

Oh the fun I could have with that.

KML-441

6160. Re: Florida State Parks frequencies please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C245B4.C73021FF@cts.com>
Source record: 79582

> > Looking for the frequencies used by the Florida State Park
> > Service....rangers at front gate won't give them up

Don't use force....
Try throwing food to distract them.

6161. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry D <x123x123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QKrw5.188612$c5.4303060@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 79583
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:39C22368.4F44DCB7@sccoast.net...
>
> Barry D wrote:
> >
> > Do people run 1500 watts at higher
> > frequencies? Which frequencies are you
> > talking about Dwight?
>
>
> I've personally seen a few operating the limit on 2m and 440 (and hear
> of others), so I'm sure more than a few have tried operating at the
> limits on frequencies higher than that. In other words, if the rules
> allow it, I'm sure someone has tried it. And that is why safe operation
> on those higher frequencies is covered in the license exams.
>
> But, what is the purpose of your question? There are a lot of ham
> operators out there, and a lot of frequencies available to ham operators
> in the VHF, UHF, and higher, bands. Therefore, I can't possibly know
> which frequencies each ham operator, using higher power levels, is
> using. Since that is rather obvious, your question seem more rhetorical
> than an honest attempt to seek an answer.
>

Gee who pissed in your Corn Flakes? I was interested in what you were
saying and wanted you to elaborate. I have read posts of yours before and
have picked up useful pieces of information. I have only been licenced for
a year and am always looking to learn. Sorry I asked Dwight ....guess I did
learn something today.

Barry D.

6162. Re: Need 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Costa <cvc@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <ObtS95yHAHA.436@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79584

Jason,

I have a 2067 I would be willing to sell. I purchased 2 and only need one. It has been mounted mobile for about the past month but is in excellent condition. Original box manual acces included. $250 US plus shipping. Chuck cvc@bigfoot.com
"Jason Morris" <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message news:uD9w5.114$t87.2037@read1...
>
> I need to get my hands onto a PRO-2067 500 Channel Mobile Trunktracking
> scanner. Must be in Excellent to mint condition. They are not for sale in
> Canada and the one's we do have in Canada are not Trunktracking and not only
> that, they suck. Any other suggestion to a Vehicle Mount Trunktracking
> scanner would be greatly appreciated as well.
>
> Thank you,
> Jason Morris
>
>

6163. Re: My dream scanner feature...does this exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <utg4ssc37i1fsuismlfblc6qjn6jgk4n1n@4ax.com>
Source record: 79585

On Fri, 15 Sep 2000 05:32:06 GMT, Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Squelch that can be set differently for each programmed channel.

You can do it on the Icom PCR-1000, a computer-controlled scanner. (Not a card,
it plugs into the serial port.)

--
"[W]hether or not [the Philadelphia Experiment] happened in 1943 is not
relevant. It is, for us, like the 'flying saucer' a gedankenexperiment
to get us to the next step in post-Einsteinian unified field theory."
-Dr. Jack Sarfatti, in http://stardrive.org/Jack/algebra.pdf

6164. Re: WTT: Handspring Visor PDA for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000915122400.22809.00003603@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 79586

In article <slrn8s3dct.k81.mrbill@ohno.mrbill.net>, mrbill@mrbill.net (Bill Bradford) writes:

>I've got a 2mb Handspring Visor (Palm Pilot clone, runs the
>same software, designed by the same people)

Which model is this a clone of? I'm thinking the Palm III, since this also has 2mb (?)

6165. Get a life Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39C25315.F8D2EC42@yok.com>
Source record: 79587

Are you now posting from bootcamp?

Who is this Larry Johnson you keep refering to?

6166. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C253E2.DF37FD2D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79588
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry D wrote:
>
> Gee who pissed in your Corn Flakes? I
> was interested in what you were
> saying and wanted you to elaborate.
> I have read posts of yours before and
> have picked up useful pieces of information.
> I have only been licenced for a year
> and am always looking to learn. Sorry
> I asked Dwight ....guess I did learn
> something today.

Well, I'm sorry you took it that way, Barry. I answered your question the only way I could - I don't know. But, again, that would seem rather obvious (without study, how can anyone know what a large number of people are doing), which is why I was left wondering about the intent of the question.

Perhaps you misunderstood the content of my previous comments. I never said that I knew of anyone using high powered equipment on any frequency. My comments were limited strictly to the rules, which would allow such operation. And the need for a license exam because of that possibility (and other reasons).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6167. FS: Computer, P-200MMX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <rusw5.48304$QW4.552453@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79589

FOR SALE:

Nice Quality Tower AT Case
Intel Advanced/AV Mother Board!
Parallel Port
2- RS232 Serial Ports
Intel Pentium 200MMX CPU
64MB of RAM
3.5" Floppy Disk Drive
1- Western Digital 1GB Hard Drive
1- Western Digital 3GB Hard Drive
16X CD-DROM Drive
Matrox Mystique 3D Video Card
Diamond Monster 3D Accelerator Card (works in tandem with the Matrox card)
Sound Blaster Sound Card
101-Key Keyboard
(No monitor, or mouse)

Clean, like-new condition, Win98SE loaded for testing purposes, and working perfect! This would make an excellent system for controlling scanner radios, shortwave radios, and/or for monitoring some of those digital modes!

If interested, make "reasonable" offer, before I post it on Ebay.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Warren, Michigan

6168. Re: Mods for BC 220XLT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000915130759.18649.00000221@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 79590

ubject: Mods for BC 220XLT???
From: John jbenoist@koyote.com
Date: 09/14/2000 9:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <39C17DEA.83BF76C7@koyote.com>

Are ther any mods for the BC 220XLT?

--
John
jbenoist@koyote.com

NONE EXIST FOR THIS RADIO.

6169. Re: mod for Bearcat 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000915130939.18649.00000223@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 79591

Subject: mod for Bearcat 200xlt
From: "Jh" jhalley@networkusa.net
Date: 09/14/2000 9:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <ss2tsj61ct6125@corp.supernews.com>

this one has the 1147a rev chip and a mfg date of jan 94. can this be cell mod'd? I know it says to cut the resistor but it either has no resistor or the resistor has been moved from the "den" location.

any help would be appreciated.

\thanks.

All BC-2000xlts will cell modify. the resistor you seek is a SURFACE-MOUNT device and does not LOOK like the resistors most of us are familiar with. Its there....

6170. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenfarmer <ken@etcsa.com>
Message-ID: <JPtw5.615753$MB.9435034@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 79592
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

"teamroper" <RICKRAGEOUS@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:OWSu5.2849$M37.205055@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Bruce or Tracy wrote in message <39B6C798.4E6BD665@hiwaay.net>...
> >Gotta give credit where credit is deserved.
> >
> >We bought a home security system from Radio Shack this past weekend.
> >We originally went in to buy parts to suppliment another system we
> >have, but we found a better one for $200 that is X-10 compatible, so
> >we got it as well as a front door intercom system for $50.
> >
> >The store attendant was very courteous, let us open the box and read
> >the manuals to make sure it was what we wanted, brought out a catalog
> >and showed us several choices, let us take our time with the items while
> >he waited on other customers, wasn't pushy at all. He was definitely
> >an exception to the rule based upon some other employees in there that
> >we have had the misery of dealing with in the past.
> >
> >"Good Job" goes to that particular worker. Good luck to the rest of you
> >getting local Radio Shack employees like that. With any luck, he will
> >stick around for a while, at least until I can get back to buy my
> >scanner discone antenna and coax.
> >
> >Bruce
> >Huntsville, Al
>
> Too bad everyone isn't like that one.
>
>
>

6171. R8500 address?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bev M Ewen-Smith <coaa@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <8ptpsbmle1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 79593

Can anyone advise the CIV address of the R8500 for remote control purposes?

Bev
Bev and Jan Ewen-Smith
COAA, s&#65533;tio do Poio, Mexilhoeira Grande 8500-149, Portugal
Tel 00 351 282 471180 Fax 00 351 282 471516
><> coaa@mail.telepac.pt www.ip.pt/coaa ><>

6172. Re: R8500 address?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <A_tw5.48552$QW4.552900@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79594

I can't recall...but I know it's in the manual!

Bill Crocker

"Bev M Ewen-Smith" <coaa@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
news:8ptpsbmle1@venus.telepac.pt...
> Can anyone advise the CIV address of the R8500 for remote control
purposes?
>
> Bev
> Bev and Jan Ewen-Smith
> COAA, s&#65533;tio do Poio, Mexilhoeira Grande 8500-149, Portugal
> Tel 00 351 282 471180 Fax 00 351 282 471516
> ><> coaa@mail.telepac.pt www.ip.pt/coaa ><>
>
>
>

6173. Re: FS: Computer, P-200MMX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39C26E67.512B46B2@blinx.de>
Source record: 79595

Bill Crocker schrieb:

> FOR SALE:
>
> Nice Quality Tower AT Case
> Intel Advanced/AV Mother Board!
> Parallel Port
> 2- RS232 Serial Ports
> Intel Pentium 200MMX CPU
> 64MB of RAM
> 3.5" Floppy Disk Drive
> 1- Western Digital 1GB Hard Drive
> 1- Western Digital 3GB Hard Drive
> 16X CD-DROM Drive
> Matrox Mystique 3D Video Card
> Diamond Monster 3D Accelerator Card (works in tandem with the Matrox card)
> Sound Blaster Sound Card
> 101-Key Keyboard
> (No monitor, or mouse)
>
> Clean, like-new condition, Win98SE loaded for testing purposes, and working
> perfect! This would make an excellent system for controlling scanner
> radios, shortwave radios, and/or for monitoring some of those digital modes!
>
> If interested, make "reasonable" offer, before I post it on Ebay.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
> Warren, Michigan

US$60 and not one cent more
shipped right to my door ...

odo -gerrmany-

6174. PRO-2052 auction, reserve met, last day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39c27228.1344141@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79596

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0xA646B239>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x3554CA4F>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets
the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is
unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By
Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

6175. Re: Realistic PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 00:07:16 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UKdw5.14857$6f1.614040@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79646

I have lowered the price to $175 shipped in US

Frank

"Frank Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:jTOv5.6884$M37.485514@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Excellent condition. Works perfectly. Has been moded for full 800Mhz
> coverage. No box or manual. Comes with rubber duck antenna and AC
> adapter/battery charger.
>
> Reason for sale: hardly gets any use, I use my PRO-2004 more.
>
> Price is $200 shipped in CONUS
>
> Thanks, Frank
>
>

6176. Live Scanner Broadcast - Baton Rouge Area Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 01:30:47 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <bZew5.120385$oj6.2916044@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79547

I am testing and trying to decide whether I want to do
live broadcast on the weekends on a regular basis. If
you decide to listen please drop me a note about what
you think and what kind of audio quality you get.

See this link for details: http://members.home.net/hitchner/Broadcast.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies or
visit my web page.

6177. FS:MINT IN BOX ICOM IC-R7100w/TV converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 02:34:17 UTC
From: TDT <jrc@ohio.net>
Message-ID: <39C188A4.5C01D5CF@usa.net>
Source record: 79548

FS:mint in box IC-R7100 with tv converter.this unit was purchased new PRIOR to cell block.it honestly has about 5 hours on it.my real love is shortwave,and its sat on a shelf way to long.I really want to sell both together.$1300 firm and ill ship in usa.email me at trocchio@usa.net thanks,Tom N8TYU.

6178. WTB: AOR 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 04:07:54 UTC
From: Netrunner <netrunner@home.com>
Message-ID: <ughw5.40815$7W2.1388784@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 79550

Looking for an AOR 8200MKII ..email if you have one to sell.

6179. WTB: AOR 3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 04:08:44 UTC
From: Netrunner <netrunner@home.com>
Message-ID: <ghhw5.40816$7W2.1387955@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 79551

Looking for an AOR 3000A ..email if you have one for sale.

6180. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 04:12:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C1A1A7.4ACAF5A4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79552
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markj wrote:
>
> 100 watts of rf power does not
> create a major safety concern

But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That is
the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts is
a real major concern.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6181. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 04:29:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C1A5A4.BBF02C72@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79553
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RicK Larson wrote:
>
> ï¿½97.313 Transmitter power standards.
> (a) An amateur station must use the
> minimum transmitter power necessary to
> carry out the desired communications.
> (b) No station may transmit with a
> transmitter power exceeding 1.5 kW PEP.
> (c) No station may transmit with a
> transmitter power exceeding 200 W PEP on:
> (1) The 3.675-3.725 MHz, 7.10-7.15 MHz,
> 10.10-10.15 MHz and 21.1-21.2 MHz
> segments;
>
> [snip]
>
> (f) No station may transmit with a
> transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on
> the UHF 70 cm band from an area specified
> in footnote US7 to ï¿½ 2.106 of Part 2,
> unless expressly authorized by the FCC after
> mutual agreement, on a case-by-case basis,
> between the District Director of the
> applicable field facility and the military
> area frequency coordinator at the applicable
> military base.
>
> [snip]
>
> (g) No station may transmit with a
> transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on
> the 33 cm band from within 241 km of the
> boundaries of the White Sands Missile
> Range. Its boundaries are those portions
> of Texas and New Mexico bounded on the
> south by latitude 31ï¿½ 41' North, on the
> east by longitude 104ï¿½ 11' West, on the
> north by latitude 34ï¿½ 30' North, and on
> the west by longitude 107ï¿½ 30' West.
>
> [snip]

Good post, Rick. And, Mark, thats why ham radio operators are tested on the rules, radio safety, and proper equipment operation. The rules we have to live by are enough to make a person's head swim. And the equipment we are capable of using does pose a great risk if not use properly. With that in mind, it is entirely reasonable to require a license exam and license.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6182. Does anyone have any information on the Bearcat 160 scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 04:43:11 UTC
From: Scott & Kaori Bowen <bowchan-kaokao@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zNhw5.15247$6f1.646892@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79554

I am looking for freq ranges, mods, anything you can help me with.

Thanks,

Bow

6183. My dream scanner feature...does this exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 05:32:06 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8ps5rt$c4s$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79549

Squelch that can be set differently for each programmed channel.

Whaddata say?

6184. Pro51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 05:36:46 UTC
From: Michele Roberts <rosemadd@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1ziw5.2298$Ds.14794@news4.atl>
Source record: 79555

I just purchased a Radio Shack Pro 51. Is this a good scanner . (just getting started)

6185. DJ-X10 reaction tuneable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 05:53:57 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <VPiw5.34438$Z4.1134398@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there any way you can reaction tune a DJ-X10 with an Opto Scout? I've heard they came out with a new interface for the 245 but not sure if any other scanners can use it. I really want to get an X10 but without being able to be reaction tuned my scout will not be serving it's full purpose. Thanks,

st@reticent.net

6186. Re: Mobile trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-15 06:14:58 UTC
From: Randy Munro <rpmunro@wave.home.com>
Message-ID: <39C1BE66.69A5D056@wave.home.com>
Source record: 79557

Hi Jason ....I have a RS PRO-2050 trunk tracker for sale.
It has a mobile mounting bracket and an external speaker jack installed.

Asking $250.00 CDN for the radio. This radio only does Motorola
trunking
systems.

Randy

6187. Re: JMackey - Perfect example for kill file.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916135133.28947.00003329@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79447

And tell everyone how , you then posted OT about him being OT.
Dahhhhhhhh
Hamguy with a comment

6188. INFO NEEDED ON KENWOODTK-353

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scandude <scandude@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fvOw5.1046$nk3.83460@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79448
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio.amtor,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I need software and cable to program a couple of TK-353 radios. any and all help appreciated.
thanks in advance.

6189. f.s. bearcat 9000xlt.....$250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <39C3BBD2.67FC127D@owc.net>
Source record: 79449

```
excellent condition bearcat 9000xlt works great looks excellent..$250.00
shipped
thanks jack
```

6190. Re: WTB broken scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Allen <fallen-idc@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YlPw5.16843$6f1.803453@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79450

Hi,
I have 2 BC 200XLT Handhelds. Both have antenna's, one has battery and
wall charger. $125.00 shipped. Both have no audio.

FRed

Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:HuNw5.1703$i3.2387@news1.iquest.net...
>
> im looking for a few project radios for the winter,,,preferably with 800
mhz
> --
> Thanks
> Monte k9dzd@iquest.net
>
>

6191. Re: POLICE FREQUENCYS NEEDED FOR THE CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO INCALIFORNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39C3C619.1D224D2A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 79451

http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/ca.html#San Bernardino

Robert Licon wrote:

> IS THE CITY SAN BERNARDINO ON A TRUNKED SYSTEM IF SO PLEASE PROVIDE
> FREQUENCYS AND TYPE OF SYSTEM USED IN THE FONTANA AREA AND COLTON. THANK
> YOU

--
---->>>KEN<<<----

Los Angeles, CA

6192. >>> NEW UK SCANNER WEBSITE <<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Dog McQ <MadDogMcQ@freenetname.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8q0gml$re2$1@gxsn.com>
Source record: 79452

Hi Guys,
I've uploaded a new site at www.etfw.co.uk which is primarily aimed at Icom PCR1000 users, BUT will hopefully be of interest to a good many other scanner users too.

There's a place to communicate directly with other Scanner Users too. I have started a Scanner Forum at the following URL:

www.delphi.com/scanners From there, you can communicate with others in the Scanner Community and pass on help, tips, frequencies, stories, etc. You can even post files to other visitors.

Also on the main website (www.etfw.co.uk), you can advertise your unwanted radio kit or post a "wanted" advert.

This is a brand new website and I hope that in time, it will become of value to its visitors. If anyone fancies contributing by perhaps writing a regular column or occasionally writing something that will interest or help other scanner users, please do let me know.

If you guys have any ideas for the site, I'd be only too pleased to hear from you.

Many thanks,

Tom.

6193. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <falcon@shreve.net>
Message-ID: <39c3cc8e$1_2@news.shreve.net>
Source record: 79453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dwayne !!!!!!

If you don't have anything positive or informative or you have limited vocabulary as it seems you do. Then you need to go to alt.binaries and find some friends more on your level of education !!!

"Dwayne" <Dubbin2@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8plrq1$8j$1@news2.kornet.net...
> Grow up. We don't need that kind of crap here.
>
> klopie <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39BE6A40.4B229E86@yok.com...
> > And it would understand voice commands and suck my
> > cock.
>
>
>

6194. Re: Pick a number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rubber_neck@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8q0lhpgsc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79454

Why won't this thread die? Why does it still have to be seen in alt.firefighters?

It looked like this was finished on Aug 31, and two weeks later it is deja vu.

It seems to me that there are a lot of people who can't rest until they get the last word and this is most likely a perpetual vicious cycle. Do what you want in your own newsgroup but why do other groups have to see this crap. Why should people in other newsgroups have to fill their killfiles with people from the radio groups?

If you can't agree to stop cross posting this petty bickering, why not get together, pick a number between 1 and 100, and let the winner get the last word in and end the thread.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6195. Pennsylvania eGroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Serial # 0 <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <63m7ss4ahqomupp4qkgnq0cd8engr3ttd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 79455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Eastern PA. http://www.egroups.com/group/epa-scan
Central PA. http://www.egroups.com/group/cpa-scan
Western PA. http://www.egroups.com/group/wpa-scan
```

6196. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scannist <Scannist@ranter.Com>
Message-ID: <39c3d7e8@post.usenet.com>
Source record: 79456

**** Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com ****

1 2 3 4

-=
*** Usenet.com - The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! ***
http://www.usenet.com
-=

6197. Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jh <jhalley@networkusa.net>
Message-ID: <ss805945h3t40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79457

What impact will digital technology have on scanning?

Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up as more and more goes to digital?

6198. Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q116d$t8m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
> duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve
status.

OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
or reserve military duty.

Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
commonly acceptable way to identify.

> And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,
SNIP

Why, because YOU were?

> BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> absence.
SNIP

Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were
still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
that I can in no way be held responsible for.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6199. Toronto Freq's (Not Trunked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rossi <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kETw5.4665$%h6.1584248@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

Does anyone here know if there are any freq's for Toronto, Canada that are not trunked? I am leaving for Canada tomorrow and have seen on www.ontscan.com that the Toronto system is trunked. Does one have to have a special scanner to pick up trunked freq's? Thanks for all your help.

Mark

6200. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sanford <sanford1@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <r428ssordg1osif25r502qeoi4dtpka78p@4ax.com>
Source record: 79460
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Sep 2000 21:42:01 GMT, "Robert" <spamshield@on.com> wrote:

>Ham radio shows its need everytime there is a disaster and
>all the "technology" fails. All the phone lines, power lines, TV
>cable, etc., get knocked down everytime we have a serious
>hurricane in FL. BTW, our local police, fire, and medical all
>use an EDACS system, which is computer controlled. If the
>central station takes a hit, there will be no communication.
>There are plenty of instances across the country where this
>has already happened. Our local Ham radio operators
>work with local officials to maintain communications. Every
>week Ham radio operators participating in disaster preparedness
>call in on the net.
>
>It is a real shame CW is now gone. Again, when technology
>fails, what are you going to do? With nothing more than a
>reflective piece of metal I can communicate with someone
>miles away, provided they aren't too technologically advanced!
>
>Yes, technology is wonderful. Many Ham radio operators are
>deep into the latest toys, as I am. However, we don't fool
>ourselves into thinking it can't all be taken away with 1 storm.
>A small, category 1 hurricane (Erin) knocked out power to
>18,000 homes for more than 3 days. This was a tiny storm
>compared to hurricane Andrew.
>
>Been there, done that.
>
>Robert
>http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/hurricane.html

Nobody is stopping _anyone_ from communicating on CW. This issue is
whether it should be a licensing restriction. Face it, it's going
away. Maybe the technical tests should be toughened up, but the hurdle
of CW hasn't kept sleezeballs off the air. It was the lack of FCC
oversight that let the bands get polluted. Now that they are back on
the ball things may get better. If we ever get to the level of
technological and social collapse that renders CW as the only comm
mode available , the availability of CW is probably the _last_ thing
on you're agenda

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Gary Sanford
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

sanford1@spectradyne.com (pgp public key available)

6201. Mackey is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39C43194.BFB0717E@yok.com>
Source record: 79461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Allsafe has enough brains to not post using his real name.
What is to be gained by using your real name?
When are you just going to leave Mackey? You're like a bad
greasy fart that lingers and lingers and lingers.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
> > duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve
> status.
>
> OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
> are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
> or reserve military duty.
>
> Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
> everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> commonly acceptable way to identify.
>
> > And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,
> SNIP
>
> Why, because YOU were?
>
> > BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> > absence.
> SNIP
>
> Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were
> still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
> that I can in no way be held responsible for.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6202. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C454E1.4CFE0B64@cts.com>
Source record: 79462

Bob Zander wrote:
>
> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
> across two cruisers that had 'Los Angeles County Police' displayed
> opun their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>
> Bob - KE6ZUF

You probably saw a movie prop car. They are supposed to have the light
bars when not shooting, but sometimes they don't cover it.

Unless the agency was just created....

markj

6203. Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C3327B.3BC425EF@home.com>
Source record: 79524

well, either you aren't the same person (somewhat likely),
or you got kicked off @home for your activities (more likely).
I see that you are using an AOL account (figures).

in either case, I probably have a better understanding of the military
than you would think (considering my dad was in the navy when I was 5!)
he made it to before he was discharged from the vietnam conflict
(honorably
I might add, considering my dad's faults).

So, don't go strutting your so-called patriotism at me.
if you are in uniform (which I doubbt), then you are definitely a
disgrace
and should be drummed out on your ass just on general principals!

The more likely case is: you are a wannabe, pure and simple. you have no
rank,
no standing in any branch, and you are just convering up for the fact
that
you were dropped by @home for abusive behavior.

so, why don't you take your wannabe, lying fat ass out of here before
somebody
decides to report you for impersonating military personel!

nuff said.

DE N7ZZT

jmackey@usa.net
Path:

news1.rdc1.az.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From:
jmackey@usa.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner
Subject:
Re: Glenn makes a fool of himself again!
Date:
Fri, 15 Sep 2000 14:25:00 GMT
Organization:
Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines:

22
Message-ID:
<8ptbf1$qq4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References:
<qs6uqsge390t2tpkfkguh3em9gqnq7q3oc@4ax.com>
<20000901203701.28949.00001143@ng-ch1.aol.com>
<tks0rsc6tm4d8ugvtji6bip7jjcu1ai5jn@4ax.com>
<39B07BF1.40438566@home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host:
172.166.252.26
X-Article-Creation-Date:
Fri Sep 15 14:25:00 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent:
Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98;
DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy:
1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
172.166.252.26
X-MyDeja-Info:
XMYDJUIDjmackey276
Xref:
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com
rec.radio.scanner:30110584
jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Eric -
>
> There appears go be a few things you don't understand.
>
> First, the US Navy is for the entire US, regardless of wether we
> are talking about Sioux City, Arizona, Oregon. Enlistment
> location means nothing.
>
> Second, I never said I enlisted in Sioux City.
>
> Third, My ISP covers most of the US.
>

6204. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C33402.494DC72C@home.com>
Source record: 79525

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Eric - I guess your fiends don't know what they are talking about, or
> else YOU are a liar.
>

They do know. you have been proven a liar too many times to count.
so why should anyone take your word?

>
> For security reasons I can't post my serial number as you ask.
> However, I would be willing to post my certificate if you like.
> Do you want that?
>

Thats fucking bullshit! I'll bet you can't even get anything higher than a

"confidential" clearance! Given how well the military does background
investigations (the NIS is VERY GOOD at this!), they have probably already

read your many postings on the net and decided that you are nothing more
than
a MOUTH (in the intel community, a "big leak").

The second you post anything on here of a remotely security related
nature,
you will lose any chance at ever having a clearance, period!

go peddle your BS elsewhere.. its jackasses like you that embarrass the
hell
out of the rest of us loyal; Americans!

DE N7ZZT

6205. Re: A New Yupi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39C33D13.5D09CB38@iki.fi>
Source record: 79526

neann wrote:
>
> Group,
> Anybody own the new Yupiteru 7300? It looks like it is a fairly decent
> radio...
> Thoughts and comments?

Sensitive receiver, nice display backlight (two colour =)
Much birdies, good construction, over all nice radio but i miss much
features to it...
Probably i've played too long time with my MVT-9000's ;) ;)

Anyway, give reasonable features in price.

regards
Mika
--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua........

6206. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: FH <cheezman@msu.edu>
Message-ID: <01c01f5b$d1b5a000$aab0b2d1@toast>
Source record: 79527

Did the logo on the cars say "Los Angeles County Safety Police"? If so, this unit provides security at all county run facilities such as Medical Centers, County Buildings, etc... Safety Police Officers have limited peace officer powers - on duty only as far as I know - I'm not quite sure which freqs they use simply because they're not really "players" in the overall public safety arena in L.A. County-no offense-but they don't really handle emergency calls or initiate activity in the field other than at their assigned posts...this is the only "County Police" outfit I'm aware of...

Fred

Bob Zander <ke6zuf@earthlink.net> wrote in article <39c2c7fe.6437081@news.earthlink.net>...
> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
> across two cruisers that had Los Angeles County Police displayed opun
> their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>
> Bob - KE6ZUF
>
>

6207. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39C34F9B.351EB5F6@iki.fi>
Source record: 79528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jim wrote:
>
> My dream scanner would be as follows.
Quite near what i dream. =)

> *Wide band continuous (unblocked) 500kHz to 2054mHz
Yupiteru MVT-9000/AR-8200mk2 are really near... 2039MHz (yupi) 2040MHz
(AOR)
but i've put upper limit to 2600MHz.

> *Large alpha numerical display
MVT-9000/AR8200 have that also new upcoming Yaesu VR-5000.

> *Bright evenly lit backlight
MVT-9000 have that and old ar8200 backlight where too dimm and new mk2
model backlight is too brigth...

> *All available Trunk systems
Not much use here Finland...

> *Ability to scan conventional and trunk
> *Ability to use Alkaline, Ni-NH, or Lithium

And smart charge circuits like cell phones have.

> *Decent audio output

=) it need more power to handheld scanner in base scanners thats not a
problem.
MVT-9000 have decent audio in handhelds but too lower power.

> *Band Scope

Only scanner what is in to market right now with real time scope with
live audio is in MVT-9000...
but Yupi's scope should be much more wider than it is.... (right now
1.6MHz)

> *Computer interface
AR8200mk2 have that but controlling via that is poor... (software
suck's)
ICR-1000 ect are better but desinged only PC controll use.

> *Attenuator, NB, AFC
NB/ANL is need but that AFC??? if unit have enough accurate frequency
sythesis, it wont need that...
MVT-9000mk2

> *1000 channels user configurable to user specs (10 banks, 20 banks, etc.),
> and distribute any combination of channels to banks (20 channels bank 1, 50
> channels bank 2, 35 channels bank 3, etc)

??? some times usefull but not much...
I'd take more speed to scanning and search...
right now i'm quite satisfied to my "lightly" modified UBC-3000FAST what
scan around 275 step/sec.
Original values of UBC-3000 where 70-80 steps/sec. in scan and search
and in turbo search speed was 170 step/sec and now its 520 steps/sec and
values are measured, not quessed.... =)
UBC-3000FAST US is quite nice to analog cell band search with 30KHz step
size and 200 step/sec speed, calculate how fast it will seek hole
band... ;-)

> *Debugging feature
> *All mode, AM/FM/SSB/NAM/NFM/CTCSS/Voice Descambler

In MVT-9000mk2 have those (only CTCSS missing), next one what have those
with VI8200 slotcard is AR8200mk2 (CT8200 card too)

> *Additional 40 banks for search mode

Quite much... well memory is so cheap now so i'd take that too...

> *Reaction Tune capability for Scout
AR8200Mk2, soon possible with MVT-9000 PC controll board

> * 2 VFO's
MVT-9000mk2/AR-8200mk2

> *A REAL TUNING KNOB!
MVT-9000mk2

> *Flash card slot(s) for extended memory, upgrades, additional features
AR-8200mk2

> *User upgradable firmware capability by computer uploads
well that can cause similar problems like MS based systems... :(

> *Signal Strength Meter bar codes
???
MVT-9000mk2/AR-8200mk2

> *Backlit keys
MVT-9000mk2/AR-8200mk2

> *Useful Manual
MVT-9000 usermanual by Rich Wells... ;)

> Now wouldn't this be a joy to own and use?!!
> It's a mixture of the AR8200 MKII, Alinco DJ-X2, Bearcat BC245 XLT,
> Pro-92A!!
> Oh, and I almost forgot, the price would be $300 US
that's what i dream most but it wont ever come possible cause we all
know this, you get what you pay for.

> Oh well, back to the real world.
Yes, there is actually two scanners what are more near that dream,
MVT-9000mk2/AR-8200mk2

most important factor is good quality receiver! and that's why i drop
those all bells and whistles out with AR-8200mk2 and use my MVT-9000mk2
(i onw 8200/8200mk2/MVT-9000/MVT-9000mk2 plus couple others ;)
So my point is this, good receiver quality is more important factor than
all features..
what to do all those features with poor receiver quality.

Specially low IP3 is impostant in HF band monitoring, and low oscillator
phase noise.

Many people want much features but never thing what to do with those...
I'd take just those usefull and really working features with decent
receiver quality and then i'm satisfied.

Have you check www.bander.com/tech ???

Mika
--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua.....

6208. Re: RS Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <HxHw5.12$Bd.12230@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 79529

Jim - there's a off-NG PRO-94 owners reflector on
eGroups.com - subscribe for free and you receive
any postings in your e-mail rather than looking at
this public NG for them. Lotsa other radio-related
reflector groups there, too, as well as on qth.net
and Topica, to name a few.

Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

I'm not suffering from insanity; actually it's a lot of fun.

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

Jim wrote in message ...
>I'd just like to know if there are any owners of the Pro-94 :)
>
>
>Mike <mikej91@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:fiQq5.1988$_8.287769@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
>> Are there any mods for the RS Pro-94?
>>
>>
>
>

6209. Re: - KS0F veteran & hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marylou@world.net
Message-ID: <39C36EDD.2DCE4430@world.net>
Source record: 79530

Why don't your guys grow up.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> You are very welcome.
>
> thehamguy1@aol.com (TheHamGuy1) wrote:
> SNIP
> > John--thankyou for your military service and shame on the likes of
> CAL's.
> > They do stoop lower each day.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6210. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39C370A4.D669D37C@blinx.de>
Source record: 79531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mika schrieb:

> If last IF is made with Xtal oscillator, its relative easy to change
> last IF by changing that Xtal.
> Exsample if i decide change MVT-9000 last if to 1MHz, i replace one
> crystal and recalibrate VXO what made those 50, 100, 200Hz step size in
> Yupi.

Hi Mika.

I do not own the PCR1000 for a while now and I do not remember the circuits
very well.
And I do not own the equipment to have this thing recalibrated wich is somewhat
tricky too while done by software programming an EEprom in the PCR1000....

>
> > This had happened on the PCR1000 too... So there was NO chance
> > on a filter upgrade .... :-(((
>
> Hm... i dont say its impossible, maybe difficult but not impossible.
> How that last IF mixing is made? PLL or Xtal??

OK it was impossible for ME :-) because lack of measuring equipment and a
calibrated TX... :-((

> Yes, price is still factor what have much effect.
> Why design everything again if there is ready solution?
> Like wide variety of 10.7MHz filters for WFM.
>
> > They are not to realize with acceptable shape factor on a 455khz
> > IF .
>
> =)
>
> > So there is the question if the MVT9000 is a true tirple
> > conversion on WFM too or if it simply uses a 10.7 Mhz if as 2nd
> > stage instead of the 44.185 Mhz... ??
>
> Yes, its true triple conversion.
> Only it doesnt have xtal filter for 2nd IF in WFM mode.
> Only in 1st IF and 3rd IF have filters in WFM mode.
> I've made video output from that 2nd IF.
> Its bit narrow band but i can see B/W image quite well with my Yupi...
> ;)
> (that start from ICR-3, cause i was just curious if its possible with

> Yupi too ;)
>
> > There is a bandwidth of 30 or 50 khz also available on some
> > scanners, (used for reception on weather satellites for example)
> > wich is (normally) realized with 10.7Mhz filters.
> > 455 khz IF-filters with bandwidth above 20 khz are rarely used
> > and very uncommon.
>
> To be honest, i dont know any scanner what have 30 of 50Khz bandwidth,
> other receivers yes but not a scanner.
> I curios, what scanners have that kind of bandwidth?

I have a Albrecht AE300SSB wich is similar to the Camnis HSC050 and the Trident
2400.
This thing has 50khz bandwidth for wide FM. To listen to FM broadcast or
TV-tone the sound is a bit thinny but the ability to divide signals is great..
:-)
The PCR1000 has a 30khz "wide" bandwidth output in addition to a 230khz
bandwidth on 2nd IF on 10.7 Mhz.

> > > All wide band scanners what i have, have bandpass filtering!!
> > > MVT-9000, AR-8000, AR-8200, MVT-7300, 7100, 7000, UBC-9000, UBC-3000
> > > ect.
> > But ALL filters of those together are not worth of a single one
> > in the IC 8500 / 9000..
>
> Remember Price! and IC-8500/9000 are not scanners!

Scanners are not definied by dimensions of its case... :-)) but for ability of
SCANNING....

> how to made handheld
> IC8500? 8-D

Why make it?? It IS ;-))

>
> If Icom have triple price vs. Yupi, it should have at least douple
> quality.
>

For sure it has....

>
> > > Well, there is also other "real" receivers than IC-8500 or IC-9000.
> > > How about Rohde&Schwarz???
> > a good choice, really. :-)))
>
> I consider R&S are real receivers, IC8500/9000 are expensive toy's.
> Like W&J ect ....

The R&S equipment is a just a bit more expensive "toy"... :-))

> That new Yaesu VR-5000 is also same class, expensive toy like all
> scanners but its receiver what is reasonable priced...
> We get what we pay for... ;)

sure...

> > > then that IF filtering is made DSP ect technology.
> > NO !!
> > Have a look into some commercial equipment from Siemens or
> > Harris......
> > They have discrete IF-filters on the last stage working below
> > 50khz.. !!
>
> Well, my know ledge about those is really small.
> I've played just only toy's like Yupi, AOR, Icom, ect...

So do not talk about R&S.. :-)
73s
odo -germany-

6211. Re: Bearcat 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C3768C.75FCB57D@home.com>
Source record: 79532

Just in time for Christmas. It'd be a major blunder on their part if it
didn't get release before then...

Thpdisp wrote:

> first week in december, found out
> today from a sales rep

6212. FS: Uniden / Bearcat BC200xlt w/case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UNKw5.60499$58.7469474@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79533
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a great condition BC200XLT 200 channel, UNMODIFIED Scanner with good NiCd pack, case and charger (antenna included) Photo at link below

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/bc200xlt.JPG

Asking $150 shipped

ALSO:

Yaesu FT-50R with 9.6V battery, box, manual, antenna, FTT-12 keypad, ADMS-1C software & cable. Hard Mars/Cap mod done.. 9.5/10 condition. Photos upon request..ASKING $250 shipped CONUS

6213. Re: Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8pvub6mgb0@63.162.247.92>
Source record: 79534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Richard - I really don't care what you do. The simple
> fact that while I was away serving country for military
> duty, the problems & crap here continued thus proving that
> people like YOU & others are the problem here & not me.
>

Actually John, it is matter of record that the crap had finally
died, then you reappeared with numerous message, starting it back
up.

Check out www.deja.com/usenet, there is verifiable proof
of that fact.

6214. for sale bearcat 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <39C37E5E.435B1349@owc.net>
Source record: 79535

uniden bearcat 9000xlt base scanner,like new......$250.00
thanks jack

6215. PRO-2052 Auction, only six hours left! Reserve has been met!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: akinius@nospam.net
Message-ID: <39c37fd5.350233@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79536

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=430700694
--
Be Safe!
1*

Scott S. Atkins
Torrance, CA

Replace "nospam" with "earthlink" to send me email
Encrypted email welcome!
PGP DH/DSS Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0xA646B239>
PGP RSA Public Key:
<http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x3554CA4F>

"By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets
the definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is
unlawful to send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By
Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section is
punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or $500,
whichever is greater, for each violation."

6216. Re: Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q0560uqm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake - I'm not going to go on a wild goose chase to find your
alleged "truth". Either you post it or it isn't there!

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Actually John, it is matter of record that the crap had finally
> died, then you reappeared with numerous message, starting it back
> up.
>
> Check out www.deja.com/usenet, there is verifiable proof
> of that fact.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6217. Re: - KS0F veteran & hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q059t$v2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79538

Marylou - They aren't my guys.

Marylou@world.net wrote:
> Why don't your guys grow up.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6218. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q05m3veb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79539

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> They do know. you have been proven a liar too many times to count.
> so why should anyone take your word?

That's pretty funny Eric. "They do know", yet I have presented a
newspaper listing of my joining the military & a picture of me
taking the oath. You are a FOOL!

Do you want to see a copy of the military certificate I have?

> The second you post anything on here of a remotely security related
> nature,
> you will lose any chance at ever having a clearance, period!
> go peddle your BS elsewhere.. its jackasses like you that embarrass
SNIP

Eric, it's people like me who risk laying down our life for our country
so that IDIOTS LIKE YOU CAN HAVE THE FREEDOM TO MAKE FOOLS OF
THEMSELVES as you have done here once again.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6219. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q06cldb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
SNIP
> in either case, I probably have a better understanding of the military
> than you would think (considering my dad was in the navy when I was
5!)
> he made it to before he was discharged from the vietnam conflict
> (honorably I might add, considering my dad's faults).

So what Eric???? My father did 27 years in the military before retiring. He spent 3 years in the Army & earned the Combat Infantry Badge during the Korean War then joined the Air Force, became a commissioned officer & saw service during the Vietnam conflict. He held the rank of Lt Colonel when he retired. You mentioned age 5, when I was that age my father was just returning from duty in the Asian Theater during the Vietnam conflict to a new assignment stateside.

I ask you Eric, what does our father's military duty have to do with anything? Why did you need to even bring this up?

> So, don't go strutting your so-called patriotism at me.
> if you are in uniform (which I doubbt), then you are definitely a
> disgrace
> and should be drummed out on your ass just on general principals!

Have you ever been in the military yourself?? I SERIOUSLY doubt it as you are NOT the type the military would accept.

I have had NO problem entering the military, have just completed basic training, & am now a Petty Officer (Non-Commissioned Officer). I had my choice of joining several different branches. Yet YOU have NEVER seem military service!!!!!!!!!!

SNIP
> you were dropped by @home for abusive behavior.

Wrong, that has never happened. Once again you are lying, Eric!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6220. Canada eGroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8q07ru$1p1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79541

```
Northern Canada http://www.egroups.com/group/ncan-scan
Southern Canada http://www.egroups.com/group/scan-scan
Eastern Canada http://www.egroups.com/group/ecan-scan
Western Canada http://www.egroups.com/group/wcan-scan

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

6221. WTB broken scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <HuNw5.1703$i3.2387@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 79542

im looking for a few project radios for the winter,,,preferably with 800 mhz
--
Thanks
Monte k9dzd@iquest.net

6222. Where is Mackey picture taking Oath??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39C3B287.AD7359B6@yok.com>
Source record: 79543

.

6223. Re: Blowers Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916134219.28947.00003325@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79544

>I really don't care what you do. The simple
>> fact that while I was away serving country for military
>> duty, the problems & crap here continued thus proving that
>> people like YOU & others are the problem here & not me.
>>
>
The truth is that the CAL's ranted for sometime after the military training ceasefire.
Now they are back claiming they were quiet!
Give me a break.
Put your filters back on Mr NCO Blowers Mr Net Cop

>Actually John, it is matter of record that the crap had finally
>died, then you reappeared with numerous message, starting it back
>up.
>
>Check out www.deja.com/usenet, there is verifiable proof
>of that fact.

6224. Re: Mackey Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916134829.28947.00003326@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79545

>"Conduct unbecoming a sailor").

I'm sure you must have been a don't ask , don't tell Mooraine---sailor boy.
Hamguy

6225. Re: hooters pro cup racing freq.'s?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916134947.28947.00003328@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79546

Try www.hooters.com/freqs/racing

6226. Re: Bearcat 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 00:30:05 UTC
From: bubba <bubba@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hazw5.50819$98.4639282@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79514

Some dealers say October, some November. Who knows?
Think the delay is on FCC certification.

waiting too

"Paul" <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote in message news:39c2ae48$1_1@nntp2.nac.net...
> Anyone know when the BC-780XLT is due to hit the streets? The Uniden site
> doesn't say.
>
> Paul, KC2FNC
> NJ
>
>
>

6227. Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 01:11:22 UTC
From: ke6zuf@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <39c2c7fe.6437081@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79515

Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however, I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came across two cruisers that had Los Angeles County Police displayed opun their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?

Bob - KE6ZUF

6228. Now Playing - City of Baton Rouge Police - Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 01:15:05 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <tQzw5.123288$oj6.2985167@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79516

I am testing and trying to decide whether I want to do
live broadcast on the weekends on a regular basis. If
you decide to listen please drop me a note about what
you think and what kind of audio quality you get.

See this link for details: http://members.home.net/hitchner/Broadcast.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - hitchner@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

KD5EIS - kd5eis@arrl.net

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner

6229. Re: Mackey Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 01:45:58 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39C2FCCC.C912CD51@INVALID.com>
Source record: 79517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> I guess you ALLSAFE have been made to be the fool again. Would you
> like to see my certificate showing I completed what you are referring
> to as "boot camp"?
>

You washed out.

Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve status. And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty, (If you haven't already) you'll be shuffled out the first time you lie when you get brought up for office hours. (They did advise you of the UCMJ, didn't they?)

Oh, and BTW, weekend woosies, especially those right out of basic training are not assigned classified positions. So you can drop that "I can't tell you..." crap. No-one believes it.

BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your absence. Of course this ended when you washed out and returned to your antics as rrs resident pest. And I've got something else in store for you. You know how you crosspost your crap to boatloads of groups? You'll never guess who I'm gonna copy with every BS antic you pull in this group. (I'll give ya a hint: "Conduct unbecoming a sailor").

You friggin' loon

6230. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 02:27:39 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <39C2D9EC.3380D4C7@topnow.com>
Source record: 79518
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> markj wrote:
> >
> > 100 watts of rf power does not
> > create a major safety concern
>
> But we're talking about as much as 1500 watts, not 100 watts. That is
> the limit legally allowed on some ham radio frequencies. And when
> you're talking about the higher frequencies, safety around 1500 watts is
> a real major concern.

Actually, the new ISO radiation safety standards for human exposure
can kick in at 50 watts or even less, depending upon distance to the source
and frequency. Because different organs and parts of the body might
"resonate" at different frequencies, it's not quite as simple as saying
that X-watts are safe at any frequency.

For example, at 1.2 Ghz, a 50 watt signal at close range may
burn cells in your eyeballs and heat the vitreous fluids. Think
of a 50 watt microwave beam vs. a 50 watt AM signal.
Which would you rather stand next to? :)

-- Ross

-- Ross

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6231. Re: Visit my webpage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 02:56:53 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8punheflt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I suspect that Lloyd is the butt - child of Brother Stair and Adolph Hitler. I'm not certain, but I've got my reasons....

Steve

"mbz07" <mbz07@breathemail.net> wrote:
> What is it with you and Brother Stair??
>
> I tuned into 6110 kHz today, there must have been some fading as the audio
> was very distorted and scratchy, it sounded like Brother Stair was shouting
> and he sounded quite angry.. In fact, it sounded the same last week and the
> month before that...
>
> I wonder why he uses 6110kHz during the Afternoon here in Europe? Are
> those the cheapest, roughest frequencies going? Any broadcaster worthwile
> usually goes for 31m or smaller...
>
> Just wondering...
>
> Stephen M.H. Lawrence <poppabear1968@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:8pteuv$vc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <83qw5.3089$Ds.18118@news4.atl>,
> > "Bro_Lloyd" <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_brother_SPAM_.net> wrote:
> > > Great tips for budding pederasts!
> > > The art of seducing your cousins.
> > > Tips on how to chaw tobacco and make out with your first cousin at
> > the same time!
> > > Facts on why likker is a sin.
> > > Photos of my shrine to Brother Stair.
> > > Why unemployment ain't so bad.
> >
> > Nutsack.
> >
> > --
> > Stephen M.H. Lawrence
> > Omaha, Nebraska - home of STRATCOM
> > "People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton
> >

> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
Omaha, Nebraska - home of STRATCOM
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6232. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 04:48:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C2FB8B.A785F766@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79520
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:
>
> Actually, the new ISO radiation safety
> standards for human exposure can kick
> in at 50 watts or even less, depending
> upon distance to the source and frequency.
> Because different organs and parts of the
> body might "resonate" at different
> frequencies, it's not quite as simple as
> saying that X-watts are safe at any frequency.

And I agree, Ross. But I never said anything about the safety of wattage at any frequency. All I said is that the rules allow to use of high wattage, so the exams test for safey concerning high wattage.

So, if some guy can figure out how to do it safely on the higher frequencies (and the technology is available), than the rules allow him to try it. But, the FCC also thought it was a good idea to point out, in the exam, that he might be frying his eyeballs (and everything else) if he does not do it safely.

In other words, given the possible capabilities at our disposal, the exam and license serves a serious purpose.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6233. Re: Bearcat 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 05:14:06 UTC
From: thpdisp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916011406.12836.00000922@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 79521

first week in december, found out
today from a sales rep

6234. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 05:41:19 UTC
From: John Doe <no.address@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XDDw5.30273$qm4.837041@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79522
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thank you for the info. I am really glad you took the time to tell me
because I did not know that.

Thanks again,

"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FE72EF43189CCF3F.3C664E8D3794FBC5.4058B72614B8E8F3@lp.airnews.net...
> If you do not want to crosspost to a bunch of groups, double click on the
> post you are replying to, and delete the other groups. You *are*
> crossposting because the posts you are responding to have been
crossposted.
>
> For instance, I am replying to this message that I just saw posted in the
> rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup. When I double-click on the message, a
> separate window opens up (I use Outlook Express also). When you
> double-click on a message, look at all the addressees that appear. Here
is
> what mine is showing right now:
>
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
> rec.radio.amateur.policy
> rec.radio.cb
> rec.radio.scanner
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> I have deleted all but rec.radio.amateur.policy, because I don't read the
> others and try to catch crossposting whenever I can. Sometimes I miss,
but,
> what the hell...I am only human. Double click, delete the cross-posting,
or
> leave it in. Hell, I don't care what ya do...really don't. Just figured
> you'd like to have the info! :)
>
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> "John Doe" <no.address@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:y59w5.15057$2z6.227763@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> > No I am not an expert on how NGs work. I do try to keep an open mind
Those
> > news groups down below I dont even go to them. The ones I do goto does
not
> > have any messages that I post here. If by chance it is me then I seek

info
> > on how to stop it. I use outlook express and I see no options about
cross
> > posting. Im not even subscibed to those groups. may have visited them
once
> > maybe about 5 months ago. So if you or anyone else has info about how to
> > stop it then please tell me.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
>
>
>

6235. Re: Offshore Boat Race Frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-16 06:39:20 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39C31598.9E91F7C6@mindspring.com>
Source record: 79523

I have no specific experience with Buffalo, but am a rescue-diver &
comms specialist for some of the other races...

APBA has some UHF channels, such as 461.200, used for referees,
timing/scoring, and some safety personnel.

Some VHF marine channels would also be used, by USCG or whomever
keeping the race course clear of intruders.

If it's the type of race where each offshore racer has their own
spotter/rescue crew following in a helicopter, they'll probably be
conversing on discrete freqs in the 450-470MHz range.

Jaypeggin wrote:
>
> Does anyone know what frequencies they use during the offshore boat races? They
> will be racing in Buffalo NY this week-end and i was wondering if they just use
> the standard marine frequencies or if they mabey use other U.H.F. channels.
>
> Thanks alot
> Jaypeggin@aol.com

--
TimTyler@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~timtyler

6236. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A. Giammarino" <agia@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <=+HEOTMjAU7sviWgj58MK1Tqoc1A@4ax.com>
Source record: 79397

On Sun, 17 Sep 2000 01:43:21 GMT, ke6zuf@earthlink.net (Bob Zander)
wrote:

>Anyone heard of this outfit?

See http://ops.co.la.ca.us/index2.htm

Perhaps this is the same agency... The background image on the web
page has a badge saying, "County of Los Angeles" and "Police"

-
A. Giammarino
San Diego, CA

6237. Re: Hamilton Twp. NJ Channel 2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <~!~!~tonyszablowski~!~!~@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8P5x5.17616$6f1.888964@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79398

Hotwater67 wrote in message ...
>Hi All,
>Does anyone have channel 2 for Hamilton NJ PD?
>
>The main Frq is 453.525
>The old channel 2 was 453.425, but they're not using it as channel 2
>anymore.
>The third channel I have for them is 460.450, but that's not used either.

Another one you can try that a lot of municipalities use as a car-to-car
freq and sometimes repeatered is 453.7500, often with a PL of 114.8Hz.
Good luck.

6238. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <HO5x5.5997$%h6.1900291@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message
news:39c4cab4.3930091@news.gte.net...
>
> My state just gave local police the authority to make arrests for
> violations of FCC regulations. Just as local cops can make arrests
> for federal drug crimes or illegal aliens, all it takes is to have a
> matching state law. Besides, we now have multi jurisdiction units
> that are made up of federal, state and local LEOs that can arrest
> for any crime.

What state? I would be interested in following any constitutional
implications or cases that arise from this.

-Dave, NF2G

6239. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: five_0 <noname@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <39C4EE58.7348ED68@nospam.com>
Source record: 79400

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2004light.txt
The only thing I could find was on the 2004. hope that helps.

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ wrote:

> I recall seeing a mod for the 2006 or 2004 that adds a carrier LED that
> comes on when the scanners squelch is broken.
> Does anyone know the required parts and points within both of these scanners
> to connect them.
> I know it can't be more that a resistor and an LED maybe with a transistor
> hooked to the proper points.
> I think Bill Cheek originally developed this mod.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Eddie Bryant

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6240. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Qc6x5.50735$QW4.583971@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the Alinco DJ-X10. More channels, MUCH wider frequency range, more modes, MUCH better audio, dual VFO's, tuning knob, more search banks, better back-light, computer interface, easier to read & more informative LCD display, clock, timer, stronger - partially metal case, rechargeable battery packs, or "AA" cells, attenuator, etc.

Bill Crocker

"Big Boy Barry" <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
>
>

6241. AOR AR1500 question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: five_0 <noname@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <39C4F4AC.3B3E70EB@nospam.com>
Source record: 79402

I have never owned a scanner made by anyone other than uniden and radio

shack. I am looking for positive or negative comments on this specific
model(I am thinking about buying one). Also, if there is a web site
would someone be kind enough to post it for me. Thanks.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6242. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <ss9t4uodh3t147@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79403

Might be a good idea to get his scanner mod books --- very interesting reading.....

"Eddie Bryant N4UMJ" <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message news:YLZw5.4325$jC4.1283134@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> I recall seeing a mod for the 2006 or 2004 that adds a carrier LED that
> comes on when the scanners squelch is broken.
> Does anyone know the required parts and points within both of these scanners
> to connect them.
> I know it can't be more that a resistor and an LED maybe with a transistor
> hooked to the proper points.
> I think Bill Cheek originally developed this mod.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Eddie Bryant
>
>

6243. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39C524CA.9C63340@INVALID.com>
Source record: 79404

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > No,..... just you. I was talking about you. Stay focused.
>
> Wrong, YOU said you were "not impressed" with reserve military duty. I
> have news for you, Allsafe. This country has NEVER won a major military
> conflict without calling in the reservests. The defense of this
> country & democracy depends on reservests.

No....Just you. Here's a repost of what I said:

allsafe posted:

>Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
>duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve status.

did'ja catch that? *YOUR* weekend woosie reserve status.

> No, I am not worried. I am simply stating a fact. I don't believe you
> were a Marine because Marine are NOT COWARDLY and AFRAID as you
> have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID.

Cowardly eh? You must want it real bad. I wonder why?

>
> > I did my time in Corps
> > and declined to re-enlist to pursue other employment...
> SNIP
>
> So you decided to NOT lend further support to the defense of this
> country by quiting. THAT is something this country does NOT
> need in the military is quiters, so you probably did the country
> a favor by getting out as they were better off without having a
> quiter (YOU).

So, by your logic, everyone who joins the service should stay there for the
rest of their life? Is that it? So everyone that leaves the service alive
is a quitter? Is that it? Is everyone obligated to join the military for
life? There's plenty of people who chose a military career for life, that's
fine. Are you saying that everyone who served less than a lifetime is a
disgrace? Just curious.

> > (You know, getting delined for further service in reserves is quite a
> > black mark on your record. When you can't even make it in the
> > reserves; that's bad.)
>

> So now you are giving more details of why you had to leave military
> service. You have my sympathy.

No numbnuts, I was talking about you. Again. Stay focused.

You friggin' loon.

6244. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39C4F1F8.8852EF79@pacbell.net>
Source record: 79405

mailto:tosusenet@aol.com
mailto:abuse@deja.com

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> > They do know. you have been proven a liar too many times to count.
> > so why should anyone take your word?
>
> That's pretty funny Eric. "They do know", yet I have presented a
> newspaper listing of my joining the military & a picture of me
> taking the oath. You are a FOOL!
>
> Do you want to see a copy of the military certificate I have?
>
> > The second you post anything on here of a remotely security related
> > nature,
> > you will lose any chance at ever having a clearance, period!
> > go peddle your BS elsewhere.. its jackasses like you that embarrass
> SNIP
>
> Eric, it's people like me who risk laying down our life for our country
> so that IDIOTS LIKE YOU CAN HAVE THE FREEDOM TO MAKE FOOLS OF
> THEMSELVES as you have done here once again.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov

Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

6245. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39C4F265.4C267A39@pacbell.net>
Source record: 79406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mailto:tosusenet@aol.com
mailto:abuse@deja.com

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
> > duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve
> status.
>
> OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
> are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
> or reserve military duty.
>
> Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
> everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> commonly acceptable way to identify.
>
> > And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,
> SNIP
>
> Why, because YOU were?
>
> > BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> > absence.
> SNIP
>
> Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were
> still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
> that I can in no way be held responsible for.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

6246. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39C4F508.FF946BF8@pacbell.net>
Source record: 79407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mailto:tosusenet@aol.com

http://www.deja.com/help/discussions/abuse.epl

mailto:abuse@deja.com

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
> > duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve
> status.
>
> OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
> are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
> or reserve military duty.
>
> Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
> everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> commonly acceptable way to identify.
>
> > And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,
> SNIP
>
> Why, because YOU were?
>
> > BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> > absence.
> SNIP
>
> Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were
> still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
> that I can in no way be held responsible for.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

6247. FS: Pro-2006 MANY MODS!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: NwLink News <jackh@nwlink.com>
Message-ID: <39c50198$1_2@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 79408
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack POR-2006 scanner with box, service manual, software, RS-232 interface, owners manual, Signed copy of Bill Cheek's Vol 2 Scanner Modification Handbook, HB-232 computer interface assembly/operation manual, short wave converter, cables, car mount bracket, spare LCD backlight panel. This is a radio hackers dream! This exact radio was featured on the PM Northwest TV show. I have easily over $1500 in this scanner, not including all the hours to build and install the mods. Must sell..... $700.00 plus shipping. Contact jackh@nwlink.com
Modifications:
1. AGC driven Digital LED S-meter readout for signal strength. Accurate enough to locate a signal source within 50 feet.
2. Carrier on indicator for visual confirmation of frequency lock.
3. Extended delay- keeps scanner from returning to SCAN function when freq "breaks" for up to 12 sec.
4. 400 channel memory(factory) upgraded to 6,400 channel memory!
5. Keyboard Memory Block Controller- gives you keyboard control of all the new memory block registers for programming or monitoring without using the computer.
6. Locked cell phone frequencies now unlocked (for testing purposes only)
7. Scan speed modified to scan 20 channels per second. (factory set is 10 cps)
8. RS-232 buit in computer interface allows remote/ automactic computer control of all scanners functions via the serial connection to your computer. Includes all software (DOS), interface box, cables, manuals.
9. Digital Squelch - this allows the scanner to unlock and return to scan mode if it locks on a ghost freq.
10. Grove CVR-1 shortwave frequency converter to allow the scanner to receive freqs below 1 MHz and up to 30 MHz.
See http://server3001.freeyellow.com/jackh/ebay/pro2006.jpg

6248. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <ssa1jlonh3t170@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In a effort to promote fair mindedness, please add all other offenders to
the list mailto:abuse@ALLCHEEK-A-LIKES.com.
CAL's comments that are also OT should be dealt with swifty in all fairness
as they are the same.....same.
What are boring thought.....no posts to read on the NG.

Mr. WireHead <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:39C4F508.FF946BF8@pacbell.net...
> mailto:tosusenet@aol.com
>
> http://www.deja.com/help/discussions/abuse.epl
>
> mailto:abuse@deja.com
>
>
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > > Let me advise you of this, after six years in the Marine Corps active
> > > duty, I'm not exactly impressed with your weekend woosie reserve
> > status.
> >
> > OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
> > are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
> > or reserve military duty.
> >
> > Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> > I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> > have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
> > everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> > commonly acceptable way to identify.
> >
> > > And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,
> > SNIP
> >
> > Why, because YOU were?
> >
> > > BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> > > absence.
> > SNIP
> >
> > Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were

> > still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
> > that I can in no way be held responsible for.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> --
> ____
> There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
> We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....
> ____
> While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:
>
> admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
> $USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
> root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
> Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
> Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
> US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
> Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
> Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
> Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
> net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov
>
>

6249. The errors of mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nadia <nad23@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <39C50BB0.8C4972B8@my-deja.com>
Source record: 79410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake Bowers wrote:
<snip>

The full proof that you are wrong can be found at file:///C|/nul/nul.
Enjoy.

6250. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Orb <orb@sphere.org>
Message-ID: <V_7x5.3648$eo6.660315@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 79411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

You know, life sucks so much that I don't blame people for wanting drugs to make life bearable.
On the other hand, the only radio operators I hear doing drug smuggling is mexicans and columbians
at night on the shortwave bands.

6251. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39C4F69E.38F628B8@zylay.com>
Source record: 79412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

> The CW mobile stations are something else. It appears that
> individuals of southern European heritage are transmitting some
> type of number messages for whatever purpose. Given that there
> are a number of Serbians in and around Chicago, who knows. But
> hams have seen, and heard, transmissions while on the Indiana Toll
> road.

How do we know that these individuals are of Souther European heritage? I was under the impression that these messages were CW. Does his fist have an accent? LOL

6252. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39C4E4FC.FDB186D7@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79413

I did something similar. I did this mod to all my Pro-2004/5/6's. It is a modulation indicator. All you need is a 2N2222A transistor and a LED.

Connect the base of the transistor to the junction of C201, D43, D44 (Pro-2005/6). Connect +5v to the collector. Lastly connect a wire to the emitter and run it to the LED which you have installed. Run a wire from the LED to ground and that's it!

The stronger the modulation the brighter the LED.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6253. Re: BC245 $176.80 at www.uniden.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39C4E617.1BE2691@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79414

So? I saved $22.20. Mine is a v1.17. Works fine for me. The system I listen to is not that busy plus it will be all digital modulation in a year or so, so why spend the extra bucks?

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6254. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c514de.7663462@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:> My state just gave local police the authority to make arrests for
:> violations of FCC regulations. Just as local cops can make arrests
:> for federal drug crimes or illegal aliens, all it takes is to have a
:> matching state law. Besides, we now have multi jurisdiction units
:> that are made up of federal, state and local LEOs that can arrest
:> for any crime.
:
:What state? I would be interested in following any constitutional
:implications or cases that arise from this.
:
:-Dave, NF2G

This is about Indiana. But every state has similar laws, mostly for
anti drug enforcement. And every state has multi jurisdictional
law enforcement units.

6255. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <US8x5.69812$58.8093967@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79416

You're correct, my mistake... but that wasn't the point. ;-)
If you ask a vague question, you get a vague answer.

Robert

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000917110656.10650.00004876@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <hFYw5.57933$98.5362106@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "Robert"
> <spamshield@on.com> writes:
>
> >The PRO-26 lacks the ability to follow trunked freqs,
> >TV broadcasts
>
> ???
>
> Must have an oddball model. Mine receives all TV channels, 2-69.
>
>
>

6256. Maxon SM4000 Series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmy <c@s.com>
Message-ID: <w09x5.4298$ap5.74681@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 79417

I'm looking for software to reprogram a Maxon SM4000 series tranceiver or pointers to a description of what the data is in the 93C46 eeprom in the unit so I can use my eeprom programmer. I have searched the net for days now and am now able to reprogram lots of different makes and models but I still have no Maxon stuff.

If anyone can help it would be greatly appreciated.

6257. Re: BC245XLT display likes/dislikes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c51c4f.9568347@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79418

:Perhaps this has all been asked/said before...but. How do you Bearcat BC245xlt
:users like, or dislike, the display on the unit. Do you find that it isn't
:always easy to tell what agency or talk group the unit stops on because of the
:limited display? For example, I'd preferred that the display showed the bank
:number in 30pt Times New Roman, the channel in 20pt Times New Roman, and the
:talk group stored position and numeric number in 10pt Times New Roman.
:Thoughts?

The BC-245xlt needs an alpha numeric display. And better software.
Also it needs to be dual display so that trunked channels can be run
in one screen while single channels are run on the other.

6258. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nx9x5.5127$jC4.1510803@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79419

five_0 <noname@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:39C4EE58.7348ED68@nospam.com...
> http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2004light.txt
> The only thing I could find was on the 2004. hope that helps.
>
> Eddie Bryant N4UMJ wrote:
>
> > I recall seeing a mod for the 2006 or 2004 that adds a carrier LED that
> > comes on when the scanners squelch is broken.
> > Does anyone know the required parts and points within both of these
scanners
> > to connect them.
> > I know it can't be more that a resistor and an LED maybe with a
transistor
> > hooked to the proper points.
> > I think Bill Cheek originally developed this mod.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> > Eddie Bryant
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>

6259. New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39c51738.159412052@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79420

I just got a PRO-2067 and... I canNOT get it to do anything useful in terms of scanning a trunking system. I'm a fairly bright guy; I figured out a Yupi 9000 from the poorly-translated-from-Japanese manual, and an AOR AR 8000... and I have a Pro-2006 and -2004, both heavily modded. Point is, obscure scanners don't faze me much; but I just can't make the -2067 work the way the manual says it will.

The system I'm trying for here (San Diego City) appears to be a Motorola SmartNet type II system -- that is the info I find on the web, and when I enter some its freqs and manually monitor its channels, with the scanner set to MO mode, it displays Motorola type II talkgroup IDs. Fine so far, but.

I can't get the 2067 to do anything re. scanning in "trunked" mode. I put it in MOtorola mode, enter the freqs according to the book's instructions (pages 37 and 38) and hit scan... the book says "T appears and the scanner scans the frequencies". In fact, T does not appear; the display claims that it's "scanning" (and also says "priority off"), but it never stops on anything.

And yes, the bank IS set to "open". (+ in the scanning status display) I've checked it many times. I even tried setting it to "closed", just in case. No change. Set it back to "open", still no change.

(As an aside: I find it ludicrous that you have to have the bank set "closed" to get talkgroup lockout to work! So let's see, this means I have to go through and program every talkgroup I might ever want to hear, just so I can lockout one that I know I don't want! Who thought of that?!??! Anyway, I'm not using "closed" mode for now.)

Manually stepping through the channels shows that traffic is there, as do my non-trunking scanners. The 2067 does show the motorola talk groups when the channels are active, and the talk group numbers make sense for the traffic received... so it seems to acknowledge SOMEthing about trunking, but not as far as scanning is concerned.

If I go through the channels and set them back to FM mode, then let the scanner scan the bank (and lock out the control channel!), it properly stops on the active channels. Set the channels back to MO, unlock the control channel, make sure the bank is set to MO (steps 1 and 2, page 37), hit MODE until it says MO, hit scan (steps 5 and 6)... nothing. Might as well have turned it off.

I noted someone's comment about tricky squelch setting re. the scanner's ability to acquire the control channel. I tuned to an idle channel and set squelch so the background noise just stopped -- I assume this is the

right setting. But I tried several settings around that point. Still nothing.

Incidently - I don't know if this is significant or not - after attempting scanning for a while, when I hit MAN, the scanner is always on the control channel. And the control channel does not always display "CNTRL" for its ID. In fact most of the time it bounces around among a few different numeric IDs. Is this normal?

"Talk group ID hold" (to follow a conversation on a talkgroup from one channel to another), supposed to be entered by holding down TRUNK for more than two secs when you're listening to a comm with a displayed talkgroup ID, doesn't work either. The scanner just emits that "error beep" after two secs. Is this symptomatic of "not really scanning in trunked mode"? How do I fix it?

Any ideas? Is there something really basic here that I'm missing?

--- jeh

6260. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PF9x5.5129$jC4.1515489@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79421

That was just what I was looking for. Now to find the pin that does the same function in the PRO2006.

Thanks,
Eddie

<noname@nospam.com> wrote in message news:39C4EE58.7348ED68@nospam.com...
> http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2004light.txt
> The only thing I could find was on the 2004. hope that helps.
>
> Eddie Bryant N4UMJ wrote:
>
> > I recall seeing a mod for the 2006 or 2004 that adds a carrier LED that
> > comes on when the scanners squelch is broken.
> > Does anyone know the required parts and points within both of these scanners
> > to connect them.
> > I know it can't be more that a resistor and an LED maybe with a transistor
> > hooked to the proper points.
> > I think Bill Cheek originally developed this mod.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> > Eddie Bryant
>
> --
> ---
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>

6261. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZF9x5.5130$jC4.1515589@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79422

That looks like a neat addition. I like the idea of the LED blinking to the audio.
I am running two scanners side by side and would like to quickly focus in on the one that is stopped.
Now to get the drill out !

Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:39C4E4FC.FDB186D7@ix.netcom.com...
> I did something similar. I did this mod to all my Pro-2004/5/6's. It is
> a modulation indicator.

6262. WTB: Kenwood FZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8q3973$3n9$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79423

Correction to my earlier post. Looking for an oldie.
Kenwood FZ-1 Wide Band Receiver.
If you would like to dispose of one, email me.

6263. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Hawk <hawkx4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cM9x5.3056$Vu5.174632@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79424

Is this possibly the new name for the Los Angeles County Park Police? I know they have undergone a name change...

"Bob Zander" <ke6zuf@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:39c2c42b.5457480@news.earthlink.net...
> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
> across two cruisers that had 'Los Angeles County Police' displayed
> opun their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>
> Bob - KE6ZUF
>
>

6264. WTB:Base Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Massey <N6TT@jps.net>
Message-ID: <H8ax5.9606$Rt3.507937@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 79425

I'd like to buy a base scanner for the ham shack. What are my options? I want all freq's and I've been told some can be hooked to a computer for tracking scans,pl's,tones,etc. which might be something I would explore.
Thanks, Steve @
N6TT@jps.net

Steve Massey N6TT
Manhattan Beach,CA.

6265. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c52d9b.13996897@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
:> U.S. amateur equipment is only a small regulatory step away from being
:> fully type accepted, in which case there is no real reason for amateur
:> radio to be treated any differently than other radio services.
:>
:> With type accepted equipment, and type accepted antennas, installed in
:> an FCC approved way to prevent radiation exposure, we are rapidly
:> approaching the day where a ham station will consist solely of
:> appliances fully regulated by the government.
:>
:> When that happens, the bureaucrats in Washington will see little
:> difference among CB, the FRS, or amateur radio and will be tempted to
:> combine them into one service for administrative efficiency.
:>
:> I happen to think that day is close upon us. The first step will be
:to
:> declare CB operators to be a special class of amateur licensees. Mark
:> my words.
:>

:
:Quite possibly. I see one mention of a 'license' being required.
:Anyone remember when they discontinued that for CB? They eliminated
:the requirement of a commercial license to repair the CB rigs. I see
:one post that the 'codeless tech' is essentially a CBer. That, while
:untrue, does show that amateur radio is being deregulated. No, I find
:that morse code is an old technology and quite unnecessary; however,
:the Q&A manuals and the tests themselves are simply a little icing to
:obfuscate the fact that the alleged 'tests' are so simple that anyone
:could probably pass almost any class ticket within a week or so. The
:FCC really doesn't care - as long as no one complains. The happy HFers
:go on and on with perhaps kilowatts and as long as they don't bother
:anyone, no one minds. Frankly, what with the internet and cell phones,
:about the only people being attracted to radio these days are the
:people who enjoy jamming other people - that seems to be the big
:attraction. Who knows? I doubt amateur radio will disappear, but the
:combination of services does not appear to be impossible nor even
:highly unlikely. Oh well ... better start studying for proper
:operation of the new service. Hey, gotcher ears on?
:
:73s
:Jim AA2QA

I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
```

get ham licenses.

The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.

There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment to communicate for purposes of spying.

If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not communicate then they can not rebel.

The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email). And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

6266. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <4Hax5.5116$O37.1236350@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message
news:39c514de.7663462@news.gte.net...
>
> :> My state just gave local police the authority to make arrests for
> :> violations of FCC regulations. Just as local cops can make arrests
> :> for federal drug crimes or illegal aliens, all it takes is to have a
> :> matching state law. Besides, we now have multi jurisdiction units
> :> that are made up of federal, state and local LEOs that can arrest
> :> for any crime.
> :
> :What state? I would be interested in following any constitutional
> :implications or cases that arise from this.
> :
> :-Dave, NF2G
>
> This is about Indiana. But every state has similar laws, mostly for
> anti drug enforcement. And every state has multi jurisdictional
> law enforcement units.

No, every state does NOT have laws giving local cops jurisdiction over
RADIO. Indiana appears to be the first. (V&T regulations on police
scanners are not the same thing.)

-Dave, NF2G

6267. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: x <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <FTnFOV=NYorZQAA3hjIozx7cfw+d@4ax.com>
Source record: 79428

There is no such thing.

Where do you get your information from??????

On 17 Sep 2000 12:46:47 GMT, scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack)
wrote:

>>From: "Jh" jhalley@networkusa.net
>>What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
>>Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up >>>>>
>
>We sure hope not !
>At this very moment there is a third party company designing a digital "drop in
>board" that should be compatable with a couple of Unidens trunking models.
>Hopefully digital transmissions will be completely transparent to us and we
>will be able to monitor just as we have in the past with analog.
>
>
> The ScanShack
>http://www.ScanShack.com
> or
>http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
> Featuring
> Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
>"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
> and much more !!!

6268. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dan_in_md@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0bx5.150209$eS6.2009568@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 79429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don't forget,

Built in Discriminator, and some units are capable of a software cell
mod......

Dan

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:Qc6x5.50735$QW4.583971@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Check out the Alinco DJ-X10. More channels, MUCH wider frequency range,
> more modes, MUCH better audio, dual VFO's, tuning knob, more search banks,
> better back-light, computer interface, easier to read & more informative
LCD
> display, clock, timer, stronger - partially metal case, rechargeable
battery
> packs, or "AA" cells, attenuator, etc.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Big Boy Barry" <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
> >
> >
>
>

6269. Re: AMPLIFIED SHORTWAVE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Mfbx5.3254$Vu5.187813@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Is this the model that was clearanced recently for $6.97?

Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:39C4BCF4.E502317@home.com...
> I have a new Radio Shack model # 20-280 amplified shortwave antenna with
> the matching Radio Shack power adapter. This can be run with the 9V
> battery or with the power adapter. $40.00 for both plus shipping.
>

6270. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <DGbx5.51205$QW4.589965@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79431

There's no room to drop anything in Uniden's TT models, let alone a
modification extensive enough to adapt it to digital!

Bill Crocker

"ScanShack" <scanshack@aol.communicate> wrote in message
news:20000917084647.09099.00000216@ng-da1.aol.com...
> >From: "Jh" jhalley@networkusa.net
> >What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
> >Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up >>>>>
>
> We sure hope not !
> At this very moment there is a third party company designing a digital
"drop in
> board" that should be compatable with a couple of Unidens trunking models.
> Hopefully digital transmissions will be completely transparent to us and
we
> will be able to monitor just as we have in the past with analog.
>
>
> The ScanShack
> http://www.ScanShack.com
> or
> http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
> Featuring
> Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
> "The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
> and much more !!!

6271. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <KJbx5.51210$QW4.590046@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here is some information related to digital scanner radios, in Canada.
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/pics/sf/rss135.pdf

Bill Crocker

"Jh" <jhalley@networkusa.net> wrote in message
news:ss805945h3t40@corp.supernews.com...
> What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
>
> Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up as more and more goes to
> digital?
>
>
>
>

6272. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39C545FD.D8664D35@zylay.com>
Source record: 79433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

Speaking of ag fuel, has anyone ever heard of anybody being caught in the states using ag fuel in a private vehicle? Every time I pull my Suburban into the local fillup, I see about a 75-cent difference between road and ag fuel.

6273. FA: Scanmaster Tunable Notch Filter SNF170

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8FB2C7839slvbeardnetzero@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 79434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanmaster Tunable Notch Filter SNF170

This Scanmaster Tunable Notch Filter is in brand new and unopened. It will work well on any base, mobile, or handheld scanner and will knock out pager interference or FM transitter interference. Had 2 of these, one for each of my handheld scanners, but sold one scanner so only need one now.

Here's the link to the auction...
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441298777

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6274. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <0rcx5.6503$%h6.2096342@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79435

"x" <x@x.com> wrote in message news:FTnFOV=NYorZQAA3hjIozx7cfw+d@4ax.com...
> There is no such thing.
>
> Where do you get your information from??????

Do you read anything besides Usenet newsgroups? The modification has been announced in Monitoring Times, various scanner mailing lists, and the Strong Signals website.

6275. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39C556B4.30FF@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 79436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

I'm a little bit confused about the following post. If the Communications Act of 1934 created the FCC and gave it exclusive powers to regulate radio, how can a state decide on its own to enforce FCC regulations? My impression has been that they can't without Congressional action. This, I understood, was the reason for the House Bill of a couple years ago that was to give such authority. However, it failed. So if it is OK for states to enforce FCC rules, why was that initiative necessary? It would seem that Indiana is looking for some constitutional troubles if they were to pursue this. Are they suffering from paranoia? Here in NC, I know of NO statutes against scanners in vehicles, nor anyone hassled just because they have an antenna on their car.

Jerry

N9NWO wrote:
>
> :> My state just gave local police the authority to make arrests for
> :> violations of FCC regulations. Just as local cops can make arrests
> :> for federal drug crimes or illegal aliens, all it takes is to have a
> :> matching state law. Besides, we now have multi jurisdiction units
> :> that are made up of federal, state and local LEOs that can arrest
> :> for any crime.
> :
> :What state? I would be interested in following any constitutional
> :implications or cases that arise from this.
> :
> :-Dave, NF2G
>
> This is about Indiana. But every state has similar laws, mostly for
> anti drug enforcement. And every state has multi jurisdictional
> law enforcement units.

6276. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <39C57450.F35EAA5F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 79437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> I'm a little bit confused about
> the following post. If the Communica-
> tions Act of 1934 created the FCC
> and gave it exclusive powers to regu-
> late radio, how can a state decide
> on its own to enforce FCC regula-
> tions? My impression has been that
> they can't without Congressional
> action. This, I understood, was
> the reason for the House Bill of a
> couple years ago that was to give
> such authority. [snip]

Any law enforcement officer can make an arrest for violations of
federal laws and regulations (assuming the regulation allows for arrest
of violators). It is then up to the federal government to seek a
conviction of that person in the courts.

In the past, the federal government often failed to seek convictions,
so the house bill was an attempt to give direct enforcement of federal
regulations concerning radio, without federal involvement, to local law
enforcement. That bill was defeated.

The key between the two is the level of federal involvement. Under
the present system, there is a requirement for federal involvement.
Under the failed house bill, there would have been no need for federal involvement.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

6277. Re: Radios - Putting the cost in perspective.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: best_before_'89 <ohmwork@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Message-ID: <39C58605.B7E1E253@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Source record: 79438

"David M. Hitchner" wrote:
>
> While I will not disclose the total cost of my equipment I
> have acquired since 1985, I will say that is does not equal
> the cost of one good bass boat, fees and cost for
> hunting/fishing, building a hot rod.........

A good $10,000 hot rod can do 140+ miles per hour. A $95 radio can do
186,000 miles per hour...just no comparison.

mike

6278. Re: Radios - Putting the cost in perspective.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: best_before_'89 <ohmwork@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Message-ID: <39C58647.115F1F63@encyclopedia-galactica.org>
Source record: 79439

I second that.....<g>

6279. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeon@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <j93bsskbfpkg64ujlue7k0sh3vtb85h62e@4ax.com>
Source record: 79440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 17 Sep 2000 20:56:35 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO)
wrote:

(snips)

>I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
>arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
>get ham licenses.

The chances of that happening are somewhere between none and
not a chance in hell.

>The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
>vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
>avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
>ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.
>
>There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment
>to communicate for purposes of spying.
>
>If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
>isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
>code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
>ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned.

Then the cops are in for a continuing disappointment.

> If the public can not
>communicate then they can not rebel.

If you want a public rebellion, just try licensing or
outlawing SW receivers, scanners and CBs.

>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

Thank the Lord the U.S. isn't the U.K.!

--
Robert Sturgeon-
"Tax and tax, spend and spend, elect and elect."

After 68 years, it's still working.

http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

6280. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeon@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <v55bss09deevgnkn85e1iuq7f202parkca@4ax.com>
Source record: 79441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 02:30:51 +0100, Joe Finlay
<joseph@jfinl.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <39c52d9b.13996897@news.gte.net>, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
>writes
>>
>>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
>>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
>>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
>>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
>>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
>>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
>
>Where do you Yanks get your info from? I blame Hollywood!
>
>In "theory" one is not supposed to listen to police or cellular
>broadcasts but everyone does or did. Analogue cellular is now a thing of
>the past, all or nearly all mobile traffic is digital and the police are
>gradually encrypting their transmissions. The police here cannot pull
>you over on a whim, they must have a valid reason ie. a busted tail
>light or erratic driving. 99.999 per cent of the population do not own a
>scanner or a gun or use agricultural diesel fuel.

99.999%? Are you sure about that? 95%, even 98%- I could
see that. But 99.999%? Really? What does that leave? 600
farmers? 600 gun owners? In all of the U.K.?

(rest snipped)

--
Robert Sturgeon-
"Tax and tax, spend and spend, elect and elect."
After 68 years, it's still working.

http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

6281. Re: Kenwood RX-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8q488v$rl@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 79442

I could be mistaken, but I think you mean RZ-1

Brett - N7OLQ
http://N7OLQ.home.att.net/Radio

"Joe Davidson" <joeharley@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8pumif$1qc$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> An oldie. Want to go mobile with SW. If you have one to
> dispose of... email me.
>
> Thanks... J. Harley Davidson
>
>

6282. Re: Radios - Putting the cost in perspective.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8q488u$rl@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 79443

Don't forget that decent radio gear will hold its value for a long time making it possible to sell off equipment many years later. This makes the investment even more resonable. Honestly, I buy a lot more equipment now that Ebay is here, because I know that I can sell it off if I need to. I paid about $1000 for my Icom R7000 11 years ago, and I could probably sell it now for $750 or so. Pretty cheap entertainment if you ask me!

Brett - N7OLQ
http://N7OLQ.home.att.net/Radio

"David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote in message news:Wrfx5.125536$oj6.3132185@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> I have more equipment than some, not as much as others.
> I get comments from friends, family and others as to why I
> spend money on radio equipment. I recently took a survey
> of the total cost of my equipment and came to the following
> conclusion. Scanning/Amateur Radio is one of the more
> inexpensive and educational hobbies around.
>
> While I will not disclose the total cost of my equipment I
> have acquired since 1985, I will say that is does not equal
> the cost of one good bass boat, fees and cost for
> hunting/fishing, building a hot rod, etc, etc, etc.
>
> One other important thing is that this can be a 24 hour a
> day, 365 days a year hobby. It does not require a particular
> season or a certain type of weather. Sometimes the worst
> the weather, the more interesting and useful the scanning.
> When hurricane Andrew when though this area I was able
> to monitor the progress of power crews and listen to local
> police to determine where there were problems.
>
> Another cost saver is that I was able to get my wife to get
> her amateur license so there is no real need to use a cellular
> phone. We can communicate while on the road and there
> are repeaters in the area that provide phone patches to
> local and state authorities.
>
> With that said, I was wondering what others think about
> the real cost involved in the hobby?
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
> Baton Rouge, LA
>

> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.
>
>
>

6283. Cordless Phone Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <e%Zw5.47019$Qx4.1717385@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79477

Looking for 900mhz cordless phone freq's. already have 46.000 standard 24 channel. any info Please Mail me. Thanks alot!

6284. Re: Toronto Freq's (Not Trunked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39C472D7.3C92AE27@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 79478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Rossi wrote:

> Hello,
>
> Does anyone here know if there are any freq's for Toronto, Canada that
> are not trunked? I am leaving for Canada tomorrow and have seen on
> www.ontscan.com that the Toronto system is trunked. Does one have to have a
> special scanner to pick up trunked freq's? Thanks for all your help.
>
> Mark

Mark you can use Pro-90 to pickup trunk system.
Not all frequency are trunked. Fire/Police/Public utils (i.e: power) are
trunked (some fire is not trunked)
everything is un-trunked.

--
John Medica - System Administrator
Listening-Post
Toronto Ontario, Canada

Contact:
(Work) Voicemail: 011-1-416-653-0114
(Fax): 011-1-416-653-0114
E-mail: jmedica@mail.listening-post.net
Web: http://www.listening-post.net
web: http://www.spaceguard.ias.rm.cnr.it - Member Spaceguard system.
web: http://www.interlog.com/~mstone - Ontario unlisted frequency guide team
Listening-Post [Monitoring Station] Toronto Ontario, Canada

6285. Re: Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8q1tkr$8pp$0@63.162.247.167>
Source record: 79479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Denial is a terrible thing John. I have posted the location
of the proof, and others agree. If you are in so much denial
that you refuse to look at the proof, then even posted here
you will not believe it.

You obviously know about Deja, as you are using it to post from. You
just are in too much denial to see the truth.

Have a nice day.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Blake - I'm not going to go on a wild goose chase to find your
> alleged "truth". Either you post it or it isn't there!
>
> Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > Actually John, it is matter of record that the crap had finally
> > died, then you reappeared with numerous message, starting it back
> > up.
> >
> > Check out www.deja.com/usenet, there is verifiable proof
> > of that fact.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6286. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heywood JaBlomee <Allalone@home.net>
Message-ID: <170920000408052908%Allalone@home.net>
Source record: 79480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It does allow you to listen to TV broadcasts. It is continous
25-1300MHz (less cell)

In article <hFYw5.57933$98.5362106@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, Robert
<spamshield@on.com> wrote:

> The PRO-26 lacks the ability to follow trunked freqs,
> TV broadcasts, doesn't have alpha tagging...
>
> It does have positive attributes but unless you are specific
> in what you'd like to listen to and what features are important
> to you, any answer can only be vague.
>
> Hint:
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm#SEC02
>
> Robert
>
> Big Boy Barry <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
> >
> >
> >

6287. MDT Frequencies/Austin, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: sdavistx <sdavis@space.com>
Message-ID: <8q29b3$7ce$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79481

I was wondering if anyone had a list of MDT freqs. that are in use in Austin, TX...ad well as where one might get software to utilize the discriminator output on a scanner via sound card on the PC. Please email responses... Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6288. BT Global Challenge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <39c491a7@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 79482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

HI,
If any one has any frequencies for this race please send them to
webmaster@frequencyuk.co.uk we are aware they are using Inmarsat-3
satellites but also they are using HF frequencies.
Many thanks
T
www.frequencyuk.co.uk

6289. Re: Blake's Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q2agt$8hj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

Blake - You would obviously have more personal experience with denial
than anyone else here.

You have NOT "posted the location of the proof", there has been
no useful qoutes or links posted to find what YOU claim to be
the truth. No one has spoken to support your statement either.

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Denial is a terrible thing John. I have posted the location
> of the proof, and others agree. If you are in so much denial
> that you refuse to look at the proof, then even posted here
> you will not believe it.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6290. CellPhones on Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Litsche <nlitsche@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pX1x5.17462$6f1.866915@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79484

Some ago, there was a protracted thread on Cell Phones in aircraft on this Newsgroup (I think!).

There have been some developments on this. Here is a news blurb: http://www.ainonline.com/sept_passengers_116.html

de Norm KA2TYT

6291. Re: My dream scanner feature...does this exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blinky <worez_magic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9i2x5.2229$nk3.162083@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79485

Winradio does this...

Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:8ps5rt$c4s$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Squelch that can be set differently for each programmed channel.
>
> Whaddata say?

6292. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000917084647.09099.00000216@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 79486

>From: "Jh" jhalley@networkusa.net
>What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
>Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up >>>>>

We sure hope not !
At this very moment there is a third party company designing a digital "drop in board" that should be compatable with a couple of Unidens trunking models. Hopefully digital transmissions will be completely transparent to us and we will be able to monitor just as we have in the past with analog.

The ScanShack
http://www.ScanShack.com
or
http://ScanShack.netfirms.com
Featuring
Icom IC-R10 reference page.....
"The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb" comic strip....
and much more !!!

6293. FS: AMPLIFIED SHORTWAVE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C4BCF4.E502317@home.com>
Source record: 79487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have a new Radio Shack model # 20-280 amplified shortwave antenna with the matching Radio Shack power adapter. This can be run with the 9V battery or with the power adapter. $40.00 for both plus shipping.

6294. WTB: ICOM R-100 ORIGINAL OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C4BD08.1B264C76@home.com>
Source record: 79488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for an original owners manual for an Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. I currently have a copy, but would like the original.

6295. Re: Cordless Phone Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <OJ3x5.1863$JV3.6567@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79489

I believe the frequency range is 902MHz-928MHz.

Adam

"Nick Sterling" <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e%Zw5.47019$Qx4.1717385@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> Looking for 900mhz cordless phone freq's. already have 46.000 standard 24
> channel. any info Please Mail me. Thanks alot!
>
>

6296. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c4cab4.3930091@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

:> Only if there was a law in the US Code or a state law outlawing
:> possession of a ham radio by a non-licensed person. The comparison
:> isn't valid if no such law exists, since there is a law against
:> possession of pot.
:>
:> It's sort of like a person violating a part of the UCMJ while they
:> aren't in the military. The UCMJ only applies to military personnel.
:
:Several participants in this thread have their laws, rules and regulations,
:and levels of authority and jurisdiction mixed up.
:
:CB - no individual or station license required, but technical regulations
:are still in force and apply to anyone within the FCC's area of jurisdiction
:(USA and territories).
:
:This means that possession of an external RF power amplifier ("linear" -
:although that is only one kind of amplification and is the main type used
:for AM mode) that is designed or adjusted to work in the CB band is NOT
:PERMITTED FOR ANYONE in the above-mentioned areas. If you are a ham and
:have an 11-meter "footwarmer" and it is tuned to be maximally efficient in
:the 11-meter band, you are in violation. However, if your
:originally-11-meter amplifier is tuned to 10 meters or some other band,
:there is no apparent violation for a licensed ham operator. (The FCC might
:still ask for some form of certification or proof that the amplifier cannot
:be operated on 10 meters.)
:
:FCC has authority to regulate radios and their use all over the United
:States and its possessions and territories. It doesn't matter if any
:individual is licensed or not; the regs apply to everyone. If you're
:unlicensed, transmitting is prohibited. If you're licensed, the other
:regulations regarding proper operation and technical specs apply to you.
:
:Local police departments do not have jurisdiction to enforce FCC
:regulations. That is a Federal function, usually carried out by (depending
:on circumstances) the FCC itself, the FBI, and/or the United States
:Marshalls. A knowledgeable police officer may bring your unauthorized radio
:to the FCC or DOJ's attention, but he may not make an arrest or confiscate
:the equipment UNLESS there is a violation of some other statute that is
:within his power to enforce. If the officer knows that much about radio,
:she should also know all about the jurisdictional issues.

My state just gave local police the authority to make arrests for
violations of FCC regulations. Just as local cops can make arrests

for federal drug crimes or illegal aliens, all it takes is to have a
matching state law. Besides, we now have multi jurisdiction units
that are made up of federal, state and local LEOs that can arrest
for any crime.

:In the case of an 11 meter amplifier, a local officer could charge you with
:a relevant motor vehicle equipment violation if such regulation exists. If
:your radio transmissions are causing interference with audio or radio
:equipment belonging to someone else, you could be charged with some sort of
:"disturbing the peace" or "disorderly conduct" offense. Naturally, if you
:fail to cooperate fully with the officer to the extent required by law under
:the circumstances of your encounter, you can be charged with disorderly
:conduct or even some form of obstruction of justice regardless of
:jurisdiction on any radio issues.
:
:So, in short, while the police do not have jurisdiction over your CB radio,
:it will not be productive to rub their noses in the fact.
:
:73 de Dave, NF2G
:Graduate Student
:University at Albany School of Criminal Justice
:
:
:

6297. Re: Need Knoxville/Knox County , TN Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: donfire <fire5281@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8q2kavinj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79491

In article <20000916204944.10114.00000013@ng-co1.aol.com>,
nj2sm@aol.com (NJ2SM) wrote:
> Any sites or source of frequencies for Knoxville & Knox County, Tenn
>
try here http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/
--
NREMT/Firefighter
NAEMT
DOD Firefighter II
DOD Airport Firefighter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6298. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c4cf8a.5168681@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
:
:Same thing goes if you have a big finned box sitting on your tranny
:hump that says "Texas Star" on the front of it. Have never heard of a cop
:actually enforcing FCC laws

My state has authorized the police to enforce FCC rules. That is
why the state cops are openingly going after illegal CBers and
scanner operators. Just like drug laws, it only takes a state law
that matches federal law and regulations.

::Me either I got a careless for changing lanes near a st.pd
::<3 car lengths it was unfortunate situation driven by the
: : pace near 62 mile post in stafford fines were paid> I
:: was new to the route.Credit to the trooper he could
::drive like the dickens.
::GARDENSTATE PARKWAY IS THERE ANY
::WAY YOU CAN REMOVE THOSE
::STUPID TREES THAT BLOCK YOUR VIEW OF
:: All incoming ramp traffic?MHO
:: he didnt say anything.Stopped for confusing inspection
:: sticker freehold suburban that sits in rt 34/35 area
:: no problem either.
:: Twice monthly inspection checkpoints on rt 30 Atlantic
:: City.Either the 25 ltd/texas star combo with 96 whip on
:: mirror.Or Bc245xlt vertical next to ashtray.
:: Contrary to popular opinion some leo's
:: Aren't out to bust nads.Theres a whole
:: lot of other stuff for them to do.Praise the gung-ho ones
:: they can spot the bad drivers by eye from like a mile
:: away. hey It's only pre-emptive.I
:: dont want to
:: be near some of the drivers out there,and have
::them like snooze off and veer into me or crash into
:: something in front of me.
:
:blah blah blah
:Gene Floyd wrote in message >
:
:
```

6299. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39c4d320.6086856@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

```
:> :>What concerns me is that we are seeing an increase of people using
:> :>radios, as guns were used before, to commit crimes. Drug runners are
:> :>using scanners and CB radios in chase vehicles to let the mules avoid
:> :>the cops.
:> . That is what
:> they are arresting people for. Using CBs and scanners to avoid
:> detection by the police. Look at this thread. Several people have
:> reported being stopped by the cops for having radios, all because the
:> drug runners are using them.
:
:Please people...those of us here that have experience with both sides of
:the dope trade are just plain laughing right now.
:
:Domestic drug numbers stations based in Indiana
: and using mobiles on CW???

Two seperate issues. The drug runners are using scanners and
out of band CBs in the escort vehicles.

The CW mobile stations are something else. It appears that
individuals of southern European heritage are transmitting some
type of number messages for whatever purpose. Given that there
are a number of Serbians in and around Chicago, who knows. But
hams have seen, and heard, transmissions while on the Indiana Toll
road.

:Hams being arrested or detained???

N9VKS was ticketed for illegal scanner usage
according to Popular Communications in the
Sept issue.

:Drug runners in and around the ham bands???
:
:And the cops in Indiana are stupid enough to believe it???
:
:First off.....
:The Indiana cops probably turned the musings of a tweaker (meth user)
:into a pretty tall tale, after finding a CB in his truck after a search
:and arrest.
:
:Second...
:NO drug cartel worth a 10 spot of crack is going to use CW (smoke
:signals) to move the product around in the states. If they are stupid
:enough to use a ham radio then they must really be amateur.
```

:
:Third...
:Ham radio operators make crappy drug runners. Do I really have to
:qualify that.

But ham radio would make a good cover for having a scanner if you
were a drug runner. Or involved in some sort of spying.

:Fourth...
:Felix Areallano and his brother can afford to buy encrypted astros from
:Motorola S.A de C.V. with no questions asked if needed.

Therefore the cops would be looking for any type of radio activity.

:> :>And it looks like someone in the Chicago area is using their ham
:> :>license to cover a spying network. Too many in the Gary-South Bend
:> :>-Mishawaka-Elkhart area are hearing mobile ham stations trainsmitting
:> :>number type broadcast, in and around the ham bands.
:
: Sunspots playing tricks.

Not when the car passes you on the toll road and overloads
the front end of your radio.

:
:> I personally saw a guy with ham plates
:> blow by me, jamming the front end of my receiver with
:> a number type mesage in CW on the lower end of 20.
:> Others upstate are reporting the same thing.
:
:Or just another idiot jammer...
:>
:> :>Therefore the cops are looking hard at anyone with a radio.
:
:At the most the cops are dealing with a major meth problem in the
:midwest and some of the tweakers have been arrested and in possession of
:some box with a mic attached...the word spread among the patrol ranks
:that "the tweakers were on CB"(big surpass eh).
:
:When the DEA and FCC start pulling over cars with antennas then you
:MIGHT have something to really worry about.
:
:There are plenty other reasons why this is nothing more than a fantasy
:in it's current form.
:
:I'm not saying that radios are NOT used...but any decent smuggler knows
:the proper use of radio equipment and freq spectrum for any give
:task.
:
:Remember they have a lot more money and resources than the Indiana cops.
:Except for the tweakers...they are poor and stupid.
:

:If you put a "tweakers suck" bumper sticker on you car, they would most
:likely leave you alone.
:
:> :The Cops wouldn't know a numbers station if it was transmitting
:> :in their car!
:
:Yah Huh....they have a special doggie for that.
:
:> There are a number of ISP troopers who are also military intelligence
:> analysts in the Guard or the Reserves. I would expect that they have
:> some exposure to signal intelligence.
:
:They can probably tune in a signal and listen...but the military is not
:really trained real well in drug interdiction, let alone domestic drug
:interdiction. The fact the police have those people on staff does little
:good if the PD does not provide monitoring hours and a shitload of
:equipment.

That is why reserve Intel folks are being put on active duty and
loaned to the DEA and FBI to help the locals. Plus if a cop is also
a member of the Guard or Reserves and is trained as intelligence
analyst then the cops get the best of both worlds. And there are a
number of cops who are 96Bs in the state of Indiana.

As far as the equipment, just hook a AOR8200 into a laptop computer.
Or use an Optoelectronics Scout.

:>
:> :And they have LITTLE authority to do anything about it even if
:> :they find such a station.
:
:And trying to prove it was used to evade cops would be fun also.

No they use it as an excuse to pull you over. Then search the car.
The radios are destroyed if you do not have a license. And you
get a ticket for a class B misdomeanor ($500 fine). Plus whatever
else they find.

:>
:> They can gun you down if you mouth off. It is called resisting
:> arrest.
:
:Jee
:In california the cops just beat you.

The California cops have gunned down their share.
One black woman who was sleeping in her car (I think
it was in Riverside). Then the LAPD was having a contest
to see who could shoot the most gang bangers.

6300. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000917110656.10650.00004876@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79494

In article <hFYw5.57933$98.5362106@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "Robert"
<spamshield@on.com> writes:

>The PRO-26 lacks the ability to follow trunked freqs,
>TV broadcasts

???

Must have an oddball model. Mine receives all TV channels, 2-69.

6301. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000917110655.10650.00004875@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79495

In article <39C4969F.B5B31851@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:

>no, shut up and let the rest of us get on with our lives.

Why don't you take your own advice, Mr. Oyen? You are at least as much to blame as Mackey for keeping this nonsense going.

"Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle."

6302. Re: Mackey is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000917110656.10650.00004877@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79496

In article <39C43194.BFB0717E@yok.com>, klopie <co@yok.com> writes:

>Allsafe has enough brains to not post using his real name.
>What is to be gained by using your real name?

Harrassment, stalking, mail bombs, libelous postings, interference with your employer, etc.

Anyone who would post their real name on this newsgroup has gotta have a screw loose.

6303. Re: Digital signal identification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:21:17 UTC
From: here@there.com
Message-ID: <39c40a34.18465167@news.netquest.com>
Source record: 79465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Sep 2000 01:14:41 GMT, makoshake@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>
>I'm trying to learn a thing or two about identifying different data
>streams that I run into while scanning around VHF/UHF bands.
>I'm using programs like Spectra Lab's time series function as a
>makeshift oscilloscope (I know it's no replacement for a scope) so I can
>look at the waveforms. Unfortunately, I'm still in the stage where I'm
>not even sure what questions I should be asking, but one that's been
>puzzling me for some time is this:
>
>How can I visually tell the difference between an FSK signal and a PSK
>signal or any other type?

I'm no expert (yet), but I believe that a PSK signal is the same
frequency with the delimiter being a noticable shift in the phase
whereas the FSK involves two (or possibly more) discreet frequencies.
A circular definition, perhaps...let me attempt to refine it.

On a PSK signal, the frequency of the waveform is identical for a mark
or space, but the waveform will make an abrubt shift from where it
would normally be in a straight sine wave. The FSK will have a
completely different frequency (and visually, waveform) for mark or
space.

Check out
http://robotics.eecs.berkeley.edu/%7Esastry/ee20/modulation/node7.html

6304. Need Knoxville/Knox County , TN Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:49:44 UTC
From: nj2sm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000916204944.10114.00000013@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 79463

Any sites or source of frequencies for Knoxville & Knox County, Tenn

6305. Re: Toronto Freq's (Not Trunked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 00:55:53 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@home.com>
Message-ID: <tEUw5.13303$m7.6349176@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 79464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark Rossi" <MDROSSI@stny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:kETw5.4665$%h6.1584248@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Hello,
>
> Does anyone here know if there are any freq's for Toronto, Canada that
> are not trunked? I am leaving for Canada tomorrow and have seen on
> www.ontscan.com that the Toronto system is trunked. Does one have to have
a
> special scanner to pick up trunked freq's? Thanks for all your help.
>
> Mark
>
>
>

Hi Mark,

Toronto PF has two systems on the go in the 800 MHz. They have the usual trunking going, but they also have conventional channel assignments for each of the divisions, districts and headquarters..

Most of the dispatching is occuring on the trunk system, but at the same time most of the casual communications and pay-duty etc.. is occuring on the conventional system.

On OntScan you will notice channels that are ID'd by a channel number, those are the divisional conventional channels. Here are the channels from my web page:

FREQ PL USE CH REMARKS
==========
862.7375 110.9 Police 11 (11/12 Division)
862.2375 110.9 Police 12 (12 Division)
863.6875 110.9 Police 13 (13 Division)
857.4125 110.9 Police 14 (14A Division)
862.9125 110.9 Police 15 (14B Division)
861.6875 110.9 Police 16 (1 District HQ)
857.4875 110.9 Police 17 (1 District Simplex)
861.9875 110.9 Police 21 (21 Division)
862.4875 110.9 Police 22 (22 Division)
862.9875 110.9 Police 23 (23 Division)
861.4875 110.9 Police 24 (2 District HQ)
857.1875 110.9 Police 25 (2 District Simplex)
863.2375 110.9 Police 31 (31 Division)

862.1875 110.9 Police 32 (32/33 Division)
862.4375 110.9 Police 33 (33 Division)
861.9375 110.9 Police 34 (3 District HQ West)
861.7375 110.9 Police 35 (3 District HQ East)
857.2375 110.9 Police 36 (3 District Simplex)
863.4125 110.9 Police 41 (41 Division)
862.6875 110.9 Police 42 (42 Division)
863.1875 110.9 Police 43 (42B Division)
862.9375 110.9 Police 44 (4 District HQ West)
861.6625 110.9 Police 45 (4 District HQ East)
857.4375 110.9 Police 46 (4 District Simplex)
861.9125 110.9 Police 51 (51/53 Division)
857.1625 110.9 Police 52 (52A Division)
863.4375 110.9 Police 53 (51/53 Division)
862.4125 110.9 Police 54 (54/55 Division)
862.6625 110.9 Police 55 (54/55 Division)
862.1625 110.9 Police 56 (52B Division)
863.1625 110.9 Police 57 (55B Division)
861.4375 110.9 Police 58 (5 Dist HQ)
859.4125 110.9 Police 59 (5 Dist Simplex)
860.2125 110.9 Police A1 MSS 150
860.7375 110.9 Police A2 MSS 250
860.2375 110.9 Police A3 MSS 350
860.6875 110.9 Police A4 MSS 450
860.7125 110.9 Police A5 MSS 550
861.1625 110.9 Police A6 MSS 650
860.4125 110.9 Police A7 MSS 750
860.4375 110.9 Police A8 MSS 850
860.4625 110.9 Police A9 MSS 950
860.1625 110.9 Police AA MSS 1050
860.6625 110.9 Police B1 Investigative 1 Band
860.9875 110.9 Police B2 Investigative 2 Band
860.4875 110.9 Police B3 Investigative 3 Band
860.9375 110.9 Police B4 Investigative 4 Band
860.9125 110.9 Police B5 Investigative
860.1875 110.9 Police B6 Investigative
863.4875 110.9 Police C1 Hold Up Squad F1
863.6625 110.9 Police C2 Hold Up Squad F2
863.4625 110.9 Police C3 Intelligence
861.4125 110.9 Police C4 Intelligence
861.2375 110.9 Police C5 Intelligence
859.6625 110.9 Police D1 Intelligence Mor 1
859.9125 110.9 Police D2 Intelligence Mor 2
863.7125 110.9 Police D3 Intelligence Mor 3
863.7375 110.9 Police D4 Intelligence Mor 4
860.9625 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]
861.2125 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]
861.4625 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]
861.7125 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]
861.9625 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]

862.2125 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk]
862.4625 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk] (Used for MDT)
862.7125 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk] (Control Channel)
862.9625 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk] (Control Channel)
863.2125 TNK Police [Toronto Trunk] (Control Channel)

The channels with the channel ID's are the conventional and the ones with
"TNK" are the trunk channels.

David Bate (davebate@home.com)
Bowmanville, Ontario, Canada
Home : http://scannerdesk.mypage.org
or : http://members.home.net/davebate
ACARS : http://acars-ontario.fly.to
e-Groups: http://www.egroups.com/group/scannerdesk
ICQ 9344489 - NYSING 191

Network Analyst (dbate@rci.rogers.com)
Rogers Communications Inc.

6306. Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 01:43:21 UTC
From: ke6zuf@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <39c2c42b.5457480@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79466

Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
across two cruisers that had 'Los Angeles County Police' displayed
opun their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?

Bob - KE6ZUF

6307. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 01:43:22 UTC
From: ke6zuf@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <39c41a23.5801476@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79467

On Sat, 16 Sep 2000 09:59:10 GMT, "FH" <cheezman@msu.edu> wrote:

>Did the logo on the cars say "Los Angeles County Safety Police"? If so,
>this unit provides security at all county run facilities such as Medical
>Centers, County Buildings, etc... Safety Police Officers have limited peace
>officer powers - on duty only as far as I know - I'm not quite sure which
>freqs they use simply because they're not really "players" in the overall
>public safety arena in L.A. County-no offense-but they don't really handle
>emergency calls or initiate activity in the field other than at their
>assigned posts...this is the only "County Police" outfit I'm aware of...
>
>Fred
>
>Bob Zander <ke6zuf@earthlink.net> wrote in article
><39c2c7fe.6437081@news.earthlink.net>...
>> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
>> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
>> across two cruisers that had Los Angeles County Police displayed opun
>> their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>>
>> Bob - KE6ZUF
>>
>>

Hey Fred;

Thanx for the reply.

No, the cars said 'Los Angeles County Police' on them. They sure
looked like Sheriff cars from the front and rear (same gold type
lettering and such), however, it said Police where Sheriff wolud be.
On the sides were (from what I could see) the words POLICE, much like
Alhambra or South Pasadena PD (or prehaps Burbank).

Anyhow, I was going somewhere around the speed limit when I saw them
(behind some rookies (new to this road)) and had no chance to speak
with them.

Again, thanks for your reply:
Bob

6308. WTB ..Yupi MVT 9000 MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 01:48:51 UTC
From: Netrunner <netrunner@home.com>
Message-ID: <7qVw5.41474$7W2.1605663@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 79468

Want to buy ..Yupi MVT 9000 MKII ..email if you have one to sell.

6309. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 02:54:11 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <39C45E43.F425E053@INVALID.com>
Source record: 79469

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> OK, so you either QUIT or were KICKED OUT of the Marines and now
> are "not impressed" with those working in various capacities of active
> or reserve military duty.

No,..... just you. I was talking about you. Stay focused.

> Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID. Nearly
> everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> commonly acceptable way to identify.

Ah......You're worried. As well you should be. I did my time in Corps
and declined to re-enlist to pursue other employment...and more money as a
civilian with the Department of the Army.

> And I'm pretty sure you'll be released from duty,

> SNIP -(the part where I concluded that you probably were already found
> unfit for military life.)
>
> Why, because YOU were?
>
> > BTW...the newsgroup experienced a 87% drop in off-topic posts in your
> > absence.
> SNIP
>
> Thank you for confirming that during my absence the probles were
> still here on this NG, thus proving that others are causing problems
> that I can in no way be held responsible for.
>

Hmm. This is the same type of logic that made you unfit for further
military duty.

You friggin' loon

(You know, getting delined for further service in reserves is quite a black
mark on your record. When you can't even make it in the reserves; that's
bad.)

6310. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 04:45:40 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <8q1i9gfkk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79471

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> No,..... just you. I was talking about you. Stay focused.

Wrong, YOU said you were "not impressed" with reserve military duty. I have news for you, Allsafe. This country has NEVER won a major military conflict without calling in the reservests. The defense of this country & democracy depends on reservests.

>
> > Let me say this, Allsafe, I don't believe you were a Marine because
> > I have NEVER met a Marine that was a COWARD and AFRAID as you
> > have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID.
Nearly
> > everyone else (including myself) give their real ID or at least a
> > commonly acceptable way to identify.
>
> Ah......You're worried. As well you should be.

No, I am not worried. I am simply stating a fact. I don't believe you were a Marine because Marine are NOT COWARDLY and AFRAID as you have demonstrated by NEVER posting with your real name or ID.

> I did my time in Corps
> and declined to re-enlist to pursue other employment...
SNIP

So you decided to NOT lend further support to the defense of this country by quiting. THAT is something this country does NOT need in the military is quiters, so you probably did the country a favor by getting out as they were better off without having a quiter (YOU).

> (You know, getting delined for further service in reserves is quite a
> black mark on your record. When you can't even make it in the
> reserves; that's bad.)

So now you are giving more details of why you had to leave military service. You have my sympathy.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6311. Re: Funny Dispatches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 04:57:47 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <fbYw5.37227$Z4.1202322@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79470

Got a story, nut really funny but kinda interesting. I was listening to my local police in a small town so I turned my scanner up full blast when I heard them say "FBI was on the scene" except I couldn't really tell what they were talking about. They mentioned stuff that made me think they blocked off a certain area. I was listening for about 20 minutes but I had to go to work so I never found out what happened. Next day I look at the paper and front page news story is "Bank Robber Is Dead.. after high speed chase" Turns out the bank that the guy robbed also had an FBI office. True story, happened in Fort Walton Beach, FL. Also tonight I'm listening to what I assume is a drug bust, kinda cool I thought this town was boring but I guess not.

"TomCat4680" <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000903012033.20272.00001009@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> i heard one saying "theres a Knights of Columbus (religious group) bus
showing
> pornos on a 25 inch screen in the window"
>
> it was on April fools day so i think it was a prank.
>
> after the call i heard a cop say "woohoo count me in"

6312. Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 05:17:56 UTC
From: Big Boy Barry <grade12d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 79472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?

6313. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 05:29:49 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <hFYw5.57933$98.5362106@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The PRO-26 lacks the ability to follow trunked freqs,
TV broadcasts, doesn't have alpha tagging...

It does have positive attributes but unless you are specific
in what you'd like to listen to and what features are important
to you, any answer can only be vague.

Hint:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm#SEC02

Robert

Big Boy Barry <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
>
>
>

6314. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 05:35:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <JBYw5.1711$JV3.5458@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes

"Big Boy Barry" <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
>
>

6315. Re: R8500 address?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 05:53:52 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Q%Yw5.4320$jC4.1270890@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79475

Mine is set on Auto and that works for me.

David M. Hitchner <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote in message
news:bayw5.122949$oj6.2975149@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> Hold the "Set" button and turn the R8500 on. Then rotate the memory
> channel selector until you see "CIV ADDR". The default is 4AH.
>
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.
>
>
> "Bev M Ewen-Smith" <coaa@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
> news:8ptpsbmle1@venus.telepac.pt...
> > Can anyone advise the CIV address of the R8500 for remote control
> purposes?
> >
> > Bev
> > Bev and Jan Ewen-Smith
> > COAA, s�tio do Poio, Mexilhoeira Grande 8500-149, Portugal
> > Tel 00 351 282 471180 Fax 00 351 282 471516
> > ><> coaa@mail.telepac.pt www.ip.pt/coaa ><>
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6316. 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-17 06:45:12 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YLZw5.4325$jC4.1283134@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 79476

I recall seeing a mod for the 2006 or 2004 that adds a carrier LED that
comes on when the scanners squelch is broken.
Does anyone know the required parts and points within both of these scanners
to connect them.
I know it can't be more that a resistor and an LED maybe with a transistor
hooked to the proper points.
I think Bill Cheek originally developed this mod.

Thanks in advance,
Eddie Bryant

6317. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <rjxx5.95728$Ur3.1130290@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79297

Eric, HIS reputation isn't HALF as bad as yours!

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> Need I remind you that your history in here is less than polished?
>
> DE N7ZZT
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
SNIP

6318. Re: Too much crap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <pkxx5.95736$Ur3.1130339@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79298

Why?

"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> I DARE you to leave this NG until the end of the year. You can't
SNIP

6319. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Pqxx5.95778$Ur3.1130354@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> to say. The fact is, your seriel number (assuming you were a member
> of the armed services) is not a state secret (it is not classified).

Eric, I chose NOT to give out my social security number as it could be
used to compromise credit & loan systems or other things. The SSN is
what the Navy uses, NOT the "seriel number" as you are referring to.
BTW - that should be SERIAL number!!!!!!

> There is 1 fact though, due to numerous complaints, you were terminated
> from access to the @home provider you were using. Now you are using AOL

Another one of your lies, Eric. I have NEVER been terminated from
"@home". After boot camp at Great Lakes Naval Base I spent the weekend in
Sioux City & then sunday nite returned to Portland. While in Sioux City I
was
using my a friend's access to the internet (which was AOL) & using the
Dejanews
system for posting messages.

> incidently is a violation of AOL's acceptable use policy.
SNIP

Then go ahead & report it to AOL & see what happens.

I STILL ask you Eric, what does our father's military duty have to do with
anything? Why did you need to even bring this up?

6320. Re: Jack Forbes - Perfect example for kill file.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <yrxx5.95785$Ur3.1130461@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79300

Was THIS post on-topic?

"Jack Forbes" <HiJack@Rollanet.org> wrote
> Within 1 1/2 hours, JMackey posted 8 messages. NONE of which
> were on topic, but
SNIP

6321. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Xwxx5.95826$Ur3.1129711@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79301

Well said, Bud, very well said. Hopefully Eric will figure it out.
thanks

"Just Bud" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> The military uses your social security number as your serial number,
> has for well over 20 years.

6322. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <mDxx5.95876$Ur3.1130657@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79302

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> How do we know that the John E. Mackey listed in that newspaper is you?

See the pic that posted, do you want it again? Would you me like to
post a copy of my graduation cert from boot camp?

6323. Re: Allsafe is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <2Fxx5.95888$Ur3.1130408@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79303

No, just stating a fact.

"allsafe" <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote
> Cowardly eh? You must want it real bad. I wonder why?

6324. Re: Corky Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <eFxx5.95891$Ur3.1130428@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Contact a Navy reserve recruiter & ask about the APG program.

"Jason" <no@mail> wrote
> When was the last time anyone ever heard of a two week boot camp?
SNIP

6325. FA: DJ-X10T Alinco Wide-Band Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <jNxx5.52796$QW4.605572@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79305

For Auction on Ebay:

Alinco DJ-X10T, with extras!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=442109931

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

6326. Re: IC-R10 and CI-V compatibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <9Pxx5.127239$oj6.3168546@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79306

Luca,

The R-10 does support the 0x15, 0x02 CI-V command. The only thing to look out for is that it will return 1 or 2 data bytes depending upon signal strength.

Command: FE FE 52 E0 15 02 FD
Response: FE FE 52 E0 15 02 data FD

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

"Luca" <icekewl@libero.it> wrote in message
news:8q54pq$9kg$1@nslave2.tin.it...
>
>
> Does anyone know if the R-10 supports the signal strength readout
> command (0x15,0x2)? I need just this command for an application and this
is
> the first basic receiver that has CI-V remote control capability but I am
> aware that not all receivers support the same set of CI-V commands so
before
> I buy it, I'd like to be certain it does. Just to shed some light on the
> matter, I need to scan the 40-41MHz band that we use for our remote
> controlled air models and log with my laptop all the frequencies used in a
> file/graphically along with time and strength in order to try and
> investigate on relative interference problems that have occured and seek
out
> the reason.
>
> Thanks to anyone who can help.
>
> ----
> Luca <icekewl@libero.it>
>
>

6327. Re: Corky Prepares to wash out of boot camp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <k4ddss8aau3tkidrq025kgo0nhtp6cl5oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 79307

Did I miss something here!!??

John Toilet-boy Mackey in the corps???!!!

They must really be hurting for bodies if they'll take a child molesting bed wetting pervert like him.

6328. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8q6h8q$sg4$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79308

what about the pro 2040
five_0 <noname@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:39C659BB.A9F06EC5@nospam.com...
> Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>

6329. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39C6BE4F.B11149E8@pacbell.net>
Source record: 79309

mailto:abuse@home.net

J o h n M wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > How do we know that the John E. Mackey listed in that newspaper is you?
>
> See the pic that posted, do you want it again? Would you me like to
> post a copy of my graduation cert from boot camp?

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

6330. Indianapolis and Speedway Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pantani <bianchi@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <39C6D725.CDEE1624@ttlc.net>
Source record: 79310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello in the mid-west.

Could someone point me to links of Indianapolis, Indiana frequency
lists?
I'd also like the Speedway Park frequencies. BIG race this weekend.

Thanks for the help.
David
--
Newmarket, NH
Pro 2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
Bearcat 250, BCT-7

6331. ' FA: Opto MicroCounter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8q72og$3u5e$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looks like a pager- Optoelectronics Micro Counter

eBay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437227345

6332. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boatanchor Bob NA4G <na4g@weedcon3.cropsci.ncsu.edu>
Message-ID: <8q5b1bdeh1@uni00nw.unity.ncsu.edu>
Source record: 79312
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Gary Sanford <sanford1@sprynet.com> wrote:
> Maybe the technical tests should be toughened up, but the hurdle
> of CW hasn't kept sleezeballs off the air.

No, but for sure, it has kept the sleazeballs off CW! Yeah! They
are up in the fone bands.

Never have I not worked a gentleman/woman on CW. On fone, well.....
thats another story....

73/ZUT DE NA4G/Bob UP

6333. Re: RadioShack Pro 79 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <39c735a2$0$16596$7d5a4a58@news.compuserve.de>
Source record: 79313

Hi Nick,

try http://www.radio-portal.org

and do a search for shack within the category "Modifications". You may want
to try the category "Manuals and Schematics" also.

73,
Willi

Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
Zeix5.49176$Qx4.1811157@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> Hey This is Nick here. Does anyone have any iformation on modifying a
> Radioshack pro 79 Handheald? Please send me any information if your have
it.
> Also looking for Any Weather realted radios that I could recive or
transmit
> for severe storm data. Thanks alot!
>
>

6334. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ssbfl32vqvho31@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 79361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:39c5a140.358170372@news.erols.com...
> On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 03:20:34 GMT, "Orb" <orb@sphere.org> wrote:
>
> >What's that supposed to mean?
>
> What's the frequency, Kenneth ??

This is the first "Dan Rather" reference I've seen in a long time!

Jeff

> >
> >> Don't hold your breath. Probably thinks Cumbre is head of the
> >> Cali Cartel.
> >
> >
>

6335. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Strabo <strabo@flashmail.net>
Message-ID: <39C5C0D8.B4EF4709@flashmail.net>
Source record: 79362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:

<snipped>
> :>
> :> I happen to think that day is close upon us. The first step will be
> :to
> :> declare CB operators to be a special class of amateur licensees. Mark
> :> my words.
> :>
>
> :
> :Quite possibly. I see one mention of a 'license' being required.
> :Anyone remember when they discontinued that for CB? They eliminated
> :the requirement of a commercial license to repair the CB rigs. I see
> :one post that the 'codeless tech' is essentially a CBer. That, while
> :untrue, does show that amateur radio is being deregulated. No, I find
> :that morse code is an old technology and quite unnecessary; however,
> :the Q&A manuals and the tests themselves are simply a little icing to
> :obfuscate the fact that the alleged 'tests' are so simple that anyone
> :could probably pass almost any class ticket within a week or so. The
> :FCC really doesn't care - as long as no one complains. The happy HFers
> :go on and on with perhaps kilowatts and as long as they don't bother
> :anyone, no one minds. Frankly, what with the internet and cell phones,
> :about the only people being attracted to radio these days are the
> :people who enjoy jamming other people - that seems to be the big
> :attraction. Who knows? I doubt amateur radio will disappear, but the
> :combination of services does not appear to be impossible nor even
> :highly unlikely. Oh well ... better start studying for proper
> :operation of the new service. Hey, gotcher ears on?
> :
> :73s
> :Jim AA2QA
>
> I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
> arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
> get ham licenses.
>
> The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
> vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
> avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
> ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.
>
> There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment

> to communicate for purposes of spying.
>
> If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
> isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
> code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
> ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
> communicate then they can not rebel.
>
> The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
> unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
> except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
> on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
> have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
> And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

The threat to America comes not from drug runners nor from spies.

Our enemies are those that weaken our defenses by giving away
our military and science secrets and weapons plans.

Our enemies are those that give aid and comfort to our enemies.

Our enemies are those who weaken our society through divisive
politics and law.

Our enemies are those who take away our manufacturing and
agricultural base.

Our enemies are those that weaken our military by placing within
it, the unintelligent the physically and psychologically weak,
and those that hate our society.

Our enemies are those that weaken our children by forcing upon
them narcotics and unfit teachings.

Our enemies are those that try to disarm us.

Our enemies are those who oppress the citizenry.

Our enemies are those who, through acts and speech, disavow
our Constitution and seek to destroy it.

Our enemies are well known and within our ranks.

6336. Re: Need BC 210xl antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8q4v80$67uq$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79363

You can buy an inexpensive replacement at any Radio Shack. If you want the exact reeplacement antenna, you may find it at Scannerworld. www.scannerworld,com

Randy Hawk wrote in message ...
>Any suggestions on where I can buy a cheap replacement antenna for a 210xl.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Randy
>
>
>

6337. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <39c613d6.7596408@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 79364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 17 Sep 2000 20:56:35 GMT, 2121d@gte.net (N9NWO) wrote:
>I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
>arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
>get ham licenses.

Scanners are NOT illegal anywhere in the USA EXCEPT
for a handful of states that prohibit the use of scanners
in an automobile.

>The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
>vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
>avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
>ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.

Two points:
1. If the cops truly felt threatened then they'd be
asking their state legislatures to enact anti-scanner
laws everywhere. They are not doing that.
2. There are NO illegal scanners. Anyone can own
a scanner. The issue of use/possession of a scanner
ONLY comes into play if the person using/possessing
the scanner is in a motor vehicle in one of the few
states that prohibit using a scanner in an auto.
3. Only one state actually prohibits using a scanner
anywhere else but in your home (Indiana?).

>There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment
>to communicate for purposes of spying.

If that has any concern, it has been the case
for 75 or more years.

>If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
>isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
>code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
>ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
>communicate then they can not rebel.

True, but no laws of that nature are being proposed.

>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns

>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

This, howver, is NOT he UK and there is no
imminent or proposed radio restrictions of the type
you suggest above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6338. Mass State Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: m2iceman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000918093455.06714.00001740@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 79365

I'm looking for the freq's to the Mass State Police in the H Troop area. I have found all other troops except for this one.

Thanks
Ice

6339. Re: Maxon SM4000 Series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Ojxpd8XIAHA.318@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79366

There is a fellow who constantly offers a CD full of radio programming software for about $80 on ebay I got a Zip file with all of the radios that are on the CD and this was included:
MAXON:
SMP4001 17JUL91 V4.30 PM150
SMP4004 V1.03c.UK
SMP4004C V2.21
PM150/S V2.07 PM150/S
If you are interested, his e-mail address is Programming2way@aol.com. I have never purchased from him so I would check his rating on ebay, but I think that it was clean when I checked it. Good luck on finding it for free on the web.
Joseph

Timmy wrote in message ...
>I'm looking for software to reprogram a Maxon SM4000 series tranceiver or
>pointers to a description of what the data is in the 93C46 eeprom in the
>unit so I can use my eeprom programmer. I have searched the net for days
now
>and am now able to reprogram lots of different makes and models but I still
>have no Maxon stuff.
>
>If anyone can help it would be greatly appreciated.
>
>

6340. FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis VanHoose <dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Z6qx5.4069$_q1.76500@news4.mco>
Source record: 79367

I thought I would list my scanner for sale here before it went on ebay. I have a 2 month old (New) Yaesu VR-500 new in box w/ manual and blank warranty card. IN PERFECT CONDITION. Wife says TOO many radios. I just paid $345.00 plus tax for it but will sell it for $275.00 firm. I have just taken digital photo's of it and can email them to anyone interested.
Thanks Dennis
Of course, take out spamspam form my email address

6341. FS:New ASTRON Power Supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: k1byd@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39C62F39.BFBD97D9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 79368
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For sale ....New in the box ....Astron model ss-18 power supply
......18amps ICAS .....
$60.00
k1byd@hotmail.com

6342. Re: Mass State Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <39C63136.E2CF6264@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 79369

http://lynx.dac.neu.edu/s/stjohnso/ematrunk/msp/msp.html

M2iceman wrote:

> I'm looking for the freq's to the Mass State Police in the H Troop area. I have
> found all other troops except for this one.
>
> Thanks
> Ice

6343. Should I keep BC-235 or get BC-245??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39C63DA0.761C888F@yok.com>
Source record: 79370

.

6344. FA: PRO-2021 200-Ch Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <vErx5.52441$QW4.600265@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79371

For Auction on Ebay:

Radio Shack PRO-2021 Programmable 200-Channel Scanner Radio. No reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=442004629

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

6345. Re: Glendora, CA police freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Lui <srdslui@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39C649E5.4C77FEE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 79372

Dispatch 154.845
Tac 155.94
Tac 153.86
Tac 155.73

Fire
LA County Blue-6 470.4125

Public Works 154.86, 153.965

Randy Hawk wrote:

> Need the most recent freqs for Glendora, California police department.
> Help!
>
> I have looked at a few different listings, but can not find a valid freq.
>
> My hunch is that they have switched to a trunked system. Any help would be
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Randy

6346. FS: Com-Ser Labs Comminicatiosn Service Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB <bburkett@nb.net>
Message-ID: <U_rx5.371$095.538@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79373

COM-SER LABS Model BR-1000A Two-way Radio Service Monitor.
AM, FM, CW modes up to 1 GHZ,
with CTCSS encode and decode,
sinad meter,
watt meter,
scope and trouble tracer.
Watt meter reads up to 100 watts.
You can test and align BUSINESS RADIOS, AIRCRAFT,
CB, AMATEUR, POLICE, FIRE, EMS and many others.
This unit WORKS GREAT, powers up, calibrates,
generates and receives ok when tested with an IFR 1200S monitor,
but is sold AS IS.

Just bought on ebay, but I have run into some problems and MUST sell.

Make an offer!

Email for picture

6347. Scanner & converter (cellphone)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amy <amy@4clay4playground4.com>
Message-ID: <TBsx5.358$fa2.34416@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 79374

I have a Radio Shack Pro-60 scanner with a GRE Super Converter II (and some other extras) up for auction on Ebay. The GRE Super Converter II enables you to listen to cellphone calls by converting 810-950MHz into 410-550MHz. It's in great condition and works
perfectly. Here's the link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441625798

The starting price is only $50 with no reserve set. Please check it out if you're interested.
Thanks,
Amy
(to reply, remove the 4's)

6348. Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: five_0 <noname@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <39C659BB.A9F06EC5@nospam.com>
Source record: 79375

Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6349. IC-R10 and CI-V compatibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Luca <icekewl@libero.it>
Message-ID: <8q54pq$9kg$1@nslave2.tin.it>
Source record: 79376

Does anyone know if the R-10 supports the signal strength readout command (0x15,0x2)? I need just this command for an application and this is the first basic receiver that has CI-V remote control capability but I am aware that not all receivers support the same set of CI-V commands so before I buy it, I'd like to be certain it does. Just to shed some light on the matter, I need to scan the 40-41MHz band that we use for our remote controlled air models and log with my laptop all the frequencies used in a file/graphically along with time and strength in order to try and investigate on relative interference problems that have occured and seek out the reason.

Thanks to anyone who can help.

Luca <icekewl@libero.it>

6350. Pro 2067 misfeature? Or error in manual? re Talk Group ID lockout.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39c96130.243883906@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79377

Besides the "trunking" problems I'm having with my 2067, I noticed something else in the manual, something that is reason to return the unit for a refund if true:

The manual claims

"You can only lock out talk group IDs when the scanner is in the closed mode"

(It is really the bank, not the "scanner", that is in the closed or open mode, but never mind that.) Does it mean you can only *enter* locked-out IDs into a bank that's in "closed" mode (which would be ok), or that it will only *honor* the lockouts when the group is in the closed mode?

Evidence that it's the latter (which is bad) is also under "talk group IDs" in the first paragraph on page 41, in the discussion of closed vs. open operation.

If I'm reading the manual correctly (I can't really test it until I get the scanner working in "trunking" mode in the first place, which it has yet to do; see my other recent post), this is simply ludicrous. It means I have to enter every ID I might ever want to listen to in the bank, just so I can enter one that I want the scanner to ignore! Uh, so why don't I just NOT enter the one I want the scanner to ignore??? And what if I don't know (since I'm new to trunking) which IDs I want to listen to -- but I've already found one I want to ignore? (There is a talk group around here that's keyed up a lot, but with no audio.) Who at GRE could POSSIBLY have thought that this was the right thing to implement?

--- jeh

6351. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <39C6641C.1947@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 79378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gene Floyd wrote:
>
> > And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> > and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
>
> Speaking of ag fuel, has anyone ever heard of anybody being caught in the
> states using ag fuel in a private vehicle? Every time I pull my Suburban into
> the local fillup, I see about a 75-cent difference between road and ag fuel.
As an owner of a diesel dodge pickup, let me answer this question right
away, YES, and the State police in Louisiana and Alabama will sample
your tank (been there and sampled) and if they find RED, you will be
paying GREEN to both the IRS and State Gov't. Had a buddy in AL who
decided to run the cheap stuff and was caught, his fine was $370.00 ($10
per gallon on his tank capacity) as well as a fine from the IRS on
Federal Fuel Taxes.
Martin N5PZJ

6352. mod on sc150 bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8q5os2$5u6$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79379

Could anyone point me to a good reference or give info on haw to mod a SC150 scanner to receive cell range.

6353. Programming Software for LTS 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: sdavistx <sdavis@space.com>
Message-ID: <8q5rtd$8ch$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79380

I was wondering if anyone happens to have the programming software for a Motorola LTS 2000 handheld 2-way radio. I need to program some frequencies and lost my copy. Please respond in email if you can help.

Thanks!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6354. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Blackstock <michael@blackstock.com>
Message-ID: <Pzux5.721$qD6.252246@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79381
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where is the Discriminator output on the DJ-X10.
Dan <dan_in_md@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:h0bx5.150209$eS6.2009568@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> Don't forget,
>
> Built in Discriminator, and some units are capable of a software cell
> mod......
>
>
> Dan

6355. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <39C676EB.3549@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 79382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> :> U.S. amateur equipment is only a small regulatory step away from being
> :> fully type accepted, in which case there is no real reason for amateur
> :> radio to be treated any differently than other radio services.
> :>
> :> With type accepted equipment, and type accepted antennas, installed in
> :> an FCC approved way to prevent radiation exposure, we are rapidly
> :> approaching the day where a ham station will consist solely of
> :> appliances fully regulated by the government.
> :>
> :> When that happens, the bureaucrats in Washington will see little
> :> difference among CB, the FRS, or amateur radio and will be tempted to
> :> combine them into one service for administrative efficiency.
> :>
> :> I happen to think that day is close upon us. The first step will be
> :to
> :> declare CB operators to be a special class of amateur licensees. Mark
> :> my words.
> :>
>
> :
> :Quite possibly. I see one mention of a 'license' being required.
> :Anyone remember when they discontinued that for CB? They eliminated
> :the requirement of a commercial license to repair the CB rigs. I see
> :one post that the 'codeless tech' is essentially a CBer. That, while
> :untrue, does show that amateur radio is being deregulated. No, I find
> :that morse code is an old technology and quite unnecessary; however,
> :the Q&A manuals and the tests themselves are simply a little icing to
> :obfuscate the fact that the alleged 'tests' are so simple that anyone
> :could probably pass almost any class ticket within a week or so. The
> :FCC really doesn't care - as long as no one complains. The happy HFers
> :go on and on with perhaps kilowatts and as long as they don't bother
> :anyone, no one minds. Frankly, what with the internet and cell phones,
> :about the only people being attracted to radio these days are the
> :people who enjoy jamming other people - that seems to be the big
> :attraction. Who knows? I doubt amateur radio will disappear, but the
> :combination of services does not appear to be impossible nor even
> :highly unlikely. Oh well ... better start studying for proper
> :operation of the new service. Hey, gotcher ears on?
> :
> :73s
> :Jim AA2QA

>
> I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
> arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
> get ham licenses.
>
To support your claim, see HB 2346 which just cleared the House
Commerce Comitteee as a piece of unfinished business, does it hold
an ominous warning for CB in the US?

The FCC has prempated Scanner Laws for Licensed Amateurs, so a Ham
can't be cited but what about the non-ham?

> The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
> vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
> avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
> ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.

If the "drug runners" get ham licenses, it would create an database of
information for LEOa to track. Illegal use of short range handhelds
with
rubber duckys would be more likely. Most LEOs are not that radio savey,
so
every radio is a CB to them and every handheld is a scanner?
>
> There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment
> to communicate for purposes of spying.
>
With a little imagination it is possible on several scales:

1. Keeping local (in country) networks free of phone/hardline tracing.
(Yes it could be DFed, but not with some effort if the transmitters are
moving around.)

2. Interception of transmissions:
A. With our movable/RV community, it is very easy to move around to
monitor many
sites, venues or locations, all with a perfect cover of a camping trip.
Sophisticated
Radio equipment is able to be acquired/brought in the US under the cover
of Amateur Radio.

B. Amateur Radio Equipment, especially digital could mask sinister
deeds without
arousing suspecion.

> If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
> isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
> code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
> ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
> communicate then they can not rebel.
>
Or at least tagged.

> The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
> unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
> except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
> on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
> have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
> And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
And we have echelon, enuff said.

6356. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tharkun@nwlink.com
Message-ID: <8q5tpa$3ms_002@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 79383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <kzir5.3689$Oc.651384@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>, "BeepBeep" <nospam@no.net> wrote:
>
>As far as police monitoring goes..

>> > Any other wild things has anyone heard?

One day in Seattle, I heard police responding to a report from an alarm monitoring company referencing an alarm in a home that had been triggered.

When the police arrive, they call to confirm with the dispatcher the address & particulars, the dispatcher responds with "the alarm company just says that the motion detector has been triggered". The police respond with," Would this have anything to do with the large black dog we can see running around inside the house? . . ."

Craig

The calm is on the water and part of us would linger by the shore,
For ships are safe in harbor, but that's not what ships are for.
~ excerpt from Ships by Tom Kimmel & Michael Lille

6357. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <QCvx5.4818$nk3.288226@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79384

Buy the PRO-2042 it is a improved version of the PRO-2035.

Joe

five_0 <noname@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:39C659BB.A9F06EC5@nospam.com...
> Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.
>
> --
> --
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
>
>
>

6358. Super Convert#2 Scanners that only go to 512mhz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilf50@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8q630ghho1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79385

I have a Super converter#2 by GRE America this is brand new in the box.It well convert your hanheld or base scanner that only goes up to 512mhz.It well allow you to get 810mhz.to 912mhz. down in the 410 mhz. to 512mhz.This enables you to get Cellular phones, that you miss with your 512mhz. scanner.It just fits between the antenna and your on your way $100.00 shipped to your door..Email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6359. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C68EC2.E9AF6537@home.com>
Source record: 79386

$150-175

five_0 wrote:
>
> Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.
>
> --
> ----------
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6360. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: five_0 <noname@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <39C690DE.EC61215F@nospam.com>
Source record: 79387

That was about the range I was thinking. I did think about the pro 2042
also but I havent decided just yet. Thanks for the info.

five_0 wrote:

> Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.
>
> --
> ----------
> Click here for Free Video!!
> http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6361. Re: NY/NJ Scanner Guide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles J. Hargrove" <N2NOV@LSA.NET>
Message-ID: <39C693B1.C058877D@LSA.NET>
Source record: 79388

Go to www.n2nov.net

KEN8038 wrote:
>
> No, damn, I wish I could remember the name of the guide or the author. It was
> based in North Jersey (Wayne?) and I think it was called Metro something.
> Thanks to all who have helped via personal E mail also. Actually with the Web
> sites I've been directed to, I'm just about able to do without by compiling my
> own list and programming that into the scanner. --Ken

6362. Re: NYFD Staten Island frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles J. Hargrove" <N2NOV@LSA.NET>
Message-ID: <39C693F9.BCBCB072@LSA.NET>
Source record: 79389

Go to www.n2nov.net

Neal038 wrote:
>
> Im new to this scanner hobby. Im trying to find the correct frequency for the
> NYFD in Staten Island NY..
> Thanks in advance.

6363. Re: NYPD Brooklyn 62nd Precent Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles J. Hargrove" <N2NOV@LSA.NET>
Message-ID: <39C69426.3AC5F760@LSA.NET>
Source record: 79390

Go to www.n2nov.net

Setox wrote:
>
> i need the 62nd precent and if i can get the helocoptors
>
> newemail12@aol.com if u have any sites or anything please email me

6364. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <Gywx5.1729$V66.129044@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 79391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Last night, the local FD dispatcher called in a report of a
Jacuzzi on fire next to a house. Guess that was a real
"hot tub"... sheesh.

Robert

6365. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: *TW* <tandb80No-Spm@home.com>
Message-ID: <oBwx5.218606$i5.2998838@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79392

well, AFAIK, both are discontinued. I know the 2035 is cuz I have 3 of them.

6366. Has anybody replaced the Memory battery in a Bearcat SC150 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Gerharter <agerhart@internetcds.com>
Message-ID: <39c6991e$0$45393$692ed6a5@newsread-01.meganews.com>
Source record: 79393

My SC150 died the other day. No display, good batteries/power supply, hasn't been dropped. It is just now 2 years old, and I suspect the memory battery has expired. Has any one changed one of these ? They appear to be spotwelded in. Al

6367. Clemson, SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: MindSpring User <jerrymoody@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8q66dguhi1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79394

Does anyone have trunking freqs. and ID's for this area?

Thanks

--
Jerry

6368. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Y0xx5.9723$%h6.2608010@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:39C57450.F35EAA5F@sccoast.net...
>
> Any law enforcement officer can make an arrest for violations of
> federal laws and regulations (assuming the regulation allows for arrest
> of violators). It is then up to the federal government to seek a
> conviction of that person in the courts.

That might not be exactly true. At the very least, it would involve a risk by the officer. If a police officer arrests me for some violation of a CFR or USC statute where there is no clear local jurisdiction, and the Federal agency with actual enforcement power declines to prosecute me, I will be suing the officer for false arrest.

-Dave, NF2G

6369. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <sixx5.95719$Ur3.1129945@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79396

Well said, very well said.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> Why don't you take your own advice, Mr. Oyen?
SNIP

6370. Re: AMPLIFIED SHORTWAVE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:19:20 UTC
From: Abb Naklie <abbnaklie@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ccdx5.266671$1h3.5535682@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 79444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Probably but from what I gather, when something is deeply discoed from RS, chances are very slim of finding one and if you do they're usually in pretty rough shape......

Abb

"Joe" <Joe_Tester@excite.com> wrote in message
news:Mfbx5.3254$Vu5.187813@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Is this the model that was clearanced recently for $6.97?
>
>
> Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:39C4BCF4.E502317@home.com...
> > I have a new Radio Shack model # 20-280 amplified shortwave antenna with
> > the matching Radio Shack power adapter. This can be run with the 9V
> > battery or with the power adapter. $40.00 for both plus shipping.
> >
>
>

6371. Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:23:34 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000917202334.04020.00000056@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 79445

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

6372. WTB: AOR AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:26:20 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39c56163@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 79446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking to purchase an AOR AR3000A. I would prefer that the unit is in excellent physical and functional condition.

If you have one available, please contact me via e-mail with a price and details.

Thank you.

R. Olson

6373. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:53:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8q3p1b$r1r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If the public can not
> communicate then they can not rebel.
>
> The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
> unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
> except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
> on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
> have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
> And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
>
>
Now you know why we threw that tea overboard. First off it ain't gonna happen here...Why? Too many of us rednecks with guns. Using CW is not such a bad idea. I see most of you have no concept of how to send HSCW (High Speed CW) like the spooks did during Nam. Oh well, just stay ignorant.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6374. Need BC 210xl antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 00:54:34 UTC
From: Randy Hawk <hawkx4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eJdx5.3581$Vu5.197757@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79347

Any suggestions on where I can buy a cheap replacement antenna for a 210xl.

Thanks,

Randy

6375. W.Virginia eGroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 01:21:07 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <larasssmrqdg20dldpast7km42pm4d9fd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 79349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.sacnner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Northern http://www.egroups.com/group/nwv-scan
Central http://www.egroups.com/group/cwv-scan
Southern http://www.egroups.com/group/swv-scan
Eastern http://www.egroups.com/group/cwv-scan
```

6376. Re: Need BC 210xl antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 01:29:38 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1lrasssfgvsgh2se0b3b4hbsefkb5aral4@4ax.com>
Source record: 79350

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 00:54:34 GMT, "Randy Hawk" <hawkx4@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Any suggestions on where I can buy a cheap replacement antenna for a 210xl.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Randy
>
>
You're probably better off going to Radio Shack and buying an indoor
scanner antenna, cat#278-117 $9.99
works better too.

6377. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 01:30:51 UTC
From: Joe Finlay <joseph@jfinl.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b1iV2SALBXx5EwFj@jfinl.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 79351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In article <39c52d9b.13996897@news.gte.net>, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
writes
>
>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.

Where do you Yanks get your info from? I blame Hollywood!

In "theory" one is not supposed to listen to police or cellular
broadcasts but everyone does or did. Analogue cellular is now a thing of
the past, all or nearly all mobile traffic is digital and the police are
gradually encrypting their transmissions. The police here cannot pull
you over on a whim, they must have a valid reason ie. a busted tail
light or erratic driving. 99.999 per cent of the population do not own a
scanner or a gun or use agricultural diesel fuel. It would be a total
waste of police time to do so. The only thing the police will check (
other than vehicle ownership and a valid car tax disc) is whether the
driver of the vehicle has been drinking alcohol or not.

In the unlikely event of a scanner being found it can only be
confiscated if the owner has it tuned to a police frequency. If the
owner has no criminal record it is usually returned with a warning after
a suitable amount of grovelling at the local police station.

--
Joe Finlay

6378. Glendora, CA police freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 02:07:21 UTC
From: Randy Hawk <hawkx4@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tNex5.3679$Vu5.203071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79352

Need the most recent freqs for Glendora, California police department.
Help!

I have looked at a few different listings, but can not find a valid freq.

My hunch is that they have switched to a trunked system. Any help would be
appreciated.

Thanks,

Randy

6379. Re: Blake's Lies and Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 02:33:32 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8q3utscsl0@63.162.247.36>
Source record: 79353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
John, please quit your malicious off-topic crossposting.

>
> Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > Denial is a terrible thing John. I have posted the location
> > of the proof, and others agree. If you are in so much denial
> > that you refuse to look at the proof, then even posted here
> > you will not believe it.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
```

6380. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 02:45:00 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <ssb0dcqpdpm61c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

In article <V_7x5.3648$eo6.660315@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, orb@sphere.org says...
>
>You know, life sucks so much that I don't blame people for wanting drugs to make life bearable.
>On the other hand, the only radio operators I hear doing drug smuggling is mexicans and columbians
>at night on the shortwave bands.
>
Do you have freqs for this?

6381. Radios - Putting the cost in perspective.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 02:52:38 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <Wrfx5.125536$oj6.3132185@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79355

I have more equipment than some, not as much as others.
I get comments from friends, family and others as to why I
spend money on radio equipment. I recently took a survey
of the total cost of my equipment and came to the following
conclusion. Scanning/Amateur Radio is one of the more
inexpensive and educational hobbies around.

While I will not disclose the total cost of my equipment I
have acquired since 1985, I will say that is does not equal
the cost of one good bass boat, fees and cost for
hunting/fishing, building a hot rod, etc, etc, etc.

One other important thing is that this can be a 24 hour a
day, 365 days a year hobby. It does not require a particular
season or a certain type of weather. Sometimes the worst
the weather, the more interesting and useful the scanning.
When hurricane Andrew when though this area I was able
to monitor the progress of power crews and listen to local
police to determine where there were problems.

Another cost saver is that I was able to get my wife to get
her amateur license so there is no real need to use a cellular
phone. We can communicate while on the road and there
are repeaters in the area that provide phone patches to
local and state authorities.

With that said, I was wondering what others think about
the real cost involved in the hobby?

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

6382. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 03:20:34 UTC
From: Orb <orb@sphere.org>
Message-ID: <6Sfx5.1496$W93.341868@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 79357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

What's that supposed to mean?

> Don't hold your breath. Probably thinks Cumbre is head of the
> Cali Cartel.

6383. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 03:26:29 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39c58b22.352507496@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 02:45:00 -0000, nada@na.da (redrum) wrote:

>In article <V_7x5.3648$eo6.660315@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, orb@sphere.org
>says...
>>
>>You know, life sucks so much that I don't blame people for wanting
>drugs to make life bearable.
>>On the other hand, the only radio operators I hear doing drug smuggling
>is mexicans and columbians
>>at night on the shortwave bands.
>>
>Do you have freqs for this?

Don't hold your breath. Probably thinks Cumbre is head of the
Cali Cartel.
>

6384. R8500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 05:00:01 UTC
From: Tim Pinkawa <timbo87@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <fdhx5.1700$Et1.78391@elnws01>
Source record: 79359

Hello,
I own an Icom R8500 receiver and am looking for a better piece of software to control it.
All I really want to do is to be able to download a database file to the radio. The Icom software I have actually works pretty good, but the native format it saves in is not editable.
Any help would be helpful.

Thanks,
Mike KA9CLP
pinkawa@mediaone.net

6385. Re: Drug running hams LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 05:00:03 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <39c5a140.358170372@news.erols.com>
Source record: 79358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 03:20:34 GMT, "Orb" <orb@sphere.org> wrote:

>What's that supposed to mean?

What's the frequency, Kenneth ??
>
>> Don't hold your breath. Probably thinks Cumbre is head of the
>> Cali Cartel.
>
>

6386. RadioShack Pro 79 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 06:03:37 UTC
From: Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Zeix5.49176$Qx4.1811157@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79360

Hey This is Nick here. Does anyone have any iformation on modifying a Radioshack pro 79 Handheald? Please send me any information if your have it. Also looking for Any Weather realted radios that I could recive or transmit for severe storm data. Thanks alot!

6387. Re: Maxon SM4000 Series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-18 23:02:23 UTC
From: Timmy <c@s.com>
Message-ID: <O9xx5.7113$ap5.125739@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 79314

Thanks for the info.

6388. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <QzQx5.1415$DF5.192748@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79247

<hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com...
> I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> Scanner.

> I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also

You aren't implying that it is a functional trunking scanner are you? My alinco dj-580 will receive frequencies used by trunked systems but I would never say I use it for the trunked systems if I were selling it. I use my R7000 as the receiver along with a RS 2004 for true trunked tracking, but it would be deceptive to say so in an ad sellin either without clarification.

Glenn

BTW, you are going to get flamed, smacked and attacked if you say FOR SALE or FS when you actually are FA or For Auction. Good luck

6389. Re: Realistic Pro-2006 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7GQx5.1429$DF5.195100@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79248

Who thinks we might have new meat on the net?

He chooses one of the most commonly modified radios that has an abundant amount of info both on the WWW and USENET.

Then he wants an E-mail reply!

Hey Randy, on a lark go to www.dogpile.com or www.deja.com 's newsgroup search.

type rs2006 mods

Mod your head off.

Better yet get one or all of the Bill Cheek Scanner Modification books. You won't live long enough to do all the mods. :-)

Glenn

Randy Larke <rlarke@mc.net> wrote in message news:39c7cfac$0$28066@wodc7nh1.news.uu.net...
> Hi, I am looking for all the mods for the 2006 scanner. Any help would be
> appreciated. Email direct to:
>
> rlarke@mc.net
> Thanks Randy
>
>

6390. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net>
Message-ID: <39C7D779.E4481AAD@together.net>
Source record: 79249
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why do pizza people need radios? It is not like you have pizza ovens in
your cars....do you? ;-)

Chris
I will never tell if this is my real name or not so there :-P

6391. Re: Realistic Pro-2006 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <iDQx5.1850$095.6024@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79250

He didn't ask for a sarcastic remark, but I guess that's how some people
are. Randy, go to www.mods.dk and you should find a ton of info there.
Good Luck!

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:7GQx5.1429$DF5.195100@news.uswest.net...
> Who thinks we might have new meat on the net?
>
> He chooses one of the most commonly modified radios that has an abundant
> amount of info both on the WWW and USENET.
>
> Then he wants an E-mail reply!
>
>
> Hey Randy, on a lark go to www.dogpile.com or www.deja.com 's newsgroup
> search.
>
> type rs2006 mods
>
> Mod your head off.
>
> Better yet get one or all of the Bill Cheek Scanner Modification books.
You
> won't live long enough to do all the mods. :-)
>
>
> Glenn
>
>
>
> Randy Larke <rlarke@mc.net> wrote in message
> news:39c7cfac$0$28066@wodc7nh1.news.uu.net...
> > Hi, I am looking for all the mods for the 2006 scanner. Any help would
be
> > appreciated. Email direct to:
> >
> > rlarke@mc.net
> > Thanks Randy
> >
> >
>
>

6392. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net>
Message-ID: <39C7DB28.DF20B83@together.net>
Source record: 79251

Depends what you mean by "everything" Radio Shack has one that covers a
lot, but not every frequency there is.
Depending on where you live will determine on what you need. For
example, here in Vermont, there is no need for an 800MHz scanner,
because there is nothing there for the time being.

Chris

thall17@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has
> triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner
> period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??
>
> Thanks for the help!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6393. Mass State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ogm@aol.com
Message-ID: <39c7d6af.80648504@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79252

Can someone tell me what the Mass State Police Freqs are? Thanks.

6394. Re: Realistic Pro-2006 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8q8of2$28d0$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79253

Look at Bill Cheeks Scanner Modification Handbook vol 2.

Randy Larke wrote in message <39c7cfac$0$28066@wodc7nh1.news.uu.net>...
>Hi, I am looking for all the mods for the 2006 scanner. Any help would be
>appreciated. Email direct to:
>
>rlarke@mc.net
>Thanks Randy
>
>

6395. Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Potter <kpotter@greenepa.net>
Message-ID: <39C7EE0B.A1066416@greenepa.net>
Source record: 79254

Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an MVT-9000Mk2.

Ken

6396. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39C7F3DC.9CC0F315@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 79255

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Personally, I like the looks of the new AOR AR8200B MK.II (I think that's
> what the new one is called). It has great frequency coverage and enough
> channels for anyone (I think 1000). If you're not looking to go quite that
> expensive, something like the PRO-26 will serve you fine. It has 200
> channels and continuous coverage from 25MHz-1300MHz except cell, but that
> can be restored.

I believe you need the European chip to receive in the cell bands
-- not a simple snip of a diode.

--
Michael Moore

> For base units, you might want to wait and get the Uniden
> BC780XLT when it comes out. Not too sure of the specs on that one. To find
> out more about almost any scanner ever made, go to
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/model.htm . Hope this helps and happy
> scanning!
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> <thall17@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8q7qi7$g3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has
> > triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner
> > period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??
> >
> > Thanks for the help!
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

6397. Re: Mass State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <39C7F28C.9604EC28@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 79256

It's a smart net system this link tells you everything you need to know: http://lynx.dac.neu.edu/s/stjohnso/ematrunk/msp/msp.html

n2ogm@aol.com wrote:

> Can someone tell me what the Mass State Police Freqs are? Thanks.

6398. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-0DE987.19093319092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79257

In article <39C7DB28.DF20B83@together.net>, Christopher Roy
<scanner@together.net> wrote:

>Depends what you mean by "everything" Radio Shack has one that covers a
>lot, but not every frequency there is.
>Depending on where you live will determine on what you need. For
>example, here in Vermont, there is no need for an 800MHz scanner,
>because there is nothing there for the time being.
>
>Chris
>
>thall17@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has
>> triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner
>> period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??
>>
>> Thanks for the help!
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy

You can buy Sony ICF-SC1 scanners new for reeasonable prices an eBay and
Yahoo auctions now. They cover 25 MHz to 1300 MHZ (except cell phones,
of course). That's the widest continuous coverage scanner that I'm
familiar with. Others may know more.

I don't believe it does trunking systems, though.

What part of the country do you live in and what do you mean by
"everything"? Everything in Chicago is different from everything in
Vermont, as Chris points out.

Dave

6399. ft.worth pd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve <sbi961@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <13209C343D0724AD.2744E0432A217D73.F3FFD215CF91E435@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79258

can any 1 tell me what frequencies or id #'s the ft worth pd uses inside
the tcu football stadium during the games
thanks steve

6400. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <39C80BB1.159B@gwis.com>
Source record: 79259

Ken Potter wrote:
>
> Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
> MVT-9000Mk2.
>
> Ken
I ordered from them a couple years ago. I bought an MVT-9000. It came to my doorstep with no problem at all. They have guaranteed delivery to the U.S.

6401. Re: ft.worth pd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Linn Goodman <goodman@txis.net>
Message-ID: <6984F8C5411B11A7.EB05CE4C44427260.E489051E8606B322@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79260

try c-ptrl 3248 & c-tlk 1 3408

Linn

steve wrote:

> can any 1 tell me what frequencies or id #'s the ft worth pd uses inside
> the tcu football stadium during the games
> thanks steve

6402. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: QRZed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3939-39C808FA-3@storefull-177.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79261

The reason people choose ham radio is not because their parents are into it it is because ham radio has something magical about it. To be able to turn on a radio and only be able to talk within your town then two minuets later be able to talk to Europe and Asia is just appealing. No matter how old ham radio is there will still be people who like that magic. Answer this why do people still ride horseback when there are cars, why do people still sail even when there are motorized boats, and why do people choose ham radio over turning on your computer are all the same questions that have had people scraching their heads for a while. But in the end people seem to like what is old and challenging over what is new and easier because it is just more appealing.

Peace and 73,
KD5IJB

6403. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8q9357$l3s$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79262

BTW are you an english teacher, or interested in scanners.
Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:QzQx5.1415$DF5.192748@news.uswest.net...
>
> <hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com...
> > I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> > Scanner.
>
>
>
> > I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also
>
>
> You aren't implying that it is a functional trunking scanner are you? My
> alinco dj-580 will receive frequencies used by trunked systems but I would
> never say I use it for the trunked systems if I were selling it. I use my
> R7000 as the receiver along with a RS 2004 for true trunked tracking, but
it
> would be deceptive to say so in an ad sellin either without clarification.
>
>
>
> Glenn
>
>
> BTW, you are going to get flamed, smacked and attacked if you say FOR SALE
> or FS when you actually are FA or For Auction. Good luck
>
>

6404. Re: PC Computer for controlling your radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8q942cbiv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79263

$9.00
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:8FNx5.473$hD4.95383@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> I suppose a link would help...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441394272
>
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JBNx5.469$hD4.94774@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > For Auction on Ebay:
> >
> > PC Computer System built in a high quality tower case with an Intel
> Pentium
> > OverDrive 200MMX CPU, Intel Advanced/EV Motherboard with built-in Sound
> > Blaster-16 Sound!, 64MB RAM, 3GB Western Digital Hard Drive, 3.5" Floppy
> > Disk Drive, 16X CD-ROM Drive, Parallel Printer Port, Two RS-232 Serial
> > Ports, Matrox Mystique, 64-bit, PCI 3D Accelerator Video Card, and
101-Key
> > Keyboard. (no monitor, no mouse) As you can see, this is a very clean
> > system, and everything works! Microsoft Windows 3.x/95/98 compatible!
This
> > would also make an ideal LINUX system for your home network, firewall,
> > router, etc!
> >
> > This system would be excellent for your computer
programmable/controllable
> > radio equipment, as well as running all those data modem applications!
> >
> > Just a little over one day left, and currently going at only $77.51,
with
> no
> > reserve!
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Bill Crocker
> > Warren, MI
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6405. Re: PC Computer for controlling your radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Smith <hevaros24873ohyeah@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <8q9aaelrh1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79264

$8.75 and not a penny less!

"hv" <hv@home.com> wrote in message
news:8q942cbiv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> $9.00

6406. Re: The Perfect Dream Scanner! Dayton too...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <39C7752B.30F1BAE2@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 79265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It is AOR JT2000 IF DSP Rx. You can find it on
http://www.aoruk.com/jt2000.htm
It scans at ligtning fast 1000 more channels per second. And fast FFT
enable
several MHz bandscope display in real time. Its software is amazing
intelligent.
Besides, you can program DSP chip to decode digital signal if you know
the language.
But it aim to commercial market. The price won't be USD 300. This is
really perfect
dream scanner.

Miller in Taiwan

Eddie Bryant N4UMJ ï¿½gï¿½Dï¿½G
>
> I saw this one at Dayton. I couldn't figure out why the box was so big. It
> was mostly space. Then again this might have been the prototype.
> Can't remember if it was hooked up. Website below shows only three boards.
> It ought to run cooler with the large box and there would be room there for
> expansion cards possibly. Still hate tying up a computer to scan freqs.
> Speaking of hooked up I heard the rep from Icom at the Icom booth say they
> were considering hooking antennas up to their receivers next year. If you
> have ever been to Dayton you know there are tons of radios on Demo with no
> antennas connected. I have never thought this made sense.

> > Check it out, looks like AOR is gonna come pretty close.
> >
> > http://www.aoruk.com/jt2000.htm
> >

6407. Re: Indianapolis and Speedway Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dogtown Internet Radio <dogtownradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39C71089.BAB757FD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 79330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pantani wrote:
>
> Hello in the mid-west.
>
> Could someone point me to links of Indianapolis, Indiana frequency
> lists?
> I'd also like the Speedway Park frequencies. BIG race this weekend.
>
> Thanks for the help.
> David
> --
> Newmarket, NH
> Pro 2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
> Bearcat 250, BCT-7

Check this link

http://www.indyscan.com/sports/ims.htm
--
Dogtown Internet Radio
Coming soon to Live365.com

Rock, Metal and the best of the 80's, plus news and views.
Coming to you soon from the boondocks of Southern New Jersey.

6408. Re: Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C74747.473B59CF@home.com>
Source record: 79331

I would stay away from the the 2040. But I would guess $70-90.

hv wrote:
>
> what about the pro 2040
> five_0 <noname@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:39C659BB.A9F06EC5@nospam.com...
> > Pro 2035 value in almost mint condition? Thinking of buying one.
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Click here for Free Video!!
> > http://www.gohip.com/free_video/
> >
> >

6409. 2 uniden handheld scanners for auction..no reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: joescanner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8q7l8m$9kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79332

1. uniden sportcat 150 yellow 100 channel handheld..no reserve
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/39984334

2. Uniden bc 60xlt-1 30 channel handheld...no reserve
http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/40404400

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6410. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: CAM <cam2338@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <39C763E5.1FFD742A@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 79333
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Boatanchor Bob NA4G wrote:
> Never have I not worked a gentleman/woman on CW. On fone, well.....
> thats another story....

Yeah, on fone, CW is used for jamming. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

6411. Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: thall17@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8q7qi7$g3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79334

Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??

Thanks for the help!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6412. Re: mod on sc150 bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8q7q1tqap1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79335

Yes there is I have found it and done it since I posted this question.
"KLARRG" <klarrg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000919000627.18110.00000196@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> Subject: mod on sc150 bearcat
> From: "hv" hv@home.com
> Date: 09/18/2000 3:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> Message-id: <8q5os2$5u6$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
>
> Could anyone point me to a good reference or give info on haw to mod a
SC150
> scanner to receive cell range
>
> There is no such mod for this radio. Sorry..
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

6413. Magnum 257 for sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: philk@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <39c759af.5183200@news.cha.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 79336

```
Mint Magnum 257 10 meter Fm/Am/Ssb transceiver with the 11 meter mod
will sell 200 dollars.if interested email.Phil
```

6414. FIRST LOOK: DJ-X2E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: REBEL RADIO <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LJMx5.13717$%h6.2977894@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rebel Radio is please to announce that we have the Alinco DJ-X2E scanner for review!

We do NOT sell scanners, we are not a commercial site. We do provide honest unbiased reviews for most of the popular scanners on the market today!

This amazing "do everything", credit card size sub mini scanner is a work of art.

First Look of it is posted now, and full review with pics to follow soon, only at Rebel Radio, http://www.rebel-radio.net

6415. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracy <no_spam_service@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <McNx5.70$z%2.20245@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79338

Some local communities buy law enforcement from the county. If it's like the units in Ventura county the patrol cars will say police on them even though it is a county sheriff.

www.freqofnature.com

Bob Zander <ke6zuf@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:39c2c42b.5457480@news.earthlink.net...
> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
> across two cruisers that had 'Los Angeles County Police' displayed
> opun their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>
> Bob - KE6ZUF
>
>

6416. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <IoNx5.1369$095.4768@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79339

Personally, I like the looks of the new AOR AR8200B MK.II (I think that's what the new one is called). It has great frequency coverage and enough channels for anyone (I think 1000). If you're not looking to go quite that expensive, something like the PRO-26 will serve you fine. It has 200 channels and continuous coverage from 25MHz-1300MHz except cell, but that can be restored. For base units, you might want to wait and get the Uniden BC780XLT when it comes out. Not too sure of the specs on that one. To find out more about almost any scanner ever made, go to http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/model.htm . Hope this helps and happy scanning!

Adam Kolari

<thall17@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8q7qi7$g3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has
> triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner
> period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??
>
> Thanks for the help!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6417. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: REBEL RADIO <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EyNx5.7503$O37.1948493@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79340

There is no scanner that does everything just yet.

If you need trunking you will have to get a trunk scanner, currently the Por-92A and the BC245XLT fit that bill rather nicely, but both of these scanners lack full band coverage, and many of the more advanced features found on high end scanners. Problem is the high end scanners do not trunk. So if you want it all, you will need 2 scanners.

For reviews of todays popular scanners check out http://www.rebel-radio.net

<thall17@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8q7qi7$g3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Just picked up a Realistic Pro-2009 scanner from an auction and it has
> triggerd my interest in scanning again. Looking for the best scanner
> period. What's out there that I can get EVERYTHING on??
>
> Thanks for the help!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6418. FA: PC Computer for controlling your radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JBNx5.469$hD4.94774@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79341

For Auction on Ebay:

PC Computer System built in a high quality tower case with an Intel Pentium OverDrive 200MMX CPU, Intel Advanced/EV Motherboard with built-in Sound Blaster-16 Sound!, 64MB RAM, 3GB Western Digital Hard Drive, 3.5" Floppy Disk Drive, 16X CD-ROM Drive, Parallel Printer Port, Two RS-232 Serial Ports, Matrox Mystique, 64-bit, PCI 3D Accelerator Video Card, and 101-Key Keyboard. (no monitor, no mouse) As you can see, this is a very clean system, and everything works! Microsoft Windows 3.x/95/98 compatible! This would also make an ideal LINUX system for your home network, firewall, router, etc!

This system would be excellent for your computer programmable/controllable radio equipment, as well as running all those data modem applications!

Just a little over one day left, and currently going at only $77.51, with no reserve!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

6419. Re: PC Computer for controlling your radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <8FNx5.473$hD4.95383@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79342

I suppose a link would help...
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441394272

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:JBNx5.469$hD4.94774@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> For Auction on Ebay:
>
> PC Computer System built in a high quality tower case with an Intel
Pentium
> OverDrive 200MMX CPU, Intel Advanced/EV Motherboard with built-in Sound
> Blaster-16 Sound!, 64MB RAM, 3GB Western Digital Hard Drive, 3.5" Floppy
> Disk Drive, 16X CD-ROM Drive, Parallel Printer Port, Two RS-232 Serial
> Ports, Matrox Mystique, 64-bit, PCI 3D Accelerator Video Card, and 101-Key
> Keyboard. (no monitor, no mouse) As you can see, this is a very clean
> system, and everything works! Microsoft Windows 3.x/95/98 compatible! This
> would also make an ideal LINUX system for your home network, firewall,
> router, etc!
>
> This system would be excellent for your computer programmable/controllable
> radio equipment, as well as running all those data modem applications!
>
> Just a little over one day left, and currently going at only $77.51, with
no
> reserve!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
> Warren, MI
>
>
>
>

6420. Re: Maxon SM4000 Series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmy <c@s.com>
Message-ID: <ELNx5.8469$ap5.150776@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 79343

If you mean diller's / Progr_Radio_Stan . htm they aint got it.

6421. FS: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com>
Source record: 79344

I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner. I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also has function for setting channels for cars at any race that uses radio's.

Check it out. It's in great shape and works. Just don't have the time to listen anymore like I used to.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441153709

6422. Wintone warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: neep.neep@acme.com
Message-ID: <jdjfssk9r8iv2ach5lu1afhfr8ueloevnt@4ax.com>
Source record: 79345

After finding two serious bugs in Wintone over a year ago, I sent the
support folks this message. They admitted that the bugs were there
and promised a new version "real soon now." Needless to say there
was no new version.

Save your money and buy something else!

73,
Jim N2VNO
ZZZjim_cronin@juno.comZZZ (remove the ZZZ's to reply)

-------- The original message -------------
I purchased WinTone 2.02 to monitor a local repeater. There
are two bugs that prevent me from using WinTone:

When using "monitoring" to record activity the file size grows. When
it reaches 7008 K bytes (at 14 minutes 57 seconds of audio) six
popup windows appear, each with the text:
"Out of memory while expanding memory stream."

Since there is more than 15 minutes of activity in a day this is a
problem and makes WinTone unuseable.

The second thing occurs when turning monitoring on, then off, then
on. A popup appears with the text:
"You attempted to call StartRecordFile Recursively!"
WinTone then exits. This is a less serious problem than the first.

My configuration is an AMD K6/233 with 160 meg of memory. When the
errors occur the system monitor shows over 100 meg of free memory.
I tried both the generic .dll file and the pentium .dll;
both behaved the same. I have active tone detection set for
extended DTMF.

Can you help out with this? WinTone is a great piece of software
except for these two problems.
Please let me know as soon as possible if you do not intend on
releasing a fixed version.

6423. Realistic Pro-2006 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Larke <rlarke@mc.net>
Message-ID: <39c7cfac$0$28066@wodc7nh1.news.uu.net>
Source record: 79346

Hi, I am looking for all the mods for the 2006 scanner. Any help would be appreciated. Email direct to:

rlarke@mc.net
Thanks Randy

6424. NEED COPY OF WINSCAN SPORT SFTWRE FOR SC200 ASAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:10:04 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000918201004.19994.00000158@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 79315

I need a copy of the winscan sport softwarefor the sc200 does anyone have a copy for sale or where can i dowload it on the net?? please email me directly

6425. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:46:37 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000918204637.07920.00005203@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 79317

In article <39C5AC0F.5B5D8831@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:

>I only responded after my name was dragged back into the
>problems (again).

Did it ever occur to you that if you IGNORED the postings and DID NOT RESPOND to them that the other poster would likely stop mentioning your name?

YOU keep this nonsense going by RESPONDING to every posting which makes mention of you.

>Need I remind you that your history in here is less than polished?

Is that so? I wasn't aware that I had much of a "history" here. Care to share with the class?

6426. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 00:46:38 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000918204638.07920.00005204@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 79316

In article <Xwxx5.95826$Ur3.1129711@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "J o h n M"
<NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org> writes:

>Well said, Bud, very well said. Hopefully Eric will figure it out.
>thanks

Don't hold your breath.

6427. Iowa State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 01:05:01 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <1Zyx5.96190$Ur3.1132710@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79318

Does anyone have current frequencies for Iowa State Patrol around Sioux City?

6428. Manchester NH FD - UHF or Trunked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 01:39:29 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000918213929.23473.00000071@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79319

Hi all,

Is Manchester NH FIRE DEPT still operating primarily on their UHF conventional system or are they conducting their operations on the city's Trunked System ???

Lemme know?

Thanks

tede911@aol.com

6429. Re: Need Knoxville/Knox County , TN Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 02:46:43 UTC
From: DcchristnD@usit.net
Message-ID: <39c6d35a.35510289@news.gte.net>
Source record: 79320

On 17 Sep 2000 00:49:44 GMT, nj2sm@aol.com (NJ2SM) wrote:

>Any sites or source of frequencies for Knoxville & Knox County, Tenn

goto http://www.wpascanner.com Check the Other areas lists for info
on Sevier & Knox Counties.

6430. FS: UNIDEN BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 03:35:18 UTC
From: bcsw@home.com
Message-ID: <W9Bx5.179523$6y5.107188276@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 79321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

BC895XLT TrunkTracker for sale. Original box, adapter, and manual included.
This unit was professionally modded to install a CORRECT discriminator tap
leading to a RCA jack mounted on the rear of the case for hamcom/pocsag
data decoding. This unit has served me well, I just don't use it anymore.
Price: $115 + $5 priority mail shipping. Prepayment with M.O. or check req.

Email: bcsw@home.com

73,
Todd Atkins, K4MSW

6431. Need Service and operators manuals for TAD M-8 VHF transciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 04:00:31 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000919000032.18110.00000194@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 79322

Need service manual (dealer programming manual) and operators manual for a TAD M-8 VHF transciever. Wil pay good, fair price for originals or, for good clear, legible copies.Please Email me directly at : Klarrg@aol.com if you can help. THANKS ! (<:

6432. Re: mod on sc150 bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 04:06:27 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000919000627.18110.00000196@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 79323

Subject: mod on sc150 bearcat
From: "hv" hv@home.com
Date: 09/18/2000 3:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <8q5os2$5u6$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>

Could anyone point me to a good reference or give info on haw to mod a SC150
scanner to receive cell range

There is no such mod for this radio. Sorry..

6433. Re: Black Jaguar BJ200MKIII Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 04:08:37 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000919000837.18110.00000197@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 79324

Subject: Black Jaguar BJ200MKIII Modification?
From: "A. Hach" lush3@geocities.com
Date: 09/13/2000 4:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <39BFDEC4.F024BAA3@geocities.com>

Hello everybody,

I've got this old PALCOM BlackJagur MK200III scanner
and I'm desperately looking for a modification to make this unit receive
the cellular band (40MHZ)
This is a rather old unit with a 7925PLL any hints?
I kept searching the net for hours ... no results so far.
Thanks in advance,
Andreas

Cellular phone frequencies are in the 800 Mhz band..NOT in the 40 Mhz area
!!..this scanner cannot receive or modify for this band.

6434. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 04:21:34 UTC
From: rattlehead@nightmail.com
Message-ID: <8q6pk0$ba5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Now HERE is a thread worth giving some time to.. this gentleman seems to have a good grasp of the real picture....

>

<snip introductory hypothesis>

>
> If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
> isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
> code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
> ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
> communicate then they can not rebel.

EXCELLENT point... fact is, most governements GROW, they do not RECEDE... until they ultimately suffocate themselves and thier constituents (subjects, citizens, etc)... Point well taken; if anything, there will probably be STRICTER inforcement of it's use. This is not to say STRICTER licencing (do NOT get the two issues confused).

>
> The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
> unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
> except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
> on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
> have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
> And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
>
>

I remember when, in the formerly communist Soviet Union, an individual was once arrested for possessing and UNLICENCED memograph machine. The western free world LAUGHED at this, pointing out that this was the very reason that the eastern red block wasn't going to able to keep up with us more "enlightened" capitalists and western idealists... but years later, after the dust has settled from the iron curtain's demise, look where we ourselves are going.....

--
"If there was a new way, i'd be the first in line...
...but it better work this time."
-- Dave Mustaine

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6435. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 05:11:57 UTC
From: Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8q6sih$eg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Indiana code makes it illegal to posess a "portable police radio" anywhere but in your residence or business.

A number of persons are xempt from the law.Among those are anyone posessing an Amateur Radio License. I cary a copy of the current section of the Indiana code in my vehicle.

The police officer making the bust was obviously unaware of the exemption.I have been questioned on it several times as I have bolted a scnner into my cars since about 1983

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6436. IC-R10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 05:51:54 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <_9Dx5.42054$Z4.1276083@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79327

Could someone name a few of their favorite software packages for controlling
the IC-R10? thanks!

st at reticent dot net
www.anotherlamewebsite.com

6437. Re: Indianapolis and Speedway Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 06:19:12 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39c70214.16580687@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Both XLNT tracks...FWIF there is always a speedway scanner vendor on site at both places and they usually sell a frequency listing card for $5.00 or so but give them out free with a scanner rental. So you can buy one or you can copy the freqs from somebody that has a rental scanner or you might get lucky and somebody will post the freqs here.
Good Luck
Neal
Anaheim,CA
PS-I once got to lap Indy, drive to Speedway and lap the entire park on the makeshift 'roadcourse' tour, drive down Speedway's quartermile, make a couple of laps on the small oval and drive back over to Indy and do a couple of more laps. All in one day. I was with some Pontiac big wigs and no racing or events were going on at either track on this particular day. I recall Speedway Park's hospitaliy as being as some of the nicest I've ever experienced anywhere.

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 23:01:57 -0400, Pantani <bianchi@ttlc.net> wrote:

>Hello in the mid-west.
>
>Could someone point me to links of Indianapolis, Indiana frequency
>lists?
>I'd also like the Speedway Park frequencies. BIG race this weekend.
>
>Thanks for the help.
> David
>--
>Newmarket, NH
>Pro 2030, BC3000XLT, SONY ICF-SW7600G,
> Bearcat 250, BCT-7
>

6438. Re: What is triple conversion????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 06:45:14 UTC
From: Mika <isoveli@iki.fi>
Message-ID: <39C70B7A.779A13EA@iki.fi>
Source record: 79329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

odo wrote:
>
> Mika schrieb:
At 15:53 16.9.2000 +0300, you wrote:

>I do not own the PCR1000 for a while now and I do not remember the circuits
>very well.
>And I do not own the equipment to have this thing recalibrated wich is somewhat
>tricky too while done by software programming an EEprom in the PCR1000....

If that is done by Xtal oscillator, its relatively easy....

>>
>> > This had happened on the PCR1000 too... So there was NO chance
>> > on a filter upgrade .... :-(((
>> Hm... i dont say its impossible, maybe difficult but not impossible.
>> How that last IF mixing is made? PLL or Xtal??
>
>OK it was impossible for ME :-) because lack of measuring equipment and a
>calibrated TX... :-((

freqency counter, spectrum analyzer and couple other equiments are need
only for that.

>> To be honest, i dont know any scanner what have 30 of 50Khz bandwidth,
>> other receivers yes but not a scanner.
>> I curios, what scanners have that kind of bandwidth?
>I have a Albrecht AE300SSB wich is similar to the Camnis HSC050 and the Trident
>2400.

I've owned that long time ago.. (5 years of so) so that's why i didnt
remember that.

>This thing has 50khz bandwidth for wide FM. To listen to FM broadcast or
>TV-tone the sound is a bit thinny but the ability to divide signals is great..
>:-)
>The PCR1000 has a 30khz "wide" bandwidth output in addition to a 230khz
>bandwidth on 2nd IF on 10.7 Mhz.

Yes, you're right, i didnt remember that cause i've not played with
PCR-1000 much as i've played with handheld scanners.

>> > > All wide band scanners what i have, have bandpass filtering!!
>> > > MVT-9000, AR-8000, AR-8200, MVT-7300, 7100, 7000, UBC-9000, UBC-3000
>> > > ect.
>> > But ALL filters of those together are not worth of a single one

>> > in the IC 8500 / 9000..
>> Remember Price! and IC-8500/9000 are not scanners!
>Scanners are not definied by dimensions of its case... :-)) but for ability of
>SCANNING....

Over all, i'd say this, best so called scanners are made by uniden,
yupiteru and AOR. others are receivers with scanning capablities...

>> how to made handheld
>> IC8500? 8-D
>Why make it?? It IS ;-))

bit big.... ;-)

>>
>> If Icom have triple price vs. Yupi, it should have at least douple
>> quality.
>For sure it has....

Actually price is more than 4 times right now...
(we dont sell icom receivers much so that's why i'm not so familiar with
it)

>> > > Well, there is also other "real" receivers than IC-8500 or IC-9000.
>> > > How about Rohde&Schwarz???
>> > a good choice, really. :-)))
>> I consider R&S are real receivers, IC8500/9000 are expensive toy's.
>> Like W&J ect ....
>The R&S equipment is a just a bit more expensive "toy"... :-))

But it have other purposes than entairment like scanners have.

>> That new Yaesu VR-5000 is also same class, expensive toy like all
>> scanners but its receiver what is reasonable priced...
>> We get what we pay for... ;)
>sure...

I should get it in my hands in next couple weeks.

>> > > then that IF filtering is made DSP ect technology.
>> > NO !!
>> > Have a look into some commercial equipment from Siemens or
>> > Harris......
>> > They have discrete IF-filters on the last stage working below
>> > 50khz.. !!
>> Well, my know ledge about those is really small.
>> I've played just only toy's like Yupi, AOR, Icom, ect...
>
>So do not talk about R&S.. :-)
I mean, i havent rip it to parts like i've done all those scanners what
i mentioned before.

If i've used radio, i dont say i know it well, if i've repair it, i can
say i know it bit better. =)

R&S are too expensive to play with in my way.... ;-)

Actually i have 7pc's of MVT-9000, regular, different modified versions
ect.
Couple AR-8200 (mk2 and older versions), AR-8000, AR-3000, AR-5000 (i
sold my AR5000 while ago)
All uniden handheld scanners....
couple IC R-3 (pal/ntsc) R-2, R-10 ect...

Actually i own more than 40pc's of scanners right now and i have
collected more than 100pc's of radio equiments (i work in bandercom
technical department, what is now named Mikan Tech)

Regards
Mika

--

..... Jatkan hï¿½iriiï¿½tï¿½ ja pahoittelen hetken kuluttua.....

6439. It's both

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-19 14:37:03 UTC
From: williarsch@nandomail.com
Message-ID: <200009191436.JAA05842@x74.deja.com>
Source record: 79266

This message was forwarded to you from Deja.com by williarsch@nandomail.com.
Deja.com offers free consumer information, including ratings and reviews on
thousands of products and services. Before you buy, visit
http://www.deja.com/

(beginning of original message)

Subject: Cheek????
From: roberts70@aol.com (Roberts70)
Date: 2000/08/11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Is he really dead or is this just NG bullshit?

(end of original message)

You can view this message and the related discussion by following this link:
http://www.deja.com/thread/%3c20000811051750.17273.00000193@ng-xa1.aol.com%3e%231/1
We hope to see you soon at Deja.com.
Before you buy.
http://www.deja.com/

--
Posted from m2.deja.com [64.57.169.64]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6440. FS: Radio Shack PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Costa <cvc@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <#S$mxo4IAHA.345@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79147

Like new in the box, manual accessories etc. No mods done. Bought 2 only need one. $225 Shipped CONUS

--
Chuck Costa
cvc@bigfoot.com

6441. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C88F13.CE403B96@cts.com>
Source record: 79148

Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> as you are already aware, I happen to be blind. so a photo
> won't be appropriate proof (anyone can submit any photo).
>
> what about photocopies of your orders to report for basic?
>
> btw, where do you report for basic in the navy (I know but I want to
> see if you know)?

Explain the blindguy/photograph/photocopy thing again...I dozed off....

" Hey Ma...the retard and blindguy are fighting in the pit!"
>
> J o h n M wrote:
> I joined the Navy for the babes....

6442. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C88D01.4F642EEA@cts.com>
Source record: 79149

Garretw wrote:
>
> Sounds like you may be receiving signals from multiple transmitters/repeaters..

The CHP systems that use the repeaters in 39mhz are prone to phasing problems when simulcasting. It's a real bitch in parts of San Diego knowing that you should be getting a fat signal and it sounds like multipath crap.

In Santa Cruz county the 42mhz system goet to repeater when it "emergency traffic only" the signal seems to be a bit more stable. The VHF system thst the Santa Cruz Sheriffs use has a really tough time simulcasting off multiple sites.

KEX-690

6443. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: FIREFOX <mainsail01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0L#tdOzIAHA.122@newsmst.mcis.galactica.it>
Source record: 79150

Excellent service. Buy with confidence.
Only slow on e-mailing, but due to workload and small size company.

Alex (Italy)

"Ken Potter" <kpotter@greenepa.net> wrote in message
news:39C7EE0B.A1066416@greenepa.net...
> Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
> MVT-9000Mk2.
>
> Ken
>

6444. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <FwZx5.10978$Rt3.833846@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 79198
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I notice that Javiation is selling the BC245XLT. I wonder if they have the newest firmware version and if it is unblocked.

Bill K9KZ

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:dKVx5.1154$hD4.291851@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Hi Dan!
>
> I'm not sure how you tell them apart. I think there is a keypad procedure
> you do, while turning on the power, and it will display the firmware
> version.
>
> I can tell you this, Grove is selling the newer ones, without the 5 second
> delay.
>
> Check here...
> http://www.grove-ent.com/
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:39c8228c.2153594@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> > How does one distinguish between the old 245 XLT, and the new,
> > improved 245 XLT?
> >
> > Which dealers are selling the new version?
> >
> > Dan
>
>

6445. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <8q9pek$sk2$1@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 79199

They have sent 3 to me in New Zealand.

Use a credit card.

Absolutely nothing to worry about.

Make sure to use clear English in the text.

I was quoted in US dollars in the last order, but
was charged in EURO $.

Cost me $28 NZ extra, told them and they apologised.

The reason was the new computer system uses the Euro
currency base, could have told us about it all the same.

"Ken Potter" <kpotter@greenepa.net> wrote in message
news:39C7EE0B.A1066416@greenepa.net...
> Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
> MVT-9000Mk2.
>
> Ken
>

6446. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C878D0.3C277E77@cts.com>
Source record: 79200
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Christopher Roy wrote:
>
> Why do pizza people need radios? It is not like you have pizza ovens in
> your cars....do you? ;-)

Well Chris,
I work as a driver in Indiana and we all have radios in our pizza cars. We deliver a lot of long distance pizza in bulk and small orders too. We have many unmarked cars so we can stay "undercover" from the robbers. Most of the other drivers are from eastern block countries. One of out drivers buys all the radios for the other drivers, they are made by a companys called icom and yasue and kraco. We change frequencies every day for security reasons cause Serge our U.S. boss says it keeps the other fast food managers from listening to us. When we can't hear the main order center in Bogota...they send us a special version of that telegraph code stuff like in the old train movies.

The pizza chain I work *was* going bankrupt after a chain of arsons and some "bad business deals" but our boss met Mr.Serge (from Ukarine) and bought a bunch of used radios and business was bitchin and we all got real *big* raises overnight.

The only downside is we get pulled over by the cops an awful lot for some stupid reason ,and when we are running 3 pizza cars and a semitruck full of pizza it gets pretty annoying...but we just give them a slice from our special *VIP BOX* that is a apecial pizza that we are allowed to just give away to any cop that hassles us. Usually though our armed security guards (pretty cool 'eh) already know the cops so it works out pretty well.
>
> Chris
> I will never tell if this is my real name or not so there :-P

That's fine bro....But we have a pizza that cost $17,000 and has all the toppings except for ham. I deliver about 60 a day but I'm not allowed to taste it or even open the box.

I will take home about $120,000 this year just to deliver pizza and they tell me I could double that if I learn spanish and move to the home office in Muncie.

So keep you I.D. a secret and see if I ever give you a piping hot slice 'o pizza from the ViP BoX.

Mark
Not my real name since my real name is Bobby Knight.

6447. Re: Opto MicroCounter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8q9ui4$5ja2$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Geee thanks for pointing that out!

Hmmm. Well, the first Microcounter I got from Optoelectronics was almost dead. I shipped it back for an exchange for the good one I have on auction. The exchange process took several weeks and required expediting phone calls. So, you could say eBayers find an extra value in buying my used but proven Microcounter. :)

I assume that most of the new ones are OK, but I have traded email with someone else who got a bad one and went through the same process I did to get a good one from Opto.

You failed to mention that the optional antenna is another ten bucks....

Dave

6448. Re: CW to be officially abolished for ham (was ...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39C884E2.D0751BA2@cts.com>
Source record: 79202

James Yarbrough wrote:
>
> The reason people choose ham radio is not because their parents are
> into
> it it is because ham radio has something magical about it.

"Magical" is picking up the mic at 3:30am and saying "Any hotties want to paRTY"

To be able
> to turn on a radio and only be able to talk within your town then two
> minuets later be able to talk to Europe and Asia is just appealing.

I can get chicks from a CB ,they aint real cute but they do fine when I'm drinkin. So If I learn that morse code are you telling me I can get me a cute little Asian Babe or some washed up soviet swimmer.

> Answer this why do people still ride horseback when there are cars?

Because it's a good way to meet girls...or guys (if you go that way).

>Why do people still sail even when there are motorized boats.

No brainer there....When you sail you need a crew of CHICKS!!! "Screw the crew" is my motto when on the high seas!

> and
> why do people choose ham radio over turning on your computer are all
> the
> same questions that have had people scraching their heads for a while.

Yup...I'm scatching.

> But in the end people seem to like what is old and challenging over
> what
> is new and easier because it is just more appealing.

Ok...
I admit that every christmas I do it in the missionary position on that day only.

"Promise the world and the world will come...the internet did" (I just made that up!)

>
> Peace and 73,
> KD5IJB

Make Love
Not Code
KEX-690

6449. Fraud Update - JASON WEBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8FB556DD5slvbeardnetzeronet@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 79203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just an update on the Jason Webb fraud alert that I posted about a month ago on the Strong Signals for sale board. Basically, the story is that Jason was selling an AR8200 scanner on the Strong Signals for sale board about 2 months ago. I responded to Jason's post and I, being a trusting person, agreed to buy the scanner from him, and sent him a $300 postal money order in advance. To make a long story short, I never received the scanner, and heard nothing from Jason at all, until about 3 weeks ago. In an email, Jason said that he was sorry for the misunderstanding, and that he would refund me the money. It has now been 3 weeks since the last email from Jason, and a total of 2 months since I originally sent him the money, and still no scanner, no money, and no emails from him either. I am now filing a fraud complaint with the US Postal Inspection service. It's unfortunate that it sometimes has to come to this, but buyer beware. I've learned my lesson.

Adam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6450. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <nT1y5.838$4I5.2388@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79204

Who's the net/content cop now, Glenny boy???? I love catching
hypocrites....lol.......way to go Glenn ;-)

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:QzQx5.1415$DF5.192748@news.uswest.net...
>
> <hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com...
> > I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> > Scanner.
>
>
>
> > I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also
>
>
> You aren't implying that it is a functional trunking scanner are you? My
> alinco dj-580 will receive frequencies used by trunked systems but I would
> never say I use it for the trunked systems if I were selling it. I use my
> R7000 as the receiver along with a RS 2004 for true trunked tracking, but
it
> would be deceptive to say so in an ad sellin either without clarification.
>
>
>
> Glenn
>
>
> BTW, you are going to get flamed, smacked and attacked if you say FOR SALE
> or FS when you actually are FA or For Auction. Good luck
>
>

6451. Re: UTAH SCANNING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920101639.01456.00000045@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79205

>Subject: UTAH SCANNING!
>From: "Brett - N7OLQ" N7OLQ-nospam-@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 9/19/00 9:44 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <joWx5.1703$ez6.121611@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>For all interested in Utah scanning frequencies, my page and the new RMRA
>scanning site have both been updated with the latest UCAN trunked system
>information. Enjoy!!
>
>http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio
>
>http://www.rmra.org/scan.html
>
>
>--
>Brett - N7OLQ
>http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

Brett,
Thanks for posting the new address for the RMRA's page. I tried to access
the page last night using the old address, and was disappointed to see the page
gone. Glad to see that it's back on the net!

73,
David (Ogden, UT)

6452. PRO-2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: hvytruker@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920105206.22200.00000002@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 79206

I just acquried a new pro-2066 in a trade and i am wandering if there are any mods for this unit? if so where can i find them?

6453. Handspring Visors: how do you tell the 2MB from the 8MB unit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920110058.20567.00000088@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79207

Slightly off-topic, but maybe one of y'all can help:

I'm looking at purchasing a used Handspring Visor. There are two versions of this personal organizer: 2MB and 8MB. But how do you tell them apart? The 8MB verison is marketed as "Visor Deluxe", but I believe it just says "Visor" on the unit, as does the 2MB version.

Anyone know for sure how to tell 'em apart?

BTW, this isn't *completely* off-topic because I plan to use the Visor in part to store scanner frequencies :-)

6454. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920110059.20567.00000089@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 79208

In article <6vUx5.16486$jD2.1603219@typhoon.we.rr.com>, "Eddie Haskell"
<harleybum@easyriders.com> writes:

>"You have questions...We have batteries".

"Would you like the Tandy Service Plan on those batteries? It's only $19.99
for three years."

6455. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wo4y5.1259$dr3.80313@news1.mia>
Source record: 79209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try Scanners Unlimited in CA. They have them.
www.scannersunlimited.com

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39c821df.1980176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the
> 92A, but where the heck are they?
>
> Grove doesn't have them yet. The Rat Shack drone looked at me like I
> had two heads today when I asked about it at the store.
>
> He just got in a new shipment, and they were all old 92s...
>
> WHat gives? WHERE ARE THEY?
>
> Dan

6456. FA: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <Qr4y5.229535$i5.3106356@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79210

I have on Ebay for auction one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner. I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also has function for setting channels for cars at any race that uses radio's.

Check it out. It's in great shape and works. Just don't have the time to listen anymore like I used to.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441153709

6457. Re: Opto MicroCounter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <As4y5.1263$dr3.80429@news1.mia>
Source record: 79211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, the Optoelectronics Micro Counter works well, but it is almost
completely deaf without the external antenna. I bought mine directly from
Opto, in person, as I live about a mile from their headquarters!
--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Dave <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8q9ui4$5ja2$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Geee thanks for pointing that out!
>
> Hmmm. Well, the first Microcounter I got from Optoelectronics was almost
> dead. I shipped it back for an exchange for the good one I have on
auction.
> The exchange process took several weeks and required expediting phone
calls.
> So, you could say eBayers find an extra value in buying my used but proven
> Microcounter. :)
>
> I assume that most of the new ones are OK, but I have traded email with
> someone else who got a bad one and went through the same process I did to
> get a good one from Opto.
>
> You failed to mention that the optional antenna is another ten bucks....
>
> Dave
>
>

6458. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <Ut4y5.229540$i5.3106241@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79212
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Well Chris,
> I work as a driver in Indiana and we all have radios in our pizza cars.
> We deliver a lot of long distance pizza in bulk and small orders too. We
> have many unmarked cars so we can stay "undercover" from the robbers.
> Most of the other drivers are from eastern block countries. One of out
> drivers buys all the radios for the other drivers, they are made by a
> companys called icom and yasue and kraco. We change frequencies every
> day for security reasons cause Serge our U.S. boss says it keeps the
> other fast food managers from listening to us. When we can't hear the
> main order center in Bogota...they send us a special version of that
> telegraph code stuff like in the old train movies.
>
> The pizza chain I work *was* going bankrupt after a chain of arsons and
> some "bad business deals" but our boss met Mr.Serge (from Ukarine) and
> bought a bunch of used radios and business was bitchin and we all got
> real *big* raises overnight.
>
> The only downside is we get pulled over by the cops an awful lot for
> some stupid reason ,and when we are running 3 pizza cars and a semitruck
> full of pizza it gets pretty annoying...but we just give them a slice
> from our special *VIP BOX* that is a apecial pizza that we are allowed
> to just give away to any cop that hassles us. Usually though our armed
> security guards (pretty cool 'eh) already know the cops so it works out
> pretty well.
> >

Damn, the pizza delievery world is a sad, sad, sad world.

6459. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%H4y5.1567$hD4.429633@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Bill...

It's my understanding that Uniden never made an un-blocked version of the
BC245-XLT.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message
news:FwZx5.10978$Rt3.833846@nntp2.onemain.com...
> I notice that Javiation is selling the BC245XLT. I wonder if they have the
> newest firmware version and if it is unblocked.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:dKVx5.1154$hD4.291851@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > Hi Dan!
> >
> > I'm not sure how you tell them apart. I think there is a keypad
procedure
> > you do, while turning on the power, and it will display the firmware
> > version.
> >
> > I can tell you this, Grove is selling the newer ones, without the 5
second
> > delay.
> >
> > Check here...
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
> > "Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> > news:39c8228c.2153594@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> > > How does one distinguish between the old 245 XLT, and the new,
> > > improved 245 XLT?
> > >
> > > Which dealers are selling the new version?
> > >
> > > Dan
> >
> >

>
>

6460. Re: Pro-26?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com>
Message-ID: <39C92794.3320CEE3@cloudnet.com>
Source record: 79214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look at the AOR 8200 series 2 scanner. A little pricey but looks to be a good scanner. I like my pro 26 but want wider coverage. Currently saving up for it.
Good luck
Randy

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Check out the Alinco DJ-X10. More channels, MUCH wider frequency range,
> more modes, MUCH better audio, dual VFO's, tuning knob, more search banks,
> better back-light, computer interface, easier to read & more informative LCD
> display, clock, timer, stronger - partially metal case, rechargeable battery
> packs, or "AA" cells, attenuator, etc.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Big Boy Barry" <grade12d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8uYw5.265321$1h3.5451029@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Are there any portable scanners out there better than the pro-26?
> >
> >

6461. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Renzoku Satujinn <satujinn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8qasbn$41q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79215

I think Tracy has it right. LASD does put both Los Angels County and police on the units that are contracted out to various incorporated cities. Malibu is a good example.

Since Angeles National Forest is a fed area, I would think LA County parks would not be servicing that area.

Alex

--
Friends help you move...

Real freinds help you move bodies.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6462. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gm6y5.529$zo2.150256@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79216

So little boy, you don't see any thing deceptive about saying you use the scanner you are scanner to listen to trunked calls but no clarification that it isn't capable of trunk tracking???? If you noticed little one, I asked for clarification. Now, you have Mom change you and get off to school.

I promise in public Adam, I will not try and take you place as net content cop. That is why I was communicating with you through E-mail up until you posted this.

Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
news:nT1y5.838$4I5.2388@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Who's the net/content cop now, Glenny boy???? I love catching
> hypocrites....lol.......way to go Glenn ;-)
>
> Adam Kolari
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:QzQx5.1415$DF5.192748@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > <hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com...
> > > I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> > > Scanner.
> >
> >
> >
> > > I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also
> >
> >
> > You aren't implying that it is a functional trunking scanner are you? My
> > alinco dj-580 will receive frequencies used by trunked systems but I
would
> > never say I use it for the trunked systems if I were selling it. I use
my
> > R7000 as the receiver along with a RS 2004 for true trunked tracking,
but
> it
> > would be deceptive to say so in an ad sellin either without
clarification.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >
> >

> > BTW, you are going to get flamed, smacked and attacked if you say FOR
SALE
> > or FS when you actually are FA or For Auction. Good luck
> >
> >
>
>

6463. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gn6y5.530$zo2.150065@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79217

Again. I are you implying this follows trunked calls or you can receive the individual channels like any other scanner?

TW&#65533; <tandb8ON0--SPaM@home..com> wrote in message news:Qr4y5.229535$i5.3106356@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com...
> I have on Ebay for auction one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> Scanner. I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also
> has function for setting channels for cars at any race that uses
> radio's.
>
> Check it out. It's in great shape and works. Just don't have the time
> to listen anymore like I used to.
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441153709
>
>

6464. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <HN6y5.100794$Ur3.1204086@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric - By these posts you will be proven to be a liar since I am posting
from the @home system. The MAIN purpose was to ask you about YOUR
experiences in the radio
hobby since I have never seen you post on-topic yet on these newsgroups.
What type
of radio activity do yoy like to listen to or participate in?

What type of antennas do you use?

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> I own several (bearcat models 200xlt, 245 xlt, radioshack 2006
> and an aor 8000).
>
> I also own ham gear (such as a yeasue FRT-470 and Kenwood
> TR-7400A.
>
> I listen on several chans at the same time (phoenix has a lot
> of activity particularly on the trunking chans).
>
> so, why is it now that you question whether I am on topic
> when this is the only on-topic message you have sent in days?

6465. Re: Mass State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <39c90367$0$16597$7d5a4a58@news.compuserve.de>
Source record: 79219

There are some pages available to your question. Do a search for
Massachsetts within the category Scanners at
http://www.radio-portal.org

You can search for any other country or state, of course.
More than 16.000 radio related links, and 60 categories to search in.

73,
Willi

<n2ogm@aol.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
39c7d6af.80648504@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> Can someone tell me what the Mass State Police Freqs are? Thanks.
>

6466. Re: VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <CT7y5.2504$SZ5.2556@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79220

I just want to make a public apology to Glenn Hansen. I shouldn't have said what I said in public.

Adam Kolari

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:gm6y5.529$zo2.150256@news.uswest.net...
>
> So little boy, you don't see any thing deceptive about saying you use the
> scanner you are scanner to listen to trunked calls but no clarification that
> it isn't capable of trunk tracking???? If you noticed little one, I asked
> for clarification. Now, you have Mom change you and get off to school.
>
> I promise in public Adam, I will not try and take you place as net content
> cop. That is why I was communicating with you through E-mail up until you
> posted this.
>
> Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message
> news:nT1y5.838$4I5.2388@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Who's the net/content cop now, Glenny boy???? I love catching
> > hypocrites....lol.......way to go Glenn ;-)
> >
> > Adam Kolari
> >
> > "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> > news:QzQx5.1415$DF5.192748@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > <hoobiedoobie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39c7cc90.272255038@news-server.kscable.com...
> > > > I have on Ebay for sale one VHF/UHF/Air/800 MHz 100 Channel Race
> > > > Scanner.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > I listened to the trunked channels in my area with this. Also
> > >
> > >
> > > You aren't implying that it is a functional trunking scanner are you? My
> > > alinco dj-580 will receive frequencies used by trunked systems but I
> would
> > > never say I use it for the trunked systems if I were selling it. I use
> my

> > > R7000 as the receiver along with a RS 2004 for true trunked tracking,
> but
> > it
> > > would be deceptive to say so in an ad sellin either without
> clarification.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> > >
> > > BTW, you are going to get flamed, smacked and attacked if you say FOR
> SALE
> > > or FS when you actually are FA or For Auction. Good luck
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6467. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <kZ7y5.2622$SZ5.2373@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79221

Actually it's quite a simple modification to do. All you have to do is solder a wire between two diodes (I think that's what they're called). Go to www.mods.dk for more info.

Adam Kolari

>
> I believe you need the European chip to receive in the cell bands
> -- not a simple snip of a diode.
>
> --
> Michael Moore
>
>
>

6468. FA: OPTOELECTRONICS CUB FREQ COUNTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qb45p$ec7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79222

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443216255

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6469. FA: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC-3000XLT SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qb47uede1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79223

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443172049

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6470. UNIDEN BEARCAT BC-9000XLT (CTCSS / PL Board)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qb4abeek1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79224

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443171994

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6471. FA: PRO-2004 SCANNER (Unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qb4crefi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79225

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443169120

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6472. FA:UNIDEN BEARCAT BC-9000XLT (CTCSS / PL Board)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kriskerry@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qb4hoeph1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79226

http://www.half.com/index.cfm?ad=11142

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6473. Pro-37 with cell mod @ $90 - 40 min. left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: alex@ksads.wpic.pitt.edu
Message-ID: <8qb50oarh1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Source record: 79227

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/40067838

6474. WTB: Yuppi ..9000MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netrunner <netrunner@home.com>
Message-ID: <gT8y5.42343$7W2.1981881@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 79228

I'm looking for a used Yupi 9000MKII ..if you've got one to sell you can
email me at: netrunner@home.com

6475. Sony Digital Phone (no DSS) Secure From Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: SG Investor <sginvestor@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qb6sa$hs4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79229

Hello,
Forgive me if this is the wrong group to ask, but who better to ask than the scanner experts here? Anyway, I just bought a Sony 900MHz Digital Phone (no DSS), model SPP-ID970, and was wondering if this phone was secure (unable to be heard by most scanners)? On the box, it says "Digital Privacy and Digital Sound Quality: - Digital speech encoding virtually ensures private, secure conversation between the cordless handset and base unit. Digital technology achieves amazing sound quality." Does that mean anything? Can they say that and still have one end of the conversation (base to handset or hand set to base) be in analog (which everyone can hear)? Also, has anyone had experience with Sony Phones - Good or Bad?
Thanks for your time and help,
SG Investor

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6476. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <8FB599323freqofnature@206.13.28.144>
Source record: 79230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DELETEmarksandefur@iname.com (MS) wrote in <4kWo5.38$_H3.10942@wdc-read-01.qwest.net>:

Try Uniden's http://www.trunktracker.com web site.

www.freqofnature.com

>Repost:
>Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
>confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
>place. Any info appreciated...
>
>
>
>

6477. scanner interference help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920181017.07824.00000041@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 79231

I am using a magnet mount Radio Shack antennae on my big rig. There is no metal on the roof to secure the antenae to. I use duct tape. I was getting a 'hiss'/open mike sounds on 42 mhz frequencies (CHP in Cal). It only did it some times and not on the same 42. mhz channels (no consistencies whatsoever). Today, I ran a little black wire from the antennae to a bolt. I am hoping this helps to 'ground' the antennae and gets rid of the problem. I was wondering if my scanner being next to the dash of the truck (with all the electrical devices operating possibly ommiting RF signals interfering with the scanner) was the problem. If it is, is there any way to better 'insulate' my PRO-91 to keep out the RF signal interference?
I am a very seasoned scanner user, but not an electrical technician. By the way, when I use the magnet mount on my car, I get great performance.

Thanks to anyone out there for the help.......
Eric G.
ignorance is no excuse.....

6478. Re: Pro 2067 misfeature? Or error in manual? re Talk Group ID lockout.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pmcartney <pmcartney@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <ZHay5.9209$O37.2386920@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79232

Read More,
You can lock out specified ID's by specifying them.
As for trunking problems leave it in open mode, if possible put that trunk group of freqs in their own bank. Specify each freq's mode! Then hit func-trunk on that bank and MODE and select the MODE they all use.
Unlock any locked out control freqs.
It works.
"Jamie Hanrahan" <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote in message news:39c96130.243883906@nntp.cts.com...
> Besides the "trunking" problems I'm having with my 2067, I noticed
> something else in the manual, something that is reason to return the unit
> for a refund if true:
>
> The manual claims
>
> "You can only lock out talk group IDs when the scanner is in the closed
> mode"
>
> (It is really the bank, not the "scanner", that is in the closed or open
> mode, but never mind that.) Does it mean you can only *enter* locked-out
> IDs into a bank that's in "closed" mode (which would be ok), or that it
> will only *honor* the lockouts when the group is in the closed mode?
>
> Evidence that it's the latter (which is bad) is also under "talk group
> IDs" in the first paragraph on page 41, in the discussion of closed vs.
> open operation.
>
> If I'm reading the manual correctly (I can't really test it until I get
> the scanner working in "trunking" mode in the first place, which it has
> yet to do; see my other recent post), this is simply ludicrous. It means
> I have to enter every ID I might ever want to listen to in the bank, just
> so I can enter one that I want the scanner to ignore! Uh, so why don't I
> just NOT enter the one I want the scanner to ignore??? And what if I
> don't know (since I'm new to trunking) which IDs I want to listen to --
> but I've already found one I want to ignore? (There is a talk group
> around here that's keyed up a lot, but with no audio.) Who at GRE could
> POSSIBLY have thought that this was the right thing to implement?
>
> --- jeh
>
>

6479. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pmcartney <pmcartney@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <EKay5.9211$O37.2388325@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I got 2 in my store. I tried one at home. Don't waste your money compared to a Pro 92a it is so low in sensitivity I almost threw it at the wall. I was hoping it would work better just on conventional. It does scan fast. Goofy auto light that works as it desires.

--Rich
"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:39c821df.1980176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the
> 92A, but where the heck are they?
>
> Grove doesn't have them yet. The Rat Shack drone looked at me like I
> had two heads today when I asked about it at the store.
>
> He just got in a new shipment, and they were all old 92s...
>
> WHat gives? WHERE ARE THEY?
>
> Dan

6480. Re: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC-3000XLT SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <Joe_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <sRay5.12837$ks.477409@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79234

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=438709911

6481. What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Patterson <charles@telephonesecurity.com>
Message-ID: <8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 79235

I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels were
the busiest.

Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?

Charles
N2AXO

6482. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. L. Webb" <jlwebb@email.com>
Message-ID: <39C93B70.E4852739@email.com>
Source record: 79236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> >Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
> >confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
> >place. Any info appreciated...

Why not go to a trunk stop and asks some trunkers? Call for one on channel 19
on the CB and ask them! They will surely be happy to tell you how you can
join that great profession!

Keep on Trunkin' !

6483. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920192214.01801.00000097@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 79237

Radio Shack PRO-2045

>Subject: What scanners can log # of hits?
>From: "Charles Patterson" charles@telephonesecurity.com
>Date: 9/20/00 1:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
>
>I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
>It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
>signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels were
>the busiest.
>
>Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?
>
>Charles
>N2AXO
>
>
>
>

6484. Maine eGroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <auiisskm8hd24ntrvhdrvrg4p14ada29nt@4ax.com>
Source record: 79238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Northern Maine http://www.egroups.com/group/nME_scan

Southern Maine http://www.egroups.com/group/sME_scan

6485. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <y3cy5.409$p96.1030@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79239

LOL.....that was great.....we need more humour like this!! Keep it coming!

Adam

>
> Why not go to a trunk stop and asks some trunkers? Call for one on
channel 19
> on the CB and ask them! They will surely be happy to tell you how you can
> join that great profession!
>
> Keep on Trunkin' !
>
>

6486. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Potter <kpotter@greenepa.net>
Message-ID: <39C95ACF.E90177BF@greenepa.net>
Source record: 79240

Thanks to all that replied.

Ken

6487. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-2CEFDE.19562620092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79241

In article <y3cy5.409$p96.1030@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Adam Kolari"
<rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote:

>LOL.....that was great.....we need more humour like this!! Keep it
>coming!
>
>Adam

That's for sure. Nothing's worse than living with a trunkated sense of
humor.

Dave

6488. WTB:KENWOOD RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joeharley@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8qbnkg$tr8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79242

THIS IS THE 3RD TRY... I'VE GOT THE NOMENCLATURE RIGHT THIS TIME!
THIS IS AN OLDER KENWOOD WIDEBAND RECEIVER THAT WILL GO MOBILE.
IF YOU HAVE ONE YOU WANT TO DISPOSE OF .. EMAIL ME.

THANKS

J HARLEY
N4AQG

6489. Re: UNIDEN BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwayne <Dubbin2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8qbupa$s2l$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 79243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Todd I emailed you the last two nights wanting to buy your scanner. Do you still have it? Please let me know.
Thanks
--

==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had a Fit... Got It!

Todd <bcsw@home.com> wrote in message news:W9Bx5.179523$6y5.107188276@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> BC895XLT TrunkTracker for sale. Original box, adapter, and manual included.
> This unit was professionally modded to install a CORRECT discriminator tap
> leading to a RCA jack mounted on the rear of the case for hamcom/pocsag
> data decoding. This unit has served me well, I just don't use it anymore.
> Price: $115 + $5 priority mail shipping. Prepayment with M.O. or check req.
>
> Email: bcsw@home.com
>
> 73,
> Todd Atkins, K4MSW

6490. FS: Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Thompson <rickt@trvnet.net>
Message-ID: <39C9A53F.FF0F6241@trvnet.net>
Source record: 79244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale on ebay, Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443877592

6491. FS: Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Thompson <rickt@trvnet.net>
Message-ID: <39C9A600.1DD53A5B@trvnet.net>
Source record: 79245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner For sale on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441143145

6492. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-9A8582.23454220092000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 79246

In article <8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>,
"Charles Patterson" <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote:

> I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
> It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
> signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels
> were
> the busiest.
>
> Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?
>
> Charles
> N2AXO
>
>

OptoCom, OS456, or OS535 using Probe can do this very well! It even logs
the PL tones!

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

6493. Re: DJ-X10T Alinco Wide-Band Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:24:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <2tTx5.863$hD4.223417@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79268

This item has been sold.

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:jNxx5.52796$QW4.605572@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> For Auction on Ebay:
>
> Alinco DJ-X10T, with extras!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=442109931
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

6494. Re: Clemson, SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 00:47:42 UTC
From: shajoe@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39c8091a.2582942@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 79269

test

On Mon, 18 Sep 2000 18:43:46 -0400, "MindSpring User"
<jerrymoody@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Does anyone have trunking freqs. and ID's for this area?
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>Jerry
>
>

6495. FS/FT: AOR AR8000 Handheld Scanner w/RS-232 serial interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 01:14:31 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39c80fda$1@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 79270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an AOR AR8000 Handheld Scanner (Receiver) with a RS-232 serial interface which can be used for programming and computer control.

The AR8000 is a highly sensitive hand-held receiver boasting a very wide frequency coverage of 500 kHz to 1900MHz without gaps in the range. Step size is programmable in multiples of 50Hz for smooth tuning. The all-mode reception provides, AM, USB, LSB, CW, NFM and WFM. As you might expect from a radio receiver of this calibre, an independent 4.0kHz SSB filter is fitted as standard and the USB/LSB/CW modes use true carrier re-insertion with correctly calibrated frequency read-out(not offset by 1.5kHz). A ferrite bar antenna is neatly internally installed at the top of the receiver's cabinet to enhance receive performance when listening in population centers to Medium Wave services or when commentary is provided at airshows and motor sport events.

This receiver is in excellent condition with a only a few small wear marks on the finish on the back side of the case where it rested against something. This is very minor and hardly noticeable. The front and sides show no visible signs of wear. All buttons and printed markings are clear and intact. There are scratches on the display. I would rate it an easy 9+ on a scale from 1 to 10. All original documentation and packaging is included. Also note that I am including an RS-232 serial interface for computer control/programming.

I am asking $350 for this scanner and interface. . . or I would consider a trade for a Pro-2006 that is in mint condition . . . or what have you?

Please contact me via e-mail if you are interested. Thank you for reading my posting.

6496. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 01:34:58 UTC
From: Eddie Haskell <harleybum@easyriders.com>
Message-ID: <6vUx5.16486$jD2.1603219@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 79271
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Can't (and really shouldn't) shoot the messenger. Radio is a first rate chicken-shit outfit to work for. First off, they make you work for minimum wage. They promise you "Spiffs" a monetary reward for going over a set amount of sales, but spread over the entire 8 hour day. If you start the day with a big sale, like a complete computer system you are "UP" for a while. But if the rest of the day is regular small stuff you sink back down to the regular minimum wage. Besides area managers that are trained by the wrecking crew in Ft. Worth TX to get the max out of you the carrot is always dangled far above your nose.
If your a manager....you try hard to improve your store, your staff and get to know the locals right? The reward for this is your transferred to a store that is NOT doing well, so you can turn it around and some real "boob" is given your store because he is not capable of making his own fly.
Add all this up,stir in disgruntled employees sprinkle with outdated shit with new faceplates (Optimus brand is rebadged Pioneer equipment) and bring to a boil. It's no wonder that they are know for the slogan "You have questions...We have batteries".....all in all.....Radio Shack has a lot to learn.

6497. Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:36:33 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39c821df.1980176@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the 92A, but where the heck are they?

Grove doesn't have them yet. The Rat Shack drone looked at me like I had two heads today when I asked about it at the store.

He just got in a new shipment, and they were all old 92s...

WHat gives? WHERE ARE THEY?

Dan

6498. New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:38:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39c8228c.2153594@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How does one distinguish between the old 245 XLT, and the new,
improved 245 XLT?

Which dealers are selling the new version?

Dan

6499. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:40:53 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C824CE.A214E768@home.com>
Source record: 79274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uh hunbh!
then why the big sdpeal about it being a state secret?
by making such claims, all you do is set yourself up
to look like a loony tune (which you appear to be
most of the time)

Secondly, I don't believe you are currently in military service.
your assertions to such appear to be because you were
terminated from the @home network for abusive behavior.

Still, I think this arguement has gotten old and I have said the
last words on it I am going to say.
DE N7ZZT

J o h n M wrote:

>
> Eric, I chose NOT to give out my social security number as it could be
> used to compromise credit & loan systems or other things. The SSN is
> what the Navy uses, NOT the "seriel number" as you are referring to.
> BTW - that should be SERIAL number!!!!!!

6500. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:57:40 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C828BE.36C4A28F@home.com>
Source record: 79275

three words:

pot.... kettle.... black.

J o h n M wrote:

> Eric, HIS reputation isn't HALF as bad as yours!
>
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > Need I remind you that your history in here is less than polished?
> >
> > DE N7ZZT
> >
> > TooManyRadios wrote:
> SNIP

6501. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:58:56 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C8290A.3BC17212@home.com>
Source record: 79276

I realize this.
however, not responding would have probably proven more harmful
in the long run...

By refuting a lie immediately, it doesn't have a chance to grow.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> In article <39C5AC0F.5B5D8831@home.com>, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> writes:
>
> >I only responded after my name was dragged back into the
> >problems (again).
>
> Did it ever occur to you that if you IGNORED the postings and DID NOT RESPOND
> to them that the other poster would likely stop mentioning your name?
>
> YOU keep this nonsense going by RESPONDING to every posting which makes mention
> of you.
>
> >Need I remind you that your history in here is less than polished?
>
> Is that so? I wasn't aware that I had much of a "history" here. Care to share
> with the class?

6502. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 02:59:21 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <dKVx5.1154$hD4.291851@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Dan!

I'm not sure how you tell them apart. I think there is a keypad procedure you do, while turning on the power, and it will display the firmware version.

I can tell you this, Grove is selling the newer ones, without the 5 second delay.

Check here...
http://www.grove-ent.com/

Bill Crocker

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:39c8228c.2153594@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> How does one distinguish between the old 245 XLT, and the new,
> improved 245 XLT?
>
> Which dealers are selling the new version?
>
> Dan

6503. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:03:19 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <XNVx5.99344$Ur3.1182520@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric - Do you own a scanner? Do you ever practice the hobby of scanner listening?

BTW - I am using the @home network now, so I guess you are wrong?

What type of radio hobby DO you practice?

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> Secondly, I don't believe you are currently in military service.
> your assertions to such appear to be because you were
> terminated from the @home network for abusive behavior.

6504. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:07:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0SVx5.1159$hD4.295257@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Did you call Grove? Bob's showing them on his web site, and not only that, he's $60.00 cheaper than Radio Shack themselves!

http://www.grove-ent.com/SCN46A.html

The difference between the two is that the PRO-92[a] uses the trunking control channel to follow the system, similar to how the Uniden Bearcat BC245-XLT does it. The original PRO-92 followed the trunking system via a sub-audible carrier in the audio.

Most Radio Shacks should have the newer one in stock by now, but they're not on sale, you'll pay full price! The date code, located on the back of the carton's lower right corner is: 05A00, and to be double sure, look at the back of the scanner itself, the catalog number should be: 20-522[A]

Bill Crocker

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:39c821df.1980176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the
> 92A, but where the heck are they?
>
> Grove doesn't have them yet. The Rat Shack drone looked at me like I
> had two heads today when I asked about it at the store.
>
> He just got in a new shipment, and they were all old 92s...
>
> WHat gives? WHERE ARE THEY?
>
> Dan

6505. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:10:17 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C82BB2.ABA4B653@home.com>
Source record: 79280

btw,
I forgot to ask....

What is your MOS?

Were you prior military (since you appear to
have completed basic so fast)? I understand that the
MINIMUM time required for Basic is 8 weeks!if are
in specialized training, then expect an additional 8 to 16
weeks (depending on the MOS).)

so, you've supposedly been in 2 weeks.. thats not long enough.
Also, checked your statement... there is no such thing as an
AGP program (I would like you to explain what this is.)

Gee, given all of this, I might just forward all your commentary
on the USN/reserves to a local navy recruiter and obtain an
opinion from him as to what is what.

if I decide to do this, I will post an exact copy of the letter
obtained from said recruitter here.

btw, where are you supposed to report for basic?

6506. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:13:31 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C82C75.209BBCC2@home.com>
Source record: 79281

as you are already aware, I happen to be blind. so a photo
won't be appropriate proof (anyone can submit any photo).

what about photocopies of your orders to report for basic?

btw, where do you report for basic in the navy (I know but I want to
see if you know)?

J o h n M wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > How do we know that the John E. Mackey listed in that newspaper is you?
>
> See the pic that posted, do you want it again? Would you me like to
> post a copy of my graduation cert from boot camp?

6507. New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:14:53 UTC
From: chuck@NOSPAMlatraffic.org
Message-ID: <39c92b8c.84085791@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79282

It seems the new Orange County Purple-1 (Santa Ana) frequency 39.44 is now in use. I've monitored the traffic and this channel seems a bit odd. It seems that sometimes dispatch is coming off of the repeater and sometimes not. I say this because sometimes I hear dispatch loud and clear and other times I have to turn squelch way down to hear them.

I've also noticed that sometimes I can hear the officers in the field and other times I only hear the dispatcher. I wonder if there is a new repeater system at work here or if things are still in the testing phases with live traffic on the channel. Maybe they're using a zone based repeater system? Just a thought but it sure seems that way.

Oh well, if anyone has made any observations please let me know what you've found. As usual, they're using 162.2 Hz PL tone as they do on all Border Division channels.

** Remove the spam filter to reply via e-mail. **

6508. PRO-92[A] new @ $299.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:25:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <m6Wx5.1164$hD4.301550@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79283

Grove has the newer Radio Shack (GRE) hand-held scanner @ $299.95! That's about $60.00 cheaper than Radio Shack themselves!

Bill Crocker

6509. Re: PRO-92[A] new @ $299.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:26:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <m7Wx5.1165$hD4.302165@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79284

Damn...keep forgetting to add those links! Here ya go!

http://www.grove-ent.com/SCN46A.html

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:m6Wx5.1164$hD4.301550@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Grove has the newer Radio Shack (GRE) hand-held scanner @ $299.95! That's
> about $60.00 cheaper than Radio Shack themselves!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

6510. WTB: AOR 8200MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:32:12 UTC
From: Netrunner <netrunner@home.com>
Message-ID: <0dWx5.42309$7W2.1889763@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 79285

I'm looking to buy an AOR 8200MKII ..if you have one for sale you can email sip347@home.com

6511. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:38:23 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <N7OLQ-nospam-@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PiWx5.1696$ez6.122837@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79286

They have a very good reputation. They sometimes have long delays in answering e-mail, but it is because they do a lot of business and are a small operation. They have many happy customers.

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

"Ken Potter" <kpotter@greenepa.net> wrote in message news:39C7EE0B.A1066416@greenepa.net...
> Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
> MVT-9000Mk2.
>
> Ken
>

6512. UTAH SCANNING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:44:15 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <N7OLQ-nospam-@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <joWx5.1703$ez6.121611@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For all interested in Utah scanning frequencies, my page and the new RMRA scanning site have both been updated with the latest UCAN trunked system information. Enjoy!!

http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

http://www.rmra.org/scan.html

--
Brett - N7OLQ
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

6513. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:53:13 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39C835C3.5B5B0816@home.com>
Source record: 79288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I own several (bearcat models 200xlt, 245 xlt, radioshack 2006
and an aor 8000).

I also own ham gear (such as a yeasue FRT-470 and Kenwood
TR-7400A.

I listen on several chans at the same time (phoenix has a lot
of activity particularly on the trunking chans).

so, why is it now that you question whether I am on topic
when this is the only on-topic message you have sent in days?

J o h n M wrote:

> Eric - Do you own a scanner? Do you ever practice the hobby of scanner
> listening?
>
> BTW - I am using the @home network now, so I guess you are wrong?
>
> What type of radio hobby DO you practice?
>
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> > Secondly, I don't believe you are currently in military service.
> > your assertions to such appear to be because you were
> > terminated from the @home network for abusive behavior.

6514. Re: Super Convert#2 Scanners DEAL PENDING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 03:55:21 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8q9cf1$d1n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79289

In article <8q630ghho1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf50@my-deja.com wrote:DEAL PENDING
> I have a Super converter#2 by GRE America this is brand new in the
> box.It well convert your hanheld or base scanner that only goes up to
> 512mhz.It well allow you to get 810mhz.to 912mhz. down in the 410 mhz.
> to 512mhz.This enables you to get Cellular phones, that you miss with
> your 512mhz. scanner.It just fits between the antenna and your on your
> way $100.00 shipped to your door..Email me if interested at
> wilfkelly@home.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> There is a deal pending.Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6515. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 04:29:13 UTC
From: REBEL RADIO <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <t2Xx5.14430$%h6.3290711@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79290

Excellent service. Order by telephone. Use Next day Fed Ex, and sleep
easy.

Jim

Ken Potter <kpotter@greenepa.net> wrote in message
news:39C7EE0B.A1066416@greenepa.net...
> Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
> MVT-9000Mk2.
>
> Ken
>

6516. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 04:41:11 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920004111.06663.00000136@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 79292

Sounds like you may be receiving signals from multiple transmitters/repeaters..

6517. Re: Opto MicroCounter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 04:41:15 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <tdXx5.6919$Ku3.40581@news4.atl>
Source record: 79291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8q72og$3u5e$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Looks like a pager- Optoelectronics Micro Counter
>
> eBay:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437227345
>

The funny part about it is that they sell for 99 bucks NEW!
http://www.optoelectronics.com/microcounter.htm

6518. Re: Police & EMS Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 04:47:04 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920004704.06663.00000137@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 79293

Unless the hospital is located in a controlled area, the local tower freq would be used. Otherwise, the normal unicomm freqs would be proper protocol.

6519. Re: Opto MicroCounter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 05:21:20 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <kPXx5.43088$Z4.1353979@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah some people don't realize how much the retail value of things are before they bid. some guy sold a pro-2052 on ebay for $205 and Grove sells them new for $253. I tried to buy a GPS on Ebay but so many silly people kept bidding like $300-$400 so I bought one new instead.

"Dan B." <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:tdXx5.6919$Ku3.40581@news4.atl...
>
> "Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:8q72og$3u5e$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Looks like a pager- Optoelectronics Micro Counter
> >
> > eBay:
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=437227345
> >
>
> The funny part about it is that they sell for 99 bucks NEW!
> http://www.optoelectronics.com/microcounter.htm
>
>
>

6520. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 05:38:46 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <39c84cb0.1653104@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 79295

Sometimes Slow on e-mail response but an ethical, friendly and real
place to do buisness.
Neal
Anahiem,CA

On Tue, 19 Sep 2000 20:12:06 GMT, Ken Potter <kpotter@greenepa.net>
wrote:

>Anyone with experience with ordering from Bandercom? Looking at an
>MVT-9000Mk2.
>
>Ken
>

6521. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 06:47:47 UTC
From: ihatebubbaihb@cs.come.on.now
Message-ID: <20000920024747.27439.00001902@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79296

Rodney wrote:

>I used to work for Domino's & we never used radios. I never heard of any
>other pizza delivery companies using them either. We always made the pizzas
>to order and delivered them one at a time unless there were a couple of
>addresses close together. We never carried extra pizzas in the car, so we
>didn't need to contact the store while driving.
>
>I dunno if that's the case for all pizza delivery companies.

Pizza Hut doesn't use radios either. The drivers use their own car for
delivery and that would make it difficult to install a radio every time you
worked. I worked at PH for 4 years. The situation is the same here. Pizzas
are made at the store at an individual order basis. There are no extra pizzas
in the car. We leave the store with the pizza, deliver to the house, and
return to the store to pick up another order. No need for radios.

--
It's appalling that people want pizza delivery and don't respect the service.
--
Do you know how to tip the pizza guy?
Pizza Driver Homepage
http://tipthepizzaguy.com

6522. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 06:50:35 UTC
From: ihatebubbaihb@cs.come.on.now
Message-ID: <20000920025035.27439.00001903@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79197

WilRobnson wrote:
>> Ok I have to add my 2 cents worth I guess...This summer while on a road trip
I
>> noticed that at a Dominos (or other pizza place, but I'm sure it was
Dominos)
>> in Burney, CA I noticed they had a fleet of pickup trucks all with VHF-high
>> band antennas...

markj wrote:
>Round Table in Prundale Ca. has VHF radios also.

This is very unusual. A small pizza store that's not part of a national chain
might do this. However, the great majority do not have radios and the driver
uses his or her own car.

--
It's appalling that people want pizza delivery and don't respect the service.
--
Do you know how to tip the pizza guy?
Pizza Driver Homepage
http://tipthepizzaguy.com

6523. looking for manual for bearcat 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-20 08:56:48 UTC
From: kevin leslie <krlesli@megavision.com>
Message-ID: <39c7b3cc@news.netimaging.com>
Source record: 79267

need manual for 8500 thanks in advance --kev contact me
at ------------krlesli@megavision.com

6524. Re: Sony Digital Phone (no DSS) Secure From Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: familyradio@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <8FB6CA73Cfamilyradio@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 79097

sginvestor@my-deja.com (SG Investor) wrote in
<8qb6sa$hs4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>Hello,
>Forgive me if this is the wrong group to ask, but who better to ask than
>the scanner experts here? Anyway, I just bought a Sony 900MHz Digital
>Phone (no DSS), model SPP-ID970, and was wondering if this phone was
>secure (unable to be heard by most scanners)? On the box, it says
>"Digital Privacy and Digital Sound Quality: - Digital speech encoding
>virtually ensures private, secure conversation between the cordless
>handset and base unit. Digital technology achieves amazing sound
>quality." Does that mean anything? Can they say that and still have
>one end of the conversation (base to handset or hand set to base) be in
>analog (which everyone can hear)? Also, has anyone had experience with
>Sony Phones - Good or Bad?
>Thanks for your time and help,
>SG Investor
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>

My SPPID910 is secure from scanners. All it sounds like on my scanner is a
"whining" noise when the phone is on. Also, every few seconds the phone
and base send a "handshake" signal back and forth to one another. There is
no way anyone can hear traffic from that phone.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@worldnet.att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing list: http://www.egroups.com/group/frs
Wilky5 on AIM johnwilky on Yahoo msgr.

6525. Re: WATED-BLIMP FREQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <OHwy5.5094$Y6.795236@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79098

Date: Sat, 7 Jun 1997 15:31:01 -0400
Reply-To: Rickey456@AOL.COM
Sender: Radio Scanner Discussion Forum
<SCAN-L@UAFSYSB.UARK.EDU> From: Rickey Stein
<Rickey456@AOL.COM>
Subject: BLIMP FILE- Update June 1997
To: Multiple recipients of list SCAN-L
<SCAN-L@UAFSYSB.UARK.EDU>

BLIMP FILE
Update: June 1997

It's time for another update of the BLIMP file. Last
report was February 1997 and since that time I've received
new information from a number of monitors who have kindly
shared their data with me.

I do most of my blimp watching while on a beach either
here in New Jersey during the summer months, or in Pompano
Beach FL while visiting my Mom. Since one airship is
stationed right in Pompano, there is almost year round
activity in that area. While there, I always monitor the
Goodyear blimp and watch for its activity.

These are the frequencies I've recorded [again as of
February 1997]:

151.6250 Airship 3-Alpha to Office
125.4000 Pompano Tower
250.8000 Airship 3-Alpha to Pompano Tower
[Pompano Tower was not heard responding here]

The following additional frequencies have been heard
and/or posted over the years:

123.0250 GY Blimp to Ground [NY]
123.0500 GY Blimp to Landing Area [NY] [port frequency]
123.0500 Fuji Blimp
123.0750 GY Blimp to Landing Area [NY]
123.2500 Blimp to landing area [JFK-NY]
Suffield (OH) Wingfoot Lake Facility
Fiji + GY Blimp
125.2500 JFK to Blimp
132.0000 Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company channel
[NYC] N-1-A KCA-186 [1-ALPHA]
GYB used to cover racing

145.5500 2 meter used from Blimps
151.6125 GYB used to cover racing
151.6250 Blimp Operations NBFM
GY to Ground Crew [NYC & Pompano Beach]
151.6400 GYB used to cover racing
151.6725 GYB used to cover racing
151.6850 reported GY Blimp to Office
158.4600 GY
217.4500 GY
250.8000 GY Blimp to Pompano Beach Tower and another
airship in Florida
461.8000 GY
462.2250 GY
462.2750 GY
462.4250 GY
463.0375 Metlife Blimp
463.7125 Metlife Blimp
464.0500 WIK-777
464.5500 Simplex DPL-172 GULF (Oil Corp)
Pilots have British Accents.

464.5500 Gulf Blimp
465.8875 Metlife Blimp
465.9125 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power 465.9125 GY
465.9375 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power 465.9375 GY
465.9625 Blimp Operations NBFM - Low Power 465.9625 GY
466.0375 Metlife Blimp

There was a question about the use of 217.450 and 250.800
by civilian entities. These reported frequencies are
military frequencies. 217.450 was reported in an early
blimp file. I have personally monitored communications on
250.800 as indicated above. A monitor reports that even
when the GY airship was stationed at the Glenview NAS near
Chicago in 1995, only civilian channels were used. The
last time he had an opportunity to examine the radio
stack, there was no evidence of military or UHF
capability.

Robert Wyman looked up Pompano Beach in the IFR directory,
and as I suspected, there are no UHF air listings. So I'm
fairly sure now, and Robert concurs, that the
communications heard on 250.800 are a harmonic on the
posted tower channel [125.400 x 2= 250.800-curious
coincidence?]. The use of 250.800 has not been reported in
any other area of operation. The US DoD IFR Supplement
book, which contains all airports which can easily support
military traffic, does NOT include Pompano... only Fort
Lauderdale International and Fort Lauderdale Executive.

I understand that there are three Goodyear Airships [Blimps] in operation in North America with a fourth in operation in Europe. The American blimps are stationed at three locations: one on each coast and one in-between. The AMERICA, tail number N3A, is based in Pompano Beach FL [formerly in Houston, Texas], the COLUMBIA, tail number N4A, is based in Los Angeles, and the ENTERPRISE, tail number N1A, which was in Pompano Beach, Florida, is now in an unknown location. [It was reported to be near Houston TX, but that has been shown not to be true.] Each blimp is accompanied by a three vehicle ground fleet consisting of a van, a tractor-trailer, and a Greyhound-like bus. The communications between the blimp and ground crew are normally held on 151.625 Mhz.

While in Florida, the Goodyear airships generally work VHF tower channels up and down the coast while in enroute to various media sites. While on station, they monitor the tower which controls the airspace they're in. This fulfils their "required" air traffic control function, but more often than not, the tower will just give advisories about the blimp to other traffic, and you'll only hear the blimp when entering or leaving their orbit area.

While on station, the blimp itself will talk to other aircraft on a unicom or "chatter" channel informally used for the specific event. 123.050, 123.450 and others are used for this, and it allows the blimp, helos, and banner-tow aircraft to talk on the same channel. Again, this is the air traffic control function. Some sites, like stadiums, always use the same unicom frequencies during events.

The next function is more adminstrative than anything else. Goodyear has used those 465 MHz freqs for "company" information between the ground support staff and the airborne staff, including ground ops. at the landing zone. Other airships, such as those operated by Airships International, use other business band freqs for the same purpose.

Finally, the media crew will use everything from point-to-point microwave, to the standard VHF/UHF "remote broadcast" channels, to any one of the UHF itinerant/low power channels for media production, cueing, audio feeds, etc.

I have a report from a monitor that in December 1996 the AMERICA [N3A] was flying in the Pompano Beach FL area. A local TV helicopter and newscrew were along doing a story on the blimp. They used 132.000 the whole time. The reporter was on the blimp, and the videographer was on the helicopter taping the reporter. The reporter talked over

132.000 to the cameraman who recorded the audio [their wireless mics didn't work].

132.000 is an AIRINC frequency licensed in Pompano Beach under callsign WDF8. All of the licensed AIRINC freqs in this area were monitored but the the blimp was only heard this one time. A Transway International plane was also heard. The frequency is also licensed in Fort Lauderdale.

Another frequency to watch is 129.625...the banner-tow aircraft from North Perry have been on this channel. It's not known if this is new or old, an AIRINC license or what; it is being used as an air-to-air.

In the continuing Blimp saga....
Other sightings:

Sunday 10 September 1995, I saw a Budweiser airship flying north/south over the beaches of Monmouth County New Jersey. I think that I heard some comms between this ship and another blimp or airplane. They were using 122.850 [this frequency is commonly used by skywriters along the New Jersey shore.] I have no idea if this is a usual frequency for this blimp.

The blimp was silver and red and I could not see the registration number but I believe that the tail fins said RED ONE. There was a strong westerly wind and the ship was having great difficulty moving. It was tacking, much as a water vessel would- back and forth- east to west. It also seemed to be fighting strong downdrafts since the ship would tilt forward by perhaps 10-15 degrees [a guess]. It went from the north to the south, returned northward and then south west to [again a guess] Lakehurst or Mc Guire AFB. No other comms were heard. All previous blimp frequencies were monitored while the ship was in sight and a search was made of the VHF air band at the same time. Has anyone heard comms from this ship?

The Budweiser airship was seen sharing the skyline with Met Life over downtown Chicago in May 1996. It id-ed as BUD-1. The Goodyear blimp is in the Chicago area several times a year, although it will no longer be at Glenview, which is now closed. There was speculation as to where it might go. Among the places named were Midway, O'Hare, Palwaukee or perhaps Waukegan. Anyone in Chicage have a report?

A new blimp was launched in June 1996 in Atlanta, GA. It carries the Kroger logo and is the possibly largest blimp now in use. Called the Spirit of Atlanta, inital reports had the blimp in use to follow the Olympic Games. It has

since be reported that it will be used as a police surveillance aircraft. Seems that Kroger was not an official sponsor of the games. A banner with the words "Atlanta Police Department" will be hung over the Kroger logo.

In May of 1995, the Marlin's Airship [white with pinstrips] was also seen off the coast at Pompano Beach FL, but no transmissions were heard from them. At one point as both airships were over the ocean, 3-Alpha called to Marlin on 250.800. A reply was received that I did not hear and a second message was sent from 3-Alpha to both Pompano Tower and the Marlin Airship. Does anyone know where the Marlin's ship is hangered and what frequencies are used? I was recently advised to also try 120.700 for general blimp traffic, although I have yet to hear anything on this frequency. A message was also posted that all blimp activity in Florida is on low power, non-repeatered itinerate frequencies used for short distances. These frequencies reportedly change daily.

In South Florida, the ships are re-worked each season [it seems] with new sponsors. They've had the Dolphins/Marlins/Panthers blimp, the Family Channel blimp [lightship] and others. Orlando has the Sea World blimp which sometimes comes down here too. In previous years, Bud One, Fuji, McDonald's, and others have been in town.

Regarding some of the blimps mentioned, it was suggested that a search by N-number be done. This would show who is really operating the corporate-sponsored ships.

Planned blimp activities in Florida this year included golf tournaments at Weston and Doral in late February, the Grand Prix in Homestead [also February], and the Lipton tennis event in March.

BLIMP SPOTTERS:

Rich Carlson
Denny Bagioli
Tom DiGiulio
Jan Fine
Mike Fink
Steve Holland
Phil Moldovan
Chris Parris
Kevin Thornton
Robert Wyman

All additional information is welcome. Feel free to contact me at:

Rickey Stein steinda@umdnj.edu
X-RCMA/SCAN-L International Editor

In article
<13940-39BCF6B3-14@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
egh-2421@webtv.net (edward gilmartin) wrote:

> looking for any blimp freq.
>
> thanks
>

6526. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gibstah <gibstahnospam@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <ILwy5.10879$u6.348158@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 79099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yee haw!Humor in this newsgroup woo-hoo.
mr,webb thank you

6527. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mstone@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <39CA9B6F.84D5A100@interlog.com>
Source record: 79100

Yes it is illegal to have scanners in your vehicle in NY state.. Usually when I get pulled over or cross the border into the states I usually put my radio under my seat as a precautionary measure.. better be safe then sorry but I dunno what would happen if the officer noticed you radio.. I guess it depends on the decretion of the officer..

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.interlog.com/~mstone/

"Thomas J. Harris" wrote:

> Hello,
> Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and I want to
> carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?

6528. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39CA9C88.9B1AF746@snet.net>
Source record: 79101

Matthew Stone wrote:
> Usually
> when I get pulled over or cross the border into the states I usually put my
> radio under my seat as a precautionary measure.. better be safe then sorry
> but I dunno what would happen if the officer noticed you radio.. I guess it
> depends on the decretion of the officer..

Of course, putting something under your seat during a traffic stop won't
attact undue attention. <G>

Barry
--

Barry Burke Jr.
http://www.bburke.com

nospam@snet.net IS
my REAL email address

6529. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39CAA0BE.C93AC362@snet.net>
Source record: 79102

"Thomas J. Harris" wrote:

> Hello,
> Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and I want to
> carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?

While I can't answer specifically on NY, in general states exempt licensed radio amateurs. In some backwards states hams were be arrested for having a radio in their car that was capable of public service communication. With general coverage receivers on ham vhf/uhf transceivers being common, this opened the door for such foolishness. As if an average officer would know anything by looking at a radio anyway. There was a big problem in, I think it was South Carolina a few years back that brought up this issue for many.

6530. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mstone@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <39CAA280.B20FBD55@interlog.com>
Source record: 79103

LOL.. oh right.. that would look kind of fishy wouldn't it.. :) I haven't had any problems YET.. :)
What can the officer do if they find a scanner in your vehicle.. can they legally confiscate it and there will be no way of getting it back? Oh ya I believe if I am not mistaken Amateurs are exempt from this law.. and that goes for almost anywhere where they have that law but I am not sure for the rest of the states as I don't live in the states but do travel through NY often but I am sure about the exemption in NY

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.interlog.com/~mstone/

"Barry Burke Jr." wrote:

> Matthew Stone wrote:
> > Usually
> > when I get pulled over or cross the border into the states I usually put my
> > radio under my seat as a precautionary measure.. better be safe then sorry
> > but I dunno what would happen if the officer noticed you radio.. I guess it
> > depends on the decretion of the officer..
>
> Of course, putting something under your seat during a traffic stop won't
> attact undue attention. <G>
>
> Barry
> --
> ------------------------
> Barry Burke Jr.
> http://www.bburke.com
>
> nospam@snet.net IS
> my REAL email address
> ------------------------

6531. help needed again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve <sbi961@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <EE39EB865FC31FA1.A768BED9D24F1B06.4C1AFDE50BAE22C2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79104

can anyone help me with the texas christian university police
frequencies
i lost my police calls and cant find another one anywhere thanks
steve

6532. Re: Icom IC-R1 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hoser <Hoser@doofus.mn>
Message-ID: <6ablssckrhpak7qlk58df4jsm56lp974eg@4ax.com>
Source record: 79105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <ecY58V9IAHA.326@cpmsnbbsa09>, "Joseph"
<ffequities@email.msn.com> wrote:

>I have an IC-R1 that fell into a puddle for three seconds. I quickly dried
>it off, opened it and cool-air dried it with a blow drier on cool air
>setting. The radio worked well for the next few hours till it was time to
>recharge the batteries. However, plugging in the charger for the fifteen
>hours recommended did not charge the batteries at all. The batteries are
>totally DEAD! The radio still works with the adapter but... Is there any way
>to rejuvenate the batteries, and if not, where can I get a replacement pack
>for a reasonable (Cheap) price? Any assistance in this matter is greatly
>appreciated. Please e-mail me directly as I don't always get the chance to
>read this NG.
>Thanks.
>

Several places sell internal batteries for around $22.50 plus about $5
or so for shipping. That's a bunch less than the Icom price. Battery
dealers usually list them in their amateur/2-way radio section under
Icom model IC-2SA, which is a ham radio that was built in the same
case as the R1.

But first, check for crud in the charger jack. There is a tiny switch
in there. If it is stuck you won't be able to run on battery. Also,
there is a circuit board in the bottom of the unit that controls
battery charging. There could be a problem with it. There are switch
contacts on it that detect whether or not an external battery pack is
installed. It also has the usual wires that break, etc. You might
want to have a look at it before shelling out $30 for a battery or
even bigger bucks for a trip to Icom.
---

http://www.batterystation.com/icom.htm

Internal battery pack 7.2 v 350mah WC-024K6-IB $22.50

---

http://www.mrnicd-ehyostco.com/newpage2.htm

INSERTS FOR ICOM PACKS

2SAT Internal w/connector 7.2 V 300 mAh $22.50

---

http://www.wwassociates.com/battery.html#ICOM

Internal Battery Pack 94506577 7.2v @ 300 MAH WC-024K6-IB
with/connector

6533. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39CAD33A.CA7AE724@postnet.com>
Source record: 79106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mikey A wrote:

> I have had scanners for a looooooooong time but this is the first
> trunking radio I have owned. My local area agencies just went trunked
> three weeks ago and this radio follows the conversations just like my
> old scanner did before they went to trunked systems. I found that an
> outdoor antenna helps if you live in hilly area.

That squares with my impressions. The 92 was a complete washout for me (tired 2 of them), but the 92A seems to pretty much have it together trunking-wise. It does seem to be very picky as far as signal strength is concerned. It seems to require a very strong signal to keep following the control channel. When the signal is marginal (and that can be a problem with 800 MHz transmissions from some distance - i.e. from suburbs or in hilly areas) it can lose the "lock" on the control channel and the "ID Hold" feature goes all to hell. My brief experience closer to the transmitter didn't seem to show these problems.

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

6534. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason McNorton <jmcn@ont.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.143493ab4fee181b9896e0@news.ont.com>
Source record: 79107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <39CAD33A.CA7AE724@postnet.com>, dpm3@postnet.com says...
>
>
> Mikey A wrote:
>
> > I have had scanners for a looooooooong time but this is the first
> > trunking radio I have owned. My local area agencies just went trunked
> > three weeks ago and this radio follows the conversations just like my
> > old scanner did before they went to trunked systems. I found that an
> > outdoor antenna helps if you live in hilly area.
>
> That squares with my impressions. The 92 was a complete washout for
> me (tired 2 of them), but the 92A seems to pretty much have it together
> trunking-wise. It does seem to be very picky as far as signal strength is
> concerned. It seems to require a very strong signal to keep following the
> control channel. When the signal is marginal (and that can be a problem with
> 800 MHz transmissions from some distance - i.e. from suburbs or in hilly
> areas) it can lose the "lock" on the control channel and the "ID Hold"
> feature goes all to hell. My brief experience closer to the transmitter
> didn't seem to show these problems.

I've noticed bigger problems with signal overloading and wanting the
attenuator on. A big pain in trunking, as you'll end up with some ATT
and some not. The AGC for strong signals sucks, to put it frankly.

6535. Re: Where are scanner stores in bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.21.0009212114450.4209-100000@cardinal0.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 79108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/

6536. can you buy scanners on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.21.0009212115470.4209-100000@cardinal0.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 79109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

please provide me with a web address (s)

Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/

6537. How to listen in on ham radio conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.21.0009212122270.15036-100000@cardinal0.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 79110
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can you direct me on how to accomplish this
Suiz in California

Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/

6538. Re: looking for an receive amp for mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Costa <cvc@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <OgtH$NFJAHA.369@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79111

I have a New GRE Super Amp mobile with a cigarette lighter adapter that
works great in a mobile. 20db gain adjustable. $60 shipped.
Chuck cvc@bigfoot.com

"jp" <jpotoma@home.com> wrote in message
news:sbAy5.77683$i5.2918677@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> does anyone know if a radio shack amp would work in
> a mobile, i was wondering if the ones in the catalogs that
> have a dc adapter attached to them can be used with a
> 12v to 6v or 9v adapter for a scanner using the 800mhz
> groups???
>
>

6539. Re: Mass State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ogm@aol.com
Message-ID: <39c9515b.2169583@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79151

THANKS!!

On Tue, 19 Sep 2000 23:39:25 GMT, John Mullaney
<john@minutemancomm.com> wrote:

> It's a smart net system this link tells you everything you need to
>know: http://lynx.dac.neu.edu/s/stjohnso/ematrunk/msp/msp.html
>
>n2ogm@aol.com wrote:
>
>> Can someone tell me what the Mass State Police Freqs are? Thanks.
>

6540. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Btcy5.1970$hD4.562553@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79152

Uniden Bearcat BC9000-XLT, no longer made, but you'll find them around in
the newsgroups, and on Ebay.

Bill Crocker

"Charles Patterson" <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote in message
news:8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
> It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
> signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels
were
> the busiest.
>
> Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?
>
> Charles
> N2AXO
>
>

6541. Re: scanner interference help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39C93EB6.AF8C95C0@GTE.net>
Source record: 79153

"Eric G." wrote:

> I am using a magnet mount Radio Shack antennae on my big rig. There is no
> metal on the roof to secure the antenae to. I use duct tape. I was getting a
> 'hiss'/open mike sounds on 42 mhz frequencies (CHP in Cal). It only did it
> some times and not on the same 42. mhz channels (no consistencies whatsoever).
> Today, I ran a little black wire from the antennae to a bolt. I am hoping this
> helps to 'ground' the antennae and gets rid of the problem. I was wondering if
> my scanner being next to the dash of the truck (with all the electrical devices
> operating possibly ommiting RF signals interfering with the scanner) was the
> problem. If it is, is there any way to better 'insulate' my PRO-91 to keep out
> the RF signal interference?
> I am a very seasoned scanner user, but not an electrical technician. By the
> way, when I use the magnet mount on my car, I get great performance.
>
> Thanks to anyone out there for the help.......
> Eric G.
> ignorance is no excuse.....

Without a proper ground palne, the outside of the shield on the coax will act as
part of the antenna, picking up all kinds of engine and auto computer noise.

Dale W4OP

6542. Re: scanner interference help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39C93EC8.C2EE7F3F@GTE.net>
Source record: 79154

"Eric G." wrote:

> I am using a magnet mount Radio Shack antennae on my big rig. There is no
> metal on the roof to secure the antenae to. I use duct tape. I was getting a
> 'hiss'/open mike sounds on 42 mhz frequencies (CHP in Cal). It only did it
> some times and not on the same 42. mhz channels (no consistencies whatsoever).
> Today, I ran a little black wire from the antennae to a bolt. I am hoping this
> helps to 'ground' the antennae and gets rid of the problem. I was wondering if
> my scanner being next to the dash of the truck (with all the electrical devices
> operating possibly ommiting RF signals interfering with the scanner) was the
> problem. If it is, is there any way to better 'insulate' my PRO-91 to keep out
> the RF signal interference?
> I am a very seasoned scanner user, but not an electrical technician. By the
> way, when I use the magnet mount on my car, I get great performance.
>
> Thanks to anyone out there for the help.......
> Eric G.
> ignorance is no excuse.....

Without a proper ground plane, the outside of the shield on the coax will act as
part of the antenna, picking up all kinds of engine and auto computer noise.

Dale W4OP

6543. Re: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC-3000XLT SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: icomfan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qblkc$3p8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79155

In article <sRay5.12837$ks.477409@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Joe" <Joe_Tester@excite.com> wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=438709911
>
>

Hey Joe - why do you post your auction as a reply to another auction?
Wouldn't be trying to divert traffic to yours that happens to end first
would you?

By the way the RED text in your auction that states what is included
says "BC9000XLT", so when I win you auction, that's the one you'd
better send me! (if you want to send along the 3000xlt as well, feel
free)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6544. FT: BC890xlt LNB for a BC245xlt...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000920210646.23913.00000072@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79156

i have a like new in box bc890xlt i would like to trade for a bc245xlt and
also would sell the bc890xlt out right for 160.00 plus shipping

please email me privately
gregg

6545. FA: PRO-2021 200-CH Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Vvdy5.2044$hD4.589934@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79157

For Auction on Ebay, with only 15 hours to go, and currently at only $53.00!

Radio Shack PRO-2021 (Cat #20-113) 200-Channel Programmable Scanner Radio. Direct access to over 23,000 frequencies, in nine different bands, including Aircraft, Ham Radio, Police/Fire, etc! It also has a Priority Channel feature, which automatically checks for activity on a special channel, while scanning other channels! You can also store up to ten new channels while doing new searches! Large multi-purpose LCD shows which channels, and frequencies are being scanned, monitored, or programmed, as well as the status of the channels and the operation mode! Scanner is very easy to program, and operate! Includes channel lockout with built-in skipper circuit! Scanner has built-in speaker with 1.5 watts of audio output. It also has jacks on the back for an external Antenna, Speaker, 12VDC power, and Tape Recorder! Scanner comes complete with original box, user's manual, antenna, 120VAC power cord, 12VDC mobile power cord, and mobile mounting bracket! Scanner has sturdy metal cabinet, un-like cheap plastic scanners of today. Unit is in excellent condition, with bright back-light, and works perfect!

Click here...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=442004629

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

6546. Re: UNIDEN BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <1ydy5.339$iq.57044@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 79158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Is the scanner still available? I will buy it if it is.

6547. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000920213203.20542.00000069@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 79159

```
RadioShack 2036
===================
Dwayne
--------------------------------------
Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
--------------------------------------
Remove nojunk to email
```

6548. FS: Pro-43 w/Cellular & Low Band Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robbin Olson <rolson@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <39c9676f@news.mcg.net>
Source record: 79160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I would like to sell my Pro-43 which has both the cellular (870-890 MHz) and low (51-88 MHz) band restoration. This scanner is in very nice condition with a few small scratchs on the case and a few wear marks on the finish. I do not know how to better describe it. The marks are nothing more than from normal use and nothing is really very noticeable at all. The crystal is scratch free and all wording and button identification is clear and wear free. The scanner will include the Owner's Manual. Additionally, I will include the Service Manual for the Pro-43 scanner. There is also a carrying case that works well with the unit, although it is an aftermarket generic carrying case and not specifically made for the Pro-43.

I am asking $225 plus $5 for shipping, but I will entertain offers if I do not sell it at this price. I am also open to a trade for shortwave or scanner equipment. I would be very interested in a Pro-2006 or a AR3000A trade of some sort.

Thank you for reading my posting.

R. Olson

6549. Re: Pro 2067 misfeature? Or error in manual? re Talk Group ID lockout.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39d166be.441913988@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79161

On Wed, 20 Sep 2000 22:17:29 GMT, "Pmcartney" <pmcartney@netzero.net>
wrote:

>Read More,
>You can lock out specified ID's by specifying them.

Yes, but only if the bank is in closed mode, which means you also have to
specify the ones you *want* to listen to. That makes the ID lockout
nearly useless -- except for temporary lockouts of normally-desired IDs.

It would be much more useful if there was something "in between" open and
closed mode, in which the scanner would honor ID lockouts but would simply
let you hear all other IDs.

A one-button way to lockout the ID currently being heard would be very
useful too.

>As for trunking problems leave it in open mode, if possible put that trunk
>group of freqs in their own bank. Specify each freq's mode! Then hit
>func-trunk on that bank and MODE and select the MODE they all use.
>Unlock any locked out control freqs.

Did all that. That exact sequence, and many, many variations.

>It works.

Not on mine it doesn't. Or "didn't", I should say; I took it back for a
refund.

--- jeh

6550. Wanted: Kenwood Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RIC MARSHALL <ricmarshall@home.com>
Message-ID: <tzey5.3125$1_.237270@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 79162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Wanted, 1 Kenwood programming cable (KPG-10)
for a TK-220/330 HT.

--
RIC MARSHALL
RICHARD@ricmarshall.com

Rome did not create a great empire by having meetings...they
did it by killing all those who opposed them.

6551. MDT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000920232107.23946.00000114@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 79163

I have a PRO-2036 that I tapped the discriminator on that I want to use to monitor MDT's. What do I need to do from here? Do I plug it into the soundcard on my PC? What kind of cable do I use? What software should I use for this? I used to have MDT monitor but I bought a new computer and kinda forgot about it:(I guess what I'm really saying is that I don't have a clue as to where to go from here. Any help would be great.
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

6552. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39c9894f.6693313@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This response makes absolutely no sense......

>I got 2 in my store.

Hmmm, a Radio Shack store? That would explain a lot here...

> I tried one at home. Don't waste your money compared
>to a Pro 92a it is so low in sensitivity I almost threw it at the wall.

WHAT did you compare to a 92 A?
I
>was hoping it would work better just on conventional. It does scan fast.
>Goofy auto light that works as it desires.

The auto light is goofy, and works as IT desires? What does that
mean? The scanner thinks for itself? WHAT scanner?

What in the hell are you talking about?

Dan

>--Rich
>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39c821df.1980176@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the
>> 92A, but where the heck are they?
>>
>> Grove doesn't have them yet. The Rat Shack drone looked at me like I
>> had two heads today when I asked about it at the store.
>>
>> He just got in a new shipment, and they were all old 92s...
>>
>> WHat gives? WHERE ARE THEY?
>>
>> Dan
>
>

6553. Re: Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <o5hy5.58634$Qx4.2047545@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79165

I LOVE THAT IDEA!! how about this one? "I listen to cordless" "I know who
your having phone sex with" but I would also be interested in having a
T-Shirt with different logos on them like you have created.. and me too
Jim <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:EwQv5.2$rM3.1980@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> How about....
>
> [large print]
> "I Listen To Celular Calls"
> [small print]
> "I know who you are and what you did"
>
>
>
> SMB <scott.m.bowen1@jsc.nasa.gov> wrote in message
> news:8po12p$99d@niven.ksc.nasa.gov...
> > I think we should get together and make up shirts that say,
> > "Scanning Is Not A Crime"
> >
> > Then put all the scanner makers logos on it.
> >
> >
> >
> > John Glas <jglas@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:27422-39BF0393-2@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > > Does anybody sell T-shirts with different
> > > company logo's. I would like a Uniden
> > > Bearcat shirt if any one sells such a thing and I'm sure other scanner
> > > enthusiasts
> > > would like to have there favorite product.
> > > Just wondering?
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6554. Re: RadioShack Pro 79 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ahy5.58645$Qx4.2047733@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79166

Hey I thank ya kindly I will defenetly scope that out. If I cant modify this one I am gonna go to the locam ham fest and see if I can pick up a barcat or something like that. I just wanna be able to pull in Cellular and some other freq's. I am just starting to learn about scanning.
DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:39c735a2$0$16596$7d5a4a58@news.compuserve.de...
> Hi Nick,
>
> try http://www.radio-portal.org
>
> and do a search for shack within the category "Modifications". You may
want
> to try the category "Manuals and Schematics" also.
>
> 73,
> Willi
>
>
> Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
> Zeix5.49176$Qx4.1811157@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> > Hey This is Nick here. Does anyone have any iformation on modifying a
> > Radioshack pro 79 Handheald? Please send me any information if your have
> it.
> > Also looking for Any Weather realted radios that I could recive or
> transmit
> > for severe storm data. Thanks alot!
> >
> >
>
>

6555. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qc820$88ma$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79167

Bill Cheek's "Scanner Modification Handbook" vol 2 has a mod for such a counter.

6556. Re: RadioShack PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: misty giddens <mgiddens@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <C59A03311168E26E.6390EA3DAEFFA974.1D024D47650CC5A9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79168

yes it is a good scanner and if you pop the cover and cut L201 it will
restore full 800mhz scanning in the proper increments..

Shamire wrote:

> ive been out of scanning for about 2 years now.. ive found a Radio Shack
> PRO 2026
> for a Cheap price.. its a little beat up but as far as i know it
> still works
>
> can you still get replacment parts for it??
>
> is it a good scanner to start off with until i can save money for
> a better one?
> Would this be a good desktop scanner just to play around with?
>
> Thanks!

6557. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <Ochy5.2431$1W3.497039@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39c9894f.6693313@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>
> This response makes absolutely no sense......
>
> >I got 2 in my store.
>
> Hmmm, a Radio Shack store? That would explain a lot here...
>
> > I tried one at home. Don't waste your money compared
> >to a Pro 92a it is so low in sensitivity I almost threw it at the wall.
>
> WHAT did you compare to a 92 A?
> I
> >was hoping it would work better just on conventional. It does scan fast.
> >Goofy auto light that works as it desires.
>
> The auto light is goofy, and works as IT desires? What does that
> mean? The scanner thinks for itself? WHAT scanner?
>
> What in the hell are you talking about?
>
> Dan
>

That about sums up what I was going to say...

/tim/

6558. Re: Scanner shirts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jason carr <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <k0bjss8agr0upqedcnkskbrkqlk5l42nne@4ax.com>
Source record: 79170

On Thu, 21 Sep 2000 05:34:12 GMT, "Nick Sterling"
<poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I LOVE THAT IDEA!! how about this one? "I listen to cordless" "I know who
>your having phone sex with" but I would also be interested in having a
>T-Shirt with different logos on them like you have created.. and me too

Or the more militant-minded:

(Front) If you don't want me to listen...
(Back) Keep your signal off my antenna.

--
L.V.X., frater mus
work - http://www.mousetrap.net/ngs/ cgi, database backends
play - http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/ the Great Work, Honda
Civic wagon and Spree scooter, eBay FAQ, guitar, ferret

6559. Re: Where are the Pro-92A scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mikey A <elhippo@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <39C9C92F.A202B7F0@concentric.net>
Source record: 79171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> I've been hearing a lot about this new, improved Pro-92, called the
> 92A, but where the heck are they?

Daniel ~~

There are plenty pf 92A models to be had. My local Radio Shack stores have them in the East Bay (San Francisco) and on the Peninsula, Scanners Unlimited has them. The prices are all list - $350. Yuk!

But I bought one anyway because Grove, who advertises them on theeir web site for $249, says they won't have them for a few more weeks.

I bought mine from Scanners Unlimited (San Carlos, CA.) and I was told that for out-of-state customers they will ship them without tax added. They have a web page but I don't know it - do a search and it'll pop up.

I have noticed that some of the Radio Shack employees are totally unaware that the PRO-92 they stock is, in fact, the 92-A.

The box still says "92", the front of the case still says "92" but you can tell if it is 92-A by looking at the metal ID tag on back of the radio itself. Next to the RS catalog number is an "A" enclosed in a square. If that "A" is there then it is a 92-A.

So check to be sure.

I have had scanners for a looooooooong time but this is the first trunking radio I have owned. My local area agencies just went trunked three weeks ago and this radio follows the conversations just like my old scanner did before they went to trunked systems. I found that an outdoor antenna helps if you live in hilly area.

The owners manual is CRAP! I played for two days and never got it scanning trunked freqs - then I stumbled upon this step-by-step website and then had it working fine in ten minutes:

http://www.pro-92.com/gettingstarted.htm

Have fun!
--
Later..... MikeyA..... Frisco Bay / USA.....

Check out my homepage at http://www.concentric.net/~elhippo/

****
If ya stand back a little bit and squint

yer eyes..... you'll never notice.
****

6560. FS: Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Thompson <rickt@trvnet.net>
Message-ID: <39CA0CA2.3F28077B@trvnet.net>
Source record: 79172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner For sale on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441143145

6561. FS: Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Thompson <rickt@trvnet.net>
Message-ID: <39CA0D6B.603A681E@trvnet.net>
Source record: 79173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

For Sale on ebay, Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443877592

6562. Re: Pro 2067 misfeature? Or error in manual? re Talk Group ID lockout.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qctbu$fs5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79174

The other dirty secret about the Pro-2067 is that you cannot HOLD on a trunk ID unless it is displayed on the screen. Then you have to press and hold the TRUNK key for a couple seconds.

And even then, all the display shows is ID LOCK (or something similar) and NOT the trunk id or alpha tag of the channel being held.

Very weird.

Regards,

Wayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6563. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qctjb$g62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How about all the standard noise that comes in daily on all the
channels:

a) 911 hangups
b) False burglar alarms
c) Lift assists

I swear that 90% of the effort of the departments have to be devoted to
those types of calls.

Of course it is the other 10% that makes monitoring worthwhile.

Regards,

Wayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6564. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <39CA00A0.7F1A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 79176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

jsellers airmail.net wrote:
>
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2000 05:27:23 -0400, Gene Floyd <webmaster@zylay.com>
> wrote:
>
> >> What is an outlaw CB? Since they dropped the Licensing requirements a bunch
> >> of years ago..
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >
> >A CB that can transmit more than 5 watts, or can transmit on any other
> >frequency (including those between the channels) than the allocated 40 channels,
> >or use methods other than CW, AM, or SSB. If you use a ham radio as a CB, then
> >it is considered an illegal CB as would a linear or modulator.
>
> So....does this mean that my old HyGain II with a SLIDER is now
> illegel? Also known as a VFO.
>
> It was all legal when I bought it. But that was a number of years
> ago.
>

The Hy-Gain "slider" was designed to plug into a jack in the rear of
certain Hy-Gain radios. When activated, it allowed the RECEIVER to tune
through the bands. Since, out of box, it did not allow VFO on transmit,
it was considered legal. However, cutting just one wire inside allowed
it to function on transmit as well, which is what most people, who owned
them, did. But THAT was definitely NOT legal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

6565. Regency Model Z10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Connor <dcnnr@sover.net>
Message-ID: <hmny5.2577$av3.155257@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79177

I've had this scanner for quite awile sitting in a closet
It's down side no freq setting save Ie.. power goes out you have to
reprogram
unless you use a 12 volt batery to power it contiously

I have no manual for this scanner
once I knew how to set the scan high and scan low freq settings
for the freq find function (scans from low freq to high freq searching for a
signal).

Anyone remember how?
or have a manual?

please reply to dcnnr@sover.net

Doug

6566. Re: UNIDEN BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <BWny5.2675$1W3.610876@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Todd,
Where are you?? I've been emailing since your ad appeared to buy your scanner, evidently others have also. Let me know.
Richard, W4UGM

6567. Icom IC-R1 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ecY58V9IAHA.326@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 79179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have an IC-R1 that fell into a puddle for three seconds. I quickly dried it off, opened it and cool-air dried it with a blow drier on cool air setting. The radio worked well for the next few hours till it was time to recharge the batteries. However, plugging in the charger for the fifteen hours recommended did not charge the batteries at all. The batteries are totally DEAD! The radio still works with the adapter but... Is there any way to rejuvenate the batteries, and if not, where can I get a replacement pack for a reasonable (Cheap) price? Any assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated. Please e-mail me directly as I don't always get the chance to read this NG.
Thanks.

6568. FA:Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW©
Message-ID: <pNoy5.234156$i5.3160629@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

For Auction on ebay, Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443877592

6569. FA:Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW©
Message-ID: <oOoy5.234159$i5.3160716@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner For auction on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441143145

6570. FA:Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW©
Message-ID: <IPoy5.234163$i5.3160494@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Auction on ebay, Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443877592

6571. FA:Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <pQoy5.234165$i5.3160518@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack PRO-46 100ch Scanner For auction on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441143145

6572. Re: Sony Digital Phone (no DSS) Secure From Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8qd805$l76$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79184

That phone is in fact all digital and by far most scanners could not hear it. But, you should pay a bit more and move up to DSS. Not for beter security but better performnace
---
In article <8qb6sa$hs4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, SG Investor <sginvestor@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Hello,
> Forgive me if this is the wrong group to ask, but who better to ask than
> the scanner experts here? Anyway, I just bought a Sony 900MHz Digital
> Phone (no DSS), model SPP-ID970, and was wondering if this phone was
> secure (unable to be heard by most scanners)? On the box, it says
> "Digital Privacy and Digital Sound Quality: - Digital speech encoding
> virtually ensures private, secure conversation between the cordless
> handset and base unit. Digital technology achieves amazing sound
> quality." Does that mean anything? Can they say that and still have
> one end of the conversation (base to handset or hand set to base) be in
> analog (which everyone can hear)? Also, has anyone had experience with
> Sony Phones - Good or Bad?
> Thanks for your time and help,
> SG Investor
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6573. Re: Pizza Delivery Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8qd82o$l76$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79185
Cross-posted to: alt.pizza.delivery.drivers,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

So they can have communication back to the store if they get lost..have the wrong address..etc, etc.

In article <39C7D779.E4481AAD@together.net>, Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net> wrote:

> Why do pizza people need radios? It is not like you have pizza ovens in
> your cars....do you? ;-)
>
> Chris
> I will never tell if this is my real name or not so there :-P

6574. Police & Fire for Topeka Ks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Acord <acordr@networksplus.net>
Message-ID: <39ca1df3$1@news.networksplus.net>
Source record: 79186

Anyone know where I can get the frequencies for Police & Fire Dept for Topeka Ks?

Thanks.

6575. Re: FA:Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <AYqy5.3089$1W3.674516@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks, we saw it the first 4 times you posted it, once is enough.

"TW&#65533;" <tandb8ON0--SPaM@home..com> wrote in message
news:pNoy5.234156$i5.3160629@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com...
For Auction on ebay, Universal M-450 ver1.01 Decoder
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=443877592

6576. Uher tape recorder information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Unger <w4au@contesting.com>
Message-ID: <39CA3B6B.531A0EF3@contesting.com>
Source record: 79188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I have recently acquired a Uher Report Monitor 4400 tape recorder
that I plan to use in conjunction with various ham-related projects.
The problem is that I do not have a manual and need information on
the pin-outs for the various DIN connectors on the recorder. Can
someone supply me with that information? I would also pay for
copying a manual if someone was willing to do that.

tnx es 73 - John, W4AU

6577. Re: Icom IC-R1 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbutler322@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000921135928.00958.00000168@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 79189

Hello--

Sorry about your R-1. The R1 has and internal charging circuit and possibly the water shorted it out. It has its own battery (ala a CMOS battery in your PC) and if that battery goes out or if for any reason that circuit shorts, the unit will not charge. The main batteries are probably OK, just discharged.

I would recommend that you send it to Icom, but be prepared to spend $75-100.

Bob Butler W4RBB

6578. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <8qdhr4$3f3@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 79190

The BC2500XLT handheld also had a hit counter. (it was removed for the BC3000 though)

Brett - N7OLQ

"Charles Patterson" <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote in message news:8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
> It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
> signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels were
> the busiest.
>
> Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?
>
> Charles
> N2AXO
>
>

6579. FS Pro-91 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <A5sy5.61$lq5.22219@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 79191

Works fine. No belt clip and no charger. Comes with re-chargable batteries,
antenna and printed copy of manual.
$150.00 US or $225.00 CDN. Contact Jim at 902-452-7244 or
ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

6580. Help Me!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <9Hvy5.831$Mw.3491@read1>
Source record: 79192

Hello,

Got a problem, hooked up a scanner to my truck (2000 F-150) and I am getting nothing but noise back when the channel locks in. When it is standing still it is fine, when it is moving, the noise is almost unbearable. Any ideas??? Help.. Oh ya, it's plugged into the Cigareete Lighter Adaptor with a 12 DC 5A

Jason Morris

6581. Re: Help Me!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <8Jvy5.145$mI6.876@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79193

Could be that some moving part in the engine is creating interference with your scanner. I had this happen to me when I tried to use my scanner in my boat. The buzz seemed to correspond to engine RPM. Hope the problem gets solved ;-)

Adam Kolari

"Jason Morris" <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message news:9Hvy5.831$Mw.3491@read1...
>
>
> Hello,
>
> Got a problem, hooked up a scanner to my truck (2000 F-150) and I am
> getting nothing but noise back when the channel locks in. When it is
> standing still it is fine, when it is moving, the noise is almost
> unbearable. Any ideas??? Help.. Oh ya, it's plugged into the Cigareete
> Lighter Adaptor with a 12 DC 5A
>
> Jason Morris
>
>

6582. Re: Help Me!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <O%vy5.4740$hD4.868643@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79194

If you could describe the type of noise, that would be helpful. Also, have you tried a separate power source to see if the noise is coming though the 12V line, or RF?

Bill Crocker

"Jason Morris" <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message news:9Hvy5.831$Mw.3491@read1...
>
>
> Hello,
>
> Got a problem, hooked up a scanner to my truck (2000 F-150) and I am
> getting nothing but noise back when the channel locks in. When it is
> standing still it is fine, when it is moving, the noise is almost
> unbearable. Any ideas??? Help.. Oh ya, it's plugged into the Cigareete
> Lighter Adaptor with a 12 DC 5A
>
> Jason Morris
>
>

6583. mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas J. Harris" <tharris2@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3pwy5.12233$O37.2795387@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79195

Hello,
Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and I want to
carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?

6584. Re: Help Me!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <Pywy5.3180$1W3.800536@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79196

Possibly a bad ground connection. Also try using an external antenna.

/st/

"Jason Morris" <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com> wrote in message
news:9Hvy5.831$Mw.3491@read1...
>
>
> Hello,
>
> Got a problem, hooked up a scanner to my truck (2000 F-150) and I am
> getting nothing but noise back when the channel locks in. When it is
> standing still it is fine, when it is moving, the noise is almost
> unbearable. Any ideas??? Help.. Oh ya, it's plugged into the Cigareete
> Lighter Adaptor with a 12 DC 5A
>
> Jason Morris
>
>

6585. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka9dhz/W Birdsall <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qfvos$54r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CUT> through the bands. Since, out of box, it did not allow VFO on transmit,
> it was considered legal. However, cutting just one wire inside allowed
> it to function on transmit as well, which is what most people, who owned
> them, did. But THAT was definitely NOT legal.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
<CUT

The sliders,digiscans,"export" radios,Over power,over modulation,echo
noismakers ect were and are illegal.

Freebanders are getting popped on a regular basis as are stores who sell
"export" radios.

--
Federal agent asks directions
How do I get to Lexington or Concord?

A Patriot answers
Dont push it! Your really don't want to go there.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6586. Please Help with purchase / advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thall17@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qg2n9$94v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79048

Looking into the scanner world and have found the computer based models (icom's pcr1000, Winradio 1550e or Optoelectronics Opticom) appealing as I am Computer Tech by trade. Can anyone point me in the right direction? Will the computer based models do the same or more then a base model?

I have heard that ICOM support for the pcr1000 is lacking and the winradio has better software?

Or should I just go with a base that has the computer option?

I am interested in being able to trunk.

Will everyone switch to digital within the next 5 years? Will there be digital scanners or just software to decode the signals?

I appreciate any and all help!

Thanks!
Confused Tim

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6587. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39cb93c4.307407830@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79049

Yeah, this is really weird. One transmission is 5 x 5 and the next
sounds like it's coming from very far away. I've heard the phasing on
the Inland Division channels but this is different. Funny???

On Wed, 20 Sep 2000 03:10:09 -0700, markj <markj@cts.com> wrote:

>The CHP systems that use the repeaters in 39mhz are prone to phasing
>problems when simulcasting. It's a real bitch in parts of San Diego
>knowing that you should be getting a fat signal and it sounds like
>multipath crap.
>
>In Santa Cruz county the 42mhz system goet to repeater when it
>"emergency traffic only" the signal seems to be a bit more stable. The
>VHF system thst the Santa Cruz Sheriffs use has a really tough time
>simulcasting off multiple sites.
>
> KEX-690

6588. WTB: OS535 interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: icomfan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qg5hqcla1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79050

I'm looking for the Optocom OS535 interface. If anyone has one for sale, please let me know how much you want for it.

.....Doug

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6589. FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis VanHoose <dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <O_My5.5310$dr3.156057@news1.mia>
Source record: 79051

I have a new (2 Months) Yeasu VR-500 reciever for sale. New in box! Warranty card & manual. Great radio. Need to sell , too many radios for the budget. Can send digital photo. Paid $350.00 Will sell for $275.00
Dennis
dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net
take out spamspam

6590. Grand Prix at Indy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tedhodges@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8qg6qhsrc1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79052

My friend will be attending a Grand Prix race at Indy this Sunday and he would like to monitor some of the race teams and other comms at the track. Could anyone offer some freqs that are used for such a race?, or suggest a website that has this info.

Thanks!

6591. Re: Please Help with purchase / advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <g6Oy5.6725$hD4.1170695@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79053

Hi Tim!

My personal experience is that Icom makes a better computer controlled receiver, and WiNRADiO makes better software.

I've owned three Icom PCR-1000's, two Icom PCR-100's, one WiNRADiO 1500e.

I preferred the Icom PCR-1000, except that the software that is supplied with it, was actually written for a DOS/Win3.x operating system, and leaves a lot to be desired. It will run under Win3.x/Win95/Win98/Win98SE, and WinNT4 & Win2k with some tweaking, although many claim they have problems with NT. There is excellent third party shareware available for the PCR-1000. I have read about third party solutions for trunk tracking with the PCR-1000, but never tried any of them.

The Icom PCR-100 is an excellent choice, if you're not concerned with SSB (Single Sideband) on the H.F. frequencies. It is a "lite" version of the PCR-1000, although it's software if more up-to-date, and was written for Win95, and works fine with any version of Windows! It cost a lot less as well...street price around $200.00.

I was very impressed with the construction of the WiNRADiO, and the software. However, it's performance did not seem as good as the Icom PCR-1000. Keep in mind, I had a 1500e, not the new, and improved 1550e. I talked to Bob Grove regarding the 1550e, and he said there was a noticeable improvement! WiNRADiO now has software for trunk tracking. I haven't tried it, as it was not available when I owned mine.

Never had an Optocom...however, thinking about it keeps me up at night! I'm sure I'll have to try one before the year is over! Keep in mind, there is no H.F. coverage with the Optocom.

The biggest advantage of a stand-alone scanner, with a computer interface, is that you can operate it without the need for a computer. This is a consideration when on vacation, during power failures, or when you just need to get the hell out of your computer room!!!! Oh, sorry, I got carried away! :) The new Uniden due out toward the end of the year looks promising!

You don't have to wait five years to bump heads with digital radios! They are already in use in many areas. The entire State of Michigan is converting over to digital. Will there be scanners capable of monitoring digital...absolutely! Will they be available to us as hobbyist? That remains to be seen. I read about a year ago that Canada was going to have a digital scanner, that they were planning on leasing to the media under a special license. I think eventually they will become available, either over the counter, or under the counter, like everything else!

Join the club of anxiety, and confusion.....ah, I mean scanner monitoring!

Bill Crocker

<thall17@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8qg2n9$94v$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Looking into the scanner world and have found the computer based models
> (icom's pcr1000, Winradio 1550e or Optoelectronics Opticom) appealing
> as I am Computer Tech by trade. Can anyone point me in the right
> direction? Will the computer based models do the same or more then a
> base model?
>
> I have heard that ICOM support for the pcr1000 is lacking and the
> winradio has better software?
>
> Or should I just go with a base that has the computer option?
>
> I am interested in being able to trunk.
>
> Will everyone switch to digital within the next 5 years? Will there be
> digital scanners or just software to decode the signals?
>
> I appreciate any and all help!
>
> Thanks!
> Confused Tim
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6592. Yaesu VR-500 price cut at AES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <39CBAFFC.29526DA1@megsinet.net>
Source record: 79054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FYI, Amateur Electronic Supply, http://www.aesham.com
recently cut the price of the portable Yaesu VR-500 receiver
by $50 to $249.99.

That's a good deal when compared with the usual $300 - $330
price tag.

My only connection to AES is as a mail order customer.
--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

6593. Grundig Yacht Boy 400 for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <garbreNOSPAM@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <39cbb355_3@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 79055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Want to trade my good condition YB 400 for one of the following scanners.

Bearcat BCT-12
Bearcat BCT-7

Yacht boy is in good condition with only normal wear and a small scratch on the display. Electronically it is excellent. I have the original box, manual, carrying case, and travel antenna.

I am looking for one of the above scanners as I want both the Highway notification as well as 800 mhz coverage. Please no junk. I have all the accessories with the Grundig, and would like the scanner to have same.

Thanks for looking.

Gary

--
Please remove the NOSPAM from my return address to reply

6594. Re: FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <39CBBA75.6E206B1D@blinx.de>
Source record: 79056

you are a little late:

taken from a message to this group 2 hours after yours.....

FYI, Amateur Electronic Supply, http://www.aesham.com
recently cut the price of the portable Yaesu VR-500 receiver
by $50 to $249.99.

That's a good deal when compared with the usual $300 - $330
price tag.

My only connection to AES is as a mail order customer.

Dennis VanHoose schrieb:

> I have a new (2 Months) Yeasu VR-500 reciever for sale. New in box! Warranty
> card & manual. Great radio. Need to sell , too many radios for the budget.
> Can send digital photo. Paid $350.00 Will sell for $275.00
> Dennis
> dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net
> take out spamspam

6595. FS: ICOM R-100 BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skynite <Skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OhiZDQNJAHA.198@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79057

Hi All,
I have a great condition R-100 scanner box, it has the Styrofoam inserts and the plastic bag the radio was wrapped in. If you would like to have a box to complete your set, this is it. $10
Thanks--
Bruce

6596. Cordless Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000922172504.26864.00000578@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 79058

Has anyone used the cordless dipole antenna available from CRB Research Books (and other dealers), and if so, does the dipole perform any better than a good ground plane? I'm presently using the Radio Shack 6 foot ground plane antenna (the one with 3 vertical and 3 horizontal elements, cat. #20-014) for scanning 43-47 MHz, and I'm wondering if the cordless dipole would provide any improvement in reception over my current setup. Any information would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

David

6597. Radio Shack PRO-2026 Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfollas@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qgn19$25m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79059

If any one's interested, there's a Patrolman PRO-2026 Modifiable scanner on eBay until Sep-24-00 17:48:36 PDT. Included for free are two antennas (one magnetic mount for car and one telecopic for the back of the scanner if used on the desktop).

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6598. Radio Shack PRO-2026 Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jfollas@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qgntg$3dl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79060

If any one's interested, there's a Patrolman PRO-2026 Modifiable scanner on eBay until Sep-24-00 17:48:36 PDT. Included for free are two antennas (one magnetic mount for car and one telecopic for the back of the scanner if used on the desktop).

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=445647684

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6599. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qgosj$49i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79061

The antenna is too long for me to carry it everywhere. For the small improvement over the stock antenna I am not happy knocking things off table with the longer antenna.

Just my .02.

Wayne

PS - Just got the GRE program sw for my 92 and wonder how I lived without it. Keypad entry is for the birds!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6600. Re: How to listen in on ham radio conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-7FED83.18162222092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<Pine.GSO.4.21.0009212122270.15036-100000@cardinal0.Stanford.EDU>, MACP
<sjosvai@stanford.edu> wrote:

> Can you direct me on how to accomplish this
> Suiz in California
>
> Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/
>
>
>

Basically, you want to listen to the transmit frequency of a repeater.
If you can tell where you live, Suzi, I may be able to give you some
frequencies. They are listed in the American Radio Relay League's
Repeater Directory.

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

6601. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10009222042220.20847-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 79063

The officer could care less if you are a ham or not, and he doesn't even
know what a amateur radio opperator is.
On Thu, 21 Sep 2000, Radioguy wrote:

> Date: Thu, 21 Sep 2000 19:58:54 -0400
> From: Radioguy <radioguy@snet.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state
>
> "Thomas J. Harris" wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> > Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and I want to
> > carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?
>
> While I can't answer specifically on NY, in general states exempt licensed
> radio amateurs. In some backwards states hams were be arrested for having
> a radio in their car that was capable of public service communication. With
> general coverage receivers on ham vhf/uhf transceivers being common, this
> opened the door for such foolishness. As if an average officer would know
> anything by looking at a radio anyway. There was a big problem in, I think
> it was South Carolina a few years back that brought up this issue for many.
>
>
>
>
>

6602. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <sso0gir9pl3p30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79064

It is most prudent not to take Bill Cheeks word about basic electronics theory such as a simple led indicator circuit without first checking out the soundness of his advice.
Rick

MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:39CAC056.A4011C69@hotmail.com...
> I haven't studied the schematic but normally one puts a resistor in
> series with the LED to limit the current through it. There's an output
> to most every FM discriminator IC made that changes state, typically 0
> to 5V, when the channel is busy. I would not suggest you drive the LED directly.
> operation of any other circuits. Some of Cheeks modifications are
> suspect and will likely impact the operation of some circuits within the
> radio.
> Be careful
> MC
>

6603. New Scanner eGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: On Demand Companies <juanitab@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <aNTy5.518$5n5.87730@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 79065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.egroups.com/subscribe/BC245XLT-OPEN2ALL

6604. Re: can you buy scanners on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Shelton <davidsNOSPAM@ols.net>
Message-ID: <2EDDBB02172E4ABB.1F17441335FB2FA8.6443593E599319C5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 79066

I just got one from http://www.national-electronics.com
and was very happy with the service and price

On Thu, 21 Sep 2000 21:16:19 -0700, MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu> wrote:

>please provide me with a web address (s)
>
>Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/
>
>

- -
To reply you must change return to:
davids@ols.net
Thanks,
David

6605. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spencer Bahner <spencer12345@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uni2kpSJAHA.253@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 79067

Now, if Winradio would only build their consumer receivers so that they had as much dynamic range and resistance to oveload as my $199 radio shack scanner, they would have something to brag about.

I was pretty insulted when the anounced the 1550 shortly after I bought my 1500. The 1500 was awful on ANY antenna on most any frequency from DC to daylight here in Seattle.

I traded it away.

Beware!

Bill Crocker wrote in message
<_gXy5.7264$hD4.1412305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>...
>Check it out!
>
>Bil Crocker
>
>
>
>WiNRADiO Extended Frequency Range Receivers
>
>WiNRADiO is the first communications receiver manufacturer offering
low-cost
>receivers operating to 4 GHz.
>
>Intended for government, military, security, surveillance, research,
>satellite communications, media monitoring and industrial applications,
>these receivers complement the popular 3000 Series by extending the
>operating frequency as well as by offering additional IF bandwidths and
>improved AGC control.
>
>The receivers are available in external as well as internal versions (the
>latter being especially suitable for multichannel applications).
>
>The proven control panel of the 3000 Series has been further enhanced by
>adding additional features and improvements.
>
>The software runs on Windows 95/98, NT and 2000. XRS is fully supported.
>
>Both internal and external units have two antenna connectors: BNC for
>frequencies up to 1.5 GHz and SMA for frequencies above 1.5 GHz.
>
>There are two basic types in this extended frequency range:
> WR-3500 Series (frequency range up to 2.6 GHz)

> WR-3700 Series (frequency range up to 4.0 GHz)
>
>Each series includes both internal and external models.
>
>See detailed specifications using the following links:
>----------
-
>----
>
> Copyright 2000 WiNRADiO Communications
>
>http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm
>
>

6606. Incredible Remote Control Story in News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <39CC0184.D06006B9@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 79068
Cross-posted to: comp.home.automation,alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

TV Satelite / Remote Control / IR Remotes

A little bit of info for each newsgroups to which
I am posting.

http://www.freep.com/news/locway/tv21_20000921.htm

That must be one seriously powerful remote control.
I assume this is a true news article.

Bruce

6607. Re: John makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8qf2gc$a5g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 79132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stop changing your screen name. I keep having to update my my kill
file. =:o

J o h n M wrote:

6608. Re: Police & Fire for Topeka Ks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dogtown Internet Radio <dogtownradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39CB1D24.7AD75BF9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 79133

Bob Acord wrote:
>
> Anyone know where I can get the frequencies for Police & Fire Dept for
> Topeka Ks?
>
> Thanks.

Try some of these

Fire:

154.1900
154.2950
154.3100
154.3400
154.4150
154.4300
155.9850
156.0000
453.8000

Police:

39.4600
39.5800
44.9800
45.1400
154.6800
154.8300
155.3100
155.5350
155.6400
155.6700
158.9100
453.7000
457.3500
460.0750
460.1125
465.0750
465.1125

--
Dogtown Internet Radio
Coming soon to Live365.com

Rock, Metal and the best of the 80's, plus news and views.
Coming to you soon from the boondocks of Southern New Jersey.

6609. Re: Regency Model Z10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: amateurradio1@sssnet.com
Message-ID: <39cb2595.133895184@news.sssnet.com>
Source record: 79134

It's been a while since I had my Z30, and I don't remember how to
program it, but I do know how to fix the memory problem.
On the circuit board (in the area of the power supply) you will find a
capacitor that is maybe a 1/4" tall and 1/2" in diameter. The rating
on the capacitor will be 2 or 3 farads. Replace this capactior
(Replacements can be purchased from Radio Shack, or at least they use
to be). Instead of using a backup battery in this scanner Regency
used a very large value capaticor to maintain the memory during power
failures.

Hope that helps...

On Thu, 21 Sep 2000 08:43:19 -0400, "Douglas Connor" <dcnnr@sover.net>
wrote:

>I've had this scanner for quite awile sitting in a closet
>It's down side no freq setting save Ie.. power goes out you have to
>reprogram
>unless you use a 12 volt batery to power it contiously
>
>I have no manual for this scanner
>once I knew how to set the scan high and scan low freq settings
>for the freq find function (scans from low freq to high freq searching for a
>signal).
>
>Anyone remember how?
>or have a manual?
>
>please reply to dcnnr@sover.net
>
>Doug
>
>

6610. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39CB303D.57619A80@cts.com>
Source record: 79135

Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> hehehe.
> at least I can respond with a sense of humor.

At least you can...
The retard is still taking it pretty hard.

Mark

Amateur Bomb Tech
R.S.V.P./ E.O.D Unit
San Diego

6611. for sale bearcat 9000xlt base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <_AGy5.256$704.20605@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 79136

```
for sale like new bearcat 9000xlt base scanner....$250.00
thanks jack
```

6612. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <Z_Gy5.16236$Rt3.1098660@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 79137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'd bet you have plenty to listen to right now with the Olympics going on.

Bill K9KZ

Kev <kpurves@pnc.com.au> wrote in message news:39caa6ce@occy.pnc.com.au...
> We now have the 245XLT here in Australia, our version covers:
>
> 66.0-88.0
> 108-174
> 406-512
> 806-956
>
> This was good news for us as the US version missed some 800Mhz freq which
> are cellar phone band in the US but are truncking channels here in
> Australia.
>
> I have had a 245 for several months now and it works very well here in
> Sydney on the Goverment Radio Network (GRN) this network is a 400Mhz
trunked
> system that is used by our Fire,Ambulance, Traffic Authority and dozens of
> other minor goverment departments.
>
> For a great web site on scanning in Sydney and lots of info about the
> Olympic radio systems have a look at
> http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~maggnum/
>
> See ya
>
> Kev VK2KEV
>
>
>
>
>

6613. Belgian scanner freq. update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webhosting <publishing@net>
Message-ID: <39cb5041$0$18201@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 79138

Update 22 september
http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/

6614. Re: Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with HER BC245XLT ? WAS Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: k7on@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.143566e6f0ad99419896b6@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79139

```
In article <jLxy5.4488$vZ.288999@news20.bellglobal.com>,
martinlambert@sympatico.ca says...
> Is anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?
>
> I downloaded the demo version to evaluate it but for now, I'm having
> problems to keep the banks alpha tag and when I downloaded my frequencies
> from my scanner, a lot of them are not in the right place. Mean that the #
> 61 is now shown in the # 68 etc. Each time I restart the software, the banks
> name's are gone keeping only the frequencies.
>
> Also, when activating banks other than number one, it scan for a short time
> and go back to bank 1 and deactivate the others and what about trunking
> scanning.....
>
> Is this because it's the demo version or that software is a crap ?
>
> Any idea ?
>
> Best regards
>
>
>
>

--
mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://home.earthlink.net/~k7on/
--
```

6615. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <PJensen@badsector.com>
Message-ID: <EWIy5.678$HK6.2000@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79140

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about
>catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page
>102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.
>
>I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and
>it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any equipment
>to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!

How would it compare to their telescoping antenna?

6616. Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8qfo09$r6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am considering the Yupi 7100 and the BC3000xlt. Are these radios reliable and trouble free? Please compare if you have experience with these. I realize the Yupi has SSB and the BC3000xlt does not. Thanks.

DeWayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6617. Re: >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: NATHAN A SWANSON <naswanso@email.unc.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.21L1.0009220923020.77158-100000@login5.isis.unc.edu>
Source record: 79142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm looking to get into Shortwave radio. Any recommendations from the experts? I would like to get a good receiver and have seen the Zenith 7000 Transoceanics go for lots of $ on Ebay...are they they best? Where can one find a set reasonable priced?

6618. Re: can you buy scanners on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: truckie@uswest.net
Message-ID: <39cb652a.1513666@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 79143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.radioshack.com sells them online i believe
Scott

On Thu, 21 Sep 2000 21:16:19 -0700, MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu> wrote:

>please provide me with a web address (s)
>
>Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/
>
>
>

6619. Re: >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Garrison <corrjng@rbnet.com>
Message-ID: <8qfp9h$n7v$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 79144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

THose old Transoceanics were quite good for their day. And as a collector item worthy of investment. But for daily listening, do investigate the latest offerings from Drake, Sangean, Grundig, Palstar etc. While every kind has it's foibles and good points the newest models make tuning in so much more easy!

--
Counfucious said:
He cannot die happy; that hasn't owned a Jeep.
"NATHAN A SWANSON" <naswanso@email.unc.edu> wrote in message news:Pine.A41.4.21L1.0009220923020.77158-100000@login5.isis.unc.edu...
> I'm looking to get into Shortwave radio. Any recommendations from the
> experts? I would like to get a good receiver and have seen the Zenith
> 7000 Transoceanics go for lots of $ on Ebay...are they they best? Where
> can one find a set reasonable priced?
>
>
>
>

6620. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <i2Ky5.6415$hD4.1112922@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79145

I have their telescoping antenna as well, which is probably still the better choice for the VHF/Lo band.

Bill Crocker
"Paul Jensen" <PJensen@badsector.com> wrote in message
news:EWIy5.678$HK6.2000@newsfeed.slurp.net...

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about
>catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page
>102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.
>
>I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and
>it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any equipment
>to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!

How would it compare to their telescoping antenna?

6621. Re: >>>>>Updated Radio Shack Webpage - New Look! <<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <o4Ky5.6419$hD4.1113493@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nathan:

If you want a reasonably priced shortwave radio, that is state-of-the-art,
check out Radio Shack's DX-398 portable!

Bill Crocker

"NATHAN A SWANSON" <naswanso@email.unc.edu> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.21L1.0009220923020.77158-100000@login5.isis.unc.edu...
> I'm looking to get into Shortwave radio. Any recommendations from the
> experts? I would like to get a good receiver and have seen the Zenith
> 7000 Transoceanics go for lots of $ on Ebay...are they they best? Where
> can one find a set reasonable priced?

6622. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:22:00 UTC
From: Kev <kpurves@pnc.com.au>
Message-ID: <39caa6ce@occy.pnc.com.au>
Source record: 79112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

We now have the 245XLT here in Australia, our version covers:

66.0-88.0
108-174
406-512
806-956

This was good news for us as the US version missed some 800Mhz freq which are cellar phone band in the US but are truncking channels here in Australia.

I have had a 245 for several months now and it works very well here in Sydney on the Goverment Radio Network (GRN) this network is a 400Mhz trunked system that is used by our Fire,Ambulance, Traffic Authority and dozens of other minor goverment departments.

For a great web site on scanning in Sydney and lots of info about the Olympic radio systems have a look at
http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~maggnum/

See ya

Kev VK2KEV

6623. Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 00:31:11 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <martinlambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <jLxy5.4488$vZ.288999@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 79113

Is anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

I downloaded the demo version to evaluate it but for now, I'm having problems to keep the banks alpha tag and when I downloaded my frequencies from my scanner, a lot of them are not in the right place. Mean that the # 61 is now shown in the # 68 etc. Each time I restart the software, the banks name's are gone keeping only the frequencies.

Also, when activating banks other than number one, it scan for a short time and go back to bank 1 and deactivate the others and what about trunking scanning.....

Is this because it's the demo version or that software is a crap ?

Any idea ?

Best regards

6624. *** IT'S THIS WEEKEND! LARC HAMFEST SUN SEP 24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 01:03:48 UTC
From: KB Sites <kirk@kbsites.com>
Message-ID: <Udyy5.39021$m7.18707215@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 79114

In London Ontario Canada...

--- There are still a few tables left! ---

London Amateur Radio Club has another hamfest on the way. This is expected to be the biggest and best ever at our new location! Computers, radio equipment and electronics flea market! See the web site for more details!

www.larc.on.ca

Lot's of free parking! Food on site! Almost out of vendor tables!!
Reserve tickets early! Slots next door!

LARC HAMFEST 2000
SUNDAY, SEPT. 24TH
9:00 AM TO 1:00 PM
VENDORS SETUP 8:00 AM
ADMISSION: $6.00, TABLES: $8.00

More details at http://www.larc.on.ca

6625. Re: Need Knoxville/Knox County , TN Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 01:10:26 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000921211026.21887.00000145@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 79115

perfect!! thanks just what i'll need

6626. Re: Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:03:18 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <G5zy5.13703$O37.2884832@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79116

"Martin Lambert" <martinlambert@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:jLxy5.4488$vZ.288999@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Is anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?
>
> I downloaded the demo version to evaluate it but for now, I'm having
> problems to keep the banks alpha tag and when I downloaded my frequencies
> from my scanner, a lot of them are not in the right place. Mean that the #

I found that to be a major problem with version 2. I have discontinued my evaluation of the program and removed it from my computer. I hope they come out with a bug fix and reissue the shareware version so we can make sure it works properly.

Dave, NF2G

6627. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:03:18 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <G5zy5.13704$O37.2884631@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 79117

"Thomas J. Harris" <tharris2@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3pwy5.12233$037.2795387@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Hello,
> Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and I want to
> carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?

Not without a permit or as "part of a licensed mobile amateur radio
station," whatever the local police and judges think that means. There is a
judge in Suffolk County (Long Island) who believes that hams have a blanket
exemption in NY, but that is not the universal view.

Dave, NF2G

6628. Re: 2006 LED squelch open indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:09:38 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39CAC056.A4011C69@hotmail.com>
Source record: 79118

I haven't studied the schematic but normally one puts a resistor in series with the LED to limit the current through it. There's an output to most every FM discriminator IC made that changes state, typically 0 to 5V, when the channel is busy. I would not suggest you drive the LED directly from this point, but it would be most safe to tie a 47k resistor from this point to the base of a small signal NPN transistor (like a 2N4401) route the emitter to ground, the collector to the cathode of the LED (marked with the flat spot) and the anode to a 1k in turn attached to the +12V input. Totally safe and won't impact the operation of any other circuits. Some of Cheeks modifications are suspect and will likely impact the operation of some circuits within the radio.

MC

Don wrote:
>
> I did something similar. I did this mod to all my Pro-2004/5/6's. It is
> a modulation indicator. All you need is a 2N2222A transistor and a LED.
>
> Connect the base of the transistor to the junction of C201, D43, D44
> (Pro-2005/6). Connect +5v to the collector. Lastly connect a wire to the
> emitter and run it to the LED which you have installed. Run a wire from
> the LED to ground and that's it!
>
> The stronger the modulation the brighter the LED.
>
> Don
> --
> -
> CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
> N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6629. Re: help needed again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:14:29 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <9gzy5.235899$i5.3175068@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 79119

464.2875
464.525
456.7875
464.1625

---

steve <sbi961@airmail.net> wrote in message
news:EE39EB865FC31FA1.A768BED9D24F1B06.4C1AFDE50BAE22C2@lp.airnews.net...
> can anyone help me with the texas christian university police
> frequencies
> i lost my police calls and cant find another one anywhere thanks
> steve
>

6630. Re: Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:23:03 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000921222303.02844.00000144@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79120

They are working on a update for it now. I do believe that there is a update on there site right now that will fix the problem that you are having.
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

6631. Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 02:27:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fszy5.5005$hD4.959509@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79121

Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page 102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.

I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any equipment to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!

Bill Crocker

6632. looking for an receive amp for mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 03:17:44 UTC
From: jp <jpotoma@home.com>
Message-ID: <sbAy5.77683$i5.2918677@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 79122

does anyone know if a radio shack amp would work in
a mobile, i was wondering if the ones in the catalogs that
have a dc adapter attached to them can be used with a
12v to 6v or 9v adapter for a scanner using the 800mhz
groups???

6633. Re: looking for an receive amp for mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 03:23:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ugAy5.5145$hD4.984843@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79123

Check here...
http://www.greamerica.com/scanner/preamp.html

Bill Crocker

"jp" <jpotoma@home.com> wrote in message
news:sbAy5.77683$i5.2918677@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> does anyone know if a radio shack amp would work in
> a mobile, i was wondering if the ones in the catalogs that
> have a dc adapter attached to them can be used with a
> 12v to 6v or 9v adapter for a scanner using the 800mhz
> groups???
>
>

6634. Re: Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 03:25:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <hiAy5.5150$hD4.985654@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79124

Dwayne:

Do you know when Pozzila plans on releasing WinScan92, for the PRO-92? The web site said it was due out in August...as in last month. I would have inquired directly, but there doesn't appear to be a link on his site to do so.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Dwayne" <dubbin1@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20000921222303.02844.00000144@ng-bg1.aol.com...
> They are working on a update for it now. I do believe that there is a update on
> there site right now that will fix the problem that you are having.
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ---
> Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!
> ---
> Remove nojunk to email

6635. Re: Anyone using Winscan from Pozzila software with his BC245XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 05:13:58 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000922011358.14652.00000272@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 79125

I don't have any ideas on that one Bill.
Sorry
==========
Dwayne

Saw It... Wanted It... Had A Fit... Got It!

Remove nojunk to email

6636. F.S.Complete set Bill Cheeks Modification Books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 05:50:57 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qervt$s0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79126

I have Vol 1, Vol 2, And the Ultimate Scanner Book from Bill Cheek.These 3 books gives you tons of modifications plus a lot more on scanners.He was the best scanner technician ever, and these books prove it,They are like new with no rips or bent pages etc.I want $50.00 plus $7.00 for shipping email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6637. Re: Icom IC-R1 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 06:02:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <LBCy5.5170$hD4.1035496@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joseph:

The IC-R1 has a small internal battery inside the radio itself...you might check that. Regarding the main battery pack...Icom used to sell a battery case, that would allow you to use "AA" batteries...maybe with any luck you can still find one.

Bill Crocker

"Joseph" <ffequities@email.msn.com> wrote in message news:ecY58V9IAHA.326@cpmsnbbsa09...
> I have an IC-R1 that fell into a puddle for three seconds. I quickly dried
> it off, opened it and cool-air dried it with a blow drier on cool air
> setting. The radio worked well for the next few hours till it was time to
> recharge the batteries. However, plugging in the charger for the fifteen
> hours recommended did not charge the batteries at all. The batteries are
> totally DEAD! The radio still works with the adapter but... Is there any
way
> to rejuvenate the batteries, and if not, where can I get a replacement
pack
> for a reasonable (Cheap) price? Any assistance in this matter is greatly
> appreciated. Please e-mail me directly as I don't always get the chance to
> read this NG.
> Thanks.
>
>

6638. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 06:27:37 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39CA38AF.6C6B81F6@home.com>
Source record: 79128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, that dog won't hunt.

if you are posting from the @home system, then why have several of your
more recent posts originated from an AOL IP?

It costs about.00/month for @home service 9at least here it does) and AOL
charges about $26.00/month... personally, I find it rather puzzling that
you would
expend so much effort to mask your location (as well as gobs of money you
obviously have more of than good sense).

Hell, I already posted headers from you when you first reappeared SHOWING
the actual IP info of your posting host (and a traceroute/whois revealed
the
domain to which that belonged).

You might as well quit while you are ahead, John.
You've lost and you know it.
Continued harassssment on this point will result in many emails
to whatever provider you are currently using.

DE N7ZZT

J o h n M wrote:

> Eric - By these posts you will be proven to be a liar since I am posting
> from the @home system. The MAIN purpose was to ask you about YOUR
> experiences in the radio
> hobby since I have never seen you post on-topic yet on these newsgroups.
> What type
> of radio activity do yoy like to listen to or participate in?
>
> What type of antennas do you use?
>
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote

6639. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 06:33:05 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39CA39F8.DB0DB01E@home.com>
Source record: 79129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

damned "5" key isn't working on the keyboard. :(

Eric Oyen wrote:

> No, that dog won't hunt.
>
> if you are posting from the @home system, then why have several of your
> more recent posts originated from an AOL IP?
>
> It costs about.00/month for @home service 9at least here it does) and AOL
> charges about $26.00/month... personally, I find it rather puzzling that
> you would

6640. Re: Glenn Hansen KB7PKC still causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 06:35:49 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39CA3A9B.CF9B613D@home.com>
Source record: 79130

hehehe.
at least I can respond with a sense of humor.

I have no real way to deal with photo's. However,
a descent Optical Character Recognition program and a scanner
(or just a pic of the document) is sufficient for me to get the info
(especially when ported to a speech or braille device).

DE N7ZZT

markj wrote:

>
> Explain the blindguy/photograph/photocopy thing again...I dozed off....
>

6641. Re: What scanners can log # of hits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-22 06:39:23 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <sslvpr3elfks31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79131

Optoelectronics Optocom, either with the standard software, or especially
with Probe

"Charles Patterson" <charles@telephonesecurity.com> wrote in message
news:8qbdu8$8c7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> I used to have an old Bearcat 250 with a useful feature.
> It could scan a group of frequencies and log how many times it found a
> signal on each freq. You could review the list and see which channels
were
> the busiest.
>
> Does anyone know of any more recent scanners that have such a feature?
>
> Charles
> N2AXO
>
>

6642. VR-500: how does it compair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39ccd45e.11057423@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Has anyone bought the new VR-500? How does it compair to the AOR8200
or some of the table top radios?

Besides the ADMS-3 software, are there any programs like EVE for it??

6643. Re: VR-500: how does it compair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <rH4z5.8244$hD4.1535033@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I've never owned one...probably one of the few I haven't played with. I read a review that claimed it's sensitivity was impaired in the 800MHz band, due to Yaesu's attempts to meet the government's demand for blocking cellular. They also blocked a little more than the cellular range, in the process. I know someone who owns an un-blocked unit, he purchased from Bandercom, and he's very satisfied with it, other than the fact it lacks trunking.

It seems there has been several of them up for sale, that claim to be only a couple weeks old too. Are users that unsatisfied, that quickly, or just trying to make them sound a little newer than they actually are?

It does have some attractive features, that can't be denied!

Bill Crocker

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message
news:39ccd45e.11057423@news.gte.net...
>
> Has anyone bought the new VR-500? How does it compair to the AOR8200
> or some of the table top radios?
>
> Besides the ADMS-3 software, are there any programs like EVE for it??
>
>

6644. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39ccd5cb.11422599@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

:>
:>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
:>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
:>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
:>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
:>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
:>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
:>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
:
:Where do you Yanks get your info from? I blame Hollywood!

That came from an article in "Shortwave Magazine", published
in the UK (http://www.pwpublishing.ltd.uk/swm). It is sold at Borders
news stands here in the US. Very good Signal Intell. Many US
MI types read it. If you press the issue, I can give you the month
and author of the article.

:In "theory" one is not supposed to listen to police or cellular
:broadcasts but everyone does or did. Analogue cellular is now a thing of
:the past, all or nearly all mobile traffic is digital and the police are
:gradually encrypting their transmissions. The police here cannot pull
:you over on a whim, they must have a valid reason ie. a busted tail
:light or erratic driving. 99.999 per cent of the population do not own a
:scanner or a gun or use agricultural diesel fuel. It would be a total
:waste of police time to do so. The only thing the police will check (
:other than vehicle ownership and a valid car tax disc) is whether the
:driver of the vehicle has been drinking alcohol or not.
:
:In the unlikely event of a scanner being found it can only be
:confiscated if the owner has it tuned to a police frequency. If the
:owner has no criminal record it is usually returned with a warning after
:a suitable amount of grovelling at the local police station.
:
:--
:Joe Finlay

6645. Re: can you buy scanners on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <PJensen@badsector.com>
Message-ID: <g55z5.4862$8X6.4960@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 79000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.scannerworld.com/

6646. FA: Pro-43 scanner and lots of extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <mediawelldone@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39CCE22A.546E6314@mindspring.com>
Source record: 79001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looks like I pulled a faux pas and ebay pulled my auction of this item
for the reason that it was modified.
I didn't know this, as I have been out of the hobby a few years.

Anyway, here is the link to the item, if anyone wants to make an offer,
I'm listening.

http://mediawelldone.net/images/ebay/sept/scanner.jpg

Thanks and regards,
Joe

6647. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qiptm$9ee$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79002

Police Call shows a fleet map of E2 -- have you entered that?

Wayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6648. Volusia County 800mhz problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gigax <danasala@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2K5z5.7884$Q_2.285638@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 79003

My new Uniden BC245XLT is having intermittent problems problems
receiving the VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLORIDA
talkgroups I have programmed in....

I am able to pickup the talkgroup fire, EMS, and law enforcement
channels, then suddenly my scanner reverts back to just scanning the
frequencies--but not the designated talkgroups...

Is this a typical VOLUSIA COUNTY problem---or a BC245XLT problem??

any help would be greatly appreciated....

d buskirk
danasala@yahoo.com

6649. BC780-XLT info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <uS5z5.8261$hD4.1554748@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79004

You'll find some good info on Uniden's new BC780-XLT at "Nicholas Marnell's Dad's Page"!

Click here:

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/bc780xlt.htm

To see the rest of this fine web site, click here:

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/index.html

My buddy, Bill Fox pointed this out to me...thanks!

Bill Crocker

6650. Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amy Walters <amy@4clay4playground4.com>
Message-ID: <yX5z5.90$RE.4338@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 79005

I have a Radio Shack Pro-60 scanner with a GRE Super Converter II (and some other extras) up for auction on Ebay. The GRE Super Converter II enables you to listen to cell phone calls by converting 810-950MHz into 410-550MHz. It's in great condition and works
perfectly. Here's the link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441625798

The starting price is only $50, with no reserve set. Please check it out if you're interested.
Thanks,
Amy
(to reply, remove the 4's)

6651. Need IMS frequencies for US Grand Prix F1 race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Hernando Munevar @ Home" <hmunevar@home.com>
Message-ID: <f26z5.66260$Qx4.2204593@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79006

I am looking for any Indianapolis Motor Speedway track frequencies for the US Grand Prix tomorrow. I have no clue what they would be using, IMS radios, or the USAC dork's radios since they are the corner workers.

I've seen a list for teams at link below, but I understand thay change constantly. http://www.frequencyuk.co.uk/motor.html

Any help would be greatly appreciated.

6652. Yupi 7100 Opinions Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8qirhmbah1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking closely at buying a Yupiteru MVT-7100. Any comments about this radio appreciated. Is it reliable? How's performance and user friendliness? Thanks!

DeWayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6653. NHRA frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landanger's <jland@ginetworks.com>
Message-ID: <l36z5.954$ie7.201387@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 79008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for nhra frequencies both racers and officials. I am also looking for the frequencies for TNN motorsports. Thanks for any help.
J.J.

6654. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <vo6z5.8272$hD4.1567006@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79009

I'm able to use the first channel on both the PRO-2067, as well as the
PRO-92, when programming a Motorola Type II system.

Bill Crocker

"Wayne Schulz" <mas90guru@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8qi3ikhpu1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Don't program anything into the first channel of the bank.
>
> Don't lockout the control channel as the manual might indicate.
>
> I have a 92 / 2067 and both have worked fine on EDACS/Mot.
>
> Only time I have trouble is when I cannot hear the control channel -
> then the scanner doesn't trunk.
>
> Regards,
>
> Wayne
>
> wayne a s-consult.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6655. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Io6z5.110557$Ur3.1315273@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 79010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric - My post to you asks you "what type of antennas do you use", and your reply is all this off-topic CRAP. ONCE AGAIN, I ask you "what type of antennas do you use"?

BTW - You are wrong about my service provider, the LAST SEVERAL messages I have posted here came from the @home system. When I am on the road traveling
I use what ever service provider I can access easily which could be AOL or any other.

Now, are you willing to talk about antennas or do you want to continue your off-topic activities?

What type of antennas do you use?

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> No, that dog won't hunt.
>
> if you are posting from the @home system, then why have several of your
> more recent posts originated from an AOL IP?
>
> It costs about.00/month for @home service 9at least here it does) and AOL
> charges about $26.00/month... personally, I find it rather puzzling that
> you would
> expend so much effort to mask your location (as well as gobs of money you
> obviously have more of than good sense).
>
> Hell, I already posted headers from you when you first reappeared SHOWING
> the actual IP info of your posting host (and a traceroute/whois revealed
> the
> domain to which that belonged).
>
> You might as well quit while you are ahead, John.
> You've lost and you know it.
> Continued harasssment on this point will result in many emails
> to whatever provider you are currently using.
>
> DE N7ZZT
>
> J o h n M wrote:
>
> > Eric - By these posts you will be proven to be a liar since I am posting
> > from the @home system. The MAIN purpose was to ask you about YOUR
> > experiences in the radio

> > hobby since I have never seen you post on-topic yet on these newsgroups.
> > What type
> > of radio activity do yoy like to listen to or participate in?
> >
> > What type of antennas do you use?
> >
> > "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
>

6656. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39d9f82a.675750447@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79011

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 17:40:09 GMT, Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>Police Call shows a fleet map of E2 -- have you entered that?
>

For San Diego City? No, it doesn't. IDs are simple five-digit numbers.
Where are you looking?

--- jeh

6657. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39daf915.675985185@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79012

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 18:12:43 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>I'm able to use the first channel on both the PRO-2067, as well as the
>PRO-92, when programming a Motorola Type II system.

I thought this was likely a Uniden-specific thing, since no one on the
2067 group on egroups mentioned it. But I tried it both ways. No help.

--- jeh

6658. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39dbfbcf.676682748@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79013

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 18:37:22 GMT, jeh@cmkrnl.com (Jamie Hanrahan) wrote:

>On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 17:40:09 GMT, Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Police Call shows a fleet map of E2 -- have you entered that?
>>
>
>For San Diego City? No, it doesn't. IDs are simple five-digit numbers.
>Where are you looking?

What is an "E2" fleet map anyway? In the 2067 manual it only listed fleet
map code beginning with "S".

--- jeh

6659. NORAD UHF Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@home.com>
Message-ID: <GS7z5.10392$dZ2.3930109@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 79014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for UHF military frequencies for NORAD, specially the
Canadian sector.

--
David Bate (davebate@home.com)
Bowmanville, Ontario, Canada
Home : http://scannerdesk.mypage.org
or : http://members.home.net/davebate
ACARS : http://acars-ontario.fly.to
e-Groups: http://www.egroups.com/group/scannerdesk
ICQ 9344489 - NYSING 191

Network Analyst (dbate@rci.rogers.com)
Rogers Communications Inc.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free at Dave's Scanner Desk.
http://scannerdesk.mypage.org
http://members.home.net/davebate
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.191 / Virus Database: 91 - Release Date: 9/11/00

6660. FA : Uniden Bearcat 245 XLT Trunk Tracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39cd0c47.4876522@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 79015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Check out my auction for a 245 XLT Trunk Tracker -

Ebay item # 446903099

Thanks...

Dan

6661. NEW!! E-GROUP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39CA6FBC.B4DDFC01@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 79016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
E-Group: [Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide] -
www.e-groups.com/subscribe/oufg
More information please visit: www-egroups.com/group/oufg

- Since Sept. 1989
- Fast growing group
- Open non-professional, professional users.
```

6662. New E-Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39CA70D3.F81924D0@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 79017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
- Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
- Since Sept. 1989
- Open non-professional, professional

More information please visit:
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - www.egroups.com/group/oufg
Subscribe - www.egroups.com/subscribe/oufg
```

6663. Re: Yupi 7100 Opinions Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000923163545.16170.00001003@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 79018

>Subject: Yupi 7100 Opinions Wanted
>From: dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com
>Date: 9/23/00 12:08 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8qirhmbah1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>I am looking closely at buying a Yupiteru MVT-7100. Any comments
>about this radio appreciated. Is it reliable? How's performance and
>user friendliness? Thanks!
>
>DeWayne

DeWayne,
I recently purchased a Yupiteru MVT-7100, and I think it is an excellent receiver. Selectivity and (especially) sensitivity with the Yupi is better than any
other handheld scanner I own, including my Icom IC-R10 and Radio Shack PRO-26. HF performance with the 7100 is as good as it can be for a handheld; better, in fact, than the HF performance of my R10. VHF/UHF performance of the 7100 is as good or better (in most cases better) than any of my Radio Shack or Uniden handhelds (PRO-26, BC235XLT, etc.). Yupiteru's Japanese to English translation of the MVT-7100 owner's manual is confusing at times, so try to get a copy of Javiation's supplemental instruction manual if you do purchase a 7100. The Javiation manual will enhance the user friendliness of the Yupi, which I think is very good to begin with. The only area where the performance of the 7100 falls short is reception of the AM broadcast band, but other than that it's a fine wideband receiver, especially for HF monitoring and SSB reception.

73,
David

6664. FS: Sola Power Conditioner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: michaeljacob@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qj677msh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79019

SOLA Power Conditioner/Regulator. Do you want your computers/amateur radio equipment to run on super-clean power? Does your monitor flicker when the washing machine kicks in? This is not a UPS, but a heavy duty power conditioner (Condition a whole room!) Price: $75

Check out these pictures:

http://www.novagate.com/~pfire/powerco2.jpg
http://www.novagate.com/~pfire/powercon.jpg

Email michaeltjacob@yahooNOSPAM.com (remove NOSPAM From email to send)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6665. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qj9o6$8cje$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79020

I went to Radio Shack today (Saturday Sept 23) - the associate told me
that this antenna "no longer exists".

6666. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8qjb3c$11h$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 79021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

>:>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>:>unlawful to listen

>:Where do you Yanks get your info from?

So it's ok to listen?

>:In "theory" one is not supposed to listen

But you implied that it's ok to listen.

>: but everyone does or did.
>:99.999 per cent of the population do not own a scanner

If 0.001% don't own a scanner how could "everyone" listen?

I'm sorry, Joe Finlay, but you're going into the "no credibility" file.

Jeff KH6O

6667. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39cd31da.74640355@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 79022

Wait, you mean Border Division is on Blue-1? That's an Inland
Division channel. What PL tone are they using? Is it on 118.8 or
162.2? Thanks.

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 06:35:27 -0400, Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>It appears Purple-1 has a problem, I thought it was from the computers I
>had on. It stopped suddenly around 0045PST. CHP is using Blue-1 instead.
>
>Don

6668. WiNRADiO 1550e?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <mqaz5.8578$hD4.1650596@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79023

Having owned a WiNRADiO 1500e, and being a little disappointed, I would like to hear from owners/users of the newer 1550e?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

6669. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39CD31F9.FE862C79@mindspring.com>
Source record: 79024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> snip
>
> If 0.001% don't own a scanner how could "everyone" listen?
>
> I'm sorry, Joe Finlay, but you're going into the "no credibility" file.
>
> Jeff KH6O

Do you mean "if .001% OWN a scanner."?

6670. Re: VR-500: how does it compair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tomblin <etdesign@shentel.net>
Message-ID: <ssqgd6h14or79b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 79025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Had one di not like it. Easy to program most functions were intuitive. Ok
on 2 meters and police freq. (150-160mhz). Not sensitive enough for good
reception any where else. The AOR is a super rig however it is a bit pricy.
You pay your money and you take your chances. Good luck. Ed KC4FD
Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>I've never owned one...probably one of the few I haven't played with. I
>read a review that claimed it's sensitivity was impaired in the 800MHz
band,
>due to Yaesu's attempts to meet the government's demand for blocking
>cellular. They also blocked a little more than the cellular range, in the
>process. I know someone who owns an un-blocked unit, he purchased from
>Bandercom, and he's very satisfied with it, other than the fact it lacks
>trunking.
>
>It seems there has been several of them up for sale, that claim to be only
a
>couple weeks old too. Are users that unsatisfied, that quickly, or just
>trying to make them sound a little newer than they actually are?
>
>It does have some attractive features, that can't be denied!
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message
>news:39ccd45e.11057423@news.gte.net...
>>
>> Has anyone bought the new VR-500? How does it compair to the AOR8200
>> or some of the table top radios?
>>
>> Besides the ADMS-3 software, are there any programs like EVE for it??
>>
>>
>
>

6671. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-A91757.18552423092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79026

In article <8qj9o6$8cje$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>, "James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote:

> I went to Radio Shack today (Saturday Sept 23) - the associate told me
> that this antenna "no longer exists".
>

That sounds very existential.

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

6672. Uniden BC780XLT - more specs and pictires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8qjfm8boe1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 79027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ok, gang I've uploaded some more documents from the FCC site for the forthcoming (when??) BC780XLT base/mobile trunktracker...the successor, sort of, to the good ol' 760XLT but with trunking. Added some block diagrams and schematics, internal close-up photos, etc.

It's at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/bc780xlt.htm

Now if they'd just add digital-capability to it...

Bear in mind that this was what Uniden submitted to the FCC last March, so things may have been tweaked here and there. I see nothing there about the control head being detachable...one pic is ID'd as the "front panel detached," but that's just for photo purposes as far as I can tell.

Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~other radio and misc stuff I've tossed together

6673. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qjl1g$3l5i$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79028

The associate punched in something on his terminal - then told me the
antenna no longer exists.
I've seen radio Shack associates that didn't know their own product
lines and inventory; and I've also known radio Shack to withdraw products
with no notice and no explanation.
I wonder what's going on here --- I plan to try a different radio Shack
store tomorrow.

Dave Moorman wrote in message ...
>In article <8qj9o6$8cje$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>, "James
>Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
>> I went to Radio Shack today (Saturday Sept 23) - the associate told me
>> that this antenna "no longer exists".
>>
>
>That sounds very existential.
>
>Dave
>
>--
>Dave Moorman
>Downers Grove,
>Illinois USA

6674. Looking for a scanner that is capable of receiving cell phone freqency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blanca24@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15675-39CD8164-12@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79029

i live in southern california

6675. mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Enos <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com>
Message-ID: <39CD90A4.17B79DA3@zdnetonebox.com>
Source record: 79030

I'm putting my RS mobile scanner in my new pickup truck and I selected the RS "cell phone look alike" antenna.

Here's the question: The distance between the antenna and where I'm putting the scanner is about 3 feet. The antenna came with 15 or 20 feet of coax. Can I cut off most of the excess and replace the BNC connector without fouling up the reception?

Will having an extra 15 feet of coax wrapped up cause signal loss?

Thanks for the straightforward answers.

--

Ginsberg's Theorem:
1. You can't win.
2. You can't break even.
3. You can't quit the game.

6676. Re: mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qk7ol$8mr2$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 79031

To find the correct answer, find a table for your coax showing loss per hundred feet of your specific cable.(probably RG58)

My bet is that after you adjust for the length of only 15 feet, it won't be worth the effort to shorten the cable.

6677. New E-Group: www.egroups.com/subscribe/oufg More information this e-group visit www.egroups.com/group/oufg New E-GrNew E-Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39C97D50.612478B3@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 79079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
New E-Group:

www.egroups.com/subscribe/oufg

More information this e-group visit www.egroups.com/group/oufg
---
```

6678. CREATE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8qhm4ohjd1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 79080

Need web URL. Thanks

6679. Re: New and old 245 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39CC3F87.5F82D382@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Press and hold the 2, 4, 9 keys then turn on the BC245. I have a v1.17
and haven't experienced any problems. There may be a 5 second delay but
it doesn't bother my reception of TG's.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6680. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39CC4EFA.F00148D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79082

From my short experience with a BC245xlt you may be trying to trunk the wrong type of system. I know the web site may say something different but it may be not updated.

When you come out of trunking mode and the scanner is on the correct control channel that is a good thing. You may be missing freqs or have the wrong freq's. I ended up comparing two different freq lists and had to add 2 freqs so I didn't miss calls. Even MT has an article this month about the systems up here in Orange County but they are the OLD ones. But scanning in the right mode and locking on to the correct control channel are important IMHO (and experience).

Sometimes I will turn on my BC245xlt and can see from the display that it is listening to the wrong control channel and the scanner is silent. So I press Trunk, get back to the correct control channel, then press Trunk. I know I may have some "extra" freqs in the bank, but I hear all the calls on the TG's I monitor.

On the BC245xlt you can press the DATA key to see different activity on the display. Try that instead of the Trunk key. It may help.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6681. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39CC5D3F.3EE458A1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 79083

It appears Purple-1 has a problem, I thought it was from the computers I had on. It stopped suddenly around 0045PST. CHP is using Blue-1 instead.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

6682. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qi3ikhpu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79084

Don't program anything into the first channel of the bank.

Don't lockout the control channel as the manual might indicate.

I have a 92 / 2067 and both have worked fine on EDACS/Mot.

Only time I have trouble is when I cannot hear the control channel -
then the scanner doesn't trunk.

Regards,

Wayne

wayne a s-consult.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6683. computer controlable scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hvytruker@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000923070819.17555.00000382@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 79085

i am looking to trade oR buy a computer controlable scanner, i have some scanning equipment here and computers too. if interested let me know!
Marion

6684. Re: can you buy scanners on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-7F3497.06514423092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 79086

In article
<2EDDBB02172E4ABB.1F17441335FB2FA8.6443593E599319C5@lp.airnews.net>,
David Shelton <davidsNOSPAM@ols.net> wrote:

> I just got one from http://www.national-electronics.com
> and was very happy with the service and price
>
>
> On Thu, 21 Sep 2000 21:16:19 -0700, MACP <sjosvai@stanford.edu> wrote:
>
> >please provide me with a web address (s)
> >
> >Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/
> >
> >
>
> -
> To reply you must change return to:
> davids@ols.net
> Thanks,
> David

Needless to say, there are always a bunch of scanners for auction on
eBay. I ujst bought a Sony ICF-SC1 for $120 there.

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

6685. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: IAN FORREST <jif@iforrest.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8qi7jrotq1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 79087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi, I have owned the Yupiteru 7100 for 3 years now and it is the best as far as Im concerned.From airband to HF it is an excellent radio.I found that the SSB side of short wave is very interesting and since getting my computer I have started decoding aswell.
Go for the YUPI!
Ian.
<dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8qfo09$r6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I am considering the Yupi 7100 and the BC3000xlt. Are these radios
> reliable and trouble free? Please compare if you have experience with
> these. I realize the Yupi has SSB and the BC3000xlt does not. Thanks.
>
> DeWayne
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6686. New Hampshire eGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rfbpssc136igpftgnuqq83ouqrljonqqnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 79088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

New Hampshire http://www.egroups.com/group/NH_scan

6687. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: andythe@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qicnu$r00$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79089
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6vUx5.16486
$jD2.1603219@typhoon.we.rr.com>,
"Eddie Haskell" <harleybum@easyriders.com>
wrote:
> Can't (and really shouldn't) shoot the
messenger. Radio is a first rate
> chicken-shit outfit to work for. First off,
they make you work for minimum
> wage. They promise you "Spiffs" a monetary
reward for going over a set
> amount of sales, but spread over the entire 8
hour day. If you start the day
> with a big sale, like a complete computer
system you are "UP" for a while.
> But if the rest of the day is regular small
stuff you sink back down to the
> regular minimum wage. Besides area managers
that are trained by the wrecking
> crew in Ft. Worth TX to get the max out of you
the carrot is always dangled
> far above your nose.
> If your a manager....you try hard to improve
your store, your staff and get
> to know the locals right? The reward for this
is your transferred to a store
> that is NOT doing well, so you can turn it
around and some real "boob" is
> given your store because he is not capable of
making his own fly.
> Add all this up,stir in disgruntled employees
sprinkle with outdated shit
> with new faceplates (Optimus brand is rebadged
Pioneer equipment) and bring
> to a boil. It's no wonder that they are know
for the slogan "You have
> questions...We have batteries".......all in
all.....Radio Shack has a lot to
> learn.
>
If RS is such a terrible company, why is it
growing by leaps and bounds? Why is it the
largest vendor of retail electronics? (yeah,
yeah...I know...they do so well because they

cheat their sales people...right? Heard that story before.) Why do they have 3,000 stores nationwide? Why do they have a reasonably successful European branch?

Anyway, I'm a stockholder, and have been since I worked there as a salesman for 4 years from 1978 to 1982. During that time I participated in the stock purchase program. Those stocks are worth many thousands of dollars now. I got them by being screwed by a "chicken shit" outfit!?!? Had I stayed with the company, and continued my frugal lifestyle while continuing to buy stocks, and I could have been retired by the time I was 45 years old. Now I work for a police department, and will have to work until I m 62 years old. Which makes more sense?

I get such a kick out of people who know so much more than the people who run the most successful business of its type on the planet. It is like hearing someone say, "If Bill Gates would only listen to me, he could make some REAL money!"

Ref RS products...last time I checked out the Annual Report for the company, the numbers were something like this: 30% of what RS sells, they make, but 60% of what they make is sold by other companies. That was a few years ago, and things could have changed, though. Ever hear of Memorex? It's a RS company. RS makes their own TV antennas in their own company in Iowa. (It was Antenna Craft or Gavin, or something like that.) RS has a company called Lika, which makes high quality multi-side circuit boards, and the US Govt. has/had a contract with them to make boards that go in F-16s and on the Space Shuttle.

RS has several overseas companies that manufacture equipment, particularly stereo equipment. Granted, some of RS stuff is Pioneer with their own label on it, but the converse is also true. When I worked there, RS s overseas company made several of Pioneer's top of the line models.

They make/made their own low end volt-meters, much of their own wire and cable, used to make lots of phones don t know if they still do, they make MOST of their own speakers...(I was in one of the factories and saw them doing

it...impressive operation, BTW.) FWIW, RS sells more stereo speakers than anyone. Sure, you can buy a Bose system that will out perform the RS speakers, but RS aims at the middle of the road buyer, not the high dollar audiophile purist, since there are a helluva lot more of us middle of the roaders than purists. RS doesn't claim to have the absolute best speakers and such. (McDonalds doesn't claim to sell the world's best hamburger, but they are number one in the business.) Just like McDonalds, RS has done lots of marketing surveys and product research in order to reach this point in the consumer industry. They know what they are doing. They also are acutely aware that you can't please everyone, so there will always be those that don't like them. RS has millions and millions of customers that keep coming back, and their business keeps growing. They must be doing something right. But if they would only listen to me, I could show them how to make some REAL money. ;-)

Andy

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6688. Re: Incredible Remote Control Story in News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <emaurus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <969720851.823757@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 79090
Cross-posted to: comp.home.automation,alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Yes it is true. I live in the detroit area and it was in the papers and on the news here.

Ed - No new material below, information is for reference only.

"Bruce or Tracy" <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message news:39CC0184.D06006B9@hiwaay.net...
> TV Satelite / Remote Control / IR Remotes
>
> A little bit of info for each newsgroups to which
> I am posting.
>
> http://www.freep.com/news/locway/tv21_20000921.htm
>
> That must be one seriously powerful remote control.
> I assume this is a true news article.
>
> Bruce

6689. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39d2c752.663245897@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79091

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 11:18:52 GMT, Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
wrote:
>Don't program anything into the first channel of the bank.

I tried that. Didn't help.

>Don't lockout the control channel as the manual might indicate.

I didn't. I also tried it with the control channel locked out. No help.

>
>I have a 92 / 2067 and both have worked fine on EDACS/Mot.
>
>Only time I have trouble is when I cannot hear the control channel -
>then the scanner doesn't trunk.
>
>Regards,
>
>Wayne
>
>wayne a s-consult.com
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

6690. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39d3c779.663285073@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 79092

On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 05:34:34 -0400, Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>From my short experience with a BC245xlt you may be trying to trunk the
>wrong type of system. I know the web site may say something different
>but it may be not updated.

Three different sources, including a "local" who's listening to the system
on Bearcat scanners, confirmed that it's a Mot type II.

>When you come out of trunking mode and the scanner is on the correct
>control channel that is a good thing. You may be missing freqs or have
>the wrong freq's.

He also confirmed that I had the right frequencies.

>But scanning in the right mode and locking on to the correct control
>channel are important IMHO (and experience).

Well, it was in the right mode, and it did seem to find the control
channel, and when monitoring the control channel it showed a flurry of
talk group IDs. It also showed the IDs when manually monitoring the other
channels. (But when doing that, holding down the Trunk button for two
secs or whatever did not put the scanner into "follow this ID" mode, it
simply produced the error beep.) ie it was decoding the control
information properly. It just wasn't responding to said info when it was
trying to trunk-scan.

>Sometimes I will turn on my BC245xlt and can see from the display that
>it is listening to the wrong control channel and the scanner is silent.

Not an issue here; only only set of freqs with one control channel were in
the scanner.

>So I press Trunk, get back to the correct control channel, then press
>Trunk. I know I may have some "extra" freqs in the bank, but I hear all
>the calls on the TG's I monitor.
>
>On the BC245xlt you can press the DATA key to see different activity on
>the display. Try that instead of the Trunk key. It may help.

No equivalent. There is a hidden "data" display that makes the display
really busy when it's trying to scan, but that didn't tell me anything.

The scanner went back last week, for a refund. I'm quite happy to believe
that this was a sample defect and not a design problem (esp. since, when I
looked at the box closely, it was clear the unit had at least been opened
before; also, when I got the scanner, a few local freqs were already
programmed into it; I'll bet someone else had it, couldn't make it work,

and returned it). ie I'm sure that a working 2067 could be found.
However the uselessness of the "ID lockout" misfeature is a showstopper --
because of that the scanner would have gone back even if I'd gotten it to
"trunk". Idiots. I'm joining the folks waiting for the BC780.

--- jeh

6691. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: comsgt@usa.com
Message-ID: <39ccc9ba.1777245@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 79093
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

The key wording in the NYS law is "a vehicle equipped with a radio CAPABLE of receiving police frequencies" which adds a lot of questions as the law was written many years ago, before programmable radios. Some case law exists as to what equipped means. One case in particular, the user had a handheld scanner, but it was attached to the vehicles power system through the cigarette lighter plug. Thus the vehicle was "equipped". Does that mean if the user did not have it plugged in and was working on battery it would be legal? This law is old and poorly written.
BTW, When the police radio band was located just above the AM broadcast band, car radio makers would only let the radio tune to 1600 kc in a market when home radios tuned to 1700kc just to be within the law. This shows how old the law is.

I am a cop. Two things I made sure I had prior to retiring is a pistol permit and a ham radio license!

Comsgt

On Fri, 22 Sep 2000 12:01:12 GMT, Terry Lee wrote:

>
>
>Go to this site for some answers : http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html
>
"Thomas J. Harris" wrote:
>
Hello,
>
Can anyone help me with this? I travel alot for my job, and
>I want to
>
carry a scanner in my van. Is it legal to do so in NY state?

>

6692. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <hb4z5.8241$hD4.1525500@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79094

I owned a 1500 also...and I agree with our findings. However, why would you be insulted when they improved on something you thought needed to be improved? It's like anything else "high tech" these days...it is, and will continue to be, in a constant state of development, and improvements. Isn't that what we call progress?

Just like the Radio Shack PRO-92, had some problems, so they released the PRO-92[A], now every one is mad at Radio Shack! Go figure?

Bill Crocker

"Spencer Bahner" <spencer12345@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:uni2kpSJAHA.253@cpmsnbbsa07...
> Now, if Winradio would only build their consumer receivers so that they
had
> as much dynamic range and resistance to oveload as my $199 radio shack
> scanner, they would have something to brag about.
>
> I was pretty insulted when the anounced the 1550 shortly after I bought my
> 1500. The 1500 was awful on ANY antenna on most any frequency from DC to
> daylight here in Seattle.
>
> I traded it away.
>
> Beware!
>
>
>
> Bill Crocker wrote in message
> <_gXy5.7264$hD4.1412305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>...
> >Check it out!
> >
> >Bil Crocker
> >
> >
> >
> >WiNRADiO Extended Frequency Range Receivers
> >
> >WiNRADiO is the first communications receiver manufacturer offering
> low-cost
> >receivers operating to 4 GHz.
> >
> >Intended for government, military, security, surveillance, research,
> >satellite communications, media monitoring and industrial applications,

> >these receivers complement the popular 3000 Series by extending the
> >operating frequency as well as by offering additional IF bandwidths and
> >improved AGC control.
> >
> >The receivers are available in external as well as internal versions (the
> >latter being especially suitable for multichannel applications).
> >
> >The proven control panel of the 3000 Series has been further enhanced by
> >adding additional features and improvements.
> >
> >The software runs on Windows 95/98, NT and 2000. XRS is fully supported.
> >
> >Both internal and external units have two antenna connectors: BNC for
> >frequencies up to 1.5 GHz and SMA for frequencies above 1.5 GHz.
> >
> >There are two basic types in this extended frequency range:
> > WR-3500 Series (frequency range up to 2.6 GHz)
> > WR-3700 Series (frequency range up to 4.0 GHz)
> >
> >Each series includes both internal and external models.
> >
> >See detailed specifications using the following links:
>
>----------
> -
> >----
> >
> > © Copyright 2000 WiNRADiO Communications
> >
> >http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm
> >
> >
>
>

6693. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <39CCD393.DB42154D@nospam.spam>
Source record: 79095

test

6694. wtb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hvytruker@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000923115849.14036.00000465@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 79096

i have a pro-2066 but would like to have a scanner i could control with my
computer since i am on it all the time
i usuallu use my laptop but i now have a desktop amd 500mhz k6 not being used
with
10 gig hd
128 meg memory
atx case
32 meg apg video
floppy
56k v90 modem
creative sound card up to 4 channels suround sound
joystick
steering wheel
only thing needed is the monitor
we can trade if the deal is right

6695. french frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 01:31:47 UTC
From: "C.I.T.I.F.O." <citifo@fr.st>
Message-ID: <8qh15c$d21$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 79069

www.scanner.fr.st

6696. Re: Where can I learn about trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 01:47:25 UTC
From: Nick Sterling <poetic_loverboy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NYTy5.64791$Qx4.2157833@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 79070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Another good sorce of info on trunking can be found in Radioshacks edition
of POLIECE CALL... If ya wanna know about trunking spend like 14$ and you
also get every freq for ytour state and local citys.. KEEP ON TRUINKIN!!
LMAO That was good guys..Nick
Freq Of Nature <nospam@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:8FB599323freqofnature@206.13.28.144...
> DELETEmarksandefur@iname.com (MS) wrote in <4kWo5.38$_H3.10942@wdc-read-
> 01.qwest.net>:
>
> Try Uniden's http://www.trunktracker.com web site.
>
> www.freqofnature.com
>
>
> >Repost:
> >Please post any info or good sources for learning about trunking. I am
> >confused as to what this is / where it takes place / how much of it takes
> >place. Any info appreciated...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

6697. FS: BC890xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 02:12:15 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000922221215.21258.00000277@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 79071

I have a bc890xlt for sale , LIKE NEW IN BOX 135.00 shipped to CONUS and paypal accepted

please email me privately if interetsed

Undrtkr

6698. Re: FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 02:13:39 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000922221339.21258.00000278@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 79072

you can buy one new at AES for 250.00

6699. Re: Please Help with purchase / advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 02:48:05 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8qh5l5$53r$0@63.162.247.207>
Source record: 79073

thall17@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Looking into the scanner world and have found the computer based models
> (icom's pcr1000, Winradio 1550e or Optoelectronics Opticom) appealing
> as I am Computer Tech by trade. Can anyone point me in the right
> direction? Will the computer based models do the same or more then a
> base model?
>

I just bought an Optocom, and have ordered another. Great little
box. Includes data slicer, good software, and appears so far
to work great.

It will NOT go below 25 mhz, but my needs were for 30 and above.

6700. New E-Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 03:16:04 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39C97CED.6DC96B39@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 79074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

New E-Group:

www.egroups.com/subscribe/oufg

More information this e-group visit www.egroups.com/group/oufg

6701. Re: F.S.Complete set Bill Cheeks Modification Books SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 04:16:32 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8qhaql$o25$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79075

In article <8qervt$s0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:SOLD
> I have Vol 1, Vol 2, And the Ultimate Scanner Book from Bill
> Cheek.These 3 books gives you tons of modifications plus a lot more on
> scanners.He was the best scanner technician ever, and these books
prove
> it,They are like new with no rips or bent pages etc.I want $50.00 plus
> $7.00 for shipping email me if interested at wilfkelly@home.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> Books Are SOLD Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6702. Re: Grand Prix at Indy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 05:10:46 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-3E4238.01103323092000@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 79076

In article <8qg6qhsrc1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>,
<tedhodges@mindspring.com> wrote:

> My friend will be attending a Grand Prix race at Indy this Sunday and he
> would like to monitor some of the race teams and other comms at the track.
> Could anyone offer some freqs that are used for such a race?, or suggest a
> website that has this info.

F1 is notoriously difficult to monitor because the teams actively avoid
being overheard to whatever extent their budgets will allow. The two
tops teams (McLaren and Ferrari) use encrypted comms. All of the teams
move frequencies around from race to race. Some teams have been reported
at times to use one set of frequencies through practise and qualifying,
and then switch to another set the moment the race starts.

This is not to say it can't be done. I'd take a look at

http://www.frequencyuk.co.uk/motor.html

It's mostly European loggings, but it's apparently fairly accurate.

Good luck
-A

6703. WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 05:33:46 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <_gXy5.7264$hD4.1412305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 79077

Check it out!

Bil Crocker

WiNRADiO Extended Frequency Range Receivers

WiNRADiO is the first communications receiver manufacturer offering low-cost receivers operating to 4 GHz.

Intended for government, military, security, surveillance, research, satellite communications, media monitoring and industrial applications, these receivers complement the popular 3000 Series by extending the operating frequency as well as by offering additional IF bandwidths and improved AGC control.

The receivers are available in external as well as internal versions (the latter being especially suitable for multichannel applications).

The proven control panel of the 3000 Series has been further enhanced by adding additional features and improvements.

The software runs on Windows 95/98, NT and 2000. XRS is fully supported.

Both internal and external units have two antenna connectors: BNC for frequencies up to 1.5 GHz and SMA for frequencies above 1.5 GHz.

There are two basic types in this extended frequency range:
WR-3500 Series (frequency range up to 2.6 GHz)
WR-3700 Series (frequency range up to 4.0 GHz)

Each series includes both internal and external models.

See detailed specifications using the following links:

© Copyright 2000 WiNRADiO Communications

http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm

6704. Re: Please Help with purchase / advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-23 06:46:12 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <UkYy5.5399$1W3.1517485@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79078

There are also many good base scanners that can be computer controlled as well as handheld scanners. Might be something you want to look into. Check out http://www.strongsignals.net/ for some great info.

<thall17@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8qg2n9$94v$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Looking into the scanner world and have found the computer based models
> (icom's pcr1000, Winradio 1550e or Optoelectronics Opticom) appealing
> as I am Computer Tech by trade. Can anyone point me in the right
> direction? Will the computer based models do the same or more then a
> base model?
>
> I have heard that ICOM support for the pcr1000 is lacking and the
> winradio has better software?
>
> Or should I just go with a base that has the computer option?
>
> I am interested in being able to trunk.
>
> Will everyone switch to digital within the next 5 years? Will there be
> digital scanners or just software to decode the signals?
>
> I appreciate any and all help!
>
> Thanks!
> Confused Tim
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6705. Re: radio shack pro2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <39CB1793.FE75A779@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 78948

leonard bailey wrote:

> i would like to have mods for the 2066
> scanner
> thanks

Re: pro 2066 cell mods

Date: 12 Feb 2000
Time: 16:57:53
Remote Name: 205.140.244.192

Comments

There are none for ANY of the trunk-tracking scanners.

Last changed: June 25, 2000

6706. Re: mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924073820.03173.00000763@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 78949

>I'm putting my RS mobile scanner in my new pickup truck and I selected
>the RS "cell phone look alike" antenna.>

I wouldn't cut the coax. The signal loss won't be alot.

The disadvantage to cutting the coax is that you may decide to move either the scanner or the antenna in the future. Cutting the coax to 3 feet long won't leave you much leeway.

Jeff Multer, Fort Mill, S.C.

6707. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2121d@gte.net
Message-ID: <39cdf0b5.3005307@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

:>I see it going the other way. Getting rid of unlicensed CBers,
:>arresting the outlaws and making scanner and SWL listeners
:>get ham licenses.
:
:Scanners are NOT illegal anywhere in the USA EXCEPT
:for a handful of states that prohibit the use of scanners
:in an automobile.

It is not a handful of states. It is nearly 3/4 of all states have
limits to having scanners outside your home. You will find the laws
under the section of your state code dealing with the interferrence
with the duties of a police officer.

:>The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
:>vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
:>avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
:>ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.
:
:Two points:
:1. If the cops truly felt threatened then they'd be
:asking their state legislatures to enact anti-scanner
:laws everywhere. They are not doing that.
:2. There are NO illegal scanners. Anyone can own
:a scanner. The issue of use/possession of a scanner
:ONLY comes into play if the person using/possessing
:the scanner is in a motor vehicle in one of the few
:states that prohibit using a scanner in an auto.
:3. Only one state actually prohibits using a scanner
:anywhere else but in your home (Indiana?).

Read the cover article in Sept 2000 Popular Communications.
Michigan is making it very hard for race fans to have scanners
to listen to the drivers. The Mich. State Police are arresting racing
fans for have scanners in their possession without a ham license.

:>There is also the problem of foreign nationals using ham equipment
:>to communicate for purposes of spying.
:
:If that has any concern, it has been the case
:for 75 or more years.
:
:>If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
:>isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
:>code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see

:>ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
:>communicate then they can not rebel.
:
:True, but no laws of that nature are being proposed.

Actually there are laws in front of congress that would strictly
limit the civilian population's ability to listen to government
freguencies. As the police go to trunked digital radios, they
want it made illegal to make a scanner that can listen to trunked
radios or digital communications. What they are doing is making
the way that they digitize the voice information non public therefore
since it is not public, no one has the right to decode it (it would be
a violation of the laws dealing with encryption). Look at Strong
Signals web page (http://www.strongsignals.com). If you can not
find it, I will post the bill numbers (HR).

:>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
:>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
:>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
:>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
:>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
:>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
:>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
:
:This, howver, is NOT he UK and there is no
:imminent or proposed radio restrictions of the type
:you suggest above.
:
:Cheers,
:Bill K2UNK

Bill you seem to be out of touch.

6708. San Fran/ SAn JOse / MV freq needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <39CDF1B5.CD5B1C9@analon.com>
Source record: 78951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response...

now working in Mountain view CA.... need frequencies for the following areas

Palo Alto, Mountain View, Saratoga, Cupertino, Santa Clara, San Jose,
Pleasanton, Hayward, Gilroy, Morgan Hill

6709. Kern co freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <39CDF1DF.5BBB817C@analon.com>
Source record: 78952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response..33anyone have
a list of Kern county frequencies chp, sheriff, pd, etc

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |httpp://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems, |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM
BEST at what you run.-- real systems run Unix!!
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++

6710. ventura and slo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <39CDF21B.C443F149@analon.com>
Source record: 78953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response..
anyone have a list of ventura ans slo county, or monterrey, or even san
bonito county frequencies

PD FD, CHP etc

--
*****----------800-873-5884----------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |httpp://www.analon.com | Custom built
Computer Systems, |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to PERFORM
BEST at what you run.-- real systems run Unix!!
|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
DOT-GONE!!----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++

6711. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qkth4$gn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78954

I have the Police Call on CD. That is where I looked up San Diego in CA. Is there a separate listing for San Diego City?

I'm guessing that there may be a need for you to enter a fleet map.

Since I am on the East Coast I am not familiar with frequencies in your area. I found http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/links1.html#CA which seems to list a number of links for California pages. I saw there was one page listing a bunch of trunk ids -- I'm sure there will be a page listing the fleet maps as well.

http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetca.htm lists a bunch of frequencies and fleet maps for California. They seem to list San Diego City as a Type II which does not require a fleet map. To listen on my system I've entered the frequencies (skipping the first "0" channel) and selected the MO mode.

You might also check http://www.pro-2067.com for lots of tips.

Regards,

Wayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6712. Scanner Dweeb #79 (Presidential Dweeb)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20000924090838.21271.00000391@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 78955

The 79th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Presidential Dweeb "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at:
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio scanning !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

6713. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8ql0rk$9h7$1@208.207.71.159>
Source record: 78956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote: :

> :This, howver, is NOT he UK and there is no
> :imminent or proposed radio restrictions of the type
> :you suggest above.
> :
> :Cheers,
> :Bill K2UNK
>
> Bill you seem to be out of touch.

Did you just now notice???

6714. FS Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cinvest@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924095111.23730.00000581@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 78957

This is a Motorola trunk tracker. 300 Channels, 10 Banks, 50 Trunked scan banks in each, plus service search.
Excellent condition, with Box, instructions, power cord etc......
Will ship UPS COD, Best Offer over $125.00

E mail, David , at cinvest@aol.com

6715. Grove ant. info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard <broomfield@neca.com>
Message-ID: <39CE06F5.330C14FA@neca.com>
Source record: 78958

I am considering buying the Grove log periodic beam scanner antenna, and
would like to hear from anyone who is familiar with it. Does it work
well, and is it difficult to install?
Thanks in advance
Richard
K1TAV
direct e-mail: broomfield@neca.com

6716. Re: Grove ant. info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <sUnz5.9343$hD4.1894342@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78959

Hi Rich!

The Grove Scanner Beam, is very similar to a T.V. antenna, turned 90 degrees, so it's elements are vertical. It is very easy to install! Keep in mind, it is highly directional, so you should consider mounting it on an antenna rotor. Unless you're installing it to snag a dedicated signal(s) from primarily one direction.

Bill Crocker

"Richard" <broomfield@neca.com> wrote in message news:39CE06F5.330C14FA@neca.com...
> I am considering buying the Grove log periodic beam scanner antenna, and
> would like to hear from anyone who is familiar with it. Does it work
> well, and is it difficult to install?
> Thanks in advance
> Richard
> K1TAV
> direct e-mail: broomfield@neca.com
>

6717. this you must see and hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: antwerpsat <antwerpsat@yucom.be>
Message-ID: <fYnz5.18267$Q57.269394@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 78960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,relcom.radio

```
if you won't to see someting special put your sound on
press www.antwerpsat.com press your language
and enjoy the best intro ever.
you hear the difference

als je iets speciaal wenst te zien, zet je geluid aan op max
druk op www.antwerpsat.com kies uw taal
en geniet van de best intro op het internet.
```

6718. Re: Yupi 7100 Opinions Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Possums <possums1@inf.net.au>
Message-ID: <39ce121a$0$2655@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 78961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

its old but I have one and its very sensitive.
good radio IMHO
<dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8qirhmbah1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I am looking closely at buying a Yupiteru MVT-7100. Any comments
> about this radio appreciated. Is it reliable? How's performance and
> user friendliness? Thanks!
>
> DeWayne
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6719. FA: DJ-G5TD Alinco Dual-Band HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <oRoz5.9385$hD4.1922531@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78962

For Auction on Ebay:

In it's final day, and only at $150.00, reserve has been met!

Brand New! Alinco VHF/UHF FM Twin Bander, BRAND NEW!!! DJ-G5TD Tx:
144.000-147.995/438.000-449.995 MHz* Rx: 108.000-173.995 AM/FM
/438.000-449.995 MHz* Note: Extended Frequency Range Possible! Channel Scope
Full duplex between VHF & UHF CTCSS Tone Squelch Memory channels VHF 80 +
UHF 80 DSQ selective call 20 auto-dialers 2W output or 5W with the optional
EBP-36N battery U x U/V x V Compact 57 x 139 x 27.5 mm body Sweep Scan
X-Band Repeater Direct freq. entry through keypad DJ-G5TD (dry-cell AA case)
M.S.R.P.: U.S./$348.00

6720. Yupi MVT-9000 VS MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8ql8jl$s7a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

How is the MVT-9000 better than the MVT-7100? Is it really worth the price difference? I would be interested in comparisons. Thanks!!!!

DeWayne

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6721. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39e51ffe.751482674@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 78964

On Sun, 24 Sep 2000 12:54:01 GMT, Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
wrote:
>I have the Police Call on CD. That is where I looked up San Diego in
>CA. Is there a separate listing for San Diego City?

I don't have it on CD. I have it on hardcopy. There are separate
systems, and therefore there SHOULD be separate listings, for San Diego
City and San Diego County. Both are Motorola type II which do not require
fleet maps. Several local hobbyists have confirmed this. If the Police
Call CD-ROM disagrees, it's incorrect.

>I'm guessing that there may be a need for you to enter a fleet map.

No.

>Since I am on the East Coast I am not familiar with frequencies in your
>area. I found http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/links1.html#CA which
>seems to list a number of links for California pages. I saw there was
>one page listing a bunch of trunk ids -- I'm sure there will be a page
>listing the fleet maps as well.

No, since it's a Motorola type II system.

>
>http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetca.htm lists a bunch of frequencies and
>fleet maps for California. They seem to list San Diego City as a Type
>II which does not require a fleet map.

That's what I've been trying to tell you. Several other web sites agree
(for both City and County). Believe me, I've been researching this.

>To listen on my system I've
>entered the frequencies (skipping the first "0" channel) and selected
>the MO mode.

"Skipping the first channel" seems to be a Bearcat-inspired bit of advice;
many have said their 2067s trunked fine without their not-using the first
channel in the bank. In any case I tried it both ways, with channel 0
programmed and not. (The "not" was after a full reset, so as far as the
radio was concerned nothing had ever been in that channel.) No help.

>You might also check http://www.pro-2067.com for lots of tips.

I did.

Look, the radio has long since been returned. 2067 fans: I believe it is
capable of scanning this system, I just think I got a bad one.

But given the problem with the ID lockout that it would have if it DID work, I don't want another.

--- jeh

6722. FA Ebay New in the box Bearcat BC400XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924123253.17528.00000601@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 78965

New in the box scanner. Unused.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=445808066

6723. Bearcat scanner info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: aokd5fxt@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000924124826.07770.00000830@ng-da1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 78966

Need programming instructions for Uniden bearcat scanner.... Model no. BC 55XLT. If u have a copy of these, I would really appreciate some help. Finally my dad is interested in radio and picked this up without programming instructions. Help! Pls email

Bill

6724. Re: mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Smith <hevaros24873ohyeah@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <8qlba5$ud6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78967

plus you may not get as good a connection when you replug the end, and that will hurt you a lot more than a few feet of cable, when you run an antenna on your roof at your house you will have 50 or 100 feet of cable and it will work fine.

"Jeff Multer" <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000924073820.03173.00000763@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> >I'm putting my RS mobile scanner in my new pickup truck and I selected
> >the RS "cell phone look alike" antenna.>
>
> I wouldn't cut the coax. The signal loss won't be alot.
>
> The disadvantage to cutting the coax is that you may decide to move either the
> scanner or the antenna in the future. Cutting the coax to 3 feet long won't
> leave you much leeway.
>
> Jeff Multer, Fort Mill, S.C.
>
>
>

6725. Re: Looking for a scanner that is capable of receiving cell phonefreqency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Smith <hevaros24873ohyeah@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <8qlbc0lhd1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78968

actually it IS moderated--all these posts are what they REMOVED from the
real group!

"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:I9gz5.721$P4.410962@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> This is why this group should be moderated.
>
> /tim/
>
> "Bianca Martinez" <Blanca24@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15675-39CD8164-12@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > i live in southern california
> >
>
>

6726. Re: Unblocked Icom R-7000 receiverpackage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8qlbtu$j7o$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78969

$9.00
KA4993GG <ka4993gg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000923223019.08946.00000112@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> In near mint condition, with the original manual. Also, I will throw in
the
> computer interface and unused 12V DC optional kit. Covers upto 2 GHZ,
with
> unblocked 800 MHZ.
>
> It also has the optional wireless remote control board installed. But,
I've
> misplaced the remote control due to a recent move. It will be sent to you
for
> free when it turns up.
>
> Asking $750 shipped.

6727. Re: Bearcat scanner info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924134842.13996.00000717@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 78970

I just checked the Uniden website. They currently have the manuals in stock for $7.50.

That's all the help I can provide. Maybe someone else has a copy they can send you.

Good luck,
sward

6728. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <02jsssk7m8vlm866dg87vkq97afbq0du3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 78971

On 24 Sep 2000 05:11:12 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI)
wrote:

>Two questions:
>
>1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?
C-band Sat services.

6729. Re: mod on sc150 bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weezul <weeezul@mad.scientist.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <4nsz5.69158$Qx4.2316050@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78972

Where did you find that mod?
I would appreciate it.

Thnx

6730. Looking for Sportcat150 MOD.....HV?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weezul <weeezul@mad.scientist.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Xpsz5.69175$Qx4.2316293@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78973

Please help me out with this one.
Thanks

6731. Any mods for RS 2021?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: chilinvilin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5960-39CE55A8-35@storefull-617.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78974

can you put 800 mghz in? any other mods? thanks

6732. Re: Grove ant. info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <Dluce@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <8qljhl018al@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78975

Been using their beam antenna for several years.

Satisfied with it.

my 2 cents

6733. FA: Motorola Railroad Lococomotive Spectra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: theloaf@railwaypo.net
Message-ID: <8qlniu$ck0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.railroad,misc.transport.rail.americas

For auction on eBay:

Motorola Railroad Locomotive Spectra

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=448429993

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6734. Radio Shack pro-2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomtequila@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10447-39CE5B3B-6@storefull-223.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78977

Any mod's?.....how can I improve my scanner?

Beginner,
Tom

6735. Southern Ontario Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff_scannerdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8qlqilfrm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78978

Southern Ontario Scanning
Scanning the air waves from 25 MHz to 1.00 GHz List
For Sharing of Scanner Information and Scanning Radio
Frequencies in the Southern Ontario The People in this
list are nice and I moderate that so it stays that way
This is a specialized list dedicated to the
Trunktracking Radio Scanning and Conventional Scanning
Any thing to do will Scanning or Trunktracking in the
Southern Ontario In the Region
Toronto,Peel,York,Halton,Durham,Simcoe,Dufferin,Osprey,Helancthon,Nari
pose,Manvers,Wellington,Hamilton
Wentworth, Niagara and any place in the World if you
what to talk about the subject of Scanning or Southern
Ontario and the list has information on the BC235XLT
BC895XLT BC245XLT BC780XLT !! And more!!

To join the list go to this site UR:
http://www.egroups.com/group/southscan

Happy scanning :)
Bob and Jeff

Note to go to the site URL to this page:
http://www.egroups.com/group/southscan

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6736. Re: ventura and slo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Flueshmar Sichpeltioppe <rosmow@offixmab.net>
Message-ID: <KOtz5.617$iq.91485@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 78979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have Jesse Vetura's phone number if that would help.

JA Moran wrote in message <39CDF21B.C443F149@analon.com>...
>
>I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response..
>anyone have a list of ventura ans slo county, or monterrey, or even san
>bonito county frequencies
>
>PD FD, CHP etc
>
>--
>*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
>| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |httpp://www.analon.com | Custom built
>Computer Systems, |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to
PERFORM
>BEST at what you run.-- real systems run Unix!!
>|we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
>DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++++++++++++++++
>
>
>

6737. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <39ce75ee_2@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 78980

The police in a city near me have a digital system. However, for the past three weeks they have been on analog because of some type of problem with the system. Maybe there is some hope yet.
Regards
Mark
"Jh" <jhalley@networkusa.net> wrote in message
news:ss805945h3t40@corp.supernews.com...
> What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
>
> Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up as more and more goes to
> digital?
>
>
>
>

6738. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <39CE758F.6810@interactive.net>
Source record: 78981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>...and making scanner and SWL listeners get ham licenses.

> :Scanners are NOT illegal anywhere in the USA EXCEPT
> :for a handful of states that prohibit the use of scanners
> :in an automobile.
>
> It is not a handful of states. It is nearly 3/4 of all states have
> limits to having scanners outside your home. You will find the laws
> under the section of your state code dealing with the interferrence
> with the duties of a police officer.

You over-reach in your thought that just because interference
laws exist that they preclude using a scanner outside one's home.
Nothing I have seen or read about has offered any actual case
where someone listening to a scanner has been charged with
interferring with police under such a law. If you have case
example(s). please provide the details.

Now if someone with a scanner in a state that allows
them in autos actually interferes with police because
of what that individual heard on the scanner, then I
have no problem with the police throwing the book at
that person.

Otherwise, I restate my comment, only a handful of states
actually prohibit scanner use anywhere...and none outlaw
use at home in any case. A 1991 book entitled: The Listeners
Lawbook" identified the following states as outlawying scanner
use in some manner: CA, FL, IN, KY, ME, MI, MN, NE, NJ, NY,
ND, OK, RI, SD, VT, and WV. Most all of these laws focused
ONLY on scanner use in autos. Since then the law in NJ has
been changed and allows scanners in autos.

Most, if not all, of those states also had exemptions for
licensed hams...but even without such an exemption, the
FCC pre-empted all such laws as they might have been used
against a ham with an extended recieve range transciever.

> :>The cops are having big problems with drug runners using escort
> :>vehicles with scanners and out of band CBs or VHF handhelds to
> :>avoid the cops. The cops are afraid that the drug runners might get
> :>ham licenses to avoid arrest for possession of illegal scanners.

> :Two points:
> :1. If the cops truly felt threatened then they'd be
> :asking their state legislatures to enact anti-scanner
> :laws everywhere. They are not doing that.
> :2. There are NO illegal scanners. Anyone can own
> :a scanner. The issue of use/possession of a scanner
> :ONLY comes into play if the person using/possessing
> :the scanner is in a motor vehicle in one of the few
> :states that prohibit using a scanner in an auto.
> :3. Only one state actually prohibits using a scanner
> :anywhere else but in your home (Indiana?).
>
> Read the cover article in Sept 2000 Popular Communications.
> Michigan is making it very hard for race fans to have scanners
> to listen to the drivers. The Mich. State Police are arresting racing
> fans for have scanners in their possession without a ham license.

Michigan is one of those states I noted above with a specific
statute against having scanners in autos. The specific law
is: Penal Code 750.508. The law has been on the books in
Michigan since before 1982... so it is not a new threat to
anyone. If, as you say, the cops are arresting race fans
that have scanners, then perhaps you need to make a concerted
effort amongst those in MI to allow scanners at races. The
current law (750.508) only involves having a scanner in an
automobile.

If I were a race fan in MI I'd make sure my scanner was
well packed away from plain sight so no police could see
it and even think I was using it while in my car...BUT,
then too, I'd be exempt anyway since hams are exempted
in the MI law.

> :>If anything the cops want stricter laws, just as with guns. And code
> :>isn't the answer as foreign nationals will be more likely to pass a
> :>code test than US citizens. If anything the cops would like to see
> :>ham radio, scanners, and CB radio banned. If the public can not
> :>communicate then they can not rebel.
> :
> :True, but no laws of that nature are being proposed.
>
> Actually there are laws in front of congress that would strictly
> limit the civilian population's ability to listen to government
> freguencies.

Limiting your "ability" to do so is not the
same as saying it is illegal to do so. Limiting
ability can be based on technology advances
and encryption alone.

> As the police go to trunked digital radios, they
> want it made illegal to make a scanner that can listen to trunked
> radios or digital communications.

That doesn't outlaw existing scanners. The government
may outlaw the manufacture of such devices, but
that is not what you were saying before.

> What they are doing is making
> the way that they digitize the voice information non public therefore
> since it is not public, no one has the right to decode it (it would be
> a violation of the laws dealing with encryption).

If it is encrypted, you'd have a tough time decoding
it anyway without the key. Are you opposed to
government or anyone else encrypted digital
transmissions?

> Look at Strong
> Signals web page (http://www.strongsignals.com). If you can not
> find it, I will post the bill numbers (HR).

Post whatever you want.

> :>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
> :>unlawful to listen to anything that you are not licensed to transmit
> :>except for amateur radio and broadcast), guns, and restrictions
> :>on Internet communications (one must post one's true ID, MI5 must
> :>have a copy of any encryption keys, and MI5 can read your email).
> :>And the Bobbies do pull you over to check for illegal radios, guns
> :>and whether you have ag diesel fuel in your tank.
> :
> :This, howver, is NOT he UK and there is no
> :imminent or proposed radio restrictions of the type
> :you suggest above.
>
> Bill you seem to be out of touch.

If you mean I don't buy your "sky-is-falling"
worries, then perhaps I am...in your eyes anyway.

However, just
what exactly do YOU want. You appear to be
unhappy that certain communications are now
being encrypted and you, therefore, are unable to listen
to those transmissions. Frankly, had cellphones started
out as encrypted digital channels the ECPA probably
wouldn't have ever been needed or passed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6739. for sale bearcat 500 channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <kb9wit@owc.net>
Message-ID: <uTuz5.305$704.24936@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 78982

bearcat 9000xlt 500 channel base scanner
works and looks new,.....$250.00
shipped
thanks jack

6740. Re: Bearcat scanner info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8qm2jv$6lm$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 78983

Ok...found this:
Type Handheld
Range 30-54 138-174 380-512
Channels 10
Banks 1
Modes FM
Priority No
Conversion Double
Scan 15 channels/second
Search N/A
Audio 480mW?
Power 7.2V DC
Size 2.6"(W) x 6.5"(H) x 1.4"(D)
Weight 20 oz
Interfaces BNC, DC, speaker
Accessories Antenna, metal belt clip
Features 3 second global delay,
takes 5 AAs

To program it, be sure the keypad is unlocked (the off/on) switch.

Press the MANUAL button to get to channel 1.

Enter the frequency you want using the keypad, e.g. 460.325 (be sure to press the decimal key in the right place), then press "E" for ENTER. Unless you goofed, 460.325 is now in channel 1. To be sure, now press the "Review" button, and the frequency should display, one number at a time.. 4 - 6 - 0 - . - 3 - 2 - 5.

Press MANUAL again to get to Channel 2, and use the same procedure. Likewise thru channel 10.

Press the scan button to scan thru the ten channels. If there is a channel you don't want to listen to right now, press manual until you "step" to it, and press "Lockout" It will be skipped over until you come back and unlock it (using same procedure).

If something is happening on a channel and you want to stay there (and not scan), just press the MANUAL button when it's on that channel, or step to it and it will park on that channel until you hit "SCAN" again.

You can change any frequency by going to its channel and simply entering a new frequency...it will replace the old one in that channel.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~ all kinds of stuff

----- Original Message -----
From: "AO KD5FXT" <aokd5fxt@cs.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2000 09:48
Subject: Bearcat scanner info?

> Need programming instructions for Uniden bearcat scanner.... Model no. BC
> 55XLT. If u have a copy of these, I would really appreciate some help.
> Finally my dad is interested in radio and picked this up without
programming
> instructions. Help! Pls email
>
> Bill
"AO KD5FXT" <aokd5fxt@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20000924124826.07770.00000830@ng-da1.news.cs.com...
> Need programming instructions for Uniden bearcat scanner.... Model no. BC
> 55XLT. If u have a copy of these, I would really appreciate some help.
> Finally my dad is interested in radio and picked this up without
programming
> instructions. Help! Pls email
>
> Bill

6741. EDACS Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <5Nwz5.658$xf7.2730@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78984

Can anyone tell me which trunking scanners follow the EDACS systems?
Thanks.

Adam Kolari

6742. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qm8fs$12vo$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 78985

Yesterday, a Radio Shack associate told me this antenna no longer exists.
Today I went to a different Radio Shack. They told me that this antenna is
so new that it actually isn't available yet. - nobody has it yet -

Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about
>catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page
>102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.
>
>I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and
>it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any
equipment
>to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>

6743. Re: Pozzila software Address is:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <edAJ5epJAHA.436@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 78986

why not just go over to

strongsignals.com
and go to LINKS
and like magic the site of which
you pine for is there.....

well's site has the best stuff on it...

bill conduit
etc.

6744. Re: New Pro-2067 just won't "trunk"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u0QjqhpJAHA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 78987

>
> When you come out of trunking mode and the scanner is on the correct.

yada yada do dong

ain't WinScan great...no hassles like the above.
just put those first channels in and up pops the
hard man.

bill

6745. Re: San Fran/ SAn JOse / MV freq needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uK$jnipJAHA.322@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 78988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

call Scanners Unlimited in San Carlos....close

bill

"JA Moran" <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:39CDF1B5.CD5B1C9@analon.com...
>
> I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response...
>
> now working in Mountain view CA.... need frequencies for the following
areas
>
> Palo Alto, Mountain View, Saratoga, Cupertino, Santa Clara, San Jose,
> Pleasanton, Hayward, Gilroy, Morgan Hill
>

6746. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39CEF389.F73E4D5F@pacbell.net>
Source record: 78989

From what I understand, Any area that has the new 39mhz base freq is using Blue-1, so Inland division is using it with 118.8 and Border division is using it with 162.2.

Mike

Chuck Rowe wrote:

> Wait, you mean Border Division is on Blue-1? That's an Inland
> Division channel. What PL tone are they using? Is it on 118.8 or
> 162.2? Thanks.
>
> On Sat, 23 Sep 2000 06:35:27 -0400, Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >It appears Purple-1 has a problem, I thought it was from the computers I
> >had on. It stopped suddenly around 0045PST. CHP is using Blue-1 instead.
> >
> >Don

6747. F.A. MOTOROLA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:09:51 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <GTE/parinc@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <39CD2FE6.528705CF@GTE.net>
Source record: 79032

Well built, crystal controlled NOAA receiver.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=447699102

6748. Delaware eGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:20:12 UTC
From: JZ <jziehm@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6uhqsscen3pfsh4q0nkdc7n5to2jc1a4eq@4ax.com>
Source record: 79033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Delaware http://www.egroups.com/group/DE_scan

6749. Police Scanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:22:34 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <ePbz5.165$d5.17848@newsb.telia.net>
Source record: 79034

```
Police Scanner Site in Sweden
add http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm
```

6750. EAVESDROPPER Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:41:13 UTC
From: pmohazzabi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qjij6$451$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 79035

Seems tome that EAVESDROPPER site no longer exist for scanner decoders software anyone knows which site now offers?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6751. What happened to EAVESDROPPER sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 00:51:21 UTC
From: PIRAN MOHAZZABI <p.mohazzabi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <decz5.1522$s76.129824@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 79036

Seems tome no more EEAVESDROPPER site for those scanner decoders software.
Anybody knows which site now
offers those softwares?.

6752. Re: Combine the radio services?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 01:14:27 UTC
From: Joe Finlay <joseph@jfinl.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <J3Ox2JAzVVz5Ew29@jfinl.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 79037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In article <8qjb3c$11h$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes
>>:>The UK is having the same types of laws against scanners (it is
>>:>unlawful to listen
>
>>:Where do you Yanks get your info from?
>
>So it's ok to listen?

Eh?

>>:In "theory" one is not supposed to listen
>
>But you implied that it's ok to listen.

No I didn't

>>: but everyone does or did.
>>:99.999 per cent of the population do not own a scanner
>
>If 0.001% don't own a scanner how could "everyone" listen?

Everyone who owns a scanner has listened. It would seem your
comprehension abilities are sadly lacking. Bit of a handicap as you're
posting from a dot edu site

>I'm sorry, Joe Finlay, but you're going into the "no credibility" file.

I'm sorry too Jeff, but you're going straight into the thick as a brick
file.

--
Joe Finlay

6753. FS: Unblocked Icom R-7000 receiverpackage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 02:30:19 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000923223019.08946.00000112@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 79038

In near mint condition, with the original manual. Also, I will throw in the computer interface and unused 12V DC optional kit. Covers upto 2 GHZ, with unblocked 800 MHZ.

It also has the optional wireless remote control board installed. But, I've misplaced the remote control due to a recent move. It will be sent to you for free when it turns up.

Asking $750 shipped.

6754. radio shack pro2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 02:47:57 UTC
From: leonard bailey <lb2754@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xXdz5.125206$d9.718559@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 79039

i would like to have mods for the 2066
scanner
thanks

6755. Re: Sony Digital Phone (no DSS) Secure From Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 03:23:38 UTC
From: "Dennis S." <dennis493@home.com>
Message-ID: <_sez5.3950$Hp3.868355@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 79040

> My SPPID910 is secure from scanners. All it sounds like on my scanner is
a
> "whining" noise when the phone is on. Also, every few seconds the phone
> and base send a "handshake" signal back and forth to one another. There
is
> no way anyone can hear traffic from that phone.

Anyone with enough money, knowledge, incentive and time can break into
virtually any radio signal, especially one from a $75 Sony
'digitally-secure' cordless phone. Make sure you don't gamble your most
sensitive conversations on the security of a cordless phone (or a corded one
for that matter).

6756. Re: Kudos to Radio Shack Employee - incredible but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 03:33:50 UTC
From: ronjen915@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000923233350.08038.00000460@ng-cd1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 79041

I agree. I always enjoy going into Radio Shack to browse, and I really don't expect the sales help to know more than I do about the specific things I am interested in. If they are knowledgeable, great, but if they aren't, then I am glad I did my own research on the product first. That's the reality of "consumer" electronics. There are those who rely on the salespeople to tell them what's what, and then there are those who search the internet for less sales-oriented commentary on various products. Over the years I've bought several scanners, from Radio Shack and through mail order, but each time the research on what to buy was separate and apart from the sales presentation. Anyway, I appreciate the niche Radio Shack has carved out for itself.There will always be an outlet that does a better job of marketing specific items, whether speakers, scanners or whatever, but generally, overall, RS does a remarkable job for the average consumer. Negative comments: (1) the RS stores have way too many confusing cordess phone models to choose from, and this "cue cat" thing is going to be a real interesting experiment. I took it off my computer about 10 minutes after I put in on. One more thing- Radio Shack really dropped the ball on the marketing of computers. I still miss the Deskmate graphic user interface. It was amazingly simple and efficient. Much handier than, say, Windows.

6757. Re: mobile scanners in vehicles in ny state(YES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 04:07:39 UTC
From: lowband@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25831-39CD7E0B-93@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 79042

I am a licensed ham radio operator and I paid
a $80 fine in NYS for having a scanner in my
vehicle. I wrote a note to the judge explaining
my license and most other states allowed a
mobile scanner with a ham license and it didn't
appear to make a difference. Judge told me
I couldn't get a permit(I live out of state)but I
could have it in the vehicle AS LONG as it
was stored out of reach and disabled. This all
took place on I-81 at the NY/PA border and I
can still remember the trooper handing me
the ticket(I told him my ham status also)and
saying"police do not like the motoring public
listening to what we're doing. I still use the rig
in my vehicle countrywide but it's easy to grab
and hide when needed. Hope this helps....

Barry

6758. Re: Incredible Remote Control Story in News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 05:10:17 UTC
From: sparkfel@mypants.primenet.com
Message-ID: <39cd8ad1.98528402@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 79043

A few years back there was a very similar story about an "internet haunting" where supposedly someone was harrassing a family over the internet. All sorts of happenings in the home (including the microwave turning on) were attributed to a "hacker."
It turns out the whole thing was staged by a youngster in the house.

In this news report it states that there is a 13 year-old in the house. I'll bet in a few months you'll see a follow-up where the child is implicated.

20 years ago these incidents were attributed to poltergeists, 200 years ago witchcraft, 2000 years ago succubi...

Today's modern evil is the hacker, instead of a demonic or ghostly presence.

History has always shown it was "rambunctious" children causing the problem.

Sparky

On Fri, 22 Sep 2000 20:04:04 -0500, Bruce or Tracy
<bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote:

>TV Satelite / Remote Control / IR Remotes
>
>A little bit of info for each newsgroups to which
>I am posting.
>
> http://www.freep.com/news/locway/tv21_20000921.htm
>
>That must be one seriously powerful remote control.
>I assume this is a true news article.
>
>Bruce

6759. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 05:11:12 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000924011112.09059.00000138@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 79044

Two questions:

1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?

2. What exactly are you guys talking about in regards to your scanners being overloaded?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6760. Re: Looking for a scanner that is capable of receiving cell phonefreqency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 05:19:36 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <I9gz5.721$P4.410962@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 79045

This is why this group should be moderated.

/tim/

"Bianca Martinez" <Blanca24@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15675-39CD8164-12@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> i live in southern california
>

6761. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 06:00:08 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39CCD54B.C687E221@home.com>
Source record: 79046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gee,
now you are ignoring the proper "on-topic" posts by asking little side
questions
to divert it (again).

I use a standard scanner antenna for the scanner, and a CX-902 tribander
for the relevant amateur spectra. in fact, I did respond ON-TOPIC, but you
are now calling me a liar yet again. I just didn't specify antenna types
in my original postings. in fact, you are probably using my responses
to bolster the stats in your favor so far as on-topic postings are
concerned.

Both are located at 40 feet from the ground (tower mounted) and with
the tribander, I am able to work nearly any repeater within 100 miles
on just 5 watts. The scanner antenna is nothing more than a typical
radioshack braodband antenna (Often referred to as a "discone
antenna").

Now, answer me this:
where did you report for bootcamp training?
(sorry, but you have avoided this and I feel you are LYING about
your so-called military service).

DE N7ZZT

J o h n M wrote:

> Eric - My post to you asks you "what type of antennas do you use", and your
> reply is all this off-topic CRAP. ONCE AGAIN, I ask you "what type of
> antennas do you use"?
>
> BTW - You are wrong about my service provider, the LAST SEVERAL messages
> I have posted here came from the @home system. When I am on the road
> traveling
> I use what ever service provider I can access easily which could be AOL or
> any other.
>
> Now, are you willing to talk about antennas or do you want to continue your
> off-topic activities?
>
> What type of antennas do you use?

6762. Re: mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-24 06:32:55 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <rehz5.842$P4.444340@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78947

The less cable the better, as long as you use GOOD quality BNC connectors
and they are installed properly you shouldn't have any problems. Try to
stay away from RG-58 it tends to be a very high loss cable.

"Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message
news:39CD90A4.17B79DA3@zdnetonebox.com...
> I'm putting my RS mobile scanner in my new pickup truck and I selected
> the RS "cell phone look alike" antenna.
>
> Here's the question: The distance between the antenna and where I'm
> putting the scanner is about 3 feet. The antenna came with 15 or 20
> feet of coax. Can I cut off most of the excess and replace the BNC
> connector without fouling up the reception?
>
> Will having an extra 15 feet of coax wrapped up cause signal loss?
>
> Thanks for the straightforward answers.
>
> --
> ---------------------------
> Ginsberg's Theorem:
> 1. You can't win.
> 2. You can't break even.
> 3. You can't quit the game.
> ---------------------------

6763. Pomona Fair Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: warrior5 <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <39CF0AE9.EC802472@gte.net>
Source record: 78902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for Pomona Fair Freqs i had some but my kid erased them b4 i could print them up :(

6764. does anyone know ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <rwEz5.3915$gw4.409477@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 78903

You know these two way's is it with six, seven channels licence free radios that are all the rage...

what freq.'s are they on ???

THANKS
RICK

6765. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qnb53$55kg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 78904

This is very strange .
Is it possible thata it is available in some regions of the
country, and not yet available in others?
Or is it possible that Radio Shack people are telling me the wrong
thing?

M. Schneider wrote in message
>Well, I've had this not-available antenna for just over a month, and the
>local store told me it was the third one they'd sold, so somebody has it.
>BTW, I'm using it on my ADI AT-600HP 144/440mhz ham talkie which has
>extended RX, and it definitely works better, TX and RX, than the stock
>antenna.
>
>Mark K5MAR
>
>"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:8qm8fs$12vo$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> Yesterday, a Radio Shack associate told me this antenna no longer exists.
>> Today I went to a different Radio Shack. They told me that this antenna
>is
>> so new that it actually isn't available yet. - nobody has it yet -
>>

6766. Missouri Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weezul <weeezul@mad.scientist.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <hHHz5.70645$Qx4.2384925@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78905

I am looking for the frequencies for the Columbia, MO area. I have looked all over the net and I have had no luck. Any help?

6767. Re: Radio Shack pro-2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000925103255.14150.00000874@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 78906

Subject: Radio Shack pro-2048
From: tomtequila@webtv.net (Lord Calvert 454 Casull)
Date: 09/24/2000 3:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <10447-39CE5B3B-6@storefull-223.iap.bryant.webtv.net>

--WebTV-Mail-5003-899
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit

Any mod's?.....how can I improve my scanner?

Beginner,
Tom

Nope..none for this one..sorry !)<:

6768. Springfield, IL Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10828-39CF63B7-24@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78907

If anyone is planning on going to the airshow this coming weekend, September 30 & October 1 at Springfield, IL and would be interested in meeting up, please let me know. Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

==========
Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Clinton: America's Worst Nightmare
Save America, Evict Clinton
==========

6769. Re: does anyone know ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Kolari <rakolari@cyberbeach.net>
Message-ID: <LzJz5.1483$xf7.4666@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78908

I think you mean the FRS (Family Radio System). They usually operate in the 460MHz range.

Adam Kolari

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message news:rwEz5.3915$gw4.409477@news1.cableinet.net...
> You know these two way's is it with six, seven channels licence free radios
> that are all the rage...
>
> what freq.'s are they on ???
>
> THANKS
> RICK
>
>
>

6770. Re: does anyone know ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <0IJz5.372$kg6.80207@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 78909

Family Radio Service radios are on the 460 Mhz range and have a range of up to 2 miles depending on terrain.

Glenn

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message news:rwEz5.3915$gw4.409477@news1.cableinet.net...
> You know these two way's is it with six, seven channels licence free radios
> that are all the rage...
>
> what freq.'s are they on ???
>
> THANKS
> RICK
>
>
>

6771. Re: does anyone know ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <FtKz5.140453$oj6.3783649@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 78910

They are FRS(Family Radio Service). Most radios have 14 ch's while your cheaper radios have 1 or 2 ch's. Range for FRS radios can be 1 to 2 miles depending on the terrain. Here are the freq's for all 14 ch's.

Ch. Frq.
1)462.5625
2)462.5875
3)462.6125
4)462.6375
5)462.6625
6)462.6875
7)462.7125
8)467.5625
9)467.5875
10)467.6125
11)467.6375
12)467.6625
13)467.6875
14)467.7125

Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:rwEz5.3915$gw4.409477@news1.cableinet.net...
> You know these two way's is it with six, seven channels licence free radios
> that are all the rage...
>
> what freq.'s are they on ???
>
> THANKS
> RICK
>
>
>

6772. Re: Nothing ever changes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@Body.com
Message-ID: <39cf74d1.3012588@news.cisco.com>
Source record: 78911
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

What is a WTIA?

On Thu, 17 Aug 2000 12:49:44 GMT, "The Pumpkin Man Commeth"
<dontendit9@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote in message
>news:399AFC55.31097840@home.com...
>> Well, its been substantially more than a year since last I was on the net
>> (in any real capacity) and it seems all the old arguements are
>> still going on.
>
>This is to be completely expected Eric! R.H. has cleaned up all
>of the ham radio cesspools (14.313/3894.5/3.853/3.865 etc etc..)
>and there is *nowhere else* for these obcessive compulsive ham radio
>jammers to fly to but the internet newsgroups, seeing that the FCC has
>got all of them DF'ed and ID'ed their all now sittin ducks on the radio
>transmitter.
>
>> You have the pro-code V. the no-code,
>> John Mackey V. Everybody
>> complaints about whats happening with several players on
>> 75/80 meters...
>>
>> Its the same old shit day after day... when are you guys going
>> to wake up and smell the coffee? no one in the REAL WORLD
>> cares much for all this complaining...
>
>But THEY CARE about it Eric. It is their ONLY purpose
>reason left for suckin in oxygen. I personally wish that R.H. had
>never come on the scene. They'd all be back on 14.313 and
>one of several shitstained "spots" on 75 meters. I really do miss
>the Mike & Judy show, the OTK wars, Festering-Zit's
>pontificating on politics, BigAl in Hickory creative jamming
>tapes, Vietnam Dan sayin he's gonna "kill em and eat em all"
>the transmission-guy in Benton Harbor what loves pueto-rikans,
>and best of all - James R Vining's all-night drunken ramblings
>on 3.853 (Now there's a guy who's filthly mouth alone could
>knock a moulting buzzard from off of a piled-high shitwagon
>at 50 yards)
>
>Trust me Eric: "B.H." [Before Hollingsworth] ham radio ssb
>swl'ing was like watching an HBO comedy special. You had
>great entertainment for much less than the cost of a cable

>TV subscription all freakin day and night.
>
>Now all we gots left is that commensurate flaming asshole
>"preacher john" on 14.313 and the right winger yahoos on
>3950. Phooey! The arbitron ratings just took a nosedive
>into the toilet. Watchin the freakin DNC on C-SPAN is more
>entertaining!
>>
>> Besides, any real information that can be disseminated here is lost
>> amongst all the BS flying around... Anyone new to ham radio is going to
>look at
>> this mess and decide that ham radio is nothing but a bunch of immature,
>> childish people with way too much time on their hands and damn little
>> sense. Gee. what a poor example to the new, up and coming ham!!!!
>
>WHAT new and up-comming hams? I went to Dayton for the
>second time (won't waste my time going a third..) and I never
>seen a bigger collection of old, cheap, fat-bellied-gutz-hangin
>over-the-belts, McDonalds burger chompin, suspender-stretchin
>WTIA in my life. Don't even ask how they dressed.
>
>What self respecting 18-25 yr-olds want's to join up with
>such a group of obsolete commensurate losers?
>
>> Oh well, its jerks like the prime examples in this newsgroup that have
>> made it tough on everyone else. too bad we can't put y'all on an island
>and
>> let you duke it out.
>
>You mean like "Survivors" on CBS? Put em all back on 14313! This way
>we can hear em piss and moan in real time.
>
>> DE N7ZZT
>> Newriver Arizona
>
>73es, 88's an gud luk in th-C-tst OM! .... ..
>
>

6773. WTB NB-4 Drake Noise Blanker & MS-4 Spkr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Bahr <w5drc@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39CF85D8.8468E977@earthlink.net>
Source record: 78912

I am looking for a NB-4 Noise Blanker for my Drake R-4C receiver and a matching MS-4 Speaker. I can be reached at w5drc@earthlink.net or on the phone at: 713-906-3532 Lee Bahr w0vt Houston

6774. CS-R3 cloning software for IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manos <pelamare@her.forthnet.gr>
Message-ID: <969903710.548477@athnrd02.forthnet.gr>
Source record: 78913

Anyone have a copy of CS-R3 cloning software for IC-R3 TO send me?
pelamare@her.forthnet.gr
TNX
de SV9ANJ

6775. Re: Yupi MVT-9000 VS MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8FBB1CA08slvbeardnetzeronet@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 78914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I have owned both, and I would have to say performance wise, the 7100 is identical to the 9000. Looks wise, the 9000 has a better display with alot more details (alpha tagging, etc). The 9000 has a bandscope, where the 7100 does not. The 9000 has 15k and 30k steps (good for 150mhz business and 800mhz cellular scanning respectively) where the 7100 does not. The 9000 also has bank link scanning (can program a combination of any of the banks to scan) where the 7100 does not (can scan only up to 4 banks at a time). If you plan to do alot of searching, I would get the 9000. If you plan to do more programmed scanning, you can't go wrong with the 7100.

Adam

dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com wrote in <8ql8jl$s7a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>How is the MVT-9000 better than the MVT-7100? Is it really worth the
>price difference? I would be interested in comparisons. Thanks!!!!
>
>DeWayne
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6776. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8FBB1A268slvbeardnetzeronet@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 78915
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I started scanning several years ago with a hand held Bearcat 3000. I would have to say it was a very good scanner in it's time. It had nice looks (although many people called it a brick due to it's large size) and good coverage (better than most other hand helds at the time). My only major gripe was that it had a very expensive battery pack, it cost me $30 to have the battery pack rebuilt. All and all it was a very good scanner.

I have since, however, gotten onto the Yupiteru bandwagon. I would have to say in retrospect, in pure performance, the Yupiteru 7100 and 9000 are leaps and bounds better than the Bearcat 3000. My Bearcat 3000 was deaf compared to my Yupiteru's. Not to mention, the great little Yupiteru's use simple AA rechargable and standard alkaline batteries. Whats even better is that the Yupiteru's have even wider coverage than the Bearcat 3000's (shortwave, uhf television, etc.).

The Bearcat 3000's were good scanners in their day, and they are still my favorite choice for domestic made handheld scanners, but for a little more money, the Yupiteru's are much much better.

Adam

dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com wrote in <8qfo09$r6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>I am considering the Yupi 7100 and the BC3000xlt. Are these radios
>reliable and trouble free? Please compare if you have experience with
>these. I realize the Yupi has SSB and the BC3000xlt does not. Thanks.
>
>DeWayne
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6777. Re: Yupi MVT-9000 VS MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: slvbeard@netzero.net
Message-ID: <8FBB16893slvbeardnetzeronet@204.181.81.113>
Source record: 78916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I have owned both, and I would have to say performance wise, the 7100 is identical to the 9000. Looks wise, the 9000 has a better display with alot more details (alpha tagging, etc). The 9000 has a bandscope, where the 7100 does not. The 9000 has 15k and 30k steps (good for 150mhz business and 800mhz cellular scanning respectively) where the 7100 does not. The 9000 also has bank link scanning (can program a combination of any of the banks to scan) where the 7100 does not (can scan only up to 4 banks at a time). If you plan to do alot of searching, I would get the 9000. If you plan to do more programmed scanning, you can't go wrong with the 7100.

Adam

dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com wrote in <8ql8jl$s7a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>How is the MVT-9000 better than the MVT-7100? Is it really worth the
>price difference? I would be interested in comparisons. Thanks!!!!
>
>DeWayne
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6778. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Abbey <AbbeyPhoto@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QvNz5.115$7I4.1791@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78917

Question:
Where can I find a current list of CHP frequencies?
I drive from San Luis Obispo to San Diego and would like to program in all
the useable frequencies.
Thank you
Michael

Chuck Rowe wrote in message <39c92b8c.84085791@news.earthlink.net>...
>It seems the new Orange County Purple-1 (Santa Ana) frequency 39.44 is
>now in use. I've monitored the traffic and this channel seems a bit
>odd. It seems that sometimes dispatch is coming off of the repeater
>and sometimes not. I say this because sometimes I hear dispatch loud
>and clear and other times I have to turn squelch way down to hear
>them.
>
>I've also noticed that sometimes I can hear the officers in the field
>and other times I only hear the dispatcher. I wonder if there is a
>new repeater system at work here or if things are still in the testing
>phases with live traffic on the channel. Maybe they're using a zone
>based repeater system? Just a thought but it sure seems that way.
>
>Oh well, if anyone has made any observations please let me know what
>you've found. As usual, they're using 162.2 Hz PL tone as they do on
>all Border Division channels.
>
>
>** Remove the spam filter to reply via e-mail. **

6779. F.T. Bc200xltFull Cell.&Pro34 W/Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8qoan2aqb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78918

I well trade my Bc200xlt base with full cell. and my Pro34 handheld
with full cell.Both scanners are in mint condition with manuals and all
acc.that came with them.Will trade for a pro2004 or a Pro2006.Email me
if interested at wilfkelly@home.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6780. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39cfa7f9.6019484@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78919

Yeah, I did not know this but that looks to be the case. Same channel, different PL tone and different repeater in this case. Just a little funny that they didn't revert back to the original Purple channel. Maybe equipment was switched out at the original recieve site rendering that channel completely dead.

Thanks for the reply.

On Sun, 24 Sep 2000 23:41:13 -0700, Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net> wrote:

>From what I understand, Any area that has the new 39mhz base freq is using
>Blue-1, so Inland division is using it with 118.8 and Border division is
>using it with 162.2.
>
>Mike

6781. FA: PRO-2006 Complete in Box - 3 Day - No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <cQNz5.19574$nk3.940321@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78920

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=449462413

6782. 245 vs pro 92 (a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: larry mcghee <zenox-7@home.com>
Message-ID: <39CFB749.1FD9462A@home.com>
Source record: 78921

Hello all , I may be buying a trunktracker in the future.
I was just wondering which one was best.?
the 92 seems to have more features, but I honestly don't have a clue.
tks for any responses.
l.m.

6783. L.A. Area news media frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: none@none.com
Message-ID: <39d0a9cb.6485405@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78922

Does anyone know frequencies that radio/TV stations use for remote broadcast and or remote coordination? I have 123.025 and 127.775, those are fun, especially in the morning. Who is Hahnyo? Thanks

6784. Visit http://clik.to/n0vfp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Davies" <n0SPAMvfp@BELL_SOUTH_RED_DWARF_.net>
Message-ID: <R2Oz5.2877$103.18806@news1.atl>
Source record: 78923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On my page, You will find:

Radio Shack webpages
MW DX page
Politics Pages
Message Board
Free for Links pages

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/radioshack.htm

6785. for trade: motorola spectra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Snig <jsands@magnolia-net.com>
Message-ID: <NfOz5.5244$ve5.425017@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 78924

Want to trade Motorola Spectra 800mhz privacy plus, model D45KGA5JB7AK for a VHF model spectra. Model # ?43????????K. The only two that matter are the second and third numbers, the rest can be whatever.

Jason

6786. Re: Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <3aPz5.29843$O37.4432906@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 78925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39cebd78.28051816@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Could someone PLEASE tell me how to assign a PL or DPL tone to a
> memory channel / frequency on a Pro-92A.
>
> Unless I am missing something, the manual is is not specific on how to
> do this.

I'll agree that the manual is not perfectly clear about a lot of things.
:-)

There are two ways to assign a tone to a channel:

1) When a transmission is being received that uses the desired tone, press the "Trunk" button to store that tone.

2) In Program mode, use the Mode button to select PL or DPL, then the Step button to rotate through all of the possible settings. When you see the one you want, stop pressing the Step button. Press Manual or Scan and the value displayed will be stored as part of the frequency info.

You can verify whether a tone has been stored by stepping to the channel and pressing Program. The tone or code assigned will be displayed. CAUTION - when in Program mode, the scanner will not detect new tones or codes during transmissions.

73 de Dave, NF2G

6787. Re: EDACS Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Veeneman <dan@decodesystems.com>
Message-ID: <39CFD520.E7FC52BA@decodesystems.com>
Source record: 78926

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me which trunking scanners follow the EDACS systems?
> Thanks.

I've got a page on my Signal Harbor website that lists the
trunking capabilities of various scanners. You can see it
at http://www.signalharbor.com/trunking.html.

Cheers,

Dan

6788. Military Air Freq's Needed.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <five_0@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39CFDE84.30451A6C@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 78927

I am looking for the frequencies that ANY military aircraft (airplanes
and/or helicopters) use in and
around the Augusta, Ga. area. I see quite a bit of military air traffic
of all types but dont know what freq's
to put in the scanner. I have a pro 2035 which covers the military air
band. Any help is appreciated.
Robert

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6789. Re: Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39cfe415.16770236@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 78928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks alot Dave.

That clears things up quite a bit.

BTW...how did you figure that out? NO WHERE in the HORRIBLE manual
are those procedures explained.

Dan

>"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:39cebd78.28051816@news5.bellatlantic.net...
>> Could someone PLEASE tell me how to assign a PL or DPL tone to a
>> memory channel / frequency on a Pro-92A.
>>
>> Unless I am missing something, the manual is is not specific on how to
>> do this.
>
>I'll agree that the manual is not perfectly clear about a lot of things.
>:-)
>
>There are two ways to assign a tone to a channel:
>
>1) When a transmission is being received that uses the desired tone, press
>the "Trunk" button to store that tone.
>
>2) In Program mode, use the Mode button to select PL or DPL, then the Step
>button to rotate through all of the possible settings. When you see the
>one you want, stop pressing the Step button. Press Manual or Scan and the
>value displayed will be stored as part of the frequency info.
>
>You can verify whether a tone has been stored by stepping to the channel and
>pressing Program. The tone or code assigned will be displayed. CAUTION -
>when in Program mode, the scanner will not detect new tones or codes during
>transmissions.
>
>73 de Dave, NF2G
>
>
>

6790. MS Scanner Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert.todd@todd.bungi.com
Message-ID: <969929793@todd.bungi.com>
Source record: 78929

Hi folks! Looking for scanner freqs for the state of MS. If anyone has a listing of these, I'd appreciate it if someone would kindly pass these along.

6791. maryland mta trunk frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: md scan man <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <39D0135D.9E13E0DC@a.a>
Source record: 78930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

anybody know the base/offset for the maryland mta 400mhz trunk system?

thanx much

6792. FS: PRO 94 Trunking Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Costa <cvc@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <O5SOCc3JAHA.345@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 78931

```
PRO 94 - Dual Trunking handheld scanner, AC adaptor/charger, Ni-Cad
Batteries, Box & manual
Like new condx. $160 Shipped

--
Chuck Costa
cvc@bigfoot.com
```

6793. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D03881.F8A6F448@cts.com>
Source record: 78932

Chuck Rowe wrote:

> Yeah, this is really weird. One transmission is 5 x 5 and the next
> sounds like it's coming from very far away. I've heard the phasing on
> the Inland Division channels but this is different. Funny???
>
> On Wed, 20 Sep 2000 03:10:09 -0700, markj <markj@cts.com> wrote:
>
> >The CHP systems that use the repeaters in 39mhz are prone to phasing
> >problems when simulcasting. It's a real bitch in parts of San Diego
> >knowing that you should be getting a fat signal and it sounds like
> >multipath crap.

Just learned that CHP in San Diego yanked all the gear from Mt.Woodson and moved it to Mt.Miguel. The reason was interference...guess what...same problem on Miguel!

Markj

6794. Re: BC9000 Sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8qpfvj$8eb$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 78933

Yes there are. Try http://home1.swipnet.se/~w-15926/ubc9000xlt/
and
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revb9000.htm
and
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc9000.htm

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~ all kinds of stuff

"John Glas" <jglas@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9546-39D033D4-117@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Are there any sites dedicated mainly to the BC9000.
>

6795. Re: FA Ebay New in the box Bearcat BC400XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:19:40 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924201940.23684.00000745@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 78990

Sorry, this is the correct link. Too much rf next to the brain.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=444144240

6796. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:43:49 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924204349.10093.00000698@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 78991

>Subject: Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!
>From: matt weber matthеww50@home.com
>Date: 9/24/00 1:48 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <02jsssk7m8vlm866dg87vkq97afbq0du3c@4ax.com>
>
>On 24 Sep 2000 05:11:12 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI)
>wrote:
>
>>Two questions:
>>
>>1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?
>C-band Sat services.

A lot of terrestrial phone microwave is there too, but you need an external antenna close to the line-of-sight path between the two relay towers, & a SSB SW receiver hooked to the discriminator to decode it (not legally of course).
Neutrodyne

6797. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:46:31 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000924204631.10093.00000700@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 78992

>Subject: Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!
>From: neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
>Date: 9/24/00 7:43 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20000924204349.10093.00000698@ng-ba1.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!
>>From: matt weber matthewww50@home.com
>>Date: 9/24/00 1:48 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <02jsssk7m8vlm866dg87vkq97afbq0du3c@4ax.com>
>>
>>On 24 Sep 2000 05:11:12 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Two questions:
>>>
>>>1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?
>>C-band Sat services.
>
>A lot of terrestrial phone microwave is there too, but you need an external
>antenna close to the line-of-sight path between the two relay towers, & a SSB
>SW receiver hooked to the discriminator to decode it (not legally of course).
>
>Neutrodyne

PS, I should have said "demultiplex" rather than "decode" and this may be a
completely different system by now.
Neutrodyne

6798. Re: EDACS Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 00:54:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Umxz5.9531$hD4.2124305@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78993

Hi Adam:

The new Radio Shack PRO-92 hand-held & PRO-2067 mobile are capable of following EDACS, as well as Motorola Type I & II, LTR (E.F. Johnson), and conventional, including PL & DL!

The PRO-2067 is on sale until the end of this month. The PRO-92 is rumored to be going on sale next month.

Another consideration is the Uniden Bearcat BC245-XLT!

Bill Crocker

"Adam Kolari" <rakolari@cyberbeach.net> wrote in message news:5Nwz5.658$xf7.2730@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Can anyone tell me which trunking scanners follow the EDACS systems?
> Thanks.
>
> Adam Kolari
>
>

6799. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 02:01:24 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <Ulyz5.3223$s76.244930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78994

James Shelton wrote in message <8qm8fs$12vo$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>Yesterday, a Radio Shack associate told me this antenna no longer exists.
>Today I went to a different Radio Shack. They told me that this antenna is
>so new that it actually isn't available yet. - nobody has it yet -
>
>Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>>Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about
>>catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page
>>102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.
>>
>>I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and
>>it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any
>equipment
>>to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>

At $15 bucks, I might consider buying one and testing it for any kind of resonance with my MFJ 259 B analyzer. Some of these ducks have actually had gain on the weather band, 440 MHz, 2 meter amateur bands, or elsewhere. If I get it, I'll post my findings.
As a side note,
I use the RS telescopic whip with the BNC connector, and have been very happy with it. Especially with my R2 for AM broadcast listening. I have been experimenting with small ferrite
antennas with the R2, but so far the whip wins, as long as you are holding it or have it sitting
on a ground plane. My sundial works great!
Al

6800. Re: Will Digital kill scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 02:16:41 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <dAyz5.28929$%h6.5614419@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 78995

> "Jh" <jhalley@networkusa.net> wrote in message
> news:ss805945h3t40@corp.supernews.com...
> > What impact will digital technology have on scanning?
> >
> > Will police/fire/cell listening evenually dry up as more and more goes
to
> > digital?

Those of us who are interested in preserving the future of scanning as a hobby are rather hopeful that "cell listening" will dry up immediately so the powers that be don't get more silly ideas about banning or restricting scanners still further. It will be easier to preserve the hobby as an acceptable activity for law-abiding citizens if people would stop finding ways to violate the law, and talking so much about it while doing it.

Dave, NF2G

6801. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 02:44:20 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39cebbd3.43613306@news.penn.com>
Source record: 78996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 22 Sep 2000 13:49:05 GMT, dewayne_leblanc@hotmail.com wrote:

>I am considering the Yupi 7100 and the BC3000xlt. Are these radios
>reliable and trouble free? Please compare if you have experience with
>these. I realize the Yupi has SSB and the BC3000xlt does not. Thanks.
>
>DeWayne
>

The Yupi runs on AA batteries while the 3000 uses very, very expensive
battery packs.

6802. Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 02:53:40 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39cebd78.28051816@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 78898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Could someone PLEASE tell me how to assign a PL or DPL tone to a memory channel / frequency on a Pro-92A.

Unless I am missing something, the manual is is not specific on how to do this.

Dan

6803. Scanner for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 02:54:45 UTC
From: TW&#65533;
Message-ID: <V7zz5.246217$i5.3340711@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 78897

I have a Radio Shack Pro-60 scanner with a GRE Super Converter II (and some other extras) up for auction on Ebay. The GRE Super Converter II enables you to listen to cell phone calls by converting 810-950MHz into 410-550MHz. It's in great condition and works
perfectly. Here's the link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441625798

The starting price is only $50, with no reserve set. Please check it out if you're interested.
Thanks,
Amy
(to reply, remove the 4's)

6804. Re: Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 03:07:46 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39cec15f.45033549@news.penn.com>
Source record: 78899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2000 02:53:40 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>Could someone PLEASE tell me how to assign a PL or DPL tone to a
>memory channel / frequency on a Pro-92A.
>
>Unless I am missing something, the manual is is not specific on how to
>do this.
>
>Dan

I think you just hit the "step" button.

6805. Re: Kern co freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 05:06:54 UTC
From: "Danny C. Woodward" <dwoodwar@gte.net>
Message-ID: <O3Bz5.4927$M25.755531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 78900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

for kcfd blue 453.300
Org. 453.450

KCSO Green 453.700
White 454.600
Brown 453.400
Metro 453.050

Chp for Tejon is 42.60
for Bakersfield and Buttonwillo its 42.50

Hall Ambulance dispatches on 463.125

I have alot more. I also saw your post for Ventura, SBA and SLO. There's
several good web sites, but there on my computer at the office. Let me know
which ones you want and I'll email them

Danny
pirumedic@hotmail.com

JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message
news:39CDF1DF.5BBB817C@analon.com...
>
> I'm not always to check the groups so also e-mail any response..33anyone
have
> a list of Kern county frequencies chp, sheriff, pd, etc
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) |httpp://www.analon.com | Custom built
> Computer Systems, |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT to
PERFORM
> BEST at what you run.-- real systems run Unix!!
> |we will SAVE your company! ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
>
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++++++++++++++
>
>
>

6806. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-25 06:25:12 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <%6Cz5.937$xf7.3967@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78901

Well, I've had this not-available antenna for just over a month, and the local store told me it was the third one they'd sold, so somebody has it. BTW, I'm using it on my ADI AT-600HP 144/440mhz ham talkie which has extended RX, and it definitely works better, TX and RX, than the stock antenna.

Mark K5MAR

"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:8qm8fs$12vo$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Yesterday, a Radio Shack associate told me this antenna no longer exists.
> Today I went to a different Radio Shack. They told me that this antenna is
> so new that it actually isn't available yet. - nobody has it yet -
>
> Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
> >Has anyone tried Radio Shack's new scanner antenna? I'm talking about
> >catalog #22-034, Deluxe Antenna for Handheld Scanners. It's shown on page
> >102 of the new catalog. It's a 9" rubber ducky, @ $14.99.
> >
> >I picked one up the other day, and I've been using it on my PRO-92[A], and
> >it seems to have an edge over the stock antenna. I don't have any
> equipment
> >to do any serious testing, but I think it's a keeper!
> >
> >Bill Crocker
> >
> >
>
>

6807. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Shelton <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qpv0j$82qe$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 78852

besides the first person who told me it no longer exists - I've had three
now, tell me that is is so new that it is not available yet. One store
expects a shipment in 5 days, he's not sure if this antenna will be in that
shipment because it will be primarilly Christmas toys - but
I will look for the antenna again, next week.

6808. F/S PRO 2042 $220 SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D08470.A273E685@home.com>
Source record: 78853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scanner works like new and has seen little use. I have the original antenna,manual and original receipt. Has about 2 years esp warranty left from the Rat Shack. Price includes Priority Mail. I accept Paypal. I have a perfect 56 rating on Ebay. I f you would like to buy please leave your name and address and phone number. thanks Bruce

6809. How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigbear@thai.com
Message-ID: <8qq70cfdm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78854

Any one know how much I should offer for a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A not include any manual and software? Don't want to offer him to little or then again, to much.

Regard.
Krisada.A

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6810. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 78855

A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF reciever. It is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago. (Over 20 years ago). People seem to think that this news group is some kind of "appraisal service". What you are talking about here is an ancient tube rig. These go from anywhere from 50 bucks up, depending on just what forum they appear in (Hams are basically the only ones that would be interested), and are usually bought on their "nostalga" value, rather than their "real" value. I am not saying they didn`t make a fine radio. I still have the 75 S-1 around in working order, although I rarely use it. But it has little or nothing to do with "modern" radio, nor does it have much to do with scanning. Good day.
<bigbear@thai.com> wrote in message news:8qq70cfdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Any one know how much I should offer for a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A not
> include any manual and software? Don't want to offer him to little or then
> again, to much.
>
> Regard.
> Krisada.A
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6811. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: swaves101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000926110941.26852.00000698@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 78856

It was not available here in WA. So I ordered it from the RS website which confirms it has been shipped.
Regards,
Jim

6812. Sc150, Dead memory battery, do I have any options?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Gerharter <agerhart@internetcds.com>
Message-ID: <39d0c647$0$70058$692ed6a5@newsread-01.meganews.com>
Source record: 78857

I've got an old sportcat 150, always worked well until the memory backup battery went dead. Uniden wants 50.00 + shipping & handling to "flat rate" fix it. Do I have any options ? TIA Al Gerharter

6813. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d0c8ec.70990131@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78858

Also...

39.720 GRAY-1 Westminster
39.360 GREEN-1 Capistrano
39.440 PURPLE-1 Santa Ana

On Tue, 26 Sep 2000 04:04:55 GMT, Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>"Michael Abbey" <AbbeyPhoto@worldnet.att.net> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>Well, to start you off...
>
>
>San Diego 39.40
>Oceanside 39.80
>El Cajon 39.60
>
>Blue-1 39.14
>

6814. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <5M4A5.11144$hD4.2736464@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78859

Never owned one, and about the only thing I ever heard bad about it, is it's
very complex to program, especially with the PC cable, and software!

Bill Crocker

"R Lugo" <rlugogzm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com...
>
> I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.
>
> I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
> models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
> AR8200 MkII.
>
> Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
> your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?
>
>
> Thanks
>
>

6815. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <KV4A5.5044$37.2425@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78860

"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8qnb53$55kg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> This is very strange .
> Is it possible thata it is available in some regions of the
> country, and not yet available in others?
>
> snip<

That thought had occured to me. I live in central Oklahoma, and the RS stores here are in the Fort Worth district (so I'm told), and RS headquarters and main warehouses are in Fort Worth. So it may be that they get items ahead of the stores in other parts of the country. I'll have to remember to ask the local store manager about that the next time I see him.

Mark K5MAR

6816. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8qqn03$g85ls$1@ID-52518.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 78861

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com...
> A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF reciever. It
> is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago.

I think you're thinking of the 51-S1. The 95S-1A is a totally
computer-controlled wideband communications receiver. They cost around
$5000 brand new, but without any software to control it or manual, I think
it would make a nice paperwieght. There may be some places out there on the
'net to get information, but I wouldn't hold my breath. The 95S is not a
common receiver, so I don't think too many people would know where to get
software or what kind of baud/parity/stop bit info the receiver would use to
communicate with your computer.

On the other hand, if it did have software and a manual, I'd jump on it.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

6817. Re: MTA - Genesee County, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8qqopmpgr1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 78862

tomcat4680@aol.com (TomCat4680) wrote:
> ... a trunked system with freqs of 935.4375, 935.475, 945.4875, 935.9 and
> 935.925. when i try to program these into my Pro 91 it says error.

The PRO-91 only tracks trunks in the 800 MHz band (851 - 869 MHz). While
your scanner can listen to the 935 MHz frequencies, you'll have to upgrade
to actually trunk-track the individual conversations.

6818. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <spamblock@no.net>
Message-ID: <8qqq62$np1$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 78863

Good software makes it much easier to program the 8200...

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:5M4A5.11144$hD4.2736464@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Never owned one, and about the only thing I ever heard bad about it, is
it's
> very complex to program, especially with the PC cable, and software!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

6819. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Abbey <AbbeyPhoto@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8V6A5.5075$s76.376343@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78864

Thanks to all who emailed and posted. Fills, corrections and additions
requested.
List to date:

San Luis Obispo &
Santa Maria &
Buelton &
So Los Angeles
B 42.56
M 42.72

Santa Barbara
B 42.54
M 42.24

Ventura &
Orange Co
B 42.40
M 42.16

West Valley
B 42.50
M

West Los Angeles
B 42.44
M 42.76

Los Angeles Comm Ctr
B 39.34
M 42.26
B 42.46
M 42.70

Capistrano
B 42.48
M 42.68
Green 39.36

Westminster
Grey 39.72

Capistrano &
Garden Grove
B 42.48
M 42.68
Green 39.36

San Onofre &
Oceanside
B 39.80
M 42.84

Santa Ana
39.44

San Diego Comm Ctr
B 39.40
M 42.26
M 42.66

El Cajon
39.60

6820. mail server down reply to:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D0FD7F.C0A2E734@home.com>
Source record: 78865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mail server not working . Please reply to bpk8227@excite.com if
interested

Bruce wrote:
>
> Scanner works like new and has seen little use. I have the original
> antenna,manual and original receipt. Has about 2 years esp
> warranty left from the Rat Shack. Price includes Priority Mail. I
> accept Paypal. I have a perfect 56 rating on Ebay. I f you
> would like to buy please leave your name and address and phone number.
> thanks Bruce

6821. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <627A5.11171$hD4.2758458@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78866

I meant to say it is much easier with the PC cable, and software!!!

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:5M4A5.11144$hD4.2736464@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Never owned one, and about the only thing I ever heard bad about it, is
it's
> very complex to program, especially with the PC cable, and software!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "R Lugo" <rlugogzm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.
> >
> > I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
> > models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
> > AR8200 MkII.
> >
> > Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
> > your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?
> >
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

6822. 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3vuz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qr2querh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78867

New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.

Am I missing something here?

Thx de Eric N3VUZ - REMOVEn3vuz@refnet.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6823. Re: L.A. Area news media frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Martin <rpmartin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39D1128C.7209DC23@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78868

Airwatch--450.7125
KTTV-TV--450.950
KNX/KOCE-TV--450.0875
KFWB Radio--450.850
KABC Radio--450.050
CNN--450.775
KABC TV--450.3125
KCBS TV--450.0875
KNBC TV 1--450.1875
KNBC TV 2--450.3875
These are all I have--Hope it helps

Bud Fish wrote:

> Does anyone know frequencies that radio/TV stations use for remote
> broadcast and or remote coordination? I have 123.025 and 127.775,
> those are fun, especially in the morning. Who is Hahnyo? Thanks

--
RPM

6824. What happened to eavesdroppers in the UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: joseph_friday@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qr5g9$h87$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78869

Looks like they are seriously gone. Are there any other "overseas"
sites that may have stepped up to fill the gap?

I wonder if someone (motorola?) extended the long arm of the law to
mess with the page operators?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6825. Re: F.T. Bc800xlt Full Cell. And a Pro34 W/Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8qr60rhmk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78870

In article <8qpdqb$j7l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> I have a Bc800xlt base with full cell.and a Pro34 handheld with full
> cell.Both scanners are in mint codition and come with manuals and
extra
> accessories.Will trade for Pro2004 or a Pro2006.Email me at
> wilfkelly@home.com if interested.Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>GOING ON EBAY TONIGHT IF NO ONE MAKES ANY OFFERS >THANKS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6826. ** LIVE SCANNER ONLINE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "AIRPLAYRADIO.COM" <airplayradio@home.nl>
Message-ID: <FG8A5.1606$UE3.27594@zwoll1.home.nl>
Source record: 78871

http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/play.pls?addr=166.90.148.108:9918&file=filena
me.pls

6827. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeh@cmkrnl.com
Message-ID: <39f018e4.946272327@nntp.cts.com>
Source record: 78872

On Tue, 26 Sep 2000 19:46:10 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>I meant to say it is much easier with the PC cable, and software!!!
>
>Bill
>
>"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
>news:5M4A5.11144$hD4.2736464@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
>> Never owned one, and about the only thing I ever heard bad about it, is
>it's
>> very complex to program, especially with the PC cable, and software!
>>
>> Bill Crocker

The clear alternative is the MVT9000, which IMO is much easier than AOR to
program... On the other hand it has no PC interface option at all.
Fools!

--- jeh

6828. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-2C7CBB.17222726092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 78873

Zombie Wolf -

The 95S-1 is solid state HF receiver with a computer interface. It was manufactured in 1995. It's an interesting radio. Check out http://www.wa3key.com/95s1.html.

I wouldn't buy it without software and manual. You can't use it without a computer interface. I have no idea what a fair price would be with manual and software. Without them - what would you pay for a big doorstop?

Dave

In article <st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com>, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:

> A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF reciever.
> It
> is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago. (Over
> 20
> years ago). People seem to think that this news group is some kind of
> "appraisal service". What you are talking about here is an ancient tube
> rig.
> These go from anywhere from 50 bucks up, depending on just what forum
> they
> appear in (Hams are basically the only ones that would be interested),
> and
> are usually bought on their "nostalga" value, rather than their "real"
> value. I am not saying they didn`t make a fine radio. I still have the 75
> S-1 around in working order, although I rarely use it. But it has little
> or
> nothing to do with "modern" radio, nor does it have much to do with
> scanning. Good day.
> <bigbear@thai.com> wrote in message news:8qq70cfdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Any one know how much I should offer for a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A not
> > include any manual and software? Don't want to offer him to little or
> > then
> > again, to much.
> >
> > Regard.
> > Krisada.A
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>

>

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

6829. Re: ** LIVE SCANNER ONLINE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000926182742.17717.00000820@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 78874

Where is this from. Whem I try to connect, I get message stating "Real Player cannot play this type document?

Thanks
Oper911

6830. Re: Pomona Fair Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmaster@nospam.freqofnature.com
Message-ID: <%iaA5.68$IP2.92684@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 78875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

warrior5@gte.net (warrior5) wrote in <39CF0AE9.EC802472@gte.net>:

>Looking for Pomona Fair Freqs i had some but my kid erased them b4 i
>could print them up :(
>

Pomona PD establishes a sub-station on the fairgrounds
during the course of the Fair. In the past years they
have used their secondary channel "Orange" for coordinating
activities on the grounds. There is also a fire station
"near the clock tower" that is fully staffed (24/7) during
the entire run. I believe this station is Station 189.
AMR also has at least 1 unit on-site everyday as well and
is usually stationed at the first aid station within the
Grandstands. In addition Fairplex has an in-house security
dept. that is operational 24 hrs-a-day, 365 days-a year.
Sorry, but I don't know any of their frequencies. Enjoy
your time at the Fair.

Pomona PD 153.860 Orange (tactical, used extensively during Fair)
155.550 blue (primary dispatch)
153.935 brown
AMR ambulance 47.58
LACoFD 470.4125

464.675 - Channel 1 - Maintenance, Admin.
466.500 - Channel 4 - Media, Sound, TV (CCTV for horse races)
464.550 - Channel 5 - Off-site runners/PBX
462.725 - Channel 6 - Lincoln Security
467.900
467.1125
467.2875
468.3375
466.3875
466.7125
466.8625
463.7375
466.3375
467.1375
467.7625
467.7875
467.8125
467.8375
468.2625

When I was programming radios for the LACF (yes, I worked there for the sound company, and yes, I worked on the radios) we put the parking trams and crews, cleanup crews, and each different division on a splinter channel in the high end of 467 MHz. IIRC, the monorail is programmed with 467.1125 or 467.2875 (or both, the radios are 2 channel Radius M100's). The monorail breaks down about once a day, and about 2x/week it breaks down so bad that it needs to be evacuated.
Remember that all the FRS channels, Itinerant Business channels, "Dot" and "Star" channels will be active with all kinds of traffic.
If Event Medical Services is still providing first-aid, they're on the Med splinters, as well as the main and security channels.
There's a little phone patch activity on channel 5, since it's tied in to the fair's PBX.

Los Angeles County Fair
1101 W. McKinley Avenue
Pomona, CA. 91768
(909) 623-3111

The above information came from the eGroups - SoCalScan

http://www.egroups.com/message/socalscan

www.freqofnature.com

6831. Radio Shack PRO2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jules Beaudoin <cffb@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <8qrdgh$h89$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 78876

Does anyone have any info on any mods for the PRO2050?

6832. FS: Shortwave and Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Kowall <sysctrl@cyberspc.mb.ca>
Message-ID: <ldcA5.2676$fU3.62967@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 78877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

i have for sale the following

1-RS DX394 ver B Receiver,in new cond. c/w box,manual,ant this receiver is
only 3mths old
would like to get $225.00 usd

1-RS Pro 2005 Scanner in Perfect cond. (1 little scratch on 1 button)have
box,manual,ant
would like to get $250.00 usd
thanx
howard

--
sysctrl@cyberspc.mb.ca or ve4isp@rac.ca

6833. All Senior Volunteer Bomb Squad Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D15108.8AC36293@cts.com>
Source record: 78878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.law.enforcement

The brave men of the San Diego Police Department's R.S.V.P./E.O.D. Unit are now online. This is a great page to get Seniors interested in the wonderful world of law enforcement.

http://members.home.net/scannersandiego/rsvpeod.htm

6834. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-3CCC1D.21182426092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 78879

In article <dmoorman-2C7CBB.17222726092000@nntp.interaccess.com>, Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com> wrote:

> Zombie Wolf -
>
> The 95S-1 is solid state HF receiver with a computer interface. It was
> manufactured in 1995. It's an interesting radio. Check out
> http://www.wa3key.com/95s1.html.
>
> I wouldn't buy it without software and manual. You can't use it without
> a computer interface. I have no idea what a fair price would be with
> manual and software. Without them - what would you pay for a big
> doorstop?
>
> Dave

After checking the specs at the URL above, I discovered that the 95S-1 has stereo headphone output on the FM broadcast band. This is something that every continuous coverage scanner should have, but even Sony neglects to do it.

Count on Collins to do it right!

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

6835. Re: New CHP Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39D159BE.59CDF3CC@pacbell.net>
Source record: 78880

Email me and I will send you the file with all the freqs and colors for the entire state ...

Mike

Michael Abbey wrote:

> Question:
> Where can I find a current list of CHP frequencies?
> I drive from San Luis Obispo to San Diego and would like to program in all
> the useable frequencies.
> Thank you
> Michael

6836. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8qrmeg0cuj@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I reported to Great Lakes Naval Base. You should follow this link for more
data:
http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1a.html

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> Now, answer me this:
> where did you report for bootcamp training?
SNIP

6837. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <39D171FC.55222A50@postnet.com>
Source record: 78882

James Shelton wrote:

> besides the first person who told me it no longer exists - I've had three
> now, tell me that is is so new that it is not available yet. One store
> expects a shipment in 5 days, he's not sure if this antenna will be in that
> shipment because it will be primarilly Christmas toys - but
> I will look for the antenna again, next week.

I picked one up at the Radio Shack in Kirkwood, MO (a suburb of St.
Louis) last week. Hard to tell if it is any better than the stock duck. It
certainly is larger and a bit more cumbersome to have on the 92A. I've got a
program that will will report dbm readings of my Optocom and will try and
compare the two ducks on the local NOAA weather channel as a steady reference
signal

--
From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

6838. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D18623.59A3D11D@cts.com>
Source record: 78883

JonGage wrote:

> Hello I was looking at an ambulance here locally in San Jose, CA and
> noticed that they are using this "Shark Fin" shaped antenna for all
> their radio stuff. I know they use 900mhz multicast repeater system,
> with "button push" status system. My question to the group are these:
> What kind of antenna is this? Cost? And can It be used for
> scanner? JonGage@pacbell.net

Most newer AMR ambulances in the bay area have been outfitted with the lower profile fin antennas. The longer ones are VHF-Lo band along with VHF and UHF. The old whip lowband and loaded UHF were prone to damage and needed to be replaced often.

You can use the on a scanner if you want...but they are single band antennas.

Houston PD has used these antennas for years along with railroads and bus operators.

Visit the RSVP/EOD Volunteer Bomb Squad
http://members.home.net/scannersandiego/rsvpeod.htm

6839. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8qruir0kq2@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If I lost then how come YOU are the one "grasping at straws"?

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> You might as well quit while you are ahead, John.
> You've lost and you know it.
SNIP

6840. Re: What happened to eavesdroppers in the UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8qrv230l70@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78885

test - don't respond.

<joseph_friday@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8qr5g9$h87$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Looks like they are seriously gone. Are there any other "overseas"
> sites that may have stepped up to fill the gap?
>
> I wonder if someone (motorola?) extended the long arm of the law to
> mess with the page operators?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6841. Re: Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 01:34:33 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <J2Tz5.30735$%h6.6023999@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 78934
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39cfe415.16770236@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> Thanks alot Dave.
>
> That clears things up quite a bit.
>
> BTW...how did you figure that out? NO WHERE in the HORRIBLE manual
> are those procedures explained.

I sucked up a lot of info from mailing lists, newsgroups, and websites, plus
experimentation.

Dave, NF2G

6842. Re: Radio Shack pro-2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 01:48:26 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39D00165.72DD8768@hotmail.com>
Source record: 78935

How did you get the picture of my ex?

Lord Calvert 454 Casull wrote:
>
> Any mod's?.....how can I improve my scanner?
>
> Beginner,
> Tom
>
> ----------
>
> [Image] [Image][Image]

6843. Re: mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 01:50:57 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39D001FC.2F9EC6E5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 78936

Enos,

I routinely cut my coax length to suit - but I have lots of it. I find
that RS antenna are pretty good but the coaxial cable they use is
crapola.

MC

Enos wrote:
>
> I'm putting my RS mobile scanner in my new pickup truck and I selected
> the RS "cell phone look alike" antenna.
>
> Here's the question: The distance between the antenna and where I'm
> putting the scanner is about 3 feet. The antenna came with 15 or 20
> feet of coax. Can I cut off most of the excess and replace the BNC
> connector without fouling up the reception?
>
> Will having an extra 15 feet of coax wrapped up cause signal loss?
>
> Thanks for the straightforward answers.
>
> --
> ---------------------------
> Ginsberg's Theorem:
> 1. You can't win.
> 2. You can't break even.
> 3. You can't quit the game.
> ---------------------------

6844. FS BC890XLT 125.00 CONUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 03:11:25 UTC
From: fdemb11716@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000925231125.00384.00000667@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 78937

Ihave a like new in box bc890xlt for sale and comes with the box and manual for 125.00 shipped in CONUS

please email me privately if interested and i accept paypal

gregg

6845. Re: Pro-92A PL / DPL, how to set...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 04:03:31 UTC
From: kiefer4444@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000926000331.09518.00000837@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 78938

while the channel is in programming mode, first push the mode key until the last characters of the first line show PL for standard PL, or DL for DPL. Next use the step key until the proper tone is selected

6846. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 04:42:55 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39D02877.725198C8@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 78939

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Actually it's quite a simple modification to do. All you have to do is
> solder a wire between two diodes (I think that's what they're called). Go
> to www.mods.dk for more info.
>
> Adam Kolari

On that site, it says:
"... Now set to autoscan, with 360.2375 as lower limit, and
385.1625 as upper limit. Press SHIFT and change mode to NFM, then
change step rate to 5."

???

Huh?

Is 869.0400 heard on 360.2375, etc.? What happens to the rest of
the frequencies?

> >
> > I believe you need the European chip to receive in the cell bands
> > -- not a simple snip of a diode.
> >
> > --
> > Michael Moore

--
Michael Moore

6847. Re: Help! With the purchase of a new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 04:49:32 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39D02A07.CFFF6805@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 78940

Adam Kolari wrote:
>
> Actually it's quite a simple modification to do. All you have to do is
> solder a wire between two diodes (I think that's what they're called). Go
> to www.mods.dk for more info.

The article discusses soldering a wire between two pins of an IC
(chip), not diodes. If anyone has actually performed this mod,
I'd like to hear about it.

--
Michael Moore

6848. MTA - Genesee County, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:01:53 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000926010153.05828.00000738@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 78941

here in Genesee County, Michigan the local bus system (Mass Transportation Authority) has a trunked system with freqs of 935.4375, 935.475, 945.4875, 935.9 and 935.925. when i try to program these into my Pro 91 it says error. if anyone knows what kind of system this is (Type I or Type II or whatever) please send me the info. if its a type I send me the fleet map (whatever that means, sorry im new to trunking)

any info is appreciated

email response is preferred

visit my Genesee County, Michigan Radio Scanner Information page at http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

6849. Consumers Energy - Genesee County, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:07:27 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000926010727.05828.00000739@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 78942

here in Genesee County, Michigan the power company (Consumers Energy) has a trunked system with freqs of 851.7625, 853.6625, 854.1375, 854.7625 and 855.6625. when i put these into my Pro 91 it wont trunktrack. is this system a Type I or Type II or what ? (sorry im new to trunktracking) am I missing any frequencies?

any info is appreciated

email response is preffered

visit my Genesee County, Michigan Radio Scanner Information Page at http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

6850. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:08:11 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000926010811.18647.00000783@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 78943

>>1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?
>C-band Sat services.
>
>

Ooookay... and what is heard on C-ban Sat services?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6851. Re: Bandercom Orders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:22:39 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9548-39D0329F-19@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78849

I ordered my UBC9000 back in February.
Excellent service and better price than in
the US. John

6852. BC9000 Sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:27:48 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9546-39D033D4-117@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78848

Are there any sites dedicated mainly to the BC9000.

6853. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:31:54 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <CwWz5.655$37.1439@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78847

"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8qnb53$55kg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> This is very strange .
> Is it possible thata it is available in some regions of the
> country, and not yet available in others?
>
> snip<

That thought had occured to me. I live in central Oklahoma, and the RS stores here are in the Fort Worth district (so I'm told), and RS headquarters and main warehouses are in Fort Worth. So it may be that they get items ahead of the stores in other parts of the country. I'll have to remember to ask the local store manager about that the next time I see him.

Mark K5MAR

6854. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:31:54 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <buWz5.651$37.856@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78946

"James Shelton" <JShelton1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8qnb53$55kg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> This is very strange .
> Is it possible thata it is available in some regions of the
> country, and not yet available in others?
>
> snip<

That thought had occured to me. I live in central Oklahoma, and the RS stores here are in the Fort Worth district (so I'm told), and RS headquarters and main warehouses are in Fort Worth. So it may be that they get items ahead of the stores in other parts of the country. I'll have to remember to ask the local store manager about that the next time I see him.

Mark K5MAR

6855. Trunker and Etrunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:32:54 UTC
From: sparkfel@mypants.primenet.com
Message-ID: <39d1348c.41800412@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 78945

What happened to these two programs?

Just about all sites with the most current versions seem to be down or gone.

I have older versions, but am looking for the V3.8x or whatever is most current for both Trunker and Etrunk.

Can someone fill me in/point me in the right direction?

Sparky

6856. AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:34:52 UTC
From: R Lugo <rlugogzm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 78944

I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.

I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
AR8200 MkII.

Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?

Thanks

6857. Re: F.T. Bc800xltFull Cell.&Pro34 W/Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:51:33 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qpdh1$iu4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78850

In article <8qoan2aqb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilfkelly@home.com wrote:
> I well trade my Bc800xlt base with full cell. and my Pro34 handheld
> with full cell.Both scanners are in mint condition with manuals and
all
> acc.that came with them.Will trade for a pro2004 or a Pro2006.Email me
> if interested at wilfkelly@home.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
> CORRECTION

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6858. F.T. Bc800xlt Full Cell. And a Pro34 W/Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-26 05:56:32 UTC
From: wilf509940@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qpdqb$j7l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78851

I have a Bc800xlt base with full cell.and a Pro34 handheld with full cell.Both scanners are in mint codition and come with manuals and extra accessories.Will trade for Pro2004 or a Pro2006.Email me at wilfkelly@home.com if interested.Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6859. SCANnorCAL has moved back to egroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8qun0rrgq1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 78697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Re: SCANnorCAL, the email scanning group for Northern and Central California

As you probably know, the move to smartgroups.com has not been very successful, so I have moved the list back to egroups.

Just about everyone should have been added to the list. If you were already on the egroups version, your settings should have remained as they were.

If you wish to subscribe, unsubscribe or change your settings, please go to out homepage at: http://www.egroups.com/group/scannorcal the links for this are on the right side of the page, toward the top.

Please note the FILES, LINKS and CHAT features that are available to everyone from that same page.

Enjoy!

Harry Marnell
List Manager, ScanNorCal
marnells@snowcrest.net

6860. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <k3iA5.42$ME2.1575@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 78797

"REBEL RADIO" <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com> writes:

>First of all let me dismiss any misconceptions concerning it's "complex"
>program. The AR8200 is not difficult to learn at all. Unlike scores of other
>manuals, the AR8200's manual is very straight forward and easy to
>understand. There is nothing complex or difficult about it. Due to the
>large number of features and capabilities this radio has there is a lot to
>learn, but not difficult to learn at all. The "basics" only takes a few
>hours to master! The rest is up to you.

I'll have to disagree on that. Being an experienced scanner user I
find that the AR-8200 is the worst scanner I have ever met when it
comes to operating it.
Just look at a simple task as toggling a bank in or out from scanning.
On the AR-8200 you must press:

F
SCAN
Arrow-down
Bank number key
ENT

To add to complexity both F and Arrow-down are located on the
left side of the scanner while the other keys are on the keypad.

The same operation on a typical Uniden or Realistic scanner is
just one keypress: Bank number key.

>Unlike *any* other scanner on the market, the AR8200 allows you to pretty
>much do almost anything with your scanner, it has no limitations at all!

It does not trunck track.
No per channel selectable delay.
Display does not indicate which banks are currently being scanned.
Deaf on HF.
Scanning speed slow compared to many Uniden or Realistic models.
CTCSS add on card does not work properly (This has been fixed on Mk II).

Having said that I'll admit that AR-8200 has a lot of nice features
that makes it a wonderful toy to play with. But if you need an
everyday workhorse and focus less on experimenting things like
scanning speed and ease of operation are more important and then
there are far better alternatives.

>This my friend only scratches the surface of what this monster scanner is
>capable of and why it's the best radio on the market.

There are no "best radio" in my opinion. Radios are like cars. It depends on your needs and you'll not get one single unit that does it all.

Regards
Per
--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

6861. Re: Orange County CA Fire Trunk Freq - FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nicht2 <nicht2@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39D1B559.9D550F6C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 78798

Icom Frequency Searches - FCC Frequency Database

PerCon Home > DataFinder Home >

Click here for Frequently Asked Questions or Additional Assistance

Callsign

Callsign in the United States

Company Name

Company Name in a City
Company Name in a County
Company Name in a State

Company Name / Radio Service Code

Company Name / Radio Service in a City
Company Name / Radio Service in a County
Company Name / Radio Service in a State

Frequency

Frequency in a City
Frequency in a County
Frequency in a State

Frequency Range

Frequency Range in a City
Frequency Range in a County
Frequency Range in a State

Radio Service

Radio Service in a City
Radio Service in a County
Radio Service in a State

Transmitter Location

Transmitters in a City
Transmitters in a County

Geographic / Radius

Radius Search from Lat/Long
Radius Search from Lat/Long with Frequency Range
Radius Search from Zip Code

Radius Search from Zip Code with Frequency Range

Click here for Frequently Asked Questions or Additional Assistance

Copyright ï¿½ 2000 PerCon Corporation

http://www.perconcorp.com/icom/index.html
A Daylate wrote:

> I need a list of Orange County CA fire trunked frequencies the ones on
> bearcat1.com are not quite corect, I am missing the Santa Ana, Costa Mesa
> area.
>
> Tannks

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/freevideo/

6862. GEORGIA SCANNER FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Cyples <cyples@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <39D1BCC8.7C65B354@ns.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 78799

Looking for the following frequencies in the Atlanta area - particularly

Cobb County - just NW of Fulton County (Atlanta City)
And Marietta - which is 10 miles (?) NW of Vinings

- Local Ham (2M/70cm)
- Railroad
- Fire
- GeorgiaHighway Patrol

Thanks
Al

6863. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D10D94.6CD32107@home.com>
Source record: 78800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gee,
then I know you are a LIAR.

for training purposes, you would have had to report tp PARIS ISLAND!

thank you for confirming that you have lied yet again.

I do believe that impersonating military personel is a federal offense.

J o h n M wrote:

> I reported to Great Lakes Naval Base. You should follow this link for more
> data:
> http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1a.html
>
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > Now, answer me this:
> > where did you report for bootcamp training?
> SNIP

6864. F/s Pro 2042 $210 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D1D1DA.8702FB61@home.com>
Source record: 78801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Leaving the scanner hobby. Unit is in perfect working condition and
looks next to new with original manual,antenna and
receipt. Please email me at bpk8227@excite.com if interested. I accept
Paypal and my Ebay rating is a 56 with my username
bpk8227. No trades please.

6865. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <kCkA5.11960$hD4.3070031@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78802

SSB antennas? I never heard of them. I've heard of SSB radio equipment, and I understand what it is, (wasn't aware that ambulances were starting to use SSB), but how would the antenna differ for SSB?

Bill Crocker

"Mark" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39D18623.59A3D11D@cts.com...

JonGage wrote:

Hello I was looking at an ambulance here locally in San Jose, CA and noticed that they are using this "Shark Fin" shaped antenna for all their radio stuff. I know they use 900mhz multicast repeater system, with "button push" status system. My question to the group are these: What kind of antenna is this? Cost? And can It be used for scanner?
JonGage@pacbell.net
Most newer AMR ambulances in the bay area have been outfitted with the lower profile fin antennas. The longer ones are VHF-Lo band along with VHF and UHF. The old whip lowband and loaded UHF were prone to damage and needed to be replaced often.
You can use the on a scanner if you want...but they are single band antennas.

Houston PD has used these antennas for years along with railroads and bus operators.

Visit the RSVP/EOD Volunteer Bomb Squad
http://members.home.net/scannersandiego/rsvpeod.htm

6866. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8qsq67osq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <39D10D94.6CD32107@home.com>,
Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> Gee,
> then I know you are a LIAR.
>
> for training purposes, you would have had to report tp PARIS ISLAND!

First of all, it's Parris Island.
Second of all, Parris Island is the Marine Corps training facility, not the Navy.
Third of all, Great Lakes is the Navy training facility
Fourth of all, even if he was in the Marines he also could have gone to San Diego.

Please give it up.

First, you are on the wrong track.
Second, he doesn't need your idiocy cluttering up his idiocy.
Third, there are other, much more accomplished, "fans" who can take care of tweaking him.

> Still, I think this arguement has gotten old and I have said the last
> words on it I am going to say.
>
> DE N7ZZT

You said this a week ago and have made more than 5 posts since. Could you please keep your committment?!?!?!?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6867. Re: What happened to eavesdroppers in the UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <FMIA5.11995$hD4.3091648@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78804

He had indicated that he was just giving it up, too much work, no help, or support from anyone. It's too bad...it was a nice site!

Bill Crocker

<joseph_friday@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8qr5g9$h87$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Looks like they are seriously gone. Are there any other "overseas"
> sites that may have stepped up to fill the gap?
>
> I wonder if someone (motorola?) extended the long arm of the law to
> mess with the page operators?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6868. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d2eaef.145243912@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78805

No, it's probably for GPS. The shark fin on an aircraft is for the mode C of the transponder. All ATC communication is done via VHF and uses a straight 20" or so antenna.

When ground units communicate with aircraft it's almost always via a public safety channel.

On 27 Sep 2000 04:20:30 GMT, sascheffey@aol.com (SAScheffey) wrote:

>Is it possible that they are communicating with air traffic?

6869. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <jqq3ts8uvg891vng893oca6ksdegi9vlog@4ax.com>
Source record: 78806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...

>Gee,
>then I know you are a LIAR.
>for training purposes, you would have had to report tp PARIS ISLAND!
>thank you for confirming that you have lied yet again.
>I do believe that impersonating military personel is a federal offense.

Eric, you SHOULD believe John. After all, the link clearly shows what
qualifications are needed for the program he entered.

>> http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1a.html

:Applicants for the APG program must: be currently employed or have
:been employed within the past 12 months in civilian fields comparable
:to the ratings for which applying;

:include sufficient documentation of professional and supervisory experience
:on a resume, with employer and personal recommendations;

And we all KNOW that as a janitor at a mental facility, he's obviously fulfilled
the requirement for a radio tech in the Navy.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

6870. Re: Orange County CA Fire Trunk Freq - FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d3ebe0.145485408@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78807

Go to...

http://www.latraffic.org/radioman/

On Wed, 27 Sep 2000 01:52:42 -0700, nicht2 <nicht2@pacbell.net> wrote:

>

6871. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d4ec8f.145660212@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Who the fuck cares, you retard?

On Wed, 27 Sep 2000 10:49:02 GMT, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:

>Gee,
>then I know you are a LIAR.

6872. S: Systron Donner - 752

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Hoehn <Mike.Hoehn@gssr.org>
Message-ID: <39D1EB99.EF08137@gssr.org>
Source record: 78809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi folks!

i'm looking for infos, manual and modifications for the
Systron Donner 752 Spectrumanalyser!

thx
Mike

6873. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <39D1FC4A.63188F50@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 78810

Well around here (boston area) these low silhouette antennas are very common place on emergency vehicles. I first saw them on all the transit busses years ago but now many police cars and ambulances have them here. I think the main reason everyone is going to them is vandalism. People don't even know they are antennas and they are less likely to be removed or broken. They come in all bands except low 900,800,UHF and VHF. I know Antenna Specialist makes them but I think several other manufactures probably do as well. They are usually used on systems with multiple receivers where 1/4 waves where used in the past. In my town the police cruisers all have them on both there MDT's (800) and car radios (470).

http://www.AntennaSpecialists.com/lm_cat/lmrpg21.html
http://www.AntennaSpecialists.com/lm_cat/lmrpg20.html
http://www.AntennaSpecialists.com/lm_cat/lmrpg22.html

Bill Crocker wrote:

> SSB antennas? I never heard of them. I've heard of SSB radio
> equipment, and I understand what it is, (wasn't aware that ambulances
> were starting to use SSB), but how would the antenna differ for
> SSB? Bill Crocker
>
> "Mark" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message
> news:39D18623.59A3D11D@cts.com...
>
> JonGage wrote:
>
> > Hello I was looking at an ambulance here locally in San
> > Jose, CA and noticed that they are using this "Shark Fin"
> > shaped antenna for all their radio stuff. I know they use
> > 900mhz multicast repeater system, with "button push"
> > status system. My question to the group are these: What
> > kind of antenna is this? Cost? And can It be used for
> > scanner? JonGage@pacbell.net
>
> Most newer AMR ambulances in the bay area have been
> outfitted with the lower profile fin antennas. The longer
> ones are VHF-Lo band along with VHF and UHF. The old
> whip lowband and loaded UHF were prone to damage and
> needed to be replaced often.
>
> You can use the on a scanner if you want...but they are
> single band antennas.
>
> Houston PD has used these antennas for years along with

> railroads and bus operators.
>
>
> Visit the RSVP/EOD Volunteer Bomb Squad
> http://members.home.net/scannersandiego/rsvpeod.htm
>

6874. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <39D1FDAF.873DB6A2@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 78811

In my area the Trunking systems are so full that I really like using one scanner per system. I have one 895 on the State's system and one 895 doing all my conventional stuff. With the amount they are both active I can't really see using one scanner for both even when the new one comes out. Now the car is waiting for the new one.....

Chuck Rowe wrote:

> No, that's what the radio does. Still IMHO an excellent radio for its
> generation. Newer radios will do more multi system and multi mode
> stuff simultaneously. I have an 895 and I wouldn't trade it for
> anything. It's an excellent radio.
>
> On Tue, 26 Sep 2000 21:01:23 GMT, n3vuz@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
> >limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
> >something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels
> >for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated
> >as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get
> >all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.
> >
> >Am I missing something here?

6875. 10 Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jules Beaudoin <cffb@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <8qt0t2$80c$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 78812

Can anyone tell me where I can find a listing of the 10 codes?

6876. FS: ICOM IC-R1 .... No Gaps ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: boomerguy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qt2i7$f4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78813

No longer available in US.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=449430205

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6877. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000927105623.20919.00001157@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 78814

Where is "SSB antennas" mentioned? I don't see it.. maybe I got a different version of the message? :)

>From: "Bill Crocker" >bcrocker@home.com
>SSB antennas? I never heard of them. I've heard of SSB radio =
>equipment, and I understand what it is, (wasn't aware that ambulances =
>were starting to use SSB), but how would the antenna differ for SSB?
>
>Bill Crocker
>
> "Mark" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message =
>news:39D18623.59A3D11D@cts.com...
> =20
> JonGage wrote:=20
>
> Hello I was looking at an ambulance here locally in San Jose, CA and =
>noticed that they are using this "Shark Fin" shaped antenna for all =
>their radio stuff. I know they use 900mhz multicast repeater system, =
>with "button push" status system. My question to the group are these: =
>What kind of antenna is this? Cost? And can It be used for scanner? =
>JonGage@pacbell.net
> Most newer AMR ambulances in the bay area have been outfitted with =
>the lower profile fin antennas. The longer ones are VHF-Lo band along =
>with VHF and UHF. The old whip lowband and loaded UHF were prone to =
>damage and needed to be replaced often.=20
> You can use the on a scanner if you want...but they are single band =
>antennas.=20
>

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6878. Re: 10 Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20000927110129.20919.00001159@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 78815

>Can anyone tell me where I can find a listing of the 10 codes?

While there is a list of "standard" 10 codes, most agencies have developed their own. You'd be best off checking with the other local scanner buffs to obtain a list of locally used ones... you could get confused easily if they use non-standard codes. For example, a friend of mine was telling me that 10-33 was Officer in Trouble in one town that he lived. Around here 10-33 means that the officer is clear from the call...

Anyway, here's what I could find:

10 Codes

10-1 Receiving poorly
10-2 Receiving well
10-3 Stop transmitting
10-4 OK, message received
10-5 Relay message
10-6 Busy, stand by
10-7 Out of service, leaving air
10-8 In service, subject to call
10-9 Repeat message
10-10 Transmission completed, standing by
10-11 Talking too rapidly
10-12 Visitors present
10-13 Advise Weather/Road conditions
10-16 Make pick up at
10-17 Urgent business
10-18 Anything for us?
10-19 Nothing for you, return to base
10-20 My location is
10-21 Call by telephone
10-22 Report in person to
10-23 Stand by
10-24 Completed last assignment
10-25 Can you contact
10-26 Disregard last information
10-27 I am moving to channel
10-28 Identify your station
10-29 Time is up for contact
10-30 Does not conform to FCC rules
10-32 I will give you a radio check
10-33 EMERGENCY TRAFFIC
10-34 Trouble at this station
10-35 Confidential information

10-36 Correct time is
10-37 Wrecker needed at
10-38 Ambulance needed at
10-39 Your message delivered
10-41 Please turn to channel
10-42 Traffic accident at
10-43 Traffic Tie up at
10-44 I have a message for you
10-45 All units within range please report
10-50 Break channel
10-60 What is next message number?
10-62 Unable to copy, use phone
10-63 Net directed to
10-64 Net clear
10-65 Awaiting your next message/assignment
10-67 All units comply
10-70 Fire at
10-71 Proceed with transmission in sequence
10-77 Negative contact
10-81 Reserve hotel room for
10-82 Reserve room for
10-84 My telephone number is
10-85 My address is
10-91 Talk closer to mike
10-93 Check my frequency on this channel
10-94 Please give me a long count
10-99 Mission completed, all units secure
10-200 Police needed at

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

6879. San Diego area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Morris <gam11268@flash.net>
Message-ID: <0moA5.9212$864.627873@news.flash.net>
Source record: 78816

Does anybody have a complete listing for san diego area frequencies? I have the Trunked frequencies from
the net but I am looking for others like San Diego Transit mall security, and any other frequencies. if you can
email it to me in a text or excel format in would be greatly appreciated
Thanks in Advance

6880. Mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D227F6.8E4CB0C6@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78817

What is a good mobile antenna? Would a magnetic roof mount antenna provide considerably better reception then the coax cable that gets attached to the inside of your car with suction cups? Or is the difference trivial?

6881. BCT-7 Beartracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D22776.3B5BEEA@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78818

Does anyone have any experience on the BCT-7 by Uniden. I have heard a lot of good things about it and would like to purchase one. Do they work well?

6882. Upstate NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D2286F.7126B726@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78819

Does anyone have web sites or information on obtaining frequencies for
the Essex County, NY area in the Adirondack mountains?

6883. Base station scanners opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com>
Message-ID: <39D1FFC2.700C524F@cloudnet.com>
Source record: 78820

What would be a very good (not top of the line) base scanner with
continuous coverage from 1 mhz to 2 ghz. Also need location to purchase.
Must also be computer programmable.
If the mod is simple, I would prefer to get one in the US and do the mod
myself.
Thanks
Randy

6884. sold sold sold sold sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D2354B.13841D73@home.com>
Source record: 78821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bruce wrote:
>
> Leaving the scanner hobby. Unit is in perfect working condition and
> looks next to new with original manual,antenna and
> receipt. Please email me at bpk8227@excite.com if interested. I accept
> Paypal and my Ebay rating is a 56 with my username
> bpk8227. No trades please.

6885. Site shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Morris <jmorris@cdnmedsys.com>
Message-ID: <4irA5.1072$Mw.6791@read1>
Source record: 78822

Well, I think we have done it. We raised far to much controversy with our site and out of retaining my credibility, I have shut down the streams Calgary EMS on our site. Sorry for any problems this may have caused. I need advice from someone in Canada, either an attorney or someone in the "know" of Canadian Scanner laws and can break it down into plain English for me. I am concerned about rebroadcasting their transmissions over the Internet and if it's legal.

Cheers,

MedicDispatch.com

6886. Re: ** LIVE SCANNER ONLINE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Martin <rpmartin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39D24187.6D6CF467@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78823

I connected and my system used WinAmp. The sound is clear and clean except it is in Dutch. It streams Zwolle Netherlands, I assume police??

Oper911 wrote:

> Where is this from. Whem I try to connect, I get message stating "Real Player
> cannot play this type document?
>
> Thanks
> Oper911

--
RPM

6887. Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Scull <rwscull@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RorA5.2752$Ww1.80279@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78824

Greetings,
Is there a program or downloadable software that I can use to keep a log of all my radio frequencies and related information for my Pro-2006? I thought I saw one a few weeks ago but cannot find it now. Any help would be appreciated.

R.W.Scull

6888. Re: Being a radio dealer is very lucrative!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.143be50480305b5b9896e6@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <39b011c2.10270245@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@bellatlantic.net says...
> They have been spoiled by the pick up service of UPS.

They apparently forgot that the USPS carrier comes in every day to drop off mail, and they can have the carrier pick up as well. Express Mail shipments can be picked up on request by a simple phone call. And if they had stamps.com they could print their own postage for the shipment, via whatever means (Priority, Express, etc.) they chose.

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

6889. Re: BCT-7 Beartracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8qtjat$5cd8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 78826

> Does anyone have any experience on the BCT-7 by Uniden.

I had one I was using as a base scanner. Every time the highway patrol came by the thing would beep and flash. It was a pain in the ass. I sold it....

6890. Re: How to listen in on ham radio conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8qtja7$di8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Get a Sony 2010 SW radio

In article
<Pine.GSO.4.21.0009212122270.15036-100000@cardinal0.Stanford.EDU>, MACP
<sjosvai@stanford.edu> wrote:

> Can you direct me on how to accomplish this
> Suiz in California
>
> Visit my website: http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/RightWay/suzimarie/
>
>
>

6891. Listening Post scanner software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Russell <russellNO@SPAMprobe.net>
Message-ID: <st4kc8u28odf1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 78828

Does anyone know what happened to the guys that wrote Listening Post software, which would control a scanner and automatically record hits, etc? I downloaded a copy a couple of years ago to use with my WinRadio and it was pretty cool, but now they're nowhere to be found.

They used to be at www.lpcomm.com but that site's nowhere to be found now.

Thanks for any help - remove the NO SPAM to reply personally.

6892. Re: BCT-7 Beartracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Wyatt <ken_wyatt@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <970085094.648575@goodnews.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 78829

That's one reason people buy that model, you know! :)

Dave <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:8qtjat$5cd8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Does anyone have any experience on the BCT-7 by Uniden.
>
> I had one I was using as a base scanner. Every time the highway patrol
came
> by the thing would beep and flash. It was a pain in the ass. I sold
it....
>
>

6893. Re: Listening Post scanner software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Russell <russellNO@SPAMprobe.net>
Message-ID: <st4kogh15k5ca0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 78830

Wups, make that www.lpcom.com thanks.

6894. FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis VanHoose <dvideospamspam@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RAsA5.5535$bK5.28740@news2.mia>
Source record: 78831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

See it at http://www.davan.com/for_sale.htm

6895. FS Uniden Beartracker/BCT-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grip <summicron_m@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BLsA5.1304$9n1.1120@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 78832

As new in the box. All original accessories included. I have misplaced the manual, but you can download it free. $120 OBO

6896. Re: Upstate NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <pWsA5.41911$%h6.6810858@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 78833

"Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net> wrote in message
news:39D2286F.7126B726@cptelco.net...
> Does anyone have web sites or information on obtaining frequencies for
> the Essex County, NY area in the Adirondack mountains?

Visit the NF2G Scannist Pages - New York Section at:

http://nf2g.com/scannist/nys_index.html

Click on "Essex."

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

6897. I'm looking for MDT freq's in the Denver area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ds <willworknow@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <2KtA5.6931$g6.1544186@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 78834

Can anyone give the MDT freq.'s in the Denver metro area. I would greatly appreciate it. Thanks.

6898. Re: 10 Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8qtqv1$ge30p$1@ID-52518.news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 78835

"Mark" <mark@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:9n84tssi2nraqptoa8hlpnmk82ncsv9jra@4ax.com...
> On 27 Sep 2000 15:01:29 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI)
wrote:
>
> >>Can anyone tell me where I can find a listing of the 10 codes?
> >
> >While there is a list of "standard" 10 codes, most agencies have
developed
> >their own.

Not only that, they may have a few "unofficial" codes in use. I worked at
an agency that used "Signal 80" to mean "clusterf--k."

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

6899. Re: Base station scanners opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <st4ug02g6pajae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 78836

Let`s be realistic here. Just about any scanner that is going to span 2 GHZ is not GOING to be "cheap". And no, it is neither "cheap" nor "easy" to modify an existing scanner that does not do it, to actually DO it. (You are basically talking a re-engineering of the scanner). You could build a converter to listen to those frequencies, but then, you are going to lose the use of the frequencies that you use for the converter. And, the converter is not "cheap" or "easy" to build either. What the converter does is, it converts the high frequencies down to a lower set of frequencies (it is essentially another mixer stage "tacked onto" the scanner circuits). For these freqs, a converter is not going to be cheap.

You are not going to internally modify any scanner made since 1994 to do this trick, as the government has seen to it that you simply cannot modify them. They are potted in epoxy, or frequency bands are locked out in the micropocessor, etc, etc, etc.

Some of the "import" scanners will do this, and they are not prohibitively expensive, but you will feel the "pinch" in your wallet area. I would look into one of the AOR scanners if I were you, maybe one from Canada or Europe. However, it is illegal to import these into the USA, although it has been done successfully even in the recent past. How about one of you AOR afficianados in the group helping put this guy in the know ?

"Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
news:39D1FFC2.700C524F@cloudnet.com...
> What would be a very good (not top of the line) base scanner with
> continuous coverage from 1 mhz to 2 ghz. Also need location to purchase.
> Must also be computer programmable.
> If the mod is simple, I would prefer to get one in the US and do the mod
> myself.
> Thanks
> Randy
>

6900. Chicago Loyola University security/police freq anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward <edward_mc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8qttha$1bkq$1@news.enteract.com>
Source record: 78837

Can someone please post the frequency for Loyola University's Chicago Lake Shore Campus security/police department? Thanks, E

6901. Re: Base station scanners opinions [2.6GHz!]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <V%uA5.12139$hD4.3250476@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78838

Maybe this will make Randy happy!

http://www.grove-ent.com/RCV49E.html

Bill Crocker

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:st4ug02g6pajae@corp.supernews.com...
> Let`s be realistic here. Just about any scanner that is going to span 2
GHZ
> is not GOING to be "cheap". And no, it is neither "cheap" nor "easy" to
> modify an existing scanner that does not do it, to actually DO it. (You
are
> basically talking a re-engineering of the scanner). You could build a
> converter to listen to those frequencies, but then, you are going to lose
> the use of the frequencies that you use for the converter. And, the
> converter is not "cheap" or "easy" to build either. What the converter
does
> is, it converts the high frequencies down to a lower set of frequencies
(it
> is essentially another mixer stage "tacked onto" the scanner circuits).
For
> these freqs, a converter is not going to be cheap.
>
> You are not going to internally modify any scanner made since 1994 to do
> this trick, as the government has seen to it that you simply cannot modify
> them. They are potted in epoxy, or frequency bands are locked out in the
> micropocessor, etc, etc, etc.
>
> Some of the "import" scanners will do this, and they are not prohibitively
> expensive, but you will feel the "pinch" in your wallet area. I would look
> into one of the AOR scanners if I were you, maybe one from Canada or
Europe.
> However, it is illegal to import these into the USA, although it has been
> done successfully even in the recent past. How about one of you AOR
> afficianados in the group helping put this guy in the know ?
>
> "Sarge" <Bearcat@cloudnet.com> wrote in message
> news:39D1FFC2.700C524F@cloudnet.com...
> > What would be a very good (not top of the line) base scanner with
> > continuous coverage from 1 mhz to 2 ghz. Also need location to purchase.
> > Must also be computer programmable.
> > If the mod is simple, I would prefer to get one in the US and do the mod
> > myself.
> > Thanks

> > Randy
> >
>
>

6902. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <39D27B91.5C343FFC@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 78839

It does not trunk track.

"Joseph S. Filipek" wrote:
>
> I have not read anywhere if it will trunk track. Any comments?
>
> REBEL RADIO wrote:
>
> > Being a very happy and proud owner of a AR8200MKII I feel I am qualified to
> > comment on this excellent radio!
> >
> > First of all let me dismiss any misconceptions concerning it's "complex"
> > program. The AR8200 is not difficult to learn at all. Unlike scores of other
> > manuals, the AR8200's manual is very straight forward and easy to
> > understand. There is nothing complex or difficult about it. Due to the
> > large number of features and capabilities this radio has there is a lot to
> > learn, but not difficult to learn at all. The "basics" only takes a few
> > hours to master! The rest is up to you.
> >
> > Unlike *any* other scanner on the market, the AR8200 allows you to pretty
> > much do almost anything with your scanner, it has no limitations at all! It
> > has 1000 channels that are divided into 20 banks with 50 channels each. You
> > can divide the channels anyway you like. For example if one bank will only
> > have 20 channels, you can move the remaining 30 channels to any other banks.
> > Yes, you can configure your banks to any number or combination of channels.
> >
> > In addition to the 20 scanning banks, you also have 20 search banks!! Verry
> > useful for serious scanners. I programmed frequency ranges for most of the
> > ham bands, air bands, and other bands which I do not choose to place in
> > memory channels but still wish to scan. Search scans are very fast and
> > effective, and of course you can always add any new freq to any channel or
> > bank.
> >
> > In addition to scanning and search modes it has 2 VFO's used for programming
> > freq's, entering a direct freq, and more search modes, with 5 additional
> > *QUICK* memory slots for search ranges in VFO mode!
> >
> > There is complete full step adjustments, mode adjustments (NFM, SFM, WAM,
> > AM, NAM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW), and various auto settings, ATT, NL, AFC,and a
> > alpha numerical display that allows you to name banks and channels. Also a
> > full use scope monitor, signal bar code meter, lighted display and heypad
> > bright enough to use as fog lights!
> >
> > There are a number of interesting plug in flash cards and a few filters

> > available for this scanner which further improves and expands it's
> > functionability! I have the free downloadable software from AOR website,
> > this is ok, and works nice, but I also got the 3rd party software from
> > http://butel.nl/ which is even better but a bit pricy.
> >
> > This my friend only scratches the surface of what this monster scanner is
> > capable of and why it's the best radio on the market.
> >
> > I plan on also getting the new AR8600 base/mobil set when it's available.
> > For more info check out my page which has a section on the AR8200 with more
> > links, plus other radios too, http://www.rebel-radio.net
> >
> > The AR8200MKII is the most expensive HH on the market. At around $500, I
> > suggest you order it through Bandercom and get the full unblocked version,
> > http://www.bander.com/uk/scanners.htm order by telephone and request next
> > day by FedEx.
> > Also order the case for it. BTW, it's stock swival telescoping antenna does
> > better then any antenna's I have!!
> >
> > If you get this radio, all other scanners will suddenly look like toys!
> >
> > Best of luck to you!
> > Jim K
> >
> > "R Lugo" <rlugogzm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.
> > >
> > > I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
> > > models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
> > > AR8200 MkII.
> > >
> > > Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
> > > your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > >

--
Michael Moore

6903. Re: BCT-7 Beartracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000927191656.12940.00001943@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 78840

In article <39D22776.3B5BEEA@cptelco.net>, "Michael D. Blaise"
<blaise@cptelco.net> writes:

>Does anyone have any experience on the BCT-7 by Uniden. I have heard a
>lot of good things about it and would like to purchase one. Do they
>work well?

Since it's preprogrammed with hundreds of common frequencies, it's a great
scanner for those new to scanning or those who travel frequently.

One small annoyance with the BCT-7 that's always bothered me: the "priority"
for highway patrol frequencies cannot be switched off. Nor can local police
frequencies be monitored independently of highway patrol frequencies. That
means you will always get short interruptions of the audio when trying to
monitor local police frequencies.

6904. Re: Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <mhvA5.3196$nF6.155956@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78841

Hi Robert.

There are a few databases available specifically for scanners
but you'd still have to manually enter the frequencies into your
scanner. If you go half a step further, you can add an inexpensive
interface in your scanner that will allow you to program your scanner
via your computer. The software that is included with the interface
also imports data from multiple sources (Percon, FCC, PROBE, etc.)

Here's the main website for the PROgramit Interface:

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

Through a joint effort, with the designer, John Montalbano, I sell, build,
and install his interfaces. For the DIY type, a full kit, including all the
neccessary electronic parts AND software, starts at only $35.00. Details
on the interfaces at this link:

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/PROgramit/PROgramit.html

The PRO-2006 is probably the most common scanner that has had this
interface installed. If I can answer any questions, please contact John
or myself directly.

Thanks,

Robert
spectrum@cfl.rr.com
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/

Robert Scull <rwscull@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:RorA5.2752$Ww1.80279@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Greetings,
> Is there a program or downloadable software that I can use to keep a log
of
> all my radio frequencies and related information for my Pro-2006? I
thought
> I saw one a few weeks ago but cannot find it now. Any help would be
> appreciated.
>
> R.W.Scull
>
>
>
>
>

6905. Optoelectronics OptoScan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joseph S. Filipek" <jfilipek@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D281E3.BA58F414@home.com>
Source record: 78842
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any comments from anyone that has one of these units?
Looking for pros/cons.
Thanks, Joe

6906. Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <five_0@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <39D2962E.36C03B17@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 78843

Hi all, I think I have narrowed down the go-between airports that the
military aircraft use across my area.
Most of it I believe to be between Shaw air force base in S.C and Moody
air force base in Ga. Most of
what I see is F-16's, A-4's,F-15's,&C-130's. Also I have seen C-17's
doing touch and go landings at the
local airport close to where I live. (Augusta,Ga.) Lastly, I live very
close to fort gordon and I also see
quite a bit of Cobra gunships and apache helicopters flying around,
especially during training exercises. I
was wondering if anyone knew of any good frequencies on these aircraft
and/or airports. Any help is
appreciated.
--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

6907. Re: Radio Shack's New Scanner Antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: trance <scott@getintostlouis.com>
Message-ID: <KwyA5.474$R6.356791@typhoon.stlnet.com>
Source record: 78844

I used to work at Radio Shack several years ago, and I can verify that 98% of the people that work there ONLY work there so they don't work in fast food. When I worked there they paid minimum wage and 1% comission.

It's a high turn-over job, people there really don't care after a while, especially when they sell more "parts" than high dollar items. I myself was burned over and over by people that bought scanners, hand held cb radios, only to return them after the vacation or road trip was over.

Enough sour apples.

BRING BACK THE PRO-2006!!!!

trance

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@postnet.com> wrote in message
news:39D171FC.55222A50@postnet.com...
>
>
> James Shelton wrote:
>
> > besides the first person who told me it no longer exists - I've had
three
> > now, tell me that is is so new that it is not available yet. One store
> > expects a shipment in 5 days, he's not sure if this antenna will be in
that
> > shipment because it will be primarilly Christmas toys - but
> > I will look for the antenna again, next week.
>
> I picked one up at the Radio Shack in Kirkwood, MO (a suburb of
St.
> Louis) last week. Hard to tell if it is any better than the stock duck. It
> certainly is larger and a bit more cumbersome to have on the 92A. I've got
a
> program that will will report dbm readings of my Optocom and will try and
> compare the two ducks on the local NOAA weather channel as a steady
reference
> signal
>
>
> --
> From Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood
Hill!
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop

> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

6908. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8quaqn031ij@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LRod-

Thank you for your support!!

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote
> Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> > for training purposes, you would have had to report tp PARIS ISLAND!
>
> First of all, it's Parris Island.
> Second of all, Parris Island is the Marine Corps training facility, not
> the Navy.
> Third of all, Great Lakes is the Navy training facility
> Fourth of all, even if he was in the Marines he also could have gone to
> San Diego.
>
> Please give it up.
>
> First, you are on the wrong track.
> Second, he doesn't need your idiocy
SNIP

6909. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8quasl03v@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bud -

Thank you for your support!

"Just Bud" <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> Eric, you SHOULD believe John. After all, the link clearly shows what
> qualifications are needed for the program he entered.
>
> >> http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1a.html
>
> :Applicants for the APG program must: be currently employed or have
> :been employed within the past 12 months in civilian fields comparable
> :to the ratings for which applying;
SNIP

6910. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:35:03 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qrfbn$p5s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78887

That's right, the 895 only does one bank at a time.

In article <8qr2querh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
n3vuz@my-deja.com wrote:
> New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
> limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
> something.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6911. Re: Trunker and Etrunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 00:37:02 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8qrffe$p75$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78886

This site is back up. http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/download.htm

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6912. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 02:29:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <TXcA5.11543$hD4.2934194@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78888

The BC895-XLT is a first generation TrunkTracker, and that is all they are capable of...one system at a time.

Bill Crocker

<n3vuz@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8qr2querh1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
> limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
> something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels
> for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated
> as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get
> all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.
>
> Am I missing something here?
>
> Thx de Eric N3VUZ - REMOVEn3vuz@refnet.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6913. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 02:39:23 UTC
From: KenCaz <Kencaz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <39D15E2F.1AF48304@earthlink.net>
Source record: 78889

My Mother has an 895XLT and she also did not like the fact that you can't trunk and listen to conv as well. The scanner also will only trunk Type I, II, IIi, and in the 800mhz range. This is not a problem if the agencies your interrested are on one of those systems.

She did, however, purchase the computer control software "WinScan" from Pozilla http://mghusa.com/pozilla/ If you have a computer the interface cable is simple to make and has some advantages. It will let you scan trunk and conv at the same time and will also let you add AlphaTags to your frequencies for ID. It's worth a look and you can get the demo for free which only allows 15min scanning per session. I think she paid around $60 for it but is worth it I think.

Good Luck

n3vuz@my-deja.com wrote:

> New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
> limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
> something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels
> for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated
> as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get
> all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.
>
> Am I missing something here?
>
> Thx de Eric N3VUZ - REMOVEn3vuz@refnet.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

---->>>KEN<<<----
scanning LA, CA

6914. Re: F.A.. Bc800xlt Full Cell. And a Pro34 W/Full Cell.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 03:35:23 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <8qrptr$1ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78890

In article <8qr60rhmk1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wilfkelly@home.com wrote:BOTH SCANNERS ARE ON EBAY NOW Thanks for
your interest.#3451126642 and #451051552
> In article <8qpdqb$j7l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> wilf509940@my-deja.com wrote:
> > I have a Bc800xlt base with full cell.and a Pro34 handheld with full
> > cell.Both scanners are in mint codition and come with manuals and
> extra
> > accessories.Will trade for Pro2004 or a Pro2006.Email me at
> > wilfkelly@home.com if interested.Thanks
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >GOING ON EBAY TONIGHT IF NO ONE MAKES ANY OFFERS >THANKS
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>ON EBAY NOW Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6915. NEED RECOMENDATION for Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:10:20 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <MqeA5.1886$Ww1.33452@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78891

Hello there all, I am in need of some recommendations for a mobile scanner that can be mounted in my car. Hopefully with a Tone Squelch, also that ability to name the programmed frequencies. If someone could PLEASE help me out, or have personal experiencies with the kind of set up.

Thanks
JonGage@pacbell.net

6916. ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:13:24 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <EteA5.1890$Ww1.34266@newsread03.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78892

Hello I was looking at an ambulance here locally in San Jose, CA and noticed that they are using this "Shark Fin" shaped antenna for all their radio stuff. I know they use 900mhz multicast repeater system, with "button push" status system. My question to the group are these: What kind of antenna is this? Cost? And can It be used for scanner?

JonGage@pacbell.net

6917. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:20:30 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000927002030.17815.00001104@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 78893

Is it possible that they are communicating with air traffic?

6918. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:27:52 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d27662.115403093@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78894

No, that's what the radio does. Still IMHO an excellent radio for its generation. Newer radios will do more multi system and multi mode stuff simultaneously. I have an 895 and I wouldn't trade it for anything. It's an excellent radio.

On Tue, 26 Sep 2000 21:01:23 GMT, n3vuz@my-deja.com wrote:

>New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
>limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
>something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels
>for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated
>as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get
>all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.
>
>Am I missing something here?

6919. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:43:14 UTC
From: REBEL RADIO <jkiricov@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CVeA5.36990$%h6.6583495@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 78895

Being a very happy and proud owner of a AR8200MKII I feel I am qualified to comment on this excellent radio!

First of all let me dismiss any misconceptions concerning it's "complex" program. The AR8200 is not difficult to learn at all. Unlike scores of other manuals, the AR8200's manual is very straight forward and easy to understand. There is nothing complex or difficult about it. Due to the large number of features and capabilities this radio has there is a lot to learn, but not difficult to learn at all. The "basics" only takes a few hours to master! The rest is up to you.

Unlike *any* other scanner on the market, the AR8200 allows you to pretty much do almost anything with your scanner, it has no limitations at all! It has 1000 channels that are divided into 20 banks with 50 channels each. You can divide the channels anyway you like. For example if one bank will only have 20 channels, you can move the remaining 30 channels to any other banks. Yes, you can configure your banks to any number or combination of channels.

In addition to the 20 scanning banks, you also have 20 search banks!! Verry useful for serious scanners. I programmed frequency ranges for most of the ham bands, air bands, and other bands which I do not choose to place in memory channels but still wish to scan. Search scans are very fast and effective, and of course you can always add any new freq to any channel or bank.

In addition to scanning and search modes it has 2 VFO's used for programming freq's, entering a direct freq, and more search modes, with 5 additional *QUICK* memory slots for search ranges in VFO mode!

There is complete full step adjustments, mode adjustments (NFM, SFM, WAM, AM, NAM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW), and various auto settings, ATT, NL, AFC,and a alpha numerical display that allows you to name banks and channels. Also a full use scope monitor, signal bar code meter, lighted display and heypad bright enough to use as fog lights!

There are a number of interesting plug in flash cards and a few filters available for this scanner which further improves and expands it's functionability! I have the free downloadable software from AOR website, this is ok, and works nice, but I also got the 3rd party software from http://butel.nl/ which is even better but a bit pricy.

This my friend only scratches the surface of what this monster scanner is capable of and why it's the best radio on the market.

I plan on also getting the new AR8600 base/mobil set when it's available. For more info check out my page which has a section on the AR8200 with more links, plus other radios too, http://www.rebel-radio.net

The AR8200MKII is the most expensive HH on the market. At around $500, I suggest you order it through Bandercom and get the full unblocked version, http://www.bander.com/uk/scanners.htm order by telephone and request next day by FedEx.
Also order the case for it. BTW, it's stock swival telescoping antenna does better then any antenna's I have!!

If you get this radio, all other scanners will suddenly look like toys!

Best of luck to you!
Jim K

"R Lugo" <rlugogzm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com...
>
> I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.
>
> I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
> models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
> AR8200 MkII.
>
> Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
> your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?
>
>
> Thanks
>
>

6920. Re: AOR AR8200 MkII feedback

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-27 04:58:05 UTC
From: "Joseph S. Filipek" <jfilipek@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D17E75.2412F716@home.com>
Source record: 78896

I have not read anywhere if it will trunk track. Any comments?

REBEL RADIO wrote:

> Being a very happy and proud owner of a AR8200MKII I feel I am qualified to
> comment on this excellent radio!
>
> First of all let me dismiss any misconceptions concerning it's "complex"
> program. The AR8200 is not difficult to learn at all. Unlike scores of other
> manuals, the AR8200's manual is very straight forward and easy to
> understand. There is nothing complex or difficult about it. Due to the
> large number of features and capabilities this radio has there is a lot to
> learn, but not difficult to learn at all. The "basics" only takes a few
> hours to master! The rest is up to you.
>
> Unlike *any* other scanner on the market, the AR8200 allows you to pretty
> much do almost anything with your scanner, it has no limitations at all! It
> has 1000 channels that are divided into 20 banks with 50 channels each. You
> can divide the channels anyway you like. For example if one bank will only
> have 20 channels, you can move the remaining 30 channels to any other banks.
> Yes, you can configure your banks to any number or combination of channels.
>
> In addition to the 20 scanning banks, you also have 20 search banks!! Verry
> useful for serious scanners. I programmed frequency ranges for most of the
> ham bands, air bands, and other bands which I do not choose to place in
> memory channels but still wish to scan. Search scans are very fast and
> effective, and of course you can always add any new freq to any channel or
> bank.
>
> In addition to scanning and search modes it has 2 VFO's used for programming
> freq's, entering a direct freq, and more search modes, with 5 additional
> *QUICK* memory slots for search ranges in VFO mode!
>
> There is complete full step adjustments, mode adjustments (NFM, SFM, WAM,
> AM, NAM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW), and various auto settings, ATT, NL, AFC,and a
> alpha numerical display that allows you to name banks and channels. Also a
> full use scope monitor, signal bar code meter, lighted display and heypad
> bright enough to use as fog lights!
>
> There are a number of interesting plug in flash cards and a few filters
> available for this scanner which further improves and expands it's
> functionability! I have the free downloadable software from AOR website,
> this is ok, and works nice, but I also got the 3rd party software from
> http://butel.nl/ which is even better but a bit pricy.
>

> This my friend only scratches the surface of what this monster scanner is
> capable of and why it's the best radio on the market.
>
> I plan on also getting the new AR8600 base/mobil set when it's available.
> For more info check out my page which has a section on the AR8200 with more
> links, plus other radios too, http://www.rebel-radio.net
>
> The AR8200MKII is the most expensive HH on the market. At around $500, I
> suggest you order it through Bandercom and get the full unblocked version,
> http://www.bander.com/uk/scanners.htm order by telephone and request next
> day by FedEx.
> Also order the case for it. BTW, it's stock swival telescoping antenna does
> better then any antenna's I have!!
>
> If you get this radio, all other scanners will suddenly look like toys!
>
> Best of luck to you!
> Jim K
>
> "R Lugo" <rlugogzm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AtWz5.18143$ve5.703554@news-east.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > I've decided to move up the ladder regarding to scanners.
> >
> > I only have an old PRO-25 and researched almost every "top end"
> > models. It looks like almost everything is pointing me toward the
> > AR8200 MkII.
> >
> > Having read almost all of the reviews for this model, can you gave me
> > your personal feedback for this model? Any Links?
> >
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >

6921. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <aHxA5.158$yL3.16919@news1.onlynews.com>
Source record: 78713

test

6922. Re: Which Scanner to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAF <feilerm@iea.com>
Message-ID: <39D2F238.89B3DD0@iea.com>
Source record: 78714

For that price range I would highly reccomend a used AR8000. You won't be sorry.

rebourland wrote:

> I am new to the hobby and am curious to which scanner to buy. I am
> interested in getting all frequencies including cellular. From what I
> have read only some scanners will do cellular. I have around $2-400 to
> spend, but have considered looking at auctions for deals. I have looked
> at the bc245xlt and bc3000xlt any suggestions.
>
> Thanks in advance

6923. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8qusq808ud@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> The NPSAC class that John did take is a reaction to the fact that
> the Navy has such a problem attracting qualified people into the

Up to this point you are doing fine, Blake.

But then below your statements become complete rubbish

SNIP
> to lure people into what is for the most part, the most unwanted
> postions in the Reserve, sort of like cannon fodder.

Blake, if they were unwanted positions then the Navy wouldn't offer
the billets. Certainly the personnel who fill those positions are proud,
or are you saying that "Information Technologists" (known as
"Radioman" before Jan 2000) are "unwanted"?!?!?!?!

> NPSAC is sort of like a wannabee boot camp. According to people
> in the navy, or recent retirees, the enlisted NPSAC is somewhat like
SNIP

Again, more rubbish from you Blake. I am SURE that you could NOT be
able to give names of any "people in the navy, or recent retirees" whom you
had
spoken with that would have given you such information as above. You
certainly
have NO idea of what the NPSAC Recruit Training is like. By the way, Blake,
it
is called "Recruit Training" and has been called as such for over 25 years.
The slang
term of "boot camp" is only used by people who have no recent military
knowledge
of the Navy, so any knowledgable people you would have spoken to would have
NOT used the term "boot camp" in discussions.

Once again, Blake Bowers, you have been proven a liar!!

BTW - NPSAC training includes all the basics such as firefighting, basic
radio
knowledge, PT, history, Gas mask use, etc.

6924. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8qve3phkp0@63.162.247.39>
Source record: 78716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > The NPSAC class that John did take is a reaction to the fact that
> > the Navy has such a problem attracting qualified people into the
>
> Up to this point you are doing fine, Blake.
>
> But then below your statements become complete rubbish
>
> SNIP
> > to lure people into what is for the most part, the most unwanted
> > postions in the Reserve, sort of like cannon fodder.
>
> Blake, if they were unwanted positions then the Navy wouldn't offer
> the billets. Certainly the personnel who fill those positions are proud,
> or are you saying that "Information Technologists" (known as
> "Radioman" before Jan 2000) are "unwanted"?!?!?!?!
>

Exactly as I said. Those positions are unwanted positions by
most people going into the reserves, hence hard to fill, hence
subject to recruitment enhancements. What part did you
you fail to understand? The program started due to the
lack of recruits for some of the basic ratings, and the
reserves needed to fill those slots.

> > NPSAC is sort of like a wannabee boot camp. According to people
> > in the navy, or recent retirees, the enlisted NPSAC is somewhat like
> SNIP
>

> have NO idea of what the NPSAC Recruit Training is like. By the way, Blake,
> it
> is called "Recruit Training" and has been called as such for over 25 years.

Actually, you are incorrect again John. NPSAC has only been around
for 1 -2 years, and therefore could not be called "recruit training"
for over 25 years.

> The slang
> term of "boot camp" is only used by people who have no recent military
> knowledge
> of the Navy, so any knowledgable people you would have spoken to would have
> NOT used the term "boot camp" in discussions.

>

John, please follow the thread, "boot camp" which for years has been
a slang term to denote basic training in the military, was my words.

> Once again, Blake Bowers, you have been proven a liar!!
>

No John, I have been proven to disagree with you. Nothing I
said was a lie, just as no part of this specific message I
am replying to from you had a lie in it. Do you normally
call everyone who disagrees with your interpetation a liar?

Now, if we were going to call names, we would call you
a troublemaker, for your malicous crossposting to newsgroups
that were not in this thread, and have no desire to be in
this thread, such as alt.firefighters. But, I have no
desire to start trouble, and wish you would decide not to
also. This is an attempt to have a reasoned, intelligent
conversation.

> BTW - NPSAC training includes all the basics such as firefighting, basic
> radio
> knowledge, PT, history, Gas mask use, etc.

Yep, it sure does. I don't disagree with this, it is an
abbreviated version of the basic recruit training program,
designed to help fill vacancies. In the words of the brass,
it is not working to their expectations, but they are
continuing to try for now. Everything I said is on the Web
John, check it out.

6925. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8qve8mhkp1@63.162.247.39>
Source record: 78717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:

> > to lure people into what is for the most part, the most unwanted
> > postions in the Reserve, sort of like cannon fodder.
>
> Blake, if they were unwanted positions then the Navy wouldn't offer
> the billets. Certainly the personnel who fill those positions are

So you are saying John, that if the navy had postions that no one
wanted, they would not try to fill those postions?

That does not even begin to make sense. In each branch of the
military, there are postions that are always tough to fill, and
the subject of enhancements to the recruiting program.

They don't just stop trying to fill them!

6926. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D33F80.7E18EA1F@cts.com>
Source record: 78718

SAScheffey wrote:

> Is it possible that they are communicating with air traffic?

Sure...medical helo's...but not on the airbands.
same public service radios...different antenna.

mark

6927. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D34040.611CBE7D@cts.com>
Source record: 78719

Chuck Rowe wrote:

> No, it's probably for GPS.

No it's not...GPS antennas are quite a bit smaller.

> The shark fin on an aircraft is for the
> mode C of the transponder. All ATC communication is done via VHF and
> uses a straight 20" or so antenna.

Fins are used for many types of aircraft antennas.

>
>
> When ground units communicate with aircraft it's almost always via a
> public safety channel.

Correct.

>
>
> On 27 Sep 2000 04:20:30 GMT, sascheffey@aol.com (SAScheffey) wrote:
>
> >Is it possible that they are communicating with air traffic?

6928. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <39D34058.1935D831@cts.com>
Source record: 78720

Chuck Rowe wrote:

> No, it's probably for GPS.

No it's not...GPS antennas are quite a bit smaller.

> The shark fin on an aircraft is for the
> mode C of the transponder. All ATC communication is done via VHF and
> uses a straight 20" or so antenna.

Fins are used for many types of aircraft antennas.

>
>
> When ground units communicate with aircraft it's almost always via a
> public safety channel.

Correct.

>

6929. New license FREE two-way radio service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <VhHA5.13538$hD4.3470540@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78721

The Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS)

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm

Bill Crocker

6930. Using Car Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D35E8F.EAC8D762@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78722

I noticed that you can buy splitters that allow you to use you car's antenna as your scanners antenna also. Has anyone had any experience with these? Would they work just as well as a mag mount??

6931. Uniden reconditioned scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D35F49.9D19D7D7@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78723

I have noticed that Uniden sells reconditioned scanners on there web site. Has anyone had any luck with these? Are they scanners that were broke and then fixed?

6932. Re: Using Car Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: meghertz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8qvq0h$86b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78724

> I noticed that you can buy splitters that allow you to use you car's
> antenna as your scanners antenna also. Has anyone had any experience
> with these? Would they work just as well as a mag mount??

I used to use an adaptor to connect the car antenna to a scanner.
It worked very well, a bit more scratchy on a weak signal than a
quarter wave magmount but a WHOLE lot better than telescopic or
rubber duck on the handie inside the car. I wouldn't want to split
it to the car stereo as well though.

A good "stealth" solution if you cannot stand FM broadcasting any more!
(and not so bad if the scanner does WFM at 88-108 as well)

MegHz

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6933. Re: L.A. Area news media frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heli-News <info@REMOVEheli-news.com>
Message-ID: <oVJA5.5789$Q66.3390882@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>
Source record: 78725

Hanyo (Hanjo) is a helicopter pilot for Helinet. He usually flies KTLA Channel 5's helicopter in the morning.

"Bud Fish" <none@none.com> wrote in message news:39d0a9cb.6485405@news.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know frequencies that radio/TV stations use for remote
> broadcast and or remote coordination? I have 123.025 and 127.775,
> those are fun, especially in the morning. Who is Hahnyo? Thanks
>

6934. Re: ICOM IC-R1 .... No Gaps ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <sHKA5.10336$P4.2950191@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78726

And no longer available on Ebay now either.

<boomerguy@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8qt2i7$f4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> No longer available in US.
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=449430205
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6935. Re: What happened to eavesdroppers in the UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <4LKA5.10338$P4.2951744@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78727

Yeah I saw all his stuff for sale on his website, all kinds of radio stuff.
I was under the impression that he was taking alot of heat from the three
letter agencies.

/tim/

<joseph_friday@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8quat6$3l7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> When I went to the site I kinda got the feeling that he no longer was
> running the site, but also sold all of his stuff. That seems kinda
> extreme for just "giving it up." I'm probably reading too much into it.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
> > He had indicated that he was just giving it up, too much work, no
> help, or
> > support from anyone. It's too bad...it was a nice site!
> >
> > Bill Crocker
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

6936. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Finn <sfinn@jps.net>
Message-ID: <WqLA5.4793$F65.239093@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 78728

Hi,

See their web page at :

http://ops.co.la.ca.us/scripts/overview.htm

Its the "consolidation of the former Park Police from the Departments of Parks and Recreation and the Safety Police from the Departments of Health Services and Internal Services"

No Idea what radio system/freq's they use.

Steve

"Bob Zander" <ke6zuf@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:39c2c7fe.6437081@news.earthlink.net...
> Anyone heard of this outfit? I am quite aware of the Sheriff, however,
> I was cruising through the Angeles Forest the other day and came
> across two cruisers that had Los Angeles County Police displayed opun
> their vehicales. Any ideas or frequencies?
>
> Bob - KE6ZUF
>

6937. Uniden BC-800 $100 Shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John R. Miller" <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <39D384B0.982FAD28@netboise.com>
Source record: 78729

I have a excellent BC-800, 40 channel with full 800. It is MISSING THE
VHF ANTENNA,
(Can be ordered from Uniden) 800mhz ant, AC cord. manual.

$100 Shipped

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

6938. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000928153505.25327.00000135@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 78730

Will this crap EVER end?

I THOUGHT it would end when Cheek assumed room temperature. Didn't guess there would be 10 more idiots to run in and take his place.

Can't you guys PLEASE find something more constructive to do with your lives?

6939. FS: GE Ericsson M-PA scan 900MHz EDACS HH Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qANA5.628$297.70092@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78731
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale, working with antenna and battery , no charger. GE Ericsson Model #
M-PA scan (PA904), FCC ID# AXATR-187-A2, trunking handheld. All keys work
fine. 10/10 condition. Photo upon request, asking $400 obo

6940. Re: Uniden reconditioned scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8r0a0i$m79$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78732

Have an 895xlt and can't figure out what was wrong with it. I don't see
any reason not to purchase reconditioned.

--
Schulz Consulting is an authorized
consultant/reseller for the popular
MAS90 accounting software. Visit us online at
http://www.s-consult.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6941. Hartford CT Trunk Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Schulz <mas90guru@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8r0a3a$m9v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78733

Looking for updated info on Hartford CT trunk groups. I have all the
trunktracker urls -- am looking for something more up-to-date.

Also info on City of New Britain, Bristol and Meriden if possible.

Thanks,

Wayne

--
Schulz Consulting is an authorized
consultant/reseller for the popular
MAS90 accounting software. Visit us online at
http://www.s-consult.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6942. For sell or trade pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: philk <philk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WeOA5.9494$bD5.60346@news3.atl>
Source record: 78734

For sell or trade 2004 will sell ofr 225 dollars or will trade for a high HH scanner.Email if interested.Phil

6943. Icom IC-R10 Communications Receiver 4 sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbird2@mich.com
Message-ID: <39d3b806.1820931@news2.mich.com>
Source record: 78735

For Sale:

Icom IC-R10 Communications Receiver. Excellent condition. Includes Icom BC-110A wall charger, 4 Ni-Cad batteries, Icom FA-BO1RE rubber duck antenna, telescoping antenna (works great for SWL), Icom CS-R10 software, OPC-478 interface (home built) and Icom LC-140 case.

Frequency Range: 0.5000-823.9999, 849.0001-868.9999, 894.0001-1300.0000 MHz.

Mode: FM, WFM AM, USB, LSB, CW.

Tuning Steps: 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 6.25, 8, 9, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, 25, 30, 50, 100 kHz or user-programmable (0.1-999.9 kHz/0.1 kHz steps).

For additional Icom OC-R10 information go to:

http://www.icomamerica.com/receivers/handheld/r10photo.html

http://www.icomamerica.com/receivers/handheld/index.html

http://www.icomamerica.com/receivers/handheld/icr10.html

http://members.aol.com/scanshack/r10/

Asking $225.00 which includes shipping within the continental United States.

Please do not reply to this group, send email to: rbird2@mich.com

Thank you,

Rodger

6944. Re: 895XLT Trunk Scanning Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3vuz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r0eg0$qf7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78736

Just wanted to say thanks for all the help. Still using it and it's working great. Who knows, I may take a suggestion that someone had in response to my question and buy another one for conventional scanning. =)

Eric

In article <8qr2querh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
n3vuz@my-deja.com wrote:
> New owner of an 895XLT. Nice rig. However, it seems that it's only
> limited to scanning one trunk bank at a time, unless I've missed
> something. In essence, I now have a scanner which boasts 300 channels
> for scanning which can only scan 50 trunk id's (or one bank designated
> as a trunking bank). Sure, I can switch to conventional mode and get
> all 300, but this kinda limits my trunk scanning abilities.
>
> Am I missing something here?
>
> Thx de Eric N3VUZ - REMOVEn3vuz@refnet.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6945. Re: Which Scanner to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <39d3bc5e_2@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 78737

Wait a month or so and go for a BC 780XLT. That's what I'm doing, anyway. Until then, I use a Rat Shack, PRO-2042, which is a very nice scanner. Since you're new to it, why not pick up a cheap hand-held now, because you'll wind up with one, sooner or later. I have a BC 220XLT, which is a nice handheld scanner, and you can probably get a good used one at a nice price.

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

p.s. Another responder mentioned AOR and he's quite correct - you cannot go wrong with that as well.

"rebourland" <rebourland@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:39D2B7B7.C24DFC9F@yahoo.com...
>
> I am new to the hobby and am curious to which scanner to buy. I am
> interested in getting all frequencies including cellular. From what I
> have read only some scanners will do cellular. I have around $2-400 to
> spend, but have considered looking at auctions for deals. I have looked
> at the bc245xlt and bc3000xlt any suggestions.
>
> Thanks in advance
>

6946. this one !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <qbPA5.82651$Qx4.2689590@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78738

i will sell you a AR8200B for $275 with manual and charger, if your quick enough.
tagger4@home.com

--
tom g.

6947. Re: this one !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <kiPA5.82671$Qx4.2690069@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78739

I ment to reply to group on this one
anyway
FS: AOR8200B with charger, manual ,antenna and belt clip for $275.
tagger4@home.com

"tom g." <tagger4@home.com> wrote in message
news:qbPA5.82651$Qx4.2689590@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> i will sell you a AR8200B for $275 with manual and charger, if your quick
> enough.
> tagger4@home.com
>
> --
> tom g.
>
>

6948. FS: AOR8200B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <KkPA5.82681$Qx4.2688605@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78740

AOR8200B like new condition ,$275
any where in the CONUS.
comes with charger,belt clip,antenna and manual.
tagger4@home.com

--
tom g.

6949. Norman Oklahoma going trunk radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yohei <ah431@detroit.freenet.org>
Message-ID: <8r0in0$u1r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

According to today's newspaper, it sounds like Norman Oklahoma is going to trunk radio in two or three weeks. Can someone verify this? The newspaper article did not specifically say "trunk radio" but it sounded like it, with phrases like "talk group", "10 frequencies", and all of the city using the same radio system.

Since I do not own a trunk scanner myself, the only option I have at this time is to scan the trunk radio range for a signal (they are testing it with sanitation, I believe). Can someone verify that Norman is going to trunk and what frequencies they are using?

Thanks,
Yohei

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6950. When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39D3E05F.79FC6DDE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 78742

What is the latest "ship" date for the 780XLT????

Thanks in advance.

Jim
*

6951. Bearcat 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Pollock <firebird@iw.edwpub.com>
Message-ID: <39D3EA87.10263E23@iw.edwpub.com>
Source record: 78743

I need a schematic for a bearcat 300 - 50 channel scanner.
Thanks

6952. Re: this one !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hv <hv@home.com>
Message-ID: <8r0pkpsoj1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78744

$9.00
tom g. <tagger4@home.com> wrote in message
news:qbPA5.82651$Qx4.2689590@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> i will sell you a AR8200B for $275 with manual and charger, if your quick
> enough.
> tagger4@home.com
>
> --
> tom g.
>
>

6953. Re: When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin_Maly@SPAMMER.jdshdsjh.com
Message-ID: <27t7ts8objccau2i3u8ekrdi7vt1rf164t@4ax.com>
Source record: 78745

On Thu, 28 Sep 2000 17:20:47 -0700, Jim Bo
<39D3E05F.79FC6DDE@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>What is the latest "ship" date for the 780XLT????
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>Jim
>*

December, if we are lucky. The radio has still not been FCC approved.

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/ a site covering
NW Oregon Radio topics and modifications.
Check out the rec_radio_swap Mail List
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/rrs/

6954. trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: macow@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22702-39D400BC-2@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78746

I will be moving to tulsa soon, can anyone explain briefly and understandable how trunking works and what i will be hearing. i have programmed the frequencies in already but i dont understand what the five digits codes are for.

6955. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r15ef0r5t@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
SNIP
> am I? seems to me that HE has the history of lying in here.
> and now he CLAIMS to be in the military, SERVING OUR COUNTRY?!?!?

Eric, I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. I have presented
overwhelming proof
of my military service including:
1. pictures of my swearing in
2. newspaper listings the military provided to a local paper
3. the copy of my graduation certificate from Basic Training

YOU are the ONLY person who still tries to deny it. YOU are a FOOL!

> No one likes a faker, and tolerates a bullshitter even less.

That is probably why so many people dislike YOU, Eric Oyen!

6956. FA: RS PRO-90 - < 30 minutes to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:28:09 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.143c5aa361a97df89896d0@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 78698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=441420925

6957. Live Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:29:06 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000927202906.21066.00000619@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 78699

Is there any way to use a player, other than Real Audio, to play Real Audio links?
ex Windows Media or Win Amp?, or does it HAVE to be RA player? and how would you set your system up for this?
I have had continuous problems using the real audio player...
Thanks for any help

Oper911

6958. Re: BCT-7 Beartracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:36:05 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <39d2925a.7610110@news.penn.com>
Source record: 78700

On Wed, 27 Sep 2000 12:54:01 -0700, "Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote:

>
>I had one I was using as a base scanner. Every time the highway patrol came
>by the thing would beep and flash. It was a pain in the ass. I sold it....
>
>
That could have been easilly fixed!.

6959. Yaesu FT-50R /Color Laptop & Misc FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 00:52:22 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aDwA5.4447$nF6.197347@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78702
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,sci.electronics.equipment

I have a mint Yaesu FT-50R Dual band handheld for sale. Unit comes with 9.6V battery, charger, antenna, strap, Box, manual, Voice recorder keypad and ADMS-1C software, cable and box with instructions. I will sell for $225 plus shipping. Unit has been MARS/CAP (extended TX/RX) modded.

Photos below
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50big.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50r.JPG

Id Like to sell FT-50r with this laptop: as a package deal.. FT-50r as above & laptop (below) $400..

I have a great condition Compac LTE Elite 4/40CX (486dx4/40) with 16MB mem, 340MB HD, 2 PCMCIA slots,COMPAQ 192 19,200 Data/Fax PCMCIA modem with instructions & Cable (plus nylon case), good battery, AC cord, compact case, external as well as integral mouse. 1.44MB floppy, printer port, serial port (DB9). Unit is in pristine shape, NO pixel damage on nice color TFT screen. Unit now
runs win 3.1 with delrina fax pro. TCP/IP drivers, compaq drivers, MS Office 4.2, 8.4" color tft screen, lotus software. Best offer...plus shipping

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop2.JPG

Will consider trades for computer items... I need slot A AMD 600-900MHz chip...

Misc:
FS: DLI Watchman 8603 Vibration Data Collector - Best offer
See web page for full specs
http://www.predict-dli.com/predmaint/8603.html

I have the unit / nylon softcase with interface cable and chager input only. When powered up with external source unit stated ready (rdy) for a second and goes away. It was purchased from a NASA local auction from kennedy Space Center. It is a Barcode driven Vibration Data Collector

Best offer.

More photos upon request

6960. f.s. Pro-2004 mint with mods 225.00US incl ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 01:04:23 UTC
From: jp <jpotoma@home.com>
Message-ID: <rOwA5.92011$i5.3317667@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 78703

I have one pro 2004 in pristine condition, with original operating
manual. this unit has been professionally modified to
receive all cell coverage, including no gaps. 25.000-1300Mhz
has CB receiving as well. this unit has been modified to receive
400channels instead of the 300. and has been spead
up in speed for scanning. this is a very nice unit, has a rear
jack for taperecorder or speaker out. and a dimmer switch
and a 10db gain attenuator switch on the rear. I will ship it
to you for 225.00US with a international postal check in advance. I am in
Toronto Canada. please email if interested
brontebear@yahoo.com

6961. Re: GEORGIA SCANNER FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 01:34:08 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <39d29ff7.16001097@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 78701

On Wed, 27 Sep 2000 09:25:31 GMT, Al Cyples <cyples@ns.sympatico.ca>
wrote:

>Looking for the following frequencies in the Atlanta area - particularly
>
>Cobb County - just NW of Fulton County (Atlanta City)
>And Marietta - which is 10 miles (?) NW of Vinings
>

Start here:

http://www.ScanAtlanta.com/

Mark

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control.

6962. Re: IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 02:03:46 UTC
From: Len <lpurple@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <6GxA5.88$9O3.28124@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 78704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

use windows se version
"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The stuff
> from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then says
> "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished
downloading
> but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the program.
> Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping
> cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything. Thanks!
>
> st at reticent dot net
>
>

6963. FA: Bearcat Model 210 Scanning Receiver $10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 02:13:13 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <ZOxA5.5112$nF6.235660@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78705

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=452002208

6964. Re: IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 02:24:46 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <OZxA5.8815$P4.2610529@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Windows SE? I think you mean ME. There are lots of reason I don't use
Windows ME but I'm not going to get into a debate about which OS is better
because that's not the point, and the problem with butel's software has been
fixed.

"Len" <lpurple@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:6GxA5.88$9O3.28124@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
> use windows se version
> "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
> news:96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The stuff
> > from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then
says
> > "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished
> downloading
> > but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the
program.
> > Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping
> > cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything. Thanks!
> >
> > st at reticent dot net
> >
> >
>
>

6965. Re: IC-R2 software for windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 02:27:15 UTC
From: "William W. Joslin" <wjoslin@vvm.com>
Message-ID: <60yA5.21550$Q_2.687349@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 78707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SE=Second edition (98)

"st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
news:OZxA5.8815$P4.2610529@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Windows SE? I think you mean ME. There are lots of reason I don't use
> Windows ME but I'm not going to get into a debate about which OS is better
> because that's not the point, and the problem with butel's software has
been
> fixed.
>
> "Len" <lpurple@mediaone.net> wrote in message
> news:6GxA5.88$9O3.28124@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
> > use windows se version
> > "st" <st@reticent.net> wrote in message
> > news:96vv5.29589$Z4.1013543@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > > Anyone have some IC-R2 programming software for Windows 2000? The
stuff
> > > from icom doesn't seem to work, get's about half way downloading then
> says
> > > "Recieve error, try again" and the software on butel.nl finished
> > downloading
> > > but when it's complete in says "Device Unavailable" and exits the
> program.
> > > Both programs seem to work fine with my windows 98 laptop and swapping
> > > cables from home-made to icom supplied didn't change anything.
Thanks!
> > >
> > > st at reticent dot net
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6966. Re: What happened to eavesdroppers in the UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 02:37:23 UTC
From: joseph_friday@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8quat6$3l7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78708

When I went to the site I kinda got the feeling that he no longer was running the site, but also sold all of his stuff. That seems kinda extreme for just "giving it up." I'm probably reading too much into it.

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
> He had indicated that he was just giving it up, too much work, no help, or
> support from anyone. It's too bad...it was a nice site!
>
> Bill Crocker

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

6967. Which Scanner to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 03:14:31 UTC
From: rebourland <rebourland@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <39D2B7B7.C24DFC9F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 78709

I am new to the hobby and am curious to which scanner to buy. I am interested in getting all frequencies including cellular. From what I have read only some scanners will do cellular. I have around $2-400 to spend, but have considered looking at auctions for deals. I have looked at the bc245xlt and bc3000xlt any suggestions.

Thanks in advance

6968. WTB: Icom CM-12G "AA" Battery Packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 03:25:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <gSyA5.12638$hD4.3364369@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78710

WANTED:

I'm looking for a few Icom CM-12G "AA" battery packs. These hold ten "AA" batteries and snap on the bottom of Icom radios such as the IC-U18, and IC-H19.

If you have any, please state condition, quantity, and asking price.

Thank you,
Bill Crocker

6969. Re: EAVESDROPPER Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 04:08:44 UTC
From: Whistle Blower <whistleblower@fakemail.com>
Message-ID: <ZuzA5.7279$545.52132@news2.atl>
Source record: 78711

<pmohazzabi@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8qjij6$451$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Seems tome that EAVESDROPPER site no longer exist for scanner decoders
> software anyone knows which site now offers?
>
>

http://members.tripod.com/~ke4kqb/decode.html
Not as good but better than nothing!

6970. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-28 04:51:28 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8quiog$ev8$0@63.162.247.104>
Source record: 78712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric Oyen wrote:
>
> Gee,
> then I know you are a LIAR.
>
> for training purposes, you would have had to report tp PARIS ISLAND!
>
Eric,

The NPSAC class that John did take is a reaction to the fact that the Navy has such a problem attracting qualified people into the reserve, so they have come up with programs like that to try to lure people into what is for the most part, the most unwanted postions in the Reserve, sort of like cannon fodder.

NPSAC is sort of like a wannabee boot camp. According to people in the navy, or recent retirees, the enlisted NPSAC is somewhat like really being in the navy....

6971. Help: AT&T freqs, NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Larry the Shreve"
Message-ID: <6r9B5.228$0n.12365@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 78647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Subject line says it all, really. Looking for security and\or maintenance freqs for AT&T sites in NJ, particularly Dayton and Holmdel sites. Thank you.

6972. Will the BC-780's front panel detach?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: klopie <co@yok.com>
Message-ID: <39D52917.701CE4D5@yok.com>
Source record: 78648

.

6973. Want to buy trunk-tracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.143eb2479a142c0f9896e8@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking to purchase a new scanner. I've been looking at several models, and need some extra opinions. What I need are:

1) Trunk tracking
2) Military aircraft band (225-400MHz AM)
3) PC connectivity would be helpful but not essential.

A hand-held would be nice, but I'm also considering desktop/mobile versions. Any suggestions will be greatly appreciated.

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

6974. Re: FM Radio Directory? In Print??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <NN9B5.57$VV4.77031@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 78650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner

M Street Directory covers US and Canada, AM & FM. I know of no international FM directory and I'm associated with an international syndicator that has to prepare their own lists.

"Richard Valentine" <rwv@home.com> wrote in message news:381B5.5504$P82.905252@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> Anyone know of an FM Radio Station Directory in print? (Like WRTH for AM and
> shortwave), but for FM.
> I know there's online search engine, but looking for a by-frequency book
> somewhere. Thanks! Rick
> --
> rec.radio.broadcasting is a robomoderated newsgroup. Please mail
> messages to airwaves@airwaves.com.
>
--
rec.radio.broadcasting is a robomoderated newsgroup. Please mail
messages to airwaves@airwaves.com.

6975. Re: FA: RS PRO-90 - < 30 minutes to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929195734.18102.00000198@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 78651

does anyone here really care about ebay as they sell for way over priced.

6976. Re: Uniden reconditioned scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: On Demand Companies <juanitab@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <elaB5.386$Ni7.85623@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 78652

If they are out of the one you want, put your name on the waiting list and they will send you an email in a few days offering a new one at a great price.

"Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net> wrote in message news:39D35F49.9D19D7D7@cptelco.net...
> I have noticed that Uniden sells reconditioned scanners on there web
> site. Has anyone had any luck with these? Are they scanners that were
> broke and then fixed?
>
>

6977. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jules Beaudoin <cffb@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <8r3g7r$664$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 78653

Test only

6978. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ut <u_t2k@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8r3gj9$g3i$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78654

you failed..

"Jules Beaudoin" <cffb@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:8r3g7r$664$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Test only
>
>

6979. Re: N7ZZT is truly the century's idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3dq201gmg@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I wouldn't take anything from the "back door" or any other door from you, LRod. Your promise of saying this was your "last word" would probably be the best contribution you have ever made to this NG!

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote
> Not from me. Not back door, front door, side door, advertant, or
SNIP
> This is my last word on the matter.
>
> LRod

6980. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3e7801h28@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> So you are saying John, that if the navy had postions that no one
> wanted, they would not try to fill those postions?

No Blake, pay attention to what I am writing. Obviouisly both the
Navy & the personel want those positions or the positions wouldn't be there.
In particular, my position (billet) of "Information Technologist" (known as
"Radioman"
prior to Jan 2000), the Navy is short of qualified individuals to fill so
they offer pay and rank incentives to new people as evidenced by the
"Advanced Pay Grade" program. (Inaddition, current members received
re-enlistment bonusses at enlistment time)

6981. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3g6d01iu8@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> Actually, no I should not believe him
> (once a liar always a liar).

I am sure you have had that statement told to YOU several times, Eric. Such as the
time you tried to say here on usenet that you were NOT the same person as "Hawke" or "proudhawk" & were proven to be lying.

> As for the program, that requires a certain amount of time
> already in the service and
SNIP

Wrong, again Eric.
Go read the Navy web site http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1b.html It will CLEARLY state under the heading of "Qualifications" the following: "not have prior service, active or inactive".

> His given name: John E. Mackey, Jr.
> (same as his dad).

Wrong!! My father's name is NOT the same is mine. His name is John E. Mackey, Sr.

> His dad's middle name is Earl.

Wrong again! My father's middle name is NOT "Earl".
(How did you get that idea???)

> therefore, this John Mackey posting in here
> is NOT the John Mackey listed in that newspaper article in Sioux City, Iowa.

Wrong again, Eric. The listing for the John Mackey in the Sioux City Journal is
indeed for me!
(http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2000/MAY/May_3_00_Wed/almanac/service.html)
>John E. Mackey Jr. of Sioux City, son of retired Air Force Lt. Col. John E. and Louise >K. Mackey of Portland, Ore., has enlisted in the Naval Reserve as an information >technologist (formerly radioman) with the rank of third class petty officer. His father >conducted the swearing-in ceremony.
>Mackey will attend basic training at Great Lakes Naval Center in Illinois in September.

Eric, now you can't deny this. It simply isn't practical or believable to say that it
is some kind coincidence of two different people named "John E. Mackey, Jr." having roots in both Sioux City, Iowa & Portland, Oregon AND went to Navy Basic Training in September AND have a radio background AND look enough like me to pass for the
picture of me swearing in!!!!!!!!!!

Eric, your denial & suggestion of " John Mackey posting in here is NOT the John Mackey listed in that newspaper article in Sioux City, Iowa" only makes you look like
the complete idiot & fool that you truly are!!

> He has lied repeatedly about how he was removed from scannradio in fidonet,

Eric, please post EXACTLY what I said that was lie & your verifiable proof that it
was a lie within 24 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

> he has lied about how he treated Bill Cheek (even to the point of

Eric, please post EXACTLY what I did that was lie & your verifiable proof that it
was a lie within 24 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

> He has harassed others in here (and in real life by
> calling work places, filing false police reports and

I have NEVER harassed people as you state above. Post EXACTLY what I did that was harassment & your verifiable proof within 24 hours or be found to be a liar yourself by default.

> His history is well known and well documented.

Then you should have NO problem present the proof asked for. Otherwise, YOU are proven to be a LIAR YOUSELF!!!

6982. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3h5901jrq@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Actually, you are incorrect again John. NPSAC has only been around
> for 1 -2 years, and therefore could not be called "recruit training" for
over 25 years.

Actually, YOU are the one who is incorrect again Blake. NPSAC has only been
around for over 20 years, but has only been at Great Lakes for about 1 year.
Previous to that
it was located in New Orleans. (I have met MANY people who are graduates
of the NPSAC -New Orleans program back to 1980)

> John, please follow the thread, "boot camp" which for years has been
> a slang term to denote basic training in the military, was my words.

Blake, Navy Basic Training staff are prohibited from using the term "boot
camp"
to describe Basic Training.

> Everything I said is on the Web
> John, check it out.

Blake, I don't need to get my information from the web as you have done. I
have
actually graduated from the Navy Basic Training and am a member of the US
Navy
so I am able to speak from personal knowledge & experience. I don't have to
rely on the information from others & the web as you have to on this (&
other) topics.

6983. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3hej01kcn@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> ok. I can by that. However, aren't there prior requirements that
> have to be met (such as prior military service or ROTC?)? What about
SNIP

No there are not any requirements of proir military service. Please see the
following web site:
http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1b.html

> I did run a question regarding this program by the local USN recruiter.
> From what I was told, a minimum of 4 years college is required to
> qualify for the program. He also mentioned that adfter going through
> the said program (mentioned) that one would be in the USN-reserves.

Correct. So what more is there to say?

> I would very much like to see J.E.M.'s actual qualifications
How could you "see" them?? Other times when offered to be show
various thing you have said you were blind!!!!!!

6984. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r3ide01lp1@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@pacbell.net

"Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
SNIP

6985. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D57877.C75DF034@netzero.net>
Source record: 78661

You must live in a different part of Tennessee than I do.
Here near Nashville a personalized plate is $25 extra and a Personalized
specialty plate is $100. extra on top of the $100 for car registration
to begin with... That means if I want K4AAY on my Save the birds and
bees plate it's going cost me about $250 smackeroos.....

> Wow... in VA, they cost $1 more than a regular plate. In TN and RI, they cost
> the same as a normal plate... dunno about other states, but that's where I've
> lived as a ham... too bad it's not like that in all states..
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.

6986. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D57950.F09133CE@netzero.net>
Source record: 78662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Well if the SCOTUS says that creeps can wipe their ass with
the American flag and it's protected as "Free Speech" Then
surely to God I can give a JBT the bird!!!!

John P. Husvar wrote:
>
> "Noah Simoneaux" <noaj@yournet.com> wrote in message
> news:39a974ae.813802@news.preferred.com...
>
> > Wouldn't be the first time that a cop made a mistake. Recently had a
> > case here in Arkansas in which a state trooper arrested a guy for
> > giving him a middle-finger salute.
>
> Lese majeste! Lese majeste! -- Hang the bastard! We simply _cannot_ have
> _commoners_ disrespecting the guardians of the noble rulers! The very
> idea!
>
> > The guy won in court, so far, and
> > the cop was fined $4,000, but they may appeal it later, too.
>
> Hmmmm -- appeal? Why should they have to appeal? It's a clear case of
> Disrespect of Cop, surely a capital offense, to be summarily punished by
> death. The fool commoner simply did not know his place. He should have
> knelt in the dirt as the King's man passed and offered to kiss the ring!
> (er -- badge -- er whatever)
>
> While it may not be "nice" to give anyone the one-finger salute, it
> doesn't seem particularly "nice" to have these public EMPLOYEES
> forgetting just who they work for either. Even when arresting a
> difficult suspect, it's possible to be in control and polite throughout
> the effort. I know: I spent a lifetime one year working in a mental
> hospital, mainly as "muscle" to restrain violent forensic (murder,
> aggravated assault, rape, etc.) patients. Never, ever, had to injure one
> to restrain.
>
> And I've never been especially big, 5'8" - 195 pounds.
>
> "The finger" is not a reason to arrest; maybe to laugh at the giver's
> level of discourse, but not arrest.
>
> >
> > Noah Simoneaux
> >

> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6987. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D579E5.D2DDCFB4@netzero.net>
Source record: 78663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Guess those druggies are going to have to learn how to hook
their A.M./F.M. radio antenna to their scanners..... :o)
N9NWO wrote:
>
> :>:snip
> :>:
> :>:If he was licensed and was arrested for a scanner violation then
> :>:the only obvious thing that could be illegal is using the information
> :>:obtained over the scanner for ones benefit or for an illegal activity.
> :>
> :>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> :>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> :>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> :>in the CW band. I passed a guy up on the Indiana Toll Way who
> :>overloaded my receiver with a CW number broadcast. He looked like he
> :>was from southern Europe and had ham plates on his car.
> :>
> :>If this is true, and you must know that there are a number of state
> :>troopers in intel units, then the cops may be looking at hams as a
> :>cover for spying and terrorism commo. Not a great future for us hams.
> :
> :Hmmm... those hams are so hot to turn in "outlaw" radio
> :operators to the Feds. And now law enforcement is targeting
> :hams. How ironic. "How does it feel?"
>
> Those same cops are taking outlaw CB radios from CBers and having them
> destroyed. I had the city clerk of Elkhart tell this. The drug
> runners are using CBs and scanners to track the cops. The lead
> vehicle has the scanner and he radios back to the mule about the cops.
> So the cops are taking out anyone with a radio antenna (non broadcast
> and cell).

6988. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D58290.4858D612@netzero.net>
Source record: 78664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Just for the sake of discussion.
If you put a encrypted message on a web site, then the recipient
could see it without anyone being the wiser.
With anonymous websites being a dime a dozen (I've got 3 myself)
You can "Send" a message to who ever you like.....
All they have to do is go to the proper website and pick it up.....

N9NWO wrote:
>
> :>> > >>>>:>There is another possibility. There seems to be a growing number of
> :>> > >>>>:>stations that are transmitting "number messages" (string of numbers)
> :>> > >>>>:>in and around Chicago. You can hear them on 40 metres and 20 metres
> :>> > >>>>:>in the CW band.
> :>> > >>
> :>> > >>Why would they want to broadcast a bunch of numbers?
> :>> > >
> :>> Number stations in the US do the same thing that number stations do
> :>> elsewhere...code for "spooks" or spook wannabees. I imagine there are
> :>> groups out there that have both the technology and desire to communicate
> :>> in "code" thus the broadcasts you've been listening to.
> :>>
> :>
> :>Which is illegal in itself as FCC regs specifically prohibit coded transmissions. I
> :>wonder (doubtful) if these stations are IDing? Spy transmissions seems unlikely on HF
> :>given the state-of-the-art in satellite commo technology, and even cel phones seems
> :>like a more secure method of transmitting code. Any chance of this being CW practice
> :>of some sort?
> :
> :Don't you believe it! The CIA/MI6/Mossad/Cuba and other assorted
> :spymasters broadcast on HF to their spies abroad, in morse, AM
> :and SSB. Known as numbers stations or illicit stations. I thought
> :someone posted to the effect that Amateurs were broadcasting these
> :numbers - as you say illegal.
> :
> :Like you, I question why on earth they want to do this in this day
> :and age. Best answer I got was that the spies were in 'developing
> :countries' which didn't have satellite or Internet.
>
> Satellite and the internet allows the tracing of who the message
> is sent to. HF does not. The receiver is totally left out of the
> picture. At best, the transmitter can be traced which is why these
> folks go mobile with ham licenses.
>

> :--

6989. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D583F8.F29297A1@netzero.net>
Source record: 78665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

If my memory serves correctly their were several CBs with tone keys for "CW" operation. Of course it defeated the whole purpose of ease of conveniance but I think it was legal....

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> <larryn@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
>
> > >> As far as I know, CW is legal on all bands, ham, business, and
> otherwise.
>
> > Pretty sure that it isn't in Australia, and since radio regulations
> > tend to be fairly international, doubt that it would be there either.
> > However, I can look it up if you like.
>
> Please do! In the US, CW is a legal mode on ALL Amateur and most other
> frequencies. (although... I don't think it's an approved mode for the 11
> meter band)

6990. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D58521.A053C689@netzero.net>
Source record: 78666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Your showing your age.... Those things weren't availble except to the
military. CB's came out in the late 60's early 70's wayyyy before
PC's

Thats like putting a satellite dish on a buckboard wagon.....

larryn@ozemail.com.au wrote:
>
>
> 1==> Sorry, thought could lay my hands on my old CB license, but can't
> find it at the moment. Am certain, though, that HF CB channels on 11
> meters didn't [and don't] allow anything but AM or SSB voice
> transmission. At one stage the issue came up when some farmers wanted
> to use CB frequencies for telemetry applications, such as the remote
> control of irrigation pumps.
>
> Then too, if CB had been allowed, those chasing DX would have bought
> Telereaders or communication computers so could use code on the CB
> bands without the need to learn it. In DX apps, code would have
> offered advantages as can get through where voice wont.
>
> 2==> On our UHF CB channels around 465 Mhz the service is restricted
> to FM voice with the exception that can use tone modulation for
> selective calling and repeater identification.
>
> However, can't quote the regulation numbers to you at the moment. If
> get time will look it up on Australian Communications Authority site
> or at WIA [Wireless institute of Australia] site.
> [Assume they will both have a site but don't know the URL's offhand.]
>
> ï¿½larryn
> [misc.survivalism]
>
> Info on CB class license
> http://dove.net.au/~ramab/License.htm
>
> Australian CB frequencies HF & UHF
> http://dove.net.au/~ramab/frequencies.htm
>
> Home page for dove site
> http://dove.net.au/~ramab/
>
> The above are not official, but couldn't find any official
> emission info on CB bands in the time I spent searching. Anyone

> curious could email the ACA and simply ask.
>
> WIA is roughly the equivalent of your ARRL
> Wireless Institute of Australia
> http://www.wia.org.au/
>
> Australian Communications Authority
> http://www.aca.gov.au/
>
> Other CB info of possible interest
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> International working from Australia on CB
> http://43ax101.homestead.com/Australian_CB_Eleven_Meter_Radio.html
>
> UHF CB repeater listings by state
> http://www.vk2nnn.com/Repeater/nswuhfcb.html
>
> Some info on mobile operation in outback areas,
> RFDS [Royal Flying Doctor Service] etc.
> http://www.antennex.com/preview/Folder03/Sep3/mob_ob.htm
>
> ROYAL FLYING DOCTOR SERVICE OF AUSTRALIA home page
> includes link to all HF frequencies used, some of which you
> may be able to pick up in USA
> http://www.emerge.net.au/~roblee/rfds.html
>
> School of the air, used for education services to remote
> homsteads.
> http://www.assoa.nt.edu.au/
>
> Hope this is of interest.
>
> ï¿½larryn
> [misc survivalism]

6991. Re: Los Angeles County Police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@101freeway.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <V0eB5.2584$ks6.102764@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 78667

They are on the La County EDACS system.
--
Glenn Mitchell.
http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm

"Steve Finn" <sfinn@jps.net> wrote in message
news:WqLA5.4793$F65.239093@nntp2.onemain.com...
> Hi,
>
> See their web page at :
>
> http://ops.co.la.ca.us/scripts/overview.htm
>
> Its the "consolidation of the former Park Police from the Departments of
> Parks and Recreation and the Safety Police from the Departments of Health
> Services and Internal Services"
>
> No Idea what radio system/freq's they use.

6992. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D58D2B.211C8730@netzero.net>
Source record: 78668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My Cherokee looks like a Porcupine.....

M. Schneider wrote:
>
>
> Of course, I don't have A antenna on my car, I have THREE antennas.
> Dual-band for my ham rig, dual-band for my scanner, and a mono-band for my
> EMA radio.
>
> Mark K5MAR
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:z_eq5.306362$t91.3248533@news4.giganews.com...
> > I travelled from Houston, Texas to Ponca City OK every other weekend for 6
> > months, with an antenna on my car, and was never stopped.
> >
> > Once must wonder if their are other things that are attracting the
> officers.
> >
> >
> > Toll Free
> >
> > "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:WUcq5.4868$_X.16767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > >
> > > "Keith Wood" <keithrw@bctv.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39A92F03.277DBD88@bctv.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > I have been stopped by Indiana police because of the ham antenna on
> my
> > > car,
> > > > > but was always sent on my way once I explained that it was a ham
> radio
> > > and
> > > > > showed them my license.
> > > >
> > > > I was approached once for this in the Steak 'N Shake parking lot in
> > > > Valpo (the officer heard the radio in my car). I told him that he had
> > > > the choice of arresting me (I wouldn't sign a citation, if one was

> > > > written) and having this go through court, or of spreading the word
> that
> > > > ham radio operators are legal.
> > >
> > > Oklahoma Highway Patrol and a special drug taskforce unit routinely stop
> > > cars with extra radio antennas (especially mag mount) along I-35 as part
> > of
> > > their interdiction effort. I-35 is a heavily used route for the
> northward
> > > flow of drugs and part of the profile is the "escort" vehicle with
> radios
> > to
> > > "sniff-out" the police and warn the transport vehicle. I've been
> stopped
> > > and checked several times.
> > >
> > > > Actually, they have respect for hams, at least in that area
> (especially
> > > > during tornado season), but it turns out that most ISP officers are
> > > > under the impression that hams are required by law to have our calls
> on
> > > > our vehicles. Seeing a Camaro with an unusual antenna (I use a Metz),
> > > > no callsign displayed, and hearing what sounded like police traffic
> (it
> > > > was actually Civil Air Patrol) got his suspicions up.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I now have callsign license plates, along with SkyWarn decals. Seems to
> > be
> > > working so far, haven't been pulled over since.
> > >
> > > Mark K5MAR
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

6993. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39D57D3F.E09F5B9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 78669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@newsguy.com

J o h n M wrote:

> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Actually, you are incorrect again John. NPSAC has only been around
> > for 1 -2 years, and therefore could not be called "recruit training" for
> over 25 years.
>
> Actually, YOU are the one who is incorrect again Blake. NPSAC has only been
> around for over 20 years, but has only been at Great Lakes for about 1 year.
> Previous to that
> it was located in New Orleans. (I have met MANY people who are graduates
> of the NPSAC -New Orleans program back to 1980)
>
> > John, please follow the thread, "boot camp" which for years has been
> > a slang term to denote basic training in the military, was my words.
>
> Blake, Navy Basic Training staff are prohibited from using the term "boot
> camp"
> to describe Basic Training.
>
> > Everything I said is on the Web
> > John, check it out.
>
> Blake, I don't need to get my information from the web as you have done. I
> have
> actually graduated from the Navy Basic Training and am a member of the US
> Navy
> so I am able to speak from personal knowledge & experience. I don't have to
> rely on the information from others & the web as you have to on this (&
> other) topics.

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov

Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

6994. Re: Uniden Bearcat 245 XLT Trunk Tracker II - A FEW HOURS LEFT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u9fT6QqKAHA.279@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 78670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

remember: you can get them for 179 at UNIDEN recondition dept....

fyi

bill

"Daniel M. Conti" <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:39d50481.32300482@news5.bellatlantic.net...
> A FEW HOURS LEFT!
>
>
> Check out my auction for a 245 XLT Trunk Tracker -
>
> Ebay item # 446903099
>
> Thanks...
>
> Dan

6995. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uxgHQTqKAHA.369@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 78671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Raven" <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:39D592DF.FA9ED0E2@netzero.net...
> Here near Nashville the EMS folks charge $200 a call PLUS $5.00 a mile
> And they send the bill to collections if it doesn't get paid!
>
>
> Kevin Patterson wrote:
> >
> > > Any other wild things has anyone heard?
> >
> > I work with a guy who is an EMT in a small rural town. Anyway, living in
> > Iowa we tend to get our share of snow each winter. He tells me,
whenever
> > we get a big snow and get" snowed in" this lady will call in and
complain of
> > dizziness. The ambulance goes out behind a snow plow and gets her. But
by
> > the time she gets to the hospital she if fine. Well, the hospital is
> > located 15 miles from this ladies house, but it just happens to be
across
> > the street from her daughters house.
> > It really pisses the guys off (as well it should) She just wanted to get
to
> > town to play cards and have some tea with her daughter.. Some
nerve......but
> > an expensive taxi ride.

they can file a formal complaint against here and have
her green-sheeted...or black-sheeted if for fraud.
she's committing fraud and if your ombuds' know it
is costing lives, well, tip the press off and let them
roll with it...espec if her fraud causes some one else
death or misses an operation due to no ambu's around close
enough as she is playing cards afterwards...due to them being
out of range of that "emergency" call.

see if there are laws against it.

that is ludicrous: it's no joke. it is serious enough to cause
someone to die.

bc

6996. do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce <Skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <##QkOTuKAHA.322@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 78672

this is only a test

6997. Re: ABP NewsOnline -LIve Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <dkf8ts0pg5330khu2goa23k531g49bfg8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 78759

cinvest@aol.com (C Invest) opened the coffin lid and croaked...

>Has anyone else noticed that several of the live scanners do not work, and that
>there news is not updated. Rumor was that they are in trouble.

The company was sold at a bankruptcy sale just over a month ago,
expect a lot of changes.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

6998. Re: Norman Oklahoma going trunk radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <8r1fe5$350$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 78760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yohei <ah431@detroit.freenet.org> wrote:
> Can someone verify that Norman is going to trunk
> and what frequencies they are using?

I know nothing of Oklahoma, but the PerCon Spectrum FCC file lists
a trunk license to the City of Norman on:
856.7625 857.7625 858.7625 859.7625 860.7625
856.9625 857.9625 858.9625 859.9625 860.9625

6999. Loads added

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frequencyuk <web@frequencyuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <39d48a82@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 78761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,
We have recently added lots of new frequencies to our databases. We have also a Leicester show report online. Have a look round and let us know
T
www.frequencyuk.co.uk
www.frequencyuk.com

7000. FT - scanner for 2 meter HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3z0B5.16$9t2.11662@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 78762

I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker for trade. Would like a 2 meter handheld, newer model with the standard functions. I am in Halifax, Nova Scotia.

If interested, contact me via e-mail: ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca

7001. Re: FT - scanner for 2 meter HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobby Raymer <n2br@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <YW0B5.257$y07.19532@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 78763

I have a Icom IC-2AT Two meter HT with Battary and AAA Battary Pack and
Charger Works Great

Jim Flowers wrote in message <3z0B5.16$9t2.11662@sapphire.mtt.net>...
>I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker for trade. Would like a 2 meter handheld,
>newer model with the standard functions. I am in Halifax, Nova Scotia.
>
>If interested, contact me via e-mail: ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
>
>
>

7002. WaveHawk or Trunked or is it the same? FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <calzonie@hotmail.com.spam>
Message-ID: <nc1B5.40025$A4.135435@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 78764

New user would like to get a portable to start with.

WaveHawk seems to have a nice range but doesn't mention truck which is what
I guess everyone is moving to?

So do I want a better range or the trunk?

I'd like to spend under $200

Also, is there a FAQ?

7003. PD/Military radio freqs in Hawaii?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <calzonie@hotmail.com.spam>
Message-ID: <ad1B5.40026$A4.135522@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 78765

PD/Military radio freqs in Hawaii?

Thanks.

7004. WANTED AOR8200 ICOM Q7 scanner and the others.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KostiK 604 <ultranate@mtu-net.ru>
Message-ID: <8r276o$2j0v$13@gavrilo.mtu.ru>
Source record: 78766

Purchase a scanner AOR 8200 ICOM Q7 and the others
buy ANY scanners!
Please, write prices in your country on scan receivers.
Thank you.

900@inbox.ru

7005. FM Radio Directory? In Print??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Valentine <rwv@home.com>
Message-ID: <381B5.5504$P82.905252@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 78767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know of an FM Radio Station Directory in print? (Like WRTH for AM and shortwave), but for FM.
I know there's online search engine, but looking for a by-frequency book somewhere. Thanks! Rick
--
rec.radio.broadcasting is a robomoderated newsgroup. Please mail messages to airwaves@airwaves.com.

7006. Re: FM Radio Directory? In Print??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: w9wi@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <39D4A810.CA46ACE2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 78768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner

Richard Valentine wrote:
>
> Anyone know of an FM Radio Station Directory in print? (Like WRTH for AM and
> shortwave), but for FM.
> I know there's online search engine, but looking for a by-frequency book
> somewhere. Thanks! Rick

If a North America-only list will do, then you want the FM Atlas.
(http://members.aol.com/fmatlas)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://personal.bellsouth.net/~w9wi
--
rec.radio.broadcasting is a robomoderated newsgroup. Please mail
messages to airwaves@airwaves.com.

7007. pro-90 for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929121437.06346.00000152@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 78769

I have too many scanners. I am going to keep my pro-91 and (new) pro-2066.
Make a fair offer!! I am going to advertise in the local paper and also E-Bay
soon. It is in good shape. Wall adapter included.....E-mail me
Eric G.
ignorance is no excuse.....

7008. outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929121819.06346.00000153@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 78770

If my exterior scanner antennae was almost surrounded by fiberglass (wind deflector on the roof of a tractor-trailer), could that interfere with low band freq. (mainly CHP 42 mhz range). I get other freq. from 100 + miles away. I am not happy with reception of CHP. Seems that when I get further away from dispatch zones, I don't even get dispatch very well. When I do , it is hit and miss and even then the whole transmission doesn't always come through without breaking up. Hearing mobiles (nearby) seems impossible (extendar or car to base freq). Would an amplifier (Radio Shack $40) help?
Eric G.
ignorance is no excuse.....

7009. Re: outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <OV3B5.1700$Ih1.138059@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 78771

What are you using for an exterior antenna? Very few mobile antennas are made to receive in the 42 Mhz range, not counting the one on the CHP vehicle made for that frequency. Take a look at the size of it the next time the CHP pulls you over. :-) Fiberglass shouldn't be a problem I think its your antenna. The RS amp might help but more than likely will just amplify the noise too, even more so if your antenna isn't designed to cover 42 Mhz. OTOH, you can always try and return it if it fails. I still am leaning toward antenna though.

Glenn

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000929121819.06346.00000153@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> If my exterior scanner antennae was almost surrounded by fiberglass (wind
> deflector on the roof of a tractor-trailer), could that interfere with low
band
> freq. (mainly CHP 42 mhz range). I get other freq. from 100 + miles away.
I
> am not happy with reception of CHP. Seems that when I get further away
from
> dispatch zones, I don't even get dispatch very well. When I do , it is
hit and
> miss and even then the whole transmission doesn't always come through
without
> breaking up. Hearing mobiles (nearby) seems impossible (extendar or car
to
> base freq). Would an amplifier (Radio Shack $40) help?
> Eric G.
> ignorance is no excuse.....

7010. Re: When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael D. Blaise" <blaise@cptelco.net>
Message-ID: <39D4CCE6.704B192E@cptelco.net>
Source record: 78772

I called Uniden today and they said sometime in December!!! I can't wait...

Jim Bo wrote:

> What is the latest "ship" date for the 780XLT????
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Jim
> *

7011. Re: Dad needs scanner advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ellis@ftel.net
Message-ID: <8r2j4cup1@ting.ftel.net>
Source record: 78773
Cross-posted to: alt.railroad,misc.transport.rail.americas,rec.radio.scanner

In article <k6PA5.847$GJ.199674@news.uswest.net>,
Don Forsling <ddforsling@uswest.net> wrote:

>Can you tell us a bit more about the Sony scanner? I'm sure that lots of
>folks are unfamiliar with them--most use Uniden or Realistics. Until
>reading your post, I didn't even realize that Sony _made_ a scanner. Are
>they portable? Best features? Cost? Inquiring minds may be numerous and
>need to know.

They are portable and quite similar to Uniden's in many ways. There are
two models and one can be programmed via a serial cable to your PC. I'd
say Sony's best feature is the better RF performance.

--
http://www.fnet.net/~ellis/photo/

7012. Re: Icom CM-12G "AA" Battery Packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike McCarville <xwind10@home.com>
Message-ID: <rh4B5.14604$hD4.3881396@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 78774

Hello Bill, I was wondering if the ICOM Transiever battery case # CM-167
would be the same ? If so I could use one also..... Mike

7013. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D4D6F1.47BEB9B7@home.com>
Source record: 78775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am not sure who this is actually directed at, so I'll leave you to it.

LRod wrote:

> You are a bone head. You got every possible iteration of facts wrong.
> The spelling was a minor point. Confusing the Marine Corps with the Navy
> is a very big deal.
>

7014. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D4D793.3B8AE00B@home.com>
Source record: 78776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

actually, given the history of J.E.M., I should NOT take him at his word.
Given the hostory of this person, I believe that this advice should be
closely adhered to:

"those who ignore the mistakes of history will be doomed to repeat them"
-- Alexander the Great

Just Bud wrote:

>
>
> Eric, you SHOULD believe John. After all, the link clearly shows what
> qualifications are needed for the program he entered.
>

7015. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D4D815.F6A7477C@home.com>
Source record: 78777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The "enlisted" program was not mentioned to me..

could be an oversight or unintended ommission.

Still, I have doubts as to the varacity of J.E.M.'s word.

Blake Bowers wrote:

>
> Only for the Officer programs. The enlisted program, that
> John has gone through, only requires high school education.

7016. Re: San Diego area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929141049.17763.00000224@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 78778

Hi! Email me directly and I'll pass along several web sites for San Diego area. The San Diego Transit mall security, a private security agency, isn't listed in my resources, but I'll keep digging. Many small businesses (and gov't. agencies) have switched to Nextel. I learned last night that the local Red Cross chapter is entirely on Nextel plus satellite phones.

>Subject: San Diego area Frequencies
>From: "George Morris" gam11268@flash.net
>Date: 09/27/2000 8:27 AM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0moA5.9212$864.627873@news.flash.net>
>
>Does anybody have a complete listing for san diego area frequencies? I have
>the Trunked frequencies from
>the net but I am looking for others like San Diego Transit mall security, and
>any other frequencies. if you can
>email it to me in a text or excel format in would be greatly appreciated
>Thanks in Advance

7017. Re: Which Scanner to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <8r2n8d$d23$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 78779

In article <39d3bc5e_2@nntp2.nac.net>, "Paul" <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote:

> Wait a month or so and go for a BC 780XLT. That's what I'm doing,

How would one be able to receive cellular freqs on a 780XLT? I would say a
Pro 2004/2005/2006 would be another good choice.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Mandrake Linux 7.1, 2.2.16 / PAN Newsreader 0.8.1beta6

7018. Re: Dad needs scanner advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk1a <kk1a@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.05.10009291117170.28725-100000@garcia.efn.org>
Source record: 78780
Cross-posted to: alt.railroad,misc.transport.rail.americas,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2000, Rick Ellis wrote:
]Newsgroups: alt.railroad, misc.transport.rail.americas, rec.radio.scanner
]Subject: Re: Dad needs scanner advice
]
]In article <k6PA5.847$GJ.199674@news.uswest.net>,
]Don Forsling <ddforsling@uswest.net> wrote:
]
]>Can you tell us a bit more about the Sony scanner? I'm sure that lots of
]>folks are unfamiliar with them--most use Uniden or Realistics. Until
]>reading your post, I didn't even realize that Sony _made_ a scanner. Are
]>they portable? Best features? Cost? Inquiring minds may be numerous and
]>need to know.
]They are portable and quite similar to Uniden's in many ways. There are
]two models and one can be programmed via a serial cable to your PC. I'd
]say Sony's best feature is the better RF performance.

Another trick is to combine hobbies. I'm an Amateur Radio Op <Ham>,
and use my VHF radio (2-meters) for monitering the railroad frequencies.
Ham band is 144-148 Mhz, RR in 159-161 Mhz, so close by.

A 5/8 wave Mag-Mount antenna will work MUCH better than a 'Rubber Duck' <Also
known as a resonate dummy load...>. Trimming the antenna for the higher-end
of the amateur band makes reception above the band better.

Getting a 5/8 mag-mount, and then trimming it even farther, up into the RR
band, will make the reception even better. <Resonance works in both Transmitt
(SWR) and receive> So, trimming about an inch off of a 'Ham Radio' antenna
will get you real close to the RR channels. (shorter antenna, higher
frequency)

The same can be done for a 'Base Station' antenna.

You need a license to TRANSMIT with a Amateur Radio. You DO NOT need one to
listen, or Buy the Equipment.

The license to Transmit in 2-meters is the easiest to get, a N0-Code
technician will cover eveything above 30 Mhz. Most of the radio shack stores
can point you to a radio-license exam site, and sell you the books to study for
the license, as well as sell you a radio or two...

for more info, look at www.arrl.org

regards Karl
<AMTRAK 754 Highball!>

7019. Re: FT - scanner for 2 meter HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Flowers <ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <_D5B5.57$9t2.30076@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 78781

Well send me a direct e-mail as i cant answer from the newsgroup other than
to all.

"Bobby Raymer" <n2br@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:YW0B5.257$y07.19532@news-west.eli.net...
> I have a Icom IC-2AT Two meter HT with Battary and AAA Battary Pack and
> Charger Works Great
>
>
> Jim Flowers wrote in message <3z0B5.16$9t2.11662@sapphire.mtt.net>...
> >I have a Pro-90 Trunk Tracker for trade. Would like a 2 meter handheld,
> >newer model with the standard functions. I am in Halifax, Nova Scotia.
> >
> >If interested, contact me via e-mail: ve1jim@ns.sympatico.ca
> >
> >
> >
>
>

7020. Does everyone got mod for RADIO-SHACK PRO-74 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: f <f@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8r2p74$f7d$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 78782

Hello, have you got mod for the radio shack pro-74 ?

thanks.

pjimmy@iquebec.com

7021. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39D4DDB1.8C28F98D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 78783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

mailto:abuse@newsguy.com

J o h n M wrote:

> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > am I? seems to me that HE has the history of lying in here.
> > and now he CLAIMS to be in the military, SERVING OUR COUNTRY?!?!?
>
> Eric, I have never told a lie in my entire adult life. I have presented
> overwhelming proof
> of my military service including:
> 1. pictures of my swearing in
> 2. newspaper listings the military provided to a local paper
> 3. the copy of my graduation certificate from Basic Training
>
> YOU are the ONLY person who still tries to deny it. YOU are a FOOL!
>
> > No one likes a faker, and tolerates a bullshitter even less.
>
> That is probably why so many people dislike YOU, Eric Oyen!

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

7022. Re: outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: archimage <archimageNO@SPAMarchimage.com>
Message-ID: <nZ5B5.1229$Sa.77644@news.flash.net>
Source record: 78784

What would really help is if you were less concerned with the CHP and paid more attention to your driving. There are other people on the road. The last thing I would want to be near a tractor-trailer rig with a operator screwing with a scanner.

7023. FA : Uniden Bearcat 245 XLT Trunk Tracker II - A FEW HOURS LEFT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <39d50481.32300482@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 78785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A FEW HOURS LEFT!

Check out my auction for a 245 XLT Trunk Tracker -

Ebay item # 446903099

Thanks...

Dan

7024. LACoFD/Verdugo MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: shojiki@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r32iljrf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78786

If you live in the Los Angeles County area and would like to monitor the MDT traffic used by the LA County Fire Dept or Verdugo Fire Dept email me. I am selling used modems that are identical to those used by the above agencies. All you need is a scanner with discriminator output.

Replace the 'my-deja' with 'hotmail' when replying. Thanks.
- Shojiki

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7025. Newbie wants scanner purchase advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sarakay@cybercomm.net
Message-ID: <39d50cdc.10054769@news.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 78787

Hi,
I recently inherited a Bearcat 210 XL and was having a great old time with it, but it seems to have died. I've never had a scanner before. Can anyone recommend a suitable replacement? I want to listen to fire, ambulance & police calls and would like to keep the price to $100 or less, which I guess means used or refurbished. Any advice appreciated!

BTW the old one would scan for a while then display "E00000" and get stuck. I would turn it off & on and this seemed to cure it, but the last time I tried this, the power came on but it never scanned again. It's too old to be worth fixing, right? I am clueless about electronics and would have to send it back to the factory or whatever to be fixed.

Thanks in advance,

Sara

7026. Grand Coulee Dam, WA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929182327.12839.00000155@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 78788

Sorry for the short notice, but the fiancee and I will be taking a weekend getaway trip to the Grand Coulee Dam area tomorrow, and I have no freqs beyond Police Call for that region...Can anyone recommend some good ones?

Thanks in advance,

Brett

7027. Re: Dad needs scanner advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-8950A6.17370429092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 78789
Cross-posted to: alt.railroad,misc.transport.rail.americas,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8r2j4cup1@ting.ftel.net>, ellis@ftel.net (Rick Ellis)
wrote:

> In article <k6PA5.847$GJ.199674@news.uswest.net>,
> Don Forsling <ddforsling@uswest.net> wrote:
>
> >Can you tell us a bit more about the Sony scanner? I'm sure that lots
> >of
> >folks are unfamiliar with them--most use Uniden or Realistics. Until
> >reading your post, I didn't even realize that Sony _made_ a scanner.
> >Are
> >they portable? Best features? Cost? Inquiring minds may be numerous
> >and
> >need to know.
>
> They are portable and quite similar to Uniden's in many ways. There are
> two models and one can be programmed via a serial cable to your PC. I'd
> say Sony's best feature is the better RF performance.
>
> --
> http://www.fnet.net/~ellis/photo/
>

The Sony ICF-SC1 has wide freauency range - 25 to 1300 MHz (no cell, of
course) AM/NBFM/WBFM. It's easy to use, has OK audio, and seems to be
fairly sensitive. Does search, etc. It does not do trunked systems,
though. Also - no stereo FM headphone output. (Why not, Sony?) They
can be bought on eBay for $140 to $160.

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

7028. What is there above 1gh?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <calzonie@hotmail.com.spam>
Message-ID: <i%8B5.40047$A4.136207@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 78790

New scanners are capable of scanning over 1gh now, what's up that high?

7029. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <M%8B5.2328$4Q5.90800@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78791

Its Not for GPS for sure, I asked a medic and they dont have GPS. And they do not communicate to aircraft at all. The only Freq they have are two 900mhz for general dispatch and ring downs to hospitals. Does any one know were you can purchase these antennas????

-JonGage-Original poster of question

"Mark" <markj@cts.com> wrote in message news:39D33F80.7E18EA1F@cts.com...
>
>
> SAScheffey wrote:
>
> > Is it possible that they are communicating with air traffic?
>
> Sure...medical helo's...but not on the airbands.
> same public service radios...different antenna.
>
> mark
>
>

7030. Re: Using Car Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <r19B5.2332$4Q5.89336@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78792

How well would that work for 400-900mhz scanning??

Sounds really cool

-JonGage@pacbell.net

"Osborne605" <osborne605@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000928225132.14166.00000086@ng-cp1.aol.com...
> They work great ... I had one on my 75 Trans Am and it had the antena in
the
> windshield it worked great on highband low band UHF and the VHF Aero band.
>
>
>

7031. Re: FS: AOR8200B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-EF1DBA.17562729092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 78793

In article <KkPA5.82681$Qx4.2688605@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>, "tom g."
<tagger4@home.com> wrote:

> AOR8200B like new condition ,$275
> any where in the CONUS.
> comes with charger,belt clip,antenna and manual.
> tagger4@home.com
>
> --
> tom g.

How is the 8200B for CW/SSB, Tom. Is it easy to tune them in with that
rotary tuning knob on the side? How's the sensitivity on HF? Have you
tried it with a real HF antenna, like a dipole cut for the band you are
listening to?

Thanks,

Dave

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

7032. Re: LACoFD/Verdugo MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e89B5.2344$4Q5.91783@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78794

whats a descriminatorr output, How is this MDT stuff compatable with a
scanner card like winradio?

JonGage@pacbell.net

<shojiki@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8r32iljrf1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> If you live in the Los Angeles County area and would like to monitor
> the MDT traffic used by the LA County Fire Dept or Verdugo Fire Dept
> email me. I am selling used modems that are identical to those used by
> the above agencies. All you need is a scanner with discriminator
> output.
>
> Replace the 'my-deja' with 'hotmail' when replying. Thanks.
> - Shojiki
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

7033. Re: ANTENNA on AMBULANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <39D51EB1.15A8B1C8@snet.net>
Source record: 78795

JonGage wrote:
>
> Its Not for GPS for sure, I asked a medic and they dont have GPS.

_HE_ dosen't have access to GPS, but many businesses and public agencies have GPS units tracking and logging where their vehicles are at all times. There may be a GPS unit on that ambulance and he may not even be aware of it. <G>

--

Barry Burke Jr.
http://www.bburke.com

nospam@snet.net IS
my REAL email address

7034. Re: Uniden reconditioned scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kiefer4444@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929191518.03683.00000923@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 78796

I purchased a BC-245 reconditioned from Uniden, its works perfectly

7035. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:46:56 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D3237F.D1EA5D66@home.com>
Source record: 78748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LRod wrote:

>
> First of all, it's Parris Island.
> Second of all, Parris Island is the Marine Corps training facility, not
> the Navy.
> Third of all, Great Lakes is the Navy training facility
> Fourth of all, even if he was in the Marines he also could have gone to
> San Diego.
>

so I spelled it wrong... big deal....

>
> Please give it up.
>
> First, you are on the wrong track.
> Second, he doesn't need your idiocy cluttering up his idiocy.
> Third, there are other, much more accomplished, "fans" who can take care
> of tweaking him.
>

am I? seems to me that HE has the history of lying in here.
and now he CLAIMS to be in the military, SERVING OUR COUNTRY?!?!?
I am not here to "tweak him" just to make him see the truth
of his ways (and considering he is in full blown denial, that might
take a while).

>
> You said this a week ago and have made more than 5 posts since. Could
> you please keep your committment?!?!?!?
>

This was before he started making statements about serving in the armed
forces.
No one likes a faker, and tolerates a bullshitter even less.

DE N7ZZT

7036. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 00:53:41 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D32515.393C9785@home.com>
Source record: 78749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Actually, no I should not believe him
(once a liar always a liar).

As for the program, that requires a certain amount of time
already in the service and Mr. mackey (under his current
legal given name) has served NONE!

His given name: John E. Mackey, Jr.
(same as his dad).
His dad's middle name is Earl.
So, how can John E. be a Junior if he claims his middle name
is Emmet? It can't. therefore, this John Mackey posting in here
is NOT the John Mackey listed in that newspaper article in Sioux City,
Iowa.

Again, he lied.

He has lied repeatedly about how he was removed from scannradio in fidonet,
he has lied about how he treated Bill Cheek (even to the point of
disrespecting the dead), He has harassed others in here (and in real life by
calling
work places, filing false police reports and damaging equipment in failed repair
attempts.

WHY SHOULD HE BE PUT UP WITH?!?!?

His history is well known and well documented.

He can rant and rave all he wants, I have just re-added him to my current
killfile.

DE N7ZZT

Just Bud wrote:

>
> Eric, you SHOULD believe John. After all, the link clearly shows what
> qualifications are needed for the program he entered.
>
> >> http://www.cnrrc.com/career/APG1a.html
>
> :Applicants for the APG program must: be currently employed or have
> :been employed within the past 12 months in civilian fields comparable
> :to the ratings for which applying;
>
> :include sufficient documentation of professional and supervisory experience

> :on a resume, with employer and personal recommendations;
>
> And we all KNOW that as a janitor at a mental facility, he's obviously fulfilled
> the requirement for a radio tech in the Navy.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

7037. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 01:02:01 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D32708.21C5BD6E@home.com>
Source record: 78750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hmmm.
ok. I can by that. However, aren't there prior requirements that
have to be met (such as prior military service or ROTC?)? What about
educational requirements?

This among other reasons is why I find it most difficult to believe that
J.E.M
even has the qualifications.

I did run a question regarding this program by the local USN recruiter.
From what I was told, a minimum of 4 years college is required to
qualify for the program. He also mentioned that adfter going through
the said program (mentioned) that one would be in the USN-reserves.

I would very much like to see J.E.M.'s actual qualifications
(such as degree, college attented <transcripts, etc>, certificates
of completion, etc.) Thinking on this, J.E.M. has still yet to produce
any evidence that he has attended university anywhere in the US
for more than 2 consequetive semesters, AND, produce a copy of his
degree(s)/Certificates.

oh well.

DE N7ZZT

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Eric,
>
> The NPSAC class that John did take is a reaction to the fact that
> the Navy has such a problem attracting qualified people into the
> reserve, so they have come up with programs like that to try
> to lure people into what is for the most part, the most unwanted
> postions in the Reserve, sort of like cannon fodder.
>
> NPSAC is sort of like a wannabee boot camp. According to people
> in the navy, or recent retirees, the enlisted NPSAC is somewhat like
> really being in the navy....

7038. Re: this one !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 01:40:11 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <%pSA5.83133$Qx4.2705016@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78751

```
$9.50
"hv" <hv@home.com> wrote in message
news:8r0pkp$soj$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> $9.00
> tom g. <tagger4@home.com> wrote in message
> news:qbPA5.82651$Qx4.2689590@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...
> > i will sell you a AR8200B for $275 with manual and charger, if your
quick
> > enough.
> > tagger4@home.com
> >
> > --
> > tom g.
> >
> >
>
>
```

7039. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 02:25:43 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8r0uj4$6c0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <39D3237F.D1EA5D66@home.com>,
Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
> LRod wrote:
>
> >
> > First of all, it's Parris Island.
> > Second of all, Parris Island is the Marine Corps training facility,
> > not the Navy.
> > Third of all, Great Lakes is the Navy training facility
> > Fourth of all, even if he was in the Marines he also could have gone
> > to San Diego.
> >
>
> so I spelled it wrong... big deal....

You are a bone head. You got every possible iteration of facts wrong.
The spelling was a minor point. Confusing the Marine Corps with the Navy
is a very big deal.

> >
> > Please give it up.
> >
> > First, you are on the wrong track.
> > Second, he doesn't need your idiocy cluttering up his idiocy.
> > Third, there are other, much more accomplished, "fans" who can take
> > care of tweaking him.
> >
>
> am I?

Yes.

> seems to me that HE has the history of lying in here.
> and now he CLAIMS to be in the military, SERVING OUR COUNTRY?!?!?

Yes he does. You are on the verge of joining his company by virtue of
having your facts wrong.

> I am not here to "tweak him" just to make him see the truth
> of his ways (and considering he is in full blown denial, that might
> take a while).

You are now in denial in this thread. Trust me. I am a disinterested
spectator. I've followed this thread. I've seen where you got off on the
wrong track. Give it up. You can only wind up being a bigger idiot than

he. I never thought that was possible.

> > You said this a week ago and have made more than 5 posts since.
> > Could you please keep your committment?!?!?!?

> This was before he started making statements about serving in the
> armed forces.

No, the armed forces thread has been going on for over a month. You made the above statement just a week ago, long after the armed forces discussion had pretty much run its course. Look in Deja to see how recent your statement of 20 September fits in.

> No one likes a faker, and tolerates a bullshitter even less.

But bullshitter is what you are starting to sound like. Might be worth following your own advice.

This is my last word on the matter.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7040. Mackey is truly the century's idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 02:30:29 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8r0us5$6n3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <8quaqn031ij@news2.newsguy.com>,
"J o h n M" <pioNOSPAM@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote:
> LRod-
>
> Thank you for your support!!

Not from me. Not back door, front door, side door, advertant, or inadvertant. I have no use for you or anything you do or say. I certainly do not "support" you.

Were you capable of even 3rd grade reading comprehension you would have understand my statement, "second, he doesn't need your idiocy cluttering up his idiocy," to be a direct slam on you and your practices.

This is my last word on the matter.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7041. Re: Using Car Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 02:51:32 UTC
From: osborne605@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000928225132.14166.00000086@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 78754

They work great ... I had one on my 75 Trans Am and it had the antena in the windshield it worked great on highband low band UHF and the VHF Aero band.

7042. ABP NewsOnline -LIve Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 03:05:31 UTC
From: cinvest@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000928230531.23417.00000099@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 78755

Has anyone else noticed that several of the live scanners do not work, and that there news is not updated. Rumor was that they are in trouble.

7043. FS: Regency DX-3000 30 channel scanner $40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 03:27:53 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <Z_TA5.185$_X.42278@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 78756

Feel free to e-mail me with any questions.

schnoor@wavetech.net

7044. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 03:48:17 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8r13e1nrv0@63.162.247.186>
Source record: 78757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric Oyen wrote:

> I did run a question regarding this program by the local USN recruiter.
> From what I was told, a minimum of 4 years college is required to
> qualify for the program. He also mentioned that adfter going through
> the said program (mentioned) that one would be in the USN-reserves.
>

Only for the Officer programs. The enlisted program, that
John has gone through, only requires high school education.

7045. Re: Any mods for RS 2021?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-29 04:46:36 UTC
From: Danny <banister@flash.net>
Message-ID: <M8VA5.935$Sa.47720@news.flash.net>
Source record: 78758

800 MHz cannot be added to this scanner. You could buy a downconverter, if you can still find one.

You can find some mods here ftp://ftp.cdrom.com/pub/hamradio/oak/mods/tandy/
...and there are several other references you can find on the web.

Danny

"John & Carolyn" <chilinvilin@webtv.net> wrote in message news:5960-39CE55A8-35@storefull-617.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> can you put 800 mghz in? any other mods? thanks
>

7046. Re: Thats spelled "Site"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Patterson <davidp@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <39D6A132.5AAE@nospammindspring.com>
Source record: 78547

Conjugate?

Might you mean contract? :)

dp, judging not....merely remembering those
sleepy afternoons in the 5th grade...

Robert wrote:
>
> To conjugate "that is", you drop the letter i and add an apostrophe.
>
> Judge not, lest ye be judged .... ;-)
>
> Give the guy credit, at least he kept his message related to the NG, unlike
> many here.
>
> Here's another good Frequently Asked Frequencies website:
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/faf.html
>
> Robert
>
> Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:39D5951C.7E8834AA@netzero.net...
> > owl@wise.com wrote:
> > >
> > > I just found this sight. Lots of frequency info.
> > >
> > > http://216.157.29.195/freqbrd/
> >

7047. Re: Help: AT&T freqs, NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <nsecurity_team@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r7yB5.97319$Uo6.123411@dfw-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 78548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Larry the Shreve ,

The security at my AT&T building wears a Jacket with the company logo on the back. Since AT&T usually hires other companies to do their security, you may be able to find out the company's name and look them up in the FCC Database of Per Con data finder.

http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/index.html

Click on "FCC Databases" (Top of page)
The click "SPECTRUM - FCC Frequency Database"

Or go to: http://www.fcc.gov
Click on "Search" (Top of page)
Then click "Wireless Telecommunications Database Search" (Left bottom)
That should take you to:
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Larry the Shreve <!@!!!!!!!shreve!!!!!@!!@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:6r9B5.228$0n.12365@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Subject line says it all, really. Looking for security and\or maintenance
> freqs for AT&T sites in NJ, particularly Dayton and Holmdel sites. Thank
> you.
>
>

7048. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D5D11B.1CCB1E09@netzero.net>
Source record: 78597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

That is the way it was (and is) done by 1000s of spies over the years.
Find a common book that almost any library would have and use
the first 10 words or so on a particular page ect as the key...

Al Patrick wrote:
>
> Raven wrote:
>
> > Just for the sake of discussion.
> > If you put a encrypted message on a web site, then the recipient
> > could see it without anyone being the wiser.
> > With anonymous websites being a dime a dozen (I've got 3 myself)
> > You can "Send" a message to who ever you like.....
> > All they have to do is go to the proper website and pick it up.....
>
> With all the "back doors" to software that the government is supposedly requiring I'm not
> sure that I would even trust PGP that much. Someone once said that the only way to REALLY
> encrypt a message is for two (or more) people to have EXACT copies of book or document
and
> let each letter (or number) represent a page, line and character number. This could be
very
> time consuming and messages would have to be kept short, or have a program to "convert"
it
> for you, in which case you risk it being "captured" and all future correspondence being
> exposed.
>
> Al

7049. Re: MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: helmsman <>
Message-ID: <pugbts06p4psp385hgeplmrib96gjllnhk@4ax.com>
Source record: 78598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote:
>Read the FCC small print on almost all new electronic equipment.
>ie: answering machines, computers, ect...
>
>"This equipment MUST accept all interference"
>**********
>Bill Twist wrote:

In a different light. The FCC wants the utility company's to fix
power line interferance but won't force them to do it. They want both
parties to work it out, which means the power companies say ,we are
working on it and do nothing, forever, and the FCC doe's nothing.
The bums are broadcasting without a license and should be prosicuted,
but they have big money and can get away with anything. And the FCC
only makes noise.

.
KG8PM
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman

7050. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: krisada@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r4hu6lni1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78599

I am 95S-1A owner now! It's nice radio but cellular block :< I already
have both factory software and soft manual but don't have Instruction
manual. This radio is too high temperature while working. It also can
listening WFM like broadcast radio or televistion an excellent when uses it
for decoder digimode like POGSAG ,FLEX because it have powerful selectable
bandwidth and also cellular ESN if I can remove cellular block! I bought it
~$2000 what do you think about this price with soft command syntax
manual,factory software and DSVD modem for do a remote control and
receive output sound while control remotely!
Any comment 's welcome!

regard

In article <dmoorman-2C7CBB.17222726092000@nntp.interaccess.com>,
Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com> wrote:
> Zombie Wolf -
>
> The 95S-1 is solid state HF receiver with a computer interface. It was
> manufactured in 1995. It's an interesting radio. Check out
> http://www.wa3key.com/95s1.html.
>
> I wouldn't buy it without software and manual. You can't use it without
> a computer interface. I have no idea what a fair price would be with
> manual and software. Without them - what would you pay for a big
> doorstop?
>
> Dave
>
> In article <st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com>, "Zombie Wolf"
> <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
>
> > A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF reciever.
> > It
> > is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago. (Over
> > 20
> > years ago). People seem to think that this news group is some kind of
> > "appraisal service". What you are talking about here is an ancient tube
> > rig.
> > These go from anywhere from 50 bucks up, depending on just what
forum
> > they
> > appear in (Hams are basically the only ones that would be interested),
> > and
> > are usually bought on their "nostalga" value, rather than their "real"
> > value. I am not saying they didn`t make a fine radio. I still have the 75

> > S-1 around in working order, although I rarely use it. But it has little
> > or
> > nothing to do with "modern" radio, nor does it have much to do with
> > scanning. Good day.
> > <bigbear@thai.com> wrote in message
news:8qq70cfdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > Any one know how much I should offer for a Rockwell Collin 95S-1A
not
> > > include any manual and software? Don't want to offer him to little or
> > > then
> > > again, to much.
> > >
> > > Regard.
> > > Krisada.A
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>
> --
> Dave Moorman
> Downers Grove,
> Illinois USA
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7051. Europe repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: F6GKD <f6gkd@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8r4hhl$a6h$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 78600

http://www.ref-union.org/cnrr/
--
F6GKD

7052. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: krisada@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r4imc$m47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78601

I am 95S-1A owner now! It's nice radio but cellular block :< I already
have both factory software and soft manual but don't have Instruction
manual. This radio is too high temperature while working. It also can
listening WFM like broadcast radio or televistion an excellent when uses it
for decoder digimode like POGSAG ,FLEX because it have powerful selectable
bandwidth and also cellular ESN if I can remove cellular block! I bought it
~$2000 what do you think about this price with soft command syntax
manual,factory software and DSVD modem for do a remote control and
receive output sound while control remotely!
Any comment 's welcome!

regard

In article <8qqn03$g85ls$1@ID-52518.news.cis.dfn.de>,
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
> news:st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com...
> > A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF reciever. It
> > is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago.
>
> I think you're thinking of the 51-S1. The 95S-1A is a totally
> computer-controlled wideband communications receiver. They cost around
> $5000 brand new, but without any software to control it or manual, I think
> it would make a nice paperwieght. There may be some places out there
on the
> 'net to get information, but I wouldn't hold my breath. The 95S is not a
> common receiver, so I don't think too many people would know where to
get
> software or what kind of baud/parity/stop bit info the receiver would use to
> communicate with your computer.
>
> On the other hand, if it did have software and a manual, I'd jump on it.
>
> --
> Regards,
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7053. Newsgroup Propagation Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Woonton <g8hul@redhotant.com>
Message-ID: <39d5d7c6@news.jakinternet.co.uk>
Source record: 78602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

posted to these groups not test groups) to test a specific problem

7054. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39D5DCE7.E45FC997@zylay.com>
Source record: 78603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Raven wrote:

> If my memory serves correctly their were several CBs with tone keys for
> "CW" operation. Of course it defeated the whole purpose of ease of
> conveniance but I think it was legal....

I was one of the original posters saying that CW was legal on CW as the ARRL manual said it was legal on all bands. I have since found the specific laws dealing with that (I don't have the URL handy, but it's through the FCC website at http://www.fcc.gov) and they state that only voice is legal on CB.

7055. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Starr <destarr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3hobtssa1b2mphr2jutusnrnqg70oo673b@4ax.com>
Source record: 78604

John Wrote:
>> Blake, I don't need to get my information from the web as you have done. I
>> have
>> actually graduated from the Navy Basic Training and am a member of the US
>> Navy
>> so I am able to speak from personal knowledge & experience. I don't have to
>> rely on the information from others & the web as you have to on this (&
>> other) topics.

The Navy's basic training is only 2 weeks long now?

7056. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39D5EACD.AE9EA124@zylay.com>
Source record: 78605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Al Patrick wrote:

> Raven wrote:
>
> > Guess those druggies are going to have to learn how to hook
> > their A.M./F.M. radio antenna to their scanners..... :o)
>
> I think I saw some antenna's being sold as "stealth" antennas! Very small,
> black (?), and just stuck to the inside of the glass. Don't recall the name.
>
> Al

Those don't work worth a flip...not compared to a "real" dedicated antenna.

7057. Re: trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Saffer <garys2@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <39D5ED4F.35738B1E@mediaone.net>
Source record: 78606

macow@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I will be moving to tulsa soon, can anyone explain briefly and
> understandable how trunking works and what i will be hearing. i have
> programmed the frequencies in already but i dont understand what the
> five digits codes are for.

Go to www.strongsignals.net. There is a lot of information on trunking
at the site, and it refers you to other sites with even more
information.

7058. Re: Will the BC-780's front panel detach?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Saffer <garys2@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <39D5EDC0.57097323@mediaone.net>
Source record: 78607

klopie wrote:
>
> .

No, but there may be a separate front panel available that will connect to the scanner and operate it. That way, you can put the radio under the seat or somewhere else convenient, and still use the radio. Great for people with small cars.

7059. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8r4rs2$rr6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <39D583F8.F29297A1@netzero.net>,
Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote:
> If my memory serves correctly their were several CBs with tone keys
for
> "CW" operation. Of course it defeated the whole purpose of ease of
> conveniance but I think it was legal....

the only units I know that tone keys were the little 100 mw hand held
toys...these operated on ch 14 and wern't covered by FCC rules and
regs.

--
Dave KG5XR
Daves Radio Electronics
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
Ark/Mo State Line

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7060. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39D5F10C.31A2AB44@inet4u.com>
Source record: 78609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Gene wrote:

> Al Patrick wrote:
>
> > Raven wrote:
> >
> > > Guess those druggies are going to have to learn how to hook
> > > their A.M./F.M. radio antenna to their scanners...... :o)
> >
> > I think I saw some antenna's being sold as "stealth" antennas! Very small,
> > black (?), and just stuck to the inside of the glass. Don't recall the name.
> >
> > Al
>
> Those don't work worth a flip...not compared to a "real" dedicated antenna.

I figured from the size of them that they must be for very high frequencies, i.e.
900 MHz !

Al

7061. Fire Communications, links and news updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <8r4r8iojk1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 78610
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighter,rec.radio.scanner

See http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm for firefighting comms,
links and news about...

**Fire Station to be refurbished...and the Pole WILL stay

**Hot-ticket job: Many are called to firefighting but few chosen

**Quake rescue drama - Closed drive-in serves as scene for firefighter
training

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells <~~ all kinds of stuff

7062. Re: Want to buy trunk-tracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.143f22eb5283f90e9896ee@news.gte.net>
Source record: 78611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <araB5.110$B42.5269@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
JonGage@pacbell.net says...
> Bearcat 870 Not out yet but worth the wait, so I have been told!
> Base/Mobile

Thanks. What's special about this model? I don't see any reference to
it on the Uniden web site.

--

-- // Steve //

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

7063. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <39D60528.B8E2DC35@zylay.com>
Source record: 78612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Al Patrick wrote:

> Gene wrote:
>
> > Al Patrick wrote:
> >
> > > Raven wrote:
> > >
> > > > Guess those druggies are going to have to learn how to hook
> > > > their A.M./F.M. radio antenna to their scanners..... :o)
> > >
> > > I think I saw some antenna's being sold as "stealth" antennas! Very small,
> > > black (?), and just stuck to the inside of the glass. Don't recall the name.
> > >
> > > Al
> >
> > Those don't work worth a flip...not compared to a "real" dedicated antenna.
>
> I figured from the size of them that they must be for very high frequencies, i.e.
> 900 MHz !
>
> Al

Maybe so, but they're still in close proximity to other surfaces and have no groundplane. While antennas can be designed and work satisfactorily under these conditions, they are stil a compromise over a full-sized vertical antenna with a groundplane.

7064. Intercept + Divulge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13255-39D6080C-12@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 78613

This following article from www.borderland.com obviuosly uses radio traffic that was probably obtained via a scanner.

Borderland Friday, September 29, 2000 More than 18 crews sent to fight fire in mountain mansion Patrick C. McDonnell and Louie Gilot El Paso Times
Linda Stelter / El Paso Times

Firefighters climbed a hillside near Murchison Drive as they battled a fire that consumed a mountainside mansion under construction in the 1600 block of Camino Alto late Thursday. The house was estimated to be between 8,000 and 9,000 square feet.

A blaze that witnesses said could be seen from at least as far away as Bowie High School destroyed a mansion under construction on the side of the Franklin Mountains just below Scenic Drive Park.
More than 18 crews of firefighters were sent to the "huge" fire that was reported at 8:37 p.m. "I don't know how many pumpers you've got on the way, but you are going to need more," a police officer told dispatchers as he rushed toward the fire.

The fire was in the 1600 block of Camino Alto. Police blocked off portions of streets in the area to keep neighbors and passers-by from driving over the fire hoses and to keep them from putting themselves in harm's way.

The way the house was perched on the rocky slope presented additional problems for the firefighters. Once the walls of the home were drenched with water, the firefighters were warned to be careful that the walls didn't collapse off the side of the mountain. Fire Department supervisors were also worried that the many large rocks being washed down by water from the firetrucks "could hit some of the civilians on their heads" down below.

No injuries were reported, and after about an hour of battling the blaze, firefighters had the fire knocked down. They expected to continue soaking and investigating the smoldering ruins through the night.

Zerg'sWebsite
http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/3327

http://free4tv.com/themes/andygriffith.mid

7065. Re: WinRadio and Trunk Tracking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@101freeway.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <%1oB5.7956$ks6.254273@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 78614

http://www.winradio.com/home/trunking.htm
http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw/download.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell.
http://members.101freeway.com/gmitch/scanner.htm
"Raven" <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:39D597F8.F2EA651C@netzero.net...
> Anyone know of any software that will allow one to use
> the WinRadio 1000i as a "TrunkTracker" radio?

7066. Re: MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: w9wi@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <39D60FCF.90F692AF@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 78615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> That is an issue that I have - someone's 2.4GHz cordless phone is coming
> through on my repeater's 2.4 input (sometimes - not every time they use it).
> However, how many consumers really even bother to read that part 15 warning in
> the device's manual. 900 MHz and 2400 MHz have been trashed by appliance
> manufacturers.....

During WW2, systems were developed for heating with RF. (for curing glue, I believe) During the war, if it helped military production and didn't interfere with military communications, niceties like Part 15 could be ignored. But with the war over, radiating trash willy-nilly across the shortwave bands ceased to be acceptable. The manufacturers asked the FCC to assign 25-29MHz as a band where they could operate industrial RF heating equipment legally. When the FCC stopped laughing, they told them to shield their equipment. They couldn't shield it that well. They finally comprimised, stabilizing their gear & getting 26.96-27.23MHz assigned. It was known as an "ISM" (Industrial, Scientific, and Medical) band; they could radiate if they had to, as long as their radiation stayed within the band.

I presume those frequencies are familiar. They were originally assigned as a ham band, with the understanding that hams would tolerate any interference received from the ISM equipment. (presumably no ham could radiate enough power to interfere with the ISM gear!) The interference didn't turn out to be as severe as feared, and in 1958 the band was reassigned to CB, which uses it today.

The 900 and 2400MHz bands are also ISM assignments.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://personal.bellsouth.net/~w9wi

7067. Re: When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Bryant N4UMJ <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YEoB5.2962$cW3.574662@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 78616

I saw a Demo BC-780xlt at Dayton and then saw the Uniden Promo and noticed
now that the Backlight had changed colors. It was now amber instead of
green.
Look at these two links:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC780XLT

http://strongsignals.net/image/bc780_broch_sm.gif

Uniden cant' figure out which color it wants to use
Personally I prefer the amber or orange color.
Seems like for mobile use though green would blend in better
and not be so obvious in the vehicle compared to the instrument cluster
color.

Any thoughts or observations on this?
Eddie Bryant

Michael D. Blaise <blaise@cptelco.net> wrote in message
news:39D4CCE6.704B192E@cptelco.net...
> I called Uniden today and they said sometime in December!!! I can't
> wait...
>
> Jim Bo wrote:
>
> > What is the latest "ship" date for the 780XLT????
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Jim
> > *
>

7068. Re: RECORDING Scanner on Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: edjohnson987@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r56ej$3a1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78617
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

There is a shareware program ($19.95 to register) called Cybercorder
2000 that is able to record the audio input to the sound card like a VCR
with audio compression. It is available at http://skyhawktech.com.

In article <9yaB5.119$B42.5687@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"JonGage" wrote:
> This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
> ------=_NextPart_000_007C_01C02A3B.9D567560
> Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
> Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I have connected into my line in on
my =
> sound card. I am using my home stereo as speakers. But my question
is =
> this, how can i record the radio traffic as a WAV file. Can someone =
> recommend a program?? Also, is this Legal in California???
>
> JonGage@pacbell.net
>
> ------=_NextPart_000_007C_01C02A3B.9D567560
> Content-Type: text/html;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>
>
>
>
Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I =
> have connected=20
> into my line in on my sound card. I am using my home stereo
as=20
> speakers. But my question is this, how can i record the radio =
> traffic as a=20
> WAV file. Can someone recommend a program?? Also, is this
=
> Legal in=20
> California???

>

>

href=3D"mailto:JonGage@pacbell.net">JonGage@pacbell.net

<=
> /BODY>
>
> ------=_NextPart_000_007C_01C02A3B.9D567560--
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7069. WTD====>[Hacked or damaged GM300's]<====

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: msisco9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000930134513.01358.00000839@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 78618

Hi:

I am still looking for Dead or Alive Motorola GM300's so long as they
do not have been subjected to water damage or a major Logic Board
repair. PA's Mother boards can be compromised. This are going to be used
for traning purposes, so acc'y are not really needed.

So, if you have a old GM300 laying around collecting dust, and you want
to get the biggest bang for you bucks, Cash is wait for it. Or if you desire
I have lots of Motorola stuff to trade as well

Please let me know what'ca have.

Monty

7070. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r564q0g04@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78619

If you enter thru the APG program, then basic is 2 weeks.

"David Starr" <destarr@earthlink.net> wrote
> The Navy's basic training is only 2 weeks long now?

7071. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r574g0gp3@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Actually, according to COMNAVRESFOR Instruction 3500.13,
> "The APG School in New Orleans will be replaced by a 14
> day non-prior service accession course <NPSAC> at recruit
> training command <RTC> Great Lakes."

Blake, the APG school was known a NPSAC, even in New Orleans.
Just like you have stated that Basic Training is known as "Boot Camp".
When it moved from New Orleans to Chicago the name was formally
changed. Substancially the same program, but in a different location.
(The OS-VET program was also moved to Great Lakes)

> Clear proof that NPSAC school has NOT been around for 20 years
> as you state.

Read the above, then you will see how you are wrong.

> > Blake, Navy Basic Training staff are prohibited from using the term
"boot
> > camp" to describe Basic Training.
>
> That does not mean that everyone in the navy is prohibited, nor uses
> the term to describe NPSAC or real basic training.

If you accept the above, then you should have no problem understanding that
APG school in New Orleans was often referred to as NPSAC.

> You are a member of the US navy RESERVE, and possibly should
> consider going back for additional training in the history
> of the program. Your personal knowledge is either lacking,

HEHEHEHEHEHEHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
Blake, YOU have picked up a few scraps of information from the web &
other places about the Navy, & now you are attempting to tell others
what is & isn't correct about the Navy!!!!!!!!!!!!!
This reminds me of the time you tried to tell someone the power ranges of
a Motorola radio & I corrected you (& the rest of the group) by telling what
power ranges the factory has produced. You responded by stating that
the power range I listed wasn't in the catalog. Again, another example of
how you read something & immediately believe it to be gospel truth
without having the practical experience to know what you are talking about!

7072. Re: How much offer for Collin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Moorman <dmoorman@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <dmoorman-64F4CF.12595530092000@nntp.interaccess.com>
Source record: 78621

Don't know what to tell you about the price, krisaada, but that sure is
an interesting radio. Let us know how it works out.

Dave

In article <8r4imc$m47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, krisada@my-deja.com wrote:

> I am 95S-1A owner now! It's nice radio but cellular block :< I
> already
> have both factory software and soft manual but don't have Instruction
> manual. This radio is too high temperature while working. It also can
> listening WFM like broadcast radio or televistion an excellent when uses
> it
> for decoder digimode like POGSAG ,FLEX because it have powerful
> selectable
> bandwidth and also cellular ESN if I can remove cellular block! I bought
> it
> ~$2000 what do you think about this price with soft command syntax
> manual,factory software and DSVD modem for do a remote control and
> receive output sound while control remotely!
> Any comment 's welcome!
>
> regard
>
>
> In article <8qqn03$g85ls$1@ID-52518.news.cis.dfn.de>,
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
> > news:st1ch43932ja1f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > A Rockwell Collins 95 S-1 is not a scanner anyway, it is a HF
> > > reciever. It
> > > is quite old, as they went out of business quite a few years ago.
> >
> > I think you're thinking of the 51-S1. The 95S-1A is a totally
> > computer-controlled wideband communications receiver. They cost around
> > $5000 brand new, but without any software to control it or manual, I
> > think
> > it would make a nice paperwieght. There may be some places out there
> on the
> > 'net to get information, but I wouldn't hold my breath. The 95S is not
> > a
> > common receiver, so I don't think too many people would know where to
> get
> > software or what kind of baud/parity/stop bit info the receiver would

> > use to
> > communicate with your computer.
> >
> > On the other hand, if it did have software and a manual, I'd jump on
> > it.
> >
> > --
> > Regards,
> > Tom Sevart
> > Amateur N2UHC
> > Registered Monitor KKS0CE
> >
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
Dave Moorman
Downers Grove,
Illinois USA

7073. Re: WinRadio 1000i Software opinions wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tharkun@nwlink.com
Message-ID: <8r5ai7$1us_002@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 78622

In article <39D597C6.AF5340D4@netzero.net>, Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote:
>
>is the software that Win Radio has on their website the only
>available?
>I found some "plugins" from XRS that are nifty but over all
>I think the WinRadio software is a tad "bulky" and not user
>friendly...

The software works well enough, once you learn how to use it, but there is the problem of the interface design; the software will be more familiar to users who are used to equipment of which the software interface is an obvious copy.

I've been writing a shareware program in VB6 using the WinRadio Software developer's kit available on their website, and I've designed my interface to be more like the radio in your car, with pushbuttons, etc.

I have seen other software that will work with the WinRadio, but it's been commercial software costing at least $100, and maybe a good bit more than that.

HTH

Craig

The calm is on the water and part of us would linger by the shore,
For ships are safe in harbor, but that's not what ships are for.
~ excerpt from Ships by Tom Kimmel & Michael Lille

7074. Re: Intercept + Divulge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin_Maly@SPAMMER.jdshdsjh.com
Message-ID: <3cects87756855g1i57p373gfmn6aepmge@4ax.com>
Source record: 78623

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 11:34:36 -0400 (EDT), PZ
<13255-39D6080C-12@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net> wrote:

>This following article from www.borderland.com obviuosly uses radio
>traffic that was probably obtained via a scanner.

Read and learn:

If a governmental radio communication is "readily accessible" to the general public," then where is the harm in intercepting it and divulging the contents of the communication? Chapter 119 recognizes this by not prohibiting the interception and divulgence of such "readily accessible" governmental "radio communications." Furthermore, whenever a "readily accessible" message is sent out over the airways, it is essentially "divulged" to the public. Presumably, anyone using a lawful device, in a lawful manner, can receive "readily accessible" radio communications. Section 2511(1)(e) is also noteworthy in that it prohibits the disclosure of certain communications which are otherwise legally intercepted. Significantly, 2511(1)(e) does not prohibit the disclosure of communications legally intercepted under the exceptions contained in 2511(2)(g). If Congress desired to prohibit the divulgence of radio communications legally intercepted pursuant to 2511(2)(g), it could have easily done so in 2511(1)(e).
http://www.afn.org/~jlr/gass2.txt

--

Kevin
---
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/ a site covering
NW Oregon Radio topics and modifications.
Check out the rec_radio_swap Mail List
http://NWOregonRadio.netfirms.com/rrs/

7075. Re: Will the BC-780's front panel detach?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d73ea7.101428750@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78624

That wold be GREAT!! I hope Uniden follows through on this.

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 13:41:56 GMT, Gary Saffer <garys2@mediaone.net>
wrote:

>No, but there may be a separate front panel available that will connect
>to the scanner and operate it. That way, you can put the radio under the
>seat or somewhere else convenient, and still use the radio. Great for
>people with small cars.

7076. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <3trB5.13851$P4.4169586@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you have more then one scanner, a cool thing to do is monitor both PD and EMS. The other night I was monitoring EMS (for the first time) and they had a call about a guy getting stabbed in the chest and on my other scanner I was listening to the police talking about suspects etc...

/tim/

"Robert" <spamshield@on.com> wrote in message news:Gywx5.1729$V66.129044@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Last night, the local FD dispatcher called in a report of a
> Jacuzzi on fire next to a house. Guess that was a real
> "hot tub"... sheesh.
>
> Robert
>
>

7077. montgomery county ohio 800 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8r5hoh$bp3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78626

866.375, 867.625, 868.500, 868.250 868.750 new as of yesterday

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7078. Re: Want to buy trunk-tracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.latraffic.org
Message-ID: <39d849cd.104282448@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's BC780XLT

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 00:26:46 GMT, "JonGage" <JonGage@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Bearcat 870 Not out yet but worth the wait, so I have been told!
>Base/Mobile

7079. Re: RECORDING Scanner on Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: st <st@reticent.net>
Message-ID: <SWrB5.13941$P4.4185520@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 78628

You can also just use the Sound Recorder program that comes with Windows 3.11, 95, 98, 2000, or NT.

/tim/
"JonGage" <JonGage@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:9yaB5.119$B42.5687@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I have connected into my line in on my sound card. I am using my home stereo as speakers. But my question is this, how can i record the radio traffic as a WAV file. Can someone recommend a program?? Also, is this Legal in California???

JonGage@pacbell.net

7080. FS: AR8200B like new cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Wolken <rhys@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8r5lvmoig1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78629

Hi Gang --

I've got an AOR AR8200B in like new condition.

Price is $390 + shipping from Wash DC

Larry Wolken N3OJD
rhys@ix.netcom.com

7081. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r5g1k02d4m@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake - to get the higher rank & enter as an NCO as I have done
College education & civillian experiece is required.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Only for the Officer programs. The enlisted program, that
> John has gone through, only requires high school education.

7082. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r5ftb02d1t@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric - I have not seen anyone here ask for your opinion on "varicity".

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> Still, I have doubts as to the varacity of
SNIP

7083. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: J o h n M <NOSPAMpio@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8r5fuo02d2u@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 78632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric - Iot is obvious that it was directed towards you.

"Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@home.com> wrote
> I am not sure who this is actually directed at, so I'll leave you to it.
>
> LRod wrote:
> > You are a bone head. You got every possible iteration of facts wrong.
> > The spelling was a minor point. Confusing the Marine Corps with the Navy
> > is a very big deal.

7084. Re: MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: address@end-of-post.com
Message-ID: <39d64f70.1161486@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 78633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 11:07:43 -0500, w9wi@bellsouth.net wrote:

>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> That is an issue that I have - someone's 2.4GHz cordless phone is coming
>> through on my repeater's 2.4 input (sometimes - not every time they use it).
>> However, how many consumers really even bother to read that part 15 warning in
>> the device's manual. 900 MHz and 2400 MHz have been trashed by appliance
>> manufacturers.....
>
>During WW2, systems were developed for heating with RF. (for curing
>glue, I believe)

Gluing the layers of plywood together, that sort of thing. There
are HF bands set aside for it: ISM - Industrial, Scientific and
Medical.

You had trouble over there with these wide-screen TVs which
radiate broadband hash all over (here in Europe) 17/18 MHz?

--
Jim Dunnett

amadeus @netcomuk.co.uk
nordland @lineone.net
g4rga @thersgb.net

7085. ERIC N7ZZT and Blake are real chumps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scannist <Scannist@ranter.Com>
Message-ID: <39d65a2b$1@post.usenet.com>
Source record: 78634

**** Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com ****

THE NET COPS ERIC AND BLAKE ARE FULL OF CRAP AND WE ALL WISH THEY WOULD JUST
SHUTUP
THE Scannist

-=
*** Usenet.com - The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! ***
http://www.usenet.com
-=

7086. Re: When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: smw <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <jricts42h1bpk2ugq8aj9b4ahbbr7vjmqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 78635

I haven't actually seen one yet, but my thoughts on it are they should
do a amber/green option. I have a 2 meter rig that can switch between
amber and green, and I would imagine it wouldn't be too difficult.
And, since the colors of dash clusters vary so much, if you ever get a
new car, it's invaluable if you're trying to keep the colors matching.
Although my dash clusters in the past have been green, I like the
ability to switch to the amber at times, for "easier" visuality.
That's just my opinion on the amber. Otherwise, I think it should be
able to alternate. My vote would go for green though if they opt to
not let it alternate.

smw

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 16:37:12 GMT, "Eddie Bryant N4UMJ"
<N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote:

>I saw a Demo BC-780xlt at Dayton and then saw the Uniden Promo and noticed
>now that the Backlight had changed colors. It was now amber instead of
>green.
>Look at these two links:
>
>http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC780XLT
>
>http://strongsignals.net/image/bc780_broch_sm.gif
>
>Uniden cant' figure out which color it wants to use
>Personally I prefer the amber or orange color.
>Seems like for mobile use though green would blend in better
>and not be so obvious in the vehicle compared to the instrument cluster
>color.
>
>Any thoughts or observations on this?

7087. Re: Mackey makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8r5pgffug1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 78636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric Oyen <n7zzt@home.com> wrote:
>The "enlisted" program was not mentioned to me..

Posting a copy of one of the following would clear up this matter:
1. DD-214
2. Discharge certificate
3. Armed Forces ID card (if he's still serving)

7088. Re: What is there above 1gh?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8r5r6g$2k9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 78637
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

My mobile phone is at 1.9 Ghz..but it is CDMA digital so a scanner can not pick it up.

In article , "Mike"
wrote:

> New scanners are capable of scanning over 1gh now, what's up that high?
>
>

7089. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8r5s50iod1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 78638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote:
>CB's came out in the late 60's early 70's

You're off by a whole decade! Amateurs lost shared use of 11m to the CB Class D Radio Service in 1959. (Note that the CB Radio Service itself was created in 1945 -- it utilized 460-470 MHz.)
73, Jeff KH6O

7090. Re: What is there above 1gh?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Haskell <harleybum@easyriders.com>
Message-ID: <LBuB5.4714$kf1.980658@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 78639

The 1.2Ghz HAM band, Aero transponders are at 1030 and 1090 Mhz. GPS is around 1.5Ghz. the output of a satellite RX is 950-1450Mhz...scanners are often used to listen to music,voice and data streams on the output of a LNB there.

7091. Re: When will BC-780XLT be Available?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Cellini <drksky@home.com>
Message-ID: <39D67675.FAF92AC5@home.com>
Source record: 78640

I tend to agree that green would be better. I think there are many more car instrument clusters that have a green hue instead of an amber one.

smw wrote:

> I haven't actually seen one yet, but my thoughts on it are they should
> do a amber/green option. I have a 2 meter rig that can switch between
> amber and green, and I would imagine it wouldn't be too difficult.
> And, since the colors of dash clusters vary so much, if you ever get a
> new car, it's invaluable if you're trying to keep the colors matching.
> Although my dash clusters in the past have been green, I like the
> ability to switch to the amber at times, for "easier" visuality.
> That's just my opinion on the amber. Otherwise, I think it should be
> able to alternate. My vote would go for green though if they opt to
> not let it alternate.
>
> smw
>
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2000 16:37:12 GMT, "Eddie Bryant N4UMJ"
> <N4UMJ@carolina.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >I saw a Demo BC-780xlt at Dayton and then saw the Uniden Promo and noticed
> >now that the Backlight had changed colors. It was now amber instead of
> >green.
> >Look at these two links:
> >
> >http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC780XLT
> >
> >http://strongsignals.net/image/bc780_broch_sm.gif
> >
> >Uniden cant' figure out which color it wants to use
> >Personally I prefer the amber or orange color.
> >Seems like for mobile use though green would blend in better
> >and not be so obvious in the vehicle compared to the instrument cluster
> >color.
> >
> >Any thoughts or observations on this?

7092. Re: outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Haskell <harleybum@easyriders.com>
Message-ID: <_HuB5.4717$kf1.982018@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 78641

If you REALLY want to know where the CHP is, it's pretty simple. First off, listen on 154.905Mhz for the trunk mounted repeaters. Second...keying up on 154.905 and clicking twice with the "local" PL tone will activate the repeater and answer you back with a "setup" tone of around 800Hz. you now know your within a half mile of a active CHP unit.The officer will more than likely hit the "disable" button on the console, but the cat is outta the bag at that time.

7093. Re: Want to buy trunk-tracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Angiolillo <trekm371@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <39D67A90.AB65F127@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 78642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well,..
1 - It's model BC780, not 870
2 - It won't be out until around Xmas!

Steve Silverwood wrote:

> In article <araB5.110$B42.5269@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> JonGage@pacbell.net says...
> > Bearcat 870 Not out yet but worth the wait, so I have been told!
> > Base/Mobile
>
> Thanks. What's special about this model? I don't see any reference to
> it on the Uniden web site.
>
> --
>
> -- // Steve //
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
> Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs
>

--
"'Tis better to live free in anarchy, then to do die shackled and without
rights!"

7094. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8r5tur$kl1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 78643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote:

>> If my memory serves correctly their were several CBs with tone keys
>>for "CW" operation. Of course it defeated the whole purpose of ease of
>> conveniance but I think it was legal....

>the only units I know that tone keys were the little 100 mw hand held
>toys...these operated on ch 14 and wern't covered by FCC rules and
>regs.

They were/are covered under CFR Part 15. Low-power Part 15 bands exist throughout the spectrum. Part 15 is what permits you to transmit QRPp CW on the AM broadcast band, use wireless mics on the FM broadcast band, et cetera. Even your garage door opener operates under Part 15 rules. One of my build-it-yourself books contains a Part 15 transmitter for unlicensed (but legal) CW operation on 80m! 73, Jeff KH6O

7095. Re: Thats spelled "Site"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <p0vB5.6211$1H2.610715@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78644

To conjugate "that is", you drop the letter i and add an apostrophe.

Judge not, lest ye be judged .... ;-)

Give the guy credit, at least he kept his message related to the NG, unlike
many here.

Here's another good Frequently Asked Frequencies website:
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/faf.html

Robert

Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:39D5951C.7E8834AA@netzero.net...
> owl@wise.com wrote:
> >
> > I just found this sight. Lots of frequency info.
> >
> > http://216.157.29.195/freqbrd/
>

7096. Re: Which Scanner to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spamshield@on.com>
Message-ID: <I3vB5.6214$1H2.612141@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78645

You could wait for the Euro version of the BC780XLT. There's already
a Euro version of the BC245XLT available. Importing would be illegal
in the USA, of course.

John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:8r2n8d$d23$1@news.monmouth.com...

> How would one be able to receive cellular freqs on a 780XLT? I would say a
> Pro 2004/2005/2006 would be another good choice.
>
>
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Mandrake Linux 7.1, 2.2.16 / PAN Newsreader 0.8.1beta6
>

7097. FS: FT-50r w/ or w/o Color Laptop & GE edacs HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mcguyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <46vB5.11507$O95.634490@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78646
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint Yaesu FT-50R Dual band handheld for sale. Unit comes with 9.6V battery, charger, antenna, strap, Box, manual, Voice recorder keypad and ADMS-1C software, cable and box with instructions. I will sell for $250plus shipping. Unit has been MARS/CAP (extended TX/RX) modded.

Photos below
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50big.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/ft50r.JPG

Id Like to sell FT-50r with this laptop: as a package deal.. FT-50r as above & laptop (below) $400..

I have a great condition Compac LTE Elite 4/40CX (486dx4/40) with 16MB mem, 340MB HD, 2 PCMCIA slots,COMPAQ 192 19,200 Data/Fax PCMCIA modem with instructions & Cable (plus nylon case), good battery, AC cord, compact case, external as well as integral mouse. 1.44MB floppy, printer port, serial port (DB9). Unit is in pristine shape, NO pixel damage on nice color TFT screen. Unit now
runs win 3.1 with delrina fax pro. TCP/IP drivers, compaq drivers, MS Office 4.2, 8.4" color tft screen, lotus software. Best offer...plus shipping

http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop1.JPG
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/laptop2.JPG

EDACS 900MHz Handheld
For sale, working with antenna and battery , no charger. GE Ericsson Model # M-PA scan (PA904), FCC ID# AXATR-187-A2, trunking handheld. All keys work fine. 10/10 condition. Photo upon request, asking $400 obo

7098. Basic Stamp for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: makoshake@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r448b$c7i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Could someone give me some urls to help me build a stamp circuit to interface my scanner and computer?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7099. Re: RECORDING Scanner on Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rayden <rayden@inficad.com>
Message-ID: <%CgB5.4846$z77.284495@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 78689

Check the following website for Scanner Recorder. IT is a freeware!

http://www.davee.com/scanrec/index.html

Hope this help!

73'

ED

JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:9yaB5.119$B42.5687@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I have connected into my line in on my sound card. I am using my home stereo as speakers. But my question is this, how can i record the radio traffic as a WAV file. Can someone recommend a program?? Also, is this Legal in California???

JonGage@pacbell.net

7100. Re: N7ZZT makes a fool of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <8r49fp$pu2$0@63.162.247.64>
Source record: 78690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

J o h n M wrote:
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Actually, you are incorrect again John. NPSAC has only been around
> > for 1 -2 years, and therefore could not be called "recruit training" for
> over 25 years.
>
> Actually, YOU are the one who is incorrect again Blake. NPSAC has only been
> around for over 20 years, but has only been at Great Lakes for about 1 year.
> Previous to that
> it was located in New Orleans. (I have met MANY people who are graduates
> of the NPSAC -New Orleans program back to 1980)
>

Actually, according to COMNAVRESFOR Instruction 3500.13,
"The APG School in New Orleans will be replaced by a 14
day non-prior service accession course <NPSAC> at recruit
training command <RTC> Great Lakes."

Also, reference message sent routine traffic, 051205Z Jan 00,
From COMNAVRESFOR NEW ORLEANS LA
TO NAVRESFOR

MSGID/GENADMN/COMNAVRESFOR

This message states that previous to last year, non prior service
personnel recieved their "basic training" at the naval reserve
professional development center "APG school". It also states
that the NPSAC school is a NEW class, that replaces APG school.

It even goes on to talk about the DIFFERENCE between APG school,
and the new school NPSAC that replaces it.

Clear proof that NPSAC school has NOT been around for 20 years
as you state.

So, John, there it is. Verifiable proof that you are either WRONG,
which is a nice way to put it, or, if you insist that you
could not be wrong, then you are lying.

> > John, please follow the thread, "boot camp" which for years has been
> > a slang term to denote basic training in the military, was my words.
>
> Blake, Navy Basic Training staff are prohibited from using the term "boot
> camp"
> to describe Basic Training.

>

That does not mean that everyone in the navy is prohibited, nor uses
the term to describe NPSAC or real basic training.

Case in point...

Navy Times, July 31, 2000

"Non-Veteran Reservists go to MINI-BOOT CAMP"

Same article..

"The toughen-up training program STARTED THIS YEAR at Recruit
Training Command, Great Lakes IL where active duty enlistees go
for the FULL 9 week BOOT camp.

> > Everything I said is on the Web
> > John, check it out.
>
> Blake, I don't need to get my information from the web as you have done. I
> have
> actually graduated from the Navy Basic Training and am a member of the US
> Navy
> so I am able to speak from personal knowledge & experience. I don't have to
> rely on the information from others & the web as you have to on this (&
> other) topics.

You are a member of the US navy RESERVE, and possibly should
consider going back for additional training in the history
of the program. Your personal knowledge is either lacking,
or you are lying.

7101. Re: outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <dennis@REMOVEarchimageTHIS.com>
Message-ID: <vUhB5.1829$Sa.119824@news.flash.net>
Source record: 78691

No need for personal insults.
As a occasional M?C rider from Los Angeles to Sacramento, I have come across all the careless inattentive drivers you describe. But when one is operating a tractor-trailer at 80mph and using a scanner to listen for the CHP for their vehicular repeaters is irresponsible. I would guess that 95% of truckers are responsible and careful drivers. But it is the 5% who give legitimate operators a bad name. There is a reason large vehicle operators need a special license, just like a pilot, a small error can cause great loss. You cant compare a yuppie range rover driver on the phone and a tractor trailer operator, the tractor-trailer operator, being a professional driver, should know better. Why is there a legitiamte reason for a trucker to monitor the California Highway Patrol? I have been passed by tractor-trailers at least going 80mph and a renegade Greyhound bus at 85mph near Bakersfield. The scanner is not intended as a tool to facilitate evasion of law enforcement. That is why scanners will be eventually be outlawed, because it takes just a few loosers to ruin the enjoyment of others. Thanks allot, and I did not even call you a asshole.

"NJRadioDude" <njradiodude@aol.com> wrote in message news:20000930000517.28124.00000249@ng-mg1.aol.com...
> >What would really help is if you were less concerned with the CHP and paid
> >more attention to your driving. There are other people on the road. The
> >last thing I would want to be near a tractor-trailer rig with a operator
> >screwing with a scanner.
>
> ...How about the woman putting on make-up, or the hot-shot "someday I'm gonna
> be the CEO" reading the damned paper, or the person who's too afraid to be
> driving trying to pretend they're not? How about the ham trying to enter the PL
> code of a repeater he just found, or the teenager looking for the right station
> to listen to, or the model citizen soccer mom looking under the seat of her
> minivan for the bottle of juice her 6 month-old just dropped? How about the
> simple act of lighting a cigarette? Could sound pretty dangerous if twisted the
> right way. I won't even go into the fools who will spend nearly 30 seconds
> dialing their cell phones and never even glance at the road during that time
> once. No ill I expound on my dislike of the sudden and abrupt lane-changer who

> cuts people off, rides bumpers and high-beams anyone doing less than 80 in
a
> 55mph zone, just to get to the toll plaza 6 seconds sooner than someone
he/she
> cut off 10 minutes ago.
>
> Before anyone jumps on truckers with lunacy, think about what so many
other
> drivers are doing. To assume that this guy is a bad driver just because he
> wants to figure out a reception problem he has in his truck is just plain
> sh*tty! Maybe this is why he's asking the question here...to solve his
problem
> so he can just do his radio listening thing and not have to be bothered
> "screwing" with a scanner.
>
> A number of years ago when I spent 6 years driving over-the-road
(endlessly
> between NYC and Highpoint, North Carolina doing the furniture thing making
> someone else rich), the last thing I wanted to be near was some fool in a
car
> who really didn't know how to drive it and has no concept of simple
realities
> of the road... like it's worse driving in a drizzel than in a downpour,
for
> example. With a somewhat nationalized licensing structure, most of the
poor
> drivers are no more. How many miles do people in their cars do a year? How
many
> do over-the-road drivers do? Who has more experience? Instead of trashing
> anyone and everyone (in this case truck drivers) for no other reason than
to
> get some recognition in a NG, how about looking at the dumb sh*t WE ALL DO
> (even "archimage") and back off those who really don't need the dumb sh*t.
>
> Remember, EVERYTHING you have was once on a truck. You would not have
ANYTHING
> if there were no trucks.
>
> Archimage...you're an as*hole.
>
> Tom KB2FIK
> NJRadioDude@aol.com
>

7102. Re: WiNRADiO now up to 4GHz!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D5CCF7.4E9EF706@netzero.net>
Source record: 78692

Guess I shouldn't mention the stuff up at 100ghz.....

Andy in RI wrote:
>
> Two questions:
>
> 1. What the hell is being transmitted at 4 ghz?
>
> 2. What exactly are you guys talking about in regards to your scanners being
> overloaded?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
> Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
> Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
> or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

7103. Re: MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10009300923590.8846-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 78693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 30 Sep 2000, Raven wrote:
> Read the FCC small print on almost all new electronic equipment.
> ie: answering machines, computers, ect...
>
> "This equipment MUST accept all interference"

...And it also says that the equipment is NOT to generate interference to other licensed users of the same frequency set.....

That is an issue that I have - someone's 2.4GHz cordless phone is coming through on my repeater's 2.4 input (sometimes - not every time they use it). However, how many consumers really even bother to read that part 15 warning in the device's manual. 900 MHz and 2400 MHz have been trashed by appliance manufacturers.....

7104. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39D5B20A.209D326A@inet4u.com>
Source record: 78694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Raven wrote:

> Guess those druggies are going to have to learn how to hook
> their A.M./F.M. radio antenna to their scanners..... :o)

I think I saw some antenna's being sold as "stealth" antennas! Very small, black (?), and just stuck to the inside of the glass. Don't recall the name.

Al

7105. Re: Incredible Remote Control Story in News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D5CE40.8B480748@netzero.net>
Source record: 78695
Cross-posted to: comp.home.automation,alt.consumers.experiences,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmm they replaced their satellite receiver but not the T.V.
A bright 13 year old could make it say anything he/she wanted....

Bruce or Tracy wrote:
>
> TV Satelite / Remote Control / IR Remotes
>
> A little bit of info for each newsgroups to which
> I am posting.
>
> http://www.freep.com/news/locway/tv21_20000921.htm
>
> That must be one seriously powerful remote control.
> I assume this is a true news article.
>
> Bruce

7106. Re: N9VKS: Indiana ham arrested for having a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <39D5B35F.104A078F@inet4u.com>
Source record: 78696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Raven wrote:

> Just for the sake of discussion.
> If you put a encrypted message on a web site, then the recipient
> could see it without anyone being the wiser.
> With anonymous websites being a dime a dozen (I've got 3 myself)
> You can "Send" a message to who ever you like.....
> All they have to do is go to the proper website and pick it up.....

With all the "back doors" to software that the government is supposedly requiring I'm not sure that I would even trust PGP that much. Someone once said that the only way to REALLY encrypt a message is for two (or more) people to have EXACT copies of book or document and let each letter (or number) represent a page, line and character number. This could be very time consuming and messages would have to be kept short, or have a program to "convert" it for you, in which case you risk it being "captured" and all future correspondence being exposed.

Al

7107. Re: Want to buy trunk-tracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:26:46 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <araB5.110$B42.5269@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78673
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bearcat 870 Not out yet but worth the wait, so I have been told!
Base/Mobile
"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.143eb2479a142c0f9896e8@news.gte.net...
> I am looking to purchase a new scanner. I've been looking at several
> models, and need some extra opinions. What I need are:
>
> 1) Trunk tracking
> 2) Military aircraft band (225-400MHz AM)
> 3) PC connectivity would be helpful but not essential.
>
> A hand-held would be nice, but I'm also considering desktop/mobile
> versions. Any suggestions will be greatly appreciated.
>
> --
>
> -- // Steve //
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Yahoo! Messenger: "steve_kb6ojs"
> Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messenger: "steve kb6ojs"
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net Web: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs
>
>

7108. RECORDING Scanner on Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:34:13 UTC
From: JonGage <JonGage@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9yaB5.119$B42.5687@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 78674

Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I have connected into my line in on my sound card. I am using my home stereo as speakers. But my question is this, how can i record the radio traffic as a WAV file. Can someone recommend a program?? Also, is this Legal in California???

JonGage@pacbell.net

7109. FT: Programmable VHF Transciever for Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 00:50:59 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000929205059.15990.00000235@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 78675

Will trade my TAD VHF high-band USER-programmable transciever EVEN-UP for Bearcat BC-895XLT :AOR- MX-7000 or :AOR AR-2515 scanner in MINT condition w/ box and all factory "accessories".Transciever has 25 watts out:CTCSS tone encode/decode, and will program 99 (NINETY-NINE!!) channels of your choice between 138-174 Mhz. You can use it for both 2-meter AND public service/emergency service AT THE SALE TIME.!! Condition is 8.5 out of 10, and radio is in very good shape and works well(very sensitive!)LOUD audio and backlit bright backlit LCD display on key down.. Includes mobile bracket , mic, and wiring harness. Can be used BASE or MOBILE, but you will need to supply power for base use.
If interested, please Email me DIRECTLY at :Klarrg@aol.com (Kindly do NOT reply to site as I dont get here often)
Serious inquiries only, please! Sorry, no pics available as no digital camera and scanner died recently.(Radio resembles the Uniden HR-2510 in appearance)
THANKS ! (<: L.Wiland

7110. Re: FS: AOR8200B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 01:00:40 UTC
From: "tom g." <tagger4@home.com>
Message-ID: <YWaB5.88525$Qx4.2796821@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 78676

I think it is fairly easy to tune with the rotary knob as well as the 4
arrow keys below the rotary knob.
sensitivity is not bad for a unit of this size.
i have used a 25 foot random wire to listen in on 5.696
and a few others with relative ease.

tom g.
"Dave Moorman" <dmoorman@interaccess.com> wrote in message
news:dmoorman-EF1DBA.17562729092000@nntp.interaccess.com...
> In article <KkPA5.82681$Qx4.2688605@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>, "tom g."
> <tagger4@home.com> wrote:
>
> > AOR8200B like new condition ,$275
> > any where in the CONUS.
> > comes with charger,belt clip,antenna and manual.
> > tagger4@home.com
> >
> > --
> > tom g.
>
> How is the 8200B for CW/SSB, Tom. Is it easy to tune them in with that
> rotary tuning knob on the side? How's the sensitivity on HF? Have you
> tried it with a real HF antenna, like a dipole cut for the band you are
> listening to?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dave
>
> --
> Dave Moorman
> Downers Grove,
> Illinois USA

7111. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 01:49:44 UTC
From: John Medica - System Adminstrator <Jmedica@mail.listening-post.net>
Message-ID: <39D3F5C9.EEEEFAAE@mail.listening-post.net>
Source record: 78677

Jules Beaudoin wrote:

> Test only

Kinda sick people posting test messages, find it useless & no point.
PEOPLE! THEIR IS USERGROUP FOR TEST MESSAGES!

7112. Re: Will the BC-780's front panel detach?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 01:52:49 UTC
From: digimon <digimon_7601778@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RHbB5.716$1H2.170757@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 78678

yes, it is not welded together, but No, it is not designed to be detached.
The main pc board attaches to the the front panel with 2 flat ribbon type
connectors and two header -large wire- connectors.

"klopie" <co@yok.com> wrote in message news:39D52917.701CE4D5@yok.com...
> .
>
>

7113. Re: Volusia County 800mhz problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 02:11:52 UTC
From: kd4itu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8r3i55vms1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 78679

In article <2K5z5.7884$Q_2.285638@news2.giganews.com>,
"gigax" <danasala@yahoo.com> wrote:
> My new Uniden BC245XLT is having intermittent problems problems
> receiving the VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLORIDA
> talkgroups I have programmed in....
>
> I am able to pickup the talkgroup fire, EMS, and law enforcement
> channels, then suddenly my scanner reverts back to just scanning the
> frequencies--but not the designated talkgroups...
>
> Is this a typical VOLUSIA COUNTY problem---or a BC245XLT problem??
>
> any help would be greatly appreciated....
>
> d buskirk
> danasala@yahoo.com
>
>

I thought it was just MY scanner ! Does yours also stop scanning and show a talkgroup but not have any audio ? Sounds like it must be a Volusia County problem (big surprise there, huh ?) that seems to have started only recently ... maybe they've prigrammed something new in ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

7114. Re: outside car antennae help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 04:05:17 UTC
From: njradiodude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000930000517.28124.00000249@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 78680

>What would really help is if you were less concerned with the CHP and paid
>more attention to your driving. There are other people on the road. The
>last thing I would want to be near a tractor-trailer rig with a operator
>screwing with a scanner.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

...How about the woman putting on make-up, or the hot-shot "someday I'm gonna be the CEO" reading the damned paper, or the person who's too afraid to be driving trying to pretend they're not? How about the ham trying to enter the PL code of a repeater he just found, or the teenager looking for the right station to listen to, or the model citizen soccer mom looking under the seat of her minivan for the bottle of juice her 6 month-old just dropped? How about the simple act of lighting a cigarette? Could sound pretty dangerous if twisted the right way. I won't even go into the fools who will spend nearly 30 seconds dialing their cell phones and never even glance at the road during that time once. No ill I expound on my dislike of the sudden and abrupt lane-changer who cuts people off, rides bumpers and high-beams anyone doing less than 80 in a 55mph zone, just to get to the toll plaza 6 seconds sooner than someone he/she cut off 10 minutes ago.

Before anyone jumps on truckers with lunacy, think about what so many other drivers are doing. To assume that this guy is a bad driver just because he wants to figure out a reception problem he has in his truck is just plain sh*tty! Maybe this is why he's asking the question here...to solve his problem so he can just do his radio listening thing and not have to be bothered "screwing" with a scanner.

A number of years ago when I spent 6 years driving over-the-road (endlessly between NYC and Highpoint, North Carolina doing the furniture thing making someone else rich), the last thing I wanted to be near was some fool in a car who really didn't know how to drive it and has no concept of simple realities of the road... like it's worse driving in a drizzel than in a downpour, for example. With a somewhat nationalized licensing structure, most of the poor drivers are no more. How many miles do people in their cars do a year? How many do over-the-road drivers do? Who has more experience? Instead of trashing anyone and everyone (in this case truck drivers) for no other reason than to get some recognition in a NG, how about looking at the dumb sh*t WE ALL DO (even "archimage") and back off those who really don't need the dumb sh*t.

Remember, EVERYTHING you have was once on a truck. You would not have ANYTHING if there were no trucks.

Archimage...you're an as*hole.

Tom KB2FIK
NJRadioDude@aol.com

7115. Re: MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 05:45:29 UTC
From: sideband@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <39D57DF9.B28921D0@mindspring.com>
Source record: 78686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Yup. As long as the ham equipment is operating properly and legally.

Raven wrote:

> Read the FCC small print on almost all new electronic equipment.
> ie: answering machines, computers, ect...
>
> "This equipment MUST accept all interference"
> **********
> Bill Twist wrote:
> >
> > > Gunner,
> > > Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power
> > > and is
> > > blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they c
> > > an't
> > > watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhoo
> > > d
> > > whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
> > > Now that was strange.
> > > Russ
> > > PS
> > > If you are going to play, at least be subtle
> > >
> > <snippage>
> >
> > Having a radio go through a guitar amp isn't all that strange. The guitar
> > player in my band (back when I was a wanna-be rock star) had that happen a
> > couple of times. Once was a police car several hundred yards away, came
> > through clear as a bell. The other time I know of was either a cell phone
> > or (more likely) a cordless phone. I forget the brand name, but it was a
> > large tube amp. So it isn't unheard of. By the way, he lived about 400
> > yards away from me in the middle of nowhere (we were the closest neighbors
> > to each other) and I ran 100 watts at HF, and it never came through his
> > amp. He would have told me if I was, he knew I was a ham.
> >
> > Bill N2KMF
> > --
> > ---
> > Check out this week's firearms commentary at:
> > http://www.planettimes.com/features/barrel_twist/index.htm
> > ---

--
The preceding may or may not have been authored by myself but I offer it to you for your perusal.
Feel free to read as little or as much of it as you like. Be forewarned that I refuse to use a
spell checker and don't take criticism well.

7116. MUST accept interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 07:06:59 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D59113.1187D678@netzero.net>
Source record: 78681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.survivalism

Read the FCC small print on almost all new electronic equipment.
ie: answering machines, computers, ect...

"This equipment MUST accept all interference"
****
Bill Twist wrote:
>
> > Gunner,
> > Since we are all speculating here... what if the person is running high power
> > and is
> > blasting through his neighbor's TV? You know how cranky folks can get if they c
> > an't
> > watch..."So You Want To Be A Bazillionoire". We had asomeone in our neighborhoo
> > d
> > whose radio was so bad it came through on my son's Electric guitar.
> > Now that was strange.
> > Russ
> > PS
> > If you are going to play, at least be subtle
> >
> <snippage>
>
> Having a radio go through a guitar amp isn't all that strange. The guitar
> player in my band (back when I was a wanna-be rock star) had that happen a
> couple of times. Once was a police car several hundred yards away, came
> through clear as a bell. The other time I know of was either a cell phone
> or (more likely) a cordless phone. I forget the brand name, but it was a
> large tube amp. So it isn't unheard of. By the way, he lived about 400
> yards away from me in the middle of nowhere (we were the closest neighbors
> to each other) and I ran 100 watts at HF, and it never came through his
> amp. He would have told me if I was, he knew I was a ham.
>
> Bill N2KMF
> --
> ---
> Check out this week's firearms commentary at:
> http://www.planettimes.com/features/barrel_twist/index.htm
> ---

7117. Re: heard this on a med channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 07:14:39 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D592DF.FA9ED0E2@netzero.net>
Source record: 78682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here near Nashville the EMS folks charge $200 a call PLUS $5.00 a mile
And they send the bill to collections if it doesn't get paid!

Kevin Patterson wrote:
>
> > Any other wild things has anyone heard?
>
> I work with a guy who is an EMT in a small rural town. Anyway, living in
> Iowa we tend to get our share of snow each winter. He tells me, whenever
> we get a big snow and get" snowed in" this lady will call in and complain of
> dizziness. The ambulance goes out behind a snow plow and gets her. But by
> the time she gets to the hospital she if fine. Well, the hospital is
> located 15 miles from this ladies house, but it just happens to be across
> the street from her daughters house.
> It really pisses the guys off (as well it should) She just wanted to get to
> town to play cards and have some tea with her daughter.. Some nerve......but
> an expensive taxi ride.

7118. Thats spelled "Site"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 07:24:12 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D5951C.7E8834AA@netzero.net>
Source record: 78683

owl@wise.com wrote:
>
> I just found this sight. Lots of frequency info.
>
> http://216.157.29.195/freqbrd/

7119. WinRadio 1000i Software opinions wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 07:35:34 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D597C6.AF5340D4@netzero.net>
Source record: 78684

is the software that Win Radio has on their website the only
available?
I found some "plugins" from XRS that are nifty but over all
I think the WinRadio software is a tad "bulky" and not user
friendly...

7120. WinRadio and Trunk Tracking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 07:36:24 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D597F8.F2EA651C@netzero.net>
Source record: 78685

Anyone know of any software that will allow one to use
the WinRadio 1000i as a "TrunkTracker" radio?

7121. Re: RECORDING Scanner on Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 08:46:52 UTC
From: Raven <spook7nospam@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <39D5A87C.E757C278@netzero.net>
Source record: 78687

Theres a program called scanrecord that i use that I'm very pleased
with.
Works under Win-98 on a 466mhz machine with no problems. has a user
adjustable squelch/vox. Was shareware for free.....
> JonGage wrote:
>
> Hey, I have an ICOM PCR-100, that I have connected into my line in on
> my sound card. I am using my home stereo as speakers. But my
> question is this, how can i record the radio traffic as a WAV file.
> Can someone recommend a program?? Also, is this Legal in
> California???
>
> JonGage@pacbell.net

7122. Discriminator tap uniden Bearcat 100 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2000-09-30 23:34:35 UTC
From: Peter <firefighter1@globalfreeway.com.au>
Message-ID: <8r5pt3$r0g$1@thebe.syd.dav.net.au>
Source record: 78549

Can anyone help me with directions of how to tap the discriminator
output on this scanner.
I have found one web site that says the chip to tap is TK-10421 M2 pin 11
but i can not find this chip or similar in my scanner

Any help appreciated